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#### Abstract

Sea anemones are a well-known group of marine animals belonging to Actiniaria, one of the largest orders of the class Anthozoa of the phylum Cnidaria with approximately 1100 species, in 264 genera within 50 families. Although there have been many species described, the taxonomy and phylogeny of sea anemones are both still in need of research because there are few morphological taxonomic characters known from their soft flexible bodies. Additionally, there is still no reliable molecular marker for phylogenetic analyses due to the slow evolutionary rates of both the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes. These numerous problems have hampered the taxonomic and phylogenetic research of sea anemones.

The first comprehensive classification system of the order Actiniaria was proposed by Oskar Carlgren in 1949. This system had been used until recently, but the latest comprehensive molecular phylogenetic analyses in 2014 suggested this classification did not reflect the actual phylogeny. Subsequently, the classification system of sea anemones was thoroughly revised, and Actiniaria was classified into two suborders, Enthemonae and Anenthemonae. The suborder Anenthemonae consisted of two superfamilies, Actinernoidea and Edwardsioidea, and the latter superfamily was composed of only one family, Edwardsiidae. Species of Edwardsiidae have particular worm-like bodies and burrow into substrates. Anatomically, Edwardsiidae are strongly characterized by having only eight perfect mesenteries in the first mesenterial cycle even as adults, whereas almost all other actiniarians have twelve perfect mesenteries in the first mesenterial cycle.

Though the family Edwardsiidae is one of the most diverse taxa in the order Actiniaria and includes approximately 85 species of 11 genera, the knowledge of the fauna of this family in Japan is still poor: only ten species of four genera have been recorded from Japan. However, no comprehensive taxonomic survey has been conducted, and hence the number of edwardsiid species and genera present in Japan could be greater than that recorded. Moreover, Edwardsiidae is also considered by taxonomic researchers to be an important group for evaluating the evolution of Anenthemonae and Actiniaria as they are considered to retain ancestral characters of sea anemones. They have one of the simplest body plans in Actiniaria: worm-like bodies, fewer numbers of tentacles, and absence of several muscles and basal discs.


Especially, the peculiar arrangement of only eight perfect mesenteries (macrocnemes) in the first mesenterial cycle has been traditionally regarded as a trace of an ancestral character among actiniarians because the development of all actiniarians involves a stage with a similar mesenterial arrangement to this family. This traditional hypothesis has been challenged recently by some taxonomists advocating that the simplified mesenterial arrangement of Edwardsiidae may be derived in the secondary adaptation to the infaunal life. However, no reliable study has been conducted to determine whether the species of Edwardsiidae retain the ancestral features of the order Actiniaria or have derived them from the secondary adaptation, because all preceding studies have examined only a few species of Anenthemonae.

In this study, I conducted comprehensive and wide sampling from Japanese waters and collected over 250 specimens of Anenthemonae. I identified 47 species, including 33 previously undescribed ones, in 15 genera. Six genera of Edwardsiidae were newly recorded in Japan.

Based on phylogenetic analyses using sequences of mitochondrial 12 S and 16S rDNA, cytochrome oxidase III (COXIII) DNA, nuclear 18S, 5.8S, and 28 S rDNA and internal transcribed spacers (ITS) 1and 2 DNA, I drastically revised the classification for Anenthemonae: Anenthemonae was rearranged to be monophyletic by excluding some species of the genus Metedwardsia (of Edwardsiidae), instead including Halcampella maxima and some similar species (of Halcampidae). In the suborder Anenthemonae, I classified the species into three monophyletic superfamilies, Actinernoidea, Edwardsioidea, and Halcampelloidea, the third superfamily of this suborder. In Actinernoidea, two families Actinernidae and Halcuriidae turned out to be para- and polyphyletic respectively, and thus I rearranged the inner classification of this superfamily by establishing the family Isactinernidae, into which Isactinernus and Synhalcurias were placed, and a new genus Isohalcurias to solve the paraphyly of Halcuriidae. In the superfamily Edwardsioidea, which included only one family Edwardsiidae, the genera Edwardsia, Edwardsianthus, Paraedwardsia, Scolanthus, and Isoscolanthus were included in a large monophyletic clade. Following the phylogeny, I synonymized the five genera into Edwardsia as species in these genera all had nemathybomes, the most characteristic features on the surfaces of edwardsiids. In addition, the genus Tempuractis was established in the superfamily Edwardsioidea. In the superfamily Halcampelloidea,

Halcampella maxima and some similar species previously classified in the family Halcampidae were placed. However, the family Halcampidae was within Enthemonae; thus, I established a new family Halcampellidae for the species belonging to the new clade of Anenthemonae and two new genera Hexactis and Pseudoedwardsia in this family.

According to this study, there are now 11 species and six genera in three families of Actinernoidea, 36 species and five genera in family Edwardsioidea, and four species of three genera in family Halcampelloidea. In conclusion, my study revealed the diversity of Japanese Anenthemonae as 51 species, 14 genera, and five families in three superfamilies.

Several evolutionary trends of Anenthemonae were estimated from the constructed phylogenetic trees in the present study. Actiniarian taxa consisting of simplified worm-shaped species have evolved several times from the general columnar-shaped ancestral taxa in Actiniaria. The simple morphology of Edwardsiidae is estimated to have gradually evolved in the lineage of Anenthemonae in two steps: first, the body was transformed to the worm-like shape in the common ancestor of Edwardsioidea and Halcampelloidea, and later the basal disc and four of eight macrocnemes degenerated in the lineage of Edwardsiidae. Thus, my study indicated that the worm-like simple body of Edwardsiidae was derived by an adaptation, and thus settled the dispute concerning the morphology of edwardsiids that had continued for a century. In addition, this study showed that nemathybomes were acquired monophyletically in the lineage of the genus Edwardsia. The protective function of nemathybomes was suggested by this study; hence, they would be advantageous to the anemones of Edwardsia. The species of this genus would become more adaptive for the burrowing lifestyle by nemathybomes, and thus might have remarkably diverged and consequently occupied approximately $87 \%$ of species number in Edwardsiidae and even $70 \%$ in Anenthemonae.

## Introduction

## Taxonomic history of the order Actiniaria

Sea anemones are a well-known marine animal group distributed broadly in the world oceans. They belong to the order Actiniaria Hertwig, 1882 of the class Anthozoa of the phylum Cnidaria. Actiniaria currently accommodates approximately 1100 valid species from 264 genera of 50 families (Fautin, 2016).

All sea anemones are free-living individual polyps, although other anthozoans often form colonies of zooids. They are classified into diploblastic animals, and their bodies are composed of only soft tissues in three layersectoderm, endoderm, and mesoglea. The mesoglea consists of gelatinous substitution and works as muscles or gonads although it is not a layer of cells like the mesoderm of triploblastic animals (Yanagi, 2019). Thus, their bodies are flexible and can be deformed by habitat effects (Titus et al., 2019). Sea anemones have only a few reliable taxonomic characters in the bodies (Fig. 1; see below in detail). Therefore, researchers have been puzzled by their taxonomy and have always struggled to correctly classify them by morphology (Yanagi, 2017). Taxonomists have mainly used two characters for the classification of sea anemones.

1. Mesenterial arrangement. Mesenteries are one of the most prominent structures in the bodies of sea anemones (Fig. 1B, C). They develop in the direction of the distal-proximal axis and separate the actiniarian coelenteron into partitions. Mesenteries are one of the most important tissues of sea anemones and play a role in supporting the actinopharynx and in the elongation and contraction of the body, digestion, and reproduction (Carlgren, 1949; Shick, 1991). The mesenteries are distinguished into two types: macrocnemes, which are usually distinct and develop several structures such as retractor muscles, gonads, and filaments (Fig. 1C); and microcnemes, which are small and without these structures (Shick, 1991; Yanagi, 2017).

The mesenteries usually form pairs with one another and develop in a particular cyclic pattern (Fig. 2): first, eight macrocnemes are formed (Fig. 2A) and later another four macrocnemes develop (Fig. 2B). These 12 macrocnemes (mesenteries indicated as d, ld, lv, and vin Fig. 2B) are called "mesenteries in the first cycle." Subsequently, mesenteries of the
second cycle develop between the mesenteries in the first cycle (Fig. 2C, D), and younger mesenteries continue to develop. These arrangements of mesenteries differ depending on species and genera and are thus considered as the important taxonomic characters of sea anemones. In general, the thicker and larger is the column of sea anemones, the more are the macrocnemes (Carlgren, 1949).
2. The shape of the aboral end. Most sea anemones have basal discs in their aboral ends (Figs. 1A, 3A, B). Basal discs are unique structure of actiniarians in the order Anthozoa. Actiniarians can adhere to hard substrates and creep on the surface owing to the movement of the basal discs. Sea anemones are the only free-living animals among anthozoans with basal discs (Uchida and Soyama, 2001). However, several species do not have basal discs (instead, the aboral end of the species become a rounded physa; Fig. 3C, D). Thus, researchers have considered that the basal disc is a derived character obtained during the lineage of sea anemones (Carlgren, 1949).

In addition to these difficulties in taxonomic classification, sea anemones also have a serious problem in molecular phylogeny: still no reliable molecular marker that critically reflects their evolution exists because of the slow evolutionary rates of the mitochondrial and nuclear genomes of cnidarians, and thus useful molecular phylogeny methods are not yet available (Daly et al., 2010). These problems in taxonomy and phylogeny have hampered the research on sea anemones, and thus almost all taxa in the order Actiniaria can be said as problematic.

Although the taxonomy of sea anemones has been investigated since the 18th century (e.g., Actinia equine Linnaeus, 1766), only in the middle of the 20th century that the first comprehensive classification system of the order Actiniaria was established by Oskar Carlgren. Carlgren (1949) revised the classification for all species that had been recorded (the left side of Table 1). In this classification of Actiniaria, he regarded the two prominent characters mentioned above as the most important for classifying the higher rank of taxonomy: he classified all species of Actiniaria into three suborders by the mesenterial arrangements and tribes under the suborder on the basis of the presence basal discs (Carlgren, 1949).

This classification system has been used for over 60 years (e.g., Uchida, 1992; Sebens, 1998; Häussermann, 2009). However, recent studies of
molecular phylogenetic analyses suggested that this classification did not reflect the phylogeny (Daly et al., 2008, Rodríguez and Daly, 2010; Rodríguez et al., 2012). In 2014, the group of Estefania Rodríguez conducted the most comprehensive molecular analysis of Actiniaria by including all higher taxa in the classification system of Carlgren (Rodríguez et al., 2014). This study concluded that the classification system of Carlgren did not accurately reflect the phylogeny, and hence thoroughly revised the classification system of the order Actiniaria. Rodríguez et al. (2014) rearranged the higher taxa of Actiniaria into several superfamilies and suborders (the right side of Table 1).

The two suborders suggested by Rodríguez et al. (2014), on the basis of molecular phylogeny, were supported mainly by mesenterial arrangements. First, Actiniaria was classified into two suborders, Enthemonae Rodríguez and Daly, 2014 and Anenthemonae Rodríguez and Daly, 2014. The suborder Enthemonae consisted of almost all ( $94 \%$; Fautin, 2016) actiniarian families, to which species with the general mesenterial arrangement (Fig. 2D) belonged. Conversely, the suborder Anenthemonae included only three families in two superfamilies, Edwardsioidea Andres, 1881 and Actinernoidea Stephenson, 1922. They were characterized by "unique" mesenterial arrangements (Fig. 2A, C; see below for the detailed characteristics of each suborder), and the species of these superfamilies were minor in comparison to the species of Enthemonae: fewer than 100 nominal species were accommodated (Tables 2, 3).

The classification system of Rodríguez et al. (2014) is currently used in the taxonomy of sea anemones (e.g., Brandão et al., 2019). However, this classification system also has some taxonomic problems. The taxon sampling in Rodríguez et al. (2014) was still insufficient: their phylogenetic analysis lacked several families (indicated by stars in Table 1) as well as many genera. In particular, for suborder Anenthemonae, Rodríguez et al. (2014) and preceding phylogenetic studies (Daly et al., 2008, Rodríguez and Daly, 2010; Rodríguez et al., 2012) examined only a few taxa. Thus, phylogenetic studies on Anenthemonae are not sufficient yet. Hence, phylogenetic analyses with comprehensive taxon sampling in each lower taxa are required to reveal the exact phylogeny of Anenthemonae.

## Classification and evolution of the suborder Anenthemonae

In this study, I mainly focused on the suborder Anenthemonae and
two superfamilies Actinernoidea and Edwardsioidea.
The superfamily Actinernoidea was reestablished by Rodríguez et al. (2014). This superfamily also shares the peculiar mesenterial arrangement: mesenteries of the second and younger mesenterial cycles originate in the endocoels in the first cycle (Fig. 2C); in contrast, in all other anemones of Enthemonae, these mesenteries develop in exocoels (Fig. 2D). In addition, some species are characterized by the presence of nematocyst batteries and spirocysts on their columns. At present, Actinernoidea includes two families: Actinernidae Stephenson, 1922 and Halcuriidae Carlgren, 1918. They are distinguished by the number of siphonoglyphs attached to the actinopharynx (two for Actinernidae and one for Halcuriidae) and the number of macrocnemes ( 20 for Halcuriidae and more for Actinernidae). Originally, in the classification by Carlgren (1949), Actinernidae and Halcuriidae were accommodated in the suborder Endocoelantheae Carlgren, 1925 because of the peculiar mesenterial arrangement, which has been traditionally regarded as the most important characters for the classification of Actiniaria. This classification was used in the subsequent studies (Fautin and den Hartog, 2003; Uchida, 2004, 2007), until recently. However, Rodríguez et al. (2014) revealed that Endocoelantheae was closely related to the family Edwardsiidae of the suborder Anenthemonae on the basis of molecular phylogeny and concluded that Endocoelantheae was a part of the suborder Anenthemonae. Thus, they maintained the lower taxon of Anenthemonae, accommodating Actinernidae and Halcuriidae, by reviving the superfamily Actinernoidea Stephenson, 1922. At present, both families are treated as members of the superfamily Actinernoidea of the suborder Anenthemonae.

Actinernoidea had relatively rich diversity in Japan: four species of four genera of Actinernidae and three species of Halcurias of Halcuriidae have been reported (Table 3; Uchida, 2004; Uchida, 2007). However, a taxonomic problem still exists: two of the three species of Halcurias are considered invalid as nomen dubium (Fautin, 2016; Yanagi, 2017; indicated by white stars in Table 3).

The superfamily Edwardsioidea, also reestablished by Rodríguez et al. (2014), consisted of only one family, Edwardsiidae Andres, 1881. Species of Edwardsiidae are generally characterized by worm-like bodies, and the species of some genera of this family are known to have nemathybomes-the pocket-like structures that contain very large nematocysts-on their body
walls (see Figs. 55A, 56A, for example; Daly, 2002a). This family has been diagnosed as having only eight macrocnemes in the first mesenterial cycle even in adults (Fig. 2A) by Carlgren (1949), whereas almost all other actiniarians have twelve macrocnemes in their first cycle (Fig. 2B-D). This family was a comparatively large group in the order Actiniaria and currently accommodates 78 nominal species (Table 2; Williams, 1981; Fautin, 2013, 2016). However, unlike Actinernoidea, the fauna of this superfamily in Japan has not been researched sufficiently: only 10 species of edwardsiids from four genera have been recorded from Japan (Table 2; Uchida, 1965; Uchida and Soyama, 2001; Yanagi, 2006; Sanamyan and Sanamyan, 2012). Of these, the morphology of only five species has been described by taxonomic studies (indicated by black stars in Table 2; Yanagi, 2006; Sanamyan and Sanamyan, 2012); however, some of those descriptions were incomplete [e.g., information about the cnidom of Metedwardsia akkeshi (Uchida, 1932) was absent]. Descriptions of the other five species were restricted to field guidebooks and lacked precise morphological information (white stars in Table 2; Uchida, 1965; Uchida and Soyama, 2001). Because of the difficulty of species identification owing to the scarce taxonomic characters, many species of Edwardsiidae distributed in Japan might have not yet been identified (Yanagi, 2017), and future studies were needed to understand the fauna of Japanese Edwardsiidae.

The family Edwardsiidae has been considered as the key taxon to evaluate the evolution of Actiniaria. Edwardsiid anemones have the simplest mesenterial arrangement with only eight macrocnemes even in adults (Fig. 2A), and this arrangement has long been regarded as an ancestral character among actiniarians (McMurrich, 1891; Hyman, 1940; Uchida and Soyama, 2001; reviewed in Daly, 2002a). This was because all sea anemones were known to show the same mesenterial arrangement as that in edwardsiids during their developments (Fig. 2A): this stage was named as "edwardsiastage" (Duerden, 1899; Yanagi, 2017). However, this hypothesis has been challenged by several recent studies (Manuel, 1981a; Daly et al., 2002): they suggested that simplified mesenterial arrangement of this family may be derived from the secondary adaptation to infaunal life. Recently, Rodríguez et al. (2014) conducted a molecular phylogenetic study and suggested that the edwardsiid arrangement with eight macrocnemes was not ancestral but derived from the secondary adaptation. However, even Rodríguez et al. (2014),
who has conducted the most comprehensive phylogenetic study of Edwardsiidae so far, included only five edwardsiid species of three genera; therefore, they could not address the detailed phylogeny of Anenthemonae as mentioned above. Thus, whether the peculiar morphology of Edwardsiidae was the trace of an ancestral feature or a secondly derived feature is not yet certain. Hence, the comprehensive taxonomic sampling of both superfamilies is required to discuss the phylogenetic matters.

## Aims of this study

This is the first study to focus on and treat the comprehensive taxonomy and evolution of Anenthemonae, the minor and indeterminate suborder of Actiniaria. In this dissertation, the following research themes have been discussed:

1. Discovering as many species of Anenthemonae as possible and clarifying the diversity of Anenthemonae in Japan. I observed the detailed morphology of the collected specimens, specifically identified them, and provided their detailed descriptions. This study becomes the first elucidation of the Japanese fauna of Anenthemonae.
2. Establishing the systematics of Anenthemonae using molecular phylogeny with sufficient taxon sampling. I adopted a new DNA marker in addition to the traditional ones for analyzing actiniarians and inspected the detailed phylogeny of Anenthemonae.
3. Discussing about the evolution of Anenthemonae based on molecular phylogeny and morphological features. I evaluated the ancestral and derived morphological characters of the mesenterial arrangements and basal discs and estimated the evolution and diversification of Anenthemonae based on these morphological features. Finally, I tested the traditional hypothesis that the peculiar worm-like form of Edwardsiidae was the trace of an ancestral feature in Actiniaria.


Fig. 1. The representative morphological characters of Actiniaria (gross sections of Hormathiidae sp.).
A. Longitudinal gross section. Tentacles are contracted. B. Transverse section of the upper column (the sectioning site is indicated in 1A). C. Transverse section of the lower column (the sectioning site is indicated in 1A). Abbreviations: ac, acontia; bd, basal disc; dm, directive macrocneme; ma, macrocneme; mf, filament; mi, microcneme; p, actinopharynx; si, siphonoglyph; sp, sphincter muscle; te, tentacle. Photo courtesy of Kensuke Yanagi.


Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the development of mesenterial arrangement.
All diagrams show the mesenterial arrangements in transversal sections. Arrows show the order of developments. A. The stage with eight macrocnemes in the first mesenterial cycle. The species of Edwardsiidae have this arrangement even in adults; B. The stage with 12 macrocnemes in six pairs. All macrocnemes of this stage are in the first mesenterial cycle; C, D: the arrangements at more developed stages. C. The arrangement of Actinernoidea. D. The arrangement of general Enthemonae. Abbreviations: d, dorsal directive (indicated in red); Edc, endocoel (in gray); Exc, exocoel (in white); ld, dorso-lateral mesentery (in orange); lv, ventro-lateral mesentery (in green); RM, retractor muscle; v, ventral directive (in blue); 2, mesenteries in the second cycle; 3, mesenteries in the third cycle. Revised from Yanagi (2017).


Fig. 3. The aboral ends of sea anemones.
A, B: a species with a basal disc (Halcurias levis). A. lateral view; B. aboral view. C, D: a species without the basal disc (Metedwardsia akkeshi). The aboral end becomes a rounded physa instead of the basal disc. C. lateral view; D. enlarged view of the aboral end. Abbreviations: bd, basal disc; co, column; ph, physa.

Table 1. Comparison of the classification system between Carlgren (1949) and Rodríguez et al. (2014).
Lines and colors indicate the correspondence of families between Carlgren (1949; left) and Rodríguez et al. (2014; right). Taxa indicated by stars are classified based on morphological features in Rodríguez et al. (2014) because of the absence of molecular data. This classification system reflects the changes after Rodríguez et al. (2014) eliminated four genera: Haliplanellidae Hand, 1956; Mimetridiidae Fautin, Eppard, and Mead, 1988; Oractinidae Riemann-Zürneck, 2000; Ramireziidae Fautin, Eppard, and Mead, 1988, which became invalid later (Fautin, 2016), and added Spongiactinidae, Sanamyan, Sanamyan, and Tabachnick, 2012 (Sanamyan et al., 2018; indicated by *).


Table 2. Nominal genera and species of Edwardsiidae in the world and distribution record in Japan.
Species with black stars were recorded in Japan by taxonomical literatures (Carlgren, 1931; Stimpson, 1856; Sanamyan and Sanamyan, 2012; Uchida, 1932a), and species with white stars were reported only in field guidebooks (Uchida, 1965; Uchida and Soyama, 2001).

| Species | Original description | Japan | Species | Original description | Japan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Edwardsia andresi | Danielessen (1890) |  | Drillactis leucomelas | Parry (1951) |  |
| Edwardsia annamensis | Carlgren (1943) |  | Drillactis pallida | Verrill (1880) |  |
| Edwardsia arctica | Carlgren (1921) |  |  |  |  |
| Edwardsia athalyei | England (1990) |  | Edwardsianthus gilbertensis | Carlgren (1931) | H |
| Edwardsia beautempsii | de Quatrefages (1842) |  | Edwardsianthus pudica | Klunzinger (1877) | H |
| Edwardsia californica | McMurrich (1913) |  |  |  |  |
| Edwardsia capensis | Carlgren (1938) |  | Edwardsiella andrillae | Daly et al. (2013) |  |
| Edwardsia carlgreni | Carlgren (1921) |  | Edwardsiella carnea | Gosse (1856) |  |
| Edwardsia claparedii | Panceri (1869) |  | Edwardsiella ignota | Carlgren (1959) |  |
| Edwardsia danica | Carlgren (1921) |  | Edwardsiella janthina | Andres (1881) |  |
| Edwardsia delapiae | Carlgren and Stephenson (1928) |  | Edwardsiella lineata | Verrill (1873) |  |
| Edwardsia duodecemtentaculata | Carlgren (1931) |  | Edwardsiella loveni | Carlgren (1892) |  |
| Edwardsia elegans | Verrill (1869) |  |  |  |  |
| Edwardsia finmarchica | Carlgren (1921) |  | Isoscolanthus iemanjae | Brandão et al. (2019) |  |
| Edwardsia fusca | Danielessen (1890) |  | Isoscolanthus janainae | Brandão et al. (2019) |  |
| Edwardsia handi | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |  |  |  |  |
| Edwardsia hantuensis | England (1987) | H | Halcampogeton papillosus | Carlgren (1937) |  |
| Edwardsia islandica | Carlgren (1921) |  |  |  |  |
| Edwardsia ivelli | Manuel (1975) |  | Metedwardsia akkeshi | Uchida (1932) | $\star$ |
| Edwardsia japonica | Carlgren (1931) | $\star$ |  |  |  |
| Edwardsia jonesii | Seshaiya and Cuttress (1969) |  | Nematostella nathorstii | Carlgren (1921) |  |
| Edwardsia juliae | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |  | Nematostella polaris | Carlgren (1921) |  |
| Edwardsia kameruniensis | Carlgren (1927) |  | Nematostella vectensis | Stephenson (1935) |  |
| Edwardsia longicornis | Carlgren (1921) |  |  |  |  |
| Edwardsia maroccana | Carlgren (1931) |  | Paraedwardsia abyssorum | Carlgren (1951) |  |
| Edwardsia mcmurrichi | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |  | Paraedwardsia arenaria | Nordgaard (1905) |  |
| Edwardsia meridionalis | Williams (1981) |  | Paraedwardsia cretata | Stimpson (1856) | * |
| Edwardsia migottti | Gusmão et al. (2016) |  | Paraedwardsia hadalis | Sanamyan and Sanamyan (2018) |  |
| Edwardsia neozelanica | Farquhar (1898) |  | Paraedwardsia heia | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |  |
| Edwardsia norvegica | Carlgren (1942) | $\sim_{4}^{*}$ | Paraedwardsia lemchei | Carlgren (1956) |  |
| Edwardsia octoradiata | Carlgren (1931) | $\star$ | Paraedwardsia sarsii | Dueben and Koren (1847) |  |
| Edwardsia olguini | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |  |  |  |  |
| Edwardsia profunda | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |  | Scolanthus armatus | Carlgren (1931) |  |
| Edwardsia sanctaehelenae | Carlgren (1941) |  | Scolanthus callimorphus | Gosse(1853) |  |
| Edwardsia sipunculoides | Stimpson (1853) | H | Scolanthus crypticus | Brandão et al. (2019) |  |
| Edwardsia sojabio | Sanamyan and Sanamyan (2012) | $\star$ | Scolanthus curacaoensis | Pax (1924) |  |
| Edwardsia sulcata | Verrill (1864) |  | Scolanthus ignotus | Carlgren (1920) |  |
| Edwardsia timida | de Quatrefages (1842) |  | Scolanthus ingolfi | Carlgren (1921) |  |
| Edwardsia tinctrix | Annandale (1915) |  | Scolanthus intermedius | McMurrich (1893) |  |
| Edwardsia tuberculata | Dueben and Koren (1847) |  | Scolanthus nidarosiensis | Carlgren (1942) |  |
| Edwardsia vegae | Carlgren (1921) |  | Scolanthus scamiti | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |  |
| Edwardsia vitrea | Danielessen (1890) |  | Scolanthus triangulus | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |  |
| Edwardsia vivipara | Carlgren (1950) |  | Synhalcampella ostroumowi | Wyragévitch (1905) |  |

Table 3. Nominal genera and species of Actinernidae in the world and distribution record in Japan. Species with black stars have been recorded in Japan (Carlgren, 1908; Hertwig, 1882; McMurrich, 1901; Wassilieff, 1908; Uchida, 2004).


## Material and Methods

## Sample collection and preservation

I collected approximately 250 specimens from Japanese waters (the exact number could not be determined because Tempuractis species were colonized and buried in host sponges and could not be accurately counted). Sampling localities of specimens are shown in Fig. 4. (Also see material examined for each species in Chapter 2). The specimens obtained in this study were collected by wading on seashore, snorkeling, scuba diving, dredging, or trawling by research vessels, fishing boats, or remotely operated vehicles (ROV). Some specimens attached to substrates were usually detached from the substrates using fingers or spatulas, but occasionally collected using pieces of substrates such as stones. Burrowing species were dug out using a shovel or sieve.

The collected specimens were generally preserved using several methods: if the specimens were sufficiently vigorous, they were kept alive in calm water in cases, aquaria, or tanks till they elongated their tentacle. Images in vitro were captured to record their external forms, colors, and sizes, especially of the oral disc. Subsequently, they were anesthetized using magnesium chloride solution. After they were completely anesthetized, small pieces of tissue for DNA analysis were dissected from their tentacles (of anemones in general forms) or from transversely dissected columns with filaments (in the case of worm-shaped anemones, e.g., species of Edwardsiidae) and preserved in $99 \%$ ethanol. Remaining polyps were fixed in $10 \%-20 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ formalin sea water solution for morphological analyses. If the specimen were weakened, they were not anesthetized and immediately preserved in the same way as mentioned above. Occasionally, specimens were directly preserved in ethanol or formalin solution if they were seriously damaged or almost dead during sampling. Polyps fixed in formalin solution were immersed in $70 \%$ ethanol after they were completely preserved. For DNA analysis, I also obtained tissues of tentacles from some living polyps in several aquariums and preserved them in $99 \%$ ethanol.

Some examined specimens have been deposited at the National Museum of Nature and Science (NSMT), Tokyo, and the Coastal Branch of Natural History Museum and Institute (CMNH), Chiba.

## Observation of type specimens

Some type specimens were observed in the museums in which they had been deposited: Museum of Zoology, Lund University (MZLU); Museum of Evolution Zoology, Uppsala University (UUZM); the Zoological Museum, University of Copenhagen (ZMUC); and the Natural History Museum, London (BM). The type specimens were photographed, and their external and gross internal morphological characters were examined.

## Ecological observation in aquaria

Some anemones were kept in laboratory tanks of several aquariums and institutions to observe their behavior. Antennapeachia setouchi Izumi and Yanagi, 2016 was observed in the Seto Marine Biological Station, Kyoto University, and CMNH, Chiba; Tempuractis rinkai Izumi, Ise and Yanagi, 2018 was observed in Toba Aquarium; and Synactinernus flavus Carlgren, 1918 and Synactinernus churaumi Izumi and Fujii, 2019 were observed in Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium.

## Morphological observation and preparation of histological sections

Morphological observations were performed on external and internal characteristics, as shown in Fig. 5A, B. (Although Fig. 5 shows Edwardsiidae as an example, the morphological characters I observed were generally common among all specimens of Actiniaria except nemathybomes.)

Preserved polyps were dissected to obtain some tissues for histological sectioning after their external morphological characters were observed. The dissected tissues were then dehydrated by ethanol and cleared in xylene, embedded in paraffin, sliced into serial sections ( $7-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick) by using a microtome, mounted on glass slides, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). The method of HE staining was based on Presnell and Schreibman (1997), but the staining or washing times were as those for sea anemones: the thicker was the mesoglea of the sea anemones, the longer I stained them in HE. Sections were mounted on slide glasses. Finally, anatomies of several internal features were observed using a conventional microscope.

In this study, I referred to the terminology by Carlgren (1949), Daly (2015), and Yanagi (2007) for the morphology of sea anemones.

## Observation of cnidae

The cnidae of the tentacle, actinopharynx, column, and mesenterial filament were usually observed (Fig. 5C). The cnidae of acrosphere (of some species of Haloclavidae), special tentacle (of some species of Antennapeachia), or nemathybome (of some species of Edwardsiidae) were also observed additionally in specific anemones. Tissues from every part were placed on glass slides and mounted using $50 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) glycerin-sea water. Images of the cnidae were obtained using a differential interference contrast microscope, generally following the method of Yanagi et al. (2015). The lengths and widths of the cnidae were measured using software ImageJ ver. 1.49 (Rasband, 19972012). I followed Mariscal (1974) for the nomenclature of the cnidom.

## PCR and DNA sequences

DNA was extracted from each tissue that was preserved in $99 \%$ ethanol by using ChargeSwitch gDNA Micro Tissue Kit (Invitrogen). In addition, some tissue samples for DNA were processed following the guanidine extraction protocol (Sinniger et al., 2010). PCR amplifications were performed in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ (or $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) reaction volume, consisting of 0.4 (1.0) $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of 25 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ forward and reverse primers, 2.0 (5.0) $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of EmeraldAmp PCR Master Mix (TaKaRa), and 3.4 (8.5) $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of distilled water. For PCR amplifications, three mitochondrial markers- $12 \mathrm{~S}, 16 \mathrm{~S}$ rDNA, and cytochrome oxidase III (COXIII)—and three nuclear markers-18S and 28 S rDNA and internal transcribed spacers (ITS) 1 and 2 with 5.8 S rDNA. The primers and amplification conditions are shown in Table 5. I newly adopted the ITS1/ITS2 marker with 5.8 S rDNA and used the method of amplification from preceding studies for other phyla (Heath et al., 1995); I used a new set of primers of 28 S rDNA during some amplifications (Littlewood et al., 2000; Williams et al., 2003; Williams and Ozawa, 2006). The other amplifications were performed using five traditional molecular markers of Actiniaria: 12S, 16S, 18S, and 28S rDNA and COXIII DNA, adopted in Rodríguez et al. (2014), following the methods of preceding phylogenetic studies on sea anemones (Meldin et al., 1988; Apakupakul et al., 1999; Geller and Walton, 2001; Medina et al., 2001; Sinniger et al., 2005). The PCR products were processed using exonuclease I and shrimp alkaline phosphate (ExoSAP-IT; Thermo Fisher) before sequencing. Sequencing reaction was performed using BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit v3.1 (Applied Biosystems) and using PCR primers (12S, 16S, COXIII, and ITS1/2) or PCR primers and internal
primers (18S and 28S; Table 5). I used four internal primers (two forward and two reverse) for 18 S (Apakupakul et al., 1999), and three primers (two forward and one reverse) for 28 S (Williams and Ozawa, 2005). Sequencing was performed using ABI 3130xL or 3500xL Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The sequence of each marker was individually assembled using GeneStudio ver. 2.2.0.0 (http://genestudio.com).

## Phylogenetic analysis and evolutionary estimation

The base sequences used in phylogenetic analyses are shown in Table 6. Sequences data of 106 species were obtained from GenBank (registration numbers are shown in Table 6). Each dataset was aligned using MAFFT ver. 7.402 (Katoh and Standley, 2013) under the default settings. Ambiguously aligned regions were eliminated using Gblocks ver. 0.91b (Castresana, 2002) with the type of DNA sequences and in default parameters except allowing small final blocks and gap positions within the final blocks. The obtained data were processed using Kakusan 4 (Tanabe, 2011) to select the appropriate substitution models for the RAxML and MrBayes analyses (Table 7). In the combined dataset, substitution parameters were estimated separately for each gene partition (Table 8). The maximum-likelihood (ML) analysis was performed using RAxML-VI-HPC (Stamatakis, 2006), with substitution models recommended by Kakusan 4 and evaluated using 100 bootstrap replicates. Bayesian inference (BI) was conducted using MrBayes ver. 3.2.6 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003) with substitution models recommended by Kakusan 4. Two independent runs of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo were performed simultaneously for $3,000,000-5,000,000$ generations; trees were sampled every 100 generation, and the average standard deviation of split frequencies (ASDSF) every 100,000 generation were calculated. As the ASDSF was calculated on the basis of the last $75 \%$ of the samples, the initial $25 \%$ of the sampled trees were discarded as burn-in. In addition, I provisionally performed ML and BI analyses by using mitochondrial and nuclear markers, respectively, for each phylogenetic analysis.

The phylogenetic analysis was first performed following the above method at the level of the order Actiniaria (Chapter 1A). Next, after the monophyly of each lower suborder was confirmed, analyses of the suborders Enthemonae (Chapter 1B) and Anenthemonae (Chapter 1C) were performed. Similarly, the phylogenetic analyses of the superfamily Actinernoidea
(Chapter 1D), Edwardsioidea (Chapter 1F), and a newly established superfamily Halcampelloidea (Chapter 1E) were performed after monophyly was confirmed. I appropriately chose operational taxonomic units and molecular markers for each taxon (Tables 4, 6, 7).

All constructed ML and Baysian trees were rooted and combined using FigTree ver. 1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). Finally, I estimated the evolutionary trend of diagnostic morphological features based on the obtained trees from the point of view of maximum parsimony in the order Actiniaria (Chapter 3A), suborder Anenthemonae (Chapter 3B), and family Edwardsiidae (Chapter 3C).


Fig. 4. Approximate localities of the sampling sites used in this study.
See each material examined in Chapter 2 for the detailed information of each locality.


Fig. 5. Schematic view of the morphological features of Actiniaria.
A. Basic external morphology observed using stereoscopic microscopes. B. Basic internal anatomy observed using histological sections. C. Excised tissues on which cnidom was observed. The observed characters were generally common among all actiniarians except nemathybomes, characters specific to Edwardsiidae. The terminology was referred from Carlgren (1949), Daly (2015), and Yanagi (2017).
Table 4. Molecular specimens of Actiniaria used in this study.

+ means specimens included in the phylogenetic analysis of each level, and - were not included. (Blank means that the analysis of the taxon was not performed in this study.)

| Suborder | Superfamily | family | Genus | Species | Catalog Numbers | Including analysis |  |  | locality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Order | Suborder | Superfamily |  |
| Anenthemonae | Actinernoidea | Actinernidae | Actinernus | robustus | CMNH-ZG (no registration) | + | + | + | Ryukyu-Trough |
|  |  |  | Isactinernus | quadrilobatus | NSMT-Co 1662 | - | + | + | Kumano-nada |
|  |  |  | Synhalcurias | elegans | NSMT-Co 1693 | - | - | + | Mikawa-Bay |
|  |  |  | Synhalcurias | elegans | NSMT-Co 1694 | + | + | + | Okinawa |
|  |  |  | Synhalcurias | sp. nov. 1 | NSMT-Co 1695 | + | + | + | Ogasawara |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | flavus | NSMT-Co 1660 | + | + | + | Goto-nada |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | flavus | - | + | + | + | Goto-nada |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | flavus | - | + | + | + | Goto-nada |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | flavus | - | - | - | + | Goto-nada |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | flavus | - | - | - | + | Goto-nada |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | flavus | - | - | - | + | Goto-nada |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | flavus | CMNH-ZG 09732 | + | + | + | Wakayama |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | churaumi | NSMT-Co 1661 | + | + | + | Off Ishigaki Island |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | churaumi | - | + | + | + | Off Ishigaki Island |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | churaumi | - | - | - | + | Off Ishigaki Island |
|  |  |  | Synactinernus | churaumi | - | - | - | + | Off Ishigaki Island |
|  |  | Halcuriidae | Halcurias | japonicus | (lz-001) | - | - | + | Toyama-Bay |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | japonicus | (lz-003) | - | - | + | Toyama-Bay |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | japonicus | (Iz-004) | - | - | + | Toyama-Bay |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | japonicus | (Iz-007) | + | + | + | Mikawa-Bay |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | japonicus | (Iz-008) | + | + | + | Wakayama |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 2 | (lz-009) | + | + | + | Sado Island |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 2 | (Iz-010) | + | + | + | Sado Island |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 2 | ( I -012) | + | + | + | Wakayama |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | carlgreni | NSMT-Co 1697 | + | + | + | Suo-Oshima |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | carlgreni | NSMT-Co 1698 | + | + | + | Suo-Oshima |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | carlgreni | (Iz-015) | + | + | + | Kumano-nada |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | carlgreni | (Iz-016) | - | - | + | Ukishima Island |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | carlgreni | (Iz-017) | - | - | + | Ukishima Island |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | levis | (Iz-018) | + | + | + | Kuju-kushima |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | levis | - | + | + | + | Kuju-kushima |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | levis | (Iz-019) | - | - | + | Off Yaku Island |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 3 | NSMT-Co 1699 | + | + | + | Kinko-Bay |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 3 | (Iz-021) | + | + | + | Wakayama |
|  |  |  | Actinernus | elongatus | - | + | + | + | (GenBank) |
|  |  |  | Isactinernus | quadrilobatus | - | + | + | + | (GenBank) |
|  |  |  | Synhalcurias | elegans | - | + | + | + | (GenBank) |
|  |  |  | Halcurias | pilatus | - | + | + | + | (GenBank) |


| Edwardsioidea Edwardsiidae | Tempuractis | rinkai | NSMT-Co 1573 | + | + | + | Misaki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tempuractis | rinkai | CMNH-ZG 8974 | + | + | + | Toba_Tohama |
|  | Tempuractis | rinkai | CMNH-ZG 8975 | + | + | + | Sado Island |
|  | Tempuractis | sp . | (Iz-037) | + | + | + | Sado Island |
|  | Tempuractis | sp. | (Iz-038) | + | + | + | Sado Island |
|  | Tempuractis | sp. | (Iz-039) | + | + | + | le Island |
|  | Edwardsiella | sp. nov. 6 | CMNH-ZG (no registration) | + | + | + | Ogasawara |
|  | Edwardsiella | sp. nov. 6 | CMNH-ZG (no registration) | + | + | + | Ogasawara |
|  | Halcampogeton | sp. nov. 7 | (Iz-040) | + | + | + | Off Mie Pref. |
|  | Drillactis | sp. nov. 8 | (IZ-041) | + | + | + | Otsuchi |
|  | Edwardsianthus | pudica | (Iz-042) | + | + | + | Amami Island |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | CMNH-ZG 6527 | + | + | + | Ishigaki Island |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-043) | + | + | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-044) | + | + | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-045) | + | + | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-046) | - | - | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-047) | - | - | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-048) | - | - | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-049) | - | - | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (IZ-050) | - | - | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-051) | - | - | + | Higawa_Yonaguni |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-052) | + | + | + | Senaga Island |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-053) | + | + | + | Yagaji Island |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | (Iz-054) | - | - | + | Kikai Island |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. | - | + | + | + | Iriomote Island |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 9 | CMNH-ZG 5954 | + | + | + | Otsuki_Kochi |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 10 | (IZ-055) | + | + | + | Amami Island |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 11 | (Iz-056) | + | + | + | Oura Bay |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 12 | (Iz-057) | - | + | + | Oura Bay |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 13 | (Iz-058) | + | + | + | Yagaji Island |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 14 | (Iz-060) | + | + | + | Ogasawara |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 14 | (Iz-063) | + | + | + | Ogasawara |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 15 | (IZ-064) | + | + | + | Kataburu_Yonaguni |
|  | Paraedwardsia | sp. nov. 16 | (Iz-070) | + | + | + | Off Misaki |
|  | Paraedwardsia | sp. nov. 17 | (Iz-072) | + | + | + | Aburatsubo Bay |
|  | Scolanthus | ena | NSMT-Co 1610 | - | + | + | Ena Bay |
|  | Scolanthus | isei | NSMT-Co 1611 | + | + | + | Sugashima Island |
|  | Scolanthus | kopepe | NSMT-Co 1613 | + | + | + | Ogasawara |
|  | Edwardsia | alternobomen | NSMT-Co 1657 | + | + | + | Hinoshima_Amakusa |
|  | Edwardsia | alternobomen | (Iz-067) | - | - | + | Manazuru |
|  | Edwardsia | aff. tuberculata | NSMT-Co 1654 | + | + | + | Off Ogishima |
|  | Edwardsia | aff. tuberculata | (Iz-068) | + | + | + | Misaki |
|  | Edwardsia | japonica | (Iz-069) | + | + | + | Otsuki_Kochi |
|  | Edwardsia | sipunc/uloides | NSMT-Co 1700 | + | + | + | Tokyo Bay |
|  | Edwardsia | sp. nov. 18 | (Iz-073) | + | + | + | Katsuura_Chiba |
|  | Edwardsia | sp. nov. 19 | (Iz-074) | - | - | + | Hiroshima-Bay |
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Table 5. Primers and protocols of polymerase chain reactions of every molecular marker.

|  | Marker | Primer | Sequences ( $5^{\prime} 3^{\prime}$ ) | PCR protocol | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 S | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \mathrm{~S} 1 \mathrm{a} \\ & 12 \mathrm{~S} 3 \mathrm{r} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TAAGTGCCAGCAGACGCGGT ACGGGCAATTTGTACTAACA | $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 4 min$)+4 \times\left[\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 30 sec$) \rightarrow\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 2 min$\left.)\right]$ $+30 \times\left[\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 30 sec$) \rightarrow\left(55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 2 min$\left.)\right]+\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 4 min$)$ | Sinniger et al. (2005) |
| 16 S |  | ANEM16SA ANEM16SB | CACTGACCGTGATAATGTAGCGT CCCCATGGTAGCTTTTATTCG | $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 4 min$)+30 \times\left[\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 30 sec$) \rightarrow\left(46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 45 sec$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min$\left.)\right]+\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 5 min$)$ | Geller and Walton (2001) |
|  |  | 16Sant1a 16SbmoH | GCCATGAGTATAGACGCACA CGAACAGCCAACCCTTGG | $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 4 min$)+30 \times\left[\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 30 sec$) \rightarrow\left(46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 45 sec$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min$\left.)\right]+\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 5 min$)$ | Sinniger et al. (2005) |
|  | COXIII | COIIIF COIIIR | CATTTAGTTGATCCTAGGCCTTGACC CAAACCACATCTACAAAATGCCAATATC | $\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 2 min$)+30 \times\left[\left(95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 30 sec$) \rightarrow\left(46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 45 sec$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min$\left.)\right]+\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 5 min$)$ | Geller and Walton (2001) |
| 185 | PCR | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \mathrm{SA} \\ & 18 \mathrm{SB} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AACCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGT TGATCCTTCCGCAGGTTCACCT | $\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 4 min$)+35 \times\left[\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 20 sec$) \rightarrow\left(57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 20 sec$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min 45 sec$\left.)\right]+\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 7 min$)$ | Meldin et al. (1988)Apakupakul et al. (1999) |
|  |  | 18 SL 18 SC | CCAACTACGAGCTTTTTAACTG |  |  |
|  | Sequence | $\begin{aligned} & 18 S C \\ & 18 S Y \end{aligned}$ | CGGTAATTCCAGCTCCAATAG CAGACAAATCGCTCCACCAAC |  |  |
|  |  | 1850 | AAGGGCACCACCAGGAGTGGAG |  |  |
| 28 S | PCR1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { F63sq } \\ & \text { R2077sq } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AATAAGCGGAGGAAAAGAAAC GAGCCAATCCTTWTCCCGARGTT | $\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 5 min$)+30 \times\left[\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 30 sec$) \rightarrow\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 3 min$\left.)\right]+\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 10 min$)$ | Medina et al. (2001) |
|  | PCR2 | LSU5 LSU1600R | TAGGTCGACCCGCTGAAYTTAAGCA AGCGCCATCCATTTTCAGG | $\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 5 min$)+30 \times\left[\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 30 sec$) \rightarrow\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 3 min$\left.)\right]+\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 10 min$)$ | Littlewood et al. (2000) Williams et al. (2003) Williams and Ozawa (2006) |
|  | Only Sequence | LSU330F | CAAGTACCGTGAGGGAAAGTTG |  |  |
|  |  | LSU900F | CCGTCTTGAAACACGGACCAAG |  |  |
|  | TS1/2 |  |  | CTTGGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG |  | $\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 5 min$)+30 \times\left[\left(94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ for 35 sec$) \rightarrow\left(55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 45 sec$) \rightarrow\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 2 min$\left.)\right]+\left(72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ for 1 min$)$ |
|  |  |  | ITSR | CTCGTCTGATCTGAGGTCG | Heath et al. (1995) |  |

Table 6. Base sequences in the phylogenetic analyses.
Sequences indicated by accession numbers were obtained from GenBank, and those indicated by + were obtained in this study and still not deposited to GenBank. Sequences indicated by - were n analysis of the order Actiniaria.

| Higher Taxon | Family | Genus | Species | Localities | Catalog Number |  |  |  |  |  | 5.85/rstir |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Actinernidae | Actinernus | robustus | Ryukyu-Trough | CMNH-ZG (no registration) | + | - | LC484632 | + | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Isactinernus | quadrilobatus | Kumano-nada | NSMT-Co 1662 | - | LC484643 | LC484638 | + | - | + |
|  | Synhalcurias | elegans | Mikawa-Bay | NSMT-Co 1693 | - | - | + | + | - | + |
|  | Synhalcurias | elegans | Okinawa | NSMT-Co 1694 | + | + | - | + | - | + |
|  | Synhalcurias | sp. nov. 1 | Ogasawara | NSMT-Co 1695 | + | + | - | + | - | + |
|  | Synactinernus | flavus | Goto-nada | NSMT-Co 1660 | + | LC484639 | LC484633 | + | - | + |
|  | Synactinernus | flavus | Goto-nada | - | + | LC484640 | LC484634 | + | - | + |
|  | Synactinernus | flavus | Goto-nada | - | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Synactinernus | flavus | Goto-nada | - | + | + | + | - | - | - |
|  | Synactinernus | flavus | Goto-nada | - | + | + | + | - | - | - |
|  | Synactinernus | flavus | Goto-nada | - | + | + | + | - | - | - |
|  | Synactinernus | flavus | Wakayama | CMNH-ZG 09732 | + | + | LC484635 | + | - | - |
|  | Synactinernus | churaumi | Off Ishigaki Island | NSMT-Co 1661 | + | LC484641 | LC484636 | + | - | + |
|  | Synactinernus | churaumi | Off Ishigaki Island | - | + | LC484642 | LC484637 | + | - | + |
|  | Synactinernus | churaumi | Off Ishigaki Island | - | - | + | + | - | - | - |
|  | Synactinernus | churaumi | Off Ishigaki Island | - | + | + | + | - | - | - |
| Actinernidae | Actinernus | antarcticus | (GenBank) |  | KJ482930 | KJ482966 | KJ483023 | KJ483126 | - | - |
|  | Isactinernus | quadrolobatus | (GenBank) |  | KJ482932 | KJ482968 | KJ483024 | KJ483105 | - | - |
|  | Synhalcurias | laevis | (GenBank) |  | KJ482942 | - | KJ483021 | KJ483120 | - | - |
| Halcuriidae | Halcurias | japonicus | Toyama-Bay | (lz-001) | - | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | japonicus | Toyama-Bay | (lz-003) | - | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | japonicus | Toyama-Bay | (lz-004) | - | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | japonicus | Mikawa-Bay | (lz-007) | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | japonicus | Wakayama | (Iz-008) | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 2 | Sado Island | (lz-009) | + | + | + | + | - | - |
|  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 2 | Sado Island | (Iz-010) | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 2 | Wakayama | (lz-012) | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | carlgreni | Suo-Oshima | NSMT-Co 1697 | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | carlgreni | Suo-Oshima | NSMT-Co 1698 | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | carlgreni | Kumano-nada | (Iz-015) | + | + | + | + | - | - |
|  | Halcurias | carlgreni | Ukishima Island | (Iz-016) | - | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | carlgreni | Ukishima Island | (Iz-017) | - | + | - | - | - | - |
|  | Halcurias | levis | Kuju-kushima | (Iz-018) | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | levis | Kuju-kushima | - | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | levis | Off Yaku Island | (Iz-019) | + | - | + | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 3 | Kinko-Bay | NSMT-Co 1699 | + | + | - | + | - | + |
|  | Halcurias | sp. nov. 3 | Wakayama | (lz-022) | + | + | + | + | - | + |
| Halcuriidae | Halcurias | pilatus | (GenBank) |  | KJ482931 | KJ482967 | KJ483020 | KJ483109 | - | - | Actiniaria Anenthemonae

Actinernoidea

| Edwardsioidea Edwardsiidae | Tempuractis | rinkai | Misaki | NSMT-Co 1573 | + | + | + | + | - | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tempuractis | rinkai | Toba_Tohama | CMNH-ZG 8974 | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Tempuractis | rinkai | Sado Island | CMNH-ZG 8975 | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Tempuractis | sp. | Sado Island | ( z -037) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Tempuractis | sp. | Sado Island | ( z -038) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  | Tempuractis | sp. | le island | ( z -039) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsiella | sp. nov. 6 | Ogasawara | CMNH-ZG (no registration) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  | Edwardsiella | sp. nov. 6 | Ogasawara | CMNH-ZG (no registration) | - | + | + | + | - | - |
|  | Halcampogeton | sp. nov. 7 | Off Mie Pref. | (1z-040) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Drillactis | sp. nov. 8 | Otsuchi | (Iz-041) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | pudica | Amami Island | (İ-042) | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Ishigaki Island | CMNH-ZG 6527 | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kataburu_Yonaguni | ( z -043) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kataburu_Yonaguni | ( z -044) | + | + | - | - | - | - |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kataburu_Yonaguni | ( z -045) | + | + | - | - | - | - |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kataburu_Yonaguni | ( z -046) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kataburu_Yonaguni | ( 1 -047) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kataburu_Yonaguni | (İ-048) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kataburu_Yonaguni | ( z -049) | + | + | - | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kataburu_Yonaguni | ( z -050) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Higawa_Yonaguni | ( z -051) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Senaga Island | (Iz-052) | + | + | - | - | - | - |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Yagaji Island | (Iz-053) | + | + | - | - | - | - |
|  | Edwardsianthus | gilbertensis | Kikai Island | ( z -054) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. | \|riomote Island | - | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 9 | Otsuki_Kochi | CMNH-ZG 5954 | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 10 | Amami Island | ( z -055) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 11 | Oura Bay | ( z -056) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 12 | Oura Bay | ( z -057) | + | + | + | + | - | - |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 13 | Yagaji island | (Iz-058) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 14 | Ogasawara | (Iz-060) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 14 | Ogasawara | (Iz-063) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsianthus | sp. nov. 15 | Kataburu_Yonaguni | ( z -064) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Paraedwardsia | sp. nov. 16 | Off Misaki | (Iz-070) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Paraedwardsia | sp. nov. 17 | Aburatsubo Bay | (1z-072) | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Scolanthus | ena | Ena Bay | NSMT-Co 1610 | + | + | - | + | - | + |
|  | Scolanthus | isei | Sugashima Island | NSMT-Co 1611 | + | + | - | + | - | + |
|  | Scolanthus | kopepe | Ogasawara | NSMT-Co 1613 | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsia | alternobomen | Hinoshima_Amakusa | NSMT-Co 1657 | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  | Edwardsia | alternobomen | Manazuru | (1z-067) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  | Edwardsia | aff. tuberculata | Off Ogishima | NSMT-Co 1654 | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsia | aff. tuberculata | Misaki | (lz-068) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsia | japonica | Otsuki_Kochi | ( $\mathrm{I}-069$ ) | + | + | - | + | - | - |
|  | Edwardsia | sipunc/uloides | Tokyo Bay | NSMT-Co 1700 | + | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Edwardsia | sp. nov. 18 | Katsuura_Chiba | (İ-073) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsia | sp. nov. 19 | Hiroshima-Bay | ( (z-074) | + | + | - | - | - | - |
|  | Edwardsia | sp. nov. 20 | Katsuura_Chiba | ( $\mathrm{I}-075$ ) | + | + | + | + | + | + |
|  | Edwardsia | sp. nov. 21 | Mutsu Bay | CMNH-ZG 4762 | - | + | + | + | - | + |
|  | Edwardsia | sp. nov. 22 | Suo-Oshima | ( 1 -076) | + | + | - | - | - | + |



| Actinoidea | Haloclavidae | Peachia | quinquecapitata | Sanriku | - | + | - | + | - | + | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Antennapeachia | jambio | Off Misaki | NSMT-Co 1596 | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  |  | Antennapeachia | jambio | Off Misaki | CMNH-ZG 6546 | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  |  | Anemonactis | minuta | Misaki | NSMT-Co 1619 | + | + | - | - | + | - |
|  |  | Anemonactis | minuta | Ogasawara | NSMT-Co 1622 | + | + | - | - | + | - |
|  |  | Haloclava | sp. nov. 28 | Off Misaki | (lz-088) | + | + | - | - | + | - |
|  |  | Haloclava | sp. nov. 28 | Off Mie Pref. | (lz-089) | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. | Aburatsubo Bay | - | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  | Actiniidae | Actinia | fragacea | (GenBank) |  | EU190714 | EU190756 | EU190845 | - | GU473334 | - |
|  |  | Anemonia | viridis | (GenBank) |  | EU190718 | EU190760 | EU190849 | - | GU473335 | - |
|  |  | Anthopleura | elegantissima | (GenBank) |  | EU190713 | EU190755 | EU190844 | - | GU473333 | - |
|  |  | Anthostella | stephensoni | (GenBank) |  | JQ810719 | JQ810721 | JQ810723 | - | JQ810726 | - |
|  |  | Bolocera | kerguelensis | (GenBank) |  | KJ482925 | KJ482965 | KJ483029 | - | KJ482985 | - |
|  |  | Bunodactis | verrucosa | (GenBank) |  | EU190723 | EU190766 | EU190854 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Bunodosoma | grandis | (GenBank) |  | EU190722 | EU190765 | EU190853 | - | GU473336 | - |
|  |  | Epiactis | lisbethae | (GenBank) |  | EU190727 | EU190771 | EU190858 | EU190816 | GU473360 | KT852231 |
|  |  | Glyphoperidium | bursa | (GenBank) |  | KJ482923 | KJ482961 | KJ483033 | - | KJ482982 | - |
|  |  | Isotealia | antarctica | (GenBank) |  | JQ810720 | JQ810722 | - | - | JQ810727 | - |
|  |  | Isosicyonis | alba | (GenBank) |  | - | KJ482959 | KJ483030 | - | KJ482981 | - |
|  |  | Isosicyonis | striata | (GenBank) |  | EU190736 | EU190781 | EU190864 | - | FJ489493 | - |
|  |  | Korsaranthus | natalinesis | (GenBank) |  | KJ482920 | KJ482958 | KJ483017 | - | KJ482987 | - |
|  |  | Macrodactyla | doreenensis | (GenBank) |  | EU190739 | EU190785 | EU190867 | - | GU473342 | - |
|  |  | Urticina | coriacea | (GenBank) |  | GU473282 | EU190797 | EU190877 | - | GU473351 | - |
|  | Actinodendridae | Actinostephanus | haeckeli | (GenBank) |  | KJ482936 | EU190762 | KJ483034 | - | GU473353 | - |
|  | Haloclavidae | Haloclava | producta | (GenBank) |  | EU190734 | EU190779 | AF254370 | - | JF833008 | - |
|  |  | Haloclava | sp . | (GenBank) |  | KJ482924 | KJ482963 | KJ483031 | - | KJ482989 | - |
|  |  | Harenactis | argentina | (GenBank) |  | KJ482926 | KJ482964 | KJ483026 | - | KJ482984 | - |
|  |  | Peachia | cylindrica | (GenBank) |  | EU190743 | EU190789 | KJ483015 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Stephanthus | antarcticus | (GenBank) |  | KJ482927 | KJ482960 | KJ483019 | - | KJ482983 | - |
|  | Liponematidae | Liponema | brevicornis | (GenBank) |  | EU190738 | EU190784 | EU190866 | - | KJ483001 | - |
|  |  | Liponema | multiporum | (GenBank) |  | KJ482922 | KJ482962 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Phymanthidae | Phymanthus | Ioligo | (GenBank) |  | EU190745 | EU190791 | EU190871 | - | GU473345 | - |
|  | Preactiidae | Dactylanthus | antarcticus | (GenBank) |  | GU473272 | AY345877 | AF052896 | - | GU473358 | - |
|  |  | Preactis | milliardae | (GenBank) |  | KJ482921 | KJ482957 | KJ483018 | - | KJ482986 | - |
|  | Stichodactidae | Heteractis | magnifica | (GenBank) |  | EU190732 | EU190777 | EU190862 | - | KJ482988 | - |
| Metridioidea | Halcampidae | Halcampa | sp. | Off Misaki | - | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  |  | Halcampella | maxima | Off Misaki | (lz-023) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  |  | Halcampella | maxima | Off Misaki | (lz-024) | + | + | + | - | - | - |
|  |  | Halcampella | cretata | Off Misaki | (lz-025) | + | + | + | + | - | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 4 | Cape Maeda | (lz-025) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 4 | Cape Maeda | (lz-026) | + | + | - | + | + | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 4 | Cape Maeda | (lz-027) | + | + | + | - | - | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 4 | Cape Maeda | (lz-028) | + | + | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 4 | Australia | - | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 5 | Off Mie Pref. | (lz-034) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 5 | Off Mie Pref. | (lz-035) | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 5 | Off Mie Pref. | (lz-036) | + | - | + | - | - | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp . | Off Sado | - | + | + | + | + | + | - |
|  | Andvakidae | Synandwakia | hozawai | Lake Akkeshi | CMNH-ZG (no registration) | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  |  | Synandwakia | hozawai | Lake Akkeshi | CMNH-ZG (no registration) | + | + | + | - | + | - |
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|  | Sagartiidae | Actinothoe | sphyrodeta | (GenBank) |  | FJ489401 | FJ489421 | FJ489440 |  | FJ489481 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Anthothoe | chilensis | (GenBank) |  | FJ489397 | FJ489416 | FJ489434 | - | FJ489470 | - |
|  |  | Cereus | pedunculatus | (GenBank) |  | EU190724 | EU190767 | EU190855 |  | FJ489471 |  |
|  |  | Cereus | herpetodes | (GenBank) |  | JF832956 | JF832969 | JF832983 | - | ----------- |  |
|  |  | Sagartia | troglodytes | (GenBank) |  | EU190746 | EU190792 | EU190872 | - | FJ489499 | - |
|  |  | Sagartia | ornata | (GenBank) |  | JF832959 | JF832975 | JF832985 | - | JF833011 |  |
|  |  | Sagartiogeton | laceratus | (GenBank) |  | EU190748 | EU190794 | EU190874 | - | FJ489500 |  |
|  |  | Sagartiogeton | undatus | (GenBank) |  | FJ489400 | FJ489417 | FJ489435 | - | FJ489472 | - |
|  |  | Verrillactis | paguri | (GenBank) |  | FJ489414 | FJ489433 | FJ489440 | - | FJ489503 | - |
| Unknown | Capneidae | Capnea | japonica | Off Misaki | CMNH-ZG 06547 | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  | Capneidae | Capnea | georgiana | (GenBank) |  | - | KJ482951 | KJ483022 |  | KJ482990 |  |
|  | fam. | gen. | sp. nov. 27 | Misaki | (Iz-082) | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 27 | Misaki | (Iz-084) | + | + | + | - | + | - |
|  |  | gen. | sp. nov. 27 | Off Tsushima | (Iz-086) | + | + | + | - | + | - |
| Zoanthidea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Epizoanthidae | Epizoanthus | illoricatus | (GenBank) |  | AY995901 | EU591597 | KC218424 | - | - | - |
|  | Parazoanthidae | Parazoanthus | axinellae | (GenBank) |  | GQ464940 | EU828754 | KC218416 | - | - | - |
|  |  | Savalia | savaglia | (GenBank) |  | AY995905 | DQ825686 | HM044299 | - | - | - |
| Undknown | Relicanthidae | Relicanthus | daphneae | (GenBank) |  | KJ482934 | KJ482971 | KJ483028 | - | - | - |

Table 7. The substitution models of phylogenetic analyses on each marker. Markers indicated by (None) were not used in the analysis.

| Analysis levels |  | Mitochondrial |  |  | Nuclear |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12S rDNA | 16S rDNA | COXIII DNA | 18S rDNA | 28S rDNA | 5.8 S rDNAITS1/ITS2 |
| Order Actiniaria | ML analysis | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | (None) | GTR+Gamma | (None) | (None) |
| (Chapter 1A, Fig. 6) | Bayesian inference | GTR+Gamma | HKY85+Gamma |  | SYM+Gamma |  |  |
| Suborder Anenthemonae | ML analysis | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | (None) | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma |
| (Chapter 1B, Fig. 7) | Bayesian inference | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma |  | K80+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | K80+Gamma |
| Suborder Enthemonae | ML analysis | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | (None) | (None) |
| (Chapter 1C, Fig. 8) | Bayesian inference | GTR+Gamma | HKY85+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | SYM+Gamma |  |  |
| Superfamily Actinernoidea | ML analysis | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | (None) | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma |
| (Chapter 1D, Figs. 9, 10) | Bayesian inference | HKY85+Gamma | K80+Gamma |  | K80+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | K80+Gamma |
| Superfamily Halcampelloidea <br> (Chapter 1E, Fig. 11) | ML analysis | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | (None) |
|  | Bayesian inference | HKY85+Gamma | SYM+Gamma | HKY85+Gamma | SYM+Gamma | HKY85+Gamma |  |
| Superfamily Edwardsioidea (Chapter 1F, Figs. 12, 13) | ML analysis | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | GTR+Gamma |
|  | Bayesian inference | HKY85+Gamma | HKY85+Gamma | HKY85+Gamma | K80+Gamma | GTR+Gamma | K80+Gamma |

Table 8. The data partitions of each phylogenetic analysis.

|  | Order Actiniaria (Chapter 1A, Fig. 6) | Suborder Anenthemonae (Chapter 1B, Fig. 7) | Suborder Enthemonae (Chapter 1C, Fig. 8) | Superfamily Actinernoidea (Chapter 1D, Figs. 9, 10) | Superfamily Halcampelloidea (Chapter 1E, Fig. 11) | Superfamily Edwardsioidea (Chapter 1F, Figs. 12, 13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partitions | 1-719 12S |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-790 \text { 12S } \\ & 791-126916 S \end{aligned}$ | 1-440 16S |  | 1-618 12S |
|  |  | 623-1071 16S |  | 1-440 16S $41-2148 \mathrm{~S}$ | 1-61212S 6 | 619-1070 16S |
|  | 720-1180 16S | 1072-2831 18S |  | $2149-357928 \mathrm{~S}$ | 1068-282 | 1071-2833 18S |
|  | 1181-2910 18S | 2832-4280 285 | 1270-3007 18S | 3580-4054 ITS $1 / \mathrm{ITS} 2 / 5.8 \mathrm{~S}$ | 2830-4284 28S | 2834-4272 28S |
|  |  | 4281-4792 ITS1/ITS2/5.8S | XIII | 4055-4614 12S | 4285-4931 COXIII | 4273-4878 COXIII |

## Results and Discussion

## Chapter 1. Molecular Phylogeny of Actiniaria

## 1A. Order Actiniaria (Fig. 6)

Results. In the constructed phylogenetic tree of order Actiniaria, the species were separated into two clades (Fig. 6). One was the clade of species mainly of the suborder Enthemonae Rodríguez and Daly, 2014 (indicated by the red bar in Fig. 6), and the other was the clade of species mainly of Anenthemonae Rodríguez and Daly, 2014 (the blue bar in Fig. 6). The monophyly of each clade was supported with a bootstrap value of $100 \%$ and a posterior probability of 1 (the nodes indicated by A and B in Fig. 6). The topology was strongly supported by mitochondrial markers (with bootstrap values/posterior probabilities of $100 \% / 1$ on node $A$ and $89 \% / 1$ on node $B$ ), but not supported well by the nuclear 18S rDNA marker ( $22 \% / 0.55$ on node A and $19 \% / 0.52$ on node B).

Although these two suborders established in Rodríguez et al. (2014) were shown to be almost monophyletic, there were two genera exceptionally placed in the clades of the other suborder. Metedwardsia Carlgren, 1947 had belonged to the family Edwardsiidae, Anenthemonae, but all three species of this genus were included in the clade of Enthemonae with high support rate (Fig. 6). As well, Halcampella maxima Hertwig, 1888, the type species of the genus Halcampella Andres, 1883 of the family Halcampidae, Enthemonae, was in the clade of Anenthemonae (Fig. 6). In addition, two unidentified halcampid species (Halcampidae gen. sp. 4 and Halcampidae gen. sp. 5) were also included in the clade of the suborder Anenthemonae.

