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#### Abstract

We review the Atractus snethlageae species complex based on the examination of 330 specimens throughout its entire distribution, including its typeseries. We redefine $A$. snethlageae and recognize four new species previously assigned to it in the literature and natural history collections. Two of them are diagnosed through both phenotypic (meristic, morphometric, colour pattern and male genital structure) and molecular (phylogeny) evidence, while the other two are recognized on the basis of morphological characters only. We show that some Amazonian lowland species have more restricted ranges. The area covering the eastern portion of the state of Pará and western portion of the state of Maranhão in Brazil harbours restricted endemism for Atractus. This biogeographically important region is also the most threatened within Amazonia. Finally, we discuss the expected changes in the taxonomy of ground snakes with more robust hypotheses based on well-sampled phylogenies.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

The tree of life is being pruned by human activities at an unprecedented rate (Pimm et al., 2014). Habitat loss and species extinction are alarming issues worldwide, especially in the Neotropical region, where the largest continuous tropical forests and one of the most diverse biotas occur (Wilson, 1988). High rates of extinction (hundreds to millions of species) are expected in forthcoming years being surpassed only by catastrophic events (Pimm et al., 2014). In recent years, many species have been described from the Amazonian lowlands to the Tropical Andes (Blackburn, Giribet, Soltis, \& Stanley, 2019). These intrinsically connected ecosystems are ranked among the likely to lose most vertebrates as a result of current deforestation rates (Scheffers, Oliveira, Lamb, \& Edwards, 2019). To make this bleak scenario even worse, many species including reptiles have suffered with unprecedent extinction rates in the last century (Ceballos et al., 2015), mainly on the tropical ecosystems due to a combination of complex factors (Ferrer-Paris et al., 2019).

Neotropical groundsnakes of the genus Atractus Wagler 1830 are the most diversified, species-rich and taxonomically complex radiation of the suborder Serpentes (Passos, Kok, Albuquerque, \& Rivas, 2013). The genus comprises 143 currently recognized species (Uetz, Freed, \& Hosek, 2020). However, many species remain known only from their type-series or from geographically restricted regions (Passos, Scanferla, Melo-Sampaio, Brito, \& Almendáriz, 2019). Consequently, some important
aspects related to geographic, ontogenetic, sexual dimorphism and population variation have not yet been properly documented (Passos, Cisneros-Heredia, Rivera, Aguilar, \& Schargel, 2012). For that reason, the taxonomic status or species boundaries in some taxa remains unsatisfactorily evaluated (Passos, Chiesse, Torres-Carvajal, \& Savage, 2010), which is of special concern considering the high level of restricted endemism and polychromatism reported in Atractus.

Systematic and taxonomic studies on Neotropical reptiles are of great importance for their conservation. Twenty percent of Neotropical reptile species are threatened, with the same proportion catalogued as Data Deficient (Böhm et al., 2013). Moreover, species with restricted ranges (geographical or altitudinal), highly specialized diet (earthworms), and limited activity patterns (concentrated on daytime and twilight) are sensitive to local extinctions (Ceballos et al., 2015). A global evaluation of trade risk across the vertebrate tree of life pointed out the genus Atractus as potentially under highest-risk for trade in the near future to pet activity (Scheffers et al., 2019). Therefore, we must settle the Linnaean shortfall of this emblematic group of snakes in order to get a better picture of its diversity and species-level conservation status.

Although some species groups were proposed for the genus over time (Passos, Echevarría, \& Venegas, 2013; Passos, Fernandes, Bérnils, \& Moura-Leite, 2010c; Passos, Mueses-Cisneros, Lynch, \& Fernandes, 2009; Passos et al., 2016; Savage, 1960), only the A. elaps and A. roulei groups have been, consistently, recovered as monophyletic through all phylogenetic hypotheses published to date (see Passos et al., in press). Taxonomic history of banded Atractus began with the description of Brachyorrhos badius, B. flammigerus and B. schach from Guianan region by Boie (1827). Duméril, Bibron, \& Duméril (1854) synonymized B. flammigerus and B. schach with B. badius until Hoogmoed (1980) rediscovered the types of Atractus badius, A. flammigerus and $A$. schach, resurrecting the latter two from the synonymy with $A$. badius. Later, Hoogmoed (1982) conceived a widespread distribution for $A$. flammigerus, including portions outside the Guiana Shield. Cunha \& Nascimento (1983) described Atractus flammigerus snethlageae based on Brazilian specimens from north and south of the Amazon River. Cunha \& Nascimento (1984) also reported additional specimens of Atractus schach from eastern Pará and western Maranhão states, Brazil. Subsequently, Vanzolini (1986a) listed A. flammigerus snethlageae in his 'Addenda and Corrigenda' in the Catalogue of Neotropical Squamata. However, Vanzolini (1986b) considered A. snethlageae as a full species without further
comments. Dixon \& Soini (1986) reported on two specimens of Atractus flammigerus from the Iquitos region, and Duellman \& Salas (1991) reported A. flammigerus from Cuzco Amazónico (northern and southern Peru, respectively). Atractus snethlageae has been reported from Rondônia State, Brazil (Ávila-Pires, Vitt, Sartorius, \& Zani, 2009; Bernarde \& Abe, 2006; Bernarde, Albuquerque, Barros, \& Turci, 2012; Jorge-da-Silva, 1993; Marçal, Gomes, \& Coragem, 2011; Nascimento, Ávila-Pires, \& Cunha, 1988). Giraudo \& Scrocchi (2000) re-identified as A. snethlageae a specimen previously identified as A. badius (Serié, 1915) and discussed its presence in Argentina. Silva-Haad (2004) provided the first record of A. snethlageae from Colombia, and Gonzales \& Embert (2008) reported it from Manupiri, Pando, Bolivia. The name Atractus badius has been often used in reference to $A$. snethlageae throughout Amazonia (but see Passos et al., in press). Similarly, A. snethlageae has been repeatedly mistaken with $A$. major (Savage, 1960; Pérez-Santos \& Moreno, 1988) or A. flammigerus (Dixon \& Soini, 1986; Duellman, 2005). The difficulty in the correct assignment of the name $A$. snethlageae reflects the remarkable polychromatism of this species throughout its widespread distribution and the possible existence of a species complex (Schargel et al., 2013). Passos, Ramos, Fouquet, \& Prudente (2017) restricted A. flammigerus to the Guiana Shield. More recently, Melo-Sampaio, Passos, Fouquet, Prudente, \& TorresCarvajal (2019) revised the taxonomic status of populations previously associated with A. schach and $A$. snethlageae, restricting the distribution of the former to the Guiana Shield and recognizing three new species, of which two-Atractus dapsilis MeloSampaio, Passos, Fouquet, Prudente, \& Torres-Carvajal and A. trefauti Melo-Sampaio, Passos, Fouquet, Prudente, \& Torres-Carvajal-resemble A. snethlageae in general colouration.

Herein, we combine morphological analyses and molecular phylogenetics to test species boundaries within the Atractus snethlageae complex throughout its distribution. We analyse the largest dataset to date, including 330 specimens and 30 new tissue samples of Atractus snethlageae (sensu lato) from Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru, comprising most of its range of distribution as reported in the literature.

## 2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1 Molecular sampling, techniques, and selection of sequences

We gathered liver tissue samples from 30 specimens representing six species within Atractus snethlageae complex. These samples were obtained through loans or donations
from the following institutions: Universidade Federal do Acre (UFAC-RB), Rio Branco, Brazil; Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi (MPEG), Belém, Brazil; Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso (UFMT-R), Cuiabá, Brazil; Laboratory of Herpetology (MTR) from the Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil; Museo de Zoología de la Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador (QCAZ), Quito, Ecuador; Museo de Zoología de la Universidad Tecnológica Indoamérica (MZUTI), Quito, Ecuador; Centro de Ornitología y Biodiversidad (CORBIDI), Lima, Peru; Museo de la Universidad de San Marcos (MUSM), Lima Peru; and Centre de Recherche de Montabo (CNRS), Cayenne, French Guiana, France.

We obtained nucleotide sequences from three mitochondrial genes, ribosomal large subunit gene (16S, 53 samples), subunit IV of NADH dehydrogenase (ND4, 36 samples), cytochrome b (CYT-B, 42 samples), as well as three nuclear genes, oocyte maturation factor mos (C-MOS, 42 samples), neurotrophin-3 (NT3, 46 samples), and recombination-activating gene 1 (RAG1, 45 samples). We extracted genomic DNA from tissue samples stored in absolute or $95 \%$ ethanol using a guanidinium isothiocyanate extraction protocol (see details in Torres-Carvajal \& Hinojosa, 2020). We performed Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) amplification of gene fragments in a final volume of $25 \mu 1$ reactions using 1 X PCR Buffer $(-\mathrm{Mg}), 3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTP mix, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer, $0.1 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Taq DNA Polymerase and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of extracted DNA. Primers and protocols are listed in Supplementary Table 1. All amplified markers were obtained from the same voucher specimen to avoid problems inherent with chimeric sequences.

We analysed polymerase chain reaction products on $1 \%$ agarose gels by horizontal electrophoresis (the target fragment size was estimated from molecular weight markers) using SYBR1 Safe (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) staining, with a Molecular Imager1 Gel DocTM XR+ Imaging System (Bio Rad, Hercules, CA). We treated amplified products with ExoSAP-IT (Affymetrix, Cleveland, OH) to remove remaining dNTPs and primers, as well as extraneous single-stranded DNA produced in the PCR. We performed double stranded sequencing of the PCR products in both directions with Macrogen Inc. New sequences are presented in Supplementary Table 2 and museum vouchers are housed at CORBIDI, MNRJ, MZUSP, QCAZ and UFMT-R.

In addition, we obtained GenBank sequences for 33 outgroup species (Supplementary Table 2), limiting our sampling to species for which we verified
directly the identifications of the vouchers. Specimen MZUTI 5409 (ANF 2390) listed as Atractus touzeti (Arteaga et al., 2017) was re-identified. We also excluded sequences of distantly related species [Atractus albuquerquei Cunha \& Nascimento, 1983, A. reticulatus (Boulenger, 1885), A. trihedrurus Amaral, 1926 and Atractus zebrinus (Jan, 1862)], without vouchers or locality data and separated the chimeras (terminals composed of sequences from different individuals or different species; Supplementary Table 3) presented by Grazziotin et al. (2012), Pyron, Burbrink, \& Wiens (2013), Pyron, Guayasamin, Peñafiel, Bustamante, \& Arteaga (2015), Figueroa et al. (2016), Pyron, Arteaga, Echevarría, \& Torres-Carvajal (2016), and Arteaga et al. (2017).

### 2.2 Phylogenetic analyses

We assembled and aligned the data in Mega 7.0 (Kumar, Stecher, \& Tamura, 2016) under default settings for the alignment program Clustal W (Thompson, Higgins, \& Gibson, 1994) and built a concatenated matrix using SequenceMatrix (Vaidya, Lohman, \& Meier, 2011). We then selected the best-fit nucleotide substitution models and partitioning scheme simultaneously using PartitionFinder 2 (Lanfear, Frandsen, Wright, Senfeld, \& Calcott, 2016) under the Bayesian Information Criterion (Sullivan \& Joyce, 2005), after partitioning the matrix by gene (16S, CYTB, CMOS, ND4, NT3, RAG1) (Supplementary Table 4). We analysed the concatenated, partitioned matrix under a Bayesian inference method using MrBayes v3.2.1 (Ronquist et al., 2012). We chose this strategy because Montingelli et al. (2019) demonstrated the advantages of multiple information, where a concatenated matrix has an important role summarizing few phylogenetically informative sites from the nuclear loci and filling the gap between some non-overlapping taxon sampling; and even if contradictory, the phylogenetic signal of the concatenated nuclear loci will reduce the influence of the mitochondrial data. All parameters except topology and branch lengths were unlinked between partitions. Four independent runs, each with four MCMC chains, were set for 10 million generations each, sampling every 10,000 generations. We used Tracer v1.6 (available from http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer) to assess convergence, stationarity, and effective sample sizes (ESS $>200$ ) of model parameters. We used LogCombiner 1.8.4 (Drummond, Suchard, Xie, \& Rambaut, 2012) to summarize the runs. Of 4,000 resulting trees, $10 \%$ were discarded as "burn-in" from each run. We used the resultant trees to calculate posterior probabilities (PP) in a maximum clade credibility tree in TreeAnnotator v1.8.3 (Drummond et al., 2012). We edited and visualized the
phylogenetic trees using FigTree v1.4.4 (Available in
http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). We also performed Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses on the partitioned dataset using RAXML (Stamatakis, 2014) under the GTRCAT approximation (Stamatakis, Hoover, \& Rougemont, 2008). Support of nodes was assessed using the rapid-bootstrapping algorithm with 1000 non-parametric bootstraps. We considered the clades with posterior probability values $>0.95$ and ML bootstraps $>70$ as strongly supported.

### 2.3 MORPHOLOGY, SPECIES BOUNDARIES AND PRESENTATION RATIONALE

Terminology for cephalic shields follows Savage (1960) and Peters (1964), whereas ventral and subcaudal counts follow Dowling (1951). Condition of the loreal scale follows Passos, Fernandes, \& Borges-Nojosa (2007b). Measurements were taken with a Mitutoyo ${ }^{\circledR}$ digital caliper to the nearest 0.1 mm , except for snout-vent length (SVL) and tail length (TL), which were measured with a ruler to the nearest 1 mm . Measurements and descriptions of paired cephalic scales are strictly based on the left side of the head. We follow the definitions of Passos, Prudente, \& Lynch (2016) for body marks (blotches, spots, and dots), which were counted separately on each side of the dorsum, and used the term 'blotch'' to refer to broad (two or more scales long and wide) dorsal marks located on the vertebral and paravertebral regions. Colours were described following the standard catalogue of Köhler (2012). We analysed 330 specimens of banded Atractus. The sex of specimens was determined by verifying presence-absence of hemipenes through a ventral incision at the base of the tail, except when hemipenes had been previously everted. We examined maxillae in situ under a Luxeo 4Z (Labomed) stereoscope through a narrow lateromedial incision between the supralabials and the maxillary arch. After removing tissues covering the maxillary bone, we counted teeth and empty sockets. The method for preparation of preserved hemipenes was modified from Pesantes (1994) by replacing potassium hydroxide $(\mathrm{KOH})$ with distilled water, and filling the hemipenes with petroleum jelly (Passos et al., 2016). Terminology for hemipenial descriptions follows Dowling \& Savage (1960) and Zaher (1999), with a few minor modifications based on Passos et al. (2016).

Data from additional specimens of Atractus previously examined are listed in Meneses-Pelayo \& Passos (2019) and such studies included examination of nearly all types available for the genus Atractus. Nonetheless, we list in the 'Species Account' the type specimens of the Atractus snethlageae complex, in addition to sympatric
morphologically similar congeners listed in the Appendix. In addition to phylogenetic relationships, we consider unique diagnostic characters distinguishing a putative taxon from the others in the $A$. snethlageae complex as species delimitation criteria (de Queiroz, 2007). Notwithstanding, we search for concordance between the discrete and continuous characters. Since some features such as colour patterns, morphometrics, and hemipenial morphology are likely uncorrelated with each other; the correspondence between these data sources might represent independent evidence for robust species boundaries (Passos, Prudente, Ramos, Caicedo-Portilla, \& Lynch, 2018).

The rationale along the species account include detailed morphological comparisons following at least one of these four types of hierarchical levels of similarity or historical association: (i) species with close phylogenetic relationships, recovered along the present study; (ii) sympatric or parapatric taxa presenting similar colour pattern, scutelation and morphometric features; (iii) phenotypically similar taxa even known to occur parapatrically or allopatrically regarding the $A$. snethlageae species complex (e.g., A. schach and closely related species; see Melo-Sampaio et al., 2019); (iv) species previously confused with the former species in literature or scientific collections (e.g., A. flammigerus; see Passos, Ramos, Fouquet, \& Prudente, 2017). We follow Passos, Fernandes, Bérnils, \& Moura-Leite (2010c) regarding conditions of morphological characters used in diagnoses and descriptions. All species recognized herein as monophyletic exhibited unambiguous phenotypic diagnostic characters or exclusive combinations of traits.

## 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 Phylogenetic Analyses

Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) trees are in agreement (Figure 1; Figures S1-S2). We recovered a paraphyletic A. snethlageae (sensu lato) in four distinct clades. A clade from Ecuador was recovered with maximum support in both trees as sister to a larger clade, containing Guianan clade (Atractus dapsilis (A. schach, A. trefauti)) as sister to clade containing the other three $A$. snethlageae (sensu lato) clades. A sample from southeastern Peru comprises a unique clade. A clade composed by eastern Amazonia specimens represented by Tocantins and Xingu river is nested with specimens from Madeira, Purus and Aripuanã river in south Amazonia and Caxiuanã bay in eastern Amazonia. This clade is recognized as Atractus snethlageae sensu stricto. The last clade is composed of Peruvian and Ecuadorian specimens from
populations adjacent to Amazonian slopes of Andes and the extreme western lowland Amazonian specimens. To better reflect the relationships among sampled populations and maintain species monophyly, we describe below three of the four clades of $A$. snethlageae (sensu lato) as separate species, each supported by putative autopomorphies or unique combinations of morphological characters.

### 3.2 Phenotypic variation among different populations of Atractus snethlageae

We discovered two additional unnamed species upon examination of hemipenial morphology, maxillary dentition, morphometrics, colouration and scale counts. In the absence of molecular data for these species, we compared them with geographically close and morphologically similar congeners according to our own delimitation criteria (see above).

