

Supplementary document I. Codes of gene bank DNA sequences used in this study.

Species	DYNLL	CMOS	ND2	PDC	RAG1
<i>Aprasia aurita</i>		AY134536	NC_035150		
<i>Aprasia inaurita</i>		FJ571646	AY134574		FJ571632
<i>Aprasia parapulchella</i>		AY134539	KJ004564	HQ426172	GU459539
<i>Aprasia picturata</i>		AY134540	AY134576		
<i>Aprasia pseudopulchella</i>		AY134541	AY134577		
<i>Aprasia pulchella</i>		AY134542	AY134578		
<i>Aprasia repens</i>	KR697862	AY134543	AY134579		KR697784
<i>Aprasia rostrata</i>		AY134537	AY134573		
<i>Aprasia smithi</i>		AY134544	AY134580		
<i>Aprasia striolata</i>		AY134545	AY134581		
<i>Carphodactylus laevis</i>		EF534905	AY369017	GU459744	
<i>Delma australis</i>	KR697863	KR697824	KP851417		KR697785
<i>Delma borea</i>	KR697865	KR697827	KT803491		KR697787
<i>Delma butleri</i>	KR697867	KP851210	AY134584	GU459740	KR697789
<i>Delma concinna</i>	KR697870	KR697829			KR697791
<i>Delma desmosa</i>	KR697872	KR697830	KT803492		KR697793
<i>Delma elegans</i>	KR697874	KR697833	KT803494		KR697794
<i>Delma fraseri</i>	KR697876	KR697834	KT803496		KR697797
<i>Delma grayii</i>	KR697878	KR697836	KT803550		KR697798
<i>Delma haroldi</i>	KR697869	KR697828	AY13485		KR697790
<i>Delma hebesea</i>	KR697880	KR697838	KP851414		KR697786
<i>Delma impar</i>	KR697882	KR697840	KT803562		KR697800
<i>Delma inornata</i>	KR697884	KR697842	KT803564		KR697802
<i>Delma labialis</i>	KR697886	KR697845	KT803565		KR697805
<i>Delma mitella</i>	KR697888	KR697846			KR697806
<i>Delma mollerii</i>	KR697889	KR697847	KT803567		KR697807
<i>Delma nasuta</i>	KR697891	KR697849	KT803568		KR697809
<i>Delma pax</i>	KR697894	KR697851	KT803570		KR697811
<i>Delma petersoni</i>	KR697896	KR697853	KT803572		KR697813
<i>Delma plebeia</i>	KR697897	KR697855	KT803574		KR697815
<i>Delma tealei</i>	KR697900	KR697858	KT803577		KR697817
<i>Delma tincta</i>	KR697903	KR697859	KT803579	HQ426188	KR697819
<i>Delma torquata</i>	KR697905	KP851226	MN999500		KR697822
<i>Pletholax gracilis</i>	KR697909	AY134566	JX041418	HQ426227	HQ426315
<i>Diplodactylus barraganae</i>			FJ665515		
<i>Lialis burtonis</i>	KR697906	EF534906	AY134599	GU459742	GU457991
<i>Lialis jicari</i>		AY134564	AY134600		AY662628
<i>Aristelliger lar</i>		EF534931	MN694931	EF534847	EF534805
<i>Eublepharis macularius</i>		EU366458	NC033383	EF534816	GU457987
<i>Euleptes europea</i>		KC191042	JN393941	EF534848	EF534806
<i>Naultinus gemmeus</i>		JQ945592		GU459560	GU459358
<i>Sphaerodactylus nicholsi</i>		MN415319	MN415701	HQ426240	MN415897
<i>Sphaerodactylus torrei</i>		EF534913	KU158022	EF534829	EF534788
<i>Ramphotyphlops braminus</i>		AY099980	NC010196	HQ426256	
<i>Lucasium alboguttatum</i>			JQ517747		
<i>Naultinus elegans</i>			GU459757	GU459556	GU459354
<i>Oedodera marmorata</i>		JQ945594	KU158073	KU157837	KU157988
<i>Oedura castelnaui</i>			JQ173633	JQ173679	JQ173727
<i>Ophidiocephalus taeniatus</i>	KR697907	FJ571645	KU158027	KU157750	HQ426303

