- 1 Unprecedented yet gradual nature of first millennium CE intercontinental
- 2 crop plant dispersal revealed in ancient Negev desert refuse
- 3 Daniel Fuks^{1*}, Yoel Melamed², Dafna Langgut,³ Tali Erickson-Gini⁴, Yotam Tepper^{5,6}, Guy
- 4 Bar-Oz⁶, Ehud Weiss²
- ¹ McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Department of Archaeology, University of Cambridge,
 Cambridge CB2 3ER, UK
- ² Archaeobotany Lab, Martin (Szusz) Department of Land of Israel Studies and Archaeology, Bar-Ilan
 University, Ramat-Gan 5290002, Israel
- ³ Laboratory of Archaeobotany and Ancient Environments, Institute of Archaeology & The Steinhardt Museum
 of Natural History, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel
- ⁴ Southern Region, Israel Antiquities Authority, Omer Industrial Park 84965, Israel
- ⁵ Central Region, Israel Antiquities Authority, Tel-Aviv 61012, Israel
- ⁶ School of Archaeology and Maritime Cultures, University of Haifa, Haifa 3498838, Israel
- 14 * Corresponding author: <u>df427@cam.ac.uk</u>
- 15 ORCiDs
- 16 DF: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4686-6128</u>
- 17 DL: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4824-1044
- 18 GBO: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1009-5619
- 19 EW: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9730-4726</u>
- 20 YT: <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5564-1652</u>
- 21

22 Abstract

- 23 Global agro-biodiversity has resulted from processes of plant migration and agricultural
- 24 adoption. Although critically affecting current diversity, crop diffusion from antiquity to the
- 25 middle-ages is poorly researched, overshadowed by studies on that of prehistoric periods. A
- 26 new archaeobotanical dataset from three Negev Highland desert sites demonstrates the first
- 27 millennium CE's significance for long-term agricultural change in southwest Asia. This
- 28 enables evaluation of the "Islamic Green Revolution" (IGR) thesis compared to "Roman
- 29 Agricultural Diffusion" (RAD), and both versus crop diffusion since the Neolithic. Among
- 30 the finds, some of the earliest *Solanum melongena* seeds in the Levant represent the proposed
- 31 IGR. Several other identified economic plants, including two unprecedented in Levantine
- 32 archaeobotany (Ziziphus jujuba, Lupinus albus), implicate RAD as the greater force for crop
- 33 migrations. Altogether the evidence supports a gradualist model for Holocene-wide crop

diffusion, within which the first millennium CE contributed more to global agro-diversitythan any earlier period.

36 Introduction

37 Crop diversity has long been recognized as key to sustainable agriculture and global food 38 security, encompassing genetic resources for agricultural crop improvement geared at 39 improving yields, pest resistance, climate change resilience, and the promotion of cultural 40 heritage. Global genetic diversity of agricultural crops is a product of their dispersal from 41 multiple regions and much research has attempted to reconstruct these trajectories [1-3]. As 42 part of this effort, archaeobotanical research on plant migrations across the Eurasian 43 continent has been a central theme in recent decades, especially with reference to "food 44 globalization" and the "Trans-Eurasian exchange" [4-8]. Yet, as is true for archaeology-based 45 domestication research in general, most studies of crop dispersal and exchange have focused 46 on prehistoric origins and developments, to the near exclusion of more recent crop histories 47 directly affecting today's agricultural diversity [9-15]. One of the most influential, and contested, chapters in the later history of crop diffusion is the 'Islamic Green Revolution' 48 49 (IGR) [16,17]. According to Andrew Watson, the IGR involved a package of sub-/tropical, mostly east- and south Asian domesticates which, as a result of the Islamic conquests, spread 50 51 into Mediterranean lands along with requisite irrigation technologies ca. 700–1100 CE. This 52 allegedly involved some 17 domesticated plant taxa (Supplementary Table 1), including 53 such economically significant crops as sugar cane, orange and banana [16]. However, critics 54 have argued that many of the proposed IGR crops were, and still are, of minor economic 55 significance, while others were previously cultivated in the Mediterranean region, particularly 56 under Roman rule, or else arrived much later [17-19]. Indeed, there is considerable evidence 57 for crop diffusion immediately preceding and during the Roman period in the eastern Mediterranean, 1st c. BCE–4th c. CE. During this time, several east- and central Asian crops, 58 59 including some of those on Watson's IGR list, were introduced to the Mediterranean region, along with agricultural technologies [17-21]. From this period on, a growing fruit basket is 60 61 evident in sites and texts of the eastern Mediterranean region [22-25]. These include several 62 tree-fruits (Supplementary Table 2) apparently reflecting the Greco-Roman passion for 63 grafting and its pivotal role in the dispersal of temperate fruit crops from Central Asia to the 64 Mediterranean and Europe [3,26]. Yet Roman arboricultural diffusion is but a subset of 65 Roman agricultural diffusion (hereafter, RAD), which also includes non-arboreal crops 66 (including cannabis, muskmelon, white lupine, rice, sorghum) and various agricultural

67 techniques diffused by the Romans into the eastern Mediterranean [21,27-35]. Not all crops in motion during this period took hold in local agriculture. In some cases, as has been claimed 68 69 for rice in Egypt, initial Roman-period importation of the new crops ultimately led to local 70 cultivation in the Islamic period [36]. In other cases, Roman introductions were subsequently 71 abandoned [37], or failed to diffuse beyond elite gardens until much later [38]. Limited 72 adoption in local agriculture is also a feature of some proposed IGR crops, as Watson 73 admitted regarding coconut and mango [16]. Thus, a cursory consideration of proposed IGR 74 and RAD crops in the eastern Mediterranean reveals that the balance between the two is 75 about even and perhaps weighted toward RAD (Supplementary Tables 1-2). This sort of 76 comparison is valuable for evaluating the IGR thesis and attaining improved understandings 77 of crop exchange and dispersal in the first millennium CE, but a higher-resolution micro-78 regional approach is needed to rigorously gauge these developments. Systematic evaluation 79 of relative Islamic and Roman contributions to agricultural dispersal has been attempted for 80 Iberia [35,39]. In the eastern Mediterranean, archaeobotanical studies in Egypt [36], northern 81 Syria [40], and Jerusalem [25,41-42] have also yielded evidence for IGR introductions 82 framed against Roman agricultural diffusion, but these have not yet been considered

83 holistically.

84 The exceedingly rich plant remains from relatively undisturbed Negev Highland middens 85 (Fig. 1-2; [43-45]) provide a significant new addition to the evidence for Levantine and 86 Mediterranean crop diffusion, informing upon changes in the local economic plant basket 87 over the 1st millennium CE. The Negev Highlands also offer an ideal test case for the 88 geographical extent of crop dispersal, as a desert region on the margins of the settled zone, 89 which practiced vibrant runoff farming and engaged in Mediterranean and Red Sea trade 90 networks of Late Antiquity [46-50]. Archaeobotanical finds from the Negev Highlands, mainly from Byzantine sites (5th-7th centuries CE), have been reported in previous studies 91 [43-44,51-59], including those deriving from organically rich middens at Elusa, Shivta, and 92 93 Nessana, excavated as part of the recent NEGEVBYZ project [53-59]. We present below the first complete dataset of identified plant remains from the Late Antique Negev Highland 94 middens dated to the local Roman, Byzantine and early Islamic periods (2nd-8th centuries 95 CE). We then analyze this data to assess the evidence for Roman and Early Islamic crop 96 97 diffusion in the southern Levant, comparing with earlier introductions. These include the 98 southwest Asian Neolithic 'founder crops', Chalcolithic-Early Bronze Age tree fruit

- 99 domesticates, and Bronze-Iron Age introductions (Supplementary Tables 1-3). This analysis
- 100 offers Holocene-scale insights on the dynamics of crop diffusion.
- 101 Figure 1. Study sites and middens



The study sites – Shivta, Elusa and Nessana – roughly span the Negev Highlands region of the Negev desert.
 The excavated middens are marked on the aerial photos above. Middens are lettered as named in the 2015-2017
 excavations (see also Table 2).

- 106
- 107 Results
- 108 Roughly 50,000 quantifiable macroscopic plant parts were retrieved from fine-sifted flotation
- 109 and dry-sieved sediment samples of the middens of Elusa, Shivta and Nessana, excluding
- 110 charcoal and in addition to a roughly equal number retrieved from wet-sieving (see
- 111 **Supplementary Information**). These mostly carpological remains were identified to a total

112 144 distinct plant taxa (Supplementary Table 4). Nearly half of the identified specimens 113 derived from six Shivta middens; one quarter from three Elusa middens and one quarter from 114 two Nessana middens. Preservation quality varied somewhat within and between middens 115 and samples, but all middens yielded rich concentrations of charred seeds and other organic 116 remains, including many exceptionally preserved specimens. Identified species were classified as either domestic or wild and the former grouped by functional category 117 118 (Supplementary Table 4). Most of the 120 wild taxa have ethnographically documented 119 uses, whether for forage or fodder, crafts or fuel, food or spice, medicine or recreation. 120 Nearly all of them grow wild in the Negev Highlands today and we cannot determine for 121 certain which were deliberately used on site. Twenty-three domesticated food plant types 122 were identified, including cereals, legumes, fruits, nuts, and one vegetable. Like the other 123 domesticates, we consider the presence of Nile acacia (Vachellia nilotica [L.] Willd. ex 124 Delile) in the assemblage to be the result of deliberate import or cultivation, along with other 125 exotic trees previously identified by charcoal and pollen from the study sites. We focus on 126 these 24 plants as indicators of local foodways and global crop diffusion. Their 127 presence/absence by period in the Negev Highland middens appears in **Table 1**, and orders of 128 magnitude by midden context for fine-sifted archaeobotanical samples appear in Table 2 (see 129 **Supplementary Information** for sifting and sampling strategy). The latter enable 130 categorization of the Late Antique Negev Highland domesticates as staples, cash crops, and 131 luxury/supplementary foods, setting the stage for analysis of the local manifestation of long-132 term crop diffusion. This analysis is further augmented by identified charcoal and pollen data 133 from the study sites (**Supplementary Tables 5-6**) which raise the number of distinct plant 134 taxa identified in the NEGEVBYZ project to over 180. Among the charcoal/pollen taxa not 135 identified by seed and fruit remains are three fruit trees: sycomore fig (*Ficus sycomorus* L.), 136 doum palm (Hyphaene thebaica [L.] Mart.), and hazelnut (Corylus sp.).

137 Figure 2. First finds from the Negev Highlands middens



138

139 Section photos of Nessana midden A (left) and Shivta midden E (right) are shown with select Loci and their

140 uncalibrated radiocarbon dates (photographed by: Yotam Tepper), from which seeds of Lupinus albus (center

141 top), Ziziphus jujuba (center middle), Solanum melongena (center bottom) were found. These seeds represent

some of the earliest of their species found in the southern Levant (photographed by Daniel Fuks).

143

144 Table 1. Presence/absence of domesticated species in Negev Highland middens by period

¹⁴⁵ *(carpological remains)*

Plants/centuries CE		$1^{st}-3^{rd}$	4 th -mid-5 th	mid-5 th -mid-6 th	mid-6 th -mid-7 th	7^{th}	mid-7 th -8 th
Functional category	Latin name						
Cereals	Hordeum vulgare	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Triticum turgidum s.1.	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Triticum aestivum				✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
Legumes	Lens culinaris	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Vicia ervilia	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Vicia faba					\checkmark	\checkmark
	Lathyrus clymenum					\checkmark	\checkmark
	Lupinus albus					\checkmark	
	Trigonella foenum-graecum		\checkmark			\checkmark	\checkmark
Fruits	Vitis vinifera	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Ficus carica	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Olea europaea	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Phoenix dactylifera	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Punica granatum		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Ceratonia siliqua		\checkmark		✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Prunus persica		\checkmark		✓	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Prunus subgen. Cerasus/Prunus						\checkmark
	Ziziphus jujuba						\checkmark
Nuts	Prunus amygdalus				\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	Pinus pinea					\checkmark	\checkmark
	Pistacia vera						\checkmark
	Juglans regia						\checkmark
Vegetable	Solanum melongena					\checkmark	\checkmark
Other	Vacchelia nilotica		\checkmark	\checkmark			

147 Seed quantities and ubiquity point to barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), wheat (*Triticum*

- 148 *turgidum/aestivum*), and grapes (Vitis vinifera L.) as the main cultivated crops, which were
- 149 clearly calorific staples. Their local cultivation is attested to by cereal processing waste
- 150 (rachis fragments, awn and glume fragments, culm nodes and rhizomes) and wine-pressing
- 151 waste (grape pips, skins, and pedicels). In addition, lentil (Lens culinaris [L.] Coss. &
- 152 Germ.), bitter vetch (Vicia ervilia [L.] Willd.), fig (Ficus carica L.), date (Phoenix dactylifera
- L.), and olive (*Olea europaea* L.) should also be counted as staples based on seed quantities
- and ubiquity (**Tables 1-2**). They were likely cultivated locally. Significantly, all identified
- 155 staples were among the southwest Asian Neolithic founder crops and early fruit domesticates
- 156 which formed a stable part of Levantine diets by the Early Bronze Age (3300–2000 BCE).
- 157 Grapes were previously shown to be the primary cash crop of the Byzantine Negev
- 158 Highlands—particularly in the mid-5th to mid-6th c. CE—based on their changing relative
- 159 frequencies [54]. Yet, we cannot rule out the possibility of cereal cultivation for export in
- 160 some periods. One modern example is the export of Negev barley to Britain for beer
- 161 production in the 19th century [60]. Interestingly, free-threshing hexaploid bread wheat
- 162 (*Triticum aestivum* L.)—a more market-oriented wheat species identifiable archaeologically
- 163 by indicative rachis segments—appears in the Negev Highlands only after the mid-6th c.
- 164 (**Table 2**). This corresponds with the period of decline in viticulture [54].
- 165 In the 'luxuries and supplements' category we include potentially important and desirable
- 166 dietary components which were minor and apparently nonessential in local consumption or
- 167 agriculture. These include several food crops poorly represented in the local assemblages:
- 168 fava bean (*Vicia faba* L.), fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.), Spanish vetchling
- 169 (Lathyrus clymenum L.), and white lupine (Lupinus albus L.) among the legumes; peach
- 170 (Prunus persica [L.] Batsch), plum/cherry (Prunus subgen. Cerasus/Prunus), carob
- 171 (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.) and jujuba (*Ziziphus jujuba* Mill.) among the tree-fruits; almond
- 172 (Prunus amygdalus Batsch), walnut (Juglans regia L.), stone pine (Pinus pinea L.), pistachio
- 173 nut (Pistacia vera L.) and hazel (Corylus sp.) among the nuts; the aubergine (Solanum
- 174 *melongena* L.) as a unique summer vegetable (**Fig. 2-3**); and supplementary wild edibles such
- as beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.), coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.), and European bishop (*Bifora*
- 176 *testiculata* [L.] Spreng.) (Supplementary Table 4). Any of these could have been cultivated
- in Negev Highland runoff farming [47, 59], or on site [61].

