



Published in final edited form as:

*Alzheimers Dement.* 2015 December ; 11(12): 1439–1451. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2015.05.015.

## Genetically Predicted Body Mass Index and Alzheimer's Disease Related Phenotypes in Three Large Samples: Mendelian Randomization Analyses

Shubhabrata Mukherjee<sup>1,\*</sup>, Stefan Walter<sup>2</sup>, John S.K. Kauwe<sup>3</sup>, Andrew J. Saykin<sup>4</sup>, David A. Bennett<sup>5</sup>, Eric B. Larson<sup>6</sup>, Paul K. Crane<sup>1,\*\*,†</sup>, and M. Maria Glymour<sup>2,\*\*</sup> for ACT<sup>7,‡,8,‡,9,‡</sup> ROS/MAP<sup>7,‡,8,‡,9,‡</sup> ADGC<sup>7,‡,8,‡,9,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health Genetics, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Radiology, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

<sup>5</sup>Department of Neurology, Rush University, Chicago, Illinois, USA

<sup>6</sup>Group Health Research Institute, Seattle, Washington, USA

<sup>7</sup>ACT (Adult Changes in Thought) Study Investigators

<sup>8</sup>ROS/MAP (Religious Orders Study / Memory and Aging Project) Investigators

<sup>9</sup>ADGC (Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium)

### Abstract

Observational research shows that higher body mass index (BMI) increases Alzheimer's disease (AD) risk, but it is unclear whether this association is causal. We applied genetic variants that predict BMI in Mendelian Randomization analyses, an approach that is not biased by reverse causation or confounding, to evaluate whether higher BMI increases AD risk. We evaluated individual level data from the AD Genetics Consortium (ADGC: 10,079 AD cases and 9,613 controls), the Health and Retirement Study (HRS: 8,403 participants with algorithm-predicted dementia status) and published associations from the Genetic and Environmental Risk for AD consortium (GERAD1: 3,177 AD cases and 7,277 controls). No evidence from individual SNPs or polygenic scores indicated BMI increased AD risk. Mendelian Randomization effect estimates per BMI point (95% confidence intervals) were: ADGC OR=0.95 (0.90, 1.01); HRS OR=1.00 (0.75,

<sup>‡</sup>Correspondence to: Paul K. Crane, Department of Medicine, Box 359780, 325 Ninth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104, USA. pcrane@uw.edu, Phone: (206) 744-1831. Fax: (206) 897-4688.

<sup>\*</sup>Drs. Mukherjee and Walter contributed equally to the work and should be considered co-first authors.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Drs. Crane and Glymour contributed equally to the work and should be considered co-senior authors.

<sup>†</sup>Details on these consortia are provided in the acknowledgements section.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1.32); GERAD1 OR=0.96 (0.87, 1.07). One subscore (cellular processes not otherwise specified) unexpectedly predicted lower AD risk.

### Keywords

obesity; dementia; Alzheimer's Disease; Mendelian randomization

---

## INTRODUCTION

Observational studies indicate high midlife BMI predicts increased risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD), dementia, and memory impairment [1]. This association suggests weight management may reduce dementia risk, but the pattern may instead reflect confounding due to common causes of BMI and AD. Early life factors, such as cognitive characteristics,[2-3] socioeconomic status (SES),[4-5] and environmental toxins[6] potentially influence both BMI and AD risk. These factors are difficult to control in observational studies and may spuriously inflate associations between BMI and AD. Weight loss often occurs in prodromal stages of AD, leading to reverse causation, further obscuring causal effects.[7-8]

Causal effects of BMI on AD can be evaluated using "Mendelian Randomization" (MR) analyses, which are useful when reverse causation or confounding are likely.[9-11] In MR approaches, genetic variants that influence BMI are treated as a naturally occurring experiment in which some individuals, by virtue of their genetic inheritance, are "randomized" to higher BMI and others are randomized to lower BMI. As in randomized controlled trials, the overarching idea in MR is that randomization leads to differences in exposure (BMI) that are not related to confounding factors. MR takes advantage of accidents of meiosis – that is, each individual's inheritance of genes associated BMI is random. These genes are inherited independently of subsequent lifestyles or diseases unless the genes themselves influence such factors. The independence of these lifestyles and diseases from the genetic contribution to BMI enables unconfounded evaluations of associations between BMI and AD; these evaluations are thought to more closely approximate causal relationships because if the assumptions made by MR hold, the influence of confounding factors is substantially reduced or eliminated. MR analyses use genetic data to predict BMI, and assess associations between predicted BMI and AD. If BMI affects AD risk, then genetic factors that increase BMI should also increase AD risk (see further explanation of MR in Supplemental Methods 1.1). Because the effects of known alleles on BMI are relatively small, the magnitude of the association between BMI-related alleles and AD is also expected to be smaller than the association of BMI itself and AD. MR analyses account for this by using two stages of regression models to scale the association of BMI-related alleles and AD in proportion to the effect of these alleles on BMI.

In most MR studies, including analyses presented here, the genetic variants explain a small percentage of variance in measured phenotypes. The primary goal of MR is to avoid bias, even if there are unmeasured common causes of BMI and AD. The tradeoff for reducing bias is imprecise effect estimates. Combining information on multiple variants into

polygenic scores improves precision, but null MR results are most convincing if they are from large samples.

We conducted MR analyses of associations between BMI and AD-related phenotypes using data from the AD Genetics Consortium (ADGC) and the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). We used published results from GERAD1 to provide a 3<sup>rd</sup> independent sample.[12] From the pool of BMI related variants, we defined 4 mechanism-specific genetic subscores and derived subscore-specific effect estimates.[13] We hypothesized that BMI increases AD risk and that therefore the BMI polygenic scores and subscores would predict higher risk of AD-related outcomes.

## METHODS

### Sample 1: ADGC

The ADGC includes data from 19,692 individuals (10,079 AD cases and 9,613 cognitively normal elderly controls documented not to suffer from mild cognitive impairment) from 15 different studies, as previously published[14] and summarized in Supplemental Methods 1.2. Websites for each study are detailed in Supplementary eTable 1. Each study in the ADGC consortium genotyped using platforms from Illumina or Affymetrix and directly genotyped *APOE*. Each dataset was imputed to the HapMap build 132 reference panel.

### Sample 2: HRS

The HRS is a nationally representative cohort with enrolments in 1992, 1993, and 1998. Biennial interviews (or proxy interviews for decedent or impaired participants) are available through 2010.[15-17] From 12,123 HRS participants with genetic data, we restricted analyses to 8,403 with self-reported European ancestry. Genotyping was completed on an Illumina platform and imputed to the 1000 Genomes reference panel (details in Supplemental Methods 1.3).

### Sample 3: GERAD1

The GERAD Consortium included 3,177 AD cases and 7,277 controls confirmed to be free of dementia. Studies genotyped using various platforms and the dataset were imputed to the 1000 genome reference panel. We reanalyzed published data from GERAD1 [12] (see Supplemental Methods 1.4).

### Outcome Measures

All ADGC cases met NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for definite, probable, or possible AD[18], and all controls were cognitively normal elders. In HRS, we considered two outcomes. We used a previously developed dementia probability score (probability individual meets DSM-IV criteria) that integrates proxy and direct cognitive assessments[19]. We also used memory outcomes comprising word list recall and proxy assessments averaged across up to 9 assessments.[17, 19] GERAD1 cases met criteria for probable (NINCDS-ADRDA, DSM-IV) or definite (CERAD) AD.[12]

## BMI polygenic score generation

A previous meta-analysis of BMI genome wide association studies in 249,796 individuals identified 32 SNPs associated with BMI.[13] Following Richmond et al. [20], we used these genome-wide significant SNPs and the associated  $\beta$  weights from the published meta-analysis [13] to construct polygenic scores in ADGC (where 31 of the SNPs were available), and HRS (29 SNPs were available). For each individual  $i$  we calculated BMI polygenic scores, using an additive genetic model, as the sum across  $k$  SNPs of the product of the  $\beta$  weight for the effect of that SNP on BMI by the individual's allele count for that SNP:

$$GRS_i = \sum_{k=1}^{\#SNPs} \beta_k \cdot \text{allele count}_{i,k} \quad (1)$$

In exploratory analyses, we assigned each gene to one of four functional categories to generate mechanism-specific subscores after a literature review in PubMed: adipogenesis (adipocyte differentiation and fat accumulation, e.g. rs3817334 (MTCH2) with HDL-cholesterol levels[21]), appetite (regulation of appetite and food intake, e.g. rs10767664 (BDNF) with total caloric intake[22]), cardiopulmonary factors (cardiomyogenesis, oxidative stress response and cardiac remodeling, e.g. rs1310732 (SLC39A8) with diastolic blood pressure[21]), and BMI-related processes not otherwise specified (groupings and supporting references are shown in Supplemental eTable 2).

