D *6.1* - Review of Ecological Resilience Programme

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant Agreement No 101037247

Project Acronym	SILVANUS
Grant Agreement number	101037247 (H2020-LC-GD-2020-3)
Project Full Title	Integrated Technological and Information Platform for Wildfire Management
Funding Scheme	IA – Innovation action

DELIVERABLE INFORMATION

Deliverable Number:	D6.1
Deliverable Name:	SILVANUS Review of Ecological Resilience Programme
Dissemination level:	PU
Type of Document:	R
Contractual date of delivery:	31/03/2023 (M18)
Date of submission:	31/03/2023
Deliverable Leader:	AMIKOM
Status:	Final
Version number:	V0.5
WP Leader/ Task Leader:	WP6 – AMIKOM
Keywords:	Ecological resilience, wildfire adaptation, restoration
Abstract:	The document reviews the current adaptation of ecological resilience programs across eight (8) EU member states and three (3) non-EU regions. The process is identifying the important variables of biodiversity according to previous research. Therefore, review the rehabilitation and restoration programs in the pilot area and examine the discussion of the programs.

Lead Author(s):	Kusrini (AMIKOM)
Reviewers:	TP, PNRT, ASSET

Disclaimer

All information in this document is provided “as is" and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose.

The user there of uses the information at its sole risk and liability. For the avoidance of all doubts, the European Commission has no liability in respect of this document, which is merely representing the authors view.

Document History			
Version	**Date**	**Contributor(s)**	**Description**
V0.1	10/02/2023	AMIKOM	Initial table of contents has been distributed
V0.2	14/02/2023	WP6 contributors	First round of input contributions consolidated
V0.3	10/03/2023	WP6 contributors	Second round of input contributions consolidated
V0.4	21/03/2023	TP, PNRT, ASSET	Internal review feedback collected
V0.5	28/03/2023	AMIKOM	Final version released for submission after integrating feedback from internal review

List of Contributors

Partner	Author(s)
ZANASI ALESSANDRO SRL (Z&P)	Domenica Casciano, Alexandre Lazarou
GEOPONIKO PANEPISTIMION ATHINON (AUA)	Konstantinos Demestichas, Spyridon Kaloudis, Dimitrios Sykas, Stavroula Galanopoulou
ASSOCIACAO DO INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TECNICO PARA A INVESTIGACAO E DESENVOLVIMENTO (IST)	Inês Ribeiro, Vânia Proença, Leonor Themudo Barata, Ivo Gama (Terraprima)
LETS ITALIA srls (LETS)	Gianpiero Lacovara, Marcello Sacchetti, Eva Canarslan
Parco Naturale Regionale di Tepilora (PNRT)	Marianna Mossa, Alessandro Salvatore Pala, Marino Satta
KENTRO MELETON ASFALEIAS (KEMEA)	Georgios Sakkas, Nikolaos Kalapodis
ELLINIKI OMADA DIASOSIS SOMATEIO (HRT)	Iosif Vourvachis, Alexandros Giordanis
PERIFEREIA STEREAS ELLADAS (PSTE)	
Hrvatska vatrogasna zajednica (HVZ)	Zeljko Cebin
TECHNICKA UNIVERZITA VO ZVOLENE (TUZVO)	Andrea Majlingová, Maroš Sedliak, Ján Bahýľ
Obcianske zdruzenie Plamen Badin (PLAMEN)	
Yayasan AMIKOM Yogyakarta (AMIKOM)	Kusrini, Arief Setyanto, Gardyas Bidari Adninda, Renindya Azizza Kartikakirana, Rhisa Aidilla, Kumara Ari Yuwana, Fitria Nucifera

List of acronyms and abbreviations

ACRONYM	Description
ADD	Aeolian Dust Deposition
AGB	Above Ground Biomass
AIB	Anticendi Boschivi (Forest Fire Fighting, Italy)
AVI	Advance Vegetation Index
AWC	Available Water Capacity
BI	Bare Soil Index
BMG	Badan Meteorologi dan Geofisika (Meteorologu and Geophysics Agency, Indonesia)
CITES	Convention on International Trade of Endangered Species
DBH	Diameter at Breast Height
DTM	Digital Terrain Model
EEC	European Economic Community
EFFIS	European Forest Fire Information System
EU	European Union
ER	Ecological Resilience
FCD	Forest Canopy Density
FES	Forest Ecosystem Services
FHD	Foliage Height Difference Index
FoReSTAS	Agenzia Forestale Regionale per lo Sviluppo del Territorio e l'Ambiente della Sardegna (Regional Forestry Agency for the Development of the Territory and the Environment of Sardinia, Italy)
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GIS	Geographic Information System
HNMS	Hellenic National Meteorological Service
HP	Hutan Produksi (Production Forest, Indonesia)
HPC	Hutan Produski Convertible (Convertible Production Forest, Indonesia)
IBA	Important Bird Areas
IUCN	International Union for Conservation of Nature
JRC	Joint Research Centre
LAI	Leaf Area Index
LiDAR	Light Detection And Ranging
Logframe	Logical framework
MODIS	Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer
MSAVI2	Modified Soil Adjustment Vegetation Index

ACRONYM	Description
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDVI	Normalised Difference Vegetation Index
NIR	Near-InfraRed band
PLA	Protected Landscape Area
R	Red band
SAAD	Sensitive, Avoiders, Adaptive, Dependent
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SAVI	Soil Adjustment Vegetation Index
SI	Shadow Index
SPTN	Seksi Pengelolaan Taman Nasional (National Park Management Section, Indonesia)
Sb	Shrub Biomass
SSI	Scaled Shadow Index
SWIR	Short-Wave InfraRed band
TI	Thermal Index
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
VD	Vegetation Density
WWF	World Wide Fund Nature

List of beneficiaries

No	Partner Name	Short name	Country
1	UNIVERSITA TELEMATICA PEGASO	PEGASO	Italy
2	ZANASI ALESSANDRO SRL	Z&P	Italy
3	INTRASOFT INTERNATIONAL SA	INTRA	Luxembourg
4	THALES	TRT	France
5	FINCONS SPA	FINC	Italy
6	ATOS IT SOLUTIONS AND SERVICES IBERIA SL	ATOS IT	Spain
6.1	ATOS SPAIN SA	ATOS SA	Spain
7	EMC INFORMATION SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL	DELL	Ireland
8	SOFTWARE IMAGINATION & VISION SRL	SIMAVI	Romania
9	CNET CENTRE FOR NEW ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES SA	EDP	Portugal
10	ADP VALOR SERVICOS AMBIENTAIS SA	ADP	Portugal
11	TERRAPRIMA - SERVICOS AMBIENTAIS SOCIEDADE UNIPESSOAL LDA	TP	Portugal
12	3MON, s. r. o.	3MON	Slovakia
13	CATALINK LIMITED	CTL	Cyprus
14	SYNTHESIS CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND EDUCATION LIMITED	SYNC	Cyprus
15	EXPERT SYSTEM SPA	EAI	Italy
16	ITTI SP ZOO	ITTI	Poland
17	Venaka Treleaf GbR	VTG	Germany
18	MASSIVE DYNAMIC SWEDEN AB	MDS	Sweden
19	FONDAZIONE CENTRO EURO-MEDITERRANEOSUI CAMBIAMENTI CLIMATICI	CMCC F	Italy
20	EXUS SOFTWARE MONOPROSOPI ETAIRIA PERIORISMENIS EVTHINIS	EXUS	Greece
21	RINIGARD DOO ZA USLUGE	RINI	Croatia
22	Micro Digital d.o.o.	MD	Croatia
23	POLITECHNIKA WARSZAWSKA	WUT	Poland
24	HOEGSKOLAN I BORAS	HB	Sweden
25	GEOPONIKO PANEPISTIMION ATHINON	AUA	Greece
26	ETHNIKO KENTRO EREVNAS KAI TECHNOLOGIKIS ANAPTYXIS	CERTH	Greece
27	PANEPISTIMIO THESSALIAS	UTH	Greece
28	ASSOCIACAO DO INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TECNICO PARA A INVESTIGACAO E DESENVOLVIMENTO	IST	Portugal
29	VELEUCILISTE VELIKA GORICA	UASVG	Croatia

No	Partner Name	Short name	Country
30	USTAV INFORMATIKY, SLOVENSKA AKADEMIA VIED	UISAV	Slovakia
31	POMPIERS DE L'URGENCE INTERNATIONALE	PUI	France
32	THE MAIN SCHOOL OF FIRE SERVICE	SGPS	Poland
33	ASSET - Agenzia regionale Strategica per lo Sviluppo Ecosostenibile del Territorio	ASSET	Italy
34	LETS ITALIA srls	LETS	Italy
35	Parco Naturale Regionale di Tepilora	PNRT	Italy
36	FUNDATIA PENTRU SMURD	SMURD	Romania
37	Romanian Forestry Association - ASFOR	ASFOR	Romania
38	KENTRO MELETON ASFALEIAS	KEMEA	Greece
39	ELLINIKI OMADA DIASOSIS SOMATEIO	HRT	Greece
40	ARISTOTELIO PANEPISTIMIO THESSALONIKIS	AHEPA	Greece
41	Ospedale Israelitico	OIR	Italy
42	PERIFEREIA STEREAS ELLADAS	PSTE	Greece
43	HASICSKY ZACHRANNY SBOR MORAVSKOSLEZSKEHO KRAJE	FRB MSR	Czechia
44	Hrvatska vatrogasna zajednica	HVZ	Croatia
45	TECHNICKA UNIVERZITA VO ZVOLENE	TUZVO	Slovakia
46	Obcianske zdruzenie Plamen Badin	PLAMEN	Slovakia
47	Yayasan AMIKOM Yogyakarta	AMIKOM	Indonesia
48	COMMONWEALTH SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH ORGANISATION	CSIRO	Australia
50	FUNDACAO COORDENACAO DE PROJETOS PESQUISAS E ESTUDOS TECNOLOGICOS COPPETEC	COPPETEC	Brazil

Index of figures

Figure 1. Relation of Biodiversity, Pressure, and Ecological Resilience 2
Figure 2. Forest Fire in Croatia, 2022 4
Figure 3. Biodiversity Component 13
Figure 4. Biodiversity Measurement for Vegetation Component 14
Figure 5. Hierarchy of Project Objectives in Logical Framework 18
Figure 6. Map of the Gargano Park 20
Figure 7. Ecological Valence in Gargano Park 22
Figure 8. Average Temperature and Precipitation in Gargano Park in August (Data From 1951 to 2001 – Apulia Region, Meteorogical Service) 24
Figure 9. Wooded and Non-wooded Areas of Gargano Park Burned by Fire in 2003-2012 26
Figure 10. Wooded and Non-wooded Areas of Gargano Park Burned by Fire in 2010-2019 27
Figure 11. The Municipalities that Have Been Affected by Wildfires 28
Figure 12. Zone of Gargano Park 28
Figure 13. Classification of Land use for Fire Prevention 29
Figure 14. Map of the Tepilora Regional Natural Park. 31
Figure 15. The Area of Basin n. 5 - Posada Cedrino in The Park Area 34
Figure 16. Main hydrographic network within the park. 35
Figure 17. The environmental macrosystems of the Tepilora Park. 37
Figure 18. Land Use Map of Tepilora Park 40
Figure 19. Map of land units 42
Figure 20. Forest ownership 45
Figure 21. Perimeter of the Tepilora Regional Natural Park. 49
Figure 22. Fire Risk Map 49
Figure 23. Distribution of the surface area by fire risk class 50
Figure 24. Map of fuel models 51
Figure 25. Ignition Probability Map 53
Figure 26. Campbell Prediction System chart. 53
Figure 27. Map of linear fire intensity 54
Figure 28. Administrative boundaries of the SILVANUS Hellenic pilot area (North Evia) and SILVANUS pilot interest area. 55
Figure 29. Seismic zones map according to EAK 2000 (amended in 2003) for the North Evia. 57
Figure 30. Soil USDA texture of North Evia based on JRC soil data maps (Source: Ballabio et al. 2016). Based on the USDA texture classification: 3= sandy clay, 5= clay loam, 6= sandy clay loam, 8= silt loam, 9= loam and 12= sand) 58
Figure 31. Available Water Capacity in North Evia Island (Sources: (Ballabio et al., 2016; ESDAC, n.d.; Panagos et al., 2012, 2022)) 58
Figure 32. Hydrological data in the pilot area. Rivers and hydrological basins – EL07 59
Figure 33. Distribution of the area according to the altitude in the Greek pilot area 60
Figure 34. Land cover for North Evia based on the CORINE land cover 2018-2021. The recent wildfire of 2021 is not included. 62
Figure 35. Natura areas in North Evia along with the administrative NUTS III (municipalities) borders. 65
Figure 36. Ombrothermic diagram for North Evia. (Source: (Hellenic National Meteorological Service, 2016)) 66
Figure 37. Per capita GDP of Greece and regional unit of Evia Island 70

Figure 38. Forest areas vulnerable to forest and landscape fires (Source: Presidential Decree 575/1980, 1980) ... 71
Figure 39. Daily fire risk map for Tuesday 03/08/2021 (The image is published in Greek). ... 72
Figure 40. Left: Wildfire initiation points for the pilot area in 2021. Right: Wildfire initiation points for the pilot area in 2020 (Source: Hellenic Fire Service). ... 73
Figure 41: Significant wildfires in the pilot area for the period 2009-2021 (Source: polygons from EFFIS). 74
Figure 42: Soil erosion maps of the burnt area due to the 2021 megafire in Evia. Left: before the fire. Right: after the fire ... 76
Figure 43: Left: Landscape fire causes in Greece (Source: Camia et al., 2013). Right: Relation of burnt landscape to the fire causes (Source: Global Fire Monitoring Center, 2019). ... 76
Figure 44. Cova da Beira Region and landscape ... 77
Figure 53 45. Forest fire hazard Map (Portugal) ... 85
Figure 46. Pilot study area of Podpolanie ... 86
Figure 47. Poľana orographic sub-regions ... 88
Figure 48. Land use structure of Polana ... 89
Figure 49. Protected Landscape Area Poľana - the Poľana Biosphere Reserve ... 90
Figure 50. Protected areas within Podpolanie pilot study area ... 91
Figure 51. Protected Landscape area Poľana ... 91
Figure 52. Ownership structure in the pilot study area Podpolanie ... 92
Figure 53. Sebangau National Park Position ... 97
Figure 54. Sebangau National Park landscape ... 98
Figure 55. Geological map of Borneo (Moss & Chambers, 1999) ... 99
Figure 56. Number of hotspots in Sebangau National Park 2015-2020 ... 104
Figure 57. Sebangau National Park distribution of hotspot in 2019 ... 105
Figure 58. Sebangau National Park distribution of hotspot in 2020 ... 105
Figure 59. Area of Forest Fires in Sebangau National Park 2011-2020 ... 106
Figure 60. Changes in the relative coverage of the vegetation functional groups in different vertical strata, in the monitoring areas. The cumulative coverage value can be greater than 1. ... 118
Figure 61. Plant species richness (SR, left) and Shannon-Wiener diversity index (HD, right) for both grazing regimes. Boxplots show the distribution of observed richness and diversity in 1m^2 plots (mean: asterisk, median: line). Species richness values are not statistically different between grazing regimes (Kruskal-Wallis, $p > 0.05$). Shannon-Wiener diversity index is higher in the grazed area (Kruskal-Wallis, $p = 0.0015$). ... 119
Figure 62. Replanting process in Sebangau National Park ... 121
Figure 63. Number of Canal Blocking Construction in Sebangau National Park 2005-2016 ... 124
Figure 64. Canal-blocking construction process in Sebangau National Park. ... 125
Figure 65. Canal width in determining Bulkhead Type ... 126
Figure 66. Canal Blocking Design ... 127
Figure 67. Distribution of the Result of Canal Blocking by the Type (Yellow: Type-1, Green: Type-2 and Red Type-3). a). Resort Sebangau Hulu SPTN Wilayah I Palangka Raya; b). Resort Mangkok-SPTN Wilayah II Pulang Pisau; c). Resort Bangah- SPTN Wilayah II Pulang Pisau ... 128
Figure 68. Photo of the success of canal blocking construction in Sebangau National Park ... 129
Figure 69. Drilling well that has been built in Sebangau National Park ... 130
Figure 70. Drilling well construction process. ... 130
Figure 71. The use of drilled well construction in peat restoration and recovery. ... 133
Figure 72. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Gargano Park ... 134
Figure 73. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Tepilora Park ... 134
Figure 74. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Sterea Ellada. ... 135
Figure 75. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Cova da Beira. ... 136

Figure 76. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Polpol'anie. 136
Figure 77. NDVI Value in National Sebangau Park 137

Index of tables

Table 1. Connection of Tree's Variables to Resilience 7
Table 2. Connection of Environment's Variables to Resilience 9
Table 3. Connection of Animal's Variables to Resilience 11
Table 4. Connection of Communities' Variables to Resilience 13
Table 5. Historical Series of Fire in Gargano Park 26
Table 6. Yearly Distribution of Wildfires in The Gargano Park in the 2010-2019 Decade 27
Table 7. 9 Groups of Fuel Models 29
Table 8. Fuel Model According to The Fire Behaviour Standard 30
Table 9. Forest Fires in the Tepilora Park 48
Table 10. List of Fuel Models Used in Field Surveys. 51
Table 11. Land cover type and area in North Evia Island based on Corine land use classification schema 61
Table 12. Natura 2000 Protected in North Evia 64
Table 13. Climatic variables for North Evia (Source: Climatic Atlas of Greece for the period 1971-2000 – (Hellenic National Meteorological Service, 2016)) 65
Table 14. Permanent population in SILVANUS GR pilot 67
Table 15. Education level of the population in the SILVANUS GR pilot area 67
Table 16. Economically active and inactive population in the SILVANUS GR pilot area 68
Table 17. Economic activities based on NACE Rev. 2 classification system in the SILVANUS GR pilot area 69
Table 18. Professions in the three municipalities of the SILVANUS GR pilot area 69
Table 19. Location of work of the economically active population in the three municipalities of the SILVANUS GR pilot area 69
Table 20. Mining activities in North and central Evia Island 70
Table 21. Main statistics data on landscape fires in North Evia based on the Hellenic Fire Service data logs. 73
Table 22. Burned land cover type and area, per big fire event (from Corine 2018-2021). 74
Table 23. Proportion of protected areas in Podpolanie Pilot study area 90
Table 24. Wildfires of Poľana in 2021 94
Table 25. Villages and Number of Population Surrounding Sebangau National Park 100
Table 26: Measures for restoration and support of local society after the 2021 mega-fire. 116
Table 27. Species richness of vascular plants at different scales. Average values for 1 m x 1 m and 10 m x 10 m plots, and total species richness observed in the 40 m x 40 m survey sites and in all sites with the same grazing regime. 119
Table 28. Logical Framework Analysis of Replanting Program in Sebangau National Park 121
Table 29. The Plan, The Realization, and The Justification of Replanting in Paduran Sebangau Village 123
Table 30. Healthy Trees Percentage and Justification of Success of Replanting Program 123
Table 31. Logical Framework Analysis of Canal-blocking Construction Program in Sebangau National Park 125
Table 32. The Plan of Canal Blocking Construction in Sebangau National Park 125
Table 33. The Realization of Canal Blocking Construction in Sebangau National Park 127
Table 34. Logical Framework Analysis of Well Construction Program in Sebangau National Park 130
Table 35. The Plan for Well Construction in Sebangau National Park 131
Table 36. Pilot Location 133

Table of Contents

Executive Summary .... *XV*

1 INTRODUCTION **1**

2 BIODIVERSITY AND ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE **1**

2.1 BIODIVERSITY 2
2.2 ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE (ER) 2
2.3 FOREST DISTURBANCE 3
2.4 REVIEWING VARIABLES OF ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE 5
2.4.1 Trees *5*
2.4.2 Environment *7*
2.4.3 Animal *10*
2.4.4 Communities *11*
2.5 ECOLOGICAL RESILIENCE FRAMEWORK 13
2.6 BIODIVERSITY MONITORING 14
2.6.1 NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index) *14*
2.6.2 SAVI (Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index) *15*
2.6.3 FCD (Forest Canopy Density) *15*
2.6.4 LAI (Leaf Area Index) *17*
2.6.5 Biomass Calculation *17*

3 METHOD **17**

3.1 DATA COLLECTION METHOD 17
3.1.1 Observation *18*
3.2 DATA ANALYSIS 18
3.2.1 Logical Framework Analysis *18*
3.2.2 Impact Measurement: Biodiversity *18*
3.2.3 Miscellaneous Impact Measurement Based on Pilot Area *18*

4 PILOT AREA **20**

4.1 GARGANO PARK – ITALY 20
4.1.1 Location/ Administrative *20*
4.1.2 Geomorphology *21*
4.1.3 Geology *21*
4.1.4 Soil *21*
4.1.5 Hydrograpy *21*
4.1.6 Ecological Valence *22*
4.1.7 Land use *22*
4.1.8 Climate *23*
4.1.9 Forest Ownership *25*
4.1.10 Flora *25*
4.1.11 Fauna *25*
4.1.12 History of Fires *26*
4.1.13 Brief description of the fire risk *28*
4.2 TEPILORA PARK - ITALY 31
4.2.1 Location/ Administrative *31*
4.2.2 Geomorphology *32*
4.2.3 Geology *32*

4.2.4 Hydrography 34
4.2.5 Ecological Valence 35
4.2.6 Land use 37
4.2.7 Climate 43
4.2.8 Demographic 43
4.2.9 Forest Ownership 44
4.2.10 Flora 45
4.2.11 Fauna 46
4.2.12 History of Fires 48
4.2.13 Brief description of the fire risk 48
4.2.14 The ignition probability map 52
4.2.15 The linear intensity of the fire 53
4.3 STEREA ELLADA - CENTRAL GREECE 55
4.3.1 Location/Administrative 55
4.3.2 Geomorphology 56
4.3.3 Geology 56
4.3.4 Soil 57
4.3.5 Hydrology 59
4.3.6 Ecological Valence 59
4.3.7 Land use 61
4.3.8 Forest ownership 62
4.3.9 Flora 62
4.3.10 Fauna 63
4.3.11 Climate 65
4.3.12 Demographics / Social / Economy 66
4.3.13 History of Fires 70
4.3.14 Causes of wildfires in Evia 76
4.4 COVA DA BEIRA - PORTUGAL 76
4.4.1 Location/ Administrative 76
4.4.2 Geomorphology 77
4.4.3 Geology 78
4.4.4 Soil 79
4.4.5 Hydrology 80
4.4.6 Land use 81
4.4.7 Flora 82
4.4.8 Fauna 82
4.4.9 Climate 83
4.4.10 Demographics / Social / Economy 84
4.4.11 History of Fires 84
4.4.12 Causes of wildfires in Portugal 85
4.5 PODPOĽANIE - SLOVAKIA 86
4.5.1 Location/ Administrative 86
4.5.2 Geomorphology 87
4.5.3 Soils 89
4.5.4 Land use 89
4.5.5 Ecological Valence 90
4.5.6 Climate 91
4.5.7 Forest Ownership 92
4.5.8 Flora 92
4.5.9 Fauna 93
4.5.10 History of Fires and Prevention 94
4.6 SEBANGAU NATIONAL PARK, BORNEO - INDONESIA 97
4.6.1 Location/ Administrative 97
4.6.2 Geomorphology 98

4.6.3 Geology *99*
4.6.4 Soil *99*
4.6.5 Hydrography *100*
4.6.6 Climate *100*
4.6.7 Demography *100*
4.6.8 Forest Ownership *101*
4.6.9 Flora *102*
4.6.10 Fauna *103*
4.6.11 History of Fire *104*

5 RESULT AND DISCUSSION 106

5.1 SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF REHABILITATION AND RESTORATION PROGRAMS IN EUROPE 106
5.1.1 Forest Behavior Study *106*
5.1.2 Forest Management Problems Expose *107*
5.1.3 Developing Solutions *107*
5.2 REHABILITATION AND RESTORATION PROGRAM IN PILOT AREAS 111
5.2.1 Rehabilitation and Restoration Program in Pilot Areas *111*
5.2.2 Biodiversity Monitoring in Pilot Areas *133*
5.3 DISCUSSIONS 137
5.3.1 Natural regeneration *137*
5.3.2 Planting *138*
5.3.3 The combination of Planting and Natural Regeneration *138*

6 REFERENCES 139

Executive Summary

The purpose of this deliverable is to review ecological resilience program across eight (8) EU member states and three (3) non-EU regions. Pilot partners contributes to this deliverable are Gargano Park and Tepilora Park (Italy), Sterea Ellada (Greece), Cova da Beira (Portugal), Podpol’anie (Slovakia), and Sebangau National Park (Indonesia).

Ecological resilience programs, for instance, rehabilitation and restoration after the fires being reviewed. The programs arrange by the pilot area analysed with the related references. The goals of the ecological resilience programs are the return to initial forest condition, including the biodiversity state.

In this deliverable, biodiversity monitoring represents in Normalised Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) measurement in each pilot area and will be developed in the upcoming deliverable.

As a result, the rehabilitation and restoration programs conducted in the observation areas are natural regeneration, planting, and combination on both programs.

1 Introduction

This deliverable explains part of the SILVANUS Project, specifically the work of WP6. The aim of the study is to review the current adaptation of ecological resilience programs across pilot areas in Phase C. Allocated pilot area focus in 6 sites, Gargano Park Italy, Tepilora Park Italy, Sterea Ellada Greece, Cova da Beira Portugal, Podpoľanie Slovakia, and Sebangau National Park (Indonesia).

The review focused on the ecological resilience programs that were done by respective regional authorities towards rehabilitation and restoration of forests. Forest fires are one of the main causes of forest ecosystem destruction. Therefore, the restoring programs are essential to learn as it can rebuild the condition into initial state. That is why this study will consider the impact of wildfires upon natural resources to reach ecological balance.

According to the objectives, the main activity of this report is to review the rehabilitation and restoration programs that have been carried out by the pilot areas. Of the five pilot areas, an analysis will be made to find out which programs are successful and less successful. To obtain the purpose, several activities should be included in this research.

2 Biodiversity and Ecological Resilience

The connection between wildfires and ecological resilience has been known for a long time. The fact that wildfires damage every living thing in the forest is becoming a huge issue in forest management. Every forest area has its own biodiversity composition which influences the ecological resilience in facing the disturbance. Certain compositions of biodiversity elements will create an amount of resilience that will support a forest and faster its recovery. Ecological resilience is a systematic impact of animals, trees, the environment, and community mutual activities. The more those variables effortlessly return to the initial condition, the higher the resilience index they have (Ranjan, 2018; Siry et al., 2018)

Biodiversity or biological diversity is species, genetic, and ecosystem diversity in an area, sometimes including associated abiotic components such us landscape features, drainage systems, and climate (Swingland, 2013). Every area has its own biodiversity, which is consist of living biotic component and the other abiotic component such as environment and climate that support the living. Pressures constantly threaten the sustainability of biodiversity. Meanwhile, ecological resilience helps biodiversity sustain itself with short- and long-term stress.

The **Figure 1** below illustrates the relation of biodiversity, pressure (disturbance), and ecological resilience. They are influence one and another. All kind of disturbances in the forest suppress the stability of biodiversity. The capacity of the forest to combat the disturbance, is called ecological resilience, meaning, supporting the forest to its initial condition once the pressure goes.



Figure 1. Relation of Biodiversity, Pressure, and Ecological Resilience

2.1 Biodiversity

Biodiversity, a contraction of biological diversity, has several definitions. Biodiversity is biotic (species, genetic, and ecosystem diversity (Noss, 1990; Swingland, 2013; Thompson, Mackey, McNulty, & Mosseler, 2009; Wilson, 1994) and abiotic component (landscape features, drainage systems, and climate) of ecosystems (Swingland, 2013). Biodiversity defines as a forest ecosystem consisting of composition, structure, and function (Franklin et al., 1981; Noss, 1990). Reference (Noss, 1990) defines the indicator variable of biodiversity at four organization levels (regional landscape, community-ecosystem, population-species, and genetic). Every organization consists of several indicators grouped into composition, structure, and function.

Composition refers to the vegetation and animal species in the ecosystem (Franklin et al., 1981). Some kinds of literature call it species richness (Cazzolla Gatti & Notarnicola, 2018; G. Peterson et al., 1998; Powers et al., 2012; Thompson, Mackey, McNulty, & Mosseler, 2009) The other literature mentions species distribution (Convention on Biological Diversity, 2013; Mulatu et al., 2017; Vihervaara et al., 2017). Structure points to the spatial array of diverse ecosystem components, such as tree structure or tree spacing, and function refers to all kinds of ecological processes (Franklin et al., 1981).

2.2 Ecological Resilience (ER)

In certain system, biodiversity relates to ecological resilience. Ecological resilience is the capacity of a system to absorb stress or disturbance and recover its composition, structure, and (Barrette et al., 2021; Falk et al., 2019; Gunderson, 2003; Holling, 1973). The stress or disturbance of forest are caused by logging and fire, drought, and insects (Bryant et al., 2019; de Andrade et al., 2020; Stephens et al., 2018).

Ecological resilience has many concerns. The first concern is the tree. Tree indicator of resilience is forest structure. Forest structure consists of tree density (de Andrade et al., 2020; Franklin et al., 1981; Thompson, Mackey, McNulty, & Mosseler, 2009), stand density (Bryant et al., 2019; Heym et al., 2021), Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) (de Andrade et al., 2020; Heym et al., 2021; Machado Nunes Romeiro et al., 2022; Stoddart, 2021), tree population (Heym et al., 2021; Nikinmaa et al., 2020), tree age (Heym et al., 2021; Thompson, Mackey, McNulty, & Mosseler, 2009), and tree height (Franklin et al., 1981; Heym et al., 2021; Machado Nunes Romeiro et al., 2022; Thompson, Mackey, McNulty, & Mosseler, 2009). Other indicators are tree mortality (de Andrade et al., 2020; Stoddart, 2021), non-tree vegetation (Nikinmaa et al., 2020), forest ecosystem type (Albrich et al., 2020; Bryant et al., 2019; Nikinmaa et al., 2020). Reference (Fernández-Guisuraga et al., 2021) mentioned leaf model and canopy model as indicator.

The second concern is the environment. The environment indicators are soils (Albrich et al., 2020; Machado Nunes Romeiro et al., 2022; Nikinmaa et al., 2020). Other indicators are Topographic, Wind speed, Snow load, Lightning, and Anthropogenic (Machado Nunes Romeiro et al., 2022). The third is animals. Indicator in an animal is about animal population (Nikinmaa et al., 2020). The other literature does not mention it, but it implies a biodiversity indicator (Reyes & Kneeshaw, 2014; Zhang et al., 2020). Biodiversity consists of species richness, as animal and tree richness. The fourth is communities. Relating indicators to communities are forest related social ecological system (Nikinmaa et al., 2020), the level of infrastructure development, GDP /km^2 of land, the value of ecosystem service functions (Zhang et al., 2020), and community resource (Magis, 2010).

2.3 Forest Disturbance

Forest as a living ecosystem endures natural disturbance that mostly because of the climate change. These days, climate change become an influence factor of the disturbance ignition, for instance fire, drought, wind, snow and ice, insect, pathogens (Seidl et al., 2017). Hence, human disturbance also become a threat that both could change the composition, structure, and function of the components of the forest. Human land use and timber production based on human activities risking the forest condition over time (Senf & Seidl, 2020).

In this report, we are mostly discussed about the forest disturbance ignite by the climate change. It is known that climate change disturbed the forest in three different ways, direct, indirect, and interaction (Seidl et al., 2017). Meanwhile, global warming increases the fire occurrence linear with (Overpeck et al., 1990).

The most damage disturbance that can be seen is the forest fire. This event occurred because of the nature or human error. The damage of fire affects all the biotic and abiotic components, destroying soil layer, and open the space left without tree canopy. Prominent fire could be measured by the fire range of time and spatial area.

Short description

- Disturbance intensity: Burnt area, deforestation intensity, exposure to disturbance, fire temperature.
- Disturbance regime: Fire frequency, fire history, fire recurrence, previous disturbance events
- Disturbance timing: Burn time.

Bellows are example of fire as one of forest disturbance illustrated in the following (**Figure 2**). The event occurred in Croatia in 2022.

Figure 2. Forest Fire in Croatia, 2022

In many areas, climate change and land-use change cause major natural forest disturbances, such as wildfires, windstorms, and insect outbreaks, and are becoming more frequent, intense, severe, and widespread (Viljur et al., 2022). In many forest ecosystems, one important natural disturbance to study is fire (Moretti et al., 2006). The related variation of resilience that succeeds the wildfire event is relevant to assess, because fires often lead to changes in environmental conditions, biomass, species diversity, and ecosystem function (G. D. Peterson, 2002). Three main disturbance factors are considered. Each of them will be evaluated in a classification (a value from 1 to 5), considering some influential factors described below in each category. The higher is the classification number, the lower and challenging will be the resilience process of the considered area.

- Disturbance intensity: including burnt area, deforestation intensity, exposure to disturbance, fire temperature.
- Disturbance regime: including fire frequency, fire history, fire recurrence, previous disturbance events.
- Disturbance timing: burn time in a specific area.

Connection to resilience:

Disturbance intensity	Intensity classification (5 classes)	High disturbance intensity -> lower ER index
Disturbance regime	Regime classification (5 classes)	High disturbance regime -> higher ER index

Disturbance timing	Timing classification (5 classes)	High disturbance timing -> lower ER index

2.4 Reviewing Variables of Ecological Resilience

2.4.1 Trees

Trees are an important element of the forest. Trees are the main element to increase forest resilience from fires in the future (van Mantgem et al., 2012). Trees functions as carbon storage and species habitat (Lutz et al., 2012). Forest sustainability requires these functions. There are several indicators of trees to increase forest resilience from fires. These indicators are tree density, tree population, non-tree vegetation, mortality rate/burned tree, and forest type.

2.4.1.1 Tree Density

Tree density is one of resilience indicators to maintenance sustainable forest (Bryant et al., 2019; Stoddart, 2021; Waltz et al., 2014). Tree density refers to the percent of the area covered by trees (United States Departement of Agriculture, 2022). Tree density is the number of trees per unit area (de Andrade et al., 2020). Measuring tree density can use a percent canopy cover (United States Departement of Agriculture, 2022). Canopy cover can be generated using satellite imagery (Crowther et al., 2015). Tree density generally increases with temperature (mean annual temperature and temperature seasonality) and moisture availability (precipitation regimes, evapotranspiration, or aridity) (Crowther et al., 2015). These variables are valuable for modelling broad-scale biological and biogeochemical processes because tree density is a prominent component of ecosystem structure, governing elemental processing and retention rates, competitive dynamics, and habitat suitability for many plant and animal species (Crowther et al., 2015).

The escalation of tree density raises concern regarding the lack of resilience in forests (Bryant et al., 2019). Increases in tree density decreased large trees' presence and high fuel loads (Bryant et al., 2019). Decreasing tree density may also be an effective strategy for enhancing resilience. Reducing tree density increases tree growth even during extended drought (Stoddart, 2021). Measuring tree density can use a percent canopy cover (United States Departement of Agriculture, 2022). Canopy cover can be generated using satellite imagery (Crowther et al., 2015). Canopy cover to measure tree density can be generated using satellite imagery (Crowther et al., 2015).

2.4.1.2 Tree Population

Tree population refers to the number of trees in each area (Crowther et al., 2015). Forest management can consider Tree population, such as encouraging sustainable land management by planting large trees to increase tree population numbers (Crowther et al., 2015). Increasing tree population numbers affect the sustainable forest.

We can also talk about the tree population structure in the tree population variable. Tree population structure refers to a structure of a tree based on tree diameter at breast height (DBH). The population structure can be analyzed using seven DBH classes: 5.0–9.9, 10.0–19.9, 20.0–29.9, 30.0–39.9, 40.0–49.9, 50.0–59.9, and ≥60.0 cm (de Andrade et al., 2020). Old/large trees are more resistant than small trees to fire disturbances (de Andrade et al., 2020). So that means a large tree is more resilient than a small tree. Large trees are instrumental to forest ecosystem resilience but are also especially important in providing numerous ecosystem services and biological legacies for future development (Stoddart, 2021).

2.4.1.3 Non-tree Vegetation

Tree as a plant with woody stems larger than 10 cm DBH (Crowther et al., 2015). According to the tree's definition, non-tree vegetation is a plant that has DBH smaller than 10 cm. Ground vegetation or herbaceous vegetation are kinds of these vegetations include (Albrich et al., 2020). Non-tree vegetation is related to competition for trees. It often affects the viability of seedlings and saplings (Albrich et al., 2020). It affects how seedlings establish and grow. Competition between the tree and non-tree vegetation relates to the regeneration processes of forests. Regeneration processes are highly related to forest resilience (Albrich et al., 2020). As understory herbaceous response, increases in non-tree vegetation have consequences for native cover and fire return intervals and might indicate a loss of ecosystem resiliency (Waltz et al., 2014).

2.4.1.4 Mortality rate/burned tree.

Successive forest disturbances cause tree mortality. Successive forest disturbances are caused by logging and fire (de Andrade et al., 2020). It is also caused by fire, drought, and insects (Bryant et al., 2019; Stephens et al., 2018). Tree mortality has implications for the forest's future, such as long-term adaptation to climate change to make forests more resilient (Stephens et al., 2018). Forest adaptation patterns after successive disturbances, such as logging and fire, can help protect and manage forests (de Andrade et al., 2020).

Fire in forests cause high tree mortality (Brando et al., 2014; De Andrade et al., 2019). In the short term, the fire affected smaller trees (DBH < 20 cm) (de Andrade et al., 2020). It means that smaller trees (DBH < 20 cm) are more vulnerable to fire than larger trees. So, larger trees are more resilient than a smaller trees. The fire had no impact on large trees (DBH > 40 cm) (de Andrade et al., 2020). However, in severe fires, the larger tree also has higher mortality (de Andrade et al., 2020). Nevertheless, large trees (DBH ≥ 60 cm) are more vulnerable to logging than small trees (de Andrade et al., 2020).

Mortality rates has function: $MR= (\ln N0 - \ln St)/t$, where ln = natural logarithm; St = number of survivors at time "t"; N0 = initial population size (de Andrade et al., 2020).

2.4.1.5 Forest type

There is forested area in biome. There are many biomes' types, such as Tropical moist, Tropical dry, Temperate broadleaf, Temperate coniferous, Boreal, Tropical grasslands, Temperate grasslands, Flooded grasslands, Montane grasslands, Tundra, Mediterranean forest, Deserts, and Mangroves (Crowther et al., 2015). Each forest in biome can defined as forest type. Reference (Nikinmaa et al., 2020) summarizing 11 forest types studied for ecological resilience: Boreal forests/taiga, Temperate conifer forest, Temperate broadleaf, mixed forests, Mediterranean forests, woodlands and scrubs, tropical and subtropical broadleaf forests, other forests, and multiple forests. But, generally, according to latitude, there are three major forests type: tropical forest, temperate forest, and boreal forest (*Forest Biome | National Geographic Society*, n.d.; Sawe, 2019). Each forest type composes in different location, which means that the micro temperature, climate, topography, and other environment elements are different. This situation influences forest structure and resulting an amount of ecological resilience index which support the forest in disturbance condition.

As a result of changing climate circumstances, all forest types will change somehow (Thompson, Mackey, McNulty, & Mosseler, 2009). As a result of changing disturbance regimes projected due to climate change, some forests are significantly more vulnerable (less resilient) than others, especially the case of forests where previously uncommon disturbances, such as forest fires, will become more common.

2.4.1.6 Tree height

The average tree height of forest stands is a key feature in forest management, monitoring, and inventory (Jurjević et al., 2020). Precisely, it is the height of every tree could be measured, added together, and divided by the total number of trees. Tree high and dimension, depending on the species, can be predicted as well from the above mentioned DBH (Buba, 2013).

2.4.1.7 Plant species richness

When it comes to evaluate forests in terms of their biodiversity, distinctiveness and naturalness, the question of the forest affinity of each plant species venturing into forest systems is crucial (Heinken et al., 2022). Species richness is the simplest measure of species diversity and is either a count of the number of, or the list of, species inhabiting a given area or habitat (Moore, 2013).

The connection of the tree's variables to resilience is shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Connection of Tree's Variables to Resilience

Variables	Indicators	Connection to Resilience
Tree density	Percentage	Higher tree density -> lower ER index
Tree population	Amount per area	Larger population structure (larger tree diameter) --> higher ER index Smaller population structure (smaller tree diameter) --> lower ER index
Tree height	Amount	Higher tree height --> lower ER index
Non-tree vegetation	Percentage	
Burned tree	Percentage	Larger tree diameter --> less burned tree --> Higher ER index
Mortality rate	Percentage	Smaller population structure (smaller tree diameter) --> higher mortality rate --> lower ER index
Forest type	Type	
Plant species richness	List	High species richness --> higher ER index

2.4.2 Environment

2.4.2.1 Soil type

The soil is a thin layer of earth and lies in the outermost. Minerals are the main soil elements because they produce from weathering or erosion of parent rocks (inorganic) mixed with organic matter. Soil contains rock or mineral particles and organic matter of water and air. Soil is a part of the earth's crust that has minerals and organic matter. As an essential to all life on earth, soil supports plant life with nutrients and water as well as root support. Soil with cavities is a suitable location for roots for breathing and growing. The soil is also a living habitat for various microorganisms, animals, and plants (Hinrich L. Bohn et al., 2001; Weil & Brady, 2017).

The different cycles of parent rock causes different soil types. Each of them has different characteristic. The impact of fire on soil differs depending on the kind of soil types. Some soils such as sand, silt, and clay have a higher heat tolerance and usually are not affected by combustion unless directly exposed to temperatures higher than 400 degrees at the mineral soil surface. On the other end, clay holds minimal resistance to temperatures, and start to change from 300 degrees. This is because the clay hydration and clay lattice structure break down (Byers, 2005).

2.4.2.2 Soil pH

Soil has its acidity called soil pH. Soil pH determines the fertility of trees and microorganisms living inside. Plants basically cannot grow in environments with too high or low pH. Plants tend to grow in neutral soil ph. As known before, soil pH 7.0 is neutral, soil pH above 7.0 is alkaline, and soil pH below 7.0 is acidic. Wildfire damage the soil quality because it could turn the soil more acidic (Hinrich et al, 2001).

2.4.2.3 Biomass

Biomass is renewable organic material that comes from plants and animals. In particular, the term biomass refers to the mass of living organisms, including plants, animals, and microorganisms, and considering the biochemical perspective, includes cellulose, lignin, proteins, fats, and sugars (R.A. Houghton, 2008).

On Earth, the higher percentage of biomass is covered by plants (especially land plants, embryophytes) with ≈ 80%, the second major component is bacteria), constituting ≈15% of the global biomass. Other groups, in descending order, are fungi, archaea, protists, animals, and viruses, which together account for the remaining<10% (Bar-On et al., 2018).

2.4.2.4 Climate

Climate plays an essential role to forest resilience. Climate is the average weather condition calculated over a long period in a particular place. Climate is measured based on temperature, humidity, atmospheric pressure, precipitation, and wind direction. However, the climate is influenced by latitude, terrain, altitude, and the availability of surrounding waters (Machado Nunes Romeiro et al., 2022; Stoddart, 2021).

