#SHAREorg

SHARE

Configuring LPARs for Performance

Kathy Walsh
IBM

March 12, 2014
Session: 15200



SHARE in Anaheim

Agenda

- Overview of terms and partitioning controls
  - Per CP share
  - Short CPs
- Managing capacity
  - Intelligent Resource Director
  - Initial capping
  - Absolute capping
  - Soft capping
  - Group capacity

Important terms to understand

- LPAR weight and per CP share
- Effective dispatch time
- Partition dispatch
- Shorts CPs

Important concepts to understand

- LPAR weights become important only when the processor is very busy or capped
- There are two dispatchers involved in making resource allocations
  - PR/SM
  - Operating systems

Partitioning Controls

- Number of partitions, their relative weights, and CP mode (dedicated or shared)
- Number of logical CPs defined to the partitions
- Horizontal or Vertical CP Management (Hiperdispatch)
- Capping Controls
  - Initial Capping (Hard Caps)
  - Defined Capacity (Soft Capping)
  - Group Capacity Controls
  - Absolute Capping **(NEW!)**
- Ratio of logical CPs to physical CPs
- CP usage; either general purpose, or specialty CP (IFL / ICF / zAAP / zIIP) CPs
- Type of system control program (z/OS, z/VM, Linux, etc.)

Partitioning Controls

- 1 to 60 user defined LPARs per CEC
- Operating System doesn't know it is not running on the hardware
- A partition's weight is relative to the summed weights of all of the partitions in their respective pools



Calculate LPAR share

$$\text{SHARE} = \frac{\text{LPAR Weight}}{\text{Sum of Weights}}$$



WSC1 Share: 800 / 1000 = 80%

WSC2 Share: 200 / 1000 = 20%

TIP

- All active LPARs are used even if an SCP is not IPL'ed
- Only LPARs with shared CPs are used in the calculation

Processor guarantee = # of General Purpose Physical (GCP) * LPAR Share



WSC1 Capacity: 9 * .80 = 7.2 CPs

WSC2 Capacity: 9 * .20 = 1.8 CPs

TIP

- The processor guarantee is used to offer protection to one LPAR over other busy LPARs demaning service

Determine per CP share – Horizontal CP management

- PR/SM guarantees an amount of CPU service to a partition based on weights
- PR/SM distributes a partition's share evenly across the logical processors
- Additional logicals are required to receive extra service which is left by other partitions. The extra service is also distributed evenly across the logicals
- The OS must run on all logicals to gather all its share [z/OS Alternate Wait Management]





Biggest Per CP Share possible is best when processor is busy

Determine per CP share – Vertical CP management

- Logical processors are classified as *vertical high*, *medium* or *low*
- PR/SM quasi-dedicates *vertical high* logicals to physical processors
- The remainder of the share is distributed to the *vertical medium* processors
- *Vertical low* processors are only given service when other partitions do not use their entire share and there is demand in the partition
- *Vertical low* processors are parked by z/OS when no extra service is available



System z partitioning controls

- Access to resources is relative to other partitions on the CEC

2827-704

Pool	LPAR Name	Weight	Logicals Defined	Logicals by Weight	Logical to Physical Ratio	HD=YES
GCP	LPAR1	600	3	2.4		1 VH, 2 VM
GCP	LPAR2	300	2	1.2		2 VM, 60% share
GCP	LPAR3	100	1	0.4		1 VM, 40% share
		1000	6		1.5 : 1	
zIIP	LPAR1	200	2	2		2 VH
		200	2		1 : 1	
IFL	LPAR5	300	1	1		
		300	1		1 : 1	
ICF	LPAR4	DED	1	1		
			1	1	1 : 1	

- Logical Processor Utilization
  - Measurement which states the busy of the logical CPs
    - Independent measure of capacity
    - Can run out of logical CP capacity before the processor is 100% busy
    - More logical CPs than weight means the utilization is artificially low
- Physical Processor Utilization
  - Differs from logical processor effective time when the number of logical CPs defined to the partition does not match the number of GCPs
  - It is this metric which is used in Capacity Planning exercises

