

## Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2017/18



**C. M. Bice and B. P. Zampatti**

**SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-8  
SARDI Research Report Series No. 1007**

**SARDI Aquatics Sciences  
PO Box 120 Henley Beach SA 5022**

**February 2019**

# **Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2017/18**

**C. M. Bice and B. P. Zampatti**

**SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-8  
SARDI Research Report Series No. 1007**

**February 2019**

This publication may be cited as:

Bice, C. M. and Zampatti, B. P. (2019). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2017/18. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-8. SARDI Research Report Series No. 1007. 81pp.

### SARDI Aquatic Sciences

2 Hamra Avenue  
West Beach SA 5024

Telephone: (08) 8207 5400

Facsimile: (08) 8207 5415

<http://www.pir.sa.gov.au/research>

### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this publication do not purport to represent the position of the Commonwealth of Australia or the MDBA in any way and are presented for the purpose of informing and stimulating discussion for improved management of the Basin's natural resources. To the extent permitted by law, the copyright holders (including its employees and consultants) exclude all liability to any person for any consequences, including but not limited to all losses, damages, costs, expenses and any other compensation, arising directly or indirectly from using this report (in part or in whole) and any information or material contained in it. The authors warrant that they have taken all reasonable care in producing this report. The report has been through the SARDI internal review process, and has been formally approved for release by the Research Director, Aquatic Sciences. Although all reasonable efforts have been made to ensure quality, SARDI does not warrant that the information in this report is free from errors or omissions. SARDI and its employees do not warrant or make any representation regarding the use, or results of the use, of the information contained herein as regards to its correctness, accuracy, reliability and currency or otherwise. SARDI and its employees expressly disclaim all liability or responsibility to any person using the information or advice. Use of the information and data contained in this report is at the user's sole risk. If users rely on the information they are responsible for ensuring by independent verification its accuracy, currency or completeness. The SARDI Report Series is an Administrative Report Series which has not been reviewed outside the department and is not considered peer-reviewed literature. Material presented in these Administrative Reports may later be published in formal peer-reviewed scientific literature.

### © 2019 SARDI & DEW

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968* (Cth), no part may be reproduced by any process, electronic or otherwise, without the specific written permission of the copyright owner. Neither may information be stored electronically in any form whatsoever without such permission. With the exception of the Commonwealth Coat of Arms, the Murray-Darling Basin Authority logo and photographs, all material presented in this document is provided under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)


For the avoidance of any doubt, this licence only applies to the material set out in this document.



The details of the licence are available on the Creative Commons website (accessible using the links provided) as is the full legal code for the CC BY 4.0 licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>)

Source: Licensed from the Department for Environment and Water (DEW) under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence. Enquiries regarding the licence and any use of the document are welcome to: Adrienne Rumbelow, LLCMM Icon Site Coordinator [adrienne.rumbelow@sa.gov.au](mailto:adrienne.rumbelow@sa.gov.au)

SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-8  
SARDI Research Report Series No. 1007

Author(s):	C. M. Bice and B. P. Zampatti
Reviewer(s):	J. Nicol (SARDI), A. Rumbelow and R. Turner (DEW)
Approved by:	Q. Ye Program Leader –Inland Waters and Catchment Ecology
Signed:	
Date:	4 February 2019
Distribution:	DEW, MDBA, SAASC Library, Parliamentary Library, State Library and National Library
Circulation:	Public Domain

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES .....	V
LIST OF TABLES.....	VIII
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	X
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	1
1. INTRODUCTION .....	4
1.1. Background.....	4
1.2. Objectives.....	6
2. METHODS.....	8
2.1. Study area and fishways .....	8
2.2. Fish sampling.....	11
2.3. Data analysis .....	14
2.4. Assessment against TLM Ecological Targets.....	17
3. RESULTS .....	20
3.1. Hydrology .....	20
3.2. Catch summary.....	23
3.3. Temporal variation in fish assemblages .....	26
3.4. Spatial variation in fish assemblages in 2017/18.....	36
3.5. Spatio-temporal variation in the abundance and recruitment of diadromous species ..	38
3.6. Assessment of TLM condition monitoring targets .....	47
4. DISCUSSION .....	50
4.1. Fish assemblages.....	50
4.2. Abundance, recruitment and assessment of ecological targets for diadromous fish ....	53
4.3. Implications for management and operation of the barrages and fishways .....	55
5. CONCLUSION.....	58
REFERENCES .....	59
APPENDICES.....	66

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. A map of the Coorong and Lake Alexandrina at the terminus of the River Murray, southern Australia showing the study area in the Coorong estuary, highlighting the Murray Mouth and Murray Barrages (bold lines). Goolwa and Tauwitchere barrages are identified, as are the fish sampling locations (red dots); Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Hunters Creek vertical slot (Hunters Creek), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS) and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and rock ramp (TRR).....	9
Figure 2-2. Annual freshwater discharge (GL) through the Murray Barrages into the Coorong estuary from 1975–March 2018. Dashed lines represent mean annual end of system discharge pre- (blue) and post-regulation (red).....	10
Figure 2-3 a) Cage trap used to sample the Tauwitchere and Goolwa vertical-slot fishways and b) large fyke net used to sample adjacent Goolwa Barrage. A net of the same dimensions was also used to sample adjacent to the Tauwitchere rock ramp. ....	13
Figure 3-1. a) Mean daily flow (ML.d <sup>-1</sup> ) to the Coorong through the Murray Barrages (all barrages combined) from July 2005–March 2018 and b) Mean daily salinity (g.L <sup>-1</sup> ) of the Coorong below Tauwitchere (grey line) and Goolwa (black line) barrages from July 2005–January 2018. Sampling periods are represented by hatched bars. Barrage discharge data was sourced from DEW, whilst salinity data was sourced from water quality monitoring stations immediately below Tauwitchere and Goolwa Barrages (DEW 2018). ....	22
Figure 3-2. Relative abundance (number of fish.hour <sup>-1</sup> .trap event <sup>-1</sup> ) of fish (all species combined) sampled at a) the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), and b) the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR) and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), from 2006–2018. Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08, whilst sampling at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) commenced in 2010/11. Sampling at the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage commenced in 2008/09. No sampling was conducted at any site in 2012/13. ....	27
Figure 3-3. Species richness (all sites combined) from 2006–2018, including the contribution of species from different estuarine-use categories, i.e. freshwater (freshwater ‘estuarine-opportunists’ and ‘stragglers’ combined), diadromous (catadromous and anadromous combined), estuarine (solely estuarine and ‘estuarine and marine’ combined) and marine (marine ‘estuarine-opportunists’ and ‘stragglers’ combined). Guilds follow those proposed by Potter <i>et al.</i> (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice <i>et al.</i> (In Press).....	28

Figure 3-4. MDS ordination trajectory plots of fish assemblages sampled at a) Tauwitchere rock ramp, b) Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, c) Goolwa vertical-slot, d) adjacent Goolwa Barrage, e) Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and f) Hunters Creek vertical-slot, between 2006 and 2018. ....29

Figure 3-5. MDS ordination plot of fish assemblages sampled at the a) Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), and b) Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) in 2017/18.....37

Figure 3-6. Relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of a) pouched lamprey and b) short-headed lamprey at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) from 2006–2018. No sampling was undertaken in 2012/13, whilst Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08 and the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage was not sampled in 2006/07 and 2007/08. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot was only sampled in 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2013/14. Data from 2011/12, 2013/14, 2015/16, 2016/17 and 2017/18 includes supplementary sampling in winter. ....39

Figure 3-7. Relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of a) congolli and b) common galaxias at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) from 2006–2018. Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08 and adjacent Goolwa Barrage was not sampled in 2006/07 and 2007/08. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot were sampled from 2010/11 onwards. All sites were not sampled in 2012/13.....41

Figure 3-8. Relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of a) congolli and b) common galaxias at adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) from October 2017–January 2018. ....43

Figure 3-9. Monthly length-frequency distributions (total length, mm) of congolli sampled below a) Tauwitchere Barrage (rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot combined) b) Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage combined) and c) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot from October 2017–January 2018. *n* is the number of fish measured and the total number of fish collected in each month at each site is presented in brackets. ....45

**Figure 3-10.** Monthly length-frequency distributions (total length, mm) of common galaxias sampled below a) Tauwitchere Barrage (rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot

combined) b) Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage combined) and c) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot from October 2017–January 2018. *n* is the number of fish measured and the total number of fish collected in each month at each site is presented in brackets. ....46

Figure 3-11. Catadromous annual recruitment index (*RI*, number of upstream migrating YOY.hour<sup>-1</sup> ± half confidence interval for a) congolli and b) common galaxias from 2006/07 to 2017/18 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13). The reference value is indicated by the blue line and half confidence intervals indicated by dashed lines.....47

Figure 3-12. Anadromous migration index (*MI*) for short-headed lamprey (*open circles*) and pouched lamprey (*closed circles*) from 2006/07 to 2017/18 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13). The blue line represents the reference value and dashed line indicates a 40% tolerance and level deemed to indicate target was met. \* indicate years in which specific sampling for lamprey occurred during winter. ....49

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Details of sites where fish were sampled at the Murray Barrages in 2017/18, including site name, abbreviated name used throughout and the barrage associated with site, as well as latitude and longitude.....	12
Table 2-2. Definitions of fish 'estuarine use' categories and guilds represented by fishes of the Coorong, following the approach of Potter et al. (2015), and designated by Bice et al. (In Press). Examples of representative species from the Coorong are presented for each guild.....	16
Table 3-1. Summary of species and total number of fish sampled from the entrances of the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, Tauwitchere small vertical-slot, Goolwa vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot, and from the Tauwitchere rock-ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage in spring–summer 2017/18. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Potter et al. (2015) and designations presented by Bice et al. (2018).....	24
Table 3-2. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere rock ramp from 2006 to 2018. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$ ) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds proposed by Potter <i>et al.</i> (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice <i>et al.</i> (In Press).....	32
Table 3-3. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot from 2006–2018, and at the small vertical-slot from 2010–2017. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$ ) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds proposed by Potter <i>et al.</i> (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice <i>et al.</i> (In Press).....	33
Table 3-4. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Goolwa vertical slot from 2006–2018 and adjacent Goolwa Barrage from 2008–2018. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$ ) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds proposed by Potter <i>et al.</i> (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice <i>et al.</i> (In Press). ....	35
Table 3-5. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages at the Hunters Creek vertical slot from 2010–2018. Only significant indicators (i.e. $p < 0.05$ ) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds proposed by Potter <i>et al.</i> (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice <i>et al.</i> (In Press).....	36



Table 3-6. Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot, in 2017/18. ....37

Table 3-7. Summary of results of uni-variate single factor PERMANOVA to determine differences in the relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of congolli and common galaxias sampled from 2006–2018 at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Tauwitchere small-vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot. PERMANOVA was performed on Euclidean Distance similarity matrices.  $\alpha = 0.05$ . ....40

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to Adrienne Rumbelow, Kirsty Wedge and Rebecca Turner (Department for Environment and Water, Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site) for facilitating funding and managing this project, and for ongoing support of aquatic ecosystem research in the Coorong and Lower Lakes. To Michael Shelton, Leigh Angus, Ray Maynard, Bryce Buchanan, Greg Bald, Dave Bishop, Arron Strawbridge, Ian Magraith and George Giatas, and all the other SA Water Barrage and SARDI staff who braved four seasons in one day to set and retrieve traps, and assist in processing fish, thanks for all your efforts. This project was funded by The Living Murray initiative of the Murray–Darling Basin Authority. The Living Murray is a joint initiative funded by the New South Wales, Victorian, South Australian, Australian Capital Territory and Commonwealth Governments, coordinated by the Murray–Darling Basin Authority. All sampling was conducted under an exemption (no. ME9902942) of section 115 of the *Fisheries Management Act 2007*. Thanks to Jason Nicol and Gavin Begg (SARDI), and Adrienne Rumbelow and Rebecca Turner (DEW) for reviewing this report

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Lower Lakes and Coorong, at the terminus of the Murray–Darling Basin (MDB), are considered a wetland of international importance under the Ramsar Convention and an icon site under *The Living Murray Initiative*. The region supports a diverse fish assemblage of ecological, cultural and commercial importance. An understanding of variability in estuarine fish populations and assemblage structure in relation to freshwater inflow and antecedent conditions is fundamental to the management of estuarine ecosystems. Data on diadromous fish migration and estuarine fish assemblage structure has been collected since 2006 to inform against specific ecological objectives and targets within the Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site Management Plan.

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of freshwater inflows and connectivity between the Lower Lakes and Coorong on fish assemblage structure and migration, and diadromous fish recruitment in 2017/18. By sampling fish attempting to move through the barrage fishways and inhabiting sites adjacent the barrages, we aimed to:

1. Determine the species composition and abundance of fish species immediately downstream of the barrages and/or attempting to move between the Coorong and Lower Lakes via the barrage fishways in 2017/18, and assess spatio-temporal variation in assemblage structure in relation to 2006–2017;
2. Assess spatio-temporal variability in the recruitment and relative abundance of catadromous fish (i.e. congolli, *Pseudaphritis urvillii*, and common galaxias, *Galaxias maculatus*) attempting to migrate upstream at the Murray Barrages in 2017/18, and in relation to long-term data from 2006–2017;
3. Assess spatio-temporal variability in the relative abundance of anadromous fish (i.e. pouched lamprey, *Geotria australis*, and short-headed lamprey, *Mordacia mordax*) attempting to migrate upstream at the Murray Barrages in 2017/18, and in relation to long-term data from 2006–2017;
4. Utilise these data to inform on Ecological Targets associated with the following revised Ecological Objective (F-1) – ‘Promote the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong’ (Robinson 2014); and
5. Inform operation of the barrages and development of the lakes and barrages operating strategies.

Hydrology in 2017/18 was characterised by moderate freshwater discharge (i.e. annual volume ~900 GL to March 2018; maximum discharge during sampling = ~12,500 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>), and in association, salinity below the barrages was brackish (4–32 g.L<sup>-1</sup>). The fish assemblage sampled was diverse (27 species) and dominated by the marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat (*Hyperlophus vittatus*, 43.3% of total catch) and catadromous congolli (28.5%), whilst the freshwater bony herring (*Nematalosa erebi*, 10.4%) and Australian smelt (*Retropinna semoni*, 6.9%) were also abundant. Assemblages from 2017/18 were similar to those of previous years of moderate freshwater discharge in 2013–2016 (annual discharge 500–1,700 GL), including moderate abundances of freshwater and marine species, and high abundance of catadromous fishes.

The abundance of the catadromous congolli and common galaxias remained high in 2017/18, relative to 2006–2012, and included increases at many sites relative to 2016/17. Over 90% of all individuals sampled were newly recruited young-of-the-year. High levels of recruitment of catadromous species in 2017/18 was likely a result of a combination of two mechanisms: 1) high levels of hydrological connectivity between freshwater and marine environments throughout winter/early spring 2017 and subsequently, favourable conditions for migration, spawning and survival of larvae/juveniles under brackish salinities; and 2) high spawning output as a result of high abundance of reproductively mature adults. Strong recruitment of congolli and common galaxias has been observed from 2010/11 to 2016/17, and likely led to high abundance of reproductively mature individuals during the 2017 spawning season, contributing to high spawning output. These results highlight the importance of providing freshwater discharge to the Coorong on an annual basis and the cumulative benefit of consecutive 'favourable' years on population dynamics.

No pouched lamprey were sampled at the Murray Barrages during spring/summer 2017/18, but a total of 53 individuals were sampled during specific winter monitoring in 2017. This reinforced the peak migration period for this species during winter and the need to incorporate specific winter monitoring to adequately assess population status. No short-headed lamprey were sampled throughout 2017/18.

In 2017/18, congolli exhibited seasonal peaks in migration in December and January. For common galaxias, peaks in abundance differed between sites, and occurred in November, December or January. This general period of upstream migration (October–January) for catadromous species is consistent with previous years monitoring. Based on timing of upstream

and downstream movements of diadromous species derived from fishway monitoring from 2006–2018, and allied projects, freshwater discharge and fishway operation should be facilitated at the Barrages annually from at least June–January. This encompasses three key periods: 1) June–August to allow for downstream spawning migrations of congolli and common galaxias and upstream migrations of pouched lamprey; 2) August–November to allow for upstream migrations of short-headed lamprey; and 3) October–January to allow for the upstream migrations of juvenile congolli and common galaxias.

The results of this investigation highlight the influence of freshwater inflow and hydrological connectivity on fish assemblages of the Coorong. In general, the assemblage trended towards the diverse, but variable fish assemblages that characterise dynamic estuarine environments under freshwater influence. Abundances of catadromous congolli and common galaxias remained high relative to all preceding years, with the exception of 2014/15. These recent data indicate that the annual recruitment target was met for congolli and common galaxias. In addition, the ecological target relating to migration of pouched lamprey was also achieved. As such, the Ecological Objective (F-1) '*promoting the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong*' was generally met for catadromous fishes, and pouched lamprey. Nonetheless, the ecological target relating to migration of short-headed lamprey was not achieved. Continued freshwater discharge and connectivity between the Lower Lakes and the Coorong is essential for the maintenance of populations of diadromous, estuarine and estuarine-dependent marine species and maintaining diversity in estuarine fish communities.

**Keywords:** estuarine, fishway, diadromous, *Galaxias*, *Pseudaphritis*, lamprey.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background

Estuaries form a dynamic interface and conduit between freshwater and marine ecosystems, supporting high levels of biological productivity and diversity (Day *et al.* 1989, Goecker *et al.* 2009). Freshwater flows to estuaries transport nutrients and sediments and maintain a unique mixing zone between freshwater and marine environments (Whitfield 1999). Nevertheless, throughout the world, anthropogenic modification of rivers has diminished freshwater flows to estuaries and threatens the existence of estuarine habitats (Gillanders and Kingsford 2002, Flemer and Champ 2006). In addition, structures that regulate flow may alter the longitudinal connectivity between estuarine and freshwater environments (Lucas and Baras 2001).

