

Avista Annual Report 2002

Form 10-K (NYSE:AVA)

Published: March 18th, 2002

PDF generated by stocklight.com



UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)	
	I 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE EAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 OR
	ON 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ON PERIOD FROM TO
Commission file nur	nber 1-3701
(Exact name of Registrant as s	pecified in its charter)
Washington	91-0462470
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
1411 East Mission Avenue, Spokane, Washington	99202-2600
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, includ	ing area code: 509-489-0500
Web site: http://www.a	vistacorp.com
Securities registered pursuant to	Section 12(b) of the Act:
Title of Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, no par value, together with Preferred Share Purchase Rights appurtenant thereto	New York Stock Exchange Pacific Stock Exchange
7 7/8% Trust Originated Preferred Securities, Series A	New York Stock Exchange
Securities registered pursuant to	Section 12(g) of the Act:
Preferred Stock, Cumulative	, Without Par Value
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant wa requirements for the past 90 days:	
Yes [X] No	[]
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of R not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or infor K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []	
The aggregate market value of the Registrant's outstanding Common Stock, no p \$696,099,691, based on the last reported sale price thereof on the consolidated to	
As of February 28, 2002, 47,678,061 shares of Registrant's Common Stock, no p	ar value (the only class of common stock), were outstanding.
Documents Incorporated	d By Reference
Document	Part of Form 10-K into Which Document is Incorporated
Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with the annual meeting of shareholders to be held May 9, 2002	Part III, Items 10, 11, 12 and 13

ACRONYMS AND TERMS

PART I

Item 1. Business

Item 2. Properties

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

PART IV

Item 14. Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules, Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

<u>SIGNATURES</u>

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit 3 (a)

Exhibit 4 (a) 29

Exhibit 12

Exhibit 21

AVISTA CORPORATION

Item

INDEX

Page

No.		No.
	Acronyms and Terms	iv
	Part I	
1.	Business	1
	Company Overview	1
	Avista Utilities	4
	General	4
	Electric Operations	4
	Electric Requirements	5
	Electric Resources	5
	Future Resource Needs	6
	Forecasted Electric Energy Requirements and Resources	7
	Hydroelectric Relicensing	7
	Natural Gas Operations	8
	Natural Gas Resources	9
	Regulatory Issues	9
	Industry Restructuring	12
	Federal Level	12
	State Level	13
	Environmental Issues	13
	Avista Utilities Operating Statistics	14
	Western Power Market Issues	16
	Energy Trading and Marketing Line of Business	17
	Avista Energy	17
	Avista Power	18
	Information and Technology Line of Business	18
	Avista Advantage	18
	Avista Labs	19
	Other Line of Business	19
	Discontinued Operations - Avista Communications	19
2.	Properties	20
	Avista Utilities	20
3.	Legal Proceedings	21
4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	21
	Part II	
5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	21
6.	Selected Financial Data	22
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
	Avista Corp. Lines of Business	23
	Avista Utilities - Regulatory Matters	24
	Enron Exposure	27
	Western Power Market Issues	28
	Results of Operations	29

Overall Operations		29
Avista Utilities		31
Energy Trading and Marketing		33
Information and Technology		36
Other		36
Discontinued Operations		37
Critical Accounting Policies		37
Liquidity and Capital Resources		39
Review of Cash Flow Statement		39
Overall Liquidity		40
	ii	

AVISTA CORPORATION

Item No.		Page No.
	Capital Resources	40
	Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	42
	Total Company Capitalization	42
	Credit Ratings	42
	Avista Utilities Operations	43
	Energy Trading and Marketing Operations	43
	Information and Technology Operations	44
	Other Operations	44
	Contractual Obligations	44
	Other Commercial Commitments	45
	Additional Financial Data	45
	Future Outlook	45
	Business Strategy	45
	Competition	45
	Business Risk	46
	Risk Management	48
	Economic and Load Growth	49
	Environmental Issues	49
	Other	50
	Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements	50
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	51
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	51
	Independent Auditors' Report	52
	Financial Statements	53
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	60
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	*
	Part III	
10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	89
11.	Executive Compensation	90
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	90
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	90
	Part IV	
14.	Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules, Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	91
	Signatures	92
	Independent Auditors' Consent	93
	Exhibit Index	94

iii

AVISTA CORPORATION

ACRONYMS AND TERMS

(The following acronyms and terms are found in multiple locations within the document)

Acronym/Term	Meaning
aMW	- Average Megawatt — a measure of electrical energy over time
AFUCE	 Allowance for Funds Used to Conserve Energy; a carrying charge similar to AFUDC (see below) for conservation-related capital expenditures
AFUDC	 Allowance for Funds Used During Construction; represents the cost of both the debt and equity funds used to finance utility plant additions during the construction period
Avista Capital	- Parent company to the Company's non-regulated businesses
Avista Corp.	- Avista Corporation, the Company
BPA	- Bonneville Power Administration

^{* =} not an applicable item in the 2001 calendar year for the Company

Capacity - a measure of the rate at which a particular generating source produces electricity

Centralia - the coal-fired Centralia Power Plant in western Washington State
Colstrip - the coal-fired Colstrip Generating Project in southeastern Montana

CPUC - California Public Utilities Commission
CT - combustion turbine; a natural gas-fired unit

Energy - a measure of the amount of electricity produced from a particular generating source over time

FERC - Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

IPUC - Idaho Public Utilities Commission

KV - Kilovolt — a measure of capacity on transmission lines KW, KWH - Kilowatt, kilowatthour, 1000 watts or 1000 watt hours MW, MWH - Megawatt, megawatthour, 1000 KW or 1000 KWH

OPUC - Public Utility Commission of Oregon

Therm - Unit of measurement for natural gas; a therm is equal to one hundred cubic feet (volume) or 100,000

BTUs (energy)

Watt - Unit of measurement for electricity; a watt is equal to the rate of work represented by a current of one

ampere under a pressure of one volt

WSCC - Western Systems Coordinating Council

WUTC - Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission

iv

AVISTA CORPORATION

PART I

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements should be read with the cautionary statements and important factors included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K at Item 7 — "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements." Forward-looking statements are all statements other than statements of historical fact, including without limitation those that are identified by the use of words such as, but not limited to, "will," "anticipates," "seeks to," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "predicts," and similar expressions. Such statements are inherently subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed.

Item 1. Business

Company Overview

Avista Corporation (Avista Corp. or the Company) was incorporated in the State of Washington in 1889. Avista Corp. is an energy company involved in the generation, transmission and distribution of energy as well as other energy-related businesses. As of December 31, 2001, the Company's employees included approximately 1,435 people in its utility operations and approximately 740 people in its subsidiary businesses. The Company's corporate headquarters are in Spokane, Washington, which serves as the Inland Northwest center for manufacturing, transportation, health care, education, communication, agricultural and service businesses.

The Company is currently organized into four lines of business — Avista Utilities, Energy Trading and Marketing, Information and Technology, and Other. Avista Utilities, an operating division of Avista Corp. and not a separate entity, represents the regulated utility operations. Avista Utilities is responsible for electric generation and transmission, and electric and natural gas distribution services. Avista Utilities also engages in wholesale purchases and sales of electric capacity and energy. Avista Capital, a wholly owned subsidiary of Avista Corp., is the parent company of all of the subsidiary companies engaged in the other non-regulated lines of business. The Energy Trading and Marketing line of business includes Avista Energy, Inc. (Avista Energy) and Avista Power, LLC (Avista Power). The Information and Technology line of business includes Avista Advantage, Inc. (Avista Advantage) and Avista Labs, Inc. (Avista Labs). The Other line of business includes Avista Ventures, Inc. (Avista Ventures), Avista Capital (parent company only amounts) and several other minor subsidiaries. In September 2001, the Company made a decision to discontinue the operations of Avista Communications, Inc. (Avista Communications), previously included in the Information and Technology line of business. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had common equity investments of \$368.7 million and \$351.4 million in Avista Utilities and Avista Capital, respectively.

Avista Utilities seeks to maintain a strong, low-cost and efficient electric and natural gas utility business focused on providing reliable, high quality service to its customers. The utility business is expected to grow modestly, consistent with historical trends. Expansion will primarily result from economic growth in its service territory. It is Avista Utilities' strategy to own or control a sufficient amount of resources to meet its retail and wholesale electric requirements on an average annual basis. During 2000, Avista Energy scaled back its operations regionally to work primarily within the Western Systems Coordinating Council (WSCC) and has focused on reducing the size and the risk associated with its energy trading and marketing activities. Avista Energy's marketing efforts are expected to be driven by its base of knowledge and experience in the operation of both electric energy and natural gas physical systems in the WSCC, as well as its relationship-focused approach to its customers. During 2001, the Company decided that Avista Power would no longer pursue the development of additional non-regulated generation plants. The Company intends to find equity partners to assist in financing the continued growth of the Information and Technology businesses, Avista Advantage and Avista Labs. The Company plans to dispose or phase out of assets and operations that are not related to its energy operations.

The Company's operations are exposed to risks including, but not limited to, legislative and governmental regulations; the price and supply of purchased power, fuel and natural gas; recovery of purchased power and purchased natural gas costs; weather conditions; availability of generation facilities; competition; technology and availability of funding. In addition, the energy business exposes the Company to the financial, liquidity, credit and commodity price risks associated with wholesale purchases and sales.

1

AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Energy - An electricity and natural gas trading and marketing company, operating primarily in the WSCC.

Avista Power - Originally formed to develop and own generating assets. In 2001, the Company decided that Avista Power would

no longer pursue the development of additional non-regulated generating plants. Avista Power continues to

manage the generation assets it currently owns.

Avista Advantage - Provider of internet-based facility intelligence and cost management services to commercial and industrial

customers in North America.

Avista Labs - Developed a unique modular proton exchange membrane (PEM) fuel cell that delivers reliable, affordable and

clean distributed power solutions. In addition to its PEM fuel cell, Avista Labs seeks to commercialize selected components to complement its fuel cell in order to deliver system solutions to industrial, commercial and residential

markets

The Company's current lines of business, and the companies included within them, are illustrated below:

denotes a business entity.

i — denotes an operating division or line of business.

2

AVISTA CORPORATION

For the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively, the four business segments of the Company contributed the following percentages of consolidated operating revenues, gross margins and income from operations (pre-tax):

	Operating Revenues		Gross Margins		Income from Operations (pre-tax)				
	2001	2000	1999	2001	2000	1999	2001	2000	1999
Avista Utilities	21%	19%	14%	74%	46%	105%	68%	1%	402%
Energy Trading and Marketing	83%	83%	84%	26%	54%	(5)%	56%	115%	(276)%
Information and Technology	_	_		n/a	n/a	n/a	(18)%	(12)%	(25)%
Other	_	_	2%	n/a	n/a	n/a	(6)%	(4)%	(1)%
Intersegment eliminations	(4)%	(2)%	_	_	_	_	_	_	

n/a - not applicable

The table above only includes results from continuing operations.

Gross margin is calculated as operating revenues less resource costs and is only calculated for Avista Utilities and Energy Trading and Marketing. (See "Schedule of Information by Business Segments in the Consolidated Financial Statements" for further information).

3

Avista Utilities

General

Avista Utilities generates, transmits and distributes electricity and distributes natural gas. Retail electric and natural gas customers include residential, commercial and industrial classifications. Avista Utilities also engages in wholesale purchases and sales of electric capacity and energy as part its resource management and load-serving obligations.

Avista Utilities provides electric and natural gas distribution and transmission services in a 26,000 square mile area in eastern Washington and northern Idaho with a population of approximately 830,000. It also provides natural gas distribution service in a 4,000 square mile area in northeast and southwest Oregon and in the South Lake Tahoe region of California, with the population in these areas approximating 525,000. At the end of 2001, Avista Utilities supplied retail electric service to approximately 317,000 customers in eastern Washington and northern Idaho and retail natural gas service to approximately 284,000 customers in parts of Washington, Idaho, Oregon and California.

Avista Utilities anticipates residential and commercial electric load growth to average between 2.0 and 3.0 percent annually for the next five years, primarily due to expected increases in both population and the number of businesses in its service territory. The number of electric customers is expected to increase; however, the average annual usage by residential customers is not expected to change significantly. For the next five years, Avista Utilities expects natural gas load growth, including transportation volumes, to average between 1.5 and 2.0 percent annually in Washington and Idaho and between 2.0 and 3.0 percent annually in the Oregon and South Lake Tahoe service areas. The natural gas load growth is primarily due to expected conversions from electric space and water heating to natural gas, and increases in both population and the number of businesses in Avista Utilities' service territories. These electric and natural gas load growth projections are based on purchased economic forecasts, publicly available studies, and internal analysis of company-specific data, such as energy consumption patterns and internal business plans. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Future Outlook" for additional information.

Electric Operations

In addition to providing electric transmission and distribution services, Avista Utilities generates electricity for sales to retail customers. Avista Utilities owns and operates eight hydroelectric projects, a wood-waste fueled generating station and a two-unit natural gas-fired combustion turbine (CT) generating facility. It also owns a 15 percent share in a two-unit coal-fired generating facility and leases and operates a two-unit natural gas-fired CT generating facility. In addition, Avista Utilities has a number of long-term power purchase and exchange contracts that increase its available resources. See "Item 2. Properties" for further information with respect to generation properties. Avista Utilities plans to add new generation assets in 2002 with the planned completion of the Coyote Springs 2 project and the addition of two small generation facilities.

Historically, Avista Utilities' electric rates to retail customers have been among the lowest of investor-owned utilities in the United States, due primarily to its large proportion of hydroelectric resources as compared to other investor-owned utilities. Retail electric rates remain low, relative to other investor-owned utilities in the United States, even after the enactment of recent temporary surcharges and rate increases. See "Regulatory Issues-Power Cost Deferrals" for further information.

Avista Utilities sells and purchases electric capacity and energy to and from utilities and other entities in the wholesale market under long-term contracts having terms of more than one year. In addition, Avista Utilities engages in an ongoing process of resource optimization which involves short-term purchases and sales in the wholesale market in pursuit of an economic selection of resources to serve retail and wholesale loads. Avista Utilities makes continuing projections of (1) future retail and wholesale loads based on, among other things, forward estimates of factors such as customer usage and weather as well as historical data and contract terms and (2) resource availability based on, among other things, estimates of streamflows, generating unit availability, historic and forward market information and experience. On the basis of these continuing projections, Avista Utilities makes purchases and sales of energy on a quarterly, monthly, daily and hourly basis to match actual resources to actual energy requirements and to sell any surplus at the best available price. This process includes hedging transactions.

Avista Utilities competes in the electric wholesale market with other utilities, federal marketing agencies and power marketers. The electric wholesale market has changed significantly over the last few years with respect to market participants involved, level of activity, variability of prices, FERC-imposed price caps and counterparty credit issues. These changes contributed to the increased volatility of the wholesale market during 2000 and the first half of 2001. During the second half of 2001 wholesale market prices and volatility both decreased to levels similar to those

4

AVISTA CORPORATION

experienced before 2000. See "Western Power Market Issues" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -Western Power Market Issues" for more information.

Challenges facing Avista Utilities' electric operations include, among other things, the ability to recover deferred power supply costs and the timing of such recovery, changes in the availability of and volatility in the prices of power and fuel, generating unit availability, legislative and governmental regulations, and weather conditions. Avista Utilities believes it faces minimal risk for stranded utility assets resulting from deregulation due to its relatively low-cost generation portfolio. In a deregulated environment, however, evolving technologies that provide alternate energy supplies could affect the market price of power, and certain generating assets could have capital and operating costs above the adjusted market price. Avista Utilities may also be exposed to refunds for wholesale power sales depending on the outcome of the FERC's retroactive price cap proceeding for the Pacific Northwest; however, Avista Utilities would have the opportunity to establish offsetting claims. See "Industry Restructuring," "Western Power Market Issues," "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Western Power Market Issues" and "Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information.

Electric Requirements

Annual peak requirements for 2001 were 3,234 MW (including long-term wholesale obligations of 937 MW and short-term wholesale obligations of 797 MW). This peak occurred on January 16, 2001 at which time the maximum resource capacity available from Avista Utilities was 3,553 MW. The maximum resource capacity included 1,418 MW of company-owned electric generation, 144 MW from long-term hydroelectric contracts, 548 MW of other long-term wholesale purchases and 1,443 MW of short-term wholesale purchases. Variations in energy usage by Avista Utilities' customers occur from year to year, from season to season and from month to month within a season, primarily as a result of weather conditions. This necessitates a continual balancing of loads and resources, and requires both purchases and sales of energy for annual, quarterly, monthly, daily and hourly periods in order to meet electric requirements and to prudently manage and optimize available resources.

Electric Resources

General Avista Utilities' diverse electric resource mix of hydroelectric projects, thermal generating facilities, and power purchases and exchanges, combined with strategic access to regional electric transmission systems, enables it to remain a low-cost producer of electric energy. As of December 31, 2001, Avista Utilities' total owned and leased resource capability was approximately 1,480 MW, of which 65 percent was hydroelectric and 35 percent was thermal. See "Avista Utilities Operating Statistics — Electric Operations" for energy resource statistics.

Hydroelectric Resources Hydroelectric generation is Avista Utilities' lowest cost source per MWh of electricity and the availability of hydroelectric generation has a significant effect on its total power supply costs. Under average operating conditions, Avista Utilities projects that it would be able to meet approximately one-half of its total electric requirements (both retail and long-term wholesale) with its own hydroelectric generation and fixed long-term hydroelectric contracts with certain Public Utility Districts in Washington state. Total hydroelectric resource generation (both company-owned and purchased under long-term hydroelectric contracts) was 3.2 million MWhs in 2001, a decrease from 4.7 million MWhs in 2000 and 5.4 million MWhs in 1999.

Total hydroelectric resources (including resources purchased under long-term hydroelectric contracts) provide 550 aMW (or 4.8 million MWhs) annually under normal streamflow conditions. The streamflows to company-owned hydroelectric projects were 56 percent, 86 percent and 112 percent of normal in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. In a "critical water" year (defined by the Northwest Power Pool as the worst water conditions on record), Avista Utilities would expect hydroelectric production of 400 aMW, 150 aMW below normal. Average hydroelectric production for the year 2001 was 369 aMW, which is 181 aMW below normal and the lowest level in the 73 years in which records have been kept. The combination of low hydroelectric production and other factors resulted in Avista Utilities incurring power supply costs during the second half of 2000 and the year 2001 significantly in excess of the amount of power supply costs recovered through retail rates in effect at the time. See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Avista Utilities-Regulatory Matters" for more information.

Thermal Resources Avista Utilities has a 15 percent interest in a twin-unit, coal-fired generating facility, the Colstrip 3 & 4 Generating Project (Colstrip) in southeastern Montana. Avista Utilities also owns a wood-waste-fired generating facility known as the Kettle Falls Generating Station (Kettle Falls) in northeastern Washington and a two-unit natural gas-fired CT generating facility, located in northeast Spokane (Northeast CT). In addition, Avista Utilities also leases and operates a two-unit natural gas-fired CT generating facility in northern Idaho (Rathdrum CT).

5

AVISTA CORPORATION

Washington. In May 2000, the owners of Centralia sold the plant to TransAlta. Avista Utilities is purchasing energy from TransAlta to replace the output from Centralia for the period from July 1, 2000 through December 31, 2003. Avista Utilities receives approximately 200 megawatts per hour during the term of the contract beginning each July and continuing through March of the following year.

Colstrip, which is operated by PPL Global, Inc., is supplied with fuel under coal supply and transportation agreements in effect through December 2019 from adjacent coal reserves.

Kettle Falls' primary fuel is wood-waste generated as a by-product from forest industry operations within 100 miles of the plant. Natural gas may be used as an alternate fuel. A combination of long-term contracts plus spot purchases provides Avista Utilities the flexibility to meet expected future fuel requirements for the plant.

The Northeast CT and Rathdrum CT are natural gas-fired generating units that were primarily used for peaking electric requirements prior to 2000. Due to the shortage of hydroelectric generation during 2000 and 2001 and the relative operating cost compared to higher wholesale market prices, these generating units were operated on a more frequent basis. The Northeast CT and the Rathdrum CT have access to natural gas supplies that are adequate to meet their respective operating needs.

The following table shows Avista Utilities' thermal resource generation (in thousands of MWhs) during the years ended December 31:

	2001	2000	1999
Centralia	_	493	1,224
Colstrip	1,617	1,473	1,622
Kettle Falls	361	370	273
Northeast CT and Rathdrum CT	1,023	817	234
Total thermal generation	3,001	3,153	3,353

Purchases, Exchanges and Sales Avista Utilities purchases power under various long-term purchase contracts. Avista Utilities also enters into a significant number of short-term sales and purchases with terms of up to one year.

Under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA), Avista Utilities is required to purchase generation from qualifying facilities, including small hydroelectric and cogeneration projects, at rates approved by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (WUTC) and the Idaho Public Utilities Commission (IPUC). These contracts expire at various times through 2022.

See "Avista Utilities Operating Statistics — Electric Energy Resources" for more detailed information with respect to purchased power and power from exchanges in 2001, 2000 and 1999.

Future Resource Needs

In August 2000, the WUTC approved Avista Utilities' plan to increase its power resources to serve long-term electric requirements. The Company evaluated 32 third-party supply-side and demand-side proposals submitted through the request-for-proposal process in 2000. In December 2000, Avista Utilities selected the Coyote Springs 2 project, located near Boardman, Oregon, as the supply-side option.

The Coyote Springs 2 project is a combined-cycle, natural gas-fired combustion turbine with generation output of approximately 280 MW. Engineering and procurement of required major equipment began in January 2001. In December 2001, the Company completed the sale of 50 percent of its interest in the Coyote Springs 2 project to an affiliate of Mirant Americas Development, Inc. (Mirant). The Company and Mirant are sharing equally in the costs of construction, operation and output from the plant. The total cost of the plant is expected to be approximately \$190 million. Upon completion of construction, expected to be in the third quarter of 2002, the Company's 50 percent ownership interest in the Coyote Springs 2 project will be transferred from Avista Power to Avista Corp. to be operated as an asset of Avista Utilities.

Due to the shortage of hydroelectric generation and high wholesale market prices during the first half of 2001, Avista Utilities initiated the process of placing several small diesel and natural gas-fired generators into operation at sites in its

6

AVISTA CORPORATION

service territory. Due to the reduction in wholesale market prices during the second half of 2001, Avista Utilities reevaluated the cost of the small generation units and will not operate as many of the units as originally planned. The Boulder Park generation facility (Boulder Park) located in Spokane County and a small combustion generation unit in Kettle Falls, Washington (Kettle Falls CT) will be in operation by mid-2002. These projects have a combined generation capability of 32 MW.

As part of the strategy to mitigate the decrease in electric resources caused by the low hydroelectric availability and volatile energy markets, Avista Utilities implemented several buy-back and rebate programs for retail customers during 2001. The programs were designed to encourage conservation and decrease average customer usage.

Avista Utilities has operational strategies to ensure that it has available resources sufficient to meet the increased demand for energy. Under normal water conditions and loads, Avista Utilities' hydroelectric and thermal generation and long-term contracts would have been able to provide 100 percent of its forecasted native retail load and wholesale energy requirements during 2001. Avista Utilities has made purchases to enable it to cover its electric energy requirements for 2002 and believes that it will be in a surplus power position in 2003 and 2004 under normal water conditions.

Forecasted Electric Energy Requirements and Resources (aMW)

	2002	2003	2004
Requirements:			

System load	1,001	1,021	1,055
Contracts for power sales	48	24	7
Total Requirements	1,049	1,045	1,062
Resources:			
System and contract hydro (1)	550	550	550
Company owned thermal generation (2)	299	361	366
Contracts for purchased power	275	264	244
Total Resources	1,124	1,175	1,160
Surplus Resources	75	130	98

- (1) Assumes normal water conditions, which is the mean of the 60 years between 1928 and 1988. Preliminary forecasts indicate streamflows are expected to be 87 percent of normal in 2002 based on current snow pack conditions. Avista Utilities currently estimates that hydroelectric generation will be 534 aMW in 2002.
- (2) Includes new generation available in 2002 from the Company's 50 percent ownership in the Coyote Springs 2 project. Forecast assumes no generation from the Northeast CT and the Rathdrum CT, which are generally only used to meet peaking electric load requirements, and/or when operating costs are lower than short-term wholesale market prices.

Significant Customer A contract with Avista Utilities' largest customer, Potlatch Corporation (Potlatch), expired on December 31, 2001. Since 1992 Potlatch had received service under a special contract under the PURPA. Potlatch's Lewiston, Idaho facility includes about 100 aMW of electric requirements and approximately 60 aMW of self-generation that is currently economic to operate. Avista Utilities and Potlatch are currently negotiating a new agreement. As part of the new agreement, the parties have agreed that Potlatch will receive electric service from Avista at large industrial tariff (Schedule 25) rates. Potlatch is currently using its generation for its own electric requirements, which results in a net electric requirement on Avista Utilities' system of approximately 40 aMW. Although Potlatch would like to sell its generation output, the current market price for wholesale electricity is below the Schedule 25 rates, therefore Potlatch uses its generation to meet its electric requirements. The ultimate resolution of this contract could have an impact on Avista Utilities' resource planning, that Avista Utilities is working to minimize through continued negotiations of the terms and conditions associated with service to Potlatch under Schedule 25 rates. Any changes in the revenue and expense associated with serving Potlatch would be reflected in the Idaho power cost adjustment mechanism (PCA).

Hydroelectric Relicensing

Avista Corp. is a licensee under the Federal Power Act, which regulates certain of its hydroelectric generation resources, and is administered by the FERC. Avista Corp.'s licensed projects are subject to the provisions of Part I of that Act. These provisions include payment for headwater benefits, condemnation of licensed projects upon payment of just compensation, and take-over of such projects after the expiration of the license upon payment of the lesser of "net

7

AVISTA CORPORATION

investment" or "fair value" of the project, in either case, plus severance damages. All but one of the Company's hydroelectric plants are regulated by the FERC through project licenses issued for 30-50 year periods.

In February 2000, Avista Utilities received a 45-year operating license from the FERC for the Cabinet Gorge and Noxon Rapids Hydroelectric Generating Developments. The Clark Fork Settlement Agreement, included as part of the FERC license procedures, preserved the projects' economic peaking and load following operations. As part of the Clark Fork Settlement Agreement, Avista Utilities initiated implementation of protection, mitigation and enhancement measures in March 1999. Measures in the agreement, which will cost approximately \$4.7 million annually, address issues related to fisheries, water quality, wildlife, recreation, land use, cultural resources and erosion. Recovery of previously deferred hydroelectric relicensing costs, as well as estimated levels of ongoing costs associated with implementation of the Settlement Agreement, were addressed by both the WUTC and IPUC and received favorable treatment. Costs of approximately \$15 million deferred during the licensing phase were allowed in rate base and are being amortized over the 45-year license term. The ongoing Clark Fork Settlement Agreement costs are recorded as operating expenses. See "Item 2. Properties — Avista Utilities" and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Future Outlook" for additional information.

The issue of high levels of dissolved gas which exceed Idaho water quality standards downstream of Cabinet Gorge during spill periods continues to be studied, as agreed to in the Clark Fork Settlement Agreement. To date, intensive biological studies in the lower Clark Fork River and Lake Pend Oreille have documented minimal biological effects of high dissolved gas levels on free ranging fish. An engineering feasibility study identified several possible structural alternatives at Cabinet Gorge that may reduce dissolved gas levels. Under the terms of the Clark Fork Settlement Agreement, the Company will develop an abatement and/or mitigation strategy in 2002 in conjunction with the other signatories to the agreement.

The Company operates six hydroelectric plants on the Spokane River, and five of these (Long Lake, Nine Mile, Upper Falls, Monroe Street and Post Falls) are under one FERC license. The sixth, Little Falls, is not licensed by the FERC. The license for the Spokane River Projects expires in August 2007; the Company will file a notice of intent to relicense before August 2002. Planning, discussions with stakeholder groups and information gathering activities are ongoing.

Natural Gas Operations

Natural gas commodity prices increased dramatically during 2000 and remained high during the first half of 2001 before declining during the second half of the year. Natural gas commodity costs in excess of the amount recovered in current rates are deferred and recovered in future periods with applicable regulatory approval through adjustments to rates. Market prices for natural gas continue to be competitive compared to alternative fuel sources for residential, commercial and industrial customers. Avista Utilities believes that natural gas should sustain its market advantage based on the levels of existing reserves and potential natural gas development in the future. Growth has occurred in the natural gas business due to increased demand for natural gas in new construction, as well as conversions from electric space and water heating to natural gas.

Avista Utilities makes sales and provides transportation service directly to large natural gas customers. The majority of Avista Utilities' large industrial customers purchase their own natural gas requirements through natural gas marketers. For these customers, Avista Utilities provides transportation from

its pipeline interconnection to the customer's premises. Seven of Avista Utilities' largest natural gas customers are provided natural gas transportation service under individual contracts. These negotiated contracts were entered into to retain these customers who can either by-pass Avista Utilities' distribution system or have competitive alternative fuel capability. All individual contracts are subject to regulatory review and approval. The competitive nature of the natural gas spot market results in savings in the cost of purchased natural gas, which encourages large customers with fuel-switching capabilities to continue to utilize natural gas for their energy needs when economic. The total volume transported on behalf of transportation customers for 2001, 2000 and 1999 was 180.9, 224.8 and 232.4 million therms, which represented approximately 33 percent, 38 percent and 35 percent of Avista Utilities' total system deliveries, respectively.

Challenges facing Avista Utilities' natural gas operations include, among other things, volatility in the price of natural gas, changes in the availability of natural gas, legislative and governmental regulations, weather conditions, conservation and the ability to recover natural gas costs.

8

AVISTA CORPORATION

Natural Gas Resources

Natural Gas Supply Natural gas supplies are available from domestic and Canadian sources through both long- and short-term, or spot market, purchases. Avista Utilities has capacity delivery rights on six pipelines and owns natural gas storage facilities. A diverse portfolio of natural gas resources allows Avista Utilities to capture market opportunities that benefit its natural gas customers.

The Company's energy trading and marketing subsidiary, Avista Energy, is responsible for the daily management and optimization of these resources for the requirements of customers in the states of Washington, Idaho and Oregon under an agreement with Avista Utilities. Under this relationship, Avista Utilities retains ownership of its transportation, storage and long-term contracts and Avista Energy acts as an agent to optimize these important resources. The utility commissions have approved Benchmark Incentive Mechanisms that allow Avista Utilities and its customers to share the benefits of Avista Energy's resource optimization activities. See "Regulatory Issues: Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism" and "Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information.

Firm natural gas supplies are available through negotiated agreements for terms ranging between one month and seven years. Approximately 25 percent of the natural gas supplies are obtained from domestic sources, with the remaining 75 percent from Canadian sources. Nearly all natural gas purchased from Canadian sources is contracted in U.S. dollar denominations, limiting any foreign currency exchange exposure. Canadian natural gas supplies are not considered to be at greater risk of non-delivery than supplies from the United States.

Jackson Prairie Natural Gas Storage Project (Jackson Prairie Storage Project) Avista Utilities owns a one-third interest in the Jackson Prairie Storage Project, an underground natural gas storage field located near Chehalis, Washington. The role of the Jackson Prairie Storage Project in providing flexible natural gas supplies is important to Avista Utilities' natural gas operations. It enables Avista Utilities to place natural gas into storage when prices are low or to meet minimum natural gas purchasing requirements, as well as to withdraw natural gas from storage when spot prices are high or as needed to meet high demand periods. During 1999, the process of increasing the capacity at the Jackson Prairie Storage Project was completed. This increased capacity is being operated and managed by Avista Energy for a ten-year period. Avista Utilities has contracted to release a total of approximately 43 percent of its Jackson Prairie Storage Project capacity to two other utilities. One of these contracts requires two years notice for termination and one contract is renewed on a year to year basis.

Regulatory Issues

Avista Corp., as a regulated public utility, is currently subject to regulation by state utility commissions with respect to prices, accounting, the issuance of securities, and other matters. The retail electric and natural gas operations are subject to the jurisdiction of the WUTC, the IPUC, the Oregon Public Utility Commission (OPUC) and the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The Company is also subject to the jurisdiction of the FERC for its wholesale natural gas rates charged for the release of capacity from the Jackson Prairie Storage Project, and for electric transmission service and wholesale electric sales. The FERC also issued orders with respect to a price mitigation plan applicable to certain wholesale power transactions throughout the western United States during the period June 2001 through September 2002. See "Western Power Market Issues" for additional information.

In each regulatory jurisdiction, rates for retail electric and natural gas services (other than specially negotiated retail rates for industrial or large commercial customers, which are subject to regulatory review and approval) are currently determined on a "cost of service" basis and are designed to provide, after recovery of allowable operating expenses, an opportunity to earn a reasonable return on "rate base." "Rate base" is generally determined by reference to the original cost (net of accumulated depreciation) of utility plant in service, subject to various adjustments for deferred taxes and other items. Over time, rate base is increased by additions to utility plant in service and reduced by depreciation of utility plant. As the energy business is restructured, traditional "cost of service" ratemaking may evolve into some other form of ratemaking. Rates for transmission services are based on the "cost of service" principles and are set forth in tariffs on file with the FERC. See "Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information about regulation, depreciation and deferred income taxes. See "Industry Restructuring" for additional information about deregulation.

Power Cost Deferrals In August 2000, the WUTC approved Avista Utilities' request for deferred accounting treatment for certain power costs related to, among other things, increases in short-term wholesale electric prices from July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001. Avista Utilities is permitted to defer the recognition in the income statement of the portion of power supply costs that is in excess of the level currently recovered from retail customers. Deferred power supply costs are recorded as a deferred charge on the balance sheet for future review and the opportunity for recovery through retail

9

AVISTA CORPORATION

rates. The specific power costs deferred include the changes in power costs to Avista Utilities from the costs included in base retail rates, related to changes in short-term wholesale market prices, changes in the level of hydroelectric generation and changes in the level of thermal generation (including changes in fuel prices). In January 2001, the WUTC approved a modification to the deferral mechanism to recover power supply costs associated with meeting increased retail and wholesale system load requirements, effective December 1, 2000.

In May 2001, the WUTC approved a settlement agreement reached among Avista Corp., the staff of the WUTC and other parties with respect to deferred power costs. The agreement, among other things, provided for the extension of Avista Corp.'s deferred accounting mechanism through February 2003. During June and the first part of July of 2001, Avista Utilities evaluated the effect of several significant developments that changed its plans for the recovery of deferred power costs. These developments included a decline in hydroelectric availability, the increased cost of energy and capacity to meet

retail and wholesale demand for 2001 and a decline in wholesale market prices. As such, Avista Utilities determined that its plan for recovery of deferred cost balances, as contemplated in the May 2001 settlement agreement with the WUTC and the existing PCA with the IPUC, was not feasible.

Accordingly, in July 2001 Avista Utilities filed requests with the WUTC and IPUC for the approval of an electric energy surcharge of 36.9 percent in Washington and a PCA surcharge of 14.7 percent in Idaho for a 27-month period beginning in September 2001.

In September 2001, the WUTC ordered a 25 percent temporary electric rate surcharge for the 15-month period from October 1, 2001 to December 31, 2002 applied uniformly across all Washington electric customer classes. As part of the surcharge order, the WUTC ordered Avista Utilities to file a general rate case by December 2001. The order by the WUTC also provided for the termination of the accounting mechanism for the deferral of power costs effective December 31, 2001. The WUTC subsequently approved the continuation of the accounting mechanism for deferred power costs for the period from January 1, 2002 through the conclusion of the general rate case.

In November 2001, Avista Utilities filed a request with the WUTC for an expedited procedural schedule to address the prudence and recoverability of deferred power costs incurred as of September 30, 2001. In March 2002, the WUTC issued an order approving the prudence and recoverability of 90 percent of deferred power supply costs incurred during the period from July 1, 2000 through December 31, 2001. This resulted in the Company expensing \$21.8 million of power supply costs previously deferred.

In October 2001, the IPUC issued an order approving a 14.7 percent PCA surcharge for Idaho electric customers and granted an extension of a 4.7 percent PCA surcharge implemented earlier in 2001 that was to expire January 31, 2002. Both PCA surcharges will remain in effect until October 11, 2002. The IPUC directed Avista Utilities to file a status report 60 days before the PCA surcharge expires. If review of the status report and the actual balance of deferred power costs support continuation of the PCA surcharge, the IPUC has indicated that it anticipates the PCA surcharge would be extended for an additional period. The current PCA mechanism allows for the deferral of 90 percent of the difference between actual net power supply expenses and the authorized level of net power supply expense approved in the last Idaho general rate case.

As of December 31, 2001, total deferred power costs were \$213.3 million, including \$140.2 million in Washington and \$73.1 million in Idaho. In 2001, revenue collected under the Washington and Idaho surcharges totaled \$14.4 million and deferred power costs were further reduced \$60.7 million through the amortization of a deferred non-cash credit. Based on current projections, total deferred power costs balances are expected to be approximately \$150 million at the end of 2002 and fully recovered by 2007.

See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Avista Utilities-Regulatory Matters" for additional information.

General Rate Cases In October 1999, Avista Utilities filed with the WUTC a request for a general electric rate increase of \$26.2 million, or 10.4 percent, subsequently revised to \$18.2 million, and a general natural gas rate increase of \$4.9 million, or 6.5 percent. In September 2000, the WUTC ordered a \$3.4 million, or 1.4 percent, reduction in electric rates and a \$1.7 million, or 2.1 percent, increase in natural gas rates. The WUTC also ordered that Avista Utilities' overall rate of return for both electricity and natural gas be reduced from 10.7 percent to 9.03 percent. Avista Utilities had requested a 9.9 percent overall rate of return. Avista Utilities filed a Petition for Reconsideration with the WUTC requesting that the commission reconsider certain portions of its order. In November 2000, the Commission slightly modified the original order, reducing the electric reduction from \$3.4 million to \$2.9 million and increasing the natural gas increase from \$1.7 million to \$1.8 million.

10

AVISTA CORPORATION

On December 3, 2001, the Company filed a general electric rate case with the WUTC, as ordered by the WUTC in September 2001. Issues to be addressed include, among other things, the recovery of cash outlays for increased power supply costs and expenses related to building additional generation. The rate case requested by Avista Corp. proposes a 10.39 percent overall rate of return. The WUTC may take up to 11 months to review the general rate case filing.

In the rate case filing with the WUTC, Avista Corp. requested an interim rate increase of 10 percent (or \$29.3 million in annual revenues) above current rates (including the 25 percent temporary surcharge approved by the WUTC in September 2001 discussed above). In March 2002, the WUTC ordered an increase to base retail rates of 6.2 percent (or \$14.7 million in annual revenues). The order also modified the temporary electric surcharge such that one-fifth of the existing 25 percent surcharge will be applied to offset the Company's general operating costs and the remainder will continue to be applied as a recovery of deferred power costs. In the general rate case filing, the Company requested that a number of adjustments be made that would result in no net change to rates above the interim rate increase. The Company requested that once the interim rate increase ends, base electric rates would increase by 22.5 percent (or \$53.2 million in annual revenues) and the electric surcharge would be reduced from 25 percent to 14.9 percent. These rate increases are necessary in order to continue the recovery of deferred power costs. The proposed rate increases also reflect, among other things, the recovery of costs associated with the addition of the Company's 50 percent ownership in the Coyote Springs 2 power plant and the construction of two small generation projects built to serve retail customer needs.

In the general rate case, Avista Corp. requested the implementation of a temporary accounting mechanism for the deferral of power supply costs incurred in excess of the amount recovered through rates effective January 1, 2002 until the conclusion of the general rate case. The WUTC approved this request on December 21, 2001. In the general rate case, Avista Corp. requested the establishment of a permanent PCA mechanism to increase or decrease future electric rates based on actual power supply costs, similar to the Idaho PCA mechanism that allows for the deferral of 90 percent of the difference between actual net power supply costs and the authorized level of net power supply costs.

In Avista Utilities' last general electric rate case in Idaho, the IPUC granted a rate increase of \$9.3 million, or 7.6 percent, with an authorized rate of return of 8.98 percent, effective August 1999.

Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA or Natural Gas Trackers) Natural gas trackers are supplemental tariffs filed with state regulatory commissions designed to pass through changes in purchased natural gas costs, and do not normally result in any changes in net income. In July 2001, Avista Utilities filed requests for PGAs with the WUTC and the IPUC. Both the Washington PGA increase of 12.2 percent approved by the WUTC and the Idaho PGA increase of 11.5 percent approved by the IPUC became effective in August 2001. Avista Utilities estimates these PGA rate changes will increase revenues by \$24.6 million for approximately one year. Total deferred natural gas costs were \$52.7 million as of December 31, 2001. Based on current PGAs in place and current natural gas prices, Avista Utilities expects that the deferred natural gas cost balance will be fully recovered by December 2002.

Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism Avista Utilities received regulatory approval of its Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism in 1999 from the IPUC, WUTC and OPUC. The mechanism eliminated natural gas procurement operations within Avista Utilities for these jurisdictions and consolidated gas procurement operations under Avista Energy, the Company's non-regulated affiliate. The ownership of the natural gas assets remains with Avista

Utilities; however, Avista Energy through an Agency Agreement with Avista Utilities manages the assets. Avista Utilities maintains a natural gas staff to prepare load forecasts and analyses related to long-term resource acquisitions, to manage the Agency Agreement with Avista Energy and to support state and federal regulatory activities.

Consolidation of natural gas procurement operations under Avista Energy allows the Company to gain synergies and better manage its risk by combining and operating the two portfolios as a single portfolio and to gain efficiencies by eliminating duplicate functions. Effective January 1, 2001, the WUTC and IPUC approved Avista Utilities' modifications to the Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism, incorporating the use of financial products (fixed-price transactions or hedging). Due to the unprecedented increase in and volatility of natural gas commodity costs, it was determined that such additional flexibility was needed in the Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism to properly manage costs. The Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism provides certain guaranteed benefits to retail customers and provides the Company with the opportunity to improve earnings (a performance-based mechanism).

Avista Utilities provided notice of its intent to continue the Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism and related Agency Agreement with Avista Energy to the applicable state regulatory agencies in 2001. In early 2002, the WUTC approved the continuation of the Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism and related Agency Agreement through March 31, 2003 and the IPUC approved the continuation through March 31, 2005. The OPUC is expected to make its ruling in late March 2002.

11

AVISTA CORPORATION

Demand Side Management (DSM) and Low-Income Assistance Programs DSM programs are designed to encourage conservation of energy. In February 2001, the WUTC and the IPUC approved Avista Utilities' implementation of a natural gas revenue surcharge of 0.5 percent to provide funding for natural gas energy-efficiency programs. Avista Utilities currently has electric revenue surcharges, or tariff riders, of approximately 1.5 percent in Washington and Idaho to fund its electric DSM programs. The tariff rider has been in place since 1995 and was the first "system benefit charge" for energy efficiency in the United States.

Effective May 2001, the WUTC approved an increase in electric and natural gas surcharges, or tariff rider, of 0.79 percent to supplement the Community Action Agency's existing federal and state low-income heating energy assistance program and Project Share funds. The annual revenue of approximately \$2.7 million funds eastern Washington agencies assistance to help in-need customers pay their energy bills.

Industry Restructuring

Federal Level

Industry restructuring to open the electric wholesale energy market to competition was initially promoted by federal legislation. The Energy Policy Act of 1992 (Energy Act) amended provisions of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 (PUHCA) and the Federal Power Act to remove certain barriers to a competitive wholesale market. The Energy Act expanded the authority of the FERC to issue orders requiring electric utilities to transmit power and energy to or for wholesale purchasers and sellers, and to require electric utilities to enlarge or construct additional transmission capacity for the purpose of providing these services. It also created "exempt wholesale generators", a new class of independent power plant owners that are able to sell generation only at the wholesale level. This permits public utilities and other entities to participate through subsidiaries in the development of independent electric generating plants for sales to wholesale customers without being required to register under the PUHCA.

FERC Order No. 888, issued in April 1996, requires public utilities operating under the Federal Power Act to provide access to their transmission systems to third parties pursuant to the terms and conditions of the FERC's pro-forma open access transmission tariff. FERC Order No. 889, the companion rule to Order No. 888, requires public utilities to establish an Open Access Same-Time Information System (OASIS) to provide transmission customers with information about available transmission capacity and other information by electronic means. It also requires each public utility subject to the rule to functionally separate its transmission and wholesale power merchant functions. The FERC issued its initial order accepting the non-rate terms and conditions of Avista Utilities' open access transmission tariff in November 1996. Avista Utilities filed its "Procedures for Implementing Standards of Conduct under FERC Order No. 889" with the FERC in December 1996 and adopted these Procedures effective January 1997. FERC Orders No. 888 and No. 889 have not had a material effect on Avista Utilities' operating results.

Avista Corp. and three other Western utilities have taken steps toward the formation of a for-profit Independent Transmission Company (ITC), TransConnect, which would serve portions of six states. TransConnect would be a member of the planned regional transmission organization, RTO West, a non-profit entity, and it would own or lease the high voltage transmission facilities currently held by Avista Corp., Portland General Electric Co., Nevada Power Co. and Sierra Pacific Power Co. A proposal was filed in October 2000 in response to the FERC's Order No. 2000, which requires utilities subject to FERC regulation to file a proposal to form a Regional Transmission Organization (RTO), or a description of efforts to participate in an RTO, and any existing obstacles to RTO participation. In April 2001, the FERC issued the RTO West/TransConnect order granting, with modifications, the participating companies' petition. Avista Corp. is actively evaluating this order to determine the effects of the modifications and the impact to the potential development of TransConnect. TransConnect filed its proposal with FERC in November 2001. Avista Corp. only joined in the planning protocol modified governance for this filing. The final proposal must be approved by the FERC, the boards of directors of the filing companies and regulators in various states. The companies' decision to move forward with the formation of TransConnect or RTO West will ultimately depend on the conditions related to the formation of the entities, as well as the economics and conditions imposed in the regulatory approval process. If TransConnect were formed, it could result in Avista Utilities divesting \$174 million of electric transmission assets.

The North American Electric Reliability Council and the WSCC have undertaken initiatives to establish a series of security coordinators to oversee the reliable operation of the regional transmission system. Accordingly, Avista Utilities, in cooperation with other utilities in the Pacific Northwest, established the Pacific Northwest Security Coordinator (PNSC), which oversees daily and short-term operations of the Northwest sub-regional transmission grid and has limited authority to direct certain actions of control area operators in the case of a pending transmission system emergency. Avista Utilities executed its service agreement with the PNSC in September 1998.

12

AVISTA CORPORATION

State Level

Further competition may be introduced by state action. Competition for retail customers is not generally allowed in Avista Utilities' service territory. While the Energy Act precludes the FERC from mandating retail wheeling, state regulators and legislators could open service territories to full competition at the retail level. Legislative action at the state level would be required for full retail wheeling and customer choice to occur in Washington and Idaho. For the

past several years, the legislatures and public utility commissions in Washington and Idaho have conducted a series of hearings and several studies regarding electric industry restructuring. Issues such as unbundling, deregulation, reliability and consumer protection were examined. Impacts on customer service quality and system reliability (generation, transmission and distribution) were considered on a "macro" basis under various restructuring scenarios. Public policy makers in Washington and Idaho continue to examine other states' experiences with restructuring, while cognizant that the Pacific Northwest generally benefits from the lowest electric rates in the country. Although there is currently no action surrounding deregulation in Washington or Idaho, activities related to California's deregulation have affected wholesale power prices in the western United States, including the Company's service territory. See "Western Power Market Issues" for information about the California energy situation.

An initiative was presented in November 2001 in Montana to create a public agency to own and operate all hydroelectric generating facilities within the state. Avista Utilities' largest generation plant, Noxon Rapids, is located in Montana on the Clark Fork River. If this proposed initiative obtains the required signatures by June 21, 2002 and is passed into law in the November 2002 General Election, Noxon Rapids could be acquired from the Company either through a negotiated sale or at fair market value through a condemnation proceeding. This could have significant negative ramifications for the Company. As such, the Company intends to vigorously oppose this initiative and intends to legally defend itself against the acquisition of Noxon Rapids. See "Note 24 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information.

Environmental Issues

The Company is subject to environmental regulation by federal, state and local authorities. The generation, transmission, distribution, service and storage facilities in which Avista Utilities has an ownership interest were designed to comply with all applicable environmental laws. Furthermore, the Company conducts periodic reviews of all its facilities and operations to respond to or anticipate emerging environmental issues. The Company's Board of Directors has an Environmental Committee to deal specifically with these issues.

Air Quality The most significant impact on the Company related to the Clean Air Act (CAA) and the 1990 Clear Air Act Amendments (CAAA) pertains to Colstrip, which is a "Phase II" coal-fired plant under the CAAA. Colstrip is not expected to be required to implement any additional sulfur dioxide (SO2) mitigation in the foreseeable future in order to continue operations. Avista Utilities' other thermal projects are subject to various CAAA standards. Every five years each project requires an updated operating permit (known as a Title V permit) which addresses, among other things, the compliance of the plant with the CAAA. The operating permit for the Rathdrum CT was issued in December 2000. During 2001, the Company applied to renew the operating permit for the Kettle Falls plant and applied for an upgrade to a Title V permit for the natural gas-fired CTs located in Spokane. The Company anticipates the receipt of these operating permits during the second quarter of 2002. Additionally, the Company received operating permits for several small generation projects during 2001. Due to the decline in wholesale market prices during the second half of 2001, Avista Utilities reevaluated the cost of the small generation units and will not operate as many of the units as originally planned.

During 2001, Avista Corp. extended the operating hours of the Northeast CT with an agreement with the Spokane County Air Pollution Control Authority (SCAPCA) under a special operating order called an Assurance of Discontinuance (AOD). The SCAPCA has allowed for continued operation of the Northeast CT upon the condition of a payment of \$150 for each hour of operation paid into a mitigation fund for assistance to low-income customers and the payment of \$10,000 for each day of operation to fund an environmental offset project. The AOD allows Avista Utilities to use the Northeast CT to temporarily bring on added generating capacity for the benefit of its customers and the region during a time of increased energy demand and limited energy resources. Extended operation of the Northeast CT was approved after the SCAPCA determined, through air emission modeling and projections, that extended operation of the turbine would not adversely impact air quality. The funding of the environmental offset project will be paid until such time as a new operating permit is issued by the SCAPCA. The Company submitted its permit application for permanent operation of the Northeast CT in November 2001.

See "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Future Outlook" and "Note 24 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information.

13

AVISTA CORPORATION

AVISTA UTILITIES OPERATING STATISTICS

Years Ended December 31,

		rears Ended December 51,	
	2001	2000	1999
ELECTRIC OPERATIONS			
ELECTRIC OPERATING REVENUES (Thousands of Dollars):			
Residential	\$158,847	\$ 158,065	\$158,658
Commercial	155,371	149,770	152,107
Industrial	80,433	82,992	69,559
Public street and highway lighting	3,790	3,612	3,517
Total retail revenues	398,441	394,439	383,841
Wholesale revenues	480,903	864,754	522,499
Other revenues	42,861	28,062	21,824
Total electric operating revenues	\$922,205	\$1,287,255	\$928,164
ELECTRIC ENERGY SALES (Thousands of MWhs):			
Residential	3,219	3,279	3,237
Commercial	2,882	2,886	2,848
Industrial	1,892	2,048	2,032
Public street and highway lighting	25	25	25
Total retail energy sales	8,018	8,238	8,142
Wholesale energy sales	6,262	15,807	19,778
Total electric energy sales	14,280	24,045	27,920

ELECTRIC ENERGY RESOURCES (Thousands of MWhs):			
Hydro generation (from Company facilities)	2,564	3,819	4,287
Thermal generation (from Company facilities)	3,001	3,153	3,353
Purchased power — long-term hydro	631	929	1,093
Purchased power — long-term wholesale	4,196	5,500	4,791
Purchased power — short-term wholesale	3,869	10,611	14,308
PURPA contracts	559	595	598
Power exchanges	(104)	67	16
Total power resources	14,716	24,674	28,446
Energy losses and Company use	(436)	(629)	(526)
Total energy resources (net of losses)	14,280	24.045	27,920
Total chorgy recourses (not or lesses)	11,200	21,010	
NUMBER OF ELECTRIC CUSTOMERS (Average for Period):			
Residential	276,845	273,219	270,013
Commercial	35,454	35,060	34,877
Industrial	1,434	1,254	1,189
Public street and highway lighting	402	392	389
Table disectand ingritary ingriting			
Total electric retail customers	314,135	309,925	306,468
Wholesale	44	58	68
Total electric customers	314,179	309,983	306,536
ELECTRIC RESIDENTIAL SERVICE AVERAGES:			
Annual use per customer (KWh)	11,629	12,003	11,990
Revenue per KWh (in cents)	4.93	4.82	4.90
Annual revenue per customer	\$ 573.77	\$ 578.53	\$ 587.59
ELECTRIC AVERAGE HOURLY LOAD (aMW)	975	1,012	990
RESOURCE AVAILABILITY at time of system peak (MW):			
Total requirements (winter):			
Retail native load	1,500	1,491	1,351
Wholesale obligations	1,734	2,338	3,281
Total requirements (winter)	3,234	3,829	4,632
Total resource availability (winter)	3,553	4.194	4,831
Total requirements (summer):	0,000	7,107	4,001
Retail native load	1,379	1,473	1,418
Wholesale obligations	1,332	2,756	4,590
Total requirements (summer)	2,711	4,229	6,008
Total resource availability (summer)	2,927	4,656	6,633
	,-	,	-,

14

AVISTA CORPORATION

Years	Ended	December 31	١.

	2001	2000	1999
NATURAL GAS OPERATIONS			
NATURAL GAS OPERATING REVENUES (Thousands of Dollars):			
Residential	\$179,584	\$128,240	\$ 99,879
Commercial	104,012	69,982	51,952
Industrial	11,130	7,680	5,047
Total retail natural gas revenues	294,726	205,902	156,878
Wholesale revenues	1,762	5,691	15,189
Transportation revenues	8,576	10,242	10,777
Other revenues	3,579	3,011	4,640
Total natural gas operating revenues	\$308,643	\$224,846	\$187,484
THERMS DELIVERED (Thousands of Therms):			
Residential	198,413	212,198	200,184
Commercial	126,869	135,126	125,611
Industrial	15,523	18,350	16,450
Total retail sales	340,805	365,674	342,245
Wholesale sales	4,831	4,034	74,117
Transportation sales	180,918	224,803	232,388
Interdepartmental sales and Company use	15,430	1,391	10,152

Total therms delivered	541,984	595,902	658,902
Total therms delivered	J41,304	333,302	030,302
COLUDO CO MATURAL CAO CURRILY (The constant Theory)			
SOURCES OF NATURAL GAS SUPPLY (Thousands of Therms):	0.40,000	070 705	400.000
Purchases	348,620	372,795	430,698
Storage — injections	(62)	(467)	(30,508)
Storage — withdrawals	54	403	23,972
Natural gas for transportation	180,918	224,803	232,388
Interdepartmental transportation	14,662	589	351
Distribution system gains (losses)	(2,208)	(2,221)	2,001
Total supply	541,984	595,902	658,902
NUMBER OF NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS (Average for Period):			
Residential	249,650	242,983	234,844
Commercial	30,355	29,739	29,032
Industrial	328	334	338
Total retail customers	280,333	273,056	264,214
Wholesale customers	2	2	9
Transportation customers	86	96	107
Transportation desterners			
Total natural gas customers	280,421	273,154	264,330
NATURAL GAS RESIDENTIAL SERVICE AVERAGES:			
Washington and Idaho			
Annual use per customer (therms)	852	950	887
Revenue per therm (in cents)	89.24	57.82	45.74
Annual revenue per customer	\$ 760.02	\$ 549.07	\$ 405.51
Oregon and California			
Annual use per customer (therms)	688	730	789
Revenue per therm (in cents)	93.44	66.83	58.59
Annual revenue per customer	\$ 643.31	\$ 487.80	\$ 462.21
NET SYSTEM MAXIMUM CAPABILITY (Thousands of Therms):			
Net system maximum demand (winter)	2,236	2,347	2,077
Net system maximum firm contractual capacity (winter)	4,320	4,320	4,320
HEATING DEGREE DAYS:(1)			
Spokane, WA			
Actual	6,800	7,176	6,408
30 year average	6,842	6,842	6,842
% of average	99%	105%	94%
Medford, OR			
Actual	4,143	4,388	4,401
30 year average	4,611	4,611	4,611
% of average	90%	95%	95%

(1) Heating degree days are the measure of the coldness of weather experienced, based on the extent to which the average of high and low temperatures for a day falls below 65 degrees Fahrenheit (annual degree days below historic indicate warmer than average temperatures).

15

AVISTA CORPORATION

Western Power Market Issues

Avista Utilities and Avista Energy are directly and indirectly involved with issues surrounding Western power markets, including the California market. In early 2001, California's two largest utilities, Southern California Edison (SCE) and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E), defaulted on payment obligations owed to various energy sellers, including the California Power Exchange (CalPX), California Independent System Operator (CallSO), and Automated Power Exchange (APX). CalPX, CallSO and APX defaulted on their payment obligations to Avista Energy. PG&E and CalPX filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of the bankruptcy code for protection from creditors. SCE has remained outside of bankruptcy.

The FERC issued an order in August 2000 initiating hearing proceedings under section 206 of the Federal Power Act to address matters affecting bulk power markets and wholesale energy prices (including volatile price fluctuations) in California. In November 2000 the FERC proposed specific remedies to address dysfunctions in California's wholesale bulk markets and to ensure just and reasonable wholesale power rates by public utility sellers in California. The FERC denied requested refunds from sellers of electric power for sales prior to October 2, 2000 and held that sales made after October 2, 2000 are subject to refund, with the level and extent of any refund to be determined in future orders. In April 2001, the FERC issued a price mitigation order that affected the CallSO spot market. In June 2001, the FERC expanded its price mitigation plan for the California spot market to 24 hours a day, seven days a week and broadened the price curbs to the eleven state Western region through September 2002. Since June 2001, spot market prices have remained below the FERC-imposed caps.

Earlier in 2001, the Governor of California invoked emergency executive powers to seize certain power contracts (called "block forward contracts") between the CalPX and, respectively, PG&E and SCE after PG&E's and SCE's defaults. The block forward contracts would have been significant assets of the CalPX bankruptcy estate if they had not been so removed by the Governor. PG&E, SCE, the CalPX Creditors Committee, and certain individual creditors filed suits separately against the State of California for compensation related to the seized block forward contracts. In September 2001, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit ruled that wholesale energy suppliers are entitled to injunctive relief from the State of California. At this time, it is not possible to predict the timing or outcome of this claim against the State of California.

In July 2001, the FERC issued an order to commence a fact-finding hearing to determine amounts to be refunded for sales during the period from October 2, 2000 to June 20, 2001 in the California spot market operated by the CallSO and the CalPX. The order provides that any refunds owed could be offset against unpaid energy debts due to the same party. The FERC schedule for this proceeding has been postponed repeatedly and is not expected

to be continued until August 2002 or later. Avista Energy is participating in this proceeding pursuant to the FERC order and cannot predict its outcome at this time.

The July 2001 FERC order also directed a separate evidentiary proceeding to explore wholesale power market issues in the Pacific Northwest. The FERC's Pacific Northwest proceeding seeks to determine whether there were excessive charges for spot market sales in the Pacific Northwest in the period December 25, 2000 to June 20, 2001, and whether there is sufficient factual basis for the FERC to take further action. Based on their application of selected retroactive pricing methods, certain parties have asserted claims for significant refunds from Avista Energy and lesser refunds from Avista Utilities. Avista Energy and Avista Utilities joined with numerous other wholesale market participants to vigorously oppose proposals for retroactive price caps and refund claims. In September 2001, the FERC's administrative law judge for this proceeding issued a recommendation that the FERC should not order refunds for the Pacific Northwest for the period in question and that the FERC should take no further action on these matters. The FERC has not yet issued a decision in the Pacific Northwest refund proceeding.

In September 2001, PG&E filed a plan of reorganization that provides for payment to all creditors on or around January 1, 2003. The PG&E plan requires various approvals, including procedural and content matters, by the Federal Bankruptcy Court, Securities and Exchange Commission, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and the FERC. Various parties, including consumer groups and the CPUC, have announced opposition to the plan of reorganization. The CPUC filed a term sheet in February 2002 outlining an alternative plan of reorganization. On February 27, 2002, the Federal Bankruptcy Court ruled that the CPUC would be permitted to file its full plan, which it must do by April 15, 2002. The CPUC term sheet estimates that creditors would be paid in or around January 2003.

In October 2001, SCE and the CPUC announced a settlement of SCE's filed rate doctrine lawsuit. By entering into a settlement agreement and obtaining additional financing, SCE made substantially full payment on its past due obligations on March 1, 2002. The defaulted payments due to Avista Energy remain entangled with the CalPX, which was the intermediary between many energy sellers and SCE as energy purchaser.

16

AVISTA CORPORATION

As of December 31, 2001, Avista Energy's accounts receivable related to defaulting parties in California — net of reserves for uncollected amounts, cost of collection, and refunds — were approximately \$6.5 million. Avista Energy is currently pursuing recovery for the defaulted obligations.

Energy Trading and Marketing Line of Business

The Energy Trading and Marketing line of business includes Avista Energy and Avista Power, both wholly owned subsidiaries of Avista Capital.

Avista Energy

Avista Energy is an electricity and natural gas trading and marketing business focused on marketing energy in the western United States. In 1997, Avista Energy began conducting business on a national basis and expanded operations with its acquisition of Vitol Gas & Electric, LLC in 1999. However, in November 1999, the Company decided to reduce Avista Energy's size and risk by redirecting its focus away from national energy trading and marketing toward a more regionally-based energy trading and marketing effort in the western United States. Avista Energy's trading and marketing efforts are backed by contracts for energy commodities and by the output of specific facilities available under contract. Avista Energy's headquarters are in Spokane, Washington, and it also has an office in Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Avista Energy is in the business of buying and selling electricity and natural gas. Avista Energy's customers include commercial and industrial end-users, electric utilities, natural gas distribution companies and other trading companies. Avista Energy also trades electricity and natural gas derivative commodity instruments, including futures, options, swaps and other contractual arrangements. Most transactions are conducted on a largely unregulated "over-the-counter" basis, there being no central clearing mechanism (except in the case of specific instruments traded on the commodity exchanges). During 1999, Avista Energy also sold and traded coal and SO2 allowances, but eliminated these activities in 2000 as contracts expired. The following table provides operating statistics for Avista Energy for the years ended December 31:

	2001	2000	1999
Decree (delle or better conde)			
Revenues (dollars in thousands):			
Electric	\$3,380,058	\$4,721,291	\$4,745,615
Natural Gas	1,619,285	1,751,264	1,900,487
Coal and other	1,612	58,996	49,569
Total revenues	\$5,000,955	\$6,531,551	\$6,695,671
Sales Volumes:			
Electricity (thousands of MWhs)	47,927	105,548	135,099
Natural gas (thousands of dekatherms)	248,193	273,448	775,822
Coal (thousands of tons)	_	3,514	1,638

Although Avista Energy scaled back operations to focus primarily in the western United States during 2000, its trading operations continue to be affected by, among other things, volatility of prices within the electric energy and natural gas markets, the demand for and availability of energy, lower unit margins on new sales contracts, FERC- ordered price caps, financial condition of counterparties and deregulation of the electric utility industry.

In April 1997, Avista Energy entered into a marketing agreement with Chelan County Public Utility District (PUD), located in Washington State. The agreement allows Avista Energy to market, on a "real-time" basis, a portion of the output from Chelan County PUD's hydroelectric resources (557 MWhs) and to jointly market energy products and services to other utilities in the region.

Effective September 1, 1999, Avista Energy began managing Avista Utilities' natural gas storage assets, transportation contracts and natural gas purchasing operations. Under an Agency Agreement, Avista Energy serves as agent for Avista Utilities, managing its pipeline transportation rights and natural gas storage assets, as well as purchasing natural gas for Avista Utilities' retail customers. The assets continue to be owned by Avista Utilities; however, they are fully integrated operationally into Avista Energy's portfolio. A benchmark incentive mechanism allows Avista Energy the opportunity to retain a portion of the benefits associated with asset optimization and the efficiencies gained in purchasing natural gas for Avista Utilities. Approvals for continuation of the agreement and the benchmark incentive mechanism were received from the state regulatory agencies in Washington and Idaho in

AVISTA CORPORATION

early 2002. The current benchmark incentive mechanism and related Agency Agreement expires in March 2003 in Washington and March 2005 in Idaho. The OPUC is expected to issue its ruling in late March 2002.

Avista Energy is subject to the various risks inherent in commodity trading including, particularly, market risk, liquidity risk, commodity risk and credit risk. See "Western Power Market Issues", "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Future Outlook," and "Notes 1, 5 and 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information regarding the market and credit risks inherent in the energy trading business, fourth quarter 1999 restructuring costs, Avista Energy's risk management policies and procedures, accounting practices, and positions held by Avista Energy as of December 31, 2001.

Avista Capital provides guarantees for Avista Energy's line of credit agreement and, in the course of business, may provide guarantees to other parties with whom Avista Energy may be doing business. Avista Capital had \$91.6 million of such guarantees outstanding as of December 31, 2001.

Avista Power

Avista Power was formed to develop and own generation assets. During 2001, the Company decided that Avista Power would no longer pursue the development of additional non-regulated generation projects due to changing market conditions and as part of Avista Corp.'s overall business strategy. Avista Power continues to manage the generation assets it currently owns.

Avista Power is a 49 percent owner of a 270 MW natural gas-fired combustion turbine plant in Rathdrum, Idaho, which commenced commercial operation in September 2001. The output from this plant is contracted to Avista Energy for 25 years. Avista Power is also in the process of constructing the Coyote Springs 2 power plant and completed the sale of 50 percent of its interest in the plant to Mirant in December 2001. Upon the planned completion of the plant in the third quarter of 2002, Avista Power's 50 percent ownership interest will be transferred to Avista Corp. to be operated as an asset of Avista Utilities.

Information and Technology Line of Business

The Information and Technology line of business includes Avista Advantage and Avista Labs. Avista Advantage and Avista Labs are majority-owned and wholly owned subsidiaries of Avista Capital, respectively.

Avista Advantage

Avista Advantage is a provider of internet-based facility intelligence and cost management billing and information services to customers throughout North America. Avista Advantage's solutions are designed to provide multi-site companies with critical and easy-to-access information that enables them to proactively manage and reduce their facility-related expenses.

Avista Advantage analyzes and presents consolidated bills on-line, and pays utility and other facility-related expenses for multi-site customers. Information gathered from invoices, providers and other customer-specific data allows Avista Advantage to provide its customers with in-depth analytical support, real-time reporting and consulting services with regard to facility-related energy, waste, repair and maintenance, and telecom expenses.

Avista Advantage has secured five patents on its two critical business systems, the Facility IQ system, which provides operational information drawn from facility bills, and the AviTrack database, which processes and reports on information gathered from service providers to ensure customers are receiving the most effective services at the proper price. Avista Advantage is not aware of any claimed or threatened infringement on any of its patents issued to date and will continue to expand and protect its existing patents, as well as file additional patent applications for new products, services and process enhancements.

As of December 31, 2001, Avista Advantage serviced 203 customers, having 79,749 billed sites throughout North America. This is an increase from 135 customers and 46,127 billed sites as of December 31, 2000. As of December 31, 1999, Avista Advantage serviced 75 customers and 21,186 billed sites. During 2001, Avista Advantage processed \$4.3 billion of bills, an increase from \$1.1 billion in 2000 and \$0.2 billion in 1999.

Two venture capital firms invested a total of \$3.4 million in Avista Advantage during the fourth quarter of 2000.

18

AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Labs

Avista Labs developed a unique modular PEM fuel cell that delivers reliable, affordable and clean distributed power solutions. The modular design allows fuel cell cartridges to be easily removed and replaced without interrupting power. The company believes this exclusive "hot swap" feature makes Avista Labs' technology more scalable, configurable, reliable and durable than other fuel cell technologies. In addition to its PEM fuel cell, Avista Labs seeks to commercialize selected components to complement its fuel cell in order to deliver system solutions to industrial, commercial and residential markets.

Avista Labs was granted three patents, with more than 260 issued claims recognizing and protecting the unique attributes of its fuel cell system. Avista Labs received notice of allowance of two additional patents, including a patent with 301 claims protecting its modular approach to the design of fuel cell systems. Avista Labs has 21 more patent applications pending or in process directed to its unique approach in fuel cells, power conversion and other components.

Key alliances in bringing Avista Labs' product to market include a joint marketing agreement with Black & Veatch, a leading engineering, procurement and construction company, and an agreement with Logan Industries, Inc., which has been assembling Avista Labs fuel cell units for field testing and sales since early in 1999. In June 2001, Avista Labs entered into an agreement with Maxwell Technologies to provide PowerCache ultracapacitors to optimize performance and reduce the cost of its unique, modular fuel cells systems and components. Avista Labs and Maxwell Technologies entered a multi-year agreement and are exploring areas of mutual interest for a broader strategic relationship.

In January 2001, Avista Labs formed a new company, H2fuel, LLC, to develop and commercialize technology for manufacturing hydrogen for fuel cells and other hydrogen applications. Avista Labs owns a 70 percent interest in H2fuel. The remaining interest is owned by Unitel Fuels Technologies, LLC.

Avista Labs transferred its ongoing fuel processor development work to H2fuel. H2fuel has two patent applications pending directed to the use of certain catalysts for autothermal reforming.

During 2001, Avista Labs also introduced a hydrogen sensor product for fuel cell developers and other hydrogen users. This hydrogen sensor can be a component of any fuel cell system and is currently commercially available. It is the first hydrogen sensor to receive Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., recognition under UL standard 2075.

During 2001, Avista Labs achieved a key milestone by initiating commercial sales of its hydrogen-only fuel cell systems for various applications, primarily back-up power for the commercial market. Avista Labs completed commercial transactions for the sale or lease of 50 units during 2001. As of December 31, 2001, 76 fuel cell units were installed in 21 locations in North and South America. As of December 31, 2000, 29 fuel cell units were installed.

Other Line of Business

The Other line of business includes several minor subsidiaries, including Avista Ventures, Pentzer Corporation (Pentzer), Avista Development and Avista Services. The operations of Avista Capital that are not included through its subsidiaries are included in this line of business. Prior to 1999, Pentzer was the parent company to the majority of Avista Corp.'s other subsidiary business, controlling interests in a broad range of middle market companies. Beginning in 2000, the focus of this line of business was changed to invest in business opportunities that have potential value to the Company's energy-related businesses. Currently, activities in this line of business are not significant and the Company intends to limit its future investment in this line of business.

Discontinued Operations — Avista Communications

Avista Communications provided local dial tone, data transport, internet services, voice messaging and other telecommunications services to several communities in the western United States. In September 2001, the Company made a decision to discontinue the operations of Avista Communications, previously included in the Information and Technology line of business. As such, Avista Communications is reported as a discontinued operation. The divestiture of Avista Communications is expected to be completed during the first half of 2002.

19

AVISTA CORPORATION

Item 2. Properties

Avista Utilities

Avista Utilities' electric properties, located in the States of Washington, Idaho, Montana and Oregon, include the following:

Generation Properties (1)

	No. of Units	Nameplate Rating (MW) (2)	Present Capability (MW) (3)
Hydroelectric Generating Stations (River)			
Washington:			
Long Lake (Spokane)	4	70.0	88.0
Little Falls (Spokane)	4	32.0	36.0
Nine Mile (Spokane)	4	26.4	24.5
Upper Falls (Spokane)	1	10.0	10.2
Monroe Street (Spokane)	1	14.8	15.0
Idaho:			
Cabinet Gorge (Clark Fork)	4	245.1	246.0
Post Falls (Spokane)	6	14.8	18.0
Montana:			
Noxon Rapids (Clark Fork)	5	466.2	527.0
Total Hydroelectric		879.3	964.7
Thermal Generating Stations			
Washington:			
Kettle Falls	1	50.7	50.0
Northeast (Spokane) CT	2	61.8	66.8
Idaho:			
Rathdrum CT (1)	2	166.5	176.0
Montana:			
Colstrip (Units 3 and 4) (4)	2	233.4	222.0
Total Thermal		512.4	514.8
Total Generation Properties		1,391.7	1,479.5

- (1) All generation properties are owned by the Company with the exception of the Rathdrum CT, which is leased.
- (2) Nameplate Rating, also referred to as "installed capacity", is the manufacturer's assigned power rating under specified conditions.
- (3) Capability is the maximum generation of the plant without exceeding approved limits of temperature, stress and environmental conditions.
- (4) Jointly owned; data above refers to Avista Utilities' 15 percent interest.

Avista Utilities operates approximately 12,200 miles of primary and secondary electric distribution lines and an electric transmission system of approximately 595 miles of 230 kV line and 1,520 miles of 115 kV line. Avista Utilities also owns a 10 percent interest in 495 miles of a 500 kV line between Colstrip, Montana and Townsend, Montana.

The 230 kV lines are used to transmit power from Avista Utilities' Noxon Rapids and Cabinet Gorge hydroelectric generating stations to major load centers in its service area, as well as to transfer power between points of interconnection with adjoining electric transmission systems. These lines interconnect with BPA at five locations and at one location each with PacifiCorp, Montana Power and Idaho Power Company. The BPA interconnections serve as points of delivery for power from the Colstrip generating station, as well as for the interchange of power with entities outside the Pacific Northwest. The interconnection with PacifiCorp is used to integrate Mid-Columbia

20

AVISTA CORPORATION

hydroelectric generating facilities to Avista Utilities' loads, as well as for the interchange of power with entities within the Pacific Northwest.

The 115 kV lines provide for transmission of energy and the integration of the Spokane River hydroelectric and Kettle Falls wood-waste generating stations with service-area load centers. These lines interconnect with BPA at nine locations, Grant County PUD, Seattle City Light and Tacoma City Light at two locations each and one interconnection each with Chelan County PUD, PacifiCorp and Montana Power.

Natural Gas Plant

Avista Utilities has natural gas distribution mains of approximately 2,542 miles in Washington, 1,436 miles in Idaho and 1,895 miles in Oregon and California combined, as of December 31, 2001.

Avista Utilities, Northwest Pipeline and Puget Sound Energy each own a one-third undivided interest in the Jackson Prairie Storage Project, which has a total peak day deliverability of 8.8 million therms, with a total working natural gas inventory of 190.3 million therms.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

See "Note 24 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Outstanding shares of Common Stock are listed on the New York and Pacific Stock Exchanges. As of February 28, 2002, there were approximately 18,120 registered shareholders of the Company's no par value Common Stock.

The Board of Directors considers the level of dividends on the Company's common stock on a continuing basis, taking into account numerous factors including, without limitation, the Company's results of operations and financial condition, as well as general economic and competitive conditions. The Company's net income available for dividends is derived primarily from Avista Utilities' operations.

For additional information, refer to "Notes 1, 20 and 23 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements." For high and low stock price information, refer to "Note 26 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements."

21

AVISTA CORPORATION

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Years	Ended	December 31,

2001	2000	1999	1998	1997
	(In thousar	nds, except per share data	and ratios)	
\$1,230,847	\$ 1,512,101	\$1,115,647	\$1,049,212	\$ 891,665
5,000,955	6,531,551	6,695,671	2,408,734	247,028
13,815	5,732	2,266	1,318	792
16,385	32,937	122,303	231,483	163,598
(252,155)	(176,744)	(33,488)	(7,440)	(1,149)
\$6,009,847	\$ 7,905,577	\$7,902,399	\$3,683,307	\$1,301,934
	\$1,230,847 5,000,955 13,815 16,385 (252,155)	\$1,230,847 \$ 1,512,101 5,000,955 6,531,551 13,815 5,732 16,385 32,937 (252,155) (176,744)	\$1,230,847 \$ 1,512,101 \$1,115,647 \$,000,955 6,531,551 6,695,671 13,815 5,732 2,266 16,385 32,937 122,303 (252,155) (176,744) (33,488)	\$1,230,847 \$ 1,512,101 \$1,115,647 \$1,049,212 \$5,000,955 6,531,551 6,695,671 2,408,734 13,815 5,732 2,266 1,318 16,385 32,937 122,303 231,483 (252,155) (176,744) (33,488) (7,440)

Income (Loss) from Operations (pre-tax):					
Avista Utilities	\$ 114,927	\$ 3,177	\$ 142,567	\$ 143,153	\$ 178,289
Energy Trading and Marketing	94,669	250,196	(97,785)	22,826	6,577
Information and Technology	(29,872)	(26,424)	(8,966)	(4,979)	(5,391)
Other	(10,432)	(9,861)	(423)	12,033	9,962
Total	\$ 169,292	\$ 217,088	\$ 35,393	\$ 173,033	\$ 189,437
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations:					
Avista Utilities	\$ 24,164	\$ (38,781)	\$ 59,573	\$ 56,297	\$ 100,777
Energy Trading and Marketing	70,087	161,753	(60,739)	14,116	5,346
Information and Technology	(19,384)	(19,032)	(5,989)	(3,221)	(3,455)
Other	(15,262)	(2,885)	35,817	11,124	12,099
Total	\$ 59,605	\$ 101,055	\$ 28,662	\$ 78,316	\$ 114,767
Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations	\$ (47,449)	\$ (9,376)	\$ (2,631)	\$ (177)	\$ 30
Net Income	\$ 12,156	\$ 91,679	\$ 26,031	\$ 78,139	\$ 114,797
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements	\$ 2,432	\$ 23,735	\$ 21,392	\$ 8,399	\$ 5,392
Income Available for Common Stock	\$ 9,724	\$ 67,944	\$ 4,639	\$ 69,740	\$ 109,405
Average Common Shares Outstanding, Basic	47,417	45,690	38,213	54,604	55,960
Average Common Shares Outstanding, Diluted	47,435	46,103	38,325	54,658	55,960
Common Shares Outstanding at Year-End	47,633	47,209	35,648	40,454	55,960
Earnings per Common Share:	47,000	47,203	00,040	70,757	33,300
Avista Utilities	\$ 0.46	\$ (1.37)	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.88	\$ 1.70
Energy Trading and Marketing	1.47	φ (1.57) 3.51	(1.59)	0.26	0.10
	(0.41)	(0.41)	(0.16)		
Information and Technology Other	(0.32)	(0.41)	0.16)	(0.06) 0.20	(0.06) 0.22
Other	(0.32)	(0.00)			
Total Earnings per Common Share from					
Continuing Operations, Diluted	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.67	\$ 0.19	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.96
Loss per Common Share from Discontinued	**	*	*	••	*
Operations, Diluted	\$ (1.00)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.07)	\$ —	\$ —
Total Earnings per Common Share, Diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 1.47	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.96
Total Earnings per Common Share, Basic	\$ 0.21	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.12	\$ 1.28	\$ 1.96
Dividends Paid per Common Share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.24
Book Value per Common Share at Year-End	\$ 15.12	\$ 15.34	\$ 11.04	\$ 12.07	\$ 13.38
Total Assets at Year-End:	Ψ 10.12	ψ 10.01	Ψ 11.01	Ψ 12.01	ψ 10.00
Avista Utilities	\$2,396,317	\$ 2,143,791	\$1,976,716	\$2,004,935	\$1,926,739
Energy Trading and Marketing	1,506,185	10,271,834	1,595,470	955,615	212,868
Information and Technology	26,891	14,429	6,312	2,492	2,221
Other	86,514	96,362	114,929	285,625	268,703
Discontinued Operations	21,316	50,665	20,067	4,969	1,254
Total	\$4,037,223	\$12,577,081	\$3,713,494	\$3,253,636	\$2,411,785
Long-Term Debt at Year-End	\$1,175,715	\$ 679,806	\$ 714,904	\$ 730,022	\$ 762,185
Company-Obligated Mandatorily Redeemable	ψ1,175,715	Ψ 0/3,000	Ψ / 17,507	Ψ 100,022	Ψ / 02,100
Preferred Trust Securities	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 110,000
Preferred Stock Subject to Mandatory	φ 100,000	φ 100,000	φ 110,000	φ 110,000	ψ 110,000
Redemption	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 45,000
•				' '	
Convertible Preferred Stock	\$ — \$ 720,063	\$ — \$ 724.224			\$ —
Common Equity		¥ :=:,==:	\$ 393,499	\$ 488,034	\$ 748,812
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	1.84	3.45	1.66	2.66	3.49
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and	4 70	0.40		2.22	0.46
Preferred Dividend Requirements	1.78	2.19	1.11	2.26	3.12

22

AVISTA CORPORATION

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis is provided for the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of Avista Corporation (Avista Corp. or the Company) which includes its subsidiaries. This discussion focuses on significant factors concerning the Company's financial condition and results of operations and should be read along with the consolidated financial statements.

