

[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

Venue: V.S. Acharya Auditorium, Alva's Education Foundation, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodbidri, D.K. Dist., Karnataka, India – 574227

# Brachyuran Crabs of Aghanashini Estuary, South Indian West Coast, Karnataka

### Ganesh N. Shet, M. D. Subash Chandran and T. V. Ramachandra

Energy and Wetland Research Group, Center for Ecological Science [CES], Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, 560012

Email:ganeshshet2@gmail.com,mds@ces.iisc.ernet.in, cestvr@ces.iisc.ernet.in

ABSTRACT: Brachyuran crabs are one of the diverse group of crustaceans, infraorder Brachyura in the world ranges approximately from 5000 to 6000 species. A total of 30 species, 23 genera from 11 families of Brachyuran crabs recorded from six stations with respect to different micro habitats of Aghanashini estuary, Uttara Kannada district of South Indian West coast of Karnataka. Out of 30 species 10 species are commercially important, and others are play an important ecological role in estuary.

Keywords: Crustaceans, Decapoda, Brachyuran crabs, Mud crab, Aghanashini estuary

### INTRODUCTION

India is one of the few countries of the world with very diverse marine and coastal ecosystems. Among the Asian countries India with its coastline of 8,000 km is perhaps the only one that has a long record of inventories of coastal and marine biodiversity dating back to at least two centuries. The coastal ecosystems are of a wide range consisting of estuaries, lagoons, mangroves, salt marshes, rocky coasts, sandy stretches, coral reefs etc. The number of species recorded from India's marine-coastal realms exceeds 13,000 (Venkataraman, 2005). An estuary is a dynamic ecosystem towards the confluence of river with the sea, where fresh water from the river mingle with the seawater, thereby creating fluctuating salinity conditions and water flows. Crabs belonging to the infra order Brachyura are considered to be highly successful group of Decapoda, adapted to diverse kinds of estuarine habitats. The brachyurans, forming conspicuous and bio-ecologically very important faunal constituents in estuarine ecosystem, consist of different families. Crabs have tremendous morphological diversity and colorations on their body. They occupy one or more habitats such as rocky shore, sandy shore, mud flats, mangroves etc. and display a variety of behavioral representations. Crabs are omnivores and scavengers feeding on plant and animal matter; their diet includes detritus, smaller bivalves, gastropods, insects and other smaller benthic organisms. Crabs make important food for scores of people along the coast and also, of late, figure prominently in marine products related exports from India. Indian, export of mud crabs (Genus Scylla) amounted to 1948 tons which earned revenue of Rs.46.2 Crore. Live crab exports from India increased from 3434 tons in 2008-09 to 5492 tons in 2009-10 (Shivakumar, 2010).

The brachyuran crabs in the world range approximately from 5000 to 6000 species. The largest proportion around 1500 to 2000 brachyuran crab species including marine and fresh water taxa are present in Western Central Pacific region (Kent *et.al*, 1998). There are variable estimates of crab recordings from India.



[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

Venue: V.S. Acharya Auditorium, Alva's Education Foundation, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodbidri, D.K. Dist., Karnataka, India – 574227

According to Rao *et al.*, (1973) 600 species of crabs as recorded from Indian waters (as cited in Sukumaran, 1995). A later study on carcinological fauna of the west coast of India by Venkataraman and Wafar (2005) mentions 254 species, from 120 genera under 24 families. Roy and Das (2000), recorded 55 species of brachyuran crabs under 31 genera from the mangrove habitats of India. Roy and Nandi's studies in 2008 (as cited in Bhatt and Kathiresan, 2011) in Goa, Maharashtra and Kerala, especially from mangroves revealed 35 species under 25 genera and 10 families. Kerala was leading with 27 spp. followed by Goa (17 spp.) and Maharashtra (12 spp.). Dineshbabu *et al.*, (2011) brought out a list of 112 species from Karnataka coast covering estuarine, inshore and offshore waters; to be precise, of these 35 species from 9 families were estuarine and 105 species from 18 families from marine (many crabs with overlapping habitats).