2.4.2.5 Annual precipitation

Precipitation is the amount of water collected on a flat surface over a period (daily, weekly, monthly, or yearly), measured in millimeters (mm) counted from the horizontal surface. Normally it is calculated yearly as an annual precipitation. Sufficient precipitation in the forest area leads to the wetter land compared to the less precipitation in the dry forest. The higher amount of annual precipitation in the forest, the higher ecological resilience is in a forest. This condition followed by higher probability of each forest returning to its original condition after a fire (Das et al., 2018).

2.4.2.6 Temperature

Another variable is the temperature, a number expressing the degree of cold and heat. Every living thing has its body temperature as well as the environment. The temperature of the environment influences the body temperature of the entities. Both animals and plants have limitations to a certain degree of maximum and minimum temperature (Svenfelt et al., 2010). Increasing air temperature can increase plant mortality which will eventually occur in the forest if drought conditions continuously happen (Donald, 2018).

2.4.2.7 Wind condition

Lastly, the basic concept of the wind is the air movement from a high-pressure area to a low-pressure area. The formation of wind direction occurs due to differences in air pressure in two different places. Wind flow comes from a place with high air pressure to a low air pressure site. The wind impact can vary become variables that lead to both increasing and decreasing ecological resilience (Cannon et al., 2017).

The presence of wind affects ecological resilience. One of them, the company of wind, becomes the trigger for wildfires getting bigger and broader. This concept occurs before and during a fire. On the other hand, during the restoration process, wind facilitates the spread of plant seeds so that the sprouts are more easily scattered in nature (Buma & Wessman, 2011).

2.4.2.8 Humidity/Aridity

Another variable to consider is humidity. Humidity is a natural part of the atmosphere; it comes from the amount of water vapor in the air. Water vapor enters the atmosphere by evaporating from the large bodies of water on the Earth's surface including lakes, oceans, and seas. Whereas aridity is a natural permanent imbalance in the water availability consisting in low average annual precipitation, with high spatial and temporal variability, resulting in overall low moisture and low carrying capacity of the ecosystems. Under aridity, extreme variations of temperatures occur, and the hydrologic regimes are characterized by large variations in discharges, flash floods and large periods with very low or zero flows (Paulo & Pereira, 2006).

2.4.2.9 Lightning

Lightning is the process of spontaneous momentary high-current electrostatic discharge, which often is initiated in the cloud and the path usually stretch over kilometers in length (Uman, 1987). The ignition of wildland fuels by the occur of lightning play a major role in the evolution and maintenance of ecosystems. Lightning ignition in forests not only ignites fire but also weakens trees, facilitating insect and disease attack, causes physical damage, and kills trees and groups of trees (Taylor, 1973).

The connection of the environment's variables to resilience is shown in **Table 2**.

Table 2. Connection of Environment's Variables to Resilience

Variables	Indicators	Connection to Resilience
Soil type	Type	Certain soil type à low/high ER index (depend on the soil type)
Soil material	Type	Sand, silt, clay à less fertile, higher ER
Soil pH	Classification	Neutral pH à high ER index
Biomass	Thickness	Thick biomass à lower ER index
Temperature	Temperature classification (5 class, degree measurement)	Higher temperature à lower ER index
Annual precipitation	Precipitation classification (3 classes, mm measurement)	Higher annual precipitation à higher ER index
Wind condition	Wind classification	Higher wind in phase A and B à lower ER index Higher wind in phase C à higher ER index
Humidity/Aridity	Humidity/Aridity classification	Higher humidity -> higher ER index Higher aridity -> lower ER index
Lightning	Amount	High number of lightning -> lower ER index

2.4.3 *Animal*

Forest becomes the habitat of millions of species of animals. They eat, sleep, and basically living in the forest forming its own ecosystem. That is why the ecological structure and dynamic basically ruled by animals and plants (G. Peterson et al., 1998). When the forest structs by the wildfire, the disturbance of the forest is getting intense. Damaging the ecosystem, including small to large animal. Consequently, this disaster might cause several animals extinct.

2.4.3.1 *Animal Richness*

Animal richness is a variation of structure, form, number, characteristic of the animals that living under a certain ecosystem. Ecological system supported by the diversity of animal that have specific roles on each species. The diversity itself brings the ecosystem into a balance amount of ecological resilience index (Owens, 2014; Reader, 2022).

2.4.3.2 *Animal Population*

Animal population is several the same species of animal in certain area(Royama, 2021). Each animal has their own role contributing to ecosystem. However, the bigger number or species does not always correspondent to the higher benefit to the ecosystem. Hence, ecosystem has its own stability of each species richness (G. Peterson et al., 1998). The higher number of populations will damage the stability of the habitat as each animal is a predator for another species.

Despite the stability of each animal's role, certain animals which supported the seed transport, mammal-dispersed trees, have bigger role in increasing the hinger resilience index in tree variables (G. Peterson et al., 1998). Higher population of mammal-dispersed tree that move around the forest increasing the possibility of seed transport.

2.4.3.3 *Mortality rate*

The wildfire obviously damages the animals and causes severe mortality. Some of them thrive and move to the other place, but most of them that lives in the higher intensity could not save. For instance, small animal such as oribatid mites. It is small animals living in the soil in the wooden areas. They are essential to the soil as a decomposer of plant debris. Massive wildfire reduced soil oribatid mite in number and its diversity which is influence the soil fertility (Kim & Jung, 2013).

2.4.3.4 *Animal density*

The animal density is the number of individuals per area or volume of habitat. This variable depends on: the number of habitants, the number of births and losses of completely dependent from the forest habitat species, and the number of migrations of partially dependent from forest habitat species in a certain area. Variation of the migration streams may cause consequences to the conservation of forests biodiversity as wells as forest resilience and vegetation density and richness (Royama, 2021).

2.4.3.5 *Number of endemic and endangered species*

Our world's forests are home to the majority of all wildlife, with 80 percent of amphibian species, 75 percent of bird species, and 68 percent of the world's mammal species (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2020). But not all species can live in the same forests. The type of tree species and tree ages, terrain, climate, shade or lack of shade, water resources, and many other factors can create very different types of habitats. An ecosystem is considered healthy when it is sustainable, meaning that it has the ability to maintain its structure (organization) and function (vigor), over time in the face of external stress (resilience). The wellness of an ecosystem is strictly dependent to plants and animals living in it (Costanza & Mageau, 1999). As a consequence, when a species becomes endangered, it may be the consequence of an ecosystem that is slowly falling apart. Each species that is lost, triggers the loss of other species (extinction cascades) within its ecosystem (Donohue et al., 2017). To prevent losing ecosystems and enhancing biodiversity (and habitats necessary for the life of several species) monitoring, endangered and endemic species observation will be a critical parameter to consider.

The connection of the animal's variables to resilience is shown in **Table 3**.

Table 3. Connection of Animal's Variables to Resilience

Variables	Indicators	Connection to Resilience
Animal richness	Amount	The higher animal richness à higher ER index
Animal population	Amount	Stable population à higher ER index
Mortality rate	Percentage	The higher mortality of small soil animal à less ER index
Animal density	Amount	Higher animal density --> Higher ER index
Number of endemic and endangered species	Amount	Higher number of endemic and endangered species --> Higher ER index

2.4.4 Communities

Community involvement is vital to maintain the continuity of production and productivity of an ecosystem in meeting the needs of active communities in the system. A researcher stated that the community plays an essential role in maintaining the survival of an ecosystem to achieve ecological resilience. A community with ecological resilience shows a strong attachment (cohesiveness) in disturbance conditions but can absorb the disturbance and adjust after the trouble is gone (Suradisastra, 2010). Based on literature studies related to the community's position in supporting ecological resilience, four supporting factors need to be identified to determine the extent to which the community can help achieve ecological resilience. The four factors are infrastructure development, government policies, community empowerment, and livelihoods.

2.4.4.1 Infrastructure Development

Some research has been found that discusses the relevance of infrastructure to forestry and fire management. Infrastructure must be available, essentially in the form of roads and railroads with the optimal capacities and densities to avoid destroying all forests by fires. Infrastructure can be seen as an investment to help manage forest fires in this issue. In the forests, fuel treatment can be used to reduce the fire loading to prevent fire initiation and next spreading. Increasing the road network density makes it easier to reach the fires with efficient equipment and stop the fires along the roads to contain them (Lohmander, 2021). Analyses concerning opening-up of the area for fire trucks/helicopters deployment purposes (Kapusniak & Majlingová, 2014; Majlingová, 2012), is also an efficient way to start the fire fighting activities as early as possible. Furthermore, some firefighting equipment and firefighters must be available. Especially in the case of a large fire, external resources should be available, such as water-bombing airplanes and helicopters. All the different resources and decisions must be combined in the best possible way to obtain the optimal expected total result. Another study about infrastructure to forestry suggests implementing a green infrastructure concept to support biodiversity and ecosystems in the wild forest. Green infrastructure is explained as the network of natural and semi-natural areas, features, and green spaces in rural and urban, terrestrial, freshwater, coastal, and marine areas, which together enhance ecosystem health and resilience, contribute to biodiversity conservation and benefit human populations through the maintenance and enhancement of ecosystem services (Mazza et al., 2011).

2.4.4.2 Government Policy

The Government policy of forests is an important protection strategy to improve the quantitative and qualitative characteristics of forest existences (Sasanifar et al., 2019). Some studies about the forestry policy in Indonesia as a case study identified that the government has issued several laws and regulations, ratified the international convention, local government, farmers, forestry-concession owners, and foreign and domestic aircraft. It has been used to suppress such forest fires, but in the fact that permanent forest fires increase each year. After collecting the forestry policy's data, the researchers found that it is enough to prevent forest fires, but the implementation of laws, regulations, socialization, and execution of court decisions should be increased to avoid and suppress forest fires. Unfortunately, the implementation of the policies is still deficient (Gunadi et al., 2019; Luca Tacconi, 2002)

2.4.4.3 Empowerment community

Community empowerment has an essential role in forest and peatland fire efforts. Communities should not only be burdened with preventing forest and peatland fires but also be given the benefits of prevention activities (Yuliani, 2018). In a forest fire disaster, the community acts as a "disaster ahead" because it is considered the party who knows the character of the place where they live and the existing social conditions. In Indonesia, one of the forest fire mitigation strategies is to increase public awareness and role in preventing fires and land. The community is not only given education about the dangers of clearing land by activating but also must be given information on how to manage forests and land to prevent forest and land fires (Wiyono et al., 2020; Yuliani, 2018).

2.4.4.4 Livelihood

Livelihoods have an important role in the managing of social-ecological resilience. Managing livelihoods and land uses will benefit their buffering capacity, flexibility, and potential to open new opportunities for landowners. It will be essential to plan for livelihoods that offer options to shift between or adjust economic activities. Developing economic activities need to be maintained so that there is no ecosystem structure or function. It will be imperative for conservation practitioners to identify and encourage land uses that support biodiversity and human livelihoods. Human communities are likely to be affected because livelihoods are strongly linked to natural resources. Principal economic activities at higher elevations include ecotourism, coffee production, and dairy cattle farming; at lower elevations, residents engage in a mix of dairy and beef cattle ranching and sugarcane production, and, to a lesser extent, pineapple, rice, and mango production (Townsend & Masters, 2015). A study defines sustainable livelihood security as a situation where a social unit, be that a household or a village, can meet generally accepted expectations for quality of life without endangering the natural environment for coming generations. Natural resources, like land, soil, plants, water and fish, forests, and wildlife, are the bases of rural livelihoods. There is a possibility of conflict between forest and community related to natural resources usage. A potential future power-sharing must result from a process of competence- and capacity-building for relevant problem-solving, which includes both nature conservation to protect biodiversity and livelihood improvements (Kristiansen et al., 2021).

The connection of the communities' variables to resilience is shown in **Table 4**.

Table 4. Connection of Communities' Variables to Resilience

Variables	Indicators	Connection to Resilience
Infrastructure Development	Adequate related infrastructure	Adequate infrastructure à higher ER index
Government Policy	Policy to support forest protection	policy clarity à higher ER index
Empowered community	Empowerment program for community about ER	Empowerment program à higher ER index
Livelihood	Income and spending of the community	Resilient income à higher ER index

Based on ecological resilience literatures, disturbances/stress impacts animals, trees, the environment, and communities, part of biodiversity. Based on that, ecological resilience relates to biodiversity. So, biodiversity can support forest ecological resilience. The more those variables able to bounce back, the higher resilience index they have. Ecological aspects affect silvicultural management; thus, calculating and estimating biodiversity becomes a tool for developing management strategies. It reflects the forest's variability and helps indicate whether changes have occurred during forest monitoring (Barlow et al., 2003).

2.5 Ecological Resilience Framework

After combining all the references above, a tentative framework of ecological resilience has been made. The following figure shows the ecological resilience framework assembled from the variables. All these variables affect the ecological resilience index. Several variables support the ecological resilience index to a certain level, varying on each variable.

Each variable has their own role in supporting ecological resilience. Environment, animal, tree, and community share their value to boost ecological resilience into certain levels. Biodiversity component can be seen in **Figure 3**.



Figure 3. Biodiversity Component

2.6 Biodiversity Monitoring

Biodiversity monitoring has been an issue for decades. Since the components of the biodiversity covers wide variety of both biotic and abiotic, the measurement itself quite difficult to quantify. Biodiversity monitoring range from small scale to regional scale, which is using different approach on each measurement.

Previously, biodiversity monitoring has been carried out by using in situ observation. However, the new technology of remote sensing provides powerful tools to monitor and map biodiversity effectively with their capability to extrapolate small extent sample information into the larger spatial extent (Michele & Robert J, 2017; Stereńczak et al., 2020). Biodiversity monitoring based on remote sensing generally were classified into several categories including habitat mapping, species mapping, functional diversity, and spectral diversity (R. Wang & Gamon, 2019). Multispectral remote sensing has been widely used for forest biodiversity monitoring due to spectral range variation (Vaughan et al., 2014). The most popular remote sensing data used to monitor biodiversity is Landsat, followed by Sentinel and MODIS (Kacic & Kuenzer, 2022). Based on the spectral variation, vegetation indices calculation is the important part to generate spectral diversity index which can be associated to functional, genetic, and taxonomy diversity (Fassnacht et al., 2022; Gillespie et al., 2009; Ribeiro et al., 2019; Rocchini et al., 2010; R. Wang & Gamon, 2019). Some of the vegetation indices used for biodiversity monitoring include NDVI, LAI, FCD and biomass calculation (Dube et al., 2019; Kuenzer et al., 2014; Oindo & Skidmore, 2002).



Figure 4. Biodiversity Measurement for Vegetation Component

2.6.1 NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index)

NDVI is used to quantify vegetation greenness and is useful in understanding vegetation density and assessing changes in plant health. NDVI is calculated as a ratio between the R and NIR values with a soil brightness correction factor (L) defined as 0.5 to accommodate most land cover types. The NDVI formula is in the following [1]:

$$NDVI = \frac{NIR - R}{NIR + R} \quad \textbf{[1]}$$

NDVI has been widely used as an indicator of several factors such as canopy density, biomass, plant health, and vegetation productivity (Rezaei & Ghaffarian, 2021; Turubanova et al., 2015; Verbesselt et al., 2016). Furthermore, NDVI is also effective to assess vegetation damage, stress, recovery (Rezaei & Ghaffarian, 2021). NDVI time series monitoring using remote sensing images can be used to determine vegetation growth and recovery regarding to this ecological resilience program. NDVI is the ratio of the difference between the near-infrared band (NIR) and the red band (R) and the sum of these two bands (Rouse et al., 1974; Yengoh et al., 2015).

For Landsat 8, Red band and Near Infrared (NIR) band include in Band 4 and Band 5. Otherwise for Sentinel 2A, Band 4 is represented Red band and Band 8 is represented Infrared Band.

2.6.2 SAVI (Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index)

SAVI is used to revise Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) for the influence of soil brightness in areas where vegetative cover is low. SAVI is calculated as a ratio between the R and NIR values with a soil brightness correction factor (L) defined as 0.5 to accommodate most land cover types. The SAVI formula is in the following [2]:

$$\mathrm{SAVI} = ((\mathrm{NIR} - \mathrm{R}) \,/\, (\mathrm{NIR} + \mathrm{R} + \mathrm{L})) * (1 + \mathrm{L}) \qquad \textbf{[2]}$$

Other than that, the improvement of SAVI produced the Modified Soil Adjusted Vegetation Index (MSAVI2) method that minimizes the effect of bare soil on the SAVI. The MSAVI2 formula is in the following [3]:

$$\mathrm{MSAVI2} = (1/2) * (2(\mathrm{NIR}+1)\text{-}\mathrm{sqrt}((2*\mathrm{NIR}+1)^2\text{-}8(\mathrm{NIR}\text{-}\mathrm{Red}))) \qquad \textbf{[3]}$$

2.6.3 FCD (Forest Canopy Density)

Forest fires occurs around globe with various scales and severities. The dominant disturbance in forest ecosystem is caused by forest fire. Forest fires effect on vegetation structure, species composition, tree density, and soil properties (Flannigan et al., 2000; Michaletz & Johnson, 2007; Verma & Jayakumar, 2012). Numerous factors have been measured in the evaluation of forest ecosystem condition.

Forest canopy density is one of the indicators to monitor forest condition. Forest canopy density indicates percentage of vegetation cover (Rikimaru et al., 2002). Four indexes related to vegetation indices was used to determine forest canopy density. Canopy density, or canopy cover, is the ratio of vegetation to ground as seen from the air that is covered by the crown of trees (expressed in percentage of the total area). While canopy height measures how far above the ground the top of the canopy is.

Calculation of forest canopy density was described using the following formulas:

Advanced Vegetation Index (AVI)

The AVI formula is in the following [4]:

$$AVI = \sqrt[3]{NIR(1 - RED)(NIR - RED)} \qquad \textbf{[4]}$$

This formula is applied to the specific spectral band include red, near infrared, shortwave infrared, blue, green, and thermal band. Bands and wavelengths are depend on the specific type of satellite images.

Bare Soil Index (BI)

The BI formula is in the following [5]:

$$BI = \frac{(SWIR + RED) - (NIR + BLUE)}{(SWIR + RED) + (NIR + BLUE)}\ X\ 100 + 100 \qquad \textbf{[5]}$$

Shadow Index (SI)

The SI formula is in the following [6]:

$$SI = \sqrt[3]{(256 - BLUE)(256 - GREEN)(256 - RED)} \qquad \textbf{[6]}$$

Thermal Index (TI)

The TI formula is in the following [7]:

$$TI = \frac{K2}{\ln(\frac{K1}{L\lambda})} \qquad \textbf{[7]}$$

Vegetation Density (VD)

The VD formula is in the following [8]:

$$Normalization\ VD = \frac{(PCA1 - min)(max' - \min')}{(max - \min)} \qquad \textbf{[8]}$$

Scaled Shadow Index (SSI)

The SSI formula is in the following [9]:

$$Normalization\ VD = \frac{(PCA2 - min)(max' - \min')}{(max - \min)} \qquad \textbf{[9]}$$

Forest Canopy Density (FCD)

The FCD formula is in the following [10]:

$$FCD = \sqrt[3]{SSI\ X\ VD + 1} + 1 \qquad \textbf{[10]}$$

Where:

NIR is near infrared band; RED is red band; BLUE is blue band; GREEN is green band, SWIR is shortwave infrared band; PCA1 is digital value of principal component analysis between AVI and BI; PCA2 is digital value of principal component analysis between SI and TI; min is minimum value, max is maximum value; K1 is constant for thermal conversion band from metadata (K2_CONSTANT_BAND_x); K2 is constant for thermal conversion band from metadata (K2_CONSTANT_BAND_x); and Lλ is top of atmosphere spectral radiance.

2.6.4 LAI (Leaf Area Index)

Leaf area index (LAI), one half the total green leaf area per unit horizontal ground surface, is an important structural property of vegetation.

LAI is defined as the total one-sided green leaf area per unit of ground surface. The effective LAI (Le) is calculated from the canopy gap fraction assuming the foliage spatial distribution is random (Fang & Liang, 2014).

Measuring LAI can be conducted in 2 methods, through direct and indirect ((Fang et al., 2019; Fang & Liang, 2014). Direct method needs a direct observation in the field or in laboratory. Meanwhile, one method of the indirect LAI measurement, is from remote sensing than can cover large area (Fang et al., 2019; Fang & Liang, 2014; Y. Wang & Fang, 2020).

Studies shows that LAI derived LiDAR through remote sensing techniques provided an effective result.

2.6.5 Biomass Calculation

Forest biomass includes all residual parts of the tree, not only the trunk but also the bark, the branches, the needles or leaves, and even the roots. Most biomass calculated as an AGB or Above Ground Biomass. The calculation of biomass can be obtained using remote sensing and conventional approach. The possible way to get the value is using LiDAR (Timothy et al., 2016), Sentinel-1B Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) C-band and optical Sentinel-2B. Sensors used can be LiDAR& SPOT-5 HRG imagery, Landsat PALSAR, Landsat, Landsat (ETM+), SPOT-5 HRG imagery, Landsat TM imagery (Timothy et al., 2016). Different sensors provide a different accuracy value of the biomass.

Conventional approach obtains on the site directly, which is suitable to check in the small range of area. However, this method sometimes inapplicable due to the labour, time, and cost effective. The advance technology of nowadays remote sensing can be an alternative to measure it in a wide range of area persistently.

There is a correlation between species richness and the forest production, such as biomass. Consequently, the vice versa, certain amount of biomass represent the estimation of species richness. The result of several studies gives a strong opinion and prove that assumption (Thompson, Mackey, McNulty, & Mosseler, 2009).

3 Method

3.1 Data Collection Method

This first task employs a qualitative and quantitative method. Data mainly collected through secondary observation, such as gathering from satellite images, papers, and related documents. On the other hand, field observation was conducted in several pilot area to observe the overall condition. Data from pilots assembled through data collection provided by pilot partners. A systematic literature review also conducted to analyze the rest data that cannot be collected from the pilot area.

3.1.1 Observation

Pilot observation is one of the ways to assemble data. Because all pilot areas have different characteristics, field observation is needed if it's possible to be done.

In Quinta da França, a farm in the Portuguese pilot area (Cova da Beira), field observation was carried out in spring (April or May) from 2018 to 2021 (4 years). We collected data on vegetation structure and composition.

In National Sebangau Park, Indonesia Pilot Area, field observation has been done twice in March and June 2022. We obtained essential data, took aerial images, and made a collaboration with Sebangau National Park Bureau. So that it is possible for us to collect data through online contact with the bureau.

3.2 Data Analysis

3.2.1 Logical Framework Analysis

A logical framework is a tool for monitoring and evaluating a project or a programme. Logical framework (logframe) can be used for defining and understanding project success (Baccarini, 1999). The logical framework is also known as logframe, logframe matrix, logic model, logical model, or programme logic (Uwizeyimana, 2020). Logframe is a matrix representing the hierarchy relationship of project objectives between the inputs, activities (processes), outputs, outcomes, and impacts (Auriacombe, 2011; Baccarini, 1999). The hierarchy of project objectives in logical framework is shown in **Figure 5**.



Figure 5. Hierarchy of Project Objectives in Logical Framework
Source: (Baccarini, 1999)

There are two components of project success: project management success and product success (Baccarini, 1999). Project management success is focuses on the project process and the successful accomplishment of cost, time, and quality objectives. It also considers the way the project management process was conducted. Product success is deals with the effects of the project's final product (Baccarini, 1999).

3.2.2 Impact Measurement: Biodiversity

All Ecological resilience programme have a goal. One of the goals of the programme is ecosystem recovery or biodiversity recovery. So, biodiversity needs to be assessed. This research uses the NDVI. NDVI is the ratio of the difference between the near-infrared band (NIR) and the red band (R) and the sum of these two bands (Rouse et al., 1974; Yengoh et al., 2015). The NDVI formula can be seen in equation [1].

3.2.3 Miscellaneous Impact Measurement Based on Pilot Area

Cova da Beira – Portugal

Extensive grazing cattle (in natural pastures in the oak forest) was implemented at Quinta da França, in June 2018, as a natural-based solution to promote the restoration of Pyrenean oak forest and contribute to the control of the risk of fire. Since then, data on vegetation structure has been collected, in both grazed and ungrazed areas of the oak forest, to assess the effects of grazing on biomass regulation and control of fire risk. At every sampling plot (10 m x 10 m), data on vegetation type (grasses, forbs, shrubs, trees) and height class (0-0.25 m; 0.25-0.50 m; 0.5 -1.3 m; 1.3 - 2m; 2 - 4m; >4m), or bare soil, were registered. Then, vegetation structure is characterized using two indicators of vertical vegetation structure, foliage height diversity index (FHD) and mean shrub height, and two indicators of horizontal vegetation structure, percentage of shrub cover and percentage of tree cover. Also, three indicators of fire risk were used to assess the amount, structure and connectivity of shrub cover and the fuel vertical continuity.

Indicators of vertical vegetation structure:

- FHD – Applies the Shannon-Weiner diversity index to the proportion of vegetation cover in each vertical (height) class.
- Mean shrub height – Mean height of shrub cover in 10 m x 10 m plots, assessed from the highest shrub hit in each of the registering points.

Indicators of horizontal vegetation structure:

- % Tree cover – Percentage of tree cover calculated from the proportion of registering points in 10 m x 10 m plots with tree cover above 2 meters height
- % Shrub cover – Percentage of shrub cover calculated from the proportion of registering points in 10 m x 10 m plots with shrub cover

Indicators of fire risk:

- Aboveground shrub biomass (Sb) – Calculated from the Mean shrub height and the percentage of Shrub cover (Enes et al. 2020), where higher values of shrub biomass are associated with a higher risk of wildfire.
- FHD – Higher values of FHD would be associated with stratified plant cover, with more complex vertical structure and potentially with the existence of ladder fuels that connect ground to canopy levels.
- Vertical vegetation profile – Visual analysis of plots showing the vertical stratification of plant cover, disaggregated by main life forms. A relatively high cover of shrubs or tall grasses in the intermediate vertical layers (0.5 m - 2 m height) contributes to a higher risk of wildfire.

Moreover, data on vascular plant communities' composition was collected. The presence and percentage cover of understory plant species (excluding adult trees) was registered in 1m2 plots (1 m x 1 m), within the sampling plots (10 m x 10 m), using the Braun Blanquet's cover scale.

Species richness and the Sorensen dissimilarity index were used to assess understory plant composition in the grazed and ungrazed areas:

- Species richness – Provides a measure of local diversity (alfa diversity)
- Sorensen dissimilarity index – Provides a measure of change (turnover) in community composition between sites (beta diversity).

4 Pilot Area

4.1 Gargano Park – Italy

4.1.1 Location/ Administrative

Gargano is a historical and geographical sub-region in the province of Foggia, Apulia, southeast Italy, consisting of a wide isolated mountain massif made of highland and several peaks and forming the backbone of the Gargano Promontory projecting into the Adriatic Sea. Gargano is a historical and geographical sub-region in the province of Foggia, Apulia, southeast Italy, consisting of a wide isolated mountain massif made of highland and several peaks and forming the backbone of the Gargano Promontory projecting into the Adriatic Sea. The Gargano National Park, one of the Italian project pilots jointly with the Park of Tepilora in Sardinia, is a National Park established in 1991 (according to art. 19 of Law 394/91, the framework law on protected areas). The area of Gargano Park shown in **Figure 6**. The territory, located in the north-eastern part of Apulia, covers over 118,000 hectares, and includes 18 municipalities in the province of Foggia:

- insular: Tremiti Islands
- coastal: Mattinata, Peschici, Rodi Garganico, Manfredonia, Vieste
- inland with important coastal hamlets (indicated in brackets): Ischitella (Foce Varano), Vico del Gargano (San Menaio), Lesina (Marina di Lesina), San Nicandro Garganico (Torre Mileto), Cagnano Varano (Capojale)
- inland and piedmont areas: Apricena (piedmont area), Carpino (inland), Monte Sant'Angelo (inland), Rignano Garganico (inland but with part of the territory falling within the piedmont area), San Giovanni Rotondo (inland but with part of the territory falling within the piedmont area), San Marco in Lamis (inland but with part of the territory falling within the piedmont area), Serracapriola (piedmont area).



Figure 6. Map of the Gargano Park

The location of the park on the Mediterranean Sea lends to a climate of high temperatures and moist conditions with precipitation during every season. The temperature change throughout the year is gradual and moderate, with the warmest month of the year being July with an average high of 88.9 degrees Fahrenheit, and the coldest month being January with an average high of 52.9 degrees Fahrenheit.

4.1.2 Geomorphology

Karstification: Among the innumerable manifestations of karstification are the more than 4,000 dolines [1] dotting the Gargano territory, closed hollows produced by the collapse of the vault of underground caves and the erosion action of water communicating with the underlying water table.

The Pozzatina doline, more than 100 metres deep and about 500 metres in diameter, is the largest in Europe (the doline is part of the municipality of San Nicandro Garganico). To the superficial karstification process are attributable the countless furrowed fields, outcropping rocks marked by rainwater runoff. The existence of more than 600 caves, many of which are of archaeological interest (inhabited from the Palaeolithic to the Bronze Age), can be traced back to the process of deep karstification.

4.1.3 Geology

The Gargano consists mainly of sedimentary rocks, limestone, and dolomite, dating back to the Cretaceous and Jurassic periods, mostly stratified, and affected by the phenomenon of karst dissolution. An exception is Punta Pietre Nere (so called because of the characteristic black rocks outcropping from the sand; it is the only autochthonous outcrop of magmatic rocks in southern and insular Italy), a mass of dark volcanic rocks dating back to the Triassic period, outcropping on Lesina beach. The karst phenomenon, produced by the action of water and carbon dioxide on the limestone rocks, has 'sculpted' the landscape in various ways.

Along the entire edge of the limestone block, there are large erosive furrows that radiate towards the sea or the Capitanata region. These are rocky ravines (related to the phenomenon of 'dry valleys' or 'gullies'), caused by mechanical and karst erosion.

Regarding permeability, a distinction is made between:
- Permeable rocks by karstification mainly due to the karst phenomenon initiated by cracks in irregularly stratified white organogenic limestones and sub-vertical fractures;
- Rocks with mixed permeability due to cracking and karstification that occurs in dolomites and grey dolomitic limestones with flints.

4.1.4 Soil

The Gargano soils, originating from the degradation of calcareous rocks, are:

- brown soils, with a high moisture content, on dolomitic substrate and paleogenic limestones (especially in the medium-high part of the forest).
- decalcified Mediterranean red soils with a thin A horizon and a powerful B horizon with a polyhedral structure, which are found in the lowest part.

4.1.5 Hydrograpy

From a hydrographic point of view, the torrential watercourses of the Gargano comprise all those hydrographic networks that, according to a roughly centripetal arrangement, descend from the heights of the promontory towards the coast or the Tavoliere plain, or in some cases flowing into the lakes of Lesina and Varano. The watercourses present, which take on 'mountainous' characteristics, are characterised by

[1] In geomorphology, a doline is a closed basin, typical of plateaus made up of limestone rocks, formed because of the dissolution of the calcium carbonate constituting the rocks; it is a morphology typical of areas in which surface karst occurs.

substantially limited catchment areas, which only in a few cases exceed 100 km^2 in extension, while from a morphological point of view the river networks show a good level of internal hierarchical organisation. The river valleys appear in many cases wide and deep, strongly modelled in the rocky substrate, and characterised by slopes of the bottom in places even high. From this it follows that the hydrological regime of these watercourses is typically 'torrential', characterised by short running times, and such that, in relation to the local rainfall regime, it gives rise to long periods of low water interspersed with short but intense flood events, which are also accompanied by abundant solid transport. The frequent flooding events that have affected the edaphic blind valleys present within the promontory have also given rise to interlaced basins in which the in which widespread fluvial and eluvial-colluvial deposition phenomena are prevalent (the most significant of these is the Pantano di S. Egidio).

4.1.6 Ecological Valence

The Ecological Valence is highest for the wooded and forested areas of the Foresta Umbra, and high for the natural pasture areas, grasslands, and non-irrigated stable meadows of the Karst plateau. It shown in **Figure 7**. In these areas, in fact, the agricultural matrix is always interspersed with or close to natural spaces, with frequent natural elements and refuge areas (hedges, walls and rows). There is a high contiguity with ecotones and biotopes. The agroecosystem is generally diversified and complex.

The hilly areas of the eastern, northern, and southern Gargano, cultivated mainly with olive groves, still have a medium to high ecological value due to the significant presence of woods, hedges, low walls and rows and the discrete contiguity with ecotones and biotopes. The agroecosystem is sufficiently diverse and complex.

On the other hand, low values of ecological value are associated with the intensive agricultural areas near the lakes of Lesina and Varano cultivated with irrigated crops such as vegetables, field grasses and protected crops. In these areas the agricultural matrix generates strong pressure on the agroecosystem, which is also poorly complex and diversified.



Figure 7. Ecological Valence in Gargano Park

4.1.7 Land use

The analysis of the rural morphological types in the Gargano area returns an image of the landscape that can be schematised into various rural landscapes of the area.

A first rural landscape can be identified around the lake of Lesina. This is characterised by the prevalence of arable crops, characterised by a broad plot in the flatter area that becomes thicker as the steepness of the land increases. Especially east of the coastal lake, the prevalence of arable crops leaves room for tree crops, especially the olive grove that rises on the hillsides; and for cultivation associations of vineyards alternating with thickly textured arable crops. Other tree crops are present to a much lesser extent within the arable extensions that dominate the valleys. This rural type, which is structured around the coastal lake of Lesina, tends to fade away as the geometry of the relief changes to the southeast, while the arable extensions to the west tend to structure themselves along the Torrente Fortore, a torrential basin outside the Gargano area. The coastal slopes are another mosaic of rural morphological types that identify a recognisable landscape that is structured from the coastal lake of Varano to Manfredonia, generally with a certain continuity. Travelling ideally along a section that goes from the coastline towards the mountain reliefs, one finds in the flat portion, sometimes the prevalence of agricultural mosaics, alternating with the peri-urban agricultural type in correspondence with the centres; sometimes the prevalence of dense weave tree crops, in particular olive groves and orchards (mainly in the northern part). If one goes up in altitude, along the slopes one encounters olive groves in various declinations, terraced olive groves, olive groves alternating with patches of woodland, hillside olive groves.

Except for a few small episodes of peri-urban agricultural mosaics and a few valleys dominated by the prevalence of thickly textured arable crops, the rural landscape is characterised by the fragmentation of the rural mosaic determined by arable crops interspersed sometimes with pasture, sometimes with woodland, sometimes with both. The hinterland is characterised by an agricultural mosaic fragmented by the peripheral urbanisations of the settlement, while as one moves further away from the coastline, one perceives the dominance of arable crops, characterised by a wide and very sparse weave, difficult to read, extending from the perifluvial mosaic of the Cervaro torrent to the Gargano foothills to the north; these are also characterised by the presence of hilly and terraced olive groves.

Among the critical elements of the landscape characteristic of the Gargano area are the different types of anthropic occupation of karst forms, those linked to surface hydrography and those of slopes. These occupations (dwellings, road infrastructures, facilities, service areas, tourist areas, etc.), contribute to fragmenting the natural morphological continuity of the forms and increase the conditions of both hydraulic risks, where the forms themselves play a primary role in regulating surface hydrography (valleys, sinkholes, chasms), and morphological impact on the complex landscape system. One of the most impactful forms of anthropic occupation is, for example, the opening of quarries, which create real wounds to the natural continuity of the territory.

Other critical elements are the transformations of coastal areas, especially for the purposes of tourism, which often take place in the absence of adequate assessments of the effects induced on the sea-weather balance (e.g., the construction of ports and jetties, with significant alteration of coastal solid transport). A further critical aspect is linked to the alteration of the balance between surface and underground hydrology, in the knowledge that the extensive underground water table present in the Gargano area depends, in its qualitative and quantitative characteristics, on the natural characteristics of the soils and surface forms that contribute to the collection and percolation of meteoric water (dolines, chasms, endoreic depressions).

4.1.8 Climate

As far as the general climatic characteristics of the Gargano are concerned, it should be noted that these are mainly due to the geographical location of the area, its orientation in the Adriatic Sea and the predominant arrangement of the main mountain ridges oriented along the East-West axis.

As far as temperature is concerned, annual averages range between 6° C in the winter months and 34° C in the summer months, with an annual range of approximately 16-18° C, while the period in which values below or slightly above 0° C are recorded is related to the altitude of the territory as well as the distance from the sea. Therefore, in coastal areas the thermometer rarely drops below zero, while in the high Gargano area temperatures of -10° C can be recorded, generally limited to short periods or, in some years, temperatures drop below zero even for periods of more than 40-50 days that are continuous. The average temperature and precipitation in August shown in **Figure 8**.

The distribution of rainfall over the year generally follows the typical Mediterranean rainfall regime, i.e., with abundant winter-spring rainfall and accentuated aridity in summer. Generally, there is modest rainfall along the coasts (600-700 mm/year) while, as the altitude increases, it becomes more and more accentuated, reaching 1,200 mm/year in the Foresta Umbra area. The northern slope of the promontory is very singular, as it enjoys, because of the humid currents coming from the north, not only a higher quantity of precipitation, on average, than that of the southern slope, but also a pronounced atmospheric humidity.

Rainfall directly influences the water content of plant fuels, both living and dead. In addition to the total amount of rainfall, its temporal distribution is particularly important; in fact, rainfall of limited intensity but evenly distributed over a summer season significantly lowers the fire risk because it keeps the moisture content of the fuels potentially affected sufficiently high.

Air temperature influences fires both directly and indirectly. The direct action is on the direct heating of the fuel and the water content of the vegetation, while the indirect action is on the air and soil moisture. Monthly maximum temperatures highlight the predisposition of an area to be affected by summer fires, as in the case of the Gargano.

Wind is a crucial factor in forest fires for various reasons: in addition to influencing air humidity, it plays a fundamental role in the ignition and development phases of fires, also conditioning the direction, height, and speed of the flame front. Also fundamental is the increased supply of comburent (oxygen) that the wind produces, favouring combustion processes.

Also, not to be overlooked are the transport actions of flaming fragments that the wind carries out, causing the ignition of new fires, even distant from the main front. It should also be remembered that sometimes the wind can hinder the spread of fires, both because of the strong gusts that can extinguish small fires and when it blows in the opposite direction to the slope.

Average temperature and precipitation in August (data from 1951 to 2001 - Apulia Region, Meteorological Service)



Figure 8. Average Temperature and Precipitation in Gargano Park in August (Data From 1951 to 2001 – Apulia Region, Meteorogical Service)

4.1.9 Forest Ownership

It is managed by the Gargano National Park Authority, covering nearly the entire promontory, and extends over an area of about 120,000 hectares, including totally or partially, 18 municipalities, including the Tremiti Islands.

4.1.10 Flora

The Gargano National Park is home to a variety of habitats: beech forests in the interior and on the northern slope, Aleppo pine forests along the coasts, large expanses of Mediterranean scrub, not to mention oak forests where turkey oaks and holm oaks abound, mixed forests rich in ornelli, ash trees, elms, hollies, chestnut trees, maples, oaks, beech trees, etc.

The undergrowth is populated by numerous essences: ferns, brambles, dog roses, cyclamens, edible and poisonous mushrooms, etc. On the slopes exposed to the sun, perasters, melasters, hawthorns grow surrounded by lentisk bushes, juniper, thyme, brambles, prickly pears and the peculiar 'devil tree' (carob). In the foothills, the vegetation changes radically and the steppe predominates, rich in prickly pears, asphodels, ferulas, euphorbias, irises; in which a very special mushroom, the Pleurotus eryngii, grows.

Everything is interrupted here and there by olive groves, almond groves, vineyards, and wheat fields. In the innermost areas of the promontory (Ischitella, Manatecco, Ginestra, Sfilzi, Umbra, Bosco Quarto, Umereta delle Ripe forests) are spread large forests of beech, holm-oak, turkey oak and, sometimes associated with farnettos, elms and ash trees. On the coast, pine forests of Aleppo pine dominate, about 7,000 hectares alternating with Mediterranean scrub, rich in formations of mastic trees, phillyrea, multiflora heather and strawberry trees.

4.1.11 Fauna

The Gargano National Park encompasses a vast biodiversity in a small area, spanning the different habitats that make up the nature of the Mediterranean. These features outline a considerable diversity of fauna.
Birds: Around 170 bird species nests in the Gargano. Five species of woodpeckers live in the innermost forests: green, greater red, lesser, middle, and white-backed.

Other nesting birds are the buzzard, hen harrier, kestrel, peregrine falcon, sparrow hawk, lanner, marsh harrier and short-toed eagle. Ospreys and eagles are also present during the migration period. Nocturnal birds of prey include the eagle owl, the barn owl, the tawny owl, and the scops owl.

Mammals: Among the mammals known is the italic roe deer (Capreolus capreolus italicus), a subspecies subendemic to the Gargano. Also living in this area are deer and the more common wild boar, fallow deer, weasels, beech martens, wild cats (in the thicket of the Foresta Umbra), hares, hedgehogs, moles, badgers, foxes, dormice, dormice, porcupines, squirrels and various species of mice and voles. Not long ago, the wolf returned to the promontory after a long absence.

Reptiles and amphibians: Among the reptiles and amphibians, the land and marsh tortoise, the orbettino, the Aesculapian and smooth snake, the luscegnola, the verrucous gecko, the common viper, the cervone, the collared snake, the lizard, the field lizard, etc. are very present. Amphibians are present with the tree frog, the green and dalmatine frog, the common and emerald toad, and the italic and crested newt. These animals occupy the marshy areas, canals, lagoon banks and cutines in various wooded areas of the park.

4.1.12 History of Fires

For the analysis of the historical series of fires, the events referring to the ten-year period 2003-2012 provided by the Territorial Coordination for the Environment of the State Forestry Corps were considered, which also show that fires in the Gargano occur almost exclusively in summer (June, July, August, September). The data of historical series of fire shown in Table 5. The processing of the raw data made it possible to obtain the data summarised in the table, from which a total of 379 fires occurred in the period under investigation, but their number per year is extremely variable, ranging from a minimum of 13 in 2009 to a maximum of 72 in 2007. Even the annual extent is not constant, in fact in 2009 the total area burnt was 139.8 ha with an average area per fire of 7 ha. In 2007, following exceptional and extensive fires, the total area burnt was 5,762.4 ha with an average area per fire of 80 ha. The average fire area was 23.3 ha while the average annual number was 37.9.

In the same decade, the total area burned by fire was 8,836 ha, 7 of which 63% (ha 5,603.4) involved wooded areas, while the remaining 37% (ha 3,233.4) involved non-wooded areas (pastures, uncultivated land). It shown in **Figure 9**.

