

Biology of Invasive Plants

Cite this article: Chari LD, Martin GD, Steenhuisen S-L, Adams LD, and Clark VR (2020) Biology of Invasive Plants 1. *Pyracantha angustifolia* (Franch.) C.K. Schneid. Invasive Plant Sci. Manag 13: 120–142. doi: [10.1017/inp.2020.24](https://doi.org/10.1017/inp.2020.24)

Received: 2 September 2020

Accepted: 4 September 2020

*Co-lead authors.

Series Editors:

Darren J. Kriticos, CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences & David R. Clements, Trinity Western University

Key words:

Bird dispersed, firethorn, introduced species, management, potential distribution, seed load.






Author for correspondence:

Grant Douglas Martin, Centre for Biological Control, Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, P.O. Box 94, Makhanda, 6140 South Africa.
(Email: g.d.martin84@gmail.com)

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Weed Science Society of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Biology of Invasive Plants 1. *Pyracantha angustifolia* (Franch.) C.K. Schneid

Lenin Dzibakwe Chari^{1,*} , Grant Douglas Martin^{2,*} , Sandy-Lynn Steenhuisen³ ,
Lehlohonolo Donald Adams⁴  and Vincent Ralph Clark⁵ 

¹Postdoctoral Researcher, Centre for Biological Control, Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, Makhanda, South Africa; ²Deputy Director, Centre for Biological Control, Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, Makhanda, South Africa; ³Senior Lecturer, Department of Plant Sciences, and Afromontane Research Unit, University of the Free State, Qwaqwa Campus, Phuthaditjhaba, South Africa; ⁴PhD Candidate, Department of Plant Sciences, and Afromontane Research Unit, University of the Free State, Qwaqwa Campus, Phuthaditjhaba, South Africa and ⁵Director, Afromontane Research Unit, and Department of Geography, University of the Free State, Qwaqwa Campus, Phuthaditjhaba, South Africa

Scientific Classification

Domain: Eukaryota

Kingdom: Plantae

Phylum: Spermatophyta

Subphylum: Angiospermae

Class: Dicotyledonae

Order: Rosales

Family: Rosaceae

Genus: *Pyracantha*

Species: *angustifolia* (Franch.) C.K. Schneid

Synonym: *Cotoneaster angustifolius* Franch.

EPPO code: PYEAN

Names and Generic Status

The genus *Pyracantha* (family Rosaceae) is commonly referred to as firethorn, pyracantha, or pyracantha bush. The name “pyracantha” is derived from the Greek words “pyr,” meaning fire, and “akanthos,” a thorn, hence “fire-thorn,” describing the fiery red berries and sharp thorns typical of plants in this genus (Johnson et al. 1951). The genus is also known by other vernacular names; these include *brandend-bos* and *vuurdoorn* in Dutch; burning bush, Christ’s thorn, evergreen thorn, everlasting thorn, fiery thorn, yellow firethorn, narrowleaf firethorn, slender firethorn, woolly firethorn, orange firethorn, and white thorn in English; *arbre-de moise*, *buisson-ardent*, *epine-ardente*, *petit-corail*, and *pyracanthe* in French; *brennender-busch*, *feuerbusch*, *feuedorn*, *feuerstraucher*, and *immergruner-weissdorn* in German; *geelbranddoring* in Afrikaans; *ponaponana* in Sesotho; *agazzino*, *marruca-nera*, and *prunogazzarino* in Italian; *zh i yè hu jí* and 窄叶火棘 in Chinese; *pirakanta uzkolistnaya* in Russian; and *tachibanamodoki*, *kamatuka*, and *kankasus* in Japanese (Brako et al. 1995; Csurhes et al. 2016; Egolf and Andrick 1995; EPPO 2020; Kobisi et al. 2019; White et al. 2018).


The genus *Pyracantha* consists of 16 species of woody, evergreen, thorny shrubs (GBIF 2019; Plant List 2013; Serviss 2009). The species include: *Pyracantha angustifolia* (Franch.) C.K. Schneid, Sichuan firethorn [*Pyracantha atalantioides* (Hance) Stapf], scarlet firethorn (*Pyracantha coccinea* M. Roem.), *Pyracantha crenatoserrata* (Hance) Rehder, Nepalese firethorn [*Pyracantha crenulata* (D. Don) Roem.], *Pyracantha crenulata-serrata* Rehder, *Pyracantha densiflora* T.T. Yu, Chinese firethorn [*Pyracantha fortuneana* (Maxim.) Li], *Pyracantha inermis* J.E. Vidal, Formosa firethorn [*Pyracantha koidzumii* (Hayata) Rehder], and *Pyracantha rogersiana* (A.B. Jacks) Coltm.-Rog (Plant List 2013). To date, no critical overview of the genus has been published, but species in the genus have many synonyms, which is indicative of earlier confusion regarding the taxonomy of the Rosaceae (Plant List 2013). There are many cultivated hybrids and varieties of these species that vary mostly in plant size, fruit size, and fruit color (Egolf and Andrick 1995; Meyer et al. 1994; see also “Intraspecific Variation” and “Hybrids”).

Description and Account of Variation

Species Description

Pyracantha angustifolia is a dense upright evergreen shrub or small tree growing up to 6 m in height, with thorny branches (eFloras 2008); however, trees more than 6-m tall have been recorded in South Africa (Adams 2020). **Stems** are stiff with sharp-pointed woody spines that have leaves

Pyracantha angustifolia at a glance . . .



Impacts

- Forms spiny, impenetrable thickets
- Competes with native vegetation
- Enhances recruitment of other invasive plant species



Dispersal

- Primarily seed dispersed by birds
- Other animals, human translocation, and water



Management

- Many systematic herbicides are used
- Moderate success with prescribed burning and clearing, though it colonizes bare ground



Biosecurity

- Historically deliberately introduced as a garden ornamental and for hedgerows
- Propagation, cultivation, and importation now prohibited in some countries
- Potential spread by birds across country borders
- Potential for expansion into Mediterranean, tropical, and subtropical climates, especially Europe, sub-Saharan Africa, and the Americas



(Retief and Meyer 2017). The branchlets of the main stems are dense (Figure 1). When young, shoots are grayish-yellow in color and often tomentose, turning glabrous with age and changing in color to a dark purple (eFloras 2008). **Leaves** are alternatively arranged. They are dull dark green above and grayish in color beneath (Figure 2). The leaf blades are oblong to oblanceolate-oblong, ranging from 10 to 50 mm in length and between 4 and 12 mm in width. The leaves have a diagnostic notched tip (Figure 1D). Young leaves are tomentose, turning glabrous with age. **Flowers** are arranged in compound corymb 2 to 4 cm in diameter. The corymb can contain several to 30 flowers. Both the peduncle and calyx are tomentose and gray in color (Figure 2D). These flowers have five white petals (5 to 12 mm across; Figures 1C and 2D), five small sepals, and 20 stamens. **Fruits (pome)** when young are initially grayish/green in color, turning either orange-red or orange-yellow with age (Henderson 2011) (Figure 2A and C). Mature fruits range between 5 and 8 mm in diameter. Green to gray sepals remain around the fruit (Csurhes et al. 2016; eFloras 2008; Nesom 2010; Retief and Meyer 2017; Weber 2003).

Distinguishing Features

The flowers and fruit of all *Pyracantha* spp. are somewhat similar, with several species possessing similar characteristics. According to Egolf and Andrick (1995), it is plausible that two or more *Pyracantha* spp. populations are in reality only interbreeding populations of the same species, because *Pyracantha* spp. populations

overlap geographically. As such, the species are distinguished primarily based on leaf characteristics. However, because leaf dimorphism may occur on the same plant or among seedlings in a population, the taxa cannot be accurately designated by using only a limited sample of specimens (Egolf and Andrick 1995). As such, *Pyracantha* is considered a rather homogenous genus, whose species and varieties are difficult to identify (Bertrand et al. 1992). Additionally, field identification of most *Pyracantha* spp. is complicated, because there are multiple ornamental cultivars of the genus (Csurhes et al. 2016; Egolf and Andrick 1995). However, of the 16 known species of *Pyracantha*, *P. angustifolia* is distinguished by leaf and flower morphological traits (Jocou and Gandullo 2019). The leaf blades are 4- to 8-mm wide, with the lower surface being densely and persistently tawny-puberulent to puberulent-villous, while the margins are entire (Nesom 2010; Retief and Meyer 2017). Additionally, the calyx is hairy (Retief and Meyer 2017) (Figure 1C).

Intraspecific Variation

There are several varieties of *P. angustifolia*, most of which did not result from controlled selective breeding or hybridization. The majority of new *Pyracantha* spp. cultivars are chance seedlings selected because of growth habit, fruiting characteristics, hardiness, or disease resistance (Egolf and Andrick 1995). The origin of many cultivars is further obscured by the reintroduction of an established cultivar under a new name because of lost identification or to




Figure 1. Vegetative and reproductive characteristics of *Pyracantha angustifolia*. (A) A branchlet with sharp, pointed, leaved thorns and flowers arranged in a compound corymb on terminal meristems, (B) fruits growing in clusters, (C) flower side view showing pedicel and calyx covered in woolly hairs, and (D) an oblong-shaped leaf blade with a notched tip. Illustrated by Wilma Roux and reproduced with permission.

promote sales (Egolf and Andrick 1995). Examples of some known *P. angustifolia* cultivars include, *P. angustifolia* ‘Gnome’, *P. angustifolia* ‘Gnozam’, *P. angustifolia* Yukon Belle™, and *P. angustifolia* ‘Yukon Jack’ (Egolf and Andrick 1995). Other cultivars arose from hybrids of *P. angustifolia* with other congenic or cultivars; for example, ‘Massagnensis’ (*P. gibbsii* × *P. angustifolia*), ‘Navaho’ (*P. angustifolia* × *P. ‘Watered’*), ‘Scarlet Thornless’ (*P. angustifolia* × *P. crenulata*), and ‘Gold Rush’ (*P. angustifolia* × *P. crenato-serrata*). The *Pyracantha* genus consists of varieties that are largely unknown or of poorly identified origins. However, some preliminary analysis on varietal recognition has been done using enzymatic markers (Cadic et al. 1992). Compilations by Meyer et al. (1994) and Egolf and Andrick (1995) indicate that potentially hundreds of cultivars exist.

Closely Related Species

Pyracantha is most closely related to *Cotoneaster* and *Crataegus*. All three genera share similar habitats in their invaded ranges and can often be found growing together, potentially facilitating one another’s establishment and spread by aiding sapling survival through nurse-plant effects (Tecco et al. 2007). *Pyracantha* spp. are distinguished from *Cotoneaster* spp. by the presence of thorns, usually crenate or serrate leaves, flowers in corymbs, five pistils that are dorsally connate to the calyx tube along the basal half, and fruit with five nutlets. In addition, no *Cotoneaster* spp. have thorns on their stems. *Pyracantha* is distinguished from *Crataegus* spp. by having two fertile ovules in each carpel, rather than a single, evergreen unlobed leaf, and leafy thorns (Egolf and Andrick 1995). *Pyracantha angustifolia* is often misidentified as *P. fortuneana* or *P. koidzumii*; however, *P. angustifolia* is easily distinguished by the characteristic pubescence on the adaxial face of the leaves, the receptacle, and the calyx (Jocou and Gandullo 2019).

Hybrids

The cultivated *Pyracantha* spp. are confused by hybridization. As with many members of the Rosaceae, hybridization can occur easily, and intermediate seedlings occur spontaneously (Egolf and Andrick 1995). For example, the cultivar ‘Lalandei’ (common name: Lalandei pyracantha) was recognized as a clone as early as 1874 and is still propagated from seed by many nurseries. These seedlings did not perpetuate the parent plant but became the progenitors of additional variations that are still identified as ‘Lalandei’. There are minor variants among the cultivars grown today as ‘Lalandei’; some of the superior ones are designated as cultivars (Egolf and Andrick 1995).