Supplementary document I continued

Species	DYNLL	CMOS	ND2	PDC	RAG1
<i>Orraya occultus</i>			JX041389	JQ945388	JQ945320
<i>Paradelma orientalis</i>	KR697908	FJ571642	MN999513	HQ426215	HQ426304
<i>Pygopus lepidopodus</i>	KR697910	FJ571643	AY134603	KU680104	HQ426319
<i>Pygopus nigriceps</i>	FJ571644	EF534907	JX440518	KU157749	FJ571628
<i>Pygopus robertsi</i>			MN999531		
<i>Pygopus schraderi</i>			FJ403393		FJ571629
<i>Pygopus steelescotti</i>			MN999532		
<i>Python molurus</i>		GQ225667	NC015812		
<i>Saltuarius cornutus</i>			JF807328		
<i>Sphaerodactylus glaucus</i>		HQ426579	JX041437	HQ426237	HQ426325
<i>Strophurus assimilis</i>			KU680174		KU679968
<i>Teratoscincus scincus</i>		KC191039	MT977329		
<i>Underwoodisaurus milii</i>		EF534904	JF807369	GU459756	MT977248

Supplementary document II. Ecological characterization of pygopodid species.

Species	Habits	Habitat and observations
<i>Aprasia aurita</i>	Fossorial	Sandy and loamy soils, sheltering under leaf litter, rotting logs and mallee roots
<i>Aprasia clairae</i>	Fossorial	Sandy soil in coastal dunes, sheltering beneath limestone slabs
<i>Aprasia fusca</i>	Fossorial	Sandy soil in coastal dunes, sheltering under leaf litter, at the bases of shrubs and tree stumps
<i>Aprasia haroldi</i>	Fossorial	Sandy soil in coastal dunes
<i>Aprasia inaurita</i>	Fossorial	Sandy soil sheltering beneath tree stumps or surface debris
<i>Aprasia litorea</i>	Fossorial	Sandy soil in coastal hillocks, sheltering under logs and leaf litter
<i>Aprasia parapulchella</i>	Fossorial	Soil, sheltering in ant tunnels and under rocks
<i>Aprasia picturata</i>	Fossorial	Sandy loam
<i>Aprasia pseudopulchella</i>	Fossorial	Stony and clay soils, sheltering under rocks and tree stumps
<i>Aprasia pulchella</i>	Fossorial	Granite and lateritic soils, sheltering under rocks
<i>Aprasia repens</i>	Fossorial	Loose sands and soils
<i>Aprasia rostrata</i>	Fossorial	Sandy soil in coastal dunes
<i>Aprasia smithi</i>	Fossorial	Sandy loams and yellow sands, sheltering under leaf litter and other cover
<i>Aprasia striolata</i>	Fossorial	Sandy and loamy soils
<i>Aprasia wicherina</i>	Fossorial	Deep sands in plains
<i>Delma australis</i>	Ground	Spinifex and leaf litter in mallee and grasslands, sheltering beneath leaf litter and spinifex
<i>Delma borea</i>	Ground	Spinifex grasslands, sheltering in leaf litter, dense grass and other cover
<i>Delma butleri</i>	Ground	Spinifex grasslands, sheltering in grasses
<i>Delma concinna</i>	Shrub	Inside hummock grass clumps in sandy plains and coastal heaths
<i>Delma desmosa</i>	Ground	Spinifex deserts
<i>Delma elegans</i>	Ground	Stony hillsides sheltering in spinifex
<i>Delma fraseri</i>	Ground	Coastal sands and heaths, sheltering in spinifex tussocks
<i>Delma grayii</i>	Ground	Low <i>Banksia</i> , heath and low shrub
<i>Delma haroldi</i>	Ground	Spinifex and shrublands
<i>Delma hebesa</i>	Ground	Scrub, mallee heath along coastal sandy plains, sheltering under rocks, roots and logs
<i>Delma impar</i>	Ground	Grassy plains and woodlands, sheltering beneath loose rocks, in soil cracks and grass tussocks
<i>Delma inornata</i>	Shrub	Grasslands and open woodlands with grasses