Avista Corp. Lines of Business

Avista Corp. is an energy company involved in the generation, transmission and distribution of energy as well as other energy-related businesses. The Company is currently organized into four lines of business — Avista Utilities, Energy Trading and Marketing, Information and Technology, and Other. Avista Utilities, an operating division of Avista Corp. and not a separate entity, represents the regulated utility operations. Avista Capital, a wholly owned subsidiary of Avista Corp., is the parent company of all of the subsidiary companies engaged in the other non-regulated lines of business. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had common equity investments of \$368.7 million and \$351.4 million in Avista Utilities and Avista Capital, respectively.

Avista Utilities generates, transmits and distributes electricity and distributes natural gas. Avista Utilities owns and operates eight hydroelectric projects, a wood-waste fueled generating station and a two-unit natural gas-fired combustion turbine (CT) generating facility. It also owns a 15 percent share in a two-unit coal-fired generating facility and leases and operates a two-unit natural gas-fired CT generating facility. These facilities have a total net capability of approximately 1,480 megawatts, of which 65 percent is hydroelectric and 35 percent is thermal.

In addition to company owned resources, Avista Utilities has a number of long-term power purchase and exchange contracts that increase its available resources. Avista Utilities sells and purchases electric capacity and energy to and from utilities and other entities in the wholesale market under long-term contracts having terms of more than one year. In addition, Avista Utilities engages in an ongoing process of resource optimization which involves short-term purchases and sales in the wholesale market in pursuit of an economic selection of resources to serve retail and wholesale loads. Avista Utilities makes continuing projections of (1) future retail and wholesale loads based on, among other things, forward estimates of factors such as customer usage and weather as well as historical data and contract terms and (2) resource availability based on, among other things, estimates of streamflows, generating unit availability, historic and forward market information and experience. On the basis of these continuing projections, Avista Utilities makes purchases and sales of energy on a quarterly, monthly, daily and hourly basis to match actual resources to actual energy requirements and to sell any surplus at the best available price. This process includes hedging transactions.

During a year having normal water conditions, Avista Utilities would expect to have generation from its hydroelectric resources (both owned and purchased under long-term hydroelectric contracts) of approximately 550 average megawatts (aMW). In a "critical water" year (defined by the Northwest Power Pool as the worst water conditions on record), Avista Utilities would expect hydroelectric production of 400 aMW, 150 aMW below normal. Average hydroelectric production for the year 2001 was 369 aMW (67 percent of normal), which is 181 aMW below normal and the lowest level in the 73 years in which records have been kept. Preliminary forecasts indicate streamflow conditions are expected to be 87 percent of normal in 2002 based on current snow pack conditions. Avista Utilities currently estimates that hydroelectric generation will be 534 aMW (97 percent of normal) in 2002.

Developments in wholesale energy markets, compounded by the record low availability of hydroelectric resources in 2001, have had an adverse effect on Avista Corp.'s financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and liquidity. See "Avista Utilities — Regulatory Matters", "Results of Operations" and "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

The Energy Trading and Marketing line of business is comprised of Avista Energy, Inc. (Avista Energy) and Avista Power, LLC (Avista Power). Avista Energy is an electricity and natural gas marketing and trading business, operating primarily in the Western Systems Coordinating Council (WSCC), which is comprised of the eleven Western states. Avista Power was originally formed to develop and own generation assets. During 2001, the Company decided that Avista Power would no longer pursue the development of additional non-regulated generation projects.

The Information and Technology line of business is comprised of Avista Advantage, Inc. (Avista Advantage) and Avista Laboratories, Inc. (Avista Labs). Avista Advantage is a provider of internet-based facility intelligence, cost management billing and information services to retail customers throughout North America. Its primary product

23

AVISTA CORPORATION

lines include consolidated billing, resource accounting, energy analysis, load profiling and maintenance and repair billing services. Avista Labs developed a unique modular Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) fuel cell that delivers reliable, affordable and clean distributed power solutions. In addition to its PEM fuel cell, Avista Labs seeks to commercialize selected components to complement its fuel cell in order to deliver system solutions to industrial, commercial and residential markets.

The Other line of business includes Avista Ventures, Inc. (Avista Ventures), Avista Capital (parent company only amounts), Pentzer Corporation (Pentzer) and several other minor subsidiaries. During 2000, the focus of this line of business was changed from investing in a broad range of middle market companies to investing in business opportunities that have potential value to the Company's energy-related businesses. Currently, activities in this line of business are not significant and the Company intends to limit its future investment in this line of business.

Avista Communications, Inc. (Avista Communications) provided local dial tone, data transport, internet services, voice messaging and other telecommunications services to several communities in the western United States. In September 2001, Avista Corp. decided that it would dispose of substantially all of the assets of Avista Communications. As such, these operations are reported as a discontinued operation. Avista Corp. began its divestiture of this business during the fourth quarter of 2001, and the divestiture is expected to be completed during the first half of 2002.

Avista Utilities — Regulatory Matters

Beginning in the second quarter of 2000, the price of power in the wholesale markets of the western United States increased considerably and became much more volatile. While prices and volatility decreased during the second half of 2001, the effects of contracts entered during the period of high wholesale prices continue to have a significant impact on Avista Corp.'s financial condition and results of operations. In the second half of 2000 and continuing through 2001, Avista Utilities was required to purchase above-normal amounts of power in the wholesale market to meet its retail demand. This was primarily due to the reduced availability of hydroelectric resources as a result of low streamflow conditions. The combination of high wholesale market prices and increased amounts required to be purchased increased power supply costs to amounts far in excess of the amounts recovered from retail customers under current rates.

Under current orders and approvals from the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (WUTC) and the Idaho Public Utilities Commission (IPUC), Avista Utilities is permitted to defer the recognition in the income statement of 90 percent of power supply costs that are in excess of the level currently recovered from retail customers. Deferred power supply costs are recorded as a deferred charge on the balance sheet for future review and the opportunity for recovery through retail rates. The specific power costs deferred include the changes in power costs to Avista Utilities from the costs included in base retail rates, related to changes in short-term wholesale market prices, changes in the level of hydroelectric generation and changes in the level of thermal generation (including changes in fuel prices). The power costs deferred relate solely to the operation of Avista Utilities' system resources to serve its retail and wholesale load obligations.

In December 2001, the Company filed a general rate case with the WUTC to address, among other things, the recovery of cash outlays for increased power supply costs and expenses related to building additional generation. The WUTC may take up to 11 months to review the general rate case filing. Avista Corp. requested an interim rate increase of 10 percent (or \$29.3 million in annual revenues) above current rates (including the 25 percent temporary surcharge approved by the WUTC in September 2001). At the conclusion of the general rate case, Avista Corp. requested that a number of adjustments be made that would result in no net change to rates above the interim rate increase. The interim rate increase would end, base electric rates would increase by 22.5 percent (or \$53.2 million in annual revenues) and the electric surcharge would be reduced from 25 percent to 14.9 percent. These rate increases are necessary in order to continue the recovery of deferred power costs. The proposed rate increases also reflect, among other things, the recovery of costs associated with the addition of the Company's 50 percent ownership in the Coyote Springs 2 power plant and the addition of several small generation projects built to serve retail customer needs. The general rate case proposed by Avista Corp. requests a 12.75 percent rate of return on common equity and a 10.39 percent overall rate of return.

In the December 2001 general rate case filing, Avista Corp. requested the implementation of a temporary accounting mechanism for the deferral of power costs incurred in excess of the amount recovered through rates effective January 1, 2002 until the conclusion of the general rate case. The WUTC

AVISTA CORPORATION

mechanism. This provides for the deferral of 90 percent of the difference between actual net power supply costs and the amount of power supply costs authorized in current rates.

In March 2002, the WUTC issued an order approving a settlement agreement reached among Avista Corp., the staff of the WUTC and other parties. This order approves the prudence and recoverability of 90 percent (or \$196 million) of deferred power supply costs incurred by the Company during the period from July 1, 2000 through December 31, 2001. This resulted in the Company recording as an expense an additional \$21.8 million in power supply costs for the year ended December 31, 2001.

Additionally, the WUTC order provides that the collection of the 25 percent temporary electric surcharge, approved by the WUTC in September 2001, will no longer be subject to refund. The order also modified the temporary electric surcharge such that one-fifth (or approximately \$12 million in annual revenues) of the existing 25 percent surcharge will be applied to offset the Company's general operating costs and the remainder (approximately \$47 million in annual revenues) will continue to be applied as a recovery of deferred power costs. The WUTC also ordered a 6.2 percent (or \$14.7 million in annual revenues) increase in base electric rates for Washington customers. Both the 6.2 percent increase in base electric rates and one-fifth of the temporary surcharge will increase net income.

As of December 31, 2001, total deferred power costs were \$213.3 million, including \$140.2 million in Washington and \$73.1 million in Idaho. Based on current projections, total deferred power costs balances are expected to be approximately \$150 million at the end of 2002 and fully recovered by 2007. The following table shows activity in deferred power costs for Washington and Idaho during 2001 (dollars in thousands):

	Washington	Idaho	Total
Deferred power costs as of December 31, 2000	\$ 34,580	\$ 2,693	\$ 37,273
Activity from January 1 - September 30, 2001:			
Power costs deferred	155,241	67,086	222,327
Interest and other net additions	9,838	3,606	13,444
Recovery of deferred power costs	_	(1,866)	(1,866)
Deferred power costs as of September 30, 2001	199,659	71,519	271,178
Activity from October 1 - December 31, 2001:			
Power costs deferred	11,955	6,591	18,546
Mark-to-market loss	8,232	4,077	12,309
Interest and other net additions	6,189	2,037	8,226
Amortization of deferred credit	(53,794)	(6,927)	(60,721)
Recovery of deferred power costs	(10,223)	(4,210)	(14,433)
Write-off deferred power costs	(21,780)		(21,780)
Deferred power costs as of December 31, 2001	\$140,238	\$73,087	\$213,325

The following discussion details significant developments during the second half of 2000 and the year 2001 leading to the March 2002 WUTC orders and the December 2001 general electric rate case filing.

In August 2000, the WUTC approved Avista Utilities' request for deferred accounting treatment for certain power costs related to, among other things, increases in short-term wholesale electric prices beginning July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001. In January 2001, the WUTC approved a modification to the deferral mechanism to recover power supply costs associated with meeting increased retail and wholesale system load requirements, effective December 1, 2000. The approval of the modification was conditioned on Avista Utilities filing by March 2001 a proposal addressing the prudence of the incurred power costs, the optimization of Company-owned resources to the benefit of retail customers and the appropriateness of recovery of power costs through a deferral mechanism. This proposal was also to address cost of capital offsets to recognize the shift in risk from shareholders to ratepayers and Avista Utilities' plan to mitigate the deferred power costs.

In May 2001, the WUTC approved a settlement agreement reached among Avista Corp., the staff of the WUTC and other parties with respect to deferred power costs. The agreement, among other things, provided for the extension of Avista Corp.'s deferral accounting mechanism through February 2003. Due to the planned addition of generating resources as well as the expiration of certain long-term power sale agreements, Avista Utilities, at the time of the settlement agreement, expected to be in a power surplus position by the middle of 2002. The agreement was based, in part, on the expectation that Avista Utilities' profits from surplus power sales would offset the deferred power cost balance, reducing the balance to zero by the end of February 2003 without any rate increase to retail customers. These expectations were based on assumptions as to a number of variables including, but not limited to, streamflow conditions, thermal plant performance, level of retail loads, wholesale market prices and the amount of additional generating resources. Avista Utilities reserved the right to alter, amend, or terminate the settlement agreement as

25

AVISTA CORPORATION

well as the right to seek interim rate relief. As discussed below, subsequent events and conditions changed Avista Utilities' original expectations and plans.

In response to the dramatically reduced generation from hydroelectric facilities commencing in the second quarter of 2001, Avista Utilities was required to make additional fixed price purchases of energy to meet its retail and wholesale electric requirements for 2001 on the higher cost short-term wholesale market.

In June 2001, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) issued an order adopting a price mitigation plan applicable to certain wholesale power

sales in California and throughout the western United States during the period June 20, 2001 through September 30, 2002. The order applies to preschedule (day-ahead) and real-time (hour-ahead) transactions in the western United States. Wholesale market prices in the western United States decreased considerably after the price caps were imposed and prices remained well below the price cap levels through the end of 2001. The decrease in wholesale market prices affected Avista Utilities' plan for recovery of deferred power costs through future surplus power sales.

During June and the first part of July 2001, Avista Utilities evaluated the effect of the recent decline in wholesale market prices and the FERC price mitigation plan on its ability to recover deferred power cost balances under the settlement agreement approved by the WUTC in May 2001 and the continuing PCA mechanism for Idaho customers approved by the IPUC. The decline in forward wholesale prices and the FERC price mitigation plan reduced the expected value from future surplus sales of energy. In addition, low hydroelectric availability combined with the high cost of energy and capacity under forward contracts entered to meet customer demand for 2001 increased current and estimated future deferred power costs to levels significantly higher than originally anticipated. As such, Avista Utilities determined that the plan for recovery of deferred power cost balances, as contemplated in the May 23, 2001 settlement agreement with the WUTC and the existing PCA with the IPUC, was not feasible.

Accordingly, in July 2001 Avista Utilities filed requests with the WUTC and IPUC for the approval of an electric energy surcharge of 36.9 percent in Washington and a PCA surcharge of 14.7 percent in Idaho for a 27-month period beginning in September 2001.

In September 2001, the WUTC ordered a 25 percent temporary electric rate surcharge for the 15-month period from October 1, 2001 to December 31, 2002 applied uniformly to energy charges across all Washington electric customer classes. The March 2002 WUTC order amended the surcharge such that one-fifth will be applied to offset the Company's general operating costs and the remainder will continue to be applied as a recovery of deferred power costs. It was originally estimated the order would allow Avista Utilities to reduce the deferred power cost balance by \$125 million. This included the receipt of \$71 million in additional revenue from the surcharge (\$10.2 million was received during 2001) and the accelerated amortization of \$54 million of a deferred non-cash credit on the Company's balance sheet in October 2001. The deferred non-cash credit related to funds received in December 1998 for the monetization of a contract in which the Company assigned and transferred certain rights under a long-term power sales contract with Portland General Electric (PGE) to a funding trust. The deferred non-cash credit balance was originally to be amortized into revenues over the 16-year period of the long-term sales contract. There is no direct impact on net income from either the surcharge or accelerated amortization of the deferred non-cash credit; however, the surcharge revenue increases cash flows.

As part of the surcharge order, the WUTC ordered Avista Utilities to file a general rate case by December 2001 as discussed above. The order by the WUTC also provided for the termination of the accounting mechanism for the deferral of power costs effective December 31, 2001. The WUTC subsequently approved the continuation of the accounting mechanism for deferred power costs for the period from January 1, 2002 through the conclusion of the general rate case. As requested by Avista Utilities, the deferred power cost accounting mechanism was modified to reflect the deferral of 90 percent of the difference between actual power supply costs and the amount of power supply costs allowed to be recovered in current retail rates.

In October 2001, the IPUC issued an order approving a 14.7 percent PCA surcharge for Idaho electric customers and granted an extension of a 4.7 percent PCA surcharge implemented earlier in 2001 that was to expire January 2002. Both PCA surcharges will remain in effect until October 2002. The IPUC directed Avista Utilities to file a status report 60 days before the PCA surcharge expires. If review of the status report and the actual balance of deferred power costs support continuation of the PCA surcharge, the IPUC has indicated that it anticipates the PCA surcharge will be extended for an additional period. It is currently estimated the IPUC order will allow Avista Utilities to reduce the deferred power cost balance by approximately \$58.2 million. This includes the receipt of \$23.6 million in additional revenue from the PCA surcharges (\$4.2 million was received during the fourth quarter of 2001) and the accelerated amortization of \$34.6 million of a deferred non-cash credit on the Company's balance sheet for the

26

AVISTA CORPORATION

monetization of the power sales contract with PGE (\$6.9 million was amortized in 2001). There is no direct impact on net income from either the PCA surcharges or accelerated amortization of the deferred non-cash credit; however, the PCA surcharges increase cash flows.

In November 2001, Avista Utilities filed a request with the WUTC for an expedited procedural schedule to address the prudence and recoverability of deferred power costs incurred as of September 30, 2001. The Company made this request due to the fact that uncertainty involving the recovery of deferred power costs would present financing challenges for the Company during the first half of 2002. The Company's \$220 million committed line of credit as well as a \$90 million accounts receivable financing facility expire in May 2002. The Company may also decide to issue equity securities during 2002. In March 2002, the WUTC approved the prudence and recoverability of 90 percent of deferred power costs incurred as of December 31, 2001 as discussed above.

Enron Exposure

On December 2, 2001, Enron Corporation (Enron) and certain of its affiliates filed for protection under chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The bankruptcy filing constituted an event of default under contracts between Avista Corp. and Avista Energy, respectively, and certain Enron affiliates, Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (EPMI), Enron North America Company (ENA) and Enron Canada Corp. (ECC), that are guaranteed by Enron. As a result, Avista Corp. and Avista Energy terminated all but one of these contracts and suspended trading activities with most Enron affiliates; short-term, balance of the month deals with EPMI are still being transacted through Avista Energy on a prepaid basis.

Both Avista Corp. and Avista Energy engage in physical and financial transactions for the purchase and sale of electric energy and capacity and natural gas. Both companies had done considerable business and had short-term and long-term contracts with Enron affiliates. Avista Corp. has one three-year purchase with remaining deliveries scheduled from 2004 to 2006 with EPMI. Avista Energy's long-term contracts with Enron affiliates were terminated entirely.

As of December 31, 2001, Avista Corp. and Avista Energy had net accounts receivable of \$3.1 million and \$14.1 million, respectively, from Enron affiliates. The contracts of Avista Corp. and Avista Energy with each Enron affiliate provide that, upon termination, the net settlement of accounts receivable and accounts payable with such entity will be netted against the net mark-to-market value of the terminated forward contracts with such entity. It is estimated that, for each of Avista Corp. and Avista Energy, netting the mark-to-market liability against the defaulted net accounts receivable will result in no significant loss due to non-collection from the Enron affiliates. It is further estimated that the net mark-to-market liability to Enron affiliates in respect of terminated forward contracts of Avista Corp. and Avista Energy, taken together, exceeds total net accounts receivable from these entities by less than \$30 million. Any claims by the Enron entities for amounts that Avista Corp. and Avista Energy might owe in respect of the terminated forward contracts would be subject to any defenses and counterclaims which Avista Corp. and Avista Energy may have. Any residual obligation by Avista Corp. or Avista Energy for termination payments is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

The estimates of the mark-to-market values of terminated forward contracts are based on available broker quotes, for the respective periods, and on assumptions as to future market prices and other information. While Avista Corp. and Avista Energy believe these assumptions are reasonable, they are

subject to change and ultimately could be challenged by the Enron entities or their bankruptcy trustees. The mark-to-market value of terminated contracts has not been firmly established and could result in undercollection that is not expected to be material to the financial condition or results of operations of either Avista Corp. or Avista Energy.

National Energy Production Corporation (NEPCO), a wholly owned subsidiary of Enron, is the contractor responsible for the engineering, procurement and construction of the Coyote Springs 2 project. Avista Corp. owns 50 percent of the Coyote Springs 2 project, which is expected to commence commercial operation in the third quarter of 2002. NEPCO was not included in the bankruptcy filings made by Enron and its affiliates. However, Enron guaranteed NEPCO's obligations, and the bankruptcy filing by Enron was an event of default under the Coyote Springs 2 construction contract. NEPCO and Coyote Springs 2, LLC, an entity formed for the purpose of constructing the Coyote Spring 2 plant, amended the construction contract to, among other things, authorize Coyote Springs 2, LLC to make immediate draws under a letter of credit posted to secure NEPCO's performance and to permit Coyote Springs 2, LLC to pay third-party subcontractors of NEPCO directly. Coyote Springs 2, LLC is continuing to assess the ability of NEPCO to perform its obligations under the construction contract and may need to exercise additional remedies in the event the impact of the Enron bankruptcy prevents NEPCO from performing its obligations under the construction contract.

27

AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Corp. is party to a power exchange arrangement which expires in 2016. Under this power exchange arrangement, EPMI purchases capacity from Avista Corp. and sells capacity to Spokane Energy LLC (Spokane Energy), a subsidiary of Avista Corp., formed in 1998 solely for the purpose of monetizing the long-term capacity contract between PGE and Avista Corp. Spokane Energy sells the related capacity to PGE, a subsidiary of Enron that has not been included in the bankruptcy filing to date and is in the process of being sold to another company. This power exchange arrangement was originally established for the purpose of monetizing a \$145 million long-term capacity contract between Avista Corp. and PGE. EPMI assisted in setting up the monetization structure and acts as an intermediary to abide by certain regulatory restrictions that currently prevent Spokane Energy and Avista Corp. from dealing directly with each other. The transaction is structured such that Spokane Energy bears full recourse risk for a monetization loan (balance of \$131.1 million as of December 31, 2001) that matures in January 2015 with no recourse to Avista Corp. related to the loan. EPMI is obligated to pay approximately \$150,000 per month to Avista Corp. for its capacity purchase and servicing functions related to this power exchange arrangement. EPMI defaulted on two payments to Avista Corp. prior to filing for bankruptcy. As a result, in December 2001, Avista Corp. and EPMI entered an agreement that allows Avista Corp. to continue receiving the monthly payments from EPMI while Avista Corp. evaluates alternatives with respect to EPMI's involvement in the transaction going forward.

Western Power Market Issues

Avista Utilities and Avista Energy are directly and indirectly involved in the power markets in the western United States. Developments in these markets have impacted both Avista Utilities and Avista Energy. Federal and state officials, including the FERC and the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC), commenced reviews in 2000 to determine the causes of the changes in the wholesale energy markets to develop legal and regulatory remedies to address alleged market failures or abuses and large defaults by certain parties in the wholesale markets. The ultimate outcome of these reviews and the resulting impact on the Company cannot be predicted at this time.

In early 2001, California's two largest utilities, Southern California Edison (SCE) and Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E), defaulted on payment obligations owed to various energy sellers, including the California Power Exchange (CalPX), California Independent System Operator (CallSO), and Automated Power Exchange (APX). CalPX, CallSO and APX defaulted on their payment obligations to Avista Energy. PG&E and CalPX filed voluntary petitions under chapter 11 of the bankruptcy code for protection from creditors. SCE has not filed for bankruptcy protection. As of December 31, 2001, Avista Energy's accounts receivable related to defaulting parties in California — net of reserves for uncollected amounts, cost of collection, and refunds — were approximately \$6.5 million. Avista Energy is currently pursuing recovery for the defaulted obligations. Reserves for defaulted payments established in 2000 and 2001 accounted for the majority of the Company's increase in the total allowance for doubtful accounts to \$50.2 million as of December 31, 2001 from \$14.4 million as of December 31, 2000 and \$4.3 million as of December 31, 1999.

In April 2001, the FERC issued a price mitigation order that affected the CallSO spot market. In June 2001, the FERC expanded its price mitigation plan for the California spot market to 24 hours a day, seven days a week and broadened the price caps to the eleven state Western region. Since June 2001, spot market prices have remained below the FERC-imposed caps.

In July 2001, the FERC issued an order to commence a fact-finding hearing to determine amounts to be refunded for sales during the period from October 2, 2000 to June 20, 2001 in the California spot market. The order provides that any refunds owed could be offset against unpaid energy debts due to the same party. The FERC schedule for this proceeding has been postponed repeatedly and is not expected to be continued until August 2002 or later. Avista Energy is participating in this proceeding pursuant to the FERC order and cannot predict its outcome at this time. If retroactive price caps or refunds were imposed, Avista Energy could develop offsetting claims.

The July 2001 FERC order also directed an evidentiary proceeding to explore wholesale power market issues in the Pacific Northwest to determine whether there were excessive charges for spot market sales in the Pacific Northwest during the period from December 25, 2000 to June 20, 2001. Based on their application of selected retroactive pricing methods, certain parties asserted claims for significant refunds from Avista Energy and lesser refunds from Avista Utilities. Avista Energy and Avista Utilities joined with numerous other wholesale market participants to vigorously oppose proposals for retroactive price caps and refund claims. In September 2001, the FERC's administrative law judge for this proceeding issued a recommendation that the FERC should not order refunds for the Pacific Northwest for the period in question and that the FERC should take no further action on these matters. The FERC has not yet issued a decision in the Pacific Northwest refund proceeding. If retroactive price caps or refunds were imposed, Avista Utilities and Avista Energy could develop offsetting claims.

Avista Corp. is participating with other utilities in the Pacific Northwest in the possible formation of a Regional Transmission Organization (RTO), RTO West, a non-profit organization. The potential formation of RTO West is in response to a FERC order requiring all utilities subject to FERC regulation to file a proposal to form a RTO, or a description of efforts to participate in a RTO, and any existing obstacles to RTO participation. Avista Corp. and three other Western utilities have also taken steps toward the formation of a for-profit Independent Transmission Company, TransConnect, which would be a member of RTO West, serve portions of six states and own or lease the high voltage transmission facilities of the participating utilities. TransConnect filed its proposal with FERC in November 2001. The final proposal must be approved by the FERC, the boards of directors of the filing companies and regulators in various states. The companies' decision to move forward with the formation of TransConnect or RTO West will ultimately depend on the conditions related to the formation of the entities, as well as the economics and conditions imposed in the regulatory approval process. If TransConnect were formed, it could result in Avista Utilities divesting \$174 million of electric transmission assets.

AVISTA CORPORATION

Results of Operations

Overall Operations

2001 compared to 2000

Income from continuing operations was \$59.6 million for 2001 compared to income from continuing operations of \$101.1 million for 2000. The decrease is primarily due to reduced net income recorded by the Energy Trading and Marketing line of business, partially offset by an increase in net income from Avista Utilities. Also contributing to the decline in income from continuing operations was an increase in interest expense and \$21.8 million of deferred power costs written off during 2001. The Energy Trading and Marketing line of business recorded net income of \$70.1 million in 2001 compared to \$161.8 million in 2000. Avista Energy's operations continue to be positively affected by a well-positioned portfolio of energy-related assets in the Pacific Northwest and western energy markets. The primary reason for the decrease in net income was a reduction in the mark-to-market adjustment for the change in the fair value position of Avista Energy's energy commodity portfolio. During the second half of 2001, volatility in wholesale energy markets in the western United States decreased, which reduced Avista Energy's earnings potential. Net income recorded by Avista Utilities was \$24.2 million in 2001, an increase from a net loss of \$38.8 million in 2000. Avista Utilities' net loss for 2000 was primarily due to unprecedented sustained peaks in electric energy prices compounded by a wholesale short position.

The Information and Technology line of business incurred a net loss of \$19.4 million for 2001 compared to a net loss of \$19.0 million for 2000 as Avista Advantage and Avista Labs continued to grow their operations.

The Other line of business incurred a net loss of \$15.3 million for 2001 compared to a net loss of \$2.9 million for 2000. The increase in the net loss from 2000 is primarily a result of increased interest expense on intercompany borrowings between Avista Capital and Avista Corp. that is eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

The discontinued operations of Avista Communications incurred a net loss of \$47.4 million for 2001 compared to a net loss of \$9.4 million for 2000. The significant loss from Avista Communications is primarily due to pre-tax asset impairment charges of \$58.4 million recorded during the third quarter of 2001.

Total revenues decreased \$1,895.7 million in 2001 compared to 2000. Avista Utilities' revenues decreased \$281.3 million, or 19 percent, primarily due to decreased wholesale electric sales partially offset by increased retail revenues from both electric and natural gas sales. The increase in retail revenues is primarily a result of higher rates approved by state regulatory agencies to recover deferred power and natural gas costs. Revenues from the Energy Trading and Marketing line of business decreased \$1,530.6 million, or 23 percent, primarily due to decreased sales volumes of electricity and natural gas from the continued downsizing of the business. Revenues from the Information and Technology companies increased 141 percent to \$13.8 million primarily as a result of customer growth at Avista Advantage. Revenues from the Other line of business decreased \$16.6 million, or 50 percent, reflecting decreased activity in this line of business. Intersegment eliminations represent the transactions between Avista Utilities and Avista Energy for commodities and services. Intersegment eliminations increased \$75.4 million from 2000 to 2001. The significant increase was primarily due to an increase in prices for natural gas to serve Avista Utilities' retail customers and to fuel natural gas-fired turbines to generate electricity.

Resource costs decreased \$1,829.0 million in 2001 compared to 2000. Avista Utilities' resource costs decreased \$396.5 million, or 32 percent, primarily due to reduced wholesale power purchases. Energy Trading and Marketing resource costs decreased \$1,357.1 million, or 22 percent, primarily due to decreased energy trading volumes.

Administrative and general expenses decreased \$15.7 million primarily due to reduced expenses for Avista Utilities and Energy Trading and Marketing. This was primarily a result of company-wide initiatives to reduce expenses. This was also due to decreased incentive compensation expense based on lower earnings by both Avista Energy and the Company.

Interest expense increased \$38.2 million in 2001 compared to 2000, primarily due to higher levels of outstanding debt during the year. Long-term debt and short-term borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 2001 increased \$320.2 million from December 31, 2000.

Capitalized interest increased \$7.1 million from 2000 to 2001 primarily due to increased interest capitalized for the Coyote Springs 2 power plant project.

29

AVISTA CORPORATION

Income taxes decreased \$42.6 million in 2001 compared to 2000, primarily due to decreased earnings before income taxes. The effective tax rate was 36.6 percent for 2001 compared to 43.2 percent for 2000. The higher effective tax rate in 2000 was primarily due to higher state income taxes.

Preferred stock divided requirements decreased from 2000 due to the conversion of all outstanding shares of Series L Preferred Stock into shares of common stock, which resulted in a one-time charge of \$21.3 million for preferred stock dividend requirements in 2000.

Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations were \$1.20 for 2001 compared to earnings from continuing operations of \$1.67 per diluted share for 2000. Avista Utilities contributed \$0.46 per share for 2001 compared to a net loss of \$1.37 per share for 2000. The Energy Trading and Marketing operations contributed \$1.47 per diluted share for 2001 compared to a contribution of \$3.51 per diluted share for 2000. The Information and Technology operations recorded a net loss of \$0.41 per diluted share for 2001, consistent with a net loss of \$0.41 per diluted share for 2000. The Other line of business recorded a net loss of \$0.32 per diluted share for 2001 compared to a net loss of \$0.06 per diluted share for 2000. The discontinued operations of Avista Communications recorded a net loss of \$1.00 per diluted share for 2001 compared to a net loss of \$0.20 per diluted share for 2000.

2000 compared to 1999

Income from continuing operations was \$101.1 million for 2000, an increase compared to income from continuing operations of \$28.7 million for 1999. The primary reason for the increase in income from continuing operations was earnings of \$161.8 million recorded by the Energy Trading and Marketing line of business, compared to a loss of \$60.7 million in 1999 recorded by this business segment. Avista Energy benefited in 2000 from a well-positioned portfolio of energy-related assets and significant increases in the volatility of Pacific Northwest and western United States energy markets during 2000. The loss from Avista Energy in 1999 related to expenses associated with the downsizing and restructuring of the business, as well as operational losses. The positive earnings from Avista Energy in 2000 were partially offset by net losses from the other lines of business. Avista Utilities' operations recorded a net loss of \$38.8 million for 2000 compared to net income of \$59.6 million for 1999, primarily the result of significantly higher purchased power costs compounded by short positions related to wholesale trading activity at the utility during the second quarter of 2000. (See paragraphs below for additional

information about the higher energy prices and short positions).

The Information and Technology line of business incurred a net loss of \$19.0 million for 2000 compared to a net loss of \$6.0 million for 1999 as Avista Advantage and Avista Labs substantially increased their operations and the corresponding effect on operating expenses.

The Other line of business incurred a net loss of \$2.9 million for 2000 compared to net income of \$35.8 million for 1999. The 1999 earnings included transactional gains recorded by Pentzer that totaled \$35.9 million from the sale of two groups of portfolio companies.

The discontinued operations of Avista Communications resulted in a net loss of \$9.4 million for 2000 compared to a net loss of \$2.6 million for 1999 reflecting the expansion of operations.

Total revenues increased \$3.2 million in 2000 compared to 1999; however, there were large changes within the individual lines of business. Avista Utilities' revenues increased \$396.5 million, or 36 percent, primarily due to increased wholesale electric sales. Revenues for Energy Trading and Marketing decreased \$164.1 million, or 2 percent due to decreased sales volumes of electricity and natural gas from the restructuring and downsizing of the business, offset by higher energy commodity prices. Revenues from the Information and Technology companies increased 153 percent to \$5.7 million as Avista Advantage continued to increase its customer base. Revenues from the Other line of business decreased \$89.4 million or 73 percent due to the sale of the Creative Solutions Group and Store Fixtures Group of portfolio companies by Pentzer during 1999. Intersegment eliminations increased \$143.3 million from 1999 to 2000. The significant increase from 1999 to 2000 was primarily due to an entire year of activity under the agency agreement whereby Avista Energy serves as agent for Avista Utilities, managing its natural gas pipeline transportation contract rights and storage assets, as well as purchasing natural gas for Avista Utilities' retail customers.

Resource costs decreased \$97.8 million from 1999 to 2000. Avista Utilities' resource costs increased 75 percent, primarily due to increased wholesale power purchases to meet wholesale sales requirements. Energy Trading and Marketing's resource costs decreased 7 percent, due to reduced energy trading volumes partially offset by higher energy commodity prices.

30

AVISTA CORPORATION

Operation and maintenance expenses decreased \$58.1 million from 1999 to 2000 primarily due to the Other line of business as a result of the sales of portfolio companies by Pentzer during 1999.

Administrative and general expenses increased \$10.9 million from 1999 to 2000. This was due to both the expansion of operations in the Information and Technology line of business and increased incentive compensation at Avista Energy. These increases are partially offset by decreased administrative and general expenses for the Other line of business as a result of the sales of portfolio companies by Pentzer during 1999.

Interest expense increased \$3.5 million in 2000 compared to 1999, primarily due to higher levels of outstanding debt during the year. Long-term debt and short-term borrowings outstanding as of December 31, 2000 were \$217.1 million higher than as of December 31, 1999.

Other income-net decreased \$48.1 million from 1999 to 2000 primarily due to a decrease in the net gain on subsidiary transactions. The net gain of \$57.5 million in 1999 primarily related to the sale of two groups of portfolio companies by Pentzer.

Income taxes increased \$60.1 million in 2000 compared to 1999, primarily due to increased earnings before income taxes. The effective tax rate was 43.2 percent for 2000 compared to 37.1 percent for 1999.

Preferred stock dividend requirements increased \$2.3 million in 2000 compared to 1999 due to the conversion costs and dividends paid associated with converting the Convertible Preferred Stock, Series L, into common stock in February 2000.

Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations were \$1.67 for 2000 compared to \$0.19 for 1999. Energy Trading and Marketing's earnings per diluted share increased to \$3.51 in 2000 compared to a loss of \$1.59 per diluted share in 1999, due primarily to the volatile energy market discussed above. Avista Utilities' recorded a loss of \$1.37 per diluted share in 2000 compared to earnings of \$1.00 per diluted share in 1999. Information and Technology's net loss increased to \$0.41 per diluted share in 2000 compared to a loss of \$0.16 per diluted share in 1999, as Avista Advantage and Avista Labs continued to grow their operations. Income from the Other line of business decreased to a loss of \$0.06 per diluted share in 2000 compared to earnings of \$0.94 per diluted share in 1999. The 1999 earnings included transactional gains recorded by Pentzer from the sale of two groups of portfolio companies. The discontinued operations of Avista Communication's net loss was \$0.20 per diluted share in 2000 compared to a loss of \$0.07 per diluted share in 1999.

Avista Utilities

2001 compared to 2000

Avista Utilities recorded net income of \$24.2 million in 2001 compared to a net loss of \$38.8 million in 2000. Avista Utilities' pre-tax income from operations was \$114.9 million for 2001 compared to \$3.2 million for 2000. This increase was primarily due to an increase in gross margin. Avista Utilities' operating revenues decreased \$281 million and resource costs decreased \$396 million resulting in an increase of \$115 million in gross margin for 2001 as compared to 2000.

Based on views of streamflows, historic wholesale market prices and energy availability in the second quarter of 2000, Avista Utilities entered into contracts and sold call options for fixed-price power for delivery without making matching purchases at the same time. Avista Utilities also made certain short-term sales at fixed prices that were offset by purchases at prices indexed to the market price at the time of delivery. Certain of these wholesale trading positions were outside normal operating guidelines. Avista Utilities was required to buy additional power not only to meet its obligations to its retail and long-term wholesale customers, but also to cover its wholesale trading positions. An orderly process to complete the necessary power purchases was impeded by the rapid escalation of market prices and lack of liquidity in the power markets during the second quarter of 2000. These purchases were made at fixed prices significantly higher than the related selling prices and at index, which settled at unprecedented levels in June 2000. The pricing of these purchases caused the majority of Avista Utilities' net loss for 2000.

Avista Utilities' short position was compounded by the May 2000 sale of its interest in the Centralia Power Plant to TransAlta, which reduced its system capacity by 200 megawatts. Based on historical trends and Avista Utilities' views on power prices and availability of power for May and June 2000, Avista Utilities did not seek to replace the Centralia Power Plant generation for those two months with firm commitments. Avista Utilities entered into a three-and-one-half-year contract to purchase 200 megawatts from TransAlta beginning in July 2000.

AVISTA CORPORATION

Retail electric revenues increased \$4.0 million for 2001 from 2000. This increase was primarily due to the electric surcharges implemented in Washington and Idaho to recover deferred power costs, partially offset by decreased use per customer and kWh sold. Wholesale electric revenues decreased \$383.9 million, or 44 percent, while wholesale sales volumes decreased 60 percent from 2000, reflecting average sales prices that were 40 percent higher than the prior year. Wholesale sales volumes decreased due to management's decision in 2000 to reduce power imbalance volume limits (the difference between projected load obligations and projected resource availability). This decision was based on the emergent market price volatility, and Avista Utilities' strategy to focus primarily on energy transactions necessary to efficiently manage power resources to meet retail customer loads and wholesale obligations. The extent of future wholesale transactions will be determined based on resource additions or changes and load obligations and contract commitments.

Natural gas revenues increased \$83.8 million for 2001 from 2000 due to increased prices approved by state commissions to recover increased natural gas costs partially offset by decreased therm sales, primarily due to both decreased retail and transportation customer volumes.

Power purchased during 2001 decreased \$364.2 million, or 34 percent compared to 2000 primarily due to the decreased volume of power purchases, partially offset by higher average prices. Average purchased power prices for 2001 were 28 percent higher than for 2000; however, volumes purchased decreased 48 percent. The decrease in the volume of purchased power was primarily the result of decreases in the volume of wholesale electric sales.

During 2001 Avista Utilities deferred \$145.4 million (net of the \$21.8 million write-off) in power costs in Washington and \$73.7 million in Idaho. The total balance of deferred power costs was \$140.2 million for Washington and \$73.1 million for Idaho as of December 31, 2001. Avista Utilities will only be able to recover these balances of deferred power costs in the amounts, and at the times, authorized by the WUTC and the IPUC. In September 2001, the WUTC approved a temporary electric surcharge of 25 percent. In 2001, revenue collected under the Washington surcharge was \$10.2 million and \$53.8 million of a deferred non-cash credit was offset against deferred power costs. In October 2001, the IPUC approved a PCA surcharge and the extension of a previously approved PCA surcharge for a total of 19.4 percent. In 2001, revenue collected under the Idaho PCA surcharges was \$4.2 million and \$6.9 million of a deferred non-cash credit was offset against deferred power costs. In March 2002, the WUTC issued an order approving the prudence and recoverability of 90 percent of deferred power supply costs incurred during the period from July 1, 2000 through December 31, 2001. This resulted in the Company recording an additional expense for \$21.8 million of power supply costs previously deferred in 2001. Additionally, the order also provided that one-fifth of the 25 percent electric surcharge will be applied to offset the Company's general operating costs and the remainder will continue to be applied as a recovery of deferred power costs. The WUTC order also approved a 6.2 percent (or \$14.7 million in annual revenues) increase in base retail rates. See further description of issues related to deferred power costs in the section "Avista Utilities — Regulatory Matters."