178 Another important ancient economic plant found in the assemblages is the Nile acacia, which 179 does not grow today in the Negev. Previous archaeobotanical finds of Nile acacia in the 180 Levant all come from Roman-period sites in the Dead Sea rift valley, which Kisley [62] 181 interpreted as a component of the ancient flora in this region of Sudanian vegetation 182 penetration. However, this was also an important region for desert-crossing camel caravan commerce. Nile acacia seed finds from Elusa (Fig. 3) are the first from outside the 183 184 phytogeographic region of Sudanian vegetation, but they remain within the ancient caravan 185 trade routes connecting the Red Sea and the Mediterranean. Therefore, we consider Nile 186 acacia seeds to represent a Roman-period introduction to the Levant, whether as objects of 187 cultivation or of trade at the Negev desert route sites. Other exotic trees used for quality wood 188 and craft were identified by pollen and/or charcoal, including: cedar of Lebanon (Cedrus 189 libani A.Rich.), European ash (Fraxinus excelsior L.), and boxwood (Buxus sempervirens

190 L.). Cedar was identified by both charcoal and pollen, suggesting local garden cultivation

191 (see Langgut et al. 2021 [59] and **Table 3**).

Century CE	1 st -	4 th -	mid	-5 th -	mid-5 th -	mid	-6 th -	earl	y 7th	7 th -		mid-'	7 th -8 th	
	3 rd	mid-5 th	mid	-6th	mid-7th	mid	-7th			8 th				
Site	SVT	HLZ	HLZ	SVT	NZN	NZN	SVT	SVT		NZN	NZN	SVT		
Area (midden)	Р	A4	A1	М	A	Α	0	K2	Е	Α	Е	K1	K2	Е
Samples	5	14	19	14	7	5	12	3	3	27	10	13	13	12
Vol. (L)	15	85	85	42	21	15	36	9	9	84	33	39	39	36
Plant species														
Hordeum vulgare	XX	XXX	XXX	XX	XXX	XX	XX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Triticum sp.	XX	XX	XX	XX	Х	Х	Х	XX	XX	XX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX
Lens culinaris		XX	XX	Х	XX		Х	Х	Х	Х	XX	XX	Х	Х
Vicia ervilia	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	XX	Х	XX	XX	Х	XX
Trigonella foenum-graecum		Х							Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	
Lathyrus clymenum										Х		Х		
Lupinus albus												Х		
Vitis vinifera	Х	XX	XX	XX	XX	Х	XX	XX	Х	XXX	XXX	XXX	XXX	XX
Ficus carica	Х	XXX	XXX	XX	Х	Х	XX	Х	Х	XX	Х	Х	XX	
Olea europaea		Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	XX	Х	Х	Х
Phoenix dactylifera	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	XX	XX	Х	Х
Punica granatum		rind		rind	Х	rind	Х	rind		Х	XX	Х	Х	Х
Ceratonia siliqua										Х		Х	pistil	
Prunus amygdalus										Х		Х	Х	Х
Prunus persica		Х					Х			Х		Х		
Pinus pinea										Х	Х			
Solanum melongena										Х				Х
Vachellia nilotica		Х	Х		Х									

192	Table 2. Doi	mesticated plant	seeds order	of ma	gnitude by	y period,	site,	and area	(from	fine-sij	ft)
-----	--------------	------------------	-------------	-------	------------	-----------	-------	----------	-------	----------	-----

193

194 Sites abbreviated as: SVT-Shivta; HLZ-Elusa; NZN-Nessana; for midden locations see Figure 1. Orders of

195 magnitude presented as $1 \le X < 10 \le XX < 100 \le XXX < 1000$. See Supplementary Information for sifting and

196 *sampling strategy*.

197 Figure 3. Select plant remains from the Negev Highland middens



¹⁹⁸

 ⁽a) charred almond (Prunus amygdalus Batsch.) exocarp; (b) charred pistachio (Pistacia vera L.) drupe; (c)
 charred carob (Ceratonia siliqua L.) pod fragment; (d) uncharred stone pine (Pinus pinea L.) outer seed coat
 fragment; (e) uncharred walnut (Juglans regia L.) endocarp of the thin-shelled variety (f) charred peach (Prunus

fragment; (e) uncharred walnut (Juglans regia L.) endocarp of the thin-shelled variety (f) charred peach (Prunus
 persica [L.] Batsch) endocarp; (g) charred cherry/plum (Prunus subgen. Cerasus/Prunus) endocarp; (h)

²⁰² uncharred aubergine (Solanum melongena L.) seed; (i) charred jujuba (Ziziphus jujuba Mill.) endocarp; (j)

²⁰⁴ *charred* Vachellia nilotica (*L.*) *P.J.H.Hurter & Mabb. seed;* (*k*) *charred fenugreek* (Trigonella foenum-

²⁰⁵ graecum/berythea) seed; (1) charred white lupine (Lupinus albus L.) seed; (m) charred fava bean (Vicia faba

²⁰⁶ L.). Scale bars = 5mm; all photos in grayscale (photographed by: Daniel Fuks and Yoel Melamed).

- 207 Complementing the seed/fruit remains presented above, palynological and anthracological
- analyses support local cultivation of grapevine, fig, olive, date, pomegranates, carob, and the
- 209 *Prunus* genus, which includes almond, peach, plum and/or cherry [59]. Based on stone pine
- 210 seed coats, and the identification of Pinaceae pollen (= pine other than the local Aleppo pine),
- 211 it is plausible that stone pine was cultivated locally, albeit on a small scale (**Table 3**). Pollen
- 212 evidence also supports local cultivation of hazel another domesticate unattested in the
- southern Levant before the Roman period (Tables 3, 5; Supplementary Tables 5-6).
- 214

T	G	Seeds/Fruit			Charcoal			Pollen		
Taxon	Common name	SVT	NZN	HLZ	SVT	NZN	HLZ	SVT 1	SVT 2	SVT 3
Vitis vinifera	grapevine	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
Olea europaea	olive	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Ficus carica	common fig	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-
Phoenix dactylifera	date palm	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
Ceratonia siliqua	carob	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
Punica granatum	pomegranate	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
Prunus spp.	almond/peach/plum	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
Pinus spp.	pine	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Corylus sp.	hazel	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
Ficus sycomorus	sycomore fig	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-
Hyphaene thebaica	doum palm	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
Juglans regia	walnut	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pistacia vera	pistachio	+	-	-	*	*	-	-	-	-
Ziziphus jujuba	jujuba	+	-	-	*	*	-	-	-	-

215 Table 3. Combined evidence for fruit/nut trees

216

217 Carpological, anthracological and palynological evidence for fruit- and exotic trees in the

218 study sites. Assessment of local cultivation is based on the combination of proxies and

219 *especially pollen to include grapevine, fig, olive, date, pomegranate, carob, hazelnut, cedar*

220 and the Prunus genus (potentially including almond, peach, plum and/or cherry). Local

221 cultivation of stone pine may also plausibly be inferred. SVT1= South reservoir, Shivta; SVT

222 2 = North reservoir, Shivta; SVT3 = North church garden, Shivta; + indicates presence; -

223 *indicates absence; *indicates charcoal identified to genus, including possible local wild*

224 species. Prunus spp. includes Prunus dulcis and Prunus domestica/cerasus endocarp/exocarp,

and Prunus spp. charcoal. Pinus spp. includes Pinus pinea seed coats, Pinus halepensis

226 *charcoal, and* Pinus *sp. pollen.*

Overall, the later-period middens were more concentrated in plant remains, and it is in the
Early Islamic period middens where we find most of the rare domesticated species, RAD
crops included (**Table 1**). This appears to be related to taphonomy, and therefore absence of

231 RAD crops in the Byzantine middens should not be taken as evidence of their absence (see

232 **Supplementary Information**). Samples containing the unique finds of white lupine and

233 jujuba – which are unprecedented in southern Levantine archaeobotany – were dated to the

234 Umayyad or early Abbasid period (mid-7th – late 8th c. cal. CE at 2σ ; see **Fig. 1**; **Table 4** and

235 **Supplementary Information**). However, textual studies have identified these species in

Roman-period texts of the southern Levant [22]. The sample from Shivta containing

aubergine seeds was dated to the Abbasid period (772-974 cal CE at 2σ), supporting previous

finds from Abbasid Jerusalem [25,40-41].

Radiocarbon								
Lab. no.	Site	Locus	Basket	Special find	Material dated	Uncal BP	Cal. CE (1 σ)	Cal. CE (2 σ)
					abarrad barlay		654 (46.4%) 682	647 (61.0%) 708
Poz-141223	Nessana	101	1040-1	white lupine	apine seed	1335 ± 30	745 (18.3%) 760	730 (34.5%) 775
							768 (3.6%) 771	
					abamad barlar		776 (9.7%) 788	772 (73.9%) 901
Poz-141225	Shivta	504	5029	aubergine	seed	1170 ± 30	825 (49.0%) 894	916 (21.6%) 974
							928 (9.6%) 945	
Poz-141226	Shivta	501	5108	iuiuba	charred barley	1295 + 30	670 (33.4%) 704	659 (95.4%) 775
102 141220	Smvta	501	5100	Jujuou	seed	1275 ± 50	739 (34.9%) 772	

239 Table 4. Radiocarbon dating of select loci

240

241 Considering together the domestic plants evident in the Negev Highlands according to their period of first attestation in the southern Levant – archaeobotanically and historically – offers 242 243 a window onto processes of long-term crop diffusion (Table 5). While their quantities and 244 ubiquities indicate that RAD and IGR crops were initially of minor significance, they make 245 up over a third of the domesticates' species diversity (Fig. 4: Table 5). All the more 246 surprising considering the Negev Highlands' desert and present-day peripheral status, this 247 new data reveals for the first time the extent of western influence on local agriculture and 248 trade (Fig. 5).

Table 5. Earliest archaeobotanical evidence in the southern Levant for domestication/introduction of Negev Highland domesticated plants

Latin name	Period	Тад	Approx date	Reference
Hordeum vulgare	PPNB	Founder crop	9 th mill. BCE	Zohary et al. 2012 [3]
Lens culinaris	PPNB	Founder crop	9 th mill. BCE	Caracuta et al. 2017 [74]
Vicia ervilia	PPNB	Founder crop	9 th mill. BCE	Caracuta et al. 2017 [74]
Vicia faba	PPNB	Founder crop	9 th mill. BCE	Caracuta et al. 2017 [74]
<i>Triticum turgidum</i> s.l. (free-threshing)	PPNB	Founder crop	7 th mill. BCE	Feldman and Kislev 2007 [75]
T. aestivum (free-threshing)	NA	Founder crop	NA	Zohary et al. 2012 [3]
Olea europaea	Chalcolithic/E. Bronze	Early fruit domesticate	5th mill. BCE	Langgut et al. 2019 [76]
Ficus carica	Chalcolithic/E. Bronze	Early fruit domesticate	5th mill. BCE	Weiss 2015 [77]
Vitis vinifera	Chalcolithic/E. Bronze	Early fruit domesticate	5th mill. BCE	Weiss 2015 [77]
Phoenix dactylifera	Chalcolithic/E. Bronze	Early fruit domesticate	5th mill. BCE	Weiss 2015 [77]
Punica granatum	Chalcolithic/E. Bronze	Early fruit domesticate	5th mill. BCE	Melamed 2002 [78]
Prunus amygdalus	Chalcolithic/E. Bronze	Early fruit domesticate	5th mill. BCE	Zohary et al. 2012 [3]
Lathyrus clymenum	Middle Bronze	Bronze Age introduction	19th-18th c. BCE	Kislev et al. 1993 [79]
Juglans regia	Middle Bronze	Bronze Age introduction	18th c. BCE	Langgut 2015 [80]
Trigonella foenum-graecum	Late Bronze Age IIA	Bronze Age introduction	14th c. BCE	Weiss et al. 2019 [81]
Prunus persica	Nabatean	RAD crop	1st c. BCE	Kislev and Simchoni 2009 [82]
Vachellia nilotica	Nabatean	RAD crop	1st c. BCE	Kislev 1990 [62]
Ceratonia siliqua	Hellenistic-Roman	RAD crop	1 st c. BCE	Zohary et al. 2012 [3]
Pinus pinea	Hellenistic-Roman	RAD crop	1st c. BCE	Kislev 1988 [83]
Prunus subgen. Cerasus/Prunus	Roman	RAD crop	1st c. CE	Tabak 2006 [84]
Pistacia vera	Roman	RAD crop	2nd c. CE	Hartman and Kislev 1998 [85]
Corylus sp.	Roman	RAD crop	2nd c. CE	Kislev and Simchoni 2006 [23]; Langgut et al. 2021 [59]
Lupinus albus	Early Islamic	RAD crop	7th c. CE	this paper
Ziziphus jujuba	Early Islamic	RAD crop	7th c. CE	this paper
Solanum melongena	Early Islamic	IGR crop	7th c. CE	Amichay et al. 2019 [25]; this paper

251 252 Note: The earliest evidence for Prunus subgen. Cerasus/Prunus refers to plum (Prunus subgen. Prunus) only. Cherry (Prunus subgen. Cerasus) has yet to be identified in the southern Levantine archaeobotanical record.

