

Project Description

Aurora LNG Project



January 2015

Prepared by:
Aurora LNG

AURORA LNG



Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION.....	11
2	PROPONENT INFORMATION.....	14
2.1	AURORA LNG	14
2.2	NEXEN ENERGY ULC	14
2.3	INPEX CORPORATION.....	14
2.4	JGC CORPORATION	14
3	PROJECT CONTACTS	15
4	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	16
4.1	PROJECT OVERVIEW	16
4.2	PROJECT PURPOSE AND RATIONALE.....	16
4.3	PROJECT CAPITAL COST AND EMPLOYMENT ESTIMATES.....	17
5	PROJECT SCHEDULE	18
6	DIGBY ISLAND	19
6.1	SITE LOCATION.....	19
6.2	LAND OWNERSHIP AND ZONING.....	19
6.3	LAND USE	22
6.4	MARINE USE.....	22
6.5	PROJECT ACCESS.....	24
6.6	PAST AND CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES IN THE REGION	24
6.7	PROJECT COMPONENTS.....	27
6.7.1	LNG Facility	28
6.7.2	Marine Jetty and LNG Loading	37
6.7.3	Materials Offloading Facility.....	37
6.7.4	Camp Facilities	38
6.7.5	Heliport Area	38
6.8	PROJECT ACTIVITIES.....	38
6.8.1	Construction.....	38
6.8.2	Commissioning and Start-up	40
6.8.3	Facility Operations and Maintenance	40
6.8.4	Marine Shipping.....	40
6.8.5	Decommissioning and Reclamation	41
6.9	EMISSIONS, WASTES, NOISE AND LIGHT	41
6.9.1	Air Emissions	41
6.9.2	Solid and Liquid Waste	43
6.9.3	Noise and Light Emissions	44
7	FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL INVOLVEMENT AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	45
7.1	ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND REVIEW REQUIREMENTS	45
7.2	FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.....	46
7.2.1	Federal Lands Requirements	46
7.2.2	Federal Financial Support.....	46

Project Description

Table of Contents

January 2015

7.2.3	Federal Legislative Requirements	46
7.3	PROVINCIAL REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	48
7.4	ANTICIPATED SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	49
7.4.1	Scope of Project	49
7.4.2	Scope of Environmental Assessment	50
8	SOCIO-COMMUNITY AND LAND USE SETTING	52
8.1	SKEENA-QUEEN CHARLOTTE REGIONAL DISTRICT	52
8.2	PRINCE RUPERT	52
8.3	METLAKATLA RESERVE AND COMMUNITY	52
8.4	LAX KW'ALAAMS RESERVE AND COMMUNITY	54
8.5	DIGBY ISLAND	54
8.6	PRINCE RUPERT PORT AUTHORITY	56
8.7	OTHER PLANNING INITIATIVES	58
8.7.1	North Coast Land and Resource Management Plan	58
8.7.2	Pacific North Coast Integrated Management Area Initiative	58
8.7.3	International Joint Commission - Air Quality Agreement	61
8.8	ABORIGINAL GROUPS	61
8.8.1	Gitxaala Nation	62
8.8.1	Kitselas Nation	65
8.8.1	Kitsumkalum First Nation	65
8.8.2	Lax Kw'alaams First Nation	65
8.8.3	Metlakatla First Nation	69
8.8.4	Métis Nation BC	69
8.8.5	Coastal First Nations	69
8.9	TRADITIONAL AND CURRENT LAND AND RESOURCE USE	69
8.10	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	71
8.10.1	Climate	71
8.10.2	Air Quality	71
8.10.3	Acoustic Environment	72
8.10.4	Geology and Geomorphology	72
8.10.5	Hydrology	73
8.10.6	Vegetation and Wetland Resources	73
8.10.7	Wildlife Resources	76
8.10.8	Aquatic Resources	78
8.11	ANTICIPATED SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	80
8.11.1	Scope of Project	80
8.11.2	Scope of Environmental Assessment	82
8.12	POTENTIAL PROJECT EFFECTS	83
8.12.1	Natural Environment	83
8.12.2	Social Environment	85
8.12.3	Economic Environment	86
8.12.4	Human Health	86
8.12.5	Heritage Environment	86
8.12.6	Cumulative Effects and Transboundary Effects	87
8.12.7	Aboriginal Communities	87
8.12.8	Federal Land and Other Lands	88
9	ABORIGINAL, STAKEHOLDER AND GOVERNMENT ENGAGEMENT	90
9.1	ABORIGINAL ENGAGEMENT	90
9.1.1	Aboriginal Consultation Objectives	90

9.1.2	First Nations Communities.....	91
9.1.3	Meetings to Date.....	92
9.1.4	Key Issues Identified by First Nations to Date.....	92
9.1.5	Ongoing Aboriginal Engagement and Consultation.....	94
9.1.6	Ongoing Engagement and Consultation Methods – June 2014 onwards.....	94
9.2	GOVERNMENT ENGAGEMENT.....	95
9.3	STAKEHOLDER AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT.....	96
9.3.1	Stakeholder and Public Engagement and Consultation Methods.....	97
10	REFERENCES.....	99

List of Tables

Table 3-1	Contact Information.....	15
Table 5-1	Preliminary Project Schedule.....	18
Table 6-1	Lands Description for the Digby Island Project Site.....	22
Table 6-2	Access to Prince Rupert.....	24
Table 6-3	Key Components of the Project.....	27
Table 6-4	Anticipated Sources of Air Emissions.....	42
Table 6-5	Anticipated Sources of Solid and Liquid Waste.....	43
Table 6-6	Anticipated Sources of Noise and Light Emissions.....	44
Table 7-1	Applicable Provisions of the <i>Regulations Designating Physical Activities under CEAA 2012</i> 45	
Table 7-2	Applicable Provisions of the <i>Reviewable Projects Regulation under BCEAA</i>	46
Table 7-3	Major Federal Permits, Licenses and Authorizations.....	47
Table 7-4	Major Provincial Permits, Licenses, and Approvals.....	48
Table 8-1	PNCIMA Recognized Areas near the Digby Island Site.....	61
Table 8-2	Potential Overlap between First Nations’ Interests and Aurora LNG Project Components and Activities at Digby Island Site.....	62
Table 8-3	Plant Species of Conservation Concern (Listed Species) with the Potential to Occur in the Project Area.....	74
Table 8-4	Ecological Communities of Conservation Concern (Listed Ecosystems) with the Potential to Occur in the Project Area.....	75
Table 8-5	Species of Conservation Concern within the Prince Rupert Region.....	77
Table 8-6	Key Marine Species with the Potential to Occur in Chatham Sound.....	79
Table 8-7	Freshwater Fish with the Potential to Occur in Watercourses within the Digby Island Site.....	80
Table 9-1	Contact Information for First Nations with Potential Interests in the Project.....	91
Table 9-2	Summary of Aboriginal Engagement January 1, 2013 to May 15, 2014.....	92
Table 9-3	Concerns and Interests Identified by First Nations.....	93

List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Project Location and Surrounding Area.....	13
Figure 6-1	Proposed Project Location – Digby Island Site.....	20
Figure 6-2	Land Use Zoning of Digby Island.....	21
Figure 6-3	District Lots – Digby Island Site.....	23
Figure 6-4	Access to Digby Island Site.....	25
Figure 6-5	Marine Access Route – Digby Island Site.....	26
Figure 6-6	Proposed Site Layout.....	29

Project Description

Table of Contents

January 2015

Figure 6-7	Block Scheme for the LNG Facility, Phase 1	30
Figure 6-8	(APCI) Propane Pre-cooled Mixed Refrigerant (C3MR) Process, Typical Configuration Diagram.....	32
Figure 6-9	Optimized Cascade Liquefaction Process, Typical Configuration Diagram	32
Figure 6-10	Dual Mixed Refrigerant (DMR) Liquefaction Process, Typical Configuration Diagram ...	33
Figure 8-1	Jurisdiction of the Skeena-Queen Charlotte Regional District.....	53
Figure 8-2	Prince Rupert Port Authority Jurisdiction	57
Figure 8-3	North Coast Land Use Areas	59
Figure 8-4	PNCIMA Boundary & Aurora LNG Area of Interest	60
Figure 8-5	First Nations Reserves near Digby Island Site	63
Figure 8-6	Asserted Traditional Territory of the Gitxaala Nation.....	64
Figure 8-7	Asserted Traditional Territory of the Kitselas First Nation	66
Figure 8-8	Asserted Traditional Territory of the Kitsumkalum First Nation	67
Figure 8-9	Asserted Traditional Territory of the Lax Kw'alaams Indian Band	68
Figure 8-10	Asserted Traditional Territory of the Metlakatla First Nation.....	70

List of Photos

Photograph 8-1	Prince Rupert	54
Photograph 8-2	Lax Kw'alaams Community.....	55
Photograph 8-3	Dodge Cove Community	55

Abbreviations

AGRU	acid gas removal unit
BC	British Columbia
BC CDC	British Columbia Conservation Data Centre
BC EAO	British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office
BC FLNRO	British Columbia Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations
BC MoE	British Columbia Ministry of Environment
BC OCG	British Columbia Oil and Gas Commission
BCEAA	<i>British Columbia Environmental Assessment Act</i>
Bcf/d	billion standard cubic feet per day
BOG	boil-off gas
CAC	criteria air contaminants
CEA Agency	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency
CEAA 2012	<i>Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012</i>
CFN	Coastal First Nations
CH ₄	methane
CO	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
COSEWIC	Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada
CWHvh2	Coastal Western Hemlock very wet hypermaritime variant (Biogeoclimatic Zone)
DFO	Department of Fisheries and Oceans
DWT	dead weight tonnage
EA	environmental assessment
EBM	Ecosystem-Based Management
EBSA	Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas
FEED	Front End Engineering and Design
GHG	greenhouse gases
H ₂ S	hydrogen sulphide
ha	hectare
IA	Important Area
IBA	Important Bird Area
km	kilometres
kPaa	kilo-pascals-absolute
L/d	litres/day
LNG	liquefied natural gas
m	metres
m ³	cubic metres
Mm ³ /d	million cubic metres per day

Project Description

Abbreviations

January 2015

MNBC	Métis Nation of BC
MOF	Materials Offloading Facility
mtpa	million tonnes per annum
MW	mega-watt
N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
NCLRMP	North Coast Land Resource Management Plan
NEB	National Energy Board
NGL	natural gas liquids
NO _x	nitrogen oxide
PD	Project Description
PJ	petajoule
PJ/d	petajoules per day
PM ₁₀	particulate matter 10 micrometres
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter 2.5 micrometres
PNCIMA	Pacific North Coast Integrated Management Area
Project	Aurora LNG Project
PRPA	Prince Rupert Port Authority
SARA	<i>Species at Risk Act</i>
SO ₂	sulphur dioxide
SQCRD	Skeena-Queen Charlotte Regional District
t	tonnes
t/d	tonnes per day
VOC	volatile organic carbons
WCSB	Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin

Table of Concordance (CEAA Requirements)

PD Requirements	PD Section
Prescribed Information for the Description of a Designated Project Regulations under CEAA, 2012	
GENERAL INFORMATION	
1. The Project's name, nature and proposed location.	1, 6.1
2. The proponent's name and contact information and the name and contact information of their primary representative for the purpose of the description of the Project.	2, 3
3. A description of and the results of any consultations undertaken with any jurisdictions and other parties including Aboriginal peoples and the public.	9
4. Other relevant information, including:	
4(a) the environmental assessment and regulatory requirements of other jurisdictions; and	7.3
4(b) information concerning any environmental study that is being or has been conducted of the region where the Project is to be carried out.	6.6
PROJECT INFORMATION	
5. A description of the Project's context and objectives.	4
6. The provisions in the schedule to the Regulations Designating Physical Activities describing the Project in whole or in part.	7.1
7. A description of the physical works that are related to the Project including their purpose, size and capacity.	6.7, 6.8, 7.2
8. The anticipated production capacity of the Project and a description of the production processes to be used, the associated infrastructure and any permanent or temporary structures.	4.1, 6.7
9. A description of all activities to be performed in relation to the Project.	6.8
10. A description of any solid, liquid, gaseous or hazardous waste that is likely to be generated during any phase of the Project and of plans to manage those wastes.	6.9
11. A description of the anticipated phases of and the schedule for the Project's construction, operation, decommissioning and abandonment.	5
PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION	
12. A description of the Project's location, including:	
12(a) its geographic coordinates;	6.1
12(b) site maps produced at an appropriate scale in order to determine the Project's overall location and the spatial relationship of the Project components;	6.1

Project Description
Table of Concordance (CEAA Requirements)

January 2015

PD Requirements	PD Section
12(c) the legal description of land to be used for the Project, including the title, deed or document and any authorization relating to a water lot;	6.2
12(d) the Project's proximity to any permanent, seasonal or temporary residences;	6.1, 6.2
12(e) the Project's proximity to reserves, traditional territories as well as lands and resources currently used for traditional purposes by Aboriginal peoples; and	6.1, 6.2
12(f) the Project's proximity to any federal lands.	6.1
FEDERAL INVOLVEMENT	
13. A description of any financial support that federal authorities are, or may be, providing to the Project.	7.2.2
14. A description of any federal land that may be used for the purpose of carrying out the Project.	6.1, 7.2.1
15. Any federal legislative or regulatory requirements that may be applicable including a list of permits, licenses or other authorizations that may be required in order to carry out the Project.	7.2.3
ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS	
16. A description of the physical and biological setting.	8.10
17. A description of any changes that may be caused, as a result of carrying out the Project, to	
(a) fish as defined in section 2 of the <i>Fisheries Act</i> and fish habitat as defined in subsection 34(1) of that Act;	8.12.1.6, 8.12.1.7
(b) aquatic species, as defined in subsection 2(1) of the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> , and	8.12.1.6, 8.12.1.7
(c) migratory birds, as defined in subsection 2(1) of the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> .	8.12.1.5
18. A description of any changes to the environment that may occur, as a result of carrying out the Project, on federal lands, in a province other than the province in which the Project is proposed to be carried out or outside of Canada.	8.12.8
19. Information on the effects on Aboriginal peoples of any changes to the environment that may be caused as a result of carrying out the Project, including effects on health and socio-economic conditions, physical and cultural heritage, the current use of lands and resources for traditional purposes or on any structure, site or thing that is of historical, archaeological, paleontological or architectural significance.	8.12.7

Concordance Table (BC EAO Requirements)

PD Requirement		PD Section
BC EAO's Guidelines for Preparing a Project Description for an Environmental Assessment in British Columbia		
1.0 Proponent Information		
1	The proponent's name and the representative managing the project.	2
2	Contact information, including a mailing address, phone and fax numbers, and email addresses.	3
3	Corporate information, including a website address, particulars of company incorporation, and partner's names (if applicable).	2,3
2.0 General Background Information		
4	The type and size of the project, with specific reference to thresholds set out in the Reviewable Projects Regulation.	4.1, 7.3
5	Project purpose and rationale.	4.2
6	Estimated capital cost.	4.3
7	Number of construction jobs (in person years) and operating jobs (actual number).	4.3
8	Location (latitude and longitude).	6.1
3.0 Project Overview		
9	A brief description of the major on-site and off-site project components, including: project's location, including:	4.1, 6.1, 6.7, 6.8
9 (a)	Options if the final site selections are not yet available.	N/A
10	A conceptual site plan and map(s) at sufficient scale to allow for clear location of all major components of the project (proponents may wish to include photographs if these would be helpful to understanding the nature and location of the proposed project).	6.7
11	The project's duration, including decommissioning if appropriate.	5
12	The project's potential:	
12(a)	Environmental effects.	8.12.1
12(b)	Economic effects.	8.12.3
12 (c)	Social effects.	8.12.2

Project Description
Table of Concordance (BC EAO Requirements)
 January 2015

PD Requirement		PD Section
12 (d)	Heritage effects.	8.12.5
12 (e)	Health effects (in general terms).	8.12.4
4.0 Land Use Setting		
13	A general description of existing land use in the vicinity of the project site.	6.2, 6.3, 6.4, 8
14	Whether the project and its components are situated on private or Crown land.	6.1
15	Information about First Nations interests where asserted land claims to rights or title are known.	8.8, 8.9
5.0 Consultation Activities		
16	A summary of consultation activities that have been carried out with:	
16 (a)	First Nations.	9.1
16(b)	The public.	9.3
16(c)	Local governments.	9.2
6.0 Proposed Development Schedule		
17	A tentative schedule for submitting an application for an environmental assessment certificate and developing the project (should a certificate be issued).	5
7.0 Required Permits		
18	A list of required permits, if known.	7.2.3, 7.3

1 INTRODUCTION

Nexen Energy ULC, for and on behalf of Aurora LNG, a joint venture between Nexen Energy ULC, INPEX Corporation and JGC Corporation, or their respective affiliates, is proposing to construct and operate the Aurora LNG Project (the Project). The proponent is proposing to construct and operate the Project, a liquefied natural gas (LNG) facility and marine terminal on the southeast corner of Digby Island near Prince Rupert, BC (Figure 1-1). Natural gas will be converted into LNG for shipment by LNG carriers to markets in Asia where it will be regasified and distributed.

Nexen is coordinating the preparation of the environmental assessment certificate (EAC) application on behalf of the joint venture participants. If approved the EAC and operational permits for the Project will be held by Nexen Energy ULC on behalf of the joint venture participants.

Key components of the LNG facility will include up to four LNG processing units or 'trains', onsite power generation, up to three LNG storage tanks, and a marine terminal. Natural gas will be transported to the facility via a third party-owned pipeline, which is yet to be determined. The marine terminal will include a marine jetty and a LNG loading facility capable of accommodating LNG carriers up to Q-Max carriers with cargo capacity up to 266,000 m³.

Construction of the Project is anticipated to occur in phases, the first phase having two liquefaction trains with a design capacity of 10–12 million tonnes per annum (mtpa) of LNG, required storage tanks, and the marine terminal. The planned commissioning and first shipment of LNG is expected to occur in 2023. Full design build-out would include potential expansion for an additional two liquefaction trains and additional LNG storage, with a design capacity of 20–24 mtpa LNG. The timing of subsequent phases to full build-out will depend on a variety of factors including, but not limited to, LNG market conditions, project economics, and the labour market.

The Project will be subject to a formal environmental assessment (EA) and review process pursuant to both the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA), 2012* and the *British Columbia Environmental Assessment Act (BCEAA)*. Aurora LNG is submitting this Project Description to both the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEA Agency) and the BC Environmental Assessment Office (EAO) to initiate the respective EA processes.

The Project Description is intended to provide technical information at an overview level to allow the CEA Agency and BC EAO to confirm that an environmental assessment would be required under their respective legislation, and identify the issues to be considered in the EA process. It is also intended to provide information on the nature and scope of the Project to enable federal and provincial government agencies, local governments, First Nations, and other stakeholders to determine whether they have an interest in the Project, and to initiate discussions on the scope of the environmental assessment.

On June 24, 2014 the BC EAO submitted a substitution request pursuant to the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act 2012 (CEAA 2012)* and under Section 3 of the federal-provincial Memorandum of Understanding on Substitution of Environmental Assessments (2013) for the environmental assessment (EA) of the proposed Aurora LNG project. On August 21, 2014, the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEA Agency) granted approval for substitution of the federal process with the provincial regulatory review process.

This Project Description has been prepared according to the guidance provided in the Prescribed Information for the Description of a Designated Project Regulations under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, 2012, and the BC EAO's Guidelines for Preparing a Project Description for an Environmental Assessment in British Columbia (August 2013).



File No.: CA17247.mxd

Legend

- Project Location
- Urban Centre
- Highway / Major Road
- International Boundary
- Waterbody
- First Nations Reserve
- National Park
- Park, Prot. Area, Ecol. Res., or Conservancy
- Territorial Park
- National Wildlife Area
- Natural Area

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

0 25 50 100 150 200
kilometre

0 12.5 25 50 75 100 125
miles

1:5,000,000

Projection: UTM Zone 10
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG

nexen INPEX
A ONECO LIMITED COMPANY

PROJECT LOCATION AND SURROUNDING AREA

Date: January 9, 2015	FIGURE 1 - 1
-----------------------	--------------

2 PROPONENT INFORMATION

2.1 Aurora LNG

Nexen Energy ULC, for and on behalf of Aurora LNG, a joint venture between Nexen Energy ULC, INPEX Corporation and JGC Corporation, or their respective affiliates, is proposing to construct and operate the Project. Together, the joint venture participants bring a unique combination of expertise, market access, well established networks with customers in key growth markets, and decades of experience in the global LNG industry to provide complementary experience in every aspect of the LNG value chain from upstream production to export/import terminal engineering, construction, operation and marketing.

