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## Liquid crystals

## A multi-billion dollar industry.

An intermediate state of matter between liquids and solids.


Liquid crystals flow like liquids, but the constituent molecules retain orientational order.

## Liquid crystals (contd)

Liquid crystals are of many different types, the main classes being nematics, cholesterics and smectics

Nematics consist of rod-like molecules.


p-decyloxybenzylidene p'-amino 2-methylbutylcinnamate ("DOBAMBC")


## Electron micrograph of nematic phase

http://www.netwalk.com/~laserlab/lclinks.html


The mathematics of liquid crystals involves modelling, variational methods, PDE, algebra, topology, probability, scientific computation ...

There are many interesting dynamic problems of liquid crystals, but we shall only consider static configurations for which the fluid velocity is zero, and we only consider nematics.

Most mathematical work has been on the Oseen-Frank theory, in which the mean orientation of the rod-like molecules is described by a vector field. However, more popular among physicists is the Landau - de Gennes theory, in which the order parameter describing the orientation of molecules is a matrix, the so-called Qtensor.

## Plan

1. Introduction to Q-tensor theory. The Landau - de Gennes and Oseen-Frank energies.
2. Relations between the theories. Orientability of the director field.
3. The Onsager/Maier-Saupe theory and eigenvalue constraints.

## Review of Q-tensor theory

Consider a nematic liquid crystal filling a container $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$, where $\Omega$ is connected with Lipschitz boundary $\partial \Omega$.

The topology of the container can play a role.



The distribution of orientations of molecules in $B\left(x_{0}, \delta\right)$ can be represented by a probability measure on $\mathbf{R} P^{2}$, that is by a probability measure $\mu$ on the unit sphere $S^{2}$ satisfying $\mu(E)=\mu(-E)$ for $E \subset S^{2}$.

For a continuously distributed measure $d \mu(p)=\rho(p) d p$, where $d p$ is the element of surface area on $S^{2}$ and $\rho \geq 0, \int_{S^{2}} \rho(p) d p=1$, $\rho(p)=\rho(-p)$.
The first moment $\int_{S^{2}} p d \mu(p)=0$.
The second moment

$$
M=\int_{S^{2}} p \otimes p d \mu(p)
$$

is a symmetric non-negative $3 \times 3$ matrix satisfying $\operatorname{tr} M=1$.

Let $e \in S^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e \cdot M e & =\int_{S^{2}}(e \cdot p)^{2} d \mu(p) \\
& =\left\langle\cos ^{2} \theta\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between $p$ and $e$.

If the orientation of molecules is equally distributed in all directions, we say that the distribution is isotropic, and then $\mu=\mu_{0}$, where

$$
d \mu_{0}(p)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} d S .
$$

The corresponding second moment tensor is

$$
M_{0}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} p \otimes p d S=\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1}
$$

(since $\int_{S^{2}} p_{1} p_{2} d S=0, \int_{S^{2}} p_{1}^{2} d S=\int_{S^{2}} p_{2}^{2} d S$ etc and $\operatorname{tr} M_{0}=1$.)

The de Gennes $Q$-tensor

$$
Q=M-M_{0}
$$

measures the deviation of $M$ from its isotropic value.

Note that

$$
Q=\int_{S^{2}}\left(p \otimes p-\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1}\right) d \mu(p)
$$

satisfies $Q=Q^{T}, \operatorname{tr} Q=0, Q \geq-\frac{1}{3} 1$.
Remark. $Q=0$ does not imply $\mu=\mu_{0}$. For example we can take

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\delta_{e_{i}}+\delta_{-e_{i}}\right)
$$

Since $Q$ is symmetric and $\operatorname{tr} Q=0$,

$$
Q=\lambda_{1} n_{1} \otimes n_{1}+\lambda_{2} n_{2} \otimes n_{2}+\lambda_{3} n_{3} \otimes n_{3},
$$

where $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of $Q$ with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ with $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}=0$.

Since $Q \geq-\frac{1}{3} 1,-\frac{1}{3} \leq \lambda_{i} \leq \frac{2}{3}$.
Conversely, if $-\frac{1}{3} \leq \lambda_{i} \leq \frac{2}{3}$ then $M$ is the second moment tensor for some $\mu$, e.g. for

$$
\mu=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\lambda_{i}+\frac{1}{3}\right) \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{n_{i}}+\delta_{-n_{i}}\right)
$$

If the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of $Q$ are distinct then $Q$ is said to be biaxial, and if two $\lambda_{i}$ are equal uniaxial.

In the uniaxial case we can suppose
$\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=-\frac{s}{3}, \lambda_{3}=\frac{2 s}{3}$, and setting $n_{3}=n$ we get

$$
Q=-\frac{s}{3}(1-n \otimes n)+\frac{2 s}{3} n \otimes n .
$$

Thus

$$
Q=s\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1}\right)
$$

where $-\frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1$.

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q n \cdot n & =\frac{2 s}{3} \\
& =\left\langle(p \cdot n)^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\cos ^{2} \theta-\frac{1}{3}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between $p$ and $n$. Hence

$$
s=\frac{3}{2}\left\langle\cos ^{2} \theta-\frac{1}{3}\right\rangle .
$$

$$
s=-\frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow \int_{S^{2}}(p \cdot n)^{2} d \mu(p)=0
$$ (all molecules perpendicular to $n$ ).

$$
s=0 \Leftrightarrow Q=0
$$

(which occurs when $\mu$ is isotropic).

$$
\begin{aligned}
s=1 \Leftrightarrow & \int_{S^{2}}(p \cdot n)^{2} d \mu(p)=1 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \mu=\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{n}+\delta_{-n}\right) \\
& \text { (perfect ordering parallel to } n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $Q=s\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} 1\right)$ is uniaxial then $|Q|^{2}=$ $\frac{2 s^{2}}{3}, \operatorname{det} Q=\frac{2 s^{3}}{27}$.