Discussion. The traditional classification of sea anemones (Carlgren, 1949) recognized three suborders: the former suborder Protantheae Carlgren, 1891; Endocoelantheae Carlgren 1925; and Nynantheae Carlgren, 1899 within the order Actiniaria (Table 1). This classification was completely based on morphology, in particular, the sequences of mesenteries. Three families with peculiar mesenterial arrangements were accommodated in the former two suborders: Protantheae only included a family Gonactiniidae Carlgren, and Endocoelantheae included two families Halcuriidae Carlgren, 1918 and Actinernidae Stephenson, 1922 (the left side of Table 1). The remaining families were included in the suborder Nynantheae. However, Rodríguez et
al. (2014) ascertained that this classification did not reflect the phylogeny of Actiniaria; hence, they instead divided Actiniaria into two suborders, Anenthemonae Rodríguez and Daly, 2014 and Enthemonae Rodríguez and Daly, 2014, according to their molecular phylogenetic analyses (the right side of Table 1).

According to my phylogenetic analysis among the entire Actiniaria (Fig. 6), the suborders established in Rodríguez et al. (2014) were almost supported (nodes A and B) except for the positioning of the two genera Metedwardsia and Halcampella. However, within each suborder, topology was not sufficiently reliable in this tree. Therefore, I separately analyzed each lower taxon by using more gene markers (see Chapter 1B-F).

## 1B. Suborder Anenthemonae (Fig. 7)

Results. Based on the results presented in Chapter 1A, I analyzed the species of the suborder Anenthemonae, including Halcampella maxima and two similar species (hereinafter called "Halcampella-like anemones") originally of Enthemonae and excluding the species of the genus Metedwardsia.

According to these results, Anenthemonae was divided into two clades. One was the clade of the species of superfamily Actinernoidea (indicated in the red box in Fig. 7), and the other was the clade of the species of superfamily Edwardsioidea and Halcampella-like anemones. In the latter clade, both Edwardsioidea (the blue box) and Halcampella-like anemones (the green box) became monophyletic clades, respectively. The monophyly of each clade was supported well with the bootstrap values of $85 \%-92 \%$ and posterior probabilities of 1 (nodes indicated in A-D in Fig. 7). The topology was strongly supported with nuclear markers (with bootstrap values/posterior probabilities of $99 \% / 1$ on node A, $89 \% / 1$ on node B, $98 \% / 1$ on node C, and $99 \% / 1$ on node D), but not supported well with mitochondrial markers (nodes $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C were not supported, and node D was supported with s bootstrap value/posterior probability of $66 \% / 1$ ).

Discussion. The classification of Anenthemonae were established in Rodríguez et al. (2014). In the study, only two superfamilies Actinernoidea and Edwardsioidea were classified into this suborder. Superfamily Actinernoidea consisted of the species previously classified in suborder

Endocoelantheae Carlgren, 1925 in Carlgren (1949), and superfamily Edwardsioidea was a monotypic superfamily consisted of family Edwardsiidae Andres, 1881. However, as stated in Chapter 1A, several species of family Halcampidae of Enthemonae were included in the clade of Anenthemonae (Fig. 6).

According to my phylogenetic tree, three clades-Actinernoidea, Edwardsioidea, and Halcampella-like anemones-existed (indicated in different colored boxes in Fig. 7). Halcampella-like anemones were indicated as a sister group to Edwardsioidea with a high support rate (indicated at node B). However, the species of Halcampella-like anemones and species of Edwardsioidea scarcely resembled each other in morphology (see Chapter 2), thus I established a new superfamily, Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. for Halcampella-like anemones (see Table 9 for comparison of the morphology of the three superfamilies).

## 1C. Suborder Enthemonae (Fig. 8)

I constructed a phylogenetic tree of Enthemonae mainly using the sequences of Rodríguez et al. (2014) but included some additional sequences of the species obtained in this study, including several anemones of the genus Metedwardsia (Tables 4, 6). According to the constructed tree (Fig. 8), the clade of superfamily Actinostoloidea Carlgren, 1932 (indicated by the upper black bar in Fig. 8) was indicated to diverge at first, same as the results reported by Rodríguez et al. (2014). Concerning the other two superfamilies Actinioidea Rafinesque, 1815 and Metridioidea Carlgren, 1893, of which Rodríguez et al. (2014) also recognized as monophyletic, the monophyly of each superfamily was not supported in my phylogenetic analysis. Actinioidea (middle black bar in Fig. 8) was not monophyletic but paraphyletic to Metridioidea, and Metridioidea (lower black bar in Fig. 8) was not supported by the BI method.

The genus Metedwardsia had been accommodated in Edwardsiidae of Anenthemonae, but recently suspected as related to family Halcampidae Carlgren, 1893 (Gusmão et al., 2016). However, according to my phylogenetic analysis, the family Halcampidae (indicated in the pale green box in Fig. 8) was less related to the genus Metedwardsia (the green box) in Metridioidea: they formed clades at completely separated nodes, and hence, there was no
possibility that Metedwardsia was within Halcampidae. In addition, no related species having similar morphology to Metedwardsia were found around the clade of Metedwardsia in this tree. This result suggested that an independent new family Metedwardsiidae fam. nov. should be established for the genus Metedwardsia in Metridioidea, Enthemonae (see Table 42 for comparison of Metedwardsiidae and the other families without basal discs in Metridioidea).

A species which could not be classified into any existing taxon (indicated in the red box in Fig. 8) formed a clade with the species of Capnea Forbes, 1841 (pale red box) in the clade of the superfamily Actinostoloidea. The unidentified species had quite peculiar disc-like bodies (Fig. 66) and several other peculiar characters that had been never known in the genus Capnea, in the family Capneidae, or even in the superfamily Actinostoloidea (see Table 35). Thus, I established a new genus Discoactis and a new family Discoactinidae fam. nov. for the species (see Table 35 for comparison of the morphology of the families of Actinostoloidea).

Synandwakia hozawai Uchida, 1932 (indicated in the yellow box in Fig. 8) of the family Andvakiidae was completely separated from the species of type genus Andvakia Danielessen, 1890 (the pale yellow box). Instead, this species was included in the clade of Diadumenidae. However, S. hozawai has a completely different morphology from that of the species of this family (Uchida, 1932b; Carlgren 1949). Thus, detailed morphological examination is required to clarify the taxonomic position of the genus Synandwakia.

Haloclavidae has been considered as a monophyletic family characterized by having one huge siphonoglyph on the ventral side of the actinopharynx (Carlgren, 1949; Barragan et al., 2018). However, Haloclavidae was paraphyletic according to my molecular analysis (indicated in the blue box in Fig. 8).

This phylogenetic tree still did not involve sufficient taxon sampling except Metedwardsiidae fam. nov. and Discoactinidae fam. nov., which I established in this study (see Chapter 2). Determination of the accurate phylogenetic relationship of Haloclavidae or the taxonomic position of Synandwakia and the family Andvakiidae requires further taxon samplings because the species of these taxa are distributed worldwide (e.g., Yap et al., 2014; Barragãn, 2019). Thus, in this study, the classification of Enthemonae was not rearranged except for a new genus and some new species in

Haloclavidae in addition to those two new families (see Chapter 2).

## 1D. Superfamily Actinernoidea (Figs. 9, 10)

Results. I analyzed the phylogeny of Actinernoidea by using 34 specimens of 11 species of all genera except Carlgrenia Stephenson, 1918, including both families, Actinernidae and Halcuriidae. Two large clades were formed in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 9). One clade included three genera, Actinernus, Isactinernus, and Synhalcurias of Actinernidae, and the other included Synactinernus of Actinernidae and Halcurias of Halcuriidae. Actinernidae (the lineages indicated in pink in Fig. 9) became polyphyletic, and Halcuriidae (in orange) was paraphyletic (indicated by the orange bars in Fig. 9) to the genus Synactinernus (the pink bar). The monophyly of every node (A-D in Fig. 10) was supported with bootstrap values of $88 \%-100 \%$ and posterior probabilities of 1 . The topology was strongly supported with nuclear markers (with bootstrap values/posterior probabilities of $98 \% / 1$ on node A, $100 \% / 1$ on node B, $87 \% / 0.95$ on node C, and $100 \% / 0.99$ on node D), but not supported well with mitochondrial markers (node A was not supported, and with bootstrap values/posterior probabilities of $98 \% / 1$ on node B, $51 \% / 0.95$ on node C, and $66 \% / 0.99$ on node D).

Discussion. The two families Actinernidae and Halcuriidae of the superfamily Actinernoidea have peculiar mesenterial arrangements. Only these two families develop their mesenteries of second mesenterial cycle in the endocoels of the mesenteries in the first cycle (Fig. 2C). Conversely, almost all species of actiniarians except for these two families develop mesenteries in the exocoels, out of the mesenterial pairs in the first cycle (Fig. 2D). Therefore, the previous classification system in Carlgren (1949) accommodated Actinernidae and Halcuriidae in the independent suborder Endocoelantheae and distinguished them from the other families (Table 1). Carlgren (1949) stated that these two families could be distinguished from each other by the number of cycles of mesenteries and the number of siphonoglyphs (see Introduction). This classification system of these families was still accepted until the present study. This is mainly because Actinernoidea anemones are scarcely collected owing to their rarity. Even Rodríguez et al. (2014), who conducted the most comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of Actinernoidea ever since, could contain only four species of this suborder (Table 4); hence, they only changed the taxonomic rank of
actinernoidean anemones from suborder to superfamily and did not discuss regarding the inner classifications of the two families.

On the contrary, numerous specimens, 11 species covering five out of six genera of Actinernoidea, were analyzed in the molecular analysis in this study. Thus, I could show that Actinernidae was polyphyletic, and Halcuriidae was paraphyletic (Fig. 9). Based on the phylogeny, I successfully revised Actinernoidea (Fig. 10). The genus Synactinernus (indicated in the purple box in Fig. 10) was transferred to Halcuriidae; Isactinernus and Synhalcurias were accommodated into the newly established family Isactinernidae fam. nov. (indicated by the upper black bar) because there were few common features between Actinernus (in the orange box) and Isactinernus/Synhalcurias (in the green and yellow boxes); and some of the species of Halcurias were moved to the new genus Isohalcurias gen. nov. (in the blue box) to solve the paraphyly of the genus Halcurias (in the red box). This study finally showed that Japan has a very rich fauna of the superfamily Actinernoidea: six out of seven genera and over half of the species of the world were confirmed (see Table 10 for comparison of the morphology of the three families of Actinernoidea; and Table 12 for comparison of the four genera of Halcuriidae).

## 1E. Superfamily Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. (Fig. 11)

My phylogenetic analysis of the suborder Anenthemonae showed that Halcampella-like anemones formed the third clade of this suborder, and I recognized this clade as a new superfamily, Halcampelloidea (see Chapter 1A, 1B), thus I analyzed this superfamily independently. The obtained tree (Fig. 11) had three well-supported clades: a clade that included Halcampella maxima (indicated in the yellow box in Fig. 11), a clade of Hexactis sp. nov. 4 (in the green box), and a clade of Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5 (in the blue box). One more specimen was included in this tree, but this specimen could not be morphologically identified because of its bad preservation (Halcampellidae gen. sp. in Fig. 11). In addition, an edwardsiid species, Paraedwardsia cretata (Stimpson, 1856), was included in the clade of Halcampelloidea and a sister group of Halcampella maxima (Fig. 11), and some morphological features were shared between these two species (see Chapter 2).

Based on these results, I established two new genera, Hexactis gen.
nov. and Pseudoedwardsia gen. nov., for Hexactis sp. nov. 4 and Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5, respectively. Paraedwardsia cretata was moved into the genus Halcampella. Finally, this superfamily was composed of three genera in the new family Halcampellidae fam. nov. (see Table 15 for comparison of the morphology of the three genera of Halcampellidae).

## 1F. Superfamily Edwardsioidea (Figs. 12, 13)

Results. According to the constructed phylogenetic tree (Figs. 12, 13), five genera, Edwardsia (indicated in blue in Fig. 12), Edwardsianthus (green), Scolanthus (red), Isoscolanthus (gray), and Paraedwardsia (yellow; except for Paraedwardsia cretata), formed a large clade with a high support value (with a bootstrap value/posterior probability of $90 \% / 1$ on node A in Fig. 12). This node was also comparatively supported with both mitochondrial and nuclear markers (with bootstrap values/posterior probabilities of $72 \% / 0.86$ and $84 \% / 1$, respectively). In this large clade, five small subclades were recognized (Fig. 13). Edwardsianthus formed a monophyletic subclade out of the five, but the species of Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus, and Paraedwardsia were mingled with the species of Edwardsia in subclades 2-4 (Fig. 12). The other five genera, Tempuractis (indicated in pink in Fig. 12), Edwardsiella (light blue), Nematostella (light green), Halcampogeton (purple), and Drillactis (orange), were independent from the large clade. However, except for Drillactis, showed that the most related genera to Edwardsia with reliable support rates (with $68 \% / 0.97$; Figs. 12, 13), the diverging order of these genera was not certain because of the poor support rates.

Discussion. I performed the phylogenetic analysis for Edwardsioidea (including only Edwardsiidae) by using nine out of 10 known edwardsiid genera and the genus Tempuractis Izumi, Ise and Yanagi, 2019 (Tables 4, 6). Only sequences on GenBank were used for Nematostella Stephenson, 1935, whereas the sequences for the other nine genera-Edwardsia de Quatrefages, 1842, Edwardsianthus England, 1987, Scolanthus Gosse, 1853, Isoscolanthus Brandão, Gusmão and Gomes, 2019, Paraedwardsia Carlgren in Nordgaard, 1905, Drillactis Verrill, 1922, Halcampogeton Carlgren, 1937, Edwardsiella Andres, 1883, and Tempuractis-were obtained in this study. Hence, almost all (10 of 11) genera of Edwardsiidae were included in this analysis. Only Synhalcampella Wyragévitch, 1905 (of which I could not obtain both
specimens and DNA information) was not included. The present analysis included 60 species of 11 genera, whereas the phylogenetic study in Rodríguez et al. (2014) included only 5 species of 3 genera. Although the analysis in Daly (2002a) contained 29 species of 5 genera, their analysis was based mainly on morphological data and did not use molecular data. Thus, the number of taxa analyzed molecularly in this study was considerably larger than that analyzed in any other preceding studies, and I successfully clarified the phylogeny of Edwardsiidae (Fig. 12).

Receiving the result of the phylogenetic analysis, determining how to rearrange the five genera, Edwardsia, Edwardsianthus, Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus, and Paraedwardsia, was necessary. The result showed that the five genera that had been morphologically distinguished were included in one large clade and not well separated from each other in molecular phylogeny (Fig. 12). The five genera of this large clade just shared one prominent common morphological feature-the presence of nemathybomes. Nemathybomes are one of the specific morphological features of Edwardsiidae, but they are limited to some genera of Edwardsiidae (Carlgren, 1949; Daly, 2002a). These five genera are the only genera with nemathybomes, and the remaining six genera (including Synhalcampella) lacked them. Conversely, there was no apparent diagnostic morphological feature to distinguish the subclades in the large clade each other. Hence, I only settled these five subclades provisionally (Fig. 13) and did not assign genera or subgenera to them. In this study, I simply synonymized Edwardsianthus, Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus, and Paraedwardsia into Edwardsia, the most senior previously established genus of the five (following the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature; Ride et al., 1999).

The other six genera of Edwardsiidae need to be retained because they can be morphologically distinguished from the genus Edwardsia (after synonymizing the four genera) and from each other (see Table 17 for comparison of the morphology of the seven genera of Edwardsiidae).



Fig. 6. Maximum-likelihood tree of the order Actiniaria based on the combined dataset of mitochondrial 12S and 16S and nuclear 18S rDNA (total 2910运雷
The branches of the species that had been classified in the suborder Enthemonae are colored in red, and species in Anenthemonae are in blue. Two clades of suborders are indicated in red and blue bars. Numbers connected with slash characters above branches indicate ML bootstrap support values, followed by BI posterior probabilities of nodes A and B. Numbers without slash characters indicate ML bootstrap support values of the nodes (bootstrap values of $\geq 50 \%$ are shown). Nodes in A and B indicate the bases of the clades of suborder Enthemonae and Anenthemonae, respectively.

Fig. 7. Maximum-likelihood tree of the suborder Anenthemonae based on the combined dataset of mitochondrial 12 S and 16 S ; nuclear $18 \mathrm{~S}, 28 \mathrm{~S}$, and 5.8 S rDNA; and ITS1/ITS2 (total 4792 bp ).
The three clades of superfamilies are indicated in boxes in different colors. Numbers connected with slash characters above or below branches indicate ML bootstrap support values, followed by BI posterior probabilities of nodes A-D. Numbers without slash characters indicate ML bootstrap support values of the nodes (bootstrap values of $\geq 50 \%$ are shown). The node in A indicates the base of the clade of superfamily Actinernoidea; node B indicates that of the clade of superfamilies Edwardsioidea and Halcampelloidea superfam. nov.; node $C$ indicates that of the clade of superfamily Halcampelloidea; and node $D$ indicates that of superfamily Edwardsioidea.

Fig. 8. Maximum-likelihood tree of the suborder Enthemonae based on the combined dataset of mitochondrial 12S and 16S rDNA and COXIII DNA as well as nuclear 18S rDNA (total 3689 bp ).
The taxa mentioned in the present study are indicated in color boxes. Numbers connected with slash characters in red color above or below the branches indicate ML bootstrap support values, followed by BI posterior probabilities of the nodes (bootstrap values of $\geq 50 \%$ and posterior probabilities of $\geq 0.9$ are shown). Numbers without slash characters indicate ML bootstrap support values of the nodes (bootstrap values of $\geq 50 \%$ are shown).
$\xrightarrow{0.01}$

Fig. 9. Maximum-likelihood tree of the superfamily Actinernoidea based on the combined dataset of mitochondrial 12S and 16S; nuclear $18 \mathrm{~S}, 28 \mathrm{~S}$, and 5.8 S rDNA; and ITS1/ITS2 (total 4614 bp ).
The lineages of the species that had been classified in the family Actinernidae are colored in pink, and lineages of species of the family Halcuriidae are in orange. The bar in pink indicates the genus Synactinernus (Actinernidae), and two orange bars indicate the genus Halcurias (Halcuriidae). Numbers above or below branches indicate ML bootstrap support values, followed by BI posterior probabilities of the nodes (bootstrap values of $\geq 50 \%$ and posterior probabilities of $\geq 0.9$ are shown).
Isactinernidae fam. nov.

Fig. 10. Maximum-likelihood tree of the superfamily Actinernoidea based on the combined dataset of mitochondrial 12S and 16S; nuclear $18 \mathrm{~S}, 28 \mathrm{~S}$, and 5.8 S rDNA; and ITS1/ITS2 (total 4614 bp ) following the new actinernoidean classification system.
Every clade of genera rearranged in the present study are indicated in boxes in different colors, and those of families are indicated by bars. Numbers above or below branches indicate ML bootstrap support values, followed by BI posterior probabilities of the nodes (bootstrap values of $\geq 50 \%$ and posterior probabilities of $\geq 0.9$ are shown). The node in A indicates the base of the clade of families Actinernidae and Isactinernidae; node B indicates that of the clade of family Isactinernidae; node C indicates that of the clade of family Halcuriidae; and node D indicates that of genera Halcurias and Synactinernus in Halcuriidae.

# Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. (Halcampellidae fam. nov.) 



Halcurias pilatus
Fig. 11. Maximum-likelihood tree of the superfamily Halcampelloidea based on the combined dataset of mitochondrial 12S and 16S rDNA; COXIII DNA; and nuclear 18S and 28 S rDNA (total 4931 bp ) following the new halcampellid classification system.
Three clades of genera are indicated in boxes in different colors. Numbers above or below branches indicate ML bootstrap support values, followed by BI posterior probabilities of the nodes.


Fig. 12. Maximum-likelihood tree of the superfamily Edwardsioidea based on the combined dataset of mitochondrial 12S and 16S rDNA; COXIII DNA; nuclear 18S, 28 S , and 5.8S rDNA; and ITS1/ITS2 (total 5406 bp ).
The lineages of every genera are indicated in different colors (see the color pattern in this figure). Numbers above or below the branches indicate ML bootstrap support values, followed by BI posterior probabilities of the nodes (bootstrap values of $\geq 50 \%$ and posterior probabilities of $\geq 0.9$ are shown). The node in A indicates the base of the clade of the genera Edwardsia, Paraedwardsia, Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus, and Edwardsianthus.

# Edwardsioidea (Edwardsiidae) 



Fig. 13 Maximum-likelihood tree of the superfamily Edwardsioidea based on the combined dataset of mitochondrial 12 S and 16 S rDNA; COXIII DNA; nuclear 18S, 28 S , and 5.8S rDNA; and ITS1/ITS2 (total 5406 bp ) following the new edwardsiid classification system.
Every clade of genera rearranged in the present study are indicated in boxes of different colors. Numbers above or below the branches indicate ML bootstrap support values, followed by BI posterior probabilities of the nodes (bootstrap values of $\geq 50 \%$ and posterior probabilities of $\geq 0.9$ are shown). The node in A indicates the base of the clade of the genus Edwardsia, after synonymizing four genera-Paraedwardsia, Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus, and Edwardsianthus - into it.

## Chapter 2. Taxonomic account of Japanese Actiniaria

Order ACTINIARIA Hertwig, 1882
Suborder ANENTHEMONAE Rodríguez and Daly, 2014
(Japanese name: henkei-isoginchaku-amoku Izumi et al, 2019a)
Diagnosis. Actiniaria with proximal end either rounded, physa-like or with a more or less well-developed, flat basal disc; without basilar muscles. Column smooth, with nematocyst batteries or cuticle and tenaculi; divisible or not into different regions, without longitudinal ectodermal muscles. Tentacles simple, retractile or not, usually arranged hexamerously in alternating cycles or in cycles related to mesenterial arrangement. Marginal sphincter muscle usually absent, if present weak and mesogleal. Oral disc usually circular, but sometimes drawn out into lobes of varying appearance. Actinopharynx with one or two siphonoglyphs. Pairs of mesenteries distinctly arranged in some groups; either "only 8 macrocnemes mesenteries and at least 4 microcnemes" or "in cycles with pairs of mesenteries after first 12 mesenteries (six couples), appearing in lateral endocoels with longitudinal muscles oriented as in directives", but the other groups have general mesenterial arrangement of Actiniaria. Retractor muscles variable in shape, from diffuse to circumscribe. Parietobasilar muscles more or less strong; elongate forms usually with a well differentiated parietal muscle together with parietal part of longitudinal mesenterial muscles. Ciliated tracts of filaments present. Acontia absent. Cnidom: spirocysts, atrichs, basitrichs, holotrichs, microbasic $b$ - and $p$-mastigophores.
(The revised points from Rodríguez et al. [2014] are indicated by bold)
Remarks. See Table 9 for comparison of the three superfamilies included in this suborder. Suborder Anenthemonae, established in Rodríguez et al. (2014) by their phylogenetic analysis, was defined as sea anemones having "strange mesenterial arrangements". The species of one superfamily, Actinernoidea Stephenson, 1922, develop their mesenteries in second cycle in their endocoels (while the all other anemones develop them in exocoels), and species of the other superfamily, Edwardsioidea Andres, 1881, have only eight macrocnemes (while almost all anemones have at least 12). These arrangements did not have any common point each other, thus Rodríguez et al. (2014) defined this suborder just by "unique" mesenterial arrangements (Izumi et al., 2019a). However, my phylogenetic analyses revealed that the
third group, Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. was accommodates in this clade, and the group had completely general mesenterial arrangement of Actiniaria. Thus, the only common morphological feature was disappeared. Now, there is no synapomorphy among the three superfamilies, and it is expected that the common feature of this suborder will be discovered in future.

## Superfamily ACTINERNOIDEA Stephenson, 1922

(Japanese name: yatsuba-kawari-ginchaku-jouka: Izumi et al., 2019a)
Diagnosis. Anenthemonae with well-developed basal disc but without basilar muscles. Column smooth, or with nematocyst batteries, nearly always with spirocysts. Margin tentaculate. Sphincter absent or weak mesogleal. Tentacles in variable number, with or without thickening aboral side, either in two alternating cycles or although usually arranged in cycles, in peculiar way related to development of mesenteries. Longitudinal muscles of tentacles and radial muscles of oral disc ectodermal, with a slight mesogleal tendency. Oral disc sometimes lobed. One or two siphonoglyphs. Usually more mesenteries than directives attached to siphonoglyphs. Unique mesenterial arrangement: after first 12 mesenteries (six couples) are developed, all subsequent pairs appear in lateral endocoels with longitudinal muscles oriented as in directives. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, holotrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. (The revised points from Rodríguez et al. [2014] are indicated by bold)

Remarks. Actinernidae Verrill, 1879 and Halcuriidae Carlgren, 1918 have peculiar mesenterial arrangement. Despite almost all sea anemones develop their second cycle of mesenteries out of first cycle of mesenterial pairs (at exocoels), only species those two families develop them in the first cycle pairs (at endocoels). So, in the classification system of Carlgren (1949), they had been accommodated in the suborder Endocoelantheae Carlgren, 1925. However, the phylogenetic research of Rodríguez et al. (2014) revealed that species of Endocoelantheae were related to Edwardsiidae, and thus they established new suborder, Anenthemonae Rodríguez and Daly, 2014, for Actinernidae, Halcuriidae and Edwardsiidae. That was why Endocoelantheae was disappeared, and they used superfamily Actinernoidea Stephenson, 1922 instead to accommodate those two families.

There are confirmed two families and six genera of actinernoideans in Japan. According to my phylogenetic and morphological analyses (Figs. 9, 10),
the monophyly was strongly supported as a superfamily, but a new family Isactinernidae and a new genus Isohalcurias were established.

See Table 10 for comparison of Isactinernidae fam. nov. and the other families of Actinernoidea. The new taxonomic key of this superfamily to each genera, including Isohalcurias gen. nov., is as below.
1A. Mesenteries distinguished macrocnemes and microcnemes $\qquad$ 2.

2A. Base of tentacles thickening in aboral side......Actinernus Verrill, 1879 2B. Tentacle simple, no thickening $\qquad$ . 3.
3A. Edge of oral disc developed into lobes...Synactinernus Carlgren, 1918
3B. Edge of oral disc simple, not lobed. . 4.

4A. 12 macrocnemes in column..................Carlgrenia Stephenson, 1918
4B. 20 macrocnemes in column .5
5A. Spirocysts absent on column. Muscular processes simple and unified Halcurias McMurrich, 1893

5B. Spirocysts present on column. Part of retractor muscles pinnate Isohalcurias gen. nov.
1B. All mesenteries perfect and macrocnemes............. 6
6A. Edge of oral disc developing into lobes.........Isactinernus Carlgren, 1918
6B. Edge of oral disc simple, not lobed..............Synhalcurias Carlgren, 1914

Family ACTINERNIDAE Stephenson, 1922
(Japanese name: yatsuba-kawari-ginchaku-ka Uchida, 1992)
Actinernidae Stephenson, 1922: 258; Carlgren, 1949: 20
Diagnosis. Actinernoidea with elongate body. Distal margin of column usually expanded and drawn into lobes, but sometimes not expanded nor lobed. Column with or without nematocyst batteries. Tentacles numerous, with basal thickening on their aboral side. With two siphonoglyphs. Mesenteries numerous, divisible into macro- and microcnemes, and develop bilaterally. Retractors rather weak. All stronger mesenteries fertile. Cnidom: basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores
(The revised points from Uchida [2007] are indicated by bold)
Type genus. Actinernus Verrill, 1879
Remarks. This family have accommodated four genera: Actinernus Verrill, 1879, Synhalcurias Carlgren, 1914, Isactinernus Carlgren, 1918 and Synactinernus Carlgren, 1918, and have been characterized by having two siphonoglyphs on their actinopharynx and lacking the deviation between
macrocnemes and microcnemes (Carlgren, 1949). However, there was apparent deviation between macrocnemes and microcnemes only in Actinernus (see Uchida, 2007; Fig. 14) and Synactinernus (see Fig. 21, 23), so it had become doubtful that these four genera should be accommodated in same family.

In this study, my phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 9, 10) revealed that Synactinernus is not monophyletic with other three genera of Actinernidae but in the clade of Halcuriidae. So, it was necessary to eliminate this genus from Actinernidae. Moreover, there was no synapomorphy between Actinernus and the other two genera, and rather there were apparent differences between these genera in mesenterial arrangement and cnidom (see remarks part of Isactinernidae fam. nov.). That was why I established new family for Isactinernus and Synhalcurias and eliminated them from Actinernidae.

Finally, this family became monotypic of type genus Actinernus. The most characteristic feature of this genus is developing their mesenteries laterally: this arrangement is only observed on the species of Actinernus in Actinernoidea. In addition, the species of this family is distinguished from the species of the other two families by a combination of "lacking spirocysts in tentacle and column" and "thickening the aboral ends of tentacles".

Genus Actinernus Verrill, 1879
(Japanese name: Yatsuba-kawari-ginchaku-zoku)
Actinernus Verrill, 1879: 474; McMurrich, 1893: 165; Carlgren, 1914: 62; Carlgren, 1918: 31; Carlgren, 1921: 14, 184; Stephenson, 1922: 259; Carlgren, 1949: 20.

Porponia Hertwig, 1882, p. 111; Carlgren, 1914, p. 61.
Diagnosis. Column cylindrical, the upper part expanded and forms more or less distinctly 8 lobes. Tentacles numerous, with basal thickening on their aboral side. Mesenteries many, consist of 24-52 perfect mesenteries and a half number of imperfect mesenteries. After formation of 10 pairs, 1 st and 2nd cycles, further mesenterial formation takes place at the middle point of each 8 lateral endocoel. Then, the formation is not radial but bilateral way. Furthermore, the size in higher cycles of mesenteries is much different to its partner in each mesenterial pair. Cnidom: basitrichs (all tissues), spirocysts (actinopharynx and filaments), microbasic $p$-mastigophores (actinopharynx

## and filaments).

(The revised points from Uchida [2007] are indicated by bold)
Type species. Actinernus elongatus (Hertwig, 1882)

## Actinernus robustus (Hertwig, 1882)

(Japanese name: yatsuba-kawari-ginchaku)
Fig. 14
Porponia robusta Hertwig, 1882: 107, pl. 1 fig. 10a; Carlgren, 1914: 61.

Actinernus robustus: Carlgren, 1918: 12, 34, pl. 1 fig. 9; Stephenson, 1922: 259; Carlgren, 1949: 21

Material examined. CMNH-ZG 09735: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, corrected on 28 April 2002, from Okinawa Trough ( $27^{\circ} 02.34^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 58.24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; St. D-2), 1550 m in depth, during research cruise of R/V Tansei-Maru (KT023 reg. 2), by ORE beam trawl, by Kensuke Yanagi; CMNH-ZG 09736: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, same collector, locality and method as CMNH-ZG 09735; CMNHZG 09737, 09738: whole specimens, same collector, locality and method as CMNH-ZG 09735; CMNH (no registration): specimen dissected, corrected on 23 September 2001, from Pacific off Kushiro ( $42^{\circ} 11.02$ 'N, $146^{\circ} 17.02$ ' E; St. XR-11), $5346-5473 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth, corrected during research cruise of R/V Hakuho-Maru (KH01-2), by ORE beam trawl, by Kensuke Yanagi. BM 89-11-25-30 (holotype): dissected specimen, collected in 1875 (date unknown), southeast off the Boso Peninsula ( $34^{\circ} 37$ ' E, $140^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$ E [collection information listed on the label]; depth unknown), in the Challenger Expedition (Fig. 22).

Diagnosis. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 14A), up to ca. 26 cm in length and ca. $2.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ in width on preserved specimens. Column surface smooth, without any structures. Ectoderm of column easily peeled off, without nematocyst batteries, and nematocysts very sparsely distributed. The naked column opaque milky white in color (Fig. 14A). Basal disk in aboral end, thin and fragile, but adhesive (Fig. 14A). Upper part of column widely expanded and thrown into eight lobes, four larger and four smaller ones (Fig. 14B, C). Upper margin continued to tentacle bases, and the bases spines-like shape (Fig. 14A). Tentacles ca. 60-90 in number on oral disk, all marginal. All tentacles ca. $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, pale white to brown in color, simple shape,
but with strong thickenings at their aboral base. Oral disc pale brown, with radial streaks corresponding to every tentacle. Mouth at center of oral disk, apparently swelled, lip-like, brown in color.

Internal anatomy. ca. 24-52 macrocnemes (Fig. 14D) on actinopharynx; twelve, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle; maximum 16 in the third cycle; and maximum 16 in fourth cycle. Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, and fourth ones in the endocoels of third ones (bilateral arrangement). Judged by the numbers of tentacles, more 20-40 microcnemes on distal end. Each tentacle either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle and tentacular circular muscle both too weak to observe in histological sections. On aboral ends of tentacles, mesoglea apparently thickened. Retractor muscles extremely weak and diffused (Fig. 14D). Muscle processes very short, simple or a little branched, around 30-50 in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes very weak and indistinct, with a few muscle fibers. Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 14D), reaching $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in thickness, and far thicker than the ectoderm and endoderm. However, mesoglea thinner in mesenteries and basal disc (Fig. 14D, E), and thinnest in tentacles. Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on dorsal and ventral sides (Fig. 14D), always connected to actinopharynx, and with ca. 812 longitudinal grooves as deep as siphonoglyphs. Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent (Fig. 14E). Dioecious.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores.
Remarks. Actinernus robustus is the only species of this genus in Japan. My specimens were completely corresponded to the description of Hertwig (1882) and Uchida (2007). In contrast to all Japanese species of Actinernoidea which live between $20-500 \mathrm{~m}$, this species inhabits in deeper than 1000 m .

Approximate morphology and cnidom of my specimens were corresponded to description of Hertwig (1882) and Uchida (2007), so these specimens can be identified as $A$. robustus. However, there were no holotrichs in filaments though Uchida (2007) observed a few ones.

Family ISACTINERNIDAE fam. nov.
(New Japanese name: yotsuba-kawari-ginchaku-ka)
Diagnosis. Actinernoidea with elongate body. Distal margin of column
usually expanded and drawn into lobes, but sometimes not expanded nor lobed. Column with or without nematocyst batteries. Tentacles numerous, simple or with basal thickening or on their aboral side. With two siphonoglyphs. Mesenteries all macrocnemes, numerous, and developing cyclically. Retractors rather weak. All mesenteries fertile. Cnidom: basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores (each in all tissue)

Type genus. Isactinernus Carlgren, 1918
Etymology. Derived from the name of type genus, Isactinernus Carlgren, 1918.

Remarks. In this study, my phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 10) revealed that Isactinernus and Synhalcurias were the most related genera and became monophyletic with Actinernus. However, there was no synapomorphy between Actinernus and Isactinernus/Synhalcurias because Synactinernus, the polyphyletic genus of them, had almost all of general features of Actinernidae. Therefore, I could not find the accurate common feature shared with the remaining three genera. In addition, there were apparent differences between Actinernus and Isactinernus/Synhalcurias in mesenterial arrangements and cnidoms: in Actinernoidea, only latter two genera have microbasic $p$-mastigophores in tentacles and columns. In addition, only their mesenteries are all macrocnemes. That is why I establish new family for Isactinernus and Synhalcurias and eliminate them from Actinernidae (Fig. 15).

See Table 10 for comparison to the other genera of Halcuriidae. This family is characterized by possessing microbasic $p$-mastigophores in tentacles and columns and developing all mesenteries to macrocnemes.

Genus Isactinernus Carlgren, 1918
(Japanese name: yotsuba-kawari-ginchaku-zoku)
Isactinernus Carlgren, 1918: 29; Stephenson, 1922: 260; Carlgren, 1949: 20; Fautin and den Hartog, 2003: 107

Diagnosis. Column cylindrical, the upper part expanded, and forms 4 large lobes. With many tentacles, thickening on aboral side. Mesenteries ca. 34-70 pair of large and many small ones, all mesenteries perfect and almost all fertile. After formation of 10 pairs of the oldest mesenteries, in 1st and 2nd cycles, further mesenterial formation by cyclic in each 8 lateral endocoel, just same manner as those of Halcurias. With two siphonoglyphs. Column
ectoderm with minute nematocyst batteries. Cnidom: basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores (each in all tissue).
(The revised points from Uchida [2007] are indicated by bold)
Type species. Isactinernus quadrilobatus Carlgren, 1918
Remarks. This genus had been monotypic of I. quadrilobatus Carlgren, 1918 for a century. However, accompanied with Fautin and Hartog (2003), the work which synonymized Synactinernus flavus Carlgren, 1918 into $I$. quadrilobatus, genus Synactinernus became junior synonym of this genus. However, my phylogenetic analysis indicated that these genera are less related phylogenetically (Fig. 15): I. quadrilobatus and S. flavus are polyphyletic, less relative on the phylogenetic tree, and thus they should be accommodated in different family (see also remarks of Synactinernus).

That is why Isactinernus should be remained as a monotypic genus, same status as Carlgren (1918). However, this genus should not be accommodated into Actinernidae but should be included in the new family (see the remarks of the family Isactinernidae).

## Isactinernus quadrilobatus Carlgren, 1918

## (Japanese name: yotsuba-kawari-ginchaku)

 Fig. 15Isactinernus 4-lobatus Carlgren, 1918: 7, 29, textfigs 5, 6, pl. 1 figs. 4, 5; Carlgren, 1940: 22; Carlgren, 1949: 20.

Isactinernus quadrilobatus Stephenson, 1922: 260; Fautin and den Hartog, 2003: 108-113, figs. 1-5.

Material examined. NSMT-Co 1662: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared collected on March 8, 2016, from the Kumano-nada Sea off Hamajima Island, Mie Prefecture, around a depth of 350 m , by trawling of fishing boat KieiMaru, kept in Toba Aquarium for a while, and preserved on March 19, 2016 by Takeya Moritaki in 70 \% ethanol, and re-preserved on April 19, 2016 by Takuma Fujii in 10 \% formalin-seawater solution ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) for histological section; CMNH-ZG 09734: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared collected on April 18, 2018, from the East China Sea off Koshikijima Island, Kagoshima Prefecture, around a depth of 380 m , by the fishing boat Koei-Maru, kept in Io-world Kagoshima Aquarium for a while, and preserved on 6 May 2018 by Takato

Izumi. UUZM 232 (a syntype): dissected specimen, May 5, 1914, off the Goto Islands ( $122^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ [collection information listed on the label]), depth 165 m , collected by Sixten Bock (Fig. 22).

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 15A), up to ca. $4.5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length and ca. 5 cm in width on preserved specimens. Column surface comparatively smooth, without any structures or with small tenaculi. Ectoderm of column pale white (Fig. 15A), with small nematocyst batteries. The naked column opaque milky white in color. Basal disk in aboral end, robust and adhesive (Fig. 15A). Upper part of column widely expanded and thrown into four large lobes (Fig. 15B). Upper margin continued to tentacle bases, and the bases numerous spines-like shape (Fig. 15B). Tentacles ca. 72140 in number on oral disk, all marginal. All tentacles ca. 3-5 mm in length, pale white in color, simple shape, but with strong thickenings at their aboral base. Oral disc pale white, with radial streaks corresponding to every tentacle. Mouth at center of oral disk, apparently swelled, lip-like, cross-like shape, white in color.

Internal anatomy. ca. 72-140 macrocnemes on actinopharynx; twelve, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle; 16 in the third cycle; and 32 in fourth cycle. Judged by the numbers of tentacles, the mesenterial cycle reaching to sixth. Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, same as general arrangement of Actinernoidea. Microcnemes absent (Fig. 15C). Each tentacle either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle and tentacular circular muscle both too weak to observe in histological sections. On aboral ends of tentacles, mesoglea apparently thickened. Retractor muscles extremely weak and diffused (Fig. 15C, D). Muscle processes very short, simple or a little branched, around 40-70 in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes very weak and indistinct, with a few muscle fibers. Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 15C, D), reaching $2.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in thickness, and far thicker than the ectoderm and endoderm. Mesoglea even thick in mesenteries (Fig. 15C) and basal disc, but far thin in tentacles. Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on dorsal and ventral sides, always connected to actinopharynx, and with 10 longitudinal grooves as deep as siphonoglyphs. Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious: almost all mesenteries fertile and matured oocytes in CMNH-ZG 09734.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores.
Remarks. This species has been the only species of genus Isactinernus for a century. According my research, Synactinernus flavus Carlgren, 1918, once synonymized into I. quadrilobatus by Fautin and den Hartog (2003), was not only a different species but also a species in different family (see remarks of genus Synactinernus; Izumi et al., 2019b).

Genus Synhalcurias Carlgren, 1914 (Japanese name: seitaka-kawari-ginchaku-zoku)

Synhalcurias Carlgren, 1914: 53; Carlgren, 1918: 27; Stephenson, 1922: 260; Carlgren, 1949: 19.

Diagnosis. Column cylindrical, the upper part not expanded, nor forms lobes. With many tentacles in simple shape. Mesenteries number 68 to ca. 100, and all mesenteries perfect and fertile. After formation of 10 pairs of the oldest mesenteries, in 1st and 2nd cycles, further mesenterial formation by cyclic in each 8 lateral endocoel, just same manner as those in Halcurias. With two siphonoglyphs. Column ectoderm with or without small nematocyst batteries. Cnidom: basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores (each in all tissue).
(The revised points from Uchida [2007] are indicated by bold)
Type species. Synactinernus elegans (Wassilieff, 1908)
Remarks. Differed from Isactinernus, Synhalcurias has been continuously monotypic since the foundation of genus. However, my research discovered a different species of Synhalcurias. Some individuals were apparently smaller than $S$. elegans, and there were several differences between $S$. elegans and them although their morphological features were satisfied the diagnosis of Synhalcurias. That was why I determined these specimens as a new species of Synhalcurias and described as Synhalcurias sp. nov. 1.

Synhalcurias has been belonging to Actinernidae so long, but it turned to be appropriate that Synhalcurias and Isactinernus shouldn't be accommodated into the family by my morphologic and phylogenetic analysis, so I established new family for these genera (see the remarks of family Isactinernidae).

## Synhalcurias elegans (Wassilieff, 1908)

(Japanese name: seitaka-kawari-ginchaku)
Fig. 16
Ilyanthopsis elegans Wassilieff, 1908: 8, textfigs 2-5, pl. 1 fig. 2, pl. 3 fig. 38, pl. 4 figs. 39, 40a, 40b.

Synhalcurias elegans: Carlgren, 1914: 50-53, pl. figs. 1-4; Carlgren, 1918: 6, 27, textfigs 2-4; Stephenson, 1922: 260; Carlgren, 1940: 22; Carlgren, 1949: 20; Uchida, 1992: 129, pl. 29 fig. 5; Uchida and Soyama, 2001: 21.

Material examined. NSMT-Co 1693: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared; originally collected from Ise-Bay, by trawling of fishing boat Kiei-Maru, and kept in Takeshima Aquarium, and fixed by Takato Izumi on June 1, 2016. NSMT-Co 1694: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared; originally collected in January 2018, at Uragami, Wakayama Prefecture, at 100-130 m, by Isao Hirabayashi, and fixed by Takuma Fujii and Kensuke Yanagi from the tank at the Kushimoto Marine Park on May 22, 2018.

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 16A), up to ca. $7-15 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length and ca. $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in width in living, and $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length and $3.5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ in width on preserved specimens. Column surface comparatively smooth, wrinkled but without any structures. Ectoderm of column pale orange or yellow (Fig. 16A), without nematocyst batteries. The naked column opaque milky white in color. Basal disk in aboral end, thick, robust and adhesive (Fig. 16A). Upper part of column not thrown into any lobes (Fig. 16B). Tentacles ca. 76-100 in number on oral disk, all marginal, in two rows. All tentacles ca. $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, pale orange in color, simple shape and no thickening. Oral disc pale orange, with radial streaks corresponding to every tentacle. Mouth at center of oral disk, apparently swelled, lip-like, pale orange and yellow in color (Fig. 16A, B).

Internal anatomy. ca. 76-100 macrocnemes on actinopharynx; 12, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle; 16 in the third cycle; and 32 in fourth cycle. Judged by the numbers of tentacles, the mesenterial cycle reaching to fifth. Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, same as general arrangement of Actinernoidea. Microcnemes absent (Fig. 16C). Each tentacle either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle and tentacular circular muscle
both too weak to observe in histological sections. On aboral ends of tentacles, mesoglea apparently thickened. Retractor muscles extremely weak and diffused (Fig. 16C-E). Muscle processes too weak to count the numbers (Fig. 16D, E). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes very weak and indistinct, with a few muscle fibers (Fig. 16D, E). Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 16C), reaching $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in thickness, and far thicker than the ectoderm and endoderm. However, mesoglea thinner in mesenteries (Fig. 16D, E) and basal disc, thinnest in tentacles. Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on dorsal and ventral sides, always connected to actinopharynx, and with 18-22 longitudinal grooves (Fig. 16C). Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious: almost all mesenteries fertile but no matured oocytes or sperms in my specimens.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores.
Remarks. This species had been the only species of Synactinernus since Carlgren (1914), but the second species was discovered by my research (see remarks of Synhalcurias sp. nov. 1 concerning the difference from the new species).

## Synhalcurias sp. nov. 1

(New Japanese name: kobito-seitaka-kawari-ginchaku)
Fig. 17; Table 11
Material examined. NSMT-Co 1695: dissected specimen, embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, prepared nematocysts; originally collected in July 11, 2016, off northwestern Otouto-jima Island, Ogasawara Islands ( $27^{\circ} 13.13^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 142^{\circ} 5.43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), at 157 m in depth, using biological dredge, by Takato Izumi. NSMT-Co 1696: dissected specimen, embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, and prepared nematocysts; originally collected in May 27, 2019, off Akuseki Island, at 1106 m in depth, using beam trawl, during research cruise of RV Toyoshio-Maru, by Itaru Kobayashi.

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 17A, C), up to ca. 50 mm in height and ca. 30 mm in width in living, and 40 mm in height and 27 cm in long axis and 1.5 mm in width in preserved specimen. Column surface smooth, without tenaculi or nematocyst batteries, pale whitish orange ectoderm layer and transversal discontinuous yellow line. Aboral end of column pale yellow. Nematocysts very sparsely distributed but contains numerous spirocysts. Upper part of column a little expanded, simple and not
thrown into lobes (Fig. 17B). Tentacles simple, all marginal, $8-16 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, no thickenings including their aboral base, pale white to pale orange in color, and 68 in number on oral disk; inner and outer ones alternatingly bared (Fig. 17B). The tip of tentacles pointed. Basal disk in aboral end, opaque and mesenterial insertion invisible. Oral disk diameter almost same as column, pale white. Mouth at center of oral disk, highly swelled, lip-like, groove on surface, pale orange, and fluorescent yellow patch on dorsal and aboral side (Fig. 17B).

Internal anatomy. All mesenteries macrocnemes and without microcnemes; Sixty-eight (thirty-four pairs of) macrocnemes (Fig. 17H) on actinopharynx; twelve ones, including four directives, in first cycle; eight in second cycle; sixteen in third cycle; thirty-two in fourth cycle. Macrocnemes in second and younger cycle bearing in the endocoel of first cycle mesenteries, which arrangement obeying the rule of mesenterial arrangement of Actinernidae. All mesenteries perfect near the mouth, and each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle and circular muscle too weak to observe in histological section (Fig. 17D-G); Retractor muscles comparatively exceedingly weak and restricted near body wall or diffused and integrated to parietal muscle (Fig. 17H, I). Muscle processes very short, simple, around 40-100 in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes too weak to observe, indistinct (Fig. 17I). Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 17H), reach to 3 mm in thickness, and far thicker than ectoderm and endoderm. Mesoglea thinner than the other parts, but far thicker than endo- and ectoderm, too in tentacle (Fig. 17D-G) and in mesenteries (Fig. 17H). Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on dorsal and ventral side (Figs. 24B, H), always connected to actinopharynx, and with other 12 longitudinal grooves less deep than siphonoglyphs. Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious, immature oocyte in holotype (Fig. 17J).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, microbasic $b$-mastigophores. See Table 11 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Synhalcurias sp. nov. 1 is the second species of Synhalcurias. These sea anemones had first to third cycle of mesenteries following to mesenterial arrangement of Actinernoidea (Endocoelantheae), and they had only macrocnemes, so they were identified as a species of family Isactinernidae. In this family, many morphological features of these
anemones were shared with $S$. elegans. Edges of oral discs of these specimens were never developed into lobes, which feature is observed only in Synhalcurias in Actinernidae, these similarities are strongly supported by phylogeny: our specimen and several specimens of $S$. elegans, including deposited on GenBank, were monophyletic.

Thus, it was undoubtful that this species was belonging to Synhalcurias morphologically and phylogenetically. However, this species was too separated from the clade of $S$. elegans (Fig. 10). There are several differences between $S$. sp. nov. 1 and $S$. elegans (Wassilieff, 1908) showed as below: The size of body of $S$. sp. nov. $1(5 \mathrm{~cm})$ is far smaller than $S$. elegans (around 20 cm ) despite both species are adult (the gametes were matured); the number of perfect mesenteries of $S$. sp. nov. 1 is 68 (first to fourth cycle of mesenteries) while $S$. elegans has at least 76 mesenteries (our specimen and Uchida, 2007); there is no nematocyst batteries, which are apparently distribute on the column of $S$. elegans, on the smooth surface of $S$. sp. nov. 1. In addition, the diversity of nematocysts of $S$. sp. nov. 1 is poor than $S$. elegans (cnidom of $S$. elegans is detailed in fig. 3 in Uchida, 2007): for example, $S$. sp. nov. 1 does not have holotrichs while S. elegans have (fig. 3N in Uchida, 2007). So, I determined our specimens as the second species of Synhalcurias.

Family HALCURIIDAE Carlgren, 1918
(Japanese name: kawari-ginchaku-ka)
Halcuriidae Carlgren, 1918: 24; Carlgren, 1921: 13; Stephenson, 1922: 257; Carlgren, 1938: 17; Carlgren, 1949: 18.

Diagnosis. (Revised parts are shown in bold). Actinernoidea with elongate body. Distal margin of column lobed or not lobed. Column with or without nematocyst batteries. Tentacles without basal thickening on their aboral side. With a single or double siphonoglyph. Mesenteries divisible into macro- and microcnemes. Macrocnemes 6 or 10 or 18 pairs. Some of the microcnemes, however, perfect in many species. Retractors of the macrocnemes restricted. Macrocnemes fertile with filaments, but microcnemes sterile without filaments.
(The revised points from Uchida [2004] are indicated by bold)
Type genus. Halcurias McMurrich, 1893
Remarks. This family has accommodated two genera: Halcurias

McMurrich, 1893 and Carlgrenia, Stephenson, 1918 (the latter genus never confirmed from Japan including my research). However, my phylogenetic analysis revealed that Synactinernus Carlgren, 1918, one of the genera of Actinernidae, was in the clade of previous Halcurias (Fig. 10). However, species of this genus have almost same morphologies as Actinernidae, so there was no synapomorphy between Halcurias and Synactinernus. Thus, it was unnatural to accommodate synactinernid species into Halcurias.

That was why I divided previous Halcurias into "true" Halcurias and a new genus, Isohalcurias gen. nov. following the phylogeny. According to the tree, there were only two species from Japan, Halcurias japonicus Uchida, 2004 and Halcurias sp. nov. 2, in the same clade of Halcurias pilatus McMurrich, 1893, the type species of genus. On the other hand, the other three species of Halcurias were in the other clade which was paraphyletic to "true" Halcurias and Synactinernus. Thus, those three species, Isohalcurias carlgreni (McMurrich, 1901) comb. nov., Isohalcurias levis (Uchida, 2004) comb. nov., and Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3, turned to be accommodated in new genus, Isohalcurias. See Table 12 for comparison of the four genera included in the suborder Anenthemonae.

Genus Halcurias McMurrich, 1893
(Japanese name: kawari-ginchaku-zoku)
Halcurias McMurrich 1893: 142; McMurrich, 1901: 155, 158; Carlgren, 1914: 60; Carlgren, 1918: 25; Stephenson, 1918: 14; Carlgren 1938: 18; Carlgren, 1949: 18

Halcuriopsis Carlgren 1921: 93.
Diagnosis. Mesenteries up to 34 pairs arranged in 4 cycles, $6+4+8+16$. Macrocnemes 10 pairs, fertile, filamented and with restricted retractors. Microcnemes in only upper part of the body, some of them perfect. Retractor muscles strong and diffused. Parietal muscles rather well developed to fairly weak. Tentacles up to 68. Dioecious. Cnidom; basitrichs (in all tissues), spirocysts (in tentacles, actinopharynx and filaments), and microbasic $p^{-}$ mastigophores (in actinopharynx and filaments).
(The revised points from Uchida [2004] are indicated by bold)
Type species. Halcurias pilatus McMurrich, 1893
Remarks. Halcuriidae Carlgren, 1918 accommodated two genera, Halcurias McMurrich, 1893 and Carlgrenia Stephenson, 1918. The latter
genus has been monotypic, so almost all species of this family have been accommodated in Halcurias. However, according to my phylogenetic analysis, Halcurias became paraphyletic (Fig. 9). So, I established new genus, Isohalcurias gen. nov. and moved some species to that genus (Fig. 10). Compared to Isohalcurias, Halcurias is characterized by two features: lacking spirocysts in their column (compare Halcurias sp. nov. 2 and Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3 in Table 11), and retractor muscles with comparatively simple muscular processes (e.g. Figs. 18D, 19D).

The two species of Halcuriidae from Japan were remained in Halcurias: Halcurias japonicus, and Halcurias sp. nov. 2. In the world, Halcurias pilatus McMurrich, 1893 was confirmed to belong to this genus by phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 10). Concerning the other described species, classification was conjectured by cnidom of column as below.

Species possibly remained in Halcurias: Halcurias mcmurrich, Uchida, 2004 (according to Rodríguez et al. [2013], this species does not contain spirocysts in column); Halcurias endocoelactis Stephenson, 1918 (it was described that spirocysts were absent on body wall in Stephenson [1918]); Halcurias capensis Carlgren, 1928 (same as H. endocoelactis).

Species possibly assigned to Isohalcurias: Halcurias minimus Carlgren, 1928; Halcurias sudanensis Riemann-Zürneck, 1983 (They were described that spirocysts were present on body walls of both species in Carlgren [1928] and Riemann-Zürneck [1983]).

Halcurias japonicus Uchida, 2004
(Japanese name: abata-kawari-ginchaku)
Fig. 18
Halcurias japonicus Uchida, 2004: 13-15, fig. 2. pl. 1C-1E
Material examined. (Iz-001): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, collected on 2016 (date unknown), from Toyama-Bay, Toyama Prefecture, around a depth of 50-100 m, gill net of fishing boat Koei-Maru; (Iz-002): whole specimen, same date, collector and method as (Iz-001); (Iz-003): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, collected from Toyama-Bay, off Toyama Prefecture, by gill net (anonymous collector, and date unknown), kept in a tank of Uozu Aquarium by Tomoharu Kimura, and fixed on February 28, 2015, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-
 (Iz-007): whole specimen; originally collected from Ise-Bay, by trawling of fishing boat Kiei-Maru, and kept in Takeshima Aquarium, and fixed by Takato Izumi on June 29, 2017. (Iz-008): whole specimen; originally collected in January 2018, at Uragami, Wakayama Prefecture, at $100-130 \mathrm{~m}$, by Isao Hirabayashi, and fixed by Takuma Fujii and Kensuke Yanagi from the tank at the Kushimoto Marine Park on May 22, 2018.

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 18A, B), up to ca. 40 mm in height and ca. 15 mm in width in living, and 15-30 mm in height and $5-22 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen. Column surface comparatively rough, reddish orange ectoderm layer with white tenaculi-like nematocyst batteries. Nematocysts densely distributed, especially in nematocyst batteries. Upper part of column a little narrower, pale yellow in color, simple and not thrown into any lobes. Tentacles simple, conical, all marginal, 6-8 mm in length, no thickenings including their aboral base, pale yellow in color, and 68 in number on oral disk; inner and outer ones alternatingly bared (Fig. 18C). The tip of tentacles pointed. Basal disk in aboral end, opaque and mesenterial insertion invisible (Fig. 18A, B). Oral disk diameter as broad as column, hemi-transparent pale yellow. Mouth at center of oral disk, highly swelled, lip-like, smooth, bright yellow and orange (Fig. 18C).

Internal anatomy. 20 macrocnemes on actinopharynx (my specimens all 20); twelve, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle. Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, an arrangement obeying the rule of mesenterial arrangement of Actinernidae. Mesenteries in third and fourth cycle microcnemes. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle exocoeletic and circular muscle too weak to observe in histological section; retractor muscles restricted at the center of mesenteries, comparatively weak but distinct (Fig. 18D). Muscle processes simple, or slightly branched, around 25-41 in each muscle pennon (Fig. 18D). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes quite weak with 10-13 simple processes (Fig. 18D). Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx, far thicker than ectoderm and endoderm (Fig. 19G). Mesoglea thinner in mesoglea (Fig. 18D, E). Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on one side, always connected to actinopharynx, and with other 11 longitudinal grooves less deep than siphonoglyphs. Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious, immature testis
in my specimen (Fig. 18E). Mesenteries in second cycle fertile.
Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. Spirocysts are absent in the column.

Remarks. Uchida (2004) described this species as Halcurias japonicus. However, this species name is nomen dubium now because this article did not indicate the type specimen. Even though Uchida indicate specimen as the type belatedly in Uchida (2007), then there is no description together, so the name did not become valid. However, I provisionally report this anemones as Halcurias japonicus because I collected the halcuriids which were corresponded to the description of Uchida (2004). Uchida only reported this species in Japanese Sea off Hokuriku region, but I recorded this species also from the Pacific.

This halcuriid species was in the clade of Halcurias, and lacks spirocysts in their column, so $H$. japonicus should be remaind in this genus.

## Halcurias sp. nov. 2

(New Japanese name: ichigo-kawari-ginchaku)
Fig. 19; Table 11
Material examined. (Iz-009): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, collected by scuba diving on March 22, 2018, at Mushizaki, Sado Island, Niigata Pref., 15 $m$ in depth, by Akihito Omori; (Iz-010)-(Iz-011): whole specimen, same date, collector and method as (Iz-009); (Iz-012): whole specimen; originally collected in January 2018, at Uragami, Wakayama Prefecture, at $100-130 \mathrm{~m}$, by Isao Hirabayashi, and fixed by Takuma Fujii and Kensuke Yanagi from the tank at the Kushimoto Marine Park on May 22, 2018.

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 19A, B), up to ca. 10 mm in height and ca. 5 mm in width in living, and 9 mm in height and 4 mm in width in preserved specimen. Column surface comparatively smooth, reddish orange ectoderm layer and sometimes patchy pattern with dark red and light orange, and with white tenaculi-like nematocyst batteries. Nematocysts densely distributed, especially in nematocyst batteries. Upper part of column a little narrower, white in color, simple and not thrown into lobes. Tentacles simple, all marginal, $3-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, no thickenings including their aboral base, bright red to orange in color and pale white in roots, and 68 in number on oral disk; inner and outer ones alternatingly bared
(Fig. 19C). The tip of tentacles pointed. Basal disk in aboral end, opaque and mesenterial insertion invisible. Oral disk diameter broader than column, hemi-transparent pale white. Mouth at center of oral disk, highly swelled, liplike, groove on surface, bright orange (Fig. 19A).

Internal anatomy. 20 (10 pairs of) macrocnemes on actinopharynx; twelve, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle (Fig. 19G, H). Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, an arrangement obeying the rule of mesenterial arrangement of Actinernidae. Mesenteries in third and fourth cycle microcnemes. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle exocoeletic (Fig. 19F) and circular muscle too weak to observe in histological section (Fig. 19F); retractor muscles restricted near actinopharynx or filaments, obviously developed (Fig. 19D). Muscle processes simple, or slightly branched, around 15-25 in each muscle pennon (Fig. 19D). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes comparatively developed and distinct (Fig. 19D). Parietal muscle processes are simple, 4-7 in each side. Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx, generally thicker than ectoderm and endoderm (Fig. 19G). Mesoglea thinner than the other parts, especially in tentacle: far thinner than ectoderm (Fig. 19E, F). Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on one side, always connected to actinopharynx, and with other 11 longitudinal grooves less deep than siphonoglyphs (Fig. 19G). Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious, immature testis in my specimen. Mesenteries in second cycle fertile.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 11 for size and distribution

Remarks. See Table 13 for comparison to the other species of Halcurias. This species can be easily distinguished from any other species of Halcurias by possessing two types of basitrichs in their tentacles.

This halcuriid most resembles H. pilatus McMurrich, 1893 in external morphology, but there is no long basitrichs in filaments of this species. The long basitrichs are strongly characterizing H. pilatus (Rodríguez et al., 2013). Moreover, phylogenetic analysis indicated that this halcuriid was monophyletic with Halcurias japonicus, and this anemones and $H$. pilatus were paraphyletic. So, I determined this sea anemone not as $H$. pilatus but as a new species and described here.

Genus Synactinernus Carlgren, 1918
(New Japanese name: Kuroba-kawari-ginchaku-zoku)
Synactinernus Carlgren, 1918: 30; Carlgren, 1949: 21
Diagnosis (Revised parts are shown in bold). Actinernidae with cylindrical body which distally is drawn out into 8 distinct lobes, all 8 same size or four larger and four smaller alternating. Column without papillae. No sphincter. Tentacles in at least 2 cycles without distinct mesogleal thickenings, all same length or largest at apices of the lobes, numerous. Longitudinal muscles of tentacles ectodermal, radial muscles of oral disc chiefly ectodermal, strong. Two broad siphonoglyphs on actinopharynx. 36 macrocnemes cyclic in arrangement, beyond them weak mesenteries of unequal size in upper part of the body. Retractors weak, parietal muscles weak or rather well developed.

Type species. Synactinernus flavus Carlgren, 1918.
Remarks. The genus Synactinernus was established in family Actinernidae by Carlgren (1918) monotypically for Synactinernus flavus Carlgren, 1918. Later, Carlgren (1949) transferred this genus to Family Actinernidae Stephenson, 1922 of suborder Endocoelantheae Carlgren, 1925. Recently, Fautin and den Hartog (2003) argued that Synactinernus flavus, the only species of Synactinernus, cannot be distinguished from Isactinernus quadrilobatus Carlgren, 1918, and so they synonymized Synactinernus with Isactinernus. However, other studies treated this genus as valid (Fautin 2007, 2016). So, the actual status of S. flavus and the validity of Synactinernus were still unclear. Additional specimens are necessary to solve this taxonomical problem, but there has been found no new specimen of Synactinernus. After a century since the original description of Carlgren (1918). This study becomes the first chance of revision of Synactinernus because our study obtained the second specimens of $S$. flavus and the second species of this genus, Synactinernus churaumi.

Our morphological analysis of newly collected specimens of two species of Synactinernus and Isactinernus quadrilobatus and of the type specimens of I. quadrilobatus showed that tentacles of $S$. flavus and $S$. churaumi are simple in shape apparently lacking thickening of aboral side (Figs. 20, 22) while those of Isactinernus quadrilobatus, both our collection and type specimens, are apparently thickened at the base of aboral side (Figs. 15,22 ). This clear difference suggested that the species of Synactinernus can be distinguished from Isactinernus quadrilobatus by their tentacles. In
addition, species of Synactinernus have a fixed number (36) of macrocnemes (Figs. 21, 23), and this prominent feature in mesentery structure should be a diagnostic character of the genus while Isactinernus quadrilobatus has a lot of indistinguishable mesenteries (Fig. 15). In contrast, the alternate arrangement of larger and smaller oral lobes of Synactinernus flavus was considered as an important morphological feature of this monotypic genus (Carlgren, 1918, 1949), turned to be not a diagnostic character because the new species $S$. churaumi has 8 lobes in same size.

Our molecular phylogeny also showed that Synactinernus was independent clade from any other genera of Actinernidae (Fig. 10). Moreover, two Halcuriidae clade was paraphyletic to Synactinernus, so this genus should not be accommodated in Actinernidae but should be in Halcuriidae.

This genus is endemic in Japan and is distributed around 300 m depth in the East China Sea and the Pacific Ocean.

## Synactinernus flavus Carlgren, 1918

(Japanese name: Kuroba-kawari-ginchaku)
Figs. 20, 21; Table 11
Synactinernus flavus Carlgren, 1918: 31
Synactinernus flavus: Izumi et al., 2019b: 531-534, figs. 2, 3, 5, 6
Material examined. NSMT-Co 1660: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared; originally collected on November 19, 2012, the Goto-nada Sea off southeastern Goto Islands, Nagasaki Prefecture ( $32^{\circ} 23.00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 03.00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), at a depth of 304-504 m, using a biological dredge of R/V Nagasaki-Maru (Cruise No. 365), collected by Masanori Nonaka, and fixed by Takuma Fujii and Hiroko Takaoka from the tank at Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium on January 25, 2013. CMNH-ZG 09732: whole specimen attached to a rock; originally collected in January 2018, at Uragami, Wakayama Prefecture, at 100-130 m, by Isao Hirabayashi, and fixed by Takuma Fujii and Kensuke Yanagi from the tank at the Kushimoto Marine Park on May 22, 2018. UUZM 232 holotype: dissected specimen, May 17, 1914, off the Goto Islands ( $33^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 50$ ' E [collection information listed on the label]), depth 110 m , collected by Sixten Bock (Fig. 22).

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 20A, D), up to ca. $5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length and ca. $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ in width when alive, and $2-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ in length
and $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ in width on specimen. Column surface comparatively smooth, without tenaculi, with numerous discontinuous, wavy wrinkles running in a transverse direction, pale surface with pale orange ectoderm layer. Ectoderm of column without nematocyst batteries, nematocysts very sparsely distributed containing numerous spirocysts. Upper part of column widely expanded and thrown into eight lobes, four larger and four smaller ones (Fig. 20B, C). Upper margin of the lobes fluorescent yellow in color (Fig. 20A). Tentacles simple, all marginal, ca. 2-5 mm in length, no thickenings including their aboral base, pale white in color, and ca. 120-200 in number on oral disk; inner and outer ones alternatingly bared. The tip of tentacles pointed. Basal disk in aboral end, semitransparent, and mesenterial insertion visible. Oral disk diameter ca. 3-6 cm, same color as tentacles, mouth at center of oral disk, apparently swelled, lip-like.

Internal anatomy. 36 (18 pairs of) macrocnemes (Fig. 21A) on actinopharynx; twelve, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle; 16 in the third cycle. Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, an arrangement obeying the rule of mesenterial arrangement of Actinernidae. Pairs of microcnemes, in the fourth cycle, observed in transverse section (Fig. 21B). Judged by the numbers of tentacles, mesenteries of Synactinernus flavus in the first-sixth cycles. All mesenteries perfect near the mouth, and each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal (Fig. 21C, arrowhead); tentacular circular muscle too weak to observe in histological sections (Fig. 21D). Retractor muscles comparatively weak and restricted actinopharynx or filament side (Fig. 21E). Muscle processes short, mostly simple or slightly branched, around $30-50$ in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes weak, distinct with approximately 10 muscle fibers in upper part near actinopharynx (Fig. 21B), but indistinct in lower part near filaments (Fig. 21E). Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 21B), reaching $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in thickness, and far thicker than the ectoderm and endoderm. However, mesoglea thinner in mesenteries (Fig. 21B), and thinnest in tentacles (Fig. 21C, D). Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on dorsal and ventral sides (Fig. 21A), always connected to actinopharynx, and with 10 longitudinal grooves as deep as siphonoglyphs. Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious, matured eggs in gonads in NSMT-1660 (Fig. 21F). Mesenteries in the first and second cycles
only fertile (Fig. 21E).
Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 11 for size and distribution.

