# Determination tables for the small gastropods from the Paris Basin Eocene 

XI - The Iamily $\frac{\text { wapicinerifitar }}{}$<br>Louis govorror and Jacques Le rimard

ABALYIICAL SUMHARY - The 35 species of Marginellidae from the Eocene of the Barisian Basin are divided into their genera and subgenera; they are subject of dichotomical determination tables, with systematic figures and critical taxonomic comments. The localities and stratigraphical distribution of each species are stated. A new species, Marginelles, (Stazzania) pseudovolvarins, and a new subspecies, Marginella (Volverina) bouryi supraeocaenica, are described and illustrated. One varicty is raised tow the rank of species, Gibberula spirata, and another to the rank of subspecies, Merginelia (Stazzania) eburnea pentaptycta. The Species Marginella (Glabella) sllixi is reported in the Parisian Easin for the first time.

## I- INTRODUCTION

The family Marginelliane (Neogastropoda) consist of shella with a siphonal canal. Its representatives from the" Paris Basin Eocene are usually small, not exceeding 15 mm in height (average height $4-10 \mathrm{~mm}$, while the recent tropical species are larger, to a fev centimeters). The last whorl is generally very large in proportion to the spire. The suture is shallov and often inconspicuous, filled by inductura (glossy enamel covering all of the shell exterior). There is never spirel ornementation, nor, except in one species, axial ornementation. The siphonal canal is very short, and, a femily characteristic, at least 4 columellar folds are always present.

Present malacologists divide the recent apecies into a rather great number of geners and subgeners, sometimes founded not only on the shell morphology but also in the characteristics such as radula, sexual organs and other anatomical parts, Our table of determination forthe genera and subgenera has been founded through conchological characteristics, for it is not possible to accede to soft parts in Paleontology. There are only few generic taxa in the Parisian Eocene, and we can divide the 35 species into: two genera, Gibberula ( 9 species) and Persicula ( 4 species); and 3 subgenera of Marsinella, i.e. Stazzania ( 16 ppecies), Volvarina ( 3 species) and glebella ( 3 species). Each of these taxa is subject of a separate determination table, of the 35 studied species (of wich none is of the genus Marginella sensu strictol, 31 species are illustrated in the "Iconographie complete" of Cossmann \& Pissarro; 2 species were discribed from the Besin of Nantes, Persicula doutzenbergi and Marginella allixi, but we found them also in the Paris Basin; one species, Marginells morelletorum, has been described and illugtrated previously (Gougerot \& Braillon, 1968 : 196); and a new species, Marginella pseudorolvarina, is described herein.
 to differentiate, because they differ only by the shape of their outline. Above all, inbetween the extreme morphotypes, excist transitional specimens of dubious classification, wich may lead to doubt the validity of some so called speciev. However, we have kept, these morphotypes separate
in the tables, nointinf out the eventual excistence of transitional forms.

Some specimens, in particular from villiers-Saint-Frédéric, still show remains of their original colour pattern, wich seems to be constant for each species. The colours fisannear quickly by the presence of light.

We have found sinistral specimens in 4 snecies; this indicates a relatively hifh degree of occurence of this particularity.
$A \varepsilon$ in the preceding notes of the series, we will now indicate the most important localities from wich comes our Marcinellidae mpterinl: the names of the depertments shall not be reneater in the article. :

CUISIAN: Cuise-la-Motte (Oise); Hérouval (near Montjavoult, oise): Liancourt-Saint-Pierre (Oise).
LUTETIAR: Chapssy (Val-d'Oise); Damery (Marne); Fercourt (near Mouchy-leChatel, Oise): Ferme-de-1'Orme (Vvelines); Fontenay-Saint-Père (Vald'Oise); Frileuse (near Beynes. Yvelines); les Groux (near Liencourt-Saint-Pierre, Oise); Montchauvet (Yvelines); Montmirail ("arne): Parnes. (Oise); Réquiécourt (Near Cahaienes, Eure); Caint-Lubin-de-la-Fnve (Eure-et-Loir); Thionville-sur-Opton (Yvelines): Vaudancourt (Oise); Villiers-Saint-Frédèric (Yvelines). UPPER LUTETIAN ( $=$ 'BIARRITZIAN'): Le Fois fouët (Loire-Atlantioue). AUVERSTAN ( $=$ LOMER BARTOMTAM): Attainville (Val d'Oise): Auvers-sur-Oise (Val d'Oise); Rnrisseuse (Oise): Baron (Oise). Ermenonville: (Val-d'Oise); Le Guépelle (near Saint-ritz, Val d'Oise); Ronquerolles (Val d'Oise); Ver-sur-Launette (Val d'Oise).
MARINESIAN ( $=$ UPPFR RARTOMIAN): Chars (Val d'Oise); Chavençon (Val d'Oise); Monneville (Val d'Oise).

II DETFRMTIATION TABLE FOR TUE GFNERA AND SURGFNERA OF MARIGINBLLDAE
1 (2) Spire completely covered by a callus starting from the ton of the outer lip; outer lip internally crenulated. (These characteristics apply only to adult srecimens; juveniles can have an incomnletely covered spire, but the calus, although sometimes incomplete, is always present)
$\therefore$ G. Persicula Echunacher
2 (1) Spire not covered by a callus; the first whorls are always visible, even when the spire is only slightiy elevated................... 3 (6)

3 (6) Presence of a more or less developed fasciole ${ }_{\text {i.e. " }}$ a callous like spiral band, startine from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ or $3^{\text {rd }}$ columellar fold, and winding round the siphonal canal, thus ending at the margin of the outer lip, on the bachside' (from Cossmann, defining the french word "limbe"). Oval eqc-shaped form, rith a slightly or very slightly elevated spire. (5)

4 (5) Fairly broad and sharnly bordered fasciole. Presence of a curvature (notch) at the end of the siphonal canal. Adult specimers with the edge of the outer lip thickened and lirate (or crenulate) 1)a)....


