Life Styles of Phytoseiid Mites: Implications for Rearing and Biological Control Strategies

J. A. McMurtry Professor Emeritus, Univ. of California, Riverside Present address: Sunriver, Oregon, USA





Items for Consideration

- Evolution of feeding habits of the Phytoseiidae.
- Some associations of Phytoseiidae with different foods and plants (life styles).
- Relationships of life styles to rearing and biological control (examples).
- Some challenges at the species level in relation to biological control.
- Summary and Conclusions



Amblyseius phillipsi- highly derived morphology (After Chant & McMurtry 2004)

Highly specialized predators of *Tetranychus* spp. (Type I)

- Very high reproductive potential
- Live in spider mite colonies
- Very long median dorsal (j-J) setae
- Plant habitat less important than prey species
- Require spider mites for mass production
- Subfamily Amblyseiinae- *Phytoseiulus* 4 spp., all highly derived, unrelated to other groups.*P. persimilis* brought fame to the Phytoseiidae in the 1960's.

Life Styles of Phytoseiid Mites (McMurtry & Croft 1997; Croft et al. 2004)

- Highly specific on *Tetranychus* spp. (Type I)
- Broadly specific, tetranychids most favorable (Type II)
- Generalists; wide array of foods acceptable (Type III)
- Specialized pollen feeders, general predators (Type IV)







Phytoseiulus persimilis



Courtesy R. Cloid

Glasshouse cucumber production





Releasing Phytoseiulus persimilis in strawberry field

Bean plants infested with Tetranychus pacificus



"Washing machine" for harvesting spider mites





Techniques developed by G. Scriven (he later co-founded Biotactics)

Broadly specific spider mite predators (Type II)

- Medium to high reproductive potential.
- Long median dorsal setae (j-J series).
- Thrive in highly webbed spider mite colonies; other types, e.g. *Panonychus spp*. not as favorable.
- Rust mites may be important alternate prey.
- Spider mites usually required for mass-rearing.

Calendromus occidentalis

Examples of broadly specific (Type 2) predators

Subfamily Tyhlodrominae

- *Typhlodromus rickeri* group- Asian origin. *T. rickeri* introduced to US; both spider mites and rust mites are favorable.
- *Galendromus*. All associated with tetranychids in heavily webbed colonies. *G. occidentalis* brought fame to Phytoseiidae in 1960's. *G. helveolus* (introduced), *annectens* live in webbed nests of persea mite and also in colonies of *Eotetranychus sexmaculatus* on avocado in California.

"Nests" of persea mite on avocado leaf



Persea mite on avocado leaf



Examples of broadly specific predators (cont.)

Subfamily Amblyseiinae

• *Neoseiulus* - A few spp., e.g. *fallacis* and *womersleyi*. If they have long median dorsal setae, they probably prefer spider mites. *N. californicus*, with intermediate- length setae, has some characteristics of Type III. Can be reared on foods that are cheaper to produce.

General comments

- Each of the Type II groups (4-5) probably evolved independently.
- Type II predators may be unable to compete with type III and IV (generalist) predators at low prey densities.

Galendromus helveolus (introduced to California)







Examples of general feeders (Type III)

Subfamily Typhloldrominae

- Typhlodromus
- *pyri* cosmopolitan- impact on *Panonychus ulmi;* rust mites are favorable prey.
- *Metaseiulus* mostly N. America- Eriophyoids (rust/bud mites) appear more favorable than spider mites.

These have mostly unmodified morphologies.

General feeders (Type III)

- Feed on various groups of mites, small insects, honeydew, pollen, nectar, plant juices.
- Medium to low reproductive potential, sometimes lower on spider mites than other mites, e.g., eriophyoids.
- Often aggregate in protected areas of foliage (domatia), not in spider mite patches.
- Often dominant in stable ecosystems.
- Can be more cheaply mass-produced; spider mites not requred.



Examples of general feeders (cont.)

- Subfamily Phytoseiinae- *Phytoseius* spp.- All highly derived.
- Potential mostly unknown.
- Adaptations to host plant features- small, laterally compressed, movement through dense leaf hairs.

Examples of general feeders (cont.)

Subfamily Amblyseiinae

Tribe Neoseiulini- Neoseiulus-

- *barkeri* group, e.g. *barkeri*
- *cucumeris* group, e.g. *cucumeris* both spp. used commercially in biological control (thrips, etc.).
- *paspalivorus* group- elongate, flat body, mainly on grasses. *N. paspalivorus* and *baraki* associated with the coconut mite *Aceria guereronis* on coconut palm (Moraes et al. 2004).







Coconut damaged by *Aceria guerreronis* (Eriophyidae) Courtesy F.R. da Silva



Neoseiulus baraki. Courtesy M. Guedes



Examples of general feeders (Cont.)

- Tribe Kampimodromini- highly derived body plans- often thick setae, small size, laterally compressed.
- *Kampimodromus- aberrans-* effective biological control agent, best on hairy leaves? Benefit from pollen and mildew.
- *Paraphytoseius* Superficially resembles *Phytoseius* (convergence). On hairy leaves; associations with prey largely unknown.





Examples of general feeders (cont.)

Tribe Amblyseiini- Most species highly derived, long "caudal" setae Z5

• Transeius-

montdorensis (= tetranychivorus?)- potential for thrips control in Australia (Steiner et al. 2003).