2.2 Nexen Energy ULC

Nexen Energy ULC (Nexen) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of CNOOC Limited and is an industry leader in the development of natural gas in northeast BC. The CNOOC Group, of which CNOOC Limited is a subsidiary, is a diversified energy holding company with interests in upstream, midstream and downstream businesses including CNOOC Gas & Power Ltd. (CGPL). CGPL is currently the largest importer of LNG into China with 12.3 mtpa of LNG import capacity, an additional 8.5 mtpa under construction, and plans to expand to 60 mtpa of LNG import capacity by 2020 to meet China's growing domestic demand.

2.3 INPEX Corporation

INPEX Corporation (INPEX) has been supplying LNG to Japan, Korea, Taiwan and other Asian customers since 1977 through its LNG projects, and has developed strong relationships with Japanese and other Asian utility customers who make up the majority of the global LNG demand. It currently has working interests in seven LNG projects in the Asia-Pacific region. This includes three large operator projects, Ichthys LNG in Australia and Abadi LNG in Indonesia, as well as the Naoetsu LNG receiving terminal in Japan, which has been in service since the end of 2013. INPEX has been producing natural gas in Japan for over 30 years as the largest producer in Japan and owns the gas distribution pipeline, which has a total length of over 1,000 km.

2.4 JGC Corporation

JGC is a world-leading provider of engineering, procurement and construction services, having participated in more than 20,000 projects in over 70 countries. Since 1972, JGC has become one of the world's most experienced companies in the design and construction of facilities for the global LNG industry.

3 PROJECT CONTACTS

The contact information for the proponent is provided in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1 Contact Information

Proponent	Nexen Energy ULC, for and on behalf of Aurora LNG
Address	c/o Nexen Energy ULC 801 7th Ave SW Calgary AB T2P 2V7
Executive Officer	Ron Bailey Senior VP North America Gas and Tight Oil Nexen Energy ULC
Principal Contact	Darcy Janko Environment and Site Assessment Manager of Nexen Energy ULC 801 7th Ave SW Calgary AB T2P 2V7 Telephone: 403.699.5065 Email: darcy.janko@nexencnoocld.com or questions@auroralng.com
Alternate Contact	Shannon Young Director of Regulatory, Stakeholder and Aboriginal Relations of Nexen Energy ULC 801 7th Ave SW Calgary AB T2P 2V7 Telephone: 403.699.4236 Email: shannon.young@nexencnoocld.com or questions@auroralng.com
Website	www.auroralng.com
Fax	1-403-513-9866
Email	questions@auroralng.com

4 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

4.1 Project Overview

The Project will consist of the following key components:

- A natural gas receiving and LNG production facility (“LNG facility”), which will process between 20 and 24 mtpa of LNG at full build out. The facility will require approximately 104 million cubic metres per day (Mm^3/d) (3.7 billion standard cubic feet per day [Bcf/d] or 3.9 Peta Joules per day [PJ/d]) of natural gas. Of this, it is estimated that approximately 96 Mm^3/d (3.4 Bcf/d or 3.57 PJ/d) will be processed into LNG and 8 Mm^3/d (0.3 Bcf/d or 0.32 PJ/d) of natural gas will be required for facility operation.
- A marine terminal (“marine terminal”) capable of accommodating up to three LNG carriers at full build out.
- Supporting infrastructure and facilities, including power supply, power generation, air and water utilities, haul road, waste and wastewater management, and a materials offloading facility (MOF).
- Temporary infrastructure and facilities, including construction camp, laydown area, and construction offices.
- Operation of LNG carriers and other supporting marine vessels.

At full build out, the Project will require approximately 160 to 320 LNG carrier (up to Q-Max size) visits each year to transport the LNG to overseas markets.

4.2 Project Purpose and Rationale

Since 2000, global demand for LNG has increased at an estimated annual rate of 7.6%, and is expected to nearly double the 2012 level of 250 million metric tonnes by 2030, with strong demand growth forecast to continue at least through 2020 (Ernst & Young 2012). The strong LNG demand growth has been driven largely by the Asia Pacific region, where demand is expected to increase by over 50% from 170 mtpa in 2012 to 260 mtpa by 2020.

Several large-scale LNG projects will be required to meet this demand, and BC is well positioned to compete in the international LNG market. The Project will play a key role in meeting the increased demand of the Asia Pacific markets, as well as other growth markets. It will be interconnected to western Canada through a network of new and existing pipeline systems, which will provide Aurora LNG with the flexibility to supplement proprietary resources with third-party sources.

The purpose of the Project is to connect natural gas resources in the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin (WCSB) with the growing worldwide markets for LNG. Specifically, the Project will:

- Enhance the business capacity of the joint venture participants
- Meet increasing customer demand for LNG, and
- Provide benefits to BC and Canada through creation of employment and business opportunities, as well as through payment of taxes and royalties to the federal and provincial governments.

4.3 Project Capital Cost and Employment Estimates

The estimated capital cost for full build out of the Project is between \$17 billion and \$20 billion Canadian (in 2014 dollars). The capital costs will be further refined during the feasibility study.

A significant number of employment opportunities will be generated directly by the Project. During the initial phase, the Project will require a construction workforce up to 5,000 people, and will create approximately 20,000 person-years of direct employment at the peak of construction. During the operation phase, the Project will employ 200 to 400 people over its estimated 25 years of operation. The Project will also create indirect employment opportunities through suppliers of goods and services.

5 PROJECT SCHEDULE

The proposed timeline for the environmental assessment, construction, operation and decommissioning of the Project is summarized in Table 5-1. This preliminary schedule is aimed at having a final investment decision on the Project in 2017. Provided that an EA Certificate is issued and the necessary regulatory permits, approvals and authorizations are granted (see Section 7), construction for Phase 1 would commence in 2017. It is anticipated that operations will commence in 2023.

Table 5-1 Preliminary Project Schedule

Project Activity	Year
Geological, Engineering and Technical Studies	2014-2016
Field Studies and Environmental Assessment and Review Process	2014-2016
Final Investment Decision	2017
Construction of Phase I	2017-2022
Operations	2023-2048
Construction of Remaining Phase(s)	2023 - 2028
Decommissioning, Abandonment and Reclamation	after 2048 (after anticipated 25 years of operation)

At the end of the Project's operational life, decommissioning will occur in accordance with all applicable regulations at that time.

6 DIGBY ISLAND

6.1 Site Location

Digby Island is on the northwest coast of BC, approximately 700 km north of Vancouver. The potential Project site is located on the southeast corner of Digby Island, approximately 3 km southwest of Prince Rupert, and 50 km south southeast of the US border (Figure 6-1). Digby Island is situated within the Skeena-Queen Charlotte Regional District (SQCRD) and the North Coast Forest District.

The LNG facility will be located on approximately 200-400 ha of provincial Crown land. Coordinates for the approximate centre of the site are:

- Latitude/Longitude - 54°16'5"N/130°22'58"W
- Universal Transverse Mercator – Zone 9 East 409945.6 North 6014228.5

The marine terminal will be located at the south end of Digby Island on a water lot within the jurisdiction and administration of the PRPA. It is expected that the lot would be leased from the PRPA under a site lease agreement with Aurora LNG.

The nearest federal land to the proposed Project site is a First Nation Reserve that belongs to the Metlakatla First Nation (S 1/2 TSIMPSEAN 2) which is approximately 5 km away on the northeastern part of Digby Island.


No additional sites are currently being considered for this project.

6.2 Land Ownership and Zoning

Digby Island is a small island with two small communities of Dodge Cove and Crippen Cove. Dodge Cove and Crippen Cove are located on small lots of private lands on the east side of the island approximately 2 km and 6 km from the Project site, respectively. The northeastern portion of the island is a First Nations Reserve belonging to the Metlakatla (S 1/2 Tsimpsean 2), which is located about 5 km from the Project site (Figure 6-2). Other nearby First Nations reserves include Wilnaskancaud 3, Shoowahtlans 4, Tugwell Island 21, which are located approximately 10 km from the proposed site. The nearest identified permanent, temporary or seasonal residence is located in Dodge Cove.


The northwestern portion of Digby Island contains the Prince Rupert Airport, which is on lands owned by the City of Prince Rupert through the incorporation of the Prince Rupert Airport Authority. An Order-in-Council reserve extends east to west, connecting the airport to east edge of the island.

There is a CBC repeater station on CBC Hill near Dodge Cove, and several submerged BC Hydro powerlines near Dodge Cove that connect Digby Island to Kaien Island. A notation of interest exists immediately west of Dodge Cove that extends linearly northwards. The remainder of the island is designated as a map reserve. The proposed Project site is situated within this map reserve.



File No.: CA17276.mxd

- Legend**
- Aurora LNG - Digby Island
 - First Nations Reserve
 - Park, Prot. Area, Ecol. Res., or Conservancy
 - Mineral & Placer Tenure
 - Woodlot Licence
 - Urban Centre
 - International Boundary
 - NTS Map Sheet



1:500,000


Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG

**PROPOSED PROJECT LOCATION
DIGBY ISLAND SITE**

Date: January 9, 2015 FIGURE 6 - 1

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.



Legend

- Digby Island Site
- Archeological Area
- First Nations Reserve
- Submerged BC Hydro Lines
- Prince Rupert Airport
- Road / Cutline
- Private Land
- Lake
- River / Stream
- Navigational Aids

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

0 0.25 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5
kilometre

0 0.15 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5
miles

1:60,000

↑
N

Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG

nexen INPEX
A CHESBROUGH COMPANY

LAND USE ZONING OF DIGBY ISLAND

Date: January 9, 2015

FIGURE 6 - 2

A description of the lands proposed for the LNG facility site and marine terminal is provided in Table 6-1 and Figure 6-3. The PRPA zoning does not extend to Digby Island. Discussion with the PRPA indicates that there are no registered water lots within the project area. There are no Crown tenures or permits in the proximity to the Digby Island site. The only federal Crown lands within the proximity of the site are marine areas administered by the PRPA.

The Kitson Island Marine Park and Diana Lake Park are located approximately 10 km and 14 km from the proposed site, respectively. The Woodwork Lake Conservancy is about 11 km to the northeast of the site.

Table 6-1 Lands Description for the Digby Island Project Site

LNG Facility	Marine Terminal	Material Offloading Area
PIN_SID: 2586370 District Lot 1993 Range 5 Coast District	PIN_SID: 2586370 District Lot 1993 Range 5 Coast District	PIN_SID: 2586370 District Lot 1993 Range 5 Coast District
PIN_SID: 1685201 District Lot 1993 Range 5 Coast District except assigned LOT A, Plan 1620, Assigned LOT B, LOT C, Plan 954	PIN_SID: 1685201 District Lot 1993 Range 5 Coast District except assigned LOT A, Plan 1620, Assigned LOT B, LOT C, Plan 954	PIN_SID: 1685201 District Lot 1993 Range 5 Coast District except assigned LOT A, Plan 1620, Assigned LOT B, LOT C, Plan 954
PIN_SID: 1686821 Block E District Lot 1993 Range 5 Coast District		


6.3 Land Use

The Digby Island site is within the Skeena Block of the North Coast Timber Supply Area. Trapline (TR0614T029) overlaps with the proposed Project site, but no other tenured land uses have been identified in the area. The coast line along the south end of Digby Island is included within the provincial Recreation Features Inventory.

6.4 Marine Use


The marine channel between Digby Island and Kaien Island is the main navigable entrance to the Prince Rupert Harbour. This channel experiences significant volumes of commercial marine traffic, as well as ferry traffic. The Prince Rupert Port Authority governs these waters, and Transport Canada has installed several navigational aids between the islands. In 2008, there were 281 vessels calling at the Port of Prince Rupert. With expansion of the Fairview Terminal and Ridley Island Inc. terminal, and the Canpotex facility, the number of vessels is expected to increase by 864 vessels per year by 2018.

Commercial shrimp and prawn trawl / trap fisheries occur in waters surrounding the Digby Island site. A commercial salmon gillnet and seine fishery also occurs off the south end of Digby Island. A First Nations Food fishery occurs in waters immediately offshore, to the east, west and south of the Project site.



File No.: CA16832.mxd

- Legend**
- Digby Island Site
 - Lake
 - District Lots
 - Archeological Area
 - Road / Cutline



1:36,500



Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG



DISTRICT LOTS DIGBY ISLAND SITE

Date: January 9, 2015

FIGURE 6 - 3

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

6.5 Project Access

The southeast portion of Digby Island is relatively undeveloped and access to site is currently limited to helicopter and boat from Prince Rupert. The island is served by the Prince Rupert Airport and daily bus and ferry transportation (Figure 6-4). The airport is located approximately 3.5 km from the Project site and 9 km west of Prince Rupert, and currently handles general and commercial aviation aircraft. The airstrip is long enough to accommodate Boeing 737 aircraft. The airport is serviced by two air carriers, which provide direct connections between Prince Rupert area and Vancouver, Sandspit and Masset.

Prince Rupert is the transportation hub of the north coast with major air, road, rail and marine access (Table 6-2). It is the terminus of the Canadian National Railways system and the transcontinental Highway 16. It also has ferry service provided by BC Ferries, which operate ferry routes from Port Hardy and Ketchikan Alaska from May through October.

Table 6-2 Access to Prince Rupert

Travel Type	Description	Duration (hours)	Distance (km)
Air	Prince Rupert Airport on Digby Island from Vancouver Airport	2	750
Land	Prince Rupert from Terrace via Yellowhead Highway (16)	2	145
Marine Vessel	Prince Rupert from Port Hardy via BC Ferries	15	430
	Prince Rupert from Ketchikan Alaska via Alaska Marine Ferries	8	200


During the construction and operation phases, access to the Digby Island site will be by air and marine vessels. The marine access route for LNG carriers will start near the Triple Island Pilotage station where a BC marine pilot from the Pacific Pilotage Authority will board the vessel, and continue east along Hecate Strait. The vessel will turn southeast and circle the south end of Digby Island to reach the Project site (Figure 6-5).

6.6 Past and Current Environmental Studies in the Region







Two relevant environmental assessments have been conducted for projects in close proximity to Digby Island: the 2012 Canpotex Potash Terminal Project on Ridley Island, and the 2013 Fairview Terminal Phase II Expansion Project. In addition, there are two other LNG-related projects within the Prince Rupert region that are currently within the environmental assessment and review process:


- Prince Rupert LNG (BG Group and Spectra Energy Natural Gas)
- Pacific Northwest LNG (PETRONAS & Progress)

Existing publicly available information for these projects, in addition to available scientific literature and grey literature (technical reports, government reports) will be reviewed for this Project. Project-specific baseline studies will also be conducted to support the environmental, socio-economic and health assessments for the Project.



Legend

-  Digby Island Site
-  Pr. Rupert Airport - Digby Island
-  Ferry Route
-  Road / Cutline
-  Lake
-  River / Stream



1:75,000



Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG




ACCESS TO DIGBY ISLAND SITE

Date: January 9, 2015

FIGURE 6 - 4


Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.



File No.: CA17277.mxd

Legend

- Digby Island Site
- Triple Island Pilot Station
- Compulsory Pilotage Areas
- Urban Centre
- Road / Cutline
- Approach Route (Conceptual)**
- Pilot Boarding Zone
- Digby Island Route



1:350,000

Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG



**MARINE ACCESS ROUTE
DIGBY ISLAND SITE**

Date: January 9, 2015

FIGURE 6 - 5

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

6.7 Project Components

The general characteristics of the Project components are consistent with existing LNG production facilities and include the LNG facility, marine terminal, supporting infrastructure, and temporary infrastructure. The core components associated with each are identified in Table 6-3.

Table 6-3 Key Components of the Project

Infrastructure	Project Components
LNG Facility	Feed gas receiving equipment Natural gas treatment equipment to remove impurities (CO ₂ , H ₂ S, mercury and natural gas liquids(NGLs)) from feed gas Storage tanks and infrastructure for staging and loading of NGLs Liquefaction refrigerant storage Four 5 mtpa - 6 mtpa liquefaction trains with gas turbine driven compressors Up to three 180,000 m ³ LNG storage tanks Flare system Continuously manned control room
Marine Terminal	A conventional trestle Loading and offloading arms and associated infrastructure Up to three LNG carrier berths Cryogenic rundown and vapour return pipelines between the LNG facility and marine terminal Possible loading of NGL
Supporting Infrastructure	Water supply pipeline from MOF to LNG facility Wastewater treatment systems Nitrogen and compressed air equipment Fuel storage Administration and maintenance buildings Materials offloading facility (MOF) Power infrastructure for the LNG facility and marine terminal Fire water system Demineralization water system Process heat system Solid waste collection and disposal Wastewater collection and treatment Facility stormwater management system Facility and maritime security Medical centre and fire station Heliport for medevac Camp for operational staff and maintenance turnaround personnel Haul road
Construction-related Infrastructure and Facilities	Construction camps Additional temporary laydown areas Construction offices

The conceptual layout of the Project components is illustrated in Figure 6-6. The trains and flare will be located on the west side of the site. The interior will hold the laydown area and camp. Three tanks will be positioned on the south end, near the marine terminal. The exact location of the tanks will depend on final site selection for the marine terminal. Final site selection will be made once further site evaluations and assessments are completed.


Figure 6-7 provides a block flow diagram for Phase 1 of the LNG facility. The diagram shows two parallel trains with common facilities and utilities, NGL storage, and LNG storage and loading. The common facilities will include the fire water system, the pressure relief and liquid disposal unit, and the drainage and effluent treatment facility. The additional two trains required for full build out will have the same configuration as shown for the initial phase.

6.7.1 LNG Facility

It is anticipated that the LNG facility will comprise a land-based modular units for receiving and processing natural gas into LNG. The facility will include the infrastructure required to receive natural gas from the supply pipeline, gas pre-treatment, gas liquefaction and LNG storage and loading.


The facility will be built in phases, with two LNG trains constructed during the first phase each with a processing capacity of between 5 and 6 mtpa. An additional two LNG trains will be constructed as required by market conditions. The exact phasing and optimization of the trains' size will be established during early pre-front end engineering and design (Pre-FEED).

The pre-treatment, processing and LNG production facilities and processes are described below.



File No.: CA16922.mxd

- Legend**
- Digby Island Site
 - Lake
 - Proposed LNG Layout
 - Organic Soils Storage Area
 - Road / Cutline



1:36,500



Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG



PROPOSED SITE LAYOUT

Date: January 9, 2015

FIGURE 6 - 6

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.




Figure 6-7 Block Scheme for the LNG Facility, Phase 1

6.7.1.1 Natural Gas Supply

Supply of natural gas for the Project will be sourced primarily from the Horn River and the Liard and Cordova basins of WCSB through a combination of proprietary natural gas holdings in northeast BC and third-party gas, which may include, but not limited to, purchases at market hubs, gas supply arrangements and upstream joint ventures. Natural gas will be delivered to the Project via a third party-owned pipeline(s), which is yet to be determined.

It is expected that there will be some variability in the composition of the feed gas as it will be sourced from multiple areas of the Horn River and the Liard and Cordova basins.

6.7.1.2 Natural Gas Reception System

The natural gas supply pipeline will enter the property boundary via a dedicated pipeline delivery station.

6.7.1.3 Natural Gas Pre-treatment Process

The natural gas will be cleaned by separating off impurities and various non-methane hydrocarbons and fluids for safety of the process and to ensure that the composition of the final LNG product meets end-use specifications. Any mercury in the feed gas will be removed to prevent corrosion of the cryogenic equipment. Trace amounts of carbon dioxide (CO₂) and hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) will then be removed. The feed gas will then undergo dehydration to remove water to prevent freezing during the liquefaction process.

Components of the gas treatment infrastructure will include:

- **Mercury Removal Unit**—Any minute amount of mercury present in the gas stream can cause corrosion and damage in the liquefaction unit. The mercury will be removed using a fixed bed adsorption processes. As mercury is a regulated hazardous waste in BC, the bed material will be handled, transported, treated and disposed of in accordance with regulatory requirements.
- **Acid Gas Removal Unit**—The acid gas removal unit (AGRU) will remove CO₂ and H₂S (collectively referred to as acid gas) using an activated amine solvent. This treatment process is widely used in natural gas processing plants and LNG facilities. The treated gas will then be routed to the dehydration unit, and acid gases will be stripped from the solvent. Depending on H₂S and CO₂ levels in the feed gas, the acid gases may be sent to a thermal oxidizer where H₂S is oxidized to sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and the residue hydrocarbon incinerated.
- **Gas Dehydration Unit**—The dehydration unit will remove water from the gas stream using a combination of refrigeration and molecular sieve to prevent ice (hydrates) from forming in the downstream liquefaction unit. Condensed wastewater from the sieve will be recovered back to the AGRU. Oily water discharge from the AGRU and dehydration system will be sent to the NGL unit.
- **NGL Extraction Unit**—The NGL extraction unit will cool the inlet gas stream, allowing for the condensation and recovery of NGLs prior to the liquefaction process. The NGLs will be stabilized in a debutanizer where the light ends will be removed and re-injected in the LNG or used as fuel. The NGL will be sent to onsite NGL storage tanks. Aurora LNG is considering the options of using the NGL for power generation or transporting the NGL to the railhead in Prince Rupert for transfer to markets. These options will be studied further in the Pre-FEED.