5 (4) nriy a weak narrow faeciole present. Total absence of curvature
a) For the text-notes is refered to page 40 ent 41
at the end of the siphonal canal. Outer lip marain thickened, interiorly smooth, neither crenulate nor lirate
.................................. Marginells Lmt., subr. Glabella Fwainson
6 (3) No fasciole at all. Outer lip interior never crenulate nor lirate. No curvature present in the siphonal canal........................... 7 (8)

7 (8) Almost biconical, with a clearly elevated snire (sometimes fairly blunt). Outer lip interior edee thictrened and pronounced by 9 . denticle like protrusion. The 2 posterior columellar folds are not or slightly oblique. Vith 3 exceptions, the pronortion of the aperture height to the total height is smaller or equal to $2 / 3$ ............................... Marginella Imk., subg. Stazzania Sacco

8 (7) Last whorl cylindrical, spire short; anerture very high (more than $3 / 4$ of total height). Cides of aperture narallel. Thickenine of the outer lip weak or null. All the columellar folds narrov, sharp and oblique.................. Marginella Lmk., subg. Volvarina Hinds

COMENTS ON GENERIC DIVISIONS - The above determination table shows the difficulty to seperate the different genera. Let us therefor specify 2 examples.

1) Thiele rade Gibberula a suheenus of Persicula because of the likeness of the radula. Cossmann made it a subgenus of Crytospira because of the presence of a fasciole. He regarded Cryptospire as a genus; while Thiele (again. considering the radula), made Cryptospira a subgenus of Marginella. In the Paris Basin, we consider Gibberula as a genus, well chergcterised conchologically.
2) We have included Marginelle entomelle Cossmann into the subfenus Stazzania (species considered hy Cossmann as a Marginella s.s.), because the differences do not seem sufficient to us: its anterior columellar folds are only less oblioue than in other Stazzania. (thus, to our meaning, there does not exist any Marrinella sencu stricto in the Paris pasin Eocene).

III - DFTREMINATION TAELE FOR THE GEFUC PFFAICULA (Fic. 1-4).
1 (6) Fairly large (adult specimens of 7 mm and over). Protracted or oval outline. Outer lin edge oblique, not elevated above the spire......................................................................... ${ }^{2}$ (5)

2 (5) Spire not or slightly elevated, covered by a flattened callus 3 (4)
3 (4) Outline protracted, almost. crylindrical with most specimens. 5-6 columellar folds on the anterior half of the columella. Outer lip interior with fine and closely spaced lirae (fig. 1)................ .......................................... 208ter-1 P. anfyetoma (Desh.) LUTETIAN: All clasical localities; especially Parner, Chaussy, Réquiëcourt, common; villiers-Saint-Frédêric, fairly cormon: fairly rare.

4 (3) Outline less protacted, more ego-shaned. 7-9 columellor folds, stretched to the posterior of the columella. Outer lip interiorly coarsely crenulate (fic. 2)................ dautzenbergí (Cossm.)

> AUVERSIAN: Barisseuse, Beron, very rare (1 speciren from each locality). It is a species described from the Tantes Basin, fairly cormon from Le bois-Gouët.

5 (2) Spire covered by a callus tiopine in an elevated knob. Dutline egf-shaped, fore contracted (fig. 4)..203ter-2 P. goossensi (Cossm.) LUTETIAN: Chaumont-en-Vexin (Holotype of Cossmann), Parnes (fide Cossmann), very rare.
Corment:' re have never actually found this species with certainty; some specimens from Parnes have a trotruding callus, but their evenly contracted form is verv much like that of Fersicule aneystome there are thus intermediate forms.

6 (1) Small size (height of adult snecimens smaller then 4 mr). outline subtrigonal, because of the sloving extension of the outer lip; short and flattened spire, above which the edge of the outer lip sometimes protrudes (fig. 3),..208ter-3 P. pseudempulla nom. nov. LUTETIAN: Chaussy, Péquiécourt, very rare. (A little less rare in the Basin of Nantes in Le Pois-Couët).

REMARKS ABOUT THE SPECIFIC LIMITS - Ye name P. pseudampulls the specimens attributed to M. ampulla Deshayes bv Cossmann. As Deshayes nointed out in his second work, his species vas an Frato, without real columellar folds (in his first work, Deshaves had not classified the Marginellidae because he had not differentiated between them and Fratol Rut the shells studied ky Cosemann are true Persicula, having real columellar folds. So it is necessary, as Pezent had surgested, to rive them a new specific name.

One must be carefuil vith juveniles of $P$. ancystoma, which can have a stouter form than the adults; but they do not have the elevoted outer lip of P. Dseudampulla.

Lastly, ve must make a diagrosis between P. oseudampulla and parginella (Glabella) allixi (Cossmann), snecies from the Nantes Rasin also reported herein from the Parisian Basin (more snecifically from Chaussy), which has exactly the same trigonal form vith extension of the posterior part of the outer lip edge, but of smaller size and obove all with a sire not covered by a callus.

