# Sequence Stratigraphic Analysis of "XB Field", Central Swamp Depobelt, Niger Delta Basin, Southern Nigeria

Samuel Okechukwu Onyekuru<sup>\*</sup>, Emmanuel Chukwudi Ibelegbu, Julian Chukwuma Iwuagwu, Akan Godfrey Essien, Casmir Zanders Akaolisa

Department of Geology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria Email: \*onyekuru2001@yahoo.com

Received October 1, 2011; revised November 21, 2011; accepted December 26, 2011

# ABSTRACT

Well logs and biostratigraphic data from six wells in the "XB Field", central Swamp Depobelt, Niger Delta were integrated to carry out a sequence stratigraphic analysis of depositional systems in the field. The analysis revealed four 3<sup>rd</sup> order depositional sequences (SEQ1 to 4) bounded by three erosional unconformities interpreted as Sequence Boundaries (SB1 to 3). Transgressive Surfaces of Erosion (TSE1 to 3) that mark the onset of marine flooding and turnarounds from progradational facies to retrogradational facies during sequence build-up were delineated. Three 3rd order Maximum Flooding Surfaces (MFS1, MFS2 and MFS3) characterized by marker shales, high faunal abundance and diversity were also delineated and dated 15.9, 17.4 and 19.4 Ma, respectively. The delineated sequences comprised Lowstand Systems Tracts (progradational packages), Transgressive Systems Tracts (retrogradational packages) and Highstand Systems Tracts (aggradational packages), which reflect depositional systems deposited during different phases of base level changes. The Lowstand Systems Tract (LST) consists of Basin Floor Fans (BFF), Slope Fans and Channel Sands deposited when sea level was low and accommodation space lower than rate of sediment influx. Transgressive Systems Tract (TST) consists of retrogradational marine shales deposited during high relative sea levels and when accommodation space was higher than rate of sediment influx. Highstand Systems Tracts (HST) consisted of shoreface sands displaying mostly aggradational to progradational stacking patterns. The sands of LST and HST show good reservoir qualities while the shales of the TSTs could form potential reservoir seals. The above recognized sequences, were deposited within the Neritic to Bathyal paleoenvironments and are dated mid-Miocene (15.9 - 20.4 Ma) in age.

Keywords: Sequence Stratigraphy; Unconformities; Progradation; Retrogradational; Aggradational; Paleoenvironments and Reservoir

# 1. Introduction

The stratigraphy of the Tertiary Niger Delta is complicated by syndepositional collapse of clastic wedges as shales of the underlying Akata Formation are mobilized under loads of the prograding overlying deposits of the deltaic Agbada and fluvial Benin Formations. This situation makes correlation of reservoirs of same genetic units difficult.

The understanding of the geology, structure and reservoir architecture and continuity of the Tertiary Niger Delta basin fills is expected to improve immensely with the application of a new and proven concept of sequence stratigraphy. Sequence Stratigraphy is the study of rocks relationships within a chronostratigraphic framework wherein the succession of rocks is cyclic and generally comprised of genetically related stratal units [1]. The concept explains vertical and lateral variations of sedimentary successions in terms of relative sea level fluctuations and basin tectonics.

\*Corresponding author.

Sequence stratigraphic technique was applied in the analysis of six wells in the "XB Field", in the western part of the Central Swamp Depobelt, Niger Delta Basin. The evaluation ensured the subdivision of the delineated vertical sedimentary sections into genetically related depositional sequences bounded by surfaces of same chronological age using wire line logs and biostratigraphic data. The various contemporaneously deposited sediment packages (systems tracts) within the sequences were also mapped to be able to reconstruct the depositional sequence model of the field, so that reservoir quality and architecture can be predicted. Source and reservoir rocks within the systems tracts were characterized and evaluated for hydrocarbon potential and trapping mechanisms.

The area under study is located at the western end of the Central Swamp Depobelt of the Tertiary Niger Delta that lies between Latitudes 5°N and 6°N and Longitudes 5°E and 6°E, covering an areal extent of about 675 km<sup>2</sup> (**Figure 1**). It is part of the Tertiary Niger Delta (Akata-Agbada) Petroleum System. The Niger Delta sedimentary





Figure 1. Showing Niger Delta depobelts, base map of "XB" field and spatial distribution of studied wells.

deposits have been divided into three large-scale lithostratigraphic units (Figure 2: (1) Basal Paleocene to Recent pro-delta facies of the Akata Formation, (2) Eocene to Recent, paralic facies of the Agbada Formation and (3) Oligocene-Recent, fluvial facies of the Benin Formation, [2-4]. These formations become progressively younger farther into the basin, recording the long-term progradation of depositional environments of the Niger Delta onto the Atlantic Ocean passive margin. From the Eocene to the present, the delta has prograded southwestward, forming depobelts that represent the most active portion of the delta at each stage of its development [5]. These depobelts form one of the largest regressive deltas in the world with an area of about 300,000 km<sup>2</sup> [6], a sediment volume of 500,000 km<sup>3</sup> [7] and a sediment thickness of over 10 km in the basin's depocenter [8].

# 2. Methodology

#### 2.1. Data Set

Well log data suites provided for the study included Gamma Ray (GR) Logs, Spontaneous Potential (SP) Logs, Porosity Logs and Resistivity Logs (**Figure 3**). The biofacies data extracted from core samples, side-wall samples (Type 2) and ditch-cuttings (Type 3) were calibrated and depth matched with corresponding wireline logs. The



Figure 2. Stratigraphic column showing formations of the Niger Delta, [5,9].



Figure 3. Showing representative well log suites provided for the study.

population and diversity of the benthic and planktonic foraminifera were used for environmental and paleobathymetric interpretation (**Table 1**). The biozone records obtained from the wells were the palynological and foraminiferal zones popularly referred to as the P- and F-Zones. Four different pollen zones (P-Zones) and two fauna zones (F-Zones) recognized were P720, P680, P670, and P650 and F9500 and F9300, respectively (**Table 1**).

