Peer

A new species of *Pristimantis* (Anura: Strabomantidae) from white-sand forests of central Amazonia, Brazil

Alexander Tamanini Mônico¹, Miquéias Ferrão², Jiří Moravec³, Antoine Fouquet⁴ and Albertina P. Lima¹

¹ Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia (Ecologia), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

² Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, United States of America

³ Department of Zoology, National Museum, Cirkusová, Prague, Czech Republic

⁴ Laboratoire Evolution et Diversité Biologique, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France

ABSTRACT

The white-sand ecosystems in the Solimões-Negro Interfluve are among the less studied in Amazonia. Recent herpetological surveys conducted west of Manaus, Brazil (central Amazonia) indicate that white-sand forests host a unique anuran fauna comprising habitat specialized and endemic species. In the present study we describe a new species of rain frog belonging to the *Pristimantis unistrigatus* species group from the whitesand forest locally called "*campinarana*" (thin-trunked forests with canopy height below 20 m). The new species is phylogenetically close to rain frogs from western Amazonian lowlands (*P. delius, P. librarius, P. matidiktyo* and *P. ockendeni*). It differs from its closest relatives mainly by its size (male SVL of 17.3–20.1 mm, n = 16; female SVL of 23.2–26.5 mm, n = 6), presence of tympanum, tarsal tubercles and dentigerous processes of vomers, its translucent groin without bright colored blotches or marks, and by its advertisement call (composed of 5–10 notes, call duration of 550–1,061 ms, dominant frequency of 3,295–3,919 Hz). Like other anuran species recently discovered in the white-sand forests west of Manaus, the new species seems to be restricted to this peculiar ecosystem.

Subjects Biodiversity, Taxonomy, Zoology

Keywords Amphibia, Advertisement call, Campinarana, Integrative taxonomy, Phylogeny, *Pristimantis unistrigatus* species group

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Pristimantis* Jiménez de la Espada, 1870 is the most species-rich among vertebrates. Nevertheless, its total species diversity remains highly underestimated as suggested by the numerous new species described each year (*e.g.*, *De Oliveira et al.*, 2020; *Ron et al.*, 2020; *Gete, Buckely & Ron, 2021*; *Fouquet et al.*, 2022a; *Ortega, Brito & Ron, 2022*; *Roberto et al.*, 2022). Since 2020 around 60 species of *Pristimantis* were described (*Frost, 2023*). The Andes is the most species-rich region for *Pristimantis* (*Pinto-Sanchez et al.*, 2012), hosting more than 60% of the nominal species of the genus (*Meza-Joya & Torres, 2015*). Many other species are distributed throughout Amazonia, and the rest occurs in the Cerrado, Atlantic Forest, Pantepui and in Trans-Andean forest areas (*Frost, 2023*).

Submitted 20 December 2022 Accepted 20 April 2023 Published 6 June 2023

Corresponding author Alexander Tamanini Mônico, alexandermonico@hotmail.com

Academic editor John Measey

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 27

DOI 10.7717/peerj.15399

Copyright 2023 Mônico et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

How to cite this article Mônico AT, Ferrão M, Moravec Jí, Fouquet A, Lima AP. 2023. A new species of *Pristimantis* (Anura: Strabomantidae) from white-sand forests of central Amazonia, Brazil. *PeerJ* 11:e15399 http://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.15399 This species-richness disparity is at least partially explained by the evolutionary history of the genus that started to diversify in the Andes and dispersed many times to Amazonian lowlands (*Mendoza et al., 2015*) with subsequent dispersals back to the Andes (*Fouquet et al., 2022b*). However, such disparity is also a consequence of the large amount of poorly sampled areas in Amazonia, where many undescribed species of *Pristimantis* occur (*e.g., Vacher et al., 2020; Fouquet et al., 2022a*). Finally, the low number of taxonomists working in lowland Amazonia also contributes to this knowledge gap (*Melo-Sampaio, Ferrão & Moraes, 2021*).

Amazonia is composed mostly of tropical ombrophilous rainforests (*Veloso, Rangel Filho & Lima, 1991*), but many other types of habitats exist due to variation in edaphic, hydrological and climatic conditions (*Prance, 1979; Terborgh & Andresen, 1998*), notably the white-sand ecosystem (WSE). It is characterized by grasslands, shrublands or forests with low-stature canopies on nutrient-poor sandy soils (*Eiten, 1978*). In central Amazonia, the WSE can be subdivided in two main types (*Adeney et al., 2016*): *campina* (open grasslands and shrublands with canopy height below 10 m) and *campinarana* (closedcanopy, thin-trunked forests with canopy height below 20 m). Although still poorly documented (*Adeney et al., 2016*), recent studies have indicated that WSE harbors a high proportion of specialized species for several biological groups, like birds (*e.g., Almeida, 2010; Borges et al., 2016; Matos et al., 2016; Capurucho et al., 2020*), snakes (*e.g., Fraga et al., 2018*) and plants (*e.g., Fine & Baraloto, 2016; Vicentini, 2016; Guevara et al., 2016; Costa et al., 2020*). For anurans, data collection is still incipient, albeit WSE specialization has been hypothesized for three hylid frogs (*Carvalho et al., 2018; Ferrão et al., 2019; Ferrão et al., 2022*).

Anuran sampling in Amazonia is concentrated near urban areas, navigable rivers, roads and highways (*Jenkins et al., 2015*; see map in *Vacher et al., 2020*). This is especially true for the Negro-Solimões Interfluve (NSI), a region with the largest amount of WSE (see *Adeney et al., 2016*) and only a few documented anuran assemblages (*Neckel-Oliveira & Gordo, 2004*; *Menin et al., 2017*; *Moraes et al., 2022a*; *Moraes et al., 2022b*). Even regions geographically close to the largest city in Brazilian Amazonia (Manaus) and regions easy to access remain poorly sampled and studied. However, this picture is changing. The Reserva do Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro (RDS Rio Negro)—a NSI reserve covered mainly by a mosaic of dense forests and WSE patches lying ca. 100 km west of Manaus—has recently become a research center for biodiversity studies focussing on this ecosystem. The first attempt to document the anuran communities of the RDS Rio Negro has notably resulted in the rediscovery of an overlooked spiny-backed treefrog (*Ferrão et al., 2019*) and the description of a new snouted treefrog (*Ferrão et al., 2022*).

Herpetological surveys conducted in the RDS Rio Negro and nearby WSE patches in 2018 and 2020 resulted in the discovery of an unknown *Pristimantis* species associated with *campinarana*. The external morphology and the advertisement call of this species indicated that it represents an unnamed species and preliminary molecular comparisons confirmed this assumption. Herein, we use an integrative taxonomy approach and describe this new species of *Pristimantis* as well as its phylogenetic position, geographic distribution and natural history.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Sampling

Twenty-two adults and two juveniles of the new species were manually collected in two localities in the municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil. Among them, 20 specimens were collected at the Ramal Nova Esperança, Km 20 of the AM-070 Highway (3°09'14.5 "S, 60°13'59.4"W, 83 m elevation) on 13 December 2020, and four specimens at the RDS Rio Negro (3°03'42.0"S, 60°45'02.1"W, 61 m elevation) on 14 September 2018. Specimens were anaesthetized and killed with topic 5% lidocaine. Muscle or liver tissue was preserved in 100% ethanol for posterior genetic analysis, whereas the specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and subsequently preserved in 70% ethanol. Specimens were sexed by the presence of vocal slits exclusively present in males and internally by the condition of the gonads. All males used in the type series were found calling, which ensures their reproductive status as adults, while gravid females (n = 4) and specimens with large SVL were also considered adults. Vouchers were deposited in the herpetological collection of the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia—INPA-H (Manaus, Brazil) and Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi-MPEG (Belém, Brazil). Protocols of collection and animal care follow the Brazilian Federal Council for Biology resolution number 148/2012 (Conselho Federal de Biologia—CFBio, 2012) and the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals of the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia-CEUA-INPA (Process n° 35/2020, SEI 01280.001134/2020-63). Specimens were collected under collection permit number 73647-3 issued by the Centro Nacional de Pesquisa e Conservação de Répteis e Anfíbios of the Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade-ICMBio.

Advertisement calls of nine males of the new species were recorded at the Ramal Nova Esperança on 13 December 2020. Recordings were made with a Sennheiser K6/ME66 unidirectional microphone (Sennheiser, Germany) coupled to a digital recorder Marantz PMD660 (Marantz, Japan). Air temperatures (24.6–26.2 °C) and humidity (86–93%) during call recording were measured with a thermohygrometer Incoterm 7663.02.0.00. Each calling male was recorded for three minutes using frequency rate of 44 kHz and 16 bits of resolution in the mono pattern. Recordings were deposited in the Fonoteca Neotropical Jacques Vielliard (FNJV) of the Universidade de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil under access number FNJV 59105–59115.

Sequencing and phylogenetic analyses

Genomic DNA was extracted from tissues of five specimens from Ramal Nova Esperança and three from RDS Rio Negro using the kit PureLink[™] Genomic DNA (Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Fragments of two mitochondrial (16S rRNA and Cytochrome C Oxidase sub-unit 1—COI) and a nuclear gene (Recombination Activating 1—RAG1) were amplified through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) following the protocol described in *Mônico et al. (2022)*. The 16S was amplified using primers 16Sar (5'-CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT-3') and 16Sbr (5'-CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGT-3') (*Palumbi, 1996*), COI using primers Chmf4f (5'-TYTCWACWAAYCAYAAAGAYATCGG-3') and Chmr4r (5'-ACYTCRGGRTGRCCRAARAATCA-3') (*Che et al., 2012*) and

RAG1 using primers R182 (5'-GCCATAACTGCTGGAGCATYAT-3') and R270 (5'-AGYAGATGTTGCCTGGGTCTTC-3') (*Heinicke, Duellman & Hedges, 2007*). Amplicons were sequenced in an ABI PRISMI 3130XL (Thermo Fisher) using the forward and reverse primers of each gene. Sequences were manually edited with Geneious Pro 5.4.6 (Biomatters Ltd.), then subjected to BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi; *Altschul et al., 1997*) to compare with sequences of other *Pristimantis*. Newly generated sequences were deposited in GenBank. Accession numbers are available in Appendix 1.

According to BLAST, sequences of the new species were highly similar to species currently assigned to the *Pristimantis unistrigatus* species group. To infer the phylogenetic relationships among the new species and its close relatives, newly generated sequences were inserted into a data set retrieved from GenBank containing selected homologous sequences (Appendix 1). Sequence selection in GenBank was focused on specimens of the *P. unistrigatus* species group from the Andes, Pantepui and Amazonian lowlands. Additionally, sequences of two species of the genus *Oreobates* were retrieved to root the tree. In total, 265 sequences (16S = 136; COI = 70; RAG1 = 59) corresponding to 137 specimens were selected. We aligned sequences of each gene using MAFFT online server (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) with default parameters, except by the use of the E-INS-i strategy for the 16S and G-INS-i strategy for protein-coding genes (*Katoh & Standley, 2013*). The final matrix was concatenated in Mesquite (*Maddison & Maddison, 2021*) and composed of 137 terminals with 1,827 bp (16S = 561 pb; COI = 636 pb; RAG1 = 630 pb).

Best-fit evolutionary models and partition schemes were determined through ModelFinder (*Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017*) using seven initial partitions: one for the 16S and one for each codon of protein-coding genes. The best evolutionary models for partitions in the concatenated matrix were: TIM2 + F + R5 for 16S, GTR + F + I +G4 for COI 1st and RAG 3rd codons, HKY + F +G4 for COI 2nd, RAG 1st and 2nd codons, and GTR + F +ASC + G4 for COI 3rd position. Phylogenetic relationships were reconstructed using Maximum Likelihood inference (ML). The ML tree was inferred with IQTREE (*Nguyen et al., 2015*) as implemented in the webserver (http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at; *Trifinopoulos et al., 2016*). Clade support was estimated with 10,000 ultrafast bootstrap replications (*Hoang et al., 2018*), 1,000 maximum iterations, and a minimum correlation coefficient of 0.99. We calculated pairwise genetic distances (*p*-distance and Kimura-two-parameter distance; *Kimura, 1980*) among the populations of new species and close relatives using MEGA 11 (*Tamura, Stecher & Kumar, 2021*). Genetic distances were calculated using pairwise deletion.

Morphology

Twenty five morphometric measurements were taken from 16 adult males and six adult females of the new species following *Duellman & Lehr (2009)* (eye diameter—ED, eye-nostril distance—EN, foot length—FL, interorbital distance—IOD, internarial distance—IND, head length—HL, head width—HW, snout-vent length—SVL, tibia length—TL, and tympanum diameter—TD), *Caldwell, Lima & Keller (2002)* (forearm length—FAL, hand length—HAND, snout length—SL, disc width of Finger III—WFD), *Heyer et al.*

(1990) (tarsus length—TAL, thigh length—THL, upper arm length—UAL), *Lima, Sanchez* & *Souza* (2007) (hand length from proximal edge of palmar tubercle to tip of Finger I— HANDI, Finger II—HANDII, and Finger IV—HANDIV) and *Mônico et al.* (2022) (foot length from proximal edge of outer metatarsal tubercle to tip of Toe I—FLI, Toe II—FLII, Toe III—FLIII and Toe V—FLV, and disc width of Toe IV—WTD). Measurements were taken to the nearest 0.01 mm using a Leica stereomicroscope (model S8APO) coupled to a Leica DFC295 camera, except for SVL, measured to the nearest 0.01 mm with a digital caliper. Raw data are provided in Table S1.