IV - determination table for thf genus girberula (Fir. 5-13).
1 (2) Colvmellar folds verv numerous (11-12), not oblique (transverse); the posterior ones sliphtly vealer than the centrally nlaced., Large size; protracted and crlindrical outline, Spire ending by on elevated embryonic knob (fif. 5) ......................................... ..............................208bis-3 Gibberula frederici (Cossm.) LUTETIAN: Chaumont-en-Vexin (Oise), verv rare (fide Cossmann).
2 (1) Less abundant columellar folds (number smaller than 8 , normally 4-6.

3 (4) Smell adult size (height smaller than 3 mm ). Siire flattened, almost without elevation. Outer lin everteg, giving an almost triponal shape; 5 columellar folds (fim. 6) $\qquad$ ...............................208bis-5 fibberula cossmanni (Morlet)

LUTETIAN: Chaussy, Récuiécourt, fairly common; Ferme-de-l'Orme, rare. And may other classical localities (fide Cossmann). MARINESIAN: Le Ruel (fide Morlet).

4 (3) Oval or epg-shaped outline (not triconal). Spire elevated, even if short

5 (8) Only 4 columellar folds
6 (7) Stout egg-shaped outline; $2^{\text {nd }}$ columellar fold (starting from the base of columella) very thin and sickel form; profile of the outer lin convex, not everter, joining the suture very obliquely (fig. 7) ................................208his-10 ribberula godini (Cossm.) CUISIAN: Herouval, only the type specimen.

7 (6) Outline protracted, olivg like, almost cvlindrical. The upper part of the outer lip spreads away from the spire (see fig. 8, back view), and then joins the suture almost normally, surrounding a broad and shallow posterior canal. The foremost 2 columellar folds are thick, strong, very oblioue, and extend outside on the fasciole. The 2 posterior folds are weaker, deeper and more trensverse. Spire typically short (fig. 8).208bis-7 Gibberula vittata (Edwards) LUTETIAN: Fairly common in all the classical localities. Very common in Villiers-Saint-Frédéric and Ferme-de-l'Orme. AUVFRSIAN: Acy-en-Multien (fide Cossmann)
MARINESIAN: Le Ruel (fide Cossmann).
Comment: G. vittata is a very variable species (specimens with more than 4 columellar folds will be found further). The tyoical form has crenulations on the outer lip edge dominating the interior thickening and hardly extending into the averture. The varietyo (nobis) has long crenulations, extending far into the interior of the aperture (such as $G$, ovilate, vide infra). The variety $\beta$ (nobis) has a more elevated snire, the lateral expansion of the outer lip less proncunced, the same columellar folds as the variety $\alpha$ (through these 3 characteristics, it makes a passage to G. spirata, vide infre), hut the 4 columellar folds are tynical. Morpholorical intermediates exist between these different varieties

8 (5) More than 4 columellar folds (usually 5-6, seldom more)..... 9 (10)
9(10) The foremost 4 columellar folds have the same asnect as those of G. vittata (see 7), but there exist 1 or 2 supernumerary folds, very deep. The outer lip has the same characteristics as the typical G. vittata one ....Gibberula vittata (Fdwards), var. $\gamma$ and $\delta$ LUTETIAN: Damery, Montmirail, rare (var. $\gamma$ ). Les Groux, fairly common Parnes, Ferme-de-i'Orme, fairly rare; Vaudancourt, Villiers-Saint-Frédéric, rare (var. ס).
AUVERSIAN: Le Guépelle, verv rare (var. $\gamma$ ). Barisseuse, very rare (var. $\delta$ ).
Comment: The variety $X$ has a cylindrically pyotracted outline, a very short spire and 2 supernumereny folds ${ }^{3}$. The variety $\delta$ has a slightly shorter outline form, the spire slightly more elongated than the typical form; usually one supernumerary columellar fold only (seldom a second, very weak).

10(9) The aperture joins the suture vithout lateral expansion (sometimes
with a small, very narrow notch before the joint) $\cdot \therefore .0 . . .11$ (16)
11(16) Spire short or very short,"smaller than $1 / 6$ of the total height ........................................................................ 12 (15)

12(15) Outline egg-shaped, somewhat stout; spire very short....... 13 (14)
13(14) Small (adult specimens not higher than 5 m). Maximum convexity somewhat at the anterior side (almost $1 / 2$ or $3 / 5$ anterior). 5-6 columellar folds, very uneven; the first 2 fairly thin, but prominent, fairly widely spaced (the former very oblique, the latter more transverse), the 2 following clearly transverse, the last 2 weak and very deep in the interior (last one can be absent) ${ }^{4}$ ) Crenulations of the outer lin eenerally limited to the thickening of the aperture interior, seldom extended into the aperture opening (fig. 9).... .................208his-4 Gibberula pusilla (Edwards) AUVERSIAN: All classical localities, fairly common. In particular, Auvers-sur-Oise, Ver-sur-Launette, Le Guépelle, Rarisseuse. MARINESIAN: Le Ruel, Chars, rare.

14(13) Size of the adults clearly larger ( $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Maximum convexity very much at the anterior ( $1 / 5$ ). Columellar folds oblique, strong and regularly lessening from anterior to posterior; 5 in the typical form and $2-3$ more posterior folds in the variety polyptycta (Cossmann), Crenulations in the aperture always extended, starting fairly far from the edee of the outer lip, and extending into the anerture. Spire extremely short (fig. 10) ................................208bis-1 Gibberula ovulata (Lamarck) LUTETIAN: All classical localities, common (the variety more common than the typical form).
AUVERSIAN: Auvers-sur-Oise, Ver-sur-Launette, fairly rare. MARINESIAN: Le Quoniam, fairly rare.