## Statistical analysis

As evidence for the validity of the MR analyses, we first used linear regression models to confirm that our polygenic scores predicted BMI in two ADGC studies with available BMI data (Adult Changes in Thought [ACT] and Religious Orders Study/ Memory and Aging Project [ROS-MAP]), and in HRS. We confirmed that BMI polygenic scores are independent of age and sex.

In our primary MR analyses, we used each SNP and BMI polygenic scores to predict AD (ADGC) or dementia probability (HRS) in logistic regression models to estimate odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All models accounted for population stratification with 3 principal components for ADGC and 6 for HRS. ADGC models included terms for each of the 15 studies and HRS models included age and sex. In HRS, we used linear models for the memory outcome.

We performed over-identification tests, a standard approach to evaluating MR analyses, [23-25] by comparing effect estimates from the 4 mechanism-specific polygenic scores. If associations between mechanism-specific scores and AD risk are statistically different, this would imply either a direct pathway linking genetic variants to AD that is not mediated by BMI, or that the different genetic subscores influence distinct types of adiposity, which in turn have distinct consequences on AD.

We repeated overall and mechanism-specific analyses using results from a recently published study from the GERAD Consortium.[12] We estimate the MR based OR for the effect of BMI on AD using an inverse variance weighted approach[26] in GERAD and meta-analyzed ADGC and GERAD1 results, as both these consortia used AD as the outcome.

In addition we investigated non-linear effects of BMI on AD and dementia by backing out the genetically predicted BMI from the measured BMI in HRS and ROS-MAP.[27] We subsequently divided this new “environmental” BMI into three strata (Environmental BMI <20, 20 - <30, >30) and included this variable as an interaction term when predicting AD and dementia using the BMI polygenic score.

All participants in all studies signed consent forms, and review boards have approved the present analyses, as detailed in Supplemental Methods 1.6.

All analyses were considered significant using a two-sided  $\alpha = 0.05$  criterion, without correction for multiple testing.

## RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of study participants are shown in Table 1. In HRS, mean BMI was 27.4 Kg/m<sup>2</sup> (SD = 5.08); only 65 (0.7%) participants were underweight (BMI < 18.5), 2879 were (34.3%) normal weight (BMI: 18.5 – 25), 3327 (39.6%) were overweight (BMI: 25-30), and 2133 (25.4%) obese (BMI > 30). BMI polygenic scores predicted a range of 4.0 (mean=3.37, SD=0.55) BMI points in ADGC and a range of 3.7 (mean=3.87, SD=0.52) BMI points in HRS (Table 2). As expected under the analysis assumptions, BMI polygenic scores were independent of age (ADGC *p*-value = 0.48, HRS *p*-value 0.75) and sex (ADGC *p*-value = 0.87, HRS *p*-value 0.75). In 3,008 individuals with available BMI measures from ACT or ROS/MAP (of whom 615 eventually developed AD), BMI polygenic scores significantly predicted measured BMI at study entry ( $\beta=0.86$ ; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.53, 1.20; *p*-value < 0.001) and in HRS ( $\beta=1.03$ , 95% CI 0.83, 1.23, *p*-value <0.001)(Table 3). As expected in these samples of older people, the gene score explained only a small proportion (~1%) of the variance in BMI. Each of the mechanism-specific polygenic scores also significantly predicted BMI (Supplementary eTable 3).

None of the genetic variants associated with BMI was associated with AD in ADGC or with probability of dementia or memory in HRS after Bonferroni correction (Table 4). Of particular note, neither of the BMI-related SNPs (rs4836133, rs713586) previously reported to have a nominal association with AD risk in GERAD [12] was associated with AD risk in ADGC; nor were they associated with probability of dementia or memory in HRS. Higher BMI polygenic scores were non-significantly associated with *lower* odds of AD (OR= 0.95, 95% CI: 0.90 - 1.01, *p*-value = 0.09) in ADGC as a whole (Table 5), and were not significantly associated with increased AD risk in any of the 15 studies within ADGC (Supplemental Results, eFigure 1). For ADGC, further adjustment for age, sex, and APOE  $\epsilon 4$  made little difference (Supplemental Results, eTable 4). In HRS, higher BMI polygenic scores were not associated with probability of dementia (OR: 1.00, 95%-CI: 0.75, 1.32, *p*-value = 0.98) or memory (beta = 0.002, 95%-CI: -0.01, 0.01, *p*-value = 0.57). In GERAD, the BMI polygenic score was not significantly associated with increased AD risk (Table 5, OR: 0.96, 95% CI: 0.87, 1.07). Fixed-effects meta-analysis of the ADGC and GERAD estimated ORs for the causal effect of BMI on AD was 0.95 (95%-CI: 0.91 - 1.00, *p*-value = 0.06) (Table 5).

The mechanism-specific polygenic scores for Appetite, Adiposity, and Cardio-Pulmonary Function were not significantly associated with AD in ADGC or GERAD, or with probability of dementia or memory in HRS (Table 5). The “Unspecified BMI-related Cellular Processes” polygenic score was associated with lower odds of AD in the ADGC (OR: 0.82, 95% CI: 0.72 – 0.92,  $p$ -value = 0.001), with lower probability of dementia (OR=0.87, 95% CI 0.46-1.66,  $p$  value = 0.68) and higher memory scores ( $\beta$ =0.02, 95% CI 0.00, 0.04,  $p$  value = 0.11) in HRS, and with lower AD risk in GERAD (OR: 0.81, 95%-CI: 0.62, 1.06,  $p$  value = 0.13). The forest plot for “Unspecified Cellular Processes” showed consistent effects across studies in ADGC and GERAD (Supplementary eFigure 2). The fixed-effects meta-analysis of ADGC and GERAD resulted in an OR of 0.81 (95%-CI: 0.74 - 0.90,  $p$ -value < 0.001). The over-identification test rejected the null hypothesis that the effect estimates for the 4 mechanism-specific subscores were identical in the ADGC ( $p$ -value = 0.01) but not for dementia probability ( $p$  = 0.30) or the memory outcome ( $p$ -value = 0.46) in HRS or for AD in GERAD ( $p$ -value=0.13).

In sensitivity analyses we tested whether the top 1000 BMI-increasing SNPs from Speliotes et al. were associated with higher dementia risk in our study cohorts. We found no evidence of association between this enlarged BMI polygenic score and AD (ROS-MAP, OR: 0.89, 95%-CI: 0.76 - 1.05), or dementia probability (HRS, OR: 1.03, 95%-CI: 0.94 - 1.12). Likewise, comparing the sign of the association with BMI (from GIANT) to the sign for the association with AD (from IGAP), we found no significant tendency for SNPs that predicted higher BMI also predicted higher AD risk ( $p$ =0.24). Results from the evaluation of a possible non-linear relationship between BMI and AD or dementia were inconclusive and are reported in the appendix (Supplementary eTable 5).

## DISCUSSION

We find that a BMI polygenic score predicting a range of almost 4 points in BMI was not associated with increased risk of AD-related phenotypes in any of 3 large studies. Indeed, point estimates indicate lower dementia risk associated with higher BMI. In exploratory analyses, polygenic scores relating to BMI differences induced by appetite, cardiopulmonary processes, and adipogenesis polygenic scores had null effects on risk of AD-related outcomes. In contrast, a polygenic score for genes influencing BMI via “unspecified cellular processes” significantly predicted lower risk of AD related phenotypes.

The link between obesity and dementia has long been controversial. A recent meta-analysis [1] concluded that midlife obesity (40-59 years) increases dementia risk. Reducing population obesity has therefore been proposed as a promising strategy to reduce the global burden of dementia[1, 28-29]. Obesity at older ages has been associated with lower risk of AD[30-31], however, an observation that is often attributed to reverse causation (early dementia reducing appetite, for example). Caution is warranted, however, because the inference that midlife BMI is harmful is based largely on observational studies, which face well-recognized methodological difficulties for establishing causality [11]. These limitations are especially salient when estimating effects of BMI on AD.