Format of the description and terminology of morphological characters follow *Kok* & *Kalamandeen* (2008), *Duellman* & *Lehr* (2009), and *Kok*, *Means* & *Bossuyt* (2011). Color in life was described based on photographs taken in the field, following the color catalog provided by *Köhler* (2012).

Bioacoustics

Bioacoustic variables were analyzed with Raven Pro 1.6 (*Bioacoustics Research Program*, 2014) with the following configuration: window = Blackman, Discrete Fourier Transform = 2,048 samples and 3dB filter bandwidth = 80.0 Hz. The following temporal and spectral traits were measured: call duration—CD, number of notes per call—NN, note duration—ND, inter-note interval—SBN, and minimum—LF, maximum—HF and dominant frequency—DF. Inter-call interval was not measured because it is usually affected by microclimatic conditions at the time of recording (*i.e.*, on rainy days, males call more often in a short period of time than on days without rain) and poorly informative. Dominant frequencies were measured 20dB below the peak frequency to avoid background noise interference. Call description follows the call centered approach of *Köhler et al.* (2017). Spectrogram and oscillogram were generated in R environment (*R Core Team*, 2019) through the 'seewave' package 2.0.5 (Sueur, Aubin & Simonis, 2008) using a Hanning window, 256 points of resolution (Fast Fourier Transform) and an overlap of 85%. Bioacoustic raw data are provided in Tables S2.

Nomenclatural acts

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:F8ED54F6-9E18-49BD-8178-659BDFB79C65. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

RESULTS

Phylogenetic relationships and genetic distances

Individuals of the new species show low intraspecific genetic distances between populations (16S p-distance = mean 0.2%, maximum 0.4%). The new species is nested within a strongly supported clade grouping *Pristimantis matidiktyo Ortega-Andrade & Valencia, 2012* and *P. ockendeni* (*Boulenger, 1912*) (Fig. 1, Appendix 2). This clade is sister to the clade formed by *P. delius* (*Duellman & Mendelson III, 1995*) and *P. librarius* (*Flores & Vigle, 1994*). Among the species mentioned above, mean interspecific p-distances range from 6.1 to 11.2% (Fig. 2). All these species occur in western Amazonian lowlands.

Taxonomic account

Pristimantis campinarana sp. nov.

LSID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:61E81E96-5309-4FA0-AE8A-B34636CD5616

Figures 3–6, 9.

Pristimantis ockendeni: Lima et al. (2021).

Holotype

INPA-H 44426 (field number APL 23164), adult male, collected at Ramal Nova Esperança, Km 20 of the AM-070 Highway, municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil (3°09'14.5″S, 60°13'59.4″W, 83 m elevation), on 13 December 2020 by A. T. Mônico and E. D. Koch.

Paratypes

Eighteen adult specimens collected at the same locality as the holotype on 12–19 December 2020 by A. T. Mônico, I. Y. Fernandes and E. D. Koch: twelve males INPA-H 44424, INPA-H 44427–29, INPA-H 44431–35 (field numbers APL 23162, 23165–67, 23169–72 and 23175 respectively) and MPEG 44637, MPEG 44639 and MPEG 44641 (field numbers APL 23181, 23183 and 23185, respectively); and six females INPA-H 44425, INPA-H 44436 and INPA-H 44437 (field numbers APL 23163, 23176 and 23177, respectively) and MPEG 44636, MPEG 44638 and MPEG 44640 (field numbers APL 23179, 23182 and 23184, respectively), and three adult males collected at Reserva do Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro, municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil(3°03'42.0"S, 60°45'02.1"W, 61 m elevation): MPEG 44634–35 and INPA-H 44439 (field numbers APL 22250–52, respectively), on 14 September 2018 by M. Ferrão, A. S. Ferreira and J. Moravec.

Referred material

Four specimens collected in the municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil. One juvenile (INPA-H 44430, field number APL 23168), same data as the holotype. One juvenile (INPA-H 44440, field number APL 22253) and one adult male (INPA-H 44441, field number APL 22254), same data as the paratype INPA-H 44439. One adult male (INPA-H 44438, field number ATM 013) collected at the surroundings of Reserva do Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro (3°06′36.5″S, 60°44′23.5″W), on 15 October 2022 by A. T. Mônico and I. Y. Fernandes. Two females (INPA-H 44698 and INPA-H 44699, field number ATM 49 and ATM 50, respectively) collected at Reserva do Desenvolvimento Peer



Figure 1 Part of the phylogenetic tree showing the position of *Pristimantis campinarana* **sp. nov.** Maximum likelihood tree inferred with 16S, COI and RAG1. Non-parametric ultrafast bootstrap support is shown near the nodes. The species name is preceded by the specimen voucher number. The full phylogenetic tree is presented in Appendix 2.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-1

Sustentável do Rio Negro (2°55′16.6″S, 60°49′18.3″W), on 11 and 12 January 2023 by A. T. Mônico, I. Y. Fernandes, E. D. Koch, B. C. Martins, S. Dantas and A. P. Lima.

Diagnosis

This new species is characterized by the following combination of characters: (1) dorsal skin shagreen; (2) tympanum visible, tympanic membrane poorly differentiated,



Figure 2 Genetic distances between *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. and most closely related species (in percentage and based on 554 bp of 16S). Mean interspecific distances are shown above or below arrows; *p*-distances are presented in bold numbers and followed by Kimura-2-parameters distance. Intraspecific p-distance is shown inside the circles. Photographs: S.R. Ron, *PUC Ecuador*, 2021 (*P. delius*, *P. librarius* and *P. matidiktyo*) and A.T. Mônico (*P. campinarana* sp. nov. and *P. ockendeni*). Full-size in DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-2

tympanum diameter 29–40% of eye diameter and annulus partially visible externally; dark supratympanic band; (3) snout moderately long (SL 37-43% of HL), subacuminate in dorsal view and truncated in lateral view, loreal region concave, lips not flared; (4) upper eyelid tubercles present; with or without dark bar between the eyes, and one or two oblique black streaks below the eye; postrictal tubercles absent; cranial crests absent; three scapular tubercles, less visible in specimens having dark dorsal coloration; (5) nostril ovoid, slightly protuberant, directed laterally; internarial distance 73-87% of interorbital distance; (6) tongue ovoid, longer than wide; (7) dentigerous processes of vomers present, small, oblique and positioned posterior to level of choanae, one or two on each side, ill-defined; (8) males with vocal slits; vocal sac small, subgular; nuptial pads absent; (9) Finger II longer than I; finger discs small, rounded (Finger I and II) to expanded (Finger III and IV); (10) fingers without lateral fringes; (11) ulnar tubercles aligned, barely visible in fixed specimens; (12) tibia length 46-54% of SVL; (13) heel tubercle absent; tarsal tubercles aligned, small and barely visible; tarsal fold absent; (14) thenar tubercle ovoid; palmar tubercle bifid, twice the width of the thenar tubercle; (15) inner metatarsal tubercle ovoid; outer metatarsal tubercle small, longer than wide, less than 1/3 of the thenar tubercle; (16) toes III-V with lateral fringes, webbing basal between toes IV-V; Toe I smaller than Toe II, not reaching the edge of disc on Toe II; Toe V longer than Toe III; (17) belly skin weakly areolate, and ventral region of the femur areolate; (18) in life, groin translucent, without brightly colored blotches or marks; posterior surfaces of thighs uniformly brown; (19) in life, iris dichromatic, pale bronze upper and lower parts with dark brown vermiculation and

broad median mahogany-red stripe through pupil; (20) SVL in adult males of 17.3–20.1 mm (n = 16) and in females of 23.2–26.5 mm (n = 6); and (21) advertisement call with 5–10 notes and average call duration of 694 ± 115 ms, inter-note interval of 82.7 ± 11 ms, minimum frequency of 2,260–3,176 Hz, maximum frequency of 3,756–5,280 Hz and dominant frequency of 3,295–3,919 Hz.

Comparisons with other species

The new species is compared to all other currently recognized rain frogs of the Pristimantis unistrigatus species group occurring in Amazonian lowlands: P. aaptus (Lynch & Lescure, 1980); P. academicus (Lehr, Moravec & Gagliardi-Urrutia, 2010); P. altamazonicus (Barbour & Dunn, 1921); P. brevicrus (Andersson, 1945); P. carvalhoi (Lutz & Kloss, 1952); P. crepitaculus (Fouquet et al., 2022a; Fouquet et al., 2022b); P. croceoinguinis (Lynch, 1968); P. delius (Duellman & Mendelson III, 1995); P. diadematus (Jiménez de la Espada, 1875); P. divnae (Lehr & Von May, 2009); P. espedeus (Fouquet et al., 2013); P. eurydactylus (Hedges & Schlüter, 1992); P. grandoculis (Van Lidth de Jeude, 1904); P. guianensis (Mônico et al., 2022); P. inguinalis (Parker, 1940); P. kichwarum (Elmer & Cannatella, 2008); P. librarius (Flores & Vigle, 1994); P. luscombei (Duellman & Mendelson III, 1995); P. martiae (Lynch, 1974); P. matidiktyo (Ortega-Andrade & Valencia, 2012); P. miktos (Ortega-Andrade & Venegas, 2014); P. ockendeni (Boulenger, 1912); P. orcus (Lehr, Catenazzi & Rodríguez, 2009); P. variabilis (Lynch, 1968); and P. ventrimarmoratus (Boulenger, 1912).

Pristimantis campinarana sp. nov. differs from P. aaptus, P. diadematus, P. divnae, P. espedeus and P. orcus by having smaller male SVL of 17.3-20.1 mm [SVL 22.9 mm in P. aaptus (Lynch & Lescure, 1980); 20.0–27.4 mm in P. diadematus (Duellman & Mendelson III, 1995); 22.8–23.4 mm in P. divnae (Lehr & Von May, 2009); 20.7–24.8 mm in P. espedeus (Fouquet et al., 2013), and 20.0–25.1 mm in P. orcus (Lehr, Catenazzi & Rodríguez, 2009)] and from P. academicus, P. carvalhoi, P. martiae and P. grandoculis by larger male SVL [SVL 14.9 mm in P. academicus (Lehr, Moravec & Gagliardi-Urrutia, 2010); 13.5-14.8 mm P. carvalhoi (Lynch & Lescure, 1980; Duellman & Mendelson III, 1995); 14.7–17.9 mm in P. grandoculis (Fouquet et al., 2022a); 13.2–16.8 mm in P. martiae (Lynch, 1974)]; from P. aaptus, P. altamazonicus, P. brevicrus, P. delius, P. diadematus, P. eurydactylus, P. miktos, P. ockendeni, P. orcus, and P. ventrimarmoratus by smaller female SVL of 23.2-26.5 mm [SVL 29.9-34.8 mm in P. aaptus (Lynch & Lescure, 1980); 28.4-30.1 mm in P. altamazonicus (Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017); 27.2–35.0 mm in P. brevicrus (Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017); 30.9 mm in P. delius (Duellman & Mendelson III, 1995; Duellman & Lehr, 2009); 35.4-44.5 in P. diadematus (Duellman & Lehr, 2009); 29.4 mm in P. espedeus (Fouquet et al., 2013); 33.5–35.3 mm in P. eurydactylus (Hedges & Schlüter, 1992); 26.7–29.2 mm in P. miktos (Ortega-Andrade & Venegas, 2014); 30.4–30.6 mm in P. ockendeni (Mônico et al., 2022); 32.6-36.5 mm in P. orcus (Lehr, Catenazzi & Rodríguez, 2009), and 33.3-43.8 mm in P. ventrimarmoratus (Duellman & Lehr, 2009)].

