SEP 2 4 2018

ON BEHALF OF AVISTA CORPORATION

Boise, Idaho

DAVID J. MEYER

VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL FOR REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

P.O. BOX 3727

1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE

SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727

TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316

FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851

DAVID.MEYER@AVISTACORP.COM

ON BEHALF OF HYDRO ONE LIMITED

ELIZABETH THOMAS, PARTNER

KARI VANDER STOEP, PARTNER

K&L GATES LLP

925 FOURTH AVENUE, SUITE 2900

SEATTLE, WA 981014-1158

TELEPHONE: (206) 623-7580

FACSIMILE: (206) 370-6190

LIZ.THOMAS@KLGATES.COM

KARI. VANDERSTOEP@KLGATES. COM

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT		
APPLICATION OF HYDRO ONE LIMITED	CASE NO. A	AVU-E-17-09
(ACTING THROUGH ITS INDIRECT	CASE NO. A	AVU-G-17-05
SUBSIDIARY, OLYMPUS EQUITY LLC)		
AND		
AVISTA CORPORATION	SUPPLEMENT	AL TESTIMONY
FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING PROPOSED)F
TRANSACTION	SCOTT I	. MORRIS

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS)

I. INTRODUCTION

- Q. Will you please state your name, business address,
- 3 and position with Avista Corporation?
- A. My name is Scott L. Morris and I am employed as
- 5 the Chief Executive Officer of Avista Corporation
- 6 ("Avista"), at 1411 East Mission Avenue, Spokane,
- 7 Washington. I also serve as the Chairman of the Board of
- 8 Avista.

1

- 9 Q. Are you the same Scott L. Morris who sponsored
- 10 pre-filed direct testimony on behalf of Avista Corporation
- 11 (Avista)?
- 12 A. Yes, I sponsored Direct Testimony and Exhibit 1,
- 13 Schedules 1 through 3.
- 14 Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this
- 15 supplemental testimony?
- 16 A. Yes, I am sponsoring Exhibit 11, Schedule 1, which
- 17 is a map that shows foreign ownership of American utilities.
- 18 It is provided for no other purpose than to show the extent
- 19 of foreign ownership of utilities in this country, and that
- 20 such a phenomenon is not unique to this transaction (the
- 21 "Proposed Transaction"). I am also sponsoring Exhibit 11,
- 22 Schedule 2, which is notice to Hydro One of Avista's
- 23 extension of the September 30, 2018 deadline for closing
- 24 this transaction set forth in the merger agreement to March

Morris, Supp. 1
Avista Corporation

29, 2019. 1 A table of contents for my testimony is as follows: 2 3 I. INTRODUCTION 1 5 IV. FOREIGN OWNERSHIP OF UNITED STATES UTILITIES 23 6 7 Please summarize your Supplemental Testimony. 8 Q. The intent of my Supplemental Testimony is to reaffirm Avista's commitment to the Proposed Transaction 10 following the July 11, 2018 agreement entered into by Hydro 11 12 One and the Province of Ontario for the purpose of the 13 orderly replacement of the Board of Directors of Hydro One

as well as the retirement of Mayo Schmidt as the chief

executive officer. As I will discuss in this Supplemental

Testimony, specific merger protections, by way of agreed-

collectively "Stipulated Commitments") contained within the

filed Settlement Stipulation ("Stipulation"), protect Avista

from political interference or influence by the Province of

Ontario, preserve Avista's self-governance, and protect

safeguards included as part of this Proposed Transaction

were designed to withstand the test of time and changes in

Hydro One management, and I continue to believe that all of

(each,

Avista and our customers from harm.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commitments