As with other commonly cultivated species, placing a plant in one or another species may be an artificial classification, because hybrids of *Pyracantha* cannot be unequivocally assigned to a single species in its typical expression. Several cultivars are explicitly indicated by Meyer et al. (1994) to be of hybrid origin (*P. koidzumii* × *P. fortuneana*; *P. koidzumii* × *P. coccinea*). Compilations by Meyer et al. (1994) and Egolf and Andrick (1995) indicate that more than 350 cultivars exist worldwide, particularly in temperate regions.

Economic Importance and Environmental Impacts

Detrimental

Pyracantha angustifolia forms dense thickets, leading to the exclusion of other plants, because the canopy alters microenvironmental conditions necessary for growth of some native plants while facilitating differential recruitment of species that do not form seed-banks (Giantomasi et al. 2008). These dense thickets prevent access due to the plants’ thorns (Csurhes et al. 2016). In southern Africa it is listed as a problem plant, because it replaces native vegetation and pastures (grass), contaminates seedbanks, and blocks access by livestock and humans (Wells et al. 1986). In Argentina, it interferes with the recruitment of native woody species and enhances recruitment of other invasive plant species (Giantomasi et al. 2008). This contrasting effect is largely a result of the plant’s canopy creating unfavorable conditions for seed germination and sapling survival of several seed-propagated plants, while favoring others, especially exotic species (Giantomasi et al. 2008). In addition to its ecological and physical impacts, the weed has several chemical impacts. Most if not all species in the genus produce hydrogen cyanide, which is found in small quantities in the leaves and seeds of the plants (VRO 2020). If ingested, the seeds of *Pyracantha* spp. may cause mild stomach ailments (Brickell 1996). If ingested in excess, they can cause respiratory failure and death in some animals (VRO 2020). In 1997, a total of 187 birds of the *Bombycilla* genus (waxwings) died suddenly from poisoning in Nagano Prefecture, Japan (Maruyama 1998). Subsequent inquiries revealed that the esophagi of some birds were choked with *Pyracantha* seeds/fruit, and lethal concentrations of cyanide were found in the birds’ stomachs and esophagi (Maruyama 1998). In addition to chemical impacts, stems are often spine-tipped, presumably to deter browsing, hence often injuring animals (Webb et al. 1988). The thorns on *Pyracantha* spp. often contain infectious bacteria (Padhye et al. 1998). In South Africa, the species is increasingly spreading into valuable grazing land, riverine habitats, and protected areas. In addition, the plant is forming dense stands along roadways, power lines, and fences from which its seeds are being spread by humans and birds. The resulting increased chemical and mechanical management strategies, especially where



Figure 2. *Pyracantha angustifolia* in Clarens, eastern Free State, South Africa. Orange-yellow or orange-red berries (5–8 mm across) are produced in large numbers from April to October (A–C), while white flowers (5-mm long) are produced in October to December (D) (Adams 2020).

the species is encroaching on road verges, suggest the plant is starting to have noticeable economic impact.

Beneficial

In Argentina, there is a positive interaction between *P. angustifolia* and some native woody plants. The dense canopy shading by *P. angustifolia* provides smaller trees/bushes and seedlings mechanical protection against ungulates, thereby ensuring a richer diversity of native species (Tecco et al. 2006).

Pyracantha is primarily used in the horticultural industry (Bass 1996). Within the horticultural industry it is highly regarded for its ability to withstand cold temperatures, especially in regions that receive frost. The shrub produces spectacular displays of orange berries in autumn and winter. Additionally, in Argentina, Martínez and Manzano-García (2019) suggest the species has ethnobotanical importance because of its ornamental use and the ecosystem services that it provides, such as shade and food for birds. Due to its short stout thorns and dense foliage, the shrub is also extensively used as a barrier plant, including use as decorative windbreaks and security hedges (Bromilow 2001; Csurhes et al. 2016; Giantomasi et al. 2008; Henderson 1983, 2011).

To a lesser extent, the plant is also used for walking sticks in India and bonsai in Japan (Burger et al. 1985). In South Africa, the berries are often eaten by humans; children walking to and from school often consume the berries, as the shrubs grow along roadsides, thus facilitating the spread of the species (Shah et al.

2006). *Pyracantha* fruits have been used as traditional medicine as a result of their cardiac, diuretic, and tonic properties. They can be cooked to make jams, jellies, sauces, and marmalade (Keser 2014). In the Limpopo Province of South Africa, the roots are harvested and boiled and used to treat bodily pains (Semenya et al. 2012). In North America, the fruits are also regarded as suitable for making wine (Alatorre 1977).

Geographic Description

The plant has been widely planted and has subsequently become naturalized in many countries. It is currently known from at least 22 countries, including Argentina (Urcelay et al. 2019); Australia (Moreschi et al. 2019); Brazil (Guix 2007); Canada, Columbia, England, and France (de Villalobos et al. 2010); the French Polynesian Islands and Hawaii (GBIF 2019); Italy, Japan, Mexico, New Zealand, Portugal, and Russia (GBIF 2019; Van Valkenburg et al. 2014); South Africa (Henderson 2007); Spain and the United States (Cadic 1983; Andreu and Vilà 2011); Germany (Brändle and Brandl 2012); Lesotho (Kobisi et al. 2019); and Swaziland (Henderson 2007). However, there is generally sparse knowledge on the distribution and invasive status of *P. angustifolia* globally. *Pyracantha angustifolia* is indigenous to Tibet; Sichuan, Yunnan, Guizhou, and Hubei provinces, and Chongqing municipality; all of which are located on the southwestern side of China (Figures 3 and A4; eFloras 2008).




Figure 3. Global distribution of *Pyracantha angustifolia* representing native (red) and invasive population (blue) data sourced from the Southern African Plant Invaders Atlas (Henderson 2007; L Henderson, personal communication), Atlas of Living Australia (n.d.), GBIF (2019), and L Adams, personal observations (see Adams 2020).




Figure 4. Cumulative increase in the number of recorded sites (from herbarium records) for *Pyracantha angustifolia* in South Africa, Japan, and Australia (adapted from the Atlas of Living Australia [n.d.]; GBIF [2019]; and the Southern African Plant Invaders Atlas [Henderson 2007; L Henderson, personal communication]).

South Africa

Pyracantha angustifolia has a broad distribution in South Africa, with records from all nine provinces. Although it is occasionally found in large areas of its invaded range, it is particularly abundant in Gauteng, Free State, and Eastern Cape provinces (Figure A7). In South Africa, the plant is predominantly found along rivers and rocky ridges on the South Africa Lesotho border in the Free State Province, with large infestations near the towns of Ficksburg and Fouriesburg. It is invading fallow lands and roadsides in Gauteng Province (L Henderson, personal communication; G Martin, personal observations). There has been a relatively faster increase in the number of recorded sites in South Africa compared with two other heavily invaded regions, Japan and Australia (Figures 4, A4, and A5).

Australia

Pyracantha angustifolia is widely naturalized in southern and eastern Australia, with a few populations in the southwestern region of Western Australia (Atlas of Living Australia n.d.) (Figure A2). While most of these populations are generally scattered and localized there are more significant populations in the tableland regions of New South Wales, in the Australian Capital Territory (ACT), and in Victoria (Figure A5). Additionally, it has naturalized in the cooler parts of southeastern Queensland and in southeastern South Australia in higher-altitude areas (Weeds of Australia 2019).

Japan

The plant has escaped cultivation in Japan and has spread extensively enough to be declared an invasive alien plant. A noticeable feature of the invasive flora in mainland Japan is the lack of woody species and invaders of forest areas. *Pyracantha angustifolia* and *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. (Fabaceae) are two of the few naturalized invasive woody species in Japan (Auld et al. 2003; Mito and Uesugi 2004). The plant is more abundant in the south of Japan, and smaller populations are found in the northeast (Figure A4).

United States

The species has also escaped cultivation and grows widely in the southeastern United States and can be found naturalized in California and Georgia (Cal-IPC 2006; EDDMapS 2009; Nesom 2010). The largest infestations in the United States are recorded from the following Hawaiian Islands: Maui Island, O'ahu Island, Kauai Island, and Hawai'i (Big) Island (Pratt and Bio 2012).

Table 1. Global occurrences of *Pyracantha angustifolia* based on the Köppen-Geiger climate classification (Kottek et al. 2006).^a

Köppen-Geiger Zone	Climate characteristics ^b	Plant occurrences
Bsk	Steppe climate, cold desert, $T_{\text{mean}} < 18$ C	South Africa
Cfa	Warm temperate climate, fully humid, hot summers, $T_{\text{mean}} \geq 22$ C	Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Japan, and United States
Cfb	Warm temperate climate, fully humid, warm summers, $T_{\text{mean}} \geq 10$ C	Argentina, Australia, China, Columbia, France, Hawaii, New Zealand, Russia, South Africa, and Spain
Csb	Warm temperate climate, dry summers, warm summers, $T_{\text{mean}} \geq 10$ C	Australia, Spain, and United States
Cwa	Warm temperate climate, dry winters, hot summers, $T_{\text{mean}} \geq 22$ C	Argentina and South Africa
Cwb	Warm temperate climate, dry winters, warm summers, $T_{\text{mean}} \geq 10$ C	China, Mexico, and South Africa

^aOccurrence data were obtained from GBIF (2020) and Atlas of Living Australia (n.d.) (see Appendix 1).

^b T_{mean} , mean annual temperature.

Russia

The species is naturalized near the city of Sochi, Russia, a narrow coastal strip of land that experiences milder winters than the rest of the country. In this area, several evergreen trees and shrubs have escaped from cultivation and become naturalized, including *P. angustifolia* (Nobis et al. 2014).

Climatic Requirements

In its native range (southwest China), *P. angustifolia* grows in warm temperate (Mediterranean) to cool and humid subtropical climates (GBIF 2019) (Figure A4). It favors high-altitude grasslands and cool climates with moderate water availability, and full sun or part shade (Xiwen and Walker 1986). In its native range, it experiences wet summers and autumns with dry winters and springs, but the plant is also able to survive in some very dry subtropical areas (Xiwen and Walker 1986).

In its invaded range, the plant grows in Mediterranean, subtropical, and tropical climates (Randall 2001). *Pyracantha angustifolia* is a relatively cold-tolerant species, able to survive down to -18.9 C for a limited time; thus it is also adapted to survive in cooler climates (Henderson and Musil 1984; Shin 2011). However, outside its native range, the plant grows in varying climatic conditions and biomes, mainly tropical grasslands, subtropical rain forests, subtropical dry forests, and temperate forests. *Pyracantha angustifolia* is widely planted but is a prominent invader in only one biome (grasslands), and it is likely that environmental factors have limited its distribution. The species is largely restricted to high-altitude grasslands, where it appears that freezing winter temperatures are needed to trigger seed germination (Henderson 1989). The plant can tolerate strong winds but not maritime exposure (VRO 2020). It often grows in woodland sunny edges or in dappled/partial shade (Flora of China Editorial Committee 2003).

Based on the Köppen-Geiger climate classification system (Kottek et al. 2006), *P. angustifolia* mostly inhabits warm temperate climates (Table 1; see figures in the Appendix). The only exception is South Africa, where the plant grows in a broader range of climates. For example, *P. angustifolia* grows in the semiarid grassy plains of South Africa, which receive 250 to 500 mm of rain annually (steppe climate; Figure A7). In contrast, the plant grows in areas that receive some rainfall during all seasons in most countries, including other biomes on the eastern side of South Africa (fully humid; Table 1). Additionally, there are some occurrences in temperate areas that are dry during the summer (Australia, Spain, and the United

States) and winter (Argentina, China, Mexico, and South Africa) seasons (Table 1). The climate of the plant's native range in China (Köppen-Geiger zone Cfb; Table 1) is also the most frequent across the invaded range of the plant (Figures A4–A9).

