1 TITLE PAGE

- 2
- 3 **Title:** Endogenous retroviruses mediate transcriptional rewiring in response to oncogenic
- 4 signaling in colorectal cancer
- 5
- 6 **Authors:** Atma Ivancevic¹, David M. Simpson¹, Olivia M. Joyner¹, Stacey M. Bagby², Lily L.
- 7 Nguyen^{1,3}, Ben G. Bitler³, Todd M. Pitts², Edward B. Chuong¹
- 8
- 9 **Corresponding Author:**
- 10 Edward B. Chuong
- 11 edward.chuong@colorado.edu
- 12 596 UCB
- 13 Boulder, CO 80309
- 14 303-735-8573
- 15
- 16 **Affiliations**:
- 17 1. BioFrontiers Institute and Department of Molecular, Cellular & Developmental Biology,
- 18 University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, United States
- 19
- 20 2. Division of Medical Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of Colorado Anschutz
- 21 Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, United States
- 22
- 23 3. Division of Reproductive Sciences, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University
- 24 of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, United States
- 25
- 26 Keywords: Endogenous retroviruses, epigenomics, colorectal cancer, AP1 signaling
- 27
- 28 Running title: ERV-derived enhancers in colorectal cancer

29 Abstract: Cancer cells exhibit rewired transcriptional regulatory networks that promote 30 tumor growth and survival. However, the mechanisms underlying the formation of these pathological networks remain poorly understood. Through a pan-cancer epigenomic 31 analysis, we found that primate-specific endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) are a rich source 32 33 of enhancers displaying cancer-specific activity. In colorectal cancer and other epithelial 34 tumors, oncogenic AP1/MAPK signaling drives the activation of enhancers derived from the 35 primate-specific ERV family LTR10. Functional studies in colorectal cancer cells revealed 36 that LTR10 elements regulate tumor-specific expression of multiple genes associated with 37 tumorigenesis, such as ATG12 and XRCC4. Within the human population, individual LTR10 38 elements exhibit germline and somatic structural variation resulting from a highly mutable internal tandem repeat region, which affects AP1 binding activity. Our findings reveal that 39 40 ERV-derived enhancers contribute to transcriptional dysregulation in response to oncogenic 41 signaling and shape the evolution of cancer-specific regulatory networks.

42 Main Text:

43

44 INTRODUCTION

Cancer cells undergo global transcriptional changes resulting from genetic and epigenetic 45 46 alterations during tumorigenesis ¹. While regulatory remodeling can arise from somatic non-47 coding mutations², epigenomic studies have revealed that transformation is associated with aberrant epigenetic activation of enhancer sequences that are typically silenced in normal 48 49 tissues ^{3–5}. Pathological enhancer activity is an established mechanism underlying tumorigenesis and therapy resistance, and therapeutic modulation of enhancer activity is an 50 active area of investigation ^{6–9}. However, we have a limited understanding of the molecular 51 52 processes that shape and establish the enhancer landscapes of cancer cells.

53

Transposable elements (TEs) including endogenous retroviruses (ERVs) represent a 54 55 potentially significant source of enhancers that could shape cancer-specific gene regulation 56 ¹⁰. Many cancers exhibit genome-wide transcriptional reactivation of TEs, which can directly 57 impact cells by promoting oncogenic mutations and stimulating immune signaling ^{11–14}. In addition, the reactivation of TEs is increasingly recognized to have gene regulatory 58 59 consequences in cancer cells ^{15,16}. Several transcriptomic studies have uncovered TEs as 60 a source of cancer-specific alternative promoters across many types of cancer, with some examples shown to drive oncogene expression ^{17–21}. TEs also show chromatin signatures 61 of enhancer activity in cancer cell lines ^{22–24}, yet their functional relevance in patient tumors 62 63 has remained largely unexplored. Recent studies have characterized TE-derived enhancers with oncogenic effects in acute myeloid leukemia ²⁵ and prostate cancer ²⁶ but the 64 prevalence and mechanisms of TE-derived enhancer activity are unknown for most cancer 65 66 types.

67

Here, we analyzed published cancer epigenome datasets to understand how TEs influence enhancer landscapes and gene regulation across cancer types. Our pan-cancer analysis revealed that elements from a primate-specific ERV named LTR10 show enhancer activity in many epithelial tumors, and this activity is regulated by MAPK/AP1 signaling. We conducted functional studies in HCT116 colorectal cancer cells, and found that LTR10 elements regulate AP1-dependent gene expression at multiple loci that include genes with

established roles in tumorigenesis. Finally, we discovered that LTR10 elements contain
highly mutable sequences that potentially contribute genomic variation affecting cancerspecific gene expression. Our work implicates ERVs as a source of pathological regulatory
variants that facilitate transcriptional rewiring in cancer.

78

79 **RESULTS**

80 To assess the contribution of TEs to cancer cell epigenomes, we analyzed aggregate 81 chromatin accessibility maps from 21 human cancers generated by The Cancer Genome Atlas project ²⁷. We defined cancer-specific subsets of accessible regions by subtracting 82 regions that show evidence of regulatory activity in any healthy adult tissue profiled by the 83 Roadmap Consortium (Fig 1A, Methods)²⁸. Out of 1315 total repeat subfamilies annotated 84 85 in the human genome, we found 23 subfamilies that showed significant enrichment within the accessible chromatin in at least one cancer type (Fig 1B), of which 19 correspond to 86 87 long terminal repeat (LTR) regions of primate-specific ERVs (Supp Table 1). These observations from chromatin accessibility data generated from primary tumors confirm 88 previous reports of LTR-derived regulatory activity in cancer cell lines ^{22,24,25}, and support a 89 role for ERVs in shaping patient tumor epigenomes. 90

91

92 LTR10 elements exhibit cancer-specific regulatory activity

93 To further investigate the cancer-specific regulatory activity of ERVs, we focused on LTR10 94 elements, which were enriched within cancer-specific accessible chromatin for several types 95 of epithelial tumors including colorectal, stomach, prostate, and lung tumors (Fig 1C, Supp Fig S1A). LTR10 elements (including LTR10A-G, n=2331) are derived from the LTR of the 96 97 gammaretrovirus HERV-I, which integrated into the anthropoid genome 30 million years ago (Fig 1D, 1E)²². As our initial TCGA analysis was conducted using aggregate data for each 98 99 tumor type, we first confirmed that LTR10 elements showed recurrent chromatin accessibility 100 across colorectal tumors from multiple individual patients (Fig 1F, Supp Fig S1B). We then analyzed epigenomic datasets from the HCT116 colorectal cancer cell line ^{3,29–31} and found 101 that LTR10A and LTR10F elements exhibit canonical chromatin hallmarks of enhancer 102 activity, including enrichment of histone modifications H3K27ac and H3K4me1, the 103 104 transcriptional coactivator p300, and RNA Polymerase II occupancy (Fig 1G).



1(

106 Figure 1: Pan-cancer epigenomic analysis of TE activity. (A) Pipeline to estimate TE subfamily enrichment 107 within cancer-specific regulatory regions. Aggregate ATAC-seq maps associated with each TCGA tumor type 108 were filtered to remove regulatory regions predicted in any normal adult tissues by Roadmap. Cancer-specific 109 accessible chromatin regions were tested for enrichment of 1315 repeat subfamilies using GIGGLE. (B) Bubble 110 chart summarizing TE subfamily enrichment within cancer-specific ATAC-seq regions across 21 cancer types 111 profiled by TCGA (acronyms shown on the x-axis; full names provided at https://gdc.cancer.gov/resources-112 tcga-users/tcga-code-tables/tcga-study-abbreviations). TE subfamilies and cancer types are sorted based on 113 maximum enrichment score. (C) Enrichment of TE subfamilies within cancer-specific ATAC-seq associated 114 with colon adenocarcinomas (COAD) from TCGA. Every point represents a TE subfamily. Significantly 115 enriched TEs are shown in red; depleted TEs are shown in blue. (D) Estimated origin of HERV-I elements on 116 the primate phylogeny based on genomic presence or absence. (E) Principal component analysis based on 117 multiple sequence alignment of all LTR10 sequences over 200 bp in length in the human genome (n=1806). 118 Every point represents an individual LTR10 sequence. LTR10A and LTR10F sequences are colored orange 119 and red, respectively. (F) Heatmap of representative patient tumor ATAC-seq signals (TCGA patients COAD 120 P053, P012, P002, P025, P004, P016, P001, P049) over the merged set of 649 LTR10A/F elements. Bottom 121 metaprofiles represent average normalized ATAC signal across elements. (G) Heatmap of enhancer-122 associated chromatin marks from HCT116 cells over the merged set of 649 LTR10A/F elements. From left to 123 right: H3K27ac ChIP-seg (GSE97527), H3K4me1 ChIP-seg (GSE101646), POLR2A ChIP-seg (GSE32465), 124 EP300 ChIP-seq (GSE51176), and HCT116 ATAC-seq (GSE126215). Bottom metaprofiles represent the 125 normalized signal across elements.

126

We did not observe enhancer-like profiles at LTR10C elements, which have previously been identified as a source of p53 binding sites ^{22,32} (Supp Fig S1C). While most LTR10A and LTR10F elements are not transcribed, some show evidence of transcription as promoters for full-length non-coding HERV-I insertions or cellular transcripts (Supp Fig S1D). Therefore, elements derived from the LTR10A and LTR10F subfamilies (hereafter referred to as LTR10 elements) show robust epigenomic signatures associated with enhancer activity in colorectal cancer cells.

134

We expanded our analysis to include epigenomic states from all adult tissues ²⁸. We found 135 136 no evidence for LTR10 enhancer activity in normal tissues, but instead observed general 137 enrichment of H3K9me3-associated heterochromatin marks (Supp Fig S2A, Supp Table 2). To identify factors that directly bind to and potentially repress LTR10 elements, we analyzed 138 139 the Cistrome database ³¹ of published human ChIP-Seq datasets to identify transcriptional repressors with evidence for enriched binding within LTR10 elements. Considering all cell 140 types, we found that LTR10 elements are significantly enriched for binding by ZNF562. 141 142 TRIM28, and SETDB1 (Fig 2A, 2B, Supp Table 3, Supp Table 4), which are components of the KRAB-ZNF transposon silencing pathway ³³. In additional datasets generated from 143 healthy colorectal tissue samples ^{3,34–36}, LTR10 elements do not show any evidence of 144 145 enhancer activity (Supp Fig S2B). Our analysis suggests that, as expected for most primate-

specific TEs ³⁷, LTR10 elements are normally subject to H3K9me3-mediated epigenetic
silencing in somatic tissues.

148

149 LTR10 elements are bound by the AP1 transcription factor complex

150 To identify which pathways are responsible for cancer-specific reactivation of LTR10 151 elements, we focused our Cistrome enrichment analysis on activating transcription factors 152 in colorectal cancer cell lines. LTR10 elements were significantly enriched for binding by 153 AP1 complex members (Fig 2C, 2D, Supp Table 3) including the FOSL1, JUND, and ATF3 154 transcription factors. The LTR10A and LTR10F consensus sequences harbor multiple predicted AP1 binding motifs, which are enriched within LTR10 elements marked by 155 H3K27ac in HCT116 cells. Moreover, the AP1 motifs are largely absent in other LTR10 156 157 subfamilies (Fig 2E). Expanding our motif analysis to tumor-specific accessible chromatin from 21 different cancer types, we found that AP1 motif enrichment generally correlates with 158 159 LTR10 enrichment, especially for LTR10A (Supp Fig S2C). In contrast, cancers without 160 LTR10 enrichment show little to no enrichment of AP1 motifs in tumor-specific accessible 161 chromatin (Supp Fig S2C). These analyses indicate that the cancer-specific enhancer 162 activity of LTR10 elements is likely driven by sequence-specific recruitment of the AP1 163 complex.

164

165 LTR10 epigenetic and transcriptional activity is elevated in patient tumor cells

We next compared the epigenetic status of LTR10 elements between patient-derived 166 167 colorectal cancer cells and normal cells. In multiple patient-matched epigenomic datasets ^{38,39}, LTR10 elements show globally increased levels of enhancer-associated histone 168 modifications H3K27ac and H3K4me1 in tumor samples compared to adjacent normal 169 170 colorectal tissues (Fig 2F, Supp Fig S2D). In contrast, LTR10 elements did not show global changes in H3K9me3 or H3K27me3 ChIP-seq signal in tumors compared to normal cells 171 172 (Supp Fig S2E). These observations suggest that removal of repressive histone marks may not be required for LTR10 enhancer activity, however, single-cell epigenomic profiling would 173 174 be necessary to determine whether LTR10 elements are marked by both active and 175 repressive marks in the same cells.



17

177 Figure 2: Regulatory activity of LTR10 in tumor and normal cells. (A) Transcriptional repressors 178 associated with LTR10A/F elements, ranked by enrichment score. (B) Heatmap of ChIP-seq signal from 179 H3K9me3 and repressive factors, over LTR10A/F elements. From left to right: H3K9me3 ChIP-seq (GSE16256), ZNF562 ChIP-seq (GSE78099), TRIM28 ChIP-seq (GSE84382), SETDB1 ChIP-seq 180 181 (GSE31477), ZEB1 (GSE106896), and ZEB2 ChIP-seq (GSE91406). (C) Transcriptional activators associated 182 with LTR10A/F elements, ranked by enrichment score. (D) Heatmap of ChIP-seq signal from H3K27ac and 183 activating transcription factors in HCT116 cells, over LTR10A/F elements. From left to right: H3K27ac ChIP-184 seq (GSE96299), as well as ChIP-seq for FOSL1, JUND, USF1, SRF and CEBPB (all from GSE32465). (E) 185 Schematic of AP1 motif locations for LTR10 consensus sequences from each subfamily. Sequence logo for 186 AP1 motif FOSL1 (MA0477.1 from JASPAR) is shown, and predicted motif locations are marked on each 187 consensus. (F) Heatmap of H3K27ac and H3K4me1 ChIP-seq signals from tumor (T) and normal (N) samples 188 from colorectal cancer patients (CEMT patients AKCC52 and AKCC58) over LTR10A/F elements. Bottom 189 metaprofiles represent average normalized ChIP signal. (G) Dot plots of normalized counts for FOSL1, 190 LTR10A and LTR10F from bulk RNA-seq derived from a cohort of 38 TCGA patients with colorectal 191 adenocarcinomas. Each patient has one tumor (T) sample and one normal (N) colon sample. ***: p < 0.001, 192 paired sample Wilcoxon test. (H) UMAP projections of the single cell transcriptome of patient C136 from Pelka 193 et al (2021). UMAPs are colored according to tissue type or cell type. (I) UMAP projections of the same patient, 194 colored according to the expression of FOSL1, LTR10A, or LTR10F. In each case, the arrow points to the 195 tumor-specific cluster of epithelial cells. (J) Bubble plot of the same patient, showing the mean expression of 196 FOSL1, LTR10A and LTR10F in tumor epithelia vs normal epithelia.