a "Stipulated Commitment",

- 1 the reasons previously stated in support of the merger remain
- 2 equally true today, and that approval is in the public
- 3 interest.
- 4 Q. Do you still believe that this merger is in the
- 5 best interest of Customers?
- A. Yes, I strongly believe so. This merger was never
- 7 about who sits in the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") chair
- 8 at Hydro One or who is on its Board of Directors. That will
- 9 change from time to time as each company refreshes its
- 10 management team. The structural safeguards, in the form of
- 11 multiple commitments negotiated with the parties, are meant
- 12 to withstand the test of time and changes in management at
- 13 both Hydro One and Avista, as well as changes in government.
- 14 The underlying rationale for this merger remains the same:
- 15 The need to preserve and enhance Avista's ability to provide
- 16 cost-effective and reliable service to its customers, in a
- 17 rapidly evolving industry, by partnering with an
- 18 organization that shares Avista's values all with Avista's
- 19 autonomy intact.
- 20 Q. Therefore, knowing what you now know, would you
- 21 still recommend that this merger take place?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Has Hydro One, for its part, reaffirmed its
- 24 commitment to this merger?

- 1 A. Yes, it has. As Hydro One witness Mr. Woods
- 2 testifies, on September 19, 2018, the new Hydro One Board
- 3 affirmed Hydro One's obligations under the Merger Agreement
- 4 and with respect to the merger-related commitments to be
- 5 performed by Hydro One and/or its subsidiaries if the
- 6 Proposed Transaction is consummated pursuant to the Merger
- 7 Agreement; and (ii) Hydro One's intention to consummate the
- 8 Merger; in each case in accordance with the terms of, and
- 9 subject to the conditions set out in, the Merger Agreement
- 10 and the merger-related commitments.
- 11 Q. To that end, has Avista extended the deadline for
- 12 closing this transaction beyond the September 30, 2018
- deadline set forth in the merger agreement?
- 14 A. Yes, it has. Section 7.1 (b)(i) of the merger
- 15 agreement (Appendix 2 to Joint Application) allows either
- 16 party to extend the deadline for up to an additional six (6)
- 17 months, if necessary to satisfy all of the conditions for
- 18 closing. On September 19, 2018, Avista provided notice to
- 19 Hydro One, extending the deadline to March 29, 2019, see
- 20 Exhibit No. 11, Schedule 2.
- 21 Q. Do Avista and Hydro One still want to receive all
- 22 regulatory approvals and close this transaction by year-end?
- 23 A. Yes. By mid-December, we will have a final order
- 24 in Washington, as is required by statute, and we have a

- 1 schedule in Oregon that allows for a decision in December.
- Other than Idaho, those are the only two remaining regulatory
- 3 jurisdictions that need to act on this merger.
- 4 The parties in Idaho have agreed upon a schedule that
- 5 may allow for an Order in Idaho that would allow for a
- 6 closing by year-end, if this Commission so chooses. A year-
- 7 end closing would allow for better accounting and reporting,
- 8 than would a later closing reflecting a partial year in the
- 9 first quarter of 2019. Mr. Thies, in his supplemental
- 10 testimony, also speaks to this.
- 11 Q. What else has Avista done to facilitate approval
- 12 in this jurisdiction?
- 13 A. Recognizing that any prolonged merger approval
- 14 process presents a dynamic situation, Avista has since
- 15 entered into an agreement with the Idaho Department of Water
- 16 Resources ("IDWR"), an intervenor in this proceeding, that
- 17 addresses the treatment of Avista's water rights in Idaho in
- 18 a manner acceptable to the IDWR. Mr. Bruce Howard, an Avista
- 19 witness, will testify to the terms of that agreement and
- 20 will sponsor it as Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 1. As a result,
- 21 the IDWR has concluded that the public interest, as it
- 22 relates to Avista's water use, will not be adversely affected
- 23 by the merger, under Idaho Code \$61-328 and \$42-1701(6).
- 24 (See letter of IDWR to Commission, dated August 10, 2018, a

- 1 copy of which is included as Exhibit No. 14, Schedule 1.)
- 2 This is indicative of Avista's willingness to work with all
- 3 affected parties.