Derivation of new Japanese name. "Kuroba" means the plant clover. The oral disk with 4 large and 4 small lobes appears like the four-leaf clover, which is considered a symbol of luck.

Taxonomic remarks. I provide a detailed description of S. flavus based on the holotype and our new specimens in this study. I presented cnidom data of every part of the body (Table 11) and the figures of external features (Fig. 20), which were lacking in Carlgren (1918).

Almost all morphological features of the present specimen (NSMT-Co 1660) corresponded to Carlgren (1918) and the holotype (UUZM 232): cylindrical body (Figs. 20A, 22); ca. 120-200 tentacles with no thickening even on base (Figs. 20, 22); about 10 longitudinal grooves on actinopharynx (Fig. 21A); mesoglea on the actinopharynx are thick (Fig. 21B); ectodermal but weak tentacular muscle (Fig. 21C); numerous spirocysts in column (Table 11). The size of spirocysts, $20.9-47.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $4.1-7.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in width in our specimens (Table 11), is similar to that of the syntype, $26-38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in width (Carlgren, 1918).

The number of macrocnemes is fixed to 36 in $S$. flavus (Fig. 21A), while over 100 in I. quadrilobatus (Fig. 15; there are the same number of mesenteries next to the actinopharynx), but it is not a strong evidence to divide the species and genus because Fautin and den Hartog (2003) reported a range in the number of mesenterial pairs among 81 specimens when the two species were synonymized (18 to 40). However, I confirmed that there are several differences between the two species other than the above-mentioned ones between the two genera; whether as mentioned in remarks of genus Synactinernus, thickening on the base of tentacle is only observed on $I$. quadrilobatus. At the oral disc, this species has apparently four large and four small lobes (Figs. 20B, C), but I. quadrilobatus has only four lobes (Fig. 15); S. flavus fertilizes only the first and second cycle mesenteries, total 20 in number (Fig. 21E), while I. quadrilobatus has many fertile mesenteries (Fig. 15D). In addition, the size of I. quadrilobatus is approximately twice to three times that of S. flavus (Fig. 15A). These several morphological differences of both species are sufficient to discuss that they are independent groups, and our molecular phylogeny analysis strongly supports the division into two
species based on the several morphological differences mentioned above; $S$. flavus and I. quadrilobatus are not closely related on the phylogenetic tree and the difference between them is considered to be at the genus level (Fig. 15 ; see Chapter 1D). In conclusion, $S$. flavus is not a synonym of $I$. quadrilobatus and is different at the genus level both in morphology and molecular phylogeny. Therefore, the morphological features that Fautin and den Hartog (2003) described as intraspecific variations in I. quadrilobatus can also be used to distinguish $S$. flavus from I. quadrilobatus.

In the present study, I redescribed the species using our newly collected specimens with the addition of important features like cnidom of every part of the body (Table 11), which were lacking in Carlgren (1918), and also photographic information (Figs. 20, 21).

The locality of the holotype of S. flavus, UUZM 232, is described on the label as follows: "Goto Islands 28 miles N. 1/2 Ost fran Shirase fyr 12850 O.L., 3341 N.Br." The locality, "Shirase", would mean "Shiroze", an isolated uninhabited island north off the Goto Islands ( $33^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 00^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{N}, 128^{\circ} 48^{\prime} 14^{\prime}$ ' E ). In Japanese, the Kanji-character of "Shiroze" is sometimes pronounced as "Shirase". Swedish "fyr" means a lighthouse. The lighthouse on Shiroze was built in December 1904, and was obviously present in 1914, when the holotype of $S$. flavus was collected. The point, $1 / 2$ sea mile east off Shiroze, is approximately $40-45 \mathrm{~km}$ north from Fukue Island (the main island of the Goto Islands). These distances and directions completely match "Goto Islands 28 miles N" on the label. The depth, 110 m written on the label, is also a match. Considering the above information, the exact type locality should be here. The latitude and longitude written on the label is far away from "Shiroze", so it may be mistaken. Our specimens would be the first topotype specimens after the collected type specimen.

Ecological remarks. It was observed that Synactinernus flavus reproduced by transverse fission in the tank at Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium several times. When the bodies were mechanically or thermally stimulated, for example when their basal disc was detached from the substrate, it cracked on the surface of the column, and then transverse fission of the body occurred sooner (Fig. 20D). Both halves became independent full-grown individuals. This is the first observation of transverse fission in Actinernoidea, while fission from the basal disc has been observed in Halcuriidae of Actinernoidea (Uchida, 2004).
(Description, Figs. 27-29, and part of Table 11 are revised and reprinted Izumi et al. [2019b] by courtesy of Zoological Science.)

## Synactinernus churaumi Izumi and Fujii, 2019 <br> (Japanese name: Churaumi-kawari-ginchaku)

Figs. 20, 23; Table 11
Synactinernus churaumi Izumi and Fujii, 2019: Izumi et al., 2019b: 534-537; figs. 2, 5, 7

Material examined. Holotype: NSMT-Co 1661: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared; originally collected on February 22, 2004, off northwestern Ishigaki Island, Okinawa Prefecture, at a depth of 281-312 m, using LEO, ROV of Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium, by Takuo Higashiji, and fixed by Takuma Fujii and Hiroko Takaoka from the aquarium tank on January 25, 2013. Paratype: CMNH-ZG 09733: originally collected on September 5, 2018, off Onna Village, Okinawa Island, Okinawa Prefecture ( $26^{\circ} 34.22^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $127^{\circ} 47.96^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), at a depth of 320 m , using ROV of the Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium by Takuo Higashiji, and fixed by Takato Izumi from the aquarium tank on September 10, 2018.

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 20E), up to 2025 cm in length and 15 cm in width when alive. Regarding specimens, 12 cm in length and 10 cm in width on holotype, and 13 cm in length and 9 cm in width on paratype. Column surface smooth, without tenaculi, pale surface with orange or yellow ectoderm layer. Ectoderm of column without nematocyst batteries and nematocysts very sparsely distributed but contain numerous spirocysts. Upper part of column widely expanded and thrown into eight lobes of the same size (Fig. 20E-G). Upper margin of the lobes same as column in color (Fig. 20E). Tentacles simple, all marginal, $5-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, no thickenings including their aboral base, pale white in color, and ca. 350500 in number on oral disk; inner and outer ones alternatingly bared (Fig. 20F). The tip of tentacles pointed. Basal disk in aboral end, opaque and mesenterial insertion invisible. Oral disk diameter ca. $12-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ in living specimen, same color as tentacles or pale orange, Mouth at center of oral disk, exceedingly swollen, lip-like, pale white to yellow (Fig. 20F).

Internal anatomy. 36 (18 pairs of) macrocnemes (Fig. 23A) on actinopharynx; 12, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the
second cycle; and 16 in the third cycle. Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries; their arrangement obeying the rule of mesenterial arrangement of Actinernidae. Mesenteries in younger than the fourth cycle microcnemes, and judged by the numbers of tentacles, mesenteries of Synactinernus churaumi in the first-seventh cycles. All mesenteries perfect near the mouth, and each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal (Fig. 23C, D; arrowhead); tentacular circular muscle too weak to observe in histological section; retractor muscles comparatively weak and restricted on the middle of mesenteries (Fig. 23B); or diffused and integrated to parietal muscle (Fig. 23E). Muscle processes short, mostly simple or slightly branched, around 6090 in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes weak, distinct with approximately 10 muscle fibers in upper part near actinopharynx (Fig. 23B) but integrated to retractor muscles near filaments (Fig. 23E). Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 23A, B), reaching to $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in thickness, and far thicker than ectoderm and endoderm. However, mesoglea thinner in mesenteries (Fig. 23B, E) and thinnest in tentacle (Fig. 23C, D). Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on dorsal and ventral sides (Fig. 23A), always connected to actinopharynx, and with 10 longitudinal grooves as deep as siphonoglyphs. Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious, matured testes in NSMT-1661; a variety of stages of maturation in the same testis (Fig. 23F). Mesenteries in the first and second cycles only fertile. The release of eggs and sperm by $S$. churaumi was observed in the tank at the Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 11 for size and distribution

Etymology. The species epithet "churaumi" is named after Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium, an aquarium in Okinawa, which provided us the specimens of this species. "Chura" means beautiful in Okinawan language, and "umi" means sea in Japanese.

Derivation of new Japanese name. Same as the species epithet.
Taxonomic remarks. Synactinernus churaumi is the second species of this genus; this species is clearly distinguished from S. flavus. Comparing the two species in adult individuals which have matured gametes (Figs. 21, 23), $S$. churaumi is approximately $3-5$ times larger than $S$. flavus in body length ( $S$. churaumi is one of the largest species of Actinernidae), and S. churaumi
has over 350 tentacles while $S$. flavus has around half the number. The margin of the oral disk of $S$. churaumi develops into eight same sized lobes, while that of $S$. flavus has four larger and four smaller lobes. The molecular phylogenetic tree (Fig. 9) indicated that these two species formed apparently separate clades. These evidences indicate that $S$. churaumi is not conspecific with $S$. flavus but is an independent species. In addition, there is possibly a difference in the presence of asexual fission (see Ecological remarks).

The eight-lobed oral disk of S. churaumi resembles that of Actinernus Verrill, 1879. However, S. churaumi does not correspond to the diagnosis of Actinernus of Actinernidae Stephenson, 1922. The species of Actinernus have mesogleal thickening at the tentacle base, and I confirmed this in both the holotype of Actinernus robustus Hertwig, 1882, the only species of Actinernus from the North Pacific, (Natural History Museum, London, BM 89-11-25-30; Fig. 22) and our specimens (CMNH-ZG 09735-09738). However, tentacles of $S$. churaumi were not thickened at any part. Moreover, mesenterial arrangement of Actinernus is bilateral, and the fourth cycle mesenteries is born in the endocoel of the third cycle (Carlgren, 1918), and Uchida (2007) confirmed this for $A$. robustus. The mesenterial arrangement of $S$. churaumi is completely different from that of Actinernus.

Ecological remarks. Synactinernus churaumi is distributed around depths of $320-350 \mathrm{~m}$ off Okinawa Island. This species forms small aggregations on the top of hill-like submarine topography as recorded by the ROV (Fig. 20H). Incidentally, no asexual fission of S. churaumi in the tank at the Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium was observed for 15 years, while S. flavus has self-divided several times over seven years (Fig. 20D).
(Description, Figs. 27, 30, and part of Table 11 are revised and reprinted Izumi et al. [2019b] by courtesy of Zoological Science.)

Genus Isohalcurias gen. nov.
(New Japanese name: kawari-ginntyaku-modoki-zoku)
Diagnosis. Mesenteries up to 34 pairs arranged in 4 cycles, $6+4+8+16$. Macrocnemes 10 pairs, fertile, filamented and with restricted retractors. Microcnemes in only upper part of the body, some of them perfect. Retractor muscles diffused and pinnate. Parietal muscles rather well developed to fairly weak. Tentacles up to 68. Dioecious. Cnidom; spirocysts and basitrichs (in all tissues), and microbasic $p$-mastigophores (in actinopharynx and filaments).

Type species. Isohalcurias carlgreni (McMurrich, 1901)
Etymology. Isohalcurias is derived from "Iso (= Greek isos, equal)" + "halcurias (the divided genus)". The species of Isohalcurias so resemble species of Halcurias that they cannot be distinguished unless making sections or inspecting cnidae.

Remarks. According to my phylogenetic analysis (Figs. 9, 10), the species which were identified as genus Halcurias became paraphyletic. The outer clade, which included $H$. carlgreni, $H$. levis, and $H$. sp. nov. 3 (a new species), did not include the type species, Halcurias pilatus. Thus, this group cannot be named Halcurias. That was why I established new genus Isohalcurias gen. nov. for those three species.

See Table 12 for comparison to the other genera of Halcuriidae. This genus can be identified by having spirocysts in column (compare Halcurias sp. nov. 2 and Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3 in Table 11), and partly pinnate retractor muscles (e.g. Figs. 24D, 25D, 26C). In addition to the three species, Halcurias minimus Carlgren, 1928 and Halcurias sudanensis Riemann-Zürneck, 1983 are possibly in this new genus because these species have spirocysts in body wall (Carlgren, 1928; Riemann-Zürneck, 1928; Uchida, 2004). It is hoped to obtain molecular information of these species for phylogenetic analyses in future.

## Isohalcurias carlgreni (McMurrich, 1901) comb. nov.

(Japanese name: kawari-ginchaku)
Fig. 24
Halcurias Carlgreni McMurrich 1901: 159
Halcurias carlgreni: Carlgren, 1914: 69; Uchida 2004: 9-13, fig. 1, pl. 1A, B

Material examined. NSMT-Co 1697: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, in September 19, 2011, collected from Suo-Oshima, Yamaguchi Pref., Japan, at 10 m in depth, by scuba diving, by Takuma Fujii; NSMT-Co 1698: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, same collector, locality, and method as NSMT-Co 1697; (Iz-014): whole specimen, same collector, locality, and method as NSMT-Co 1697; (Iz-015): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared; collected by trawling of fishery boat

Shojin-Maru from Kumano-nada Sea off Mie Pref. (depth and date unknown), by Takeya Moritaki, kept in Toba Aquarium for a while, and preserved on 18 June 2017 by Takato Izumi; (Iz-016): juvenile specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, in September 19, 2011, collected from west-off Uki-shima, Chiba Pref., Japan, at 20 m in depth, by scuba diving, by Junji Okuno; (Iz-017): whole specimen, same collector, locality, and method as (Iz-016).

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 24A, B), up to $17-70 \mathrm{~mm}$ in height and ca. $11-50 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width. Column surface comparatively smooth, with reddish orange ectoderm layer and sparse small nematocyst batteries. Nematocysts densely distributed, especially in nematocyst batteries. Tentacles simple, all marginal, 6-40 mm in length, no thickenings including their aboral base, pale white in color, and 68 in number on oral disk; inner and outer ones alternatingly bared (Fig. 24A). The tip of tentacles pointed. Basal disc distinct and adhesive (Fig. 24A), opaque and mesenterial insertion invisible. Oral disk diameter as broad as column, hemitransparent pale white. Mouth at center of oral disk, highly swelled, lip-like, groove on surface, white in color.

Internal anatomy. 20 (10 pairs of) macrocnemes on actinopharynx; twelve, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle (Fig. 24C). Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, an arrangement obeying the rule of mesenterial arrangement of Actinernidae. Mesenteries in third and fourth cycle microcnemes. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle exocoeletic and circular muscle endocoeletic; retractor muscles restricted near parietal muscles, obviously developed and pinnate (Fig. 24D). Muscle processes well-branched, around $8-15$ in each muscle pennon (Fig. 24D). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes comparatively developed and distinct with simple or slightly branched $4-11$ processes in each side. Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx, generally thicker than ectoderm and endoderm (Fig. 24D). Mesoglea thinner than the other parts, especially in tentacle: far thinner than ectoderm. Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on one side, always connected to actinopharynx, and with other 15-19 longitudinal grooves less deep than siphonoglyphs (Fig. 24C). Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious, immature testis in my specimen (Fig. 24E). Mesenteries in second cycle fertile.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. Spirocysts are numerous in the column.

Remarks. This species was described as Halcurias Carlgreni in McMurrich (1901) and reported from Japan in Uchida (2004). H. carlgreni was characterized by ectoderm of column containing numerous spirocysts (Uchida, 2004). Our specimens were corresponded these descriptions, so identified as $H$. carlgreni.

However, as mentioned in Chapter 1D, Halcurias became paraphyletic to Synactinernus. The clade including Halcurias carlgreni, $H$. levis, and $H$. sp. nov. 3 did not include the type species of the genera. Therefore, these species should be moved to new genus Isohalcurias, thus H. carlgreni became Isohalcurias carlgreni comb. nov.

## Isohalcurias levis (Uchida, 2004) comb. nov.

## (Japanese name: oo-kawari-ginchaku)

Fig. 25
Halcurias levis Uchida, 2004: 16, figs. 3, 4, pl. 1F
Material examined. CMNH (no registration): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared; in October 7, 2008, from Aishima Island, Hagi, Yamaguchi Pref., 47 $m$ in depth collected by scuba diving, by Kensuke Yanagi; (Iz-018): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, collected in January 28, 2017, from Kuju-kushima (off Kuroshima), Nagasaki Pref., 35 m in depth, bycatched with fishes by gill net, kept in the tank of Saikai National Park Kuju-kushima Aquarium, and preserved on 14 April, 2017 by Takato Izumi; (Iz-019): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, collected in May 17, 2018, off Yaku-shima Island ( $30^{\circ} 09.39^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $130^{\circ} 38.03^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; St. 3), at 200-255m in depth, using bean trawl, during research cruise of R/V Toyoshio-Maru, by Itaru Kobayashi.

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 25A, B), up to ca. 150 mm in height and ca. 100 mm in width in living, and $34-90 \mathrm{~mm}$ in height and $20-35 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen. Column surface smooth and without nematocysts batteries. Whole body bright yellow in color. Nematocysts sparsely distributed on column. Upper part of column narrower, same color as column, simple and not thrown into any lobes. Tentacles simple,
all marginal, $30-50 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, no thickenings including their aboral base, bright yellow in color, and 68 in number; inner and outer ones alternatingly bared (Fig. 25A). The tip of tentacles pointed. Basal disk in aboral end (Fig. 25A), yellowish opaque and mesenterial insertion inapparently visible. Oral disk diameter narrower than column, hemi-transparent bright yellow. Mouth at center of oral disk, highly swelled, lip-like, groove on surface, bright yellow.

Internal anatomy. 20 (10 pairs of) macrocnemes on actinopharynx; twelve, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle (Fig. 25C). Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, an arrangement obeying the rule of mesenterial arrangement of Actinernidae. Mesenteries in third and fourth cycle microcnemes. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle and circular muscle too weak to observe in histological section (Fig. 25E); Retractor muscles diffused, weak, and pinnate (Fig. 25D, F). Muscle processes well- branched, around 9-17 in each muscle pennon (Fig. 25D). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes well-developed and distinct. Parietal muscle weak, with simple or slightly branched processes, around 10 in each side (Fig. 25D, F). Mesoglea thickest in body wall, and even thick in mesenteries and actinopharynx, far thicker than ectoderm and endoderm (Fig. $25 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ). Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on one side, always connected to actinopharynx, and with other 19 longitudinal grooves, as deep as siphonoglyphs (Fig. 25C). Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent. Dioecious, matured ovaries in (Iz-018) (Fig. 25F). Mesenteries in second to third cycle fertile.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic p-mastigophores, Spirocysts are sparsely present in the column.

Remarks. Uchida (2004) described this species as Halcurias levis. However, this species name become nomen dubium because of same reason as $H$. japonicus. The specimens I collected were corresponded to description of Uchida (2004) morphologically, so I report them as this species provisionally.

This species was accommodated in the clade of Isohalcurias but cannot be obviously separated from I. carlgreni comb. nov. on phylogenetic tree (Fig. 10). However, there were several differences between these halcuriids e.g. body sizes, presence or absence of nematocyst batteries, development of retractor muscles and so forth (see Table 14). Judged by the common view on phylogenetic analysis of sea anemones that there is still no
effective molecular markers to solve intra-generic phylogeny now (Daly et al., 2010; Rodríguez et al., 2014; Titus et al., 2019), it was probable that any phylogenetic analysis method in the present day cannot distinguish $I$. carlgreni and I. levis on phylogenetic tree. In conclusion, this study described this species as Isohalcurias levis (Uchida, 2004).

This species had been discovered only from Syoga-se, off Wakayama Pref. (Uchida and Soyama, 2001; Uchida, 2004; Yamana, 2016), but I newly confirmed from Sea of Japan (CMNH-ZG [no registration]), Goto-Nada Sea ([Iz-018]), and East China Sea ([Iz-019]).

## Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3

(New Japanese name: ringo-kawari-ginchaku)
Fig. 26; Table 11
Material examined. CMNH (no registration): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, originally collected in May 15, 2005, from Ryukyu Trough off Kumejima Island ( $25^{\circ} 30.96^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 126^{\circ} 29.21^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; St. OT-14), at $372-375 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth, using beam trawl, during research cruise of R/V Hakuho-Maru, by Kensuke Yanagi; NSMT-Co 1699: specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, histological sections prepared, nematocysts prepared, originally collected in May 24, 2015, west off Cape Sata ( $31^{\circ} 02.06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 130^{\circ} 33.37$ ' E; St. 7) , at 202 $m$ in depth, using biological dredge, during research cruise of R/V ToyoshioMaru, by Mikihito Arai; (Iz-020): specimen dissected, tissues embedded in paraffin, nematocysts prepared, collected in May 27, 2019, off Akuseki Island ( $29^{\circ} 08.99^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 52.18^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; St. 5), at 1106 m in depth, using bean trawl, during research cruise of R/V Toyoshio-Maru, by Itaru Kobayashi; (Iz-021) and (Iz-022): whole specimen; originally collected in January 2018, at Uragami, Wakayama Prefecture, at $100-130 \mathrm{~m}$, by Isao Hirabayashi, and fixed by Takuma Fujii and Kensuke Yanagi from the tank at the Kushimoto Marine Park on May 22, 2018.

Description. External anatomy. Body cylindrical (Fig. 26A), up to ca. 50 mm in height and ca. 30 mm in width in living, and $25-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ in height and $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen. Column surface smooth and without nematocysts batteries, pale red to pale orange ectoderm layer, and sometimes fine dark red or orange patches on middle column. Aboral end of column pale yellow. Nematocysts sparsely distributed on column, but
numerous spirocysts on column (Table 11). Upper part of column a little expanded, same color as column, simple and not thrown into lobes. Tentacles simple, all marginal, $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, no thickenings including their aboral base, bright red to orange in color (more blight color on distal side), and 68 in number on oral disk; inner and outer ones alternatingly bared. The tip of tentacles pointed. Basal disk in aboral end (Fig. 26A), yellowish opaque and mesenterial insertion inapparently visible. Oral disk diameter broader than column, hemi-transparent pale white. Mouth at center of oral disk, highly swelled, lip-like, groove on surface, bright orange.

Internal anatomy. 20 (10 pairs of) macrocnemes on actinopharynx; twelve, including four directives, in the first cycle; eight in the second cycle (Fig. 26B). Macrocnemes in the second cycle born in the endocoel of the first cycle mesenteries, an arrangement obeying the rule of mesenterial arrangement of Actinernidae. Mesenteries in third and fourth cycle microcnemes (Fig. 26B). Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Tentacular longitudinal muscle and circular muscle too weak to observe in histological section (Fig. 26D); Retractor muscles diffused, but obviously developed and pinnate (Fig. 26C, E). Muscle processes well- branched, around $10-20$ in each muscle pennon (Fig. 26C). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes well-developed and distinct. Parietal muscle processes well-branched, around 10 in each side (Fig. 26E). Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 26B, E), far thicker than ectoderm and endoderm. Mesoglea a little thinner than the other parts, but generally thicker than ectoderm and endoderm (Fig. 26C, D). Actinopharynx, with siphonoglyphs on one side, always connected to actinopharynx, and with other 11 longitudinal grooves, as deep as siphonoglyphs (Fig. 26B). Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent (Fig. 26G). Dioecious, matured ovaries in NSMTCo 1699 (Fig. 26F). Mesenteries in second to third cycle fertile.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, microbasic $b$-mastigophores. See Table 11 for size and distribution

Remarks. See Table 14 for comparison to the other species of Isohalcurias and some species possibly assignable to this genus (since these species of Halcurias are possibly assignable to the genus Isohalcurias based on their morphological characteristics [Table 12]. However, molecular phylogenetic analyses of them have not been carried out. Thus, new combination was not proposed for these species in this study).

According to taxonomic key of Uchida (2004), these sea anemones are most similar to Halcurias minimus (Carlgren, 1928) because of column without longitudinal muscles, actinopharynx containing large spirocysts, column containing spirocysts (though no nematocyst batteries), and tentacles with two types of basitrichs. However, there are several differences between these anemones and $H$. minimus: there is no nematocyst batteries on this species while they sparsely distribute on column of $H$. minimus (Carlgren, 1928; Uchida, 2004); the size of $H$. minimus is 0.8 cm (Carlgren, 1928), approximate one-fourth size of our specimens. Moreover, H. minimus only inhabits deep sea of circum-antiboreal region, sea area which was far distant from continents or islands in southern hemisphere, so it is unnatural that same species lives in Japan, especially sea of Temperate Zone. That is why these anemones were concluded as a new species, Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3.

## SAMMARY of Actinernoidea

My phylogenetic and taxonomic research resulted in these conclusions.

1. Superfamily Actinernoidea was monophyletic, and classified into three families, Actinernidae, Halcuriidae, and Isactinernidae fam. nov.
2. Previous Actinernidae was polyphyletic. The genus Synactinernus was an inner taxon of the clade of Halcuriidae, thus it was accommodated into this family. Actinernus, Isactinernus and Synhalcurias became monophyletic, but divided into two families, Actinernidae (Actinernus) and Isactinernidae fam. nov. (Isactinernus and Synhalcurias), because of poverty of common feature.
3. Genus Halcurias was divided into two clades because this genus was paraphyletic to Synactinernus. One taxon including type species should be remained as Halcurias, and the other should be a new genus, Isohalcurias gen. nov.. Isohalcurias can be distinguished from Halcurias by presence of spirocysts in columns and developing pinnate retractor muscles.
4. I described four new species of Actinernoidea, and thus Japanese species of Actinernoidea became 11. As a consequence of my study, over a half of the species of Actinernoidea (11/21) has been confirmed from Japan.


Fig. 14. External and internal morphology of Actinernus robustus (CMNH-ZG 9735).
A. Lateral view of a fixed specimen; B. Oral view; C. Bared oral disc; D. Transverse section of column; E. Longitudinal section of basal disc. Basilar muscle absent; Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; bd, basal disc; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A and C, 1 mm in B and E, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D and E.


Fig. 15. External and internal morphology of Isactinernus quadrilobatus (CMNH-ZG 9734). A. Lateral view of a fixed specimen; B. Oral view; C. Enlarged transverse section of upper column. E. Enlarged transverse section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A and B, 1 mm in C and D.


Fig. 16. External and internal morphology of Synhalcurias elegans (NSMT-Co 1693).
A. Lateral view of a living specimen; B. Oral view; C. Enlarged view of actinopharynx in dissected specimen; D. Enlarged transverse section of lower column; E. enlarged transverse section of upper column; Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 5 cm in A and B, 1 cm in C, 1 mm in D and E .


Fig. 17. External and internal morphology of Synhalcurias sp. nov. 1 (NSMT-Co 1695). A. Lateral view of a living specimen; B. Oral view; C. lateral view of a fixed specimen; D. Enlarged longitudinal section of tentacle. E. Enlarged view of D; F. Enlarged transverse section of tentacle. G. Enlarged view of F; H. transverse section of upper column; I. Enlarged transverse section of macrocnemes; J. Enlarged view of ovary. Abbreviations: Ac, actinopharynx; Me, mesoglea; Oo, oocytes; Pm, parietal muscle; Rm , retractor muscle; Si, siphonoglyph; Te , tentacle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}$, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in H and I, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D and F, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E, G and J.


Fig. 18. External and internal morphology of Halcurias japonicus (Iz-001).
A. Lateral view of a living specimen; B. Lateral view of a fixed specimen; C. Oral view of a living specimen; D. Enlarged transverse section of upper column; E. Enlarged transverse section of lower column; Abbreviations: fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}, 500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D and E.


Fig. 19. External and internal morphology of Halcurias sp. nov. 2 (Iz-009).
A. Lateral view of a living specimen; B. Lateral view of a fixed specimen; C. Oral view of a fixed specimen; D. Enlarged transverse section of upper column; E. Enlarged longitudinal section of tentacle; F. Enlarged transverse section of tentacle; G. Enlarged transverse section of upper column; H. Enlarged transverse section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; oo, oocyte; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in G and $\mathrm{H}, 500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E and F. Picture A was taken by Akihito Omori.


Fig. 20. External morphology and ecological observation of Synactinernus flavus (A-D) and S. churaumi (E-H).
A. Living specimen (NSMT-Co 1660) in the tank at the Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium; B. Oral view of living specimen (NSMT-Co 1660); C. Oral view of fixed specimen (NSMT-Co 1660). Large arrowheads indicate large lobes, and small arrowheads indicate small ones; D. A living individual undergoing transverse fission in the tank at the Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium (Photograph by Toshiki Higa); E. Lateral view of living specimen (NSMT-Co 1661); F. Oral view of living specimen (NSMT-Co 1661); G. Oral view of fixed specimen (NSMT-Co 1661). An arrowhead indicates a notch by our dissection; H. Underwater photograph of an aggregation of S. churaumi off Okinawa Island (around a depth of 320 m taken by ROV of the Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium). Each individual is indicated by arrowheads. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A-D; 5 cm in E-G. Pictures A, B, E, F were taken by Takuma Fujii, and H is provided by Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium.


Fig. 21. Internal morphology of Synactinernus flavus (NSMT-Co 1660).
A. Transverse section of actinopharynx of the gross specimen; B. Transverse section of macrocnemes and microcnemes; C. Transverse section of the tentacle. Arrowheads indicate tentacular longitudinal muscle; D. Longitudinal section of the most basal part of the tentacle; E. Transverse section of filaments and gonads; F. Enlarged view of gonad. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; mi, microcneme; oo, oocyte; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, 1 mm in B and E, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C and D, and $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in F .


Fig. 22. The type specimens of Synactinernus flavus ((A, B), UUZM232, holotype), Isactinernus quadrilobatus ((C, D), UUZM 102a, one of the syntypes), and Actinernus robustus ( $(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F})$, BM 89-11-25-30, holotype).
A. Whole aspect; B. Oral view. C. Whole aspect; D. Gross section of mesenteries of lower part; E. Whole aspect; F. Oral view. All scale bars indicate 1 cm . All pictures were taken and provided by Kensuke Yanagi.


Fig. 23. Internal morphology of Synactinernus churaumi (NSMT-Co 1661).
A. Transverse section of actinopharynx of the gross specimen; B. Transverse section of a macrocneme; the dark purple tissues around the macrocneme are fragments of filaments; C. Transverse section of the tentacle; D. Enlarged view of transverse section of tentacle. Arrowheads indicate tentacular longitudinal muscle; E. Transverse section of filaments and gonads; F. Enlarged view of the gonad. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; te, testis. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A; 1 mm in B, C, E, and F; and $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D.


Fig. 24. External and internal morphology of Isohalcurias carlgreni (NSMT-Co 1697). A. Living individuals in nature; B. lateral view of a fixed specimen; C. Oral view of a fixed specimen; D. Enlarged transverse section of upper column; E. Enlarged longitudinal section of tentacle; F. Enlarged transverse section of tentacle; G. Enlarged transverse section of upper column; H. Enlarged transverse section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; te, tentacle; ts, testis. Scale bars indicate 5 cm in A, 1 cm in B and C, 1 mm in D, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E. Picture A was taken by Takuma Fujii.


Fig. 25. External and internal morphology of Isohalcurias levis (Iz-018).
A. lateral view of a living specimen; B. lateral view of a fixed specimen; C. Transverse section of dissected specimen; D. Enlarged transverse section of upper column; E. Enlarged longitudinal section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A-C, 1 mm in D and E.


Fig. 26. External and internal morphology of Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3 (NSMT-Co 1699).
A. lateral view of a living specimen (photographed by Mikihito Arai); B. Transverse section of dissected specimen; C. Enlarged view of retractor muscle; D. Transverse section of tentacle; E. Enlarged transverse section of upper column; F Enlarged transverse section of lower column; G. longitudinal section of basal disc. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; mi, microcneme; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; te, tentacle; te, testis. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A and B, 1 mm in G, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, E, and F, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D. Picture A was taken by Mikihito Arai.
Table 9. Comparison of Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. and the other superfamilies of suborder Anenthemonae.

|  | Actinernoidea Stephenson, 1922 | Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. | Edwardsioidea Andres, 1881 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Included families | Actinernidae Stephenson, 1922 Halcuriidae Carlgren, 1918 Isactinernidae fam. nov. | Halcampelloidea fam. nov. | Edwardsiidae Andres, 1881 |
| Characters |  |  |  |
| General form | Columnar | Worm-like | Worm-like |
| Main habitat | On hard substrates | In sand or mud | In sand or mud |
| Basal disc | Present | Present | Absent (physa) |
| Number of macrocnemes in the first cycle | 12 | 12 | 8 |
| References | Stephenson (1922) | Hertwig (1881) | Andres (1881) |
|  | Carlgren (1949) | Carlgren (1949) | Carlgren (1949) |
|  | Rodriguez et al. (2014) | The present study | Rodriguez et al. (2014) |

Table 10. Comparison of Isactinernidae fam. nov. and the other two families in superfamily Actinernoidea.

|  | Isactinernidae fam. nov. | Actinernidae Stephenson, 1922 | Halcuriidae Carlgren, 1918 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type genus | Isactinernus Carlgren, 1918 | Actinernus Verrill, 1879 | Halcurias McMurrich, 1893 |
| The other genera | Synhalcurias Carlgren, 1914 | none | Synactinernus Carlgren, 1918 <br> Carlgrenia Stephenson, 1918 <br> Isohalcurias gen. nov. |
| Characters |  |  |  |
| Mesenterial arrangement | Cyclic | Bilateral | Cyclic |
| Microcnemes | Absent | Present | Present |
| Number of macrocnemes | Unfixed (more than 68) | Unfixed (more than 20) | Fixed (12, 20, or 36) |
|  |  |  | McMurrich (1893) |
|  | Carlgren (1914) | Stephenson (1922) | Carlgren (1918) |
| References | Carlgren (1918) | Uchida (2007) | Stephenson (1918) |
|  | Uchida (2007) |  | Uchida (2004) |
|  | The present study |  | Uchida (2007) |
|  |  |  | The present study |

Table 11. Cnidoms of the species of Synhalcurias, Halcurias, Synactinernus, and Isohalcurias

|  | Synhalcurias sp. nov. 1 NSMT-Co 1695 |  | Halcurias sp. nov. 2Iz-009 |  | Synactinernus flavus NSMT-Co 1660 |  | Synactinernus churaumi NSMT-Co 1661 |  | Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3 NSMT-Co 1699 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S 30.4-41.8 $\times$ 3.5-4.8 | numerous | 9.7-13.7 $\times 2.0-3.2$ | a few | 15.3-33.4 $\times 2.3-4.4$ | numerous | $30.0-42.2 \times 2.1-3.3$ | numerous | 25.7-36.2 $\times$ 3.2-4.5 | rare |
|  | L |  | $21.1-27.5 \times 2.5-4.7$ | numerous |  |  |  |  | 25.7-36.2 $\times$ 3.2-4.6 | numerous |
| spirocysts | 25.1-45.4 $\times$ 3.8-6.8 | numerous | $20.8-31 \times 3.5-7.1$ | numerous | 18.5-45.7 $\times 3.0-9.8$ | numerous | $17.8-56.8 \times 2.6-8.3$ | numerous | $21.8-41.2 \times 4.0-7.3$ | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | $11.9-20.9 \times 3.9-4.8$ | a few |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $30.1-36.3 \times 3.4-6.0$ | a few |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | 27.5-34.9 $\times$ 2.8-4.4 | numerous | 22.8-32.6 $\times$ 2.4-4.0 | numerous | 23.8-39.6 $\times$ 2.6-4.2 | numerous | 26.5-42.5 $\times$ 3.2-4.6 | numerous | 25.7-36.2 $\times$ 3.2-4.5 | numerous |
| spirocysts | $31.4-43.4 \times 5.9-9.5$ | numerous | $19.6-27.8 \times 4.2-5.3$ | a few | $25.8-40.2 \times 5.4-8.0$ | numerous | 34.4-43.1 $\times$ 5.8-8.9 | numerous | 31.5-52.0 $\times$ 5.8-9.6 | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | S 26.5-33.3 $\times$ 4.7-6.9 | a few | 20.3-29.2 $\times 4.5-7.7$ | numerous | $23.0-23.9 \times 4.0-5.0$ | rare | $34.3-39.5 \times 5.6-6.8$ | numerous | 29.0-35.2 $\times$ 5.3-7.2 | numerous |
|  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.1-37.1 $\times$ 5.6-7.4 | a few |
| Column |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | $24.8-46.7 \times 3.1-4.0$ | numerous | 31.1-39.9 $\times$ 2.3-4.2 | numerous | $27.9-42.7 \times 2.9-5.0$ | numerous | 36.1-46.4 $\times$ 2.9-4.6 | numerous | 23.8-34.2 $\times$ 2.7-4.1 | numerous |
| spirocysts | $32.4-42.8 \times 5.6-9.8$ | numerous |  |  | 20.9-47.1 $\times$ 4.1-7.6 | numerous | $28.6-39.2 \times 4.3-6.0$ | numerous | $27.8-37.1 \times 4.2-6.1$ | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | $42.8-48.0 \times 6.6-8.0$ | rare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S 31.0-39.7 $\times$ 3.0-4.7 | numerous | $23.9-33.5 \times 2.4-4.3$ | numerous | $28.5-38.5 \times 2.5-4.3$ | numerous | $21.6-36.3 \times 2.4-3.7$ | numerous | 9.9-19.8 $\times$ 1.7-4.4 | numerous |
|  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $26.7-28.0 \times 3.8-5.0$ | rare |
| spirocysts | 27.2-35.0 $\times$ 5.0-7.0 | numerous | $24.8 \times 5.3$ | rare | 19.9-31.0 $\times$ 3.4-6.5 | numerous | $24.5-36.1 \times 4.6-7.3$ | numerous | $28.3-33.3 \times 5.4-7.1$ | rare |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | $26.3-34.3 \times 5.0-6.5$ | a few | 13.4-29.6 $\times 3.5-10.5$ | a few | $20.5-27.5 \times 4.5-6.7$ | numerous | $28.1-39.4 \times 4.8-7.1$ | numerous | $25.2-34.8 \times 5.6-9.2$ | numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores | $15.9 \times 4.5$ | rare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\footnotetext{
Table 12. Comparison of Isohalcurias gen. nov. and the other genera of Halcuriidae.

|  | Isohalcurias gen. nov. | Halcurias McMurrich, 1893 | Synactinernus Carlgren, 1918 | Carlgrenia Stephenson, 1918 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type species | Isohalcurias carlgreni (McMurrich, 1901) comb. nov. | Halcurias pilatus McMurrich, 1893 | Synactinernus flavus Carlgren, 1918 | Carlgrenia desiderata Stephenson, 1918 |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |
| Edge of oral disc | Simple, not forming any lobes | Simple, not forming any lobes | Forming eight lobes | Simple, not forming any lobes |
| Number of macrocnemes | 20 (10 pairs) | 20 (10 pairs) | 36 (18 pairs) | 12 (6 pairs) |
| Shape of retractor muscle | Pinnate | Simple | Simple | Strongly restricted |
| Spirocysts of column | Present | Absent | Present | Unknown |
|  | McMurrich (1901) | McMurrich (1893) | Carlgren (1918) | Stephenson (1918) |
| Reference | Carlgren (1928) Uchida (2004) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carlgren (1949) } \\ & \text { Uchida (2004) } \end{aligned}$ | Fautin and den Hartog (2003) | Carlgren (1949) |

Table 13. Comparison of Halcurias sp. nov. 2. and the other species of Halcurias including species possibly assignable to the genus.

|  | Halcurias sp. nov. 2 | Halcurias japonicus <br> Uchida, 2004 | Halcurias pilatus McMurrich, 1893 | *Halcurias capensis Carlgren, 1928 | *Halcurias endocoelactis Stephenson, 1918 | *Halcurias mcmurrichi Uchida, 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Size (height) | 9-10 mm | $15-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $14-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $12-22 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 48 mm | 40 mm |
| Basitrichs of tentacles | 2 types | 1 type | 1 type | 1 type | 1 type | 1 type |
| Spirocysts in actinopharynx | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Absent | Present |
| Long basitrichs in filaments | Absent | Absent | Present | Unknown | Unknown | Absent |
| References | The present study | Uchida (2004) | McMurrich (1893) | Carlgren (1928) | Stephenson (1918) | Uchida (2004) |
|  |  | The present study | Rodriguez et al. (2013) | Uchida (2004) | Uchida (2004) | Rodriguez et al. (2013) |

\footnotetext{
Table 14. Comparison of Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3. and the other species of Isohalcurias gen. nov. including a species possibly assignable to the genus.

|  | Isohalcurias sp. nov. 3 | Isohalcurias carlgreni <br> (McMurrich, 1901) comb. nov. | Isohalcurias levis (Uchida, 2004) comb. nov. | *Halcurias minimus Carlgren, 1928 | *Halcurias sudanensis Riemann-Zürneck, 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Size (height) | 25-40 mm | 11-50 mm | $34-90 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 8 mm | 30 mm |
|  | Distinct | Distinct | Weak | Distinct | Weak |
| Retractor muscle | Pinnate | Strongly pinnate | Strongly pinnate | Pinnate | Slightly Pinnate |
| Parietal muscle | Distinct with well-branched muscular processes | Weak with simple muscular processes | Weak with simple muscular processes | Weak with simple muscular processes | Weak with simple muscular processes |
| Basitrichs of tentacles | 2 types | 1 type | 2 types | 2 types | 1 type |
| Spirocysts in actinopharynx | Present | Present | Present | Absent | Present |
| Spirocysts in column | Present | Present | Present | Present (but sparse) | Present |
| Long basitrichs in filaments | Absent | Absent | Absent | Unknown | Absent |
| References | The present study | McMurrich (1901) <br> Uchida (2004) <br> The present study | Uchida (2004) <br> The present study | Carlgren (1928) <br> Uchida (2004) | Riemann-Zürneck (1983) |

## Superfamily HALCAMPELLOIDEA superfam. nov.

(New Japanese name: nasubi-isoginchaku-jouka)
Diagnosis. Anenthemonae with elongate, vermiform body divisible into at least two regions, long scapus with cuticle and distal scapulus or indivisible any regions. Aboral end tapered, like physa. No marginal sphincter muscle or acontia. 10 or 12 macrocnemes in first cycle. Macrocnemes divided into two pairs of directives and six-eight lateral mesenteries. Retractors generally restricted. Parietal muscles always distinct. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, microbasic $b$ mastigophores.

Etymology. Derived from the family and genus name.
Remarks. There had been two superfamilies, Actinernoidea and Edwardsioidea in the suborder Anenthemonae. However, according to my analysis, an additional clade of sea anemones, include Halcampella maxima (Wassilieff, 1908), was formed in the Anenthemonae clade and became a sister group of Edwardsioidea (Fig. 7). So, though H. maxima has been belonging to Halcampidae Andres, 1893 (this family is in Enthemonae clade), these sea anemones were no longer Enthemonae. However, superfamily Edwardsioidea was too peculiar to accommodate these anemones: species of Edwardsioidea were characterized by having eight perfect mesenteries, but these species have $10-12$ perfect ones. That was why I established the third superfamily, Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. in Anenthemonae.

Family HALCAMPELLIDAE fam. nov.
(New Japanese name: nasubi-isoginchaku-ka)
Diagnosis. Halcampelloidea with elongate, vermiform body divisible into at least two regions, long scapus with cuticle and distal scapulus or indivisible any regions. Aboral end tapered, like physa. No marginal sphincter muscle or acontia. At least 12 macrocnemes in first cycle. Macrocnemes divided into two pairs of directives and eight lateral mesenteries (four lateral pairs). Retractors generally restricted. Parietal muscles always distinct. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$ mastigophores, microbasic $b$-mastigophores.

Type genus. Halcampella Andres, 1883
Etymology. Derived from the name of type genus.

Remarks. I accommodated the species in this clade into one family, Halcampellidae fam. nov.. Even though the species can be split into three families by the topology of tree (Fig. 11), there was no sufficient evidence to split these species into different families. See Table 15 for comparison of all included genera of Halcampellidae.

Genus Halcampella Andres, 1883
(New Japanese name: nasubi-isoginchaku-zoku)
Halcampella Andres, 1883: 315; Hertwig, 1888: 29; Carlgren, 1949: 28
Diagnosis. Halcampellidae with the elongate body divisible into physa, scapus and scapulus. Basal disc more or less distinct, scapus with tenaculi. No sphincter. Tentacles short, more numerous than the mesenteries in the aboral part of the body, their longitudinal muscles ectodermal. Radial muscles of oral disc ectodermal to meso-ectodermal. Siphonoglyphs weak. 6 pairs of perfect and fertile mesenteries, 2 pairs of directives. Microcnemes only in the uppermost part of the body. Retractors strong, diffuse, restricted, forming numerous high folds. Parietal muscles rather well developed. (The revised points from Carlgren [1949] are indicated by bold)

Type species. Halcampella maxima Hertwig, 1888
Remarks. Halcampella had been a genus of Halcampidae and accommodated four species (Fautin, 2016). Halcampella maxima collected from Japan was the type species of this genus. Halcampella once had been included in family Halcampoididae Appellof, 1896, and later moved into Halcampidae Andres, 1883 in association with the unification of these two families (Gusmão et al., 2016). However, my research showed that Halcampella maxima was not in Enthemonae but a species of Anenthemonae.

However, Halcampa, the type genus of Halcampidae was exactly Enthemonae (Fig. 7), so it was undoubtful that Halcampella was no longer the member of family Halcampidae. Moreover, though it was also possible to reestablish of previous family Halcampoididae, a species of Halcampoides, the type genus of this family, was also in the Enthemonae clade (the sister node with Halcampa; Fig. 7). Thus, Halcampella had been wrongly accommodated into families Halcampidae or Halcampoididae because of their morphological similarity, and a new family should be established for this genus in Anenthemonae. In conclusion, Halcampella becomes the type genus of the new family, Halcampellidae fam. nov.

Halcampella maxima Hertwig, 1888
(Japanese name: nasubi-isoginchaku; Uchida, 1965)
Fig. 27; Table 16
Halcampella maxima Hertwig, 1888: 29-32; Wassilieff, 1908: 6-7, fig. 1.

Material examined. (Iz-023): dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, preserved from an aquarium of Misaki Marine Biological Station, collected by biological dredge of R/V Rinkai-Maru, off Misaki, Kanagawa Pref., Japan (detailed locality and date are unknown), by Mamoru Sekifuji and Hisanori Kotsuka; (Iz-024):whole specimen, 4 July 2017, by biological dredge of R/V Rinkai-Maru, off Misaki, Kanagawa Pref., Japan (St-5: $35^{\circ} 08.925^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 139^{\circ} 34.948^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), $76-79 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, collected during the second Coastal Organism Joint Survey of JAMBIO, Japanese Association for Marine Biology, by Takato Izumi.

Description. External anatomy. Column of contracted specimen ca. $120-150 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width. In living specimen, the maximum length ca. $200-250 \mathrm{~mm}$. Column cylinder-like in shape, but slightly swollen on middle part (Fig. 27A). Body divided into scapulus, scapus and disc-like physa; surface of scapus covered gray periderm, rough but without any structures, attaching grinds of sand. Scapulus white or pale yellow, naked, with obvious mesenterial insertion (Fig. 27B). Aboral end differentiated from scapus, disc-like physa, naked, and more or less adherent. Tentacles 40 in number, in two cycles of 20, same length in each cycle (Fig. 27C), pale pink, and ambiguously brownish striped. Mouth apparently swelled.

Internal anatomy. 12 perfect mesenteries in first cycle macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end, pairs into six pairs plus. 28 tiny microcnemes (Fig. 27D), without muscles, limited to distal-most part: 8 between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, 12 between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and 8 between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Each retractor muscle distinctly developed (Fig. 27G), restricted in actinopharynx side, with approximately $30-50$ simple or slightly branched muscular processes (Fig. 27H) in distal side; weakly developed, restricted with 10-20 simple muscular processes in lower part (Fig.

27I). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, with 10-20 a little branched muscular processes in each side in distal part, and $20-30$ processes in lower part (Fig. 27I). Actinopharynx short, 11 grooved and a distinct siphonoglyph. One tentacle in each endo- or exocoel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal and distinct (Fig. 27F). Tentacular circular muscle endodermal but indistinct (Fig. 27E). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 27E). Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries and tentacles, but comparatively thick next to retractor and parietal muscle and the thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 27D, G). Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; gametocytes immature (Fig. 27I).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 16 for size and distribution.

Remarks. After the original description of Hertwig (1888), there was only one taxonomic description of Halcampella maxima in Wassilieff (1908). The specimens obtained in this research corresponded their description. The description of Hertwig (1888) and Wassilieff (1908) did not contained detailed pictures and cnidom, so I redescribed with adding these data.

## Halcampella cretata (Stimpson, 1856) comb. nov.

(Japanese name: nise-mino-mushimodoki)
Figs. 28, 29; Table 16
Paraedwardsia cretata Stimpson, 1856: 376; Verrill, 1868: 320
Material examined. (Iz-025): dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected at 21 May 2015, by biological dredge of R/V Rinkai-Maru, off Jogashima Island, Misaki, Kanagawa Pref., Japan (Station-2: $35^{\circ} 06.929$ 'N, $139^{\circ} 34.611$ ' E), $86-88 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, by Takato Izumi; YPM6856 (holotype): a part of dissected specimen, collected in the US North Pacific Expedition, 1854 (date unknown), from Kagoshima Bay, by William Stimpson.

Description. External anatomy. Column of well-elongated specimen 40 mm in whole length, and 8 mm in width. Column long barrel-like in shape, slightly swollen on middle part (Fig. 28A). Body divided into scapulus, scapus and disc-like physa; surface of scapus covered brown periderm, rough but without any structures, and attaching grinds of sand densely (Fig. 28A). Scapulus white, naked, with obvious mesenterial insertion. Aboral end differentiated from scapus, disc-like physa (Fig. 28A), naked, and more or less
adherent. Tentacles 24 in number, in two cycles of 12 , same length in each cycle, hemitransparent, pale white with dark red patches. Mouth apparently swelled (Fig. 28C).

Internal anatomy. 12 perfect mesenteries in first cycle macrocnemes (Fig. 28D, E), distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end, pairs into six pairs plus. 12 tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distalmost part: 4 between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, 4 between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and 4 between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles (Fig. 28D). Each retractor muscle distinctly developed; restricted in actinopharynx or filament side, with approximately 20-30 simple or slightly branched muscular processes (Fig. 28F, G) on both distal and proximal side. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, with 1015 a little branched muscular processes in each side in distal part (Fig. 28F), and 4-7 processes in lower part (Fig. 28G). Actinopharynx short, 11 grooved and a distinct siphonoglyph. One tentacle in each endo- or exo- coel. Tentacular longitudinal and tentacular circular muscle both indistinct. Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries and tentacles, but comparatively thick next to retractor and parietal muscle and the thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig, 2D, F). Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; gametocytes immature.

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 16 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Paraedwardsia cretata (Stimpson, 1856), collected from Kagoshima Bay (Stimpson, 1856), had been classified in Edwardsiidae since this study. However, the observation of gross transversal section of the type specimen at Yale Peabody Museum (YPM 6856) revealed that this species has 12 perfect mesenteries (Fig. 29) despite Edwardsiidae has been characterized by eight mesenteries. Therefore, Paraedwardsia cretata is not no longer Edwardsiidae.

Now, one specimen collected from Misaki corresponded to the description of Stimpson (1856) and the morphology of the type specimen. By phylogenetic analysis using the specimen, this species was in the clade of Halcampelloidea and related with $H$. maxima in my phylogenetic tree (Fig. 11). These two species shared several similar morphological features than the
other two species (Hexactis sp. nov. 4 and Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5). That was why I accommodated P. cretata into Halcampella and renamed this species as Halcampella cretata comb. nov., with revising the diagnosis of genus.

Genus Hexactis gen. nov.
(New Japanese name: rokkaku-ginchaku-zoku)
Diagnosis. Halcampellidae with the elongate body indivisible into regions. Basal disc indistinct. Scapus hexagonal, with thick robust periderm, without tenaculi. No sphincter. Aboral end disc-like physa, strongly adhesive. Tentacles short, more numerous than the mesenteries in the aboral part of the body, their longitudinal muscles ectodermal. No siphonoglyphs. 6 pairs of perfect and fertile mesenteries, 2 pairs of directives. Microcnemes only in the uppermost part of the body. Retractors strong, restricted, forming numerous high folds. Parietal muscles rather well developed.

Type species. Hexactis sp. nov. 4 (monotypic)
Etymology. "Hexa" is derived apparent hexagonal shape of species in this genus. "-actis" is commonly used in actiniarian genus names, meaning radiation of sunshine in Greek.

Remarks. See Table 15 for comparison to the other genera of Halcampellidae. Compared to the other two genera, species of Hexactis are characterized by column in apparent hexagonal shape with thick robust periderm. Moreover, only Hexactis species have aboral ends with strong adherence. Hexactis sp. nov. 4 inhabited on the walls of underwater caves in contrast to the other species of this superfamily inhabited burying in stony sand, thus anemones of this species need to fix their body in the cavity of rock wall by the power of adherence.

## Hexactis sp. nov. 4 <br> (New Japanese name: rokkaku-ginchaku)

Fig. 30; Table 16
Material examined. (Iz-026): dissected specimen, histological sections, tissue in paraffin, and prepared cnidae, collected by SCUBA diving on 12 August 2012 at a depth of 1 m in underwater cave at Okinawa Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takuma Fujii; (Iz-27)-(Iz-32): dissected specimen, same locality, method and collector as (Iz-026); (Iz-33): dissected specimen,
collected at underwater cave at Australia (locality in detail unknown), by James Davis Reimer.

Description. External anatomy. Column of contracted specimen ca. $30-60 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and ca. $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width. Column long cylinderlike in shape, slightly swollen on middle part, and characteristically hexagonal transversely (Fig. 30A). Body little divided into scapus and physa; surface of scapus covered very robust light brown periderm, rough but without any structures. Mesenterial insertion invisible. Aboral end a little differentiated from scapus, disc-like physa, naked, and strongly adherent. Tentacles 24-32 in number, same length in each cycle, slender, dark red in color. Mouth apparently swelled.

Internal anatomy. 12 perfect mesenteries in first cycle macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end, into six pairs on both side of each angle of hexagonal shape (Fig. 30 A, F). 12-20 tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: $4-8$ between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, 4 between dorso- and ventrolateral mesenteries, and $4-8$ between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Each retractor muscle distinctly developed; restricted in actinopharynx or filament side, elongated, with approximately 30-40 simple to well-branched muscular processes (Fig. 30C) next to actinopharynx, and with $10-15$ wellbranched processes (Fig. 30D) in proximal side. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, with $15-24$ a little branched muscular processes in each side in distal part (Fig. 30C), and 8-12 processes in lower part (Fig. 30D). Actinopharynx short, 12 grooved, with no siphonoglyph. One tentacle in each endo- or exocoel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle exocoeletic and tentacular circular muscle endocoeletic, both distinct (Fig. 30B, E). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 30G), but circular muscle of body welldeveloped and distinct. Mesoglea far thicker in body wall (especially thickest in angle of hexagonal shape; Fig. 30F), but comparatively thin in mesenteries, retractor and parietal muscles, or tentacles (Fig. 30E). Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; matured oocytes inside (Fig. 30D).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 16 for size and distribution.

Ecological remarks. $H$. sp. nov. 4 has been discovered only in shallow zone of underwater cave. This species adhered the hollows or cavities of rock
with their strong aboral end.
Remarks. Hexactis sp. nov. 4 inhabited only in overhanging walls of caves in the sea. This species adhered to the hollows of overhanging rock by their disc-like aboral ends. Till now, this sea anemones were discovered only underwater caves in Okinawa and Australia. Because this species had unique morphological features in Anenthemonae (see remarks of the genus), I established a new genus, Hexactis gen. nov., for this species.

## Genus Pseudoedwardsia

(New Japanese name: nise-mushimodoki-zoku)
Diagnosis. Halcampellidae with the elongate body divisible into physa-like basal disc, scapus and capitulum. Basal disc more or less distinct, scapus without tenaculi. No sphincter. Tentacles short, more numerous than the mesenteries in the aboral part of the body, their longitudinal muscles ectodermal. Siphonoglyphs weak. 10 perfect mesenteries: 4 pairs of perfect and fertile mesenteries, 2 pairs of directives, and 2 not-paired perfect mesenteries. Microcnemes only in the uppermost part of the body. Retractors strong, restricted. Parietal muscles rather well developed.

Type species. Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5 (monotypic)
Etymology. Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5, the only species of this genus, resembles species of Edwardsiidae at a glance (Fig. 31A). So, "Pseudo", meaning false, is followed by "edwardsia".

Remarks. See Table 15 for comparison to the other genera of Halcampellidae. The species Pseudoedwardsia (P. sp. nov. 5) was far similar to species of Edwardsiidae (especially to species of Paraedwardsia). However, this species had 10 perfect mesenteries, which was not like edwardsiids having eight macrocnemes. The mesenterial arrangement with 10 perfect mesenteries is so peculiar in Actiniaria: this number was observed on only a few species (see remarks part of Discoactinidae fam. nov.). According to phylogenetic analysis, it was likely that Pseudoedwardsia was related to anemones of Halcampellidae, thus now I established new genus Pseudoedwardsia for this species and accommodated in Halcampellidae.

Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5
(New Japanese name: nise-mushimodoki)
Fig. 31; Table 16

Material examined. ( $\mathrm{Iz}^{-034}$ ) : dissected specimen, histological sections, cnidae slides, by biological dredge, 9 November 2017, off Mie Pref., Japan, 190-196 m in depth, collected during the research cruise of R/V Seisui-Maru (St-5: $34^{\circ} 09.80^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 136^{\circ} 38.20^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), by Itaru Kobayashi; (Iz-035) :whole specimen, same locality, method and collector as (Iz-034); (Iz-036): dissected specimen, 7 October 2015, by biological dredge, off Mie Pref., Japan, 155 m depth, collected during the research cruise of R/V Seisui-Maru (St-3: $34^{\circ} 10.8^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 136^{\circ} 35.7^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), by Akito Ogawa.

Description. External anatomy. Column of contracted specimen ca. $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width. Column cylinder-like in shape, but slightly swollen on proximal part (Fig. 31A). Body divided into capitulum, scapus and disc-like physa; surface of scapus dark-brown or darkgray periderm, rough but without any structures, attaching grinds of sand. Scapulus naked, with obvious mesenterial insertion. Aboral end differentiated from scapus, disc-like physa, naked, and more or less adherent. Tentacles 16 in number, in two cycles of 8, almost same length in each cycle (Fig. 31B). Mouth apparently swelled. The color in living unknown.

Internal anatomy. 10 perfect mesenteries in first cycle macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end, pairs into four pairs and two dependent macrocnemes. 6 microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: 4 between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, and 2 between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives (facing to independent macrocnemes). All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles (Fig. 31E, G). Each retractor muscle distinctly developed; restricted in the middle part of mesenteries, with approximately $8-12$ simple or slightly-branched muscular processes (Fig. 31G) from distal to proximal side (Fig. 31G). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, with $3-5$ a wellbranched muscular processes in each side in lower part (Fig. 31G). Actinopharynx short, 10 grooved and an indistinct siphonoglyph. One tentacle in each endo- or exo- coel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal but indistinct (Fig. 31C). Tentacular circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 31D). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 31F, H). Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries and tentacles, but comparatively thick next to retractor and parietal muscle and the thickest in body wall and actinopharynx (Fig. 31E). Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; gametocytes immature (Fig. 31G).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 16 for size and distribution.

Remarks. This species was similar to edwardsiids at a glance, but there were several differences. Concerning the mesenterial arrangement, the number of macrocnemes (ten) differed from not only Edwardsiidae (eight) but also any other Halcampellidae anemones (twelve). The arrangement was observed in only a few groups of anemones (e.g. Discoactinidae fam. nov.). The aboral ends of Pseudoedwardsia are adhesive while few edwardsiid have the ability to adhere (e.g. Tempuractis rinkai Izumi, Yanagi and Fujita, 2018, which apparently adhered the rock under their hosts). Moreover, this species was phylogenetically separated from other two genera of Halcampellidae (Fig. 11). That was why I concluded these anemones as belonging to new genus.

## SAMMARY of Halcampelloidea superfam. nov.

1. Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. accommodates only one family, Halcampellidae fam. nov., and three genera, Halcampella Andres, 1883, Hexactis gen. nov., and Pseudoedwardsia gen. nov.
2. Genus Halcampella accommodates H. cretata (Stimpson, 1856) comb. nov., renamed from Paraedwardsia cretata (Stimpson, 1856), which had been accommodated in Edwardsiidae.
3. The two new genera Hexactis and Pseudoedwardsia were established. Both genera are monotypic for the time being.


Fig. 27. External and internal morphology of Halcampella maxima ( $\mathrm{Iz}-023$ ).
A. lateral view of a living specimen; B. Enlarged view of oral disc; C. Oral view of a fixed specimen; D. Transverse section of dissected specimen; E. longitudinal section of oral disc; F. Enlarged view of longitudinal section of tentacle; G. Transverse section of lower column; H. Enlarged view of retractor muscle; I. Enlarged view of transverse section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; scl, scapulus; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A, B, and C, 5 mm in D, E, and G, 1 mm in I, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{H}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in F .


Fig. 28. External and internal morphology of Halcampella cretata (Iz-025).
A, B. lateral view of a preserved specimen; C. Oral view of oral disc; D. Transverse section of upper column; E. Transverse section of lower column; F Enlarged view of retractor muscle; G. Enlarged view of transverse section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; scl, scapulus; scs, scapus; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacle longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A, B, 1 mm in C, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D-G.


Fig. 29. The holotype of Halcampella cretata (YPM 6856: Yale Peabody Museum). A. Lateral view. B. Transverse section of dissected specimen. Characters indicate numbers of macrocnemes (Photo courtesy of Kensuke Yanagi).


Fig. 30. External and internal morphology of Hexactis sp. nov. 4 (Iz-026).
A. lateral view of a preserved specimen; B. longitudinal section of tentacle; C. Enlarged transverse section of upper column; D. Enlarged transverse section of lower column; E. Transverse section of tentacle; F. Transverse section of lower column; G. Longitudinal section of proximal end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; bd, basal disc; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; mi, microcneme; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; tcm, tentacle circular muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacle longitudinal muscle; ts, testis. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A, 1 mm in F, G, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in B, E.


Fig. 31. External and internal morphology of Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5 (Iz-034). A, B. lateral view of a preserved specimen; C. Oral view of oral disc; D. Transverse section of upper column; D. Transverse section of lower column; E. Enlarged view of retractor muscle; I. Enlarged view of transverse section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; bd, basal disc; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; mi, microcneme; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; scl, scapulus; scs, scapus; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacle longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in $\mathrm{A}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in $\mathrm{B}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$.
Table 15. Comparison of two new genera and genus Halcampella

|  | Halcampella Andres, 1883 | Hexactis gen. nov. | Pseudoedwardsia gen. nov. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type species | Halcampella maxima Hertwig, 1888 | Hexactis sp. nov. 4 | Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5 |
| Characters |  |  |  |
| Main habitat | In sand or mud | On the rockwall in underwater caves | In sand or mud |
| Shape of the column | Rounded | Hexagonal | Rounded |
| Number of macrocnemes | 12 | 12 | 10 |
|  | Andres (1883) |  |  |
| References | Hertwig (1888) | The present study | The present study |
|  | Carlgren (1949) |  |  |
|  | The present study |  |  |


|  | Halcampella maximaIz-023 |  | Halcampella cretata comb. nov.Iz-025 |  | Hexactis sp. nov. 4Iz-026 |  | Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5Iz-034 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | 24.8-33.6 $\times$ 2.7-4.1 | numerous | 16.8-37.7 $\times 1.9-2.9$ | numerous | $15.5-26.7 \times 2.6-4.5$ | numerous | $23.7-32.3 \times 2.7-4.1$ | numerous |
| spirocysts | $19.4-47.2 \times 2.6-6.8$ | numerous | $10.9-21.2 \times 2.0-3.8$ | numerous | $13.3-33.8 \times 2.6-7.4$ | numerous | 16.2-32.5 $\times$ 3.1-7.1 | numerous |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | 28.7-35.1 $\times$ 2.7-4.6 | numerous | 22.9-32.3 $\times 2.6-4.1$ | numerous | $24.5-31.8 \times 2.8-4.4$ | numerous |  |  |
| spirocysts | $26.3-38.4 \times 4.0-6.0$ | numerous | 15.3-27.5 $\times 2.7-5.3$ | numerous | $17.5-26.5 \times 4.1-5.8$ | numerous | 23.1-28.3 $\times$ 4.7-7.2 | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | 30.1-42.2 $\times 4.7-6.9$ | numerous | $22.5-27.8 \times 4.2-5.7$ | numerous | $21.9-28.2 \times 5.8-7.7$ | numerous | 19.2-21.9 $\times$ 4.5-4.8 | rare |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  |  |  |  |  |  | $23.4-30.2 \times 2.6-4.1$ | numerous |
| Column |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs microbasic $p$-mastigophores | $20.5-28.7 \times 3.3-5.5$ | numerous |  |  | 17.0-32.9 $\times$ 3.6-5.6 | numerous | 12.6-17.7 $\times 1.9-4.6$ | numerous |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | $51.5-77.7 \times 3.5-6.3$ | numerous | 20.9-28.4 $\times 1.7-3.6$ | numerous |  |  | 16.0-20.0 $\times$ 2.7-4.2 | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores |  |  | $16.8 \times 4.2$ | rare | 15.9-25.6 $\times$ 4.5-8.8 | numerous | $16.4-24.1 \times 4.2-6.6$ | numerous |

## Superfamily EDWARDSIOIDEA, Andres, 1881

(New Japanese name: mushimodoki-ginchaku-jouka; Izumi et al., 2019a)
Diagnosis. Anenthemonae with elongate, vermiform body usually divisible into at least two regions, long scapus with cuticle and distal scapulus but often also with thin capitulum below tentacles. Aboral end usually rounded, naked physa. No marginal sphincter muscle or acontia. Only 8 macrocnemes mesenteries and at least 4 microcnemes. Macrocnemes divided into two pairs of directives and four lateral mesenteries, two on each side, with retractors facing ventral directives. Retractors diffuse to strongly restricted. Parietal muscles always distinct. Cnidom: spirocysts, atrichs, basitrichs, holotrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, microbasic $b$ mastigophores, and macrobasic mastigophores. (The revised points from Rodríguez et al. [2014] are indicated by bold.)

Remarks. Now, Edwardsioidea includes only one family Edwardsiidae. It was showed that the sister group of Edwardsioidea was Actinernoidea in Rodríguez et al., 2014, but Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. was turned to be more related to this superfamily according to the present study (Fig. 7; See Chapter 1B).