Estuaries support complex fish assemblages, characterised by a broad range of life history strategies (Whitfield 1999), and as such, fishes are key indicators of the impacts of altered freshwater inflows to estuaries and of barriers to connectivity (Gillanders and Kingsford 2002, Kocovsky *et al.* 2009). The interplay of temporally variable freshwater inflow and tidal cycle determines estuarine salinity regimes, influencing the structure of fish assemblages, which in turn are often characterised by a spatio-temporally variable mix of freshwater, estuarine and marine fish species (Kupschus and Tremain 2001, Barletta *et al.* 2005). Estuaries also represent critical spawning and recruitment habitats, and essential migratory pathways for diadromous fish (McDowall 1988, Beck *et al.* 2001). Consequently, changes to flow regimes and physical barriers to movement represent significant threats to estuarine dependent fishes, particularly diadromous species (Lassalle and Rochard 2009).

The Lower Lakes and Coorong estuary in south-eastern Australia lies at the terminus of Australia's longest river system, the Murray–Darling, and the region is an icon site under *The Living Murray Initiative* (TLM). The river system is highly regulated and on average only ~39% (4723 GL) of the natural mean annual discharge (12,233 GL) now reaches the ocean (CSIRO 2008). Furthermore, the river now ceases to flow through the Murray Mouth 40% of the time compared to 1% under natural unregulated conditions (CSIRO 2008). The estuary is separated from the lower river by a series of tidal barrages that form an abrupt physical and biological barrier, and have substantially reduced the area of the historical estuary.

From 1997–2010, south-eastern Australia experienced severe drought (the 'Millennium Drought') resulting in reduced inflows to the Murray–Darling Basin (MDB) (Van Dijk *et al.* 2013). Over a four

year period (2006–2010), a combination of reduced system-wide inflows and consumptive water use resulted in reduced flow to the Lower Lakes (<600 GL.y<sup>-1</sup> in 2007 and 2008), causing a reduction in water level downstream of Lock 1 of >1.5 m and the cessation of freshwater flow to the Coorong estuary. Disconnection of the Coorong from the Lower Lakes resulted in increased salinities in the Coorong and a concomitant decrease in fish species diversity (Zampatti *et al.* 2010). When brackish conditions prevailed, fish assemblages were characterised by a diversity of freshwater, diadromous, estuarine and marine species. As salinities increased, however, the abundance of freshwater, diadromous and estuarine species decreased and marine species became more common (Zampatti *et al.* 2010). Furthermore, catadromous congolli (*Pseudaphritis urvillii*) and common galaxias (*Galaxias maculatus*) exhibited high inter-annual variations in recruitment, with significant declines in the abundance of young-of-the-year (YOY) migrants and contraction of migration and spawning periods (Zampatti *et al.* 2011). Anadromous short-headed lamprey (*Mordacia mordax*) and pouched lamprey (*Geotria australis*), present in 2006/07, were absent through 2007–2010.

The following seven-year period (2010–2017), was characterised by contrasting hydrology; increased inflows in the MDB in 2010/11 resulted in large-scale flooding and the return of typical water levels to the Lower Lakes, and subsequently, the delivery of large volumes (12,498 GL) of freshwater to the Coorong, with further high volumes of freshwater in 2011/12 (8795 GL), and 2012/13 (5177 GL). Discharge declined, and was moderate during 2013/14 (1647 GL), 2014/15 (984 GL) and 2015/16 (562 GL), before another high flow year in 2016/17 (6536 GL). Annual (650 GL) and three-year rolling average (2000 GL.yr<sup>-1</sup>) targets for barrage discharge volumes established under the Icon Site Environmental Water Management Plan, were achieved in all years except 2015/16. Increased discharge, relative to 2007–2010, was accompanied by significant changes in fish assemblage structure in the Murray Estuary. The fish assemblage in 2010/11 was dominated by freshwater (e.g. Australian smelt *Retropinna semoni*) and small-bodied estuarine species (e.g. lagoon goby *Tasmanogobius lasti*), whilst marine species and some estuarine species decreased in abundance (Zampatti *et al.* 2012). Recruitment of catadromous congolli and common galaxias was enhanced, resulting in increased abundance relative to 2007–2010. Nonetheless, short-headed lamprey and pouched lamprey were not collected.

The fish assemblages sampled from 2011/12 to 2016/17 have been variable (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13), and reflected variability in freshwater discharge over this period (Bice *et al.* 2012). High flow years (2011/12 and 2016/17) have been characterised by high species

richness and abundance of freshwater species, together with high abundance of catadromous (congolli and common galaxias), and certain estuarine (e.g. lagoon goby) and marine migrant (sandy sprat *Hyperlophus vittatus*) species. Decreasing freshwater flow from 2013 to 2016, however, saw assemblages begin transitioning towards that observed in 2006/07, prior to the prolonged period of zero discharge (2007–2010). Nonetheless, the abundance of catadromous fishes remained high throughout 2011–2017, whilst pouched lamprey have been detected in five years, and short-headed lamprey in one year.

The year 2017/18, represented the eighth consecutive year of freshwater discharge to the Coorong and connectivity between the Coorong and Lower Lakes, post the Millennium drought (Van Dijk *et al.* 2013). This provided the opportunity to assess the continued response of fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment to freshwater flow and connectivity. Such data are integral to the understanding of hydrologically mediated patterns in fish assemblage structure and movement. Ultimately, these data can be used to assess specific ecological targets as revised by Robinson (2014) and outlined in the Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site Condition Monitoring Plan (DEWNR 2017) and will aid future management of the system, including informing the lakes and barrages operating strategies.

## 1.2. Objectives

The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of freshwater inflows and connectivity between the Lower Lakes and Coorong on fish assemblage structure and migration, and diadromous fish recruitment. Using the barrage fishways as a sampling tool we specifically aimed to:

1. Determine the species composition and abundance of fish immediately downstream of the barrages and/or attempting to move between the Coorong and Lower Lakes via the barrage fishways in spring–summer 2017/18, and assess spatio-temporal variation in assemblage structure in relation to 2006–2017;
2. Investigate spatio-temporal variability in the recruitment and relative abundance of catadromous fish (i.e. congolli and common galaxias) attempting to migrate upstream at the Murray Barrages in 2017/18, in relation to long-term data from 2006–2017;
3. Assess spatio-temporal variability in the relative abundance of anadromous fish (i.e. pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey) attempting to migrate upstream at the Murray Barrages in 2017/18, and in relation to long-term data from 2006–2017;



4. Utilise these data to inform on Ecological Targets associated with the following revised Ecological Objective (F-1): *'Promote the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong'* (Robinson 2014); and
5. Inform the development of lakes and barrages operating strategies currently under development through the Variable Lakes Project.


## 2. METHODS

### 2.1. Study area and fishways


This study was conducted at the interface between the Coorong estuary and Lower Lakes of the River Murray, in southern Australia (Figure 2-1). The River Murray discharges into a shallow (mean depth 2.9 m) expansive lake system, comprised of Lakes Alexandrina and Albert before flowing into the Coorong and finally the Southern Ocean via the Murray Mouth.

Under natural conditions, mean annual discharge was ~12,233 GL, but there was strong inter-annual variation (Puckridge *et al.* 1998). Under regulated conditions, an average of ~4723 GL.y<sup>-1</sup> reaches the sea, although from 1997–2010 this was substantially less and zero for a period of over three years (March 2007 – September 2010) (Figure 2-2). Discharge increased abruptly in September 2010 and annual discharges in 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2012/13 were approximately 12,500, 8800 and 5200 GL, respectively (Figure 2-2). Annual discharge continued to decrease in subsequent years, with moderate discharge in 2013/14 (~1600 GL), 2014/15 (~984 GL) and 2015/16 (~562 GL), but high discharge was again experienced in 2016/17 (~6536 GL) (Figure 2-2).

The Coorong is a narrow (2–3 km wide) estuarine lagoon running southeast from the Murray Mouth and parallel to the coast for ~140 km (Figure 2-1). It consists of a northern and southern lagoon bisected by a constricted region that limits water exchange (Geddes and Butler 1984). The region was designated a Wetland of International Importance under the Ramsar Convention in 1985, based upon its unique ecological character and importance to migratory wading birds (Phillips and Muller 2006).



**Figure 2-1.** A map of the Coorong and Lake Alexandrina at the terminus of the River Murray, southern Australia showing the study area in the Coorong estuary, highlighting the Murray Mouth and Murray Barrages (bold lines). Goolwa and Tauwitchere barrages are identified, as are the fish sampling locations (red dots); Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Hunters Creek vertical slot (Hunters Creek), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS) and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and rock ramp (TRR).



**Figure 2-2.** Annual freshwater discharge (GL) through the Murray Barrages into the Coorong estuary from 1975–March 2018. Dashed lines represent mean annual end of system discharge pre- (blue) and post-regulation (red).

In the 1940s, five tidal barrages with a total length of 7.6 km were constructed to prevent saltwater intrusion into the Lower Lakes and maintain stable freshwater storage for consumptive use (Figure 2-1). The construction of the barrages dramatically reduced the extent of the estuary, creating an impounded freshwater environment upstream and an abrupt ecological barrier between estuarine/marine and freshwater habitats. Pool level upstream of the barrages was typically regulated for most of the year at an average of 0.75 m AHD (Australian Height Datum), but in recent years has been varied to meet ecological objectives.

Following the construction of the barrages the increased frequency of years without freshwater discharge to the estuary and reduced tidal incursion has contributed to a reduction in estuary depth and the prevalence of hypersaline ( $>40 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ ) salinities (Geddes 1987, Walker 2002). During times of low freshwater discharge, salinity ranges from marine ( $30\text{--}35 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ ) near the Murray Mouth to hypersaline ( $>100 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ ) at the lower end of the Southern Lagoon (Geddes and Butler

1984). During periods of high freshwater discharge, salinities near the Murray Mouth and in the Northern Lagoon are typically brackish (i.e. 5–30 g.L<sup>-1</sup>) (Geddes 1987).

In 2004, three experimental fishways (2 x large vertical-slots and 1 x rock ramp) were constructed on the Murray Barrages (Barrett and Mallen-Cooper 2006) with the aim of facilitating fish movement between the Coorong and Lower Lakes. The two large vertical slot fishways (slope = 13.6%), located on Goolwa and Tauwichee Barrages, were designed to pass fish >150 mm total length (TL) and discharge approximately 30–40 ML.d<sup>-1</sup> (Mallen-Cooper 2001). Assessments of these fishways indicated they were effective in passing fishes >150 mm in length, but the passage of small-bodied species and small life stages (<100 mm TL), which predominated catches, was largely obstructed (Stuart *et al.* 2005, Jennings *et al.* 2008). The rock ramp fishway (slope = 1:27) constructed on Tauwichee Barrage aimed to pass fish 40–150 mm in length. Nevertheless, this fishway was found to have a limited operational window with function influenced by downstream tidal level and upstream water levels (Jennings *et al.* 2008).

In 2009, additional small vertical-slot fishways (slope ~3%) were constructed on Tauwichee barrage and the Hunters Creek causeway. These new fishways were designed with internal hydraulics that were considered favourable for the upstream passage of small-bodied fish (i.e. low headloss, velocity and turbulence) and to operate with low discharge (<5 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>). Both fishways effectively facilitate the passage of small-bodied fish (Zampatti *et al.* 2012). Furthermore, from 2014 to 2018, a series of seven further fishways were constructed as part of the *Coorong, Lower Lakes and Murray Mouth Program* (Bice *et al.* 2017a). These fishways are likely to greatly enhance fish passage at the Murray Barrages, but are not monitored under the current program.

## 2.2. Fish sampling


In spring–summer 2017/18, samples of fish were collected from the entrances of four vertical-slot fishways on Tauwichee and Goolwa Barrages, and the Hunters Creek causeway (Figure 2-1 and Table 2-1). Samples of fish were also collected from a site adjacent to the rock ramp fishway at the southern end of Tauwichee Barrage and a site adjacent the Hindmarsh Island abutment of the Goolwa Barrage (hereafter ‘adjacent Goolwa Barrage’) (Figure 2-1 and Table 2-1).

**Table 2-1.** Details of sites where fish were sampled at the Murray Barrages in 2017/18, including site name, abbreviated name used throughout and the barrage associated with site, as well as latitude and longitude.

Name	Abbreviation	Barrage	Latitude	Longitude
Tauwitchere large vertical-slot	TVS	Tauwitchere	35°35'09.35"S	139°00'30.58"E
Tauwitchere small vertical-slot	TSVS	Tauwitchere	35°35'23.44"S	139°00'56.23"E
Tauwitchere rock ramp	TRR	Tauwitchere	35°35'23.60"S	139°00'56.30"E
Goolwa vertical-slot	GVS	Goolwa	35°31'34.44"S	138°48'31.12"E
Adjacent Goolwa Barrage	GDS	Goolwa	35°31'24.16"S	138°48'33.79"E
Hunters Creek vertical-slot	Hunters	Hunters Creek causeway	35°32'07.08"S	138°53'07.48"E

The entrances of the vertical-slot fishways were sampled using aluminium-framed cage traps, designed to fit into the first cell of each fishway (Tauwitchere large vertical-slot: 2.3 m long x 4.0 m wide x ~2.0 m depth and 0.3 m slot widths; Tauwitchere small vertical-slot: 1.2 m long x 1.6 m wide x ~1.0 m depth and 0.2 m slot widths; Goolwa large vertical-slot: 2.6 m long x 3.6 m wide x ~3.6 m depth, 0.3 m slot widths (each baffle was modified in 2010 to three 200 mm wide x 500 mm deep orifices); Hunters Creek: 1.6 m long x 1.6 m wide x ~0.6 m depth and 0.1 m slot widths) (Figure 2-3a). Traps for the large vertical-slot fishways at Tauwitchere and Goolwa were covered with 6 mm knotless mesh and featured a double cone-shaped entrance configuration (each 0.39 m high x 0.15 m wide) to maximise entry and minimise escapement. Traps for the small vertical-slot fishways at Tauwitchere and Hunters Creek were covered with 3 mm knotless mesh with single cone-shaped entrances (each 0.75 m high x 0.11 m wide).

Large double-winged fyke nets (6.0 m long x 2.0 m wide x 1.5 m high with 8.0 m long wings) covered with 6 mm knotless mesh were used to sample the immediate area downstream of Tauwitchere Barrage at the rock ramp fishway and downstream Goolwa Barrage (Figure 2-3b). At both locations, the net was set adjacent to the barrage to capture fish utilising this area.



**Figure 2-3** a) Cage trap used to sample the Tauwitchere and Goolwa vertical-slot fishways and b) large fyke net used to sample adjacent Goolwa Barrage. A net of the same dimensions was also used to sample adjacent to the Tauwitchere rock ramp.

Four weeks of sampling were conducted monthly between 23 October 2017 and 19 January 2018. The sites adjacent the Tauwitchere rock ramp and Goolwa Barrage were sampled once overnight during each sampling week. All vertical-slot fishway sites were sampled overnight 3 times per sampling week, with the exception of the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, which could not be sampled in October 2017 due to limited access to the barrage. Cage traps at the large vertical-slot fishways were deployed and retrieved using a mobile crane (Figure 2-3a). All trapped fish were removed and placed in aerated holding tanks. Each individual was then identified to species and counted. For catadromous congolli and common galaxias, during each trapping event a random sub-sample of up to 50 individuals were measured to the nearest mm (total length, TL) to represent the size structure of the population.

In addition, the vertical-slot fishways sampled in the current project, together with additional fishways on Goolwa, Mundoo, Boundary Creek and Ewe Island barrages were sampled in winter 2017, specifically targeting upstream migrations of lamprey for an allied MDBA funded project. The project is investigating the upstream migration of pouched lamprey in the River Murray and will be reported on in 2019. Data on lamprey abundance at the Murray Barrages in winter 2017 are incorporated with data collected in the current project to enable calculation of lamprey-specific metrics and target assessment.

Estimated daily barrage discharge and salinity data were obtained from the Department of Environment and Water (DEW).

## 2.3. Data analysis

### ***Temporal variability in fish assemblages***

Temporal variability in fish assemblages was investigated by assessing changes in total fish abundance (all species combined), species richness and diversity, and fish assemblage structure (i.e. species composition and individual species abundance). Differences in the relative abundance (fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of fish (all species combined) sampled between years at each site were analysed using uni-variate single-factor PERMANOVA (permutational ANOVA and MANOVA), in the software package PRIMER v. 6.1.12 and PERMANOVA+ (Anderson *et al.* 2008). These analyses were performed on fourth-root transformed relative abundance data. This routine tests the response of a variable (e.g. total fish abundance) to a single factor (e.g. year) in a traditional ANOVA (analysis of variance) experimental design using a resemblance measure (Euclidean distance) and permutation methods (Anderson *et al.* 2008). Unlike ANOVA, PERMANOVA does not assume samples come from normally distributed populations or that variances are equal. Changes in species richness and diversity were qualitatively assessed by comparing total species richness (number of species sampled across all sampling sites) and the contribution of species from different estuarine-use categories and guilds (as defined by Potter *et al.* 2015 and classified for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice *et al.* In Press) between years (Table 2.2).

Data from the Tauwichee small-vertical slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot were excluded from these analyses as they have only been sampled since 2010.

The composition of fish assemblages sampled at each location was assessed between all sampling years (i.e. 2006–2017). Non-Metric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) trajectory plots generated from Bray-Curtis similarity matrices of fourth-root transformed relative abundance data (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trip<sup>-1</sup>) were used to graphically represent the transition of assemblages between years in two dimensions. PERMANOVA, based on the same similarity matrices, was used to detect differences in assemblages between years. To allow for multiple comparisons between years at each site, a false discovery rate (FDR) procedure presented by Benjamini and Yekutieli (2001), hereafter the 'B–Y method' correction, was adopted ( $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n (1/i)$ ; e.g. for  $n_{comparisons} = 15$ , B-Y method  $\alpha = 0.05 / (1/1 + 1/2 + 1/3 + \dots + 1/15) = 0.015$ ) (Benjamini and Yekutieli 2001, Narum 2006). When significant differences occurred, a similarity of percentages (SIMPER) analysis was undertaken to identify species contributing to these differences. A 40% cumulative contribution cut-off was applied.