# **2.2.** Delineation of Lithofacies and Depositional Environments.

Gamma Ray Log values and signatures (fining and coarsening upward signatures) and the biofacies data helped in determining lithofacies and depositional environments of the different rock units in the well field. Bell shaped log patterns on Gamma Ray Logs indicating increasing clay contents up section or fining upward trends or an

DEPTH	Sample TYPE	ENVIRON.	F. DIVERS.	F. POPLN	P. DIVERS.	P. POPLN	F.ZONE	P.ZONE
4650	2	MN	11	24	2	3		
4661	2	ON-BA	15	9218	3	50		
4667	2	ON-BA	19	1218	6	113		720
4837	2	MN	6	17	1	3		
4863	2	BA	15	2140	4	105		
5059	2	MN	8	27	2	4		
5073	2	ON-BA	11	1332	4	231		
5100	2	MN-ON	10	21	2	2	o	
5109	2	IN-MN	9	366	0	0	950	
5333	2	ON-BA	12	379	1	4	ш	
5372	2	BA	17	1681	3	155		
5428	2	MN	6	29	1	2		
5640	2	ON-BA	12	3786	3	280		
5682	2	MN	6	43	3	10		
5774	2	IN	3	15	1	1		
5920	2	IN-MN	5	701	0	0		
6039	2	IN	3	7	0	0		80
6108	2	IN	2	2	0	0		P6
6191	2	MN-ON	12	3235	2	16		
6326	2	IN-MN	4	226	0	0		
6421	2	ON-BA	17	3580	4	400		
6547	2	MN-ON	10	316	1	7		
6599	2	ON-BA	17	442	4	9		
6679	2	MN	7	326	0	0		
6771	2	IN	3	20	0	0		
6846	2	ON-BA	13	1176	1	8	9500	
6864	2	ON-BA	15	601	2	5	00/F	
6930	2	IN	3	10	0	0	F930	
6994	2	В	0	0	0	0		
7081	2	IN	3	6	0	0		
7118	2	В	0	0	0	0		
7205	2	Sh.IN	1	2	0	0		
7344	2	PFM	5	447	0	0		70
7461	2	MN	6	725	1	2		9d
7534	2	MN	6	418	0	0		
7577	2	ON-BA	24	1153	3	81		

Table 1. Representative biofacies data of XB-2 well.

7664

2

MN

9

67

0

0

upward increase in gamma ray value is a typical feature of fluvial channel deposits (**Figure 4**). Funnel-shaped log patterns indicating decreasing clay contents up section or a coarsening upward trend, clearly showed deltaic progradation. Cylindrical (blocky or boxcar) log motif was delineated as thick uniformly graded coarse grained sandstone unit, probably deposits of braided channel, tidal channel or subaqueous slump deposits. Serrated log motif suggested intercalation of thin shales in a sandstone body, typically of fluvial, marine and tidal processes [10].

The neutron-density logs of clean sandstone units tracked each other closely or had little separations while shale intervals had wide separations.

#### 2.3. Stacking Patterns and Parasequences

The well log suites provided for the study were displayed at consistent scales to enhance log trends and also to aid recognition of facies stacking patterns and parasequences. Parasequence stacks (vertical occurrences of repeated cycles of coarsening or fining upwards sequences), gave rise to progradational, retrogradational, or aggradational parasequence sets (**Figure 5**).

## 2.4. Key Stratigraphic Surfaces, Systems Tracts and Depositional Sequences

The Maximum Flooding Surface (MFS) was recognised on the wireline logs and biostratigraphic data as: the boundary between retrogradational parasequence sets and progradational parasequence sets; units with maximum shale peaks and well-developed shales (shaliness) visible on the GR, Resistivity and Neutron logs; a surface of maximum foraminiferal abundance and diversity.

Plots in Petrel of faunal abundance and diversity curves alongside well logs enhanced the recognition of Maximum Flooding Surfaces (MFSs) (**Figure 6**).

The Transgressive Surface of Erosion (TSE) which is the first significant flooding surface in a sequence was inferred from the presence of nick or neck on resistivity logs caused by presence of carbonate cements probably derived from the carbonate fauna eroded during ravinement of already deposited sediments. It usually occurs at the base of the retrogradational parasequence stacks of the Transgressive Systems Tracts.

Sequence Boundaries (SBs) were recognized in areas of low faunal abundance and diversity or absence of known bio-events, which corresponded to low Gamma Ray, high Resistivity, SP and sonic logs responses within the shallowing section. Candidate Sequence Boundaries were identified at the base of thickest and coarsest sand units between two adjacent Maximum Flooding Surfaces [11], which naturally coincided with the shallowest environments associated with the least foraminiferal abundance and diversity or complete absence of foraminifera. The base of a progradational stacking pattern was also used to define a Sequence Boundary (SB).

Systems Tracts (Lowstand Systems Tract, Transgressive Systems Tract, and Highstand Systems Tract) were recognized and mapped (**Figure 7**), with the aid of the depositional sequence model [12,13].



Figure 4. Well log response character for different environments, [18].



Figure 5. (a) Representative parasequence stacking patterns in XB-1 well; (b) Representative parasequence stacking patterns in XB-2 well.



Figure 6. A representative plot of wireline logs and biostratigraphic data of XB-2 well.



Figure 7. Sequence stratigraphic model showing key stratigraphic surfaces and various systems tracts [12,13].

The delineated MFSs and SBs were dated with marker shales (P and F zones) and by correlation with the Niger Delta Chronostratigraphic Chart (**Figure 8**) [14]. Relative ages of the surfaces mapped in the well field were determined using the provided biostratigraphic report (**Figure 9**) [15] and correlated with the established works on the study area [16,17].