Proposition.
Given $Q=Q^{T}, \operatorname{tr} Q=0, Q$ is uniaxial if and only if

$$
|Q|^{6}=54(\operatorname{det} Q)^{2}
$$

## Proof. The characteristic equation of $Q$ is

$$
\operatorname{det}(Q-\lambda \mathbf{1})=\operatorname{det} Q-\lambda \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{cof} Q+0 \lambda^{2}-\lambda^{3}
$$

But $2 \operatorname{tr} \operatorname{cof} Q=2\left(\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)=-|Q|^{2}$. Hence the characteristic equation is

$$
\lambda^{3}-\frac{1}{2}|Q|^{2} \lambda-\operatorname{det} Q=0
$$

and the condition that $\lambda^{3}-p \lambda+q=0$ has two equal roots is that $p \geq 0$ and $4 p^{3}=27 q^{2}$.

## Energetics



Consider a liquid crystal material filling a container $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$. We suppose that the material is incompressible, homogeneous (same material at every point) and that the temperature is constant.

At each point $x \in \Omega$ we have a corresponding measure $\mu_{x}$ and order parameter tensor $Q(x)$. We suppose that the material is described by a free-energy density $\psi(Q, \nabla Q)$, so that the total free energy is given by

$$
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega} \psi(Q(x), \nabla Q(x)) d x
$$

We write $\psi=\psi(Q, D)$, where $D$ is a third order tensor.

## The domain of $\psi$

For what $Q, D$ should $\psi(Q, D)$ be defined?
Let $\mathcal{E}=\left\{Q \in M^{3 \times 3}: Q=Q^{T}, \operatorname{tr} Q=0\right\}$
$\mathcal{D}=\left\{D=\left(D_{i j k}\right): D_{i j k}=D_{j i k}, D_{k k i}=0\right\}$. We suppose that $\psi: \operatorname{dom} \psi \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, where

$$
\operatorname{dom} \psi=\left\{(Q, D) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{D}, \lambda_{i}(Q)>-\frac{1}{3}\right\}
$$

But in order to differentiate $\psi$ easily with respect to its arguments, it is convenient to extend $\psi$ to all of $M^{3 \times 3} \times$ (3rd order tensors). To do this first set $\psi(Q, D)=\infty$ if $(Q, D) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{D}$ with some $\lambda_{i}(Q) \leq-\frac{1}{3}$.

Then note that

$$
P A=\frac{1}{2}\left(A+A^{T}\right)-\frac{1}{3}(\operatorname{tr} A) 1
$$

is the orthogonal projection of $M^{3 \times 3}$ onto $\mathcal{E}$.
So for any $Q, D$ we can set

$$
\psi(Q, D)=\psi(P Q, P D)
$$

where $(P D)_{i j k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{i j k}+D_{j i k}\right)-\frac{1}{3} D_{l l k} \delta_{i j}$.
Thus we can assume that $\psi$ satisfies for $(Q, D) \in$ dom $\psi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Q_{i j}} & =\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Q_{j i}}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial Q_{i i}}=0 \\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial D_{i j k}} & =\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial D_{j i k}}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial D_{i i k}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Frame-indifference

Fix $\bar{x} \in \Omega$, Consider two observers, one using the Cartesian coordinates $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and the second using translated and rotated coordinates $z=\bar{x}+R(x-\bar{x})$, where $R \in S O$ (3). We require that both observers see the same free-energy density, that is

$$
\psi\left(Q^{*}(\bar{x}), \nabla_{z} Q^{*}(\bar{x})\right)=\psi\left(Q(\bar{x}), \nabla_{x} Q(\bar{x})\right),
$$

where $Q^{*}(\bar{x})$ is the value of $Q$ measured by the second observer.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{*}(\bar{x}) & =\int_{S^{2}}\left(q \otimes q-\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1}\right) d \mu_{\bar{x}}\left(R^{T} q\right) \\
& =\int_{S^{2}}\left(R p \otimes R p-\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1}\right) d \mu_{\bar{x}}(p) \\
& =R \int_{S^{2}}\left(p \otimes p-\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1}\right) d \mu_{\bar{x}}(p) R^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $Q^{*}(\bar{x})=R Q(\bar{x}) R^{T}$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial Q_{i j}^{*}}{\partial z_{k}}(\bar{x}) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}}\left(R_{i l} Q_{l m}(\bar{x}) R_{j m}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{p}}\left(R_{i l} Q_{l m} R_{j m}\right) \frac{\partial x_{p}}{\partial z_{k}} \\
& =R_{i l} R_{j m} R_{k p} \frac{\partial Q_{l m}}{\partial x_{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for every $R \in S O$ (3),

$$
\psi\left(Q^{*}, D^{*}\right)=\psi(Q, D),
$$

where $Q^{*}=R Q R^{T}, D_{i j k}^{*}=R_{i l} R_{j m} R_{k p} D_{l m p}$. Such $\psi$ are called hemitropic.

## Material symmetry

The requirement that

$$
\psi\left(Q^{*}(\bar{x}), \nabla_{z} Q^{*}(\bar{x})\right)=\psi\left(Q(\bar{x}), \nabla_{x} Q(\bar{x})\right)
$$

when $z=\bar{x}+\widehat{R}(x-\bar{x})$, where $\hat{R}=-1+2 e \otimes e$, $|e|=1$, is a reflection is a condition of material symmetry satisfied by nematics, but not cholesterics, whose molecules have a chiral nature.

Since any $R \in O(3)$ can be written as $\hat{R} \widetilde{R}$, where $\tilde{R} \in S O(3)$ and $\hat{R}$ is a reflection, for a nematic

$$
\psi\left(Q^{*}, D^{*}\right)=\psi(Q, D)
$$

where $Q^{*}=R Q R^{T}, D_{i j k}^{*}=R_{i l} R_{j m} R_{k p} D_{l m p}$ and $R \in O(3)$. Such $\psi$ are called isotropic.

