



Investment	Page
2166 - ADVANCED FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	5
6191 - AF NC3-MEECN MODERNIZATION	13
1046 - AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER - WEAPON SYSTEM	19
1078 - AIR FORCE INTRANET INCREMENT 2	25
1099 - AIR FORCE INTRANET INCREMENT 3	33
3947 - AIR FORCE-INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS)	41
0049 - ARMED FORCES HEALTH LONGITUDINAL TECHNOLOGY APPLICATION	49
6040 - ARMY ACCESSIONS - INTEGRATED AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE	57
1104 - BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE	63
1854 - BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM FIXED	73
1005 - BIOMETRICS ENABLING CAPABILITY	79
0591 - BUSINESS SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION - ENERGY	87
6320 - CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX/TACTICAL WARNING - ATTACK ASSESSMENT	93
6946 - COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	99
0435 - COMPOSITE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM	107
3146 - CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORKS ENTERPRISE SERVICE	113
0573 - DEFENSE CIVILIAN PERSONNEL DATA SYSTEM	121
4035 - DEFENSE ENROLLMENT ELIGIBILITY REPORTING SYSTEM, REAL-TIME AUTOMATED PERSON IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM, AND COMMON ACCESS CARD	NNEL 129
0178 - DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	141
0594 - DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SECURITY	159
0595 - DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK	167
0613 - DEFENSE MEDICAL LOGISTICS STANDARD SUPPORT	189
0615 - DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM	199
1042 - DEFENSE OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH READINESS SYSTEM - INDUSTRIA	AL HYGIENE 207
6312 - DEFENSE TRAVEL SYSTEM	215
6555 - DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL (DJC2)	225

Investment	Page
0688 - DISTRIBUTED LEARNING SYSTEM	233
5090 - DLA ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM	241
6478 - DODEA OFFICE AUTOMATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE	247
4122 - ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD WAY AHEAD	255
1791 - ENERGY CONVERGENCE	265
0510 - EXECUTIVE INFORMATION/DECISION SUPPORT	271
0483 - EXPEDITIONARY COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	279
0314 - GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM	289
0880 - GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE	297
5069 - GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM - AIR FORCE	305
5070 - GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM - ARMY	313
0155 - GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM - MARINE CORPS	321
0882 - GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-JOINT	329
6491 - GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM - ARMY	339
6046 - GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM - MARITIME (GCCS-M)	349
0881 - GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM- JOINT	359
0884 - GLOBAL DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM	377
6963 - GUARDNET XXI	391
1017 - INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEM INCREMENT 1	397
2180 - INSTALLATION INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	407
1667 - INTEGRATED DATA ENVIRONMENT/GLOBAL TRANSPORTATION NETWORK CONVERGENCE	417
0599 - INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM - ARMY	423
1826 - INTEGRATED STRATEGIC PLANNING AND ANALYSIS NETWORK	433
1179 - INTEGRATED STRATEGIC PLANNING AND ANALYSIS NETWORK - INCREMENT 2	441
1550 - INTEGRATED STRATEGIC PLANNING AND ANALYSIS NETWORK - INCREMENT 3	455
1555 - JOINT BATTLE COMMAND-PLATFORM	463
1009 - JOINT PERSONNEL IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM	471

Investment	Page
6189 - JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEM	479
6524 - JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) - AIRBORNE AND MARITIME/FIXED STATION (AMF)	485
0342 - JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) - HANDHELD, MANPACK, AND SMALL FORM FIT RADIOS (HMS)	493
6587 - JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) - NETWORK ENTERPRISE DOMAIN (NED)	509
3945 - JSPOC MISSION SYSTEMS	517
6190 - JTRS - GROUND MOBILE RADIOS	525
6430 - KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT	531
6298 - LOGISTICS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	537
2213 - MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM, V6.4	545
3448 - MARINE CORPS DISTANCE LEARNING	555
6525 - MARINE CORPS TOTAL FORCE SYSTEM-PERSONNEL	561
1191 - MEPCOM INTEGRATED RESOURCE SYSTEM	571
1184 - MISSION PLANNING SYSTEM INCREMENT 4	379
6170 - MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS (INCREMENT III)	593
0057 - MULTIFUNCTIONAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	601
6368 - NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS)	611
0186 - NAVY ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING (ERP)	617
1372 - NAVY TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM	627
6965 - NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES	637
3538 - NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK	647
0192 - PRODUCT TAILORING WARFIGHTER APPLICATIONS	659
1640 - RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYSTEM	673
1699 - SHIPBOARD MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM	681
1794 - STANDARD PROCUREMENT SYSTEM	689
6388 - TACTICAL DATA LINK SYSTEM	701
1243 - TELEPORT GENERATION 1/2	711
1248 - TELEPORT GENERATION 3	719