6.7.1.4 Natural Gas Liquefaction Process

Gas leaving the NGL extraction unit will be routed to the liquefaction unit of the facility, where it will be condensed into a liquid by cooling it to a cryogenic temperature of approximately -162°C. In liquid form, the natural gas will be reduced in volume by approximately 600 times at near normal ambient pressure, allowing it to be efficiently and safely stored and shipped by sea. A compressor will be located between the NGL extraction unit and the liquefaction unit to compress the gas into the optimal liquefaction pressure (6,000–7,000 kPaa) as the outlet pressure of the NGL recovery unit will be too low to allow for efficient liquefaction and sizing of the main refrigerant heat exchanger.

There are currently three main liquefaction processes being investigated for use at the Project: the Air Product and Chemicals Inc. (APCI) propane pre-cooled mixed refrigerant (C3MR) process; the ConocoPhillips Optimized Cascade process; and the Shell Dual Mixed Refrigerant (DMR) liquefaction process (Figure 6-8, Figure 6-9, and Figure 6-10). Both the C3MR and Optimized Cascade processes are well-proven technologies that are efficient in cold climates.

Each LNG train will have a heat transfer system with large banks of air-cooled heat exchangers.




Figure 6-8 (APCI) Propane Pre-cooled Mixed Refrigerant (C3MR) Process, Typical Configuration Diagram




Figure 6-9 Optimized Cascade Liquefaction Process, Typical Configuration Diagram




Figure 6-10 Dual Mixed Refrigerant (DMR) Liquefaction Process, Typical Configuration Diagram

6.7.1.5 Storage Tanks

Once the LNG is produced, it will be stored at atmospheric pressure in one of three insulated LNG storage tanks located in the LNG tank area. Each tank will have a capacity of 180,000 m³. They will be up to 60 m in height and up to 95 m in diameter. The tanks will be full containment type with outer concrete walls and roof, or membrane type storage tanks. They will be placed on insulated pads to prevent heat ingress through the tank floor and to maintain an average temperature of -162°C.

The exact size, location and number of the tanks required for full site build out will be determined during Pre-FEED in the Shipping Logistics Analysis.

The NGL storage tanks will be located in the LNG tank area. The tank capacity for refrigerant components (ethane or ethylene and propane) will be sized based on the inventory in the liquefaction process. NGL will either be used for onsite power generation or will be transported offsite to market.

6.7.1.6 Process Heat System

A closed-loop, circulating process heating system will provide process heating requirements for the amine regeneration unit, feed gas heaters, tractionation reboiler, regeneration heater, and make-up fuel gas heaters. Waste heat recovered from gas turbine drivers of the liquefaction units will be used to heat the heating fluid and the molecular sieve regeneration gas.

6.7.1.7 Power Supply

Power supply during construction will be provided by a combination of electric power from the existing BC Hydro grid and self generation by natural gas or diesel-powered generators.

During operation, the Project will require a substantial amount of energy for the natural gas liquefaction process and to operate non-process related infrastructure. The LNG liquefaction trains will utilize natural gas-fired turbines for the refrigeration compressor drivers. The LNG facility and marine terminal will require electrical power to operate supporting facilities and infrastructure. At this point in facility design (Pre-FEED), it is estimated that approximately 120 MW to 300 MW of electrical power could be required for Phase 1 depending on the selected process and refrigerant compressor drivers. The total power consumption at full site build out could be between 200 MW and 550 MW.

It is likely that electricity for plant operations will be generated by on-site power generators driven by natural gas from the plant inlet. However, final decisions regarding the type of power generation and capacity will be studied and developed during feasibility study and engineering phases.

Diesel generators will be provided on site during startup, and in the event of emergencies.

6.7.1.8 Safety Systems

Safety management systems, operating procedures, and risk assessments will be used to identify potential hazards and the safety measures required to protect facility personnel, equipment and the environment. Key safety-related equipment for emergency purposes will include flare stacks, shutdown and depressurization systems, fire protection, and safety flare systems.

A safety-instrumented system will be used at each LNG process train and the ship-loading facilities to manage the safety, shutdown and gas depressurization processes at the Project. The system will include a combination of manual and automatic shutdown and gas depressurization processes.

Fire protection and safety measures (operating procedures and emergency response plans) will be used at the Project to ensure protection of personnel and equipment. Response equipment such as fire and gas detection systems, alarms, fire extinguishers, foam systems, firewater pumps, fire response vehicles, personal protective equipment, monitors, and passive protection will be provided on site.

The flare system will provide reliable and safe disposal of liquid and vapour hydrocarbons during upset and emergency conditions, and during operational controlled events such as startup, shutdown, venting and purging. Gas flaring is not expected to occur during normal operating procedures, and is expected to occur only on an occasional basis. The flare system will include flare stacks and associated infrastructure.

6.7.1.9 Safety Spacing

A no-fuel buffer zone of approximately 30 m will be established along the perimeter of the Project site to reduce the likelihood of loss of or damage to the LNG facilities by potential forest fires. In some places, this no-fuel zone may be extended to 50 m to provide for adequate spacing along adjacent haul roads in the event of modular construction. Safety spacing may extend beyond 50 m to accommodate acceptable risk contours at the plant boundary to minimize damage and risk of an event escalating.

Safety spacing will also be established to accommodate lightweight construction offices to provide a buffer from operating units. This will be particularly important during the phased completion of LNG trains and when additional trains are built adjacent to the existing operating trains during the second phase of plant development.

6.7.1.10 Water Supply

Aurora LNG is intending to secure water for the Project from existing water supply infrastructure at the Port of Prince Rupert. However, onsite sources such as groundwater and desalinated seawater are also being investigated.

During construction, municipal water would be transported by barge from the Prince Rupert port to the MOF (see Figure 6-5, where it would be dispensed to a water storage tank. Untreated water will be used for general construction activities such as dust control. Treated water will be used for domestic uses and civil works. It is anticipated that construction activities will require one barge per day. During operations, municipal water would be barged to site and conveyed from the MOF through a pipeline as feed to water treatment systems providing potable water, demineralized water, utility water and firewater. The total projected water supply requirements for the Project are estimated to be approximately 875 m³/d during construction, and 50 m³/d during operations.

6.7.1.11 Water Treatment

SITE PREPARATION AND CONSTRUCTION

The Project area will require clearing and grubbing, soil stabilization, backfill and grading activities prior to the installation of permanent plant infrastructure. During site preparation, a temporary drainage and stormwater system will be established to collect and control stormwater flows and runoff from the site to the marine environment. The system will include internal and perimeter ditches, and erosion and sediment control measures.

A sanitary sewage facility will be established as part of the camp system for use during the construction and operations phases. Treated sewage effluent will be discharged in marine waters once it meets current waste discharge requirements. Location of the effluent point of discharge will be selected during the detailed design phase. Sewage sludge will be transported off site and disposed of at an approved landfill.

Prior to establishing the sewage site facilities, portable toilets will be available on site with offsite disposal to a licensed facility.

OPERATIONS

The design of the facility will include provision for effluent collection, segregation and treatment prior to discharge to the marine environment. Discharge of treated effluent will meet or exceed regulatory requirements.

Liquid wastes generated during operation of the facility will include:

- Treated sanitary wastewater (sewage and grey water)
- Stormwater runoff from non-process areas
- Stormwater runoff or water contaminated in the LNG process
- Plant process drains system
- Water discharge from steam or condensate blowdown
- Reject water from the demineralized water unit

Sanitary wastewater will be pumped to the treatment units where the wastewater will undergo biological oxidation, clarification and chlorination. The type of treatment will be determined during final design. The treated water will be monitored prior to discharge to ensure that it meets all applicable federal and provincial regulatory requirements.

Runoff from roads and buildings will drain into a drainage ditch system and discharged via the stormwater outfall into marine waters. All contact water (i.e., runoff from the processing areas, process equipment and firewater system testing) will be directed to an oil separator where any oily residue will be skimmed off to a collection sump. The effluent will be treated and directed to the stormwater outfall. The location of the outfall will be selected during the detailed design phase.

6.7.1.12 Buildings and Storage Facilities

The location of supporting facilities and buildings will be selected based on consideration of safe distance, prevailing wind direction and access to LNG trains.

Buildings will house functions such as the central control room and spare parts warehouse. The option of providing administration support offsite is being considered.

Chemical storage facilities will house substances required by the liquefaction process, such as propane and ethylene, fuel, acid gas removal adsorbent storage, other chemicals, and waste products.

6.7.1.13 Laydown Area

The LNG plant area will encompass land for the laydown and storage of plant materials during the construction phase, train maintenance events, and for further expansion. Site configuration will ensure unencumbered access to the area for construction of future trains.

6.7.1.14 LNG Tank Area

A system will be installed within the LNG tank area to recover and compress boil-off gas (BOG) vapours from the tanks and ships vapor during loading operation. Recovered BOG will be used as fuel by the plant.

A flare system will be developed for upset conditions (e.g., BOG compressor trip) and for the disposal of inerts when a carrier comes back into service.

6.7.1.15 Pipe-Rack Corridor

A corridor between the LNG plant area, the LNG tank area and the marine terminal will contain the pipe-rack for cryogenic rundown lines, cool-down lines, fuel gas line from the BOG compressors, and utilities.

6.7.1.16 Haul Road

To accommodate the potential for modular construction and allow receipt of large items of equipment and gas turbine/compressors, a haul road with a maximum slope of approximately 3% will be required. The road will extend from the MOF and the LNG plant area to the LNG tank area, and will enable the movement of modules or pre-assembled units from the MOF to the LNG tank area. The haul road will allow for the potential transport of modules weighing up to 6,000 tonnes with a width of up to 50 m. The

haul road will be used throughout the operational phase of the Project for the movement of bulk refrigerants required for the liquefaction process, and large gas turbine rotor canisters required for refurbishment or repair.

6.7.2 Marine Jetty and LNG Loading

The Project will include a marine jetty and LNG loading facility capable of accommodating up to Q-Max LNG carriers (345 m length, 54 m beam and 122,000 dead weight tonnage (DWT), with a LNG cargo capacity of up to 266,000 m³. Initially, the facility will include two carrier berths, oriented to allow carrier approach, moorage and departure with the bow into the prevailing southeast wind and wave direction. Future expansion may include a third berth.

Water depths near the coast are relatively deep, which will minimize the length of the jetty. Water depths at the proposed loading berth options (see Figure 6-6) range from approximately -7 m (relative to chart datum [CD]) to -30 m CD. It is anticipated that dredging will not be required at the turning basin or along the marine approach as water depths are adequate to accommodate up to Q-Max carriers. However, dredging will likely be required at the MOF as well as the berth pockets (see Figure 6-6).

The LNG will be delivered from the LNG tank area to the berth through pipelines supported on an elevated pile-supported trestle. The loading of LNG carriers will be conducted from loading platforms located off the shoreline at the end of the jetty. The facility may allow concurrent loading of carriers at adjacent berths. There will be loading arms at each berth for transferring the LNG onto the carriers, and a vapour return arm for transferring BOG back to the liquefaction trains. It is anticipated that the LNG carriers will be loaded at a maximum loading rate of 12,000 m³/h, and will typically be at berth for approximately 24 hours.

Risk reduction features for the safe loading of LNG have been considered based on the Society of International Gas Carrier and Terminal Operators (SIGTTO) *Information Paper No 14, Site Selection and Design for LNG Ports and Jetties, August 2000*.

6.7.3 Materials Offloading Facility

It is anticipated that two locations will be required for the MOF. The Casey Cove area located at the north end of the proposed site is being evaluated for the location of the main MOF. The facility will provide for the safe berthing and transportation of large loads, modules from heavy lift and roll-on roll-off (ro-ro) vessels to land transportation units. It will also provide space for inspection of pre-assembled units, as well as an area to stow modules or packaged equipment and park shipments so they can be received out of the required path of the construction sequence. The second smaller scale MOF is being evaluated at the south end of the proposed site.

The MOF areas will also be used for the concrete batch plant, aggregate storage and water treatment plant for concrete production. The MOF areas may continue to be used over the life of the Project to receive or dispatch shipments such as refrigerant, containers, rotating equipment and rotar transport canisters from ro-ro vessels.

6.7.4 Camp Facilities

A construction camp will be provided onsite to accommodate up to 5,000 Project workers. The number of occupants is anticipated to be less than 1,000 during the initial 10 months of construction, and will peak up to 5,000 people by month 20.

The camp will likely be constructed using pre-manufactured modular units shipped to site, which will allow for timely assembly of the camp once site preparation is completed and utilities are installed. Temporary utilities and facilities to support the construction camp will include maintenance buildings, power generation, potable water systems, sanitary facilities, waste treatment plant, a marine outfall and fuel supply.

During facility operation, the camp will continue to be used for permanent operations and maintenance personnel (up to 400), as well as those personnel required during plant turnaround (possibly in excess of 700). The camp may also be used to provide skills training and other capacity-building programs for the community.

The camp and associated infrastructure will comply with all applicable health and safety requirements of WorkSafeBC, the Northern Health Authority, the BC Construction Camp Rules and Regulations, the BC Ministry of Environment and any other local, Port, provincial or federal regulations.

6.7.5 Heliport Area

A heliport is proposed to enable emergency evacuation of injured personnel to appropriate medical facilities. This will ensure that, in the rare event of an emergency, people with critical injuries can receive treatment at better equipped metropolitan hospitals, if required.

6.8 Project Activities

6.8.1 Construction

Site preparation and construction will commence once all required regulatory permits and approvals have been obtained.

Access to the site will be via a combination of boat and helicopter.

The construction phase will involve the following key activities:

- Pioneer activity of establishing facilities for site preparation
- Facility site preparation for Project components and staging areas, including:
 - Vegetation clearing and grubbing
 - Grading and levelling of the site using cut and fill of rock, overburden, and/or other materials. Depending on site specifics, this could include blasting activities
 - Compaction
 - Implementation of erosion control measures
 - Potentially some paving in required areas

- Erection of temporary facilities such as maintenance buildings, sanitary facilities and potentially temporary water collection structures
- Construction of the MOF and haul road to allow materials required for construction to be transported to site via boat / barge and offloaded appropriately. Larger components such as LNG facility modules, construction materials, supplies, and equipment will then be shipped to site via barge.
- Installation of utilities required for the LNG facility and the marine terminal. This will include electrical power, industrial and potable water systems, wastewater collection and treatment systems, storm water collection, fire protection system, vehicle fueling stations, and an oil-water separator.
- Construction of temporary facilities such as trailers, sanitary facilities, the construction camp, and maintenance buildings on site to accommodate initial work. Construction workers will stay at the construction camp for the duration of their rotation. Prior to completion of the construction camp, workers will commute to site by boat and/or helicopter from Prince Rupert.
- Construction of suitable foundations, and installation of pilings
- Construction of major terrestrial and marine components, including:
 - Natural gas treatment, extraction, and storage facilities
 - Natural gas liquefaction trains, compressors and associated infrastructure
 - Storage tanks
 - Flare systems
 - Plant piping
 - Administration buildings and storage areas
 - Access roads within the site
- Construction of the marine terminal and installation of:
 - Piles, decking, and other support infrastructure
 - Piping and electrical infrastructure
 - Containment structures
 - Cryogenic rundown and vapour return pipelines
 - A storage and loading flare system

Dredging of marine sediment within the proposed berth areas and the MOF area will entail the mechanical excavation of marine sediment, and may require subsea blasting. The volumes of dredgeate will be determined through further geotechnical and engineering design work.

The dredged material will be stored and/or disposed of at a regulatory-approved location. A suitable disposal area will be identified through consultation with regulatory authorities, First Nations, and stakeholders. Brown Passage, located approximately 40 km west of Prince Rupert, is the current designated marine disposal site within the Prince Rupert area. The suitability of this site will be evaluated.

6.8.2 Commissioning and Start-up

The commission and start-up phase will involve controlled testing of the various Project components in a logical manner to ensure all systems handed over from construction are complete and functioning as per design. Piping and vessels will be pressure-tested. Once function testing is complete, the entire systems of completed project components and equipment will then be operationally tested and optimized (e.g., repeated starting and shutdowns, testing of emergency shutdown procedures and repeated facility flaring). Only once Aurora LNG is satisfied that the LNG facility and marine terminal are functioning optimally and safely, will operations begin.

6.8.3 Facility Operations and Maintenance

Operation of the LNG facility and marine terminal is expected to occur over 25 years. The following activities will occur during regular operations:

- Shipment of supplies and equipment to the material offloading area
- Transportation of supplies and equipment to the LNG facility
- Maintenance of all infrastructure (LNG facility, marine terminal, wastewater outfall)
- Monitoring of all operations, including emissions
- Provision of camp and food services to employees
- Shipment of solid waste generated by the Project to a licensed waste receiver
- Storage and loading of LNG onto carriers
- Storage and possible loading of NGL for shipment to market
- Piloting of LNG carriers between Triple Island pilot boarding station and the Project site
- Operation of tugs
- Shipping of LNG to global markets

6.8.4 Marine Shipping

Shipping activities will include regular transit of LNG carriers to the Project. At full build-out, approximately 160 to 320 LNG carriers (up to Q-Max in size) will call on the marine terminal each year, depending on the size of the carrier. The LNG carriers will be contracted by Aurora LNG to carry cargo on a free-on-board (FOB) and delivered at place (DAP) basis. The carriers will be powered by a combination of low sulphur fuel and boil-off gas. Escort and berthing tugs and pilot boat operations will support safe passage of the LNG, and the shipment of equipment and materials to the MOF.

LNG carriers will transit through Chatham Sound and Brown Passage within designated shipping zones. Operation of the vessels will be in accordance with shipping operations approved under the *Canada Shipping Act* and bylaws established by the PRPA. All LNG carriers will be double hulled, and have primary and secondary containment systems. The marine terminal and channel approach will have navigation aids that conform to the standards under the *Canada Shipping Act*.

The marine terminal and marine access to the terminal falls within the jurisdiction of the PRPA. The PRPA operates under the *Canada Marine Act* and the *Port Authority Operations Regulations*, and manages all waters of Prince Rupert Harbour. All ships operating within this area are subject to the authority of the PRPA. The Canadian Coast Guard's Marine Communication Traffic Services (MCTS) provides marine safety communications, and manages the movement of vessel traffic in the Prince Rupert area. Prince Rupert Harbour is designated as a compulsory pilotage area under the *Pilotage Act*. All vessels over 350 gross tonnes are subject to compulsory pilotage.

Shipping outside of the bounds of the PRPA and within Canadian Territorial waters will occur within established shipping channels.

Aurora LNG is considering the option of entering into the Technical Review Process of Terminal Systems in Transshipment Sites (TERMPOL) for the marine shipping and marine terminal operations associated with the Project.

6.8.5 Decommissioning and Reclamation

At the end of its operation life, Aurora LNG will decommission the LNG facility and marine terminal and reclaim the land according to applicable legislation at that time. Decommissioning will include removal of all land-based LNG and storage components, the gas reception facilities, and the marine terminal and associated infrastructure.

6.9 Emissions, Wastes, Noise and Light

The LNG facility will generate various wastes, emissions, and effluents during the life of the Project. Construction, operation and decommissioning activities will be guided by site- specific and activity-specific management plans to manage emissions and discharges, which will be in compliance with the applicable regulatory regime and associated government requirements and conditions.

6.9.1 Air Emissions

Air emissions that will be generated by the Project include:

- NO_x – nitrogen oxide
- CO – carbon monoxide
- SO₂ – sulfur dioxide
- PM_{2.5} – particulate matter 2.5
- PM₁₀ – particulate matter 10
- VOC – volatile organic compound
- CO₂ – carbon dioxide
- CH₄ – methane
- N₂O – nitrous oxide
- Fugitive hydrocarbons

Emission sources and quantities will vary during each of the Project phases (Table 6-4).

Table 6-4 Anticipated Sources of Air Emissions

	Construction and Decommissioning	Operations
Emission Sources	Diesel engines in trucks and barges used to transport materials to site, and in construction and dismantling of equipment Power generation during construction, commissioning, and dismantling Particulate matter emissions from vehicle traffic on roads and the construction site	Gas turbine generators Gas turbine drivers Gas treating equipment for the removal of trace contaminants from the gas-fired reboilers, heaters and incinerators Flare system used in upset and emergency, startup and maintenance situations Back-up generators (diesel) used during start-up, shut-down and in the case of emergencies Inert gas from dry-dock LNG carriers LNG vessels and other marine support vessels Fugitive emissions from the facility Exhaust from vehicle traffic on roads

During facility construction, the primary sources of air emissions will be diesel engines, power generation, vehicle traffic, and construction activities.