Morphological comparisons with respect to the cis-Andean Atractus complexes, species groups or previously recovered clades south of the Amazon River: A. schach complex (including A. snethlageae and other allied species) differs from the $A$. elaps species group [except for $A$. latifrons (Günther, 1868)], A. emmeli (Boettger, 1888), A. occiptoalbus (Jan, 1862), A. roulei Depax, 1910 and A. trilineatus Wagler, 1828 groups or complexes of species (in parentheses) in having 17 dorsal scale rows (vs. 15 dorsal scale rows); from the Atractus collaris Peracca, 1897 group in lacking apical pits and supracloacal tubercles (vs. presence of apical pits in male and females, and supracloacal tubercles in sexually mature males); from the A. elaps (Günther, 1858) species group [including A. latifrons (Günther, 1868)] in having loreal scales twice as long as high and rostral scale wider than high (vs. loreal as long as high and rostral higher than long); from A. maculatus (Günther, 1858) and A. pantostictus Fernandes \& Puorto, 1993 species groups in having hemipenes usually with lobular crests and belly scattered with dark brown dots or spots eventually forming continuous stripes (vs. hemipenes lacking lobular crests, with alary spines and lateral projections [A. pantostictus group], and belly usually immaculate but, when darkened uniformly pigmented [in A. francoi Passos, Fernandes, Bérnils, \& Moura-Leite, 2010, A. serranus Amaral, 1930 and A. trihedrurus Amaral, 1926], never light with dispersed dark marks); from A. bocki Werner, 1909, A. edioi Silva, Silva, Ribeiro, Souza, \& Souza, 2005, A. natans Hoogmoed \& Prudente, 2003, A. thalesdelemai Passos, Fernandes, \& Zanella, 2005, and A. torquatus Duméril, Bibron, \& Duméril, 1854 in having 17 dorsal scale rows, seven suparalabial, seven
infralabials, two postoculars, belly ground colour light covered with regular or irregular dark marks, semicapitate and semicalyculate hemipenis with capitular region similar in length or longer than the hemipenial body and usually lobular symmetry (vs. 15 dorsals and belly mostly cream in A. edioi; six supralabials in A. bocki; lobular asymmetry and capitulum shorter than hemipenial body in $A$. natans; six supralabials, six infralabials, single postocular and belly uniformly cream in $A$. thalesdelemai; usually eight supralabials, eight infralabials, single postocular, and non-capitate non-calyculate organ in A. torquatus).

### 3.3 Species account

Order Squamata Oppel, 1811
Family Dipsadidae, Bonaparte, 1838
Subfamily Dipsadinae, Bonaparte, 1838
Tribe Dipsadini, Bonaparte, 1838
Genus Atractus Wagler, 1828

Atractus snethlageae Cunha \& Nascimento, 1983.
Atractus badius - specimen "E" Boulenger (1894).
Atractus badius - Cunha \& Nascimento (1978).
Atractus flammigerus snethlageae - Cunha \& Nascimento (1983), partim
(MPEG 16382 represents Atractus trefauti); Cunha \& Nascimento (1993).
Atractus snethlageae - Vanzolini (1986b); Jorge-da-Silva (1993); Doan \& Arizabal (2000); Frota (2000); Frota (2004); Giraudo \& Scrocchi (2000); Giraudo (2004); Bernarde \& Abe (2006); Gutsche et al. (2007); Gonzales \& Embert (2008); Passos \& Fernandes (2008), partim; Prudente \& Passos (2008), partim; Ávila-Pires et al. (2009); Marçal et al. (2011); Bernarde et al. (2012); Schargel et al. (2013), partim; Vaz-Silva et al. (2015), partim.

Atractus flammigerus - Dixon \& Soini (1986); Duellman \& Salas (1991); Jorge-da-Silva (1993); Duellman (2005); Doan \& Arizabal (2002); Carrillo de Espinoza \& Icochea (1995).

Atractus schach - Nascimento et al. (1988); Passos \& Fernandes (2008), partim; Prudente \& Santos-Costa (2005); Prudente \& Passos (2008), partim; Bernarde, Machado, \& Turci (2011); Bernarde et al. (2012); Waldez et al. (2013); Vaz-Silva et al.
(2015), partim; Rodrigues, Maschio, \& Prudente (2016); Bernarde, Turci, \& Machado (2017).

Atractus sp. - Silva, Souza, \& Bernarde (2012); Melo-Sampaio et al. (2019).
Holotype: MPEG 10131, adult male from Brazil, Pará, Colônia Nova, 10 km near Gurupi river, on the BR-316 highway. The type locality is herein restricted to municipality of Cachoeira do Piriá $\left(1.821^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 46.402^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 29 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level (hereafter asl), collected by O.R. da Cunha and F.P. do Nascimento on 3 October 1976 (Figure 2; Figure S3).

Paratypes ( $n=11$ ): All collected by O.R. da Cunha and F.P. do Nascimento in Brazil. Six females: Pará, Ananindeua, Lago Azul ( $1.383^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 48.406^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 33 m asl, MPEG 16383, 16384 (the last incorrectly numbered as MPEG 16387); Capanema, Bela Vista (now Tauari Village), ( $1.131^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 47.065^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) 37 m asl; MPEG 2543 (stated as male in original description); Belém, Mosqueiro Island $\left(1.151^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 48.378^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right) 34 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, MPEG 2595; Maranhão, Junco do Maranhão, Nova Vida ( $1.825^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 46.107^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) 31 m asl, MPEG 14986 , 15422. Five males: Pará, Ananindeua, Lago Azul ( $1.383^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 48.406^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) 33 m asl, MPEG 16385; Belém, São João da Pratinha ( $1.368^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 48.476^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 20 m asl, MPEG 10137; Capanema, Bela Vista (now Tauari Village), ( $1.131^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 47.065^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 37 m asl, MPEG 6845, 15973; Santa Bárbara do Pará (formerly Benevides) $\left(1.228^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 48.292^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$, 16 m asl, (MPEG 3955) (Figure 3).

Diagnosis: Atractus snethlageae can be distinguished from all congeners by the unique combination of the following characters: (1) smooth dorsal scale rows 17/17/17; (2) postoculars two; (3) loreal moderately long; (4) temporal formula 1+2; (5) supralabials usually seven, third and fourth contacting eye; (6) infralabials usually eight, first four contacting chinshields; (7) maxillary teeth six; (8) gular scale rows three; (9) preventrals one to four (usually three); (10) ventrals 147-163 in females, 137-155 in males; (11) subcaudals 21-24 in females, 27-34 in males; (12) in preservative, dorsum pale brown with irregular pale yellowish transversal bands; (13) in preservative, venter usually cream with brown midventral line; (14) body moderately long in females (maximum 400 mm SVL) and males (maximum 310 mm SVL); (15) tail short in females (8.2-11.3\% of SVL) and moderately long in males (13.3-16\% of SVL); and (16) hemipenes slightly to moderately bilobed ( $\leq$ half the length of capitulum), semicapitate and semicalyculate.

Comparisons: We compared Atractus snethlageae with the remaining allopatric species of the clade: A. dapsilis, A. schach, and A. trefauti. Atractus snethlageae differs
from A. dapsilis by having $\leq 163$ ventrals in females, ventral mean=145.7 in males, fully everted hemipenes slightly to moderately bilobed, and retracted organs extending to the level of eighth subcaudal (vs. $\geq 167$ ventrals in females, ventral mean $=159.5$ in males, fully everted hemipenes strongly bilobed, and retracted organs extending to the level of $10-12^{\text {th }}$ subcaudal); from $A$. schach by having usually six maxillary teeth and hemipenes semicapitate (vs. seven maxillary teeth and hemipenes without capitular groove); from $A$. trefauti by having usually six maxillary teeth, and tail $\geq 13.3 \%$ of SVL in males and dorsal ground colour varying from Vandyke brown to Amber in the "light" morph (vs. often seven maxillary teeth, tail $\leq 13.2 \%$ of SVL in males and black dorsum). Among Amazonian sympatric congeners, A. snethlageae shares only with $A$. badius, A. boimirim Passos, Prudente, \& Lynch, 2016, A. flammigerus, A. major Boulenger, 1894, A. natans Hoogmoed \& Prudente, 2003, Atractus tartarus Passos, Prudente, \& Lynch, 2016 and A. torquatus usually a blotched or banded colour pattern. Atractus snethlageae differs from A. boimirim and A. tartarus by having 17/17/17 dorsal scale rows (vs. 15/15/15 dorsal scale rows); from A. badius by having dorsum light covered with dark marks or dark with light marks (vs. dorsum red with conspicuous black dyads separated by white band; see Passos et al. in press); from $A$. flammigerus by having usually seven supralabials and lacking keels on the dorsal scales (vs. eight supralabials and keels covering dorsal scale rows); from A. major by having usually four infralabials contacting chinshields and seven maxillary teeth (vs. three infralabials contacting chinshields and six maxillary teeth); from $A$. natans by having ventral surface of body mostly creamish white covered by few dark brown blotches usually linearly arranged on the midventer (vs. belly almost entirely black except for the lateral sides of ventral scales creamish white); from A. torquatus by having two postoculars and seven supralabials (vs. usually one postocular and eight supralabials; see Passos \& Prudente, 2012). We refer to Table 1 for additional comparisons between Atractus snethlageae and other Amazonian congeners.

Description of the holotype: We refer to Cunha \& Nascimento (1983) and describe additional features. SVL 182 mm , TL 30 mm ; midbody diameter 5.3 mm . Rounded head in lateral view; symphysial three times wider than high; gular scale rows three; preventrals four; loreal moderate touching second and third supralabials; nasal divided; internasals reduced, slightly wider than long; rostral not visible from above, about twice as wide as high; prefrontals longer than wide, fused posteriorly; supraocular small, squared, shorter than eye diameter; frontal triangular as long as wide; parietals
twice as long as wide; postoculars $2 / 2$; temporals $1+2$, posterior ones larger; pupil rounded; supralabials seven, with first shorter and narrower than second; second and third supralabials in contact with loreal; infralabials eight, first four (right) and first five (left) touching chinshields; ventrals 137; subcaudals 29; maxillary teeth six.

Colour in preservative: Dorsum of head olive-brown [colour 278] including posterior portion of parietals; nuchal band Straw Yellow [colour 53] (two and a half scales long), reaching occipital region, except for the first dorsal scale row; ventral portion of supralabials cream [colour 12]; infralabials and gular region cream [colour 12]; symphysial, first two pair of infralabials and first third of chinshields stained with sepia dots; body dorsum Vandyke brown [colour 38] with third row beige [colour 254], light yellow ocher [colour 13] bands one-half to one scale long connected or not on the vertebral axis; venter cream with an irregular midventral sepia stripe [colour 279], increasing in width gradually on posterior portion of body; tail ventrally Raw siena [colour 32] (Figure 2).

Colour in life: The extreme dark morph is represented by MZUSP 21474, with sepia dorsum of head covering frontal and postoculars, and a pale buff [colour 1] band covering temporals, parietals, one row of occipitals and two last supralabials; sepia body dorsum with buff transverse bands one-half to one scale long interrupted or not on the vertebral axis; venter light buff with Burnt umber spots forming midventral line; venter of specimen UFRO-H 2549 immaculate chamois [colour 83] on the first quarter, with tiny sepia dots increasing in number posterior to midbody, forming a diffuse midventral line at the level of six scales before cloaca (Figure 3).

Colour variation in preservative ( $n=128$ ): Dorsum of head with olive-brown [colour 278] cephalic-cap, extending from rostral to medial region of parietal; posterior portion of parietal and temporal region ground cinnamon [colour 270], constituting an occipital band of variable size, but variable in width and length; occipital band ground cinnamon with medial constriction or trapezoidal-shaped, being posteriorly limited by background colour of body; occipital band eventually wider, extending dorsally over posterior region of parietal until first dorsal with cinnamon pigmented; occipital band occasionally indistinct, making head entirely sepia dorsal and laterally; head laterally sepia [colour 279] to anterior region of sixth supralabial and predominantly cream after postorbital region; temporal and occipital regions generally creamy, with irregular brown spots invading anterior portion of temporals; temporal and occipital regions become uniformly cream with enlargement of occipital band; supralabial usually brown
to the level of sixth scale and predominantly cream on the remained supralabials; supralabial occasionally entirely sepia; gular region cream with black spots on the symphysial, first pair of infralabials, mesial region of infralabials and anterior portion of chinshields; preventrals usually uniformly cream; gular region eventually densely stained by irregular brown dots; belly with background colour varying from uniform ground cinnamon to predominantly grayish horn [colour 268], usually with round hair brown spots located on the middle of each ventral scales forming conspicuous (but frequently discontinuous) midlines throughout body; spots occasionally laterally expanded, constituting enlarged ventral bands or concentrating on anterior part of ventral scales; belly occasionally thickly stained with brown scoring, more concentrated on the middle and posterior third of body; tail usually hair brown uniform or irregularly invaded by ground cinnamon spots; body dorsally sepia with 24-34 transverse bands; bands brownish olive or yellowish cream (one to two scales in length) alternated on the flanks and, occasionally connected to opposite one on the vertebral region forming narrow rings separated by sepia interspaces (four to seven scales long); bands generally extending into paraventral region; possibly with an irregular pattern, with diffuse and longitudinally oriented spots (Figure 4). For the specimens with "light" morphotype the colours varying from dorsum Amber (MZUSP 21464) to Cinnamon-Drab with fuscous bands (UFRO-H 2548), connected on the vertebral axis, two or three scales long. Parietal band is often cream white (also cinnamon-drab to cinnamon brown).

Hemipenial morphology ( $n=6$ ): Organs in situ (retracted) extend to the level of eighth subcaudal and bifurcate at the level of seventh subcaudal ( $n=2$ ). Fully everted and maximally expanded hemipenes renders a slightly (more frequently, $\leq$ than half capitulum length) to moderately (more rarely, $\geq$ than half capitulum length) bilobed, semicapitate and semicalyculate organ; lobular region wider than hemipenial body; lobes centrolinearly oriented and flattened, clavated or attenuated (rarely) on the tip; lobes with lateromesial expansion toward intrasulcar region (Figure 5B-F), sometimes only evident nearly to lobe bifurcation (Figure 5E); lobes symmetrical and presenting proximal portion from intrasulcar region with straight base; straight base more conspicuous in conical or attenuate organs (Figure 5); lobes uniformly covered with spinulate calyces on both sides of hemipenes; spinules usually replaced by irregular papillae toward apices of lobes; capitular groove usually distinct on both faces of organ; capitular groove generally indistinct in slightly bilobed hemipenes (Figure 5) and more conspicuous in moderately bilobed organs (Figure 5); capitulum with transversal
spinulated flounces formed by union of horizontal walls of calyces; calyces lacking vertical walls along the sulcate and asulcate faces of capitulum; conspicuous transversal calyculated flounces with irregular rows on the intrasulcar region; hemipenial body elliptical covered with enlarged hooked spines; larger spines generally located laterally below sulcus spermaticus bifurcation; distal region of hemipenial body on maximally expanded organ with rows of spines concentrating in the middle of asulcate face; sulcus spermaticus bifurcates approximately on the $50 \%$ of organ length; sulcus spermaticus margins relatively thick at level of division and along the capitular region; sulcus spermaticus not bordered by spinules; basal naked pocket restricted to most basal region of hemipenial body; proximal region of hemipenes body covered with few hooked spines and dispersed spinules (Figure 5).

Quantitative variation ( $n=128$ ): Largest female 420 mm SVL, 40 mm TL ; largest male 335 mm SVL, 55 mm TL; ventrals 147-163 (mean=155.1; $n=61$; SD=5.3) in females, 137-158 (mean=145.7; $n=54 ; \mathrm{SD}=7.9$ ) in males; subcaudals 17-26 (mean=22.9; $n=61 ; \mathrm{SD}=0.9$ ) in females, 26-39 (mean=31.4; $n=54 ; \mathrm{SD}=2.5$ ) in males; supralabials seven ( $n=27$ sides) or eight ( $n=7$ sides); infralabials seven ( $n=2$ sides), eight ( $n=31$ sides) or nine ( $n=1$ side); preventrals one ( $n=4$ ), two ( $n=10$ ), three ( $n=88$ ) or four ( $n=11$ ); maxillary teeth five ( $n=2$ sides), six ( $n=52$ sides), seven ( $n=144$ sides) or eight ( $n=10$ sides).

Distribution: Atractus snethlageae occurs in southern Amazonia (from Maranhão state in Brazil to Peru), with a few records in northern Amazonia (on the north side of the Amazon River) only in the western portion of Amazonia (Amazonas state in Brazil, Colombia, Peru, and Ecuador) (Figure 6).

Remarks: Cunha \& Nascimento (1978) first identified A. snethlageae as Atractus badius. However, the corresponding specimen was not included within the type series of A. flammigerus snethlageae (Cunha \& Nascimento, 1983). When examining the type series, we found that there is a typographical error in relation to female paratype MPEG 16387, which is MPEG 16384. Moreover, we were not able to find the "tubercles" mentioned by Cunha \& Nascimento (1983), since the dorsal scales are entirely smooth. Hoogmoed \& Prudente (2003) have hipotesized that anomalous conditions of internasal scales in prefrontal suture should be evaluated regarding relationship among Atractus. Atractus snethlageae was described based on a series of 13 specimens from the states of Amapá, Maranhão and Pará, Brazil. However, the specimen MPEG 16382 from Serra do Navio was recently recognized as $A$. trefauti,
making the original type-series of $A$. snethlageae composite (Melo-Sampaio et al., 2019). The central Amazonian records of $A$. snethlageae in the Manaus region by Fraga, Lima, Prudente, \& Magnusson (2013) belong to a dark morph of A. dapsilis. The Argentinian record of A. snethlageae (Giraudo \& Scrocchi, 2000) is tentatively maintained as $A$. cf. snethlageae due to the presence of a conspicuous light parietal band (see Giraudo, 2004). On the other hand, the Ecuadorian populations assigned to $A$. snethlageae by Schargel et al. (2013) are recognized herein as two separate species (see below).

Atractus nawa sp. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:E96A9F8B-8D29-4A9F-A13B-650DAE22DCAC
Atractus major - Ávila-Pires et al. (2009).
Atractus schach - Passos \& Fernandes (2008), partim; Prudente \& Passos (2008), partim.