Investment	Page
1911 - THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT CORE SYSTEM	727
1913 - THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM-JOINT	733
3855 - VIRTUAL INTERACTIVE PROCESSING SYSTEM	743
1152 - VOICE SWITCHING SYSTEM	751
1202 - WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK - TACTICAL INCREMENT 1	757
1208 - WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK - TACTICAL INCREMENT 2	763
1242 - WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK - TACTICAL INCREMENT 3	771

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	2166	Acronym	AFATDS		
Name of Investment	ADVANCED	FIELD ARTIL	LERY TACTICAL DATA SY	YSTEM	
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	FORCE APPL	ICATION		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The system name was changed to reflect the version currently in the field.

The Advanced Field Artillery Tactical Data System (AFATDS) performs Command and Control, increases Situational Awareness and automates fire support coordination for the Army, Navy and Marine Corps. AFATDS automates the planning, coordinating and controlling of all fire support assets in the Joint battlespace (field artillery, mortars, close air support, naval gunfire, attack helicopters and offensive electronic warfare) from Echelons Above Corps to Battery or Platoon in support of all levels of conflict.

As a result of Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF)/Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF), AFATDS has implemented precision fires capabilities in new/improved munitions such as Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS) Unitary Vertical Attack, Excalibur, Smart and 155 Bonus. Additional implemented capabilities include automatic conduct of Unit Fratricide Avoidance Checks and Collateral Damage Avoidance. Also, AFATDS improved Command and Control (C2) for the United States Marine Corps (USMC) Expeditionary Fire Support System and its new munitions.

AFATDS will interoperate with the other Army Battle Command Systems, current and future Army, Navy and Air Force Command and Control weapon systems, and the German, French, British, and Italian fire support systems. The system is composed of common hardware/software employed in varying configurations at different operational facilities (or nodes) and unique system software interconnected by tactical communications in the form of a software-driven, automated network. The system is currently fielding non-developmental, rugged common hardware, running the Windows Operating System. The total force will be fielded a Windows based platform by fiscal year 2013.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	91,609	63,269	68,866	65,744
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0702806A 04-Logistic Support Activities	1,225	1,290	1,296	1,308
O&M, MC				
0206626M 01-Field Logistics	2,157	1,560	3,549	1,729
0708012M 01-Field Logistics	1,000	0	0	C
Operations Total	4,382	2,850	4,845	3,037
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0210600A 02-FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	35,408	37,070	35,556	17,702
0210606A 02-FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	17,216	2,851	0	C
Procurement, MC				
0206313M 04-COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	11,346	2,487	2,545	18,070
0506313M 04-COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	0	0	0	2,850
Procurement Total	63,970	42,408	38,101	38,622
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0203726A 07-ADV FA TAC DATA SYS/EFF CNTRL SYS (AFATDS/ECS	18,202	18,011	23,961	22,088
RDT&E, Navy				
0206313M 07- Exp Indirect Fire Gen Supt Wpn Sys	5,055	0	1,959	1,997
RDT&E Total	23,257	18,011	25,920	24,085

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	64.071	82.371	
FY 2013 President's Budget	63.269	68.866	5.60
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-13.505	
•			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

Army RDTE: \$5.239M Increase (28%)

This increase in the FY13 funding results from requirements to fund Network Assisted GPS for Precision Munitions.

OPA: \$5.818M Increase (17%)

The procurement increase in FY13 is a result of an additional 175 AFATDS workstations need to support deployed or deploying units during the year.

OMA: \$.019M Decrease (1%)

Operation and Maintenance funding decreased in FY13 as a result of declining travel estimates.

USMC O&M: \$2.973M Increase (516%)

This increase in funding is to support reset of workstations, training and travel for personnel.

Navy RDT&E: \$2.070M Decrease (51%)

The decrease results are due to a reduction of Navy required capabilities development in FY13.

USMC Procurement: \$20.116M Decrease (89%) The decrease was to fund higher level requirements

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMA: \$.006M Increase (0.47%)

Operation and Maintenance funding increased in FY13 as a result of increased travel estimates.

OPA: \$4.365M Decrease (11%)

The procurement decrease in FY13 is due to a reduction in required hardware purchases. AFATDS completed the required transition from UNIX to Windows systems.