-------- PARTITION DATA ----------									-- LOGICAL PARTITION PROCESSOR DATA --		-- AVERAGE PROCESSOR UTILIZATION PERCENTAGES --				
			----MSU----		-CAPPING--		PROCESSOR-		----DISPATCH TIME DATA----		LOGICAL PROCESSORS		--- PHYSICAL PROCESSORS ---		
NAME	S	WGT	DEF	ACT	DEF	WLM%	NUM	TYPE	EFFECTIVE	TOTAL	EFFECTIVE	TOTAL	LPAR MGMT	EFFECTIVE	TOTAL
WSC1	A	370	0	700	NO	0.0	15.0	CP	01.45.57.466	01.46.19.021	47.09	47.25	0.10	28.26	28.35
WSC2	A	315	0	288	NO	0.0	15.0	CP	00.43.23.443	00.43.46.035	19.28	19.45	0.10	11.57	11.67
WSC3	A	315	0	178	NO	0.0	15.0	CP	00.26.39.732	00.27.00.535	11.85	12.00	0.09	7.11	7.20
WSC4	A	25	45	4	NO	0.0	2.0	CP	00.00.32.779	00.00.34.362	1.82	1.91	0.01	0.15	0.15
PHYSICAL*										00.01.05.674			0.29		0.29
									-----------	----------			-----	-----	-----
TOTAL									02.56.33.422	02.58.45.630			0.59	47.08	47.67

RMF partition report

```
MVS PARTITION NAME                    WSC1        NUMBER OF PHYSICAL PROCESSORS        31
IMAGE CAPACITY                        2469                CP                           25
NUMBER OF CONFIGURED PARTITIONS         17                IFL                           1
WAIT COMPLETION                         NO                ICF                           2
DISPATCH INTERVAL                    DYNAMIC              IIP                           3
```

```
--------- PARTITION DATA -----------------  -- LOGICAL
                  ----MSU----  -CAPPING--  PROCESSOR-
NAME       S   WGT  DEF    ACT  DEF   WLM%  NUM   TYPE
WSC1       A   370    0    700  NO     0.0 15.0   CP
WSC2       A   315    0    288  NO     0.0 15.0   CP
WSC3       A   315    0    178  NO     0.0 15.0   CP
WSC4       A    25   45      4  NO     0.0  2.0   CP
*PHYSICAL*

  TOTAL

CF01       A   DED                          2   ICF
*PHYSICAL*

  TOTAL

WSC1       A    10                          3   IIP
WSC2       A    10                          3   IIP
WSC3       A    10                          3   IIP
WSC4       A    10                          1   IIP
*PHYSICAL*
```

- Processor Running Time
  - Default is limited to a range of 12.5-25 ms
  - Dynamically calculated

    25 ms * (Number of Physical Shared CPs)
    Total # of Logical CPs for all LPARs
  - Vertical Highs get run time of 100 ms
  - Recalculated when LPARs are stopped or started or CPs are Configured on/off
  - When a logical CP does not go into a wait state during its run time, it loses the physical CP when it reaches the end of its run time

Managing capacity on System z and z/OS

- Intelligent Resource Director
- PR/SM Initial Capping – Hard Capping
- Defined Capacity – Soft Capping
- Group Capacity
- Other Methods of Changing Capacity
  - Absolute Capping
  - WLM Resource Groups
  - Discretionary Goal Management
  - Config CPU Command
  - Customer Initiated Power Save Mode
  - OOCoD

Intelligent Resource Director

- WLM Function which:
  - Manages LPAR Weights
  - Varies logical CPs On and Off – Disabled and replaced with Hiperdispatch=YES
  - Manages CHPIDs
  - Manages I/O Priorities
- Scope is an LPAR Cluster
  - All MVS images on the same physical processor, in the same sysplex