Figure 4. Negev Highlands crop basket by period of introduction to the southern Levant
(based on carpological remains)



255

256 Figure 5. First mill. CE southern Levantine introductions found in Negev Highland middens



257

258 Schematic representation of directions of first millennium CE crop diffusion into the southern Levant based on 259 plants attested to in the Negev Highland middens. RAD crops are labeled red; IGR crops purple.

261 Discussion

The critical mass afforded by the new, systematically retrieved and identified plant remains 262 263 from Late Antique Negev Highland trash mounds allows not only reconstructions of local 264 plant economy, but also insights on the dispersal of crop plants over the last 11.5 ky. Of the Negev Highland plant remains, only the aubergine is an IGR crop (Table 5; Fig. 4-5). 265 Together with finds from Abbasid Jerusalem, seeds found in the Negev Highland middens are 266 267 among the earliest archaeobotanical finds of this plant in the Levant and are roughly 268 contemporary with the earliest textual references to aubergine [16,22]. Significantly, 269 aubergine is the only summer crop in the Negev Highlands plant assemblage. In other regions 270 of the southern Levant, summer crops were certainly cultivated in the Roman period [20,63], 271 but the Early Islamic introduction of aubergine is consistent with Watson's claim that 272 summer cultivation expanded in this later period [16,64]. Ultimately, widespread adoption of 273 summer-winter crop rotation in the Mediterranean region effected changes in people's diets 274 and work routines. Yet these changes clearly did not occur overnight. To be fair, the Early 275 Islamic assemblages from the Negev Highlands do not offer enough of a time perspective to 276 fully gauge the effects of Early Islamic crop introduction on their own as they span only the 277 first 200-300 years of Islam. Yet it is also possible that finds from the 7th-8th century middens 278 represent Byzantine agronomic traditions and techniques. Regardless, had crop introductions 279 been inundating and pervasive during the Early Islamic period, we expect they would have 280 been more apparent in Negev Highland crop diversity.

281 By contrast, the Negev Highlands crop basket highlights the influence of RAD, particularly 282 on arboriculture. Of the 24 domestic plants identified by carpological remains, seven were introduced to the southern Levant during the 1st c. BCE to the 4th c. CE: pistachio nut, stone 283 284 pine, peach, plum/cherry, jujuba, Nile acacia, white lupine, plus carob which is a local wild 285 species but was apparently not fully domesticated until the Classical period (Table 5). Jujuba 286 and white lupine are unprecedented in southern Levantine archaeobotany, but they are known 287 from Roman-period texts and the archaeobotany of neighboring regions [65-68]. Considering 288 pollen remains, hazelnut is an additional RAD species identified in the Negev Highlands, that 289 was also found in Herod's garden at Caesarea, probably as an imported ornamental [69]. The 290 fact that the RAD plant remains are more prevalent in the Early Islamic phase (Table 1-2) is 291 likely the result of overall better preservation and plant richness in this phase. Therefore, we 292 understand them to be part of the general Late Antique Negev Highlands domestic plant 293 assemblage, noting that their earliest secure archaeobotanical records in the southern Levant

as a whole derive mostly from the 1st c. BCE to the 2nd c. CE (**Table 5**). We acknowledge that 294 295 some RAD species are first attested to at the end of the Hellenistic period of the southern 296 Levant in the 1st c. BCE. We nonetheless consider them RAD crops in view of chronological 297 proximity and their entrenchment in local agriculture and culture during the Roman period. 298 Allowing for gaps in the archaeobotanical record, partially compensated by textual 299 references, it is still fair to say that the RAD plants—which comprise a significant proportion 300 of species diversity in the Late Antique Negev Highland basket of domestic plants-were 301 introduced to the southern Levant over a relatively short period in Holocene history.

302 The snapshot presented here of the Negev Highlands' microregional crop basket supports and 303 significantly enhances previous evidence for 1st millennium CE crop diffusion. Together with 304 the archaeobotany of sites from southern Jordan [70] and Jerusalem [25,41], the Negev 305 Highland plant remains attest to Roman and Byzantine agricultural influence on the spread of 306 fruit crops such as peach, pear, plum, jujuba, apricot, cherry, pistachio nut, pine nut, and 307 hazelnut, among others, and to Abbasid introduction of aubergines in the southern Levant. 308 Altogether, this evidence suggests that RAD was a greater force in the agricultural history of 309 the first millennium CE than the IGR, which is also the current consensus from Iberia [39]. 310 The significance of RAD is evident in the archaeobotany of additional regions, such as Italy, 311 northwest Europe and Britain [34,38,68]. However, we should not dismiss the IGR on these 312 grounds alone, since several of the proposed IGR crops are less likely to leave identifiable 313 macroscopic traces (e.g., sugar cane, colocasia), and there is textual evidence for Early 314 Islamic crop diffusion and agricultural development [22]. Hence it may be appropriate and 315 productive to consider RAD and IGR part of the same process of first millennium CE 316 agricultural development, as indicated by Early Islamic expansion of Roman and Byzantine 317 crop introductions. Clearly the first millennium CE was an unprecedented period of change in 318 local crop-plant species diversity in the eastern Mediterranean and beyond. The multi-319 regional evidence suggests that the multi-empire combination of Roman-Byzantine and 320 Umayyad-Abassid regimes was a major force for crop diffusion, with a likely role for 321 developments in the Sassanid empire underrepresented in current research. Yet the evidence 322 presented here demonstrates that even the combined forces underlying first millennium CE crop diffusion affected, but did not immediately transform, people's diets. At least until the 323 324 end of that millennium, inhabitants of the Levant and Mediterranean region continued to rely 325 primarily on long tried and tested Neolithic founder crops and early fruit domesticates. 326 Indeed, this situation widely persisted until the latter second millennium CE.

327 The new microregional data presented above supports an emerging multi-regional picture of 328 both an unprecedented period for plant migrations and food diversity in the first millennium 329 CE as well as gradual and incomplete local adoption. This is evident from Late Antique 330 Negev Highlands archaeobotanical assemblages within which plants first attested to in the 331 southern Levant during this period account for one third of the domesticated plant species 332 diversity – more than any other period represented in the assemblage. Among these crops, 333 only the aubergine represents an Early Islamic introduction, suggesting that Roman 334 Agricultural Diffusion (RAD) was a greater force for intercontinental movement of crop 335 plants than the proposed Islamic Green Revolution (IGR). However, both RAD and IGR 336 plant species are very rare in the Negev Highlands assemblages, indicating slow 337 incorporation into local foodways and agriculture. These findings present a window to a wider perspective on the last 11.5 millennia of southwest Asian crop diffusion, in which the 338 339 first millennium CE is unprecedented for the diversity of plant species in motion yet 340 consistent with a long-term pattern of gradual local adoption.

341 Materials and Methods

342 Eleven middens from the three sites, Elusa, Shivta and Nessana, were excavated at approximately 10 cm spits to ensure chronological control. An intensive sampling-and-sifting 343 344 strategy was followed to ensure optimal retrieval of plant remains (see **Supplementary** 345 Information). Fine-sifted samples (see Supplementary Information) were sorted using an 346 Olympus SZX9 stereo microscope and analyzed in the Bar-Ilan University Archaeobotany 347 Lab. Course sifted samples were sorted by volunteers and archaeology students during the 348 excavation and thereafter. Seed finds from the course sifting were examined and rare 349 specimens taken to the Bar-Ilan University Archaeobotany Lab for identification. All 350 identifications were made with reference to the Israel National Collection of Plant Seeds and Fruits at Bar-Ilan University. To confirm identification, the jujuba (Ziziphus jujuba Mill.) 351 352 endocarp was scanned using a Bruker SkyScan 1174 desktop micro-CT scanner 353 (Supplementary Videos 1-2). Identification criteria for this and other select specimens 354 appear in the **Supplementary Information**. Information on previous archaeobotanical 355 records of cultivated species was retrieved from the cited literature and lab records, as well as 356 from online databases of archaeobotanical finds [71-73]. For palynological analysis, sediment 357 samples from the middens were collected. However, all samples showed pollen barrenness, 358 probably because of oxidation. Pollen from the reservoir and the northern church at Shivta

did contribute additional taxa, as did wood and charcoal analyses. Results of pollen and wood
analyses published by Langgut et al. [43,59] are summarized in Supplementary Tables 5-6.

361 The excavations' stratigraphic, ceramic, and radiocarbon analyses enabled differentiation of

362 five chronological phases obtained from the middens [43,54]: Roman (ca. 0–300 CE), Early

363 Byzantine (ca. 300–450 CE), Middle Byzantine (ca. 450–550 CE), Late Byzantine (ca. 550–

- 364 650 CE) and Umayyad (ca. 650–750 CE), which was adjusted slightly based on radiocarbon
- 365 dates presented herein. This enabled detection of trends within the Byzantine period as well
- 366 as broader chronological comparisons. These periods are each represented by between one
- and four middens, and some middens span two periods (see **Table 2**). Grouping the seed/fruit
- 368 crop remains into broad periods of introduction to the southern Levant was used to provide a
- 369 general sketch of crop diffusion's local influence in time.

370 Data Availability

371 Only securely identified plant taxa are reported in the results of this study. All relevant data

are included in the manuscript and supplementary materials. The investigated plant remains

- are currently stored in the Israel National Collection of Plant Seeds and Fruits at Bar-Ilan
- 374 University and may be accessed by request to the authors.

375 Acknowledgements

376 As part of a Ph.D. dissertation conducted at Bar-Ilan University, this research was supported 377 by the Bar-Ilan Doctoral Fellowships of Excellence Program, the Rottenstreich Fellowship of 378 the Israel Council for Higher Education, and the Molcho fund for agricultural research in the 379 Negev. As part of the NEGEVBYZ project, this research was also supported by the European 380 Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation 381 Programme (grant 648427) and the Israel Science Foundation (grant 340-14). Manuscript 382 preparation was further supported by a Newton International Fellowship of the British 383 Academy and a Marie S. Curie International Fellowship of the European Commission's 384 Horizon 2020 Framework Programme. Archaeology was conducted on behalf of the Zinman 385 Institute of Archaeology, University of Haifa, under licenses of the Israel Antiquities 386 Authority (Elusa: G-69/2014, G-10/2015, G-6/2017; Shivta: G-87/2015, G-4/2016; Nessana: 387 G-4/2017). We also wish to thank the Israel Nature and Parks Authority for facilitating the 388 excavations at Elusa, Shivta, and Nessana, as well as Ami and Dina Oach of Shivta Farm. For 389 assistance with processing during the excavations, we are grateful to Ifat Shapira, Uri 390 Yehuda, Ruti Roche, Gabriel Fuks, University of Haifa graduate students Aehab Asad, Ari