Because randomized trials of BMI are not feasible, however, until now there has been no practical approach to advance beyond conventional observational studies. This challenge therefore motivated the current analysis, which is not vulnerable to the same confounding or reverse causation bias. Using MR avoids bias even if there is reverse causation. MR also avoids bias from measured or unmeasured confounders that may influence both BMI and AD, such as childhood SES. Although all epidemiologic studies must rely on strong assumptions to support causal inferences, the MR approach we present here offers a powerful tool to evaluate causal hypotheses and is an important step forward with the goal of a triangulation of evidence. MR can uncover risk factors even if the critical etiologic period occurs prior to study enrollment.[32-34] The BMI estimate derived here probably best corresponds with a lifelong difference in BMI, incorporating early and midlife differences. Our results suggest the simplistic view -- that elevated BMI increases dementia risk -- may be misguided.

Our findings are consistent with two possible interpretations. One is that BMI does not affect AD risk, and previous findings are due to uncontrolled confounders. Another possibility is that BMI is a multi-faceted exposure capturing different dimensions of adiposity, and these different dimensions have distinct effects on dementia risk. This latter interpretation is consistent with evidence that BMI is influenced by heterogeneous physiologic characteristics, for example including both lean and fat body mass and peripheral and central adiposity.[35-36]

MR analyses rely on three assumptions: the genes must predict the phenotype of interest (e.g., BMI); there must be no *direct* pathway from the genes to the outcome not mediated by the phenotype (i.e., no pleiotropic effects of the BMI related genes on AD); and there must be no common causes of the genes and the outcome (e.g., genes in linkage disequilibrium with the BMI alleles that themselves influence AD). Although assumptions of MR analyses merit careful scrutiny[23], the most plausible violations of these assumptions seem unlikely to account for our findings. Extensive prior evidence supports the first assumption, that the BMI polygenic score predicts life course BMI of participants. We used only SNPs previously shown to predict BMI at genome-wide significance thresholds and confirmed that our polygenic score predicted BMI in HRS, ACT, and ROS/MAP. The second assumption, that the variants used in the polygenic score have no direct pathways via which they influence AD *except through* BMI, cannot be proven. Nevertheless, there is strong supporting evidence. For example, recent findings of Hinney et al, showed only two BMI-related SNPs had a suggestion of a direct effect on AD (neither survived Bonferroni correction) [12]. These SNPs were not associated with AD in ADGC or dementia in HRS. This does not conclusively prove the validity of our approach, but we note that even if there is modest pleiotropy, it is unlikely to explain our unexpected null associations. To explain the discrepancy between our results and observational findings, there must be variants that *increase* BMI but *decrease* AD risk. Nonetheless the assumption that there is no direct relationship between our BMI variants and AD requires scrutiny and replication of our findings is needed. The third MR assumption (no unmeasured common causes of the genetic variants and AD) is generally least controversial because conceptually most AD risk factors are temporally subsequent to genetic background and therefore few risk factors are plausible

causes of the genetic variants. However, this assumption could be violated, for example, if parental genotype on the loci in our BMI polygenic scores influenced participants' SES, which influenced AD risk. Given associations between SES and BMI, this seems possible, but unlikely to explain our results because any effects would bias towards associations between higher BMI and increased AD risk (whereas we found non-significantly reduced risk).

One caveat to our analyses is that BMI may be relevant for AD only above a certain threshold. The BMI polygenic score shifts the entire distribution of BMI, so it is associated with increased risk of being above any particular threshold (e.g., BMI>30 or BMI>35). For example, each unit on the polygenic score was associated with an OR of 1.50 (95% CI: 1.36, 1.65) for obesity among HRS participants. Even if the effect of adiposity only occurs above a threshold, we would expect the polygenic score to predict higher AD risk. Nonetheless, our point estimates should be interpreted cautiously for several reasons [37-39], including the lifelong effects of the genetic factors on BMI and the use of a case-control design. These factors could not, however, account for the null or protective association between the polygenic score and AD if BMI were in fact harmful. Another concern is related to survivor bias. Both ADGC and the GERAD1 sample are AD case control studies among older individuals. BMI has strong and age-dependent links to mortality[40], thus our samples may have included a highly selected subgroup of "survivors" immune to the effects of obesity. A very similar bias should apply to conventional observational studies, however, so it is unlikely that this bias could explain differences between our results and previous work.

MR can identify potentially heterogeneous effects of different dimensions of adiposity influenced by variants in different genes, even if these differences in adiposity were not directly measured. This is extremely appealing because the limitations of BMI are widely acknowledged.[35-36, 41]. MR estimates are specific to the phenotype influenced by the variants used in the analysis. We found evidence that a set of genetic variants associated with higher BMI may slightly reduce AD risk. This result was surprising, but if confirmed elsewhere, it could provide powerful insights into the origins of dementia and the link with adiposity. We consider the finding with respect to subscore effects to be exploratory, particularly because of the uncertainty in the causal genes associated with each SNP[42]. For example, recent findings from Smemo et al. suggest that the effects of the SNPs identified in intronic regions of the FTO locus in fact regulate expression of the IRX3 locus, rather than FTO. Our allocation of these SNPs to the "appetite" subscore was due to evidence that FTO expression regulates appetite and that the SNPs correlated with dietary intake, including selection of energy dense foods.[43-45] IRX3, however, is hypothesized to influence obesity via energy homeostasis, calling into question whether these SNPs should be classified as operating via an "appetite" mechanism. [46]

An important strength of this paper is that we derived the BMI polygenic score from SNPs identified in an external dataset. The proportion of variance in observed BMI explained by the BMI polygenic score was small. Nevertheless, since SNPs and their weights were derived externally, concerns of "weak instruments bias" are eliminated.[38, 47] Consistency of findings across 3 samples is another notable strength. Statistical power is a common



limitation in MR analyses, but the CIs in our analyses are informative and exclude any but very tiny harmful effects of BMI.

In summary, our finding that polygenic scores strongly related to higher BMI are unrelated to dementia risk and may even predict lower dementia risk is surprising, given prior observational evidence linking BMI and AD. Replication of this result in independent samples, and analyses to evaluate the assumptions of the MR approach for this research question are needed. These MR results, if confirmed, would suggest greater complexity in the link between adiposity and AD than previously understood.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

### Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium

Biological samples and associated phenotypic data used in primary data analysis were stored at the Principal Investigator's institutions, and at the National Cell Repository for Alzheimer's Disease (NCRAD), at the NIA Genetics of Alzheimer's Disease Data Storage Site (NIAGADS) at the University of Pennsylvania, and the NIA Alzheimer's Disease Genetics Consortium Data Storage Site at the University of Pennsylvania.

