Fruit Varieties Journal 44(3):141-148 1990

# Multiplication of *Rubus* Germplasm *In Vitro:*A Screen of 256 Accessions

BARBARA M. REED<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Rubus germplasm at the National Clonal Germplasm Repository, Corvallis, Oregon, was screened to determine tissue culture growth media suitable to the diverse collection being maintained. Explants were taken from mature pot-grown plants. Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with 1 mg/l benzyladenine (BA) and 0.1 mg/l indole butyric acid (IBA) was used for initiation (explant establishment) of 256 different accessions of Rubus. Evaluation was based on a three fold (3X) increase in the number of plantlets following three weeks on the medium. Following two initial transfers, those which did not multiply 3X in three weeks were divided into multiply 3A in three weeks were divided into two groups based on the vigor of the clone. Group 1 (low multiplication but growing well) were placed on MS with 1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l BA and 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> while Group 2 (low multiplication and poor growth) were grown on Anderson's medium with 1 mg/l BA and 0.1 on Anderson's medium with 1 mg/l BA and 0.1 mg/l IBA. Accessions which did not respond with 3X growth after two transfers of three

weeks each were placed on media with additional modifications. The majority (62%) will multiply 3X in three weeks on MS medium with 1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA and 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> while another 18% respond on Anderson's medium at the same hormone levels. The remaining 20% require BA at 2 mg/l, 0.1/mg/l IBA and GA<sub>3</sub> 0.1 mg/l to produce 3X growth in three weeks. This is the first report of the *in vitro* culture of many of these Rubus species and cultivars. Chemical names used: N(-phenylmethyl)-1H-purin-6-amine (BA); Indole-3-butyic acid (IBA); Gibberellic Acid A<sub>3</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>).

## Introduction

In vitro propagation in individual cultivars of raspberry and blackberry and optimal basal media and hormone concentrations are well documented (1, 2, 3, 4, 7). Most studies have developed media formulations for one to five cultivars. The development of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>USDA/ARS National Clonal Germplasm Repository, 33447 Peoria Road, Corvallis, OR 97333. The author acknowledges the assistance of Trudy McFarlane in collecting and Margaret Norton in culturing and storing the explants.

a medium to support widely varied germplasm collections would require a long period of time if each accession (species or oultivar) were individually optimized. The development of a medium for the in vitro propagation of cultivars and species is important in the maintenance of large germplasm collections. This information would also be useful to those working with virus indexing, cold storage or exchange of in vitro material. Ideally all accessions would be grown on one medium (6); however the great amount of genetic diversity found in a collection of hundreds of species and cultivars of a genus such as Rubus make this unlikely. This report provides information on the response of 256 Rubus species and cultivars to modifications of two widely used media which allow for growth and multiplication in vitro.

## Materials and Methods

Nodal cuttings (3 cm) of 5 year old pot-grown plants in the screenhouse collection of the National Clonal Germplasm Repository, Corvallis, Oregon were surface sterilized by immersion for 15 min. in a 10% bleach solution (5.25% sodium hypochlorite) with 0.1 ml/l of Tween 20 followed by two sterile water rinses. Cuttings of all accessions were initiated in 16 mm tubes on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (5) with 0.1 mg/l indole-3butyric acid (IBA), 1 mg/l Benzyladenine (BA) and 7 g/l agar (Difco-Bacto) with a pH of 5.7 before autoclaving. Growth room conditions were 16 hr days and 8 hr nights at 25C. Light intensity was 25 μEm<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at the level of the plants.

Cuttings were grown in the initiation medium for two transfers of three weeks each then evaluated for growth response (Fig. 1). Those which were multiplying at a rate of 3X or better per three week transfer period were cold stored at this point. Those which did not multiply at 3X were divided

into two groups based on plant vigor. Group 1 (low multiplication but growing well) remained on the MS medium used for initiation and GA<sub>3</sub> at 0.1 mg/l was added. Group 2 (low multiplication and poor growth) were transferred to Anderson's raspberry medium (1). Two more growth cycles (3 weeks each) were run and cultures multiplying at 3X were cold stored. Group 1 clones which did not respond were transferred to MS medium with 2 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA and 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub>. This improved multiplication for some and they were stored. For group 2 clones which did not respond to Anderson's medium, GA<sub>3</sub> was added at 0.1 mg/l. Those that did not respond after two more three week transfers had an additional 1 mg/l BA added to the medium.