IRD management

- WLM manages physical CPU resource across z/OS images within an LPAR cluster based on service class goals
  - LPAR Weight Management
    - Dynamic changes to the LPAR weights
    - Sum of LPAR weights can be redistributed within the cluster
    - Partition(s) outside of the cluster are not affected
    - Moves CP resource to the partition which requires it
    - Reduces human intervention
  - LPAR Vary CP Management
    - Dynamic management of online CPs to each partition in the cluster
    - Optimizes the number of CPs for the partition's current weight
    - Prevents 'short' engines
    - Maximizes the effectiveness of the MVS dispatcher
    - Has an IEAOPTxx option (VARYCPUMIN) to set minimum number of CPs regardless of LPAR’s weight
    - Reduces human intervention
    - **Replaced by Hiperdispatch=yes**

Benefit of LPAR IRD management

- This



- Becomes



- By WLM changing LPAR weights for LPARs in the cluster
  - Can provide initial, minimum and maximum weight setting for each LPAR

IRD Performance Management

- Need to have a multi system perspective when looking at overall throughput in an LPAR Cluster
  - WLM Policy and Goal Attainement
- Need to examine CEC demand within and outside the cluster
  - Whitespace

Overall CEC busy

- IRD is active
- WSCCRIT, WSCHIPER and WSCPROD are in an LPAR Cluster called WSCPLEX
- When WSCDEV4 and WSCDEV5 are there the LPAR Cluster gets 82% of the CEC, when they are stopped the LPAR Cluster gets 89%
- CEC is very busy



WSCPLEX cluster view of capacity

- This chart represents the fair share of the CEC that the WSCPLEX cluster should have access to
- WSCPLEX Cluster is not using all of its capacity so is donating white space to the other LPARs



WSCCRIT performance degradation

HOUR	SCLASS	IMP	CP	PINDX
10	SYSTEM	0	10.5	1
10	SYSSTC	0	17.9	1
10	TSOL1	1	1.5	0.5
10	TRANONE	1	2.6	0.1
10	DMGMT	1	4	1.4
10	SERVERS	1	23.3	25.5
10	CICSL2	1	0.1	3.4
10	STCHI	1	1.2	2
10	TSOHI	1	1.3	0.6
10	TRANTWO	1	8.9	0.1
10	TRNMULT	1	6.5	0.3
10	TRNMULT	2	28.6	1.4
10	STC2	2	46.7	1.1
10	TRANFIVE	2	3.5	3.4
10	TSOHI	2	0.2	1.9
10	TSOl1	2	1.4	13.3
10	TRANONE	2	12.5	3.6
10	HOTPROD	2	0	0.1
10	DBASE	2	0	0.1
10	BATCHL1	3	52.2	2
10	TSOL1	3	1.6	1.3
10	DBASE	3	0	1.2
10	BATCHL2	5	2.7	3.7

HOUR	SCLASS	IMP	CP	PINDX
11.15	SYSTEM	0	14	1
11.15	SYSSTC	0	21.7	1
11.15	TSOL1	1	1.4	1.3
11.15	TSO1	1	1	0.3
11.15	TRANONE	1	5.1	1.1
11.15	SERVERS	1	17.5	2.9
11.15	TRANTWO	1	1.1	3.4
11.15	CICSL2	1	0	72.1
11.15	DMGMT	1	3	3.9
11.15	STCHI1	1	3.7	5.3
11.15	TRNMULT	1	9.8	1.7
11.15	STC2	2	25.2	12.7
11.15	TRNMULT	2	24.7	107.2
11.15	TRANTWO	2	2.7	236.5
11.15	TSOL1	2	1	4.2
11.15	TSOHI	2	0.8	30.9
11.15	TRANONE	2	9.7	198.9
11.15	DBASE	2	0	14.5
11.15	BATCHL1	3	0	5138.8
11.15	DBASE	3	0	160.3

WSCPLEX LPAR share within the cluster

- This chart shows the change in LPAR weight over time
- WSCCRIT is losing weights and logical CPs
- Two issues to examine:
  - Why did we donate white space?
  - WSCCRIT suffers performance problems but did the benefit to WSCHIPER outweigh the costs?



