Ergodic Solenoidal Homology: Realization Theorem*

Vicente Muñoz¹, Ricardo Pérez-Marco^{2,**}

¹ Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Plaza de Ciencias 3, 28040 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: vicente.munoz@mat.ucm.es

² CNRS, LAGA UMR 7539, Université Paris XIII, 99, Avenue J.-B. Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France. E-mail: ricardo@math.univ-paris13.fr

Received: 15 October 2009 / Accepted: 13 September 2010 Published online: 11 February 2011 – © Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract: We define generalized currents associated with immersions of abstract oriented solenoids with a transversal measure. We realize geometrically the full real homology of a compact manifold with these generalized currents, and more precisely with immersions of minimal uniquely ergodic solenoids. This makes precise and geometric De Rham's realization of the real homology by only using a restricted geometric subclass of currents.

1. Introduction

We consider a smooth compact connected oriented manifold M of dimension $n \ge 1$. Any closed oriented submanifold $N \subset M$ of dimension $0 \le k \le n$ determines a homology class in $H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$. This homology class in $H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$, as dual of De Rham cohomology, is explicitly given by integration of the restriction to N of differential k-forms on M. Also, any immersion $f : N \to M$ defines an integer homology class in a similar way by integration of pull-backs of k-forms. Unfortunately, because of topological reasons dating back to Thom [13, 14], not all integer homology classes in $H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$ can be realized in such a way. Geometrically, we can realize any class in $H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$ by topological k-chains. The real homology $H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ classes are only realized by formal combinations with real coefficients of k-cells. This is not satisfactory for various reasons. In particular, for diverse purposes it is important to have an explicit realization, as geometric as possible, of real homology classes.

The first contribution in this direction came in 1957 from the work of S. Schwartzman [9]. Schwartzman showed how, by a limiting procedure, one-dimensional curves embedded in M can define a real homology class in $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$. More precisely, he proved that this happens for almost all curves solutions to a differential equation admitting an

^{*} Partially supported through Spanish MEC grant MTM2007-63582.

^{**} Second author supported by CNRS (UMR 7539).

invariant ergodic probability measure. Schwartzman's idea is very natural. It consists of integrating 1-forms over large pieces of the parametrized curve and normalizing this integral by the length of the parametrization. Under suitable conditions, the limit exists and defines an element of the dual of $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, i.e. an element of $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$. This procedure is equivalent to the more geometric one of closing large pieces of the curve by relatively short closing paths. The closed curve obtained defines an integer homology class. The normalization by the length of the parameter range provides a class in $H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$. Under suitable hypothesis, there exists a unique limit in real homology when the pieces exhaust the parametrized curve, and this limit is independent of the closing procedure. In the article [5], we study the different aspects of the Schwartzman procedure, that we extend to higher dimension.

Later in 1975, D. Ruelle and D. Sullivan [8] defined, for arbitrary dimension $0 \le k \le n$, geometric currents by using oriented *k*-laminations embedded in *M* and endowed with a transversal measure. They applied their results to stable and unstable laminations of Axiom A diffeomorphisms. In a later article Sullivan [11] extended further these results and their applications. The point of view of Ruelle and Sullivan is also based on duality. The observation is that *k*-forms can be integrated on each leaf of the lamination and then all over the lamination using the transversal measure. This makes sense locally in each flow-box, and then it can be extended globally by using a partition of unity. The result only depends on the cohomology class of the *k*-form. In [4] we review and extend Ruelle-Sullivan theory.

It is natural to ask whether it is possible to realize every real homology class using a topologically minimal (i.e. all leaves are dense) Ruelle-Sullivan current. In order to achieve this goal we must enlarge the class of Ruelle-Sullivan currents by considering immersions of abstract oriented solenoids. We define a *k*-solenoid to be a Hausdorff compact space foliated by *k*-dimensional leaves with finite dimensional transversal structure (see the precise definition in Sect. 2). For these oriented solenoids we can consider *k*-forms that we can integrate provided that we are given a transversal measure invariant by the holonomy group. We define an immersion of a solenoid *S* into *M* to be a regular map $f : S \to M$ that is an immersion in each leaf. If the solenoid *S* is endowed with a transversal measure μ , then any smooth *k*-form in *M* can be pulled back to *S* by *f* and integrated. The resulting numerical value only depends on the cohomology class of the *k*-form. Therefore we have defined a closed current that we denote by (f, S_{μ}) and that we call a *generalized current*. This gives a homology class $[f, S_{\mu}] \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$. Our main result is:

Theorem 1.1 (Realization Theorem). Every real homology class in $H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ can be realized by a generalized current (f, S_μ) , where S_μ is an oriented, minimal, uniquely ergodic solenoid.

Minimal and uniquely ergodic solenoids are defined later on. This result strengthens De Rham's realization theorem of homology classes by abstract currents, i.e. forms whose coefficients are distributions. It is a geometric De Rham's Theorem where the abstract currents are replaced by generalized currents that are geometric objects.

We can ask why we do need to enlarge the class of Ruelle-Sullivan currents. The result does not hold for minimal Ruelle-Sullivan currents due to the following result from [4] (compare with [3]).

Theorem 1.2 [4, Cor. 10.2]. *Homology classes with non-zero self-intersection cannot be represented by Ruelle-Sullivan currents with no compact leaves.*

Therefore it is not possible to represent a real homology class in $H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ with nonzero self-intersection by a minimal Ruelle-Sullivan current that it is not a submanifold. Note that this obstruction only exists when n - k is even. This may be the historical reason behind the lack of results on the representation of an arbitrary homology class by minimal Ruelle-Sullivan currents.

The space of solenoids is large, and we would like to realize the real homology classes by a minimal class of solenoids enjoying good properties. We are first naturally led to topological minimality. As we prove in [4], the spaces of k-solenoids is inductive and therefore there are always minimal k-solenoids. However, the transversal structure and the holonomy group of minimal solenoids can have a rich structure. In particular, such a solenoid may have many distinct transversal measures, each one yielding a different generalized current for the same immersion f. Also when we push Schwartzman ideas beyond 1-homology for some nice classes of solenoids, we see that in general, even when the immersion is an embedding, the generalized current does not necessarily coincide with the Schwartzman homology class of the immersion of each leaf (actually not even this Schwartzman class needs to be well defined). Indeed the classical literature lacks information about the precise relation between Ruelle-Sullivan and Schwartzman currents. One would naturally expect that there is some relation between the generalized currents and the Schwartzman current (if defined) of the leaves of the lamination. We study this problem in [5].