The estuary of Aghanashini is one of the most well studied for its biodiversity and economic and livelihood importance. The mangroves have been mapped and finfishes, bivalves, human communities and their traditional knowledge etc. recorded (Boominathan et al., 2008; Chandran et al., 2012a; 2012b; Ramachandra et.al, 2012; 2013; Bhat et al., 2014a; 2014b; Mesta et al., 2014). In view of the rich biodiversity and livelihood dependence of thousands of families on the estuary, Chandran et al (2012b) submitted a proposal to the Karnataka Biodiversity Board for consideration of the estuary as a Biodiversity Heritage Site, under the provisions of the Biodiversity Act-2002. Estuary-wise studies on crabs are scanty in Karnataka despite several rivers flowing towards the Arabian Sea. Kakati's (1980) report on the 50 species of brachyuran crabs from 11 families from Karwar included both marine and estuarine habitats. Shivakumar et al. (2010) recorded 20 species from 14 genera and 6 families, as associated with mangroves of Kali estuary. The data available on the crabs of Aghanashini estuary are sparse. The study therefore is mainly aimed at documenting diversity and habitat preferences of brachyuran crabs in the Aghanashini estuary.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study stations and habitat types: The crab diversity was studied at six estuarine stations (Fig. 1). Belekan and Kirubele are towards the funnel shaped river mouth, stations experiencing high salinity, except during the rainy season. Being closer to the seafront these stations are subjected to greater force of waves; their shores are rockier and interspersed with small sandy; mudflats are relatively larger and oyster beds also occur here. Bargi and Kagal are mid-estuary stations with medium salinity, and more of mangroves and marshes; small creeks and gazni rice fields are associated with this part of the estuary which is a spreadout zone. exist. Hegde and Divigi are upstream stations where the river is restricted in width, often flowing between low laterite hills and with lower salinity due to more mixing of fresh water. Within the zone of each station habitat diversity was documented (such as rocky and sandy shores, mangroves, marshy areas and sub-tidal areas). The marshy area closer to the low tide mark and with no plant cover is designated as intertidal, open marshy area and mudflats; marshy area with marsh grasses/sedges is intertidal marshes with sedges-grasses. Mangrove area near the low tide mark with oyster stones are intertidal mangrove-oyster stones, intertidal area with oyster beds and no mangrove is intertidal oyster bed, and mangrove covered area. Other estuarine habitats are rocky shores, sandy



[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

Venue: V.S. Acharya Auditorium, Alva's Education Foundation, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodbidri, D.K. Dist., Karnataka, India – 574227

shores and subtidal area. Within each station limit habitats were recognized and studied for crabs. The geographic locations of the stations are given in **Table-1**.




Fig. 1: Aghanashini estuary with study stations

Table 1. Station-wise characterization of crab habitats studied

| Station (Lat/Long) | Sampling Site | Habitats                              |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| DIVIGI             | 1             | Inter-tidal marsh with sedges-grasses |
| (14°26.650'N       | 2             | Estuarine border and embankment       |
| 74°26.136'E)       | 3             | Subtidal area                         |
| HEGDE              | 4             | Inter-tidal marsh with sedges-grasses |
| (14°28.554'N       | 5             | Estuarine border and embankment       |
| 74°25.597'E)       | 6             | Subtidal area                         |
| KAGAL              | 7             | Mangrove covered area                 |
| (14° 29.735'N      | 8             | Inter-tidal oyster beds               |
| 74° 22.747'E)      | 9             | Subtidal area                         |
| BARGI              | 10            | Mangrove covered area                 |
| (14°31.386'N       | 11            | Mangrove with oyster stones           |
| 74° 22.879'E)      | 12            | Subtidal area                         |



### [THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

Venue: V.S. Acharya Auditorium, Alva's Education Foundation, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodbidri, D.K. Dist., Karnataka, India – 574227

| BELEKAN       | 13 | Sandy shore   |
|---------------|----|---------------|
| (14° 31.241′N | 14 | Rocky shore   |
| 74° 20.682'E) | 15 | Subtidal area |
| KIRUBELE      | 16 | Sandy shore   |
| (14° 30.779'N | 17 | Rocky shore   |
| 74° 21.523'E) | 18 | Subtidal area |

Crab sampling methods: The brachyuran crab habitat and distribution study in the Aghanashini estuary was carried out from August 2015 to December 2015. Monthly observations were made in every habitat type found within the 6 stations (2 stations each in estuarine mouth, mid estuary and upstream estuary). All out searches for crabs were made in each potential habitat within these stations, mainly during the low tide. As far as main body of the estuary constantly under submergence, we relied on the catches by local fisher folks using their various crab catching devices. Photographs were taken of the habitats and crabs. Collections of sample specimens for identification were made by hand picking wherever substratum was exposed. Moulted shells of crabs from respective sites were also collected as supporting evidence. The burrowing crabs in intertidal areas were collected by digging the burrows. Crabs climbing on mangrove trees were also observed. Questionnaire based interviews were conducted among the crab catchers for gaining more information related to crab species in relation to their habitats, times of occurrence, maturity, catching stage, uses in folk medicine etc.