15(12) Outline protracted, olive like, almost cvlindrical; spire slightly longer. Typically $7-8$ columellar folds, of which only the first 5, very oblique, are constantly present; the others are transverse and deep in the interior. Crenulations of the outer lip is strong, starting from the thickening of the outer lip and extending towards the interior (fig. 11).........208bis-8 Gibberule suboliva (Cossm.) AUUERSIAN: Acy-en-Multien, Le Guénelle (fide Cossmann). MARINESIAN: Le Ruel (fide Cossmann). Comment: We have never with certainty come across this species in the Parisian Basin. The illustration in the "Iconographie". represents a badly eroded specimen, which is in contradiction to the original illustration. (Catal. Illustré, IV, pl.VII fig. 14), and to the illustration ("Loire Inférieure"; I, pl.VII fig. 7-8). We heve found this species in Rois-Gouët (where it is rare); with the lack of a Parisian specimen, we have illustrated one specimen from the Nantes Basin;

16(11) Spire fairly protracted; $1 / 6$ of the total height and more, conical, pointed 17 (18)

17(18) Margin of the outer lip thin along whole length; the internal crenulations are distant from the edge of outer lip and extend . :: into the interior (such as with G. ovulata, fig. 11) (fig. 12).


#### Abstract

208bis-1" and 2 Gibberula spirata (Cossm.) CUISIAN: Liancourt-Saint-Pierre, fairly rare; Hérouval, rare; Cuise, Saint Gobain (fide Cossmann). LUTETIAN: All classical localities, fairly rare. Comment: Cossmann has differenciated the Lutetian specimens under the name G. ovulata var. snirata Cossmann, from the Cuisian specimens, under the preoccupied name elevata Cossmann (non Enmons) changed to praenominate. Cossm. But not any criterion mentioned by Cossmann to differentiate the Cuisian specimens (i.e. the maximum convexity more at the anterior part, outer lip more slanting, folds more transverse) seems to us to be constant; when comporing specimens from the Cuisien (Liancourt-Saint-Pierre) and from the Lutetian. That is why, as the Lutetian specimens differ notably from G. ovilata through their outline and their longer spire, it seems logical to us to raise the variety $208 \mathrm{bis}-1$ " to the rank of species, and to add to it 208 bis-2 praenominata (regarded as a junior synonym).


18(17) Margin of the outer lip interiorly thickened in its mid section, becoming thinner at the anterior and posterior part; fairly coarse crenulations, which can extend to the interior of the aperture. Spire higher, regularly conical in the tronical form, rith a slightly concave profile in the variety subconcava Cossmann (fig. 13)........208bis-6 Gibberula acutisvira (Cossm.) LUTETIAN: Chaussy (typical form, and var. subconcava), fairly rare; Villiers-Saint-Frédéric, Vaudancourt, Parnes, Réguiécourt, Les Groux, rare.
$V$ - DETERMINATION TABLE FOR THE GENUS MARGINELILA;SUBGENUS GLABELLA (FIG. 14-16) (=Egouena Jousseaume).

Because of their egg-shaped outline, their siphonal canal without notch, and their uncrenulated aperture interior, we group 3 species under the name Glabella, though these snecies were named under different genera by Cossmann.

This author (Catal, Illustré,IV, p.207) first classified Glabella nitidula as Egouena (synonym of Glabella), but in the Essais and the Iconographie he classified it Marginella sensu stricto. The great likeness with M. amygdala Kiener (the Eqouen of Adanson), a recent species from West Africa, makes us prefer the first classification by Cossmann.

Concerning the 2 other small species, Gl. allixi and Gl. chevallieri, remembering how we judged Gibberula cossmanni (cf. note 2), it seems logical to us to classify them here rather than in Gibberula (where Cossmann had placed them, notwithstanding the lack of notch at the end of the siphonal canal).

1 (2) Large size (for Parisian Eocene); height more than 10 mm . Shell thin (compared to the size). 4 columellar folds, very thin and narrow, widely spaced, the anterior 2 obliaue, and the next 2 transverse (fig. 14)...........208-16 M. (clabella) nitidula Desh. LUTETIAN: Parnes, very rare; Grignon (fide Deshayes); Réquiécourt (fide Glibert).

2 (1) Dimension very small: adult height smaller than or equal to 2.5 mm

[^0]3 (4) Outer lip elevated and protruding ahove the very flattened spire (fig. 15)................................ (Glabella) ellixj (Cossm.) LUTETIAN: Species described from the Nantes Besin (Bois-Gouët, fairly rare): but we found it in the Paris Rasin, in Chepssy, fairly rare.

4 (3) Outer lin not overreaching the spire, only slightly laterally extended (fig. .16)............ (Glabella) chevallieri (Cossm.) LUTEPIAN: Chaussy, Réquiécourt, fairly common; Parnes, Villiers-Saint-Frédéric, Saint-Lubin-de-la-Haye, rare.

VI - DFTERMINATION TARLE FOR THE GEMUS MARGINELLA, SUBGENUS STAZZANIA (Fig. 17-33).

1(12) Nid columellar folds clearlv bifurcate at their end; their diverrings ends sometimes join together from one fold to the other

2 (5) Last whorl angular or sub-angular, with 4 columellar folds...... ............................................................................ 3 (4)

3 (4). The shoulder of the last whorl forms a sharp keel, vell characterised: sometimes small longitudinal strise. Some rare specimens heve only a very weak bifurcation of the columellar folds (fig: 17. ...................................208-10 M. (Stazzania) acutanpula Desh. LUTETIAN: Les Groux, Parnes, common; Réquiécourt, fairly common; Fontenay-Saint-Père, Montmirail, Damery, Gomerfontaine, rare.