The main result is that there is such a relation for the class of minimal, ergodic solenoids with a trapping region. A solenoid with a trapping region (see the definition in Sect. 2) has holonomy group generated by a single map. Then the bridge between generalized currents and Schwartzman currents of the leaves is provided by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem. The main result of [5] is the following.

Theorem 1.3 [5, Theorems 1.1 & 1.2]. Let S_{μ} be a minimal solenoid endowed with an ergodic transversal measure μ and possessing a trapping region W. Let $f : S_{\mu} \to M$ be an immersion of S_{μ} into M such that f(W) is contained in a ball of M. Then for μ -almost all leaves $l \subset S_{\mu}$, the Schwartzman homology class of $f(l) \subset M$ is well defined and coincides with the homology class $[f, S_{\mu}]$.

If moreover S is uniquely ergodic, then this happens for all leaves.

(We recall the definition of Schwartzman homology class and trapping region in Sect. 2.)

The solenoids constructed for the proof of the Realization Theorem do satisfy the hypothesis of this theorem and the transversal measure is unique, that is, the solenoids are uniquely ergodic.

Solenoidal Hodge Conjecture. The Hodge Conjecture is an statement about the geometric realization of an integral class of pure type (p, p) in a complex (projective) manifold. If we drop the condition of the class being integral, then Theorem 1.1 suggests a natural conjecture for *real* homology classes of pure type as follows.

For a compact Kähler manifold M of complex dimension n, a complex immersed solenoid $f: S_{\mu} \to M$ (that is, a solenoid where the images f(l) of the leaves $l \subset S_{\mu}$ are complex immersed submanifolds), of dimension k = 2(n - p), defines a class in $H_{n-p,n-p}(M) = H^{p,p}(M)^* \subset H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$, as proved in Proposition 9.3 of [4]. It is natural to formulate the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.4 (Solenoidal Hodge Conjecture). Let *M* be a compact Kähler manifold. Then any class in $H^{p,p}(M)$ is represented by a complex immersed solenoid of dimension k = 2(n - p). Note that the standard Hodge Conjecture is stated for projective complex manifolds, since it fails for Kähler manifolds [16]. The counterexamples of [16] are non-algebraic complex tori. It is easy to see that Conjecture 1.4 holds for complex tori (using non-minimal complex solenoids).

2. Solenoids and Generalized Currents

Let us review the main concepts introduced in [4].

Definition 2.1. A k-solenoid, where $k \ge 0$, of class $C^{r,s}$, is a compact Hausdorff space endowed with an atlas of flow-boxes $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_i, \varphi_i)\},\$

$$\varphi_i: U_i \to D^k \times K(U_i),$$

where D^k is the k-dimensional open ball, and $K(U_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^l$ is the transversal set of the flow-box. The changes of charts $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1}$ are of the form

$$\varphi_{ij}(x, y) = (X(x, y), Y(y)), \tag{1}$$

where X(x, y) is of class $C^{r,s}$ and Y(y) is of class C^{s} .

Let *S* be a *k*-solenoid, and $U \cong D^k \times K(U)$ be a flow-box for *S*. The sets $L_y = D^k \times \{y\}$ are called the (local) leaves of the flow-box. A leaf $l \subset S$ of the solenoid is a connected *k*-dimensional manifold whose intersection with any flow-box is a collection of local leaves. The solenoid is oriented if the leaves are oriented (in a transversally continuous way).

A transversal for *S* is a subset *T* which is a finite union of transversals of flow-boxes. Given two local transversals T_1 and T_2 and a path contained in a leaf from a point of T_1 to a point of T_2 , there is a well-defined holonomy map $h : T_1 \to T_2$. The holonomy maps form a pseudo-group.

A *k*-solenoid *S* is minimal if it does not contain a proper sub-solenoid. By [4, Sect. 2], minimal sub-solenoids do exist in any solenoid. If *S* is minimal, then any transversal is a global transversal, i.e., it intersects all leaves. In the special case of an oriented minimal 1-solenoid, the holonomy return map associated to a local transversal,

$$R_T: T \to T$$

is known as the Poincaré return map (see [4, Sect. 4]).

Definition 2.2. Let S be a k-solenoid. A transversal measure $\mu = (\mu_T)$ for S associates to any local transversal T a locally finite measure μ_T supported on T, which are invariant by the holonomy pseudogroup, i.e. if $h : T_1 \to T_2$ is a holonomy map, then $h_*\mu_{T_1} = \mu_{T_2}$.

We denote by S_{μ} a k-solenoid S endowed with a transversal measure $\mu = (\mu_T)$. We refer to S_{μ} as a measured solenoid. Observe that for any transversal measure $\mu = (\mu_T)$ the scalar multiple $c \mu = (c \mu_T)$, where c > 0, is also a transversal measure. Notice that there is no natural scalar normalization of transversal measures.

Definition 2.3 (Transverse ergodicity). A transversal measure $\mu = (\mu_T)$ on a solenoid *S* is ergodic if for any Borel set $A \subset T$ invariant by the pseudo-group of holonomy maps on *T*, we have

$$\mu_T(A) = 0$$
 or $\mu_T(A) = \mu_T(T)$.

We say that S_{μ} is an ergodic solenoid.

Definition 2.4. Let S be a k-solenoid. The solenoid S is uniquely ergodic if it has a unique (up to scalars) transversal measure μ and its support is the whole of S.

Now let *M* be a smooth manifold of dimension *n*. An immersion of a *k*-solenoid *S* into *M*, with k < n, is a smooth map $f : S \to M$ such that the differential restricted to the tangent spaces of leaves has rank *k* at every point of *S*. The solenoid $f : S \to M$ is transversally immersed if for any flow-box $U \subset S$ and chart $V \subset M$, the map $f : U = D^k \times K(U) \to V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an embedding, and the images of the leaves intersect transversally in *M*. If moreover *f* is injective, then we say that the solenoid is embedded.

Note that under a transversal immersion, resp. an embedding, $f : S \rightarrow M$, the images of the leaves are immersed, resp. injectively immersed, submanifolds.

Definition 2.5 (Generalized currents). Let *S* be an oriented *k*-solenoid of class $C^{r,s}$, $r \ge 1$, endowed with a transversal measure $\mu = (\mu_T)$. An immersion

$$f: S \to M$$

defines a current $(f, S_{\mu}) \in C_k(M)$, called generalized Ruelle-Sullivan current (or just generalized current), as follows. Let ω be a k-differential form in M. The pull-back $f^*\omega$ defines a k-differential form on the leaves of S. Let $S = \bigcup_i S_i$ be a measurable partition such that each S_i is contained in a flow-box U_i . We define

$$\langle (f, S_{\mu}), \omega \rangle = \sum_{i} \int_{K(U_{i})} \left(\int_{L_{y} \cap S_{i}} f^{*} \omega \right) d\mu_{K(U_{i})}(y),$$

where L_{y} denotes the horizontal disk of the flow-box.