**Identification:** The collected sample specimens were photographed and left back in the estuary in case of commoner crabs; the rest were brought to the field station, and preserved. Standard keys by Alcock, (1900), Marine Species Identification Portal website (<a href="http://species-identification.org">http://species-identification.org</a>), National Institute of Oceanography web site (<a href="http://www.niobioinformatics.in">http://www.niobioinformatics.in</a>), Marine Biodiversity Database of India (<a href="http://www.biosearch.in">http://www.biosearch.in</a>) were mainly used for crab identification. The classification of brachyuran crabs was adopted from WORMS website (<a href="http://www.marinespecies.org">http://www.marinespecies.org</a>). Unidentified and doubtful specimens were identified with the help of experts from the Department of Marine Sciences of Goa University,.

### **RESULTS**

**Species richness:** The study recorded 30 brachyuran crab species from 23 genera and 11 families (**Table 2**). Sesarmidae, Portunidae and Ocypodidae were the leading families with 7, 6 and 4 species respectively.

**Station-wise distribution: Table 3** provides station-wise and habitat-wise crab distribution. Mid-estuary stations, Kagal and Bargi, with medium salinity conditions during most of post and pre-monsoon periods were richest, with 24 and 25 species respectively. The high salinity river-mouth stations Belekan and Kirubele recorded 22 and 21 species respectively. The low salinity Hegde towards upstream trailed marginally with 18 species, whereas further upstream Divigi had the least number. Almost everywhere mangrove associated habitats accounted for greater richness of crabs, followed by marshy area, sandy shore, sub tidal area and rocky shore



### [THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

Venue: V.S. Acharya Auditorium, Alva's Education Foundation, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodbidri, D.K. Dist., Karnataka, India – 574227

**Table2.** Family-wise genera and species of brachyuran crabs in Aghanashini estuary.

| Sl.   | Family           | Number    | Number     |
|-------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| NO    |                  | of genera | of species |
| 1     | Sesarmidae       | 6         | 7          |
| 2     | Portunidae       | 4         | 6          |
| 3     | Ocypodidae       | 2         | 4          |
| 4     | Grapsidae        | 2         | 3          |
| 5     | Dotillidae       | 2         | 3          |
| 6     | Menippidae       | 2         | 2          |
| 7     | Pilumnidae       | 1         | 1          |
| 8     | Oziidae          | 1         | 1          |
| 9     | Varunidae        | 1         | 1          |
| 10    | Macrophthalmidae | 1         | 1          |
| 11    | Matutidae        | 1         | 1          |
| Total |                  | 23        | 30         |

The mud crabs *Scylla serrata* and *S. olivacea* were the most widespread across all the stations, occurring in 13 of 18 sites. *Portunus pelagicus* followed with 8 sites and next were *Metopograpsus messor*, *P. sanguinolentus*, *Thalamita crenata* and *Uca annulepis*, each found in 6 sites. Crabs like *Ashtoret lunaris*, *Grapus albolineatus*, *Dotilla myctyroides*, *Scopimera proxima*, *Menippe rumphii*, *Ozyus tuberculosis*, *Charybdus lucifera* and *Ocypode cordimanus* were found only in river mouth sites. Interestingly *Dotilla malabarica* occurred only in an upstream site at Divgi and *Clistocoeloma tectum* at a similar site in Hegde. The maximum number of brachyuran crab species were recorded from Kagal and Bargi (18 species in each station) followed by Belekan (13 species); Kirubele and Hegde contributed same number of species (12 species) while minimum species diversity was recorded from Divigi (7 species). In sampling sites diversity, maximum diversity of brachyuran crabs was recorded from site number 7 and 10 while minimum diversity was recorded from site number 3 and 6 (**Table 3**)

