- 1 A defensive body plan was pre-adaptive for termitophily in the rove beetle tribe
- 2 Termitohospitini (Staphylinidae: Aleocharinae).
- 5 T. Kanao<sup>1</sup>, K.T. Eldredge<sup>3</sup>, M. Maruyama<sup>3</sup>
- 7 These authors contributed equally to the publication.
- 9 \* Corresponding author.

4

6

8

10

13

16

18

19

21

- 11 Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Nihonmatsu-cho,
- 12 Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan.
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, and Division of Entomology, Biodiversity
- 15 Institute1501 Crestline Drive, Suite 140 University of Kansas. taroeldredge@gmail.com
- 17 The Kyushu University Museum, Fukuoka, 812-8581 Japan.
- 20 Abstract
- 22 Termitophily—the symbiosis of organisms with termite societies—has evolved a
- disproportionate number of times within the rove beetle subfamily Aleocharinae (Staphylinidae).
- 24 Among aleocharine termitophiles, defensive (limuloid) and mimetic (physogastric &
- 25 physothoracic) body forms have evolved convergently, but due to lack of a comprehensive
- aleocharine phylogeny, the context in which termitophily and associated adaptations evolve is
- 27 unknown. We present the first example of a robust, morphology-based phylogenetic placement
- 28 of an exclusively termitophilous tribe, the Termitohospitini. Termitohospitini is recovered to be
- 29 nested within Myllaenini sensu nov, and sister to Myllaena (new synonymy). Furthermore, we
- 30 also recovered the small tribe Masuriini nested within Myllaenini *sensu nov* (new status).

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

Reconstructing ecological transitions within this clade, we present evidence that the stem lineage of Myllaenini sensu nov invaded intertidal marine habitats, the common ancestor for Myllaena + Termitohospitini then transitioned to freshwater riparian habitats, with Termitohospitini alone subsequently shifting to termitophily. We conclude that: (1) Termitohospitini was ancestrally a limuloid-bodied riparian inhabitant; (2) a limuloid form may have been pre-adaptive for defense against host attack during the evolution of termitophily; (3) the strongly tapered abdomen of an ancestral limuloid body was a constraint on the evolution of physogastry, leading to the emergence of the unusual physothoracic body form observed in termitohospitines that likely integrates these obligate termitophiles to life inside termite colonies. **K**EYWORDS Intertidal, adaptation, coevolution, phylogeny, systematics, Myllaenini, Pronomaeini, Masuriini, marine, Isoptera "one of the most astonishing spectacles in all natural history." — Richard Dawkins Introduction Some of the most ecologically complex and taxonomically diverse organismal interactions center around the societies of social insects, in particular those of ants and termites (Rettenmeyer et al. 2011, Kistner 1979, 1982, Hölldobler & Wilson 1990, Parker 2016). Organisms that have evolved symbioses with ants and termites are termed myrmecophiles and termitophiles, respectively, and as a group these symbionts display a bewildering array of morphological and behavioral specializations. Among termitophiles, two body forms, mimetic (Figs. 8–9B) and defensive (Figs. 1–7), have convergently evolved numerous times. Mimetic species typically possess swollen "physogastric" or "physothoracic" (Figs. 8–9B) body forms the consequence of increased fat body growth that results in a grotesquely expanded body, stretching out the intersegmental membrane between sclerites. Physogastry is thought to