Family EDWARDSIIDAE Andres, 1881
(Japanese name: mushimodoki-ginchaku-ka)
Edwardsiidae Andres, 1881: 333; Carlgren, 1949: 22; Williams, 1981: 326; England, 1987: 215.

Diagnosis. Anenthemonae with elongate, vermiform body usually divisible into two or more regions: between long scapus provided with periderm and short capitulum may be short scapulus that lacks periderm and epidermal specializations. Proximal end rounded, without basilar muscles, may be differentiated into a physa. Single weak siphonoglyph. No sphincter muscle or acontia. Mesenteries divisible into macro- and microcnemes; always eight macrocnemes and at least two microcnemes. Macrocnemes comprise two pairs of directives and four lateral mesenteries, two on each side, whose retractors face ventral directives. Retractors restricted, diffuse to strongly circumscribe; parietal muscles always distinct. (Revised point from Brandão et al., 2019 is indicated in bold)

Type genus. Edwardsia de Quatrefages, 1842

Remarks. Edwardsiidae is characterized its peculiar mesenterial arrangement with 8 macrocnemes. Despite almost all sea anemones develop 12 ( 6 pairs of) macrocnemes in first cycle, four mesenteries in first cycle of Edwardsiidae do not develop to macrocnemes, and thus four lateral macrocnemes are not paired. Although there are some group which have only 8 perfect mesenteries in Actiniaria (see remarks part of Metedwardsiidae fam. nov.), the characteristic vermiform shape is also strongly distinguishable. That is why the outline of Edwardsiidae has been nearly untouched till recently.

My research revealed that a genus of this family, Metedwardsia Carlgren, 1947, was not belonging to Anenthemonae but Enthemonae, so I eliminate it from Edwardsiidae (in detail, see the remarks of Metedwardsiidae fam. nov.). However, the monophyly of Edwardsiidae in Anenthemonae was supported in this research as before (Fig. 12) though the inner phylogeny became drastically complicated: five genera which have nemathybomes or traces of those formed a monophyletic clade, but complicated in the clade of phylogenetic tree (Fig. 13; hereafter see Chapter 1F, and remarks of genus Edwardsia).

See Table 17 for comparison of all genera of Edwardsiidae receiving the rearrangement of this study. Though some of the other six genera, including Synhalcampella, were not supported their monophyly in my phylogenetic analysis (Figs. 12, 13), the morphology of them can be certainly distinguished. Thus, I retain them in this study. I had made the newest taxonomic keys in Izumi et al. (2018a), but it needs to be revised because of those drastically reorganization of classification system in the present study. So, taxonomic keys in the revised version of Edwardsiidae are here. A1. Microcnemes are limited nearby the distal endB
B1. Scapus with batteries of nematocysts (nemathybomes) or the trace ofthose, sunk in the mesoglea
$\qquad$
C1. Scapus with rows of solid papillae forming nematocyst batteries Halcampogeton
C2. Scapus covered by a strong cuticle (periderm), scapulus distinct Edwardsiella C3. Scapus smooth ..... F
D1. Nematosomes in the coelenteron
D2. Nematosomes absent ..... GE1. Scapus rich in holotrichs. Inhabits in spongesymbioticallyTempuractisE2. Scapus holotrichs absent. InhabitsDrillactis
A2. Microcnemes are along the whole body SynhalcampellaThe revised points are as below: the genera Edwardsianthus,Scolanthus and Paraedwardsia were accommodated into Edwardsia;Metedwardsia, no longer Edwardsiidae, was eliminated from the keys.

Genus Tempuractis gen. nov. Izumi, Ise, and Yanagi, 2018 (Japanese name: tempura-isoginchaku-zoku)

Tempuractis Izumi, Ise and Yanagi, 2018: Izumi et al, 2018a: 190
Diagnosis. Edwardsiid with very tiny column, not differentiated into the capitulum, scapus, and physa. Surface of long column smooth, lacking nemathybomes or tenaculi. Tentacle sixteen, in two cycles, arranged octamerously, with eight axes of symmetry on the tentacular circle; inner cycle tentacles comparatively longer than outer ones. There is no siphonoglyph. Sphincter muscle not present. Aboral end tapered or rounded but not differentiated into a physa. Inhabits only in homoscleromorph sponge symbiotically and never lives independently. Cnidae: spirocysts, basitrichs, holotrichs, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. (Izumi et al., 2018a)

Type species. Tempuractis rinkai Izumi, Ise, and Yanagi, 2018 (monotypic)

Etymology. Tempura is a deep-fried, batter-coated nugget of seafood and/or vegetables in Japanese cuisine. This word comprises the first half of the Japanese name of the type species of this genus, as the shape of the actiniarian when embedded in a sponge tissue resembles shrimp tempura. The siffix actis is commonly used in actiniarian genus names, meaning radiation of sunshine in Greek.

Remarks. See Table 17 for comparison to the other genera of Edwardsiidae. Within the Edwardsiidae, Tempuractis gen. nov. morphologically resembles the valid genera Edwardsiella Andres, 1881, Drillactis Verrill, 1922, Nematostella Stephenson, 1935, and Metedwardsia Carlgren, 1947 in possessing a smooth scapus with no nemathybomes or tenaculi. The following genera are distinguishable from this new genus: Edwardsia de Quatrefages, 1842, Scolanthus Gosse, 1853, Isoscolanthus

Brandão, Gusmão and Gomes, 2019, and Edwardsianthus England, 1987 have nemathybomes (Gosse, 1853; Carlgren, 1949; England, 1987); Paraedwardsia Nordgaard, 1905 and Synhalcampella Carlgren, 1921 have tenaculi on the scapus, and the former generally adhere grains of sand on the column; and Halcampogeton Carlgren, 1937 has 12 longitudinal rows of solid papillae (Carlgren, 1937). The most prominent difference between Tempuractis and Edwardsiella is periderm; species in Edwardsiella bear periderm on the scapus, but the column in Tempuractis rinkai is naked and has no periderm. In addition, tentacular arrangement is useful for reference; in contrast with Tempuractis rinkai which has Edwardsia-like tentacular arrangement, Edwardsiella species possess three or more cycles of tentacles that are hexamerously arranged, with the innermost cycle being the longest (Daly et al., 2013). Drillactis species are most similar to Tempuractis rinkai, but there are several differences between them: holotrichs are abundant in $T$. rinkai, but are absent in Drillactis (Carlgren, 1949, 1954). As a reference, all Drillactis species are distinguishable from Tempuractis by the difference of characters as below; tentacles in Drillactis species has vertical rows of white spots (Carlgren, 1954; Verrill, 1880) while there are no patterns on tentacles of T. rinkai, the two Drillactis species have far larger bodies than T. rinkai (e.g., the body lengths of T. rinkai are even much smaller than the tentacle lengths of Drillactis pallida) (Verrill, 1922; Carlgren, 1954; Fautin, 2013); T. rinkai inhabits only in a homoscleromorph sponge, an extraordinary place for the sea anemone, with symbiotic ecology, while both Drillactis species live in sand, very ordinary habitat for edwardsiids, and without symbiosis (Verrill, 1922). Nematostella is characterized by having nematosomes, the spherical structures, $15-45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, and flagellated bodies containing nematocysts (Hand and Uhlinger, 1992), which are structures that are present only in this genus in Edwardsiidae (Hand, 1994) and are the origin of the name of this genus. However, there was no structure like a nematosome observed both from the outside when they were living and in their coelenteric cavity on transversal sections in T. rinkai. Therefore, this new species does not belong to Nematostella. Metedwardsia is monotypic for Metedwardsia akkeshi (Uchida, 1932a). This species, the only edwardsiid without nemathybomes in Japan, is obviously distinguished from all other edwardsiids by the distribution of microcnemes; microcnemes of M. akkeshi are elongated from distal to proximal end (Carlgren, 1947; Uchida, 1932a),
while all other edwardsiids' microcnemes are limited to the distal end. This is the most unique character of Metedwardsia, so T. rinkai, in which the elongation of microcnemes is the same that in other edwardsiids, also cannot be included this genus (In the first place, it is no possibility that this species would be included into Metedwardsia because this genus is proven as no longer Edwardsiidae [see Chapter 1A, 1C and 2]).

Given the above, it is inappropriate to include this new species in existing genera. To begin with, this species has several peculiar morphological features for Edwardsiidae; T. rinkai has large holotrichs, which is one of the most recognizable characteristics of this genus; there is no description of holotrichs in any recent genus diagnosis of Edwardsiidae (e.g., Carlgren, 1949; Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008; Daly et al., 2013; Gusmão et al., 2016). Tempuractis rinkai, especially in the column, is rich in prominently large holotrichs, so the cnidom can be said to be a unique character of this genus. In addition, the new species also possesses a few microbasic p-mastigophores and spirocysts in its column, which is peculiar to Edwardsiidae. Moreover, the small size of this species, less than 5 mm in whole body length even for adults, is prominent in this family. Ultimately, the habitat of this species is very unique for not only edwardsiids but also for sea anemones in general; only Spongiactis japonica Sanamyan, Sanamyan and Tabachnick, 2012 is recorded to be symbiotic with sponges (Sanamyan et al., 2012). Tempuractis rinkai is quite different from $S$. japonica in morphological features and, of course, belongs to a quite different taxon at the family level. Therefore, I establish the new genus Tempuractis to accommodate the newly identified sea anemone, which is characterized by possessing holotrichs, a smooth body surface without nemathybomes or cuticles, $s$ tentacular arrangement in two octamerous cycles, a quite tiny body and inhabiting symbiotically in a sponge.

Tempuractis rinkai Izumi, Ise, and Yanagi, 2018
(Japanese name: tempura-isoginchaku)
Figs. 32-34; Table 18
Tempuractis rinkai Izumi, Ise and Yanagi, 2018: Izumi et al., 2019: 193-194, fig. 2-8, table 2, 3

Material examined. Holotype. NSMT-Co 1573. One specimen cut into three parts and prepared for nematocyst observation, collected by wading on 7 June 2013 from the intertidal zone of Aburatsubo, Misaki, Kanagawa,

Japan by Yuji Ise. Paratypes. CMNH-ZG 08969. Whole specimen extracted from a sponge; CMNH-ZG 08970. Whole specimen left inside a sponge; CMNH-ZG 08971. Series of histological longitudinal sections; CMNH-ZG 08972. Series of histological cross sections, all specimens collected by wading on June 7, 2013 from the intertidal zone of Aburatsubo, Misaki, Kanagawa, Japan by Yuji Ise; CMNH-ZG 08973. Histological sections. Collected by SCUBA diving on 3 October 2013 at a depth of 8 m in Shukunegi, Sado Island, Niigata, Japan by Yuji Ise; CMNH-ZG 08974. Whole specimen left in a portion of the sponge, collected by wading on August 22, 2013 from the intertidal zone of Tohama, Toba City, Mie, Japan by Takeya Moritaki; CMNH-ZG 08975. Whole specimen, collected by snorkeling on 13 October 2014 at a depth of 2 m in Sugashima, Toba City, Mie, Japan by Yuji Ise; (Iz-037). A whole specimen. Collected by SCUBA diving on 3 October 2015 at a depth of 8 m in Shukunegi, Sado Island, Niigata, Japan by Yuji Ise.

Note. Series of histological sections were prepared from a specimen from the same host sponge from which the holotype and paratypes were collected.

Description. External anatomy. Column naked, smooth, very small, ca. $3.0-5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length ( 3.0 mm in the holotype) and $0.7-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width ( 0.8 mm in the holotype), and pipe-like in form (Fig. 32B). The surface of column simple, no nemathybomes or tenaculi. Epidermis adhesion with endopinacocytes of Oscarella sp. very tight. Aboral end tapered, not differentiated from scapus, but more or less adherent (see Ecological remarks below). Tentacles slender, without acrospheres, but bearing white patches on each tip, ca. $2.5-4.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length in living and $1.0-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}(1.2-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the holotype) in fixed specimens, longer than diameter of oral disk, but well contractible. Tentacles 16 in number, arranged in two concentric cycles of eight inner and eight outer ones positioned alternately, inner tentacles a little longer than outer ones. Oral disk $0.7-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter ( 0.8 mm in the holotype). Column and tentacles pale orange or pale pink, semitransparent so that mesenterial insertion visible in upper part when alive, no pattern or patches. Area around mouth and actinopharynx white. Body completely surrounded by the tissue of host sponge Oscarella sp. except tentacles and capitulum (Fig. 32A, C-F), so the color of column unidentifiable in alive.

Internal anatomy. Mesenterial arrangement as typical as that of Edwardsia. Eight macrocnemes, four dorsal and ventral directives, and the
other four lateral mesenteries (Fig. 33G). All macrocnemes perfect, present along whole length of body (extending from oral to aboral end). Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all facing ventrally (Fig. 33G). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal-most part of column, extending about $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ under the base of tentacles (Fig. 33C). Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between the dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries and two between the ventrolateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles comparatively weak, restricted or reniform in upper part, but diffused in lower part (Fig. 33G, I, J). Muscle processes mostly simple, around 10 in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes not distinct. Actinopharynx short, without distinct siphonoglyphs (Fig. 33A). Tentacular circular muscle endodermal and longitudinal muscle ectodermal (Fig. 33B, D-F). Mesoglea in body wall, mesenteries, and actinopharynx thin, $<10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (Fig. 33G). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. All parts of body wall, except capitulum, tightly adhered to endopinacocytes of Oscarella sp. (Fig. 33A, H). Many cilia from epidermis of sea anemone, invaginating into endopinacocytes of the sponge, this structure may strengthen the adhering between epidermis of T. rinkai and endopinacocytes of Oscarella sp. (Fig. 34). No mature gametes in holotype. Mature testes observed in paratype (CMNH-ZG 08973; Fig. 33K).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, holotrichs and microbasic $p$ mastigophores. See Table 18 for size and distribution.

Etymology. The species epithet is dedicated to marine biological stations around Japan. The first specimens of this species were collected from a rocky shore in front of the Misaki Marine Biological Station (the University of Tokyo). This station is called "Misaki rinkai jikkenjo" in Japanese ("rinkai" means seaside and "jikkennjo" means research facility). Other specimens were collected during a subsequent faunistic survey in collaboration with other marine biological stations: Sugashima Marine Biological Laboratory (Nagoya University) and Sado Marine Biological Station (Niigata University).

Ecological remarks. Colonies of Tempuractis rinkaiwere always found in the host sponge Oscarella sp., and no living individual was found independently outside of a sponge. The position of T. rinkai inside the sponge was unique, as the oscula of the sponge opens beside the oral disc of sea anemone (Fig. 32C), which means that the sea anemones do not utilize the
spongicoel or central cavity of the host sponge as do other temporary visitors. Each polyp of T. rinkai was isolated from other polyps and was completely buried in the sponge body, exhibiting a bunch-like shape (Fig. 32A). The epidermis of T. rinkai was strongly adherent to the endopinacocytes of Oscarella sp. Although the majority of individuals were completely buried in the sponge, some sea anemones were piercing their body through Oscarella sp. and adhered to the substrate by their aboral end. Thus, the aboral end of this sea anemone is more or less adhesive.

Tempuractis rinkai and the host sponges were found in cryptic habitats, such as the underside of overhangs, underside of rocks, or interstices of beach rocks. This phenomenon is probably the result of the habitat preference of the host sponge, rather than that of T. rinkai, and allows these sea anemones to live in habitats that differ from those of other edwardsiids, which are usually buried in sandy or muddy sea bottom.

Tempuractis rinkai elongated its tentacles outside its host sponge when relaxed, and when exposed to various external stimuli, such as being touched by something, being exposed to a strong current, or when a shadow of something fell on them, they retracted their tentacles and hid themselves inside the sponge. Tentacles of Trinkai were sometimes observed to be in contact with those of other polyps because colonies of T. rinkai were densely distributed (Fig. 32A, C, F); however, they did not retract their tentacles or attack each other.

Tempuractis rinkai and its host sponge Oscarella sp. are likely to be involved in a symbiotic relationship. Histological sections suggested that the epidermis of T. rinkai and the endopinacocytes of Oscarella sp. were strongly adhered (Fig. 33H), in that they were difficult to separate in both live and ethanol-preserved samples. Based on TEM observation (Fig. 34), it appeared that the surface structures of both the sea anemone and the sponge are closely related. The cilia of the sea anemone are projecting to the depressions of endopinacocytes of Oscarella sp. and twisting each other (Fig. 34). This structure suggests that there is specific mechanism of adhesion between the sponge endopinacocytes and sea anemone epidermis. Transmission electron microscopy images suggest that the epidermis of T. rinkai anchors to endopinacocytes of Oscarella sp. by bundles of cilia. This may stabilize their position. When T. rinkai shrinks, its column is totally encased by sponge tissue. During this process, it seems that the T. rinkai epidermis pulls the
endopinacocytes of Oscarella sp., thereby completely closing the holes that the sea anemones live in. So far, no Tempuractis rinkai has been found outside the host sponge Oscarella sp., and all of the Oscarella sp. sponges collected during the present study contained several T. rinkai, suggesting that these animals are involved in an obligate symbiotic relationship. The benefit of this symbiosis has not been precisely determined yet; however, it is expected that T. rinkai hide their body in the host sponge when they are attacked by unknown predators. The advantage of this symbiosis for the host sponge Oscarella sp. is unclear; however, the possible role of T. rinkai in this symbiosis can be assumed from the following observations in the field: sea slugs, Berthella stellata (Risso, 1826) (Pleurobranchidae, Notaspidea, Gastropoda, Mollusca), were sometimes observed to feed on Oscarella sp. inhabited by few polyps of T. rinkai in the present sampling localities, and there have been several studies showing that Berthella spp. feed on homoscleromorph sponges (Delaloi and Tardy, 1977; Willan, 1984; Picton, 2002; Rudman, 2005, 2007, 2010; Goddard, 2007), and thus Berthella species are thought to be specific predators on homoscleromorph sponge (Goddard, 2007). Therefore, T. rinkai might protect its host sponge from Berthella species using the cnidae on its tentacles, because the nudibranch may not approach the area where the tentacles of T. rinkai extend, protecting the sponge from being totally eaten.

Tempuractis rinkai forms aggregation in each individual of Oscarella sp., and recently, the extension of aggregation was observed in aquarium (Moritaki, 2019): T. rinkai reproduces asexually. Aboral end of anemones is pinched, and then detach worm-like individual. This worm-like anemones do not have tentacles yet so far, so they can creep out from sponge and crawls around (the ecology that edwardsiid anemone crawls is the first-ever observation). Later, worm-like individuals sneak into sponge again, and appeared their distal end by penetrating body of host sponge. Finally, surprisingly Oscarella sp. itself thicken their body part covering scapus of anemones. In conclusion, the "tempura-like" structure of symbiosis of anemone and sponge is formed by host side. It might be a strong evidence of obligate symbiosis of Tempuractis rinkai and Oscarella sp. However, the sexual reproduction has been observed neither T. rinkai nor host sponge, and thus the origin of the symbiosis is not observed yet. Because it is observed that T. rinkai have eggs and sperms by inspection of histological section, it is
probable that this species reproduces by spawning, thus the mechanism how larvae or young anemones find and inhabit in host sponge is still in mystery.

This is the first report of symbiosis among members of the Actiniaria (and also Cnidaria) and Homoscleromorpha both ecologically and morphologically. As for Oscarella sponge, or the family Oscarellidae, this is undoubtedly first record of symbiosis with any other metazoans. Concerning cnidarians, symbiotic relationships between order Zoantharia and Porifera have been documented far more frequently than those between the Actiniaria and Porifera. For example, several species of Epizoanthus Grey, 1867, Parazoanthus Haddon and Shackleton, 1891, and Umimayanthus, Montenegro, Sinniger and Reimer, 2015 inhabit in/on sponges (Swain and Wulff, 2007; Montenegro and Acosta, 2010; Montenegro et al., 2015). Among these, species of Epizoanthus bury their bodies much deeper in the sponge than the other genera (Swain and Wulff, 2007; Montenegro and Acosta, 2010; Montenegro et al., 2015), like Tempuractis rinkai. However, there has been no report of zoanthids inhabiting in/on homoscleromorph sponges.

In contrast to the diversity of sponge-symbiotic zoanthids, only a single species of Actiniaria is known to live in sponges. The first detailed report of a symbiotic relationship between members of Actiniaria and Porifera was about an actiniarian and a hexactinellid sponge (Sanamyan et al., 2012). According to Sanamyan et al. (2012), the host sponge Hyalonema sieboldi Gray, 1832 forms "specific minute volcano-like rises" above the sea anemone Spongiactis japonica. Although these structures resemble the bunch-like parts of Oscarella sp. where Tempuractis rinkai lives, the adhesion mechanisms and lineages involved in the two symbioses are completely different. In the $S$. japonical $H$. sieboldi symbiosis, the sea anemones adhere to the host sponge via the perforation of their columns by long spicules of the sponge (Sanamyan et al., 2012). However, the family Oscarellidae, including Oscarella spp., totally lack spicules (Muricy and Diaz, 2002; Gazave et al., 2010, 2012; Ruiz et al., 2017), thereby precluding a similar adhesion mechanism. The different adhesion mechanisms might be a consequence of the different surface structures of the host sponges because the pinacocytes of homoscleromorph sponges form an epithelium (e.g., Ereskovsky et al., 2009), whereas those of hexactinellid sponges do not (e.g., Leys et al., 2007).

In homoscleromorph sponges, the zoanthid "Epizoanthus sp. nov." sensu Crocker and Reiswig (1981) was reported to live exclusively inside three
species of the sponge genus Plakortis Schulze, 1880 (Crocker and Reiswig, 1981; Swain and Wulff, 2007), but this cnidarian species was revealed to be an edwardsiid species, Edwardsiidae sp, by molecular phylogenetic study (Swain, 2009). However, although the embedded form of Edwardsiidae sp., of which only the tentacles protrude from the sponge (Swain and Wulff, 2007; Montenegro and Acosta, 2010), resembles that of T. rinkai, this sea anemones were just ascertained to belong to the family Edwardsiidae only by molecular phylogeny. And there was no subsequent study showing the details of its morphological characters, and so the detailed taxonomy of this sea anemone is still unknown. I presume that "Edwardsiidae sp." in Swain (2009) is a different species from T. rinkai because the host sponges belong to different families; Oscarella sp. belongs to Oscarellidae, while the host sponges of "Edwardsiidae sp." in Swain (2009) belong to Plakinidae. Furthermore, the localities of sampling sites are very different and distant; T. rinkai and its host sponge Oscarella sp. were found from temperate rocky shores of Japan, but "Edwardsiidae sp." in Swain (2009) and its host sponges were found from coral reefs of the Caribbean (Crocker and Reiswig, 1981).

In recent, more species of Homoscleromorph sponge containing edwardsiids resembling to T. rinkai has been found in Japan. From Shukunegi, Sado Island, Niigata, specimens of Tempuractis ([Iz-038]; Fig. $35 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ) were collected with host sponge of Plakinidae, a species of sponge of different family from Oscarella sp. (Ise, 2013). In an underwater cave of Ie Island, Okinawa, Tempuractis species were confirmed to living symbiotically in gray oscarellan sponge, different species from Oscarella sp. in which $T$. rinkai inhabits ([Iz-039]; Fig. 35C-E). These anemones were confirmed to belong to the genus Tempuractis Izumi, Ise and Yanagi, 2018 morphologically, ecologically and phylogenetically. The anemones were inhabiting in host Homoscleromorph sponges (Fig. 35) and were in same clade with T. rinkai on phylogenetic tree (Figs. 12, 13). However, it is not certain now whether these anemones are same species as T. rinkai or undescribed species because these anemones were too tiny to extract sufficient morphological features.
T. rinkai should be dioecious because there were a kind of gametocytes in their gonads (Fig. 33). It is confirmed that there is only one sexuality in a colony in an individual of sponge. However, an individual of the specimen of (Iz-039) from the cave under the sea off Ie Island had both testis and ovary (Fig. 35E). So, the strategy of reproduction might be varied in this genus.

In conclusion, the present study revealed the new symbiosis between members of Actiniaria and Porifera and provided the first detailed record between an actiniarian and a homoscleromorph sponge. Moreover, this study suggests that a brand new and more diverse symbiotic relationships among members of the Cnidaria and Porifera.
(Description, Figs. 32-34, and part of Table 18 are revised and reprinted Izumi et al. [2018a] by courtesy of Zoological Science.)

Genus Edwardsiella Andres, 1883
(Japanese name: atsugi-mushimodoki-ginchaku-zoku)
Edwardsiella Andres, 1883: 305-306; Manuel, 1981a, 195: Daly et
al., 2013: 4.
Milne-edwardsia Carlgren, 1892: 455-456.
Fagesia Delphy, 1938: 620: Carlgren, 1949: 25.
Diagnosis. Edwardsiidae with column clearly differentiated into capitulum, or scapulus, and scapus. Two, three or more cycles of tentacles. Tentacles hexamerously or hexamerously arranged, those of innermost cycle longest. Capitulum ridged; nematocysts concentrated on ridges. Scapus generally bears strong periderm, always lacks nemathybomes or tenaculi. Aboral end rounded but not differentiated into a physa. Ciliated tracts of filaments short, discontinuous.
(Revised points from Daly et al. [2014] are indicated in bold.)
Type species. Edwardsiella carnea (Gosse, 1856)
Remarks. See Table 17 for comparison to the other genera of Edwardsiidae. This genus was established by Andres (1883). However, some species of this genus had traced a little strange history: Edwardsiella loveni (Carlgren, 1892) and other some species were described as species of genus Milne-Edwardsia, Carlgren, 1892. However, Milneedwardsia was a junior homonym of Milneedwardsia Bourguignat, 1877, a genus of Mollusca (Delphy, 1838), so the genus was renamed Fagesia Delphy, 1838. However, this genus turned to be the homonym of Fagesia, Pervinquière, 1907, the genus of Mollusca. Finally, Manuel (1981a) synonymized this genus into Edwardsiella, and Daly (2002b) agrees this treatment and settle Edl. loveni. After that, this genus has not doubted for the validity till current day.

Collection of $E d I$. sp. nov. 6 also means the first discovery of the genus

Edwardsiella from the northwest Pacific.

## Edwardsiella sp. nov. 6

(Japanese name: Seihyo-Atsugi-Mushimodoki)
Fig. 36; Table 18
Material examined. CMNH-ZG (no registration): One specimen cut into parts, prepared histological sections, and prepared for nematocyst observation, collected by scuba diving on 11 May 2009 at a depth of 28 m off Seihyo Seashore, Chichijima Island, Tokyo Met., Japan by Sinniger Frederic; CMNH-ZG (no registration): two specimen, whole body preserved in Ethanol, same collection date and collector as above; CMNH-ZG (no registration): nine whole specimen, same collection date and collector as above;

Description. External anatomy. Column ca. $8.0-12.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length and ca. $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width, and pipe-like in form (Fig. 36A, B). Column divided into scapus, capitulum. Surface of scapus covered by thick periderm, brown in proximal side and black in distal side, rough but no nemathybomes or tenaculi. Capitulum naked, hemitransparent, divisible 8 mesenterial insertions. Aboral end undeveloped into small physa, tapered or rounded, a little differentiated from scapus. Tentacles slender, simple, without acrospheres, transparent with white tiny cross line, ca. $1.0-3.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length in fixed specimens, longer than diameter of oral disk. Tentacles 16 in number, arranged in two concentric cycles of eight inner and eight outer ones positioned alternately, the typical arrangement of Edwardsia (Fig. 36C), inner tentacles longer than outer ones. Oral disk transparent, ca. $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, with a little swelled mouth.

Internal anatomy. Mesenterial arrangement as typical as that of Edwardsia. Eight macrocnemes, four dorsal and ventral directives, and the other four lateral mesenteries (Fig. 36E). All macrocnemes perfect, present along whole length of body (extending from oral to aboral end). Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all facing ventrally (Fig. 36E). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal-most part of column (Fig. 36D). Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between the dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries and two between the ventrolateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles comparatively weak, restricted in actinopharynx or filament side (Fig. 36F, G). Muscle processes mostly simple,
around 8-10 in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes indistinct, with a few muscular processes. Actinopharynx short, without distinct siphonoglyphs (Fig. 36E). Tentacular circular muscle and longitudinal muscle indistinct. Mesoglea in body wall, tentacle, and actinopharynx thin, $<10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness, but thicker in parietal and retractor muscles (Fig. 36F). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 36 D, H). No mature gametes in histological sections (Fig. 36G).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, and macrobasic mastigophores. See Table 18 for size and distribution.

Ecological remarks. This species inhabits in muddy bottom off Seihyo Seashore, around $30-40 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, in high density.

Remarks. This is the first discovery of Edwardsiella species from northwest Pacific: there had been only Edwardsiella ignota Carlgren, 1959 reported from the Pacific, but the locality was Chili, far distant from Japan (Carlgren, 1959).

See Table 19 for comparison to the other species of Edwardsiella. Edwardsiella sp. nov. 6 have two rows of eight tentacles, octamerously arranged. So, it was not corresponded to this genus strictly because Daly et al. (2013), in which the most recent diagnosis of Edwardsiella was stated, dictated that Edwardsiella has three or more cycle tentacles with hexamerously arranged. However, Edwardsiella andrillae Daly, 2013, the species which newly described in the article, did not follow the rule because this species has same arrangement as Edwardsiella sp. nov. 6 (this disagreement point may be overlooked by them). So, diagnosis is now emended. Compared to Edl. andrillae, Edl. sp. nov. 6 can be easily distinguishable by its habitat: the former species lives only in ice under Ross Ice Shelf. Moreover, macrobasic $p$-mastigophores were only observed in this species, not only among Edwardsiella but family Edwardsiidae (Table 18). In conclusion, this edwardsiid can be determined as new seventh species of Edwardsiella.

It is known that Edwardsiella lineata, a species of this genus, uses Mnemiopsis leidyi, a species of comb jellyfishes, as carriers of larvae in Atlantic Ocean (Dnyansagar et al., 2018). However, there has been no record that comb jellyfishes of Japan carried larvae of edwardsiids. Perhaps the reproduction and distribution expanding system of Edwardsiella is different
in Pacific.

Genus Halcampogeton Carlgren, 1937
(Japanese name: ibo-mushimodoki-ginchaku-zoku)
Halcampogeton Carlgren, 1937: 1; Carlgren, 1949: 24.
Diagnosis. Edwardsiidae with elongate body divisible into physa, scapus and scapulus (or capitulum). Scapus with 12 or 16 longitudinal rows of solid papillae containing very large nematocysts. Tentacles more or less cylindrical, of ordinary length, 12 or 16 in number. No distinct siphonoglyphs. Mesenteries probably never more than six pairs. 8 macrocnemes arranged as the macrocnemes in Edwardsia and 4 microcnemes. Not more mesenteries proximally than distally. Retractors of the perfect mesenteries restricted, more or less kidney-like. Parietal muscles strong. (The revised points from Carlgren [1937] are indicated by bold.)

Type species. Halcampogeton papillous Carlgren, 1937
Remarks. See Table 17 for comparison to the other genera of Edwardsiidae. This is a monotypic genus by Halcampogeton papillous Carlgren, 1937. This species was corrected only once from Puerto Rico (Carlgren, 1937), and the status of genus is doubtful in recent (Fautin, 2013). Now, an individual I found in my collection was almost corresponded to diagnosis of Halcampogeton, so this became the rediscovery of a species of this genus over 80 years. Moreover, I succeeded first molecular phylogenetic analysis of the species of this genus. According to it (Fig. 12), Halcampogeton was accommodated in Edwardsiidae certainly, and was early diverged from "nemathybomes-present" edwardsiids (Fig. 12).

## Halcampogeton sp. nov. 7

(New Japanese name: seisui-ibo-mushimodoki)
Fig. 37; Table 18
Material examined. (Iz-040), histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 10 November 2017, by biological dredge during research cruise of R/V Seisui-Maru (No. 1722), off Shima Peninsula, Kumanonada Sea (Station-10: $33^{\circ} 59.51^{\prime}$ N, $136^{\circ} 56.67$ ' E), $768-796 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, collected by Itaru Kobayashi.

Description. External anatomy. Column ca. 13 mm in length and ca. 5.0 mm in width in preserved, and cone-like in form (Fig. 37A). Column
divided into scapus and capitulum. Surface of scapus covered by thick paleyellow cuticle, rough, with longitudinal wrinkled cavities, with no nemathybomes, but solid papillae in 16 rows in proximal side (Fig. 37A). Scapulus naked, hemitransparent, divisible mesenterial insertion. Aboral end undifferentiated from scapus, with solid papillae (Fig. 37B). Tentacles slender, simple, without acrospheres, ca. $0.8-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length in living specimens. Tentacles 16 in number, in Edwardsia arrangement. Oral disk ca. 0.8 mm in diameter.

Internal anatomy (the specimen was badly preserved, so some characters not observed). Mesenterial arrangement as typical as that of Edwardsia. Eight macrocnemes, four dorsal and ventral directives, and the other four lateral mesenteries (Fig. 37F). All macrocnemes perfect, present along whole length of body (extending from oral to aboral end). Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all facing ventrally. Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal-most part of column, extending about $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ under the base of tentacles. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between the dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries and two between the ventrolateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles comparatively diffused (Fig. 37G). Muscular processes slightly branched, around 20 in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes quite distinct, elongated, with simple $10-15$ muscular processes (Fig. 37E). Tentacular circular muscle indistinct, and longitudinal muscle distinct and exocoeletic (Fig. 37C, D). Mesoglea in body wall exceedingly thick, especially in papillae (Fig. 37F, H), and comparatively thick in tentacle, and mesenteries (Fig. 37C-E). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent.

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, holotrichs. See Table 18 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Halcampogeton sp. nov. 7 can be distinguished from $H$. papillous Carlgren, 1937 by the number of rows of solid papillae on their column: H. papillous has 12 rows of solid papillae on their body (Carlgren, 1937), but this specimen has 16 rows (Fig. 37A, B).

Concerning cnidom, holotrichs are rare in Edwardsiidae: only Tempuractis rinkai had been known as possessing the kind of nematocysts. However, the cnidom of H. papillous does not sure, so I postpone editing the cnidom of diagnosis of this genus. Moreover, some features were not observed
in this specimen anatomically because of bad preservation, so the revision of diagnosis was minimized in this article.

Genus Drillactis Verrill, 1922
(Japanese name: doriru-mushimodoki-ginchaku-zoku)
Drillactis Verrill, 1922: 133; Carlgren, 1949: 26
Diagnosis. Edwardsiidae with often tapered aboral end. Body very elongate slender. No distinct division into scapus and scapulus (capitulum). Tentacles up to 24, the inner shorter than or as same length as the outer ones Macro- and microcnemes as in Edwardsia. No nematosomes in the coelenteron. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores.
(The revised points from Carlgren [1949] are indicated in bold.)
Type species. Drillactis pallida (Verrill, 1879)
Remarks. See Table 17 for comparison to the other genera of Edwardsiidae. Drillactis Verrill, 1922 was established as a monotypic genus with establishing the type species Drillactis pallida (Verrill, 1879). This genus was characterized as "having no characteristic features": the surface of this genus is quite simple, and absent any structures as nemathybomes (in Edwardsia, Edwardsianthus, Scolanthus), strong cuticles (in Edwardsiella), solid papillae (in Halcampogeton) or attaching other structures (in Paraedwardsia, sand grains, or in Tempuractis gen. nov., sponges). Even the remaining genera, they have peculiar characters in their column: Nematostella have nematosomes in their coelenterons, and Synhalcampella have extra microcnemes in their proximal sides. However, almost all of edwardsiids ever described or collected had somewhat characteristic features on or in their columns, so this genus had included only 2 species (Table 2). Thus, the cases of discoveries of Drillactis edwardsiids were rare. The discovery of Drillactis sp. nov. 8 was the first discovery of Drillactis from northwest Pacific, and of course from Japan.

Drillactis sp. nov. 8
(Japanese name: Ootsuchi-doriru-mushimodoki)
Fig. 38; Table 18
Material examined. (Iz-041), histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 4 July 2017, by biological dredge of R/V Yayoi, north off Cape Ohakozaki, Iwate Pref. (Station-6': $39^{\circ} 21.95^{\prime}$ N, $141^{\circ} 59.93^{\prime}$ E),

68-85 m depth, collected by Kensuke Yanagi.
Description. External anatomy. Column ca. 14.0 mm in length and ca. 3.0 mm in width in living, well-contracted, and stick-like in form: proximal end swelled like knob (Fig. 38A, B). Column divided into scapus and capitulum. Surface of scapus naked or covered mucus-like thin periderm, light brownish hemitransparent and divisible mesenterial insertions in whole body, with no nemathybomes or tenaculi. Capitulum naked, transparent, divisible actinopharynx clearly. Aboral end undifferentiated from scapus, with mucus-like thin periderm, hemitransparent, rounded or pointed. Tentacles slender, simple, without acrospheres, transparent, with a white spot at the tip, ca. $1.0-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length in living specimens, longer than diameter of oral disk. Tentacles 16 in number, arranged in two concentric cycles of eight inner and eight outer ones positioned alternately, the typical arrangement of Edwardsia, inner tentacles a little longer than outer ones. Oral disk transparent, ca. 0.8 mm in diameter, with a little swelled mouth.

Internal anatomy. Mesenterial arrangement as typical as that of Edwardsia. Eight macrocnemes, four dorsal and ventral directives, and the other four lateral mesenteries (Fig. 38E). All macrocnemes perfect, present along whole length of body (extending from oral to aboral end). Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all facing ventrally (Fig. 38E). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal-most part of column under the base of tentacles (Fig. 38A). Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between the dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries and two between the ventrolateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles exceedingly weak, diffused (Fig. 38E). Muscle processes slightly branched, around $2-5$ in each muscle pennon. Parietal muscles of macrocnemes indistinct, with a few muscular processes. Actinopharynx short, without siphonoglyphs (Fig. 38E). Tentacular circular muscle indistinct, and longitudinal muscle distinct and ectodermal (Fig. 38D). Mesoglea thin among whole body. Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Gonads present, but no mature gametes in histological sections (Fig. 38C).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 18 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 20 for comparison to the other species of Drillactis. Drillactis is a small genus of Edwardsiidae, only with two species, D. pallida
and D. leucomedia (Fautin, 2016). Drillactis sp. nov. 8 can be easily distinguished from both species by its body size: D. pallida (Verrill, 1879) and D. leucomedia (Parry, 1951) reach 10 cm in length (Verrill, 1922; Parry, 1951). In addition, this species is also distinguishable from $D$. leucomedia by the number of tentacles because $D$. leucomedia has 48 tentacles (Parry, 1951), far more than $D$. sp. nov. 8 does.

Genus Edwardsia de Quatrefages, 1842
(Japanese name: mushimodoki-ginchaku-zoku; Uchida, 1965)
Edwardsia de Quatrefages, 1842: 68; Andres, 1881: 334; Carlgren, 1949: 22; Williams, 1981: 326; England, 1987: 215.
Scolanthus Gosse, 1853: 157; Manuel, 1981b: 266.
Edwardsioides Danielessen, 1890: 100; England, 1987: 224.
Paraedwardsia Carlgren in Nordgaard, 1905: 158; Carlgren, 1949:
24.

Isoedwardsia Carlgren, 1921: 56; Carlgren, 1949: 24 (in part).
Edwardsianthus England, 1987: 224.
Isoscolanthus Brandão, Gusmão and Gomes, 2019: Brandao et al., 2019: 1088.
Diagnosis. Edwardsiidae with body divisible into scapus, scapulus, and capitulum, and sometimes physa in aboral end. Physa short, ampullaceous, without nemathybomes or periderm. Nemathybomes with one or two types of nematocysts. Scapus long, with batteries of nemathybomes, containing large nematocysts, or traces of those sunk into mesoglea. Tentacles at least 10, inner ones shortest. Eight macrocnemes and at least two imperfect, very weak microcnemes in distal column. Retractors relatively large, well developed, diffuse to restricted reniform; parietal muscles well developed. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-, $p$-mastigophores.

Type species. Edwardsia beautempsii de Quatrefages, 1842
Remarks. Almost all of Edwardsiidae previously described and specimens collected in this research were classified into five genera, Edwardsia, Edwardsianthus, Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus, and Paraedwardsia. The only common feature of these five genera was having nemathybomes or trace of them (Paraedwardsia has been said that they do not have nemathybomes, but my research revealed that even Paraedwardsia
edwardsiids had trace of nemathybomes, pocket-like features [see Fig. 51G]). According to my phylogenetic analysis, all of them, including species deposited on GenBank (Table 6), were in one gigantic clade and isolated the other genera which lack nemathybomes (Fig. 12; see Chapter 1F). However, four genera except Edwardsianthus were complexly mingled on the large clade (Fig. 12), so the framework of genera was no longer reflecting the phylogeny.

Though they formed five small subclades in comparatively reliable rate (Fig. 13), there was no morphological feature by which these subclades were distinguished each other except the subclade of genus Edwardsianthus England, 1987. Moreover, I cannot gotten molecular information of the type species, Edwardsia beautempsii de Quatrefages, 1842 yet, so it cannot be discussed that which subclade includes the type species although this species must be accommodated into the genus Edwardsia morphologically because of existence of nemathybomes on its scapus (de Quatrefages, 1842; Manuel, 1981a; Table 17). That is why I synonymize these genera of "nemathybomespresent" anemones into one large genus Edwardsia, the most senior previously established genus of them, in the present study (Fig. 13; see Chapter 1F). See Table 17 for comparison to the other genera of Edwardsiidae.

My phylogenetic research still contained several unidentified species of Edwardsia because it was difficult to identify the species quickly because of many nominal species of this genus (Table 2). Therefore, after the other four genera were combined into Edwardsia, there is a potential that the genus Edwardsia will accommodate over 100 species, one of the largest number of species in one genus of Actiniaria (Fautin, 2016). Considering that anemones of Edwardsiidae are hidden in substrates (usually escaping collectors), and almost all of edwardsiids are classified into Edwardsia now, this genus might have potential to become the largest genus in the order Actiniaria. See Chapter 3C for evaluation and consideration of the reason why this genus is such highly diverged.

Edwardsia pudica Klunzinger, 1877
(New Japanese name: manyo-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 39
Edwardsia pudica Klunzinger, 1877: 80-81, pl. 6, fig. 3; Carlgren, 1931: 18-20, figs. 16, 17

Edwardsiella pudica Andres, 1883: 309
Edwardsia adenensis Faurot, 1895: 121, pl. 6, fig. 5, pl. 7, fig. 6
Edwardsia bocki Carlgren, 1931: 7-9, figs. 5-6.
Edwardsia stephensoni Carlgren, 1950: 128-129, figs. 1-2.
Edwardsianthus pudica: England, 1987: 224-229, fig. 10
Material examined. (Iz-042), histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 7 November 2015 off Kurasaki seashore, Amami-Oshima Island, Kagoshima, Japan, depth unknown but shallower than 30 m, by Takuma Fujii.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. $120-200 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and ca. $12-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in living specimen, and ca. $80-130 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length and ca. $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen (Fig. 39A). cylinderlike form, and the proximal part narrower to some extent. The column consisting of capitulum, scapus, and physa. The distal-most part capitulum, translucent and visible magenta mesenteries within, short, without nemathybomes. Scapus with very thick and easily removed periderm-like cuticle, dark gray in color, and with dense nemathybomes (Fig. 39A). Nemathybomes tiny, pale white in color, scattered. Tentacles 20 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle 8 and outer 12, magenta pink or purple in color with brown obscure patches (Fig. 39B), without acrospheres. Inner tentacles short, slender, ca. $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, and outer ones long and slender, $10-14 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Mouth in oral disc apparently swollen, white in color.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern. All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. The retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles strongly developed and diffused (Fig. 39F), pennon-like, configured with ca. 100 muscular processes. Processes except some basal ones simple or a little branched, and pinnate in some part. Some processes nearest to body wall extremely well-branched, secondly and thirdly (Fig. 39F; England, 1981: fig.
10). Parietal muscles not so developed, apparently elongated to direction of mesenteries, with ca. 15-20 simple or a little branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 39E). Existence of siphonoglyph unknown because of contracting. Tentacular circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 39C), and longitudinal muscle distinct, ectodermal (Fig. 39D). Mesoglea thickest in body wall, over $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness in some part, and comparatively thick in physa and mesenteries, but thinner in parietal muscles and tentacles (Fig. 41C-E). Nemathybomes sunk into mesoglea. Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 39G). Gonads apart from retractor muscles, distinct, but no mature gametocyte observed in my specimen (Fig. 39F).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, and microbasic amastigophores.
Remarks. This specimen from Amami Oshima Island quite resembled Edwardsianthus pudica stated in England (1987). He redescribed Eds. pudica in detail and set this species as the type of new genus, Edwardsianthus England, 1987. That study described that Eds. pudica had a large body reaching 200 mm in length and 15 mm in width, a thick walled scapus with easily stripped periderm, scattered small nemathybomes, long slender tapered tentacles, swelled mouth, extremely developed and diffused retractor muscles composed of 70-90 muscular processes, well-developed parietal muscle with 20-30 simple or a little branched processes, and dioecious gonads. These features almost corresponded to my specimen. The tentacles translucent purple or magenta-pink in color (England, 1987) were also similar to tentacles and capitulum of my specimen in color. Moreover, Eds. pudica inhabits broad area of Pacific and Indian Ocean, so it is not unnatural if this species inhabits in Japan.

This species has been the type species of Edwardsianthus in England, (1987). However, according to the present study, this species should be treated as a member of Edwardsia. Edwardsianthus became an ingroup of Edwardsia as mentioned above, thus it is no longer valid genus (see below).

Treatment of Edwardsianthus England, 1987. The genus Edwardsianthus England, 1987 was established in order to reorganizing Edwardsia, which had become too large genus then. Edwardsia de Quatrefages, 1842, the type genus of Edwardsiidae, had accommodated so many species, approximate 45 nominal species (Williams, 1981), that England (1987) allocated the species of Edwardsia to three genera, Edwardsia, Edwardsioides Danielessen, 1890, and new genus Edwardsianthus England,
1987. That study accommodated only two species in Edwardsianthus: Eds. pudica (Klunzinger, 1877) and Eds. gilbertensis (Carlgren, 1931). However, as mentioned remarks of genus, Edwardsianthus was turned to be an ingroup of Edwardsia in my phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 13), thus this genus should be re-accommodated into Edwardsia. Consequently, Edwardsia remained the largest genus in this family.

According to my research, 11 species which could be classified in Edwardsianthus, including nine new species, were collected in Japan (see Table 22). Of course, this is surprising numbers of species compared to known species number (two). Considering that E. gilbertensis Carlgren, 1931 and E. pudica Klunzinger, 1877 mainly distribute tropical and subtropical zone of Pacific and Indian Ocean (Carlgren, 1931, England, 1987; Fautin, 2013), this group is endemic and apparently diverged in this area.

Edwardsia gilbertensis Carlgren, 1931
(Japanese name: minami-mushimodoki-ginchaku; Uchida and Soyama, 2001)

Fig. 40
Edwardsia gilbertensis Carlgren, 1931: 10-12
Edwardsianthus gilbertensis: England, 1987: 218, 231, fig. 10
Material examined. CMNH-ZG 06527: dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by wading on 7 June 2013 from the intertidal zone of Kabira-Bay, IshigakiIsland, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Kensuke Yanagi; NSMT-Co 1701 : dissected specimens (2 individuals), collected by wading on 26 March 2015 from the intertidal zone of Funaura-Bay, Iriomote-Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; [no registration]: dissected or whole specimens (3 individuals), collected by wading on 17 March 2015 from the intertidal zone of Yonaha-Bay, Miyako-Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-043): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by wading on 19 March 2014 from the intertidal zone of Kataburu-Beach, YonaguniIsland, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-044): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by wading on 23 March 2015 from the intertidal zone of Kataburu-Beach, Yonaguni-Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-045)-(Iz-050): whole or dissected specimens, collected by wading on 17 March 2016 from the intertidal zone of

Kataburu-Beach, Yonaguni-Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-051): dissected specimens (3 individuals), collected by wading on 24 March 2015 from the intertidal zone of Higawa-Bay, Yonaguni-Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-052): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, collected by wading on 23 September 2014 from the intertidal zone of SenagaIsland, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-053): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, collected by wading on 21 September 2014 from the intertidal zone of Yagaji-Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz054): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, collected by snorkeling on 9 November 2015 from Shio-michi, Kikai-Island, Kagoshima Pref., Japan, 1 m depth, by Takato Izumi.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. 20-40 mm in whole length, and $2.5-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen, worm-like form, and equal width among whole body. The column consisting of capitulum, scapus, and physa. The distal-most part capitulum, translucent or opaque gray in color, short, without nemathybomes. Scapus with thick periderm-like cuticle, brownish orange in color, and with dense nemathybomes (Fig. 40A). Nemathybomes tiny, pale white in color, scattered but more or less in 8 rows. Aboral end apparent physa (Fig. 40A). Tentacles 20 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle $4-5$ and outer 10-15, opaque whitish-gray in color (Fig. 40B), without acrospheres. Inner tentacles slender, ca. 1 mm in length, and outer ones long, slender, short, with sparse white spots on surface, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Mouth in oral disc swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 40E). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. The retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Approximately seven-twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and four between ventrolateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exoor endocoelic. Retractor muscles distinct and diffused (Fig. 40E, F), pennonlike, configured with ca. 15-35 simple or a little branched muscular processes (Fig. 40F). Parietal muscles developed, apparently elongated to direction of
mesenteries, with ca. 10 simple to muscular processes in each side (Fig. 40F). Actinopharynx without siphonoglyph. Tentacular circular muscle indistinct, and longitudinal muscle distinct, ectodermal. Mesoglea thickest in body wall (Fig. 40E), and comparatively thick in tentacles (Fig. 40C), but thinner in mesenteries and parietal muscles (Fig. 40F). Nemathybomes protruding from mesoglea (Fig. 40D). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Gonads apart from retractor muscles, distinct, but no mature gametocyte.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic amastigophores.

Remarks. As same as E. pudica, this species has been a member of Edwardsianthus in England, (1987). However, the present study treats this species as a member of Edwardsia following my phylogenetic analysis.

Ecological remarks. Edwardsia gilbertensis was originally described from Gilbert Islands, Kiribati (Carlgren, 1931), and there were several reports from other localities in tropical/subtropical zone in the Pacific (Fautin, 2013). However, there had been no official record of E. gilbertensis from Japan although Uchida and Soyama (2001) reported from Kabira Bay, Ishigaki Island. Now, my research discovered many individuals of E. gilbertensis living not only in intertidal zone of Kabira Bay in Ishigaki Island (Fig. 40B), but also broad area of Nansei Islands, from Kikai Island, Amami islands (Kagoshima Pref.) to Yonaguni Island, Yaeyama Islands (Okinawa Pref.).

## Edwardsia sp. nov. 9

(New Japanese name: kougyoku-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 41; Table 21
Material examined. CMNH-ZG 05954, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 10 Jury 2013, Nishidomari (in front of Kuroshio Biological Institute), Kochi Pref., Japan, 5 m depth, by Kensuke Yanagi.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. 60 mm in whole length, and $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen (but distal side strongly contracted and aboral side torn off during sampling, so body length estimated over 100 mm in living), cylinder-like form, and the proximal part narrower to some extent. The column consisting of capitulum and scapus. The distal-most part capitulum, transparent and visible scarlet color of inside, short, without nemathybomes. Scapus with very thick periderm-like cuticle, dark brown in
color, and with dense nemathybomes (Fig. 41A). Nemathybomes tiny, pale white in color, scattered. Aboral end unknown because of torn off (Fig. 41A). Tentacles 20 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle 5 and outer 15, vivid scarlet in color (Fig. 41B), without acrospheres. Inner tentacles short, blunt, ca. 6 mm in length, and outer ones long, slender, with sparse white spot on surface, $15-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Mouth in oral disc apparently swollen

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 41C, D). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles strongly developed and diffused (Fig. 41E), pennon-like, configured with ca. 60-90 muscular processes, simple or a little branched, and pinnated in some part. Some processes nearest to body wall extremely well-branched, secondary and thirdly (Fig. 41E). Parietal muscles not so developed, apparently elongated to direction of mesenteries, with ca. 20-30 simple to muscular processes in each side (Fig. 41E). Existence of siphonoglyph unknown because of contracting. Tentacular circular muscle indistinct, and longitudinal muscle distinct, ectodermal. Mesoglea thickest in body wall, over $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness in some part (Fig. 41C, D), and comparatively thick in tentacle and mesenteries (nearby retractor), but thinner in parietal muscle (Fig. 41E). Nemathybomes protruding from mesoglea (Fig. 41G). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Gonads apart from retractor muscles, distinct, in which densely immature testis (Fig. 41F).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic amastigophores. See Table 21 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 22 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of Edwardsianthus. The most characteristic feature of this species is vivid red tentacle. This species can be distinguished from the other species in clade Edwardsianthus by not only this color, but also by having two types of nematocysts in both actinopharynx and nemathybomes. Genus

Edwardsianthus is traditionally characterized by nemathybomes containing only one type of nematocysts, but the definition became incorrect by the discovering of this species. According to my phylogenetic analysis, the most related species of $E$. sp. nov. 9 was Edwardsia pudica, but they can be distinguished by cnidom of nemathybomes (Table 21; England, 1987). To sustain this conclusion, it is needed to collect additional specimens, especially which have complete proximal end. However, this species was collected only once from the locality, and there has been no additional case of observation on the field at all.

## Edwardsia sp. nov. 10

(New Japanese name: suigyoku-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 42; Table 21
Material examined. (Iz-055): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 31 January 2016, off Shirahama seashore, Amami-Oshima Island, Kagoshima, Japan, 15m depth, by Daisuke Uyeno.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. 70 mm in whole length, and ca. 15 mm in width in preserved specimen, and ca. 100 mm in living. Column cylinder-like form, and the middle part swollen to some extent (Fig. $42 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). The column consisting of capitulum, scapus and quite small physa. The distal-most part capitulum, transparent, short, without nemathybomes. Scapus with thick periderm, brownish black in color, and with protruding scattered nemathybomes (Fig. 42A). Nemathybomes tiny, dingy gray in color. Aboral end differentiated small, tapered physa. Tentacles 20 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle 5 and outer 15 , brilliant green in color and pale purple at the tips, no pattern, comparatively slender, without acrospheres. Inner tentacles ca. 7 mm and outer ones ca. $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Mouth in oral disc slightly swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 42F). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal
directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles weakly developed but distinct, diffused (Fig. 42C), pennon-like, configured with ca. 50-60 muscular processes, simple or a little branched. One process nearest to body wall well-branched (Fig. 42C). Parietal muscles indistinct, elongated in direction of mesenteries, consisted of short and slightly branched processes, sparsely, less than 10 in each side (Fig. 42C). Existence of siphonoglyph unknown because of contracting. Tentacular circular muscle endodermal, distinct, and longitudinal muscle ectodermal, both distinct. Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx, maximum $400 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (Fig. 42F), but far thinner in parietal muscle and tentacles (Fig. $42 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}$ ), and thinnest, less than $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in mesenteries. Nemathybomes protruding from mesoglea (Fig. 42H). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Gonads apart from retractor muscles, distinct (Fig. 42G), in which matured oocytes.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores and microbasic amastigophores. See Table 21 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 22 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of Edwardsianthus. Species of Edwardsianthus usually have strongly developed and diffused retractor and parietal muscle, but those of this species exceptionally not so developed. This character is distinct in addition to brilliant light green tentacles. Moreover, according to my phylogenetic analysis, this species was far isolated from other anemones of Edwardsianthus (Fig. 13).

This species has been discovered only once in nature.

Edwardsia sp. nov. 11
(New Japanese name: sougyoku-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 43; Table 21
Material examined. (Iz-056): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 24 June 2012, in Oura-Bay, Okinawa Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, depth unknown, by Takuma Fujii.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. 150 mm in whole length, and $20-35 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen, and over 300 mm in living,
one of the largest species in edwardsiid. Column cylinder-like form, and the proximal part swollen to some extent. The column consisting of capitulum, scapus and quite small physa. The distal-most part capitulum, transparently blue, short, without nemathybomes. Scapus with thin and easily stripped periderm, brown in color, and with quite numerous scattered nemathybomes (Fig. 43B). Nemathybomes tiny, pale white in color. Aboral end differentiated small, tapered physa. Tentacles 20 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle 5 and outer 15, metallic greenish blue in color with no pattern (Fig. 43A), slender, without acrospheres. Inner tentacles ca. 10 mm and outer ones ca. $15-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Mouth in oral disc apparently swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 43C). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles strongly developed and diffused (Fig. 43E), pennon-like, configured with ca. 120-150 muscular processes, simple or a little branched. One process nearest to body wall extremely well-branched, with over 100 secondly and thirdly branched processes (Fig. 43E). Parietal muscles developed peculiarly: consisted of ca. 20-30 processes in each side, and only one of them extremely developed, branched into secondly $15-25$ processes, and expanded broadly. So, parietals entirely appearing characteristic shape like clubs of cards (Fig. 43D). Existence of siphonoglyph unknown because of contracting. Tentacular circular muscle endodermal, indistinct (Fig. 43G), and longitudinal muscle ectodermal, distinct and sometimes pinnated (Fig. 43H). Mesoglea thickest in body wall, sometimes reaching 1 mm in thickness (Fig. 43C), but thinner in mesenteries, parietal muscle, and tentacles (Fig. 43E-H). Nemathybomes protruding from mesoglea. Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Gonads apart from retractor muscles, distinct (Fig. 43C, F), in which matured oocytes.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic amastigophores. See Table

21 for size and distribution.
Remarks. See Table 22 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of Edwardsianthus. This species is characterized by not only gigantic body and bluish metallic tentacle, but also strange club-like shape of parietal muscles. Almost all species have parietal muscle with simple or slightly branched processes, and there has been no case confirmed parietal muscles with such secondly branched muscular processes like this species. So, shape of parietal muscle of this species is conspicuous in Edwardsiidae.

There were several cases of observation of metallic blue tentacle resembling to this species reported during SCUBA diving in Amami Oshima. However, it is difficult to dig out buried large edwardsiid anemones from substrates even if the places of anemones were certain. This is because they usually quickly shrunk their tentacles and hide whole bodies into deep substrate.

Edwardsia sp. nov. 12
(New Japanese name: shigyoku-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 44; Table 21
Material examined. (Iz-057): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 28 March 2013, in Oura-Bay, Okinawa Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, 15m depth, by Takuma Fujii.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. 200 mm in whole length, and $7-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen, and over 300 mm in living, one of the largest species in edwardsiid (Fig. 44B). Column worm-like form, and the distal part swollen to some extent (maybe because of condition during preservation). The column consisting of capitulum, scapus and quite small physa. The distal-most part short capitulum, without nemathybomes. Scapus with thin and easily stripped periderm, light brown in color, and surface of it completely smooth, with extremely small nemathybomes-like spots (Fig. 44B). Aboral end differentiated small, rounded physa. Tentacles 20 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle 5 and outer 15, pale purple in color with several dark purple spots, slender, without acrospheres but a little capitated tip (Fig. 44A). Inner tentacles ca. 10 mm and outer ones ca. $15-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Mouth in oral disc apparently swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four
dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern. All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles strongly developed and diffused (Fig. 44E), pennon-like, configured with ca. 100-150 muscular processes, simple to well branched: processes near filament short and branched well. One process nearest to body wall extremely well-branched, with approximately 80 secondly and thirdly branched processes (Fig. 44E). Parietal muscles distinct, consisted of ca. 10-15 simple processes in each side (Fig. 44E). Existence of siphonoglyph unknown because of contracting. Tentacular circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 44C), and longitudinal muscle ectodermal, distinct (Fig. 44D). Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx, ca. $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (Fig. 44E), but far thinner in mesenteries, retractor muscles, and tentacles (Fig. 44C, D). Nemathybomes-like structures protruding from mesoglea, but without nematocysts at all (Fig. 44G). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Gonads apart from retractor muscles, distinct (Fig. 44F), in which matured oocytes.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, and microbasic amastigophores. There are no nematocysts in nemathybome-like structures. See Table 21 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 22 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of Edwardsianthus. The most characteristic feature of this species is nemathybome-like features without nematocysts. This character was also observed in the species of previous Paraedwardsia (Fig. 51), but it was the first case in Edwardsianthus anemones, so $E$. sp. nov. 13. can be easily distinguished from other species of the previous genus Edwardsianthus by absence of cnidae in nemathybome-like structures. It is suggested that degenerating of nemathybome is occurred several times in Edwardsiidae.

Edwardsia sp. nov. 13
(New Japanese name: haneji-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 45; Table 23
Material examined. (Iz-058): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by wading on 21 September 2014, from the intertidal zone of Yagaji-Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-059): whole specimen, collected by wading on 23 November 2019, from the intertidal zone of Haneji Inland-Sea, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Masanori Taru and Gen Kanaya.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. $50-65 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and $8-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen, and over 90 mm in living (Fig. 45A). Column cylinder-like form, and the middle part swollen to some extent. The column consisting of capitulum, scapus and large physa (Fig. 45B). The distal-most part short capitulum, brownish translucent with white patches, without nemathybomes. Scapus with thin periderm, light orange to light brown in color, and surface of it completely smooth, with apparent dark red nemathybomes (Fig. 45C). Aboral end rounded, transparent physa (Fig. 45B). Tentacles 20 in number in two cycle (Fig. 45G): inner tentacle 5 and outer 15. Tentacle except dorsal brownish translucent with white line-like spots, slender, without acrospheres; one dorsal tentacle whitish opaque with no pattern (Fig. 45G). Inner tentacles ca. 10 mm and outer ones ca. 15 mm in length. Mouth in oral disc a little swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern. All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing (Fig. 45D). Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles strongly developed and diffused (Fig. 45D, E), pennon-like, configured with ca. 50-70 muscular processes, simple to slightly branched (Fig. 45E). One process nearest to body wall well-branched, with approximately 40 secondly and thirdly branched processes (Fig. 45E). Parietal muscles distinct, consisted of ca. 5-9 slightly branched processes in each side (Fig. 45E).

Existence of siphonoglyph unknown because of contracting. Tentacular circular muscle and longitudinal muscle indistinct. Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx, ca. $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness (Fig. 45E), but far thinner in mesenteries, retractor muscles, and tentacles (Fig. 45D-E). Nemathybomes protruding or sunk into mesoglea. Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Gonads apart from retractor muscles, distinct (Fig. 45 F ), in which immature oocytes.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores, and microbasic $b$-mastigophores. See Table 23 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 22 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of Edwardsianthus. Compared from the other species of the previous genus Edwardsianthus, Edwardsia sp. nov. 13 can be easily distinguished by absence of spirocysts in tentacle. Moreover, this species had strange tentacular coloration: one opaque tentacle in dorsal side and the other 19 brownish translucent tentacles (Fig. 45G). The other species in the previous genus Edwardsianthus have tentacles unified in colors (see Figs. 3944, 46, 47; Carlgren, 1931; England, 1987). This species can be distinguished from Edwardsia sp. nov. 12, the most related species (Fig. 13), by possessing nematocysts in their nemathybomes (Tables 21-23).

This species was only collected from Haneji Inland Sea surrounded by Okinawa and Yagaji Islands. I also collected some other species from here, so the diversity of Edwardsiidae would be rich there.

## Edwardsia sp. nov. 14

(New Japanese name: ogasawara-jitte-mushimodoki) Fig. 46; Table 23
Material examined. (Iz-060): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by wading on 26 June 2014 from the intertidal zone of kopepe-Beach, Chichijima Island, Ogasawara, Tokyo Met., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-061): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 21 June 2014 from the 8 m depth of Miyanohama-Beach, Chichijima Island, Ogasawara, Tokyo Met., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-062): whole specimens. same method, collector, and date as (Iz-060); (Iz-063): whole specimens histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 16 February 2017, off Seihyo Seashore, Chichijima Island, Ogasawara Islands,

Tokyo Met., Japan, 15-25 m depth, by Yoshihisa Fujita.
Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. $20-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and $0.7-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in living individuals, and ca. $12-17 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length in preserved specimen. Column worm-like form, uniform width. The column consisting of short capitulum, scapus and quite small physa but indistinctly differentiated. The distal-most part long capitulum, hemitransparent, without nemathybomes and periderm. Scapus with quite thin hemitransparent periderm, and with scattered tiny nemathybomes (Fig. 46A). Nemathybomes quite tiny, pale white in color. Aboral end slightly differentiated small, tapered physa. Tentacles 10 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle 3 and outer 7, greenish brown in color with white lines in transverse direction, slender, without acrospheres (Fig. 46B). Inner tentacles ca. 1 mm and outer ones ca. 1.5 mm in length. Mouth in oral disc not swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 46D, F). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Two tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part, between dorso-and ventro-lateral mesenteries (Fig. 46D). Retractor muscles weakly developed and restricted to filament side (Fig. 46G), pennon-like, configured with simple or a little branched ca. 12-16 muscular processes. Parietal muscles weak, consisted of ca. 2-4 simple or a little branched processes in each side (Fig. 46G). Siphonoglyph absent in actinopharynx (Fig. 46D). Tentacular circular muscle and longitudinal muscle indistinct (Fig. 46C). Mesoglea entirely thin among whole body, especially in mesenteries (Fig. 46E, G). Nemathybomes protruding from or half sunk into mesoglea (Fig. 46F). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 46H). Gonads concatenated with retractor muscles, distinct (Fig. 46G), in which matured testis. Endocoel of tentacle and distal side of column containing dense zooxanthellae (Fig. 46E).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, and microbasic amastigophores. See Table 23 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Subclade Edwardsianthus included strange two species. Edwardsia sp. nov. 14 and Edwardsia sp. nov. 15 did not have the typical arrangement of Edwardsianthus, 20 tentacles, but had only 10 tentacles
arranged as inner three and outer seven. This arrangement was with the least number of tentacles recorded in Edwardsiidae (and also in sea anemones ever known). Edwardsiidae had been diagnosed with eight macrocnemes, so it was clear that these species have only two microcnemes. This is the least number not only in the genus Edwardsia but also in Edwardsiidae.

See Table 22 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of Edwardsianthus. Edwardsia sp. nov. 14 can be distinguished from $E$. sp. nov. 15 by slender tentacles, hemitransparent body with quite thin periderm, weak parietal muscles, and presence of microbasic $p$-mastigophores in actinopharynx.