## Bulk and elastic energies

We can decompose $\psi$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(Q, D) & =\psi(Q, 0)+(\psi(Q, D)-\psi(Q, 0)) \\
& =\psi_{B}(Q)+\psi_{E}(Q, D) \\
& =\text { bulk }+ \text { elastic }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, putting $D=0$,

$$
\psi_{B}\left(R Q R^{T}\right)=\psi_{B}(Q) \text { for all } R \in S O(3)
$$

which holds if and only if $\psi_{B}$ is a function of the principal invariants of $Q$, that is, since $\operatorname{tr} Q=0$,

$$
\psi_{B}(Q)=\bar{\psi}_{B}\left(|Q|^{2}, \operatorname{det} Q\right) .
$$

Following de Gennes, Schophol \& Sluckin PRL 59(1987), Mottram \& Newton, Introduction to $Q$-tensor theory, we consider the example

$$
\psi_{B}(Q, \theta)=a(\theta) \operatorname{tr} Q^{2}-\frac{2 b}{3} \operatorname{tr} Q^{3}+\frac{c}{2} \operatorname{tr} Q^{4},
$$

where $\theta$ is the temperature, $b>0, c>0, a=$ $\alpha\left(\theta-\theta^{*}\right), \alpha>0$.

Then

$$
\psi_{B}=a \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{2}-\frac{2 b}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{3}+\frac{c}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{4}
$$

$\psi_{B}$ attains a minimum subject to $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}=0$. A calculation shows that the critical points have two $\lambda_{i}$ equal. Thus $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda, \lambda_{3}=$ $-2 \lambda$ say, where $\lambda=0$ or $\lambda=\lambda_{ \pm}$, and

$$
\lambda_{ \pm}=\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-12 a c}}{6 c}
$$

Hence we find that there is a phase transformation from an isotropic fluid to a uniaxial nematic phase at the critical temperature $\theta_{\mathrm{NI}}=\theta^{*}+\frac{2 b^{2}}{27 \alpha c}$. If $\theta>\theta_{\mathrm{NI}}$ then the unique minimizer of $\psi_{B}$ is $Q=0$.
If $\theta<\theta_{\text {NI }}$ then the minimizers are

$$
Q=s_{\min }\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) \text { for } n \in S^{2}
$$

where $s_{\text {min }}=\frac{b+\sqrt{b^{2}-12 a c}}{2 c}>0$.

Examples of isotropic functions quadratic in $\nabla Q$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=Q_{i j, j} Q_{i k, k}, \quad I_{2}=Q_{i k, j} Q_{i j, k} \\
& I_{3}=Q_{i j, k} Q_{i j, k}, \quad I_{4}=Q_{l k} Q_{i j, l} Q_{i j, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that
$I_{1}-I_{2}=\left(Q_{i j} Q_{i k, k}\right)_{, j}-\left(Q_{i j} Q_{i k, j}\right)_{, k}$
is a null Lagrangian.

An example of a hemitropic, but not isotropic function is

$$
I_{5}=\varepsilon_{i j k} Q_{i l} Q_{j l, k}
$$

For the elastic energy we take

$$
\psi_{E}(Q, \nabla Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} L_{i} I_{i}
$$

where the $L_{i}$ are material constants.

## The constrained theory

If the $L_{i}$ are small, it is reasonable to consider the constrained theory in which $Q$ is required to be uniaxial with a constant scalar order parameter $s>0$, so that

$$
Q=s\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} 1\right)
$$

(For recent rigorous work justifying this see Majumdar \& Zarnescu, Nguyen \& Zarnescu.) In this theory the bulk energy is constant and so we only have to consider the elastic energy

$$
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{E}(Q, \nabla Q) d x
$$

## Oseen-Frank energy

Formally calculating $\psi_{E}$ in terms of $n, \nabla n$ we obtain the Oseen-Frank energy functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(n)=\int_{\Omega}\left[K_{1}(\operatorname{div} n)^{2}+K_{2}(n \cdot \operatorname{curl} n)^{2}+K_{3}|n \times \operatorname{curl} n|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(K_{2}+K_{4}\right)\left(\operatorname{tr}(\nabla n)^{2}-(\operatorname{div} n)^{2}\right)\right] d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}=2 L_{1} s^{2}+L_{2} s^{2}+L_{3} s^{2}-\frac{2}{3} L_{4} s^{3}, \\
& K_{2}=2 L_{1} s^{2}-\frac{2}{3} L_{4} s^{3}, \\
& K_{3}=2 L_{1} s^{2}+L_{2} s^{2}+L_{3} s^{2}+\frac{4}{3} L_{4} s^{3}, \\
& K_{4}=L_{3} s^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Function Spaces <br> (part of the mathematical model) <br> Unconstrained theory.

We are interested in equilibrium configurations of finite energy

$$
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\psi_{B}(Q)+\psi_{E}(Q, \nabla Q)\right] d x
$$

We use the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega ; M^{3 \times 3}\right)$. Since usually we assume

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\psi_{E}(Q, \nabla Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} L_{i} I_{i}, \\
I_{1}=Q_{i j, j} Q_{i k, k}, I_{2}=Q_{i k, j} Q_{i j, k}, \\
I_{3}=Q_{i j, k} Q_{i j, k}, I_{4}=Q_{l k} Q_{i j, l} Q_{i j, k},
\end{array}
$$

we typically take $p=2$.

## Constrained theory.

For $1 \leq p<\infty$ the Sobolev space $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R} P^{2}\right)$ is the set of $Q=s\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} 1\right)$ with weak derivative $\nabla Q$ satisfying $\int_{\Omega}|\nabla Q(x)|^{p} d x<\infty$.

Thus for the Landau - de Gennes energy density, the space of $Q$ with finite elastic energy is $W^{1,2}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R} P^{2}\right)$.