Cultures of 45 rapidly growing accessions were transferred to hormonefree MS medium for rooting. Not all accessions were tested for rooting ability due to time and space limitations.

## Results

Nearly all accessions initiated could be multiplied using the medium modifications used in this study. The majority of accessions (69%) could be successfully cultured on one of the MS media formulations (Tables 1 A, B, C). Most of the accessions on this medium (Group 1) were blackberries (68%). The addition of GA<sub>3</sub> to the medium increased multiplication of some accessions (Table 1B). The accessions listed in Tables 1A and 2A were found to grow equally well with the addition of GA<sub>3</sub>. The addition of 2 mg/l BA was needed to stimulate multiplication in others (Table 1C).

Anderson's medium with and without modifications (Group 2) improved multiplication for 34% of the accessions, of which 94% are raspberries (Tables 2 A, B, C). Some accessions remained alive but multiplied very slowly despite medium modifications (Table 2C).

# MEDIA FLOW CHART FOR RUBUS SCREENING

INITIATION MEDIUM
MS (1 mg/I BA, 0.1 mg/I IBA)
Grow for two three-week passages
Those with 3X multiplication are stored
(102 clones) Table 1A

Divide into two groups

## GROUP 1 (Table 1B)

Not rapidly mltiplying but in good condition. MS (1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub>) Grow for two three-week passages, store those with 3X multiplication. (57 clones)

## GROUP 1a (Table 1C)

Did not respond to Group 1 treatment. Transfer to MS (2 mg/I BA, 0.1 mg/I IBA and 0.1 mg/I GA<sub>3</sub>) for two three-week passages. Improved but not to 3X. (18 clones)

#### GROUP 2 (Table 2A)

Not rapidly multiplying and not in good condition. Anderson's medium (1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA). Grow for two three-week passages then store. (8 clones)

#### GROUP 2a (Table 2B)

Did not respond to Group 2 treatment. Transfer to Anderson's (1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA, 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub>) for two three-week passages. Then store. (38 clones)

#### GROUP 2b (Table 2C)

Did not respond to Group 2a treatment. Transfer to Anderson's (2 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA, 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub>). Improved but not to 3X. (33 clones)

Figure 1.

Specific cultivars responded in a manner similar to previous reports. 'Black Satin' and 'Smoothstem' (Table 1A) had high multiplication rates on MS medium but did not require the addition of GA<sub>3</sub> as used by Broome and Zimmerman (2) and others (4). 'Bedford Giant' and 'Tayberry' cultured in Lindsmeier and Skoog medium by Harper (3) responded in a similar fashion respectively to 'Bedford Giant' (Table 1A) and 'Tayberry' seedling (Table 1B). The four cultivars of thornless blackberry grown in the Broome and Zimmerman study all grew well while in this study three other thornless blackberries, 'Thornless Logan, 'Chester Thornless' and 'Thornfree' were recalcitrant.

Snir (7) successfully grew meristems of 'Heritage,' 'Malling Exploit' and

'September' (multiplication rates not given) on Boxus medium with MS micronutrients. With these three cultivars we found Anderson's medium with GA<sub>3</sub> superior to MS, with higher BA levels (2 mg/l) required for 'Heritage' and 'Malling Exploit' (Table 2C). Three cultivars also studied by Anderson (1) were included in this screen. Of those three, 'Willamette' (Table 1A) required less BA (1 mg/l) and no GA<sub>3</sub> compared to Anderson's study. 'Nootka' and 'Heritage' (Table 2C) grew best at the same hormone levels (2 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l GA) noted by Anderson (1).

Removal of all hormones from MS medium stimulated rooting in the 45 accessions tested (Table 3). All of the accessions listed in Table 3 rooted within the first 3 weeks following

Table 1A. Rubus accessions from the National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) with greater than 3X multiplication in three weeks on Murashige and Skoog medium with 1 mg/l BA and 0.1 mg/l IBA (102 clones).