## Edwardsia sp. nov. 15

(New Japanese name: yonaguni-jitte-mushimodoki) Fig. 47; Table 23

Material examined. (Iz-064): dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by wading on 23 March 2015 from the intertidal zone of Kataburu-Beach, Yonaguni Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-065): whole specimen, collected by wading on 23 March 2015 from the intertidal zone of Kataburu-Beach, Yonaguni Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi. (Iz-066): whole specimens, collected by wading on 17 March 2016 from the intertidal zone of Kataburu-Beach, Yonaguni Island, Okinawa Pref., Japan, by Takato Izumi.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. $20-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in living individuals, and ca. $11-16 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length in preserved specimen. Column cylinder-like form, swollen in proximal side. The column consisting of short scapulus, scapus and quite small physa. The distal-most part short scapulus, opaque, without nemathybomes and periderm. Scapus with thick and easily stripped periderm (Fig. 47A). Nemathybomes invisible from outside. Proximal end small physa, tapered. Tentacles 10 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle 3 and outer 7, brown with dense white patches, quite blunt like rugby ball, rugged on surface, without acrospheres (Fig. 47B). Inner tentacles ca. 1 mm and outer ones ca. $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length. Mouth in oral disc well swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 47C, E). All
macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Two tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part, between dorso-and ventro-lateral mesenteries. Retractor muscles distinctly developed and restricted to filament side (Fig. 47F), pennon-like, configured with simple to slightly branched ca. 20-30 muscular processes. Parietal muscles strong, consisted of ca. 8-11 simple or a little branched processes in each side (Fig. 47F). Weak one siphonoglyph in actinopharynx. Tentacular circular muscle and longitudinal muscle indistinct. Mesoglea thickest in body wall (Fig. 47E), but thinner in mesenteries, both muscles, and actinopharynx (Fig. 47C-E). Nemathybomes small, protruding from mesoglea (Fig. 47E). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 47G). Gonads concatenated with retractor muscles, distinct, in which immature testis (Fig. 47F). Endocoel of tentacle and distal side of column containing zooxanthellae (Fig. 47D).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 23 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 22 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of Edwardsianthus. Same as Edwardsia sp. nov. 14, E. sp. nov. 15 was one of the species with least numbers of tentacles. Edwardsia sp. nov. 15 can be distinguished from $E$. sp. nov. 14 by blunt opaque tentacles, thick periderm, distinctly developed parietal muscles and presence of microbasic $p$ mastigophores in actinopharynx.

Edwardsia alternobomen Izumi and Fujita, 2019
New Japanese name: gyorai-mushimodoki-ginchaku
Fig. 48; Table 24
Edwardsia alternobomen Izumi and Fujita, 2019: 538-543, figs. 3, 4
Material examined. The holotype: NSMT-Co 1657, dissected specimen, histological sections, and prepared cnidae, collected on 13 October, 2015, in Hokabira-coast, Hinoshima Island, Amakusa Islands, Kumamoto Prefecture ( $32^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 130^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 1 m depth by wading, collected by Takato Izumi; 3 paratypes: NSMT-Co 1656, histological sections, and prepared cnidae, collected in 24 June, 2014, same locality and method as holotype, collected by Naoto Jimi; NSMT-Co 1658, 1659, dissected specimen, same date, locality and
collector as NSMT-Co 1657; the other specimen: (Iz-067), dissected specimen, histological sections, and prepared cnidae, collected on 3 April, 2016, in Kotogahama-Beach, Manazuru, Kanagawa Prefecture, 10 m depth, collected by Naoto Jimi.

External anatomy. Column cylinder-like, both in living and preserved specimens, wrinkled by huge nemathybomes, $25-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length ( 30 mm in NSMT-Co 1657 [holotype]), and $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width ( 3 mm in NSMT-Co 1657) in expanded specimens, (Fig. 48A). Body divided into capitulum, scapus and physa (Fig. 48A). Scapus covered with bright orange periderm. Nemathybomes of two types: huge, up to 1.5 mm in diameter, protruding like papillae, and small, less than $300 \mu m$ in diameter, covered by periderm. Nemathybomes queued in eight-rows: four rows of larger ones and four rows of smaller ones arranged alternately: all larger nemathybomes in four rows, and never in the other four rows (Fig. 48B). Compared to E. tuberculata, large nemathybomes sparse in each row. Aboral physa differentiated from scapus, naked, a little rounded, without nemathybomes (Fig. 48A). Tentacles transparent with a row of white patches and two rows of wine-red tiny patches on surface, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, 12 in number, in two cycles of six tentacles each (Fig. 48C). Oral disk 1 mm in diameter, light in color, with wine red circle, and pale white rhomb only on dorsal side (Fig. 48C).

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end. Paired dorsal and ventral directives plus four lateral, unpaired mesenteries (Fig. 48F, H). Four tiny microcnemes, one of the fewest in Edwardsiidae, each without muscles, limited only to distal-most column. Two microcnemes between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. One tentacle in each end- or exo- coel. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of each lateral mesentery faces ventrally (Fig. 48F). Each retractor muscle distinctly developed as pennon, restricted to filament side; with $15-20$ multiply branched muscular processes next to actinopharynx, and with 10-15 multiply branched muscular processes in lower part (Fig. 48E, G). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes comparatively distinct, semi-elliptic or triangular shape with $3-4$ slightly branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 48E, G). Actinopharynx very short, grooved, with no distinct siphonoglyph. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal but indistinct. Marginal sphincter muscle
and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 48D). Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries, and comparatively thick in body wall (Fig. 48E, F). Larger nemathybomes, however, far thicker than mesoglea, greatly protruding from the body wall and containing very large basitrichs (Fig. 48F, I). Dioecious: gonads between retractor muscles and filaments; oocytes in gonads of NSMT-Co 1656.

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores, and $b$-mastigophores. See Table 24 for size and distribution.

Etymology: the specific epithet consists of alterno (alternately in Latin) and bomen (meaning nemathybome). This name is derived from the alternately arranged nemathybomes.

Remarks. See Table 25 for comparison to the other species of Edwardsia which have 12 tentacles. This species has two characteristic features: 12 tentacles and huge basitrichs reaching $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. There are a few species of Edwardsia that have only 12 tentacles: $E$. andresi Danielessen, 1890, E. duodecemtentaculala Car1gren, 1931, E. fusca Danielessen, 1890, E. jonesii Seshaiya and Cuttress, 1969, and E. juliae Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008. Edwardsia alternobomen can be distinguished from the former three species by the arrangement of nemathybomes: it has rows of nemathybomes whereas the nemathybomes of $E$. andresi, $E$. duodecemtentaculala and E. fusca are scattered (see England. 1987). Concerning the other two species, $E$. alternobomen can be distinguished from $E$. jonesii in having extremely large nemathybomes and no nemathybomes in two rows: nemathybomes of $E$. jonesii are very small and sometimes become double rows in mid scapus (Seshaiya and Cuttress, 1969), and can be distinguished from $E$. juliae in having two cycle of slender tentacles, whereas the tentacles of $E$. juliae are blunt and in a single cycle (Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008). In addition, E. alternobomen can be distinguished from all species mentioned above by its huge basitrichs, which reach $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. The maximum length of basitrichs in the nemathybomes of $E$. alternobomen is the longest reported for Edwardsiidae.

This new species has many basitrichs $>150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. Such large basitrichs are also observed in four other species of Edwardsia, E. tuberculata, E. californica, E. claparedi, and E. maroccana (see Remarks of E. tuberculata, above). However, $E$. alternobomen can be distinguished easily by having only 12 tentacles, while the other species of Edwardsia here with very large basitrichs all have 16 tentacles. Because $E$. alternobomen is sexually mature,

I do not think that it is a juvenile. Beyond the differences in size and arrangement of nemathybomes, Edwardsia tuberculata has larger and far more densely arranged nemathybomes than $E$. alternobomen. Moreover, in $E$. tuberculata the physa is flattened whereas in E. alternobomen it is rounded. The muscular processes of $E$. tuberculata are about as twice as numerous as those of E. alternobomen (Carlgren, 1921). In Edwardsia californica, the mesenterial muscle has a distinctive shape (Carlgren, 1936) and far more processes than the muscles of E. alternobomen. The body of Edwardsia claparedi is approximately four times longer and broader than $E$. alternobomen (Manuel 1981a). Edwardsia maroccana has far more developed retractor muscles (with 30 processes: Carlgren, 1931) than does E. alternobomen. In addition, E. alternobomen has two sizes of nemathybomes alternatively arranged in eight rows (Fig. 48B), whereas any other previously described species of Edwardsia does not. This unusual arrangement of nemathybomes is one of the most prominent morphological features for this new species

It is the first observed case in the world that nematocysts contained in nemathybome can discharge to outside on this species (Fig. 48I) because this species have extremely large nemathybome. This histological section became a hint to suspect the role of nemathybomes (see Chapter 3C). (Description, Fig. 48, and part of Table 24 are revised and reprinted Izumi and Fujita [2019] by courtesy of ZOOTAXA.)

## Edwardsia aff. tuberculata Dueben and Koren, 1847

(New Japanese name: oozutsu-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 49; Table 24
Edwardsia tuberculata Dueben and Koren, 1847: 267; Carlgren, 1921:

Edwardsia aff. tuberculata: Izumi and Fujita, 2019: 535-537, figs. 2, 4

Material examined. NSMT-Co 1654, dissected specimen, histological sections, and prepared cnidae, collected in 6 November, 2014, east of Ogishima Island, Kagawa Pref., Seto Inland Sea ( $34^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 54^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 134^{\circ} 05^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 16 m depth, collected by Naoto Jimi; NSMT-Co 1655, histological sections of damaged individuals, same date, locality, and collector as NSMT-Co 1654; (Iz068), dissected specimen, collected by scuba diving, in 22 December, 2017,

Araihama, Misaki, Kanagawa Pref. Japan at 5 m depth, by Hisanori Kotsuka.
External anatomy. Column of contracted specimen $20-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length ( 25.0 mm in NSMT-Co 1654), and $6-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width ( 7 mm in NSMT-Co 1654), swelled by huge nemathybomes, cylinder-like in shape but slightly swollen proximally (Fig. 49A). Body divided into capitulum, scapus and physa; in our specimen, capitulum shrunken in column, invisible. About half of scapus occupied by nemathybomes, remaining half covered with brownish gray periderm. Nemathybomes extremely large, like papillae, up to 1.5 mm in diameter (Fig. 49B), in eight rows in proximal part, gradually becoming smaller distally (Fig. 49A). Aboral physa differentiated from scapus, naked, not rounded but tapered, without nemathybomes (Fig. 49A). Tentacles 16 in number, in two cycles of eight, relative size of each cycle unclear because tentacles contracted into scapus.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end. These eight are the paired dorsal and ventral directives plus four unpaired lateral mesenteries. Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: four between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between dorsoand ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of each lateral mesentery faces ventrally (Fig. 49E, F). Each retractor muscle distinctly developed; diffuse, with approximately 20 simple or slightly branched muscular processes next to actinopharynx (Fig. 49D); diffuse or restricted to parietal muscle side, developed like a pennon, with $10-25$ simple or slightly branched muscular processes in lower part (Fig. 49E, F). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, semi-elliptic, with 10 slightly branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 49D-F). Actinopharynx very short, grooved but without distinct siphonoglyph. One tentacle in each endo- or exo-coel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal and distinct. Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 49C, H). Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries, and comparatively thick in body wall (Fig. 49D, F). Nemathybomes far thicker than mesoglea, greatly protruding from body wall and containing very large basitrichs (Fig. 49F, G). Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; gametocytes immature.

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See

Table 24 for size and distribution.
Remarks. Edwardsia tuberculata was originally described in Dueben and Koren (1847), and more detailed morphological features were described in Carlgren (1921). Morphology of the examined specimens agrees well with the description by Carlgren (1921): shrunken body size is 2 cm in length and 7 mm in width (Fig. 49A); a few outstandingly large nemathybomes look like papillae arranged in 8 rows (Fig. 49B, F, G); physa is developed but flattened or tapered (Fig. 49A, H); retractor muscles are diffused with slightly branched processes and parietal muscle is distinct with 20 processes (Fig. 49D-F). The cnidom also almost perfectly corresponds to the description of Carlgren (1921): this species is characterized by large nemathybomes that contain huge basitrichs. The larger type of basitrichs in nemathybomes of our specimen are 116-187 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length and 4.8-9.0 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width (Table 24). This matches the nematocysts of $E$. tuberculata reported by Carlgren (1921), which he measured at $110-190 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $5-7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in width. In filaments, Carlgren (1921) mentioned that some of nematocysts are a little broader than the others. This observation would be convincing if one assumes that the wide nematocyst of Carlgren (1921) is what I identify as microbasic $b$ mastigophores in our specimen. There were some small differences between the description and our specimens. For example, the retractor muscles of our specimen were composed of 10-25 muscular processes while Carlgren (1921) mentioned more 30 processes, and I found some spirocysts in actinopharynx, although Carlgren (1921) did not mention these.

According to England (1987), in addition to E. tuberculata three other species of Edwardsia have basitrichs over $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length; E. californica McMurrich, 1913, E. maroccana Carlgren, 1931, and E. claparedi (Panceri. 1869). However, they never exceed $170 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ even in maximum size (Carlgren, 1931, 1936; England, 1987; Manuel, 1977). The huge basitrichs are, however, now also reported in Edwardsia alternobomen, described above, which has basitrichs over $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length (Table 24).

Edwardsia tuberculata is distinguished from the other species with large basitrichs in their nemathybomes by having larger basitrichs than those other species and by some anatomical features. Between mesenteries, $E$. tuberculata consistently has a single row of nemathybomes, whereas $E$. californica has two or three rows on the proximal part (Carlgren, 1936). Edwardsia tuberculata has flattened physa but E. californica has very
apparent, large, rounded physa. Edwardsia californica has a very characteristic restricted retractor muscles with approximately 40 muscular processes, whereas one of our specimens has diffused retractor muscles composed of about 20 processes. According to Carlgren (1931), Edwardsia maroccana has more developed muscles with 30 processes, and it is apparently pinnate near the column, whereas those of $E$. tuberculata do not branch so much. In addition, Carlgren (1931) showed basitrichs of $30-40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length and $6.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in width bearing a shimmering thread in the capsule in the actinopharynx, these are likely a kind of mastigophores, a type of nematocyst not found in actinopharynx of the examined specimen of $E$. tuberculata. The body size of E. tuberculata ( $20-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length) is completely different from Edwardsia claparedi, which reach to 120 mm in length (Manuel, 1981a).

Edwardsia tuberculata can also be distinguished from the other species of Edwardsia that have two types of basitrichs in their nemathybomes (i.e., E. elegans Verrill, 1869, Edwardsia handi Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008, E. hantueusis England, 1987, E. sulcata Verrill, 1864). However, the larger type of basitrichs in nemathybomes of those species barely exceed $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and at most $117 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for E. handi (Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008).

Although our specimens clearly align with the description of $E$. tuberculata, this species is distributed only in north Western Europe and there is no record from the Pacific or from the eastern Arctic Ocean. Recognizing that expanding the range so much without intermediate populations or an explanation for the long-distance dispersal is problematic, and pending comprehensive analysis through genetics, I mark our specimens as having an affinity for E. tuberculata, rather than assigning that name to them definitively.
(Description, Fig. 49, and part of Table 24 are revised and reprinted Izumi and Fujita [2019] by courtesy of ZOOTAXA.)

Edwardsia kopepe (Izumi and Fujita, 2018) comb. nov.
(Japanese name: kopepe-ashinashi-mushimodoki)
Fig. 50; Table 26
Scolanthus kopepe Izumi and Fujita, 2018: 14-19, figs. 5-7
Material examined Holotype. NSMT-Co 1613, histological sections, and tissue for DNA analysis, 26 June 2014, Kopepe Seashore, Chichijima

Island, Ogasawara Islands, Tokyo, Japan ( $27^{\circ} 3^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 142^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ E), coral sand at 1 m depth, collected by snorkeling with a shovel and a sieve by Takato Izumi. Paratypes. NSMT-Co 1614, dissected tissues, and prepared nematocysts, at same date, place, by same method, and collector as NSMT-Co 1613.; NSMT-Co 1615, prepared nematocysts, at same date, place, by same method, and collector as NSMT-Co 1613.; NSMT-Co 1616, histological sections, and dissected tissues, at same date, place, by same method, and collector as NSMT-Co 1613 ; NSMT-Co 1617, whole specimen, at same date, place, by same method, and collector as NSMT-Co 1613; NSMT-Co 1618, histological sections 21 June 2014, Miyanohama coast, Chichijima Island, Ogasawara Islands, Tokyo, Japan ( $27^{\circ} 6^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ N, $142^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ E), coral sand at 7 m depth, collected by scuba diving with a shovel and a sieve by Takato Izumi.

Description External anatomy. Column comparatively smooth, ca. 1525 mm in whole length ( 25.0 mm in holotype), and $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width ( 1.8 mm in holotype), naked and extremely long and narrow pipe-like form both in living (Fig. 50A) or fixed specimens. The upper part as narrow as lower part. The most proximal part capitulum, transparent and thin. The remaining part to aboral end of body scapus, with white to pale yellow periderm, and with scattered nemathybome but no papillae. Aboral end a little rounded or tapered, not differentiated from scapus, with nemathybomes (Fig. 50A, I). Tentacles slender, capitated on the tentacle tip, transparent with white patch on each tentacle tip, $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, longer than oral disk diameter, but well expanded and contacted. Tentacles 16 in number, in two cycles; eight in inner and eight in outer cycle, same as Edwardsia's arrangement, the inner tentacles shorter than outer ones. Oral disk ca. 1 mm in diameter, white with a brownish red stripe from ventral side to dorsal side. The mouth not swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 50E). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body, from oral to aboral end, and bearing retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing (Fig. 50E). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives, common arrangement in Edwardsiidae. Each tentacle between
either exo- or endocoelic. Each retractor muscle like pennon, diffused, small and weak next to actinopharynx (Fig. 5E) but restricted, comparatively developed, and limited besides gonads in lower part (Fig. 50F, G). Muscle pennons consisting of approximately $2-5$ simple muscular processes (Fig. 50G). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes not very distinct (Fig. 50G). Actinopharynx very short, no distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 50E). Tentacular circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 50C) and longitudinal muscle ectodermal and distinct (Fig. 50D). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Mesoglea generally thin in the whole body, a few micrometers even in body wall (Fig. $50 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{I}$ ). Nemathybomes, approximately $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, protrude from the body wall as their diameter far larger than the thickness of mesoglea. Gonads next the retractor muscles, but no mature gametes in specimens I observed (Fig. 50G).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores. See Table 26 for size and distribution.

Etymology. Most of the specimens were collected from Kopepe Coast. "Kopepe" is the name of the native people that used to live in the Ogasawara Islands, who had emigrated from the Gilbert Islands, now the Republic of Kiribati. The word "kopepe" is a noun in apposition.

Remarks. In Izumi and Fujita (2018), this species was described as Scolanthus kopepe. Genus Scolanthus Gosse, 1853 is characterized by aboral ends which do not differentiate into physa, and with nemathybomes and periderms. This genus currently had been included 10 valid species (Table 2; Fautin, 2013; Brandão et al., 2019), including two more species once accommodated within Isoedwardsia, which was synonymized to Scolanthus in Manuel (1981b). This genus is widely distributed, from tropical ( $S$. armatus [Carlgren, 1931]) to subarctic regions (S. nidarosiensis [Carlgren, 1942]). No Scolanthus species, however, had previously been collected from Japan. In my study, Scolanthus armatus and three new species of Scolanthus had been reported from Japan, representing the first records of this genus from Japan (Izumi and Fujita, 2018). Consequently, the number of Scolanthus species had been 13 . However, my recent phylogenetic analysis revealed that Scolanthus was polyphyletic and inner group of Edwardsia (see Chapter 1F and Figs. 19, 20). So, this article treats the four species I described or re-described as the species Edwardsia. However, it was still useful to categorize aboral end with nemathybomes for comparison, so I will compare E. kopepe with previous

Scolanthus species for identification (see also description and remarks of Edwardsia ena comb. nov., E. isei comb. nov., and E. armata).

See Table 27 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of previous genus Scolanthus (though these species of Scolanthus are possibly assignable to the genus Edwardsia based on their morphological characteristics, molecular phylogenetic analyses of them have not been carried out. Thus, new combination was not proposed for these species in this study). This species resembles not only Edwardsia armata but also $S$. scamiti Daly \& Ljubenkov, 2008, S. triangulus Daly \& Ljubenkov, 2008, S. curacaoensis (Pax, 1924), S. nidarosiensis (Carlgren, 1942), S. callimorphus Gosse, 1853, and S. cryptics Brandão, Gusmão and Gomes, 2019 in terms of having 16 tentacles (Gosse 1853, Manuel 1981, England 1987, Daly and Ljubenkov 2008). Edwardsia kopepe is similar to E. armata, and both are found around the same island. E. kopepe, however, is morphologically distinguishable by several points as below: E. kopepe is smaller than $E$. armata, one-third to a half in length and one-fifth to one-third in width (the specimen of $E$. armata is even far larger than living $E$. kopepe); E. kopepe has brownish red stripe from ventral side to dorsal side on oral disk and white patch on capitated tentacle tip, both features are not present on E. armata; the number of muscular processes of both retractor muscles and parietal muscles of $E$. kopepe are far fewer than those of $E$. armata (Figs. 69G, H, 57E, G; England 1987); moreover, the E. kopepe holotype has two types of basitrichs in the tentacles, actinopharynx and nemathybomes while $E$. armata has only one type (Table 26). The body of E. kopepe is slender and uniform in width (both in living and preserved specimens) while that of $S$. scamiti is stout and increasing in width toward to the aboral end (Daly and Ljubenkov 2008). Besides, the basitrichs in the nemathybomes of E. kopepe are two types while those of $S$. scamiti are only one type (Table 26). Edwardsia kopepe is $15-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ in body length while $S$. triangulus has a maximum body length of 11 mm (Daly and Ljubenkov 2008), smaller than E. kopepe Furthermore, basitrichs of $S$. triangulus are over $63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Daly and Ljubenkov 2008; table 3), larger than both types of basitrichs of E. kopepe. Basitrichs in the nemathybomes of S. callimorphus are of only one type and are over $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length (Manuel 1981b, p 265), while E. kopepe has two types of basitrichs in the nemathybomes and both of them are less than $60 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. Scolanthus curacaoensis has far larger body, 45 mm in length (Pax 1924), and
has well-developed circumscribed retractor muscles and rounded distinct parietal muscles (Pax 1924; fig. 4, 5) while E. kopepe has diffused and undeveloped retractor and indistinct parietal muscle. Scolanthus nidarosiensis lives in the deep sea of a cold region (125-150 m depth of Norway; Carlgren 1942) in contrast to E. kopepe, which lives in shallow waters in the subtropical region. The retractor muscles of $S$. nidarosiensis are well developed and muscle processes were obviously branching (Carlgren 1942; fig. 71) while those of E. kopepe are far less developed with simple processes. Moreover, nemathybomes of $S$. nidarosiensis contain longer basitrichs than the large basitrichs of E. kopepe, and S. nidarosiensis has only one type of basitrichs (Carlgren 1942) while E. kopepe has two types of basitrichs. Finally, E. kopepe with two types of basitrichs in nemathybomes can be easily distinguished from $S$. kopepe with one type of basitrichs there. (Description, Fig. 50, and part of Table 26 are revised and reprinted Izumi and Fujita [2018] by courtesy of Zookeys.)

Edwardsia sp. nov. 16
(Japanese name: mino-mushimodoki)
Fig. 51; Table 26
Material examined. (Iz-070), dissected specimen, histological sections, and prepared cnidae, 9 May 2016, off Misaki, Kanagawa Pref. ( $35^{\circ} 07.080^{\prime}$ N, $139^{\circ} 037^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 370 m depth, collected by Hiroshi Namikawa; (Iz-071), dissected specimen, histological sections, and prepared cnidae, 16 February 2017, off Misaki, Kanagawa Pref. ( $35^{\circ} 06.921^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 139^{\circ} 423^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), $150-201 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, collected by Takato Izumi.

Description External anatomy. Column ca. 25 mm in whole length, and ca. 3 mm in distal side and 6 mm in proximal side in width in preserved. Column cylinder-like, with proximal side swollen, divided into capitulum and scapus. The most proximal part capitulum, transparent, divisible mesenterial insertion and jaggy pattern with pale white and reddish orange (Fig. 51B). The remaining part of body scapus, surface of which rough, with brown periderm and weak tenaculi, and attaching sand grains densely. Aboral end not differentiated from scapus: with periderm and sand grain and rounded (Fig. 51A). Nemathybomes invisible from outside of column. Tentacles slender, transparent with white patches, little capitated and opaque on the tip, 3-4 mm in length, longer than oral disk diameter, but well expanded and
contacted. Tentacles 16 in number, in two cycles; eight in inner and eight in outer cycle, same as Edwardsia arrangement (Fig. 51C), the inner tentacles shorter than outer ones. Oral disk ca. 3 mm in diameter, white with jaggy brownish red stripes. The mouth a little swollen (Fig. 51A).

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not-paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern. All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body, from oral to aboral end, and bearing retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing (Fig. 51H). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorsolateral mesenteries, two between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives, common arrangement in Edwardsiidae. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Each retractor muscle like pennon, circumscribed, and distinct. Muscle pennons particular shape: composed of $15-25$ slightly a little branched muscular processes, but an extremely, secondly and thirdly branched process at the middle of muscle pennon (Fig. 51I). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, rounded, with well-branched 5-9 muscular processes (Fig. 51H, I). Actinopharynx short, no distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 51 H ). Tentacular circular muscle endodermal and indistinct (Fig. 51E) and longitudinal muscle ectodermal and distinct (Fig. 51D). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 51F). Mesoglea thickest in body wall and actinopharynx, but generally thin mesenteries and tentacle (Fig. 51D-I). Tiny pocket-like structures, considered as trace of nemathybomes, in mesoglea but no nematocysts observed in them (Fig. 51G). Gonads next the retractor muscles, and immature testis observed in the specimen (Fig. 51I).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 26 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Several species of edwardsiid anemones which apparently attaching sand grains on their bodies have been classified in genus Paraedwardsia. This genus had included seven species (Table 2; including Halcampella cretata, which was turned not to be edwardsiid and renamed in this research; see remarks of $H$. cretata), but I discovered two additional species which should be in this genus. However, according to my phylogenetic analysis, this genus was an inner group of the large clade of Edwardsia (Fig.
12). That was why I treated these two anemones as two species of Edwardsia, $E$. sp. nov. 16 and E. sp. nov. 17. The genus Paraedwardsia also should be synonymized into Edwardsia. Concurrently, I found the traces of nemathybomes on the surface of $E$. sp. nov., 16 (Fig. 51G). It was the first discovery of nemathybomes in Paraedwardsia, which has been said as without nemathybomes (I think that these traces just should be overlooked in the other species of Paraedwardsia because they were sometimes invisible from outside and too tiny to observe even on histological sections in old days). Thus, now it is natural to synonymize this genus into Edwardsia, which was characterized by the presence of nemathybomes.

See Table 28 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of previous genus Paraedwardsia (though these species of Paraedwardsia are possibly assignable to the genus Edwardsia based on their morphological characteristics, molecular phylogenetic analyses of them have not been carried out. Thus, any new combination was not proposed for these species in this study). Edwardsia sp. nov. 16 can be distinguished from each species of Paraedwardsia morphologically. P. heia Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008 had muscle pennons not in particular shape like $E$. sp. nov. 16. In addition, there were microbasic $p$-mastigophores in actinopharynx of $P$. heia (Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008) while they were absent in actinopharynx of $E$. sp. nov. 16 (Table 26); P. arenaria Carlgren, 1905 had strong tenaculi (Carlgren in Nordgaard, 1905; he wrote as "papilla") on the body wall but $E$. sp. nov. 16 did not; P. sarsii (Dueben and Koren, 1847) had 20-30 tentacle in hexamerously arranged (Carlgren, 1921), while $E$. sp. nov. 16 had octamerously arranged 16 tentacles. These three species had been recorded from shallower than 2000 m depth. Other three species, P. abyssolum Carlgren, 1951, P. lemchei Carlgren, 1956, P. hadilis Sanamyan and Sanamyan, 2018, inhabit in completely different depth, abyssal zone (5000-8000 m depth; Carlgren, 1951, 1956, Sanamyan and Sanamyan, 2018).

In addition, traces of nemathybomes never had been discovered in all other species of Paraedwardsia (provided that, there is also a possibility that all previous studies could overlooked them at all).

Edwardsia sp. nov. 17
(Japanese name: asaba-mino-mushimodoki)
Fig. 52; Table 26

Material examined. (Iz-072): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 14 March 2015, at entrance of Moroiso Bay, Misaki, Kanagawa Pref., Japan, 6 m depth, by Hisanori Kotsuka.

Description External anatomy. Column ca. 25 mm in whole length, and ca. 3 mm in distal side and 6 mm in proximal side in width in preserved. Column lightbulb-like form: proximal side distinctly swollen, divided into capitulum and scapus. The most proximal part capitulum, transparent, divisible mesenterial insertion and jaggy pattern with pale yellow and dark red. The remaining part of body scapus, surface of which rough, with brown periderm and weak tenaculi but without nemathybomes, and attaching sand grains densely. Aboral end not differentiated from scapus: with periderm and sand grain and rounded (Fig. 52A). Tentacles slender, transparent with white patches, little capitated and opaque on the tip, 3-4 mm in length, longer than oral disk diameter, but well expanded and contacted. Tentacles 16 in number, in two cycles; eight in inner and eight in outer cycle, same as Edwardsia's arrangement (Fig. 52B), the inner tentacles shorter than outer ones. Oral disk ca. 3 mm in diameter, white with jaggy brownish red stripes. The mouth not swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern. All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body, from oral to aboral end, and bearing retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing (Fig. 52C, E). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorsolateral mesenteries, two between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives, common arrangement in Edwardsiidae. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Each retractor muscle like pennon, distinct: diffused, comparatively weak next to actinopharynx (Fig. 52C) but strongly circumscribed, comparatively strong, and limited besides gonads in lower part (Fig. 52F). Muscle pennons consisting of approximately $7-11$ simple to well-branched processes: processes at the middle of muscle pennon wellbranched (Fig. 52E, F). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes indistinct, elongated in direction of mesenteries (Fig. 52F). Actinopharynx short, no distinct
siphonoglyph (Fig. 52C). Tentacular longitudinal muscle only distinct in the capitated part, ectodermal, and tentacular circular muscle distinct, endodermal. Mesoglea generally thin in the whole body, thinnest in mesenteries (Fig. 52F). No sphincter and basilar muscle (Fig. 52D, G). No nemathybomes on body wall. Testis next the retractor muscles, and immature sperm there (Fig. 52F).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 26 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 28 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of previous genus Paraedwardsia (though these species of Paraedwardsia are possibly assignable to the genus Edwardsia based on their morphological characteristics, molecular phylogenetic analyses of them have not been carried out. Thus, new combination was not proposed for these species in this study). This species was collected at 6 m depth, this is the shallowest record of species of previous Paraedwardsia ever known. Species of Paraedwardsia usually inhabit deeper than 2000 m (Carlgren, 1949, 1951, 1956; Daly and Ljubenkov 2008), and only Paraedwardsia sarsii (Dueben and Koren, 1847) was collected shallower than 200 m . E. sp. nov. 18 can be distinguished easily from $P$. sarsii by number and arrangement of tentacles: the latter species has 20-30 tentacle in hexamerously arranged (Carlgren, 1921).

Different from $E$. sp. nov. 16, traces of nemathybomes were not observed on the column of $E$. sp. nov. 17. It can be thought that nemathybomes has been completely degenerated on this lineage (see Chapter 3C).

Edwardsia sp. nov. 18
(Japanese name: shihou-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 53; Table 26
Material examined. (Iz-073), dissected specimen, histological sections, and prepared cnidae, 18 June 2015, rocky seashore in front of Coastal Branch of Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba, Katsuura, Chiba Pref., intertidal, collected by Miori Kaneko.

Description External anatomy. Column ca. 25 mm in whole length, and ca. 1 mm in distal side and 3 mm in proximal side in width in living. Column worm-like, uniform, divided into capitulum, scapulus, scapus and physa. The most proximal part capitulum, whitish opaque, divisible
mesenterial insertion. Scapulus between capitulum and scapus, dark red, hemitransparent, divisible mesenterial insertion. Aboral end physa, rounded or tapered, hemitransparent, without periderm or physa. The remaining part of body scapus, covered with flesh periderm, and with scattered tiny nemathybomes (Fig. 53A). Tentacles slender, transparent with white patches, little capitated and opaque on the tip; outer tentacles, ca. $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, far longer than inner, ca. 3 mm length (Fig. 53B). Both tentacles longer than oral disk diameter, but well expanded and contacted. Tentacles 16 in number, in two cycles; four in inner and twelve in outer cycle, peculiar arrangement in Edwardsia (Fig. 53C). Oral disk ca. 2 mm in diameter, white with brown stripes from dorsal to ventral side. The mouth not swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern. All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body, from oral to aboral end, and bearing retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing (Fig. 53G, H). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorsolateral mesenteries, two between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives, common arrangement in Edwardsiidae. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Each retractor muscles like pennon, distinct: diffused, comparatively weak next to actinopharynx (Fig. 53G) but restricted, comparatively strong, and limited besides gonads in lower part (Fig. 53D, H). Muscle pennons consisting of approximately 7-11 simple or a little branched muscular process (Fig. 53D, G). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes indistinct, elongated in direction of mesenteries (Fig. 53D). Actinopharynx short, no distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 53G). Tentacular longitudinal muscle only distinct in the capitated part, ectodermal (Fig. 53E), and tentacular circular muscle distinct, endodermal (Fig. 53F). Mesoglea generally thin in the whole body, thinnest in mesenteries (Fig. 53D-H). Nemathybomes, far thicker than mesoglea, protruding from it (Fig. 53H). Gonads next retractor muscles, but immature (Fig. 53D).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores. See Table 26 for size and distribution.

Remarks. There are many species in Edwardsia with 16 tentacles, so
it is usually difficult to identify 16 -tentacles edwardsiids. The 16 tentacles, however, always arranged as two cycle, inner eight and outer eight: this arrangement is so common in Edwardsia that it is called as "Edwardsia pattern". In other words, there has been no species which have other arrangement pattern (England, 1987). This anemone had inner 4 and outer 12 tentacle, unequally in number. Edwardsiidae with tentacular cycles of unequal number of tentacles in each cycle was minority: except Edwardsianthus species, which have inner five and outer 15 tentacles or inner three and outer seven (Edwardsia sp. nov. 14, E. sp. nov. 15), there were only a few species which have unequal number of 12 tentacles. So, the inequality of tentacles numbers in each tentacular cycle has been useful to distinguish species: this method was used in Edwardsia in 12 tentacles: there were some edwardsiids have 12 tentacles (e.g. Edwardsia alternobomen Izumi and Fujita, 2019; Fig. 48A, B), but most species have one cycle of 12 or two cycles of six. On the other hand, Edwardsia with inner three and outer nine tentacles were so peculiar that new species Edwardsia ivelli diagnosed by this arrangement was established (Manuel, 1981b). As same method, Edwardsia sp. nov. 18 with $4+12$ tentacular arrangement can be strongly distinguished from the other species.

There was one more particular character in this species: existence of large basitrichs on the tentacles. According to Table 26, tentacles of this species contained nematocysts at least $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in length. However, there has been no species which have basitrichs reach to $40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ on their tentacles: I had referred all preceding studies which mentioned cnidoms of species of this family (Annandale, 1915; Carlgren, 1921, 1931, 1942, 1943, 1950; Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008; Manuel, 1977a, 1981a, 1981b; England, 1990), but no species of Edwardsia had such large basitrichs on tentacles. On the contrary, this species lacks spirocysts on their tentacle while all edwardsiid species had. In conclusion, the cnidom of $E$. sp. nov. 18 also should be a distinct feature of $E$. sp. nov. 18 .

Edwardsia sipunculoides (Stimpson, 1853)
(Japanese name: hoshi-mushimodoki Uchida, 1965)
Fig. 54; Table 29
Actinia sipunculoides Stimpson, 1853: 7-8.
Edwardsia sipunculoides: Verrill, 1864: 58; Andres, 1883: 307;

Carlgren, 1931: 22-23; Uchida, 1965: 260.
Material examined. NSMT-Co 1700: histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 27 March 2017, in Tokyo Bay off Odaiba, Tokyo Met., Japan, 2 m depth, by Masanori Taru.

Description. External anatomy. Column of contracted specimen ca. 80 mm in whole length, and ca. $7-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width in preserved specimen, and ca. 100 mm in length in living, cylinder-like in shape but slightly swollen proximally (Fig. 54A). Body divided into capitulum, scapulus, scapus and physa. Capitulum distinct, yellowish opaque with indistinct dark purple patch, divisible mesenterial insertion. Scapulus short, yellowish opaque. Scapus long, with brown to dark brown thick periderm, and divisible mesenterial insertion as hollowing 8 rows. Nemathybomes small, apparently scattered on scapus (Fig. 54A). Aboral end physa, differentiated from scapus, naked, rounded but a little tapered, without nemathybomes (Fig. 54A). Tentacles uniformly yellowish opaque, with no apparent pattern, $9-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, 20 in number, in two cycles (inner 8 and outer 12; Fig. 54B). Oral disk 5 mm in diameter, opaque yellow in color, with discontinuous orange circle (Fig. 54B) and radiated 8 line of perfect mesenteries. Mouth apparently swelled, rugged.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end. These eight are the paired dorsal and ventral directives plus four unpaired lateral mesenteries. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: four between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorsoand ventro-lateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of each lateral mesentery faces ventrally (Fig. 54E). Each retractor muscle distinctly developed; diffused, with approximately $23-26$ simple or slightly branched muscular processes next to actinopharynx (Fig. 54E); diffused muscle side, developed like a pennon, with approximately 18-25 simple or slightly branched muscular processes in lower part (Fig. 54G). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, elongated to direction of mesenteries, with $4-5$ simple or a little branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 54G). Actinopharynx short, apparently grooved but without distinct siphonoglyph. Each one tentacle in each endo- or exocoel.

Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal and distinct (Fig. 54F), and circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 54C). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 54D). Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries and tentacles, but quite thick in body wall and thickening part of actinopharynx (Fig. 54E). Nemathybomes protruding from mesoglea on scapus. Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; gametocytes immature (Fig. 54G).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, and microbasic amastigophores. See Table 29 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Edwardsia sipunculoides (Stephenson, 1853), described with specimens collected from North America, was once reported in Japan (Uchida, 1965). However, this report was only in field guidebook, so this species had not been counted as Japanese Edwardsiidae formally (Yanagi, 2006).

The specimen collected from Tokyo Bay was identified as $E$. sipunculoides by characters described in those references in as below: ca. 12 cm in length and 5 mm in width; 20 yellow tentacles; column with brown periderm, scattered tiny nemathybomes, and 8 hollows on surface; tapered physa; retractor muscles around 30 muscular processes, and only the processes nearby the body wall are well-branched. Moreover, the cnidom was not contradiction from description of Stimpson (1856) and Carlgren (1931). There was a minor difference from description: Carlgren (1931) stated that tentacles were short, but tentacles of my specimen are comparatively slender. However, sea anemones can contract tentacles easily, and tentacles tend to contract during preservation. So, I identified this species as $E$. sipunculoides.

This specimen was collected at the inner place of Tokyo Bay. This species was known to distribute in Pacific coasts of America, but confirmed informally in Japan (Uchida, 1965), so it is not unnatural even if $E$. sipunculoides inhabits in Tokyo Bay. However, I think there is another possibility that this species was brought from North America with ballast water because there are many visiting ships there. Thus, it is necessary to check whether additional specimens are collected from Japan in future or not.

## Edwardsia ena (Izumi and Fujita, 2018) comb. nov.

(Japanese name: taru-ashinashi-mushimodoki)

Fig. 55; Table 29
Scolanthus ena Izumi and Fujita, 2018: 9-12, figs. 3, 6, 7.
Material examined Holotype. NSMT-Co 1610. One specimen cut into several parts, histological sections and prepared nematocysts, 17 May 2014, Ena Bay, Kanagawa, Japan, mud in the intertidal zone, collected by wading with a shovel, by Masanori Taru.

Description External anatomy. Column rough, rugged and uneven, ca. 80 mm in whole length in holotype, and $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width, pipe-like in form both in living (Fig. 55A) and fixed specimen. The most upper part narrower to some extent. The most proximal part of column capitulum, dark brownish semitransparent, and the remaining part to aboral end scapus. The periderm of column orange, with no pattern in color, but thinner on the mesenteries so that the mesenterial line visible through the body wall. Scapus with scattered nemathybomes but no papillae. Aboral end rounded, not differentiated from scapus, with nemathybome (Fig. 55A, H). No pedal disk, but no physa or physa-like structure. Tentacles slender, no acrosphere, brownish, semitransparent with white patch on each surface (Fig. 55A). Tentacles 20 in number, in two cycles; ten in inner and ten in outer cycle (Fig. 55A), 7.0-10.0 mm in length, longer than oral disk diameter and the inner tentacles shorter than outer ones. Oral disk ca. 5.0 mm in diameter. The mouth swelled and dome-like.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes (Fig. 55E). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body, from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscles of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing (Fig. 6B). Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confirmed only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives (Fig. 55E), an unusual arrangement for Edwardsiidae. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Each retractor muscle like pennon, restricted throughout the whole body (Fig. 55E, F), comparatively smaller next to actinopharynx but largely developed in lower part, limited to the part next to actinopharynx or filaments of each macrocneme (Fig. 55E, F). Muscle pennons consisting of approximately 30-60 muscular processes, some of which are well-branched
into 10 or more branches (Fig. 55F). Parietal muscles with approximately 15 branched muscular processes (Fig. 55F). Actinopharynx short, no distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 55E). Tentacular circular muscle endodermal (Fig. 55D) and longitudinal muscle ectodermal (Fig. 55C), both distinct. Mesoglea thickest in the aboral end, thick in body wall, approximately $70-120 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick (Fig. 55B, F, H). However, mesoglea far thinner in actinopharynx and thinnest in mesenteries (Fig. 55E, F). Nemathybomes, around $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, half buried into mesoglea on the column including the aboral end (Fig. 55G). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 55B, H). Gonads next to retractor muscles, comparatively long (Fig. 55F). Testes in gonads of holotype, between filaments and retractor muscles.

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 29 for size and distribution.

Etymology. The species epithet is named after the type locality, Ena Bay. The word "ena" is a noun in apposition.

Remarks. This species was described as Scolanthus ena Izumi and Fujita (2019). Thus, see Table 27 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of previous genus Scolanthus (though these species of Scolanthus are possibly assignable to the genus Edwardsia based on their morphological characteristics, molecular phylogenetic analyses of them have not been carried out. Thus, new combination was not proposed for these species in this study). Edwardsia ena has 20 tentacles, as do Scolanthus ignotus (Carlgren, 1920) and Edwardsia isei comb. nov.: the other species of previous Scolanthus have 16 tentacles (England 1987, Daly and Ljubenkov 2008, and this study; Table 27). Small and large types of basitrichs in nemathybomes of $E$. ena are far smaller and far larger, respectively, than basitrichs in nemathybomes of S. ignotus (Carlgren 1920, England 1987). Moreover, E. ena is 80 mm in body length, approximately three to four times longer than the $20-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ of $S$. ignotus. Edwardsia ena is different from $E$. isei in its tentacular arrangement (Edwardsia ena has 10 inner tentacles and 10 outer ones while $E$. isei has 8 inner and 12 outer; see Figs. 62A, 63B), structure of column surface (periderm of $E$. ena does not have trichome-like structures [Fig. 56A] unlike $E$. isei; the nemathybomes of $E$. ena are far sparser than those of $E$. isel), body size ( $E$. ena is far larger than $E$. isei), and Cnidom (only $E$. ena has microbasic $b$-mastigophores in their filaments) (see Table 2). In addition, $E$. isei lives in cavities of bare rocks, a different habitat
compared to that of E. ena (see Remarks of E. iseı).
This species was one of the largest species in the previous genus Scolanthus: all previously reported species of nominal Scolanthus have bodies less than 80 mm in length (Gosse 1853, McMurrich 1893, Carlgren 1920, Carlgren 1921, Pax 1924, Carlgren 1931, Carlgren 1942, Daly and Ljubenkov 2008, Brandão et al., 2019).

Despite several sample collection surveyed at Ena Bay, E. ena was collected only once, and no specimens have been collected from any other locality. It is said by local people that the environment of Ena Bay has changed from several decades ago; the bottom of bay was previously rocky, and a muddy flat has formed in recent years by inflow of sediment. Considering some Scolanthus live in rocky habitats compared to other edwardsiids (e.g. E. isei), the primary habitat of $E$. ena might be rocky, and perhaps the numbers of individuals have decreased in Ena Bay by recent rapid changes in the environment. It is difficult, however, to examine this hypothesis because Edwardsiidae sea anemones living in or between rocks often cannot be collected easily even if there are many individuals present. Even though there is only one specimen of E. ena, the character differences from other (previous) Scolanthus species make it obvious that this specimen is not a formerly described Scolanthus species. Examination of additional specimens in the future may help better delineate this species.
(Description, Fig. 55, and part of Table 29 are revised and reprinted Izumi and Fujita [2018] by courtesy of Zookeys.)

Edwardsia isei (Izumi and Fujita, 2018) comb. nov.
(Japanese name: sugashima-gareba-ashinashi-mushimodoki)
Fig. 56; Table 29
Scolanthus isei Izumi and Fujita, 2018: 12-14, figs. 4, 6, 7
Material examined Holotype. NSMT-Co 1611. One specimen cut into several parts, histological sections and prepared nematocysts, on 1 August, 2014, Sugashima Island, Mie, Japan ( $34^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ N, $136^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ E), cavity of a rock at a depth around 50 cm at low tide, collected by snorkeling by hand, by Yuji Ise. Paratype. NSMT-Co 1612. Histological sections, damaged slightly when collected, on 4 August, 2014, Sugashima, Mie, Japan ( $34^{\circ} 28^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ N, $136^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 46^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), cavity of a rock at a depth around 30 cm at low tide, collected by hand, by Yuji Ise.

Description External anatomy. Column rough, rugged and uneven, ca. 30 mm in whole length in fixed holotype, and $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width, truncated cone-like form both in living and fixed (Fig. 56A) specimen, comparatively tubby form for edwardsiids. Paratype a little small, ca. 18 mm in length and ca. 9 mm in width. Upper part narrower than lower part. No apparent capitulum, all parts of column uniformly scapus. Periderm brownish or whitish, no pattern in color, with trichome-like structure (Fig. 56A), and easily stripped. Column with highly densely scattered nemathybome but no papillae, and the surface on the mesenteries slightly sunken (Fig. 56A). Aboral end rounded, not differentiated from scapus, with nemathybome. No pedal disk, but no physa or physa-like structure (Fig. 56A, G). Tentacles slender, no acrosphere, completely transparent and white patches or stripes on each surface. Tentacles 20 in number, in two cycles; eight in inner and twelve in outer cycle (Figs 63B), $5.0-7.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, longer than oral disk diameter and the inner tentacles shorter than outer ones. Oral disk ca. 4.0 mm in diameter. Mouth not swollen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes (Fig. 56G). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body, from oral to aboral end, and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing (Fig. 56G). Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives, in unusual arrangement for Edwardsiidae. One tentacle each between exocoels and endocoels (Fig. 6C). Retractor muscles like pennons, diffused throughout the whole body, smaller next to the actinopharynx (Fig. 56F), but largely developed and almost integrated to gonads in lower part (Fig. 56G). Each muscle pennons consisting of approximately 20-30 single or slightly branched muscular processes (Fig. 56F, G). Parietal muscles with approximately 15-20 muscular processes (Fig. 56G). Actinopharynx short, no distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 56F). Both tentacular circular muscle and longitudinal muscle too weakly developed to observe (Fig. 56C, D). Mesoglea thickest in the body wall and aboral end, approximately 200-300 and in some parts over $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick. However, mesoglea far thinner in actinopharynx and mesenteries (Fig. 56E,

F, G), and thinnest in tentacles (Fig. 56C, D). Nemathybomes, around $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, protruded from body wall (Fig. 56I) in the column but a little buried into the mesoglea in the aboral end (Fig. 56G, J). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 56E, G). Gonads next to retractor muscles, short, and wide (Fig. 56G). Ovary between retractor muscles and filaments, and oocytes in gonads of holotype.

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 29 for size and distribution.

Etymology. The species name was named after Yuji Ise, the collector of both holotype and paratype specimens.

Remarks. Same as E. ena, this species is originally described as Scolanthus isei in Izumi and Fujita (2018), thus see Table 27 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of previous genus Scolanthus (though these species of Scolanthus are possibly assignable to the genus Edwardsia based on their morphological characteristics, molecular phylogenetic analyses of them have not been carried out. Thus, new combination was not proposed for these species in this study). In terms of having 20 tentacles, Edwardsia isei resembles $S$. ignotus and $S$. ena, while all other Scolanthus edwardsiid species have 16 tentacles (England 1987, Daly and Ljubenkov 2008, and this study). This species is different from S. ignotus in having two types of basitrichs of different sizes in nemathybomes (Carlgren 1920), and the larger type is far larger than the basitrichs of $S$. ignotus. The differences between $E$. isei and $E$. ena are principally regarding the tentacular arrangement and body size (see Remarks of E. ena).
(Description, Fig. 56, and part of Table 29 are revised and reprinted Izumi and Fujita [2018] by courtesy of Zookeys.)

Edwardsia sp. nov. 19
(Japanese name: hiroshima-mushimodoki-ginchaku) Fig. 57; Table 29
Material examined. (Iz-074): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 17 February 2017, in Hiroshima-Bay, Hiroshima Pref., Japan, 5 m depth, by Daisuke Uyeno.

Description. External anatomy. Column of preserved specimen ca. 35 mm in whole length, and ca. 4 mm in width in preserved specimen, and ca. 50 mm in length in living, worm-like in shape but slightly swollen proximally
(Fig. 57A). Body divided into capitulum, scapus and physa. Capitulum distinct, transparent, and divisible mesenterial insertion. Scapus long, with pale brown periderm, and divisible mesenterial insertion as hollowing 8 rows. Nemathybomes very small, scattered on scapus (Fig. 57A). Aboral end physa, differentiated from scapus, naked, rounded, without nemathybomes (Fig. 57A). Tentacles, $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, 20 in number, all in same cycles (Fig. 57B). Color pattern of tentacles peculiar: two lateral tentacles white and opaque, but the other 18 transparent and with several transversal white lines. Oral disk ca. 2 mm in diameter, dark brown in color, with particular orange pattern: circle around mouth and two lines connected to two white tentacle (Fig. 57B). Mouth not swelled.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end. These eight are the paired dorsal and ventral directives plus four unpaired lateral mesenteries. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: four between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorsoand ventro-lateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of each lateral mesentery faces ventrally (Fig. 57F). Each retractor muscle distinctly developed, restricted to side of actinopharynx or filaments: with approximately $13-18$ simple to wellbranched muscular processes next to actinopharynx (Fig. 57F); muscular processes in lower part developed like a pennon, with approximately 13-16 simple or slightly branched: one process nearest body wall most wellbranched (Fig. 57E). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, triangular, with $2-3$ slightly branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 57E). Actinopharynx short, apparently grooved but without distinct siphonoglyph. Each one tentacle in each endo- or exocoel: the white tentacle in endocoels of second mesenterial pair between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries in first cycle. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal but indistinct, and circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 57C). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 57C). Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries and tentacles, but quite thick in body wall and thickening part of actinopharynx (Fig. 57F). Nemathybomes protruding from mesoglea on scapus. Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; gametocytes immature.

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores,
microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 29 for size and distribution.
Remarks. There were few nominal edwardsiids which have 20 tentacles: only four species of Edwardsia with 20 tentacles were known in the world: E. sipunculoides, E. kamerunensis Carlgren, 1927, E. timida de Quatrefages, 1842, and E. finmarchica Carlgren, 1921. Thus, it was needed to compare them if identify $E d w a r d s i a$ with 20 tentacles.

See Table 30 for comparison to the other species of Edwardsia with 20 tentacles. Edwardsia sp. nov. 19, with 20 tentacles, can be distinguished from them with the features as below: E. sipunculoides ( 12 cm in length; Carlgren, 1931) and E. timida (reaching 20 cm in length, and 7 cm even they contracted; Manuel 1977) are the large size species in Edwardsiidae, so E. sp. nov. 19 can be distinguished from them easily by its size; tentacular arrangement of $E$. finmarchica is composed of three tentacular rows (Carlgren, 1921) while that of $E$. sp. nov. 19 is of only one row (Fig. 57B). In addition, retractor muscles of E. finmarchica is constructed by muscular processes over 50 in number (Carlgren, 1921), so $E$. sp. nov. 19 also can be distinguished by littleness of muscular processes; $E$. kamerunensis is the most resembling described species to $E$. sp. nov. 19, but muscular processes in retractor are over than 30 in number (Carlgren, 1927), far more than this species. Moreover, E. kamerunensis was only discovered in tropical zone of Atlantic besides Africa, so there is very little possibility that this edwardsiids inhabits in Japan, the far distant and isolated locality. In conclusion, it is valid to identify $E$. sp. nov. 19 as a new species.

This species had peculiar color pattern in tentacles and oral disc: one tentacle in each left and right side were quite different in color from other tentacles, and an orange line from left to right on oral disc. No edwardsiid described so far has the lateral pattern like E. sp. nov. 19 although some anemones have dorsal-ventral pattern (e.g. Edwardsia alternobomen Izumi and Fujita, 2019; Fig. 48B). This pattern would be the key character of species, but it is uncertain every individual of this species have this pattern, so collections of additional specimens are expected.

Edwardsia sp. nov. 20
(Japanese name: umihaku-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 58; Table 31
Material examined. (Iz-075), dissected specimen, histological sections,
and prepared cnidae, 18 June 2015, rocky seashore in front of Coastal Branch of Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba, Katsuura, Chiba Pref., intertidal, collected by Miori Kaneko.

Description. External anatomy. Column of preserved specimen ca. 30 mm in whole length, and ca. 5 mm in width in living specimen, and ca. 20 mm in length in preserved, cylinder-like in shape but slightly swollen proximally (Fig. 58A). Body divided into scapulus, scapus and physa. Scapulus distinct, hemitransparent, and divisible mesenterial insertion. Scapus long, with pale brown periderm, and divisible mesenterial insertion as hollowing 8 rows. Nemathybomes comparatively large, in 8 row on scapus (Fig. 58A). Aboral end physa, differentiated from scapus, quite large, naked, rounded, without nemathybomes (Fig. 58A). Tentacles 20 in number, in two cycles; ten in inner and ten in outer cycle (Fig. 58B), both $3.0-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, as long as oral disk diameter. All tentacles transparent with transversal white bands. Oral disk ca. 4 mm in diameter, dark brown in color, brown circle around mouth (Fig. 58B). Mouth white, not swelled.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end. These eight are the paired dorsal and ventral directives plus four unpaired lateral mesenteries. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: four between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorsoand ventro-lateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of each lateral mesentery faces ventrally (Fig. 58F). Each retractor muscle distinctly developed, diffused: with approximately 13-20 a little or slightly branched muscular processes next to actinopharynx (Fig. 58E); muscular processes in lower part developed like a pennon, with approximately $10-20$ simple to slightly branched (Fig. 58F). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, triangular, with 6-8 slightly branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 58E, F). Actinopharynx short, apparently grooved but without distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 58E). Each one tentacle in each endo- or exo-coel: the white tentacle in endocoels of second mesenterial pair between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries in first cycle. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal but indistinct, and circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 58C, D). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 58C). Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries and tentacles, but
quite thick in body wall and thickening part of actinopharynx (Fig. 58C-F). Nemathybomes protruding from mesoglea on scapus (Fig. 58E). Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; matured testis there (Fig. 58G).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 31 for size and distribution.

Remarks. By the same logic as Edwardsia sp. nov. 19, E. sp. nov. 20 is needed to be compared Edwardsia with 20 tentacles for identification: $E$. sipunculoides, E. kamerunensis, E. timida, and E. finmarchica, and E. sp. nov. 19 itself.

See Table 30 for comparison to the other species of Edwardsia with 20 tentacles. Edwardsia sp. nov. 20 is distinguished from them in the features as below: E. sipunculoides ( 12 cm in length; Carlgren, 1931) and E. timida (reaching 20 cm in length, and 7 cm even they contracted; Manuel 1977) are large size species in Edwardsiidae, so $E$. sp. nov. 20 can be distinguished from them easily; tentacular arrangement of $E$. finmarchica is composed of three tentacular rows (Carlgren, 1921) while that of $E$. sp. nov. 20 is of two rows (Fig. 58B). Retractor muscles of E. finmarchica is constructed with muscular processes over 50 in number (Carlgren, 1921), thus, same as $E$. sp. nov. 19, $E$. sp. nov. 20 can be distinguished by littleness of muscular processes; physa of E. kamerunensis is very small and contractible (Carlgren, 1927) in contrast to distinctly developed physa of this species. Edwardsia sp. nov. 20 can be distinguished from $E$. sp. nov. 19 by nemathybomes in eight rows, lacking microbasic $p$-mastigophores in actinopharynx, and uniform tentacles and oral disc without peculiar pattern of coloration.

Edwardsia sp. nov. 21
(Japanese name: mutsu-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 59; Table 31
Edwardsioides sp. Yanagi, 2007: 88, figs. 7, 8
Material examined. CMNH-ZG 04762: histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by wading on 17 June 2007, at intertidal zone of muddy flat next to estuary of Usori River, Mutsu-Bay, Aomori Pref., Japan, by Kensuke Yanagi.

Description. External anatomy. Column of contracted specimen ca. $30-50 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and ca. 6 mm in width in preserved specimen, and
reaching to 60 mm in length in living, cylinder-like in shape (Fig. 59). Body divided into capitulum, scapus and physa. Capitulum distinct, transparent, and divisible mesenterial insertion. Scapus long, with brownish orange periderm, and slightly divisible mesenterial insertion. Nemathybomes comparatively large, opaque white, in 8 rows on scapus (Fig. 59A). Aboral end physa, differentiated from scapus, naked, rounded but a little tapered, without nemathybomes (Fig. 59A). Tentacles hemitransparent, white, with obscure white patterns, $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, 16 in number, in two cycles (inner 8 and outer 8; Fig. 59B). Oral disk $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, hemitransparent in color, with no pattern but divisible mesenterial insertion. Mouth not swelled.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end. These eight are the paired dorsal and ventral directives plus four unpaired lateral mesenteries. Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: four between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between dorsoand ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of each lateral mesentery faces ventrally (Fig. 59D, E). The development of retractor muscles comparatively weak; restricted to actinopharynx side, with less than 10 simple or slightly branched muscular processes next to actinopharynx (Fig. 59F); restricted to filament side, developed like a pennon, with approximately $8-13$ simple to wellbranched muscular processes in lower part (Fig. 59E). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, elongated to direction of mesenteries, with $6-8$ a little branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 59G). Actinopharynx short, a little grooved but without distinct siphonoglyph. Each one tentacle in each endo- or exo-coel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle and circular muscle both indistinct (Fig. 59C). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 59C). Mesoglea generally thin among whole body, but comparatively thick in body wall (Fig. 59C-G). Nemathybomes sunk in mesoglea on scapus. Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; gametocytes immature.

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, and microbasic amastigophores. See Table 31 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Genus Edwardsia (even before my research) has so many species that identification is difficult in this genus. Yanagi (2007) noticed the
possibility of this anemone as an unidentified species, but there had been no conclusion whether this species is unidentified or not.

The characteristic feature of this species is nemathybomes in eight rows with only one type of nematocysts. Nemathybomes with only one type of nematocysts are comparatively minor in Edwardsiidae, thus England (1987) had classified a part of species of Edwardsia with only a type of cnidae in nemathybomes into another genus, Edwardsioides. According to the list of species of Edwardsioides in England (1987), almost all of species of Edwardsioides with nemathybomes containing one type of nematocysts had scattered nemathybomes. So, having nemathybomes in eight rows was easily distinguished from them.

See Table 32 for comparison to the other species of Edwardsia with nemathybomes containing only one type of nematocysts in eight rows. Only E. jonesii Seshaiya and Cutress, 1969 have nemathybomes in eight lows in previous Edwardsioides species (England, 1987), but E. sp. nov. 21 is easily distinguishable because E. jonesii has 12 tentacles (Seshaiya and Cutress, 1956) and living in Indian Sea of tropical zone. In addition, Edwardsia sp. nov. 18 and $E$. sp. nov. 19 also have nemathybomes with one type of nematocysts in eight rows. Edwardsia sp. nov. 21 can be distinguished from them in tentacular arrangements (Table 32).

## Edwardsia sp. nov. 22

(Japanese name: yashiro-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 60; Table 31
Material examined. (Iz-076): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on 20 September 2011, in Suo-Oshima Island, Yamaguchi Pref., Japan, 10 m depth, by Takuma Fujii. (Iz-077): dissected specimen, histological sections, and tissues in paraffin, same method, collector and date as ( $\mathrm{Iz}-076$ ).

Description. External anatomy. Column of ca. 25-35 mm in whole length, and ca. $3-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in distal side and ca. $6-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in proximal side in width in preserved specimen (Fig. 60A). Column cylinder-like, but distal side apparently swelling. Body divided into capitulum, scapus and physa. Capitulum distinct and divisible mesenterial insertion. Scapus long, with yellowish brown periderm, and slightly divisible mesenterial insertion. Nemathybomes comparatively small, opaque white, scattered on scapus (Fig.

60A). Aboral end physa, differentiated from scapus, naked, rounded but a little tapered, without nemathybomes (Fig. 60). Tentacles blunt, 1-2 mm in length, 20 in number, in two cycles (inner 8 and outer 12; Fig. 60B). Oral disk $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, with no pattern but divisible mesenterial insertion. Mouth obviously swelled.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern. All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body, from oral to aboral end, and bearing retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing (Fig. 60E, F). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, only in distal most part (Fig. 60E). Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives, common arrangement in Edwardsiidae. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Each retractor muscles like pennon, restricted to filament or actinopharynx side. They indistinct near actinopharynx (Fig. 60E) but a little circumscribed and distinct in lower side (Fig. 60F, G). Muscle pennons consisting of approximately $20-25$ slightly or well branched muscular processes (Fig. 60G). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, elongated in direction of mesenteries, with $8-10$ muscular processes (Fig. 60G). The distal muscular processes apparently branched (Fig. 60). Actinopharynx short, a little grooved but without distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 60 E ). Each one tentacle in each endo- or exo-coel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle a little distinct and ectodermal (Fig. 60D). Tentacular circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 60C). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 60H). Mesoglea generally thin among whole body, but comparatively thick in body wall (Fig. 60C-H). Nemathybomes sunk in mesoglea on scapus. Gonads ovary, on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments, and filled with matured oocytes (Fig. 60G).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 31 for size and distribution.

Remarks By the same logic as Edwardsia sp. nov. 19 and 20, E. sp. nov. 22 was needed to be compared with species of Edwardsia with 20 tentacles for identification, thus see Table 30 for comparison to the other species of Edwardsia with 20 tentacles. Edwardsia sp. nov. 22 is far smaller
than E. timida and E. sipunculoides (Carlgren, 1931; Manuel, 1977). E. sp. nov. 22 can be distinguished from $E$. finmarchica by tentacles in two rows (see remarks of $E$. sp. nov. 19). Edwardsia kamerunensis has only one type of basitrichs in their nemathybomes (Carlgren, 1927; England, 1987) while E. sp. nov. 22 has two types (Table 31). This difference was once a key of dividing genera (England 1987; see remarks of Edwardsia sp. nov. 21), and even now it should be the key of identification of species though genus Edwardsioides was already synonymized into Edwardsia (Fautin, 2007).

The most peculiar feature of this species was the arrangement of blunt tapered tentacles. There are few species which has " $8+12$ ", inner eight tentacles and outer 12, arrangement. This arrangement is rare in Edwardsiidae: only Edwardsia pudica, E. sipunculoides and Edwardsia isei have this peculiar arrangement (Figs. 39, 54, 56). The inequality of inner and outer tentacles of this species should be a diagnostic character of species (see remarks of $E$. isei and $E$. sp. nov. 18).

## Edwardsia sp. nov. 23

(New Japanese name: nise-ashinashi-mushimodoki)
Fig. 61; Table 31
Material examined. (Iz-078): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 9 November 2017, by biological dredge during research cruise of R/V Seisui-Maru (No. 1722), off Shima Peninsula, Kumanonada Sea (Station-7: $34^{\circ} 10.11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 136^{\circ} 44.64$ ' E), 130-132 m depth, collected by Itaru Kobayashi; (Iz-079): dissected specimen, 7 October 2015, by biological dredge, off Mie Pref., Japan, 108 m depth, collected during the research cruise of R/V Seisui-Maru (St-2: $34^{\circ} 12.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 136^{\circ} 32.1^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), by Akito Ogawa; (Iz-080): dissected specimen, 7 October 2015, by biological dredge, off Mie Pref., Japan, 155 m depth, collected during the research cruise of R/V Seisui-Maru (St-3: $34^{\circ} 10.8^{\prime}$ N, $136^{\circ} 35.7^{\prime}$ E), by Akito Ogawa.

Description. External anatomy. Column of contracted specimen ca. $30-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ in whole length, and ca. 4 mm in width in preserved specimen, cylinder-like and uniform in shape (Fig. 61A). Body divided into scapulus, scapus and physa. Capitulum distinct, transparent, and divisible mesenterial insertion. Scapus long, with brown thin periderm in distal side and brownish orange easily stripped periderm in proximal side, and invisible mesenterial insertion. Nemathybomes comparatively small, opaque white, scattered on
scapus (Fig. 61A, C). Aboral end physa-like swelled, a little differentiated from scapus, rounded, with dense nemathybomes (Fig. 61C). Tentacles simple and blunt in shape, $3-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, 16 in number, in two cycles, coloration uncertain (inner 8 and outer 8; Fig. 61B). Oral disk ca. 3 mm in diameter, divisible mesenterial insertion. Mouth apparently swelled.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end. These eight are the paired dorsal and ventral directives plus four unpaired lateral mesenteries (Fig. 61H). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: four between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventrolateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of each lateral mesentery faces ventrally (Fig. 61H). The development of retractor muscles distinct; restricted in the middle of macrocnemes (Fig. 61G, H), with 8-14 simple to slightly branched muscular processes: a process next to actinopharynx wellbranched (Fig. 61G, H). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, elongated to direction of mesenteries, with 6-8 a little branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 6G). Actinopharynx short, a little grooved but with distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 61H). Each one tentacle in each endo- or exo-coel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle distinct, ectodermal (Fig. 61E) and circular muscle distinct, (Fig. 61F). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 61D, I). Mesoglea generally thin among whole body, but comparatively thick in body wall and aboral end (Fig. 61D-I). Nemathybomes sunk in mesoglea on scapus (Fig. 61I). Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments; gametocytes immature (Fig. 61G).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic amastigophores. See Table 31 for size and distribution.

