UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCE

REGION I



1

J.F. KENNEDY FEDERAL BUILDING, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETT DEHER 11 471 921

1905 H & 1905

CERTIFIED MAIL -RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

451831

SDMS DocID

David P. Rosenblatt, PRP Coalition Counsel Burns & Levinson 125 Summer Street Boston, MA 02110-1624

and Listed Addressees

Re: <u>Bennington Landfill Superfund Site</u>

Dear Bennington Superfund Site PRPs:

Enclosed please find an evidence summary and supporting documentation compiled by the EPA describing your (or your company's) connection to the above-referenced Superfund<sup>1</sup> site located in Bennington, Vermont ("Bennington Landfill" or "Site"). Note that, with this letter, the EPA is issuing each active Potentially Responsible Party ("PRP") to whom a general notice letter has been issued (either in March, 1991 or November, 1994) an evidence summary which describes its specific connection to the Site. The EPA is also issuing this letter along with a complete copy of all evidence summaries and supporting documentation for all PRPs who have received general notice to Mr. David P. Rosenblatt, counsel for the PRP Coalition. Accordingly, if you wish to review evidence pertaining to any other party associated with this matter, you should arrange such review through the Coalition group.

Please note that as a discretionary matter the EPA is releasing its interview summaries along with other documentary information concerning the PRPs.<sup>2</sup> We have reviewed such witness statements and removed all information which reveals the identity of the witnesses interviewed as well as any notes by the investigators concerning their general impressions of the witnesses. The investigator impressions were removed because they fall within the work product and attorney/client communications privileges and are, therefore, not appropriately released. We are not disclosing the identity of the witnesses in order to protect them from potential harassment, and to protect the integrity of their

<sup>1</sup> Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act or "CERCLA," 42 U.S.C. § 9601 <u>et seq</u>.

<sup>2</sup> Witness statements provided to the EPA by the PRP Coalition are not included herein but should be obtained directly from the Coalition counsel, Mr. Rosenblatt.



testimony. Based on past experience, some such witnesses, particularly those who are elderly, in poor health or otherwise frail, have been confused or harassed by intensive questioning conducted by investigators and others representing private parties. At this Site, where there are multiple PRPs, witnesses are likely to face numerous requests for interviews and follow-up interviews over a long period of time. As a result, their lives may be significantly disrupted, and these witnesses may ultimately become less cooperative with all parties, including the government. This is of particular concern to us as such intensive questioning could substantially interfere with EPA's enforcement of this case.

If you have specific questions or concerns regarding the evidence summaries or supporting documentation, the EPA is willing to meet to discuss those issues with you. To arrange a time to meet, you should contact the me at 617-573-5768, or have your attorney contact Hugh W. Martinez, Senior Assistant Regional Counsel, at 617-565-4526.

In addition to the issuance of the above-referenced evidence, in, light of the events scheduled to occur over the next several months relative to this matter, I have included additional information in this letter. You should review this letter carefully. To best protect your own interests, the EPA strongly recommends your early and active participation in this case including participation in the PRP Coalition process. You should not assume that inaction now will serve to protect your interests in the future or that such approach will help you to avoid future legal action by the EPA. In fact, the opposite is more accurate in that a failure to participate in this process early on likely will produce adverse consequences later.

Background and Current Status: The EPA has documented the release and threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants at the Bennington Landfill. As you probably are aware, the Site was placed on the National Priorities List on March 31, 1989. Since that time, a substantial amount of information has been collected through a formal remedial investigation/feasibility study ("RI/FS") initiated in July 1991. Based on preliminary results of the RI/FS, on January 27, 1994 EPA-New England approved the commencement of an Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis ("EE/CA") to assess various options for controlling and containing the source of contamination at the Site. As a result of the EE/CA process, on December 23, 1994, the EPA approved a Request for a Non-Time-Critical Removal Action ("NTCRA") at the Bennington Landfill. A brief summary of the NTCRA is provided below. Consistent with the EPA's Superfund Accelerated Cleanup Model, the NTCRA will ensure a timely response to effectively minimize human and environmental threats which may result from the

1

continuing release or threat of release of hazardous substances from the Site.

