New findings on *Pandanus* sect. *Imerinenses* and sect. *Rykiella* (Pandanaceae) from Madagascar

Martin W. CALLMANDER & Michel O. LAIVAO

Université de Neuchâtel, Institut de Botanique, Laboratoire de Botanique évolutive, Case postale 2, 2007 Neuchâtel, Suisse.

ABSTRACT

Pandanus imerinensis from the east coast of Madagascar was, until recently, assigned to sect. Rykiella but many characters distinguish this species from other taxa found in the section (non-deciduous spiniform stigmas, habit and micromorphology). This species has been placed in the monospecific section Imerinenses. Pandanus macrophyllus, another outstanding species from the east coast is therefore the only species found in section Rykiella. Their taxonomic positions remain unclear. Recently, a staminate plant of P. imerinensis and a mature pistillate plant of P. macrophyllus has been found. These discoveries greatly extend our knowledge of these outstanding species. The staminate flower and pollen morphology of P. imerinensis, the mature pistillate plant of P. macrophyllus are here described for the first time. The taxonomic relationships within the genus are discussed as well as their important role in Indian Ocean biogeography. A key to the spiniform stigmas species of Pandanus in Madagascar is presented.

RÉSUMÉ

Nouvelles données sur le genre Pandanus sect. Imerinenses et sect. Rykiella (Pandanaceae) de Madagascar.

Pandanus imerinensis de la côte est de Madagascar était, jusqu'à récemment, placé dans la section *Rykiella* mais trop de caractères isolent cette espèce des autres espèces de la section (stigmates spiniformes non-caduques, architecture et micromorphologie foliaire). Cette espèce a été placée comme type de la section monospécifique *Imerinenses* alors que *P. macrophyllus*, une autre espèce remarquable de la côte est, forme seule la section monospécifique *Rykiella*. Leurs positions taxonomiques restent peu claires. La découverte récente d'un individu mâle de *P. imerinensis* et d'une infrutescence mature de *P. macrophyllus* permet de mieux comprendre ces espèces isolées morphologiquement. La fleur mâle ainsi que la morphologie du pollen de *P. imerinensis*, et l'infrutescence mature de *P. macrophyllus* sont décrites pour la première fois. Les relations taxonomiques au sein du genre sont discutées ainsi que leur rôle important dans la biogéographie de l'Océan Indien. Une clé des espèces à stigmates spinescents des *Pandanus* de Madagascar est présentée.

KEY WORDS

Pandanus, Pandanaceae, biogeography, phytogeography, taxonomy, Indian Ocean, Madagascar.

MOTS CLÉS

Pandanus, Pandanaceae, biogéographie, phytogéographie, taxonomie, Madagascar, Océan Indien.

INTRODUCTION

The paleotropical genus Pandanus comprises some 700 species of trees and shrubs divided in eight subgenera and fifty-five sections (STONE 1974). Madagascar is one of the major centers of diversity of the genus with nearly 100 species, nearly all of which belongs to subg. Vinsonia (90 spp. divided into 15 sections). However, seven species that occur along of east coast of Madagascar have a distinctive morphology that has puzzled taxonomists for the last 30 years (STONE 1970a, 1970b, 1974; HUYNH 1979a, 1979b), each of which (except one) is today placed in a small section containing one or two species: sect. Imerinenses (P. imerinensis Martelli), sect. Phaenops (P. princeps B.C. Stone, P. longissimepedunculatus Martelli), sect. Lonchostigma (P. rollotii Martelli), sect. Platyphylla (P. platyphyllus Martelli), sect. Aquatiles (P. peyrierasii B.C. Stone & Guillaumet) and sect. Rykiella (P. macrophyllus Martelli). These six sections are taxonomically isolated among the Malagasy species. Many characters (including micromorphology of the leaves and morphology of the staminate plant) led HUYNH (1977, 1979a) to place all of them in the African subgenus Vinsonia rather than the southeast Indian, and Malaysian subgenus Rykia despite the fact that they have many shared characters with the latter group (e.g. ecology, spiniform stigmas, monolocular drupes).