4 (3) The shoulder of the last whorl is rounded although enpular, not really keeled. Thickening of the outer lip edge more protruding. (There are intermediary forms with the previous species, where the keel is very blunt) (fig. 18)
.............................208-11 M. (Stazzania) contakulata Desh. LUTETIAN: Fercourt, Nontmirail, Ferme-de-l'Orme, fairly cormon; Grignon, Villiers-Saint-Frédéric, Parnes, fairly rare.

5 (2) Profile of the last whorl rounded, not sub-angular; 4-5 columellar folds; if present, the 5 th fold is not hifurcate and deeply placed (one must seek it in the deepest part of the aperture)...."

6 (7) Very narrow outline (rroportion of total height to diameter larger than 2/1), practically cylindrical. Spire short (height of the aperture more than $2 / 3$ of total height.). 4 columeller folds. Outer lip thickening thin interiorly. Very small size, 2 mm (fir. 19).. ................................. (Stazzania) pseudovolvarina nova species LUTETIAN: Thionville-sur-Opton, very rare: 4 syntypes (see description here after).

7 (6) Foregoing characteristics not applicable; outline clearly riconical (proportion total height/diameter smaller than 2/1); if the spire is short, the outline is more stout. 4-5 columellar folds.. 8 (11)

8(11) Alwavs 5 columellar folds; form on the whole stout..........9 (10)

9 (10) Very short spire (proportigh height of aperture/total height more thar or equal to $3 / 4)^{5}$. Duter lip rith a very thin thickening, which does not narrow the averture. The columellar folds can be slightly bifurcate; in that case, the fore branch is longer than the aft one (fir.20).
...............................208-14 M. (Stazzania) fragilis Desh. LUTETIAN: Ferme-de-1'Orme, Chaussy, Réquiécourt, very rare; Grignon, Parnes (fide Deshaves), Fev-sous-Rois (fide Cossmann).

10 (9) Cibbous stout form; always small size (less than 3 mm ). Spire relatively high (height of aperturel total height inbetween 3/5 and 2/3); outer lin thickening very thick interiorly, reducing the aperture to a narrow slit (fig. 21)................... . ..............................208-13 M. (Stazzenia) abnormis Morlet AUVIRSIAN: Ermenonville (Rois fe Perthes), very rare. MARINESIAN: All classical locelities, very common.
11 (8) Normally 4 columellar folds, but a $5^{\text {th }}$ fold, weak and very deep in the interior, can occur. Outline varigble with are; clearly biconical with adult specimens (aperture height $3 / 5$ to $2 / 3$ of total haight) : relative height of the spire shorter with juve-' niles . Outer lip thickened, especialy exteriorly; less. . narrowed everture than in the previous species. Adult size small, $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ (fig. 22)
...............208-12 M. (Stazzania) bifidonlicata Charlesw. in Fdw. LUTETIAN: All classical locelities, common or very common. AUVERSIAN: All classical localities, fairly common.
 Comment: A polymorph species, variable in shape and dimensions. There are intermediate morphs with the previous snecies, and with 11. (St.) contabulate (see entry 4) when the last whorl is slightly sub-angular.Cossmanndifferentiated 2 varieties (beside the specimens with 5 columellar folds, of which he made no special variety); i.e. var. columbellina Deshaves, only Lutetian, of larger size, more protracted and with a longer snire than the typical form (which is especielly Bartonien) $\%$ and var. acvensis Cossmann, particular of the Partonian locality Acy-en-multien, having a flattened thickening along the front of the marrin. Pezant described another veriety: suffusa, Bartonian, of fairly large size, with a longer spire, and with slightly bifurcate columellar folds (not very different from columbellins.s according to Cossmann).

12 (1) Simple columellar folds, not bifurcate (sometimes slightly notched at their end, but without a real hifurcation)................ 13 (14)

13(14) Upper part of whorls (shoulder) with axial rib/etc., which crenulate the suture under tef plossv enamel. Profile of whorls vell rounded, never sub-angular (fig. 23)
...............................208-9 M. (Stazzania) crenulata Desh. LUTETIAN: Houdan (tyoe specimen), Parnes (fide Cossmann): Ferme-de-l'Orme, Villiers-Saint-Frédéric, rare.

14(13) No longitudinal striae on the suture
15(18) Columellar folds thick, sguarely cut out or slightly notched

## (without a real bifurcation) at their outer end:............. 16 (17)

16(17) Form somewhat protracted (proportion of the diameter to the total height; in side viev, about 0.35 tot 040 ). Last whorl generally sub-angular or angular (without keel) . Aperture of $3 / 5$ of the
$\because \because$ total height (fig. 24)...208-2M. (Stazzania) crossula Desh. LUTETIAN: Almost all classicel localities, common. AUVERSIAN: Ver, Le Guépeilié, Earon,fairly common: Barisseuse, Attainville; rare.

MARITESIAT: Monneville, fairlv rare.
17(16). Stout (proportion of diameter to total height $=0.5$ ). Lest whorl rounded, never sub-angular. Aperture not so hiah, hetween $1 / 2$ and $3 / 5$ of total height (fic. 25)
.208-3 M. (Stanzzania) chastaingi Cossm. LUTETIAN: Villiers-Saint-Frédéric, Ferme-de-1'Orme, Chaussy (fide Cossm.).
Comment: We have not found with certainty this species, which is probably a strong variety of M. crassula. Only one snecimen from Grignon, illustrated here, answers the diagnosis hy Cossmann and the figure in the Iconographie; 2 more dubious specimens make a transition to crassula.

