

orphananesthesia

Anesthesia recommendations for patients suffering from **Limb-girdle muscular dystrophy**

Disease name: Limb-girdle muscular dystrophy

ICD 10: G71.0

Synonyms: -

Limb-girdle muscular dystrophy (LGMD) is an inherited myopathy characterized pathophysiologically by a mismatch between muscle breakdown and muscle repair, and it has a frequency of 1 in 15,000. The main clinical features include proximal muscle weakness involving hip and shoulder girdles, elevated creatin kinase (although serum CK level is not an absolute screening test for all LGMD) and a wide range of age onset, from early childhood to late adulthood. Clinical classification is based on the distribution of weakness early in the course and on the age of presentation. It may be difficult to reach a precise diagnosis because of the wide clinical and genetical variability, and the different relative local frequency of the different forms. The base of the diagnosis includes a clinical thorough evaluation, muscle biopsy, immunohistochemistry and genetic analysis. This disease may be either autosomal recessive (LGMD2) or dominant (LGMD1), and there are several different proteins involved, which allow a further classification of LGMD: LGMD 1A (myotilin), LGMD 1B (lamin A/C), LGMD 1C (caveolin 3), LGMD 2A (calpain-3), LGMD 2B (dysferlin), LGMD 2C-F (sarcoglycans), LGMD 2G (telethomin), LGMD 2H (TRIM 32), LGMD 2I (FKRP), LGMD 2J (titin).

Medicine in progress



Perhaps new knowledge

Every patient is unique

Perhaps the diagnostic is wrong

Disease summary

There are different disorders that must be taken in account in these patients, some of them sharing similar gene involvement; among these disorders we should include nuclear envelopathies (Emery-Dreifuss muscular dystrophy), and Bethlem myopathy/Ulrich disease. Diagnostic evaluation depends on immunostaining or blotting, although these tests may be difficult to be properly interpreted. Genetic testing is limited on a practice basis to research setting, but the importance of genetic testing must not be overlooked because it may allow genetic counselling and prediction of other system involvement, like cardiomyopathy and respiratory failure.

The main issue when performing an anaesthetic procedure with these patients deals with the risk of cardiac involvement (presence of cardiac myopathy and cardiac arrhythmia in LGMD 1A, 1B, 2C-F, 2I) and respiratory failure (LGMD 1A, 1B, 2C-F, 2I, 2J). In the case of LGMD 1B, pacemaker and implantable cardioverter defibrillator may be needed because of conduction disorders, and regarding LGMD 2I, 50% of the patients in this group may suffer from dilated cardiomyopathy.

We must keep in mind whenever facing a surgical procedure that these patients may have an increased sensitivity to the effect of anaesthetics and neuromuscular blocking agents. Although there is scarce evidence supporting an increased risk of malignant hyperthermia, there is a higher risk of suffering disease related cardiac complications and acute rhabdomyolysis when exposed to inhaled anaesthetics, and succinylcholine should be avoided as in many muscle diseases because of being associated to life-threatening hyperkalaemia.

Typical surgery

Paediatric procedures (tonsillectomy, adenoid surgery), muscle biopsies, correction of progressive orthopaedic deformities including spinal surgery, cardiac transplantation, cardiac surgery with extracorporeal circulation, caesarean section.

Type of anaesthesia

There is insufficient data to recommend a specific type of anaesthesia; there are previous reports of caesarean sections performed under combined spinal-epidural anaesthesia, as well as different procedures using general anaesthesia and spinal anaesthesia. If general anaesthesia is chosen, inhaled anaesthetics and succinylcholine must be avoided in order to minimize the risk to trigger hyperkalaemia and rhabdomyolysis; therefore total intravenous anaesthesia is probably the best option. General anaesthesia may have a beneficial role in the management of patients unable to tolerate lying supine position despite respiratory support, or those with bulbar muscle involvement, putting them at risk of aspiration and contraindicating non-invasive positive pressure ventilation (NPPV). Neuromuscular blocking agents may be used although careful dosing and meticulous monitoring are of paramount importance, since neuromuscular diseases may predispose to an unpredictable response to neuromuscular block. There are several cases where rocuronium and sugammadex have been used safely, so this combination may be a safe option.

