2 3 Clerk of the Superior Court DEC 0 9 2016 6 By: P. ASHWORTH, Deputy 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 10 11 BRANDON FELCZER, individually, RYAN GOLDMAN, individually, Case No. 37-2011-00102593 CU-OE-CTL 12 RAMSEY HAWKINS, individually, and JOSEPH LANE CARCO, on behalf of <u>Assigned For All Purposes To:</u> Hon. Eddie C. Sturgeon themselves and all others similarly situated, 13 14 Plaintiffs, 15 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM ٧. 16 APPLE INC., a California corporation, 17 Defendant. Trial Date: October 14, 2016 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 1.

## 1 SPECIAL VERDICT FORM 2 We, the jury in the case filed by Brandon Felczer, Ryan Goldman, and Ramsey Hawkins, on 3 behalf of the subclasses of employees described below and against Apple Inc., find the following 4 Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us: 5 6 I. MISSED MEAL PERIOD CLAIM 7 QUESTION 1: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012 8 Apple had uniform company-wide meal period policies that failed to authorize and permit the 9 subclass of all California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees to take a first meal period 10 when they worked more than five hours? No 🗵 11 Yes 12 If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, proceed to Question 2. If you answered "No" to Question 1, 13 14 please proceed to Question 3. 15 16 QUESTION 2: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012, Apple consistently applied its meal period policies in a manner that resulted in the subclass of all 17 18 California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees missing a first meal period when they 19 worked more than five hours? 20 Yes No 🗆 21 22 Proceed to Question 3. 23 24 QUESTION 3: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012 25 Apple had uniform company-wide meal period policies that failed to authorize and permit the 26 subclass of all California non-exempt, non-managerial employees to take a second meal period when 27 they worked more than ten hours?

No X

28

Yes

l	If you answered "Yes" to Question 3, please proceed to Question 4.
2	If you answered "No" to Question 3, AND answered "Yes" to Question 2, please proceed to
3	Question 5.
4	If you answered "No" to Question 3 AND answered "No" to either Question 1 or Question 2, please
5	proceed to Question 6.
6	
7	QUESTION 4: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012,
8	Apple consistently applied its meal period policies in a manner that resulted in the subclass of all
9	California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who worked more than 10 hours to miss a
10	second meal period?
11	Yes □ No □
12	
13	If you answered "Yes" to Question 4 and/or Question 2, please proceed to Question 5.
14	If you answered "No" to Question 4, AND answered "No" to either Question 1 or Question 2, please
15	proceed to Question 6.
16	
17	QUESTION 5: What amount of first and second meal period premiums, if any, do you find
18	Apple should pay to the subclass of all California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees?
19	(You may not award a second meal period premium for any workday where you already awarded a
20	first meal period premium.) \$
21	
22	Proceed to Question 6.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3.

1	II. LATE MEAL PERIOD CLAIM
2	QUESTION 6: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012
3	Apple had uniform company-wide meal period policies that failed to authorize and permit the
4	subclass of all California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees the opportunity to take a
5	first meal period by the start of the sixth hour of work?
6	Yes □ No 🅦
7	
8	If you answered "Yes" to Question 6, then proceed to Question 7. If you answered "No" to
9	Question 6, proceed to Question 8.
10	
11	QUESTION 7: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012
12	Apple consistently applied its meal period policies in a manner that resulted in the subclass of all
13	non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who worked more than five hours to miss the
14	opportunity to take a first meal period by the start of the sixth hour of work?
15	Yes □ No □
16	
17	Proceed to Question 8.
18	
19	QUESTION 8: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012
20	Apple Retail stores consistently applied a uniform scheduling practice that resulted in the subclass of
21	all California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who worked more than five hours to
22	miss the opportunity to take their first meal period by the start of the sixth hour of work?
23	Yes No 🗆
24	
25	Proceed to Question 9.
26	
27	
28	
	4.

1	QUESTION 9: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012
2	Apple had uniform company-wide meal period policies that failed to authorize and permit the
3	subclass of all California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who worked more than 10
4	hours the opportunity to take a second meal period beginning by the start of the eleventh hour of
5	work?
6	Yes □ No 💢
7	
8	If you answered "Yes" to Question 9, then proceed to Question 10. If you answered "No" to
9	Question 9, proceed to Question 11.
10	
11	QUESTION 10: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012
12	Apple consistently applied its meal period policies in a manner that resulted in the subclass of all
13	non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who worked more than 10 hours to miss the
14	opportunity to take their second meal period by the start of the eleventh hour of work?
15	Yes □ No □
16	
17	Proceed to Question 11.
18	
19	QUESTION 11: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012
20	Apple Retail stores consistently applied a uniform scheduling practice that resulted in the subclass of
21	all California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who worked more than ten hours to
22	miss the opportunity to take their second meal period by the start of the eleventh hour of work?
23	Yes □ No 🕱
24	
25	If you answered "Yes" to Question 11, Question 7, Question 8, or Question 10, please proceed to
26	Question 12. If you did NOT answer "Yes" to any of those questions, please proceed to Question
27	13.
28	
	5.