18(15) Columellar folds thin or very thin, widely spaced........ 19 (24)
19(24) Height of aperture greater then $2 / 3$ of total height......20 (23)
20(23) Only 4 columellar foldas
21(22) Columellar folds very thin, lamellar, very widely spaced, the anterior 2 only slightly oblique. Height of aberture greater than $2 / 3$ of total height (typically 3/4). Outer lip thin, curved to the top through a small notch (fig. 26). .............................208-17 M. (Stazzania') ehtomella Cossm. LUTETIAN: Villiers-Saint-Frédéric, Frileuse (Beynes), Ferme-de -1'Orme, Chaussy, very rare; Parnes (fide Cossmann).
22(21) Columellar folds thin, but not very thin, the anterior 2 very oblioue. Aperture equal to or greater than $2 / 3$ of total height (fig. 27).....................208-1 M. (stazzanis) évurnea Lmk. LUTETIAN: All classical localities, fairly common or common. Comment: The adults of the tynical form are large in size, and slender (protracted); their averture reaches $2 / 3$ of total height. The outer lip thickenine is thin. Cossmann differentiates (apart from the var. $\beta=$ pentaptycta, discussed here after): -the variety $\alpha$, broader then the tupical form, with anerture very high (greater than $2 / 3$ total heipht);
-the variety $\delta$, smaller in size, with a broader outer lin thickening with a denticuler posterior protrusion: it differs from M. hordenla (see entry 32) through a higher anerture.

23(20) 5 columellar folds (the $5^{\text {th }}$ posterior is often little protruding). Aperture very high, reachine $3 / 4$ of total height (fig. 28).... .................208-1 $M_{\text {. (Stazzania) eburnea pentaptycta Cossm. }}^{\text {. }}$ LUTETIAN: All classical localities. fairly common. Cossmann considers them as an ordinary variety of $M$. eburnea (var. $\beta$, in
the Catal, Illustre); it seems to us to be worthy of subsnecies rank.
24(19) Aperture smaller than $2 / 3$ of total height........................ 25 (30)
$25(30)$ Aperture greater than $1 / 2$ of total heirht......................... 26 (29)
26(29) Columellar folds very thin; outer lip very little thickened: aperture equal to $3 / 5$ of total heieht............................ 27(28)
27(28) First whorls flat, not convex. In principle (according to Cocsmenn), the aperture is widened to the anterior, but this characteristic does not seem constant, nor the little spiral thichenins hordering the suture (which can occur with other species) (fig. 29) .............................208-4 M. (stazzania) edwardsi Desh. LUTETIAN: He confer to this species a few specimens from Montmirail, lontchauvet and Saint-Lubin-तe-la-Haye. AUVEPSIAFT: All classical localities, foirly rare. MARINESIAN: All classical localities, fairly rare.

28(27) First whorls clearly convex: columella and outer lin parallel: no small thickening borderine the suture (fig. 30 ) .............................. (Stazzania) morelletorum Goug. \& Braillon AUVFR $I A N:$ Barisseuse, Ronquerolles, very rare. (It is the new species signaled by L. \& J. Morellet from Barisseuse, and that we heve already described; of. Fougerot \& Praillon, 1068: 196).
29(26) Thin (but not very thin) columellar folds, Sitūated in a broad shallow gutter (like a fossula) on the columella, which is hence bordered by a blunt ridge and not evenly convex as with other species. Outer lip thjckening broad and flattened, narroving the aperture, and without any denticulation. Fairly nerrow form: aperture height variable, from 2/3 and less to $1 / 2$ and more of totel height. The verticalness of the averture (on which Deshayes has stressed) is encountered vith other snecies alsn ${ }^{\circ}$ ( $(\overline{\overline{i f} .} 3 \overline{1})$ ............................203-5 M. (Stezzania) dissimilis Desh. LUTETIAN: Almost all clessical localities, fairlv rare: common in Ferme-de-1'Orme.

30(25) Aperture equal to half total height. Thoical and sharn denticle on the upper part of outer lip (sometimes only veak): fection Dentimargo Cossmann

31(32) Outer lip thickening thin interiorly and exteriorly. Narrov or very narrow form (fig. 32)..208-7 M. (Stazzania) dentifera Lmk. LUTETIAN: All classical localities, fairly common. AUVFRSTAN: Le Fayel (fide Coscmenn); Roncuerolles, verv rare. MARINE IAN: Le Quoniam, very rare.

32(31) Outer lip thickening thict interiorly and exteriorlv; less slender form. Aperture somewhat higher. Columellar folds a ${ }^{\text {it }}$ thicker. Outer lip denticle sometimes only vapuely visible (fig. 33)... ................................208-8 M. (Ctazzania).hordecla Desh. LUTETIAN: Almost all classical localities, fairly rare.

## VII - DETERMINATION TABLE FOR THE GENUS MARGTNELLA, SUBGENUS VOLVARINA (Fig. 34-37).

1 (2) 5 columellar folds; outer lip thickening broad, flat and winding round the siphonal canal (fig. 34 )

        .................................208-18\%. (Volvaring) eurychilus Cossm.
    