Concerning the use of opioids, short-acting agents are recommended to avoid the risk of drug accumulation. Remifentanyl has been successfully used due to its short duration of

action, so this agent could prevent prolonged postoperative respiratory depression and sedation.

There is no absolute contraindication to sedation if respiratory involvement is mild, although individual risks and benefits of the procedure must be assessed.

Regional anaesthesia should probably be preferred to general anaesthesia whenever it is a feasible option, including peripheral nerve blocks, and neuroaxial anaesthesia. A carefully conducted neuroaxial anaesthesia technique with no extent of the motor blockade could be performed in patients with preoperative history of functional dependence and poor lung function in order to avoid significant postoperative pulmonary complications. If indicated, a combined spinal-epidural (CSE) anaesthesia may be the preferred option among neuroaxial techniques, since it allows a quick onset of sensorimotor block, with a reduced intrathecal drug dose, the height of which can be titrated by further sequential epidural boluses. Furthermore, this combination may be useful to minimize the risk of hypotension, excessive motor blockade and further deterioration in lung function. The epidural boluses with a low concentration high volume local anaesthetic solution offer both a volume and a local anaesthetic effect, resulting in a more adequate block for surgery. The use of epidural opioids should be avoided because of the risk of postoperative respiratory depression.

Necessary additional diagnostic procedures (preoperative)

The course of anaesthetic management should be planned during the preoperative evaluation of the patient. Family history of muscular disease, and any signs or symptoms that evoke myopathy must be searched for.

Serum creatin kinase is variably elevated, it is useful to subdivide in different types of LGMD, and can help to evaluate the appearance of metabolic perioperative complications like rhabdomyolysis, comparing concentrations to baseline level. Electrocardiogram and Holter may be necessary to rule out any cardiac conduction disorders, and echocardiography should probably be performed every 2 to 5 years. If respiratory symptoms are present, functional respiratory tests may help us to plan perioperative care, and arterial blood gases on room air could assist perioperative dispositions. Ultrasound Doppler of lower limbs must be performed for patients on a wheel chair, and if intermittent pneumatic compression (IPC) is recommended. Consultation to specialists may be advised (Cardiology, Neurology, Respiratory Care). The patient should be programmed in the first position of the operative programme.

Particular preparation for airway management

Tongue hypertrophy could make airway management more difficult, although there are not enough previous reports to support this concern; a delayed gastric emptying because of hypomotility of gastrointestinal tract may predispose to an increased risk of aspiration. The presence of somnolence and previous frequent chest infections may suggest muscle respiratory weakness, and increased risk of hypoventilation. Laryngeal mask has been used in at least one case for haemorrhoidectomy surgery, although laryngeal mask is not recommended in case of delayed gastric emptying.

A difficult intubation cart, a videolaryngoscope and a fiberoptic bronchoscope should be in close proximity.

Particular preparation for transfusion or administration of blood products

There are no particular recommendations.

Particular preparation for anticoagulation

Patients may be on oral anticoagulation because of suffering atrial fibrillation or flutter. The presence of an impaired mobility may predispose to deep venous thrombosis, so compression stockings and/or low molecular weight heparin may be indicated in the perioperative period. Intermittent pneumatic compression is recommended for high-risk surgeries, if there is no contraindications (significant arterial insufficiency, oedema from congestive heart failure, active phlebitis, deep vein thrombosis, localized wound infection or cellulitis).

Particular precautions for positioning, transport or mobilisation

These patients may suffer spinal rigidity, scoliosis, and limb contractures, although uncommon; for this reason, positioning in the operating room must be careful.