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

transform the sclerotized body into one that resembles the relatively soft-bodied host termites (Cunha et al. 2015), often to a striking degree (Dawkins 1996, Kistner 1969). In such species, body segments begin to distend soon after the adults eclose (post-imaginal growth) (Kistner 1969), and in some instances elongation of leg segments even occurs, breaking the cardinal rule that arthropods grow by moulting. In defensive, or limuloid (horseshoe crab shaped) groups, the abdomen is posteriorly tapered, lateral margins of the body are typically expanded and head deflexed, protecting vulnerable appendages dorsally from attack (Figs. 1–4, 6–7) (Kistner 1979, Parker 2016). Across the Arthropoda, termitophilly has evolved only rarely, if at all (REF ####). One exception is the rove beetle subfamily Aleocharinae, where this lifestyle has arisen at least 11 times, and over 650 described species are known to be symbiotic with termites (Kistner 1969, Kanao et al. 2012). Such an exceptional predisposition to evolving this type of symbiosis raises an immediate question over potential traits that might be pre-adaptive for the aleocharine capacity to successfully invade termite nests. One approach to identifying putative preadaptations is to explore the evolutionary interplay between morphological and ecological specializations leading up to independent origins of termitophily across the Aleocharinae phylogeny. However, a major impediment exists in the lack of phylogenetic resolution in Aleocharinae, and in the social insect symbiont lineages in particular (Ashe 2007). Consequently, we have little understanding of the ecological contexts within which termitophily evolves among aleocharines, and the character transformations leading to the specialized morphologies seen in many termitophilous clades. This phylogenetic impediment is particularly due to the taxonomic challenges one confronts with Aleocharinae; their small size often obscure species level differences, and vast taxonomic diversity impedes their study (there are 16,468 described species, making them the largest animal subfamily [Newton unpublished data]) (Ashe 2007). Compounding the situation, social insect symbionts are notoriously challenging to collect due to their low abundances (similar to army ant symbionts [Kistner 1979]) and difficulty in extracting them from inside nests (Kistner 1998, personal observations). During a survey of aleocharine morphology, conducted for a comprehensive morphological treatment of the subfamily (Eldredge in prep.), we discovered that the lacinia (a food gathering structure of the mouthparts [Betz et al. 2003]) of one specialized termitophilous tribe, Termitohospitini (Figs. 1–10B, S4), is strikingly similar to Myllaenini (Figs. 11A–11B, S4),

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

a tribe notable for genera that have specialized on marine-intertidal and freshwater-margin habitats, but which are entirely free-living. Furthermore, a previous study has suggested that the particular lacinial morphology of Myllaenini is in part characteristic for the tribe (Ahn & Ashe 2004). Despite the marked ecological disparity between termitohospitines and myllaenines, further examination revealed the genus Myllaena (Myllaenini) and many termitohospitines shared a unique sensory organ on the aboral surface of maxillary palpomere 3 (Figs. 12A–12B). Therefore, we hypothesized a priori that a phylogenetic analysis would reveal the inclusion of Termitohospitini within a broader Myllaenini, and a sister-group relationship between Myllaena + Termitohospitini. Here, we present the first instance of a robust phylogenetic hypothesis concerning the common ancestry of a termitophilous aleocharine lineage, Termitohospitini, providing a unique opportunity to explore the sequence of morphological and ecological character evolution leading to the origin of symbiosis in a termitophilous aleocharine lineage. Materials and Methods Specimen Work Specimens for this study were made available by the Snow Entomological Museum Collection (University of Kansas) and numerous field expeditions conducted by Kanao and Maruyama (also see Acknowledgements). We again emphasize that symbionts of social insects are exceedingly rare and the difficult to collect. Symbionts number one per thousands of colony members, and nests are often subterranean and inaccessible (Kistner 1979, 1998). To examine the morphology, typical procedures were taken of KOH tissue digestion, chlorazol black staining, examination in glycerin, and permanent mounting in Euparal (for details see Eldredge 2012 Hanley & Ashe 2003, Maruyama 2004). Light- (Olympus SZX7 stereomicroscope and Olympus BX51 compound microscope) and scanning electron microscopy was used for morphological examination. Whole body images were taken using a Visionary Digital<sup>TM</sup> BK Plus Lab System with Cannon EOS 7D. Images were captured from multiple focal planes and combined with CombineZM

(http://hadleyweb.pwp.bluevonder.co.uk/CZM/News.htm).