- 391 Levy, and Yaniv Sfez, and countless other volunteers. We also wish to thank Y. Mahler-
- 392 Slasky, Tammy Friedman, A. Hartmann-Shenkman, Michal David, Suembikya Frumin, I.
- 393 Berko, and O. Bashari for laboratory assistance; Senthil Ram Prabhu Thangadurai and Prof.
- 394 Ron Shahar of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem's Laboratory of Bone Biomechanics for
- 395 micro-CT scanning; and Sapir Haad for graphics.
- 396 *Competing interests*
- 397 The authors declare that there are no competing interests associated with this submission.
- 398 References
- Vavilov, N.I. (2009) Origin and geography of cultivated plants. Translated by Doris
 Löve. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 406
 407
 407
 408
 408
 408
 409
 409
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
- 3. Zohary, D., Hopf, M. and Weiss, E. (2012) *Domestication of plants in the Old World*, 4th
 edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 405 4. Jones, M., Hunt, H., Lightfoot, E., Lister, D., Liu, X. and Motuzaite-Matuzeviciute, G.
 406 (2011). Food globalization in prehistory. *World Archaeology* 43(4): 665–675.
- 407 5. Boivin N., Fuller D.Q., Crowther A. (2012) Old World globalization and the Columbian
 408 exchange: comparison and contrast. *World Archaeology* 44(3): 452–469.
- Liu, X., Jones, P. J., Matuzeviciute, G. M., Hunt, H. V., Lister, D.L., An, T, Przelomska,
 N, Kneale C.J., Zhao Z. and Jones, M.K. (2019) From ecological opportunism to multicropping: Mapping food globalisation in prehistory. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 206:
 21-28.
- 413 7. Sherratt, A. (2006). The Trans-Eurasian Exchange: the prehistory of Chinese relations
 414 with the West. In V. Mair (ed.), Contact and exchange in the ancient world, pp. 30-61.
 415 Honolulu: Hawaii University Press.
- 8. Zhou, X., Yu, J., Spengler, R.N. et al. (2020). 5,200-year-old cereal grains from the
 eastern Altai Mountains redate the trans-Eurasian crop exchange. *Nature Plants* 6: 78–87
 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0581-y
- 419 9. Zohary, D. and Hopf, M. (1973) Domestication of pulses in the Old World. *Science*,
 420 182(4115): 887-894.
- 421 10. Smith, B.D. (1989) Origins of agriculture in eastern North America. *Science*, 246(4937):
 422 1566-1571.
- 11. Denham, T.P., Haberle, S.G., Lentfer, C., Fullagar, R., Field, J., Therin, M., Porch, N. and
 Winsborough, B. (2003) Origins of agriculture at Kuk Swamp in the highlands of New
 Guinea. *Science*, 301(5630): 189-193.
- 426 12. Tanno, K.I. and Willcox, G. (2006). How fast was wild wheat domesticated? *Science*,
 427 311(5769): 1886-1886.
- 428 13. Weiss, E., Kislev, M.E. and Hartmann, A. (2006) Autonomous cultivation before
 429 domestication. *Science*, 312(5780): 1608-1610.
- 430 14. Purugganan, M. D., & Fuller, D. Q. (2009). The nature of selection during plant
 431 domestication. *Nature*, 457(7231), 843-848.
- 432 15. Riehl, S., Zeidi, M. and Conard, N.J. (2013) Emergence of agriculture in the foothills of
 433 the Zagros Mountains of Iran. *Science*, 341(6141): 65-67.
- 434 16. Watson, A.M. (1983) Agricultural innovation in the early Islamic world: the diffusion of
 435 crops and farming techniques, 700–1100. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- 436 17. Decker, M. (2009) Plants and progress: rethinking the Islamic agricultural
- 437 revolution. *Journal of World History* 20(2): 187–206.
- 438 18. Johns, J. (1984). A Green Revolution? "Agricultural innovation in the early Islamic
 439 world: the diffusion of crops and farming techniques, 700–1100." By Andrew M. Watson.
 440 Cambridge University Press, 1983. Pp. xii+ 260. £ 25. *Journal of African History*, 25(3):
 441 343–344.
- 442 19. Ashtor, E. (1985) Review of: A.M. Watson, 'Agricultural innovation in the Early Islamic
 443 world'. *Bibliotheca Orientalis* 42: 421–431.
- 444 20. Decker, M. (2009) *Tilling the hateful earth*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- 445 21. Kamash, Z. (2012) Irrigation technology, society and environment in the Roman Near
 446 East. *Journal of Arid Environments* 86: 65–74.
- 447 22. Amar Z. (2000) *Agricultural produce in the Land of Israel in the Middle Ages*. Jerusalem:
 448 Yad Yitzhak Ben-Zvi (Hebrew).
- 449 23. Kislev, M.E. and Simchoni, O. (2006) Botanical evidence for the arrival of refugees from
 450 Judea to refuge cave in Nahal Arugot in the fall of 135 CE. *Judea & Samaria Research*451 *Studies* 15: 141–150. (Hebrew with English summary).
- 452 24. Aubaile, F. (2012) Pathways of diffusion of some plants and animals between Asia and
 453 the Mediterranean region. *Revue d'ethnoécologie* 1.
- 454 https://doi.org/10.4000/ethnoecologie.714
- 455 25. Amichay, O., Ben-Ami, D., Tchekhanovets, Y., Shahack-Gross, R., Fuks, D. and Weiss,
 456 E. (2019) A bazaar assemblage: reconstructing consumption, production and trade from
 457 mineralised seeds in Abbasid Jerusalem. *Antiquity* 93 (367): 199–217.
- 458 26. Mudge, K., Janick, J., Scofield, S. and Goldschmidt, E.E. (2009) A history of grafting.
 459 *Hoticultural Reviews*, 35: 437–493.
- 460 27. Mercuri, A.M., Accorsi, C.A. and Mazzanti, M.B. (2002) The long history of *Cannabis*461 and its cultivation by the Romans in central Italy, shown by pollen records from Lago
 462 Albano and Lago di Nemi. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 11(4): 263–276.
- 28. Pelling, R. (2005) Garamantian agriculture and its significance in a wider North African
 context: The evidence of the plant remains from the Fazzan project. *Journal of North African Studies* 10(3–4): 397–412.
- 466 29. Cappers R.T.J. (2006) *Roman food prints at Berenike: Archaeobotanical evidence of*467 *subsistence and trade in the Eastern Desert of Egypt.* Los Angeles: Cotsen Institute of
 468 Archaeology.
- 30. Van der Veen, M. (2011) Consumption, trade and innovation: exploring the botanical
 remains from the Roman and Islamic ports at Quseir al-Qadim, Egypt. Frankfurt: Africa
 Magna Verlag.
- 472 31. Wilson, A. (2002) Machines, power and the ancient economy. *Journal of Roman*473 *Studies* 92: 1–32.
- 474 32. Kron, G. (2012) Food Production. In: W. Scheidel (ed.), *The Cambridge companion to*475 *the economic history of the Roman World* (pp. 156–174). Cambridge: Cambridge
 476 University Press.
- 477 33. Avital, A. (2014) Representation of crops and agricultural tools in Late Roman and
 478 Byzantine mosaics of the Land of Israel. PhD thesis, Bar-Ilan University (Hebrew).
- 479 34. Van der Veen, M., Livarda, A. and Hill, A. (2008) New plant foods in Roman Britain –
 480 dispersal and social access. *Environmental Archaeology* 13(1): 11–36.
- 481 35. Butzer, K.W., Mateu, J.F., Butzer, E.K. and Kraus, P. (1985) Irrigation agrosystems in
 482 eastern Spain: Roman or Islamic origins? *Annals of the Association of American*
- 483 *Geographers* 75(4): 479–509.
- 484 36. Van der Veen, M., Bouchaud, C., Cappers, R. and Newton, C. (2018) Roman Life in the
 485 Eastern Desert of Egypt: food, imperial power and geopolitics. In: Jean-Pierre Brun

- (ed.), *The Eastern Desert of Egypt during the Greco-Roman Period: archaeological reports.* Paris: Collège de France.
- 488 37. Livarda, A. (2011) Spicing up life in northwestern Europe: exotic food plant imports in
 489 the Roman and medieval world. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 20(2): 143–164.
- 490 38. Langgut D. (2017) The Citrus route revealed: from Southeast Asia into the
 491 Mediterranean. *HortScience* 52: 814-822.
- 492 39. Peña-Chocarro, L., Pérez-Jordà, G., Alonso, N., Antolín, F., Teira-Brión, A., Tereso, J.P.,
 493 Moya, E.M.M. and Reyes, D.L. (2019) Roman and medieval crops in the Iberian
 494 Peninsula: a first overview of seeds and fruits from archaeological sites. *Quaternary*495 *International* 499: 49–66.
- 496
 40. Samuel, D. (2001) Archaeobotanical evidence and analysis. In: S. Berthier, L. Chaix, J.
 497
 498 Studer, O. D'hont, R. Gyselend and D. Samuel, *Peuplement rural et amenagements*498 *hydroagricoles dans la moyenne vallee de l'Euphrate fin VIIe–XIXe siècle* (pp. 343–481).
 499 Damascus: Institut français d'études arabes de Damas.
- 41. Amichay, O. and Weiss, E. (2020) Chapter 18: The archaeobotanical remains. In: BenAmi, D. and Tchekhanovets, Y., *Jerusalem: Excavations in the Tyropoeon Valley (Givati parking lot) Jerusalem, Volume II—the Byzantine and Early Islamic Periods, Part 2—*strata IV–I: the Early Islamic period. Jerusalem: Israel Antiquities Authority.
- 42. Fuks, D., Amichay, O. and Weiss, E. (2020) Innovation or preservation? Abbasid
 aubergines, archaeobotany and the Islamic Green Revolution. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* 12(50).
- 43. Bar-Oz, G., Weissbrod, L., Erickson-Gini, T., Tepper, Y. Malkinson, D., Benzaquen, M.,
 Langgut, D., Dunseth, Z., Butler, D., Shahack-Gross, R., Roskin, Y., Fuks, D., Weiss, E.,
 Marom, N., Ktalav, I., Blevis, R., Zohar, I., Farhi, Y., Filatova, A., Gorin-Rosen, Y., Yan,
 X. and Boaretto, E. (2019) Ancient trash mounds unravel urban collapse a century before
 the end of Byzantine hegemony in the southern Levant. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 116(17): 8239–8248.
- 44. Tepper, Y., Erickson-Gini, T., Farhi, Y. and Bar-Oz G. 2018. Probing the
 Byzantine/Early Islamic Transition in the Negev: The Renewed Shivta Excavations,
 2015–2016. *Tel Aviv* 45: 120–152.
- 45. Tepper, Y., Weissbrod, L., Erickson-Gini, T. and Bar-Oz, G. (2020) Nizzana 2017,
 Preliminary Report. *Hadashot Arkheologiyot: Excavations and Surveys in Israel*, 132.
 https://www.hadashot-esi.org.il/Report_Detail_Eng.aspx?id=25717&mag_id=128
- 46. Kedar, Y. (1957) Water and soil from the desert: some ancient agricultural achievements
 in the central Negev. *The Geographical Journal* 123: 179–187.
- 47. Evenari, M., Shanan, L. and Tadmor, N. (1982) *The Negev: the challenge of a desert*.
 Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- 48. Tepper, Y., Porat, N. and Bar-Oz, G. (2020) Sustainable farming in the Roman-Byzantine
 period: Dating an advanced agriculture system near the site of Shivta, Negev Desert,
 Israel. *Journal of Arid Environments* 177: 104–134.
- 49. Bruins, H.J., Bithan-Guedj, H. and Svoray, T. (2019) GIS-based hydrological modelling
 to assess runoff yields in ancient-agricultural terraced wadi fields (central Negev
 desert). *Journal of Arid Environments* 166: 91–107.
- 50. Fuks, D., Avni, G. and Bar-Oz, G. (2021) The debate on Negev viticulture and Gaza wine
 in Late Antiquity. *Tel-Aviv*, 48(2): 143–170.
- 531 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03344355.2021.1968626</u>
- 532 51. Mayerson, P. (1962) The ancient agricultural regime of Nessana and the Central Negeb.
- In: D. Colt (ed.), *Excavations at Nessana, vol. 1* (pp. 211–269). London: William Clowes
 and Sons.

- 535 52. Liphschitz, N. (2004) The flora of the Nessana region: past and present. In: Urman, D.
 536 (ed.), *Nessana: excavations and studies, vol. 1* (pp.112–114). Beer Sheva: Ben-Gurion
 537 University of the Negev Press.
- 538 53. Ramsay, J., Tepper, Y., Weinstein-Evron, M., Aharonovich, S., Liphschitz, N., Marom,
 539 N. and Bar-Oz, G. (2016) For the birds: an environmental archaeological analysis of
 540 Byzantine pigeon towers at Shivta (Negev Desert, Israel). *Journal of Archaeological*541 *Science: Reports* 9: 718–727.
- 542 54. Fuks, D., Bar-Oz, G., Tepper, Y., Erickson-Gini, T., Langgut, D., Weissbrod, L. and
 543 Weiss, E. (2020) The rise and fall of viticulture in the Negev Highlands during Late
 544 Antiquity: An economic reconstruction from quantitative archaeobotanical and ceramic
 545 data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA*, 117 (33): 19780–19791.
- 546 55. Tepper Y., Weissbrod L., Fried, T., Marom N., Ramsay J., Weinstein-Evron M.,
 547 Aharonovich S., Liphschitz N., Farhi Y., Yan X., Boaretto E. and Bar-Oz G. (2018)
 548 Pigeon-raising and sustainable agriculture at the fringe of the desert: a view from the
 549 Byzantine village of Sa'adon, Negev, Israel. *Levant* 50: 91–113.
- 550 56. Fuks, D., Weiss, E., Tepper, Y. and Bar-Oz, G. (2016) Seeds of collapse? Reconstructing
 551 the ancient agricultural economy at Shivta in the Negev, *Antiquity* 90(353).
 552 <u>https://doi.org/10.15184/aqy.2016.167</u>.
- 57. Dunseth, Z., Fuks, D., Langgut, D., Weiss, E., Butler, D., Yan, X., Boaretto, E. Tepper,
 Y., Bar-Oz, G. and Shahack-Gross, R. (2019) Archaeobotanical proxies and
 archaeological interpretation: a comparative study of phytoliths, seeds and pollen in dung
 pellets and refuse deposits at Early Islamic Shivta, Negev, Israel. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 211: 166–185. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.03.010.
- 558 58. Fuks, D. and Dunseth, Z. (2021) Dung in the dumps: what we can learn from multi-proxy
 559 archaeobotanical study of herbivore dung pellets. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*,
 560 30, 137–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00334-020-00806-x
- 561 59. Langgut, D., Tepper, Y., Benzaquen, M., Erickson-Gini, T. and Bar-Oz, G. (2021)
 562 Environment and horticulture in the Byzantine Negev Desert, Israel: Sustainability,
 563 prosperity and enigmatic decline. *Quaternary International*.
 564 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2020.08.056
- 60. Halevy, D. (2016) Drinking (Beer) from the sea of Gaza: The rise and fall of Gaza's maritime trade in the late Ottoman period. *Ha-Mizrah ha-Hadash*, 55: 35–59.
- 567 61. Tepper, Y., Porat, N., Langgut, D., Barazani, O., Bajpai, P.K., Dag, A., Ehrlich, Y.,
 568 Boaretto, E. and Bar-Oz, G. (2022). Relict olive trees at runoff agriculture remains in
 569 Wadi Zetan, Negev Desert, Israel. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 41,
 570 103302.
- 571 62. Kislev, M.E. (1990) Extinction of *Acacia nilotica* in Israel. In: Bottema, S., Entjes572 Nieborg, G. and van Zeist, W. (eds), *Man's role in the shaping of the Eastern*573 *Mediterranean landscape: Proceedings of the symposium on the impact of ancient Man*574 *on the landscape of the E Med Region & the Near East, Groningen, March 1989* (p. 307–
 575 318). Groningen: CRC Press.
- 576 63. Feliks, Y. 2008. 'Rice'. In: *Encyclopedia Judaica*. Accessed online 20-1-2021 at: https://www.jewishvirtuallibrary.org/rice
- 64. Van der Veen, M. and Morales, J. (2011) Chapter 3: Summer crops from trade to
 innovation. In: Van der Veen, M., *Consumption, trade and innovation: exploring the botanical remains from the Roman and Islamic ports at Quseir al-Qadim, Egypt* (pp. 75–
 119). Frankfurt: Africa Magna Verlag.
- 582 65. Van der Veen, M. and Hamilton-Dyer, S. (1998) A life of luxury in the desert? The food
 583 and fodder supply to Mons Claudianus. *Journal of Roman Archaeology* 11: 101–116.

