On non-arithmetic discontinuous groups

佐武 一郎 (Ichiro Satake)

In this talk, we will give a survey on arithmetic and non-arithmetic lattices in a semisimple algebraic group. After giving some basic results on the subject, we'll forcus our attention to more recent results, mainly due to Mostow and Deligne, on non-arithmetic lattices in the (projective) unitary group PU(n, 1) $(n \ge 2)$. (For more details on these topics as well as the closely related rigidities of lattices, see [S 04]).

1. To begin with, we first fix our settings, giving basic definitions and notations. Let X denote a symmetric Riemannian space of non-compact type (with no flat or compact factors) and let $G = I(X)^{\circ}$ be the identity connected component of the isometry group of X. Then, as is well known, G is a connected semisimple Lie group of non-compact type, which is of adjoint type, i.e., with the center reduced to the identity 1. This implies that, denoting by g the Lie algebra of G, one has $G = (\operatorname{Aut} g)^{\circ}$ (° denoting always the identity connected component). The group G acts transitively on X and for any $x_0 \in X$ the stabilizer $K = G_{x_0}$ is a maximal compact subgroup; thus one has $X \cong G/K$. In this manner, G and X determine one another uniquely (up to isomorphisms).

More generally, let G' denote a connected semisimple linear Lie group, which becomes automatically "real algebraic" in the sense that there exists a linear algebraic group \mathcal{G} defined over \mathbf{R} (uniquely determined up to \mathbf{R} isomorphisms) such that $G' = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{R})^o$. As typical examples, one has G' = $SL(n, \mathbf{R})$, $SO(p, q)^o$, etc. Let K' be a maximal compact subgroup of G', and K'_0 the maximal compact normal subgroup of G'. Then one has

$$G' \supset K' \supset K'_0 \supset$$
 (center of G').

Therefore, setting

$$G = G'/K'_0, \quad K = K'/K'_0, \quad X = G/K = G'/K',$$

one obtains a pair (G, X) as described in the beginning; in particular, one has G = G' if K'_0 reduces to the identity group $\{1\}$. We keep these notations throughout the paper.

When $G' = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{R})^o$, the common dimension r of the maximal **R**-split tori in \mathcal{G} is called the **R**-rank of G' and written as $r = \mathbf{R}$ -rank G'. It is well known that, if $\mathbf{g}' = \mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{p}'$ is a Cartan decomposition of $\mathbf{g}' =$ Lie G', then r coincides with the maximal dimension of the (abelian) subalgebras of g' contained in p'. Thus one has **R**-rank G' =**R**-rank G.

When the algebraic group \mathcal{G} is defined over \mathbf{Q} , G' is said to have a \mathbf{Q} -structure and the \mathbf{Q} -rank of G' (with this \mathbf{Q} -structure) is the common dimension r_0 of the maximal \mathbf{Q} -split tori in \mathcal{G} . G' is called \mathbf{Q} -anisotropic when $r_0 = 0$.

2. A subgroup Γ of G' is called a *lattice* in G' if Γ is discrete and the covolume $\operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus G')$ (with respect to the Haar measure of G') is finite. A lattice Γ is called *uniform* if, in particular, the quotient space $\Gamma \setminus G'$ is compact.

Two subgroups Γ and Γ' of G' are said to be *commensurable* if the indices $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap \Gamma']$ and $[\Gamma' : \Gamma \cap \Gamma']$ are both finite, and one then writes $\Gamma \sim \Gamma'$. As is easily seen, this is an equivalence relation.

A lattice Γ in G is said to be *reducible* if there exists a non-trivial direct decomposition $G = G_1 \times G_2$ such that $\Gamma \sim (\Gamma \cap G_1) \times (\Gamma \cap G_2)$; otherwise, Γ is called *irreducible*. Every lattice in G is commensurable to the direct product of irreducible ones in the direct factors of G.