	Crop	NCGR Accession
Plant name	type	number
Anderson	Blackberry	
Ashton Cross	Blackberry	
Aurora	Blackberry	
Austin Thornless	Blackberry	
Bailey	Blackberry	
Bedford Giant	Blackberry	
Black Satin	Blackberry	
Boysen	Blackberry	
Brazos	Blackberry	
Burbank Thornless	Blackberry	
Carolina	Blackberry	
Cascade	Blackberry	
Chehalem	Blackberry	
	Blackberry	
Cherokee	Blackberry	
Dirksen Thornless	Blackberry	
Dyke	Blackberry	
Ebano	Blackberry	
Ebony King	Blackberry	
Hillemeyer	Blackberry	
•	Blackberry	
Kotata	Blackberry	
Marion	Blackberry	
NC110	Blackberry	
ORUS 1063	Blackberry	
ORUS 1280	Blackberry	
ORUS 1465	Blackberry	
ORUS 1467	Blackberry	
ORUS 1600	Blackberry	
ORUS 1620	Blackberry	
ORUS 998	Blackberry	
Raven	Blackberry	
Smoothstem	Blackberry	
Snyder	Blackberry	
Waldo	Blackberry	
Watlab	Blackberry	
Whitford Thornless	Blackberry	
Womack	Blackberry	
R. alumnus Bailey	R. species	
R. armeniacus Focke	_	
R. caesius open	R. species	
pollinated hybrid	R. species	968
R. calcynoides Hayata	R. species	485
sais, iioidos iiu, ata	*** sheeres	100

Table 1A. (Continued).

R. discolor Weihe & Nees R. species R. errabundus Watson R. species R. flosculosus Focke R. species R. fruiticosus L. R. species R. fruiticosus L. R. species R. fuscus Weihe & Nees R. species R. species R. hirsutus Thunb. R. species R. hirsutus Thunb. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. illecebrosus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus V. hubeiensis R. species	er
Nees R. species 818 R. errabundus Watson R. species 40 R. flosculosus Focke R. species 248 R. fruiticosus L. R. species 248 R. fuscus Weihe & Nees R. species R. species R. species R. species R. species R. species R. hirsutus Thunb. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus V. hubeiensis R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R.	-
R. flosculosus Focke R. species 424 R. fruiticosus L. R. species 248 R. fuscus Weihe & Nees R. species R. species R. species R. species R. species R. species R. hirsutus Thunb. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. idlecebrosus Focke R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. species R. species R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R. spec	ļ
R. fruiticosus L. R. species R. fuscus Weihe & Nees R. species R. hirsutus Thunb. R. species R. Hirtus Waldst. & Kit. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. lalecebrosus Focke R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus V. hubeiensis R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R	)
R. fuscus Weihe & Nees R. species R. hirsutus Thunb. R. species R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus V. hubeiensis R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R. parvifolius L. R. species R. s	
Nees R. species 43 R. hirsutus Thunb. R. species R. species R. species R. species R. hispidus L. R. species P. daeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. idlecebrosus Focke R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. odoratus L. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R	•
R. hirsutus Thunb.  R. species R. species R. Hirtus Waldst. & Kit. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. illecebrosus Focke R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. odoratus L. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R.	
R. species R. Hirtus Waldst. & Kit. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. illecebrosus Focke R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. odoratus L. R. species R. parviforus Nutt. R. species R. parvifolius L. R. species	;
R. Hirtus Waldst. & Kit. R. species R. hispidus L. R. species R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species R. illecebrosus Focke R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. odoratus L. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R. parvifolius L. R. species	)
R. hispidus L. R. species 794 R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species 838 R. illecebrosus Focke R. species 838 R. lambertianus Ser. R. species 181 R. lasiostylus Focke R. species 430 R. lasiostylus V. hubeiensis R. species 426 R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species 655 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 158 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 199	
R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R. species 838 R. illecebrosus Focke R. species 838 R. lambertianus Ser. R. species 181 R. lasiostylus Focke R. species 430 R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. species 426 R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species 655 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 158 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 199 R. species 199	
R. illecebrosus Focke R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species 430 R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. species R.	
R. illecebrosus Focke R. species R. lambertianus Ser. R. species R. lasiostylus Focke R. species 430 R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. species R.	
R. lambertianus Ser. R. species 181 R. lasiostylus Focke R. species 430 R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. species 426 R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species 655 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 158 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species R. sp	
R. lasiostylus Focke R. species 430 R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. species 426 R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species 655 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 15 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species R. species R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species R. sp	
R. lasiostylus v. hubeiensis R. species 426 R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species 655 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 15 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species R. species 776 R. species R. species 776	
hubeiensis R. species 426 R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species 655 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 15 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species R. species 776 R. species R. species 665	)
R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species G55 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species R. odoratus L. R. species R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species R. species R. parvifolius L. R. species	
ex Torrey & A. Gray R. species R. species 655 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 15 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species	j
R. species 655 R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 15 R. species 11 R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 6	
R. microphyllus L. f. R. species 158 R. odoratus L. R. species 15 R. species 11 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 6	
R. odoratus L. R. species 15 R. species 11 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 6	
R. species 11 R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 6	
R. parviflorus Nutt. R. species 200 R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 6	
R. species 199 R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 6	
R. parvifolius L. R. species 776 R. species 6	
R. species 6	
nt 00	
R. species 29	
R. species 5	
R. pungens Cambess. R. species 966	
R. rigidus Smith R. species 144	
R. rosaefolius Smith R. species 188	
R. species 486	•
R. shankii Standley & L. O. Williams R. species 41	
R. shankii Standley &	
L. O. Williams R. species 145	
R. sp. R. species 967	
R. species 635	,
R. species 419	1
R. species 140	ŀ
R. species 49	,
R. species 22	
R. spectabilis Pursh R. species 255	
R. species 202	
R. species 961	
R. Spectabilis v. menziesii Pursh R. species	

