Short communication

Caudal skeleton variations in *Alburnoides* ~~spp~~fish species from Iranian water basins.

ABSTRACT

The species in the genus *Alburnoides* is distinguished based on having a combination of some morphological characteristics and different fin ray counts. Most descriptions are based on morphological characteristics and molecular approaches. The species are widely distributed in the Iranian basins. The caudal shape comparison of *Alburnoides* species from the Inland water of Iran revealed some differences between them including the shape of the epural and rudimentary neural arch. However, ten species of *Alburnoides*, ~~reported~~ from Iran were not distinguishable based on these osteological characteristics .

Keywords: Inland water Fishes of Iran, Osteology, *Alburnoides*, Ichthyology, Biodiversity.

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Alburnoides* is widely distributed from Europe to Asia Minor and Central Asia (Bogutskaya and Coad 2009; Coad and Bogutskaya 2009, 2012, Turan *et al.* 2014; Mousavi-Sabet *et al.* 2015 a,b; Schönhuth *et al.* 2018). Recently, twelve species were considered to occur in Iranian Inland waters. *Alburnoides eichwaldii* (De Filippi, 1863) from Kura River basin was re-introduced (Bogutskaya and Coad 2009) and 11 other species were described: *A. namaki* (Bogutskaya & Coad, 2009) from a qanat? at Taveh, Namak Lake Basin, *A. nicolausi* (Bogutskaya & Coad, 2009) from the Tigris River drainage, *A. qanati* (Coad & Bogutskaya, 2009) from the Pulvar River drainage, Kor River Basin, *A. idignensis* (Bogutskaya & Coad,

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic, Highlight

2009) from the BideSorkh River, Gamasiab River system, Tigris River drainage, *A. petrubanarescui* (Coad & Bogutskaya, 2009) from the QasemlouChay, Urmia Basin, *A. holciki* (Coad & Bogutskaya, 2012) from the Harirud River, *A. tabarestanensis* (Mousavi-Sabet *et al*. 2015a) from Tajan River in the southern Caspian Sea Basin, *A. parhami* from BabaAman Stream, Atrak River drainage, *A. coadi* from Namrud River, Hablerud River, Kavir Basin and *A. samiii* from Guilan Province, upper Sefidrud River basin, Tutkabon Stream (Mousavi-Sabet *et al.* 2015b), *A. damghani* (Jouladeh Roudbar *et al*. 2016a) from Cheshmeh Ali, Damghan River system. Recently, *Alburnoides* cf. *taeniatus* (Kessler, 1874) was reported from Harirud (Tedzhen) River (Jouladeh-Roudbar *et al.* 2016b), but revision is needed to illuminate status of some recent described species (Esmaeili *et al*. 2017). Recently, Eagderi *et al*. (2019) showed that *A. parhami*, *A. coadi* and *A. idignensis* were invalid species. They proposed *A. parhami* as a synonym of *A. holciki*, *A. coadi* as synonym of *A. namaki* and *A. idignensis* as synonym of *A. nicolausi* (Eagderi *et al*. 2019).

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Although there are many benefits to morphological descriptions, there are also some disadvantages in morphological characters as they are sensitive to environmental changes. Also, molecular approaches are expensive and time consuming. So using different approaches are needed to distinguish *Alburnoides* spp. (described and undescribed) from Iranian inland waters. Osteological characters are important and valid for identification and classification of fish species, and understanding biological features of fishes such as swimming, feeding and respiration (Helfman *et al.* 2009; Keivany 2014a,b,c,d). In addition, the skeletal structures contains more biological information that researchers use to distinguish

Formatted: Font: Italic

environmental conditions of fish habitats (Helfman *et al.* 2009). Osteological characteristics can be utilized in ichthyology studies, especially fish systematics and potentially can resolve some complexities in this context.(reference needed)

Since identification of *Alburnoides* species is based on the morphological features that ~~show many~~ overlap with other members of this genus and due to high diversity and morphological similarity of the members of *Alburnoides*, using osteological data, may help to better understand their taxonomic relationships. Moreover, due to lack of more studies about osteological features of the genus *Alburnoides* in Iran (Mohammadi-Sarpiri *et al*, 2021), this study was conducted to give some basic results for further comparative osteology and phylogenetic studies of the~~se fishes~~ *Alburnoides* species to draw a clear distinction among them ~~different species~~ based on osteological characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three specimens of ten species of *Alburnoides* were collected using electrofishing from Iranian Basins (Fig.1), then fixed in 10% buffered formalin after anesthetizing in 1% clove oil solution and transferred to laboratory (name and location of the laboratory needed) for further examinations (Table.1). The specimens were cleared and stained with Alcian blue and Alizarin red S according to the protocols of Taylor and van Dyke (1985) and Sone and Parenti (1995) with minor modifications. The cleared and stained specimens were studied using a stereomicroscope (SMP-120 model) and their skeletal elements were dissected and photographed by a digital camera(make and model needed). Drawing of the specimens were

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

performed using CorelDraw X7 software. The terminology of skeletal elements was based on Rojo (1991) and Helfman *et al.* (2009).