Table 5. Historical Series of Fire in Gargano Park

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	Tot.
Number Fires	55	40	21	13	24	72	23	27	33	71	379
Wooded area	258,32	297,66	55,04	27,82	380,16	4189,48	42,31	55,45	44,94	252,20	5.603,38
Unforested Area Wooded	128,98	390,03	84,72	63,16	531,11	1572,95	63,40	109,44	133,73	155,86	3.233,38
Total Area	**387,30**	**687,68**	**139,76**	**90,98**	**911,28**	**5762,43**	**105,71**	**164,89**	**178,67**	**408,06**	**8.836,76**
Average Fire Area	7,04	17,19	6,66	7,00	788,08	80,03	4,60	6,11	5,41	5,75	927,87



Figure 9. Wooded and Non-wooded Areas of Gargano Park Burned by Fire in 2003-2012

The historical analysis on wildfire has been carried out on fire events for the 2010-2019 decade, provided by the *Coordinamento Territoriale per l'Ambiente del Corpo Forestale dello Stato* (Territorial Coordination for the Environment of the State Forestry Corps). The analysis pointed out that wildfires in the Gargano occurs almost exclusively during the summer, especially in July and August. The **Table 6** shows that during the study period a total of 483 wildfires occurred with a high varying frequency during the years, from 20 in 2014 up to 106 in 2017. Annual extension is highly variable as well, 17,42 ha burned in 2018, with an average per wildfire of 0,6 ha, while in 2017, after many outbreaks and a particularly dry summer, the total burned area was 2149,04 ha, with an average per wildfire of 20,27 ha. The average burned area per year, during the 2010-2019 decade, was 23,3 ha, while the average number of wildfires was 48,3.

Table 6. Yearly Distribution of Wildfires in The Gargano Park in the 2010-2019 Decade

	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Tot.
N° of wildfires [ha]	21	40	55	34	20	72	41	106	29	65	483
Wooded area [ha]	55.04	297.66	258.32	94.79	10.08	299.94	74.46	1378.07	10.08	155.45	2642.27
Non wooded area [ha]	84.72	390.03	128.98	14.07	39.66	324.21	51.98	770.97	7.33	418.47	2230.43
Total area [ha]	139.76	687.68	387.30	108.87	58.12	624.15	126.44	2149.03	17.42	573.92	4872.70
Avg. area per wildfire [ha]	6.66	17.19	7.04	3.20	2.91	8.67	3.08	20.27	0.6	8.83	10.1

During the same decade, the total area affected by wildfires was 4872,70 ha, of these 54,23% (2642,27 ha) affected wooded area, the remaining 45,77% (2230,42 ha), on the other hand, affected non wooded area (pastures, uncultivated areas). It shown in **Figure 10**.

The analysis of the number of wildfires confirms the exceptionality of 2017, with 106 wildfires. The municipalities that have been more affected by wildfires are San Giovanni Rotondo, Cagnano, Varano, San Marco in Lamis and Serracaptiola, as shown in **Figure 11**.



Figure 10. Wooded and Non-wooded Areas of Gargano Park Burned by Fire in 2010-2019



Figure 11. The Municipalities that Have Been Affected by Wildfires

4.1.13 Brief description of the fire risk

The perimeter of the park is delimited in the 1:50,000 cartography attached to the Presidential Decree of 18 May 2000; the territory is divided into zone 1 and zone 2, zone 1 is the area of significant naturalistic, landscape and cultural interest with limited or no degree of anthropisation. Zone 2 is the area of naturalistic, landscape and cultural value with a greater degree of anthropisation (see **Figure 12**).



Figure 12. Zone of Gargano Park

The fire prevention plan was drawn up based on the level of land cover and land use, subdivided into different classes (see **Figure 13**), representing a first level of knowledge of the territory, with the characteristics and properties of a territorial database based on which to carry out the monitoring of the AIB (Forest Fire Fighting) plan. Land cover and land use were obtained, in turn, from the 2006 Corine Land Cover project, data suitably reworked in a GIS environment.

In particular, the surfaces appear as follows:

1) artificial surfaces (residential areas, industrial areas, etc.) 1,300 ha;
2) agricultural surfaces 29,000 ha;
3) woods and semi-natural environments 36,000 ha
4) pasture areas 18,000 ha
5) Mediterranean scrub area 6,000 ha
6) areas covered by sparse or absent vegetation (e.g. beaches) 80,000 ha
7) water courses, canals, etc. 10,000 ha



Figure 13. Classification of Land use for Fire Prevention

Throughout the territory covered by the plan, it is essential to know the type, load, and distribution of fuel, identified by forest types, which represent a fundamental tool for planning protection against forest fires and an important cognitive element for the use of fire behaviour prediction models.

For the fuel model maps, which provide an estimate of fire behaviour especially over vast areas, land cover classes were extrapolated on the basis of the vegetation present (starting from the CLC 2000 cartography). Each one was associated with the relative fuel model according to the Fire Behaviour standard, which defines models classified into four main groups: grasslands, shrublands, forest litter and forest use residues; these groups are subdivided into 13 fuel models (see **Table 8**). Of these, in the Gargano National Park area, there are 9 models grouped into 3 groups below (see **Table 7**), for which the relative Linear Intensity value -which expresses the thermal emanation in the unit of time for the unit of length of the flame front- and the relative values of flame height and maximum speed have also been indicated.

Table 7. 9 Groups of Fuel Models

Group	Model	Description	Intensity KJ/(ms)	Height of flame (m)	Speed max m/min
Grasslands	1	Natural or artificial pastures and meadows consisting of fine grasses, with senescent or dead tissue, less than 30-40 cm in height, completely covering the ground. Very low shrubs or trees may be sporadically present, however, occupying less than one third of the surface area. Fields and stubble are also included in this model. Amount of fuel 1-2 t/ha.	250	1,8	150
	2	Natural or artificial pastures and meadows, consisting of fine grasses, with senescent or dead tissue, less than 30-40 cm in height, completely covering the ground. Woody species are present, occupying one to two thirds of the surface, but fire propagation is supported by the herbaceous layer. Quantity of fuel 5-10 t/ha.	400	2,4	60
	3	Natural or artificial pastures and meadows, consisting of dense grasses, with senescent or dead tissue, over one metre in height. This is the typical pattern of savannahs and wetlands with a warm-temperate climate. Unharvested cereal fields are representative of this pattern. Fires occurring in this pattern are the most violent in the grassland group. Amount of fuel 4-6 t/ha.	1900	4,9	150
Shrublands	4	Very dense young bush or planting, two metres or more in height. Dead branches inside contribute significantly to increasing the intensity of the flames. The fire spreads through the crowns. Amount of fuel 25-35 t/ha.	4400	4,9	150
	5	Dense, green scrub, less than one metre high; fire spread is mainly supported by the litter and herbaceous layer present. Amount of fuel 5-8 t/ha.	250	1,8	30

Group	Model	Description	Intensity KJ/(ms)	Height of flame (m)	Speed max m/min
	6	Similar to model 5 but consisting of more flammable species. The fire is supported by the shrub layer but requires moderate to strong winds. A wide range of low scrub situations can be represented with this model. Amount of fuel 10-15 t/ha.	500	2,7	20
	7	Scrub consisting of very flammable species that make up the shrubby lower floor of coniferous forests, varying in height between 0.5 and 2 m. Amount of fuel 10-15 t/ha.	330	2,1	40
Forest litter	8	Dense forest, devoid of shrubby undergrowth. Fire propagation supported by compact litter consisting of needles or small leaves. The undergrowth of dense Scots pine or holm oak forests are representative examples. Amount of fuel 10-12 t/ha.	20	0,6	8
	9	Dense woodland without shrubby undergrowth but with less compact litter than model 8, consisting of conifers with long, stiff needles or broadleaf trees with large leaves. Representative examples are the undergrowth of maritime pine or chestnut forests. Amount of fuel 7-9 t/ha.	160	1,5	30

Table 8. Fuel Model According to The Fire Behaviour Standard

Model	Average height m	Biomass burnable t/Ha	Dead (D) Alive (A)	Intensity KJ/(ms)	Flame height m	Max. speed m/min
M1	0,3	1 – 2	D	250	1,8	150
M2	0,45	5 – 10	D + A	400	2,4	60
M3	>1	4 – 6	D	1900	4,9	150
M4	>2	25 – 30	D + A	4400	7,6	125
M5	<1	5 – 8	D + A	250	1,8	30
M6	<1	10 – 15	D	500	2,7	20
M7	0,5 – 2	10 – 15	D + A	330	2,1	40
M8	Lettiera	10 – 12	D	20	0,6	8
M9	Lettiera	7 – 9	D	160	1,5	30
M10	Lettiera	30 – 35	D + A	330	2	20
M11	Detriti	50	D	250	1,8	20
M12	Detriti	80	D	1500	4	20
M13	Detriti	150	D	2400	5,5	50

This intersection of data shows that the fuel models characterised by a high linear Intensity value (model 3 and model 4) are natural or artificial pastures and meadows, consisting of dense grasses, with senescent or dead tissue, over one metre in height (Intensity of 1900 KJ/(ms)) and areas of very dense young scrub or plantation, two metres or more in height (Intensity of 4400 KJ/(ms)) that develop flame heights of 4.9 to 7.6 metres.

The fuel pattern map of the Gargano National Park, drawn up as part of the MATM fire project, provides an estimate of fire behaviour especially over large areas. This map was elaborated by extrapolating the land cover classes on the basis of the vegetation present (starting from the CLC 2000 cartography) and to each one was associated the relative fuel model according to the Fire Behavior standard, which, as mentioned above, defines the models classified into four main groups: grasslands, shrublands, forest litter and residues of forest use; the latter not considered for the Gargano.

This is a distribution map of fuel patterns, which provides an estimate of fire behaviour based on the description of the layer of vegetation closest to the ground that can be traversed by the fire.
Knowledge of the fuel models makes it possible to direct active control and preventive silviculture interventions, since knowing the fuel models makes it possible to estimate the expected fire behaviour.

4.2 Tepilora Park - Italy

4.2.1 Location/ Administrative

The Regional Natural Park of Tepilora is a Regional Park established in 2014 (pursuant to Regional Law No. 21 of 24 October 2014). It is managed by the Regional Natural Park Authority of Tepilora. The park is entirely part of the region of Sardinia, province of Nuoro and covers an area of about 7877 hectares. It includes in part, 4 municipalities: Bitti, Lodè, Posada and Torpè. The following map (**Figure 14**) shows the map of the Tepilora Regional Natural Park.



Figure 14. Map of the Tepilora Regional Natural Park.

Located in the north-west of Sardinia, the Tepilora Regional Natural Park includes a vast territory that insists on four municipalities: Torpè, Posada, Lodè and Bitti. The park extends from the Tepilora forest to the mouth of the Rio Posada; its fulcrum is Mount Tepilora (m.528 s.l.m.), a rocky tip with a triangular profile that stands out in the densely wooded area of Littos and Crastazza and looks towards Lake Posada. Once intended for grazing and cutting wood, in the 1980s the area was afforested for 16% of the total and was equipped for hiking and fire protection, becoming a nature reserve.

In the territory of the municipality of Bitti fall the state forests of Crastazza-Tepilora and Sos Littos-sas tumbas owned by the Autonomous Region of Sardinia and managed by the regional agency FORESTAS. In the territory of the municipality of Lodè falls the territory bordered by the forest yard of Sant'anna, owned by the municipality of Lodè and managed by the regional agency FORESTAS. In the territory of the municipality of Torpè falls the territory bordered by the forest yard of Usinavà state-owned and managed by the regional agency FORESTAS.

The practice of establishing the Park was started in 2005 at the impulse of the Municipality of Bitti, in agreement with the Sardinia Region, the Forestry Authority of Sardinia and the Province of Nuoro with the aim of protecting the natural resources of the area and encouraging the sustainable development of the territory. Today the Park, entirely passable, also thanks to its mild winters is an ideal destination for tourism in contact with nature even in low season, between breathtaking views, fresh spring waters and florofaunistic typicality: vigorous lyceums, strawberry trees, junipers, corks are the habitat of animal species typical of the Mediterranean scrub, such as the Sardinian hare, wild boar, fox; there are also donkeys and mouflons and, near the Tepilora tip, with a little luck it is possible to spot specimens of golden eagle. There is no shortage of cultural attractions, linked to a rich historical-archaeological heritage, ancient traditions, crafts and food and wine.

4.2.2 Geomorphology

Paleozoic intrusive granite-related rocks generally make up the basic rocky apparatus on which the area insists. The most significant morphological aspect is given by greenhouses, a characteristic succession of conical ridges reminiscent of the teeth of a saw. Particular are also the tafoni that is the concaveities and recesses (small and large) present in the rock or boulders. These recesses, of different shapes and sizes, sometimes model themselves as gigantic sculptures, and take on bizarre shapes that somehow recall silhouettes of animals or birds of prey. The stems, largely shallow or medium-deep, are permeable and have marked characteristics of subacidal to acid reaction erodibility.

The area where the Park extends is characterized by a system of low mountains with irregular morphology, marked by deep valleys. From an altimetric point of view it ranges from 68 m above sea level .m Rio Posada to 979 m of Nodu Pedra Orteddu. The whole territory of the Park is rich in natural springs: some clearly visible along the roads and paths and therefore usable to visitors, others, now disappeared in the thick forest vegetation, remain alive only in the historical memory of the inhabitants of the area. Many of the waterways present, despite the prevailing torrential regime, manage with their reach to sufficiently withstand the fish fauna.

The Posada River and the Rio Santa Caterina, together with other smaller waterways, feed the ponds that develop parallel to the strip of coastal dunes that characterize the Posada coastline. With the abundant transport of debris, the Posada River formed deposits of considerable thickness and built the coastal plain where the river digresses and forks.

4.2.3 Geology

From a geological point of view in the area emerge essentially rocks of the crystalline basement varisic and late-varisic (Paleozoic) and sediments of the Quaternary. The crystalline basement consists of metamorphic rocks of high and medium metamorphic degree connected with the varisic continetal collision event, which are in turn intruded by granitoid rocks linked to a long ensialic relaxation phase.

The high-grade metamorphic complex is represented by migmatites and eclogite lenses. The medium-grade metamorphic complex consists of micaschists (partly transformed into phyllonites) with amphibolites and paragneiss, original magmatic rocks that have produced ortogneiss and gneiss occhiadini, and rare strips of marble deriving from carbonate rocks.

The late variscan intrusive complex is formed by plutonic rocks distinguished into two intrusive units, in turn subdivided into five litofacies. The intrusive unit of Sos Canales is characterized by the distinctly peralluminous character of all lithofacies; cordierite granites and muscovite (Sos Sonorcolos facies), garnet leucogranites (Loelle facies), garnet leucogranites and muscovite (Punta Tepilora facies) have been distinguished. The intrusive unit of Monte Nieddu includes garnet and muscovite leucogranites (Concas facies), biotitic leucogranites (Monte Nieddu facies).

Associated with late-orogenic magmatism are the intrusions of Philonian bodies, which in the park area cross the granitoids and have prevailing directions of about E-W. The Late Varisan Philonian Procession includes acid veins, quartz veins and basaltic veins.

The Quaternary deposits fill the valley and the plain of F. Posada and discontinuously cover the previous formations. These deposits are attributed to the Late Pleistocene (Cedar Synthema) and Holocene evolution. The Cedrino syntheme is divided into Cala Luna subsynthema consisting of coastal clastic deposits (Tyrrhenian bench auct.), Abba Meica subsyntheme consisting of gravel and sand of fan and alluvial plain, and slope debris. The Holocene deposits are represented terraced alluvial deposits, slope deposits and eluvio-colluvial coltri, landslide deposits, alluvial deposits, tin deposits, beach deposits and coastal cordons. This unit includes deposits of anthropic origin.

The tectonic structuring linked to varisic orogeny originated the Paleozoic crystalline basement, according to a ductile evolution typical during the collisional orogenic phases. These phases produced several generations of tectonic folds and foliations in a medium-high grade metamorphic environment in which the primary structures were completely obliterated. In the crystalline basement of northern Sardinia were recognized the wrecks of a first deformation event of high pressure and high temperature, at the eclogitic stage typical of the collisional orogenic phases (up to a maximum of 700 ° C and 2.1 GPa), followed by a second event in the granulitic state of pressure and lower temperature. The most significant feature of this area is the Posada-Asinara Line, a fragile-ductile cutting area of regional importance, which separates the high-grade metamorphic complex from the medium-grade one, oriented around E-W. The interpretation on the tectonic meaning and evolution of this structure has changed over time, first considered a late-Paleozoic cutting area, then a varisic oceanic suture area because it separates two different metamorphic complexes and due to the presence of eclogitic amphibolites; other authors attribute instead a role linked to a late-Paleozoic transpressive tectonics, intracontinental parallel to the limits of the varisic orogen. It is currently considered a transcurrent structure with right kinematics. The same feature was reactivated as a right transcurrent fault during the Tertiary, as well as the faults present in the western sector. The most important system has E-W orientation and is evidenced by three parallel main faults, which cross the western and central area of the park.

The very morphology of the relief is clearly conditioned by these structures, as indicated by the three straight and parallel valleys of Sos Trainos, Valle del Rimedio and Riu sas Praneddas, and the clear change in slope of the slopes that can be observed to the N of Lake Posada and along the SP 67. These faults are partly dislocated by some minor NW-SE-oriented structures, and by others of NNW-SSE direction that reject both the main faults and the late-varisic veins. These fragile tectonics, both late Paleozoic and Tertiary, have also originated different fracturing systems of different orders that constitute preferential surfaces of weakness and can be reactivated in gravitational processes on the slopes.

Soil Properties

The entire territory under study has been defined by dividing it into landscape units, as indicated by the guidelines of the Sardinia Region. The area is, therefore, composed of the following units:

-Landscapes on Metamorphites (shales, arenaceous schists, clay schists, etc.) of the Paleozoic and on the relative slope deposits (unit B).
-Landscapes on intrusive rocks (granites, granodiorites, leucogranites, etc.) of the Paleozoic and on the relative slope deposits (unit C).
-Alluvial deposits of the Pliocene and Pleistocene and cemented aeolian sandstones of the Pleistocene (unit I).
-Recent and current alluvial sediments and slope deposits derived from substrates consisting of marl and volcanic tuffs (L unit).
-Holocene wind sands (unit M).
-Coastal sediments (swamps, coastal lagoons, etc.) of the Holocene (N unit).

For each of the landscape units identified, the main types of soil have been defined also through direct surveys in the field, to characterize in detail the soils and define the limits of the land units.

4.2.4 Hydrography

Territorial framework
With resolution dated 30/10/1990 n. 45/57, the Regional Council divides the Single Regional Basin into Sub - Basins, already identified in the Plan for the Rational Use of Water Resources of Sardinia (Water Plan) drawn up in 1987. The entire territory of Sardinia is divided into seven sub-basins, each of which is characterized by general geomorphological, geographical, hydrological homogeneities but also by strong differences in territorial extension. The area is limited to within the Hydrographic Basin n. 5 - Posada Cedrino, only a small portion to the north falls within the Hydrographic Basin n. 4 - Liscia. The following map (**Figure 15**) shows the area of Basin n. 5 - Posada Cedrino in The Park Area.



Figure 15. The Area of Basin n. 5 - Posada Cedrino in The Park Area

The main watercourse of the basin is the Posada River, divided into two main stretches: the first between the confluence with the riu Mannu and the Posada Lake and the second between the Maccheronis dam and the outlet to the sea. The secondary watercourses (up to the order of Strahler n. 3), inside the catchment area and therefore to the Park area, are represented in the following image and listed below by attributing to them the toponyms indicated in the graph of the hydrographic network of Sardinia approved by Resolution of the C.I. n. 3 of 30.07.2015: Riu Santa Caterina, Riu Mannu, Riu Lacc'umbresu, Riu Sas Praneddas, Fiume 10652, Fiume 102368, Fiume 87036, Riu Nieddu, Fosso Giorgia, Riu Solianu, Riu S'Astore, Riu Sa Sumedda, Riu Calistru, Fiume 87003.

The remaining part of the territory is crossed by the Posada River, which rises on the slopes of the tip of Senalonga, in the municipal territory of Alà dei Sardi, and flows into the Iscraios beach, in the municipality of Posada, separating into two branches and constituting the Stagno Longo. The river of Posada, which crosses all four municipalities of the Tepilora Park, has a basin of 675 km^2, is bordered to the west and north by the mountains of Bitti and the mountains of Alà dei Sardi and to the south by Monte Albo and to the east by the sea. The main hydrographic network within the park is shown in **Figure 16**.



Figure 16. Main hydrographic network within the park.

4.2.5 Ecological Valence

The Park is characterized by different environments that, for the purpose of describing the vegetal landscape, can be aggregated into three large macrosystems (see **Figure 17**):

a. Macrosystem of the margins of the Bitti, Alà and Buddusò plateau.

The macro-system is characterized by the plateau and the granite hills, bordered by the narrow-recessed valleys of the tributaries of the Rio Posada; inside there are the forest complexes of Crastazza, Sos Littos and Usinavà, where the dominant vegetation is holm oak (Forest Complex "Oasi di Tepilora" - PFP 2014-2023). In the Forest of Sos Littos, there is mainly a mesophilic holm oak vegetation (*Quercus ilex*), pure or with sporadic penetration of cork oak (*Quercus suber*). In the cooler and more humid areas there are more advanced structures with almost exclusive dominance of holm oak with phyllyrea (*Phillyrea latifolia*). In the slopes with warm exposure, species with heliophilous and xerothermophilic temperament predominate: the mesophilic holm oak scrub hosts heliophilous species, such as juniper (Juniperus sp.pl.), strawberry tree (Arbutus *unedo*), tree heather (*Erica arborea*) and other species typical of the thermoxerophilous scrub such as mastic (*Pistacia lentiscus*), olive (*Olea europaea var. sylvestris*) and myrtle (M*yrtus communis*).

Cork formations are, for the most part, of secondary origin and not very widespread. Holm oak and cork oak are attributable to the sub-alliance Clematido cirrhosae-Quercenion ilicis. The regressive stages of holm oak are mainly represented by the strawberry tree scrub and arboreal heather with phillyrea angustifolia (*Phillyrea angustifolia*), spiny spartium (*Calicotome sp.pl.*) and myrtle and mastic. In situations of greater degradation there are cyst phytocoenoses (*Cistus sp.pl.*), lavender (*Lavandula stoechas*), helichrysum (*Helichrysum italicum ssp. micr*ophyllum), and *Stachys glutinosa*. More sporadic and localized in the rockiest aspects are the garrigues with Corsican broom (*Genista corsica*).

Near Crastazza and in the complex of Usinavà we find mainly reforestation of conifers (*Pinus sp.pl.*). In the other areas the absence of grazing and fires has allowed the development of a scrub of heather and strawberry tree, and small strips of holm oak and heath cysts with the presence of cork oak (*Quercus suber*). On vast areas the anthropic intervention has determined the presence of degradation phenomena and the subsequent settlement of xerophilous plant species, with the appearance of formations first of garrigue or heath and subsequently of Mediterranean scrub with strawberry tree, mastic, juniper, alaterno (*Rhamnus alaternus*), heather, myrtle, phillyrea, olive (*Olea europaea var. sylvestris*), lavender (*Lavandula stoechas*), thorny brooms (*Genista corsica*), as well as cyst heaths.

b. Middle and lower course of the Rio Posada.

Along the river prevails the azonal vegetation typical of watercourses, riverbeds, temporary Mediterranean pools and lake environments, near the basin of the Maccheronis Dam and the presence of some artificial lakes deriving from quarry activities. The main tree species are represented by willows (*Salix spp*) and tamarisk formations *(Tamarix africana*) and oleanders (*Nerium oleander*). There are chaste trees (*Vitex agnus-castus*), black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*), black poplars (*Populus nigra*) and, rarely, white poplars (*Populus alba*). Among the trees, along the banks and / or in the bars and limited to some stretches, it is important to underline that there are populations of eucalyptus trees that show signs of invasiveness. Along the watercourse, there is an aquatic vegetation consisting of helophytes and referable to the class *Phragmito-Magnocaricetea* and *Potamogetonetaea*.

c. Macrosystem of the coastal plain and coastal habitats.

The contribution of continental and marine waters in the coastal plain allows, in a relatively small area, the presence of different plant formations: large extensions of reeds in Phragmites australis and valuable riparian formations in *Tamarix* and *Salix* along the banks of the Rio Posada and the Rio Santa Caterina, while, in the terminal sections, closer to the sandy cordon, and in the Stagno Longo, halophytic formations prevail with salicornie *(Sarcocornia spp*.), sueda (*Sueda sp*.) and obione (*Obione portulacoides*); In areas subject to grazing, grass meadows and annual plants are found, partly subject to temporary flooding, which are configured as Mediterranean steppe habitats. In the small internal ponds, there are riparian formations in *Tamarix sp.* and *Typha angustifolia* (RIS, 2017).

Of particular interest is the halophilic vegetation dominated by succulent Chenopodiaceae, with large halophyte meadows in the coastal portions and some small ponds in the inner part that are often flooded even in the summer months as they are fed by the superficial aquifer. The sand dunes host a mosaic of types of vegetation of interest (see habitat section), some present as small flaps, others more represented (e.g., *helichrysum psammophilous meadows*).



Figure 17. The environmental macrosystems of the Tepilora Park.

4.2.6 Land use

Below is the breakdown of the park territory in the different types of land use identified (see **Figure 18**):

a. Agricultural land

Arable crops

- Arable land in non-irrigated areas (699.74 hectares): Cultivated areas regularly ploughed and generally subject to a rotation system (e.g., cereals, legumes in the open field, crops, temporary meadows, herbaceous industrial crops, edible roots, and fallows). Channels or pumping structures are not identified by photointerpretation. This includes simple arable land, including plants to produce medicinal, aromatic, and culinary plants.
- Simple arable land and open field horticultural crops (101.05 hectares).
- Greenhouse crops (1.79 hectares).

Permanent Crops

Non-rotational crops that provide more crops and occupy the ground for a long period of time: these are mostly woody crops.

- Vineyards (23.12 hectares): areas planted with vines, including mixed cultivation of olive trees and vines, with a prevalence of vines.
- Orchards and minor fruits (98.72 hectares): planting of fruit trees or shrubs. Pure or mixed crops of fruit producing species or fruit trees in association with permanently grassed surfaces. They are mainly represented by citrus groves.
- Olive groves (10.79 hectares): Areas planted with olive trees, including mixed cultivation of olive trees and vines, with a prevalence of olive trees.

Heterogeneous agricultural areas

- Temporary crops associated with other permanent crops (15.47 hectares): pastures and arable land planted with cork cover from 5 to 25%.
- Complex cropping and parcel systems (91.18 hectares): mosaic of individually unchartable plots with various temporary crops, stable grassland, and permanent crops each occupying less than 50% of the area of the mapped element. They include family gardens.
- Areas mainly occupied by agricultural crops with the presence of important natural spaces (36.43 hectares).
- Agroforestry areas (312.73 hectares): Temporary crops or pastures under tree cover of forest species less than 20%.

b. Wooded areas and semi-natural environments

Wooded areas are the areas with tree cover consisting of forest species with a density of more than 20%.

- Deciduous forests (1665.69 hectares): plant formations consisting mainly of trees, but also of bushes and shrubs, in which deciduous forest species dominate.
- Coniferous forests (497.04 hectares): plant formations consisting mainly of trees, but also of bushes and shrubs, in which coniferous forest species dominate. The coniferous area constitutes at least 75% of the forest tree component.
- Poplars, willows, eucalyptus, etc. Also, in mixed formations (99.58 hectares).
- Arboriculture with coniferous forest species (1821.09 hectares). They are areas planted with trees of forest species mostly fast-growing to produce wood or intended for different productions, but subject to agricultural cultivation operations.
- Mixed coniferous and deciduous forests (376.18 hectares).
- Natural pasture areas (423.66 hectares): forage areas located in less productive areas sometimes with rock outcrops that cannot be converted to arable land. They are often located in rugged and/or mountainous areas. Particle boundaries (hedges, walls, fences) may also be present to circumscribe and localize their use.
- Non-arboreal ripa formations (41.78 hectares): stable formations composed mainly of bushes, shrubs and herbaceous plants located in wetlands.
- Mediterranean scrub (4441.50 hectares): dense plant associations composed of numerous shrub species, but also trees mainly with persistent leaves, in the Mediterranean environment.
- Garrigue (171.81 hectares): low and discontinuous bushy associations on calcareous or siliceous substrate. They are often composed of lavender, cysts, thyme, rosemary etc. It may include isolated trees.
- Areas with artificial recolonization (1.58 hectares): areas where interventions and preparatory works are evident for the plants such as tiering, holes, etc. even if sometimes, currently, spontaneous vegetation may have taken over the planted species.
- Areas with sparse vegetation (408.07 hectares): outcrops with vegetation cover > 5% and < 40%. It includes xerophilous steppes, halophilic steppes, and gully areas with partial vegetation cover.
- Stretches of sand (18.59 hectares): expanses of sand and pebbles of continental environments, including stony beds of torrential watercourses.
- Beaches over 25 meters wide (31.36 hectares).

c. Artificially modeled territories

- Compact and dense residential fabric (0.46 hectares): historical, twentieth-century fabrics and in any case, those structured in closed, continuous blocks. The fabrics are composed of buildings and cottages with open spaces interspersed with buildings.
- Sparse residential fabric (3.22 hectares): discontinuous urban areas with large open spaces where buildings, roads and artificially covered surfaces cover more than 50% of the total area.
- Sparse and nucleiform residential fabric (1.73 hectares): areas occupied by distinct residential buildings but grouped into nuclei that form widespread settlement areas of an extensive nature.
- Rural buildings (25.98 hectares): areas occupied by rural buildings, agricultural buildings, and their appurtenances – stables, warehouses, dairies, wineries, oil mills, etc., forming settlement areas dispersed in semi-natural or agricultural areas.
- Mining areas (23.88 hectares): extraction of inert materials in the open pit, also in the riverbed (sand, gravel, and stone quarries) or other materials (open-cast mines). This includes associated buildings and industrial installations as well as areas pertaining to abandoned and unrecovered quarries or mines.
- Construction sites (11.45 hectares): spaces under construction, excavations, and remodeled soils.
- Landfills (0.33 hectares).

d. Water bodies

- Watercourses, canals, and waterways (242.80 hectares): natural or artificial waterways that serve for the outflow of water – rivers, streams, and ditches.
- Reservoirs (231.32 hectares): artificial areas covered by water, whether intended for agricultural or fishing use.
- Inland marshes (0.71 hectares). They are lowlands generally flooded in winter and occasionally soaked in water during all seasons, sometimes with vegetation cover consisting of reeds, rushes, and sedges.
- Brackish marshes (152.27 hectares) They are lowlands with vegetation, located below the high tide level, therefore susceptible to flooding by the waters of the sea. Often in the process of filling, colonized little by little by halophilic plants.
- Lagoons, lakes, and coastal ponds with natural fish production (78.52 hectares).
- Estuaries and deltas (11.83 hectares).



Figure 18. Land Use Map of Tepilora Park

The units of land describe portions of territory within which it is possible to insert an association of different soils, united by homogeneous physical parameters, such as lithological substrate, vegetation cover, land use, altitude, slope, type and intensity of erosion. Within each unit there are sufficiently homogeneous substrates both for natural aptitudes and in the responses to the uses to which these areas are subjected.

Landscapes on metamorphites (shales, shales, clay schists, etc.) of the Paleozoic and

relative slope deposits (2050.55 hectares)

The landscape on metamorphites is distinguished by different shapes and characteristics, depending on the slope and the vegetation cover, which also determines different thicknesses of the substrate. On the top of the hills, on the slopes and in the valley floors there are portions of territory where the outcropping rock is covered with a layer of soils with weak thickness and rich in skeleton. Where vegetation grows more continuously, even if in the presence of a rugged substrate or steep slopes, the soils are deeper and with more developed horizons.

Predominant soils: Typic and Lithic Xerorthents, Typic and Lithic Haploxerepts.

Main characteristics of the soils: shallow soils, variable texture from loam-sandy to loam-sandy-clayey, angular and subangular polyhedral structure, soil from permeable to little

permeable, moderate to high erodibility depending on the slope, poor organic matter, medium-low cation exchange capacity.

Limitations of use: moderate to high risk of erosion, often excess skeleton.

Attitude: grazing, reforestation or protection of natural vegetation cover. Limited to some areas tree crops.

Landscapes on intrusive rocks (granites, granodiorites, leucogranites etc.) of the Paleozoic and related slope deposits (8604.06 hectares)

The landscape on intrusive rocks is distinguished by different shapes and characteristics, depending on the slope and vegetation cover, which also determines different thicknesses of the substrate. The soils found on granite rocks show an evolutionary pattern quite similar to those present on metamorphites.

Predominant soils: Typic and Lithic Xerorthents, Typic and Lithic Haploxerepts.

Main characteristics of the soils: shallow depth, variable texture from loam-sandy to loam-sandy-clayey, angular and subangular polyhedral structure, permeable to slightly permeable soil, moderate to high erodibility depending on the slope, poor organic matter, medium-low cation exchange capacity.

Limitations of use: moderate to high risk of erosion, often excess skeleton.

Attitude: grazing, reforestation or protection of natural vegetation cover. Limited

to some areas tree crops.

Alluvial deposits of the Pliocene and Pleistocene and cemented aeolian sandstones of the Pleistocene (174.70 hectares)

These are landscapes characterized by soils set both on ancient Quaternary substrates and on coastal plains and which generally have a strong evolution, with the formation of profiles A-Bt-C and A-Bw-C. Despite having different geolithological matrix, they can be merged with regard to use. They derive from floods and aeolian sandstones of the Pleistocene and as landscape forms lie on areas from flat to sub-flat. The current use is mainly agricultural.

Predominant soils: Typic Haploxerepts, Typic Palexeralfs.

Main characteristics of the soils: deep soils, variable texture from loam-sandy to loam-

sandy-clayey on the surface and from loam-sandy-clayey to clayey in depth, angular and subangular polyhedral structure, permeable to slightly permeable soil, moderate erodibility, scarce organic substance, medium-low cation exchange capacity.

Limitations of use: slow to very slow drainage, moderate risk of erosion, sometimes excess

of skeleton.

Attitude: herbaceous crops and, in the most drained areas, arboreal crops also irrigated.

Recent and current alluvial sediments and slope deposits derived from substrates consisting of marl and volcanic tuffs (848.94 hectares)

The soils of the L1 landscape unit are found on flat or slightly depressed morphologies. The soils have profiles A-C and subordinately A-Bw-C, they are deep, with texture from sandy franca to clayey loam, from permeable to little permeable, neutral, saturated.

They are entities classified as Typic Xerofluvents; At times they have limitations (excess skeleton, slow drainage, flood danger) that reduce the choice of crops and require special practices for the conservation of soil and production potential. The good pedo-agronomic characteristics of these substrates are mainly limited by problems related to situations of fine texture and therefore to any water stagnation also possible due to rising groundwater or flooding.

Holocene wind sands (28.39 hectares)

The soils of the M1 Landscape Unit are found morphologically in the dune field areas. They are found in open areas with sparse and absent vegetation.

The soils have profiles A-C and subordinately A-Bw-C, deep, sandy to sandy frank, from permeable to very permeable, sometimes not very permeable in depth, from neutral to subalkaline, saturated. They are classified as Typic Xeropsamments, Aquic Xeropsamments, Typic Xerorthents subordinately Xerochrepts, Quartzipsamments Fluvaquents. Main characteristics of soils: deep soils, clayey or clayey-silty texture, massive or columnar structure, poorly permeable soil with poor erodibility, poor-medium organic substance cation exchange capacity.

Limitations of use: slow drainage, high salinity, flood hazard.

Attitude: conservation of the natural environment.

Coastal sediments (marshes, coastal lagoons, etc.) of the Holocene (213.34 hectares)

The soils of the N1 Landscape Unit are found morphologically in flat or depressed areas. They are found in open areas with sparse and absent vegetation.

The soils of the peristagnal areas are characterized by a high percentage in soluble salts. These soils, with a predominance of Typic Salorthids and subordinately Fluvaquents, of light gray color, have a very slow or absent drainage, and often have accumulations of salt (sodium chloride and calcium bicarbonate) which in the dry period are found in the form of pockets or lenses. The excessive salt concentration and the almost absent drainage make them unsuitable for agricultural use, and are instead colonized by halophilic vegetation.

The soils have A-C profiles, deep, clayey or loamy loamy, little permeable, from subalkaline to alkaline, saturated.They are classified as Typic Salorthids, subordinately Fluvaquents. The limitations of use: slow drainage, high salinity, flood hazard. The attitude: conservation of the natural environment.



Figure 19. Map of land units

4.2.7 Climate

a. Crastazza

The climatic description of this area is compared through the analysis of precipitation and temperatures in their most significant parameters taken from the Alà Dei Sardi observatory. The data are quite reliable because they are taken in an observatory of the plateau and therefore very similar to bitti station. Climatic phenomena will be analyzed by examining precipitation and thermal data in their annual and seasonal values. The thermal and rainfall data are compared with each other through the Wolth and Leith diagram to immediately render the main climatic characteristics of the station. The average annual precipitation for 42 years of observation is mm. 1,079. The average seasonal precipitation is Inv. 415, Prim. 276, East. 54, Aut. 334; rainy days 79 (source – P.V. Arrigoni-Phytoclimatology of Sardinia). A maximum of winter precipitation and a minimum during the summer period are immediately noticeable. Through the seasonal relative rainfall coefficients, a rain regime winter-autumn-spring-summer (IAPE) is determined, which is the most common for the island. It was also intended to calculate the Fournier index, the value of which (25.8) expresses a considerable eroded capacity of the climate, contributing greatly to the pedological degradation, accentuated in the case of the Bitti Forest station by the previous poor protection offered by vegetation, slope and soil deriving from a granite substrate, rather loose. The thermal character of the station emerges from the following data: - Average annual max 18.2 °C - Average annual min 7.7 °C - Annual average 12.9 °C - Average maximum warmer month (July) 29.7 °C - Average min colder month (January) 1.1.6 °C - Average of the hottest month 22.8 °C - Average of the coldest month 3.9 °C - Average annual lows 4.6 °C - Average annual highs 36.3 °C - Annual thermal excursion 18.9 °C These values , in particular the annual average (12.9 °C) the average of the coldest month (3.9 °C) and the average of the annual minimums (- 4.6 °C), supplemented by the rainfall values for the annual precipitation (1,079 mm.) and summer (54 mm.) frame the station under examination in the lauretum-cold undersea area of the phytoclimatic classification of the Pavari. The examination of the Wolth and Leith climatogram shows a physiologically significant drought of about 72-80 which, while not taking pathological aspects on vegetation, causes a sharp slowdown in vegetative activity resulting in a lower biomass production of the ecosystem.

b. Tepilora

The climate of this area is characterized by high spring-summer temperatures and irregularity of precipitation so, also considering the building characteristics, the conditions are not ideal for a rapid recovery and reintegration of the tree cover. Also, in the Tepilora area there is no thermo-rain station, so, for the purpose of phytoclimatic classification, reference was made to the nearby thermo-violent station of Torpè. Precipitation is variable from one year to the next, so rainy years alternate with extremely dry years with dry periods that last for over five to six months. From the phytoclimatic point of view the area can be ascribed to the area of the Lauretum hot and medium subarea.

4.2.8 Demographic

In the 4 municipalities that make up the park (Bitti, Lodè Posada, Torpè) live about 10000 people. From a demographic point of view there has been a progressive depopulation of inland areas (Bitti, Lodè) for decades, while in coastal areas there has been a slight increase in the resident population (Posada, Torpè)

The population is divided as of December 31, 2021:
Municipality of Bitti: 2610 residents;
Municipality of Lodè: 1529 residents;
Municipality of Posada: 3020 residents;

Municipality of Torpè: 2720 residents;

The production activities in the territory are divided as follows:
In the municipality of Bitti are active in February 2021:
• 549 production activities;
• 337 (61%) are productive activities related to agriculture, forestry and fishing;
• 68 (12%) are wholesale and retail trade, and repair of motor vehicles;
• 38 (7%) are activities related to the construction sector;
• 31 (6%) are manufacturing activities;
• 21 (4%) accommodation and food service activities.

In the municipality of Lodè are active, in February 2021:
• 153 production activities;
• 85 (56%) are productive activities related to agriculture, forestry and fishing;
• 25 (16%) are wholesale and retail trade, and repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles;
• 16 (10%) are activities related to the construction sector;
• 12 (8%) accommodation and food service activities;

In the municipality of Torpè are active, in February 2021:
• 324 production activities;
• 85 (26%) are activities related to the construction sector;
• 83 (25%) are productive activities related to agriculture, forestry and fishing;
• 78 (24%) are wholesale and retail trade and repair of motor vehicles;
• 28 (9%) manufacturing;
• 22 (7%) accommodation and food service activities;

In the municipality of Posada are active in February 2021:
• 238 production activities;
• 58 (24%) are wholesale and retail trade, and repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles;
• 54 (23%) are activities related to the construction sector;
• 48 (20%) accommodation and food service activities;
• 45 (19%) are productive activities related to agriculture, forestry and fishing;
• 11 (5%) are manufacturing activities;

4.2.9 Forest Ownership

There are several public properties in the Tepilora Park, which see the Regione Sardegna as the main public entity owner of assets in the three state property of Sos Littos, Crastazza and Usinavà, especially if we consider that the assets currently still registered to the FoReSTAS Agency will fall within the regional state property. The municipalities of Lodè, Torpè and Posada, the State Property for water, but also the Tepilora Park for the property of the Longu Pond are also important public entities holding assets in the Park.



Figure 20. Forest ownership

4.2.10 Flora

In the Forest of Sos Littos, there is mainly a mesophilic holm oak vegetation (*Quercus ilex*), pure or with sporadic penetration of cork oak (*Quercus suber*). In the cooler and more humid areas there are more advanced structures with almost exclusive dominance of holm oak with phyllyrea (*Phillyrea latifolia)*. In the slopes with warm exposure, species with heliophilous and xerothermophilic temperament predominate: the mesophilic holm oak scrub hosts heliophilous species, such as juniper (*Juniperus sp.pl*.), strawberry tree (*Arbutus unedo)*, tree heather (*Erica arborea*) and other species typical of the thermoxerophilous scrub such as mastic (*Pistacia lentiscus*), olive (*Olea europaea var. sylvestris*) and myrtle (*Myrtus communis*).

Cork formations are, for the most part, of secondary origin and not very widespread. Holm oak and cork oak are attributable to the sub-alliance Clematido cirrhosae-Quercenion ilicis. The regressive stages of holm oak are mainly represented by the strawberry tree scrub and arboreal heather with phillyrea angustifolia (*Phillyrea angustifolia*), spiny spartium (*Calicotome sp.pl*.) and myrtle and mastic. In situations of greater degradation there are cyst phytocoenoses (*Cistus sp.pl.*), lavender (*Lavandula stoechas*), helichrysum (*Helichrysum italicum ssp. microphyllum*), and Stachys glutinosa. More sporadic and localized in the rockiest aspects are the garrigues with Corsican broom (*Genista corsica*).

Near Crastazza and in the complex of Usinavà we find mainly reforestation of conifers (*Pinus sp.pl.*). In the other areas the absence of grazing and fires has allowed the development of a scrub of heather and strawberry tree, and small strips of holm oak and heath cysts with the presence of cork oak (*Quercus suber*). On vast areas the anthropic intervention has determined the presence of degradation phenomena and the subsequent settlement of xerophilous plant species, with the appearance of formations first of garrigue or heath and subsequently of Mediterranean scrub with strawberry tree, mastic, juniper, alaterno (*Rhamnus alaternus*), heather, myrtle, phillyrea, olive (*Olea europaea var. sylvestris*), lavender (*Lavandula stoechas*), thorny brooms (*Genista corsica*), as well as cyst heaths.