Holotype: MPEG 20376 (field number LJV 6299), adult female from Brazil, Acre, Porto Walter $\left(8.258^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 72.776^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 212 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, collected by L.J. Vitt, T.C.S. AvilaPires, J.P. Caldwell and V. Oliveira on 28 February 1996 (Figure 7).

Paratype: UFACF 3771, adult female from Brazil, Acre, Cruzeiro do Sul, km 80 of the BR-364 highway on the route to Tarauacá ( $7.750^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 72.366^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 200 m asl, collected by R.A. Machado on February 2010.

Etymology: The specific epithet 'nawa' corresponds to the self-designation or to an indicator of otherness (other people) of many Pano-speaking societies living along Juruá River basin (Montagner, 2007). The word also refers to the distinction of the new species from its congeners by indigenous people on the region.

Diagnosis: Atractus nawa can be distinguished from all congeners by unique combination of the following characters: (1) smooth dorsal scale rows 17/17/17; (2) postoculars two; (3) loreal moderately long; (4) temporal formula 1+2; (5) supralabials seven, third and fourth contacting eye; (6) infralabials seven, first four contacting chinshields; (7) maxillary teeth seven; (8) gular scale rows four; (9) preventrals four; (10) ventrals 166-169 in females, unknown in males; (11) subcaudals 16-20 in females, unknown in males; (12) in preservative, dorsum Brussels brown [colour 33] with Raw umber spots; (13) in preservative, venter predominantly pale cinnamon with warm sepia dots near cloaca and midventral portion of tail; (14) body size moderately long in
females (maximum 405 mm SVL); and (15) tail short in females (6.2-7.7\% of SVL); (16) hemipenis unknown.

Comparisons: We compared the new species with geographically closer and sympatric congeners occurring along the state of Acre, Brazil. Atractus nawa differs from A. albuquerquei, A. boimirim, A. emmeli and A. elaps by having 17/17/17 dorsal scale rows (vs. 15/15/15). Regarding the species with 17 dorsal scales rows, $A$. nawa differs from A. major in having first four infralabials in contact with chinshields, dorsal Brussels brown with Raw umber spots, pale cinnamon with warm sepia dots near cloaca, and $\leq 20$ subcaudals in females (vs. first three infralabials in contact with chinshields, dorsum gray or reddish, followed by square sepia dots from midbody to posterior region of belly and $>27$ subcaudals in females); from A. snethlageae in having tail $<8 \%$ of SVL in females, $\leq 20$ subcaudals in females, $\geq 166$ ventrals in females, and pale orange snout (vs. tail $>8 \%$ of SVL in females, $>26$ subcaudals in females, $\leq 163$ ventrals, and olive brown snout); from A. latifrons in having loreal scales twice as long as high and rostral scale wider than high (vs. loreal as long as high and rostral higher than long). We refer to Table 1 for additional comparisons between Atractus nawa and other Amazonian congeners.

Description of the holotype: SVL 390 mm , tail length 30 mm ( $7.7 \%$ of SVL); head slightly distinct from body; head length $11.1 \mathrm{~mm}(3 \%$ of SVL); head width 7.0 mm ( $63.1 \%$ head length); rostral-orbit distance 3.9 mm ; nostril-orbit distance 2.8 mm ; interorbital distance 4.1 mm ; head rounded in lateral view; snout truncate in dorsal view, truncate in lateral view; canthus rostralis conspicuous; rostral subtriangular in frontal view, 2.0 mm wide, 1.2 mm high, well visible in dorsal view; internasal 0.9 mm long, 1.1 mm wide; internasal suture sinistral with respect to prefrontal suture; prefrontal 2.7 mm long, 2.6 mm wide; supraocular subtrapezoidal, 1.1 mm long, 1.1 mm wide at broadest point; frontal bell-shaped, 2.5 mm long, 3.7 mm wide; parietal 5.0 mm long, 3.0 mm wide; nasal entirely divided, nostril divided in both parts; prenasal 1.0 mm high, 0.5 mm long; postnasal 1.0 mm high, 0.9 mm long; loreal 2.2 mm long, 1.0 mm high; second and third supralabials contacting loreal; third and fourth supralabials entering the orbit; eye diameter 1.2 mm ; pupil rounded; two postoculars similar in height, being lower shorter than upper; upper postocular 0.7 mm long, 0.8 mm high; lower postocular 0.6 long, 0.7 mm high; temporal formula $1+2$; first temporal 2.0 mm long, 1.4 mm high; upper posterior temporals 3.8 mm long, 1.2 mm wide; supralabials seven, third and fourth contacting eye; first supralabial shorter ( 0.8 mm high) than
second ( 1.1 mm high) and smaller in length $(0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) than second ( 1.0 mm ); third supralabial pentagonal, larger in height $(1.4 \mathrm{~mm})$ and longer $(1.4 \mathrm{~mm})$ than second; sixth supralabial taller than third ( 1.7 mm ); seventh longer than third ( 2.5 mm ) supralabial; symphysial triangular, 1.8 mm wide, 0.5 mm long; first pair of infralabial preventing symphysial-chinshields; infralabials seven, first four contacting chinshields; chinshields 3.5 mm long, 1.7 mm wide; gular scale rows four; preventrals four; ventrals 169; subcaudals 18 respectively from left to right side; dorsal scale rows 17/17/17, lacking apical pits and supracloacal tubercles; midbody diameter $10.1 \mathrm{~mm}(2.6 \%$ of SVL); caudal spine 1.1 mm long, smaller than last subcaudal scale ( 1.3 mm ); anal single. Maxillary bone arched upward anteriorly in lateral view, ventral portion curved on anterior and nearly flattened on median to posterior portion; maxillary with seven teeth; teeth angular in cross section, robust at base, narrower at apices, slightly curved posteriorly; teeth similar in size and spacing; last teeth slightly smaller and in same spacing to anterior ones; maxillary "diastema" absent; lateral process of maxilla well developed.

Dorsum of head Brussels brown with interocular stripe Raw umber and center of frontal extending into subocular region; snout with a small Raw umber spot between internasals and posterior part of rostral; supralabials and infralabials trogon yellow [colour 81] to light orange yellow [colour 77]; dorsal scales flesh ocher splecked [colour 57] on dorsum of body Brussels brown with Raw umber spots rectangular (four scales wide and two scales long), bordered with cream spots; nuchal band Raw umber with five scales long; paraventral line extending along the body at the level of second dorsal scale row; venter predominantly pale cinnamon with warm sepia dots near cloaca and midventral portion of tail (Figure 8).

Colour in life ( $n=2$ ): Dorsum of head black with snout and parietals orange, followed by black parietal band and black spots bordered by yellowish pigment; belly well-distinct reddish-pink, limited by narrow black paraventral lines. Iris brown with rounded black pupil (Figure 9). The paratype agrees well with the holotype in dorsal colour pattern. Some differences are found in the extension of Raw umber bands being inconspicuous light-bordered.

Quantitative variation ( $n=2$ ): Largest female 390 mm SVL, 30 mm TL; ventrals 166-169 (mean=167.5; $n=2 ; \mathrm{SD}=2.1$ ) in females; subcaudals 18-20 (mean=19; $n=2$; $\mathrm{SD}=1.4$ ) in females; adult midbody diameter $9.2-10.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in females; maxillary teeth six ( $n=2$ sides) or seven ( $n=2$ sides).

Distribution: This species occurs only in two localities in upper Juruá river basin, state of Acre, Brazil (Figure 10).

Remarks: The type locality where $A$. nawa was collected in Porto Walter has been deforested for cattle pasture (Vitt, Ávila-Pires, Caldwell, \& Oliveira, 1997; P.R. Melo-Sampaio, pers. obs.). The site where paratype was collected is also suffering with deforestation along BR-364 highway.

## Atractus pachacamac sp. nov.

urn:1sid:zoobank.org:act:BE85090A-B08C-4AA5-8EAD-3211ED8396C7
Atractus snethlageae - Schargel et al. (2013), partim; Yanéz-Muñoz \& Venegas (2008); Camper \& Zart (2014).

Atractus touzeti - Arteaga et al. (2017).
Atractus aff. snethlageae - von May \& Mueses-Cisneros (2010).
Atractus cf. snethlageae - Rodríguez \& Knell (2004).
Atractus major - Duellman (1978).
Holotype: QCAZ 12630, adult male from Ecuador, Napo, Sumaco Wildlife Sanctuary, Sendero Benavides ( $0.676^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.600^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1496 m asl, collected by F. Ayala on 4 April 2014.

Paratopotypes ( $n=4$ ): QCAZ 11986, 12804, adult females, collected by J. Camper on 23 July 2014; QCAZ 10639, adult male collected by J. Camper on 11 July 2010; QCAZ 16083, adult male same data as holotype.

Paratypes ( $n=24$ ): Ecuador: Napo, Gonzalo Pizzaro, El Reventador ( $0.016^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $77.377^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1720 m asl, DHMECN 11556 , adult female, collected by M. Yánez-Muñoz and team between 11-14 December 2014. Orellana, Dayuma, Pozo Petrolero Capirón $\left(0.665^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 76.468^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 240 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, EPN 7358, adult female, collected by A. Almendáriz on 17 March 2000; Joyas de los Sachas, San Sebastian del Coca $\left(0.142^{\circ}\right.$ S, $76.992^{\circ}$ W), 350 m asl, EPN 11701, adult female, collected by A. Almendáriz on 6 May 2002. Pastaza, Tzarentza ( $1.356^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 78.058^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1355 m asl, MZUTI 5409, collected by J. Vieira on 10 May 2016. Morona Santiago, General Proaño, Central Hidroeléctrica Abanico ( $2.245^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 78.195^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1600 m asl, EPN 11454-11455, adult females, collected by Y. Sagredo on 29 March 2007; Puerto Misahuallí, Reserva Biológica Jatún Sacha $\left(1.050^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.590^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 406 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, QCAZ 3477, adult female collected by G. Vigle on 20 September 1986; Villano, K10 camp ( $1.476^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.534^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 475 m asl, QCAZ 8367, collected by E. Carrillo on 11 October 2008; Cotundo, at km 32 from the road

Cocodrilos-Tena $\left(0.681^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.800^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 1476 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, QCAZ 11075 , adult female, collected by F. Velásquez on 28 November 2010. Zamora-Chinchipe, Yanzatza, Los Encuentros $\left(3.765^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 78.502^{\circ} \mathrm{W} ; 1450 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ asl), QCAZ 15174 , adult female collected by D. Chávez on 18 November 2016, and MECN 8437 adult female collected by P. Galvis on November 2017; Reserva Natural Maycu ( $4.248^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 78.658^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 879 m asl, QCAZ 15414 , adult female collected by D. Almeida on 28 February 2017; El Zarza $\left(3.776^{\circ}\right.$ S, $78.497^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1450 m asl, adult female QCAZ 15537, collected by P. Baldeón on 2 March 2017. Orellana: Nuevo Paraíso, Village Juan Pablo II ( $0.302^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.179^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 291 m asl, EPN 5471, adult male collected by A. Almendáriz on 12 April 1996; Aguarico $\left(0.792^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 75.901^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 217 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, EPN 10548, adult male collected by A. Almendáriz and F. Grefa on 23 March 2006. Sucumbios: Lago Agrio, El Eno ( $0.064^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 76.879^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 278 m asl, FHGO 5813, adult male collected by M. Alcoser. Pastaza: Villano, Kurintza $\left(1.507^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.511^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 362 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, QCAZ 8278, juvenile collected at by D. Paucar on 29 July 2008; K10 camp ( $1.475^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $77.534^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 468 m asl, QCAZ 11833, adult male collected by J. Brito on 1 October 2013. Orellana: Alejandro Labaka, Yasuní National Park $\left(0.492^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 76.557^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right) 252 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, QCAZ 10614 , adult male collected by M. Read on 29 January 1996. Napo: El Chaco, Sardinas ( $0.340^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.810^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ) 1300 m asl, QCAZ 1493, adult male collected by G. Onore on 18 October 1986. Morona-Santiago: Taisha, Makuma, Paatim Shuar Center ( $2.133^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.650^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 715 m asl, FHGO 10080, adult male collected by native people on 16 April 2014. Zamora-Chinchipe: El Pangui, Concesión Minera Princesa ( $3.896^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 78.516^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1587 m asl, MECN 13078, adult male collected by D. B. Zapata on 24 January 2016. Peru: Loreto, Datem del Marañon, Cahuapana ( $5.664^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 76.839^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1150 m asl, CORBIDI 13797 adult male collected by P. J. Venegas on October 2014; Maynas, Güeppi $\left(0.018^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 75.358^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 220 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, CORBIDI 167, adult male, collected by P.J. Venegas and M. Yanez-Muñoz on 22 October 2007.

Etymology: According to the Inca mythology, Pachacámac or Pacha Kamaq ('creator of the land'; being pacha: 'land' and kamaq: 'creator' or 'created' in Quechua language) was a god. The Pachacámac, son of the sun, came to our world and climbed to the highest summit (perhaps a volcano) to throw four stones to the four cardinal points, thereby taking possession of everything that covered his sight and reached his stones. The name also refers to fossorial lifestyle in high elevations.

Diagnosis: Atractus pachacamac can be distinguished from all congeners by unique combination of the following characters: (1) smooth dorsal scale rows 17/17/17;
(2) postoculars two; (3) loreal moderately long; (4) temporal formula $1+2$; (5) supralabials seven, third and fourth contacting eye; (6) infralabials usually eight, first four contacting chinshields; (7) maxillary teeth seven; (8) gular scale rows three; (9) usually two preventrals; (10) ventrals 162-175 in females, 158-167 in males; (11) subcaudals 31-33 in females, 39-45 in males; (12) in preservative, dorsum sepia with saval brown bands; (13) in preservative, venter chamois anteriorly and sepia with chamois spots posteriorly; (14) long body in females (maximum 620 mm SVL ) and moderately long in males (maximum 460 mm SVL ); (15) tail size moderately long in females (12.1-13.6\% of SVL) and long in males (17.5-19.5\% of SVL); and (16) hemipenes moderately bilobed ( $\leq$ half the length of capitulum), semicapitate, and semicalyculate.

Comparisons: Atractus pachacamac differs from A. snethlageae in having $\geq 158$ ventrals, $\geq 39$ subcaudals, and $>320 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in males (vs. $\leq 155$ ventrals, $\leq 34$ subcaudals and $<300 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in males); from $A$. nawa in having $>30$ subcaudals, tail $>12.0 \%$ SVL, and $>600 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in females, (vs. $\leq 20$ subcaudals, tail $\leq 8.0 \%$ of SVL, and $\leq 405 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in females); from A. dapsilis in having $>600 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL, tail $>$ $12.0 \%$ of SVL in females, $\geq 17.5 \%$ of SVL in males, and 31-33 subcaudals in females, $39-45$ in males (vs. $<600 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL, and $<12.0 \%$ of SVL in females, $\leq 17.6 \%$ of SVL in males, and 21-26 subcaudals in females, 30-37 in males); from A. ukupacha in having immaculate preventrals, $>600 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in females and moderately bilobed hemipenes (vs. spotted preventrals, $<500 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in females, and strongly bilobed hemipenes); from $A$. schach and $A$. trefauti in having $>600 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL, tail $>12.0 \%$ of SVL in females, $>17 \%$ of SVL in males, $162-175$ ventrals in females, $158-167$ in males, and 31-33 subcaudals in females, 39-45 in males (vs. $<230 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL, tail $<$ $12.0 \%$ of SVL in A. schach and A. trefauti; 148-150 ventrals in females, 142-151 in males, 19-21 subcaudals in females, 25-31 in males of $A$. schach; and 153-158 ventrals in females, 139-149 in males, 21-24 subcaudals in females, 24-29 in males of $A$. trefauti); from A. touzeti in having $<700 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in females, seven supralabials, third and fourth supralabial contacting eye, seven maxillary teeth, postocular equal in size, and upper and lower temporal posterior equal in size (vs. $>700 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in females, eight supralabials, fourth and fifth supralabial contacting eye, eight maxillary, very small lower postocular, and very large upper temporal posterior). We refer to Table 1 for additional comparisons between Atractus pachacamac and other Amazonian congeners.

Description of the holotype: SVL 385 mm , tail length 72 mm ( $18.7 \%$ of SVL); head slightly distinct from body; head length 15.1 mm ( $3.9 \%$ of SVL); head width 8.15 $\mathrm{mm}(2.1 \%$ of head length); rostral-orbit distance 5.53 mm ; nostril-orbit distance 3.92 mm ; interorbital distance 5.75 mm ; head rounded in lateral view; snout rounded in dorsal view, truncate in lateral view; canthus rostralis barely conspicuous; rostral subtriangular in frontal view, 2.42 mm wide, 1.63 mm high, slightly visible in dorsal view; internasal 1.28 mm long, 1.39 mm wide; internasal suture sinistral with respect to prefrontal suture; prefrontal 3.77 mm long, 2.93 mm wide; supraocular subtrapezoidal, 1.98 mm long, 1.56 mm wide at broadest point; frontal bell-shaped, 3.89 mm long, 4.34 mm wide; parietal 6.51 mm long, 3.28 mm wide; nasal partially divided, nostril located mostly in prenasal; prenasal 1.56 mm high, 1.06 mm long; postnasal 1.41 mm high, 0.94 mm long; loreal 3.15 mm long, 1.0 mm high; eye diameter 1.67 mm ; pupil rounded; two postoculars similar in shape and size; upper postocular 1 mm long, 1 mm high; lower postocular 0.66 mm long, 0.95 mm high; temporal formula $1+2$; first temporal 2.61 mm long, 1.47 mm high; upper posterior temporal 4.64 mm long, 1.1 mm wide; supralabials seven, second and third contacting loreal, third and fourth contacting eye; first supralabial smaller ( 1.15 mm high x 0.93 mm long) than second ( $1.55 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ $1.0 \mathrm{~mm})$; third supralabial pentagonal, higher $(1.82 \mathrm{~mm})$ and longer $(2.45 \mathrm{~mm})$ than adjacent supralabials; sixth supralabial as tall as third; seventh longer than third (3.82 mm ) supralabial; symphysial subtriangular, 2.10 mm wide, 0.60 mm long; first pair of infralabials preventing symphysial-chinshields contact; infralabials eight, first four contacting chinshields; chinshields 4.90 mm long, 2.12 mm wide; gular scale rows three; preventrals 3 ; ventrals 160 ; subcaudals $42 / 42$ on left and right sides, respectively; dorsal scale rows 17/17/17, lacking apical pits and supracloacal tubercles; midbody diameter 9.95 mm ( $2.6 \%$ of SVL); caudal spine 1.32 mm long, larger than last subcaudal scale ( 0.89 mm ); anal single.