Remarks. This species has peculiar morphologies: physa-like swelled aboral endwith many nemathybomes. The former character was same as Edwardsia, but the latter was similar to Scolanthus. In 2019, Brandão et al. (2019) described genus Isoscolanthus. This genus was characterized by physa-like aboral ends with nemathybomes, thus this species completely corresponded to the diagnosis. In addition, the features of these anemones that one siphonoglyph and robust basitrichs (Brandão et al. [2019] called this
type of nematocysts as pterotrichs) in nemathybomes were shared with Isoscolanthus species. So, it was needed to compare two Isoscolanthus species in Brandão (2019) when identifying this sea anemone.

See Table 33 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of previous genus Isoscolanthus (though these species of Isoscolanthus are possibly assignable to the genus Edwardsia based on their morphological characteristics, molecular phylogenetic analyses of them have not been carried out. Thus, new combination was not proposed for these species in this study). This species can be distinguished from the other species by features as below: Edwardsia sp. nov. 23 has 16 tentacles while two Isoscolanthus edwardsiids have 12 tentacles; basitrichs of nemathybomes of the two Isoscolanthus species are far smaller than Edwardsia sp. nov. 23 (the maximum size of both Isoscolanthus species were even smaller than the minimum size of $E$. sp. nov. 23; Brandão et al., 2019). Now Isoscolanthus species has been only discovered in Atlantic coast of Brazil (Brandão et al., 2019), too separated area from Japan, thus it is the first discovery of species of Isoscolanthus from the Pacific.

According to my phylogenetic analyses, it was revealed that Isoscolanthus was also an ingroup of Edwardsia (Fig. 12) and so should be synonymized into it (Fig. 13). So, I finally treat this species as a new species of the genus Edwardsia.

## Edwardsia armata Carlgren, 1931 <br> (Japanese name: Ogasawara-ashinashi-mushimodoki) <br> Fig. 62; Table 34

Edwardsia armata Carlgren, 1931: 2, figs 1-2; Carlgren 1949: 24
Scolanthus armatus: England 1987: 229, figs 13-14; Izumi and Fujita, 2018: 5-9, fig. 2

Material examined. NSMT-Co 1609, histological sections, dissected tissues, tissues embedded in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 27 June 2014, Seihyo Coast (Fig. 1A-2), Chichijima Island, Ogasawara Islands, Tokyo, Japan ( $27^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 477^{\prime \prime N}, 142^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 266^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), coral sand, 3 m depth, collected by scuba diving with a shovel and a sieve, by Takato Izumi.

Description. External anatomy. Column rough, ca. 35-40 mm in whole length, and ca. $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width, worm-like form, and the proximal part narrower to some extent. The column consists of capitulum, scapulus and
scapus. The most proximal part of column capitulum, distinct, extremely short, whitish and semitransparent, but scapulus and scapus indistinct. The periderm-like cuticle, brownish orange with no pattern in color, covering the whole column except capitulum and tentacle but easily stripped off from epidermis (Fig. 62A). The scapus beneath periderm semitransparent, with scattered small prominent nemathybomes but no papillae (Fig. 62A). Aboral end of the column tapered or flattened, not differentiated from scapus, with scattered nemathybomes (Fig. 62A). No pedal disk, and no physa or physalike structure. Tentacles in two cycles, 16 in number, eight in inner and eight in outer cycle. All tentacles long and slender, $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length (Fig. 6B), the inner tentacles as long as outer ones, transparent or semi-transparent, and capitated on their tip (Fig. 62B). Oral disk ca. 1.5 mm in diameter.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern. All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and two between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles strongly developed and diffused (Fig. 62F-H), pennon-like, configured with 15-30 muscular processes, each slightly branched (Fig. 62F). Parietal muscles with approximately 10 muscular processes (Fig. 62F). Actinopharynx short, ca. 2.5 mm in length, no distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 62C). Tentacular circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 62D) and longitudinal muscle ectodermal and distinct (Fig. 62E). Mesoglea thin in the whole body, less than $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ even at the thickest part of body wall (Fig. 62C-I). Nemathybomes, ca. $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, protrude from mesoglea on the column including the aboral end (Fig. 62I). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 62C, H). Gonads adjacent to the retractor muscles, relatively long (Fig. 62H). Testes between filaments and retractor muscles.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores. See Table 34 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Edwardsia armata Carlgren, 1931 had been known as

Scolanthus armatus before this research. This species was originally described by Carlgren (1931) as Edwardsia armata when the genus Scolanthus was a junior synonym of Edwardsia (see remarks of Edwardsia kopepe). After Scolanthus was revived by Manuel (1981), this species was transferred to Scolanthus by England (1987). This species had no physa-like structure but many nemathybomes in the aboral end. This is the most characteristic feature of Scolanthus, and this feature is not found in Edwardsia. The specimen from the Ogasawara (Bonin) Islands almost completely agrees with the description of Scolanthus armatus in England (1987); e.g. 16 tentacles which are capitated on the tip; rounded or flattened aboral end; scattered nemathybomes extending to the proximal end; strong and diffused retractor muscles (slightly branched muscular processes also correspond to England, 1987; fig. 13). The proportion and size of body is also similar to England's description. There are, however, a few differences in the cnidom; England (1987) mentioned nothing about $b$-mastigophores in the description; stating that basitrichs and microbasic $b$-mastigophores could be distinguished by both the large diameter of the capsule and the broadened shaft shape (England, 1991). However, both cnidom are still easily confused and they are difficult to delineate. Thus, microbasic $b$-mastigophores with their broadened shape were judged by England (1987) to probably be basitrichs. This probability is reinforced by England (1987: table 6): two types of "basitrichs" in filament of $S$. armatus, but one type of basitrichs with apparently broader capsules than the other type while the lengths are not different. In addition, there are very long basitrichs in our specimen, but they are few in number. If the numbers of these long basitrichs are very low, it is possible that England (1987) did not observe them. Moreover, the other cnidae size ranges resemble those of specimens of England (1987).

In conclusion, I had identified this specimen as $S$. armatus because of its similarity in almost all morphological features to the original description of the species. The slight difference observed in the Cnidom may be simply individual variation.

However, receiving phylogenetic study, I revealed that species of Edwardsiidae which had included Scolanthus should be synonymized into Edwardsia. So, this species is also reclassified to this genus, and so renamed to the original name of Edwardsia armata.
(Description, Fig. 62, and part of Table 34 are revised and reprinted Izumi
and Fujita [2018] by courtesy of Zookeys.)

## Edwardsia sp. nov. 24

(New Japanese name: juzu-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 63; Table 34
Material examined. CMNH-ZG (no registration): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by wading on 12 March 2012, from the intertidal zone of Nomino-Ura, Kakeroma Island, Kagoshima Pref., Japan, by Masanori Sato.

Description. External anatomy. Column comparatively smooth, ca. 70 mm in whole length, and ca. 6 mm in width, slender worm-like form, and the proximal part narrower to some extent. The column consisting of physa and scapus. The differentiation of most proximal part of column unknown because of contraction. Scapus with periderm-like cuticle, brown in color (Fig. 63A), and partly covered with tiny black glandular materials: totally appearing stripe pattern in longitudinal direction of brown and black. Nemathybomes protruding from scapus and periderm, connecting with each other in moniliform or anastomosing, appearing rosary or net-like (Fig. 63B). Aboral end physa, rounded, differentiated from scapus, without nemathybomes, no pedal disk (Fig. 63A, E). Tentacles in two cycles, 20 in number, but length and color unknown because of shrinking. Oral disk diameter unknown.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 63C, D). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles strongly developed and diffused (Fig. 63C, D, G), pennon-like, configured with 70-90 muscular processes, simple to slightly branched except the wellbranched process nearest to body wall (Fig. 63G). Parietal muscles rounded and elongated to direction of mesenteries, with approximately $8-10$ slightly branched muscular processes (Fig. 63F). Actinopharynx short, no distinct
siphonoglyph. Tentacular circular muscle and longitudinal muscle indistinct. Mesoglea thickest in body wall, over $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness in some part (Fig. $63 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}$ ), but far thinner in mesenteries (Fig. 63C, D, F, G). Nemathybomes, protruding from mesoglea but also sunk into mesoglea (Fig. 63C, D). Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent. Gonads adjacent to the retractor muscles, relatively long (Fig. 63G), in which immature gametes.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores and microbasic $p$ mastigophores. See Table 34 for size and distribution.

Remarks. It was impossible to perform phylogenetic analysis of Edwardsia sp. nov. 24 and $E$. sp. nov. 25 because these specimen were wholly preserved formalin. However, these species had completely same arrangement of previous genus Edwardsianthus, thus it was likely that these species are related to species of previous Edwardsianthus.

See Table22 for comparison of Edwardsia sp. nov. 24 and the other species which have the characters of previous genus Edwardsianthus. This anemone has nemathybomes which concatenated each other and looks like moniliform or anastomosing. Nemathybomes of every species of edwardsiids are independent each other no matter how densely distributed. Thus, this exceptional arrangement of nemathybomes cannot evaluate whether "in rows" or "scattered". That was why this character strongly became the identity of this species.

This anemone was only once collected from intertidal zone NominoUra of Kakeroma Island, Amami Islands, contaminated with other species of Edwardsia spp. (still unidentified, but several species would be included). Masanori Sato, the collector of these anemones, said that anemones of Edwardsiidae were densely distributed in Nomino-Ura (over 100 individuals per square meter), but there was no anemone there I went Nomino-Ura for collection in 2015. Local people said that several sediment runoffs were occurred in 2014 in Kakeroma Island because of heavy rain, so perhaps the locality of this species destructed, and anemones disappeared.

## Edwardsia sp. nov. 25

(New Japanese name: yangu-kon-isoginchaku)
Fig. 64; Table 34
Material examined. CMNH-ZG (no registration): histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, collected by SCUBA diving on

18 March 1998, off Suzaki, Chichijima Island, Ogasawara Islands, Tokyo Met., Japan, 3 m depth, by Hiroyuki Tachikawa.

Description. External anatomy. Column, ca. 100 mm in whole length, and ca. 7 mm in width in preserved specimen, slender worm-like form, and the proximal part narrower to some extent. The column consisting of physa, scapus and scapulus. The distal-most part scapulus, very short, without nemathybomes. Scapus with periderm-like cuticle, brownish yellow in color, and with dense papillae-like protruding (Fig. 64A, B): a nemathybome at the top of which. Nemathybomes small and densely scattered (Fig. 64B). Aboral end physa, the column rounded, differentiated from scapus, without nemathybomes, no pedal disk but attaching small stones (Fig. 64A). Tentacles 20 in number in two cycle: inner tentacle 5 and outer 15 , short and blunt, without acrospheres. Color of them in living unknown. Mouth in oral disc swollen, but how it swollen is unclear because actinopharynx inverting in the specimen.

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Four dorsal and ventral directives, and four lateral mesenteries not paired with other macrocnemes, arranged in normal Edwardsia pattern (Fig. 64D). All macrocnemes present along whole length of the body from oral to aboral end and bearing distinct retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of lateral mesenteries all ventrally facing. Twelve tiny microcnemes, without muscles, confined only in distal-most part. Four microcnemes between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, four between dorso-and ventrolateral mesenteries, and four between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives. Each tentacle between either exo- or endocoelic. Retractor muscles strongly developed and diffused (Fig. 64F), pennon-like, configured with 6080 muscular processes, slightly to well, sometimes complicatedly branched. The process nearest to body wall most branched well, secondly and thirdly (Fig. 64F). Parietal muscles apparently elongated to direction of mesenteries, with approximately 20 simple to slightly branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 64F). Existence of siphonoglyph unknown because of inverting. Tentacular circular muscle and longitudinal muscle indistinct. Mesoglea thickest in body wall, over $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness in some part (Fig. 64C, D), and comparatively thick in tentacles and parietal muscles (Fig. 64C), but thinner in mesenteries (Fig. 64F). Nemathybomes completely sunk into mesoglea of papillae-like protruding (Fig. 64D, E). Marginal sphincter muscle
and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 64G). Gonads adjacent to the retractor muscles, relatively short (Fig. 64F), in which immature testis.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic amastigophores. See Table 34 for size and distribution.

Remarks. Edwardsia sp. nov. 25 was collected from Ogasawara only once. The most characteristic feature of this anemone is apparently protruding structures like papillae on the body wall and small nemathybomes at the top of the papillae. There are several species whose nemathybomes protruding (e.g. E. aff. tuberculata), but this species is clearly distinct from them because body wall itself protrudes from column like papillae. This character is similar to genus Halcampogeton rather than Edwardsia. However, the structures of $E$. sp. nov. 25 are apparently different from Halcampogeton in terms of existing nemathybomes at the tips of them. So, this species can be distinguished from any other Edwardsia with the character of column.

See Table22 for comparison of Edwardsia sp. nov. 25 and the other species which have the characters of previous genus Edwardsianthus. This species has tentacular and mesenterial arrangement of Edwardsianthus. However, because the only specimen was wholly preserved in formalin, the certain clade to which this species belong is unknown.

Edwardsia sp. nov. 26
(New Japanese name: denkyu-mushimodoki-ginchaku)
Fig. 65; Table 34
Material examined. (Iz-081): dissected specimen in paraffin, histological sections, and prepared cnidae, collected on 7 September 2010, South-Tosa-Bae, off. Kochi Pref., ( $32^{\circ} 56.4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 134^{\circ} 34.9^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 897 m depth, collected during researching voyage of R/V Soyo-Maru by Masanori Okanishi.

External anatomy. Column of contracted specimen 20 mm in whole length, and 5 mm in distal side and 10 mm in proximal side in width in preserved, cylinder-like form but exceedingly swelled in aboral side: lightbulb-like shape in whole specimen (Fig. 65A). Body divided into scapus and physa; in my specimen, capitulum shrunken in column, invisible. Scapus with thick periderm and densely scattered tiny nemathybomes, brownish yellow in color. Aboral physa differentiated from scapus, exceedingly swelled but tapered in aboral end, with thin fragile periderm, with sparse
nemathybomes (Fig. 65A). Tentacles 16 in number, but shape, color or relative size of them unclear because tentacles contracted into scapus (Fig. 65B).

Internal anatomy. Eight perfect mesenteries, macrocnemes, distributed along whole body from distal to proximal end. These eight are the paired dorsal and ventral directives plus four unpaired lateral mesenteries (Fig. 65C). Eight tiny microcnemes, without muscles, limited to distal-most part: four between dorsal directives and dorso-lateral mesenteries, two between dorso- and ventro-lateral mesenteries, and two between ventrolateral mesenteries and ventral directives. All macrocnemic mesenteries bear retractor and parietal muscles. Retractor muscle of each lateral mesentery faces ventrally (Fig. 65C, F). Each retractor muscle distinctly developed and circumscribed, with approximately 10-15 slightly branched and well folded muscular processes (Fig. 65E). Parietal muscles of macrocnemes quite distinct, spade-like shape, with $8-13$ slightly branched muscular processes in each side (Fig. 65E, F). Actinopharynx very short, without distinct siphonoglyph (Fig. 65D). One tentacle in each endo- or exo-coel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal and distinct. Marginal sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 65G). Mesoglea generally thin in mesenteries and tentacles, but comparatively thick in physa (Fig. 65G), and the thickest in column (Fig. 65C, D). Nemathybomes sunk in mesoglea (Fig. 65C, D, G). Gonads on mesenteries between retractor muscles and filaments, immature testis there (Fig. 65E).

Cnidom. Basitrichs and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 34 for size and distribution.

Remarks. In 2019, Brandão et al (2019) established genus Isoscolanthus Brandão, Gusmão and Gomes, 2019 with two new species collected from Brazil. The characters of this specimen exactly corresponded to the diagnosis of this genus, especially a physa-like structure with nemathybomes at its proximal end. Thus, now this species may belong to previous genus Isoscolanthus. Following my classification, however, all edwardsiids which have nemathybomes should be classified in Edwardsia, so this species should be Edwardsia sp. nov. 26, even though DNA could not be extracted from this specimen at all because the whole body was preserved in formalin solution.

See Table 33 for comparison to the other species which have the characters of previous genus Isoscolanthus (though these species of

Isoscolanthus are possibly assignable to the genus Edwardsia based on their morphological characteristics, molecular phylogenetic analyses of them have not been carried out. Thus, new combination was not proposed for these species in this study). This species can be distinguished from two species of Isoscolanthus described in Brandao et al. (2019) by having 16 tentacles and from Edwardsia sp. 23 by the apparently swelled physa-like aboral end.

## SAMMARY of Edwardsioidea

According to my phylogenetic and taxonomic researches, these conclusions were derived.

1. Edwardsiidae was monophyletic and should be remained as the only family in this superfamily.
2. Five genera, Edwardsia, Edwardsianthus, Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus and Paraedwardsia were synonymized to one gigantic genus Edwardsia, which is characterized by nemathybomes on the body walls (including traces of them) and accommodates almost all species of Edwardsiidae. On the other hand, six other genera can be retained because they are distinguished morphologically from Edwardsia and each other.
3. In Edwardsia, there were five small subclades. Though the genus Edwardsianthus formed one subclade by itself, Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus and Paraedwardsia were dispersed to several subclades and mingled with species of Edwardsia.
4. As a consequence of my study, the record of Japanese edwardsioidean anemones drastically increased. I discovered additional six genera (including three genera which were synonymized into Edwardsia in this research), two newly recorded species, and 27 new species from Japan. Taking my results of phylogenetic analysis in consideration, Japanese species of Edwardsioidea finally increased from 10 species in four genera to 36 species in five genera.


Fig. 32. External morphology of Tempuractis rinkai and its host sponge Oscarella sp. A, C, E, and F: living colony of T. rinkai A, B, C, and D: specimens collected from Misaki, Kanagawa. A. A living colony of T. rinkai with Oscarella sp., including a holotype (NSMTCo 1573) and four paratypes (CMNH-ZG 08969 to 08972). B. Excised and preserved T. rinkai specimen (NSMT-Co 1573) with elongated tentacles. C. T. rinkai living in a bunchlike part of Oscarella sp. Arrowhead indicates the oscular opening of the host sponge. D. Dissected host sponge, showing whole body of a T. rinkai specimen (NSMT-Co 1573) with shrunken tentacles that was totally buried in the host sponge. E. Field image of Oscarella sp. collected from Shukunegi, Sado, Niigata. Numerous T. rinkai are buried in the sponge, including paratype CMNH-ZG 08973. F. Living colony of T. rinkai, including paratype CMNH-ZG 08974, collected from Tohama, Toba, Mie. All scale bars represent 1 mm . Pictures A, C, E were taken by Yuji Ise.


Fig. 33. Internal anatomy of Tempuractis rinkai.
A, B: paratype CMNH-ZG 08971. C-J: paratype CMNH-ZG 08972. K: specimen CMNH-ZG 08973. A. Longitudinal section. B. Enlarged view of the longitudinal section, showing absence of sphincter muscle. C. Transverse section of a microcneme (arrowhead). D. Transverse section of the tentacles. E. Enlarged view of the tentacle transverse section. F. Longitudinal section of a tentacle tip. G. Longitudinal section of column, showing eight macrocnemes and no microcnemes at the actinopharynx. H. Longitudinal section of T. rinkai adhered to the inner surface of the sponge (arrowhead). I. Macrocnemes and retractor muscle at the actinopharynx. J. Macrocnemes in the gastral cavity. K. Longitudinal section of a macrocneme, showing retractor muscle and gonad (mature testis) with mature sperm cells. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; mt, matured testis cyst; pbm, parietal basilar muscle; rm, retractor muscle; t , tentacle; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. All scale bars represent $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ unless otherwise noted.


Tempuractis rinkai sp. nov.
Oscarella sp.
Fig. 34. The images of sections between Tempuractis rinkai and Oscarella sp. obtained by transmission electron microscope (TEM).
A. Structure between the sea anemone and the sponge. The obtruding cilia of the sea anemone are corresponding to the sites of depression of endopinacocytes of the sponge; B. Enlarged view of the obtruding cilia of sea anemone. Several cilia are twisting around each other. Abbreviations: Ci, cilia; En, endopinacocyte of Oscarella sp.; Ep, epidermis of T. rinkai; Ga, gastrodermis of T. rinkai; Me, mesoglea of T. rinkai.


Fig. 35. External and internal morphology of Tempuractis spp.
A. Outer view of live specimen of Tempuractis sp. (Iz-038) in Plakiidae sponge (photographed by Yuji Ise); B. Transverse section of actinopharynx of (Iz-038); C. Outer view of live specimen of Tempuractis sp. (Iz-039) in gray Oscarella sponge (photographed by Yuji Ise); D. Longitudinal section of (Iz-039); E. Transverse section of filaments and gonads in both sexuality. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; ma, macrocneme; ov, ovary; scs, scapus; sp, sponge; te, tentacle; ts, testis. Scale: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in B, D, E. Pictures A and C were taken by Yuji Ise.


Fig. 36. External and internal morphology of Edwardsiella sp. nov. 6 (CMNH-ZG [no registration]).
A. Outer view of live specimen; B. Outer view of preserved specimen; C. Oral view; D. Longitudinal section of oral part; E. Transverse section of actinopharynx and column; F. Enlarged view of macrocnemes; G. Transverse section of mesenteries in lower part; H. Longitudinal section of physa. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; ca, capitulum; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; mi, microcneme; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale: 5 mm in A, B; 1 mm in C; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-H. Picture A was taken by Sinniger Frederic.


Fig. 37. External and internal morphology of Halcampogeton sp. nov. 7 (Iz-040).
A. Outer view of preserved specimen; B. proximal view of preserved specimen; C. Oral view; D. Transverse section of tentacle E. Longitudinal section of tentacle; E. Transverse section of parietal muscle; G. Transverse section of mesenteries in lower part; F. Transverse section of lower column; H. Transverse section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; pp, papillae; rm, retractor muscle; scl, scapulus; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scales: 5 mm in A, B; 1 mm in C; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-H.


Fig. 38. External and internal morphology of Drillactis sp. nov. 8 (Iz-041).
A. Outer view of living specimen (photographed by Kensuke Yanagi); B. Outer view of preserved specimen; C. Transverse section of mesenteries; D. Transverse section of tentacle; E. Transverse section of column and actinopharynx in upper part. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale: 5 mm in A, B; 1 mm in C; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-E. Picture A was taken by Kensuke Yanagi.


Fig. 39. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia pudica (Iz-042).
A. Outer view of living specimen; B. Oral view of living specimen; C. Longitudinal section of tentacle; D. Transverse section of tentacle; E. Transverse section of parietal muscle; F. Transverse section of macrocnemes; G. Longitudinal section of physa. Abbreviations: cap, capitulum; fi, filament; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale: 5 mm in A; 1 mm in B; 1 mm in C; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, F; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E .


Fig. 40. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia gilbertensis (CMNH-ZG 06527 except for B).
A. Outer view of preserved specimen; B. Oral view of living specimen with 18 tentacles in the habitat; C. Longitudinal section of oral end; D. Transverse section of nemathybome; E. Transverse section of column in lower part; F. Enlarged view of transverse section of mesenteries. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; ne, nemathybomes; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale: 5 mm in A; 1 mm in B; 1 mm in C; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, F; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E. Picture B was taken by Kensuke Yanagi.


Fig. 41. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 9 (CMNH-ZG 05954).
A. Outer view of preserved specimen (aboral end is damaged); B. Oral view of living specimen in the habitat (photographed by Kensuke Yanagi); C. Transverse section of column in upper part; D. Transverse section of lower column in lower part; E. Transverse section of the macrocneme; F. Transverse section of testis. G. Transverse section of nemathybomes. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybomes; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale: 5 mm in A, B; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-F; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in G. Picture B was taken by Kensuke Yanagi.


Fig. 42. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 10 (Iz-055).
A. Outer view of living specimen; B. Outer view of preserved specimen; C. Transverse section of retractor muscle; D. Transverse section of the tentacle; E. Longitudinal section of the tentacle; F. Transverse section of column. G. Enlarged view of transverse section of ovary; H. Transverse section of a nemathybome. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybomes; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale: 1 cm in A, B; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-H. Picture A was taken by Takuma Fujii.


Fig. 43. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 11 (Iz-056). A. Oral view of living specimen in the habitat; B. Outer view of preserved specimen; C. Transverse section of column in upper part; D. Enlarged view of transverse section of parietal muscle; E. Enlarged view of transverse section of retractor muscle; F. Transverse section of ovary; G. Longitudinal section of tentacle; H. Transverse section of tentacle. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale: 1 cm in A, B; 1 mm in B; 1 mm in C; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, F; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E. Picture A was taken by Takuma Fujii.


Fig. 44. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 12 (Iz-057). A. Oral view of living specimen in the habitat; B. Outer view of preserved specimen; C. Longitudinal section of tentacle; D. Transverse section of tentacle; E. Transverse section of retractor muscle; F. Transverse section of testis; G. Transverse section of trace of nemathybome. Abbreviations: fi, filament; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome-like structure; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacle longitudinal muscle; ts, testis. Scale: 1 cm in A, B; 1 mm in B; 1 mm in C; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E, F; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, G. Picture A was taken by Takuma Fujii.


Fig. 45. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 13.
A-F: (Iz-058), G: (Iz-059). A. Outer view of living specimen; B. Enlarged view of aboral end; C. Enlarged view of nemathybomes; D. Transverse section of column in upper part; E. Longitudinal section of aboral end; F. Transverse section of column in lower part; G. Transverse section of gonad: fi, filament; go, gonad; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus. Scale: 5 mm in A-C; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D-G. Picture G was taken by Gen Kanaya.


Fig. 46. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 14 (Iz-060). A. Outer view of living specimen; B. Oral view of living specimen; C. Transverse section of tentacle; D. Transverse section of column in upper part; E. Enlarged view of body wall with zooxanthellae; F. Transverse section of column in lower part; G. Transverse section of macrocneme; H. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; bw, body wall; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; mi, microcneme; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle; ts, testis. Scale: 1 mm in A, B; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D, F, H; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, E, G.


Fig. 47. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 15 (Iz-064).
A. Outer view of living specimen; B. Enlarged view of oral end of the specimen; C. Transverse section of column in upper part; D. Enlarged view of body wall and a mesentery with zooxanthellae; E. Transverse section of column in lower part; F. Enlarged view of transverse section of retractor muscle; G. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; mi, microcneme; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale: 5 mm in A; 1 mm in B; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, E, F, G; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D.


Fig. 48. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia alternobomen Izumi and Fujita, 2019.
A-C, External view of NSMT-Co 1657 (holotype); A. anesthetized specimen. B. Enlarged view of body wall and nemathybomes in the middle part of the body C. Oral view of the specimen. D-I, histological section. D-H, NSMT-Co 1657 and I, NSMT-Co 1656 (paratype); D. Longitudinal section of uppermost part. E. Transverse section of mesenteries. F. Transverse section of middle part of body with large nemathybomes. G. Enlarged view of a mesentery (lower part than E). H. Transverse section of lower part. I. Enlarged view of a nemathybome. Large basitrichs are indicated. Abbreviations: cap, capitulum; dd, dorsal directive; dl, dorso-lateral mesentery; lne, large nemathybome; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; re, retractor muscle; sc, scapus; sne, small nemathybome; te, tentacle vd, ventral directive; vl, ventro-lateral mesentery. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, 1 mm in B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-H.


Fig. 49. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia aff. tuberculata Dueben and Koren, 1847.
A and B, External view of NSMT-Co 1654; A. Preserved specimen (dissected into two halves) B. Enlarged view of body wall and nemathybomes. C-H, histological section of NSMT-Co 1654; C. Longitudinal section of uppermost part. D. Transverse section of upper part. E. Enlarged view of a mesentery. F. Transverse section of lower part of body. G. Enlarged view of a nemathybome. Large basitrichs are indicated. H. longitudinal section of lowermost part. Abbreviations: dd, dorsal directive; dl, dorso-lateral mesentery; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; re, retractor muscle; te, tentacle; vd, ventral directive; vl, ventro-lateral mesentery. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, 1 mm in B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$.


Fig. 50. External and internal views of Edwardsia kopepe (Izumi and Fujita, 2019) comb. nov. A, B, F-I: NSMT-Co 1613; C-E: NSMT-Co 1616.
A and B, External view; A. Whole living specimen of E. kopepe. B. Enlarged view of tentacular circle. C-I, Internal view (histological section); C. longitudinal section of tentacle. D. Transverse section of tentacle. E. Transverse section of actinopharynx. F. Transverse section of filaments. G. Enlarged view of mesentery. H. Transverse section of nemathybome. I. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Nemathybomes distributed up to the tip of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; ne, nemathybome; pm, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle; ts, testis. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, 1 mm in B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E, F, G, I and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, H, J.


Fig. 51. External and internal views of Edwardsia sp. nov. 16 (Iz-070).
A. Dissected preserved specimen of $E$. sp. nov. 16. B. Enlarged view of distal end. C. Oral view. D-I, Internal view (histological section); D. Transverse section of tentacle. E. Longitudinal section of tentacle. F. Longitudinal section of aboral end. G. Enlarged view of transverse section of a nemathybome. H. Transverse section of upper column. I. Transverse section of the macrocneme of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle; tne, trace of nemathybome. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A-C, 1 mm in F, H, I, 100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in D, E, G.


Fig. 52. External and internal views of Edwardsia sp. nov. 17 (Iz-072).
A. Whole living specimen of $E$. sp. nov. 17. B. Enlarged view of tentacular circle. C-G, Internal view (histological section); C. Longitudinal section of distal end. D. Transverse section of upper column. E. Transverse section of lower column. F. Transverse section of a macrocneme. G. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle; ts, testis. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, 1 mm in B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-G.


Fig. 53. External and internal views of Edwardsia sp. nov. 18 (Iz-073).
A. Whole living specimen. B. Enlarged view of distal end. C. Oral view of tentacular circle. D. Transverse section of macrocneme and retractor muscle. E. Longitudinal section of a tentacle. F. Transverse section of tentacles: capitated tip (right) and middle part (left). G. Transverse section of upper column. H. Transverse section of lower column. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; ne, nemathybome; ph, physa; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; scl, scapulus; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A-C, 1 mm in G, H, $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{F}$.


Fig. 54. External and internal views of Edwardsia sipunculoides (NSMT-Co 1700). A. Whole living specimen. B. Oral view of tentacular circle in living specimen. C. longitudinal section of tentacle. D. Transverse section of tentacle. E. Transverse section of column in upper part. F. Transverse section of tentacle. G. Enlarged view of transverse section of mesentery. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A, B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D, E, G, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, F.


Fig. 55. External and internal views of Edwardsia ena (Izumi and Fujita, 2019) comb. nov., (NSMT-Co 1610).
A. External view. Whole living specimen of $S$. ena. B-H, Internal view. B. Longitudinal section of distal end. C. Transverse section of tentacle. D. Longitudinal section of tentacle. E. Transverse section of actinopharynx F. Enlarged view of mesentery. G. Transverse section of nemathybome. H. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Nemathybomes distributed up to aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; ne, nemathybome; pm, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle; ts, testis. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, 1 mm in B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E, F, H and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, G. Picture A was taken by Masaatsu Tanaka.


Fig. 56. External and internal views of Edwardsia isei (Izumi and Fujita, 2019) comb. nov., (NSMT-Co 1611).
A and B, External view; A. Whole preserved specimen of E. isei. B. View of tentacular circle of living specimen. C-J, Internal view (histological section); C. Longitudinal section of tentacle. D. Transverse section of tentacle. E. Longitudinal section of oral end. F. Transverse section of actinopharynx (half of body). G. Enlarged view of mesentery. H. Enlarged view of gonad. Several matured oocytes contained. I. Densely distributed nemathybomes. J. Enlarged view of transverse section of nemathybome. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; ne, nemathybome; oo, oocyte; ov, ovary; pm, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E, F, G, I and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, H, J


Fig. 57. External and internal views of Edwardsia sp. nov. 19 (Iz-074).
A. Whole preserved specimen (dissected). B. Oral view of tentacular circle in living specimen. C. longitudinal section of tentacle and distal part. D. Transverse section of tentacle. E. Transverse section of column in lower part. F. Transverse section of upper part of section. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; go, gonad; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 1 mm in A, B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, E, F, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D.


Fig. 58. External and internal views of Edwardsia sp. nov. 20 ( $\mathrm{Iz}-075$ ).
A. Whole living specimen. B. Oral view of tentacular circle in living specimen. C. longitudinal section of tentacle. D. Transverse section of tentacle. E. Transverse section of column in upper part. F. Enlarged view of section of testis. G. Transverse section of a macrocneme. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle; ts, testis. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, B, 1 mm in E, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, F, G.


Fig. 59. External and internal views of Edwardsia sp. nov. 21 (CMNH-ZG 04762). A. Outer view of the preserved specimen. B. Oral view of the preserved specimen. C. Longitudinal section of distal end. D. Transverse section of column in upper part. E. Transverse section of column in lower part. F. Enlarged view of Transverse section of mesenteries with actinopharynx. G. Enlarged view of transverse section of mesentery in lower part. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-G.


Fig. 60. External and internal views of Edwardsia sp. nov. 22 (Iz-076).
A. Whole preserved specimen. B. Oral view of tentacular circle in preserved specimen.
C. longitudinal section of tentacle. D. Transverse section of tentacle. E. Transverse section of column in upper part. F. Transverse section of lower column. G. Enlarged view of transverse section of mesentery. H. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; mi, microcneme; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, B, 1 mm in $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}$, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D.


Fig. 61. External and internal views of Edwardsia sp. nov. 23 ( $\mathrm{Iz}-078$ ).
A. Dissected preserved specimen. B. Oral view of tentacular circle in preserved specimen. C. Enlarged view of aboral end. D. Longitudinal section of distal end. E. Transverse section of tentacle. F. Longitudinal section of tentacle. G. Enlarged view of Transverse section of a macrocneme. H. Transverse section of upper column. I. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scl, scapulus; scs, scapus; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D, G-I, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E, F.


Fig. 62. External and internal views of Edwardsia armata (NSMT-Co 1609). External views (A, B) and internal histological sections (C-I). A. Whole living specimen of $E$. armata. The periderm of aboral end was stripped off while the sampling. B. Enlarged view of most distal part C. Longitudinal section of oral end. D. Longitudinal section of a tentacle. E. Transverse section of a tentacle. F. Transverse section of actinopharynx of the longitudinal half of body. G. Transverse section of mesentery. H. Transverse section of filaments. I. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Nemathybomes distributed up to the tip of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; go, gonad; ne, nemathybome; ph , physa; pm, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A, 1 mm in B, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, F, H, I and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D, E, G.


Fig. 63. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 24 (CMNH-ZG [no registration]).
A. Outer view of preserved specimen; B. Enlarged view of nemathybomes (connected each other); C. Transverse section of column in upper part; D. Transverse section of column in middle part; E. Longitudinal section of aboral end; F. Enlarged view of transverse section of tentacle and parietal muscle; G. Transverse section of column in lower part. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale: 5 mm in A; 1 mm in B; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-G.


Fig. 64. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 25 (CMNHZG [no registration]).
A. Oral view of preserved specimen; B. Enlarged view of nemathybomes; C. Longitudinal section of tentacle; D. Transverse section of column in lower part; E. Enlarged view of transverse section of nemathybome; F. Transverse section of a mesentery; G. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; go, gonad; ma, macrocnemes; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physa; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale: 5 mm in A; 1 mm in B; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, F, G; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in E .


Fig. 65. External and internal morphology of Edwardsia sp. nov. 26 (Iz-081). A. Outer view of preserved specimen; B. Oral view of preserved specimen; C. Transverse section of column in middle part and tentacles; D. Transverse section of column in upper part; E. Enlarged view of Transverse section of retractor muscle; F. Transverse section of column in lower part; G. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; me, mesoglea; ne, nemathybome; pa, parietal muscle; ph, physalike organ; rm, retractor muscle; scs, scapus; te, tentacle; ts, testis. Scale: 5 mm in A; 1 mm in B; 1 mm in C-E; $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{H} ; 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in F , G.
Table 17. Comparison of genus Tempuractis and all other genera of Edwardsiidae.

|  | Tempuractis Izumi, Ise and Yanagi, 2018 | Edwardsiella <br> Andres, 1883 | Halcampogeton Carlgren, 1937 | Drillactis <br> Verrill, 1922 | *Synhalcampella Carlgren, 1921 | Edwardsia <br> de Quatrefages, 1842 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type species | Tempuractis rinkai Izumi, Ise and Yanagi, 2018 | Edwards iella carnea (Gosse, 1856) | Halcampogeton papillous Carlgren, 1937 | Drillactis pallida (Verrill, 1880) | Synhalcampella ostroumowi (Wyragevitch, 1905) | Edwardsia beautempsii de Quatrefages, 1842 |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main habitat | In Oscarella sponges | Various <br> (In ice, rock crevices, or sand) | In sand or mud | In sand or mud | Unknown | In sand or mud |
| Structures on the column | None, smooth | Thick periderm | Robust papillae | None, smooth | Tenaculi | Thin periderm Nemathybomes or trace of them |
| Distribution of microcnemes | Only at distal end | Only at distal end | Only at distal end | Only at distal end | In whole body | Only at distal end |
| References | Izumi et al. (2018a) <br> The present study |  | Carlgren (1937) <br> The present study | Verrill (1880) <br> Verrill (1922) <br> Carlgren (1949) <br> The present study | Wyragevitch (1905) <br> Carlgren (1921) <br> Carlgren (1949) | de Quatrefages (1842) |
|  |  | Andres (1883) |  |  |  | Gosse (1853) |
|  |  | Gosse (1856) |  |  |  | Nordgaard (1905) |
|  |  | Manuel (1981a) |  |  |  | Carlgren (1949) |
|  |  | Daly (2002b) |  |  |  | Manuel (1981) |
|  |  | Daly et al. (2013) |  |  |  | England (1987) |
|  |  | The present study |  |  |  | Brandão (2019) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | The present study |


|  | Tempuractis rinkai NSMT-Co 1573 |  | Edwardsiella sp. nov. 6 CMNH-ZG (no registration) |  | Halcampogeton sp. nov. 7Iz-040 |  | Drillactis sp. nov. 8Iz-041 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | $12.1-18.0 \times 2.1-3.4$ | numerous | $12.4-17.9 \times 2.5-4.0$ | numerous | 19.5-22.5 $\times 4.8-6.4$ | numerous | 11.1-14.7 $\times .1 .5-2.5$ | a few |
| spirocysts | $13.6-24.9 \times 2.3-4.1$ | numerous | $13.7-25.5 \times 2.8-5.2$ | numerous | $18.3-37.1 \times 3.6-7.7$ | numerous | $10.4-18.1 \times 2.5-4.1$ | numerous |
| holotrichs | $23.6-27.2 \times 3.4-5.0$ | rare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | $6.7-19.6 \times 1.0-4.1$ | numerous | $15.2-22.9 \times 2.4-4.1$ | numerous |  |  | $12.6-20.3 \times 1.7-3.1$ | numerous |
| holotrichs |  |  |  |  | 26.0-29.5 $\times$ 5.9-7.2 | a few |  |  |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | $21.9-27.7 \times 3.7-5.6$ | numerous | $17.6-23.5 \times 4.1-6.4$ | numerous | $24.5-31.6 \times 5.6-7.9$ | numerous |  |  |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  |  | $18.9-21.9 \times 5.9-8.4$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |
| macrobasic mastigophores |  |  | $33.2-42.7 \times 7.4-10.0$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |
| Column |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | 8.2-13.6 $\times 1.7-2.9$ | numerous |  |  |  |  | $14.8-41.9 \times 1.1-2.3$ | numerous |
| spirocysts | $17.2-20.7 \times 2.6-3.8$ | rare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| holotrichs | $13.0-30.2 \times 3.1-7.0$ | numerous |  |  | 30.6-35.2 × 6.7-7.9 | rare |  |  |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | $21.0-27.0 \times 4.3-5.7$ | rare |  |  | $30.8-41.4 \times 6.2-8.7$ | numerous |  |  |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  |  | $21.6-33.5 \times 3.2-4.6$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | $10.1-29.8 \times 2.1-7.0$ | numerous | $15.0-25.4 \times 2.6-4.1$ | a few |  |  | $10.3-27.7 \times 0.9-2.3$ | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | $21.0-27.0 \times 4.3-5.7$ | a few | $18.0-23.4 \times 4.9-5.9$ | a few | $19.5-35.4 \times 3.2-7.8$ | numerous | $19.7-26.7 \times 4.3-6.1$ | a few |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  |  | $19.2-24.4 \times 6.7-7.9$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |
| macrobasic mastigophores |  |  | $26.4-42.2 \times 7.0-10.0$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |

Table 19. Comparison of Edwardsiella sp. nov. 6 and the other species of genus Edwardsiella.

|  | Edwardsiella sp. nov. 6 | Edwardsiella andrillae <br> Daly, Rock, and Zack, 2013 | Edwardsiella carnea (Gosse, 1856) | Edwardsiella ignota (Carlgren, 1959) | Edwardsiella janthina (Andres, 1881) | Edwardsiella liniata (Verrill, 1873) | Edwardsiella Ioveni (Carlgren, 1892) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | Pacific Sea | Antarctic Sea | Atlantic Sea | Pacific Sea | Atlantic Sea | Atlantic Sea | Pacific Sea and Atlantic Sea |
| Habitat | In sand | In ice shelf | In rock crevices | In sand | Unknown | In rock crevices | In rock crevices |
| Arrangement of tentacles | Octamerous | Octamerous | Hexamerous | Hexamerous | Hexamerous | Hexamerous | Hexamerous |
| Number of tentacular cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Unknown | 2-3 | 3 |
| References | The present study | Daly et al. (2013) | Gosse (1856) <br> Carlgren (1949) <br> Dnyansagar et al. (2018) | Carlgren (1959) | Andres (1881) <br> Carlgren (1949) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Verrill (1873) } \\ & \text { Daly (2002b) } \end{aligned}$ | Carlgren (1892) <br> Sanamyan et al. (2018) |


|  | Drillactis sp. nov. $\mathbf{8}$ | Drillactis pallida (Verrill, 1879) | Drillactis pallida (Parry, 1951) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characters |  |  |  |
| Body length | 14 mm | Reach to 100 mm | Reach to 100 mm |
| Number of tentacles | 16 | Often 18, maximum 24 | 48 |
| Pattern of tentacles | Transparent, a white patch on the tips | Greenish or grayish white, <br> a olive-green line in the center, <br> with opaque white spots | Translucent, <br> two longitudinal brack lines, <br> and white patches |
| References |  | Verrill (1879) <br> Verrill (1922) | Parry (1951) |

Table 21. Cnidoms of the species of Edwardsia (Edw. sp. nov. 9-Edw. sp. nov. 12).

|  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 9 <br> CMNH-ZG 05954 |  |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 10(Iz-055) |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 11 (Iz-056) |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 12(Iz-057) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | $25.9-34.6 \times 2.7-4.3$ | numerous | $25.4-40.3 \times 3.0-4.7$ | numerous | $27.5-37.3 \times 3.0-4.8$ | numerous | 17.0-32.6 $\times 2.7-4.3$ | numerous |
| spirocysts |  | $11.8-21.0 \times 2.5-3.7$ | numerous | $12.1-21.7 \times 2.5-5.1$ | numerous | 14.9-23.6 $\times 2.8-4.9$ | numerous | $14.6-24.1 \times 3.0-4.9$ | numerous |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 14.3-16.9 $\times$ 3.5-3.9 | rare | 20.5-23.6 $\times 2.5-3.0$ | a few | 20.2-25.9 $\times$ 2.3-3.6 | numerous | 12.3-18.2 $\times 2.7-4.7$ | numerous |
|  | L | 36.1-48.8 $\times$ 3.2-5.0 | numerous | 34.9-47.7 $\times$ 3.5-5.9 | numerous | 34.1-44.1 $\times 3.6-5.6$ | numerous | $28.9-49.1 \times 3.8-4.7$ | rare |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | S |  |  | 35.1-42.0 $\times 7.2-8.5$ | rare |  |  |  |  |
| Nemathybome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 16.6-19.9 $\times$ 3.9-4.1 | rare | 16.5-17.1 $\times$ 3.0-3.7 | rare | 16.9-20.5 $\times$ 3.2-3.9 | a few |  |  |
|  | L | 46.8-56.6 $\times$ 3.2-5.6 | numerous | $48.7-61.6 \times 3.0-4.7$ | numerous | 39.8-75.2 $\times 2.8-4.9$ | numerous |  |  |
| Column |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | 47.9-53.8 $\times 3.4-4.8$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | $22.4-32.2 \times 3.2-5.0$ | numerous | 18.6-32.2 $\times 2.5-4.1$ | numerous | $25.2-29.8 \times 2.6-3.9$ | rare | $12.6-17.3 \times 2.9-4.8$ | numerous |
|  | L |  |  | 39.3-52.0 $\times 4.6-7.1$ | numerous | 38.4-50.5 $\times 4.3-6.2$ | numerous | $27.4-46.3 \times 3.6-5.2$ | numerous |
| spirocysts |  |  |  | 15.6-18.2 $\times 3.0-4.2$ | rare |  |  | $13.3-23.6 \times 3.2-6.1$ | numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | $27.6-44.3 \times 4.1-5.8$ | a few |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| microbasic amastigophores |  | 30.1-31.8 $\times$ 5.3-5.9 | rare | 30.9-41.2 $\times$ 6.6-8.6 | a few | $33.1-42.3 \times 5.9-8.3$ | numerous | $35.1 \times 7.8$ | rare |

Table 23. Cnidoms of the species of $E d w a r d s i a$ ( $E d w$. sp. nov. $13-E d w$. sp. nov. 15).

|  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 13$(\mathrm{Iz}-058)$ |  |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 14$(\mathrm{Iz}-060)$ |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 15(Iz-064) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | $10.2-22.7 \times 2.7-5.0$ | numerous | 9.7-27.3 $\times 2.4-4.3$ | numerous | 11.6-35.5 $\times 2.5-4.4$ | numerous |
| spirocysts |  |  |  | $9.6-13.2 \times 2.1-3.5$ | numerous | 9.6-11.3 $\times 2.6-3.6$ | rare |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 16.2-26.4 $\times 3.8-4.4$ | a few | 12.7-18.6 $\times 1.8-3.4$ | numerous | 16.7-36.7 $\times 2.4-5.1$ | numerous |
|  | L |  |  | 25.2-33.6 $\times$ 3.2-5.5 | numerous |  |  |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores |  |  |  | $24.0-34.1 \times 5.5-9.3$ | numerous |  |  |
| Nemathybome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | $24.1-49.1 \times 3.0-4.4$ | numerous | $9.6-16.0 \times 2.8-4.3$ | numerous | 10.4-16.7 $\times 2.3-3.5$ | a few |
|  | L |  |  |  |  | 32.9-42.3 $\times 3.3-5.2$ | numerous |
| Column |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  |  |  | $11.7-33.5 \times 2.9-4.9$ | numerous | 11.2-17.8 $\times 2.7-4.1$ | numerous |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | $13.4-21.9 \times 2.4-3.7$ | numerous | $11.6-23.6 \times 2.8-3.9$ | numerous | $12.0-25.8 \times 2.2-3.7$ | a few |
| spirocysts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores |  | 22.4-32.2 $\times$ 4.1-6.3 | numerous |  |  | 25.3-29.2 $\times$ 7.6-10.1 | a few |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | 18.0-25.3 $\times 4.6-7.3$ | numerous | 24.9-32.6 $\times$ 3.8-6.2 | numerous | 26.3-40.6 $\times$ 3.6-6.1 | numerous |
| microbasic amastigophores |  |  |  | $27.0-36.3 \times 6.8-10.5$ | numerous |  |  |

Table 22. Comparison of nine new species of genus Edwardsia and the other two species which had accommodated in genus Edwardsianthus England, 1987.

|  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 9 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 10 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 11 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 12 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body length (Preserved) | 60 mm (a little damaged) | 70 mm | 150 mm | 200 mm | $50-65 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Number of tentacles | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| (Arrangement) | (5+15) | (5+15) | (5+15) | (5+15) | (5+15) |
| Shape of tentacles | Blunt (inner), slender (outer) | Slender | Slender | Slender, capitated on the tip | Slender |
| Color and pattern of tentacles | Vivid scarlet, some white patches | Brilliant green, pale purple on the tip | Meteric greenish blue | Pale purple with dark purple patches | Whitish opaque (one dorsal tentacle) Brownish translucent (the other) |
| Retractor muscles | Strongly developed, diffused | Comparatively weak, diffused | Strongly developed, diffused | Strongly developed, diffused | Strongly developed, diffused |
| Number of muscular processes | 60-90 | 50-60 | 120-150 | 100-150 | 50-70 |
| Parietal muscles | Weak | Weak | Distinct, club-like form | Distinct, simple form | Distinct, simple form |
| Nemathybomes | Present, scattered | Present, scattered | Present, scattered | Absent | Present, scattered |
| Cnidom of nemathybomes | 2 types of nematocysts | 2 types of nematocysts | 2 types of nematocysts | - | 1 type of nematocysts |
| References | The present study | The present study | The present study | The present study | The present study |
| Edwardsia sp. nov. 14 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 15 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 24 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 25 | Edwardsia pudica Klunzinger, 1877 | Edwardsia gilbertensis Carlgren, 1931 |
| $20-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 20-25 mm | 70 mm | 100 mm | 80-130 mm | 20-40 mm |
| 10 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 14-20 |
| (3+7) | (3+7) | (5+15) | (5+15) | (8+12) | (4-5+10-15) |
| Slender | Quite blunt, like rugby ball | Uncertain | Slender | Slender | Slender |
| Greenish brown, with white lines | Brown, with dense white patches | Uncertain | Uncertain | Purple or magenta pink, with brown patches | Gray with white patches |
| Weak, restricted $60-90$ | Distinctly developed, restricted $20-30$ | Strongly developed, diffused 70-90 | Strongly developed, diffused 60-80 | Strongly developed, diffused around 100 | Strongly developed, diffused 15-36 |
| Weak | Distinct, simple form | Distinct, simple form | Distinct, simple form | Distinct, simple form | Distinct, simple form |
| Present (arrangement uncertain) | Present (arrangement uncertain) | Present, moniliform | Present, scattered, obtrude | Present, scattered | Present, (more or less) in rows |
| 1 type of nematocysts | 2 types of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 2 types of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts |
| - | The present study | The present study | The present study | Klunzinger (1877) <br> England (1987) <br> The present study | Carlgren (1931) <br> England (1987) <br> Uchida and Soyama (2001) <br> The present study |

Table 24. Cnidoms of the species of Edwardsia (Edw. alternobomen and Edw. tuberculata).

|  | Edwardsia aff. tuberculata NSMT-Co 1654 |  |  | Edwardsia alternobomen |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | NSMT-Co 1656 (paratype) |  | NSMT-Co 1657 (holotype) |  |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 20.1-31.2 $\times$ 2.8-4.9 | numerous | $12.8-20.8 \times 2.6-3.7$ | a few | 13.1-19.1 $\times$ 1.9-2.9 | numerous |
|  | L |  |  | $26.0-38.0 \times 3.1-4.8$ | numerous | 24.6-35.3 $\times$ 3.8-4.6 | rare |
| spirocysts |  | 9.1-20.8 $\times$ 1.9-3.7 | numerous | $9.4-17.9 \times 2.3-4.8$ | numerous | $9.5-14.3 \times 2.0-3.3$ | numerous |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 15.7-20.8 $\times$ 1.8-2.9 | numerous | 13.2-38.3 × 2.6-4.5 | numerous | $12.0-26.3 \times 2.0-3.9$ | numerous |
|  | L | $28.5-36.8 \times 2.7-5.0$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |
| spirocysts |  | 15.5-20.2 $\times 2.4-3.6$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |
| holotrichs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | S | 20.7-28.8 $\times 4.2-7.4$ | numerous | 22.6-33.3 $\times$ 4.6-6.8 | numerous | 19.0-25.0 × 5.0-8.1 | numerous |
| Nemathybome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 77.1-99.1 $\times$ 2.9-4.8 | a few | 19.1-30.7 $\times$ 3.7-5.5 | rare | 17.4-67.4 $\times$ 2.4-3.8 | a few |
|  | L | 116.1-187.1 $\times$ 4.8-9.0 | numerous | 70.3-202.6 $\times$ 5.1-8.4 | numerous | 82.4-195.2 $\times$ 5.5-8.6 | numerous |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | $31.3-43.0 \times 3.5-5.4$ | numerous | 12.0-26.3 $\times$ 2.4-4.6 | numerous | 15.6-25.9 $\times 2.8-4.5$ | numerous |
| spirocysts |  |  |  | $12.1-15.5 \times 3.2-4.5$ | a few |  |  |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores |  |  |  |  |  | 17.5-24.6 $\times 4.1-6.9$ | numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores | S | 9.1-12.2 $\times$ 2.6-3.6 | numerous |  |  |  |  |
|  | L | $21.6-32.5 \times 3.6-5.3$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |


|  | Edwardsia alternobomen Izumi and Fujita, 2019 | Edwardsia andresi <br> Danielessen, 1890 | Edwardsia duodecemtentaculala Carlgren, 1931 | Edwardsia fusca Danielessen, 1890 | Edwardsia jonesii <br> Seshaiya and Cuttress, 1969 | Edwardsia juliae <br> Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body length | 25-30 mm | 90 mm | 20-50 mm | 55 mm | 80 mm | 5-10 mm |
| Arrangement of nemathybomes | in 8 rows <br> (4 rows of large +4 rows of small) | Scattered | Scattered | Scattered | in 16 rows (Equal size) | in 8 rows (Equal size) |
| Cnidom of nemathybomes | 2 types of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts |
| The maximum length of basitrichs in nemathybomes | > $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | < $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | < $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | < $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | < $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | < $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| References | The present study | Danielessen (1890) <br> Carlgren (1921) <br> England (1987) | Carlgren (1931) | Danielessen (1890) <br> Carlgren (1921) <br> England (1987) | Seshaiya and Cuttress (1969) | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |

Table 26. Cnidoms of species of $E d w a r d s i a(E d w$. kopepe comb. nov. and $E d w$. sp. nov. 16-Edw. sp. nov. 18).

Table 27. Comparison of two new species of genus Edwardsia and species of Scolanthus Gosse, 1853 possibly assignable to Edwardsia.

|  | Edwardsia ena <br> (Izumi and Fujita, 2018) comb. nov. | Edwardsia isei (Izumi and Fujita, 2019) comb. nov. | Edwardsia kopepe (Izumi and Fujita, 2019) comb. nov. | Edwardsia armata Carlgren, 1931 | *Scolanthus ignotus (Carlgren, 1920) | *Scolanthus ingolfi (Carlgren, 1921) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body length | 80 mm | 30 mm | $15-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $35-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 20-30 mm | $11-21 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Body width | $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $10-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1-2 mm | $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $3-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Number of tentacles | 20 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 16 |
| Tentacular arrangement | 10+10 | 8+12 | 8+8 | 8+8 | 10+10 | Unknown |
| Pattern of oral disc | Brown, hemitransparent | White with brown radial pattens | White with a brownish red stripe | Uncertain | Unknown | Unknown |
| The number of muscular processes of retractor muscles | 30-60 | 20-30 | 2-5 | ca. 10 | around 100 | 30 (well-branched) |
| Development of muscle | Restricted, distinct | Diffused, distinct | Restricted, weak | Restricted, distinct | Diffused, distinct | Distinct, diffused |
| Size lange of basitrichs in tentacles (Length $\times$ Width; $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 21.2-38.3 $\times 2.4-3.6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.1-13.0 \times 1.8-2.8 \\ & 19.1-26.6 \times 3.0-4.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.3-17.3 \times 2.8-4.1 \\ & 31.9-55.2 \times 2.7-4.9 \end{aligned}$ | 13.1-54.4 $\times 2.7-5.3$ | 18-29 $\times 2-2.5$ | $31-36 \times 3$ |
| Size lange of basitrichs in actinopharynx (Length $\times$ Width; $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 22.6-26.9 \times 2.4-2.9 \\ & 37.5-51.2 \times 3.0-4.7 \end{aligned}$ | 21.9-39.8 $\times$ 3.1-4.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.9-28.3 \times 2.3-3.8 \\ & 33.8-46.7 \times 3.1-4.6 \end{aligned}$ | 19.4-39.6 $\times 2.7-4.8$ | 34-42 $\times$ 3.5-4.5 | 41-46 $\times 3-4$ |
| Cnidom of nemathybomes | Two types of basitrichs | Two types of basitrichs | Two types of basitrichs | One type of basitrichs | One type of basitrichs | One type of basitrichs |
| Size lange of basitrichs in nemathybomes (Length $\times$ Width $; \mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7-15.2 \times 2.2-3.2 \\ & 63.9-105.6 \times 3.4-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.7-12.4 \times 2.0-3.2 \\ & 62.8-85.0 \times 3.8-6.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18.9-25.0 \times 2.7-4.3 \\ 29.8-58.0 \times 2.8-5.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 36.2-63.0 $\times$ 3.4-5.8 | $34-48 \times 3-3,5$ | 50-60 $\times$ 4-5 |
| References | The present study | The present study | The present study | Carlgren (1931) <br> The present study | Carlgren (1920) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carlgren (1921) } \\ & \text { Carlgren (1950) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Scolanthus callimorphus Gosse, 1853 | *Scolanthus crypticus Brandão, Gusmão, and Gomes, 2019 | *Scolanthus curacaoensis (Pax, 1924) | *Scolanthus intermedius (McMurrich, 1893) | *Scolanthus nidarosiensis (Carlgren, 1942) | *Scolanthus scamiti Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008 | *Scolanthus triangulus Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008 |
| $120-140 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $42-45 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 17 mm | 14 mm | 15 mm | 11 mm |
| 12 mm | $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 3 mm | 4.5 mm | 1.5(distal)-2.4(proximal) mm | 1.5 (distal)-2.5(proximal) mm | 4 mm |
| 16 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 16 |  |
| 5+11 | Unknown, but in two rows | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| Pale buff or cream, with a well-defined pattern of rich, often purplish brown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Translucent | Translucent | Unknown |
| Unknown | Few (4-5) | Around 50 | Unknown | 15-20 | Around 30 | Few (4-5) |
| Distinct | Circumscribed, weak | Diffused, distinct | Unknown | Diffused, distinct | Diffused, distinct | Small but distinct, restricted |
| Unknown | $26.9-56.5 \times 2.5-4.2$ | Unknown | Unknown | $34-45 \times 2.8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.0-24.7(26.7) \\ & \times(1.7) 2.1-3.4 \\ & 18.4-42.4 \times 2.0-3.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6-18.3 \times 1.9-2.7 \\ & \text { (19.7) 21.7-29.9 } \times 2.0-3.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21-36 \times 2.5-3.5 \\ & 45-70 \times 4.0-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | 24.6-29.1 $\times 2.1-2.9$ | Unknown | Unknown | $\begin{aligned} & 26-31 \times 2.5-2.8 \\ & 41-49.5 \times 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (15.3) 17.0-20.9 } \times 1.7-2.6 \\ & 34.1-42.2 \times 2.7-4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.5-40.5 \times 2.2-3.2 \\ & 27.5-32.1 \times 3.9-4.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| One type of basitrichs | One type of basitrichs | One type of basitrichs | Unknown | Two types of basitrichs | Two types of basitrichs | Two types of basitrichs |
| $60-90 \times 3.0-5.0$ | $24.3-56.3 \times 3.9-5.5$ | (38) $43-53 \times 2.5$ | Unknown | 62-67 $\times 2.5-3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0-22.1 \times 1.7-25 \\ & 9.6-44.8 \times 2.9-4.3(4.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.6-33.5 \times 2.0-2.8 \\ & 50.1-63.8 \times 3.2-4.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Gosse (1853) <br> Manuel (1981a) <br> Manuel (1981b) | Brandão et al. (2019) | Pax (1924) <br> Carlgren (1931) | McMurrich (1893) | Carlgren (1942) | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) | Daly and Ljubenkov (2008) |

Table 28. Comparison of two new species of the genus Edwardsia and species of Paraedwardsia Carlgren in Nordgaard, 1905 possibly assignable to Edwardsia.

|  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 16 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 17 | *Paraedwardsia abyssorum Carlgren, 1951 | *Paraedwardsia arenaria Carlgren in Nordgaard, 1905 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution | Pelagic to Mesopelagic zone (151-370 m) | Pelagic Zone (6 m) | Abyssal zone (deeper than 5000 m ) | Mesopelagic zone (231-658 m) |
| Number of tentacles | 16 | 16 | 12 | 16 |
| Number of tentacular cycle | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Surface of the column | Attaching sand grains | Attaching sand grains | Attaching sand grains | Attaching sand grains |
| Tenaculi on the column | Present, weak | Present, weak | Present | Present, strong (like papillae) |
| Traces of nemathybomes | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Shape of retractor muscles | Peculiar shape (trilobate) | Simple, restricted | Simple, restricted | Simple, restricted |
| References | The present study | The present study | Carlgren (1951) | Nordgaard (1905) <br> Carlgren (1921) <br> Carlgren (1949) |


| *Paraedwardsia hadalis Sanamyan and Sanamyan, 2018 | *Paraedwardsia heia Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008 | *Paraedwardsia lemchei Carlgren, 1956 | *Paraedwardsia sarsii (Dueben and Koren, 1847) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abyssal zone (deeper than 5000 m) | Bathyal zone (2500-3000 m) | Abyssal zone (deeper than 5000 m) | Pelagic Zone (22-183 m) |
| 12 | 16 | 14-16 | 20-30 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| No attaching materials | Attaching sand grains | Attaching sand grains | Attaching sand grains |
| Absent | Present | Present | Present |
| Absent | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Simple, restricted | Simple, restricted | Simple, diffused | Simple, restricted |
| Sanamyan and Sanamyan (2018) | Daly and Ljuvenkov (2008) | Carlgren (1956) | Dueben and Koren (1847) <br> Carlgren (1921) <br> Carlgren (1949) |

Table 29. Cnidoms of the species of $E d w a r d s i a$ ( $E d w$. sipunculoides, $E d w$. ena comb. nov., Edw. isei comb. nov., and $E d w$. sp. nov. 18).

|  | Edwardsia sipunculoides NSMT-Co 1700 |  |  | Edwardsia ena comb. nov. NSMT-Co 1610 |  | Edwardsia isei comb. nov. NSMT-Co 1611 |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 19 (Iz-074) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | $31.6-40.1 \times 2.4-3.7$ | numerous | $21.2-38.3 \times 2.4-3.6$ | numerous | $10.1-13.0 \times 1.8-2.8$ | numerous | $21.2-29.7 \times 2.9-4.1$ | numerous |
|  | L |  |  |  |  | $19.1-26.6 \times 3.0-4.5$ | numerous |  |  |
| spirocysts |  | $14.5-25.9 \times 2.3-4.0$ | numerous | $11.2-20.9 \times 2.1-3.3$ | numerous | $11.0-16.0 \times 2.4-3.8$ | numerous | 13.4-26.4 $\times 3.0-5.5$ | numerous |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | $22.9-26.7 \times 2.6-3.3$ | rare | 22.6-26.9 $\times 2.4-2.9$ | rare | $21.9-39.8 \times 3.1-4.7$ | numerous | 19.5-39.3 $\times 2.6-4.9$ | numerous |
|  | L | $31.4-44.1 \times 2.9-4.3$ | numerous | 37.5-51.2 $\times$ 3.0-4.7 | numerous |  |  |  |  |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores |  | $21.2-31.4 \times 5.6-8.2$ | numerous | $21.7-30.6 \times 4.9-6.10$ | numerous | $25.1-38.1 \times 4.2-6.4$ | numerous | $27.4-35.6 \times 5.2-7.0$ | numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | $26.7-28.1 \times 8.1-9.3$ | rare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nemathybome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 19.5-23.4 $\times 3.1-4.0$ | rare | 9.7-15.2 $\times 2.2-3.2$ | numerous | 9.7-12.4 $\times 2.0-3.2$ | a few | $58.9-87.6 \times 3.9-5.9$ | numerous |
|  | L | $46.2-87.0 \times 3.4-5.8$ | numerous | 63.9-105.6 $\times 3.4-5.5$ | numerous | $62.8-85.0 \times 3.8-6.2$ | numerous |  |  |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | $32.7-40.4 \times 2.2-3.4$ | numerous | $33.4-45.5 \times 3.7-5.7$ | numerous | 18.2-26.6 $\times 2.3-3.6$ | numerous | $22.1-33.0 \times 2.1-3.6$ | numerous |
| spirocysts | L |  |  |  |  | $38.7-49.8 \times 4.6-6.7$ | numerous | $14.1-19.9 \times 3.0-4.3$ | a few |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores |  | $22.9-27.1 \times 5.2-6.9$ | numerous | 23.5-29.6 $\times$ 5.7-7.8 | a few | $31.9-34.0 \times 5.2-6.1$ | a few | $23.9-30.3 \times 5.1-6.9$ | numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | $22.3-44.1 \times 4.0-8.8$ | numerous | $34.3-40.0 \times 5.1-6.7$ | a few |  |  | $24.1-32.9 \times 4.4-5.4$ | numerous |


|  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 19 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 20 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 22 | Edwardsia sipunculoides (Stimpson, 1853) | Edwardsiella kamerunensis Carlgren, 1927 | Edwardsiella finmarchica Carlgren, 1921 | Edwardsia timida de Quatrefages, 1842 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Body length | 35 mm | 30 mm | 25-35 mm | 80-120 mm | 17 mm (damaged specimen) | 32 mm | $70-200 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Number of tentacular cycles | , | 2 | 2 | 2 | Unknown | 3 |  |
| (Arrangement) | (20) | $(10+10)$ | (8+12) | (8+12) | Unknown | Unknown | $(4+8+8)$ |
| Retractor muscle | Restricted, distinct | Diffused, distinct | Circumscribed, distinct | Diffused, distinct | Restricted, distinct | Diffused, distinct | Diffused, weak |
| Number of muscular processes | 13-18 | 10-20 | 20-25 | 23-40 | About 30 | 30-50 | 20-33 |
| Cnidom of nemathybomes | 1 type of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 2 types of nematocysts | 2 types of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts | 1 type of nematocysts |
| References | The present study | The present study | The present study | Stimpson (1853) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carlgren (1927) } \\ & \text { England (1987) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Carlgren (1921) } \\ & \text { England (1987) } \end{aligned}$ | de Quatrefages (1842) |
|  |  |  |  | Carlgren (1931) |  |  | Manuel (1977) |
|  |  |  |  | England (1987) |  |  | Manuel (1981a) |
|  |  |  |  | The present study |  |  | England (1987) |

Table 31. Cnidoms of the species of Edwardsia (Edw. sp. nov. 20-Edw. sp. nov. 23).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Edwardsia sp. nov. } 20 \\ & \text { (Iz-075) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 21 CMNH-ZG 4762 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Edwardsia sp. nov. } 22 \\ & (\mathrm{Iz}-076) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Edwardsia sp. nov. } 23 \\ & \text { (Iz-078) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 16.9-24.0 $\times 2.3-3.5$ | numerous | 20.5-28.3 $\times 2.8-4.3$ | numerous | 9.7-14.1 $\times$ 1.8-3.1 | numerous | 28.3-37.5 $\times$ 3.2-5.0 | numerous |
|  | L |  |  |  |  | 16.0-25.4 $\times 2.6-4.3$ | numerous |  |  |
| spirocysts |  | 8.0-16.2 $\times$ 2.2-3.5 | numerous | 9.1-17.3 $\times$ 2.2-3.6 | numerous | 10.2-16.6 $\times 2.3-3.8$ | numerous | 13.5-35.6 × 2.3-5.8 | numerous |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 14.3-32.2 $\times 1.8-5.3$ | numerous | 27.1-42.4 $\times 2.8-5.3$ | numerous | 13.8-40.1 $\times 2.1-5.0$ | numerous | 18.5-26.1 $\times$ 2.4-3.6 | numerous |
|  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.0-50.9 $\times$ 4.3-6.2 | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores |  |  |  |  |  | 19.7-26.3 $\times 4.3-7.0$ | numerous | $25.6-34.8 \times 5.4-7.7$ | numerous |
| microbasic amastigophores |  |  |  | 23.1-28.6 $\times 2.5-3.7$ | numerous |  |  | $28.2-28.8 \times 7.3-7.6$ | rare |
| Nemathybome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 58.8-72.3 $\times 4.2-6.0$ | numerous | 56.7-78.1 $\times$ 3.8-4.9 | numerous | 8.8-11.5 $\times$ 2.1-3.4 | numerous | $81.5-106.3 \times 4.7-6.5$ | numerous |
|  | L |  |  |  |  | $51.6-74.6 \times 4.0-5.9$ | numerous |  |  |
| Column |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  |  |  |  |  | 9.5-12.3 $\times 2.1-2.9$ | a few |  |  |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 20.7-29.3 $\times$ 2.4-3.4 | numerous | $27.3-38.1 \times 4.0-6.1$ | numerous | 23.1-32.1 $\times$ 2.3-3.8 | numerous | 15.8-27.2 $\times$ 2.1-3.1 | numerous |
|  | L |  |  |  |  | $28.1-35.0 \times 4.5-6.5$ | numerous | $23.3-38.3 \times 3.7-5.0$ | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores |  | 22.5-27.1 $\times$ 5.4-7.6 | numerous |  |  | $22.6-29.1 \times 5.5-7.5$ | numerous | $25.6-31.1 \times 6.0-8.3$ | numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | $28.2-32.1 \times 4.9-6.5$ | numerous |  |  |  |  | 38.2-41.2 $\times$ 4.9-6.5 | a few |
| microbasic amastigophores |  |  |  | $21.8-29.7 \times 5.3-7.5$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |

Table 32. Comparison of Edwardsia sp. nov. 21 and the other species of the genus with nemathybomes in eight rows and containing only one type

|  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 21 | Edwardsia jonesii <br> Seshaiya and Cutress, 1969 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 18 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |
| Body length | $30-50 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 25 mm | 35 mm |  |
| Number of tentacles | 16 | 12 | 16 | 20 |
| (Tentacular arrangement) | $(8+8)$ | $(6+6)$ | (20) |  |
| References | The present study | Seshaiya and Cutress (1969) | The present study | The present study |

Table 33. Comparison of two new species of Edwardsia and species of Isoscolanthus Brandão, Gusmão, and Gomes, 2019 possibly assignable to Edwardsia.

|  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 23 | Edwardsia sp. nov. 26 | Isoscolanthus iemanjae Brandão, Gusmão, and Gomes, 2019 | Isoscolanthus janainae Brandão, Gusmão, and Gomes, 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |
| Number of tentacles | 16 | 16 | 12 | 12 |
| Physa | Present but indistinct | Distinct, apparently swelled | Present but indistinct | Present but indistinct |
| Retractor muscles | Restricted, distinct | Circumscribed, distinct | Circumscribed, weak | Circumscribed, distinct |
| Number of muscular processes | 8-14 | 10-15 | 15 in avarage | 14-20 |
| Size lange of basitrichs in nemathybomes (Length $\times$ Width; $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 81.5-106.3 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length 4.7-6.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width | 37.6-60.2 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length 3.2-6.9 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width | 32.6-71.5 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length 2.8-4.0 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width | 40.0-47.0 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in length 3.2-4.0 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in width |
| References | The present study | The present study | Brandão et al. (2019) | Brandão et al. (2020) |

Table 34. Cnidoms of the species of Edwardsia (Edw. armata and Edw. sp. nov. 24-Edw. sp. nov. 26

|  | Edwardsia armata NSMT-Co 1609 |  |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 24 CMNH-ZG [no registration] |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 25 CMNH-ZG [no registration] |  | Edwardsia sp. nov. 26 (lz-081) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle basitrichs | S L | 13.1-54.4 $\times 2.7-5.3$ | numerous | 10.2-22.7 $\times 2.7-5.0$ | numerous | 19.6-25.9 $\times 3.4-5.2$ | numerous | $\begin{aligned} & 22.7-39.8 \times 3.2-4.2 \\ & 37.1-39.8 \times 3.9-4.9 \end{aligned}$ | a few rare |
| spirocysts |  | $11.8-20.7 \times 1.7-3.7$ | numerous |  |  | 13.3-22.2 $\times$ 3.2-5.3 | numerous |  |  |
| Actinopharynx basitrichs microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19.4-39.6 \times 2.7-4.8 \\ & 22.2-34.5 \times 3.5-5.2 \end{aligned}$ | numerous numerous | 16.2-26.4 $\times$ 3.8-4.4 | a few | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0-27.9 \times 2.5-4.0 \\ & 34.9-43.5 \times 3.4-5.5 \end{aligned}$ | numerous numerous | 16.9-24.5 $\times 2.8-4.2$ | numerous |
| Nemathybome basitrichs | S L | 36.2-63.0 $\times 3.4-5.8$ | numerous | 24.1-49.1 $\times$ 3.0-4.4 | numerous | $\begin{aligned} & 13.1-16.7 \times 2.6-3.9 \\ & 40.8-55.7 \times 3.0-4.7 \end{aligned}$ | numerous numerous | 37.6-60.2 $\times$ 3.2-6.9 | numerous |
| Column basitrichs |  | 10.5-50.0 $\times 2.8-5.0$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Filament basitrichs | S L | $\begin{gathered} 31.3-43.0 \times 3.5-5.4 \\ 95.6-114.5 \times 2.7-4.2 \end{gathered}$ | numerous rare | $13.4-21.9 \times 2.4-3.7$ | numerous | 22.1-35.1 $\times 2.9-4.2$ | numerous | 33.5-79.1 $\times$ 4.2-4.9 | a few |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores microbasic $b$-mastigophores microbasic amastigophores |  | 33.8-45.7 $\times$ 5.2-7.9 | numerous | $\begin{aligned} & 18.0-25.3 \times 4.6-7.3 \\ & 22.4-32.2 \times 4.1-6.3 \end{aligned}$ | numerous numerous | $\begin{aligned} & 32.9-45.0 \times 4.2-5.8 \\ & 27.7-35.0 \times 5.1-7.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | numerous numerous | $44.0 \times 6.9$ | rare |

## Suborder ENTHEMONAE Rodríguez and Daly, 2014

(New Japanese name: jinjo-isoginchaku-amoku Izumi et al, 2019a)
Diagnosis. See Rodríguez et al. (2014)
Remarks. Suborder Enthemonae is the largest group of anemones: this suborder includes all sea anemones except family Actinernidae, Halcuriidae and Edwardsiidae. Thus, 47 families ( $94 \%$ ) and approximately 1000 species ( $91 \%$; calculated on the bases of Fautin, 2016) are belonging. This fact results in the ambiguous diagnosis of this group, and there are almost no exact common characters.