Marilyn S. Albert<sup>1</sup>, Roger L. Albin<sup>2-4</sup>, Liana G. Apostolova<sup>5</sup>, Steven E. Arnold<sup>6</sup>, Sanjay Asthana<sup>7-9</sup>, Craig S. Atwood<sup>9,7</sup>, Clinton T. Baldwin<sup>10</sup>, Robert C. Barber<sup>11</sup>, Michael M. Barmada<sup>12</sup>, Lisa L. Barnes<sup>13,14</sup>, Thomas G. Beach<sup>15</sup>, James T. Becker<sup>16</sup>, Gary W. Beecham<sup>17,18</sup>, Duane Beekly<sup>19</sup>, Eileen H. Bigio<sup>20,21</sup>, Thomas D. Bird<sup>22,23</sup>, Deborah Blacker<sup>24,25</sup>, Bradley F. Boeve<sup>26</sup>, James D. Bowen<sup>27</sup>, Adam Boxer<sup>28</sup>, James R. Burke<sup>29</sup>, Joseph D. Buxbaum<sup>30-32</sup>, Nigel J. Cairns<sup>33</sup>, Laura B. Cantwell<sup>34</sup>, Chuanhai Cao<sup>35</sup>, Chris S. Carlson<sup>36</sup>, Cynthia M. Carlsson<sup>8</sup>, Regina M. Carney<sup>37</sup>, Minerva M. Carrasquillo<sup>38</sup>, Steven L. Carroll<sup>39</sup>, Helena C. Chui<sup>40</sup>, David G. Clark<sup>41</sup>, Jason Corneveaux<sup>42</sup>, David H. Cribbs<sup>44</sup>, Elizabeth A. Crocco<sup>37</sup>, Carlos Cruchaga<sup>45</sup>, Philip L. De Jager<sup>46,47</sup>, Charles DeCarli<sup>48</sup>, F. Yesim Demirci<sup>12</sup>, Malcolm Dick<sup>49</sup>, Dennis W. Dickson<sup>38</sup>, Ranjan Duara<sup>50</sup>, Nilufer Ertekin-Taner<sup>38,51</sup>, Denis Evans<sup>52</sup>, Kelley M. Faber<sup>53</sup>, Kenneth B. Fallon<sup>39</sup>, Martin R. Farlow<sup>59</sup>, Lindsay A. Farrer<sup>54-58</sup>, Steven Ferris<sup>60</sup>, Tatiana M. Foroud<sup>53</sup>, Matthew P. Frosch<sup>61</sup>, Douglas R. Galasko<sup>62</sup>, Marla Gearing<sup>63,64</sup>, Daniel H. Geschwind<sup>65</sup>, Bernardino Ghetti<sup>66</sup>, John R. Gilbert<sup>17,18</sup>, Jonathan D. Glass<sup>67</sup>, Alison M. Goate<sup>45</sup>, Neill R. Graff-Radford<sup>38,51</sup>, Robert C. Green<sup>68</sup>, John H. Growdon<sup>69</sup>, Jonathan L. Haines<sup>70</sup>, Hakon Hakonarson<sup>71</sup>, Ronald L. Hamilton<sup>72</sup>, Kara L. Hamilton-Nelson<sup>17</sup>, John Hardy<sup>73</sup>, Lindy E. Harrell<sup>41</sup>, Elizabeth Head<sup>74</sup>, Lawrence S. Honig<sup>75</sup>, Ryan M. Huebinger<sup>76</sup>, Matthew J. Huentelman<sup>42</sup>, Christine M. Hulette<sup>77</sup>, Bradley T. Hyman<sup>69</sup>, Gail P. Jarvik<sup>78,79</sup>, Gregory A. Jicha<sup>80</sup>, Lee-Way Jin<sup>81</sup>, Gyungah Jun<sup>10,54,58</sup>, M. Ilyas Kamboh<sup>12,82</sup>, Anna Karydas<sup>28</sup>, Jeffrey A. Kaye<sup>83,84</sup>, Ronald Kim<sup>85</sup>, Neil W. Kowall<sup>57,86</sup>, Joel H. Kramer<sup>87</sup>, Walter A. Kukull<sup>88</sup>, Brian W. Kunkle<sup>17</sup>, Frank M. LaFerla<sup>89</sup>, James J. Lah<sup>67</sup>, James B. Leverenz<sup>90</sup>, Allan I. Levey<sup>67</sup>, Ge Li<sup>91</sup>, Andrew P. Lieberman<sup>92</sup>, Chiao-Feng Lin<sup>34</sup>, Oscar L. Lopez<sup>82</sup>, Kathryn L. Lunetta<sup>54</sup>, Constantine G. Lyketsos<sup>93</sup>, Wendy J. Mack<sup>94</sup>, Daniel C. Marson<sup>41</sup>, Eden R. Martin<sup>17,18</sup>, Frank Martiniuk<sup>95</sup>, Deborah C. Mash<sup>96</sup>, Eliezer Masliah<sup>62,97</sup>, Richard Mayeux<sup>75,98,99</sup>, Wayne C. McCormick<sup>43</sup>, Susan M. McCurry<sup>100</sup>, Andrew N. McDavid<sup>36</sup>, Ann C. McKee<sup>57,86</sup>, Marsel Mesulam<sup>20,101</sup>, Bruce L. Miller<sup>28</sup>, Carol A. Miller<sup>102</sup>, Joshua W. Miller<sup>81</sup>, Thomas J. Montine<sup>103</sup>, John C. Morris<sup>33,104</sup>, Jill R. Murrell<sup>53,66</sup>, Amanda J. Myers<sup>37</sup>, Adam C. Naj<sup>34</sup>, John M. Olichney<sup>48</sup>, Vernon S. Pankratz<sup>105</sup>, Joseph E. Parisi<sup>106</sup>, Amanda Partch<sup>34</sup>, Henry L. Paulson<sup>107</sup>, Margaret A. Pericak-Vance<sup>17,18</sup>, William Perry<sup>17</sup>, Elaine Peskind<sup>91</sup>, Ronald C. Petersen<sup>26</sup>, Aimee Pierce<sup>44</sup>, Wayne W. Poon<sup>49</sup>, Huntington Potter<sup>108</sup>, Joseph F. Quinn<sup>83</sup>, Ashok Raj<sup>35</sup>, Murray Raskind<sup>91</sup>, Eric M. Reiman<sup>42,109-111</sup>, Barry Reisberg<sup>60,112</sup>, Christiane Reitz<sup>75,98,99</sup>, John M. Ringman<sup>5</sup>, Erik D. Roberson<sup>41</sup>, Ekaterina Rogava<sup>113</sup>, Howard J. Rosen<sup>28</sup>, Roger N. Rosenberg<sup>114</sup>, Mark A. Sager<sup>8</sup>, Mary Sano<sup>31</sup>, Gerard D. Schellenberg<sup>34</sup>, Julie A. Schneider<sup>13,115</sup>, Lon S. Schneider<sup>40,116</sup>, William W. Seeley<sup>28</sup>, Amanda G. Smith<sup>35</sup>, Joshua A. Sonnen<sup>103</sup>, Salvatore Spina<sup>66</sup>, Peter St George-Hyslop<sup>113,117</sup>, Robert A. Stern<sup>57</sup>, Rudolph E. Tanzi<sup>69</sup>, Tricia A. Thornton-Wells<sup>118</sup>, John Q. Trojanowski<sup>34</sup>, Juan C. Troncoso<sup>119</sup>, Debby W. Tsuang<sup>23,91</sup>, Otto Valladares<sup>34</sup>, Vivianna M. Van Deerlin<sup>34</sup>, Linda J. Van Eldik<sup>120</sup>, Badri N. Vardarajan<sup>75,98,99</sup>, Harry V. Vinters<sup>5,121</sup>, Jean Paul Vonsattel<sup>122</sup>, Li-San Wang<sup>34</sup>, Sandra Weintraub<sup>20,123</sup>, Kathleen A. Welsh-Bohmer<sup>29,124</sup>, Jennifer Williamson<sup>75</sup>, Sarah Wishek<sup>17</sup>, Randall L. Wolter<sup>125</sup>, Clinton B. Wright<sup>126</sup>, Steven G. Younkin<sup>38</sup>, Chang-En Yu<sup>43</sup>, Lei Yu<sup>13</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, <sup>3</sup>Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center (GRECC), VA