Indicator species analysis (ISA) (Dufrene and Legendre 1997) was used to calculate the indicator value (site fidelity and relative abundance) of species between years at each site using the package PCOrd v 5.12 (McCune and Mefford 2006). Non-abundant species may 'characterise' an assemblage without largely contributing to the difference between years detected with PERMANOVA. Such species may be important indicators of environmental change. A perfect indicator remains exclusive to a particular group or site and exhibits strong site fidelity during sampling (Dufrene and Legendre 1997). Statistical significance was determined for each species indicator value using the Monte Carlo (randomisation) technique ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

**Table 2-2.** Definitions of fish ‘estuarine use’ categories and guilds represented by fishes of the Coorong, following the approach of Potter et al. (2015), and designated by Bice et al. (In Press). Examples of representative species from the Coorong are presented for each guild.

Category and guild	Definition	Example
<b>Marine category</b>		
Marine straggler	Truly marine species that spawn at sea and only sporadically enter estuaries, and in low numbers.	King George whiting ( <i>Sillaginodes punctatus</i> )
Marine estuarine-opportunist	Marine species that spawn at sea, but regularly enter estuaries in substantial numbers, particularly as juveniles, but use, to varying degrees, coastal marine waters as alternative nurseries.	Mulloway ( <i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i> )
<b>Estuarine category</b>		
Solely estuarine	Species that complete their life cycles only in estuaries.	Small-mouthed hardyhead ( <i>Atherinosoma microstoma</i> )
Estuarine and marine	Species represented by populations that may complete their life cycles only in estuaries, but also discrete populations that complete their lifecycle in marine environments.	Bridled goby ( <i>Arenogobius bifrenatus</i> )
<b>Diadromous category</b>		
Anadromous	Most growth and adult residence occurs in the marine environment prior to migration into, spawning and larval/juvenile development in freshwater environments.	Pouched lamprey ( <i>Geotria australis</i> )
Catadromous	Most growth and adult residence occurs in the freshwater environments prior to migration into, spawning and larval/juvenile development in marine environments.	Congolli ( <i>Pseudaphritis urvillii</i> )
Semi-catadromous	As per catadromous species, but spawning run extends as far as downstream estuarine areas rather than the ocean.	Common galaxias ( <i>Galaxias maculatus</i> )
<b>Freshwater category</b>		
Freshwater straggler	Truly freshwater species that spawn in freshwater environments and only sporadically enter estuaries, and in low numbers.	Golden perch ( <i>Macquaria ambigua</i> )
Freshwater estuarine-opportunist	Freshwater species found regularly and in moderate numbers in estuaries, and whose distribution can extend beyond low salinity zones of these system.	Bony herring ( <i>Nematalosa erebi</i> )

### ***Intra-annual spatial variability in fish assemblages***

Spatial variation in fish assemblages between sampling locations in 2017/18 was also investigated using MDS, PERMANOVA and ISA. Due to differences in sampling methods, spatial variation was assessed separately for the vertical-slot fishway sites and the two sites sampled with the large fyke net (i.e. the Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage). MDS plots generated from Bray-Curtis similarity matrices were used to graphically represent assemblages from different locations in two dimensions and PERMANOVA was used to detect differences in assemblages between locations. To allow for multiple comparisons between sites within 2017/18, a B–Y method FDR correction for significance was adopted. ISA was then used to determine what species characterised assemblages at the different sampling locations in 2017/18.

### ***Spatio-temporal variability in diadromous species abundance***

Inter-annual (2006–2018) differences in the standardised abundance (fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey were qualitatively assessed. Inter-annual differences in the standardised abundance of common galaxias and congolli (fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) sampled at all six sites were analysed using uni-variate single-factor PERMANOVA (Anderson *et al.* 2008). Intra-annual (monthly) differences in the standardised abundance (fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of common galaxias and congolli sampled at all sites in 2017/18 were also analysed using uni-variate single-factor PERMANOVA (Anderson *et al.* 2008).

## **2.4. Assessment against TLM Ecological Targets**

A specific Ecological Objective (F-1), in the revised Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site Condition Monitoring Plan (Robinson 2014) is to – ‘*Promote the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong*’. The achievement of this objective is determined by the assessment of three ecological targets. These targets were developed from empirical data collected from 2006 to 2014 and relate specifically to the migration and recruitment of congolli and common galaxias, and the migration of short-headed and pouched lamprey:

1. The annual abundance of upstream migrating YOY congolli is  $\geq$  the lower confidence bound of the recruitment reference value (i.e. lower bound 22.67 YOY.hr<sup>-1</sup>);
  2. The annual abundance of upstream migrating YOY common galaxias is  $\geq$  the lower confidence bound of the recruitment reference value (i.e. lower bound 3.12 YOY.hr<sup>-1</sup>);
- and

3. Pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey are sampled from  $\geq 60\%$  of the vertical-slot fishway sites sampled in any given year.

### **Ecological Target 1**

This target is assessed by calculating an annual recruitment index for congolli, derived by calculating overall site abundance of upstream migrating YOY (i.e. fish.hr<sup>-1</sup>) during the period November to January and comparing that to a predetermined reference value and associated confidence intervals. Annual recruitment index is calculated using equation 1:

$$\text{Equation 1 } RI = (S_1(\text{mean}((r^*A_{Nov})) + (r^*A_{Dec}) + (r^*A_{Jan})) + S_2(\text{mean}((r^*A_{Nov}) + (r^*A_{Dec}) + (r^*A_{Jan})) \dots S_n)$$

where  $S$  = site,  $A$  = abundance (fish hour<sup>-1</sup>) and  $r$  = the proportion of the sampled population comprised of YOY (i.e. <60 mm in length). The annual recruitment index ( $RV$ )  $\pm$  half confidence interval =  $44.26 \pm 21.78$  YOY.hr<sup>-1</sup>.

### **Ecological Target 2**

This target is assessed by calculating an annual recruitment index for common galaxias, derived by calculating overall site abundance of upstream migrating YOY (i.e. fish.hr<sup>-1</sup>) during the period October to December and comparing that to a predetermined reference value and associated confidence intervals. Annual recruitment index is calculated using equation 1:

$$\text{Equation 2 } RI = (S_1(\text{mean}((r^*A_{Oct})) + (r^*A_{Nov}) + (r^*A_{Dec})) + S_2(\text{mean}((r^*A_{Oct}) + (r^*A_{Nov}) + (r^*A_{Dec})) \dots S_n)$$

where  $S$  = site,  $A$  = abundance (fish hour<sup>-1</sup>) and  $r$  = the proportion of the sampled population comprised of YOY (i.e. <60 mm in length). The annual recruitment index ( $RV$ )  $\pm$  half confidence interval =  $6.12 \pm 3.00$  YOY.hr<sup>-1</sup>.

### ***Ecological Target 3***

The achievement of this target is assessed by determining a migration index for both pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey. The annual migration index is calculated as the ratio of the proportion of sites from which these species were sampled in a given year, against the proportion of sites from which these species were sampled in a predetermined reference year:

$$\text{Equation 3 Short – headed lamprey } MI(\text{year}) = \frac{\text{Proportion of sites where detected (of GVS,GDS,TVS,TRR and TSVS)}}{\text{Proportion of sites where detected in 2006/07}}$$

$$\text{Equation 4 Pouched lamprey } MI(\text{year}) = \frac{\text{Proportion of sites where detected (of GVS,GDS,TVS,TRR and TSVS)}}{\text{Proportion of sites where detected in 2011/12}}$$

This provides a value of *MI* of  $\leq 1.0$  and an arbitrary tolerance of 0.4 is adopted, i.e.  $MI \geq 0.6$  is taken to suggest achievement of target. These indices are calculated from all monitoring undertaken at the Murray Barrages in a given year, including spring/summer monitoring under the current project and specific lamprey monitoring during winter, which has occurred in 2011, 2013 and 2015–2017. Whilst this influences comparability of data between years it was deemed necessary for these rare species and inter-annual variability in sampling effort needs to be considered during interpretation of results.

### 3. RESULTS


#### 3.1. Hydrology

Freshwater discharge to the Coorong and salinity were highly variable over the period 2005–2018. Generally, sampling years could be grouped based upon hydrology as follows: 1) very low or no discharge (0–63 GL; 2006–2010); 2) high discharge (5200–12,500 GL; 2010–2013 and 2016/17); and moderate discharge (540–1,650 GL; 2013–2016, and 2017/18).

Prior to sampling in 2006, low-volume freshwater flows of 1000–12,000 ML.d<sup>-1</sup> were consistently released into the Coorong through barrage 'gates', but by September 2006 discharge was confined to fishways (Tauwitechere: 20–40 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>, Goolwa: ~20 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 3-1a). Low inflows from the River Murray and receding water levels in the Lower Lakes resulted in the closure of fishways in March 2007 (Figure 3-1a) and persistent drought in the MDB resulted in no freshwater being released to the Coorong until September 2010. Significant inflows to the Lower Lakes in late 2010 saw the fishways reopened and the release of large volumes of freshwater to the Coorong throughout the 2010/11 sampling season. Cumulative flow across the barrages peaked at >80,000 ML.d<sup>-1</sup> with a mean daily discharge ( $\pm$  SE) of 49,955  $\pm$  1396 ML.d<sup>-1</sup> over the 2010/11 sampling period (Figure 3-1a). High-volume freshwater flows continued throughout the 2011/12 sampling season (range 800–34,600 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>; mean daily discharge = 10,823  $\pm$  657 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>) and 2012/13 (range 220–69,000 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>; mean daily discharge = 12,617  $\pm$  948 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>), although no sampling was conducted in 2012/13 (Figure 3-1a). Low–medium volume flows occurred throughout 2013/14 with flow during the sampling season ranging 20–18,020 ML.d<sup>-1</sup> and a mean daily discharge of 1617  $\pm$  217 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>. Discharge continued to decrease through 2014/15 (range 8–2950 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>; mean = 1547  $\pm$  67 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>) and 2015/16 (range 1–1503 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>; mean = 128  $\pm$  28 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>), before increasing substantially in 2016/17, with cumulative flow across the barrages peaking at >80,000 ML.d<sup>-1</sup> and a mean daily discharge ( $\pm$  SE) of 36,851  $\pm$  2277 ML.d<sup>-1</sup> over the sampling period. Flow had decreased during sampling in 2017/18, with a mean of 3340  $\pm$  270 ML.d<sup>-1</sup> and ranging 0–12,498 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>.

During sampling in 2006/07, salinity below Tauwitechere and Goolwa Barrages fluctuated 20–34 g.L<sup>-1</sup> (mean = 28.42  $\pm$  0.18 g.L<sup>-1</sup>) and 11–29 g.L<sup>-1</sup> (mean = 21.93  $\pm$  0.29 g.L<sup>-1</sup>), respectively (Figure 3-1b). Following the cessation of freshwater releases in March 2007, salinities at Tauwitechere increased and ranged 30–60 g.L<sup>-1</sup> until September 2010. Salinities at Goolwa Barrage, between March 2007 and September 2010, also increased, ranging from 26–37 g.L<sup>-1</sup>.

Following significant increases in freshwater releases to the Coorong in September 2010, salinities over the 2010/11 sampling period ranged 0.3–25 g.L<sup>-1</sup> at Goolwa Barrage and 0.2–27 g.L<sup>-1</sup> at Tauwichee Barrage; however, mean salinities were significantly reduced at both Goolwa ( $1.95 \pm 0.31$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) and Tauwichee ( $3.78 \pm 0.33$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) (Figure 3-1b). During 2011/12 sampling, salinity was more variable, ranging 0.3–32 g.L<sup>-1</sup> at Goolwa (mean =  $10.39 \pm 0.77$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) and 3–26 g.L<sup>-1</sup> (mean =  $12.69 \pm 0.42$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) at Tauwichee (Figure 3-1b). In 2012/13, salinity fluctuated over a similar range to 2011/12, but no sampling was conducted. During sampling in 2013/14, decreasing freshwater flows resulted in increased salinity relative to the three previous years; nevertheless, conditions remained 'brackish' with salinity ranging 0.5–30 g.L<sup>-1</sup> (mean =  $13.53 \pm 0.86$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) at Goolwa and 5–22 g.L<sup>-1</sup> (mean =  $10.39 \pm 0.77$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) at Tauwichee. Further decreases in freshwater discharge were associated with increases in salinity in 2014/15 (Goolwa: range 7–32 g.L<sup>-1</sup>; mean =  $18.68 \pm 0.60$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>. Tauwichee: range 15–32 g.L<sup>-1</sup>; mean =  $22.73 \pm 0.39$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) and 2015/16 (Goolwa: range 21–31 g.L<sup>-1</sup>; mean =  $27 \pm 2.86$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>. Tauwichee: range 19–34 g.L<sup>-1</sup>; mean =  $27.76 \pm 3.16$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>). A substantial increase in discharge in 2016/17 was associated with reduced salinities, similar to 2010/11, ranging 0.2–26 g.L<sup>-1</sup> at Goolwa Barrage and 0.2–20 g.L<sup>-1</sup> at Tauwichee Barrage. Mean salinities were substantially reduced relative to 2014–2016 at both Goolwa ( $3.45 \pm 0.68$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) and Tauwichee ( $4.98 \pm 0.46$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>). In 2017/18, salinity was generally 'brackish' downstream of both Goolwa (range 4–24 g.L<sup>-1</sup>; mean =  $13 \pm 0.4$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>) and Tauwichee Barrages (range 7–32 g.L<sup>-1</sup>; mean =  $16 \pm 0.7$  g.L<sup>-1</sup>).



**Figure 3-1.** a) Mean daily flow (ML.d<sup>-1</sup>) to the Coorong through the Murray Barrages (all barrages combined) from July 2005–March 2018 and b) Mean daily salinity (g.L<sup>-1</sup>) of the Coorong below Tauwitchere (grey line) and Goolwa (black line) barrages from July 2005–January 2018. Sampling periods are represented by hatched bars. Barrage discharge data was sourced from DEW, whilst salinity data was sourced from water quality monitoring stations immediately below Tauwitchere and Goolwa Barrages (DEW 2018).



### **3.2. Catch summary**

A total of 376,120 fish from 27 species were sampled in spring–summer 2017/18 (Table 3-1). The marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat (43.3%) and catadromous congolli (28.5%) dominated the total catch, whilst the freshwater bony herring (*Nematalosa erebi*, 10.4%), Australian smelt (6.9%) and redfin perch (*Perca fluviatilis*, 2.9%), and semi-catadromous common galaxias (3.1%) were also abundant. The remaining 21 species collectively comprised <5% of the total catch.

**Table 3-1.** Summary of species and total number of fish sampled from the entrances of the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, Tauwitchere small vertical-slot, Goolwa vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot, and from the Tauwitchere rock-ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage in spring–summer 2017/18. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds from Potter et al. (2015) and designations presented by Bice et al. (2018).

Common name	Scientific Name	Guild	Tauwitchere large vertical-slot	Tauwitchere small vertical-slot	Tauwitchere rock ramp	Goolwa vertical-slot	Adjacent Goolwa Barrage	Hunters Creek	Total
		Sampling events	6	13	4	12	4	11	
		No. of species	12	7	21	12	20	13	
Australian smelt	<i>Retropinna semoni</i>	Freshwater estuarine opportunist	4,284	4,891	7,790	8,023	985	5	<b>25,978</b>
Bony herring	<i>Nematalosa erebi</i>	Freshwater estuarine opportunist	1,242	70	31,984	63	1,510	4,079	<b>38,948</b>
Flat-headed gudgeon	<i>Philypnodon grandiceps</i>	Freshwater estuarine opportunist	168	14	992	214	420	203	<b>2,011</b>
Dwarf flat-headed gudgeon	<i>Philypnodon macrostomus</i>	Freshwater straggler	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
Carp gudgeon	<i>Hypseleotris</i> spp	Freshwater straggler	2	1	5	0	0	2	<b>10</b>
Golden perch	<i>Macquaria ambigua</i>	Freshwater straggler	0	0	3	1	0	0	<b>4</b>
Common carp	<i>Cyprinus carpio</i> *	Freshwater straggler	41	0	15	4	5	3	<b>68</b>
Goldfish	<i>Carassius auratus</i> *	Freshwater straggler	1	0	4	1	0	3	<b>9</b>
Redfin perch	<i>Perca fluviatilis</i> *	Freshwater straggler	323	40	1407	71	4949	4446	<b>11,236</b>
Common galaxias	<i>Galaxias maculatus</i>	Semi-catadromous	1,088	7,696	1,290	1,103	134	395	<b>11,706</b>
Congolli	<i>Pseudaphritis urvillii</i>	Catadromous	8,753	14,650	40,515	21,458	18,312	3,562	<b>107,250</b>
Small-mouthed hardyhead	<i>Atherinosoma microstoma</i>	Solely estuarine	3	0	5,829	0	569	2	<b>6,403</b>
Tamar River goby	<i>Afurcagobius tamarensis</i>	Solely estuarine	0	0	1,277	2	297	2	<b>1,578</b>
Blue-spot goby	<i>Pseudogobius olorum</i>	Solely estuarine	0	0	125	0	4	0	<b>129</b>

\*denotes introduced species


Table 3-1 continued.