Q. What other issues has Avista addressed?

- 5 A. Avista has considered the application of Idaho
- 6 Code §61-327 to this transaction, as it relates to the
- 7 transfer of properties to any public agency that is organized
- 8 or exists under the laws of any other state. Avista witness
- 9 Mr. Kevin C. Sprague ("Collins"), Senior Director of
- 10 Government Relations, will present testimony explaining the
- 11 legislative history of this provision, its purpose, and why
- 12 it doesn't apply in this case.
- In short, the legislative history makes it abundantly
- 14 clear that the purpose of the statute, passed in 1951, was
- 15 to prevent Public Utility Districts in Washington from
- 16 acquiring the properties of the Washington Water Power
- 17 Company located in Idaho and nothing else. Hydro One is an
- 18 investor-owned utility and not a municipal utility, so even
- 19 if the legislation somehow reached Canadian entities it
- 20 would not apply to Hydro One.
- 21 Q. Have Avista and Hydro One responded to other
- 22 issues?
- 23 A. Yes. Avista and Hydro One have explained recent
- 24 events in Ontario and how there are sufficient protections

- 1 in place to protect Idaho customers. Additional discovery
- 2 was made of the companies, and through those responses, and
- 3 by means of supplemental testimony, the Companies have
- 4 addressed those issues head on. Again, this demonstrates
- 5 our willingness to listen and respond to concerns raised by
- 6 the parties. At the end of the day, I have to be able to
- 7 look each Commissioner in the eye and say that this merger
- 8 is the right thing to do. I can do that.
- 9 Q. What additional commitments are you willing to
- 10 offer, that don't already appear in the Settlement
- 11 Stipulation?
- 12 A. Avista and Hydro One are proposing to amend the
- 13 existing Delegation of Authority to add protection of the
- 14 Avista Board if the Province takes some action in the future
- 15 to control a majority of the Hydro One board. If that
- 16 happens, Hydro One will lose its ability to replace any of
- 17 its three Independent Director designees on the Avista board
- 18 with a Hydro One executive or employee. This essentially
- 19 provides "downstream" protection for Avista and its
- 20 customers. Avista and Hydro One propose to amend the
- 21 Delegation of Authority (Appendix 5 of Joint Application) as
- 22 follows:
- 23 Shareholder shall have the unfettered right to
- 24 designate, remove and replace the Shareholder
- 25 Designees as directors of the Surviving

Corporation with or without cause or notice at its sole discretion, subject to the requirement that (i) two (2) of such directors are executives of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries and (ii) three (3) of such directors are Independent Directors who are residents of the Pacific Northwest Region, while such requirement is in effect (subject in the case of clause (ii) hereof to Shareholder determining, in good faith, that it is not able to appoint an Independent Director who is a resident of the Pacific Northwest Region in a timely manner, in which case Shareholder may replace any such director with an employee of Parent or any of its Subsidiaries on an interim basis, not exceeding six months, after which time Shareholder shall replace such interim director with Independent Director who is a resident of the Pacific Northwest Region; provided, however, that this exception to clause (ii) hereof shall not apply if, at any time a circumstance arises, and during the pendency of any such circumstance, whereby the Province of Ontario ("Ontario") exercises its rights as a shareholder of Parent, uses legislative authority or acts in any other manner whatsoever, that results, or would result, in Ontario appointing nominees to the board of directors of Parent that constitute, or would constitute a majority of the directors of such board).

29

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

1617

18

19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

30 Q. What is the purpose of the new text in the

31 Delegation of Authority?

- A. This proposed amendment to the Delegation of
 Authority is designed to protect the independence of the
 Avista board in the event that the Province takes some action
- 35 in the future to control a majority of the Hydro One