**Dataset Construction** For this study, we modified the dataset of Ahn & Ashe (2004). Sixteen taxa and nine additional characters were added for a total matrix dimension of 74 taxa by 108 characters (S1– 2). The dataset was constructed and handled in Mesquite v3.1.0 (Maddison & Maddison 2016). Phylogenetic Analyses Parsimony analyses were done with TNT v1.1 (Goloboff et al. 2000) implementing ratchet and drift algorithms to increase exploration of tree space, and 1,000 bootstrap replicates were performed. Analyses were done locally. The Bayesian reconstructions were analyzed in MrBayes v3.2.6 (Ronquist & Hulsenbeck 2003) using the Mkv model (Lewis 2001). Several strategies to account for among site character rate differences were implemented by modifying the Mkv model. Gamma rates with four and eight rate categories were implemented in MrBayes v3.2.6 hosted by CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller et al. 2010), and a log-normal distribution was implemented with a modified version of MrBayes (Harrison & Larsson 2015) hosted by KINBRE (University of Kansas). Analyses were run for at least 50 million generations and was monitored with Tracer v1.5 (Rambaut & Drummond 2007) to confirm ample mixing. Sampled trees were summarized with DendroPy v3.12 (Sukumaran & Holder 2010). PAUP\* v4.0b10 (Swofford 2002) and WinClada v1.00.08 (Nixon 2002) were used to plot apomorphies. Ancestral state reconstructions were performed using a Mk likelihood reconstruction available in Mesquite v3.1.0. We initially began by reanalyzing the Ahn & Ashe (2004) dataset with the objective of adding termitohospitine representatives for preliminary insight. During this course, we discovered errors in the published dataset, preventing reconstruction of the presented results. Through author correspondence the source of error was identified and corrections are reflected in the dataset presented in this study (S2).

Results

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

Phylogeny Reconstruction **Parsimony** 106 most parsimonious trees of 785 steps were recovered (majority rule consensus tree, Fig. 14). Termitohospitini was recovered monophyletic with strong support (92% bootstrap), notably supported by the anterior migration of the anterior tentorial pits (char. 99:1) and antennae set in acetabullae (char. 100:1) (Figs. 13a–13b, S6–7). Further, Termitohospitini was recovered sister to Myllaena, which in turn is sister to Dimonomera and nested deep within the Myllaenini. The sister-group relationship of Termitohospitini and Myllaena was found to be supported by the presence of a unique sensory structure on maxillary palpomere 3 (char. 103:1) (Figs. 12a–12b). A clade consisting of Myllaenini sensu Ahn & Ashe was recovered to share a most recent common ancestor with Dysacryta and Masuria (Masuriini): Myllaenini sensu nov. Myllaenini sensu nov is supported by, among others, the unique lacinial morphology of large interdigitating setae (char. 26:2) (Figs. 11A-11B, S4). Pronomaeini was not recovered sister to Myllaenini sensu nov. The genus Rothium was rendered paraphyletic by Lautaea murphyi, which formed a clade with R. pallidus, R. giulianii and R. sonorensis; Brachypronomaea esakii and Polypea coralli formed a clade with R. ashlocki and R. evansi. The Lautaea clade is not supported by a clear synapomorphy, but the Brachypronomaea clade is supported by the mentum being medially squarely produced (char. 108:1). A clade consisting of Bryothinusa, Genus1, Genus2, Amazonopora, Dimonomera, Myllaena, and Termitohospitini was recovered in all analyses: Myllaenini sensu stricto, which is supported by a four-articled mid tarsi (char. 105:1) among other characters, but no unambiguous changes support this node. The monophyly of Bryothinusa was unresolved in the Bayesian analyses, but was recovered in the parsimony analysis. Bryothinusa monophyly is supported by an incomplete infraorbital carina (char. 5:1) and quadrate pronotum (char. 57:3). Very little support was recovered overall. Most of the recovered nodes failed to generate any support, and those that did were concentrated at the deepest nodes and tips.