Schlieren texture of a nematic film with surface point defects (boojums). Oleg Lavrentovich (Kent State)

Possible defects in constrained theory
$Q=s\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1}\right)$
Hedgehog $\quad n(x)=\frac{x}{|x|}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla n(x)=\frac{1}{|x|}(1-n \otimes n) \\
& |\nabla n(x)|^{2}=\frac{2}{|x|^{2}} \\
& \int_{0}^{1} r^{2-p} d r<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q, n \in W^{1, p}, 1 \leq p<3$
Finite energy

## Disclinations



## Index one half singularities



Zhang/Kumar 2007
Carbon nano-tubes as liquid crystals
$Q \notin W^{1,2}$


## Existence of minimizers in the constrained theory

Immediate in $W^{1,2}\left(\Omega, \mathbf{R} P^{2}\right)$, for a variety of boundary conditions, under suitable inequalities on the $L_{i}$, since $\psi_{E}$ is then convex in $\nabla Q$ and coercive and the uniaxiality contraint is weakly closed.

## The equilibrium equations (JB/Majumdar)

Let $Q$ be a minimizer of

$$
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega} \psi_{E}(Q, \nabla Q) d x
$$

subject to $Q \in K=\left\{s\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} 1\right): n \in S^{2}\right\}$. Considering a variation

$$
Q_{\varepsilon}=s\left(\frac{[n+\varepsilon a \wedge n] \otimes[n+\varepsilon a \wedge n]}{|n+\varepsilon a \wedge n|^{2}}-\frac{1}{3} 1\right),
$$

with $a$ smooth and of compact support, we get the weak form of the equilibrium equations

$$
Z Q=Q Z,
$$

where $Z_{i j}=\frac{\partial \psi_{E}}{\partial Q_{i j}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \psi_{E}}{\partial D_{i j k}}\left(\psi_{E}\right.$ symmetrized $)$.

## Can we orient the director? (JB/Zarnescu)

We say that $Q=Q(x)$ is orientable if we can write

$$
Q(x)=s\left(n(x) \otimes n(x)-\frac{1}{3} 1\right),
$$

where $n \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega, S^{2}\right)$.
This means that for each $x$ we can make a choice of the unit vector $n(x)= \pm \tilde{n}(x) \in S^{2}$ so that $n(\cdot)$ has some reasonable regularity, sufficient to have a well-defined gradient $\nabla n$ (in topological jargon such a choice is called a lifting).

## Relating the $Q$ and $n$ descriptions

Proposition
Let $Q=s\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} 1\right), s$ a nonzero constant, $|n|=1$ a.e., belong to $W^{1, p}\left(\Omega ; \mathbf{R} P^{2}\right)$ for some $p, 1 \leq p<\infty$. If $n$ is continuous along almost every line parallel to the coordinate axes, then $n \in W^{1, p}\left(\Omega, S^{2}\right)$ (in particular $n$ is orientable), and

$$
n_{i, k}=Q_{i j, k} n_{j}
$$

Theorem 1
An orientable $Q$ has exactly two orientations.
Proof
Suppose that $n$ and $\tau n$ both generate $Q$ and belong to $W^{1,1}\left(\Omega, S^{2}\right)$, where $\tau^{2}(x)=1$ a.e.. For a.e. $x_{2}, x_{3}$, both $n(x)$ and $\tau(x) n(x)$ are absolutely continuous in $x_{1}$. Hence

$$
\tau(x) n(x) \cdot n(x)=\tau(x)
$$

is continuous in $x_{1}$. Hence the weak partial derivative $\tau_{, 1}$ exists and is zero. Similarly $\tau_{, 2}, \tau_{, 3}$ exist and are zero. Thus $\nabla \tau=0$ a.e. in $\Omega$. Hence $\tau=1$ a.e. or $\tau=-1$ a.e..

A smooth nonorientable director field in a non simply connected region.


The index one half singularities are non-orientable


## Theorem 2

## If $\Omega$ is simply-connected and $Q \in W^{1, p}$,

 $p \geq 2$, then $Q$ is orientable.(See also a recent topologically more general lifting result of Bethuel and Chiron for maps $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathrm{N}$.)

Thus in a simply-connected region the uniaxial de Gennes and Oseen-Frank theories are equivalent.


Another consequence is that it is impossible to modify this Q-tensor field in a core around the singular line so that it has finite Landau-de Gennes energy.

## Ingredients of Proof of Theorem 2

- Lifting possible if Q is smooth and $\Omega$ simplyconnected
- Pakzad-Rivière theorem (2003) implies that if $\partial \Omega$ is smooth, then there is a sequence of smooth $\mathrm{Q}^{(j)}$ converging weakly to Q in $\mathrm{W}^{1,2}$
- We can approximate a simply-connected domain with boundary of class C by ones that are simply-connected with smooth boundary
- The Proposition implies that orientability is preserved under weak convergence


## 2D examples and results for non simply-connected regions

 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, \omega_{i} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, i=1, \ldots, n$ be bounded, open and simply connected, with $C^{1}$ boundary, such that $\bar{\omega}_{i} \subset \Omega, \bar{\omega}_{i} \cap \bar{\omega}_{j} \neq \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, and set $G=\Omega \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\omega}_{i}$.

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{2}=\left\{Q=s\left(n \otimes n-\frac{1}{3} \mathbf{1}\right): n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, 0\right)\right\}
$$

Given $Q \in W^{1,2}\left(G ; \mathcal{Q}_{2}\right)$ define the auxiliary complex-valued map

$$
A(Q)=\frac{2}{s} Q_{11}-\frac{1}{3}+i \frac{2}{s} Q_{12}
$$

Then $A(Q)=Z(n)^{2}$,
where $Z(n)=n_{1}+i n_{2}$.
$A: Q_{2} \rightarrow S^{1}$ is bijective.