Pristimantis campinarana sp. nov. can be easily distinguished from most of the lowland species (n = 16) by presence of vocal slits in males [absent in P. altamazonicus (Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017), P. brevicrus (Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017), P. carvalhoi (Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. croceoinguinis (Lynch, 1968), P. diadematus (Duellman &

Lehr, 2009), P. divnae (Lehr & Von May, 2009), P. eurydactylus (Hedges & Schlüter, 1992), P. grandoculis (Fouquet et al., 2022a), P. miktos (Ortega-Andrade & Venegas, 2014), P. orcus (Lehr, Catenazzi & Rodríguez, 2009), and P. ventrimarmoratus (Duellman & Lehr, 2009)], presence of eyelid tubercles [absent in P. carvalhoi (Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. delius (Duellman & Mendelson III, 1995; Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. diadematus (Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. lythrodes (Lynch & Lescure, 1980), P. variabilis (Duellman & Lehr, 2009) and P. ventrimarmoratus (Duellman & Lehr, 2009)], presence of tympanum [absent in P. brevicrus (Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017), P. carvalhoi (Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. croceoinguinis (Lynch, 1968; Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. grandoculis (Fouquet et al., 2022a), P. martiae (Lynch, 1974) and P. ventrimarmoratus (Duellman & Lehr, 2009)], presence of dentigerous processes of vomers [absent in P. delius (Duellman & Mendelson III, 1995; Duellman & Lehr, 2009) and P. guianensis (Mônico et al., 2022), and by presence of tarsal tubercles in males [absent in P. diadematus (Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. librarius (Flores & Vigle, 1994), P. lythrodes (Lynch & Lescure, 1980), P. kichwarum (Elmer & Cannatella, 2008), P. martiae (Lynch, 1974; Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. matidiktyo (Ortega-Andrade & Valencia, 2012) and P. ventrimarmoratus (Duellman & Lehr, 2009)].

Furthermore, the absence of brightly colored blotches or marks in the groin distinguishes the new species from *P. aaptus* (black groin; *Lynch & Lescure*, 1980), *P. academicus* (yellow groin; Lehr, Moravec & Gagliardi-Urrutia, 2010), P. altamazonicus (red to bright orange groin with black mottling; Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017), P. brevicrus (bluish white to yellowish white groin with black mottling; Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017), P. carvalhoi (yellow to yellowish white groin; Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. crepitaculus (dark grey groin; Fouquet et al., 2022a), P. croceoinguinis (yellow or orange groin; Lynch, 1968; Elmer & Cannatella, 2008; Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. diadematus (bluish white, yellowish tan or pink groin; Duellman & Lehr, 2009), P. divnae (yellow groin with brown marks; Lehr & Von May, 2009), P. espedeus (red-orange groin; Fouquet et al., 2013), P. eurydactylus (pale tan groin with dark brown vertical or diagonal bars; Hedges & Schlüter, 1992), P. grandoculis (dark grey groin; Fouquet et al., 2022a), P. inguinalis (bright yellow groin; Fouquet et al., 2013), P. librarius (reddish orange paler groin; Flores & Vigle, 1994; Elmer & Cannatella, 2008), P. lythrodes (black with yellowish white groin; Lynch & Lescure, 1980), P. martiae (pale brown groin; Lynch, 1974), P. matidiktyo (pale yellowish white groin; Ortega-Andrade & Valencia, 2012), P. miktos (yellowish-tan groin; Ortega-Andrade & Venegas, 2014), and P. orcus (black groin with white or whitish blue blotches; Lehr, Catenazzi & Rodríguez, 2009). Moreover, dichromatic iris differs P. campinarana sp. nov. from the species having monochromatic iris: P. academicus (golden to bronze iris; Lehr, Moravec & Gagliardi-Urrutia, 2010); P. altamazonicus (coppery red iris; Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017); P. brevicrus (coopery red to silver iris; Ortega-Andrade et al., 2017); P. carvalhoi (pale gray iris; Duellman & Lehr, 2009); P. croceoinguinis (gray to dull bronze iris; Lynch, 1968; Duellman & Lehr, 2009); P. divnae (silver iris; Lehr & Von May, 2009; P. lythrodes (grayish brown iris; Duellman & Lehr, 2009); P. miktos (deep orange iris; Ortega-Andrade & Venegas, 2014); P. orcus (dark gray to gold with cupper tint; Lehr, Catenazzi & Rodríguez, 2009); in P. ventrimarmoratus (pale bronze iris; Duellman & Lehr, 2009).

The advertisement call of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. is relatively similar with the calls of *P. espedeus*, P. *guianensis* and *P. ockendeni*. Nevertheless, calls of the new species differ in temporal and spectral characteristics [*P. campinarana* sp. nov.: call duration $694 \pm 115 \text{ ms} (550-1061 \text{ ms})$, inter-note interval $82.7 \pm 11.9 \text{ ms} (64-109 \text{ ms})$, and dominant frequency $3,587 \pm 204 \text{ Hz} (3,295-3,919 \text{ Hz})$ from *P. espedeus* (call duration 330 ms (240–500 ms), dominant frequency 2,700 Hz (2,680-2,840 Hz); *Fouquet et al.*, *2013*), *P. guianensis* (call duration $232 \pm 42 \text{ ms} (158-371 \text{ ms})$, inter-note interval $44 \pm 5 \text{ ms} (14-56 \text{ ms})$; *Mônico et al.*, *2022*) and *P. ockendeni* (dominant frequency $2,864 \pm 202 \text{ Hz} (2,519-3,143 \text{ Hz})$; *Mônico et al.*, *2022*)]. Furthermore, the advertisement call of *P. campinarana* sp. nov. easily differs from *P. inguinalis* and *P. orcus* by having multi-noted calls (single note in both mentioned species: *Fouquet et al.*, *2013*; *López-Rojas et al.*, *2013*).

Description of holotype

INPA-H 44426 (field number APL 23164). Morphometric measurements are presented in Table 1. An adult male (Fig. 3), SVL 19.1 mm; head slightly longer than wide (HL 103% of HW); head width 35.9% of SVL; head length 36.9% of SVL; cranial crest absent. Snout moderately long(SL 131% and 106% of EN and ED, respectively), subacuminate in dorsal view (Fig. 3A) and moderately truncated in lateral view (Fig. 3C); nostril ovoid, slightly protuberant, directed dorsolaterally; IND 87.3% of IOD; internarial region almost straight; canthus rostralis almost straight in dorsal view, slightly angular in profile; loreal region concave; lips not flared; one small tubercle on upper eyelid; interorbital region straight, IOD 32.1% of HW; eyes large (ED/TD = 2.7), pupil horizontally elliptical; supratympanic fold slightly distinct, extending from posterior margin of eyelid angling posteroventrally behind tympanic annulus; tympanum visible and rounded, TD 36.5% of ED; tympanic membrane poorly prominent, directed laterally; tympanic annulus poorly distinct, obscured dorsally by the supratympanic fold; one small postrictal tubercle, poorly visible; choanae of moderate sized, rounded to ovoid, not concealed by palatal sheath of maxilla; dentigerous processes of vomers present, with two ill-defined teeth, small, oblique and positioned posterior to level of choanae; tongue ovoid, longer than wide; short vocal slits, located in posterior half of mouth floor between tongue and margin of jaw; vocal sac small, simple and subgular.

Forearm shorter than hand (FAL 81.2% of HAND), notched posteriorly, nearly 78% free; three small ulnar tubercles ill-defined and aligned, almost indistinct after preservation; relative length of fingers I <II <IV <III (Fig. 3D); discs small and rounded on fingers I and II, expanded on fingers III and IV, with circumferential grooves; thenar tubercle poorly distinct, ovoid; palmar tubercle distinct, bifid; subarticular tubercles ill-defined, most prominent on fingers III and IV, rounded in dorsal and lateral view; small supernumerary tubercles present, but poorly visible; ventral pads well-defined on fingers III and IV.

Hindlimbs slender; tibia length 49% of SVL; heel without tubercles; tarsus with a row of small, poorly defined tubercles; tarsal fold absent; foot length 41% of SVL; relative length of toes I <II <III <V <IV (Toe III reaches the second subarticular tubercle of the Toe IV; Fig. 3E); toes with lateral fringes, more developed on toes III–V, webbing basal between toes IV–V; discs small and rounded on toes I and II, expanded on toes III–V; inner



Figure 3 Preserved holotype (INPA-H 44426) of *Pristimantis campinarana* **sp. nov.** (A) Dorsal and (B) ventral views of body, (C) lateral view of head, (D) ventral view of hand, (E) ventral view of foot. Photographs: A.T. Mônico.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-3

metatarsal tubercle large and ovoid, more than two times the size of ovoid outer metatarsal tubercle; subarticular tubercles large, protuberant, single, round on toes I–III and elliptical on toes IV and V; small supernumerary tubercles more visible on toes II-IV; ventral pads well-defined on toes III–V.

Dorsal skin shagreen (Fig. 3A), with longitudinal stripes and an irregular dorsolateral fold composed of spaced tubercles; small tubercles on scapular region; upper eyelid shagreen, with small tubercles; skin on flanks and chest smooth; skin on belly slightly areolate; upper and posterior surfaces of hindlimbs smooth, with small flat tubercles on thigh; dorsolateral folds absent; pectoral and discoidal folds not visible; cloaca protuberant, cloacal region without tubercles.

In life, dorsum yellow ocher (color 14 by *Köhler, 2012*) with dark brown mid-dorsal stripe running from snout to cloaca. Canthal stripe present, black, running from the tip of snout to anterior margin of eyelid. Dorsolateral stripe present, irregular, formed by a series of small, dark brown dots and blotches, running from posterior eye to cloacal region (Fig. 4A). Upper lip with dark brown subocular and dark brown supratympanic bar. Dark brown transversal bars on forearms; light brown transversal bars on thigh and tibia. Posterior surfaces of thigs uniformly brown. Groin white, translucent, with sparse brown melanophores. Throat, chest, belly and ventral surfaces of legs white, translucent, densely covered by tiny brown melanophores (Fig. 4B). Iris pale bronze with dark brown



Figure 4 Coloration in life of the holotype (INPA-H 44426, SVL 19.1 mm) of *Pristimantis* campinarana sp. nov. (A) Dorsolateral and (B) ventral views of body. Photographs: A.T. Mônico. Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-4

vermiculation and broad horizontal median mahogany-red (color 34 by *Köhler*, 2012) stripe through pupil.

In alcohol, color pattern faded (Fig. 3), upper lip with five dark bars (Fig. 3C), bars on dorsal surfaces of forearms, thighs and tibias dark brown; venter whitish with dense dark brown melanophores.

Variation in the type series

SVL ranges from 17.3 to 20.1 mm in males (n = 16) and from 23.2 to 26.5 mm in females (n = 6) (Table 1). *Canthus rostralis* almost straight in dorsal view (*e.g.*, Fig. 3B, holotype) to slightly curved in some individuals (*e.g.*, Figs. 5E and 5F). Three to four ulnar tubercles are present in males (barely visible in 63% of them), ulnar tubercles absent in females. Dorsal skin texture varies from shagreen to granular, small tubercles are present or absent (character of skin texture probably depends on individual activity at the moment of sampling; see *Guayasamin et al.*, 2015; *Kok et al.*, 2018).

In preservative, three types of basic dorsal color patterns can be detected in the type series of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov.: dorsum with irregular dark brown markings (68%; Figs. 5A, 5D and 5E), dorsal coloration sharply outlined against the flanks (9%; Fig. 5B), dorsum with dark brown stripes as in the holotype (23%; Figs. 5C and 5F). In addition, a dark brown interorbital bar is present in 73% of the specimens (Figs. 5A, 5D and 5E); a dark brown W-shaped mark is presented on the scapular region of 18% of the individuals, in some of them more conspicuous (Figs. 5A and 5D) than in others (Figs. 5D and 5E). Dark brown bars and blotches are present on the upper lip of all type specimens, dark and distinct in 73% of the specimens, faded or less conspicuous in the others. Obvious transversal dark brown bars are present on the arms and hands of 63% of the individuals, less conspicuous or absent in 23% and 14% of the specimens, respectively. Distinct transversal dark bars are present on the thigh and tibia of 32% of the specimens (Figs. 5C and 5F), poorly conspicuous in 54% (Fig. 5A) and absent in 14% of the individuals (Fig. 5B). Dark supratympanic stripe present in all specimens. Ventral surface is whitish cream to yellowish white, with a moderate amount of melanophores in



Figure 5 Preserved specimens of *Pristimantis campinarana* **sp. nov.** Dorsal view: (A) MPEG 44639, (B) MPEG 44641, (C) INPA-H 44424, (D) INPA-H 44436, (E) INPA-H 44437 and (F) MPEG 44638. Ventral view: (G) MPEG 44641, (H) INPA-H 44424, and (I) MPEG 44638. Males (A–C, G–H). Females (D–F, I). Photographs: A.T. Mônico.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-5

59% of individuals (Fig. 5I), small and large amount in 32% (Fig. 5G) and 9% (Fig. 5H) of individuals, respectively.

In life, dorsal background coloration is widely variable, from yellow ocher (color 14 by *Köhler, 2012*; Figs. 6A, 6D and 6J) and light chrome orange (color 76 by *Köhler, 2012*; Figs. 6D, 6G and 6J) to antique brown (color 24 by *Köhler, 2012*; Figs. 6C, 6G, 6I and 6L). Dorsolateral stripe present in some individuals (Figs. 6H and 6I, 6L), but absent in others (Figs. 6A–6G, 6J–6K). Canthal stripe present in some individuals (Figs. 6D, 6H, 6I and 6L), but absent in others (Figs. 6A–6G, 6J–6K). Canthal stripe present in some individuals (Figs. 6D, 6H, 6I and 6L), but absent in others (Figs. 6A–6C, 6E–6G, 6J–6K). The iris of most specimens is similar to that of the holotype, but some specimens show a small portion of the lower iris pale bronze to gold (Figs. 6A, 6C, 6I and 6K). At the day, the groin and ventral surface are platt's-payne's-gray (color 293 by *Köhler, 2012*) with brown melanophores (Fig. 5B); at night, when melanophores are less expanded, the groin (Fig. 7A) and ventral surface (Fig. 7B) becomes lighter, almost white.