When $G' = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{R})^o$ is given a Q-structure, a subgroup Γ of G' commensurable with $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Z})$ is called *arithmetic*; the projection of an arithmetic subgroup of G' in $G = G'/K'_0$ is called *arithmetic in a wider sense*. It is clear that arithmetic subgroups (in a wider sense) are discrete.

The following theorem is fundamental.

Theorem 1 (Borel-Harish-Chandra [BHC 62], Mostow-Tamagawa [MT 62]) If Γ is an arithmetic subgroup of G in a wider sense, then Γ is a lattice in G. Moreover, Γ is uniform (i.e., cocompact in G) if and only if G' is Qanisotropic (i.e., Q-rank G' = 0).

Note that, when Γ in G is arithmetic only in a wider sense, the **Q**-rank of G' being = 0, Γ is uniform. In the early 1960s it was conjectured by Selberg and others that the converse of Theorem 1 would also be true, if the **R**-rank of G is high. Actually, we now have

Theorem 2 (Margulis, 1973, [Ma 91]) Suppose that the **R**-rank of G is ≥ 2 . Then any irreducible lattice Γ in G is arithemetic in a wider sense (for a certain choice of G' with a **Q**-structure).

3. Thanks to the above result of Margulis, in order to study the arithmeticity of a lattice Γ , we may restrict ourselves to the case **R**-rank G = 1, which

naturally implies that G is R-simple. According to the classification of R-simple Lie groups (due to E. Cartan), we have only the following possibilities for (G, X):

$$G = PU(D; n, 1)^{o} = U(D; n, 1)^{o} / (\text{center}), \quad n \ge 2, \ (n = 2 \text{ for } D = \mathbf{O}),$$
$$X = H_{D}^{n} \quad (\text{the hyperbolic } n \text{-space over } D),$$

D denoting a division composition algebra over \mathbf{R} , i.e.,

 $D = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}$ (Hamilton's quaternions), O (Cayley's octonions),

and U(D; n, 1) denoting the unitary group of the standard *D*-hermitian form of signature (n, 1). In the case D = O, which is non-associative, the projective unitary group is defined to be the automorphism group of the (split) exceptional Jordan algebra Her₃(O; 2, 1); hence G is of type F_{4,1}.

For $D = \mathbf{R}$, one has $G = SO(n, 1)^o$ (Lorentz group) and $X = H^n_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the "Lobachevsky space", i.e., the Riemannian *n*-space of constant curvature $\kappa = -1$, which can be realized by the hyperbolic hypersurface in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} (with the Lorentz metric):

$$\{(x_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} | \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - x_{n+1}^2 = -1, x_{n+1} > 0 \}.$$

In particular, $H^2_{\mathbf{R}}(=H^1_{\mathbf{C}})$ can be identified with the upper half-plane in C and the lattices in $G = SO(2,1)^{\circ} (\cong SL(2,\mathbf{R})/\{\pm 1\})$ are so-called Fuchsian groups. In this case, it is classical that there are continuous families of non-arithmetic lattices.

For $X = H_{\mathbf{R}}^n$, $n \geq 3$, non-arithmetic lattices, especially reflection groups, have been studied intensively by E. B. Vinberg and his school since 1965 (see e.g., [V 85], [V 90]). More recently, it was shown by Gromov and Piatetski-Shapiro [GPS 88] that for any $n \geq 2$ one can construct infinitely many non-arithmetic (uniform) lattices as the fundamental group of the "hybrid" of two quotient spaces $\Gamma_1 \setminus X$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus X$ for non-commensurable arithmetic subgroups Γ_1 and Γ_2 of G.

On the other hand, for the case $D = \mathbf{H}$ and \mathbf{O} , Corlette [C 92] and Gromov and Schoen [GS 92] have shown that there exist no non-arithmetic lattices in G by a differential geometric method (harmonic maps), extending the idea of Margulis.

4. In the rest of the paper, we concentrate to the case $D = \mathbb{C}$, i.e., the case where G = PU(n, 1) and $X = H^n_{\mathbb{C}}$, studied mainly by G. D. Mostow since the early 1970s.