Army RDTE: \$5.950M Increase (33%)

This increase in the FY13 funding results from requirements to fund Network Assisted GPS for Precision Munitions.

Navy RDT&E: \$1.959M Increase (100%)

The increase in funding will support further development of Navy required capabilities in FY13. This calculation is not included in the summary above.

USMC O&M: \$1.989M Increase (128%)

The increase in funding will support reset, training and travel for personnel.

USMC Procurement: \$.058M Increase (2%)

The increase in funding in FY13 will support the purchase of additional AFATDS workstations.

### **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Materiel Release of Software Verison 6.7 completed.

Software and System Engineering for AFATDS modernization. Continued developing Version 6.8.

Continued Data Engineering for AFATDS modernization.

Completed Test and Evaluation for AFATDS modernization.

Provided Support and Management for AFATDS modernization.

Completed AFATDS Hardware procurement consisting of 374 Miltope and Mission Command collapse workstations, ridge wall shelters, installation and interface kits.

Provided AFATDS Fielding Support (Users fielded = 1388 AFATDS systems).

Provided AFATDS Training Support.

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Software and System Engineering for AFATDS modernization. Complete Version 6.8 and begin Version 6.8.X.

Data Engineering for AFATDS modernization.

Test and Evaluation for AFATDS modernization.

Support and Management for AFATDS modernization.

AFATDS Hardware procurement consisting of 358 Miltope and Mission Command Collapse workstations, ridge wall shelters, installation and interface kits.

Provide AFATDS Fielding Support. (Users fielded = 910 AFATDS systems).

Provide AFATDS Training Support.

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Materiel Release of Version 6.8.

Software and System Engineering for AFATDS modernization. Continue development of Version 6.8.X.

Data Engineering for AFATDS modernization.

Test and Evaluation for AFATDS modernization.

Support and Management for AFATDS modernization.

AFATDS Hardware procurement consisting of 980 Miltope and Mission Command Collapse workstations, installation and interface kits.

Provide AFATDS Fielding Support. (Users fielded = 750 AFATDS systems).

Provide AFATDS Training Support.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

The Army will continue to modernize, develop and enhance current capabilities through the use of R&D funding to support both the Service and Joint warfighter. V6.8.X will be completed and tested along with the initiation of V6.9 development. The OPA funding will be used to procure 189 AFATDS systems to modernize the current Active Army and National Guard Units as well as provide fielding, training and program management support.

### **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

PM Mission Command

### Component

Department of the Army

### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

John Leonforte

PM Mission Command

### **Contract Information**

Name: CACI Technologies, INC.

City/State: Chantilly, VA

Supported Technical, Business and Logistics Support

**Function:** 

Name: Computer Sciences Corporation

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Eatontown, NJ

**Supported** Technology, Logistics, Test and IV&V

**Function:** 

Name: General Dynamics C4 Systems, INC.

City/State: Taunton, MA

**Supported** IDIQ Hardware and licenses

**Function:** 

Name: Raytheon Company City/State: Fort Wayne, IN

**Supported** Software Development, System Engineering, Test and Integration

**Function:** 

## Milestones/Schedules

Pr	oject Name:	AFATD	OS Capability S	Set 13-14 (V6.8)								
	<b>Planned Start</b>	Date:	2010-02-28	Planned Completion Dat	<b>e:</b> 2012	2-12-17	Planned Liv	ve Cycle Cost:	23.400	(dollars in	millions)	
	<b>Description:</b>	A multi-	-service joint an	nd combined forces Fire Suppo	ort Battle	e Command	system. The	system pairs ta	rgets to weapo	ons to provide optin	num use of fir	:e
		support	assets and time	ely execution of fire missions.	The sys	tem automa	tes the plannii	ng, coordinating	g & controlling	g of all fire support	assets.	
	<b>Activity Name</b>					Star	t Date	Compl	letion Date	Total C	Costs	
	Continuation of	Software	development eff	orts and intial testing.		Planned:	2011-02-27	Planned:	2012-02-12	Planned:	7.800	
						D 1 1	2011 02 27	D 1 1	2012 00 20	D 1 1	7.000	

Continuation of Software development efforts and intial testing.

Planned: 2011-02-27 Planned: 2012-02-12 Planned: 7.800

Projected: 2011-02-27 Projected: 2012-09-28 Projected: 7.800

Description

Actual: 2011-02-27 Actual: Actual: 0.000

Software development efforts will continue throughout this timeframe.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Software development completion, testing and fielding.	Planned: 2012-02-13	Planned: 2012-12-17	Planned: 7.800
	Projected: 2012-09-29	Projected: 2013-03-25	Projected: 7.800
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

The software development of this capability set/version will be completed. Operational and Interoperability testing will be completed per standard guidelines. Fielding and installation of this new software version will be completed.

