In situ observation and range extension of the first monoplacophoran *Neopilina galatheae*

Chong Chen[1*], John W. Jamieson[2]

[1] *X-STAR, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), 2–15 Natsushima-cho, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan*

2 Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland A1B 3X5, Canada

*Correspondence: cchen@jamstec.go.jp

ORCID: CC, 0000-0002-5035-4021; JWJ, 0000-0002-4783-8578

Abstract

Monoplacophoran molluscs have been dubbed 'living fossils' due to their absence in the fossil record for about 375 million years, until *Neopilina galatheae* Lemche, 1957 was trawled off Costa Rica in 1952. Since then, over 35 species of living monoplacophorans have been discovered. Nevertheless, *in situ* observations of these rare deep-sea animals remain scant. Here, we observed and collected an intact specimen of *N. galatheae* using a remotely operated vehicle from 2460 m deep on the Eastern Galápagos Spreading Center. The animal was found attached to the glassy surface of solidified basalt lava flow, and no feeding trails were found near the animal. Such hard substrate is in contrast with previous records that were trawled on sand and mud, suggesting *Neopilina* can be found on a wide range of substrates. This is the first time this species was collected since 1959, and represents a southeast range extension of about 1000 km for the species.

Keywords:

Deep sea, Galápagos, Living fossil, Mollusca, Monoplacophora

Introduction

Monoplacophoran molluscs were thought to be extinct since the Devonian (~375 million years ago) – until 1952 when suddenly 10 live individuals were brought up by the Danish *Galathea* expedition from 3570 m deep off Costa Rica in a single trawl on muddy clay (Lemche, 1957, Lemche & Wingstrand, 1959). Named *Neopilina galatheae* Lemche, 1957, the discovery of this first living monoplacophoran mollusc is widely regarded as one of the most important zoological discoveries of the 20th Century (Lindberg, 2009, Ponder *et al.*, 2020). The *Galathea* trawl was a true "jackpot", as follow-up cruises set to obtain further specimens near the type locality returned empty handed (Wolff, 1961) except the research vessel (R/V) *Vema*, which successfully collected a single specimen from 3718 m deep in 1958 (Menzies *et al.*, 1959). Then, three live specimens turned up off Baja California, Mexico in 1959 where they were trawled between 2781–2809 m deep, plus a fragment from a grab sampler at 1828 m (Parker, 1961, Wolff, 1961). These were taken from sandy sediment rich in organic matter, foraminifera tests, and quartz (Parker, 1961). And that was the last record of the mythical "living fossil" *N. galatheae*, with no new specimen being collected for over six decades (Schwabe, 2008).

Since the discovery of *N. galatheae*, over 35 living species of monoplacophorans have been described from around the globe (Ponder *et al.*, 2020). Most of these are small species below about 5 mm shell length, and at a maximum recorded length of 37 mm, *N. galatheae* remains the largest species (Lemche & Wingstrand, 1959). Some small species have been found as shallow as 177 m (Wilson *et al.*, 2009), but large species in the genera *Neopilina*, *Vema*, and *Adenopilina* have only been found in waters over 1800 m deep (Parker, 1961, Tebble, 1967). Although some small monoplacophorans have been recovered alive and observed in aquaria (Lowenstam, 1978) there is only one convincing seafloor observation of a living monoplacophoran in its natural habitat; that of a undescribed *Neopilina* species found off American Samoa (Sigwart *et al.*, 2019). These sightings were of animals living on basalt and associated with potential feeding trackways Some early seafloor images of the Atacama Trench from the *Vema* expedition contained straight trackways on mud that were claimed to be trails of the monoplacophoran *Vema ewingi* (Clarke & Menzies, 1959) (Menzies *et al.*, 1959). However, these trackways have been suggested to be more likely a misidentification of bivalve trails (Wolff, 1961) and their authenticity remain debated.

Here, we report a new record of *N. galatheae* in the Galápagos Spreading Centre, representing a range extension to the south and also providing a first glimpse into the natural habitat of this 'living fossil'.