        CUISIAN: Liancourt-Saint-Pierre, very rare.
    2 (1) 4 columellar folds; outer lin with a thin margin, slightly curled in the anerture ..... 3 (4)

3 (4) Fairly large size (6-7 mm high). Form protracted, olivia like, with an elnost cylindrical last whorl; the characteristic, nointed out by Deshaves, of the spreading of the outer lip on the snire; which bends the suture upwards near the aperture, is unconstant (fig. 35).....................208-6 M. (Volvarina) cylindracen Desh. LUTETIAN: Grignon, Chaussv, Ferme-de-1'Orme (fide Cossmann) : Thionville-sur-Opton, very rare. AUVERSIAN: Le Fayel (fide Deshaves): Le Guépelle (fide Cossmann); Ronquerolles, very rare. MARINFSIAN: Chavençon, very rare.

```
4(3) Very small size (2-3 mm high). Nuch stouter form 10) aperture;post. columellar fold weak (fie. 36) .................................208-15 M. (Volvarina) hourvi Cossm. LUTETIAN: Neauphlette (Yvelines), Chambors (Oise). (fide Cossmann); Fercourt, very rare; Ferme-de-1'0rme, rare. MARINESIAN: Two specimens from Le Quoniam differ clearly from the typical Lutetian form through:
-their larger size;
-their \(4^{\mathrm{tr}}\) columellar fold so deep and so weak in the anerture, that it seems that only 3 folds exist:
-their very short spire, with a comnletely flattened top. These differences seem to us enough to characterize a distinct subspecies (fig. 37)
................... (Volvarina) bouryi supraeocaenica nova subsp.
```


## VIII - DESCRIPTTON OF NET TAXA.

1 - Marginella (Stazzania) pseudovolvarine nova species (fig. 19).
Derivatio nominis: It has the form of Volvarina and columellar folds of Stazzania.

Stratum typicum: Middle Lutetian, zone IIT after Abrard:
Locus typicus: Thionville-sur-0nton (Yvelines); 4 syntrpe snecimens.
DESCRIPTION: (fig. 19): Verv small shell (height 2 mm; max. diameter 0.8 mm ), consisting of 3 whorls, the last very large, taking up nearly the whole shell, of narrow and almost cvlindrical form. The first whorls are slightly convex. The aperture is very lone, $2 / 3$ of total height and more, with parallel columella and outer lip. Outer lip thin along its contour, with a fairly broad but flattened thickening on its hack, without any denticular protrusion interiorly. Columellar edge straight, with 4 very thin folds in the anterior half. The foremost is very oblique; the last

3 are more transverse and of lessening oblicueness, and chiefly bifurcated towards their end; the 2 branches of the fork are long, thin videly diverging; each fold joins the other successively. Siphonal canal broad, clearly notched below.

Discussion: The cylindrical form and the aperture with parallel sides are those of Volvarina, but this sub-genus does not correspond at all with a species which has its columellar folds bifurcated such as a Stazzanis: Not any Stazzania with bifurcated folds has the some form, being from the Parisian or the Nantes Basins; from the Cotentin or from England (cf. Edwards); we do not trink that the oarticuler form fits the young stage of one of these shells ( in spite of their small dimensions, the 4 syntypes seem adult); particularly, with M. bifidoplicata, the young ones show a shortened spire, giving them a stouter form than the adult one:

2 - Marginella (Volvarina) bouryi Cossmann sunraeocaenica nova suhspecies (fig. 37 ).

Derivatio nominis: Stratigraphical suhspecies from the under Eocene.
Stratum typicum: Upper Rartonian (Marinesian).
Locus typicus: Le Quoniam (Val d'Oise) : 2 smtype specimens.
Description: (fig. 37): Very small shell (height 2.6 mm ; max. diameter 1.6 mm , consisting of 3 whorls, the last one very large, practically taking up the total height of the shell. Form egg-shaved cylindrical. The spire is extremely short and flattened. Aperture very high, very narrow in its upper part and a little widened ant.: The outer. lip has a thin edge, without internal crenulations, slifhtly curled inward? it joins the columella by a regular curve, without any siphonal notch. The anterior part of the columella bears 3 very oblique marked folds; \& wrinkle, corresponding to a $4^{\text {th }}$ very veak fold, is difficult to see in the deep part. of. the aperture.

Discussion: The shape of the aperture, and the first 3 columellar folds, are exactly those of Marginella (Volvarina) bouryi Cossmann; this is why we consider this form a stratigrephical subspecies, which differs from the nominal Lutetian subspecies throuch its still shorter snire, its almost absent $4^{\text {th }}$ fold and its slightly larger size. The characteristics of the aperture and columellar folds are such that, even although a flattened top is present, it can be neither a Gibberula nor a Glabella.