- 1 Board. If that event occurs, this amendment is triggered
- 2 and blocks Hydro One's limited right to replace any of its
- 3 three Independent Director designees on the Avista board
- 4 with a Hydro One executive or employee.
- 5 Q. Notwithstanding the above discussion, are Avista
- 6 and Hydro One offering an additional commitment based on the
- 7 recent changes in management at Hydro One?
- 8 A. Yes. As discussed in the "Comments of Avista and
- 9 Hydro One as Joint Applicants in Reference to Management
- 10 Changes at Hydro One" filed on July 18, 2018, Hydro One and
- 11 Avista provided the following new commitment:
- 12 "Avista Employee Compensation: Any decisions
- 13 regarding Avista employee compensation shall be
- made by the Avista Board consistent with the terms
- of the Merger Agreement between Hydro One and
- 16 Avista, and current market standards and
- prevailing practices of relevant U.S. electric and
- gas utility benchmarks. The determination of the
- 19 level of any compensation (including equity
- awards) approved by the Avista Board with respect
- 21 to any employee in accordance with the foregoing
- shall not be subject to change by Hydro One or the
- 23 Hydro One Board."
- 24 This new commitment provides further protection to
- 25 Avista's employees, such that it is only the Avista Board,
- 26 and not the Hydro One Board nor the Province of Ontario,
- 27 which will determine how Avista will be able to continue to
- 28 recruit and retain the most highly qualified employee talent
- 29 base for our customers.

- Q. Are there still other new commitments the
- 2 Companies are willing to make?
- 3 A. Yes. We would modify Commitment No. 2 to assure
- 4 that the Avista Board would have the sole ability to hire,
- 5 dismiss or replace the Chief Executive. Accordingly,
- 6 Commitment No. 2 is modified to read as follows:

Executive Management:

Avista will seek to retain all current executive of Avista, subject to voluntary management retirements that may occur. This commitment will not limit Avista's ability to determine its organizational structure and select and retain personnel best able to meet Avista's needs over time. The Avista board retains the ability to dismiss executive management of Avista and other Avista personnel for standard corporate reasons (subject to the approval of Hydro One Limited ("Hydro One") for any hiring, dismissal or replacement of the CEO); [Any decision to hire, dismiss or replace the Chief Executive Officer of Avista shall be within the discretion of the Avista Board of Directors, and shall not require any approval of Hydro One or any of its affiliates (other than Avista), notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the merger agreement, and its exhibits and attachments, between Hydro One and Avista.]

28

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 30 Q. Given recent events in the Province of Ontario
- 31 surrounding the change in leadership at Hydro One, how
- 32 protected are Avista's Idaho customers?
- 33 A. Very protected. If I weren't confident of this,
- 34 I wouldn't recommend to my Board to continue with this

- 1 transaction. Our customers, community and employees will
- 2 continue to see benefits over time and that rationale has
- 3 not changed. More to the point, those same customers are
- 4 also protected from any risk of Provincial involvement in
- 5 the affairs of Avista.
- It makes sense to carefully distinguish between risks
- 7 (political or otherwise) involving Hydro One's affairs and
- 8 operations in Canada and risks impacting Avista in its
- 9 service territories.
- 10 My emphasis is on the potential risks of any Provincial
- 11 interference, directly or indirectly, in the affairs of
- 12 Avista. At the end of the day, it may not matter what happens
- 13 in Ontario, if we have done our job right (and I think we
- 14 have) in constructing commitments and safeguards, with the
- 15 help of all parties, that protect customers and the
- 16 communities we serve.
- 17 Q. How have the Parties achieved such protections and
- 18 structural safeguards?
- 19 A. The building blocks of these protections assure
- 20 that this Commission remains front and center in enforcing
- 21 the merger commitments. For ease of reference, these consist
- 22 of commitments that assure that:

1 1) Authority is reserved to the Commission with the
2 respect to interpretation and enforcement of all
3 commitments:

Commitment No. 1 Authority Reserved: Consistent with and subject to the terms of Exhibits A and B Merger Agreement (referred the "Delegation of Authority") contained in Appendix of the Joint Application, decision-making authority over commitments 2-15 below is reserved to the Board of Directors of Avista Corporation ("Avista") and not to Hydro One. Any change to the policies stated in commitments 2-15 requires a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the Avista Board, provided that Avista must obtain approval for such changes from all regulatory bodies jurisdiction over the Commitments before such changes can go into effect, and provide written notice to all parties to Case No. AVU-E-17-09/AVU-G-17-05 of such request for approval:

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

<u>Commitment No. 20 State Regulatory Authority and</u> Jurisdiction:

Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, as applicable and as appropriate, will comply with all applicable laws, including those pertaining to transfers of property, affiliated interests, and securities and the assumption of obligations and liabilities. As required by and consistent with applicable venue for resolution laws, proceedings related to these matters will be at appropriate state utility commission(s). Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, will make their employees and officers available testify before the Commission Commission's request to provide information relevant to the matters within its jurisdiction.