Formatted: Font: Italic

RESULTS

The caudal skeleton of *Alburnoides* consists of six hypural, one parhypural and epural, and one uroneurals. The haemal spines of preurals 1 and 2 were wide. These characteristics were similar in all studied specimens. Caudal fin was just different in the shape of epural and rudimentary neural arch. We found eight different structures in the caudal fin of *Alburnoides* species. The epural has three shapes including triangular in the *A. namaki* and *A. damghani* (Fig. 2-A) irregular form *A. nicolausi* and *A. idignensis* (Fig. 2-H), bar-like (the other shape in Fig. 2). Moreover, the rudimentary neural arch has a more different shape such as single or two-branched, having neural foramen, without neural foramen (Fig. 2). In the caudal fin structure of our samples, we found different states of epural and rudimentary neural arch together in Fig. 2. Also, we found same structure in the *A. namaki* and *A. damghani* (Fig. 2A), *A. namaki* and *A. petrubanarescui* (Fig. 2C), and two different types in the *A. holciki* (Fig. 2B) and *A. qanati* samples (Fig. 2D). Moreover, we found more different structures in the *A. qanati*, *A. tabarestanensis*, ~~*and*~~ *A. idignensis* and *A. holciki* (Fig. 2E), *A. namaki* and *A. tabarestanensis* (Fig. 2F), *A. eichwaldii* and *A. samiii* (Fig. 2G) and *A. nicolausi* and *A. idignensis* specimen (Fig. 2H).

DISCUSSION

Morphological and mitochondrial genetic data revealed that *Alburnoides* has twelve species in Iranian Basins. The caudal skeleton of fishes has been used for taxonomic studies by workers since more than 100 years ago (Kölliker, 1860; Lotz, 1864; Cope, 1890; Whitehouse, 1910; Regan, 1910; Hollister, 1936 and Fujita, 1990). Osteology is still ~~is~~ an important instrument in ~~the~~ fish systematics. ~~study of fishes~~ (Keivany 1996, 2000, 2014a,b,c,d, 2017a; Keivany and Nelson 1997, 1998, 2004, 2006; Nasri *et al*. 2013, 2016; Jalili *et al.* 2015, Keivany 2017b; Moezzi *et al.* 2019; Zamani-Faradonbe and Keivany, 2021). The main role of the caudal peduncle in the best performance in swimming, such as increasing the acceleration and speed of swimming at the beginning of swimming. Morphological differences in the caudal peduncle lead to more efficiency of swimming (lowering the cost of metabolism) and increasing the power of moving forward along the river. This diversity also occurs in the bony structures of the caudal fin (Hawkins and Guinn 1996). In the present study, high diversity was observed in the caudal fin skeleton and it seems to be due to the adaptation of these fish species to different environmental conditions. In our study, not only *Alburnoides* species had structurally different caudal fins in different basins but also had different structures among populations. However, the observed differences are not due to the different ages of the samples. Because in Cyprinid fish, the caudal fin becomes fully bony at 14 weeks after hatching (Hasanpour *et al.* 2015).

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

CONCLUSIONS

According to our results, it seems there was no special structure in the caudal fin of the *Alburnoides* species as species identification traits. Also, we can not use this osteological structure for the identification of members of this genus in the Iranian Bbasins.

Based on this conclusion, there should be a section for further study on the characterization of the variations in *Alburnoides* species using molecular techniques.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Table1. List of samples.

N	Species name	Basin	N	Species name	Basin
1	*A. holciki*	Hari River	6	*A. eichwaldii*	Caspian Sea
2	*A. damghani*	Kavir	7	*A. samiii*	Caspian Sea
3	*A. qanati*	Fars	8	*A. petrubanarescui*	Urmia
4	*A. namaki*	Namak	9	*A. idignensis*	Tigris
5	*A. tabarestanensis*	Caspian Sea	10	*A. nicolausi*	Tigris

Fig1. Iranian Basins (Keivany *et al.*, 2016).