# 2.5. Well Correlation

Well correlation was achieved in Petrel window with surfaces (SBs and MFSs) of same geologic age defined in the study area. Marine Flooding surfaces were the best markers or datum on which the correlation cross sections were hung [18]. Correlation was done to determine lateral continuity or discontinuity of facies, hence aiding reservoir studies in the well field.

# 3. Results, Data Analysis and Interpretations

# 3.1. Lithofacies and Depositional Environments

The stratigraphic column in the study area was divided into four (4) lithofacies, namely: 1) Coarse Grained Basal Sandstones Facies; 2) Shaly Sandstone Facies; 3) Mudrock Facies; and 4) Heterolithic Facies.

## 3.2. Coarse Grained Basal Sandstone Facies (Facies 1)

The Coarse Grained Basal Sandstone Facies consists of amalgamated and isolated sharp-based fining upward sand bodies characterized by blocky to bell-shaped Gamma Ray Log motif with little or no separation on the Neutron-Density Logs (**Figure 10**). The sand units are locally separated by thin bands of shale/mudstone and lack marine fauna. Facies 1 is interpreted as fluvial channel deposits based on these characteristics. These channel deposits represent deposition in a coastal plain setting landward of the tidal zone [19]. The blocky log pattern is common in incised valley fills [20]. The lack of serration in the Gamma Ray Log signature and absence of marine fauna suggest minimal or complete absence of tidal influence.

# 3.3. Shaly-Sandstone Facies (Facies 2)

The Shaly-Sandstone Facies (**Figure 11**) is characterised by the predominance of fine-medium grained sandstones and mudstone/shale interbeds. It consists predominantly of serrated funnel shaped Gamma Ray Log Pattern and sometimes serrated bell to blocky shaped patterns at certain intervals. These intervals are also characterised by high Neutron and Density Porosity Log values with little

STRA						NIGER DELTA MARKER SHALES AND MAXIMUM	NIGER DELTA POLLEN AND												
Harland et al. 1990		ANDN.	-		(after Haq et al. 1988)				FLOODING SURFACES		ZONATION				PENETRATED				
EPOCHS	STAGES		STAGE BOU CYCLES CYCLES		SPDC CYCLES NGER DELTA		DWARD	BASINWARD	SCUENCE BOUNDARY AG	DOWNUAP SURFACE AG		Sequence boundary Maximum flooding surface	Pol	aub sone	Foran Zone	sub zone	FEREIRALD		
ENE 23	MILAZZIAN SICILIAN EMILIAN CALABRIAN PIACENZIAN	1.64		110 3.9 3.8 3.7 3.5	11			MM	0.8 1.6 2.4 3.0	-13 -2.0 -2.7			P900	Participation in the second se	F9900		*		
8	ZANCLIAN	0.4		3.5			7		F 4.1	-29		(11)	P820	7982	0000		2		
<u>a.</u>		5.2	TB3	2.4	-			5	5.8	-5.0		···· · BULIVINA 90 ···· ···	P860 P850		19000	F9703	SH		
	MESSINIAN	6.7		3.3				2	8.7	- 8.0		HAPLOPHUAGMORUES 29	P840		F9700	F9701	1017		
	TORTONIAN			3.2	10			7	8.5	-7.4			P830 P820		50600	F9605			
				3.3				5	10.35	-9.5		- UVIGERINA 8	P780		19000	19003	AM		
		10.4			9			(	10.6				P770			F9801	SV		
	SERAVILLIAN			2.0		-		-)	12.1	-1.8	F	- 0000 31942		-		F9607	LAL		
				2.5	-	-			-13.1	-12.8		- CASSIDULINA 7	P740	P750	50500		AS		
		14.2		2.4										P730	F3000	F9505	S g		
Z	LANGHIAN						2		16.5	-15.0	E	BOLMINA 25	P720			F9603	3		
8		18.3	TB	2 2.3				2	10.7	-15.9		CHILOGUEMBELINA 3	P690			F9601	C C		
Σ				2.1			6		17.7	-17.A			1000				THE T		
							(	-					P670		50200	F9305	EN		
	BURDIGALIAN					1				-19.4	1	- OGARA SHALE			-9300	F9303	9		
				2.1				1	20.4	- 20.7	1	ALABAMINA 2	P650			F9301			
		21.5						1	71.8	.22.0			P630			-			
	AGUITANIAN			14			5		22.2	_				1		С			
		23.3		F			-	2	23.7	2	1	BULSVIRA 20	P620	P628					
				13				2	24.9	-24.3	3 F			P824					
			TE	n				1-		-28.2	, L	- ALABANENA 1		C	F7800	в	ğ		
	CHATTIAN			1		-		)					DEGG				5		
								1-	10.	-28.	1	BOLIVINA 27	P580	в			Ę		
B		20.1	L		<u> </u>		_		- 29.1					A	1	A	뾿		
lõg		10.	1										DEC				-		
E				4	5			0		31	3	UNIGERUNELLA 5	1000	·					
$\sim$	DEPELIAN				_		\	32.4		-		P54	0	F7800					
	HOT LEADER						5	1	33.	3 -33	1.0	TEXTULARIA 3	1		1				
			T/	44 4	4	3				-34			P52	0	F7400	)			
		- 35.	4	b	-		0		35.	4 35		OROGHO SHALE			F7200	)	and the particular		
	(INCASE)				2		2		- 36.	3 -38									
	Philadomena			L		2	7	-	37.	3	1.0	UVIGERINELLA 8	P48	U	F5700	)	Mar 1		
		- 38	6	+	-			~	38.	7			P47	0			- And		
				3	-6		1		40	. 7					1		and the second		
	BARTONIAN									-41	1.0	UNEUTO SHALE	P45	0					
	-	41	,		3.5											1	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
			т	-	H			L.		42	7	3.2		-					
					3.4		1		-	4				0		-	ä		
L				A3									140	0	-		1		
8	LUTETIAN				3.3		-			-4	15.1		·		F550	0	Ē		
L, L	-				+	_	1	1			2						1	- B	
					3.2								P4	20					
						-	_		1		- 4	9.4	E.B						
		54	10		3.1		5			8.7	0.0					1			
				1	2.9		1		5	13	51.7		1		F530	00			
	417-02-000			ſ	2.7		5				52.8		- P3	70	F380	00			
	YPRESIAN			ł	2.6		<u></u>		5	3.9 4	53.6		-						
				EAT	2.5		5			62 1	54.8	Eponides 11 -	- P3	30	F370	00			
ľ.				- 146	2.4		(		1		55.9		-	2.5			-		
		5	6.5		22		5		5	6.5	56.8	······································	5		F35	00			
					21		0			1.5	58.1				E33	00			
	SELANDIAN	1			2.1				_	9.4							_		
e Pi					1.4		1			60.A	59.7		P2	00					
Z	3	1	0.5				1	-		ŀ	61.1								
PALEO(	4			TAT	14										F310	00			
	CT Dates of the second se	-			- C	£1 - 1	1			0.63		Annual second se	and the second se						
N	DANIAN																		