Let $C=\{C(s): 0 \leq s \leq 1\}$ be a smooth Jordan curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

If $Z: C \rightarrow S^{1}$ is smooth then the degree of $Z$ is the integer

$$
\operatorname{deg}(Z, C)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{Z_{s}}{Z} d s
$$

Writing $Z(s)=e^{i \theta(s)}$ we have that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(Z, C)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{1} i \theta_{s} d s=\frac{\theta(1)-\theta(0)}{2 \pi}
$$

If $Z \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(C ; S^{1}\right)$ then the degree may be defined by the same formula

$$
\operatorname{deg}(Z, C)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{Z_{s}}{Z} d s
$$

interpreted in the sense of distributions (L. Boutet de Monvel).

Theorem
Let $Q \in W^{1,2}\left(G ; Q_{2}\right)$. The following are equivalent:
(i) $Q$ is orientable.
(ii) $\operatorname{Tr} Q \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(C ; Q_{2}\right)$ is orientable for every component $C$ of $\partial G$.
(iii) $\operatorname{deg}(A(\operatorname{Tr} Q), C) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for each component $C$ of $\partial G$.

We sketch the proof, which is technical.
(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) for continuous $Q$


The orientation at the beginning and end of the loop are the same since we can pass the loop through the holes using orientability on the boundary.
(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). If $\operatorname{Tr} Q$ is orientable on $C$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}(A(\operatorname{Tr} Q), C) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(Z^{2}(n), C\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\left(Z^{2}\right)_{s}}{Z^{2}} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} 2 \frac{Z_{s}}{Z} d s \\
& =2 \operatorname{deg}(Z(n), C)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, if $A(\operatorname{Tr} Q(s))=e^{i \theta(s)}$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}(A(\operatorname{Tr} Q), C)=\frac{\theta(1)-\theta(0)}{2 \pi} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

then $Z(s)=e^{\frac{i \theta(s)}{2}} \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(C, S^{1}\right)$ and so $\operatorname{Tr} Q$ is orientable.

We have seen that the (constrained) Landaude Gennes and Oseen-Frank theories are equivalent in a simply-connected domain. Is this true in 2D for domains with holes?

If we specify $Q$ on each boundary component then by the Theorem either all $Q$ satisfying the boundary data are orientable (so that the theories are equivalent), or no such $Q$ are orientable, so that the Oseen Frank theory cannot apply and the Landau- de Gennes theory must be used.

More interesting is to apply boundary conditions which allow both the Landau - de Gennes and Oseen-Frank theories to be used and compete energetically.

$$
G=\Omega \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\omega}_{i}
$$

So we consider the problem of minimizing

$$
I(Q)=\int_{G}|\nabla Q|^{2} d x
$$

subject to $\left.Q\right|_{\partial \Omega}=g$ orientable with the boundaries $\partial \omega_{i}$ free.

Since $A$ is bijective and

$$
I(Q)=\frac{2}{s^{2}} \int_{G}|\nabla A(Q)|^{2} d x
$$

our minimization problem is equivalent to min. imizing

$$
\widehat{I}(m)=\frac{2}{s^{2}} \int_{G}|\nabla m|^{2} d x
$$

in $W_{A(g)}^{1,2}\left(G ; S^{1}\right)=$

$$
\left\{m \in W^{1,2}\left(G ; S^{1}\right):\left.m\right|_{\partial \Omega}=A(g)\right\}
$$

In order that $Q$ is orientable on $\partial \Omega$ we need that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(m, \partial \Omega) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

We always have that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(m, \partial \Omega)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg}\left(m, \partial \omega_{i}\right)
$$

Hence if there is only one hole ( $n=1$ ) then $\operatorname{deg}\left(m, \partial \omega_{1}\right)$ is even and so every $Q$ is orientable.

So to have both orientable and non-orientable $Q$ we need at least two holes.

Tangent boundary conditions on outer boundary. No (free) boundary conditions on inner circles.

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla Q|^{2} d x \\
I(n)=2 s^{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla n|^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$




For M large enough the minimum energy configuration is unoriented, even though there is a minimizer among oriented maps.

If the boundary conditions
correspond to the Q-field shown, then there is no orientable Q that satisfies them.

The general case of two holes $(n=2)$.
Let $h(g)$ be the solution of the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta h(g) & =0 \text { in } G \\
\frac{\partial h(g)}{\partial \nu} & =A(g) \times \frac{\partial A(g)}{\partial \tau} \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
h(g) & =0 \text { on } \partial \omega_{1} \cup \partial \omega_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ is the tangential derivative on the boundary (cf Bethuel, Brezis, Helein).

Let $J(g)=\left(J(g)^{1}, J(g)^{2}\right)$, where
$J(g)^{i}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial \omega_{i}} \frac{\partial h(g)}{\partial \nu} d s$.

Theorem
All global minimizers are nonorientable iff

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(J(g)^{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right)<\operatorname{dist}\left(J(g)^{1}, 2 \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

and all are orientable iff

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(J(g)^{1}, 2 \mathbb{Z}\right)<\operatorname{dist}\left(J(g)^{1}, 2 \mathbb{Z}+1\right)
$$

In the stadium example we can show that the first condition holds whatever the distance between the holes, so that the minimizer is always non-orientable.

## Existence for full Q-tensor theory

We have to minimize

$$
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\psi_{B}(Q)+\psi_{E}(Q, \nabla Q)\right] d x
$$

subject to suitable boundary conditions.
Suppose we take $\psi_{B}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ to be continuous and bounded below, $\mathcal{E}=\left\{Q \in M^{3 \times 3}\right.$ : $\left.Q=Q^{T}, \operatorname{tr} Q=0\right\}$, (e.g. of the quartic form considered previously) and

$$
\psi_{E}(Q, \nabla Q)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} L_{i} I_{i},
$$

which is the simplest form that reduces to OseenFrank in the constrained case.

