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#### Abstract

The Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex (FIESC) is a phylogenetically species-rich complex that includes over 30 cryptic phylogenetic species, making identification based on phenotypic characters problematic. Several established Fusarium species known to reside in the FIESC lack type material, further complicating the use of Latin binomials for this complex. To overcome this problem, an informal classification system based on a haplotype nomenclature was introduced to improve communication between researchers in various fields. However, some conflicts in the application of this nomenclature system have arisen. To date, 16 phylo-species in the FIESC have been provided with Latin binomials with approximately 18 FIESC phylo-species still lacking Latin binomials, the majority of which reside in the Incarnatum clade. The aim of this study is to introduce Latin binomials for the unnamed FIESC phylo-species based on phylogenetic inference supported by phenotypic characters. The three-gene (calmodulin, RNA polymerase II second largest subunit and translations elongation factor 1-alpha) phylogenetic inference resolved 47 lineages, of which 44 belonged to the FIESC. The F. camptoceras species complex (FCAMSC) is introduced here for three lineages that are distinct from the FIESC. Epitypes are designated for F. compactum, F. incarnatum and F. scirpi, and a neotype for F. camptoceras. Latin binomials are provided for 20 of these newly resolved phylo-species in the FIESC.
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## INTRODUCTION

The Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex (FIESC) is a phylogenetically species-rich complex that includes over 30 recognised phylogenetic species (phylo-species) (O'Donnell et al. 2009, 2010, 2012, Villani et al. 2016, Maryani et al. 2019, Santos et al. 2019, Wang et al. 2019). The majority of these phylo-species are considered cryptic, making identification based solely on phenotypic characters problematic (Villani et al. 2016). Additionally, several established Fusarium species known to reside in the FIESC lack type material, further complicating the use of Latin binomials for this complex. Therefore, O'Donnell et al. (2009) implemented an informal classification system for FIESC based on the haplotype nomenclature system first introduced by Chang et al. (2006) for clinically important Neocosmospora species (formerly the F. solani (FSSC) species complex) lacking Latin binomials, depicting the species complex, species and genotype. This haplotype classification system was designed to improve communication between clinicians, veterinarians and agricultural researchers. However, some conflicts in the application of this nomenclature system have arisen in recent studies.
O'Donnell et al. (2009) recognised and classified 28 phylospecies (FIESC 1-28) which were shortly followed by FIESC 29 \& 30 (O'Donnell et al. 2012). Villani et al. (2016) introduced

[^0]FIESC 31 for a clade that included mycotoxin producing equi-seti-like strains isolated from cereals. Torbati et al. (2019) also introduced FIESC 29 \& 30 for incarnatum-like strains isolated from Basidiomycetes, which Wang et al. (2019) designated as FIESC 32. Similarly, Maryani et al. (2019) resolved six additional phylo-species and designated these as FIESC 29-34, providing Latin binomials for three of these: F. kotabaruense (FIESC 31), F. sulawesiensis (as F. sulawense; FIESC 32) and F. tanahbumbuense (FIESC 34). Wang et al. (2019) resolved 33 phylo-species and introduced Latin binomials for nine: F. arcuatisporum (FIESC 7), F. citri (FIESC 29), F. guilinense (FIESC 21), F. hainanense (FIESC 26), F. humuli (FIESC 33), F. ipomoeae (FIESC 1), F. irregulare (FIESC 15), F. luffae (FIESC 18) and F. nanum (FIESC 25). Santos et al. (2019) also resolved 30 phylo-species (FIESC 1-30) in their study on insect associated FIESC strains and were able to induce the sexual morphs of both FIESC 17 and FIESC 20, which they named F. pernambucanum and $F$. caatingaense, respectively.
In addition to the 13 phylo-species recently named, only three other phylo-species in the FIESC have been linked to Latin binomials: F. equiseti (FIESC 14), F. lacertarum (FIESC 4) and F. scirpi (FIESC 9) (O'Donnell et al. 2009, Villani et al. 2016). Therefore, approximately 18 FIESC phylo-species currently recognised still lack Latin binomials, with the majority residing in the Incarnatum clade. Thus, the aim of this study was to introduce an epitype for F. incarnatum, and provide Latin binomials for unnamed FIESC phylo-species based on a number of FIESC strains accessioned in the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute (WI) culture collection.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Isolates

Fusarium isolates (Table 1), initially identified and treated as members of the FIESC, were obtained from the culture collection
Table 1 Details of Fusarium strains included in the phylogenetic analyses.

| Species | Culture accession ${ }^{1}$ | Species complex/Phylogenetic species ${ }^{2}$ | Host/substrate | Origin | GenBank accession |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | cmdA | rpb2 | tef1 |  |
| Fusarium aberrans | CBS $119866=$ MRC 6715 | Novel FIESC | Sorghum malt | Niger | MN170310 | MN170377 | MN170444 | Present study |
|  | CBS131385 ${ }^{\top}$ |  | Oryza australiensis | Australia | MN170311 | MN170378 | MN170445 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131387 |  | Oryza australiensis | Australia | MN170312 | MN170379 | MN170446 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131388 |  | Oryza australiensis | Australia | MN170313 | MN170380 | MN170447 | Present study |
| F. arcuatisporum | NRRL 32997 | FIESC 7 | Unknown | Unknown | GQ505536 | GQ505802 | GQ505624 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. brevicaudatum | NRRL 43638 ${ }^{\top}$ | FIESC 6 | Trichechus sp. | USA | GQ505576 | GQ505843 | GQ505665 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43694 |  | Human eye | USA | GQ505579 | GQ505846 | GQ505668 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 45998 |  | Human toe | USA | GQ505584 | GQ505851 | GQ505673 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. bubalinum | CBS 161.25 = NRRL $26857=$ NRRL $26918^{\top}$ | Novel FIESC | Unknown | Australia | MN170314 | MN170381 | MN170448 | Present study |
| F. caatingaense | CBS 976.97 | FIESC 20 | Juniper chinensis | USA | MN170315 | MN170382 | MN170449 | Present study |
|  | NRRL 34003 = CBS 130317 |  | Human sputum | USA | GQ505539 | GQ505805 | GQ505627 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. camptoceras | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS } 193.65=\text { ATCC } 16065= \\ & \text { BBA } 9810=\text { IMI 112500ET } \end{aligned}$ | FCAMSC | Theobroma cacao | Costa Rica | MN170316 | MN170383 | MN170450 | Present study |
| F. cateniforme | CBS $150.25=$ ATCC $11853{ }^{\top}$ | Novel FIESC | Unknown | Unknown | MN170317 | MN170384 | MN170451 | Present study |
| F. citri | CBS 621.87 | FIESC 29 (O'Donnell et al. 2012) | Medicago sativa | Denmark | MN170318 | MN170385 | MN170452 | Present study |
|  | CBS 678.77 |  | Soil | Japan | MN170319 | MN170386 | MN170453 | Present study |
|  | CBS 130905 |  | Triticum sp. | Iran | MN170320 | MN170387 | MN170454 | Present study |
|  | CPC 35143 = CCF 1881 |  | Lactuca sativa | Czech Republic | MN170321 | MN170388 | MN170455 | Present study |
|  | LC 4879 |  | Amygdalus triloba | China | MK289665 | MK289768 | MK289615 | Wang et al. (2019) |
|  | LC 7922 |  | Capsicum sp. | China | MK289687 | MK289788 | MK289634 | Wang et al. (2019) |
|  | LC 7937 |  | Capsicum sp. | China | MK289693 | MK289794 | MK289640 | Wang et al. (2019) |
| F. clavum | CBS $394.93=$ BBA $64265=$ NRRL 25795 | FIESC 5 | Disphyma crassifolium | Germany | GQ505509 | GQ505775 | GQ505597 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | CBS 126202 ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | Soil | Namibia | MN170322 | MN170389 | MN170456 | Present study |
|  | CBS $130395=$ NRRL $34032=$ |  | Human abscess | USA | GQ505547 | GQ505813 | GQ505635 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | UTHSC 98-2172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 119881 = MRC 8412 |  | Unknown | Unknown | MN170323 | MN170390 | MN170457 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131015 |  | Phalaris minor | Iran | MN170324 | MN170391 | MN170458 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131448 |  | Secale montanum | Iran | MN170325 | MN170392 | MN170459 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131255 |  | Leucopoa sclerophylla | Iran | MN170326 | MN170393 | MN170460 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131787 |  | Triticum sp. | Iran | MN170327 | MN170394 | MN170461 | Present study |
|  | CBS 140912 |  | Solanum tuberosum | Russia | MN170328 | MN170395 | MN170462 | Present study |
|  | NRRL 32871 = FRC R-9561 |  | Human abscess | USA | GQ505531 | GQ505797 | GQ505619 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34032 |  | Mandibular abscess | USA | GQ505547 | GQ505813 | GQ505635 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34035 |  | Human sinus cavity | USA | GQ505549 | GQ505815 | GQ505637 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34037 |  | Human abscess | USA | GQ505550 | GQ505816 | GQ505638 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43623 |  | Human sinus cavity | USA | GQ505572 | GQ505839 | GQ505661 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 45995 |  | Human abscess | USA | GQ505581 | GQ505848 | GQ505670 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 45997 |  | Human sinus cavity | USA | GQ505583 | GQ505850 | GQ505672 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. coffeatum | CBS $635.76=$ BBA $62053=$ NRRL $20841^{\top}$ | FIESC 28 | Cynodon lemfuensis | New Zealand | MN120696 | MN120736 | MN120755 | Lombard et al. (2019) |
|  | NRRL 28577 = CBS 430.81 |  | Grave stone | Romania | MN120697 | MN120737 | MN120756 | Lombard et al. (2019) |
| F. compactum | CBS 185.31 = NRRL 36318 | FIESC 3 | Unknown | Unknown | GQ505558 | GQ505824 | GQ505646 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | CBS $186.31=$ NRRL 36323ET |  | Cotton yarn | England | GQ505560 | GQ505826 | GQ505648 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 28029 |  | Human eye | USA | GQ505514 | GQ505780 | GQ505602 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. concolor | NRRL $13459=$ ATCC $60096=$ CBS $961.87=$ FRC M-2405 $=$ | FCONSC | Plant debris | South Africa | GQ505585 | GQ505852 | GQ505674 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | IMI $296456^{\top}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F. croceum | CBS 131777 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | FIESC 10-a | Triticum sp. | Iran | MN170329 | MN170396 | MN170463 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131788 |  | Triticum sp. | Iran | MN170330 | MN170397 | MN170464 | Present study |
|  | CPC 35240 |  | Soil | Czech Republic | MN170331 | MN170398 | MN170465 | Present study |

Table 1 (cont.)

| Species | Culture accession ${ }^{1}$ | Species complex/Phylogenetic species ${ }^{2}$ | Host/substrate | Origin | GenBank accession |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | cmdA | rpb2 | tef1 |  |
| F. croceum (cont.) | NRRL $3020=$ FRC R-6053 $=$ |  | Unknown | Unknown | GQ505498 | GQ505764 | GQ505586 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | MRC 2231 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NRRL $3214=$ FRC R-6054 $=$ |  | Unknown | Unknown | GQ505499 | GQ505765 | GQ505587 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | MRC 2232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F. duofalcatisporum | CBS $264.50=$ NRRL 36401 | FIESC 2 | Gossypium hirsutum | Mozambique | GQ505563 | GQ505829 | GQ505651 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | CBS $384.92=$ NRRL $36448{ }^{\top}$ |  | Phaseolus vulgaris | Sudan | GQ505564 | GQ505830 | GQ505652 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. equiseti | CBS 107.07 $=$ IMI $091982=$ | FIESC 14-a | Unknown | Unknown | GQ505556 | GQ505822 | GQ505644 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 36136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 185.34 |  | Soil | Netherlands | MN170332 | MN170399 | MN170466 | Present study |
|  | CBS $414.86=$ FRC R-8508 $=$ |  | Potato peel | Denmark | MN170333 | MN170400 | MN170467 | Present study |
|  | IMI 309348 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CBS 119663 |  | Maize husk | Switzerland | MN170334 | MN170401 | MN170468 | Present study |
|  | CPC 35123 |  | Hordeum vulgare | Czech Republic | MN170335 | MN170402 | MN170469 | Present study |
|  | CPC 35134 = DSM 62203 |  | Daphne mezereum | Germany | MN170336 | MN170403 | MN170470 | Present study |
|  | CPC 35220 |  | Sediment | Czech Republic | MN170337 | MN170404 | MN170471 | Present study |
|  | CPC 35262 |  | Human toenail | Czech Republic | MN170338 | MN170405 | MN170472 | Present study |
|  | NRRL $20697=$ CBS 245.61 |  | Beta vulgaris | Chile | GQ505506 | GQ505772 | GQ505594 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 26419 = BBA $68556=$ |  | Soil | Germany | GQ505511 | GQ505777 | GQ505599 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | CBS 307.94ET |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F. fasciculatum | CBS 131382 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Novel FIESC | Oryza australiensis | Australia | MN170339 | MN170406 | MN170473 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131383 |  | Oryza australiensis | Australia | MN170340 | MN170407 | MN170474 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131384 |  | Oryza australiensis | Australia | MN170341 | MN170408 | MN170475 | Present study |
| F. flagelliforme | CBS 162.57 = NRRL 36269 ${ }^{\top}$ | FIESC 12 | Pinus nigra | Croatia | GQ505557 | GQ505823 | GQ505645 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | CBS $259.54=$ NRRL 36392 |  | Unknown seedling | Germany | GQ505562 | GQ505828 | GQ505650 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 6548 = IMI 112503 |  | Hordeum vulgare | Germany | GQ505501 | GQ505767 | GQ505589 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 26921 = CBS 731.87 |  | Triticum sp. | Germany | GQ505512 | GQ505778 | GQ505600 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 31011 = BBA 69079 |  | Thuja sp. | Germany | GQ505518 | GQ505784 | GQ505606 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. gracilipes | NRRL 43635 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | FIESC 13 | Horse | USA | GQ505573 | GQ505840 | GQ505662 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. guilinense | NRRL 13335 = FRC R-2138 | FIESC 21 | Alfalfa | Australia | GQ505502 | GQ505768 | GQ505590 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32865 = FRC R-8480 |  | Human endocarditis | Brazil | GQ505526 | GQ505792 | GQ505614 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. hainanense | CBS 131386 | FIESC 26 | Oryza australiensis | Australia | MN170376 | MN170443 | MN170510 | Present study |
|  | Indo 161 |  | Musa acuminata | Indonesia | LS479428 | LS479857 | - | Maryani et al. (2019) |
|  | NRRL 26417 = CBS 544.96 |  | Leaf litter | Cuba | GQ505510 | GQ505776 | GQ505598 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 28714 = ATCC 74289 |  | Acacia sp. | Costa Rica | GQ505516 | GQ505782 | GQ505604 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. humuli | LC 4490 | FIESC 33 (Wang et al. 2019) | Osmanthus sp. | China | MK289664 | MK289767 | MK289614 | Wang et al. (2019) |
|  | LC 12158 |  | Musa nana | China | MK289645 | MK289745 | MK289592 | Wang et al. (2019) |
|  | LC 12159 |  | Musa nana | China | MK289646 | MK289746 | MK289593 | Wang et al. (2019) |
| F. incarnatum | CBS $132.73=$ ATCC $24387=$ <br> IMI $128222=$ NRRL $25478^{\text {NT }}$ | FIESC 23 | Trichosanthes dioica | Malawi | MN170342 | MN170409 | MN170476 | Present study |
|  | CBS 132907 |  | Triticum sp. | Iran | MN170343 | MN170410 | MN170477 | Present study |
|  | NRRL $13379=$ FRC R-5198 $=$ |  | Oryza sativa | India | GQ505503 | GQ505769 | GQ505591 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | BBA 62200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NRRL 32866 = FRC R-8822 |  | Human | USA | GQ505527 | GQ505793 | GQ505615 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32867 = FRC R-8837 |  | Human | USA | GQ505528 | GQ505794 | GQ505616 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. ipomoeae | CBS 135762 | FIESC 1 | Miscanthus giganteus | USA | MN170344 | MN170411 | MN170478 | Present study |
|  | CBS 140909 |  | Solanum lycopersicum | Russia | MN170345 | MN170412 | MN170479 | Present study |
|  | Indo 174 |  | Musa sp. | Indonesia | LS479430 | LS479861 | - | Maryani et al. (2019) |
|  | NRRL 34034 |  | Human leg | USA | GQ505548 | GQ505814 | GQ505636 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34039 |  | Human | USA | GQ505551 | GQ505817 | GQ505639 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43637 |  | Dog | USA | GQ505575 | GQ505842 | GQ505664 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43640 |  | Dog | USA | GQ505578 | GQ505845 | GQ505667 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 45996 |  | Human nasal cavity | USA | GQ505582 | GQ505849 | GQ505671 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |

Table 1 (cont.)

| Species | Culture accession ${ }^{1}$ | Species complex/Phylogenetic species ${ }^{2}$ | Host/substrate | Origin | GenBank accession |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | cmdA | rpb2 | tef1 |  |
| F. irregulare | CBS 132190 | FIESC 15 | Human toenail | Thailand | MN170346 | MN170413 | MN170480 | Present study |
|  | NRRL 31160 |  | Human lung | USA | GQ505519 | GQ505785 | GQ505607 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32175 |  | Human sputum | USA | GQ505521 | GQ505787 | GQ505609 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32181 |  | Human blood | USA | GQ505522 | GQ505788 | GQ505610 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32182 |  | Human blood | USA | GQ505523 | GQ505789 | GQ505611 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32869 = FRC R-9445 |  | Human | USA | GQ505530 | GQ505796 | GQ505618 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32994 |  | Human sinus cavity | USA | GQ505533 | GQ505799 | GQ505621 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32995 |  | Human sinus cavity | USA | GQ505534 | GQ505800 | GQ505622 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32996 |  | Human leg wound | USA | GQ505535 | GQ505801 | GQ505623 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34001 |  | Human foot wound | USA | GQ505533 | GQ505799 | GQ505621 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34006 |  | Human eye | USA | GQ505542 | GQ505808 | GQ505630 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34007 |  | Human sputum | USA | GQ505543 | GQ505809 | GQ505631 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34008 |  | Human lung | USA | GQ505544 | GQ505810 | GQ505632 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34010 |  | Human sinus cavity | USA | GQ505545 | GQ505811 | GQ505633 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34011 |  | Human sputum | USA | GQ505546 | GQ505812 | GQ505634 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43619 |  | Human finger | USA | GQ505570 | GQ505837 | GQ505659 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43622 |  | Human lung | USA | GQ505571 | GQ505838 | GQ505660 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. kotabaruense | InaCC F963 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | FCAMSC/FIESC 31 (Maryani et al. 2019) | Musa sp. | Indonesia | LS479429 | LS479859 | LS479445 | Maryani et al. (2019) |
| F. lacertarum | NRRL 20423 = ATCC 42771 = <br> CBS $130185=$ IMI $300797^{\top}$ | FIESC 4 | Lizard skin | India | GQ505505 | GQ505771 | GQ505593 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 36123 = CBS 102300 |  | Unknown | Unknown | GQ505555 | GQ505821 | GQ505643 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. Iongicaudatum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS } 123.73=\text { ATCC } 24370= \\ & \text { IMI } 160825=\text { NRRL } 25477^{\top} \end{aligned}$ | Novel FIESC | Unknown | Tanzania | MN170347 | MN170414 | MN170481 | Present study |
| F. Iongifundum | CBS $235.79=$ NRRL $3637{ }^{\text {T }}$ | Novel FIESC | Air | Curaçao | GQ505561 | GQ505827 | GQ505649 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. luffae | CBS 131097 | FIESC 18 | Setaria verticilata | Iran | MN170348 | MN170415 | MN170482 | Present study |
|  | NRRL 31167 |  | Human sputum | USA | GQ505520 | GQ505786 | GQ505608 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32522 |  | Human diabetic cellulitis | USA | GQ505524 | GQ505790 | GQ505612 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. monophialidicum | NRRL 54973 | Novel FIESC | Rhinoceros eye | USA | MN170349 | MN170416 | MN170483 | Present study |
| F. mucidum | CBS 102394 | FIESC 30 (Maryani et al. 2019) | Anacardium occidentale | El Salvador | MN170350 | MN170417 | MN170484 | Present study |
|  | CBS 102395 ${ }^{\top}$ |  | Anacardium occidentale | El Salvador | MN170351 | MN170418 | MN170485 | Present study |
|  | Indo 175 |  | Musa acuminata | Indonesia | LS479431 | LS479862 | LS479447 | Maryani et al. (2019) |
| F. multiceps | CBS $130386=$ NRRL 43639 ${ }^{\text {T}}$ | FIESC 19 | Trichechus sp. | USA | GQ505577 | GQ505844 | GQ505666 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. nanum | CBS $119867=$ FRC R-4237 = MRC 3228 | FIESC 25 | Sorghum sp. | Unknown | MN170352 | MN170419 | MN170486 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131781 |  | Triticum sp. | Iran | MN170353 | MN170420 | MN170487 | Present study |
|  | CPC 35142 = CCF 1744 |  |  | Czech Republic | MN170354 | MN170421 | MN170488 | Present study |
|  | NRRL 22244 |  | Oryza sp. | China | GQ505508 | GQ505774 | GQ505596 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32868 = FRC R-8880 |  | Human blood | USA | GQ505529 | GQ505795 | GQ505617 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 32993 |  | Human nasal tissue | USA | GQ505532 | GQ505798 | GQ505620 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. neoscirpi | CBS 610.95 = NRRL 26861 = NRRL $26922^{\top}$ | Novel FIESC | Soil | France | GQ505513 | GQ505779 | GQ505601 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. neosemitectum | CBS 189.60 ${ }^{\text { }}$ | FCAMSC | Musa sapientum | Dem. Rep. Congo | MN170355 | MN170422 | MN170489 | Present study |
|  | CBS 190.60 |  | Musa sapientum | Dem. Rep. Congo | MN170356 | MN170423 | MN170490 | Present study |
| F. pernambucanum | CBS 791.70 | FIESC 17 | Musa sampientum | Unknown | MN170357 | MN170424 | MN170491 | Present study |
|  | CBS 132194 |  | Human finger nail | Thailand | MN170358 | MN170425 | MN170492 | Present study |
|  | CBS 132894 |  | Human toenail | Unknown | MN170359 | MN170426 | MN170493 | Present study |
|  | CBS 133024 |  | Human foot | Thailand | MN170360 | MN170427 | MN170494 | Present study |
|  | NRRL $32864=$ CBS $130312=$ |  | Human | USA | GQ505525 | GQ505791 | GQ505613 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | FRC R-7245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NRRL 34070 |  | Tortoise | USA | GQ505554 | GQ505820 | GQ505642 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. persicinum | CBS 479.83 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | FIESC 29/30 (Torbati et al. 2019) | Unknown | Unknown | MN170361 | MN170428 | MN170495 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131780 |  | Triticum sp. | Iran | MN170362 | MN170429 | MN170496 | Present study |
|  | CBS 132821 |  | Soil | Iran | MN170363 | MN170430 | MN170497 | Present study |

Table 1 (cont.)

| Species | Culture accession ${ }^{1}$ | Species complex/Phylogenetic species ${ }^{2}$ | Host/substrate | Origin | GenBank accession |  |  | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | cmdA | rpb2 | tef1 |  |
| F. persicinum (cont.) | CBS $143595=$ CPC 30847 |  | Ganoderma sp. | Iran | LT970731 | LT970750 | LT970778 | Torbati et al. (2019) |
|  | CBS $143596=$ CPC 30848 |  | Stereum hirsutum | Iran | LT970732 | LT970751 | LT970779 | Torbati et al. (2019) |
|  | CBS $143597=$ CPC 30849 |  | Smut | Iran | LT970737 | LT970756 | LT970784 | Torbati et al. (2019) |
|  | CBS $143598=$ CPC 30850 |  | Smut | Iran | LT970733 | LT970752 | LT970780 | Torbati et al. (2019) |
|  | CBS $143600=$ CPC 30852 |  | Smut | Iran | LT970734 | LT970753 | LT970781 | Torbati et al. (2019) |
|  | CBS $143603=$ CPC 30855 |  | Smut | Iran | LT970735 | LT970754 | LT970782 | Torbati et al. (2019) |
|  | CBS 143606 = CPC 30858 |  | Smut | Iran | LT970736 | LT970755 | LT970783 | Torbati et al. (2019) |
| F. scirpi | CBS $447.84=$ FRC R-6252 $=$ NRRL $36478^{\text {NT }}$ | FIESC 9 | Soil | Australia | GQ505566 | GQ505832 | GQ505654 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | CBS $448.84=$ FRC R-6253 |  | Soil | Australia | MN170364 | MN170431 | MN170498 |  |
|  | NRRL 13402 |  | Soil | Australia | GQ505504 | GQ505770 | GQ505592 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. serpentinum | CBS $119880=$ BBA $62209=$ MRC 1813 | Novel FIESC | Unknown | Unknown | MN170365 | MN170432 | MN170499 | Present study |
| F. sulawesiense | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS } 131.73=\text { ATCC } 24386= \\ & \text { IMI } 160602=\text { NRRL } 20425 \end{aligned}$ | FIESC 16 | Musa sampientum var. robusta | Bahamas | MN170366 | MN170433 | MN170500 | Present study |
|  | CBS 163.57 |  | Sorghum vulgare | Trinidad and Tobago | MN170367 | MN170434 | MN170501 | Present study |
|  | CBS 193.60 |  | Gossypium hirsutum | El Salvador | MN170368 | MN170435 | MN170502 | Present study |
|  | CBS 622.87 = NRRL 26858 = NRRL 26919 = NRRL 28583 |  | Bixa orellana | Brazil | MN170369 | MN170436 | MN170503 | Present study |
|  | CBS 122439 |  | Galia melon | Brazil | MN170370 | MN170437 | MN170504 | Present study |
|  | InaCC F940 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ |  | Musa acuminata | Indonesia | LS479422 | LS479855 | LS479443 | Maryani et al. (2019) |
|  | InaCC F941 |  | Musa acuminata | Indonesia | LS479423 | LS479856 | LS479444 | Maryani et al. (2019) |
|  | Indo 186 |  | Musa sp. | Indonesia | LS479426 | LS479864 | LS479449 | Maryani et al. (2019) |
|  | Indo 188 |  | Musa sp. | Indonesia | LS479427 | LS479865 | LS479450 | Maryani et al. (2019) |
|  | NRRL 34004 |  | Human BAL | USA | GQ505540 | GQ505806 | GQ505628 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34056 |  | Human bronchial wash | USA | GQ505552 | GQ505818 | GQ505640 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 34059 |  | Human blood | USA | GQ505553 | GQ505819 | GQ505641 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43730 |  | Contact lens | USA | GQ505580 | GQ505847 | GQ505669 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. tanahbumbuense | CBS 145.44 = BBA 4095 | FIESC 24 | Unknown | Unknown | MN170371 | MN170438 | MN170505 | Present study |
|  | CBS 131009 |  | Triticum sp. | Iran | MN170372 | MN170439 | MN170506 | Present study |
|  | InaCC F965 ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | Musa sp. | Indonesia | LS479432 | LS479863 | LS479448 | Maryani et al. (2019) |
|  | NRRL 34005 |  | Human eye | USA | GQ505541 | GQ505807 | GQ505629 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43297 |  | Unknown | Unknown | GQ505569 | GQ505835 | GQ505657 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| F. toxicum | CBS 219.63 | FIESC 14-b | Soil | Germany | MN170373 | MN170440 | MN170507 | Present study |
|  | CBS $406.86=$ FRC R-8507 $=$ <br> IMI $309347=$ NRRL $25796^{\top}$ |  | Soil | Germany | MN170374 | MN170441 | MN170508 | Present study |
|  | CBS 130385 |  | Dog | USA | MN170375 | MN170442 | MN170509 | Present study |
|  | NRRL 43636 |  | Dog | USA | GQ505574 | GQ505841 | GQ505663 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| Fusarium sp. | NRRL 5537 = ATCC 28805 | FIESC 8 | Fescue hay | USA | GQ505500 | GQ505766 | GQ505588 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
|  | NRRL 43498 | FIESC 8 | Human cornea | USA | - | GQ505836 | GQ505658 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| Fusarium sp. (FIESC 22) | NRRL 34002 | FIESC 22 | Human sinus cavity | USA | GQ505538 | GQ505804 | GQ505626 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| Fusarium sp. (FIESC 27) | NRRL $20722=1 \mathrm{MI} 190455$ | FIESC 27 | Pyrethrum sp. | Kenia | GQ505507 | GQ505773 | GQ505595 | O'Donnell et al. (2009) |
| Fusarium sp. (FIESC 30) | NRRL 52758 | FIESC 30 (O'Donnell et al. 2012) |  |  | - | JF741159 | JF740833 | O'Donnell et al. (2012) |
| Fusarium sp. (FIESC 31) | ITEM 11401 = NRRL 66339 | FIESC 31 (Villani et al. 2016) |  |  | LN901594 | LN901611 | LN901578 | Villani et al. (2016) |
|  | ITEM 13601 | FIESC 31 (Villani et al. 2016) |  |  | - | LN901614 | - | Villani et al. (2016) |
| Fusarium sp. (FIESC 32) | InaCC F964 | FIESC 32 (Maryani et al. 2019) | Musa sp. | Indonesia | LS479425 | LS479860 | LS479446 | Maryani et al. (2019) |
|  | Indo 167 | FIESC 32 (Maryani et al. 2019) | Musa sp. | Indonesia | LS479424 | LS479858 | - | Maryani et al. (2019) |
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(CBS) of the WI in Utrecht, The Netherlands. Additional isolates were also obtained from the Agricultural Research Service (NRRL) culture collection, National Center for Agricultural Utilization Research, Peoria, IL, USA.

## DNA isolation, PCR and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was extracted from 7-d-old isolates grown at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on potato dextrose agar (PDA; recipe in Crous et al. 2019) using the Wizard® Genomic DNA purification Kit (Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Partial gene sequences were determined for the calmodulin (cmdA), RNA polymerase second largest subunit (rpb2) and translation elongation factor 1-alpha (tef1), using PCR protocols and primer pairs described elsewhere (O'Donnell et al. 1998, 2009, 2010, Lombard et al. 2019). Integrity of the sequences was ensured by sequencing the amplicons in both directions using the same primer pairs as were used for amplification. Consensus sequences for each locus were assembled in Geneious R11 (Kearse et al. 2012). All sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

## Phylogenetic analyses

Sequences of relevant FIESC strains representing the various phylo-species were retrieved from NCBI's GenBank (Table 1) and alignments of the individual loci were determined using MAFFT v. 7.110 (Katoh et al. 2017) and manually corrected where necessary. Three independent phylogenetic algorithms, Maximum Parsimony (MP), Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI), were employed for phylogenetic analyses. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted of the individual loci and then as a multilocus sequence dataset that included partial sequences of the three genes determined here.
For BI and ML, the best evolutionary models for each locus were determined using MrModeltest (Nylander 2004) and incorporated into the analyses. MrBayes v. 3.2.1 (Ronquist \& Huelsenbeck 2003) was used for BI to generate phylogenetic trees under optimal criteria for each locus. A Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm of four chains was initiated in parallel from a random tree topology with the heating parameter set at 0.3. The MCMC analysis lasted until the average standard deviation of split frequencies was below 0.01 with trees saved every 1000 generations. The first $25 \%$ of saved trees were discarded as the 'burn-in' phase and posterior probabilities (PP) were determined from the remaining trees.
The ML analyses were performed using RAxML-NG v. 0.6.0 (Kozlov et al. 2018) to obtain another measure of branch support. The robustness of the analysis was evaluated by bootstrap support (BS) with the number of bootstrap replicates automatically determined by the software. For MP, analyses were done using PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony, v. 4.0b10; Swofford 2003) with phylogenetic relationships estimated by heuristic searches with 1000 random addition sequences. Tree-bisection-reconnection was used, with branch swapping option set on 'best trees' only. All characters were weighted equally and alignment gaps treated as fifth state. Measures calculated for parsimony included tree length (TL), consistency index (CI), retention index (RI) and rescaled consistence index (RC). Bootstrap (BS) analyses (Hillis \& Bull 1993) were based on 1000 replications. Alignments and phylogenetic trees derived from this study were uploaded to TreeBASE (S24736; www.treebase.org).