Sources of emissions during operation of the Project will include the gas turbines, the acid gas removal unit, the flare system and marine vessels.

- Gas Turbines - the majority of the facility's energy demands are likely be met by electric power generated by on-site power generators driven from sources in the plant such as Boil-off Gas (BOG) from LNG storage. These gas turbine generators will be a major source of criteria air contaminant (CAC) emissions (NO_x, CO, SO₂, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, VOC), greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) (CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O) and, to a lesser extent, hazardous air pollutant emissions. Gas turbine drivers for the refrigerant compressors will also be a source of emissions.
- Acid Gas Removal Unit – the acid gas removal unit will extract CO₂ and trace levels of H₂S from the natural gas. Depending on H₂S and CO₂ levels in the feed gas, the acid gases may be sent to a thermal oxidizer where H₂S is oxidized to SO₂ and the residue hydrocarbon incinerated.
- Flare System – the flare system will dispose of liquid and vapour hydrocarbons during facility startup, shutdown and emergency/upset events. Flaring will generate gaseous emissions of CO and NO_x.
- Shipping – LNG carriers and support vessels used to transport equipment and materials will generate emissions of SO₂, NO₂, CO, CO₂, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and GHG. Gaseous emissions of CO, CO₂ and NO_x will also be generated during gassing up and cool down of LNG carriers returning from dry dock.

Air emissions during facility shutdown and decommissioning are expected to be similar to those generated by construction activities. The emissions will be predominantly from the use of diesel fuel in heavy equipment used to dismantle the plant and haul away materials for salvage.

Emissions management plans will be developed to minimize releases of GHGs, CACs, and hazardous air pollutants from Project activities and infrastructure, and ensure compliance with applicable guidelines and regulations during construction and operation of the Project.

6.9.2 Solid and Liquid Waste

Design of the facility will include provision for the collection, segregation, treatment, discharge and monitoring of all effluent streams. Non-hazardous liquid effluents will be controlled and discharges will be treated to meet all applicable federal and provincial regulatory requirements. This includes process water from construction and operation activities, storm water, and ballast water.

A waste management plan will be developed prior to site construction and operations to ensure that solid wastes are managed in accordance with relevant legislation. Non-hazardous solid wastes will either be recycled or reused or collected in a central secure area on site, where they will be disposed of in a local landfill or other licensed waste receiver facility. Options for waste disposal are currently being evaluated.

All hazardous liquid and solid waste will be collected in a secure enclosed building and shipped offsite to an existing licensed hazardous waste facility.

Potential sources of solid and liquid wastes generated by the Project are listed in Table 6-5.

Table 6-5 Anticipated Sources of Solid and Liquid Waste

	Construction and Decommissioning	Operations
Solid Waste	Biomass (chipped vegetative material) Excavated overburden, organic material, large boulders Construction Waste (wood, scrap metal, concrete) Domestic waste Dredge materials Sand blast waste Empty containers	Domestic waste Paper/cardboard waste Wood Scrap Metal Municipal waste Waste absorbents and adsorbents Filter cartridges Spent demineralized water membranes and/or bed material
Liquid Waste	Sanitary waste water Storm water Hydrostatic test water Used oils or solvents	Sanitary wastewater Storm water Water discharged from blow-down Reject water from water demineralization unit

	Construction and Decommissioning	Operations
Hazardous Waste	Treated sewage and sludge Medical waste Contaminated soil	Mercury removed from the feed gas Medical waste Contaminated soil Waste water contaminated with hydrocarbons or solvents Waste absorbents Used oils or solvents Treated sewage and sludge Misc. wastes, including batteries, filters etc. Used methyl diethanolamine (MDEA) from acid gas removal

Aurora LNG will require that LNG carriers comply with all relevant national and international shipping requirements regarding disposal of waste and ballast water discharge.

6.9.3 Noise and Light Emissions

Table 6-6 lists the potential sources of noise and light at the Project. Noise and light emissions will be managed through best management practices incorporated into project design, and project-specific management plans.

Table 6-6 Anticipated Sources of Noise and Light Emissions

	Construction and Decommissioning	Operations
Noise Sources	Blasting Pile driving Facility assembly/disassembly Equipment movement Vehicle traffic Module offloading Pressure testing Aircraft	Flares Vessel traffic Air coolers Gas turbines Compressors and pipework Vehicle traffic LNG carrier loading LNG carriers and tugs Aircraft
Light Sources	Vehicles Construction Camp Lighting	Vehicles Vessels Facility Lighting Tugs, carriers, flares

During construction, the primary noise sources will be blasting and pile driving, moving of equipment and vehicle traffic, and assembly of the facility.

During operations, the primary noise sources will include gas turbines and compressors, vehicle and vessel traffic, air coolers, loading of the LNG carriers, and flares.

7 FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL INVOLVEMENT AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

7.1 Environmental Assessment and Review Requirements

Aurora LNG has confirmation that the Project will require an environmental assessment under *CEAA, 2012* and *BCEAA* as it meets the criteria of a “designated project” under the federal *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* and a “reviewable project” under the provincial *Reviewable Projects Regulation*.

Under *CEAA, 2012*, all projects that meet or exceed the thresholds described in the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* may be subject to a federal environmental assessment. Provisions that apply to the Project are summarized in Table 7-1.

Table 7-1 Applicable Provisions of the *Regulations Designating Physical Activities* under *CEAA 2012*

Section	Description	Project
2a	The construction and operation, decommissioning and abandonment of a fossil fuel-fired electrical generating station with a production capacity of 200 MW or more	The Project may involve construction and operation of a fossil fuel-fired electricity generating station with a production capacity of between 200 MW and 550 MW
14d	The construction, operation, decommissioning and abandonment of a new facility... for the liquefaction, storage or regasification of liquefied natural gas, with a liquefied natural gas processing capacity of 3 000 t/day or more or a liquefied natural gas storage capacity of 55,000 t or more	At full site build out, the LNG facility will have a design capacity of between 20 and 24 mtpa (between 54,795 t/d and 65,750 t/d), and a total LNG storage capacity of 540,000 m ³ (approximately 248,000 t) (depending on density) for all three tanks
27c	The construction, decommissioning and abandonment of a marine terminal designed to handle vessels larger than 25,000 DWT unless the terminal is located on lands that are routinely and have been historically used as a marine terminal or that are designated for such use in a land-use plan that has been the subject of public consultation	The LNG loading facility will be capable of accommodating Q-Flex LNG carriers (109,500 DWT)

Aurora LNG also expects that the Project will require an environmental assessment under the *British Columbia Environmental Assessment Act* (BCEAA) as the Project meets several provisions of the *BC Reviewable Projects Regulation* (Table 7-2).

Project Description

Section 7: Federal and Provincial Involvement and Regulatory Requirements
January 2015

Table 7-2 Applicable Provisions of the *Reviewable Projects Regulation* under BCEAA

Section	Criteria	Project
Part 4, Energy Projects Table 7, Electricity Projects	1(1) A new facility with a rated nameplate capacity of ≥ 50 MW of electricity that is a thermal electric power plant.	The Project may involve construction and operation of a thermal electric power plant with a capacity > 50 MW
Part 4, Energy Projects, Table 8, Petroleum and Natural Gas Projects	1(1) Subject to subsection (2), a new energy storage facility with the capability to store an energy resource in a quantity that can yield by combustion ≥ 3 PJ of energy	The Project's total LNG storage capacity will be approximately 248,000 t (depending on density), which contains about 12 PJ of potential energy
Part 8, Transportation Projects, Table 14, Transportation Projects	4(1) Subject to subsection (2), a new marine port facility, other than a ferry terminal, if construction of the facility entails dredging, filling, or other direct physical disturbance of (a) >1000 m of linear shoreline, or (b) > 2 hectares of foreshore or submerged land, or a combination of foreshore and submerged land, below the natural boundary of a marine coastline or marine estuary	Construction of the LNG marine terminal, MOF, trestle and berths will result in direct physical disturbance of more than 2 ha of foreshore and submerged land

As the marine terminal would be located on federal Crown Land administered by a federal port authority, Aurora LNG expects that the Government of Canada (led by CEA Agency) and the Province of BC (led by the BC EAO) will develop a regulatory agreement to administer a coordinated federal/provincial environmental assessment of the Project in an effective and efficient manner.

7.2 Federal Involvement and Regulatory Requirements**7.2.1 Federal Lands Requirements**

The marine jetty on the proposed site would be located on a water lot within the jurisdiction and administration of the Prince Rupert Port Authority (PRPA). It is expected that the lot would be leased from the PRPA under a site lease agreement with Aurora LNG.

7.2.2 Federal Financial Support

No federal financial support for the Project is proposed or anticipated.

7.2.3 Federal Legislative Requirements

Key federal permits, licenses and authorizations that may be required to construct and operate the Project are listed in Table 7-3.

Table 7-3 Major Federal Permits, Licenses and Authorizations

Permit, License and Approvals	Responsible Agency and Governing Legislation	Project Activities
Fisheries Act Authorization	Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) <i>Fisheries Act</i> s. 35(1) Application for Authorization under Paragraph 35(2)(b) of the <i>Fisheries Act</i> Regulations	Construction and operational activities may result in serious harm to fish (or fish habitat) that are a part of a commercial, recreational, or Aboriginal fishery or to fish that support such a fishery. These include facilities on the foreshore, offloading docks and the LNG berths, and temporary and permanent infrastructure in and around streams
Navigable Waters Protection Act Approval	Transport Canada <i>Navigation Protection Act</i>	Construction of marine terminal and the LNG berths
Certificates of Compliance	Transport Canada <i>Marine Transport Security Act</i> Marine Transportation Security Regulations	Operation of the LNG facility, marine terminal and carrier
Disposal at Sea Permit	Environment Canada <i>Canadian Environmental Protection Act</i> s.125(1) (b) Disposal at Sea Regulations	Potentially required for disposal of marine sediments dredged from the berth areas and MOF
Explosives Permit/License	Natural Resources Canada <i>Explosives Act</i> s. 7(1) Explosives Regulations	Transportation, storage and manufacture of explosives that will be used for blasting during site preparation
LNG Export License*	National Energy Board (NEB) <i>National Energy Board Act</i> s.117	Export of LNG outside of Canada to international markets
PRPA Lease	Prince Rupert Port Authority	Lease to occupy and use PRPA-administered federal land (for Digby Island site)

NOTE: * Aurora Liquefied Natural Gas Ltd. (sole purpose corporation held by the Aurora LNG joint venture participants) submitted an application for a LNG export license to the NEB in November 2013. On May 2, 2014, the NEB granted approval of the export license.

The *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) prohibits the killing, harming or harassing of species listed as endangered or threatened, the damage and destruction of their residence, and the destruction of critical habitat that has been identified in a recovery strategy. These prohibitions apply to aquatic species and migratory birds. Any potential adverse effects on SARA-listed species will be avoided through Project design considerations and species-specific preventative and mitigation measures, taking into account any recovery strategies.

Environment Canada is responsible for implementing the *Migratory Birds Convention Act* (MBCA), which provides for the protection of migratory birds and their nests through the *Migratory Bird Regulations*. Currently, the regulations do not provide for authorizations or permits for the incidental take of migratory birds, their nests and/or eggs caused by industrial or other activities. Therefore, appropriate avoidance measures and best management practices will be developed and implemented for the Project to minimize the risk of detrimental effects to migratory birds, and their nests and eggs.

Project Description

Section 7: Federal and Provincial Involvement and Regulatory Requirements
January 2015

7.3 Provincial Regulatory Requirements

Key provincial permits, approval and authorizations that may be required for the construction and operation of the Project are listed in Table 7-4.

Table 7-4 Major Provincial Permits, Licenses, and Approvals

Permit, License and Approvals	Responsible Agency and Governing Legislation	Project Activities
LNG Facility Permit	BC Oil and Gas Commission (BC OGC) <i>Oil and Gas Activities Act</i> s. 21 Pipeline and Liquefied Natural Gas Facility Regulation	Construction and operation of the LNG facility
License to cut timber	<i>Forest Act</i> s.47	Removal of timber from provincial Crown Land to clear sites for construction camp and ancillary construction facilities (e.g., laydown areas, warehouses)
Tenure on provincial Crown Land	BC OGC <i>Lands Act</i> s.39 and 40	Use of provincial Crown Land for the Project
Waste Discharge Permit	BC OGC BC Ministry of Environment (MoE) <i>Environmental Management Act</i> s.6(5)	Dredge disposal, wastewater discharge, facility air emissions, and waste discharges
Authorization for Sewage Facilities	BC MOE <i>Environmental Management Act</i> Municipal Sewage Regulation, Sewerage System Regulation	Sewage facilities for camp operations (threshold volume of 22,700 L/d)
Camp Permit	BC Ministry of Health <i>Public Health Act</i> Industrial Camp Regulations	Construction and operation of the construction camp
Heritage Inspection permits Heritage Investigation permits	BC FLNRO <i>Heritage Conservation Act</i> s.14	Archaeological surveys on provincial Crown Land to support the environmental assessment
Site Alteration Permit	BC FLNRO/BC OGC <i>Heritage Conservation Act</i> s.12	Systematic collection of heritage materials through excavations and/or measures to prevent damage or degradation of heritage resources (if found) on provincial Crown Land

7.4 Anticipated Scope of the Project and Environmental Assessment

7.4.1 Scope of Project

The physical works and activities to be performed in relation to the Project for construction, operations and decommissioning are collectively referred to as the Project scope.

The major components of the Project include:

- LNG facility
 - Natural gas inlet station
 - Natural gas treatment equipment
 - LNG production facilities
 - LNG and NGL storage facilities
 - Pipe-rack corridor(s), including cryogenic rundown and vapor return pipelines between LNG production facility and marine jetty
- Marine terminal
 - Marine jetty and LNG loading infrastructure
 - LNG carrier berths
 - Materials offloading facility
 - Flare systems
 - LNG carriers and other support vessels along the marine access route
- Supporting infrastructure and facilities
 - Administration and maintenance buildings, and offices
 - Water supply, storage and pipelines
 - Wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal infrastructure
 - Stormwater management system
 - Firewater systems
 - Waste management (collection, storage, and disposal)
 - Workforce accommodations
 - Storage and laydown areas
 - Heliport
 - Haul road and vehicle traffic
 - Power infrastructure
 - Power generation
 - Medical services

Project Description

Section 7: Federal and Provincial Involvement and Regulatory Requirements
January 2015

Key construction activities include:

- Pioneer site establishment
- Clearing vegetation
- Blasting and grading
- Removing soil and overburden
- Constructing roads within the site and marine berth
- Underwater blasting and/or dredging of marine sediments
- Pile driving
- Transporting equipment and modules to site
- Transporting workforce to and from site
- Installing utilities
- Installing infrastructure related to the facility and terminal
- Pressure testing.

Key operational activities include:

- Receiving and processing inlet gas
- LNG liquefaction
- LNG and refrigerant storage
- Loading carriers
- Carrier marine traffic
- Shipping supplies and equipment to site
- Maintenance activities
- Monitoring
- Waste generation.

Expected concurrent activities that are not within the scope of the Project but would be considered in a cumulative effects assessment include:

- Construction and operation of a third-party gas supply pipeline to deliver feed gas to the Project
- Marine traffic associated with construction of a gas supply pipeline

7.4.2 Scope of Environmental Assessment

Under CEAA 2012, the Project Description must address the potential for the Project to cause changes to:

- Fish and fish habitat
- Aquatic species

- Migratory birds
- Federal and other lands
- Aboriginal peoples

The BC EAO guidelines require the Project Description to address potential environmental, economic, social, heritage, and health effects of the Project.

Based on the existing biophysical and socio-economic environments of the Digby Island area and the requirements of BCEAA and CEEA 2012, it is anticipated that the EA will focus on the following aspects of the environment:

- Biophysical environmental effects (air quality, noise, vegetation, wildlife resources including migratory birds, marine and freshwater resources)
- Social effects
- Health effects
- Economic effects
- Heritage effects
- First Nations or other Aboriginal Group interests
- Cumulative effects
- Transboundary effects
- Federal and other lands

These are discussed in more detail in Section 8.

8 SOCIO-COMMUNITY AND LAND USE SETTING

The Project is located within the Skeena-Queen Charlotte Regional District (SQCRD). The nearest communities include the City of Prince Rupert, the District of Port Edward, the Lax Kw'alaams community, Metlakatla, and smaller communities of Dodge Cove and Crippen Cove on Digby Island. Historically, the economy of the SQCRD has been dominated by forestry, fishing and other natural resource-based industries, which have declined over the past 15 years. However, the tourism and transportation sectors have experienced growth in recent years, including development of various ports and rail infrastructures and the addition of ferry services, as well as service industries that support tourism.

8.1 Skeena-Queen Charlotte Regional District

The SQCRD is a partnership of several electoral areas and municipalities on BC's north coast, which provides various services such as waste management, land use planning, and public safety. The proposed project site is located within an electoral area of the SQCRD (Figure 8-1).

8.2 Prince Rupert

Prince Rupert occupies the northwest part of Kaien Island, and is located approximately 3 km east of the Digby Island site (Photograph 8-1). It is the largest city in the SQCRD, with a population of approximately 12,500 people. It provides employment and commercial services for residents of the surrounding communities of Port Edward, Metlakatla and Lax Kw'alaams. Community infrastructure and services include a hospital, fire department, schools, and a variety of recreational venues. Prince Rupert also has a deep-sea container port, BC and Alaska ferry service, and a cruise-ship dock. Key industries include forestry, fisheries, port services, and tourism.


The Port of Prince Rupert is the second largest port on Canada's west coast. It has recently undergone substantial growth, and has plans for further expansion with projects such as the Road Rail Corridor and Phase 2 of Fairview Container Terminal.

The City has developed a Quality of Life Community Plan, which sets forth guiding principles for long-term investment and development in the municipality that emphasize social, environmental and economic values (City of Prince Rupert, 2007). The plan identifies key land use designations for land and water within the city of Prince Rupert and its surrounding areas.

The City supports government initiatives aimed at improving access between Prince Rupert, the airport on Digby Island, and First Nations communities northwards.

8.3 Metlakatla Reserve and Community


The Metlakatla community is located on Prospect Hill, within the S1/2 Tsimpsean 2 Indian Reserve (IR), on the northeastern end of Digby Island and the southwestern portion of the Tsimpsean Peninsula. It is located approximately 5 km from the proposed Digby Island site.



Legend

- ★ Digby Island Site
- █ Skeena -Queen Charlotte Regional District
- ▭ Other Regional Districts
- Urban Centre
- International Boundary

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.



AURORA LNG

**JURISDICTION OF THE
SKEENA QUEEN CHARLOTTE
REGIONAL DISTRICT**

Date: January 9, 2015	FIGURE 8 - 1
-----------------------	--------------

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting
January 2015



Photograph 8-1 Prince Rupert

8.4 Lax Kw'alaams Reserve and Community

The Lax Kw'alaams reserve and community is located approximately 30 km north of the proposed project site. This small community is referred to as both Lax Kw'alaams and Port Simpson. The community provides the following services and infrastructure:

- Approximately 400 housing units
- A school of approximately 120 students
- A leisure centre and wellness centre
- A health centre
- A volunteer fire department
- A RCMP detachment
- The Lax Kw'alaams Fishery & Cannery

Photograph 8-2 shows the community of Lax Kw'alaams.

8.5 Digby Island

There are two small communities located on the east side of Digby Island: Dodge Cove (Photograph 8-3) and Crippen Cove. Each community has fewer than 100 residents. The Prince Rupert Airport, located on the northwest side of the island, services the local communities and is supported by a bus and ferry service.



Photograph 8-2 Lax Kw'alaams Community



Photograph 8-3 Dodge Cove Community


8.6 Prince Rupert Port Authority

The PRPA is a federal body that operates the port under the *Canada Marine Act*. It is responsible for overall planning, development and management of the commercial port facilities within Prince Rupert Harbour (Prince Rupert Port Authority, 2010). The jurisdiction of the PRPA includes the inner harbour of Prince Rupert, the waters surrounding Ridley Island, and the waters surrounding the southern half of Digby Island (Figure 8-2) (Prince Rupert Port Authority, 2010). The marine terminal and marine access for the proposed Digby Island site will be within waters governed by the PRPA.

The PRPA has prepared a land use management plan to guide its business development. The vision of the PRPA is to “position itself as a highly competitive west coast port taking advantage of its proximity to Asia relative to other west coast ports” while ensuring sustainable and environmentally appropriate development” (Prince Rupert Port Authority, 2010).