Avista Utilities deferred, net of amortization, \$7.7 million of purchased natural gas costs during 2001 and total deferred natural gas costs were \$52.7 million as of December 31, 2001. In July 2001, the Company filed requests for purchased gas cost adjustments (PGA) with the WUTC and the IPUC in order to recover certain deferred natural gas costs related to Washington and Idaho natural gas purchases. The Washington PGA increase of 12.2 percent approved by the WUTC and the Idaho PGA increase of 11.5 percent approved by the IPUC became effective in August 2001. Avista Utilities estimates these PGA rate changes will increase revenues by \$24.6 million for approximately one year. Based on current PGAs in place and current natural gas prices, Avista Utilities expects that the deferred natural gas cost balance will be fully recovered by December 2002. However, there will be no impact on net income as deferred natural gas costs are amortized to offset this increase in revenues.

The cost of fuel for generation for 2001 increased \$12.9 million from 2000 primarily due to an increase in natural gas-fired combustion turbine plant generation and partially due to the increased cost of natural gas. Natural gas costs were relatively high compared to historical prices during the first half of 2001 before declining in the second half of 2001.

The expense for natural gas purchased for resale for 2001 increased \$50.8 million compared to 2000 due to the increased cost of natural gas partially offset by a decrease in total therms sold. Consistent with changes in fuel for generation, natural gas costs have declined during the second half of 2001 compared to the first half of the year.

As part of the strategy to mitigate the decline in electric resources caused by the poor hydroelectric conditions and volatile energy markets, Avista Utilities had several buy-back and rebate programs for residential, commercial and industrial customers during 2001. The programs were designed to encourage conservation and decrease average

32

AVISTA CORPORATION

customer usage.

Construction is continuing on the 280 MW combined cycle natural gas-fired turbine power plant at the Coyote Springs 2 site near Boardman, Oregon. During the fourth quarter of 2001, the Company completed the sale of 50 percent of its interest in the Coyote Springs 2 plant to an affiliate of Mirant Americas Development, Inc. (Mirant). Avista Corp. and Mirant will share equally in the costs of construction, operation and output from the plant. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had invested \$92.5 million in the Coyote Springs 2 project (net of funds received from Mirant in connection with the sale) and the total cost of the plant is expected to be \$190 million. If total costs equal the amount projected, Mirant will fund the remaining costs to complete the plant. The Company's 50 percent ownership interest in the Coyote Springs 2 plant will transfer from Avista Power to Avista Corp. to be operated as an asset of Avista Utilities upon the completion of the construction, which is expected to be in the third quarter of 2002.

2000 compared to 1999

Avista Utilities recorded a net loss of \$38.8 million in 2001 compared to net income of \$59.6 million in 1999. Avista Utilities' pre-tax income from operations was \$3.2 million in 2000, a decrease of \$139.4 million from 1999. The net loss in 2000 resulted primarily from significantly higher electric energy prices in wholesale markets, compounded by a short position related to wholesale trading activity. Avista Utilities' operating revenues and expenses increased \$396.5 million and \$535.8 million, respectively, in 2000 compared to 1999.

During 2000, Avista Utilities purchased energy in order to meet system obligations to serve retail and wholesale customers. Unprecedented sustained peaks in electric energy prices throughout the WSCC beginning in May 2000, compounded by a wholesale short position and the sale of the Centralia Power Plant discussed above, contributed to significant losses recorded by Avista Utilities in the second quarter of 2000. The cost of these power purchases was significantly higher than the amounts recovered through power sales. Based on historical trends, Avista Utilities had forecast on-peak power prices of approximately \$19 per megawatthour for May and June of 2000. On-peak power costs in the market averaged \$60 per megawatthour in

May and over \$180 per megawatthour in June, with hourly spikes as high as \$1,300 per megawatthour.

Retail electric revenues increased \$10.6 million in 2000 compared to 1999 due to increased rates, as well as greater sales volumes due to customer growth and increased usage due to weather. Wholesale electric revenues increased \$342.3 million, or 66 percent, while sales volumes decreased 20 percent in 2000 compared to 1999, reflecting average sales prices 107 percent higher in 2000. Wholesale sales volumes decreased due to management's decision in mid-year to reduce power imbalance volume limits as discussed above.

Natural gas revenues increased \$37.4 million in 2000 compared to 1999. Retail natural gas revenues increased \$49.0 million, primarily due to increased natural gas rates, partially offset by a \$9.5 million decrease in wholesale sales. Wholesale natural gas sales are sales of natural gas commodity and related services outside of the Avista Utilities distribution system to other utilities and large industrial customers. Revenues from these sales are offset by corresponding increases in purchased gas expense, and margins from these transactions are credited back to retail customers through rate changes approved by state regulators for the cost of natural gas.

Purchased power volumes were 15 percent lower in 2000 as compared to 1999 primarily due to decreased wholesale sales, but average purchased power prices were 132 percent higher, resulting in a \$529.0 million, or 97 percent, increase in purchased power expense in 2000 compared to 1999. The \$33.9 million deferral of power costs pursuant to the WUTC accounting order and the \$4.5 million deferred under the Idaho PCA partially offset purchased power cost recognized as expenses in 2000. Streamflows in 2000 were 86 percent of normal compared to 112 percent in 1999. Fuel for power generation expense increased \$22.7 million due to increased generation at the thermal plants as a result of increased demand for power, decreased hydroelectric generation and increases in natural gas commodity prices. Purchased natural gas costs increased \$53.4 million in 2000, primarily due to increased prices for the commodity, and partially due to increased volumes of sales resulting from customer growth and increased usage due to weather.

Energy Trading and Marketing

Energy Trading and Marketing includes the results of Avista Energy and Avista Power. Avista Energy maintains an energy trading portfolio that it marks to estimated fair market value on a daily basis (mark-to-market accounting), which may cause earnings variability in the future. Market prices are utilized in determining the value of electric, natural gas and related derivative commodity instruments. For longer-term positions and certain short-term positions for which market prices are not available, a model based on forward price curves is also utilized. Although Avista

33

AVISTA CORPORATION

Energy scaled back operations to focus primarily in the western United States during 2000, its trading operations continue to be affected by, among other things, volatility of prices within the electric energy and natural gas markets, the demand for and availability of energy, lower unit margins on new sales contracts, FERC-ordered price caps and deregulation of the electric utility industry.

Avista Energy trades electricity and natural gas, along with derivative commodity instruments, including futures, options, swaps and other contractual arrangements. Most transactions are conducted on a largely unregulated "over-the-counter" basis, there being no central clearing mechanism (except in the case of specific instruments traded on the commodity exchanges). As a result of these trading activities, Avista Energy is subject to various risks, including market, liquidity, commodity and credit risk. See "Business Risks" and "Note 7 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for further information. The following summarizes information with respect to energy trading activities during 2001 (dollars in thousands):

	Natural Gas Assets and Liabilities	Electric Assets and Liabilities	Total Unrealized Gain(Loss)
Fair value of contracts as of December 31, 2000	\$ 15,319	\$ 201,636	\$ 216,955
Less contracts settled during 2001 (1)	(51,291)	(114,785)	(166,076)
Fair value of new contracts when entered into during 2001 (2)	_	_	_
Change in fair value due to changes in valuation techniques (3)	1,282	13,971	15,253
Change in fair value attributable to market prices and other market changes	73,082	47,503	120,585
Fair value of contracts as of December 31, 2001	\$ 38,392	\$ 148,325	\$ 186,717

- (1) Contracts settled during 2001 includes those contracts that were open in 2000 but settled in 2001 as well as new contracts entered into and settled during 2001. Amount represents realized earnings associated with these settled transactions.
- (2) Avista Energy did not enter into any origination transactions during 2001 in which dealer profit or mark-to-market gain or loss was recorded at inception.
- (3) During 2001, Avista Energy changed the interest rate used to discount trading positions from an incremental borrowing rate to LIBOR. Additionally, Avista Energy eliminated a specific liquidity and valuation adjustment related to the California market that was in place as of December 31, 2000.

The following discloses summarized information with respect to valuation techniques and contractual maturities of energy commodity contracts outstanding as of December 31, 2001 (dollars in thousands):

	2002	2003 and 2004	2005 and 2006	2006 and later	Total
Natural gas assets and liabilities					
Prices from other external sources (1)	\$11,217	\$21,594	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 32,811
Fair value based on valuation models (2)	1,829	581	2,031	1,140	5,581
					
Total natural gas assets and liabilities	\$13,046	\$22,175	\$2,031	\$ 1,140	\$ 38,392
Electric assets and liabilities					
Prices from other external sources (1)	\$92,456	\$43,344	\$ —	\$ —	\$135,800
Fair value based on valuation models (3)	(1,842)	7,125	8,546	(1,304)	12,525

Total electric assets and liabilities	\$90,614	\$50,469	\$8,546	\$(1,304)	\$148,325
---------------------------------------	----------	----------	---------	-----------	-----------

- (1) The fair value is determined based upon actively traded, "over-the-counter" market quotes received from third party brokers. For natural gas assets and liabilities, these market quotes are generally available through three years. For electric assets and liabilities, these market quotes are generally available through two years.
- (2) Represents contracts for delivery at basis locations not actively traded in the "over-the-counter" markets. In addition, this includes all contracts with a delivery period greater than three years, for which active quotes are not available. These internally developed market curves are based upon published New York Mercantile Exchange prices through seven years, as well as basis spreads using historical and broker estimates. After seven years, an escalation is used to estimate the valuation.
- (3) Represents contracts for delivery at basis locations not actively traded in the "over-the-counter" markets. In addition, this includes all contracts with a delivery period greater than two years, for which active quotes are not available. These internally developed market curves are determined using a production cost model with inputs for assumptions related to power prices (including, without limitation, natural gas prices, generation on line, transmission constraints, future demand and weather). Avista Energy conducts frequent stress tests on the valuation of its portfolio. By changing the input assumptions to the internally developed market curves,

34

AVISTA CORPORATION

these stress tests attempt to capture the Company's sensitivity to changes in portfolio valuation. These stress tests indicate that, for the portfolio valued under internally developed market curves, the valuations can be reasonably certain to fall within 20 percent, upwards or downwards, of the reported values listed above.

2001 compared to 2000

Energy Trading and Marketing's net income was \$70.1 million for 2001, compared to \$161.8 million for 2000. Avista Energy's operations continue to be positively affected by a well-positioned portfolio of energy-related assets in the Pacific Northwest and western energy markets. The primary reason for the decrease in net income was a decrease in the mark-to-market adjustment for the change in the fair value position of Avista Energy's energy commodity portfolio. The mark-to-market adjustment was an unrealized loss of \$30.2 million for 2001 compared to an unrealized gain of \$176.8 million for 2000. The decrease is primarily due to a significant amount of contracts settled during 2001 and the realization of previous unrealized gains. Volatility in energy markets and increased commodity prices during 2000 resulted in significant unrealized gains during 2000. These unrealized gains were partially realized during 2001. The majority of the remaining unrealized gains are expected to be realized during 2002 and 2003 as commodity contracts are settled.

Energy Trading and Marketing's operating revenues and cost of sales decreased \$1,530.6 million and \$1,357.1 million, respectively, for 2001 compared to 2000, resulting in a decrease in gross margin of \$173.5 million. The decrease in revenues and expenses is primarily the result of decreased sales volumes from 2000. Although gross margin decreased from 2000 to 2001, realized gross margin increased to \$164.5 million in 2001 from \$130.9 million in 2000.

Administrative and general expenses decreased \$7.8 million or 19 percent from 2000 primarily due to reduced incentive compensation expense based on lower earnings in 2001.

Expenses associated with the exit of Avista Energy's operations in Houston and Boston during the first half of 2000 totaled \$7.9 million in 2000.

During 2001 the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$8.2 million related to three turbines owned by Avista Power which is reflected in the line item other income-net in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company originally planned to use these turbines in a non-regulated generation project. The Company decided that it would no longer pursue the development of additional non-regulated generation projects. As such, the Company wrote down the carrying value of the turbines to estimated fair value less selling costs and is in the process of completing the sale of the turbines.

Energy Trading and Marketing's total assets decreased \$8.77 billion from December 31, 2000 to December 31, 2001 primarily due to a decrease in total current and non-current energy commodity assets. This decrease in commodity assets primarily reflects the settlement of a significant amount of contracts during 2001 and a decrease in the forward price and estimated value of natural gas and electricity from December 31, 2000 to December 31, 2001

Avista Power is a 49 percent owner of a 270 MW natural gas-fired combustion turbine plant in Rathdrum, Idaho, which commenced commercial operation in September 2001. The output from this plant is contracted to Avista Energy for 25 years. Avista Power is in the process of constructing the Coyote Springs 2 power plant and it sold 50 percent of its interest to Mirant during the fourth quarter of 2001. Upon the planned completion of the plant in the third quarter of 2002, Avista Power's 50 percent ownership interest will be transferred to Avista Corp. for inclusion with the Avista Utilities' power generation resource portfolio.

2000 compared to 1999

Energy Trading and Marketing's net income was \$161.8 million for 2000 compared to a net loss of \$60.7 million for 1999. A well-positioned portfolio in the volatile Pacific Northwest and western electric markets positively affected Avista Energy's operations in 2000. During the second half of 1999 and the first half of 2000, Avista Energy's operations were negatively impacted by losses from the liquidation of its Eastern electric book and associated operating costs to close its Eastern operations in Houston and Boston.

In November 1999, Avista Energy began redirecting its focus away from national energy trading toward a more regionally based energy trading and marketing effort in the western United States. Its more narrowly focused operations are backed by contracts for energy commodities and by the output of specific facilities available under contracts. Avista Energy shut down its operations in Houston and Boston during the first half of 2000 and reduced

35

AVISTA CORPORATION

employment by approximately 80 positions. The Eastern electric book was sold at a \$1.0 million after-tax loss in early 2000.

Energy Trading and Marketing's operating revenues and operating expenses decreased \$164.1 million and \$512.1 million, respectively, in 2000

compared to 1999. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to lower sales volumes, partially offset by increased energy commodity prices. The decreased expenses primarily resulted from reduced volumes of transactions, partially offset by increased resource costs due to higher energy commodity prices, and the closure of Avista Energy's Eastern operations and refocusing the business to the western United States.

The volume of power and natural gas sales decreased significantly as Avista Energy's focus was redirected to the WSCC. Electric sales volumes decreased 22 percent, while natural gas sales decreased 65 percent. The exception to this was the comparatively minor coal sales, which increased 115 percent in volume in 2000 compared to 1999. However, after the Houston and Boston offices were closed, no more coal sales were made and the remaining contracts expired by the end of 2000.

Energy Trading and Marketing's balance sheet increased \$8.68 billion from December 31, 1999 to December 31, 2000. Avista Energy's energy commodity assets and liabilities increased primarily as a result of significant price increases for both natural gas and power during this period. Trade receivables and payables increased due to higher market prices on current positions.

Information and Technology

The Information and Technology line of business includes the results of Avista Advantage and Avista Labs. Consistent with its overall business strategy, the Company is attempting to find equity partners to assist in financing the continued growth of these businesses.

2001 compared to 2000

Information and Technology's net loss was \$19.4 million for 2001 compared to a net loss of \$19.0 million for 2000. Operating revenues and expenses for this line of business increased \$8.1 million and \$11.5 million, respectively, as compared to 2000. Avista Advantage accounted for the increase in revenues primarily due to the expansion of its customer base. The increase in operating expenses reflects expansion of operations for Avista Advantage and further fuel cell development by Avista Labs.

2000 compared to 1999

Information and Technology's net loss was \$19.0 million for 2000 compared to a net loss of \$6.0 million for 1999. Operating revenues and expenses for this line of business increased \$3.5 million and \$21.0 million, respectively, over 1999. Avista Advantage accounted for the increase in operating revenues while each of Avista Advantage and Avista Labs contributed to the increase in operating expenses.

Other

The Other line of business includes the results of Avista Ventures, Avista Capital (parent company only amounts), Pentzer and several other minor subsidiaries.

2001 compared to 2000

The net loss from this line of business was \$15.3 million for 2001, compared to a net loss of \$2.9 million for 2000. The increase in the net loss from 2000 is primarily a result of increased interest expense on intercompany borrowings between Avista Capital and Avista Corp. that is eliminated in the consolidated financial statements. Operating revenues and expenses from this line of business decreased \$16.6 million and \$16.0 million, respectively, during 2001 as compared to 2000 reflecting reduced activities in this line of business.

2000 compared to 1999

The net loss from this line of business was \$2.9 million for 2000, compared to net income of \$35.8 million in 1999. The 2000 net loss includes a \$1.2 million after-tax charge recorded by Pentzer in the first quarter for expenses related to employee terminations resulting from a redirection of Pentzer's business focus. The 1999 earnings included transactional gains totaling \$35.9 million, net of taxes, recorded by Pentzer as a result of the sale of its

36

AVISTA CORPORATION

Creative Solutions Group and Store Fixtures Group of portfolio companies, partially offset by a loss on the sale of equipment.

Operating revenues and expenses from this line of business decreased \$89.4 million and \$79.9 million, respectively, during 2000, primarily as a result of the sales of portfolio companies by Pentzer. The Creative Solutions Group of companies was sold at the end of the first quarter of 1999 and the Store Fixtures Group of companies were sold during the third quarter of 1999. Revenues and expenses from these companies were included only in the 1999 amounts.

Discontinued Operations

In September 2001, the Company reached a decision that it would dispose of substantially all of the assets of Avista Communications. The divestiture is expected to be completed during the first half of 2002. In October 2001, minority shareholders of Avista Communications acquired ownership of its Montana and Wyoming operations as well as its dial-up internet access operations in Spokane, Washington and Coeur d'Alene, Idaho. In December 2001, Avista Communications completed the sale of the assets and customer accounts of its Yakima and Bellingham, Washington operations to Advanced Telcom Group, Inc. In December 2001, Avista Communications entered an agreement to transfer voice and integrated services customer accounts in Spokane, Washington and Coeur d'Alene, Idaho to certain subsidiaries of XO Communications, Inc. The Company is continuing to pursue disposal of the remaining portions of the business.

In connection with the planned disposal of substantially all of the operating assets of Avista Communications, the Company wrote down the value of the assets to the estimated fair value of the assets upon the planned disposal of the business unit, resulting in a charge of \$58.4 million.

2001 compared to 2000

The net loss for 2001 was \$47.4 million, compared to a net loss of \$9.4 million for 2000. The significant net loss for 2001 was due to asset impairment charges. The loss from operations for Avista Communications was \$21.1 million for 2001 compared to \$15.4 million for 2000.

2000 compared to 1999

The net loss for 2000 was \$9.4 million, compared to a net loss of \$2.6 million for 2000. The loss from operations for Avista Communications was \$15.4 million for 2001 compared to \$4.3 million for 2000. The significant increase in the net loss and the loss from operations from 1999 to 2000 was due to the rapid expansion of the Avista Communications business during this period.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. Changes in these estimates and assumptions are considered reasonably possible and may have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements and thus actual results could differ from the amounts reported and disclosed herein.

The Company prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation." SFAS No. 71 requires the Company to reflect the impact of regulatory decisions in its financial statements. SFAS No. 71 requires that certain costs and/or obligations (such as incurred power and natural gas costs not currently recovered through rates, but expected to be recovered in the future) be reflected in a deferral account in the balance sheet and not be reflected in the statement of income until matching revenues are recognized. If at some point in the future the Company determines that it no longer meets the criteria for continued application of SFAS No. 71 to all or a portion of the Company's regulated operations, the Company could be required to write off its regulatory assets. The Company could also be precluded from the future deferral of costs not recovered through rates at the time such costs were incurred, even if such costs were expected to be recovered in the future. In accordance with SFAS No. 71, profits recognized by Avista Energy on natural gas sales to Avista Utilities, including unrealized gains on natural gas contracts, are not eliminated in the consolidated financial statements. This is due to the fact that costs incurred by Avista Utilities for natural gas purchases to serve retail customers and for fuel for electric generation are recovered through future rates.

37

AVISTA CORPORATION

The Company's primary regulatory assets include power and natural gas deferrals, investment in exchange power, regulatory assets for deferred income taxes, unamortized debt expense, regulatory asset offsetting energy commodity derivative liabilities, conservation programs and the provision for postretirement benefits. Deferred credits include regulatory liabilities created when the Centralia Power Plant was sold and the gain on the general office building sale/leaseback which is being amortized over the life of the lease.

Avista Energy accounts for derivative commodity instruments entered into for trading purposes using the mark-to-market method of accounting, in compliance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 98-10, "Accounting for Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities", with unrealized gains and losses recognized in the consolidated statements of income. Avista Energy recognizes revenue based on the change in the market value of outstanding derivative commodity sales contracts, net of future servicing costs and reserves, in addition to revenue related to physical and financial contracts that have matured. Market prices are utilized in determining the value of electric, natural gas and related derivative commodity instruments. For longer-term positions and certain short-term positions for which market prices are not available, a valuation model based on estimated forward price curves is also utilized which requires the use of subjective assumptions and variables. The use of different assumptions and variables in the valuation model could have a material impact on the fair value of the commodity instruments and the unrealized gain or loss recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

Avista Utilities buys and sells energy under forward contracts that are considered to be derivatives and accounts for these derivatives in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." Under forward contracts, Avista Utilities commits to purchase or sell a specified amount of capacity and energy. These contracts are generally entered into to manage Avista Utilities' energy requirements and resources. In conjunction with the issuance of SFAS No. 133, the WUTC and the IPUC issued accounting orders requiring Avista Utilities to offset any derivative assets or liabilities with a regulatory asset or liability. As a result, unrealized gains or losses for Avista Utilities are not recognized in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

Interpretations that may be issued by the Derivatives Implementation Group, a task force created to assist the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) in answering questions that companies have in implementing SFAS No. 133, may change the conclusions that the Company has reached regarding accounting for energy contracts. As a result, the accounting treatment and financial statement impact could change in future periods.

For further information on the Company's accounting policies and new accounting standards that will be adopted by the Company in future periods see "Notes 1 and 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements."

38

AVISTA CORPORATION

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Review of Cash Flow Statement

Continuing Operating Activities Net cash used in continuing operating activities was \$93.3 million in 2001 compared to net cash provided by continuing operating activities of \$84.1 million in 2000. The primary reason for the increase in net cash used in continuing operating activities was power and natural gas cost deferrals including interest, net of amortization, of \$248.4 million in 2001 compared to \$67.3 million in 2000. This was primarily due to the effect of increased purchased power prices, fuel for generation and natural gas costs, as well as low hydroelectric availability that increased the volume of purchased power that was needed to meet retail demand. Also contributing to the decrease in cash flows from continuing operating activities was a decrease in net income. Net cash used in working capital components increased in 2001 compared to 2000, with net cash used of \$90.0 million in 2001 compared to net cash provided by working capital components of \$74.7 million in 2000. Decreased commodity prices and energy transactions that affected both Avista Utilities and Avista Energy were primarily responsible for the large changes in various working capital components, such as receivables and accounts payable. Significant changes in non-cash items also include a \$183.5 million change in energy commodity assets and liabilities, primarily related to Avista Energy.

Continuing Investing Activities Net cash used in continuing investing activities was \$218.4 million in 2001 compared to \$65.1 million in 2000. The increase in net cash used in continuing investing activities was primarily the result of increased capital expenditures in 2001 including \$157.0 million by the Energy Trading and Marketing line of business. These expenditures were primarily for the construction of the Coyote Springs 2 power plant and Avista Power's acquisition of turbines originally planned for use in a non-regulated generation project. In 2001, proceeds from property sales and

subsidiary investments were \$76.0 million, primarily related to the sale of the 50 percent interest in the Coyote Springs 2 power plant and Avista Power's turbines. In 2000, \$105.2 million in proceeds were provided from the sale of property and subsidiary investments, primarily related to the sale of the Company's interest in the Centralia Power Plant.

Continuing Financing Activities Net cash provided by continuing financing activities was \$302.9 million in 2001 compared to \$175.3 million in 2000. Short-term borrowings decreased \$88.1 million and long-term debt increased \$410.2 million in 2001. The overall increase in borrowings in 2001 reflects increased cash needs for the Company to fund investing activities, increased power and natural gas costs and other operating needs.

On April 3, 2001, the Company issued \$400.0 million of 9.75 percent Senior Notes (Senior Notes) due June 1, 2008. The net proceeds from the issuance were used to fund a portion of construction expenditures, pay down balances outstanding under the Company's committed line of credit and for other general corporate purposes. The Senior Notes were issued under an indenture that, among other things, restricts the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries from engaging in certain activities, including certain transactions with affiliates. In December 2001, the Company issued \$150.0 million of 7.75 percent First Mortgage Bonds due in 2007. The proceeds from the issuance were used to fund maturing Medium-Term Notes and pay down balances outstanding under the Company's committed line of credit. During 2001, \$15.0 million of Secured Medium-Term Notes, with rates of 7.59 percent and 7.60 percent, and \$74.0 million of Unsecured Medium-Term Notes, with rates between 8.0 percent and 9.57 percent, matured. The Company also used a portion of the \$150.0 million of proceeds from issuance of First Mortgage Bonds to legally defease \$50.0 million of Medium-Term Notes scheduled to mature in 2002 with interest rates between 6.28 percent and 8.15 percent.

Short-term borrowings increased \$42.1 million and long-term debt increased \$169.7 million in 2000. In August and December of 2000, the Company issued a total of \$224.0 million of Unsecured MTNs, Series D at rates of 8.0 percent and 8.625 percent due in 2001 and 2003. A total of \$44.9 million of Secured MTNs matured during 2000, with rates between 6.13 percent and 8.20 percent.

During 2001, the Company issued 423,989 shares of common stock for \$8.3 million. The shares were issued through the Employee Investment Plan, the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan as well as restricted stock through the Long-Term Incentive Plan.

Discontinued Operations Net cash used in discontinued operations was \$17.2 million in 2001 compared to \$37.1 million of net cash used in discontinued operations in 2000. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in capital expenditures by Avista Communications as the Company decided to dispose of the operations.

39

AVISTA CORPORATION

Overall Liquidity

The Company's cash outlays for purchased power have exceeded the related amounts paid to the Company by its retail customers. This condition, which was due to reduced availability of hydroelectric resources, increased prices in the wholesale market and increased volumes purchased to meet retail customer demand, has existed since the second quarter of 2000. In addition to operating expenses, the Company has continuing commitments for capital expenditures for construction, improvement and maintenance of facilities. In 2001, the Company incurred substantial levels of indebtedness, both short and long-term, to finance these requirements and to otherwise maintain adequate levels of working capital. Debt service is another significant cash requirement. In addition, the Company made significant cash investments to finance the development of companies in the Information and Technology line of business.

The temporary electric surcharge in Washington and the PCA surcharge in Idaho, which were implemented during September and October 2001, respectively, provide a basis for improving the Company's liquidity. The Company completed the sale of 50 percent of its interest in the Coyote Springs 2 project to Mirant during the fourth quarter of 2001. The Company is also in the process of selling three turbines owned by Avista Power with part of the proceeds received during 2001 and the remainder to be received during the first half of 2002. Additionally, the Company reduced capital expenditures by \$15 million for the fourth quarter of 2001 and by \$40 million for 2002 from the amount originally budgeted. The Company's disposal of Avista Communications reduces future cash investments in the Information and Technology line of business. These measures are largely related to the Company's efforts to improve cash flows and should provide the Company the ability to maintain access to adequate levels of credit with its banks. In March 2002, the WUTC issued an order approving the prudence and recoverability of 90 percent (or \$196 million) of deferred power supply costs incurred by the Company through December 31, 2001. Additionally, the WUTC order provides for one-fifth of the existing 25 percent surcharge be applied to offset the Company's general operating costs and the remainder will continue to be applied against the deferred power cost balance. The WUTC also approved a 6.2 percent (or \$14.7 million in annual revenues) increase in base electric rates for Washington customers. However, the Company still needs to receive a favorable outcome in the Washington general electric case filed in December 2001 in order to continue to improve liquidity. See further description of issues related to deferred power costs in the section "Avista Utilities - Regulatory Matters."

If Avista Utilities' purchased power and natural gas costs continue to exceed the levels recovered from retail customers, its cash flows will continue to be negatively affected. Factors that could cause purchased power costs to continue at higher levels than planned include, but are not limited to, a return to high prices in wholesale markets and continued high volumes of energy purchased in the wholesale markets. Factors beyond the Company's control that could result in high volumes of energy purchased include increases in demand (either due to weather or customer growth), low availability of hydroelectric resources, outages at generating facilities and failure of third parties to deliver on energy or capacity contracts.

Total deferred natural gas costs were \$52.7 million as of December 31, 2001. In order to recover deferred natural gas costs, Avista Utilities received approval from the WUTC and the IPUC for purchased gas cost adjustments during August 2001. Avista Utilities estimates these PGA rate changes will increase revenues by \$24.6 million for approximately one year. Based on current PGAs in place and current natural gas prices, Avista Utilities expects that the deferred natural gas cost balance will be fully recovered by December 2002.

The Company was not able to obtain conventional financing for the Coyote Springs 2 project due to lenders' concerns with regards to the level of deferred power costs and the corresponding effect on cash flows. As a result, the Company sold 50 percent of the Coyote Springs 2 project to Mirant. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had an investment of \$92.5 million in the Coyote Springs 2 project and the total cost of the plant is expected to be \$190 million.

Capital Resources

The Company has incurred significant indebtedness to support capital expenditures, to fund electric and natural gas costs that were in excess of the amount recovered currently through rates and to maintain working capital. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had total debt outstanding of \$1,252.6 million. In addition, the Company needs to finance capital expenditures and obtain additional working capital from time to time. The cash requirements to service the total amount of indebtedness, both short-term and long-term, could reduce the amount of cash flow available to fund working capital, future acquisitions, deferred power and natural gas costs, dividends, other corporate requirements and capital expenditures.

AVISTA CORPORATION

The Company funds capital expenditures with a combination of internally generated cash and external financing. The level of cash generated internally and the amount that is available for capital expenditures fluctuates depending on a variety of factors. External financings and cash provided by operating activities remain the Company's primary source of funds for operating needs, dividends and capital expenditures. In 2002 and subsequent years, the Company expects cash flows from operations to improve primarily from the recovery of deferred power and natural gas costs. This should allow the Company to reduce total debt outstanding. Capital expenditures are financed on an interim basis with short-term borrowings.

Avista Corp. has a committed line of credit of \$220 million that expires on May 29, 2002. As of December 31, 2001, \$55 million was borrowed under this committed line of credit. As of February 28, 2002, \$25 million was borrowed under this committed line of credit. Under this committed line of credit, the Company may have up to \$50 million in letters of credit outstanding. As of December 31, 2001 there were \$13.9 million of letters of credit outstanding. As of February 28, 2002, there were \$9.4 million of letters of credit outstanding.

The committed line of credit agreement contains customary covenants and default provisions, including covenants not to permit the ratio of "consolidated total debt" to "consolidated total capitalization" of Avista Corp. to be, at the end of any fiscal quarter, greater than 60 percent. As of December 31, 2001, the ratio was in compliance with this covenant at 59.4 percent. The committed line of credit also has a covenant requiring the ratio of "consolidated cash flow" to "consolidated fixed charges" of Avista Corp. or Avista Utilities for any four-fiscal quarter period ending at any fiscal quarter end to be less than certain specified ratios. In August 2001, the Company determined that it would not be in compliance with the fixed charge coverage covenant for the period ending September 30, 2001 or for any subsequent period through the termination date of the agreement. Accordingly, in September 2001, Avista Corp. requested, and obtained, a waiver of this covenant through the termination date of the agreement. As a result of this waiver, the failure to comply with this covenant does not constitute an event of default under the agreement. Additionally, Avista Corp. secured the committed line of credit with first mortgage bonds in connection with this waiver.

Any default on its committed line of credit or other financing arrangements could result in cross-defaults to other agreements and could induce vendors and other counterparties to demand collateral. In the event of default, it would be virtually impossible for the Company to obtain financing on any reasonable terms to pay creditors or fund operations, and the Company would likely be prohibited from paying dividends on its common stock.

As part of its ongoing cash management practices and operations, Avista Corp. may, at any time, have short-term notes receivable and payable with Avista Capital. In turn, Avista Capital may also have short-term notes receivable and payable with its subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2001, Avista Corp. had short-term notes receivable of \$180.1 million from Avista Capital of which \$128.8 million of the receivables represents loans to Avista Power, primarily for the Coyote Springs 2 project.

In February 2002, the Company repurchased \$25 million of Medium-Term Notes scheduled to mature in September 2003 at a premium of \$1.2 million. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had remaining authorization to issue up to \$317.0 million of Unsecured Medium-Term Notes.

The Mortgage and Deed of Trust securing the Company's First Mortgage Bonds contains limitations on the amount of First Mortgage Bonds which may be issued based on, among other things, a 70 percent debt-to-collateral ratio and a 2/1 net earnings to First Mortgage Bond interest ratio. Under various financing agreements, the Company is also restricted as to the amount of additional First Mortgage Bonds that it can issue. As of December 31, 2001, the Company could issue \$146.7 million of additional First Mortgage Bonds under the most restrictive of these financing agreements.

The Company is restricted under various agreements and its Restated Articles of Incorporation as to the additional preferred stock it can issue. As of December 31, 2001, approximately \$217.4 million of additional preferred stock could be issued at an assumed divided rate of 6.95 percent with a maturity date later than June 1, 2008.

In July 2001, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the purpose of issuing up to 3.7 million shares of common stock. No common stock has been issued under this registration statement. The Company is currently considering issuing convertible preferred stock instead of common stock due to changes in market conditions and the decline in the price of the Company's common stock. If market conditions warrant, the Company currently plans to issue equity securities during late 2002.

41

AVISTA CORPORATION

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

WWP Receivables Corp. (WWPRC) is a wholly owned, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary of the Company formed for the purpose of acquiring or purchasing interests in certain accounts receivable, both billed and unbilled, of the Company. WWPRC and the Company have an agreement whereby WWPRC can sell without recourse, on a revolving basis, up to \$90.0 million of those receivables. The current agreement expires in May 2002. WWPRC is obligated to pay fees that approximate the purchaser's cost of issuing commercial paper equal in value to the interests in receivables sold. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, \$75.0 million and \$80.0 million, respectively, in receivables were sold pursuant to the agreement. As of February 28, 2002, \$90.0 million of receivables were sold.

WP Funding LP is an entity that was formed for the purpose of acquiring the Company's natural gas-fired combustion turbine generating facility in Rathdrum, Idaho (Rathdrum CT). WP Funding LP purchased the Rathdrum CT from the Company with funds provided by unrelated investors of which 97 percent represented debt. The Company operates and leases the Rathdrum CT from WP Funding LP and makes lease payments currently at \$4.5 million per year. The total amount of debt outstanding that is not included on the Company's balance sheet is \$54.5 million as of December 31, 2001. The lease term expires in February 2020; however, the current debt matures in October 2005 and will need to be refinanced at that time. The FASB is currently discussing several issues relating to the identification of and accounting for special-purpose entities such as WP Funding LP. A current proposal by the FASB could require the Company to begin consolidating WP Funding LP in 2003.

Total Company Capitalization

The Company's total common equity decreased \$4.2 million during 2001 to \$720.1 million as of December 31, 2001 primarily due to dividends offset by net income and the issuance of common stock through stock compensation plans, the employee 401(k) plan and the Dividend Reinvestment Plan. The Company's consolidated capital structure, including the current portion of long-term debt and short-term borrowings as of December 31, 2001, was 59.4 percent debt, 6.4 percent preferred securities and 34.2 percent common equity, compared to 52.1 percent debt, 7.5 percent preferred securities and 40.4 percent common equity as of December 31, 2000. It is the Company's plan to target a capital structure of 50 percent debt and 50 percent preferred

and common equity. The Company plans to achieve this capital structure by reducing total debt as well as the issuance of preferred or common stock and net earnings.

Credit Ratings

Two credit rating agencies lowered the Company's credit ratings in October 2001 and a third downgraded the Company's credit ratings in December 2001. The downgrades resulted in an overall corporate credit rating that is below investment grade. These downgrades increased the cost of debt and other securities going forward and may affect the Company's ability to issue debt and equity securities on reasonable terms. The following table summarizes the Company's current credit ratings:

	Standard & Poor's	Moody's	Fitch, Inc.
Avista Corporation			
Corporate/Issuer rating	BB+	Ba1	BB+
Senior secured debt	BBB-	Baa3	BBB-
Senior unsecured debt	BB+	Ba1	BB+
Preferred stock	BB-	Ba3	BB
Avista Capital I*			
Preferred Trust Securities	BB-	Ba2	BB+
Avista Capital II*			
Preferred Trust Securities	BB-	Ba2	BB
Rating outlook	Negative	Negative	Stable

^{*} Only assets are subordinated debentures of Avista Corporation

These security ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities. The ratings are subject to change or withdrawal at any time by the respective credit rating agencies. Each credit rating should be evaluated independently of any other rating.

42

AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Utilities Operations

During the 2002-2003 period, utility capital expenditures are expected to be \$175 million and long-term debt maturities and preferred stock sinking fund requirements are expected to be \$209 million. During this period, internally generated funds and external financings are expected to be sufficient to fund the Company's capital expenditures, maturing long-term debt and preferred stock sinking fund requirements. Sources of funds would include, but are not necessarily limited to, recovery of deferred power and natural gas costs, other positive operating cash flow, sales of certain assets, additional long-term debt, leasing or issuance of equity securities. Cash dividends from the Avista Capital subsidiaries will also provide funds. These estimates of capital expenditures are subject to continuing review and adjustment. Actual capital expenditures may vary from these estimates due to factors such as changes in business conditions, construction schedules and environmental requirements.

See Notes 6, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional details related to financing activities.

Energy Trading and Marketing Operations

Avista Capital's total investment in this line of business was \$330.5 million as of December 31, 2001. Avista Energy funds its ongoing operations with a combination of internally generated cash and a bank line of credit.

As of December 31, 2001 Avista Energy and its subsidiary, Avista Energy Canada, Ltd., as co-borrowers, had a credit agreement with a group of commercial lenders in the aggregate amount of \$155 million expiring June 28, 2002. Subsequent to December 31, 2001, the amount of the credit agreement was reduced to \$113.4 million. This credit agreement may be terminated by the banks at any time and all extensions of credit under the agreement are payable upon demand, in either case at the lenders' sole discretion. This agreement also provides, on an uncommitted basis, for the issuance of letters of credit to secure contractual obligations to counterparties. This facility is guaranteed by Avista Capital and secured by substantially all of Avista Energy's assets. The maximum amount of credit extended by the banks for the issuance of letters of credit is the subscribed amount of the facility less the amount of outstanding cash advances, if any. The maximum amount of credit extended by the banks for cash advances is \$30 million. As of December 31, 2001, there were no cash advances (demand notes payable) outstanding and letters of credit outstanding under the facility totaled approximately \$39.6 million.

The Avista Energy credit agreement contains customary covenants and default provisions, including covenants to maintain "minimum net working capital" and "minimum net worth" as well as a covenant limiting the amount of indebtedness which the co-borrowers may incur. In addition, the agreement contains certain restricted payment provisions generally prohibiting distributions.

Avista Capital, in the course of business, may provide guarantees to other parties with whom Avista Energy may be doing business. Avista Capital's investment in Avista Energy totaled \$301.6 million as of December 31, 2001.

Periodically, Avista Capital loans funds to Avista Energy to support its short-term cash and collateral needs. These loans are subordinate to any obligations of Avista Energy to the banks under the credit agreements. As of December 31, 2001 there were no loans between Avista Capital and Avista Energy outstanding.

Avista Energy manages collateral requirements with counterparties by providing letters of credit, providing guarantees from Avista Capital and offsetting transactions with counterparties. In addition to the letters of credit and other items included above, cash deposited with counterparties totaled \$1.5 million as of December 31, 2001, and is included in the consolidated balance sheet in prepayments and other current assets. Avista Energy held cash deposits from other parties in the amount of \$15.7 million as of December 31, 2001, and such amounts are subject to refund if conditions warrant because of continuing portfolio value fluctuations with those parties.