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

Bayesian The general results were similar to those recovered from a parsimony analysis (Figs. 15– 16). Termitohospitini was recovered monophyletic and sharing a MRCA with Myllaena and Dimonomera in all analyses with strong support. The general topology of Myllaenini sensu stricto is similar with the parsimony results, but less resolved. In particular, the Bayesian analyses failed to support the monophyly of Bryothinusa which remains unresolved. In all the analyses, Lautaea was recovered sister to Genus 3, differing from the parsimony analysis. In the Mkv+G4 analysis (Fig. 15), asides from Rothium ashlocki + R. evansi, Masuria and Lautaea + Genus3, a significant proportion of Myllaenini sensu nov members were unresolved in their placement. In the Mkv+G8 analysis (Fig. 16), Myllaenini sensu nov was recovered but with little support. Asides from Rothium ashlocki + R. evansi, the placement of Rothium, Polypea and Brachypronomaea was unresolved. **Character Evolution** Ground/leaf litter habitat was recovered ancestral for Myllaenini sensu nov (Fig. 18). The group subsequently transitioned from riparian to marine, and reverted back to riparian habitat before infiltrating termite nests with Termithospitini. Myllaenini sensu nov is ancestrally parallel-sided. Limuloid form evolved twice, once in the mangrove swamp inhabiting Lautaea murphyi and the common ancestor of Dimonomera + Myllaena + Termitohospitini, transitioning to physogastry and physothoracy within the termitohospitines. The ancestral state for the MRCA of Coptoxenus + Coptophysa + Coptophysella is ambiguous. Taxonomy Taxonomy proposed by Ahn & Ashe (2004): Myllaenini sensu Ahn & Ashe = Dimonomerini (Ashe 1999)

217	Amazonopora
218	Brachypronomaea
219	Bryothinusa
220	Dimonomera
221	Dysacrita
222	Lautaea
223	Myllaena
224	Philomina
225	Polypea
226	Rothium
227	
228	The following updated taxonomic scheme is proposed following the results of this study:
229	Myllaenini sensu nov
230	= Dimonomerini (Ashe 1999)
231	= Masuriini (new status)
232	= Termitohospitini (new synonymy)
233	Amazonopora
234	Brachypronomaea
235	Bryothinusa
236	Dimonomera
237	Dysacrita
238	Lautaea
239	Masuria
240	Myllaena
241	Philomina
242	Polypea
243	Rothium
244	Blapticoxenus
245	Termitohospitina
246	Coptophysa
247	Coptophysella

Coptotermocola Coptoxenus Hetairotermes Japanophilus Neotermitosocius *Paratermitosocius* Sinophilus *Termitobra Termitohospes Termitosodalis* **DISCUSSION** 

## **Ecological Origins of Termitophily**

Termites are generally ground inhabiting social insects. By extension of the ground habitat, some inhabit dead wood, but the majority build subterranean nests that may be accompanied by above-ground architecture. Therefore, we logically expected that termitophilous lineages would have a similar ancestral ecology, living on the ground/leaf litter or in dead and decaying wood. Counter to this notion, we recovered a freshwater-riparian habitat-type for the common ancestor of Myllaena + Termitohospitini. This is surprising, since riparian habitats are notoriously underutilized by termites (as well as by ants). A fraction of Myllaena inhabit ground/leaf litter in the tropics, and it is possible that these ground environments are quite saturated, acting as transitional habitats between riparian zones and more strict terrestriality. We hypothesize that termitohospitines evolved from ancestors that ventured into soaked ground/leaf litter habitats in a tropical environmental setting. Furthermore, we posit that certain extant Myllaena lineages are currently experimenting with this riparian-to-ground habitat transition.

Pre-adaptatation and Subsequent Constraint of the Limuloid Body Plan

Although we observe a parallel-sided body as ancestral for Myllaenini sensu nov, reconstruction shows that Termitohospitini evolved from a limuloid ancestor. Dimonomera and Myllaena are very similar in form overall, which suggests that Termitohospitini evolved from a Myllaena-like, limuloid-bodied ancestor. For riparian limuloid aleocharines like Myllaena, the limuloid body plan is used for pushing, wedging and weaving through substrate. Analogous examples are Gymnusa and Deinopsis, early diverging (Ashe 2005) free-living riparian aleocharines that have similar body forms and live in similar habitats. There appears to be a negative correlation between "limuloid-ness" and abdominal flexibility. The more tapered the abdomen, the less flexible it becomes. Again, Gymnusa and Deinopsis represent analogues of this phenomenon.