Two main planning districts proximal to the Digby Island site include the ‘Inner Harbour Planning District’, and the ‘Ridley Island / Outer Harbour Planning District’. Both districts plan for increased industrial development, such as rail services, transload facilities, various terminals, and marine support services. Although largely undeveloped at present, the PRPA has identified Digby Island as having long-term potential for industrial development (e.g., break bulk operations and major terminals), contingent on construction of a new bridge and road between Digby Island and the north shore. This transportation link is not within the scope of the proposed Project.


The PRPA land use management plan could affect the water lot that would be occupied by the Project.



File No.: CA16933.mxd

Legend

-  Digby Island Site
-  Prince Rupert Port Authority Jurisdiction
-  Inner Harbour Planning District
-  Ridley Island Outer Harbour Planning District
-  Urban Centre
-  Ferry Route
-  Road / Cutline



1:125,000



Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG



**PRINCE RUPERT
PORT AUTHORITY
JURISDICTION**

Date: January 9, 2015

FIGURE 8 - 2

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

8.7 Other Planning Initiatives


8.7.1 North Coast Land and Resource Management Plan

The North Coast Land and Resource Management Plan (LRMP) was initiated in 2002 by the provincial government and First Nations as part of a strategic land use planning policy under the *Land Act* (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, 2004). It covers approximately 1.7 million ha of land on BC's north coast, including the proposed Digby Island site. The purpose of the North Coast LRMP process is to promote economic and environmental sustainability through an ecosystem-based management (EBM) approach that relies on traditional, local and scientific knowledge. The plan includes the establishment of protection areas and mechanisms to maintain healthy ecosystems and communities in the plan area. Land use designations include protection areas, biodiversity areas, special forest management areas, and EBM operating areas (Figure 8-3) (BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations, 2014).

Since completion of the plan in 2004, land use objectives have been legally established to guide forest resource development, and full implementation of ecosystem-based management has been completed. In 2009, a new protected area designation was established to recognize the importance of specific areas to First Nations. There have been 115 new conservancies designated in the North and Central coast regions. Fifty-five (55) of the conservancy management plans were initiated in 2011, with the remainder to be underway or completed by 2014. EBM implementation is now focused on developing a strategic landscape reserve design, designating Grizzly Bear no-hunting areas, completing conservancy management planning, and improving land use planning through adaptive management.

8.7.2 Pacific North Coast Integrated Management Area Initiative


The Pacific North Coast Integrated Management Area (PNCIMA) is one of five Large Ocean Management Areas (LOMAs) that have been identified by DFO as priority regions for marine planning. These areas have been identified as priority areas due to their high ecological, social and economic importance. The PNCIMA plan is an ecosystem-based management initiative that follows the general principles of Canada's *Ocean Act*, governing BC's northern marine waters. Various federal government, provincial government, and First Nations representatives comprise the steering committee, which set the goals for this planning area (Figure 8-4). The aim of the plan is to maintain ecological integrity of the marine ecosystems while fostering economic, cultural, and spiritual human well-being (Government of Canada; North Coast Skeena First Nations Stewardship Society; Coastal First Nations Great Bear Initiative, 2014).



File No.: CA16943.mxd

Legend

- LURP - North Coast
- LURP - Central Coast
- National Park
- Protected Areas
- Conservancy Areas
- Biodiversity, Mining, & Tourism Area
- Urban Centre
- International Boundary
- ★ Digby Island Site



1:2,000,000



Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG




**NORTH COAST
LAND USE AREAS**






Date: January 9, 2015


FIGURE 8 - 3

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.



Legend

-  Digby Island Site
-  Pacific North Coast Integrated Management Area (PNCIMA)
-  Exclusive Economic Zone Boundary
-  International Boundary
-  Urban Centre



1:3,850,000



Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG



PNCIMA BOUNDARY & AURORA LNG AREA OF INTEREST

Date: January 9, 2015

FIGURE 8 - 4

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

File No.: CA16940.mxd

The PNCIMA initiative has identified 15 Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas (EBSAs), and Important Areas (IAs) for marine vegetation and marine wildlife within their jurisdiction. Relevant areas near the proposed Project sites are listed in Table 8-1.

Table 8-1 PNCIMA Recognized Areas near the Digby Island Site

Description	Ecologically and Biologically Significant Areas (EBSAs)	Important Areas (IAs)	Other
Chatham Sound	X		
Eelgrass Distribution			X
Kelp Distribution			X
Stellar Sea Lion		X	
Humpback Whale		X	
Northern Resident Orca		X	
Important Bird Area		X	
Herring		X	
Tanner Crab		X	

8.7.3 International Joint Commission - Air Quality Agreement

In 1991, Canada and the US signed a bilateral Air Quality Agreement with the purpose of addressing shared issues related to trans-boundary air pollution. The objective of this agreement is to control trans-boundary air pollution between the two countries through establishing and implementing specific objectives for limiting air emissions (International Joint Commission, 1991).

For projects that have the potential to cause significant trans-boundary air pollution, the relevant jurisdiction is required to appropriately assess the project, provide notification to the other party, and implement mitigation measures as appropriate.

8.8 Aboriginal Groups

Five First Nations and one Aboriginal Group could be affected by the Project and associated marine shipping activities. These are Gitxaala Nation, Kitselas Nation, Kitsumkalum First Nation, Lax Kw'alaams First Nation, Metlakatla First Nation and the Métis Nation of BC. The first five groups are part of a larger cultural group known historically and ethnographically as the Tsimshian. However, they represent distinct groups with different historical and cultural backgrounds.

The Metlakatla, Kitselas, and Kitsumkalum are in the BC Treaty process and are represented in their negotiations by the Tsimshian First Nations Treaty Society. Lax Kw'alaams is negotiating a treaty independently. Gitxaala Nation is currently not involved in the BC treaty process.

Figure 8-5 shows First Nations reserves in the Project area. Although there are no Métis settlements within the Project area, there are a number of Métis living in the Prince Rupert area. A brief summary of each of the Tsimshian groups and the Métis is provided below.

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting

January 2015


Table 8-2 summarizes potential overlap between Project components and identified First Nations interests, with terrestrial interests identified as “Facility” and marine interests identified as “Shipping Route”.

Table 8-2 Potential Overlap between First Nations’ Interests and Aurora LNG Project Components and Activities at Digby Island Site

First Nation	Potential Overlap with Identified First Nations Interests	
	Facility	Shipping Route
Gitxaala Nation	X	X
Kitselas Nation		X
Kitsumkalum First Nation	X	X
Lax Kw’alaams First Nation	X	X
Metlakatla First Nation	X	X

8.8.1 Gitxaala Nation

Gitxaala Nation is located across the bay from Prince Rupert in the Village of Kitkatla on Dolphin Island in Kitkatla Channel. The Nation has approximately 2,000 members, 25% of which live on reserve. Including the village of Kitkatla, Gitxaala Nation has 21 reserves covering 1,885.2 ha. The Nation’s asserted traditional territory covers just over 3,000 ha encompassing the northern extent of their fishing territory on the Nass River, stretching south to the coastal islands just north of Kitasu Bay (Figure 8-6). The western edge of their territory extends seaward abutting against the marine territories of the Haida Nation. To the east, the territory extends to the mainland shore of Grenville Channel, where it meets Haisla and Gitga’at territories.



First Nation


- Gitxaala
- Kitselas
- Kitselas-Kitsumkalum
- Kitsumkalum
- Lax Kw'alaams
- Lax Kw'alaams-Metlakatla
- Metlakatla

File No.: CA17269.mxd

Legend

- Digby Island Site
- Urban Centre
- International Boundary

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.



1:750,000

Projection: UTM Zone 9
Datum: NAD 83


AURORA LNG



FIRST NATIONS RESERVES NEAR DIGBY ISLAND SITE

Date: January 9, 2015

FIGURE 8 - 5



The traditional territory maps included in the Project Description are understood to represent traditional territories asserted by each First Nation. The maps have been obtained from readily available sources and are subject to confirmation by each First Nation. Nexen does not make any representation that the asserted traditional territory of each First Nation has been or will be endorsed by the Province of British Columbia, Canada or other First Nations. These figures are included in this document for description purposes only and are not meant to affirm or deny any territorial claims or assertions from any Aboriginal Group.

File No.: CA17270.mxd 133°0'0"W 132°0'0"W 131°0'0"W 130°0'0"W 129°0'0"W 128°0'0"W

Legend

- Digby Island Site
- Asserted Traditional Territory of the Gitxaala Nation*
- Urban Centre
- International Boundary

*...Source: Gitxaala-British Columbia (2006).

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

0 12.5 25 50 75 100
kilometre

0 15 30 60
miles

1:2,300,000

Projection: UTM Zone 10
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG

nexen **INPEX**
A CHOC LIMITED COMPANY

ASSERTED TRADITIONAL TERRITORY OF THE GITXAALA NATION

Date: January 9, 2015 FIGURE 8 - 6

8.8.1 Kitselas Nation


Kitselas Nation has a registered population of approximately 615 members, of which approximately 50% live on two reserves: Kitselas IR 1 and Kulsapai IR 6. These reserves are located along the Skeena River; IR 1 is just outside of Terrace, and IR 6 is located in the Kitselas Canyon to the east of Terrace. Kitselas First Nation has 10 reserves covering approximately 1070 ha; one reserve (Port Essington) is jointly administered with Kitsumkalum First Nation. The Kitselas Nation's asserted territory includes the watersheds of the Skeena and Kitimat rivers from Lorne Creek in the east to the Skeena and Kitimat estuaries (Figure 8-7). In addition to this, the Nation has previously stated that it has traditional harvesting areas in coastal areas of the Prince Rupert Port area, the lower Skeena River and its estuary, and in the Nass watershed.

8.8.1 Kitsumkalum First Nation

Kitsumkalum First Nation is based near Terrace, and has a registered population of approximately 715 members. About 40% of its population lives on reserve land, primarily in the main community at Kitsumkalum IR 1. Kitsumkalum First Nation has four reserves, including the co-managed reserve at Port Essington. These reserves total approximately 600 ha of land. The Nation has identified its traditional territory as the areas surrounding the Kitsumkalum and Zymacord watersheds, as well as the Cedar River watershed (Figure 8-8). The Kitsumkalum has also asserted the use of areas outside these territories, including Lakelse River, Cheweanlaw, Skeena River, Ecstall River and locations along Grenville Channel, Edy Pass, Stephens Island and Work Channel. Kitsumkalum First Nation also asserts shared territory down to Low Inlet in Grenville Channel and Cape George in the Hecate Strait, stretching north to the Alaska and Nisga'a Nation borders.

8.8.2 Lax Kw'alaams First Nation

Lax Kw'alaams First Nation is based in Lax Kw'alaams (formally Port Simpson). They have approximately 3500 members, of which 20% live on reserve land. The Lax Kw'alaams First Nation has 78 reserves throughout its asserted traditional territory, covering approximately 11,900 ha. Their asserted traditional territory encompasses the lands and waters between tributaries of the Skeena River, the height of land east of the Zymoetz River, and the Kitsumkalum River (Figure 8-9). It includes Nass Bay and Nass River to the west, and Wales and Pearse Islands, the Dundas and Stephens Islands groups as well as lands and waters at the mouth of the Skeena River, stretching south along Grenville Channel to the north.



The traditional territory maps included in the Project Description are understood to represent traditional territories asserted by each First Nation. The maps have been obtained from readily available sources and are subject to confirmation by each First Nation. Nexen does not make any representation that the asserted traditional territory of each First Nation has been or will be endorsed by the Province of British Columbia, Canada or other First Nations. These figures are included in this document for description purposes only and are not meant to affirm or deny any territorial claims or assertions from any Aboriginal Group.

File No.: CA17271.mxd 133°0'0"W 132°0'0"W 131°0'0"W 130°0'0"W 129°0'0"W 128°0'0"W

Legend

- ★ Digby Island Site
- ▭ Asserted Traditional Territory of the Kitseles First Nation*
- Urban Centre
- International Boundary
- *...Source: Kitseles First Nation - British Columbia (2011)

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

0 12.5 25 50 75 100
kilometre

0 15 30 60
miles

1:2,300,000

↑
N


Projection: UTM Zone 10
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG

nexen **INPEX**
A CHESLETT COMPANY

ASSERTED TRADITIONAL TERRITORY OF THE KITSELES FIRST NATION

Date: January 9, 2015 | FIGURE 8 - 7



The traditional territory maps included in the Project Description are understood to represent traditional territories asserted by each First Nation. The maps have been obtained from readily available sources and are subject to confirmation by each First Nation. Nexen does not make any representation that the asserted traditional territory of each First Nation has been or will be endorsed by the Province of British Columbia, Canada or other First Nations. These figures are included in this document for description purposes only and are not meant to affirm or deny any territorial claims or assertions from any Aboriginal Group.

File No.: CA17272.mxd 133°0'0"W 132°0'0"W 131°0'0"W 130°0'0"W 129°0'0"W 128°0'0"W

Legend

- ★ Digby Island Site
- ▭ Asserted Traditional Territory of the Kitsumkalum First Nation*
- Urban Centre
- International Boundary

*...Source: Kitsumkalum-British Columbia (2011)

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

0 12.5 25 50 75 100
kilometre

0 15 30 60
miles

1:2,300,000

↑
N


Projection: UTM Zone 10
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG

nexen **INPEX**
A CHOC LIMITED COMPANY

ASSERTED TRADITIONAL TERRITORY OF THE KITSUMKALUM FIRST NATION

Date: January 9, 2015 FIGURE 8 - 8



The traditional territory maps included in the Project Description are understood to represent traditional territories asserted by each First Nation. The maps have been obtained from readily available sources and are subject to confirmation by each First Nation. Nexen does not make any representation that the asserted traditional territory of each First Nation has been or will be endorsed by the Province of British Columbia, Canada or other First Nations. These figures are included in this document for description purposes only and are not meant to affirm or deny any territorial claims or assertions from any Aboriginal Group.

File No.: CA17273.mxd 133°0'0"W 132°0'0"W 131°0'0"W 130°0'0"W 129°0'0"W 128°0'0"W

Legend

- ★ Aurora LNG - Digby Island Site
- ▭ Asserred Traditional Territory of the Lax Kw'alaams Indian Band*
- Urban Centre
- International Boundary
- *...Source: Lax Kw'alaams Indian Band - British Columbia (2014)

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

0 12.5 25 50 75 100
kilometre

0 15 30 60
miles

1:2,300,000

↑
N

Projection: UTM Zone 10
Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG

nexen INPEX
A CHINA LIMITED COMPANY

ASSERTED TRADITIONAL TERRITORY OF THE LAX KW'ALAAMS INDIAN BAND

Date: January 9, 2015 | FIGURE 8 - 9

8.8.3 Metlakatla First Nation

Metlakatla First Nation is based in Metlakatla, which is located on S1/2 Tsimpsean 2 reserve near Prince Rupert. The Nation has approximately 900 members, of which 10% live on reserve land in Metlakatla. The Metlakatla has 16 reserves, totaling 3,460 ha. Their asserted traditional territory extends from the coastal islands in eastern Hecate Strait to Lakelse Lake near Terrace (Figure 8-10). Portland Canal and Observatory Inlet mark the northern extent of the boundary, and the headwaters of the Ecstall River mark the southern borders. Their territory includes the lower portions and the mouth of the Skeena River and its tributaries.

8.8.4 Métis Nation BC


The Métis Nation of BC (MNBC) represents Métis Citizens throughout BC. In total, there are 34 different Métis Chartered Communities, including one in Terrace, which helps represent Métis people throughout northwest BC. Engagement with MNBC and Métis Citizens is expected to occur as part of the Project's broader consultation program.

8.8.5 Coastal First Nations

The Coastal First Nations (CFN) is an alliance of First Nations on BC's north and central coast, and Haida Gwaii. Member Nations include Metlakatla First Nation, Gitga'at First Nation, Haida Nation (Council of the Haida Nation, Sidegate, and Old Masset), Heiltsuk Nation, Kitasoo/Xaixais First Nation, Nuxalk Nation, and Wuikinuxv Nation. The CFN provides strategic regional administrative, planning and negotiation support to the member First Nations on environmental and economic issues. The CFN recently signed a Framework Agreement with the Province of BC to explore regional issues related to LNG development. The Framework Agreement commits the province to discuss air emissions, marine shipping, carbon offsets, regional renewable energy supply, and economic benefits.

8.9 Traditional and Current Land and Resource Use

The Tsimshian cultural areas stretch from the lands and waters surrounding the Nass and Skeena watersheds and the coastal areas from the Nass River to Queen Charlotte Sound. Traditionally, groups have used the areas along the lower Skeena River from the Kitselas Canyon and Kitsumkalum (near Terrace) and the adjacent coast south to Milbanke Sound, including Port Simpson (Lax Kw'alaams) Metlakatla (in the Prince Rupert area), and Gitxaala (Kitkatla).



The traditional territory maps included in the Project Description are understood to represent traditional territories asserted by each First Nation. The maps have been obtained from readily available sources and are subject to confirmation by each First Nation. Nexen does not make any representation that the asserted traditional territory of each First Nation has been or will be endorsed by the Province of British Columbia, Canada or other First Nations. These figures are included in this document for description purposes only and are not meant to affirm or deny any territorial claims or assertions from any Aboriginal Group.

File No.: CA17274.mxd 133°0'0"W 132°0'0"W 131°0'0"W 400000 130°0'0"W 129°0'0"W 128°0'0"W 600000
 52°0'0"N 53°0'0"N 54°0'0"N 55°0'0"N 6100000 6200000

Legend

- Digby Island Site
- Asserted Traditional Territory of the Metlakatla First Nation*
- International Boundary
- Urban Centre

*...Source: Metlakatla - British Columbia (2006)

Use of this map is strictly at user's own risk. Nexen Energy ULC and each of its affiliates (collectively "Nexen") makes no representation, warranty or guaranty about this map or its contents, including, without limitation, accuracy, completeness, or fitness for any purpose. Nexen shall have no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided. Nexen assumes no liability for any decisions made or actions taken or not taken in reliance upon the data furnished on this map. Usage, manipulation, or reproduction, in any form, of the data and information contained herein is prohibited without permission of Nexen.

0 12.5 25 50 75 100
 kilometre

0 15 30 60
 miles

1:2,300,000

Projection: UTM Zone 10
 Datum: NAD 83

AURORA LNG

ASSERTED TRADITIONAL TERRITORY OF THE METLAKATLA FIRST NATION

Date: January 9, 2015 FIGURE 8 - 10

Findings through archaeological excavations and studies reveal villages dating back 5,000 years. The Tsimshian have one of the oldest continuous cultural heritages in the western hemisphere. Tsimshian groups possess a rich cultural heritage and ceremonial practices, such as the potlatch feast, continue to be undertaken. The Tsimshian are matrilineal, moiety, and each Tsimshian belongs to one of four tribes or totems: Frog or Raven, Wolf, Eagle, and Killer Whale. Today, hereditary chief titles are maintained for both traditional ceremonial and governance purposes.

Initial desktop research of publicly available sources and discussions with First Nations, to date, indicate that Tsimshian groups have used, and continue to use, the lands at the mouth of the Skeena River and the adjacent lands and marine areas for fishing, hunting, and marine and terrestrial food harvesting, as well as for ceremonial practices. Culturally modified trees are also common throughout the area. First Nation community members also use the marine area for commercial fishing purposes.

Traditionally, living off the land and sea, the Tsimshian typically established a permanent village where members lived during the winter months, as well and a temporary spring village and summer fishing camp. The latter were used during food harvesting seasons.

Examples of marine resources traditionally used by the local First Nations include: seaweed, halibut, salmon, eulachon, shellfish, fish roe, seal, sea lions, and sea otter. Terrestrial resources are also traditionally harvested and include: tree bark, planks, berries, bird eggs, deer, elk, bear, and other animals. As well, Aurora LNG understands that the Project may be located nearby areas of potential cultural and spiritual significance for these groups.

Aurora LNG has initiated consultations and will continue work with each of the five First Nations to understand their current and historic uses of the Digby Island area and surrounding marine environment for the purpose of assessing the potential effects of the Project on their respective interests.

8.10 Environmental Setting

8.10.1 Climate

The main climatic processes in the northwest coastal area are driven by a high frequency of frontal systems arriving from the Pacific Ocean. Constant streams of oceanic low pressure systems bring mild, moist air and create cloudy, wet weather conditions during the winter. The climate of Digby Island is dominated by fog, cloud, and drizzle. Annual precipitation varies but can exceed 2,500 mm. In general, October through to December are the wettest months. The island receives very little precipitation in the form of snow.