Ann Arbor Healthcare System (VAAAHS), Ann Arbor, Michigan, <sup>4</sup>Michigan Alzheimer Disease Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan, <sup>5</sup>Department of Neurology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, <sup>6</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, <sup>7</sup>Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center (GRECC), University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, <sup>8</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, <sup>9</sup>Wisconsin Alzheimer's Institute, Madison, Wisconsin, <sup>10</sup>Department of Medicine (Genetics Program), Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>11</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Neuroscience, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, Texas, <sup>12</sup>Department of Human Genetics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, <sup>13</sup>Department of Neurological Sciences, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, <sup>14</sup>Department of Behavioral Sciences, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, <sup>15</sup>Civin Laboratory for Neuropathology, Banner Sun Health Research Institute, Phoenix, Arizona, <sup>16</sup>Departments of Psychiatry, Neurology, and Psychology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, <sup>17</sup>The John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, University of Miami, Miami, Florida, <sup>18</sup>Dr. John T. Macdonald Foundation Department of Human Genetics, University of Miami, Miami, Florida, <sup>19</sup>National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, <sup>20</sup>Cognitive Neurology and Alzheimer's Disease Center, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, <sup>21</sup>Department of Pathology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, <sup>22</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, <sup>23</sup>VA Puget Sound Health Care System/GRECC, Seattle, Washington, <sup>24</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>25</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>26</sup>Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, <sup>27</sup>Swedish Medical Center, Seattle, Washington, <sup>28</sup>Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, <sup>29</sup>Department of Medicine, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, <sup>30</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, <sup>31</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, <sup>32</sup>Departments of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, New York, <sup>33</sup>Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, <sup>34</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, <sup>35</sup>USF Health Byrd Alzheimer's Institute, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida, <sup>36</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, Washington, <sup>37</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida, <sup>38</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, <sup>39</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, <sup>40</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, <sup>41</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, <sup>42</sup>Neurogenomics Division, Translational Genomics Research Institute, Phoenix, Arizona, <sup>43</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, <sup>44</sup>Department of Neurology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, <sup>45</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Hope Center Program on Protein Aggregation and Neurodegeneration, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, <sup>46</sup>Program in Translational NeuroPsychiatric Genomics, Institute for the Neurosciences, Department of Neurology & Psychiatry, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>47</sup>Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts, <sup>48</sup>Department of Neurology, University of California Davis, Sacramento, California, <sup>49</sup>Institute for Memory Impairments and Neurological Disorders, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, <sup>50</sup>Wien Center for Alzheimer's Disease and Memory Disorders, Mount Sinai Medical Center, Miami Beach, Florida, <sup>51</sup>Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, Florida, <sup>52</sup>Rush Institute for Healthy Aging, Department of Internal Medicine, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, <sup>53</sup>Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, <sup>54</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>55</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>56</sup>Department of Medicine (Biomedical Genetics), Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>57</sup>Department of Neurology, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>58</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>59</sup>Department of Neurology, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, <sup>60</sup>Department of Psychiatry, New York University, New York, New York, <sup>61</sup>C.S. Kubik Laboratory for Neuropathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown, Massachusetts, <sup>62</sup>Department of Neurosciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, <sup>63</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, <sup>64</sup>Emory Alzheimer's Disease Center, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, <sup>65</sup>Neurogenetics Program, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, <sup>66</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, <sup>67</sup>Department of Neurology, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, <sup>68</sup>Division of Genetics, Department of Medicine and Partners Center for Personalized Genetic Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>69</sup>Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, <sup>70</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, <sup>71</sup>Center for Applied Genomics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, <sup>72</sup>Department of Pathology (Neuropathology), University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, <sup>73</sup>Institute of Neurology, University College London, Queen Square, London, UK, <sup>74</sup>Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, Department of Molecular and Biomedical Pharmacology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, <sup>75</sup>Taub Institute on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, New York, <sup>76</sup>Department of Surgery, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, <sup>77</sup>Department of Pathology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, <sup>78</sup>Department of

Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington,<sup>79</sup>Department of Medicine (Medical Genetics), University of Washington, Seattle, Washington,<sup>80</sup>Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, Department Neurology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky,<sup>81</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California Davis, Sacramento, California,<sup>82</sup>University of Pittsburgh Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania,<sup>83</sup>Department of Neurology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon,<sup>84</sup>Department of Neurology, Portland Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Portland, Oregon,<sup>85</sup>Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California,<sup>86</sup>Department of Pathology, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts,<sup>87</sup>Department of Neuropsychology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California,<sup>88</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington,<sup>89</sup>Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California,<sup>90</sup>Cleveland Clinic Lou Ruvo Center for Brain Health, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio,<sup>91</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington,<sup>92</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan,<sup>93</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland,<sup>94</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California,<sup>95</sup>Department of Medicine - Pulmonary, New York University, New York, New York,<sup>96</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Miami, Miami, Florida,<sup>97</sup>Department of Pathology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California,<sup>98</sup>Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, New York,<sup>99</sup>Gertrude H. Sergievsky Center, Columbia University, New York, New York,<sup>100</sup>School of Nursing Northwest Research Group on Aging, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington,<sup>101</sup>Department of Neurology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois,<sup>102</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California,<sup>103</sup>Department of Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington,<sup>104</sup>Department of Neurology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri,<sup>105</sup>Department of Biostatistics, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota,<sup>106</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota,<sup>107</sup>Michigan Alzheimer's Disease Center, Department of Neurology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan,<sup>108</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colorado,<sup>109</sup>Arizona Alzheimer's Consortium, Phoenix, Arizona,<sup>110</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Arizona, Phoenix, Arizona,<sup>111</sup>Banner Alzheimer's Institute, Phoenix, Arizona,<sup>112</sup>Alzheimer's Disease Center, New York University, New York, New York,<sup>113</sup>Tanz Centre for Research in Neurodegenerative Disease, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario,<sup>114</sup>Department of Neurology, University of Texas Southwestern, Dallas, Texas,<sup>115</sup>Department of Pathology (Neuropathology), Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois,<sup>116</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California,<sup>117</sup>Cambridge Institute for Medical Research and Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK,<sup>118</sup>Center for Human Genetics and Research, Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee,<sup>119</sup>Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland,<sup>120</sup>Sanders-Brown Center on Aging, Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky,<sup>121</sup>Department of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California,<sup>122</sup>Taub Institute on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Department of Pathology, Columbia University, New York, New York,<sup>123</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois,<sup>124</sup>Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina,<sup>125</sup>Department of Pathology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon,<sup>126</sup>Evelyn F. McKnight Brain Institute, Department of Neurology, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, Florida.

The National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Aging (NIH-NIA) supported this work through the following grants: ADGC, U01 AG032984, RC2 AG036528; NACC, U01 AG016976; NCRAD, U24 AG021886; NIA LOAD, U24 AG026395, U24 AG026390; Banner Sun Health Research Institute P30 AG019610; Boston University, P30 AG013846, U01 AG10483, R01 CA129769, R01 MH080295, R01 AG017173, R01 AG025259, R01AG33193; Columbia University, P50 AG008702, R37 AG015473; Duke University, P30 AG028377, AG05128; Emory University, AG025688; Group Health Research Institute, U01 AG06781, U01 HG004610, U01 HG006375; Indiana University, P30 AG10133; Johns Hopkins University, P50 AG005146, R01 AG020688; Massachusetts General Hospital, P50 AG005134; Mayo Clinic, P50 AG016574; Mount Sinai School of Medicine, P50 AG005138, P01 AG002219; New York University, P30 AG08051, MO1RR00096, UL1 RR029893, 5R01AG012101, 5R01AG022374, 5R01AG013616, 1RC2AG036502, 1R01AG035137; Northwestern University, P30 AG013854; Oregon Health & Science University, P30 AG008017, R01 AG026916; Rush University, P30 AG010161, R01 AG019085, R01 AG15819, R01 AG17917, R01 AG30146; TGen, R01 NS059873; University of Alabama at Birmingham, P50 AG016582, UL1RR02777; University of Arizona, R01 AG031581; University of California, Davis, P30 AG010129; University of California, Irvine, P50 AG016573, P50, P50 AG016575, P50 AG016576, P50 AG016577; University of California, Los Angeles, P50 AG016570; University of California, San Diego, P50 AG005131; University of California, San Francisco, P50 AG023501, P01 AG019724; University of Kentucky, P30 AG028383, AG05144; University of Michigan, P50 AG008671; University of Pennsylvania, P30 AG010124; University of Pittsburgh, P50 AG005133, AG030653, AG041718; University of Southern California, P50 AG005142; University of Texas Southwestern, P30 AG012300; University of Miami, R01 AG027944, AG010491, AG027944, AG021547, AG019757; University of Washington, P50 AG005136; Vanderbilt University, R01 AG019085; and Washington University, P50 AG005681, P01 AG03991. The Kathleen Price Bryan Brain Bank at Duke University Medical Center is funded by NINDS grant # NS39764, NIMH MH60451 and by Glaxo Smith Kline. Genotyping of the TGEN2 cohort was supported by Kronos Science. The TGen series was also funded

by NIA grant AG041232 to AJM and MJH, The Banner Alzheimer's Foundation, The Johnnie B. Byrd Sr. Alzheimer's Institute, the Medical Research Council, and the state of Arizona and also includes samples from the following sites: Newcastle Brain Tissue Resource (funding via the Medical Research Council, local NHS trusts and Newcastle University), MRC London Brain Bank for Neurodegenerative Diseases (funding via the Medical Research Council), South West Dementia Brain Bank (funding via numerous sources including the Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE), Alzheimer's Research Trust (ART), BRACE as well as North Bristol NHS Trust Research and Innovation Department and DeNDRoN), The Netherlands Brain Bank (funding via numerous sources including Stichting MS Research, Brain Net Europe, Hersenstichting Nederland Breinbrekend Werk, International Parkinson Fonds, Internationale Stichting Alzheimer Onderzoek), Institut de Neuropatologia, Servei Anatomia Patologica, Universitat de Barcelona. ADNI Funding for ADNI is through the Northern California Institute for Research and Education by grants from Abbott, AstraZeneca AB, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eisai Global Clinical Development, Elan Corporation, Genentech, GE Healthcare, GlaxoSmithKline, Innogenetics, Johnson and Johnson, Eli Lilly and Co., Medpace, Inc., Merck and Co., Inc., Novartis AG, Pfizer Inc, F. Hoffman-La Roche, Schering-Plough, Synarc, Inc., Alzheimer's Association, Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation, the Dana Foundation, and by the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering and NIA grants U01 AG024904, RC2 AG036535, K01 AG030514. We thank Drs. D. Stephen Snyder and Marilyn Miller from NIA who are *ex-officio* ADGC members. Support was also from the Alzheimer's Association (LAF, IIRG-08-89720; MP-V, IIRG-05-14147) and the US Department of Veterans Affairs Administration, Office of Research and Development, Biomedical Laboratory Research Program. P.S.G.-H. is supported by Wellcome Trust, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and the Canadian Institute of Health Research.