 Figure 6
 Paratypes of Pristimantis campinarana sp. nov. in life. Males: (A) INPA-H 44427 (SVL 19.5

 mm), (B) INPA-H 44429 (SVL 18.4 mm), (C) INPA-H 44435 (SVL 18.9 mm), (D) INPA-H 44433 (SVL 18.7 mm), (E) INPA-H 44432 (SVL 18.6 mm), (F) INPA-H 44431 (SVL 19.8 mm), (G) INPA-H 44428 (SVL 17.3 mm), (H) MPEG 44641 (SVL 19.1 mm) and (I) MPEG 44637 (SVL 19.2 mm). Females: (J) INPA-H 44425 (SVL 24.4 mm), (K) INPA-H 44437 (SVL 23.5 mm) and (L) MPEG 44638 (SVL 24.7 mm).

 Full-size IDOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-6

Advertisement call

The advertisement call of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. (n = 9 males) is composed of 5–10 notes (n = 26 calls)—most commonly of 6–8 notes (n = 21)—and has a call duration of 694 ± 115 ms (550–1,061 ms). Notes are tonal, have duration of 26.5 ± 7.1 ms (13.2–39.8 ms) and an inter-note interval of 82.7 ± 11.9 ms (64.4–109.4 ms). Calls are emitted with a minimum frequency of 2,853 ± 141 Hz (2,260–3,176 Hz), a maximum frequency of 4,490 ± 413 Hz (3,756–5,280 Hz) and a dominant frequency of 3,587 ± 204 Hz (3,295–3,919 Hz) (Fig. 8). Temporal and spectral traits summarized according to individual call arrangement are presented in Table 2.



Figure 7 Nocturnal coloration of the groin and ventral surface of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. in life. (A) Lateral and (B) ventral view of the female (INPA-H 44699, SVL 24.4 mm) at Reserva do Desenvolvimento Sustentável do Rio Negro, municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil. Photographs: A.T. Mônico.



Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-7

Figure 8 Advertisement call of the holotype (INPA-H 44426, FNJV 59105) of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. recorded at the Ramal Nova Esperança, municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil. Air temperature 25.9°C.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-8

Etymology

The specific epithet '*campinarana*' is used as a noun in apposition and refers to the word in Portuguese that defines the type of forest that the new species occupies: the white-sand forest *campinarana*.

Distribution, natural history and conservation

Currently, *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. is known only from primary and slightly anthropized forests at two localities in the municipality of Iranduba, state of Amazonas, Brazil (Fig. 9). All individuals were recorded in WSE characterized as *campinarana* (a forest



Figure 9 Geographic distribution of *Pristimantis campinarana* **sp. nov.** Symbols represent the type (star) and paratype (circle) localities. Country acronyms: BRA, Brazil; COL, Colombia; GUY, Guyana; PER, Peru; and VEN, Venezuela.

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-9

with canopy height below 20 m; Fig. 10A), where the species is locally abundant. However, none was found in *campina*, a white-sand forest with canopy height below 10 m and large patches of exposed white sandy soils.

Pristimantis campinarana sp. nov. is a crepuscular and nocturnal species, with peak activity at crepuscule. Its breeding activity takes place in the rainy season (November to February). In the dry season, we found males sheltering among the leaves of terrestrial bromeliads of the genus *Guzmania* (Fig. 10B). In the rainy season, males start calling at dusk (~18:00 h) and are very active until ~20:00 h. Then their activity decrease and end usually around 22:00 h. In rainy days, the vocalization is sustained throughout the night. Males were observed calling perched on the vegetation (Fig. 10C) usually 1 m above the ground, rarely above 3 m. Calling males aggregate in groups of up to ten individuals, separated from each other by ~4–5 m, but it is not uncommon to observe smaller groups of three to four calling individuals that are spatially more spaced. The amplexus (n = 3) is axillary (Fig. 10D). Clutches were not found *in situ*, but females produce about 14–17 large oocytes (n = 4). The new species occurs in sympatry with a candidate species closely related to *Pristimantis orcus* (AT Mônico, 2022, unpublished data). We do not have sufficient data to categorize the new species following the criteria of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), it should be thus considered Data Deficient (DD).

DISCUSSION

The new species described herein is currently known only from white-sand forests from the Negro-Solimões interfluve. In Amazonia most of WSE is found within this interfluve, peppered within a matrix of dense forest (*Adeney et al., 2016*). Although long-term

Morphometric	Pristimantis campinarana sp. nov.						
measurements	Holotype	Males [*] $(n = 16)$	Females $(n = 6)$				
SVL	19.1	$18.9 \pm 0.7 \ (17.3 - 20.1)$	$24.5 \pm 1.2 \ (23.2 - 26.5)$				
HW	6.8	$6.7 \pm 0.3 \ (6.1 - 7.0)$	$8.8 \pm 0.6 \ (8.0 - 9.8)$				
HL	7.0	$7.1 \pm 0.2 \ (6.6-7.3)$	$9.2\pm 0.5\;(8.510.1)$				
SL	2.9	$2.8 \pm 0.1 \ (2.6 - 3.1)$	$3.6 \pm 0.2 \ (3.2 - 3.9)$				
IND	1.9	$1.8 \pm 0.1 \; (1.7 - 1.9)$	$2.3 \pm 0.2 \ (2.0 - 2.6)$				
EN	2.2	$2.2 \pm 0.1 \ (2.0-2.4)$	$2.8 \pm 0.3 \ (2.7 - 3.2)$				
IOD	2.2	$2.3 \pm 0.1 \ (2.1 - 2.4)$	$2.9 \pm 0.1 \ (2.7 - 3.1)$				
ED	2.7	$2.8 \pm 0.1 \ (2.6 - 3.0)$	$3.2 \pm 0.1 \ (3.0 - 3.3)$				
TD	0.9	$0.9 \pm 0.1 \; (0.8 1.0)$	$1.2 \pm 0.1 \; (1.2 - 1.4)$				
UAL	5.2	5.0 ± 0.3 (4.4–5.4)	$6.7 \pm 0.3 \ (6.3 - 7.0)$				
FAL	4.3	$4.2 \pm 0.2 \ (3.8 - 4.7)$	$5.8 \pm 0.4 \ (5.4 - 6.3)$				
HAND	5.2	$4.8 \pm 0.2 \ (4.6 - 5.3)$	$6.4 \pm 0.4 \ (6.0-7.3)$				
HANDI	2.6	$2.5 \pm 0.2 \ (2.2-2.8)$	$3.4 \pm 0.3 \ (3.2 - 3.9)$				
HANDII	3.4	3.3 ± 0.2 (3.0–3.6)	$4.2 \pm 0.4 \ (3.8 - 4.9)$				
HANDIV	4.2	$4.1 \pm 0.2 \ (3.7 - 4.3)$	$5.3 \pm 0.4 \ (4.9-6.2)$				
WFD	0.8	$0.8 \pm 0.1 \; (0.7 1.0)$	$1.1 \pm 0.1 \; (0.9 - 1.2)$				
THL	9.4	$9.4 \pm 0.2 \ (9.1 - 9.7)$	$11.7 \pm 0.8 \; (11.2 - 13.2)$				
TL	9.7	$9.8 \pm 0.3 \ (9.3 - 10.4)$	$12.5 \pm 0.4 \ (11.8 - 13.7)$				
TAL	4.9	$4.9 \pm 0.3 \ (4.6 - 5.5)$	$6.4 \pm 0.4 \; (5.9 7.1)$				
FL	7.9	7.8 ± 0.3 (7.4–8.3)	$10.0 \pm 0.7 \ (9.5 - 11.1)$				
FLI	2.2	$2.3 \pm 0.2 \ (2.1 - 2.8)$	$3.2 \pm 0.2 \ (3.0 - 3.6)$				
FLII	3.4	$3.3 \pm 0.2 \ (3.1 - 3.7)$	$4.5 \pm 0.3 \; (4.2 - 4.9)$				
FLIII	5.5	5.2 ± 0.3 (4.7–5.6)	$6.8\pm 0.5~(6.47.6)$				
FLV	6.4	$6.3 \pm 0.3 \ (6.0-7.1)$	$8.2\pm 0.6~(7.69.2)$				
WTD	0.9	$0.8 \pm 0.1 \ (0.7 - 1.0)$	$1.2 \pm 0.1 (1.0 - 1.4)$				

Table 1Morphometric measurements in millimeters of adult type specimens of Pristimantis camp-
inarana sp. nov. Values express mean \pm standard deviation, and range.

Notes.

*It includes the holotype's measurements.

herpetological surveys were conducted in dense ombrophilous forests east of Negro River and in the Purus-Madeira Interfluve, the new species was never found there. Therefore, we assume that the new species is endemic of the Negro-Solimões interfluve and only found in WSE. This distributional pattern is possibly similar to the ones of other anuran species (*Trachycephalus venezolanus* [Mertens, 1950], *Osteocephalus vilarsi* [Melin, 1941] and *Scinax albertinae Ferrão et al.*, 2022) recently discovered from the Negro-Solimões interfluve (*Ferrão et al.*, 2019; *Ferrão et al.*, 2022). Moreover, additional undescribed species (*e.g.*, species of *Adenomera*; M Ferrão, 2022, unpublished data; *Phyllomedusa* AP Lima, 2022, unpublished data; *Pristimantis* aff. *orcus*, AT Mônico, 2022, unpublished data) are also found associated to WSE, thus totaling at least seven frog species sharing this habitat specialization and distribution pattern endemic to the Negro-Solimões interfluve. In fact, the Jaú region was recently defined as an additional independent area of endemism in Amazonia due to the coocurrence of six bird species (*Borges & Da Silva, 2012*). Our

Call arrangement		CD	ND	INI	LF	HF	DF
	mean	605	35	92	2,941	4,546	3,553
5 potes $(n-2)$	SD	12	5	7	332	2.8	274
$5 \operatorname{Hotes}(n-2)$	min	596	31	98	2,706	4,544	3,359
	max	613	38	87	3,176	4,548	3,747
	mean	624	24	87	2,888	4,528	3,637
6 potes(n-9)	SD	47	9	12	139	503	267
$0 \operatorname{Hotes}(n-2)$	min	550	13	72	2,682	3,785	3,316
	max	691	39	109	3,064	5,241	3,919
7 notes $(n = 8)$	mean	679	30	77	2,778	4,321	3,486
	SD	57	5	6	95	375	176
	min	601	23	69	2,686	3,756	3,295
	max	748	40	84	2,947	4,773	3,768
8 notes $(n = 5)$	mean	749	24	79	2,832	4,397	3,630
	SD	25	2	6	126	66	78
	min	710	22	70	2,660	4,287	3,531
	max	779	27	86	2,977	4,456	3,725
9 notes $(n=1)$	_	974	23	95	2,904	5,053	3,725
10 notes $(n = 1)$	_	1,065	21	95	3,019	5,279	3,661

 Table 2
 Acoustic traits of the call of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. summarized according to call arrangements.
 Temporal and spectral traits are presented in milliseconds and Hz, respectively.

Notes.

SD, standard deviation; CD, call duration; ND, note duration; NN, number of notes per call; INI, inter-note interval; LF, minimum frequency; HF, maximum frequency; DF, dominant frequency.

finding, thus, strengthen the idea that white-sand ecosystems of the Negro-Solimões interfluve harbors a unique biodiversity that deserves effective protection.

Pristimantis campinarana is nested within a clade formed by species otherwise restricted to western Amazonia lowlands: *P. matidiktyo, P. ockendeni, P. delius* and *P. librarius.* This nested position of *P. campinarana* suggests that the speciation occurred after a dispersal from the west. A possible scenario involves historical changes in the Amazon basin drainage (*Hoorn et al., 2010; Albert, Val & Hoorn, 2018*) such as the disappearance of a riverine barrier that connected the Japurá River to Negro River (*Ruokolainen et al., 2019*), in the Jaú region, that could have favored the dispersal *P. campinarana* ancestors. Relatively recent eastward dispersals have been reported for other anuran groups, from small leaflitter toads (*i.e., Allobates caeruleodactylus* and *A. trilineatus* clades, *Réjaud et al., 2020; Ameerega, Roberts et al., 2006*) to arboreal treefrogs(*i.e., Osteocephalus taurinus* and *O. buckleyi* groups, *Ortiz et al., 2016; Pirani et al., 2019*). Both hypotheses, however, involve subsequent isolation by river and eventually speciation (*Wallace, 1852; Haffer, 1974; e.g., Ribas et al., 2012; Rojas et al., 2018*).