The Non-Time-Critical Removal Action: The NTCRA consists of implementing specific source control measures including a composite barrier cap with drainage controls, cap maintenance, excavation and consolidation of soils and sediments, leachate collection, upgradient groundwater isolation, gas management, and The estimated cost site management and institutional controls. of the NTCRA is \$9,713,274, including EPA costs. Although the NTCRA will accelerate the overall cleanup of the Site by containing and reducing contamination, it does not constitute a complete cleanup plan. In essence, the NTCRA is designed to address the short-term problem of containing and reducing the source of contamination at the Site. The overall goals of the NTCRA are to minimize the migration of contaminants to groundwater, to ensure that landfill gas emissions are adequately collected and controlled, and to prevent direct human contact with contaminated soil or waste material within the Landfill and the drainage pond area.

The EPA anticipates that the NTCRA will be performed and funded by the PRPs under the EPA oversight pursuant to an Administrative Order by Consent. At this time, the Agency expects to send out special notice letters during the Spring of 1995 and to begin negotiations to achieve a settlement between the EPA and the PRPs for this Site. Among other things, the special notice letters will contain a formal demand for reimbursement of costs and interest that have been incurred and that are expected to be incurred in response to the environmental problems at the Site. The special notice letters will trigger a 60 day moratorium on certain EPA response activities at the Site, during which time the PRPs, through the Coalition, will be invited to participate in formal negotiations with the EPA. The special notice letter will encourage you to participate in negotiating a voluntary settlement that will have the PRPs conduct or finance the response activities required at the Site. Under statutory special notice procedures, the 60 day negotiation period may be extended for an additional 60 days if the PRPs provide the EPA with a good faith offer to conduct or finance the NTCRA.

If settlement is reached between the EPA and the PRPs within the 60 day (or 120 day) negotiation period, the settlement will be embodied in an Administrative Order by Consent to be filed with the EPA-New England Regional Hearing Clerk. On or before completion of the negotiations, design of the NTCRA will begin so that construction of the composite barrier cap can start in the Fall of 1995 and be completed within one year. Please understand that if such agreement cannot be reached, the government will consider other options designed to ensure that the necessary work is completed. Such options are likely to be viewed as less attractive to the PRPs. These include the EPA conducting the work itself without the PRPs and initiating a subsequent legal action to recover the costs of cleanup from the PRPs or the EPA issuing a unilateral order to the PRPs to carry out the work. Under the terms of a unilateral order, any failure to comply would result in an assessment of triple costs against the PRPs.

Long-term Remediation: Later this Summer, the EPA will select a final remedial action for this Site in a Record of Decision ("ROD"). Through the ROD, the EPA will define the levels of contaminant reduction necessary for long-term public health and environmental protection and steps necessary, if any, to address restoration of the contaminated groundwater will be defined.

<u>Volumetric Analysis</u>: At this time, the EPA is encouraging the PRPs to conduct a volumetric analysis with respect to the Site. The Agency also is willing to provide reasonable, limited , assistance in this effort <u>provided</u> the analysis is well controlled,<sup>3</sup> subject to clear deadlines, and conducted in light of all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, as well as relevant EPA policy and guidance. Toward this end, the EPA likely would be able to fund the services of a convener who would help determine whether a mediator or allocator is needed for the volumetric work at the Site and, if so, select the best candidate for conducting such analytical work.

Based on recent contact with Mr. Rosenblatt, common counsel for the PRP Coalition, there appears to be some willingness on the part of the PRPs to complete this analysis. However, should the PRPs fail to complete such analysis in a timely manner consistent with the schedule set forth in this letter, the government is prepared to undertake that work and recover the costs of such analysis from the PRPs at a later date. In the interest of achieving further progress with respect to this matter, the EPA must receive a commitment to accomplish the volumetric analysis within two weeks of receipt of this correspondence. If a commitment is not received by that time the government will conduct that work without direct involvement by the PRPs and apply the results accordingly.