**ACT:** ACT is supported by a grant (U01 AG 06781, to Dr. Larson) from the National Institutes of Health.

**ROS/MAP:** ROS and MAP are supported by National Institute on Aging grants R01AG17917, R01AG34374, R01AG15819, and P30AG10161 (all to Dr. Bennett).

**The Health and Retirement Study** genetic data is sponsored by the National Institute on Aging (grant numbers U01AG009740, RC2AG036495, and RC4AG039029) and was conducted by the University of Michigan.

None of these funding agencies had any influence on the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Dr. Crane had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

## References

- [1]. Loeff M, Walach H. Midlife obesity and dementia: meta-analysis and adjusted forecast of dementia prevalence in the United States and China. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2013; 21:E51–5. [PubMed: 23401370]
- [2]. Juonala M, Juhola J, Magnussen CG, Wurtz P, Viikari JS, Thomson R, et al. Childhood environmental and genetic predictors of adulthood obesity: the cardiovascular risk in young Finns study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2011; 96:E1542–9. [PubMed: 21778217]
- [3]. Hart CL, Taylor MD, Davey Smith G, Whalley LJ, Starr JM, Hole DJ, et al. Childhood IQ, Social Class, Deprivation, and Their Relationships with Mortality and Morbidity Risk in Later Life: Prospective Observational Study Linking the Scottish Mental Survey 1932 and the Midspan Studies. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2003; 65:877–83. [PubMed: 14508035]
- [4]. Glymour MM, Manly J. Lifecourse Social Conditions and Racial and Ethnic Patterns of Cognitive Aging. *Neuropsychol Rev*. 2008; 18:223–54. [PubMed: 18815889]
- [5]. Borenstein AR, Copenhaver CI, Mortimer JA. Early-Life Risk Factors for Alzheimer Disease. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*. 2006; 20:63–72. 10.1097/01.wad.0000201854.62116.d7. [PubMed: 16493239]
- [6]. Hayden KM, Norton MC, Darcey D, Østbye T, Zandi PP, Breitner JCS, et al. Occupational exposure to pesticides increases the risk of incident AD: The Cache County Study. *Neurology*. 2010; 74:1524–30. [PubMed: 20458069]
- [7]. Mungas D, Crane PK, Gibbons LE, Manly JJ, Glymour MM, Jones RN. Advanced psychometric analysis and the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative: reports from the 2011 Friday Harbor conference. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2012; 6:485–8. [PubMed: 23232798]
- [8]. Naderali EK, Ratcliffe SH, Dale MC. Review: obesity and Alzheimer's disease: a link between body weight and cognitive function in old age. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease and Other Dementias*. 2009; 24:445–9.

- [9]. Thanassoulis G, O'Donnell CJ. Mendelian randomization: nature's randomized trial in the post-genome era. *JAMA*. 2009; 301:2386. [PubMed: 19509388]
- [10]. Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian randomization': can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int J Epidemiol*. 2003; 32:1–22. [PubMed: 12689998]
- [11]. Smith GD, Hemani G. Mendelian randomization: genetic anchors for causal inference in epidemiological studies. *Human molecular genetics*. 2014; ddu328.
- [12]. Hinney A, Albayrak O, Antel J, Volckmar AL, Sims R, Chapman J, et al. Genetic variation at the CELF1 (CUGBP, elav-like family member 1 gene) locus is genome-wide associated with Alzheimer's disease and obesity. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2014; 165B:283–93. [PubMed: 24788522]
- [13]. Speliotes EK, Willer CJ, Berndt SI, Monda KL, Thorleifsson G, Jackson AU, et al. Association analyses of 249,796 individuals reveal 18 new loci associated with body mass index. *Nature genetics*. 2010; 42:937–48. [PubMed: 20935630]
- [14]. Naj AC, Jun G, Beecham GW, Wang LS, Vardarajan BN, Buross J, et al. Common variants at MS4A4/MS4A6E, CD2AP, CD33 and EPHA1 are associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*. 2011; 43:436–41. [PubMed: 21460841]
- [15]. Juster F, Suzman R. An overview of the health and retirement study. *Journal of Human Resources*. 1995; 30(suppl):S7–S56.
- [16]. Heeringa, SG.; Connor, J. Survey Research Center, University of Michigan; Ann Arbor, Michigan: 1995. Technical description of the Health and Retirement Study sample design.
- [17]. Ofstedal, MB.; Fisher, GF.; Herzog, AR. Documentation of cognitive functioning measures in the health and retirement study. Survey Research Center, University of Michigan; HRS Documentation Report Ann Arbor, MI: 2005.
- [18]. McKhann G. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology*. 1984; 34:939–44. [PubMed: 6610841]
- [19]. Wu Q, Tchetgen EJT, Osypuk TL, White K, Mujahid M, Glymour MM. Combining direct and proxy assessments to reduce attrition bias in a longitudinal study. *Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders*. 2012
- [20]. Richmond RC, Smith GD, Ness AR, den Hoed M, McMahon G, Timpson NJ. Assessing Causality in the Association between Child Adiposity and Physical Activity Levels: A Mendelian Randomization Analysis. *PLoS medicine*. 2014; 11:e1001618. [PubMed: 24642734]
- [21]. van Vliet-Ostaptchouk JV, den Hoed M, Luan J, Zhao JH, Ong KK, van der Most PJ, et al. Pleiotropic effects of obesity-susceptibility loci on metabolic traits: a meta-analysis of up to 37,874 individuals. *Diabetologia*. 2013; 56:2134–46. [PubMed: 23827965]
- [22]. McCaffery JM, Papandonatos GD, Peter I, Huggins GS, Raynor HA, Delahanty LM, et al. Obesity susceptibility loci and dietary intake in the Look AHEAD Trial. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2012; 95:1477–86. [PubMed: 22513296]
- [23]. Glymour MM, Tchetgen Tchetgen E, Robins JM. Credible Mendelian Randomization studies: approaches for evaluating the instrumental variable assumptions. *American Journal Epidemiology*. Jan 12.2012 2012 forthcoming:First published online.
- [24]. Hausman JA. Specification tests in econometrics. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*. 1978; 46:1251–71.
- [25]. Sargan J. The Estimation of Economic Relationships using Instrumental Variables. *Econometrica*. 1958; 26:393–415.
- [26]. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. *Genet Epidemiol*. 2013; 37:658–65. [PubMed: 24114802]
- [27]. Burgess S, Davies NM, Thompson SG. Instrumental variable analysis with a nonlinear exposure-outcome relationship. *Epidemiology*. 2014; 25:877–85. [PubMed: 25166881]
- [28]. Barnes DE, Yaffe K. The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2011; 10:819–28. [PubMed: 21775213]