The current (f, S_{μ}) is closed, hence it defines a real homology class

$$[f, S_{\mu}] \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

called Ruelle-Sullivan homology class.

Note that this definition does not depend on the measurable partition (given two partitions consider the common refinement). If the support of $f^*\omega$ is contained in a flow-box U then

$$\langle (f, S_{\mu}), \omega \rangle = \int_{K(U)} \left(\int_{L_y} f^* \omega \right) d\mu_{K(U)}(y).$$

In general, take a partition of unity $\{\rho_i\}$ subordinated to the covering $\{U_i\}$, then

$$\langle (f, S_{\mu}), \omega \rangle = \sum_{i} \int_{K(U_{i})} \left(\int_{L_{y}} \rho_{i} f^{*} \omega \right) d\mu_{K(U_{i})}(y).$$

Let us see that (f, S_{μ}) is closed. For any exact differential $\omega = d\alpha$ we have

$$\langle (f, S_{\mu}), d\alpha \rangle = \sum_{i} \int_{K(U_{i})} \left(\int_{L_{y}} \rho_{i} f^{*} d\alpha \right) d\mu_{K(U_{i})}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{i} \int_{K(U_{i})} \left(\int_{L_{y}} d(\rho_{i} f^{*} \alpha) \right) d\mu_{K(U_{i})}(y)$$

$$- \sum_{i} \int_{K(U_{i})} \left(\int_{L_{y}} d\rho_{i} \wedge f^{*} \alpha \right) d\mu_{K(U_{i})}(y) = 0$$

The first term vanishes using Stokes in each leaf (the form $\rho_i f^* \alpha$ is compactly supported on U_i), and the second term vanishes because $\sum_i d\rho_i \equiv 0$. Therefore $[f, S_\mu]$ is a well defined homology class of degree k.

In their original article [8], Ruelle and Sullivan defined this notion for the restricted class of solenoids embedded in M.

When *M* is a compact and oriented *n*-manifold, the Ruelle-Sullivan homology class $[f, S_{\mu}] \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ gives an element

$$[f, S_{\mu}]^* \in H^{n-k}(M, \mathbb{R}),$$

under the Poincaré duality isomorphism $H_k(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^{n-k}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

We have the following result (Theorem 10.1 in [4]) which proves Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.6 (Self-intersection of embedded solenoids). Let M be a compact, oriented, smooth manifold. Let $f : S_{\mu} \to M$ be an embedded oriented measured solenoid, such that the transversal measures (μ_T) have no atoms. Then we have

$$[f, S_{\mu}]^* \cup [f, S_{\mu}]^* = 0$$

in $H^{2(n-k)}(M, \mathbb{R})$.

This indicates that we cannot use only *embedded* solenoids to represent real homology classes in general.

Now let us recall the notions of Schwartzman theory that we are going to need, and that are extensively studied in [5].

Let *M* be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold. Given a Riemannian immersion $c: N \to M$ from an oriented complete smooth manifold *N* of dimension $k \ge 1$, we consider exhaustions (U_n) of *N* with $U_n \subset N$ being *k*-dimensional compact submanifolds with boundary ∂U_n . We close U_n with a *k*-dimensional oriented manifold Γ_n with boundary $\partial \Gamma_n = -\partial U_n$ (that is, ∂U_n with opposite orientation, so that $N_n = U_n \cup \Gamma_n$ is a *k*-dimensional compact oriented manifold without boundary), in such a way that $c_{|U_n|}$ extends to a piecewise smooth map $c_n: N_n \to M$. We may consider the associated homology class $[c_n(N_n)] \in H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that

$$\frac{\operatorname{Vol}_k(c_n(\Gamma_n))}{\operatorname{Vol}_k(c_n(N_n))} \to 0.$$

If the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}_k(c_n(N_n))} [c_n(N_n)] \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R}),$$
(2)

we call it a Schwartzman asymptotic k-cycle.

Definition 2.7. The immersed manifold $c : N \to M$ represents a homology class $a \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ if for all exhaustions (U_n) , the class (2) exists and equals a. We denote [c, N] = a, and call it the Schwartzman homology class of (c, N).

For immersed solenoids $f: S \rightarrow M$, we may consider the Schwartzman homology classes associated to its leaves.

Definition 2.8 (Schwartzman representation of homology classes). Let $f : S_{\mu} \to M$ be an immersion in M of an oriented measured k-solenoid S, and give S the induced Riemannian structure. The immersed solenoid $f : S_{\mu} \to M$ fully represents a homology class $a \in H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ if for all leaves $l \subset S$, we have that (f, l) is a Schwartzman asymptotic k-cycle with [f, l] = a.

A class of solenoids with good properties are those which have a trapping region, since for them the holonomy is represented by a single map. The definition is cumbersome but very natural [5, Definition 7.9].

Definition 2.9 (Trapping region). An open subset $W \subset S$ of a solenoid S is a trapping region if there exists a continuous map $\pi : S \to \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that

- (1) For some $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1/2$, $W = \pi^{-1}((-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0))$.
- (2) There is a global transversal $T \subset \pi^{-1}(\{0\})$.
- (3) Each connected component of $\pi^{-1}(\{0\})$ intersects T in exactly one point.
- (4) 0 is a regular value for π .
- (5) For each connected component L of $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{T} \{0\})$ we have $\overline{L} \cap T = \{x, y\}$, where $\{x\} = \overline{L} \cap T \cap \pi^{-1}((-\epsilon_0, 0])$ and $\{y\} = \overline{L} \cap T \cap \pi^{-1}([0, \epsilon_0))$.

The main result of [5] is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10 [5, Theorem 1.2]. Let S be a minimal oriented k-solenoid endowed with a transversal uniquely ergodic measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{L}}(S)$ and with a trapping region $W \subset S$. Consider an immersion $f : S \to M$ such that f(W) is contained in a contractible ball in M. Then $f : S_{\mu} \to M$ fully represents its Ruelle-Sullivan homology class $[f, S_{\mu}]$.

3. Realization of $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$

Let *M* be a C^{∞} smooth compact Riemannian manifold. Given a real 1-homology class $a \in H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$, we want to construct an immersion $f : S \to M$ in *M* of a uniquely ergodic solenoid S_{μ} with generalized current $[f, S_{\mu}] = a$.