Figure 8. Niger Delta chronostratigraphic chart [14].

-	ENVIRONMENTS								
LATE OLIGOCENE- RECENT BENTHIC FORAMINIFERA	0m TRANSITIONAL	40m INNER NERITIC	100m MIDDLE NERITIC	OUTER 200m ;		Level			
FORAMINIFERA Eggerella scabra Ammonia Elphidium articulatum Nonion depresulus Textularia aeriandi Quinqueloculina Epistominella vitrea Fursenkoina schreibersiana Gavelinopsis lobatulus Reusella aequo Cancris auriculus Rosalina globularis Hanzawala solei Bolivina striatula Bolivina otelinaria Bolivina enariensis Uvigerina peregrina Cibicidoides pseudoungeria Cassidulina loevigata Uvigerina auberiana Spiroloculina tenuis Lenticulina cultrata	ana		NERITIC	OUTER COUM NERITIC	1000m ВА ТНУАL				
Bulimina inflata Sphaeroidina bulloides Pullenia bulloides Recurvoides contortus			-						
Globocassidulina subglobo Cyclammina cancellata Bulimina acauleata Melonis pomppiloides Planulina wuellerstorfi	Sa		-						
Oridorsallis umbonatus					-				

Figure 9. Foraminiferal biofacies model for the Niger Delta (adapted from SPDC in-house).



Figure 10. Coarse grained basal sandstone Facies represented by Blocky Gamma Ray Logs.



Figure 11. Shaly-sandtone Facies defined by predominant funnel-shaped Gamma Ray Logs and low diversity forams.

or no separation. Biofacies information revealed that the intervals exhibited low frequency and low diversity of foraminifera belonging to the Inner-Outer Neritic (IN-ON) depositional environment.

Facies 2 is interpreted as tide dominated estuarine deposits based on the presence of cyclic alternation of sandstones and mudstones. Each funnel shape represented a succession of coarsening—upward from mud to shallow/ marginal marine sandstones. Rhythmic alternation of high Gamma Ray Log response and serrated funnel, bell and blocky Gamma Ray Log motif resulted from frequent fluctuations in current strength which is common in tidal processes. The successions are interpreted to have been deposited in a prograding, estuarine environment.

The biofacies data showed increase in foraminiferal assemblages indicating progressive deeper water bathymetry within the mudstone units and low diversity forms at shallow water depths within the sandstone units.

# 3.4. Mudrock Facies (Facies 3)

This facies is predominantly composed of shale units with thin siltstone intercalations displaying a retrogradational parasequence pattern (**Figure 12**). The facies also exhibited high frequency and diversity of foraminifera parti-

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.

cularly those of Outer Neritic (ON) to bathyal (BA) depositional environments. The unit is interpreted as offshore deposits.

## 3.5. Heterolithic Facies (Facies 4)

Facies 4 is comprised of sandstone and mudstone Heteroliths. The sandstone unit is recognised as upward-cleaning units on the Gamma Ray Log and upward increasing porosity values on the Density Log. Crescent or bow trend in the Gamma Ray Log (**Figure 13**) show a cleaning-up trend overlain by a dirtying up trend without any sharp break. Available Biofacies data indicate that Facies 4 accumulated in proximal-fluvial marine and Inner-Middle Neritic (IN-MN) depositional environments (*i.e.* Facies 4 is interpreted a shoreface deposits).

Crescent log pattern is generally the result of waxing and waning clastic sedimentation rate [21]. The serrated nature of the Gamma Ray Log signature is indicative of tide/wave activity [21] and the heteroliths probably reflect deposition from waning storm generated flows [22]. The muddy portion characterised by high Gamma Ray values with biofacies bathymetry in the Neritic environment indicated storm emplacement or inter-storm pelagic sedimentation [23].



Figure 12. Mudrock Facies shown by high Gamma Ray Log signature; high frequency and diversity of forams.



Figure 13. Crescent or bow trend typified in XB-2 and XB-3 wells.

# Copyright © 2012 SciRes.

# 4. Results of Sequence Stratigraphic Analysis

# 4.1. Maximum Flooding Surface (MFS)

The first Maximum Flooding Surface (MFS1) recognized in wells XB-3 and XB-4 (**Figure 14**) was dated 20.7Ma using the Niger Delta Chronostratigraphic Chart, [14], a regional marker, *Alabamina* 2 and the occurrence of the event within P650 and F9300 biozones.

The first Maximum Flooding Surface (MFS1) recognized in XB-1, XB-2, XB-5 and XB-6 wells was correlated to MFS2 of XB-3 and XB-4 wells, and was dated 19.4 Ma. The surface occurred within P670 and F9300 biozone characterised by Ogara Shale marker.