- 584 66. Vermeeren, C. and Cappers, R.T.J. (2002) Ethnographic and archaeobotanical evidence
 585 of local cultivation of plants in Roman Berenike and Shenshef (Red Sea coast,
 586 Egypt), *BIAXiaal*: 1–14.
- 587 67. Bouby, L. and Marinval, P. (2004) Fruits and seeds from Roman cremations in Limagne
 588 (Massif Central) and the spatial variability of plant offerings in France. *Journal of*589 *Archaeological Science* 31(1): 77–86.
- 68. Bosi, G., Castiglioni, E., Rinaldi, R., Mazzanti, M., Marchesini, M. and Rottoli, M.
 (2020). Archaeobotanical evidence of food plants in Northern Italy during the Roman period. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00334-020-</u>
 00772-4
- 594 69. Langgut, D. (2022) Prestigious Early Roman gardens across the Empire: The significance
 595 of gardens and horticultural trends evidenced by pollen. *Palynology* 46: 1–29.
- 596 70. Bouchaud, C., Jacquat, C., & Martinoli, D. (2017). Landscape use and fruit cultivation in
 597 Petra (Jordan) from Early Nabataean to Byzantine times (2nd century BC–5th century
 598 AD). *Vegetation history and archaeobotany*, 26(2), 223-244.
- 599 71. Kroll, H. (2005). Literature on archaeological remains of cultivated plants 1981–2004.
 600 URL: <u>http://www.archaeobotany.de/database.html</u>
- 72. Riehl, S. and Kümmel, C. (2005). Archaeobotanical database of Eastern Mediterranean
 and Near Eastern sites. <u>http://www.cuminum.de/archaeobotany/</u>
- 73. Núñez D.R., Séiquer, M.G., de Castro, C.O., Ariza, F.A. (2011). Plants and humans in the
 Near East and the Caucasus: ancient and traditional uses of plants as food and medicine, a
 diachronic ethnobotanical review (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Iran, Iraq, Lebanon,
 Syria, and Turkey), vols. 1–2. Murcia: Editum.
- 607 74. Caracuta, V., Vardi, J., Paz, Y., & Boaretto, E. (2017). Farming legumes in the pre608 pottery Neolithic: New discoveries from the site of Ahihud (Israel). *PloS one*, *12*(5),
 609 e0177859.
- 610 75. Feldman, M., & Kislev, M. E. (2007) Domestication of emmer wheat and evolution of
 611 free-threshing tetraploid wheat. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences*, 55(3-4), 207-221.
- 612 76. Langgut, D., Cheddadi, R., Carrión, J.S., Cavanagh, M., Colombaroli, D., Eastwood, W.
 613 J., Greenberg R., Litt, T., Mercuri A.M., Miebach, A., Roberts, N., Woldring, H. and
 614 Woodbridge, J. (2019) The origin and spread of olive cultivation in the Mediterranean
 615 Basin: The fossil pollen evidence. *The Holocene*, 29(5), 902-922.
- 616 77. Weiss, E. (2015) 'Beginnings of fruit growing in the Old World'—two generations
 617 later. Israel Journal of Plant Sciences 62(1–2): 75–85.
- 618 78. Melamed, Y. (2002) Chalcolithic and Hellenistic plant remains from Cave V/49
 619 (Northern Judean Desert). '*Atiqot* 41(2): 101-115.
- 620 79. Kislev, M.E., Artzy, M. & Marcus, E. (1993) Import of an Aegean food plant to the
 621 middle bronze IIA coastal site in Israel. *Levant* 25(1): 145-154.
 622 https://doi.org/10.1179/lev.1993.25.1.145
- 80. Langgut, D. (2015) Prestigious fruit trees in ancient Israel: first palynological evidence
 for growing *Juglans regia* and *Citrus medica*. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences* 62(1-2):
 98-110.
- 81. Weiss, E., Mahler-Slasky, Y., Melamed, Y., Lederman, Z., Bunimovitz, S., Bubel, S., &
 Manor, D. (2019). Foreign Food Plants as Prestigious Gifts: The Archaeobotany of the
 Amarna Age Palace at Tel Beth-Shemesh, Israel. *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research*, 381(1): 83-105.
- 82. Kislev, M.E. and Simchoni, O. (2009) Relict plant remains in the 'Caves of the Spear'. *Judea & Samaria Research Studies* 18: 165–176. (Hebrew with English summary).
- 632 83. Kislev, M. E. (1988). *Pinus pinea* in agriculture, culture and cult. In: H. Küster, U.
- 633 Körber-Gröhne, L. Baden-Württemberg (eds), Der Prähistorische Mensch und seine

- 634 *Umwelt : Festschrift für Udelgard Körber-Grohne zum 65* Geburtstag (pp. 73–79).
- 635 Stuttgart: Kommissionsverlag K. Theiss.
- 84. Tabak, Y. (2006) Agricultural prosperity in Roman Israel confirmed by Masada
 archeobotanic finds. Unpublished PhD dissertation. Ramat-Gan: Bar-Ilan University.
- 638 85. Hartman, A. and Kislev, M.E. (1998) Plant remains from the dwellers of the Ketef Yeriho
- 639 caves at the end of the Bar-Kokhba revolt. In: Eshel, H., Amit, D. and Porat, R. (eds),
- 640 Refuge caves of the Bar Kokhba revolt (pp. 153-168). Tel Aviv: Israel Exploration641 Society.
- 642

644 Supplementary Tables

Category Latin name **English common name** cereal Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench. sorghum Oryza sativa L. cereal rice cereal Triticum durum Desf. hard wheat textile Gossypium arboreum/herbaceum L. Old World cotton tree fruit Citrus aurantium L. sour orange Citrus limon L. tree fruit lemon tree fruit Citrus aurantifolia Swing. lime Citrus grandis L. tree fruit shaddock tree fruit Musa sapietium/paradisiaca L. banana/plantain Cocos nucifera L. tree fruit coconut tree fruit Mangifera indica L. mango Citrullus lanatus (Thumb.) Mansf. vegetable watermelon vegetable Spinacia oleracea L. spinach vegetable Cynara cardunculus L. var. scolymus artichoke vegetable Colocasia antiquorum Schott. colocasia vegetable Solanum melongena L. eggplant condiment Saccharum officinarum L. sugar cane

645 Supplementary Table 1. Proposed IGR crops (according to Watson 1983 [16])

646 Supplementary Table 2. Proposed RAD crops (see main text for discussion and sources)

Category	Latin name	English common name
cereal	Oryza sativa L.	rice
cereal	Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench.	sorghum
legume	Lupinus albus L.	white lupine
textile	Cannabis sativa L.	cannabis
tree fruit/nut	Ceratonia siliqua L.	carob
tree fruit/nut	Morus nigra L.	black mulberry
tree fruit/nut	Prunus persica (L.) Batsch	peach
tree fruit/nut	Pyrus communis L.	pear
tree fruit/nut	Prunus domestica L.	plum
tree fruit/nut	Prunus armeniaca L.	apricot
tree fruit/nut	Prunus avium/cerasus	cherry
tree fruit/nut	Pistacia vera L.	pistachio nut
tree fruit/nut	Pinus pinea L.	pine nut
tree fruit/nut	Corylus avellana L.	hazelnut
tree fruit/nut	Ziziphus jujube Mill.	jujuba
tree fruit/nut	Citrus x limon (L.) Osbeck	lemon
tree fruit/nut	Cocos nucifera L.	coconut
vegetable	Cucumis melo convar. melo	muskmelon

647

Supplementary Table 3. Pre-1st mill. CE Eastern Mediterranean introductions/domestications 648

Period	Category	Latin name	English common name
Neolithic	cereal	Triticum monococcum L. subsp. monococcum	einkorn wheat
Neolithic	cereal	T. turgidum L. subsp. dicoccum (Schrank) Thell.	emmer wheat
Neolithic	cereal	Hordeum vulgare subsp. vulgare	barley
Neolithic	cereal	Lens culinaris L.	lentil
Neolithic	legume	Pisum sativum L.	pea
Neolithic	legume	Cicer arietinum L. subsp. arietinum	chickpea
Neolithic	legume	Vicia ervilia (L.) Willd.	bitter vetch
Neolithic	legume	Vicia faba L.	fava bean
Neolithic	fiber/oil	Linum usitatissimum L.	flax
Chalcolithic-Early Bronze	tree fruit/nut	Olea europaea L.	olive
Chalcolithic-Early Bronze	tree fruit/nut	Vitis vinifera L.	grapevine
Chalcolithic-Early Bronze	tree fruit/nut	Ficus carica L.	fig
Chalcolithic-Early Bronze	tree fruit/nut	Ficus sycomorus L.	sycomore
Chalcolithic-Early Bronze	tree fruit/nut	Phoenix dactylifera L.	date
Chalcolithic-Early Bronze	tree fruit/nut	Punica granatum L.	pomegranate
Chalcolithic-Early Bronze	tree fruit/nut	Prunus amygdalus Batsch.	almond
Bronze-Iron Age	tree fruit/nut	Juglans regia L.	walnut
Bronze-Iron Age	tree fruit/nut	Citrus medica L.	citron
Bronze-Iron Age	cereal	Panicum miliaceum L.	broomcorn millet
Bronze-Iron Age	cereal	Setaria italica (L.) P. Beauv.	foxtail millet
Bronze-Iron Age	legume	Lathyrus clymenum L.	Spanish vetchling
Bronze-Iron Age	legume	Lathyrus sativus/cicera L.	grass pea
Bronze-Iron Age	legume	Trigonella foenum-graecum L.	fenugreek
Bronze-Iron Age	condiment/oil	Papaver somniferum L.	opium poppy
Bronze-Iron Age	condiment/oil	Nigella sativa L.	black cumin
Bronze-Iron Age	condiment/oil	Sesamum indicum L.	sesame
Bronze-Iron Age	vegetable	Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. & Nakai	watermelon

649

650 Based primarily on Zohary et al. 2012 [3], this list includes only species whose evidence for domestication/introduction is

651 652 clear. This and the preceding Supplementary tables are not meant as exhaustive lists but rather as a basis against which the

Negev Highlands crop plant assemblage can be compared.

Category	Latin name	Common name
Cereals	Hordeum vulgare subsp. hexastichum (hulled)	six-row hulled barley
	Hordeum vulgare subsp. distichum (hulled)	two-row hulled barley
	Triticum turgidum s.l. (free-threshing)	free-threshing tetraploid wheat
	Triticum aestivum (free-threshing)	free-threshing hexaploid wheat
Legumes	Lens culinaris	lentil
	Vicia ervilia	bitter vetch
	Vicia faba	broad beans
	Lathyrus clymenum	Spanish vetchling
	Lupinus albus	white lupine
	Trigonella foenum-graecum	fenugreek
Fruits	Vitis vinifera	common grape
	Ficus carica	common fig
	Phoenix dactylifera	date palm
	Olea europaea	European olive
	Punica granatum	pomegranate
	Ceratonia siliqua	carob
	Prunus persica	peach
	Prunus subgen. Cerasus/Prunus	plum/cherry
	Ziziphus jujuba	jujuba
Nuts	Prunus amygdalus	almond
	Pinus pinea	stone pine
	Pistacia vera	pistachio nut
	Juglans regia	Persian walnut
Vegetable	Solanum melongena	aubergine
Wild	Vachellia nilotica ²	Nile acacia
	Adonis dentata	toothed pheasant's eye
	Aizoon hispanicum	Spanish aizoon
	Ajuga iva	herb ivy
	Ammi majus/visnaga	bishop's weed
	Anagallis arvensis	scarlet pimpernel
	Anagyris foetida	Mediterranean stinkbush
	Andrachne telephioides	bastard orpine
	Anthemis pseudocotula	chamomile
	Arnebia decumbens	Arabian primrose
	Asphodelus tenuifolia/fistulosus	onionweed
	Astragalus hamosus/arpilobus	milkvetch
	Atriplex glauca	waxy saltbush
	Avena barbata	slender wild oat
	Avena sterilis	animated oat
	Bassia muricata	smotherweed
	<i>Bellevalia</i> sp.	Roman squill
	Beta vulgaris	beet
	Bifora testiculata	European bishop
	Brachypodium distachyon	purple false brome
	Bromus type	hrome (type)
	Dionno (jpo	oronie (type)

Supplementary Table 4. Carpological¹ plant remains from Negev Highland middens 653

 ¹ Includes taxa identified by other preserved plant parts, e.g. perianth, rachis fragments, segmented stems/leaves.
 ² We take this Egyptian wild plant to have been cultivated or imported into the Negev Highlands (see Results).