Along the river prevails the azonal vegetation typical of watercourses, riverbeds, temporary Mediterranean pools and lake environments, near the basin of the Maccheronis Dam and the presence of some artificial lakes deriving from quarry activities. The main tree species are represented by willows (*Salix spp*) and tamarisk formations (*Tamarix africana*) and oleanders (*Nerium oleander*). There is chaste tree (*Vitex agnus-castus*), black alder (*Alnus glutinosa*), black poplar (*Populus nigra*) and, rarely, white poplar (*Populus alba*). Among the trees, along the banks and / or in the bars and limited to some stretches, it is important to underline that there are populations of eucalyptus trees that show signs of invasiveness. Along the watercourse, there is an aquatic vegetation consisting of helophytes and referable to the class Phragmito-Magnocaricetea and Potamogetonetaea.

The contribution of continental and marine waters in the coastal plain allows, in a relatively small area, the presence of different plant formations: large extensions of reeds in Phragmites australis and valuable riparian formations in Tamarix and Salix along the banks of the Rio Posada and the Rio Santa Caterina, while, in the terminal sections, closer to the sandy cordon, and in the Stagno Longo, halophytic formations prevail with salicornie (S*arcocornia spp*.), sueda (*Sueda sp.*) and obione (O*bione portulacoides*); In areas subject to grazing, grass meadows and annual plants are found, partly subject to temporary flooding, which are configured as Mediterranean steppe habitats. In the small internal ponds, there are riparian formations in Tamarix sp. and Typha angustifolia (RIS, 2017).

Of particular interest is the halophilic vegetation dominated by succulent Chenopodiaceae, with large halophyte meadows in the coastal portions and some small ponds in the inner part that are often flooded even in the summer months as they are fed by the superficial aquifer. The sand dunes host a mosaic of types of vegetation of interest (see habitat section), some present as small flaps, others more represented (e.g., *helichrysum psammophilous* meadows).

4.2.11 Fauna

The Park area has been divided into four macro-systems within which the possibility of finding the different species has been indicated, based on the environmental characteristics of the system and the ecological needs of the species.

a. Macrosystem of the margins of the Bitti, Alà and Buddusò plateau

The macro-system, characterized by a high naturalness, consists of plateau and granite reliefs, within which extend the forest complexes of Crastazza, Sos Littos and Usinavà. The area represents a site of valuable wildlife value and is mainly associated with the presence of macro mammals such as wild cats (180), martens (183) and mouflon (186), species included in Annexes IV, III and II-IV of the Habitats Directive, respectively. The Sardinian deer (184) reported within the GIREPAM, at the moment is not present within the perimeter of the park but is present in contiguous areas is therefore not to be excluded a reoccupation of the area in the medium term. In addition, within the system was reported the presence of the fallow deer (185), extinct in Sardinia in the late 60s and reintroduced in the regional territory from the second half of the years ‟70.

Among the most important species of birds of note is the presence of nesting birds of prey (goshawk (50), sparrow hawk (51), buzzard (52), golden eagle (53), kestrel (55) and peregrine falcon (57)) and a large number of passerines, some of which are mainly linked to forest and mountain environments or to habitats located on the margins of these, consisting of open areas, with low scrub, cliffs or rocky outcrops (great spotted woodpecker (96), tottavilla (98), wren (109), robin (111), saltimpalo (115), solitary sparrow (117), collared nurse (119), bottaccio thrush (121), tordela (122), Sardinian magnanina (127), common magnanina (128), sterpazzolina (131), blackcap (133), fiorrancino (136), blackberry (137), blue (138), great (139), raven (145), Chaffinch (150), Venturone Corso (152), Lucherino (155) and Black Bunting (157)). Among the species listed, 8 are considered endemic species, (50, 51, 96, 109, 127, 137, 139 and 152) and 9 others are included in the Annexes of the Birds Directive (50, 53, 57, 98, 119, 121, 122, 127 and 128) and therefore considerable of greater attention.

Furthermore, as regards reptiles, the presence of the dwarf algyroid (23), endemic to Sardinia and Corsica and of the tarantuline (20), both present in the annexes of the Habitats Directive, has been reported. Among the most valuable invertebrates is the presence of the oak cerambyx (189), included in Annexes II and IV of Directive 43/92 / EEC and the endemic species Uromanes annae (191) and Papilio hospiton (192).

b. Half Corsican of the Posada River

The macro-system includes the stretch of the Rio Posada upstream of the Maccheronis Dam and its reservoir. The river, in this sector, is characterized by the presence of fish species characteristic of mountain stretches, with fresh and well-oxygenated waters, such as Sardinian trout (13), classified as critically endangered by the IUCN and the dog (8). Along the course, moreover, the presence of the Sardinian eupult (18) is reported, an endemic species, considered endangered by the IUCN and included in Annex IV of the Habitats Directive, to be considered also present in the mountain tributaries of the course. While, in the waters of the reservoir there is the cuttlefish (5), included in Annexes II and IV of Directive 43/92 / EEC. Within the area has been detected the presence of numerous species of birds related to freshwater environments, both river and lake, and riparian (mallard (45), moorhen (61), nightjar (88), kingfisher (92), bee-eater (93), yellow wagtail (108), river nightingale (123)), some of which are included in the Annexes of the Birds Directive.

As far as mammals are concerned, the presence of bodies of water recalls some species of bats, particularly linked to humid environments, such as the vespertilio smarginato (160), the dwarf bat (163) and the Savi's bat (164), all species included in the Annexes of the Habitats Directive. However, these do not appear, together with the other species of bats present (vespertilio maghrebino (161), bat albilobato (162), minioptera (165), plecotus sp. (166) and Cestoni molosso (167)), exclusive of the macrosystem, but can also be found in the other macrosystems.

Among the invertebrates it is reported the presence of divalve molluscs dulciacquicoli, uninionids of the genus Unio, probably attributable to the species Unio mancus, species indicated in the IUCN red lists as NT, almost threatened.

c. Lower course of the Rio Posada

The lower section of the Rio Posada is between the lower limit of the Maccheronis Dam and its reservoir and the wet system of the terminal section of the River. The most valuable and critical fish species, present both in this macro-system and in that of the wetlands, is the eel (1), classified as critically endangered by the IUCN and included in Annex II of CITES. The area is characterized by the presence of open spaces in which numerous agricultural areas fall, in particular in its easternmost limit, which are an optimal habitat for some species of birds of considerable environmental and community value (lesser kestrel (54), cuckoo hawk (56), lark (99), calandro (103), nightingale (112) and shrike (141). Finally, the presence of the aquaculture system is relevant for a large number of amphibians and reptiles (emerald toad (14), Sardinian discoglossus (15), Sardinian tree frog (16), European marsh turtle (15), snake (28), biscia (29) and viper snake (30)), all linked to the presence of water and to be considered present also in the macrosystems of the middle course of the Rio Posada and in that of the wetlands of the mouth as well as in the tributaries of the Posada present in the macrosystem of the Plateau of Bitti, Alà and Buddusò.

d. Macrosystem of mouth wetlands

The macrosystem has a high degree of naturalness and includes the terminal stretch of the Rio Posada, its alluvial plain and the sandy coastal cordon. This area is a favorable habitat for numerous species of birds, linked to the presence of wetlands, included in Annex I of the Birds Directive (bittern (33), night heron (34), squacco heron (35), egret (37), great white heron (38), purple heron (40), flamingo (42), ferruginous duck (47), marsh harrier (49), sultan chicken (62), big eye (64), knight of Italy (65), fratino (68), Sandpiper Sandpiper (73), Rosegull (76), Corsican Gull (77), Fishbill (79), Calanrella (97)), for which special conservation measures are laid down, and in Annexes II and III (teal (44), pochards (46), water rails (60), coot (63), snipe (70), marsh (71) and common gull(75).

4.2.12 History of Fires

For the analysis of the historical series of fires were considered the events referring to the period 2012-2019 elaborated by the Forestry and Environmental Surveillance Corps of the Autonomous Region of Sardinia, from which it also emerges that the fires in the Territory of the four Municipalities that make up the Tepilora Park. **Table 9** shows the detail of forest fires data in the Tepilora Park. They occur almost exclusively in the summer period (June, July, August, September). The processing of the raw data made it possible to obtain the data summarized in the table, which shows that a total of 78 fires occurred in the period under review, but their annual number is extremely variable, going from a minimum of 4 in 2018 to a maximum of 18 in 2019. The annual extension is also not constant. In 2019, because of exceptional and extensive fires, the total area burned was 595.80 hectares with an average area per fire of 33.1 hectares.

In the same period, the total area burned by the fire was 898.95 Ha, of which 58% (Ha 539.12) concerned wooded areas, while the remaining 37% (Ha 359.83) involved non-wooded areas (pastures, uncultivated land).

Table 9. Forest Fires in the Tepilora Park

	2012	**2013**	**2014**	**2015**	**2016**	**2017**	**2018**	**2019**	**Tot.**
Number of fires	6	8	10	10	10	12	4	18	78
Wooded area (Ha)	23,64	7,31	12,59	18,6	10,41	139,28	1,12	326,15	539,12
Total area (Ha)	**49,70**	**27,08**	**19,99**	**38,86**	**25,01**	**139,58**	**2,93**	**595,80**	**898,95**
Average fire area (Ha)	8,28	3,38	1,99	3,88	2,50	11,63	0,73	33,10	65,49

2016: Fire that caused the destruction of about 3 hectares of forest. The extinguishing operations were conducted by the Forestry and Environmental Surveillance Corps of the Sardinian Region, supported by Forestas Agency workers and volunteers.

4.2.13 Brief description of the fire risk

The perimeter of the park (See **Figure 21**) is delimited in the 1:20,000 cartography attached to the Regional Law No. 21 of 24/10/2014.



Figure 21. Perimeter of the Tepilora Regional Natural Park.

The following information has been taken from the Detailed Forest Plan - 2014-2023 of the Crastazza- Littos - Usinavà Forest Complex.

The following zoning aims to provide useful reference indications to define and size fire protection interventions, identifying, based on risk spatialization, the areas where a priority of prevention intervention is required.

The fire risk map (**Figure 22**) is derived from a weighted spatial superposition operation of the ignition potential map and the linear intensity map with reclassification into 4 priority levels (see legend). The zoning has highlighted the areas that present the greatest risk of fire and from the analysis of the map emerge the critical issues relating to the most sensitive areas in which it is a priority to concentrate prevention interventions.



Figure 22. Fire Risk Map

Figure 23 shows the surface distribution by fire risk class. The areas at high risk are more than 10% of the surface; it is clear from the map that the high-risk areas are concentrated above all in the southern part of Usinavà and another area of high risk is represented by the southern slopes of Punta Tepilora (falling within the Crastazza forest but the area also extends into the neighboring areas of Sos Littos). However, relatively large areas are also found in other areas of Sos Littos Sas Tumbas (in the northwest and southeast corners, in the Prammas area and along the road leading to Crastazza).

The data presented are derived from a processing model that takes into account climatic, topographical and silvicultural parameters; However, it is equally important to carefully evaluate the presence of accommodation facilities, the areas accessible to visitors (areas accessible by car and areas near the paths) and the areas with exclusive access to the staff of the FORESTAS Agency, especially in the portions of the complex qualified by a high-risk class.



Figure 23. Distribution of the surface area by fire risk class

The predisposing factors are those variables that directly affect the conditions that favor the spread of fire, i.e., type of burnable fuel (fuel models), topographical conditions (altitude, slope, exposure) and meteorological conditions (air temperature, air humidity, precipitation, wind speed and direction). The fuel model map (**Figure 24**) displays data that constitutes a fundamental input variable, which allows you to implement the static component of the simulation model to be placed in relation to the weather scenario.



Figure 24. Map of fuel models

The spatialization of the types of fuel present contributes to the definition of the risk and can be used to respond to the need to plan and allocate resources for the extinction or reconstitution of areas covered by fire. The map was made from the characteristics of the fuel present in the different types of vegetation, observed in the various survey campaigns (**Table 10**).

The classification was based on the main models described by the Northern Forest Fire Laboratory, cataloged in four main groups and 13 types in relation to the component of phytomass, dead and alive, which supports the spread of fire. The table shows the spatial distribution of fuel models within the forest complex. As can be seen, the most represented model is that relating to sub particles in which the fire spreads mainly on the green fuel of the component and shrub in formations characterized by an almost uniform horizontal continuity (40.4%). These are all the degradation formations of the evergreen holm oak evolved and stable (spots and garrigues).

Table 10. List of Fuel Models Used in Field Surveys.

Brief description of the model	Model encoding	Component that supports propagation
Low and continuous grassland	1	Herbaceous layer
Grassland with scattered trees and/or shrubs	2	
High prairie	3	
High and continuous shrubby vegetation	4	Shrub layer
vegetation low	5	
Shrubby vegetation with intermediate characters between the mod. 4 and 5	6	

Brief description of the model	Model encoding	Component that supports propagation
Vegetation characterized by very flammable species	7	
Compact litter	8	Litter
Non-compact litter	9	
Litter with undergrowth	10	
Light residues of use	11	Residues
Average residues of use	12	
Heavy residues of use	13	

Among the other categories, there are models no. 4 and 6, different from 5 for the average amount of fuel present but always concerning formations with a prevalent shrub character, which cover a total of almost 30% of the total area. Finally, there is a certain number of hectares where a fuel model can be envisaged for which the pyrological event can be triggered starting from the undecomposed litter of conifers or broad-leaved trees (model attributable to reforestation of conifers and holm oaks).

The implementation of the mathematical model of fire propagation requires, in addition to the data depicted in the map of fuel models, also the drafting of the following information layers: Tree cover (divided into 4 classes: < 20%, 20 – 50 %, 50 – 80 %, > 80 %), Slope exposure, Slope of the land, and Altitude. The meteorological and climatic factors (temperature, humidity, precipitation, wind speed and direction), necessary for the definition of the meteorological scenario, were derived from the data collected by the meteorological stations located in the geographical area of localization of the Forest and from bibliographic data valid for the entire regional territory.

4.2.14 The ignition probability map

The ignition probability map (**Figure 25**) is closely linked to the variation in the moisture content of the dead-end fuel in the different sectors of the complex, in relation to the topography and therefore to the parameters of exposure, slope and altitude.



Figure 25. Ignition Probability Map

To quantify the value of this parameter, the incidence of solar radiation, at each point of the territory, was examined, in relation to the amount of dead-end fuel present. Exposure is an element of great importance in forest fires and especially in their initial phase of ignition and immediate propagation. In the graph in **Figure 26** (Campbell Prediction System graph) you can see how fuel temperatures vary according to the time of day and slope exposure. The flammability of the fuel is closely linked to its temperature, so it is noted that for the southern and western exposures and the central hours of the day there is a greater probability of ignition because, evidently, the temperature of the fuel is higher.

The trigger risk map therefore expresses the probability that an event will develop in the presence of a determining factor which, in this hypothesis, is presumed to be uniform throughout the territory under investigation.



Figure 26. Campbell Prediction System chart.

4.2.15 The linear intensity of the fire

The fire parameters that allow to characterize the event are the following:

1. Linear intensity: heat developed in the length unit (Kw/m);
2. Flame length: (m);
3. Propagation speed: (m/min).

The linear intensity turns out to be a fundamental quantity to understand the characteristics of the fire and allows to estimate the probable effects of the fire on the vegetation and the possibilities of intervention of the firefighting teams: low values of linear intensity of the fire indicate the possibility of intervening with manual means; on the contrary.

High linear intensity values indicate scenarios that are increasingly difficult to control even by mechanical means. Below is the linear intensity ma, in which the expected values have been grouped into classes in relation to the extinction difficulty, parameterizing the 4 classes (Figure 27) based on the 3 parameters above:

a. CLASS 1: up to 400 KW/m (flame length of about 1 m), the flame front can be attached directly to the head portion and sides by manual means.
b. CLASS 2: from 400 to 800 KW/m (flame length between 1 and 2 m), the direct connection can be done only by mechanical means.
c. CLASS 3: from 800 to 1600 KW/m (flame length between 2 and 3 m), fire can only be attacked with indirect attack and by air.
d. CLASS 4: over 1600 KW/m (flame length over 3 m), the fire is difficult to control in which spotting phenomena and jumps of sparks are predictable (Bovio, 1996).



Figure 27. Map of linear fire intensity

As can be seen in **Figure 27**, the 4 classes are all represented with prevalence of class 1 and 4. It is possible to foresee a class 1 fire (400 Kw / m) with flames up to 1 meter high and therefore can be faced with the ground teams with the use of manual and mechanical tools (flabelli, tapes, atomizers) without difficulties.

There are also several areas where class 2 fire behavior can be expected. In this case it is possible to foresee fires with flames of 1-2 meters that can no longer be faced only with manual tools, but which instead require AIB vehicles (pick up, Tsk) set up with AIB modules.

The areas in which a greater linear intensity is expected (Class 3 and 4), and therefore those most sensitive from the point of view of pyrological phenomena due to the type of fire and the consequent difficulty in its extinction, can be expected especially in correspondence of bushy and open wooded areas, which could bring fires very difficult to control and repress. These areas have shrub layers, degradation formations of the evergreen holm oak evolved and stable (spots and garrigues) in which violent behavior of the fire fronts, high propagation speeds and very high flames (even above 10 meters) can be expected. In these types of fires there are frequent spotting phenomena, jumps of sparks in combustion with relative danger of multiple ignitions, doubling of the fire front and loss of control of extinguishing operations. In these situations, the ground teams must be careful and follow protocols to operate safely and heavy land vehicles with water, air vehicles and indirect attack of the front must be used.

4.3 Sterea Ellada - Central Greece

4.3.1 Location/Administrative

The SILVANUS pilot area for Greece is the island of Evia, and more specifically its northern part as well as parts from the centre of the island due to its characteristic mountainous area of Dirfys, which is the highest peak (1743m) of the island.

The island is the second in size after Crete largest island of Greece, it is in central Greece, and it belongs to the Region of Sterea Ellada. Extends along the northeastern shores of Attica, which is separated by Northen and Southern Evia Bays, which is narrowest near the central part of island. Here the island connected with the continent by a parament bridge leading directly into Chalkida town.The eastern coasts of the island are in the Aegean Sea, while the western coasts are in Evoikos gulf. In terms of geographical coordinates Evia spans from latitude of 39.00 N (northernmost part - Cape Artemision) to 37.95 N (southern part – Cape Mandili) and longitude of 22.81E (western part) to 24.59E (most eastern part). The total area of Evia Island is approximately 3.670 (3700) km^2, while the area of interest for SILVANUS is approximately 1.868 km^2 (**Figure 28**).



Figure 28. Administrative boundaries of the SILVANUS Hellenic pilot area (North Evia) and SILVANUS pilot interest area.

In terms of administrative borders, three municipalities are in the pilot area, the municipality of Istiaia-Aidipsos, the municipality of Limni-Mantoudi-Ayia Anna and the municipality of Dirfys-Messapion. The core of North Evia is the area that belongs to the municipalities of Istiaia-Aidipsos and Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas. Due to the fact that inside the borders of the municipality of Dirfys-Messapion is located the mountain of Dirfys with a significant ecological value for the whole island Evia (protected forested area with dense forest and local species of flora and fauna) with the fact that is still unburned, it was decided by the GR partners to extend the pilot area of North Evia by including the municipality of Dirfys-Messapion, especially the part of the mountain of Dirfy.

4.3.2 Geomorphology

Evia is located eastern of Sterea Ellada mainland, with an elongation in the direction NW-SE. The maximum length of the island reaches 180km with a varying width from 7km (south part) to 45 km (central part). At the narrowest point, at the center of the island, the largest city of Evia, the city of Chalkida, is located. Evia is characterized by a varied relief that presents alternations of plains, semi-mountains, and mountainous areas. The large area of the island combined with the morphological structure of its individual units allows the geographical division of the island into North, Central and South Evia.

Northern Evia includes the plain of Istiaia which is enclosed by the mountains Telethrion (997 m.) and Xiron (991 m.) to the south and south-west. Most of it is occupied by arable land, while woody vegetation begins to appear at the edges of the mountain formations. In the northern coastal area, remarkable wetlands of special ecological interest are formed, such as the large and small Livari lagoons. To the northwest you can see the Yaltron - Lihades peninsula with a maximum altitude of 600 m, as well as the Lihades volcanic islets with characteristic dense vegetation. The eastern coastal zone gathers gentle morphological gradients where the plain of Mantoudi is located.

In Central Evia the mountain range of Dirfy dominates which presents an arcuate arrangement along the eastern coastal areas, with the highest peak being Delphi at 1743 m. In the area of Dirfy, the characteristics of mountainous relief are noticeable, with strong and steep slopes, while there is also intense forest cover. To the east and south of the mountain range of Dirfy, stretches the unity of Kymi - Aliveri, a hilly area with the presence of lowlands mainly along the Manikiati and Avloneri streams. This unit connects the Aegean Sea with the South Euboean Gulf, with the presence of residential zones in its coastal areas. Along the western coastal zone, and south of Mount Kandyli (1246 m) lies the basin of Psakhni, which drains through numerous streams to the North Euboean Gulf, while further south is the wider region of Chalkida with developed urban construction.

The hydrographic network of Evia is presented with an almost transverse development along the island with the presence of streams that show mainly periodic flow (Arapis et al., 2022).

4.3.3 Geology

In terms of geology, North and Central Evia belong to the Internal Hellenides, and more specifcally to the Pelagonian and Sub-Pelagonian tectonic units. The bottom layer of the Pelagonian units consists of Paleozoic bedrock. The alpine structure of the upper layers of the stromatographic column is composed of Triassic-Jurassic limestones and dolomites, of fine-grained oceanic sediments accompanied by ophiolitic masses that are pushed onto the Triassic-Jurassic carbonate rocks and finally the upper Cretaceous limestones that were deposited unconformably on top of the previous rocks and cover in places ferro-nickel deposits. In the upper layer of the alpine formations Maastrichtian - Eocene age flysch deposits appear. The newer formations consist of Neogene lacustrine and marine deposits and Quaternary fluvial alluvial deposits. North and Central Evia are different geologically from South Evia, and this differentiation is documented by the different topographic relief and forests. In addition, thicker forests are present in Central and North Evia. Karst structures appear in Evia Island, although, the most significant ones are outside the SILVANUS pilot case area.

In addition, significant ferronickel deposits of significant economic value are in central Evia, while in North Evia, deposits of magnesite (leukolithos) are noteworthy with reserves of 40 million tons.

North Evia, and specifically the NW part, is an area of geothermal interest. The areas of Aidipsos and Lihades islands gather characteristics of a remarkable geothermal system. The intense tectonism that characterizes the area in combination with the recent volcanic activity (Lihadon volcano) and the existence of karst formations justify the existence of geothermal fluids near the surface. Temperatures of the geothermal fluids are greater than 80°C in Aidipsos, with an increase in temperature at greater depths (medium enthalpy hydrothermal system), while in Agios Georgios of Likhades. It was found that geothermal fluids reach 47°C at a depth of 270 m and in Gialtron 42, 3°C at depths from 100 to 170 m (Arapis et al., 2022).



Figure 29. Seismic zones map according to EAK 2000 (amended in 2003) for the North Evia.

In terms of seismicity, Evia is characterized by low to moderate seismicity based on the Greek standards. The majority of Northern Evia belongs to second seismic hazard zone (ZONE II) with maximum expected Peak Ground Acceleration to rock sites equal to 0.24g. **Figure 29** shows the seismic zones map according to EAK 2000 (amended in 2003) for the North Evia.

4.3.4 Soil

The texture of the topsoil of North Evia Island consists mainly of loam and sandy clay loam as it can be seen from **Figure 30**. In smaller portions other soil textures can be found such as sandy clay, sands, or silt loams. In **Figure 31**, the Available Water Capacity (AWC) for the topsoil of North Evia is presented. Data and maps are from Ballabio et al., 2016, and the ESDAC database (ESDAC, n.d.; Panagos et al., 2012, 2022). The AWC varies from 09.08 to 0.15 distributed across the study area.

In terms of soil horizons, most of the pilot area is covered by cambisols (moderately developed soil horizons) rich in Fe and Al (Alfisol equivalent to USDA classification). In the NW part, at Lihades peninsula and the southern parts of pilot area, at Dirfy mountain, Leptosols are met. In the North coasts (close to the GR2040004 and GR2420007) and in the middle of the study area Fluvisols are met. Luvisols are met in the southern part of the study area (northern part of the municipality of Dirfys-Messapion, in the borders with the municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas(Arapis et al., 2022).



Figure 30. Soil USDA texture of North Evia based on JRC soil data maps (Source: Ballabio et al. 2016). Based on the USDA texture classification: 3= sandy clay, 5= clay loam, 6= sandy clay loam, 8= silt loam, 9= loam and 12= sand)



Figure 31. Available Water Capacity in North Evia Island (Sources: (Ballabio et al., 2016; ESDAC, n.d.; Panagos et al., 2012, 2022)**)**

4.3.5 Hydrology

The area can be characterized as semi-mountainous. Rivers are of moderate size (R-M2), strongly seasonal, frequently modified, with unknown water quality (ecological and chemical). Their length varies from 15 to 20km. Nevertheless, as the area is rural with specific economic activity it is not expected to be under extreme ecological pressure. The underground waters are in general of good quality and typical of karst systems, especially in the mountain of Dirfy (SE pilot area). Bad quality of underground water is monitored at the SW part of the administrative borders (municipality of Dirfys-Messapion) but in terms of interest this is outside the borders of the pilot SILVANUS area. **Figure 32** shows the hydrological data in the pilot area.



Figure 32. Hydrological data in the pilot area. Rivers and hydrological basins – EL07

(source: Special Secretariat for Water, Ministry of Environment).

4.3.6 Ecological Valence

The study area is characterized as plain and hilly – submontane. The distribution of the land according to the altitude is given in Figure 26. The maximum altitude is 1600+ m above the sea level. More than half of the area (57.9%) is extended up to 250 m in height and the 99.1% is up to the zone of 1050 m. Only a small fraction of it, less than 1%, has altitude above the zone of 1050 m.

The study area is a typical mosaic of Greek lowland rural areas with some towns and many villages scattered in the landscape. The valleys are, mostly, used for agricultural cultivations such as maize, wheat and clover, as well as, for domestic animal grazing. In a lesser extent nomadic animal husbandry in the near forests is applied. Most of the cultivations are non-irrigated or semi-irrigated, usually early in the summer or late in the summer, depending on the type of cultivation. Olive trees are growth in extensive areas. In the rest of the landscape above valleys forests prevail. **Figure 33** distribution of the area according to the altitude in the Greek pilot area.



Figure 33. Distribution of the area according to the altitude in the Greek pilot area

The forest vegetation is mostly determined by the climate conditions that also change by the altitude and in a lesser extent by the exposure to the horizon.

More specifically, in the lower altitudes the forest vegetation zone Quercetalia ilicis prevails, where pines, Pinus halepensis and Pinus brutia, form large forests. Due to the climatic conditions with hot and dry summers that extended to a period of almost 5 to 6 months, these pine forests suffer from frequent and destructive fires, as it was, the last wildfire during the summer of the year 2021, that burned a big part of the study area. Fortunately, Pine species of the area have an excellent adaptation to fires and regenerate naturally with none or little human intervention.

Along the rivers, riparian forests are growth, mainly, consisted of Platanus orientalis and other broadleaved hydrophilic species. Most significant, in terms of the aesthetic and ecological value, are those riparian forest of Kirea and Nilea rivers.

Particularly important contribution to the conformation of the landscape in Evia is the wide variety of forest ecosystems, some of which are rare and very essential. These forests are identified as wood remains of old forests, such as the riparian forests of field elm (Ulmus minor) and narrow-leaved ash (Fraxinus angustifolia) in Krya Vrisi and English oak, (Quercus robur) also known as common or pedunculate oak, (Quercus robur ssp. pedunculiflora) in Agios Nikolaos Kanatadikon. Special importance has the forest stands of rare endemic subspecies, Quercus trojana subsp. euboica (Papaioannou) K.I. Chr., known exclusively from the island of Evia, the oak forest of Mount Telethrio.

As the altitude increases the agricultural activities decreasing significantly and the climate becomes more humid. In these areas the typical Quercetalia pubescensis zone prevails. In this zone Quercus forests are common.

Over the Quercetalia pubescentis the Fagetalia zone is extended where cold and wet conditions prevail during the most part of the year except to the limited summer period. In this zone fir makes reach forest on Mount Xiro, Kavalari, Pyxaria and Kantili. Due to climatic conditions these forests considered more resilient to wildfires and have special ecological value for the whole area, since they are less accessible to the people and offer shelter to many species of wildlife.

4.3.7 Land use

In **Table 11**, the surface (ha) of the various land use types in North Evia are presented. In **Figure 34**, the land cover map for North Evia is presented. As a basis, CORINE (2018-2021) is used, to support interoperability with other pilot areas in Europe and keep a consistent format. A significant part of North Evia is occupied either by forests or by agricultural land.

Table 11. Land cover type and area in North Evia Island based on Corine land use classification schema.

COVER DESCRIPTION	CORINE CODE	AREA (ha)
Discontinuous urban fabric	112	1680,38
Industrial or commercial units	121	178,41
Mineral extraction sites	131	1991,33
Sport and leisure facilities	142	24,50
Non-irrigated arable land	211	12483,22
Fruit trees and berry plantations	222	703,63
Olive groves	223	12190,50
Pastures	231	172,01
Complex cultivation patterns	242	14411,20
Land principally occupied by agriculture, with significant areas of natural vegetation	243	17171,99
Broad-leaved forest	311	7773,80
Coniferous forest	312	43859,51
Mixed forest	313	16697,97
Natural grassland	321	4430,82
Moors and heathland	322	960,28
Sclerophyllous vegetation	323	15885,01
Transitional woodland/shrub	324	32390,24
Beaches, dunes, sands	331	239,19
Moors and heathland	332	32,17
Sparsely vegetated areas	333	2800,10
Inland marshes	411	50,66
Salt marshes	421	129,67
Coastal lagoons	521	45,02
	Grand Total	186301,6



Figure 34. Land cover for North Evia based on the CORINE land cover 2018-2021. The recent wildfire of 2021 is not included.

4.3.8 Forest ownership

Evia is one of the areas in Greece, in which a significant percentage of private forests exist. In the municipality of Istiaia-Aidipsos (same administrative borders with the local forest office) 90% of the forests/forested areas are private and only 10% belong to the state. In the municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Agias Annas (same administrative borders with the local forest office) 74% of forests/forested areas are private and only 26% belong to the state. Forests in Istiaias-Aidipsou covered 47.4% of the municipality/forest office surface while in Mantoudi-Limnis-Ayias Annas forests were approximately 79.5% of the municipality/forest office surface (Apostolidis et al., 2022).

4.3.9 Flora

North Evia has a unique natural environment with rich flora and fauna. Moreover, land and sea areas have been characterized as Natura 2000 protected areas. The combination of local geology, topography and climate is responsible that North Evia is among the richest in flora diversity areas in the Aegean with 1,663 recorded native plant species. Among those are the local oak trees (Quercus trojana sbsp. euboica) which have been identified since 1940 (Apostolidis et al., 2022). We classify four (4) vegetation zones (Apostolidis et al., 2022): Eumediterranean vegetation zone, Submediterranean vegetation zone, Mediterranean montane conifers zone, and Azonal riparian forests.

a. Eumediterranean vegetation zone (*Quercetalia ilicis*), which grows at an altitude of - up to 1,000m. In Northern Evia appears with the subzone *Quercion ilicis*, where extensive aleppo pine forests (*Pinus halepensis*) appear, with shrubs, as well as formations of evergreen broadleaves, but also phrygana.
b. Submediterranean vegetation zone (*Quercetalia pubescentis*). This zone extends in small areas on Mount Telethrio with the sub-zone Quercion confertae, with forests of hungarian Oak (*Quercus*

frainetto) at an altitude of 500-900 m, where in places appear - in form of niche and chestnut stands (*Castanea sativa*).

c. Zone of Mediterranean montane conifers (Abietion cephalonicae). In this zone, forests of Greek fir (*Abies cephalonica*) is mainly formed of pure or mixed forests with Black Pine (Pinus nigra), as in Xero Oros, Kavalari and Ayios Konstantinos (Kerasia).
d. Azonal riparian forests. It mainly found along the banks of rivers or lakes. The vegetation of these subareas consists mainly of sycamore or oriental plane forests (*Platanus orientalis*). Also, in the wetland of Almirorema appears an area of wetland forest with the field elm (*Ulmus minor*) and narrow-leaved ash (*Fraxinus angustifolia subsp. oxycarpa*).

In more detail:

The forest species that dominate in the forests of Evia are Aleppo pine (*Pinus halepensis*), Firs (*Abies cephalonicae*), Black pine (*Pinus nigra*) and from the broadleaf, Chestnut (*Castanea sativa*), Oak (*Quercus sp*) and, sporadically, in small areas, other species, such as *Platanus orientalis* and *Accer sp.*

- *Pinus halepensis* occupies a significant area, especially in the northern part of the Evia and forms pure clusters up to 500 m by altitude.
- *Abies cephalonica occupies* areas above 500m in altitude and is found either pure or mixed by person, groups, and lochs with black Pine (*Pinus nigra*).
- *Pinus nigra* occupies a small area, shows good growth and where there is a mix with Greek Fir forms dense clusters.
- *Platanus sp.* develops on the banks of rivers and in the large streams that exist in the study area.
- *Pinus pinea* is found sporadically in the low zone of the forest per tree and groups of trees.
- The broadleaf leaves shrubs form a particularly dense understory under *Pinus halepensis* forests where offer additional protection from soil erosion and provides shelter to the wild fauna.

In addition to the main forest species mentioned above, many secondary species are found, the most important of which are: *Arbutus Unedo*, *Arbutus Adrachnae*, *Erica Arborea*, *Erica Verticalata*, *Myrtus Communis*, *Quercus Coccistera*, *Spartium Junceum*, *Dactylis glomerata*, *Nerium Oleander*, *Paliurus Australis*, *Festuca sp.*, *Bromus Molis*, *Lolium Perene*, *Juniperus communis*, *Juniperus oxycedrus*.

Besides the forests, various significant plants are met, characterized according to the Natura classification system.

4.3.10 Fauna

Fauna consists of mammals, birds, reprtiles, ambpibia, and chiroptera. The birds that live in the wider area are various eagle species (*Aquila chrysaetos*, Aquila fasciata, Circaetus gallicus), hawks (*Buteo buteo, Falco peregrinus, Falco tinnunculus, Accipiter nisus*). Other bird species that are met are the *Emberiza caesia*, the *Streptopelia turtor*, the *Soclopax rusticola*, the *Columba palumbus*, the *Turdus philomelus*, the *Turdus merula*, the rock partridge (*Alectoris Graeca*), the blackbird and passing bird species, such as woodcock (*Scolopax rusticola*). Significant species of birds live or pass by in the Natura 2000 protected areas. Mammals, such as *Martes foina*, Vulpes vulpes, *Meles meles*, *Lepus europaeus, Carpeolus carpeolus, Sus scrofa* and *Mustella nivallis* and arthropods, such as, ants are found in competent populations.

In the **Table 12**, the Natura 2000 protected areas in North Evia are presented. The biggest one (GR2420011) extends beyond the limits of SILVANUS main pilot area for Greece. It has also to be noted that North Evia is one the limited areas in the Mediterranean that hosts the Mediterranean monk seal *Monachus monachus,* which is one of the threatened species worldwide.

Table 12. Natura 2000 Protected in North Evia

Natura 2000 Area Code	Natura 2000 Area Name	Type
GR2420004	MEGALO KAI MIKRO LIVARI - DELTA XERIA - YDROCHARES DASOS AG. NIKOLAOU - PARAKTIA THALASSIA ZONI	Special Areas of Conservation (pSCI, SCI or SAC)
GR2420007	MEGALO KAI MIKRO LIVARI - DELTA XERIA	SPA (Special Protection Areas)
GR2420010	OROS KANTILI	SPA (Special Protection Areas)
GR2420013	NISIDES LICHADES KAI THALASSIA PERIOCHI	Sites of Community Importance (pSCI, SCI or SAC)
GR2420011	ORI KENTRIKIS EVVOIAS, PARAKTIA ZONI KAI NISIDES	SPA (Special Protection Areas)
GR2420002	DIRFYS: DASOS STENIS - DELFI	Special Areas of Conservation (pSCI, SCI or SAC)
GR2420014	THALASSIA PERIOCHI KAI YFALOI VOREIOANATOLIKIS EVVOIAS	Sites of Community Importance (pSCI, SCI or SAC)

Important Plant species

GR2420002 hosts one species (*Nepeta argolica ssp. Dirphya*) characterized as “very important” of Annex II of the directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora. Moreover 58 plants are characterized as “other important” out of which 49 will be characterized as “important” and 13 of these species or sub-species meet the conditions to be included in the “very important”.

Important Fauna species

- In the GR2420002 one reptile species (*Testudo hermanni*) is hosted (very important species). Also, 13 species (2 mammals, 4 reptiles, 3 amphibia and 4 invertebrates) leave there among the “important” species.
- In the GR2420004, 9 very important species of fauna are met. In addition, 12 “important” species/sub-spieces are hosted, 9 reptiles, 2 amphibia and one sea invertebrate.
- In the GR2420014, the *Monachus monachus* seal is met with a significant concentration as a place of reproduction. Also, 12 more sea invertebrate species are hosted in the area.
- Finally, the GR2420013 hosts the *Monachus monachus* seal and is one the most important feeding place in Greece and five “important” species of sea invertebrates.

The location of important fauna species in Natura areas is shown in **Figure 35**.



Figure 35. Natura areas in North Evia along with the administrative NUTS III (municipalities) borders.

4.3.11 Climate

According to the HNMS (2016) the following climate variables for the period 1971-2000 have been recorded in North Evia (**Table 13**).

Table 13. Climatic variables for North Evia (Source: Climatic Atlas of Greece for the period 1971-2000 – (Hellenic National Meteorological Service, 2016)**)**

Variable	Value
Average low temperature	varies from 7°C to the mountain of Telethrion up to 13°C (Telethrion and high altitudes of North Evia)
Average high temperature	varies from 17°C to 21°C (Telethrion and high altitudes of North Evia).
Mean temperature	varies from 13°C to 17°C in North Evia
Annual precipitation	varies from 375mm (a few kilometers south of Limni) to 830mm (Telethrion mount). Average, approximately equal to 600mm
Yearly sunshine	varies from 2150 to 2700 hours
Wind	Winds (prevailing) N-NE

In general, the climate differentiates between the areas of high altitudes compared to the lower altitude areas, and more importantly between the western and the eastern part. In the Eastern part winds are stronger and last for more days. Precipitation is also usually more intense in the western part.

The bioclimate in the study area has the following characteristics (Arapis et al., 2022; Mavromatis, 1980).

Existing bioclimatic storeys (based on Emberger's climate diagram) are:

- Semi-arid with mild winter (3-7oC) on the western coasts of Evia and the coasts of Boeotia,
- the Hyphygros with mild winter in most of Evia and Skyros,
- Hyphygros with cold winter (0-3oC) inland of Boeotia and northern Evia and
- Hygros with mild or cold winter (depending on the altitude) in the mountains of central Evia.



Figure 36. Ombrothermic diagram for North Evia. (Source: (Hellenic National Meteorological Service, 2016)**).**

Existing Mediterranean bioclimate characters are:

- the Intense thermo-Mediterranean (125-150 biologically dry days during the warm season of the year) on the western coast of Evia,
- the Weak thermo-Mediterranean (100-125 dry days) in southern and western Evia, Skyros, and coasts of Boeotia,
- the intense mid-Mediterranean (75-100 dry days) in central Evia and the Boeotia,
- the mild mid-Mediterranean (40-75 dry days) in the north and mountainous central Evia and
- the Sub-Mediterranean on the peaks of central Evia.

4.3.12 Demographics / Social / Economy

<u>Evia island</u>

Evia is the second largest island of Greece with a population of 210.815 people. 31% of the population are young people of the age 0-29 years old, 29% of the age 30-49 years old, 24% of the age 50-69% and 16% higher than 70 years old. What is interesting is the fact that only 13% of the permanent population of Evia is highly educated (university degree and/or higher) when approximately 42% are not educated at all or they are educated only until the primary school level. In Evia, 91.5% of the population are Greeks, while 1.4% come from EU member states, 4.8% from other countries in Europe and 2% from countries in Asia and for 0.27% it could not be specified. What is also interesting is the family status of the permanent population. The population that comes from EU member states has similar figures compared to the Hellenic population (married, divorced, widowed, unmarried). Those that come from other countries the ratio is a bit different as the majority are married (54%) which is like the Hellenic population while the unmarried are 44%, compared to 37% of unmarried of Greeks or 36% of EU unmarried population. This high percentage of unmarried is also recorded to those people that the country origin could not be specified. This high percentage for non-Greeks most probably belongs to manpower that has migrated to Greece, considering as well that 0.5% of those hold a university degree (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2011)

Pilot area

The population of the pilot area of SILVANUS (North Evia) and more specifically of the municipalities of Dirfys-Messapion, Istiaias-Aidipsou and Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas is 51.920 people, in total, while 50.7% of them are men and 49.4% are women. In North Evia, 8% of the permanent population holds a university degree (or higher than that), while more than 50% are either primary school graduates or have stopped their education at some point during the primary school. For the municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas this percentage is equal to 55%. See **Table 14** and **Table 15** for the detail of number population and education level.

Extremely interesting is the fact that according to the 2021 population census of Greece, the residents in the municipality of Istiaias-Aidipsou reduced by 14.21%, while in the municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas reduced only by 0.4%. It is also worth to note that these results are not the final one, but it is not expected that these will change significantly.

Table 14. Permanent population in SILVANUS GR pilot

Municipality	Population	Men	% Of total	Women	% Of total
Dirfys-Messapion	18.800	9.912	52,72%	8.888	47,28%
Isitiais-Aidipsou	21.083	10.396	49,31%	10.687	50,69%
Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas	12.045	6.024	50,01%	6.021	49,99%
Total	51.928	26.332	50,71%	25.596	49,29%

Source: (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2011)

Table 15. Education level of the population in the SILVANUS GR pilot area

Municipality	Total	University graduates including Master or PhD)	Graduates of post-secondary education level	Lyceum graduates	College (gymnasium) graduates	Elementary scholl graduates	Illiterate	People born after 1/1/2005
Dirfys-Messapion	18.800	1.475	524	3.885	2.558	5.747	3.629	982
		7,8%	2,8%	20,7%	13,6%	30,6%	19,3%	5,2%
Isitiais-Aidipsou	21.083	2.004	610	3.592	2.915	7.200	3.699	1.063
		9,5%	2,9%	17,0%	13,8%	34,2%	17,5%	5,0%
Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas	12.045	1.009	414	1.904	1.585	4.682	1.990	461
		8,4%	3,4%	15,8%	13,2%	38,9%	16,5%	3,8%

Source: (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2011)

Another important, for SILVANUS, statistic is the fact that in the municipality of Istiaias-Aidipsou only 12% of the residents work in other municipalities, regions or countries, a percentage significantly less than the ones of the other two municipalities or the regional unit of Evia. The number of unemployed people in the three municipalities is close the percentage of the regional unit of Evia (7-8%) with the municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas being a bit higher at 10%.