Between 1996 and 2001, we collected more than 150 specimens of *Pandanus* in preparation for a taxonomic revision of the genus in Madagascar, including a staminate plant of *P. imerinensis* and a mature pistillate infrutescence of *P. macrophyllus*, neither of which have ever been described before. These discoveries open up new perspectives for understanding the affinities between Asian and the Malagasy species and for resolving the affinities of some of the small sections. After a discussion of the taxonomic importance of these discoveries, a biogeographical analysis of these small sections is provided. A key to the species is also provided.

METHODS

Parts of the staminate flower were investigated by scanning electron microscopy. Pollen grains were acetolysed then passed through a critical point dryer and sputter-coated with 23 μ m of gold. The other parts of the flower were not acetolysed. A Philipps XL 20 was used for scanning electron microscopy.

For observation of leaf micromorphology, we acetolysed some parts of leaves following the method described in HUYNH (1971).

The herbarium specimens examined are those from our collections (see list under the species) and in the herbaria of Florence (FI), Antananarivo (TAN) and Paris (P).

TAXONOMY

Pandanus imerinensis Martelli

Webbia 2: 436, pl. 19 (figs. 16-18) (1907); Martelli & Pichi-Sermolli, Mém. Inst. Sci. Madagascar, Sér. B, Biol. Vég. 3: 166 (1951); Stone, Webbia 24: 588 (1970); Guillaumet, Webbia 28: 503, 506, fig. 4 (1), pl. 43 (2), pl. 48 (2) (1973); Stone, Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 94: 511 (1974). — Type: *Rollot 10*, Madagascar, Tamatave province, 1906 (holo-, FI!).

Large trees 7-10 m tall, 16-20 cm in diameter at base, robust, straight, with 4-6 branches each ending in a clump of scythe-shaped leaves, prop roots forming a cone with the apex at 1-1.5 m above ground. Leaves caniculate, coriaceous, 75-80 cm (120-130 cm in pistillate plants) in length, 5 (-8) cm wide near the base, 3.5-4(-6) cm in the middle, with two auricles at base $6(-15) \times 0.8$ (-1) cm, acuminate, not flagellate; marginal prickles beginning 6(-15) cm from the base, 4(-6) mm long, up to 7(-10) mm apart, midrib armed with small prickles at 13(-20) cm from the base to the apex; when dried, abaxial face light brown, adaxial face dark brown, easily split apart at the midrib when flattened. Terminal inflorescence pendant in the middle of the clump of leaves; peduncle 73 cm long, 1.5 cm in diameter, spherical, hispid, bearing 11 bracts, the first three sterile; internodes 1-4 cm (7 cm between the first and the second); first bract born 23 cm from the base, all bracts lanceolate; the lowermost is 35 cm long, 4-5 cm wide in the middle; the midrib and the costal rib armed from 12 cm to the base with little prickles (0.3-0.5 mm long) up to 1-2 mm apart; bracts flexuous in the

lower 1/4, then subcoriaceous, abortive in the last three inflorescences; subtending 14 inflorescence spikes (up to 17 cm long, 3 cm wide); flowers arranged densely in each spike of the inflorescence; 3-20 stamens per flower (the filaments is often fused); anthers 1.5×0.3 cm, mucronate, arranged at the apex of the filament; the filament is 6-10 mm long, 0.7-1 mm wide, often fused (up to 5-8 mm wide). Pollen grains obovoid to spherical, 20 µm long, spinulose, with an apical aperture.

MATERIAL STUDIED. — MADAGASCAR: Callmander & Bemandiny M122 (pistillate plant), Callmander & Bemandiny M123 (staminate plant), Maroantsetra, Nantoroka road, 15°27'57"S, 49°40'31"E, 5 Nov. 1999 (G, NEU, P, TAN); Perrier de la Bâthie 13290, Tampina south of Tamatave, Nov. 1929 (FI, P); Perrier de la Bâthie 14096, near Vatomandry, Nov. 1921 (FI, P); Rollot 10, Tamatave province, 1906, type (FI).

NOTES. — This species occurs along the east coast of Madagascar, in swamps, often in secondary littoral forest from elevation 0-30 m (Fig. 1). Its vernacular name is Bobaka in the Betsimsaraka language.