WSCHIPER gets additional weight

LPAR Weight

	10.00	11.15
WSCHIPER	636	732
WSCCRIT	143	56

LPAR Busy

	10.00	11.15
WSCHIPER	58.5	62.5
WSCCRIT	15.7	8.93

- WSCHIPER gets more weight but doesn't do more work
- High PIs makes IRD hesitant to move weight back
- High CEC Busy means no additional logicals can be added to WSCCRIT
- Low number of logical CPs means WSCCRIT can't schedule the work and hence the whitespace is donated

HOUR	SCLASS	IMP	CP	PINDX
10	SYSSTC	0	34.1	1
10	SYSTEM	0	20.2	1
10	CICSL1	1	307.9	1
10	CICSL2	1	182.4	1.1
10	CICSL3	1	81.6	1.2
10	SERVERS	1	59.6	1.3
10	STCHI	1	12.7	1.4
10	OMVS	2	0.1	0.4
10	STC2	2	33.9	1.3
10	BATCHL1	3	135.2	1.4
10	STCLO	3	1.3	2.4
10	TSOL1	3	0.2	0
10	BATCHL2	5	5	2.2
11.15	SYSSTC	0	35.9	1
11.15	SYSTEM	0	31.3	1
11.15	CICSL1	1	315.8	1
11.15	CICSL2	1	193.7	1
11.15	CICSL3	1	78.2	1.1
11.15	SERVERS	1	53.4	1.3
11.15	STCHI	1	20.7	1.2
11.15	OMVS	2	0.8	0.3
11.15	STC2	2	5	1.1
11.15	BATCHL1	3	118.3	1.5
11.15	STCLO	3	1.4	1.5
11.15	TSOL1	3	0.1	0
11.15	BATCHL2	5	9.4	1.2

What are the tuning options

- If Hiperdispatch = No then use VaryCPUMIN to keep sufficient logicals available
- Update the WLM policy so the goals are more reasonable
- Provide protection with IRD Minimum values

Providing protection in an IRD environment

- Decisions across LPARs are based on WLM Policy
  - Ensure WLM definitions are well defined and accurate
  - Review Performance data at the LPAR Cluster level
- Protection comes from the use of MIN weights
  - Special protection for LPARs with high percentage of work which can be donated

Initial Weight = 6 CPs

IMP	CPs
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	1.3
5	0.8
6	0.4

2.5 CPs

Min Weight = 3.5 CPs

Initial Weight = 3 CPs

Min Weight = 0.5 CPs

IMP	CPs
0	0.4
4	0.5
5	1.3
6	0.8

2.6 CPs

Initial Weight = 10 CPs

IMP	CPs
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	2.3
5	1.2
6	0.9

4.5 CPs

Min Weight = 5.5 CPs

Capping Controls

PR/SM initial capping – hard cap

- **HiperDispatch=No**
  - The LPAR's relative weight per CP is the share for each logical CP and the goal of the LPAR dispatcher is to give each logical CP its share of the total relative weight
  - Capping is done on a logical CP basis
- **Hiperdispatch=YES**
  - Vertical High's will be capped at 100% of the logical
  - Vertical Mediums and Vertical Lows will share the allowed weight on a per CP basis

PR/SM – weight enforcement

- Weight Enforcement Depends Upon LPAR definitions
  - LPAR with Initial Capping
    - Enforces processing weights to within 3.6% of the LPAR's physical per CP share for logical CPs entitled to 1/10 or more of one physical CP
  - LPAR is Uncapped
    - Enforces the processing weights to within 3.6% of the LPAR's physical per CP share for logical CPs entitled to 1/2 or more of one physical CP
  - LPAR Logical CP fails enforcement levels
    - Enforce the processing weights to within 3.6% of the total capacity of the shared physical CP resources
  - Typically in most cases PR/SM will manage the processing weights to within 1% of the LPAR's physical per CP share