Table 16. Economically active and inactive population in the SILVANUS GR pilot area

	Total	Economically active						Economically inactive			
		Emplo-yed	Primary sector	Second -ary sector	Terti-ary sector	Unempl -oyed	Sub-total	Students	Retired	Other	Sub-total
Regional district of Evia	**210.815**	**67.990**	**8.373**	**18.227**	**41.390**	**16.801**	**84.791**	**31.688**	**50.490**	**43.846**	**126.024**
Men	106.648	43.882				10.020		16.420	27.425	8.901	
Women	104.167	24.108				6.781		15.268	23.065	34.945	
Municipality of Dirfys-Messapion	**18.800**	**6.067**	**1.600**	**1.700**	**2.767**	**1.456**	**7.523**	**2.845**	**5.083**	**3.349**	**11.277**
Men	9.912	4.181				913		1.484	2.572	762	
Women	8.888	1.886				543		1.361	2.511	2.587	
Municipality of Istiaias-Adipsou	**21.083**	**6.242**	**1.440**	**1.085**	**3.717**	**1.497**	**7.739**	**2.674**	**6.446**	**4.224**	**13.344**
Men	10.396	4.038				904		1.398	3.286	770	
Women	10.687	2.204				593		1.276	3.160	3.454	
Municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas	**12.045**	**3.032**	**602**	**709**	**1.721**	**1.205**	**4.237**	**1.359**	**3.993**	**2.456**	**7.808**
Men	6.024	1.977				804		687	2.169	387	
Women	6.021	1.055				401		672	1.824	2.069	

Source: (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2011)

Approximately 24% of the population in North Evia works in the agricultural sector, 10% in construction, 10% in tourism, 10% in catering services and 15% in services around the car industry. Also, mining activity is among the characteristics of local economy (magnesite in Mantoudi and Limni, iron and nickel in Dirfys). The cultural identity of the population in Evia is like that of the people in the rest of Central Greece. In the following tables (**Table 17**) various statistics regarding the population and the economic activities of the North Evia area are presented.

Forests in North Evia is an important factor of the economy. The local population works on the agricultural sector such as beekeeping, resin farming, logging, charcoal production, animal husbandry, olive trees, figs and vines. In addition, mining activities are important nevertheless not so extensive as the previous years. Tourism and catering services are an additional important economic activity of the area.

The 2021 mega-fire caused significant problems to the economic activities of North Evia, as the forests were the core of many of the activities. Even tourists were combining sea and mountains for the summer vacations.

Table 17. Economic activities based on NACE Rev. 2 classification system in the SILVANUS GR pilot area

Administrative level	Total	Economic activities (NACE Rev.2)									
		Agriculture, forestry and fishing	Construction	Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles	Transportation and storage	Accommodation and food service activities	Administrative and support service activities	Public administration and defence; compulsory social security	Education	Human health and social work activities	Other professional activities
Regional district of Evia	**67.990**	**8.373**	**6.001**	**11.708**	**3.649**	**5.005**	**1.302**	**5.528**	**4.743**	**2.646**	**19.035**
Municipality of Dirfys-Messapion	6.067	1.600	444	891	286	349	162	326	236	145	1.628
Municipality of Istiaias-Adipsou	6.242	1.440	697	1.074	239	868	100	392	370	164	898
Municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas	3.032	602	347	428	128	291	61	269	191	97	618

Source: (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2011)

Table 18. Professions in the three municipalities of the SILVANUS GR pilot area

Administrative level	Total	Profession								
		1. Senior management and administrative staff	2. Professionals	3. Technicians and practitioners of related professions	4. Office clerks	5. Service Providers and Vendors	6. Skilled farmers, breeders, foresters, and fishermen	7. Skilled craftsmen and practitioners of related professions	8. Industrial plant, machinery and equipment operators and assemblers (fitters)	9. Unskilled workers, manual workers, and small-scale professionals
Regional district of Evia	**67.990**	**3.992**	**8.862**	**4.879**	**4.286**	**13.407**	**6.099**	**10.942**	**6.429**	**9.094**
Municipality of Dirfys-Messapion	6.067	286	376	278	332	936	938	836	706	1.379
Municipality of Istiaias-Adipsou	6.242	440	653	291	292	1.306	1.197	972	316	775
Municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas	3.032	233	319	171	136	593	498	462	193	427

Source: (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2011)

Table 19. Location of work of the economically active population in the three municipalities of the SILVANUS GR pilot area

Administrative level	Total economically active	Work location		
		In the municipality of residence	Outside the municipality of residence (other municipality/region/country)	Outside %of total working
Regional district of Evia	**67.990**	**50.131**	**17.859**	**26%**

Municipality of Dirfys-Messapion	6.067	3.881	2.186	**36%**
Municipality of Istiaias-Adipsou	6.242	5.515	727	**12%**
Municipality of Mantoudiou-Limnis-Ayias Annas	3.032	2.387	645	**21%**

Source: (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2011)

Table 20. Mining activities in North and central Evia Island

Municipality	Type of mining activity	Material	Area (m^2)
Mantoudi-Limni-Ayia Anna	Mine	Leukolithos	1.102.281
Mantoudi-Limni-Ayia Anna	Mine	Leukolithos	5.644.710
Mantoudi-Limni-Ayia Anna	Mine	Leukolithos	2.493.223
Mantoudi-Limni-Ayia Anna	Mine	Leukolithos	280.065
Mantoudi-Limni-Ayia Anna	Quarry	Inert materials	
Dirfys-Messapion	Mine	Iron-nickel	2.154.860
Dirfys-Messapion	Mine	Iron-nickel	2.403.797
Dirfys-Messapion	Mine	Iron-nickel	2.728.193
Dirfys-Messapion	Mine	Iron-nickel	2.196.302
Dirfys-Messapion	Mine	Iron-nickel	2.742.594
Dirfys-Messapion	Mine	Iron-nickel	535.112

source: (Arapis et al., 2022)



Figure 37. Per capita GDP of Greece and regional unit of Evia Island
source: (Hellenic Statistical Authority, 2011)

4.3.13 History of Fires

Evia is one of the most wildfire prone areas in Greece. Since 1980, with the Presidential Decree 575/1980 the forests of Evia are included to the ones that are vulnerable to forest and landscape fires (**Figure 38**). Considering the climate change and the expansion of human activity towards the forests, this map (Figure 38) could be considered as outdated, as more areas have vulnerable forests in Greece, but it shows the need of the state to protect more its vulnerable forests.



Figure 38. Forest areas vulnerable to forest and landscape fires (Source: Presidential Decree 575/1980, 1980)

Colin C. Hardy (2005) defines as "Fire risk the chance that a fire might start, as affected by the nature and incidence of causative agents". He highlighted also that there is general agreement on this definition between numerous U.S. and international organizations, including the National Wildfire Coordinating Group (NWCG, 2003), the Society of American Foresters (1990, 1998), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 1986), and the Canadian Committee on Forest Fire Management (National Research Council Canada, 1987).

Furthermore, fire risk maps prediction is created in order to be the possibility of a forest fire ignition even more intelligible, providing information about the areas, which are prone to fires. In case of Greece, the Ministry for Climate Crisis and Civil Protection, Secretary General for Civil Protection publishes every day (at around 12:30 am) a map that shows the fire risk for next day (Source: https://www.civilprotection.gr/en/daily-fire-prediction-map). The map displays a five colors scale that corresponds to five fire danger levels (very low, low, medium, high and alarm).

For the production of these maps is taken into account data of meteorology/weather forecast, satellite data for vegetation/fuel and soil status as well as any other available information that contributes to determine the risk of an area at given time (e.g., historical records of fire events, ground morphology, land use/land cover, location related data). The high accuracy of the map prediction depends on the high accuracy of weather forecast.

As presented in the following daily fire map prediction **(Figure 39)** Evia, when the fire was ignited (Tuesday 03/08/2021), was included in the high fire risk areas (fire danger class 3).



Figure 39. Daily fire risk map for Tuesday 03/08/2021 (The image is published in Greek).

During the fire season, for the creation and publishing of the daily fire risk map, since 2003 the Secretary General for Civil Protection, in order to monitor, evaluate and mapping of Greek vegetation condition uses satellite imagery of MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) sensor aboard NASA's TERRA satellite (Source: https://terra.nasa.gov/about/terra-instruments/modis). The method of map data assessment is based on Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI). These NDVI maps are robust, empirical measures of vegetation activity at the land surface. The color on these maps depicts a measure of the "greenness" of Earth landscape, also known as relative biomass (Source: https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/dataprod/mod13.php). During the fire season, these maps are updated every 10 days

In North Evia, since 2012 multiple landscape fires have been recorded, in total 886 landscape fires have been recorded based on the data logs of the Hellenic Fire Service (See **Table 21**). On average 89 landscape fires are being recorded in North Evia (three municipalities) every year (See **Table 22**). Many of these landscape fires are very small but some of them are extremely significant and large. Since 2012 seven large fires have been recorded with the largest one being that of the year 2021 that burnt for 8 days and destroyed more than 50,000 ha. It must be noted that some differences exist in the burnt area between EFFIS and the Hellenic Fire Service, but these are not that significant and do not change the overall picture. In addition, in **Figure 40** the ignition points for the fires of 2020 and 2021, inside the limits of the three municipalities that constitute the pilot area, are presented (source: Hellenic Fire Service). Moreover, the burnt areas from the significant fires are presented based on the data form EFFIS in **Figure 41**.

Table 21. Main statistics data on landscape fires in North Evia based on the Hellenic Fire Service data logs.

Year	Landscape Fire occurrences	Forest (incl. forested) area burnt (ha)	Other areas burnt (ha)	Total area burnt
2012	114	108,28	29,15	137,43
2013	105	9,17	19,34	28,51
2014	110	19,70	68,49	88,19
2015	78	178,41	18,42	196,83
2016	83	2.195,46	45,16	2.240,61
2017	75	105,19	6,04	111,23
2018	81	388,94	260,91	649,85
2019	76	2.177,63	20,41	2.198,04
2020	86	6,52	10,50	17,03
2021	78	33.805,47	17.399,52	51.204,98
Total	886	38.994,77	17.877,94	56.872,70
Average per year	88,6			



Figure 40. Left: Wildfire initiation points for the pilot area in 2021. Right: Wildfire initiation points for the pilot area in 2020 (Source: Hellenic Fire Service).

Figure 41: Significant wildfires in the pilot area for the period 2009-2021 (Source: polygons from EFFIS).

Table 22. Burned land cover type and area, per big fire event (from Corine 2018-2021).

LAND COVER		FIRE EVENT-DAY (DD/MM/YY)/AREA BURNED (ha)								Grand Total
Description	**Corine Code**	**13-10-09**	**10-07-11**	**05-07-12**	**23-07-15**	**30-07-16**	**12-08-18**	**12-08-19**	**03-08-21**	
Discontinuous urban fabric	112	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	136,7	136,7
Mineral extraction sites	131	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	27,8	0,0	0,0	151,4	179,3
Sport and leisure facilities	142	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,0	13,6	13,7
Non-irrigated arable land	211	0,0	49,5	0,0	0,0	1,0	0,0	34,2	2188,1	2272,9
Fruit trees and berry plantations	222	0,0	31,2	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	31,2
Olive groves	223	24,3	88,8	0,0	40,5	0,0	171,1	137,7	3509,6	3972,0

LAND COVER		FIRE EVENT-DAY (DD/MM/YY)/AREA BURNED (ha)								Grand Total
Description	**Corine Code**	**13-10-09**	**10-07-11**	**05-07-12**	**23-07-15**	**30-07-16**	**12-08-18**	**12-08-19**	**03-08-21**	
Complex cultivation patterns	242	5,8	143,9	0,0	0,0	0,0	37,3	53,7	2932,7	3173,4
Land principally occupied by agriculture, with significant areas of natural vegetation	243	0,0	75,6	26,7	0,0	2,3	31,2	79,7	8389,9	8605,4
Broad-leaved forest	311	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	2446,2	2446,2
Coniferous forest	312	0,0	0,0	0,0	3,1	39,8	238,1	1257,3	14855,1	16393,3
Mixed forest	313	0,0	0,0	37,3	0,0	0,0	0,0	15,4	10223,8	10276,5
Natural grassland	321	0,0	58,5	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	58,5
Sclerophyllous vegetation	323	70,9	66,5	0,0	0,0	0,0	33,6	162,8	1314,0	1647,7
Transitional woodland/shrub	324	105,1	0,0	0,0	60,5	2530,7	53,3	1146,1	4925,8	8821,5
Beaches, dunes, sands	331	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	36,3	36,3
Sparsely vegetated areas	333	13,9	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	25,3	39,2
Inland marshes	411	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	48,2	48,2
Grand Total		220,1	513,9	64,0	104,1	2601,7	564,6	2886,9	51196,6	58151,8

In **Figure 42**, soil erosion maps of the burnt area due to the 2021 megafire, before and after the wildfire, are presented, based on the study of (Valkanou et al., 2022). By comparing the two images, it is evident, that erosion of soil has been increased significantly after the 2021 megafire, and apparent that the area suffers from high erosion to most of the area.



Figure 42: Soil erosion maps of the burnt area due to the 2021 megafire in Evia. Left: before the fire. Right: after the fire
source: (Valkanou et al., 2022)

4.3.14 Causes of wildfires in Evia

In general, in Greece, 56% of the landscape fires are of unknown reason, while 21% are set due to negligence. 11% are deliberately set by humans while only 3% are due to an accident and 4.5% to natural causes (Camia et al., 2013). **Figure 43** are also considered valid in the case of Evia Island. The burned land based on the cause of fire ignition is 18% fuel to negligence, 13% due to arsons, 52% for unknown causes, almost 9% sets for burning of fields, 7.5% for the creation of pastures and 1% is related to natural causes (Global Fire Monitoring Center, 2019).



Figure 43: Left: Landscape fire causes in Greece (Source: Camia et al., 2013**). Right: Relation of burnt landscape to the fire causes (Source:** Global Fire Monitoring Center, 2019**).**

4.4 Cova da Beira - Portugal

4.4.1 Location/ Administrative

Cova da Beira is located in the interior east part of Portugal. It comprises 4 counties: Belmonte; Covilhã, Fundão and some parishes of Castelo Branco. In addition to some industry, the region has strong traditions in the agriculture and forestry sectors, and it is much known for its top-quality agriculture products. The landscape at Cova da Beira is characterized by two main altitudinal zones: a large relatively flat valley at the centre, which is surrounded by mountain ranges. **Figure 44** shows the Cova da Beira Region and landscape.

The flat area is composed by a mosaic of agricultural land uses, including pastures, cropland, and orchards, but also forest patches, including native oak forests and pine plantations. The mountain areas overlap two important Nature 2000 sites, the Special Area of Conservation (SAC) of Serra da Gardunha (PTCON0028) and the SAC of Serra da Estrela (PTCON0014), the latter also classified as Natural Park. The valley is crossed, from northeast to southwest, by the river Zêzere. The Zêzere has its source in the Serra da Estrela Mountain range and is an important tributary of the Tagus. The river margins host riverine habitats of high ecological value, with alder (Alnus glutinosa) and ash (Fraxinus excelsior) populations. The mountain areas surrounding the valley are characterized by forest habitats, listed in the Habitats Directive, of chestnut (Castanea sativa, habitat 9260) and deciduous oaks (Quercus robur and Q. pyrenaica, habitat 9230).



Figure 44. Cova da Beira Region and landscape

Cova da Beira combines a strong implantation of the industry in an area with a strong rural influence, resulting in a rural region of high population density. The asymmetry in land distribution is revealed by the presence of large side-by-side properties with a generalized smallholding. The landscape is very diverse, due to the hydrographic network that influences land uses and their distribution. Today most of the area is occupied with intensive agriculture and fruit farming (apple, peach, cherry). The landscape is strongly compartmentalized, marked by the agricultural land uses and the granitic outcrops with oak woodland patches. This is a region of abundant water resources, characterized by a great interannual irregularity.

Recent fires have had an important impact in large tracts of the mountain ecosystems, calling for preventive measures to regulate future fire damage and restoration measures to recover the native habitats, their biodiversity, and the ecosystems services they provide, including water flow regulation, control of soil erosion, and habitats for wildlife, namely wild pollinators.

4.4.2 Geomorphology

From a geological point of view, the territory is integrated into the Central-Iberian Zone of the Hesperian Massif, mainly composed of ancient, Paleozoic and pre-Paleozoic rocks that have undergone deformations during different tectonic cycles of the Hercynian and Alpine orogenies. These deformations led to "NE-SW or ENE-WSW directional faults that mark the great horst of the Central Range and are responsible for Serra da Estrela and Gardunha and the Cova da Beira trench.

The Cova da Beira corresponds to a subsidence basin, approximately 30km long and 12km wide, located between Serra da Estrela and Gardunha, and is crossed longitudinally by the Zêzere River (**Figure 45**). It is predominantly flat, with some peaks corresponding to harder rock outcrops, such as in Belmonte. This basin owes its fertility to deep soils from granitic formations, the presence of water, and the protection conferred by the massifs of Serra da Estrela and Gardunha, which determine a continental climate with a cold and dry winter and a hot summer.



Figure 45. Geomorphology of Cova da Beira Pilot area in Portugal (Source: Epic-webgis-portugal, ISA).

4.4.3 Geology

The area is mainly composed by granitic rocks corresponding to two-mica monzonitic granites, predominantly biotitic and with a fairly uniform mineralogical composition. The granodiorite is limited to the pluton of Fundão and outcrops in a northern area of this city. These granite and granodiorite outcrops are highly altered on the surface, resulting in a weathering mantle known as granitic saprolite. The veins of igneous material are fundamentally constituted by quartz and pegmatites, whose distribution can be observed on the geological map (**Figure 46**). Although NE-SW and E-W directions predominate, the orientation of these veins is variable. These veins are the most representative and are distributed throughout the Cova da Beira area. In general, the composition of the alluvium along the Zêzere River is essentially sandy with varying grain size, intercalating sandy-silty or sandy-clayey materials and gravel mantles.



Figure 46. Geology of Cova da Beira Pilot site (Source: Epig-webgis-portugal, ISA)

4.4.4 Soil

Regarding the soil properties of Cova da Beira, as seen in **Figure 47**, the texture is mainly medium to coarse, with the coarser areas corresponding to moderate to high permeability. Except from the Zêzere River gallery area, the soil pH is less than 5.5. The area is mainly characterized by shallow soils, up to 50cm depth. Moreover, the areas with more than 100cm of depth, are coincident with the more permeable soils.



Figure 47. Soil properties of Cova da Beira Pilot site. Soil texture (top left), soil permeability (top right), soil pH (bottom left) and soil depth (bottom right). (Source: Epig-webgis-portugal, ISA)

4.4.5 Hydrology

Cova da Beira region is rich in water resources, characterized by the spring and watershed of the Zêzere River with its tributary streams (**Figure 48**). The river is the most important watershed in the area. The morphological feature of Cova da Beira corresponds to a large depression with a flat bottom, where the Zêzere River occupies a wide valley. In addition to the Zêzere, Caria and Meimoa streams are also important waterways that drain Cova da Beira, with elevations ranging from 400 to 600m.



Figure 48. Hydrographic network of Cova da Beira Pilot site (Source: Epig-webgis-portugal, ISA)

4.4.6 Land use

The landscape of Cova da Beira reflects the fertility of the soil through small-scale parcel division and intensive agricultural exploitation, ranging from pine or oak forests to olive groves, orchards, vineyards, vegetable gardens, etc... (**Figure 49**). Hedgerows are not very common, but their presence adds to the diversity of the landscape, such as those along roads. In general, there is coherence between land use and biophysical characteristics in the Cova da Beira region. However, there are significant exceptions, particularly near the main urban centre's of Covilhã and Fundão, where there is the uncontrolled development of various types of industries.

The most representative land uses of the area are:

• Dryland agricultural land;

• Agricultural land densely wooded with fruit trees (olive, cherry, apple, peach, and vine) (predominant near settlements);

• Agricultural land used for irrigated crops (corn, potato, sunflower, and tobacco);

• Pine forests typically composed of maritime pine.



Figure 49. Land cover for Cova da Beira based on the CORINE land cover 2018-2021. Recent wildfires are not included.

4.4.7 Flora

On the banks of the Zêzere River and the Meimoa and Caria streams, it is worth noting the agricultural use of alluvial soils due to their fertility. However, on the hillsides, it is worth highlighting the existence of vineyards and olive groves, and on the northern hills and hilltops, the dominant occupation is pine forests and cherry orchards.

The most representative flora is:

• Querco-Fagetea vegetation class, along the riparian galleries of the Zêzere River, with value as ecological corridors;

• Oak forests, of *Quercus robur* and of *Quercus pyrenaica*, where *Asphodelus bento-rainhae* (endemism of Serra da Gardunha) is noteworthy;

• Cork oak forests (*Quercus suber*), corresponding to small patches of mixed stands;

• Shrublands that correspond to mixed vegetation formations associated with secondary succession after forest fires or field abandonment.

4.4.8 Fauna

The fauna in the Cova da Beira region is diverse and is associated with the vegetation cover and land use. The various existing habitats provide the region with a high faunal richness. Worth mentioning is the otter (*Lutra lutra*), the Iberian water lizard (*Lacerta scheriberi*), the Portuguese salamander (*Chioglossa lusitanica*), the Iberian nase (*Chrondrostoma polypepis*) and the marsh fritillary butterfly (*Euphydryas aurinia*), as well as various protected bird species such as the Montagu's harrier (*Circus pygargus*) and the booted eagle (*Hieraaetus pennatus*).

This area is composed of diverse habitats where representative elements of different biodiversity zones are found **(Figure 50**). It has 250 species of vertebrates and 2,100 species of invertebrates. It also has a high diversity of amphibians and reptiles.



Figure 50. Protected areas (Habitat directive sites) included in the pilot site of Cova da Beira. (Source: https://geocatalogo.icnf.pt/catalogo_tema2.html)

4.4.9 Climate

From a climatic point of view, Cova da Beira region is classified as Mediterranean climate, with hot and dry summers and mild and rainy winters (Köppen climate classification), with sone continental characteristics. **Figure 51** shows the variation of the mean annual temperature and accumulated annual precipitation between 2000 and 2021, for Cova da Beira region.



Figure 51. Variation of the mean annual temperature and accumulated annual precipitation between 2000 and 2021, for Cova da Beira region (Source: Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) (2017): ERA5: Fifth generation of ECMWF atmospheric reanalyses of the global climate. Copernicus Climate Change Service Climate Data Store (CDS), https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home)

4.4.10 Demographics / Social / Economy

Cova da Beira is characterized by mixed settlements, concentrated mainly in urban areas, and quite dispersed in some rural areas, notably through numerous small farms. Cova da Beira has been a region marked by rural exodos, despite the development of industrial activity and proximity services (**Figure 52**). The population density shows some social disparity that accentuates the demographic pressure on urban centers to the detriment of the surrounding rural areas. In addition to the diversity of agricultural uses and some forest patches, this landscape is also characterized by the density and dispersion of settlements, accompanied by a dense network of communication routes and, in general, by signs of relative vigour of economic activities, especially related to agriculture but also to industry and services (Covilhã and Fundão).

Some regional products, directly or indirectly related to the landscape, have been classified as of great quality by the Ministry of Agriculture, such as: Olive oil, goat and lamb meat, Cova da Beira cherries, peaches, and apples, a variety of cheeses, and wine with the Cova da Beira Designation of Origin.



Figure 52. Annual estimates of the resident population in Cova da Beira by local of residence (municipalities, NUTS) (Source: INE, 2022)

4.4.11 History of Fires

Portugal ranks among the European countries that are more vulnerable to the impacts of climate change and is witnessing an intensification of phenomena such as drought, desertification, and coastal erosion, along with increased risks of flooding and wildfires. Extreme climate conditions contribute towards such risks, especially heatwaves, peaks in precipitation and storms with their interrelated strong winds, which may be expected to continue to impact on the Portuguese territory, with increasing frequency, intensity, and duration of these extreme events. On the other hand, the rural exodus that occurred in Portugal mainly in the second half of the twenty-century contributed to land abandonment and widespread afforestation, especially in the interior North and Centre of the country, contributing also to increasingly frequent and more severe wildfires. The forest fire hazard Map of Portugal is shown in **Figure 53 Figure 53** 45.



Figure 53 45. Forest fire hazard Map (Portugal)

Rural fires have destroyed thousands of hectares in Portugal. This is not a new phenomenon, but in recent years the number of dead people has increased (particularly in 2017 mega fires where more than 60 people have died). Since 2017, according to the Portuguese Law n. 76/2017 owners, tenants, or entities that, for whatever reason, hold land adjacent to buildings in rural spaces, are obliged to proceed with fuel management. Almost all parishes from the Cova da Beira region have been classified as priority for monitoring fuel management in the context of rural fire prevention by the Portuguese fire management authorities. This context underlies the importance of Cova da Beira region regarding to fire vulnerability.

4.4.12 Causes of wildfires in Portugal

Between 2012 and 2021, out of a total of 158210 rural fires, 122514 were investigated (77% of the total number of fires). Of these, the investigation allowed for the attribution of a cause for 80063 fires (65% of the investigated fires). Only 1% of the fires occurred from natural causes (**Figure 54**). Negligent use of fire (41%) and arson (28%) were the most frequent causes of fires between 2012 and 2021. Reignition of fires accounted for 13% of the total identified causes, which is lower than the average of the previous 10 years (17%).



Figure 54. Percentage distribution of rural fires by most frequent causes between 2012 and 2021 (Source: https://www.icnf.pt/florestas/gfr/gfrgestaoinformacao/grfrelatorios/areasardidaseocorrencias)

4.5 Podpoľanie - Slovakia

4.5.1 Location/ Administrative

Approximate location of case study area: Podpolanie is in the central part of Slovakia (Figure 46), particularly within region of Banská Bystrica and its district Detva. Within case study area are situated two towns (Detva and Hriňová) and 13 municipalities. In 2021 the population of Detva district was almost 33,700 residents and population density 74 persons per square km.

The location of the Podpolanie case study area within NUTS classification is as follows:
NUTS1 SK0 - The Slovak Republic (republic = republika)
NUTS2 SK03 - Central Slovakia (area = oblasť)
NUTS3 SK032 - Banská Bystrica Region (region = kraj)
LAU 1 604 - Detva District (district = okres)

Figure 46. Pilot study area of Podpolanie

4.5.2 Geomorphology

In terms of the geomorphological subdivision of Slovakia, the Poľana Mountains belong to the Slovak Central Mountains, which are mainly made up of andesite stratovolcanoes and the intermountain basins surrounded by them. The basins, except for the higher Horehronské sub-valley, belong to the so-called middle basins, which have a bottom at an altitude of 300-700 m above sea level.

Polana Mountains is of a volcanic structure, with the best-preserved features of the original volcanic morphostructure. The dominant geomorphological unit is Vysoká Poľana with its central depression (the erosive caldera of Kyslinky). The caldera is strongly indented with a flat bottom, the slopes of which with valleys and watercourses have a centripetal orientation.

The geological structure of most of the area is dominated by the neovolcanics of the Poľana stratovolcano, built up by lava flows, breccias and epiclastic rocks of andesitic composition of Lower-Central Sarmatian age. The most varied and complex part is in the Kyslinky area, which is an erosive caldera. The Detvian foothills are built by less resistant pyroclastics.

The sediments of the intra-mountain basins and basins are mainly built up by deluvial sediments. From the north and east, bedrock belonging to the Veporské vrchy (Veporské vrchy Mountains) unit is submerged beneath the stratovolcanic rocks of the stratovolcano. They are built up by Palaeozoic rocks of the Veporik crystalline, mainly metamorphosed rocks and granitoids.

The Poľana is a strongly individual unit of almost circular plan with a diameter of about 18 km. This plan is only significantly disturbed on the northern side by the Ľubietovské Vepro escarpment. A specific physiognomic feature of Poľana is that the maximum altitudes are not related to the central part of the mountain range. The core of Poľana is a depression, a depressed form of a basin-like shape - Kyslinky. The sub-unit of the Detvian foothills flanks the high Poľana along its entire western and southern perimeter and is partly characterized by lower to irregularly spaced groups of spines. The Veporské vrchy Mountains are characterized by different morphographical features compared to Poľana. There are two types of relief here, namely the plain and the dissected plain. The typical floodplain is linked to the source area of the Kamenistý potok (Kamenistý brook). The dissected plain is characterized by broad flat-topped ridges and relatively deeply incised valleys.

Polana is divided into sub-regions (See **Figure 47**):

a. Vysoká Poľana occupies the main ridge of the mountain range and the Vepra escarpment. The Vysoká Poľana also includes a part called the Kyslinky, representing an erosively depressed depression with upland relief - the bottom of a caldera drained by the Hučava river.
b. The area around the highest peak of the mountain range (Poľana, 1,458 m above sea level) and the opposite Bukovina (1,294 m above sea level) has a relief of strongly rugged higher mountainous terrain, the rest of the High Polana is strongly to very strongly rugged lower mountainous terrain. Most of the main ridge is located at an altitude above 1,000 m, the lowest saddleback is the saddleback of Príslopy (956 m above sea level). On the ridge, and especially on its inner edge, there are rock formations on the outflows of andesitic lava. To a limited extent, reefs are also found on the thick pyroclastics, e.g., on the ridge between Hájny Grun and Vepro. On the outer slope of the mountain range, the scarps are formed by selective erosion on the faces of andesite lava flows, but also scoured along cracks in the pyroclastics.
c. The Detvian Predhorie (foothills) is a transitional sub-unit connecting the Poľana and the Zvolen basin. It has the relief of a moderately to strongly dissected highland, in the deeply incised Hučava valley, in places also very strongly dissected. It is formed on mainly volcanoclastic rocks of the lower part of the stratovolcanic slope.

Figure 47. Poľana orographic sub-regions

The specific geomorphological structure of the area is also reflected in the unique watercourse network of the area. The central part of the Poľana caldera is drained by the Hučava stream, which tributaries form a centripetal network of watercourses. On the other hand, a centrifugal - radial network of watercourses has formed on the periphery of the caldera, which flow out of the territory to all sides of the world. The total reported length of watercourses in Poľana is 357.12 km. The most important watercourses of the territory, apart from the Hučava mentioned above, are the Hukava and Slatina in the south-east of the territory, the Kamenistý potok in the north-east, the Hutná in the north-west and the Zolná in the west. All streams belong to the Hron river basin.

In the south-eastern part of the territory, on the upper reaches of the Slatina, there is the Hriňová water reservoir. The south-eastern part of the territory of the protected landscape area is therefore subject to restrictions resulting from the relevant sanitary protection zones.

The territory of the PLA-BR Poľana overlaps with several areas of water management importance. The most significant is the already mentioned Hriňová water reservoir with a sanitary protection zone of 71.04 square km, as well as sanitary protection zones of smaller water sources, and several water streams and their catchment areas. Hygienic protection zones serve for stricter protection of water resources and are designated for all exploited sources of groundwater and surface water, as well as medicinal and mineral waters. They also include the protection of areas within watercourses and their catchment areas, as well as the protection of areas of interest for prospective water works. The catchment area of a watercourse is the area from which surface water flows into the catchment area to the profile defining the termination of the watercourse, as well as the area from which surface water is artificially transferred into the catchment area of a watercourse to increase its capacity. Enhanced and special protection shall apply in the catchment area of watercourses. In addition to the constructions, installations or activities listed under the Water Act, the consent of the competent water authority is required for all constructions, installations and activities in a watercourse basin which may adversely affect the quality, formation, circulation, and quantity of water resources.

4.5.3 Soils

The dominant soil type of the PLA is cambisols, especially typical cambisols. These are mostly medium to deep soils (0.4 - 1.2 m). The soil reaction is acidic 4.5 to 5.0 pH/KCl.

In the higher altitudes of the volcanic part of the PLA they are followed by andosols. They are characterized by a high content of volcanic glass and humus in the soil profile. The specific properties of these soils are attributed to the remarkable dimensions of some trees (e.g., fir species at the end of the Hrochot valley) or the occurrence of thermophytic habitats at unusually high altitudes (oak-hornbeam at Kalamarka at around 800 m). It is the second most widespread soil type in the PLA. The other soil types are less widespread in area and occur only locally in smaller islands.

4.5.4 Land use

The case study area is agricultural – forest land with forests in the north and agricultural land in the south (Figure 48). For an upper part of the forest area are typical beech and fir-beech forests, in contrast to lower part where do prevail the Carpathian oak-hornbeam forests. Agricultural land is characterized by middle to low productive. The region is considered rather as region with specific cultural landscape development. In particular, the surrounding of Hriňová town is characterized by dispersed rural settlements and by traditional land use (e.g., small private owners). The region has never undergone collectivization in the 20th century; therefore, it represents a unique opportunity to study relations between the man and the landscape. Moreover, region could be characterized as highland territory with different land-use patterns. There are grown commercial deciduous and mixed coniferous-deciduous forests, meadows, pastures, arable land, and areas with non-forest woody vegetation. Some parts of the mountain pastures and meadows are abandoned and overgrown.



Figure 48. Land use structure of Polana

4.5.5 Ecological Valence



Figure 49. Protected Landscape Area Poľana - the Poľana Biosphere Reserve

North part of the pilot study area (almost forest) is under nature protection (**Table 23**), particularly it belongs to Protected Landscape Area Poľana - the Poľana Biosphere Reserve (**Figure 49**). Protected Landscape Area (PLA) Poľana was launched in 1981 for the protection of inanimate nature, plant, and animal communities as well as a special landscape character. Agricultural land as the mountain meadows and pastures is either mowed or grazed by cattle and sheep. Re-cultivation in recent past, to some degree has changed the original floristic composition of the grasslands. Despite this, enough natural plant and animal communities is still present. The area is dominated by the massif of Poľana Mountain that is the highest extinct volcano in Central Europe with its altitude of 1458 m. Elevation range is about 1000 m (the lowest point of 460 m. above sea level and the highest of 1458 m. above sea level). The whole mountain is part of the Carpathian arc. In a relatively small area exists a presence of mountain thermophile species of plants and animals.

Table 23. Proportion of protected areas in Podpolanie Pilot study area

Protected areas within Podpolanie pilot study area	**Area (ha)**	**%-share**
Protected Landscape Area (acronym CHKO)	6,795	32
Special areas of conservation (acronym ÚEV)	12,085	57
Small, protected areas (acronym MCHÚ)	749	3.5



Figure 50. Protected areas within Podpolanie pilot study area

The forest land in the area has important protection functions concerning the water, soil, and biodiversity. Within the territory is located a water reservoir Hriňová, which is an important source of drinking water for the surrounding region. Moreover, the recreational function of the area is also significant. Due to Protected game area of Poľana is Podpolanie pilot study area well known for its hunting. On the area of more than 20,000 ha is provided a coordinated ecological and large-scale management of game, especially of red deer population of Carpathian deer. Additionally, the forest is also intensively used for mushrooms, forests' fruits, and nuts picking. To the outdoor activities mainly belong summer activities as tourism, ecotourism, and various sports.

The Protected Landscape Area (PLA) Poľana was declared on the territory of 20 360.4804 ha. Of these, there are 3 001.4072 ha of agricultural land, 17 102.3626 ha of forest land, 102.4992 ha of water areas, 48.6161 ha of urban areas and 105.5953 ha of other areas. The landscape of Protected Landscape area Poľana is shown in Figure 51.

Figure 51. Protected Landscape area Poľana

4.5.6 Climate

The territory of the PLA is characterized by the dominance of a cold mountain climate in the higher parts of the mountains, which changes to a cool and slightly cool climate in the lower parts. The average annual air temperature ranges from 3-5°C. The coldest months are January and February, while the warmest months are July and August. Average rainfall ranges from 600-900 mm, rising to 1,100 mm in the highest parts of the area.

The specific geomorphological structure of the area is also reflected in the unique river network of the area. The central part of the Poľana caldera is drained by the Hučava river, the tributaries of which form a centripetal network of watercourses. On the other hand, a centrifugal - radial network of watercourses has formed on the periphery of the caldera, which flow out of the territory to all sides of the world. The total reported length of watercourses in Poľana is 357.12 km. The most important watercourses of the territory, apart from the Hučava mentioned above, are the Hukava and Slatina in the south-east of the territory, the Kamenistý potok in the north-east, the Hutná in the north-west and the Zolná in the west. All the streams belong to the Hron river basin.

In the south-eastern part of the territory, on the upper reaches of the Slatina, there is the Hriňová water reservoir. The south-eastern part of the territory of the protected landscape area is therefore subject to the restrictions resulting from the relevant sanitary protection zones.

4.5.7 Forest Ownership

In terms of forest land ownership in Podpolanie pilot study area (**Figure 52**), the state forest proportion is 84.7 %. This area is administered by the State Enterprise Forest’s branch plant Kriváň, which is the largest forest subject in the region. Forest land whose owners are unknown (3.7 %) is also managed by this forest holding. From non-state owners the biggest share is in communal ownership (8.3 %). Private owners own 3.2 % and church only 0.1 % of forest land. Very small part of forest land is in municipal and agricultural cooperatives ownership.



Figure 52. Ownership structure in the pilot study area Podpolanie

4.5.8 Flora

In terms of the phytogeographical division of Slovakia, the territory of Poľana belongs to the area of the West Carpathian flora (Carpaticum occidentale), to the perimeter of the Precarpathian flora (Praecarpaticum) and to the district of the Slovak Central Mountains.

In the past, a large part of this tertiary stratovolcano was covered by an extensive forest cover, which even today occupies about 85% of the area. The original species composition of their stands has been altered in many places by intensive human activity, particularly since the 17th century. At present, forest communities of forest vegetation stages 2 to 7 are present.

Only fragmentary oak-beech and beech-oak forests can be found in the south-western parts. In these forests, in addition to oak (*Quercus cerris L.*), winter oak (*Quercus petraea* L.), summer oak (*Quercus robur* L.) and beech (Fagus sylvatica L.), hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus* L.), small-leaved and large-leaved lime (*Tilia cordata L.*, *Tilia platyphyllos* L.) are also present. They are followed by the most widespread beech and fir-beech forests. In addition to the main tree species, these forests are mixed with Norway spruce (*Picea abies L*) and, in the valley bottoms with damp, stony scree, maple (*Acer pseudoplatanus L.*), elm (*Ulmus glabra L.*) and ash (*Fraxinus excelsior l.*). Beech stands are dominant on more or less open southern slopes with warm air currents up to the ridge positions.

The highest positions of the Protected Landscape Area Poľana are occupied by the original mountain spruce forest, which is ringed by a narrow belt of spruce-beech-fir forests. It represents the southernmost occurrence of native spruce forests on volcanites in the Western Carpathians. In addition to spruce, its tree species composition is dominated by *Sorbus aucuparia*, which forms an organic complement in the development of stands of *Acer pseudoplanus,* while the shrub floor is made up of *Lonicera nigra*, *Salix silesiaca*, *Ribes alpinum*, *Daphne mezereum*, *Rosa pendulina*, etc. In the two-layered herbaceous undergrowth, mainly mountain and subalpine species such as *Doronicum austriacum, Cicerbita alpina*, *Adenostyles alliariae*, *Ranunculus platanifolius*, *Soldanella hungarica*, *Veratrum lobelianum*, *Luzula sylvatica*, *Calamagrostis villos*a, can be found.

In many locations, larger areas have been deforested and replacement communities of meadows, pastures and fields have been created. Species-rich meadow communities with many threatened and rare plant species, together with other non-forest and forest communities, increase the overall biodiversity of the PLA Poľana. Field communities can be found mainly at the southern foot of the massif, near typical dispersed settlements and farm buildings - "lazy".

4.5.9 Fauna

The entire southern part of the Poľana Mountains is under the influence of a warmer climate, and therefore some characteristic xerothermophilic species, some of which reach the northeastern limit of their distribution here, such as *Lychnis coronaria*, *Artemisia absinthium*, *Calamintha clinopodium*, *Achillea nobilis*, *Potentilla argentea*. In contrast, cool-temperate montane species occur in the lower inversion valleys. The extremely valuable, most endangered and at the same time declining communities include the vegetation of peat bogs, springs, and waterlogged mountain meadows with the occurrence of the *Parnassia palustris*, *Drosera rotundifolia*, *Trollius altissimus*, *Iris sibirica*.

Poľana is also characterized by a richness of animal species, mainly due to the high diversity of the territory and the influence of the relief and the location of the mountains themselves. This is also reflected in the occurrence of thermophilic as well as mountain species. The area is largely made up of forests, with approximately 2,000 ha of meadows and pastures.

Of the invertebrates, many species are endemic, relict, rare and endangered. Several of them are protected species of European and national importance. Invertebrates are very abundant, many of which are rare and endemic. The fauna of molluscs is interesting, which are mainly associated with preserved forest ecosystems, with several Carpathian endemics, e.g., *Trichia bakowski*i, *Vestia elata*, *Vestia turgida*, *Vitrea transylvanica*, *Biezia coerulans*, and the fauna of spiders, shepherd's spiders, millipedes and centipedes.

The richest class of invertebrates is represented by insects. In the meadows with isolated hills and rocks, straight-winged insects can be found, e.g. In some localities there are also the *Chorthippus biguttulus, Chorthippus mollis, Decticus verrucivorus, Tettigonia cantans*, and in some localities also the rare *Arcyptera* *fusca* and *Pholidoptera frivaldskyi*. Recently, however, the meadows have gradually become overgrown due to their lack of use. This is gradually leading to the destruction of undeniably interesting biotopes and the species that live in them.

The beetles of relatively preserved forest communities are represented by, e.g., Carabus variolosus, Carabus auronitens, Carabus irregularis, Eurythyrea austriaca, Cucujus haematodes, Rosalia alpina, etc.

The group of mountains, boreomontane relicts is represented by the *Carabus arcensis*, *Lacon fasciatu*s, *Aphodius alpinus*. Some sites with south-facing slopes create conditions for thermophilic species such as *Ephippigera ephippiger*, *Mantis religioza*, *Carabus scabriusculus,* and *Eurythyrea quercus*, *Anthaxia funerul*a, which are characteristic for the Pannonian zoogeographical region.

The flowering meadows attract a variety of colourful butterflies, e.g., Parnassius mnemosyne, Papilio machaon, Erynnis tages, Pyrgus malvae, Melitaea cinxia, M. didyma, Lycarna tityrus, L. alciphro and others. The meadow is also rich in watercourses. Their purity is also evidenced by the occurrence of some bipterans, especially the Chironomidae and the Salmo trutta morpha fario.

In PLA Poľana, which is also Biospheric Reserve, there are 11 species of amphibians. The most endangered are the *Triturus vulgaris, Triturus alpestris, Triturus montandoni* and *Salamandra salamandra.* In recent years, the number of sites and the population density of the Hyla arborea have decreased significantly.

Reptiles are represented by 9 species. The avifauna of Poľana is extremely rich. So far, 174 species of birds have been found on its territory and in the vicinity, 128 of which are breeding birds. The exceptional importance of this area is underlined by the inclusion of Poľana among the Important Bird Areas (IBA) of Europe. The fauna of Poľana also includes 56 species of mammals. The mountain species of micromammals include *Sorex alpinus*, *Sicista betulina* and *Microtus agrestis*. *Microtus arvalis* and *Crocidura leucodon* are associated with woodland sites.

The decaying trees, rock crevices, haylofts and old buildings are home to several species of bats such as *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, *Myotis myotis*, *Plecotus auritus*, *Barbaslella barbaslella*, *Nyctalus leisleri* and others. The critically endangered *Lutra lutr*a occurs on watercourses. *Ursus arctos* lives in the quiet forest corners of Poľana, *Lynx lynx* and *Canis lupus* are also present. Deer are the most widespread game species in Poľana.