Project Name: AFATDS Capability Set 15-16 (V6.8.X)

Planned Start Date: 2012-03-26 Planned Completion Date: 2015-03-15 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 59.100 (dollars in millions)

Description: A multi-service joint and combined forces Fire Support Battle Command system. The system pairs targets to weapons to provide optimum use of fire

support assets and timely execution of fire missions. The system automates the planning, coordinating & controlling of all fire support assets.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Initiation of software development.	Planned: 2012-03-26	Planned: 2013-03-25	Planned: 19.700
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 19.700
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Software development/updates will be intiated and begin for the new capabil	ity set and munitions update per re	equirements.	
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Continuation of Software development efforts and initial testing.	Planned: 2013-03-26	Planned: 2014-03-25	Planned: 19.700
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Software development efforts will continue throughout this timeframe.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Software development completion, testing and fielding.	Planned: 2014-03-26	Planned: 2015-03-15	Planned: 19.700
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

## Customers/Stakeholders

### **Customers for this Investment**

Customers for this investment include Army programs such as: Excalibur, PM Close Air Support (PM CAS), High Mobility Artillery Rocket System, Multiple Launch Rocket System, Lightweight Mortar Fire Control System, Light Counter Mortar Radar, Improved Fire Control System, Towed Artillery Digitization and Paladin.

There are also many Foreign Military customers such as: Australia, Bahrain, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Portugal, Saudi Arabia and Taiwan.

### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Stakeholders for this investment include a number of different Government or Military Organizations:

Internal Stakeholders include: Program Executive Office - Command, Control and Communications Tactical (PEO C3T), PM Mission Command, Tradoc Capabilities Management (TCM) Fires Cells.

External Stakeholders include: Warfighter, Congress, Army Battle Command systems, United States Marine Corp, The Navy, United States Combatant Commands (COCOMs), Joint Services and The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Aquisition, Logistics and Technology ASA(ALT).

## **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

The RDTE investment of \$24.0M will be used to continue the development of software version 6.8.X. It will continue to test and field completed AFATDS software versions.

The OPA investment of \$40.9M will be used to continue to modernize the current Active/Reserve Army and National Guard units which purchase of hardware, fielding and training. Also, the inverstment provides deployed units with the most modern theater provided equipment.

The OMA investment of \$1.315M will be used to continue support of logistics efforts.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

The RDTE investment from FY14 through FY17 will be used to continue and complete the development of software versions 6.8.X, 6.9 and will initiate version 7.0. It will continue to test and field completed AFATDS software versions 6.8.X and 6.9.

The OPA investment from FY14 through FY17 will be used to continue to modernize the current Active/Reserve Army and National Guard units which purchase of hardware, fielding and training. Also, the inverstment provides deployed units with the most modern theater provided equipment.

The OMA investment from FY14 through FY17 will be used to continue support of logistics efforts.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6191	Acronym	AF NC3-MEECN MO				
Name of Investment	AF NC3-MEE	CN MODERNI	IZATION				
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE					
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE		
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	control		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS		

