Management of Labial Adhesion in a Low-Income Country

Yosra Kerkeni, Fatma Thamri, Riadh Jouini

Department of Pediatric Surgery, Children's Hospital of Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar Faculty of Medicine, Tunis, Tunisia

Labial adhesion, also called synechia vulvae or labial agglutination, is an acquired, benign condition known by many physicians.¹ It is defined by the fusion of the labia minora or majora, and it is mostly located near the clitoris. The precise cause of labial adhesions is currently unknown. However, it is considered that estrogen deficiency may play a role. Almost 2% of girls in the first years of life may be affected, with a peak incidence in the second year of life.² Complications of this condition are minor. The association of urinary tract infections particularly demands therapy.¹² According to the research, the majority of patients receive conservative treatment without any intervention.¹³-6

However, the scenario may alter in low-income countries due to the current financial land-scape, which requires a different approach to management.

A 3-year-old girl consulted for vaginal itching evolving for a week. Interrogation revealed a history of 2 episodes of untreated vulvovaginitis.

Physical examination showed adhesion of the labia minora (Figure 1A). Parents were informed of the diagnosis and were given the choice between topical therapy with estrogen, which is effective but gives late results and can cause labial pigmentation and thelarche, and manual separation of the labia affording immediate results but can be painful and potentially traumatic for the child.

Parents chose the second alternative due to financial and transportation issues. After applying a local anesthetic, surgery was successfully performed by separating the labia without



Figure 1. (A) Black arrows: A thin translucent membrane extending from the clitoris to the posterior fourchette corresponding to labial adhesion. White arrows: A 5-mm opening in the middle corresponding to vaginal opening. (B) Aspect after manual labial separation.

Corresponding author:

Content of this journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.



Cite this article as: Kerkeni Y, Thamri F, Jouini R. Management of labial adhesion in a low-income country. *Turk Arch Pediatr.* 2023;58(3):339–340.

complications (Figure 1B). No adjuvant topical therapy was prescribed.

Parents were involved in the subsequent management by explaining the triggering causes of such benign condition which include inadequate hygiene, dermatitis, and vulvovaginitis occurring in the hormonal dormancy period.

The parents were given advice regarding how to practice adequate personal hygiene, and the control examination, after 4 weeks, revealed no signs of a recurrence of the condition.

The patient was seen only once at the outpatient clinic, and there was no recurrence. The parents were then given an open appointment to consult in case of recurrence.

One of the most prevalent pediatric gynecologic issues is labial adhesion. Despite being a benign condition, it could nevertheless cause significant parental anxiety. Contrary to what is mentioned in the literature, management strategy in developing countries is different. The majority of the literature is from the USA or European countries.³⁻⁷ The ideal method of treatment is either observation or the use of an estrogen cream with regular follow-up at the outpatient clinic.^{3,5,6} Topical betamethasone application has also been reported as a successful alternative for conservative treatment.³

Faced with the financial difficulties of the majority of our consultants, most prefer a solution with immediate results and opt for manual separation.

Regardless of the method of treatment, recurrences may occur. According to some studies, 4,8 the rate of recurrence following topical or surgical treatment ranges from 11% to 14%. To avoid recurrence, it is necessary to maintain local hygiene. The overall outcome is excellent.

Informed Consent: Informed consent has been obtained from the patient's guardians.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept and Writing - Y.K.; Literature Search - F.T.; Critical Review - R.|.

Declaration of Interests: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding: This study received no funding.

REFERENCES

- Dowlut–McElroy T, Higgins J, Williams KB, Strickland JL. Treatment of prepubertal labial adhesions: a randomized controlled trial. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2019;32(3):259–263. [CrossRef]
- Rubinstein A, Rahman G, Risso P, Ocampo D. Labial adhesions: experience in a Children's Hospital. Arch Argent Pediatr. 2018;116(1):65–68. [CrossRef]
- Eroğlu E, Yip M, Oktar T, Kayiran SM, Mocan H. How should we treat prepubertal labial adhesions? Retrospective comparison of topical treatments: estrogen only, betamethasone only, and combination estrogen and betamethasone. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2011;24(6):389–391. [CrossRef]
- Granada C, Sokkary N, Sangi-Haghpeykar H, Dietrich JE. Labial adhesions and outcomes of management. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2015;28(2):109-113. [CrossRef]
- Myers JB, Sorensen CM, Wisner BP, Furness PD 3rd, Passamaneck M, Koyle MA. Betamethasone cream for the treatment of prepubertal labial adhesions. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2006;19(6):407-411. [CrossRef]
- Soyer T. Topical estrogen therapy in labial adhesions in children: therapeutic or prophylactic? J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2007;20(4):241-244. [CrossRef]
- Muram D. Treatment of prepubertal girls with labial adhesions. | Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 1999;12(2):67-70. [CrossRef]
- Wejde E, Ekmark AN, Stenström P. Treatment with oestrogen or manual separation for labial adhesions-initial outcome and longterm follow-up. BMC Pediatr. 2018;18(1):104. [CrossRef]