Common name	Scientific Name	Guild	Tauwitchere large vertical-slot	Tauwitchere small vertical-slot	Tauwitchere rock ramp	Goolwa vertical-slot	Adjacent Goolwa Barrage	Hunters Creek	Total
Lagoon goby	<i>Tasmanogobius lasti</i>	Solely estuarine	48	0	7,173	8	130	2	<b>7361</b>
River garfish	<i>Hyperhamphus regularis</i>	Solely estuarine	0	0	2	0	0	0	<b>2</b>
Bridled goby	<i>Arenogobius bifrenatus</i>	Estuarine & marine	0	0	224	0	2	0	<b>226</b>
Yellow-eyed mullet	<i>Aldrichetta forsteri</i>	Estuarine & marine	0	0	198	0	0	0	<b>198</b>
Soldier fish	<i>Gymnapistes marmoratus</i>	Estuarine & marine	0	0	0	0	47	0	<b>47</b>
Mulloway	<i>Argyrosomus japonicus</i>	Marine estuarine-opportunist	0	0	10	0	19	0	<b>29</b>
Greenback flounder	<i>Rhombosolea tapirina</i>	Marine estuarine-opportunist	0	0	18	0	6	0	<b>24</b>
Long-snouted flounder	<i>Ammosetris rostratus</i>	Marine estuarine-opportunist	0	0	2	0	0	0	<b>2</b>
Flat-tailed mullet	<i>Liza argentea</i>	Marine estuarine-opportunist	0	0	0	0	0	12	<b>12</b>
Sandy sprat	<i>Hyperlophus vittatus</i>	Marine estuarine-opportunist	9	0	128,509	8,040	26,326	0	<b>162,884</b>
Smooth toadfish	<i>Tetractenos glaber</i>	Marine estuarine-opportunist	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
Western striped grunter	<i>Pelates octolineatus</i>	Marine straggler	0	0	0	0	1	0	<b>1</b>
Red mullet	<i>Upeneichthys vlamingii</i>	Marine straggler	0	0	0	0	2	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Total</b>			<b>15,962</b>	<b>27,362</b>	<b>227,372</b>	<b>38,988</b>	<b>53,720</b>	<b>12,716</b>	<b>376,120</b>

### 3.3. Temporal variation in fish assemblages


#### ***Total fish abundance, species richness and diversity***

The mean number of fish (all species combined) sampled per trap event varied significantly among years from 2006/07 to 2017/18 (Figure 3-2) at the Tauwitchere rock ramp ( $Pseudo-F_{10, 65} = 10.17$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), Tauwitchere vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{10, 55} = 7.82$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), Goolwa vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{9, 55} = 2.91$ ,  $p = 0.012$ ), but not at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{6, 37} = 0.58$ ,  $p = 0.768$ ), adjacent Goolwa Barrage ( $Pseudo-F_{8, 45} = 1.95$ ,  $p = 0.082$ ) or Hunters Creek vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{6, 37} = 2.22$ ,  $p = 0.093$ ). Temporal variability in total fish abundance at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot, Tauwitchere rock ramp and Goolwa vertical-slot exhibited similar patterns, with low total abundance during the period of no freshwater discharge and disconnection through 2007–2010, and generally high total abundance from 2010–2017 (Figure 3-2). In 2017/18, abundance at these sites was generally comparable to those from 2010–2016, albeit with substantially lower abundance at the Tauwitchere rock ramp than during high flow years (2010/11, 2011/12 and 2016/17). Total fish abundance has been generally consistent across years at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot since the commencement of monitoring at these fishways in 2010/11.



**Figure 3-2.** Relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of fish (all species combined) sampled at a) the Tauwitechere large vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), Tauwitechere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), and b) the Tauwitechere rock ramp (TRR) and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), from 2006–2018. Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08, whilst sampling at the Tauwitechere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) commenced in 2010/11. Sampling at the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage commenced in 2008/09. No sampling was conducted at any site in 2012/13.


Species richness (all sites combined) varied little between years, except for 2007/08 when 24 species were sampled (Figure 3-3). Nevertheless, the Goolwa vertical-slot and the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage were not sampled in this year, likely resulting in reduced overall species richness. Species richness ranged 28–34 in all other years, with greatest species richness ( $n = 34$ ) recorded in 2011/12. Nevertheless, the number of species sampled from different estuarine use categories varied substantially (Figure 3-3). The number of species from the freshwater category (freshwater ‘estuarine-opportunists’ and ‘stragglers’ combined) was lowest from 2007–2010 ( $n = 2–3$ ), but greatest during times of moderate and high freshwater discharge and connectivity from 2010–2015 and 2016/17 ( $n = 10–11$ ). In contrast, the number of species of marine origin (marine ‘estuarine-opportunist’ and ‘stragglers’ combined) was greatest from 2008–2010 ( $n = 19–20$ ) and lowest in 2016/17 ( $n = 7$ ). The number of diadromous species was reduced during 2007–2010 and 2014/15 ( $n = 2$ ), due to the absence of both lamprey species, whilst the number of estuarine species did not differ substantially over the entire study period ( $n = 7–8$ ).



**Figure 3-3.** Species richness (all sites combined) from 2006–2018, including the contribution of species from different estuarine-use categories, i.e. freshwater (freshwater ‘estuarine-opportunists’ and ‘stragglers’ combined), diadromous (catadromous and anadromous combined), estuarine (solely estuarine and ‘estuarine and marine’ combined) and marine (marine ‘estuarine-opportunists’ and ‘stragglers’ combined). Guilds follow those proposed by Potter *et al.* (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice *et al.* (In Press).

### Assemblage structure

PERMANOVA detected significant differences in fish assemblages at the Tauwitchere rock ramp ( $Pseudo-F_{10, 65} = 13.92, p < 0.001$ ), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{10, 55} = 10.58, p < 0.001$ ), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{6, 37} = 2.91, p = 0.002$ ), Goolwa vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{9, 55} = 5.28, p < 0.001$ ), adjacent Goolwa Barrage ( $Pseudo-F_{8, 45} = 7.18, p < 0.001$ ) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{6, 37} = 4.40, p < 0.001$ ). MDS trajectory plots illustrate changes in fish assemblages across time, and among sites, there is a general trend of grouping of drought years from 2007/08 to 2009/10, with a substantial shift in trajectory to subsequent years. Furthermore, at some sites, there is separation of high flow years (2010/11, 2011/12 and 2016/17) and years of intermediate flow (2013/14 to 2015/16, and 2017/18).



**Figure 3-4.** MDS ordination trajectory plots of fish assemblages sampled at a) Tauwitchere rock ramp, b) Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, c) Goolwa vertical-slot, d) adjacent Goolwa Barrage, e) Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and f) Hunters Creek vertical-slot, between 2006 and 2018.

### *Tauwitchere sites*

Pair-wise comparisons revealed that assemblages sampled at the Tauwitchere rock ramp in 2017/18, were significantly different from those sampled during drought years from 2006/07 to 2008/09, and the high flow years of 2010/11 and 2011/12 (B-Y corrected  $\alpha = 0.011$ ; Appendix 1). Similarly, at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, assemblages in 2017/18 differed significantly from those sampled in 2006/07 and 2010/11 (B-Y corrected  $\alpha = 0.011$ ; Appendix 2), but not other comparisons, although low sample size in 2017/18 (three sampling weeks, rather  $\geq$  four weeks, were undertaken in 2017/18), may have contributed to a lack of significance for other comparisons. At the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot, assemblages in 2017/18 differed significantly only from those sampled in 2010/11 (B-Y corrected  $\alpha = 0.014$ ; Appendix 3). In general, among all three sites at Tauwitchere Barrage, there was a tendency for variability in assemblages between drought years (2006/07 to 2009/10), high flow years (2010/11, 2011/12 and 2016/17), and years of intermediate flow (2013/14 to 2015/16, and 2017/18).

SIMPER indicated that fish assemblages sampled at the Tauwitchere rock ramp in 2017/18, differed from assemblages sampled in the drought years 2006–2009 due to greater abundance of the marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat, and freshwater bony herring and Australian smelt, and catadromous congolli in 2017/18 (Appendix 4). Alternatively, assemblages in 2017/18 differed from the high flow years of 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2016/17, due to greater abundance of sandy sprat, and the freshwater Australian smelt and flat-headed gudgeon (*Philypnodon grandiceps*) in high flow years. At the Tauwitchere vertical-slot, assemblages in 2017/18 differed from 2006/07 due to greater abundance of freshwater bony herring and Australian smelt, and catadromous congolli in 2017/18, but greater abundance of sandy sprat in 2006/07 (Appendix 5). Differences between 2017/18 and 2010/11 were driven by greater abundance of freshwater Australian smelt in 2010/11, but greater abundances of the catadromous congolli and common galaxias in 2017/18. Similarly, at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot, differences between 2017/18 and 2010/11 were driven by greater abundance of freshwater redfin perch in 2010/11, but greater abundances of the catadromous congolli and common galaxias in 2017/18 (Appendix 6).

At the Tauwitchere rock ramp, ISA suggested the fish assemblage in 2006/07 was characterised by the presence of the anadromous short-headed lamprey (Table 3-2). In contrast, the assemblage in 2007/08 was characterised by the marine estuarine-opportunist flat-tailed mullet (*Liza argentea*) and marine straggler blue sprat (*Spratelloides robustus*), whilst in 2009/10, the assemblage was characterised by the estuarine and marine estuary catfish (*Cnidglanis*



*microcephalus*), six marine estuarine-opportunist species (i.e. mulloway (*Argyrosomus japonicus*), Australian salmon (*Arripis truttaceus*), Australian herring (*Arripis georgianus*), prickly toadfish (*Contusus brevicaudus*), yellowfin whiting (*Sillago schomburgkii*) and Australian anchovy (*Engraulis australis*)) and three marine stragglers (i.e. big belly seahorse (*Hippocampus abdominalis*), silver spot (*Threpterus maculosus*) and Tucker's pipefish (*Mitotichthys tuckeri*)). The assemblage sampled in 2010/11 was characterised by four freshwater species including carp gudgeon complex (*Hypseleotris* spp.), Australian smelt, flat-headed gudgeon and common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), and one marine straggler (i.e. southern longfin goby (*Favonigobius lateralis*)). The assemblage in 2011/12 was characterised by the estuarine river garfish (*Hyperhamphus regularis*), whilst the assemblage in 2013/14 was characterised by the estuarine Tamar River goby (*Afurcagobius tamarensis*) and marine estuarine-opportunist long-snout flounder (*Ammotretis rostratus*). The assemblage in 2014/15 was characterised by the catadromous common galaxias, and estuarine and marine bridled goby (*Arenogobius bifrenatus*). There were no significant indicators of the fish assemblage sampled in 2015/16 or 2017/18, but the assemblage in 2016/17 was characterised by the freshwater bony herring.

At the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot, there were no significant indicators of assemblages sampled in 2006/07, but assemblages from 2007/08 were characterised by the estuarine blue-spot goby (*Pseudogobius olorum*) (Table 3-3). There were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2008/09, but in 2009/10, assemblages were characterised by the estuarine small-mouthed hardyhead (*Atherinosoma microstoma*). The assemblages in 2010/11 and 2011/12, were characterised by the freshwater Australian smelt and golden perch (*Macquaria ambigua*), respectively. Assemblages from 2013/14 were characterised by the freshwater dwarf flat-headed gudgeon (*Philypnodon macrostomus*), those from 2014/15 by the catadromous common galaxias, and in 2015/16 by the freshwater flat-headed gudgeon, but there were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2016/17 or 2017/18.

At the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot in 2010/11, assemblages were characterised by the freshwater flat-headed gudgeon and redfin perch, and the estuarine blue-spot goby and lagoon goby (Table 3-3). The assemblage in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater common carp, whilst there were no significant indicators of assemblages in 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17 or 2017/18.

**Table 3-2.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwichee rock ramp from 2006 to 2018. Only significant indicators (i.e.  $p < 0.05$ ) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds proposed by Potter *et al.* (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice *et al.* (In Press).

Species	Guild	Year	Indicator Value	<i>p</i> value
<b>Tauwichee rockramp</b>				
Short-headed lamprey	Anadromous	2006/07	45.5	0.005
Blue sprat	Marine straggler	2007/08	44.4	0.010
Flat-tailed mullet	Marine est-opportunist	2007/08	31.1	0.021
Estuary catfish	Estuarine & marine	2009/10	33.3	0.045
Mulloway	Marine est-opportunist	2009/10	33.9	0.010
Australian salmon	Marine est-opportunist	2009/10	32.1	0.006
Australian herring	Marine est-opportunist	2009/10	55.7	0.001
Prickly toadfish	Marine est-opportunist	2009/10	90.3	<0.001
Yellowfin whiting	Marine est-opportunist	2009/10	46.1	0.006
Australian anchovy	Marine est-opportunist	2009/10	33.0	0.018
Tuckers pipefish	Marine straggler	2009/10	33.3	0.047
Big belly seahorse	Marine straggler	2009/10	33.3	0.047
Silver spot	Marine straggler	2009/10	33.3	0.047
Carp gudgeon complex	Freshwater straggler	2010/11	61.1	<0.001
Australian smelt	Freshwater est-opportunist	2010/11	19.4	0.030
Flat-headed gudgeon	Freshwater est-opportunist	2010/11	25.6	0.003
Common carp	Freshwater straggler	2010/11	46.2	<0.001
Southern longfin goby	Marine straggler	2010/11	82.9	<0.001
River garfish	Solely estuarine	2011/12	24.8	0.044
Tamar River goby	Solely estuarine	2013/14	15.7	0.020
Long-snout flounder	Marine est-opportunist	2013/14	24.6	0.043
Common galaxias	Semi-catadromous	2014/15	19.7	0.030
Bridled goby	Estuarine & marine	2014/15	15.7	0.049
Bony herring	Freshwater est-opportunist	2016/17	19.8	0.046

**Table 3-3.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitschere large vertical-slot from 2006–2018, and at the small vertical-slot from 2010–2017. Only significant indicators (i.e.  $p < 0.05$ ) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds proposed by Potter *et al.* (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice *et al.* (In Press).

Species	Guild	Year	Indicator Value	<i>p</i> value
<b>Tauwitschere large vertical-slot</b>				
Blue-spot goby	Solely estuarine	2007/08	33.4	<0.001
Small-mouthed hardyhead	Solely estuarine	2009/10	21.3	0.011
Australian smelt	Freshwater est-opportunist	2010/11	23.9	0.006
Golden perch	Freshwater straggler	2011/12	34.1	0.026
Dwarf flat-headed gudgeon	Freshwater straggler	2013/14	40.0	0.031
Common galaxias	Semi-catadromous	2014/15	17.9	0.026
Flat-headed gudgeon	Freshwater est-opportunist	2015/16	25.6	<0.001
<b>Tauwitschere small vertical-slot</b>				
Redfin perch	Freshwater straggler	2010/11	40.3	0.027
Flat-headed gudgeon	Freshwater est-opportunist	2010/11	29.7	0.003
Lagoon goby	Solely estuarine	2010/11	34.1	0.026
Blue-spot goby	Solely estuarine	2010/11	44.4	0.014
Common carp	Freshwater straggler	2011/12	38.1	0.023

### Goolwa sites

Pair-wise comparisons revealed that fish assemblages sampled at the Goolwa vertical-slot in 2017/18 were significantly different from the drought year of 2008/09, and the high flow year of 2010/11, but not from any other years (B-Y method corrected  $\alpha = 0.011$ ; Appendix 7). SIMPER indicated differences between 2017/18 and 2008/09 were driven by greater abundances of freshwater Australian smelt, catadromous congolli, and estuarine-marine opportunist sandy sprat in 2017/18, relative to 2008/09 (Appendix 9). Greater abundance of catadromous congolli and common galaxias, and sandy sprat, but lower abundance of freshwater Australian smelt, in 2017/18, relative to 2010/11, drove differences between those years.

In accordance, fish assemblages sampled adjacent Goolwa Barrage in 2017/18, were significantly different from the drought year of 2008/09, and the high flow years of 2010/11 and 2011/12, but not other years (B-Y method corrected  $\alpha = 0.012$ ; Appendix 8). SIMPER indicated differences between 2017/18 and 2008/09 were driven by greater relative abundance of freshwater redfin perch and Australian smelt, catadromous congolli, and estuarine-marine opportunist sandy sprat, but lower abundance of estuarine-marine opportunist yelloweye mullet (*Aldrichetta forsteri*) in 2017/18, relative to 2008/09 (Appendix 10). Alternatively, differences in assemblages between 2017/18 and the high flow years of 2010/11 and 2011/12 were primarily driven by greater

abundances of congolli in 2017/18, but greater abundances of freshwater flat-headed gudgeon and redfin perch (2010/11), estuarine and marine bridled goby (2010/11), and estuarine-marine opportunist sandy sprat (2010/11 and 2012) and Australia salmon (2011/12).

ISA of assemblage data from the Goolwa vertical-slot indicated that assemblages in 2006/07 were characterised by the anadromous short-headed lamprey (Table 3-4). The assemblage in 2008/09 was characterised by the estuarine black bream and marine estuarine-opportunist flat-tailed mullet, whilst the assemblage in 2009/10 was characterised by estuarine small-mouthed hardyhead, estuarine and marine bridled goby and soldier fish (*Gymnapistes marmoratus*), marine estuarine-opportunist Australian salmon and marine straggler zebra fish (*Girella zebra*). The assemblage in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater goldfish (*Carassius auratus*), and in 2016/17 by the freshwater golden perch. There were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2010/11, 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16, or 2017/18.

The assemblage sampled adjacent Goolwa Barrage in 2008/09 was characterised by the estuarine black bream and marine estuarine-opportunist yellow-eyed mullet, whilst the assemblage in 2009/10 was characterised by the marine estuarine-opportunist smooth toadfish (*Tetractenos glaber*) (Table 3-4). The assemblage sampled in 2010/11 was characterised by four freshwater species, namely carp gudgeon, Australian smelt, flat-headed gudgeon and redfin perch, and the estuarine and marine bridled goby. The assemblage sampled in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater golden perch and goldfish, and the marine estuarine-opportunist Australian salmon. There were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2013/14, but in 2014/15, the assemblage was characterised by the freshwater dwarf flat-headed gudgeon and catadromous congolli, and in 2015/16 by the catadromous common galaxias, estuarine small-mouthed hardyhead and marine estuarine-opportunist blue-spot flathead (*Platycephalus speculator*). The assemblage in 2016/17 was characterised by the freshwater bony herring, and in 2017/18 by the estuarine and marine soldierfish.

**Table 3-4.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Goolwa vertical slot from 2006–2018 and adjacent Goolwa Barrage from 2008–2018. Only significant indicators (i.e.  $p < 0.05$ ) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds proposed by Potter *et al.* (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice *et al.* (In Press).