Substratum

Pyracantha angustifolia grows best in well-drained, moisture-retentive loamy soils (VRO 2020). It typically grows in a wide range of soil textures, from light sandy to medium loamy to heavy clay soils (Bean 1919). In the Chinese provinces of Guizhou, Hubei, Sichuan, Xizang, Yunnan, and Zhejiang, the species grows as thickets on slopes, often growing on roadsides, at elevations between 1600 and 3000 m (eFloras 2008). The plant grows well in acidic, neutral, and alkaline soils. *Pyracantha angustifolia* can tolerate a wide range of soil conditions and is known to invade high-altitude grasslands, erosion channels, rocky ridges, and riparian habits (Carbutt 2012; Weber 2017). The ability to inhabit a wide range of soil types and pH ranges makes *P. angustifolia* a highly competitive invasive alien species in disturbed areas where soil conditions have been altered. For example, *P. angustifolia* is invading areas of degraded native forest with deep, high clay content, slightly acidic soils in the Cape Peninsula, South Africa (Alston and Richardson 2006). In southeast Queensland, Australia, *P. angustifolia* appears to prefer alluvial soils along the banks of creeks, adjacent to habitats that have either been cleared or are heavily disturbed by grazing cattle (Csurhes et al. 2016).

Communities in Which the Species Occurs

In its native range, *P. angustifolia* grows in disturbed habitats among thickets on slopes or roadsides (eFloras 2008). When growing in favorable habitats, especially riparian areas running through dry sclerophyll forest and woodland, *P. angustifolia* forms dense thickets that exclude other plants and makes access difficult due to its thorns (Csurhes et al. 2016) (Figure 5D and E). The plant typically grows in grassland biomes, characterized by rolling terrain with grasses and herbaceous plants (Figure 5A and B), and open woodlands, but can invade a variety of habitats. For example, in addition to invading lowland grasslands and grassy woodland, *P. angustifolia* in Victoria, Australia, has also invaded dry and damp sclerophyll forests (towering *Eucalyptus* spp. with open canopy) and riparian areas (Carr et al. 1992).

In the Córdoba mountains of central Argentina, *P. angustifolia* grows in mosaics of grassland, shrubland, and open woodland that are a result of human activities such as livestock grazing, logging, and




Figure 5. *Pyracantha angustifolia* invasions in eastern Free State, South Africa. Such invasions included rocky hills on farms (A); prior open grasslands showing complete invasion (orange-tinted bushes) (B); roadsides, resulting difficulties in observing oncoming traffic for drivers (C); riparian systems (D); and open grassland converted to woody habitat (E) (Adams 2020).

frequent fires (Tecco et al. 2007). The dominant shrubs are *P. angustifolia* and the native *Condalia montana* A. Cast. (Rhamnaceae). The open space between these shrubs is dominated by herbaceous species such as *Leonurus sibiricus* L., *Conium maculatum* L., *Eupatorium argentinum* Ariza, *Hyptis mutabilis* (A. Rich.) Briq., *Baccharis cordifolia* DC., *Bidens pilosa* L., *Carduus acanthoides* L., and *Senecio pampeanas* Cabrera. Studies have shown that the presence of *P. angustifolia* in these communities enhances the recruitment of other exotic (e.g., *Ligustrum lucidum* W.T. Aiton; Oleaceae) and native woody species (Giantomasi et al. 2008; Tecco et al. 2006, 2007).

Invasion History

Europe

Pyracantha spp. have been grown in Britain for several centuries. They were recorded by John Parkinson, a leading herbalist and botanist to Charles I, who classified them as evergreen hawthorn, published in *Paradisi in Sole Paradisus Terrestris* in 1629 (Coats 1964).

The first species introduced to Britain was probably *Pyracantha coccinea*; however, several other species were introduced over the next few centuries, including *P. angustifolia*. There is a record of a direct introduction of *P. angustifolia* into Kew Gardens from western China by Lieutenant Jones in 1899 (Prain 1910). Since that time, the weed has spread to Spain, where the first botanical record was in Señorío de Bértiz near the French border in 1983. The weed was also introduced into cultivation in Les Barres (France) through seeds obtained from eastern Tibet (China) in 1895 (Prain 1910).

Australia

The origin of *P. angustifolia* in Australia is well described by Mulvaney (1991:33), who states that “between 1890–1920 plant collecting trips to China during this period resulted in an increased use of Chinese plants, including many newly described Chinese plants, such as *P. angustifolia* and *Cotoneaster glaucophyllus* being brought into cultivation for the first time beyond China’s borders.” Mulvaney (1991) also noted that *P. angustifolia* was recorded in

several nursery records between 1920 and 1940 across Australia, including in Melbourne, Adelaide, and Sydney. The first herbarium record of *Pyracantha* found outside cultivation in Australia was in the Australian Capital Territory in 1947 (Csurhes et al. 2016). There is information suggesting that a species known only as *Crataegus pyracantha* (a synonym of *Pyracantha*) was available from Queensland nurseries as early as 1867 (Csurhes et al. 2016), but it is not clear that this was *P. angustifolia*.

United States

One of the earliest reports of *P. angustifolia* growing in the United States was made by Grier (1928), who recorded several *Pyracantha* spp., including *P. angustifolia*, growing in the Long Island region of New York. In California, Ripley (1980) recorded the species growing on Angel Island, Marin County, in 1967. The first records in Hawaii are in Fosberg's checklist of vascular plants in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park (Fosberg 1975).

South Africa

Pyracantha angustifolia is featured extensively in most of the conventional gardening reference books of the 1950s to 1980s when it was widely recommended for groupings with other shrubs such as *Cotoneaster*, Spanish broom (*Spartium junceum* L.), privet (*Ligustrum* spp.), and other rose (*Rosa* spp.) species for gardens where growing conditions were difficult. Henderson and Musil (1984) identified *P. angustifolia* to be invading regions of Mpumalanga. *Pyracantha angustifolia* only began invading the grassland biome in the early 1980s. It then spread very rapidly, and dense stands of this shrub now occur in many high-altitude grasslands (Richardson et al. 2020).

New Zealand

The earliest record in New Zealand is from north Auckland in 1958, with China noted as the plant's origin (Given 1982).

Argentina

The species is recorded as being introduced into Argentina as an ornamental or hedge plant. One of the first recorded occurrences in Argentina was in Sierra Chica, Córdoba Province in 1984 (Delucchi 1991).

Dispersal and Establishment

Dispersal and Invasion Pathways

Pyracantha angustifolia, like all *Pyracantha* spp., mainly reproduces from seeds (PIER 2018). Seeds are dispersed by animals, water, gravity, soil movement, and discarded vegetation (Bass 1996; Debussche and Isenmann 1994; Williams et al. 2000). Birds are probably the most important dispersal vector (Williams et al. 2000). *Pyracantha* spp. are among the best-known bird-dispersed plants, because their bright red fruits are very attractive to a variety of bird species (Staples et al. 2009). Birds spread *Pyracantha* spp. seeds some distance from their habitation, by consuming fruits and excreting seeds when they perch in new areas or are in flight (Adams 2020; Cal-IPC 2006). For example, in New South Wales, pied currawongs (*Strepera graculina* Shaw 1790) are a major dispersal vector and prefer the fruits of *Pyracantha* spp. to those of other plant species (Crossman et al. 2002). Bird dispersal assists the escape of cultivated specimens from gardens to

bushland (Bass 1996). In South Africa, bird species such as dark-capped bulbuls (*Pycnonotus tricolor* Hartlaub 1862), red-eyed bulbuls (*Pycnonotus nigricans* Vieillot 1818), speckled mousebirds (*Colius striatus* Gmelin 1789), and red-winged starlings (*Onychognathus morio* Linnaeus 1766) have been observed feeding on *P. angustifolia* fruits in the field (Adams 2020).

Mammals are also important vectors for the dispersal of *P. angustifolia*. The European fox (*Vulpes vulpes* Linnaeus 1758) and coyote (*Canis latrans* Say 1823) have been suggested as dispersal vectors of *Pyracantha* spp., because viable seeds have been extracted from their scat in southern Australia and Southern California, respectively (Muylt 2001; Silverstein 2005). In New Zealand, introduced black rats (*Rattus rattus* Linnaeus 1758) and brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecular* Kerr 1792) have also been recorded excreting viable seeds of *P. angustifolia* (Williams et al. 2000). In South Africa, two rodent species, the four-striped mouse (*Rhabdomys pumilio* Sparrman 1784) and the Karoo bush rat (*Otomys unisulcatus* Cuvier 1829), have been recorded feeding on *P. angustifolia* fruits that have fallen to the ground. It is uncertain if these seeds are viable after being defecated by the rodents (Adams 2020). Additionally, in South Africa, *Pyracantha* spp. fruits/seeds have also been observed in chacma baboon (*Papio ursinus* Kerr 1792) dung, raising a suspicion that baboons might be dispersing *Pyracantha* seeds (Adams 2020). Domestic animals have also been observed feeding on *P. angustifolia* fruits. These include horses (*Equus ferus caballus* Linnaeus 1758), goats (*Capra aegagrus hircus* Linnaeus 1758), and cattle (*Bos taurus* Linnaeus 1758) in the eastern Free State Province of South Africa (Adams 2020).

Humans also play a significant part in invasion by the plant through horticultural sales. Additionally, garden escapees are often found close to towns or old farmhouses, often on roadsides under trees and fences (ESC 2020). The weed is also spread through branch and fruit collection (e.g., for wreaths), landscape debris dumping (spreading fruit to new locations where birds can further distribute seeds), and pruning and dumping during landscape maintenance (PIER 2018).

Establishment

Disturbances and alterations of soil conditions typically increase the chances of establishment of *P. angustifolia*. For example, *P. angustifolia* is typically found in alluvial soils along the banks of creeks in relatively cool (warm temperate) tableland areas around Warwick and Stanthorpe in southeast Queensland, Australia (Csurhes et al. 2016). These habitats have either been cleared or are heavily disturbed by grazing cattle, but in places that are probably naturally open (Csurhes et al. 2016). As such, the plant is rare in adjacent state forests where there has been less disturbance (Csurhes et al. 2016). Soil disturbance and removal of vegetation cover promotes the establishment of *Pyracantha* spp., but despite the resultant high propagule pressure and high germination rates, *Pyracantha* spp. may fail to become abundant in regions with long dry summers (e.g., some Mediterranean ecosystems) due to their inability to avoid germination just before or during the long dry summers. Most native woody species in these areas are adapted to the dry summers, with seeds that remain dormant over summer (see de Villalobos et al. 2010).

Invasion Risk

Many recognize that the outcome of an invasion event is a function of the combined influence of three basic factors: propagule




Figure 6. Global potential distribution area of *Pyracantha angustifolia* estimated using the MaxEnt model (grid cell mapping was $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$). Yellow to red shading indicates suitable climates, while blue dots show the current known distribution. The model is estimating the potential for *naturalization*. The plant may be found outside the modeled area under cultivation.

pressure (frequency of introduction), environmental conditions, and plant competition (Bazzichetto et al. 2018). Hence, areas that are conducive to the germination and proliferation of *P. angustifolia* seeds, seedlings, and grown plants are at the greatest risk of invasion. Heavily disturbed soils in largely open areas (see Csurhes et al. 2016) are likely to be conducive to the spread of the weed, especially in cool-warm climates, as the plant favors high-altitude grasslands and cool-warm climates with moderate water availability and full sun or part shade (Carbutt 2012). Such areas are at high risk of invasion if the vectors have access to them. Grasslands are often at high risk of invasion by woody plant species, because they are easily outcompeted in the presence of herbivores that preferentially attack grasses and forbs. Apart from grasslands and open woodlands, riparian areas also have a high risk of invasion (Swarbrick and Skarratt 1994). However, successful new introductions are limited in areas that do not have a cool, moist climate (Cal-IPC 2006).