<u>Early Settlement with De Minimis Parties</u>: Under Section 122 of Superfund, the EPA may enter into <u>de minimis</u> settlements whenever practicable and in the public interest. With respect to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Appropriate controls would include, for example, reasonable, explicit assumptions used in the volumetric analysis.

Bennington Landfill, the EPA is interested in exploring the possibility of a <u>de minimis</u> settlement early in the NTCRA process. Early <u>de minimis</u> settlements allow parties who contributed minor amounts of hazardous substances to a site both in terms of volume and toxicity — to resolve their liability early in the response process. Such settlements promote efficient case management and reduce the number of parties with which to negotiate performance of future response actions. By reducing transaction costs, providing the EPA with reimbursement of past costs and/or monies for future costs, the EPA as well as PRPs (both <u>de minimis</u> and non-<u>de minimis</u>) should benefit.

Although the EPA cannot anticipate the eventual results of a volumetric analysis, it is conceivable that such early de minimis settlement could be a part of this case so that an agreement through an administrative order by consent — to fund the work specified under the NTCRA will include both de minimis and non-de minimis parties. To the extent de minimis parties are identified with respect to the Bennington Landfill, the EPA expects to conduct outreach to such parties to clarify the process as much . as possible and, to the extent feasible, assist them in participating in the Superfund process more effectively. Toward this end, the EPA is willing to conduct a meeting at some future date specifically targeted at smaller parties or contributors to respond to questions concerning the materials accompanying this letter or any other aspect of this action. However, note that the EPA's interests may not be consistent with your own and because your actions in the context of this matter have legal consequences, you may wish to retain legal counsel (although retaining the services of a lawyer is not required). Any party which has issues pertaining to its potential status as a de minimis party or small contributor should contact me at 617-573-5768, or have your attorney contact Hugh W. Martinez at 617-565-4526.

Ability to Pay: The EPA is willing to consider legitimate and relevant claims concerning inability to pay. However, any such claims must be fully explained and substantiated by the party asserting them. The Agency will consider such claims only after appropriate documentation is provided in support thereof. Specifically, to consider any party's claim of inability to pay, at a minimum, the EPA must receive federal tax returns (with any and all schedules attached) for the latest three to five years for analysis by the government. In addition, to the extent such information exists, the EPA also should have the most recent several years of financial statements (with accompanying notes), balance sheets, audits, and other pertinent financial materials.

Prior to asserting any inability to pay claim, please note that, in our analysis of any such claim, the EPA looks well beyond a party's available cash reserves. For instance, at the very least, the government will consider numerous factors in determining a party's ability to pay including the sale of assets, increased commercial borrowing, sale of stock or securities, application of profits toward payment, and deferral or elimination of future investments, among others.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and I look forward to working with you in the months to come.

Sincerely yours,

India D. Balker

Indira Balkissoon Remedial Program Manager

Enclosures

cc: Stan Corneille, VTDEC Hugh W. Martinez, EPA New England Amy Rogers, EPA New England

## ENCLOSURE A

List of Names and Addresses of PRPs to whom the General Notice Letter was sent on 11/1/94.