197

198 We further assessed the transcriptional activity of LTR10 elements using matched 199 tumor/normal RNA-seq from 38 patients with colorectal adenocarcinomas from TCGA 200 controlled access data ²⁷ (Supp Fig S2F). Our RNA-seg analysis of the patient cohort 201 suggests that LTR10 transcripts are generally increased in tumor versus normal samples, 202 particularly at LTR10A elements (Fig 2G, Supp Fig S2G, Supp Table 5). Likewise, AP1 factor 203 FOSL1 showed a robust and significant increase in expression in tumor versus normal 204 samples (Fig 2G, Supp Fig S2H, Supp Table 5), consistent with our hypothesis that the AP1 205 complex drives LTR10 transcriptional activity. Altogether, 15 of the 38 patients show a 206 consistent increase in FOSL1, LTR10A and LTR10F transcriptional activity in colorectal 207 tumor cells (Supp Table 5).

208

209 LTR10 transcription marks tumor-specific epithelial cells

210 We next investigated LTR10 transcription at the single-cell level. We analyzed an 211 independent cohort of 36 colorectal cancer patients with publicly available single-cell RNAseq from matched tumor and normal samples for each patient ⁴⁰. We used scTE ⁴¹ to 212 213 reprocess the datasets and measure cell population-specific expression of TE subfamilies. In line with our previous results from bulk RNA-seq, we found significant and recurrent 214 215 transcription of LTR10 elements in tumor-specific epithelial cells for 12 out of 36 patients (Fig 2H-J, Supp Fig S2I & S2J, Supp Table 6). We observed co-expression of LTR10 and 216 217 FOSL1 in tumor-specific epithelial cells for 10 of these patients (Supp Table 6), consistent with a role for AP1 signaling in regulating LTR10 elements. Thus, our single-cell analysis
 indicates that a subset of patients show robust LTR10 transcriptional activity specifically in
 tumor-specific epithelial cells.

221

222 LTR10 transcription is associated with dysregulated MAPK signaling

223 Our initial analyses of patient cohorts suggest that LTR10 elements become transcriptionally 224 activated in about 30% of colorectal tumors. To determine which tumor molecular subtypes 225 are most likely to drive LTR10 activation, we performed correlative studies between LTR10 226 activity and tumor mutations, patient survival rates, and clinical outcomes. For this purpose, 227 we obtained and analyzed RNA-seq from 358 primary tumor samples derived from TCGA patients with colon adenocarcinomas or adenomas ²⁷. We first focused on correlating LTR10 228 229 transcriptional activity with KRAS mutation status. KRAS is one of the most frequently 230 mutated oncogenes in cancer: approximately 30-40% of colorectal cancer patients harbor 231 missense mutations in KRAS, and KRAS mutations have long been associated with 232 increased tumor aggressiveness, resistance to treatment, and poor patient outcomes ⁴². We 233 found that LTR10A transcripts, in particular, are significantly elevated in tumors that harbor 234 a KRAS mutation (Supp Fig S2K, Supp Table 7), although we did not observe a noticeable 235 difference in FOSL1 expression (Supp Fig S2L, Supp Table 7). Survival analyses based on 236 the expression of LTR10 transcripts or AP1 factors did not reveal any significant correlations (Supp Fig S2M, S2N). However, we note that our analyses might have been limited by the 237 fact that subfamily-level LTR10 transcription by RNA-seq is an imperfect proxy for enhancer 238 239 activity, and only a small subset of colorectal cancer samples from TCGA had associated 240 survival data, limiting our statistical power.

241

242 AP1 signaling is required for LTR10 enhancer activity

Dysregulation of AP1 signaling occurs in many cancers, driven by mutations that cause oncogenic activation of the MAPK signaling pathway ⁴³. Based on our findings that LTR10 elements are bound by AP1, and LTR10 transcriptional activity is correlated with the expression of AP1 component *FOSL1*, we tested whether LTR10 regulatory activity is affected by modulation of the AP1/MAPK signaling pathway using luciferase reporter assays. We synthesized the LTR10A and LTR10F consensus sequences as well as variants where the AP1 motifs were disrupted, and cloned the sequences into an enhancer reporter construct. We measured reporter activity in HCT116 cells that were treated for 24 hrs with either TNFa to stimulate signaling or cobimetinib (a MEK1 inhibitor) to inhibit signaling. Consistent with regulation by AP1, cobimetinib treatment caused a decrease in LTR10driven reporter activity, and TNFa caused an increase (Fig 3A). Overall regulatory activity was greatly reduced in sequences where the AP1 motif was disrupted (Fig 3A). These results show that LTR10 enhancer activity can be directly regulated by modulation of the AP1/MAPK signaling pathway in cancer cells.

257

258 To test the role of the AP1 complex in endogenous LTR10 regulation, we silenced the AP1 259 component FOSL1 using CRISPRi to determine the impact on LTR10 transcriptional activity. Using HCT116 cells expressing dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2⁴⁴, we transfected a guide RNA 260 261 (gRNA) targeting the FOSL1 transcription start site (TSS) and used RNA-seg to compare gene and TE expression to control cells transfected with a non-targeting gRNA. We first 262 263 confirmed silencing of FOSL1 (Supp Fig S3A, S3B), then analyzed TE transcript expression 264 summarized at the subfamily level to account for reads mapping to multiple insertions of the same TE ⁴⁵. This analysis revealed that full-length LTR10/HERV-I elements were 265 266 significantly downregulated upon silencing FOSL1 (Fig 3B), supporting a direct role for the 267 AP1 complex in regulating LTR10 activity.

268

269 Next, we investigated how endogenous LTR10 elements respond to modulation of 270 MAPK/AP1 signaling at the chromatin level. We treated HCT116 cells with either cobimetinib 271 or TNFa for 24 hrs and profiled each response using RNA-seq and H3K27ac CUT&RUN 272 (Supp Fig S3C, S3D, S3E, S3F). Consistent with our reporter assay results, our RNA-seq 273 analysis showed that full-length LTR10/HERV-I transcripts were significantly downregulated 274 upon cobimetinib treatment (Fig 3C), and upregulated upon TNFa treatment (Fig 3D). LTR10 elements showed similar responses based on H3K27ac CUT&RUN signal, exhibiting 275 276 significant enrichment within the genome-wide set of predicted enhancers downregulated by cobimetinib or upregulated by TNFa (Fig 3E, 3F). We also observed clear TNFa-induced 277 278 H3K27ac signal over LTR10 elements in a published dataset of SW480 colorectal cancer 279 cells ⁴⁶ (Supp Fig S3G). These results indicate that LTR10 elements represent a significant 280 subset of genome-wide enhancers and transcripts in HCT116 cells that are directly 281 modulated by MAPK/AP1 signaling.

11







283 Figure 3: Control of LTR10 activity by AP1/MAPK signaling. (A) Luciferase reporter assays of LTR10A/F 284 consensus sequences, including sequence variants containing shuffled AP1 motifs. Reporter activity was 285 measured in HCT116 cells treated with DMSO (n=3), cobimetinib (n=3), or TNF-alpha (n=3) for 24 hrs. Values 286 are normalized to firefly co-transfection controls, and presented as fold-change against the mean values from 287 cells transfected with a empty minimal promoter pNL3.3 vector. *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, ***: p < 0.001, two-288 tailed student's t-test. Error bars denote SEM. (B-D) MA plots of TE subfamilies showing significant differential 289 expression in HCT116 cells subject to FOSL1 silencing (B), 24hr cobimetinib treatment (C), or 24hr TNF-alpha 290 treatment (D), based on RNA-seq. Dots are colored in red if they are significant (adjusted p<0.05, log2FC<0 291 for FOSL1/cobimetinib and log2FC>0 for TNFa). (E) Volcano plot showing TE subfamily enrichment in the set 292 of H3K27ac regions significantly downregulated by cobimetinib. (F) Volcano plot showing TE subfamily 293 enrichment in the set of H3K27ac regions significantly upregulated by TNF-alpha. (G) Heatmap of normalized 294 H3K27ac CUT&RUN signal for 38 LTR10 elements predicted to function as enhancers regulating AP1 target 295 genes for each treatment replicate.

296

297 LTR10 elements regulate cancer-specific pathological gene expression

298 To determine whether any LTR10-derived enhancers have a functional effect on 299 AP1/MAPK-dependent gene expression in colorectal cancer cells, we used our RNA-seq 300 and CUT&RUN data from HCT116 cells to identify elements predicted to have gene 301 regulatory activity. While we found that the AP1 component FOSL1 is required for LTR10 302 regulatory activity, oncogenic MAPK signaling can mediate transcriptional dysregulation 303 through additional pathways beyond FOSL1 and AP1 signaling ⁴⁷. Therefore, we defined 304 potential AP1/MAPK-regulated genes using two approaches, based on our RNA-seq data 305 from our FOSL1 knockdown or TNFa/cobimetinib treatment. We first defined a set of 456 306 AP1-dependent genes based on being significantly downregulated by our CRISPRi silencing 307 of the AP1 component FOSL1 (Supp Table 8). We identified LTR10 elements predicted to regulate these genes using the activity by contact model ⁴⁸ to assign enhancer-gene targets 308 309 based on LTR10 element H3K27ac signal and chromatin interaction data. This identified 38 310 LTR10-derived enhancers (Fig 3G) predicted to regulate 56 (12.2%) of the 456 AP1-311 dependent genes (Supp Table 8), including many with established roles in cancer 312 pathophysiology. In a secondary analysis, we defined 620 MAPK-dependent genes as 313 genes that are both upregulated by TNFa and downregulated by cobimetinib, and found 57 314 LTR10-derived enhancers predicted to regulate 74 (11.9%) of these genes (Supp Fig S3H, 315 Supp Table 9). Collectively, we identified a total of 71 distinct LTR10 enhancers (Supp Table 316 10) predicted to contribute to the regulation of roughly 12% of genes with AP1 or MAPK-317 dependent gene expression in HCT116 cells, supporting an important role in mediating global transcriptional rewiring in cancer. 318

319

320 We tested the regulatory activity of six predicted LTR10 enhancers using CRISPR to knock 321 down or knock out individual elements in HCT116 cells. We prioritized the elements based 322 on epigenomic evidence of tumor-specific enhancer activity and having predicted target 323 genes with reported relevance to tumor development or therapy resistance. We separately 324 silenced each LTR10 element using CRISPRi and selected one element (LTR10.KDM6A) 325 to delete using CRISPR/Cas9, due to its intronic location. We used RNA-seq to determine 326 the transcriptional consequences of perturbing each element. For each LTR10 tested, we 327 observed local downregulation of multiple genes within 1.5 MB of the targeted element, 328 confirming their activity as functional enhancers in HCT116 cells. These included ATG12. XRCC4, TMEM167A, VCAN, NES, FGF2, AGPAT5, MAOB, and MIR222HG (Fig 4, Fig 5, 329 330 Supp Fig S4A-S4J, Supp Tables 11-16). For three elements (LTR10.MEF2D, 331 LTR10.MCPH1 and LTR10.KDM6A), the predicted target gene did not show significant 332 expression changes, but we observed downregulation of other AP1/MAPK-dependent 333 genes near the element (Supp Fig S4B, S4F, S4H). Collectively, our characterization of six 334 LTR10 elements verified that 21 genes are regulated by LTR10 elements; most (18/21) of 335 which are regulated by AP1/MAPK signaling based on our RNA-seq data. These experiments demonstrate that multiple LTR10-derived enhancers mediate AP1/MAPK-336 337 dependent gene expression of nearby genes in HCT116 cells.

338

We focused on two of these LTR10-derived enhancers to explore their functional impact on 339 tumor cells. We first investigated an enhancer that regulates ATG12 (LTR10.ATG12). 340 341 formed by two LTR10F elements on chromosome 5, located 87 kb from predicted target genes ATG12 and AP3S1 (Fig 4A). Silencing the LTR10.ATG12 enhancer resulted in 342 343 downregulation of ATG12 as well the neighboring gene AP3S1 and eight other genes within 344 1.5 Mb (Fig 4B, 4C, 4D, Supp Table 11). As a separate control, we used CRISPRi to silence the ATG12 promoter and found highly specific silencing of ATG12 (Supp Fig S4K, S4L, Supp 345 346 Table 17). These results indicate that the LTR10.ATG12 element functions as an enhancer 347 that affects multiple genes in the locus. Genome-wide, we observed differential regulation 348 of other genes, possibly due to indirect effects from target gene knockdown or off-target 349 silencing of other LTR10 elements (Supp Fig 4M). Notably, we observed that multiple genes 350 regulated by LTR10.ATG12 showed similar patterns of transcriptional downregulation in response to FOSL1 silencing and cobimetinib treatment (Fig 4D). These results indicate that 351

LTR10.ATG12 acts as an enhancer that controls AP1-dependent transcriptional activation of multiple genes in the *ATG12/AP3S1* locus in HCT116 cells.

354

355 The ATG12 gene encodes a ubiquitin-like modifier required for macroautophagy as well as 356 mitochondrial homeostasis and apoptosis ^{49–52}. Expression of *ATG12* is associated with 357 tumorigenesis and therapy resistance in colorectal and gastric cancer ^{53,54}, but the 358 mechanism of cancer-specific regulation of ATG12 has not been characterized. Therefore, 359 we aimed to determine whether the LTR10.ATG12 enhancer was responsible for regulating 360 ATG12 expression and activity in HCT116 cells. First, we validated that silencing the 361 enhancer resulted in decreased ATG12 protein levels by immunoblotting (Fig 4E). In cells 362 where either ATG12 or the enhancer was silenced, there was a clear reduction in protein 363 levels of both free ATG12 and the ATG3-ATG12 conjugate. There was minimal knockdown effect on the levels of the ATG5-ATG12 conjugate, which has previously been observed in 364 365 ATG12 silencing experiments and is due to the high stability of the ATG5-ATG12 complex 49 366

367

We tested whether ATG12-dependent functions require the activity of the LTR10.ATG12 368 369 enhancer. We treated each cell line with staurosporine (STS) to trigger mitochondrial 370 apoptosis, which is dependent on free ATG12 binding to Bcl-2⁵⁰. In cells where either 371 ATG12 or the enhancer was silenced, we observed significantly reduced caspase 3/7 activity, indicating defective mitochondrial apoptosis (Fig 4F). We did not detect differences 372 373 in macroautophagy in cells treated with bafilomycin (Supp Fig S4N), consistent with the lack of knockdown of the ATG5-ATG12 conjugate ⁵². Our experimental results from silencing 374 both ATG12 and the enhancer are concordant with previous studies directly silencing ATG12 375 using siRNAs in other cancer cell lines ^{49,50}. Together, these experiments demonstrate that 376 the LTR10.ATG12 enhancer is functionally important for ATG12-dependent activity in 377 378 HCT116 cells.