MFS2 in XB-1, XB-2, XB-5 and XB-6 wells, which correlated with MFS3 of XB-3 and XB-4 wells was dated 17.4 Ma. The MFS occurred within P680 and F9300 zone and is an Undefined MFS.

MFS3 in XB-1, XB-2, XB-5 and XB-6 wells correlated with MFS4 of XB-3 and XB-4 wells and was dated 15.9 Ma. The MFS was characterised by a regional marker, *Chiloguembelina*-3 and was defined within the P680 and F9500 biozones.

The summary of the recognized and identified MFSs and the depth at which they occur in the wells are shown in (**Figures 14-19**; **Tables 2** and **3**).



Figure 14. Sequence stratigraphic summary sheet of XB-1 well showing interpreted systems tracts and constrained surfaces.



Figure 15. Sequence stratigraphic summary sheet of XB-2 well.

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 16. Sequence stratigraphic summary sheet of XB-3 well.

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 17. Sequence stratigraphic summary sheet of XB-4 well.

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 18. Sequence stratigraphic summary sheet of XB-5 well.

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 19. Sequence stratigraphic summary sheet of XB-6 well.

DEPTH	Sample TYPE	ENVIRON.	F. DIVERS.	F. POPLN	P. DIVERS.	P. POPLN	F.ZONE	P.ZONE
7844	2	ON-BA	13	1974	4	113		
7993	2	IN	7	32	2	8		
8114	2	CD	2	2	0	0	500	
8412	2	ON-BA	15	545	3	46	0/F9	670
8522	2	IN	10	50	2	7	F930	
8702	2	IN-MN	5	1110	1	2		
8803	2	MN	4	844	0	0		
8831	2	MN-ON	9	533	1	1		
8894	2	ON-BA	21	2067	1	6		
8933	2	ON-BA	16	247	1	3		
9085	2	ON-BA	12	17674	2	32		
9113	2	ON-BA	22	7459	4	1664		
9345	2	ON-BA	22	360	3	32		
9436	2	BA	28	10075	4	2268		
9450	2	ON-BA	20	9333	5	1768	300	650
9501	2	ON-BA	22	3956	4	197	6	а.
9778	2	BA	31	16336	6	2568		
9841	2	BA	24	11981	6	4320		
9866	2	ВА	29	1464	3	330		

Table 2. Contd.

Fable 3. Delineated MFS	5, marker fauna	and biozone of	f studied wells.
-------------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------

CHRONO SURFACE	AGE(Ma)	MARKER FAUNA	BIOZ	ONES						
	no <u>E</u> (mu)		P-ZONE	F-ZONE	XB-1	XB-2	XB-3	XB-4	XB-5	XB-6
MFS1	20.7	Alabamina 2	P650	F9300	NA	9808	9740	10880	NA	NA
MFS2	19.4	Ogara shell	P670	F9300	10670	8361	8360	8870	11060	11040
MFS3	17.4	Unnamed	P680	F9300	8270	6801	6500	7550	9850	9780
MFS4	15.9	Chiloguembelina 3	P680	F9500	6610	5324	5000	6440	8370	8350

## 4.2. Sequence Boundary (SB) and Transgressive Surface of Erosion (TSE)

The oldest Sequence Boundary (SB1) identified in the well field was dated 20.4 Ma. The surface represent a substantial erosional surface defined before the MFS of 19.4 Ma (**Figures 14-19**). SB1 is overlain in the down dip section by a relatively thick and sharp-based sand unit identified as incised valley fills (Basin Floor Fans) and in the up dip areas by sharp-top facies of the uppermost prograding Highstand parasequence (**Figure 19**). The thickness of the sand units overlying SB1 in the down dip section of the Well Field, however, varied from well to well due to local erosion of the sands (ravinement) at the onset of rising sea level and beginning of a retrogradational facies that starts with initial substrate erosion: the Transgressive Surface of Erosion (TSE).

SB2 and SB3 are dated 17.7 Ma and 16.7 Ma respectively, based on their relative positions in the stratigraphic sections and with reference to the Niger Delta Chronostratigraphic Chart [14].

Identified Transgressive Surfaces of Erosion (TSE1 to 3) lie close to the SBs marking abrupt changes from progradational facies to retrogradational facies and substantially caused diminution of sand thickness deposited during sea level fall (**Figures 14-19**).

## 4.3. Stratigraphic Sequences and Systems Tracts

Four (4) depositional sequences (SEQ1, SEQ2, SEQ3 and SEQ4) and the accompanying systems tracts were interpreted and mapped in the "XB Well Field" (**Figure 20**), based on log–motifs of the reference wells (XB-1, XB-2, XB-3, XB-4, XB-5 and XB-6) and the spatial distribution of the recognized constrained surfaces (MFSs and SBs).

SEQ1 and SEQ4 formed the deepest (oldest) and topmost (youngest) depositional sequences respectively. SEQ1 is an incomplete sequence. It is enveloped on top by the 20.4 Ma SB, which was revealed only in wells XB-3 and XB-4 that probed deeper stratigraphic sections of the well field. Accompanying Transgressive Systems Tract (TST) contained marine shales rich in fauna with minor sand unit enveloped by the 20.7 Ma MFS. The transgresssive sand units have been interpreted as shoreface sands deposited in the shelfal region during rising sea levels. Highstand Systems Tract (HST) of the sequence, estimated to be about 600 ft was deposited in the Middle Neritic (MN) setting depicting mainly progradational-aggradational stacking patterns.

SEQ2 is approximately 1600 ft thick and is bounded top and bottom by 17.7 Ma and 20.4 Ma Sequence Boundaries, respectively. The Lowstand Systems Tract (LST) of this sequence formed thick sand deposits interpreted as Basin Floor Fans (BFF), deposited in the Outer Neritic (ON) to Bathyal (BA) depositional settings. The LST was observed to be barren in faunal contents in most wells and unconformably overlying the 20.4 Ma SB and underlies a TST of about 800ft thick.