<i>Delma labialis</i>	Ground	Understoreys of low vegetation, grasses and leaf litter in open forests, sheltering under objects incl. artificial debris
<i>Delma mitella</i>	Ground	Open forest and forest margins, sheltering in tussock of grass and other thick ground cover
<i>Delma molleri</i>	Ground	Grasslands and shrublands, sheltering under flat stones, timber and rubbish
<i>Delma nasuta</i>	Shrub	Sandy and rocky deserts, sheltering in small shrubs and spinifex
<i>Delma pax</i>	Ground	Spinifex grassland, sheltering beneath leaf litter and dead vegetation
<i>Delma petersoni</i>	Ground	Coastal sands and heath, sheltering in spinifex tussocks
<i>Delma plebeia</i>	Ground	Grasslands and open forests with grassy understorey
<i>Delma tealei</i>	Ground	Grasslands and coastal stony hills, sheltering under limestones and hummock grass
<i>Delma tincta</i>	Ground	Spinifex deserts, rocky outcrops, coastal forests, sheltering in grass, under rocks and debris; occasionally burrowing in soil
<i>Delma torquata</i>	Ground	Brigalow acacia and open woodlands with an understorey of grass and shrubs, sheltering beneath rocks, logs and leaf litter
<i>Lialis burtonis</i>	Ground	Wide variety of habitats, active on the surface
<i>Lialis jicari</i>	Ground	Wetter grasslands and savannas, sheltering in ground vegetation
<i>Ophidiocephalus taeniatus</i>	Fossorial	Sandy loams in arid shrublands, sheltering in leaf litter and deep cracks
<i>Paradelma orientalis</i>	Ground	Sandy soils in stone ridges, brigalow acacia and woodlands, sheltering beneath sandstone slabs, stones, leaf litter and in grassy tussocks
<i>Pletholax edelensis</i>	Shrub	Sandy soil in banksia and coastal heath, basking on dense and low vegetation
<i>Pletholax gracilis</i>	Shrub	Sandy soil in banksia and coastal heath, basking on dense and low vegetation
<i>Pygopus lepidopodus</i>	Ground	Mallee, heaths, woodlands and dunes, sheltering beneath low or/and dense vegetation
<i>Pygopus nigriceps</i>	Ground	Sandy deserts, heaths, dunes, woodlands and mallee, sheltering in soil cracks and under debris
<i>Pygopus robertsi</i>	Ground	Sandy soils in woodlands and heaths
<i>Pygopus schraderi</i>	Ground	Stony plains, mallee, scrublands, woodlands and spinifex deserts, sheltering in soil cracks and under debris
<i>Pygopus steelescotti</i>	Ground	Tropical woodlands, sheltering under debris

Supplementary document III. KLUGE (1974) defined the linear morphometric variables used in this study as:

SVL: distance between the anterior extreme of the snout and the posterior margin of the middle preanal scale. Head length: distance between the anterior extreme of the snout of the rear extreme of the mouth.

Snout length: horizontal distance between the anterior extreme of the snout and the anterior margin of the ocular orbit.

Eye width: horizontal distance between the anterior and posterior extremes of the cornea, and excluding the ocular scale ring.

Postorbital length: distance between the rear extreme of the ocular orbit and the rear angle of the mouth.

Head width: across long body axis distance between the widest extremes of head.