- [29]. Norton S, Matthews FE, Barnes DE, Yaffe K, Brayne C. Potential for primary prevention of Alzheimer's disease: an analysis of population-based data. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2014; 13:788–94. [PubMed: 25030513]
- [30]. Dahl AK, Löppönen M, Isoaho R, Berg S, Kivelä SL. Overweight and obesity in old age are not associated with greater dementia risk. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2008; 56:2261–6. [PubMed: 19093925]
- [31]. Fitzpatrick AL, Kuller LH, Lopez OL, Diehr P, O'Meara ES, Longstreth W, et al. Midlife and late-life obesity and the risk of dementia: cardiovascular health study. *Archives of neurology*. 2009; 66:336–42. [PubMed: 19273752]
- [32]. Rzehak P, Scherag A, Grallert H, Sausenthaler S, Koletzko S, Bauer C, et al. Associations between BMI and the FTO Gene Are Age Dependent: Results from the GINI and LISA Birth Cohort Studies up to Age 6 Years. *Obesity Facts*. 2010; 3:173–80. [PubMed: 20616607]
- [33]. Graff M, Gordon-Larsen P, Lim U, Fowke JH, Love S-A, Fesinmeyer M, et al. The Influence of Obesity-Related Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms on BMI Across the Life Course: The PAGE Study. *Diabetes*. 2013
- [34]. Frayling TM, Timpson NJ, Weedon MN, Zeggini E, Freathy RM, Lindgren CM, et al. A common variant in the FTO gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. *Science*. 2007; 316:889–94. [PubMed: 17434869]
- [35]. Shah NR, Braverman ER. Measuring adiposity in patients: the utility of body mass index (BMI), percent body fat, and leptin. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e33308. [PubMed: 22485140]
- [36]. De Lorenzo A, Bianchi A, Maroni P, Iannarelli A, Di Daniele N, Iacopino L, et al. Adiposity rather than BMI determines metabolic risk. *International journal of cardiology*. 2013; 166:111–7. [PubMed: 22088224]
- [37]. Swanson S, Hernan M. How to report instrumental variable analyses. *Epidemiology*. 2013; 24:924–33.
- [38]. Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ, Walter S, Glymour MM. Commentary: building an evidence base for mendelian randomization studies: assessing the validity and strength of proposed genetic instrumental variables. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013; 42:328–31. [PubMed: 23508417]
- [39]. VanderWeele TJ, Tchetgen Tchetgen EJ, Cornelis M, Kraft P. Methodological challenges in mendelian randomization. *Epidemiology*. 2014; 25:427–35. [PubMed: 24681576]
- [40]. Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H, Graubard BI. Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2013; 309:71–82. [PubMed: 23280227]
- [41]. Hernán MA, Taubman SL. Does obesity shorten life? The importance of well-defined interventions to answer causal questions. *International journal of obesity*. 2008:S8–S14. [PubMed: 18695657]
- [42]. MacArthur DG, Manolio TA, Dimmock DP, Rehm HL, Shendure J, Abecasis GR, et al. Guidelines for investigating causality of sequence variants in human disease. *Nature*. 2014; 508:469–76. [PubMed: 24759409]
- [43]. Cecil JE, Tavendale R, Watt P, Hetherington MM, Palmer CNA. An Obesity-Associated FTO Gene Variant and Increased Energy Intake in Children. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2008; 359:2558–66. [PubMed: 19073975]
- [44]. Tung YCL, Yeo Giles SH, O'Rahilly S, Coll Anthony P. Obesity and FTO: Changing Focus at a Complex Locus. *Cell Metabolism*. 2014; 20:710–8. [PubMed: 25448700]
- [45]. Tanaka T, Ngwa JS, van Rooij FJ, Zillikens MC, Wojczynski MK, Frazier-Wood AC, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis of observational studies shows common genetic variants associated with macronutrient intake. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2013; 97:1395–402. [PubMed: 23636237]
- [46]. Smemo S, Tena JJ, Kim K-H, Gamazon ER, Sakabe NJ, Gómez-Marín C, et al. Obesity-associated variants within FTO form long-range functional connections with IRX3. *Nature*. 2014
- [47]. Staiger, DO.; Stock, JH. *Instrumental variables regression with weak instruments*. National Bureau of Economic Research Cambridge; Mass., USA: 1994.

**Systematic Review**

Previous research links elevated Body Mass Index (BMI) and other measures of obesity to increased risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD) and dementia, but all prior studies are based on similar, observational, study designs. Observational study designs may be biased because unmeasured confounders influence both obesity and dementia risk.

**Interpretation**

We used a new study design, "Mendelian Randomization", to test whether obesity affects dementia or AD. We combined information on multiple genetic differences that predict higher BMI into a score for genetically induced BMI. The genetic score for higher BMI did not predict risk of AD or dementia in any of three samples, and one subscore unexpectedly appeared protective. Results suggest BMI may not substantially increase dementia risk. Some aspects of adiposity may even protect against dementia.

**Future Directions**

Future studies should focus on alternative study designs to evaluate the causal links between adiposity and dementia.

**Table 1**  
**Demographic characteristics of participants in each ADGC contributing dataset and the HRS Study**

STUDY	Sample size			Sex (% male)	Age, mean (SD)
	Total	Cases	Controls		
<b>ADGC TOTAL</b>	<b>19,692</b>	<b>10,079</b>	<b>9,613</b>	<b>40.7</b>	<b>76.0 (7.9)</b>
ACT	2,247	562	1,685	42.5	81.8 (5.9)
ADC 1+2+3	4,325	3,112	1,213	44.0	74.4 (8.3)
ADNI	413	253	160	58.8	76.6 (6.7)
GenADA	1,256	603	653	39.8	74.5 (6.7)
MAYO	1,880	724	1,156	46.4	73.5 (4.6)
MIRAGE	588	358	230	37.9	71.8 (6.8)
NIA-LOAD	1,614	691	923	38.1	74.8 (7.6)
OHSU	279	128	151	42.3	85.9 (6.9)
ROS-MAP	1,049	286	763	28.2	83.0 (7.0)
TGEN2	1,210	770	440	40.4	79.2 (8.7)
UM/VU/MSSM	2,263	1,149	1,114	36.9	74.0 (8.1)
UPITT	2,087	1,262	825	36.8	74.1 (6.5)
WU	481	309	172	41.6	75.2 (8.2)
<b>HRS TOTAL</b>	<b>8403</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>41.0</b>	<b>68.7 (10.4)</b>

Abbreviations: ACT - Adult Changes in Thought Study, ADC - National Institute on Aging AD Centers, ADNI - AD Neuroimaging Initiative, GenADA - Genotype-Phenotype Associations in AD Study, MAYO - Mayo Clinic, MIRAGE - Multi-Institutional Research in Alzheimer's Genetic Epidemiology Study, NIA-LOAD - NIA Late Onset AD Study, OHSU - Oregon Health and Science University, ROS-MAP - Rush University Religious Orders Study/Memory and Aging Project, TGEN2 - Translational Genomics Research Institute series 2, UM/VU/MSSM - University of Miami/Vanderbilt University/Mt. Sinai School of Medicine, UPITT - University of Pittsburgh, and WU - Washington University, HRS - Health and Retirement Study.



Table 2

Summary statistics for BMI polygenic scores (n=19,692)<sup>a</sup>

Variables	ADGC			GERAD	HRS
	Cases	Controls	Total		
No. (%)	10,079 (51.2%)	9,613 (48.4%)	19,692	Total 10,454	Total 8,403
BMI Polygenic Score, mean (SD)	3.37 (0.55)	3.36 (0.54)	3.37 (0.55)	4.05 (0.52)	3.87 (0.52)
Mechanism-Specific BMI Polygenic Scores, mean (SD)					
Adipogenesis	0.63 (0.16)	0.63 (0.17)	0.63 (0.16)	0.64 (0.16)	0.57 (0.16)
Appetite	1.41 (0.42)	1.40 (0.41)	1.41 (0.41)	1.86 (0.42)	1.89 (0.42)
Cardiopulmonary	0.24 (0.16)	0.23 (0.16)	0.24 (0.16)	0.20 (0.13)	0.24 (0.15)
Unspecified Cellular Processes	1.09 (0.25)	1.09 (0.25)	1.09 (0.25)	1.34 (0.23)	1.17 (0.22)

<sup>a</sup>The polygenic scores were calculated as the sum across all SNPs of the product of the allele count times the beta weights from Speliotes et al.[13] ADGC (31 SNPs), GERAD (32 SNPs), HRS (29SNPs) were used to derive the BMI polygenic score and different subset for mechanism-specific scores; for details, see Supplementary eTable 2.

