Eatonina (Coriandria) globulina (Monterosato, 1884, before September) or E. (C.) pumila (Monterosato, 1884, after September) (Gastropoda, Prosobranchia, Cingulopsidae)?

J. VAN DER LINDEN

Frankenslag 176, 2582 HZ The Hague, The Netherlands

& W.M. WAGNER

Holtmeulen 65, 1083 CG Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Ponder's recent conclusion (1989) that Eatonina (Coriandria) globulina (Monterosato, 1884, before September) is probably a senior synonym of E. (C.) pumila (Monterosato, 1884, after September) is strongly challenged on the basis of indications in Monterosato's publications.

Key words: Gastropoda, Prosobranchia, Cingulopsidae, Eatonina, Mediterranean.

Recently, Ponder (1989: 87), by examining a photograph of a probable syntype of Setia globulina Monterosato, 1884, in the British Museum (Natural History), concluded that this taxon is probably a senior synonym of Eatonina (Coriandria) pumila (Monterosato, 1884). Consequently, in his summary of the modified classification of Northeastern Atlantic and Mediterranean species of Cingulopsidae, Ponder gives E. (C.) globulina priority over E. (C.) pumila.

We feel this conclusion is not justified on the basis of the following indications from Monterosato's publications:

- 1. In July 1884, Monterosato (1884a: 278) described Setia globulina as follows: "124. Setia globulinus, Monts. (nov. sp.?)

Più corta, quasi globulare a colorazione uniforme e ombelico assai visible. Alt. mill. 11/3; long. 11/3."

(more compact, almost spherical, uniformly coloured, with a well visible umbilicus). First of all, in his short description, Monterosato mentions that the shell is uniformly coloured, whereas the striking characteristic of Eatonina pumila is the occurrence of dark-brown spiral bands on a corneous background. Furthermore, the shell is compared with the preceding one on the list ('piu corta'), which is Setia fusca (Philippi, 1841); under the same genus, S. turriculata Monterosato, 1884, S. amabilis (Locard, 1886) and S. alleryana (Aradas & Benoit, 1874) are dealt with. Then, two pages on in the same publication, the genus Microsetia is discussed: Microsetia cossurae (Calcara, 1841), M. coelata Monterosato, 1884, and M. fulgida (J. Adams, 1797). Since M. fulgida is very similar to E. pumila, it seems most unlikely that the shell Monterosato described together with various other Setia species under Setia, and not together with Eatonina species under Microsetia, was an Eatonina indeed.

- 2. Even stronger indications on this point can be obtained from one of Monterosato's most important publications (1884b, no indications on the month of

issue), in which Setia and Microsetia are dealt with as well. Under Setia, S. globulinus is mentioned again (1884b: 72; same text as in 1884a), but now under Microsetia; S. pumila ('fortemente colorate') is included this time (1884b: 74). It seems highly improbable that Monterosato, in one publication, discussed the same shell under two different genera as two different species; on the contrary, it is much more likely that he considered two different shells indeed.

Thus, on the basis of the above considerations, we reject Ponder's suggestion, based on 'examination of a photograph of a probable syntype of S. globulina', that this taxon is probably a senior synonym of E. pumila.

REFERENCES

MONTEROSATO, T.A.DI, 1884a. Conchiglie littorali mediterranee.—Naturalista Sicil. 3: 277-281.
——, 1884b. Nomenclatura generica e specifica di alcune conchiglie mediterranee: 1-152. Palermo.
PONDER, W.F., 1989. Mediterranean Cingulopsidae. A relict Eastern Thetyan fauna.—Boll. Malac. 25: 85-90.