Maximum entropy modeling (MaxEnt) was used to estimate the potential distribution of *P. angustifolia* based on relationships between its known presence and background data in relation to environmental covariates (Phillips et al. 2020). Details of this modeling are provided in the Appendix. Grassland biomes (savanna and tropical) and some temperate forests appear to be areas most suited to invasion by *P. angustifolia* (Figure 6). Because the model was trained using sites that were considered to represent naturalized populations, it estimates the potential for *naturalization* under natural climatic conditions, and we expect that *P. angustifolia* could be found outside the modeled area under cultivation. Another bioclimatic modeling package, CLIMATCH (BRS 2009), suggested that cool (warm temperate) upland areas of southeast Queensland, Australia, are most suitable for

P. angustifolia. Using CLIMATCH, it is estimated that *P. angustifolia* could invade mainly riparian habitats running through forests and woodlands (Csurhes et al. 2016).

Growth and Development

Pyracantha angustifolia seems to be a broad climate tolerator, rather than being a specialized stress tolerator, capable of germinating and growing across wide elevational ranges and environmental changes such as soil temperature, moisture, and chemical properties (e.g., nitrogen content, organic matter, and carbon) (Tecco et al. 2016). It is a relatively cold-tolerant species surviving at temperatures down to -18.9°C (Henderson and Musil 1984; Shin 2011). *Pyracantha angustifolia* is a hardy shrub that is tolerant of frost and seasonally dry conditions (Weber 2017). Additionally, it can withstand strong winds, but not maritime exposure (VRO 2020), and is also reported to be moderately tolerant of atmospheric pollution (VRO 2020).

Ecophysiology

Pyracantha spp. are vegetatively unresponsive to variations in the length of day or night, unlike many other woody plant species that cold acclimate in response to both short daylength and low temperatures characterizing winter periods (Welling et al. 2002). It is considered to be day-neutral in its vegetative and flowering responses (Nitsch 1957). When plants are exposed to nonfreezing low temperatures, they acquire increased freezing tolerance, which is known as cold acclimation (Guo et al. 2018). Daylength is not important (day-neutral) for the initiation of cold acclimation that enables *P. angustifolia* to be cold tolerant during winters (Williams et al. 1972).

Phenology

Pyracantha angustifolia is evergreen. The species is a hermaphrodite (has both male and female organs) and is mainly pollinated by bees. The peak of seedling emergence is at the beginning of autumn, coming out of summer, when conditions are warm and moist (Tecco et al. 2016). Flowers generally appear in spring and summer, and fruits develop from late summer, maturing in late autumn (Villalobos et al. 2010). In the mountain chaco woodland of Argentina, fructification of *P. angustifolia* is largely uncoupled from that of most native species, which develop fruits from spring to summer, while *P. angustifolia* has fruit in autumn to winter (Vergara-Tabares et al. 2016). In the Southern Hemisphere, fruit dispersion of *P. angustifolia* occurs mostly during winter (Tecco et al. 2007).

Mycorrhiza and Bacterial Symbionts

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi colonize *P. angustifolia* plant roots and provide the plant access to limited nutrients such as phosphorus. Experimental evidence shows that phosphorus nutrition in *P. angustifolia* seedlings is generally enhanced by the presence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Urcelay et al. 2019).

There are no known bacterial symbionts of *P. angustifolia*, but it can act as the host for fire blight (*Erwinia amylovora* Burrill 1882), a disease normally observed on apples and pears (*Pyrus* spp. L.) (Bastas 2012; Douglas 2006; ESC 2020).

Reproduction

Floral Biology

Studies on the pollination of *Pyracantha* spp. are depauperate, and most information sources state that these species are pollinated by bees in the spring flowering season in South Africa. The open-cluster flowers of *Pyracantha* spp. conform to a generalist insect pollination system, in that they do not appear to have any specialized traits filtering pollinators (they have white petals, are bowl-shaped, have a weak scent, and accessible rewards). *Pyracantha* spp. produce pollen as the main reward to floral visitors, and limited amounts of nectar. The open landing platforms of the flowers are conducive to visits by a variety of insects. Adams (2020) recorded visits from 12 insect species to *P. angustifolia* in the Free State high-altitude grasslands of South Africa, including Hymenoptera (Apidae: *Apis mellifera* Linnaeus 1758); 10 species of Diptera (Calliphoridae: *Bellardia*, *Calliphora*, *Syritta*, *Chrysomya*, *Pollenia*; Asilidae: *Dysmachus*; Syrphidae: *Eristalinus*, *Eristalis*; Sarcophagidae: *Sarcophaga*; Hippoboscidae: *Lucilia*), and 1 species of Hemiptera (Lygaeidae: *Spilostethus*). While many of these floral visitors are not typical pollinators (e.g., *Lucilia* louse flies [*Lucilia* spp. Robineau-Desvoidy 1830] and *Spilostethus* milkweed bugs [*Spilostethus rivularis* Germar 1837]), and their effectiveness as pollen vectors still remains unknown, they did all carry near-pure loads of *P. angustifolia* pollen on their bodies. In the Free State high-altitude grasslands of South Africa, the most common floral visitor, and presumed main pollinator, based on behavioral observations (visitation frequency, visitation rates, and interaction with anthers and stigmas of flowers) and trends in the literature for other Rosaceae species, is the honeybee (*A. mellifera*) (Adams 2020). Other Rosaceae species in the area also attract honeybees (e.g., indigenous *Leucosidea sericea* Eckl. & Zeyh., invasive *Cotoneaster* and *Rosa* species) as well as economically important crop species such as apples (*Malus* spp.) and cherries (*Prunus* spp.) (both Rosaceae). These plants may be competing for

pollinators or, if they flower asynchronously, may be supporting native pollinators year-round. Pollinator exclusion experiments showed that when pollinators were not excluded, there was a fruit yield of 0.91 (± 2.4 SE, $n = 44$) compared with pollinator-excluded flowers, which still yielded 0.66 (± 5.1 SE, $n = 8$) fruits per flower. All fruits sampled from both treatments produced normal numbers of seeds (five seeds per fruit) (S-LS, unpublished data). Overall, this species reproduces prolifically using native generalist insect pollinators, particularly honeybees.

Seed Production

Fruits from *Pyracantha* spp. (all species in the genus) have three to six, but predominantly five seeds per fruit (Adams 2020; Demaio et al. 2002). Because of the very high production of fruits per season (Hickman 1993), millions of seeds are available for wide distribution by dispersal agents. One mature plant can produce more than 1 million seeds annually, translating to more than 1,000 seeds m^{-2} (Hickman 1993). In a study conducted in the grassland biome of South Africa, it was shown that *P. angustifolia* produces approximately 2 million seeds m^{-2} . The plant was reported to produce double the amount of seed in open grassland compared with a rocky outcrop grassland (Adams 2020).

Pyracantha seed density is typically highest under the shrub canopy and decreases with increasing distance from the source plant. Perching structures such as fence lines and other shrubs used by birds seem to be important places where the seeds of this species are dispersed (L Adams, personal observations).

Three factors synergistically influence the success of invasion by *P. angustifolia* in new areas. These are: the number of seeds per fruit, the number of fruits per branch (that may contribute to attractiveness to seed-dispersing birds), and the level of fruit consumption by seed-dispersing birds (Vergara-Tabares et al. 2016). In the western slopes of Sierras Grandes, Argentina, the fruiting season of *P. angustifolia* is largely uncoupled from that of most native fruiting species, hence affecting frugivorous bird abundance, which in autumn–winter is greater in invaded sites and in spring–summer is similar between invaded and non-invaded sites (Vergara-Tabares et al. 2018), likely contributing to the effectiveness of dispersal of *P. angustifolia*. Domestic animals also increase the chances of seed dispersal if seeds survive their ingestion and the animals' movement is not restricted by fences. The effect of seed ingestion by rodents and domestic animals remains poorly studied.

Seed Viability and Germination

Pyracantha seeds are largely distributed by birds and mammals of which scat-derived seeds have a better probability of germinating than those that simply fall off the plant (Silverstein 2005). A study in the Free State Province of South Africa revealed that the ingestion of *P. angustifolia* seeds by aviary birds, *P. tricolor*, purple-crested turacos (*Tauraco porphyreolophus* Vigors 1831), *C. striatus*, and *O. morio*, does not significantly affect seed germination (Adams 2020). Furthermore, seedling germination and regrowth are optimal on bare ground or in highly disturbed soils (PIER 2018; Weedbusters n.d.). The seeds of *P. angustifolia* are capable of germinating and growing across a wide range of elevational and environmental conditions such as soil temperature, moisture, and chemical properties (Tecco et al. 2016), making *P. angustifolia* more invasive across a diversity of regions. A study conducted in the mountains of Argentina revealed that sown seeds of *P. angustifolia* can germinate along the whole altitudinal gradient, and seedlings successfully establish and overwinter well above the current altitudinal

distribution (up to 2,400 m); however, above 1,800 m most seedlings die (Tecco et al. 2016). During this study, most seeds failed to germinate below 5/10 C (minimum/maximum temperatures), and the few that germinated died within a few days. However, at 5/15 C (minimum/maximum temperatures), more than 60% of the sown seeds germinated and developed (Tecco et al. 2016). Tecco et al. (2016) also revealed that *P. angustifolia* germinates and develops at altitudes where soil moisture ranges from 10% to 35%.

Some form of cold treatment is often required to break seed dormancy in cultivation, but more empirical evidence is required to better understand optimal germination conditions for *P. angustifolia*. However, germination trials of *P. angustifolia* conducted in South Africa are showing that seeds can germinate without cold treatment in the greenhouse, though the seeds used in this trial may have experienced cold stratification from frost during the winter season while still on the parent shrub (LDA, unpublished data).

Vegetative Reproduction

Pyracantha angustifolia reproduces sexually through seeds only.

Management Options

Although *P. angustifolia* is known to occur in more than 20 countries, there is generally sparse knowledge on the distribution and invasive status of this plant in many of those countries. This affects the amount of available information on suitable or successful management strategies.

Legislation and Prevention Measures

In Australia, *P. angustifolia* is not a prohibited or restricted invasive plant under the Australian government's Biosecurity Act 2014, but it is declared under legislation in the Australian Capital Territory and Western Australia State (Csurhes et al. 2016). In the Australian Capital Territory, any propagation or supply of the plant is prohibited, while the plant is on the prohibited species list and not permitted entry into the Western Australia State (Csurhes et al. 2016).

In South Africa, there is existing legislation that prohibits planting, maintaining, multiplying, or propagating the plant, except for the purposes of establishing a biological control reserve. According to the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA; 2004), *P. angustifolia* is categorized as a Category 1b invader requiring compulsory control as part of an invasive species control program, and must be removed and destroyed (DEA 2014). Under the NEMBA act, landowners must control the plant in accordance with any invasive species management program and allow an authorized official from the department to enter into the property to monitor, assist with, or implement the containment of listed invasive species or compliance with the invasive species management program (DEA 2014). It is prohibited to import, sell, or acquire propagating material of *P. angustifolia*, except with the written exception of the executive officer (government official).

Chemical

There are several chemicals that can be used to control all invasive *Pyracantha* spp., for example, glyphosate, hexazinone, imazapyr, picloram, tebuthiuron, and triclopyr (DiTomaso et al. 2013). These herbicides are administered and applied in a variety of ways, such as basal bark, cut-stump, foliar, and stem injection treatments (DiTomaso et al. 2013). While there is currently no registered herbicide that is recommended for control of *Pyracantha* in Queensland

(Australia), Hawaii, or South Africa, an off-label use permit (PER11463) allows the use of various herbicides for the control of environmental weeds in nonagricultural areas, bushland, and forests in Queensland, Australia (Csurhes et al. 2016). For example, glyphosate 360 g L⁻¹ (dose = 1 L 2 L⁻¹ water) and metsulfuron-methyl 600 g kg⁻¹ (dose = 2 g 1 L⁻¹ water at 1 ml 2 cm⁻¹ of hole or cut) can be administered into the stems of *P. angustifolia* by drilling or injecting the stems with the chemicals (DAF 2016). Drilling and injecting are necessary methods required for the chemical to reach the sapwood (DAF 2016). Other chemicals such as triclopyr 600 g L⁻¹ (dose = 17 ml 1 L⁻¹ diesel), fluroxypyr 200 g L⁻¹ (dose = 35 ml 1 L⁻¹ diesel or kerosene), and the combination of triclopyr 240 g L⁻¹ + picloram 120 g L⁻¹ (dose = 1 L 60 L⁻¹ diesel) can be used to spray the bark (DAF 2016; Motooka et al. 2003).