380 Figure 4: Functional characterization of LTR10.ATG12 in HCT116 cells. (A) Genome browser screenshot 381 of the ATG12/AP3S1 locus with the LTR10.ATG12 enhancer labeled. From top to bottom: JUND and FOSL1 382 ChIP-seq (GSE32465), H3K27ac CUT&RUN (in-house), H3K27ac ChIP-seq from matched tumor/normal 383 samples from the CEMT Canadian Epigenome Project (patient AKCC52), tumor ATAC-seg from TCGA (patient 384 COAD P022), HCT116 RNA-seq (in-house), and HCT116 PRO-seq (GSE129501). Axis numbers represent 385 the upper limit of the range; the lower limit is always zero. (B) Normalized RNA-seq expression values of 386 ATG12, AP3S1, and ARL14EPL in dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 HCT116 cells stably transfected with gRNAs 387 targeting the ATG12 transcription start site (n=2), the LTR10.ATG12 element (n=2), or non-targeting (GFP) 388 control (n=2). *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, ***: p < 0.001, Welch's t-test. Error bars denote SEM. (C) MA plot showing global gene expression changes in cells in response to silencing LTR10.ATG12. Significantly 389 390 downregulated genes are shown in red. (D) Scatterplot of gene expression changes in the locus containing 391 the LTR10.ATG12 element, associated with i) silencing LTR10.ATG12, ii) silencing FOSL1, or iii) cobimetinib 392 treatment. Significantly downregulated genes are shown in red; significantly upregulated genes are shown in 393 blue. Significantly downregulated genes located within 1.5 MB of the targeted element are labeled (element 394 box not drawn to scale). (E) Immunoblot of endogenous ATG12 in each CRISPRi cell line. Different ATG12 395 conjugate forms are labeled. (F) Caspase-3/7 activity after 12 hrs staurosporine (STS) treatment, measured 396 by the Caspase-Glo 3/7 assay. Treatments were performed in triplicate and signal for each cell line was 397 normalized to signal from DMSO-treatment. *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, Welch's t-test. Error bars denote SEM. 398

399 We next focused on the LTR10.XRCC4 enhancer, which regulates XRCC4 and VCAN based on our CRISPRi silencing experiment (Fig 5A, 5B, Supp Fig S5A, S5B, Supp Table 400 12). XRCC4 is a DNA repair gene required for non-homologous end joining and promotes 401 resistance to chemotherapy and radiation therapy ^{55–59}. VCAN is an extracellular matrix 402 protein that promotes tumor metastasis, invasion, and growth ^{60–62}. Both VCAN and XRCC4 403 have been reported to be regulated by MAPK/AP1 signaling in tumor cells ^{56,63}, but the 404 405 specific regulatory elements driving tumor-specific expression of these genes are unknown. 406 We validated the enhancer activity of this element by generating cells harboring 407 homozygous deletions using CRISPR (Supp Fig S5C, S5D) and confirmed that XRCC4 and 408 VCAN were significantly downregulated in edited cells (Fig 5C).

409

410 Previous studies have demonstrated that silencing or knocking out XRCC4 directly causes 411 increased sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents such as irradiation ^{55,64,65}, including in 412 HCT116 cells ⁶⁶. To test whether the LTR10.XRCC4 enhancer regulates XRCC4 function in 413 cancer, we subjected control and knockout cells to 10 Gy irradiation, and found that knockout 414 cells showed reduced viability following irradiation (Fig 5D), consistent with a previous study showing the role of XRCC4 in tumor cell survival following irradiation ⁶⁷. We next tested how 415 416 the deletion of LTR10.XRCC4 affects tumor response to irradiation in a mouse xenograft 417 model. Irradiation inhibits the growth of tumors derived from HCT116 cells ⁶⁸, therefore we 418 tested whether reducing XRCC4 expression by deleting LTR10.XRCC4 affects tumor growth 419 inhibition by irradiation.



Time (Days)

Time (Days)

421 Figure 5: Functional characterization of LTR10.XRCC4 in HCT116 cells and xenograft models. (A) 422 Genome browser screenshot of the XRCC4 locus with the LTR10.XRCC4 enhancer labeled. From top to 423 bottom: JUND and FOSL1 ChIP-seq (GSE32465), H3K27ac CUT&RUN (in-house), H3K27ac ChIP-seq from 424 matched tumor/normal samples from the CEMT Canadian Epigenome Project (patient AKCC52), tumor ATAC-425 seq from TCGA (patient COAD P022), HCT116 RNA-seq (in-house), and HCT116 PRO-seq (GSE129501). 426 Axis numbers represent the upper limit of the range; the lower limit is always zero. (B) Scatterplot of gene expression changes at the XRCC4 locus after CRISPR silencing of the LTR10.XRCC4 enhancer. Significantly 427 428 downregulated genes are shown in red; significantly upregulated genes are shown in blue. Significantly 429 downregulated genes located within 1.5 MB of the targeted element are labeled (element box not drawn to 430 scale). (C) RT-qPCR expression values of XRCC4 and VCAN in wildtype HCT116 cells (n=3) and 431 LTR10.XRCC4 knockout cells (n=3). *: p < 0.05, Welch's t-test. Error bars denote SEM. (D) Dose-response 432 curve showing cell viability in response to 0-10 Gy irradiation for LTR10.XRCC4 knockout and wildtype cells. 433 Cell viability was measured by CellTiterGlo after 5 days and each replicate (n=2) was normalized to non-434 irradiated cells (n=2, averaged). *: p < 0.05, paired student's t-test. Error bars denote SEM. (E) Classification 435 of responder versus non-responder for both wildtype and LTR10.XRCC4 knockout cells, based on xenograft 436 growth curves of untreated or irradiated mice. Three measures were calculated using Ortmann et al. (2021) 69: 437 tumor growth inhibition (TGI), mRECIST, and area under the curve (AUC). (F-G) Average growth curves across 438 replicates (n=9-10) for wildtype (F) versus LTR10.XRCC4 knockout (G) HCT116 xenograft tumors, with and 439 without irradiation, for 28 days. 8 Gy treatment timepoints (days 2, 4, 14, 16, and 18) are indicated by red 440 triangles. *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, ***: p < 0.001, two sample t-test assuming equal variances. Error bars denote 441 SEM. Individual growth curves are shown in Supp Fig S5E and S5F.

442

443 We transplanted either control HCT116 cells or cells harboring a homozygous deletion of 444 LTR10.XRCC4 into athymic nude mice, and subjected the mice to 8 Gy irradiation or mock 445 irradiation. Tumors derived from both control and knockout cells showed growth inhibition in 446 response to irradiation (Fig 5E-G, Supp Fig S5E-G, Supp Table 18). However, LTR10.XRCC4 knockout tumors showed more significant overall tumor growth inhibition by 447 448 irradiation (Fig 5E), including at earlier timepoints (Fig 5F, 5G). No significant toxicities were 449 seen in animal weights. While the difference seen in knockout tumors was modest, these 450 results are consistent with previous studies in other cancers showing increased sensitivity to radiation in tumor xenografts when XRCC4 is silenced ⁵⁵, and implicate a role for the 451 452 LTR10.XRCC4 enhancer in regulating a clinically relevant tumor phenotype.

453

454 LTR10 elements contain highly mutable VNTRs

Lastly, we investigated variation at LTR10 elements across 15,708 human genomes profiled by the Genome Aggregation database (gnomAD) ⁷⁰. All LTR10 insertions are fixed, but we observed an unexpected enrichment of >10bp indel structural variants affecting the AP1 motif region specific to LTR10A and LTR10F, but not other LTR10 subfamilies such as LTR10C (Fig 6A). Further sequence inspection revealed that LTR10A and LTR10F elements contain an internal variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) region, composed of a 28-30 bp sequence that includes the AP1 motif (Fig 6B, Supp Fig S6A). Individual LTR10 462 elements show a wide range of regulatory potential in HCT116 cells, as approximated by 463 peak scores of H3K27ac CUT&RUN and FOSL1 ChIPseq (Supp Fig S6B, Supp Table 19), 464 and demonstrated by the CRISPR-validated LTR10 enhancers. We speculate that the 465 number of AP1 motifs within LTR10 elements may influence their regulatory potential. 466 LTR10 elements annotated in the reference genome show extensive variation in tandem 467 repeat length, with up to 33 copies of the AP1 motif (Supp Fig S6C, Supp Table 20). The 468 number of motifs strongly correlates with H3K27ac and FOSL1 binding activity in HCT116 469 cells (Supp Fig S6C), suggesting that tandem repeat length affects AP1-dependent 470 regulation of individual elements. Across the human population, LTR10A and LTR10F 471 elements harbor many rare and common indel structural variants of lengths that follow a 28-472 30 bp periodicity, and this pattern is absent in LTR10C elements which lack the tandem 473 repeat region (Fig 6C, 6D). These elevated levels of polymorphism across copies and individuals are characteristic of unstable tandem repeat regions ⁷¹, and suggest that LTR10 474 475 VNTR regions may be a common source of genomic regulatory variation.

476

477 Accurately genotyping tandem repeat length polymorphisms remains a major challenge using short-read data, therefore we validated the presence of LTR10 VNTR polymorphisms 478 479 using structural variant calls generated from long-read whole-genome sequences from 15 480 individuals ⁷². We recovered indel structural variants within 24 distinct LTR10A and LTR10F 481 elements, which also showed 28-30 bp periodicity (Fig 6D, Supp Fig S6D). We confirmed the presence of additional LTR10 VNTR indels using a separate long-read dataset from 25 482 483 Asian individuals (Fig 6E, Supp Fig S6E-J) ⁷³. At the LTR10.ATG12 locus, we observed multiple indels supported by both short-read and long-read data that are predicted to affect 484 AP1 motif copy number (Fig 6E, Supp Fig S6F). At a genome-wide level, LTR10 elements 485 486 were a significantly enriched source of long-read indels, despite being fixed in the population 487 (Fig 6F). Therefore, expansions or contractions within LTR10 VNTR regions are an 488 underappreciated source of germline genetic variation that could underlie regulatory variation, consistent with polymorphisms recently reported in the VNTR region of SINE-489 490 VNTR-Alu (SVA) elements ⁷⁴.



492 Figure 6: LTR10 repeat instability and polymorphism. (A) Heatmap of FOSL1 ChIP-Seq, gnomAD indels 493 between 10-300bp in length, and AP1 motif matches (p<1e-4) across LTR10A, LTR10F, and LTR10C 494 elements. Overlapping elements were removed, retaining 990 LTR10 elements total across the three 495 subfamilies. FOSL1 ChIP-seq was obtained from GSE32465. (B) Schematic of variable number tandem repeat 496 (VNTR) region within LTR10A and LTR10F elements. (C) Scatterplot of high-confidence gnomAD indels 497 between 10-300 bp in length detected in LTR10A, LTR10F, or LTR10C subfamilies. Each indel is plotted by 498 its length and allele frequency. (D) As in (C) but using long-read supported data. (E) Genome browser 499 screenshot of LTR10.ATG12 showing AP1 motifs, long-read indels (58bp deletion reported by Quan et al., 500 2021), and gnomAD indels. (F) GIGGLE enrichment of ERVs within long-read indels. Significantly enriched 501 ERVs are shown in red; significantly depleted ERVs are shown in blue.

502

Finally, we searched for evidence of tumor-specific somatic expansions within LTR10 VNTR 503 504 regions. We analyzed a long-read whole-genome sequencing dataset generated from matched colorectal tumor and normal tissues from 20 patients ⁷⁵, using Sniffles2 ⁷⁶ to identify 505 506 tumor-specific repeat expansions within LTR10 VNTR regions. After manually inspecting 507 reads at each locus, we found evidence for tumor-specific VNTR expansions at H3K27ac-508 marked LTR10 elements in five out of 20 patients (Supp Table 21). Three patients showed 509 independent somatic expansions at the same LTR10A locus on chromosome 1 located near 510 gene GPR137B (Supp Fig S6K, S6L, S6O), suggesting that this locus is prone to inter-511 individual variation at both the germline (Supp Fig S6D) and somatic level. We also found 512 evidence of tumor-specific mosaic VNTR deletions in four patients (Supp Table 21). In fact, 513 one patient with high microsatellite instability showed evidence of multiple tumor-specific LTR10 variants: a predicted LTR10A VNTR expansion over 11,600 bp in length (Supp Fig 514 515 S6K, Supp Table 21), as well as two tumor-specific deletions at different LTR10F VNTR loci (Supp Fig S6Q, S6R). While a larger cohort would be necessary to determine if these 516 517 expansions are enriched within tumors with microsatellite instability, these analyses provide evidence that LTR10 VNTRs are subject to tumor-specific somatic expansions and 518 519 contractions, which can alter tumor-specific gene regulatory activity.

520

521 DISCUSSION

522 Our study demonstrates that oncogenic MAPK/AP1 signaling drives global epigenetic and 523 transcriptional activation of LTR10 elements in colorectal cancer and other epithelial 524 cancers. A subset of these elements act as enhancers that facilitate pathological AP1-525 dependent transcriptional rewiring at multiple loci in cancer cells. Collectively, our data have

526 several key implications for understanding how TEs shape cancer-specific regulatory 527 networks.

528

529 First, our pan-cancer epigenomic analysis revealed multiple primate-specific ERV families 530 that are enriched within tumor-specific accessible chromatin across all 21 solid tumor types 531 profiled by TCGA²⁷. This implicates ERVs as a pervasive source of regulatory elements that 532 shape gene regulation across most tumor types, expanding on recent studies that characterized TE-derived enhancers in prostate cancer ²⁶ and acute myeloid leukemia ²⁵ as 533 534 well as other genomic studies profiling tumor-specific TE-derived enhancer activity in 535 different cancers ^{17,18,20,21,77}. We focused on LTR10 elements as a case example, which showed recurrent epigenomic signatures of enhancer activity in epithelial cancers including 536 537 colorectal cancer. Both bulk and single-cell RNA-seq analysis of patient tumors revealed 538 that LTR10 elements display tumor-specific transcriptional activation in a substantial fraction 539 (~30%) of cases. While our study found that LTR10 elements are normally repressed in adult 540 somatic tissues and show largely tumor-specific enhancer activity, a recent study reported 541 that many LTR10 elements also show enhancer activity in the developing human placenta ⁷⁸, consistent with the hypothesis that reactivation of placental-specific gene regulatory 542 543 networks may contribute to cancer pathogenesis ^{79–81}.

544

545 Using CRISPR to silence or knock out individual elements in HCT116 colorectal cancer cells, we found that LTR10-derived enhancers causally drive AP1-dependent gene expression at 546 547 multiple loci, including genes with established roles in tumorigenesis and therapy resistance including ATG12, XRCC4, and VCAN^{53,55,59–61,82–84}. While we focused on LTR10 elements 548 549 predicted to regulate genes with established relevance to cancer, we also uncovered many 550 elements that did not have predicted gene regulatory or functional consequences, indicating that LTR10 enhancer activity is not intrinsically pathological. Moreover, the regulatory activity 551 552 of different LTR10-derived enhancers across the genome is likely to vary across individual 553 tumors depending on the genetic and epigenetic background of the tumor and individual. Nevertheless, our findings support a model where LTR10-derived enhancers are important 554 555 contributors to tumor-specific transcriptional dysregulation, which in some cases can 556 significantly influence tumorigenesis and therapy resistance.