Species	Guild	Year	Indicator Value	$p$ value
<b>Goolwa vertical-slot</b>				
Short-headed lamprey	Anadromous	2006/07	46.2	0.005
Black bream	Solely estuarine	2008/09	44.4	0.008
Flat-tailed mullet	Marine est-opportunist	2008/09	33.1	0.015
Small-mouthed hardyhead	Solely estuarine	2009/10	39.1	<0.001
Bridled goby	Estuarine & marine	2009/10	33.6	0.013
Soldier fish	Estuarine & marine	2009/10	35.3	0.033
Australian salmon	Marine est-opportunist	2009/10	37.8	0.025
Zebra fish	Marine straggler	2009/10	58.3	0.006
Goldfish	Freshwater straggler	2011/12	37.4	0.016
Golden perch	Freshwater straggler	2016/17	36.0	0.011
<b>Adjacent Goolwa Barrage</b>				
Black bream	Solely estuarine	2008/09	36.2	0.007
Yellow-eyed mullet	Marine est-opportunist	2008/09	35.8	0.006
Smooth toadfish	Marine est-opportunist	2009/10	45.1	0.004
Flat-headed gudgeon	Freshwater est-opportunist	2010/11	23.8	0.011
Carp gudgeon	Freshwater straggler	2010/11	43.6	0.010
Redfin perch	Freshwater straggler	2010/11	25.7	0.029
Australian smelt	Freshwater est-opportunist	2010/11	20.5	0.028
Bridled goby	Estuarine & marine	2010/11	28.9	0.006
Golden perch	Freshwater straggler	2011/12	45.2	<0.001
Goldfish	Freshwater straggler	2011/12	35.4	0.029
Australian salmon	Marine est-opportunist	2011/12	51.3	<0.001
Dwarf flat-headed gudgeon	Freshwater straggler	2014/15	34.6	0.020
Congolli	Catadromous	2014/15	21.2	0.036
Blue-spot flathead	Marine est-opportunist	2015/16	50.0	0.024
Common galaxias	Catadromous	2015/16	24.8	0.031
Small-mouthed hardyhead	Solely estuarine	2015/16	24.5	0.018
Bony herring	Freshwater est-opportunist	2016/17	21.5	0.030
Soldierfish	Estuarine & marine	2017/18	41.5	0.004

### Hunters Creek

Pair-wise comparisons revealed that fish assemblages sampled at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot in 2017/18 differed from both high flow years of 2010/11 and 2011/12, but for no other comparisons (B-Y method corrected  $\alpha = 0.014$ ; Appendix 11). SIMPER indicated the assemblage

in 2017/18 differed from the years 2010/11 and 2011/12 due to greater abundances of freshwater redfin perch, common carp and bony herring in 2010/11 and 2011/12, but greater abundances of catadromous congolli in 2017/18 (Appendix 12). Furthermore, ISA determined that the assemblage sampled in 2010/11 was characterised by the freshwater redfin perch, flat-headed gudgeon and common carp, whilst the assemblage in 2011/12 was characterised by the freshwater golden perch (Table 3-5). The assemblage sampled in 2016/17 was characterised by the freshwater carp gudgeon and dwarf flat-headed gudgeon, and marine estuarine-opportunist flat-tailed mullet, and there were no significant indicators of the assemblage in 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16 or 2017/18.


**Table 3-5.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages at the Hunters Creek vertical slot from 2010–2018. Only significant indicators (i.e.  $p < 0.05$ ) are presented. Species are categorised using estuarine use guilds proposed by Potter *et al.* (2015) and designated for species of the Coorong and Lower Lakes by Bice *et al.* (In Press).

Species	Guild	Year	Indicator Value	$p$ value
Redfin perch	Freshwater straggler	2010/11	45.1	0.006
Flat-headed gudgeon	Freshwater est-opportunist	2010/11	27.1	0.002
Common carp	Freshwater est-opportunist	2010/11	40.6	<0.001
Golden perch	Freshwater straggler	2011/12	51.4	0.004
Carp gudgeon	Freshwater straggler	2016/17	36.1	0.019
Dwarf flat-headed gudgeon	Freshwater straggler	2016/17	58.1	0.007
Flat-tailed mullet	Marine est-opportunist	2016/17	54.6	0.008

### 3.4. Spatial variation in fish assemblages in 2017/18

MDS ordination of fish assemblage data from the vertical-slot fishways exhibited interspersed samples from all sites (Figure 3-5a). The primary PERMANOVA detected significant differences in fish assemblages between capture locations ( $Pseudo-F_{3, 14} = 1.85$ ,  $p = 0.032$ ), but pair-wise comparisons indicated only assemblages from the Goolwa vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot were significantly different ( $t = 1.60$ ,  $p = 0.029$ ), whilst all other comparisons were non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). MDS ordination of fish assemblage data from the Tauwitschere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) exhibited separation (Figure 3-5b) and PERMANOVA

indicated assemblages sampled from these locations were significantly different ( $Pseudo-F_{1,7} = 4.18$ ,  $p = 0.023$ ).



**Figure 3-5.** MDS ordination plot of fish assemblages sampled at the a) Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), and b) Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) in 2017/18.

Indicator species analysis was used to determine species that characterised assemblages at the different sites in 2017/18. Among the vertical-slot fishways, the freshwater common carp and marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat, characterised the assemblages at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot and Goolwa large vertical-slot, respectively (Table 3-6). Between the Tauwitchere rock ramp and site adjacent Goolwa Barrage, assemblages at the former were characterised by the estuarine lagoon goby and bridled goby, and at the latter by the marine soldier fish.

**Table 3-6.** Indicator species analysis of fish assemblages in the Coorong at the Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot, in 2017/18.

Species		Location	Indicator Value	$p$ value
Common carp	Freshwater straggler	TVS	65.3	0.008
Sandy sprat	Marine est-opportunist	GVS	62.5	0.048
Bridled goby	Estuarine	TRR	79.4	0.031
Lagoon goby	Estuarine	TRR	72.3	0.031
Soldier fish	Marine straggler	GDS	100	0.031

### **3.5. Spatio-temporal variation in the abundance and recruitment of diadromous species**


#### ***Inter-annual variation in abundance***

##### *Lamprey*

No pouched lamprey were captured during sampling in spring/summer 2017/18. Nonetheless, a total of 53 pouched lamprey were sampled from the Goolwa vertical-slot ( $n = 8$ ), Goolwa new vertical-slot (typically not sampled in this project,  $n = 3$ ), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot ( $n = 17$ ), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot ( $n = 2$ ) and Mundoo dual vertical-slot (typically not sampled in this project,  $n = 23$ ) fishways during specific winter monitoring from July to September 2017 (only data from fishways typically monitored in this project are included in Figure 3-6a below,  $n = 27$ ). This followed the sampling of variable numbers of pouched lamprey in winter 2016 ( $n = 7$ ), 2015 ( $n = 56$ ), 2013 ( $n = 2$ ) and 2011 ( $n = 10$ ).

No short-headed lamprey were captured during sampling winter or spring/summer 2017/18. Short-headed lamprey was sampled in moderate abundance across three locations from September to November 2006, but was absent from 2007–2011, before being sampled in low abundance adjacent Goolwa Barrage in November 2011. The species was absent from sampling in 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16 and 2016/17 (Figure 3-6b).





**Figure 3-6.** Relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of a) pouched lamprey and b) short-headed lamprey at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere large vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) and adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) from 2006–2018. No sampling was undertaken in 2012/13, whilst Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08 and the site adjacent Goolwa Barrage was not sampled in 2006/07 and 2007/08. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot was only sampled in 2010/11, 2011/12 and 2013/14. Data from 2011/12, 2013/14, 2015/16, 2016/17 and 2017/18 includes supplementary sampling in winter.


*Congolli and common galaxias*

The abundance of the catadromous congolli and common galaxias differed significantly between years at all sampling locations (Table 3-7), with the exception of common galaxias at Hunters Creek (Table 3-7). Overall, patterns of variability in abundance were generally consistent across sites with decreased abundances over the period 2007–2010, relative to 2006/07, and a trend of gradually increasing abundance from 2010/11 through to 2014/15. The abundances of congolli recorded in 2017/18 were generally high, relative to preceding years, including substantial increases relative to 2016/17 at all sites, with the exception of the Goolwa vertical-slot (Figure 3-7a).

**Table 3-7.** Summary of results of uni-variate single factor PERMANOVA to determine differences in the relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of congolli and common galaxias sampled from 2006–2018 at the Tauwichee rock ramp (TRR), Tauwichee vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Tauwichee small-vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot. PERMANOVA was performed on Euclidean Distance similarity matrices.  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Site	df	Congolli		Common galaxias	
		Pseudo-F	P value	Pseudo-F	P value
TRR	10, 106	28.99	<0.001*	29.24	<0.001*
TVS	10, 140	17.47	<0.001*	41.16	<0.001*
GVS	9, 152	13.20	<0.001*	5.39	0.002*
GDS	8, 54	13.54	<0.001*	13.69	<0.001*
TSVS	6, 106	5.90	<0.001*	12.42	<0.001*
Hunters	6, 98	4.62	0.003*	1.35	0.242 ns

As with congolli, common galaxias was typically sampled in low abundances through the period 2007–2010, with the exception of the Goolwa vertical-slot where this species was sampled in relatively high abundance in 2009/10 (Figure 3-7b). Following the reconnection of the Lower Lakes and Coorong in 2010/11 there were generally increases in the abundances of this species relative to the preceding years, with further increases occurring annually until abundance peaked in 2014/15. Abundance in 2017/18, remained high, albeit less than in 2014/15; nonetheless, increases in abundance, relative to 2016/17 were observed at most sites (Figure 3-7b).




**Figure 3-7.** Relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of a) congolli and b) common galaxias at the Tauwitchere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitchere vertical-slot (TVS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) from 2006–2018. Goolwa vertical-slot was not sampled in 2007/08 and adjacent Goolwa Barrage was not sampled in 2006/07 and 2007/08. The Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and Hunters Creek vertical-slot were sampled from 2010/11 onwards. All sites were not sampled in 2012/13.

***Intra-annual variation in abundance and recruitment of congolli and common galaxias***

The abundance of upstream migrating congolli was significantly different between months at the Goolwa vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{3, 11} = 11.04$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ), Tauwitchere small vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{3, 12} = 10.85$ ,  $p = 0.007$ ), and at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{3, 10} = 11.05$ ,  $p = 0.004$ ). Statistical tests of significance could not be carried out on data from the Tauwitchere rock ramp or adjacent Goolwa Barrage, as these sites were only sampled once each week, or at the Tauwitchere large vertical-slot due to limited sampling in 2017/18. Nevertheless, abundance varied substantially between months. Across all sites, abundance was typically greatest in November and December, and remained high at several sites in January (i.e. Tauwitchere rock ramp) (Figure 3-8a). Peak daily abundance of congolli at fishway sites in 2017/18 was detected at the Goolwa vertical-slot on 20 December when 429 fish.hr<sup>-1</sup> were detected migrating upstream.

The abundance of upstream migrating common galaxias was significantly different between months at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{3, 11} = 8.37$ ,  $p = 0.016$ ), but not at the Goolwa vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{2, 11} = 2.21$ ,  $p = 0.161$ ) and Tauwitchere small vertical-slot ( $Pseudo-F_{3, 12} = 0.94$ ,  $p = 0.439$ ) due to large within month variability. Nonetheless, there did appear to be some variability at the aforementioned sites, and at the Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage, albeit without statistical significance (Figure 3-8b). Peaks in abundance varied between sites, but generally occurred in November, December or January. Peak daily abundance of common galaxias at fishway sites in 2017/18 was detected at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot on 19 December when 176 fish.hr<sup>-1</sup> were detected migrating upstream.



**Figure 3-8.** Relative abundance (number of fish.hour<sup>-1</sup>.trap event<sup>-1</sup>) of a) congolli and b) common galaxias at adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), Tauwitechere rock ramp (TRR), Tauwitechere vertical-slot (TVS), Tauwitechere small vertical-slot (TSVS) and Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters) from October 2017–January 2018.

Below Tauwitechere Barrage (Tauwitechere rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot data combined) in October 2017, congolli were sampled across a broad length distribution ranging 31–139 mm TL (Figure 3-9a). A YOY cohort ranging 31–44 mm TL was present and represented

24% of the sampled population. Whilst fish were not aged in 2017/18, fish of this size have previously been determined to represent a 0+ cohort (Bice *et al.* 2012). The mode and range of length distributions for the YOY cohort increased throughout the sampling period (November 2017: 28–48 mm TL, December 2017: 33–61 mm TL and January 2018: 38–60 mm TL), and increased in prominence, comprising 85–95% of the sampled population during each month.


A similar pattern was evident below Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage data combined) with the sampled population of fish ranging 26–182 mm TL (Figure 3-9b), with a prominent YOY cohort (26–48 mm TL; ~56% of population) in October 2016 (Figure 3-9b). Growth of this cohort was evident through the following months, progressing to 20–52, 32–57 and 33–60 mm TL in November 2017, December 2017 and January 2018, respectively. This cohort increased in dominance, comprising >90% of the population in November–January.

Length-frequency distributions at Hunters Creek were similar to both Tauwitchere and Goolwa, with the exception of fewer fish >75 mm TL being sampled throughout the sampling season (Figure 3-9c). Sampled fish ranged 33–96, 31–96, 37–73 and 40–120 mm TL during sequential sampling events and the YOY cohort (<60 mm TL) represented 94–98% of the sampled population during all months.


Common galaxias ranged 35–108 mm TL at Tauwitchere in October 2017, but individuals 35–48 mm TL comprised 69% of the sampled population (Figure 3-10a). As for congolli, whilst common galaxias were not aged in 2017/18, fish of this size have been determined to represent a YOY cohort in previous years (see Bice *et al.* 2012). The 0+ cohort represented 87–98% of the sampled population in November–January.

At Goolwa in October 2017, the YOY cohort of common galaxias ranged 30–58 mm TL and comprised 97% of the sampled population (Figure 3-10b). The mode of this cohort gradually increased across sampling months and it comprised >93% of the sampled population in all months.

The length-frequency distribution for common galaxias at Hunters Creek in October 2017 was different to Tauwitchere and Goolwa, ranging 42–110 mm TL, and dominated by fish >60 mm TL (Figure 3-10c). A 0+ cohort (<60 mm TL) was apparent in all subsequent months, when it comprised 85–100% of the sampled population (Figure 3-10c).



**Figure 3-9.** Monthly length-frequency distributions (total length, mm) of congolli sampled below a) Tauwitchere Barrage (rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot combined) b) Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage combined) and c) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot from October 2017–January 2018. *n* is the number of fish measured and the total number of fish collected in each month at each site is presented in brackets.




**Figure 3-10.** Monthly length-frequency distributions (total length, mm) of common galaxias sampled below a) Tauwitchere Barrage (rock ramp, large vertical-slot and small vertical-slot combined) b) Goolwa Barrage (vertical-slot and adjacent Goolwa Barrage combined) and c) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot from October 2017–January 2018. *n* is the number of fish measured and the total number of fish collected in each month at each site is presented in brackets.



### 3.6. Assessment of TLM condition monitoring targets

#### Target 1 and 2: Catadromous fish migration and recruitment

Comparison of the annual recruitment index ( $R_I$ ) against the predetermined reference value suggests that Target 1 was met for congolli in 2017/18 (Figure 3-11a). The target was also met in 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16 and 2016/17, but not met in 2006/07, 2007/08, 2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11. A similar pattern of variability in abundance of upstream migrating juveniles was evident for common galaxias; Target 2 was met in all years (including 2017/18) with the exception of 2007/08, 2008/09, 2010/11 and 2016/17 (Figure 3-11b).




**Figure 3-11.** Catadromous annual recruitment index ( $R_I$ , number of upstream migrating YOY.hour<sup>-1</sup> ± half confidence interval for a) congolli and b) common galaxias from 2006/07 to 2017/18 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13). The reference value is indicated by the blue line and half confidence intervals indicated by dashed lines.

**Target 3: Anadromous migration**

The migration index (*MI*) for short-headed lamprey was not met 2017/18 (Figure 3-12). This target has only been achieved in 2006/07, with a slight increase in *MI* observed in 2011/12, but not of a magnitude to meet the prescribed target. No individuals have been sampled in the past five years (2013–2018).

The migration index (*MI*) for pouched lamprey was met in 2017/18, being sampled from three of five prescribed sites, as well as the Mundoo Barrage dual vertical-slot fishway (Figure 3-12). Pouched lamprey was only sampled from one site in 2006/07, resulting in low *MI* and failure to meet the target, and similar to short-headed lamprey, this was followed by absence from monitoring and failure to meet the target from 2007 to 2011. Individuals were subsequently sampled from four sites in 2011/12 and the target was met for this species. Individuals were sampled from one site in 2013/14 and were absent in 2014/15, resulting in failure to meet the target in both years. In 2015/16, pouched lamprey were detected at three sites, resulting in the target being met, however, the species was only sampled from one of the prescribed sites in 2016/17 (Goolwa vertical-slot) resulting in failure to meet the target. Nonetheless, single individuals were also sampled from the newly constructed vertical-slot fishway at Goolwa and the Boundary Creek small vertical slot during winter 2016. If these sites were included in metric calculation, *MI* for 2016/17 was ~0.5. Notably, *MI* is typically highest during years with specific winter monitoring.



**Figure 3-12.** Anadromous migration index (*M*) for short-headed lamprey (*open circles*) and pouched lamprey (*closed circles*) from 2006/07 to 2017/18 (no sampling was conducted in 2012/13). The blue line represents the reference value and dashed line indicates a 40% tolerance and level deemed to indicate target was met. \* indicate years in which specific sampling for lamprey occurred during winter.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Fish assemblages

#### *Inter-annual variation*

2017/18 represented a year of moderate discharge (peak discharge 12,500 ML.d<sup>-1</sup>), and the eighth consecutive year of continuous freshwater discharge to the Coorong post the end of the Millennium Drought (September 2010), promoting connectivity between the Coorong and Lower Lakes, and persistence of a salinity gradient from brackish to marine in the Coorong estuary. In 2017/18, 27 fish species, representing 18 families, were sampled at six sites immediately downstream of the Murray Barrages and the assemblage consisted of a diverse range of life history categories including freshwater, diadromous, estuarine and marine species, with each represented by one or more species that was abundant (i.e. >5,000 individuals). The structure of fish assemblages was characteristic of a dynamic estuary under freshwater influence, with similarity to other years of moderate discharge (e.g. 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16). Young-of-the-year (YOY) of catadromous species were abundant, albeit less so than in 2014/15.

Among sites, there is a consistent pattern of temporal variability in fish assemblages across years from 2006/07 to 2017/18, characterised by three groupings of sampling years based on hydrology/freshwater discharge. These are: 1) a depauperate assemblage during the extended period (2007–2010) of no freshwater discharge to the Coorong when marine species and some medium to large-bodied estuarine species were dominant, and diadromous and freshwater species were absent or in low abundance (Zampatti *et al.* 2011a); 2) assemblages associated with years of high discharge (2010/11, 2011/12 and 2016/17), and characterised by high overall abundance, and high species-specific abundance for freshwater species, as well as the marine-estuarine opportunist sandy sprat, and catadromous species; and 3) assemblages associated with years of intermediate discharge (2006/07, 2013–2016, 2017/18), characterised by total fish abundances intermediate between the two previous groupings, including moderate abundances of freshwater species, and the marine-estuarine opportunist sandy sprat, but typically high abundance of catadromous species.