All of these chemicals are systemic herbicides that disrupt the internal growth processes of the plants, resulting in death within a few weeks. However, *P. angustifolia* is somewhat tolerant of triclopyr and may require crop oil as an adjuvant or carrier to enhance herbicide uptake (Motooka et al. 2003). For example, cut-stump treatments with oils of glyphosate or triclopyr are generally more effective than spraying stems or canopies (K Cassel, personal communication). The application of triclopyr to frills or basal bark treatment causes slow and erratic results compared with glyphosate, which tends to be more effective (Motooka et al. 2003). Treatment of cut stumps should be carried out in spring or early summer, before fruit development (Motooka et al. 2003).

Cultural and Mechanical

In Australia, there has been moderate success through prescribed burning of *P. angustifolia* fields, but burned plants often resprout and colonize the bare ground. Similarly, cut stems resprout, and seeds germinate easily in bare areas. Replanting of bare areas with dense groundcover plants or shrubs will minimize *P. angustifolia* seedling germination and regrowth (PIER 2018; Weedbusters n.d.). Where feasible, clearance of entire areas, rather than partial clearances, is beneficial, as it minimizes reseeding by birds from nearby plants. Large plants are often cut and painted with herbicide, while seedlings and smaller plants can be hand pulled or dug out. Root suckers are likely to arise after cutting the parent plant; hence they will need follow-up cutting and painting or spraying with chemical herbicides (ESC 2020).

Goats tend to preferentially graze many weeds rather than desirable pasture species required for sheep and cattle production, thus placing the weed at a competitive disadvantage (MLA 2007). Such grazing activity can structurally weaken the weed, prevent the weed from flowering and dispensing seeds, and reduce its stored energy reserves (MLA 2007). *Pyracantha* species are generally considered to be highly palatable to goats (MLA 2007; Simmonds et al. 2000), but the thorniness of *P. angustifolia* makes it less desirable for browsers, reducing the efficiency of grazing as a cultural control method (DiTomaso et al. 2013).

Biological

Biological control has not been used on *P. angustifolia* before. However, the feasibility of biological control is currently being considered in South Africa.

Response to Herbivory, Disease, and Higher Plant Competition

In its native range, *P. angustifolia* likely has numerous specialist herbivores that play a significant role in the suppression of its

reproductive rates and vegetative vigor, but published information is scarce. Funk and Throop (2010) found that a population of *P. angustifolia* in its introduced range in Hawai'i allocated relatively little resource to plant defenses, providing indirect evidence in support of the enemy release hypothesis (Keane and Crawley 2002).

Frugivory and Seed Predation

Seed predation and fruit consumption may significantly impact the demography and population abundance of invasive alien plants (Adams 2020; Louda 1982; Louda and Potvin 1995). As noted earlier, *Pyracantha* spp. are among the best-known bird-dispersed plants because their bright red fruits are very attractive to a variety of bird species (Staples et al. 2009). Examples of *P. angustifolia* fruit-consuming birds in New South Wales include: the Australian king parrot (*Alisterus scapularis* Lichtenstein 1816), blackbird (*Turdus merula* Linnaeus 1758), crimson rosella (*Platycercus elegans* Gmelin 1788), eastern rosella (*Platycercus eximius* Shaw 1792), gray currawong (*Strepera versicolor* Latham 1802) (Lepschi 1993), *S. graculina*, sulfur-crested cockatoo (*Cacatua galerita* Latham 1790), and the yellow-faced honeyeater (*Lichenostomus chrysops* Latham 1802). Similarly, the following species have been observed feeding on *P. angustifolia* in South Africa: the African black duck (*Anas sparsa* Eyton 1838), brimstone canary (*Crithagra sulphuratus* Linnaeus 1766), bush blackcap (*Lioptilus nigricapillus* Vieillot 1818), Cape glossy starling (*Lamprotornis nitens* Linnaeus 1766), Cape rock-thrush (*Monticola rupestris* Vieillot 1818), Cape turtle-dove (*Streptopelia capicola* Sundevall 1857), Cape white-eye (*Zosterops virens* Sundevall 1850), house sparrow (*Passer domesticus* Linnaeus 1758), Karoo thrush (*Turdus smithi* Bonaparte 1850), olive thrush (*Turdus olivaceus* Linnaeus 1766), red-faced mousebird (*Urocolius indicus* Latham 1790), *C. striatus*, and the white-backed mousebird (*Colius colius* Linnaeus 1766) (Adams 2020). Rodents have also been observed feeding on *P. angustifolia* seeds, but there is no evidence of any significant impacts on the plant's populations (Adams 2020; Williams et al. 2000).

Browsing

Typically, browsers can suppress populations of invasive plants, but apart from goats, to our knowledge, there is no information on browsers of *P. angustifolia*. *Pyracantha* spp. are generally considered to be highly palatable to goats (MLA 2007; Simmonds et al. 2000), but the thorniness of *P. angustifolia* makes it less desirable for other browsers, reducing the efficiency of grazing as a cultural control method (DiTomaso et al. 2013).

Insect Herbivory

The literature on insects associated with *P. angustifolia* in China is sparse, presumably because it is not an economically important plant there. In its native range it is reportedly mainly attacked by scale insects (*Ceroplastes japonicus* Green 1921, *Icerya purchasi* Maskell 1879, and species in the Diaspididae family), white flies (*Aleurocanthus spiniferus* Quaintance 1903), and aphids (Aphididae). However, outside its native range (where it has been recognized for its economic and horticultural importance), several other insect pests have been recorded. Additionally, extracts of *P. angustifolia* have been investigated for their acaricidal and insecticidal properties on pests such as the red spider mite (*Tetranychus urticae* Koch 1836) (Kim et al. 2005).

The firethorn leaf miner (*Phyllonorycter leucographella* Zeller 1850), is indigenous to southern Europe, where its primary host

is *P. coccinea*, but has spread to other countries where it lives and reproduces on other *Pyracantha* spp. (Nash et al. 1995; Walczak et al. 2010; Wąsala 2009). Larvae develop a leaf blotch mine (an area of internal plant damage) in which they overwinter. Usually, two generations occur per year, and the resulting damage by the moth is mainly aesthetic (Kirichenko et al. 2019). This insect also mines the leaves of other woody rosaceous shrubs, but mostly completes its life cycle on *Pyracantha* spp. (Walczak et al. 2010).

The black vine weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus* Fabricius 1775) is a common pest of cultivated *P. angustifolia* in the horticultural industry. The adults feed nocturnally by notching the margins of the foliage. The larvae of this weevil injure the plant in nurseries and ornamental plantings by feeding on the roots. The small grubs devour the small roots and progress to chewing the bark from the larger roots, often girdling them. The tops of girdled plants first turn yellow, then brown, and the severely injured plants ultimately die (CAES 2020). Another pest, the apple aphid (*Aphis pomi* De Geer 1773) damages rapidly growing terminal shoots. Both the nymphs and adults suck the sap from the leaves and young stems (CAES 2020). Additionally, the berries of firethorn may allow fruit flies to overwinter (Csurhes et al. 2016).

Pyracantha angustifolia is also a host for pests of other crops. For example, this plant is an alternative host of a polyphagous beetle (black and white citrus longhorn, *Anoplophora chinensis* Forster 1771) and a polyphagous scale insect (camphor beetle or scale beetle, *Pseudaonidia duplex* Cockerell 1896) that are pests of citrus and tea plantations, respectively (CABI 2020). The weed is also a host for other polyphagous insects, for example, the light brown apple moth (*Epiphyas postvittana* Walker 1863) and *O. sulcatus* (CABI 2020).

Diseases

Fungi

The fungal species *Spilocaea pyracanthae* G.H. Otth, infects *Pyracantha* spp., resulting in the disease scab. Scab is characterized by circular, olive-black, velvety spots on the leaves and dark spots on the red berries resulting in leaf fall, loss of flowers, and disfigured fruits (CAES 2020). The fungus mainly infects *Pyracantha* spp. but has been recorded on other plant species in the Rosaceae family (e.g., Butt et al. 2015).

Bacteria

As noted earlier, *Pyracantha angustifolia* can act as the host for fire blight, a disease normally observed on apples and pears (Bastas 2012; Douglas 2006; ESC 2020). Symptoms are similar to those found on apples. *Pyracantha angustifolia* flowers wither and blacken and young twigs and branches die from the terminals back and appear as though they were burned (Douglas 2006). In horticulture, the management of this disease relies mainly on pruning and removing the infected branches, making sure to cut at least 30 cm (12 in.) below visible symptoms when the bark is dry. Cultivars 'Mojave' and 'Shawnee' have been reported to be resistant (Douglas 2006).

Response to Plant Competition

Although the seeds of *P. angustifolia* are capable of germinating and growing across wide temperature and soil moisture ranges and environmental changes (Tecco et al. 2016), germination and regrowth are optimal on bare ground and in highly disturbed soils (PIER 2018; Weedbusters n.d.). This suggests that the plant is largely an opportunistic invader and perhaps is an inferior

competitor in the presence of other woody plant species, although it faces weak competition for growth from grasses and forbs in grasslands (Csurhes et al. 2016). In regions with long dry summers, the seeds of native plant species are adapted to withstand harsh conditions such as prolonged dry periods, which invasive populations of *P. angustifolia* are not (Villalobos et al. 2010). Even if *P. angustifolia* seeds and seedlings escape such mortality factors they may still die before reaching the juvenile stage because of competition from other plant species in the vicinity. However, *P. angustifolia* trees often enhance seedbanks of other plant species through shading (Giantomasi et al. 2008), as well as protecting seedlings of other plant species against ungulate browsing (Tecco et al. 2006).

General Outlook

Pyracantha angustifolia has a broad distribution globally but has not yet reached its full potential. Due to its sharp thorns and growth structure, *P. angustifolia* forms dense thickets that are impenetrable barriers to grazing animals, reducing the value of grazing lands and harboring pests (e.g., foxes, rodents, and rabbits). However, because of its extensive use within the horticultural industry, it is suggested *P. angustifolia* will spread into new regions and countries, especially because it has the ability to invade a wide variety of habitats and climatic zones. The copious amounts of brightly colored fruits produced by *P. angustifolia* make it very attractive to birds and small mammals that facilitate its spread outside the cultivated range. Viable seeds excreted by the dispersal agents are capable of germinating and growing across wide elevational ranges and environmental changes, often resulting in high propagule pressure and germination rates. Mediterranean, tropical, and subtropical climates with disturbed soils and low woody vegetation cover are the most ideal habitats for *P. angustifolia* and are therefore at the greatest risk of establishment of *P. angustifolia* populations. The plant's tolerance to cold, frost, strong winds, and seasonally dry conditions puts more habitats at high risk of invasion.

Once established, the spread of *P. angustifolia* can be quite rapid, as observed in the grassland biome of South Africa. In such cases, the species becomes a formidable invader that is difficult to manage because of large monocultures consisting of robust shrubs with large sharp spines that make fields inaccessible. It is suggested that *P. angustifolia* will become increasingly problematic in more regions around the world, especially the grasslands of South Africa, Argentina, and Australia. MaxEnt modeling suggests that much of southern Africa and parts of western America are climatically suitable for the growth and proliferation of *P. angustifolia* (Figure A9), putting them at high risk for invasion. Due to extensive bird-assisted dispersal and its ability to establish in remote regions, *P. angustifolia* will be difficult to manage using conventional control measures. Biological control agents that target the reproductive components of the species may offer sustainable management options. The presence of specialized (mono- and oligophagous) insect and fungal feeders of *P. angustifolia* suggests that biological control may be feasible, but extensive native range surveys are required to identify the full suite of potential biological control agents of *P. angustifolia*. However, careful host-specificity testing would be required in the recipient country, because *P. angustifolia* is a secondary host of insect pests and diseases that affect many crops.