Theorem (Davis \& Gartland 1998)
Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let $L_{4}=0$ and

$$
L_{3}>0,-L_{3}<L_{2}<2 L_{3},-\frac{3}{5} L_{3}-\frac{1}{10} L_{2}<L_{1}
$$

Let $\bar{Q}: \partial \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be smooth. Then

$$
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\psi_{B}(Q)+\sum_{i=1}^{3} L_{i} I_{i}(\nabla Q)\right] d x
$$

attains a minimum on

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\{Q \in W^{1,2}(\Omega ; \mathcal{E}):\left.Q\right|_{\partial \Omega}=\bar{Q}\right\}
$$

## Proof

By the direct method of the calculus of variations. Let $Q^{(j)}$ be a minimizing sequence in $\mathcal{A}$. the inequalities on the $L_{i}$ imply that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} L_{i} I_{i}(\nabla Q) \geq \mu|\nabla Q|^{2}
$$

for all $Q$ (in particular $\sum_{i=1}^{3} I_{i}(\nabla Q)$ is convex in $\nabla Q)$. By the Poincaré inequality we have that

$$
Q^{(j)} \text { is bounded in } W^{1,2}
$$

so that for a subsequence (not relabelled)

$$
Q^{(j)} \rightharpoonup Q^{*} \text { in } W^{1,2}
$$

for some $Q^{*} \in \mathcal{A}$.

We may also assume, by the compactness of the embedding of $W^{1,2}$ in $L^{2}$, that $Q^{(j)} \rightarrow Q$ a.e. in $\Omega$. But

$$
I\left(Q^{*}\right) \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} I\left(Q^{(j)}\right)
$$

by Fatou's lemma and the convexity in $\nabla Q$. Hence $Q^{*}$ is a minimizer.

In the quartic case we can use elliptic regularity (Davis \& Gartland) to show that any minimizer $Q^{*}$ is smooth.

Proposition. For any boundary conditions, if $L_{4} \neq 0$ then

$$
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\psi_{B}(Q)+\sum_{i=1}^{4} L_{i} I_{i}\right] d x
$$

is unbounded below.

Proof. Choose any $Q$ satisfying the boundary conditions, and multiply it by a smooth function $\varphi(x)$ which equals one in a neighbourhood of $\partial \Omega$ and is zero in some ball $B \subset \Omega$, which we can take to be $B(0,1)$. We will alter $Q$ in $B$ so that

$$
J(Q)=\int_{B}\left[\psi_{B}(Q)+\sum_{i=1}^{4} L_{i} I_{i}\right] d x
$$

is unbounded below subject to $\left.Q\right|_{\partial B}=0$.

Choose

$$
Q(x)=\theta(r)\left[\frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|}-\frac{1}{3} 1\right], \theta(1)=0
$$

where $r=|x|$. Then

$$
|\nabla Q|^{2}=\frac{2}{3} \theta^{\prime 2}+\frac{4}{r^{2}} \theta^{2}
$$

and

$$
I_{4}=Q_{k l} Q_{i j, k} Q_{i j, l}=\frac{4}{9} \theta\left(\theta^{\prime 2}-\frac{3}{r^{2}} \theta^{2}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{array}{r}
J(Q) \leq 4 \pi \int_{0}^{1} r^{2}\left[\psi_{B}(Q)+C\left(\frac{2}{3} \theta^{\prime 2}+\frac{4}{r^{2}} \theta^{2}\right)+\right. \\
\left.L_{4} \frac{4}{9} \theta\left(\theta^{\prime 2}-\frac{3}{r^{2}} \theta^{2}\right)\right] d r
\end{array}
$$

where $C$ is a constant.
Provided $\theta$ is bounded, all the terms are bounded except

$$
4 \pi \int_{0}^{1} r^{2}\left(\frac{2}{3} C+\frac{4}{9} L_{4} \theta\right) \theta^{\prime 2} d r
$$

## Choose

$$
\theta(r)= \begin{cases}\theta_{0}(2+\sin k r) & 0<r<\frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \theta_{0}\left(2+\sin \frac{k}{2}\right)(1-r) & \frac{1}{2}<r<1\end{cases}
$$

The integrand is then bounded on $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and we need to look at
$4 \pi \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{2}\left(\frac{2}{3} C+\frac{4}{9} L_{4} \theta_{0}(2+\sin k r)\right) \theta_{0}^{2} k^{2} \cos ^{2} k r d r$,
which tends to $-\infty$ if $L_{4} \theta_{0}$ is sufficiently negative.

## The Onsager model (joint work with Apala Majumdar)

In the Onsager model the probability measure $\mu$ is assumed to be continuous with density $\rho=$ $\rho(p)$, and the bulk free-energy at temperature $\theta>0$ has the form

$$
I_{\theta}(\rho)=U(\rho)-\theta \eta(\rho)
$$

where the entropy is given by

$$
\eta(\rho)=-\int_{S^{2}} \rho(p) \ln \rho(p) d p
$$

With the Maier-Saupe molecular interaction, the internal energy is given by

$$
U(\rho)=\kappa \int_{S^{2}} \int_{S^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{3}-(p \cdot q)^{2}\right] \rho(p) \rho(q) d p d q
$$

where $\kappa>0$ is a coupling constant.
Denoting by

$$
Q(\rho)=\int_{S^{2}}\left(p \otimes p-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) \rho(p) d p
$$

the corresponding $Q$-tensor, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Q(\rho)|^{2} & =\int_{S^{2}} \int_{S^{2}}\left(p \otimes p-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) \cdot\left(q \otimes q-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) \rho(p) \rho(q) d p d q \\
& =\int_{S^{2}} \int_{S^{2}}\left[(p \cdot q)^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right] \rho(p) \rho(q) d p d q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $U(\rho)=-\kappa|Q(\rho)|^{2}$ and

$$
I_{\theta}(\rho)=\theta \int_{S^{2}} \rho(p) \ln \rho(p) d p-\kappa|Q(\rho)|^{2}
$$

Given $Q$ we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{B}(Q, \theta) & =\inf _{\{\rho: Q(\rho)=Q\}} I_{\theta}(\rho) \\
& =\theta_{\{\rho: Q(\rho)=Q\}} \inf _{S^{2}} \rho \ln \rho d p-\kappa|Q|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. Katriel, J., Kventsel, G. F., Luckhurst, G.
R. and Sluckin, T. J.(1986))

Let

$$
J(\rho)=\int_{S^{2}} \rho(p) \ln \rho(p) d p
$$

Given $Q$ with $Q=Q^{T}, \operatorname{tr} Q=0$ and satisfying $\lambda_{i}(Q)>-1 / 3$ we seek to minimize $J$ on the set of admissible $\rho$
$\mathcal{A}_{Q}=\left\{\rho \in L^{1}\left(S^{2}\right): \rho \geq 0, \int_{S^{2}} \rho d p=1, Q(\rho)=Q\right\}$.