4.5.10 History of Fires and Prevention

Data on wildfires in 2021 from the Pilot study area are introduced in **Table 24**.

Table 24. Wildfires of Poľana in 2021

No.	**Date of fire announcement**	**Municipality**	**Vegetation type**	**Ignition source**	**Fire reason**	**Direct damage [eur]**	**Fire site area [m^2]**	**Fire season**
1	3.3.2021	Detva	grass and fallow land	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	0	30	winter
2	4.3.2021	Detva	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	15	3391	winter
3	9.3.2021	Korytárky	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	20	5000	winter

No.	Date of fire announcement	Municipality	Vegetation type	Ignition source	Fire reason	Direct damage [eur]	Fire site area [m^2]	Fire season
4	10.3.2021	Detva	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	5	1300	winter
5	19.3.2021	Stožok	grass and fallow land	not known	other negligence and carelessness of adults	50	6750	winter
6	26.3.2021	Vígľaš	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	10	10	spring
7	26.3.2021	Látky	grass and fallow land	matchstick; lighter	waste incineration (non-landfill)	30	900	spring
8	26.3.2021	Vígľaš	veldt	matchstick; lighter	waste incineration (non-landfill)	10	600	spring
9	26.3.2021	Detva	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	10	80	spring
10	27.3.2021	Detva	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	10	1500	spring
11	29.3.2021	Vígľaš	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	20	5000	spring
12	28.3.2021	Vígľaš	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	0	750	spring
13	30.3.2021	Hriňová	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	0	1400	spring
14	27.3.2021	Stará Huta	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	0	10000	spring
15	31.3.2021	Hriňová	veldt	matchstick; lighter	waste incineration (non-landfill)	10	1800	spring
16	1.4.2021	Detva	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	10	10000	spring
17	2.4.2021	Detva	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	0	3420	spring
18	1.4.2021	Detva	veldt	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	5	1000	spring
19	10.4.2021	Detva	another wildland	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	100	20000	spring
20	10.4.2021	Slatinské Lazy	veldt	cigarette butt	smoking	0	200	spring
21	10.4.2021	Hriňová	veldt	hot ash; glowing particles	hot ash handling	0	450	spring
22	12.5.2021	Stožok	other forests	not classified	lightning	20	100	spring

No.	Date of fire announcement	Municipality	Vegetation type	Ignition source	Fire reason	Direct damage [eur]	Fire site area [m²]	Fire season
23	10.6.2021	Podkriváň	grass and fallow land	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	10	20	spring
24	10.6.2021	Podkriváň	grass and fallow land	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	0	5	spring
25	14.6.2021	Látky	grass and fallow land	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	10	185	spring
26	19.6.2021	Detva	grass and fallow land	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	15	2500	spring
27	5.7.2021	Detva	grass and fallow land	other parts of transport mean and working machines	failure of exhaust, brake system, etc.	10	24	summer
28	6.7.2021	Korytárky	grass and fallow land	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	10	40	summer
29	22.7.2021	Stará Huta	grass and fallow land	matchstick; lighter	burning of grass and dry stands	0	1000	summer
30	29.7.2021	Vígľaš	grass and fallow land	cigarette butt	smoking	10	1500	summer
31	12.8.2021	Detva	grass and fallow land	external low voltage distribution - bare power line	electrical short circuit	15	3	summer
32	11.9.2021	Detva	veldt	matchstick; lighter	setting fires at landfills and rubbish	20	2	summer

In general, the wildfires are caused mostly by human activities. Most often, it is a deliberate human activity associated with the burning of agricultural and grassland areas close to the forest. This activity is typical throughout the territory, particularly in the spring and autumn seasons. It is most pronounced in the period of the survey of meadows and pastures in the territory of the Slovak Republic, which is carried out by the Ministry of Agriculture of the Slovak Republic and the outputs of which are used for redistribution of subsidies for haying of meadows and pastures to their owners or users. This is carried out at 10-yearly intervals. The last survey was carried out in 2022. The fire statistics for 2022 confirmed this fact.

When want to effectively combat with the wildfire, fire prevention phase is the most important. Foresters carry out patrolling activities in the forests of the territory at the time of increased fire danger after the declaration of the competent District Directorate of the Fire and Rescue Service on forest land or in its protective zone (50 m from the boundary of the forest land) on the entire territory of the district or only in the part of it. Often after the situation has been assessed by the director of the relevant forest administration office and the fire protection technician, even outside the declared time of increased fire danger. Usually, it used to be some time before the declaration of the District Directorate of the Fire and Rescue Service during the spring dry season, when the number of tourists in the forests is higher. Patrolling activity is one of the integral parts of the fire protection and fire prevention. During patrolling, the patrol service moves along the prescribed route of the patrol, or stays at a specified observation point, according to a fixed time schedule. During the working week, forest rangers patrol during non-working hours from 3 PM to 7 PM, and on weekends and public holidays they patrol from 10 AM to 6 PM.

It is the duty of every owner, administrator, or manager of a forest to have mapping documents elaborated, resulting from the Fire Prevention Ordinance. Each forest administration office has elaborated maps and placed in a visible place. This includes a text part and a graphic part with a text part indicating the location of water sources suitable for firefighting. Water sources suitable for aerial firefighting, firebreaks, and forest road network that can be used for access by firefighting vehicles and providing the intervention activities.

The Decree also specifies the exact number and type of fire-fighting tools depending on the area of forest under management.

4.6 Sebangau National Park, Borneo - Indonesia

4.6.1 Location/ Administrative

Sebangau National Park, administratively in Central Kalimantan Province. It is located accros three regencies: Katingan Regency, Pulang Pisau Regency, and Palangka Raya City. The wide area of Sebangau National Park is ± 542,141 ha; meanwhile, the forest land area in Central Kalimantan Province is ± 12,561,867.57 ha. Regarding the management, Sebangau National Park is divided into 3 (three) areas of the National Park Management Section (SPTN), namely SPTN I in Palangka Raya, Region II SPTN in Pulang Pisau, and Region III SPTN in Kasongan. Sebangau National Park Position is shown in **Figure 53.**



Figure 53. Sebangau National Park Position
Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022)

Sebangau National Park is the largest tropical peat forest conservation area in Indonesia (more than 90% of its area is a peat ecosystem). Variations in peat depth between 1 meter to 12 meters and up to 14 meters at some points. Sebangau National Park main functions according to Law no. 5 of 1990 is concerning Conservation of Biological Natural Resources and Their Ecosystems, namely: Preservation of biodiversity and their ecosystems. The Sebangau National Park area is a haven for orangutans, proboscis monkeys, and hornbills. Sebangau's important role can be seen in its reputation as a conservation area with the densest orangutan population in the world. The Sebangau National Park landscape is shown in **Figure 54**.



Figure 54. Sebangau National Park landscape
Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022)

4.6.2 Geomorphology

Generally, Sebangau National Park is a fluvial landform. The development of geomorphology in this area has been influenced by Kahayan River activities. This area consists of several landforms that are alluvial plain, floodplain, and waterlogged floodplain. The most area of Sebangau National Park includes in alluvial plain area. Some areas near Kahayan River were classified as floodplain and waterlogged floodplain area which has been temporarily or permanently inundated. Based on the Land System Map of Regional Planning Programme for Transmigration, most of the area were classified as peatland. This area is categorized as terrace, basin/domed peatland, riverine and marginal peatland (Rieley et al., 1993).

4.6.3 Geology

Sebangau National Park lies on Barito tertiary sedimentary basin (**Figure 55**). Barito basin is one of the oil and gas resources site in Indonesia (Mirnanda, 2020) This basin consists of 5 km thick of Old-Tertiary sedimentary rock and 6 km thick of Young-Tertiary sediment above the Old-Tertiary sedimentary rock (van Gorsel, 2018). The bedrock of Barito basin contains Pre-Tertiary granitic and andesitic igneous rock and metamorphic rock interspersed by siltstone and sandstone with inserting breccia and conglomerate (Heryanto & Sanyoto, 1994). Tertiary sedimentary rock was deposited above the Pre-Tertiary layer. Quaternary alluvium deposit fills top of the layer surface. Most of Sebangau National Park consist of alluvium deposits in the surface layer.



Figure 55. Geological map of Borneo (Moss & Chambers, 1999)

Sebangau National Park has a generally flat topography, with a slope between 0 and 2%. The altitude ranges from 0 to 35 m asl. The stratigraphic structure of the Sebangau National Park is composed of two main formations, surface sediments (Q) and frontal sedimentary rocks (Tq) (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022).

4.6.4 Soil

Sebangau National Park area consists of 2 (two) soil types, fluvaquents, and Tropaquents (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022). Fluvaquents are undeveloped soil, have sulfidic material at a depth of 50 cm, and are constantly saturated with water in all soil horizons at some time of the year. Tropaquents are undeveloped soil, have sulfidic material at a depth of 50 cm, and are constantly saturated with water in all soil horizons at some time of the year. Specifically, Tropaquents are characterized by the average difference in soil temperature of less than 5˚C.

4.6.5 Hydrography

Sebangau National Park is flanked by two large natural hydrological systems: the Sebangau River and the Katingan River. This hydrological component includes river discharge and groundwater fluctuations in areas with good vegetation and in degraded peat areas. The condition of the water system in the area or swamp forest currently varies relatively according to the season, the land typology condition, and the forest cover condition. Relatively open swamp areas, such as between the Kahayan and Sebangau Rivers, provide high fluctuations. This area generally spills water during the rainy season, and it experiences high drought during the dry season.

4.6.6 Climate

The climate of the Sebangau National Park area, according to the Koppen system, is mainly included in the wet tropical climate (A), namely the tropical climate type, with the driest wet season being type Aw. This type indicates an area with an annual rainfall of <2,500 mm, rain in the driest month of <60 mm, and the coldest monthly average air temperature of >18 ˚C. Based on climate data obtained from a micrometeorological tower located in the peat swamp forest of the Sebangau Sub-watershed, Central Kalimantan, that area is climate type A according to the Schmidt and Ferguson climate classification, with a Q value = 8.8% with an average monthly rainfall of 164 .61mm. According to data from the Meteorology and Geophysics Agency (BMG) Region III Palangka Raya Q value = 13.32% with an average monthly rainfall of 173.96 mm. In general, it can be described that the Sebangau area includes a wet climate.

4.6.7 Demography

The Sebangau National Park area is administratively located in eight districts in one city and two regencies, namely Palangka Raya City, Pulang Pisau Regency, and Katingan Regency. There are 42 villages located in and adjacent to the area with 95,924 residents. The villages and the number of populations surrounding Sebangau National Park shown in **Table 25**.

Table 25. Villages and Number of Population Surrounding Sebangau National Park

SPTN	Resort	District	Village	Number of Population
SPTN I	Sebangau Hulu	Sebangau	1. Kereng Bangkirai	7.963
			2. Sabaru	3.626
	Habaring Hurung	Jekan Raya	3. Bukit Tunggal	43.612
		Bukit Batu	4. Habaring Hurung	932
			5. Banturung	4.357
			6. Tangkiling	3.412
			7. Marang	986
SPTN II	Sebangau Kuala Mangkok dan Bangah	Sebangau Kuala	8. Paduran Sebangau	1.948
	Sebangau Kuala	Sebangau Kuala	9. Sebangau Mulya	769
			10. Paduran Mulya	643

SPTN	Resort	District	Village	Number of Population
			11. Mekar Jaya	1.060
			12. Sebangau Jaya	435
			13. Sebangau Permai	1.405
SPTN III	Baun Bango	Tasik Payawan	14. Handiwung	743
			15. Tumbang Panggo	774
			16. Petak Bahandang	1.632
			17. Hiyang Bana	1.934
			18. Talingke	510
			19. Tewang Tampang	946
			20. Luwuk Kanan	1.669
		Kamipang	21. Asem Kumbang	1.329
			22. Tumbang Runen	356
			23. Jahanjang	640
			24. Keruing	454
			25. Baun Bango	676
			26. Perupuk	111
			27. Telaga	1.442
			28. Tampelas	410
			29. Galinggang	1.310
	Muara Bulan dan Mendawai	Mendawai	30. Teluk Sebulu	219
			31. Mendawai	1.024
			32. Mekar Tani	748
			33. Parigi	492
			34. Tewang Kampung	498
			35. Tumbang Bulan	528
		Katingan Kuala	36. Kampung Baru	1.585
			37. Setia Mulia	664
			38. Singam Raya	614
			39. Sungai Kaki	272
			40. Bakung Raya	651
			41. Sebangau Jaya	779
			42. Bangun Jaya	1.766
			Amount	95.924

Souce: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022)

4.6.8 Forest Ownership

Prior to the appointment of Sebangau National Park in 2004, this area was a Permanent Production Forest, based on the Decree of the Ministry of Agriculture Number 759/KptsUm/10/1982 dated 12 October 1982 concerning Designation of Forest Areas in the Province of Central Kalimantan with an area of 15,300,000 Ha. The status of the Sebangau forest area is production forest (HP) and convertible production forest (HPK) managed by 13 production forest companies around the early 1970s to the mid-1990s.

After the companies stopped operating, the activities were illegal logging rife in the Sebangau area. As a result, the hydrological function of the Sebangau forest area was damaged, and its function as a water catchment area was also disrupted. The impact is that if there is drought during the dry season, it will easily cause forest fires.

In 2004 the Sebangau area was designated as the Sebangau National Park based on the Decree of the Minister of Forestry No.SK.423/MenhutII/2004 dated 19 October 2004 with an area of ± 568,700 Ha.

4.6.9 Flora

The Sebangau National Park area has seven forest types: 1) riparian forest, 2) riparian transition–mixed swamp, 3) mixed swamp, 4) transitional mixed swamp-low pole forest, 5) low pole forest, 6) high interior forest, and 7) shallow canopy forest (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022). Riparian forests lie between freshwater swamp forests and peat swamp forests. It is located close to the river (± to one km from the riverbank), and this area is always flooded during the rainy season. Generally, the peat depth in this area is very thin (± to a depth of 1.5 meters). The main plant species in this forest type are Shorea balangeran. The plant species in this forest are *Calophyllum* spp., *Campnosperma coriaceum* and *Combretocarpus rotundus*, and *Thorachostachyum bancanum*.

Riparian transition–mixed swamp forests generally have a very narrow area (± 1 – 1.5 km from the riverbank) with peat depths generally up to 2 meters. The type of plant that generally dominates this type is *Shorea balangeran*.

Mixed Swamp Forest can be found from the edge of the peat dome to 4 km inland. Peat depth generally ranges from 2-6 meters. Abundant plants also characterize this forest type with stilt or *buttres* roots; pneumatophores are also frequently found. The plant species commonly found in this mixed forest type are *Aglaia rubuginosa, Calophyllum hosei, C. lowii, C. sclerophyllum, Combretocarpus rotundatus, Cratoxylum glaucum, Dactylocladus stenostachys, Dipterocarpus coriaceus, Dyera costulata, Ganua mottleyana, Gonystylus bancanus, Mezzetia leptopoda, Neoscortechinia kingii, Palaquium coclearifolium, P. Leiocarpum, Shorea balangeran, S. teysmanniana,* and *Xylopiafusca*.

Transition Forest (Mixed Swamp Forest – Low Pole Forest) is generally found in areas 4 – 6 km from the riverbank. The composition of the upper and middle canopy layers is generally relatively the same as that of mixed swamp forests. However, the density of *Calophyllum spp., Combretocarpus rotundatus, and Palaquium cochlearifolium* is higher than that of mixed swamp forest. Very few plants have stilt or buttressing roots; pneumatophores are abundant on the forest floor. Pandan formations *(Pandanus and Freycinetia* spp.) are often extensive, continuous formations covering the ground surface.

Low pole forests are generally found between 6 – 11 km from the river bank, with peat depths ranging from 7 – 10 meters. The water table is usually permanently high, and the forest floor is highly erratic. The trees grow in island-like hummocks separated by water depths which generally disappear during the dry season. Pneumatophores are abundant and very dense on the peat floor. The plants commonly found in this forest type are *Combretocarpus rotundus, Calophyllum fragrans, C. hosei,* rarely found *Campnosperma coriaceum*, and *Dactylocladus stenostachys*. Pandan formation is very dense, and *Nephentes spp*., the amount is very abundant.

Tall Interior Forest is located on the sloping side of a peat dome, from 12 km to over 24.5 km. The water level is always below the peat level throughout the year. Common plant species in this community include *Agathis damara, Calophyllum hosei, C. Lowii, Cratoxylum glaucum, Dactylocladus stenostachys, Dipterocarpus coriaceus, Dyera costulata, Eugenia havelandii, Gonystylus bancanus, Gymnostoma sumatrana, Koompassia malaccensis, Mezzetia leptopoda, Palaquium coclearifolium , P. leiocarpum, Shorea* *teysmanniana, S. platycarpa, Tristania grandifolia, Vatica mangachopai, Xanthophyllum* spp., and *Xylopia* spp.

This Very Low Canopy Forest is relatively open and is located at the highest point between the two river systems. The plant species commonly found in this area are *Calophyllum* spp., *Combretocarpus rotundatus, Cratoxylum* spp., *Dactylocladus stenostachys, Litsea* spp., *Ploiarium alternativeolium, Tristania* spp, and *Pneumatophores*.

4.6.10 Fauna

Sebangau National Park is an essential habitat for Bornean orangutans. Many studies have been conducted to determine the orangutan population (*Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii*) in Sebangau. Based on the 2016 PHVA (Population Habitat and Viability Analysis) report, it is estimated that the population of Bornean orangutans in Sebangau National Park is 6,080 individuals (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022) and is one of the large metapopulations and has high prospects for sustainability.

Apart from the orangutan, another endangered and protected primate is the probosci's monkey (*Nasalis larvatus*). Even though the area is concentrated in the Bulan and Musang Rivers, the threat is relatively high. The total population of probosci's monkeys at the Sungai Bulan and Sungai Musang sites was found to be 187 individuals (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022). The population of gibbons (*Hylobates agilis albibarbis*) is estimated at 19,000 individuals (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022). Apart from orangutans and probosci's monkeys, several types of wild animals encountered include long-tailed monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*), sun bears (*Helarctos malayanus*), wild boar (*Sus barbatus*), deer (*Cervus unicolor*), deer (*Muntiacus muntja*k), mouse deer (*tragulus javanicus*), clouded leopard (*Neofelis nebulosa*), squirrel (*Tupaia* spp.), *Nycticebus coucang* and tarsiers (*Tarsius bancanus*).

There are 176 species of bird diversity in the Sebangau National Park area (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022). From the ethnic composition, birds in Sebangau National Park are dominated by frugivores, insectivores, and fruit and insect eaters. Examples of frugivores are the *Bucerotidae*, Columbidae, and Psittacidae; insectivores are *Timaliidae, Cuculidae,* and *Muscicapidae*; and the fruit and insect-eating groups are *Pycnonotidae*, *Chloropseidae, Dicaeidae*, and *Aegithinidae.*

Many fruit eaters are essential to forest communities because they disperse plant seeds throughout the forest. Types of nectar eaters (*Nectariniidae*), such as birds from the *Nectariniidae* tribe, play a vital role here, considering their presence can help pollinate flowers in the forest.

Some of the species that are easy to find are the tong tong stork (*Leptoptilus javanicus*), bondol eagle (Haliastur indus), gray-headed eagle (*Ichtyopaga ichtyaetus*), bido snake eagle (*Spilornis cheela*), brontok eagle (*Spizaetus spirhatus*), golden pekaka (*Pelargopsis capensis*), black hornbill (*Antrachoceros malayanus*), white-bellied hornbill (*Antrachoceros albirostris*), bush sunbird (*Chalcoparia singalensis assamensis*), sea stork (*Ardea sumatrana*), and the great cuckoo (*Centropus chinensis*), swamp forest heron (*Ciconia stormi*), hornbill (*Aceros corrugatos*), rhinoceros hornbill (*Rhinoceros hornbil*l) and fire kite (*Hirundo rustica*).

Apart from birds, the types of reptiles in Sebangau National Park include pythons (*Phyton reticulatus*), water snakes (*Homalopsis buccata*), red-tailed pipe snakes (*Cylindropsis rufus*), cobras (*Naja sumatrana*), green snakes (*Ahaetulla prasina*), brown snakes Malayan (*Xenelophis hexagonatus*), monitor lizard (*Varanus salvator*), and box turtle.

4.6.11 History of Fire

Previously, Sebangau National Park was an area of production forest and convertible production forest. It provided commercial opportunities for forestry companies. Unsurprisingly, from the 1970s to the mid-1990s, the Sebangau production forest area was managed by companies holding forest concession rights. During that period, there were 13 large companies entrenched in the Sebangau production forest area. After the forest company stopped operating, illegal logging hit the Sebangau area. As a result, the hydrological function of Sebangau is increasingly in disarray. Its function as a water catchment area is also disturbed. As a result, during the dry season, Sebangau is prone to forest fires.

Forest fire indicators that have been used so far are hotspots. Number of hotspots in Sebangau National Park 2015-2020 shown in **Figure 56**. Sebangau National Park distribution of hotspot in 2019 and 2020 is shown in **Figure 57** and **Figure 58.**



Figure 56. Number of hotspots in Sebangau National Park 2015-2020



Figure 57. Sebangau National Park distribution of hotspot in 2019
Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022)

Figure 58. Sebangau National Park distribution of hotspot in 2020
Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2022)

Forest fires are a problem faced by Sebangau National Park during the dry season. Based on data from the Sebangau National Park Office, it is known that from 2011 to 2020, the area of fires reached ± 54,236.87 ha. Area of Forest Fires in Sebangau National Park 2011-2020 shown in **Figure 59**.



Figure 59. Area of Forest Fires in Sebangau National Park 2011-2020

5 Result and Discussion

5.1 Systematic Review of Rehabilitation and Restoration Programs in Europe

There are three approaches to describe European forest restoration and rehabilitation studies: Forest behavior study, Forest management problems expose, and Developing solutions. All these approaches were extracted and summarized from various papers from journals, reports and textbooks.

5.1.1 Forest Behavior Study

The understanding of the evolution of forest species and their capacity to recover was addressed (Qiu et al., 2022). *First*, seed production is not constrained by a strict trade-off between seed size and numbers. Instead, seed numbers vary over ten orders of magnitude, with species that invest in large seeds producing more seeds than expected from the 1:1 trade-off. *Second*, gymnosperms have lower seed production than angiosperms, potentially due to their extra investments in protective woody cones. *Third*, nutrient-demanding species, indicated by high foliar phosphorus concentrations, have low seed production. Finally, sensitivity of individual species to soil fertility varies widely, limiting the response of community seed production to fertility gradients.

Aeolian dust deposition (ADD) is recognized as a significant nutrient input in various ecosystems (Lequy et al., 2012). It is not considered in usual nutrient budgets of European forests. This suggests Aeolian dust may contribute as high as 30% of total nutrient inputs, so that it may significantly shift upwards nutrient budgets of European forests under latitude 52°N. Further investigations are therefore needed to inform about accurate ADD rates below the tree canopy and to take account of the total nutrient inputs.

The more resilient tree species in forests mean the more adapted in changed conditions by planting more adaptive or better pre-adapted vegetations (Illés & Móricz, 2022). Results showed remarkable changes in the extent of geographic areas of all the investigated species' climate envelopes. Many of the tree species of Central Europe could lose significant portions of their distribution range. Adhering to the shift in climate, these tree species shift further north as well as towards higher altitudes. European forests face remarkable changes, and the results support climate envelope modeling as an important tool that provides guidelines for climate adaptation to identify threatened areas or to select source and destination areas for reproductive material.

5.1.2 Forest Management Problems Expose

Studying 42 qualitative in-depth interviews with national experts and forest practitioners in 9 European countries (Konczal et al., 2023). In correlation to the resilience of the forest in the face of climate and societal change, the main factors perceived to facilitate this integration are the personal motivations and knowledge of forest managers and their long-term economic thinking. Forest owners and managers in Europe are currently facing increasingly severe challenges to adapt their forests and management schemes in response to climate change. the necessity to adapt their forests and management to changing societal expectations, and related policy demands. Key lessons learnt from this analysis include: 1) the importance of changing societal demands towards forest management, its outputs, and the resulting social pressure to practice (more) conservation-oriented forest management; 2) the role of laws and political frameworks, which are crucial (but not sufficient on their own) for a successful implementation of nature conservation measures in forest management; 3) the challenging relations between demands arising from (current) wood markets and economic objectives of forest management, on one hand, and the ambition to advance nature conservation measures within forest management on the other; 4) the related necessity to accompany the legal and political framework with appropriate incentive schemes (both financial and non-financial); 5) the importance of growing environmental knowledge among society, which is perceived to not yet correlate with a better understanding of the long-term consequences of forest/land management; and, finally, 6) the related need to enable better bi-directional communication between forest managers and society, including across different political sectors.

Governing Europe's forests for multiple ecosystem services: opportunities meet six challenges (Winkel et al., 2022) : (1) an insufficient alignment of FES (forest ecosystem services) supply and demand, (2) lacking policy integration, (3) ambiguous and conflicting regulatory frameworks, (4) a lack of precise information on FES demand and provision, and innovations to align both, (5) an increasing pressure to adapt to climate change, and (6) a striking diversity constraining European level policy solutions. These facts lead to solutions proposed as follows: (1) Better monitoring of FES supply and demand, (2) Enhanced policy integration, (3) Payments for ecosystem services, and (4) Bottom-up participation and learning among ecosystem services innovators. There are three opportunities after all the problems: (1) increasingly heterogeneous forest owner objectives potentially matching pluralistic societal demands, (2) diversifying forest enterprises levering innovations in regulating and cultural ecosystem services provision, and (3) the potential of forests to mitigate climate change.

Case study of central Portugal which included decision-makers, local technicians, forest owners and the public (Valente et al., 2015). There is a strong contradiction between forest owners' perceptions and their actual actions. Most of them said that they maintained some management activities on their properties, but in fact the lack of forest management is an important social concern and a major cause of forest fires. The results show that there is a consensus on the main issues affecting forests and forest management. A shift from classic forest owners to the emergence of indifferent forest owners was observed, although this shift has not been recognized by the forest owners in the survey, who maintain the individual management of their properties.

5.1.3 Developing Solutions

Developing solutions is complication of many solutions or programs to restore the degraded forest in Europe to create ecological resilience forest. A synthesis of the Biodiversity, Resilience and Stability Relationship in Forest Ecosystems was discussed (Thompson, Mackey, McNulty, Mosseler, et al., 2009). The ecological stability, resistance, resilience, and adaptive capacities of forests depend strongly on their biodiversity. The diversity of genes, species, and ecosystems confers on forests the ability to withstand external pressures, and the capacity to 'bounce back' to their pre-disturbance state or adapt to changing conditions. This review explores these relationships based on published scientific literature. Plantations and modified natural forests will face greater disturbances and risks for large-scale losses due to climate change than primary forests, because of their generally reduced biodiversity. The resilience of a forest ecosystem to changing environmental conditions is determined by its biological and ecological resources, in particular (i) the diversity of species, including microorganisms, (ii) the genetic variability within species (i.e., the diversity of genetic traits within populations of species), and (iii) the regional pool of species and ecosystems. Resilience is also influenced by the size of forest ecosystems (generally, the larger and less fragmented, the better), and by the condition and character of the surrounding landscape.

To create the resilience of a forest ecosystem, the need for an approach to managing forests is urgent. There are five steps for managing Europe's forests (Silvano Fares, 2015):

(1) Plant resilient species. Managers should plant species that tolerate a variety of climates, such as those that can grow over a range of latitudes and altitudes. Mixed stands are more resistant to pests and disturbances than single species, which succumb easily to such threats. They also shelter sensitive species such as beech that become vulnerable in warm, dry conditions

(2) Promote carbon storage. The timing of harvests needs to be optimized. Commercial pressures dictate frequent harvests and thus, short rotations (the time between timber establishment and harvest). But longer rotation cycles are needed to promote carbon storage. The win–win strategy6 lies in between.

(3) Manage disturbances. In pure stands, selecting resistant families and clones could reduce the risk of damage by pests and diseases. Scientists need to understand why different diseases and pests become problematic by studying specific forest communities affected by pathogens or insects.

(4) Consider renewable energy. Policy makers need to provide incentives for investment across the supply chain, and the impacts of such policies should be considered carefully. For example, subsidizing biodiesel production would increase the price of forest biomass and thus lessen its use in generating heat and power. To ensure that bioenergy production is environmentally and economically sustainable, researchers

(5) Quantify and market other benefits. Non wood products and services from forests — related to conservation, water and soil protection, recreation or climate-change mitigation and adaptation — are now excluded from the market. Introducing payments for them would encourage private landowners to manage their forests sustainably (about half of European forests are in private hands). A water company, for example, might pay foresters to protect a catchment; citizens might pay to enter a woodland for recreation.

Vegetation planting after the fire become a method to foster the tree growth. Many approaches have been developed to enhance the planting process. Direct seeding is one of it, as a technique of planting that is resilience to the climatic condition. This approach gives better result on combating pressure (Villalobos et al., 2020). Another is planting specific tree species that provide more benefit to the process. Native tree species is more tough to cope with climate change disturbance (Bowditch et al., 2022; Shaw, 2019)

Another method of forest conservation is timber plantation. Timber plantations can support the conservation of natural forests (Pirard et al., 2016). Timber plantation has benefits and shortages. The benefit is reducing the degradation of natural forests. The shortage of timber plantation is potential increasing the deforestation due to either lower market value of natural forests in the absence of logging, or displacement effects.

If we talk about conservation, we also talk about restoration. There are four forest restoration strategies: rehabilitation, reconstruction, reclamation, and replacement (Stanturf et al., 2014). Rehabilitation restores desired species composition, structure, or processes to a degraded ecosystem. Reconstruction restores native plant communities on land recently in other resource uses, such as agriculture. Reclamation restores severely degraded land generally devoid of vegetation, often the result of resource extraction, such as mining. Replacement of species (or their locally - adapted genotypes) with new species (or new genotypes) is a response to climate change. (Stanturf et al., 2014) reviewed many papers and conduct restoration programs according to objective, present forest condition, strategy, and method. In this deliverable, present forest condition is about degradation forest caused by fire disturbance. So, these programs are (1) Clear fell and plant all desired species, (2) "Enrichment planting; framework species method", (3) "Assisted natural regeneration; farmer assisted natural regeneration", (4) Blowdown; with or without salvage logging; plant desired species, (5) Agroforestry methods, (6) Partial overstory removal; underplanting; natural regeneration, (7) "Erosion control (re-seed native understory; mulching); with or without salvage logging; plant desired species", (8) "Fuel reduction by mechanical or chemical means; re-introduce prescribed fire; fire surrogates", (9) "Fuel reduction by mechanical or chemical means; re-introduce prescribed fire; fire surrogates".

In Central European Forest that forest decline’ attributed to industrial emissions, there are several programs to rehabilitate the degraded forests (Fanta, 1997), such are (1) colonization of degraded sites by pioneer tree species that more have benefit to restore the soil; (2) succession (spontaneous regeneration) which can supports biological diversity and facilitates natural regeneration of forest trees (especially pioneers); (3) delaying the replanting of clearings; (4) adaptive planting; (5) mowing the grass around young trees; (6) the combination of spontaneous regeneration and adaptive planting.

Further on mowing method, it is proven to be an efficient method to increase the vegetation richness. Mowing had a statistically significant effect on species composition except for the shortest (3-year) experiment. cessation of mowing significantly reduced the richness of species, especially those of conservation importance. In contrast, any mowing of abandoned fens increased species richness (Hájková et al., 2022).

Natural regeneration often is mentioned in many papers as restoration programs in the forest that are degraded. (Jonášová et al., 2006) explain that natural regeneration can transform the plant in the forest into more diverse stands with a higher participation of target indigenous tree species. it happens in Dwingeloo and Smilde, Drenthe Province in The Netherlands. The most important factors influencing the regeneration of indigenous species in that location were: numbers of seed trees within a 50 m distance from the plot, the type of plot (gap or canopy), canopy cover and age and size of gaps.

While tree growth and survival have long been quantifiable using traditional methods, they cannot be used to anticipate reforestation potential without knowledge of fecundity (Qiu et al., 2022). **Seed limitation** takes on new urgency, as highlighted by fires of uncommon severity in fuels cured by multi-year drought that leave only remnants of reproductive trees. The global relationships quantified from this synthesis bring not only a previously unmeasured dimension of forest response; they also will allow us to leverage existing knowledge of growth and survival with the missing link to regeneration, that of tree fecundity.

Forest land use also exists in peatland areas. Peatland area has ecological services such as influencing in global climate and having a high potential for water quality improvement (Trepel, 2007). The importance of their service to the global, the rehabilitation of peatland areas become essential too. One of the peatland rehabilitation programs is rewetted peatlands. In Schleswig-Holstein, Northern Germany, the rewetted plan was used for the reduction of non-point source pollution entering surface water bodies (Trepel, 2007). In Northern Germany, the rewetting program rehabilitates the water quality improvement potential of degraded peatlands.

Developing solutions is not only about programs created to restore and rehabilitate the forest but also some solutions relating to the disturbance that causes degradation, such as fires. Study of unraveling the effect of climate change on fire danger and fire behavior in the Transboundary Biosphere Reserve of Meseta Ibérica (Portugal-Spain) (Aparício et al., 2022). In this study, quantified the effect of climate change on fire danger and wildfire behavior characteristics for the four major Mediterranean forest ecosystems located in the Transboundary Biosphere Reserve of Meseta Ibérica. The results show that the meteorological fire season will start earlier and end later, leading to a significant increase in the number of days with weather conditions that promote high-intensity wildfires. The most relevant changes are projected to occur in pine forests, where a wildfire with median fireline intensity will offer serious resistance to control from spring to autumn. The severity of fire behavior in shrublands also increases substantially when considering climate change, with high-intensity wildfires potentially occurring in any time of the year. Both deciduous and evergreen broadleaf forests are predicted to typically generate wildfires with low enough intensity to remain within suppression capability.

The complex relationships between climate, vegetation, and fires hamper the applicability of fire impact models to conditions that are very different from the current ones (Turco et al., 2018). For these reasons, the estimation of fire response should be considered more robust for a few decades, when climatic conditions should not be dramatically different from the current ones. This model does not consider future changes in fire management policies, land-use, and land-cover change, or in ignition patterns mainly because reliable projections for these drivers are not available. In summary, the results support the statement of the Paris Agreement that reports that limiting the temperature increase to 1.5 °C would significantly reduce the risks and impacts of climate change. seasonal climate forecasts may enable a more effective and dynamic adaptation to climate variability and change, offering an under-exploited opportunity to reduce the fire impact of adverse climate conditions.

Forest resilient using **fire-smart management of forest landscapes** in the Mediterranean basin under global change were proposed (Fernandes, 2013). Uncertainty in the outcomes of fire-smart management arises mainly from insufficient understanding of the relative weights of fuel and weather -drought on the fire regime. Likewise, linkage between global change processes and the fire regime is not straightforward. The fire regime will be largely driven by weather, advising concentration of fuel management efforts in wildland – urban interfaces and in forests and their vicinity. The decrease of landscape fire severity rather than area burned as the objective; prescribed burning as the treatment of choice, except in the wildland-urban interface; and focus on forest types that are fire-resilient irrespective of flammability.

A biomimicry idea that helps humans to coexist sustainably with fire (Smith et al., 2018). The evolutionary adaptations of organisms for survival (and flourish) in the fire regimes were discussed. Floristic representation of the **Sensitive, Avoiders, Adaptive, Dependent (SAAD)** model. **Fire-sensitive** species have no evolutionary adaptations and are killed by fire; they fill niches in places with minimal fire or very long fire-return intervals. **Fire-avoiding** fauna species generally focus on escaping by fleeing or hiding in safe places, whereas fire-avoiding flora species invest heavily in protecting themselves against immolation by translocating critical resources (for example, carbon, nitrogen) to their roots to create reserves for post-fire resprouting, seeding, regeneration and recovery. **Fire-adaptive** flora developed protective morphological features such as 'armour' (for example, thick insulative bark or sheaths around reproductive organs) and self-pruning of lower branches to reduce fire jumping to the canopy. **Fire-dependent** flora have evolved to require fire to reproduce (for example, serotinous cones, cued flowering, seed germination by heat or smoke), with the added benefit of killing their competition in the process. The suggestions are that for humans to live sustainably with fire, collaborations between urban planners, architects, engineers, and ecologists should adopt the principles of biomimicry and follow the lead of organisms and indigenous peoples that have evolved to thrive in flammable environments.

According to the literature reviews, we conclude that there are 3 main programs of forest restoration conducted in Europe. Planting, natural regeneration, and the combination of both programs has the most benefit for the forest. All the programs leverage in the next discussion along with the other pilot observations.

5.2 Rehabilitation and Restoration Program in Pilot Areas

5.2.1 Rehabilitation and Restoration Program in Pilot Areas

5.2.1.1 Gargano Park – Italy

Need for recovery – post fire reconstitution

According to AIB Plan of Gargano National Park, forest cover recovery intervention after a fire must be based on retracing, more or less completely, the evolutionary stages of the secondary succession of the vegetation type involved. This evolution can take place naturally or with the contribution of silvicultural intervention coherent in floristic and cenelogical terms with the series of native vegetation. This is not compatible with the passage of another fire event. Adaptions to the passage of fire that the forest vegetation has developed over time are not sufficient for the maintenance of a forest ecosystem if wildfires increase their intensity and frequency. Fire, in fact, can change from being a simple ecological disturb factor to a catastrophic one if the events repeat with some frequency (phenomenon of recurrence), or if the recovery time or the amount of damage becomes so high to have a strong impact to the ecosystem. In this case, forest areas may regress to a bushy, spot, or prairie formation because the ecosystem is not able to react through the establishment of natural renewal, also due to the total destruction of seed-bearing plants in that area. Additionally, the consequent lack of vegetation cover may favour the trigger of erosion with additional damage to the affected habitats.

In such cases, a more direct and active restoration intervention of the forest ecosystem (active reconstitution) is useful and/or necessary. This allows the reconstitution in short time of the forest stand that will, hopefully, keep the structure and the function of the destroyed one. The scope of Active reconstitution is, de facto, to bring back the above ground to the pre-disturbance conditions.

The most adopted strategy takes as a model the natural processes of secondary succession considering the critical parameters that regulate the ecosystem using restoration techniques. Such techniques involve the introduction of some indicator species (e.g., tree or shrub pioneer species) that speed up the succession and then let nature take its course until the ecosystem becomes self-sufficient.

In an area with high naturalistic and landscape value like the Gargano Park it is appropriate to evaluate case by case the need to intervene to restore the forest cover damaged by the wildfire or to let nature take its course (natural restoration). In the first case, silvicultural operation must be defined in relation to the traits of the pre-existing forest and to the evolutionary dynamics of the vegetation in the intervention area. Whenever possible, natural restoration should be favoured. For sure, in the pine forest of the Aleppo pine post-fire natural restoration is secured by the auto ecology of the species itself. Nonetheless, it is necessary to evaluate the possibility of removing dead plants or to interfere, if possible, with naturalistic engineering technique to prevent dangerous events of soil “decapitation” that take place during summer rainstorms or trying to curb the “sorren” phenomena in sandy coastal areas. Cultivation care (hoeing and mechanical weeding) is necessary in the following years to speed up the growth of forest plants and “mend” faster the landscape and ecological wound caused by the wildfire. In the coppices, the consolidated techniques of copying and trimming, carried out immediately after the fire, provide always excellent results if grazing can be regulated. For degraded coppices, it may be necessary to intervene with thickening and then with all the other silvicultural techniques typical of reforestation always referring to the series of vegetation in which the intervention is carried out.

Reconstitution of forest vegetation damaged by wildfires

Before addressing the reconstitution of forest vegetation damaged by wildfires, it is appropriate to understand the adaptation to the passage of fire that the vegetation has developed over time.

Acknowledging that, it cannot be considered sufficient to the maintenance of a forest ecosystem if, as mentioned before, the intensity and frequency of wildfires drastically increases.

Knowing the effect of fire to vegetation and about the various possibility and different dynamics of natural reconstitution of forest stands, which may allow to detect the cases that require active intervention, represents an essential informative level in integrated forest fire prevention planning. This foresees the harmonization and the balance between forecasting, prevention, active fight, and environmental restoration.

The size of the wildfire and the location needs to be considered as well. In fact, all other factors held constant the damage is proportional to the affected area. It is therefore a priority to carry out the reconstitution after large fires, even with just limited intervention to part of the damaged areas.

Reconstitution must increase the resistance and resilience of a forest to wildfire. Furthermore, it must also increase the prevention capabilities, ensuring that the probability of additional fires to happen decreases.

The intervention to carry out is very delicate. At time, during the reconstitution of coniferous forests, deciduous trees have been used to guarantee recovery in the event of another fire. Most of the time this leads to a failure as deciduous trees are not adapted to the environmental conditions after a large fire. In many cases, cutting and removal of dead plants are questionable and are justified only for the landscape appearance. Sometimes, the removal of burned trees does not foster spontaneous renewal, which benefits from the covering brought by standing dead trees. In many cases, renewal has been more abundant where no intervention was carried out.

Sometimes, some colonizing species prevail over the original coverage. Where no artificial renewal is carried out, natural renewal prevails, especially around residues of seed trays. In canopy fires with pulsating behaviour, there are often area where the fire does not affect part of the forest that remain intact. From these areas, active or artificial reconstitution may be started. These facts suggest intervention that respects natural evolution, able to support secondary succession in one hand and limit costs on the other.

Respecting natural evolution, focusing in areas differentiated with respect to damage and encouraging seedlings allows to avoid more extensive and traumatic interventions. Such aspects highlight how reconstitution forces a delicate analysis of the environment.

Additional problems arise also from the provision of laws that it burned area, for 5 years after the fire, reforestation and environmental engineering with public funding is forbidden (L 353/2000 art. 10). This overly restrictive rule prevents the reconstitution to be properly allocated over time. In fact, both the actual mortality of plants and the resumption of the renewal needs to be evaluated, not much time has to pass. The intervention, carried out at the proper time, encourages secondary succession, a delayed one disturbs it.

As illustrated above, the lines of intervention to be carried out for the forest reconstitution in the Gargano National Park, can be summarizes as follows:

a. Low intensity wildfires and/or on small areas:
    1. No intervention
b. Low intensity wildfires on large areas:
    1. Pine forest of Aleppo pine: dead tree removal interventions only along the roads and the paths, without logging but with the reuse of woods for the contextual realization of micro intervention (palisades, gratings) of natural engineering on sloping land above the road;
    2. Reforestation of conifers: encourage with interventions the progressive substitution of conifers by indigenous hardwoods;
    3. Mediterranean bush: dead tree removal interventions only along the roads and the paths, without logging but with the reuse of woods for the contextual realization of micro intervention (palisades, gratings) of natural engineering on sloping land above the road;

4. Coppice: quick intervention of coppicing with safeguarding of all still vital matrices;
5. Deciduous high forests (<20% mortality): dead tree removal interventions only along the roads and the paths, without logging but with the reuse of woods for the contextual realization of micro intervention of natural engineering on sloping land above the road;

c. High intensity wildfires on large areas:
   1. Pine forest of Aleppo pine
      - dead tree removal interventions only along the roads and the paths, without logging but with the reuse of woods for the contextual realization of micro intervention of natural engineering on sloping land above the road;
      - Recurring fire on stands < 20 years old: dead tree removal intervention with logging and partial reuse of the wood for the contextual realization of micro intervention of natural engineering on the slopes. It is necessary to evaluate natural renewal after 5 years for any restocking
   2. Reforestation of conifers: encourage with interventions the progressive substitution of conifers by indigenous hardwoods;
   3. Mediterranean bush: dead tree removal interventions only along the roads and the paths, without logging but with the reuse of woods for the contextual realization of micro intervention of natural engineering on sloping land above the road;
   4. Coppice: quick intervention of coppicing, considering succession and transurethral, safeguarding all still vital matrices; micro intervention of natural engineering on slopping land above the road;
   5. Deciduous high forests (>20% mortality): interventions to remove dead trees with logging and chipping of waste material, with partial reuse of wood for the contextual realization of micro intervention of natural engineering on slopping land above the road; release of perishable subjects, release of 20% of the stem on the ground. It is necessary to evaluate natural renewal after 5 years for any restocking with shrub elements typical of the affected series of vegetation.