The Pacific Ocean moderates temperatures in the area, with average temperatures ranging from 2°C in winter to 15°C in summer. Winds blow predominantly from the southeast, ranging from 10 km/h to 20 km/h (Ministry of the Environment 2013).

8.10.2 Air Quality

The closest air quality monitoring stations to the Project site that provide continuous ambient air quality data are located in Prince Rupert and Port Edward.

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting

January 2015

Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) is monitored continuously at the Prince Rupert Galloway Rapids and Port Edward Pacific monitoring stations. Over the period of record (1998-2002), the average one-hour SO₂ concentrations and maximum one-hour concentrations were well below the provincial Level A ambient air quality objectives. Inhalable particulate matter (PM₁₀) values were also below ambient air quality objectives; however, hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) exceedances were found at the Port Edward site primarily due to the Skeena Cellulose pulp mill, which closed in 2006.

More recent data collected by the BC MoE Mobile Air Monitoring Laboratory (MAML) in 2013 at Westview Terminal indicates that Criteria Air Contaminant (CAC) parameters of PM₁₀, respirable particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), SO₂, nitrogen oxides (NO₂), ozone (O₃) and carbon monoxide (CO) are all below British Columbia ambient air quality objectives.

8.10.3 Acoustic Environment

The existing ambient acoustic environment near the Digby Island site is characterized primarily by sounds from the natural environment such as birds, wind, rain and waves along the coast. Given its distance from Prince Rupert and the local communities of Dodge Cove and Crippen Cove, the acoustic environment is not influenced by human activities.

8.10.4 Geology and Geomorphology

Digby Island is located within the Hecate Lowlands located immediate west of the Coast Mountains. This area is characterized as coastal lowlands with elevations generally less than 75 m above sea level (Clague 1984).

The Geological Survey of Canada (1983) described the surficial geology of Digby Island as generally comprised of an organic veneer (i.e., 0.5 m to 1.0 m thick) of peat on gentle slopes (i.e., <15 degrees) with occurrences of glaciomarine (e.g., silt and clay) veneer deposits and bedrock outcrops.

Bedrock geologic mapping by Hutchinson (1982) indicates two pre-dominant early Mesozoic and/or Paleozoic metamorphic rock packages on Digby Island. Approximately two-thirds of western Digby Island is generally comprised of lower grade (i.e., greenschist facies) meta-sedimentary black to dark grey graphite schist with chlorite and sericite schist. These meta-sedimentary rocks are oriented in a north-northwest to south-southeast direction and are in contact with higher grade (i.e., amphibolite facies) metamorphic rock located on the eastern one-third of the island. These higher grade metamorphic rocks consist of black to dark grey graphite schist with local interbedded conglomerate, greywacke, and marble in the north, and muscovite schist with minor amphibolite and micaceous quartzite in the south (e.g., the area of the Project site). Mapping indicates that the southeastern shoreline area contains higher grade metamorphic feldspathic schist with impure quartzite and hornblende schist. In addition, there is a third metamorphic rock package that is relatively small in occurrence and is located at the northwestern most portion of the island. It consists of weakly metamorphosed volcanic rhyolite tuff and flows. A small northwest-southeast trending inferred fault separates this rock package from the lower grade meta-sedimentary rock package.

A local inferred eastward thrust fault identified by Hutchinson (1982) is located between Digby and Kaien islands within the Prince Rupert Harbour. This thrust fault generally separates muscovite schist on Digby Island from the feldspathic schist with impure quartzite on Kaien Island.

The Prince Rupert region is influenced by the Queen Charlotte Fairweather fault system. It is within seismic zone #3, which means that the peak ground acceleration in excess of 6%g has an annual probability of 1% (Clague 1984). Earthquakes have occurred in this region; the most recent occurred in October 2012.

8.10.5 Hydrology

Digby Island is located in the Central Coast Mountains hydrologic zone (Ahmed and Jackson 2013). Stream flow is maintained throughout the year in all but the smallest and highest elevation creeks. The proximity of the Pacific Ocean regulates temperatures, leading to cool summers and mild winters. There are eight first order streams mapped within the Digby Island site, which range from approximately 300 m to 900 m in total mapped length. The Project site is located near Delusion Creek and its tributaries. Peak annual flows in these creeks likely occur in the winter in response to rain storms off the Pacific Ocean. There is one small lake situated within the proposed Project footprint.

8.10.6 Vegetation and Wetland Resources

The Digby Island site lies within the Hecate Lowland Ecosection of the Coastal Gap Ecoregion, which is located within the larger Coast and Mountains Ecoprovince (Demarchi 2011). It is situated within the North Coast Forest District of the Coast Forest Region.

The Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classification (BEC) system is used in BC to describe the ecological communities that reflect the climate and elevation environment. The Project site is located entirely within the Central Very Wet Hypermaritime Coastal Western Hemlock (CWHvh2) BEC unit. The CWHvh2 biogeoclimatic zone occupies the outer coastal areas, usually less than 25 km from salt water, and ranges in elevation from 0 to 600 m.

The natural vegetation of the Digby Island site is primarily forest interspersed with low-lying wetlands. Productive forests are restricted to well-drained soils on moderate and steep slopes. It is dominated by western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*), western redcedar (*Thuja plicata*), and Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*). Yellow-cedar (*Chamaecyparis nootkatensis*), lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*), and red alder (*Alnus rubra*) are abundant on the outer coast where scrubby forests grow on organic soils over bedrock. Because fires occur rarely, seral stands were uncommon before clearcut logging began (Meidinger and Pojar 1991; Banner et al. 1993).

The characteristic understory species are salal (*Gaultheria shallon*), red huckleberry (*Vaccinium parvifolium*), deer fern (*Blechnum spicant*), false lily-of-the-valley (*Maianthemum dilatatum*), fern-leaved goldthread (*Coptis asplenifolia*), skunk cabbage (*Lysichiton americanum*), and various bryophyte species (*Rhytidiadelphus loreus*, *Mnium* spp., *Sphagnum girgensohnii*, *Eurhynchium oregonum*, *Scapania bolanderi*, etc.) (Banner et al., 1993).

According to information contained in the BC Conservation Data Centre (CDC), 32 plant species of conservation concern, including 25 blue-listed species and seven red-listed species, potentially occur within 4 km of the Project area (Table 8-3). This includes 15 vascular plant species (14 flowering plants and a fern), 15 bryophyte species (11 true mosses (Bryopsida) and four peat-mosses (Sphagnopsida), and a lichen species (*Pseudocyphellaria rainierensis*). This lichen is listed as a species of special concern by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) and the SARA.

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting

January 2015

Thirteen blue-listed and four red-listed ecosystems potentially occur within approximately 4 km of the Project area (Table 8-4). This includes 12 forest ecosystems and five wetland ecosystems (three fens, one marsh, and one swamp). Four ecosystems are defined as red- or blue-listed ecological communities (i.e., legally designated) in the Central and North Coast Land Use Objectives Order for Ecosystem Based Management (EBM) Implementation.

Table 8-3 Plant Species of Conservation Concern (Listed Species) with the Potential to Occur in the Project Area

Class	Common Name	Scientific Name	Prov Status ¹	BC List	SARA Status
Bryopsida		<i>Bryhnia hulthenii</i>	S1S2	Red	
Dicotyledoneae	two-edged water-starwort	<i>Callitriche heterophylla</i> var. <i>heterophylla</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Dicotyledoneae	yellow marsh-marigold	<i>Caltha palustris</i> var. <i>radicans</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Monocotyledoneae	lesser saltmarsh sedge	<i>Carex glareosa</i> var. <i>amphigena</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Monocotyledoneae	Gmelin's sedge	<i>Carex gmelinii</i>	S2S3	Blue	3 - Sensitive (2010)
Dicotyledoneae	dwarf bog bunchberry	<i>Cornus suecica</i>	S1S3	Red	4 - Secure (2010)
Bryopsida		<i>Dicranodontium asperulum</i>	S3	Blue	
Bryopsida		<i>Didymodon leskeoides</i>	S1	Red	
Bryopsida		<i>Diphyscium foliosum</i>	S3?	Blue	
Monocotyledoneae	Kamchatka spike-rush	<i>Eleocharis kamtschatica</i>	S2S3	Blue	3 - Sensitive (2010)
Bryopsida		<i>Entodon concinnus</i>	S3	Blue	
Bryopsida		<i>Hageniella micans</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Bryopsida		<i>Isopterygiopsis muelleriana</i>	S1S2	Red	
Monocotyledoneae	arctic rush	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> ssp. <i>Alaskanus</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Monocotyledoneae	bog rush	<i>Juncus stygius</i>	S2S3	Blue	4 - Secure (2010)
Monocotyledoneae	flowering quillwort	<i>Lilaea scilloides</i>	S2S3	Blue	3 - Sensitive (2010)
Monocotyledoneae	white adder's-mouth orchid	<i>Malaxis brachypoda</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Monocotyledoneae	bog adder's-mouth orchid	<i>Malaxis paludosa</i> ²	S2S3	Blue	3 - Sensitive (2010)
Dicotyledoneae	dotted saxifrage	<i>Micranthes nelsoniana</i> var. <i>carlottae</i>	S3	Blue	
Bryopsida		<i>Philonotis yezoana</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Monocotyledoneae	white-lip rein orchid	<i>Piperia candida</i>	S2	Red	2 - May be at risk (2010)
Bryopsida		<i>Pleuroziopsis ruthenica</i>	S2S3	Blue	

Class	Common Name	Scientific Name	Prov Status ¹	BC List	SARA Status
Bryopsida		<i>Pohlia columbica</i>	S3	Blue	
Filicopsida	Alaska holly fern	<i>Polystichum setigerum</i>	S2S3	Blue	3 - Sensitive (2010)
Ascomycetes	oldgrowth specklebelly	<i>Pseudocyphellaria rainierensis</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Dicotyledoneae	Menzies' burnet	<i>Sanguisorba menziesii</i>	S2S3	Blue	3 - Sensitive (2010)
Sphagnopsida		<i>Sphagnum aongstroemii</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Sphagnopsida		<i>Sphagnum contortum</i>	S3	Blue	
Sphagnopsida		<i>Sphagnum obtusum</i>	S1	Red	
Sphagnopsida		<i>Sphagnum subobesum</i>	S2S3	Blue	
Bryopsida		<i>Tetradontium brownianum</i>	S3	Blue	
Monocotyledoneae	graceful arrow-grass	<i>Triglochin concinna</i>	S2	Red	

NOTES:

¹ Provincial Conservation Status applies to a species' conservation status in BC. The ranks have the following meanings: S1 – critically imperiled because of extreme rarity (often five or fewer occurrences) or because of some factor(s) such as very steep declines making it especially vulnerable to extirpation from the province; S2 – imperiled because of rarity due to very restricted range, very few populations (often 20 or fewer), steep declines, or other factors making it very vulnerable to extirpation from the province; S3 – special concern, vulnerable in the province due to a restricted range, relatively few populations (often 80 or fewer), recent and widespread declines, or other factors making it vulnerable to extirpation.

² Species recorded on Digby Island (BC CDC 2014).

Table 8-4 Ecological Communities of Conservation Concern (Listed Ecosystems) with the Potential to Occur in the Project Area

Ecosystem Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	Prov Status	BC List
Wetland Fen (Wf)	northern mannagrass Fen	<i>Glyceria borealis</i> Fen	S3	Blue
Flood Lowbench (Fl)	red alder / salmonberry / common horsetail	<i>Alnus rubra</i> / <i>Rubus spectabilis</i> / <i>Equisetum arvense</i>	S3	Blue
Wetland Marsh (Wm)	Sitka sedge - Pacific water-parsley	<i>Carex sitchensis</i> - <i>Oenanthe sarmentosa</i>	S3	Blue
Wetland Fen (Wf)	Sitka sedge / peat-mosses	<i>Carex sitchensis</i> / <i>Sphagnum spp.</i>	S2	Red
Coniferous Forest (mesic), Flood Highbench (Fh)	Sitka spruce / false lily-of-the-valley ⁴	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Maianthemum dilatatum</i>	S2	Red
Coniferous Forest (dry)	Sitka spruce / Oregon beaked-moss	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Eurhynchium oregonum</i>	S3	Blue
Coniferous Forest (moist/wet)	Sitka spruce / Pacific crab apple	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Malus fusca</i>	S3	Blue

Ecosystem Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	Prov Status	BC List
Coniferous Forest (dry)	Sitka spruce / Pacific reedgrass	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Calamagrostis nutkaensis</i>	S3	Blue
Coniferous Forest (dry)	Sitka spruce / salal	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Gaultheria shallon</i>	S3	Blue
Coniferous Forest (moist/wet)	Sitka spruce / slough sedge	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Carex obnupta</i>	S2S3	Blue
Coniferous Forest (moist/wet)	Sitka spruce / sword fern	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Polystichum munitum</i>	S3	Blue
Coniferous Forest (moist/wet), Flood Highbench (Fh)	Sitka spruce / tall trisetum ⁴	<i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Trisetum canescens</i>	S1S2	Red
Wetland Fen (Wf)	sweet gale / Sitka sedge	<i>Myrica gale</i> / <i>Carex sitchensis</i>	S2	Red
Coniferous Forest (mesic)	western hemlock - Sitka spruce / lanky moss	<i>Tsuga heterophylla</i> - <i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Rhytidiadelphus loreus</i>	S3	Blue
Coniferous Forest (moist/wet)	western redcedar - Sitka spruce / devil's club ⁴	<i>Thuja plicata</i> - <i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Oplopanax horridus</i>	S3	Blue
Wetland Swamp (Ws)	western redcedar - Sitka spruce / skunk cabbage ⁴	<i>Thuja plicata</i> - <i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Lysichiton americanus</i>	S3?	Blue
Coniferous Forest (mesic)	western redcedar - Sitka spruce / sword fern	<i>Thuja plicata</i> - <i>Picea sitchensis</i> / <i>Polystichum munitum</i>	S2S3	Blue

NOTES:

¹ Provincial Conservation Status applies to an ecological community's conservation status in BC. The ranks have the following meanings: S1 = critically imperiled because of extreme rarity (often five or fewer occurrences) or because of some factor(s) such as very steep declines making it especially vulnerable to extirpation from the province; S2 = imperiled because of rarity due to very restricted range, very few occurrences (often 20 or fewer), steep declines, or other factors making it very vulnerable to extirpation from the province; S3 = special concern, vulnerable in the province due to a restricted range, relatively few occurrences (often 80 or fewer), recent and widespread declines, or other factors making it vulnerable to extirpation.

² Ecosystems explicitly defined as red- or blue-listed ecological communities in the Central and North Coast LUO (Land Use Objectives) Order for Ecosystem Based Management (EBM) Implementation.

8.10.7 Wildlife Resources

In the CWH zone, common large mammal species include black bear (*Ursus americanus*), grizzly bear (*Ursus arctos*), gray wolf (*Canis lupus*), and black-tailed deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) (Meidinger and Pojar 1991).

Four amphibian species and two reptile species are expected to occur in the region: western toad (*Anaxyrus boreas*), rough skinned newt (*Taricha granulosa*), long-toed salamander (*Ambystoma macrodactylum*), northwestern salamander (*Ambystoma macrodactylum*), common garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis*), and western garter snake (*Thamnophis elegans*). Coastal tailed frog could occur in the Project area, but current information suggests the species is absent.

Common birds expected to occur on Digby Island include bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), common raven (*Corvus corax*), dark-eyed junco (*Junco hyemalis*), and glaucous-winged gull (*Larus glaucescens*). There are two Important Bird Areas (IBA) within the vicinity of Digby Island: BC 124 and BC

122. BC124 extends from Big Bay south to Delusion Bay, and has been designated as nationally significant. Birds such as black turnstone (*Arenaria melanocephala*), brant (*Branta bernicla*), colonial water birds and seabirds, dunlin (*Calidris alpina*), surf scoter (*Melanitta perspicillata*), and other waterfowl are known to congregate in large numbers in this area (Birdlife International; Bird Studies Canada, and Nature Canada 2012). BC122 includes Lucy Islands and has been designated globally and nationally significant. Birds such as bald eagle, black oystercatcher (*Haematopus bachmani*), glaucous-winged gull, pigeon guillemot (*Cepphus columba*), and rhinoceros auklet (*Cerorhinca monocerata*) are known to occur in large numbers (Birdlife International; Bird Studies Canada, and Nature Canada 2012).

There are 24 wildlife species of conservation concern that are known or likely to occur in the Prince Rupert region (BC CDC 2014) (Table 8-5).

Table 8-5 Species of Conservation Concern within the Prince Rupert Region

Common Name	Scientific Name	SARA Status	COSEWIC Status	BC Status
Mammals				
Grizzly Bear	<i>Ursus arctos</i>		SC	Blue
Wolverine	<i>Gulo gulo luscus</i>		SC	Blue
Fisher	<i>Pekania pennanti</i>			Blue
Keen's Myotis	<i>Myotis keenii</i>	SC (Schedule 3)	DD	Red
Little Brown Myotis	<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>		E	Yellow
Amphibians				
Western Toad	<i>Anaxyrus boreas</i>	SC (Schedule 1)	SC	Blue
Coastal Tailed Frog	<i>Ascaphus truei</i>	SC (Schedule 1)		Blue
Birds				
Surf Scoter (M)	<i>Melanitta perspicillata</i>			Blue
Ancient Murrelet (M)	<i>Synthliboramphus antiquus</i>	SC (Schedule 1)	SC	Blue
California Gull (M)	<i>Larus californicus</i>			Blue
Cassin's Auklet (M)	<i>Ptychoramphus aleuticus</i>			Blue
Common Murre (M)	<i>Uria aalge</i>			Red
Marbled Murrelet (M)	<i>Brachyramphus marmoratus</i>	T (Schedule 1)	T	Blue
Red-necked Phalarope (M)	<i>Phalaropus lobatus</i>			Blue
Tufted Puffin (M)	<i>Fratercula cirrhata</i>			Blue
Band-tailed Pigeon (M)	<i>Patagioenas fasciata</i>	SC (Schedule 1)	SC	Blue
Peregrine Falcon	<i>Falco peregrinus pealei</i>	T (Schedule 1)	SC	Blue
Northern Goshawk	<i>Accipiter gentilis laingi</i>	T (Schedule 1)	T	Red
Sooty Grouse	<i>Dendragapus fuliginosus</i>			Blue
Barn Swallow (M)	<i>Hirundo rustica</i>		T	Blue
Olive-sided Flycatcher (M)	<i>Contopus cooperi</i>	T (Schedule 1)	T	Blue

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting

January 2015

Common Name	Scientific Name	SARA Status	COSEWIC Status	BC Status
Great Blue Heron (M)	<i>Ardea herodias fannini</i>	SC (Schedule 1)	SC	Blue
Western Screech-Owl	<i>Megascops kennicottii kennicottii</i>	SC (Schedule 1)	T	Blue
Short-eared Owl	<i>Asio flammeus</i>	SC (Schedule 1)		Blue

NOTES:

DD = Data Deficient

E = Endangered

T = Threatened

SC = Special Concern

M = Migratory

8.10.8 Aquatic Resources

8.10.8.1 Marine Aquatic Resources

Chatham Sound is recognized by Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) as an ecologically and biologically significant area (EBSA). Intertidal and subtidal habitats in this area support a diverse assemblage of marine algae, invertebrates and fish, as well as numerous species of marine mammals.

Marine intertidal and subtidal habitats in the area are characterized by rock, sand and gravel beaches; and sand flats, and support a wealth of algal and invertebrate species (BC MFLNRO 2005). Algal species including rockweed (*Fucus gardneri*), sea lettuce (*Ulva* spp.) and a variety of canopy-forming and understory kelp species (e.g., *Laminaria* spp.) are likely present in the area. Eelgrass beds may also be present in the area and invertebrates such as barnacles likely inhabit the foreshore environment. Eelgrass and kelp beds provide essential feeding and rearing habitat for an array of species including juvenile salmon, Pacific herring (*Clupea pallasii*) and Dungeness crabs (*Metacarcinus magister*).

Marine waters surrounding Digby Island are within DFO Important Areas (IAs) for Dungeness crab (*Metacarcinus magister*), tanner crab (*Cancer baerdi*), green sea urchin (*Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*), and Pacific herring (*Clupea pallasii*). All five species of Pacific salmon (*Onchorhynchus* spp.) are abundant in the waters surrounding Digby Island, especially during the migration of juvenile and adult salmon to and from the Skeena River. These and other fish and invertebrate species support important commercial, recreational and Aboriginal fisheries in the area.