## Morphological characterisation

All isolates were characterised following the protocols described by Leslie \& Summerell (2006) and Lombard et al. (2019) using PDA, oatmeal agar (OA, recipe in Crous et al. 2019), synthetic
nutrient-poor agar (SNA; Nirenberg 1976) and carnation leaf agar (CLA; Fisher et al. 1982). Colony morphology, pigmentation, odour and growth rates were evaluated on PDA after 7 d at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark. Colour notations was done using the colour charts of Rayner (1970). Micromorphological characters were examined using water as mounting medium on a Nikon Eclipse 80i and/or Zeiss Axioskop 2 plus with Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) optics and a Nikon AZ100 stereomicroscope, all fitted with Nikon DS-Ri2 high definition colour digital cameras to photo-document fungal structures. Measurements were taken using the Nikon software NIS-elements D v. 4.50 of at least 30 fungal structures and the $95 \%$ confidence levels were determined for the conidial measurements with extremes given in parentheses. For all other fungal structures examined, only the extremes are presented. To facilitate the comparison of relevant micro- and macroconidial features, composite photo plates were assembled from separate photographs using PhotoShop CSS.

## RESULTS

## Phylogenetic analyses

Approximately 500-650 bases were determined for $c m d A$ and tef1, and 1000 bases for rpb2. For the BI and ML analyses, a K80 model for cmdA, an HKY+G+l model for rpb2 and an HKY+G for tef1 were selected and incorporated into the analyses. The ML tree topology confirmed the tree topologies obtained from the BI and MP analyses, and therefore, only the ML tree is presented.
The combined three loci sequence dataset included 180 ingroup taxa with F. concolor (NRRL 13459) as outgroup taxon. The dataset consisted of 2039 characters including gaps. Of these characters, 1249 were constant, 252 parsimony-uninformative and 538 parsimony-informative. The BI lasted for 60.968 M generations, and the consensus tree and posterior probabilities (PP) were calculated from 46278 trees left after 15242 were discarded as the 'burn-in' phase. The MP analysis yielded 1000 trees ( $\mathrm{TL}=1919 ; \mathrm{Cl}=0.566 ; \mathrm{RI}=0.910 ; \mathrm{RC}=0.515$ ) and a single best ML tree with $-\operatorname{InL}=-12431.078914$ (Fig. 1).
In the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1), the ingroup taxa resolved into three main clades. The first main clade (indicated as FCAMSC) is fully supported (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; $P P=1.0$ ) and included four strains representing three lineages. Two of these represent unique single strain lineages, one of which is the ex-type of $F$. kotabaruense (InaCC F 963; Maryani et al. 2019), and the other F. camptoceras (CBS 193.65; Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982). The third lineage included two strains (CBS 189.60 \& CBS 190.60), both of which were initially identified as $F$. incarnatum.
The second main clade is well-supported (ML-BS = $72 \%$, MP-BS = 76 \% \& $\mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) representing the Equiseti clade (F. equiseti s.lat.; O'Donnell et al. 2009, Villani et al. 2016). This main clade is further divided into 22 fully to well-supported lineages of which eight are unique single strain lineages, representing phylo-species FIESC 1-14 \& FIESC 30 (O'Donnell et al. 2009, 2012), FIESC 30 of Maryani et al. (2019) and FIESC 31 (Villani et al. 2016). Two of the eight single strain lineages (CBS 150.25 \& CBS 119880, respectively) represent previously unresolved phylo-species.
The third main clade is well-supported (ML-BS = $72 \%$, MP$B S=100 \%$ \& PP = 0.99) representing the Incarnatum clade (F. incarnatum s.lat., O'Donnell et al. 2009, Villani et al. 2016). This main clade is also further divided into 22 fully to wellsupported lineages that includes four unique single strain lineages, representing phylo-species FIESC 15-29 (O'Donnell et al. 2009, 2012), FIESC 29 \& 30 of Torbati et al. (2019) and


Fig. 1 The ML consensus tree inferred from the combined $c m d A, r p b 2$ and tef1 sequence alignment. Thickened branches indicate branches present in the ML, MP and Bayesian consensus trees. Blue thickened lines indicate branches with full support (ML \& MP-BS = 100 \%; PP = 1.0) with support values of other branches indicated at the branches. The tree is rooted to Fusarium concolor (NRRL 13459). The scale bar indicates 0.05 expected changes per site. Species complexes, and the Equiseti and Incarnatum clades are indicated on the right. Phylo-species indicated in orange are those of Maryani et al. (2019), dark blue are those of Wang et al. (2019) and yellow those of Torbati et al. (2019). Ex-neotypes, ex-epitypes and ex-types indicated in bold.

FIESC 32 of Maryani et al. (2019). Of these, four lineages represent new phylo-species not resolved in previous studies. The phylogenetic relationships between the 44 resolved lineages are further discussed in the notes of the Taxonomy section.

## TAXONOMY

In this section, Latin binomials are provided for the majority of phylo-species resolved in this study. For six phylo-species (FIESC 8, 22, 27, 30, 31 and 32; Fig. 1) no Latin binomials are provided as the strains were not available to us at the time of this study. In addition, epitypes are designated for F. compactum, F. incarnatum and F. scirpi, and a neotype for F. camptoceras.

Fusarium aberrans J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831829; Fig. 2

Etymology. Name refers to the abnormal falcate conidia (aerial and sporodochial) produced by this fungus.

Typus. Australia, Northern Territories, Roper River area, from Oryza australiensis stem, Apr. 2009, T. Petrovic (holotype CBS H-24050 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 131385).

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 16-110 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, sympodial or irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral phialides, often reduced to single phialides; aerial phialides mono- and polyphialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, sometimes proliferating percurrently, smooth- and thin-walled, $5-30 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, falcate, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards

Fig. 1 (cont.)

both ends, with a blunt and straight to slightly curved apical cell and blunt to barely notched basal cell, smooth- and thin-walled, (1-)3-5-septate; 1 -septate conidia: $14-24 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $21 \times 3$ $\mu \mathrm{m}, n=5$ ); 2-septate conidia: $20-38 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $27 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=5$ ); 3-septate conidia: ( $23-$ ) $30-40(-51) \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $35 \times$ $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4 -septate conidia: (35-)37-43(-45) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $40 \times$ $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (37-)39-47(-54) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $43 \times$ $4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Sporodochia saffron to pale brown, formed abundantly on surface of medium. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindri-
cal, $8-15 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; sporodochial conidia falcate, slightly curved dorsiventrally to almost straight, tapering towards both ends, with a conical, straight to slightly curved apical cell and a blunt to foot-like basal cell, (1-)3-septate, hyaline, smooth- and thin-walled; 1-septate conidia: 22-26 $\times 2.5-3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $24 \times 3$ $\mu \mathrm{m}, n=8$ ); 2-septate conidia: 21-28×3-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (av. $25 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=5$ ); 3-septate conidia: (25-)29-35(-39) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 32 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $7-9$


Fig. 2 Fusarium abberans (CBS 131385, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on media surface; e. sporodochial conidiophores; f. conidiophores on aerial mycelium; g. lateral monophialides on aerial mycelium; h. mono- and polyphialides on aerial mycelium; i. falcate aerial conidia; j. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
$\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white, floccose, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, lobate, serrate or filiform. Odour absent. Reverse pale yellow to yellow with yellow diffusible pigments visible in the medium. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d; surface white, floccose, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, lobate, serrate or filiform. Reverse straw to pale luteous, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium, sporulation abundant on the surface of the medium.

Additional materials examined. Australia, Northern Territories, Roper River area, from Oryza australiensis stem, Apr. 2009, T. Petrovic, CBS 131387; ibid., CBS 131388. - Niger, from sorghum malt, 1992, A. Lübben, CBS $119866=$ MRC 6715.

Notes - Fusarium aberrans represents a well-supported novel lineage (ML-BS = $91 \%, \mathrm{MP}-\mathrm{BS}=90 \%, \mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) in the Incarnatum clade, closely related to F. hainanensis, F. nanum and $F$. persicinum. This species readily produces sporodochia in culture distinguishing it from F. hainanense, F. nanum (Wang et al. 2019) and F. persicinum. Furthermore, F. nanum produces obovoid aerial conidia in culture (Wang et al. 2019), not seen in F. aberrans. All isolates included in this clade appear to be associated with cereals in the Southern Hemisphere.

Fusarium arcuatisporum M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 78. 2019.

Typus. China, Hubei province, from pollen of Brassica campestris, Mar. 2016, Y.Z. Zhao (holotype HAMS 248034, culture ex-type CGMCC3. 19493 = LC12147).

Descriptions \& Illustrations - Wang et al. (2019).
Notes - Fusarium arcuatisporum represents phylo-species FIESC 7 in the Equiseti clade (O'Donnell et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2019), resolved as a single strain lineage closely related to F. brevicaudatum (FIESC 6) and F. longicaudatum. Aerial conidiophores and aerial conidia are absent in these three species (Wang et al. 2019). Wang et al. (2019) only reported 5-septate sporodochial conidia (i.e., macroconidia) produced by $F$. arcuatisporum, whereas those of $F$. brevicaudatum and F. longicaudatum are 1-5-septate and (3-)5-6(-7)-septate, respectively. Additionally, the 5-septate sporodochial conidia of F. arcuatisporum (29-49.5 $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; Wang et al. 2019) are significantly smaller than those of $F$. brevicaudatum ((31-)43-$59(-64) \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and F. Iongicaudatum ((48-)62-76(-82) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$.


Fig. 3 Fusarium brevicaudatum (NRRL 43638, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e. sporodochial conidiophores; $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{g}$. chlamydospores; h . sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fusarium brevicaudatum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. — MycoBank MB831830; Fig. 3

Etymology. Name refers to the short tail-like apical cells of the sporodochial conidia produced by this fungus.

Typus. USA, Florida, from Trichechus sp. (manatee), date and collector unknown (holotype CBS H-24051 designated here, culture ex-type NRRL 43638 = UTHSC R-3500).

Conidiophores and aerial conidia borne on aerial mycelium not observed. Sporodochia salmon to saffron, formed abundantly on carnation leaves. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $9-12 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with a short to slightly elongated or whip-like straight to curved apical cell and a barely notched to prominently extended basal cell, 1-5-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled;1-septate conidia: $(8-) 12-16(-21) \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $14 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 2-septate conidia: (12-)13-19(-21) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $16 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=15$ ); 3-septate conidia: (19-)25-35(-40) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $30 \times 4$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: $(29-) 32-48(-54) \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $40 \times$ $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (31-)43-59(-64) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 51 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Chlamydospores rare, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary, in pairs or forming chains, 4-10 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $3-7$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and reaching $50-58 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d ; surface white to luteous, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with aerial mycelium, mar-
gin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse salmon to apricot. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to salmon, felty to velvety, aerial mycelium floccose, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale salmon. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium.

Additional materials examined. USA, Texas, from human eye, date and collector unknown, NRRL 43694 = CDC 2006743607; from human toe, date and collector unknown, NRRL 45998 = UTHSC 06-2315.

Notes - Fusarium brevicaudatum represents phylo-species FIESC 6 in the Equiseti clade as designated by O'Donnell et al. (2009) forming a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = 100 \%, $\mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) sister to $F$. arcuatisporum and $F$. longicaudatum. This species produced characteristic 1- and 2-septate sporodochial conidia, not seen for F. arcuatisporum and F. longicaudatum, which are reminiscent of aerial conidia (i.e., microconidia), although no conidiophores or aerial conidia could be found on the aerial mycelium formed on the various media used in this study. This feature could represent an ecological adaptation as all isolates included in this study originated from clinical and veterinarian samples associated with superficial mycoses (O'Donnell et al. 2009). However, this requires further investigation.

Fusarium bubalinum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank 831831; Fig. 4

Etymology. Name refers to buff-coloured colonies formed on PDA by this fungus.

Typus. Australia, unknown substrate and date, H.W. Wollenweber (holotype CBS H-24052 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 161.25 = NRRL 26857 = NRRL 26918).


Fig. 4 Fusarium bubalinum (CBS 161.25, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c. conidiophore on aerial mycelium; d. monophialide; e. polyphialide; f. microcyclic conidiation; g. ellipsoidal to falcate aerial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 50-90 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, sympodial or irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral phialides, often reduced to single phialides; phialides mono- and polyphialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, proliferating percurrently, smooth- and thin-walled, 3-31 $\times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, rarely ellipsoidal to falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally and more pronounced on the apical half, tapering towards both ends, with a blunt to conical and straight to slightly curved apical cell and a blunt to papillate basal cell, (1-)3-5(-8)-septate, microcyclic conidiogenesis commonly observed; 1-septate conidia: (16-)18-22(-25) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $20 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=16$ ); 2-septate conidia: $22-26(-29) \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $24 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=11$ ); 3-septate conidia: (24-) $32-42(-51) \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 37 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (36-)38-44(-48) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 41 $\times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (38-)43-53(-58) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 48 $\times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidia: (47-)48-62(-71) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 55 $\times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=7$ ); 7-septate conidia: (54-)60-76 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $68 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=5$ ); 8 -septate conidia: 61-67 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=2)$. Sporodochia and chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $6-10$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to buff, floccose, radiate, with moderate aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse primrose. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale primrose, flat, membranous to dust, aerial mycelium sparse, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale primrose, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium and abundant sporulation on the surface of the medium.

Notes - Fusarium bubalinum represents a new single strain lineage resolved in the Incarnatum clade, closely related to F. incarnatum, F. monophialidicum and F. tanahbumbuense. This species can be distinguished from the latter three by the commonly observed microcyclic conidiogenesis and the forma-
tion of (1-)3-5(-8)-septate falcate aerial conidia compared to the (1-)3-5(-7)-septate falcate aerial conidia of $F$. incarnatum, (1-)3-5-septate falcate aerial conidia of $F$. monophialidicum and $3-5$-septate falcate aerial conidia of $F$. tanahbumbuense (Maryani et al. 2019). Furthermore, F. bubalinum and F. monophialidicum did not produce any sporodochia on carnation leaves, whereas F. incarnatum and F. tanahbumbuense produce abundant sporodochia on carnation leaves.

Fusarium caatingaense A.C.S. Santos et al., Mycologia 111: 248. 2019

Typus. Brazil, Pernambuco, Ibimirim, from Dactylopius opuntiae, July 2011, P.V. Tiago (holotype URM 91192, culture ex-type culture MUM $1859=$ URM 6779).

Additional materials examined. USA, Hawaii, from Juniper chinensis leaf, date unknown, W.H. Ko, CBS 976.97; Texas, from human sputum, 1995, J. Swezey, CBS $130317=$ NRRL $34003=$ UTHSC 95-28.

Notes - Santos et al. (2019) introduced the Latin binomial F. caatingaense to represent phylo-species FIESC 20, which formed a distinct fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$, $P P=1.0$ ) in this study. This species was shown to have a heterothallic mating system, producing typical gibberella-like perithecia exuding viable ascospores. This species is also characterised by the various shapes of aerial conidia (up to four) produced in culture (Santos et al. 2019).

Fusarium camptoceras Wollenw. \& Reinking, Phytopathology 15: 158. 1925

Typus. Costa Rica, on cushion gall of Theobroma cacao, 1963, W. Gerlach (neotype CBS H-24077 designated here, ex-neotype culture CBS 193.65; MBT 387942).

Descriptions \& Illustrations - Wollenweber \& Reinking (1925), Reinking \& Wolleweber (1927), Gerlach \& Nirenberg (1982), Marasas et al. (1998).