In some situations (depending on the dimension) we will achieve an embedding. Actually the abstract 1-solenoid S that we will construct is independent of a and of M, and moreover it has a 1-dimensional transversal structure.

Let $h : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ be a diffeomorphism of the circle with an irrational rotation number (and therefore uniquely ergodic), which is a Denjoy counter-example, i.e. has the unique invariant probability measure supported on the minimal Cantor set $K \subset \mathbb{T}$. Let μ_K denote the invariant probability measure. For the original construction of Denjoy counter-examples see [1]. Actually for any given $\epsilon > 0$, h can be taken to be of class $C^{2-\epsilon}$ (see [2]).

The suspension of h,

$$S_h = ([0, 1] \times \mathbb{T})_{(0,x) \sim (1,h(x))}$$



Fig. 1. The 1-solenoid S

is $C^{2-\epsilon}$ -diffeomorphic to the 2-torus T^2 . More explicitly, the diffeomorphism is as follows: take c > 0 small, let $h_t, t \in [0, c]$, be a (smooth) isotopy from id to h, then we define the diffeomorphism $H : T^2 \to S_h$ by

$$H(t, x) = \begin{cases} (t, h^{-1}(h_t(x))), & \text{ for } t \in [0, c], \\ (t, x), & \text{ for } t \in [c, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Note that S_h is foliated by the horizontal leaves, so T^2 is foliated accordingly. It can be considered also as a 1-solenoid of class $C^{\omega,2-\epsilon}$.

The sub-solenoid

$$S = ([0, 1] \times K)_{/\sim} \subset S_h$$

is an oriented 1-solenoid of class $C^{\omega,2-\epsilon}$, with transversal $T = (\{0\} \times \mathbb{T}) \cap S = \{0\} \times K$. The holonomy is given by the map h, which is uniquely ergodic. Moreover, the associated transversal measure is μ_K on the transversal $K \cong \{0\} \times K$. So S is an oriented and uniquely ergodic 1-solenoid.

Using the diffeomorphism H, we may see the solenoid S inside the 2-torus, $S \subset S_h \cong T^2$, consisting of the paths $(t, x), x \in K, t \in [c, 1]$, together with the paths $(t, h_t(x)), x \in K, t \in [0, c]$. The embedding $S \hookrightarrow T^2$ is of class $C^{\omega, 2-\epsilon}$, so we shall think of S as an oriented 1-solenoid of regularity $C^{\omega, 2-\epsilon}$ (Fig. 1).

Theorem 3.1 Let M be a compact smooth manifold, and let $a \in H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ be a non-zero 1-homology class. If dim $M \ge 3$ then (a positive multiple of) a can be fully represented by an embedding (of class $C^{\infty,2-\epsilon}$) of the (oriented, uniquely ergodic) 1-solenoid S into M. If dim M = 2 then (a positive multiple of) a can be fully represented by a transversal immersion of S into M.

Proof. Let C_1, \ldots, C_{b_1} be (integral) 1-cycles which form a basis of the (real) 1-homology of M. Switch orientations and reorder the cycles if necessary so that there are real numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r > 0$ such that

$$a = \lambda_1 C_1 + \dots + \lambda_r C_r.$$

By dividing by $\sum \lambda_i$ if necessary, we can assume that $\sum \lambda_i = 1$.

Consider the solenoid S constructed above and partition the cantor set K into r disjoint compact subsets K_1, \ldots, K_r in cyclic order, each of which with

$$\mu_K(K_i) = \lambda_i.$$



Fig. 2. The open manifold U

Consider the transversal $T = \{0\} \times \mathbb{T}$ in S_h . We consider angles $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{T}$ in the same cyclic order as the K_i , such that K_i is contained in the open subset $U_i \subset T$ with boundary points θ_i and θ_{i+1} (denoting $\theta_{n+1} = \theta_1$). We may assume that $\theta_1 = 0$. Remove the segments $[c, 1] \times \{\theta_i\}$ from S_h to get the open 2-manifold (Fig. 2)

$$U = S_h - \bigcup_i ([c, 1] \times \{\theta_i\}).$$

By construction, our solenoid S is included as a subset of $U, S \subset U$.

Suppose that dim $M \ge 3$. Then we can C^{∞} -smoothly embed $F : U \to M$ as follows: suppose that all cycles C_i share a common base-point $p_0 \in M$ (and are otherwise disjoint to each other). Then embed the central part $(0, c) \times \mathbb{T} \subset U$ in a small ball B around p_0 and embed each of the $[c, 1] \times U_i$ in M - B in such a way that if we contract B to p_0 then the images of $[c, 1] \times \{t\}, t \in U_i$, represent cycles homologous to C_i .

The embedding f of S into M is defined as the composition $S \hookrightarrow U \xrightarrow{F} M$. By Theorem 2.10, as S is uniquely ergodic, to prove that $f : S \to M$ fully represents a, it is enough to see that $[f, S_{\mu}] = a$.

Let α be any closed 1-form on M. Since $H^1(M) = H^1(M, B)$, we may assume that α vanishes on B. We cover the solenoid S by the flow-boxes $((0, c) \times \mathbb{T}) \cap S$ and $[c, 1] \times K_i, i = 1, ..., r$. As $f^*\alpha$ vanishes in the first flow-box, we have

$$\langle [f, S_{\mu}], [\alpha] \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \int_{K_{i}} \left(\int_{[c,1]} f^{*} \alpha \right) d\mu_{K_{i}}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \int_{K_{i}} \langle C_{i}, [\alpha] \rangle d\mu_{K_{i}}(y)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \langle C_{i}, [\alpha] \rangle \mu(K_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} \langle C_{i}, [\alpha] \rangle = \langle a, [\alpha] \rangle,$$

proving that $[f, S_{\mu}] = a$.

Now suppose that dim M = 2. Let us do the appropriate modifications to the previous construction. Choose cycles C_i sharing a common base-point $p_0 \in M$, and such that their intersections (and self-intersections) away from p_0 are transversal. Changing C_i by $2C_i$ if necessary, we suppose that going around C_i does not change the orientation (that is, the normal bundle to C_i is oriented, hence trivial). From the manifold U in Fig. 2, remove $[0, c] \times \{\theta_1\}$ to get the open 2-manifold (Fig. 3)

$$V = ((0, c) \times (0, 1)) \bigcup \bigcup ([c, 1] \times U_i).$$

The manifold V can be immersed into the surface $M, F : V \to M$, in such a way that $(0, c) \times (0, 1)$ is sent to a ball B around $p_0, [c, 1] \times U_i$ are sent to M - B, the images



Fig. 3. The open manifold V

of $[c, 1] \times \{t\}, t \in U_i$, represent cycles homologous to C_i if we contract B to a point, and the intersections and self-intersections of horizontal leaves are always transverse.