### **Habitat-wise distribution**

Details of habitat-wise distribution of crab species are given in **Table 4**. Whereas some like *Grapsus albolineatus* were observed only on rocky shores *Scylla serrata* and *S. olivacea* occurred in several habitats.

a. Mangroves: Mangrove areas were richest in crab diversity, altogether accounting for 17 species. Marshes of intertidal zones with sedges, due to their muddy bottom and vegetation shared some species in common with mangroves such as Metapograpus latifrons, Macropthalamus pacificus, Uca annulepis etc. Economically very important mud crabs Scylla serrata and S. olivacea were most widespread in the estuary with mangrove areas accounting for bulk of their catch. M. latifrons which is typical of mangrove environment climbs on mangrove trees as well.



[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

- b. Marshy areas: Such areas are common in the estuary and exposed during low tides. The marshes may be with or without vegetation, mainly of sedges with occasional woody mangrove species, especially the shrubby Acanthus ilicifolius and the mangrove fern Acrostichum aureum (in low salinity marshes). The marshy habitats in general had 13 species of crabs.
- c. Sandy shores: Notable sandy beaches occurred only in Belekan and Kirubele towards the river mouth, subjected to relatively forceful action of waves and tides. This habitat accounted for 9 species of crabs, of which Dotilla myctiroides, Ocypode cordinana and Scopimera proxima were found exclusive to such areas.



Fig. 2: Noncommercial crabs of Aghanashini estuary

- d. Rocky shores: Natural rocky shores characterized river-mouth stations Belekan and Kirubele. Six species of crabs were found associated with habitat, subjected to higher wave action compared to the interior of the estuary. Characteristic crabs, exclusive to this habitat type, were Grapsus albolineatus and Menippe rumphii.
- e. Subtidal areas: These are submerged parts of the estuary. It is probable that most crabs pass through such water covered areas while shifting their locations or for feeding purpose or en course their journey to the sea for egg laying and back. Of the 7 species recorded associated with such habitat none was exclusive to it. Family Portunidae was dominant with all the 6 species noted spend more time submerged in the estuary; most of them migrate to the sea as well. Species Charybdis lucifera and Ashtoret lunariswere recorded only from station Belekan and Kirubele towards river mouth.



[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

Venue: V.S. Acharya Auditorium, Alva's Education Foundation, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodbidri, D.K. Dist., Karnataka, India – 574227



Fig. 3: Commercially important crabs of Aghanashini estuary

### **DISCUSSION**

Aghanashini estuary appears to be one of the richest in brachyuran crabs along the Indian west coast. Many of the crabs recorded from the estuarine areas are also found along the sea coast. Even a recent detailed study by researchers of the Zoological Survey of India, in the Marine National Park, Gulf of Kachchh, yielded only 22 species of crabs, despite the park having a combination of habitats like the coral reef, seagrass bed, seaweed cover, sandy and muddy bottoms etc. Moreover, the Gulf of Kachchh is considered one of the world's richest marine biospheres, occupies an area of 7350 sq km and 42 islands (Beleem et al., 2014). In contrast, the Aghanashini estuary is barely 50 sq km in area including the brackish water rice fields and shrimp/prawn culturing areas, which make about half of the estuary. Considering the fact that study by Dineshbabu et al (2011) from 8 estuaries of Karnataka (Aghanashini not included) reported only 35 species of brachyuran crabs, 30 species so far found in Aghanashini from our study speaks much about the relative naturalness this estuary compared to most others under high anthropogenic pressures.

Recent years witnessed greater appreciation of the role of brachyuran crabs in mangrove ecosystem, especially for the commercially valuable species found there and others which affect the overall mangrove ecology (Tan and Ng, 1994). The Aghanashini estuary, which witnessed an intensive drive towards mangrove afforestation by the Karnataka forest Department in the recent years, is turning out to be big producer of edible mud crabs, associated mainly with mangroves. The most important of these are *Scylla serrata* and *S. olivacea* the capture of which provides employment for few hundred persons every day. Whereas the smaller crabs are more consumed as food in the local households and sold in local markets, the larger ones fetch high value in big cities and are also exported to foreign markets as well. *Portunus* spp. are other important food species from the estuary and the sea coast.



