PROPOSALS CONCERNING HOMONYMOUS FAMILY-GROUP NAMES BASED ON THE GENERIC NAMES *DREPANA* SCHRANK, 1802 (CLASS INSECTA, ORDER LEPIDOPTERA), AND *DREPANIS* TEMMINCK, 1820 (CLASS AVES); REQUEST FOR THE USE OF THE PLENARY POWERS TO SUPPRESS THE GENERIC NAME *DREPANIS* BRISSON, 1760. Z.N.(S.) 901

## By Dean Amadon (American Museum of Natural History, New York, N.Y., U.S.A.) and John Franclemont (New York State College of Agriculture, Ithaca, N.Y., U.S.A.)

The present case arises out of the use of the same word, DREPANIDAE, for a family of birds (type-genus *Drepanis* Temminck, 1820) and for a family of moths (type-genus *Drepana* Schrank, 1802). The case is a simple one except for the fact that the bird generic name involved is a junior homonym of *Drepanis* Brisson, 1760, a name which, so far as is known, has never been used since its first publication.

2. The nominal genus *Drepanis* Temminck, 1820 (*Man. d'Orn.* (ed. 2) 1: lxxvi) was proposed with three species definitely included and a fourth species doubtfully referred to the genus. No type-species was designated or indicated. Of the three species definitely included in the genus, *Certhia pacifica* Gmelin, 1788 (*in* Linnaeus, *Syst. Nat.* (ed. 13) 1(1): 470) was designated as type-species by G. R. Gray, 1840 (*List Genera Birds*: 12). This is the earliest type-designation known for the genus, and the specific name in question is the oldest available name for its species.

3. The oldest family-group name based on this generic name is DREPANIDIDAE H. Gadow, 1891, in S. B. Wilson & A. H. Evans, Aves Hawaiienses (2): 235. The same spelling was used by Wilson & Evans in the introduction to this work (: xxiv), but this appears to have been published later, and Gadow is always regarded as the author of the name. The spelling DREPANIDAE was used by P. Sushkin, 1929, Verh. VI Int. Ornith. Kongr. Kopenhagen : 375, while E. Mayr (1943, Condor 45 : 46) maintained that the correct spelling should be DREPANIDAE.

4. The senior homonym mentioned in paragraph 1 above is Drepanis Brisson, 1760 (Ornithologie 2:506). In speaking of the sand-martin (or bankswallow), Brisson said "Hirundo riparia sive Drepanis", so that Hirundo riparia Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. (ed. 10) 1:192 is the type-species by monotypy. This species, which is not related to the Hawaiian honey-creepers for which Temminck used the generic name Drepanis, is referred to the genus Riparia Forster, 1817 (Syn. Cat. brit. Birds: 17), of which the type-species, by monotypy, is Riparia europaea Forster, 1817, ibid. Forster's specific name was proposed, however, as a replacement name for riparia Linnaeus, 1758 (Hirundo), presumably to avoid tautonymy, and is therefore a junior objective synonym of the latter. Hirundo riparia Linnaeus thus becomes, under Declaration 21, the type-species of Riparia Forster, 1817. It is recommended that the Commission use its plenary powers to suppress *Drepanis* Brisson, 1760, so as to validate (a) the junior homonym *Drepanis* Temminck, 1820, and (b) the junior objective synonym *Riparia* Forster, 1817.

5. The generic name Drepanis was also used by Rafinesque, 1815 (Analyse de la Nature : 69), but this name is a nomen nudum, as shown by Richmond (1909, Auk. 26 : 37-55). It should therefore be placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology.