Based on the results of the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 6), I eliminated Halcampella and added Metedwardsia from/into Enthemonae, but the diagnosis of this suborder should not be revised now because there is still needed a comprehensive taxon sampling for phylogenetic analyses to discuss the detail.

## Superfamily ACTINOSTOLOIDEA Carlgren, 1932

(Japanese name: setomono-isogichaku-jouka; Izumi et al., 2019a)
Diagnosis. Enthemonae with or without basilar muscles, marginal sphincter absent, endocoelic or mesogleal and no acontia or acontioids. Aboral end flattened and adherent, and basilar muscle absent or present. Column usually smooth. Tentacles and mesenteries usually numerous. Mesenteries not differentiated into macro- and microcnemes. Mesenteries of same pair often unequally developed. Retractors usually diffuse weakly or strongly, or circumscribed. Cnidom: gracile spirocysts, basitrichs, holotrichs, microbasic $b$ and $p$-mastigophores.

Remarks. After establishing Actinostoloidea Carlgren, 1932, the anemones in this group had been accommodated in previous tribe Mesomyaria (Carlgren, 1949). However, in recent Rodríguez et al. (2014) reestablished this taxon as one of the member of Enthemonae (Table 1). According to the definition of the article, the marginal sphincter muscles of the species of Actinostoloidea were all mesogleal (this was the characters of Mesomyaria) and the mesenterial pairs of this taxon were unequally developed in this suborder.

However, my research revealed that there was a clade of sea anemones which have strange features for Actinostoloidea in the superfamily
clade: species of Capneidae and Discoactinidae fam. nov. were formed an inner clade of Actinostoloidea by high support rates (Fig. 8), but these species were not corresponding those main features of this superfamily. Despite Capnea geogiana, a species of Capneidae, was already included in the analysis of Rodríguez et al. (2014), and this species was in the clade of Actinostoloidea, they completely ignored this result and put Capnea into superfamily Actinioidea. However, the results of phylogenetic trees both of Rodríguez et al. (2014) and mine showed Capnea was certainly a family of Actinostoloidea and should not be neglected. Thus, I revised the diagnosis to accommodate Capneidae and Discoactinidae fam. nov. See Table 35 for comparison of the all families included in the suborder Actinostoloidea.

Family DISCOACTINIIDAE fam. nov.
(New Japanese name: enban-isoginchaku-ka)
Diagnosis. Actinostoloidea with very wide pedal disc. Column without vesicles, smooth, divisible into scapus and capitulum, Sphincter muscle absent. Tentacles slender, simple, comparatively few, arranged in cycles; tentacles not developed in some endocoel. Longitudinal muscles of tentacles mesogleal. One siphonoglyph. Mesenteries more numerous proximally than distally. 10 mesenteries perfect, fertile and with strong pinnate, circumscribed retractors. Parietal muscles well developed but parietal basilar and basilar muscle absent. The weaker mesenteries, in the proximal part of the body, without, filaments. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p^{-}$ mastigophores.

Etymology. Derived from the name of the type genus.
Type genus. Discoactis gen. nov. (monotypic).
Remarks. Discoactis sp. nov. 27, collected several localities in Japan, was the sister group of Capnea of family Capneidae on the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 8). However, there are several differences between Discoactis and Capnea as below: Capnea has numerous vesicle-like (Carlgren, 1949) tentacles in contrast to a few slender tentacles of Discoactis; only Discoactis has endocoels without tentacles in contrast to Capnea, which develops two or more tentacles in every exocoel/endocoel (Carlgren, 1940, 1949); Capnea has conspicuous pinnate endodermal sphincter (Carlgren, 1940), one of the characteristic features of this genus, but no sphincter-like structure in Discoactis; Discoactis has only 10 macrocnemes (two dorso-lateral
macrocnemes in the first cycle mesenteries are absent) while Capnea has at least 12 macrocnemes in first cycle perfectly. That was why I judged that it was inappropriate to unify Discoactis into Capneidae, thus I established a new family, Discoactinidae. See Table 35 for comparison of the family Discoactiniidae and the other families of the suborder Actinostoloidea.

Genus Discoactis gen. nov.
(New Japanese name: enban-isoginchaku-zoku)
Diagnosis. Discoactinidae with very wide pedal disc. Column comparatively smooth, divisible into scapus and capitulum, the former provided with a more or less distinct periderm. Sphincter absent. Tentacles 14-18, long and slender, simple, a few in number, arranged in peculiar rule: six tentacles communicating with each exocoel in first cycle; 8-12 tentacles in each exocoels: tentacles absent in endocoels of second cycle. Longitudinal muscles of tentacles and radial muscles of oral disc chiefly mesogleal. One siphonoglyph. Mesenteries far more numerous proximally than distally. 3 types of mesenteries: 10 perfect macrocnemes; 10-14 imperfect macrocnemes with strong parietals in first second cycles; numerous tiny, weaker imperfect microcnemes younger than third cycle, without filaments, only on proximal side. Parietal muscles well developed in first/second cycle. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores.

Etymology. The type species of this genus, Discoactis sp. nov. 27, has very conspicuous basal disc and becomes like disc-like shape after preservation. "Disco" is derived from this shape. "-actis" is commonly used in actiniarian genus names, meaning radiation of sunshine in Greek.

Type species. Discoactis sp. nov. 27 (monotypic).
Remarks. The most distinguishable character of this genus is existence of endocoels without tentacles on distal end. While almost all anemones have at least one tentacle in each exocoel/endocoel in distal end (Carlgren, 1949), this group has endocoels which bear no tentacle in second mesenterial cycle. In addition to the tentacular arrangement, it is also peculiar that two dorso-lateral mesenteries in first mesenterial cycle dose not develop to perfect mesenteries. There has been known few anemones with 10 tentacles (e.g. Pseudoedwardsia sp. nov. 5; Fig. 31), so this is also the diagnostic character of this genus.

## Discoactis sp. nov. 27

(Japanese name: umi-no-fujisan)
Figs. 66, 67; Table 36
Material examined. CMNH (no registration 1): embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, prepared nematocysts; collected on 17 May, 2003, at Senoumi Bank off Shizuoka Prefecture, $34.587^{\circ}$ N, $138.472^{\circ}$ E, at 684 $m$ in depth collected during research cruise of R/V Natsushima, by Kensuke Yanagi; CMNH (no registration 2): dissected specimen and histological sections, same locality, date and collector as CMNH (no registration 1); (Iz084): dissected specimen, embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, prepared nematocysts, 17 April, 2018, collected from west off, Misaki, Kanagawa Prefecture, $35^{\circ} 08.328^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 139^{\circ} 32.568^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at $108-198 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth, by Hisanori Kotsuka and Mamoru Sekifuji; (Iz-085): whole specimen, same locality, date and collector as ( $\mathrm{Iz}-084$ ); (Iz-086): dissected specimen, embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, prepared nematocysts, 15 February, 2017, collected from west off, Misaki, Kanagawa Prefecture, $35^{\circ} 08.388^{\prime}$ N, $139^{\circ} 33.731^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at $95-98 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth, by Takato Izumi; (Iz-087): dissected specimen, embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, prepared nematocysts, 24 July, 2017, collected from southwest off Otsuchi, Iwate Prefecture, $39^{\circ} 20.929^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 142^{\circ} 02.408^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at $117-124 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth, by R/V Yayoi ( $\mathrm{St}-1-4$ ) and Kensuke Yanagi. (Iz-088), (Iz-089): whole two specimens, 25 May, 2014, collected from southwest off Iki Island, Nagasaki Prefecture, $33^{\circ} 03.582^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 129^{\circ} 31.175^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at $79-83 \mathrm{~m}$ in depth, during research cruise of R/V Toyoshio (St-9), collected by Takato Izumi.

Description. External anatomy. On living specimens (Iz-084), column white or pale yellow, semitransparent in proximal side, and distal end dark red in color. Wholly white on preserved ones. Tentacles striped with pale red and dark red (Fig. 66C). Column divisible scapus and scapulus, and drastically broaden towards the proximal end (Fig. 66A-D): the width of scapus is ca. $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ in distal end but reaching to ca. $15-25 \mathrm{~mm}$ in proximal end (= basal disc) on preserved specimens. The height of preserved specimen ca. $10-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. Scapus and scapulus smooth, sometimes with transversal wrinkle in preserved (Fig. 66A). Pedal disc adherent, semitransparent, almost circular but flexible in outline (Fig. 66C). Mouth with indistinct lip. Tentacles $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length, long and slender, almost same length from center to margin (Fig. 66A, C). Tentacles $14-18$ in number, in peculiar rule of
arrangement: six tentacles in each exocoel in first cycle; 8-12 tentacles in each exocoels: tentacles absent in endocoels of second cycle mesenteries.

Internal anatomy. Three types of mesenteries: 10 perfect macrocnemes, fertile and with strong retractors and parietals in first cycle; 10-14 imperfect macrocnemes (Fig. 67C) with strong parietals in first second cycles; numerous, around 100 in number, imperfect microcnemes, weaker and tiny, between third to fifth cycle, without filaments (Fig. 67G). Microcnemes sometimes not equally developed within the same pair of perfect mesenteries (Fig. 67G). Mesenteries at the base more numerous than at the margin: microcnemes only on proximal side (Figs. 66E and 67G). Only 10 perfect macrocnemes fertile in proximal (Fig. 67G). Retractors distinct, strong, circumscribed, with 10-16 well-branched muscular processes (Fig. 67C, G). Parietal muscles distinct in macrocnemes on distal side, with 5-15 muscular processes in each side (Fig. 67C), but indistinct in proximal side. Tentacular longitudinal muscle mesogleal, distinct (Fig. 67E), and circular muscle endodermal but indistinct (Fig. 67D). Columnar circular muscle welldeveloped (Fig. 67B). Sphincter muscle and basilar muscle absent (Fig. 67A, B, F). Mesoglea the thickest in column, ca. $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in thickness, and also thick in actinopharynx, but very thin in mesenteries and tentacles. Only 10 perfect mesenteries fertile with gonads, but matured gonads absent in my specimens (Fig. 67F).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 36 for size and distribution.

Remarks. As I already mentioned in remarks of the genus, Discoactis sp. nov. 27 was so peculiar anemones that no existed families and genera in Actinostoloidea (even in Actiniaria) can accommodate this species. However, in contrast to the singularity of the morphology, $D$. sp. nov. 27 was relatively ordinary species and widely collected in Japan. Over 10 individuals of this species were collected from Sagami-Bay (Misaki), Suruga Bay (SenoumiBank), Otsuchi-Bay and Sea of Japan.

## Superfamily ACTINIOIDEA Rafinesque, 1815

(Japanese name: umeboshi-isoginchaku-jouka Izumi et al., 2019a)
Family HALOCLAVIDAE Verrill, 1899
(Japanese name: kombo-isoginchaku-zoku)

Haloclavidae Verrill, 1899: 41; Carlgren, 1949: 29.
Type genus. Haloclava Verrill, 1899

Genus Antennapeachia Izumi and Yanagi, 2016
(Japanese name: antena-isoginchaku-zoku)
Antennapeachia Izumi and Yanagi, 2016: Izumi et al., 2016: 449
Diagnosis. Elongate haloclavid with well-developed, rounded or tapered aboral end. Column indistinctly divisible into regions, without tenaculi, but with minute adherent areas on surface. Sphincter absent. Tentacles 14-16 (two distal tentacles [or antenna tentacles] near mouth and 12 or 14 marginal tentacles), without acrospheres. Single, very deep siphonoglyph, with oral end drawn out in lobate conchula, never forming distinct tube separated from cavity of actinopharynx by ectodermal fusion. Pairs of macrocnemes six or eight; two independent microcnemes between ventral directives and ventrolateral mesenteries. All mesenteries, except two microcnemes in second cycle perfect, fertile, with filaments and strong diffuse retractors. Cnidom: spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, microbasic $p$ mastigophores, microbasic amastigophores, macrobasic mastigophores. (Excerpted from Izumi et al. [2017].)

Etymology. The name Antennapeachia is derived from antenna tentacles, the discriminating characteristic structure of this genus (which are two irregular tentacles of Antennapeachia setouchi Izumi and Yanagi, 2016 and A. jambio Izumi, Yanagi, and Fujita, 2017 straight up and resembling antennas), and the morphologically related genus Peachia.

Type species. Antennapeachia setouchi Izumi and Yanagi, 2016
Remarks. When Antennapeachia setouchi Izumi and Yanagi, 2016 was discovered, the belonging genus was questioned: within Haloclavidae, Peachia (Gosse, 1855) had been the only genus that has both conchula and imperfect mesenteries. However, the diagnosis of Peachia stated "Pairs of mesenteries 10 ( $6+4$ lateral and ventrolateral pairs of second cycle)" (Carlgren, 1949). The mesenterial arrangement of Antennapeachia setouchi, which comprises only six pairs of macrocnemes and two macrocneme-microcneme pairs, does not fit this diagnosis. Moreover, A. setouchi had irregular inner tentacles, whereas Peachia species have uniformly shaped and arranged tentacles. So, this species cannot be considered within genus Peachia, as the uniformity of tentacles and mesenterial arrangement are quite different
between the two taxa. Considering that the diagnosis of mesenterial arrangement is fixed in each genus of sea anemone that has a few mesenteries such as Haloclavidae, A. setouchi could not be treated within the genus Peachia. Moreover, none of the known species from Peachia and the entire order Haloclavidae had irregular tentacles that were observed in this species, making $A$. setouchi unique in this character. I therefore had proposed the establishment of a new genus Antennapeachia Izumi and Yanagi, 2016 defined by the characteristic mesenterial arrangement, six macrocnemes pairs and two macrocneme-microcneme pairs, and the unique tentacle arrangement, to accommodate $A$. setouchi Izumi et al., 2016. Antennapeachia jambio Izumi, Yanagi and Fujita, 2017, however, had two additional marginal tentacles at the outer circle, and accordingly, two additional macrocnemes in its column. Each of the additional macrocnemes was located between the macrocneme and microcneme and paired with the independent macrocnemes; the eight macrocneme pairs-six pairs in the first cycle and two more pairswere in its column, and two microcnemes were independent. As a result, the diagnosis of the genus was revised to include both types of mesentery arrangement and tentacular arrangement found in these two species of Antennapeachia. I also found an additional type of cnidae, microbasic $p^{-}$ mastigophores, in A. jambio, which was not found in A. setouchi (Table 38). Thus, the diagnosis of cnidom was also revised. Finally, the diagnosis of Antennapeachia was emended to accommodating two species.

See Table 37 for comparison of the genus Antennapeachia and the other haloclavid genera with conchula. Based on the taxonomic key in Yap et al. (2014), I revised the diagnostic key to the genera with conchula in the family Haloclavidae to include the new genus Antennapeachia.

> 1A. Mesenteries all complete (macrocnemes); siphonoglyph completely separated from the actinopharynx. 2

1B. Some mesenteries complete (macrocnemes), the others incomplete (microcnemes); siphonoglyph rarely completely separated from the actinopharynx 3

2A. Eight pairs of macrocnemes only, 16 tentacles............. Metapeachia
2B. Ten pairs of macrocnemes only, 20 tentacles. $\qquad$ Synpeachia
3A. Six pairs of macrocnemes and four pairs of microcnemes; all tentacles marginal and similar in shape. $\qquad$ Peachia
3B. Six pairs of macrocnemes and two pairs of one macrocneme and one
microcneme; 12 regular tentacles marginal and two irregular tentacles (antenna tentacles) inner $\qquad$ Antennapeachia gen. nov.

## Antennapeachia setouchi Izumi and Yanagi, 2016

(Japanese name: antena-isoginchaku) Figs. 68, 69; Table 38
Antennapeachia setouchi Izumi and Yanagi, 2016: 450-451, figs. 2-5
Material examined. Holotype: NSMT-Co 1653: histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 28 May 2014, west of Himeshima Island, Oita Pref., Seto Inland Sea ( $33^{\circ} 44.408^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 131^{\circ} 34.109^{\prime}$ E), 30 m depth, collected by Takato Izumi. Paratypes: CMNH-ZG 05927: whole specimen, 7 November 2012, Nagasu, Okayama Pref., Seto Inland Sea, 25-26 m depth, collected by Mariko Kawamura; NSMT-Co 1654: whole specimen, November 5, 2014, east of Ogishima Island, Kagawa Pref., Seto Inland Sea ( $34^{\circ} 24.899^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 134^{\circ} 05.486^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), 16 m depth, collected by Naoto Jimi.

Etymology. The species is named after Setouchi, the local Japanese name for the region around the Seto Inland Sea, where the specimens were collected.

Description. External anatomy. Column smooth, cylindrical or barrellike, ca. 10 mm in length, 7 mm in diameter. Color of the body brownish orange (Fig. 68A). Column surface smooth, papillae absent, with discontinuous line and dense orange spots. Center of aboral end hollow, donut-like shaped (Fig. 68C). Pedal disc absent. Two circles of tentacles on oral disc; number of tentacles 14 . Twelve regular tentacles in outer circle, two irregular tentacles in inner circle (Fig. 68B). Regular tentacles protruding to outside or hung along column. Irregular tentacles (= 'antenna tentacles') (Fig. 68A) always rising straight upward. All tentacles nearly the same length, approximately $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ when fully expanded, with no acrospheres. Coloration of regular tentacles with cross-bands or M -shaped pattern of orange and brown (Fig. 68B). Antenna tentacles with symmetric bands of orange, brown, and white. Conchula on ventral side (Fig. 68B).

Internal anatomy. Six pairs of macrocnemes and two pairs of mesenteries consisting of macrocneme and microcneme between ventrolateral mesenteries and ventral directives (Figs. 3B, 4A). All macrocnemes perfect between oral disc and aboral end. Muscle of macrocneme paired with microcneme facing directives. No microcnemes near oral disc (Fig. 69B, C).

Antenna tentacles situated between ventro-lateral mesenteries (Fig. 69A). Five regular tentacles on ventral side. Retractor muscles circumscribed to diffused and parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct (Fig. 69A, I). Tentacular circular muscle endodermal (Fig. 69D) and tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal (Fig. 69E, F). No histological differences between antenna tentacles and regular tentacles (Fig. 69E-H). Mesoglea in body wall far thicker than ectoderm and endoderm, but much thinner in actinopharynx, and the thinnest in siphonoglyph. In actinopharynx, siphonoglyph on ventral side and always connected to actinopharynx (Fig. 69A). Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent (Fig. 69J, K).

Cnidom. Spirocysts, basitrichs, microbasic amastigophores, microbasic $b$-mastigophores and macrobasic mastigophores. See Table 38 for size and distribution

Aquarium observations. During the observation of the paratype specimen (CMNH-ZG 05927) in the aquarium, the antenna tentacles were always rising upward even when the other tentacles shrunk or adhered to their column. In the dark, this specimen often inflated its body significantly, like a balloon by elongating its bellows-like body wall with peristaltic motion. Eventually, the length of the body increased approximately three-fold and the diameter increased two-fold. The sea anemone then drifted with the water current and moved to another place. In our observations, the sea anemone moved to the other corner of the aquarium. As its body inflated, their regular and antenna tentacles remained extended. This behavior was observed at least six times in 10 days, always in the dark.

Remarks. Role of antenna tentacles. Some sea anemones have extraordinary, specialized tentacles called catch tentacles with specialized functions. The catch tentacles of Metridium senile Linnaeus, 1761 are specialized to attack other conspecific individuals (Purcell, 1977). The tip of these tentacles contains many more holotrichs than the tip of other tentacles (summarized by Fautin and Mariscal, 1991). The catch tentacles of Haliplanella luciae Verrill, 1898 differ from the other ordinary tentacles in distribution of cnidae and in internal anatomy (Williams, 1975; Fukui, 1986). In Antennapeachia setouchi, however, the cnidae distribution and internal structure of the antenna tentacles are not very different from those of regular tentacles (Figs. 4E-H, 7). This suggests that the antenna tentacles are not
used for catching prey or for attacking. Antenna tentacles and regular tentacles are different only in the location and their movement. Antennapeachia setouchi always raise their antenna tentacles upward (Fig. 68A), even when they are drifting, and they do not contract the antenna tentacles when the other regular tentacles are contracted into their column. The function of the antenna tentacles could not be inferred from their anatomy and aquarium observations. I speculate that they may act as receptors that accept stimuli from above the animal, as they are always extended in that direction, although it is unclear what specific functions the antenna tentacles perform.

Ecological significance of the balloon-like shape. A few species of actiniarians are known to 'swim' actively. For example, Boloceroides mcmurrichi Kwietniewski, 1898 swim in water by paddling with their tentacles when escaping from the predatory nudibranchs (Shick, 1991). Some species of Stomphia detach their basal disc from the seafloor and swim with whip-like movements, migrating as much as 1.5 m to escape from asteroids, their predators (Mauzey et al., 1968). This escaping behavior is triggered by chemical substance released by the starfish or by mechanical stimulation from the starfish contact (summarized by Shick, 1991). In these cases, sea anemones detach from the seafloor after detecting stimuli from their predator (Description, Figs. 68, 69, and part of Table 38 are revised and reprinted Izumi et al. [2016] by courtesy of Zoological Science.)

## Antennapeachia jambio Izumi, Yanagi and Fujita, 2017

(Japanese name: misakino-antena)
Fig. 70; Table 38
Antennapeachia jambio Izumi, Yanagi, and Fujita, 2017: Izumi et al, 2017: 110-113, figs. 2-4.

Material examined. Holotype: CMNH-ZG 06546: dissected specimen, embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, prepared nematocysts; February 19, 2014, off Jogashima, Kanagawa Prefecture, $35^{\circ} 06.082^{\prime}$ N, $139^{\circ} 34.232^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at 238-309 m in depth collected by Kensuke Yanagi. Paratype; NSMT-Co 1596: whole specimen, somewhat damaged in proximal end; February 15, 2017, off Miura Peninsula, Kanagawa Prefecture, $35^{\circ} 08.0383$ 'N, $139^{\circ} 33.731^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at $95^{-97} \mathrm{~m}$ in depth collected by Takato Izumi.

Etymology. This species was named, because the type specimens were
collected in the second and twelfth JAMBIO Coastal Organism Joint Survey. JAMBIO stands for "Japanese Association for Marine Biology."

Description. External anatomy. Column smooth, cylindrical or barrellike, rich in expansibility, length ca. 9 mm , diameter ca. 7 mm in alive, and length 8 mm diameter 6 mm in preserved specimens. Color of body orange (Fig. 70A, B). Column surface smooth, papillae absent, with numerous discontinuous wavy wrinkles running direction of transversal and pale white patches (Fig. 70B). Aboral end flattened or slightly concaved, like a shape of donut, with tiny hole in center, semitransparent, so that line of mesenteries visible from outer side. Pedal disc absent, but somewhat sticky so that particles of mud adhered to column or aboral end (Fig. 70B). Two circles of tentacles on oral disc; 16 tentacles. Fourteen marginal tentacles in outer circle; two antenna tentacles in inner circle (Fig. 70A). Marginal tentacles protruding outside or hanging along column. Antenna tentacles rising straight upward. Marginal tentacles approximately 4-5 mm in length when fully expanded. Antenna tentacles 1-2 mm in length, far shorter than marginal tentacles. Both tentacles simple, without acrospheres. Coloration of marginal tentacles brownish, obscure cross-bands of yellow and brown; surface complicatedly wrinkled. Antenna tentacles without cross-bands; surface wrinkled (Fig. 70A). Oral disc diameter ca. 4 mm , same color as tentacles. Mouth at center of oral disc, a little swelled, with well-extended and robed conchulaon ventral side (Fig. 70A)

Internal anatomy. Eight pairs of macrocnemes, six of first cycle and extra two pairs between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives; two independent microcnemes between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives (Fig. 70C). All macrocnemes perfect and continuing along whole length of body. Microcnemes present from proximal end to middle part of body, but absent near oral end. Antenna tentacles between ventro-lateral endocoels (Fig. 70C). Seven marginal tentacles of outer cycle on dorsal half of body; one of them raised from dorsal endocoel; two (one on each side) from dorso-lateral exocoels; two from dorso-lateral endocoels; other two from lateral exocoels. Other seven on ventral half of body; one of them raised from ventral endocoel; two from endocoel between two extra pairs of macrocnemes; the other four in ventrolateral exocoel (Fig. 70A). Tentacular circular muscle endodermal (Fig. 70D); tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal (Fig. 70E). Retractor muscles strongly circumscribed, limited at the center of each
macrocneme (Fig. 70C, F), with approximately 15-30 a little branched muscular processes; parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, with around ten simple muscular processes (Fig. 70F, H). Mesoglea in body wall far thicker than ectoderm and endoderm, thinner in actinopharynx and siphonoglyph (Fig. 70F). Actinopharynx smooth, comparatively long in column, with huge siphonoglyph on ventral side, which always connected to it (Fig. 70C). Sphincter muscle absent (Fig. 70G). On aboral end, basilar muscle absent (Fig. 70I). Filaments at the tips of macrocnemes, small and limited near aboral end. Matured gametes not observed, so sexuality of specimens not clear.

Cnidom. Spirocysts in both tentacles, basitrichs in every tissue, microbasic amastigophores in actinopharynx and filament, microbasic $p^{-}$ mastigophores in actinopharynx and filament. See Table 38 for size and distribution.

Remarks. This species resembles $A$. setouchi in having antenna tentacles, forming a siphonoglyph (which is connected to conchula) beside their actinopharynx and having wrinkled orange color column. Antennapeachia jambio, however, has many different features from $A$. setouchi; antenna tentacles are far smaller than marginal tentacles, in contrast those of $A$. setouchi are larger and more prominent than marginal ones (Figs. 68A, B, 69A, B); A. jambio has a different type of cnidae from $A$. setouchi, especially the microbasic $p$-mastigophores are only in actinopharynx and filament of $A$. jambio (Table 38); the two pairs of macrocnemes between ventro-lateral mesenteries and ventral directives are only formed in the column of $A$. jambio. The last difference is most characteristic, that is why I judge that $A$. jambio is appropriate to be treated as new species, and I revised the diagnosis of genus.
(Description, Fig. 70, and part of Table 38 are revised and reprinted Izumi et al. [2017] by courtesy of Species Diversity.)

Genus Haloclava Verrill, 1899
(Japanese name: konbo-isoginchaku-zoku; Uchida and Soyama, 2001)
Haloclava Verrill, 1899: 41; Carlgren, 1949: 30.
Type species. Haloclava producta (Stephenson, 1865)

Haloclava sp. nov. 28

Fig. 71; Table 39
Material examined. (Iz-088): dissected specimen, embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, prepared nematocysts; 9 May, 2017, off Jogashima, Misaki, Kanagawa Pref., $35^{\circ} 06.838^{\prime}$ N, $139^{\circ} 34.063^{\prime}$ E, at $272-370$ $m$ in depth, collected by Hiroshi Namikawa, kept in a tank of Misaki Marine Biological Station, and preserved by Takato Izumi; (Iz-089): dissected specimen, embedded tissues in paraffin, histological sections, prepared nematocysts; 9 November, 2017, off Mie Pref, $34^{\circ} 10.109^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 136^{\circ} 44.644^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at 130-132 m in depth, collected by Itaru Kobayashi.

Description. External anatomy. Column barrel or corn-like, not differentiated into parts, with high degree of expansibility, length ca. 15-20 mm , diameter ca. $7-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ in live specimens, and length ca. 15 mm and diameter $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in preserved specimens. Body pale orange to brown (Fig. $71 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ), with transversal wrinkles (Fig. 71A), and divisible mesenterial insertions. Column surface smooth and no apertures (Fig. 71A). Aboral end physa-like, rounded, sometimes with a tiny hole in the center, same in color as column. Pedal disc absent, and slightly sticky to adhere to any substrates at aboral end. 20 Tentacles, in two indistinct cycles of 10, on oral disc (Fig. 71B). Outer tentacles protruding outside or hanging along the column, and inner ones protruding to distal direction. Outer tentacle far thicker, longer than inners: the former ca. $9-12 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length when fully expanded, but the latter ca. $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Tentacles apparently capitate with indistinct acrospheres on the tip, but uniform in middle part: acrospheres on outer tentacles far larger and robust than inner ones. Tentacles semitransparent orange, surface smooth (Fig. 71A, B), and acrospheres patchy pattern with dark red and white, surface rough. Oral disc diameter ca. 10 mm , uniformly orange. Mouth at the center of oral disc, not swelled, no conchula (Fig. 71B).

Internal anatomy. 20 mesenteries, all macrocnemes. 10 pairs; 6 of them first cycle and 4 alternative pairs (Fig. 71E). All macrocnemes perfect, fertile, and continuing along the whole-body length. Microcnemes absent. All tentacles arise from each endocoel and exocoel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal (Fig. 71C); tentacular circular muscle endodermal (Fig. 71D). Acrosphere on tentacle tips with densely arranged large basitrichs (Fig. 71D, Table 39). Retractor muscles circumscribed or restricted, limited at the center of each macrocneme (Fig. 71E), with approximately 25-40 multiple-
branched muscular processes (Fig. 71F); parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, in peculiar shape: muscle with 10 slightly branched processes on each side bared from thin mesenteries by body wall, and additional muscle with simple 10-20 processes bared from thick mesoglea between body wall and retractor muscle (Fig. 71F). Moreover, several small slightly-branched muscular process on opposite side of retractor muscle on macrocnemes. Mesoglea in the body wall far thicker than the ectoderm and remarkably thicker than the endoderm of body wall, actinopharynx and siphonoglyph (Fig. 71 E ). Actinopharynx smooth, grooved, approximately $2 / 3-3 / 4$ the column length. Single distinct siphonoglyph on the ventral side of actinopharynx, always connected to it, and sustained by ventral directives (Fig. 71E). Sphincter muscle absent. On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent (Fig. 71H). Filaments at the tips of the macrocnemes, small and limited near the aboral end (Fig. 71F). All specimens dioecious; mature ovary contains several oocytes in the mesoglea of each mesentery as observed in (Iz-088; Fig. 71G).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, microbasic b-mastigophores. See Table 39 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 40 for comparison of Haloclava sp. nov. 28 and the other species of the genus Haloclava. Haloclava sp. nov. 28 is the firstdiscovered species of Haloclava in Japan. This species was identified as this genus by two features as below: the simple mouth without conchula (Fig. 71A; for comparison, see Fig. 68B for mouth with conchula), and smooth simple surface of body without any apertures. However, this species has quite peculiar shapes of muscles not only in this genus but also in the family (Fig. $71 \mathrm{~F})$. Moreover, the difference of shape between inner and outer tentacles is quite apparent in this species. There is no Haloclava species which has such differentiated tentacles confirmed.

The other minor differences from other species of Haloclava are as below: Haloclava capensis (Verrill, 1865) has six inner tentacles (Verrill, 1865) in contrast to 10 inners of $H$. sp. nov. 28; H. stimpsonii (Verrill, 1868) does not develop acrospheres like this species (Verrill, 1868); H. brevicornis (Stephenson, 1856) has 20 uniform blunt tentacles, not resembling to tentacles of $H$. sp. nov. 28 in shape; H. producta Stimpson, 1865 has 20 lines of sticky papillae on its column (Pei, 1998) though surface of $H$. sp. nov. 28 is smooth, and the body of $H$. producta reaches to 70 mm , far larger than $H$. sp. nov. 28; H. chinensis Carlgren, 1931 most resembles $H$. sp. nov. 28, but the
shapes of retractors are more circumscribed and strongly restricted (Carlgren, 1931).

The basitrichs of acrosphere of $H$. sp. nov. 28, reaching to $273 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, were extremely large record of Actiniaria. I have not confirmed any species which have longer nematocysts than this species.

Genus Anemonactis Andres, 1881
(New Japanese name: kinoko-ginchaku-zoku)
Anemonactis Andres, 1881: 329; Carlgren, 1949: 30.
Eloactis Andres, 1883: 464.
Diagnosis. Haloclavidae with elongate, cylindrical column not divided into regions, and lacking sphincter. Column with numerous cinclides and scattered solid papillae or smooth; rounded aboral end perforated by numerous apertures. Capitate tentacles 12-20, inner tentacles shorter than the outer ones. Siphonoglyph without conchula. Cnidom: basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and traces of spirocysts. (Revised from Fautin [1998] and Carlgren [1949]. The revised points are indicated in bold.)

Type species. Anemonactis magnifica Andres, 1881
Remarks. The diagnosis of this genus was revised to include Anemonactis minuta comb. nov. and Anemonactis sp. nov. 29. On the occasion, several important features which were lacked in previous studies were added. See Table 41 for comparison of all species of this genus.

Anemonactis minuta (Wassilieff, 1908) comb. nov.
(Japanese name: chibinasu-isoginchaku; Uchida, 1965)
Figs. 72, 73; Table 39
Halcampella minuta Wassilieff, 1908: 7.
Haloclava minuta: Carlgren, 1949: 30.
Anemonactis minutus: Uchida, 1992: 131; Uchida and Soyama, 2001: 86.

Anemonactis mazeli (Jourdan): Yanagi, 2006, p. 119, Pl. 1F (in part).
Material examined. NSMT-Co 1619: dissected specimen, histological section and nematocysts; 19 January 2015, Koajiro Bay, Aburatsubo, Misaki, Kanagawa Prefecture, $35^{\circ} 09.950^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $139^{\circ} 36.640^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at $14-15 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, collected by Takato Izumi during the 5th Coastal Organism Joint Survey of the Japanese Association for Marine Biology (JAMBIO); NSMT-Co 1620:
dissected specimen, histological section and nematocysts, same date, locality, depth, and collector as NSMT-Co 1619; NSMT-Co 1621: histological sections; 16 May 2014, Koajiro Bay, Aburatsubo at 12 m depth, collected by Takato Izumi; CMNH-ZG 09203: dissected specimen, histological sections and nematocysts, 13 February 2015, Tateyama Bay off Koyatsu, Chiba Prefecture, $35^{\circ} 08.0383^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 139^{\circ} 33.731^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at $95-97 \mathrm{~m}$ depth, collected by Takato Izumi; CMNH-ZG 1938: dissected specimen and histological sections, 9 May 2002, Namajima, Kamogawa, Chiba Prefecture, at 13 m depth, collected by Kensuke Yanagi; CMNH-ZG2193 (includes two individuals): whole specimens, 9 July 2002, mouth of Funakoshi Bay, Iwate Prefecture, $39^{\circ} 23.60^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $141^{\circ} 59.52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, at 79.5 m depth, collected by Taiji Kurozumi, Hiroyuki Tachikawa and Kensuke Yanagi; NSMT-Co 1622: whole specimen, 18 February 2017, Omura Beach, Futami Bay, Chichijima Island, Tokyo Prefecture, collected by Yoshihisa Fujita and Akitsugu Mukai; (Iz-090), (Iz091): whole specimens, 16 May 2018, Araihama Beach, Misaki at intertidal zone, collected by Hisanori Kotsuka.

Description. External anatomy. Column cylindrical or barrel-like, not differentiated into parts, with high degree of expansibility, length ca. 10-20 mm , diameter ca. $7-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in live specimens, and length ca. $6-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ and diameter $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ in preserved specimens. Body white, pale pink, or pale orange (Fig. 72A, C, E), with longitudinal white lines (Fig. 72A, C) or orange lines (Fig. 72E). Column surface rough, solid papillae scattered, sometimes with numerous discontinuous fluorescent green wavy wrinkles, and perforated by numerous apertures (Fig. 72A, C, E). Aboral end physa-like, rounded to flattened, sometimes with a tiny hole in the center, semitransparent, with a line of mesenteries visible from outer side (Fig. 72A). Pedal disc absent, and slightly sticky to adhere to any substrates at aboral end. Tentacles in two indistinct cycles of 8, on oral disc (Fig. 72B, D). Tentacles protruding outside or hanging along the column. All tentacles uniformly ca. $8-15 \mathrm{~mm}$ long when fully expanded. Tentacles capitate, apparently swelled in middle part, and with indistinct acrospheres. Tentacles semitransparent dark red, white, or both (Fig. 72B, D, E), sometimes whitish or brownish patches on the surface (Fig. 72D), and border of tentacles sometimes with running fluorescent green lines (Fig. 72B, E); tentacle surface smooth. Oral disc diameter ca. 4 mm , usually dark red. Mouth at the center of oral disc, sometimes slightly swelled, no conchula (Fig. 72B, D).

Internal anatomy. Sixteen mesenteries, all macrocnemes. Eight pairs; six of them first cycle and two alternative pairs (Fig. 3F). All macrocnemes perfect, fertile, and continuing along the whole-body length. Microcnemes absent. All tentacles arise from each endocoel and exocoel. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal (Fig. 73B); tentacular circular muscle endodermal (Fig. 73C). Acrosphere on tentacle tips with densely arranged large basitrichs (Fig. 3D, E). Retractor muscles strongly circumscribed, limited at the center or by parietal muscles on each macrocneme (Fig. 73F, H, I), with approximately $20-40$ multiple-branched muscular processes (Fig. $73 \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ ); parietal muscles of macrocnemes distinct, with approximately 10 simple or branched muscular processes (Fig. 73G, H). Mesoglea in the body wall as thick as or slightly thicker than the ectoderm and remarkably thicker than the endoderm (Fig. 73F, G) of the body wall, but thinner in actinopharynx and siphonoglyph (Fig. 73F, H, I). Actinopharynx smooth, extended approximately $2 / 3-3 / 4$ the column length. Single distinct siphonoglyph on the ventral side of actinopharynx, always connected to it, and sustained by ventral directives (Fig. 73C). Sphincter muscle absent (Fig. 73A). On the aboral end, basilar muscle absent (Fig. 73G). Filaments at the tips of the macrocnemes, small and limited near the aboral end (Fig. 73I). All specimens dioecious; mature ovary contains several oocytes in the mesoglea of each mesentery as observed in NSMT-Co 1620.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores. See Table 39 for size and distribution.

Distribution. They usually inhabit the muddy seafloor near the Pacific coast of Honshu (Iwate, Chiba, Kanagawa, and Shizuoka Prefectures: The present study and Uchida and Soyama, 2001, p. 86), Seto Inland-Sea (Uchida, 1965, p. 261), and Ogasawara Islands (this study).

Remarks. The vicissitude of Anemonactis minuta comb. nov. and Anemonactis mazeli. Anemonactis mazeli comb. nov. was established as Halcampella minuta Wassilieff, 1908 for its tentacular and mesenterial arrangement (Wassilieff, 1908), at that time belonging to the subfamily Halcampinae, family Ilyanthidae. The holotype had been collected from the sandy bottom at 20 m depth in Aburatsubo, Sagami Bay, Japan. Wassilieff (1908) described mesenterial arrangement to contain six pairs, two directives, and four laterals, and two extra pairs at exocoels between dorso- and ventrolateral mesenteries, and the latter two pairs were indicated as imperfect
mesenteries. However, in the second description of this species by Carlgren (1949), 40 years after the original description, Halcampella minuta was transferred to the family Haloclavidae because of the single siphonoglyph on the actinopharynx, which is the most important feature of this family, and listed as Haloclava minuta. Uchida (1965) adopted this combination and gave this species the Japanese name Chibinasu-isoginchaku.

Since Uchida (1965), there had been no study on this species until Fautin (1998), who focused on the difference in body size between $A$. mazeli and $H$. minuta. Fautin (1998) suggested that the smaller number of tentacles of $H$. minuta, 16, compared with 20 tentacles found in $A$. mazeli, can be attributed to a small specimen that was still growing. For that reason, he synonymized $H$. minuta with $A$. mazeli (Fautin, 1998).

In contrast, Uchida (1992) and Uchida and Soyama (2001) described this sea anemone as a valid species, Anemonactis minutus, in their field guide book and provided an underwater photograph and short diagnosis. They indicated that Anemonactis minutus was easily distinguished from Anemonactis mazeli by the octoradial body shape (Uchida and Soyama, 2001, p. 86); however, they did not conduct a detailed study.

The type specimens of both Anemonactis mazeli and Halcampella minuta have been missing. Despite examining the specimen stored in ZSM, which houses the actinian specimens described by Wassilieff (1908), I could not find the type specimen of Halcampella minuta Wassilieff 1908. As a result, verification of the taxonomic status of $A$. minuta was impossible without collecting fresh specimens from the type locality Aburatsubo.

In this study, I analyzed a number of specimens, including topotypes. Detailed observations revealed that individuals of $A$. minuta, despite their small size, were adults because some specimens had matured gonads (Fig. 73I). Considering that all the specimens, including the adults, had 16 tentacles, the maximum number of tentacles of this species must be 16. The analysis of these specimens revealed that they belong to Wassilieff's (1908) $H$ minuta, with which they share common morphological characters: wrinkling column surface (Fig. 72A, C); rounded aboral end; semitransparent tentacles with acrospheres (Fig. 72B, D); lacking marginal sphincter (Fig. 73A); ectodermal tentacular longitudinal muscle (Fig. 73B); and eight pairs of mesenteries (Fig. 73F). Our histological sections are almost identical to three figures in Wassilieff (1908, figs. 35, 36, and 37): circumscribed (or more or less
restricted) retractor muscles with 20-40 simple or slightly branched muscular processes (Fig. 73H; fig. 35 in Wassilieff, 1908); distinct parietal muscles with around 10 simple or slightly branched muscular processes (Fig. 73 H ; fig. 36 in Wassilieff, 1908); and outstandingly capitate tentacle tips with large basitrichs and both thickened mesoglea and thickened endoderm in transversal sections of tentacles (Fig. 73E; fig. 37 in Wassilieff, 1908) Moreover, the size of the specimen in Wassilieff (1908) was 1 cm , which corresponds to the size of our smaller specimen. The presence of a huge siphonoglyph and the absence of conchula indicate that this species belongs to the genus Anemonactis.

Although almost all characteristics of our specimens correspond to the description by Wassilieff (1908), there are a few differences in tentacles and mesenteries: Wassilieff (1908) reported 15 tentacles, one tentacle less than in our specimens. However, in our specimens, the tentacle size was inconsistent (Fig. 72D) and sometimes the tentacles were miniscule. It is therefore probable that Wassilieff counted one tentacle less in his specimen. Moreover, the diagram in Wassilieff (1908, text-fig. 1, p. 8) precisely depicts 16 mesenteries, which also suggests that this species should have 16 tentacles. Assessed from the schematic diagram, four lateral mesenteries in Wassilieff's specimen were separated from the actinopharynx. The 16 mesenteries in our adult specimens were all connected to the actinopharynx (Fig. 73F), but I sometimes observed that lateral mesenteries were separated from the actinopharynx in younger specimens. I suspect that four lateral mesenteries are separated in juvenile individuals and gradually connect to the actinopharynx from the proximal end as the sea anemone matures.

Wassilieff (1908) does not mention the gonads of $A$. minuta in detail. Considering the comparatively small body size and unconnected four mesenteries, it is possible that Wassilieff's specimen was more or less young and gonads were immature. However, I observed mature gametes in the gonads in some of our specimens (NSMT-Co 1620, Fig. 73I, and CMNH-ZG 09203); therefore, individuals of this species should be considered adults when they reach around 2 cm in length and having 16 tentacles. I believe that the number of tentacles is a prominent character of $A$. minuta, and therefore, this species is not the growing phase of $A$. mazeli, as suggested in Fautin (1998, p. 94), but a distinct species. The presence of several apertures and solid papillae on the column and simple mouth without conchula ascertain
the placement of $A$. minuta within the genus Anemonactis; therefore, I adopted the species identification by Uchida (1992) and Uchida and Soyama (2001), and the name Anemonactis minuta comb. nov. (Wassilieff, 1908) is proposed in this study. (The previous two studies listed the species as "Anemonactis minutus," but $A$. minuta is the correct Latin epithet). Thus, this species becomes the fourth Anemonactis species (along with the three species recognized by Fautin, 2016).

There are several additional differences between $A$. mazeli and $A$. minuta: $A$. minuta is always less than 2 cm long, and thus remarkably smaller than $A$. mazeli, which sometimes reaches 12 cm (Carlgren, 1945); although both species have capitate tentacles, the tentacles of $A$. minuta are apparently swelled in the middle part, and therefore, acrospheres are not conspicuous. In contrast, in A. mazeli, acrospheres on the tentacles are very conspicuous (Stephenson, 1935; Pax and Müller, 1962; Wood, 2005); A. minuta individuals have microbasic $b$-mastigophores in their filaments, while A. mazeli have only basitrichs (Carlgren, 1921, 1940; Yanagi, 2006). The microbasic $b$-mastigophores are specific to $A$. minuta and Anemonactis sp. nov. 29 in this genus; therefore, I also revised the diagnosis of Anemonactis in the present article. In addition, the largest basitrichs in the filament, which are as large as those on the acrospheres, are also specific to $A$. minuta.

Incidentally, the number of tentacles is useful for distinguishing $A$. minuta from other Anemonactis species: Anemonactis clavus (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833) has 12 tentacles (Hertwig, 1882) and 12 perfect mesenteries (Pax, 1912, fig. a), which are four fewer than $A$. minuta. The species Anemonactis australis (Carlgren, 1931), whose taxonomic status as a synonym of $A$. clavus remains questionable (Fautin 2013), can be distinguished by the presence of 20 tentacles and body length of 4 cm . Regarding Anemonactis sp. nov. 29, A. minuta is easily distinguishable from this new species by the number of tentacles and its size: $A$. sp. nov. 29 has 20 tentacles, the same as that in $A$. mazeli, and a remarkably larger body size, approximately five times as large as A. minuta. Anemonactis globulosa (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833), which had been considered an Eloactis species till recently (Fautin, 2013), can be distinguished from $A$. minuta in having 14 tentacles (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833). Due to the difference in the number of tentacles in Anemonactis, the diagnosis of this genus should be revised to include the number of tentacles not only of $A$. mazeli but also of $A$. clavus, $A$.
globuosa, and $A$. minuta, because older genus diagnosis mentions only the number of tentacles as 20 .

Anemonactis sp. nov. 29
(Japanese name: kinoko-ginchaku; Uchida, 1965)
Figs. 74, 75; Table 39
Eloactis mazelii (Jourdan): Uchida, 1938: 288; Uchida, 1941: 385.
Anemonactis mazelii (Jourdan): Uchida, 1965: 261; Pei, 1998: 81.
Anemonactis mazeli sense Uchida: Uchida and Soyama, 2001: 87.
Anemonactis mazeli (Jourdan): Yanagi, 2006: 119 (in part).
Non Eloactis mazelii Jourdan, 1880: 41
Material examined. Holotype. CMNH-ZG 0275: dissected specimen, histological sections, prepared cnidae; 20 August 1999, Hattone, Kamogawa, Chiba Prefecture, 12 m depth, collected during scuba diving by Kensuke Yanagi. Other specimens. NSMT-Co R334: dissected specimen, 18 February 1950, off Ajirozaki, Sagami Bay, 32 m depth; NSMT-Co R786: dissected specimen, 15 February 1960, off Hayama, Sagami Bay; NSMT-CoR907: dissected specimen, 13 February 1965, off Hayama, Sagami Bay.

Description. External anatomy. Column barrel-like, not differentiated into parts, with high degree of expansibility, height ca. 70 mm , diameter ca. 35 mm in live individuals, and height ca. 49 mm and diameter 21 mm in preserved holotype (CMNH-ZG 0275). Body pink, with white dotted longitudinal lines distally (Fig. 74A). Column surface smooth, with transversal wrinkles, small apertures but without papillae-like structures (Fig. 74B, C, D). Body wall thin and translucent; therefore, mesenterial insertions visible from outside as 20 white lines. Aboral end physa-like, rounded, and translucent, and slightly sticky for adhering to any substrate at aboral end (Fig. 74B, C). Twenty tentacles in two cycles of 10, all marginal (Yanagi, 2006; plate 1G). Outer tentacles usually protruding outside or hanging along column; inner ones pointing upwards, curving. All tentacles ca. $10-20 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length when fully expanded, and inner ones generally shorter than outer. Tentacles 3 mm in diameter, bold, bar-like with acrospheres at tip. Acrospheres shaped like raisins, apparently wizened (Figs. 81A, B, 82B, C) even in live individuals. Tentacles translucent dark red, with whitish patches or short lines (Yanagi, 2006; plate 1G). Oral disc diameter ca. 20 mm in live individuals and 13 mm in preserved specimens, usually dark red, with 10
radial white lines. The mouth at center of oral disc like slits, with apparently swelled lips and without conchula (Yanagi, 2006; plate 1G).

Internal anatomy. Twenty mesenteries in all macrocnemes. Ten pairs; six of them in first cycle and four additional pairs (Fig. 75A). All macrocnemes perfect, fertile, and continuing along entire body length. Microcnemes absent. One tentacle arising from each endocoel and exocoel. Longitudinal muscle of tentacle ectodermal (Fig. 75D); circular muscle of tentacle endodermal (Fig. 75 E ). Acrosphere on tentacle tip (Fig. 75B, C) with densely arranged large basitrichs. Retractor muscles strong and restricted (Fig. 75A, F), with approximately $15-35$ multiple-branched muscular processes (Fig. 75F); parietal muscles distinct, strongly developed especially on each exocoel side, with approximately $30-60$ simple or slightly branched muscular processes (Fig. 75F, G). In holotype, mesoglea in body wall ca. $80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, thicker than ectoderm (ca. $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and thinner than endoderm (ca. $110 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), but thinner in actinopharynx and siphonoglyph (Fig. 75A, F). Actinopharynx with numerous folds, extended to approximately $1 / 2$ column length. Single distinct siphonoglyph on ventral side of actinopharynx, never drawn out into a separate tube, and sustained by ventral directives (Fig. 75A). Marginal sphincter muscle absent. Basilar muscle absent. Filaments at proximal edge of macrocnemes small and limited near aboral end. All specimens dioecious, and matured oocytes in mesoglea of each mesentery of holotype (Fig. 75F).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, microbasic $b$-mastigophores. See Table 39 for size and distribution.

Distribution and habitat. Pacific coast of Honshu (Chiba and Miyagi prefectures: the present study and Uchida, 1941, p. 385). This species usually inhabits muddy sand between rocks.

Common name. Kinoko-ginchaku (Uchida, 1965)
Remarks. Differences between Anemonactis sp. nov. 29 and A. mazeli Anemonactis mazeli (Jourdan, 1880) has been considered to be a cosmopolitan species because this species had been recorded from many localities worldwide: the Mediterranean Sea, including Marseille, the type locality (Jourdan, 1880; Andres, 1881), the Atlantic coast of Europe (Garstang, 1892; Rees, 1913; Carlgren, 1921, 1940; Stephenson, 1935; Pax and Müller, 1962; Wood, 2005), Japan and East China Sea (Uchida, 1938, 1941, 1965; Pei, 1998), and the Pacific coast of North America (Fautin, 1998). However, this wide distribution led to a debate whether the haloclavids from the Pacific are
the same species as A. mazeli from Europe. In particular, Carlgren (1949) speculated whether Japanese $A$. mazeli described by Uchida $(1938,1941)$ was the same species as the $A$. mazeli individuals from Europe. Nevertheless, the type specimens from the Mediterranean Sea are missing (Yanagi, 2006), and there is no information about new $A$. mazeli specimens from around the type locality. Therefore, I could assess the indistinguishability of these Anemonactis only by comparing the literature. Pax and Müller (1962) reported on the intraspecific variation of $A$. mazeli. As the Japanese species resembles "var. rubra" (Pax and Müller, 1962) the most in its comparatively large size and reddish color, I carefully inspected old descriptions of $A$. mazeli that mentioned their reddish color and large size to obtain morphological information about $A$. mazeli (e.g., Faurot, 1895; Carlgren, 1921; Stephenson, 1935; etc.).

In the present study, I observed two Japanese specimens which resemble $A$. mazeli and found several differences between $A$. mazeli and the Japanese specimens. Regarding the external anatomy, there is an apparent difference in the shape of acrospheres: those of $A$. sp. nov. 29 are elongated in the direction of tentacles and roughly wizened like raisins not only in preserved specimens but also in live individuals (Figs. 81A, 82B, C). These features have never been described in older literature mentioned above, but instead, the acrospheres of $A$. mazeli were depicted as smooth, spherically shaped both externally (Faurot, 1895; Stephenson, 1935, Pax and Müller, 1962, Fautin, 1998) and internally (Rees, 1913). Moreover, the most recent picture of $A$. mazeli showed completely spherical acrospheres (Wood, 2005, p. 83), which affirmed the descriptions mentioned above. In addition, there is a difference in the column surface: the surface in $A$. sp. nov. 29 is completely smooth, without papillae, but always with papillae in $A$. mazeli (e.g., Stephenson [1935], p. 91 described the column as "covered with microscopic pale spots (papillae) scattered thickly over the surface and occurring both over and between the mesenterial insertions"); this character is common in the genus Anemonactis. As $A$. sp. nov. 29 can be distinguished from $A$. mazeli by the absence of small solid papillae on the column, the diagnosis of Anemonactis should be revised. The most characteristic difference of $A$. sp. nov. 29 from other Anemonactis species is in the cnidom; microbasic $b$ mastigophores, which are apparently distinguishable from the other two types of basitrichs not only by their size but also the inner shape of their
shafts, are present in filaments of $A$. sp. nov. 29 (Table 39). This type of cnidae was never observed in A. mazeli (Carlgren, 1940; table 1 in Yanagi, 2006). Notably, these microbasic $b$-mastigophores are apparently different from the middle-size basitrichs present in the filaments of $A$. mazeli in Carlgren (1940) because the width of the former is twice as large as those of the latter, despite the lengths being almost the same (table 1 in Yanagi, 2006). In addition, the basitrichs types are different: $A$. sp. nov. 29 has two types but $A$. mazeli has three (Carlgren, 1940). Moreover, there are two types of basitrichs in the column of $A$. sp. nov. 29 (Table 39; table 1 and fig. 2c, d in Yanagi, 2006), in contrast with $A$. mazeli, which possesses only one type of basitrichs (Carlgren, 1940).

In addition to the morphological differences mentioned above, the geographical isolation of the Asian localities from the type locality of $A$. mazeli reinforces the distinctness of this species. In conclusion, I identified this Anemonactis species living in Japan as a new species, Anemonactis sp. nov. 29. I presume that 'Anemonactis mazell' individuals found in Japan (Uchida, 1941, 1965, Uchida and Soyama, 2001) are in fact Anemonactis sp. nov. 29. Moreover, A. sp. nov. 29 may be distributed in China: Pei (1998; fig. 89) depicted "Anemonactis mazeli" from East China Sea with apparently wizened acrospheres on its tentacle tips, which resembles those of $A$. sp. nov. 29. The article by Pei (1998) lacks detailed cnidae data, and therefore, it is currently impossible to conclude with certainty about species identity, although the geographical distribution suggests that the Chinese Anemonactis belong to $A$. sp. nov. 29. and not to $A$. mazeli.

See Table 41 for comparison of Anemonactis sp. nov. 29 and the other species of Anemonactis. The taxonomic keys of Anemonactis is as below.

1. Tentacle number 12 ...Anemonactis clavus (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833)

- Tentacle number 14 ...Anemonactis globulosa (Quoy and Gaimard, 1833)
- Tentacle number 16 ... Anemonactis minuta (Wassilieff, 1908) comb. nov.
- Tentacle number 20 ... 2

2. Acrospheres smooth and spherical. Microbasic $b$-mastigophores absent ... Anemonactis mazeli (Jourdan, 1880)

- Acrospheres wizened like raisins. Microbasic $b$-mastigophores present ... Anemonactis sp. nov. 29


## Superfamily METRIDIOIDEA Carlgren, 1893

(Japanese name: hidaberi-isoginchaku-jouka: Izumi et al., 2019a)

Family METEDWARDSIIDAE fam. nov.
(New Japanese name: Hoso-isoginchaku-ka)
Diagnosis. Elongated anemones of Metridioidea. Body more or less divisible into physa, scapus, and scapulus. Scapus and physa slightly differentiated from each other, the former with a thin epidermis without nemathybomes. No sphincter. Tentacles comparatively short, the inner shorter than the middle and outer ones. 8 perfect and fertile mesenteries arranged as the macrocnemes in Edwardsia. 16 microcnemes, elongated from distal to proximal end. The number distally is always equal or less than proximally. Retractors of macrocnemes reniform to circumscribed. Parietal muscles distinct but weak. No nematosomes in the coelenteron. Cnidae; basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic amastigophores.

Etymology. Derived from the name of type genus.
Type genus. Metedwardsia Carlgren, 1947 (monotypic)
Remarks. Metedwardsia, the monotypic genus of this family now, was established by Carlgren as a member of Edwardsiidae in 1947. Although there were a few peculiar features on M. akkeshi e.g. microcnemes distributed on whole body, Metedwardsia has been consequently accommodated in Edwardsiidae because of their few mesenteries (see remarks of the genus).

However, this genus was turned to be a member of Enthemonae and no longer the member of Edwardsiidae by my phylogenetic analysis (Figs. 6, 8). The phylogenetic analysis indicated that it was not possible that $M$. akkeshi (= Metedwardsia) is belonging any other existed families (see Chapter 1C). That was why new family for Metedwardsia should be established. See Table 42 for comparison of the family Metedwardsiidae and the other families without basal discs of the suborder Metridioidea.

Genus Metedwardsia Carlgren, 1947
(Japanese name: hoso-isoginchaku-zoku: Izumi et al., 2018b)
Metedwardsia Carlgren, 1947: 1; Carlgren, 1949: 26.
Diagnosis. (Revised from Carlgren [1949]. Revised points are indicated in bold. The diagnosis of cnidom is newly added)

Metedwardsiidae, body more or less divisible into physa, scapus, and scapulus. Scapus and physa slightly differentiated from each other, the former with a thin epidermis without nemathybomes. No sphincter. Tentacles comparatively short, the inner shorter than the middle and outer ones. 8 perfect and fertile mesenteries arranged as the macrocnemes in Edwardsia. 16 microcnemes, elongated from distal to proximal end. The number distally is always equal or less than proximally. Retractors of macrocnemes reniform to circumscribed. Parietal muscles distinct but weak. No nematosomes in the coelenteron. Cnidae; basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic amastigophores.

Type species. Metedwardsia akkeshi (Uchida, 1932a)
Remarks. Genus Metedwardsia was established to accommodate Milneedwardsia akkeshi of Edwardsiidae because of its worm-like shape and eight macrocnemes, and because some morphological characters of this species did not correspond to the diagnosis of Milneedwardsia (Carlgren, 1947). Since then, the diagnosis of Metedwardsia had not been revised due to lack of new specimens. Based on the current re-description of Metedwardsia akkeshi resulting from thorough examination of the characteristics of newly collected topotypic specimens, revision of this diagnosis is required. As the cnidom of $M$. akkeshi has never been formally described, I added a description of the cnidom to the diagnosis. The microcnemes extending from the oral to the aboral end in this genus were thought as the only character among the Edwardsiidae, suborder Anenthemonae. The 16 microcnemes are easily distinguishable from the eight macrocnemes by their smaller size, absence of retractor muscles, and the trilobated filament and gonad, and they are elongated from the distal to proximal end.