Note that the solenoid *S* is not contained in *V*, since we have removed $[0, c] \times \{\theta_1\}$ from *U*. So we cannot define an immersion $f : S \to M$ by restricting that of *F*. To define f in $S \cap ((0, c) \times \mathbb{T})$, we need to write explicitly our isotopy h_t . Consider $h : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ and lift it to $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $r := \tilde{h}(0) \in (0, 1)$. Consider a smooth function $\rho : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$, with $\rho(t) = 1$ for $t \le 0$, $\rho(t) = 0$ for $t \ge c$, and $\rho'(t) < 0$ for $t \in (0, c)$. Then we can define

$$h_t(x) = \tilde{h}(\tilde{h}^{-1}(x)\rho(t) + x(1-\rho(t))) \mod \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define the immersion $f : S \to M$ as follows: f equals F for $(t, x) \in [c, 1] \times K \subset V$. For $(t, h^{-1}(h_t(x))) \in S \cap ([0, c] \times \mathbb{T})$, we set

$$f(t, h^{-1}(h_t(x))) = \begin{cases} F(t, (\tilde{h}^{-1}(x) + 1)\rho(t) + x(1 - \rho(t))), & x \in K \cap (0, r), \\ F(t, \tilde{h}^{-1}(x)\rho(t) + x(1 - \rho(t))), & x \in K \cap (r, 1). \end{cases}$$

It is easily checked that f sends $S \cap ([0, c] \times \mathbb{T})$ into the ball B and the intersections of the leaves in this portion of the solenoid are transverse.

The proof that the Ruelle-Sullivan homology class of $f : S \to M$ is $[f, S_{\mu}] = a$ goes as before. \Box

Remark 3.2. We do not need M to be compact for the above construction to work. If M is non-compact, take integer 1-cycles $C_1, C_2, ...$ (possibly infinitely many) which form a basis of $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$. Then for any $a \in H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$ there exist an integer $r \ge 1$ and $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a = \sum \lambda_i C_i$. The construction of Theorem 3.1 works.

The solenoid S is oriented, regardless of M being oriented or not.

4. Realization of $H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$

Let *M* be a smooth compact oriented Riemannian C^{∞} manifold and let $a \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ be a non-zero real *k*-homology class, $1 \le k \le n - 1$. We are going to construct a uniquely ergodic *k*-solenoid $f : S \to M$ with a 1-dimensional transversal structure, immersed in *M* and fully representing *a*.

To start with, fix a collection of compact k-dimensional smooth oriented manifolds S_1, \ldots, S_r and positive numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r > 0$ such that $\sum \lambda_i = 1$. For any fixed $\epsilon > 0$, let $h : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ be a diffeomorphism of the circle which is a Denjoy counterexample with an irrational rotation number and of class $C^{2-\epsilon}$. Hence h is uniquely ergodic. Let μ_K be the unique invariant probability measure, which is supported on the minimal Cantor set $K \subset \mathbb{T}$. Partition the Cantor set K into r disjoint compact subsets K_1, \ldots, K_r in cyclic order, each of which with $\mu_K(K_i) = \lambda_i$.

We fix two points on each manifold S_i , and remove two small balls, D_i^+ and D_i^- , around them. Denote

$$S'_i = S_i - (D^+_i \cup D^-_i),$$

so that S'_i is a manifold with oriented boundary $\partial S'_i = \partial D^+_i \sqcup \partial D^-_i$. Fix two diffeomorphisms: $\partial D^+_i \cong S^{k-1}$, being orientation preserving, and $\partial D^-_i \cong S^{k-1}$, being orientation reversing. There are inclusions

$$A_{\pm} := \bigsqcup (\partial D_i^{\pm} \times K_i) \stackrel{i_{\pm}}{\hookrightarrow} S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{T},$$

whose image is $S^{k-1} \times K \subset S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{T}$. Define

$$S = \bigsqcup (S'_i \times K_i) / \sim,$$

(x, y) ~ $i_+^{-1} \circ (\operatorname{id} \times h) \circ i_-(x, y), (x, y) \in A_-.$

This is an oriented k-solenoid of class $C^{\infty,2-\epsilon}$, with 1-dimensional transversal dimension. As $S^{k-1} \times K \subset S$ in an obvious way, fixing a point $p \in S^{k-1}$ we have a global transversal $T = \{p\} \times K \subset S^{k-1} \times K \subset S$. Identifying $T \cong K$, the holonomy pseudo-group is generated by $h : K \to K$. Hence S is uniquely ergodic. Let μ denote the transversal measure corresponding to μ_K .

We want to give an alternative description of S. Fix an isotopy $h_t, t \in [0, 1]$, from id to h. Define the set (Fig. 4)

$$W' := \{(t, x, h^{-1}(h_t(y))); t \in [0, 1], x \in S^{k-1}, y \in K\} \subset [0, 1] \times S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{T}.$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{split} S &= \left(\bigsqcup (S'_i \times K_i) \sqcup W' \right) / \sim, \\ (x, y) &\sim (0, i_-(x, y)), \ (x, y) \in \partial D_i^- \times K_i, \ (x, y) \sim (1, i_+(x, y)), \ (x, y) \in \partial D_i^+ \times K_i. \end{split}$$

Strictly speaking, we should say that they are diffeomorphic, but we shall fix an identification. We define a map $\pi : S \to \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\begin{cases} \pi(t, x, h^{-1}(h_t(y))) = t - \frac{1}{2}, & (t, x, h^{-1}(h_t(y))) \in W', \\ \pi(p) = \frac{1}{2}, & p \in S - W'. \end{cases}$$

Then $W = \text{Int}(W') = \pi^{-1}(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is a trapping region according to Definition 2.9.

Consider angles $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_n \in \mathbb{T}$ in the same cyclic order as the K_i , such that K_i is contained in the open subset $U_i \subset T$ with boundary points τ_i and τ_{i+1} (denoting



Fig. 4. The trapping region W'



Fig. 5. The manifold X

 $\tau_{n+1} = \tau_1$). We may assume that $\tau_1 = 0$. Then the solenoid S sits inside the (k + 1)-dimensional open manifold

$$\begin{split} X &= \bigsqcup (S'_i \times U_i) \sqcup ([0,1] \times S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{T}) / \sim, \\ (\text{where } (x, y) \sim (0, i_-(x, y)), \ (x, y) \in \partial D_i^- \times U_i, \ (x, y) \sim (1, i_+(x, y)), \ (x, y) \in \partial D_i^+ \times U_i), \end{split}$$

as the collection of points $(x, y), x \in S'_i, y \in K_i$, together with the points $(t, x, h^{-1}(h_t(y))), x \in S^{k-1}, y \in K, t \in [0, 1]$ (Fig. 5).