Formatted: Font: Italic



Fig2. Lateral view of caudal fin skeleton in *A. holciki* (AnC: Antepenultimate Centrum; EH: Epihemal; EN: Epineural; Epu: Epural; Hp 1-6: Hypural Plates 1-6; Hsp: Hemal Spine; NS: Neural Spine; Pah: Parhypural; PeC: Antepenultimate Centrum; Rna: Rudimentary Neural Arch; Urn: Uroneural; Uro: Urostyle).

Availability of data and materials: Voucher specimens are available (the museum of the University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran) as described in the text.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Ethics approval consent to participate: the authors followed the ethical standards of the responsible committee on laboratory animal experimentation.

REFRENCES

Alkahem HF, Behnke RJ, Ahmad Z. (1990). Some osteological distinction among four Arabian cyprinid species. Japanese Journal of Ichthyology **36(4):** 477-482.

Bogutskaya NG, Coad BW.(2009). A review of vertebral and fin-ray counts in the genus *Alburnoides* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) with a description of six new species. Zoosystematica Rossica **18:** 126-173.

Coad BW, Bogutskaya NG. (2009). *Alburnoides qanati*, a new species of cyprinid fish from southern Iran (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae). ZooKeys **13:** 67–77.

Coad BW, Bogutskaya NG. (2012). A new species of riffle minnow, *Alburnoides holciki*, from the HarirudRiver Basin in Afghanistan and Iran (Actinopterygii: Cyprinidae). Zootaxa 3453: 43-55.

Cope ED. 1890. The homologies of the fins of fishes. American Naturalists **14:** 401-423.

Eagderi S, Jouladeh-Roudbar A, Imani Harsini J, Rostami M. (2019). Phylogeny of the genus *Alburnoides* in Iran using COI gene. Iranian Scientific Fisheries Journal **28 (3):** 125-136.

Esmaeili HR, Mehraban HR, Abbasi K, Keivany Y, Coad B.W. (2017). Review and updated checklist of freshwater fishes of Iran: Taxonomy, distribution and conservation status. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology **4(Suppl. 1):** 1-114.

Fujita, K. (1990). The caudal skeleton of teleostean fishes. Tokai University Press, Japan. p 897.

Helfman GS, Collette BB, Facey DE, Bowen BW. (2009). The diversity of fishes: biology, evolution, and ecology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester.

Hollister G. (1936). Caudal skeleton of Bermuda shallow water fishes. I. order Isospondyli: *Elopidae*, *Megalopidae*, *Albulidae*, *Cludeidae*, *Dussumieridae*, *Engraulidae*. Zoologica **21:** 257-290.

Jalili P, Eagderi S, Nikmehr N, Keivany Y. (2015). Descriptive osteology of *Barbus cyri* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) from southern Caspian Sea basin. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology **2(2):** 105-112.

Jouladeh-Roudbar A, Eagderi S, Esmaeili HR, Coad BW, Bogutskaya N. (2016a). A molecular approach to the genus *Alburnoides* using COI sequences data set and the description of a new species, A. damghani, from the Damghan River system (the Dasht-e Kavir Basin, Iran) (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae). ZooKeys **579:** 157-181.

Jouladeh-Roudbar A, Eagderi S, Esmaeili HR. (2016b). First record of the striped bystranka, *Alburnoides taeniatus* (Kessler, 1874) from the HarirudRiver Basin, Iran (Teleostei: Cyprinidae). Journal of Entomology and Zoology studies **4(5):** 788-791.

Formatted: Space Before: 12 pt

Keivany Y, Nasri M, Abbasi K, Abdoli A. 2016. Atlas of Inland Water Fishes of Iran.

Keivany Y. (2014a). Comparative osteology of the jaws in representatives of the eurypterygian fishes. Research in Zoology **4(2):** 29-42.

Keivany Y. (2014b). Pectoral girdle bones in eurypterygian fishes. International Journal of Aquatic Biology **2(5):** 253-274.

Keivany Y.—(2014c). Comparative osteology of the suspensorial and opercular series in representatives of the eurypterygian fishes. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology **1(2):** 73-90.

Keivany Y. (2014d). Osteology of hyobranchial arches in eurypterygian fishes. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology **1(3):** 129-151.

Keivany Y. (2017a). Osteological features of eurypterygian pelvic girdles. Iranian Journal of Science and Technology **41:** 989-1002.

Keivany Y. (2017b). Eurypterygii caudal skeleton. Iranian Journal of Ichthyology **4(1):** 11–30.

Keivany Y, Nelson, J.S.-(1998). Comparative osteology of the Greek ninespine stickleback, Pungitius hellenicus (Teleostei, Gasterosteidae). Journal of Ichthyology **38:** 430-440.