Inter-annual variability in overall fish abundance is largely influenced by fluctuations in the abundance of the marine estuarine-opportunist sandy sprat. This species is a small-bodied (typically <100 mm TL), pelagic, schooling clupeid, which is common in coastal bays and estuaries

across southern Australia (Gaughan *et al.* 1996, Gomon *et al.* 2008). Whilst considered a marine estuarine-opportunist species, it exhibits a positive association with freshwater inflows to the Coorong, being caught in greatest abundance during years of high freshwater flow (2010/11, 2011/12 and 2016/17). In 2011/12 and 2016/17, the mean abundance of sandy sprat at the Tauwitchere rock ramp was 19,989 and 11,215 fish.hr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, whilst in years of intermediate discharge ranged 176–1831 fish.hr<sup>-1</sup>. In both high and intermediate flow years, the species typically comprises >50% of the total catch numerically (as high as 88% in 2011/12). From 2006 to 2010, during years of low or no discharge, abundance ranged just 0.5–22 fish.hr<sup>-1</sup>. Sandy sprat is zooplanktivorous and a recent study, utilising gut content and stable isotope analyses, has documented both the direct predation of freshwater zooplankton transported to the Coorong in freshwater discharge, and assimilation of organic matter of freshwater origin (Bice *et al.* 2016). Bice *et al.* (2016) proposed this trophic subsidy as a potential mechanism driving the abundance–discharge association for the species. Sandy sprat is fundamental to trophic dynamics in the Coorong (Giatas and Ye 2016), particularly the Murray estuary and upper North Lagoon, where, contrary to the South Lagoon, it supplants small-mouthed hardyhead as the most abundant small-bodied fish (Ye *et al.* 2012). Increases in the abundance of sandy sprat are likely to have flow on effects to higher trophic organisms, including juvenile mulloway (Giatas and Ye 2015).

The influence of salinity on spatio-temporal variation in estuarine fish assemblage structure has been documented widely (Lonergan and Bunn 1999, Barletta *et al.* 2005, Baptista *et al.* 2010). Indeed the results of this study, from 2006–2018, confirm the importance of spatio-temporal variation in salinity in influencing fish assemblage patterns in the Coorong. At a range of spatial and temporal scales, low salinities promoted by high freshwater flows (e.g. 2010/11) often result in low species diversity and high abundances of a few freshwater and estuarine dependent species (Lamberth *et al.* 2008). Brackish salinities, such as those present in the Murray estuary in 2006/07, and 2011–2018 result in high species diversity, with a range of freshwater, diadromous, estuarine and marine migrant and straggler species present (Baptista *et al.* 2010). In contrast high salinities (e.g. marine and greater), such as those resulting from diminished freshwater inflows to the Coorong estuary from 2007–2010, result in decreased species diversity and an assemblage characterised by the loss of freshwater species and increases in marine species (Martinho *et al.* 2007).

### ***Intra-annual spatial variation***

In 2017/18, fish assemblages sampled at vertical-slot fishways were generally similar, with the exception of the Hunters Creek and Goolwa vertical-slot fishways. Differences among assemblages from the Hunters Creek fishway and other sites have been detected in previous years (e.g. 2014/15 and 2015/16) (Bice and Zampatti 2015, Bice *et al.* 2016) and are likely due to differences in habitat upstream and downstream of the fishways. Both upstream and downstream of the Hunters Creek causeway, aquatic habitat is characterised by small sheltered streams and wetlands, in contrast to the other fishways, which are situated on the barrages and characterised by open water habitats both upstream and downstream. During moderate and high discharge, salinities downstream of the Hunters Creek causeway are lower and less variable than at other sites. As such, several pelagic freshwater species (e.g. Australian smelt) and marine species (e.g. sandy sprat) are typically uncommon at Hunters Creek, whilst the assemblage is often dominated by YOY catadromous species (congolli and common galaxias). Furthermore, overall fish abundance is typically lower at Hunters Creek than the other sites, which likely reflects the lower relative discharge from this structure and subsequently, lower attraction of fish.

Whilst not compared statistically, the fish assemblages sampled at the vertical-slot fishways and sites adjacent the barrages (i.e. Tauwitchere rock ramp and adjacent Goolwa Barrage) vary substantially. This variation reflects potential behavioural differences between species and the specificity of sampling locations at these sites. Sampling in the entrance of vertical-slot fishways typically collects fish in the process of undertaking 'driven' migrations between the Coorong and Lower Lakes, whilst sampling at sites adjacent to the barrages captures accumulations of such species but also, large numbers of species from estuarine and marine life history categories residing adjacent the barrages. As such, species richness and overall abundance are typically greatest at the sites adjacent the barrages (Zampatti *et al.* 2011, 2012, Bice *et al.* 2012). Indeed, species richness and overall fish abundance varied from seven species at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot and 12,716 individuals (<1% of all fish sampled in 2016/17) at the entrance of the Hunters Creek vertical-slot to 21 species and a total of 227,372 individuals (~60% of all fish sampled in 2017/18) at the Tauwitchere rock ramp.

## **4.2. Abundance, recruitment and assessment of ecological targets for diadromous fish**

### ***Catadromous species***

Total numbers and relative abundances of congolli in 2017/18, were among the highest since the inception of this monitoring program in 2006/07 (Zampatti *et al.* 2010, 2011, Bice *et al.* 2012, Bice and Zampatti 2014). Indeed, congolli was the second most abundant species and when excluding the highly abundant sandy sprat, represented ~50% of all remaining fish sampled. Total number ( $n = 107,250$ ) was similar to that of 2016/17 ( $n = 126,986$ ), but remained less than the peak recorded in 2014/15 ( $n = 212,284$ ). Common galaxias was also sampled in moderate abundance, relative to most previous sampling years, with an increase in total number ( $n = 11,706$ ) and standardised abundance at most sites, relative to 2016/17 ( $n = 6,973$ ), but below the peak observed in 2014/15 ( $n = 30,367$ ). Whilst no ageing of fish was conducted in 2017/18, length-at-age data from previous years (Zampatti *et al.* 2010, 2011, Bice *et al.* 2012), indicate that typically >80% of all individuals sampled for both species, in each month, were newly recruited YOY. Given high abundance of newly recruited YOY congolli and common galaxias, the annual recruitment index in 2017/18 was similarly high and the condition monitoring target was achieved.

Successful recruitment of catadromous species in 2017/18, relative to most preceding years, was likely a result of a combination of two mechanisms: 1) hydrological connectivity between freshwater, estuarine and marine environments during winter/early spring 2017 and subsequently, favourable conditions for migration, spawning and survival of larvae/juveniles under brackish salinities (Whitfield 1994, Gillanders and Kingsford 2002); and 2) relatively high spawning output as a result of high abundance of reproductively mature adults. Recruitment and subsequent YOY abundance steadily increased from 2010/11 to 2014/15, following reinstatement of freshwater discharge and high levels of connectivity. A trend of increasing abundance of YOY over this period likely reflected cumulative benefits of multiple consecutive years of enhanced connectivity. The lack of connectivity and reduced recruitment of congolli and common galaxias from 2007–2010 may have resulted in a depleted population of reproductively mature adults. As such, while recruitment was enhanced following the resumption of freshwater flow in 2010/11, the number of juveniles produced may have been limited by the adult spawning biomass. Congolli typically mature at 3–4 years of age (Hortle 1978) and thus, the adult spawning population post–2014 was likely abundant and comprised of fish that recruited and migrated into freshwater habitats from 2010/11 to 2014/15. These results highlight the importance of providing freshwater

discharge to the Coorong on an annual basis and the influence of consecutive 'favourable' years on population dynamics of diadromous fishes.

### ***Anadromous species***

A total of 53 pouched lamprey were sampled across multiple fishways during specific monitoring in winter 2017, and as such, the annual migration index and Icon Site ecological target for the species was achieved in 2017/18. No short-headed lamprey were sampled in 2017/18 and thus, the target was not met for this species. Nonetheless, sampling may not have been appropriately timed for the detection of short-headed lamprey.

Whilst the migration of pouched lamprey and short-headed lamprey at the Murray Barrages, and more broadly in the MDB, is poorly understood, a model for the movement of these species is beginning to emerge. Capture of pouched lamprey in all years in which specific winter monitoring (June–September) has been undertaken, as well as knowledge of migration from other river systems (McDowall 1996), suggests winter is the key upstream migration period for this species. Alternatively, we suspect peak upstream migration of short-headed lamprey at the Murray Barrages likely occurs slightly later, in late winter–spring, which is consistent with peak migration in other systems in southeastern Australia (McDowall 1996). This period is typically not sampled during specific winter monitoring or annual spring/summer sampling, which generally commences from mid/late-October.

Assessment of the status of lamprey species is reliant on sampling during specific periods. As such, we propose that in years when monitoring is conducted from June to August, a confident assessment of pouched lamprey status may be achieved. Nonetheless, rigorous assessment of the status of short-headed lamprey likely requires sampling from August to November. Furthermore, in an effort to maintain consistency in sampling effort among years, recently constructed fishways on Goolwa, Mundoo, Boundary Creek and Ewe Island barrages that are commonly sampled during specific winter sampling events, have not been included in migration index calculation and target assessment. Given the low abundance of these species, we propose that in the future, data collected from all fishways be included in target assessment. Ultimately, knowledge of the key migration periods and sampling regime in any given year must be considered when assessing the achievement of ecological targets related to lamprey.



### 4.3. Implications for management and operation of the barrages and fishways

Data collected from this project from 2006–2018 (Bice *et al.* 2007, 2012, 2016, 2017b, Jennings *et al.* 2008, Zampatti *et al.* 2010, 2012, Bice and Zampatti 2014, 2015) and related projects (Jennings *et al.* 2008, Zampatti *et al.* 2011, Bice *et al.* 2016, 2017a) provide fundamental knowledge to inform the operation of the Murray Barrages and associated fishways to aid in the conservation and restoration of native fish populations in the MDB. Indeed specific periods of peak migration can be identified for different life stages of diadromous species, which require movement between freshwater and marine/estuarine environments to complete their lifecycle. These periods should be prioritised for freshwater releases and fishway operation.

Newly recruited YOY congolli and common galaxias migrate upstream during spring/summer, but there are often subtle differences in the timing of peak migration. Peak migration of congolli typically occurs in December–January, whilst peak migration of common galaxias may occur from October–December (Bice *et al.* 2007, 2012, Zampatti *et al.* 2012, Bice and Zampatti 2015), and as such, the period October–January represents a critical period for fishway operation. Whilst both of these species typically migrate upstream in greatest numbers during specific months, migrations can generally occur over a protracted period from September–March.

Adult congolli and common galaxias must also migrate downstream to spawn. The key downstream migration period for adult congolli occurs from June–August (Zampatti *et al.* 2011). The downstream migration of adult common galaxias has not been directly observed in the Lower Lakes and Coorong, but the presence of reproductively active fish (i.e. ‘running ripe’) near the barrages in winter (SARDI unpublished data) suggests peak downstream migration also occurs at this time, but likely extends into spring. Additionally, analyses of the otolith microstructure of newly recruited upstream migrants suggests peak spawning activity of congolli in July–August and common galaxias in August–September (Bice *et al.* 2012). The provision of open ‘barrage gates’, rather than just open fishways, is likely important over this period. Vertical-slot fishways, like those present at the Murray Barrages, are designed to facilitate upstream migrations and thus, are generally poor at facilitating downstream migrations (Clay 1995, Larinier and Marmulla 2004). Rates of downstream migration are likely to be far greater through open barrage gates.

Peak upstream migration of pouched lamprey also appears to occur during winter, with peak migration of short-headed lamprey likely extending into spring. However, this species is rare and there is limited empirical data on timing of migration. Furthermore, timing of downstream migration

of newly metamorphosed juveniles in the region is unknown, but in other regions also occurs in winter (McDowall 1996).

Periods of peak migration for diadromous species indicate important seasons and months for barrage and fishway operation, but prioritising locations (i.e. specific barrages) for freshwater releases, in relation to fish migration, is more difficult. Whilst there were specific differences in the abundance of upstream migrating congolli and common galaxias between sites, overall, abundances downstream of Goolwa and Tauwichee Barrages were not substantially different. YOY catadromous fish are likely to respond to salinity and olfactory cues from freshwater discharge during their upstream migration, and moderate–high abundances at Goolwa and Tauwichee potentially reflect consistent freshwater discharge, and thus, attraction at both of these locations during the study period. In support of this hypothesis, in 2009/10, upstream migrating common galaxias were moderately abundant at the Goolwa vertical-slot, but absent from sites at Tauwichee Barrage (Zampatti *et al.* 2011). No freshwater was discharged from Tauwichee in 2009/10 but small volumes were released at Goolwa during navigation lock operation, which occurred in association with the Goolwa Channel Water Level Management Plan (Bice and Zampatti 2011). This suggests that these species migrate and accumulate where freshwater is being discharged and thus, the actual release location (i.e. barrage) may not be of major importance, but rather releases should be prioritised to barrages where effective fish passage is facilitated.

New fishways were recently constructed on Goolwa, Mundoo, Boundary Creek, Ewe Island and Tauwichee barrages. A subset of these fishways have been assessed for biological effectiveness and all are successfully passing YOY common galaxias and congolli, among other species (Bice *et al.* 2017a). Nonetheless, an important aspect of fishway effectiveness is attraction efficiency, or the ability of fish to locate the entrance of the fishway. The way in which flow is discharged from a regulating structure has great bearing on attraction efficiency. Whilst data is scarce with regard to the delivery of freshwater from tidal barriers in a manner that maximises attraction, we suggest that releases should currently be prioritised to gates immediately adjacent the fishways. Upon completion of all assessments of fishways effectiveness (two remain) and determination of differences in species utilisation between fishways, an operations plan could be developed to inform the order of closing/opening fishways during times of water scarcity, to maximise fish passage benefits.

Operating the barrages and their respective fishways in a manner that enhances fish migration is fundamental to the sustainability of fish populations, particularly diadromous species in the MDB. Suggestions for future barrage and fishway operation, considering fish migration, are summarised below:

- 1) Freshwater discharge and operation of all fishways on the Murray Barrages should occur, at a minimum, from June–January to: 1) allow for downstream spawning migrations of congolli and common galaxias and upstream migrations of pouched lamprey from June to August; 2) allow for upstream migrations of short-headed lamprey from August to November; and 3) allow for the upstream migrations of YOY congolli and common galaxias (and other species) from October to January. Where possible, attraction flow should be provided from barrage gates immediately adjacent to each fishway. If discharge is being decreased at Tauwichee, gates adjacent the small vertical-slot fishway should be the last to 'shut-down' as this fishway is the most effective at passing small-bodied fishes.
- 2) In addition to the operation of fishways from June to August, gates should be opened on the barrages (with priority given to Tauwichee and Goolwa) to facilitate downstream migrations of catadromous species and provide attraction flow for upstream migrations of anadromous species. Barrage gates are likely to far better facilitate downstream movement than fishways.
- 3) Fishways should remain open for at least two months following the complete closure of barrage gates to facilitate the return migrations of freshwater fishes (and other species). Catches of freshwater (e.g. Australian smelt, bony herring and flat-headed gudgeon) and diadromous fish are commonly high following decreased barrage discharge and increasing salinity within the Coorong.
- 4) Following the assessment of the final new fishways on the Murray Barrages, the knowledge generated under the current project, and related studies, should be incorporated into the Barrage Operating Strategy.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Freshwater flows and connectivity between freshwater and marine environments play a crucial role in structuring the composition of estuarine fish assemblages and facilitating the recruitment of catadromous congolli and common galaxias, among other species, in the Coorong estuary. During 2006–2010, the cessation of freshwater discharge to the Coorong estuary led to increases in salinity, a loss of fish species diversity and reduced abundances, particularly in the case of diadromous species. Alternatively, 2017/18 represented a year of moderate freshwater discharge, and followed high flow in 2016/17, and several consecutive years of consistent moderate discharge (2013–2016). Importantly in 2017/18, the majority of overall freshwater discharge and continuous fishway operation were supported by environmental water. Fresh to brackish salinities prevailed in the Coorong estuary and fish assemblages were typical of a spatio-temporally dynamic temperate estuary under the influence of freshwater flow.

Abundances of catadromous congolli and common galaxias remained high, albeit less so than 2014/15, with the majority of individuals sampled representing newly recruited YOY. Whilst no fish were aged in 2017/18, high levels of connectivity and freshwater inflows throughout winter/early spring 2017 likely facilitated spawning migrations and protracted spawning seasons, and provided conditions conducive to larval/juvenile survival and subsequent recruitment. The species-specific recruitment target was met for congolli and common galaxias. As such, the results of the current study suggest the revised ecological objective (F-1) – *‘Promote the successful migration and recruitment of diadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong’* (Robinson 2014), and more specifically (a) – *‘promote the successful migration and recruitment of catadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong’*, was achieved in 2017/18. The objective (b) – *‘promote the successful spawning migration of anadromous fish species in the Lower Lakes and Coorong’*, was achieved for pouched lamprey, but not short-headed lamprey, in 2017/18.

The current project has contributed to a greater understanding of the dynamics of fish assemblages in the Coorong in association with variable freshwater discharge. Such data will form a basis for determining the status and trajectories of fish assemblages and populations in the Coorong estuary into the future.

## REFERENCES

Anderson, M. J., R. N. Gorley and K. R. Clarke (2008). PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistical Methods, PRIMER-E: Plymouth, UK.

Baptista, J., F. Martinho, M. Dolbeth, I. Viegas, H. Cabral and M. Pardal (2010). Effects of freshwater flow on the fish assemblage of the Mondego estuary (Portugal): comparison between drought and non-drought years. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **61**: 490-501.

Barletta, M., A. Barletta-Bergan, U. Saint-Paul and G. Hubold (2005). The role of salinity in structuring fish assemblages in a tropical estuary. *Journal of Fish Biology* **66**: 45-72.