Probable interaction between anaesthetic agents and patient's long-term medication

Careful administration of opioids, neuromuscular blocking agents and anaesthetics are mandatory due to an increased sensitivity to these agents. Inhaled anaesthetics and succinylcholine should be avoided in order to prevent triggering muscular toxicity. Patients may be previously treated with anti-inflammatory drugs, corticosteroids (reported improvements in LGMD 2C-F), and ACEi or beta-blockers for the treatment of left ventricular dysfunction, so perioperative adjustment of doses or increasing doses (in the case of corticosteroids) could be necessary in the perioperative setting.

Anaesthesiologic procedure

Regional anaesthesia is recommended, and general anaesthesia has been used in several surgical procedures, and intravenous anaesthesia is the safest choice. Neuromuscular block agents may be used, although careful dosing and meticulous monitoring are of paramount importance, since neuromuscular disease may predispose to an unpredictable response to neuromuscular block. There are several cases where rocuronium and sugammadex have been used safely, so this combination may be a safe option.

Particular or additional monitoring

The anaesthesia machine should be prepared by using a new or disposable breathing circuit, a fresh CO₂ absorbent, removing or disconnecting the vaporizers and flushing with O₂ at a rate of 10 l/min for at least 20 minutes before use.

The regular intraoperative monitoring includes a 5-lead electrocardiography, pulse oximetry, end-tidal capnography, non-invasive blood pressure, temperature monitoring, bispectral index, and neuromuscular block monitoring. Mechanical ventilation should be adjusted to maintain PaCO₂ close to patient's preoperative values. Temperature should be kept between 36.5 and 37.8 °C using forced-air warming blankets and infusion warmer if necessary.

Possible complications

Cardiac conduction disorders (atrioventricular block, atrial fibrillation/flutter), pacemaker dysfunction, cardiac failure, suprarrenal insufficiency due to improper corticosteroid perioperative management, respiratory complications (infections, hypoventilation, prolonged mechanical ventilation), muscular toxicity triggered by inhaled agents or succinylcholine, hyperkalemic cardiac arrest.

Postoperative care

Early mobilization, avoiding prolonged mechanical ventilation, and temperature control, must be among the main goals in the postoperative period. Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation delivered by a facemask may be needed in the postoperative period to attenuate any exacerbation of respiratory symptoms associated with the general or neuroaxial anaesthesia in order to avoid intubation. The NVPP should be considered as a part of the perioperative management of these patients.

Information about emergency-like situations / Differential diagnostics

The main complications that must be ruled out are hyperkalemia, rhabdomyolysis, cardiac conduction disorders, heart failure and respiratory failure. Hypoventilation may be more difficult to identify, so a special attention must be paid to indirect signs such as somnolence and bradypnea; differential diagnosis should include residual effects of opioids and anaesthetics.

Ambulatory anaesthesia

Ambulatory anaesthesia may be feasible in cardiac and respiratory condition in stable and mild, although previous data are insufficient to make a particular recommendation, and individualized risk assessment and multidisciplinary approach are needed depending on the type of surgical procedure and anaesthetic technique.

Obstetrical anaesthesia

Regional anaesthesia may be a good option since it may allow us to avoid triggering agents, minimize the risk of respiratory depression, and provide postoperative analgesia with the minimum doses of opioids. There are previous reports using epidural analgesia for elective caesarean section, as well as combined spinal-epidural anaesthesia. Conventional management of vaginal delivery is safe, but epidural analgesia may be useful in order to avoid the adverse effects of opioids.