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

AF NC3 systems provide assured communications between the President and strategic forces in nuclear environments. NC3 systems provide the nuclear community the following capabilities: \* Enable assured Command and Control (C2) of Force Application \* Provide Force Direction \* Provide hardened communications for Emergency Action Message (EAM) delivery \* Provide AF Minimum Essential Emergency Communications (MEECN) capabilities \* Supports Weapon System C2 communication Information Technology (IT) modernization efforts upgrade ground, airborne and missile communication elements to meet CJCSI 6811.01 Nuclear Command and Control Technical Performance Criteria. The AF NC3-MEECN Modernization Initiative includes modernization-related: \* Acquisition Programs \* Payments for Programs and Services ¿ Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) Funding \* Studies, Improvement and Evaluation Programs Acquisition programs include: 1. Minuteman MEECN Program Upgrade (MMP-U) provides enhanced operator control functions and Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) capability. 2. Ground Element MEECN System (GEMS) provides Wing Command Posts, and their mobile support teams, survivable Extremely High Frequency/ Advanced Extremely High Frequency (EHF/AEHF) and Very Low Frequency (VLF) to receive and relay EAMs from nuclear C2 nodes. It includes Ultra High Frequency (UHF) line of sight, High Frequency (HF) beyond line of sight, text and voice paging, and audible klaxon devices for aircrews that are on alert. GEMS replaces legacy equipment not meeting the performance criteria outlined in CJCSI 6811.01A. It is a Joint Staff, Navy and AF initiative.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	102,638	93,763	45,438	86,428
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0303131F 02-N/A	2,873	2,964	3,003	3,094
MILPERS Total	2,873	2,964	3,003	3,094
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0303131K 04-Defense Information Systems Agency	11,467	11,552	11,001	11,325
Operations Total	11,467	11,552	11,001	11,325
Procurement				
Missile Proc, AF				
0303131F 03-MM III MODIFICATIONS	9,746	40,991	6,325	19,049
Other Proc, AF				
0303131F 03-MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N	0	0	0	3
Procurement Total	9,746	40,991	6,325	19,052
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0303131F 07-Ground Element Meecn Sys (GEMS)	34,584	14,491	0	0
0303131F 07-MEECN System Improvements	1,299	786	12,178	39,673
0303131F 07-Minuteman Meecn Program (MMP)	32,029	10,465	0	0
RDT&E, DW				
0303131K 07-SPECIAL PROJECTS	4,800	5,170	5,251	5,435
0303131K 07-STRATEGIC C3 SUPPORT	5,840	7,344	7,680	7,849
RDT&E Total	78,552	38,256	25,109	52,957

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	111.847	63.865	
FY 2013 President's Budget	93.763	45.438	-48.33
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-18.427	

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Minuteman MEECN Program Upgrade (MMPU)

- Completed Contractor performed development testing
- Completed AF performed Weapon System Testing

Global Aircrew Strategic Network Terminal (Global ASNT)

- Initiated new "incremental approach" to system engineering and acquisition

MEECN System Improvement (MSI)

- Nuclear Command and Control (NC2) Architecture assessment/findings/recommendations

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

#### MMPU

- Milestone C completion
- Exercise FY11 production option 1
- Exercise FY12 production option 2

Global ASNT

- Material Decision Document for program placement into acquisition life-cycle
- RFP release for Increment 1 Advanced EHF (AEHF)
- Contract award for Increment 1 AEHF

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

#### MMPU

- Install production hardware into ICBM launch control centers

Global ASNT

- Milestone B to initiate the Engineering Manufacturing Development contract

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

MMPU - Continue fielding MMPU production terminals Global ASNT - Conduct Critical Design Review (CDR) for AEHF Terminal

### **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

### **Component**

Department of the Air Force

#### Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Mr Glenn Sullivan

### **Contract Information** No contract information is available.

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Minuteman MEECN Program Upgrade (MMP-U)

Planned Start Date: 2005-01-15 Planned Completion Date: 2015-12-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 209.500 (dollars in millions)

Description: Provides ICBM forces with survivable, reliable and secure VLF/LF and AEHF connectivity from the President. MMP-U also provides enhanced

operator terminal control to allow the missile crew to switch between satellites.

filestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Production	Planned:	2011-08-15	Planned:	2014-09-30	Planned:	51.737
	Projected:	2012-01-15	Projected:	2014-09-30	Projected:	51.737
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Produce MMP Upgrade AEHF terminals, FY11 lot buy (11 terminals) and FY12	lot buy (39 terr	ninals).				
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
Engineering Manufactoring Development (EMD)	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	22.229
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	22.229
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Contractor final design of special purpose circuit card.						
Complete AF performed weapon system testing.						
roject Name: Global Aircrew Strategic Network Terminal (Global AS)	NT)					
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 20	20-12-30	Planned Live (	Cycle Cost:	638.700	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> NC2 system replacing existing mission-deficient unsustaina	ble systems at	bomber, tanker	and reconna	issance wing co	mmand posta an	d mobile
support teams. Provides an AEHF, VLF/LF and UHF aircre	w alerting sys	tem.		_	_	
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Pre-Milestone B Acquisition	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	16.391
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	16.391
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
System Program Office initiation of acquisition activities (engineering trade-off a	analysis, acquis	ition documentation	on) leading to	Milestone B.		

# Customers/Stakeholders

**Customers for this Investment** 

**Stakeholders for this Investment** 

# **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

NSPD-28 reaffirms the need for a NCCS that provides the President with an integrated, flexible, secure, responsive, and enduring system to support the exercise of his authority over the use of nuclear

weapons. The NCCS may be required to provide presidential support in any national crisis. To that end, three of the key objectives identified in NSPD-28 are:

- 1. To provide a means to ensure use of U.S. nuclear weapons and warheads when authorized and to prevent unauthorized or accidental use;
- 2. To protect critical information and information systems; and
- 3. To maintain a supporting infrastructure that assures the reliability of current capabilities and that can respond to future requirements.