In contrast, limuloid bodies in social insect symbionts are adaptive for defense against host attack. The numerous examples of symbiotic aleocharines converging on limuloid ecomorphology are strong evidence of its adaptive nature (Parker 2016). In many examples, the dorsum of the body is broadly expanded laterally, shielding vulnerable appendages underneath. Termitohospitini were ancestrally limuloid but the mimetic physogastric or physothoracic shape, evolved from this limuloid ancestral condition. It is likely that the ancestral limuloid body plan was pre-adaptive for termitophily in the termitohospitines, catalyzing diversification in this niche. Such a scenario parallels a recent hypothesis for the evolution of termitophily elsewhere in Aleocharinae: in the tribes Trichopseniini and Mesoporini, quasi-limuloid morphology of a Gymnusa-Deinopsis-like ancestor is posited to have been preadaptive for specialization inside termite colonies (Yamamoto et al. 2016).

Interestingly, termitohospitines represent the sole example of physothoracy in the Aleocharinae (Figs. 8–9B). There may be several explanations for this uniqueness. Physothoracy may be a strategy to achieve mimicry when the abdomen is too inflexible to easily evolve physogastry. Indeed, a relatively inflexible abdomen appears to be correlated with the limuloid body plan that termitohospitines inherited from a Myllaena-like ancestor. Alternatively, physothoracy may represent an intermediate state toward full physogastry, or perhaps functions as a wholly different function inside colonies, unrelated to social integration. Due to the limited number of species of mimetic termitohospitines, it is presently difficult to establish the polarity of this character, which might help distinguish between these alternatives. Consequently, reconstruction of the MRCA of the mimetic termitohospitine clade remains unresolved (Fig. 18).

Mouthpart Degradation: A Result of Adaptation to Trophallaxis

A conspicuous characteristic of Myllaenini sensu nov is their extremely stylate mouthparts that greatly extend past the apex of their head (i.e. clypeus). Although all of the mouthpart components are to some extent elongated, the most noticeable are the maxilla and labium. Observations of Myllaena in captivity revealed that the labium, which protrudes past all other mouthparts, is a highly extrusible structure. At rest, the labium is typically retracted into the recesses of the oral cavity, and does not visibly protrude, which is made possible by the elongate premental tendons (Eldredge pers. obs.).

The maxilla is the primary appendage used in food gathering, and the labium is primarily used for sensing. Observations of Bryothinusa have shown these beetles are carnivorous and also scavenge on small arthropods between sand particles in marine-intertidal habitats (Wong & Chan 1977). Similarly elongate mouthparts are convergently found in the riparian limuloid genera Gymnusa and Deinopsis. Observations of Gymnusa showed these beetles are carnivorous, and when feeding, probed the food item with the labium and gathered food with the maxilla (Eldredge pers. obs.). Using these examples of correlated form and function as evidence for a dietary type, Myllaenini appears to be a predaceous tribe; the flexible and elongate labium is probably used to probe substrate interspaces to search for food, and the stylate maxilla used for extracting interstitial food items.

The large interdigitated teeth are a synapmorphy for Myllaenini sensu nov (S5), but many of the termitohospitines have much shorter and curved maxillae (Figs. 11a–11b, S5). Degradation of the mouthparts is a common theme among social insect symbionts, and is thought to be correlated to a simplified diet: feeding on soft-bodied brood or directly fed by workers. Until recently, the diet of Termitohospitini was unknown, but observations of beetles in culture have revealed that Termitohospitini are fed trophallactically by host termite workers, and also groom and are groomed by the workers (Figs. 10a–10b). Grooming host termites may also allow the beetles to collect colony-specific odors. We suggest that degradation of mouthpart morphology is adaptive for this transition from a predatory diet to one based around trophallaxis and grooming. Notably, the Termitohospitini genus Termitosocius possesses the elongate, more stylate mouthparts characteristic of free-living Myllaenini sensu nov. We therefore speculate that