36 37

38

2) Avista and Hydro One will comply with all orders

39 of the Commission:

1 Commitment No. 21 Compliance with Existing 2 Commission Orders: Hydro One and its subsidiaries, 3 including Avista, acknowledge that all existing orders issued by the Commission with respect to 4 5 Avista or its predecessor, Washington Water Power 6 Co., will remain in effect, and are not modified 7 or otherwise affected by the Proposed Transaction. 8 9 Hydro One and its subsidiaries, including Avista, 10 as applicable and as appropriate, will comply with all applicable future Commission orders that 11 12 remain in force. 13 14 3) Commission retains full authority to 15 commitments, including compelling witnesses from 16 Hydro One to appear: 17 Commitment No. 30 Commission Enforcement Commitments: Hydro One and its subsidiaries, 18 19 including Avista, understand that the Commission 20 has authority to enforce these commitments in 21 accordance with their terms. Ιf there is 22 violation of the terms of these commitments, then 23 the offending party may, at the discretion of the 24 Commission, have a period of thirty (30) calendar 25 days to cure such violation. 26 27 scope of commitment includes this the 28 authority of the Commission to compel 29 attendance of witnesses from Olympus Holding Corp. and its affiliates, including Hydro One, with 30 31 pertinent information on matters affecting Avista. 32 Hydro One and Olympus Holding Corp. and its 33 subsidiaries waive their rights to interpose any 34 legal objection they might otherwise have to the 35 Commission's jurisdiction to require 36 appearance of any such witnesses. 37 38 With these protections in place, we needn't speculate 39 about all possible means of Provincial interference in the 40 affairs of Avista, because, at the end of the day, this 41 Commission (and the Commissions in all other affected

- 1 states) will have the final say and they will exercise all
- 2 powers to regulate in the public interest.
- 3 And it is not just Avista and the other parties who
- 4 want this Hydro One, I believe, wants this as well. It
- 5 wants Avista to have the freedom it needs to effectively
- 6 manage its utility without outside interference, because
- 7 only in that way will it derive value from a well-run company
- 8 that will benefit its shareholders.
- 9 Q. Are these the only commitments that safeguard
- 10 Avista customers?
- 11 A. No. As I will discuss later in my testimony, there
- 12 are multiple commitments that assure that Avista will
- 13 continue to operate without outside interference, e.g.,
- 14 maintenance of corporate headquarters, existing management
- 15 team and employees, a majority of independent directors on
- 16 Avista's board. These are found in the express "delegation
- 17 of authority" to Avista, and are embedded in the first
- 18 fifteen commitments set forth in the Settlement Stipulation.
- The purpose of highlighting the earlier commitments was
- 20 to underscore the authority of this Commission to enforce
- 21 (or modify if need be) the commitments that preserve its
- 22 jurisdictions and regulate all matters in the public
- 23 interest.

Mr. Jamie Scarlett, of Hydro One, will further discuss 1 the potential adoption of certain commitments from the OPUC 2 3 Settlement Stipulation: 4 5 4. Executive Management 5. Avista Board of Directors (BOD) 6 7 8 9 10 72. North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) 11 12 13 78. Venue for and Resolution of Disputes 14 15 16 17 And for his part, Mr. Lopez, of Hydro One, will discuss the potential adoption of these commitments from the OPUC 18 Settlement Stipulation: 19 20 21 Foreign Exchange and Hedging on Dividends Payments 22 and Allocations 23 43. Cost of Capital 24 54. Avista Cash Flows 25 26 27 II. REASONS FOR THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION 28 29 Are the reasons for the Proposed Transaction still 30 as compelling now as they were when you agreed to the merger with Hydro One? 31 Absolutely. In my pre-filed direct testimony 32 Α. (Morris Testimony, pp. 12-17), I explained that the merger 33 with Hydro One will allow Avista and its customers to benefit 34 Morris, Supp. 15