The AF NC3 MEECN portfolio is responsible for modernizing the vital C2 link between the President and nuclear warfighting forces.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

NSPD-28 reaffirms the need for a NCCS that provides the President with an integrated, flexible, secure, responsive, and enduring system to support the exercise of his authority over the use of nuclear weapons. The NCCS may be required to provide presidential support in any national crisis. To that end, three of the key objectives identified in NSPD-28 are:

- 1. To provide a means to ensure use of U.S. nuclear weapons and warheads when authorized and to prevent unauthorized or accidental use;
- 2. To protect critical information and information systems; and
- 3. To maintain a supporting infrastructure that assures the reliability of current capabilities and that can respond to future requirements.

The AF NC3 MEECN portfolio is responsible for modernizing the vital C2 link between the President and nuclear warfighting forces.

## **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	1046	Acronym	AOC-WS	AOC-WS						
Name of Investment	e of Investment AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER - WEAPON SYSTEM									
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE							
Category	NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM			Acquisition Category	PRE-MDAP					
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	control		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS					

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The AOC WS is the air and space operations planning, execution, and assessment system for the Joint Force Air Component Commander (JFACC). It is the JFACC's primary tool for commanding air and space forces. The AOC develops the air and space operations strategy and planning documents to meet JFACC objectives and guidance. It also tasks and executes day-to-day air and space operations and provides rapid reaction, positive control, coordination and deconfliction of weapons systems. It is the senior air and space command and control (C2) node in a given military theater of operations.

One of the major roles of the AOC WS System Program Office (SPO) is to ensure the 48+ applications developed and managed by other organizations seamlessly operate within the AOC and provide the JFACC the needed data to execute the mission. The AOC WS Program office awarded a Weapon System Integrator (WSI) contract to increase the systems engineering rigor used on the AOC by employing a system of systems perspective. This perspective will help move the AOC WS towards Network Centric Operations (NCO). The WSI will also perform analyses to identify gaps and redundancies in AOC WS processes and applications. Filling these gaps and reducing these redundancies will support completion of the Modernization Block of the program.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	251,558	250,819	247,217	279,572
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0207410F 02-N/A	7,258	7,456	7,749	8,013
MILPERS Total	7,258	7,456	7,749	8,013
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0207410F 01-Aircraft Operations	132,038	135,999	154,887	166,744
Operations Total	132,038	135,999	154,887	166,744
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0207410F 03-AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS	38,312	15,431	33,907	38,354
Procurement Total	38,312	15,431	33,907	38,354
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0207410F 07-Integration Development	73,950	91,933	50,674	66,461
RDT&E Total	73,950	91,933	50,674	66,461

## **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget         266.169         258.530           FY 2013 President's Budget         250.819         247.217         -3.60           Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013         -11.313         -11.313	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
	FY 2012 President's Budget	266.169	258.530	
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 -11.313	FY 2013 President's Budget	250.819	247.217	-3.60
	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-11.313	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

FY13 reduction from PB12 to PB13 reflects a re-alignment of funding associated with the 4-month delay of the start of execution of the Modernization Contract due to a series of pre-award and post-award protests

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

FY12 to FY13 reduction reflects a re-alignment of funding associated with the 4-month delay of the start of execution of the Modernization Contract due to a series of pre-award and post-award protests

## **Program Accomplishments**

### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

Intitiated source selection for the AOC Modernization Contract (AMC) after a successful business clearance with SAF.

Began deployment of Recurring Event (RE)-10 for Increment 10.1

Began development of RE-11 (a major RE).

Stood up the 603rd AOC in Ramstein, Germany.

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Successfully execute the pre-EMD phase of the AOC Modernization Contract (AMC).

Reach program initiation at the end of FY12.

Complete deployment of Recurring Event (RE)-10 to currently scheduled Increment 10.1 sites

Successfully begin fielding of Recurring Event (RE)-11 at Increment 10.1 sites.

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Successful Developmental Test (DT) for Increment 10.2

Field the 10.2 baseline to the AOC Help Desk.

Continue fielding RE-11 to the remaining Increment 10.1 sites.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Continue the EMD phase of Increment 10.2 with a planned full deployment decision this year. Continue the sustainment and tech refresh of Increment 10.1.