## Notes

(1) One must pay attention to very voung srecimens, which lack crenulations in the interior of their outer lip. An english species from Rartion, Gibberula simplex (Edwards), is characterised, among others, by its smooth outer lin interior. We heve not come across G. simplex with certainty in the Parisian Basin; we have hesitated to confer to it a few presumably adult specimens; which are more rrobably damaged shells or specimens in a srowing stage of other species.
(2) The anertural lirae of $G$. cossmanni are often difficult to see, especially with juveniles (one should look for them with great care), and the anterior siphonal curvature is often very faint. It is thus an intermediate species, of still unsure generic location; it may be delicate to distinguish from Marginella (Glabella) chevallierj.
(3) Gibberula suboliva Cossmann (see entry 15) hae the outer lip more slanting, the soire more elongated, the crenulations in the anerture coarser.
(4) In comparison with Gibberula vittata var. $\delta$, the anterior folds are narrower, the second less oblique. The outlines also differ.
(5) Because of this, juvenile specimens of M. (St.) fragilis and of M. (St.) bifidoplicata may be difficult to differentiate. The best differential characteristic is the thickening of the outer lit, thin with fragilis and thick with bifidoplicata.
(6) M. (St.) acutangula (see entry 3) can sometimes have small longitudinal striae, but its sharp keel makes misidentification impossitle.
(7) Beceuse of this angularity and of the notched end of folds, this species must' be compared to. M. contabulata (see entry 4): the Iatter : heve however strongly bifurcate and clearly less thick folds. But some intermediate morphotypes exist, which are difficult to determine.
(8) There exist intermediary forms with fle crassula (entry 16), with: thick columellar folds, with hardly guttered columella, but where the protracted form of the last whorl is not anpular and the outer lip thickeninf is that of dissimilis: Montmirail (Lutetian), Barisseuse (Auversian).
(9) The original description by Deshaves, the illustration in his first work and the corment in his second work, do not mention the posterior denticle on the outer lip. It is Cossmann, in the'Catalogue Illustre' and the Iconogranhie; who classified it as Dentimargo; next to: w. dentiPera; meanwhile, in his work on the 'Loire inferieure', the denticle is not again mentioned. It is thus the form witrout denticle that has to be regarded as the typical form, and the denticulated form as a variety.
(10) Hence it looks like a Gibberule; but it has no fasciole, nor crenulations on the outer lip. The diagnosis is made on the thin and very oblique columellar. folds, of which the $4^{\text {th }}$ is very weak (this can lead to the observation of only 3 folds).
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FIG. 1-4 EOCETE PERSICULA
Fig. 1s and 1b: P.angystoma (Desh.), ex, from Parres, x5.
Fig. 2: P. dautzenbergi (Cosm.). ex. from Barisseuse, $x 7$,
Fig. 3: P. pseudampulla nomen novm; ex. from Chaussy, x8.
Fig. 4: P. goosaensi (Cossm.), holotype from Chamont-en-Vexin, after Cossm * $\times 5$.


PIG. 5-13 EDCENE GIBBERULA
Fig. 5: 0. Trederici (Cosem.), after Cossmann, x3
Fig. 6: G. cossmanni (Morlet), ex. from réquiécourt, x15
Fig. 7: G. godini (Cossm.), after Cossmann, x 7
Fig. 8: G. rittata (Edwards), ex. from Ferme-de-1'Orme, $x 7$
Fig. 9: G. pusilla (edwards), ex. from Barisseuse, x?
Fig. 10: G. ovulata polyptycta (Cossm,), ex. from Chaussy, $x 5$
Fig. 11: G. suboliva (Cossm.), ex. from Bois-Gouët, xT
Fig. 12: G. spirata (Cosem.), ex, from Ferme-de-1'Orme, $x\rceil$
Fig. 13: G. acutispira (Cossm.), ex. from Les Groux, x7.
$-45$


FIG: 14-16 EOCENE MARGINELLA (GLABELLA)
Fig. 14: M. (C.) nitidule Desh., ex. from Parnes, $x 5$
Fig. 15: M. (G.) allixi (Cossm.), ex. from Chaussy, x15
Fig. 16: M. (G.) chevallieri (Cossm.), ex. from Chaussy, $\times 15$


PIG. 17-28 EOCEINE MARGINELLA (STAZzanIA)
Fig. 17: M. (s.) acutangula Desh., ex. from Les Groux, $x 8$
Fig. 18: M. (8.) contabulata Desh., ex, from Ferme-de-1'Orme, $x 8$
Fig. 19: M. (S.) pseudovolvarina nova species, syntype from Thionville-sur-Opton, $\times 15$
Fig. 20: M. (S.) fragilis Desh., ex. from Ferme-de-1'Orme, x8
Fig. 21: M. (S.) abnormis Morlet, ex, from Chavencon, x8
Fig. 22: M. (S.) bifidoplicata Edwards, ex. from Villiers, $x 8$
Fig. 23: M. (8.) crenulata Desh., ex. from Villiers, $x 8$
Fig. 24: M. (S.) crassula Desh., ex. from Villiers, $x 5$
Fig. 25: M. (S.) chastaingi Cos日m., ex, from Grignon, x7
Fig. 26: M. (s.) entomella Cossm., ex. from Chaussy, x8
Fig. 27: M. (S.) eburnea Lamk., ex. from Grignon, x5
Fig. 28: M. (S.) eburnea pentaptycta Cossm., ex. from Villiers, $x 5$


FIG. 29-33 EDCENE MARGINETLA (STAZZANIA)
Fig. 29: M. (s.) edwards Desh., ex. from Barisseuse, x 8
Fig. 30: M. (B.) morelletorum Goug. \& Braill., holotype from Barisseuse, x8
Fig. 31: M. (8.) dissimilis Desh., ex, from Frileuse, x8
Fig. 32: $M$. ( $s_{*}$ ) dentifera Lamk., ex. from Villiers, $x 8$
Fig. 33: M. (S.) hordeola Desh., ex, from Réquiécourt, $x 8$.


PIG. 34-37 EOCENE MARGINELLA (VOLVARINA)
Fig. 34: M. (V.) eurychilus Cossm., ex, from Liancourt-Saint-Pierre, x 7
Fig. 35: M. (V.) cylindracea Desh., ex. from Thionville-sur-Opton, $x 7$
Fig. 36: M. (V.) bouryi bouryi Cosam., ex. from Perme-de-l'Orme, $x 15$
Fig. 37: M. (V.) bouryi supraeocaenica nova subspecies, syntype from Le Quoniam, $\times 15$.


[^0]:    Fasciole very weat. . 5-7 columellar folds