[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]
Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

**Table 3.** Station-wise and habitat-wise diversity of brachyuran crabs in Aghanashini estuary (TS\* = total sites)

|                              | Sta | tion | and : | sampli | ing si | ites |     |    |   |     |    |    |      |      |    |     |       |    |     |
|------------------------------|-----|------|-------|--------|--------|------|-----|----|---|-----|----|----|------|------|----|-----|-------|----|-----|
| Species and Family           | Div | rigi |       | Heg    | de     |      | Kag | al |   | Bar | gi |    | Bele | ekan |    | Kir | ubele |    | TS* |
|                              | 1   | 2    | 3     | 4      | 5      | 6    | 7   | 8  | 9 | 10  | 11 | 12 | 13   | 14   | 15 | 16  | 17    | 18 |     |
| Matutidae  Ashtonet kun ania |     |      |       |        |        |      |     |    |   |     |    |    |      |      |    |     |       |    |     |
| Ashtoret lunaris             | -   | -    | -     | -      | -      | -    | -   | -  | - | -   | -  | -  | +    | -    | +  | +   | -     | +  | 4   |
| Sesarmidae                   | ı   |      | 1     |        | ı      | 1    | ı   |    | 1 |     |    |    |      |      |    |     |       |    |     |
| Neosarmatium malabaricum     | -   | -    | -     | +      | +      | -    | +   | -  | - | +   | -  | -  | -    | -    | -  | -   | -     | -  | 4   |
| Perisesarma bidens           | -   | -    | -     | +      | -      | -    | +   | -  | - | +   | -  | -  | -    | -    | -  | -   | -     | -  | 3   |
| Parasesarma plicatum         | -   | -    | -     | +      | -      | -    | +   | -  | - | +   | -  | -  | -    | -    | -  | -   | -     | -  | 3   |
| Sesarmops intermedius        | -   | -    | -     | +      | -      | -    | +   | -  | - | +   | -  | -  | -    | -    | -  | -   | -     | -  | 3   |
| Pseudosesarma edwardsii      | +   | -    | -     | +      | -      | -    | -   | -  | - | -   | -  | -  | -    | -    | -  | -   | -     | -  | 2   |
| Clistocoeloma lanatum        | -   | -    | -     | +      | -      | -    | +   | -  | - | +   | -  | -  | -    | -    | -  | -   | -     | -  | 3   |
| Clistocoeloma tectum         | -   | -    | -     | +      | -      | -    | -   | -  | - | -   | -  | -  | -    | -    | -  | -   | -     | -  | 1   |



[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]
Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

| Grapsidae                |   |          |          |   |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |   |          |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|--------------------------|---|----------|----------|---|---|---|---|----------|---|---|---|---|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Grapsus albolineatus     | - | -        | -        | - | - | - | - | -        | - | - | - | - | -        | + | - | - | + | - | 2 |
| Metopograpsus messor     | - | +        | -        | - | + | - | + | +        | - | + | + | - | -        | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Metopograpsus latifrons  | - | -        | -        | - | - | - | + | -        | - | + | - | - | -        | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Macrophthalmidae         | l | 1        | ı        | ı |   | 1 |   | ı        |   | I | ı |   | ı        |   | ı | ı | ı | ı |   |
| Macrophthalmus pacificus | + | -        | -        | + | - | - | + | -        | - | + | - | - | -        | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |
| Dotillidae               | l | 1        | ı        | 1 |   |   |   | ı        | 1 | 1 | 1 | ı | I        |   | 1 | 1 | I | 1 |   |
| Dotilla malabarica       | - | +        | -        | - | - | - |   | -        | - | - | - | - | -        | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Dotilla myctiroides      | - | -        | -        | - | - | - | - | -        | - | - | - | - | +        | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Scopimera proxima        | - | -        | -        | - | - | - | - | -        | - | - | - | - | +        | - | - | + | - | - | 1 |
| Menippidae               |   | 1        | 1        | I |   |   |   | 1        |   | 1 | 1 |   |          |   | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |   |
| Menippe rumphii          | - | -        | -        | - | - | - | - | -        | - | - | - | - | -        | + | - | - | + | - | 2 |
| Myomenippe hardwickii    | - | -        | -        |   | - | - |   | -        | - | + | - | - | -        | + | - | - | + | - | 3 |
| Pilumnidae               |   | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |   |   |   |   | <u> </u> | 1 |   |   | 1 | <u> </u> |   |   |   |   | 1 |   |