SEQ3 overlies the 17.7 Ma SB and is capped by the 16.7 Ma SB. The sequence was identified at the depth of 9200 ft in the down dip wells (XB-6 and XB-7) and from a depth range of 6000 - 7000 ft in the up dip wells (XB-1, XB-2 and XB-3). The sequence displayed predominantly fluvial and tidal processes (progradational stacking pattern) as shown in the parasequence stacking pattern of the western wells (XB-1, XB-2 and XB-3). LST of this sequence contains reworked channel sand deposits which were more pronounced in the down dip wells.

SEQ4 is the topmost (youngest) sequence in the study area. It rests unconformably on the 16.7 Ma SB. The sequence consists of thick sand units at its base, deposited during relative sea level lows. The sequence was deposited within the Neritic paleodepositional environment. The 15.9 Ma MFS was identified in this Sequence.

## 4.4. Well Correlation

Correlation was done using the recognized and identified constrained chronostratigraphic surfaces typified by Maximum Flooding Surfaces (MFSs) and Sequence Boundaries (SBs; **Figure 20**). Correlation helped to compartmentalize the stratigraphic section and showed how the

![](_page_15_Figure_10.jpeg)

Figure 20. Cross section of the studied wells showing sequences and correlated surfaces.

surfaces correlated along dip and strike at certain depths within the depositional basin, thus depicting basin geometry and depositional sequences across the well field.

The displayed correlation panel (**Figure 20**) indicates that the stratigraphic column appears to be dipping in a N-S direction and striking in the NW–SE direction. Deposition tends to be thicker in wells XB-4, XB-5 and XB-6, which were located down dip. The occurrence of the identified chronostratigraphic surfaces at different depths along dip and strike lines in the studied wells shows evidence of faulting in the well field.

## 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. Depositional Sequence Architecture

Depositional systems in the "XB" Well field comprise Lowstand Systems Tracts (LSTs), Transgressive Systems Tracts (TSTs) and Highstand Systems Tracts (HSTs).

The LSTs are represented by coeval facies dominated by deposition basinward of the shelf-edge during maximum regression and are characterized by deep-water deposition from gravity flows and/or traction processes within shelf-edge or canyon-head delta. The sediments associated with LSTs recognized in the study area are the Fluvial Channel Sands and Slope Fans (SF).

Fluvial Channel Sands are associated with erosion of canyons into slopes and incision of fluvial valleys into the shelf. Siliciclastic sediments sometimes bypassed the shelf and slope through the valleys and canyons to feed the Basin Floor Fans (BFF). These turbidites present excellent reservoir qualities. Channel sands seen in SEQ2 lie unconformably on 20.4 Ma SB in wells XB-1 (8100 ft), XB-2 (9200 ft) and XB-4 (9600 ft). Channel sands observed in SEQ3 overlaid the 17.7Ma SB in wells XB-3 (7700 ft), XB-4 (7500 ft) and XB-6 (10,500 ft).

Slope fans are made up of turbidity-levee channels and overbank deposits. They overlie the Basin Floor Fans (BFF) and are downlapped by the overlying Lowstand wedge. Slope fans identified in wells XB-1, XB-2 and XB-3 occurred at depths 7400 ft, 7500 ft and 8144 ft, respectively (**Figure 21**). The fans were observed to overlie the 17.7 Ma SB in XB-1 and XB-2 Wells and 16.7Ma SB in XB-3 Well.

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

Figure 21. Distribution of depositional systems in "XB" field.

The Slope Fan system is commonly characterized by crescent log motif in individual levee channel units, thickening and thinning of individual overbank sands and fining upwards of individual channel sands from a sharp base. Slope fans are formed as the rate of eustatic sea level fall becomes less than the rate of rise associated with subsidence [24].

#### 5.2. Transgressive Systems Tract (TST)

The Transgressive Systems Tract developed in response to sea level rise and when sedimentation rate was not able to keep pace with the rate of sea level rise, thus marine facies retrograde landward to flood the shelf; deltaic progradation ceases and much of the sand is trapped up dip in estuaries. The upper boundary of the TST defines the MFS. Condensed sections, characterized by faunal abundance and diversity peaks are developed near this surface [25]. Transgressive Systems Tracts were characterized by transition from upward shallowing to upward deepening and transgressive erosional surfaces (TSE) on the shelf. The TSTs capping the LST Facies in the studied well field were observed to be very thick and contained mainly marine shales with minor transgressive sands.

#### 5.3. Highstand Systems Tracts (HST)

The rate of sea level rise decreased during the development of Highstand Systems Tracts. HSTs are characterized by intervals of coarsening and shallowing upwards, with both fluvial and deltaic sands near the top of the unit prograding laterally into Neritic shales. In the studied wells, the intervals are very thick. This may be attributed to very high rates of subsidence, high sediment input and instability similar to sediment pattern in the Gulf Coast [26].

## 5.4. Reservoir Potential of the XB-Well Field

Six (6) potential reservoirs (R1, R2, R3, R4, R5 and R6) delineated in the "XB Field" were mainly the channel sands and shoreface sands of LSTs and HSTs, respect-tively, that displayed low Gamma Ray and high Resistivity values (**Figure 22**).

![](_page_17_Figure_10.jpeg)

Figure 22. Low Gamma ray and high resistivity values of potential reservoirs in "XB"-field.

### 5.5. Reservoir Continuity

The lateral continuity of sand bodies determines reservoir's area of coverage and helps to calculate accumulated hydrocarbon volume.