Retracted hemipenes extend to the level of $12^{\text {th }}$ subcaudal, bifurcating at $10^{\text {th }}$ subcaudal. Maxillary bone arched upward anteriorly in lateral view, ventral portion curved on anterior and nearly flattened on median to posterior portion; maxillary with seven teeth; teeth angular in cross section, robust at base, narrower at apices, slightly curved posteriorly; teeth similar in size and spacing; last teeth slightly smaller and in same spacing to anterior ones; maxillary diastema absent or indistinct from interspaces; lateral process of maxilla well developed.

Dorsum of head cinnamon brown [colour 43] through its extension, covering parietals and two rows of occipital scales; lateral sides of head mikado brown; upper portion of supralabials chamois [colour 84] giving a "moustache" pattern; sixth supralabial with a fuscous spot; postocular and anterior temporal; gular region chamois with infralabials and symphysial region cinnamon-brown spotted, being two last infralabials almost completely chamois; first ventral with a cinnamon-brown spot followed by seven immaculate chamois ventrals; eighth ventral with cinnamon-brown rectangular spot; ninth to fourteenth ventrals immaculate chamois; venter chamois with few dispersed sepia dots until midbody; posterior region of ventral scales sepia pigmented with chamois spots; ventral surface of tail sepia with scattered chamois spots; dorsal ground colour sepia [colour 279] with conspicuous sayal brown bands (29), one-half to two scale rows wide; interspaces between sayal brown [colour 41] bands three to five scales long; first dorsal scale row with chamois and sepia "chess pattern"; dorsal surface of tail sepia with seven conspicuous bands; tip of tail sayal brown.

Colour in life of holotype: Rostral, symphisial and first pair of infralabials almost completely dark brownish olive [colour 127]; snout with tiny chrome orange [colour 74] dots; iris rounded and brown; cephalic-cap brownish olive [colour 276]; dorsum sepia [colour 279] with true cinnamon [colour 260] to raw umber [colour 26] bands (Figure 11).

Colour in life variation ( $n=4$ ): Dorsum jet black [colour 300] with salmon [colour 251] and white parietal band; venter white suffused with fuscous [colour 283] in contact between ventral never forming a line in juvenile QCAZ 8278 (Figures 11 and 12).

Colour in preservative variation ( $n=27$ ): The paratypes agree with holotype in overall similarity, differing sometimes in visibility of bands by having inconspicuous bands that are olive brown [colour 278] in QCAZ 8367 to cinnamon drab [colour 259] in QCAZ 3476 and QCAZ 10614. All specimens present dorsal bands connected on the vertebral axis. Reverse pattern of colouration is also found in this species. Specimens QCAZ 11986, EPN 10548 and EPN 11701 have dorsum of head beige [colour 254] followed by a dark grayish brown [colour 284] collar; dorsum beige to cinnamon drab [colour 259] with dark grayish brown square spots, each covering two scales. Juveniles possess white parietal band and narrow dorsal bands (one-half to one scale long).

Hemipenial morphology ( $n=6$ ): Fully everted and maximally expanded hemipenes renders a moderately bilobed ( $\leq$ than capitulum half length), semicapitate, and semicalyculate organ; lobular region as wide as hemipenial body; lobes centrolinearly oriented, attenuated, conical with acute apices; lobes symmetrical, uniformly covered with spinulate calyces on both sides of hemipenes; spinules replaced with papillae toward apices of lobes; capitular groove distinct on both sides of organ; capitulum with transversal spinulated flounces formed by union of horizontal walls of calyces; calyces lacking vertical walls along the sulcate and asulcate faces of capitulum, except for the intrasulcar region with irregular spinulated flounces; transversal calyculate flounces with irregular rows on the intrasulcar region; flounces conspicuous along lateral region of capitulum; midportion of sulcate face of capitulum with disconnection among transversal flounces; lateral regions of capitulum with regular rows of spinulate calyces; capitulum slightly longer than hempenial body; hemipenial body elliptical covered with enlarged hooked spines; larger spines generally located laterally below sulcus spermaticus bifurcation; distal region of hemipenial body on maximally expanded organ with rows of spines concentrating on the middle of asulcate face; sulcus spermaticus bifurcating approximately at $40 \%$ of organ length; sulcus spermaticus margins relatively thick at level of division and along capitular region; sulcus spermaticus not bordered by spinules; basal naked pocket restricted to most basal region of hemipenial body; proximal region of hemipenes body sometimes with shallow groove on the asulcate face, covered with few hooked spines and dispersed spinules; proximal region of hemipenes almost nude (Figure 13).

Quantitative variation ( $n=28$ ): Largest female 620 mm SVL, 75 mm TL; largest male 460 mm SVL, 87 mm TL; ventrals 162-175 (mean=169; $n=3 ; \mathrm{SD}=6.5$ ) in females, 158-167 (mean=161; $n=5 ; \mathrm{SD}=3.6$ ) in males; subcaudals 31-33 (mean=32; $n=3$; $\mathrm{SD}=1.1$ ) in females, $39-45$ (mean=43; $n=5 ; \mathrm{SD}=2.5$ ) in males; supralabials seven ( $n=16$ sides); infralabials seven ( $n=8$ sides) or eight ( $n=8$ sides); preventrals two ( $n=2$ ), three ( $n=4$ ) or four ( $n=2$ ); adult midbody diameter 6.8-8.5 mm; maxillary teeth seven ( $n=14$ sides).

Distribution: Atractus pachacamac inhabits Amazon foothills between 3501500 m asl from Colombia to Peru, including the surroundings of Sumaco volcano in Ecuador and Peru (Figure 14).

Remarks: Camper \& Zart (2014) reported earthworms in the diet of this species. After careful examination of museum specimens, we concluded that the specimen listed
as $A$. touzeti (ANF $2590=$ MZUTI 5409) by Arteaga et al. (2017) belongs to $A$. pachacamac. Furthermore, the specimen referred as Atractus snethlageae without voucher, photographed by Arteaga et al. (2017) also is similar to A. pachacamac. Thus, as far we know, $A$. touzeti is still known only from its type series from the Cordillera de los Guacamayos in northeastern Ecuador (> 1800 m asl; see Schargel et al., 2013 and Passos et al., 2019).

## Atractus akerios sp. nov.

urn:Isid:zoobank.org:act:A233B343-8D5E-4DC6-B0F7-98C6E46E17E1
Atractus badius - Cunha \& Nascimento (1978), partim.
Atractus schach - Cunha \& Nascimento (1983); Passos \& Fernandes (2008), partim; Prudente \& Passos (2008), partim; Prudente, Sarmento, Ávila-Pires, Maschio, \& Sturaro (2018); Cunha \& Nascimento (1993).

Atractus snethlageae - Prudente et al. (2018), partim.
Holotype: MPEG 12255, adult male from Brazil, Maranhão, Junco do Maranhão, at Nova Vida $\left(1.822^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 46.109^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 39 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, collected by O.R. da Cunha and F.P. do Nascimento on 1 June 1976.

Paratopotypes ( $n=4$ ): MPEG 15791, adult female collected on 15 August 1979. MPEG 10347, MPEG 15003, and MPEG 15790, adult males collected by O.R. Cunha and F.P. do Nascimento on 31 October 1975, 1 October 1978, and 15 August 1979.

Paratypes ( $n=6$ ): Brazil: Pará: Bragança, Bom Jesus $\left(1.077^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 46.861^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right) 44 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, MPEG 11374, adult female collected on 7 November 1975; Marabá, São Felix $\left(5.211^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 49.046^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right), 102 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, MPEG 11569 , MPEG 15165 adult males collected by O.R. Cunha and F.P. do Nascimento on 23 September 1976 and on 15 October 1977; Viseu, Bela Vista $\left(1.444^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 46.372^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right) 21 \mathrm{~m}$ asl, MPEG 2295, MPEG 3713, MPEG 10106, adult males collected by O.R. Cunha and F.P. do Nascimento on 21 September 1977, 22 May 1973 and 18 June 1976.

Etymology: The specific epithet "akerios" in the Greek means lifeless. The word is related to the Greek goddess Keres, (K $\tilde{\eta} \rho \varepsilon \varsigma)$ who personifies violent death and destruction. We draw a parallel, where this new species is named after the sudden disappearance in one of the main areas explored and well-studied in relation to Amazonian snakes (see remarks).

Diagnosis: Atractus akerios can be distinguished from all congeners by unique combination of the following characters: (1) smooth dorsal scale rows 17/17/17; (2)
postoculars two; (3) loreal moderately long; (4) temporal formula $1+2$; (5) supralabials usually seven, third and fourth contacting eye; (6) infralabials seven, first four contacting chinshields; (7) maxillary teeth usually six; (8) gular scale rows three; (9) usually four preventrals; (10) ventrals 149-156 in females, 140-154 in males; (11) subcaudals 19-20 in females, 24-33 in males; (12) in preservative, dorsum salmon coloured to antique brown with russet spots; (13) in preservative, venter sayal brown with Verona brown spots; (14) body moderately long in females (maximum 360 mm SVL) and short in males ( 290 mm SVL); (15) tail short in females ( $9.4 \%$ of SVL) and moderately long in males (11.7-16.3\% of SVL); and (16) hemipenes moderately bilobed ( $\geq$ half the length of capitulum), semicapitate and semicalyculate.

Comparisons: Atractus akerios differs from A. pachacamac by having $\leq 156$ ventrals in both sexes, $\leq 20$ and 33 subcaudals in females and males, respectively, and $<$ 390 mm of maximum SVL (vs. $\geq 158$ ventrals in both sexes, $\geq 30$ and 39 subcaudals in females and males, respectively, and $>400 \mathrm{~mm}$ maximum SVL in both sexes); from $A$. snethlageae by having usually four preventrals, 31-56 spots, light dorsal colour with small dark spots, $\leq 20$ subcaudals in females, and hemipenes presenting attenuated lobes without lateromesial expansion and with dispersed hooked spines entering the proximal region (vs. frequently three preventrals, 24-34 spots, dorsum Vandyke brown with light yellow ocher bands, $\geq 21$ subcaudals in females, hemipenes presenting flattened, clavated or conical lobes always with lateromesial expansion and organs without hooked spine entering basalmost region); from A. dapsilis by having usually four preventrals, $31-56$ spots, light dorsal colour with small dark spots, $\leq 20$ subcaudals in females, and hemipenes presenting attenuated lobes without laterosmesial expansion and with dispersed hooked spines entering the proximal region (vs. usually three preventrals, 24-34 spots, dorsum sepia with light bands, $\geq 21$ subcaudals in females, hemipenes presenting flattened, clavated or conical lobes always with lateromesial expansion and organs without hooked spine entering basalmost region); from $A$. trefauti by having usually four preventrals, 31-56 spots, light dorsal colour with small dark spots, $\leq 20$ subcaudals in females, and hemipenes moderately bilobed without conspicuous capitular groove on both sides (vs. three preventrals, 24-34 spots, dorsum sepia with light bands, $\geq 21$ subcaudals in females, hemipenes slightly bilobed with evident capitular groove on the asulcate side). We refer to Table 1 for additional comparisons between Atractus akerios and other Amazonian congeners.

Description of the holotype: SVL 220 mm , tail length 36 mm ( $16.4 \%$ of SVL); head slightly distinct from body; head length 9.7 mm ( $4.4 \%$ of SVL); head width 5.5 mm ( $56.7 \%$ head length); rostral-orbit distance 3.1 mm ; nostril-orbit distance 2.25 mm ; interorbital distance 3.2 mm ; head rounded in lateral view; snout acuminated in dorsal view, truncate in lateral view; canthus rostralis little conspicuous; rostral subtriangular in frontal view, 1.6 mm wide, 0.7 mm high, not visible in dorsal view; internasal 0.8 mm long, 0.9 mm wide; internasal suture sinistral with respect to prefrontal suture; prefrontal 2.0 mm long, 1.7 mm wide; supraocular subtrapezoidal, 0.9 mm long, 0.8 mm wide at broadest point; frontal bell-shaped, 2.6 mm long, 2.6 mm wide; parietal 4.3 mm long, 2.3 mm wide; nasal entirely divided, nostril divided; prenasal 0.8 mm high, 0.4 mm long; postnasal 0.8 mm high, 0.5 mm long; loreal 1.7 mm long, 0.7 mm high; second and third supralabials contacting loreal; third and fourth supralabials entering the orbit; eye diameter 1.1 mm ; pupil rounded; two postoculars similar in size; upper postocular 0.4 mm long, 0.7 mm high; temporal formula $1+2$; first temporal 1.7 mm long, 1.3 mm high; upper posterior temporals 2.9 mm long, 1.1 mm wide; supralabials eight, fourth and fifth contacting eye in the right side; supralabials seven, third and fourth contacting eye in the left side; first supralabial as high ( 0.9 mm ) as second and smaller in length ( 0.6 mm ) than second $(0.7 \mathrm{~mm})$; third supralabial pentagonal, larger in height $(0.9 \mathrm{~mm})$ and longer $(1.1 \mathrm{~mm})$ than second; sixth supralabial taller than third (1.2 $\mathrm{mm})$; seventh as long than sixth ( 1.7 mm ) supralabial; symphysial subtriangular, 1.2 mm wide, 0.4 mm long; first pair of infralabial preventing symphysial-chinshields; infralabials eight, first four contacting chinshields; chinshields 3.0 mm long, 1.2 mm wide; gular scale rows three; preventrals 4 ; ventrals 143 ; subcaudals 33 respectively from left to right side; dorsal scale rows 17/17/17, lacking apical pits and supracloacal tubercles; midbody diameter 5.8 mm ( $2.6 \%$ of SVL); caudal spine 0.9 mm long, larger than last subcaudal scale $(0.5 \mathrm{~mm})$; anal single. Hemipenes extend at the level of $7^{\text {th }}$ subcaudal. Maxillary bone arched upward anteriorly in lateral view, ventral portion curved on anterior and nearly flattened on median to posterior portion; maxillary with seven teeth; teeth angular in cross section, robust at base, narrower at apices, slightly curved posteriorly; teeth similar in size and spacing; last teeth slightly smaller and in same spacing to anterior ones; maxillary "diastema" absent or indistinct from interspaces; lateral process of maxilla well developed.

Dorsum of head antique brown into tip of parietal, where a light occipital band appears being posteriorly bordered by russet collar; lateral of head antique brown
covering into sixth supralabial, postoculars and anterior temporal; dorsum salmon to antique brown with russet spots (one or two scales long), light bordered; venter sayal brown with Verona brown spots forming conspicuous midventral line (Figure 15).

Colour in life: Unknown.
Colour variation ( $n=11$ ): The dorsal pattern is quite variable, but predominantly Verona brown to cinnamon, with numerous Burnt umber spots with irregular border, disposed irregularly from the vertebral line until ventral borders. Many specimens show a Raw umber narrow line extending above vertebral axis connecting paravertebral spots; vertebral line most visible on the region posterior to incomplete Raw umber occipital band; anterior part of head Verona brown, followed by incomplete clay collar covering parietals and part of temporals; first four pairs of infralabials and symphysial with irregular Raw umber spots, sometimes extending to chinshields; venter clay colour to tawny olive with small Raw umber spots in each scale, extending in a straight line into cloacal plate (Figure 16).

Quantitative variation ( $n=10$ ): Largest female 360 mm SVL, 34 mm TL; largest male 290 mm SVL, 43 mm TL; ventrals 149-156 (mean=152.5; $n=2 ; \mathrm{SD}=4.9$ ) in females, 140-154 (mean=144; $n=9 ; \mathrm{SD}=5.1$ ) in males; subcaudals 19-20 (mean=19.5; $n=2$; $\mathrm{SD}=0.7$ ) in females, $24-33$ (mean $=29.2 ; n=9 ; \mathrm{SD}=2.7$ ) in males; supralabials seven ( $n=23$ sides) or eight ( $n=1$ side); preventrals one ( $n=2$ ), two $(n=1$ ), three ( $n=4$ ) or four ( $n=5$ ); adult midbody diameter 5.6-7.4 mm; maxillary teeth six ( $n=18$ sides) or seven ( $n=6$ sides).

Hemipenial morphology ( $n=2$ ): Fully everted and almost maximally expanded hemipenes renders a moderately bilobed ( $\geq$ than half capitulum length), semicapitate, and semicalyculate organ; lobular region as wide as hemipenial body; lobes centrolinearly oriented, attenuated with rounded apices; lobes symmetrical; lobes densely covered with spinulate calyces, just above bifurcation of sulcus spermaticus; spinules replaced by irregular papillae toward apices of lobes; capitular groove indistinct on the both sides of organ; capitulum with transversal spinulated flounces formed by union of horizontal walls of calyces; calyces lacking vertical walls along the sulcate and asulcate faces of capitulum, except for the intrasulcar region with irregular spinulated flounces; transversal calculate flounces with irregular rows on the intrasulcar region; flounces conspicuous along lateral region of capitulum; midportion of sulcate face of capitulum almost nude by interruption of transversal flounces; lateral regions of capitulum with regular and conspicuous rows of spinulate calyces; hemipenial body
elliptical covered with enlarged hooked spines; larger spines generally located laterally below sulcus spermaticus bifurcation; distal region of hemipenial body on maximally expanded organ with rows of spines concentrating on the middle of asulcate face; sulcus spermaticus bifurcates approximately on the $50 \%$ of organ length; sulcus spermaticus margins relatively thick at level of division and along the capitular region; sulcus spermaticus narrow, not bordered by spinules; basal naked pocket extending along of hemipenial body, with a large spine in its base; proximal region of hemipenes body covered with few hooked spines and dispersed spinules (Figure 20A).