Pandanus imerinensis is a remarkable species. It was first placed by STONE (1970a) in Pandanus sect. Rykiella (subg. Rykia) because of its comparable spiniform style (Fig. 3B), but later he assigned it to section Lonchostigma along with P. rollotii and P. peyrierasii (STONE 1974), altought he indicated that it was «... placed here with much doubt, for although the styles are comparable, other characters are greatly different; especially the multicephalic inflorescence on its long, hispid peduncle.» (cf. Figs. 3A, 3D). HUYNH (1979a) then based his new monotypic sect. Imerinenses on this species, distinguishing it because of the micromorphology of leaves. With the discovery of a staminate plant, new arguments for the systematic and biogeographic position of P. imerinensis can be made.

The staminate flowers of *Pandanus* species are not very useful in intraspecific comparison because of low variation of its morphology, a notable exception being subg. *Martellidendron* (cf. CALLMANDER 2001). They are however useful for differentiation between sections (HUYNH 1977). *Pandanus rollotii*, whose ecology and morphology are close to members of *Pandanus* subg. *Rykia*, clearly belongs to subg. *Vinsonia*, largely



Fig. 1. — Map of Madagascar showing collection localities of members of *Pandanus* sect. *Imerinenses* (grey) and sect. *Rykiella* (black square). Major towns are indicated by a black circle.

because its staminate flowers have a candelabralike displacement of their stamens (HUYNH 1977: 460, fig. 22) instead of the pseudo-umbel found in members of subg. Rykia (STONE 1974). In Pandanus imerinensis, the staminate flowers also have a candelabra-like stamen disposition (Fig. 6E), but this is a result of secondary ramification of the stamen columns, whereas in Pandanus subg. Rykia the stamen column is never twice ramified (This is especially evident on the type of P. ceylanicus (MARTELLI 1913: figs. 25-27, pl. 29). The anthers of P. imerinensis are small and mucronate (Fig. 6F), its pollen is spinulose (Fig. 6D), and its inflorescence holds many spikes (Fig. 2), all characters that are common throughout the genus. Less frequent is the hispid peduncle (Fig. 3D(a), 3D(b)), a rare character in Pandanus and unique to subg. Vinsonia. Furthermore, the micromorphology of the leaves is



Fig. 2. – **Pandanus imerinensis**, staminate inflorescence. (*Callmander & Bemandiny M122*). – Drawn by A. MEZOUAR.

unique among Malagasy species in having stomata with ramified papillae of class VII following HUYNH (1974) (Fig. 6C). Its diffuse architecture with little ramification (Fig. 3E) is likewise unique in Madagascar described by GUILLAUMET (1973) as icon forming to Stone's model, with lateral branches not limited in growth.

Pandanus macrophyllus Martelli

Webbia 2: 437, fig. 1 K,L (1907); Martelli & Pichi-Sermolli, Mém. Inst. Sci. Madagascar, Sér. B, Biol. Vég. 3: 155 (1951); Stone, Webbia 24: 588 (1970); Stone, J. Linn. Soc., Bot. 63: 107, 124 (1970); Guillaumet, Webbia 28: 506 (1973). Stone, Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 94: 511 (1974). — Type: *Rollot 11*, Madagascar, Imerina province, 1906 (holo-, FI!).

Tree < 6-7 m tall, trunk prickly, 18-25 cm in diameter, erect, dichotomously branched; prop roots few or none. Leaves flagellate, the one from the apex of the trunk as long as the lateral ones, 330-351 cm long, 15-17 cm wide in the middle, 16 cm near the sheath, terminated by a flagellum 7.5 cm long, 1-3 mm wide; dry leaves coriaceous; leaf pleat unarmed; longitudinal and transverse veins visible on both sides; prickles yellowish; marginal prickles beginning at 35 cm above the base and extending to the apex, antrorse, in the lower third < 7 mm long, 5 mm apart, in the mid third < 5 mm long, 7-10 mm apart, in the distal third < 3 mm long, apart 3 mm; midrib armed from 70 cm to the apex, midrib prickles smaller than the marginal prickles at the same height; sheath 35 cm long, 16 cm wide at apex, 20 cm at base. Infrutescence terminal, plurisyncarpic, 8 syncarps, progressively smaller from the base to the apex, 9.7-10 cm long, 6.9-7.3 cm wide, ovoid, triangular-obtuse in transverse section; core 5.5-7 cm long, 2-3 cm wide; peduncle 95-105 cm long, 4 cm wide at apex, 2 cm in the middle, curved, trigonous, veins visible. Drupes wider in the apical and basal part of the syncarp, connate in the mature syncarp, 25-30 mm high, 8-13 mm wide, 3-8 mm thick, 1/7 superior free; pileus with prominent angles, dome-like, 2 mm high; stigmas (2-)3, spiniform, 8 mm high, 1.1 mm thick at base, oblique, turned towards the summit of the syncarp; endocarp 1.3 cm long, 1.5 mm wide, 1 mm away from the stigmas; seed locule oblong, 11×1 mm, apex 1 mm from the base of the stigmas, superior mesocarp narrow and fibrous; inferior mesocarp thick and fibrous.