Remark 4.1. The 1-solenoid constructed in Sect. 3 corresponds to the case $S_i = S^1$, i = 1, ..., r.

Theorem 4.2 Let M be a compact oriented smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension n, and let $a \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ be a non-zero real k-homology class, $1 \le k \le n - 1$. Then (a positive multiple of) a can be fully represented by a transversal immersion $f : S \to M$ of a uniquely ergodic oriented k-solenoid. If moreover, $n \ge 2k + 1$ then we can suppose that f is an embedding.

Proof. By Proposition A.3, we may take a collection $C_1, \ldots, C_{b_k} \in H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$ which are a basis of $H_k(M, \mathbb{Q})$ and such that C_i are represented by immersed submanifolds $S_i \subset M$ with trivial normal bundle and self-transverse intersections, and such that S_i intersects S_j transversally. Moreover, if $n \ge 2k+1$, we may assume that there are neither intersections nor self-intersections.

After switching the orientations of C_i if necessary, reordering the cycles and multiplying a by a suitable positive real number, we may suppose that

$$a = \lambda_1 C_1 + \dots + \lambda_r C_r,$$

for some $r \ge 1, \lambda_i > 0, 1 \le i \le r$, and $\sum \lambda_i = 1$. We construct the solenoid *S* with the procedure above starting with the manifolds S_i and coefficients λ_i . This is a uniquely ergodic *k*-solenoid with a 1-dimensional transversal structure, and a trapping region $W \subset S$.

Now we want to define an immersion $f : S \to M$, and to prove that it fully represents *a*. We have the following cases:

(1) $n \ge 2k + 1$. The general position property on the S_i implies that all S_i are disjoint submanifolds of M. As the normal bundle to S_i is trivial and U_i is an interval, we can embedded $S_i \times U_i$ in a small neighbourhood of S_i .

Fix a base point $p_0 \in M$ off all S_i . Take a small box $B \subset M$ around p_0 of the form $B = [0, 1] \times D^{n-1}$, where D^{n-1} is the open (n - 1)-dimensional ball. Consider a circle $\mathbb{T} \subset D^{k+1} \subset D^{n-1}$ and let $D^k \times \mathbb{T} \subset D^{k+1} \subset D^{n-1}$ be a tubular neighbourhood of it, with boundary $S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{T}$.

For each i = 1, ..., r, fix $y_i \in U_i$, and consider two paths in M - Int(B), say γ_i^{\pm} , where γ_i^{-} goes from the point $(0, y_i) \in \{0\} \times U_i \subset \{0\} \times \mathbb{T} \subset \{0\} \times D^{n-1} \subset B$ to the point $(p_i^{-}, y_i) \in S_i \times U_i$, and γ_i^{+} goes from $(1, y_i) \in \{1\} \times U_i \subset \{1\} \times \mathbb{T} \subset \{1\} \times D^{n-1} \subset B$ to $(p_i^{+}, y_i) \in S_i \times U_i$. We arrange that γ_i^{\pm} are transverse to $S_i \times U_i$ at (p_i^{\pm}, y_i) and are disjoint from all S_j otherwise.

We thicken γ_i^{\pm} to immersions $\gamma_i^{\pm} \times D^k \times U_i$ into M - Int(B) such that one extreme goes to $D_i^{\pm} \times U_i$ and the other goes to either $D^k \times U_i \times \{0\} \subset D^k \times \mathbb{T} \times \{0\} \subset D^{n-1} \times \{0\} \subset B$ for γ_i^- , or $D^k \times U_i \times \{1\} \subset D^k \times \mathbb{T} \times \{1\} \subset D^{n-1} \times \{1\} \subset B$ for γ_i^- . It is possible to do this in such a way that the U_i directions match, since $n \ge k+2$.

Recall that $S'_i = S_i - (D^+_i \cup D^-_i)$, and set

$$S_i'' = S_i' \cup (\gamma_i^+ \times S^{k-1}) \cup (\gamma_i^- \times S^{k-1}),$$

which is diffeomorphic to S'_i (to be rigorous, we should smooth out corners). Then we can define the set

$$U := \bigcup \left((S'_i \times U_i) \cup (\gamma^+_i \times S^{k-1} \times U_i) \cup \cup (\gamma^-_i \times S^{k-1} \times U_i) \right) \cup ([0, 1] \times S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{T}),$$

which is a (k + 1)-dimensional open manifold embedded in M. The manifold U is foliated as follows: $S''_i \times U_i$ is foliated by $S''_i \times \{y\}$, for $y \in U_i$, and $[0, 1] \times S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{T}$ is foliated by

$$L_{y} = \{(t, x, h^{-1}(h_{t}(y))) ; t \in [0, 1], x \in S^{k-1}\},\$$

for $y \in \mathbb{T}$. Clearly the solenoid S is a sub-solenoid of $U, S \subset U$. Restricting the embedding $F : U \to M$ to S we get an embedding $f : S \to M$.

By construction $f(W) \subset \text{Int}(B)$, i.e. the image of the trapping region is contained in a contractible ball.

(2) $1 < n - k \le k$. The same construction as in (1) works now, with the modification that we have to allow intersections of different leaves, but we may take them to be always transversal. So we get a transversal immersion $f : S \to M$.

(3) n-k = 1. The submanifolds S_i have trivial normal bundle and they intersect each other transversally. We cannot avoid that the paths γ_i^{\pm} intersect other S_j , but we arrange these intersections to be transverse. This produces a transversal immersion f of the region S - W of the solenoid into M - Int(B).

We have to modify the previous construction of the immersion of W into B, as codimension one does not leave enough room for it to work. Consider the box $B = [0, 1] \times D^{n-1}$ and remove the axis $A = [0, 1] \times \{0\}$. Use polar coordinates to identify $B - A = [0, 1] \times S^{k-1} \times (0, 1)$, where the third coordinate corresponds to the radius. By construction, $W' \subset S$ embeds into $C = [0, 1] \times S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{T}$, as the set of points $(t, x, h^{-1}(h_t(y))), t \in [0, 1], x \in S^{k-1}$ and $y \in K$. We remove $D = [0, 1] \times S^{k-1} \times \tau_1$ from C, so that $C - D = [0, 1] \times S^{k-1} \times (0, 1)$. Then W' immerses into C - D, by using the process at the end of the proof of Theorem 3.1 (now there is an extra factor S^{k-1} which plays no role). This is a transversal immersion.