557

558 Second, our work shows that ERV-derived enhancers link oncogenic AP1/MAPK signaling 559 to pathological transcriptional rewiring in colorectal cancer. Components of the MAPK 560 pathway are frequently mutated in cancers, leading to oncogenic hyperactivation of MAPK signaling which promotes pathological gene expression and tumor cell proliferation ^{43,85}. 561 562 However, this process is poorly defined at the genomic level, and the specific regulatory 563 elements that drive AP1-dependent transcriptional dysregulation have remained uncharted. 564 Furthermore, inhibition of MAPK signaling is a common therapeutic strategy for many cancers ^{86,87} including colorectal cancer ^{88,89}, but we have an incomplete understanding of 565 566 how MAPK inhibition alters cancer epigenomes to achieve a therapeutic effect. Our study 567 shows that oncogenic AP1/MAPK signaling results in activation of LTR10 enhancers, and 568 treatment with a MAPK inhibitor effectively silences LTR10 regulatory activity in cancer cells. 569 Therefore, the silencing of LTR10 ERV regulatory activity is an important but underappreciated mechanism underlying therapeutic MAPK inhibition. 570

571

572 Finally, we discovered that LTR10 elements are frequently affected by tandem repeat 573 expansions that could influence their regulatory activity. Although all LTR10 insertions are 574 fixed in the human population, they contain internal tandem repeats that show high levels of 575 length polymorphism associated with repeat instability, consistent with a recent report of 576 variable-length SVA elements which also contain internal tandem repeats ⁷⁴. Germline or somatic variation in AP1 motif copy number within these elements may alter cancer-specific 577 578 enhancer landscapes, and we found evidence that LTR10 VNTRs can be subject to somatic 579 expansions or contractions in cancer cells with microsatellite instability ⁹⁰. Our study of 580 LTR10 highlights how TEs that are normally silenced can become reactivated in cancer and 581 cause aberrant gene expression. For elements that promote pathogenesis, their restricted 582 activity in age-associated diseases like cancer may result in reduced or nearly neutral fitness consequences. Therefore, the accumulation of TEs subject to epigenetic silencing may be 583 584 a fundamental process that shapes cancer-specific gene regulatory networks.

585

586 METHODS

587 <u>Cell culture</u>

588 The HCT116 cell line was purchased from ATCC and cultured in McCoy's 5A medium 589 supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). Cells were cultured at

590 37°C in 5% carbon dioxide. Transfections were performed using FuGENE (Promega). For 591 treatments modulating MAPK signaling, HCT116 cells were untreated or treated for 24 hrs 592 with 1 uM Cobimetinib, 100 ng/mL TNF alpha, or DMSO.

593

594 <u>CRISPR-mediated silencing and knockout of LTR10s</u>

595 For CRISPR-mediated silencing (e.g., CRISPRi) of select LTR10 elements and gene 596 transcription start sites (TSS), a HCT116 dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 stable line was first 597 generated using the PiggyBac system (System Bioscience). The PiggyBac Donor plasmid, 598 PB-CAGGS-dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 was co-transfected with the Super PiggyBac transposase expression vector (SPBT) into HCT116 cells. The pB-CAGGS-dCas9-KRAB-599 600 MeCP2 construct was a gift from Alejandro Chavez & George Church (Addgene plasmid # 601 110824). 24 hours post-transfection, cells were treated with Blasticidin to select for 602 integration of the dCas9 expression cassette, and selection was maintained for 10 days. 603 CRISPR gRNAs specific to the DNA elements of interest (i.e., 0 predicted off target 604 sequences) were selected using pre-computed CRISPR target guides available on the 605 UCSC Genome Browser hg38 assembly, and complementary oligos were synthesized by 606 Integrated DNA Technologies. Complementary oligos were designed to generate BstXI and 607 Blpl overhangs for cloning into PB-CRISPRia, a custom PiggyBac CRISPR gRNA 608 expression plasmid based on the lentiviral construct pCRISPRia (a gift from Jonathan 609 Weissman, Addgene plasmid # 84832). Complementary gRNA-containing oligos were hybridized and phosphorylated in a single reaction, then ligated into a PB-CRISPRia 610 611 expression plasmid linearized with BstXI and BlpI (New England Biolabs). Chemically competent Stable E. Coli (New England Biolabs) was transformed with 2 uL of each ligation 612 reaction and resulting colonies were selected for plasmid DNA isolation using the ZymoPure 613 614 Plasmid miniprep kit (Zymo Research). Each cloned gRNA sequence-containing PB-CRISPRia plasmid was verified by Sanger sequencing (Quintara Bio). 615

616

To generate CRISPRi stable lines, PB-CRISPRia gRNA plasmids were co-transfected with the PiggyBac transposase vector into the HCT116 dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 polyclonal stable line. The following number of uniquely-mapping gRNA plasmids were designed per target based on the pre-computed UCSC hg38 CRISPR target track: ATG12 (1), GFP (1), FOSL1 (1), LTR10.ATG12 (4), LTR10.FGF2 (2), LTR10.MCPH1 (3), LTR10.MEF2D (2),

LTR10.XRCC4 (2). The same total amount of gRNA plasmid was used for transfections involving one or multiple gRNAs. 24 hours post-transfection, cells were treated with Puromycin to select for integration of the sgRNA expression cassette(s). Selection was maintained for 5 days prior to transcriptional analyses.

626

627 For CRISPR-mediated knockout of LTR10.KDM6A, 2 gRNAs (1 specific to each flank of the 628 element) were identified and synthesized as sgRNAs by IDT. For CRISPR-mediated 629 knockout of LTR10.XRCC4, 4 gRNAs (two specific to each flank of the element) were 630 identified and synthesized as sgRNAs by IDT. Using IDT's AltR technology, RNP complexes 631 were generated in vitro, and electroporated into HCT116 cells using the Neon system 632 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Clonal lines were isolated using the array dilution method in a 96-633 well plate format, and single clones were identified and screened for homozygous deletions 634 by PCR using both flanking and internal primer pairs at the expected deletion site. gRNAs 635 and PCR primers for each candidate are provided in Supp Table 22.

636

637 <u>Cell autophagy and apoptosis assays</u>

For assaying mitochondrial apoptosis, HCT116 CRISPRi cell lines were treated for 12 hours with Staurosporine (STS) at 0.5 uM or DMSO (vehicle) followed by measurement of Caspase activity via the Caspase-Glo 3/7 assay (Promega). Results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments. For assaying autophagy, HCT116 CRISPRi cell lines were untreated or treated with Bafilomycin A at 10 nM or 100 nM for 6 hrs and 18 hrs, followed by LC3B Western blotting. Results are representative of at least 3 independent experiments.

645

646 Western blots

For ATG12 Western blots, cell lysates were prepared with MPER buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific). For LC3B Western blots, cell lysates were prepared with RIPA buffer. All cell Lysates were resuspended in 4x NuPage LDS Sample buffer containing reducing agent (ThermoFisher Scientific). For ATG12 Western blots, total protein was concentrated and size-selected by passing through an Amicon Ultra 10K column (Millipore), retaining the high molecular weight fraction, and 40 ug of protein was loaded per lane. For LC3B Western blots, 2 ug total protein was loaded per lane. Antibodies used were as follows: ATG12 (cat

#4180T, Cell Signaling Technologies), Beta-Actin (cat # 3700T, Cell Signaling
Technologies), LC3B (cat # NB100-2220, Novus Biologicals). Results are representative of
at least 3 independent experiments.

657

658 <u>Luciferase assay</u>

659 Reporter assays were conducted in HCT116 cells using the secreted NanoLuc enhancer 660 activity reporter pNL3.3 (Promega) and normalized against a constitutively active firefly 661 luciferase reporter vector, pGL4.50 (Promega). LTR10 consensus sequences for 662 subfamilies LTR10A and LTR10F were downloaded from Dfam. AP1 motifs within LTR10A and LTR10F were shuffled as follows: LTR10A (first two motifs): cctgagtcacc to cagccccgtta; 663 LTR10A (third motif): cttagtcacc to cagtttaccc; LTR10F (all three motifs): cctgactcatt to 664 665 cgtatccttac. Sequences are provided in Supp Table 22. Due to their high repeat content, consensus sequences were synthesized as multiple fragments (Integrated DNA 666 667 Technologies, Twist BioScience) and then assembled into pNL3.3 enhancer reporter 668 plasmids using Gibson Assembly (New England Biolabs). Each cloned reporter plasmid was 669 verified by Sanger sequencing (Quintara Bio). To assay reporter activity, HCT116 cells were 670 transfected with a reporter construct as well as the pGL4.50 construct constitutively expressing firefly luciferase. 24 hrs after transfection, media was replaced with media 671 672 containing 1 uM Cobimetinib, 100 ng/mL TNF alpha, or DMSO (vehicle). 24 hours following 673 treatment, luminescence was measured using the NanoGlo Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega). All experiments were performed with 3 treatment replicates per condition 674 675 in a 96-well plate format. Luminescence readings were first normalized to firefly co-676 transfection controls, then presented as fold-change against cells transfected with an empty 677 minimal promoter pNL3.3 vector as a negative control. Results are representative of at least 678 3 independent experiments. Barplots are presented as mean +/- s.d.

679

680 Irradiation experiment

HCT116 control or knockout cells were irradiated using a Faxitron irradiator (Model RX-650)
at 0, 2, 6 or 10 Gy then left to recover for up to 5 days. Cell viability was measured by
CellTiter-Glo luminescence assay (Promega). Two replicates (each based on the average
of three CellTiter-Glo readings) were normalized to unirradiated (0 Gy) as a control.

685

686 Mouse xenograft experiment

687 All experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the 688 University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus and conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Female 689 690 athymic nude mice (aged 15-16 weeks at start of study) were purchased from Envigo 691 (Indianapolis, IN) and implanted subcutaneously on the hind flanks with 2.5 million cells in 692 100 ul of either HCT116 wildtype or LTR10.XRCC4 CRISPR knockout cells under isoflurane 693 anesthesia with a 23 ga ¹/₂ needle. The cell solution injected consisted of 1:1 ratio of RMPI 694 media and cultrex (Cultrex PathClear BME, Type 3 from Bio-Techne). We injected wildtype 695 or knockout cells into 40 mice (20 each, one side per mouse), then mice were randomized 696 into treatment groups (20 irradiated, 20 mock) and treatments were initiated when the average tumor volume reached between 50-100 mm³. Tumor volume was calculated by 697 {(width²) x length} x 0.52. Irradiation treatment consisted of 8 Gy x 3 fractions on days 2, 4, 698 699 14, 16, and 18. Tumor measurements were taken twice weekly using digital calipers, and 700 toxicity was monitored by measuring body weight twice weekly and the study ended at 28 days. Tumor growth inhibition was measured using KuLGaP⁶⁹. 701

702

703 <u>RNA-seq</u>

704 Sequencing libraries were prepared from RNA harvested from treatment or transfection 705 replicates. Total RNA was extracted using the Quick-RNA Miniprep Plus Kit (Zymo Research). PolyA enrichment and library preparation was performed using the KAPA 706 707 BioSystems mRNA HyperPrep Kit according to the manufacturer's protocols. Briefly, 500 ng of RNA was used as input, and KAPA BioSystems single-index or unique dual-index 708 709 adapters were added at a final concentration of 7 nM. Purified, adapter-ligated library was 710 amplified for a total of 11 cycles following the manufacturer's protocol. The final libraries 711 were pooled and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (University of Colorado 712 Genomics Core) as 150 bp paired-end reads.

713

714 <u>CUT&RUN</u>

Libraries were prepared from treatment replicates. Approximately 5x10⁵ viable cells were used for each CUT&RUN reaction, and pulldowns were generated following the protocol from ⁹¹. All buffers were prepared according to the "High Ca²⁺/Low Salt" method using 718 digitonin at a final concentration of 0.05%. The following antibodies were used at the noted 719 dilutions: rabbit anti-mouse IgG (1:100), rabbit anti-H3K27ac (1:100). pA-MNase (gift from 720 Steven Henikoff) was added to each sample following primary antibody incubation at a final 721 concentration of 700 ng/mL. Chromatin digestion, release, and extraction was carried out 722 according to the standard protocol. Sequencing libraries were generated using the KAPA 723 BioSystems HyperPrep Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol with the following 724 modifications: Freshly diluted KAPA BioSystems single-index adapters were added to each 725 library at a final concentration of 9 nM. Adapter-ligated libraries underwent a double-sided 726 0.8X/1.0X cleanup using KAPA BioSystems Pure Beads. Purified, adapter-ligated libraries 727 were amplified using the following PCR cycling conditions: 45 s at 98°C, 14x(15 s at 98°C, 728 10 s at 60°C), 60 s at 72°C. Amplified libraries underwent two 1X cleanups using Pure 729 Beads. The final libraries were quantified using Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity and 730 TapeStation 4200 HSD5000. Libraries were pooled and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 731 6000 (University of Colorado Genomics Core) as 150 bp paired-end reads.

732

733 Processing of sequencing data

734 Reads obtained from our own datasets and from published studies were reprocessed using 735 a uniform analysis pipeline. FASTQ reads were evaluated using FastQC (v0.11.8) and 736 MultiQC (v1.7), then trimmed using BBDuk/BBMap (v38.05). For ATAC-seq, ChIP-seq, and CUT&RUN datasets, reads were aligned to the hg38 human genome using BWA (v0.7.15) 737 and filtered for uniquely mapping reads (MAPQ > 10) with samtools (v1.10). ChIP-Seg and 738 739 ATAC-seq peak calls and normalized signal coverage bigwig plots were generated using MACS2 (v2.1.1) (with setting --SPMR). CUT&RUN peak calls were generated using MACS2 740 741 in paired-end mode using a relaxed p-value threshold without background normalization (--742 format BAMPE --pvalue 0.01 --SPMR -B --call-summits). MACS2 was also run in single-743 end mode with additional parameters --shift -75 and --extsize 150, and Bedtools (v2.28.0) 744 was used to merge peaks across the two modes of peak calling for each sample (bedtools 745 merge with options -c 5 -o max).

746

RNA-seq and PRO-seq reads were aligned to hg38 using hisat2 (v2.1.0) with option --nosoftclip and filtered for uniquely mapping reads with samtools for MAPQ > 10. Bigwig tracks
were generated using the bamCoverage function of deepTools (v3.0.1), with CPM

normalization (ignoring chrX and chrM) and bin size 1bp. Some datasets from TCGA,
 ENCODE, Cistrome DB and the CEMT Canadian Epigenomes Project were downloaded as
 post-processed peaks and bigwig files.