Acknowledgments. The South African Working for Water (WfW) Programme of the Department of Environmental Affairs: National Resource Management is acknowledged for providing funding. Funding for this work

was also provided by the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology; the Afromontane Research Unit, South African National Biodiversity Institute, Centre for Invasion Biology; and the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and the NRF does not accept any liability in this regard. No conflicts of interest have been declared.

References

- Adams LD (2020) Reproductive Ecology of *Pyracantha angustifolia* in Afromontane Grasslands of the Eastern Free State. MSc dissertation. Phuthaditjhaba, South Africa: University of the Free State. 103 p
- Alatorre D (1977) Home Wines of North America. San Antonio: Self-Published. 2nd ed. 90 p
- Alston KP, Richardson DM (2006) The roles of habitat features disturbance and distance from putative source populations in structuring alien plant invasions at the urban/wildland interface on the Cape Peninsula South Africa. *Biol Conserv* 132:183–198
- Anderson RP, Lew D, Peterson AT (2003) Evaluating predictive models of species' distributions: criteria for selecting optimal models. *Ecol Model* 162: 211–232
- Andreu J, Vilà M (2011) Native plant community response to alien plant invasion and removal. *Manag Biol Invasions* 2:81–94
- Atlas of Living Australia (n.d.) Weeds Australia profiles: *Pyracantha angustifolia* (Franch.) C.K.Schneid. <https://profiles.ala.org.au/opus/weeds-australia/profile/Pyracantha%20angustifolia>. Accessed: April 19, 2019
- Auld B, Morita H, Nishida T, Ito M, Michael P (2003) Shared exotica: plant invasions of Japan and southeastern Australia. *Cunninghamia* 8:147–152
- Bass DA (1996) Pied Currawongs and invading ornamentals: what's happening in Northern New South Wales. Pages 362–365 in Proceedings of the 11th Australian Weeds Conference. Melbourne, Australia, September 30–October 3, 1996
- Bastas KK (2012) First report of *Erwinia amylovora* on firethorn (*Pyracantha coccinea*) and mountainash (*Sorbus* sp.). *Turk Plant Dis* 96:1819
- Bazzichetto M, Malavasi M, Bartak V, Acosta ATR, Rocchini D, Carranza ML (2018) Plant invasion risk: a quest for invasive species distribution modelling in managing protected areas. *Ecol Indicators* 95:311–319
- Bean WJ (1919) Trees and Shrubs Hardy in the British Isles. 2nd ed. London: J Murray
- Bertrand H, Cadic A, Belin J (1992) *Pyracantha* Origine description et clé de détermination des principaux taxons. Paris: SEDA/PHM Revue Horticole Collection Repères Techniques. 101 p
- [BCCVL] Biodiversity and Climate Change Virtual Laboratory (2019) Biodiversity and Climate Change Virtual Laboratory: MaxEnt. <https://support.bccvl.org.au/support/solutions/articles/6000083216-maxent>. Accessed: April 22, 2020
- Brako L, Rossman AY, Farr DF (1995) Scientific and Common Names of 7000 Vascular Plants in the United States. Sci Comm Names reprint. St Paul, MN: American Phytopathological Society (APS) Press. 295 p
- Brändle M, Brandl R (2012) The time lag between introduction and escape from cultivation of alien woody plant species decreases with polyploidization. *Web Ecol* 12:1–7
- Brickell C, ed (1996) The Royal Horticultural Society A-Z Encyclopedia: Garden Plants. London: Dorling Kindersley Publishers Ltd. 1102 p
- Bromilow C (2001) Problem Plants of South Africa A guide to the identification and control of more than 300 invasive plants and other weeds. Briza Publications, Pretoria
- [BRS] Bureau of Rural Sciences (2009) Climatch. Canberra: Department of Agriculture Fisheries and Forestry. <https://climatch.cp1.agriculture.gov.au/climatch.jsp>. Accessed: May 14, 2020
- Burger HC, Frankenhuysen A, van Goffau LJW, Ulenberg SA (1985) Important insect infestations in 1984. *Entomol Ber (Amst)* 45:157–165
- Butt H, Mukhtar T, Batoool M, Aslam MN, Kayani MZ, Hussain MA (2015) Occurrence of leaf scab caused by *Spilocaea pyracanthae* on loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica*) in the Pothohar region of Pakistan. *Mycopath* 13:29–32
- [CABI] CAB International (2020) Invasive Species Compendium: *Pyracantha angustifolia* (Narrow-Leaf Firethorn). <https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/45992>. Accessed: May 14, 2020

- Cadic A (1983) *Pyracantha* breeding program in France: first results. *Acta Hort* 151:307–314. DOI:10.17660/ActaHortic.1984.151.44
- Cadic A, Gallet M, Courtin I (1992) The use of enzymatic markers for the identification of *Pyracantha* varieties. *Acta Hort* 320:185–192. DOI:10.17660/ActaHortic.1992.320.24
- [Cal-IPC] California Invasive Plant Council (2006) *Pyracantha angustifolia* (*Pyracantha* firethorn). <https://www.cal-ipc.org/plants/profile/pyracantha-angustifolia-profile/> Accessed: May 14, 2020
- Carbutt C (2012) The emerging invasive alien plants of the Drakensberg Alpine Centre Southern Africa. *Bothalia* 42:71–85
- Carr GW, Yugovic JW, Robinson KE (1992) Environmental Weed Invasions in Victoria Conservation and Management Implications. Melbourne: Department of Conservation and Environment and Ecological Horticulture. 78 p
- Coats AM (1964) Garden Shrubs and Their Histories. London: Vista Books. 416 p
- [CAES] Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station (2020) Plant-Pest Handbook: Firethorn. *Pyracantha*. <https://portal.ct.gov/CAES/Plant-Pest-Handbook/pph/Firethorn-Pyracantha> Accessed: May 14, 2020
- Crossman ND, Bass DA, Virtue JG, Jupp PW (2002) Feral olives (*Olea europaea* L.) in southern Australia: an issue of conservation concern. *Adv Hort Sci* 16:175–183
- Curthes S, Weber J, Zhou Y (2016) Invasive Plant Risk Assessment: Firethorn *Pyracantha* Species. Queensland, Australia: Department of Agriculture and Fisheries Biosecurity. 26 p
- Debussche M, Isenmann P (1994) Bird-dispersed seed rain and seedling establishment in patchy Mediterranean vegetation. *Oikos* 69:414
- Delucchi G (1991) Especies adventicias y naturalizadas nuevas o críticas para Argentina. *Boletín Sociedad Argentina de Botánica* 27:179–81
- Demaio P, Karlin UO, Medina M (2002) Árboles nativos del centro de Argentina Lola Buenos Aires. Buenos Aires: LOLA. Pp 182–183
- [DAF] Department of Agriculture and Fisheries (2016) Firethorn: *Pyracantha* spp. Brisbane: Biosecurity Queensland. 3 p. https://www.daf.qld.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0011/73667/firethorn.pdf. Accessed: July 20, 2020
- [DEA] Department of Environmental Affairs (2014) Government Gazette <https://www.sanbi.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/nembainvasivealienspeciesregulations-12feb2014.pdf>. Accessed: May 14, 2020
- de Villalobos AE, Vázquez DP, Martin JL (2010) Soil disturbance vegetation cover and the establishment of the exotic shrub *Pyracantha coccinea* in southern France. *Biol Invasions* 12:1023–1029
- DiTomaso JM, Kyser GB, Oneto SR, Wilson RG, Orloff SB, Anderson LW, Prather TS (2013) Weed Control in Natural Areas in the Western United States. Davis: University of California Weed Research and Information Center. 544 p
- Douglas SM (2006) Fire blight. Pages 840–840 in Capinera JL, ed. *Encyclopedia of Entomology*. Amsterdam: Kluwer Academic
- EDDMapS (2009) Narrowleaf firethorn: *Pyracantha angustifolia* (Franch.) Schneid. <https://www.eddmaps.org/distribution/point.cfm?id=618586>. Accessed: April 16, 2020
- eFloras (2008) Flora of China. St Louis: Missouri Botanical Garden; Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Herbaria. http://www.efloras.org/florataxon.aspx?flora_id=1&taxon_id=200011170. Accessed: May 14, 2020
- Egolf DR, Andrick AO (1995) A Checklist of *Pyracantha* Cultivars. U.S. National Arboretum Contribution. Beltsville, MD: U.S. Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service. 91 p
- Elith J, Kearney M, Phillips S (2010) The art of modelling range-shifting species. *Methods Ecol Evol* 1:330–342.
- [ESC] Eurobodalla Sire Council (2020) Environmental and Noxious Weeds. <https://www.esc.nsw.gov.au/living-in/natural-environment/introduced-plants-and-animals/weeds/environmental-and-noxious-weeds>. Accessed: February 24, 2020
- [EPPO] European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization Global Database (2020) *Pyracantha angustifolia* (PYEAN). <https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/PYEAN>. Accessed: May 14, 2020
- Fick SE, Hijmans RJ (2017) WorldClim 2: new 1km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int J Climatol* 37:4302–4315
- Flora of China Editorial Committee (2003) Flora of China (Pittosporaceae through Connaraceae) Page 1–496 in Wu ZY, ed. *Flora of China*. Beijing: Science Press; St Louis: Missouri Botanical Garden Press
- Fosberg FR (1975) Revised Check-List of Vascular Plants of Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. Honolulu: Cooperative National Park Resources Studies Unit, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Department of Botany. PCSU Technical Report 5. 19 p
- Funk JL, Throop HL (2010) Enemy release and plant invasion: patterns of defensive traits and leaf damage in Hawaii. *Oecologia* 162:815–823
- Giantomasi A, Tecco PA, Funes G, Gurvich DE, Cabido M (2008) Canopy effects of the invasive shrub *Pyracantha angustifolia* on seed bank composition richness and density in a montane shrubland (Argentina). *Austral Ecol* 33:68–77
- Given DR (1982) Checklist of dicotyledons naturalised in New Zealand 13 Rosaceae. *N Z J Bot* 20:221–226
- [GBIF] Global Biodiversity Information Facility (2019) GBIF Occurrence Download. <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/download/0045078-200221144449610>. Accessed: May 14, 2020
- Grier CR (1928) A list of plants growing under cultivation in the vicinity of Cold Spring Harbor New York. *Am Midl Nat* 11:307–387
- Guix JC (2007) The role of alien plants in the composition of fruit-eating bird assemblages in Brazilian urban ecosystems. *Orsis* 22:87–104
- Guo X, Liu D, Chong K (2018) Cold signaling in plants: insights into mechanisms and regulation. *J Integr Plant Biol* 60:745–756
- Henderson L (1983) Barrier plants in South Africa. *Bothalia* 14:635–639
- Henderson L (1989) Invasive alien woody plants of Natal and the northeastern Orange Free State. *Bothalia* 19:237–261
- Henderson L (2007) Invasive naturalized and casual alien plants in southern Africa: a summary based on the Southern African Plant Invaders Atlas (SAPIA). *Bothalia* 37:215–248
- Henderson L (2011) Mapping of invasive alien plants: the contribution of the Southern African Plant Invaders Atlas (SAPIA) to biological weed control. *Afr Entomol* 19:498–503
- Henderson L, Musil KJ (1984) Exotic woody plant invaders of the Transvaal. *Bothalia* 15:297–313
- Hickman JC, ed (1993) *The Jepson Manual: Higher Plants of California*. 3rd printing with corrections. Berkeley: University of California Press. 972 p
- Hijmans RJ, Cameron S, Parra JL, Jones PG, Jarvis A (2005) Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int J Climatol* 25:1965–1978
- Hill MP, Terblanche JS (2014) Niche overlap of congeneric invaders supports a single-species hypothesis and provides insight into future invasion risk, implications for global management of the *Bactrocera dorsalis* complex. *PLoS ONE* 9:90121
- Jocou AI, Gandullo R (2019) Sinopsis de las especies de *Pyracantha* (Rosaceae Maloideae) naturalizadas en Argentina. *Boletín de la Sociedad Argentina de Botánica* 54:599–616
- Johnson AT, Smith HA, Stockdale AP (1951) *Plant Names Simplified; Their Pronunciation and Meaning*. Sheffield, UK: 5M Publishing. 120 p
- Keane RM, Crawley MJ (2002) Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. *Trends Ecol Evol* 17:164–170
- Keser S (2014) Antiradical activities and phytochemical compounds of firethorn (*Pyracantha coccinea*) fruit extracts. *Nat Prod Res* 28:1789–1794
- Kim DI, Park J-D, Kim SG, Kuk H, Jang MS, Kim SS (2005) Screening of some crude plant extracts for their acaricidal and insecticidal efficacies. *J Asia Pac Entomol* 8:93–100
- Kirichenko N, Augustin S, Kenis M (2019) Invasive leafminers on woody plants: a global review of pathways impact and management. *J Pest Sci* 921:93–106
- Kobisi K, Seleteng-Kose L, Moteetea A (2019) Invasive alien plants occurring in Lesotho: their ethnobotany potential risks distribution and origin. *Bothalia: African Biodiversity and Conservation* 49:1–11
- Kottek M, Grieser J, Beck C, Rudolf B, Rubel F (2006) World map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. *Meteorologische Zeitschrift* 15:259–263
- Kriticos DJ, Jarošik V, Ota N (2014) Extending the suite of Bioclim variables: A proposed registry system and case study using principal components analysis. *Methods Ecol Evol* 5:956–960
- Kriticos DJ, Webber BL, Leriche A, Ota N, Macadam I, Bathols J, Scott JK (2012) CliMond: global high-resolution historical and future scenario climate surfaces for bioclimatic modelling. *Methods Ecol Evol* 3:53–64
- Lepschi BJ (1993) Food of some birds in eastern New South Wales: additions to Barker and Vestjens. *Emu-Austral Ornithol* 93:195–199