Head depth: vertical distance between the dorsal side of the head and the ventral side of the throat at level of the mouth.

Rostral width: horizontal distance between the widest extremes of the rostral scale.

Tail length: horizontal distance between the posterior extreme of the middle preanal scale and the tip of the tail.

KLUGE (1974) also used several meristic traits. One of them, the number of hindlimb scales along the ventralmost margin of the limb excluding those overlapping with the body scalation, was also used in the analysis.

I used data from all the species examined by KLUGE with the exception of *Delma torquata* for which the only specimen with an unbroken tail had an extremely short tail length, thus indicating its being regenerated. The only specimen of *Ophidiocephalus taeniatus* examined by KLUGE (1974) had a broken tail. Used here were published morphometric data on this species (MCDONALD & FYFE 2008) to build an ordinary least squares regression and predict the tail length of this specimen. Although in this study authors indicated whether lizards had regenerated tails or not, close examinations of the bivariate relationships SVL/tail length revealed anomalous individuals. This suggests that they failed to truly distinguish between unbroken and regenerated tails. For this reason, I combined visual inspection of the bivariate plot and Cook's distance to delete outliers and perform OLS regression with the remaining data ($R^2 = 0.553$, $P = 0.003$) and estimated the complete tail length using the following equation:

$$(1.477 \pm 0.400)SVL + (74.464 \pm 37.944) = \text{Tail length}$$

Morphometric data used in morphologic analysis.

Species	SVL	Head length	Snout length	Eye width	Postorbital length	Head width
<i>Aprasia aurita</i>	91.7	3.6	1.9	0.7	0.8	3.0
<i>Aprasia inaurita</i>	105.0	3.6	1.8	0.8	0.7	2.8
<i>Aprasia parapulchella</i>	107.0	3.4	1.5	0.8	0.8	2.9
<i>Aprasia pseudopulchella</i>	115.4	3.6	1.6	0.8	0.8	3.0
<i>Aprasia striolata</i>	91.4	3.4	1.8	0.8	0.8	2.7
<i>Aprasia pulchella</i>	83.5	3.5	1.5	0.8	0.9	2.6
<i>Aprasia repens</i>	87.0	3.2	1.6	0.7	0.7	2.5
<i>Aprasia smithi</i>	101.0	4.2	2.2	0.9	0.8	3.2
<i>Paradelma orientalis</i>	167.5	8.4	4.2	1.9	1.9	7.6
<i>Pygopus lepidopodus</i>	172.4	11.1	5.9	1.9	2.8	8.8
<i>Pygopus nigriceps</i>	136.2	8.8	4.8	1.8	2.4	7.8
<i>Ophidiocephalus taeniatus</i>	102.0	5.6	3.4	0.7	1.5	4.3
<i>Pletholax gracilis</i>	67.3	5.0	2.7	0.8	1.3	3.0
<i>Delma australis</i>	59.6	4.7	2.2	1.1	1.2	4.3
<i>Delma borea</i>	59.9	6.4	2.7	1.2	1.8	4.3
<i>Delma pax</i>	73.2	6.4	3.1	1.3	2.0	4.8
<i>Delma tincta</i>	61.0	5.3	2.6	1.0	1.5	4.0
<i>Delma elegans</i>	86.2	7.6	3.8	1.5	1.9	5.8
<i>Delma impar</i>	71.4	6.4	3.1	1.2	2.1	4.9
<i>Delma mollerii</i>	77.1	6.7	3.3	1.3	2.0	5.3
<i>Delma plebeia</i>	84.5	7.3	3.7	1.3	2.2	5.4
<i>Delma fraseri</i>	87.1	8.3	4.1	1.5	2.5	6.3
<i>Delma grayii</i>	83.0	7.8	3.7	1.3	2.0	5.5
<i>Delma inornata</i>	90.7	7.6	3.7	1.4	2.2	5.8
<i>Delma nasuta</i>	73.6	8.1	3.8	1.5	2.1	5.1
<i>Delma concinna</i>	74.3	8.4	4.5	1.2	1.9	5.3
<i>Lialis burtonis</i>	182.5	16.9	9.0	1.8	5.6	8.3
<i>Lialis jicari</i>	212.5	17.3	10.3	2.1	4.4	6.3