Remark: We do not impose the condition $\rho(p)=\rho(-p)$, since it turns out that the minimizer in $\mathcal{A}_{Q}$ satisfies this condition.

Lemma. $\mathcal{A}_{Q}$ is nonempty.
(Remark: this is not true if we allow some $\lambda_{i}=-1 / 3$.)

Proof. A singular measure $\mu$ satisfying the constraints is

$$
\mu=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\lambda_{i}+\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\delta_{e_{i}}+\delta_{-e_{i}}\right)
$$

and a $\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{Q}$ can be obtained by approximating this.

For $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small and $i=1,2,3$ let

$$
\varphi_{i}^{\varepsilon}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }\left|p \cdot e_{i}\right|<1-\varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{4 \pi \varepsilon} & \text { if }\left|p \cdot e_{i}\right| \geq 1-\varepsilon\end{cases}
$$

Then
$\rho(p)=\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)(1-\varepsilon)} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[\lambda_{i}+\frac{1}{3}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{6}\right] \varphi_{e_{i}}^{\varepsilon}(p)$
works. $\square$

Theorem. $J$ attains a minimum at a unique $\rho_{Q} \in \mathcal{A}_{Q}$.

Proof. By the direct method, using the facts that $\rho \ln \rho$ is strictly convex and grows superlinearly in $\rho$, while $\mathcal{A}_{Q}$ is sequentially weakly closed in $L^{1}\left(S^{2}\right)$. $\square$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } f(Q)=J\left(\rho_{Q}\right)=\inf _{\rho \in \mathcal{A}_{Q}} J(\rho) \text {, so that } \\
& \qquad \psi_{B}(Q, \theta)=\theta f(Q)-\kappa|Q|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

$f$ is strictly convex in $Q$ and

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{\min }(Q) \rightarrow-\frac{1}{3}+} f(Q)=\infty
$$

Proof
The strict convexity of $f$ follows from that of $\rho \ln \rho$. Suppose that $\lambda_{\min }\left(Q^{(j)}\right) \rightarrow-\frac{1}{3}$ but $f\left(Q^{(j)}\right)$ remains bounded. Then
$Q^{(j)} e^{(j)} \cdot e^{(j)}+\frac{1}{3}\left|e^{(j)}\right|^{2}=\int_{S^{2}} \rho_{Q^{(j)}}(p)\left(p \cdot e^{(j)}\right)^{2} d p \rightarrow 0$,
where $e^{(j)}$ is the eigenvector of $Q^{(j)}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\min }\left(Q^{(j)}\right)$.

But we can assume that $\rho_{Q^{(j)}} \rightharpoonup \rho$ in $L^{1}\left(S^{2}\right)$, where $\int_{S^{2}} \rho(p) d p=1$ and that $e^{(j)} \rightarrow e,|e|=1$. Passing to the limit we deduce that

$$
\int_{S^{2}} \rho(p)(p \cdot e)^{2} d p=0
$$

But this means that $\rho(p)=0$ except when $p \cdot e=0$, contradicting $\int_{S^{2}} \rho(p) d p=1 . \square$

## The Euler-Lagrange equation for J

Theorem. Let $Q=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$. Then

$$
\rho_{Q}(p)=\frac{\exp \left(\mu_{1} p_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2} p_{2}^{2}+\mu_{3} p_{3}^{2}\right)}{Z\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)}
$$

where
$Z\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)=\int_{S^{2}} \exp \left(\mu_{1} p_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2} p_{2}^{2}+\mu_{3} p_{3}^{2}\right) d p$.
The $\mu_{i}$ solve the equations

$$
\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu_{i}}=\lambda_{i}+\frac{1}{3}, \quad i=1,2,3
$$

and are unique up to adding a constant to each $\mu_{i}$.

Proof. We need to show that $\rho_{Q}$ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation. There is a small difficulty due to the constraint $\rho \geq 0$. For $\tau>0$ let $S_{\tau}=\left\{p \in S^{2}: \rho_{Q}(p)>\tau\right\}$, and let $z \in L^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right)$ be zero outside $S_{\tau}$ and such that

$$
\int_{S_{\tau}}\left(p \otimes p-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) z(p) d p=0, \quad \int_{S_{\tau}} z(p) d p=0
$$

Then $\rho_{\varepsilon}:=\rho_{Q}+\varepsilon z \in \mathcal{A}_{Q}$ for all $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. Hence

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} J\left(\rho_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=\int_{S_{\tau}}\left[1+\ln \rho_{Q}\right] z(p) d p=0
$$

So by Hahn-Banach

$$
1+\ln \rho_{Q}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} C_{i j}\left[p_{i} p_{j}-\frac{1}{3}\right]+C
$$

for constants $C_{i j}(\tau), C(\tau)$. Since $S_{\tau}$ increases as $\tau$ decreases the constants are independent of $\tau$, and hence

$$
\rho_{Q}(p)=A \exp \left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} C_{i j} p_{i} p_{j}\right) \text { if } \rho_{Q}(p)>0
$$