6. The nominal genus Drepana Schrank, 1802, Fauna Boica 2(2): 155, has as type-species Phalaena falcataria Linnaeus, 1758, Syst. Nat. (ed. 10) 1:519, by subsequent designation by Westwood, 1840, Introd. Mod. Class. Ins., Synopsis: 104. Hübner, [1819], Verz. bekannt. Schmett. (10): 140, emended the generic name to Drepania and used it as the basis of the "Coitus" name "Drepaniae", but Hübner's Coitus names are not generally accepted as family-group names, and indeed it appears that they ought not to be so, for they were used as plural epithets for the members of a genus rather than for suprageneric taxa. At the next higher level Hübner used the "Stirps" name "Platypterices", but this is not a valid family-group name since it was not based on the name of an included nominal genus. Boisduval, [Nov. 1828], used the tribe name DREPANULIDI (Eur. Lep. Ind. meth. : 55), and this, though incorrectly formed from the generic name, should be accepted as the first family-group name based on Drepana Schrank, 1802. It has priority over PLATYPTERICIDAE [sic] Stephens, 1829 (1 June), Nomencl. brit. Ins.: 45, based on the generic name Platypteryx Laspeyres, 1803, N. Schrift. Ges. naturf. Freunde 4:29; and this generic name is in any case a junior objective synonym of Drepana Schrank, 1802, for Phalaena falcataria Linnaeus, 1758 was designated as its type-species by Curtis, 1835, Brit. Ent. : pl. 555. The family-name DREPANIDAE was first correctly spelled by Comstock, 1893, Wilder Quarter-Century Book: 98, 110.

7. Professor L. W. Grensted, Consulting Classical Adviser to the Commission, reported as follows on the formation of the family-group names involved in this case : " Drepana is undoubtedly derived directly from the Greek  $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{a} \nu \eta$ , a sickle, and not from the Latin neuter plural place name Drepana. In any case, the family name must be DREPANIDAE. Drepanis is the Greek  $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu i s$ , found in Aristotle, with stem  $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu i \delta$ . I have no doubt that Gadow and those who have followed him took this as sufficient to establish DREPANIDIDAE as the family name. Unfortunately drepanis occurs in late Latin once (in Pliny, Nat. Hist.), with genitive drepanis and not, as it should be, drepanidis. My feeling is, however, that the Commission must confirm DREPANIDIDAE in view of the existence of DREPANIDAE in Insecta, where it is inevitably right. This can be defended on the grounds (1) of convenience, to keep the distinction from DREPANIDAE clear, (2) of the definite origin from the Greek  $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu i s$ , with the undoubted stem  $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu i \delta$  as certified by Liddell & Scott's Greek Lexicon, and (3) of the constant usage in classical Latin whereby such stems were retained in forming the Latin genitive. Against this there is only the single use of the word by Pliny, and it may be argued that this is so unusual that there must be some explanation, unknown to us, for the irregularity. There is no case for the other alternative

DREPANIIDAE. It is now the rule (since E. Mayr wrote in 1943) that the grammatical stem ending in a vowel is not that to be used in forming family names, but that the termination -IDAE is simply substituted for the genitive termination (*Copenhagen Decisions zool. Nomencl.* : 34, paragraph 50(1), a)." Professor Grensted's help in thus clarifying the family-group name problem is gratefully acknowledged.

8. In view of the facts set out above, we ask the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature :

- to use its plenary powers to suppress the generic name Drepanis Brisson, 1760 for the purposes both of the Law of Priority and of the Law of Homonymy;
- (2) to place the following generic names on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology :
  - (a) Drepanis Temminck, 1820 (gender : feminine), type-species, by selection by G. R. Gray, 1840, Certhia pacifica Gmelin, 1788 (Class Aves);
  - (b) Riparia Forster, 1817 (gender : feminine), type-species, by monotypy, Hirundo riparia Linnaeus, 1758 (Class Aves);
  - (c) Drepana Schrank, 1802 (gender : feminine), type-species, by selection by Westwood, 1840, Phalaena falcataria Linnaeus, 1758 (Class Insecta, Order Lepidoptera);
- (3) to place the following specific names on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology :
  - (a) pacifica Gmelin, 1788, as published in the binomen Certhia pacifica (type-species of Drepanis Temminck, 1820);
  - (b) riparia Linnaeus, 1758, as published in the binomen Hirundo riparia (type-species of Riparia Forster, 1817);
  - (c) falcataria Linnaeus, 1758, as published in the binomen Phalaena falcataria (type-species of Drepana Schrank, 1802);
- (4) to place the following generic names on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology :
  - (a) Drepanis Brisson, 1760, as suppressed under the plenary powers in (1) above;
  - (b) Platypteryx Laspeyres, 1803, a junior objective synonym of Drepana Schrank, 1802
  - (c) Drepanis Rafinesque, 1815, a nomen nudum;
  - (d) Drepania Hübner, [1819], an unjustified emendation of Drepana Schrank, 1802;
- (5) to place the following names on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology :
  - (a) DREPANIDIDAE Gadow, 1891 (type-genus Drepanis Temminck, 1820);
  - (b) DREPANIDAE Boisduval [Nov. 1828] (correction of DREPANULIDI) (type-genus Drepana Schrank, 1802);
- (6) to place the following names on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Family-Group Names in Zoology :
  - (a) DREPANIDAE Sushkin, 1829, an unjustified emendation of DREPANIDI-

### Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature

DAE Gadow, 1891 (type-genus Drepanis Temminck, 1820);

- (b) DREPANIIDAE Mayr, 1943, an unjustified emendation of DREPANID-IDAE Gadow, 1891 (type-genus *Drepanis* Temminck, 1820);
- (c) DREPANULIDI Boisduval, [Nov. 1828], an incorrect original spelling of DREPANIDAE (type-genus Drepana Schrank, 1802);
- (d) PLATYPTERICIDAE [sic] Stephens, 1831, invalid because the name of its type-genus (*Platypteryx* Laspeyres, 1803) is a junior objective synonym.

## COMMENTS ON THE USE OF THE PLENARY POWERS TO SUPPRESS THE SPECIFIC NAME SACCHARIVORA PETERKIN, 1790 (PHALAENA). Z.N.(S.) 1315

### (See this volume, pages 56-60.)

#### By F. D. Bennett and F. J. Simmonds

### (Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control, Trinidad, W.I.)

I fully support Mr. Harold Box's proposal (Bull. zool. Nomencl. 17, nos. 1-2, pp. 56-60, September 1959) that the use of the plenary powers to suppress the name saccharivora Peterkin, 1790 (Phalaena) and to place the generic name Diatraea Guilding, 1828, on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology (Class Insecta, Order Lepidoptera) be invoked.

#### By C. E. Pemberton (Experimental Station, Hawaiian Sugar Planters Association, Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A.)

In the Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature, Volume 17, Part 1-2, pages 56-60, dated 1 October 1959, appears a communication by Harold E. Box appealing for the retention of the well-established name, Diatraea saccharalis (F.) for one of the most notorious and destructive insect pests of sugar cane.

My colleagues and I most heartily approve the reasoning presented by Mr. Box in his communication and sincerely hope that this name will not be altered.

# By J. R. Metcalfe (Department of Science and Agriculture, Barbados, W.I.)

With reference to the paper by H. E. Box which appeared in the Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature, Vol. 17, Nos. 1-2, pp. 56-60, I would like to say that I fully support his suggestion that the sugar cane moth-borer should remain known as Diatraea saccharalis (F.) and that the name saccharivora Peterkin should be suppressed.

#### By E. L. Martin (Bourne End, Bucks, England)

I would like to give my full support for Mr. Box's application. It is not necessary for me to repeat the arguments for the suppression of the specific name saccharivora Peterkin : a name which would have remained unknown in the absence of Mr. Box's researches. It is highly desirable that *Diatraea saccharalis* Fabricius shall be the name accepted for this important sugar-cane borer.



Amadou, Dean and Franclemont, John G. 1960. "Proposals con-cerning homonymous family-group names based on the generic names Drepana Schrank, 1802 (Class Insecta, Order Lepidoptera), and Drepanis Temminck, 1820 (Class Aves) ; request for the use of the Plenary Powers to suppress the generic name Dre." *The Bulletin of zoological nomenclature* 17, 220–223. <u>https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.29595</u>.

View This Item Online: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/44459">https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.29595</a> DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.29595">https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.29595</a> Permalink: <a href="https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/29595">https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/partpdf/29595</a>

Holding Institution Natural History Museum Library, London

**Sponsored by** Natural History Museum Library, London

# **Copyright & Reuse**

Copyright Status: In copyright. Digitized with the permission of the rights holder. Rights Holder: International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature License: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/</u> Rights: <u>https://biodiversitylibrary.org/permissions</u>

This document was created from content at the **Biodiversity Heritage Library**, the world's largest open access digital library for biodiversity literature and archives. Visit BHL at https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org.