The complex hyperbolic space $H^n_{\mathbf{C}}$ can be realized by the unit ball in \mathbf{C}^n as follows. The unitary group U(n,1) acts on \mathbf{C}^{n+1} and hence on the projective space $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C}) = (\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^{\times}$ in a natural manner. The orbit of $e_{n+1} = (0, ..., 0, 1) \pmod{\mathbf{C}^{\times}}$ in $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ is

$$\{z = (z_i) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1} | \sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2 - |z_{n+1}|^2 < 0 \} / \mathbf{C}^{\times},$$

which, in the inhomogeneous coordinates $z'_i = z_i/z_{n+1}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$, is expressed by the unit ball

$$\{z' = (z'_i) \in \mathbf{C}^n | \sum_{i=1}^n |z'_i|^2 < 1 \}.$$

The stabilizer of e_{n+1} in U(n, 1) is $U(n) \times U(1)$. Hence $H^n_{\mathbf{C}} = U(n, 1)/U(n) \times U(1)$ is identified with the unit ball in \mathbf{C}^n , on which G = PU(n, 1) acts as linear fractional transformations.

We denote by <> the standard hermitian inner product of signature (n,1)on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . For $a \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, < a, a > > 0 and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\xi| = 1$, we define (after Mostow) a "complex reflection" on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} by

$$R'_{a,\xi} : z \mapsto z + (\xi - 1) \frac{\langle a, z \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a \quad (z \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}).$$

Then, for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\xi| = |\eta| = 1$, one has

$$R'_{a,\xi} \circ R'_{a,\eta} = R'_{a,\xi\eta};$$

in particular, if ξ is a root of unity: $\xi^m = 1$, then one has $(R'_{a,\xi})^m = 1$. We denote the image of $R'_{a,\xi}$ in G = PU(n,1) by $R_{a,\xi}$.

In [M 80] Mostow studied the groups

$$\Gamma = \langle R_{e_i,\zeta_p} \ (i=1,2,3) \rangle$$

generated by 3 reflections, where $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$ with p = 3 or 4 or 5 and

$$e_i \in \mathbf{C}^{n+1}, \ < e_i, e_i >= 1, \ < e_1, e_2 >= < e_2, e_3 >= < e_3, e_1 >= -\alpha \varphi,$$

 $\alpha = (2 \sin \frac{\pi}{p})^{-1}, \quad \varphi = e^{\pi i t/3}$

with $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Mostow gave a criterion for Γ to be a lattice in G, and found 17 cases, showing that 7 among them are non-arithmetic (i.e., not arithmetic in a wider sense). The non-arithmetic cases are given by

$$[p,t] = [3, 1/12], [3, 1/30], [3, 5/42], [4, 1/12], [4, 3/20],$$

(It has turned out that actually the Γ corresponding to [5, 11/30] is arithmetic.)

5. Mostow then studied, in collaboration with Deligne, the analytic construction of lattices in PU(n, 1). They consider a system of differential equations of Fuchsian type in *n* variables, studied for n = 2 by Picard and in general by Lauricella (1893). The solution space of such equations is $\cong \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, spanned by the period integrals generalizing the classical Euler integral:

$$F_{g,h}(x_1, ..., x_n) = \int_g^h \prod_{i=1}^n (u-x_i)^{-\mu_i} \cdot u^{-\mu_{n+1}} (u-1)^{-\mu_{n+2}} du,$$

where

$$\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_{n+3}) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+3}, \quad \mu_{n+3} = 2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \mu_i$$

is the parameter, which we will restrict to the so-called "disc (n+3)-tuple" satisfying the condition $0 < \mu_i < 1$ ($1 \le i \le n+3$), and

$$g,h \in M = \{x = (x_1, ..., x_n, 0, 1, \infty) | x_i \in \mathbb{C} - \{0,1\}, x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

Let \hat{M} be the universal covering space of M. Then there exists a natural map from \hat{M} to $P^n(\mathbb{C})$, the space of non-zero solutions modulo \mathbb{C}^{\times} , which is equivariant with respect to the actions of the fundamental group on \hat{M} and the projective monodromy group, denoted by Γ_{μ} , on $P^n(\mathbb{C})$. It is also shown that there exists a hermitian inner product of signature (n, 1) on the solution space such that Γ_{μ} is in PU(n, 1).