Literature and internet links

1. Mathews KD, Moore SA. Limb girdle muscular dystrophy. *Curr Neurosci Rep* 2003;3:78-85
2. Norwood F, de Visser M, Eymard B, Lochmuller H, Bushby K. EFNS guidelines on diagnosis and management of limb girdle muscular dystrophies. *Eur J Neurol* 2007;14:1305-12
3. Bushby K, Norwood F, Straub V. The limb-girdle muscular dystrophies-Diagnostic strategies. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2007;1772(2):238-42
4. Manzur AY, Muntoni F. Diagnosis and treatments in muscular dystrophies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2009;80(7):706-14
5. Bouhouch R, Elhouari T, Oukerraj L, Fellat I, Zarzur J, Bennani R, Arharbi M. Management of cardiac involvement in neuromuscular diseases: a review. *Open Cardiovasc Med J* 2008; 2: 93-96
6. Baraka AS, Jalbout MI. Anesthesia and myopathy. *Curr Opin Anesthesiol* 2002;15:371-6
7. Veyckerman F. Can inhalational agents be used in the presence of a child with myopathy? *Curr Opin Anesthesiol* 2012;23:248-55
8. Abhijit SN, Gopal TV. Anaesthesia management of a patient with limb girdle muscular dystrophy for elective caesarean section. *The Indian Anaesthetist's Forum* 2013;14(1):1-5
9. Pash MP. Anaesthetic management of a parturient with severe muscular dystrophy, lumbar lordosis and a difficult airway. *Can J Anaesth* 1996;43(9):959-63
10. Anta Redondo C, Ruiz Lopez JJ, Gredilla Diaz E, Gilsanz Rodriguez F. Anesthesia for cesarean section in a patient with limb-girdle muscular dystrophy. *Rev Esp Anesthesiol Reanim* 2008;55(10):651-2
11. Allen T, Maguire S. Anaesthetic management of a woman with autosomal recessive limb-girdle muscular dystrophy for emergency caesarean section. *Int J Obstet Anesth* 2007;16(4): 370-4
12. Black C, Said J. Normal vaginal delivery in a patient with autosomal recessive limb-girdle muscular dystrophy. *Obst Med* 2010;3(2):81-2
13. Kocum A, Sener M, Caliskan E, Aribogan A. Anesthetic management for a child with unknown type of limb-girdle muscular dystrophy. *Pediatr Int* 2010;52(1):e37-8
14. Richa FC. Anaesthetic management of a patient with limb-girdle dystrophy for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2011;28(1):72-3
15. Chuang MC, Duggan LV, van Heest RD, MacLeod W. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy under spinal anesthesia in a patient with limb-girdle muscular dystrophy. *Can J Anaesth* 2013; 60(12):1276-7
16. López Álvarez A, Roman Fernandez A, Vilanova Vazquez V, Corujeira Rivera MC, Arean Gonzalez I, Valiño Hortas C. Total intravenous anesthesia for aortic aneurysm replacement surgery in a patient with limb-girdle dystrophy. *Rev Esp Anesthesiol Reanim Sep* 12;0034-9356(13)00192-8. doi: 10.1016/j.redar.2013.06.014. [Epub ahead of print]
17. Ambrosi P, Mouly-Bandini A, Attarian S, Habib G. Heart transplantation in 7 patients from a single family with limb-girdle muscular dystrophy caused by lamin A/C mutation. *Int J Cardiol* 2009;137(3):e75-76
18. Mogi K, Shiva S, Hirabashi Y, Seo N. Use of sugammadex in a patient with limb girdle muscular dystrophy. *Masui* 2011;60:710-2.

Last date of modification: April 2015

These guidelines have been prepared by:

Author

Alexo López Álvarez, Anaesthesiologist, Hospital Meixoeiro de Vigo, Spain
lopez.alexo@gmail.com

Co-Author

Adriana Roman Fernandez, Anaesthesiologist, Hospital Meixoeiro de Vigo, Spain

Peer revision 1

Freda Richa, Anaesthesiologist, Hotel-Dieu de France Hospital, Beirut, Lebanon
fredrich24@yahoo.com

Peer revision 2

Matthew P. Wicklund, Neurologist, Penn State College of Medicine,
Penn State University, USA
mwicklund@hmc.psu.edu