753

754 <u>TE colocalization analysis</u>

755 To determine TE subfamily enrichment within regulatory regions, we used GIGGLE (v0.6.3) 756 ⁹² to generate a genomic interval index of all TE subfamilies in the hg38 human genome, 757 based on Dfam v2.0 repeat annotation (n=1315 TE subfamilies). Regulatory regions (e.g., 758 ATAC, ChIP-Seq, or CUT&RUN peaks) were gueried against the TE interval index using the 759 GIGGLE search function (-g 3209286105 -s). Results were ranked by GIGGLE enrichment score, which is a composite of the product of -log10(P value) and log2(odds ratio). 760 761 Significantly enriched TE subfamilies were defined as those with at least 25 overlaps between TE copies and a set of peak regions, odds ratio over 10, and GIGGLE score over 762 763 100 in at least one cancer type.

764

765 <u>Defining cancer-specific regulatory elements</u>

To define cancer-specific regulatory elements, we first obtained aggregate ATAC-seq 766 767 regions associated with each tumor type profiled by The Cancer Genome Atlas ⁹³, which 768 represent a union of recurrent ATAC-seq regions associated with each tumor type. Next, we 769 identified regulatory regions in healthy adult tissues based on chromHMM regulatory regions defined by the Roadmap project. We used healthy adult tissues from categories 1_TssA, 770 771 6 EnhG & 7 Enh. We did not include fetal tissues (e.g. placental tissues, embryonic stem cells, trophoblast stem cells) in our set of Roadmap healthy regulatory regions, due to the 772 773 high levels of basal ERV regulatory activity in these tissues. Finally, cancer-specific regulatory regions were defined using the subtract function of bedtools (option -A) to subtract 774 Roadmap "healthy adult" regulatory regions from each cancer peak set. 775

776

777 <u>Transcription factor motif analyses</u>

Motif analysis of LTR10 elements was performed using the MEME suite (v5.1.0) in
 differential enrichment mode ⁹⁴. Entire LTR10 sequences were used for the motif analysis.
 HCT116 CUT&RUN H3K27ac-marked LTR10A/F sequences (n=144) were used as input
 against a background set of unmarked LTR10A/F sequences (n=561), with default settings

other than the number of motif repetitions (Any) and the number of motifs to find (5). Each discovered motif was searched for similarity to known motifs using the JASPAR 2018 nonredundant DNA database with TomTom (v5.1.0). FIMO (v5.1.0) was then used to extract motif frequency and hq38 genomic coordinates, with p-value threshold set to 1e-4.

786

787 Motif analysis of cancer-specific ATAC-seq peaks from 21 TCGA cancer types was likewise performed using the MEME suite (v5.1.0) ⁹⁴. Cancer-specific peaks for each cancer were 788 789 defined by subtracting away Roadmap regulatory regions from each cancer peak set, as 790 described in the previous section. The number of cancer-specific peaks for each cancer 791 were as follows: ACC (n=8123), BLCA (n=13737), BRCA (n=30494), CESC (n=2449), 792 CHOL (n=3012), COAD (n=9370), ESCA (n=12538), GBM (n=4114), HNSC (n=9441, KIRC 793 (n=4807), KIRP (n=12315), LGG (n=3673), LIHC (n=8469), LUAD (n=16862), LUSC 794 (n=15143), MESO (n=5275), PCPG (n=7891), PRAD (n=12130), SKCM (n=13710), STAD 795 (n=11222), THCA (n=9991). Bedtools (v2.28.0) getfasta was used to convert the BED format 796 peak files to FASTA format, and all nucleotides were converted to uppercase letters. MEME-797 ChIP (v5.1.0) was then run on each cancer-specific FASTA file, with settings -ccut 100 798 (maximum size of a sequence before it is cut down to a centered section), -order 1 (to set 799 the order of the Markov background model that is generated from the sequences), -meme-800 mod anr (to allow any number of motif repetitions), -meme-minw 6 (minimum motif width), -801 meme-maxw 20 (maximum motif width), -meme-nmotifs 10 (maximum number of motifs to 802 find), and the JASPAR 2018 non-redundant motif database. The output from CentriMo was 803 used to obtain the AP1 motif p-value for each cancer type (i.e. adjusted p-value for motif id MA0477.1, alt id FOSL1). 804

805

806 Differential analysis using DESeq2

For RNA-seq samples, gene count tables were generated using featureCounts from the subread (v1.6.2) package with the GENCODE v34 annotation gtf to estimate counts at the gene level, over each exon (including -p to count fragments instead of reads for paired-end reads; -O to assign reads to their overlapping meta-features; -s 2 to specify reversestrandedness; -t exon to specify the feature type; -g gene_id to specify the attribute type).

To quantify TE expression at the subfamily level, RNA-seq samples were first re-aligned to hg38 using hisat2 with -k 100 to allow multi-mapping reads and --no-softclip to disable softclipping of reads. TEtranscripts (v2.1.4) was then used in multi-mapping mode with the GENCODE v34 annotation gtf and hg38 GENCODE TE gtf to assign count values to both genes and TE elements.

818

For H3K27ac CUT&RUN samples, bedtools multicov was used to generate a count table of the number of aligned reads that overlap MACS2-defined peak regions. The top 20,000 peaks were extracted from each sample and merged (using bedtools merge with -d 100) to produce the peak file used as input to bedtools multicov.

823

All count tables were processed with DEseq2 (v1.32.0). Normalized count values were calculated using the default DEseq2 transformation. R packages ggplot2 (v3.3.2), ggrepel (v0.8.2) and apegIm (v1.8.0) were used to visualize differentially expressed genes and TEs. The same DEseq2 analyses were used to identify differentially enriched peak regions between H3K27ac CUT&RUN samples (e.g. in response to MAPK treatment). Significantly differentially enriched regions were queried against the GIGGLE index of human repeats to identify over-represented TE subfamilies.

831

832 Reanalysis of patient-derived bulk RNA-seq tumor/normal colon datasets

BAM files of matched tumor/normal RNA-seq datasets from 38 de-identified patients with 833 834 colon adenocarcinomas were downloaded from TCGA-COAD using the GDC Data Transfer 835 Client with a restricted access token. Each patient had one normal colon sample and one colorectal tumor sample. Gene and TE counts were assigned using TEtranscripts (v2.1.4) 836 837 in multi-mapping mode, as above, with the GENCODE v34 annotation gtf and hg38 GENCODE TE gtf. Count tables were processed using DEseq2 (v1.32.0) and normalized 838 839 calculated the multi-factor count values were using DEseq2 design of ~Patient.ID+Condition, where Condition was either Primary Tumor or Solid Normal Tissue. 840 Potential outliers were identified using principal component analysis based on gene counts 841 842 (e.g. see Supp Fig S2F), but all samples were retained for downstream analysis. R packages 843 ggplot2 (v3.3.2), ggrepel (v0.8.2) and apeglm (v1.8.0) were used to visualize differentially expressed genes and TEs. 844

845

Similarly, in order to perform correlative studies between LTR10 activity and tumor mutations
or patient survival rates, RNA-seq BAM files from 358 patient-derived tumor samples were
obtained from TCGA-COAD controlled access data. The steps above were repeated for
each tumor sample to quantify transcription of LTR10 subfamilies. KRAS mutation status
and survival status for each patient were derived from the TCGA-COAD patient metadata.

851

852 <u>Reanalysis of patient-derived single cell RNA-seq tumor/normal colon datasets</u>

853 Single cell RNA-seg datasets of matched tumor/normal colon from 36 de-identified patients 854 with colon adenocarcinomas from Pelka et al (2021) were downloaded using dbGaP controlled access (phs002407.v1.p1). Only patients with both tumor and adjacent normal 855 856 tissue were analyzed (n=36). Raw FASTQ files for each sample were renamed according to the required Cell Ranger format, then processed with Cell Ranger (v7.0.0) count function 857 858 using default parameters and the Cell Ranger transcriptome for the human reference 859 genome (refdata-gex-GRCh38-2020-A). The resulting BAM files were filtered to remove lines without cell barcodes using samtools (v1.10). scTE (v1.0) was used to remap reads to 860 861 both genes and TEs, using the provided hg38 index and default parameters except for -p 8 862 (number of threads to use), --hdf5 True (to save the output as a .h5ad formatted file), and -863 CB CB -UMI UB (to specify that the BAM file was generated by Cell Ranger, with cell 864 barcodes and UMI integrated into the read 'CB:Z' or 'UB:Z' tag).

865

866 Output h5ad files were processed using Scanpy (v1.9.1) in a customized scRNA-seq workflow. Each patient was processed separately. Cell barcodes were excluded if they 867 satisfied any of the following criteria: (1) fewer than 1200 reads, (2) fewer than 750 genes, 868 869 (3) more than 25% of UMIs mapping to the mitochondrial genome. Genes and TEs were 870 excluded if their expression level was deemed "undetectable", i.e. at least two cells had to 871 contain at least 5 reads from the gene/TE. Tumor and normal samples from the same patient were merged after filtering and quality control, retaining the tissue of origin (T vs N) 872 873 information.

874

For each patient, the filtered and merged data was normalized to 10,000 reads per cell, logtransformed, and then clustered. Dimensionality reduction was performed using principal

component analysis (log=True, n_pcs=40), tSNE (perplexity=30, learning_rate=1000, random_state=0, n_pcs=40), and UMAP (n_neighbors=30, n_pcs=40, min_dist=0.8, spread=1, random_state=0, maxiter=100). Leiden clustering (resolution=0.75) was used to assign cells to clusters, and cell clusters with less than 20 cells were excluded from final UMAP visualizations. Cell types were annotated using the PanglaoDB database ⁹⁵ of gene expression markers, with manual verification.

883

884 <u>Prediction of LTR10 enhancer gene targets</u>

885 LTR10 elements were initially prioritized for CRISPR silencing or deletion based on 886 enhancer predictions from the Activity-by-Contact (ABC) model ⁴⁸. Publicly available HCT116 ATACseq (GEO accession GSM3593802) and in-house HCT116 H3K27ac 887 888 CUT&RUN were used as input to the ABC pipeline, as well as the provided averaged human cell line HiC file. Predicted enhancer regions with an ABC interaction score over 0.001 were 889 890 intersected with H3K27ac-marked LTR10A/F elements. Putative LTR10 enhancers were 891 then checked for proximity (e.g. within 1.5Mb) to FOSL1-regulated genes (i.e. genes that 892 were significantly downregulated by FOSL1 knockdown), or MAPK-regulated genes (i.e. 893 genes that were significantly affected by MAPK treatments Cobimetinib and TNF-alpha, 894 based on inhouse RNAseq).

895

896 Evolutionary analysis of LTR10 sequences

Genomic coordinates of LTR10 elements in the hg38 human genome were obtained from 897 898 Dfam v2.0, based on RepeatMasker v4.0.6 repeat annotation. The nucleotide sequence of 899 each LTR10 element was extracted using the getfasta function from bedtools (using -name+ 900 to include coordinates in the header and -s for strand specificity). VSEARCH (v2.14.1) was 901 used to set a minimum length threshold of 200bp for LTR10 sequences (-sortbylength -902 minseqlength 200), prior to alignment. MUSCLE (v3.8.1551) was used to align the remaining 903 sequences. Jalview (v2.11.1.4) was used to perform a principal component analysis on 904 pairwise similarity scores derived from the multiple sequence alignment.

905

To confirm that LTR10 elements can be uniquely mapped, all individual LTR10A/F sequences were clustered at 99% identity (-qmask none -id 0.99) with VSEARCH (v2.14.1).

No clusters contained more than one sequence, indicating that no identical LTR10A/F copies
exist within the human genome.

910

LTR10 consensus sequences representing each LTR10 subfamily (A-G) were downloaded
from Dfam v2.0. Sequences were concatenated into one FASTA file and aligned using
MUSCLE. FastTree was used to infer a maximum likelihood phylogeny representing the
LTR10 subfamily relationships.

915

The phylogeny of known primate relationships was obtained from TimeTree 96 and the HERV-I insertion estimate was confirmed based on the presence or absence of LTR10 sequences across mammals, using BLAST (v2.7.1+) 97 .

919

920 VNTR identification

- gnomAD (v3.1) VCF files for each hg38 chromosome were filtered for high-confidence indels
 (FILTER=PASS) using the query function of bcftools (v1.8) with format parameter -
- 923 f'%CHROM\t%POS0\t%END\t%ID\t%REF\t%ALT\t%AF\t%TYPE\tFILTER=%FILTER\n'.
- The remaining indels were then subsetted by size to retain insertions or deletions between 10 to 300bp in length. Chromosome VCFs were concatenated into one whole genome BED file. Bedtools (v2.28.0) was used to intersect the indel BED file with LTR10 elements from each subfamily, based on Dfam (v2.0) repeat annotation.
- 928

Indels from additional short- and long-read datasets were likewise filtered by variant type (INS or DEL) and indel length (10-300bp for short reads; 50-300bp for long reads, since the minimum length reported by long-read SV callers is 50bp). Filtered VCFs were then intersected with LTR10 elements using bedtools (v2.28.0). Deletion length versus allele frequency was plotted for each subfamily, for each separate dataset. VNTR regions within LTR10 elements were also intersected with GTEx v8 fine-mapped CAVIAR and DAP-G ciseQTL files ⁹⁸, again using bedtools (v2.28.0).

936

To identify tumor-specific VNTR expansions or contractions, we downloaded a long-read whole-genome nanopore sequencing dataset generated from matched tumor/normal tissues from 20 patients with advanced colorectal adenocarcionomas ⁷⁵. For each sample, we used 940 minimap2 ⁹⁹ (v2.22-r1101) to align reads to the hg38 reference genome, with parameters -941 a to generate output in SAM format, -x map-ont to specify nanopore input reads, -t 4 to set 942 the number of threads to 4, and -Y to use soft clipping for supplementary alignments. We 943 then samtools (v1.10) to generate sorted BAM files, with commands samtools view -bS to 944 convert from SAM to BAM format, samtools sort (default parameters) to sort reads by 945 coordinate, and samtools index (default parameters) to generate a BAM index file for each BAM. We then used Sniffles2 (v2.0.7) ⁷⁶ to identify tumor-specific SVs within LTR10 VNTR 946 947 regions. For each tumor/normal pair, we called SVs using both the default parameters 948 (optimized for germline variants), and then again using the --non-germline parameter for the 949 tumor sample only (optimized for detecting low frequency or mosaic variants). The reference 950 genome was set to hg38 and --tandem-repeats were annotated using the Sniffles-provided 951 human_GRCh38_no_alt_analysis_set.trf.bed file. Sniffles was run with the -snf option to 952 save candidate SVs to the SNF binary file, per sample. For each patient, tumor and normal 953 SNF files were then merged using the Sniffles population verge with --vcf to specify VCF 954 output format. All VCF output files were intersected with LTR10 VNTR regions using 955 bedtools (v2.28.0). For each patient, tumor-specific variants were extracted using the 956 SUPP VEC tag in the INFO field of the output VCFs (i.e. by extracting all SVs with 957 SUPP VEC=01, which signifies absence in the normal sample and presence in the tumor 958 sample). Finally, for each called insertion or deletion, we manually inspected aligned reads 959 using the UCSC genome browser to confirm differences between the tumor and normal 960 samples.