Notes - Gerlach \& Nirenberg (1982) studied isolate CBS 193.65 and considered it a good representative of $F$. camptoceras, providing illustrations that match the original description provided by Wollenweber \& Reinking (1925), and drawings and description from the type isolate (R42; Wollenweber \& Reinking 1925) later published in Reinking \& Wollenweber (1927). Marasas et al. (1998) also studied isolate CBS 193.65 and provided an emended description of $F$. camptoceras that included the presence of pedicellate sporodochial conidia (i.e., macroconidia) and mesoconidia produced on polyphialides on the aerial mycelium. Therefore, CBS 193.65 is designated as ex-neotype to stabilise the taxonomic position of this species. Phylogenetic inference in this study placed the ex-neotype of $F$. camptoceras in a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; PP = 1.0), that includes $F$. kotabaruense and $F$. neosemitectum, forming a distinct monophyletic species complex which is designated as the $F$. camptoceras species complex (FCAMSC) here. The falcate aerial conidia of $F$. camptoceras ( $(0-) 3-4(-7)$-septate, $15-51 \times 4-7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Marasas et al. 1998) are slightly larger than those of $F$. kotabaruense ((2-)3-5(-7)-septate, 21-45× $5-7.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Maryani et al. 2019) and F. neosemitectum ((1-)2-4(-5)-septate, 17-41 $\times 3-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall). Additionally,
F. camptoceras also produces ellipsoidal to obovoid aerial conidia and sporodochia (Marasas et al. 1998), neither observed in culture for F. kotabaruense (Maryani et al. 2019) nor F. neosemitectum.

Fusarium cateniforme J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. — MycoBank MB831832; Fig. 5

Etymology. Name refers to the long chains of chlamydospores formed in culture.

Typus. Unknown locality and substrate, 1925, H.W. Wollenweber (holotype CBS H-24053 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 150.25 = ATCC 11853).
Conidiophores and aerial conidia borne on aerial mycelium not observed. Sporodochia brown to dark brown. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, doliiform, subulate to subcylindrical, 7-11 $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, sometimes become sinuate, slender, markedly curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with a elongate or whip-like, often curved or sinuate apical cell and an elongated foot-like basal cell, 3-6(-8)-septate, hyaline,


Fig. 5 Fusarium cateniforme (CBS 150.25, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on media surface; e-f. sporodochial conidiophores; g-j. chlamydospores; k. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
thin- and smooth-walled; 3-septate conidia: (29-)35-43(-47) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $39 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (39-)41-47(-52) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $44 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (42-)50-60(-67) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $55 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidia: (54-)59-65(-66) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $62 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 7-septate conidia: 60-62 $\times 5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $62 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=3$ ); 8-septate conidia: $65 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=1)$. Chlamydospores abundant, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary, in pairs or forming long chains, 5-13 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDAincubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $6-9 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale salmon, flat, radiate, aerial mycelium sparse, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale straw. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale primrose, flat, membranous, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale primrose, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium.

Notes - Fusarium cateniforme represents a novel single strain lineage resolved in this study. This species is characterised by the formation of abundant long chains of chlamydospores in culture. Also, characteristic is the lack of conidiophores and conidia formed on the aerial mycelium in culture, a feature shared with the phylogenetic close relatives F. flagelliforme, F. gracilipes and F. longifundum. The sporodochial conidia of F. cateniforme (3-6(-8)-septate, $29-67 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall) are smaller than those of F. flagelliforme ((3-)4-5(-6)-septate, $37-85 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall), F. gracilipes ((3-)5(-6)-septate, $40-84 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall) and $F$. longifundum ((3-)5(-6)-septate, $21-76 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall).

## Fusarium citri M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 79. 2019

Typus. China, Hunan province, from leaf of Citrus reticulata, Sept. 2015, X. Zhou (holotype HAMS 248036, culture ex-type CGMCC3.19467 = LC6896).

Description \& Illustration - Wang et al. (2019).


Fig. 6 Fusarium clavum (CBS 126202, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on media surface; e-f. sporodochial conidiophores; g. lateral monophialides on aerial mycelium; h. lateral phialidic peg on aerial mycelium; i. chlamydospores; j. falcate aerial conidia; k. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Additional materials examined. Czech Republic, Olomouc, from Lactuca sativa, 1983, J. Rod, CPC 35143 = CCF 1881. - Denmark, from Medicago sativa, 6 Mar. 1986, K. Hermansen, CBS 621.87. - Iran, Babak, Bilesovar, from Triticum sp., Apr. 2010, M. Davari, CBS 130905. - Japan, Mie, Tsu City, from cultivated soil, Oct. 1964, T. Matsushima, CBS 678.77.

Notes - Fusarium citri represents phylo-species FIESC 29 (O'Donnell et al. 2012), resolved here as a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; PP = 1.0). This species is closely related to $F$. fasciculatum and $F$. humuli (see notes under F. fasciculatum and Wang et al. 2019 for morphological differences).

Fusarium clavum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. — MycoBank MB831833; Fig. 6

Etymology. Name refers to the lateral phialidic pegs borne on the aerial mycelium by this fungus.

Typus. Namibia, northern Karoo, 30 km west of Maltahohe, from desert soil, Apr. 2001, M. Christensen (holotype CBS H-24054 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 126202).
Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium rarely seen, 6-13 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, reduced to lateral phialidic pegs or single lateral monophialides, obpyriform to lageniform, smooth- and thinwalled, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, smooth- and thin-walled, falcate, gently dorsiventrally curved with a blunt apical cell and barely notched basal cell, 1-2(-3)-septate; 1 -septate conidia: $13-26(-31) \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $19 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=6$ ); 2-septate conidia: (19-)21-29(-30) $\times$ $3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $25 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=5$ ); 3-septate conidia: $25 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ( $n=1$ ). Sporodochia salmon to orange, formed abundantly on carnation leaves. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, sometimes proliferating percurrently, 6-9 $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with elongated or whip-like curved apical cells and a barely notched to prominently extended basal cells, $3-5(-6)$-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 3-septate conidia: (20-)29-39(-47) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $34 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (33-)38-46(-53) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $42 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (38-)42-50(-56) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .46 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 6 -septate conidia: $45-50 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=2)$. Chlamydospores abundant, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary, in pairs or forming chains, 4-11 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $7-10$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 6 d ; surface salmon to saffron, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale salmon. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface sulphur yellow to straw with yellow ring near the centre, flat, membranous, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin entire. Reverse sulphur yellow to saffron, with saffron pigments form ring near the centre. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium, sporulation moderate on the surface of the medium.

Additional materials examined. Germany, from Disphyma crassifolium seed, 1982, H. Nirenberg, CBS 394.93 = BBA $64265=$ NRRL 25795. - IRAN, Aziz abad, Bilesovar, from Phalaris minor, date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 131015; Gonbad, Golestan, from Triticum sp., date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 131787; Paragheshlagh, Parsabad, from Leucopoa sclerophylla, date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 131255; from Secale montanum, date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 131448. - Russia, Adygea, from potato leaf, 2008, T.Yu. Gagkaeva, CBS 140912. - Unknown locality, substrate and date, W.F.O. Marasas, CBS 119881 = MRC 8412. - USA, Texas, from human abscess, date unknown, J. Swezey, CBS 130395 = NRRL $34032=$ UTHSC 98-2172.

Notes - Fusarium clavum represents phylo-species FIESC 5 (O'Donnell et al. 2009) resolved as a well-supported clade (ML-BS = $94 \%, \mathrm{MP}-\mathrm{BS}=82 \%, \mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) in the Equiseti clade, closely related to F. compactum, F. duofalcatisporum, F. ipomoeae and F. lacertarum. All five of these species produce only falcate aerial conidia (Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Subrahmanyam 1983, Leslie \& Summerbell 2006, Wang et al. 2019), a feature apparently unique to this phylogenetic group. Fusarium clavum forms abundant lateral phialidic pegs on the aerial mycelia, a characteristic shared with F. duofalcatisporum, but not known for F. compactum, F. ipomoeae and F. lacertarum (Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Subrahmanyam 1983, Leslie \& Summerbell 2006, Wang et al. 2019). However, the falcate aerial conidia of $F$. clavum (1-2(-3)-septate; $13-30 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall) are smaller than those of $F$. duofalcatisporum (1-3(-4)-septate; $13-40 \times 2-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall). Isolates of $F$. clavum included in this study were obtained from environmental, plant and human samples collected in Africa, Asia, Europe and North America, indicative of a broad distribution.

Fusarium coffeatum L. Lombard \& Crous, Fungal Syst. Evol. 5: 191. 2019 — Fig. 7

Synonym. Fusarium chlamydosporum var. fuscum Gerlach, Phytopathol. Z. 90: 41. 1977.

Typus. New Zealand, Palmerston North, from Cynodon lemfuensis imported from South Africa, Nov. 1973, C.A.F. Jaques (isotype CBS H-631, culture ex-type CBS $635.76=$ BBA 62053).

Descriptions \& Illustrations — Gerlach (1977), Gerlach \& Nirenberg (1982).

Additional materials examined. Romania, Mangalia, from grave stone, date unknown, O. Constantinescu, CBS 430.81 = NRRL 28577.

Notes - Fusarium coffeatum was elevated to species level and linked to phylo-species FIESC 28 based on phylogenetic inference by Lombard et al. (2019). Gerlach (1977) and Gerlach \& Nirenberg (1982) initially treated this species as a variety of F. chlamydosporum based on morphological similarities, but distinguished them based on colony pigmentation. The ex-type strain (CBS 635.76) of $F$. coffeatum clustered within a fully supported subclade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; PP = 1.0) in the Incarnatum clade. Unfortunately, the ex-type strain has become degenerate over time (Fig. 11) and no longer produces the beige to coffee-brown pigments in culture, and no sporodochia were observed on CLA.

Fusarium compactum (Wollenw.) Raillo, Fungi of the genus Fusarium: 180. 1950

Basionym. Fusarium scirpi var. compactum Wollenw., Fus. Autogr. Del. 3: no. 924. 1930.

Synonym. Fusarium compactum (Wollenw.) W.L. Gordon, Canad. J. Bot. 30: 224. 1952.

Typus. England, Kew, from cotton yarn, Aug. 1926, S.J. Ashby (Wollenweber (1916-1935), lectotype of Fusarium scirpi var. compactum designated here, MBT387945, as illustration in Wollenweber's Fusaria Autographice Delineata 3: no. 924. 1930). - England, Kew, from cotton yarn, 1926, deposited by H.W. Wollenweber (epitype of Fusarium scirpi var. compactum designated here, specimen and culture CBS 186.31, maintained as metabolically inactive; MBT387946).
Descriptions \& Illustrations - Wollenweber (1916-1935, no. 924), Gerlach \& Nirenberg (1982), Leslie \& Summerell (2006).

Additional material examined. Unknown locality, substrate, date and collector, CBS 185.31.

Notes - Based on phylogenetic inference in this study, F. compactum represents phylo-species FIESC 3 (O'Donnell et al. 2009), forming a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS =


Fig. 7 Fusarium coffeatum (CBS 635.76, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c. conidiophore on aerial mycelium with monophialides; d. lateral phialidic pegs on aerial mycelium; e-f. polyphialidic phialides; $g-\mathrm{i}$. aerial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 8 Fusarium croceum (CBS 131777, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e. sporodochial conidiophores; $f$. conidiophore on aerial mycelium; g. lateral monophialides on aerial mycelium; h. aerial conidia; i. sporodochial conidia. -Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

100 \%; PP = 1.0), closely related to F. clavum, F. duofalcatisporum, F. ipomoeae and F. lacertarum. To stabilise the species concept of $F$. compactum, we epitypify this species based on isolate (CBS 186.31 = NRRL 36323) that has the same locality, substrate and date of collection as indicated in Wollenweber's Fusaria Autographice Delineata 3: no. 924 (1930). Although CBS 186.31 might represent the true ex-type of $F$. compactum, no definite record could be located to confirm this. Wang et al. (2019) also considered NRRL 36323 (= CBS 186.31) as good representative strain of $F$. compactum.

Fusarium croceum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831834; Fig. 8

Etymology. Name refers to the orange-coloured sporodochia produced by this fungus.

Typus. Iran, Golestan, Gonbad, from Triticum sp., 2013, M. Davari (holotype CBS H-24055 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 131777).

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 30-60 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, sympodial or irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral phialides, mostly reduced to single lateral phialides borne on aerial mycelium; aerial phialides monophialidic, rarely polyphialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, proliferating percurrently, smooth- and thin-walled, 6-24 $\times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, smooth- and thinwalled, of two types: (a) ellipsoidal to fusiform, $0-1$-septate; 0 -septate conidia: ( $7-$ ) $8-12(-14) \times 2-3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $10 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 1-septate conidia: ( $10-$ ) $12-16(-17) \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $14 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); (b) falcate, gently dorsiventrally curved with a blunt apical cell and barely notched basal cell, 1-3-septate; 1-septate conidia: (11-)14-20(-24) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $17 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 2-septate conidia: (16-)17-21(-22) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $19 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 3-septate conidia: (18-)22-28(-30) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $25 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Sporodochia orange, formed abundantly on carnation leaves. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $8-13 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; sporodochial conidia falcate, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with a conical and curved apical cell and a blunt to foot-like basal cell, (1-)3-5-septate, hyaline, smooth- and thin-walled; 1-septate conidia: 15-21(-24) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $18 \times$ $4 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; n=9$ ); 2-septate conidia: $16-22 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $19 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; $n=4$ ); 3-septate conidia: (23-)27-33(-37) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 30 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (28-)30-36(-42) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $33 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (29-)34-38(-41) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $36 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $7-9$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to pale salmon, felty to velvety, radiate, with moderate aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale salmon. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to pale salmon, felty to velvety, radiate, with sparse aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale salmon to salmon, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with abundant sporulation on the surface of the medium.

Additional materials examined. Czech Republic, NW Bohemia, Střezovská rokle Nature Monument, gorge near Březno u Chomutova, from soil, 2005, A. Kubátová, CPC 35240. - Iran, Golestan, Gonbad, from Triticum sp., 2013, M. Davari, CBS 131788. - Unknown country, host, date and collector, NRRL $3020=$ FRC R-6053 $=$ MRC 2231, NRRL $3214=$ FRC R-6054 $=$ MRC 2232.

Notes - Fusarium croceum represents phylo-species FIESC $10-\mathrm{a}$ as defined by O'Donnell et al. (2009), which formed a fully supported basal clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; PP = 1.0)
in the Equiseti clade. No collection information is available for the original two isolates (NRRL 3020 \& NRRL 3214) used to delimit FIESC 10. However, the ex-type (CBS 131777) and CBS 131788 were isolated from wheat in Western Asia, whereas CPC 35240 was isolated from soil in Central Europe. This species can be distinguished from F. equiseti and other species in the FIESC by the shorter and more robust sporodochial conidia. The apical cell of the sporodochial conidia (i.e., macroconidia) of $F$. croceum is much less elongated than those of $F$. equiseti.

Fusarium duofalcatisporum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831835; Fig. 9

Etymology. Name refers to the two different (aerial and sporodochial) falcate conidia produced by this fungus.

Typus. Sudan, Nile Province, from Phaseolus vulgaris seed, date unknown, M. Eltayeb (holotype CBS H-24056 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 384.92 = NRRL 36448).

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium rarely seen, 9-16 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, reduced to lateral phialidic pegs or single lateral monophialides, subulate to subcylindrical, smooth- and thin-walled, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, smooth- and thin-walled, falcate, gently dorsiventrally curved with a blunt apical cell and barely notched basal cell, $1-3(-4)$-septate; 1 -septate conidia: (13-)16-20(-24) $\times$ $2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $18 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 2-septate conidia: (16-)19-25(-26) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .22 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 3-septate conidia: (21-)24-32(-36) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $28 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: 36-40 $\times 4-5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}(n=3)$. Sporodochia salmon to saffron, formed abundantly on carnation leaves. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, 7-19 $\times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, sometimes becoming sinuate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with an elongated or whip-like curved apical cell and a barely notched to prominently extended basal cell, (3-)5-6(-7)-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 3-septate conidia: 36-51(-60) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $43 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=16$ ); 4-septate conidia: (42-)43-59(-68) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $51 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=13$ ); 5-septate conidia: (48-)62-76(-80) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 69 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidia: (43-)61-75(-79) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 68 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 7-septate conidia: (65-)68-76(-79) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 72 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=15$ ). Chlamydospores rarely formed, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary, in pairs or forming chains, $5-9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $4-7 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and reaching 75-82 mm diam in 7 d ; surface peach with salmon margins, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with aerial mycelium, margin entire. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale peach to peach. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface sulphur yellow to straw, flat, membranous to dusty, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse sulphur yellow to straw, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium, sporulation abundant on the surface of the medium.