Materials and methods

Seafloor observation and sample collection were carried out using Schmidt Ocean Institute's 4500 m rated remotely operated vehicle (ROV) *SuBastian* during cruise Fkt231024 on-board R/V *Falkor (too)*. Imagery was carried out using a 4K video camera (SULIS Subsea Z70; resolution 3840 x 2160 pixels) with 12X zoom capability. A CTD (conductivity, temperature, depth) sensor (Seabird FastCAT SBE49) and a dissolved oxygen Sensor (Aanderaa 3841 O2 Optode) on the ROV took real-time measurements at 1 second intervals. Sampling was done using a suction sampler mounted on ROV *SuBastian*.

Upon recovery of the ROV, the monoplacophoran specimen was retrieved from the suction chamber and gently cleaned using a brush. The cleaned specimen was observed with a Leica S APO stereomicroscope and photographed under chilled seawater using a EF 100 mm F2.8L MACRO IS USM macro lens and a Canon EOS 5Ds R digital single-lens reflex camera. Measurements were taken using a vernier calliper. Tissue snips were carefully taken from the posterior half of the foot using an iris scissor for future molecular work. After that, the entire monoplacophoran specimen was preserved in 80% ethanol. The specimen and all tissue snips are deposited in the Senckenberg Natural History Museum, Frankfurt under the catalogue number SMF 373198.

Results

Dive #603 of ROV *SuBasitan* was conducted at the Rose Garden hydrothermal vent field on the Galápagos Rift where deep-sea hydrothermal vents were first discovered in 1977 (Corliss *et al.*, 1979). An eruption event between 2005 and 2012 is known to have covered this area with a vitreous fresh lava flow, leading to the cessation of almost all venting activity (Shank *et al.*, 2012). During this dive ropey or lobate basaltic lava with glassy surface were seen across the area, often covered by a very thin layer of sediment

(Figure 1A). There was a complete lack of ongoing hydrothermal venting and only a patch of empty, dissolving serpulid tubes and empty shells of mussels and clams indicated the presence of diffuse flow not so long ago.

Near the top of a half-collapsed lava tower (Figure 1B; 0°48.2986'N, 86°13.1732'W, 2461 m deep), we found a limpet-like animal (Figure 1C). Based on the very thin, translucent bilaterally symmetrical shell carrying a characteristic irregular or wavy growth lines, this was identified as a large-sized monoplacophoran (Lemche, 1957, Sigwart *et al.*, 2019). The monoplacophoran individual lived attached to the surface of a glassy basalt flow covered by a thin layer of sediment littered with numerous foraminiferal tests and hydroids. No feeding trails could be observed around the animal, and it did not move during the course of our observation which lasted approximately five minutes. To collect this extremely fragile specimen, the pilot first used the ROV's manipulator arm equipped with soft rubber on the tips to gently break the glassy lava around and below the monoplacophoran. After dislodging the specimen, it was then collected using suction sampler (see Supplementary Video for the collecting process). The monoplacophoran was collected at 00:46 UTC on October 28th, 2023 (water temperature 2.09°C, salinity 34.656, dissolved oxygen concentration 3.337 mg/L). The area had no hydrothermal influences.

The monoplacophoran individual (Figure 2) was retrieved fully intact, but it was no longer responsive when recovered on surface. The shell length was 26.8 mm and the shell width was 24.0 mm. This large size, and the presence of five pairs of gills in the pallial groove at this size, points to genus *Neopilina* – as *Vema*, the only other genus known to reach this size, has six pairs of gills as adults (Clarke & Menzies, 1959, Lemche, 1957, Warén & Gofas, 1996). The two large-sized *Neopilina* species inhabiting the eastern Pacific, *N. galatheae* and *N. bruuni* Menzies, 1968, are readily distinguished by the postoral tentacles which are significantly reduced in *N. bruuni* compared to *N. galatheae* (Menzies, 1968). The well-developed postoral tentacles in the newly collected specimen (Figure 2) and the matching gill structures as well as shell sculpture serve to identify this specimen as *N. galatheae*. It is medium-sized for *N. galatheae* (Lemche & Wingstrand, 1959), and as a result the apex position is not as anterior as the largest specimens; tracing growth lines in fig. 3 of Lemche & Wingstrand (1959) indicate that the holotype of *N. galatheae* would have had a similar apex position at a size comparable to this specimen. The gills, velum, postoral tentacles, outer edge of the

foot, and pallial margin all carried bright orange pigmentation; while the lips around the mouth were reddish brown in colour.