961

962 DATA AVAILABILITY

High-throughput sequencing data (RNA-seq, CUT&RUN) has been deposited in the Gene
Expression Omnibus (GEO) with the accession code GSE186619. GSE IDs of public
datasets used in this study are listed in the figure legends and GitHub repository. The
following databases were also used: Cistrome DB (downloaded Feb 2019),
Roadmap/ENCODE (downloaded Feb 2019), The Cancer Genome Atlas (downloaded Sept
2019), CEMT Canadian Epigenome Project (downloaded July 2020), Dfam 2.0 and gnomAD
v3.1.

970

971 CODE AVAILABILITY

- 972 Source code and workflows are available on GitHub:
- 973 <u>https://github.com/atmaivancevic/ERV_cancer_enhancers</u>
- 974

975 COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT

- 976 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 977

978 **REFERENCES**

- You, J. S. & Jones, P. A. Cancer genetics and epigenetics: two sides of the same
 coin? *Cancer Cell* 22, 9–20 (2012).
- 2. Rheinbay, E., Nielsen, M. M., Abascal, F., Wala, J. A., Shapira, O., Tiao, G.,
- 982 Hornshøj, H., Hess, J. M., Juul, R. I., Lin, Z., Feuerbach, L., Sabarinathan, R.,
- 983 Madsen, T., Kim, J., Mularoni, L., Shuai, S., Lanzós, A., Herrmann, C., Maruvka, Y.
- 984 E., Shen, C., Amin, S. B., Bandopadhayay, P., Bertl, J., Boroevich, K. A., Busanovich,
- 985 J., Carlevaro-Fita, J., Chakravarty, D., Chan, C. W. Y., Craft, D., Dhingra, P.,
- 986 Diamanti, K., Fonseca, N. A., Gonzalez-Perez, A., Guo, Q., Hamilton, M. P.,
- 987 Haradhvala, N. J., Hong, C., Isaev, K., Johnson, T. A., Juul, M., Kahles, A.,
- 988 Kahraman, A., Kim, Y., Komorowski, J., Kumar, K., Kumar, S., Lee, D., Lehmann, K.-
- 989 V., Li, Y., Liu, E. M., Lochovsky, L., Park, K., Pich, O., Roberts, N. D., Saksena, G.,
- 990 Schumacher, S. E., Sidiropoulos, N., Sieverling, L., Sinnott-Armstrong, N., Stewart,
- 991 C., Tamborero, D., Tubio, J. M. C., Umer, H. M., Uusküla-Reimand, L., Wadelius, C.,
- Wadi, L., Yao, X., Zhang, C.-Z., Zhang, J., Haber, J. E., Hobolth, A., Imielinski, M.,
- 893 Kellis, M., Lawrence, M. S., von Mering, C., Nakagawa, H., Raphael, B. J., Rubin, M.
- A., Sander, C., Stein, L. D., Stuart, J. M., Tsunoda, T., Wheeler, D. A., Johnson, R.,
- 895 Reimand, J., Gerstein, M., Khurana, E., Campbell, P. J., López-Bigas, N., PCAWG
- 996 Drivers and Functional Interpretation Working Group, PCAWG Structural Variation

- 997 Working Group, Weischenfeldt, J., Beroukhim, R., Martincorena, I., Pedersen, J. S.,
- 998 Getz, G. & PCAWG Consortium. Analyses of non-coding somatic drivers in 2,658

999 cancer whole genomes. *Nature* **578**, 102–111 (2020).

- 1000 3. Cohen, A. J., Saiakhova, A., Corradin, O., Luppino, J. M., Lovrenert, K., Bartels, C. F.,
- 1001 Morrow, J. J., Mack, S. C., Dhillon, G., Beard, L., Myeroff, L., Kalady, M. F., Willis, J.,
- 1002 Bradner, J. E., Keri, R. A., Berger, N. A., Pruett-Miller, S. M., Markowitz, S. D. &
- Scacheri, P. C. Hotspots of aberrant enhancer activity punctuate the colorectal cancer
 epigenome. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 14400 (2017).
- 1005 4. Chapuy, B., McKeown, M. R., Lin, C. Y., Monti, S., Roemer, M. G. M., Qi, J., Rahl, P.
- 1006 B., Sun, H. H., Yeda, K. T., Doench, J. G., Reichert, E., Kung, A. L., Rodig, S. J.,
- 1007 Young, R. A., Shipp, M. A. & Bradner, J. E. Discovery and characterization of super-
- 1008 enhancer-associated dependencies in diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Cancer Cell* **24**,

1009 777–790 (2013).

- 1010 5. Roe, J.-S., Hwang, C.-I., Somerville, T. D. D., Milazzo, J. P., Lee, E. J., Da Silva, B.,
- 1011 Maiorino, L., Tiriac, H., Young, C. M., Miyabayashi, K., Filippini, D., Creighton, B.,
- 1012 Burkhart, R. A., Buscaglia, J. M., Kim, E. J., Grem, J. L., Lazenby, A. J.,
- 1013 Grunkemeyer, J. A., Hollingsworth, M. A., Grandgenett, P. M., Egeblad, M., Park, Y.,
- 1014 Tuveson, D. A. & Vakoc, C. R. Enhancer Reprogramming Promotes Pancreatic
- 1015 Cancer Metastasis. *Cell* **170**, 875–888.e20 (2017).
- 1016 6. Hnisz, D., Schuijers, J., Lin, C. Y., Weintraub, A. S., Abraham, B. J., Lee, T. I.,
- 1017 Bradner, J. E. & Young, R. A. Convergence of developmental and oncogenic signaling
- 1018 pathways at transcriptional super-enhancers. *Mol. Cell* **58**, 362–370 (2015).
- 1019 7. Bradner, J. E., Hnisz, D. & Young, R. A. Transcriptional Addiction in Cancer. *Cell* 168,
 1020 629–643 (2017).

- 1021 8. Sur, I. & Taipale, J. The role of enhancers in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 16, 483–493
 1022 (2016).
- 1023 9. Flavahan, W. A., Gaskell, E. & Bernstein, B. E. Epigenetic plasticity and the hallmarks
 1024 of cancer. *Science* **357**, (2017).
- 1025 10. Jansz, N. & Faulkner, G. J. Endogenous retroviruses in the origins and treatment of 1026 cancer. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 147 (2021).
- 1027 11. Kong, Y., Rose, C. M., Cass, A. A., Williams, A. G., Darwish, M., Lianoglou, S.,
- Haverty, P. M., Tong, A.-J., Blanchette, C., Albert, M. L., Mellman, I., Bourgon, R.,
- 1029 Greally, J., Jhunjhunwala, S. & Chen-Harris, H. Transposable element expression in
- 1030 tumors is associated with immune infiltration and increased antigenicity. *Nat.*
- 1031 *Commun.* **10**, 5228 (2019).
- 1032 12. Shukla, R., Upton, K. R., Muñoz-Lopez, M., Gerhardt, D. J., Fisher, M. E., Nguyen, T.,
- Brennan, P. M., Baillie, J. K., Collino, A., Ghisletti, S., Sinha, S., Iannelli, F., Radaelli,
- 1034 E., Dos Santos, A., Rapoud, D., Guettier, C., Samuel, D., Natoli, G., Carninci, P.,
- 1035 Ciccarelli, F. D., Garcia-Perez, J. L., Faivre, J. & Faulkner, G. J. Endogenous
- 1036 retrotransposition activates oncogenic pathways in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell*
- 1037 **153**, 101–111 (2013).
- 1038 13. Rodriguez-Martin, B., Alvarez, E. G., Baez-Ortega, A., Zamora, J., Supek, F.,
- 1039 Demeulemeester, J., Santamarina, M., Ju, Y. S., Temes, J., Garcia-Souto, D.,
- 1040 Detering, H., Li, Y., Rodriguez-Castro, J., Dueso-Barroso, A., Bruzos, A. L., Dentro, S.
- 1041 C., Blanco, M. G., Contino, G., Ardeljan, D., Tojo, M., Roberts, N. D., Zumalave, S.,
- 1042 Edwards, P. A. W., Weischenfeldt, J., Puiggròs, M., Chong, Z., Chen, K., Lee, E. A.,
- 1043 Wala, J. A., Raine, K., Butler, A., Waszak, S. M., Navarro, F. C. P., Schumacher, S.
- 1044 E., Monlong, J., Maura, F., Bolli, N., Bourque, G., Gerstein, M., Park, P. J., Wedge, D.

1045		C., Beroukhim, R., Torrents, D., Korbel, J. O., Martincorena, I., Fitzgerald, R. C., Van
1046		Loo, P., Kazazian, H. H., Burns, K. H., PCAWG Structural Variation Working Group,
1047		Campbell, P. J., Tubio, J. M. C. & PCAWG Consortium. Pan-cancer analysis of whole
1048		genomes identifies driver rearrangements promoted by LINE-1 retrotransposition. Nat.
1049		Genet. 52, 306–319 (2020).
1050	14.	Rodić, N., Sharma, R., Sharma, R., Zampella, J., Dai, L., Taylor, M. S., Hruban, R. H.,
1051		Iacobuzio-Donahue, C. A., Maitra, A., Torbenson, M. S., Goggins, M., Shih, IM.,
1052		Duffield, A. S., Montgomery, E. A., Gabrielson, E., Netto, G. J., Lotan, T. L., De
1053		Marzo, A. M., Westra, W., Binder, Z. A., Orr, B. A., Gallia, G. L., Eberhart, C. G.,
1054		Boeke, J. D., Harris, C. R. & Burns, K. H. Long interspersed element-1 protein
1055		expression is a hallmark of many human cancers. Am. J. Pathol. 184, 1280–1286
1056		(2014).
1057	15.	Babaian, A. & Mager, D. L. Endogenous retroviral promoter exaptation in human
1058		cancer. <i>Mob. DNA</i> 7 , 24 (2016).
1059	16.	Yu, C., Lei, X., Chen, F., Mao, S., Lv, L., Liu, H., Hu, X., Wang, R., Shen, L., Zhang,
1060		N., Meng, Y., Shen, Y., Chen, J., Li, P., Huang, S., Lin, C., Zhang, Z. & Yuan, K.
1061		ARID1A loss derepresses a group of human endogenous retrovirus-H loci to modulate
1062		BRD4-dependent transcription. Nat. Commun. 13, 3501 (2022).
1063	17.	Babaian, A., Romanish, M. T., Gagnier, L., Kuo, L. Y., Karimi, M. M., Steidl, C. &
1064		Mager, D. L. Onco-exaptation of an endogenous retroviral LTR drives IRF5

- 1065 expression in Hodgkin lymphoma. Oncogene **35**, 2542–2546 (2016).
- 1066 18. Lamprecht, B., Walter, K., Kreher, S., Kumar, R., Hummel, M., Lenze, D., Köchert, K.,
- 1067 Bouhlel, M. A., Richter, J., Soler, E., Stadhouders, R., Jöhrens, K., Wurster, K. D.,
- 1068 Callen, D. F., Harte, M. F., Giefing, M., Barlow, R., Stein, H., Anagnostopoulos, I.,

1069	Janz. M.,	Cockerill. P.	N	Siebert.	R	Dörken.	В	Bonifer.	C. &	Mathas.	S.
			,		,						

- 1070 Derepression of an endogenous long terminal repeat activates the CSF1R proto-
- 1071 oncogene in human lymphoma. *Nat. Med.* **16**, 571–579 (2010).
- 1072 19. Edginton-White, B., Cauchy, P., Assi, S. A., Hartmann, S., Riggs, A. G., Mathas, S.,
- 1073 Cockerill, P. N. & Bonifer, C. Global long terminal repeat activation participates in
- 1074 establishing the unique gene expression programme of classical Hodgkin lymphoma.
- 1075 *Leukemia* **33**, 1463–1474 (2019).
- 1076 20. Jang, H. S., Shah, N. M., Du, A. Y., Dailey, Z. Z., Pehrsson, E. C., Godoy, P. M.,
- 1077 Zhang, D., Li, D., Xing, X., Kim, S., O'Donnell, D., Gordon, J. I. & Wang, T.
- 1078 Transposable elements drive widespread expression of oncogenes in human cancers.
- 1079 *Nature Genetics* **51**, 611–617 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0373-3
- 1080 (2019)
- 1081 21. Attig, J., Pape, J., Doglio, L., Kazachenka, A., Ottina, E., Young, G. R., Enfield, K. S.,
- 1082 Aramburu, I. V., Ng, K. W., Faulkner, N., Bolland, W., Papayannopoulos, V., Swanton,
- 1083 C. & Kassiotis, G. Human endogenous retrovirus onco-exaptation counters cancer cell
- senescence through Calbindin. J. Clin. Invest. (2023). doi:10.1172/JCI164397
- 1085 22. Wang, T., Zeng, J., Lowe, C. B., Sellers, R. G., Salama, S. R., Yang, M., Burgess, S.
- 1086 M., Brachmann, R. K. & Haussler, D. Species-specific endogenous retroviruses shape
- 1087 the transcriptional network of the human tumor suppressor protein p53. *Proc. Natl.*
- 1088 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **104**, 18613–18618 (2007).
- 1089 23. Sundaram, V., Cheng, Y., Ma, Z., Li, D., Xing, X., Edge, P., Snyder, M. P. & Wang, T.
- 1090 Widespread contribution of transposable elements to the innovation of gene regulatory
- 1091 networks. *Genome Research* **24**, 1963–1976 Preprint at
- 1092 https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.168872.113 (2014)

- 1093 24. Jacques, P.-É., Jeyakani, J. & Bourque, G. The majority of primate-specific regulatory
 1094 sequences are derived from transposable elements. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003504
 1095 (2013).
- 1096 25. Deniz, Ö., Ahmed, M., Todd, C. D., Rio-Machin, A., Dawson, M. A. & Branco, M. R.
- 1097 Endogenous retroviruses are a source of enhancers with oncogenic potential in acute 1098 myeloid leukaemia. *Nat. Commun.* **11,** 3506 (2020).
- 1099 26. Grillo, G., Keshavarzian, T., Linder, S., Arlidge, C., Mout, L., Nand, A., Teng, M.,
- 1100 Qamra, A., Zhou, S., Kron, K. J., Murison, A., Hawley, J. R., Fraser, M., van der
- 1101 Kwast, T. H., Raj, G. V., He, H. H., Zwart, W. & Lupien, M. Transposable elements are
- 1102 co-opted as oncogenic regulatory elements by lineage-specific transcription factors in
- 1103 prostate cancer. *Cancer Discov.* (2023). doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-23-0331
- 1104 27. Corces, M. R., Granja, J. M., Shams, S., Louie, B. H., Seoane, J. A., Zhou, W., Silva,
- 1105 T. C., Groeneveld, C., Wong, C. K., Cho, S. W., Satpathy, A. T., Mumbach, M. R.,
- 1106 Hoadley, K. A., Robertson, A. G., Sheffield, N. C., Felau, I., Castro, M. A. A., Berman,
- 1107 B. P., Staudt, L. M., Zenklusen, J. C., Laird, P. W., Curtis, C., Cancer Genome Atlas
- 1108 Analysis Network, Greenleaf, W. J. & Chang, H. Y. The chromatin accessibility
- 1109 landscape of primary human cancers. *Science* **362**, (2018).
- 1110 28. Roadmap Epigenomics Consortium, Kundaje, A., Meuleman, W., Ernst, J., Bilenky,
- 1111 M., Yen, A., Heravi-Moussavi, A., Kheradpour, P., Zhang, Z., Wang, J., Ziller, M. J.,
- 1112 Amin, V., Whitaker, J. W., Schultz, M. D., Ward, L. D., Sarkar, A., Quon, G.,
- 1113 Sandstrom, R. S., Eaton, M. L., Wu, Y.-C., Pfenning, A. R., Wang, X., Claussnitzer,
- 1114 M., Liu, Y., Coarfa, C., Harris, R. A., Shoresh, N., Epstein, C. B., Gjoneska, E., Leung,
- 1115 D., Xie, W., Hawkins, R. D., Lister, R., Hong, C., Gascard, P., Mungall, A. J., Moore,
- 1116 R., Chuah, E., Tam, A., Canfield, T. K., Hansen, R. S., Kaul, R., Sabo, P. J., Bansal,