The climate and forest type of a region are not the only element to consider for wildfire prevention and for post-fire intervention. Essential point to consider are:

a. Study of the spatial form of the cenosis;
b. Study of the temporal dynamics (sucession): realization of potential vegetation maps, real vegetation maps, dynamic series cards (sigmeti), cartography of the pyrogenic attitude of the different areas;
c. Knowledge about the ecological niches of syntaxa (altimetric bands, substrates, soils, exposures, inclination);
d. Pyrological attitude of woodland formations;
e. Detection of the more suitable herbaceous and woody species for post-fire recovery;

For reasons related to the safeguard of the genetic integrity of flora, it is advisable that the proposed species for the different areas are taken from nurseries that area able to provide certified native species produced with seeds collected in the Gargano Park.

5.2.1.2 Tepilora Park – Italy

Restriction on areas covered by fire

Rehabilitation strategies in wooded areas covered by fires are essentially due to constraints. The Law 21/11/2000 n. 353, "Framework law on forest fires", which contains prohibitions and requirements deriving from the occurrence of forest fires, provides for the obligation for municipalities to census the areas covered by fires, also making use of the surveys carried out by the Forestry Corps, in order to apply the constraints that limit the use of the land only for those areas that are identified as wooded or intended for pasture, with different time frames, namely:

Fifteen-year constraints: the intended use of wooded areas and pastures whose stands have been crossed by fire cannot be changed compared to the pre-existing fire for at least fifteen years. In these areas it is allowed only the realization of public works that are necessary for the protection of public safety and the environment. It follows the obligation to insert on the aforementioned areas an explicit constraint to be transferred in all the deeds of sale stipulated within fifteen years of the event.

Ten-year constraints: in wooded areas and pastures whose stands have been crossed by fire, the construction of buildings as well as structures and infrastructures aimed at civil settlements and production activities is prohibited for ten years, except in cases where municipal authorization acts have already been issued for this realization on a date prior to the fire based on the urban planning instruments in force on that date. Grazing and hunting are prohibited in such areas.

Five-year constraints: on the aforementioned stands it is forbidden to carry out reforestation and environmental engineering activities supported with public financial resources, except in the case of specific authorization granted either by the Minister of the Environment, for state protected natural areas, or by the competent region, for documented situations of hydrogeological instability or for particular situations in which an intervention to protect environmental and landscape values is urgent.

5.2.1.3 Sterea Ellada - Central Greece

NDVI in Greece is used mainly on a research land experimental level and not by the official authorities to decide on future actions and decisions regarding the status of a burnt forest, level of regeneration and restoration in general. The monitoring of restoration and success, or no-success, is usually examined by *in situ* measurements and observations.

Nevertheless, NDVI has been in various areas of Greece as an index for the measurement of regeneration of a forest and its ”health” with interesting results. For example, In Peloponnese (Southern Western) Gemitzi and Koutsias (2021) have analyzed 20 years of satellite images of Peloponnese studying NDVI related to pre-fire and post-fire of the 2007 large wildfire in the area(Gemitzi & Koutsias, 2021). They concluded that through NDVI monitoring of regeneration is of course possible and in good relation with *in situ* measurements and observations. In the case of the 2007 wildfire in Peloponnese regeneration lasted for about 7 to 10 years, as this was the time for NDVI index to come back to pre-fires values. In Northern Greece Kassandra (Gitas, 2012) and sites in the Mediterranean (Katagis et al., 2011) that NDVI is a measure that provides promising results for post-fire monitoring. In addition, NDVI was used as a tool for identifying vegetation classes that dominated the burnt areas in the post-fire period (Palandjian et al., 2009). In the island of Karpathos at Dodecanese islands (SE Greece) NDVI has been used to monitor post-fire recovery (Nioti et al., 2015) and at Tzoumerka-Arta (Central-Western Greece, mountain range of Pindos) NDVI was used as an indicator for the evaluation of grasslands agri-environmental programs (Roukos et al., 2013).

In the pilot area, at Evia Island, the recent study of (Gemitzi & Koutsias, 2022) makes use of NDVI satellite series images through a dedicated tool for Google Earth, not only to define the affected area but also to point out prone areas to future fire events. Since the megafire it is only one year, nevertheless, in situ observations show a natural regeneration. The tool developed by the aforementioned could be used for the study of success of restoration measures as well.

Restoration processes

a. General framework for restoration in Greece:

In Greece, the following processes take place for restoration as a post-fire action:

The objective of post-fire rehabilitation aims at restoring problems and damage caused by fires, preventing secondary disasters, and restoring burned areas to their previous status or to an improved condition. These measures are short-term and long-term, and mainly include:

1. the management of burnt tree trunks,
2. the protection of soil stripped of vegetation from erosion until it is recovered by vegetation,
3. the parallel protection of settlements and infrastructure from floods and landslides and
4. the recovery of vegetation by seeding or reforestation, as a rule only where natural regeneration is not assured,
5. protection of the burnt area from grazing, land use changes and encroachments.

In the case of reforestation, the natural regeneration is the first option. Artificial reforestation is made in cases that natural regeneration has not given specific results, or the area is burnt again with a result of the regenerated trees to burn again.

The first step that precedes every protective action is the assessment and recording of burnt areas as it provides the necessary data for:

1. Addressing erosion and potential soil degradation.
2. The planning of rehabilitation interventions.
3. The management of flora and human activities (e.g., grazing).
4. The management of surface runoff to prevent flooding.
5. The reduction of the quantity and quality of water resources (drinking water and water for irrigation).
6. The prevention of land use changes (encroachments, illegal residential development).

Additional measures that take place in the restoration phase after a wildfire are the following:

1. Ensure the continuity of the economic activity.
2. Reconstruction of the affected, by landscape fires, areas
3. Ensure that all the functions of the local society are back in normal situation.

The main legal and regulatory framework that is related to restoration in Greece after landscape fires is described in the following laws and regulations:

1. Law 998/1979 (OGG 298/A/1979) – On the protection of forests and forest areas in the country
2. Presidential Decree 1157/1980 (OGG 293/A/1980) - On the leasing of public lands for reforestation
3. Presidential Decree 437/1981 (OGG 120/A/1981) - On the study and execution of forestry works.
4. Presidential Decree 135/1987 (OGG 74/A/1987) – Forest reproductive material
5. Common Ministerial Decision 12030F.109.1/10-5-1999 (OGG 713/B/1999) on Regulating issues of cooperation between the Hellenic Fire Service and the Hellenic Armed Forces, the Hellenic Police, the Forestry Service, the Local Authorities, the Health Services and other bodies and persons who provide their services for the prevention and suppression of forest fires.
6. Law 3208/2003 (OGG 303/A/2003) - Protection of forest ecosystems, preparation of forest taxonomy, regulation of rights in rem over forests and forest lands in general and other provisions
7. Law 3818/2010 (OGG 17/A/2010) - Protection of forests and forest lands of the Prefecture of Attica, establishment of a Special Secretariat for the Environment and Energy Inspection and other provisions.
8. Law 4280/2014 (OGG 159/A/2014) - Environmental upgrading and private urban development – Sustainable development of settlements, Forest law regulations and other provisions
9. Law 4342/2015 (OGG 143/A/2015) - Article 32 Amendments to provisions of Law 4280/2014 (Α΄ 159)
10. Law 4467/2017 (OGG 56/A/2017) - Amendments to provisions of forestry legislation and other provisions

11. Law 4685/2020 (OGG 92/A/2020) - Modernization of environmental legislation, incorporation into Greek legislation of Directives 2018/844 and 2019/692 of the European Parliament and of the Council and other provisions.

Main responsible for managing the restoration and rehabilitation of a burned forest area is the local forest service. The main steps for the restoration are:

1. The local forest service will identify through in situ measurements and observations and satellite/aerial imagery the burnt area.
2. A special decision will be issued and made public that declares the burnt area as to reforested.
3. A special decision will be issued and made public that prohibits the majority of the activities and especially grazing.
4. Individual studies for assessing short-term measures such as the protection of soils in the forest and the mitigation of potential floods and landslides.
5. Contracting for the implementation of short measures for the minimization of soil erosion, mitigation of floods and landslides.
6. Special studies for artificial reforestation, if necessary.

Depending on the damage caused by the wildfire, other public administration services may be engaged in the restoration and, especially the return to the pre-fire situation of the local society and economy. It must also be noted that even though in burnt areas there is a general prohibition of activities, exceptions do exist, and these exceptions may act as a drawback to any type of reforestation (natural or artificial regeneration) thus leading to the destruction of the forest.

b. Restoration framework after the 2021 megafire in Evia:

The mega-fire of Evia in 2021 was a wildfire that burnt not only forests or agricultural areas but destroyed infrastructures, and settlements. The measures for recovery and restoration focused on the following pillars:

1. Restoration of the burnt areas and protection from (secondary disturbances) other external factors
2. Restoration of the affected infrastructures
3. Economic support and restoration of the affected businesses
4. Support, economic and psychological of the local population

In addition, a special independent committee following the work of the Goldammer committee that was established with the aim to assess and record the damages, and recommend a new Master plan(Apostolidis et al., 2022) for the "re-birth" and future development of the area and will provide the axes for the future. The results are expected to be publicly available in the first semester of 2023, when the Master plan will be approved by the national and European authorities.

The measures for restoration, recovery and support are described in more detail is shown in **Table 26**.

Table 26: Measures for restoration and support of local society after the 2021 mega-fire.

Sector	Measures
Restoration of the burnt area	- Burnt areas have been declared as reforested (according to the Law 998/1979) - All new activities to the burnt areas have been prohibited - Soil protection and erosion measures - Prevention of flooding projects - Cleaning of the forests from burnt logging - Restoration of burnt villages and infrastructures - Recovery, restoration and maintenance of forest road network

Sector	Measures
	- Wildfire prevention measures in the area that did not burn
Strategic measures for the future development	- Appointment of a new committee to create a strategic master plan for the future development of the area
Economic measures for residents and businesses	- Pending of payment of taxes, suspension of social security payments, extension of deadlines related to taxes, social security and loans. - Emergency funding for recovery of the main networks. - Additional funding to regional and local authorities in order to restore the damages to critical infrastructures networks and improve the networks, as well as to carry out large-scale projects to prevent flooding. - Direct economic support to the population that has been affected by the fire - Housing subsidies to the residents - Direct economic support to the businesses that have been affected by the fire - Support of all the primary sector businesses in North Evia (e.g., provision of food for animals, special plans for the figs agricultural businesses, etc) - Additional measures to support the secondary and tertiary sector businesses (e.g. attracting tourist, issue of tourist passes, etc) - Creation of targeted funding through the LEADER program - Creation of programs to support the resin cultivators

5.2.1.4 Cova da Beira - Portugal

The Portuguese Pilot at Cova da Beira will focus its demonstration activities on a pilot farm - Quinta da França, more specifically, in a natural oak forest of about 200 ha, which is managed for biodiversity conservation and delivery of ecosystem services, namely carbon sequestration and storage and soil protection. The forest suffered two large fires in 1983 and 1995 and has been under natural regeneration since. Forest management practices in Quinta da França after these events were mainly financed by national funds and were focused on promoting the natural regeneration of *Quercus pyrenaica*, which is a resprouting species able to naturally regenerate (from the root system) after fires. The first restoration activities were co-financed under the Forestry Development Plan AGRO, between 2003 and 2006, and covered the total forested area (200 ha). This program consisted in the control of shrub biomass with mechanized shrub clearing to allow the regeneration of *Quercus pyrenaica* (Pyrenean oak) and included planting groves of *Pinus pinaster*, *Cupressus lusitanica*, *Prunus avium*, *Tilia spp.* and *Betula spp*. in the clearings of the existing oak forest, which showed variable survival success (species dependent). The following rehabilitation project was co-financed by the RURIS program and took place between 2007 and 2011, consisting of new plantations of Pinus pinaster in an area of 33ha.

More recently, around 2017, a preliminary study was developed to select the areas suitable for the implementation of a silvo-pastoral mosaic. This study was first based on the characterization and mapping of the main ecological components of the landscape, which then allowed the selection of the areas suitable for grazing, without compromising the proper functioning of ecosystems and the resilience of the landscape. In 2018, a fence was installed to divide the oak forest in two: one area open to cattle (a herd of approximately 60 cows), under free grazing on one side of the fence, and one area without grazing on the other side. Since then, the herd has had permanent access to the forest while also grazing on rainfed and irrigated pastures in the vicinity (total grazing area, including forest and pastures, of about 180 ha). The implementation of livestock grazing in half of the forest area as a natural-based solution aims to promote the restoration of Pyrenean oak forest and contribute to the control of the risk of fire, by regulating biomass growth, creating horizontal and vertical fuel discontinuity, and maintaining open-habitats (herbaceous dominated patches within the forest – silvo-pastoral mosaic). To evaluate the effects of cattle grazing, data on the vegetation structure and composition was collected in both grazed and non-grazed sites, between 2018 and 2021.

a. Results for vegetation structure

The results (**Figure 60**) reveal different trajectories of vegetation structure depending on the grazing regime. Between 2018 and 2021, the vegetation structure in the non-grazed plots evolved towards greater structural complexity, characterized by an increase in coverage of the various vertical strata, and, consequently, an increase in vertical continuity and vulnerability to fire progression. An increase in herbaceous cover (grasses and forbs) was observed in the lower strata (< 0.5 m), also an increase of tall grasses was observed in the intermediate strata (0.5m - 2m) as well as of shrub cover, including shrubs with height greater than 2 meters. In the grazed parcel, vegetation growth was more moderate. There was a simplification of the vertical structure of the understory, associated with a decrease in the cover of oak saplings and by the thinning of low branches of trees (<2 m), as well as a decrease in tall grasses and shrub cover. In the last year (2021) there was an increase in grasses and forbs in the lower to medium height classes, between (0 m to 1.3 m), as well as a slight increase in shrub cover, between 0.5 m and 1.3 m. At ground level (< 0.25 cm), an increase in herbaceous cover and density was observed. Furthermore, an increase in the proportion of bare soil was recorded (higher than that recorded in the non-grazed parcel), which may indicate a negative impact of the cattle presence.



Figure 60. Changes in the relative coverage of the vegetation functional groups in different vertical strata, in the monitoring areas. The cumulative coverage value can be greater than 1.

b. Results for plant community composition

The assessment of plant species composition targeted the understory communities. At Quinta da França we recorded a total of 130 species (109 species in non-grazed parcels and 112 species in grazed sites; **Table 27**). On average, 10 species were observed in the 1m^2 sampling plots, in both grazing regimes. Shannon-Wiener diversity was slightly higher in the grazed area (**Figure 61**). Moreover, species richness levels were equivalent for both grazing regimes, without significant statistical differences. Brooms (*Cytisus sp*.), regenerating oaks, and grasses (*Anthoxanthum aristatum*, *Arrhenatherum elatius*, *Bromus sterilis*, *Micopyrum tenellum*) were the dominant species in the ungrazed forest area. In the grazed forest, the dominant species were the brooms and grasses (*Anthoxanthum aristatum*, *Briza maxima*, *Bromus sterilis*), but also two forbs (*Tolpis barbata*, *Campanula lusitanica*). From the total 130 species, 18 were only observed in non-grazed areas and 16 in grazed areas.



Figure 61. Plant species richness (SR, left) and Shannon-Wiener diversity index (HD, right) for both grazing regimes. Boxplots show the distribution of observed richness and diversity in 1m^2 plots (mean: asterisk, median: line). Species richness values are not statistically different between grazing regimes (Kruskal-Wallis, p > 0.05). Shannon-Wiener diversity index is higher in the grazed area (Kruskal-Wallis, p = 0.0015).

Table 27. Species richness of vascular plants at different scales. Average values for 1 m x 1 m and 10 m x 10 m plots, and total species richness observed in the 40 m x 40 m survey sites and in all sites with the same grazing regime.

	Non-grazed parcel	**Grazed parcel**
	Average species richness	
1 m x 1 m	10	11
10 m x 10 m	23	24
	Total species richness	
40 m x 40 m	41 to 59	37 to 62
All sites	109	112

Moreover, forest management activities to control the risk of fire include the mechanical control of woody biomass and the regular maintenance of forest roads (unpaved) and safety corridors (firebreaks). These activities should occur preferably on an annual frequency, between autumn and spring (outside the season with higher fire risk).

5.2.1.5 Podpoľanie - Slovakia

In terms of the Slovak forestry legislation concerning forest management, it is required to reforest the deforested forest area within 2 years at the latest. So, any deforested area in Slovakia must be reforested in this interval. To do it, there are criteria specified.

Since in 2022 forest management in the national parks and protected areas in Slovakia was transferred from the hands of foresters to the State Nature Conservancy organization, increased attention is being paid to nature conservation in this area over traditional forest management.

Here we present the main principles for forest management/forest restoration under

1. Maintain no-deforestation zones, especially in areas with natural forests (primaeval forests) and in areas of existing reserves with the potential for natural conversion of stands to natural forests.
2. Not to spread non-native tree species (e.g., acacia but also spruce on sites outside its natural range). Non-native tree species should be removed as a matter of priority during reforestation and regeneration.
3. Species missing from the natural species composition should be introduced into the forest stands, including species that will only be represented individually.
4. The possibilities of natural regeneration of forest stands and the associated nature-friendly management methods should be consistently exploited.
5. Priority should be given to a more structured spatial structure of forest stands, which can be achieved by a more varied natural species composition, a longer regeneration period and appropriate educational interventions. It is recommended to apply level coppicing during educational harvesting. If there are older precocious individuals from the original stands in the stand, it is recommended to keep them.
6. Small-scale forms of understory management, especially group and group-covered coppice, should be used for restoration in managed forests. Depending on the possibilities of the terrain, the forest road network, and the condition of the stands, it is recommended to introduce selective management.

There are no special requirements related to forest restoration after the fire from the fire protection legislation or practice. Fire prevention is not a criterium for selection of restoration program.

5.2.1.6 Sebangau National Park, Borneo, Indonesia

5.2.1.6.1 Replanting

Forest fires in the Sebangau National Park area occur almost every year. Based on data from the Sebangau National Park, from 2011 to 2015, the burned area reached ±21,576.710 ha. The disaster in 2015 was the largest in the last eight years, with a burned area of ±16,506.44 ha. Replanting activities were one of the rehabilitation efforts in the Sebangau National Park during 2008-2017. It already covered an area of 10,944.62 ha.

In consequence, the rehabilitation activities need funding to run the programs. All budgets allocated by Nasional Sebangau Park Bureau, local and national government, as well as the NGO (Non-Governmental Organization). Replanting process in Sebangau National Park is shown in **Figure 62**.

The desired condition is maintaining moisture and high water on the peat surface to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from peat decomposition. In addition to reducing greenhouse gas emissions, restoration of natural hydrological conditions is expected to restore natural peat swamp forest ecosystems, support vegetation growth, and allow restoration and increase in wildlife populations, especially the Bornean orangutan. Meanwhile, for revegetation, it is expected that artificial succession will accelerate with the following criteria:

1. Forest Canopy Density (FCD) between 41% -< 60%.

2. Type of sub-natural swamp area, which is generally dominated by dense forest structure which is a mixture of.
3. It has 2–3 canopy layers with a height of 15-25 m, a high level of species diversity with several tree vegetation types between 15-20 species.
4. Low standing forest is the home range of the flagship species, namely orangutans and can ensure the availability of food so that the population increases.

Figure 62. Replanting process in Sebangau National Park

To analyze the program, we use logical framework analysis. Resume of Logical Framework Analysis of Replanting Program in Sebangau National Park is shown in **Table 28**.

Table 28. Logical Framework Analysis of Replanting Program in Sebangau National Park

STAGE	RESULTS
GOAL (IMPACT)	- Ecosystem recovery
PURPOSE (OUTCOME)	- Vegetation growth in the burn area
OUTPUT	- Several areas start to growth
PROCESS (ACTIVITY)	- Replanting processes start in 3-5 years after the fire. - The Sebangau National Park office decide the most suitable replanting area. - Several areas fully controlled in the first 3 years
INPUT	- Planning documents are available. - Activities funded by the bureau and NGO. - Supervised by Sebangau National Park Bureau.

INPUT

Sebangau National Park Bureau has annual planning documents specifically to arrange the rehabilitation activities. This document consists of background history of the fire, budgeting, rehabilitation location, area, and the allocated program. The National Sebangau Park bureau supervised the programs by themself and supported by another bureau. So that they could monitor the programs from the beginning.

PROCESS

Land preparation starts with providing suitable growing habitats for plants concerning ecological, physical, management, and social factors. Land preparation includes making sure that the programs are implemented effectively and efficiently and do not cause major environmental change.

1. **Preparation**
    1) Coordination with related institutions
    2) Prepare plant design documents for planting locations block/area/location
    3) Prepare implementing organizations such as implementing leaders, supervisors/foremen
    4) and labor
    5) Develop a timetable for activities and a rational division of labor
    6) Prepare areas for conflict and prevent conflicts between residents and workers by socializing
    7) Preparing materials and equipment
    8) Re-measurement of location boundaries and erection of plot boundary stakes.

2. **Create land preparation work units**
    1) The land unit work unit consists of at least five people
    2) The head of the work team is in charge of determining the location of the plant path and concurrently as an activity recorder
    3) Two team members in charge of making and opening trails
    4) Two team members are in charge of making stakes and installing markers in planting holes along the path

3. **Preparation of work equipment**
    1) Preparation of land preparation work map 1: 10,000
    2) Preparation of work equipment, including machetes/machetes, hoes, sign boards and
    3) other logistics equipment

4. **Planning**
    1) Determine the location of blocks and workplots
    2) Make a detailed work map of land preparation
    3) Planning the workforce and budget required
    4) Make a schedule for the implementation of land preparation work

5. **Implementation**
    1) Look for markings for planting paths that will be made
    2) Make a stub of a clean/plant path 1 meter wide
    3) At each end of the path are marked with wooden stakes with a minimum diameter of 2 cm with a height minimum 130 cm
    4) Determine the location of 40,000 holes or 800 holes/ha and
    5) Mark the planting hole with a stake

6. **Recording and reporting**
    1) Name of block location and work tile
    2) Number of planting paths in intensive cultivation - Planned type and number of plants in each plot
    3) Number of working days that have been used, work performance, and quality profession
    4) The register book is filled out every day of the activity
    5) Records of monitoring and evaluation of work by the person in charge of the work unit
    6) land preparation
    7) Activity reports and land preparation work maps must provide relevant information complete
    8) In monitoring and evaluating activities, a plot is declared complete land preparation

The rehabilitation process of planting activities in Sebangau National Park includes various activities with the following stages:
1) Socialization (pre, monitoring, and evaluation)
2) Survey of planting sites
3) Preparation of technical plans
4) Survey of seed abundance (natural extraction)
5) Training in nurseries and planting
6) Procurement seeds
7) Procurement of stakes
8) Planting
9) Procurement and installation of boreholes
10) Monitoring and evaluation
11) Maintenance
However, when deciding rehabilitation area, the bureau must make sure that the burned area was 3-5 years since the disaster. By doing so, the soil will be ready to plan again.

OUTPUT

Total area that is successfully recover around 111 ha in 2020-2021.Seeds distribution for the intensive planning in Sebangau National Park Block 1 around 50 ha with total 40.000 seeds. All the seeds use land and water transportation with the intensive location in Block 1, Sebangau Kuala Resort, Pulang Pisau.

PURPOSE

There are 2 types of replanting programs, which are fully controlled and without controlled programs. Both activities successfully regrow the vegetation in certain ways. **Table 29** and **Table 30** are examples of rehabilitation programs in Paduran Sebangau Village.

Table 29. The Plan, The Realization, and The Justification of Replanting in Paduran Sebangau Village

No	Block	Re-planting Area			Justification
		Plan	Realization		
			Ha	%	
1	1	25	25	100	Success
2	2	25	25	100	Success
3	3	25	25	100	Success
4	4	25	25	100	Success
5	5	25	25	100	Success
6	6	25	25	100	Success

Table 30. Healthy Trees Percentage and Justification of Success of Replanting Program

No	Block	Total PU	Number of Tree in every PU	Healthy Trees	Healthy Trees Percentage	Justification
1	1	13	40	30	76%	Success
2	2	13	40	31	76%	Success
3	3	13	40	31	77%	Success
4	4	13	40	30	76%	Success
5	5	13	40	31	77%	Success
6	6	13	40	31	77%	Success

Healthy Tree Average	31	76%	Success

GOAL

The goals of the program are biodiversity recovery. By planting the local trees, forest ecosystem hopefully will flourish back as the trees grows. Although there are a lot of measurement, in this first stage of deliverable, the successful growing trees was measured by NDVI value.

5.2.1.6.2 Canal Blocking

Sebangau National Park has a history of degradation. Sebangau National Park ecosystem degradation due to canal construction and forest fire. the canals in Sebangau National Park reached 465 pieces made by companies and the community(Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2017). If unraveled, the length of the canal in Sebangau will reach 919,213 kilometers. This condition makes peat as easy as possible water loss, drought, and prone to fire. The threat of repeated peat fires in Sebangau National Park occurred in the period 2005-present. Interventions were carried out by constructing canal blocking, fire prevention patrols, security patrols, outreach to the community, and planting efforts.

Sebangau National Park seeks to restore the peat ecosystem by constructing the canal blocking. Canal blocking project in Sebangau National Park that has been going on since 2006 (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2017). By 2020, the number of canal-blocking units built in the Sebangau National Park area will reach 1,831 units. Restoring the peat ecosystem takes a long time and costs a lot of money. The number of Canal Blocking Constructions and the process of construction in Sebangau National Park 2005-2016 is shown in **Figure 63**.



Figure 63. Number of Canal Blocking Construction in Sebangau National Park 2005-2016

Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2017)

Figure 64. Canal-blocking construction process in Sebangau National Park.
Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2017)

To analyze the program, we use logical framework analysis. Resume of Logical Framework Analysis of Canal-blocking Construction Program in Sebangau National Park is shown in **Table 31**Table 28.

Table 31. Logical Framework Analysis of Canal-blocking Construction Program in Sebangau National Park

STAGE	RESULTS
GOAL (IMPACT)	- Ecosystem recovery
PURPOSE (OUTCOME)	- Blocking the black water running outside the Sebangau National Park. If the water stays in the peat area, it won't get drained.
OUTPUT	- 2010 numbers of canal blocking were built
PROCESS (ACTIVITY)	- Deciding which canal should be blocked - Reconstruction process
INPUT	- Planning documents are available. - Activities funded by the bureau and NGO. - Supervised by Sebangau National Park Bureau

INPUT

Sebangau National Park Bureau has annual planning documents. This document includes about the plan, implementation, result, and impact of this programme.

Quantity and Location.

In 2020, Sebangau National Park has planned to build 176 canal blocking ((Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2021). Detail of Canal Blocking Number by Types is shown in **Table 32**.

Table 32. The Plan of Canal Blocking Construction in Sebangau National Park

Location	Number of Canal Blocking	Detail of Canal Blocking Number by Types	
		Type of Canal Blocking	Number
Resort Sebangau Hulu SPTN I Palangka Raya	83	Type-1	27 units
		Type-2	35 units

		Type-3	21 units
Resort Mangkok SPTN II Pulang Pisau	68	Type-1	38 units
		Type-2	25 units
		Type-3	5 units
Resort Bangah SPTN II Pulang Pisau	25	Type-1	10 units
		Type-2	15 units
		Type-3	-

Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2021)

Time plan.

The canal blocking should be built within 30 days (1-31 December 2020).

Cost plan

Rp. 3,000,000,000 (Three Billion Rupiah) or (1842 EUR) in Fiscal Year 2020.

Quality plan

The design and construction of canal blocking are made entirely using boards and beams without slats (Galam wood), with the recommended type of substitute wood being the resak type (Vatica resak) with a construction consisting of 3 (three) types based on the dimensions of the canal, namely; (1) Type-120 canals with a width of 150 cm, (2) Type-2 canals with a width of > 151 cm - ≤200 cm and (3) Type-3 for a canal width of > 201 cm - 300 cm. The illustration and design of canal type is shown in **Figure 65** and **Figure 66**.



Figure 65. Canal width in determining Bulkhead Type

Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2021)



Figure 66. Canal Blocking Design
Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2021)

PROCESS
The construction of canal blocks consists of three main stages (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2017) that is; pre-construction, construction, and post-construction.

1. **Pre-construction**
    1) Initial socialization of peat rewetting program as FPIC process chain;
    2) Field survey;
    3) Determination of the number of canals blocking and selection of block/block design along with its technical specifications; PE Plan for TN Sebangau 2018-2022 36
    4) FPIC (Consent on an initial basis without any coercion);
    5) Analysis of the need for human resources and canal blocking materials;
    6) Determination of canal blocking time and material mobilization time;
    7) Estimating the cost of insulation;
    8) The process of forming a group to carry out the construction;
    9) Cooperation agreements with construction implementing groups;
    10) Technical training; and
    11) Procurement and mobilization of materials, equipment, and labor

2. **Construction**
    1) Determination of the location and number of blocks/blocks to be built
    2) Measuring the location of the block/block
    3) Construction of bulkhead structures
    4) Installation of waterproof coatings (tarpaulins, geotextiles, etc.)
    5) Entry and stockpiling of infill soil
    6) Installation of the overflow cover (spillway)
    7) Tidying job

3. **Post-construction**
    1) Checking, monitoring, and evaluating the canal blocks that have been built
    2) Demobilization of labor and equipment
    3) Installation of water level monitoring instruments (if needed)
    4) Block/bulk/dam maintenance work

OUTPUT

Quantity and Location.

The quantity and the location of canal blocking have accordance with the plan, in total 176 units. The detail of the number and the location can be seen in **Table 33**. The distribution of the result of canal blocking by the type is shown in **Figure 67**.

Table 33. The Realization of Canal Blocking Construction in Sebangau National Park

Location	Number of Canal Blocking	Detail of Canal Blocking Number by Types	
		Type of Canal Blocking	Number
Resort Sebangau Hulu SPTN I Palangka Raya	83	Type-1	27 units
		Type-2	35 units

		Type-3	21 units
Resort Mangkok-SPTN II Pulang Pisau	68	Type-1	38 units
		Type-2	25 units
		Type-3	5 units
Resort Bangah SPTN II Pulang Pisau	25	Type-1	10 units
		Type-2	15 units
		Type-3	-

Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2021)



a). b). c).

Figure 67. Distribution of the Result of Canal Blocking by the Type (Yellow: Type-1, Green: Type-2 and Red Type-3). a). Resort Sebangau Hulu SPTN Wilayah I Palangka Raya; b). Resort Mangkok-SPTN Wilayah II Pulang Pisau; c). Resort Bangah- SPTN Wilayah II Pulang Pisau

Source: (Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2021)

Time realization

The canal blocking should have been built within 22 days (1-22 December 2020).

Cost realization

The realization of the implementation budget for the construction of canal blocking in Sebangau National Park is Rp.2,922,289,024 (1793 EUR). It is 97.41% of the planned budget allocation of Rp. 3,000,000,000 (1842 EUR).

Quality realization

The realization of canal blocking quality have accordance with the plan.

PURPOSE

Canal blocking is one of rewetting peat methods. Peat rewetting is used on peatlands that have experienced degradation and excessive dryness due to the construction of a network of drainage canals. In general, the goal is to restore peat hydrology. It can be seen from the stabilization of the peatland's water table and the peat's increasing level of wetness and humidity.

The canal blocks function to restrain the rate of groundwater loss in peatlands. As an effort to maintain the hydrological balance, water is sought to settle for longer on peatlands. The hope is that the peatlands will get wet again to reduce the risk of forest fires. Restoring hydrological conditions also can reduce greenhouse gas emissions from peat decomposition. In addition, restoration of natural hydrological conditions to restore natural peat swamp forest ecosystems, so the forest ecosystems will grow again to support the life of humans, flora, and fauna, especially to increase the orangutans' population.

GOAL

The construction of canal blocks has had a positive impact, namely ecosystem recovery. This ecosystem recovery includes increasing forest cover, maintaining humidity and water level to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from peat decomposition, and restoring natural forest ecosystems that can support vegetation growth and provide a food source for wildlife. The photo of the success of canal blocking construction in Sebangau National Park is shown in **Figure 68**.

Figure 68. Photo of the success of canal blocking construction in Sebangau National Park
Source:(Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau, 2017)

5.2.1.6.3 Well Construction

One of the efforts to restore peat is to rewet peat material that has dried up due to the lowering of the peat soil surface. Drilling wells are one of the infrastructures for restoring the hydrological function of peatlands. It consists of a series of tools in the form of pipes or serial connections PVC pipe installed/planted into peat soil drain/discharge water sources located underground in the peat (aquifer layer).

The purpose of drilling well construction is to overcome the scarcity of surface water sources which generally occurs during the dry season. Under these conditions, the peat groundwater level naturally drops dramatically. Natural surface water sources found in canals/ditches, creeks, rivers, and lakes experience dryness, and their reach is very far. The function of drilled wells in peat restoration efforts is a water source for wetting peat, so it doesn't dry out and is easy to burn, especially during the dry season. However, it can be used also as a source of water for early fire suppression. Each well can cover the surrounding land up to 300 meters. Drilling wells are built with community groups. Drilling well that has been built in Sebangau National Park is shown in **Figure 69** and **Figure 70**.



Figure 69. Drilling well that has been built in Sebangau National Park

Figure 70. Drilling well construction process.

Previously, there were 112 drilling well spots that were built in Sebangau National Park. Subsequently, due to improving the protection, the Peat Restoration Bureau supported building 625 drilling wells in Sebangau National Park. Now, there are 737 drilling wells that have been built in this area.

To analyze the program, we use logical framework analysis. Resume of Logical Framework Analysis of Well Construction Program in Sebangau National Park is shown in **Table 34**.

Table 34. Logical Framework Analysis of Well Construction Program in Sebangau National Park

STAGE	RESULTS
GOAL (IMPACT)	- to overcome the scarcity of surface water sources which generally occurs in the dry season. (Hydrology protection)
PURPOSE (OUTCOME)	- Drilled wells for peat restoration efforts at BRG are a water source for peat wetting, especially during the dry season. However, drilled wells can also be used as a source of water for early fire suppression
OUTPUT	- 2017 numbers of well were built
PROCESS (ACTIVITY)	- Deciding well location - Reconstruction process
INPUT	- Planning documents are available.

	- Activities funded by the bureau and NGO. - Supervised by Sebangau National Park Bureau

INPUT

Sebangau National Park Bureau has annual planning documents. In the 2017 Peat Contingency Plan compiled by the Peat Restoration Bureau, Sebangau National Park is included in the Katingan-Sebangau Peat Hydrological Unit. The Sebangau National Park Center is the Sebangau National Park Center which is the Peat Restoration Implementing Unit under the Directorate General of Nature Resources and Ecosystem Conservation. Meanwhile, in its development, Sebangau National Park made an Ecosystem Restoration Plan in 2018. According to the plan, the Sebangau National Park Center plans to construct 1.788-unit drilled wells built from 2018 to 2022 to restore the forest.

Quantity and Location.

In 2022, Sebangau National Park Through Peat Restoration Bureau, as a part of the peat restoration program, has built 625 drilled along with supporting equipment for peat wetting, totaling 62 machines and 236 hoses. The distribution based on the management work area includes in **Table 35**.

Table 35. The Plan for Well Construction in Sebangau National Park

Location	Number of Well	Detail of Well Number by Types
Area II		
1. Resort Bangah	50	5 units of wetting machines and 25 units of hose
2. Resort Sebangau Kuala	275	26 units of wetting machines and 106 units of hose
Area III		
1. Resort Muara Bulan	100	10 units of wetting machines and 50 units of hose
2. Resort Mendawai	200	21 units of wetting machines and 55 units of hose

Time plan.

The drilled well construction takes 90 – 120 minutes to be built with 5-6 people working on it.

Cost plan

Rp. 4,000,000,000 (Four Billion Rupiah) or 2455 EUR in Fiscal Year 2020.

Quality plan

The design and construction of drilled well starts with determining the point, digging a 1x1 meter hole to collect water, drilling to pipe installation, and experimenting with machines. Generally, peatland water will still be available even during the dry season if drilling is carried out correctly and reaches a stable water source. Drilling wells in practice uses about four iron Piva rods and reaches a water source at a depth of about 12 meters. Drilling is stopped when the drill bit has passed the sand layer as a sign that it has reached the water source. Then proceed with inserting the 1.5-inch paralon that has been prepared. The process of entering the paralon into the drilled well must be done quickly to avoid sand collapsing. Because if there is sand collapse, the drilled well that has been made will fail and have to repeat from the initial stage. The last stage is an experiment using a water machine to operate a drilled well. The water that comes out of the drilled well is quite clear, with a water discharge of 2 liters per second. Thus, the practice of making boreholes can be said to be successful because they have met the eligibility criteria for drilled wells.

PROCESS

The construction of well consists of three main stages that is; pre-construction, construction, and post-construction.

1. **Pre-construction**
   1) Initial socialization of peat rewetting program as FPIC process chain;
   2) Field survey, for determining the location of wells and the number of simple bore wells as well as selecting a simple borehole design along with its technical specifications;
   3) Formation of drill well construction groups and teams;
   4) Cooperation agreement;
   5) Technical training; and
   6) Procurement and mobilization of materials, equipment and labor
2. **Construction**
   1) Determination of the point and cleaning of the location plan for the placement of the borehole;
   2) Preparation of borehole tools and materials;
   3) Preparation of borehole injection water pool;
   4) Drilling process;
   5) Installation of well pipes;
   6) Trial of the use of installed bore wells; and
   7) Foundation casting, marking installation, and taking coordinates
3. **Post-construction**
   1) Demobilization of tools and labor;
   2) Monitoring and evaluation; and
   3) Drilling well maintenance work.

OUTPUT

In its development, according to the 2017 BRG Contingency Plan, the construction of drilled wells in the Sebangau National Park has an impact on restoration efforts in an area of 456,018 Ha. It is because the existence of drilled wells can overcome the scarcity of surface water sources. It generally occurs during the dry season when the peat groundwater level drops drastically several meters below the peat soil surface. Natural surface water sources in canals, creeks, rivers and lakes experience drought, and the range is very far.

PURPOSE

Drilling well is another method of peat rewetting. In general, the purpose of rewetting peat that has experienced degradation and excessive dryness due to the construction of a drainage canal network is to restore the hydrological function of peat, which is reflected in stabilizing the water table on peatlands, increasing the peat wetness and humidity. The construction of drilled wells for peat restoration efforts at Sebangau National Park is a water source for peat wetting, especially during the dry season. Furthermore, drilled wells can also be used as a water source for early fire suppression.

GOAL

The benefit of drilled well is restoration of the hydrological function of peatlands and accelerates the process of peat restoration and recovery. The use of drilled well construction in peat restoration and recovery is shown in **Figure 71**.

Figure 71. The use of drilled well construction in peat restoration and recovery.

5.2.2 Biodiversity Monitoring in Pilot Areas

An ecological resilience program supposes to become forest ecosystem recovery after the forest fire. The outcome of the ecological resilience program needs to be evaluated by implementing biodiversity monitoring. Remote sensing is an essential tool for earth observation, including resilience assessment, disaster monitoring, and vegetation monitoring ((Cui et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2008; Rezaei & Ghaffarian, 2021; W. Wang et al., 2010)). Previously, researchers utilized remote sensing to monitor vegetation cover, forest productivity, and vegetation stability (De Keersmaecker et al., 2017; R. J. Donohue et al., 2009; Zeng et al., 2013)

In the regional scale, where the biodiversity cover in a wide range of area, the most approachable measurement is through satellite images so far. Several tools used to monitor the parameters are UAVs, cameras, observatories, rectified aerial photographs (e.g., orthophotos), drone-based aerial imagery, hyperspectral sensors, remote sensing technologies.

Biodiversity monitoring methods has been mentioned in the Chapter 2. Although they are essential to monitor the biodiversity, in this deliverable, we are using Normalized Vegetation Difference Index (NDVI) as an indicator of vegetation growth during the implementation of ecological resilience program. By doing so, we could get the number of NDVI which shows the value of vegetation density. Furthermore, the development of other method will be applied in the next deliverable 6.4.

These deliverable covers 6 pilot areas, Gargano Park (Italy), Tepilora Park (Italy), Cova da Beira (Portugal), Podpol'anie (Slovakia), Sterea Ellada (Greece), and National Sebangau Park (Indonesia). NDVI calculation being used to all of the pilot area to measure part of the biodiversity measurement. In the following table (**Table 36)** shows the coordinate of the area which is measured by NDVI.

Table 36. Pilot Location

No.	Pilot Location	Area	Longitude	Latitude
1	Gargano Park	1	41.772592°	15.758710°
2	Tepilora Park	1	40.591833°	9.416415°
3	Sterea Ellada	North Evia	23.323°	38.872°
4	Cova da Beira	Quinta da França	40.274550°	-7.432178°
5	Podpol'anie	-	-	-
6	National Sebangau Park	Mangkok 1	-2,598°	113,994°
		Mangkok 2	-2,595°	113,998°

5.2.2.1 NDVI Measurement in Gargano Park

The following graph (**Figure 72**) shows the series of NDVI value in 2009, 2010, 2015, 2020 and 2022 in Gargano Park, Italy pilot area.



Figure 72. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Gargano Park

The graph (**Figure 72**) illustrates the NDVI value in Gargano Park, Italy. The observation conducted in 5 years, which are in 2009, 2010, 2015, 2020, and 2022. From the observation, the least value touch 0.236 and the higher value is 0.855. In 2009, the NDVI value shows 0.669 mean value showing that the vegetation condition is moderately healthy. However, in the next year (2010), the vegetation condition remains the same, but decreasing mean value into 0.493. In 2015 and 2020 the value gradually increases into 0.644 (2015) and become a very healthy plant in 0.717 in 2020. In the last year of observation (2022), the value score on 0.689 and the vegetation condition in very healthy condition.

5.2.2.2 NDVI Measurement in Tepilora Park

The following graph (**Figure 73**) shows the series of NDVI value in 2017 and 2022 in Tepilora Park, Italy pilot area.



Figure 73. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Tepilora Park

The graph (**Figure 73**) illustrates the NDVI value in Tepilora Park, Italy. The observation conducted in 2 years, which are in 2017 and 2022. In 2017 and 2022, the mean of NDVI value is the same, a moderately healthy plant condition in 0.48. From the 2 years of observation, the least value is 0.07, considering as an unhealty plant in certain area. The highest value is 0.72, considering as the healthy plant.