In [DM 86] it was shown that the following condition (INT) is sufficient for Γ_{μ} to be a lattice in G = PU(n, 1).

(INT) If $\mu_i + \mu_j < 1$ with $i \neq j$, then one has $(1 - \mu_i - \mu_j)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Actually, for n = 2, this condition is equivalent to the one given by Picard in 1885, so that the 27 lattices obtained in this manner are called "Picard lattices". (In counting the lattices Γ_{μ} we disregard the order of μ_i 's because it is not essential.) There are 9 more μ 's satisfying the condition (INT) for $3 \le n \le 5$, the longest one being $\frac{1}{4}$ (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1).

In [M 86] Mostow showed that the following weaker condition (Σ INT) is sufficient to yield the same conclusion.

(**\SigmaINT**) One can choose a subset S_1 of $\{1, ..., n+3\}$ such that $\mu_i = \mu_j$ for $i, j \in S_1$ and that, if $\mu_i + \mu_j < 1$ with $i \neq j$, one has $(1 - \mu_i - \mu_j)^{-1} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ when $i, j \in S_1$ and $\in \mathbb{Z}$ otherwise.

In particular, taking S_1 with $|S_1| = 3$, one obtains Γ_{μ} commensurable to a lattice generated by 3 reflections, including all lattices constructed in [M 80].

In [M88] Mostow showed further that the converse of the above result is also true in the following sense. First, all Γ_{μ} which is discrete is a lattice in PU(n, 1) (Prop. 5.3) and if n > 3 the condition (Σ INT) is necessarily satisfied (Th. 4.13). For n = 2, 3 there are 10 exceptional lattices Γ_{μ} with μ not satisfying (Σ INT). The list of all 94 μ 's satisfying the condition (Σ INT) is given in [M88], in which the longest one is $\frac{1}{6}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ with n = 9.

6. As for the arithmeticity of Γ_{μ} , the following criterion was first given in [DM 86] under the assumption (INT):

(A) Let d be the least common denominator of the μ_i 's. Then, for all $A \in \mathbb{Z}$, 1 < A < d-1, (A, d) = 1, one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+3} < A\mu_i > = 1 \text{ or } n+2,$$

where $\langle x \rangle = x - [x]$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}, [x]$ being the symbol of Gauss.

It was finally established in [M 88] (Prop. 5.4) that, without any additional assumption, the condition (A) is necessary and sufficient for Γ_{μ} to be an arithmetic lattice in PU(n, 1).

Summing up the above results, we obtain the following

Theorem 3 (Mostow, 1988) The projective monodromy group Γ_{μ} is a lattice in PU(n,1) if and only if the condition (ΣINT) is satisfied, except for the 10 exceptional lattices Γ_{μ} with n = 2, 3 not satisfying the condition (ΣINT). The group Γ_{μ} is an arithmetic lattice (in a wider sense) if and only if the condition (A) is satisfied.

In the list of the μ 's satisfying (Σ INT) in [M 88], those giving non-arithmetic lattices are marked as NA. (However, this list still seems containing some misprints and erroneous markings.) We give below a (corrected) list of nonarithmetic lattices Γ_{μ} in PU(n, 1), in which the numbering of the μ 's is the one given in [M 88].