Discussion

The present record of the monoplacophoran *Neopilina galatheae* is the southernmost credible record attributable to this rare species (Lemche & Wingstrand, 1959, Menzies, 1968, Parker, 1961, Schwabe, 2008), extending its distribution approximately 1000 km south-eastwards from the type locality off Costa Rica. There are, however, several further monoplacophoran records attributed to *Neopilina* in the eastern Pacific south of the equator. The most notable is a record from the Atacama Trench off Chile (5°51.7'S, 81°48.8'W; 5300–5320 m deep) attributed to "*Neopilina* aff. *galatheae*" (Moskalev, 1977). Unfortunately as this was only a passing mention in the footnote of a paper focusing on patellogastropod limpets with no information on size, morphology, or repository provided, this record cannot be verified. This record is geographically close to several other Atacama Trench records (5°51.7'-23°50'S, 71°06'-81°48.8'W; 3909–6354 m deep) attributed to *Neopilina* sp. (Menzies, 1968, Moskalev *et al.*, 1983). Since Menzies (1968) who has previously collected *N. galatheae* (Menzies *et al.*, 1959, Menzies & Layton, 1962) did not attribute these to *N. galatheae*, it is reasonable to consider these to be another, possibly undescribed, species. The Moskalev (1977) record may be an additional record of this *Neopilina* sp.; though these records may also be a compilation of multiple species. To clarify the taxonomic affinity of these records, additional sampling at the Atacama Trench is warranted. Figure 3 presents a summary of these relevant *Neopilina* records from the eastern Pacific.

The new specimen of *N. galatheae* was found alive on a solidified basaltic lava flow, in an area covered by a recent (2005-2012) eruption event (Shank *et al.*, 2012) and therefore devoid of thick sediment. This is in strong contrast to other known habitats for this species, including muddy clay surfaces (Lemche & Wingstrand, 1959), greenish ooze (Menzies *et al.*, 1959), and sandy mud rich in organic matter (Parker, 1961). Nevertheless, the only other *in situ* seafloor imagery of *Neopilina* was also taken on a basalt surface on a seamount (Sigwart *et al.*, 2019). Together with our observations, these observations suggest *Neopilina galatheae* (and *Neopilina* in general) may be able to inhabit both hard and soft substrata. Unlike patellogastropod limpets which avoids sedimented bottoms, monoplacophorans happily transverse sediment (Sigwart *et al.*,

2019) which is perhaps an indication of their capacity to inhabit multiple substrate types. As trawled gears and box cores are deployed on soft bottoms to avoid damage, the sampling method explains the bias of the early records on soft bottoms (Parker, 1961). This, of course, is also supported by numerous records of small-bodied monoplacophorans taken on hard substrates, particularly manganese nodules (Lowenstam, 1978, Wiklund *et al.*, 2017).

Monoplacophorans are generally regarded as detritivores, and in this specimen we found faecal material similar in colouration to the surrounding thin sediment (Figure 2), agreeing with previous studies (Menzies *et al.*, 1959, Sigwart *et al.*, 2019). We did not, however, see clear grazing trackways associated with or around the animal as was sighted in a previous *Neopilina* encounter (Sigwart *et al.*, 2019). One estimate of *N. galatheae* population density based on trawl area indicated an exceedingly low average density of one individual per 22000 square meters (Menzies *et al.*, 1959). The finding of 10 specimens in a single trawl, however, would suggest local aggregations (Menzies *et al.*, 1959); a scenario also hinted by the Samoan sighting of *Neopilina* based on the high density of feeding trackways (Sigwart *et al.*, 2019). Although it is possible that this particular individual was not feeding, another possibility is that the glassy, black lava substrate made it difficult to see feeding trails. *Neopilina galatheae* has also been suggested to feed on xenophyophores (Tendal, 1985). We did see numerous xenophyophores during the ROV dive, but we did not see *N. galatheae* feeding on them.