MATERIAL STUDIED. — MADAGASCAR: Callmander, Laivao & Wohlhauser M041, 16 km from Brickaville on the RN2 to Antananarivo, 18°52'08''S, 48°59'42''E, 19 Aug. 1997 (G, NEU, TAN, P); Rollot 11, Imerina province, 1906, type (FI); Stone 7848, between Moramanga and Anosibe-Anala, North of the chute de la mort, 18 Mar. 1968 (KLU, P, BISH).

NOTES. — This species grow along the east coast of Madagascar: in lowland primary forest, often along streams, 300-600 m (Fig. 1). Its vernacular name is Vakoandrano in the Betsimsaraka language.

Pandanus macrophyllus (sect. Rykiella) is very interesting from the point of view of understand-



Fig. 3 – *Pandanus imerinensis*: A, young pistillate inflorescence; B, lateral view of drupe showing the stigma; C, longitudinal section through the centre of the stigma showing locule and fleshy upper mesocarp; D, peduncle of an infrutescence showing its hispid surface (a) with a detail of the trichomes (b); E, habit showing typical diffuse architecture. (*Callmander & Bernandiny M122*). — Drawn by A. MEZOUAR.



Fig. 4. – **Pandanus macrophyllus** (A,B), from specimen Callmander et al. M041 and **P. longissimepedunculatus** (C), redrawn after STONE (1974): **A(a-c)**, syncarp lateral view (**a**), longitudinal section showing the core in the middle (**b**) and transverse section showing spherical shape (**c**); **B**, lateral view of a drupe showing two spiniform stigmas; **C**, lateral view of a drupe showing long and thin spiniform stigma.

ing the origin and speciation of the Malagasy endemics.

Pandanus macrophyllus was first described by MARTELLI in 1907. It was assigned by MARTELLI & PICHI-SERMOLLI (1951) as the type of a new section *Rykiella* by PICHI-SERMOLLI in order to point out its affinities with the sect. *Rykia*. The type specimen (*Rollot* 11) is based on an immature syncarp, 3-4 cm long and 2.5-3.5 cm wide on a peduncle 20 cm



Fig. 5. – *Pandanus longissimepedunculatus* (A) and *P. macrophyllus* (B-D): **A**, architecture showing pendant infrutescences and non-ramified habit (scale bar = 1 m); **B**, architecture showing pendant infrutescence and branched habit (scale bar = 1 m); **C**, close up of a mature infrutescence, from *Callmander et al. M041* (scale bar = 10 cm); **D**, young infrutescence, from the type specimen *Rollot 11* (scale bar = 5 cm).