## **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

### **Component**

Department of the Air Force

### Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

Lt Col John Barrette

# **Contract Information**

Name: Contractor Name A
City/State: Arlington, VA
Supported Supported Function 1

**Function:** 

Name: Contractor Name B
City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Supported Function 2

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Planned Start	<b>t Date:</b> 2000-08-31	Planned C	ompletion Date:	2006-12-31	Planned Live	e Cycle Cost:	87.160	(dollars in	millions)
	Increment 10.0 was	the first of two sta	andardization bloc	ks and fielded 5 F	falconers, to in			and Al-Udeid A	ir Base.
<b>Activity Name</b>					t Date		etion Date	Total	
Increment 10.0	- Initial Baseline			Planned:	2000-08-31	Planned:	2006-12-31	Planned:	87.160
				Projected:		Projected:	2006-12-31	Projected:	0.000
<b>Description</b> Complete				Actual:	2000-08-31	Actual:	2006-04-13	Actual:	87.160
roject Name:	AOC 10.1								
Planned Start	t Date: 2003-12-15	Planned C	ompletion Date:	2012-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	754.895	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b>	Increment 10.1 deve	elopment/fielding	has been provided	through follow-o	n delivery orde	ers providing s	tandardization a	and upgrades to	the
	infrastructure thru re	ecurring events. T	This will continue	on as an organic ta	ask but on a de	ecreasing level	as Increment 10	0.2 is developed	•
<b>Activity Name</b>					t Date		etion Date	Total	
Increment 10.1	<ul> <li>Second of Two Standa</li> </ul>			D1 1	2002 12 15	D11.	2012 00 20	D11.	754 905
mercinent 10.1	- Second of Two Stands	arization Blocks		Planned:	2003-12-15	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	754.895
	- Second of Two Stands	arization Blocks		Projected:	2003-12-15	Projected:	2012-09-30 2012-09-30	Projected:	797.000
<b>Description</b> Actual LLC i	is those funds expended	to date (87% of Pro		Projected: Actual:	2003-12-15 2003-12-15	Projected: Actual:	2012-09-30	Projected: Actual:	797.000 696.000
Description Actual LLC i Increment 10 beyond appro	is those funds expended 1.1 is fully fielded to all bach will depend upon i AOC 10.2	to date (87% of Pro 24 sites with contin f Modernization Inc	ued spiral updates (crement 10.2 continu	Projected: Actual: 6-12-18 months) sch les or not.	2003-12-15 2003-12-15 neduled to maint	Projected: Actual: ain currency wit	2012-09-30 h Joint Communit	Projected: Actual: ty and security po	797.000 696.000 sture. FY12 and
Description Actual LLC i Increment 10 beyond appro- roject Name: Planned Start	is those funds expended 0.1 is fully fielded to all bach will depend upon i AOC 10.2 t Date: 2007-09-12	to date (87% of Pro 24 sites with contin f Modernization Inc Planned C	ued spiral updates (crement 10.2 continuompletion Date:	Projected: Actual: 6-12-18 months) schoes or not. 2017-09-30	2003-12-15 2003-12-15 neduled to maint	Projected: Actual: ain currency with	2012-09-30 h Joint Communit 485.476	Projected: Actual:  ty and security po  (dollars in	797.000 696.000 sture. FY12 and
Description Actual LLC i Increment 10 beyond appro roject Name: Planned Start Description:	is those funds expended 0.1 is fully fielded to all bach will depend upon i AOC 10.2  t Date: 2007-09-12  Increment 10.2 Dev applications onto the	to date (87% of Pro 24 sites with contine f Modernization Inc 2 Planned Control of Control of Control of Pro- elopment/Fielding	ued spiral updates (crement 10.2 continuous dompletion Date: g provides for the continuous dompletion Date: g provides	Projected: Actual: 6-12-18 months) sch les or not. 2017-09-30 development/integ uirements.	2003-12-15 2003-12-15 neduled to maint Planned Live gration of net-co	Projected: Actual: ain currency with the Cycle Cost: centric infrastru	2012-09-30  th Joint Community 485.476 acture, and the in	Projected: Actual:  ty and security po  (dollars in ntegration of sel	797.000 696.000 esture. FY12 and millions) ected 3rd part