Reservoir R1, was identified within the HST of SEQ1 and traceable to XB-2 and XB-3. It can be mapped by the 20.4Ma SB that caps the sequence. Reservoir R2 is found within the shoreface facies of the HST of SEQ2. This reservoir has a lateral continuity extending from well XB-2 to XB-3 (**Figure 22**). Reservoir R3 represents the thick channel sand facies of the LST and is correlated to reservoir R6. Both reservoirs are underlain by the 16.7Ma SB. Reservoir R4 occurs within the LST of SEQ2 in

XB-5 and XB-6 Wells, while reservoir R5 was identified in the sandy facies interbedded in the predominantly shale facies of the TST.

#### 5.6. Reservoir Geometry

It has been noted that known reservoir rocks in the Niger Delta are Eocene to Pliocene in age. They are often stacked and range in thickness from less than 15 m to 10% being greater than 45 m thick [2]. The thicker reservoirs likely represent composite bodies of stacked channels [5]. The various reservoirs in the wells (**Figure 23**), which are stacked channel sands, range between 80 (21 m) to 160 feet (42 m) thick.

![](_page_18_Figure_8.jpeg)

Figure 23. Stacked channel reservoir sands and thicknesses in XB-2 and 3 wells.

Based on reservoir quality and geometry in the "XB" Field, the most important reservoir types are the point bars of distributary channels and coastal barrier bars intermittently cut by sand-filled channels [6].

## 5.7. Source Rock Potential

Several thick shale units of the TST identified in the studied wells were considered potential source rocks for the hydrocarbons found in the reservoirs in the "XB" Field.

## 5.8. Trapping Mechanisms

Most known traps in the Niger Delta basin are structural, although stratigraphic traps are not uncommon. The structural traps developed during synsedimentary deformation of Agbada paralic sequences [2,27]. A variety of structural trapping elements, including those associated with simple rollover structures, clay filled channels, structures with multiple growth faults, structures with antithetic faults, and collapsed crest structures, have been described [5]. On the flanks of the delta, stratigraphic traps are likely as important as structural traps [28]. The primary seal rock in the Niger Delta is the interbedded shale within the Agbada Formation. The shale provides three types of seals-clay smears along faults, interbedded sealing units against which reservoir sands are juxtaposed due to faulting, and vertical seals [5].

Results of well correlation showed that delineated constrained surfaces were not laterally continuous. These truncations were inferred to be caused by sydepositional faults in the field. These faults constitute the major traps for hydrocarbon accumulation.

Also, the shale of TST and shale units within the HST could form top and bottom seals for hydrocarbons in the reservoir sand. The reservoir rocks of the LST and HST and the seals from prodelta shales of the TST can combined to constitute stratigraphic traps for hydrocarbon accumulation in the well field.

## 6. Summary and Conclusions

Six wells whose petrophysical well logs and biostratigraphic data were made available for a sequence stratigraphic study, provided a rare opportunity to interpret depositional facies and systems of the "XB" field located within the central Swamp depobelt, Niger Delta basin.

Analysis of the vertical succession of depositional facies revealed four third order depositional sequences of mid-Miocene in age, bounded chronologically by 20 Ma SB, 17.7 Ma SB and 16.7 Ma SB (Type 1 Sequence Boundaries).

The depositional sequences experienced major flooding episodes characterized by high faunal population and diversity. SEQ1, which is the oldest sequence, experienced a transgressive episode marked by 20.7 MFS (Alabamina 2). SEQ2 and SEQ3 experienced other transgression episodes marked by faunal diversity and abundance which formed the 19.4 MFS (Ogara shale) and 17.4 MFS (Unnamed MFS). The youngest sequence, SEQ4 in the well field experienced maximum flooding event defined by 15.9 MFS (Chiloguembelina 3).

In each sequence, the lowermost sections were marked by deposits arising from relatively low sea level, forming channels and slope complexes. The middle sections were deposited during a generally high relative sea level, while the uppermost sections were deposited during gradual drops in relative sea level lowering (Highstand). These inferred variations in relative sea level defined third order depositional systems that comprised Lowstand Systems Tract (LST) at the base of the section, Transgressive Systems Tract (TST) in the middle of the section and the Highstand Systems Tract (HST) at the top of each section.

In terms of hydrocarbon exploration, the sand units of the LST and HST formed the basin floor fans, channel and shoreface sands of the delta. The high resistivity log values revealed that they are potential good hydrocarbon reservoirs. The shales of the TST in which most of the MFS were delineated could form seals to the reservoir units. A combination of the reservoir sands of the LST and HST and the shale units of the TST can form good stratigraphic traps for hydrocarbon and hence should also be targeted during hydrocarbon exploration.

## REFERENCES

- H. Posamentier, M. Jervey and P. Vail, "Eustatic Controls on Clastic Deposition in Conceptual Framework," In: C. Wilgus, B. S. Hastings, C. G. Kendall, H. W. Posamentier, C. A. Ross and J. C. Van Wagoner, Eds., *Sea level Changes: An Integrated Approach*, Vol. 42, SEPM Special Publication, 1988, pp. 109-124.
- [2] B. D. Evamy, J. Haremboure, P. Kamerling, W. A. Knaap, F. A. Molloy and P. H. Rowlands, "Hydrocarbon Habitat of Tertiary Niger Delta," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 62, No. 1, 1978, pp. 1-39.
- [3] K. C. Short and A. J. Stauble, "Outline of Geology of Niger Delta," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 51, No. 5, 1967, pp. 761-779.
- [4] A. J. Whiteman, "Nigeria, Its Petroleum, Geology, Resources and Potential," Graham and Trotman, London, 1982.
- [5] H. Doust and E. Omatsola, "Niger Delta," In: J. D. Edwards and P. A. Santogrossi, Eds., *Divergent/Passive Margin Basins*, American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir, Vol. 48, 1990, pp. 201-238.
- [6] H. Kulke, "Nigeria," In: H. Kulke, Ed., Regional Petroleum Geology of the World, Part II: Africa, America, Australia and Antarctica, Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin, 1995, pp. 143-172.
- [7] J. Hospers, "Gravity Field and Structure of the Niger