The productive waters of Chatham Sound are also home to numerous species of marine mammals, including harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*), Dall's porpoise (*Phocoenoides dalli*), Pacific white-sided dolphin (*Lagenorhynchus obliquidens*), killer whale (*Orcinus orca*), grey whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*), minke whale (*Balaenoptera acutorostrata*), humpback whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), harbour seal (*Phoca vitulina*) and Steller sea lion (*Eumetopias jubatus*). A DFO IA for humpback whale is located just west of Digby Island.

Table 8-6 lists key marine species with the potential to occur in Chatham Sound, and indicates those that are listed as species of conservation concern by the COSEWIC or SARA.

Table 8-6 Key Marine Species with the Potential to Occur in Chatham Sound

Species Type	Common Name	Scientific Name	COSEWIC Status	SARA Status
Invertebrate	Dungeness crab	<i>Metacarcinus magister</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Invertebrate	Prawn/Shrimp	<i>Pandalidae</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Invertebrate	Tanner crab	<i>Chionoecetes spp.</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Invertebrate	Sea urchin	<i>Strongylocentrotus spp.</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Invertebrate	Geoduck	<i>Panopea abrupta</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Invertebrate	Northern abalone	<i>Haliotis kamtschatkana</i>	Endangered	Schedule 1, Endangered
Invertebrate	Octopus	<i>Octopoda</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Invertebrate	Sea cucumber	<i>Holothuroidea</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Fish	Pacific salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus spp.</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Fish	Halibut	<i>Hippoglosses stenolepis</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Fish	Pacific herring	<i>Clupea pallasii</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Fish	Eulachon	<i>Thaleichthys pacificus</i>	Endangered	No Schedule, No Status
Fish	Pacific sand lance	<i>Ammodytes hexapterus</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Fish	Copper rockfish	<i>Sebastes caurinus</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Fish	Quillback rockfish	<i>Sebastes maliger</i>	Threatened	No Schedule, No Status
Fish	Yelloweye rockfish	<i>Sebastes ruberrimus</i>	Special Concern	Schedule 1, Special Concern
Fish	Canary rockfish	<i>Sebastes pinniger</i>	Threatened	No Schedule, No Status
Fish	Bocaccio	<i>Sebastes paucispinis</i>	Endangered	No Schedule, No Status
Fish	Lingcod	<i>Ophiodon elongates</i>	Not listed	Not listed
Fish	Spiny dogfish	<i>Squalus suckleyi</i>	Special Concern	No Schedule, No Status
Fish	Bluntnose sixgill shark	<i>Hexanchus griseus</i>	Special Concern	Schedule 1, Special Concern
Mammal	Harbour seal	<i>Phoca vitulina richardsi</i>	Not at Risk	Not Listed
Mammal	Steller sea lion	<i>Eumetopias jubatus</i>	Special Concern	Schedule 1, Special Concern
Mammal	Harbour porpoise	<i>Phocoena phocoena</i>	Special Concern	Schedule 1, Special Concern
Mammal	Dall's porpoise	<i>Phocoenoides dalli</i>	Not at Risk	Not Listed
Mammal	Northern Resident Killer whale	<i>Orcinus orca</i>	Threatened	Schedule 1, Threatened
Mammal	Bigg's (Transient) Killer whale	<i>Orcinus orca</i>	Threatened	Schedule 1, Threatened
Mammal	Pacific white-sided dolphin	<i>Lagenorhynchus obliquidens</i>	Not at Risk	Not Listed

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting

January 2015

Species Type	Common Name	Scientific Name	COSEWIC Status	SARA Status
Mammal	Minke whale	<i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata scammonii</i>	Not at Risk	Not Listed
Mammal	Gray whale	<i>Eschrichtius robustus</i>	Special Concern	Schedule 1, Special Concern
Mammal	Humpback whale	<i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i>	Not at Risk	Threatened
Mammal	Sei whale	<i>Balaenoptera borealis</i>	Endangered	Schedule 1, Endangered
Mammal	Fin whale	<i>Balaenoptera physalus</i>	Threatened	Schedule 1, Threatened
Mammal	Blue whale	<i>Balaenoptera musculus</i>	Endangered	Schedule 1, Endangered

Sources: Community Mapping Network 2013; Fisheries and Oceans Canada 2013; Government of Canada 2014; Williams and Thomas 2012.

8.10.8.2 Freshwater Aquatic Resources

Several watercourses are located within or adjacent to the proposed Digby Island site. These include, but are not limited to, Delusion Creek and its tributaries, and eight ocean tributaries on the east and south side of Digby Island.

Anadromous and resident fish species important for commercial, recreational, and/or aboriginal fisheries that could be present within the Digby Island watercourses are listed in Table 8-7. Historical fish capture information is not available for the majority of these watercourses.

Table 8-7 Freshwater Fish with the Potential to Occur in Watercourses within the Digby Island Site

Common Name	Latin Name	Species at Risk	Supports CRA Fisheries
Coho Salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>	N	Y
Pink Salmon	<i>O. gorbuscha</i>	N	Y
Chum Salmon	<i>O. keta</i>	N	Y
Cutthroat Trout	<i>O. clarki</i>	N	Y
Rainbow Trout/Steelhead	<i>O. mykiss</i>	N	Y
Dolly Varden	<i>Salvelinus malma</i>	N (Blue Listed in BC)	Y

8.11 Anticipated Scope of the Project and Environmental Assessment

8.11.1 Scope of Project

The physical works and activities to be performed in relation to the Project for construction, operations and decommissioning are collectively referred to as the Project scope.

The major components of the Project include:

- LNG facility
 - Natural gas inlet station
 - Natural gas treatment equipment
 - LNG production facilities
 - LNG and NGL storage facilities
 - Pipe-rack corridor(s), including cryogenic rundown and vapor return pipelines between LNG production facility and marine jetty
- Marine terminal
 - Marine jetty and LNG loading infrastructure
 - LNG carrier berths
 - Materials offloading facility
 - Flare systems
 - LNG carriers and other support vessels along the marine access route
- Supporting infrastructure and facilities
 - Administration and maintenance buildings, and offices
 - Water supply, storage and pipelines
 - Wastewater collection, treatment, and disposal infrastructure
 - Stormwater management system
 - Firewater systems
 - Waste management (collection, storage, and disposal)
 - Workforce accommodations
 - Storage and laydown areas
 - Heliport
 - Haul road and vehicle traffic
 - Power infrastructure
 - Power generation
 - Medical services

Key construction activities include:

- Pioneer site establishment
- Clearing vegetation
- Blasting and grading

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting
January 2015

- Removing soil and overburden
- Constructing roads within the site and marine berth
- Underwater blasting and/or dredging of marine sediments
- Pile driving
- Transporting equipment and modules to site
- Transporting workforce to and from site
- Installing utilities
- Installing infrastructure related to the facility and terminal
- Pressure testing.

Key operational activities include:

- Receiving and processing inlet gas
- LNG liquefaction
- LNG and refrigerant storage
- Loading carriers
- Carrier marine traffic
- Shipping supplies and equipment to site
- Maintenance activities
- Monitoring
- Waste generation.

Expected concurrent activities that are not within the scope of the Project but would be considered in a cumulative effects assessment include:

- Construction and operation of a third-party gas supply pipeline to deliver feed gas to the Project
- Marine traffic associated with construction of a gas supply pipeline

8.11.2 Scope of Environmental Assessment

Under CEAA 2012, the Project Description must address the potential for the Project to cause changes to:

- Fish and fish habitat
- Aquatic species
- Migratory birds
- Federal and other lands
- Aboriginal peoples

The BC EAO guidelines require the Project Description to address potential environmental, economic, social, heritage, and health effects of the Project.

Based on the existing biophysical and socio-economic environments of the Digby Island area and the requirements of BCEAA and CEAA 2012, it is anticipated that the EA will focus on the following aspects of the environment:

- Biophysical environmental effects (air quality, noise, vegetation, wildlife resources including migratory birds, marine and freshwater resources)
- Social effects
- Health effects
- Economic effects
- Heritage effects
- First Nations or other Aboriginal Group interests
- Cumulative effects
- Transboundary effects
- Federal and other lands

8.12 Potential Project Effects

8.12.1 Natural Environment

8.12.1.1 Air Quality

Key Project activities that could cause a change in air quality include those that involve emissions of criteria air contaminants (CAC). The primary substances of concern include gaseous compounds (SO₂, NO_x, CO), particulate matter (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}), and GHGs. Anticipated sources of air emissions include:

- Construction: land clearing, blasting, grading, hauling, material handling, fuel combustion, power generation
- Operations: vehicle traffic, power generation, fuel combustion, LNG vessels and other marine support vessels, and fugitive emissions from the LNG facility
- Decommissioning: fuel combustion, removal of infrastructure, backfilling and contouring

8.12.1.2 Climate (GHG Management)

The Project will result in the emission of greenhouse gases, including CO₂ and methane, which will contribute to global climate change. GHG emissions of concern will be related primarily to the operational phase, although some emissions are also expected to occur during site preparation (i.e., clearing of vegetation to accommodate the facility footprint) and construction.

8.12.1.3 Acoustic Environment

The Project has the potential to affect the acoustic environment of the surrounding area as a result of noise emissions generated by equipment and vehicles during site preparation and onshore construction activities, and operation of the LNG facility and marine terminal. In-water activities such as construction of the trestle and marine terminal and operation of marine vessels could also generate underwater noise, which could adversely affect the marine acoustic environment. Increased noise levels could result in human health effects (annoyance, sleep disturbance) and/or wildlife effects (displacement and disturbance).

8.12.1.4 Vegetation and Wetland Resources

The Project has the potential to cause changes in vegetation and wetland resources due to a direct loss of these resources from vegetation clearing and surface disturbance, as well as air emissions and dust deposition. Site clearing and preparation will remove vegetation from the footprint and may affect surrounding vegetation indirectly through changes in environmental conditions.

The potential direct and indirect effects on vegetation and wetland resources could include:

- Change in abundance of plant species of interest, including at-risk species, species of cultural significance, and invasive species
- Change in abundance or condition of ecological communities, including those of conservation interest (e.g., old forest and provincially at-risk)
- Change in wetland function (this may be subject to the “no net loss of wetland functions” of the Federal Policy on Wetland Conservation (Government of Canada 1991).

8.12.1.5 Wildlife Resources

Construction and operation of the facility has the potential to affect terrestrial wildlife and marine birds, including migratory birds as defined in the *Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994*. The key potential effects on wildlife resources include:

- Change in habitat: loss or alteration of terrestrial habitat directly due to vegetation clearing during site preparation and indirectly due to sensory disturbance during construction and operation, and atmospheric noise and lighting
- Change in movement: alteration of movement patterns during construction and operation, including displacement of marine birds, due to noise, human activity and vessel activity
- Change in mortality risk: alteration of mortality risk during construction and operation of the facility, including risk of collisions for marine birds.

8.12.1.6 Marine Aquatic Resources

The *Fisheries Act*, the *Species at Risk Act*, and supporting regulations and policies define the marine species that need to be considered during a federal environmental assessment. This includes all fish, fish habitat, and aquatic species as defined in the *Fisheries Act*.

Certain Project-related activities such as construction of the marine terminal infrastructure, dredging and disposal at sea, wastewater discharges, and LNG vessel operations could adversely affect marine fish and fish habitat, including marine mammals and marine plants. The key potential effects on marine resources include:

- Permanent alteration or destruction of marine fish habitat (i.e., marine riparian habitat, intertidal habitat, and/or subtidal habitat, including marine plants) during construction as a result of dredging, pile driving, and disposal of dredgeate
- Injury or mortality of marine fish, invertebrates and/or marine mammals due to underwater noise created by in-water construction activities
- Injury or mortality of marine mammals due to marine vessel strikes
- Change in marine sediment or water quality due to increased turbidity, re-suspension of existing contaminants during in-water construction, and operations (i.e., stormwater/wastewater discharge)
- Behavioral disturbance to marine fish and marine mammals due to underwater noise generated by construction and operation-related activities.

8.12.1.7 Freshwater Resources

The Project has the potential to cause changes in freshwater fish and fish habitat. Key potential effects include:

- Permanent alteration or loss of fish habitat from clearing of riparian forest, and construction of the LNG facility, haul road, marine terminal, material offloading ramp, and temporary construction infrastructure and facilities
- Reduced habitat quality due to changes in surface water quality and sediment quality from sediment releases and introduction of nutrients and metals from blasting (potential effect dependent upon site geology)

8.12.2 Social Environment

8.12.2.1 Infrastructure and Services

The in-migration of workers, their spouses and dependents as well as an increase in the number of transient workers during construction and operation of the Project has the potential to increase local and regional populations and alter demographics. Changes in population and demographics could, in turn, increase demand on community infrastructure and services (e.g., utilities, transportation, education, emergency services, and social and recreational services) and cause a change in housing availability in the City of Prince Rupert, the District of Port Edward, and nearby First Nations communities.

8.12.2.2 Land and Marine Use

The proposed Project site on Digby Island falls within provincial Crown Land. Waters to the south, east and west of Digby Island fall under the jurisdiction of the PRPA.

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting

January 2015

Construction and operation of the LNG facility and marine terminal could affect other tenured and non-tenured land and resources uses, including forestry, trapping, guide outfitting, and recreation activities, currently occurring on or near the proposed Project footprint. Fishing and marine harvesting are important activities in the SQRD, and it is possible that the marine terminal, exclusion zones, and vessel traffic could affect marine navigation in waters used for commercial, recreational, and subsistence fishing and other marine uses.

8.12.3 Economic Environment

The Project will create up to 5,000 short-term jobs during the five-year construction period, and an estimated 400 long-term jobs during Project operations. Substantial indirect investments into the community will also occur in the form of additional goods and services required by the Project itself and employees drawn to the area. Potential adverse effects to the local and regional economies are also expected to occur as a result of competition for limited labour supply and changes in the economic activity of other sectors (i.e., cost of living, availability of goods and services).

8.12.4 Human Health

The Project has the potential to interact with human health through various pathways. Emissions of contaminants from facility construction and operation, and from vessels berthed at the facility could degrade ambient air quality, resulting in inhalation health risks. The primary air contaminants of interest are SO₂, NO₂, CO, respirable particulate matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), and H₂S. Human health could also be affected by emissions to both the terrestrial and marine environments, as well as noise emissions, which could lead to elevated stress and annoyance levels.

The potential presence of historic contaminants in marine sediments and soils may pose a risk to human health if contaminants are re-introduced to the environment during Project construction activities. These contaminants could be taken up by terrestrial or marine biota, and subsequently ingested by humans through consumption of traditional foods.

8.12.5 Heritage Environment

Potential effects on heritage and archaeological resources, if they are present, are likely to occur as a result of site preparation activities during Project construction. In particular, the clearing of vegetation and any excavation works that disturb native sediments have the potential to disturb archaeological and heritage resources. It is anticipated that any adverse effects on heritage resources will be mitigated through data recovery or other standard acceptable approaches to mitigation.

Operational activities could also cause damage to archaeological and heritage sites even if they have been mitigated by data recovery. For example, a large lithic site may be sample excavated and monitored during construction, but individual artifacts could be exposed by vehicle traffic, pipeline monitoring or accessing service sites.

8.12.6 Cumulative Effects and Transboundary Effects

The scope of the environmental assessment will consider the potential for direct and indirect effects of the Project on the biophysical and human environments, as well as potential cumulative effects, and trans-boundary effects. The cumulative effect assessment may include:

- Past projects (e.g., Canpotex, Fairview Terminals 2)
- Current and planned marine terminal infrastructure and industrial facilities in Prince Rupert and Port Edward
- Development of other proposed LNG projects in the area (e.g., Pacific Northwest LNG, Prince Rupert LNG, Woodside, LNG Canada, Kitimat LNG)
- Development of gas pipelines associated with the Project and other proposed LNG projects
- Marine traffic associated with current and reasonably foreseeable projects and activities between Triple Island and the Port of Prince Rupert

8.12.7 Aboriginal Communities

The Project could potentially affect traditional land and marine-based activities of Aboriginal people such as hunting, fishing, gathering, and tree harvesting as a result of changes in land use, restricted access and increased marine traffic. Examples of marine resources traditionally used by Aboriginal people in the area include: seaweed, halibut, salmon, eulachon, shellfish, fish roe, seal, sea lions, and sea otter. Terrestrial resources include: tree bark, planks, berries, bird eggs, deer, elk, bear, and other animals.

Traditional activities of Aboriginal people could also be affected indirectly as a result of potential changes to the biophysical environment, including effects on freshwater and marine fish, fish habitat and aquatic species, vegetation resources and wildlife resources, including marine birds, which could affect harvesting patterns and/or harvesting success.

Aurora LNG anticipates that the Project could also adversely affect Aboriginal people through human health effects, social and cultural effects and effects on heritage resources. The following is a list of potential adverse effects that may be included in the assessment. The list will be refined based on the results of on-going discussions with First Nations.

- Human health effects
- Degradation of air quality as a result of air emissions generated by construction equipment and activities (e.g., blasting) and operation of the LNG facility and marine vessels
- Sensory disturbance due to noise exposure during construction and operation activities
- Social effects
- Sensory disturbances that affect traditional lifestyle values
- Heritage effects
- Damage or destruction of archaeological or heritage sites from site preparation and ground disturbances
- Cultural effects

Project Description

Section 8: Socio-Community and Land Use Setting

January 2015

- Changes in spiritually or culturally important areas
- Changes in traditional use areas

Through ongoing collaboration with the five Nations and support for traditional use studies, Aurora LNG will continue to advance its understanding of the traditional use and practices of the Nations, the cultural values of the communities, and the potential effects of the proposed Project on these activities, and potential mitigation strategies.

8.12.8 Federal Land and Other Lands

Land-based environmental effects are anticipated to be localized to the Project area, which will be located within provincial Crown lands. Marine-based environmental effects are expected to occur within waters controlled by the PRPA. Due to the proximity of the Project site to the US border (50 km), there is potential that trans-boundary air quality effects could occur. However, based on previous experience, air emissions are not expected to affect air quality more than 25 km from the Project site.

The following provides an overview of the key potential environmental effects of the Project that could occur as a result of carrying out the Project in federal lands. As defined in the *CEAA 2012*, federal lands include all waters on and airspace above lands belonging to or controlled by the federal government.

8.12.8.1 Air Quality

Construction and operation of the marine terminal and operation of LNG carriers and support vessels will generate air emissions that have the potential to affect air quality. The primary substances of concern include gaseous compounds (SO₂, NO_x, CO), particulate matter (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}), and GHGs. Anticipated sources of air emissions include:

- Construction: land clearing, blasting, grading, hauling, material handling, fuel combustion, power generation
- Operations: fuel combustion, LNG vessels and other marine support vessels
- Decommissioning: fuel combustion, removal of in-water infrastructure

8.12.8.2 Acoustic Environment

The underwater acoustic environment could be affected by construction of the marine terminal, installation of piles, blasting, dredging of the berth pockets and MOF, disposal of dredgeate, and marine vessels delivering equipment and materials to site. During operation, LNG carriers and support vessels will be the primary source of underwater noise from the Project.

8.12.8.3 Navigable Waters

Construction of the trestle and marine terminal and operation of marine vessels could affect interfere with navigation in nearshore waters. Lighting at the terminal may also affect nighttime navigational aids.

8.12.8.4 Marine Resources

Construction and operation of the marine terminal, and operation of marine vessels could affect fish and fish habitat, as well as marine birds. The primary pathways of potential effects include:

- Permanent alteration or destruction of marine fish habitat (i.e., marine riparian habitat, intertidal habitat, and/or subtidal habitat) as a result of dredging, blasting, piling, and disposal of dredgeate
- Injury or mortality of marine fish, invertebrates and/or marine mammals due to underwater noise generated by in-water construction activities
- Injury or mortality of marine mammals during in-water construction and operation of vessels (i.e., vessel strikes)
- Change in marine sediment or water quality (e.g., increased turbidity, resuspension of existing contaminants) during in-water construction, and operations (i.e., stormwater / wastewater discharge)
- Behavioral disturbance to marine fish and marine mammals due to underwater noise generated by construction and operation-related activities
- Change in mortality risk of marine birds due to collisions with infrastructure
- Displacement of marine birds due to vessel activity

9 ABORIGINAL, STAKEHOLDER AND GOVERNMENT ENGAGEMENT

9.1 Aboriginal Engagement

9.1.1 Aboriginal Consultation Objectives

Aurora LNG is committed to establishing and developing constructive relationships with Aboriginal Groups potentially affected by the Project through on-going communications, and the sharing of information in an open, cooperative, and respectful manner. Aurora LNG's main objectives regarding Aboriginal consultation are the following:

- Support and encourage meaningful participation by Aboriginal Groups in the EA process, including the provision of appropriate proponent-funded capacity programs. This includes working with the Aboriginal Groups to identify their preferred means of consultation.
- Identifying opportunities for Aboriginal Groups to help design and participate in preliminary and environmental field studies, including the selection of Valued Components.
- Understand how Aboriginal Groups have traditionally exercised, and are currently exercising, their Aboriginal Interests in the area around the Project. This includes funding and/or supporting traditional use and traditional knowledge studies to understand how the Project has the potential to adversely affect the exercise of Aboriginal Interests – asserted rights, including title, or such determined Aboriginal or treaty rights. Establish and maintain positive long-term relationships with Aboriginal Group potentially affected by the Project.
- Identify the effects to Aboriginal Interests based on the information provided in Steps 2 and 3.
- Developing potential mitigation measures to avoid or reduce potential adverse effects on Aboriginal Interests.
- Implementing a consultation tracking system to support the documentation of all consultation activities, concerns, and issues and to support preparation of consultation records. This includes documenting how consultation information has been used in the EA and Project decision-making and planning activities.
- Engaging with Aboriginal Groups to identify potential opportunities for sharing benefits (e.g. community investment, business development, capacity building, employment, and training).
- Providing ongoing opportunities to share Project information and updates with Aboriginal Groups. This includes collaborating with Aboriginal Groups on matters of mutual interest (e.g., marine safety, marine and land use, and air quality)

The scope, nature and depth of consultation with each Aboriginal Group will be influenced by the scope of Aboriginal Interests identified by them and the extent to which their respective Aboriginal Interests are potentially adversely affected by the Project.