List of non-arithmetic lattices Γ_{μ} in PU(n, 1)n = 3 $\frac{1}{12}(3, 3, 3, 3, 5, 7)$ 39Pn=2 $\frac{1}{12}(3, 3, 3, 7, 8)$ [4, 1/12]NA1 69P $\frac{1}{12}(3, 3, 5, 6, 7)$ 71P(not uniform) NA2 $\frac{1}{12}(4, 4, 4, 5, 7)$ 73P[6, 1/6]NA3 $\frac{1}{12}(4, 4, 5, 5, 6)$ 74PNA1 78P $\frac{1}{15}(4, 6, 6, 6, 8)$ [10, 4/15]NA4 $\frac{1}{18}(2, 7, 7, 7, 13)$ [9, 11/18]80 NA5 $\frac{1}{18}(4, 5, 5, 11, 11)$ NA5 D7 $\frac{1}{18}(7, 7, 7, 7, 8)$ NA5 84 $\frac{1}{20}(5, 5, 5, 11, 14)$ [4, 3/20]NA6 85P $\frac{1}{20}(6, 6, 6, 9, 13)$ 86 [5, 1/5]NA7 87 $\frac{1}{20}(6, 6, 9, 9, 10)$ NA6 $\frac{1}{21}(4, 8, 10, 10, 10)$ D8NA9 $\frac{1}{24}(4, 4, 4, 17, 19)$ 88 [3, 1/12]NA8 $\frac{1}{24}(5, 10, 11, 11, 11)$ NA8 D9 $\frac{1}{24}(7, 9, 9, 9, 14)$ 89P[8, 7/24]NA8 $\frac{1}{30}(5, 5, 5, 22, 23)$ [3, 1/30]NA4 91 $\frac{1}{30}(7, 13, 13, 13, 14)$ NA4 D10 $\frac{1}{42}(7, 7, 7, 29, 34)$ 93 [3, 5/42]NA9 $\frac{1}{42}(13, 15, 15, 15, 26)$ [7, 13/42] NA9 94

Remark 1. "P" indicates a Picard lattice, i.e. a lattice satisfying (INT). "D" indicates an exceptional lattice, i.e. a lattice not satisfying (Σ INT). For n = 2, there are 54 lattices (41-94) satisfying (Σ INT) (including 27 Picard lattices) and 9 exceptional lattices (D2-D10).

Remark 2. Γ_{μ} with $\mu = (\mu_1, ..., \mu_5)$, $S_1 = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3\}$, $\mu_4 \leq \mu_5$ is commensurable with a reflection group with [p, t], where $p = 2(1 - 2\mu_1)^{-1}$, $t = \mu_5 - \mu_4$.

7. We say that two subgoups Γ and Γ' of G are conjugate commensurable if Γ is commensurable with a conjugate of Γ' . This kind of relations between the Γ_{μ} 's was studied in [M 88], [DM 93]. Some of their results are listed below, where we write $\mu \approx \mu'$ if Γ_{μ} is conjugate commensurable with $\Gamma_{\mu'}$. It turns out that the 19 non-arithmetic lattices Γ_{μ} for n = 2 are divided into 9 conjugate commensurability classes (NA1–NA9).

It is still an open problem to decide whether or not there exist nonarithmetic lattices not conjugate commensurable to any of Γ_{μ} , especially such lattices for $n \geq 4$. It would also be interesting to study the *arithmetic* properties of the non-arithmetic lattices Γ_{μ} , e.g., the corresponding automorphic representations.

(A) ([DM 93], §10) For
$$a, b > 0$$
, $1/2 < a + b < 1$, one has
(a, a, b, b, $2 - 2a - 2b$) $\approx (1 - b, 1 - a, a + b - \frac{1}{2}, a + b - \frac{1}{2}, 1 - a - b$).

In particular, for a = b,

$$(a, a, a, a, 2-4a) \approx (1-a, 1-a, 2a-\frac{1}{2}, 2a-\frac{1}{2}, 1-2a)$$

 $\approx (\frac{3}{2}-2a, a, a, a, \frac{1}{2}-a).$

Example.

$$\frac{1}{18}(7,7,7,7,8) \approx \frac{1}{18}(11,11,5,5,4) \approx \frac{1}{18}(13,7,7,7,2)$$
(*i.e.*, 84 \approx D7 \approx 80).