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

Termitosocius is early-diverging among Termitohospitini, retaining the ancestral predatory diet within termite nests. Putting It All Together: Evolutionary Origins of Termitophily in Termitohospitini Our reconstructions show that termitohospitines evolved from a riparian limuloid ancestor with stylate mouthparts. We hypothesize that transitioning to a more terrestrial environment caused an increase in the frequency of interactions with termites, and the limuloid defensive form was pre-adaptive for protection against termite aggression. Termitohospitini further modified the ancestral limuloid form by laterally expanding dorsal sclerites to shield vulnerable appendages underneath (Figs. 1–4, 6–7). Subsequently, some lineages evolved trophallactic behavior Fig. 10b), and the mouthparts degraded and shortened in length. Given this hypothesis, Termitosocius appears most primitive in overall morphology, and may embody what a stem termitohospitine might have looked like during the lineage's ecological transition to life inside termite colonies. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS Collectively we thank Dr. Kee-Jeong Ahn for graciously providing us with original dataset, Dr. Zack Falin and Dr. Floyd Shockely for access to institutional collections, Dr. Takahashi Komatsu for image use, Mr. Taku Shimada for image use and sharing valuable observational data, and Dr. Joseph Parker for critical review of a draft. LITERATURE CITED Ahn, K.J. & J.S. Ashe. 2004. Phylogeny of the Myllaenini and related taxa (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Aleocharinae). Cladistics 20:123–138. Ashe, J.S. 1999. Redescription and phylogenetic position of the unusual aleocharine staphylinid Dimonomera indica Cameron, 1933 (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae: Aleocharinae: Dimonomerini). Journal of the New York Entomological Society, 107(1): 55–63.

- 372 Ashe, J.S. 2005. Phylogeny of the tachyporine group subfamilies and "basal" lineages of the
- 373 Aleocharinae (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) based on larval and adult characteristics.
- 374 Systematic Entomology, 30: 3–37.
- 375 Ashe, J.S. 2007. Aleocharinae. Version 25 April 2007.
- http://tolweb.org/Aleocharinae/9777/2007.04.25 in The Tree of Life Web Project,
- 377 <a href="http://tolweb.org/">http://tolweb.org/</a>
- 378 Betz, O., M.K. Thayer & A.F. Newton. 2003. Comparative morphology and evolutionary
- pathways of the mouthparts in spore-feeding Staphylinoidea (Coleoptera). Acta Zool
- 380 (Stockholm) 84:179–238.
- Cunha, H.F., J.S. Lima, L.F. Souza, L.G.A. Santos, J.C. Nabout. 2015. No morphometric
- distinction between the host Constrictotermes cyphergaster (Silvestri) (Isoptera: Termitidae,
- Nasutitermitinae) and its obligatory termitophile Corotoca melantho Schiødte (Coleoptera:
- 384 Staphylinidae). Sociobiology, 62(1): 65–69.
- Dawkins, R. 1996. Climbing Mount Improbable. W.W. Norton and Company, London.
- Goloboff, P.A., J.S. Farris, K.C. Nixon. 2000. TNT a free program for phylogenetic analysis.
- 387 Cladistics, 24: 774–486.
- Hanley, R.S. & J.S. Ashe. 2003. Techniques for dissecting adult aleocharine beetles (Coleoptera:
- 389 Staphylinidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research, 93: 11–18.
- 390 Harrison, L.B. & H.C.E. Larsson. 2015. Among-character rate variation distributions in
- 391 phylogenetic analysis of discrete morphological characters. Systematic Biology, 64(2): 307–
- 392 324.
- Hölldobler, B. & E.O. Wilson. 1990. The Ants. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Kanao, T., K.T. Eldredge, M. Maruyama. 2012. Two new genera and species of the termite
- 395 symbiont lineage Termitohospitini (Coleoptera, Staphylinidae, Aleocharinae) from Bolivia
- and peninsular Malaysia. ZooKeys, 254: 67–87.
- 397 Kistner, A.C. 1998. An Affair with Africa: Expeditions And Adventures Across A Continent.
- 398 Island Press, Washington D.C.
- 399 Kistner, D. H. 1969. The biology of termitophiles. In: K. Krishna and F. M Weesner (Editors).
- Biology of termites, pp. 525–557. Academic Press. New York, NY, United States of
- 401 America. 598 pp