Additional material examined. Mozambique, Maputo, from Gossypium hirsutum, date unknown, CBS $264.50=$ NRRL 36401.

Notes - Fusarium duofalcatisporum represents phylospecies FIESC 2 (O'Donnell et al. 2009), a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = 100 \%; PP = 1.0), closely related to F. clavum, F. compactum, F. ipomoeae and F. lacertarum in the Equiseti clade. The sporodochial conidia of $F$. duofalcatisporum ((3-)5-6(-7)-septate; 36-80 $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall) are larger


Fig. 9 Fusarium duofalcatisporum (CBS 384.92, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c. sporodochia on carnation leaves; d. sporodochial conidiophores; $f-g$. lateral monophialides on aerial mycelium; $h$. lateral phialidic peg on aerial mycelium; i. aerial conidia; j. sporodochial conidia; $k-I$. chlamydospores - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
than those of $F$. clavum ((3-)5-6(-7)-septate; 36-80 $\times 3-5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ overall), F. compactum ((3-)5(-7)-septate; 16-55 $\times$ 3.5-6.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ overall; Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982) and F. ipomoeae (3-5-septate; $26.5-57 \times 2.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Wang et al. 2019). This species appears to be restricted to North- and South eastern Africa (O'Donnell et al. 2009).

## Fusarium equiseti (Corda) Sacc., Syll. Fung. 4: 707. 1886

Basionym. Selenosporium equiseti Corda, Icon. Fungorum (Corda) 2: 7, t. IX, Fig. 32. 1838.

Synonyms. Fusarium gibbosum Appel \& Wollenw., Arbeiten Kaiserl. Biol. Anst. Ld.-u. Forstw. 8: 190. 1910.

Fusarium caudatum Wollenw., J. Agric. Res. 2: 262. 1914.
Gibberella intricans Wollenw., Z. Parasitenk. (Berlin) 3: 332. 1931.

Typus. Germany, Braunschweig, Niedersachsen, from soil, Mar. 1994, H.I. Nirenberg (neotype specimen CBS H-5570, culture ex-neotype BBA $68556=$ CBS 307.94 = NRRL 26419).

Descriptions \& Illustrations - Wollenweber \& Reinking (1935), Booth (1971), Gerlach \& Nirenberg (1982), Holubová-Jechová et al. (1994), Leslie \& Summerell (2006).

Additional materials examined. Czech Republic, Chvaletice, from sediment of abandoned dry sedimentation basin with waste material from $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Mn}$ pyrite processing, 1994, A. Kubátová, CPC 35220; Praha, toenail of $25-y r-$ old man, 2008, M. Skořepová, CPC 35262. - Denmark, from potato peel, 25 Apr. 1985, U. Thrane, CBS $414.86=$ FRC R-8508 $=$ IMI 309348. - GeRMANY, from leaf spot of Daphne mezereum, 1957, R. Schneider, CPC 35134 = DSM 62203. - Netherlands, IJpolder, from soil, date unknown, J.C. Went, CBS 185.34. - Switzerland, Hüntwangen, from maize husk, 20 Sept. 2005, S. Vogelsang, CBS 119663. - Unknown location and date, H.W. Wollenweber, CBS $107.07=\mathrm{IMI} 091982=$ NRRL 36136.

Notes - The F. equiseti s.str. clade was defined by O'Donnell et al. (2009) as phylo-species FIESC 14-a, which formed a well-supported clade (ML-BS = $94 \%$, MP-BS $=88 \%, \mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) here. With the exception of isolate CPC 35220, which has a clinical origin, the remaining isolates originated from either plant material or soil/sediment substrates.

Fusarium fasciculatum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831836; Fig. 10

Etymology. Name refers to the abundant formation of aggregated sporodochia on carnation leaf pieces.

Typus. Australia, Northern Territories, Roper River area, from Oryza australiensis stem, Apr. 2009, T. Petrovic (holotype CBS H-24057 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 131382).

Conidiophores and aerial conidia borne on aerial mycelium not observed. Sporodochia salmon to saffron, formed abundantly on carnation leaves or the surface of the medium. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $7-16 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with a slightly elongated and conical or short whip-like curved apical cell and a blunt to barely notched to foot-like basal cell, (2-)3-5(-6)-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 2-septate conidia: 34-42 $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=2)$; 3-septate conidia: (30-)34-42(-45) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .38 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 4-septate conidia: (37-)39-45(-48) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .42 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 5 -septate conidia:
(38-)42-48(-51) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .45 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 6-septate conidia: $45-57 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=3)$. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of 6-9 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale orange to orange, flat, felty, radiate, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin irregular, lobate, serrate or filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale straw to pale orange. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d; surface pale saffron, flat, membranous, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin irregular, lobate, serrate or filiform. Reverse sulphur yellow to straw, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium, and sporodochia forming on the surface of the medium.

Additional materials examined. Australia, Northern Territories, Roper River area, from Oryza australiensis stem, Apr. 2009, T. Petrovic, CBS 131383; ibid., CBS 131384.

Notes - Fusarium fasciculatum represents a new lineage in the Incarnatum clade, forming a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS $=100 \% ; \mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ), closely related to F. citri and F. humuli (Wang et al. 2019). The sporodochial conidia (i.e., macroconidia) of F. fasciculatum ((2-)3-5(-6)-septate; 30-57 $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall) are larger than those of $F$. citri (3-5-septate; $25.5-40.5 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Wang et al. 2019) and $F$. humuli (3-5-septate; 21-35 $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Wang et al. 2019). All three isolates representing F. fasciculatum were obtained from a native Australian wild rice species. However, it is not certain whether these are pathogens or endophytes of their respective hosts.


Fig. 10 Fusarium fasciculatum (CBS 131382, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e-f. sporodochial conidiophores; g. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fusarium flagelliforme J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831837; Fig. 11
Etymology. Name refers to the whip-like apical cells of the sporodochial conidia.

Typus. Croatia, Zagreb, from Pinus nigra seedling, date and collector unknown (holotype CBS H-24058 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 162.57 = NRRL 36269).

Conidiophores and aerial conidia borne on aerial mycelium not observed. Sporodochia salmon to saffron, formed abundantly on carnation leaves and on the surface of the medium. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $7-14 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with elongated or whip-like, curved apical cell and a barely notched to prominently extended basal
cell, (3-)4-5(-6)-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 3-septate conidia: (37-)41-51(-54) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $46 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=16$ ); 4-septate conidia: $(45-) 49-61(-69) \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 55 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (49-)59-75(-85) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 67 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidia: $59-84 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $74 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=4)$. Chlamydospores rarely formed, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary or in pairs or forming chains, $5-11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of 3.5-6 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and reaching $65-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d ; surface pale luteous to orange red, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with moderate aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale luteous to pale orange-red. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark reaching 60-70 mm diam in 7 d ; surface straw to pale luteous, flat, membranous to dusty, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse straw to pale luteous, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium, membranous.


Fig. 11 Fusarium flagelliforme (CBS 162.57, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e-f. sporodochial conidiophores; g-h. chlamydospores; i. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Additional materials examined. Germany, from unknown seedling, date and collector unknown, CBS 259.54 = NRRL 36392; from Triticum sp., date and collector unknown, CBS $731.87=$ NRRL 26921, ibid., NRRL $6548=I M I$ 112503; from Thuja sp., date and collector unknown, NRRL 31011 = BBA 69079.

Notes - Fusarium flagelliforme represents phylo-species FIESC 12 (O'Donnell et al. 2009), a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS $=100 \% ; P P=1.0$ ), closely related to $F$. longifundum in the Equiseti clade. Similar to F. Iongifundum, this species lacks conidiophores and conidia on its aerial mycelia, but does produce abundant sporodochia and sporodochial conidia on the carnation leaf pieces and surrounding medium. The sporodochial conidia of $F$. flagelliforme ((3-)4-5(-6)-septate; $37-85 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall) are larger than those of $F$. longifundum ((3-)5(-6)-septate; $21-76 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall). This species appears to be restricted to Europe, mostly associated with cereals.

Fusarium gracilipes J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G.
Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831838; Fig. 12
Etymology. Name refers to the slender foot-shaped basal cells of the sporodochial conidia.

Typus. USA, Nebraska, from a horse, date and collector unknown (holotype CBS H-24059 designated here, culture ex-type NRRL 43635).

Conidiophores and aerial conidia borne on aerial mycelium not observed. Sporodochia salmon to saffron, formed abundantly on carnation leaves. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $8-15 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared
apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with elongated or whip-like, somewhat spatulate and curved apical cells and a barely notched to elongated, slender foot-shaped basal cell, (3-)5(-6)-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 3-septate conidia: (40-)44-58(-63) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $51 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=13$ ); 4-septate conidia: (48-)51-59(-61) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $55 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=23$ ); 5 -septate conidia: (55-)58-70(-84) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 64 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidia: $58-66 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $63 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=3$ ). Chlamydospores rare, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary, in pairs or forming chains, 4-11 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of 6-8.5 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale salmon, flat, radiate, aerial mycelium sparse, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale salmon. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale straw, flat, membranous, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale straw, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium, membranous.

Notes - Fusarium gracilipes represents a single strain lineage in the Equiseti clade, previously designated as phylospecies FIESC 13 by O'Donnell et al. (2009). Similar to F. cateniforme, F. flagelliforme and F. longifundum, its closest phylogenetic neighbours, this species does not produce conidiophores or conidia on the aerial mycelium in culture. For morphological differences, see notes under $F$. cateniforme.


Fig. 12 Fusarium gracilipes (NRRL 43635, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e. sporodochial conidiophores; $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{g}$. chlamydospores. h. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fusarium guilinense M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 82. 2019
Typus. China, Guangxi province, Guilin, from leaf of Musa nana, Sept. 2016, Y.Z. Diao (holotype HAMS 248037, culture ex-type CGMCC3.19495 = LC12160).

Notes - Fusarium guilinense was introduced by Wang et al. (2019) representing phylo-species FIESC 21 (O'Donnell et al. 2009), a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; $P P=1.0)$ in the Incarnatum clade .

## Fusarium hainanense M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 82. 2019

Typus. China, Hainan province, from stem of Oryza sp., Mar. 2016, G.H. Huang (holotype HAMS 248038, culture ex-type CGMCC3. 19478 = LC11638).

Additional material examined. Australia, Northern Territories, Roper River area, from Oryza australiensis stem, Apr. 2009, T. Petrovic, CBS 131386.

Notes - Fusarium hainanense was introduced by Wang et al. (2019) representing phylo-species FIESC 26 (O'Donnell et al. 2009), a well-supported clade (ML-BS $=99 \%$, MP-BS $=$ $92 \%, P P=1.0$ ) in the Incarnatum clade and closely related to F. abberans, F. nanum and F. persicinum. For morphological comparisons, see notes under $F$. abberans and Wang et al. (2019).

Fusarium humuli M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 83. 2019
Typus. China, Jiangsu Province, from leaf of Humulus scandens, Nov. 2017, Q. Chen (holotype HAMS 248039, culture ex-type CGMCC3.19374 = CQ1039).

## Description \& Illustration — Wang et al. (2019).

Notes - Fusarium humuli represents a unique lineage first resolved by Wang et al. (2019), which was also designated as phylo-species FIESC 33 by the authors. This species is closely related to F. citri and F. fasciculatum, forming a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; PP = 1.0) in the Incarnatum clade. For morphological differences, see notes under F. fasciculatum and Wang et al. (2019).

Fusarium incarnatum (Desm.) Sacc., Syll. Fung. 4: 712. 1886 — Fig. 13

Basionym. Fusisporium incarnatum Roberge ex Desm., Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot., sér. 2, 10: 309. 1838.

Synonyms. Fusarium semitectum Berk. \& Ravenel, Grevillea 3: 98. 1875. Fusisporium pallidoroseum Cooke, Grevillea 6: 139. 1878.
Fusarium pallidoroseum (Cooke) Sacc., Syll. Fung. 4: 720. 1886.
Fusarium semitectum var. majus Wollenw., Fus. Autogr. Del. 3: 907. 1931.
Typus. France, from Tagetes erecta, 1848, M. Roberge (holotype of Fusisporium incarnatum in herb. Desmazières, Plantes Cryptogamiques de France, éd. 2, No. 1303, in PC). - MALAWI, from Trichosanthes dioica, date unknown, H.M. Phiri (epitype of $F$. incarnatum designated here: CBS H-24060, MBT387952, culture ex-epitype CBS $132.73=$ ATCC $24387=\mathrm{IMI}$ 128222 = NRRL 25478).

Conidiophores borne on the aerial mycelium, 45-105 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, sympodial or irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral phialides, often reduced to single phialides; phialides mono- and polyphialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, sometimes proliferating percurrently, smooth- and thin-walled, $5-28 \times 2-4$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, smooth- and thin-walled, of two types: (a) ellipsoidal to fusiform, ( $0-$ )3-septate; 0 -septate conidia: $12-21 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ( $n=2$ ); 1-septate conidia: (14-)15-19(-23) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 17 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=14$ ); 2-septate conidia: 17-21(-22) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $19 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=6$ ); 3-septate conidia: (19-)24-34(-38) $\times 3-5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (av. $29 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); (b) falcate, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with blunt apical cell and blunt to barely notched basal cell, (1-)3-5(-7)-septate; 1-septate conidia:

18-25 $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=2)$; 2-septate conidia: $21-24 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ( $n=2$ ); 3-septate conidia: (20-)27-39(-45) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 33 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (33-) $34-42(-52) \times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 38 $\times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (36-)40-48(-51) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 44 $\times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidia: (40-)42-58(-66) $\times 5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $50 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=9$ ); 7-septate conidia: 50-58×5 $\mu \mathrm{m}(n=3)$. Sporodochia saffron to pale brown, formed less abundantly on the surface of the medium. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $8-17 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; sporodochial conidia falcate, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with slightly papillate, curved apical cell and a notched to foot-like basal cell, (1-)3-5(-6)-septate, hyaline, smooth- and thin-walled; 1-septate conidia: 15-18 $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=3)$; 2-septate conidia: $16-21 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=2)$; 3-septate conidia: $(23-) 28-36(-42) \times$ $4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $32 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (31-)34-40(-48) $\times$ $4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $37 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5 -septate conidia: (34-)36-42(-45) $\times$ $4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $39 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidium: $48 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=1)$. Chlamydospores abundant, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary, in pairs or forming chains, $5-11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $8-12$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to primrose, floccose, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale yellow. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale primrose, floccose in the centre, radiate, with less abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale yellow, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with abundant aerial mycelium and sporulation on the aerial mycelium.

[^1] NRRL 32867 = FRC R-8837.

Notes - Considerable controversy surrounds the application of the epithet 'incarnatum' within the Incarnatum clade (Booth \& Sutton 1984, Nirenberg 1990, Khoa et al. 2004, O'Donnell et al. 2009, Santos et al. 2019). Through their respective taxonomic histories, the names F. incarnatum, F. pallidoroseum and $F$. semitectum (and their respective varieties) have been linked to each other as either synonyms or as distinct species (Wollenweber \& Reinking 1935, Gordon 1952, 1956, Booth 1971, Joffe 1974, Booth \& Sutton 1984, Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Gams \& Nirenberg 1989, Nirenberg 1990). Booth \& Sutton (1984) studied the holotypes of $F$. semitectum and Fusisporium pallidoroseum ( $\equiv$ F. pallidoroseum) and found that the holotype of $F$. semitectum only contained structures representing Colletotrichum musae, and therefore regarded the name F. semitectum as misapplied. They proposed a revised nomenclature that synonymised $F$. semitectum var. majus under F. pallidoroseum. Gams \& Nirenberg (1989) accepted this revised nomenclature and recognised F. pallidoroseum var. majus. However, Nirenberg (1990) compared both the holotypes of Fusisporium incarnatum and Fusisporium pallidoroseum and found that they were conspecific, unifying both species and their varieties under $F$. incarnatum as the older epithet. As there is no living ex-type material available to serve as phylogenetic anchor for the Incarnatum clade, and therefore preventing the application of names to the various phylo-species recognised in this clade, we designate an epitype for $F$. incarnatum. Although the ex-epitype isolate CBS 132.73 does not conform to the type locality and host substrate of the holotype, Gerlach \& Nirenberg


Fig. 13 Fusarium incarnatum (CBS 132.73, ex-epitype culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c. sporodochia on medium surface; d-e. chlamydospores; $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{g}$. sporodochial conidiophores; $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{i}$. monophialides on aerial conidiophores; j-k. polyphialides on aerial conidiophores; l. aerial conidia; m. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
(1982) considered this isolate as a good representative culture of $F$. semitectum var. majus (= F. incarnatum).
The ex-epitype (CBS 132.73) of F. incarnatum clustered within the well-supported clade (ML-BS = $95 \%$, MP-BS $=94 \%, \mathrm{PP}=$ 1.0) representing FIESC 23 (O'Donnell et al. 2009), closely related to F. bubalinum, F. monophialidicum and F. tanahbumbuense. See notes under F. bubalinum for morphological differences.