Barrett, J. and M. Mallen-Cooper (2006). The Murray River's 'Sea to Hume Dam' fish passage program: Progress to date and lessons learned. *Ecological Management and Restoration* **7**: 173-183.

Beck, M. W., K. L. Heck, K. W. Able, D. L. Childers, D. B. Eggleston, B. M. Gillanders, B. Halpern, C. Hays, K. Hoshino, T. J. Minello, R. J. Orth, P. F. Sheridan and M. P. Weinstein (2001). The identification, conservation, and management of estuarine and marine nurseries for fish and invertebrates. *BioScience* **51**: 633-641.

Benjamini, Y. and D. Yekutieli (2001). The control of false discovery rate under dependency. *Annals of Statistics* **29**: 1165-1188.

Bice, C. and B. Zampatti (2011). Response of fish to the 'Goolwa Channel Water Level Management Plan': 2009-2011, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2010/000703-2. SARDI Research Report Series No. 541, 45 pp.

Bice, C. M., D. Furst, S. Lamontagne, R. L. Oliver, B. P. Zampatti and A. Revill (2016). The influence of freshwater discharge on productivity, microbiota community structure and trophic dynamics in the Murray estuary: evidence of freshwater derived trophic subsidy in the sandy sprat, Goyder Institute for Water Research Technical Report Series No. 15/40, Adelaide, South Australia.

Bice, C., P. Jennings and B. Zampatti (2007). Fish movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes: 2006/07 progress report, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide, 48pp. SARDI Publication No. 2007/000555-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 232.

Bice, C. M., S. D. Wedderburn, M. P. Hammer, Q. Ye and B. P. Zampatti (In Press). Fishes of the Lower Lakes and Coorong: an inventory and summary of life history, population dynamics and

management. In 'Natural History of the Coorong, Lower Lakes and Murray Mouth'. Eds. S. A. Shepard, Q. Ye and L. Mosely. Royal Society of South Australia, Adelaide.

Bice, C. and B. Zampatti (2011). Response of fish to the 'Goolwa Channel Water Level Management Plan': 2009-2011, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2010/000703-2. SARDI Research Report Series No. 541, 45 pp.

Bice, C. M. and B. P. Zampatti (2014). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2013/14, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-4. SARDI Research Report Series No. 800. 61pp.

Bice, C. M. and B. P. Zampatti (2015). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2014/15, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI publication No. F2011/000186-5. SARDI Research Report Series No. 862. 64 pp.

Bice, C. M., B. P. Zampatti and J. F. Fredberg (2016). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2015/16 South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-6. SARDI Research Report Series No. 921. 77 pp.

Bice, C. M., B. P. Zampatti and F. Fredberg (2017). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2016/17 South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-7. SARDI Research Report Series No. 942. 75 pp.

Bice, C. M., B. P. Zampatti and F. Fredberg (2017). Assessment of the biological effectiveness of newly constructed fishways on the Murray Barrages, South Australia, 2015–2017. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2016/000452-2. SARDI Research Report Series No. 952. 65pp.

Bice, C. M., B. P. Zampatti, P. R. Jennings and P. Wilson (2012). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes in 2011/12, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-3. SARDI Research Report Series No. 680. 53pp.

Chapman, A., D. L. Morgan, S. J. Beatty and H. S. Gill (2006). Variation in life history of land-locked lacustrine and riverine populations of *Galaxias maculatus* (Jenyns 1842) in Western Australia. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **77**: 21-37.

Clay, C. H. (1995). Design of fishways and other fish facilities. Boca Raton, Lewis.

CSIRO (2008). Water availability in the Murray-Darling Basin., Report to the Australian Government from the CSIRO Murray-Darling Basin Sustainable Yields Project. CSIRO, Australia, 67pp: 67.

Day, J. W., C. A. S. Hall, W. M. Kemp and A. Yanez-Aracibia (1989). Estuarine Ecology, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

DEW (2018). WaterConnect Surface Water Data Archive. [www.waterconnect.sa.gov.au/Systems/SWD/Pages/Default.aspx](http://www.waterconnect.sa.gov.au/Systems/SWD/Pages/Default.aspx). Department for Environment and Water.

DEWNR (2017). Condition Monitoring Plan (Revised) 2017. The Living Murray – Lower Lakes, Coorong and Murray Mouth Icon Site. DEWNR Technical Report 2016–17. Government of South Australia, through Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, Adelaide.

Dufrene, M. and P. Legendre (1997). Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecological Monographs* **67**: 345-366.

Flemer, D. A. and M. A. Champ (2006). What is the future fate of estuaries given nutrient over-enrichment, freshwater diversion and low flows? *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **52**: 247-258.

Gaughan, D. J., W. J. Fletcher, R. J. Tregonning and J. Goh (1996). Aspects of the biology and stock assessment of the whitebait, *Hyperlophus vittatus*, in South Western Australia, WA Fisheries Research Report 108. 127 pp.

Geddes, M. C. (1987). Changes in salinity and in the distribution of macrophytes, macrobenthos and fish in the Coorong Lagoons, South Australia, following a period of River Murray flow. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* **108**: 173-181.

Geddes, M. C. and A. J. Butler (1984). Physicochemical and biological studies on the Coorong Lagoons, South Australia, and the effect of salinity on the distribution of the macrobenthos. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* **108**: 51-62.

Giatas, G. C. and Q. Ye (2015). Diet of mulloway, congolli and Australian salmon in the Coorong. South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2015/000479-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 858. 81pp.

Giatas, G. C. and Q. Ye (2016). Conceptual food-web models for the Coorong: A focus on fishes and the influence of freshwater inflows, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2016/000124-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 892.

Gillanders, B. and M. Kingsford (2002). Impacts of changes in flow of freshwater on estuarine and open coastal habitats and the associated organism. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: an Annual Review* **40**: 233-309.

Goecker, M. E., J. F. Valentine, S. A. Sklenar and G. I. Chaplin (2009). Influence from hydrological modification on energy and nutrient transference in a deltaic food web. *Estuaries and Coasts* **32**: 173-187.

Gomon, M., D. Bray and R. Kuitert, Eds. (2008). Fishes of Australia's Southern Coast, Reed, New Holland, Sydney.

Hortle, M. (1978). The ecology of the sandy, *Pseudaphritis urvillii*, in south-east Tasmania, Honours thesis, University of Tasmania.

Houde, E. D. (1997). Fish early life history dynamics and recruitment variability. *American Fisheries Society Symposium* **2**.

Jennings, P., B. Zampatti and C. Bice (2008). Fish movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes 2007/08 Progress Report, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Research Report Series No. F2007/000555-2. SARDI Publication No. 302. 47pp

Jennings, P., B. Zampatti, I. G. Stuart and L. J. Baumgartner (2008). Fish passage at the Murray barrages. The sea to Lake Hulme: Restoring fish passage in the Murray River, Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra. MDBC publication No. 32/08: 62-77.

Kingsford, R. T., K. F. Walker, R. E. Lester, W. J. Young, P. G. Fairweather, J. Sammut and M. C. Geddes (2011). A Ramsar wetland in crisis - the Coorong, Lower Lakes and Murray Mouth Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **62**: 255-265

Kocovsky, P. M., R. M. Ross and D. S. Dropkin (2009). Prioritising removal of dams for passage of diadromous fishes on a major river system. *River Research and Applications* **25**: 107-117.

Kupschus, S. and D. Tremain (2001). Associations between fish assemblages and environmental factors in nearshore habitats of a subtropical estuary. *Journal of Fish Biology* **58**: 1383-1403.

Lamberth, S. J., L. van Niekerk and K. Hutchings (2008). Comparison of and the effects of altered freshwater inflow on fish assemblages of two contrasting South African estuaries: The cool-temperate Oliphants and the warm-temperate Breede. *African Journal of Marine Science* **30**: 311-336.



Larinier, M. and G. Marmulla (2004). Fish passages: types, principles and geographical distribution - an overview. Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on the Management of Large Rivers for Fisheries. RAP publication 2004/17, vol. II. FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok, Thailand, pp 183-206. R. L. Welcomme and T. Petr.

Lassalle, G. and E. Rochard (2009). Impact of twenty-first century climate change on diadromous fish spread over Europe, North Africa and the Middle East. *Global Change Biology* **15**: 1072-1089.

Lonergan, N. R. and S. E. Bunn (1999). River flows and estuarine ecosystems: implications for coastal fisheries from a review and a case study of the Logan River, southeast Queensland. *Australian Journal of Ecology* **24**: 431-440.

Lucas, M. and E. Baras (2001). *Migration of Freshwater Fishes*, Blackwell Sciences, Oxford.

Mallen-Cooper, M. (2001). *Fish passage options for the Murray Barrages*, Fishways Consulting Services, Sydney

Martinho, F., R. Leitao, I. Viegas, M. Dolbeth, J. M. Neto, H. N. Cabral and M. A. Pardal (2007). The influence of an extreme drought event in the fish community of a southern Europe temperate estuary. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **75**: 537-546.

McCune, B. and M. Mefford (2006). *PC-ORD. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data*, Version 5.0. MjM Software Design, Glendon Beach, Oregon.

McDowall, R., C. Mitchell and E. Brothers (1994). Age at migration from the sea of juvenile *Galaxias* in New Zealand (Pisces: Galaxiidae). *Bulletin of Marine Science* **54**: 385-402.

McDowall, R. M. (1988). *Diadromy in Fishes - Migrations between Freshwater and Marine Environments*, Croom Helm, London, UK.

McDowall, R. M., Ed. (1996). Freshwater fishes of south-eastern Australia. Sydney, Reed.

Narum, S. R. (2006). Beyond Bonferroni: Less conservative analyses for conservation genetics. *Conservation Genetics* **7**: 783-787.

Phillips, W. and K. Muller (2006). *Ecological Character Description of the Coorong, Lakes Alexandrina and Albert Wetland of International Importance*, Department of Environment and Heritage.

Potter, I. C., J. R. Tweedley, M. Elliott and A. K. Whitfield (2015). The ways in which fish use estuaries: a refinement and expansion of the guild approach. *Fish and Fisheries* **16**: 230-239.

Puckridge, J. T., F. Sheldon and K. F. Walker (1998). Flow variability and the ecology of large rivers. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **49**: 55-72.

Robinson, W. A. (2014). The Living Murray Condition Monitoring Plan Refinement Project: Summary Report, Technical Report to the MDBA, March 2015. 95 pp.

Stuart, I. G., Q. Ye, J. Higham and T. O'Brien (2005). Fish Migration at Tauwitchere Barrage: new options for fish passage, Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra, 33pp.

Van Dijk, A., H. E. Beck, R. S. Crosbie, R. A. M. de Jeu, Y. Y. Liu, G. M. Podger, B. Timbal and N. R. Viney (2013). The millenium drought in southeast Australia (2001-2009): Natural and human causes and implications for water resources, ecosystems, economy, and society. *Water Resources Research* **49**: 1040-1057.

Walker, D. (2002). What is possible: hydrology and morphology. In 'The Murray Mouth: exploring implications of closure or restricted flow, A report prepared for the Murray-Darling Basin Commission and Department of Water Land and Biodiversity Conservation: pp 85-92.

Whitfield, A. K. (1994). Abundance of larval and 0+ juvenile marine fishes in the lower reaches of three southern African estuaries with differing freshwater inputs *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **105**: 257-267.

Whitfield, A. K. (1999). Ichthyofaunal assemblages in estuaries: A South African case study. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* **9**: 151-186.

Ye, Q., L. Bucater, D. Short and J. Livore (2012). Fish response to barrage releases in 2011/12, and recovery following the recent drought in the Coorong, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2012/000357-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 665. 81pp.

Zampatti, B. P., C. M. Bice and P. R. Jennings (2010). Temporal variability in fish assemblage structure and recruitment in a freshwater deprived estuary: The Coorong, Australia. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **61**: 1298-1312.

Zampatti, B. P., C. M. Bice and P. R. Jennings (2011). Fish assemblage structure, movement and recruitment in the Coorong and Lower Lakes from 2006-2010, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 569. 43pp.

Zampatti, B. P., C. M. Bice and P. R. Jennings (2011). Movements of female congolli (*pseudaphritis urvillii*) in the Coorong and Lower Lakes of the River Murray South Australian

Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000333-1. SARDI Research Report Series No. 577. 32pp.

Zampatti, B. P., C. M. Bice and P. R. Jennings (2012). Fish assemblage response and fishway effectiveness at Goolwa, Tauwitchere and Hunters Creek Barrages in 2010/11, South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Sciences), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No. F2011/000186-2. SARDI Research Report Series No. 605. 66pp.

## APPENDICES

**Appendix 1:** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled at the Tauwicheere rock ramp (TRR) from 2006–2018. PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. \*denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction  $\alpha = 0.011$ . ns = non-significant.

Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>2007/08</b>	<i>t</i> = 2.28 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	-								
<b>2008/09</b>	<i>t</i> = 2.78 <i>p</i> = 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 1.77 <i>p</i> = 0.010*	-							
<b>2009/10</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.06 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 2.14 <i>p</i> = 0.007*	<i>t</i> = 2.09 <i>p</i> = 0.013 ns	-						
<b>2010/11</b>	<i>t</i> = 5.20 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 6.04 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 5.50 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 5.30 <i>p</i> = 0.005*	-					
<b>2011/12</b>	<i>t</i> = 4.98 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 5.81 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 5.46 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 5.27 <i>p</i> < 0.005*	<i>t</i> = 2.44 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	-				
<b>2013/14</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.89 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 4.99 <i>p</i> < 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 4.73 <i>p</i> = 0.009*	<i>t</i> = 5.05 <i>p</i> = 0.022 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.77 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 1.76 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	-			
<b>2014/15</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.92 <i>p</i> < 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 4.82 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 4.48 <i>p</i> = 0.005*	<i>t</i> = 4.60 <i>p</i> = 0.026 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.59 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 1.83 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 1.46 <i>p</i> = 0.036 ns	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	<i>t</i> = 2.68 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 3.58 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 3.41 <i>p</i> = 0.010*	<i>t</i> = 3.34 <i>p</i> = 0.028 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.78 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 2.49 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 1.50 <i>p</i> = 0.067 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.40 <i>p</i> = 0.227 ns	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.53 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 4.28 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 3.84 <i>p</i> = 0.008*	<i>t</i> = 3.96 <i>p</i> = 0.028 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.68 <i>p</i> = 0.008*	<i>t</i> = 1.81 <i>p</i> = 0.025 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.85 <i>p</i> = 0.036 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.58 <i>p</i> = 0.058 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.70 <i>p</i> = 0.090 ns	-
<b>2017/18</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.78 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 4.68 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 4.38 <i>p</i> = 0.009*	<i>t</i> = 4.53 <i>p</i> = 0.024 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.48 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 1.85 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 1.17 <i>p</i> = 0.222 ns	<i>t</i> = 0.84 <i>p</i> = 0.662 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.39 <i>p</i> = 0.186 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.38 <i>p</i> = 0.100 ns

**Appendix 2:** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled at the Tauwichee vertical-slot (TVS) from 2006–2018. PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. \*denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction  $\alpha = 0.011$ . ns = non-significant.

Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>2007/08</b>	<i>t</i> = 2.784 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	-								
<b>2008/09</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.447 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 1.597 <i>p</i> = 0.024 ns	-							
<b>2009/10</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.637 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 2.662 <i>p</i> = 0.033 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.439 <i>p</i> = 0.015 ns	-						
<b>2010/11</b>	<i>t</i> = 4.527 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 5.450 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 4.693 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 4.914 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	-					
<b>2011/12</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.205 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 4.676 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 4.290 <i>p</i> = 0.005*	<i>t</i> = 4.232 <i>p</i> = 0.011*	<i>t</i> = 1.665 <i>p</i> = 0.017 ns	-				
<b>2013/14</b>	<i>t</i> = 1.879 <i>p</i> = 0.011*	<i>t</i> = 3.551 <i>p</i> = 0.006*	<i>t</i> = 3.506 <i>p</i> = 0.013 ns	<i>t</i> = 3.589 <i>p</i> = 0.025 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.319 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 1.399 <i>p</i> = 0.087 ns	-			
<b>2014/15</b>	<i>t</i> = 2.212 <i>p</i> = 0.010*	<i>t</i> = 4.406 <i>p</i> = 0.024 ns	<i>t</i> = 3.609 <i>p</i> = 0.013 ns	<i>t</i> = 3.723 <i>p</i> = 0.099 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.250 <i>p</i> = 0.011*	<i>t</i> = 1.730 <i>p</i> = 0.017 ns	<i>t</i> = 7.739 <i>p</i> = 0.780 ns	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	<i>t</i> = 2.364 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 5.080 <i>p</i> = 0.027 ns	<i>t</i> = 3.959 <i>p</i> = 0.020 ns	<i>t</i> = 4.304 <i>p</i> = 0.105 ns	<i>t</i> = 3.350 <i>p</i> = 0.008*	<i>t</i> = 3.024 <i>p</i> = 0.006*	<i>t</i> = 1.369 <i>p</i> = 0.144 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.400 <i>p</i> = 0.097 ns	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.283 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 4.821 <i>p</i> = 0.023 ns	<i>t</i> = 4.32 <i>p</i> = 0.011*	<i>t</i> = 4.225 <i>p</i> = 0.021 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.445 <i>p</i> = 0.006*	<i>t</i> = 2.004 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 1.743 <i>p</i> = 0.032 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.874 <i>p</i> = 0.030 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.759 <i>p</i> = 0.035 ns	-
<b>2017/18</b>	<i>t</i> = 2.465 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 4.19 <i>p</i> = 0.029 ns	<i>t</i> = 3.671 <i>p</i> = 0.020 ns	<i>t</i> = 3.488 <i>p</i> = 0.093 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.282 <i>p</i> = 0.004*	<i>t</i> = 1.747 <i>p</i> = 0.016 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.037 <i>p</i> = 0.355 ns	<i>t</i> = 0.937 <i>p</i> = 0.704 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.85 <i>p</i> = 0.097 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.22 <i>p</i> = 0.262 ns

**Appendix 3:** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled at the Tauwitchere small vertical-slot (TSVS) from 2010–2018. PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. \*denotes statistically significant  $p$  values; after B-Y method FDR correction  $\alpha = 0.015$ . ns = non-significant.