## **Management of Ecologically Sensitive Regions in Western Ghats**

[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]
Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

| Heteropanope glabra         | -    | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | -  | 4       |
|-----------------------------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---------|
| Oziidae                     | I .  |   | 1 | ı | ı |   | 1 | ı | 1 | ı | ı |   |   |   | 1 |   |   | 1  | <u></u> |
| Ozius tuberculosus          | -    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | -  | 2       |
| Varunidae                   | II . |   |   | I | 1 |   |   | 1 |   |   | 1 |   |   |   |   |   |   | II |         |
| Paraypyxidognathus deianira | -    | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | -  | 4       |
| Portunidae                  | I .  |   | 1 | ı | ı |   | 1 | ı | 1 | ı | ı |   |   |   | 1 |   |   | 1  | <u></u> |
| Charybdis lucifera          | -    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | +  | 6       |
| Portunus pelagicus          | -    | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | +  | 8       |
| Portunus sanguinolentus     | -    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | +  | 6       |
| Scylla olivacea             | +    | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | +  | 13      |
| Scylla serrate              | +    | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | - | +  | 13      |
| Thalamita crenata           | -    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | + | + | - | + | +  | 6       |
| Ocypodidae                  |      | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1  | 1       |
| Ocypode cordimana           | -    | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | - | -  | 2       |



## **Management of Ecologically Sensitive Regions in Western Ghats**

[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]
Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

| Uca annulepis                | +  | + | - | +  | + | - | +  | - | - | +  | - | - | -  | - | - | -  | - | - | 6 |
|------------------------------|----|---|---|----|---|---|----|---|---|----|---|---|----|---|---|----|---|---|---|
| Ucad ussumieri               | -  | - | - | -  | - | - | +  | - | - | +  | - | - | 1  | - | - | -  | - | - | 2 |
| Uca vocans                   | -  | - | - | -  | - | - | +  | - | - | +  | - | - | -  | - | - | -  | - | - | 2 |
| Total species in sample site | 5  | 3 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 5 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 9  | 6 | 7 | 8  | 6 | 7 |   |
| Total species station-wise   | 10 | • | • | 18 | • | • | 24 | • |   | 25 | • | • | 22 |   | • | 21 | • | • |   |



[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]
Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

Table 4. Habitat preferences of brachyuran crabs in Aghanashini estuary

| Species                     | Ha | bitat | type |       |   |       |    |    |       |       |       |       |     |         |     |    |    |    |
|-----------------------------|----|-------|------|-------|---|-------|----|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|---------|-----|----|----|----|
|                             | Ma | arshy | area |       | M | angro | ve |    | Sandy | shore | Rocky | shore | Sub | tidal a | rea |    |    |    |
|                             | 1  | 2     | 4    | 4 5 7 |   |       | 10 | 11 | 13    | 16    | 14    | 17    | 3   | 6       | 9   | 12 | 15 | 18 |
| Ashtoret lunaris            | -  | -     | -    | -     | - | -     | -  | -  | +     | +     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | +  | +  |
| Grapsus albolineatus        | -  | -     | -    | -     | - | -     | -  | -  | -     | -     | +     | +     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |
| Metopograpsus messor        | -  | +     | -    | +     | + | +     | +  | +  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |
| Metopograpsus latifrons     | -  | -     | -    | -     | + | -     | +  | -  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |
| Paraypyxidognathus deianira | -  | -     | -    | -     | + | +     | +  | +  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |
| Neosarmatium malabaricum    | -  | -     | +    | +     | + | -     | +  | -  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |
| Perisesarma bidens          | -  | -     | +    | -     | + | -     | +  | -  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |
| Parasesarma plicatum        | -  | -     | +    | -     | + | -     | +  | -  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |
| Sesarmops intermedius       | -  | -     | +    | -     | + | -     | +  | -  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |
| Pseudosesarma edwardsii     | +  | -     | +    | -     | - | -     | -  | -  | -     | -     | -     | -     | -   | -       | -   | -  | -  | -  |



## **Management of Ecologically Sensitive Regions in Western Ghats**

[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]
Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

| Clistocoeloma lanatum    | - | - | + | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Clistocoeloma tectum     | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dotillamalabarica        | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dotilla myctiroides      | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Macrophthalmus pacificus | + | - | + | - | + | - | + | - | = | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ocypode cordimana        | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Scopimera proxima        | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Uca annulepis            | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Uca dussumieri           | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Uca vocans               | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Charybdis lucifera       | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | + | + |
| Portunus pelagicus       | - | - | - | - | + | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + |
| Portunus sanguinolentus  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + |
| Scylla olivacea          | + | - | + | + | + | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Scylla serrata           | + | - | + | + | + | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Thalamita crenata        | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | + | + | + |
|                          |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |



## **Management of Ecologically Sensitive Regions in Western Ghats**

[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]
Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

| Heteropanope glabra          | - | - | -  | - | + | +        | +  | +        | - | - | - | -        | - | - | - | - | -        | -        |
|------------------------------|---|---|----|---|---|----------|----|----------|---|---|---|----------|---|---|---|---|----------|----------|
| Menippe rumphii              | - | - | -  | - | - | -        | -  | -        | - | - | + | +        | - | - | - | • | -        | -        |
| Myomenippe hardwickii        | - | - | -  | - | - | -        | +  | -        | - | - | + | +        | - | - | - | - | -        | -        |
| Ozius tuberculosus           | - | - | -  | - | - | -        | -  | -        | - | - | + | +        | - | - | - | - | -        | -        |
| Total species in sample site | 5 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 3        | 17 | 3        | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6        | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6        | 6        |
| Total species in habitat     |   |   | 13 | I |   | <u>.</u> | 17 | <u>I</u> | 9 | I | 6 | <u>I</u> |   | l | l | 7 | <u>I</u> | <u> </u> |



## [THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

Venue: V.S. Acharya Auditorium, Alva's Education Foundation, Sundari Ananda Alva Campus, Vidyagiri, Moodbidri, D.K. Dist., Karnataka, India – 574227

### References

- 1. Alcock, M.M.,1900. Materials for a carcinological fauna of India,No. 6, The Brachyura Catometopa or Grapsoidea. *Journal, Asiatic Society of Bengal*, LXIX, Part II, no. 3.
- 2. Biju, K., Sushilkumar, M., Raffi, S.M. and Khan, S.A. 2007; Diversity of brachyuran crabs associated with trawl by-catch in Kerala coast, *India. Indian J. Fish.*, 54(3): 283-290.
- 3. Beleem, I.B., Kumar, J.S.Y., Satyanarayana, Ch., Venkataraman, K. and Kamboj, R.D. 2014. Distribution of Marine Crabs from the Marine National Park, Gulf of Kachchh. *Sch. Acad. J. Biosci.*, 2(7): 419-427.
- Bhat, M., Nayak, V.N., Chandran, M.D.S. and Ramachandra, T.V. 2014. Fish distribution dynamics in the Aghanashini estuary of Uttara Kannada, west coast of India. *Current Science* 106(12): 1739-1744.
- 5. Bhat, M., Chandran, M.D.S., Nayak, V.N. and Ramachandra, T.V. 2014b. Role of estuary in sustaining marine fishery resources: A case study from Aghanashini estuary in Uttara Kannada, Karnataka, west coast of India. Conference: LAKE 2014: Conference on Conservation and Sustainable Management of Wetland Ecosystems in Western Ghats, Sirsi. Sahyadri Conservation Series 47, 135-141.
- 6. Bhatt and K.J. R. Kathiresan 2011. Biodiversity of Mangrove Ecosystems in India. *Towards Conservation and Management of Mangrove Ecosystems in India*. ISBN 978-2-8317-1263-5.
- 7. Boominathan, M., Chandran, M.D.S. and Ramachandra, T.V. 2008. Economic Valuation of Bivalves in the Aghanashini Estuary, in the West Coast, Karnataka. Sahyadri Conservation Series, 9. ENVIS Technical Report 30. CES, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.
- 8. Chandran, M.D.S., Mesta, P., Boominathan, M., Rao, G.R., Vishnu, D.M. et al. 2012a. Aghanashini estuary, Kumta taluk, Uttara Kannada- Biological Heritage Site. Envis Technical Report 35, Energy and Wetlands Research Group, Centre for Ecological Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.
- Chandran, M.D.S., Ramachandra, T.V., Joshi, N.V., Mesta, P.N., Settur, B. and Vishnu, D.M. 2012b. Conservation and management of mangroves in Uttara Kannada, Central Westtern Ghats. Sahyadri Conservation Series: 20. Envis Technical Report: 50. wgbis.ces.iisc.ernet.in/biodiversity/pubs/ETR/ETR50/chapter1.htm
- 10. Chhapgar. B. F 1957; Marine crabs of Bombay state. *Contribution NO.1 of the Taraporevala marine biological station*.
- 11. Davie, P.J.F. 1994 06 01: Revision of *Neosarmatium* Serine and Soh (Crustacea: Brachyura:Sesarminae) with descriptions of two