For a + b = 3/4,

$$(a, a, b, b, \frac{1}{2}) \approx (1-b, 1-a, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}).$$

Examples.

$$\frac{1}{12}(4,4,5,5,6) \approx \frac{1}{12}(7,8,3,3,3) \quad (i.e., 74 \approx 69),$$
$$\frac{1}{20}(6,6,9,9,10) \approx \frac{1}{20}(11,14,5,5,5) \quad (i.e., 87 \approx 85).$$

(B) For π , ρ , σ with $1/\pi + 1/\rho + 1/\sigma = 1/2$, set

$$\mu(\pi,\rho,\sigma)=(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi},\ \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi},\ \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\pi},\ \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi}-\frac{1}{\rho},\ \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi}-\frac{1}{\sigma}).$$

Then ([M 88], Th. 5.6) for $1/\rho + 1/\sigma = 1/6$, one has

 $\mu(3, \rho, \sigma) \approx \mu(\rho, 3, \sigma) \approx \mu(\sigma, 3, \rho).$

Examples.

$$\begin{split} \rho &= 10, \ \sigma = 15: \quad \frac{1}{30}(5,5,5,22,23) \approx \frac{1}{15}(6,6,6,4,8) \approx \frac{1}{30}(13,13,13,7,14) \\ &\quad (i.e.,\ 91 \approx 78 \approx D10), \\ \rho &= 8, \ \sigma = 24: \quad \frac{1}{24}(4,4,4,17,19) \approx \frac{1}{24}(9,9,9,7,14) \approx \frac{1}{24}(11,11,11,5,10) \\ &\quad (i.e.,\ 88 \approx 89 \approx D9), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \rho = 7, \ \sigma = 42: \quad \frac{1}{42}(7,7,7,29,34) \approx \frac{1}{42}(15,15,15,13,26) \approx \frac{1}{21} \ (10,10,10,4,8) \\ (i.e., \ 93 \approx 94 \approx D8). \end{split}$$

References

- [BHC 62] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra, Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 485-535.
- [MT 62] G. D. Mostow and T. Tamagawa, On the compactness of arithmetically defined homogeneous spaces, Ann. of Math. 76 (1962), 446-463.
- [M 80] G. D. Mostow, On a remarkable class of polyhedra in complex hyperbolic spaces, Pacif. J. of Math. 86 (1980), 171-276.
- [V 85] E. B. Vinberg, Hyperbolic reflection groups, Usp. Math. Nauk 40 (1985), 29-66; = Russian Math. Surveys 40 (1985), 31-75.
- [DM 86] P. Deligne and G. D. Mostow, Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and non-lattice integral monodromy groups, Publ. Math. IHES 63 (1986), 5-90.
- [M 86] G. D. Mostow, Generalized Picard lattices arising from half-integral conditions, ibid. 91-106.
- [M 88] G. D. Mostow, On discontinuous action of monodromy groups on the complex n-balls, J. of AMS 1 (1988), 555-586.
- [GPS 88] M. Gromov and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, Non arithmetic groups in Lobachevsky spaces, Publ. Math. IHES 66 (1988), 93-103.

- [V 90] E. B. Vinberg, Encyclopedia of Math. Sci., Geometry II, Springer-Verlag. 1990.
- [Ma 91] G. Margulis, Discrete Subgroups of Semisimple Lie Groups, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [C 92] K. Corlette, Archimedian superrigidity and hyperbolic geometry, Ann. of Math. 135 (1992), 165-182.
- [GS 92] M. Gromov and R. Schoen, Harmonic maps into singular spaces and padic superrigidity for lattices in groups of rank one, Publ. Math. IHES 76 (1992), 165-246.
- [DM 93] P. Deligne and G. D. Mostow, Commensurabilities among lattices in PU(1,n), Ann. of Math. St. 132, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [S 04] I. Satake, Mostow (in Japanese), Sugaku Seminar 2/2004, 66-73.