PR/SM initial capping – weight allocations

- **An LPAR's Hard Capped Capacity is relative to other LPARs**
  - If an LPAR is started or stopped on a CEC with a hard cap a weight change must be done concurrently or the capped LPAR's allowed capacity will change
  - With Hiperdispatch you need to deactivate the LPAR so the VHs are reallocated correctly otherwise VLs will be used
    - WSC2 needs to go from 4 VH, 2 VM to 12 VH, 1 VM

2817-718

Name	Status	Weight	Capped	Weight in CPs		Status	Weight	Capped	Weight in CP
WSC1	A	400	NO	7.2		D	___	___	___
WSC2	A	300	NO	5.4		A	300	NO	9
WSC3	A	300	YES	5.4		A	300	YES	9

New Absolute Capping can help here

PR/SM absolute capping

- zEC12 GA2 and zBC12 allows specification of an **“absolute capping limit”**
  - Expressed in terms of 1/100ths of a processor (0.01 to 255.0)
  - Specified independently from the LPAR weight
    - The shared partition's processing weight still dictates the logical partition priority compared to other shared logical partitions
  - Insensitive to capacity changes or LPAR (de)activations
- Unlike initial capping, absolute capping may be used *concurrently* with defined capacity and/or group capacity management
  - The respective absolute capacity becomes effective before other capping controls
  - WLM/SRM recognizes new cap, e.g. for routing decisions

2817-718

Name	Status	Weight	Abs Capped	Weight in CPs		Status	Weight	Capped	Weight in CP
WSC1	A	400	NO	7.2		D	___	___	___
WSC2	A	300	NO	5.4		A	300	NO	12.6
WSC3	A	300	YES	5.4		A	300	YES	5.4

Defined Capacity – Soft Capping

- Specified via LPAR definitions
  - Provides sub-CEC pricing by allowing definition of LPAR capacity in MSUs
    - Allows a defined capacity smaller than the total capacity of the LPAR
    - Provides 1 MSU of granularity
  - Only way to get a soft cap
  - Initial Capping (PR/SM Hard Cap) and Defined Capacity cannot be defined for the same partition
  - Absolute Capping and Defined Capacity can be defined for the same partition
  - LPAR must be using Shared CPs (Dedicated CPs are not allowed)

Rolling 4 hr average & defined capacity

- Rolling 4-hour average tracked by Workload Manager
  - Rolling 4-hour average is not permitted to exceed defined capacity
    - May exceed during early capping intervals
  - If 4-hour average exceeds defined capacity, LPAR gets soft capped



Managing to the Rolling 4hr Average

- When softcapped the LPAR is allowed to continually use the amount of capacity defined
- Work is not stopped to “make up” for time period when rolling 4hr average exceeds the defined capacity



LPAR Group Capacity Basics

- Manage CPU for a group of z/OS LPARs on a single CEC
  - Limit is set to total usage by all LPARs in group
    - Level of granularity is 1 MSU
    - Members which don't want their share will donate to other members
  - Independent of sysplex scope and IRD LPAR cluster
  - Works with defined capacity limits on an LPAR
    - Target share will not exceed defined capacity
  - Works with IRD
  - Can have more than one group on a CEC but an LPAR may only be a member of one group
  - LPARs must share engines and specify WAIT COMPLETION = NO
- Capacity groups are defined on the HMC Change LPAR Group Controls panels
  - Specify group name, limit in MSUs, and LPARs in the group
  - Members can be added or removed dynamically

LPAR Group Capacity Basics

- Uses WLM rolling 4 hr avg in MSUs to manage the Group Capacity limit
  - Cap enforced by PR/SM if group rolling 4 hr avg exceeds limit
  - Each member is aware of other members' usage and determines its share based on its weight as a percentage of total weight for all members in group
    - When using IRD the weights can change and therefore the target MSU value can change
    - The defined capacity limit, if also specified, is never exceeded
- Until members "learn" about the group and build a history, the cap is not enforced
  - May take up to 4 hours for capping to start, like the bonus period with defined capacity
  - When new member joins the group, it has to build up its history and during this time the group usage may exceed the capacity limit
  - Capping is removed when the group rolling 4 hour average drops below group limit
- Example shows how many MSUs each LPAR would get if they all wanted their share. Target MSUs based on a group limit of 200. Total group weight is 500.