Avista Corporation

- 1 from being part of a larger organization (the benefits of
- 2 scale), while at the same time preserving local control of
- 3 Avista and the retention of Avista's employees and
- 4 management team, as well as its culture and way of doing
- 5 business. That is unchanged as a result of the management
- 6 changes at Hydro One.
- With regard to scale, Avista's perspective was that the
- 8 number of investor-owned electric and/or natural gas
- 9 utilities in North America has decreased significantly over
- 10 the years through consolidation. When comparing the size of
- 11 investor-owned utilities from largest to smallest, Avista is
- 12 one of the smallest investor-owned utilities remaining in
- 13 North America. A bar chart indicative of the investor-owned
- 14 utilities in North America, from largest to smallest, is
- 15 attached to my direct testimony in Exhibit No. 11, Schedule
- 16 1. The merger of Avista and Hydro One will place the
- 17 combined company toward the middle of the range of investor-
- 18 owned utilities, in terms of size. Avista's view is that,
- 19 through consolidation, larger utilities have the opportunity
- 20 to spread costs, especially the costs of new technology,
- 21 over a broader customer base and a broader set of
- 22 infrastructure to the benefit of customers.
- 23 Hydro One has more than 1.3 million electric
- 24 distribution customers, and Avista has approximately 378,000

- 1 electric customers and approximately 342,000 natural gas
- 2 customers (approximately 102,000 of which reside in Oregon
- 3 as of the end of 2017). This combination will provide
- 4 opportunities for efficiencies in the long-term through the
- 5 sharing of best practices, technology and innovation.
- 6 Avista's view was that the merger will provide benefits to
- 7 Avista's customers that otherwise would not occur. That view
- 8 is unaffected by the management changes at Hydro One.
- 9 As previously noted, many of these benefits of scale
- 10 will not occur in the near-term following the closing of the
- 11 Proposed Transaction, but are expected to occur over the
- 12 long-term. After all approvals are received and the
- 13 companies merge, both companies have stated that they will
- 14 work together to identify, evaluate and execute on
- 15 opportunities to reduce costs for both companies through,
- 16 among other things, the sharing of technology, best
- 17 practices, and business processes. To the extent that such
- 18 savings materialize, the benefits from these cost savings
- 19 will be reflected in subsequent rate proceedings.
- 20 In the end, Avista's choice to merge with Hydro One
- 21 will allow Avista and its customers to benefit from being a
- 22 part of a larger organization, while at the same time
- 23 preserving local control of Avista as well as its culture
- 24 and its way of doing business. Agreements to preserve

- 1 Avista, essentially as it is today, for the long-term are
- 2 memorialized in the Merger Agreement. The Proposed
- 3 Transaction also provides benefits to Avista's customers,
- 4 employees, shareholders, and the communities Avista serves;
- 5 including immediate financial benefits to Avista's
- 6 customers. Those have been exhaustively discussed in prior
- 7 testimony supporting the Stipulation.

8

9

III. MERGER PROTECTIONS

- 10 Q. Many of the Stipulated Commitments offered in the
- 11 Stipulated Commitments were designed to preserve Avista
- 12 self-governance and demonstrate the separation between
- 13 Avista and Hydro One. Please describe these governance
- 14 Stipulated Commitments, and how they are affected by events
- 15 in Ontario.
- 16 A. Certainly. First, Avista will have a nine-member
- 17 board separate from Hydro One that will govern Avista's
- 18 management and operations. Stipulated Commitment No. 3
- 19 ensures that Avista's post-merger Board of Directors and
- 20 existing executive leadership will manage Avista not Hydro
- 21 One's Board.
- I believe that the unique construct of the Board, which
- 23 consists of four Avista designees (at least two of whom are
- 24 Independent Directors and five Hydro One designees (at least