1117 M.S.	, Carles, J	A., Dixon	, J. R.,	Farh,	KH.	, Feizi,	S.,	Karlic.	. R.	, Kim	, AR.	Kulkarni
	1 1	-				- 1						

- A., Li, D., Lowdon, R., Elliott, G., Mercer, T. R., Neph, S. J., Onuchic, V., Polak, P.,
- 1119 Rajagopal, N., Ray, P., Sallari, R. C., Siebenthall, K. T., Sinnott-Armstrong, N. A.,
- 1120 Stevens, M., Thurman, R. E., Wu, J., Zhang, B., Zhou, X., Beaudet, A. E., Boyer, L.
- A., De Jager, P. L., Farnham, P. J., Fisher, S. J., Haussler, D., Jones, S. J. M., Li, W.,
- 1122 Marra, M. A., McManus, M. T., Sunyaev, S., Thomson, J. A., Tlsty, T. D., Tsai, L.-H.,
- 1123 Wang, W., Waterland, R. A., Zhang, M. Q., Chadwick, L. H., Bernstein, B. E., Costello,
- J. F., Ecker, J. R., Hirst, M., Meissner, A., Milosavljevic, A., Ren, B.,
- 1125 Stamatoyannopoulos, J. A., Wang, T. & Kellis, M. Integrative analysis of 111
- 1126 reference human epigenomes. *Nature* **518**, 317–330 (2015).
- 1127 29. Akhtar-Zaidi, B., Cowper-Sal-Iari, R., Corradin, O., Saiakhova, A., Bartels, C. F.,
- Balasubramanian, D., Myeroff, L., Lutterbaugh, J., Jarrar, A., Kalady, M. F., Willis, J.,
- Moore, J. H., Tesar, P. J., Laframboise, T., Markowitz, S., Lupien, M. & Scacheri, P.
- 1130 C. Epigenomic enhancer profiling defines a signature of colon cancer. *Science* 336,
 1131 736–739 (2012).
- 1132 30. Baranello, L., Wojtowicz, D., Cui, K., Devaiah, B. N., Chung, H.-J., Chan-Salis, K. Y.,
- 1133 Guha, R., Wilson, K., Zhang, X., Zhang, H., Piotrowski, J., Thomas, C. J., Singer, D.
- 1134 S., Pugh, B. F., Pommier, Y., Przytycka, T. M., Kouzine, F., Lewis, B. A., Zhao, K. &
- 1135 Levens, D. RNA Polymerase II Regulates Topoisomerase 1 Activity to Favor Efficient
- 1136 Transcription. *Cell* **165**, 357–371 (2016).
- 1137 31. Zheng, R., Wan, C., Mei, S., Qin, Q., Wu, Q., Sun, H., Chen, C.-H., Brown, M., Zhang,
- 1138 X., Meyer, C. A. & Liu, X. S. Cistrome Data Browser: expanded datasets and new
- tools for gene regulatory analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, D729–D735 (2019).
- 1140 32. Grow, E. J., Weaver, B. D., Smith, C. M., Guo, J., Stein, P., Shadle, S. C.,

- Hendrickson, P. G., Johnson, N. E., Butterfield, R. J., Menafra, R., Kloet, S. L., van
- der Maarel, S. M., Williams, C. J. & Cairns, B. R. p53 convergently activates
- 1143 Dux/DUX4 in embryonic stem cells and in facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy
- 1144 cell models. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1207–1220 (2021).
- 1145 33. Imbeault, M., Helleboid, P.-Y. & Trono, D. KRAB zinc-finger proteins contribute to the 1146 evolution of gene regulatory networks. *Nature* **543**, 550–554 (2017).
- 1147 34. Bernstein, B. E., Stamatoyannopoulos, J. A., Costello, J. F., Ren, B., Milosavljevic, A.,
- Meissner, A., Kellis, M., Marra, M. A., Beaudet, A. L., Ecker, J. R., Farnham, P. J.,
- 1149 Hirst, M., Lander, E. S., Mikkelsen, T. S. & Thomson, J. A. The NIH Roadmap
- 1150 Epigenomics Mapping Consortium. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **28**, 1045–1048 (2010).
- 1151 35. ENCODE Project Consortium. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the
 1152 human genome. *Nature* 489, 57–74 (2012).
- 1153 36. Lister, R., Pelizzola, M., Dowen, R. H., Hawkins, R. D., Hon, G., Tonti-Filippini, J.,
- 1154 Nery, J. R., Lee, L., Ye, Z., Ngo, Q.-M., Edsall, L., Antosiewicz-Bourget, J., Stewart,
- 1155 R., Ruotti, V., Millar, A. H., Thomson, J. A., Ren, B. & Ecker, J. R. Human DNA
- 1156 methylomes at base resolution show widespread epigenomic differences. *Nature* **462**,
- 1157 315–322 (2009).
- 1158 37. Bruno, M., Mahgoub, M. & Macfarlan, T. S. The Arms Race Between KRAB–Zinc
- 1159 Finger Proteins and Endogenous Retroelements and Its Impact on Mammals. (2019).
- 1160 doi:10.1146/annurev-genet-112618-043717
- 38. Orouji, E., Raman, A. T., Singh, A. K., Sorokin, A., Arslan, E., Ghosh, A. K., Schulz, J.,
 Terranova, C., Jiang, S., Tang, M., Maitituoheti, M., Callahan, S. C., Barrodia, P.,
- 1163 Tomczak, K., Jiang, Y., Jiang, Z., Davis, J. S., Ghosh, S., Lee, H. M., Reyes-Uribe, L.,
- 1164 Chang, K., Liu, Y., Chen, H., Azhdarinia, A., Morris, J., Vilar, E., Carmon, K. S.,

1165	Kopetz, S. E. & Rai, K. Chromatin state dynamics confers specific therapeutic
1166	strategies in enhancer subtypes of colorectal cancer. Gut (2021). doi:10.1136/gutjnl-
1167	2020-322835

- 1168 39. Bujold, D., Morais, D. A. de L., Gauthier, C., Côté, C., Caron, M., Kwan, T., Chen, K.
- 1169 C., Laperle, J., Markovits, A. N., Pastinen, T., Caron, B., Veilleux, A., Jacques, P.-É. &
- 1170 Bourque, G. The International Human Epigenome Consortium Data Portal. *Cell Syst*
- 1171 **3,** 496–499.e2 (2016).
- 40. Pelka, K., Hofree, M., Chen, J. H., Sarkizova, S., Pirl, J. D., Jorgji, V., Bejnood, A.,
- 1173 Dionne, D., Ge, W. H., Xu, K. H., Chao, S. X., Zollinger, D. R., Lieb, D. J., Reeves, J.
- 1174 W., Fuhrman, C. A., Hoang, M. L., Delorey, T., Nguyen, L. T., Waldman, J., Klapholz,
- 1175 M., Wakiro, I., Cohen, O., Albers, J., Smillie, C. S., Cuoco, M. S., Wu, J., Su, M.-J.,
- 1176 Yeung, J., Vijaykumar, B., Magnuson, A. M., Asinovski, N., Moll, T., Goder-Reiser, M.
- 1177 N., Applebaum, A. S., Brais, L. K., DelloStritto, L. K., Denning, S. L., Phillips, S. T.,
- Hill, E. K., Meehan, J. K., Frederick, D. T., Sharova, T., Kanodia, A., Todres, E. Z.,
- Jané-Valbuena, J., Biton, M., Izar, B., Lambden, C. D., Clancy, T. E., Bleday, R.,
- 1180 Melnitchouk, N., Irani, J., Kunitake, H., Berger, D. L., Srivastava, A., Hornick, J. L.,
- 1181 Ogino, S., Rotem, A., Vigneau, S., Johnson, B. E., Corcoran, R. B., Sharpe, A. H.,
- 1182 Kuchroo, V. K., Ng, K., Giannakis, M., Nieman, L. T., Boland, G. M., Aguirre, A. J.,
- 1183 Anderson, A. C., Rozenblatt-Rosen, O., Regev, A. & Hacohen, N. Spatially organized
- 1184 multicellular immune hubs in human colorectal cancer. *Cell* **184**, 4734–4752.e20
- 1185 (2021).
- 1186 41. He, J., Babarinde, I. A., Sun, L., Xu, S., Chen, R., Shi, J., Wei, Y., Li, Y., Ma, G.,
- 1187 Zhuang, Q., Hutchins, A. P. & Chen, J. Identifying transposable element expression
- 1188 dynamics and heterogeneity during development at the single-cell level with a

- 1189 processing pipeline scTE. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1456 (2021).
- 1190 42. Zhu, G., Pei, L., Xia, H., Tang, Q. & Bi, F. Role of oncogenic KRAS in the prognosis,
- diagnosis and treatment of colorectal cancer. *Mol. Cancer* **20**, 143 (2021).
- 43. Wagner, E. F. & Nebreda, A. R. Signal integration by JNK and p38 MAPK pathways in
 cancer development. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 9, 537–549 (2009).
- 1194 44. Yeo, N. C., Chavez, A., Lance-Byrne, A., Chan, Y., Menn, D., Milanova, D., Kuo, C.-
- 1195 C., Guo, X., Sharma, S., Tung, A., Cecchi, R. J., Tuttle, M., Pradhan, S., Lim, E. T.,
- 1196 Davidsohn, N., Ebrahimkhani, M. R., Collins, J. J., Lewis, N. E., Kiani, S. & Church, G.
- 1197 M. An enhanced CRISPR repressor for targeted mammalian gene regulation. *Nat.*
- 1198 *Methods* **15**, 611–616 (2018).
- 1199 45. Jin, Y., Tam, O. H., Paniagua, E. & Hammell, M. TEtranscripts: a package for
- including transposable elements in differential expression analysis of RNA-seq
 datasets. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3593–3599 (2015).
- 1202 46. Rahnamoun, H., Lu, H., Duttke, S. H., Benner, C., Glass, C. K. & Lauberth, S. M.
- 1203 Mutant p53 shapes the enhancer landscape of cancer cells in response to chronic 1204 immune signaling. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 754 (2017).
- 47. Koul, H. K., Pal, M. & Koul, S. Role of p38 MAP Kinase Signal Transduction in Solid
 Tumors. *Genes Cancer* (2013). doi:10.1177/1947601913507951
- 1207 48. Fulco, C. P., Nasser, J., Jones, T. R., Munson, G., Bergman, D. T., Subramanian, V.,
- 1208 Grossman, S. R., Anyoha, R., Doughty, B. R., Patwardhan, T. A., Nguyen, T. H.,
- 1209 Kane, M., Perez, E. M., Durand, N. C., Lareau, C. A., Stamenova, E. K., Aiden, E. L.,
- 1210 Lander, E. S. & Engreitz, J. M. Activity-by-contact model of enhancer-promoter
- regulation from thousands of CRISPR perturbations. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 1664–1669
- 1212 (2019).

- 1213 49. Haller, M., Hock, A. K., Giampazolias, E., Oberst, A., Green, D. R., Debnath, J., Ryan,
- 1214 K. M., Vousden, K. H. & Tait, S. W. G. Ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of
- 1215 ATG12 regulates its proapoptotic activity. *Autophagy* **10**, 2269–2278 (2014).
- 1216 50. Rubinstein, A. D., Eisenstein, M., Ber, Y., Bialik, S. & Kimchi, A. The autophagy
- 1217 protein Atg12 associates with antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family members to promote
- 1218 mitochondrial apoptosis. *Mol. Cell* **44**, 698–709 (2011).
- 1219 51. Radoshevich, L., Murrow, L., Chen, N., Fernandez, E., Roy, S., Fung, C. & Debnath,
- 1220 J. ATG12 conjugation to ATG3 regulates mitochondrial homeostasis and cell death.
- 1221 *Cell* **142**, 590–600 (2010).
- 1222 52. Hanada, T., Noda, N. N., Satomi, Y., Ichimura, Y., Fujioka, Y., Takao, T., Inagaki, F. &
- Ohsumi, Y. The Atg12-Atg5 Conjugate Has a Novel E3-like Activity for Protein
 Lipidation in Autophagy *. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 37298–37302 (2007).
- 1225 53. Hu, J. L., He, G. Y., Lan, X. L., Zeng, Z. C., Guan, J., Ding, Y., Qian, X. L., Liao, W. T.,
- Ding, Y. Q. & Liang, L. Inhibition of ATG12-mediated autophagy by miR-214 enhances
 radiosensitivity in colorectal cancer. *Oncogenesis* 7, 16 (2018).
- 1228 54. YiRen, H., YingCong, Y., Sunwu, Y., Keqin, L., Xiaochun, T., Senrui, C., Ende, C.,
- 1229 XiZhou, L. & Yanfan, C. Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates autophagy
- associated chemoresistance via miR-23b-3p sequestration in gastric cancer. *Mol.*
- 1231 *Cancer* **16**, 174 (2017).
- 1232 55. Zheng, Z., Ng, W. L., Zhang, X., Olson, J. J., Hao, C., Curran, W. J. & Wang, Y. RNAi-
- mediated targeting of noncoding and coding sequences in DNA repair gene messages
 efficiently radiosensitizes human tumor cells. *Cancer Res.* 72, 1221–1228 (2012).
- 1235 56. Xu, M., Huang, X., Zheng, C., Long, J., Dai, Q., Chen, Y., Lu, J., Pan, C., Yao, S. & Li,
- J. Platinum-Resistant Ovarian Cancer Is Vulnerable to the cJUN-XRCC4 Pathway

1237 Inhibition. *Cancers* **14**, (2022).

- 1238 57. Zeng, A., Wei, Z., Yan, W., Yin, J., Huang, X., Zhou, X., Li, R., Shen, F., Wu, W.,
- 1239 Wang, X. & You, Y. Exosomal transfer of miR-151a enhances chemosensitivity to
- 1240 temozolomide in drug-resistant glioblastoma. *Cancer Lett.* **436**, 10–21 (2018).
- 1241 58. Goldstein, M. & Kastan, M. B. The DNA damage response: implications for tumor
- responses to radiation and chemotherapy. *Annu. Rev. Med.* **66**, 129–143 (2015).
- 1243 59. Gao, Y., Ferguson, D. O., Xie, W., Manis, J. P., Sekiguchi, J., Frank, K. M.,
- 1244 Chaudhuri, J., Horner, J., DePinho, R. A. & Alt, F. W. Interplay of p53 and DNA-repair
- 1245 protein XRCC4 in tumorigenesis, genomic stability and development. *Nature* **404**,

1246 897–900 (2000).