Although this genus has been included in Edwardsiidae for several decades, but there were some different opinions. Gusmão et al. (2016) recently suggested placing M. akkeshi in the Halcampidae, a family belonging to the different suborder, Enthemonae, because Metedwardsia does not have microcnemes but rather imperfect macrocnemes extended along the entire body in which muscles develop, whereas the microcnemes in other Edwardsiidae genera are restricted to the distal-most column and have poorly developed musculature: which features rather resembles Halcampidae than Edwardsiidae. However, their taxonomic conclusion was based only on the reference citation of the morphological characteristics of M. akkeshi. Contrary,
a molecular phylogenetic tree developed by Rodríguez and Daly (2010) assigned M. akkeshi to the Actiniidae subclade within the Endomyaria clade. Actiniid sea anemones belong to the farther clade from Halcampidae: this two families are different in superfamily level (Rodríguez et al. 2014). Accordingly, based on this phylogenetic tree, Metedwardsia, which is strongly related to Actiniidae, should be accommodated neither to Halcampidae nor Edwardsiidae. However, Rodríguez and Daly (2010) did not provide detailed information about the morphological features of M. akkeshi specimen on which their phylogenetic analysis was based. Therefore, it was still possible that the molecular data of $M$. akkeshi in Rodríguez and Daly (2010) might be based on a misidentified, or wrong specimen. As such, I had concluded Metedwardsia should be remained in Edwardsiidae in Izumi et al. (2018b).

In this study, Metedwardsia akkeshi (Uchida, 1932) and similar anemones were concluded to be the independent group from any anemones which are similar to Metedwardsia in morphology (see Chapter 1 and remarks of family Metedwardsiidae fam. nov.). The discussion of belonging of Metedwardsia akkeshi would be finished with this research.

## Metedwardsia akkeshi (Uchida, 1932)

(Japanese name: Hoso-isoginchaku; Uchida 1965)
Figs 76, 77; Table 43
Milne-Edwardsia akkeshi Uchida, 1932: 571, figs 1-4; Uchida, 1940: 266.

Drillactis akkeshi: Uchida, 1940: p. 267.
Metedwardsia akkeshi: Carlgren, 1949: 26; Song, 2003: 288, figs 3AF, 5C, table 2 (sic); Izumi et al., 2018: figs. 2-4, table 2

Material examined. NSMT-Co 1605: dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 30 June 2015, Chikarakotan mud flats, Lake Akkeshi, Hokkaido ( $43^{\circ} 01.28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 144^{\circ} 52.46^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), by wading, intertidal, collected by Takato Izumi and Kensuke Yanagi; CMNHZG 09137, two whole specimen, same date and place as NSMT-Co 1605; NSMT-Co 1606: whole specimens, 25 June 2017, Shinryu-hama Beach, Lake Akkeshi, Hokkaido ( $43^{\circ} 05.23^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 144^{\circ} 84.43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), by wading, intertidal, collected by Naoto Jimi; CMNH-ZG 4314: dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 31 July 2006, mud flats near the Onne-to Ohashi Bridge, Lake Onne-to, Hokkaido (43²6.50'N,
$145^{\circ} 49.77^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), by wading, intertidal, collected by Kensuke Yanagi and Marymegan Daly; NSMT-Co 1607: whole specimen, 27 June 2015, at same lake as CMNH-ZG 4314 ( $43^{\circ} 15.56^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 145^{\circ} 29.56^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ), by wading, intertidal, collected by Takato Izumi and Kensuke Yanagi; NSMT-Co 1608: dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 25 October 2014, Lake Furen, Hokkaido, by wading, intertidal, collected by Naoto Jimi; (Iz-093): whole specimens (4 individuals), same method, collector and date as NSMT-Co 1605; (Iz-094): dissected specimen, 8 November 2014, beach in Umi-no-koen Park, Yokohama, Kanagawa Pref., by snorkeling, 1 m depth, collected by Naoto Jimi; (Iz-095): whole or dissected specimens (8 individuals), 18 June 2017, beach in Umi-no-koen Park, Yokohama, Kanagawa Pref., by wading, intertidal, collected by Masako Ojima and Takato Izumi; (Iz-096): dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 4 November 2014, Denshin-hama beach, Hokkaido, by snorkeling, 3 m depth, collected by Naoto Jimi; (Iz-097): whole specimen (damaged), 11 June 2017, Denshin-hama beach, Hokkaido, by snorkeling (depth unknown), collected by Naoto Jimi; (Iz-098): whole specimens (two individuals), 12 September 2019, Syunkuni-Tai, Lake Furen, Hokkaido, intertidal, collected by Itaru Kobayashi.

Description. External anatomy. Body elongated and worm-like in shape; little divided into physa, scapus, and scapulus (Fig. 76A, B). Surface of column smooth, without nemathybomes. Outside of scapus surrounded thin covering imbued with detritus particles, mucus-membrane secerned by mucus cells. Scapulus and physa mostly concealed in the covering when contracted. Scapulus short and provided with 24 short conical tentacles arranged in three alternating cycles (Fig. 76C). Tentacles of inner and middle cycles same length or shorter than those of the outer. Lines indicating the insertion of mesenteries observed from outside in submarginal region but gradually obscure toward proximal end owing to the presence of thick ectoderm and well-developed mesogleal ring muscle. Physa, usually small and barely distinguishable from scapus, well expanded and contractible. Length of body ca. $20-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ and breadth of oral disc ca. $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Scapus and physa a little narrower than tentacular cycle. General color of column pale pink, tentacles brownish white, with dark brownish cross band (Fig. 76C). Occasional white spot on marginal part of oral disc below base of each tentacle. However, patterns absent on the tentacles of specimen from Muroran (Fig.

76A).
Internal anatomy. Epidermis of scapus and scapulus containing glandular cells thicker than mesoglea, furnished with ring muscles (Fig. 76D). Gastrodermis of scapulus generally similar in structure and thickness to those of both scapus and physa. Epidermis of scapus thick with a large number of glandular cells. Mesoglea thick in scapus, actinopharynx, and physa, but thinner in mesenteries and tentacles (Fig. 76D-J). Ectoderm thicker than mesoglea in physa. No sphincter muscle in capitulum and no basilar muscle in aboral end (Fig. 76D). Actinopharynx folded, ectoderm as thick as its mesoglea, and granular cells present. Tentacular longitudinal muscle ectodermal, distinct (Fig. 76F); tentacular circular muscle indistinct.

Mesenteries 24 in number of which 8 macrocnemes and 16 microcnemes (Fig. 76E). Out of 8 macrocnemes, 4 in two directive pairs, dorsal and ventral. Other dorso- or ventro-lateral mesenteries, unpaired with other macrocnemes. Out of 16 microcnemes, 4 between dorsal directives and dorsolateral mesenteries, 6 between dorso-lateral mesenteries and ventro-lateral ones, and the other 6 between ventro-lateral ones and ventral directives, comparatively tiny (Fig. 76E). All mesenteries including microcnemes extend from oral to aboral ends (Fig. 76E, H, J). On 8 macrocnemes, retractor muscles short and circumscribed and arranged in bilateral symmetry. Retractor muscles well developed, generally similar in form from scapulus to aboral end (Fig. $76 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}$ ). Muscle fibers in the most developed muscle pennon about 10, each fiber highly branched (Fig. 76I) into 10 or more; $2-3$ in lower part also branched into several fibers. On 16 microcnemes, muscle a little developed or not at all, rarely branched into muscle fiber. Parietal muscle folds few and radially elongated (Fig. 76G). Mesenterial filament shape trilobated leaf near upper part, consisting of a median cnidoglandular and two lateral ciliated tracts; in lower part, mesenterial filament shape broadly kidney-like, furnished with glandular cells and nematocysts (Fig. 76I). Base of ciliated tract digestive region and arranged by high density of endoderm cells. Reproductive region between muscle pennon and mesenterial filaments. Ovary or testicular saccules in a row imbedded in mesoglea, ovary in histological sections (Fig. 76I), dioecious. All microcnemes devoid of filaments and little furnished with parietal muscle similar to that of macrocnemes (Fig. 76).

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores,
microbasic amastigophores. See Table 43 for size and distribution.
Habitat. Generally, inhabits soft mud in the brackish intertidal zone, associated with the sea grass Zostera japonica. Although Shinryu-hama Beach in Akkeshi Bay opens on to the sea, the water is brackish due to input from Lake Akkeshi. This species tends to live in patchy aggregations of several individuals. Habitat of Yokohama is completely in sea water exceptionally, but there are also sea grasses bearing.

Remarks. The examined specimens corresponded well to the description of Uchida in 1932, but some morphological features were found to differ slightly or were not included in the original description. For example, Uchida (1932a) noted that $M$. akkeshi has a capitulum, but I identified the part of the column near the tentacles as scapulus rather than capitulum. The cnidom, which were not included in Uchida (1932a), are here described for the first time.

After the description of Uchida (1932a), there are few study referring to M. akkeshi (Uchida et al., 1963; Uchida and Soyama, 2001), and none of them recorded additional specimen newly collected. So, this research should be the collection of M. akkeshi after a long time from description over 80 years before.

Whether this species inhabits out of Hokkaido, or not. Song (2003) reported M. akkeshi from Deokjeokdo Island, South Korea, which is the sole record of M. akkeshi from localities other than Akkeshi. Based on their description, it is probable that the Korean specimen is at least within the genus Metedwardsia, because it only has 8 macrocnemes and 16 microcnemes extending beyond the whole bodies, but I suspect that the Korean specimen is not Metedwardsia akkeshi, for the following reasons. First, several differences between the cnidoms in the specimens of Song (2003) and those of this study are apparent; for example, Song (2003) described three types of microbasic $p$-mastigophores that differed in size in the filaments. Comparison of the sizes of these microbasic $p$-mastigophores with those of our specimens suggested that the smallest microbasic $p$-mastigophores in the Korean specimens would be equivalent to the microbasic amastigophores of the Akkeshi specimens, and the medium sized Korean ones would be equivalent to the microbasic b-mastigophores of our specimens. However, large Korean microbasic $p$-mastigophores were not found in our specimens, and I did find any spirocysts in the column or the scapus. Second, Deokjeokdo Island, where
the Korean specimen was collected, is over 1500 km across the Yellow Sea from Akkeshi; moreover, the distribution of M. akkeshi in Japan appears to be limited to southeast Hokkaido, and only Yokohama was the exceptional locality in Japan. Finally, Song (2003) reported that the Korean specimens were found in sandy mud flats, whereas M. akkeshi in Hokkaido always inhabits quite muddy seashore environments, which feature substantially different substratum. Thus, I thought that it was not likely that species of Metedwardsia in Korea was M. akkeshi.

However, this study collected several individuals of species of Metedwardsia inhabited in Hakkeijima, Yokohama, Kanagawa Prefecture (Fig. 77B). These anemones were so resembling that they cannot be distinguished from Metedwardsia akkeshi by both external and internal features. So, this study resulted in the conclusion that M. akkeshi is somehow living in Yokohama, far distant from localities in Hokkaido. I thought two possibilities: one is that this species had been carried by someone from original locality, like ballast water of ships (see remarks of Edwardsia sipunculoides). If this hypothesis is right, Korea species might be M. akkeshi introduced from Japan in recent years. The other possibility is just there are cryptic species in M. akkeshi.

Where is the original locality? Uchida (1932a) reported that the Metedwardsia akkeshi specimens used in the original description were collected in "Akkeshi Cove" but did not provide more detailed information regarding the specific location. I could not identify the exact location of the cove because there are two cove-like coastline features in Akkeshi, one along Akkeshi Bay, an inlet on the Pacific Ocean, and the other along Lake Akkeshi, a brackish lake connected to Akkeshi Bay by a narrow channel. Identifying the exact location of the original specimens is essential because many environmental factors, such as sediment type and water salinity, differ greatly between Akkeshi Bay and Lake Akkeshi. Uchida (1940) noted that $M$. akkeshi was unique to "this Bay" and that M. akkeshi is common in muddy flats along the shoreline of "Akkeshi Cove". Based on these clues, I speculate that Akkeshi Cove, the type locality of this edwardsiid, is most likely located along the shoreline of Lake Akkeshi. In addition, the reference to "muddy" flats points toward the intertidal zone of Lake Akkeshi, as the intertidal sediments of the lake are generally muddier than those of Akkeshi Bay. Nevertheless, I could not assert with certitude the exact location of "Akkeshi

Cove" based on Uchida's descriptions.
Here, I re-described M. akkeshi from specimens recently collected in both Lake Akkeshi and Akkeshi Bay, in Hokkaido, Japan. In both locations, M. akkeshi inhabited brackish intertidal zones with muddy substratum; in Akkeshi Bay, M. akkeshi was found solely at Shinryu-hama Beach, where the substratum is exceptionally muddy, and no M. akkeshi were found in other intertidal areas in the bay, which are mainly rocky or stony. Salinity levels are also likely to be lower at Shinryu-hama Beach than at other seashore sites in Akkeshi Bay, as it is located near to the junction with Lake Akkeshi and thus is exposed to brackish water flow from the lake. Given that both M. akkeshi specimens from Nemuro (Lake Onne-to and Furen) were collected from brackish lakes, the brackish waters and muddy substrates of Lake Akkeshi likely provide the preferred habitat of this species. Finally, I conclude that the "Akkeshi Cove" referred to by Uchida (1932a, 1940) is located along the shoreline of Lake Akkeshi.
(Description, Fig. 76, and part of Table 43 are revised and reprinted Izumi et al. [2017] by courtesy of Species Diversity.)

Metedwardsia sp. nov. 30
(New Japanese name: madara-hoso-isoginchaku)
Fig. 78; Table 43
Material examined. (Iz-099): histological sections and prepared nematocysts, 16 May 2014, Muddy bottom in Aburatsubo-Bay, Misaki, Kanagawa Pref., 3-4 m in depth, collected by Takato Izumi.

Description. External anatomy. Body elongated and worm-like in shape; apparently divided into scapus and scapulus (Fig. 78A). Surface of column smooth, mucus-membrane indistinct. Scapulus concealed in the covering when contracted. Scapulus apparent and provided with 24 long slender tentacles arranged in three alternating cycles. Tentacles of inner and middle cycles same length or shorter than those of the outer. Lines indicating the insertion of mesenteries observed from outside in submarginal region, and gradually apparent toward proximal end owing to the semitransparent body. Proximal end barely distinguishable from scapus, semitransparent, well expanded and contractible. Length of body ca. 12 mm and breadth of body ca. 3 mm . General color pale white or semitransparent, tentacles brownish yellow, with black and white patches mingled (Fig. 78B).

Internal anatomy. Gastrodermis of scapulus generally similar in structure and thickness to those of both scapus. Distinct glandular cells absent on scapus. Mesoglea thick in actinopharynx, scapulus and distal side of scapus, but thinner in proximal side of scapus, mesenteries and tentacles (Fig. 78C, D). Ectoderm thin (Fig. 78C, D). No sphincter muscle in capitulum and no basilar muscle in aboral end. Actinopharynx folded, ectoderm thicker than mesoglea.

Mesenterial arrangement same as M. akkeshi. Microcnemes tiny (Fig. 78C). All mesenteries including microcnemes extend from oral to aboral end. On 8 macrocnemes, retractor muscles short and circumscribed and arranged in bilateral symmetry. Retractor muscles well developed, generally similar in form from scapulus to aboral end (Fig. 78C-E). Muscle fibers in the most developed muscle pennon about 10, each process highly branched (Fig. 78D, E ), but indistinct because of insufficiently fixed. On 16 microcnemes, muscles too little developed to observing, rarely branched into muscle fiber. Parietal muscle indistinct or collapsed for bad preservation (Fig. 78E). Reproductive region between muscle pennon and mesenterial filaments, but matured gametes absent. All microcnemes devoid of filaments and little furnished with parietal muscle similar to that of macrocnemes.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 43 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 44 for comparison to the other species of the genus Metedwardsia. This species was distinguished from M. akkeshi by the differences as below: contrary to the M. akkeshi which have bodies not differentiated into scapus and scapulus, the border between scapus and scapulus of Metedwardsia sp. nov. 30 is quite distinct; microbasic $p$ mastigophores only exists in actinopharynx of Metedwardsia sp. nov. 30; body size of $M$. sp. nov. 30 is apparently smaller than M. akkeshi; concerning to the habitats, Metedwardsia sp. nov. 30 inhabits in seawater while M. akkeshi generally inhabits in brackish water.

Though this anemone was determined as a new species, it is expected that new specimens in good conditions are collected from Aburatsubo-Bay in future.

Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31
(New Japanese name: goku-boso-isoginchaku)

Fig. 79; Table 43
Material examined. CMNH-ZG 5943: dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 8 July 2013, Ogushi, Nakajima-Island, Ehime Pref., intertidal, collected by Kensuke Yanagi; (Iz100): dissected specimen, histological sections, tissues in paraffin, and prepared nematocysts, 28 April 2017, muddy bottom in Nishidomari-Port, Kochi Pref., 3m depth, collected by Takato Izumi.

Description. External anatomy. Body quite elongated, slender and worm-like in shape; little divided into physa, scapus, and scapulus (Fig. 79A). Surface of column smooth, without nemathybomes. Mucus-membrane indistinct. 24 short conical tentacles arranged in three alternating cycles (Fig. 79B). Tentacles of inner and middle cycles same length or shorter than those of the outer. Lines indicating the insertion of mesenteries observed from whole body but generally obscure. Proximal end of the body barely distinguishable from scapus, well expanded and contractible. Length of body ca. 20 mm and breadth ca. 2 mm . Scapus equally narrow among whole body. General color of column and tentacles pale white, the latter with dark gray cross bands (Fig. 79B). Discontinuous gray circle on oral disc around mouth.

Internal anatomy. Epidermis of scapus containing glandular cells as thick as mesoglea, furnished with ring muscles (Fig. 79C). Gastrodermis thinner than mesoglea in scapus. Glandular cells indistinct. Mesoglea thick in scapus, actinopharynx, but thinner in mesenteries and tentacles (Fig. 79CH). Ectoderm thicker than mesoglea in proximal end (Fig. 79H). No sphincter muscle in scapus and no basilar muscle in aboral end (Fig. 79C, H). Actinopharynx folded, ectoderm as thick as its mesoglea. Tentacular longitudinal and circular muscle indistinct (Fig. 79G).

Mesenterial arrangement same as M. akkeshi. Microcnemes tiny (Fig. 79E). Microcnemes comparatively tiny (Fig. 79F). All mesenteries including microcnemes extend from oral to aboral ends (Fig. 79 D-F). On 8 macrocnemes, retractor muscles short and circumscribed and arranged in bilateral symmetry. Retractor muscles well developed, generally similar in form from scapulus to aboral end (Fig. 79D-F). Muscle fibers in the most developed muscle pennon about 6-8, each fiber slightly branched (Fig. 79F) into $5-13$ processes. On 16 microcnemes, muscle a little developed or not at all, sometimes branched into $<10$ muscle processes (Fig. 79F). Parietal muscle folds few and radially elongated (Fig. 79F). Mesenterial filament shape rarely
trilobated, shape broadly kidney-like, furnished with glandular cells and nematocysts. Base of ciliated tract digestive region and arranged by high density of endoderm cells. Reproductive region between muscle pennon and mesenterial filaments, but matured gametes absent (Fig. 79D). Lateral four mesenteries the most fertile (Fig. 79F), and directives less furnished. All microcnemes devoid of filaments and little furnished with parietal muscle similar to that of macrocnemes.

Cnidom. Basitrichs, spirocysts, microbasic $b$-mastigophores, and microbasic $p$-mastigophores. See Table 43 for size and distribution.

Remarks. See Table 44 for comparison to the other species of the genus Metedwardsia. This species was distinguished from M. akkeshi by the differences as below: the body proportion of Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31 is slenderer and uniform than M. akkeshi; both microbasic $p$-mastigophores and $b$-mastigophores only exists in actinopharynx of Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31; concerning to the habitats, Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31 inhabits in seawater while M. akkeshi inhabits in brackish water. Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31 is distinguished from $M$. sp. nov. 30 by its column which is not strongly differentiated into scapulus and physa and the plenty of variation of cnidae in actinopharynx (Table 43).

## SAMMARY of topical taxa of Enthemonae

1. The superfamily Actinostoloidea have ignored the family Capneidae. The present study diagnostically revised this superfamily to include Capneidae and a new family Discoactinidae, to which Discoactis sp. nov. 27 with strange mesenterial and tentacular arrangements is belonging.
2. In the superfamily Actinioidea, I have discovered four new species and one redescribed species in Haloclavidae. Anemonactis minuta comb. nov., which has been synonymized into another species, is reestablished in this study.
3. Species of Metedwardsia should be accommodated into a new family Metedwardsiidae in the superfamily Metridioidea. There are two additional species, M. sp. nov. 30 and $M$. sp. nov. 31, in this genera other than the type species, M. akkeshi.


Fig. 66. External morphology of Discoactis sp. nov. 27.
$\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}$, and E : views of a preserved specimen, C : view of living specimen. A. tentacles elongated (CMNH-ZG [no registration 1]). B. tentacles contracted (CMNH-ZG [no registration 2]); C. Oral view of oral disc (Iz-084); D. Proximal view of basal disc (CMNH-ZG [no registration 2]); E. Enlarged view of D (CMNH-ZG [no registration 2]). I-V indicates the number of mesenterial cycle. Abbreviations: bd, basal disc; cap, capitulum; scs, scapus; te, tentacle. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A $-\mathrm{D}, 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ in E, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 67. Internal morphology of Discoactis sp. nov. 27.
A, C-G: CMNH-ZG (no registration 1), B: CMNH-ZG (no registration 2). A, B. Longitudinal section of distal end; C. Transverse section of upper column D. longitudinal section of tentacle; E. Transverse section of tentacle; F. transverse section of proximal end (basal disc); G. Enlarged view of transverse section of column. I-V indicates the number of mesenterial cycle. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; cap, capitulum; fi, filament; ima, imperfect macrocneme; me, mesoglea; mi, microcneme; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; pma, perfect macrocneme; rm, retractor muscle; tcm, tentacle circular muscle; tlm, tentacle longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 1 mm in A-C, F, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D, E, G.


Fig. 68. External morphology of Antennapeachia setouchi Izumi and Yanagi, 2016, holotype, NSMT-Co1653, in living state.
A. Lateral view, with attached particles of mud and pieces of shell; two antenna tentacles are rising upward; B. Oral view; conchula is located on ventral side of mouth; C. Lateral view of aboral end. Abbreviations: at, antenna tentacles; c, conchula; rt, regular tentacle. All scale bars indicate 5 mm .


Fig.69. Internal morphology of Antennapeachia setouchi, holotype, NSMT-Co 1653. A. Transverse section of column, actinopharynx, and siphonoglyph; B. Transverse section of microcneme between a ventral directive and a single macrocneme (arrowhead); C. Transverse section of the upper part of the column, same direction in B , The microcneme in B is disappeared, arrowhead indicates the position of the microcneme located in B; D. Longitudinal section of regular tentacles; E. Transverse section of regular tentacle; F. Enlargement of a part of E, showing ectodermal longitudinal muscles (indicated by arrowheads); G. Transverse section of antenna tentacles; H Enlarged view of a part of G, showing ectodermal longitudinal muscles (indicated by arrowheads); I. Transverse section of a macrocneme with filament; J. Longitudinal section of the aboral end. The aboral end is somewhat hollowed without basal muscle; K. Enlarged view of a part of J. no basal muscles were observed at the base of the mesentery. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; f, filament; me, mesoglea; mi , microcneme, pbm, parietal basilar muscle, rm, retractor muscle, s, siphonoglyph; sma, single macrocneme; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle; vd, ventral directive.


Fig. 70. Antennapeachia jambio Izumi, Yanagi, and Fujita, 2017, CMNH-ZG 06546 (holotype). External morphology in living state (A, B) and internal morphology of the preserved specimen (C-I).
A. Oral view; the conchula is located on the ventral side of the mouth; B. Lateral view of the whole body; C. Transverse section of the column; D. Longitudinal section of a tentacle; E . Transverse section of a tentacle showing the ectodermal longitudinal muscles (indicated by arrowheads); F. Transverse section of the column, enlargement of a part of C; G. Longitudinal section of the oral end of the column; H. Transverse section of the mesentery with filament; I. Longitudinal section of the aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; at, antenna tentacle; c, conchula; f, filament; me, mesoglea; mi, microcneme; mt, marginal tentacle; pm, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; tcm , tentacular circular muscle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 5 mm in A and B, 1 mm in C, and $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D-I. Pictures A and B were taken by Kensuke Yanagi.


Fig. 71. External and internal morphology of Haloclava sp. nov. 28 (Iz-088).
External morphology in living state (A, B) and internal morphology of the preserved specimen (C-H). A. Lateral view of the whole body; B. Oral view; C. Transverse section of a tentacle; D. Longitudinal section of a tentacle; E . Transverse section of middle column; F. Transverse section of the macrocneme; G. Enlarged view of transverse section of ovary; H. Longitudinal section of the aboral end. Abbreviations: a, actinopharynx; ac, acrosphere; fi, filament; ma, macrocneme; mi, microcneme; mt, marginal tentacle; ov, ovary; pa, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; scs, scapus; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; te, tentacle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale bars indicate 1 cm in A and B, 1 mm in E, and $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C, D, F-H.


Fig. 72. External views of Anemonactis minuta (Wassilieff, 1908) comb. nov.
A-B: CMNH-ZG 1619; A. Whole live specimen; B. View from oral direction. C. Whole live specimen of CMNH-ZG 09203; D. View from oral direction of NSMT-Co 1621. E. NSMT-Co 1622, whole live specimen (taken by Yoshihisa Fujita). Abbreviations: ac, acrosphere; ap, aperture; te, tentacle. All scale bars 5 mm .


Fig. 73. Histological sections of Anemonactis minuta (Wassilieff, 1908) comb. nov. A, B, D, E, G: NSMT-Co 1621; C, H, I: NSMT-Co 1620; F: NSMT-Co 1619. A. Longitudinal section of proximal end. B. Transverse section of tentacle. C. Longitudinal section of tentacle. D. Transverse section of acrosphere. E. Longitudinal section of acrosphere. F. Transverse section of actinopharynx. G. Longitudinal section of aboral end. H. Transverse section of a mesentery. I. Transverse section of gonad. Abbreviations: ac, acrosphere; ap, actinopharynx; me, mesoglea; o, oocyte; pm, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; s, siphonoglyph; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Bold scale bars $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and fine scale bars $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. 74. External views of Anemonactis sp. nov. 29.
A, B, D: CMNH-ZG 0275; C: NSMT-Co R907. A. Lateral view of live specimen; B. View from oral direction. (Note that the object on the mouth is not a conchula but inversion of actinopharynx because it can be contained in the mouth completely.); C. Lateral view of fixed specimen; D. Enlarged view of column (white spots are sand grains). Abbreviations: ac, acrosphere; ap, aperture; te, tentacle. All scale bars indicate 5 mm . Photograph of (a) was reproduced from Yanagi (2006). Picture A, B, D were taken by Kensuke Yanagi.


Fig. 75. Histological sections of Anemonactis sp. nov. 29 (CMNH-ZG 0275).
A. Transverse section of actinopharynx; B. Transverse section of acrosphere; C. Longitudinal section of acrosphere; D. Longitudinal section of tentacle; E. Transverse section of tentacle; F. Transverse section of a mesentery and gonads; G. Enlarged view of transverse section of parietal muscle; H. Longitudinal section of aboral end. Abbreviations: ac, acrosphere; o, oocyte; pm, parietal muscle; rm, retractor muscle; tcm, tentacular circular muscle; tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Bold scale bars in A, F, H indicates 1 mm and fine scale bars in B-E, G indicates $200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Photograph A is reproduced from Yanagi (2006).


Fig. 76. External and internal morphology of Metedwardsia akkeshi Uchida, 1932.
A. Outer view of Metedwardsia akkeshi (NSMT-Co 1605); B. Outer view of live specimen (NSMT-Co 1606); C. Oral view (NSMT-Co 1606); D. Longitudinal section of oral part of $M$. akkeshi (NSMT-Co 1605, same hereafter); E. Transverse section of actinopharynx and column; F. Transverse section of tentacle; G. Transverse section of a mesentery and parietal basal muscle; H. Transverse section of mesenteries, gonads, and filaments; I. Enlarged view of mesenteries. J. Transverse section of physa. Abbreviations: Ac, actinopharynx; Fi, filament; Ma, macrocneme; Me, mesoglea; Mi, microcneme; Ov, ovary; Pa, parietal muscle; Ph, physa; Rm, retractor muscle; Scl, scapulus; Scs, scapus; Te, tentacle; Tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale: 5 mm in A-C; 1 mm in D, E, H;500 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in J; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in F, G, I.


Fig. 77. Intraspecific difference of Metedwardsia akkeshi.
A. M. akkeshi from Muroran, Hokkaido. (Iz-096). B. M. akkeshi from Yokohama, Kanagawa Pref. (Iz-095). Picture A was taken by Naoto Jimi.


Fig. 78. External and internal morphology of Metedwardsia sp. nov. 30 (Iz-099).
A. Outer view of Metedwardsia sp. nov. 30; B. Enlarged view of distal end; C. Transverse section of a mesentery and parietal muscle; D, E. Enlarged view of mesenteries and actinopharynx. Abbreviations: Ac, actinopharynx; Ma, macrocneme; Mi, microcneme; Pa, parietal muscle; Ph, physa; Rm, retractor muscle; Scl, scapulus; Scs, scapus; Te, tentacle; Tlm, tentacular longitudinal muscle. Scale: 5 mm in A, B; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C; $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in D, E.


Fig. 79. External and internal morphology of Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31.
A-D, F-H: CMNH-ZG 5943, E: (Iz-100). A. Outer view of Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31 (CMNH-ZG 5943); B. Oral view of live specimen; C. Longitudinal section of oral part; D. Transverse section of mid-part of column; E. Transverse section of upper part of column and actinopharynx; F. Transverse section of lower part of column; G. Transverse and longitudinal section of tentacles; H. Longitudinal section of physa. Abbreviations: Ac, actinopharynx; Ma, macrocneme; Mi, microcneme; Pa, parietal muscle; Ph, physa; Rm, retractor muscle; Scs, scapus; Te, tentacle. Scale: 5 mm in A, B; $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in C-F, H; 100 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in G. Picture B was taken by Kensuke Yanagi.
Table 35. Comparison of Discoactinidae fam. nov. and the other three families of superfamily Actinostoloidea.

|  | Discoactinidae fam. nov. | Capneidae Gosse, 1860 | Actinostolidae Carlgren, 1932 | Exocoelactinidae Carlgren, 1925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type genus | Discoactis gen. nov. | Capnea Forbes, 1841 | Actinostola Verrill, 1879 | Exocoelactis Carlgren, 1925 |
| The other genera |  | Actinoporus Duchassaing, 1850 | Antholoba Hertwig, 1882 <br> Anthosactis Danielssen, 1890 <br> Antiparactis Verrill, 1899 <br> Bathydactylus Carlgren, 1928 <br> Cnidanthus Carlgren, 1927 <br> Glandulactis Riemann-Zürneck, 1978 <br> Hadalanthus Carlgren, 1956 <br> Hormosoma Stephenson, 1918 <br> Ophiodiscus Hertwig, 1882 <br> Paranthus Andres, 1883 <br> Parasicyonis Carlgren, 1921 <br> Pseudoparactis Stephenson, 1920 <br> Pycnanthus McMurrich, 1893 <br> Sicyonis Hertwig, 1882 <br> Stomphia Gosse, 1859 <br> Synsicyonis Carlgren, 1921 <br> Tealidium Hertwig, 1882 |  |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |
| Number of macrocnemes in the first cycle | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Marginal sphincter muscle (Origin) <br> Size of mesenterial pairs (Developing rule) | Absent <br> Equal <br> (General rule) | Present (Endodermal) Equal (General rule) | Present (Mesogleal) Unequal (Actinostola rule) | Present (Mesogleal) Unequal (Exocoelactis rule) |
| References | The present study | Forbes (1841) <br> Gosse (1860) <br> Carlgren (1949) | Verrill (1879) <br> Carlgren (1932) <br> Carlgren (1949) <br> Yanagi (2017) | Carlgren (1925) <br> Yanagi et al. (2015) <br> Yanagi (2017) |

Table 36. Cnidom of Discoactis sp. nov. 27

|  | Discoactis sp. nov. 27 <br> CMNH-ZG (no registration 1) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | $13.6-21.9 \times 2.0-3.3$ | numerous |
| spirocysts |  | 17.5-52.0 $\times$ 2.4-4.5 | numerous |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | 11.7-15.4 $\times$ 1.9-2.9 | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | S | 19.5-26.9 $\times$ 3.4-4.8 | numerous |
|  | L | 20.9-25.6 × 5.4-7.4 | numerous |
| Column |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | 11.2-15.9 $\times 2.0-2.4$ | rare |
| Filament |  |  |  |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | S | 18.8-21.7 $\times$ 4.1-6.2 | a few |
|  | L | 28.2-36.1 $\times 4.8-7.6$ | numerous |


|  |  | Antennapeachia setouchi NSMT-Co 1653 |  | Antennapeachia jambio CMNH-ZG 06546 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Antenna tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | $12.0-31.8 \times$ 1.4-3.9 | numerous | 18.6-26.9 $\times$ 2.9-4.2 | numerous |
| spirocysts |  | 13.7-29.9 $\times$ 2.0-4.2 | numerous | 16.0-37.4 $\times 2.5-5.3$ | numerous |
| Regular tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | 8.3-34.8 $\times$ 1.6-4.2 | numerous | 20.4-27.5 × 2.4-4.2 | numerous |
| spirocysts |  | $10.3-23.7 \times 1.9-3.9$ | numerous | 16.6-39.4 $\times 2.7-4.9$ | numerous |
| microbasic amastigophores |  |  |  | $44.6-47.9 \times 9.9-11.6$ | rare |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | 7.0-16.0 $\times 1.5-3.3$ | numerous | 20.7-30.2 $\times$ 2.6-4.1 | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | type-1 |  |  | 44.2-51.8 $\times 9.5-13.5$ | numerous |
|  | type-2 |  |  | $51.9-61.7 \times 11.2-14.9$ | rare |
| microbasic amastigophores |  |  |  | 38.3-45.8 $\times 9.3-12.0$ | numerous |
| Column |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | 7.9-29.2 $\times$ 1.5-3.7 | numerous | 12.9-17.6 $\times 2.6-4.4$ | numerous |
| microbasic amastigophores |  | 23.5-28.6 × 4.6-5.5 | rare |  |  |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | 7.5-17.9 $\times$ 1.3-2.9 | numerous | 10.5-13.2 $\times$ 2.6-3.2 | a few |
|  | L | $24.2-31.4 \times 2.2-3.6$ | a few | $20.3-27.9 \times 2.3-4.2$ | numerous |
| spirocysts |  | 17.0-34.7 $\times 2.5-4.5$ | numerous |  |  |
| microbasic p-mastigophores |  |  |  | 26.1 -38.9 × 5.6-9.4 | numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | 22.6-35.6 $\times$ 4.0-5.8 | numerous |  |  |
| microbasic amastigophores |  | 21.0-27.0 $\times 4.6-6.7$ | numerous | 51.0-52.9 $\times 11.9-12.8$ | rare |
| macrobasic mastigophores |  | 41.4-43.6 $\times 14.5-15.0$ | rare |  |  |

Table 37. Comparison of genus Antennapeachia and the other three genera of Haloclavidae with conchula on their mouth.

|  | Antennapeachia <br> Izumi and Yanagi, 2016 | Peachia Gosse, 1855 | Metapeachia Carlgren, 1943 | Synneachia <br> Yap, Fautin, Ramos and Tan, 2014 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type species | Antennapeachia setouchi <br> Izumi and Yanagi, 2016 | Peachia hastata Gosse, 1855 | Metapeachia tropica <br> (Panikkar, 1938) | Synpeachia tamasek <br> Yap, Fautin, Ramos and Tan, 2014 |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |
| Antenna tentacle | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |
| Mesenteries | Macrocnemes and Microcnemes | Macrocnemes and Microcnemes | All macrocnemes | All macrocnemes |
| Siphonoglyph | Connected to actinopharynx | Connected to actinopharynx | Completely separated | Completely separated |
| Number of mesenteries | 14 or 16 | 12 | Gosse (1855) | Carlgren (1943) |
| References | Izumi et al. (2016) | Yap et al. (2014) | Yap et al. (2014) | 20 |

\footnotetext{
Table 39. Cnidoms of the species of Haloclava and Anemonactis. The cnidom of Anemonactis sp. nov. 29 is reproduced from Yanagi (2006).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Haloclava sp. nov. } 28 \\ (\mathrm{Iz}-088) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | NSMT-Co 1619 |  | Anemonactis minutaNSMT-Co 1620 |  | Anemonactis sp. nov. 29 CMNH-ZG 275 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Acrosphere basitrichs |  | 158.9-273.8 × 3.3-7.0 | numerous | 70.2-133.7 $\times$ 4.1-6.4 | numerous | 72.7-144.6 × 3.5-6.1 | numerous | 75.8-186.7 $\times$ 3.0-5.7 | numerous |
| Tentacle basitrichs | ${ }_{\text {S }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0-19.0 \times 2.4-3.9 \\ & 31.1-42.4 \times 4.3-6.2 \end{aligned}$ | numerous numerous | 11.1-20.6 $\times$ 2.9-3.9 | a few | 10.4-14.4 $\times$ 2.7-3.6 | a few | 11.5-20.2 $\times 1.9-3.4$ | numerous |
| Actinopharynx basitrichs | M | $10.2-17.9 \times 2.7-3.9$ $47.1-70.9 \times 6.6-8.7$ | numerous numerous | $11.6-14.6 \times 2.5-3.2$ $34.7-46.2 \times 3.9-5.8$ | a few <br> numerous | $10.1-12.3 \times 2.3-3.1$ $38.2-48.4 \times 4.3-7.1$ | rare numerous | $\begin{aligned} & 19.0-21.0 \times 2.6-3.3 \\ & 28.1-51.6 \times 3.0-5.2 \\ & 56.0-75.5 \times 4.9-7.5 \end{aligned}$ | rare numerous numerous |
| Column basitrichs | S | $\begin{aligned} & 13.5-32.8 \times 3.1-4.1 \\ & 27.7-41.5 \times 5.1-6.8 \end{aligned}$ | numerous <br> a few | 12.7-21.6 $\times 2.3-4.4$ | numerous | 13.9-19.9 $\times 2.8-4.3$ | numerous | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9-21.1 \times 1.6-3.1 \\ & 23.5-31.7 \times 3.2-4.7 \end{aligned}$ | numerous numerous |
| Filament basitrichs | M | $16.2-20.6 \times 2.7-4.0$ $73.5-94.6 \times 4.8-6.6$ | numerous numerous | $\begin{aligned} & 11.2-18.1 \times 2.4-4.0 \\ & 25.2-39.3 \times 4.0-5.7 \\ & 93.2-138.2 \times 3.7-5.4 \end{aligned}$ | numerous numerous rare | $\begin{gathered} 8.5-15.9 \times 2.3-3.5 \\ 33.5-44.5 \times 3.8-5.6 \\ 131.1-141.3 \times 3.5-4.8 \end{gathered}$ | a few numerous rare | $\begin{aligned} & 15.4-19.4 \times 2.5-3.2 \\ & 73.2-99.5 \times 4.0-5.9 \end{aligned}$ | a few numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | 62.2-78.8 $\times 7.0-8.9$ | a few | $33.3-43.5 \times 6.9-10.4$ | numerous | 32.5-43.4 $\times$ 6.2-8.8 | numerous | 53.0-78.9 $\times$ 6.9-9. 1 | numerous |

Table 40. Comparison of Haloclava sp. nov. 28 and the other species of genus Haloclava

Table 42. Comparison of Metedwardsiidae fam. nov. and the other families without the basal disc in superfamily Metridioidea.

|  | Metedwardsiidae fam. nov. | Halcampidae Andres, 1883 | Andvakiidae Danielessen, 1890 | Galatheanthemidae Carlgren, 1956 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type genus | Metedwardsia Carlgren, 1947 | Halcampa Gosse, 1858 | Andvakia Danielessen, 1890 | Galatheanthemum Carlgren, 1956 |
| The other genera | none | Cactosoma Danielssen, 1890 <br> Halcampaster Carlgren, 1938 <br> Halianthella Kwietniewski, 1896 <br> Kodioides Danielssen, 1890 <br> Mena Stephenson, 1920 <br> Neohalcampa Sanamyan, 2001 <br> Parahalcampa Carlgren, 1927 <br> Halcampoides Danielssen, 1890 <br> Acthelmis Lütken, 1875 <br> Calamactinia Carlgren, 1949 <br> Calamactis Carlgren, 1951 <br> Pentactinia Carlgren, 1900 <br> Scytophorus Hertwig, 1882 <br> Siphonactinopsis Carlgren, 1921 | Ilyactis Andres, 1881 <br> Synandwakia Carlgren, 1947 | none |
| Characters |  |  |  |  |
| Number of macrocnemes in the first cycle | 8 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Marginal sphincter muscle | Absent | Present or absent | Present | Present |
| References | Carlgren (1947) <br> Carlgren (1949) <br> The present study | Gosse (1858) <br> Andres (1883) <br> Carlgren (1949) <br> Fautin (2016) <br> Gusmão et al. (2016) | Danielessen (1890) <br> Carlgren (1949) | Carlgren (1956) <br> Rodríguez et al. (2014) |

Table 43. Cnidoms of the species of Metedwardsia.

|  | Metedwardsia akkeshi |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Metedwardsia sp. nov. } 30 \\ & \text { (Iz-099) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31 CMNH-ZG 5943 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency | Length $\times$ Width ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | frequency |
| Tentacle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | 13.3-22.3 $\times$ 1.7-3.6 | numerous | 15.9-24.0 $\times$ 2.0-3.5 | numerous | 7.9-16.5 $\times$ 2.0-3.2 | numerous | 10.7-19.8 $\times$ 2.4-3.7 | numerous |
| spirocysts |  | 11.0-19.2 $\times 2.0-3.3$ | numerous | $12.0-21.2 \times 1.8-3.8$ | numerous | 10.3-18.2 $\times$ 2.4-4.3 | numerous | $12.3-19.4 \times 2.7-4.0$ | numerous |
| Actinopharynx |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs | S | $12.4-28.7 \times 1.6-3.4$ | numerous | $11.4-18.8 \times 2.0-3.4$ | numerous | 10.9-24.8 $\times 1.9-3.3$ | numerous | $13.9-18.5 \times 2.4-3.3$ | numerous |
|  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.9-24.0 $\times$ 2.0-3.6 | numerous |
| microbasic p-mastigophores |  |  |  |  |  | $22.9-30.1 \times 3.9-6.3$ | a few | 23.8-29.6 $\times$ 3.6-6.1 | numerous |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | 21.9-27.0 $\times$ 3.5-5.4 | a few |  |  |  |  | $25.1-30.1 \times 4.2-5.4$ | numerous |
| Column |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | $8.9-19.9 \times 2.0-3.2$ | numerous | $8.0-16.0 \times 2.0-3.8$ | numerous | $8.1-16.0 \times 1.7-3.6$ | numerous | $10.4-16.0 \times 2.1-3.8$ | numerous |
| Filament |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| basitrichs |  | $15.9-37.7 \times 2.3-4.7$ | numerous | 15.0-43.6 $\times$ 2.1-4.8 | numerous | 10.3-15.9 $\times 1.8$-3.3 | numerous | 13.3-17.9 $\times$ 2.3-3.7 | numerous |
| microbasic $p$-mastigophores | S |  |  |  |  | 8.3-11.1 $\times$ 3.1-3.9 | a few | $10.2-11.7 \times 2.9-3.6$ | rare |
|  | L |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.8-33.7 $\times$ 3.4-5.9 | a few |
| microbasic $b$-mastigophores |  | 22.2-30.8 $\times$ 3.5-5.0 | numerous | 25.3-35.5 $\times$ 3.9-6.1 | numerous | $21.2-28.7 \times 3.7-4.6$ | a few | 24.0-29.2 $\times$ 4.6-5.2 | rare |
| microbasic amastigophores |  | 8.8-12.3 $\times$ 2.9-4.2 | numerous | $9.6-15.4 \times 2.9-4.7$ | numerous |  |  |  |  |

Table 44. Comparison of two new species of Metedwardsia and Metedwardsia akkeshi.

|  | Metedwardsia akkeshi (Uchida, 1932) | Metedwardsia sp. nov. 30 | Metedwardsia sp. nov. 31 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Characters |  |  |  |
| Habitat | Brackish Lake (or brackish areas of the sea) | Sea | Sea |
| Body length | $20-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 12 mm | $20-22 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Body width | $3-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 3 mm | 2 mm |
| Pattern on tentacles | Dark brownish cross bands | Mingled black and white patches | Dark brownish cross bands |
| Cnidom of actinopharynx | Only one type of nematocysts | Two types of nematocysts | Three types of nematocysts |
|  | Uchida (1932) | The present study | The present study |
| References | Carlgren (1947) |  |  |

## Chapter 3. Evolutionary Estimation of Anenthemonae

## 3A. Ancestral body form of Actiniaria and evolution of the basal disc

Carlgren (1949) emphasized that the basal disc and mesenterial arrangement were the most important morphological features for the classification of the higher taxa of Actiniaria. In Actiniaria, the species of the family Edwardsiidae has a worm-like body without a basal disc and only eight perfect mesenteries in the first mesenterial cycle. Duerden (1899) suggested that these simple body plans of edwardsiids would be the ancestral characters of Actiniaria because all actiniarians develop through the "edwardsia-stage" larvae having eight perfect mesenteries same as those in edwardsiids when they are juveniles (Fig. 2). The basal disc, a unique structure to actiniarians (see Introduction), was thought to be obtained in the derived lineage of the order Actiniaria, and thus Carlgren (1949) accommodated Edwardsiidae and several families without basal discs into the independent tribe Athenaria (Table 1). Because of these two evidences, Carlgren and several other researchers (e.g., Stephenson, 1922; Hyman, 1940) especially suspected that Edwardsiidae, the family characterized by the simplest mesenterial arrangements and without any basal discs, was the taxon that retains the most ancestral features of Actiniaria. However, this hypothesis often has been questioned recently: for example, Manuel (1981a) and Daly et al. (2002) suspected that the simple morphology of Edwardsiidae secondarily degenerated by adaptation to burial habit. Most recently, Rodríguez et al. (2014) suggested that Edwardsiidae belonged to the clade of Anenthemonae, became the sister group of the superfamily Actinernoidea, and was separated from the other families classified in the previous tribe Athenaria on their phylogenetic tree. This topology of the tree suggested that the simple forms of anemones, absence of basal discs and few macrocnemes in the first cycle, could not be ancestral but derived. This was because these characters would not be their basal characters in the phylogenetic tree. However, confirming that the simplest forms of Edwardsiidae are certainly derived required specific phylogenetic studies with more species of Anenthemonae.

According to the molecular analyses performed by using the highest number of specimens of Anenthemonae in the present study, the species of Edwardsiidae were at a derivative lineage in Anenthemonae (Figs. 7, 80). The
other families of the previous tribe Athenaria were also polyphyletic and at many separate derivative lineages of Actiniaria (Figs. 8, 80), as suggested by Rodríguez et al. (2014). Thus, the species in the ancestral lineages of actiniarians would have basal discs (Fig. 80, indicated in black), which would have degenerated at several lineages of Actiniaria (Fig. 80, indicated in red).

Based on these results, I suspect that the common ancestor of Actiniaria was sessile and had a columnar body with a basal disc, same as that in the general extant species of sea anemones. In Enthemonae, most species have developed basal discs and acquired basilar muscles [structure called as "pedal discs" in Carlgren (1949) and Daly (2015)], and thus they can strongly attach to and actively creep on hard substrates. However, only a small number of exceptional species of Enthemonae (the species that were classified in the previous tribe Athenaria) have derivatively lost their basal discs. In contrast, in Anenthemonae, the species of Edwardsioidea in the derivative lineage would have degenerated basal disc and a worm-like body. They could have evolved the distinct lifestyles of burrowing in soft sediment substrates (see chapter 3B).

## 3B. Evolutionary history of Anenthemonae: Significant morphological adaptation to sandy bottom habitats

Edwardsiid anemones have been considered to have retained the ancestral characters of sea anemones because of their simple morphological features; however, in this study, they were found to be a taxon in the derivative lineage of the suborder Anenthemonae (See Chapter 3A). According to the phylogenetic analysis in this study (Fig. 7), the superfamily Actinernoidea first diverged from the other two superfamilies in the lineage of Anenthemonae, and the family Edwardsioidea was derived later from the sister superfamily Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. (Figs. 7, 81).

The molecular phylogeny of Anenthemonae suggested the evolutionary history of edwardsiid morphology and ecology. Edwardsiids are burrowers in a broad range of substrates: most species burrow in sand or mud, some species can enter the crevices of rocks or dead coral skeletons (e.g., some species of Edwardsiella: Daly, 2002b; Sanamyan and Sanamyan, 2018; Edwardsia isei comb. nov.: the present study, see Chapter 2), and a few species exceptionally live even in ice or homoscleromorph sponges (Daly et al., 2013; Izumi et al., 2018). Their simple morphology, fewer numbers of perfect
mesenteries and tentacles, worm-like slender bodies, and absence of a part of macrocnemes and basal discs, is likely to be advantageous for inhabiting such habitats. As mentioned in Chapter 3A, these morphological and ecological features had been considered to be the traces of ancestral features (McMurrich, 1891; Hyman, 1940), but some recent studies challenged this hypothesis (Manuel, 1981a; Daly, et al., 2002; see Chapter 3A): these researchers advocated that the peculiar morphology of edwardsiid anemones should be secondarily derived features resulting from the adaptation to the burial lifestyles. Therefore, settling the dispute whether simple forms of edwardsiids are ancestral or adaptively derived was necessary, but any recent preceding phylogenetic analysis could not address this issue because of poor taxon samplings. Daly (2008), Rodríguez and Daly (2010), and Rodríguez et al. (2012), who conducted comparatively comprehensive phylogenetic analyses of sea anemones, only included less than five edwardsiids and no actinernoideans. Even in Rodríguez et al. (2014), the first and only phylogenetic study including Actinernidae, only nine Anenthemonae species were included.

In contrast, my phylogenetic analysis included at least 49 (and other many unidentified) species of Anenthemonae, including four species of Halcampelloidea superfam. nov. (Fig. 7 and Tables 4, 6). Thus, I could provide the detailed phylogeny of Anenthemonae and successfully indicate that the lineage of Edwardsiidae would be derivative. Rodríguez et al. (2014) did not include species of Halcampella in their molecular analyses, and hence, they only recognized that two superfamilies were sister groups in the suborder Anenthemonae. My analysis showed the third superfamily, Halcampelloidea superfam. nov., in addition to the two other known superfamilies, Actinernoidea and Edwardsioidea, in the suborder Anenthemonae. The superfamily Halcampelloidea was the sister group of Edwardsioidea with high reliability (Fig. 7), and hence, Edwardsioidea was strongly suggested to be a derived superfamily in Anenthemonae.

The topology of the tree obtained in this study helps to discuss the evolution of Edwardsiidae, especially of their simple reduced form. I suggest that the simple and reduced morphology of Edwardsioidea is the adaptive feature to the burrowing habit according to this obtained phylogeny (Fig. 81). The evolutionary scenario is as follows.

1. The ancestor of Anenthemonae could have a "general form" of actiniarians
with 12 perfect mesenteries, a basal disc, and a columnar body. Ancestral anemones lived adherently to solid substrates by baring their whole bodies. Such general actiniarian forms are retained in the external morphology of Actinernoidea, but they originally changed their mesenterial arrangement after the second cycle in their lineage (Fig. 81A; see Chapter 2).
2. In the common lineage of Halcampelloidea and Edwardsioidea, the body changed from the columnar shape to a worm-like slender one. This might be an adaptation to the soft substrate: the slenderer they were, the easier it was for them to burrow into soft sediment (Fig. 81B). However, anemones of Halcampelloidea (except Pseudoedwardsia) still have 12 perfect mesenteries and disc-like aboral ends (Figs. 27-30). My observations of Halcampella maxima in aquaria showed that they buried only their aboral parts of their bodies in sand and adhered more or less strongly to solid substrates such as small stones using the disc-like aboral ends. Halcampelloidean anemones are so-called "hemi-burial" anemones: although they have the adaptive morphology for the burial lifestyle, they would tend to stabilize their bodies adhering to some solid substrates in the sediment habitats.
3. After the lineage of Edwardsioidea diverged from Halcampelloidea, their bodies completely lost the basal discs from the aboral ends (Fig. 81C) and reduced the number of macrocnemes in the first mesenterial cycle from 12 to eight. The remaining four macrocnemes degenerated to small microcnemes (Fig. 81C, indicated in blue in the diagram). The aboral end without the basal disc, called physa, could be used as an anchor in soft substrates by expanding and swelling it. Less number of bulky perfect mesenteries could deform their columns to become slenderer, and this form would be advantageous for edwardsiids to burrow into sediment. In other words, species of Edwardsioidea derivatively evolved for the completely burial lifestyle.

The preceding study proposing a similar hypothesis to this scenario was Daly et al. (2002). They suggested not only that edwardsiids are advanced sea anemones to have burrowing lifestyles in sand and mud rather than attaching, but also that edwardsiids perhaps might be derived anemones that have retained a juvenile morphology through paedomorphosis. Unfortunately, the conclusion could not be confirmed in their study because the molecular
phylogeny method was not advanced then, but the possibilities they discussed have remarkable values to understand edwardsiid evolution even in the present day.

Regarding the long-standing dispute of whether the peculiar morphology of Edwardsiidae was obtained by retaining the ancestral characters or derived secondarily, I strongly suggest that the simple forms of edwardsiids are derived during the adaptation to sandy habitats. The simple mesenterial arrangements of edwardsiids are not the traces of ancestral characters in actiniarians but would be neotenically developed from the common juvenile stage of actiniarians (Fig. 2).

## 3C. Diversification of Edwardsiidae after obtaining nemathybomes

In this study, five genera of Edwardsiidae were combined into one genus, Edwardsia (see Chapter 1F and remarks of family Edwardsiidae and genus Edwardsia in Chapter 2). These species have a prominent synapomorphy-the presence of nemathybomes on their body wall (Fig. 83). Nemathybomes are sac-like or pocket-like structures on or inside the body wall. Many significantly large nematocysts are contained in the nemathybomes (Carlgren, 1949; England, 1987). Nemathybomes have been noted since the late 19th century in Edwardsiidae (Danielessen, 1890; McMurrich, 1893) and were already known as the specific features for the classification of Edwardsiidae since the early 20th century (Carlgren, 1920, 1921; Pax 1924; Stephenson, 1928). They were considered as the diagnostic features for some genera of Edwardsiidae by Carlgren (1949) and were long considered as important for the classification of Edwardsiidae. Even recently, the arrangement and cnidae of nemathybomes have been considered as important characters to classify edwardsiid sea anemones (Daly et al., 2015). However, their exact function was not yet known because nemathybomes were generally too tiny to observe their detailed morphology using conventional microscopes. Although many studies observed their inner structures by conducting histological analysis (e.g., England, 1987; Daly and Ljubenkov, 2008), they only reported the presence of large nematocysts "contained" there.

In contrast, I found some species of Edwardsia that have extraordinarily large nemathybomes (e.g., Fig. 48B, I in Edwardsia alternobomen; Fig. 49B, G) in this study, and thus successfully observed their
structure by using a conventional microscope. The histological section of a nemathybome of E. alternobomen Izumi and Fujita, 2019 (Fig. 48I) shows many large nematocysts in the nemathybome that discharge their threads toward the outside of its column. In addition, some histological sections also indicated that the nematocysts of nemathybomes were apparently "charged" at the surfaces of nemathybomes (Figs. 50G, 64E). The external observation of each nemathybome also suggested that the nematocysts of them were densely concentrated at a point (Figs. 48B, 49B). These observations of nemathybomes suggest that they might be able to sting foreign materials by using the large nematocysts.

Sea anemones are known to have some kinds of countermeasures with nematocysts against enemies. For example, tentacles are well-known defensive organs of cnidarians that are used for stinging. Some groups of sea anemones are known to develop specific tentacles for defense (Purcell, 1977; Uchida and Soyama, 2001). General metridioidean anemones have another type of defensive organ called acontia-thread-like structure with dense nematocysts (Shick, 1991; Rodríguez et al., 2014). In particular, when metridioideans are stricken on their columns, they can defend their columns from their enemies by discharging acontia from cinclides on the body walls (Shick, 1991; Yanagi, 2017). Now, same as acontia, nemathybomes probably play a role in defending against outside stimuli because they would perform the common behavior of stinging external objects using the dense nematocysts on the surfaces. Burrowing edwardsiids cannot counter predators by using their tentacles because their tentacles cannot reach their buried lower column. Thus, edwardsiids would use nemathybomes distributed on their bodies instead of their tentacles to protect their columns from attacks of predators. Even in sediment, some possible infaunal predators might be present, e.g., polychaetous annelids, sipunculans, and platyhelminthes (Brusca and Brusca, 2003). Edwardsiids may have adapted to infaunal habitats by using nemathybomes to prevent themselves from predation.

Several genera of Edwardsiidae do not have nemathybomes (Fig. 82). They were indicated to have diverged from the lineage of the ancestral Edwardsia before obtaining nemathybomes. They may probably protect their columns by using other methods: the species of Edwardsiella have thick periderms (Fig. 36B, E; Daly, 2002b), or burrow into ice shelf or rock crevices
(Daly et al., 2013; Sanamyan et al., 2018); species of Halcampogeton have solid papillae on their columns (Fig. 37B; Carlgren, 1937). Some species may use cryptic habitats to protect themselves: in this study, I found Tempuractis rinkai live in the homoscleromorph sponge (Figs. 32-35; see Chapter 2 for details). Although the species of Nematostella does not have any structures on their body walls, nematosomes, the sphere-like structures with dense nematocysts (Carlgern, 1949), are present in their coelenterons. (There is no defensive structure on/in the bodies of the species of the genus Drillactis; Fig. 38.) However, the number of species without nemathybomes in these genera is far less than that of species with nemathybomes in the genus Edwardsia. Even Edwardsiella, to which most species without nemathybomes belong, accommodates less than 10 species (Table 2).

In contrast, the species with nemathybomes of the genus Edwardsia (Fig. 83) have diverged into over 80 species and are distributed worldwide. The diversification of Edwardsia suggests that nemathybomes might be adaptive for their burial lifestyles and have advantages to distribute to broad niches of sediment bottoms. Moreover, nemathybomes would have been modified secondarily in some species of Edwardsia (Fig. 83). The nemathybomes of general Edwardsia are only distributed on the lateral sides of their columns and not at the aboral ends for most of the species (e.g., Figs. 48A, 49A). However, nemathybomes are developed on the whole body, as well as on the aboral end, of the species of the previous genera Scolanthus and Isoscolanthus (e.g., Figs. 55A, 56A; Fig. 83, indicated in red and gray in Fig. 83). Conversely, nemathybomes of the species of the previous genus Paraedwardsia have degenerated secondarily (Figs. 51G), and these species attach sand grains to their surfaces of columns instead (Fig. 83, indicated in yellow).

Considering the characteristics of nematocysts, another possibility for the function of nemathybomes exists. Nematocysts were known to help in attaching (Thorington and Hessinger, 1996). Especially cnidarians are known to use nematocysts to attach to substrates like anchors in planula larvae or small polyps of jellyfish (Donaldson, 1974; Yamashita et al., 2003). Spirocysts have been known as the cnidae for attaching materials, but other penetrants, stinging nematocysts, including basitrichs and microbasic $p^{-}$and $b^{-}$ mastigophores, are also known to play the same roles of attaching as spirocysts (Thorington and Hessinger, 1996; Ostman, 2000). Therefore,
edwardsiids might be able to use nemathybomes to attach some substrates on their bodies. However, nemathybomes are unlikely to be mainly used to attach something according to the observations of the present study. Most species of Edwardsia with nemathybomes did not attach to any substrates on their columns. Moreover, Edwardsia sp. nov. 16, which attaches sand grains on the column, only has the trace of nemathybomes without nematocysts (Fig. 51G). These cases suggested that attaching something on nemathybomes is not advantageous, and densely concentrated nematocysts in nemathybomes would not be effective for anchoring (Figs. 48B, 49B). Thus, I suggest that nemathybomes are not mainly used for adherence but for defense.

Some burrowing anemones have no apparent defensive structure. For example, Metedwardsia akkeshi (Fig. 76) and the other species of this genus (Fig. 78, 79) have worm-like bodies, but no structure for defense were noted on the smooth body surfaces. They also had no acontia, although they were included in the clade of the superfamily Metridioidea. The dense and patchlike distribution of the species of Metedwardsia (see Chapter 2) could be the strategy for their survival, but this hypothesis needs to be investigated in the future works. The knowledge of defensive structures of sea anemones, including for the anemones without any defensive structures at a glance such as species of the genus Drillactis (see above), is still lacking now.


Fig. 80. Degeneration of the basal disc in the Actiniaria lineage.
The topology of this phylogenetic tree is based on phylogenetic analyses of Enthemonae and Anenthemonae in Chapter 1B and 1C (the constructed trees are shown in Fig. 7 [Anenthemonae] and Fig. 8 [Enthemonae]). Considering parsimoniously, basal discs would be present in the lineages indicated in black, including the ancestor of Actiniaria, and might have degenerated independently in the lineages in red.
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Fig. 81. Gradual evolution of Anenthemonae adapting to the burial lifestyle in sandy habitats. The ancestor of Anenthemonae was thought to have columnar body like general sea anemones, with a basal disc (indicated in gray) and 12 perfect mesenteries. Species of Actinernoidea retained the ancestral columnar external morphology of Anenthemonae (A; in red). After branching from Actinernoidea, the common ancestor of Edwardsioidea and Halcampelloidea acquired worm-like shape (B; in green). Species of Halcampelloidea should retained the basal disc and 12 perfect mesenteries even after Edwardsioidea was branched out. In contrast, in the lineage of Edwardsioidea, the basal disc would have degenerated, and the number of perfect mesenteries decreased to eight ( C ; in blue).


Fig. 82. Evolution of the genera without nemathybomes in Edwardsidae.
Nemathybomes were obtained in the lineage indicated by the red bar. Tempuractis, Edwardsiella, Halcampogeton, Nematostella, and Drillactis have no nemathybomes, but other morphological or ecological features have evolved in several genera: species of Tempuractis (indicated in pink) symbiotically inhabit in sponge; Edwardsiella (in light blue) edwardsiids thicken their periderm; species of Halcampogeton (in purple) develop solid papillae on the scapus. No particular structure was noted on the surfaces of Nematostella (in light green) and Drillactis (in orange) edwardsiids.


Fig. 83. Evolution of the genera with nemathybomes in Edwardsiidae.
Species of Edwardsia, including previous genera Paraedwardsia, Scolanthus, Isoscolanthus, and Edwardsianthus, would have monophyletically acquired nemathybomes (indicated by the orange bar). The edwardsiids on several lineages were additionally transformed: nemathybomes degenerated and became like traces in the species of previous Paraedwardsia (indicated in yellow). In contrast, species of previous Scolanthus (in red) and Isoscolanthus (in gray) have also developed nemathybomes in their aboral ends.

## Conclusions

I conducted taxonomic, phylogenetic, and evolutionary studies on sea anemones of the suborder Anenthemonae mainly by using the specimens collected widely from Japanese waters. The classification system of Anenthemonae was comprehensively revised using molecular phylogenetic analyses. Based on the results of phylogenetic and taxonomic analyses, I evaluated the evolution of sea anemones of Anenthemonae by estimating morphological transitions along the phylogeny and reached the following conclusions.

1. The suborder Anenthemonae was revised to be monophyletic by excluding species of Metedwardsia and including Halcampella maxima, the type species of the genus Halcampella, and two similar species of the suborder Enthemonae. Anenthemonae now consists of three clades of superfamilies; Actinernoidea, Edwardsioidea, and Halcampelloidea-a newly established superfamily. In Actinernoidea, the family Isactinernidae and the genus Isohalcurias were established for solving the polyphyly of the family Actinernidae and the paraphyly of the family Halcuriidae. Synactinernus, formerly belonging to Actinernidae, was moved to Halcuriidae, and genus Halcurias was divided into two genera. In Edwardsioidea, five genera, Edwardsia, Edwardsianthus, Paraedwardsia, Scolanthus, and Isoscolanthus, complicatedly formed a large clade, and hence, they were all synonymized into the most senior previously established genus Edwardsia.
2. I comprehensively revised the classification system of Anenthemonae based on molecular phylogeny. Therefore, the taxonomy of Anenthemonae has changed as shown below: in the superfamily Actinernoidea, Halcuriidae accommodates Synactinernus and the new genus Isohalcurias in addition to two previously erected genera, Halcurias and Carlgrenia; the new family Isactinernidae accommodates two genera, Isactinernus and Synhalcurias; and Actinernidae became a monotypic family with Actinernus. The new superfamily Halcampelloidea consists of only one family Halcampellidae and three genera Halcampella, Hexactis, and Pseudoedwardsia. In Edwardsioidea (Edwardsiidae), the genus Tempuractis was established; the genus Metedwardsia was eliminated; and four genera-Edwardsianthus,

Paraedwardsia, Scolanthus, and Isoscolanthus-were synonymized into Edwardsia. Thus, there were seven genera in Edwardsiidae now.

From Japanese waters, 51 species, 14 genera, and five families in three superfamilies of Anenthemonae, including a new superfamily, two new families, and four new genera, were confirmed. In detail, Japanese Actinernoidea is composed of 11 species, including four new species, six genera, and three families. All genera except Carlgrenia and more than half of actinernoidean species in the world have been collected from Japanese waters. Halcampelloidea (Halcampellidae) includes four species, including two newly described ones. Edwardsioidea (Edwardsiidae) consists of 36 species with 26 new species in five genera, including a new genus Tempuractis and three newly discovered genera-Edwardsiella, Halcampogeton, and Drillactis-from Japan.
3. The common ancestors of Actiniaria likely did not have worm-like shapes, but columnar forms with 12 macrocnemes in the first mesenterial cycle and adhesive basal discs, which are noted in most species of extant sea anemones. The basal discs would have degenerated in several lineages in both Enthemonae and Anenthemonae. In Anenthemonae, the anemones of Edwardsiidae are strongly suggested to have acquired their peculiar shapes as follows: first, the common ancestors of Edwardsioidea and Halcampelloidea transformed their body forms to worm-like by adaptation to sandy habitats; subsequently, they lost the basal discs and four of the 12 macrocnemes in the lineage of Edwardsiidae to adapt to burrowing lifestyles. Thus, the traditional hypothesis that anemones of Edwardsiidae retain the primitive morphology of Actiniaria is improbable. The worm-like shapes of edwardsiids were derived in the edwardsiid lineage and for the adaptation to their burial lifestyles.

In addition, the highly diverged and large clade of the genus $E d w a r d s i a$ is characterized by nemathybomes. The present study suggests that the novel function of nemathybomes is for protection from enemies by stinging using the large nematocysts contained in them. With the presence of the defensive nemathybomes, species of Edwardsia could expand their distribution worldwide and diverge into many species across several different niches.
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