long (Fig. 5D) (MARTELLI 1907), whereas mature syncarps of this species are up to 10 cm long and 7 cm wide (Fig. 4A(a)) and the peduncle is up to 100 cm long (cf. Callmander et al. M041). The prescence of such a long peduncle is known in another Malagasy species: P. longissimepedunculatus Martelli (MARTELLI & PICHI-SERMOLLI 1951: 159, fig. 29a). When PICHI-SERMOLLI described Pandanus sect. Rykiella, he also included P. longissimepedunculatus and distinguished it on the basis of syncarp and drupe size and a peduncle length (20 cm in *P. macrophyllus* vs. 120 cm in P. longissimepedunculatus). HUYNH (1979a) transferred P. longissimepedunculatus to sect. Phaenops based on its micromorphology, drupes morphology and habit, an interpretation supported by the fact that P. longissimepedunculatus has thin, long, deciduous stigmas (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, this species is never branched (Fig. 5A) and conforms to the Corner architectural model (GUILLAUMET 1973). The micromorphology of leaves is distinctive, with a clear zonation of the stomata on the abaxial face (HUYNH 1979a) and the papilla belong to class I according to HUYNH's (1974) classification (Fig. 6A) instead of the class IIb papilla found in P. macrophyllus, characterized by the absence of zonation of stomata and simple papilla (Fig. 6B) (HUYNH 1974). For these reasons, HUYNH (1979a) was correct to remove *P. longis*simepedunculatus from sect. Rykiella. Although there is a broad affinity between *P. macrophyllus* and *P. longissimepedunculatus* — they are tall trees with thick trunks (compare Figs. 5A and 5B), large and rough leaf-crowns, and a long peduncle ending in numerous ovoid syncarps (Figs. 4A(b), 4A(c) and 5C) — similarity stops here. Pandanus macrophyllus is a large dichotomously branched tree (Fig. 5B), with an infrutescence caracterized by a thick, straight peduncle (Fig. 5C) bearing about 8 subspherical heads, and its drupes are 2-3 celled with 2-3 non-deciduous thick stigmas (Fig. 4B), whereas P. longissimepedunculatus is a large monocaule tree (Fig. 5A), with an infrutescence bearing about 5-6 subspherical heads, and its drupes are one celled with one thin, long and deciduous stigmas (Fig. 4C).

DISCUSSION

Pandanus sect. Rykiella is important for understanding relationships among the endemic sections in Madagascar. As PICHI-SERMOLLI noted: "Je considère que *Rykiella* a une grande affinité avec Acanthostyla, par la structure du stigmate" (MARTELLI & PICHI-SERMOLLI 1951: 157). The stigmas are indeed rather similar in that they are thicker and stronger than those of species in the other monocarpellate sections represented in Madagascar (compare Fig. 4B and 4C) and the pileus is persistent at maturity, with prominent angles instead of being deciduous and rounded as in sect. Phaenops. Furthermore, the stigmas of sect. Rykiella are like those in Acanthostyla in that they have a small soft part at the base and, at maturity, the spinescent stigmas fall without the pileus. In the other spinulose sections, the pileus is deciduous at maturity and the stigmas fall with it (MARTELLI & PICHI-SERMOLLI 1951). The recent discovery of pistillate plants of P. macro*phyllus* confirms the important remarks from PICHI-SERMOLLI, and also shows that this species holds drupes with more than one stigma and nearly all drupes have 2 or 3 stigmas. This further confirms the relationship between sects. Rykiella and Acanthostyla rather than with the monocarpellate sections of the east coast (sects. Phaenops, Platyphylla, Imerinenses) because sect. Acanthostyla is the only one with spiniform stigmas with more than one-celled drupes.

The discovery of a staminate plant of a member of Pandanus sect. Imerinenses tends to confirm its systematic position in subg. Vinsonia rather than subg. Rykia. The flowers are similar to those of section Lonchostigma and Aquatiles in having a candelabra-like organization at the apex of the filament instead of an umbellate structure. Nevertheless, the five spiniform sections of eastern Madagascar coast are today considered taxonomically remote from one other. The presence of a hispid peduncle coupled with an unusual habit and micromorphology isolates P. imerinensis. As to P. macrophyllus, its macromorphology shows a link between section Acanthostyla and the other spiniform sections of Madagascar. It may be a relict species that combines characters from different sections (e.g. habits, polysyncarpic



Fig. 6. — LM (light microscopy) view of stomata on abaxial leaf surface (A-C) (scale bars = 20 µm) and SEM (scanning electron microscopy) of pollen and staminate flowers (D-F): **A**, stomata of **Pandanus longissimepedunculatus** showing papillae (*Laivao et al. M034*); **B**, stomata of **Pandanus macrophyllus** showing papillae (*Callmander et al. M041*); **C**, stomata of **Pandanus imerinensis** showing dendritic ramifications of papillae (*Callmander & Bemandiny M122*); **D**, spinulate pollen of **Pandanus imerinensis** (*Callmander & Bemandiny M0122*), scale bar = 5 µm; **E**, staminate flower of **Pandanus imerinenses** showing the candelabra-like disposition of stamens, scale bar = 2 mm; **F**, close up of a mucronate anther, scale bar = 500 µm (*Callmander & Bemandiny M122*).

infrutescences, micromorphology, ...). Each of the other monospecific sections of eastern Madagascar also has unique discriminating characters (e.g. the two lobes at the base of the stigmas of *P. platyphyllus*) but features of their staminate flower suggest a common origin, an interpretation that has been confirmed by molecular phylogenetic analyses (CALLMANDER et al., in prep.).