- Louda SM (1982) Distribution ecology: variation in plant recruitment over a gradient in relation to insect seed predation. *Ecol Monogr* 52:25–41
- Louda SM, Potvin MA (1995) Effect of inflorescence feeding insects on the demography and lifetime fitness of a native plant. *Ecology* 76:229–245
- Martínez GJ, Manzano-García J (2019) Perception and use of non-native and invasive flora from Sierras de Córdoba in central Argentina. *Acta Bot Brasílica* 33:241–253
- Maruyama S (1998) Mass sudden deaths of *Bombycilla* spp. migratory birds. *Jpn J Toxicol Environ Health* 44:17–24
- [MLA] Meat and Livestock Australia (2007) *Weed Control Using Goats: A Guide to Using Goats for Weed Control in Pastures*. 2nd ed. North Sydney: Meat and Livestock Australia
- Meyer FG, Mazzeo PM, Voss DH (1994) A catalog of cultivated woody plants of the southeastern United States. U.S. National Arboretum Contribution No. 7. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.58811>
- Mito T, Uesugi T (2004) Invasive alien species in Japan: the status quo and the new regulation for prevention of their adverse effects. *Global Environ Res* 8:171–193
- Moreschi EG, Funes G, Zeballos SR, Tecco PA (2019) Post-burning germination responses of woody invaders in a fire-prone ecosystem. *Austral Ecol* 44:1163–1173
- Motooka P, Castro L, Nelson D, Nagai G, Ching L (2003) *Weeds of Hawai'i's Pastures and Natural Areas; An Identification and Management Guide: Pyracantha angustifolia*. Manoa: College of Tropical Agriculture and Human Resources University of Hawai'i. P 1
- Mulvaney MJ (1991) Far from the Garden Path: An Identikit Picture of Woody Ornamental Plants Invading Southeastern Australian Bushland. Ph.D. dissertation. Canberra, Australia: Australian National University. Pp 33–36
- Muyt A (2001) *Bush Invaders of Southeast Australia: A Guide to the Identification and Control of Environmental Weeds Found in Southeast Australia*. Meredith, Australia: R.G. and F.J. Richardson. 304 p
- Nash DR, Agassiz DJ, Godfray H CJ, Lawton JH (1995) The pattern of spread of invading species: two leaf-mining moths colonizing Great Britain. *J Anim Ecol* 64:225–233
- Nesom GL (2010) *Pyracantha* (Rosaceae) naturalized in Texas and the Southeastern United States. *Phytoneuron* 2010:1–6
- Nitsch JP (1957) Growth responses of woody plants to photoperiodic stimuli. *Proc Am Soc Hort Sci* 70:512–525
- Nobis M, Ebel AL, Nowak A, Turginov OT, Kupriyanov AN, Nobis A, Olonova MV, Paszko B, Piwowarczyk R, Chen WL, Gudkova PD (2014) Contribution to the flora of Asian and European countries: new national and regional vascular plant records 2. *Acta Botanica Gallica* 161:209–221
- [PIER] Pacific Island Ecosystems at Risk (2018) *Pyracantha angustifolia*. http://www.hear.org/pier/species/pyracantha_angustifolia.htm. Accessed: October 19, 2020
- Padhye AA, Davis MS, Bear D, Reddick A, Sinha KK, Ott J (1998) Phaeohyphomycosis caused by *Phaeoacremonium inflatipes*. *J Clin Microbiol* 36:2763–2765
- Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE (2006) Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecol Model* 190:231–259
- Phillips SJ, Dudík M, Schapire R (2020) Maxent Software for Modeling Species Niches and Distributions. Version 3.4.1. http://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent. Accessed: July 21, 2020
- Plant List (2013) The Plant List Database. Version 11. <http://www.theplantlist.org/1.1/browse/A/Rosaceae/Pyracantha/>. Accessed: January 1, 2020
- Prairie D (1910) *Pyracantha angustifolia*. *Botanical Magazine* [sic] ser 4 71: tab 8345. London: Bentham-Moxon Trust, Royal Botanical Garden Kew. <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/14262>. Accessed: May 14, 2020
- Pratt LW, Bio KF (2012) New plant records from Hawaii Island. *Bishop Mus Occas Pap* 113:75–80
- Randall R (2001) Garden thugs: a national list of invasive and potentially invasive garden plants. *Plant Prot Q* 16:138–171
- Retief E, Meyer NL (2017) *Plants of the Free State: Inventory and Identification Guide*. Pretoria: South African National Biodiversity Institute. 1236 p
- Richardson DM, Foxcroft LC, Latombe G, Le Maitre DC, Rouget M, Wilson JR (2020) The biogeography of South African terrestrial plant invasions. Pages 67–96 in Van Wilgen BW, Measey J, Richardson DM, Wilson JR, Zengeya TA, eds. *Biological Invasions in South Africa*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer
- Ripley JD (1980) Plants of Angel Island Marin County California Great Basin. *Naturalist* 40:385–407
- Semenya SS, Tshishikawe MP, Potgieter MT (2012) Invasive alien plant species: a case study of their use in the Thulamela Local Municipality Limpopo Province South Africa. *Scientific Research and Essays* 7:2363–2369
- Serviss BE (2009) *Pyracantha koidzumii* (Rosaceae) new to the Arkansas flora. *Journal of the Botanical Research Institute of Texas* 3:319–321
- Shah S, Tewari B, Bisht S, Tewari A (2006) Seed maturation indicators in *Pyracantha crenulata* Roxb in Kumaun Central Himalaya. *New For* 32:1–7
- Shin C-S (2011) Cold tolerance assessment of *Lagerstroemia indica* and *Pyracantha angustifolia* with dormant branches. *Journal of the Korean Institute of Landscape Architecture* 148:118–125
- Simmonds H, Holst P, Bourke C (2000) *The Palatability and Potential Toxicity of Australian Weeds to Goats*. 1st ed. Barton, Australia: Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation. 166 p
- Silverstein RP (2005) Germination of native and exotic plant seeds dispersed by coyotes (*Canis latrans*) in southern California. *Southwest Nat* 50:472–478
- Staples GW, Herbst DR, Imada CT (2009) Survey of invasive or potentially invasive cultivated plants in Hawai'i. *Bishop Mus Occas Pap* 65:35
- Swarbrick JT, Skarratt DB (1994) *The Bushweed 2 Database of Environmental Weeds in Australia*. Gatton: University of Queensland Gatton College. Pp 812–816
- Tecco PA, Gurvich DE, Díaz S, Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Cabido M (2006) Positive interaction between invasive plants: the influence of *Pyracantha angustifolia* on the recruitment of native and exotic woody species. *Austral Ecol* 31:293–300
- Tecco PA, Díaz S, Gurvich DE, Perez-Harguindeguy N, Cabido M, Bertone GA (2007) Facilitation and interference underlying the association between the woody invaders *Pyracantha angustifolia* and *Ligustrum lucidum*. *Appl Veg Sci* 10:211–218
- Tecco PA, Pais-Bosch AI, Funes G, Marcora PI, Zeballos SR, Cabido M (2016) Mountain invasions on the way: are there climatic constraints for the expansion of alien woody species along an elevation gradient in Argentina? *J Plant Ecol* 9:380–392
- Trethowan PD, Robertson MP, McConnachie AJ (2011) Ecological niche modelling of an invasive alien plant and its potential biological control agents. *S Afr J Bot* 77:137–146
- Urcelay C, Longo S, Geml J, Tecco PA (2019) Can arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from non-invaded montane ecosystems facilitate the growth of alien trees? *Mycorrhiza* 29:39–49
- Van Valkenburg J, Brunel S, Brundu G, Ehret P, Follak S, Uludag A (2014) Is terrestrial plant import from East Asia into countries in the EPPO region a potential pathway for new emerging invasive alien plants? *EPPO Bull* 44:195–204
- Vergara-Tabares DL, Badini J, Peluc SI (2016) Fruiting phenology as a “triggering attribute” of invasion process: do invasive species take advantage of seed dispersal service provided by native birds? *Biol Invasions* 18:677–687
- Vergara-Tabares DL, Toledo M, García E, Peluc SI (2018) Aliens will provide: avian responses to a new temporal resource offered by ornithocorous exotic shrubs. *Oecologia* 188:173–182
- Villalobos AE, Vazquez DP, Martin J-L (2010) Soil disturbance vegetation cover and the establishment of the exotic shrub *Pyracantha coccinea* in southern France. *Biol Invasions* 12:1023–1029
- [VRO] Victorian Resources Online (2020) Scarlet firethorn (*Pyracantha coccinea*). http://vro.agriculture.vic.gov.au/dpi/vro/vrosite.nsf/pages/weeds_scarlet-firethorn. Accessed: May 14, 2020
- Walczak U, Baraniak E, Jerzak E (2010) Host range of an invasive moth *Phyllonorycter leucographella*. *J Appl Entomol* 134:714–717
- Wasala R (2009) *Phyllonorycter leucographella* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera Gracillariidae) an invasive species mining leaves of firethorn. *Prog Plant Prot* 49:154–156

