

## ENTIRENESS OF THE ENDOMORPHISM RINGS OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL FORMAL GROUPS<sup>1</sup>

JONATHAN LUBIN

ABSTRACT. If, for a one-dimensional formal group of height  $h$  which is defined over the integers in a local field of characteristic zero, all the coefficients in degree less than  $p^h$  lie in an unramified extension of the  $p$ -adic numbers, then the endomorphism ring of the formal group is integrally closed.

In this note,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  denote the field of  $p$ -adic numbers and the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, respectively;  $K$  and  $B$  denote a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $K$ , respectively;  $\bar{K}$  will be a fixed algebraic closure of  $K$ , and  $v$  the unique extension to  $\bar{K}$  of the (additive)  $p$ -adic valuation on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , normalized so that  $v(p) = 1$ ; and finally,  $M$  will be the maximal ideal in the integral closure of  $B$  in  $\bar{K}$ , or, equivalently, the set of all elements  $z$  of  $\bar{K}$  for which  $v(z) > 0$ . All formal groups considered will be commutative and one dimensional.

In [3] the following proposition appeared:

**Theorem 3.3.1.** *If  $F$  is a one-dimensional formal group defined over  $B$ , of height  $h < \infty$ , and if the coefficients of  $F$  in terms of total degree less than  $p^h$  all lie in an unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $\text{End}_B(F)$  is integrally closed in its fraction-field.*

It was soon pointed out to me by A. Frölich and A. Trojan that the proof in [3] was incorrect. Later [4] I proved the weaker result that if  $F$  itself is defined over an unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $\text{End}_B(F)$  is integrally closed. That proof made essential use of the fact [4, Theorem 1.5] that if  $F$ ,  $G$ , and  $H$  are formal groups defined over  $B$ , with  $f \in \text{Hom}_B(F, G)$  and  $g \in \text{Hom}_B(F, H)$  such that  $\ker(f) \subset \ker(g)$ , then there is some  $h \in \text{Hom}_B(G, H)$  for which  $h \circ f = g$ . In this note I will use that fact together with the theory of the Newton polygon of a power series, as described for instance in [2], to show that Theorem 3.3.1 of [3] is correct as stated there.

The proofs below are for the category of formal groups, i.e., formal  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules; the generalization, in the spirit of [5] or [1], to the category of

---

Received by the editors August 22, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 14L05; Secondary 14L20, 14G20.

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by NSF Grant GP-29082.

formal  $A$ -modules, for  $A$  the ring of integers in a finite field extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , is a comparatively easy exercise.

Now let  $F$  be a fixed one-dimensional formal group defined over  $B$ , and of finite height  $h$ , with the property that all its coefficients in terms of total degree less than  $p^h$  lie in an unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then according to Lemma 3.2.2 of [3],  $F$  is  $B$ -isomorphic to a formal group which is linear modulo degree  $p^h$ . We may assume from now on that  $F$  itself has this shape. It is a consequence of this, since  $B$  is of characteristic zero, that any endomorphism of  $F$  is also linear modulo degree  $p^h$ .

The points of finite order of  $F$ , in  $M$ , form a group  $W$  which is the disjoint union of  $\{0\}$  with all the sets  $X_m = \ker([p^m]_F) - \ker([p^{m-1}]_F)$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . We can now use the theory of the Newton polygon to show that if  $w \in X_m$ , then  $v(w) = (p^h - 1)^{-1} p^{h(1-m)}$ . Indeed, since  $[p]_F(x) \equiv px + ux^{p^h} \pmod{(x^{p^{h+1}})}$ , for some unit  $u$  of  $B$ , the Newton polygon of  $[p]_F(x)$  has its first vertex at  $(1, 1)$  and its next vertex at  $(p^h, 0)$ , so that the nonzero roots  $w$  of  $[p]_F$  in  $M$  have  $v(w) = 1/(p^h - 1)$ . Inductively, if all elements  $y$  of  $X_{m-1}$  have  $v(y) = (p^h - 1)^{-1} p^{h(2-m)}$ , we use the fact that any  $w$  in  $X_m$  is a root of  $-y + [p]_F(x)$  for some such  $y$ ; the Newton polygon of this power series has no vertices between  $(0, v(y))$  and  $(p^h, 0)$ . The slope of this segment of the polygon is  $-v(y)/p^h$ , and this is the only segment of the polygon with negative slope. Thus  $v(w) = v(y)/p^h$ , completing the induction.

Now let  $f$  be a  $B$ -endomorphism of  $F$ . It will turn out that if  $f$  is not an automorphism, there is some  $g \in \text{End}_B(F)$  such that  $f = [p]_F \circ g$ ; in other words,  $\text{End}_B(F)$  is a discrete valuation ring with prime element  $[p]_F$ , and hence certainly integrally closed in its fraction field.

Suppose now that the  $B$ -endomorphism  $f$  of  $F$  is not an automorphism, and not zero. Then  $\ker(f) \neq \{0\}$ , and in fact  $\{0\} \neq \ker([p]_F) \cap \ker(f)$ , since all elements of  $\ker(f)$  are annihilated by some power of  $p$ . The fact that  $f$  has some nonzero roots in  $M$  implies that the first segment of the Newton polygon is not horizontal; the fact that  $f$  is linear modulo  $(x^{p^h})$  implies that the right-hand endpoint of this segment has abscissa at least  $p^h$ . Nonzero elements of  $W$  with greatest  $v$ -value are just the elements of  $X_1$ . So since  $f$  has some roots in  $X_1$ , and at least  $p^h - 1$  nonzero roots of greatest  $v$ -value, it follows that  $f$  has  $p^h - 1$  roots in  $X_1$ : we have  $\ker([p]_F) \subset \ker(f)$ . This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. Lawrence Cox, *Formal A-modules*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 690–694. MR 48 #3973.
2. M. Lazard, *Les zéros des fonctions analytiques d'une variable sur un corps valué complet*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 14 (1962), 47–75. MR 27 #2497.
3. Jonathan Lubin, *One-parameter formal Lie groups over p-adic integer rings*,

Ann. of Math. (2) 80 (1964), 464–484; Correction, *ibid.* (2) 84 (1966), 372. MR 29 #5827; 34 #179.

4. ———, *Finite subgroups and isogenies of one-parameter formal Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 85 (1967), 296–302. MR 35 #189.

5. ———, *Formal  $A$ -modules defined over  $A$* , Symposia Mathematica, vol. III (INDAM, Rome, 1968/69), Academic Press, London, 1970, pp. 241–245. MR 42 #260.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02912