As of December 31, 2001, Avista Energy had \$159.6 million in cash. Covenants in Avista Energy's credit agreement restrict the amount of cash dividends that can be distributed to Avista Capital and ultimately Avista Corp.

AVISTA CORPORATION

Information and Technology Operations

Capital expenditures for the Information and Technology line of business were \$16.7 million for the 1999-2001 period. The 2002-2003 capital expenditures are expected to be \$14.0 million. Avista Advantage and Avista Labs expect to support these capital requirements through a combination of funding from Avista Corp. and third party equity investment. Two venture capital firms made minority interest investments totaling \$3.4 million in Avista Advantage during the fourth quarter of 2000. At December 31, 2001, the Information and Technology companies had \$0.2 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$1.3 million in debt outstanding.

Other Operations

Capital expenditures for these companies were \$11.8 million for the 1999-2001 period. The 2002-2003 capital expenditures are expected to be \$7.0 million. As of December 31, 2001, this line of business had \$1.0 million in cash and cash equivalents and temporary investments, with \$21.1 million in debt outstanding. In October 2001, Avista Capital issued a \$20 million promissory note collateralized by certain receivables. The note has a balloon payment of \$18.8 million due in October 2002. As of December 31, 2001, there was \$19.8 million outstanding under the promissory note.

Contractual Obligations

The following table provides a summary of the Company's future contractual obligations as of December 31, 2001 (dollars in millions):

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter
Avista Utilities:						
Long-term debt maturities	\$ —	\$ 205	\$ 30	\$ 30	\$ 38	\$ 875
Short-term debt (1)	130	_	_	_	_	_
Preferred stock redemptions	2	2	2	2	2	27
Preferred trust securities	_	_	_	_	_	100
Energy purchase contracts (2)	374	357	308	162	141	1,412
Operating lease obligations	13	12	10	7	7	76
Avista Capital (consolidated):						
Long-term debt maturities	2	_	_	_	_	_
Short-term debt	20	_	_	_	_	_
Energy purchase contracts (3)	1,573	533	293	163	149	403
Operating lease obligations	5	4	4	2	1	2
Capital lease obligations	1	1	_	_	_	_
Total cash requirements	\$2,120	\$1,114	\$647	\$366	\$338	\$2,895

- (1) Represents a \$220 million (\$55 million outstanding at December 31, 2001) line of credit and a \$90 million (\$75 million outstanding at December 31, 2001) accounts receivable financing facility, both expiring in May 2002.
- (2) All of the energy purchase contracts were entered as part of Avista Utilities' obligation to serve its retail natural gas and electric customers' energy requirements. As a result, these costs are generally recovered either through base retail rates or adjustments to retail rates as part of the power and natural gas cost adjustment mechanisms.
- (3) Represents Avista Energy's contractual commitments to purchase physical energy commodities in future periods. Avista Energy also has sales commitments related to energy commodities in future periods. Financial transactions, which could possibly change the cash flow associated with these transactions, have been excluded because it is not possible to identify those financial transactions entered into to economically hedge purchase commitments. Avista Energy uses a portfolio type of hedging or trading strategy.

44

AVISTA CORPORATION

Other Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes the Company's other commercial commitments outstanding as of December 31, 2001 (dollars in millions):

	Commitment Outstanding	Commitment Expiration	
Letters of credit (1)	\$ 54	2002	
Guarantees (2)	\$ 92	_	

(1) Represents the \$155 million credit agreement at Avista Energy and the \$50 million available for letters of credit under Avista Corp.'s \$220 million line of credit. As of December 31, 2001, letters of credit totaled \$39.6 million at Avista Energy and \$13.9 million at Avista Corp. and primarily relate to energy purchase contracts.

(2) The face value of all performance guarantees issued by Avista Capital for energy trading contracts at Avista Energy was approximately \$1.2 billion as of December 31, 2001. At any point in time, Avista Capital is only liable for the outstanding portion of the guarantee, which was \$91.6 million as of December 31, 2001. Most guarantees do not have set expiration dates; however, either party may terminate the guarantee at any time with minimal written notice.

As of December 31, 2001, Avista Corp. did not have any commitments outstanding with equity triggers.

Additional Financial Data

As of December 31, 2001, the total long-term debt of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, as shown in the Company's consolidated financial statements, was \$1,175.7 million. Of such amount, \$863.8 million represents long-term unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness of the Company, and \$313.5 million represents secured indebtedness of the Company. The unamortized debt discount was \$2.5 million. The subsidiaries had long-term debt of \$1.0 million. Consolidated long-term debt does not include the Company's subordinated indebtedness held by the issuers of Company-obligated preferred trust securities. An additional \$55 million of the Company's short-term debt outstanding under or backed by the \$220 committed line of credit is secured indebtedness.

Future Outlook

Business Strategy

Avista Utilities seeks to maintain a strong, low-cost and efficient electric and natural gas utility business focused on providing reliable, high quality service to its customers. The utility business is expected to grow modestly, consistent with historical trends. Expansion will primarily result from economic growth in its service territory. It is Avista Utilities' strategy to own or control a sufficient amount of resources to meet its retail and wholesale electric requirements on an average annual basis. During 2000, Avista Energy scaled back its operations regionally to work primarily within the WSCC and has focused on reducing the size and the risk associated with its energy trading and marketing activities. Avista Energy's marketing efforts are expected to be driven by its base of knowledge and experience in the operation of both electric energy and natural gas physical systems in the WSCC, as well as its relationship-focused approach to its customers. During 2001, the Company decided that Avista Power would no longer pursue the development of additional non-regulated generation plants. The Company intends to find equity partners to assist in financing the continued growth of the Information and Technology businesses, Avista Advantage and Avista Labs. The Company plans to dispose or phase out of assets and operations that are not related to its energy operations.

Competition

Avista Utilities competes to provide service to new retail electric customers with various rural electric cooperatives and public utility districts in and adjacent to its service territories. Alternate sources of power may compete for sales to existing Avista Utilities customers, including new market entrants as a result of deregulation. Competition for available electric resources has become more critical to utilities as surplus power resources were absorbed by load growth. Avista Utilities' natural gas distribution operations compete with other energy sources but natural gas continues to maintain a price advantage compared to heating oil, propane and other fuels, provided that the natural gas distribution system is proximate to prospective customers.

45

AVISTA CORPORATION

The Avista Capital subsidiaries, particularly the Information and Technology companies, are subject to competition as they develop products and services and enter new markets. Competition from other companies in these emerging industries may mean challenges for a company to be the first to market a new product or service to gain the advantage in market share. In order for these new businesses to grow as planned, one significant challenge will be the availability of funding and resources to meet the capital needs. Other challenges will be rapidly advancing technologies, possibly making some of the current technology quickly obsolete, and requiring continual research and development for product advancement. In order for some of these subsidiaries to succeed, they will need to reduce costs of these emerging technologies to make them affordable to future customers.

Business Risk

The Company's operations are exposed to risks, including legislative and governmental regulations, the price and supply of purchased power, fuel and natural gas, recovery of purchased power and purchased natural gas costs, weather conditions, availability of generation facilities, competition, technology and availability of funding.

As described under "Avista Corp. Lines of Business," hydroelectric conditions in 2001 were significantly below normal, leading to greater than normal reliance on purchased power. The earnings impact of these factors is mitigated by regulatory mechanisms that are intended to defer increased costs for recovery in future periods. In order to recover deferred power costs, the WUTC approved a temporary 25 percent electric rate surcharge to Washington customers in September 2001 and the IPUC approved a total of a 19.4 percent PCA surcharge to Idaho customers in October 2001. In December 2001, the Company filed a general rate case with the WUTC. In March 2002, the WUTC issued an order approving the prudence and recoverability of 90 percent (or \$196 million) of deferred power supply costs incurred by the Company during the period from July 1, 2000 through December 31, 2001. Avista Utilities is not able to fully predict how the combination of energy resources, energy loads, prices, rate recovery and other factors will ultimately drive deferred costs and the timing of recovery of these costs in future periods. Current estimates and projections by the Company indicate that deferred power costs would be recovered by 2007. See further information at "Avista Utilities — Regulatory Matters."

Challenges facing Avista Utilities' electric operations include, among other things, the ability to recover deferred power supply costs and the timing of such recovery, changes in the availability of and volatility in the prices of power and fuel, generating unit availability, legislative and governmental regulations, and weather conditions. Avista Utilities believes it faces minimal risk for stranded utility assets resulting from deregulation, due to its relatively low-cost generation portfolio and because of the slower and more cautious approach to regulatory changes in Washington and Idaho. In a deregulated environment, however, evolving technologies that provide alternate energy supplies could affect the market price of power, and certain generating assets could have capital and operating costs above the prevailing market prices.

Natural gas commodity prices increased dramatically during 2000 and remained at relatively high levels during the first half of 2001 before declining in the second half of the year. Market prices for natural gas continue to be competitive compared to alternative fuel sources for residential, commercial and industrial customers. Avista Utilities believes that natural gas should sustain its market advantage based on the levels of existing reserves and potential natural gas development in the future. Growth has occurred in the natural gas business in recent years due to increased demand for natural gas in new construction, as well as conversions from electric space and water heating to natural gas. Challenges facing Avista Utilities' natural gas operations include, among other things, volatility in the price of natural gas, changes in the availability of natural gas, legislative and governmental regulations, weather conditions, conservation and the timing for recovery of increased commodity costs. Avista Utilities' natural gas business also faces the potential

for large natural gas customers to by-pass its natural gas system. To reduce the potential for such by-pass, Avista Utilities prices its natural gas services, including transportation contracts, competitively and has varying degrees of flexibility to price its transportation and delivery rates by means of individual contracts. Avista Utilities has long-term transportation contracts with seven of its largest industrial customers, which reduces the risks of these customers by-passing the system in the foreseeable future.

Avista Energy trades electricity and natural gas, along with derivative commodity instruments, including futures, options, swaps and other contractual arrangements. As a result of these trading activities, Avista Energy is subject to various risks, including commodity price risk and credit risk, as well as possible new risks resulting from the recent imposition of market controls by federal and state agencies. The FERC is conducting separate proceedings related to market controls within California and within the Pacific Northwest that include proposals by certain parties to retroactively impose price caps. As a result, certain parties have asserted claims for significant refunds from Avista Energy and lesser refunds from Avista Utilities which could result in liabilities for refunding revenues recognized in

46

AVISTA CORPORATION

prior periods. Avista Energy and Avista Utilities have joined other parties in vigorously opposing these proposals. If retroactive price caps were imposed, Avista Energy could develop offsetting claims.

In connection with matching loads and resources, Avista Utilities engages in wholesale sales and purchases of electric capacity and energy, and, accordingly, is also subject to commodity price risk, credit risk and other risks associated with these activities. As discussed above, Avista Utilities may also be exposed to refunds for wholesale power sales depending on the outcome of the FERC's retroactive price cap proceeding for the Pacific Northwest but would also have the opportunity to establish offsetting claims.

Commodity Price Risk Both Avista Utilities and Avista Energy are subject to energy commodity price risk. Historically, the price of power in wholesale markets was affected primarily by production costs and by other factors including streamflows, the availability of hydroelectric and thermal generation and transmission capacity, weather and the resulting retail loads, and the price of coal, natural gas and oil to thermal generating units. Any combination of these factors that resulted in a shortage of energy generally caused the market price of power to move upward. Now, however, market prices appear to be affected by other factors as well. These factors include the gradual decline of excess generating capacity in the WSCC and the effects of the restructuring of the electric utility business at the state and federal levels and the deregulation of wholesale energy markets. As discussed above and in the section "Western Power Market Issues" the FERC imposed a price mitigation plan in the western United States in June 2001.

Price risk is, in general, the risk of fluctuation in the market price of the commodity needed, held or traded. In the case of electricity, price movements may be correlated to adequacy of generating reserve margins, scheduled and unscheduled outages of generating facilities, availability of streamflows for hydroelectric generation, the price of thermal generating plant fuel, and disruptions or constraints to transmission facilities. Demand changes (caused by variations in the weather and other factors) are also correlated to price movements. Price risk also includes the risk of fluctuation in the market price of associated derivative commodity instruments (such as options and forward contracts). Price risk may also be influenced to the extent that the performance or non-performance by market participants of their contractual obligations and commitments affect the supply of, or demand for, the commodity. Wholesale market prices for power and natural gas in the western United States and western Canada were significantly higher in 2000 and the first half of 2001 than at any time in history, with unprecedented levels of volatility. Prices and volatility decreased considerably during the second half of 2001.

Credit Risk. Credit risk relates to the risk of loss that Avista Utilities and/or Avista Energy would incur as a result of non-performance by counterparties of their contractual obligations to deliver energy and make financial settlements. Credit risk includes not only the risk that a counterparty may default due to circumstances relating directly to it, but also the risk that a counterparty may default due to circumstances that relate to other market participants that have a direct or indirect relationship with such counterparty. Avista Utilities and Avista Energy seek to mitigate credit risk by applying specific eligibility criteria to existing and prospective counterparties and by actively monitoring current credit exposures. However, despite mitigation efforts, defaults by counterparties periodically occur. Avista Energy experienced payment receipt defaults from certain parties impacted by the California energy crisis. Avista Energy and Avista Corp. (through the Avista Utilities division) have engaged in physical and financial transactions with Enron and certain of its affiliates and experienced disruptions to forward contract commitments as a result of Enron's December 2001 bankruptcy. See "Enron Exposure" for more information.

Credit risk also involves the exposure that counterparties perceive related to performance by Avista Utilities and Avista Energy to perform deliveries and settlement of energy resource transactions. These counterparties seek assurance of performance in the form of letters of credit, prepayment or cash deposits, and, in the case of Avista Energy, parent company performance guaranties. In periods of price volatility, the level of exposure can change significantly, with the result that sudden and significant demands may be made against the Company's capital resource reserves (credit facilities and cash). Avista Utilities and Avista Energy actively monitor the exposure to possible collateral calls and take steps to minimize capital requirements.

Other Operating Risks. In addition to commodity price risk, Avista Utilities' commodity positions are subject to operational and event risks including, among others, increases in load demand, transmission or transport disruptions, fuel quality specifications and forced outages at generating plants. Avista Utilities also has exposure to weather conditions and natural disasters that can cause physical damage to property, requiring immediate repairs to restore utility service.

Interest Rate Risk. The Company is subject to the risk of fluctuating interest rates in the normal course of business. The fair value of the Company's cash and short-term investment portfolio and the fair value of notes payable as of

47

AVISTA CORPORATION

December 31, 2001 approximated carrying value. Given the short-term nature of these instruments, market risk, as measured by the change in fair value resulting from a hypothetical change in interest rates, is immaterial.

The Company manages interest rate risk by taking advantage of market conditions when timing the issuance of long-term financings and optional debt redemptions and through the use of fixed rate long-term debt with varying maturities. A portion of the Company's capitalization consisted of floating rate Pollution Control Bonds, with the interest rate adjusted periodically prior to January 2002. In January 2002, the interest rate on the bonds was fixed for a period of seven years. The interest rate on \$40 million of Company-Obligated Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Trust Securities — Series B adjusts quarterly, reflecting current market conditions. As of December 31, 2001, a hypothetical 15 percent change in interest rates would result in an immaterial change in the Company's cash flows related to the increased interest expense associated with these floating rate securities.

The Company's credit ratings were downgraded during the fourth quarter of 2001 resulting in an overall corporate credit rating that is below investment grade. These downgrades increased the cost of debt and other securities going forward and may affect the Company's ability to issue debt and equity securities at reasonable interest rates.

Foreign Currency Risk. The Company has investments in Canadian companies through Avista Energy Canada, Ltd. and Copac Management, Inc. The Company's exposure to foreign currency risk and other foreign operations risk was immaterial to the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial position in 2001 and is not expected to change materially in the near future.

Risk Management

Risk Policies and Oversight. Avista Utilities and Avista Energy use a variety of techniques to manage risks. The Company has risk management oversight for these risks for each area of the Company's energy-related business. The Company has a comprehensive Risk Management Committee, separate from the units that create such risk exposure and overseen by the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, to monitor compliance with the Company's risk management policies and procedures. Avista Utilities and Avista Energy have policies and procedures to manage the risks, both quantitative and qualitative, inherent in their businesses. The Company's Risk Management Committee reviews the status of risk exposures through regular reports and meetings and it monitors compliance with the Company's risk management policies and procedures on a regular basis. Nonetheless, adverse changes in commodity prices, generating capacity, customer loads, and other factors may result in losses in earnings, cash flows and/or fair values.

Quantitative Risk Measurements. Avista Utilities has volume limits for its imbalance between projected loads and resources. Normal operations result in seasonal mismatches between power loads and available resources. Avista Utilities is able to vary the operation of its generating resources to help match hourly, daily and weekly load fluctuations. Avista Utilities uses the wholesale power markets to sell projected resource surpluses and obtain resources when deficits are projected in the 24-month forward planning horizon. Any imbalance is required to remain within limits, or management action or decisions are triggered to address larger imbalance situations. Volume limits for forward periods are based on monthly and quarterly averages that may vary materially from the actual load and resource variations within any given month or operating day. Future projections of resources are updated as forecasted streamflows and other factors differ from prior estimates. Forward power markets may be illiquid, and market products may only be available to approximate Avista Utilities' desired transaction size and shape. Therefore, open imbalance positions exist at any given time.

Avista Energy measures the risk in its power and natural gas portfolio daily utilizing a Value-at-Risk (VAR) model, monitoring its risk in comparison to established thresholds. VAR measures the worst expected loss over a given time interval under normal market conditions at a given confidence level. Avista Energy also measures its open positions in terms of volumes at each delivery location for each forward time period. The extent of open positions is included in the risk management policy and is measured with stress tests and VAR modeling.

The VAR computations are based on an historical simulation, that utilizes price movements over a specified period to simulate forward price curves in the energy markets to estimate the unfavorable impact of price movement in the portfolio of transactions scheduled to settle within the following eight calendar quarters. The quantification of market risk using VAR provides a consistent measure of risk across Avista Energy's continually changing portfolio. VAR represents an estimate of reasonably possible net losses in earnings that would be recognized on its portfolio assuming hypothetical movements in future market rates and is not necessarily indicative of actual results that may occur.

48

AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Energy's VAR computations utilize several key assumptions, including a 95 percent confidence level for the resultant price movement and holding periods of one and three days. The calculation includes derivative commodity instruments held for trading purposes and excludes the effects of written and embedded physical options in the trading portfolio.

As of December 31, 2001, Avista Energy's estimated potential one-day unfavorable impact on gross margin was \$0.4 million, as measured by VAR, related to its commodity trading and marketing business, compared to \$4.0 million as of December 31, 2000. The average daily VAR for 2001 was \$1.2 million, compared to \$1.6 million in 2000. Avista Energy was outside of its one-day VAR limits a total of two times during 2001. Changes in markets inconsistent with historical trends or assumptions used could cause actual results to exceed predicted limits. Market risks associated with derivative commodity instruments held for purposes other than trading were not material as of December 31, 2001.

For forward transactions that settle beyond the immediate eight calendar quarters, Avista Energy applies other risk measurement techniques, including price sensitivity stress tests, to assess the future market risk. Volatility in longer-dated forward markets tends to be significantly less than near-term markets.

Economic and Load Growth

Avista Utilities expects economic growth to continue in its eastern Washington and northern Idaho service area. Avista Utilities, along with others in the service area, is continuing its efforts to facilitate expansion of existing businesses and attract new businesses to the Inland Northwest. Although agriculture, mining and lumber were the primary industries for many years, today health care, education, electronic and other manufacturing, tourism and the service sectors have become important industries that operate in Avista Utilities' service area. Avista Utilities also anticipates moderate economic growth to continue in its Oregon service area.

Avista Utilities anticipates residential and commercial electric load growth to average between 2.0 and 3.0 percent annually for the next five years, primarily due to increases in both population and the number of businesses in its service territory. The number of electric customers is expected to increase and the average annual usage by residential customers is expected to remain steady.

Avista Utilities anticipates natural gas load growth, including transportation volumes, in its Washington and Idaho service area to average between 1.5 and 2.0 percent annually for the next five years. The Oregon and South Lake Tahoe, California service areas are anticipated to average between 2.0 and 3.0 percent growth annually during that same period. The anticipated natural gas load growth is primarily due to expected conversions from electric space and water heating to natural gas, and increases in both population and the number of businesses in its service territory.

The forward-looking projections set forth above regarding retail sales growth are based, in part, upon purchased economic forecasts and publicly available population and demographic studies. The expectations regarding retail sales growth are also based upon various assumptions including, without limitation, assumptions relating to weather and economic and competitive conditions, internal analysis of company-specific data, such as energy consumption patterns and internal business plans, and an assumption that Avista Utilities will incur no material loss of retail customers due to self-generation or retail wheeling. Changes in the underlying assumptions can cause actual experience to vary significantly from forward-looking projections.

Environmental Issues

Since December 1991, a number of species of fish in the Northwest, including the Snake River sockeye salmon and fall chinook salmon, the Kootenai River white sturgeon, the upper Columbia River steelhead, the upper Columbia River spring chinook salmon and the bull trout have been listed as threatened or endangered under the Federal Endangered Species Act. Thus far, measures that were adopted and implemented to save the Snake River sockeye salmon and fall chinook salmon have not directly impacted generation levels at any of Avista Utilities' hydroelectric dams. Avista Utilities does, however, purchase power from four projects on the Columbia River that are directly impacted by ongoing mitigation measures for salmon and steelhead. The reduction in generation at these projects is relatively minor, resulting in minimal economic impact on Avista Utilities at this time. It is currently not possible to accurately predict the likely economic costs to the Company resulting from all future actions.

The Company received a new FERC operating license for the Cabinet Gorge and Noxon Rapids hydroelectric projects in March 2001 that incorporates a comprehensive settlement agreement. The restoration of native salmonid fish, in particular bull trout, is a principal focus of the agreement. A collaborative bull trout recovery program with the U.S.

49

AVISTA CORPORATION

Fish and Wildlife Service, Native American tribes and the states of Idaho and Montana is underway on the lower Clark Fork River. The FERC license establishes a plan for bull trout restoration.

The Company continues to study the issue of high dissolved gas levels downstream of Cabinet Gorge during spill periods, as agreed to in the Settlement Agreement for relicensing Cabinet Gorge. To date, intensive biological studies in the lower Clark Fork River and Lake Pend Oreille documented minimal biological effects of high dissolved gas levels on free ranging fish. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company will develop an abatement and/or mitigation strategy in 2002.

See "Note 24 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" for additional information.

Other

The Board of Directors considers the level of dividends on the Company's common stock on a continuing basis, taking into account numerous factors including, without limitation, the Company's results of operations and financial condition, as well as general economic and competitive conditions. The Company's net income available for dividends is derived primarily from Avista Utilities' operations.

Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company is including the following cautionary statement to make applicable, and to take advantage of, the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, the Company. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, projections of future events or performance, and underlying assumptions (many of which are based, in turn, upon further assumptions). Forward-looking statements are all statements other than statements of historical fact, including without limitation those that are identified by the use of words such as, but not limited to, "will," "anticipates," "seeks to," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "predicts," and similar expressions. From time to time, the Company may publish or otherwise make available forward-looking statements of this nature. All such subsequent forward-looking statements, whether written or oral and whether made by or on behalf of the Company, are also expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

Such statements are inherently subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed. Such risks and uncertainties include, among others:

- · the outcome of the general electric rate case filed in the state of Washington on December 3, 2001
- · changes in the utility regulatory environment in the states in which the Company operates and the western United States
- · the impact of regulatory and legislative decisions including FERC price controls and including possible retroactive price caps and resulting refunds
- the availability and prices of purchased energy, volatility and illiquidity in wholesale energy markets
- · wholesale and retail competition (including but not limited to electric retail wheeling and transmission costs)
- · future streamflow conditions and the impact on the availability of hydroelectric resources
- · changes in future demand, either due to weather conditions or customer growth
- · failure to deliver on the part of any parties from which the Company purchases capacity or energy
- · the Company's ability to obtain financing through debt and/or equity issuance
- the outcome of the proposed Montana Hydroelectric Security Act Initiative (See Note 24 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements)

The Company's expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and are believed by the Company to have a reasonable basis, including without limitation management's examination of historical operating trends, data contained in the Company's records and other data available from third parties, but there can be no assurance that the Company's expectations, beliefs or projections will be achieved or accomplished. Furthermore, any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement or statements to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict all of such factors, nor can it assess the impact of each such factor on the Company's business or the extent to which any such factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement.

AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Utilities' Operations -

In addition to other factors and matters discussed elsewhere herein, some important factors that could cause actual results or outcomes for Avista Utilities' operations to differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements include continuing legislative developments; governmental policies and regulatory actions with respect to allowed rates of return, financings, or industry and rate structures; weather conditions; outages of any generating facilities; availability of economic supplies of power and natural gas; competition in present or future natural gas distribution or transmission competition (including but not limited to prices of alternative fuels and system deliverability costs); recovery of purchased power and purchased gas costs; the ability to make profitable sales of any surplus electric capacity or energy in wholesale markets; present or prospective generation, operations and construction of plant facilities; and acquisition and disposal of assets or facilities.

Energy Trading and Marketing Operations -

In addition to other factors and matters discussed elsewhere herein, some important factors that could cause actual results or outcomes for the Energy Trading and Marketing operations to differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements include further industry restructuring evolving from federal and/or state legislation; federal and state regulatory and legislative actions; demand for and availability of energy throughout the country; wholesale competition; availability of economic supplies of power and natural gas; margins on purchased power; changes in market factors; the formation of additional alliances or entities; the availability of economically feasible generating projects; and the availability of funding for new generating assets.

Information and Technology, and Other Operations -

Certain additional important factors which could cause actual results or outcomes for the remaining subsidiaries' operations to differ materially from those discussed in forward-looking statements include competition from other companies and other technologies; obsolescence of technologies; the inability to reduce costs of the technologies down to economic levels; the inability to obtain new customers and loss of significant customers or suppliers; reliability of customer orders; business acquisitions; disposal of assets; the availability of funding from other sources; research and development findings; and the availability of economic expansion or development opportunities.

Factors Common to All Operations -

The business and profitability of the Company are also influenced by, among other things, economic risks; changes in and compliance with environmental and safety laws and policies; weather conditions; population growth rates and demographic patterns; market demand for energy from plants or facilities; changes in tax rates or policies; unanticipated project delays or changes in project costs; unanticipated changes in operating expenses or capital expenditures; labor negotiation or disputes; changes in credit ratings or capital market conditions; inflation rates; inability of the various counterparties to meet their obligations with respect to the Company's financial instruments; changes in accounting principles and/or the application of such principles to the Company; changes in technology; changes in economic, business or political conditions, including the continuing impact on the economy of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks; and outcomes of legal proceedings.

Item 7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations: Future Outlook: Business Risk and Risk Management."

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Independent Auditor's Report and Financial Statements begin on the next page.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

51

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Avista Corporation Spokane, Washington

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and statements of capitalization of Avista Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows, which include the schedule of information by business segments, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Avista Corporation For the Years Ended December 31 Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts

	2001	2000	1999
OPERATING REVENUES	\$6,009,847	\$7,905,577	\$7,902,399
OPERATING EXPENSES:			
Resource costs	5,464,530	7,293,520	7,391,277
Operations and maintenance	125,656	129,708	187,853
Administrative and general	119,216	134,912	123,996
Depreciation and amortization	71,981	65,936	67,873
Taxes other than income taxes	59,172	54,608	53,085
Restructuring and exit costs		9,805	42,922
Total operating expenses	5,840,555	7,688,489	7,867,006
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS	169,292	217,088	35,393
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):			
Interest expense	(106,480)	(68,255)	(64,747)
Capitalized interest	10,498	3,359	1,001
Net interest expense	(95,982)	(64,896)	(63,746)
Other income — net	20,681	25,861	73,912
Total other income (expense)-net	(75,301)	(39,035)	10,166
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	93,991	178,053	45,559
INCOME TAXES	34,386	76,998	16,897
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	59,605 ———	101,055	28,662
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:			
Loss from operations	(21,130)	(15,367)	(4,324)
Asset impairment charges	(58,417)	·	_
Minority interest	4,319	2,454	1,536
Income tax benefit	27,779	3,537	157
LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	(47,449)	(9,376)	(2,631)
NET INCOME	12,156	91,679	26,031
DEDUCT-Preferred stock dividend requirements	2,432	23,735	21,392
NICONE AVAILABLE FOR COMMON STOCK			
INCOME AVAILABLE FOR COMMON STOCK	\$ 9,724	\$ 67,944	\$ 4,639
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (thousands), Basic EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE, BASIC (Note 21):	47,417	45,690	38,213
Earnings per common share from continuing operations	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.69	\$ 0.19
Loss per common share from discontinued operations	(1.00)	(0.20)	(0.07)
Total earnings per common share, basic	\$ 0.21	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.12
FARNINGS RED COMMON SHARE BUILTER (Note of)			
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE, DILUTED (Note 21):	Φ 4.00	ф 4.07	Φ 040
Earnings per common share from continuing operations Loss per common share from discontinued operations	\$ 1.20 (1.00)	\$ 1.67 (0.20)	\$ 0.19 (0.07)
Total earnings per common share, diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 1.47	\$ 0.12
Dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.48
NET INCOME	\$ 12,156	\$ 91,679	\$ 26,031
OTHER COMPREHENOIVE INCOME (LOCC)			
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):	(001)	(22)	070
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(221)	(82)	376
Unfunded accumulated benefit obligation — net of tax	(740)	-	-
Unrealized investments gains (losses) — net of tax	1,585	(475)	(201)
TOTAL OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	624	(557)	175

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Statements.

53

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Avista Corporation As of December 31 Dollars in thousands

	2001	2000
SSETS:		
URRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 171,221	\$ 197,238
Temporary investments	1,872	1,058
Accounts and notes receivable-less allowances of \$50,211 and \$14,404, respectively	388,083	859,149
Energy commodity assets	477,037	7,956,229
Materials and supplies, fuel stock and natural gas stored	21,776	20,923
Taxes receivable	32,348	13,157
Prepayments and other current assets	19,364	53,613
Assets held for sale from discontinued operations	21,316	50,665
Total current assets	1,133,017	9,152,032
T UTILITY PROPERTY:		
Utility plant in service	2,277,779	2,205,230
Construction work in progress	54,964	33,535
Conditional Work in progress		
Total	2,332,743	2,238,765
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	767,101	720,453
Total net utility property	1,565,642	1,518,312
THER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS:		
Investment in exchange power-net	43,314	46,981
Non-utility properties and investments-net	230,800	172,275
Non-current energy commodity assets	383,497	1,367,107
Other property and investments-net	13,620	21,885
Total other property and investments	671,231	1,608,248
EFERRED CHARGES:		
Regulatory assets for deferred income tax	149,033	156,692
Other regulatory assets	192,760	23,935
	*	20,900
Utility energy commodity derivative assets	1,889	75.046
Power and natural gas deferrals	265,063	75,648
Unamortized debt expense	41,222	27,874
Other deferred charges	17,366	14,340
Total deferred charges	667,333	298,489
TOTAL ASSETS	\$4,037,223	\$12,577,081
ABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION: IRRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 367,899	\$ 886,268
	373,837	7,834,007
Energy commodity liabilities	•	
Current portion of long-term debt	1,827	89,454
Short-term borrowings	75,099	163,160
Interest accrued	18,583	16,585
Other current liabilities	84,587	143,623
Liabilities of discontinued operations	6,642	5,763
Total current liabilities	928,474	9,138,860
ON-CURRENT LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED CREDITS:		
ON-CURRENT LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED CREDITS:	46 601	38 975
Non-current liabilities	46,601 35,824	38,975 46,003
Non-current liabilities Deferred revenue	35,824	46,002
Non-current liabilities Deferred revenue Non-current energy commodity liabilities	35,824 299,980	46,002
Non-current liabilities Deferred revenue Non-current energy commodity liabilities Utility energy commodity derivative liabilities	35,824 299,980 159,418	46,002 1,272,374
Non-current liabilities Deferred revenue Non-current energy commodity liabilities	35,824 299,980	38,975 46,002 1,272,374 — 446,310 95,530

Total non-current liabilities and deferred credits	1,077,971	1,899,191
CAPITALIZATION (See Consolidated Statements of Capitalization)	2,030,778	1,539,030
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Notes 12, 15 and 24)		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND CAPITALIZATION	\$4,037,223	\$12,577,081

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Statements

54

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION

Avista Corporation As of December 31 Dollars in thousands

	2001	2000
DNG-TERM DEBT:		
First Mortgage Bonds:		
Secured Medium-Term Notes:		
Series A - 6.25% to 7.90% due 2003 through 2023	\$ 104,500	\$ 129,500
Series B - 6.50% to 7.89% due 2005 through 2010	59,000	74,000
Total secured medium-term notes	163,500	203,500
First Mortgage Bonds - 7.75% due 2007	150,000	200,000
That Worldage Borids 7.70% and 2007		
Total first mortgage bonds	313,500	203,500
Unsecured Pollution Control Bonds:		
Floating Rate, Colstrip 1999A, due 2032	66,700	66,700
Floating Rate, Colstrip 1999B, due 2034	17,000	17,000
6% Series due 2023	4,100	4,100
Total unsecured pollution control bonds	87,800	87,800
,		
Unsecured Senior Notes:	400.000	
9.75% due 2008	400,000	
Unsecured Medium-Term Notes:		
Series A - 7.94% to 8.99% due 2003 through 2007	13,000	13,000
Series B - 6.75% to 8.23% due 2003 through 2023	79,000	89,000
Series C - 5.99% to 8.02% due 2007 through 2028	109,000	109,000
Series D - 8.625% due 2003	175,000	175,000
Total unsecured medium-term notes	376,000	386,000
Other long-term debt	962	2,619
Other long term dept		
Unamortized debt discount	(2,547)	(113)
Total long-term debt	1,175,715	679,806
OMPANY-OBLIGATED MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE PREFERRED TRUST SECURITIES:		
7.875%, Series A, due 2037	60,000	60,000
Floating Rate, Series B, due 2007	40,000	40,000
Floating Flate, Cones B, due 2007		
Total company-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred trust securities	100,000	100,000
REFERRED STOCK-CUMULATIVE:		
10,000,000 shares authorized:		
Subject to mandatory redemption:		
\$6.95 Series K; 350,000 shares outstanding (\$100 stated value)	35,000	35,000
\$3.00 Constant in the state of		
DMMON EQUITY:		
Common stock, no par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 47,632,678 and 47,208,689	617 797	610 741
shares outstanding	617,737	610,741
Note receivable from employee stock ownership plan	(5,679)	(7,040)
Capital stock expense and other paid in capital	(11,924)	(11,696)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(99)	(723)
Retained earnings	120,028	132,942
Total common equity	720,063	724,224
OTAL CAPITALIZATION	\$2,030,778	\$1,539,030

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents Avista Corporation For the Years Ended December 31 Dollars in thousands

	2001	2000	1999
CONTINUING OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net income	\$ 12,156	\$ 91,679	\$ 26,031
Loss from discontinued operations	47,449	9,376	2,631
Non-cash items included in net income:	, -	-,	,
Depreciation and amortization	71,981	65,936	67,873
Provision for deferred income taxes	79,141	79,274	(1,085)
Power and natural gas cost deferrals including interest, net of amortizations	(248,386)	(67,299)	(14,906)
Loss (gain) on sale of property and subsidiary investments-net	299	(16,506)	(57,860)
Impairment of non-operating assets	8,225	(10,000)	33,622
Energy commodity assets and liabilities	10,636	(172,918)	(9,841)
**			,
Other-net	15,129	19,843	(25,425)
Changes in working capital components:	(5.000)	05.000	00.000
Sale of customer accounts receivable-net	(5,000)	35,000	20,000
Receivables and prepaid expense	453,309	(375,558)	(139,878)
Materials and supplies, fuel stock and natural gas stored	(853)	7,037	890
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	(503,475)	442,984	162,775
Other	(33,940)	(34,758)	47,180
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) CONTINUING OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(93,329)	84,090	112,007
CONTINUING INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Utility property construction expenditures (excluding AFUDC)	(119,905)	(98,680)	(87,160)
Other capital expenditures	(162,279)	(73,515)	(17,573)
Changes in other non-current balance sheet items-net	11,163	3,403	(7,636)
Proceeds from property sales and sale of subsidiary investments	75,953	105,228	148,851
Assets acquired and investments in subsidiaries	(23,321)	(1,496)	(48,931)
Assets acquired and investments in subsidiaries	(23,321)	(1,490)	(40,931)
NET CASH USED IN CONTINUING INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(218,389)	(65,060)	(12,449)
CONTINUING FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	(88,061)	42,126	110,522
Redemption of preferred trust securities	_	(10,000)	_
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	550,457	224,000	115,749
Redemption and maturity of long-term debt	(140,208)	(54,283)	(211,514)
Redemption of preferred stock	`	` <u> </u>	(5,918)
Issuance of common stock, net of repurchases	8,267	2,625	(81,985)
Cash dividends paid	(25,110)	(28,304)	(39,757)
Other-net	(2,434)	(850)	(4,634)
	(2, 101)		
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) CONTINUING FINANCING ACTIVITIES	302,911	175,314	(117,537)
NET CASH USED IN DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	(17,210)	(37,094)	(14,830)
	(, ,	,	,
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS	(26,017)	157,250	(32,809)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	197,238	39,988	72,797
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 171,221	\$ 197,238	\$ 39,988
SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid (received) during the period:			
Interest	\$ 98,571	\$ 61,774	\$ 63,207
Income taxes	(38,817)	31,404	42,891
Non-cash financing and investing activities:			
Accounts receivable from sale of non-operating assets	22,665	_	_
Intangibles acquired through issuance of subsidiary stock	1,114	_	_
Series L preferred stock converted to common stock	-,	271,286	_
Property purchased under capitalized leases	469	271,200	2,557
Unrealized investment gains (losses)	2,437	(475)	(201)
			(201)
Sale of property through issuance of note receivable	2,548	3,500	_

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Avista Corporation
For the Years Ended December 31
Dollars in thousands

		ed Stock es K	Convertible Pre		Common	Stock
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
Balance as of December 31, 1998	350,000	\$35,000	1,540,460	\$ 269,227	40,453,729	\$381,401
Net income						
Repurchase of common stock and common stock equivalents Stock issued under compensatory plans Repayments of note receivable Foreign currency translation adjustment			(32,250)	(5,918)	(4,788,900) (16,590)	(62,393) (277)
Unrealized investment loss-net Cash dividends paid (common stock) Cash dividends paid (preferred stock) ESOP dividend tax savings						
Balance as of December 31, 1999	350,000	\$35,000	1,508,210	\$ 263,309	35,648,239	\$318,731
Net income						
Conversion of convertible preferred stock into common stock			(1,508,210)	(263,309)	11,410,047	289,118
Repurchase of common stock			, , ,	,	(45,975)	(1,488)
Stock issued under compensatory plans					70,742	1,192
Employee Investment Plan (401-K)					97,478	2,614
Dividend Reinvestment Plan Repayments of note receivable					28,158	574
Foreign currency translation adjustment Unrealized investment loss-net						
Cash dividends paid (common stock) Cash dividends paid (preferred stock) ESOP dividend tax savings						
Loor arraona tax oarriigo						
Balance as of December 31, 2000	350,000	\$35,000		\$ 	47,208,689	\$610,741 ———
Net income					04.400	4 700
Stock issued under compensatory plans Employee Investment Plan (401-K)					91,128 172,681	1,763 2,823
Dividend Reinvestment Plan					160,180	2,410
Repayments of note receivable					,	_,
Foreign currency translation adjustment Unfunded accumulated benefit obligation Unrealized investment gain-net						
Cash dividends paid (common stock) Cash dividends paid (preferred stock)						
ESOP dividend tax savings						
Balance as of December 31, 2001	350,000	\$35,000		\$	47,632,678	\$617,737

[Additional columns below]

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

	Note Receivable from Employee Stock Ownership Plan	Capital Stock Expense and Other Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings	Total
Balance as of December 31, 1998	\$ (9,295)	\$ (4,176)	\$ (341)	\$120,445	\$792,261
Net income				26,031	26,031
Repurchase of common stock and common stock					
equivalents		(171)		(19,315)	(87,797)
Stock issued under compensatory plans				(84)	(361)
Repayments of note receivable	1,055				1,055
Foreign currency translation adjustment			376		376
Unrealized investment loss-net			(201)		(201)
Cash dividends paid (common stock)				(18,301)	(18,301)
Cash dividends paid (preferred stock)				(21,402)	(21,402)
ESOP dividend tax savings				147	147

Balance as of December 31, 1999	\$ (8,240)	\$ (4,347)	\$ (166)	\$ 87,521	\$691,808
	(0,= .0)	Ţ (:,ō::)	+ (100)		
Net income				91,679	91,679
Conversion of convertible preferred stock into				7.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
common stock		(8,009)		(17,868)	(68)
Repurchase of common stock		(. ,		(419)	(1,907)
Stock issued under compensatory plans		689		101	1,982
Employee Investment Plan (401-K)		(29)			2,585
Dividend Reinvestment Plan					574
Repayments of note receivable	1,200				1,200
Foreign currency translation adjustment			(82)		(82)
Unrealized investment loss-net			(475)		(475)
Cash dividends paid (common stock)				(22,616)	(22,616)
Cash dividends paid (preferred stock)				(5,600)	(5,600)
ESOP dividend tax savings				144	144
Balance as of December 31, 2000	\$ (7,040)	\$ (11,696)	\$ (723)	\$132,942	\$759,224
Net income				12,156	12,156
Stock issued under compensatory plans		(228)		(14)	1,521
Employee Investment Plan (401-K)					2,823
Dividend Reinvestment Plan					2,410
Repayments of note receivable	1,361				2,410 1,361
Foreign currency translation adjustment	1,001		(221)		(221)
Unfunded accumulated benefit obligation			(740)		(740)
Unrealized investment gain-net			1,585		1,585
Cash dividends paid (common stock)			1,303	(22,765)	(22,765)
Cash dividends paid (common stock)				(2,432)	(2,432)
ESOP dividend tax savings				141	141
LOOF dividend tax savings					171
Balance as of December 31, 2001	\$ (5,679)	\$ (11,924)	\$ (99)	\$120,028	\$755,063
24.4 45 07 2000 mbor 01, 2001	Ψ (0,07.0)	Ψ (11,027)	Ψ (55)	ψ120,020	ψ7 00,000

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Statements.