- Webb CJ, Sykes WR, Garnock-Jones PJ (1988) Flora of New Zealand. Volume 4. Naturalised Pteridophytes, Gymnosperms and Dicotyledons. Christchurch, New Zealand: Botany Division DSIR. 1365 p
- Webber BL, Yates CJ, Le Maitre DC, Scott JK, Kriticos DJ, Ota N, McNeill A, Le Roux JJ, Midgley GF, 2011. Modelling horses for novel climate courses: insights from projecting potential distributions of native and alien Australian acacias with correlative and mechanistic models. *Divers Distrib* 17:978–1000
- Weber E (2003) Invasive Plant Species of the World: A Reference Guide to Environmental Weeds. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing. Pp 352–353
- Weber E (2017) Invasive Plant Species of the World: A Reference Guide to Environmental Weeds. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing. 581 p
- Weedbusters (n.d.) Orange Firethorn. <https://www.weedbusters.org.nz/what-are-weeds/weed-list/orange-firethorn/>. Accessed: April 16, 2020
- Weeds of Australia (2019) Biosecurity Queensland Edition. Queensland Government: Fact Sheet Index. https://keyserver.lucidcentral.org/weeds/data/media/Html/pyracantha_angustifolia.htm. Accessed: April 14, 2020
- Welling A, Moritz T, Palva ET, Junttila O (2002) Independent activation of cold acclimation by low temperature and short photoperiod in hybrid aspen. *Plant Physiol* 129:1633–1641
- Wells MJ, Balsinhas AA, Joffe H, Engelbrecht VM, Harding G, Stirton CH (1986) A Catalogue of Problem Plants in Southern Africa. *Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of Southern Africa* No. 53. Pretoria: Botanical Research Institute South Africa. 670 p
- White M, Cheal D, Carr GW, Adair R, Blood K, Meagher D (2018) Advisory List of Environmental Weeds in Victoria. Heidelberg, Victoria: Arthur Rylah Institute for Environmental Research. 109 p
- Williams BJ, Pellett NE, Klein RM (1972) Phytochrome control of growth cessation and initiation of cold acclimation in selected woody plants. *Plant Physiol* 50:262–266
- Williams PA, Karl BJ, Bannister P, Lee WG (2000) Small mammals as potential seed dispersers in New Zealand. *Austral Ecol* 25:523–532
- Xiwen L, Walker D (1986) The plant geography of Yunnan Province southwest China. *J Biogeogr* 13:367–397

Appendix

We modeled the potential distribution of *P. angustifolia* using areas that were climatically representative of the species based on the Köppen-Geiger climate classifications, as recommended by Webber et al. (2011) and Hill and Terblanche (2014). The climate data were downloaded from the World Maps of Köppen-Geiger Climate Classification (<http://koeppen-geiger.vu-wien.ac.at>). Only Köppen-Geiger climate zones that contained at least one *P. angustifolia* occurrence record were used as the background area from which pseudo-absences were drawn for model calibration. Plant localities were taken from the Southern African Plant Invaders Atlas (SAPIA; Henderson 2007; L Henderson, personal communication), Atlas of Living Australia (n.d.), and GBIF (2019). Locality data were appropriately refined: (1) duplicates were removed, (2) coordinate errors were corrected where possible, (3) coordinates lacking sufficiently fine-scale precision were excluded, (4) coordinates older than 50 yr with no new locations near them were removed, and (5) localities that were visually inspected and deemed to be a locational error or potentially growing under artificial conditions (garden records, golf courses, cultivated fields, university campuses, botanical gardens, etc.) were removed.

Bioclimatic variables are used in niche-based plant distribution modeling studies. These can be freely downloaded from the WorldClim database (www.worldclim.org; Hijmans et al. 2005) and the CliMond database (www.climond.org; Kriticos et al. 2012). For this study, climate data were obtained by downloading the standard set of bioclimatic variables from the WorldClim v. 2 database (Fick and Hijmans, 2017). A jackknife analysis was used to identify the most informative variables. As a result, seven layers were selected: BIO1 = annual mean temperature; BIO2 = annual precipitation; BIO8 = mean temperature of wettest quarter; BIO10 = mean temperature of warmest quarter; BIO12 = mean annual precipitation; BIO15 = precipitation seasonality (coefficient of variation); BIO18 = precipitation of warmest quarter. These grids have a 30 arc-second spatial resolution; this is equivalent to about 0.86 km² at the equator (and less elsewhere) and is commonly referred to as “1-km” spatial resolution (Fick and Hijmans, 2017). Bootstraps were conducted on each variable to determine which variables to the predictive distribution of the species.

We used MaxEnt (MaxEnt v. 3.3.3; BCCVL 2019; Phillips et al. 2006; https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/

[maxent/](#)) to model areas climatically suitable for *P. angustifolia*. In MaxEnt, we used the Auto Features function to automatically limit how complex (either a linear or quadratic analysis) the model can be based on the number of occurrence locations (BCCVL 2019). However, the Extrapolate and Clamping options were not selected. Additionally, the default MaxEnt parameters of 500 iterations and 0.00001 convergence threshold were used when running the program’s “logistic output,” which creates a continuous, linear-scaled map that allows fine-scale distinctions to be made between the modeled probabilities of habitat suitability. To help avoid overfitting, a regularization value of 1, which restricts the number of occurrence points to 1 per grid cell, was used. There can be considerable variation in the performance of models when choosing a particular random selection of points for the training and testing sets (Anderson et al. 2003). Therefore, we created six random bootstrap data sets for each model set that were randomly partitioned into a “testing data set,” consisting of 30% of the species occurrences, and a “training data set,” consisting of the remaining 70% of the species occurrences. The testing data set was used to assess the accuracy of the model through jackknife testing (Trethowan et al. 2011). The final model produced for each set of models was the mean of the six model replicates. The minimum training presence used the minimum threshold from the set of six models. This threshold avoids ignoring any “known” risk areas, which is important in risk management for invasive species.

Response Curves

These curves show how each environmental variable affects the probability that a location is climatically suitable for the organism. The curves show how, within the defined background, the estimated probability of presence changes as each environmental variable is varied, keeping all other environmental variables at their average sample values. Note that the curves can be hard to interpret if variables are strongly correlated, as the model may depend on the correlations in ways that are not evident in the curves. In other words, the curves show the marginal effect of changing exactly one variable, whereas the model may take advantage of sets of variables changing together. Excessive complexity in these functions can indicate model overfitting or the inclusion of erroneous or misleading records

in the training data set (e.g., including records in which the species is able to persist only because of artificial cultivation practices such as irrigation).

In contrast to the marginal response curves in Figure A1, each of the following curves represents a different model, namely, a MaxEnt model created using only the corresponding variable.

These plots reflect the dependence of predicted suitability both on the selected variable and on dependencies induced by correlations between the selected variable and other variables. They may be easier to interpret if there are strong correlations between variables.




Figure A1. MaxEnt marginal response curves. 1 = Annual mean temperature; 3 = isothermality; 8 = mean temperature of wettest quarter; 10 = mean temperature of warmest quarter; 12 = mean annual precipitation; 15 = precipitation seasonality (coefficient of variation); 18 = precipitation of warmest quarter. Bioclim variable definitions are included in Kriticos et al. (2014).




Figure A2. MaxEnt marginal response curves. 1 = Annual mean temperature; 3 = isothermality; 8 = mean temperature of wettest quarter; 10 = mean temperature of warmest quarter; 12 = mean annual precipitation; 15 = precipitation seasonality (coefficient of variation); 18 = precipitation of warmest quarter. Bioclim variable definitions are included in Kriticos et al. (2014)




Figure A3. Comparison of the environmental similarity of variables in ASCII to the environmental data used for training the model. In the first picture, the multivariate environmental similarity surfaces (MESS) maps, the areas in red have one or more environmental variables outside the range present in the training data, so predictions in those areas should be treated with strong caution. The second picture shows the most dissimilar variable, i.e., the one that is furthest outside its training range. For details, see Elith et al. (2010).

Global Distributions

Table A1. Definitions of classes in the Köppen–Geiger climate classification.

	Description	Group	Precipitation Type	Level of Heat
Aw	Tropical savanna, wet	Tropical	Savanna, Wet	Hot
Af	Tropical rainforest climate	Tropical	Rainforest	Hot
Am	Tropical monsoon climate	Tropical	Monsoon	Hot
As	Tropical dry savanna climate	Tropical	Savanna, Dry	Hot
BSh	Hot semi-arid (steppe) climate	Arid	Steppe	Hot
BWh	Hot deserts climate	Arid	Desert	Hot
BSk	Cold semi-arid (steppe) climate	Arid	Steppe	Cold
BWk	Cold desert climate	Arid	Desert	Cold
Csb	Warm-summer Mediterranean climate	Temperate	Dry summer	Warm summer
Cfa	Humid subtropical climate	Temperate	Without dry season	Hot summer
Cfb	Temperate oceanic climate	Temperate	Without dry season	Warm summer
Cfc	Subpolar oceanic climate	Temperate	Without dry season	Cold summer
Csa	Hot-summer Mediterranean climate	Temperate	Dry summer	Hot summer
Csc	Cool-summer Mediterranean climate	Temperate	Dry summer	Cold summer
Cwa	Monsoon-influenced humid subtropical climate	Temperate	Dry winter	Hot summer
Cwb	Subtropical highland climate or temperate oceanic climate with dry winters	Temperate	Dry winter	Warm summer
Cwc	Cold subtropical highland climate or subpolar oceanic climate with dry winters	Temperate	Dry winter	Cold summer
Dfa	Hot-summer humid continental climate	Cold (continental)	Without dry season	Hot summer
Dfb	Warm-summer humid continental climate	Cold (continental)	Without dry season	Warm summer
Dfc	Subarctic climate	Cold (continental)	Without dry season	Cold summer
Dfd	Extremely cold subarctic climate	Cold (continental)	Without dry season	Very cold winter
Dsa	Hot, dry-summer continental climate	Cold (continental)	Dry summer	Hot summer
Dsb	Warm, dry-summer continental climate	Cold (continental)	Dry summer	Warm summer
Dsc	Dry-summer subarctic climate	Cold (continental)	Dry summer	Cold summer
DSD	Dry-summer subarctic climate	Cold (continental)	Dry summer	Very cold Winter
Dwa	Monsoon-influenced hot-summer humid continental climate	Cold (continental)	Dry winter	Hot summer
Dwb	Monsoon-influenced warm-summer humid continental climate	Cold (continental)	Dry winter	Warm summer
Dwc	Monsoon-influenced subarctic climate	Cold (continental)	Dry winter	Cold summer
Dwd	Monsoon-influenced extremely cold subarctic climate	Cold (continental)	Dry winter	Very cold winter
EF	Ice cap climate	Polar	Ice cap	Very cold winter
ET	Tundra	Polar	low	Vey cold winter




Figure A4. Known locations of *Pyracantha angustifolia* in its native region (China) and part of its invaded range (Japan). Colored shading represents climatic zones based on the Köppen-Geiger classification system (Kottek et al. 2006). Red dots represent refined data used in MaxEnt modeling, and blue dots represent additional available distribution data. Data sourced from GBIF (2019).




Figure A5. Known locations of *Pyracantha angustifolia* in Australia and New Zealand. Colored shading represents climatic zones based on the Köppen-Geiger classification system (Kottek et al. 2006). Red dots represent refined data used in MaxEnt modeling, and blue dots represent additional available distribution data. Data sourced from GBIF (2019) and Atlas of Living Australia (n.d.).




Figure A6. Known locations of *Pyracantha angustifolia* in France and Spain. Colored shading represents climatic zones based on the Köppen-Geiger classification system (Kottek et al. 2006). Red dots represent refined data used in MaxEnt modeling, blue dots represent all available distribution data. Data sourced from GBIF (2019).




Figure A7. Known locations of *Pyracantha angustifolia* in South Africa. Colored shading represents climatic zones based on the Köppen-Geiger classification system (Kottek et al. 2006). Red dots represent refined data used in MaxEnt modeling, and blue dots represent all available distribution data. Data sourced from GBIF (2019) and Southern African Plant Invaders Atlas (Henderson 2007).




Figure A8. Known locations of *Pyracantha angustifolia* in South America. Colored shading represents climatic zones based on the Köppen-Geiger classification system (Kottek et al. 2006). Red dots represent refined data used in MaxEnt modeling, and blue dots represent all available distribution data. Data sourced from GBIF (2019).




Figure A9. Known locations of *Pyracantha angustifolia* in North America. Colored shading represents climatic zones based on the Köppen-Geiger classification system (Kottek et al. 2006). Red dots represent refined data used in MaxEnt modeling, and blue dots represent additional available distribution data. Data sourced from GBIF (2019).