Fusarium ipomoeae M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 83. 2019
Typus. China, Jiangsu province, from leaf of Ipomoea aquatica, Aug. 2016, L. Cai (holotype HAMS 248040, culture ex-type CGMCC3.19496 = LC12165). Description \& Illustration — Wang et al. (2019).

Notes - Wang et al. (2019) introduced the Latin binomial F. ipomoeae for phylo-species FIESC 1, a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; PP = 1.0), closely related to F. compactum, F. duofalcatisporum and F. lacertarum in the Equiseti clade.

For morphological differences, see notes under F. compactum and F. duofalcatisporum, and Wang et al. (2019).

## Fusarium irregulare M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 84. 2019

Typus. China, Guangdong province, from bamboo, July 2016, L. Cai (holotype HAMS 248041, culture ex-type CGMCC3.19489 = LC7188).

Description \& Illustration — Wang et al. (2019).
Additional material examined. Thalland, Bangkok, Mahidol University, from human toenail, date and collector unknown, CBS 132190.

Notes - Fusarium irregulare represents phylo-species FIESC 15 (Wang et al. 2019), a well-supported clade (ML-BS = 99, MP-BS = 98 \%, PP = 1.0), closely related to F. luffae, F. pernambucanum, F. sulawesiensis and FIESC 32 (sensu Maryani et al. 2019). Similar to F. Iuffae, F. irregulare does not produce any sporodochia in culture (Wang et al. 2019), whereas both F. pernambucanum (Santos et al. 2019) and F. sulawesiensis (Maryani et al. 2019) produce abundant sporodochia in culture. Additionally, F. pernambucanum produces a variety (in shape) of non-falcate aerial conidia, not known for F. irregulare, F. luffae and F. sulawesiensis (Maryani et al. 2019, Santos et al. 2019, Wang et al. 2019). The falcate aerial conidia of F. irregulare (3-septate; 16-38.5 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Wang et al. 2019) are smaller than those of $F$. luffae (3-5-septate; 26.5-46 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Wang et al. 2019), F. pernambucanum (3-6(-7)-septate; 17.5-57 $\times 2.5-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Santos et al. 2019) and F. sulawesiensis (3-5(-9)-septate; 20.5-67.5 $\times 3.5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Maryani et al. 2019).

Fusarium kotabaruense N. Maryani et al., Persoonia 43: 65. 2019

Typus. Indonesia, Desa Sungai Birah, Kecamatan Pamukan Barat, Kota Baru, Kalimantan Selatan (E115 ${ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 982^{\prime \prime} S 2^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 8833^{\prime \prime}$ ), on infected pseudostem of Musa var. Pisang Hawa (ABB), 19 June 2014, N. Maryani (holotype specimen and culture, InaCC F963, preserved in metabolically inactive state).

Description \& Illustration - Maryani et al. (2019).
Notes - Fusarium kotabaruense represents a single strain lineage, designated as phylo-species FIESC 31 by Maryani et al. (2019) and closely related to F. camptoceras and F. neosemitectum in the newly introduced FCAMSC. The falcate aerial conidia of $F$. kotabaruense ((2-)3-5(-7)-septate; 21-45 $\times 5-7.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Maryani et al. 2019) are smaller than those of $F$. camptoceras (3-5(-7)-septate; 15-58 $\times 4-7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall; Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Marasas et al. 1998, Leslie \& Summerell 2006) but larger than those of $F$. neosemitectum ((1-)2-4(-5)-septate; 17-39 $\times 3-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall).

Fusarium lacertarum Subrahm. (as 'laceratum'), Mykosen 26: 478. 1983

Typus. India, Poona, Pimpri, from skin of a lizard, 1982, A. Subrahmanyam (holotype IMI 300797, culture ex-type ATCC $42771=$ NRRL $20423=$ CBS $130185=$ IMI 300797).
Description \& Illustration — Subrahmanyam (1983).
Notes - Fusarium lacertarum constitutes phylo-species FIESC 4 according to O'Donnell et al. (2009), forming a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; PP = 1.0) in the Equiseti clade closely related to F. clavum, F. compactum, F. duofalcatisporum and F. ipomoeae. Based on the description and illustrations by Subrahmanyam (1983), F. lacertarum produces 2-4-septate, falcate aerial conidia on conidiophores borne on the aerial mycelium and no mention is made of sporodochia. The ex-type strain accessioned at CBS (CBS 130185) appears to have degenerated as no sporulation or formation of sporo-
dochia could be induced in this study. Therefore, no morphological comparison could be made with its closest phylogenetic neighbours.

Fusarium longicaudatum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831839; Fig. 14

Etymology. Name refers to the elongated tail-like apical cells of the sporodochial conidia.

Typus. Tanzania, Tropical Products Research Inst., substrate unknown, 1971, A.A. Jaffer (holotype CBS H-24061 designated here, culture ex-type CBS $123.73=$ ATCC $24370=$ IMI $160825=$ NRRL 25477 $)$.

Conidiophores and aerial conidia borne on aerial mycelium not observed. Sporodochia salmon to saffron, formed abundantly on carnation leaves or the surface of the medium. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $7-15 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with an elongate or whip-like curved apical cell and a foot-like to notched basal cell, (3-)5-6(-7)-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 3-septate conidia: $45 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=1)$; 4-septate conidia: 48-54 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=3)$; 5 -septate conidia: (48-)62-76(-82) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $69 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidia: (68-)70-76(-81) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $73 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 7-septate conidia: (68-)71-79(-81) $\times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $75 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=6$ ). Chlamydospores abundant, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary, in pairs or forming chains, $5-11 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $7-11$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface primrose to olivaceous buff, radiate, aerial mycelium abundant at the centre, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse buff to honey. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white, flat, membranous, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse white, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium sparse.

Notes - Fusarium longicaudatum represents a newly resolved single strain lineage in the Equiseti clade. This species is closely related to F. arcuatisporum (Wang et al. 2019) which also does not produce any conidiophores and aerial conidia in culture. Wang et al. (2019) only reported 5 -septate sporodochial conidia ( $29-49.5 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) for $F$. arcuatisporum, whereas F. Iongicaudatum produces (3-)5-6(-7)-septate sporodochial conidia (45-81 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ overall), which are much longer than those of $F$. arcuatisporum.

Fusarium longifundum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831840; Fig. 15
Etymology. Name refers to the prominently long basal cells of the sporodochial conidia.

Typus. Netherlands Antilles, Curaçao, from air, date and collector unknown (holotype CBS H-24062 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 235.79 = NRRL 36372).

Conidiophores and aerial conidia borne on aerial mycelium not observed. Sporodochia salmon to saffron, formed abundantly on carnation leaves. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $7-15 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared


Fig. 14 Fusarium longicaudatum (CBS 123.73, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e-f. sporodochial conidiophores; g-h. chlamydospores; i. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with an elongate or whip-like curved apical cell and a barely notched to prominently extended basal cell, (3-)5(-6)-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 3-septate conidia: (21-)29-41(-46) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $35 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: $(34-) 39-55(-62) \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $47 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (38-)55-71(-76) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $63 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate conidia: $62-72 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $67 \times 5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}, n=5)$. Chlamydospores rare, globose, subglobose to oval, subhyaline, smooth-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary or in pairs forming chains, $4-9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $5-8$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and reaching $76-80 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d ; surface saffron to pale orange, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with aerial mycelium, margin entire. Odour mouldy. Reverse straw to pale luteous. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface straw to pale luteous,
flat, membranous to dusty, aerial mycelium scant or absent, margin entire. Reverse sulphur yellow to straw, without diffusible pigments. On SNA, hyphae hyaline, smooth-walled, aerial mycelium sparse.

Notes - Fusarium longifundum formed a single strain lineage sister to F. flagelliforme in the Equiseti clade. Like F. flagelliforme, this species does not produce conidiophores or conidia on its aerial mycelia. For morphological differences, see notes under F. flagelliforme.

## Fusarium luffae M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 85. 2019

Typus. China, Fujian province, from Luffa aegyptiaca, Aug. 2016, L. Cai (holotype HAMS 248042, culture ex-type CGMCC3.19497 = LC12167).
Descriptions \& Illustrations - Wang et al. (2019).
Additional material examined. Iran, Parsabad, Natural Resource site, from Setaria verticilata, date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 131097.


Fig. 15 Fusarium longifundum (CBS 235.79, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e. sporodochial conidiophores; $\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{g}$. chlamydospores; h . sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 16 Fusarium monophialidicum (NRRL 54973, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. conidiophores on aerial mycelium; e-f. lateral monophialides on aerial mycelium; g. aerial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Notes - Fusarium luffae represents phylo-species FIESC 18 , a fully supported subclade ( $\mathrm{ML} \& \mathrm{MP}-\mathrm{BS}=100 \% ; P \mathrm{~F}=1.0$ ) in the Incarnatum clade, closely related to F. irregulare, F. pernambucanum, F. sulawesiensis and FIESC 32 (sensu Maryani et al. 2019). For morphological comparisons, see notes under F. irregulare and Wang et al. (2019). This species includes strains isolated from both plants and humans (O'Donnell et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2019) in Asia and North America.

Fusarium monophialidicum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831841;

Fig. 16
Etymology. Name refers to the monophialides produced on its aerial mycelium.

Typus. USA, Ohio, Rhinoceros eye, collector and date unknown (holotype CBS H-24063 designated here, culture ex-type NRRL $54973=$ UTHSC $06-$ 1473).

Conidiophores borne on the aerial mycelium 25-70 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, sympodial or irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral phialides, often reduced to single phialides; phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, smooth- and thin-walled, $10-25 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, rarely ellipsoidal to falcate, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with a blunt to conical and slightly curved apical cell and blunt to barely notched basal cell, smooth- and thin-walled, (1-)3-5-septate; 1-septate conidia: $16-29 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $21 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=4$ ); 2-septate conidia: (18-)20-24(-25) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $22 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $n=10$ ); 3-septate conidia: (19-) $24-34(-40) \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 29 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (28-)32-38(-39) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 35 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (33-)34-40(-46) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $37 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Sporodochia and chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of 5-9 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface buff to olivaceous buff, floccose, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale luteous to luteous. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to pale luteous, floccose, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale luteous, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with abundant aerial mycelium and sporulation on the aerial mycelium.

Notes - Fusarium monophialidicum formed a new single strain lineage in the Incarnatum clade, closely related to F. bubalinum, F. incarnatum and F. tanahbumbuense. This species can be distinguished from the latter three species by the lack of polyphialides on the aerial mycelia and its inability to form sporodochia in culture. For more morphological differences, see notes under $F$. bubalinum and $F$. incarnatum.

Fusarium mucidum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831842; Fig. 17
Etymology. Name refers to the mouldy odour this species produces in culture.

Typus. El Salvador, Cooperación Coralama, from Anacardium occidentale mouldy nut, July 1999, M. Reuter (holotype CBS H-24064 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 102395).

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 40-110 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched to sympodial or irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral mono- and polyphialides, often reduced to single phialides; aerial phialides mono- and polyphialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, sometimes proliferating percurrently,


Fig. 17 Fusarium mucidum (CBS 102395, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-e. conidiophores on aerial mycelium with mono- and polyphialides; f. aerial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
smooth- and thin-walled, 3-37×2-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with a blunt and curved apical cell and a blunt basal cell, 3-5(-7)-septate; 3-septate conidia: $(24-) 29-33(-39) \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $31 \times 5$ um); 4-septate conidia: (30-)33-39(-44) $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $36 \times$ 5 um); 5-septate conidia: (33-)37-47(-55) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 42 $\times 5 \mathrm{um}$ ); 6-septate conidia: $44-54 \times 5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $51 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; $n=9$ ); 7-septate conidia: $51 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=1)$. Sporodochia and chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of 6-10 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface salmon, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse straw. Odour mouldy. Diffusible pigments absent in the dark. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale salmon, flat, radiate, aerial mycelium sparse, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale straw. On SNA with abundant aerial mycelium, sporulating profusely on the aerial mycelium.

Additional material examined. El Salvador, Cooperación Coralama, from Anacardium occidentale mouldy nut, July 1999, M. Reuter, CBS 102394.

Notes - The clade representing F. mucidum formed a fully supported basal lineage (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; $\mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) to the Equiseti clade. The F. mucidum clade included two isolates collected from mouldy cashew (Anacardium occidentale) nuts in El Salvador and the third from Musa acuminata (Indo 175) in Indonesia, which Maryani et al. (2019) designated as phylospecies FIESC 30. Both isolates (CBS 102394 \& CBS 102395) studied here did not produce sporodochia in culture, and only produced falcate aerial conidia.

Fusarium multiceps J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831843; Fig. 18

Etymology. Name refers to the multiple conidiogenous loci present on its polyphialides.

Typus. USA, Florida, from Trichechus sp., date and collector unknown (holotype CBS H-24065 designated here, culture ex-type CBS $130386=$ NRRL 43639 = UTHSC 04-135)


Fig. 18 Fusarium multiceps (CBS 130386, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e. sporodochial conidiophores; f. conidiophores on aerial mycelium; g-i. mono- and polyphialides; j. aerial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 20-55 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, sympodial or irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral phialides, often reduced to single phialides; phialides mono- and polyphialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, proliferating percurrently, smooth- and thin-walled, 7-34× $2-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, falcate, curved dorsiventrally, with a blunt to slightly papillate apical cell and a notched to foot-like basal cell, smooth- and thin-walled, (1-)3-4(-5)-septate; 1 -septate conidia: (16-)19-25(-26) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $22 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; n=8$ ); 2-septate conidia: (19-)21-31×3-4 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (av. $26 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=6$ ); 3-septate conidia: (26-)31-37(-40) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $34 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (33-)35-41(-44) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $38 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (36-)37-41(-42) $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $39 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; $n=12$ ). Sporodochia salmon to orange, formed abundantly on carnation leaves or the surface of the medium. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, proliferating percurrently, $8-14 \times 2-3$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with a slightly elongated conical or whip-like curved apical cell and a foot-like to notched basal cell, (1-)2-5-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 1 -septate conidia: (16-)18-24(-25) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $21 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; $n=15$ ); 2-septate conidia: (20-)22-26(-31) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $24 \times 3$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ); 3-septate conidia: (25-)32-38(-42) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $35 \times$ $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (35-)37-43(-48) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 40 $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5-septate conidia: (36-)40-46(-49) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $43 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $7-10$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale salmon. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white and aerial mycelium absent in the centre forming a vacant circle, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale salmon. On SNA with abundant aerial mycelium and sporulation on the aerial mycelium.

Notes - Fusarium multiceps represents phylo-species FIESC 19 (O'Donnell et al. 2009), which formed a unique lineage basal (ML \& MP-BS $=100 \%$; $\mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) to $F$. irregulare, F. luffae, F. pernambucanum and F. sulawesiensis (FIESC 15-18; O'Donnell et al. 2009, Maryani et al. 2019, Santos et al. 2019, Wang et al. 2019). This species can be distinguished from F. irregulare based on the polyphialides formed by F. multiceps, but not observed for $F$. irregulare, and the 3 -septate falcate aerial conidia (i.e., macroconidia) of $F$. irregulare (Wang et al. 2019) compared to the (1-)3-4(-5)-septate falcate aerial conidia of $F$. multiceps. Fusarium pernambucanum produces various shapes of aerial conidia (Santos et al. 2019) whereas only falcate aerial conidia were produced by F. multiceps. Fusarium sulawesiensis produces up to 9 -septate falcate aerial conidia (Maryani et al. 2019), not seen for F. multiceps.