Buglossoides tenuiflora Bupleurum lancifolium Calendula sp. Cardaria draba Carrichtera annua Carthamus sp. Caylusea hexagyna Centaurea sp. Cephalaria joppensis Chenopodium murale Cichorium endivia Citrullus colocynthis Convolvulus cf. arvensis Coriandrum sativum Coronilla cf. repanda cf. Crassula/Sedum Cutandia memphitica/dichotoma Cynodon dactylon Daucus/Torilis Echiochilon fruticosum Echium cf. angustifolium Emex spinosa Erucaria microcarpa Erucaria pinnata Euphorbia falcata Fagonia sp. Fumaria parviflora Galium aparine Gastrocotyle hispida Glaucium arabicum Glebionis coronaria Gypsophila capillaris Gypsophila pilosa Haplophyllum cf. tuberculatum Hedysarum spinosissimum Heliotropium sp. Hippocrepis unisiliquosa Hordeum glaucum Hordeum marinum/hystrix Hordeum vulgare subsp. spontaneum Hyoscyamus reticulatus cf. Lathyrus aphaca cf. Lathyrus blepharicarpos Lathyrus hierosolymitanus Lathyrus marmoratus cf. Vicia narbonensiswild cf. edible Lathyrus sect. cicercula cf. Lavandula coronopifolia Lithospermeae Lolium rigidum Lolium temulentum

corn gromwell lanceleaf thorow wax calendula hoary cress Ward's weed thistle knapweed Jaffa scabious nettleleaf goosefoot endive colocynth bindweed coriander stonecrops cutandia grass Bermuda grass wildcarrot/hedgeparsley bushy bugloss bugloss devil's thorn pink mustard pink mustard sickle spurge fagonbush fineleaf fumitory cleavers hairy bugloss horned poppy garland chrysanthemum desert baby's breath Turkish baby's breath plant of the mosquito spiny sulla heliotrope single-flowered horseshoe vetch wall barley sea/Mediterranean barley wild barley henbane yellow vetchling ciliate vetchling Jerusalem vetchling vetchling cf. purple broad vetch vetchling stagshorn lavender rigid ryegrass

darnel ryegrass

cf. Lotus peregrinus Malva aegyptica Malva parviflora Medicago astroites Medicago polymorpha/marina Medicago tuberculata Melilotus sulcatus Mesembryanthemum nodiflorum Moltkiopsis ciliata Neslia apiculata Nonea echioides/melanocarpa Papaver sp. Peganum harmala Phalaris minor Phalaris paradoxa Picris sp. cf. Pinus halepensis Pistacia atlantica Plantago chamaepsyllium/notata Plantago ovata Pteranthus dichotomus Pulicaria incisa Raphanus raphanistrum Rapistrum rugosum Reseda muricata cf. Rhus coriaria Rumex sp. Salsoleae Scorpiurus muricatus Silene colorata/decipiens Solanum villosum/nigrum Spergula fallax Suaeda sp. Tamarix aphylla Teucrium capitatum Thesium humile/bergeri Thymelaea cf. passerina/gussonei Thymelaea hirsuta Trifolium campestre/glanduliferum Trifolium sp. Trigonella arabica Vaccaria hispanica Verbascum sp. Vicia hybrida/sericocarpa Vicia palaestina/sativa Vicia peregrina/narbonensis Vicia sativa Vicia villosa/tenuifolia Zilla spinosa

bird's foot trefoil Egyptian mallow cheeseweed mallow medick bur clover/sea medick medick furrowed melilot slenderleaf iceplant callous-leaved gromwell ball mustard monkswort poppy wild rue small canary grass Mediterranean canary grass oxtongue cf. Aleppo pine atlas pistachio plantain blond plantain wild radish annual bastardcabbage mignonette cf. elm-leaved sumach dock saltwort prickly scorpion's-tail catchfly

hairy/black nightshade

cat-thyme germander

mezereon/sparrow-wort

shaggy sparrow-wort

field/glandular clover

Arabian fenugreek

Palestine/common vetch

hairy/fine-leaved vetch

wandering/purple broad vetch

spurry

clover

vetch

cow cockle mullein

common vetch

spiny zilla

seepweed

athel tamarisk

bastard toadflax

Category	Taxon	English common name	SVT	NZN	HLZ
	Ficus carica	common fig	+	+	+
	Ficus sycomorus	Sycomore fig	-	+	+
	Hyphaene thebaica	doum palm	+	+	-
Emit troop	Olea europaea	olive	+	-	+
Full trees	Phoenix dactylifera	date palm	+	-	-
	Prunus spp. (dulcis/armeniaca)	plum/apricot	+	+	-
	Punica granatum	pomegranate	-	+	-
	Vitis vinifera	grapevine	+	+	-
	Buxus sempervirens	boxwood	+	+	-
Exotic trees	Cedrus libani	cedar of Lebanon	+	+	-
	Fraxinus excelsior	European ash	-	+	-
	Calotropis procera	apple of Sodom	+	+	-
	Capparis spinosa	caper bush	+	-	-
	Fagonia mollis	fagonia	-	+	-
	Juniperus phoenicea	Phoenician juniper	+	+	-
	Lycium spp.	boxthorn	+	+	+
	Moringa peregrina	Ben tree	+	-	-
	Pistacia atlantica	Persian turpentine	+	+	-
Desert trees	Populus/Salix	poplar/willow	-	+	-
and sin ubs	Retama raetam	white broom	+	+	+
	Rhamnus spp.	buckthorn	+	+	+
	Salsola tetrandra	saltwort [tetrandra]	+	-	-
	Salsola vermiculata	Mediterranean saltwort	+	+	-
	<i>Tamarix</i> spp.	tamarisk	+	+	+
	Ziziphus/Paliurus	jujube/Jerusalem thorn	+	+	+
	Zygophyllum dumosum	bushy bean caper	+	+	-
	Crataegus spp.	hawthorn group/Maloideae	+	+	+
	Cupressus sempervirens	Italian cypress	+	+	+
	Myrtus communis	true myrtle	-	+	-
Mediterranean	Pinus halepensis	Aleppo pine	+	+	+
shrubs	Pistacia palaestina	terebinth	+	+	+
	Platanus orientalis	oriental plane	-	+	+
	Quercus calliprinos	Kermes oak	+	-	+
	Vitex agnus-castus	chaste tree	-	+	-

654	Supplementary	Table 5. Id	dentified	wood and	charcoal	taxa from	Shivta,	Nessana and El	usa
	11 2						,		

Data for Shivta and Nessana derive from Langgut et al. 2021, Table 1 [59]; Data for Elusa are based
on Bar-Oz et al. 2019, Table S8 [43].

657	Supplementary	Table 6.	Identified	pollen from	Shivta i	reservoirs and	garden
							~

Taxon	English common name	S reservoir	N reservoir	N church
Artemisia	sagebrush	+	+	+
Asphodelus	asphodels	-	+	+
Asteraceae Asteroideae type	aster-like	+	+	+
Asteraceae Cichorioideae type	dandelion-like	+	+	+
Brassicaceae	mustards	+	+	+
Bunium type	cabbage family	+	+	+
Calendula	marigold	+	-	-
Carduus	plumeless thistles	-	-	-
Carthamus	distaff thistle	+	-	+
Caryophyllaceae	pinks	-	+	+
Cedrus	cedar	+	+	+
Centaurea	knapweeds	-	+	+
Ceratonia siliqua	carob	+	-	+
Cerealia	cereals	+	+	+
Chenopodiaceae	chenopods	+	+	+
Cistus	rock rose	+	+	-
Corvlus	hazel	+	-	+
Crocus	crocus	-	+	-
Cyperaceae	sedges	+	+	+
Ephedra	Mormon-tea	+	+	+
Fabaceae	legumes	+	+	+
<i>Ferula</i> type		_	+	+
Fraxinus	ash	+	+	+
Geranium	craneshill	+	+	+
Juniperus/Cupressus	iuniper/cypress	+	+	+
Lemna	duckweeds	_	+	_
Liliaceae	lilies	+	+	+
Malvaceae	mallows	-	+	+
Myrtus communis	true myrtle		_	+
Nymhaea	water lilies	+	+	+
Olea europaea	olive	+	+	+
Phoenix dactylifera	date nalm	· -	· -	· -
Pinaceae	nine family	-	і Т	1 -
Pinus	pine failing	-	і Т	1 -
Plantaginaceae	plantains	1 	1	-
	grasses	+	т _	+
Polygonaceae	knotweeds	+	+	+
Potamogaton	nondwood	т	т 1	т
Panunculaceae	buttercup	-	т _	-
Ranunculaceae Pumor	docks	-	т	-
Salix	willow	-	-	+
Soilla	aquilla	Ŧ	+	Ŧ
Spanganium	squiiis bur roods	-	+	-
Sparganium Tamaniu	tomorials	-	-	+
Thumalaaaaaaa	callialisk	-	-	+
	sparrow-wort	+	+	+
UIMUS Vidia miniforma	eim	-	+	-
vitis vinifera	grapevine	+	+	+
Zygophyllum	bean-caper	-	-	+

658 Supplementary Information

659 Field and laboratory extraction methods

Eleven middens from the three sites, Elusa, Shivta and Nessana, were excavated at 660 661 approximately 10 cm height intervals to ensure chronological control (Figure 1 of main text). 662 Loci and baskets were assigned by a combination of stratigraphy and sediment features 663 during excavation. A three-pronged sifting strategy was adopted to maximize retrieval of 664 artifacts and biological remains, while enabling complementary resolutions of analysis. All 665 excavated material was sifted at one of three different levels, corresponding to sieve sizes: (1) 666 Most excavated sediment was dry screened on site through 5 mm sieves. (2) Wet screening 667 through 1 mm mesh was performed on two buckets (~20 l) from each excavated locus-basket. 668 (3) One additional bucket from each locus-basket was set aside for fine screening. Selected 669 buckets of sample sediments were divided into 3-liter subsamples which were processed by 670 flotation or fine-mesh dry screening, and sieved using graduated sieves at 4 mm, 2 mm, 1 671 mm, 0.5 mm and sometimes 0.3 mm mesh sizes. One additional source of identified seeds 672 was an assemblage of dissected charred dung pellets from two of the middens (Dunseth et al.

673 2019).

674 For ease of reference, (1) and (2) above are collectively referred to as *course sift samples* and 675 (3) is referred to as *fine sift samples*. Due to the high volume of samples and the extremely 676 high concentration of seeds within them, a subsampling strategy based on sieve mesh size 677 was adopted for the fine sift samples. All flotation light fraction and heavy residues were 678 sorted at the ≥ 2 mm mesh size. Light fraction was studied at 1 mm and 0.5 mm mesh sizes 679 for select samples, such that at least three 1 mm samples and one 0.5 mm sample were sorted 680 for each period on each site. Fine sift samples were sorted using an Olympus SZX9 stereo 681 microscope. Course sifted samples were sorted by volunteers and archaeology students 682 during the excavation and thereafter. Seed finds from the course sifting were visually 683 examined with aid of a stereo microscope, and rare specimens taken to the Bar-Ilan 684 University Archaeobotany Lab for identification.

On-site screening through 5mm sieves enabled very large volumes of sediment to be screened
– nearly all excavated sediments were sifted in this way. As a result, course sifting
demonstrated the ubiquity of dates and olives in all sites and periods, which would have been
missed from fine sifting only. It also allowed for the discovery of less common large-seeded
species; cherry/plum, pistachio, walnut, jujuba, fava bean and white lupine would have been

690 missed entirely by exclusive fine sifting with its smaller sample volumes. This is reflected in 691 the shorter species list in Table 2 of the main text, which records fine-sift retrieval only, in 692 comparison with Table 1, which records course sift and fine sift retrieval. Since the same 693 positive bias for retrieval of large seeds by 5mm sieves applies to both olive pits and date 694 stones on one hand and those of cherry/plum, pistachio, walnut and jujuba on the other, this 695 level of sifting facilitated the distinction between staple fruit crops and luxury/supplementary 696 ones.

697 Wet screening through 1 mm mesh also allowed for processing of a greater sample volume 698 (up to 201 per locus-basket) than for the fine sift samples (31 per locus-basket), providing 699 additional qualitative and quantitative data for most of the major domesticated plant seeds. 700 Ratios of cereal grains to grape pips from wet screening and fine sifting were shown to be 701 equivalent, enabling wet-screened samples to complement fine-sifted samples in quantitative 702 analysis (Fuks et al. 2020). Wet screening through 1 mm mesh and sorting by volunteers is a 703 cost-effective method for discovering the main domesticated plant species on site, but it 704 provides incomplete coverage.

705 As long-recognized in archaeobotany, fine-mesh sifting enabled retrieval of a much wider 706 range of plants. Without it, we would have entirely missed the presence of fig drupelets on 707 site, let alone their high ubiquity. Evidence for crop processing, especially of cereals, derived 708 exclusively from the fine sifting, as did the vast majority of wild/weed seeds. In addition, the 709 subsampling strategy by mesh size proved highly effective in maximizing species retrieval 710 and quantitative comparison between contexts. Sorting 100% of fine sift sediments at the 2 711 mm+ mesh size enabled full recovery of all major domesticated species except figs. 712 Subsampling material retrieved from 1 mm and 0.5 mm sieves enabled a balance to be met 713 between constraints and coverage of small finds. These sieve sizes produced the bulk of 714 cereal rachis fragments, fig drupelets and remains of most identified wild/weed taxa. 715 Altogether, the above multi-pronged sifting strategy effectively maximized retrieval of plant

remains and contributed to the high diversity of identified taxa. This, together with the focus on organically rich rubbish middens and a multi-site micro-regional approach produced a dataset that is relevant on a macro-regional and Holocene-wide scale.