Despite large genetic divergence and consistent diagnosis characters, the species forming this clade remain very similar in morphology (*e.g.*, SVL of males, ventral skin texture and iris



Figure 10 Natural history and breeding aspects of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. (A) An example of "campinarana" environment inhabited by the new species at Ramal Nova Esperança. (B) Habitat of *P. campinarana* sp. nov. with terrestrial bromeliads at RDS Rio Negro, municipality of Iranduba, Amazonas, Brazil. (C) An unvouchered active calling male perched horizontally on a leave. (D) An amplectant couple (female MPEG 44640, SVL 26.52 mm; male MPEG 44641, SVL 19.15 mm) at Ramal Nova Esperança. Photographs: A.T. Mônico (A, D), J. Moravec (B) and E.D. Koch (C).

Full-size DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-10

in life) illustrating the trend in the genus of highly conserved morphology and the challenge that describing its diversity (*Padial & De la Riva, 2009; Padial et al., 2009; Waddell et al., 2018; De Oliveira et al., 2020*). This phenotypic conservatism have been discussed for other Amazonian frogs (*Gonzalez-Voyer et al., 2011; Kaefer et al., 2013; Guayasamin et al., 2015; De Oliveira et al., 2020*), as well as its implications for taxonomy (*Funk et al., 2007; Kaefer et al., 2013; Waddell et al., 2018*).

New species are being described recurrently in Amazonia, even from areas close to large urban and research centers. For example, in the last years, several new species were described from the Reserva Florestal Adolpho Ducke (Manaus, Brazil), an intensively studied area (*e.g.*, *Amazophrynella manaos Rojas-Zamora et al.*, 2014; *Atelopus manauensis Jorge, Ferrão & Lima*, 2020; *Synapturanus ajuricaba Fouquet et al.*, 2021; and *Pristimantis guianensis Mônico et al.*, 2022). The lowlands in the Negro-Solimões interfluve are also close to Manaus, and also harbor newly described species notably the discovery of a new lizard genus (*i.e.*, *Marinussaurus Peloso et al.*, 2011). This illustrates how far we are from understanding the species diversity in Amazonia particularly for small and cryptic species like the *P. unistrigatus* group (*Fouquet et al.*, 2022a; *Mônico et al.*, 2022).

CONCLUSIONS

Using morphology, bioacoustics and molecular data from three markers (16S, COI and RAG-1), we described a novel species of rain frog (genus *Pristimantis*) from an unexplored environment in the Amazonia: the white sand ecosystems. Description of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. reaffirms that the species richness of the west Amazonian anurans remains considerably underestimated even near to the largest and dynamically developing Amazonian metropole—Manaus. The congruence of seven frog species sharing a WSE specialization and distribution pattern likely endemic to the Negro-Solimões interfluve seem to reinforce the area of endemism proposed by *Borges & Da Silva (2012)* in the Jaú region. Also, the vertebrate fauna of white sand forests is unique and recent studies have revealed notable discoveries, reinforcing the need for effective protection of these environments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Igor Y. Fernandes and Anthony S. Ferreira for fieldwork assistance; to Esteban D. Koch for fieldwork assistance and philosophical contributions to the manuscript; to Pilar L. Maia for logistic support in the fieldwork at the municipality Iranduba; to Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA) for logistic assistance (specially to Andresa Viana) and for supporting molecular data acquisition in the Laboratório Temático de Biologia Molecular facility; to Fernanda P. Werneck (INPA-H), Ariane Silva (INPA-H), Ana L.C. Prudente (MPEG), João F.M. Sarmento (MPEG), Felipe Toledo (FNJV) and Simone Dena (FNJV) for access to collections; to Laboratório de Entomologia Sistemática Urbana e Forense(LESUF—INPA; specially to Marcelo Cutrim) for assistance with photos of the lateral head, hand and foot of holotype; to Edgar Lehr, H. Maurício Ortega-Andrade, Santiago Ron and another anonymous reviewer for important suggestions about the manuscript.

APPENDIX 1

Appendix 1 Specimens of *Pristimantis* and *Oreobates* used in phylogenetic analyses, with respective voucher, GenBank acession number and references.

Species	Voucher	GenBank acession numbers		bers	Reference
		168	COI	RAG1	-
P. abakapa	VUB3749	JQ742162			Kok et al. (2012)
P. abakapa	VUB3750	JQ742163			Kok et al. (2012)
P. academicus	IIAP1624	OL989867	OL960436		Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. academicus	IIAP1600	OL989866			Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. altae	AJC0398		JN991361	JQ025174	Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
P. ardalonychus	KU212301	EU186664			Hedges, Duellman & Heinicke (2008)
P. altamazonicus	QCAZ44700	MF118685	MF118717	MF118735	Ortega-Andrade et al. (2017)
P. altamazonicus	QCAZ20781	MF118699	MF118707	MF118734	Ortega-Andrade et al. (2017)
P. altamazonicus	QCAZ51104	MF118678	MF118720	MF118738	Ortega-Andrade et al. (2017)
P. altamnis	QCAZ53031	KP064155	KP064164		Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. aureoventris	VUB3747	JQ742154			Kok et al. (2012)
P. aureoventris	VUB3748	JQ742152			Kok et al. (2012)
P. bogotensis	NRPS0033	JN991432	JN991362		Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
P. brevicrus	QCAZ52997	MF118697	MF118726	MF118744	Ortega-Andrade et al. (2017)
P. brevicrus	QCAZ40964	MF118700	MF118715	MF118751	Ortega-Andrade et al. (2017)
P. buenaventura	MZUTI3270	KU999169			Arteaga et al. (2016)
P. cajamarcensis	KU217845	EF493663			Heinicke, Duellman & Hedges (2007)
P. campinarana sp. nov.	MPEG44634	OP965363	OP964730	OP980951	This study
P. campinarana sp. nov.	MPEG44635	OP965364	OP964731	OP980952	This study
P. campinarana sp. nov.	INPA-H44439	OP965362	OP964732	OP980953	This study
P. campinarana sp. nov.	INPA-H44424	OP965356	OP964733	OP980954	This study
P. campinarana sp. nov.	INPA-H44426	OP965357	OP964734	OP980955	This study
P. campinarana sp. nov.	INPA-H44427	OP965358	OP964735	OP980956	This study
P. campinarana sp. nov.	INPA-H44428	OP965359	OP964736	OP980957	This study
P. campinarana sp. nov.	INPA-H44429	OP965360	OP964737	OP980958	This study
P. campinarana sp. nov.	INPA-H44431	OP965361	OP964738	OP980959	This study
P. carvalhoi	MUBI13202	OL989869			Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. carvalhoi	EB14.13	MG820152	MG820177		Catenazzi & Lehr (2018)
P. ceuthospilus	KU212216	EF493520			Heinicke, Duellman & Hedges (2007)
P. chalceus	KU177638	EF493675			Heinicke, Duellman & Hedges (2007)
P. crepitaculus	AF2786	KDQF01001103			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
P. crepitaculus	21AF	JN691315			Fouquet et al. (2022a)

(continued on next page)

Appendix 1 (continued)

Species	Voucher	GenBank acession numbers			Reference
		165	COI	RAG1	-
P. crepitaculus	UNIFAP 1072	ON908889	ON954784	ON963983	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. crepitaculus	UNIFAP 3375	ON908890	ON954785	ON963984	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. crepitaculus	UNIFAP 3376	ON908891	ON954786	ON963985	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. croceoinguinis	QCAZ53532	KP064144	KP064157	MF118752	Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. croceoinguinis	QCAZ18006	MH516170			Waddell et al. (2018)
P. cruciocularis	MTD45716	MG820161	MG820186		Catenazzi & Lehr (2018)
P. cruciocularis	MTD45908	MG820162	MG820187		Catenazzi & Lehr (2018)
P. daquilemai	QCAZ71332	MZ430056			Brito-Zapata et al. (2021)
P. daquilemai	QCAZ71333	MZ430057			Brito-Zapata et al. (2021)
P. delius	QCAZ53035	KP064150	KP064162	MF118753	Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. diadematus	QCAZ18014	MH516177			Waddell et al. (2018)
P. diadematus	QCAZ18015	MH516178			Waddell et al. (2018)
P. divnae	CORBIDI13230	OL989858	OL960443		Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. espedeus	CM395	JN691314			Fouquet et al. (2013)
P. gagliardi	CORBIDI13166	OL989857	OL960444		Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. gagliardi	MUBI13205	OL989868	OL960435		Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. grandoculis	MPEG 30085	KDQF01002880			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
P. grandoculis	MPEG 30088	KDQF01002881			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
P. grandoculis	MPEG 30084	ON908894	ON964531	ON963988	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. grandoculis	MPEG 30091	ON908895	ON964532	ON963989	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. guianensis	INPA-H 43918	ON897772	ON898573	ON920937	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. guianensis	INPA-H 43919	ON897773	ON898574	ON920938	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. guianensis	INPA-H 43920	ON897774	ON898575	ON920939	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. guianensis	INPA-H 43921	ON897775	ON898576	ON920940	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. guianensis	INPA-H 43923	ON897776	ON898577	ON920941	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. guianensis	INPA-H 43924	ON897777	ON898578	ON920942	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. guianensis	INPA-H 43925	ON897778	ON898579	ON920943	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. guianensis	INPA-H 43943	ON897779	ON898580	ON920944	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. imitatrix	CORBIDI7451	OL989854			Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. imitatrix	CORBIDI8735	OL989855			Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. inguinalis	204BM	JN691317			Fouquet et al. (2013)
P. inguinalis	AM015	KDQF01001691			<i>Vacher et al. (2020)</i>
P. inguinalis	AF2054	KDQF01000814			Vacher et al. (2020)
P. inguinalis	MPEG 30059	ON908892	ON964824	ON963986	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. inguinalis	MPEG 30060	ON908893	ON964825	ON963987	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. jamescameroni	SBH268110	EU186721			Hedges, Duellman & Heinicke (2008)
P. jester	VUB3493	JQ742169			<i>Kok et al. (2012)</i>
P. kichwarum	QCAZ52975	KP064154			Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. librarius	QCAZ25852	JN991451	JN991379	JQ025188	Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
P. librarius	QCAZ25853	MH516184		MH481370	Waddell et al. (2018)
P. lirellus	KU212226	EF493521			Heinicke, Duellman & Hedges (2007)

(continued on next page)

Appendix 1 (continued)

Species	Voucher	GenBank acession numbers			Reference
		165	COI	RAG1	-
P. luscombei	QCAZ53268	KP064156			Ortega-Andrade
P. luscombei	QCAZ25457	EU130618		MH481368	Elmer, Dávila & Lougheed (2007)
P. luteolateralis	MZUTI3182	KU999208			Arteaga et al. (2016)
P. luteolateralis	MZUTI1404	KU999205			Arteaga et al. (2016)
P. marmoratus	ROM43302	EU186716			<i>Kok et al. (2018)</i>
P. marmoratus	VUB3491	JQ742167			<i>Kok et al. (2018)</i>
P. martiae	QCAZ52983	KP064148	KP064160	MF118754	Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. martiae	QCAZ52984	KP064149	KP064161	MF118755	Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. matidiktyo	QCAZ 53021	KP064147	KP064159		Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. matidiktyo	QCAZ 52779	KP064146	KP064158		Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. miktos	QCAZ 53531	KP064153			Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. miktos	GGU807	KP064151			Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. miktos	GGU808	KP064152	KP064163		Ortega-Andrade & Venegas (2014)
P. miyatai	AJC3475	KP149490	KP149276		Guarnizo et al. (2015)
P. nietoi	MZUTI3050	KU999214			Arteaga et al. (2016)
P. nietoi	MZUTI3001	KU999212			Arteaga et al. (2016)
P. ockendeni	RvM5_12	KY652654	KY672986	KY672970	<i>Von May et al. (2017)</i>
P. ockendeni	INPA-H 43945	ON897793	ON898594	ON920954	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. ockendeni	INPA-H 43946	ON897794	ON898595	ON920955	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. ockendeni	INPA-H 43947	ON897795	ON898596	ON920956	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. ockendeni	INPA-H 43949	ON897796	ON898597	ON920957	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. ockendeni	INPA-H 43952	ON897797	ON898598	ON920958	Mônico et al. (2022)
P. ockendeni	MUBI 10538	ON907779			Mônico et al. (2022)
P. ockendeni	MUBI 13049	ON907778			Mônico et al. (2022)
P. ockendeni	MUBI 14568	ON907780			Mônico et al. (2022)
P. orcus	IIAP1063	OL989865	OL960437		Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. okmoi	CORBIDI16294	KY652651	KY672983	KY672967	Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann & Chaparro (2021)
P. pardalis	KRL0690	FJ784336	FJ766804	JQ025198	Crawford, Lips & Bermingham (2010)
P. pardalis	CH6284	JN991460	JN991390		Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
P. parvillus	MZUTI483	KU999215			Arteaga et al. (2016)
P. parvillus	MZUTI2121	KU999216			Arteaga et al. (2016)
P. pirrensis	AJC0594	JN991462	JN991393	JQ025199	Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
P. pulvinatus	VUB3751	JQ742164			<i>Kok et al. (2018)</i>
P. pulvinatus	VUB3674	JQ742165			<i>Kok et al. (2018)</i>
P. saltissimus	VUB3490	JQ742168			<i>Kok et al. (2012)</i>
P. saltissimus	ROM43310	EU186693			Hedges, Duellman & Heinicke (2008)
P. sp. PicoNeblina1	MTR15532	KDQF01003322			Vacher et al. (2020)
P. sp. PicoNeblina1	MTR15534	KDQF01003323			Vacher et al. (2020)
P. sp. PicoNeblina2	MTR15536	KDQF01003323			Vacher et al. (2020)
<i>P.</i> sp.	MUBI 17035	ON907781			Mônico et al. (2022)
<i>P.</i> sp.	MTR 12855	KDQF01003224			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
<i>P.</i> sp.	JOG 847	KDQF01002705			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
<i>P.</i> sp.	BM 153	KDQF01001829			Fouquet et al. (2022a)