## Fusarium nanum M.M. Wang et al., Persoonia 43: 85. 2019

Typus. China, Guangxi province, Guilin, from leaf of Musa nana, Aug. 2016, Y.Z. Diao (holotype HAMS 248043, culture ex-type CGMCC3.19498 = LC12168).
Description \& Illustration — Wang et al. (2019).
Additional material examined. Australia, from sorghum, date unknown, W.F.O. Marasas, CBS $119867=$ FRC R-4237 $=$ MRC 3228. - Czech Republic, Semčice, from beet root seedling soil, 1979, D. Veselý, CPC 35142. - Iran, Kordkooy, Golestan, from Triticum sp., M. Davari, CBS 131780.

Notes - Fusarium nanum represents phylo-species FIESC 25 (O'Donnell et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2019), a well-supported clade (ML-BS = $98 \%$, MP-BS = $88 \%$, PP = 1.0) in the Incarnatum clade and closely related to F. aberrans, F. hainanense and $F$. persicinum. For morphological differences, see notes under F. aberrans. This species includes strains obtained from environmental, human and plant samples collected in Asia, Europe and North America (O'Donnell et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2019).

Fusarium neoscirpi L. Lombard, J.W. Xia, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831844; Fig. 19
Etymology. Name reflects the fact that the ex-type strain of this fungus was initially treated as F. scirpi.

Typus. France, from soil, 1995, V. Edel (holotype CBS H-24066 designated here, culture ex-type CBS $610.95=$ NRRL $26861=$ NRRL 26922).

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 25-50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, rarely irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral phialides, often reduced to single phialides; phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, sometimes proliferating percurrently, smooth- and thin-walled, $9-22 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia hyaline, smooth- and thin-walled, of two types: (a) ampulliform to ellipsoidal to reniform, $0-2(-3)$-septate; 0 -septate conidia: (9-)11-$15(-22) \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $13 \times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=17$ ); 1-septate conidia: (11-)15-21(-24) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $18 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 2-septate conidia: 19-23(-28) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $21 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=14$ ); 3-septate conidia: $20-24 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(n=3)$; (b) falcate, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with acute apical cell and notched to foot-like basal cell, 3-4-septate; 3-septate conidia: (21-)23-29 $\times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .26 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=8)$; 4-septate conidia: (31-)32-36(-38) $\times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $36 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=7$ ). Sporodochia saffron to pale orange, formed abundantly on the carnation leaves and surface of the medium. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-4 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $10-19 \times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; sporodochial conidia falcate, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with elongated and whip-like, curved apical cell and a notched to foot-like basal cell, (1-)3-5-septate, hyaline, smooth- and thinwalled; 1 -septate conidia: (16-)19-25(-27) $\times 3-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. 22 $\times 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=12$ ); 2-septate conidia: 19-31(-36) $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $25 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=7$ ); 3-septate conidia: (28-)32-42(-46) $\times 4-5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (av. $37 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (41-)44-50(-53) $\times 3-5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (av. $47 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5 -septate conidia: ( $47-$ ) $50-58(-64) \times 4-6$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ (av. $54 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of 8-12 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to buff, floccose, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale yellow. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to pale primrose, floccose in the centre, radiate, with less abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale yellow, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium and abundant sporulation on medium surface.

Notes - Fusarium neoscirpi formed a unique single strain lineage, closely related to F. arcuatisporum, F. brevicaudatum, F. longicaudatum and F. serpentinum. The ex-type of this novel species was initially resolved in the FIESC 9 (F. scirpi) clade by O'Donnell et al. (2009), forming a basal lineage in that clade and therefore designated as haplotype FIESC 9c. Fusarium neoscirpi can be distinguished from the latter four species


Fig. 19 Fusarium neoscirpi (CBS 610.95, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c. sporodochia on carnation leaves; d. sporodochial conidiophores; e-f. conidiophores on aerial mycelium with monophialides; g. aerial conidia; h. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
by the formation of conidiophores and conidia on its aerial mycelia. Additionally, the sporodochial conidia of F. neoscirpi are ( $1-$ ) $3-5$-septate, compared to the 5 -septate of $F$. arcuatisporum (Wang et al. 2019), 1-5-septate of $F$. brevicaudatum, (3-)5-6(-7)-septate of $F$. longicaudatum and (3-)5-7(-8)-septate of $F$. serpentinum. This species can also be distinguished from F. scirpi by its less septate sporodochial conidia compared to the 6-7-septate of $F$. scirpi (Leslie \& Summerell 2006) and the fact that $F$. neoscirpi produces falcate aerial conidia, not known for F. scirpi (Leslie \& Summerell 2006). Furthermore, F. scirpi commonly has polyphialides (Leslie \& Summerell 2006), not seen for F. neoscirpi.

Fusarium neosemitectum L. Lombard, J.W. Xia, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831845; Fig. 20
Etymology. Name reflects its morphological similarity to F. semitectum.
Typus. Democratic Republic of the Congo, from Musa sapientum, date and collector unknown (holotype CBS H-24067 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 189.60).

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 60-110 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched or irregularly to rarely verticillately branched, bearing a single terminal or whorl of 2-3 phialides; aerial phialides mono- and polyphialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, smooth- and thin-walled, $10-30 \times 2-4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, periclinal thickening inconspicuous or absent, often reduced to single phialidic pegs, $1.5-5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall. Aerial conidia hyaline, ellipsoidal to falcate, curved dorsiventrally, with a blunt, conical to slightly papillate apical cell and a blunt to barely notched basal cell, smooth- and thin-walled, (1-)2-4(-5)-septate; 1-septate conidia: (17-)18-$22(-24) \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $20 \times 4 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; n=13$ ); 2-septate conidia: (14-)22-30(-36) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .26 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 3-septate conidia: (21-)25-33(-36) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .29 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 4-septate conidia: $30-38(-41) \times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .34 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 5-septate conidia: $35-39 \times 5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $37 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; n=3$ ). Sporodochia and chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $4-7$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and reaching $75-82 \mathrm{~mm}$ diam in 7 d ; surface white, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin entire. Odour mouldy. Reverse without colour. Diffusible pig-


Fig. 20 Fusarium neosemitectum (CBS 189.60, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. conidiophores on aerial mycelium with mono- and polyphialides; e. lateral phialidic peg on aerial mycelium; f. aerial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
ments absent in the dark. On OA in the dark reaching 90 mm in 7 d; surface white, flat, felty to dusty, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin entire. Reverse colourless, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium, sporulation abundant on the surface of the medium.

Additional material examined. Democratic Republic of the Congo, from Musa sapientum, date and collector unknown, CBS 190.60 = NRRL 25801.

Notes - Fusarium neosemitectum formed a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$; $P P=1.0$ ) in the FCAMSC. It can be distinguished from its closest phylogenetic neighbours (F. kotabaruense and F. camptoceras) by the presence of short phialidic pegs on the aerial mycelium, not observed for the latter two species. Furthermore, F. neosemitectum only produces up to 5 -septate falcate aerial conidia, whereas up to 7 -septate falcate aerial conidia have been reported for both F. kotabaruense and F. camptoceras (Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Marasas et al. 1998, Maryani et al. 2019). All three species in FCAMSC appear to be tropical species due to their origins and they also share a mutual host genus, Musa (Marasas et al. 1998, Maryani et al. 2019). However, their relevance as pathogens to this host (and other plants) still remains to be determined.

## Fusarium pernambucanum A.C.S. Santos et al., Mycologia 111: 253. 2019

Typus. Brazıl, Pernambuco, Paudalho, from Aleurocanthus woglumi, June 2016, A.C.S. Santos (holotype URM 91193, culture ex-type MUM $1862=$ URM 7559).

Description \& Illustration — Santos et al. (2019).
Additional materials examined. Iran, Parsabad, Natural Resource site, from Setaria verticilata, date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 131097. - Thalland, Bangkok, Mahidol University, from human fingernail, date and collector unknown, CBS 132194; from human foot, M. Sudhabham \& S. Bunyarata, CBS 133024; from human toenail, date and collector unknown, CBS 132894. - USA, Texas, from human, date unknown, J. Swezey, CBS 130312 = NRRL 32864 = FRC R-7245. - Unknown locality, from Musa sampientum, unknown date and collector, CBS 791.70.

Notes - Fusarium pernambucanum represents phylospecies FIESC 17 forming a well-supported clade (ML \& MPBS = $92 \%, \mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) in the Incarnatum clade. This species was first introduced by Santos et al. (2019), producing a gibberellalike sexual morph in heterothallic matings. For morphological comparisons, see notes under F. irregulare and F. luffae, and Santos et al. (2019).

Fusarium persicinum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831846; Fig. 21

Etymology. Name refers to the peach-coloured colonies formed on OA and PDA by this fungus.

Typus. Unknown locality, substrate and date, R.L. Quiroga de Pascual (holotype CBS H-24068 designated here, culture ex-type CBS 479.83)
Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 20-205 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched, sympodial or irregularly branched, bearing terminal or lateral phialides, often reduced to single phialides; phialides mono- and polyphialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, proliferating percurrently, smooth- and thin-walled, $13-19 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with inconspicuous periclinal thickening; aerial conidia falcate, slender, straight to slightly curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with a conical to slightly papillate apical cell and a blunt to barely notched basal cell, 3-5-septate; 3-septate conidia: (26-)31-41(-44) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $36 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (37-)39-45(-49) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $42 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 5 -septate conidia: (39-)43-49(-54) $\times 5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $46 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$. Sporodochia and chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of $2-4 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and reaching 40-55 mm diam in 7 d; surface salmon to peach, flat, felty to velvety, radiate, with aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse salmon. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface pale salmon to peach, flat, radiate, aerial mycelium sparse, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse straw. On


Fig. 21 Fusarium persicinum (CBS 479.83, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-e. conidiophores on aerial mycelium with mono- and polyphialides; f . aerial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

SNA with abundant aerial mycelium and sporulation on the aerial mycelium.

Additional materials examined. Iran, Lake Urmia, from soil near lake, date unknown, M.J. Najafzadeh, CBS 132821; Golestan, Kalaleh, from Triticum sp., date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 131780; Moghan-Ardabil province, from Ganoderma sp., 4 Oct. 2015, M. Torbati, CBS 143595 = CPC 30847; ibid., from Stereum hirsutum, 5 Oct. 2015, M. Torbati, CBS $143596=$ CPC 30848; ibid., from smut, 6 Oct. 2015. M. Torbati, CBS 143597 = CPC 30849, CBS $143598=$ CPC 30850 , CBS $143600=$ CPC 30852, CBS $143603=C P C$ 30855, CBS $143606=$ CPC 30858.

Notes - Fusarium persicinum formed a well-supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $97 \%, \mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) in the Incarnatum clade, sister to the F. nanum clade. This clade was initially assigned to phylospecies FIESC 29 \& 30 by Torbati et al. (2019) and includes numerous fungicolus isolates originating mostly from Basidiomycetes (Torbati et al. 2019). Similar to F. nanum, no sporodochia were formed in culture by any of the isolates of F. persicinum studied here. However, abundant falcate aerial conidia (3-5-septate) were produced by F. persicinum, whereas F. nanum also produced falcate (3-septate) and obovoid (i.e., microconidia) aerial conidia (Wang et al. 2019), the latter not seen for $F$. persicinum. The 3-septate falcate aerial conidia of F. nanum (20.5-32 $\times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; Wang et al. 2019) are smaller than those of $F$. persicinum $((26-) 31-41(-44) \times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m})$.

Fusarium scirpi Lambotte \& Fautrey, Rev. Mycol. (Toulouse) 16: 111. 1894 - Fig. 22

Synonyms. Fusisporium chenopodium Thüm., Bull. Soc. Imp. Naturalistes Moscou: no. 1378. 1879.

Fusarium chenopodinum (Thüm.) Sacc., Syll. Fung. 4: 701. 1886.
Fusarium sclerotium Wollenw., Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 31: 31. 1913.
Fusarium bullatum Sherb., Cornell Univ. Agric. Exp. Sta. Mem. 6: 198. 1915.

Fusarium equiseti var. bullatum (Sherb.) Wollenw., Fus. Autogr. Del. 3: 916. 1930.

Fusarium gibbosum var. bullatum (Sherb.) Bilă̆, Mykro. Zhu. Kiev 49: 6. 198. 1987.

For more synonyms see Wang et al. 2019.

Typus. France, from Schoenoplectus lacustris (= Scirpus lacustris), 1893 F. Fautrey, Roumeguere \#6540 in BPI and NY. - Australia, New South Wales, near Broken Hill, from pasture soil, 1981, P.E. Nelson (epitype CBS H-24069 designated here, MBT387961, culture ex-epitype CBS $447.84=$ FRC R-6252 = NRRL 36478).

Descriptions \& Illustrations - Wollenweber (1916-1935), Wollenweber \& Reinking (1935), Burgess et al. (1985), Leslie \& Summerell (2006).

Additional material examined. Australia, New South Wales, near Broken Hill, from pasture soil, 1981, P.E. Nelson, CBS $448.84=$ FRC R-6253.

Notes - Wollenweber (1916-1935) first illustrated F. scirpi as F. chenopodium and/or F. equiseti var. bullatum. However, Wollenweber \& Reinking (1935) later recognised F. scirpi as a species and synonymised both F. chenopodium and F. equiseti var. bullatum under F. scirpi. Both Gordon (1952) and Booth (1971) regarded $F$. scirpi as a synonym of $F$. equiseti, whereas Gerlach \& Nirenberg (1982) and Nelson et al. (1983) recognised F. scirpi as a distinct species. Burgess et al. (1985) studied the type materials of both F. chenopodinum (Mycotheca Universalis Thuemen \#1378) and F. scirpi (Roumeguere \#6540) and could not find any Fusarium structures on the latter type material examined. Although Burgess et al. (1985) were able to find a few sporodochia containing sporodochial conidia (i.e., macroconidia) on the F. chenopodinum type material, no microconidia or polyphialides could be found. Therefore, they emended F. scirpi based on 100 cultures collected in Australia, characterised by fusiform, obovoid and allantoid, 0-3-septate microconidia borne on short, truncate and often cross-shaped polyphialides borne on the aerial mycelium, selecting FRC R-6252 (= CBS 447.84) and FRC R-6253 (= CBS 448.84) as representatives. As the name F. scirpi has been applied to the phylo-species FIESC 9 (O'Donnell et al. 2009, Villani et al. 2016, 2019, Jacobs et al. 2018, Santos et al. 2019, Wang et al. 2019), we prefer to fix the name to FIESC 9 through epitypification. The ex-epitype of $F$. scirpi clustered in a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = 100 \%, $\mathrm{PP}=1.0$ ) in the Equiseti clade.


Fig. 22 Fusarium scirpi (CBS 447.84, ex-neotype culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e. conidiophores on aerial mycelium with mono- and polyphialides; f. sporodochial conidiophores; g. chlamydospores; h. aerial conidia; i. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

Fusarium serpentinum J.W. Xia, L. Lombard, Sand.-Den., X.G. Zhang \& Crous, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831847; Fig. 23

Etymology. Name refers to the elongated serpentine-like apical cells of the sporodochial conidia produced by this fungus.

Typus. Unknown location, substrate and date, W.F.O. Marasas (holotype CBS H-24070 designated here, culture ex-type CBS $119880=$ BBA $62209=$ MRC 1813).

Conidiophores and aerial conidia borne on aerial mycelium not observed. Sporodochia saffron to brick, formed abundantly on carnation leaves. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and
irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $12-24 \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, sometimes sinuate, slender, strongly curved or curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with an elongate or whip-like curved apical cell and a notched, often prominent and extended basal cell, (3-)5-7(-8)-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled, microcyclic conidiogenesis commonly observed; 3-septate conidia: (42-)43-51(-54) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $47 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=6$ ); 4-septate conidia: $54-75 \times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $57 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=4$ ); 5-septate conidia: (57-)67-85(-92) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $76 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 6-septate


Fig. 23 Fusarium serpentinum (CBS 119880, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaves; e-f. sporodochial conidiophores; g-h. microcyclic conidiation; i. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
conidia: (70-)77-91(-97) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $84 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 7-septate conidia: (69-)80-96(-107) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $88 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); 8 -septate conidia: (87-)90-104(-107) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $97 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, n=6$ ). Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of 7-10 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface salmon, floccose, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin irregular, filiform. Odour mouldy. Reverse pale straw. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d; surface pale primrose, membranous to dust, aerial mycelium sparse, margin irregular, filiform. Reverse pale primrose, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium.