Elizabeth Coleman President Bennington College Bennington, VT 05201

David Morgan General Manager Berkshire Clean-Way Rubbish P.O. Box 253 Dalton, MA 01226

Donald L. Rushford Vice Pres. & General Counsel Central Vermont Public Service 77 Grove Street Rutland, VT 05701

Ronald L. Pepin Creative Products 11A Morse Drive Essex Junction, VT 05452

Walter Noyes President H.M. Tuttle Company 113 Depot Street Bennington, VT 05201

George E. Hadwen\* President Hadwen, Inc. RR 2 Box 2545 Charlotte, VT 05745-9613

Paul D. Merrill President Merrill Transport 100 Middle Street P.O. Box 9729 Portland, ME 04104-5029

Jay Whitten Vice President Monument Industries P.O. Box 617 Bennington, VT 05201-0617

Scott Halpert Schmelzer Corporation c/o MASCO Corporation 21001 Van Born Road Taylor, MI 48180 Harvey Yorke President Southwestern Vermont Med. Ctr. 100 Hospital Drive East Bennington, VT 05201 Ray Pearman President Triangle Wire and Cable, Inc. 10 Lincoln Center Boulevard Lincoln, RI 02865 Raymond Prouty President U.S. Tsubaki, Inc. 119 Bowen Road Bennington, VT 05201 Patrick J. Garahan Secretary Vermont Agency of Transp. 133 State Street Montpelier, VT 05633-5001 James F. Comi President Heald Plastics c/o Vermont Bag and Film, Inc. P.O. Box 135 Bennington, VT 05201 John Wilson President Wilson Trucking Barber Pond Road Box 283 A Pownal, VT 05261 \* MOST CURRENT ADDRESS

2

ENCLOSURE B List of Names and Addresses of PRPs to whom a General Notice Letter was sent to in March 1991.

Thomas Karazakis President ADD, INC. Pennysaver Press 109 South Street Bennington, VT 05201

Kenneth Olney President Arken Industries, Inc. Morse Industrial Park Bennington, VT 05201

Kelton Miller President Banner Publishing Corporation 425 Main Street Bennington, VT 05201

M. Leonard Lewis President Ben-Mont Corporation 266 Beacon Street Boston, MA 02116

Curtis L. Morin President Bennington Iron Works Harmond Road P.O. Box 798 Bennington, VT 05201

Richard H. Swenson Bennington Potters, Inc. 324 County Street P.O. Box 199 Bennington, VT 05201-0199

Bernard Bourgeois Bernie's Trucking 113 Adams Street Bennington, VT 05201 David Van Sickle Vice President BIJUR Lubricating Corp. 50 Kocher Drive Bennington, VT 05201-1994

Mortimer Krane Catamount Dyers 4 Margaret Lane Bennington, VT 05201

Charles Tilgner, III Chemical Fabrics Corp. Daniel Webster Highway P.O. Box 1137 Merrimack, NH 03054

Robert Church Church Septic Tank Service P.O. Box 1896, RR2 Bennington, VT 05201

Daniel Maneely President Courtnalds Structural 6 Shields Drive P.O. Box 618 Bennington, VT 05201

Robert H. Pedercini President Environmental Action, Inc. P.O. Box 589 North Adams, MA 01247

J.P. Mulcahy CEO Eveready Battery Ralston Purina Company One Checkerboard Square St. Louis, MO 63164

Thomas J. Courtney President Johnson Controls, Inc. P.O. Box 591 Milwaukee, WI 53210 William E. Lauzon President Lauzon Machine and Engine 757 Main Street P.O. Box 406 Bennington, VT 05201 Werner H. Rodemer President Monument Plastics Machini Bldg. 322 Nott St. Indust. Park 301 Nott Street Schenectady, NY 12305 James F. Hardymon President (Ben-Mont Corporation) c/o Textron, Inc. 40 Westminister Street Providence, RI 02903 Stuart Hurd Town Manager Town of Bennington 205 South Street Bennington, VT 05201 Sibley Mfg. Company c/o Empire of Carolina, Inc. Daniel Street Extension P.O. Box 427 Tarboro, NC 27886 Jack Sweet

Chapel Road Bennington, VT 05201

Thomas Sweet 91 Oakes Street Bennington, VT 05201

G-C-D-C, Inc. c/o John H. Williams, II P.O. Box 35 115 Elm Street Bennington, VT 05201

Edward Woodward, President Vermont Tissue Paper Corp. Route 67A North Bennington, VT 05257 5