- 1 three of whom are Independent Directors residing in the
- 2 Pacific Northwest), will have enough independence and
- 3 separation from influence by Hydro One (and the Province of
- 4 Ontario).
- 5 Q. Certain of these Stipulated Commitments were
- 6 developed to ensure that Avista cannot be subjected to
- 7 political interference or influence by the Province. Do you
- 8 believe these Stipulated Commitments are adequately designed
- 9 to prevent the Province or any other party from exercising
- inappropriate control over Avista?
- 11 A. Yes. I believe the structure of Avista's board
- 12 would protect it from inappropriate influence by the
- 13 Province. Although the Province is permitted under the
- 14 Governance Agreement to nominate 40% of Hydro One's Board
- 15 members, those Board members must be independent of the
- 16 Province and, other than the CEO, Hydro One. Hydro One's
- 17 management and Board, and not the Province, will be
- 18 responsible for selecting five of Avista's nine Board
- 19 members (three of whom must be independent and reside in the
- 20 Pacific Northwest).
- 21 Q. Do you believe there are adequate financial and
- 22 bankruptcy ring fencing Stipulated Commitments to protect
- 23 all Parties and customers?

- 1 A. Yes. The Stipulated Commitments appended to the
- 2 Stipulation contain a substantial number of financial and
- 3 bankruptcy/ring-fencing protections that will protect the
- 4 financial health of Avista. Neither Hydro One, nor the
- 5 Province, can deprive Avista of its capital and assets.
- 6 There are Stipulated Commitments that (i) Avista will
- 7 continue to have its own credit ratings, (ii) assure that
- 8 Hydro One will provide equity capital injections to support
- 9 Avista's capital structure and allow Avista to access debt
- 10 financing under reasonable terms and on a sustainable basis,
- 11 and (iii) provide restrictions on dividends and
- 12 distributions that help preserve Avista's financial
- 13 integrity. Hydro One and Avista also committed to issue a
- 14 single share of preferred stock referred to as the Golden
- 15 Share to an independent third party to address any bankruptcy
- 16 concerns. All of these Stipulated Commitments are further
- 17 discussed in Mr. Thies' Supplemental Testimony.
- 18 Q. Are there other specific Stipulated Commitments
- 19 that serve to protect against outside control of the Province
- 20 of Ontario?
- 21 A. Yes. There are several Stipulated Commitments
- 22 that assure all the existing pieces that make Avista "Avista"
- 23 will remain in place. More specifically, the following
- 24 Stipulated Commitments highlight this. Stipulated

- 1 Commitment No. 2 speaks to Avista's executive management, as
- 2 discussed earlier. Stipulated Commitment No. 9 speaks to
- 3 maintaining Avista's headquarters location:
- 4 Avista will, and Hydro One agrees Avista will,
- 5 maintain (a) its headquarters in Spokane,
- 6 Washington; (b) Avista's office locations in each
- of its other service territories, and (c) no less
- 8 of a significant presence in the immediate location
- 9 of each of such office locations than what Avista
- and its subsidiaries maintained immediately prior
- 11 to completion of the Proposed Transaction.
- 12 Stipulated Commitment No. 10 addresses local staffing:
- Avista will maintain Avista Utilities' staffing
- and presence in the communities in which Avista
- operates at levels sufficient to maintain the
- 16 provision of safe and reliable service and cost-
- 17 effective operations and consistent with pre-
- 18 acquisition levels.
- 19 And Stipulated Commitment No. 39 protects pension and post
- 20 retirement expenses and assets:
- 21 Avista will maintain its pension funding policy in
- 22 accordance with sound actuarial practice. Hydro
- One will not seek to change Avista's pension
- 24 funding policy.
- I believe this preservation of Avista's headquarters,
- 26 its culture and its way of doing business, among other
- 27 things, are important commitments to our employees and
- 28 Avista's customers, as they continue to expect and
- 29 experience reliable service and a high level of customer
- 30 satisfaction. This demonstrates our commitment to best
- 31 serve the public interest, given our rich heritage of serving