Notes - Fusarium serpentinum represents a new single strain lineage resolved in the Equiseti clade. This species can
be distinguished from other species in the complex based on the extraordinary long sporodochial conidia due to the elongated apical cells and microcyclic conidiogenesis that was commonly observed in culture.

Fusarium sulawesiense Maryani et al. (as 'sulawense'),
Persoonia 43: 65. 2019
Typus. Indonesia, Desa Seli, Kecamatan Bengo, Bone, Sulawesi Selatan (E120 $\left.{ }^{\circ} 1^{\prime} 12.8^{\prime \prime} S 4^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}\right)$, on infected pseudostem of Musa acuminata var. Pisang Cere (AAA), 12 Aug. 2015, N. Maryani (holotype specimen and culture, InaCC F940, preserved in metabolically inactive state).
Description \& Illustration - Maryani et al. (2019).
Additional materials examined. Bahamas, Windward Islands, from Musa sapientum var. robusta, date unknown, O.J. Burden, CBS 131.73 = ATCC $24386=$ IMI $160602=$ NRRL 20425. - BrazlL, from seed of Bixa orellana, 9 Dec. 1986, J.C. Frisvard, CBS $622.87=$ NRRL $26858=$ NRRL


Fig. 24 Fusarium toxicum (CBS 406.86, ex-type culture). a. Colony on PDA; b. colony on OA; c-d. sporodochia on carnation leaf and medium; e. sporodochial conidiophores; f. conidiophore on aerial conidia; g. lateral monophialides on aerial mycelium; h. chlamydospores. i. aerial conidia; j. sporodochial conidia. - Scale bars $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

26919 = NRRL 28583; from Galia melon imported into the Netherlands, 2007, J. Houbraken, CBS 122439. - El SALvador, from Gossypium hirsutum, Nov. 1959, R. Schneider, CBS $193.60=$ BBA $9002=$ DSM $62204=$ MUCL 27679. - Trinidad and Tobago, from Sorghum vulgare, date unknown, M.A. Gordon, CBS 163.57. - USA, Texas, from human BAL fluid, date and collector unknown, CBS 130318 = NRRL 34004 = UTHSC 64-2581.

Notes — Maryani et al. (2019) introduced F. sulawesiense (as F. sulawense) representing phylo-species FIESC 16. This species formed a well-supported clade (ML-BS = $79 \%$, MP-BS = $96 \%, \mathrm{PP}=0.99$ ) in the Incarnatum clade. This species displays a broad host range which includes both human and plant
substrates. For morphological comparisons, see notes under F. irregulare and Wang et al. (2019).

Fusarium tanahbumbuense N. Maryani et al., Persoonia 43: 63. 2019

Typus. Indonesia, Desa Betung, Kecamatan Kusan Hilir, Tanah Bumbu, Kalimantan Selatan (E115 $37^{\prime} 477^{\prime \prime} S 3^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 77^{\prime \prime}$ ), on infected pseudostem of Musa sp. var. Pisang Hawa (ABB), 20 June 2014, N. Maryani (holotype specimen and culture, InaCC F965, preserved in metabolically inactive state).

Description \& Illustration - Maryani et al. (2019).

Additional materials examined. IRAN, Aslandooz, Parsabad, from Triticum sp., date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 131009. - Unknown locality, substrate and date, H.W. Wollenweber, CBS 145.44 = BBA 4095.

Notes - Fusarium tanahbumbuense was introduced by Maryani et al. (2019) to represent phylo-species FIESC 24, resolved here as a fully supported clade (ML \& MP-BS = $100 \%$, $P P=1.0$ ) closely related to F. bubalinum, F. incarnatum and F. monophialidicum. See notes under F. bubalinum and F. monophialidicum for morphological differences.

Fusarium toxicum L. Lombard \& J.W. Xia, sp. nov. - MycoBank MB831848; Fig. 24

Etymology. Name refers to the mycotoxins, zearalenone and equisetin produced by the ex-type culture of this fungus.

Typus. Germany, Berlin, from soil, 25 Nov. 1985, U. Thrane (holotype CBS H-24071 designated here, culture ex-type CBS $406.86=$ FRC R-8507 $=\mathrm{IMI}$ $309347=$ NRRL 25796).

Conidiophores borne on aerial mycelium, 30-50 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ tall, unbranched or rarely verticillately branched, bearing a whorl of 2-4 phialides, sometimes reduced to a single lateral phialide or phialidic peg; aerial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, smooth- and thin-walled, $12-22 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, periclinal thickening inconspicuous. Aerial conidia hyaline, falcate, curved dorsiventrally, with a blunt to slightly papillate or elongated apical cell and a blunt to barely notched or footlike basal cell, smooth- and thin-walled, 1-5-septate; 1-septate conidia: (22-)26-34(-38) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .30 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 2-septate conidia: $(32-) 34-40(-43) \times 5-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $37 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; n=19$ ); 3-septate conidia: $(29-) 34-46(-52) \times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $40 \times 5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ); 4-septate conidia: (40-)41-49(-51) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $45 \times$ $5 \mu \mathrm{~m} ; n=12)$; 5 -septate conidia: $(42-) 46-54(-57) \times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $50 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Sporodochia peach to saffron, formed abundantly on carnation leaves and media surface. Sporodochial conidiophores densely and irregularly branched, bearing apical whorls of 2-3 phialides; sporodochial phialides monophialidic, subulate to subcylindrical, $10-19 \times 3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, smooth-walled to slightly roughened, thin-walled, with a short-flared apical collarette. Sporodochial conidia falcate, slender, curved dorsiventrally, tapering towards both ends, with an elongate or whip-like curved apical cell and a foot-like to notched basal cell, 3-5-septate, hyaline, thin- and smooth-walled; 3-septate conidia: $(32-) 39-51(-55) \times 4-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .45 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 4-septate conidia: (45-)48-54(-57) $\times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{av} .51 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m})$; 5-septate conidia: $(50-) 52-58(-64) \times 4-6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (av. $55 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Chlamydospores abundant, globose to subglobose, subhyaline, smooth- to slightly rough-walled, terminal or intercalary, solitary or in pairs forming chains, $6-12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diam.

Culture characteristics - Colonies on PDA incubated at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the dark with an average radial growth rate of 6-11 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{d}$ and occupying an entire 90 mm Petri dish in 7 d ; surface white to buff, felty to velvety, radiate, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin entire. Odour mouldy. Reverse without colour. Diffusible pigments absent. On OA in the dark reaching 90 mm in 7 d ; surface white to salmon with buff centre, flat, felty to dusty, with abundant aerial mycelium, margin entire. Reverse colourless, without diffusible pigments. On SNA with sparse aerial mycelium, sporulation abundant on the surface of the medium.

Additional material examined. Germany, Darmstadt, from soil, date unknown, E. Merck, CBS 219.63. - USA, Texas, from dog, date unknown, J. Swezey, CBS 130385.

Notes - Fusarium toxicum represents phylo-species FIESC 14-b, and forms a well-supported clade (ML-BS = $96 \%$, MP-BS $=98 \%, \mathrm{PP}=0.99$ ), sister to $F$. equiseti (FIESC 14-a; O'Donnell et al. 2009). Similar to F. equiseti, F. toxicum only
produced falcate aerial- and sporodochial conidia in culture. However, F. toxicum only produced 1-5-septate falcate conidia (aerial and sporodochial), whereas F. equiseti usually produce 5-7-septate falcate conidia (Gerlach \& Nirenberg 1982, Leslie \& Summerell 2006). Additionally, the apical cells of both the aerial and sporodochial falcate conidia of $F$. toxicum are much less elongated and whip-like than those illustrated for F. equiseti (Leslie \& Summerell 2006). Metadata of the ex-type culture CBS 406.86 indicates that this isolate is able to produce the mycotoxins zearalenone and equisetin.

Acknowledgements This work was jointly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31770016) and the National Science Foundation for Post-doctoral Scientists of China (No. 2018M632699). We are grateful to Arien van Iperen, Diana de Vos, Yda Vlugt (cultures) and Mieke Starink-Willemse (DNA isolation, amplification and sequencing) for their technical assistance.

## REFERENCES

Booth C. 1971. The genus Fusarium. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, England.
Booth C, Sutton BC. 1984. Fusarium pallidoroseum, the correct name for F. semitectum Auct. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 83: 702-704.
Burgess LW, Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, et al. 1985. Fusarium scirpi: emended description and notes on geographic distribution. Mycologia 77: 212-218.
Chang DC, Grant GB, O'Donnell K, et al. 2006. Multistate outbreak of Fusarium keratitis associated with use of a contact lens solution. JAMA 296: 953-963.
Crous PW, Verkley GJM, Groenewald JZ, et al. (eds). 2019. Fungal Biodiversity. Westerdijk Laboratory Manual Series. Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
Fisher NL, Burgess LW, Toussoun TA, et al. 1982. Carnation leaves as a substrate and for preserving cultures of Fusarium species. Phytopathology 72: 151-153.
Gams W, Nirenberg HI. 1989. A contribution to the generic definition of Fusarium. Mycotaxon 35: 407-416.
Gerlach W. 1977. Drei neue varietäten von Fusarium merismoides, F. larvarum und F. chlamydosporum. Phytopathologische Zeitschrift 90: 31-42.
Gerlach W, Nirenberg H. 1982. The genus Fusarium - a pictorial atlas. Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem 209: 1-406.
Gordon WL. 1952. The occurrence of Fusarium species in Canada. II. Prevalence and taxonomy of Fusarium species in cereal seeds. Canadian Journal of Botany 30: 209-251.
Gordon WL. 1956. The taxonomy and habitats of the Fusarium species in Trinidad. Canadian Journal of Botany 34: 847-864.
Hillis DM, Bull JJ. 1993. An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. Systematic Biology 43: 182-192.
Holubová-Jechová V, Gams W, Nirenberg HI. 1994. Revisiones Generum Obscurorum Hyphomycetum: a revision of the Selenosporium species described by A.C.J. Corda. Sydowia 46: 247-256.
Jacobs A, Mojela L, Summerell B, et al. 2018. Characterisation of members of the Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex from undisturbed soils in South Africa. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 111: 1999-2008.
Joffe AZ. 1974. A modern system of Fusarium taxonomy. Mycopathologia et Mycologia Applicata 53: 201-228.
Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. 2017. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Briefings in Bioinformatics: 1-7. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108.
Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, et al. 2012. Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. Bioinformatics 28: 1647-1649.
Khoa LV, Hatai K, Aoki T. 2004. Fusarium incarnatum isolated from black tiger shrimp, Penaeus monodon Fabricius, with black gill disease cultured in Vietnam. Journal of Fish Diseases 27: 507-515.
Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, et al. 2018. RaxML-NG: A fast, scalable, and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. bioRxiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/447110.
Leslie JF, Summerell BA. 2006. The Fusarium Laboratory Manual. Blackwell Publishing Professional, 2121 State Avenue, Ames, Iowa 50014, USA.

Lombard L, Van Doorn R, Crous PW. 2019. Neotypification of Fusarium chlamydosporum - a reappraisal of a clinically important species complex. Fungal Systematics and Evolution 5: 183-200.
Marasas WFO, Rheeder JP, Logrieco A, et al. 1998. Fusarium nelsonii and F. musarum: Two new species in section Arthrosporiella related to F. camptoceras. Mycologia 90: 505-513.
Maryani N, Sandoval-Denis M, Lombard L, et al. 2019. New endemic Fusarium species hitch-hiking with pathogenic Fusarium strains causing Panama disease in small-holder banana plots in Indonesia. Persoonia 43: 48-69.
Nelson PE, Toussoun TA, Marasas WFO. 1983. Fusarium species: An illustrated manual for identification. Pennsylvania State University Press, University Park, Pennsylvania.
Nirenberg HI. 1976. Unterschungen über die morphologische und biologische Differenzierung in der Fusarium-Sektion Liseola. Mitteilungen der Biologischen Bundesanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem 169: 1-117.
Nirenberg HI. 1990. Recent advances in the taxonomy of Fusarium. Studies in Mycology 32: 91-101.
Nylander JAA. 2004. MrModeltest v. 2. Programme distributed by the author. Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
O'Donnell K, Humber RA, Geiser DM, et al. 2012. Phylogenetic diversity of insecticolous fusaria inferred from multilocus DNA sequence data and their molecular identification via FUSARIUM-ID and Fusarium MLST. Mycologia 104: 427-445.
O'Donnell K, Kistler HC, Cigelnik E, et al. 1998. Multiple evolutionary origins of the fungus causing Panama disease of banana: Concordant evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial gene genealogies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95: 2044-2049.
O'Donnell K, Sutton DA, Rinaldi MG, et al. 2009. Novel multilocus sequence typing scheme reveals high genetic diversity of human pathogenic members of the Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti and F. chlamydosporum species complexes within the United States. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 47: 3851-3861.
O'Donnell K, Sutton DA, Rinaldi MG, et al. 2010. Internet-accessible DNA sequence database for identifying fusaria from human and animal infections. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 48: 3708-3718.

Rayner RW. 1970. A mycological colour chart. CMI and British Mycological Society, Kew, Surrey, UK.
Reinking OA, Wollenweber HW. 1927. Tropical fusaria. The Philippine Journal of Science 32: 103-253.
Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19: 1572-1574.
Santos ACS, Trindade JVC, Lima CS, et al. 2019. Morphology, phylogeny, and sexual stage of Fusarium caatingaense and Fusarium pernambucanum, new species of the Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex associated with insects in Brazil. Mycologia 111: 244-259.
Subrahmanyam A. 1983. Fusarium laceratum. Mykosen 26: 478-480.
Swofford DL. 2003. PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods), v. 4.0b10. Computer programme. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
Torbati M, Arzanlou M, Sandoval-Denis M, et al. 2019. Multigene phylogeny reveals new fungicolous species in the Fusarium tricinctum species complex and novel hosts in the genus Fusarium from Iran. Mycological Progress 18: 119-133.
Villani A, Moretti A, De Saeger S, et al. 2016. A polyphasic approach for characterization of a collection of cereal isolates of the Fusarium incarna-tum-equiseti species complex. International Journal of Food Microbiology 234: 24-35.
Villani A, Proctor RH, Kim HS, et al. 2019. Variation in secondary metabolite production potential in the Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti species complex revealed by comparative analysis of 13 genomes. BMC Genomics 20: 314.
Wang MM, Chen Q, Diao YZ, et al. 2019. Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti complex from China. Persoonia 43: 70-89.
Wollenweber HW. 1916-1935. Fusaria autographice delineate. Berlin, Selbstverlag. 1200 plates.
Wollenweber HW, Reinking OA. 1925. Aliquot Fusaria tropicalia nova vel revisa. Phytopathology 15: 155-169.
Wollenweber HW, Reinking OA. 1935. Die Fusarien. Verlagsbuchhandlung Paul Parey, Berlin, Germany.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory for Biology of Vegetable Diseases and Insect Pests, College of Plant Protection, Shandong Agricultural University, Taian, 271018, China.
    ${ }^{2}$ Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Uppsalalaan 8, 3584 CT Utrecht, The Netherlands.
    ${ }^{3}$ Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, Department of Plant Sciences, University of the Free State, P.O. Box 339, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa.
    ${ }^{4}$ Wageningen University and Research Centre (WUR), Laboratory of Phytopathology, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands.

    * corresponding authors e-mail:
    X.G. Zhang, sdau613@163.com; L. Lombard, I.lombard@wi.knaw.nl.

[^1]:    Additional materials examined. India, from Oryza sativa, date and collector unknown, NRRL $13379=$ FRC R-5198 = BBA 62200. - IRAN, Golestan, Kalaleh, from Triticum sp., date unknown, M. Davari, CBS 132907. - USA, Texas, from human, date and collector unknown, NRRL $32866=$ FRC R-8822,