Table 1A. (Continued).

Plant name	Crop type	NCGR Accession number
R. sumatranus Miq.	R. species	7
R. thyrsoideus Wimmer	R. species	33
	R. species	965
R. tomentosus Borkh.	R. species	36
R. trivialis Michaux	R. species	421
R. ulmifolius f. bellidiflorus Voss	R. species	34
R. ulmifolius open pollinated hybrid	R. species	23
R. ursinus Chamb. & Schldl.	R. species	804
	R. species	615
Baumforth (Standard A)	Raspberry	155
Boyne	Raspberry	118
Malling Promise	Raspberry	444
NC 84-10-8 (R. occidentalis L.)	Raspberry	730
NC 86-14-02	Raspberry	988
ORUS 1308	Raspberry	970
ORUS 963	Raspberry	361
Veten	Raspberry	454
Willamette	Raspberry	100

Table 1B. Rubus accessions from the National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) with greater than 3X multiplication in three weeks on Murashige and Skoog medium with 1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA and 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> (57 clones).

		NCGR
	Crop	Accession
Plant name	type	number

Blackberry

Blackberry

991

82

Table 1B. (Continued).

Plant name	Crop type	NCGR Accession number	
Tayberry seedling (not Cultivar)	Hybrid	227	
R. caesius L.	R. species	19	
R. caesius open			
pollinated hybrid	R. species	150	
R. canadensis L.	R. species	25	
	R. species	196	
R. cissoides Cunn.	R. species	814	
R. distractiformis Newton	R. species	219	
R. idaeus L.	R. species	238	
R. lasiococcus A. Gray	R. species	612	
R. lasiostylus Focke	R. species	427	
R. leucodermis Douglas	r. species	721	
ex Torrey & A. Gray	R. species	647	
	R. species	653	
	R. species	599	
R. nessensis Hall	R. species	832	
R. parviflorus Nutt.	R. species	800	
	R. species	52	
R. plicatus Weihe &	<b>.</b>	4.4	
Nees	R. species	44	
R. sp.	R. species	132	
R. thyrsoideus Wimmer	R. species	48	
R. thyrsoideus Wimmer	R. species	37	
R. trivialis Michaux	R. species	982 724	
R. ulmifolius f.	R. species	124	
bellidiflorus Voss R. ursinus Cham. &	R. species	813	
Schldl.	R. species	611	
Bendender	Raspberry	816	
Colossus	Raspberry	996	
Gradinia	Raspberry	1000	
Krupna Dvorda	Raspberry	1001	
M-52-71 (Serbia)	Raspberry	146	
Malling Enterprise	Raspberry	1003	
NC 84-10-4 (R.	raspoort,	2000	
occidentalis L.)	Raspberry	726	
NC 84-10-5 (R. occidentalis L.)	Raspberry	727	
NC 84-10-7 (R. occidentalis L)	Raspberry	729	
ORUS 1028	Raspberry	277	
ORUS 1314	Raspberry	289	
ORUS 1341	Raspberry	292	
Pocahontas	Raspberry	1004	
Puyallup	Raspberry	489	
Trent	• •	380	
	Raspberry Raspberry	350 456	
Zzopska Alena	мазроенту	450	

Table 1C. Rubus accessions from the National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) with multiplication rates less than 3X in three weeks on MS media with 2 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA and 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> (18 clones).