719

720 Seed identification

- 721 Identifications were performed with reference to the Israel National Collection of Plant Seeds
- and Fruits at Bar-Ilan University. Cereal grain morphometry was employed to identify
- candidates, using the Computerized Key of Grass Grains developed by Mordechai Kislev's
- laboratory (Kislev et al. 1992; 1997; 1999). As aids to identification and analysis, local plant
- guides were consulted, particularly the *Flora Palaestina* (Zohary and Feinbrun-Dothan,
- 726 1966–1986). Additional floras of Mediterranean, Irano-Turanian and Saharo-Arabian
- phytogeographic regions were consulted as needed (Townsend and Guest 1966–1985;
- 728 Meikle, 1977, 1985; Zohary et al. 1980–1994; Feinbrun-Dothan et al. 1998; Turland, 1993;
- Boulos, 1999-2005; Davis, 1966–2001; Danin, 2004). To confirm identification, the jujuba
- 730 (Ziziphus jujuba) endocarp was scanned using a micro-CT (Bruker desktop SkyScan 1174) at
- the Laboratory of Bone Biomechanics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem (Supplementary
- 732 Videos 1-2).
- 733 Identification criteria for rare, domesticated plant specimens discussed in the main text are734 summarized below:
- 735 Aubergine (Solanum melongena L.)

736 S. melongena and other Solanum seeds are laterally compressed, broadly oval-shaped and

⁷³⁷ under 5 mm in maximal length. S. melongena seeds are distinguished from wild Solanum

- seeds of the southern Levant by their larger size, reticulated seed coat pattern, and the wide
- ovoid hilum set in a recess in the seed's lateral outline (Van der Veen and Morales 2011: 93;
- 740 Amichay and Weiss 2020: 679). This includes S. incanum L. which was identified at
- 741 Byzantine Ein Gedi and is considered by some to be the wild progenitor of S. melongena
- 742 (Melamed and Kislev 2005). The latter two criteria also distinguish *S. melongena* from
- 743 domesticated *Capsicum* spp. Based on these criteria, we identified three definitive *S*.
- 744 *melongena* seeds from Umayyad Shivta (Area E, Locus 504, Basket 5029). Poor preservation
- 745 precludes definitive identification for an additional three fragmented seeds from Umayyad
- Nessana (Locus 102) for which *S. melongena* nonetheless appears to be the only candidate
- 747 (SI Figure 1).



748

SI Figure 1. Left: *Solanum melongena* L. seed from Shivta (E 504-5029). Right: cf. *Solanum melongena* from Nessana (A 102-1072-1).

751

752 Cherry/plum (Prunus subgen. Cerasus/Prunus)

A single ovoid endocarp with a pointed apex, elliptical base (5 mm by 2.5 mm), and smooth
surface was found in a course-sift sample from Umayyad Shivta (Area K1, Locus 165, Basket

1652; SI Figure 2). Its length from apex to base is 12.67 mm, width 9.33 mm, and breadth

756 7.67 mm. A ventral ridge runs down the length of the endocarp, from apex to base,

- accompanied by two ridges on either side and at equal distance from the central ridge.
- However, the right ventral ridge exists only on the top third of the endocarp while the left
- ventral ridge is visible in the top two thirds. The dorsal side is marked by a single
- 760 longitudinal ridge. The above characteristics ruled out apricot, peach, and almond, and leave
- cherry and plum as candidates (*Prunus* subgen. *Cerasus/Prunus*). Due to the wide variety of
- plum and cherry cultivars (Depypere et al. 2007) not fully covered by the reference
- 763 collection, we did not identify to species.





766 SI Figure 2. *Prunus* subgen. Cerasus/Prunus endocarp from Shivta (K1 165-1652)

769 Jujuba (Ziziphus jujuba Mill.)

- A single charred obconical-mucronate endocarp was found from Umayyad-period layers
- from Shivta (Area E, Locus 501, Basket 5108). Micro-CT scanning (using a Bruker desktop
- SkyScan 1174), demonstrated it to be spherically hollow with remnants of a partition (see
- Supplementary Videos 1-2), confirming its status as a fruit endocarp. The external endocarp
- dimensions (11.16 mm x 6.0 mm x 5.33 mm) and obconical with markedly narrowing apex
- (SI Figure 3) are unique to certain varieties of Ziziphus jujuba. The specimen's pointed edges
- tapered slightly and the external grooves characteristic of Z. jujuba are barely recognizable,
- apparently the result of abrasion during or following charring. Remnants of the characteristic
- v-shaped basal scar between the two endocarp halves (Jiang et al. 2013, their Fig. 6) are
- barely visible, again likely due to abrasion. Species with similar endocarps include local wild
- 780 types of Ziziphus (Z. spina-christi, Z. lotus, Z. nummalaria), however these are always
- spherical and never obconical-mucronate to the extent of *Z. jujuba* and the specimen at hand.



782

SI Figure 3. Ziziphus jujuba Mill. endocarp from Shivta (E 501-5108)

784

785 Nile acacia (Vachellia nilotica (L.) P.J.H.Hurter & Mabb.)

Vachellia (syn. Acacia) is a genus in the Mimosoideae subfamily of the Fabaceae. Seeds of 786 787 Mimosoideae species native to the southern Levant are elliptical to ovate and compressed. On 788 each face of the seedcoat a conspicuous pleurogram delimits an ovate areole (Gunn 1984; Al-Gohary and Mohamed 2007). The pleurogram may either be open-ended, i.e. U-789 790 shaped/horseshoe-shaped, or closed, concentric to the seed contour. To identify seeds with 791 these traits found in the middens, we compared seeds of Mimosoideae species native to the 792 southern Levant, based on samples in the Israel National Collection of Plant Seeds and Fruits: 793 (i) Vachellia nilotica (L.) P.J.H.Hurter & Mabb.) syn. Acacia nilotica (L.) Willd. ex Delile; 794 (ii) Senegalia laeta (R.Br. ex Benth.) Seigler & Ebinger syn. Acacia laeta R.Br. ex Benth.; 795 (iii) Acacia pachyceras O. Schwartz; (iv) Vachellia tortilis subsp. raddiana (Savi) Kyal. & 796 Boatwr. syn. Acacia raddiana Savi; (v) Vachellia tortilis (Forssk.) Galasso & Banfi syn. 797 Acacia tortilis (Forssk.) Hayne; (vi) Faidherbia albida (Delile) A.Chev.; and (vii) Prosopis 798 farcta (Banks & Sol.) J.F.Macbr. We observed that V. nilotica seeds are distinguished by the 799 following characteristics: 800 1) The pleurogram's border (linea fissura) is closed, creating an ovate areole (SI Figure 801 4). 2) The areole is largest, relative to seed size, in V. nilotica, i.e., the distance from the 802 803 linea fissura to the seed edge is shortest in this species (SI Table 1). 804 3) The areole's widest part is in the top third of the seed (SI Table 1; SI Figure 4). 805 4) A protrusion is present next to the hilum which we observed to be unique to V. 806 *nilotica* seeds among the above species. 807 V. nilotica seeds tend to be the largest of the above except for P. farcta, although interspecies 808 diversity leads to size overlap between V. nilotica, A. pachyceras and V. tortilis subsp. 809 raddiana (SI Table 1). P. farcta seeds are like Vachellia spp. seeds in shape but tend to be 810 larger than most Vachellia seeds and more ovate to pear-shaped. Their pleurograms are 811 visibly open. V. nilotica seeds were identified using a combination of criteria (1)-(4) above in 812 midden samples from Elusa (Area A1, Locus 1/10a; A4, L. 4/06a-4/07a; SI Figure 4). 813 Remains of Vachellia were identified also in other Negev Highland sites: One seed from 814 Nessana (A, L. 125, B. 1446) was identified as Vachellia sp., while a single seed from Shivta 815 (K1, L. 153, B. 1579) could only be identified as Vachellia/Prosopis farcta due to poor 816 preservation.



817

818 SI Figure 4. *Vachellia nilotica* (L.) Willd. ex Delile seed faces A and B from Elusa (A1/10a)

820 SI Table 1. Some Acacia spp. seed measurements from the Israel National Collection of Plant

821 Seeds and Fruits

			seed	seed	seed	areole	areole	(seed width-	(seed length-	max.
		seed	face	length	width	length	width	areole width)/	areole length)/	areole
Species	Population	#	(A/B)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	(mm)	seed width	seed length	width
A. nilotica	Elusa A, archaeological	1	А	7.5	6.0	6.0	4.2	0.30	0.20	а
A. nilotica	Elusa A, archaeological	1	В	7.5	6.0	6.1	4.1	0.32	0.19	а
A. nilotica	Elusa B, archaeological	2	А	5.7	4.7	5.3	3.6	0.23	0.07	а
A. nilotica	Luxor 1981	3	А	10.0	7.6	9.0	6.0	0.21	0.10	а
A. nilotica	Luxor 1981	3	В	10.1	7.7	8.9	5.6	0.27	0.12	а
A. nilotica	Luxor 1981	4	А	10.5	7.7	8.9	6.0	0.22	0.15	а
A. nilotica	Luxor 1981	4	В	10.5	7.7	8.8	6.0	0.22	0.16	а
A. nilotica	Luxor 1981	5	А	10.9	7.3	9.5	5.7	0.22	0.13	а
A. nilotica	Luxor 1981	5	В	10.6	7.0	9.5	5.0	0.29	0.10	а
A. nilotica	Luxor 1981	6	А	7.0	6.5	6.2	4.5	0.31	0.11	а
A. nilotica	Luxor 1981	6	В	7.0	6.4	6.0	4.5	0.30	0.14	а
A. pachyceras	Wadi Ram 26.2.95	7	А	9.2	6.9	6.2	3.2	0.54	0.33	с
A. pachyceras	Wadi Ram 26.2.95	7	В	9.1	6.7	6.6	3.2	0.52	0.27	с
A. pachyceras	Wadi Ram 26.2.95	8	А	10.5	8.0	7.4	4.2	0.48	0.30	а
A. pachyceras	Wadi Ram 26.2.95	8	В	10.5	8.0	7.8	3.9	0.51	0.26	а
A. pachyceras	Wadi Ram 26.2.95	9	А	10.6	6.5	7.9	3.5	0.46	0.25	b
A. pachyceras	Wadi Ram 26.2.95	9	В	10.4	6.4	7.8	3.6	0.44	0.25	b
A. pachyceras	Nahal Hayyun 15.3.71	10	А	8.1	5.7	5.0	2.7	0.53	0.38	а
A. pachyceras	Nahal Hayyun 15.3.72	10	В	8.0	5.7	5.1	2.5	0.56	0.36	а
A. pachyceras	Nahal Hayyun 15.3.73	11	А	8.5	5.8	6.4	3.3	0.43	0.25	b
A. pachyceras	Nahal Hayyun 15.3.74	11	В	8.5	5.8	6.3	3.2	0.45	0.26	b
A. pachyceras	Nahal Hayyun 15.3.75	12	А	7.7	6.2	6.0	3.6	0.42	0.22	b
A. pachyceras	Nahal Hayyun 15.3.76	12	В	7.5	6.2	6.0	3.6	0.42	0.20	b
A. raddiana	Moje Awad	13	А	7.9	5.8	5.4	3.5	0.40	0.32	е
A. raddiana	Moje Awad	13	В	7.9	5.7	5.2	3.5	0.39	0.34	e
A. raddiana	Moje Awad	14	А	9.7	6.5	7.0	3.8	0.42	0.28	d
A. raddiana	Moje Awad	14	В	9.6	6.5	7.0	4.0	0.38	0.27	с
A. raddiana	Moje Awad	15	А	8.1	5.9	5.5	3.8	0.36	0.32	с
A. raddiana	Moje Awad	15	В	8.0	6.0	5.7	3.5	0.42	0.29	с
A. raddiana	Ein Gedi 19.5.1917	16	А	8.0	5.5	5.9	3.5	0.36	0.26	с
A. raddiana	Ein Gedi 19.5.1917	16	В	8.0	5.5	5.6	3.4	0.38	0.30	с
A. raddiana	Ein Gedi 19.5.1917	17	А	8.0	5.3	5.9	3.5	0.34	0.26	с
A. raddiana	Ein Gedi 19.5.1917	17	В	8.1	5.4	5.5	3.4	0.37	0.32	с
A. raddiana	Ein Gedi 19.5.1917	18	А	8.0	5.4	5.9	3.5	0.35	0.26	с
A. raddiana	Ein Gedi 19.5.1917	18	В	8.0	5.4	5.8	3.4	0.37	0.28	с

⁸²² 823

Table uses Acacia as used in the reference accessions; for synonyms see text above. Max. areole width is based on distance from hilum:a) upper third (from hilum); b) upper third-midway; c) midway; d) midway-lower third; e) lower third

825 Spanish vetchling (Lathyrus clymenum L.)

826 Identification of *Lathyrus clymenum* was based on morphological similarity to ancient *L*.

- 827 *clymenum* seeds identified from Tel Nami by Kislev (1993). Diagrams and measurements
- 828 reported by Sarpaki and Jones (1990) for a large number of *L. clymenum* seeds from Late
- 829 Bronze Age Akrotiri and Knossos were also used.
- 830 The following generalized description refers to the identified *L. clymenum* seeds from Shivta
- 831 and Nessana: The seeds are laterally compressed, nearly rectangular circumstance. In lateral
- 832 view, the radicle lies on the short side, perpendicular to the long side where the hilum lies (SI
- Figure 5). The radicle forms a somewhat planar face, especially by comparison with the other
- sides of the seed. The dorsal side (parallel to that on which the hilum lies), is conspicuously
- carinated, whereas the ventral side was only moderately carinated. The hilum occupies over
- half the length of the ventral side. It begins at one end of the ventral side (near the radicle)
- and ends just before the circular lens. The thin seed coat is neither perfectly smooth nor
- tuberculate but appears grainy at magnification of ca. 40X.
- 839 *L. clymenum* seeds were identified at Nessana, midden A (106-1255 cf. 106-1257; 101-1032)
- and several from midden K at Shivta (153-1588,1610; 158-1618; 166-1658; 169-1678,1703;
- 841 172-1689). The positions, shapes and relative sizes of the hilum and lens matched those of
- the Tel Nami L. clymenum seeds and the depictions in Sarpaki and Jones (1990). The same is
- 843 true for seed coat thickness and texture, as well as the markedly carinated dorsal side. One
- seed from Shivta (K1, 153-1588) measured below than the range of Tel Nami seed
- 845 dimensions (SI Table 2). However, its relative dimensions and clear morphology justified
- 846 unequivocal identification as *L. clymenum*.