1	QUESTION 12: What amount of first and second meal period premiums, if any, do you
2	find Apple should pay to the subclass of all California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail
3	employees? (You may not award a second meal period premium for any workday where you already
4	awarded a first meal period premium.) \$ \(\frac{2}{2},000,000.00\)
5	v v
6	Proceed to Question 13.
7	
8	III. REST BREAK CLAIM
9	QUESTION 13: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012
10	Apple had uniform company-wide rest break policies that failed to authorize and permit the subclass
11	of all California non-exempt Retail employees to take the appropriate number of rest breaks?
12	Yes □ No 🕱
13	
14	If you answered "Yes" to Question 13, then proceed to Question 14. If you answered "No" to
15	Question 13, proceed to Question 16.
16	
17	QUESTION 14: Did Plaintiffs prove that from December 16, 2008 through July 31, 2012
18	Apple consistently applied its rest break policies in a manner that resulted in the subclass of all
19	California non-exempt Retail employees to miss the opportunity to take the appropriate number of
20	rest breaks?
21	Yes □ No □
22	
23	If you answered "Yes" to Question 14, then proceed to Question 15. If you answered "No" to
24	Question 14, proceed to Question 16.
25	
26	
27	
28	
	6.

1	QUESTION 15: What rest break premiums, if any, do you find Apple should pay to the
2	subclass of all California non-exempt Retail employees? \$
3	
4	Please go to Question 16.
5	
6	IV. DIRECT FINAL WAGE PAYMENT CLAIM
7	
8	QUESTION 16: Did Plaintiffs prove that because Apple's Payroll Department is located in
9	Austin, Texas and is informally staffed on weekends, Apple willfully failed to timely provide final
10	wages to the subclass of all California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who
11	terminated their employment between December 16, 2008 and December 16, 2011?
12	Yes □ No 💢
13	
14	If you answered "Yes" to Question 16, then proceed to Question 17. If you answered "No" to
15	Question 16, and you did not provide a damage calculation in response to Question 5, Question 12,
16	or Question 15, please have the presiding juror sign and date this Special Verdict Form and return it
17	to the Bailiff, or as directed by the Court.
18	
19	QUESTION 17: Did Apple willfully fail to pay the full amount of wages earned by each
20	subclass member within the appropriate timeframe?
21	
22	Yes  No No
23	
24	If your answer to Question 17 is "Yes", then proceed to question 18. If you answered "No" to
25	Question 17, and you did not provide a damage calculation in response to Question 5, Question 12,
26	or Question 15, please have the presiding juror sign and date this Special Verdict Form and return it
27	to the Bailiff, or as directed by the Court.
28	
	7.
- 1	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

1	QUESTION 18. For each subclass, do you find either:
2	A. That those subclass members who voluntarily terminated their employment gave
3	at least 72 hours notice; or
4	B. That those subclass members who voluntarily terminated their employment gave
5	no notice.
6	Check the appropriate one: A: B: Both:
7	QUESTION 19: What waiting time penalties, if any, do you find Apple should pay to the
8	subclass of California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who terminated their
9	employment between December 16, 2008 and December 16, 2011? \$
10	
11	If you provided a damage calculation in response to Question 5, Question 12, or Question 15, please
12	proceed to Question 20.
13	
14	V. DERIVATIVE FINAL WAGE PAYMENT CLAIM
15	
16	QUESTION 20: Did Plaintiffs prove that Apple failed to pay meal period and/or rest break
17	premiums to those meal period and/or rest break subclass members who terminated their
18	employment between December 16, 2008 and December 16, 2011?
19	Yes ⋈ No □
20	
21	If you answered "Yes" to Question 20, then proceed to Question 21. If you answered "No" to
22	Question 20, then please have the presiding juror sign and date this Special Verdict Form and return
23	it to the Bailiff, or as directed by the Court.
24	
25	QUESTION 21: Did Apple willfully fail to pay the full amount of meal period and/or rest
26	break premiums to each subclass member upon termination?
27	
28	Yes  No
	8.

2	If you answered "Yes" to question 21, then proceed to Question 22. If you answered "No" to
3	Question 21, then proceed to Question 23.
4	QUESTION 22: What waiting time penalties, if any, do you find Apple should pay to the
5	subclass of California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees who terminated their
6	employment between December 16, 2008 and December 16, 2011? \$
7	
8	Proceed to Question 23.
9	
10	VI. DERIVATIVE WAGE STATEMENT CLAIM
11	
12	QUESTION 23: Did Plaintiffs prove that Apple knowingly and intentionally failed to report
13	the amount of meal period and/or rest break premiums on the wage statements of meal period and
14	rest break subclass members who worked between December 16, 2010 and July 31, 2012?
15	Yes □ No 🕱
16	
17	If you answered "Yes" to Question 23, then proceed to Question 24. If you answered "No" to
18	Question 23, then please have the presiding juror sign and date this Special Verdict Form and return
19	it to the Bailiff, or as directed by the Court.
20	
21	QUESTION 24: For the Class, identify the number of pay periods the meal period or rest
22	break premiums were due and Apple knowingly and intentionally failed to include the meal period
23	or rest break premiums on the Class' wage statements.
24	
25	Proceed to Question 25.
26	
27	
28	
	9.
- 1	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

1	QUESTION 25: What wage statement penalties, if any, do you find Apple should pay to the
2	subclass of California non-exempt, non-managerial Retail employees from December 16, 2010
3	through July 31, 2012? \$
4	
5	Please have the presiding juror sign and date this Special Verdict Form and return it to the
6	Bailiff, or as directed by the Court.
7	Dated: 12/9/16 /elle Melle
8	Dated: 12916 Presiding Juror
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10