Plant name	Crop type	NCGR Accession number
Chester Thornless	Blackberry	839
Comanche	Blackberry	69
Flordagrand	Blackberry	721
ORUS 1067	Blackberry	<b>36</b> 8
ORUS 922	Blackberry	360
ORUS 992	Blackberry	347
Thornfree	Blackberry	105
Thornless Logan	Blackberry	81
Young	Blackberry	131
R. hirsutus Thunb.	R. species	8
R. hirtus Waldst. & Kit.	R. species	35
R. lambertianus var. glabra	R. species	423
R. parviflorus Nutt.	R. species	61
R. ursinus Cham. & Schldl.	R. species	197
Chief	Raspberry	995
Lowden	Raspberry	433
Malling Orion	Raspberry	1002
ORUS 1029	Raspberry	288

Table 2A. Rubus accessions from the National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) with greater than 3X multiplication in three weeks on Anderson's medium with 1 mg/l BA and 0.1 mg/l IBA (8 clones).

Plant name	Crop type	NCGR Accession number
R. lasiococcus A. Gray	R. species	261
R. lasiostylus Focke	R. species	327
R. parviflorus Nutt.	R. species	609
R. scanicus Chapple & Watson	R. species	39
Blackhawk	Raspberry	84
Bristol	Raspberry	85
Matsqui	Raspberry	215
Southland	Raspberry	1005

Table 2B. Rubus accessions from the National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) with greater than 3X multiplication in three weeks on Anderson's medium with 1 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA and 0.1 mg/l GA<sub>3</sub> (38 clones).

Plant name	Crop type	NCGR Accession number
Bodega Bay (R. ursinus Cham. & Schldl.) Bla	ckberry	367
	ckberry	133
	ckberry	459
	species	179
	species	268
R. idaeus L. R.	species	591
	species	239
R. idaeus v. peramoenus (E. Greene) Fern R.	species	607
R. idaeus v. strigosus (Michaux) Maxim. R.	species	17
	species	258
	species	762
	species	13
R. phoenicolasius	•	
	species	163
	species	260
•	spberry	632
	spberry	384
	spberry	492
	spberry	985
	spberry	321 263
•	spberry	203
<b>.</b>	spberry	267
Jokgal (R. crataegifolius Bunge) Ras	spberry	449
<b>.</b>	spberry	126
	spberry	743
	spberry	377
	spberry	278
	spberry	305
	spberry	302
	spberry	460
	spberry	273
	pberry	387
•	spberry	451
	spberry	127
-	spberry	106
	spberry	984
	spberry	453
	spberry	1014
Zefa [Zeva Remontante] Ras		455

Table 2C. Rubus accessions from the National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) with multiplication rates less than 3X in three weeks on Anderson's medium with 2 mg/l BA, 0.1 mg/l IBA and  $0.1 \text{ mg/l GA}_3$ (33 clones).

NCGR Crop Accession Plant name Brison Blackberry 65 774 Thornless Logan Blackberry 425 R. lasiostylus Focke R. species 2 R. palmatus Thunb. R. species 184 R. parvifolius L. R. species R. species 147 178 R. sp. R. species Comox Raspberry 986 **Defiance** Raspberry 447 East Malling 3655/47 229 Raspberry 488 Raspberry **Fairview** 491 **Festival** Raspberry 440 Coldenwest Raspberry Haida Raspberry 95 Heritage Raspberry 990 88 Jewel (Black Raspberry) Raspberry 493 Killarney Raspberry M-51-71 (Serbia) Raspberry 141 Malling Delight Raspberry 319 Malling Exploit Raspberry 316 Raspberry 773 Malling Jewel Raspberry 96 Malling Landmark Raspberry Malling Leo 318 Raspberry 490 Munger NC 84-10-3 (R. 795 occidentalis L.) Raspberry NC 85-8-2 (R. idaeus v. 738 strigosus (Michaux) Raspberry Raspberry 122 Nootka **ORUS 769** 373 Raspberry Pathfinder Raspberry 392 209 Sentry Raspberry St. Walfried Raspberry 372 Sumner Raspberry 382 Viking Raspberry 115 R. spectabilis Pursh

Table 3. Rubus accessions from the National Clonal Germplasm Repository (NCGR) which rooted within three weeks of transfer to Murashige and Skoog medium without hormones.