57

SCHEDULE OF INFORMATION BY BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Avista Corporation
For the Years Ended December 31
Dollars in thousands

	2001	2000	1999
OPERATING REVENUES:			
Avista Utilities	\$1,230,847	\$1,512,101	\$1,115,647
Energy Trading and Marketing	5,000,955	6,531,551	6,695,671
Information and Technology	13,815	5,732	2,266
Other	16,385	32,937	122,303
Intersegment eliminations	(252,155)	(176,744)	(33,488)
Total operating revenues	\$6,009,847	\$7,905,577	\$7,902,399
RESOURCE COSTS:			
Avista Utilities:			
Power purchased	\$ 708,321	\$1,072,475	\$ 543,436
Natural gas purchased for resale	220.692	169.924	Ψ 545,450 116,553
Fuel for generation	81,949	69,077	46,368
Power and natural gas deferrals, net of amortizations	(210,540)	(70,250)	(14,616)
Other	49,574	5,233	19,411
Energy Trading and Marketing:	,	,	•
Cost of sales	4,866,689	6,223,805	6,713,613
Intersegment eliminations	(252,155)	(176,744)	(33,488)
Total resource costs (excluding non-energy businesses)	\$5,464,530	\$7,293,520	\$7,391,277
GROSS MARGINS:			
Avista Utilities	\$ 380.851	\$ 265,642	\$ 404,495
Energy Trading and Marketing	134,266	307,746	(17,942)
Energy maining and maintaining			(17,042)
Total gross margins	\$ 515,117	\$ 573,388	\$ 386,553
PERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES:			

Avista Utilities	\$ 97,831	\$ 95,117	\$ 90,390
Energy Trading and Marketing	_	249	370
Information and Technology	12,607	6,611	6,310
Other	15,218	27,731	90,783
Total operations and maintenance expenses	\$ 125,656	\$ 129,708	\$ 187,853
DMINISTRATIVE AND GENERAL EXPENSES:			
Avista Utilities	\$ 53,416	\$ 62,111	\$ 66,362
Energy Trading and Marketing	33,494	41,256	31,732
Information and Technology	23,918	22,329	3,389
Other	8,388	9,216	22,513
Total administrative and general expenses	\$ 119,216	\$ 134,912	\$ 123,996
EPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSES:			
Avista Utilities	\$ 61,383	\$ 57,479	\$ 55,545
Energy Trading and Marketing	2,188	2,466	3,692
Information and Technology	5,403	2,169	1,175
Other	3,007	3,822	7,461
Total depreciation and amortization expenses	\$ 71,981	\$ 65,936	\$ 67,873
COME FROM OPERATIONS (PRE-TAX):			
Avista Utilities	\$ 114,927	\$ 3,177	\$ 142,567
Energy Trading and Marketing	94,669	250,196	(97,785)
Information and Technology	(29,872)	(26,424)	(8,966)
Other	(10,432)	(9,861)	(423)
Total income from operations	\$ 169,292	\$ 217,088	\$ 35,393
	 58		

	2001	2000	1999
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS:			
Avista Utilities	\$ 24,164	\$ (38,781)	\$ 59,573
Energy Trading and Marketing	70,087	161,753	(60,739)
Information and Technology	(19,384)	(19,032)	(5,989)
Other	(15,262)	(2,885)	35,817
Total income from continuing operations	\$ 59,605	\$ 101,055	\$ 28,662
ASSETS:			
Avista Utilities	\$2,396,317	\$ 2,143,791	\$1,976,716
Energy Trading and Marketing	1,506,185	10,271,834	1,595,470
Information and Technology	26,891	14,429	6,312
Other	86,514	96,362	114,929
Discontinued Operations	21,316	50,665	20,067
Total assets	\$4,037,223	\$12,577,081	\$3,713,494
CAPITAL EXPENDITURES (excluding AFUDC):			
Avista Utilities	\$ 119,905	\$ 98,680	\$ 87,160
Energy Trading and Marketing	157,020	65,095	3,676
Information and Technology	4,644	8,409	3,628
Other	615	976	10,171
Total capital expenditures	\$ 282,184	\$ 173,160	\$ 104,635

The Accompanying Notes are an Integral Part of These Statements

59

AVISTA CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business

Avista Corporation (Avista Corp. or the Company) is an energy company involved in the generation, transmission and distribution of energy as well as other energy-related businesses. The utility portion of the Company, doing business as Avista Utilities, an operating division of Avista Corp. and not a

separate entity, provides electric and natural gas service to customers in four western states and is subject to state and federal regulation. Avista Capital, a wholly owned subsidiary of Avista Corp., is the parent company of all of the subsidiary companies engaged in the other non-regulated lines of business.

The Company's operations are exposed to risks, including legislative and governmental regulations, the price and supply of purchased power, fuel and natural gas, recovery of purchased power and purchased natural gas costs, weather conditions, availability of generation facilities, competition, technology and availability of funding. In addition, the energy business exposes the Company to the financial, liquidity, credit and commodity price risks associated with wholesale purchases and sales.

Basis of Reporting

The consolidated financial statements include the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the Company and its subsidiaries. The accompanying financial statements include the Company's proportionate share of utility plant and related operations resulting from its interests in jointly owned plants (See Note 8).

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. Changes in these estimates and assumptions are considered reasonably possible and may have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements and thus actual results could differ from the amounts reported and disclosed herein.

System of Accounts

The accounting records of the Company's utility operations are maintained in accordance with the uniform system of accounts prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and adopted by the appropriate state regulatory commissions.

Regulation

The Company is subject to state regulation in Washington, Idaho, Montana, Oregon and California. The Company is subject to federal regulation by the FERC.

Business Segments

Financial information for each of the Company's lines of business is reported in the "Schedule of Information by Business Segments." Such information is an integral part of these consolidated financial statements. The business segment presentation reflects the basis currently used by the Company's management to analyze performance and determine the allocation of resources. Avista Utilities' business is managed based on the total regulated utility operation. The Energy Trading and Marketing line of business operations primarily includes non-regulated electricity and natural gas marketing and trading activities including derivative commodity instruments such as futures, options, swaps and other contractual arrangements. The Information and Technology line of business operations includes utility internet billing services and fuel cell technology. The Other line of business encompasses other investments and non-energy operations of various subsidiaries as well as the operations of Avista Capital on a parent company only basis. The Company is in the process of divesting Avista Communications, its telecommunications business, which is reported as a discontinued operation.

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues are recorded on the basis of service rendered, which includes estimated unbilled revenue. Avista Energy follows the mark-to-market method of accounting for energy contracts entered into for trading and price risk management purposes. Avista Energy recognizes revenue based on the change in the market value of outstanding derivative commodity sales contracts, net of future servicing costs and reserves, in addition to revenue related to physical and financial contracts that have settled.

60

AVISTA CORPORATION

Interseament Eliminations

Intersegment eliminations represent the transactions between Avista Utilities and Avista Energy for energy commodities and services.

Research and Development Expenses

Company-sponsored research and development expenses related to present and future products are expensed as incurred. The majority of the Company's research and development expenses are related to the Information and Technology line of business. Research and development expenses totaled \$8.4 million, \$8.1 million and \$3.3 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Advertising Costs

The Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expenses totaled \$1.8 million, \$1.2 million and \$0.6 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Taxes other than income taxes

Taxes other than income taxes include state excise taxes, city occupational and franchise taxes, real and personal property taxes and certain other taxes not based on net income. These taxes are generally based on revenues or the value of property. Utility related taxes collected from customers are recorded as both revenue and expense and totaled \$26.3 million, \$23.5 million and \$21.3 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Other Income-Net

Other income-net consisted of the following items for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

2001 2000 1999

Interest income	\$32,044	\$11,824	\$ 3,615
Net gain on subsidiary transactions	2,997	770	57,531
Gain (loss) on property dispositions	(8,338)	20,278	4,071
Minority interest	217	694	466
Other — net	(6,239)	(7,705)	8,229
Total	\$20,681	\$25,861	\$73,912

Income Taxes

The Company and its eligible subsidiaries file consolidated federal income tax returns. Subsidiaries are charged or credited with the tax effects of their operations on a stand-alone basis. The Company's federal income tax returns were examined with all issues resolved, and all payments made, through the 1998 return

The Company accounts for income taxes using the liability method. Under the liability method, a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's consolidated income tax returns. The deferred tax expense for the period is equal to the net change in the deferred tax asset and liability accounts from the beginning to the end of the period. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company follows the disclosure only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." Accordingly, employee stock options are accounted for under Accounting Principle Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Stock options are granted at exercise prices not less than the fair value of common stock on the date of grant. Under APB No. 25, no compensation expense is recognized pursuant to the Company's stock option plans.

Comprehensive Income

The Company's comprehensive income is comprised of net income, foreign currency translation adjustments, unfunded accumulated benefit obligation and unrealized gains and losses on investments available-for-sale.

Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment

The assets and liabilities of Avista Energy Canada, Ltd. are denominated in Canadian dollars and translated to United States dollars at exchange rates in effect on the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated using an average exchange rate. Translation adjustments resulting from this process are reflected as a component of

61

AVISTA CORPORATION

other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income.

Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing income available for common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per common share is calculated by dividing income available for common stock by diluted weighted average common shares outstanding during the period, including common stock equivalent shares outstanding using the treasury stock method, unless such shares are anti-dilutive. Common stock equivalent shares include shares issuable upon exercise of stock options and convertible stock. See Note 21 for earnings per common share calculations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For the purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, the Company considers all temporary investments with a purchased maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Temporary Investments

Temporary investments consist of marketable equity securities classified as "available for sale." The unrealized gain on temporary investments totaled \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2001 compared to an unrealized loss of \$0.7 million as of December 31, 2000, respectively, net of taxes, and are reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income on the Consolidated Statements of Capitalization.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts to sufficiently provide for estimated and potential losses on accounts receivable. The Company determines the allowance for utility and other customer accounts receivable based on historical write-offs as compared to accounts receivable and operating revenues. Additionally, the Company establishes specific allowances for certain individual accounts. The following table documents the activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts during the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2001	2000	1999
Allowance as of the beginning of the year	\$14,404	\$ 4,267	\$ 7,547
Additions expensed during the year	39,947	11,835	2,991
Net deductions	(4,140)	(1,698)	(6,271)
Allowance as of the end of the year	\$50,211	\$14,404	\$ 4,267

Inventory

Inventory consists primarily of materials and supplies, fuel stock and natural gas stored. Inventory is recorded at the lower of cost or market, primarily using the average cost method.

Utility Plant in Service

The cost of additions to utility plant in service, including an allowance for funds used during construction and replacements of units of property and improvements, is capitalized. Costs of depreciable units of property retired plus costs of removal less salvage are charged to accumulated depreciation.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction

The Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) represents the cost of both the debt and equity funds used to finance utility plant additions during the construction period. In accordance with the uniform system of accounts prescribed by regulatory authorities, AFUDC is capitalized as a part of the cost of utility plant and is credited currently as a non-cash item to the Consolidated Statements of Income in the line item capitalized interest. The Company generally is permitted, under established regulatory rate practices, to recover the capitalized AFUDC, and a fair return thereon, through its inclusion in rate base and the provision for depreciation after the related utility plant is placed in service. Cash inflow related to AFUDC does not occur until the related utility plant is placed in service.

The effective AFUDC rate was 9.03 percent in 2001 and 10.67 percent in 2000 and 1999. The Company's AFUDC rates do not exceed the maximum allowable rates as determined in accordance with the requirements of regulatory authorities.

Depreciation

For utility operations, depreciation expense is estimated by a method of depreciation accounting utilizing unit rates

62

AVISTA CORPORATION

for hydroelectric plants and composite rates for other utility plant. Such rates are designed to provide for retirements of properties at the expiration of their service lives. The rates for hydroelectric plants include annuity and interest components, in which the interest component is 9 percent. For utility operations, the ratio of depreciation provisions to average depreciable property was 2.84 percent in 2001, 2.72 percent in 2000 and 2.69 percent in 1999.

The average service lives and remaining average service lives, respectively, for the following broad categories of utility property are: electric thermal production — 35 and 15 years; hydroelectric production — 100 and 77 years; electric transmission — 60 and 26 years; electric distribution — 40 and 29 years; and natural gas distribution property — 44 and 28 years.

Goodwill

Goodwill arising from acquisitions represents the excess of the purchase price over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired. The Company periodically evaluates goodwill for impairment. Goodwill was amortized using the straight-line method over periods not exceeding twenty years. Goodwill is included in non-utility properties and investments-net in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and totaled \$13.7 million and \$22.7 million as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The level of goodwill as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 was supported by the value attributed to the operations acquired. See Note 2 for changes in accounting for goodwill effective January 1, 2002.

Regulatory Deferred Charges and Credits

The Company prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation." The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 71 due to the fact that (i) the Company's rates for regulated services are established by or subject to approval by an independent third-party regulator, (ii) the regulated rates are designed to recover the Company's cost of providing the regulated services and (iii) in view of demand for the regulated services and the level of competition, it is reasonable to assume that rates set at levels that will recover the Company's costs can be charged to and collected from customers. SFAS No. 71 requires the Company to reflect the impact of regulatory decisions in its financial statements. SFAS No. 71 requires that certain costs and/or obligations (such as incurred power and natural gas costs not currently recovered through rates, but expected to be recovered in the future) be reflected as a deferred charge on the balance sheet. These costs and/or obligations are not reflected in the statement of income until the period that matching revenues are recognized. If at some point in the future the Company determines that it no longer meets the criteria for continued application of SFAS No. 71 to all or a portion of the Company's regulated operations, the Company could be required to write off its regulatory assets. The Company could also be precluded from the future deferral of costs not recovered through rates at the time such costs were incurred, even if such costs were expected to be recovered in the future.

The Company's primary regulatory assets include power and natural gas deferrals, investment in exchange power, regulatory assets for deferred income taxes, unamortized debt expense, regulatory asset offsetting energy commodity derivative liabilities, demand side management programs, conservation programs and the provision for postretirement benefits. Those items without a specific line on the Consolidated Balance Sheets are included in other regulatory assets. Other regulatory assets consisted of the following as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2001	2000
Regulatory asset offsetting energy commodity derivative liabilities	\$158,747	\$ —
Regulatory asset for postretirement benefit obligation	5,200	5,673
Demand side management and conservation programs	28,813	18,262
Total	\$192,760	\$23,935

Deferred credits include regulatory liabilities created when the Centralia Power Plant was sold and the gain on the general office building sale/leaseback which is being amortized over the life of the lease, and are included on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Non-Current Liabilities and Deferred Credits - Other deferred credits.

Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism

Avista Utilities received regulatory approval of its Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism in 1999 from the Idaho Public Utilities Commission (IPUC), Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (WUTC) and Oregon Public Utilities Commission (OPUC). The mechanism eliminated natural gas procurement operations within Avista Utilities and consolidated gas procurement operations under Avista Energy, the Company's non-regulated affiliate. The ownership of the natural gas assets remains with Avista Utilities; however, the assets are managed by Avista Energy through an Agency Agreement.

63

AVISTA CORPORATION

Effective January 1, 2001, the WUTC and IPUC approved Avista Utilities' modifications of the Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism, incorporating the use of financial products (fixed-price transactions or hedging). Due to the unprecedented increase in, and volatility of, natural gas commodity costs, it was determined that such additional flexibility was needed in the Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism to properly manage costs. The Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism provides certain guaranteed benefits to retail customers and provides the Company with the opportunity to improve earnings, i.e., a performance-based mechanism. In accordance with SFAS No. 71, profits recognized by Avista Energy on natural gas sales to Avista Utilities, including unrealized gains on natural gas contracts, are not eliminated in the consolidated financial statements. This is due to the fact that costs incurred by Avista Utilities for natural gas purchases to serve retail customers and for fuel for electric generation are recovered through future retail rates.

Avista Utilities provided notice of its intent to continue the Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism and related Agency Agreement with Avista Energy to the applicable state regulatory agencies in 2001. In early 2002, the WUTC approved the continuation of the Natural Gas Benchmark Mechanism and related Agency Agreement through March 31, 2003 and the IPUC approved the continuation through March 31, 2005.

Power Cost Deferrals

Avista Utilities has deferred certain power costs as approved by the WUTC. The specific power costs deferred include the changes in power costs to Avista Utilities from the costs included in base retail rates, resulting from changes in short-term wholesale market prices, changes in the level of hydroelectric generation and changes in the level of thermal generation (including changes in fuel prices). The power costs deferred relate solely to the operation of Avista Utilities' system resources to serve its system retail and wholesale load obligations. During 2001, Avista Utilities deferred \$145.4 million in power costs (net of the \$21.8 million written off pursuant to the WUTC order); total deferred power costs were \$140.2 million for Washington customers as of December 31, 2001. During 2000, Avista Utilities deferred a total of \$33.9 million in power costs related to Washington customers.

In September 2001, the WUTC ordered a 25 percent temporary electric rate surcharge for the 15-month period from October 1, 2001 to December 31, 2002 to allow Avista Utilities to recover a portion of Washington deferred power costs. The order by the WUTC also provided for the termination of the accounting mechanism for the deferral of power costs effective January 1, 2002. In November 2001, Avista Utilities filed a request with the WUTC for an expedited procedural schedule to address the prudence and recoverability of deferred power costs incurred prior to September 30, 2001.

In the December 2001 general electric rate case filing, Avista Utilities requested, among other things, the issuance of an order implementing a temporary deferred accounting mechanism to be in effect during the period from January 1, 2002 through the conclusion of the general rate case. The request for a temporary deferred accounting mechanism was approved by the WUTC in December 2001. As requested by Avista Utilities, the deferred power cost accounting mechanism was modified to reflect the deferral of 90 percent of the difference between actual power supply costs and the amount of power supply costs allowed to be recovered in current retail rates. Avista Utilities also requested the establishment of a permanent power cost adjustment (PCA) mechanism to increase or decrease future electric rates based on actual power supply costs, similar to the existing Idaho PCA mechanism.

On March 4, 2002 the WUTC issued an order approving the prudence and recoverability of 90 percent of deferred power supply costs incurred during the period from July 1, 2000 through December 31, 2001. This resulted in the Company writing off \$21.8 million of power supply costs previously deferred. Additionally, the order provided that one-fifth of the existing 25 percent surcharge will be applied to offset the Company's general operating costs and the remainder will continue to be a recovery of deferred power costs. The WUTC order also approved a 6.2 percent increase in base retail rates.

Avista Utilities has a PCA mechanism in Idaho that allows it to modify electric rates to recover or rebate a portion of the difference between actual and allowed net power supply costs. The current PCA mechanism allows for the deferral of 90 percent of the difference between actual net power supply expenses and the authorized level of net power supply expense approved in the last Idaho general rate case. In October 2001, the IPUC issued an order approving a 14.7 percent PCA surcharge for Idaho electric customers and granted an extension of a 4.7 percent PCA surcharge implemented earlier in 2001 that was to expire January 31, 2002. Both PCA surcharges will remain in effect until October 2002. The IPUC directed Avista Utilities to file a status report 60 days before the PCA surcharge expires. If review of the status report and the actual balance of deferred power costs support continuation

64

AVISTA CORPORATION

of the PCA surcharge, the IPUC has indicated that it anticipates the PCA surcharge will be extended for an additional period. Total deferred power costs for Idaho customers were \$73.1 million as of December 31, 2001.

Natural Gas Cost Deferrals

Under established regulatory practices in each respective state, Avista Utilities is allowed to adjust its natural gas rates periodically with appropriate regulatory approval to reflect increases or decreases in the cost of natural gas purchased. Differences between actual natural gas costs and the natural gas costs allowed in rates are deferred and charged or credited to expense when regulators approve inclusion of the cost changes in rates. In Oregon, regulatory provisions include a sharing of benefits and risks associated with changes in natural gas prices, as well as a sharing of benefits if certain threshold earnings levels are exceeded. Total deferred natural gas costs were \$52.7 million as of December 31, 2001. Based on current natural gas rates in place and current natural gas prices, Avista Utilities expects that the deferred natural gas cost balance will be fully recovered by December 2002.

Deferred Revenue

In December 1998, the Company received cash proceeds of \$143.4 million from the monetization of a contract in which the Company assigned and transferred certain rights under a long-term power sales contract to a funding trust. The proceeds were recorded as deferred revenue and were being amortized into revenues over the 16-year period of the long-term sales contract. Pursuant to the WUTC order in September 2001, the Company was directed to offset \$53.8 million of the Washington share of the deferred revenue against deferred power costs. The IPUC order in October 2001 directed

the Company to amortize the Idaho share of the deferred revenue against deferred power costs over the next 15 months. The unamortized balance as of December 31, 2001 was \$27.7 million.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts were reclassified to conform to current statement format. These reclassifications were made for comparative purposes and have not affected previously reported total net income or common equity.

NOTE 2. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." In June 2000, the FASB issued SFAS No. 138, which amended certain provisions of SFAS No. 133 to clarify specific areas presenting difficulties in implementation. SFAS No. 133, as amended by SFAS No. 138, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments imbedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. It requires the recording of all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the balance sheet measured at estimated fair value and the recognition of the unrealized gains and losses. In certain defined conditions, a derivative may be specifically designated as a hedge for a particular exposure. The accounting for derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivatives and the resulting designation. The Company adopted SFAS No. 133 and the corresponding amendments under SFAS No. 138, on January 1, 2001.

Avista Utilities buys and sells energy under forward contracts that are considered derivatives. Under forward contracts, Avista Utilities commits to purchase or sell a specified amount of capacity and energy. These contracts are generally entered into to manage Avista Utilities' loads and resources. In conjunction with the issuance of SFAS No. 133, the WUTC and the IPUC issued accounting orders requiring Avista Utilities to offset any derivative assets or liabilities with a regulatory asset or liability. As a result, unrealized gains or losses for Avista Utilities are not recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

Avista Energy accounts for derivative commodity instruments entered into for trading purposes using the mark-to-market method of accounting, in compliance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 98-10, "Accounting for Energy Trading and Risk Management Activities", with unrealized gains and losses recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

On January 1, 2001, Avista Utilities recorded a derivative commodity asset of \$252.3 million and a derivative commodity liability of \$36.1 million. The difference of \$216.2 million was recorded as a net regulatory liability in accordance with the accounting orders from the WUTC and IPUC discussed above. The amounts recorded as of January 1, 2001 were based on Avista Utilities' original interpretations of SFAS No.'s 133, 138 and the guidance of the FASB's Derivative Implementation Group (DIG). Avista Utilities believed the majority of its long-term purchases and sales contracts for both capacity and energy qualified as normal purchases and sales under SFAS No. 133 and were not required to be recorded as derivative commodity assets and liabilities. Some contracts for less than one year in duration (short-term) are subject to booking out, whereby power may not be physically delivered. Avista

65

AVISTA CORPORATION

Utilities believed these short-term contracts could not be classified as normal purchases and sales and were recorded as a derivative commodity asset or liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Based on subsequent interpretations of DIG guidance and rulings, Avista Utilities made changes to its accounting for certain contracts effective July 1, 2001. The DIG released its interpretation of issue C-15, "Scope Exceptions: Normal Purchases and Normal Sales Exception for Option-Type Contracts and Forward Contracts in Electricity," on June 27, 2001. This DIG issue allows for power purchase or sale agreements (including forward and option contracts) to qualify for the normal purchase and sale exception provided certain criteria are met. Based on its interpretation of the guidance from the DIG, Avista Utilities no longer records derivative commodity assets and liabilities for short-term contracts subject to booking out as it has concluded that these contracts could qualify for the normal purchases and sales exception. As of December 31, 2001, the derivative commodity asset balance was \$1.9 million, the derivative commodity liability balance was \$159.4 million and the offsetting net regulatory asset was \$157.5 million.

The derivative commodity asset balance is included in Deferred Charges — Utility energy commodity derivative assets, the derivative commodity liability balance is included in Non-Current Liabilities and Deferred Credits — Utility energy commodity derivative liabilities, and the offsetting net regulatory asset is included in Deferred Charges — Other regulatory assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Certain issues and interpretations that may be issued by the DIG could change the conclusions that the Company has reached regarding accounting for energy contracts and, as a result, the accounting treatment and financial statement impact could change in future periods.

In September 2000, the FASB issued SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities," a replacement of SFAS No. 125. This statement revises the standards for accounting for securitizations and transfers of financial assets and collateral and requires certain disclosures; however, it carries over most of SFAS No. 125's provisions without reconsideration. The standards addressed in this statement are based on consistent application of a financial components approach that focuses on control. Under this approach, after a transfer of financial assets, an entity recognizes the financial and servicing assets it controls and the liabilities it has incurred, derecognizes financial assets when control has been surrendered, and derecognizes liabilities when extinguished. This statement became effective for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities after March 31, 2001 and was effective for recognition and reclassification of collateral and for disclosures relating to securitizations and collateral for 2000. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations" which applies to business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. This statement requires that business combinations be accounted for using the purchase method; the use of the pooling-of-interests method is no longer permitted. The purchase method of accounting requires the measurement of goodwill as the excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the estimated fair value of net amounts assigned to assets acquired and liabilities assumed. This statement also addresses the financial statement disclosure requirements for business combinations. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" which applies to acquired intangible assets whether acquired singly, as part of a group, or in a business combination. This statement requires that goodwill not be amortized; however, goodwill for each reporting unit must be evaluated for impairment on at least an annual basis using a two-step approach. The first step used to identify potential impairment compares the estimated fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the second step of the impairment evaluation which compares the implied fair value of goodwill to its carrying amount is performed to determine the

amount of the impairment loss, if any. This statement also provides standards for financial statement disclosures of goodwill and other intangible assets and related impairment losses. The Company adopted this statement on January 1, 2002. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations" which addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs. This statement requires the recording of the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in the period in which it is incurred. When the liability is initially recorded, the associated costs of the asset retirement obligation will be capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. The liability for the asset retirement obligation will be accreted to its present value each period and the related capitalized costs will be depreciated over the useful life of the related long-lived asset. Upon retirement of

66

AVISTA CORPORATION

the asset, the Company will either settle the retirement obligation for its recorded amount or incur a gain or loss upon the retirement of the long-lived asset. The Company will be required to adopt this statement on January 1, 2003. The Company is in the process of determining the impact this statement will have on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

On August 1, 2001, the Company adopted SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" which supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of." This statement also supersedes the accounting and reporting provisions for the disposal of a business segment as provided for in APB No. 30 "Reporting the Results of Operations-Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions." The statement establishes accounting standards for all long-lived assets to be disposed of including discontinued operations. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are measured at the lower of their carrying amount or estimated fair value less selling costs, whether reported in continuing operations or discontinued operations. As such, discontinued operations will no longer be measured at net realizable value or include amounts for future operating losses. This statement allows for the reporting as discontinued operations components of an entity with distinguishable operations from the rest of the entity and not limited to reportable business segments. The Company elected to early adopt this statement. See Note 3 for further information.

NOTE 3. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In September 2001, the Company reached a decision that it would dispose of substantially all of the assets of Avista Communications. In October 2001, minority shareholders of Avista Communications acquired ownership of its Montana and Wyoming operations as well as its dial-up internet access operations in Spokane, Washington and Coeur d'Alene, Idaho. In December 2001, Avista Communications completed the sale of the assets and customer accounts of its Yakima and Bellingham, Washington operations to Advanced Telcom Group, Inc. In December 2001, Avista Communications entered an agreement to transfer voice and integrated services customer accounts in Spokane, Washington and Coeur d'Alene, Idaho to certain subsidiaries of XO Communications, Inc. The Company is continuing to pursue disposal of the remaining portions of the business. The divestiture is expected to be completed during the first half of 2002.

In connection with the planned disposal of Avista Communications, the Company assessed the carrying value of assets and goodwill of Avista Communications. As such, the assets and goodwill of Avista Communications were written down to the estimated fair value upon the planned disposal of the assets. The total charges of \$58.4 million are comprised of the following: \$48.2 million for asset impairment, \$7.1 million for goodwill impairment and \$3.1 million for exit costs and other costs to sell Avista Communications. Certain exit costs and other costs to sell Avista Communications are expected to be paid out during the first half of 2002 and primarily include the buyout of contracts and professional fees. Revenues for Avista Communications were \$11.5 million, \$5.9 million and \$2.6 in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Total assets of \$21.3 million as of December 31, 2001 were comprised of \$16.6 million of deferred tax assets, \$3.2 million of fixed assets and \$1.5 million of current assets including accounts receivable, cash, inventory and prepaid expenses.

NOTE 4. IMPAIRMENT OF NON-OPERATING ASSETS

In 2001, the Company recorded an impairment charge related to three turbines owned by Avista Power. The Company originally planned to use the turbines in a non-regulated generation project. Due to changing market conditions the Company decided to no longer pursue the development of additional non-regulated generation projects. The Company is currently completing the sale of the turbines and wrote down the carrying value of the turbines to estimated fair value less selling costs. This resulted in a charge of \$8.2 million included in other income-net in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

NOTE 5. RESTRUCTURING AND EXIT COSTS

In November 1999, Avista Energy redirected its focus away from national energy trading toward a more regional-based energy marketing and trading effort in the western United States. The downsizing plan called for the shutting down of operations in Houston and Boston during the first half of 2000 and eliminating approximately 80 positions. In the fourth quarter of 1999, Avista Energy recorded a charge of \$9.3 million for expenses related to employee terminations and recorded \$33.6 million of goodwill impairment. Avista Energy sold its eastern United States power book during the first quarter of 2000 for a \$1.5 million loss, but did not find a buyer for its natural gas or coal

67

AVISTA CORPORATION

contracts in the eastern United States. The remaining eastern United States natural gas contracts, primarily for transportation and storage, are being managed out of the Spokane office until the last of the contracts expire in 2002. In addition to the restructuring charges previously reserved and paid, other transition costs of \$6.4 million for 2000 were incurred for closing the Houston and Boston offices and phasing out operations in the eastern United States.

In the first quarter of 2000, it was announced that Pentzer would be redirecting its focus. Pentzer recorded a charge of \$1.9 million for expenses related to employee terminations, which were paid during 2000.

NOTE 6. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE SALE

In 1997, WWP Receivables Corp. (WWPRC) was formed as a wholly owned, bankruptcy-remote subsidiary of the Company for the purpose of acquiring

or purchasing interests in certain accounts receivable, both billed and unbilled, of the Company. Currently, WWPRC, the Company and a third-party financial institution have an agreement that expires in May 2002 whereby WWPRC can sell without recourse, on a revolving basis, up to \$90.0 million of those receivables. WWPRC is obligated to pay fees that approximate the purchaser's cost of issuing commercial paper equal in value to the interests in receivables sold. On a consolidated basis, the amount of such fees is included in operating expenses of the Company. As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, \$75.0 million and \$80.0 million, respectively, in accounts receivables were sold.

NOTE 7. ENERGY COMMODITY TRADING

The Company's energy-related businesses are exposed to risks relating to, but not limited to, changes in certain commodity prices and counterparty performance. In order to manage the various risks relating to these exposures, Avista Utilities utilizes electric, natural gas and related derivative commodity instruments, such as forwards, futures, swaps and options, and Avista Energy engages in the trading of such instruments. Avista Utilities and Avista Energy have policies and procedures to manage both quantitative and qualitative risks inherent in these activities. The Company has a comprehensive Risk Management Committee, separate from the units that create such risk exposure and overseen by the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, to monitor compliance with the Company's risk management policies and procedures.

Avista Utilities

Avista Utilities sells and purchases electric capacity and energy at wholesale to and from utilities and other entities under long-term contracts having terms of more than one year. In addition, Avista Utilities engages in an ongoing process of resource optimization which involves short-term purchases and sales in the wholesale market in pursuit of an economic selection of resources to serve retail and wholesale loads. Avista Utilities makes continuing projections of (1) future retail and wholesale loads based on, among other things, forward estimates of factors such as customer usage and weather as well as historical data and contract terms and (2) resource availability based on, among other things, estimates of streamflows, generating unit availability, historic and forward market information and experience. On the basis of these continuing projections, Avista Utilities purchases and sells energy on a quarterly, monthly, daily and hourly basis to match actual resources to actual energy requirements and sells any surplus at the best available price. This process includes hedging transactions.

Avista Utilities protects itself against price fluctuations on electric energy by establishing volume limits for the imbalance between projected loads and resources and through the use of derivative commodity instruments for hedging purposes. Any imbalance is required to remain within limits, or management action or decisions are triggered to address larger imbalance situations and limit the exposure to market risk. Avista Energy is responsible for the daily management of gas resources to meet the requirements of Avista Utilities' customers. In addition, Avista Utilities utilizes derivative commodity instruments for hedging price risk associated with natural gas. The Risk Management Committee has limited the types of commodity instruments Avista Utilities may trade to those related to electricity and natural gas commodities and those instruments are to be used for hedging price fluctuations associated with the management of resources. Commodity instruments are not generally held by Avista Utilities for speculative trading purposes. The market values of natural gas derivative commodity instruments held by Avista Utilities as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, were a \$133.2 million net liability and a \$1.0 million net asset, respectively. The significant liability position as of December 31, 2001 is a result of forward commitments to purchase natural gas entered during 2000 and the first part of 2001 at prices in excess of the market price for natural gas as of December 31, 2001.

68

AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Energy

Avista Energy purchases natural gas and electricity from producers and other trading companies, and its customers include commercial and industrial end-users, electric utilities, natural gas distribution companies, and other trading companies. Avista Energy's marketing and energy risk management services are provided through the use of a variety of derivative commodity contracts to purchase or supply natural gas and electric energy at specified delivery points and at specified future dates. Avista Energy trades natural gas and electricity derivative commodity instruments on national exchanges and through other unregulated exchanges and brokers from whom these commodity derivatives are available, and therefore experiences net open positions in terms of price, volume, and specified delivery point. The open positions expose Avista Energy to the risk that fluctuating market prices may adversely impact its financial condition or results of operations. However, the net open position is actively managed with strict policies designed to limit the exposure to market risk and requires daily reporting to management of potential financial exposure. These policies include statistical risk tolerance limits using historical price movements to calculate daily earnings at risk as well as total Value-at-Risk (VAR) measurement.

Derivative commodity instruments sold and purchased by Avista Energy include: forward contracts, involving physical delivery of an energy commodity; futures contracts, which involve the buying or selling of natural gas, electricity or other energy-related commodities at a fixed price; over-the-counter swap agreements, which require Avista Energy to receive or make payments based on the difference between a specified price and the actual price of the underlying commodity; and options, which mitigate price risk by providing for the right, but not the requirement, to buy or sell energy-related commodities at a fixed price.

Foreign currency risks are primarily related to Canadian exchange rates and are managed using a variety of financial instruments, including forward rate agreements.

Avista Energy's trading activities are subject to mark-to-market accounting, under which changes in the market value of outstanding electric, natural gas and related derivative commodity instruments are recognized as unrealized gains or losses in the period of change. Market prices are utilized in determining the value of the electric, natural gas and related derivative commodity instruments. For longer-term positions and certain short-term positions for which market prices are not available, a model based on forward price curves is utilized. Gains and losses on electric, natural gas and related derivative commodity instruments utilized for trading are recognized in income on a current basis (the mark-to-market method) and are included on the Consolidated Statements of Income in operating revenues or resource costs, as appropriate, and on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as current or non-current energy commodity assets or liabilities. Contracts in a receivable position, as well as the options held, are reported as assets. Similarly, contracts in a payable position, as well as options written, are reported as liabilities. Net cash flows are recognized in the period of settlement.

Contract Amounts and Terms Under Avista Energy's derivative instruments, Avista Energy either (i) as "fixed price payor," is obligated to pay a fixed price or a fixed amount and is entitled to receive the commodity or a fixed amount or (ii) as "fixed price receiver," is entitled to receive a fixed price or a fixed amount and is obligated to deliver the commodity or pay a fixed amount or (iii) as "index price payor," is obligated to pay an indexed price or an indexed amount and is entitled to receive the commodity or a variable amount or (iv) as "index price receiver," is entitled to receive an indexed price or amount and is obligated to deliver the commodity or pay a variable amount. The contract or notional amounts and terms of Avista Energy's derivative commodity investments outstanding as of December 31, 2001 are set forth below (in thousands of mmBTUs and MWhs):

Fixed Fixed Maximum Index Index Maximum
Price Price Terms in Price Price Terms in

	Payor	Receiver	Years	Payor	Receiver	Years
Energy commodities (volumes)						
Natural gas	102,744	107,660	8	700,576	678,949	4
Electric	92,599	89,816	15	388	11	3

Contract or notional amounts reflect the volume of transactions, but do not necessarily represent the dollar amounts exchanged by the parties to the derivative commodity instruments. Accordingly, contract or notional amounts do not accurately measure Avista Energy's exposure to market or credit risks. The maximum terms in years detailed above are not indicative of likely future cash flows as these positions may be offset in the markets at any time.

69

AVISTA CORPORATION

Estimated Fair Value The estimated fair value of Avista Energy's derivative commodity instruments outstanding as of December 31, 2001, and the average estimated fair value of those instruments held during the year ended December 31, 2001, are set forth below (dollars in thousands):

	Estimated Fair Value as of December 31, 2001			Average Estimated Fair Value for the year ended December 31, 2001				
	Current Assets	Long-term Assets	Current Liabilities	Long-term Liabilities	Current Assets	Long-term Assets	Current Liabilities	Long-term Liabilities
Natural gas Electric	\$184,389 292.648	\$ 74,300 309.197	\$171,801 202,036	\$ 48,496 251,484	\$ 325,580 3.245,925	\$103,625 810.925	\$ 310,061 3,143,976	\$ 87,510 740,165
Emission allowances	_	_	_	_	344	_	39	-
Total	\$477,037	\$383,497	\$373,837	\$299.980	\$3,571,849	 \$914,550	\$3,454,076	<u> </u>
	,		3,554			,	, ,	,

The weighted average term of Avista Energy's natural gas derivative commodity instruments as of December 31, 2001 was approximately 5 months. The weighted average term of Avista Energy's electric derivative commodity instruments as of December 31, 2001 was approximately 6 months. The change in the estimated fair value position of Avista Energy's energy commodity portfolio, net of the reserves for credit and market risk for 2001 was an unrealized loss of \$30.2 million and is included in the Consolidated Statements of Income in operating revenues. The change in the fair value position for 2000 was an unrealized gain of \$176.8 million. In 1999, an unrealized gain of \$0.2 million was recorded.