Delta, Nigeria, West Africa," *Geological Society of American Bulletin*, Vol. 76, No. 4, 1965, pp. 407-422. doi:10.1130/0016-7606(1965)76[407:GFASOT]2.0.CO;2

- [8] A. Kaplan, C. U. Lusser and I. O. Norton, "Tectonic Map of the World, Panel 10, Tulsa," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists*, Scale 1:10000000, 1994.
- [9] M. L. W. Tuttle, R. R. Charpentier and M. E. Brownfield, "The Niger Delta Petroleum System: Niger Delta Province, Nigeria, Cameroon, and Equatorial Guinea, Africa," USGS Open-File Report 99-50-H, 1999.
- [10] C. G. Kendall and L. Pomar, "Systems Tract, Bounding Surfaces, Lithofacies, Geometric Hierarchies and Stacking Patterns: Keys to Shallow Water Carbonate Interpretation," *American Association of Petroleum Geologistst Bulletin*, Vol. 89, 2005.
- [11] S. H. Williams, "Graptolites, Acritarchs and Scolecodonts at Green Point, Western Newfoundland," International Cambrian-Ordovician Boundary Working Group, Circular, 1997, pp. 6-15.
- [12] P. R. Vail, R. M. Mitchum and S. Thompson, "Seismic Stratigraphy and Global Changes of Sea Level, Part 3: Relative Changes of Sea Level from Coastal Onlap," In: C. E. Payton, Ed., Seismic Stratigraphy-Applications to Hydrocarbon Exploration, AAPG Memoir, Vol. 26, 1977, pp. 63-81.
- [13] J. C. Van Wagoner, H. W. Posamentier, R. M. Mitchum, P. R. Vail, J. F. Sarg, T. S. Loutit and J. Hardenbol, "An Overview of the Fundamentals of Sequence Stratigraphy and Key Definitions," In: C. Wilgus, B. S. Hastings, C. G. Kendall, H. W. Posamentier, C. A. Ross and J. C. Van Wagoner, Eds., *Sea Level Changes: An Integrated Approach*, Vol. 42, SEPM Special Publication, 1988, pp. 39-46.
- [14] B. U. Haq, J. Hardenbol and P. R. Vail, "Mesozoic and Cenozoic Chronostratigraphy and Cycles of Sea-Level Change," In: C. Wilgus, B. S. Hastings, C. G. Kendall, H. W. Posamentier, C. A. Ross and J. C. Van Wagoner, Eds., *Sea Level Changes: An Integrated Approach*, Vol. 42, SEPM Special Publication, 1988, pp. 72-108.
- [15] O. S. Adegoke, M. E. Omatsola and M. B. Salami, "Benthic Foraminifera Biofacies, off the Niger Delta," *Maritime Sediments* Special Publication, Vol. 1, 1976, pp. 279-292.
- [16] H. M. Bolli and J. B. Saunders, "Oligocene to Holocene Low Latitude Planktic Foraminifera," In: H. M. Bolli, J. B. Saunders and K. Perch-Nielsen, Eds., *Plankton Stratigraphy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985, pp. 155-257.

- [17] K. Perch-Nielsen, "Cenozoic Calcareous Nannofossils," In: H. Bolli, J. Saunders and K. Perch-Nielsen, Eds., *Plankton Stratigraphy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985, pp. 427-545.
- [18] F. T. Beka and M. N. Oti, "The Distal Offshore Niger Delta: Frontier Prospects of a Mature Petroleum Province," In: M. N. Oti and G. Postma, Eds., *Geology of Deltas*, A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, 1995, pp. 237-241.
- [19] A. M. McCABE, G. F. Dardis and P. M. Hanvey, "Glacial Sedimentology in Northern and Western Ireland, In: *Pre- and Post-Symposium Field Excursion Guide Book*, Anglia Polytechnic, Cambridge, 1992.
- [20] G. P. Allen and H. W. Posamentier, "Sequence Stratigraphy and Facies Model of an Incised Valley Fill: The Gironde Estuary, France," *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, Vol. 63, No. 3, 1993, pp. 378-391.
- [21] D. Emery and K. Myers, "Sequence Stratigraphy," Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford, 1996.
- [22] S. Boggs, "Principles of Sedimentology and Stratigraphy," 2nd Edition, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1995.
- [23] R. G. Walker and A. G. Plint, "Wave- and Storm-Dominated Shallow Marine Systems," In: R. G. Walker and N. P. James, Eds., *Facies Models-Response to Sea-Level Changes*, Geological Association of Canada, Newfoundland, 1992, pp. 219-238.
- [24] H. Posamentier and P. R. Vail, "Eustatic Controls on Clastic Deposition II—Sequence and Systems Tract Models," In: C. Wilgus, B. S. Hastings, C. G. Kendall, H. W. Posamentier, C. A. Ross and J. C. Van Wagoner, Eds., *Sea Level Changes: An Integrated Approach*, Vol. 42, SEPM Special Publication, 1988, pp. 125-154.
- [25] M. Shaffer, "Minimum Viable Populations: Coping with Uncertainty," In: M. E. Soule, Ed., Viable Populations for Conservation, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987, pp. 69-86. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511623400.006
- [26] C. D. Winker, "Cenozoic Shelf Margins, Northwestern Gulf of Mexico," *Gulf Coast Association of Geological Societies*, Vol. 32, 1982, pp. 427-448.
- [27] P. Stacher, "Present Understanding of the Niger Delta Hydrocarbon Habitat," In: M. N. Oti and G. Postma, Eds., *Geology of Deltas*, A. A. Balkema, Rotterdam, 1995, pp. 257-267.
- [28] W. Galloway, "Genetic Stratigraphic Sequences in Basin Analysis I: Architecture and Genesis of Flooding Surface Bounded Depositional Units," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 73, No. 2, 1989, pp. 125-142.