5.2.2.3 NDVI Measurement in Sterea Ellada

The following graph (**Figure 74**) shows the series of NDVI value in 2011, 2013, 2017 and 2022 in Sterea Ellada, Greece pilot area.



Figure 74. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Sterea Ellada.

The graph (**Figure 74**) illustrates the NDVI value in Sterea Ellada, Greece. The observation was conducted in 2011, 2013, 2017, and 2022. The mean of NDVI value gradually increasing in 2011, 2013, and 2017. All values in moderately healthy condition of 0.50, 0.63, 0.66 mean. The NDVI value in 2011 shows moderately healthy condition with 0.50 score. In 2013 and 2017 the value gradually increases into a healthy plant. However, the last observations are in 2022, illustrating the NDVI number decreasing into moderately healthy plant, perhaps because of the massive fire in 2021.

5.2.2.4 NDVI Measurement in Cova da Beira

The following graph (**Figure 75**) shows the series of NDVI value in 2015, 2020, and 2022 in Cova da Beira, Portugal.



Figure 75. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Cova da Beira.

The graph (**Figure 75**) illustrates the NDVI value in Cova da Beira. The observation conducted in 2016, 2017, and 2022. Mean of the NDVI value gradually decreasing from 0,48, 0,44, and the last is 0.43. However, the range of NDVI value still in a range of moderately healthy plant condition.

5.2.2.5 NDVI Measurement in Polpol'anie.

The following graph (**Figure 76**) shows the series of NDVI value in 2013 and 2022 in Polpol'anie, Slovakia.



Figure 76. NDVI Value in Sample Area of Polpol'anie.

The graph (**Figure 76**) illustrates the NDVI value in Podpol'anie. The observation conducted in 2013 and 2022. The mean of NDVI value decreasing from 2013 (0.77) to 2022 (0.49). So, the average plant condition in the area went from very healthy plant to moderately healthy plant.

5.2.2.6 NDVI Measurement in National Sebangau Park, Indonesia.

The following graph (**Figure 77**) shows the series of NDVI value in 2015, 2020, and 2022 in Indonesia pilot area, Mangkok, National Sebangau Park.



Figure 77. NDVI Value in National Sebangau Park

The graph (**Figure 77**) illustrates the NDVI value in areas of Mangkok, National Sebangau Park, Indonesia. The observation conducted in 1999, 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, and 2020, showing a fluctuate value of NDVI. Starting in 1999 with a mean value of 0.52 and then went up and down until 0.64 in 2020. Nevertheless, from all range of the observation year, the plant is in a range of moderately healthy condition.

5.3 Discussions

Climate change and fire damage the structure of the forest. In some cases, the biodiversity is dying from the fire events. As it is pressuring the forest, fire changes the stability of the forest. Post fire events become a crucial pace to recover the forest condition. Programs that are being taken into a forest rescue should be applied properly to each forest condition. The programs need to be resilient enough to support the forest.

In reviewing the ecological resilience programs, we should consider rehabilitation and restoration at most. Those programs lead to recovery of the biodiversity in the forest ecosystem. According to previous studies, the main programs that are conducted to recover the fire is planting, natural regeneration, and the combination of both. The same goes to the observation on the pilot areas. Most of the programs are in the same line as mentioned in the literature reviews.

5.3.1 Natural regeneration

Natural regeneration refers to the renewal process of forest ecosystem growth that appears by natural processes. The terminology of natural regeneration varies from one and another studies. Either natural regeneration, natural succession, or spontaneous regeneration, they have the same meaning. In natural succession, recovery happened gradually by growing the composition, structure, and function of the pristine forest. The successful regeneration includes all processes, such as seed production, seed dispersal, seed predation, germination, emergence, seedling survival, and seeding initial growth (Calama et al., 2017).

This restoration process has been studied for decades, showing that natural regeneration provides better results on the forest ecosystem (Chazdon & Uriarte, 2016). Natural regeneration supports the diversity of trees which results in more resilient forests to climate change (García et al., 2020).

This system becomes the first option in Sterea Ellada, because the results provide the best composition of forest which is more resilient than artificial reforestation. On the other side, in Cova da Beira, natural regeneration becomes the main option as well. They support natural regeneration by controlling the shrub biomass with mechanized shrub clearing. Because Cova da Beira is a farm area, they allowed grazing in certain areas, called open areas for cattle enclosed with the fence installation. Another case was in Sebangau National Park, the installation of canal blocking in the peat forest restores the hydrological condition. Forests become wetter and support the change of forest to regrow naturally.

Natural regeneration hence facing many obstacles and needs more time to recover. Several activities to boost the performance of the growing trees are weeding, fire protection, grazing protection, enhancing natural seed dispersed, and enrichment planting with desired tree species (Chazdon & Uriarte, 2016).

5.3.2 Planting

Planting programs start from the seedling process to renew the new forest ecosystem. This system has become one of the forest management methods in responding to post-fire events. For instance, this method is commanded to every year's programs in Indonesian forests. Not only in the National Sebangau Park, but across all forests since it is stated in the regulation. In Sterea Ellada, restoration through seeding became an option when natural regeneration is not reassured.

Several studies proved that the consideration of choosing specific tree species is essential in tree planting. It is to make sure that the chosen tree species are resilient enough to cope with climate change and fire. Normally, the management of the forest chooses the native tree or tree species that is more resilient in adjusting to climate change, fire, and able to sprout in the allocated latitude and longitude. The process of handling the seeds also becomes an essential process of planting new trees. Several tree species need extra action on the seed before the planting process.

To some extent, an intervention from the stakeholder should be done in the planting processes more than just planting the trees. For instance, mowing the grass surrounding the young trees gives a good impact on the tree’s growth. Similarly, clearing the fire fuel as biomass and the remnant of burned woods surpass the growing tree.

Studies that support restoration of forests mentioned that timber plantation supports natural forest. However, it is vulnerable to logging both legal and illegal. Another planting shortage is the results of even-age and monoculture trees, which are vulnerable to fire events.

5.3.3 The combination of Planting and Natural Regeneration

The combination of both programs refers to the process of both natural regeneration and active restoration combine in certain ways. In Podpol’anie, reforestation and regeneration are considered together as the non-native tree being removed when the natural regeneration starts.

In fact, the combination of natural regeneration gives a benefit that is lacking in both methods. There are certain ways of combining both methods to give the most benefit. Mixed restoration conducted in several places, combining natural regeneration that is managed by the farmer, forest manager, or surrounding communities. They will try to return tree cover on cultivated or grazed farmland without tree planting.

6 REFERENCES

Albrich, K., Rammer, W., Turner, M. G., Ratajczak, Z., Braziunas, K. H., Hansen, W. D., & Seidl, R. (2020). Simulating forest resilience: A review. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *29*(12), 2082–2096. https://doi.org/10.1111/GEB.13197

Aparício, B. A., Santos, J. A., Freitas, T. R., Sá, A. C. L., Pereira, J. M. C., & Fernandes, P. M. (2022). Unravelling the effect of climate change on fire danger and fire behaviour in the Transboundary Biosphere Reserve of Meseta Ibérica (Portugal-Spain). *Climatic Change*, *173*(1–2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-022-03399-8

Apostolidis, E., Pagkas, N., Sfouggaris, A., Trigkas, P., Perleros, V., Chavakis, E., Pothos, G., & Adrakta, C. (2022). *Master Plan for the New Forest. Evia after. Program for the reconstruction of North Evia.*

Arapis, T., Papadopoulou, D., Savvidis, G. A., Ioannidis, I., Kardakari, N., Karamanlidis, A., Papazoglou, F., Papamichael, G., & Hatzirvassanis, V. (2022). *Deliverable "Study 6: Volumes of Specific Environmental Studies of 1st group of areas/MA, TA1: Introduction, existing status, TA2: Data Assessment and synthesis-Ch.2."*

Auriacombe, C. J. (2011). Role of Theories of Change and Programme Logic Models in Policy Evaluation. *African Journal of Public Affairs*, *4*(2).

Baccarini, D. (1999). The Logical Framework Method for Defining Project Success: *Https://Doi.Org/10.1177/875697289903000405*, *30*(4), 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1177/875697289903000405

Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau. (2017). *Rencana Pemulihan Ekosistem (RPE) Taman Nasional Sebangau Periode 2018-2022 (In Bahasa)*.

Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau. (2021). *Pembuatan Sekat Kanal di Sebangau Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau Palangka Raya*.

Balai Taman Nasional Sebangau. (2022). *Zona Pengelolaan Taman Nasional Sebangau Provinsi Kalimantan Tengah (In Bahasa)*.

Ballabio, C., Panagos, P., & Monatanarella, L. (2016). Mapping topsoil physical properties at European scale using the LUCAS database. *Geoderma*, *261*, 110–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GEODERMA.2015.07.006

Barlow, J., Peres, C. A., Lagan, B. O., & Haugaasen, T. (2003). Large tree mortality and the decline of forest biomass following Amazonian wildfires. *Ecology Letters*, *6*(1), 6–8. https://doi.org/10.1046/J.1461-0248.2003.00394.X

Bar-On, Y. M., Phillips, R., & Milo, R. (2018). The biomass distribution on Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(25), 6506–6511. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711842115

Barrette, M., Thiffault, N., & Auger, I. (2021). Resilience of natural forests can jeopardize or enhance plantation productivity. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *482*, 118872. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FORECO.2020.118872

Brando, P. M., Balch, J. K., Nepstad, D. C., Morton, D. C., Putz, F. E., Coe, M. T., Silvério, D., Macedo, M. N., Davidson, E. A., Nóbrega, C. C., Alencar, A., & Soares-Filho, B. S. (2014). Abrupt increases in Amazonian tree mortality due to drought-fire interactions. *PNAS*, *111*(17), 6347–6352. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1305499111

Bryant, T., Waring, K., Sánchez Meador, A., & Bradford, J. B. (2019). A framework for quantifying resilience to forest disturbance. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, *2*(56). https://doi.org/10.3389/FFGC.2019.00056

Buba, T. (2013). Relationships between stem diameter at breast height (DBH), tree height, crown length, and crown ratio of Vitellaria paradoxa C.F. Gaertn in the Nigerian Guinea Savanna. *African Journal of Biotechnology* , *12*(22), 3441–3446. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB12.463

Buma, B., & Wessman, C. A. (2011). Disturbance interactions can impact resilience mechanisms of forests. *Ecosphere*, *2*(5). https://doi.org/10.1890/ES11-00038.1

Byers, J. (2005). *Marine Reserves Enhance Abundance but Not Competitive Impacts of a Harvested Nonindigenous Species on JSTOR*. https://www.jstor.org/stable/3450968

Calama, R., Manso, R., Lucas-Borja, M. E., Espelta, J. M., Piqué, M., Bravo, F., del Peso, C., & Pardos, M. (2017). Natural regeneration in iberian pines: A review of dynamic processes and proposals for management. *Forest Systems*, *26*(2). https://doi.org/10.5424/FS/2017262-11255

Camia, A., Durrant, T., & San-Miguel-Ayanz, J. (2013). *Harmonized classification scheme of fire causes in the EU adopted for the European Fire Database of EFFIS*.

Cannon, J. B., Peterson, C. J., O'Brien, J. J., & Brewer, J. S. (2017). A review and classification of interactions between forest disturbance from wind and fire. In *Forest Ecology and Management* (Vol. 406, pp. 381–390). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.07.035

Cazzolla Gatti, R., & Notarnicola, C. (2018). A novel Multilevel Biodiversity Index (MBI) for combined field and satellite imagery surveys. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *13*, e00361. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GECCO.2017.E00361

Chazdon, R. L., & Uriarte, M. (2016). Natural regeneration in the context of large-scale forest and landscape restoration in the tropics. *Biotropica*, *48*(6), 709–715. https://doi.org/10.1111/BTP.12409

Convention on Biological Diversity. (2013). *Essential Biodiversity Variables UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/17/INF/7*.

Costanza, R., & Mageau, M. (1999). What is a healthy ecosystem? *Aquatic Ecology*, *33*(1), 105–115. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009930313242

Crowther, T. W., Glick, H. B., Covey, K. R., Bettigole, C., Maynard, D. S., Thomas, S. M., Smith, J. R., Hintler, G., Duguid, M. C., Amatulli, G., Tuanmu, M. N., Jetz, W., Salas, C., Stam, C., Piotto, D., Tavani, R., Green, S., Bruce, G., Williams, S. J., … Bradford, M. A. (2015). Mapping tree density at a global scale. *Nature*, *525*(7568), 201–205. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE14967

Cui, X., Gibbes, C., Southworth, J., & Waylen, P. (2013). Using remote sensing to quantify vegetation change and ecological resilience in a semi-arid system. *Land*, *2*(2), 108–130. https://doi.org/10.3390/land2020108

Das, P., Behera, M. D., & Roy, P. S. (2018). Modeling precipitation dependent forest resilience in India. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives*, *42*(3), 263–266. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-3-263-2018

De Andrade, D. F. C., Gama, J. R. V., Ruschel, A. R., Melo, L. O., De Avila, A. L., & De Carvalho, J. O. P. (2019). Post-fire recovery of a dense ombrophylous forest in Amazon. *Anais Da Academia Brasileira de Ciências*, *91*(2). https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201920170840

de Andrade, D. F. C., Ruschel, A. R., Schwartz, G., de Carvalho, J. O. P., Humphries, S., & Gama, J. R. V. (2020). Forest resilience to fire in eastern Amazon depends on the intensity of pre-fire disturbance. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *472*, 118258. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FORECO.2020.118258

De Keersmaecker, W., Lhermitte, S., Hill, M. J., Tits, L., Coppin, P., & Somers, B. (2017). Assessment of regional vegetation response to climate anomalies: A case study for australia using GIMMS NDVI time series between 1982 and 2006. *Remote Sensing*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9010034

Donald, M. (2018). *Living, Working, Playing with Water: exploring perceptions of water in the urban environment through creative practice*.

Donohue, I., Petchey, O. L., Kéfi, S., Génin, A., Jackson, A. L., Yang, Q., & O'Connor, N. E. (2017). Loss of predator species, not intermediate consumers, triggers rapid and dramatic extinction cascades. *Global Change Biology*, *23*(8), 2962–2972. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13703

Donohue, R. J., Mcvicar, T. R., & Roderick, M. L. (2009). Climate-related trends in Australian vegetation cover as inferred from satellite observations, 1981-2006. *Global Change Biology*, *15*(4), 1025–1039. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01746.x

Dube, T., Pandit, S., Shoko, C., Ramoelo, A., Mazvimavi, D., & Dalu, T. (2019). Numerical assessments of leaf area index in tropical savanna rangelands, South Africa using Landsat 8 OLI derived metrics and in-situ measurements. *Remote Sensing*, *11*(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11070829

ESDAC. (n.d.). *European Soil Data Centre (ESDAC)*.

Falk, D. A., Watts, A. C., & Thode, A. E. (2019). Scaling Ecological Resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, *7*, 275. https://doi.org/10.3389/FEVO.2019.00275/BIBTEX

Fang, H., Baret, F., Plummer, S., & Schaepman-Strub, G. (2019). An Overview of Global Leaf Area Index (LAI): Methods, Products, Validation, and Applications. *Reviews of Geophysics*, *57*(3), 739–799. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018RG000608

Fang, H., & Liang, S. (2014). Leaf Area Index Models. *Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09076-X

Fanta, J. (1997). Rehabilitating degraded forests in Central Europe into self–sustaining forest ecosystems. *Ecological Engineering*, *8*(4), 289–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8574(97)00024-4

Fassnacht, F. E., Müllerová, J., Conti, L., Malavasi, M., & Schmidtlein, S. (2022). About the link between biodiversity and spectral variation. *Applied Vegetation Science*, *25*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/avsc.12643

Fernandes, P. M. (2013). Fire-smart management of forest landscapes in the Mediterranean basin under global change. In *Landscape and Urban Planning* (Vol. 110, Issue 1, pp. 175–182). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2012.10.014

Fernández-Guisuraga, J. M., Suárez-Seoane, S., & Calvo, L. (2021). Radiative transfer modeling to measure fire impact and forest engineering resilience at short-term. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *176*, 30–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2021.04.002

Flannigan, M. D., Stocks, B. J., & Wotton, B. M. (2000). Climate change and forest fires. *The Science of the Total Environment*, *262*(3), 221–229. https://doi.org/10.5301/HIP.2008.4782

Forest Biome | National Geographic Society. (n.d.). Retrieved April 21, 2022, from https://www.nationalgeographic.org/encyclopedia/forest-biome/

Franklin, J. F., Cromack, K. Jr., Denison, W., McKee, A., Maser, C., Sedell, J., Swanson, F., & Juday, Glen. (1981). Ecological characteristics of old-growth Douglas-fir forests. *Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-118. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 48 p*, *118*. https://doi.org/10.2737/PNW-GTR-118

García, C., Espelta, J. M., & Hampe, A. (2020). Managing forest regeneration and expansion at a time of unprecedented global change. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *57*(12), 2310–2315. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13797

Gemitzi, A., & Koutsias, N. (2021). Assessment of properties of vegetation phenology in fire-affected areas from 2000 to 2015in the Peloponnese, Greece. *Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment*, *23*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2021.100535

Gemitzi, A., & Koutsias, N. (2022, May). Possible precursory indicators for the devastating fire in North Evia island during August 2021, using remotely sensed and Earth-observation data. *EGU General Assembly 2022*. https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu22-2444

Gillespie, T. W., Saatchi, S., Pau, S., Bohlman, S., Giorgi, A. P., & Lewis, S. (2009). Towards quantifying tropical tree species richness in tropical forests. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *30*(6), 1629–1634. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160802524552

Gitas, I. Z. (2012). Burned area mapping and post-fire monitoring of a Mediterranean forest using NDVI time-series of low resolution imagery and the BFAST method. *Revista Ambiência*, *8*(4), 461–470. https://doi.org/10.5777/AMBIENCIA.2012.04.02

Global Fire Monitoring Center. (2019). *Report of the Independent Committee tasked to Analyze the Underlying Causes and Explore the Perspectives for the Future Management of Landscape Fires in Greece*.

Gunadi, A., Gunardi, G., & Martono, M. (2019). the Law of Forest in Indonesia: Prevention and Suppression of Forest Fires. *Bina Hukum Lingkungan*, *4*(1), 113. https://doi.org/10.24970/bhl.v4i1.86

Gunderson, L. H. (2003). Ecological Resilience—In Theory and Application. *Http://Dx.Doi.Org/10.1146/Annurev.Ecolsys.31.1.425*, *31*, 425–439. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV.ECOLSYS.31.1.425

Heinken, T., Diekmann, M., Liira, J., Orczewska, A., Schmidt, M., Brunet, J., Chytrý, M., Chabrerie, O., Decocq, G., De Frenne, P., Dřevojan, P., Dzwonko, Z., Ewald, J., Feilberg, J., Graae, B. J., Grytnes, J., Hermy, M., Kriebitzsch, W., Laiviņš, M., … Vanneste, T. (2022). The European Forest Plant Species List (EuForPlant): Concept and applications. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, *33*(3). https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.13132

Hellenic National Meteorological Service. (2016). *Climatic Atlas of Greece*.

Hellenic Statistical Authority. (2011). *2011 Census*.

Heryanto, R., & Sanyoto, P. (1994). *Peta geologi Lembar Amuntai*.

Heym, M., Uhl, E., Moshammer, R., Dieler, J., Stimm, K., & Pretzsch, H. (2021). Utilising forest inventory data for biodiversity assessment. *Ecological Indicators*, *121*, 107196. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLIND.2020.107196

Hinrich L. Bohn, George A, & OConnor. (2001). *Soil Chemistry (Hinrich L. Bohn, George A. OConnor etc.) (Z-Library)*.

Holling, C. S. (1973). *Resilience and Stability of Ecological Systems on JSTOR*. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2096802

Illés, G., & Móricz, N. (2022). Climate envelope analyses suggests significant rearrangements in the distribution ranges of Central European tree species. *Annals of Forest Science*, *79*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13595-022-01154-8

Jonášová, M., van Hees, A., & Prach, K. (2006). Rehabilitation of monotonous exotic coniferous plantations: A case study of spontaneous establishment of different tree species. *Ecological Engineering*, *28*(2), 141–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLENG.2006.05.008

Jurjević, L., Liang, X., Gašparović, M., & Balenović, I. (2020). Is field-measured tree height as reliable as believed – Part II, A comparison study of tree height estimates from conventional field measurement and low-cost close-range remote sensing in a deciduous forest. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *169*, 227–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2020.09.014

Kacic, P., & Kuenzer, C. (2022). Forest Biodiversity Monitoring Based on Remotely Sensed Spectral Diversity—A Review. *Remote Sensing*, *14*(21), 1–32. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14215363

Kapusniak, J., & Majlingová, A. (2014). Results of forest opening-up analysis for ground mobile fire-fighting equipment deployment in LS Maluzina territory-Case study. *European Journal of Environmental and Safety Sciences*, *2*(2), 37–47.

Katagis, T., Gitas, I. Z., & Toukiloglou, P. (2011). Trend analysis of time series image data for burned area mapping and post-fire monitoring. In Jesus San-Miguel Ayanz, Ioannis Gitas, Andrea Camia, & Sandra Oliveira (Eds.), *: Proceedings of the 8th International Workshop of the EARSeL Special Interest Group on Forest Fire*.

Kim, J. W., & Jung, C. (2013). Ecological resilience of soil oribatid mite communities after the fire disturbance. *Journal of Ecology and Environment*, *36*(2), 117–123. https://doi.org/10.5141/ecoenv.2013.015

Konczal, A. A., Derks, J., de Koning, J. H. C., & Winkel, G. (2023). Integrating nature conservation measures in european forest management – An exploratory study of barriers and drivers in 9 european countries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *325*, 116619. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116619

Kristiansen, S., Budiman, A., & Pudyatmoko, S. (2021). Ecosystem Guardians, or Threats? Livelihood Security and Nature Conservation in Maluku, Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, *0*, 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2021.1932744

Kuenzer, C., Ottinger, M., Wegmann, M., Guo, H., Wang, C., Zhang, J., Dech, S., & Wikelski, M. (2014). Earth observation satellite sensors for biodiversity monitoring: potentials and bottlenecks. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *35*(18), 6599–6647. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431161.2014.964349

Lee, M. F., Lin, T. C., Vadeboncoeur, M. A., & Hwong, J. L. (2008). Remote sensing assessment of forest damage in relation to the 1996 strong typhoon Herb at Lienhuachi Experimental Forest, Taiwan. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *255*(8–9), 3297–3306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.02.010

Lequy, É., Conil, S., & Turpault, M. P. (2012). Impacts of Aeolian dust deposition on European forest sustainability: A review. In *Forest Ecology and Management* (Vol. 267, pp. 240–252). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.12.005

Lohmander, P. (2021). Optimization of forestry, infrastructure and fire management. *Caspian Journal of Environmental Sciences*, *19*(2), 287–316. https://doi.org/10.22124/cjes.2021.4746

Luca Tacconi. (2002). Fires in Indonesia: causes, costs and policy implications. *Fires in Indonesia: Causes, Costs and Policy Implications*, *38*. https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor/001552

Lutz, J. A., Larson, A. J., Swanson, M. E., & Freund, J. A. (2012). Ecological importance of large-diameter trees in a temperate mixed-conifer forest. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(5). https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0036131

Machado Nunes Romeiro, J., Eid, T., Antón-Fernández, C., Kangas, A., & Trømborg, E. (2022). Natural disturbances risks in European Boreal and Temperate forests and their links to climate change – A review of modelling approaches. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *509*, 120071. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FORECO.2022.120071

Magis, K. (2010). Community Resilience: An Indicator of Social Sustainability. *Http://Dx.Doi.Org/10.1080/08941920903305674*, *23*(5), 401–416. https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920903305674

Majlingová, A. (2012). Opening-up of Forests for Fire Extinguishing Purposes. *Croatian Journal of Forest Engineering*, *33*(1), 159–168.

Mavromatis, G. (1980). The Bioclimate of Greece. Correlations of Climate and Natural Vegetation-Bioclimatic Maps. *Dasiki Erevna*, *1*.

Mazza, L., Bennett, G., Nocker, L. De, Gantioler, S., Margerison, L. L., Kaphengst, C. T., McConville, A., Rayment, M., Brink, P. ten, Tucker, G., & Diggelen, R. Van. (2011). Green Infrastructure Implementation and Efficiency. Final report for the European Commission, DG Environment on Contract ENV.B.2/SER/2010/0059. *Final Report for the European Commission, DG Environment on Contract ENV.B.2/SER/2010/0059*, *November*, 288.

Michaletz, S. T., & Johnson, E. A. (2007). How forest fires kill trees: A review of the fundamental biophysical processes. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, *22*(6), 500–515. https://doi.org/10.1080/02827580701803544

Michele, W., & Robert J, S. (2017). The GEO Handbook on Biodiversity Observation Networks. In *Springer Open* (Vol. 34, Issue 4). https://doi.org/10.2989/10220119.2017.1387815

Mirnanda, E. (2020). Konfigurasi Cekungan Barito Kalimantan Selatan Berdasarkan Analisis Data Gayaberat. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Physics*, *10*(2), 97. https://doi.org/10.13057/ijap.v10i2.27076

Moore, J. C. (2013). Diversity, Taxonomic versus Functional. In *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity* (pp. 648–656). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384719-5.00036-8

Moretti, M., Duelli, P., & Obrist, M. K. (2006). Biodiversity and resilience of arthropod communities after fire disturbance in temperate forests. *Oecologia*, *149*(2), 312–327. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-006-0450-z

Moss, S. J., & Chambers, J. L. C. (1999). DEPOSITIONAL MODELING AND FACIES ARCHITECTURE OF RIFT AND INVERSION EPISODES IN THE KUTAI BASIN, KALIMANTAN, INDONESIA. *PROCEEDINGS, INDONESIAN PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION Twenty Seventh Annual Convention & Exhibition, October 1999*, *October*.

Mulatu, K. A., Mora, B., Kooistra, L., & Herold, M. (2017). Biodiversity Monitoring in Changing Tropical Forests: A Review of Approaches and New Opportunities. *Remote Sensing 2017, Vol. 9, Page 1059*, *9*(10), 1059. https://doi.org/10.3390/RS9101059

Nikinmaa, L., Lindner, M., Cantarello, E., Jump, A. S., Seidl, R., Winkel, G., & Muys, B. (2020). Reviewing the Use of Resilience Concepts in Forest Sciences. *Current Forestry Reports 2020 6:2*, *6*(2), 61–80. https://doi.org/10.1007/S40725-020-00110-X

Nioti, F., Xystrakis, F., Koutsias, N., & Dimopoulos, P. (2015). A remote sensing and GIS approach to study the long-term vegetation recovery of a fire-affected pine forest in southern Greece. *Remote Sensing*, *7*(6), 7712–7731. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs70607712

Noss, R. F. (1990). *Indicators for Monitoring Biodiversity: A Hierarchical Approach on JSTOR*. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2385928

Oindo, B. O., & Skidmore, A. K. (2002). Interannual variability of NDVI and species richness in Kenya. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, *23*(2), 285–298. https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160010014819

Overpeck, J. T., Rind, D., & Goldberg, R. (1990). Climate-induced changes in forest disturbance and vegetation. *Nature 1990 343:6253*, *343*(6253), 51–53. https://doi.org/10.1038/343051a0

Palandjian, D., Gitas, I., & Wright, R. (2009). Burned area mapping and post-fire impact assessment in the Kassandra peninsula (Greece) using Landsat TM and Quickbird data. *Geocarto International*, *24*(3), 193–205. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106040802488542

Panagos, P., van Liedekerke, M., Borrelli, P., Köninger, J., Ballabio, C., Orgiazzi, A., Lugato, E., Liakos, L., Hervas, J., Jones, A., & Montanarella, L. (2022). European Soil Data Centre 2.0: Soil data and knowledge in support of the EU policies . *European Journal of Soil Science*, *73*(6). https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.13315

Panagos, P., van Liedekerke, M., Jones, A., & Montanarella, L. (2012). European Soil Data Centre: Response to European policy support and public data requirements. *Land Use Policy*, *29*(2), 329–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2011.07.003

Paulo, A. A., & Pereira, L. S. (2006). Drought Concepts and Characterization. *Water International*, *31*(1), 37–49. https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060608691913

Peterson, G., Allen, C. R., & Holling, C. S. (1998). Ecological Resilience, Biodiversity, and Scale. *Ecosystems 1998 1:1*, *1*(1), 6–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/S100219900002

Peterson, G. D. (2002). *Estimating Resilience Across Landscapes*. http://www.consecol.org/vol6/iss1/art17/

Pirard, R., Dal Secco, L., & Warman, R. (2016). Do timber plantations contribute to forest conservation? *Environmental Science & Policy*, *57*, 122–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVSCI.2015.12.010

Powers, R. P., Coops, N. C., Morgan, J. L., Wulder, M. A., Nelson, T. A., Drever, C. R., & Cumming, S. G. (2012). A remote sensing approach to biodiversity assessment and regionalization of the Canadian boreal forest: *Http://Dx.Doi.Org/10.1177/0309133312457405*, *37*(1), 36–62. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133312457405

Presidential Decree 575/1980. (1980). *Presidential Decree 575/1980* (Presidential Decree 575/1980 (OGG 157/A/1980)).

Qiu, T., Andrus, R., Aravena, M. C., Ascoli, D., Bergeron, Y., Berretti, R., Berveiller, D., Bogdziewicz, M., Boivin, T., Bonal, R., Bragg, D. C., Caignard, T., Calama, R., Camarero, J. J., Chang-Yang, C. H., Cleavitt, N. L., Courbaud, B., Courbet, F., Curt, T., … Clark, J. S. (2022). Limits to reproduction and seed size-number trade-offs that shape forest dominance and future recovery. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30037-9

Ranjan, R. (2018). What drives forest degradation in the central Himalayas ? Understanding the feedback dynamics between participatory forest management institutions and the species composition of forests. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *95*(July), 85–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.07.010

Reyes, G., & Kneeshaw, D. (2014). Ecological Resilience: Is It Ready for Operationalisation in Forest Management? *Advances in Environmental Research*, *32*(February), 195–212.

Rezaei, R., & Ghaffarian, S. (2021). Monitoring forest resilience dynamics from very high-resolution satellite images in case of multi-hazard disaster. *Remote Sensing*, *13*(20). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13204176

Ribeiro, I., Proença, V., Serra, P., Palma, J., Domingo-Marimon, C., Pons, X., & Domingos, T. (2019). Remotely sensed indicators and open-access biodiversity data to assess bird diversity patterns in Mediterranean rural landscapes. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43330-3

Rieley, J. O., Sieffermann, R. G., & Page, S. E. (1993). The origin, development, present status and importance of the lowland peat swamp forests of Borneo. *Suo*, *43*(4–5), 241–244.

Rikimaru, A., Roy, P. S., & Miyatake, S. (2002). Tropical forest cover density mapping. *Tropical Ecology*, *43*(1), 39–47.

Rocchini, D., Balkenhol, N., Carter, G. A., Foody, G. M., Gillespie, T. W., He, K. S., Kark, S., Levin, N., Lucas, K., Luoto, M., Nagendra, H., Oldeland, J., Ricotta, C., Southworth, J., & Neteler, M. (2010). Remotely sensed spectral heterogeneity as a proxy of species diversity: Recent advances and open challenges. *Ecological Informatics*, *5*(5), 318–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2010.06.001

Rouse, J. W. , Jr., Haas, R. H., Schell, J. A., Deering, D. W., Haas, R. H., Schell, J. A., & Deering, D. W. (1974). monitoring vegetation systems in the great plains with erts. *NASA. Goddard Space Flight Center 3d ERTS-1 Symp., Vol. 1, Sect. A*.

Royama, T. (2021). *Animal Population Ecology*.

Sasanifar, S., Alijanpour, A., Banj Shafiei, A., Eshaghi Rad, J., Molaei, M., & Azadi, H. (2019). Forest protection policy: Lesson learned from Arasbaran biosphere reserve in Northwest Iran. *Land Use Policy*, *87*(October 2018), 104057. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.104057

Sawe, B. E. (2019). *What Are The Three Main Types Of Forests Based On Latitutde? - WorldAtlas*. https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/what-are-the-three-main-types-of-forests-based-on-latitutde.html

Seidl, R., Thom, D., Kautz, M., Martin-Benito, D., Peltoniemi, M., Vacchiano, G., Wild, J., Ascoli, D., Petr, M., Honkaniemi, J., Lexer, M. J., Trotsiuk, V., Mairota, P., Svoboda, M., Fabrika, M., Nagel, T. A., & Reyer, C. P. O. (2017). Forest disturbances under climate change. *Nature Climate Change 2017 7:6*, *7*(6), 395–402. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3303

Senf, C., & Seidl, R. (2020). Mapping the forest disturbance regimes of Europe. *Nature Sustainability 2020 4:1*, *4*(1), 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00609-y

Silvano Fares. (2015). Five steps for managing. *Nature Vol 519*, 407–409.

Siry, J. P., Cubbage, F. W., Potter, K. M., Mcginley, K., & Mcginley, K. (2018). *Current Perspectives on Sustainable Forest Management : North America Background : Forest Sustainability*.

Smith, A. M. S., Kolden, C. A., & Bowman, D. M. J. S. (2018). Biomimicry can help humans to coexist sustainably with fire. In *Nature Ecology and Evolution* (Vol. 2, Issue 12, pp. 1827–1829). Nature Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0712-2

Stanturf, J. A., Palik, B. J., & Dumroese, R. K. (2014). Contemporary forest restoration: A review emphasizing function. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *331*, 292–323. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FORECO.2014.07.029

Stephens, S. L., Collins, B. M., Fettig, C. J., Finney, M. A., Hoffman, C. M., Knapp, E. E., North, M. P., Safford, H., & Wayman, R. B. (2018). Drought, Tree Mortality, and Wildfire in Forests Adapted to Frequent Fire. *BioScience*, *68*(2), 77–88. https://doi.org/10.1093/BIOSCI/BIX146

Stereńczak, K., Laurin, G. V., Chirici, G., Coomes, D. A., Dalponte, M., Latifi, H., & Puletti, N. (2020). Global airborne laser scanning data providers database (GlobALS)-A new tool for monitoring ecosystems and biodiversity. *Remote Sensing*, *12*(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12111877

Stoddart, M. et all. (2021). *Ecological restoration guided by historical reference conditions can increase resilience to climate change of southwestern U.S. Ponderosa pine forests - ScienceDirect*. https://www-sciencedirect-com.ezproxy.ugm.ac.id/science/article/pii/S0378112721003443

Suradisastra, K. (2010). *Membalik Kecenderungan Degradasi_Community.pdf* (pp. 276–295). https://www.litbang.pertanian.go.id/buku/membalik-kecenderungan-degrad/

Svenfelt, Å., Engström, R., & Höjer, M. (2010). Use of explorative scenarios in environmental policy-making-Evaluation of policy instruments for management of land, water and the built environment. *Futures*, *42*(10), 1166–1175. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2010.06.002

Swingland, I. R. (2013). Biodiversity, Definition of. *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity: Second Edition*, 399–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384719-5.00009-5

Thompson, I., Mackey, B., McNulty, S., & Mosseler, A. (2009). *Forest Resilience, Biodiversity, and Climate Change | Treesearch*. https://www.fs.usda.gov/treesearch/pubs/36775

Thompson, I., Mackey, B., McNulty, S., Mosseler, A., & Secretariat of the convention on the biological diversity. (2009). *Forest resilience, biodiversity, and climate change : a synthesis of the biodiversity, resilience, stabiblity relationship in forest ecosystems*. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity.

Timothy, D., Onisimo, M., Cletah, S., Adelabu, S., & Tsits, B. (2016, May). *Remote sensing of aboveground forest biomass: A review*. 2016. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287224448_Remote_sensing_of_aboveground_forest_biomass_A_review

Townsend, P. A., & Masters, K. L. (2015). Lattice-work corridors for climate change: A conceptual framework for biodiversity conservation and social-ecological resilience in a tropical elevational gradient. *Ecology and Society*, *20*(2). https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-07324-200201

Trepel, M. (2007). Evaluation of the implementation of a goal-oriented peatland rehabilitation plan. *Ecological Engineering*, *30*(2), 167–175. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLENG.2006.11.003

Turco, M., Rosa-Cánovas, J. J., Bedia, J., Jerez, S., Montávez, J. P., Llasat, M. C., & Provenzale, A. (2018). Exacerbated fires in Mediterranean Europe due to anthropogenic warming projected with non-stationary climate-fire models. *Nature Communications*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06358-z

Turubanova, S., Potapov, P., Krylov, A., Tyukavina, A., McCarty, J. L., Radeloff, V. C., & Hansen, M. C. (2015). Using the landsat data archive to assess long-term regional forest dynamics assessment in Eastern Europe, 1985-2012. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives*, *40*(7W3), 531–537. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprsarchives-XL-7-W3-531-2015

United States Departement of Agriculture. (2022). *Forest Atlas*. https://forest-atlas.fs.fed.us/grow-forest-extent.html

Uwizeyimana, D. E. (2020). The logframe as a monitoring and evaluation tool for government interventions in a chaotic and complex environment. *Africa's Public Service Delivery and Performance Review*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.4102/APSDPR.V8I1.328

Valente, S., Coelho, C., Ribeiro, C., Liniger, H., Schwilch, G., Figueiredo, E., & Bachmann, F. (2015). How much management is enough? Stakeholder views on forest management in fire-prone areas in central Portugal. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *53*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2015.01.003

Valkanou, K., Karymbalis, E., Bathrellos, G., Skilodimou, H., Tsanakas, K., Papanastassiou, D., & Gaki-Papanastassiou, K. (2022). Soil Loss Potential Assessment for Natural and Post-Fire Conditions in Evia Island, Greece. *Geosciences (Switzerland)*, *12*(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences12100367 van Gorsel, J. T. (2018). Bibliography of the Geology of Indonesia and Surrounding Areas. In *Bibliograpgy of Indonesia Geology* (pp. 0–330).

van Mantgem, P. J., Nesmith, J. C. B., Keifer, M. B., & Brooks, M. (2012). Tree mortality patterns following prescribed fire for Pinus and Abies across the southwestern United States. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *289*, 463–469. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FORECO.2012.09.029

Vaughan, R. A., Vaughan, R. A., Reveal, J. L., & Bates, D. M. (2014). *Remote Sensing of Vegetation : Principles , Techniques , and Applications by Hamlyn G . Jones and Remote Sensing of Vegetation : Principles , Techniques , and Applications by Hamlyn G . Jones ; Review by : Heather J . Lynch The Quarterly Review of Biology*.

Verbesselt, J., Umlauf, N., Hirota, M., Holmgren, M., Van Nes, E. H., Herold, M., Zeileis, A., & Scheffer, M. (2016). Remotely sensed resilience of tropical forests. *Nature Climate Change*, *6*(11), 1028–1031. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3108

Verma, S., & Jayakumar, S. (2012). Impact of forest fire on physical, chemical and biological properties of soil: A. *Proceedings of the International Academy of …*, *2*(3), 168–176. http://www.iaees.org/publications/journals/piaees/articles/2012-2(3)/impact-of-forest-fire.pdf

Vihervaara, P., Auvinen, A. P., Mononen, L., Törmä, M., Ahlroth, P., Anttila, S., Böttcher, K., Forsius, M., Heino, J., Heliölä, J., Koskelainen, M., Kuussaari, M., Meissner, K., Ojala, O., Tuominen, S., Viitasalo, M., & Virkkala, R. (2017). How Essential Biodiversity Variables and remote sensing can help national biodiversity monitoring. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, *10*, 43–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GECCO.2017.01.007

Viljur, M., Abella, S. R., Adámek, M., Alencar, J. B. R., Barber, N. A., Beudert, B., Burkle, L. A., Cagnolo, L., Campos, B. R., Chao, A., Chergui, B., Choi, C., Cleary, D. F. R., Davis, T. S., Dechnik-Vázquez, Y. A., Downing, W. M., Fuentes-Ramirez, A., Gandhi, K. J. K., Gehring, C., … Thorn, S. (2022). The effect of natural disturbances on forest biodiversity: an ecological synthesis. *Biological Reviews*, *97*(5), 1930–1947. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12876

Waltz, A. E. M., Stoddard, M. T., Kalies, E. L., Springer, J. D., Huffman, D. W., & Meador, A. S. (2014). Effectiveness of fuel reduction treatments: Assessing metrics of forest resiliency and wildfire severity after the Wallow Fire, AZ. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *334*, 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FORECO.2014.08.026

Wang, R., & Gamon, J. A. (2019). Remote sensing of terrestrial plant biodiversity. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, *231*(May), 111218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111218

Wang, W., Qu, J. J., Hao, X., Liu, Y., & Stanturf, J. A. (2010). Post-hurricane forest damage assessment using satellite remote sensing. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, *150*(1), 122–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.09.009

Wang, Y., & Fang, H. (2020). Estimation of LAI with the LiDAR Technology: A Review. *Remote Sensing 2020, Vol. 12, Page 3457*, *12*(20), 3457. https://doi.org/10.3390/RS12203457

Weil, R. R., & Brady, N. C. (2017). *The Nature and Properties of Soils*.

Wilson, E. O. (1994). *The Diversity of Life*. Penguin Books.

Winkel, G., Lovrić, M., Muys, B., Katila, P., Lundhede, T., Pecurul, M., Pettenella, D., Pipart, N., Plieninger, T., Prokofieva, I., Parra, C., Pülzl, H., Roitsch, D., Roux, J.-L., Thorsen, B. J., Tyrväinen, L., Torralba, M., Vacik, H., Weiss, G., & Wunder, S. (2022). Governing Europe’s forests for multiple ecosystem services: Opportunities, challenges, and policy options. *Forest Policy and Economics*, *145*, 102849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2022.102849

Wiyono, W., Hidayat, R., & Oktalina, S. (2020). The Community Empowerment Strategy in Protected Forest Management through Community-Based Ecotourism Development in Kalibiru Village, Kulon Progo Regency. *Habitat*, *31*(1), 11–27. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.habitat.2020.031.1.2

Yengoh, G. T., Dent, D., Olsson, L., Tengberg Compton, A. E., & Iii, J. T. (2015). *Use of the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) to Assess Land Degradation at Multiple Scales Current Status, Future Trends, and Practical Considerations*. Springer International Publishing. http://www.springer.com/series/8868

Yuliani, F. (2018). *PEATLAND RESTORATION METHOD IN THE CONTEXT OF PEATLAND FIRE Aulia Rahman Abstrak PENDAHULUAN Indonesia merupakan negara dengan kawasan gambut terluas keempat di dunia setelah Kanada 170 juta hektare , Uni Soviet 150 juta hekatare , dan Amerika Serikat 40*. *4*(02).

Zeng, F. W., James Collatz, G., Pinzon, J. E., & Ivanoff, A. (2013). Evaluating and quantifying the climate-driven interannual variability in global inventory modeling and mapping studies (GIMMS) normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI3g) at global scales. *Remote Sensing*, *5*(8), 3918–3950. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs5083918

Zhang, Y., Yang, Y., Chen, Z., & Zhang, S. (2020). Multi-criteria assessment of the resilience of ecological function areas in China with a focus on ecological restoration. *Ecological Indicators*, *119*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106862