Market Risk

Avista Utilities and Avista Energy manage, on a portfolio basis, the market risks inherent in their activities subject to parameters established by the Company's Risk Management Committee. Market risks are monitored by the Risk Management Committee to ensure compliance with the Company's risk management policies. Avista Utilities measures exposure to market risk through daily evaluation of the imbalance between projected loads and resources. Avista Energy measures the risk in its portfolio on a daily basis utilizing a VAR model and monitors its risk in comparison to established thresholds.

Credit Risk

Credit risk relates to the risk of loss that Avista Utilities and/or Avista Energy would incur as a result of non-performance by counterparties of their contractual obligations to deliver energy and make financial settlements. Credit risk includes the risk that a counterparty may default due to circumstances relating directly to it and the risk that a counterparty may default due to circumstances that relate to other market participants that have a direct or indirect relationship with such counterparty. Avista Utilities and Avista Energy seek to mitigate credit risk by applying specific eligibility criteria to existing and prospective counterparties and by actively monitoring current credit exposures. However, despite mitigation efforts, defaults by counterparties periodically occur. Avista Energy experienced payment receipt defaults from certain parties impacted by the California energy crisis. Avista Energy and Avista Corp. (through the Avista Utilities division) have engaged in physical and financial transactions with Enron and certain of its affiliates and experienced disruptions to forward contract commitments as a result of Enron's December 2001 bankruptcy. See Note 24 for more information.

Credit risk also involves the exposure that counterparties perceive related to performance by Avista Utilities and Avista Energy to perform deliveries and settlement of energy resource transactions. These counterparties seek assurance of performance in the form of letters of credit, prepayment or cash deposits, and, in the case of Avista Energy, parent company performance guarantees. In periods of price volatility, the level of exposure can change significantly, with the result that sudden and significant demands may be made against the Company's capital resource reserves (credit facilities and cash). Avista Utilities and Avista Energy actively monitor the exposure to possible collateral calls and take steps to minimize capital requirements.

Other Operating Risks

In addition to commodity price risk, Avista Utilities' commodity positions are subject to operational and event risks including, among others, increases in load demand, transmission or transport disruptions, fuel quality specifications

70

AVISTA CORPORATION

and forced outages at generating plants. Avista Utilities also has exposure to weather conditions and natural disasters that can cause physical damage to property, requiring immediate repairs to restore utility service.

NOTE 8. JOINTLY OWNED ELECTRIC FACILITIES

The Company has a 15 percent interest in a twin-unit coal-fired generating facility, the Colstrip Generating Project (Colstrip) located in southeastern Montana, and provides financing for its ownership interest in the project. The Company's share of related operating and maintenance expenses for plant in service is included in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The Company's share of utility plant in service was \$314.3 million and accumulated depreciation was \$149.3 million as of December 31, 2001.

NOTE 9. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

The balances of the major classifications of property, plant and equipment are detailed in the following table as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2001	2000
Avista Utilities:		
Electric production	\$ 691,299	\$ 672,070
Electric transmission	288,739	280,271
Electric distribution	678,448	652,966
Construction work-in-progress (CWIP) and other	119,389	95,219
Electric total	1,777,875	1,700,526
		
Natural gas underground storage	18,130	18,687
Natural gas distribution	414,422	396,100
CWIP and other	46,404	48,558
Natural gas total	478,956	463,345
Common plant (including CWIP)	75,912	74,894
, , ,		
Total Avista Utilities	2,332,743	2,238,765
Energy Trading and Marketing	128,577	72,122
Information and Technology	16,030	13,110
Other	21,117	31,663
Total	\$2,498,467	\$2,355,660

Property, plant, and equipment under capital leases at Avista Capital's subsidiaries totaled \$5.4 million and \$12.7 million as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. The associated accumulated depreciation totaled \$2.6 million and \$6.8 million as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

NOTE 10. PENSION PLANS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

The Company has a pension plan covering substantially all of its regular full-time employees. Certain of the Company's subsidiaries also participate in this plan. Individual benefits under this plan are based upon years of service and the employee's average compensation as specified in the plan. The Company's funding policy is to contribute amounts that are not less than the minimum amounts required to be funded under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, nor more than the maximum amounts which are currently deductible for income tax purposes. Pension fund assets are invested primarily in marketable debt and equity securities.

The Company also has a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) that provides additional pension benefits to executive officers of the Company. The SERP is intended to provide benefits to executive officers whose benefits under the pension plan are reduced due to the application of Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the deferral of salary under deferred compensation plans.

In 2001, the Company recorded an unfunded accumulated benefit obligation of \$1.1 million related to the SERP. This resulted in a charge to other comprehensive income of \$0.7 million, net of taxes.

The Company provides certain health care and life insurance benefits for substantially all of its retired employees. The Company accrues the estimated cost of postretirement benefit payments during the years that employees provide

71

AVISTA CORPORATION

services. The Company elected to amortize this obligation of \$34.5 million over a period of twenty years, beginning in 1993.

The following table sets forth the pension and health care plan disclosures as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 and for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 (dollars in thousands):

	Pensio	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
	2001	2001 2000		2000	
Change in benefit obligation:					
Benefit obligation as of beginning of year	\$184,636	\$171,424	\$ 32,761	\$ 30,637	
Service cost	5,716	5,372	460	601	
Interest cost	14,293	13,412	2,567	2,407	
Actuarial loss	18,582	7,799	3,267	1,580	
Benefits paid	(11,780)	(12,401)	(2,635)	(2,427)	
Expenses paid	(937)	(970)	(65)	(37)	

Benefit obligation as of end of year		\$210,510	\$184,636	\$ 36,3	355	\$ 32,761
Change in plan assets:						
Fair value of plan assets as of beginning of year		\$175,033	\$185,564	\$ 15,1	96	\$ 15,808
Actual return on plan assets		(9,313)	(1,005)		902)	(784)
Employer contributions		(3,515)	3,304	,	511	1,182
Benefits paid		(11,078)	(11,860)		771)	(973)
Expenses paid		(937)	(11,860)	,	(65)	(37)
Expenses paid		(937)	(970)		(03)	(37)
Fair value of plan assets as of end of year		\$153,705	\$175,033	\$ 13,9	969	\$ 15,196
Funded status		\$ (56,805)	\$ (9,603)	\$(22,3	886)	\$(17,565)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain)		31,144	(12,152)		129)	(5,961)
Unrecognized prior service cost		9,726	11,274	(-		(3,301)
Unrecognized prior service cost Unrecognized net transition obligation/(asset)		(3,757)	(4,843)	16,8	 265	18,399
Offiecognized fiet transition obligation/(asset)		(3,757)	(4,043)	10,0		10,399
Accrued benefit cost		\$ (19,692)	\$ (15,324)	\$ (5,9	950)	\$ (5,127)
Assumptions as of December 31						
Discount rate		7.25%	7.75%	7	.25%	7.75%
Expected return on plan assets		9.00%	9.00%		.00%	9.00%
Rate of compensation increase		5.00%	5.00%	ŭ	.0070	0.0070
Medical cost trend pre-age 65 - initial		0.0070	0.0070	9	.00%	5.00%
Medical cost trend pre-age 65 - ultimate					.00%	5.00%
Ultimate medical cost trend year pre-age 65					003	2000
Medical cost trend post-age 65 - initial					.00%	6.00%
Medical cost trend post-age 65 - ultimate					.00%	6.00%
Ultimate medical cost trend year post-age 65					004	2000
ommano modiodi coci nona your poci ago co					,	_000
	2001	2000	1999	2001	2000	1999
omponents of net periodic benefit cost:						
ervice cost	\$ 5,716	\$ 5,372	\$ 6,201	\$ 460	\$ 601	\$ 696
terest cost	14,293	13,412	12,526	2,567	2,407	2,178
xpected return on plan assets	(15,254)	(16,243)	(15,681)	(1,311)	(1,372)	(1,075)
ransition (asset)/obligation recognition	(1,086)	(1,086)	(1,086)	1,534	1,534	1,534
mortization of prior service cost	989	1,548	1,918	_	_	_
et gain recognition	139	(858)	46	(52)	(300)	(159)
et periodic benefit cost	\$ 4,797	\$ 2,145	\$ 3,924	\$ 3,198	\$ 2,870	\$ 3,174
,	, , -	, , -	,-	,	, ,=	,

Assumed health cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A one-percentage-point increase in the assumed health care cost trend rate for each year would increase the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2001 by \$2.6 million and the service and interest cost by \$0.2 million. A one-percentage-point decrease in the assumed health care cost trend rate for each year would decrease the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2001 by \$2.4 million and the service and interest cost by \$0.2 million.

72

AVISTA CORPORATION

The Company has a salary deferral 401(k) plan that is a defined contribution plan and covers substantially all employees. Employees can make contributions to their respective accounts in the 401(k) plan on a pre-tax basis up to the maximum amount permitted by law. The Company matches a portion of the salary deferred by each participant according to the schedule in the 401(k) plan. Employer matching contributions of \$3.5 million, \$3.3 million, \$3.4 million were expensed in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

NOTE 11. ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAXES

As of December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company had net regulatory assets of \$149.0 million and \$156.7 million, respectively, related to the probable recovery of certain deferred tax liabilities from customers through future rates.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes and tax credit carryforwards. The net deferred income tax liability consisted of the following as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2001	2000
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 17,431	\$ 4,943
Reserves not currently deductible	11,071	37,080
Contributions in aid of construction	9,176	8,543
Deferred compensation	4,481	3,848
Centralia sale regulatory liability	3,415	9,650
Other	9,943	11,792
Total deferred tax assets	55,517	75,856

Deferred tax liabilities:		
Differences between book and tax basis of utility plant	367,406	366,126
Power and natural gas deferrals	88,323	27,889
Unrealized energy commodity gains	66,401	86,650
Power exchange contract monetization	34,444	25,484
Demand side management programs	5,679	5,761
Loss on reacquired debt	4,696	4,872
Other	5,996	5,384
Total deferred tax liabilities	572,945	522,166
Net deferred tax liability	\$517,428	\$446,310

The realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the ability to generate taxable income in future periods. The Company evaluated available evidence supporting the realization of it deferred tax assets and determined it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will be realized.

A reconciliation of federal income taxes derived from statutory federal tax rates (35 percent in 2001, 2000 and 1999) applied to pre-tax income from continuing operations and expense as set forth in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Income is as follows for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2001	2000	1999
Federal income taxes at statutory rates	\$ 32,897	\$62,319	\$15,946
Increase (decrease) in tax resulting from:			
Accelerated tax depreciation	5,849	4,835	1,869
State income tax expense	(8,870)	3,712	(2,144)
Prior year audit adjustments	(395)	72	(1,642)
Other-net	4,905	6,060	2,868
Total income tax expense	\$ 34,386	\$76,998	\$16,897
Income Tax Expense Consisted of the Following:			
Federal taxes currently provided	\$(44,755)	\$ (4,839)	\$ 4,987
Deferred federal income taxes	79,141	81,837	11,910
Total income tax expense	\$ 34,386	\$76,998	\$16,897

73

AVISTA CORPORATION

	2001	2000	1999
Income Tax Expense by Business Segment:			
Avista Utilities	\$ 20,177	\$ (1,990)	\$ 33,284
Energy Trading and Marketing	32,489	95,266	(34,098)
Information and Technology	(11,977)	(10,138)	(3,225)
Other	(6,303)	(6,140)	20,936
Total income tax expense	\$ 34,386	\$ 76,998	\$ 16,897

NOTE 12. ENERGY PURCHASE CONTRACTS

The Company has long-term contracts related to the purchase of fuel for thermal generation, natural gas and hydroelectric power. The termination dates of the contracts range from one month to the year 2044 and the majority provide for minimum purchases at the then effective market rate. The Company also has various agreements for the purchase, sale or exchange of electric energy with other utilities, cogenerators, small power producers and government agencies. Total expenses for power purchased, natural gas purchased for resale and fuel for generation were \$1,011.0 million, \$1,311.5 million and \$706.4 million in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The following table details future contractual commitments for power and natural gas resources (dollars in thousands):

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter	Total
Power resources	\$165,322	\$179,953	\$141,612	\$ 89,387	\$ 88,088	\$ 892,218	\$1,556,580
Natural gas resources	203,967	172,589	161,924	77,534	49,592	493,461	1,159,067
Total	\$369,289	\$352,542	\$303,536	\$166,921	\$137,680	\$1,385,679	\$2,715,647
Total	\$369,289		\$303,536			\$1,385,679	

All of the energy purchase contracts were entered as part of Avista Utilities' obligation to serve its retail natural gas and electric customers' energy requirements. As a result, these costs are generally recovered either through base retail rates or adjustments to retail rates as part of the power and natural gas cost deferral and recovery mechanisms.

The Company has fixed contracts with certain Public Utility Districts (PUD) to purchase portions of the output of certain generating facilities. Although the

Company has no investment in the PUD generating facilities, the fixed contracts obligate the Company to pay certain minimum amounts (based in part on the debt service requirements of the PUD) whether or not the facility is operating. The cost of power obtained under the contracts, including payments made when a facility is not operating, is included in resource costs in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Expenses under these PUD contracts for 2001, 2000 and 1999, were \$7.4 million, \$7.5 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. Information as of December 31, 2001, pertaining to these PUD contracts is summarized in the following table (dollars in thousands):

Company's	Current	Chara of
Companys	Current	Snare or

	Output	Kilowatt Capability	Annual Costs (1)	Debt Service Costs (1)	Revenue Bonds Outstanding	Expira- tion Date
Chelan County PUD:						
Rocky Reach Project	2.9%	37,000	\$1,670	\$1,067	\$ 9,493	2011
Grant County PUD:						
Priest Rapids Project	6.1	55,000	1,750	928	9,895	2040
Wanapum Project	8.2	75,000	3,071	1,864	13,102	2040
Douglas County PUD:						
Wells Project	3.5	30,000	942	588	5,703	2018
Totals		197,000	\$7,433	\$4,447	\$38,193	

⁽¹⁾ The annual costs will change in proportion to the percentage of output allocated to the Company in a particular year. Amounts represent the operating costs for the year 2001. Debt service costs are included in annual costs.

The estimated aggregate amounts of required minimum payments (the Company's share of debt service costs) under these PUD contracts are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter	Total
Minimum payments	\$4,423	\$4,651	\$4,275	\$4,701	\$3,396	\$ 26,256	\$47,702

In addition, the Company will be required to pay its proportionate share of the variable operating expenses of these projects.

74

AVISTA CORPORATION

NOTE 13. LONG-TERM DEBT

The following details the interest rate and maturity dates of secured and unsecured medium-term notes outstanding as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	Secure	Secured Medium-Term Notes		Unsecured Medium-Term Notes		S
Maturity Year	Interest Rate	2001	2000	Interest Rate	2001	2000
2001	7.59%-7.60%	\$ —	\$ 15,000	8.01%-9.57%	\$ —	\$ 74,000
2002	6.28%-6.61%	*	40,000	8.15%	*	10,000
2003	6.25%	15,000	15,000	6.75%-8.99%	190,000	190,000
2004	_	_	_	7.42%	30,000	30,000
2005	6.39%-6.68%	29,500	29,500	_	_	_
2006	7.89%-7.90%	30,000	30,000	8.14%	8,000	8,000
2007	_	_	_	5.99%-7.94%	26,000	26,000
2008	6.89%-6.95%	20,000	20,000	6.06%	25,000	25,000
2010	6.67%-6.90%	10,000	10,000	8.02%	25,000	25,000
2012	7.37%	7,000	7,000	8.05%	12,000	12,000
2018	7.26%-7.45%	27,500	27,500	_	_	_
2022	_	_	_	8.15%-8.23%	10,000	10,000
2023	7.18%-7.54%	24,500	24,500	7.99%	5,000	5,000
2028	_			6.37%-6.88%	45,000	45,000
Total		\$163,500	\$218,500		\$376,000	\$460,000

^{*} In 2001, the Company legally defeased \$50.0 million of Medium-Term Notes scheduled to mature in 2002.

In addition to the required maturities documented in the table above, the Company has sinking fund requirements of \$1.6 million in each of 2002 and 2003, \$1.5 million in each of 2004 and 2005, and \$1.2 million in 2006. The sinking fund requirements may be met by certification of property additions at the rate of 143 percent of requirements. All of the Company's utility plant is subject to the lien of the Mortgage and Deed of Trust securing outstanding First Mortgage Bonds.

In April 2001, the Company issued \$400.0 million of 9.75 percent Senior Notes due in 2008. In December 2001, the Company issued \$150.0 million of 7.75 percent First Mortgage Bonds due in 2007. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had remaining authorization to issue up to \$317.0 million of Unsecured Medium-Term Notes.

Under various financing agreements, the Company is restricted as to the amount of additional First Mortgage Bonds that it can issue. As of December 31, 2001, the Company could issue \$146.7 million of additional First Mortgage Bonds under the most restrictive of these financing agreements.

In September 1999, \$83.7 million of Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Avista Corporation Colstrip Project), Series 1999A due 2032 and Series 1999B due 2034 were issued by the City of Forsyth, Montana. The proceeds of the bonds were utilized to refund the \$66.7 million of 7.13 percent First Mortgage Bonds due 2013 and the \$17.0 million of 7.40 percent First Mortgage Bonds due 2016. The Series 1999A and Series 1999B Bonds are backed by an insurance policy issued by AMBAC Assurance Corporation. The interest rate during 2001 ranged from 2.15 percent to 4.50 percent. As of December 31, 2001, the rate was 2.17 percent and was a floating rate that adjusted periodically. In January 2002, the interest rate on the bonds was fixed for a period of seven years at a rate of 5.00 percent for Series 1999A and 5.13 percent of Series 1999B.

Other long-term debt consisted of the following items related to subsidiary operations as of December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2001	2000
Notes payable	\$ 688	\$ 642
Capital lease obligations	2,101	2,878
Subsidiary total debt	2,789	3,520
Less: current portion	1,827	901
Subsidiary net long-term debt	\$ 962	\$2,619

75

AVISTA CORPORATION

NOTE 14. SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

As of December 31, 2001, the Company maintained a committed line of credit with various banks in the total amount of \$220 million that expires on May 29, 2002. Under this committed line of credit, the Company may have up to \$50 million in letters of credit outstanding. As of December 31, 2001 there were \$13.9 million of letters of credit outstanding. The Company pays commitment fees of up to 0.2 percent per annum on the average daily unused portion of the credit agreement, and utilization fees of up to 0.5 percent.

The committed line of credit agreement contains customary covenants and default provisions, including covenants not to permit the ratio of "consolidated total debt" to "consolidated total capitalization" of Avista Corp. to be, at the end of any fiscal quarter, greater than 60 percent. As of December 31, 2001, the ratio was in compliance with this covenant at 59.4 percent. The committed line of credit also has a covenant requiring the ratio of "consolidated cash flow" to "consolidated fixed charges" of Avista Corp. or Avista Utilities for any four-fiscal quarter period ending at any fiscal quarter end to be less than certain specified ratios. In August 2001, the Company determined that it would not be in compliance with the fixed charge coverage covenant for the period ending September 30, 2001 or for any subsequent period through the termination date of the agreement. Accordingly, in September 2001, Avista Corp. requested, and obtained, a waiver of this covenant through the termination date of the agreement. As a result of this waiver, the failure to comply with this covenant does not constitute an event of default under the agreement. Additionally, Avista Corp. secured the committed line of credit with first mortgage bonds in connection with this waiver.

In addition, the Company had a \$50 million regional commercial paper program that is backed by the committed line of credit. During 2001, under various agreements with banks, the Company could also have up to \$100 million in loans outstanding at any one time, with the loans available at the banks' discretion. These arrangements provided, if funds were made available, for fixed-term loans for up to 180 days at a fixed rate of interest. None of these agreements were in place as of December 31, 2001.

Balances and interest rates of bank borrowings under these arrangements were as follows as of and for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

	2001	2000	1999
Balance outstanding at end of period:			
Fixed-term loans	\$ —	\$ —	\$33,500
Commercial paper	_	11,160	10,000
Revolving credit agreement	55,000	152,000	75,000
Maximum balance outstanding during the period:			
Fixed-term loans	\$ —	\$ 80,000	\$93,500
Commercial paper	11,160	36,900	10,000
Revolving credit agreement	223,000	185,000	75,000
Average balance outstanding during the period:			
Fixed-term loans	\$ —	\$ 19,538	\$29,110
Commercial paper	558	16,833	2,604
Revolving credit agreement	108,996	84,255	23,767
Average interest rate during the period:			
Fixed-term loans	—%	6.70%	5.48%
Commercial paper	7.80	6.82	5.89
Revolving credit agreement	5.95	7.26	5.87
Average interest rate at end of period:			
Fixed-term loans	—%	—%	6.56%
Commercial paper	_	7.63	6.70
Revolving credit agreement	5.42	7.55	6.71

As of December 31, 2001 Avista Energy and its subsidiary, Avista Energy Canada, Ltd., as co-borrowers, had a credit agreement with a group of commercial lenders in the aggregate amount of \$155 million expiring June 28, 2002. This credit agreement may be terminated by the banks at any time and all extensions of credit under the agreement are payable upon demand, in either case at the lenders' sole discretion. This agreement also provides,

on an uncommitted basis, for the issuance of letters of credit to secure contractual obligations to counterparties. This facility is guaranteed by Avista Capital and secured by substantially all of Avista Energy's assets. The maximum amount of credit extended by the banks for the issuance of letters of credit is the subscribed amount of the facility less the amount of outstanding cash advances, if any. The maximum amount of credit extended by the banks for cash

76

AVISTA CORPORATION

advances is \$30 million. Letters of credit outstanding under the facility totaled approximately \$39.6 million and \$71.5 million as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

The Avista Energy credit agreement contains customary covenants and default provisions, including covenants to maintain "minimum net working capital" and "minimum net worth", as well as a covenant limiting the amount of indebtedness which the co-borrowers may incur. In addition, the agreement contains certain restricted payment provisions generally prohibiting distributions.

In October 2001, Avista Capital entered into a \$20 million promissory note collateralized by certain receivables. The note is due in monthly installments of \$0.2 million including interest at a variable rate (6.0 percent as of December 31, 2001). The note has a balloon payment of \$18.8 million due in October 2002 and there was \$19.8 million outstanding under the promissory note as of December 31, 2001.

NOTE 15. LEASES

The Company has multiple lease arrangements involving various assets, with minimum terms ranging from one to twenty-five years and expiration dates from 2002 to 2020. The Company's most significant leased assets include the Rathdrum CT and the corporate office building. Certain of the lease arrangements require the Company, upon the occurrence of specified events, to purchase the leased assets. The Company's management believes the likelihood of the occurrence of the specified events under which the Company could be required to purchase the leased assets is remote. Rental expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$19.8 million, \$16.2 million and \$18.7 million, respectively. Future minimum lease payments required under operating leases having initial or remaining noncancelable lease terms in excess of one year as of December 31, 2001 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year ending December 31:	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	Thereafter	Total
Minimum payments required	\$17,493	\$15,843	\$13,565	\$8,971	\$8,277	\$ 77,507	\$141,656

The payments under the Avista Capital subsidiaries' capital leases for the next three years are \$1.4 million in 2002, \$0.7 million in 2003 and \$0.2 million in 2004. As of December 31, 2001, there were no material capital lease payments at Avista Capital subsidiaries past 2004.

NOTE 16. PREFERRED STOCK-CUMULATIVE

On September 15, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006, the Company must redeem 17,500 shares at \$100 per share plus accumulated dividends through a mandatory sinking fund. As such, redemption requirements are \$1.75 million in each of the years 2002 through 2006. The remaining shares must be redeemed on September 15, 2007. The Company has the right to redeem an additional 17,500 shares on each September 15 redemption date. Upon involuntary liquidation, all preferred stock will be entitled to \$100 per share plus accrued dividends.

NOTE 17. CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK

In December 1998, as part of a dividend restructuring plan, the Company issued 1,540,460 shares of its \$12.40 Convertible Preferred Stock, Series L (Series L Preferred Stock), in exchange for 15,404,595 shares of common stock, on the basis of a one-tenth interest in one share of preferred stock for each share of common stock. The Series L Preferred Stock had a liquidation preference of \$182.8125 per share.

During 1999, the Company repurchased the equivalent of 32,250 shares of the Series L Preferred Stock. In February 2000, the Company exercised its option to convert all the remaining outstanding shares of Series L Preferred Stock into common stock. One share of Series L Preferred Stock equaled 10 depositary shares, also known as RECONS (Return-Enhanced Convertible Securities). The RECONS were also converted into common stock on the same conversion date. Each of the RECONS was converted into the following: 0.7205 shares of common stock, representing the optional conversion price; plus 0.0361 shares of common stock, representing the optional conversion premium; plus the right to receive \$0.21 in cash, representing an amount equivalent to accumulated and unpaid dividends up until, but excluding, the conversion date. Cash payments were made in lieu of fractional shares

77

AVISTA CORPORATION

NOTE 18. COMPANY-OBLIGATED MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE PREFERRED TRUST SECURITIES

In 1997, Avista Capital I, a business trust, issued \$60.0 million of Preferred Trust Securities with an annual distribution rate of 7.875 percent. Concurrent with the issuance of the Preferred Trust Securities, Avista Capital I issued \$1.9 million of Common Trust Securities to the Company. The sole assets of Avista Capital I are the Company's 7.875 percent Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures, Series A, with a principal amount of \$61.9 million. These debt securities may be redeemed at the Company's option on or after January 15, 2002 and mature January 15, 2037.

In 1997, Avista Capital II, a business trust, issued \$50.0 million of Preferred Trust Securities with a floating distribution rate of LIBOR plus 0.875 percent, calculated and reset quarterly. The annual distribution rate paid during 2001 ranged from 2.95625 percent to 7.61125 percent. As of December 31, 2001, the annual distribution rate was 2.95625 percent. Concurrent with the issuance of the Preferred Trust Securities, Avista Capital II issued \$1.5 million of Common Trust Securities to the Company. The sole assets of Avista Capital II are the Company's Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures, Series B, with a principal amount of \$51.5 million. These debt securities may be redeemed at the Company's option on or after June 1, 2007 and mature June 1, 2037. In December 2000 the Company purchased \$10.0 million of these Preferred Trust Securities.

The Company has guaranteed the payment of distributions on, and redemption price and liquidation amount in respect of, the Preferred Trust Securities

to the extent that Avista Capital I and Avista Capital II have funds available for such payments from the respective debt securities. Upon maturity or prior redemption of such debt securities, the Trust Securities will be mandatorily redeemed. The Consolidated Statements of Capitalization reflect only \$60.0 million and \$40.0 million of Preferred Trust Securities as all intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

NOTE 19. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of the Company's long-term debt (including current-portion, but excluding notes payable and other) as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 was estimated to be \$1,160.2 million, or 99 percent of the carrying value, and \$772.5 million, or 101 percent of the carrying value, respectively. The fair value of the Company's mandatorily redeemable preferred stock was estimated to be \$17.5 million, or 50 percent of the carrying value as of December 31, 2001 and 2000. The fair value of the Company's preferred trust securities as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 was estimated to be \$84.6 million, or 85 percent of the carrying value, and \$79.2 million, or 79 percent of the carrying value, respectively. These estimates were based on available market information.

NOTE 20. COMMON STOCK

In April 1990, the Company sold 1,000,000 shares of its common stock to the Trustee of the Investment and Employee Stock Ownership Plan for Employees of the Company (Plan) for the benefit of the participants and beneficiaries of the Plan. In payment for the shares of common stock, the Trustee issued a promissory note payable to the Company in the amount of \$14.1 million. Dividends paid on the stock held by the Trustee, plus Company contributions to the Plan, if any, are used by the Trustee to make interest and principal payments on the promissory note. The balance of the promissory note receivable from the Trustee (\$5.7 million as of December 31, 2001) is reflected as a reduction to common equity. The shares of common stock are allocated to the accounts of participants in the Plan as the note is repaid. During 2001, the cost recorded for the Plan was \$5.8 million. Interest on the note payable to the Company, cash and stock contributions to the Plan and dividends on the shares held by the Trustee were \$0.6 million, \$1.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively during 2001.

In May 1999, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions up to an aggregate of 10 percent of its common stock and common stock equivalents over the next two years. The repurchased shares return to the status of authorized but unissued shares. During 1999 and 2000, the Company repurchased approximately 4.8 million common shares and 322,500 shares of Return-Enhanced Convertible Securities (equivalent to 32,250 shares of Convertible Preferred Stock, Series L). The combined repurchases of these two securities represented 9 percent of outstanding common stock and common stock equivalents. No common shares were repurchased during 2001.

In November 1999, the Company adopted a shareholder rights plan pursuant to which holders of common stock outstanding on February 15, 1999, or issued thereafter, were granted one preferred share purchase right (Right) on each outstanding share of common stock. Each Rig initially evidenced by and traded with the shares of common

78

AVISTA CORPORATION

stock, entitles the registered holder to purchase one one-hundredth of a share of preferred stock of the Company, without par value, at a purchase price of \$70, subject to certain adjustments, regulatory approval and other specified conditions. The Rights will be exercisable only if a person or group acquires 10 percent or more of the outstanding shares of common stock or commences a tender or exchange offer, the consummation of which would result in the beneficial ownership by a person or group of 10 percent or more of the outstanding shares of common stock. Upon any such acquisition, each Right will entitle its holder to purchase, at the purchase price, that number of shares of common Stock or preferred stock of the Company (or, in the case of a merger of the Company into another person or group, common stock of the acquiring person) that has a market value at that time equal to twice the purchase price. In no event will the Rights be exercisable by a person that has acquired 10 percent or more of the Company's common stock. The Rights may be redeemed, at a redemption price of \$0.01 per Right, by the Board of Directors of the Company at any time until any person or group has acquired 10 percent or more of the common stock. The Rights expire on March 31, 2009. This plan replaced a similar shareholder rights plan that expired in February 2000.

The Company has a Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan under which the Company's stockholders may automatically reinvest their dividends and make optional cash payments for the purchase of the Company's common stock at current market value.

In March 2000, the Company began issuing shares of its common stock to the Employee Investment Plan rather than having the Plan purchase shares of common stock on the open market. In the fourth quarter of 2000, the Company also began issuing new shares of common stock for the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan. During the 2001 and 2000, a total of 332,861 and 125,636 shares of common stock were issued to these plans, respectively.

NOTE 21. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

In February 2000, all outstanding shares of Series L Preferred Stock were converted into 11,410,047 shares of common stock. The weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during 2000 related to the converted shares was 9,975,997. The costs of converting the Series L Preferred Stock into common stock totaled \$21.3 million during the first quarter of 2000, with \$18.1 million representing the optional conversion premium and \$3.2 million attributable to the regular dividend on the preferred stock. As of December 31, 1999 1,508,210 shares of \$12.40 Convertible Preferred Stock, Series L, that was convertible into 15,082,100 shares of common stock were outstanding. All of these potential common shares and the associated dividends were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per common share for 1999 because their inclusion had an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per common share. The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	2001	2000	1999
Numerator:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 59,605	\$101,055	\$28,662
Loss from discontinued operations	(47,449)	(9,376)	(2,631)
Net income	\$ 12,156	91,679	26,031
Deduct: Preferred stock dividend requirements	2,432	23,735	21,392

Income available for common stock	\$ 9,724	\$ 67,944	\$ 4,639
Denominator:			
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding-basic	47,417	45,690	38,213
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Restricted stock	5	101	112
Stock options	13	312	_
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding-diluted	47,435	46,103	38,325
Earnings per common share, basic:			
Earnings per common share from continuing operations	\$ 1.21	\$ 1.69	\$ 0.19
Loss per common share from discontinued operations	(1.00)	(0.20)	(0.07)
Total earnings per common share, basic	\$ 0.21	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.12
Earnings per common share, diluted:			
Earnings per common share from continuing operations	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.67	\$ 0.19
Loss per common share from discontinued operations	(1.00)	(0.20)	(0.07)
Total earnings per common share, diluted	\$ 0.20	\$ 1.47	\$ 0.12
9- p		·	

AVISTA CORPORATION

NOTE 22. INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Information and Technology line of business includes the results of Avista Advantage and Avista Labs. Additional financial information for each of these separate companies is provided as follows for the years ended December 31 (dollars in thousands):

79

	2001	2000	1999
Avista Advantage			
Operating Revenues	\$ 13,151	\$ 4,971	\$ 1,518
Loss From Operations (pre-tax)	\$(15,098)	\$(14,482)	\$(5,042)
Net Loss	\$(10,748)	\$(11,022)	\$(3,428)
Avista Labs			
Operating Revenues	\$ 664	\$ 761	\$ 748
Loss From Operations (pre-tax)	\$(14,774)	\$(11,942)	\$(3,924)
Net Loss	\$ (8,636)	\$ (8,010)	\$(2,561)

NOTE 23. STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

Avista Corp.

In 1998, the Company adopted and shareholders approved an incentive compensation plan, the Long-Term Incentive Plan (1998 Plan). Under the 1998 Plan, certain key employees, directors and officers of the Company and its subsidiaries may be granted stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards (including restricted stock) and other stock-based awards and dividend equivalent rights. The Company has available a maximum of 2.5 million shares of its common stock for grant under the 1998 Plan. The shares issued under the 1998 Plan are purchased by the trustee on the open market. Non-employee Directors were added to this plan in 2000.

In 2000, the Company adopted a Non-Officer Employee Long-Term Incentive Plan (2000 Plan). The provisions of the 2000 Plan are essentially the same as those under the 1998 Plan, except for the exclusion of directors and officers of the Company. The Company has available a maximum of 2.5 million shares of its common stock for grant under the 2000 Plan.

The Company accounts for stock based compensation using APB No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" which requires the recognition of compensation cost on the excess, if any, of the market price of the stock at the date of grant over the exercise price of the option. As the exercise price for options granted under the 1998 Plan and the 2000 Plan was equal to the market price at the date of grant, there is no compensation expense recorded by the Company. SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," requires the disclosure of pro forma net income and earnings per common share had the Company adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock options. Under this statement, the fair value of stock-based awards is calculated with option pricing models. These models require the use of subjective assumptions, including stock price volatility, dividend yield, risk-free interest rate and expected time to exercise. The fair value of options is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

As of December 31, 2001, there were 2.5 million shares available for future stock option grants under the 1998 Plan and the 2000 Plan.

The following summarizes stock options activity under the 1998 Plan and the 2000 Plan for the years ended December 31:

	2001	2000	1999
Number of shares under stock options:			
Options outstanding at beginning of year	1,843,900	1,360,325	589,800
Options granted	781,900	623,200	780,700
Options exercised	(2,750)	(44,975)	_
Options canceled	(182,575)	(94,650)	(10,175)

Options outstanding at end of year	2,440,475	1,843,900	1,360,325
Options exercisable at end of year	883,075	581,025	147,200

80

AVISTA CORPORATION

		2001		2000		1999
Weighted average exercise price:						
Options granted	\$	12.43	\$	23.03	\$	17.21
Options exercised	\$	17.96	\$	18.53	\$	_
Options canceled	\$	19.22	\$	18.15	\$	18.63
Options outstanding at end of year	\$	17.49	\$	19.80	\$	18.29
Options exercisable at end of year	\$	19.28	\$	18.72	\$	19.63
Weighted average fair value of options granted						
during the year	\$	5.54	\$	12.02	\$	5.02
Principal assumptions used in applying the Black-Scholes model:						
Risk-free interest rate	4.05	5% - 5.13%	5.87	7% - 6.87%	5.57	% - 6.63%
Expected life, in years		7		7		7
Expected volatility		60.80%		58.47%		27.92%
Expected dividend yield		3.93%		2.34%		3.11%

Information with respect to options outstanding and options exercisable as of December 31, 2001 was as follows:

		Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable	
Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life (in years)	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$11.80	700,900	\$11.80	9.9		* —
\$16.48-\$17.31	673,900	17.23	7.0	356,350	17.29
\$18.31-\$20.11	395,775	18.73	6.2	279,750	18.61
\$22.54-\$23.00	611,700	22.58	7.5	230,175	22.59
\$26.59-\$28.72	58,200	27.19	7.9	16,800	27.11
Total	2,440,475	\$17.49	7.8	883,075	\$19.28

If compensation expense for the Company's stock option plans were determined consistent with SFAS No. 123, net income and earnings per common share would have been the following pro forma amounts for the years ended December 31:

	2001	2000	1999
Net income (dollars in thousands):			
As reported	\$12,156	\$91,679	\$26,031
Pro forma	\$ 9,355	\$89,850	\$24,636
Basic earnings per common share			
As reported	\$ 0.21	\$ 1.49	\$ 0.12
Pro forma	\$ 0.15	\$ 1.45	\$ 0.08
Diluted earnings per common share			
As reported	\$ 0.20	\$ 1.47	\$ 0.12
Pro forma	\$ 0.15	\$ 1.43	\$ 0.08

The Company granted 1,000 and 20,000 shares of restricted common stock in 2000 and 1999, respectively. No shares of restricted stock were granted in 2001. Participants are entitled to dividends and to vote their respective shares. The sale or transfer of restricted stock is prohibited during the vesting period except as specified in the award agreements. The value of restricted stock awards is established by the average market price on the date of grant. Restricted stock awarded in 2001, 2000 and 1999 vests over periods from four to five years.

Common equity was reduced in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Capitalization by the cost of restricted shares acquired on the open market. Accordingly, the Company is recording compensation expense ratably over the restriction periods based on the reduction to common equity.

8

AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Capital Companies

Certain subsidiaries of Avista Capital have employee stock incentive plans under which certain employees and directors of the Company and the subsidiaries are granted options to purchase subsidiary shares at prices no less than the fair market value on the date of grant. Options outstanding

under these plans usually vest over periods of between three and five years from the date granted and terminate ten years from the date granted. Upon termination of employment, vested options may be exercised and the related subsidiary shares may be, but are not required to be, repurchased by the applicable subsidiary at estimated fair value.

NOTE 24. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company believes, based on the information presently known, that the ultimate liability for the matters discussed in this note, individually or in the aggregate, taking into account established accruals for estimated liabilities, will not be material to the consolidated financial condition of the Company, but could be material to results of operations or cash flows for a particular quarter or annual period. No assurance can be given, however, as to the ultimate outcome with respect to any particular issue.

Securities Litigation

On July 27, 2000, John Bain filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Washington against the Company and Thomas M. Matthews, the former Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Jon E. Eliassen, a Senior Vice President and the Chief Financial Officer of the Company. On August 2, 2000, Wei Cao and William Dalton filed separate lawsuits in the same Court against the Company and Mr. Matthews. On August 7, 2000, Martin Capetz filed a lawsuit in the same Court against the Company, Mr. Matthews and Mr. Eliassen. On November 9, 2000, the Court entered an order consolidating the cases, appointing the lead stockholder-plaintiff, and appointing lead stockholdersplaintiffs' counsel to prosecute the litigation. On February 13, 2001, plaintiffs filed their First Amended and Consolidated Class Action Complaint asserting claims on behalf of a purported class of persons who purchased Company common stock during the period April 14, 2000, through June 21, 2000. In their consolidated complaint, plaintiffs asserted violations of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, arising out of various alleged misstatements and omissions in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year 1999, its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000, and in other information made publicly available by the Company, and, further, claimed that plaintiffs and the purported class suffered damages as a result thereof. Such alleged misstatements and omissions were claimed to relate to the Company's trading activities in wholesale energy markets, the Company's risk management policies and procedures with respect thereto, and the Company's trading losses in the second quarter of 2000. The plaintiffs requested, among other things, compensatory damages in unspecified amounts and other relief as the Court may deem proper. On March 29, 2001, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Complaint, which was granted by the Court on June 14, 2001 without prejudice to allow the plaintiffs the opportunity to amend the complaint to seek to cure the deficiencies identified by the Court.