Supplementary document III continued

Species	Head depth	Rostral width	Trunk length	Tail length	Number of hindlimb scales
<i>Aprasia aurita</i>	2.3	1.2	88.1	54.6	1.0
<i>Aprasia inaurita</i>	2.2	1.0	101.4	60.1	1.5
<i>Aprasia parapulchella</i>	2.4	0.9	103.5	68.9	1.5
<i>Aprasia pseudopulchella</i>	2.2	0.6	111.8	72.5	1.0
<i>Aprasia striolata</i>	2.1	0.9	87.9	51.0	1.1
<i>Aprasia pulchella</i>	2.0	0.9	80.0	52.6	1.5
<i>Aprasia repens</i>	1.8	0.9	83.8	46.6	1.0
<i>Aprasia smithi</i>	2.6	1.2	96.7	66.0	1.0
<i>Paradelma orientalis</i>	5.6	2.8	159.0	221.8	2.5
<i>Pygopus lepidopodus</i>	7.2	3.8	161.2	341.3	7.0
<i>Pygopus nigriceps</i>	5.9	3.4	127.3	164.2	5.0
<i>Ophidiocephalus taeniatus</i>	3.3	2.3	96.4	225.0	3.0
<i>Pletholax gracilis</i>	2.5	1.5	62.3	192.7	2.5
<i>Delma australis</i>	3.0	1.6	54.9	100.2	3.5
<i>Delma borea</i>	3.3	1.8	53.4	180.1	2.5
<i>Delma pax</i>	4.0	2.1	66.8	181.9	3.5
<i>Delma tincta</i>	3.2	1.7	55.7	178.2	2.5
<i>Delma elegans</i>	4.2	2.1	78.6	323.8	4.0
<i>Delma impar</i>	4.2	2.3	65.0	126.7	3.0
<i>Delma mollerii</i>	3.9	2.4	70.4	173.0	3.5
<i>Delma plebeia</i>	4.2	2.4	77.2	190.4	3.0
<i>Delma fraseri</i>	4.6	2.4	78.7	253.0	3.5
<i>Delma grayii</i>	4.5	2.4	75.2	266.3	5.0
<i>Delma inornata</i>	4.7	2.5	83.1	216.5	4.0
<i>Delma nasuta</i>	4.2	2.0	65.5	228.8	4.0
<i>Delma concinna</i>	4.5	1.8	65.9	319.4	5.0
<i>Lialis burtonis</i>	6.4	2.3	165.5	198.4	1.5
<i>Lialis jicari</i>	6.3	2.0	195.2	296.0	2.0

Estimated slopes and intercepts of OLS regression of head length against the nine morphologic variables.

Variable	Slope \pm SE	T	P	Intercept \pm SE	R ²
Snout length	1.074 \pm 0.033	32.429	<0.0001	-0.359 \pm 0.027	0.975
Eye width	0.666 \pm 0.060	10.944	<0.0001	-0.457 \pm 0.049	0.821
Postorbital length	1.121 \pm 0.054	20.749	<0.0001	-0.674 \pm 0.044	0.943
Head width	0.746 \pm 0.063	11.727	<0.0001	0.066 \pm 0.051	0.841
Head depth	0.803 \pm 0.052	15.406	<0.0001	-0.081 \pm 0.042	0.901
Rostral width	0.695 \pm 0.102	6.778	<0.0001	-0.095 \pm 0.055	0.638
Trunk length	1.184 \pm 0.149	7.907	<0.0001	1.223 \pm 0.122	0.706
Tail length	0.312 \pm 0.135	2.309	0.0295	1.694 \pm 0.110	0.169
Hindlimb scales number	0.732 \pm 0.197	3.704	0.0010	-0.187 \pm 0.161	0.345

Supplementary document IV. Results of the test for phylogenetic signal on morphological variables.