This *in situ* rediscovery of the first monoplacophoran *Neopilina galatheae* provides new insights on its distribution and ecology, and also a valuable specimen for future studies. We now know that fossil monoplacophorans are likely a collection of distantly related lineages that happened to have a similar shell morphology (Haszprunar & Ruthensteiner, 2013), but the Paleozoic family Tryblidiidae within Monoplacophora have eight muscle scars strongly resembling living species and are likely directly related to them (Ponder *et al.*, 2020). Molecular phylogeny, however, has suggested that living monoplacophorans may have diverged only in the Late Cretaceous (Kano *et al.*, 2012) – leaving a gap of about 300 million years in their record. The new *N. galatheae* specimen is likely the first large-bodied living monoplacophoran specimen collected in decades (Schwabe, 2008), and potentially also the first such specimen available for genomics work (Kocot *et al.*, 2020). It is hoped that future data from this specimen will shed bright light on the evolutionary history of these enigmatic “living fossils”.

Acknowledgements

We thank the captain and crew on-board R/V *Falkor (too)* during the research cruise Fkt231024 ('Project Zombie: Bringing dead vents to life – Ultra Fine-Scale Seafloor Mapping') for their great support of our scientific activities. We extend this thanks to the ROV *SuBastian* support team, especially Jason Rodriguez who collected the monoplacophoran individual examined herein. All on-board scientists of the cruise Fkt231024 are gratefully acknowledged. Sigrid Hof and Sandra Müller (Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt) were extremely helpful in procuring key literature for this study.

Data

All relevant data are provided within the manuscript or the Supplementary Video available on Figshare, DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.24503623. The *Neopilina galatheae* specimen is deposited in Senckenberg Natural History Museum, Frankfurt (SMF 373198).

Financial support

The research cruise Fkt231024 on-board R/V *Falkor (too)* was funded by Schmidt Ocean Institute.

Conflict of interest

We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

Ethical standards

Not applicable.

References

Clarke A.H. and Menzies R.J. (1959) *Neopilina* (*Vema*) *ewingi*, a Second Living Species of the Paleozoic Class Monoplacophora. *Science*, **129**(3355), 1026-1027.

Corliss J.B., et al. (1979) Submarine Thermal Springs on the Galápagos Rift. *Science*, **203**(4385), 1073-1083.

Haszprunar G. and Ruthensteiner B. (2013) Monoplacophora (Tryblidia)—Some Unanswered Questions. *American Malacological Bulletin*, **31**, 189-194.

Kano Y., Kimura S., Kimura T. and Warén A. (2012) Living Monoplacophora: morphological conservatism or recent diversification? *Zoologica Scripta*, **41**(5), 471-488.

Kocot K.M., Poustka A.J., Stöger I., Halanych K.M. and Schrödl M. (2020) New data from Monoplacophora and a carefully-curated dataset resolve molluscan relationships. *Scientific Reports*, **10**(1), 101.

Lemche H. (1957) A New Living Deep-Sea Mollusc of the Cambro-Devonian Class Monoplacophora. *Nature*, **179**(4556), 413-416.

Lemche H. and Wingstrand K.G. (1959) The anatomy of *Neopilina galatheae* Lemche, 1957. *Galathea Report*, **3**, 9-71.

Lindberg D.R. (2009) Monoplacophorans and the Origin and Relationships of Mollusks. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, **2**(2), 191-203.

Lowenstam H.A. (1978) Recovery, behaviour and evolutionary implications of live Monoplacophora. *Nature*, **273**(5659), 231-232.

Menzies R.J. (1968) New species of *Neopilina* of the Cambro-Devonian class Monoplacophora from the Milne-Edwards deep of the Peru-Chile Trench, R/V *Anton Bruun*. *Marine Biological Association of India, Proceedings of the Symposium on Mollusca*, **1**, 1-9.

Menzies R.J., Ewing M., Worzel J.L. and Clarke A.H. (1959) Ecology of the Recent Monoplacophora. *Oikos*, **10**(2), 168-182.

Menzies R.J. and Layton W. (1962) A new species of Monoplacophoran Mollusc, *Neopilina* (*Neopilina*) *veleronis* from the slope of the Cedros Trench, Mexico. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, **5**(13), 401-406.

Moskalev L.I. (1977) Towards a revision of molluscs Lepetidae (Gastropoda, Prosobranchia) of the world ocean. *Works of the P. P. Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Academy of Sciences U.S.S.R.*, **108**, 52–78.

Moskalev L.I., Starobogatov Y.I. and Filatova Z.A. (1983) New data on the abyssal Monoplacophora from the Pacific and South Atlantic Oceans. *Zoologiceskij Zurnal*, **62**(7), 981–996.