- 402 Kistner, D.H. 1979. 8. Social and evolutionary significance of social insect symbionts. In H. R.
- Hermann, ed., Social insects, Vol. 1, pp. 339-413. Academic Press, New York.
- 404 Kistner, D.H. 1982. The social insects' bestiary. Pp. 1-244. In H. R. Hermann (ed.), Social
- Insects, Vol. 3, Academic Press, New York.
- 406 Lewis, P.O. 2001. A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological
- character data. Systematic Biology, 50(6): 913–925.
- 408 Maddison, W. P. and D.R. Maddison. 2016. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary
- analysis. Version 3.10 http://mesquiteproject.org
- 410 Maruyama, M. 2004. A Permanent Slide Pinned under a Specimen. Elytra 32(2):276.
- 411 Miller, M.A., W. Pfeiffer & T. Schwartz. 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for
- inference of large phylogenetic trees. Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments
- 413 Workshop (GCE), 14 Nov. 2010, New Orleans, LA. Pp 1–8.
- Nixon, K.C. 2002. WinClada, version 1.00.08. Published by the author, Ithata, NY.
- Parker, J. 2016. Myrmecophily in beetles (Coleoptera): evolutionary patterns and biological
- 416 mechanisms. Myrmecological News, 22: 65–108.
- Rambaut, A., A.J. Drummond, Tracer v1.4 (2007; http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/).
- 418 Rettenmeyer, C.W., M.E. Rettenmeyer, J. Joseph, S.M. Berghoff. 2011. The largest animal
- association centered on one species: the army ant Eciton bruchellii and its more than 300
- 420 associates. Insectes Sociaux, 58: 281–292.
- Ronquist, F. and J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2003. MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under
- mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572-1574.
- Sukumaran, J. and M.T. Holder. 2010. DendroPy: a Python library for phylogenetic computing.
- 424 Bioinformatics 26: 1569–1571.
- Swofford 2003. PAUP\*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\* and pother methods), version
- 426 4.0b10.
- Thayer, M.K. 2005. Staphylinidae Latreille, 1802. Handbook of Zool- ogy, Coleoptera, Beetles,
- Volume 1: Morphology and Systematics (Archostemata, Adephaga, Myxophaga, Polyphaga
- partim) Vol. IV Part 38 (ed. by R.G. Beutel and R.A.B. Leschen), pp. 296–344. Walter de
- 430 Gruyter, Berlin.
- Wong, M.H. & T.D. Chan. 1977. The ecology of the marine rove beetle, *Bryothinusa* spp.
- 432 (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) in Hong Kong. Hydrobiologia, 53(2): 253–256.

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461462

Yamamoto, S., M. Maruyama & J. Parker. 2016. Evidence for social parasitism of early insect societies by Cretaceous rove beetles. Nature Communications, in press. FIGURE CAPTIONS Figures 1–8. Habitus of representative Termitohospitini. (1) *Termitohospes* undesc. sp. (2) Paratermitosocius undesc. sp. (3) Termitosodalis undesc. sp. (4) Termitosocius microps. (5) Neotermitosocius bolivianus. (6) Blapticoxenus brunneus. (7) Coptotermocola clavicornis. (8) Coptoxenus sp. Figures 9–10. Termitohospitini in situ et in vitro. (9) *Coptoxenus* sp. in situ with host. (10a) Sinophilus sp. in vitro being groomed by host. (10b) Sinophilus sp. in vitro engaging in trophalaxis food exchange. Figures 11–13. Myllaena sp. and Termitohosptini scanning electron micrograph images of various body parts. (11a) Myllaena sp. acinia. (11b) Sinophilus sp. lacinia. (12a) Myllaena sp. maxillary palpomere 3. (12b) *Termitohospes* sp. maxillary palpomere 3. (13a) *Myllaena* sp. anterior tentorial pit. (13b) *Termitohospes* sp. anterior tentorial pit. Figure 14. Parsimony majority rule consensus tree with bootstrap values. Figure 15. Bayesian Mkv +  $\Gamma(4)$  majority rule consensus tree with posterior probabilities. Habitus illustrations from top to bottom: Masuria sp., Myllaena sp. and Termitohospes sp.. Figure 16. Bayesian Mkv +  $\Gamma(8)$  majority rule consensus tree with posterior probabilities. Habitus illustrations from top to bottom: Masuria sp., Myllaena sp. and Termitohospes sp.. Figure 17. Parsimony majority rule consensus tree of Myllaenini sensu nov with body form and ecology Mk reconstructions.

