Year	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>2011/12</b>	$t = 1.793$ $p = 0.010^*$	-				
<b>2013/14</b>	$t = 2.310$ $p = 0.003^*$	$t = 1.476$ $p = 0.096$ ns	-			
<b>2014/15</b>	$t = 2.496$ $p < 0.001^*$	$t = 1.594$ $p = 0.025$ ns	$t = 0.765$ $p = 0.733$ ns	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	$t = 2.591$ $p = 0.004^*$	$t = 1.765$ $p = 0.033$ ns	$t = 0.897$ $p = 0.533$ ns	$t = 1.341$ $p = 0.172$ ns	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	$t = 1.408$ $p = 0.089$ ns	$t = 1.206$ $p = 0.205$ ns	$t = 0.899$ $p = 0.375$ ns	$t = 1.405$ $p = 0.240$ ns	$t = 1.383$ $p = 0.174$ ns	-
<b>2017/18</b>	$t = 2.629$ $p = 0.002^*$	$t = 1.612$ $p = 0.058$ ns	$t = 0.776$ $p = 0.575$ ns	$t = 1.187$ $p = 0.220$ ns	$t = 0.733$ $p = 0.612$ ns	$t = 1.227$ $p = 0.213$ ns

**Appendix 4:** Results of similarity of percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs of years at the Tauwitchere rock ramp, deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. \*indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. NS = non-significant comparison.

Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
2007/08	A. <i>microstoma</i> *									
	<i>H. vittatus</i> *	-								
	<i>T. lastii</i> *									
	<i>R. semoni</i> *									
	<i>A. forsterii</i>									
2008/09	A. <i>microstoma</i> *	A. <i>microstoma</i> *								
	<i>H. vittatus</i> *	<i>H. vittatus</i> *	-							
	<i>T. lastii</i> *	<i>A. forsterii</i>								
	<i>R. semoni</i> *	<i>R. tapirina</i>								
	<i>A. forsterii</i>									
2009/10	A. <i>microstoma</i> *	A. <i>microstoma</i> *								
	<i>H. vittatus</i> *	<i>H. vittatus</i> *								
	<i>T. lastii</i> *	<i>A. japonicus</i>								
	A. <i>tamarensis</i> *	C. <i>brevicaudus</i>	NS	-						
	<i>P. olorum</i> *	A. <i>truttaceus</i>								
2010/11	A. <i>truttaceus</i>	A. <i>truttaceus</i>								
	A. <i>georgianus</i>	A. <i>georgianus</i>								
	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>						
	<i>P.</i>	<i>P.</i>	<i>P.</i>	<i>P.</i>						
	<i>grandiceps</i>	<i>grandiceps</i>	<i>grandiceps</i>	<i>grandiceps</i>	-					
2011/12	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>						
	<i>P. fluviatilis</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>						
					<i>H. vittatus</i>					
	<i>H. vittatus</i>	<i>H. vittatus</i>	<i>H. vittatus</i>	<i>H. vittatus</i>	<i>P.</i>					
	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>grandiceps</i> *					
2011/12	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>	<i>P.</i>					
					<i>fluviatilis</i> *					
					G. <i>maculatus</i>					





**Appendix 5:** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Tauwitche vertical-slot (TVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. \* indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. NS = non-significant comparison.

Year	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
2007/08	<i>P. grandiceps</i> *									
	<i>P. urvillii</i> *	-								
	<i>G. maculatus</i> *									
	<i>H. vittatus</i> *									
	<i>P. grandiceps</i> *									
2008/09	<i>P. urvillii</i> *	NS	-							
	<i>G. maculatus</i> *									
	<i>P. grandiceps</i> *									
2009/10	<i>G. maculatus</i> *	NS	NS	-						
	<i>H. vittatus</i> *									
	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>						
2010/11	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>						
	<i>G. maculatus</i> *	<i>P. grandiceps</i>	<i>P. grandiceps</i>	<i>P. grandiceps</i>						
	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>						
2011/12	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>R. semoni</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>						
	<i>T. lastii</i>	<i>N. erebi</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>	NS	-				
	<i>H. vittatus</i> *	<i>T. lastii</i>	<i>P. urvillii</i>							
	<i>P. grandiceps</i>	<i>P. urvillii</i>								
2013/14	<i>R. semoni</i> *	<i>R. semoni</i>			<i>R. semoni</i> *					
	<i>H. vittatus</i>	<i>P. grandiceps</i>	NS	NS	<i>H. vittatus</i>	NS	-			
	<i>G. maculatus</i>	<i>T. lastii</i>			<i>G. maculatus</i>					
	<i>R. semoni</i>									
2014/15	<i>R. semoni</i>				<i>R. semoni</i> *					
	<i>N. erebi</i>	NS	NS	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i>	NS	NS	-		
	<i>P. urvillii</i>				<i>G. maculatus</i>					
	<i>H. vittatus</i>									

<b>2015/16</b>	<i>P. grandiceps</i> <i>R. semoni</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i>	NS	NS	NS	<i>R. semoni*</i> <i>P. grandiceps</i> <i>G. maculatus</i>	<i>P. grandiceps</i> <i>R. semoni*</i> <i>G. maculatus</i> <i>P. fluviatilis*</i>	NS	NS	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>A. microstoma*</i> <i>N. erebi</i>	NS	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>G. maculatus</i>	NS	<i>R. semoni*</i> <i>T. lastii*</i> <i>P. grandiceps*</i>	<i>T. lastii*</i> <i>R. semoni*</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i>	NS	NS	NS	-
<b>2017/18</b>	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i>	NS	NS	NS	<i>R. semoni*</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>G. maculatus</i>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Appendix 6:** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Tauwichee small vertical-slot (TSVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. \* indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. NS = non-significant comparison.

Year	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>2011/12</b>	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>R. semoni</i> <i>G. maculatus</i>	-				
<b>2013/14</b>	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>R. semoni</i> <i>P. urvillii</i>	NS	-			
<b>2014/15</b>	<i>G. maculatus</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>R. semoni</i>	NS	NS	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>R. semoni</i> <i>G. maculatus</i>	NS	NS	NS	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	-
	<i>G. maculatus</i>					
<b>2017/18</b>	<i>P. urvillii</i>  <i>P. fluviatilis</i> *	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Appendix 7:** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled at the Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS) from 2006–2018. PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. \*denotes statistically significant  $p$  values; after B-Y method FDR correction  $\alpha = 0.012$ . ns = non-significant.

Year	2006/07	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>2008/09</b>	$t = 2.805$ $p < 0.001^*$	-							
<b>2009/10</b>	$t = 1.720$ $p = 0.022$ ns	$t = 1.865$ $p = 0.033$ ns	-						
<b>2010/11</b>	$t = 2.997$ $p < 0.001^*$	$t = 3.974$ $p < 0.001^*$	$t = 2.640$ $p = 0.006^*$	-					
<b>2011/12</b>	$t = 2.020$ $p < 0.001^*$	$t = 3.745$ $p = 0.003^*$	$t = 3.044$ $p = 0.012^*$	$t = 1.456$ $p = 0.051$ ns	-				
<b>2013/14</b>	$t = 1.644$ $p = 0.014$ ns	$t = 3.142$ $p = 0.006^*$	$t = 2.580$ $p = 0.016$ ns	$t = 2.089$ $p = 0.002^*$	$t = 1.615$ $p = 0.006^*$	-			
<b>2014/15</b>	$t = 1.681$ $p = 0.020$ ns	$t = 3.450$ $p = 0.006^*$	$t = 3.197$ $p = 0.023$ ns	$t = 2.065$ $p = 0.002^*$	$t = 1.643$ $p = 0.032$ ns	$t = 1.017$ $p = 0.434$ ns	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	$t = 1.592$ $p = 0.024$ ns	$t = 3.078$ $p = 0.010^*$	$t = 2.555$ $p = 0.033$ ns	$t = 1.743$ $p = 0.016$ ns	$t = 1.556$ $p = 0.009^*$	$t = 0.903$ $p = 0.560$ ns	$t = 1.127$ $p = 0.331$ ns	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	$t = 2.080$ $p = 0.003^*$	$t = 3.180$ $p = 0.019$ ns	$t = 2.969$ $p = 0.111$ ns	$t = 1.925$ $p = 0.007^*$	$t = 1.691$ $p = 0.013$ ns	$t = 1.302$ $p = 0.127$ ns	$t = 1.281$ $p = 0.153$ ns	$t = 1.327$ $p = 0.120$ ns	
<b>2017/18</b>	$t = 1.730$ $p = 0.012$ ns	$t = 3.426$ $p = 0.010^*$	$t = 3.012$ $p = 0.034$ ns	$t = 2.207$ $p = 0.002^*$	$t = 1.598$ $p = 0.026$ ns	$t = 1.146$ $p = 0.279$	$t = 1.343$ $p = 0.215$ ns	$t = 1.036$ $p = 0.349$ ns	$t = 1.084$ $p = 0.230$ ns

**Appendix 8:** PERMANOVA pair-wise comparisons of fish assemblages sampled adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS) from 2008–2018. PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. \*denotes statistically significant *p* values; after B-Y method FDR correction  $\alpha = 0.013$ . ns = non-significant.

Year	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>2009/10</b>	<i>t</i> = 1.295 <i>p</i> = 0.154 ns	-						
<b>2010/11</b>	<i>t</i> = 4.222 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 3.334 <i>p</i> = 0.006*	-					
<b>2011/12</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.370 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 2.519 <i>p</i> = 0.010*	<i>t</i> = 2.731 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	-				
<b>2013/14</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.358 <i>p</i> = 0.009*	<i>t</i> = 2.614 <i>p</i> = 0.024 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.390 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 1.859 <i>p</i> = 0.009*	-			
<b>2014/15</b>	<i>t</i> = 4.018 <i>p</i> = 0.013*	<i>t</i> = 3.475 <i>p</i> = 0.040 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.367 <i>p</i> = 0.004*	<i>t</i> = 2.093 <i>p</i> = 0.004*	<i>t</i> = 1.066 <i>p</i> = 0.342 ns	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.541 <i>p</i> = 0.009*	<i>t</i> = 2.917 <i>p</i> = 0.020 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.756 <i>p</i> < 0.001*	<i>t</i> = 2.777 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 1.665 <i>p</i> = 0.015 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.072 <i>p</i> = 0.028 ns	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	<i>t</i> = 3.792 <i>p</i> = 0.006*	<i>t</i> = 3.156 <i>p</i> = 0.029 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.726 <i>p</i> = 0.002*	<i>t</i> = 1.768 <i>p</i> = 0.017 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.126 <i>p</i> = 0.281 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.322 <i>p</i> = 0.118 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.415 <i>p</i> = 0.028 ns	
<b>2017/18</b>	<i>t</i> = 4.239 <i>p</i> = 0.007*	<i>t</i> = 3.757 <i>p</i> = 0.031 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.375 <i>p</i> = 0.003*	<i>t</i> = 1.817 <i>p</i> = 0.006*	<i>t</i> = 1.510 <i>p</i> = 0.070 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.705 <i>p</i> = 0.029 ns	<i>t</i> = 2.443 <i>p</i> = 0.027 ns	<i>t</i> = 1.866 <i>p</i> = 0.029 ns

**Appendix 9:** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs years at the Goolwa vertical-slot (GVS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. \* indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. NS = non-significant comparison.

Year	2006/07	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
2008/09	<i>H. vittatus*</i> <i>R. semoni*</i> G. <i>maculatus*</i> <i>P. urvillii*</i>	-							
	NS	NS	-						
2010/11	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>T. lastii</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i> G. <i>maculatus*</i> <i>P. urvillii*</i>	<i>R. semoni</i> P. <i>grandiceps</i> <i>N. erebi</i> P. <i>fluviatilis</i> L. <i>argentea*</i>	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> <i>T. lastii</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i> <i>L. argentea*</i>	-					
	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i> G. <i>maculatus*</i> <i>P. urvillii*</i>	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>H. vittatus</i> <i>N. erebi</i> G. <i>maculatus</i>	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>N. erebi</i> G. <i>maculatus</i> A. <i>microstoma*</i> <i>L. argentea*</i>	NS	-				
2011/12	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i> G. <i>maculatus*</i> <i>P. urvillii*</i>	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>H. vittatus</i> <i>N. erebi</i> G. <i>maculatus</i>	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>N. erebi</i> G. <i>maculatus</i> A. <i>microstoma*</i> <i>L. argentea*</i>	NS	-				
	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>R. semoni</i> P. <i>grandiceps</i> <i>N. erebi</i>	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> G. <i>maculatus</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>R. semoni*</i>	<i>P. urvillii</i> R. <i>semoni*</i> H. <i>vittatus*</i> G. <i>maculatus</i>	-			

<b>2014/15</b>	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>R. semoni</i> G. <i>maculatus</i>	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> G. <i>maculatus</i> <i>H. vittatus</i>	NS	NS	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>R. semoni</i> G. <i>maculatus</i> P. <i>grandiceps</i>	NS	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> G. <i>maculatus</i> R. <i>semoni</i> * H. <i>vittatus</i> * N. <i>erebi</i> *	NS	NS	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	<i>N. erebi</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>R. semoni</i>	NS	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> N. <i>erebi</i> <i>R. semoni</i>	NS	NS	NS	NS	-
<b>2017/18</b>	NS	<i>R. semoni</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>H. vittatus</i>	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> G. <i>maculatus</i> <i>H. vittatus</i> <i>R. semoni</i> *	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Appendix 10:** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs of years adjacent Goolwa Barrage (GDS), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. \* indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. NS = non-significant comparison.

Year	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>2009/10</b>	NS	-						
<b>2010/11</b>	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. grandiceps</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> <i>N. erebi</i>	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. grandiceps</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> <i>N. erebi</i>	-					
<b>2011/12</b>	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>R. semoni</i> <i>T. lastii</i> <i>A. forsterii</i> *	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>T. lastii</i> <i>A. forsterii</i> * <i>A. bifrenatus</i> *	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>A. bifrenatus</i> * <i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>P. grandiceps</i> * <i>A. microstoma</i> *	-				
<b>2013/14</b>	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>G. maculatus</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>P. grandiceps</i>	NS	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>A. bifrenatus</i> * <i>P. grandiceps</i> *	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>T. lastii</i> <i>H. vittatus</i> * <i>A. truttaceus</i> * <i>N. erebi</i> *	-			
<b>2014/15</b>	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>P. grandiceps</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>G. maculatus</i>	NS	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>A. microstoma</i> * <i>A. bifrenatus</i> * <i>P. grandiceps</i> *	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>T. lastii</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>H. vittatus</i> * <i>A. truttaceus</i> *	NS	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>G. maculatus</i> <i>H. vittatus</i> <i>A. microstoma</i> <i>A. forsterii</i> *	NS	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>H. vittatus</i> * <i>N. erebi</i> * <i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>P. grandiceps</i> *	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>A. microstoma</i> <i>A. bifrenatus</i> <i>H. vittatus</i> * <i>N. erebi</i> *	NS	NS	-	



<b>2016/17</b>	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. grandiceps</i> <i>N. erebi</i>	NS	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. fluviatilis*</i> <i>A. bifrenatus*</i> <i>A. microstoma*</i>	NS	NS	NS	NS	-
<b>2017/18</b>	<i>H. vittatus</i> <i>P. urvillii</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> <i>R. semoni</i> <i>A. forsterii*</i>	NS	<i>A. bifrenatus*</i> <i>H. vittatus*</i> <i>P. grandiceps*</i> <i>P. fluviatilis*</i> <i>P. urvillii</i>	<i>H. vittatus*</i> <i>A. truttaceus*</i> <i>P. grandiceps*</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> <i>P. urvillii</i>	NS	NS	NS	NS

**Appendix 11:** PERMANOVA pairwise comparisons between fish assemblages sampled at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot fishway from 2010–2018. PERMANOVA was performed on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices. After B-Y method FDR correction  $\alpha = 0.015$ .

Year	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
<b>2011/12</b>	$t = 2.209$ $p = 0.002^*$	-				
<b>2013/14</b>	$t = 3.049$ $p < 0.001^*$	$t = 2.637$ $p < 0.001^*$	-			
<b>2014/15</b>	$t = 2.713$ $p < 0.001^*$	$t = 2.580$ $p = 0.002^*$	$t = 1.238$ $p = 0.213$ ns	-		
<b>2015/16</b>	$t = 2.790$ $p = 0.004^*$	$t = 2.630$ $p = 0.002^*$	$t = 1.323$ $p = 0.152$ ns	$t = 1.481$ $p = 0.152$ ns	-	
<b>2016/17</b>	$t = 2.052$ $p = 0.001^*$	$t = 2.305$ $p = 0.005^*$	$t = 1.477$ $p = 0.051$ ns	$t = 1.704$ $p = 0.027$ ns	$t = 1.734$ $p = 0.020$ ns	
<b>2017/18</b>	$t = 2.055$ $p = 0.007^*$	$t = 1.830$ $p = 0.006^*$	$t = 0.962$ $p = 0.543$ ns	$t = 1.039$ $p = 0.491$ ns	$t = 1.580$ $p = 0.030$ ns	$t = 1.159$ $p = 0.236$ ns

**Appendix 12:** Results of similarity or percentages analysis (SIMPER) presenting species that cumulatively contributed >40% to dissimilarity between fish assemblages sampled in pairs of years at the Hunters Creek vertical-slot (Hunters), deemed to be significantly different by PERMANOVA. \* indicates greater contribution to assemblages from the 'column year', whilst its absence represents greater contribution to assemblages from the 'row year'. NS = non-significant comparison.

Year	2010/11	2011/12	2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17
2011/12	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>P. grandiceps</i> * <i>N. erebi</i> *	-				
2013/14	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> * <i>P. grandiceps</i> *	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> *	-			
2014/15	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> *	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> *	NS	-		
2015/16	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> * <i>N. erebi</i> *	<i>P. urvillii</i> <i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> * <i>N. erebi</i> *	NS	NS	-	
2016/17	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> * <i>N. erebi</i> *	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> * <i>P. urvilli</i> <i>N. erebi</i> <i>L. argentea</i>	NS	NS	NS	-
2017/18	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> * <i>N. erebi</i> *	<i>P. fluviatilis</i> * <i>C. carpio</i> * <i>P. urvillii</i>	NS	NS	NS	NS