9.1.2 First Nations Communities

The Project footprint at the Digby Island site overlaps with the asserted traditional territory of the Lax Kw'alaams Band, Metlakatla First Nation, Kitsumkalum First Nation and Gitxaala Nation. The proposed shipping route overlaps with marine interests of these four Nations, as well as Kitselas First Nation.

Contact information of First Nations communities identified for engagement is provided in Table 9-1.

Table 9-1 Contact Information for First Nations with Potential Interests in the Project

First Nation	Contact information
Gitxaala Nation	Location: Kitkatla, BC Website: www.gitxaalanation.com Mailing Address: PO Box 149 Kitkatla, BC V0V 1C0 Phone: 250-848-2215 Fax: 250-848-2238
Kitselas First Nation	Name: Kitselas Administration Location: Terrace, BC Website: www.kitselas.com Address: 2225 Gitaus Rd Terrace, BC V8G 0A9 Phone: 250-635-5084 Fax: 250-635-5335
Kitsumkalum First Nation	Name: Kitsumkalum Treaty Location: Terrace Website: www.kitsumkalum.com Address: P BOX 544 Terrace BC V8G 4B5 Phone: 250-635-1718 Fax: 250-635-6196
Lax Kw'alaams Band	Address: 206 Shashaak Street, Lax Kw'alaams, BC V0V 1H0 Phone: 250-625-3293 Website: www.laxkwalaams.ca Fax: 250-625-3246
Metlakatla First Nation	Location: Prince Rupert Website: www.metlakatla.ca Address: PO Box 224, Prince Rupert, V8J 3P6 Phone: 250-628-3315 Fax: 250-628-9259

Project Description

Section 9: Aboriginal, Stakeholder and Government Engagement
January 2015

9.1.3 Meetings to Date

Aurora LNG began engagement with the five Tsimshian First Nations in January 2013. The purpose of these meetings was to initiate information sharing and relationship building. Engagement activities have focused mainly on one-on-one meetings with leadership and technicians. As well, advanced notifications have been provided to First Nation representatives when Aurora LNG intended to conduct initial non-invasive investigative activities. During the early engagement phase, the Aurora LNG participants included senior leadership, environmental technicians, an engagement advisor and a negotiator.

Table 9-2 summarizes the number of one-on-one meetings that have been held between Aurora LNG and each of the five First Nations. In addition to meetings, Aurora LNG representatives have also had telephone and email exchanges with representatives of the First Nations' regarding various aspects of the proposed Project, including discussions regarding the preparation of initial permit applications.

The Aurora LNG site evaluation team has also engaged with the First Nations as it began developing the work plan for investigative activities. Such meetings are not reflected in Table 9-2.

Prior to directly engaging with the broader community, Aurora LNG will take direction and seek the support of each First Nation's respective leadership. Given the very early stages of the proposed Project and initial phases of determining site viability, Aurora LNG has not yet formally held community meetings with any of the First Nations.

Prior to directly engaging with the broader community, Aurora LNG will take direction and seek the support of each First Nation's respective leadership. Given the very early stages of the proposed Project and initial phases of determining site viability, Aurora LNG has not yet formally held community meetings with any of the First Nations.

Table 9-2 Summary of Aboriginal Engagement January 1, 2013 to May 15, 2014

First Nation	No. of Meetings
Lax Kw'alaams	6
Metlakatla	12
Gitxaala	4
Kitselas	6
Kitsumkalum	6
Coastal First Nations	4

9.1.4 Key Issues Identified by First Nations to Date

Table 9-3 provides a high-level overview of comments and concerns expressed by First Nations through engagement efforts to date.

Table 9-3 Concerns and Interests Identified by First Nations

Area of Interest and/or Concern	Concern and/or Interest Identified
Emissions	Potential effects on air quality Increased greenhouse gases Potential effects of dust, noise and light emissions
Freshwater Resources	Potential effects on freshwater quality, fisheries habitat and aquatic species Concern over demands for freshwater during construction and operations
Marine Resources	Potential effects on marine habitat and species fisheries values Potential effects on marine fisheries Protection of marine harvesting areas for traditional use Potential effects on aquaculture
Shipping	Increased measures to prevent accidents, manage shipping traffic, emergency response, spill response and establish higher ship standards Potential effects on commercial aquaculture and fisheries Potential effects on anchorage sites Marine pollution due to waste water and bilge water disposal Restricted access zones
Land	Potential effects on vegetation and wildlife Potential effects on viewscales
Archaeological Resources	Potential effects on archaeological resources and historic sites
Governance/Rights and Title	Historic lack of recognition by government and proponents of First Nation aboriginal rights and title Potential effect on exercise of traditional and current uses, food supply and aboriginal rights and title Increased role for First Nations in stewardship of lands and resources, management of spill response etc.
Benefits	Historic lack of acceptable benefits Fair share of long-term benefits and profits Preferred access to business opportunities along the value chain Full access to training, education and employment opportunities Need for green energy to offset greenhouse gas emissions
Cumulative Impacts	Effective mitigation and compensation strategies required to offset the potential cumulative effects of a high number of proposed industrial development projects in Prince Rupert area
Historic Remediation Issues	Past industrial sites have not been properly cleaned up (e.g., Watson Island) Past problems in clean up after marine accidents (e.g., Queen of the North)
EA Process /Project Reviews	Concern about rigor of historical environmental process generally and specifically in assessing effects of projects on Aboriginal rights and interests Historical inadequacy of assessments of regional and cumulative effects Historical inadequacy in funding for project reviews Concern for adequate traditional use studies and baseline studies Concern for adequate assessment of socio-cultural effects
Health	Potential effects on First Nation communities health and well-being
Access	Need for improved access for coastal communities – road access where feasible, improved infrastructure

Project Description

Section 9: Aboriginal, Stakeholder and Government Engagement
January 2015

9.1.5 Ongoing Aboriginal Engagement and Consultation

Through ongoing engagement and consultation with each First Nation, Aurora LNG's goals are to enhance the leadership's and community's understandings of the proposed Project, and to identify and advance our understanding of the interests and views of the leadership and community members regarding potential effects of the development on their communities and culture. These exchanges will continue to inform proposed Project planning, and provide the basis for developing mitigation strategies to minimize potential effects on Aboriginal interests. Specifically, the objectives of Aurora LNG's engagement and consultation program include the following:

- Develop an agreed upon engagement schedule to share information with the First Nation leadership and communities regarding the proposed Project
- Conduct a range of engagement activities to facilitate the inclusion of all interested and affected Aboriginal peoples
- Ensure adequate capacity funding for participation in consultation activities
- Engage in negotiations to develop agreements to deliver community benefits
- Fund and /or support Traditional Use Studies to support the Project environmental assessment and to identify measures to mitigate adverse effects on Aboriginal interests, including areas or resources of cultural or ecological importance, Project design and reduce the likelihood of disturbing culturally and ecologically significant areas
- Collaborate to identify opportunities for Nations' participation in environmental studies.
- Collaborate to determine areas of particular interest, and organize technical meetings and/or workshops to advance the mutual understanding of these issues (e.g., marine safety, marine and land use, air quality)
- Identify opportunities to assist in building the knowledge, capacity and skills necessary to engage more fully in the direct and indirect benefits of Project development.

9.1.6 Ongoing Engagement and Consultation Methods – June 2014 onwards

Aurora LNG will continue to work with the First Nation leadership and community representatives to identify the most appropriate methods of engagement (e.g., meetings, open houses) and communication materials (e.g., displays, handouts) to support engagement activities, and ensure that First Nation communities have an opportunity to offer their input and feedback on the proposed Project. Aurora LNG will use various communication tools to foster understanding across a range of ages and literacies, such as poster boards, maps, flow charts, presentations, hand-outs and open discussions. Notices for community meetings will be distributed through various media well in advance to maximize community participation.

Ongoing exchanges at the Project conceptual stage are intended to help establish and build trust with the leadership and community members by being open and transparent. Aurora LNG will continue to track and document engagement activities and build a list of the First Nations' concerns and specific issues. Aurora LNG will promptly respond to requests by First Nation community members regarding Project activities, prepare briefings as necessary, and will ensure that information is presented in a manner that is understandable to the community members. Through this process, Aurora LNG will also work with the

Nations to ensure issues requiring follow-up are identified, actioned, and tracked to ensure a timely response. To facilitate effective communication during field work and ongoing consultation, Aurora LNG will work with First Nation representatives to develop protocols to ensure the timely exchange of information.

Engagement and consultation methods may include the following:

- One-on-one meetings with Chief and Council, Band Economic Development Officers, Land and Resource Officers, and other Band-based office representatives
- Formal meetings with community groups such as Elder and youth committees
- Workshops
- Open Houses in communities
- Issue-specific information sessions
- Technical meetings
- Tours and site visits
- School presentations
- Career fairs and trade shows
- Advertisements in community newsletters and local radio stations

In addition to scheduled engagement activities, Aurora LNG project members will participate, whenever possible, in community events and identify opportunities to support First Nations community celebrations and cultural events.

9.2 Government Engagement

Over the last two years, Aurora LNG has met with various municipal, provincial, and federal agencies. These include the following:

Municipal and Regional Government

- City of Prince Rupert
- Skeena Queen Charlotte Regional District

Provincial Government

- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations (FLNRO)
- BC Ministry of Aboriginal Relations and Reconciliation (MARR)
- BC Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure (MOTI)
- BC Ministry of Environment (MOE)
- BC Ministry of Jobs, Tourism and Innovation (MJTI)
- BC Environmental Assessment Office (EAO)
- BC Oil and Gas Commission (OGC)

Project Description

Section 9: Aboriginal, Stakeholder and Government Engagement
January 2015

Federal Government

- Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (CEAA)
- Environment Canada (EC)
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO)
- Natural Resources Canada (NRCan)
- Transport Canada
- Prince Rupert Port Authority

During these meetings, Aurora LNG introduced the joint venture and the Aurora LNG Project to the agencies, and began preliminary discussions regarding their concerns and potential social, economic, environmental and regulatory issues regarding the Project.

Ongoing consultation with government is planned to continue throughout the planning, construction and operations of the Project.

9.3 Stakeholder and Public Engagement

Engagement with stakeholders and the public is a key aspect of the Project. As with First Nations communities, solid relationships develop through building trust and a shared understanding of issues. The stakeholder and public engagement and consultation program is intended to allow the public and stakeholders to have input throughout the development of the Project, as well as before, during and after the EA process.

The program focuses on timely sharing of information about the Project and will provide opportunities for the public to identify their interests and concerns. Information gained through the stakeholder and public engagement and consultation program will also assist in informing key issues related to the Project, integration of local knowledge into Project planning, and development of mitigation strategies.

Aurora LNG will ensure engagement and consultation processes are inclusive and provide stakeholders and the public with multiple opportunities to provide input. The goals of the stakeholder engagement and consultation program are to:

- Introduce Aurora LNG and the proposed Project at the conceptual stage
- Facilitate stakeholders' and communities' understanding of the potential effects of the Project
- Establish dialogue to understand the views and perceptions of stakeholders and communities, and provide opportunities to provide input that will be considered, along with financial and technical information, as part of the Project planning and regulatory process
- Identify how Aurora LNG can work with stakeholders and communities to address issues (e.g., social and environmental effects, business development, training, etc.) and develop possible mitigation strategies

Engagement activities involve a broad range of stakeholders in the Prince Rupert, Port Edward and surrounding areas who could be affected or have an interest in the Project. The main stakeholder groups include:

-
- Residents, individuals, and landowners
 - Municipal, provincial and national governments representatives, and regulatory bodies
 - Elected officials
 - Non-governmental organizations (NGOs), environmental NGOs (ENGOs), and civil society organizations (e.g., Chamber of Commerce)
 - Educational institutions (e.g., public, secondary, post-secondary, vocational)
 - Emergency and medical services
 - Media (e.g., local and regional radio stations, newspapers)
 - Industry partners and peers
 - Other business and industry sectors (e.g., recreational and commercial fishing, forestry, tourism, outfitting, guiding, hospitality)
 - Contractors and suppliers

The following are suggested indicators for the successful delivery of Aurora LNG's stakeholder and public engagement and consultation program:

- Confirming individuals and organizations to be engaged and consulted
- Developing communication plans to ensure timely sharing of Project information with the public, stakeholders and local communities
- Ensuring information sessions and communication plans are tailored to meet the needs of the event participants
- Organizing activities that engage diverse populations and ensuring all participants' voices and concerns are heard
- Employing a variety of communication tools and media (e.g., handouts, display panels, fact sheets, advertisements, web-based discussion forums, and PowerPoint presentations) to address diverse audiences in accessible language

9.3.1 Stakeholder and Public Engagement and Consultation Methods

As part of the consultation and engagement program, Aurora LNG will provide a variety of methods for stakeholders to learn about the Project, engage with the Project team and provide input. These include:

- Stakeholder meetings
- Community information meetings
- One-on-one meetings
- Consultation open houses
- Online and hard copy feedback forms
- Workshops and issue-specific information sessions and technical meetings
- Tours and site visits

Project Description

Section 9: Aboriginal, Stakeholder and Government Engagement
January 2015

- School presentations
- Career fairs, trade shows and conferences
- Community office
- Website
- Inquiry response program

In addition to scheduled engagement activities, the Aurora LNG engagement team will also participate, whenever possible, in community events.

Aurora LNG has a stakeholder tracking database to document engagement activities and communications with the public, stakeholders and communities, log issues and concerns, track commitments and monitor follow-up.

9.3.1.1 Notification

To ensure that the public and stakeholders are aware of opportunities to participate in consultation various types of notification will be used, including:

- Invitation and reminder emails to be sent to stakeholders
- Reminder phone calls
- Newspaper advertisements
- Online advertisements
- Radio advertisements
- Household mailers

10 REFERENCES

- Ahmed & Jackson. (2013) British Columbia Ministry of Environment. Inventory of Streamflow in the Skeena Region. October 2013
- Banner, A., MacKenzie, W., Haeussler, S., Thomson, S., Pojar, J., & Trowbridge, R. (1993). A field guide to site identification and interpretation for the Prince Rupert Forest Region. Ministry of Forests, Research Program. – within 9.12.6 Vegetation Resources
- BC Conservation Data Centre. (2014). Conservation Data Centre. Retrieved February 28, 2014, from http://webmaps.gov.bc.ca/imfx/imf.jsp?site=imapbc&savessn=Ministry%20of%20Environment/Conservation_Data_Centre.ssn
- BC Ministry of Environment. (2010). Order - Wildlife Habitat Areas. Marbled Murrelet - North Coast Forest District.
- BC Ministry of Forests. (1991). Special Report Series 6 Ecosystems of British Columbia.
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations. (2004). North Coast Land and Resource Management Plan.
- British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations (BC MFLNRO). 2005. *Repetitive Shore Type – Line, Shorezone (Theme). Coastal Resource Information Mapping System (CRIMS)*. Available at: http://webmaps.gov.bc.ca/imf5/imf.jsp?site=dss_coastal. Accessed: June 11, 2014
- BC Ministry of Forests, Lands, and Natural Resource Operations. (2014). Central and North Coast Ecosystem Based Management Implementation. Retrieved February 28, 2014, from http://ilmbwww.gov.bc.ca/slrp/lrmp/nanaimo/central_north_coast/index.html
- Birdlife International; Bird Studies Canada, and Nature Canada. (2012). Important Bird Areas in Canada. Retrieved October 15, 2013, from <http://www.ibacanada.ca>
- City of Prince Rupert. (2007). Schedule A of the Quality of Life - Official Community Plan Bylaw 3236. Bylaw, Prince Rupert.
- Clague, J. J. (1984). Quaternary Geology and Geomorphology, Smithers-Terrace-Prince Rupert Area, British Columbia. Ottawa: Minister of Supply and Services Canada 1984.
- Community Mapping Network. (2013, December). Eelgrass Bed Mapping Atlas. Retrieved March 13, 2014, from http://www.cmnbc.ca/atlas_gallery/eelgrass-bed-mapping
- Demarchi, D. A. (2011). The British Columbia Ecoregion Classification. 3rd ed. Ecosystem Information Section, BC Ministry of Environment. Victoria, BC. Available at: <http://www.env.gov.bc.ca/ecology/ecoregions/index.html>. Accessed May 8, 2014.
- Department of Fisheries and Oceans. (2012). DFO Mapster. Retrieved December 2012, from http://webmaps.gov.bc.ca/imfx/imf.jsp?session=599991957592&sessionName=ConservationData_Centre&theme-path+Minister+of+Environment?2FConservation_Data_Centre.ssnFisheries

Project Description

Table of Contents

Section 10: References

January 2015

- and Oceans Canada. (2012 2013). DFO Mapster, version 3.1. Accessed April, 2014 from http://webmaps.gov.bc.ca/imfx/imf.jsp?session=599991957592&sessionName=Conservation Data Centre&theme-path+Minister+of+Environment?2FConservation_Data_Centre.ssn
- Dome Petroleum. (1981). Volume Three: Environmental setting and assessment for a liquefied natural gas terminal Grassy Point, Port Simpson Bay, Northern BC. Federal Environmental Assessment.
- Ernst & Young. (2012). Global LNG: New pricing ahead?. <http://www.ey.com/GL/en/Industries/Oil---Gas/Global-LNG--New-pricing-ahead---LNG-demand-growth>
- Geological Survey of Canada. (1983). Surficial Geology Skeena River-Bulkley River Area Map 1557A, Sheet 1, scale 1:100 000.
- Government of British Columbia. (2000). *Protected Areas of British Columbia Act*. SBC 2000 Chapter 17. Schedule E. Victoria: Queens Printer.
- Government of Canada. (1991). The Federal Policy on Wetland Conservation.
- Government of Canada 2014. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Accessed April, 2014. http://www.cosewic.gc.ca/eng/sct5/index_e.cfm Government of Canada; North Coast Skeena First Nations Stewardship Society; Coastal First Nations Great Bear Initiative. (2014). Pacific North Coast Integrated Management Area Initiative. Retrieved February 28, 2014, from www.pncima.org
- HabitatWizard. (2013) - within 9.12.8.2 Freshwater Aquatic Resources 9-67
- Hutchinson W, W, (1982) Geology Prince Rupert – Skeena Map Area, British Columbia Map 1472A, scale 1:250,000. Memoir 394, Geological Survey of Canada.
- International Joint Commission. (1991). Retrieved March 6, 2014, from Agreement between the Government of Canada and the Government of the United States of America on Air Quality: www.ijc.org/en/Air_Quality_Agreement
- Lax Kw'alaams First Nations; Metlakatla First Nation; BC Parks. (2011). Zumtela Bay Conservancy Management Plan.
- Meidinger, D. V., & Pojar, J. (1991). Ecosystems of British Columbia. Special Report Series No. 6. BC Ministry of Forests. Victoria, BC.
- Ministry of the Environment. (2013). BC Air Data Archive Website. <http://envistaweb.env.gov.bc.ca/>. Accessed on December 2013.
- PNCIMA. (2011). Atlas of the Pacific North Coast Integrated Management Area Initiative. Pacific North Coast Integrated Management Area Initiative.
- Prince Rupert Port Authority. (2010). 2020 Land Use Management Plan. Management Plan, Prince Rupert.
- Williams, R., & Thomas, L. (2007). Distribution and abundance of marine mammals in the coastal waters of British Columbia, Canada. *Journal of Cetacean Resource Management*, 15-28.