## **Management of Ecologically Sensitive Regions in Western Ghats**

[THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

- new species. *Memoirs of the Queensland Museum*35(1): 35-74. Brisbane. ISSN 0079-8835.
- 12. Dineshbabu A. P., Durgekar, R.N. and Zacharia, P.U. 2011. Estuarine and marine decapods of Karnataka, *Fishing Chimes*, 30(10&11) 20-24.
- 13. George J. Parayannilam 2005; Mangrove ecosystems; A Manual for the Assessment of Biodiversity, *CMFRI Special Publication No. 83*; ISSN: 0972-2351, p 150.
- 14. Kakati. V. S 1980; Studies on crabs of Karwar; *A thesis submitted to the Karnatak University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in zoology*. Karnatak University, Dharwar.
- 15. Kent E. Carpenter and Volker H. Niem 1998; FAO Species identification guide for fishery purposes, the living marine resources of the western central pacific; volume 2; ISSN1020-6868, p 1048.
- 16. Raj, M. 1991. A review of the mud crab (Scylla serrata) fishery on the east coast of india and in Kerala state. *Report of the Seminar on the Mud Crab Culture and Trade*.
- 17. Mchenga and M. Tsuchiya 2013; Crabs engineering effects on soil organic matter and nutrients flow in sub tropical mangroves forest. *Journal of Global Biosciences*, Vol. 2(1), 2013, pp. 10-16. ISSN 2320-1355.
- 18. Ng, P. K. L., Guinot, D., and Davie, P. J. F., 2008. Systema Brachyurorum: Part I. An annotated checklist of extant brachyuran crabs of the world. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 17, 1-286.
- Nayar T.S, 2011. Plant Crab Interaction in Mangrove Ecosystem with A Case Study From Kerala. Towards Conservation and Management of Mangrove Ecosystems in India. ISBN 978-2-8317-1263-5
- Ramakrishna 2011.Faunal Resources and Their Distribution in the Mangrove Ecosystem of the Andaman & Nicobar Islands. *Towards Conservation and Management of Mangrove Ecosystems in India*.ISBN 978-2-8317-1263-5
- 21. Roy, D.M.K. and A.K. Das 2000. Taxonomy, ecobiology and distribution pattern of the Brachyuran crabs of mangrove ecosystem in Andaman Islands, Occasional paper no. 185, *Records of the Zoological Survey of India*.
- 22. Sakai.K, Marine Species Identification Portal; Crabs of Japan. <a href="http://species-identification.org">http://species-identification.org</a>
- 23. Shivakumar B. Haragi, Ulhas G. Naikand J.L. Rathod 2010.Brachyuran diversity in sub littoral zone of Tropical estuary, Karwar, west coast of India. *Lake 2010: Wetlands, Biodiversity and Climate Change.*



## [THE 10<sup>TH</sup> BIENNIAL LAKE CONFERENCE]

Date: 28-30<sup>th</sup> December 2016, http://ces.iisc.ernet.in/energy

- 24. Sukumaran, K.K. 1995: Fishery, biology and population dynamics of the marine crabs, Portunus (Portunus) sanguinolentus (Herbst) and Portunus (Portunus) pelagicus(Linnaeus) along the Karnataka coast. Thesis submitted to Karnatak UniversityDharwad for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in marine biology.
- 25. Padate, V.P., Rivonker, C.U. &Anil, A.C. 2012; A new record of *Scylla olivacea*(Decapoda, Brachyura, portunidae) from Goa, central west coast of India- A comparative diagnosis. *Indian Journal of Geo-Marine Sciences Vol.* 42(1),pp. 82-89.
- Venkataraman, K. and Wafar, M. 2005. Coastal and marine biodiversity of India. *Indian Journal of Marine Sciences*, 34(1): 57-75.
- 27. http://www.biosearch.in
- 28. http://www.sealifebase.org
- 29. http://www.niobioinformatics.in