(continued on next page)

Species	Voucher	GenBank acession numbers		lbers	Reference
		165	COI	RAG1	-
<i>P</i> . sp.	MTR 12690	KDQF01003210			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
<i>P.</i> sp.	H2773	KDQF01002605			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
<i>P</i> . sp.	SMS155	KDQF01004256			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
<i>P</i> . sp.	SMS156	KDQF01004257			Fouquet et al. (2022a)
P. taeniatus	AJC1839	JN991470	JN991403	JQ025208	Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
P. taeniatus	AJC1126	JN991472	JN991406	JQ025206	Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
P. stictogaster	KU291659	EF493704		EF493445	Heinicke, Duellman & Hedges (2007)
P. unistrigatus	KU218057	EF493387		EF493444	Heinicke, Duellman & Hedges (2007)
P. variabilis	QCAZ52375	MT372717		MT372609	Reyes-Puig et al. (2020)
P. variabilis	QCAZ28430	MH516198			Waddell et al. (2018)
P. ventrimarmoratus	CORBIDI13037	OL989856	OL960445		Ortega-Andrade, Deichmann
P. walkeri	MZUTI3183	KU999230			Arteaga et al. (2016)
P. yuruaniensis	VUB3717	JQ742160			<i>Kok et al. (2012)</i>
P. yuruaniensis	VUB3720	JQ742161			<i>Kok et al. (2012)</i>
P. zophus	NRPS0060	JN991479	JN991414	JQ025213	Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
P. zophus	NRPS0072	JN991478	JN991413	JQ025214	Pinto-Sanchez et al. (2012)
O. quixensis	ALCX186P53	KU495404	KU494611		Lyra, Haddad & Azeredo-Espin (2016)
O. granulosus	AC94_07	KY652649	KY672982	KY672965	Von May et al. (2017)

Appendix 1 (continued)

APPENDIX 2



Appendix 2 Phylogenetic reconstruction showing the position of *Pristimantis campinarana* sp. nov. within the *P. unistrigatus* species group. Maximum likelihood tree inferred with 16S, COI and RAG1. Non-parametric ultrafast bootstrap support is shown close to nodes.

Full-size 🖾 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.15399/fig-11

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

This study was funded by GreenPeace Brazil (program Tatiana de Carvalho de Pesquisa e Conservação da Biodiversidade da Amazônia, to A.T. Mônico), Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq Universal Grant no: 401120/2016-3, to A.P. Lima) and the Czech Republic Ministry of Culture (DKRVO 2019-2023/6.VI.d,e, National Museum Prague, 00023272, to J. Moravec). The publication fee was covered by a grant to Miquéias Ferrão from the Wetmore Colles fund of the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology. Alexander T. Mônico received a PhD fellowship from CNPq (process no 142153/2019-2). Miquéias Ferrão received an Edward O. Wilson Biodiversity Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology and a fellowship from the David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies of Harvard University. Antoine Fouquet benefited from an "Investissement d'Avenir" grant managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (CEBA, ref. ANR-10- LABX-25-01; TULIP, ref. ANR-10-LABX-0041; ANAEE-France: ANR-11- INBS-0001). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: GreenPeace Brazil (program "Tatiana de Carvalho de Pesquisa e Conservação da Biodiversidade da Amazônia"). Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development: 401120/2016-3, 142153/2019-2. the Czech Republic Ministry of Culture: DKRVO 2019-2023/6.VI.d,e. National Museum Prague: 00023272. Harvard Wetmore Colles Fund. Harvard Museum of Comparative Zoology. David Rockefeller Center for Latin American Studies of Harvard University. Agence Nationale de la Recherche: CEBA, ref. ANR-10- LABX-25-01, TULIP, ref. ANR-10-LABX-0041. ANAEE-France: CEBA, ref. ANR-10- LABX-25-01, ANR-11- INBS-0001.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

• Alexander Tamanini Mônico conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

- Miquéias Ferrão conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Jiří Moravec conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Antoine Fouquet conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.
- Albertina P. Lima conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the article, and approved the final draft.

Animal Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (*i.e.*, approving body and any reference numbers):

Protocols of collection and animal care follow the Brazilian Federal Council for Biology (Resolution number 148/2012) and the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals of the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia—CEUA-INPA (Process n° 35/2020, SEI 01280.001134/2020-63).

DNA Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:

The sequences are available at GenBank: OP965356–OP965364 (16S), OP964730–OP964738 (COI) and OP980951–OP980959 (RAG-1).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw morphometric and bioacoustic data of adults of the new species are available in the Supplemental Files.

New Species Registration

The following information was supplied regarding the registration of a newly described species:

Publication LSID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:F8ED54F6-9E18-49BD-8178-659BDFB79C65 Pristimantis campinarana LSID: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:61E81E96-5309-4FA0-AE8A-

B34636CD5616

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.15399#supplemental-information.

REFERENCES

Adeney JM, Christensen N, Vicentini A, Cohn-Haft M. 2016. White-sand ecosystems in Amazonia. *Biotropica* 48:7–23 DOI 10.1111/btp.12293.

- Albert JS, Val P, Hoorn C. 2018. The changing course of the Amazon River in the Neogene: center stage for Neotropical diversification. *Neotropical Ichthyology* 16:e180033 DOI 10.1590/1982-0224-20180033.
- Almeida RAM. 2010. Aves Linnaeus, 1758: new records for the Jaú National Park, Amazonas, Brazil. *Check List* 6:495–498 DOI 10.15560/6.4.495.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research* 25:3389–3402 DOI 10.1093/nar/25.17.3389.
- Andersson LG. 1945. Batrachians from East Ecuador, collected 1937, 1938 by Wm. Clarke-Macintyre and Rolf Blomberg. Arkiv för Zoologi. *Stockholm* 37A(2):1–88.
- Arteaga A, Pyron RA, Penafiel N, Romero-Barreto P, Culebras J, Bustamante L, Yanez-Muñoz MH, Guayasamin JM. 2016. Comparative phylogeography reveals cryptic diversity and repeated patterns of cladogenesis for amphibians and reptiles in Northwestern Ecuador. *PLOS ONE* 11(4):E0151746 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0151746.
- **Barbour T, Dunn ER. 1921.** Herpetological novelties. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* **34**:157–162.
- **Bioacoustics Research Program. 2014.** Raven pro: interactive sound analysis software. Version 1.5. Ithaca: The Cornell Lab of Ornithology. *Available at http://www.ravensoundsoftware.com/software/raven-pro* (accessed on 21 August 2022).
- Borges SH, Cornelius C, Moreira M, Ribas CC, Conh-Haft M, Capurucho JM, Vargas C, Almeida R. 2016. Bird communities in Amazonian white-sand vegetation patches: effects of landscape configuration and biogeographic context. *Biotropica* 48:121–131 DOI 10.1111/btp.12296.
- Borges SH, Da Silva JMC. 2012. A new area of endemism for amazonian birds in the Rio Negro Basin. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 124(1):15–23 DOI 10.1676/07-103.1.
- Boulenger GA. 1912. Descriptions of new batrachians from the Andes of South America, preserved in the British Museum. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* 8(10):185–191.
- Brito-Zapata D, Reyes-Puig C, Cisneros-Heredia D, Zumel D, Ron SR. 2021. Description of a new minute frog of the genus *Pristimantis* (Anura: Strabomantidae) from Cordillera del Condor, Ecuador. *Zootaxa* 5072(4):351–372 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.5072.4.3.
- Caldwell JP, Lima AP, Keller C. 2002. Redescription of *Colostethus marchesianus* (Melin, 1941) from its type locality. *Copeia* 2002:157–165 DOI 10.1643/0045-8511(2002)002[0157:ROCMMF]2.0.CO;2.
- Capurucho JMG, Borges SH, Cornelius C, Vicentini V, Prata EMB, Costa FM, Campos P, Sawakuchi AO, Rodrigues F, Zular A, Aleixo A, Bates JM, Ribas CC. 2020. Patterns and processes of diversification in amazonian white sand ecosystems: insights from birds and plants. In: Rull V, Carnaval AC, eds. *Neotropical diversification: patterns and processes. Fascinating life sciences*, Cham: Springer Nature, 245–270 DOI 10.1007/978-3-030-31167-4_11.
- Carvalho VT, De Fraga R, Bittencourt S, Bonora L, Condrati LH, Gordo M, Vogt RC. 2018. Geographic distribution of *Aparasphenodon venezolanus*

(Anura: Hylidae) in the Brazilian Amazon lowlands. *Phyllomedusa* 17:139–144 DOI 10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v17i1p139-144.

- **Catenazzi A, Lehr E. 2018.** Pristimantis antisuyu sp. n. and *Pristimantis erythroinguinis* sp. n. two new species of terrestrial-breeding frogs (Anura, Strabomantidae) from the eastern slopes of the Andes in Manu National Park, Peru. *Zootaxa* **13(2)**:185–206 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.4394.2.2.
- Che J, Chen HM, Yang JX, Jin JQ, Jiang K, Yuan ZY, Murphy RW, Zhang YP. 2012. Universal COI primers for DNA barcoding amphibians. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 12:247–258 DOI 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03090.x.
- Costa FM, Terra-Araujo MH, Zartman CE, Cornelius C, Carvalho FA, Hopkins MJG, Viana PL, Prata EMB, Vicentini A. 2020. Islands in a green ocean: spatially structured endemism in Amazonian white-sand vegetation. *Biotropica* 52:34–45 DOI 10.1111/btp.12732.
- Crawford AJ, Lips KR, Bermingham E. 2010. Epidemic disease decimates amphibian abundance, species diversity, and evolutionary history in the highlands of central Panama. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107(31):13777–13782 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0914115107.
- De Oliveira EA, Da Silva LA, Guimarães KLA, Penhacek M, Martínez JG, Rodrigues LRR, Santana DJ, Hernández-Ruz EJ. 2020. Four new species of *Pristimantis* Jiménez de la Espada, 1870 (Anura: Craugastoridae) in the eastern Amazon. *PLOS ONE* 15(3):e0229971 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0229971.
- **Duellman WE, Lehr E. 2009.** *Terrestrial breeding frogs (Strabomantidae) in Peru.* Münster: Natur und Tier-Verlag, 382.
- **Duellman WE, Mendelson III JR. 1995.** Amphibians and reptiles from northern Departamento Loreto, Peru: taxonomy and biogeography. *University of Kansas Science Bulletin* **55**:329–376 DOI 10.5962/bhl.part.779.
- Eiten G. 1978. Delimitation of the Cerrado concept. *Vegetatio* 36:169–178 DOI 10.1007/BF02342599.
- Elmer KR, Cannatella DC. 2008. Three new species of leaflitter frogs from the upper Amazon forests: cryptic diversity within *Pristimantis ockendeni* (Anura: Strabomanti-dae) in Ecuador. *Zootaxa* 1784:11–38 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.1784.1.2.
- Elmer KR, Dávila JA, Lougheed SC. 2007. Cryptic diversity and deep divergence in an upper Amazonian leaflitter frog, Eleutherodactylus ockendeni. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 7(1):247 DOI 10.1186/1471-2148-7-247.
- Jiménez de la Espada M. 1875. Vertebrados del Viaje al Pacífico Verificado de 1862 a 1865 por una Comisión de Naturalistas Enviada por el Gobierno Español. Batracios. Madrid: A. Miguel Ginesta.
- **Ferrão M, Moravec J, Ferreira AS, Moraes LJCL, Hanken J. 2022.** A new snouted treefrog of the genus *Scinax* (Anura, Hylidae) from the white-sand forests of central Amazonia. *Breviora* **573**:1–36.
- **Ferrão M, Moravec J, Moraes LJCL, Carvalho VT, Gordo M, Lima AP. 2019.** Rediscovery of *Osteocephalus vilarsi* (Anura: Hylidae): an overlooked but widespread Amazonian spiny-backed treefrog. *PeerJ* **7**:e8160 DOI 10.7717/peerj.8160.