There is one extra detail that we should be careful about. When connecting p_i^{\pm} with the two faces of *B*, the orientations of the U_i should match. This happens because the normal bundle to S_i is trivial, and in this case $S_i \times U_i$ is (diffeomorphic to) the normal bundle to S_i .

We prove now that $f : S \to M$ fully represents a; we use Theorem 2.10. The solenoid S has a trapping region W, and $f(W) \subset \text{Int}(B)$, a contractible ball in M. So we only need to see that $[f, S_{\mu}] = a$.

Recall that the associated transversal measure is μ_K on the transversal K. Let α be any closed 1-form on M. Since $H^1(M) = H^1(M, B)$, we may assume that α vanishes on B. We cover the solenoid S by the flow-boxes $S''_i \times K_i$, i = 1, ..., r, and W' (where the form α vanishes). Thus

$$\langle [f, S_{\mu}], [\alpha] \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \int_{K_{i}} \left(\int_{S_{i}''} f^{*} \alpha \right) d\mu_{K_{i}}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \int_{K_{i}} \langle C_{i}, [\alpha] \rangle d\mu_{K_{i}}(y)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \langle C_{i}, [\alpha] \rangle \mu(K_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} \langle C_{i}, [\alpha] \rangle = \langle a, [\alpha] \rangle,$$

proving that $[f, S_{\mu}] = a$. \Box

Remark 4.3. A similar comment to that of Remark 3.2 applies to the present situation, that is, the compactness of *M* is not necessary.

Remark 4.4. The orientability of M is not necessary as well. If M is non-orientable, we may consider its oriented double cover $\pi : \tilde{M} \to M$. Then for $a \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$, there exists $\tilde{a} \in H_k(\tilde{M}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\pi_*(\tilde{a}) = a$.

We can consider immersed submanifolds $f_i : S_i \hookrightarrow \tilde{M}$ with transversal selfintersections, and intersecting transversally each other. Then it is easy to perturb f_i so that $\tilde{f}_i = \pi \circ f_i : S_i \to M$ are immersed oriented submanifolds with transversal self-intersections, and intersecting transversally each other. This will allow to construct a uniquely-ergodic oriented k-solenoid $f : S \to M$ transversally immersed in \tilde{M} fully representing (a multiple of) \tilde{a} such that $\pi \circ f : S \to M$ is transversally immersed in Mand fully represents (a multiple of) a.

If $n \ge 2k + 1$, then we can assume that f is an embedding (since transversal intersections in this dimension do not happen).

Remark 4.5. Theorem 4.2 also holds (obviously) for k = 0, n.

Remark 4.6. In the article [6], we prove that the currents that we have constructed are general enough in order to fill a dense subset of the space of currents. Therefore, the generalized Ruelle-Sullivan currents associated to immersed measured oriented uniquely-ergodic solenoids are dense in the space of closed currents. This question was prompted to the authors by Dennis Sullivan.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Alberto Candel, Etienne Ghys, Nessim Sibony, Dennis Sullivan and Jaume Amorós for their comments and interest on this work. In particular, Etienne Ghys early pointed out on the impossibility of realization in general of integer homology classes by embedded manifolds. The first author wishes to acknowledge Universidad Complutense de Madrid and Institute for Advanced Study at Princeton for their hospitality and for providing excellent working conditions. The second author thanks Jean Bourgain and the IAS at Princeton for their hospitality and facilitating the collaboration of both authors.

Appendix. Homology Classes Represented by Submanifolds

By a theorem of Thom (see [13] and [14]), if $a \in H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$ then there exists N >> 1such that $N \cdot a$ is represented by a smooth submanifold of M. This submanifold $C \subset M$ is oriented because it represents a non-zero homology class (the top homology of a compact connected non-orientable manifold is zero). Moreover, if $n \ge 2k + 1$ or n - kis odd then it can be arranged that the normal bundle of C is trivial [13,14]. If n - k is even then it can be arranged that the normal bundle is trivial if and only if $a \cup a = 0$. Also according to Sullivan [12], using Thom's method and the thesis of Wells [15] one can always represent $N \cdot a$ by an *immersed* submanifold $f : C \to M$ with trivial normal bundle. (Note that the normal bundle is defined for any immersed manifold.) Moreover, with a small perturbation, we may assume that f has only transversal self-intersections.

For completeness, we give here a proof of these results by elementary methods. We start first with the case of odd codimension.

Lemma A.1. Let M be a compact and oriented manifold of dimension n. Let $1 \le k \le n-1$ with n-k odd and $a \in H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

There exists N >> 1 (dependent only on n and k) and a smooth map $f : M \to S^{n-k}$ such that for a generic point $p \in S^{n-k}$,

$$C = f^{-1}(p) \subset M$$

is a smooth submanifold with trivial normal bundle such that $[C] = N \cdot a$.

Proof. Let $\hat{a} \in H^{n-k}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ be the Poincaré dual of a. We aim to construct a map $f: M \to S^{n-k}$ such that $f^*([S^{n-k}])$ is a multiple of \hat{a} . For this, consider a CW decomposition of M. Let $(C^*(M, \mathbb{Z}), \partial)$ be the complex of CW-cochains, and let $\bar{a} \in C^{n-k}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\partial \bar{a} = 0$ and $[\bar{a}] = \hat{a}$.

We start by considering a map f from the (n - k - 1)-skeleton of M to a base point $p \in S^{n-k}$. To define f in the (n - k)-skeleton, write

$$\hat{a} = \sum_{i} n_i C_i^*,$$

with $\{C_i\}$ being the (n-k)-cells of M, and $\{C_i^*\}$ the dual basis. Then define $f_{|C_i|}$ in such a way that the induced map $f_{|C_i|} : C_i / \partial C_i \to S^{n-k}$ has degree n_i .