The diversification and speciation of *Pandanus* in the lowland forest of eastern Madagascar could date back to the Tertiary. Many Malagasy species belong to genera or families that were already represented in the Cretaceous flora, and have survived because climatic conditions have varied little over the ages (KOECHLIN 1972). The affinity between the morphologically remote endemic monospecific sections in Madagascar with *Pandanus* sect. Rykia from south-east India and Malaysia, coupled with their present eastern distribution suggests an ancient origin. The morphological and ecological affinities of *P. ceylanicus* Solms-Laub. from Sri Lanka with P. rollotii is interesting, since Sri Lanka was part of the Gondwana continent. During the Oligocene the sea level dropped and a large part of the Chagos and Mascarene plateaux was emergent (HAG et al. 1988), which could have permitted dispersal across land or short distances of water between western Malaysia and Madagascar through India and Sri Lanka, via the "Lemurian Stepping-stone" suggested by SCHATZ (1996). The low number of species of Pandanus in India and Sri Lanka compared to Indo-Malaysia, together with their morphological affinity with the Malagasy species from the east coast could be testament to this Tertiary dispersion.

The endemic Pandanus sect. Acanthostyla, with nearly 20 species growing in all phytogeographic regions of Madagascar, is a relatively diverse group compared with the other Malagasy sections with spiniform stigmas. Members of sect. Acanthostyla were able to cross the central N-Srunning mountain range perhaps indicating a greater capacity for dispersal. Does its breeding system facilitate the process of speciation? Apparently relictual sections such as Rykiella may represent lineages that had no opportunity for further radiation or lineages that were once more specious and are now monospecific trough extinction. Nevertheless, their presence in the lowland forest of Madagascar may indicate an ancient Gondwanan origin.

Keys to the species of *Pandanus* with spiniform stigmas in eastern Madagascar

(except species of sect. Acanthostyla, see HUYNH (2000) for detail species keys of this section)

PISTILLATE PLANTS

1. 1'. 2.	Stigmas deciduous at maturity; prop-roots few or none, never reaching higher than 30 cm up the trunk 2 Stigmas persistent at maturity; reaching 1-1.5 m high up the trunk
	P. macrophyllus (sect. Rykiella)
2'.	Tree never ramified or with leaf dimorphism; inflorescence lateral
3.	Trees unbranched; leaves uniform in structure
3'.	Trees branched; leaves dimorphic (leaves of lateral branches much smaller than the crown at the top of the trunk)
4.	Cephalia solitary; peduncle c. 18 cm long; style 1 cm long
4.	Cephalia grouped in spikes peduncie long (c. 100 cm); style < 8 mm long
5	P. iongissime permission of the permission of th
). 5'	Peduncle alabrous: syncarps solitary
6.	Fach stigma with a lateral lobe at the base: drupes < 1.5 cm long
6'.	Each stigma without lateral lobes: drupes up to 3 cm long
7.	Fruit globose to ovoid, borne on the water surface (plants aquatic); pileus indistinct
	P. peyrierasii (sect. Aquatiles)
7'.	Fruit oblong, aerial (plants growing in swamps); pileus distinct P. rollotii (sect. Lonchostigma)

STAMINATE PLANTS

1,	Peduncle hispid	
1.		······ <i>L</i>
2.	Leaves dimorphic; inflorescences born only on lateral branches	sect. Acanthostyla
2'.	Leaves uniform in structure; inflorescence terminal	
3.	Stamens always fused, ramified 4-7; filaments thin	P. rollotii (sect. Lonchostigma)
3'.	Stamens sometimes free, if ramified 1-3; filaments thick	

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Prof. Philippe KUPFER and Kim-Lang HUYNH for their help during the study, the Botanical and Zoological Park of Tsimbazaza and ANGAP (Association Nationale de Gestion des Aires Protégées) in Antananarivo for facilities in Madagascar, the DEF (Département des Eaux et Forêts) office in Maroantsetra for facilities in the field, Michelle VLIMANT for assistance with the electron microscopy, Sébastien WOHLHAUSER for assistance in the field and fruitful discussions on Malagasy Pandanaceræ, Jason R. GRANT for linguistic corrections, Ernest FORTIS and Anouk BÉGUIN for technical support, and Ali MEZOUAR for the drawings.

