

Plate 1: MARINE DIATOMS FROM THE AZORES

Source of Material

South East coast of Faial, Caldeira Inferno. 38° 31' N; 28° 38' W.

An open crater of small volcano, shallow and sandy. Gathered from *Pinna* (molluscs) and stones. Date: June 1st, 1981.

Sample received from Hans van den Heuvel, Leiden on March 17th, 1988.

NOTE:

Material also deposited in Rijksherbarium Leiden, the Netherlands. Aliquot sample and slide also in collection Sterrenburg, Nr. 249

Plate One:

- 1 *Mastogloia amoena* Brun 1895. See Brun (1895, pl. 16, fig. 53). This specimen could not be distinguished from the very similar *M. peragalli* because the partectal ring was not visible.
- 2 *Mastogloia amoena* var. *turgida* Brun 1895. See Brun (1895, pl. 16, fig. 50). This specimen could not be distinguished from the very similar *M. peragalli* because the partectal ring was not visible.
- 3 *Mastogloia splendida* (Gregory) Peragallo 1888. See Stephens and Gibson (1979, p. 508, figs. 42, 44).
- 4 *Mastogloia fimbriata* (Brightwell) Cleve 1895. See Foged (1975, pl. 14, figs. 1-2). Two different focus settings.
- 5 *Mastogloia fimbriata* (Brightwell) Cleve 1895. See Stephens and Gibson (1979, p. 505, figs. 27-28). Two different focus settings.
- 6 *Mastogloia pseudolatecostata* Yohn and Gibson 1982. See Witkowski, Lange-Bertalot, and Metzeltin (2000, pl. 77, figs. 5-7). Two different focus settings.
- 7 *Mastogloia binotata* (Grunow) Cleve 1895. See Foged (1975, pl. 12, figs. 6-7). Two different focus settings.
- 8 *Cocconeopsis* sp. indet.
- 9 *Mastogloia binotata* (Grunow) Cleve 1895. See Stephens and Gibson (1979, p. 501, figs. 2-4).
- 10 *Mastogloia fimbriata* (Brightwell) Cleve 1895. See Stephens and Gibson (1979, p. 505, figs. 27-28).
- 11 *Mastogloia ovulum* Hustedt 1933 (partectal ring absent). See Hustedt (1933, p. 474, fig. 892).

- 12-15 *Rhaphoneis ampiceros* (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg 1844. See Hendeby (1964, pl. 26, figs. 1-4).
- 16 *Rhaphoneis ampiceros* (Ehrenberg) Ehrenberg 1844. A highly variable species, but the specimen shown here is a good match for Ross (1981, pl. 1, fig. 2) since it lacks the lateral expansion of the hyaline axial area near the apices, which is characteristic of *Delphineas surirella*.
- 17-18 *Rhaphoneis nitida* (Gregory) Grunow 1867. See Peragallo and Peragallo (1897-1908, pl. 83, fig. 31) and Andrews (1975, p. 217, pl. 3, figs. 52-53). This also looks very similar to a rapheless valve of *Cocconeis disculoides* (see fig. 37 on this plate) and differentiation would require SEM.
- 19 *Cocconeis britannica* Naegeli in Kützing 1849. See Witkowski, Lange-Bertalot, and Metzeltin (2000, pl. 39, fig. 21).
- 20 *Cocconeis pseudomarginata* Gregory 1857. See Hendeby (1964, pl. 28, fig. 20).
- 21 *Cocconeis pseudomarginata* Gregory 1857. Same specimen as fig. 20 but different focus setting.
- 22 *Delphineis surirella* (Ehrenberg) Grunow in Cleve and Möller 1878. This specimen is an exact match of Hendeby (1964, pl. 26, fig. 13), given as *Rhaphoneis surirella*. It also appears to match Witkowski, Lange-Bertalot, and Metzeltin (2000, pl. 22, fig. 10), which is identified as *Delphineis surirelloides*. Perhaps LM is insufficient in this group of taxa.
- 23 *Delphineis minutissima* (?) (Hustedt) Simonsen 1987. See Hustedt in Simonsen (1987, pl. 374, fig. 13). Absence of pseudoraphe appears to eliminate small *Cocconeis* rapheless valve.
- 24 *Rhaphoneis ampiceros* form (?). Similar specimen in Foged (1975, pl. 9, fig. 2).
- 25 *Cocconeis britannica* Naegeli in Kützing 1849. See Witkowski, Lange-Bertalot, and Metzeltin (2000, pl. 39, fig. 21).
- 26 *Cocconeis britannica* Naegeli ex Kützing 1849. See Hustedt (1933, p. 333, fig. 786).
- 27 *Cocconeis scutellum* Ehrenberg 1838. This specimen is a good match for Schmidt's Atlas (1874-1959, pl. 190, fig. 17), given as "Typus".
- 28 *Cocconeis ornata* Gregory 1857. See Hustedt (1933, p. 339, fig. 793).
- 29 *Achnanthes lacus-vulcani* Lange-Bertalot and Krammer 1989. See Hustedt in Simonsen (1987, pl. 595, figs 4-6), given as *C. thienenammii*. *C. thienenammii* was transferred to *Achnanthes* and given the new name of *Achnanthes lacus-vulcani*, due to the name *A. thienenammii* being preoccupied (Lange-Bertalot and Krammer 1989).
- 30 *Cocconeis capensis* (Cholnoky) Witkowski in Witkowski, Lange-Bertalot and

- Metzeltin 2000. See Cholnoky (1963, p. 42, pl. 1, fig. 7), given as *Cocconeis clandestina* var. *capensis*.
- 31 *Cocconeis placentula* Ehrenberg 1838. See Hustedt (1933, p. 347, fig. 802b) and Patrick and Reimer (1966, p. 284, pl. 15, fig. 7).
 - 32 *Cocconeis britannica* Naegeli in Kützing 1849. See Van Heurck (1880-1885, pl. 30, fig. 1) and Hustedt (1933, fig. 786).
 - 33 *Cocconeis placentula* Ehrenberg 1838. See Foged (1987, pl. 8, fig. 4).
 - 34 *Cocconeis californica* var. (?). See Riaux-Gobin and Compère (1996, p. 95, figs. 10-18). Possible variation of *C. californica*. The reference shows this species to be extremely variable with regards valve ornamentation.
 - 35 *Cocconeis californica* Grunow in Van Heurck 1880. See Riaux-Gobin and Compère (1996, p. 95, figs. 10-18)
 - 36 *Cocconeis peltoides* Hustedt 1939. See Hustedt in Simonsen (1987, pl. 376, figs. 3-4, 9-10).
 - 37 *Amphicocconeis discoloides* (Hustedt) De Stefano and Marino 2002. See Hendeý (1964, pl. 28, fig. 21), given as *Cocconeis discoloides*. See also De Stefano and Marino (2002).
 - 38 *Amphicocconeis discoloides* (?) (Hustedt) De Stefano and Marino 2002. See Hendeý (1964, pl. 28, fig. 21), given as *Cocconeis discoloides*. See also De Stefano and Marino (2002).

Magnifications: fig. 1: x2000; fig. 2: x1500; all others: x1000

Scale Bar: scale bar is 30 microns for figures at x1000; 20 microns for fig. 2; 15 microns for fig. 1