Suppose for contradiction that

$$
E=\left\{p \in S^{2}: \rho_{Q}(p)=0\right\}
$$

is such that $\mathcal{H}^{2}(E)>0$. Note that since $\int_{S^{2}} \rho_{Q} d p=1$ we also have that $\mathcal{H}^{2}\left(S^{2} \backslash E\right)>0$. There exists $z \in L^{\infty}\left(S^{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\left\{\rho_{Q}>0\right\}}\left(p \otimes p-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) z(p) d p=0, \int_{\left\{\rho_{Q}>0\right\}} z(p) d p=4 \pi .
$$

Indeed if this were not true then by HahnBanach we would have

$$
1=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} D_{i j}\left(p_{i} p_{j}-\frac{1}{3} \delta_{i j}\right) \text { on } S^{2} \backslash E
$$

for a constant matrix $D=\left(D_{i j}\right)$.
Changing coordinates we can assume that $D=$
$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i} e_{i} \otimes e_{i}$ and so $1=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i}\left(p_{i}^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right)$ on $S^{2} \backslash E$ for constants $\mu_{i}$. If the $\mu_{i}$ are equal then the right-hand side is zero, a contradiction, while if the $\mu_{i}$ are not all zero it is easily shown that the intersection of $S^{2}$ with the set of such $p$ has 2D measure zero.

Define for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\rho_{\varepsilon}=\rho_{Q}+\varepsilon-\varepsilon z
$$

Then $\rho_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{A}_{Q}$, since $\int_{S^{2}}\left(p \otimes p-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) d p=0$. Hence, since $\rho_{Q}$ is the unique minimizer,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{E} \varepsilon \ln \varepsilon+\int_{\left\{\rho_{Q}>0\right\}}\left[\left(\rho_{Q}+\varepsilon-\varepsilon z\right) \ln \left(\rho_{Q}+\varepsilon-\varepsilon z\right)\right. \\
\left.-\rho_{Q} \ln \rho_{Q}\right] d p>0 .
\end{array}
$$

This is impossible since the second integral is of order $\varepsilon$.
Hence we have proved that

$$
\rho_{Q}(p)=A \exp \left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} C_{i j} p_{i} p_{j}\right), \text { a.e. } p \in S^{2}
$$

Lemma. Let $R^{T} Q R=Q$ for some $R \in O$ (3). Then $\rho_{Q}(R p)=\rho_{Q}(p)$ for all $p \in S^{2}$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^{2}}(p \otimes p & \left.-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) \rho_{Q}(R p) d p \\
& =\quad \int_{S^{2}}\left(R^{T} q \otimes R^{T} q-\frac{1}{3} 1\right) \rho_{Q}(q) d q \\
& =R^{T} Q R=Q
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\rho_{Q}$ is unique. $\square$

Applying the lemma with $R e_{i}=-e_{i}, R e_{j}=e_{j}$ for $j \neq i$, we deduce that for $Q=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$,

$$
\rho_{Q}(p)=\frac{\exp \left(\mu_{1} p_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2} p_{2}^{2}+\mu_{3} p_{3}^{2}\right)}{Z\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)}
$$

where
$Z\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)=\int_{S^{2}} \exp \left(\mu_{1} p_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2} p_{2}^{2}+\mu_{3} p_{3}^{2}\right) d p$, as claimed.

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \mu_{i}} & =Z^{-1} \int_{S^{2}} p_{i}^{2} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \mu_{j} p_{j}^{2}\right) d p \\
& =\lambda_{i}+\frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the uniqueness of the $\mu_{i}$ up to adding a constant to each follows from the uniqueness of $\rho_{Q}$. $\square$

Hence the bulk free energy has the form

$$
\psi_{B}(Q, \theta)=\theta \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_{i}\left(\lambda_{i}+\frac{1}{3}\right)-\theta \ln Z-\kappa \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{2}
$$

## Consequences

1. Logarithmic divergence of $\psi_{B}$ as $\min \lambda_{i}(Q) \rightarrow-\frac{1}{3}$.
2. All critical points of $\psi_{B}$ are uniaxial.
3. Phase transition predicted from isotropic to uniaxial nematic phase just as in the quartic model.
4. Minimizers $\rho^{*}$ of $I_{\theta}(\rho)$ correspond to minimizers over $Q$ of $\psi_{B}(Q, \theta)$. These $\rho^{*}$ were calculated and shown to be uniaxial by Fatkullin and Slastikov (2005).
5. Using a maximum principle, or a projection method, we can show that minimizers of

$$
I(Q)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\psi_{B}(Q)+K|\nabla Q|^{2}\right] d x
$$

subject to $Q(x)=Q_{0}(x)$ for $x \in \partial \Omega$, where $K>0$ and $Q_{0}(\cdot)$ is sufficiently smooth with $\lambda_{\min }\left(Q_{0}(x)\right)>-\frac{1}{3}$, satisfy

$$
\lambda_{\min }(Q(x))>-\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon
$$

for some $\varepsilon>0$.
(Compare nonlinear elasticity, for which the energy is $I(y)=\int_{\Omega} W(\nabla y(x)) d x$, with $W(A) \rightarrow \infty$ for $\operatorname{det} A \rightarrow 0+$.)

One might think that for a minimizer to have the integrand infinite somewhere is some kind of contradiction, but in fact this is a common phenomenon in the calculus of variations, even in one dimension.

Example (B \& Mizel)
Minimize

$$
I(u)=\int_{-1}^{1}\left[\left(x^{4}-u^{6}\right)^{2} u_{x}^{28}+\epsilon u_{x}^{2}\right] d x
$$

subject to

$$
u(-1)=-1, u(1)=1
$$

with $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0} \approx .001$.


Result of finite-element minimization, minimizing $I\left(u_{h}\right)$ for a piecewise affine approximation $u_{h}$ to $u$ on a mesh of size $h$, when $h$ is very small. The method converges and produces two curves $u^{ \pm}$.


However the real minimizer is $y^{*}$, which has a singularity

$$
y^{*}(x) \sim|x|^{\frac{2}{3}} \operatorname{sign} x \text { as } x \sim 0
$$

Voir http://www.maths.ox.ac.uk/~ball sous teaching pour les diapositives

The end