Distribution: Atractus akerios is found in primary forest, except one individual that was found in the secondary forest (Cunha \& Nascimento, 1983), in five sites at extreme eastern portion of the Brazilian Amazonia, being one in Junco do Maranhão, state of Maranhão and four in state of Pará between 20-110 m asl (Figure 17).

Remarks: Cunha \& Nascimento (1978) reported Atractus akerios as A. badius from six localities in the state of Pará and one in the state of Maranhão in Brazil. They mentioned colour variation ranging from sepia to reddish brown with dark transversal bands, and white or yellowish narrow bands disposed irregularly. Posteriorly, the darker specimens were described as Atractus flammigerus snethlageae (Cunha \& Nascimento, 1983) whereas the other specimens were associated to $A$. schach (Cunha \& Nascimento, 1984) until recently (see Melo-Sampaio et al., 2019). Since we did not obtain new records of specimens collected in the region, the species may have experienced a population decline following changes in land use on this region.

## Atractus ukupacha sp. nov.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:C95B553B-1F95-46B5-A73B-4D8AEA48D81D
Atractus snethlageae - Schargel et al. (2013), partim; Maynard et al. (2017).
Holotype: QCAZ 12504, adult male from Ecuador, Napo, El Chaco ( $0.371^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $77.821^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1606 m asl, collected by P. Medrano, on 16 April 2014.

Paratypes ( $n=18$ ): Ecuador: Napo, El Reventador ( $0.041^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $77.526^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1543 m asl, QCAZ 444, MNRJ 24596 (formerly QCAZ 205) adult females collected by G. Onore on 10 January 1985 and 1 January 1986; collected at San Francisco de Borja $\left(0.424^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.837^{\circ} \mathrm{W} ; 1500 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ asl), MNRJ 24597, (formerly QCAZ 1320), adult female collected by G. Scacco on 18 April 1992; Sardinas ( $0.340^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.810^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1300 m asl, QCAZ 1494, adult female collected by G. Onore on 18 October 1992; Santa Rosa, Quijos ( $0.394^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.822^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1623 m asl, QCAZ 12715 , adult female collected by P .

Medrano on 24 April 2014. San Rafael, San Rafael stream ( $0.103^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.580^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1190 m asl, QCAZ 0004, 3256, adult males collected by G. Onore on 10 January 1984 and 7 April 1996; San Francisco de Borja ( $0.438^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$, $77.820^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1670 m asl, QCAZ 1606, adult male collected by V. Utreras on 4 January 1992. El Chaco ( $0.340^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.810^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1600 m asl, QCAZ 4047, collected by R. Cárdenas on 2 November 2006. San Francisco de Borja ( $0.403^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.834^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1665 m asl, QCAZ 12596, adult male by P. Medrano on 17 April 2014; San Francisco de Borja, Borja ( $0.433^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.849^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1744 m asl, DHMECN 80, adult male collected by E. Asanza on September 1980. Piedra Fina ( $0.128^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.609^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 1299 m asl, QCAZ 4812, 4942-44, juveniles collected by M. Wilkinson on 18 March 2012; Orellana, San José de Payamino ( $0.464^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 77.297^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ), 370 m asl, QCAZ 11651-52, juveniles collected by R. Lynch on 8 June 2013.

Etymology: According to Inca mythology, Uku Pacha represents the underworld, containing the dead and everything that was under terrestrial or aquatic surface. The general legend considers the fountains, caves, volcanoes and any opening in the earth's crust as a means of communication between Uku Pacha and Kay Pacha. The word Pacha in Quechua Indian language means "time and space", but into a more general sense means "Earth". Thus, the specific epithet allows inference to this semifossorial lifestyle on the Reventador Volcano.

Diagnosis: Atractus ukupacha can be distinguished from all congeners by the following combination of characters: (1) smooth dorsal scale rows 17/17/17; (2) postoculars two; (3) loreal moderately long; (4) temporal formula 1+2; (5) supralabials seven, third and fourth contacting eye; (6) infralabials eight, first four contacting chinshields; (7) maxillary teeth seven; (8) gular scale rows three; (9) preventrals two; (10) ventrals $161-170$ in females, $153-165$ in males; (11) subcaudals $23-33$ in females, 38-42 in males; (12) in preservative, dorsum dusky brown with olive-brown bands; (13) in preservative, venter olive-brown with small cream white dots; (14) body moderately long in females (maximum 464 mm SVL) and males (maximum 390 mm SVL); (15) tail moderately long in female (9.9-12.7\% of SVL) and long in males (15.5-20.6\% of SVL); and (16) hemipenes strongly bilobed ( $\geq$ length of capitulum), semicapitate, and semicalyculate.

Comparisons: Atractus ukupacha differs from A. dapsilis, A. schach, A. trefauti by having 161-170 ventrals in females, 153-165 in males, 23-33 subcaudals in females, 38-42 in males, and belly olive-brown with small cream white dots (vs. ventrals 148-150 in females, 142-151 in males, 19-21 subcaudals in females, 25-32 in
males of A. schach; 30-37 subcaudals in males of A. dapsilis; ventrals 153-158 in females, 139-149 in males, 21-24 subcaudals in females, 24-29 in males of $A$. trefauti; and belly mottled in $A$. schach and $A$. trefauti, and cream in $A$. dapsilis usually with brown dots forming an inconspicuous midline); from $A$. schach by having hemipenes with conspicuous capitular groove, 161-170 ventrals in females, 153-165 in males; 2333 subcaudals in females, $38-42$ in males, and tail $>15 \%$ of SVL in males (vs. hemipenes without capitular groove, 148-150 ventrals in females, 142-151 in males, 19-21 subcaudals in females, $25-32$ in males, and tail $<15 \%$ of SVL in males); from $A$. trefauti by having tail $>13.3 \%$ of SVL and strongly bilobed hemipenes in males (vs. shorter tail $<13.2 \%$ and slightly bilobed hemipenes in males); from $A$. nawa by having eight infralabials, and $\geq 23$ subcaudals and $>9 \%$ of SVL in females (vs. seven infralabials, $<21$ subcaudals, and tail $<9 \%$ of SVL in females); from A. pachacamac by having spotted preventrals, $<500 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in females, and hemipenes strongly bilobed with lobes centrifugally oriented and flattened on the apices (immaculate preventrals, > 600 mm SVL in females, and hemipenes moderately bilobed with lobes centrolinearly oriented and attenuated on the apices); from A. akerios by having $\geq 23$ and $\geq 38$ subcaudals in females in males, respectively, $\geq 161$ and 153-165 ventrals in females and males respectively, and $>390 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL ( $\leq 20$ and $\leq 33$ subcaudals in females and males, respectively, $\leq 156$ and 140-154 ventrals in females and males respectively, and maximum $\mathrm{SVL}<360 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); from $A$. snethlageae by lacking light parietal band, present $>154$ ventrals in males, and > 38 subcaudals in males (vs. presence of incomplete light parietal band, $<154$ ventrals and $<35$ subcaudals in males); touzeti by having $<700$ mm SVL in females, seven supralabials, third and fourth supralabial contacting eye, seven maxillary teeth, postocular equal in size, and upper and lower temporal posterior equal in size (vs. $>700 \mathrm{~mm}$ SVL in females, eight supralabials, fourth and fifth supralabial contacting eye, eight maxillary, very small lower postocular, and very large upper temporal posterior). We refer to Table 1 for additional comparisons between Atractus ukupacha and other Amazonian congeners.

Description of the holotype: SVL 310 mm , tail length 60 mm ( $19.3 \%$ of SVL); head slightly distinct from body; head length 11.6 mm ( $3.7 \%$ of SVL); head width 7.1 mm ( $61.2 \%$ head length); rostral-orbit distance 4.3 mm ; nostril-orbit distance 3.1 mm ; interorbital distance 4.3 mm ; head rounded in lateral view; snout truncate in dorsal view, truncate in lateral view; canthus rostralis little conspicuous; rostral subtriangular in frontal view, 2.2 mm wide, 1.1 mm high, well visible in dorsal view; internasal 1.0
mm long, 1.1 mm wide; internasal suture sinistral with respect to prefrontal suture; prefrontal 3.0 mm long, 2.5 mm wide; supraocular subtrapezoidal, 1.5 mm long, 1.3 mm wide at broadest point; frontal bell-shaped, 2.7 mm long, 3.3 mm wide; parietal 4.7 mm long, 3.1 mm wide; nasal entirely divided, nostril divided; prenasal 1.1 mm high, 0.6 mm long; postnasal 1.1 mm high, 0.8 mm long; loreal 2.1 mm long, 0.7 mm high; second and third supralabials contacting loreal; third and fourth supralabials entering the orbit; eye diameter 1.5 mm ; pupil rounded; two postoculars distinct in height, being lower shorter than upper; upper postocular 0.7 mm long, 1.0 mm high; lower postocular 0.4 long, 0.7 mm high; temporal formula $1+2$; first temporal 1.9 mm long, 1.5 mm high; upper posterior temporals 3.7 mm long, 1.3 mm wide; supralabials seven, third and fourth contacting eye; first supralabial shorter ( 1.0 mm high) than second ( 1.4 mm high) and smaller in length $(0.6 \mathrm{~mm})$ than second $(1.0 \mathrm{~mm})$; third supralabial pentagonal, larger in height $(1.5 \mathrm{~mm})$ and longer ( 1.5 mm ) than second; sixth supralabial as tall as third; seventh longer than third ( 2.5 mm ) supralabial; symphysial triangular, 1.7 mm wide, 0.6 mm long; first pair of infralabial preventing symphysial-chinshields; infralabials eight, first four contacting chinshields; chinshields 4.0 mm long, 1.5 mm wide; gular scale rows three; preventral one; ventrals 165; subcaudals 38 respectively from left to right side; dorsal scale rows 17/17/17, lacking apical pits and supracloacal tubercles; midbody diameter 8.5 mm ( $2.7 \%$ of SVL); caudal spine 1.8 mm long, twice larger than last subcaudal scale $(0.9 \mathrm{~mm})$. Retracted hemipenes extends to the level of $12^{\text {th }}$ subcaudal, bifurcating at $10^{\text {th }}$. Maxillary bone arched upward anteriorly in lateral view, ventral portion curved on anterior and nearly flattened on median to posterior portion; maxillary with seven teeth; teeth angular in cross section, robust at base, narrower at apices, slightly curved posteriorly; teeth similar in size and spacing; last teeth slightly smaller and in same spacing to anterior ones; maxillary "diastema" absent; lateral process of maxilla well developed.

Dorsum of head dusky brown through its extension; supralabials and lateral portion of head dusky brown; first four infralabials and gular region olive-brown burnt umber spotted; venter olive-brown with few dispersed cream white dots; lateral portions of ventral scales with cream white spots; ventral surface of tail entirely fuscous; dorsal ground colour dusky brown with conspicuous olive-brown bands (33); interspaces between olive-brown bands covered by three to five scales long; first dorsal scale row with light cream, forming spots in contact with ventral scales; dorsal surface of tail dusky brown with conspicuous six bands; ventral surface of tail fuscous (Figure 18).

Colour in life: Dorsum of head dusky brown through its extension; internasals, prefrontals, loreal, supralabials and lateral portion of head dusky brown with orange flecks; first four infralabials and gular region olive-brown burnt umber spotted; dorsal ground colour dusky brown with conspicuous olive-brown bands; interspaces between olive-brown bands covered by three to five scales long and tiny orange flecks; first dorsal scale row with light cream, forming spots in contact with ventral scales (Figure 19).

Colour variation ( $n=17$ ): Dorsum of head olive brown with inconspicuous dark grayish brown [colour 284]; dorsum of body sepia to dusky brown [colour 285] with dorsal bands varying from pale cinnamon [colour 55] to cinnamon [colour 21], with one to two scales long; chinshields, gulars and anterior portion of venter cream suffused with fuscous [colour 283] dots; paraventral line connecting light band sometimes present near cloaca. Juveniles present a white parietal band with medial suture diffuse with dark pigments, and dorsal bands two scales long (Figure 19).

Hemipenial morphology ( $n=6$ ): Fully everted and almost maximally expanded hemipenes renders a strongly bilobed ( $\geq$ than the entire capitulum), semicapitate, and semicalyculate organ; lobular region twice as wide as hemipenial body; lobes centrifugally oriented, clavate with nearly flattened apices on the medial region and laterally conical; lobes uniformly covered with spinulate calyces on both sides of hemipenes; spinules replaced by irregular papillae toward apices of lobes; capitular groove distinct on the both sides of organ; capitulum with transversal spinulated flounces formed by union of horizontal walls of calyces; calyces lacking vertical walls along the sulcate and asulcate faces of capitulum, except for the intrasulcar region with irregular spinulated flounces; transversal calyculate flounces with irregular rows on the intrasulcar region; flounces very concentrated and irregular distributed along lateral region of capitulum; high concentration of calyces on the sulcate side of organ sometimes forming longitudinal crests; capitulum slightly shorter than hemipenial body; hemipenial body elliptical covered with enlarged hooked spines; larger spines generally located laterally below sulcus spermaticus bifurcation; distal region of hemipenial body on maximally expanded organ with rows of spines concentrating on the middle of asulcate face; sulcus spermaticus bifurcates approximately on the $50 \%$ of organ length; sulcus spermaticus margins relatively thick at the level of division and along the capitular region; sulcus spermaticus not bordered by spinules; basal naked pocket runs
beyond sulcus spermaticus bifurcation; proximal region of hemipenes body covered with few hooked spines and dispersed spinules (Figure 20B-F).

Quantitative variation ( $n=17$ ): Largest female 464 mm SVL, 46 mm TL; largest male 390 mm SVL, 75 mm TL; ventrals 161-170 (mean=166; $n=6$; SD=3.7) in females, 154-165 (mean $=159 ; n=8 ; \mathrm{SD}=1.5$ ) in males; subcaudals 23-31 (mean=27; $n=6$; $\mathrm{SD}=3.6$ ), in females, $38-42$ (mean $=39 ; n=8 ; \mathrm{SD}=1.5$ ) in males; supralabials seven ( $n=27$ sides), or eight ( $\mathrm{n}=1$ sides); infralabials seven ( $n=6$ sides) or eight ( $n=22$ sides); preventrals two $(n=4)$, three $(n=8)$ or four ( $n=2$ ); adult midbody diameter 8.5 mm .

Distribution: Known from San José de Payamino ( 370 m asl) to Quijos river Valley on elevations ( 1800 m asl), Ecuador (Figure 21).

Remarks: Although Atractus ukupacha was found in a site near the type locality of A. touzeti, they occupy different altitudes ( 1600 m asl for A. ukupacha versus 2200 m asl for $A$. touzeti). Thus, ecological requirements of these two species are probably quite different among them and the recently discovered Atractus atlas at elevations $>1700 \mathrm{~m}$ asl (Passos et al., 2019).

## 4 DISCUSSION

We assessed the systematics of the Atractus snethlageae complex based on multiple and putatively independent characters (DNA sequences, measurements, scutelation, colour pattern, and male genitalia). Atractus snethlageae was described as a subspecies of $A$. flammigerus without any evidence of close relationships except for sharing an external banded-pattern. We have recovered here both taxa on distinct clades (Figure 1). Our phylogeny is consistent with a recent study focusing on the Atractus schach species complex (Melo-Sampaio et al., 2019). Populations of Atractus snethlageae sensu stricto are parapatric with all other species in the complex, except for allopatric $A$. ukupacha. All species were diagnosed upon differences in body size, meristic data and hemipenial morphology (Figures 5, 13 and 20). Prior to this work, the hemipenis of $A$. snethlageae was never illustrated or even properly described, although this character is very useful for species delimitation (and identification) in the genus Atractus (Passos et al., 2018). We describe the male genitalia based on the paratype of A. snethlageae (MPEG 10137) and show its variation across its distribution. In this sense, we hope to encourage more descriptions/preparations of this important source of characters for both new and old species.

Although species of the former $A$. snethlageae complex are similar in morphology to other banded species as A. atlas, A. dapsilis, A. major, A. schach, A. touzeti and $A$. trefauti, hemipenial morphology markedly differs among them (condition unknown in A. atlas and A. touzeti) and molecular data support their recognition as distinct taxa. For example, both Atractus pachacamac and Atractus ukupacha were sometimes misidentified as Atractus major. Both species can be easily diagnosed by the number of infralabials in contact with chinshields. Although Atractus atlas and $A$. touzeti also have banded dorsal patterns, they are giant species that differ markedly from A. snethlageae complex in scutelation, morphometrics and colouration. Interestingly, the banded dorsal pattern has evolved multiple times in Atractus (e.g., A. multicinctus and A. flammigerus clades also share this condition). The discovery of additional new species of the $A$. snethlageae complex is expected (see above), especially in Peru and Colombia, where sampling is scanty and diversity is high (see Meneses-Pelayo \& Passos, 2019). Further taxonomic studies (using an expanded molecular dataset and hemipenial morphology) are needed to evaluate the status of other related clades.