- 1 customers with safe, reliable, and cost-effective service.
- Q. Do you believe the Stipulated Commitments filed in
- 3 the Stipulation ensure that Avista could not be negatively
- 4 impacted in any way, and that these Stipulated Commitments
- 5 preserve Avista self-governance and local control for the
- 6 purpose of maintaining safe and reliable service to Avista's
- 7 utility customers?
- 8 A. Yes. In my view the Stipulated Commitments
- 9 offered in the Stipulation were negotiated and designed to
- 10 provide separate governance and financial ring-fencing
- 11 between Avista and Hydro One, and to preserve Avista's
- 12 headquarters in Spokane, along with retention of existing
- 13 management and employees. All of the protections described
- 14 above in addition to all of the other Stipulated Commitments
- 15 included in the Stipulation ensure that Avista will continue
- 16 as a financially sound, stand-alone utility and will bind
- 17 Hydro One, regardless of political developments and change
- in management. In short, all of these Stipulated Commitments
- 19 were designed by the Parties to "stand the test of time."
- 20 Furthermore, Avista and Hydro One have committed that
- 21 none of the Stipulated Commitments can be amended without
- 22 approval from Avista's state regulators (see Stipulated
- 23 Commitment No. 30, "Commission Enforcement of Commitments"),
- 24 which assures that the Commission will continue to regulate

- 1 Avista as it always has, to ensure that Avista's customers
- 2 are protected and continue to only pay fair, just, and
- 3 reasonable rates.

4

5 IV. FOREIGN OWNERSHIP OF UNITED STATES UTILITIES

- 6 Q. There have been general concerns among a small
- 7 group of customers in certain portions of Avista's service
- 8 territory about a foreign company purchasing an American
- 9 utility. Do you share these concerns?
- 10 A. No, I do not. First, all of the protections
- 11 discussed briefly above dictate how Avista will, or will not
- 12 be, affected by Hydro One's ownership. Second, this is not
- 13 the first transaction in the United States where a foreign
- 14 entity purchased all or a portion of an American utility.
- 15 Approximately 30 States have utilities that are owned by
- 16 foreign entities, including many that are owned by Canadian
- 17 entities (utilities, pension funds, etc.). Exhibit No. 11,
- 18 Schedule 1 provides a map showing where there is foreign
- 19 ownership of American utilities. In addition, we are unaware
- 20 of any issues resulting from foreign ownership. It is also
- 21 important to remember that the Proposed Transaction has also
- 22 been cleared by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the
- 23 United States (CFIUS). In the end, I believe the purchase
- 24 of Avista by Hydro One should not be seen as something new,

- 1 novel or scary such transactions are actually quite common,
- 2 and if designed well, have and will continue to provide
- 3 benefits for American utility customers. And, as Hydro One
- 4 and Avista witness Mr. Reed notes in his testimony (Reed
- 5 Supp Testimony), this Proposed Transaction has been designed
- 6 with "state-of-the-art" protections.

7 Q. Do you have any concluding comments?

- 8 A. Yes. As I stated earlier, I understand that the
- 9 recent events in Ontario were unexpected. However, when the
- 10 smoke clears and one looks at this Proposed Transaction
- 11 through the lens of the Stipulated Commitments, nothing
- 12 fundamental has changed. The reason for the Proposed
- 13 Transaction still holds Hydro One will in essence be the
- 14 primary shareholder of Avista, but the Avista Board, along
- 15 with Avista management under the oversight of this
- 16 Commission, will continue to operate a well-run utility for
- 17 the benefit of our customers. The Parties carefully crafted
- 18 protections and commitments to withstand the test of time,
- 19 and the inevitable changes in management. I fully support
- 20 the Proposed Transaction, believe it is in the public
- 21 interest (especially given the rate credits, low-income
- 22 funding, and community support), and request the Commission
- 23 approve the Proposed Transaction.

24 Q. Any conclusions?

- 1 A. By way of summary, and as noted by Avista's and
- 2 Hydro One's witness John Reed, the parties have arrived at
- 3 a set of robust commitments that are "state of the art",
- 4 based on his review of other mergers. We have been
- 5 responsive to all concerns and are eager to get on with the
- 6 business of partnering with Hydro One to best service the
- 7 need of our customers.
- Q. Does this conclude your Supplemental Testimony?
- 9 A. Yes.