To extend f to the higher skeleta, we work as follows: let T be an (n - k + 1)-cell of M. Since

$$\hat{a}(\partial T) = \partial \hat{a}(T) = 0,$$

we have that $f_{|\partial T} : \partial T \to S^{n-k}$ has degree 0. Therefore, we can extend f to a map $T \to S^{n-k}$. Now by induction on l = 1, 2, ... we assume that the map f has been extended to the (n-k+l-1)-skeleton of M and we wish to extend it to the (n-k+l)-skeleton. Let T be a (n-k+l)-cell. The map $f_{|\partial T} : \partial T \to S^{n-k}$ gives, recalling that $\partial T \cong S^{n-k+l-1}$, an element

$$[f_{\mid\partial T}] \in \pi_{n-k+l-1}(S^{n-k}).$$

By a result of Serre [10], this group is torsion (since n - k is odd). So there is a non-zero integer m_l such that $m_l \cdot [\partial T] = 0$. Multiplying *a* by m_l , the map f' (in the (n-k+l-1)-skeleton) corresponding to $a' = m_l \cdot a$ is the composition of *f* with a map $S^{n-k} \to S^{n-k}$ of degree m_l . Therefore $[f'_{|\partial T}] = m_l \cdot [f_{|\partial T}] = 0$, and there is no obstruction to extend f' to the cell *T*, and hence to the (n - k + l)-skeleton.

In this way, we get an extension to the *n*-skeleton, i.e. to *M*. This gives a continuous map $f: M \to S^{n-k}$ and it is trivial to verify that $f^*([S^{n-k}]) = N \cdot \hat{a}$, for some large integer *N* (actually, $N = m_2 m_3 \cdots m_k$).

Now, we homotop f to a smooth function, which we call f again. Taking a regular value $p \in S^{n-k}$, we have a smooth submanifold $C = f^{-1}(p)$ of dimension k, and with trivial normal bundle. Clearly, $[C] = PD[N \cdot \hat{a}] = N \cdot a$. \Box

Lemma A.2. Let *M* be a compact and oriented manifold of dimension *n*. Let $1 \le k \le n-1$ with n-k even and $a \in H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

There exists N >> 1 (only dependent of n and k), and an immersion $i : C \to M$ of an oriented compact manifold C with $i_*[C] = N \cdot a$ and whose normal bundle $v_{C/M} \to C$ is trivial.

Proof. We consider $M \times \mathbb{R}$, which is an (n + 1)-manifold. It is open, but the proof of Lemma A.1 works for it and for the homology class $a \in H_k(M \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that (n + 1) - k is odd, so Lemma A.1 guarantees the existence of a smooth *k*-dimensional submanifold $C \subset M \times \mathbb{R}$ with trivial normal bundle, and such that $[C] = N \cdot a$, for some $N \ge 1$.

Denote by $j : C \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ the inclusion, and let $\pi : M \times \mathbb{R} \to M$ be the projection into the first factor. Denote by *t* the coordinate of the \mathbb{R} direction, and by $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ the vertical vector field. Fixing a non-zero normal vector field *X* to $C \subset M \times \mathbb{R}$, the compression theorem in [7] allows to isotop the pair (j, X) to $(j', \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$, where $j' : C \hookrightarrow M \times \mathbb{R}$ is an embedding and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ becomes a normal vector field to j'(C). Therefore the composition $i = \pi \circ j' : C \to M$ is an immersion. Clearly, $i_*[C] = \pi_*j'_*[C] = \pi_*[C] =$ $\pi_*(N \cdot a) = N \cdot a \in H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$ and the normal bundle to *C* in *M* is trivial. \Box

The precise result that we use in Sect. 4 is the following:

Proposition A.3. Let M be a compact manifold of dimension n, and let $b_k = \dim H_k(M, \mathbb{R})$. Then we may take a collection $C_1, \ldots, C_{b_k} \in H_k(M, \mathbb{Z})$ which forms a basis of $H_k(M, \mathbb{Q})$ and such that C_i are represented by immersed submanifolds $S_i \subset M$ with trivial normal bundle and self-transverse intersections, and such that S_i intersects S_j transversally. Moreover, if $n \ge 2k + 1$, we may assume that there are neither intersections nor self-intersections.

Proof. Using Lemma A.1 or Lemma A.2 (according to the parity or n - k), we may find a collection of immersed oriented compact submanifolds S_i with trivial normal bundle representing a basis for the rational homology $H_k(M, \mathbb{Q})$.

Now a small perturbation of each S_i makes all intersections of S_i with S_j , $i \neq j$, and all self-intersections of S_i , transverse. If $n \ge 2k+1$, the transversality of the intersections implies that there are no intersections at all. So the result follows. \Box

References

- 1. Denjoy, A.: Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles à la surface du tore. J. Math. Pures Et Appliquées **11**(9. série), 333–375 (1932)
- Herman, M.R.: Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 49, 5–233 (1979)
- Hurder, S., Mitsumatsu, Y.: The intersection product of transverse invariant measures. Indiana Univ. Math. J 40(4), 1169–1183 (1991)
- Muñoz, V., Pérez-Marco, R.: Ergodic solenoids and generalized currents. Revista Matematica Complutense. In press, doi:10.1007/s13163-010-0050-7, 2010
- Muñoz, V., Pérez-Marco, R.: Schwartzman cycles and ergodic solenoids. In: Essays in Mathematics and its Applications. Dedicated to Stephen Smale, eds. P. Pardalos, Th.M. Rassias. Berlin-Heidelberg-Newyork: Springer. In press
- Muñoz, V., Pérez-Marco, R.: Ergodic solenoidal homology: Density of ergodic solenoids. Australian J. Math. Anal. Appl. 6(1), Article 11, 1–8 (2009)
- 7. Rourke, C., Sanderson, B.: The compression theorem. Geometry & Topology 5, 399-429 (2001)
- 8. Ruelle, D., Sullivan, D.: Currents, flows and diffeomorphisms. Topology 14(4), 319–327 (1975)
- 9. Schwartzman, S.: Asymptotic cycles. Ann. Math. 66(2), 270-284 (1957)
- 10. Serre, J.-P.: Groupes d'homotopie et classes de groupes abéliens.. Ann. Math. 58(2), 258–294 (1943)
- Sullivan, D.: Cycles for the dynamical study of foliated manifolds and complex manifolds. Invent. Math. 36, 225–255 (1976)
- Sullivan, D.: René Thom's work on geometric homology class and bordism. Bull. AMS 41(3), 341–350 (2004)
- Thom, R.: Sous-variétés et classes d'homologie des variétés différentiables. I et II. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 236, 453–454 and 573–575 (1953)
- Thom, R.: Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables. Commentarii Mathematici Halvetici 236, 17–86 (1954)
- 15. Wells, R.: Cobordisms groups of immersions. Topology 5, 281–294 (1966)
- 16. Zucker, S.: The Hodge conjecture for cubic fourfolds. Compositio. Math. 34, 199–209 (1977)

Communicated by A. Connes