LPAR	WEIGHT	SYSPLEX	CAPACITY GROUP	**TARGET MSU**
LPAR1	150	PLEX1	GROUPA	**60**
LPAR2	300	PLEX2	GROUPA	**120**
LPAR3	500	PLEX1	n/a	**n/a**
LPAR4	50	PLEX1	GROUPA	**20**

Example of Group Capacity



RMF Group Capacity Reporting

- CAPPING WLM% (percentage of time WLM capped the partition) is insufficient when the partition is member of a capacity group:
  - WLM% only tells to what extent a partition is subject to capping but not whether the partition was actually capped
  - WLM% is more a matter of how WLM caps the partition instead of how much it is being capped
- CAPPING ACT% displays the percentage of time where the partition was actually capped
  - Users of Capacity Groups can determine the available (unused) capacity for their group and whether the partition was actually capped:

```
                NUMBER OF PHYSICAL PROCESSORS          6                GROUP NAME        ATS
                                CP                     5                LIMIT             141
                                IIP                    1                AVAILABLE           1

GROUP-CAPACITY  PARTITION    SYSTEM    -- MSU --    WGT    ---- CAPPING ----     - ENTITLEMENT -
NAME     LIMIT                         DEF    ACT          DEF    WLM%    ACT%     MINIMUM MAXIMUM
ATS        141  WSC1         WSC1        0      0     25   NO      0.0     0.0           7     141
                WSC2         WSC2        0     85    380   NO     87.5    13.1         119     141
                WSC3         WSC3        0     24     25   NO      0.0     0.0           7     141
                WSC4         WSC4        0      2     20   NO      0.0     0.0           6      20
---------------------------------   ------------------------------------------------------------
                              TOTAL        111    450
```

Intersection of IRD and group capacity

- OA29314 - DOC - IRD and Group Capacity
- WLM only manages partitions in a Group Capacity which meet the following conditions:
  - Partition must not be defined with dedicated processors
  - Partition must run with Shared processors and Wait Complete=No must be set
  - Operating System must be z/OS 1.8 and above
  - z/OS cannot be running as a z/VM Guest
  - PR/SM Hard Capping is not allowed
- Any LPAR not meeting the conditions is removed from the Group and the remaining LPARs are managed to the Group Limit
- Group Capacity will function with IRD weight management as long as the partitions in the Group are not subject to capping
  - No Weight moves will take place as long as the Group is being capped
    - Can cause problems since weight may have been reduced over time
- On zEC12 GA2 the **initial** LPAR weight will be used for group capacity
  - Only if all systems in a capacity group are
    - z/OS V2.1, or
    - z/OS V1.12, V1.13 with OA41125 applied

NEW!

Enhanced SMF recording

- It is recommended to turn on recording of SMF 99 subtype 11 when you start to exploit group capping
  - The collected data is small and only written every 5 minutes
  - Size is about 1300 bytes fixed + 240 bytes per LPAR on a CEC
    - Approximately 3k for a CEC with 8 partitions
  - The data is crucial for all analysis done by IBM therefore recommend the data be collected unconditionally

Summary

- LPAR controls are important in controlling capacity available to workloads
- IRD weight management is still valuable if you have the right environment
  - Measure and manage at the LPAR Cluster level
- Capping controls are inter-related and can be used to control overall CEC capacity
  - Be aware of the impacts on performance



Trademarks

The following are trademarks of the International Business Machines Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.

Not all common law marks used by IBM are listed on this page. Failure of a mark to appear does not mean that IBM does not use the mark nor does it mean that the product is not actively marketed or is not significant within its relevant market.

Those trademarks followed by ® are registered trademarks of IBM in the United States; all others are trademarks or common law marks of IBM in the United States.