Atractus akerios, A. nawa and A. ukupacha have apparently restricted distributions and probably through denser sampling it is possible to detect other distinct lineages also with narrow ranges of distribution. Many recent studies have pointed that the diversity of the Amazonian herpetofauna is underestimated due to cryptic diversity (Melo-Sampaio et al., 2018, 2019, 2020; Rojas et al., 2018). The increasing efforts to accurately delimit species in the Neotropics have profited from the museum specimens with available tissue samples for molecular studies, allowing falsification of previous hypotheses with premature or misguided taxonomic decisions. We have found a striking diversity of Atractus throughout its distribution in the last decade, mostly triggered by the study of a new system of phenotypic characters (Melo-Sampaio et al., 2019; Passos et al., 2017, 2018). On the other hand, many taxa along Amazonian lowlands remain hidden in the shelves of museum collections without proper systematic studies. For example, Atractus altagratiae was described only five decades after its own collection event (Passos \& Fernandes, 2008), while $A$. hoogmoedi still remained misidentified as A. zidoki for $\sim 40$ years after the collection of its type-specimens (Prudente \& Passos, 2010). In the last two decades, herpetological collections from Brazil, Ecuador and Peru have increased abruptly. Most of these collections come from environmental studies for high-impact projects, allowing biologists to reach to unexplored corners of the Amazon
rainforest. In fact, current fieldwork and sampling efforts throughout the Amazonian lowlands is paradoxical, as the best-studied snake faunas are those sampled in the course of 'development projects' (e.g., oil exploration, hydroelectric plants, mining, monocultures) that will most likely have a negative impact on biodiversity (Marçal et al., 2011; Morato et al., 2014; Prudente, Maschio, Santos-Costa, \& Feitosa, 2010).

We have found areas with high alpha diversity of Atractus in both eastern and western extremes of Amazonia. Although we were unable to obtain more tissue samples from western Amazonia (Colombia and Peru), we believe that better sampling including taxa that occur there can improve significantly our understanding of the relationships of Atractus. Current documentation of biodiversity is crucial to policies and management of natural resources. Blackburn et al. (2019) show a recent tendency to describe cryptic species using non-morphological (e.g., DNA sequence) data that may impact positively by shortening branch lengths in the tree of life. We highlight that eastern Amazonia is probably the most threatened area of the biome, since $2 / 3$ of its territory has been deforested (Silva, Rylands, \& Fonseca, 2005; Sonter et al., 2017), although small patches of forest harbor a substantial number of reminiscent snakes (Ávila-Pires et al., 2018). Based on our results and considering previous studies on Atractus (Passos et al., 2017, 2018; Prudente \& Passos, 2010), at least four species are restricted to this region (i.e., A. alphonsehogei, A. hoogmoedi, A. akerios, and A. tartarus), suggesting it should be a relevant conservation area for snakes.

Deforestation, in the $21^{\text {st }}$ century has predominantly occurred in the southeastern part of the Amazon, making the seasons drier and more frequent (Staal et al., 2020); with currently deforestation rates, we will face rainfall decreasing up to $20 \%$ with consequences in resilience and regrowth (Zemp, Schleussner, Barbosa, \& Rammig, 2017). Studies in the region are crucial to improve the knowledge about impacts on the biota experiencing fast change on vegetation covering and land use. However, we are just filling some gaps in the knowledge on snake diversity from western Amazonia (Nogueira et al., 2019).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank to Dr. Stephan Koblmüller and two anonymous reviewers by suggestions that improved the manuscript. We are grateful to the following people for allowing us to examine specimens and/or loan tissue samples under their care: C. Aguilar and J. Cordova (MUSM), A. Almendáriz (EPN), J. Aparicio (CBF), M.B. Souza (UFAC-RB),
P. Bernarde, R. Machado and L. Turci (UFACF), Y. Bittar (UFPA), J. Lynch and M. Calderón (ICN), P. Campbell (NHM), R. Cassalas and J. Espítia (MLS), F. Curcio (UFMT-R), A. Fouquet (CNRS), F. Franco and F. Grazziotin (IBSP), L. Gonzalez and R. Montaño (MNK), M. Messias (UNIR), D. Salazar-Valenzuela (MZUTI), J. Valencia (FHGO), W. Vaz (PUC-GO), F. Werneck (INPA), M. Yanez-Muñoz (DHMECN), and H. Zaher (MZUSP). We deeply indebted to S. Albuquerque, R. Gaiga, R. Lynch, J. López-Rojas, M. Martins, R. Maynard, K. Mebert, D. Meneghelli, L. Moraes, S. Morato, R. Oliveira, U. Oliveira, D. Neira, D. Paiva, M. Pingleton, D. Quirola, D. Rosenberg, W. Vaz and L. Vitt for providing photographs of live specimens. We thank D. Fernandes, R. Fernandes, B. Jennings and P. Pinna for helpful suggestion on early version of the manuscript. PRMS thanks L. Lima, K. Silva, and R. Oliveira for friendship and patience during this study. PRMS especially thank to I. Prates (University of Michigan) for sharing his time and knowledge with prolific discussions and M. T. Rodrigues (USP) for all supporting with workspace, samples and loan of specimens. PRMS thanks K. de Queiroz, E. Langan and J. Poindexter (USNM), D.A. Kizirian, L. Vonnnahme (AMNH) for information and photos of specimens under their care. PRMS also acknowledge QCAZ team (Y. Sagredo, M. Stacey, S. Valverde, F. Ayala-Varela, E. Guerra, V. Chasiluisa, M. Navarrete, G. Maldonado, and S. Guamán), CORBIDI team (J. Chavez-Arribasplata, A. Barbosa), MUSM team (J. Villegas, J. Cusi and G. Gutierrez) for logistic facilities at Quito and Lima, respectively. Financial support for PRMS was provided by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES). Financial support for PP was provided by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (\#309560/2018-7) and Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (\#E-26/202.737/2018 and \#E-26/211.154/2019). Financial support for ALCP was provided by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (\#302611/2018-5 and \#440413/2015-0). Molecular work and fieldwork by OTC were funded by SENESCYT under the 'Arca de Noé' Initiative (PIs: S. Ron and OTC).

## ORCID

Paulo Roberto Melo-Sampaio 0000-0003-1858-1643
Paulo Passos 0000-0002-1775-0970
Ana Prudente 0000-0002-4164-6815
Pablo Venegas 0000-0002-6501-4492

Omar Torres-Carvajal 0000-0003-0041-9250

## REFERENCES

Arteaga, A., Mebert, K., Valencia, J. H., Cisneros-Heredia, D. F., Peñafiel, N., ReyesPuig, C., Vieira-Fernandes, J. L., \& Guayasamin, J. M. (2017). Molecular phylogeny of Atractus (Serpentes, Dipsadidae), with emphasis on Ecuadorian species and the description of three new taxa. ZooKeys, 661, 91-123. doi:10.3897/zookeys.661.11224
Ávila-Pires, T. C. S., Alves-Silva, K. R., Barbosa, L., Correa, F. S., Cosenza, J. F. A., Costa-Rodrigues, A. P. V., Cronemberger A. A., Hoogmoed, M. S., Lima-Filho, G. R., Maciel, A. O., Missassi, A. F. R., Nascimento, L. R. S., Nunes, A. L. S., Oliveira, L. S., Palheta, G. S., Pereira Jr., A. J. S., Pinheiro, L., Santos-Costa, M. C., Pinho, S. R. C., Silva, F. M., Silva, M. B., \& Sturaro, M. J. (2018). Changes in amphibian and reptile diversity over time in Parque Estadual do Utinga, Pará State, Brazil, a protected area surrounded by urbanization. Herpetology Notes, 11, 499-512.
Ávila-Pires, T. C. S., Vitt, L. J., Sartorius, S. S., \& Zani, P. A. (2009). Squamata (Reptilia) from four sites in southern Amazonia, with a biogeographic analysis of Amazonian lizards. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi Ciências Naturais, 4, 99-118.
Bernarde, P. S., \& Abe, A. S. (2006). A snake community at Espigão do Oeste, Rondônia, southwestern Amazon, Brazil. South American Journal of Herpetology, 1, 102-113.
Bernarde, P. S., Albuquerque, S., Barros, T. O., \& Turci, L. C. B. (2012). Serpentes do estado de Rondônia, Brasil. Biota Neotropica, 12, 154-182.
Bernarde, P. S., Machado, R. A., \& Turci, L. C. B. (2011). Herpetofauna of Igarapé Esperança area in the Reserva Extrativista Riozinho da Liberdade, Acre - Brazil. Biota Neotropica, 11, 117-144.

Bernarde, P. S., Turci, L. C. B., \& Machado, R. A. (2017). Serpentes do Alto Juruá: Acre-Amazônia brasileira. Rio Branco: Edufac.
Blackburn, D. C., Giribet, G., Soltis, D. E., \& Stanley, E. L. (2019). Predicting the impact of describing new species on phylogenetic patterns. Integrative Organismal Biology, 1, 1-12. doi:10.1093/iob/obz028

Boie, F. (1827). Bemerkungen über Merrem's Versuch eines Systems der Amphibien, 1. Lieferung: Ophidier. Isis von Oken, 20, 508-566.

Boulenger, G. A. (1894). Catalogue of the snakes in the British Museum (Natural History). Volume II. London: Trustees of the British Museum.
Böhm, M., Collen, B., Baillie, J. E. M., Bowles, P., Chanson, J., Cox, N., Hammerson, G., Hoffmanng, M., Livingstone, S. R., Ram, M., Rhodin, A. G. J., Stuart, S. N., van Dijk, P. P., Young, B. E., Afuang, L.E., Aghasyan, A., García, A., Aguilar, C., Ajtic, R., Akarsu, F., Alencar, L. R. V., Allison, A., Ananjeva, N., Anderson S., Andrén, C., Ariano-Sánchez, D., Arredondo, J.C., Auliya, M., Austin, C. C., Avci, A., Bakeraf, P. J., Barreto-Lima, A. F., Barrio-Amorós, C. L., Basu, D., Bates, M. F., Batistella, A., Bauer, A., Bennett, D., Böhm, W., Broadley, D., Brown R., Burgess, J., Captain, A., Carreira, S., Castañeda, M. R., Castro, F., Catenazzi, A., Cedeño-Vázquez, J. R., Chappleay, D. G., Cheylan, M., CisnerosHeredia, D. F., Cogalniceanu, D., Cogger, H., Corti, C., Costa, G. C., Couper, P. J., Courtney, T., Crnobrnja-Isailovic, J., Crochet, P-A., Crother, B., Cruz, F., Daltry, J. C., Daniels, R. J. R., Das, I., de Silva, A., Diesmos, A. C., Dirksen, L., Doan, T. M., Dodd Jr., C. K., Doody, J. S., Dorcas, M. E., Filho, J. D. B., Egan, V. T., El Mouden, E. H., Embert, D., Espinoza, R. E., Fallabrino, A., Feng, X., Feng, Z-J., Fitzgerald, L., Flores-Villela, O., França, F. G. R., Frost, D., Gadsden, H., Gamble, T., Ganesh, S. R., Garcia, M. A., García-Pérez, J. E., Gatus, J., Gaulke, M., Geniez, P., Georges, A., Gerlach, J., Goldberg, S., Gonzalez, J-C. T., Gower, D. J., Grant, T., Greenbaum, E., Grieco, C., Guo, P., Hamilton, A. M., Hare, K., Hedges, S. B., Heideman, N., Hilton-Taylor, C., Hitchmough, R., Hollingsworth, B., Hutchinson, M., Ineich, I., Iverson, J., Jaksic, F. M., Jenkins, R., Joger, U., Jose, R., Kaskado, Y., Kaya, U., Keogh, J. S., Köhler, G., Kuchling, G., Kumlutaş, Y., Kwet, A., La Marca, E., Lamar, W., Lane, A., Lardner, B., Latta, C., Latta, G., Lau, M., Lavin, P.,Lawson, D., Le Breton, M., Lehr, E., Limpus, D., Lipczynski, N., Lobo, A. S., López-Luna, M. A., Luiselli, L., Lukoschekek, V., Lundberg, M., Lymberakis, P., Macey, R., Magnusson, W. E., Mahler, D. L., Malhotra, A., Mariaux, J., Maritz, B., Marques, O. A. V., Márquez, R., Martins, M., Masterson, G., Mateo, J. A., Mathew, R., Mathews, N., Mayer, G., McCranie, J. R., Measey, G. J., MendozaQuijano, F., Menegon, M., Métrailler, S., Milton, D. A., Montgomery, C., Morato, S. A. A., Mott, T., Muñoz-Alonso, A., Murphy, J., Nguyenao, T. Q.,

Nilson, G., Nogueira, C.,Núñez, H., Orlov, N., Ota, H., Ottenwalder, J., Papenfuss, T., Pasachnik, S., Passos, P., Pauwels, O. S. G., Pérez-Buitrago, N., Pérez-Mellado, V., Pianka, E. R., Pleguezuelos, J., Pollock, C., Ponce-Campos, P., Powell, R., Pupin, F., Quintero Díaz, G. E., Radder, R., Ramer, J., Rasmussen, A. R., Raxworthy, C., Reynolds, R., Richmana, N., Rico, E. L., Riservato, E., Rivas, G., Rocha, P. L.B.,Rödel, M-O., Schettino, L. R., Roosenburg, W. M, Ross, J. P.,Sadek, R., Sanders, K., Santos-Barrera, G., Schleich, H. H., Schmidt, B. R., Schmitz, A., Sharifi, M., Shea, G., Shi, H-T., Shine, R., Sindaco, R., Slimani, T., Somaweera, R., Spawls, S., Stafford, P., Stuebing, R., Sweet, S., Sy, E., Temple, H. J., Tognellic, M. F., Tolley, K., Tolson, P. J., Tuniyev, B., Tuniyev, S., Üzüm, N., Buurt, G., Van Sluys, M., Velasco, A., Vences, M., Veselý, M., Vinke, S., Vinke, T., Vogel, G., Vogrin, M., Vogt, R.C., Wear, O. R., Werner, Y. L., Whiting, M., Wiewandt, T., Wilkinson, J., Wilson, B., Wrenb, S.,Zamin, T., Zhouhu, K., \& Zug, G. (2013). The conservation status of the world's reptiles. Biological Conservation, 157, 372-385. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2012.07.015
Camper, J. D., \& Zart, D. J. (2014) Atractus snethlageae (ground snake): diet. Herpetological Review, 45, 705.
Carrillo de Espinoza, N., \& Icochea, J. (1995). Lista taxonómica preliminar de los reptiles vivientes del Perú. Publicaciones del Museo Historia Natural Universidad Nacioanal Mayor San Marcos, 47, 1-27.
Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., García, A., Pringle, R. M., \& Palmer, T. M. (2015). Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. Science Advances, 1: e1400253. doi:10.1126/sciadv. 1400253
Cunha, O. R., \& Nascimento, F. P. (1978). Ofídios da Amazônia X - As cobras da região leste do Pará. Publicações Avulsas do Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi, 31, 1-218.

Cunha, O. R., \& Nascimento, F. P. (1983). Ofídios da Amazônia XX - As espécies de Atractus Wagler, 1828, na Amazônia oriental e Maranhão (Ophidia, Colubridae). Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi Nova Série Zoologia, 123, 1-38.

Cunha, O. R., \& Nascimento, F. P. (1984). Ofídios da Amazônia XXI - Atractus zidoki no leste do Pará e notas sobre A. alphonsehogei e A. schach. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi Nova Série Zoologia, 1, 219-228.
Cunha, O. R., \& Nascimento, F. P. (1993). Ofídios da Amazônia X - As cobras da região Leste do Pará. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi Nova Série Zoologia, 9, 1-191.
de Queiroz, K. (2007). Species concepts and species delimitation. Systematic Biology, 56, 879-886. doi:10.1080/10635150701701083

Dixon, J. R., \& Soini, P. (1986). The reptiles of the upper Amazon basin, Iquitos region, Peru. Milwaukee: Milwaukee Public Museum.
Doan, T. M., \& Arizabal, W. (2000). New herpetological records for the Tambopata Province, Department of Madre de Dios, Peru. Herpetological Review, 31, 188189.

Doan, T. M., \& Arizabal, W. (2002). Microgeographic variation in species composition of the herpetofaunal communities of Tambopata region, Peru. Biotropica, 34, 101-117. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7429.2002.tb00246.x
Dowling, H. G. (1951). A proposed standard system of counting ventrals in snakes. British Journal of Herpetology, 1, 97-99.
Dowling, H. G., \& Savage, J. M. (1960). A guide to snake hemipenis: a survey of basic structure and systematic characteristics. Zoologica, 45, 17-28.
Duellman, W. E. (1978). The biology of an equatorial herpetofauna in Amazonian Ecuador. Miscellaneous Publication University of Kansas Museum of Natural History, 65, 1-352.

Duellman, W. E. (2005). Cusco Amazónico: the lives of amphibians and reptiles in an Amazonian rainforest. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
Duellman, W. E., \& Salas, A. W. (1991). Annotated checklist of the amphibians and reptiles of Cuzco Amazónico, Peru. Occasional Papers of the Museum of Natural History University of Kansas, 143, 1-13.

Duméril, A. M. C., Bibron, G., \& Duméril, A. H. A. (1854). Erpétologie générale ou histoire naturelle complète des Reptiles. Tome 7. Paris: Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret.
Drummond, A. J., Suchard, M. A., Xie, D., \& Rambaut, A. (2012). Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 29, 1969-1973. doi:10.1093/molbev/mss075

Ferrer-Paris, J. R., Zager, I., Keith, D. A., Oliveira-Miranda, M. A., Rodríguez, J. P., Josse, C., González-Gil, M., Miller, R. M., Zambrana-Torrelio, C., \& Barrow, E. (2019). An ecosystem risk assessment of temperate and tropical forests of the Americas with an outlook on future conservation strategies. Conservation Letters, 12: e12623. doi:10.1111/conl. 12623

Figueroa, A., McKelvy, A. D., Grismer, L. L., Bell, C. D., Lailvaux, S. P. (2016). A species-level phylogeny of extant snakes with description of a new colubrid subfamily and genus. Plos One, 11: e0161070. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0161070
Fraga, R., Lima, A. P., Prudente, A. L. C., \& Magnusson, W. E. (2013). Guia de cobras da região de Manaus - Amazônia central. Manaus: INPA.
Frota, J. G. (2000). Geographic distribution: Serpentes: Atractus snethlageae. Herpetological Review, 31, 254.