REFERENCES

- CALLMANDER M.W. 2001. Pandanus subg. Martellidendron (Pandanaceae) part II: revision of sect. Martellidendron Pic. Serm. in Madagascar. J. Linn. Soc., Bot. 137: 353-374.
- GUILLAUMET J.-L. 1973. Formes et développement des *Pandanus* malgaches. *Bull. Mus. Natl. Hist. Nat., B, Adansonia* 28: 495- 517.
- HAQ B.U., HARDENBOL J. & VAIL P.R. 1988. Mesozoic and Cenozoic chronostratigraphy and eustatic cycles: 71-108, in WILGUS C.K., HASTINGS B.S., POSAMENTIER H., WAGONER J.V., Ross C.A. & StC. KENDALL C.G. (eds.), Sea level changes: an integrated approach. Special Publ. 42, Soc. Econ. Paleont. Mineral.. Tulsa, Oklahoma.
- HUYNH K.-L. 1971. The application of acetolysis for realising leaf cuticular membranes of *Pandanus* in taxonomic studies. *Stain Techn.* 46: 227-232.
- HUYNH K.-L. 1974. La morphologie microscopique de la feuille et la taxonomie du genre *Pandanus* I. Aperçu général. *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 94: 190-256.
- HUYNH K.-L. 1977. L'appareil mâle de quelques Pandanus du sous-genre Vinsonia (Pandanaceae) et

sa signification taxonomique, phylogénique et évolutive. *Beitr. Biol. Pflanzen* 53: 447-471.

- HUYNH K.-L. 1979a. La morphologie microscopique de la feuille et la taxonomie du genre *Pandanus* VI. 1. Partie systématique. *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 100: 321-371.
- HUYNH K.-L. 1979b. La morphologie microscopique de la feuille et la taxonomie du genre *Pandanus* VI. 2. Considérations sur *P.* subg. *Vinsonia. Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 100: 473-517.
- HUYNH K.-L. 2000. The genus Pandanus (Pandanaceae) in Madagascar (Part 5). Bull. Soc. Neuch. Sci. Nat. 123: 27-35.
- KOECHLIN J. 1972. Flora and vegetation of Madagascar: 145-199, in BATTISTINI R. & RICHARD-VINDARD G. (eds.) *Biogeography and ecology in Madagascar*. W. Junk publishers, the Hague.
- MARTELLI U. 1907. *Pandanus*. Nuove specie. Manipulo II. *Webbia* 2: 423-439.
- MARTELLI U. 1913. Enumerazione delle "Pandanaceae" II. *Pandanus. Webbia* 4: 5-105.
- MARTELLI U. & PICHI-SERMOLLI R. 1951. Les Pandanacées récoltées par PERRIER DE LA BÂTHIE à Madagascar. *Mém. Inst. Sci. Madagascar*, Sér. B, Biol. Vég. 3: 1-174
- SCHATZ G.E. 1996. Malagasy/Indo-australo-malesian phytogeographic connections: 73-83, in LOURENÇO W.R. (ed.), *Biogéographie de Madagascar*. ORSTOM, Paris.
- STONE B.C. 1970a. New and critical species of *Pandanus* from Madagascar. *Webbia* 24: 579-618.
- STONE B.C. 1970b. Observations of the genus Pandanus in Madagascar. J. Linn. Soc., Bot. 63: 97-131.
- STONE B.C. 1974. Towards an improved infrageneric classification in *Pandanus* (Pandanaceae). *Bot. Jahrb. Syst.* 94: 459-540.
- STONE B.C. & GUILLAUMET J.-L. 1972. Un nouveau *Pandanus* (Pandanacées) sub-aquatique de Madagascar. *Adansonia*, n.s. 12: 525-530.

Manuscript received 5 June 2002; revised version accepted 15 October 2002.