- **Fine PVA, Baraloto C. 2016.** Habitat Endemism in white-sand forests: insights into the mechanisms of lineage diversification and community assembly of the neotropical flora. *Biotropica* **48**:24–33 DOI 10.1111/btp.12301.
- **Flores G, Vigle GO. 1994.** A new species of *Eleutherodactylus* (Anura: Leptodactylidae) from the lowland rainforests of Amazonian Ecuador, with notes on the *Eleuthero-dactylus frater* assembly. *Journal of Herpetology* **28**:416–424 DOI 10.2307/1564952.
- Fouquet A, Leblanc K, Fabre AC, Rodrigues MT, Menin M, Courtois EA, Dewynter M, Hölting M, Ernst R, Peloso PLV, Kok PJR. 2021. Comparative osteology of the fossorial frogs of the genus *Synapturanus* (Anura, Microhylidae) with the description of three new species from the Eastern Guiana Shield. *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 293:46–73 DOI 10.1016/j.jcz.2021.05.003.
- Fouquet A, Martinez Q, Courtois EA, Dewynter M, Pineau K, Gaucher P, Blanc M, Marty C, Kok PJF. 2013. A new species of the genus *Pristimantis* (Amphibia, Craugastoridae) associated with the moderately evelated massifs of French Guiana. *Zootaxa* 3750:569–586 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.3750.5.8.
- Fouquet A, Peloso P, Jairam R, Lima AP, Mônico AT, Ernst R, Kok PJR. 2022a. Back from the deaf: integrative taxonomy revalidates an earless and mute species, Hylodes grandoculis van Lidth de Jeude, 1904, and confirms a new species of *Pristimantis* Jiménez de la Espada, 1870 (Anura: Craugastoridae) from the Eastern Guiana Shield. *Organisms Diversity & Evolution* 2022(2):1–34 DOI 10.1007/s13127-022-00564.
- Fouquet A, Réjaud A, Rodrigues MT, Ron SR, Chaparro JC, Osorno-Muñoz M, Werneck FP, Hrbek T, Lima AP, Camacho-Badani T, Jaramillo AF, Chave J. 2022b. Diversification of the *Pristimantis conspicillatus* group (Anura: Craugastoridae) within distinct Neotropical areas throughout the Neogene. *Systematics and Biodiversity* 20(1):2130464 DOI 10.1080/14772000.2022.2130464.
- Fraga R, Souza E, Santos Jr AP, Kawashita-Ribeiro RA. 2018. Notes on the rare Mastigodryas moratoi (Serpentes: Colubridae) in the Brazilian Amazon white-sand forests. *Phyllomedusa* 17:299–302 DOI 10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v17i2p299-302.
- Frost DR. 2023. Amphibian species of the world: an online reference. *Available at https:* //research.amnh.org/herpetology/amphibia/index.html (accessed on 10 January 2023).
- **Funk WC, Caldwell JP, Peden CE, Padial JM, De la Riva I, Cannatella DC. 2007.** Tests of biogeographic hypotheses for diversification in the Amazonian forest frog, *Physalaemus petersi*. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **44**:825–837 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2007.01.012.
- Gete DZ, Buckely D, Ron SR. 2021. The *Pristimantis trachyblepharis* species group, a clade of miniaturized frogs: description of four new species and insights into the evolution of body size in the genus. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 195(1):315–354 DOI 10.1093/zoolinnean/zlab044.
- **Gonzalez-Voyer A, Padial JM, Castroviejo-Fisher S, De La Riva I, Vila C. 2011.** Correlates of species richness in the largest neotropical amphibian radiation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **24**(5):931–942 DOI 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02243.x.
- Guarnizo CE, Paz A, Munoz-Ortiz A, Flechas SV, Mendez-Narvaez J, Crawford AJ. 2015. DNA barcoding survey of anurans across the eastern cordillera of colombia

and the impact of the andes on cryptic diversity. *PLOS ONE* **10**(**5**):E0127312 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0127312.

- Guayasamin JM, Krynak T, Krynak K, Culebras J, Hutter CR. 2015. Phenotypic plasticity raises questions for taxonomically important traits: a remarkable new Andean rainfrog (*Pristimantis*) with the ability to change skin texture. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **173**:913–928 DOI 10.1111/zoj.12222.
- Guevara JE, Damasco G, Baraloto C, Fine PVA, Peñuela MC, Castilho C, Vicentini A, On D, Ardenas C, Wittmann F, Targhetta N, Phillips OL, Stropp J, Amaral I, Maas P, Monteagudo A, Jiménez E, Thomas R, Brienen RJW, Duque A, Magnusson WE, Ferreira C, Idice E, Coronado EO, De F, Matos FDA, Arevalo FR, Engel J, Petronelli P, Martínez RV, Steege HT. 2016. Low phylogenetic beta diversity and geographic neo-endemism in amazonian white-sand forests. *Biotropica* 48:34–46 DOI 10.1111/btp.12298.
- Haffer J. 1974. Avian speciation in tropical South America. Cambridge: Harvard University. Nuttall Ornithological Club.
- Hedges SB, Duellman WE, Heinicke MP. 2008. New World direct-developing frogs (Anura: Terrarana): molecular phylogeny, classification, biogeography, and conservation. *Zootaxa* 1737:1–182 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.1737.1.1.
- Hedges SB, Schlüter A. 1992. Eleutherodactylus eurydactylus, a new species of frog from central Amazonian Perú (Anura: Leptodactylidae). *Copeia* 1992:1002–1006 DOI 10.2307/1446629.
- Heinicke MP, Duellman WE, Hedges SB. 2007. Major Caribbean and Central American frog faunas originated by ancient oceanic dispersal. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 104(24):10092–10097 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0611051104.
- Heyer WR, Rand AS, Cruz CAG, Peixoto OL, Nelson CE. 1990. Frogs of Boracéia. *Arquivos de Zoologia* 31(4):231–410.
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35:518–522 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msx281.
- Hoorn C, Wesselingh FP, Ter Steege H, Bermudez MA, Mora A, Sevink J, Sanmartín I, Sanchez-Meseguer A, Anderson CL, Figueiredo JP, Jaramillo C, Riff D, Negri FR, Hooghiemstra H, Lundberg J, Stadler T, Särkinen T, Antonelli A. 2010. Amazonia through time: Andean uplift, climate change, landscape evolution, and biodiversity. *Science* 330:927–931 DOI 10.1126/science.1194585.
- Jenkins CN, Alves MAS, Uezu A, Vale MM. 2015. Patterns of vertebrate diversity and protection in Brazil. *PLOS ONE* 10(12):e0145064 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0145064.
- Jorge RF, Ferrão M, Lima AP. 2020. Out of bound: a new threatened Harlequin Toad (Bufonidae, Atelopus) from the outer borders of the Guiana Shield in central Amazonia described through integrative taxonomy. *Diversity* 12(310):1–25 DOI 10.3390/d12080310.

- Kaefer IL, Tsuji-Nishikido BM, Mota EP, Farias IP, Lima AP. 2013. The early stages of speciation in amazonian forest frogs: phenotypic conservatism despite strong genetic structure. *Evolutionary Biology* **40**:228–245 DOI 10.1007/s11692-012-9205-4.
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, Von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* 14(6):587–589 DOI 10.1038/nmeth.4285.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT Multiple sequence alignment software version
 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*30:772–780 DOI 10.1093/molbev/mst010.
- Kimura M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 16:111–120 DOI 10.1007/BF01731581.
- Köhler G. 2012. Color catalogue for field biologists. Herpeton: Offenback, 49 p.
- Köhler J, Jansen M, Rodriguez A, Kok PJR, Toledo LF, Emmrich M, Glaw F, Haddad CFB, Rödel MO, Vences M. 2017. The use of bioacoustics in anuran taxonomy: theory, terminology, methods and recommendations for best practice. *Zootaxa* **4251**:1–124 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.4251.1.1.
- Kok PJR, Dezfoulian R, Means DB, Fouquet A, Barrio-Amorós CL. 2018. Amended diagnosis and redescription of *Pristimantis marmoratus* (Boulenger, 1900) (Amphibia: Craugastoridae), with a description of its advertisement call and notes on its breeding ecology and phylogenetic relationships. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 397:1–30 DOI 10.5852/ejt.2018.397.
- Kok PJR, Kalamandeen M. 2008. Introduction to the taxonomy of the amphibians of Kaieteur National Park, Guyana. *Abc Taxa* 5:1–278.
- Kok PJ, MacCulloch RD, Means DB, Roelants K, Bocxlaer IVan, Bossuyt F. 2012. Low genetic diversity in tepui summit vertebrates. *Current Biology* 22(15):589–590 DOI 10.1016/j.cub.2012.06.034.
- Kok PJR, Means DB, Bossuyt F. 2011. A new highland species of *Pristimantis* Jiménez de la Espada, 1871 (Anura: Strabomantidae) from the Pantepui region, northern South America. *Zootaxa* 2934:1–19 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.2934.1.1.
- Lehr E, Catenazzi A, Rodríguez D. 2009. A new species of *Pristimantis* (Anura: Strabomantidae) from the Amazonian lowlands of northern Peru (Region Loreto and San Martín). *Zootaxa* 1990:30–40 DOI 10.5281/zenodo.185534.
- Lehr E, Moravec J, Gagliardi-Urrutia LAG. 2010. A new species of *Pristimantis* (Anura: Strabomantidae) from the Amazonian lowlands of northern Peru. *Salamandra* 46:197–203.
- Lehr E, Von May R. 2009. New species of *Pristimantis* (Anura: Strabomantidae) from the Amazonian lowlands of southern Peru. *Journal of Herpetology* **43**:485–494 DOI 10.1670/08-202R.1.
- Lima AP, Ferreira AS, Dayrell J, Pereira RCS, Magnusson WE, Ferrão M. 2021. Sapos da RDS Rio Negro. Região do Ramal do Uga-Uga. Manaus: INPA, 44.

- Lima AP, Sanchez DEA, Souza JRD. 2007. A new Amazonian species of the frog genus *Colostethus* (Dendrobatidae) that lays its eggs on undersides of leaves. *Copeia* 2007:114–122 DOI 10.1643/0045-8511(2007)7[114:ANASOT]2.0.CO;2.
- López-Rojas JJ, Ramalho WP, Susçuarana MS, Souza MB. 2013. Three new records of *Pristimantis* (Amphibia: Anura: Craugastoridae) for Brazil and a comment of the advertisement call of *Pristimantis orcus*. *Check List* 9(6):1548–1551 DOI 10.15560/9.6.1548.
- Lutz B, Kloss GR. 1952. Anfibios anuros do alto Solimões e Rio Negro. Apontamento sôbre algumas formas e suas vicariantes. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. *Rio de Janeiro* 50:625–678.
- Lynch JD. 1968. Two new frogs of the genus *Eleutherodactylus* from eastern Ecuador (Amphibia: Leptodactylidae). *Journal of Herpetology* 2:129–135 DOI 10.2307/1563112.
- Lynch JD. 1974. New species of frogs (Leptodactylidae: *Eleutherodactylus*) from the Amazonian lowlands of Ecuador. *Occasional Papers of the Museum of Natural History, University of Kansas* 31:1–22 DOI 10.5962/bhl.part.29037.
- **Lynch JD, Lescure J. 1980.** A collection of eleutherodactyline frogs from northeastern Amazonian Perú with the descriptions of two new species (Amphibia, Salientia, Leptodactylidae). *Bulletin du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle. Section A, Zoologie, Biologie et Ecologie Animales* **2**:303–316.
- Lyra ML, Haddad CFB, Azeredo-Espin AML. 2016. Meeting the challenge of DNA barcoding Neotropical amphibians: polymerase chain reaction optimization and new COI primers. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 17(5):966–980 DOI 10.1111/1755-0998.12648.
- Maddison WP, Maddison DR. 2021. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. Version 3.70. *Available at http://www.mesquiteproject.org* (accessed on 16 October 2022).
- Matos MV, Borges SH, D'horta FM, Cornelius C, Latrubesse E, Cohn-Haft M, Ribas CC. 2016. Comparative phylogeography of two bird species, Tachyphonus phoenicius (Thraupidae) and *Polytmus theresiae* (Trochilidae), specialized in Amazonian white-sand vegetation. *Biotropica* 48:110–120 DOI 10.1111/btp.12292.
- Melo-Sampaio PR, Ferrão M, Moraes LJCL. 2021. A new species of *Osteocephalus* Steindachner, 1862 (Anura, Hylidae), from Brazilian Amazonia. *Breviora* 572(1):1–21 DOI 10.3099/0006-9698-572.1.1.
- Mendoza AM, Ospina OE, Cárdenas-Henao H, García RJC. 2015. A likelihood inference of historical biogeography in the world's most diverse terrestrial vertebrate genus: Diversification of direct-developing frogs (Craugastoridae: *Pristimantis*) across the Neotropics. *Molecular Phylogenetic Evolution* **85**:50–58 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2015.02.001.
- Menin M, Carvalho VT, Almeida AP, Gordo M, Oliveira DP, Luiz LF, Campos JV, Hrbek T. 2017. Amphibians from Santa Isabel do Rio Negro, Brazilian Amazonia. *Phyllomedusa* 16(2):183–199 DOI 10.11606/issn.2316-9079.v16i2p183-199.