Variable	K	P	Lambda	P
SVL	2.310	0.0010	1.095	<0.00001
Head length	2.750	0.0010	1.117	<0.00001
Snout length	2.873	0.0010	1.116	<0.00001
Eye width	1.746	0.0010	1.109	<0.00001
Postorbital length	2.544	0.0010	1.106	<0.00001
Head width	1.771	0.0010	1.116	<0.00001
Head depth	1.898	0.0010	1.107	<0.00001
Rostral width	1.706	0.0010	1.076	<0.00001
Trunk length	1.289	0.0010	1.094	<0.00001
Number of hindlimb scales	1.350	0.0010	1.070	0.00001
Tail length	1.400	0.0010	1.117	<0.00001
Size-free 1 st PC	2.068	0.0010	0.997	<0.00001
Size-free 2 nd PC	1.104	0.0010	0.989	0.0005
Mean annual temperature	0.690	0.0100	0.849	0.0106
Annual accumulated precipitation	0.619	0.0430	0.001	1.0000

Supplementary document V. Corrected Akaiké values of the examined models of morphological evolution for body size and shape, and climate. Models with the largest weight are marked in bold and for comparison the second in order of importance, in italics. Early-burst models of SVL evolution have a rate of -0.209, Delta models of shape evolution have an estimated parameter value of 0.126, and in the case of bioclimatic variables, the Kappa model for mean annual temperature has an estimated parameter of 0.138. Models evaluated were: BM – Brownian motion; OU – Ornstein-Uhlenbeck; EB – early-burst; Lamba; Kappa; Delta; MTrend – mean trend; RTrend – rate trend; Wnoise – white noise.

Variable	BM	OU	EB	Lambda	Kappa	Delta	MTrend	RTrend	WNoise	Weight
SVL	262.9	265.4	251.1	265.4	254.2	265.4	265.4	255.2	288.4	0.742/0.157
Size-corrected 1 st PC	-16.2	-13.6	<i>-19.3</i>	-13.6	-13.6	-23.3	-13.6	-17.1	9.9	0.806/0.109
Mean annual temperature	-92.7	-94.2	-90.7	-93.3	-94.7	-97.3	-90.4	-93.7	-89.0	0.508/0.140
Annual precipitation	12.2	9.9	14.5	10.6	10.9	14.5	14.5	12.0	8.3	0.404/0.183

Supplementary document VI. Models examined in QuaSSE analysis of effects of SVL and body shape, and mean annual temperature on speciation rates and values of corrected Akaike values and relative weights for each one.

Trait	Model	k	AICc	AICc Weight
SVL	Constant	3	416.7	0.010
	Linear	4	417.9	0.006
	Sigmoid	6	424.6	0.001
	Hump-shaped	6	425.0	0.001
	Linear with drift	5	407.7	0.974
	Sigmoid with drift	7	417.9	0.005
	Hump-shaped with drift	7	419.7	0.002
1 st size-free PC	Constant	3	157.6	0.192
	Linear	4	160.0	0.059
	Sigmoid	6	165.6	0.003
	Hump-shaped	6	165.3	0.004
	Linear with drift	5	158.6	0.118
	Sigmoid with drift	7	155.5	0.566
	Hump-shaped with drift	7	160.1	0.054
Annual mean temperature	Constant	3	206.4	<0.00001
	Linear	4	209.1	<0.00001
	Sigmoid	6	215.4	<0.00001
	Hump-shaped	6	215.3	<0.00001
	Linear with drift	5	182.6	0.999
	Sigmoid with drift	7	207.6	<0.00001
	Hump-shaped with drift	7	211.7	<0.00001