Parker R.H. (1961) Speculations on the origin of the invertebrate faunas of the lower continental slope. *Deep Sea Research (1953)*, **8**(3), 286-293.

Ponder W.F., Lindberg D.R. and Ponder J.M. (2020) *Biology and Evolution of the Mollusca, Volume 2* Boca Raton: CRC Press.

Schwabe E. (2008) A summary of reports of abyssal and hadal Monoplacophora and Polyplacophora (Mollusca). *Zootaxa*, **1866**(1), 205-222.

Shank T., et al. (2012) Exploration of the deepwater Galápagos region. *Oceanography*, **25**, 50-51.

Sigwart J.D., Wicksten M.K., Jackson M.G. and Herrera S. (2019) Deep-sea video technology tracks a monoplacophoran to the end of its trail (Mollusca, Tryblidia). *Marine Biodiversity*, **49**(2), 825-832.

Tebble N. (1967) A *Neopilina* from the Gulf of Aden. *Nature*, **215**(5101), 663-664.

Tendal O.S. (1985) Xenophyophores (Protozoa, Sarcodina) in the diet of *Neopilina galatheae* (Mollusca, Monoplacophora). *Galathea Reports*, **16**, 95–98.

Warén A. and Gofas S. (1996) A new species of Monoplacophora, redescription of the genera Veleropilina and Rokopella, and new information on three species of the class. *Zoologica Scripta*, **25**(3), 215-232.

Wiklund H., et al. (2017) Abyssal fauna of the UK-1 polymetallic nodule exploration area, Clarion-Clipperton Zone, central Pacific Ocean: Mollusca. *ZooKeys*, **707**.

Wilson N.G., Huang D., Goldstein M.C., Cha H., Giribet G. and Rouse G.W. (2009) Field collection of Laevipilina hyalina McLean, 1979 from southern California, the most accessible living monoplacophoran. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, **75**(2), 195-197.

Wolff T. (1961) Animal life from a single abyssal trawling. *Galathea 2 Report*, **5**, 129-162.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. (A) Typical substrate seen in Rose Garden, the collecting site, consisting of rather fresh, vitreous lobate and ropey lava flows. (B) The lava tower from which the *Neopilina galatheae* specimen was collected, white arrow indicates the location of *N. galatheae*. (C) Close-up on the *N. galatheae* individual in life position.

Figure 2. The newly collected specimen of *Neopilina galatheae* photographed from six different angles.

Figure 3. Summary map of all confirmed distribution records of *Neopilina galatheae* (closed circle for the type locality, open circle for other records, and red star for the new record herein), and eastern Pacific records of *Neopilina* with uncertain species-level identity, including "*Neopilina* aff. *galatheae*" *sensu* Moskalev, 1977 (open triangle) and *Neopilina* sp. (open squares).

Supplementary Video. ROV *SuBastian* collecting the monoplacophoran specimen during Dive #603, R/V *Falkor (too)* cruise Fkt231024. Available on Figshare, DOI: 10.6084/m9.figshare.24503623

A

B

C


1 cm

Neopilina galatheae
22°32.5'N, 109°40.8'W
1828–2809 m
(Parker, 1961; Wolff, 1961)

Neopilina galatheae
10°07'N, 89°50'W; 3718 m
(Menzies *et al.*, 1959)

Neopilina galatheae
09°23'N, 89°32'W; 3570 m
Type Locality
(Lemche, 1957; Lemche & Wingstrand, 1959)

Neopilina galatheae
0°48.2986'N, 86°13.1732'W; 2461 m
New Record

Galápagos Islands

"*Neopilina* aff. *galatheae*"
05°51.7'S, 81°48.8'W; 5300–5320 m
(Moskalev, 1977)

Neopilina sp.
08°46'S, 80°44'W; 3909–3970 m
(Menzies, 1968)

Neopilina sp.
08°52'S, 80°47'W; 6146–6313 m
(Menzies, 1968)

Neopilina sp.
11°30'S, 79°25'W; 6146–6354 m
(Menzies, 1968)

Neopilina sp.
23°50'S, 71°06'W; 4600 m
(Moskalev *et al.*, 1983)

20°N
10°N
0°
10°S
20°S

120°W 110°W 100°W 90°W 80°W 70°W 60°W 50°W

Depth
1000 m 2000 m 3000 m 4000 m 5000 m 6000 m 7000 m 8000 m