Plant name	Crop	NCGR Accession number
Aurora	Blackberry	
Austin Thornless	Blackberry	
Brazos	Blackberry	
Burbank Thornless	Blackberry	
Chehalem	Blackberry	
NC110	Blackberry	
ORUS 1127	Blackberry	
ORUS 1620	Blackberry	
ORUS 998	Blackberry	
Waldo	Blackberry	
R. armeniacus Focke	R. species	
R. fruiticosus L.	R. species	
R. hirsutus Thunb.	R. species	
R. hirsutus Thunb.	R. species	
R. hirtus Waldst. & Kit.	R. species	
R. hispidus L.	R. species	
R. lasiococcus A. Gray	R. species	
R. lasiostylus Focke	R. species	
R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray	R. species	653
R. leucodermis Douglas ex Torrey & A. Gray	R. species	14
R. odoratus L.	R. species	11
R. odoratus L.	R. species	15
R. palmatus Thunb.	R. species	
R. parviflorus Nutt.	R. species	609
R. parviflorus Nutt.	R. species	199
R. parviflorus Nutt.	R. species	200
R. parviflorus Nutt.	R. species	800
R. parvifolius L.	R. species	
R. rigidus Smith	R. species	144
R. rosaefolius Smith	R. species	188
R. scanicus Chapple & Watson	R. species	39
R. shankii Standley & L. O. Williams	R. species	145
R. shankii Standley & L. O. Williams	R. species	41
R. sp.	R. species	967
R. sp.	R. species	49
R. spectabilis Pursh	R. species	961
R. spectabilis Pursh	R. species	202
R. spectabilis Pursh	R. species	255

Table 3. (Continued).

Plant name	Crop type	NCGR Accession number
R. spectabilis v. menziesii Pursh	R. species	4
R. sumatranus Miq.	R. species	7
R. ursinus Chamb. & Schldl.	R. species	804
Heija	Raspberry	263
Matsqui	Raspberry	215
ORUS 1308	Raspberry	970
Zzopska Alena	Raspberry	456

transfer onto MS medium without hormones. Other slower growing *Rubus* cultivars may require the addition of IBA to stimulate rooting (4).

#### Discussion

This screen has demonstrated that selecting a medium for multiplying large numbers of species and cultivars in the genus Rubus can be accomplished without optimizing media for each accession. Among the 256 accessions of Rubus used in this study are some that are very diverse genetically and have originated from various parts of the world. Most have not previously been grown in vitro. Differences between the results of this study and earlier work may be due to the age of the source plants or the season of initiation. Six week, rather than longer, culture periods were used in this study since most modifications involved adding one new component to standard media rather than deleting components. Relatively fast changes were necessary to keep struggling accessions alive until the proper medium was found.

To optimize medium requirements for this many accessions would require years of study. By using a screen starting with one or two basal media and modifying the hormone concentration with large groups of accessions, the time required from initiation to final storage for most of the accessions of this genus should be three to five months. Those that remain recalcitrant

should be reinitiated and optimized individually.

We have successfully used this procedure to initiate and grow these 256 Rubus accessions. This information on the basal medium required for Rubus species and cultivars provides a starting point for those wishing to optimize the medium for a particular Rubus cultivar or species.

#### Literature Cited

- 1. Anderson, W. C. 1979. Tissue culture propagation of red and black raspberries. Acta Hort. 112:13-20.
- Broome, O. C. and R. H. Zimmerman. 1978. In vitro propagation of blackberry. Hort-Science 13(2):151-153.
- 3. Harper, P. C. 1978. Tissue culture propagation of blackberry and tayberry. Hort. Res. 18:141-143.
- McPheeters, K. D., R. M. Skirvin, and H. K. Hall. 1988. Brambles (*Rubus* spp.) in: Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry. Vol 6. Crops II. Y.P.S. Bajaj ed. pp. 104-123.
- Murashige, T., and F. Skoog. 1962. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. Physiol. Plant. 15: 474-494.
- Parfitt, D. E. and A. A. Almehdi. 1986. In vitro propagation of peach: II. A medium for in vitro multiplication of 56 peach cultivars. Fruit Varieties J. 40(2):46-47.
- 7. Snir, I. 1981. Micropagation of red raspberry. Scientia Hort. 14:139-143.