Seed	L (mm)	B (mm)	T (mm)	L/B	L/T
1	4.3	2.3	3.6	1.87	1.19
2	4.6	2.4	3.9	1.92	1.18
3	4.2	2.2	3.05	1.91	1.38
4	3.6	2.75	2.9	1.31	1.24
5	3.3	2.5	3.5	1.32	0.94
mean	4.00	2.43	3.39	1.66	1.19
s.d.	0.48	0.19	0.37	0.29	0.14





SI Figure 5. *Lathyrus clymenum* L. seed from Shivta, midden K. Length ca. 3.5 mm.

849

850 White lupine (Lupinus albus L.)

851 Three species of lupine (Lupinus) which grow today in the southern Levant are distinct for 852 their large (ca. 1 cm), compressed quadrangular seeds: L. palaestinus, L. pilosus, and the 853 cultivated L. albus. Viewed laterally, the seeds of these species have a near-circular, or D-854 shaped outline and, frequently, a visible depression or dimple. The triangular radicle forms 855 the perimeter's straightest side, while the hilum leads from the radicle tip toward the lens at 856 an angle such that the lens and radicle are on perpendicular sides with the hilum cutting across between the two. The lens is nearly as large as the hilum and both are elliptic. The 857 seed coat surrounds the hilum by a characteristic elliptical protrusion. Throughout, the seed 858 859 coat consists of at least two layers visibly distinct in cross-section, with the outer layer having 860 a smooth surface and the inner layer having a grainy surface. As is common among 861 domesticated legumes in general, the seed coat of cultivated *L. albus* is much thinner than its local wild relatives. An additional feature distinguishing L. albus seeds from L. 862 palaestinus/pilosus is the presence of a clear transverse ridge separating the radicle 863 depression and the hilum on the seed surface. In L. palaestinus/pilosus, by contrast, the 864 865 radicle depression and hilum are essentially contiguous, running smoothly one into the other. 866 Three candidates for lupine seeds were identified among course-sifted archaeobotanical remains from Nessana (Area A, Locus 101, Baskets 1008/1 and 1040/2). The single seed 867

from Basket 1040 (SI Figure 6) is compressed with a lateral depression and a near-circular

quadrangle in outline measuring 70 x 75 mm. Remains of a triangular radicle on the seed's

870 straight side are clearly visible. These features narrowed its identification to one of the three

- aforementioned *Lupinus* species. Both lens and hilum are visible; their shape and orientation
- 872 match those of *Lupinus* seeds. A slight but clear protrusion separating the hilum from the
- 873 radicle depression warrant identification as *Lupinus albus*. Remnants of a thin and grainy
- seed coat are visible in the center of the cotyleda's surface, in the middle of the lateral
- 875 depression.

Two additional seeds from Basket 1008/1 show characteristic lupine (Lupinus sp.) hila and 876 877 radicle. The seeds measure 65 x 70 mm and 75 x 80 mm which, together with their D-shaped 878 outlines, corresponds with that typical to the large lenticular lupine species mentioned above. 879 The two seeds from basket 1008/1 are broader than the L. albus seed from Basket 1040/2, and 880 the characteristic lateral depression is not visible. This is apparently due to lateral swelling 881 and partial disfiguration during charring as is common in charred legume seeds. In the larger 882 of the two seeds, a thin, grainy seed coat is visible surrounding the triangular radicle and 883 covering one of the cotyleda. In that same seed, a topographic separation between the radicle 884 depression and hilum justifies identification as L. albus.

- 885
- 886
- 887
- 888
- 889

890 SI Figure 6. *Lupinus albus* L.

seed faces A and B from Nessana (A 101-1040/2)

892

893 *Radiocarbon dating*

Periodization of the studied assemblages followed those used by Fuks et al. (2020), based on ceramic typologies and previous radiocarbon dates (Bar-Oz et al. 2019). In this study we

5 mm

- 896 dated the loci-baskets containing unprecedented finds for southern Levantine archaeobotany,
- as well as the locus containing well-preserved aubergine seeds in Shivta. The aubergine,
- 898 lupin and jujuba seeds were too rare to sacrifice for direct radiocarbon so barley grains were
- selected from the very same sediment sample within each locus-basket. Radiocarbon dating

- 900 was performed by the Poznan Radiocarbon Laboratory, and calibration was made with the
- 901 OxCal v4.4.2 (Bronk Ramsey 2020), using atmospheric data from Reimer et al (2020). All
- 902 dates reflect assemblages from the Early Islamic period (Table 4).
- 903 Although the calibrated ranges vary, the sample containing aubergine (S. melongena) falls
- within the Abbasid period at the 95% confidence level; samples containing white lupin (*L*.
- 905 *albus*) and jujuba (*Z. jujuba*) are either Umayyad or from the early Abbasid period (mid-7th –
- 906 late 8^{th} c. cal. CE).
- 907
- 908 Micro-CT scanning
- 909 Micro-CT scans on the Z. jujuba endocarp were conducted by Senthil Ram Prabhu
- 910 Thangadurai at the Laboratory of Bone Biomechanics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem.
- 911 Optical resolution (pixel size): 9.6 µm; exposure: 4500 ms; rotation step: 0.400 degrees; 180
- 912 degree rotation option was used; 0.25 mm thick aluminium filter. The scans confirmed
- 913 identification as an endocarp by revealing its hollow inner structure and partition. For full
- 914 identification criteria see above. The following scanning files are attached to this article:
- 915 SI Video 1 Micro-CT longitudinal scans of Z. jujuba endocarp.
- 916 *SI Video 2* Micro-CT lateral scans of *Z. jujuba* endocarp.
- 917
- 918 References to Supplementary Information
- Al-Gohary, I.H. and Mohamed, A.H. (2007). Seed morphology of Acacia in Egypt and its
 taxonomic significance. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 9(3): 435–438.
- 921 Amichay, O. and Weiss, E. (2020). Chapter 18: The archaeobotanical remains. In: Ben-Ami,
- 922 D. and Tchekhanovets, Y. Jerusalem: Excavations in the Tyropoeon Valley (Giv'ati Parking
- 22 D. and Tenekhanovers, 1. serusatem. Excavations in the Tyropocon Futtey (617 at 1 arking 23 Lot). Volume II, The Byzantine and Early Islamic Periods (pp. 645–701). IAA Reports, no.
- 024 66/2 Jamusolomy Janual Antiquities Authomity
- 924 66/2. Jerusalem: Israel Antiquities Authority.
- Bar-Oz G. et al. (2019). Ancient trash mounds unravel urban collapse a century before the
- end of Byzantine hegemony in the southern Levant. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 116(17): 8239–8248.
- 928 Boulos, L. (1999–2005). Flora of Egypt. Vols. 1–4. Cairo: Al Hadara.
- 929 Danin, A. (2004). Distribution atlas of plants in the Flora Palaestina area. Jerusalem: Israel
- 930 Academy of Sciences and Humanities.
- Davis, P.H. (1966–2001). *Flora of Turkey*. Vols. 1–11. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University
 Press.

- 933 Depypere, L., Chaerle, P., Mijnsbrugge, K.V. and Goetghebeur, P. (2007). Stony endocarp
- dimension and shape variation in Prunus section Prunus. Annals of Botany, 100(7): 1585-
- 935 1597
- 936 Dunseth, Z.C., Fuks, D., Langgut, D., Weiss, E., Melamed, Y., Butler, D.H., Yan, X.,
- 937 Boaretto, E., Tepper, Y., Bar-Oz, G. and Shahack-Gross, R. (2019). Archaeobotanical proxies
- and archaeological interpretation: A comparative study of phytoliths, pollen and seeds in
- 939 dung pellets and refuse deposits at Early Islamic Shivta, Negev, Israel. *Quaternary Science*
- 940 *Reviews* 211: 166–185.
- 941 Feinbrun-Dothan, N. and Danin, A. (1991). Analytical flora of Eretz
- 942 *Israel* (Hebrew). Jerusalem: Cana.
- 943 Fuks, D., Bar-Oz, G., Tepper, Y., Erickson-Gini, T., Langgut, D., Weissbrod, L. and Weiss,
- E. (2020). The rise and fall of viticulture in the Negev Highlands during Late Antiquity: an
- 945 economic reconstruction from quantitative archaeobotanical and ceramic data. *Proceedings of*946 *the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 117 (33): 19780–19791.
- 947 Gunn, C.R. (1984). Fruits and seeds of genera in the subfamily Mimosoideae (Fabaceae).
- 948 U.S. Department of Agriculture, Technical Bulletin No. 1681.
- Jiang, H., Yang, J., Ferguson, D., Li, Y., Wang, C.S., Li, C.S. and Liu, C. (2013). Fruit stones
- 950 from Tiao Lei's tomb of Jiangxi in China, and their palaeoethnobotanical
- 951 significance. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40(4): 1911–1917.
- Kislev, M.E., Artzy, M. and Marcus, E. (1993). Import of an Aegean food plant to a Middle
 Bronze IIA coastal site in Israel. *Levant* 25(1): 145–154.
- 4954 Kislev, M.E., Simchoni, O., Melamed, Y., Marmorstein, M. (1995). Computerized key for
- 955 grass grains of Israel and its adjacent regions. In: Kroll, H. and Pasternak, R., (eds.), *Res*
- 956 archaeobotanicae: International Workgroup for Palaeoethnobotany Proceedings of the 9th
- 957 *symposium* (pp. 69–79). Kiel: Oetker-Voges.
- Kislev, M.E., Melamed, Y., Simchoni, O. and Marmorstein, M. (1997). Computerized key of
 grass grains of the Mediterranean basin. *Lagascalia* 19(1–2): 289–294.
- 960 Kislev, M.E., Melamed, Y., Simchoni, O. and Marmorstein, M. (1999). Computerized keys
- 961 for archaeological grains: first steps. In: Pike, S. and Gitin, S. (eds.), *The Practical Impact of*
- Science on Near Eastern and Aegean Archaeology (pp. 29–31). Athens: Archetype.
- Kroll, H. (2005). Literature on archaeological remains of cultivated plants 1981–2004. URL:
 <u>http://www.archaeobotany.de/database.html</u>
- Meikle, R.D. (1977–1985). *Flora of Cyprus*. Vols. 1–2. London: Royal Botanic Gardens,
 Kew.
- Melamed, Y. and Kislev, M. (2005). Remains of seeds, fruits and insects from the excavations in the village of 'En Gedi (Hebrew). '*Atiqot* 49: 139-140.
- 969 Núñez D.R., Séiquer, M.G., de Castro, C.O., Ariza, F.A. (2011). Plants and humans in the
- 970 Near East and the Caucasus: ancient and traditional uses of plants as food and medicine, a
- diachronic ethnobotanical review (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Iran, Iraq, Lebanon, Syria, and Turkey), vols 1, 2, Muraia: Editum
- and Turkey), vols. 1–2. Murcia: Editum.
- Riehl, S. and Kümmel, C. (2005). Archaeobotanical database of Eastern Mediterranean and
 Near Eastern sites. <u>http://www.cuminum.de/archaeobotany/</u>
- 975 Sarpaki, A. and Jones, G. (1990). Ancient and modern cultivation of Lathyrus clymenum L.
- 976 in the Greek islands. *Annual of the British School at Athens* 85: 363–368.

- 977 Townsend, C.C. and Guest, E. (1966–1985). *Flora of Iraq*. Baghdad: Ministry of Agriculture
- 978 of the Republic of Iraq.
- 979 Turland, N.J., Chilton, L. and Press, J.R. (1995). *Flora of the Cretan area: annotated*980 *checklist and atlas*. London: Natural History Museum.
- 981 Van der Veen, M. and Morales, J. (2011). Chapter 3: Summer crops from trade to
- 982 innovation. In: Van der Veen, M., Consumption, trade and innovation: exploring the
- 983 botanical remains from the Roman and Islamic ports at Quseir al-Qadim, Egypt (pp. 75–
- 984 119). Frankfurt: Africa Magna Verlag.
- Zohary, M. and Feinbrun-Dotan, N. (1966–1986). *Flora Palaestina*. Vols. 1–4. Jerusalem:
 Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.
- 287 Zohary, M., Heyn, C.C. and Heller, D. (1980–1994). Conspectus Florae Orientalis: an
- *annotated catalogue of the flora of the Middle East.* Fasc. 1–9. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of
 Sciences and Humanities.