For a complete list of IBM Trademarks, see www.ibm.com/legal/copytrade.shtml:

*BladeCenter®, DB2®, e business(logo)®, DataPower®, ESCON, eServer, FICON, IBM®, IBM (logo)®, MVS, OS/390®, POWER6®, POWER6+, POWER7®, Power Architecture®, PowerVM®, S/390®, Sysplex Timer®, System p®, System p5, System x®, System z®, System z9®, System z10®, Tivoli®, WebSphere®, X-Architecture®, zEnterprise®, z9®, z10, z/Architecture®, z/OS®, z/VM®, z/VSE®, zSeries®

The following are trademarks or registered trademarks of other companies.

Adobe, the Adobe logo, PostScript, and the PostScript logo are either registered trademarks or trademarks of Adobe Systems Incorporated in the United States, and/or other countries.
Cell Broadband Engine is a trademark of Sony Computer Entertainment, Inc. in the United States, other countries, or both and is used under license therefrom.
Java and all Java-based trademarks are trademarks of Sun Microsystems, Inc. in the United States, other countries, or both.
Microsoft, Windows, Windows NT, and the Windows logo are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.
Intel, Intel logo, Intel Inside, Intel Inside logo, Intel Centrino, Intel Centrino logo, Celeron, Intel Xeon, Intel SpeedStep, Itanium, and Pentium are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries in the United States and other countries.
UNIX is a registered trademark of The Open Group in the United States and other countries.
Linux is a registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the United States, other countries, or both.
ITIL is a registered trademark, and a registered community trademark of the Office of Government Commerce, and is registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
IT Infrastructure Library is a registered trademark of the Central Computer and Telecommunications Agency, which is now part of the Office of Government Commerce.

* All other products may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective companies.

Notes:

Performance is in Internal Throughput Rate (ITR) ratio based on measurements and projections using standard IBM benchmarks in a controlled environment. The actual throughput that any user will experience will vary depending upon considerations such as the amount of multiprogramming in the user's job stream, the I/O configuration, the storage configuration, and the workload processed. Therefore, no assurance can be given that an individual user will achieve throughput improvements equivalent to the performance ratios stated here.

IBM hardware products are manufactured from new parts, or new and serviceable used parts. Regardless, our warranty terms apply.

All customer examples cited or described in this presentation are presented as illustrations of the manner in which some customers have used IBM products and the results they may have achieved. Actual environmental costs and performance characteristics will vary depending on individual customer configurations and conditions.

This publication was produced in the United States. IBM may not offer the products, services or features discussed in this document in other countries, and the information may be subject to change without notice. Consult your local IBM business contact for information on the product or services available in your area.

All statements regarding IBM's future direction and intent are subject to change or withdrawal without notice, and represent goals and objectives only.

Information about non-IBM products is obtained from the manufacturers of those products or their published announcements. IBM has not tested those products and cannot confirm the performance, compatibility, or any other claims related to non-IBM products. Questions on the capabilities of non-IBM products should be addressed to the suppliers of those products.

Prices subject to change without notice. Contact your IBM representative or Business Partner for the most current pricing in your geography.

Notice Regarding Specialty Engines (e.g., zIIPs, zAAPs and IFLs):

Any information contained in this document regarding Specialty Engines ("SEs") and SE eligible workloads provides only general descriptions of the types and portions of workloads that are eligible for execution on Specialty Engines (e.g., zIIPs, zAAPs, and IFLs). IBM authorizes customers to use IBM SEs only to execute the processing of Eligible Workloads of specific Programs expressly authorized by IBM as specified in the “Authorized Use Table for IBM Machines” provided at:
www.ibm.com/systems/support/machine_warranties/machine_code/aut.html (“AUT”).

No other workload processing is authorized for execution on an SE.

IBM offers SEs at a lower price than General Processors/Central Processors because customers are authorized to use SEs only to process certain types and/or amounts of workloads as specified by IBM in the AUT.