Keivany, Y. (1996). Taxonomic revision of the genus Pungitius with emphasis on P. hellenicus. MSc. thesis. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. 98p.

Keivany, Y. (2000). Phylogenetic relationships of Gasterosteiformes (Teleostei, Percomorpha). PhD. thesis. Department of Biological Sciences. University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada.

Keivany Y, Nelson, JS, Economidis, P.S. (1997). Validity of Pungitius hellenicus, a stickleback fish from Greece. Copeia **1997(3):** 558-564.

Kölliker, A. (1860). Über das ende der wirbelsaule der ganoiden und einiger teleostier. Leipzig. **4:** 1-27.

Lotz, T. (1864). Über den bau der schwanzwirbelsäule der salmoniden, cyprinoiden, percoiden und cataphracten. Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Zoologie **14:** 81-106.

Moezzi A, Keivany Y, Dorfshan S. (2019). Descriptive osteology of *Chondrostoma regium* (Heckel, 1843) in Tigris basin. Journal of Fisheries **72(2):** 195-210.

Mohammadi-Sarpiri K, Keivany Y, Dorafshan S. (2021). Descriptive osteology of *Alburnoides holciki* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) from Iran. FishTaxa **20:** 39-47.

Mousavi-Sabet H, Anvarifar H, Azizi F. (2015a). *Alburnoides tabarestanensis*, a new species of riffle minnow from the southern Caspian Sea Basin in Iran (Actinopterygii: Cyprinidae). Aqua, International Journal of Ichthyology **21:** 144-152.

Mousavi-Sabet H, Vatandoust S, Doadrio I. 2015b. Review of the genus *Alburnoides* Jeitteles, (1861) (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae) from Iran with description of three new species from the Caspian Sea and Kavir Basins. Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences **13(4):** 293-331.

Nasri M, Eagderi S, Farahmand H. (2016). Descriptive and comparative osteology of Bighead Lotak, *Cyprinion milesi* (Cyprinidae: Cypriniformes) from southeastern Iran. Vertebrate Zoology **66 (3):** 251-260.

Nasri N, Keivany Y, Dorafshan S. (2013). Comparative Osteology of Lotaks, *Cyprinion kais* and *C. macrostomum* (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae), from Godarkhosh River, Western Iran. Journal of Ichthyology **53(6):** 455-463.

Razavipour P, Eagderi S, Poorbagher H. (2014). Study of osteological characteristics of Tuini fish *Capoeta damascina* (Valenciennes, 1842) from Tigris basin. Journal of Applied Ichthyological Research **2(3):** 1-16. (in Persian).

Regan, C.T. (1910). The caudal fin of the Elopidae and of some other teleostean fishes. The Annals & Magazine of Natural History (Zoology) Series **5:** 354-358.

Rojo A.L. (1991). Dictionary of evolutionary fish osteology, CRC Press. Boca Raton. p 273.

Saemi-Komsari M, Mousavi-Sabet H, Sattari M, Eagderi S, Vatandoust S, Doadrio I. (2020). Descriptive osteology of Garra rossica (Nikolskii, 1900). FishTaxa **16:** 19-28.

Schönhuth S, Vukić J, Šanda R, Yang L, Mayden R.L. (2018). Phylogenetic relationships and classification of the Holarctic family Leuciscidae (Cypriniformes: Cyprinoidei). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution **127:** 781-799.

Sone J, Parenti LR. (1995). Clearing and staining whole fish specimens for simultaneous demonstration of Bone, cartilage, and nerves. Copeia **1:** 114-118.

Taylor WR, Van Dyke G.C. (1985). Revised procedures for staining and clearing small fishes and other vertebrates for bone and cartilage study. Cybium **9:** 107-119.

Turan D, Kaya C, Ekmekçi FG, Doğan E. (2014). Three new species of *Alburnoides* (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) from the Euphrates River, Eastern Anatolia, Turkey. Zootaxa **3754:** 101-116.

Whitehouse, RH. (1910). The caudal fin of the Teleostomi. Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London **1910:** 590-627.

Zamani-Faradonbe M, Keivany Y. (2021). Descriptive Study of Some Osteological Parts of Rosy Stone Lapper (*Garra rossica*) from Mashkid Basin of Iran. International Journal of Zoology. doi:org/10.1155/2021/5525109.JOURNAL VOLUME AND PAGES ARE MISSING HERE.

NB TITLES OF JOURNALS IN THE REFERENCE SECTION SHOULD BE WRITTEN IN ITALICS