Frota, J. G. (2004). As serpentes da região de Itaituba, médio rio Tapajós, Pará, Brasil (Squamata). Comunicações do Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia da Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul - Série Zoologia, 17, 1, 9-19.

Giraudo, A. R. (2004). Serpientes de la selva paranaense y del Chaco húmedo. Buenos Aires: Lola.
Giraudo, A. R., \& Scrocchi, G. J. (2000). The genus Atractus (Serpentes: Colubridae) in northeastern Argentina. Herpetological Journal, 10, 81-90.

Gonzales, L., \& Embert, D. (2008). Geographic distribution: Serpentes: Atractus snethlageae. Herpetological Review, 39, 370.
Grazziotin, F. G., Zaher, H., Murphy, R. W., Scrocchi, G., Benavides, M. A., Zhang, Y., \& Bonatto, S. L. (2012). Molecular phylogeny of the New World Dipsadidae (Serpentes: Colubroidea): a reappraisal. Cladistics, 28, 1-23. doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.2012.00393.x
Gutsche, A., Kwet, A., Kucharzewski, C., Lingnau, R., \& Günther, R. (2007). Wilhelm Ehrhardt and an evaluation of his amphibians and reptiles held in the Herpetological Collection of the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin. Mitteilungen aus dem Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin Zoologische Reihe, 83, 80-93. doi:10.1002/mmnz. 200600019

Hoogmoed, M. S. (1980). Revision of the genus Atractus in Surinam, with the ressurection of two species (Colubridae, Reptilia). Notes on the Herpetofauna of Surinam VII. Zoologische Verhandelingen, 175, 1-47.

Hoogmoed, M. S. (1982). Snakes of Guianan region. Memórias do Instituto Butantã, 46, 219-254.
Hoogmoed, M. S, \& Prudente, A. L. C. (2003). A new species of Atractus (Reptilia: Ophidia: Colubridae: Dipsadinae) from the Amazon forest region in Brazil. Zoologische Mededelingen, 77, 15-36, 425-439.
Jorge-da-Silva, N. (1993). The snakes from Samuel hydroelectric power plant and vicinity, Rondônia, Brasil. Herpetological Natural History, 1, 37-86.

Köhler, G. (2012). Color catalogue for field biologists. Affenbach: Herpeton.
Kumar, S., Stecher, G., \& Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 33, 1870-1874. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw054
Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P. B., Wright, A. M., Senfeld, T., \& Calcott, B. (2016). PartitionFinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 34, 772-773. doi:10.1093/molbev/msw260
Marçal, A. S., Gomes, I. B. R., \& Coragem, J. T. (Orgs.). (2011). UHE Santo Antônio Guia das espécies de fauna resgatadas. Porto Velho: Scriba Comunicação Corporativa.
Maynard, R., Lynch, R. L., Maier, P., \& Hamilton, P. S. (2017). Reptiles of San José de Payamino, Orellana, Ecuador. Chicago, IL: Field Museum.
Melo-Sampaio, P. R., Oliveira, R. M., \& Prates, I. (2018). A new nurse frog from Brazil (Aromobatidae: Allobates), with data on the distribution and phenotypic variation of Western Amazonian species. South American Journal of Herpetology, 13, 131-149. doi:10.2994/SAJH-D-17-00098.1
Melo-Sampaio, P. R., Passos, P., Fouquet, A., Prudente, A. L. C., \& Torres-Carvajal, O. (2019). Systematic review of Atractus schach (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) species complex from the Guiana Shield with description of three new species. Systematics and Biodiversity, 17, 207-229. doi:10.1080/14772000.2019.1611674
Melo-Sampaio, P. R., Prates, I., Peloso, P. L. V., Recoder, R., Dal Vechio, F., MarquesSouza, S., \& Rodrigues, M. T. (2020). A new nurse frog from southwestern Amazonian highlands, with notes on the phylogenetic affinities of Allobates alessandroi (Aromobatidae). Journal of Natural History, 54, 43-62. doi:10.1080/00222933.2020.1727972

Meneses-Pelayo, E., \& Passos, P. (2019). New polychromatic species of Atractus (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) from the Eastern portion of the Colombian Andes. Copeia, 2019, 250-261. doi:10.1643/CH-18-163

Montagner, D. (2007). Construção da etnia Náwa. Revista de Estudos e Pesquisas, 4, 33-108.

Montingelli, G. G., Grazziotin, F. G., Battilana, J., Murphy, R. W., Zhang, Y. P., \& Zaher, H. (2019). Higher-level phylogenetic affinities of the Neotropical genus Mastigodryas Amaral, 1934 (Serpentes: Colubridae), species-group definition and description of a new genus for Mastigodryas bifossatus. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research, 57, 205-239. doi:10.1111/jzs. 12262
Morato, S. A. A., Calixto, P. O., Mendes, L. R., Gomes, R., Galatti, U., Trein, F. L., Oliveira, F. S., \& Ferreira, G. N. (2014). Guia fotográfico de identificação da herpetofauna da Floresta Nacional de Saracá-Taquera, Estado do Pará. Curitiba: STCP Engenharia de Projetos Ltda.
Nascimento, F. P., Ávila-Pires, T. C., \& Cunha, O. R. (1988). Répteis Squamata de Rondônia e Mato Grosso coletados através do Programa Pólo Noroeste. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, 4, 21-66.
Nogueira, C.C., Argôlo, A.J.S., Arzamendia, V., Azevedo J.A., Barbo, F.E., Bérnils, R.S., Bolochio, B. E., Borges-Martins, M., Brasil-Godinho, M., Braz, H., Buononato, M. A., Cisneros-Heredia, D. F., Colli, G. R., Costa, H. C., Franco, F. L., Giraudo, A., Gonzalez, R. C., Guedes, T., Hoogmoed, M. S., Marques, O. A. V., Montingelli, G. G., Passos, P., Prudente, A. L.C., Rivas G. A., Sanchez P. M., Serrano F. C., Silva Jr. N. J., Strüssmann, C., Vieira-Alencar, J. P. S., Zaher, H., Sawaya, R. J., \& Martins, M. C. M. (2019). Atlas of Brazilian snakes: verified point-locality maps to mitigate the Wallacean shortfall in a megadiverse snake fauna. South American Journal of Herpetology, 14, 1-274. doi:10.2994/SAJH-D-19-00120.1

Passos, P., Cisneros-Heredia, D. F., Rivera, D. E., Aguilar, C., \& Schargel, W. (2012). Rediscovery of Atractus microrhynchus and reappraisal of the taxonomic status of A. emersoni and A. natans (Serpentes: Dipsadidae). Herpetologica, 68, 375392. doi:10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-11-00078.1

Passos, P., Chiesse, A., Torres-Carvajal, O., \& Savage, J. (2010). Testing species boundaries within Atractus occipitoalbus complex (Serpentes: Dipsadidae). Herpetologica, 65, 384-403. doi:10.1655/08-024.1

Passos, P., \& Fernandes, R. (2008). A new species of the colubrid snake genus Atractus (Reptilia: Serpentes) from the central Amazon of Brazil. Zootaxa, 1849, 59-66. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.1849.1.4

Passos, P., Fernandes, R., Bérnils, R. S., \& Moura-Leite, J. C. (2010c). Taxonomic revision of Atlantic Forest Atractus (Serpentes: Dipsadidae). Zootaxa, 2364, 163. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.2364.1.1

Passos, P., Fernandes, D. S., \& Borges-Nojosa, D. M. (2007b). A new species of Atractus (Serpentes: Dipsadinae) from a relictual forest in northeastern Brazil. Copeia, 2007, 788-797. doi:10.1643/00458511(2007)7[788:ANSOAS]2.0.CO;2
Passos, P., Kok, P. J. R., Albuquerque, N. R., \& Rivas, G. (2013). Groundsnakes of the Lost World: a review of Atractus (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) from the Pantepui region, northern South America. Herpetological Monographs, 27, 52-86. doi:10.1655/HERPMONOGRAPHS-D-12-00001R2.1

Passos, P., Prudente, A. L. C., \& Lynch, J. D. (2016). Redescription of Atractus punctiventris and description of two new Atractus (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) from Brazilian Amazonia. Herpetological Monographs, 30, 1-20. doi:10.1655/HERPMONOGRAPHS-D-14-00009

Passos, P., Prudente, A. L. C., Ramos, L. O., Caicedo-Portilla, J. R., \& Lynch, J. D. (2018). Species delimitations in the Atractus collaris complex (Serpentes: Dipsadidae). Zootaxa, 4392, 491-520. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4392.3.4
Passos, P., Ramos, L. O., Fouquet, A., \& Prudente, A. L. C. (2017). Taxonomy, morphology and distribution of Atractus flammigerus Boie, 1827 (Serpentes: Dipsadidae). Herpetologica, 73, 349-363. doi:10.1655/Herpetologica-D-1600086

Passos, P., Scanferla, A., Melo-Sampaio, P. R., Brito, J., \& Almendáriz, A. (2019). A giant on the ground: another large-bodied Atractus (Serpentes: Dipsadinae) from Ecuadorian Andes, with comments on the dietary specializations of the gooeaters snakes. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, 91, e20170976. doi:10.1590/0001-3765201820170976

Pesantes, O. (1994). A method for preparing hemipenis of preserved snakes. Journal of Herpetology, 28, 93-95.

Peters, J. A. (1964). Dictionary of Herpetology. New York: Hafner.
Pérez-Santos, C. E., \& Moreno, A. G. (1988). Ofidios de Colombia. Museo Regionale di Scienzi Naturali Torino, 6, 6-517.

Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N., Abell, R., Brooks, T. M., Gittleman, J. L., Joppa, L. N., Raven, P. H., Roberts, C. M., \& Sexton, J. O. (2014). The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. Science, 344, 1246752-1-10. doi:10.1126/science. 1246752

Prudente, A. L. C., Maschio, G. F., Santos-Costa, M. C., \& Feitosa, D. T. (2010). Serpentes da bacia petrolífera de Urucu, Município de Coari, Amazonas, Brasil. Acta Amazônica, 40, 381-386. doi:10.1590/S0044-59672010000200016

Prudente, A. L. C., \& Passos, P. (2008). A new species of Atractus Wagler, 1828 (Serpentes: Dipsadinae) from Guyana plateau in Northern Brazil. Journal of Herpetology, 42, 723-732. doi:10.1670/07-115R3.1

Prudente, A. L. C., \& Passos, P. (2010). New cryptic species of Atractus (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) from Brazilian Amazonia. Copeia, 2010, 397-404. doi:10.1643/CH-08-193

Prudente, A. L. C., \& Santos-Costa, M. C. (2005). Checklist of snakes from Ferreira Penna Scientific Station, Eastern Amazonia, Pará State, Brazil. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi - Série Ciências Naturais, 1, 3, 203-208.

Prudente, A. L. C., Sarmento, J. F. M., Ávila-Pires, T. C. S., Maschio, G. F., \& Sturaro, M. J. (2018). How much do we know about the diversity of Squamata (Reptilia) in the most degraded region of Amazonia?. South American Journal of Herpetology, 13, 117-130. doi:10.2994/SAJH-D-17-00009.1

Pyron, R. A., Arteaga, A., Echevarría, L. Y., \& Torres-Carvajal, O. (2016). A revision and key for the tribe Diaphorolepidini (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) and checklist for the genus Synophis. Zootaxa, 4171, 293-320. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4171.2.4

Pyron, R. A., Burbrink, F. T., \& Wiens, J. J. (2013). A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata, including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. BioMedCentral Evolutionary Biology, 13, 1-53. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-93
Pyron, R. A., Guayasamin, J. M., Peñafiel, N., Bustamante, L., \& Arteaga, A. (2015). Systematics of Nothopsini (Serpentes, Dipsadidae), with a new species of

Synophis from the Pacific Andean slopes of southwestern Ecuador. ZooKeys, 541, 109-147. doi:10.3897/zookeys.541.6058

Rodrigues, G. M., Maschio, G. F., \& Prudente, A. L. C. (2016). Snake assemblages of Marajó Island, Pará state, Brazil. Zoologia, 33, e20150020. doi:10.1590/S1984-4689zool-20150020

Rodríguez, L. O., \& Knell, G. (2004). Amphibians and Reptiles. In: N. Pitman, R.C. Smith, C. Vriesendorp, D. Moskovits, R. Piana, G. Knell, \& T. Wachter, (Eds.), Perú: Ampiyacu, Apayacu, Yaguas, Medio Putumayo. Rapid Biological Inventories Report 12 (pp. 152-155). Chicago, IL: The Field Museum.
Rojas, R. R., Fouquet, A., Ron, S. R., Hernández-Ruz, E. J., Melo-Sampaio, P. R., Chaparro, J. C., Vogt, R. C., Carvalho, V. T., Pinheiro, L. C, Ávila, R. W., Farias, I. P., Gordo, M., \& Hrbek, T. (2018). A Pan-Amazonian species delimitation: high species diversity within the genus Amazophrynella (Anura: Bufonidae). PeerJ, 6, e4941. doi:10.7717/peerj. 4941

Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S, Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M. A., \& Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology, 61, 539-542. doi:10.1093/sysbio/sys029
Sabaj, M. (2020). Codes for natural history collections in ichthyology and herpetology. Copeia, 108, 3, 593-669. doi:10.1643/ASIHCODONS2020

Savage, J. M. (1960). A revision of the Ecuadorian snakes of the colubrid genus Atractus. Miscellaneous Publications of the Museum of Zoology University of Michigan, 112, 1-86.

Schargel, W. E., Lamar, W. W., Passos, P., Valencia, J. H., Cisneros-Heredia, D. F., \& Campbell, J. A. (2013). A new giant Atractus (Serpentes: Dipsadidae) from Ecuador, with notes on some other large Amazonian congeners. Zootaxa, 3721, 455-474. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.3721.5.2

Scheffers, B. R., Oliveira, B. F., Lamb, I., \& Edwards, D. P. (2019). Global wildlife trade across the tree of life. Science, 366, 71-76. doi:10.1126/science.aav5327
Serié, P. (1915). Suplemento a la fauna erpetologica argentina. Annales del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural Buenos Aires, 27, 93-109.

Silva, J. M. C., Rylands, A. B., \& Fonseca, G. A. B. (2005). The fate of the Amazonian areas of endemism. Conservation Biology, 19, 689-694. doi:10.1111/j.15231739.2005.00705.x

Silva, M. V., Souza, M. B., \& Bernarde, P. S. (2012) "2010". Riqueza e dieta de serpentes do Estado do Acre, Brasil. Revista Brasileira de Zoociências, 12, 165176.

Silva-Haad, J. J. (2004). Las serpientes del género Atractus Wagler, 1828 (Colubridae; Xenodontinae) en la Amazonia colombiana. Revista de la Academia Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas Físicas y Naturales, 108, 409-446.
Sonter, L. J., Herrera, D., Barrett, D. J., Galford, G. L., Moran, C. J., \& Soares-Filho, B. S. (2017). Mining drives extensive deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. Nature Communications, 8, 1013. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00557-w

Staal, A., Flores, B. M., Aguiar, A. P. D., Bosmans, J. H. C., Fetzer, I., \& Tuinenburg. O. A. (2020). Feedback between drought and deforestation in the Amazon. Environmental Research Letters, 15, 044024. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ab738e
Stamatakis, A. (2014). RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and postanalysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics, 30, 1312-1313. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Stamatakis, A., Hoover, P., \& Rougemont, J. (2008). A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML Web servers. Systematic Biology, 57, 758-771. doi:10.1080/10635150802429642

Sullivan, J., \& Joyce, P. (2005). Model selection in phylogenetics. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 36, 445-466. doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.102003.152633

Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., \& Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Research, 22, 4673-4680. doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-6754-9
Torres-Carvajal, O., \& Hinojosa, K. C. (2020). Hidden diversity in two widespread snake species (Serpentes: Xenodontini: Erythrolamprus) from South America. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 146, 106772. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2020.106772
Uetz, P., Freed, P., \& Hošek, J. (eds.) (2020). The Reptile Database, http://www.reptiledatabase.org, accessed 23 July 2020.
Vaidya, G., Lohman, D. J., \& Meier, R. (2011). SequenceMatrix: concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and
codon information. Cladistics, 27, 171-180. doi:10.1111/j.10960031.2010.00329.x

Vanzolini, P. E. (1986a). Addenda and corrigenda to Part I Snakes. In: J. A. Peters \& B. Orejas-Miranda. Catalogue of the Neotropical Squamata. Part I, Snakes. Washington: Smithsonian Institution.

Vanzolini, P. E. (1986b). Levantamento herpetológico da área do estado de Rondônia sob influência da rodovia BR 364. Relatório de Pesquisa n.1. Brasília: MCT/CNPq.