**Table 3**  
**Linear regression coefficients for associations between BMI polygenic scores and measured BMI in ADGC (ACT, ROS-MAP) and HRS**

	<i>N</i>	$\beta$	95% Confidence Interval	<i>P</i> -value
<b>ACT + ROS-MAP</b>				
All	3,008	0.86	(0.53, 1.20)	< 0.001
Controls	2,393	0.95	(0.57, 1.33)	< 0.001
AD Cases	615	0.48	(-0.18, 1.14)	0.16
<b>ACT</b>				
All	1,991	0.51	(0.12, 0.91)	0.01
Controls	1,647	0.64	(0.19, 1.09)	0.01
AD Cases	344	-0.01	(-0.82, 0.82)	0.99
<b>ROS-MAP:</b>				
All	1,017	1.59	(0.98, 2.19)	< 0.001
Controls	746	1.7	(0.05, 2.24)	< 0.001
AD Cases	271	1.15	(0.99, 2.42)	0.04
HRS	8403	1.03	(0.83, 1.23)	< 0.001

Abbreviations: ACT - Adult Changes in Thought Study, ROS-MAP - Rush University Religious Orders Study/Memory and Aging Project, BMI - body mass index, AD - Alzheimer's Disease; "Controls" refers to individuals who never developed dementia during follow-up, while "AD Cases" refers to people who developed incident AD during the study period;  $\beta$  = difference in BMI associated with a unit change in the BMI polygenic score.

**Table 4**  
**Association Analysis for validated BMI increasing SNPs and Alzheimer's Disease, Dementia Probability, and Memory Function**

Chr	SNP	AI	Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease			Dementia			Memory			
			GERAD	ADGC	HRS	HRS	HRS	beta	HRS	beta	HRS	
			log(OR)	p	log(OR)	p	log(OR)	p	log(OR)	p	beta	p
<b>Adipogenesis</b>												
1	rs1555543	C	-0.03	0.452	0.014	0.535						
2	rs2890652	C	0	0.994	0.006	0.85	-0.038	0.421	-0.001	0.876		
6	rs987237	G	0.03	0.542	-0.012	0.679	-0.051	0.268	0.002	0.553		
11	rs4929949	C	0.02	0.63	0.013	0.565	0.01	0.784	-0.001	0.709		
11	rs3817334	T	-0.03	0.486	-0.059	0.007	0.052	0.142	-0.005	0.141		
12	rs7138803	A	0.09	0.999	-0.013	0.549	0.04	0.277	0.002	0.436		
16	rs12444979	C	0.11	0.088	0.101	0.001	-0.001	0.981	-0.005	0.301		
<b>Appetite</b>												
1	rs2815752	A	-0.01	0.767	-0.003	0.902	-0.041	0.253	0.005	0.093		
1	rs543874	G	0.01	0.883	-0.021	0.458	0.014	0.746	-0.003	0.373		
2	rs2867125	C	0.05	0.39	-0.027	0.343	-0.018	0.701	0	0.93		
3	rs9816226	T	0.04	0.449			0.04	0.391	-0.004	0.283		
11	rs10767664	A	0	0.943			0.018	0.688	0.001	0.83		
14	rs10150332	C	-0.03	0.55	0.019	0.476	-0.038	0.379	0.001	0.848		
16	rs7359397	T	-0.06	0.18	-0.007	0.762	0.03	0.408	-0.007	0.026		
16	rs1558902	A	-0.04	0.313			-0.004	0.905	0.002	0.568		
18	rs571312	A	-0.04	0.47	-0.025	0.316	0.046	0.27	0	0.924		
<b>Cardiopulmonary Processes</b>												
1	rs1514175	A	0.03	0.426	-0.017	0.432	-0.019	0.588	-0.004	0.169		
4	rs10938397	G	0.06	0.2	0.035	0.117	0.003	0.93	0.003	0.363		
4	rs13107325	T	0.13	0.141	0.068	0.119	0.088	0.179	-0.001	0.86		
14	rs11847697	T	-0.18	0.112	0.026	0.671						
<b>Unspecified Cellular Processes</b>												
2	rs713586	C	-0.1	0.018	0.006	0.784	-0.026	0.46	0.002	0.446		
2	rs887912	T	0.08	0.074	-0.016	0.508	-0.011	0.778	-0.001	0.791		

Chr	SNP	AI	Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease			Dementia			Memory		
			GERAD	ADGC	HRS	HRS	HRS	beta	p	p	
			log(OR)	p	log(OR)	p	log(OR)	p	beta	p	
3	rs13078807	G	0.01	0.912	-0.031	0.236	-0.018	0.675	0	0.953	
5	rs2112347	T	-0.03	0.656	-0.036	0.108	-0.006	0.863	0.001	0.675	
5	rs4836133	A	0.14	0.002	0.012	0.613	0.025	0.482	-0.002	0.52	
6	rs206936	G	-0.01	0.83	-0.024	0.365	-0.045	0.318	0.001	0.756	
9	rs10968576	G	0.01	0.817	0.027	0.253	0.004	0.921	0.001	0.731	
13	rs4771122	G	-0.04	0.403	-0.012	0.672					
15	rs2241423	G	-0.05	0.295	-0.005	0.855	-0.037	0.367	0.007	0.043	
19	rs29941	G	-0.02	0.731	-0.033	0.155	-0.017	0.65	0	0.907	
19	rs2287019	C	-0.08	0.123	-0.002	0.949	-0.052	0.243	0.003	0.42	
19	rs3810291	A	-0.06	0.19	-0.053	0.083	0.02	0.589	0.003	0.294	
<b>Other SNPs not included in Polygenic Score and not validated in Speliotes et al.</b>											
2	rs7566605	C	-0.073	0.111			-0.016	0.665	0	0.953	
10	rs6602024	A	-0.001	0.993	-0.043	0.23	-0.073	0.208	0.006	0.218	
10	rs10508503	C	0.046	0.693	-0.017	0.675					
10	rs2116830	G	-0.058	0.291	0.02	0.503					
16	rs1424233	A	-0.013	0.764	-0.022	0.312	0.003	0.936	0.001	0.669	
18	rs1805081	G	0.009	0.832	0.017	0.436	0.001	0.969	-0.004	0.157	
20	rs6013029	T	0.087	0.4	-0.031	0.533	-0.073	0.389	0.003	0.706	

**Table 5**  
**Genetic instrumental variable effect estimates: odds ratios for associations between BMI polygenic scores and AD (ADGC, GERAD) and Dementia Probability (HRS)<sup>d</sup>**

	Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease														
	ADGC			GERAD <sup>c</sup>			ADGC + GERAD <sup>d</sup>			Dementia			Memory		
	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	P-value	Beta	95% Confidence Interval	P-value
<b>BMI Polygenic Score</b>	0.95	(0.90, 1.01)	0.091	0.96	(0.87, 1.07)	0.488	0.95	(0.91, 1.00)	0.058	1.00	(0.75, 1.32)	0.98	0.002	(-0.01, 0.01)	0.57
<b>Mechanism-Specific BMI Polygenic Scores</b>															
Adipogenesis	1.04	(0.87, 1.24)	0.700	1.26	(0.82, 1.95)	0.297	1.07	(0.90, 1.27)	0.450	1.05	(0.42, 2.62)	0.92	0.007	(-0.03, 0.02)	0.62
Appetite	0.96	(0.89, 1.03)	0.280	0.94	(0.83, 1.07)	0.381	0.96	(0.90, 1.02)	0.160	1.02	(0.72, 1.44)	0.92	0.000	(-0.01, 0.01)	0.97
Cardiopulmonary Processes	1.17	(0.97, 1.42)	0.102	1.33	(0.90, 1.97)	0.152	1.21	(1.00, 1.47)	0.055	1.09	(0.42, 2.83)	0.86	0.003	(-0.03, 0.03)	0.85
Unspecified Cellular Processes	0.82	(0.72, 0.92)	0.001	0.81	(0.62, 1.06)	0.131	0.81	(0.74, 0.90)	<0.001	0.87	(0.46, 1.66)	0.68	0.016	(-0.00, 0.04)	0.11

<sup>a</sup> Genetic Instrumental Variable (IV) estimates (Odds Ratios and 95% Confidence Intervals) for the association between a one unit difference in BMI in polygenic scores and risk of AD / Dementia. Abbreviations: BMI – Body Mass Index, AD – Alzheimer's Disease

<sup>c</sup> Results from Inverse-Variance Weighted Combination of Ratio Estimates (Burgess et al.) using data from Speliotes et al. for the SNP weights and Hinney et al. for the association between SNP and AD.

<sup>d</sup> Results from fixed effect meta-analysis.