• Amblyseius-

e.g. *andersoni-* important on tetranychids on deciduous fruits, vines.

swirskii- citrus (Middle East) and commercial production for greenhouse crops.

Examples of general feeders (cont.)

Tribe Euseiini

• Typhlodromalus-

aripo- on cassava green mite (CGM); established in Africa.

• Amblydromalus-

*manihot*i- successful establishment in Africa on CGM. *limonicus*- apparent impact on spider mites on avocado, citrus in California, USA coastal areas. Might have promise for biological control programs.







Specialized pollen feeders (Type IV)

Tribe Euseiini Euseius, Iphiseius

- Medium reproductive potential, usually highest on pollen.
- Populations may reach highest peak during bloom periods of crop (e.g. avocado) or adjacent trees (e.g. *Eucalyptus*).
- Specialized mouthparts- short stubby chelicerae, wide deutosternum.
- Potentially valuable on tetranychids forming light webbing; on thrips.
- Can be cheaply mass-produced on pollen; leaves may be required.
- Underutilized!

Euseius hibisci on avocado leaf





Euseius stipulatus chelicera and pollen grain (from Flechtmann & McMurtry 1992)









Sorting out closely related species- example: *Euseius* species in California, USA

- 1. *hibisc*i- avocado (widespread); citrus (coastal, a few other pockets).
- 2. tularensis- citrus (widespread), grape, avocado (rare).
- *3. quetzali-* many wild plants (*Quercus, Rubus*), deciduous fruits, nuts.
- 4. obispensis- avocado, only 1 county in California.
- 5. *stipulatus* (introduced 1971)- citrus (coastal S. Calif.), can displace native *Eueius*. New records (2006-7)- avocado, raspberry, grape. Initially didn't colonize avocado.
- Coexistence? Apparently uncommon.
- Based on morphology (large series) and cross-breeding.

Other types?

- *Typhlodromus bambusae* on *Schizotetranychus celarius* on bamboo (Saito, 1990). Apparent example of coevolution in a stable habitat.
- Specialists on eriophyoids? Or generalists exploiting certain habitats?
- Specialists on tenuipalpid (flat) mites?
- Specialists on certain insects?
- Specialists on tydeid mites? Paraseiulus spp.
- Subtypes of Type III (generalists)? This awaits study!

Cryptic species, biotypes/strains DNA techniques

- Today we have DNA/molecular techniques to characterize species and biotypes/strains. For example:
- Amblydromalus manihoti, tenuiscutus and limonicusmolecular studies confirmed morphological studies (Edwards et al. 1998).
- *Euseius concordis, citrifolius* molecular data consistent with morphological studies (Noronha et al. 2003).
- Distinguishing and assessing survival and dispersal of resistant strain of *Euseius finlandicus* (Yli-Matilla, et al. 2000)

Tomato plants infested by *Tetranychus evansi* (courtesy G. de Moraes)





Phytoseiulus longipes

Cassava green mite damage



Courtesy G. de Moraes

Importance of detecting biotypes in biological control programs: examples

- *P. longipes from S. Africa* showed reduced feeding and no potential for biological control of *T. evansi*; *longipes* from Brazil fed readily on *T. evansi* and preferred it to *T. urticae* (Furtado et al. 2007).
- *Typhlodromalus aripo, Amblydromus manihoti* on cassava green mite- These spp. from Colombia failed to establish in Africa; Biotypes from NE Brazil readily established and spread in many countries across Africa (Yaninek & Hanna 2003).

Variation <u>within</u> populations- example: *Kampimodromus aberrans*

- *K. aberrans* shows seasonal variations in setal lengths (Chant 1955, Swirski & Amitai 1965, Tixier et al. 2000).
- Molecular data suggested that populations may be highly structured, with little gene flow between females on a crop and those in adjacent surrounding vegetation (Tixier et al. 2002).
- Such findings have obvious implications in biological control and systematics.

Classification System of Chant & McMurtry for the Phytoseiidae

- 1994 Typhlodrominae, Phytoseiinae- 6 tribes, 23 genera.
- 2003-2006 Amblyseiinae, parts I-IX-9 tribes, 61 genera.
- Total- 15 tribes, 84 genera.
- 2007- "Illustrated keys and diagnoses for the genera and subgenera of the Phytoseiidae of the world". 220 pp., Indira Publishing House. Culmination of 15+ yrs. work.
- Why is this important to biological control? It has helped us to proceed from chaos to some degree of order.

Summary and Conclusions (cont.)

- Detection of cryptic species, biotypes and population variants is essential.
- <u>Storage</u> of predators and food needs greater research emphasis.
- Evaluation is all-important. Industry will play an increasingly important role.

Summary and Conclusions

- Our life-style system does have some relevance to massrearing and control potential.
- There is increasing use of generalist (Type III) species.
- Species in Type IV (pollen specialists) are underutilized.
- Cultures must be consistently monitored for contaminant species. Some, e.g. *N. fallacis* and *N. californicus*, are notorius contaminants.
- We must not assume that studies on one population of a species will apply to other populations as well.

Finally

- We will always need collectors in the field in order to maintain viable "banks" of species and biotypes for biological control trials.
- We will always need more biological information on phytoseiids in order to refine rearing methods and biological control strategies.