- Meza-Joya FL, Torres M. 2015. Spatial diversity patterns of *Pristimantis* frogs in the Tropical Andes. *Ecology and Evolution* 6(7):1–4 DOI 10.1002/ece3.1968.
- Mônico AT, Ferrão M, Chaparro JC, Fouquet A, Lima AP. 2022. A new species of rain frog (Anura: Strabomantidae: *Pristimantis*) from the Guiana Shield and amended diagnosis of *P. ockendeni* (Boulenger, 1912). *Vertebrate Zoology* 72:1035–1065 DOI 10.3897/vz.72.e90435.
- Moraes LJCL, Gordo M, Pirani RM, Rainha RN, Almeida AP, Oliveira AFS, Oliveira ME, Silva AAA, Werneck FP. 2022a. Amphibians and squamates in Amazonian flooded habitats, with a study on the variation of amphibian assemblages along the Solimoes River. In: Dalu T, Wasserman RJ, eds. *Fundamentals of tropical freshwater wetlands*. Chennai: Elsevier, 361–384.
- **Moraes LJCL, Pavan D, Barros MC, Ribas CC. 2016.** The combined influence of riverine barriers and flooding gradients on biogeographical patterns for amphibians and squamates in south-eastern Amazonia. *Journal of Biogeography* **43**(11):2113–2124 DOI 10.1111/jbi.12756.
- Moraes LJCL, Rainha RN, Werneck FP, Oliveira AFS, Gascon C, Carvalho VT. 2022b. Amphibians and reptiles from a protected area in western Brazilian Amazonia (Reserva Extrativista do Baixo Juruá). *Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia* 62:e202262054 DOI 10.11606/1807-0205/2022.62.054.
- Neckel-Oliveira S, Gordo M. 2004. Anfíbios, lagartos e serpentes do Parque Nacional do Jaú. In: Borges SH, Iwanaga S, Durigan CC, Pinheiro MR, eds. *Janelas para a biodiversidade no Parque Nacional do Jaú, uma estratégia para o Estudo da biodiversidade na Amazônia.* Manaus: Fundação Vitória Amazônica, 161–176.
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Haeseler Avon, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating Maximum-Likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32:268–274 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msu300.
- **Ortega JA, Brito J, Ron SR. 2022.** Six new species of *Pristimantis* (Anura: Strabomantidae) from Llanganates National Park and Sangay National Park in Amazonian cloud forests of Ecuador. *PeerJ* **10**:e13761 DOI 10.7717/peerj.13761.
- Ortega-Andrade HM, Deichmann JL, Chaparro JC. 2021. Two new cryptic *Pristimantis* (Anura, Craugastoridae) from the Southern Amazon Basin of Peru with taxonomic comments on *Pristimantis imitatrix* (Duellman, 1978). *South American Journal of Herpetology* 21:41–64 DOI 10.2994/SAJH-D-17-00068.1.
- Ortega-Andrade HM, Rojas-Soto OR, Monteros AEspinosadelos, Valencia JH, Read M, Ron S. 2017. Revalidation of *Pristimantis brevicrus* (Anura, Craugastoridae) with taxonomic comments on a widespread Amazonian direct-developing frog. *Herpetological Journal* 27(1):87–103.
- Ortega-Andrade HM, Valencia JH. 2012. A new species of the *Pristimantis frater* group (Anura: Strabomantidae) from the eastern evergreen lowland forests of Ecuador. *Herpetologica* 68:244–255 DOI 10.1655/HERPETOLOGICA-D-10-00066.1.
- **Ortega-Andrade HM, Venegas PJ. 2014.** A new synonym for *Pristimantis luscombei* (Duellman and Mendelson 1995) and the description of a new species of *Pristimantis*

from the upper Amazon basin (Amphibia: Craugastoridae). *Zootaxa* **3895(1)**:31–57 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.3895.1.2.

- Ortiz DA, Hoskin CJ, Werneck FP, Réjaud A, Manzi S, Ron SR, Fouquet A. 2022. Historical biogeography highlights the role of Miocene landscape changes on the diversification of a clade of Amazonian tree frogs. Organisms Diversity & Evolution 2022:1–20 DOI 10.1007/s13127-022-00588-2.
- Padial JM, Castroviejo-Fisher S, Köhler J, Vilà C, Chaparro JC, De la Riva I. 2009. Deciphering the products of evolution at the species level: the need for an integrative taxonomy. *Zoologica Scripta* **38**(4):431–447 DOI 10.1111/j.1463-6409.2008.00381.x.
- Padial JM, De la Riva IJ. 2009. Integrative taxonomy reveals cryptic Amazonian species of *Eleuthrodactylus* (Anura). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 155:97–122 DOI 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2008.00424.x.
- **Palumbi SR. 1996.** Nucleic acids II: the polymerase chain reaction. In: Hillis DM, Moritz C, Mable BK, eds. *Molecular systematics*. Sunderland: Sinauer & Associates Inc, 205–247.
- Parker HW. 1940. Undescribed anatomical structures and new species of reptiles and amphibians. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* 11(5):257–274 DOI 10.1080/00222934008527045.
- Peloso PLV, Pellegrino KCM, Rodrigues MT, Ávila-Pires TCS. 2011. Description and Phylogenetic Relationships of a New Genus and Species of Lizard (Squamata, Gymnophthalmidae) from the Amazonian Rainforest of Northern Brazil. American Museum Novitates 3713:1–24 DOI 10.1206/3713.2.
- Pinto-Sanchez NR, Ibanez R, Madrinan S, Sanjur OI, Bermingham E, Crawford
 AJ. 2012. The Great American Biotic Interchange in frogs: multiple and early colonization of Central America by the South American genus *Pristimantis* (Anura: Craugastoridae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 62(3):954–972
 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2011.11.022.
- Pirani RM, Werneck FP, Thomaz AT, Kenney ML, Sturaro MJ, Ávila Pires TCS, Peloso PL, Rodrigues MT, Knowles LL. 2019. Testing main Amazonian rivers as barriers across time and space within widespread taxa. *Journal of Biogeography* **46**:2444–2456 DOI 10.1111/jbi.13676.
- **Prance GT. 1979.** Notes on the vegetation of Amazonia III. The terminology of Amazonian Forest types subject to inundation. *Brittonia* **31**:26–38 DOI 10.2307/2806669.
- **PUC Ecuador—Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador. 2021.** Base de datos de la colección de anfibios del Museo de Zoología QCAZ. version 2021.0. *Available at http://www.bioweb.bio/portal* (accessed on 18 November 2022).
- **R Core Team. 2019.** A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. *Available at http://www.r-project.org*.
- Réjaud A, Rodrigues MT, Crawford AJ, Castroviejo-Fisher S, Jaramillo AF, Chaparro JC, Glaw F, Gagliardi-Urrutia G, Moravec J, De la Riva IJ, Perez P, Lima AP, Werneck FP, Hrbek T, Ron SR, Ernst R, Kok PJR, Driskell A, Chave J, Fouquet A. 2020. Historical biogeography identifies a possible role of the Pebas system in the

diversification of the Amazonian rocket frogs (Aromobatidae: *Allobates*). *Journal of Biogeography* **47**:2472–2482 DOI 10.1111/jbi.13937.

- Reyes-Puig C, Yánez-Muñoz MH, Ortega JA, Ron SR. 2020. Relaciones filogenéticas del subgénero *Hypodictyon* (Anura: Strabomantidae: *Pristimantis*) con la descripción de tres especies nuevas de la región del Chocó. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 91:e913013 DOI 10.22201/ib.20078706e.2020.91.3013.
- **Ribas CC, Aleixo A, Nogueira ACR, Miyaki CY, Cracraft J. 2012.** A palaeobiogeographic model for biotic diversification within Amazonia over the past three million years. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **279**:681–689 DOI 10.1098/rspb.2011.1120.
- Roberto IJ, Loebmann D, Lyra ML, Haddad CFB, Ávila RW. 2022. A new species of *Pristimantis* Jiménez de la Espada, 1870 (Anura: Strabomantidae) from the Brejos de Altitude in Northeast Brazil. *Zootaxa* 5100:521–540 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.5100.4.4.
- **Roberts JL, Brown JL, May R, Arizabal W, Schulte R, Summers K. 2006.** Genetic divergence and speciation in lowland and montane peruvian poison frogs. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **41**:149–164 DOI 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.05.005.
- Rojas RR, Fouquet A, Ron SR, Hernández-Ruz EJ, Melo-Sampaio PR, Chaparro JC, Vogt RC, De Carvalho VT, Pinheiro LC, Ávila RW, Farias IP, Gordo M, Hrbek T.
 2018. A Pan-Amazonian species delimitation: high species diversity within the genus *Amazophrynella* (Anura: Bufonidae). *PeerJ* 6:1–56 DOI 10.7717/peerj.4941.
- **Rojas-Zamora RR, Carvalho VT, Gordo M, Ávila RW, Farias IP, Hrbek T. 2014.** A new species of *Amazophrynella* (Anura: Bufonidae) from the southwestern part of the Brazilian Guiana Shield. *Zootaxa* **3753**:79–95.
- Ron SR, Carrión-Olmedo JC, Caminer MA, Sagredo Y, Navarrete MJ, Ortega JA, Varela-Jaramillo A, Maldonado-Castro GA, Terán C. 2020. Three new species of frogs of the genus *Pristimantis* (Anura, Strabomantidae) with a redefinition of the *P. lacrimosus* species group. *ZooKeys* 993:121–155 DOI 10.3897/zookeys.993.53559.
- Ruokolainen K, Moulatlet GM, Zuquim G, Hoorn C, Tuomisto H. 2019. Geologically recent rearrangements in central Amazonian river network and their importance for the riverine barrier hypothesis. *Frontiers of Biogeography* 11:1–19 DOI 10.21425/F5FBG45046.
- Smith BT, McCormack JE, Cuervo AM, Hickerson MJ, Aleixo A, Cadena CD, Pérez-Emán J, Burney CW, Xie X, Harvey MG, Faircloth BC, Glenn TC, Derryberry EP, Prejean J, Fields S, Brumfield RT. 2014. The drivers of tropical speciation. *Nature* 515(7527):406–409 DOI 10.1038/nature13687.
- Sueur J, Aubin T, Simonis C. 2008. Seewave, a free modular tool for sound analysis and synthesis. *Bioacoustics* 18:213–226 DOI 10.1080/09524622.2008.9753600.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. 2021. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 38(7):3022–3027 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msab120.
- Terborgh J, Andresen E. 1998. The Composition of Amazonian forests: patterns at local and regional scales. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 14:645–664 DOI 10.1017/S0266467498000455.

- Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research* 44:232–235 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkw256.
- Vacher J-P, Chave J, Ficetola FG, Sommeria-Klein G, Tao S, Thébaud C, Blanc M, Camacho A, Cassimiro J, Colston TJ, Dewynter M, Ernst R, Gaucher P, Gomes JO, Jairam R, Kok PJR, Lima JD, Martinez Q, Marty C, Noonan BP, Nunes PMS, Ouboter P, Recoder R, Rodrigues MT, Snyder A, Marques-Souza S, Fouquet A.
 2020. Large scale DNA-based survey of frogs in Amazonia suggests a vast underestimation of species richness and endemism. *Journal of Biogeography* 47:1781–1791 DOI 10.1111/jbi.13847.
- Van Lidth de Jeude TW. 1904. Reptiles and batrachians from Surinam. *Notes from the Leyden Museum* 25:83–94.
- **Veloso HP, Rangel Filho ALR, Lima JCA. 1991.** *Classificação da vegetação brasileira adaptada a um sistema universal.* Rio de Janeiro: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, 192.
- Vicentini A. 2016. The evolutionary history of (Rubiaceae), a white-sand specialist lineage in tropical South America. *Biotropica* 48:58–69 DOI 10.1111/btp.12295.
- **Von May R, Catenazzi A, Corl A, Santa-Cruz R, Carnaval AC, Moritz C. 2017.** Divergence of thermal physiological traits in terrestrial breeding frogs along a tropical elevational gradient. *Ecology and Evolution* **7**(**9**):3257–3267 DOI 10.1002/ece3.2929.
- Waddell EH, Crotti M, Lougheed SC, Cannatella DC, Elmer KR. 2018. Hierarchies of evolutionary radiation in the world's most species rich vertebrate group, the Neotropical *Pristimantis* leaf litter frogs. *Systematics and Biodiversity* 16:807–819 DOI 10.1080/14772000.2018.1503202.
- Wallace AR. 1852. On the monkeys of the Amazon. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 20:107–110.