On January 8, 2002, plaintiffs filed a protective notice of appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, wherein they appealed the District Court's Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss on June 14, 2001, and its December 20, 2001 Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Lift Stay of Discovery. On February 2, 2002, the parties filed a stipulation with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, whereby all parties agreed to dismiss the appeal with prejudice. On February 4, 2002, the parties also filed a stipulation of dismissal of the case with prejudice in the District Court. On February 7, 2002, the District Court issued its order dismissing the case with prejudice, and on February 14, 2002, the Court of Appeals issued its order dismissing the appeal with prejudice.

Securities and Exchange Commission Inquiry

In October 2000, the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission requested certain information and documentation from the Company regarding Avista Utilities' wholesale trading activities and its risk management policies and procedures with respect thereto. The Company complied with this request, and has supplemented its response, at the Securities and Exchange Commission's request, with respect to current risk management practices.

82

AVISTA CORPORATION

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Investigation

Avista Energy and several of its former employees were subjected to an investigation by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) into futures trading of certain Palo Verde and California Oregon Border electricity futures contracts traded on the New York Mercantile Exchange on four separate dates in 1998. The CFTC's Division of Enforcement (Division) recommended to the CFTC Commissioners that Avista Energy and several of its former employees be charged with manipulation, attempted manipulation and other charges in connection with trading on those four dates. In August 2001 Avista Energy reached a settlement with the Division in which it neither admits nor denies the allegations, paid a fine of \$2.1 million and agreed to a cease and desist order with respect to certain trading activities.

State of Washington Business and Occupation Tax

The State of Washington's Business and Occupation Tax applies to gross revenue from business activities. For most types of business, the tax applies to the gross sales price received for goods or services. For certain types of financial trading activities, including the sale of stocks, bonds and other securities, the tax applies to the realized gain from the sale of the financial asset. On an audit for the years 1997 through June 2000, the Department of Revenue (DOR) took the position that approximately 20 percent of the energy futures trades of Avista Energy should not be treated as securities trades, but rather as energy deliveries. As a result, the DOR applied tax against the gross sales price of the energy contracts at issue. Avista Energy subsequently received an assessment of \$14.5 million for tax and interest related to the disputed issue. It is the position of Avista Energy that all of its futures trading activities are substantively the same and there is no proper basis for the distinction made by the DOR. An administrative appeal was filed with the DOR and a hearing was held on September 25, 2001. Avista Energy is prepared to seek relief in the Washington courts if a satisfactory determination is not received.

Hamilton Street Bridge Site

A portion of the Hamilton Street Bridge Site in Spokane, Washington (including a former coal gasification plant site that operated for approximately 60 years until 1948) was acquired by the Company through a merger in 1958. The Company no longer owns the property. Initial core samples taken from the site indicate environmental contamination at the site. On January 15, 1999, the Company received notice from the State of Washington's Department of Ecology (DOE) that it had been designated as a potentially liable party (PLP) with respect to any hazardous substances located on this site, stemming from the Company's past ownership of the former gas plant site. In its notice, the DOE stated that it intended to complete an on-going remedial investigation of this site, complete a feasibility study to determine the most effective means of halting or controlling future releases of substances from the site, and to implement appropriate remedial measures. The Company responded to the DOE acknowledging its listing as a PLP, but requested that additional parties also be listed as PLPs. In the spring of 1999, the DOE named two other parties as additional PLPs.

An Agreed Order was signed by the DOE, the Company and Burlington Northern & Santa Fe Railway Co. (BNSF) (another PLP) on March 13, 2000 that

provided for the completion of a remedial investigation and a feasibility study. The work to be performed under the Agreed Order includes three major technical parts: completion of the remedial investigation; performance of a focused feasibility study; and implementation of an interim groundwater monitoring plan. During the second quarter of 2000, the Company received comments from the DOE on its initial remedial investigation, then submitted another draft of the remedial investigation, which was accepted as final by the DOE. After responding to comments from the DOE, the feasibility study was accepted by the DOE during the fourth quarter of 2000. After receiving input from the Company and the other PLPs, the final Cleanup Action Plan (CAP) was issued by the DOE on August 10, 2001. On September 10, 2001, the DOE issued a draft Consent Decree for the PLPs to review. During the fourth quarter of 2001, the Company and BNSF commenced negotiations on a PLP agreement and provided joint comments regarding the draft Consent Decree to the DOE. The Company's portion of the costs associated with the CAP is not material to the Consolidated Statements of Income and were accrued for in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

83

AVISTA CORPORATION

Sale of Certain Pentzer Corporation Subsidiaries

On February 26, 2001, IDX Corporation, formerly known as Store Fixtures Group, Inc., filed a complaint against Pentzer in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, alleging breach of contract and negligent misrepresentation relating to a stock purchase agreement. Pursuant to this agreement, Pentzer sold the capital stock of a group of companies on August 31, 1999. Plaintiff alleges that Pentzer breached various representations and warranties concerning financial statements and inventory, contending that reliance on such representations and warranties caused them to pay more for the group of companies than they were worth. In total, plaintiff claims damages in the approximate amount of \$9 million. Pentzer has retained legal counsel and intends to vigorously defend against this action.

On April 7, 2000, Creative Solutions Group, Inc. and Form House Holdings, Inc. filed a complaint against Pentzer in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, alleging misrepresentations and breach of representations and warranties made under a stock purchase agreement. Pursuant to this agreement, Pentzer sold the capital stock of a group of companies on March 31, 1999. On November 2, 2001, plaintiffs filed a motion to amend their complaint. The proposed amended pleading, among other things, removes Form House Holdings, Inc. as a plaintiff; however, plaintiff Creative Solutions Group, Inc. continues to allege that Pentzer made misrepresentations and breached various representations and warranties concerning financial statements, cost of goods sold and inventory, contending that reliance on such representations and warranties caused them to pay more for the group of companies than they were worth. In total, plaintiff alleges damages in the approximate amount of \$31 million, plus exemplary damages, interest and attorney's fees. A trial date is currently scheduled for June 2002. Pentzer has retained legal counsel and intends to vigorously defend against this action.

Spokane River

In March 2001, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) informed Avista Development of a health advisory concerning PCBs found in fish caught in a portion of the Spokane River. In June 2001 Avista Development received official notice as a potentially liable person with respect to contaminated sites on the Spokane River. Ecology discovered PCBs in fish and sediments in the 1970s and 1980s. In the 1990s, Ecology performed subsequent sampling of the river and identified potential sources of the PCBs, including the Spokane Industrial Park (SIP) and a number of other entities in the area. The SIP, renamed Pentzer Development Corporation (Pentzer Development) in 1990, operated a wastewater treatment plant at the site until it was closed in December 1993. The SIP's treatment plant discharged to the Spokane River under the terms of a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit issued by Ecology. Pentzer Development sold the property in 1996 and merged with Avista Development in 1998. Avista Development filed a response to this notice in August 2001. In December 2001, Ecology confirmed Avista Development's status as a PLP and named at least three other PLPs in this matter. The Company has not accrued a liability for any potential future costs; however, the Company believes that any future costs would be immaterial.

Lake Coeur d'Alene

In July 1998, the United States District Court for the District of Idaho issued its finding that the Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Idaho owns portions of the bed and banks of Lake Coeur d'Alene and the St. Joe River lying within the current boundaries of the Coeur d'Alene Reservation. This action was brought by the United States on behalf of the Tribe against the State of Idaho. While the Company is not a party to this action, the Company is continuing to evaluate the potential impact of this decision on the operation of its hydroelectric facilities on the Spokane River, downstream of Lake Coeur d'Alene. The United States District Court decision was affirmed by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. The United States Supreme Court affirmed this decision in June 2001. This will result in the Company being liable to the Coeur d'Alene Tribe of Idaho for payments for use of reservation lands under Section 10(e) of the Federal Power Act. The amount of such payments and other effects this ruling may have on the Company is not known and can not be estimated at this time.

Montana Hydroelectric Security Act Initiative

In November 2001, an initiative was presented in the state of Montana to create a public agency to own and operate all hydroelectric generating facilities within the State. The initiative would allow for the new public agency to acquire through a negotiated purchase or an acquisition at fair market value through a condemnation proceeding all hydroelectric facilities larger than 5 MW that are in the "public interest" to own and operate for the benefit of the people of Montana. The output from the hydroelectric facilities could be sold at wholesale or retail, with preferences

84

AVISTA CORPORATION

for non-industrial customers and customers with demand of less than 1 aMW. The Company's largest generation plant, the Noxon Rapids Hydroelectric Generating Station (Noxon Rapids) (527 MW), is located in Montana on the Clark Fork River. In February 2000, Avista Utilities received a new 45-year operating license from the FERC that applies jointly to the Cabinet Gorge (located in Idaho) and Noxon Rapids projects.

The proposal is being presented as a ballot initiative, which allows for the enactment of law through public vote without legislative approval. The initiative was reviewed and approved by the following parties in the state of Montana: the Legislative Service Division, the Attorney General and the Secretary of State. The supporters of the initiative need to gather 20,510 signatures, including at least 5 percent of the voters in 34 of the 100 state districts by June 21, 2002. If this is accomplished, the initiative will be presented to the public in the November 2002 General Election and will require a majority vote to become law.

If this proposed initiative is passed into law and Noxon Rapids were to be acquired from the Company, it could have significant negative ramifications for

the Company. As such, the Company intends to vigorously oppose this initiative and intends to legally defend itself against the acquisition of Noxon Rapids. The Company is unable predict whether or not the proposed initiative will obtain the necessary signatures and if it does, whether or not the initiative would pass in the November 2002 election. Further, the Company is not able to predict whether any legal challenge would be successful and ultimately the full impact this initiative could have on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Enron Corporation

On December 2, 2001, Enron Corporation (Enron) and certain of its affiliates filed for protection under chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The bankruptcy filing constituted an event of default under contracts between Avista Corp. and Avista Energy, respectively, and certain Enron affiliates, Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (EPMI), Enron North America Company (ENA) and Enron Canada Corp. (ECC), that are guaranteed by Enron. As a result, Avista Corp. and Avista Energy terminated all but one of these contracts and suspended trading activities with most Enron affiliates; short-term, balance of the month deals with EPMI are still being transacted through Avista Energy on a prepaid basis.

Both Avista Corp. and Avista Energy engage in physical and financial transactions for the purchase and sale of electric energy and capacity and natural gas. Both companies had done considerable business and had short-term and long-term contracts with Enron affiliates. Avista Corp. has one three-year purchase with remaining deliveries scheduled from 2004 to 2006 with EPMI. Avista Energy's long-term contracts with Enron affiliates were terminated entirely.

As of December 31, 2001, Avista Corp. and Avista Energy had net accounts receivable of \$3.1 million and \$14.1 million, respectively, from Enron affiliates. The contracts of Avista Corp. and Avista Energy with each Enron affiliate provide that, upon termination, the net settlement of accounts receivable and accounts payable with such entity will be netted against the net mark-to-market value of the terminated forward contracts with such entity. It is estimated that, for each of Avista Corp. and Avista Energy, netting the mark-to-market liability against the defaulted net accounts receivable will result in no significant loss due to non-collection from the Enron affiliates. It is further estimated that the net mark-to-market liability to Enron affiliates in respect of terminated forward contracts of Avista Corp. and Avista Energy, taken together, exceeds total net accounts receivable from these entities by less than \$30 million. Any claims by the Enron entities for amounts that Avista Corp. and Avista Energy might owe in respect of the terminated forward contracts would be subject to any defenses and counterclaims which Avista Corp. and Avista Energy may have. Any residual obligation by Avista Corp. or Avista Energy for termination payments is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

The estimates of the mark-to-market values of terminated forward contracts are based on available broker quotes, for the respective periods, and on assumptions as to future market prices and other information. While Avista Corp. and Avista Energy believe these assumptions are reasonable, they are subject to change and ultimately could be challenged by the Enron entities or their bankruptcy trustees. The mark-to-market value of terminated contracts has not been firmly established and could result in undercollection that is not expected to be material to the financial condition or results of operations of either Avista Corp. or Avista Energy.

National Energy Production Corporation (NEPCO), a wholly owned subsidiary of Enron, is the contractor responsible for the engineering, procurement and construction of the Coyote Springs 2 project. Avista Corp. owns 50 percent of the Coyote Springs 2 project, which is expected to commence commercial operation in the third quarter of

85

AVISTA CORPORATION

2002. NEPCO was not included in the bankruptcy filings made by Enron and its affiliates. However, Enron guaranteed NEPCO's obligations, and the bankruptcy filing by Enron was an event of default under the Coyote Springs 2 construction contract. NEPCO and Coyote Springs 2, LLC amended the construction contract to, among other things, authorize Coyote Springs 2, LLC to make immediate draws under a letter of credit posted to secure NEPCO's performance and to permit Coyote Springs 2, LLC to pay third-party subcontractors of NEPCO directly. Coyote Springs 2, LLC is continuing to assess the ability of NEPCO to perform its obligations under the construction contract and may need to exercise additional remedies in the event the impact of the Enron bankruptcy prevents NEPCO from performing its obligations under the construction contract.

Avista Corp. is party to a power exchange arrangement which expires in 2016. Under this power exchange arrangement, EPMI purchases capacity from Avista Corp. and sells capacity to Spokane Energy LLC (Spokane Energy), a subsidiary of Avista Corp., formed in 1998 solely for the purpose of monetizing the long-term capacity contract between PGE and Avista Corp. Spokane Energy sells the related capacity to PGE, a subsidiary of Enron that has not been included in the bankruptcy filing to date and is in the process of being sold to another company. This power exchange arrangement was originally established for the purpose of monetizing a \$145 million long-term capacity contract between Avista Corp. and PGE. EPMI assisted in setting up the monetization structure and acts as an intermediary to abide by certain regulatory restrictions that currently prevent Spokane Energy and Avista Corp. from dealing directly with each other. The transaction is structured such that Spokane Energy bears full recourse risk for a monetization loan (balance of \$131.1 million as of December 31, 2001) that matures in January 2015 with no recourse to Avista Corp. related to the loan. EPMI is obligated to pay approximately \$150,000 per month to Avista Corp. for its capacity purchase and servicing functions related to this power exchange arrangement. EPMI defaulted on two payments to Avista Corp. prior to filing for bankruptcy. As a result, in December 2001, Avista Corp. and EPMI entered an agreement that allows Avista Corp. to continue receiving the monthly payments from EPMI while Avista Corp. evaluates alternatives with respect to EPMI's involvement in the transaction going forward.

Other Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company has various legal claims and other contingent matters outstanding. The Company believes that any ultimate liability arising from these actions will not have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

The Company routinely assesses, based on in-depth studies, expert analyses and legal reviews, its contingencies, obligations and commitments for remediation of contaminated sites, including assessments of ranges and probabilities of recoveries from other responsible parties who have and have not agreed to a settlement and recoveries from insurance carriers. The Company's policy is to immediately accrue and charge to current expense identified exposures related to environmental remediation sites based on estimates of investigation, cleanup and monitoring costs to be incurred.

The Company has potential liabilities under the Federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) for species of fish that have either already been added to the endangered species list, been listed as "threatened" or been petitioned for listing. Thus far, measures adopted and implemented have had minimal impact of the Company. The operating license for the Clark Fork Projects describes the approach to restore bull trout populations in the project areas. Using the concept of adaptive management, the Company is evaluating the feasibility of fish passage, and, depending upon the results of these experimental studies, determine the applications of funds toward continuing fish passage efforts or other population enhancement measures.

The Company continues to study the issue of high dissolved gas levels downstream of Cabinet Gorge during spill periods, as agreed to in the Settlement Agreement for the new license for Cabinet Gorge. To date, intensive biological studies in the lower Clark Fork River and Lake Pend Oreille documented

minimal biological effects of high dissolved gas levels on free ranging fish. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Company will develop an abatement and/or mitigation strategy in 2002.

Under the federal licenses for its hydroelectric projects, the Company is obligated to protect its property rights, including water rights. The State of Montana is examining the status of all water right claims within state boundaries, which could potentially adversely affect the generating capacity of the Company's Cabinet Gorge and Noxon Rapids hydroelectric facilities. The Company is participating in this extended process, which is unlikely to be concluded in the foreseeable future.

86

AVISTA CORPORATION

The Company must be in compliance with requirements under the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) at the Colstrip thermal generating plant, in which the Company maintains an ownership interest. The anticipated share of costs at Colstrip is not expected to have a major economic impact on the Company.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company's collective bargaining agreement with the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers represented approximately 53 percent of all employees. The current agreement with the local union representing the majority of the bargaining unit employees expires on March 25, 2002. A local agreement in the South Lake Tahoe area, which represents 5 employees, expires on March 25, 2002. Negotiations are currently ongoing with respect to both agreements that expire on March 25, 2002.

NOTE 25. ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

In May 2000, the owners of the Centralia Power Plant sold the plant to TransAlta. Avista Utilities recorded an after-tax gain totaling \$37.2 million from the sale of its 17.5 percent ownership interest in the plant. Of the total after-tax gain, \$9.0 million was recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the year ended December 31, 2000 and \$28.2 million was deferred and returned to Avista Utilities' customers through rates over established periods of time. Washington customers received \$20.7 million of the after-tax gain through pre-tax credits to their electric bills over the two-month period of December 2000 and January 2001. Idaho customers are receiving the remaining \$7.5 million of the after-tax gain, which is a rate reduction of 1.8 percent, over an eight-year period.

During the first quarter of 1999, Pentzer sold its Creative Solutions Group, a group of five portfolio companies that provide point-of-purchase displays and other merchandising and packaging services to retailers and consumer product companies. The sale resulted in a gain of \$10.1 million, net of taxes. During the third quarter of 1999, Pentzer sold its Store Fixtures Group, a group of six portfolio companies that design, manufacture and deliver store fixture products to major retailers. The sale resulted in a gain of \$27.6 million, net of taxes. In November 1999, Pentzer purchased the International Retail Services Group, a company that provides backroom supplies for retail stores; this company was sold in November 2000.

87

AVISTA CORPORATION

NOTE 26. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

The Company's energy operations are significantly affected by weather conditions. Consequently, there can be large variances in revenues, expenses and net income between quarters based on seasonal factors such as temperatures and streamflow conditions. A summary of quarterly operations (in thousands, except per share amounts) for 2001 and 2000 follows:

Three Months Ended March 31 September 30 December 31 June 30 2001 Operating revenues \$2,024,882 \$1.546.493 \$1,401,183 \$1.037.289 Operating expenses 1,959,435 1,489,943 1,367,564 1,023,613 Income from operations 65,447 56,550 33,619 13,676 Income (loss) from continuing operations 32,121 25,980 6,111 (4,607)Loss from discontinued operations (3,255)(3,055)(2,718)(38,421)Net income (loss) 29.403 22.725 (32.310)(7,662)Income (loss) available for common stock 28,795 22,117 (32,918)\$ (8,270)Outstanding common stock: Weighted average 47,237 47.372 47,486 47,569 End of period 47,266 47,465 47,537 47,633 Earnings (loss) per share, basic: Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations 0.67 0.54 0.12 (0.11)Loss per share from discontinued operations (0.06)(0.07)(0.81)(0.06)Total earnings (loss) per share, basic 0.61 0.47 (0.69)(0.17)Earnings (loss) per share, diluted: (0.11)Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations 0.67 0.54 0.12 (0.06)Loss per share from discontinued operations (0.06)(0.07)(0.81)Total earnings (loss) per share, diluted \$ 0.61 0.47 (0.69)(0.17)Dividends paid per common share \$ 0.12 \$ 0.12 0.12 \$ 0.12 Trading price range per common share: High \$ 20.63 \$ 23.97 \$ 19.98 \$ 14.60 10.60 Low 15.60 \$ 16.27 \$ 13.40 \$

2000				
Operating revenues	\$1,380,935	\$1,352,432	\$2,862,809	\$2,309,401
Operating expenses	1,348,668	1,376,919	2,791,581	2,171,321
Income (loss) from operations	32,267	(24,487)	71,228	138,080
Income (loss) from continuing operations	12,755	(19,123)	36,419	71,004
Loss from discontinued operations	(2,230)	(2,370)	(1,879)	(2,897)
Net income (loss)	10,525	(21,493)	34,540	68,107
Income (loss) available for common stock	\$ (11,385)	\$ (22,101)	\$ 33,932	\$ 67,498
Outstanding common stock:				
Weighted average	41,297	47,113	47,147	47,172
End of period	47,078	47,128	47,159	47,209
Earnings (loss) per share, basic:				
Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.42)	\$ 0.76	\$ 1.49
Loss per share from discontinued operations	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.06)
Total earnings (loss) per share, basic				
	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.47)	\$ 0.72	\$ 1.43
Earnings (loss) per share, diluted:				
Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.22)	\$ (0.42)	\$ 0.76	\$ 1.48
Loss per share from discontinued operations	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.06)
Total earnings (loss) per share, diluted	\$ (0.28)	\$ (0.47)	\$ 0.72	\$ 1.42
Dividends paid per common share	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12
Trading price range per common share:	, .	, -	•	* -
High	\$ 68.00	\$ 41.13	\$ 30.44	\$ 23.50
Low	\$ 14.63	\$ 15.75	\$ 16.81	\$ 17.88
	88			

AVISTA CORPORATION

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Information regarding the directors of the Registrant has been omitted pursuant to General Instruction G to Form 10-K. Reference is made to the Registrant's Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Registrant's annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 9, 2002.

Executive Officers of the Registrant

Name	Age	Business Experience During Past 5 Years
Gary G. Ely	54	Director and Chairman of the Board since May 2001. President and Chief Executive Officer since October 2000; Executive Vice President February 1999 — October 2000; Senior Vice President and General Manager August 1996 — February 1999.
Jon E. Eliassen	55	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since November 1998; Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer December 1997 — November 1998; Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer August 1996 — December 1997.
David J. Meyer	48	Senior Vice President and General Counsel since September 1998; prior to employment with the Registrant: Attorney — Paine Hamblen Coffin Brooke & Miller 1974 — September 1998.
Scott L. Morris	44	Senior Vice President since February 2002; President — Avista Utilities since August 2000; General Manager — Avista Utilities October 1991 — August 2000.
David A. Brukardt	47	Chief Communication Officer and Vice President of CorporateRelations and Strategic Planning since September 2001; Chief Communication Officer and Vice President of Investor and Corporate Relations August 2000 — September 2001; Vice President of Investor Relations August 1999 — August 2000; prior to employment with the Registrant: Director - Investor and Corporate Relations — Harnischfeger Industries, Inc. and Vice President — Harnischfeger Foundation July 1995 — July 1999.
Christy M. Burmeister-Smith	45	Vice President and Controller since June 1999; Controller — Energy Delivery and various other positions with the Company since 1980.
Kelly O. Norwood	43	Vice President since November 2000; Vice President and General Manager of Energy Resources — Avista Utilities since August 2000; various other staff and management positions with the Company since 1981.
Ronald R. Peterson	49	Vice President and Treasurer since November 1998; Vice President Finance — Avista Utilities since September 2001; Vice President and Controller February 1998 - November 1998; Controller August 1996 — February 1998.
Terry L. Syms	53	Vice President and Corporate Secretary since February 1998; Corporate Secretary March 1988 — February 1998.
Roger D. Woodworth	45	Vice President since November 1998; Vice President of Utility Operations of Avista Utilities since September 2001; Vice President — Corporate Development November 1998 — September 2001; Director of Corporate Development and various other positions with the Company since 1979.

46

Vice President of Human Resources and Corporate Services since February 2002; Various Human Resources positions with the Company April 1998 — February 2002. Adjunct Instructor-City University and Director of Human Resources-Spokane Club 1996-1998.

89

AVISTA CORPORATION

All of the Company's executive officers, with the exception of Kelly O. Norwood, Christy M. Burmeister-Smith and Karen S. Feltes, were officers or directors of one or more of the Company's subsidiaries in 2001. Executive officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information regarding executive compensation has been omitted pursuant to General Instruction G to Form 10-K. Reference is made to the Registrant's Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Registrant's annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 9, 2002.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

(a) Security ownership of certain beneficial owners (owning 5 percent or more of Registrant's voting securities):

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners (owning 5 percent or more of Registrant's voting securities) has been omitted pursuant to General Instruction G to Form 10-K. Reference is made to the Registrant's Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Registrant's annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 9, 2002.

(b) Security ownership of management:

Information regarding security ownership of management has been omitted pursuant to General Instruction G to Form 10-K. Reference is made to the Registrant's Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Registrant's annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 9, 2002.

(c) Changes in control:

None.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions has been omitted pursuant to General Instruction G to Form 10-K. Reference is made to the Registrant's Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the Registrant's annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 9, 2002.

90

PART IV

Item 14. Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules, Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) 1. Financial Statements (Included in Part II of this report):

Independent Auditors' Report

Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2001 and 2000

Consolidated Statements of Capitalization, December 31, 2001 and 2000

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

Schedule of Information by Business Segments for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a) 2. Financial Statement Schedules:

None

(a) 3. Exhibits:

Reference is made to the Exhibit Index commencing on page 94. The Exhibits include the management contracts and compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed as exhibits to this Form 10-K by Item 601(10)(iii) of Regulation S-K.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

Dated October 8, 2001 regarding the IPUC approval of a 14.7 percent PCA surcharge and the extension of a 4.7 percent PCA surcharge to Idaho customers as well credit rating changes for the Company.

Dated October 24, 2001 regarding the sale of 50 percent of Coyote Springs 2 plant, the planned disposal of Avista Communications and the financial results for Avista Corp. for the period ended September 30, 2001.

Dated December 2, 2001 regarding the filing of a general electric rate case in Washington transactions with Enron Corporation and its affiliates.

91

AVISTA CORPORATION

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AVISTA CORPORATION March 18, 2002 By /s/ Gary G. Ely Gary G. Ely Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Gary G. Ely	Principal Executive Officer	March 18, 2002
Gary G. Ely	 Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer 	
/s/ Jon E. Eliassen	Principal Financial and Accounting Officer	March 18, 2002
Jon E. Eliassen	 (Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer) 	
/s/ Erik J. Anderson	Director	March 18, 2002
Erik J. Anderson	_	
/s/ Kristianne Blake	Director	March 18, 2002
Kristianne Blake		
/s/ David A. Clack	Director _	March 18, 2002
David A. Clack		
/s/ Sarah M. R. Jewell	Director	March 18, 2002
Sarah M. R. Jewell		
/s/ John F. Kelly	Director	March 18, 2002
John F. Kelly		
/s/ Jessie J. Knight, Jr.	Director	March 18, 2002
Jessie J. Knight, Jr.		
/s/ Eugene W. Meyer	Director	March 18, 2002
Eugene W. Meyer		
/s/ Bobby Schmidt	Director	March 18, 2002
Bobby Schmidt		

/s/ R. John Taylor		Director	March 18, 2002
R. John Taylor			
/s/ Daniel J. Zaloudek		Director	March 18, 2002
Daniel J. Zaloudek			
	92		

AVISTA CORPORATION

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' CONSENT

We consent to the incorporation by reference in Registration Statement Nos. 2-81697, 2-94816, 33-54791, 333-03601, 333-22373, 333-58197, 33-32148, 333-33790, and 333-47290 on Form S-8, in Registration Statement Nos. 33-53655, 333-39551, 333-82165, 333-63243, 333-16353, 333-16353-01, 333-16353-02, 333-16353-03, and 333-64652 on Form S-3, and in Registration Statement Nos. 333-62232, and 333-82502 on Form S-4 of our report dated February 8, 2002 (March 4, 2002, as to Note 1), appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Avista Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2001.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Seattle, Washington March 18, 2002

93

AVISTA CORPORATION

EXHIBIT INDEX

Previously Filed' With Registration As Number Exhibit Exhibit 3(a) Restated Articles of Incorporation of Avista Corporation as amended November 1, 1999. 3(b) 1-3701 (with June 30, Bylaws of Avista Corporation, as amended July 1, 2000. 2000 Form 10-Q) 2-4077B-3 Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of June 1, 1939. 4(a)-14(a)-2 2-98124(c) First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 1, 1952. 4(a)-32-60728 2(b)-2Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 1, 1953. 4(a)-4 2-13421 4(b)-3 Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 1955. 2-13421 4(b)-4 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 15, 1967. 4(a)-54(a)-62-60728 2(b)-5 Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 1, 1957 4(a)-7 2-60728 2(b)-6Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1958. 4(a)-82-60728 2(b)-7Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 1958. 2-60728 2(b)-8 Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1959. 4(a)-9 4(a)-10 2-60728 2(b)-9Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1960. 2(b)-10 4(a)-11 2-60728 Tenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 1, 1964. 4(a)-12 2-60728 2(b)-11 Eleventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 1965. 4(a)-13 2-60728 2(b)-12 Twelfth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 1, 1966. 4(a)-14 2(b)-13 2-60728 Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 1966. 4(a)-15 2-60728 2(b)-14 Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 1, 1970. 4(a)-16 2-60728 2(b)-15 Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 1, 1973. 4(a)-17 2-60728 2(b)-16 Sixteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 1, 1975. 4(a)-18 2(b)-17 2-60728 Seventeenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 1, 1976. 4(a)-19 2-69080 2(b)-18 Eighteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 1, 1980. 4(a)-20 1-3701 (with 1980 4(a)-20 Nineteenth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1981. Form 10-K) 4(a)-21 2-79571 4(a)-21 Twentieth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 1982.

94

Incorporated herein by reference.

^{**} Filed herewith.

D.	rev	ioi	10	l.,	Eil	00	١

Exhibit	With Registration Number	As Exhibit	
4(a)-22	1-3701 (with Form 8-K dated September 20, 1983)	4(a)-22	Twenty-First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 1983.
4(a)-23	2-94816	4(a)-23	Twenty-Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 1, 1984.
4(a)-24	1-3701 (with 1986 Form 10-K)	4(a)-24	Twenty-Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 1986.
4(a)-25	1-3701 (with 1987 Form 10-K)	4(a)-25	Twenty-Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1988.
4(a)-26	1-3701 (with 1989 Form 10-K)	4(a)-26	Twenty-Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of October 1, 1989.
4(a)-27	33-51669	4(a)-27	Twenty-Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 1, 1993.
4(a)-28	1-3701 (with 1993 Form 10-K)	4(a)-28	Twenty-Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 1, 1994.
4(a)-29	**		Twenty-Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 1, 2001
4(a)-30	333-82502	4(b)	Twenty-Ninth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 1, 2001
4(a)-31	333-82165	4(a)	Indenture dated as of April 1, 1998 between Avista Corp. Corporation and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as Trustee.
4(a)-32	1-3701 (with March 31, 2001 Form 10-Q)	4(f)	Indenture dated as of April 3, 2001, by and among the Company and Chase Manhattan Bank and Trust Company, National Association, as Trustee
4(b)-1	1-3701 (with 1999 Form 10-K)		Loan Agreement between City of Forsyth, Montana, and the Company, dated as of September 1, 1999 (Series 1999A)
4(b)-2	1-3701 (with 1999 Form 10-K)		Indenture of Trust, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Series 1999A) between City of Forsyth, Montana, and Chase Manhattan Bank and Trust Company, N.A., dated as of September 1, 1999.
4(b)-3	1-3701 (with 1999 Form 10-K)		Loan Agreement between City of Forsyth, Montana, and the Company, dated as of September 1, 1999 (Series 1999B)
4(b)-4	1-3701 (with 1999 Form 10-K)		Indenture of Trust, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds (Series 1999B) between City of Forsyth, Montana, and Chase Manhattan Bank and Trust Company, N.A., dated as of September 1, 1999.

Incorporated herein by reference. Filed herewith.

Previously Filed*

95

AVISTA CORPORATION

EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)

Exhibit	With Registration Number	As Exhibit	
4(c)	1-3701 (with 1988 Form 10-K)	4(h)-1	Indenture between the Company and Chemical Bank dated as of July 1, 1988 (Series A and B Medium-Term Notes)
4(d)	1-3701 (with June 30, 2001 Form 10-Q)	4(d)-2	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of May 31, 2001, among Avista Corporation, The Bank of New York, as Documentation Agent and Toronto Dominion (Texas), Inc. As Agent.
4(e)	1-3701 (with Form 8-K dated November 15, 1999)	4	Rights Agreement, dated as of November 15, 1999, between the Company and the Bank of New York as successor Rights Agent.
4(f)	333-82502	4(c)	Exchange and Registration Rights Agreement, dated December 19, 2001 among the Company and Goldman, Sach & Co., BNY Capital Markets, Inc., Fleet Securities, Inc. and TD Securities (USA), Inc.
10(a)-l	2-13788	13(e)	Power Sales Contract (Rocky Reach Project) with Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County, Washington, dated as of November 14, 1957.
10(a)-2	2-60728	10(b)- 1	Amendment to Power Sales Contract (Rocky Reach Project) with Public Utility District No. 1 of Chelan County, Washington, dated as of June 1, 1968.
10(b)-1	2-13421	13(d)	Power Sales Contract (Priest Rapids Project) with Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, dated as of May 22, 1956.
10(b)-2	2-60728	5(d)-1	Second Amendment to Power Sales Contract (Priest Rapids Project) with Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, dated as of December 19, 1977.
10(c)-1	2-60728	5(e)	Power Sales Contract (Wanapum Project) with Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, dated as of June 22, 1959.
10(c)-2	2-60728	5(e)-1	First Amendment to Power Sales Contract (Wanapum Project) with Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, dated as of December 19, 1977.
10(d)-1	2-60728	5(g)	Power Sales Contract (Wells Project) with Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County, Washington, dated as of September 18, 1963.
10(d)-2	2-60728	5(g)-1	Amendment to Power Sales Contract (Wells Project) with Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County, Washington, dated as of February 9, 1965.

Incorporated herein by reference.

AVISTA CORPORATION

EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)

Previo	vlaur	Filed*

Exhibit	With Registration Number	As Exhibit	
10(d)-3	2-60728	5(h)	Reserved Share Power Sales Contract (Wells Project) with Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County, Washington, dated as of September 18, 1963.
10(d)-4	2-60728	5(h)-1	Amendment to Reserved Share Power Sales Contract (Wells Project) with Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County, Washington, dated as of February 9, 1965.
10(e)	2-60728	5(i)	Canadian Entitlement Exchange Agreement executed by Bonneville Power Administration Columbia Storage Power Exchange and the Company, dated as of August 13, 1964.
10(f)	2-60728	5(j)	Pacific Northwest Coordination Agreement, dated as of September 15, 1964.
10(h)-2	2-60728	5(m)-1	Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement between the Company between the Company, Bonneville Power Administration and Washington Public Power Supply System for purchase and exchange of power from the Nuclear Project No. 1 (Hanford), dated as of May 8, 1974.
10(h)-3	1-3701 (with 1986 Form 10-K)	10(i)-3	Agreement between Bonneville Power Administration, the Montana Power Company, Pacific Power & Light, Portland General Electric, Puget Sound Power & Light, the Company and the Supply System for relocation costs of Nuclear Project No. 1 (Hanford) dated as of July 9, 1986.
10(i)-1	2-60728	5(n)	Ownership Agreement of Nuclear Project No. 3, sponsored by Washington Public Power Supply System, dated as of September 17, 1973.
10(i)-2	1-3701 (with September 30, 1985 Form 10-Q)	1	Settlement Agreement and Covenant Not to Sue executed by the United States Department of Energy acting by and through the Bonneville Power Administration and the Company, dated as of September 17, 1985, describing the settlement of Project 3 litigation.
10(i)-3	1-3701 (with September 30, 1985 Form 10-Q)	2	Agreement to Dismiss Claims and Covenant Not to Sue between the Washington Public Power Supply System and the Company, dated as of September 17, 1985, describing the settlement of Project 3 litigation with the Supply System.
10(i)-4	1-3701 (with September 30, 1985 Form 10-Q)	3	Agreement among Puget Sound Power & Light Company, the Company, Portland General Electric Company and PacifiCorp, dba Pacific Power & Light Company, agreeing to execute contemporaneously an irrevocable offer, to and for the benefit of the Bonneville Power Administration, dated as of September 17, 1985.

Incorporated herein by reference.

97

AVISTA CORPORATION

10(k)-5

EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)

Exhibit	Registration Number	As Exhibit	
10(j)-1	2-66184	5(r)	
10(j)-2	2-60728	5(s)	
10(j)-3	1-3701 (with 1989 Form 10-K)	10(k)-4	
10(j)-4	1-3701 (with 1992 Form 10-K)	10(k)-6	
10(j)-5	1-3701 (with 1992 Form 10-K)	10(k)-7	
10(k)-1	1-3701 (with Form 8-K for August 1976)	13(b)	
10(k)-2	1-3701 (with 1981 Form 10-K)	10(s)-7	
10(k)-3	1-3701 (with 1981 Form 10-K)	10(s)-2	
10(k)-4	1-3701 (with 1981 Form 10-K)	10(s)-3	

1-3701 (with 1988

Form 10-K)

10(I)-5

Previously Filed*

With

Service Agreement (Natural Gas Storage Service), dated as of August 27, 1979, between the Company and Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

Service Agreement (Liquefaction-Storage Natural Gas Service), dated as of December 7, 1977, between the Company and Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

Amendment dated as of January 1, 1990, to Firm Transportation Agreement, dated as of June 15, 1988, between the Company and Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

Firm Transportation Service Agreement, dated as of April 25, 1991, between the Company and Pacific Gas Transmission Company.

Service Agreement Applicable to Firm Transportation Service, dated June 12, 1991, between the Company and Alberta Natural Gas Company Ltd.

Letter of Intent for the Construction and Ownership of Colstrip Units No. 3 and 4, sponsored by The Montana Power Company, dated as of April 16, 1974.

Ownership and Operation Agreement for Colstrip Units No. 3 and 4, sponsored by The Montana Power Company, dated as of May 6, 1981.

Coal Supply Agreement for Colstrip Units No. 3 and 4 between The Montana Power Company, Puget Sound Power & Light Company, Portland General Electric Company, Pacific Power & Light Company, Western Energy Company and the Company, dated as of July 2, 1980.

Amendment No. 1 to Coal Supply Agreement for Colstrip Units No. 3 and 4, dated as of July 10, 1981.

Amendment No. 4 to Coal Supply Agreement for Colstrip Units No. 3 and 4, dated as of January 1, 1988.

^{**} Filed herewith.

10(I)-1	1-3701 (with 1986 Form 10-K)	10(n)-2	Lease Agreement between the Company and IRE-4 New York, Inc., dated as of December 15, 1986, relating to the Company's central operating facility.
10(m)	1-3701 (with 1983 Form 10-K)	10(v)	Supplemental Agreement No. 2, Skagit/Hanford Project, dated as of December 27, 1983, relating to the termination of the Skagit/Hanford Project.

^{*} Incorporated herein by reference.

98

AVISTA CORPORATION

EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)

	Previously Filed*		
Exhibit	With Registration Number	As Exhibit	
10(n)	1-3701 (with 1986 Form 10-K)	10(p)-l	Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Firm Capacity and Energy between Puget Sound Power & Light Company and the Company, dated as of August 1, 1986.
10(o)	1-3701 (with 1991 Form 10-K)	10(q)-1	Electric Service and Purchase Agreement between Potlatch Corporation and the Company, dated as of January 3, 1991.
10(p)	1-3701 (with 1992 Form 10-K)	10(s)-1	Agreements for Purchase and Sale of Firm Capacity between the Company and Portland General Electric Company dated March and June 1992.
10(q)-1	1-3701 (with 1992 Form 10-K)	10(t)-8	Executive Deferral Plan of the Company. (***)
10(q)-2	1-3701 (with 1992 Form 10-K)	10(t)-10	The Company's Unfunded Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan. (***)
10(q)-3	1-3701 (with 1992 Form 10-K)	10(t)-11	The Company's Unfunded Supplemental Executive Disability Plan. (***)
10(q)-4	1-3701 (with 1992 Form 10-K)	10(t)-12	Income Continuation Plan of the Company. (***)
10(q)-5	1-3701 (with 1998 Form 10-K)		Long-Term Incentive Plan. (***)
10(q)-6	1-3701 (with 1999 Form 10-K)		Employment Agreement between the Company and David J. Meyer. (***)
12	**		Statement re computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividend requirements.
21	**		Subsidiaries of Registrant.

^{*} Incorporated herein by reference.

^{**} Filed herewith.

^{**} Filed herewith.

^{***} Management contracts or compensatory plans filed as exhibits by reference per Item 601(10)(iii) of Regulation S-K.