Vaz-Silva, W., Oliveira, R. M., Gonzaga, A. F. N., Pinto, K. C., Poli, F. C., Bilce, T. M., Penhacek, M., Wronski, L., Martins, J. X., Junqueira, T. G., Cesca, L. C. C., Guimarães, V. Y., \& Pinheiro, R. D. (2015). Contributions to the knowledge of amphibians and reptiles from Volta Grande do Xingu, northern Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Biology, 75, 3, S205-S218. doi:10.1590/1519-6984.00814BM

Vitt, L. J., Ávila-Pires, T. C. S., Caldwell, J. P., \& Oliveira, V. R. L. (1997). The impact of individual tree harvesting on thermal environments of lizards in Amazonian rain forest. Conservation Biology, 12, 654-664. doi:10.1111/j.15231739.1998.96407.x
von May, R., \& Mueses-Cisneros, J. J. (2010). Amphibians and Reptiles. In: N. Pitman, C. Vriesendorp, D. K. Moskovits, R. von May, D. Alvira, T. Wachter, D. F. Stotz, \& Á. del Campo (Eds.), Perú: Yaguas-Cotuhé. Rapid Biological Inventories Report 23 (pp. 230-237). Chicago, IL: The Field Museum. Waldez, F., Menin, M., \& Vogt, R.C. (2013). Diversidade de anfíbios e répteis Squamata na região do baixo rio Purus, Amazônia Central, Brasil. Biota Neotropica, 13, 300-316.
Wilson, E. O. (1988). The current state of biological diversity. In E. O. Wilson \& F. M. Peter. Biodiversity (pp. 3-18). Washington: National Academy Press.
Yanez-Muñoz, M., \& Venegas, P. J. (2008). Amphibians and Reptiles. In: W. S. Alverson, C. Vriesendorp, Á. del Campo, D. K. Moskovits, D. F. Stotz, M. G. Donayre, \& L. A. Borobr (Eds.), Ecuador-Peru: Cuyabeno-Güeppi, Rapid Biological Inventories Report 20 (pp. 215-221). Chicago, IL: The Field Museum.

Zaher, H. (1999). Hemipenial morphology of the South American xenodontine snakes, with a proposal for a monophyletic Xenodontinae and a reappraisal of colubroid hemipenes. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 240, 1-168.

Zaher, H., Grazziotin, F.G., Cadle, J. E., Murphy, R. W., Moura-Leite, J.C., \& Bonnato, S. L. (2009). Molecular phylogeny of advanced snakes (Serpentes, Caenophidia) with an emphasis on South American Xenodontines: a revised classification and descriptions of new taxa. Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia, 49, 115-153.
doi:10.1590/S0031-10492009001100001
Zemp, D. C., Schleussner, C.-F., Barbosa, H. M. J., \& Rammig, A. (2017).
Deforestation effects on Amazon forest resilience. Geophysics Research Letters, 44, 6182-6190. doi:10.1002/2017GL072955

## FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Phylogeny of the Atractus snethlageae complex. Maximum-likelihood tree based on phylogenetic analysis of six genes (16S, CMOS, CYTB, ND4, NT3 and RAG1). Posterior probabilities and Bootstrap values are separated by """.

Figure 2. Dorsal (A) and ventral (B) views of Atractus snethlageae. Holotype (MPEG 10131) from Cachoeira do Piriá, Pará, Brazil.

Figure 3. Colour pattern variation of Atractus snethlageae. Paratypes: MPEG 3955 (A), MPEG 15973 (B), MPEG 6845 (C), MPEG 16383 (D), MPEG 2595 (E), and MPEG 10137 (F).

Figure 4. Colour in life of Atractus snethlageae. Uncatalogued specimen from Porto Velho, Rondônia, Brazil (A, B). MPEG 20362 from Nova Mamoré, Rondônia, Brazil (C). MTR 18844 from Beruri, Amazonas, Brazil (D). Itaituba, Pará, Brazil (E). Cruzeiro do Sul, Acre, Brazil (H). Photos by D. Meneghelli (A), U. Oliveira (B), L. Vitt (C), M. Teixeira Jr. (D), L. Moraes (E), S. Albuquerque (F).

Figure 5. Hemipenial morphology of Atractus snethlageae. Asulcate (left) and sulcate (right) views of the organs of specimens from Colniza, Mato Grosso, Brazil (UFMT-R 7813 - A); Itaituba, Pará, Brazil (MPEG 24564 - B); Machadinho d'Oeste, Rondônia (MZUSP 21982 - C); Anamã, Amazonas (INPA-H 9524 - D); Porto Velho, Rondônia (MPEG $17877-$ E); and Belém, Pará (MPEG 10137, paratype - F). Scale bar $=5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Figure 6. Known distribution of Atractus snethlageae. Type-locality is represented by white dot.

Figure 7. Dorsal (A), lateral (B) and ventral (C) views of Atractus nawa. Holotype (MPEG 20376) from the municipality of Porto Walter, state of Acre, Brazil. Scale bar 5 mm .

Figure 8. General view of the holotype of Atractus nawa (MPEG 20376) in life from Porto Walter, state of Acre, Brazil. Photo by L. Vitt.

Figure 9. Colour in life of Atractus nawa. Uncollected specimen from Santa Luiza Village, Cruzeiro do Sul, Brazil (A-D). Note the light orange snout. Photos by D. Paiva.

Figure 10. Known distribution of Atractus nawa. Type locality is represented by white dot.

Figure 11. Dorsal (left) and ventral (right) views. Paratypes of Atractus pachacamac CORBIDI 13797 (A-B) from Cahuapanas, Datem del Marañón, Peru; CORBIDI 167 (C-D) from Güeppi, Maynas, Peru and QCAZ 8278 (E-F) from Villano, Pastaza, Ecuador.

Figure 12. Colour pattern variation of Atractus pachacamac. Holotype: QCAZ 12630 (A-B) from Wildsumaco Wildlife Sanctuary, Napo, Ecuador; Paratypes: QCAZ 15414 (C) from La Zarza, Zamora-Chinchipe, Ecuador; QCAZ 16083 (D-E) from type locality. Photos by Bioweb/QCAZ.

Figure 13. Hemipenial morphology of Atractus pachacamac. Asulcate (left) and sulcate (right) views of the organs of specimens from Ecuador and Peru: holotype QCAZ 12630 (A), paratype QCAZ 10639 (B), paratype CORBIDI 167 (C), paratype CORBIDI 13797 (D), paratype EPN 5471 (E), and paratype QCAZ 11833 (F).

Figure 14. Known distribution of Atractus pachacamac. Type locality is represented by white dot.

Figure 15. Dorsal (A), lateral (B), and ventral (C) views. Holotype of Atractus akerios (MPEG 12255) from Nova Vida, Junco do Maranhão, state of Maranhão, Brazil. Scale $\mathrm{bar}=5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Figure 16. Dorsal (left) and ventral (right) views of Atractus akerios. Holotype MPEG 12255 (A) from Junco do Maranhão, state of Maranhão, Brazil, and paratype MPEG 10106 (B) from same locality.

Figure 17. Known distribution of Atractus akerios in Brazil. The type locality is represented by white dot.

Figure 18. Dorsal (A), lateral (B) and ventral (C) views. Holotype of Atractus ukupacha (QCAZ 12504) from El Chaco, province of Napo, Ecuador. Scale bar $=5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Figure 19. Colour in life of Atractus ukupacha. Uncollected specimen from San José de Payamino, Orellana, Ecuador (A-B). Photos by R. Maynard.

Figure 20. Hemipenial morphology. Asulcate (left) and sulcate (right) views of the organs of the holotype of Atractus akerios MPEG 12255 (A) from Junco do Maranhão, Maranhão, Brazil; and of the paratypes of Atractus ukupacha from El Reventador, Napo, Ecuador QCAZ 205 (B), QCAZ 4047 (C), QCAZ 3476 (D), QCAZ 10614 (E), QCAZ 4 (F).

Figure 21. Known distribution of Atractus ukupacha. Type locality is represented by white dot. Beige areas are above 1000 m asl.

## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Figure S1. Phylogeny of Atractus. Maximum-likelihood tree based on phylogenetic analysis of six genes (16S, CMOS, CYTB, ND4, NT3 and RAG1). Node labels correspond to bootstrap support values; labels on short branches were removed for clarity. The Atractus snethlageae complex is shown in red.

Figure S2. Phylogeny of Atractus. Maximum clade credibility tree based on phylogenetic analysis of six genes (16S, CMOS, CYTB, ND4, NT3 and RAG1). Node labels correspond to posterior probability values; labels on short branches were removed for clarity. The Atractus snethlageae complex is shown in red.

Figure S3. Head of the holotype of Atractus snethlageae. A- Dorsal view; B- Lateral view; C- Ventral view.

Table 1. Selected features for comparisons among Atractus species closely related to or previously associated (in literature or scientific collections) with Atractus snethlageae species complex. Abbreviations are as follow: $\mathrm{VE}=$ ventrals; $\mathrm{SC}=$ subcaudals; $\mathrm{SL}=$ supralabials; $\mathrm{IL}(\mathrm{CH})=\mathrm{Infralabials}$ (in contact with chinshields); MT=maxillary teeth; LPB=light parietal band. The SL, IL(CH), MT, and LPB were placed together for both sexes because these variables are not secondarily dimorphic. $\mathrm{NA}=$ not available.

| SPECIES | VE |  | SC |  | SL | IL(CH) | MT | LPB | Dorsal colouration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | M | F | M |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. akerios | 149-156 | 140-145 | 19-20 | 27-33 | 7 | 8 (4) | 6 | Absent | antique brown with russet spots |
| A. atlas | 158-169 | NA | 28-33 | NA | 8 | 8 (4) | 8 | Absen | yellow ocher with black bands |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | tawny oliver with raw umber bands / |
| A. dapsilis | 167-182 | 152-166 | 21-26 | 30-37 | 7 | 8 (4) | 6-7 | Absent |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | grayish brown with cinnamon bands |

cinnamon drab with cinnamon brown bands/
fawn colour with warm sepia bands

Brussels brown with Raw umber spots
sepia with saval brown bands
olive brown with black regular bands

Vandyke brown with light yellow ocher bands
short pale crossbands edged by black borders
A. trefauti $153-158 \quad 139-149 \quad 21-24 \quad 24-29 \quad 7 \quad 8(4) \quad 5-7 \quad$ Incomplete $\quad$ black with beige bands

## APPENDIX

Material Examined
Specimens marked with asterisk $(*)$ were included in the phylogeny and specimens highlighted in (bold) were used to prepare hemipenes. The specimens included in the type series of Atractus akerios, A. nawa, A. pachacamac, A. snethlageae and $A$. ukupacha are not listed again here. Institutional abbreviations follow Sabaj (2020).

Atractus aboiporu ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{3}$ ). Brazil: Amapá: Serra do Navio: (MPEG 25796, holotype; MPEG 25797, paratype); Pedra Branca do Amapari: (MPEG 19783, paratype).

Atractus aff. snethlageae ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{1}$ ). Peru: Madre de Díos: Tambopata: CORBIDI 15135*).

Atractus atlas ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{4}$ ). Ecuador: Zamora-Chinchipe: Paquisha: (MEPN 14203, holotype); Parroquía Guayzimi: (DHMECN 2972, paratype). Morona-Santiago: Reserva Biológica Cerro Plateado: (QCAZ 14946*, paratype); Zúñac: (DHMECN 12361, paratype).

Atractus boimirim (n=22). Brazil: Acre: Cruzeiro do Sul: (UFACF 112). Rondônia: Machadinho d'Oeste (MZUSP 21871, 21983); Samuel Hydroelectric Power Plant at Jamari river: Vila Cachoeira do Samuel: (MPEG 17908, holotype; MPEG 17909-11, 17916-17, 17922, 17967-70, paratypes), surroundings of Jaci-Paraná river, tributary of Madeira river: Jirau Hydroelectric Power Plant: (MPEG 23965, paratype), Porto Velho (UFRO-H 45). Pará: Altamira (MZUSP 21716); Juriti: (MNRJ 24864, paratype); Parque Nacional da Amazônia: (MPEG 25259-60, paratypes), Itaituba: (MPEG 21233*).

Atractus dapsilis ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{5 5}$, including 39 paratypes). Brazil: Amazonas: Manaus: (IBSP 49430, IMTM 1061, 1440, INPA-H 18466, 32348, 32271, MZUSP 3713, UFAM
20.06.09); Presidente Figueredo: (IMTM 1354, 1378, 1501, 1563, 1678, MPEG 1742627, 17495, 17527, 17539, 17559, 17568, MZUSP 8659, 9501). Pará: Oriximiná: (IBSP 87633, MNRJ 14910, 14911, 14912, 14913, 14914 [holotype], 14915, 16794*, 16795, 16796*, 16797-801, 16802*, 16804-03, MPEG 20782, 21569-70, 21712-13, 23505, 23759-60); Terra Santa: (INPA-H 31489, MNRJ 17953-54).

Atractus flammigerus (n=3). Brazil: Pará: Almeirim (MPEG 21011, 21013, 21353).

Atractus gigas ( $\boldsymbol{n}=17$ ). Ecuador: Carchi: km 15 El Chical-Gualtal road, Tulcán Chical: (QCAZ 5771*). Cotopaxi: Bosque Protector Río Guajalito (formerly Palmeras Farm), between San Francisco de Las Pampas and Quito: (FHGO 194, holotype; QCAZ 2099, topotype); Bosque Integral Otonga: (QCAZ 3266), San Francisco de Las Pampas: (QCAZ 175, 179, 443, 647, 662). Pichincha: Cantón San Miguel de los Bancos, Tadayapa road, Tandayapa Farm: (FHGO 4791), Chiriboga: (QCAZ 01), Reserva Las Gralarias: (MZUTI 3286*), Las Palmas: Lloa: (DHMECN 372), Palmeras: (QCAZ 2099), Peñas Coloradas: (QCAZ 4058), Reserva Bella Vista: (QCAZ 6526). Provenance in error: Piso Tropical Oriental: without specific data: (MEPN 8706). Peru: Cajamarca: San Ignacio, Santuario Nacional Tabaconas Namballe: Alto Lhuama: (CORBIDI 877), El Chaupe: (ZFMK 89147).

Atractus pachacamac ( $\boldsymbol{n}=7$ ). Colombia: Amazonas: Puerto Nariño (IAVH 3872); Caquetá: Florencia: (MLS 2731). Ecuador: Morona-Santiago: Macas: (EPN 8711). Sucumbios: Lago Agrio: (MECN 7873). Napo: (QCAZ 5074). Peru: Putumayo: Maynas: (MUSM 32287); Andoas: (MUSM 27356). Cajamarca: Jaén: (MUSM 3390).

Atractus schach (n=12). French Guiana: no specific locality: (MNHN 1995.9481, AMNH 139922); Saul: Limonade (AF1716*); Saint-Eugène: (MNHN 1997.2371); Nourágues: (MNHN 2002.615). Guiana: no specific locality (NHM
1939.1.1.95, NHM 1939.1.1.96). Suriname: no specific locality (NHM 1870.3.10.61); Browns Bergen: (RMNH 12683); Petit Saut: (RMNH 38072); Sinnamary river: (SMNS 2664).

Atractus snethlageae ( $\boldsymbol{n}=116$ ). Brazil: no specific locality: (MNRJ 9842*). Acre: Marechal Thaumaturgo: (UFAC-RB 227); Cruzeiro do Sul: (UFACF 475). Amazonas: Anamã: (INPA-H 9524); Benjamin Constant: (IBSP 33369); Beruri: (INPAH 13974-75); Manaus [probably in error] (BMNH 1876.4.23.1). Mato Grosso: Colniza: (UFMT-R 7813, 7820). Maranhão: Açailândia: (MNRJ 16507); Estreito: (MZUSP 18694). Pará: Altamira: (MZUSP 9334, MPEG 23462); Ananindeua: (MPEG 18518); Barcarena (MPEG 23451); Chaves: (MPEG 24960); Itaituba: (MPEG 21138, 24564, 25149-50); Melgaço: (MPEG 18653, 19863-64, 19966, 19968, 20071, 20605, 2158183, 23167-68, 23134, 23136); Santarém: (MPEG 19092, 19098); Porto de Moz: (MZUSP 18654-55); Capitão Poço: (MPEG 13267); Vitória do Xingu: (MPEG 19807, 19811, 26191, 26194, 26198-99, 26206, 26208, 26223, 26112, 25834, 25836, 26195, 26203, MNRJ 25233-34, 25239, 24383-85, MZUSP 21769). Rondônia: Ariquemes: (IBSP 41530); Candeias do Jamari: (CHUFC 1399, MPEG 17805-06, 17875-76, 17877-78, 17913-14, 17918-19); Espigão do Oeste: (MPEG 21058); Itapuã do Oeste: (MZUSP 8680); Nova Mamoré: (MPEG 20362); Porto Velho: (INPA-H 27840, 32102, 32372, 34150, 34173, MPEG 23966, 25494-96, MZUSP 19012, 19029-30, 19050-55, 19745, 19985, 20673, UFRO-H 253, 1726-27, 1730, 1732, 1747, 1754, 1757, 25452554, 3034-3035, 3725, 3727); Machadinho d'Oeste: (MZUSP 21464-65, 21474, 21862, 21981, 21982); São Miguel do Guaporé: (MPEG 16554). Peru: Cusco: La Convención: (CORBIDI 10034, 15991, MHNC 48, 182, 377, 422, 424, 1029, MUSM 30847); Madre de Díos: Manu: (MUSM 34987); Tambopata: (CORBIDI 18264).

Loreto: Loreto: (IBSP 49431-32); San Martin: Tarapoto: (MUSM 3338). Bolivia: Pando: (MNKR 3275). Colombia: Caquetá: (MLS 140); (ICN 10109-10).

Atractus tartarus (n=3). Brazil: Pará: (MPEG 25849, MPEG 26456, MPEG 26457).

Atractus torquatus (n=4). Brazil: Roraima (INPA-H 28565, 28567, 28569, MZUSP 14281). French Guiana: (AF2281).

Atractus touzeti ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{3}$ ). Ecuador: Napo: Cordillera de los Guacamayos:
Cosanga-Archidona road: (FHGO 517, holotype); La Virgen: (FHGO 2035-36, paratypes).

Atractus trefauti ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{6}$ ). French Guiana: Roura: (MNRJ 26709*, holotype); Mont Tabulaire: (MNHN 2015.56, paratype). Brazil: Amapá: Serra do Navio: (MPEG 25788, MPEG 16382, paratypes); Pará: Almeirim: (MPEG 21354*-55, paratypes).


Figure 1. Phylogeny of the Atractus snethlageae complex. Maximum-likelihood tree based on phylogenetic analysis of six genes (16S, CMOS, CYTB, ND4, NT3 and RAG1). Posterior probabilities and Bootstrap values are separated by "/".
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