- 1247 60. Yeung, T.-L., Leung, C. S., Wong, K.-K., Samimi, G., Thompson, M. S., Liu, J., Zaid,
- 1248 T. M., Ghosh, S., Birrer, M. J. & Mok, S. C. TGF-β modulates ovarian cancer invasion
- by upregulating CAF-derived versican in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Res.*
- 1250 **73**, 5016–5028 (2013).
- 1251 61. Papadas, A., Arauz, G., Cicala, A., Wiesner, J. & Asimakopoulos, F. Versican and
- 1252 Versican-matrikines in Cancer Progression, Inflammation, and Immunity. J.
- 1253 *Histochem. Cytochem.* **68**, 871–885 (2020).
- 1254 62. Zhang, Y., Zou, X., Qian, W., Weng, X., Zhang, L., Zhang, L., Wang, S., Cao, X., Ma,
- 1255 L., Wei, G., Wu, Y. & Hou, Z. Enhanced PAPSS2/VCAN sulfation axis is essential for
- Snail-mediated breast cancer cell migration and metastasis. *Cell Death Differ.* 26,
 565–579 (2019).
- 1258 63. Domenzain-Reyna, C., Hernández, D., Miquel-Serra, L., Docampo, M. J., Badenas,
- 1259 C., Fabra, A. & Bassols, A. Structure and regulation of the versican promoter: the
- 1260 versican promoter is regulated by AP-1 and TCF transcription factors in invasive

1261	human melanoma cells. <i>J. Biol. Chem.</i> 284, 12306–12317 (2009).
1262	64. Li, Z., Otevrel, T., Gao, Y., Cheng, H. L., Seed, B., Stamato, T. D., Taccioli, G. E. &
1263	Alt, F. W. The XRCC4 gene encodes a novel protein involved in DNA double-strand
1264	break repair and V(D)J recombination. Cell 83, 1079–1089 (1995).

- 65. Critchlow, S. E., Bowater, R. P. & Jackson, S. P. Mammalian DNA double-strand
 break repair protein XRCC4 interacts with DNA ligase IV. *Curr. Biol.* 7, 588–598
- 1267 (1997).
- 1268 66. Katsube, T., Mori, M., Tsuji, H., Shiomi, T., Shiomi, N. & Onoda, M. Differences in
- 1269 sensitivity to DNA-damaging Agents between XRCC4- and Artemis-deficient human
- 1270 cells. J. Radiat. Res. **52**, 415–424 (2011).
- 1271 67. Wen, Y., Dai, G., Wang, L., Fu, K. & Zuo, S. Silencing of XRCC4 increases
 1272 radiosensitivity of triple-negative breast cancer cells. *Biosci. Rep.* **39**, (2019).
- 1273 68. Leu, J.-D., Wang, B.-S., Chiu, S.-J., Chang, C.-Y., Chen, C.-C., Chen, F.-D., Avirmed,
- 1274 S. & Lee, Y.-J. Combining fisetin and ionizing radiation suppresses the growth of
- mammalian colorectal cancers in xenograft tumor models. *Oncol. Lett.* **12**, 4975–4982
 (2016).
- 1277 69. Ortmann, J., Rampášek, L., Tai, E., Mer, A. S., Shi, R., Stewart, E. L., Mascaux, C.,
- 1278 Fares, A., Pham, N.-A., Beri, G., Eeles, C., Tkachuk, D., Ho, C., Sakashita, S., Weiss,
- J., Jiang, X., Liu, G., Cescon, D. W., O'Brien, C. A., Guo, S., Tsao, M.-S., Haibe-
- 1280 Kains, B. & Goldenberg, A. Assessing therapy response in patient-derived xenografts.
- 1281 Sci. Transl. Med. **13**, eabf4969 (2021).
- 1282 70. Karczewski, K. J., Francioli, L. C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B. B., Alföldi, J., Wang, Q.,
- 1283 Collins, R. L., Laricchia, K. M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D. P., Gauthier, L. D., Brand, H.,
- 1284 Solomonson, M., Watts, N. A., Rhodes, D., Singer-Berk, M., England, E. M., Seaby, E.

1285		G., Kosmicki, J. A., Walters, R. K., Tashman, K., Farjoun, Y., Banks, E., Poterba, T.,
1286		Wang, A., Seed, C., Whiffin, N., Chong, J. X., Samocha, K. E., Pierce-Hoffman, E.,
1287		Zappala, Z., O'Donnell-Luria, A. H., Minikel, E. V., Weisburd, B., Lek, M., Ware, J. S.,
1288		Vittal, C., Armean, I. M., Bergelson, L., Cibulskis, K., Connolly, K. M., Covarrubias, M.,
1289		Donnelly, S., Ferriera, S., Gabriel, S., Gentry, J., Gupta, N., Jeandet, T., Kaplan, D.,
1290		Llanwarne, C., Munshi, R., Novod, S., Petrillo, N., Roazen, D., Ruano-Rubio, V.,
1291		Saltzman, A., Schleicher, M., Soto, J., Tibbetts, K., Tolonen, C., Wade, G., Talkowski,
1292		M. E., Genome Aggregation Database Consortium, Neale, B. M., Daly, M. J. &
1293		MacArthur, D. G. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in
1294		141,456 humans. <i>Nature</i> 581, 434–443 (2020).
1295	71.	Gymrek, M. A genomic view of short tandem repeats. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 44, 9-
1296		16 (2017).
1297	72.	Audano, P. A., Sulovari, A., Graves-Lindsay, T. A., Cantsilieris, S., Sorensen, M.,
1298		Welch, A. E., Dougherty, M. L., Nelson, B. J., Shah, A., Dutcher, S. K., Warren, W. C.,
1299		Magrini, V., McGrath, S. D., Li, Y. I., Wilson, R. K. & Eichler, E. E. Characterizing the
1300		Major Structural Variant Alleles of the Human Genome. Cell 176, 663-675.e19 (2019).
1301	73.	Quan, C., Li, Y., Liu, X., Wang, Y., Ping, J., Lu, Y. & Zhou, G. Characterization of
1302		structural variation in Tibetans reveals new evidence of high-altitude adaptation and
1303		introgression. Genome Biol. 22, 159 (2021).
1304	74.	van Bree, E. J., Guimarães, R. L. F. P., Lundberg, M., Blujdea, E. R., Rosenkrantz, J.
1305		L., White, F. T. G., Poppinga, J., Ferrer-Raventós, P., Schneider, AF. E., Clayton, I.,
1306		Haussler, D., Reinders, M. J. T., Holstege, H., Ewing, A. D., Moses, C. & Jacobs, F.
1307		M. J. A hidden layer of structural variation in transposable elements reveals potential
1308		genetic modifiers in human disease-risk loci. Genome Res. 32, 656–670 (2022).

50

- 1309 75. Xu, L., Wang, X., Lu, X., Liang, F., Liu, Z., Zhang, H., Li, X., Tian, S., Wang, L. &
- 1310 Wang, Z. Long-read sequencing identifies novel structural variations in colorectal

1311 cancer. *PLoS Genet.* **19**, e1010514 (2023).

- 1312 76. Smolka, M., Paulin, L. F., Grochowski, C. M., Horner, D. W., Mahmoud, M., Behera,
- 1313 S., Kalef-Ezra, E., Gandhi, M., Hong, K., Pehlivan, D., Scholz, S. W., Carvalho, C. M.
- 1314 B., Proukakis, C. & Sedlazeck, F. J. Comprehensive Structural Variant Detection:
- 1315 From Mosaic to Population-Level. *bioRxiv* 2022.04.04.487055 (2023).
- 1316 doi:10.1101/2022.04.04.487055
- 1317 77. Karttunen, K., Patel, D., Xia, J., Fei, L., Palin, K., Aaltonen, L. & Sahu, B.
- 1318 Transposable elements as tissue-specific enhancers in cancers of endodermal
- 1319 lineage. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 5313 (2023).
- 1320 78. Frost, J. M., Amante, S. M., Okae, H., Jones, E. M., Ashley, B., Lewis, R. M., Cleal, J.
- 1321 K., Caley, M. P., Arima, T., Maffucci, T. & Branco, M. R. Regulation of human
- 1322 trophoblast gene expression by endogenous retroviruses. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **30**,
- 1323 527–538 (2023).
- 1324 79. Lynch-Sutherland, C. F., Chatterjee, A., Stockwell, P. A., Eccles, M. R. & Macaulay, E.
- 1325 C. Reawakening the Developmental Origins of Cancer Through Transposable
- 1326 Elements. *Front. Oncol.* **10**, 468 (2020).
- 1327 80. Costanzo, V., Bardelli, A., Siena, S. & Abrignani, S. Exploring the links between
- 1328 cancer and placenta development. *Open Biol.* **8**, (2018).
- 1329 81. Wagner, G. P., Kshitiz, Dighe, A. & Levchenko, A. The Coevolution of Placentation
 1330 and Cancer. *Annu Rev Anim Biosci* 10, 259–279 (2022).
- 1331 82. Rubinsztein, D. C., Codogno, P. & Levine, B. Autophagy modulation as a potential
- therapeutic target for diverse diseases. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **11**, 709–730 (2012).

- 1333 83. Bau, D.-T., Yang, M.-D., Tsou, Y.-A., Lin, S.-S., Wu, C.-N., Hsieh, H.-H., Wang, R.-F.,
- 1334 Tsai, C.-W., Chang, W.-S., Hsieh, H.-M., Sun, S.-S. & Tsai, R.-Y. Colorectal cancer
- and genetic polymorphism of DNA double-strand break repair gene XRCC4 in Taiwan.
- 1336 Anticancer Res. **30**, 2727–2730 (2010).
- 1337 84. Chatterjee, P., Choudhary, G. S., Alswillah, T., Xiong, X., Heston, W. D., Magi-
- 1338 Galluzzi, C., Zhang, J., Klein, E. A. & Almasan, A. The TMPRSS2-ERG Gene Fusion
- 1339 Blocks XRCC4-Mediated Nonhomologous End-Joining Repair and Radiosensitizes
- 1340 Prostate Cancer Cells to PARP Inhibition. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **14**, 1896–1906 (2015).
- 1341 85. Fang, J. Y. & Richardson, B. C. The MAPK signalling pathways and colorectal cancer.
- 1342 Lancet Oncol. 6, 322–327 (2005).
- 1343 86. Olson, J. M. & Hallahan, A. R. p38 MAP kinase: a convergence point in cancer
 1344 therapy. *Trends Mol. Med.* 10, 125–129 (2004).
- 1345 87. Santarpia, L., Lippman, S. M. & El-Naggar, A. K. Targeting the MAPK-RAS-RAF
 1346 signaling pathway in cancer therapy. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 16, 103–119 (2012).
- 1347 88. Pashirzad, M., Khorasanian, R., Fard, M. M., Arjmand, M.-H., Langari, H., Khazaei,
- 1348 M., Soleimanpour, S., Rezayi, M., Ferns, G. A., Hassanian, S. M. & Avan, A. The
- 1349 therapeutic potential of MAPK/ERK inhibitors in the treatment of colorectal cancer.
- 1350 *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* **21**, 932–943 (2021).
- 1351 89. Grossi, V., Peserico, A., Tezil, T. & Simone, C. p38α MAPK pathway: a key factor in
 1352 colorectal cancer therapy and chemoresistance. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 20, 9744–
 1353 9758 (2014).
- 1354 90. Hung, S., Saiakhova, A., Faber, Z. J., Bartels, C. F., Neu, D., Bayles, I., Ojo, E., Hong,
- 1355 E. S., Pontius, W. D., Morton, A. R., Liu, R., Kalady, M. F., Wald, D. N., Markowitz, S.
- 1356 & Scacheri, P. C. Mismatch repair-signature mutations activate gene enhancers

- across human colorectal cancer epigenomes. *Elife* **8**, (2019).
- 1358 91. Meers, M. P., Bryson, T. D., Henikoff, J. G. & Henikoff, S. Improved CUT&RUN
 1359 chromatin profiling tools. *Elife* 8, (2019).
- 1360 92. Layer, R. M., Pedersen, B. S., DiSera, T., Marth, G. T., Gertz, J. & Quinlan, A. R.
- GIGGLE: a search engine for large-scale integrated genome analysis. *Nat. Methods* **1362 15,** 123–126 (2018).
- 1363 93. Weinstein, J. N., The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network, Collisson, E. A., Mills,
- 1364 G. B., Mills Shaw, K. R., Ozenberger, B. A., Ellrott, K., Shmulevich, I., Sander, C. &
- 1365 Stuart, J. M. The Cancer Genome Atlas Pan-Cancer analysis project. *Nature Genetics*
- 1366 **45,** 1113–1120 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2764 (2013)
- 1367 94. Bailey, T. L., Boden, M., Buske, F. A., Frith, M., Grant, C. E., Clementi, L., Ren, J., Li,
- W. W. & Noble, W. S. MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, W202–8 (2009).
- 1370 95. Franzén, O., Gan, L.-M. & Björkegren, J. L. M. PanglaoDB: a web server for
- exploration of mouse and human single-cell RNA sequencing data. *Database* 2019,(2019).
- 1373 96. Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Suleski, M. & Blair Hedges, S. TimeTree: A Resource for
- 1374 Timelines, Timetrees, and Divergence Times. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**,
- 1375 1812–1819 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx116 (2017)
- 1376 97. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local
- 1377 alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).
- 1378 98. GTEx Consortium. The GTEx Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across
 1379 human tissues. *Science* 369, 1318–1330 (2020).
- 1380 99. Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 34,

1381 3094–3100 (2018).

1382 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the University of Colorado Genomics Shared Resource and BioFrontiers Computing core for technical support during this study. We thank Ben Nebenfuehr and Nausica Arnoult for assistance with the cell irradiation experiments, and Marilyn Jackson, Cameron Binns, Stephen Smoots and Adrian Dominguez for assistance with the animal studies. A.I. was supported by the National Cancer Center. E.B.C. was supported by the National Institutes of Health (1R35GM128822), the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, and the Boettcher foundation.

1390

Author contributions: A.I. designed and conducted the bioinformatics analyses. D.M.S. and O.J. designed and conducted the CRISPR and cell irradiation experiments. S.M.B and T.M.P designed and conducted the mouse xenograft experiments. L.L.N. performed RNA extractions from colorectal cancer cell lines. A.I. and E.B.C. conceived the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper. B.G.B supervised the study and helped write the paper.