Description Actual LLC i Increment 10 beyond appro roject Name: Planned Start Description: Activity Name	is those funds expended 0.1 is fully fielded to all bach will depend upon i AOC 10.2  t Date: 2007-09-12  Increment 10.2 Dev applications onto the	to date (87% of Pro 24 sites with contine f Modernization Inc 2 Planned Control of Control of Control of Pro- elopment/Fielding	ued spiral updates (crement 10.2 continuous dompletion Date: g provides for the continuous dompletion Date: g provides	Projected: Actual: 6-12-18 months) sch les or not. 2017-09-30 development/integ uirements. Start	2003-12-15 2003-12-15 neduled to maint Planned Live gration of net-c	Projected: Actual: ain currency with the Cycle Cost: centric infrastru Comple	2012-09-30  th Joint Community 485.476 acture, and the interior Date	Projected: Actual:  ty and security po  (dollars in ntegration of sel	797.000 696.000 esture. FY12 and millions) ected 3rd part
Description Actual LLC i Increment 10 beyond appro roject Name: Planned Start Description:	is those funds expended 0.1 is fully fielded to all bach will depend upon i AOC 10.2  t Date: 2007-09-12  Increment 10.2 Dev applications onto the	to date (87% of Pro 24 sites with contine f Modernization Inc 2 Planned Control of Control of Control of Pro- elopment/Fielding	ued spiral updates (crement 10.2 continuous dompletion Date: g provides for the continuous dompletion Date: g provides	Projected: Actual: 6-12-18 months) sch les or not.  2017-09-30 development/integ uirements.  Start Planned:	2003-12-15 2003-12-15 neduled to maint Planned Live gration of net-ce t Date 2007-09-12	Projected: Actual: ain currency with the Cycle Cost: entric infrastru Comple Planned:	2012-09-30  th Joint Community 485.476 fecture, and the interestion Date 2017-09-30	Projected: Actual:  ty and security po  (dollars in ntegration of sel  Total Planned:	797.000 696.000 esture. FY12 and millions) ected 3rd part Costs 485.476
Description Actual LLC i Increment 10 beyond appro roject Name: Planned Start Description:  Activity Name Increment 10.2	is those funds expended 0.1 is fully fielded to all bach will depend upon i AOC 10.2  t Date: 2007-09-12  Increment 10.2 Dev applications onto the	to date (87% of Pro 24 sites with contine f Modernization Inc 2 Planned Control of Control of Control of Pro- elopment/Fielding	ued spiral updates (crement 10.2 continuous dompletion Date: g provides for the continuous dompletion Date: g provides	Projected: Actual: 6-12-18 months) scholes or not.  2017-09-30 development/integuirements. Start Planned: Projected:	2003-12-15 2003-12-15 neduled to maint Planned Live gration of net-ce t Date 2007-09-12 2007-09-12	Projected: Actual: ain currency with the Cycle Cost: entric infrastru  Comple Planned: Projected:	2012-09-30  th Joint Community 485.476 acture, and the interior Date	Projected: Actual:  ty and security po  (dollars in ntegration of sel  Total  Planned: Projected:	797.000 696.000 esture. FY12 and millions) ected 3rd part Costs 485.476 485.476
Description Actual LLC i Increment 10 beyond appro roject Name: Planned Start Description:  Activity Name Increment 10.2	is those funds expended 0.1 is fully fielded to all bach will depend upon i AOC 10.2  t Date: 2007-09-12  Increment 10.2 Dev applications onto the	to date (87% of Pro 24 sites with contine f Modernization Inc 2 <b>Planned C</b> elopment/Fielding e infrastructure to	ued spiral updates (crement 10.2 continuous dompletion Date: g provides for the continuous dompletion Date: g provides	Projected: Actual: 6-12-18 months) sch les or not.  2017-09-30 development/integ uirements.  Start Planned:	2003-12-15 2003-12-15 neduled to maint Planned Live gration of net-ce t Date 2007-09-12	Projected: Actual: ain currency with the Cycle Cost: entric infrastru Comple Planned:	2012-09-30  th Joint Community 485.476 fecture, and the interestion Date 2017-09-30	Projected: Actual:  ty and security po  (dollars in ntegration of sel  Total Planned:	797.000 696.000 esture. FY12 and millions) ected 3rd part Costs 485.476

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

### **Customers for this Investment**

The geographic AOCs: AFCENT, AFEUR, AFKOR, AFPAC, AFSOUTH, AFNORTH, AFRICOM, & 11 AF.

### Stakeholders for this Investment

The stakeholders are ACC/Lead Comman, USAFE, CENTCOM, PACOM, and NORTHCOM as the Combatant Commands, HAF/A3, and SAF/AQID.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

RDT&E 3600 - FY13 will continue the Increment EMD phase to include infrastructure development, 3rd party integration, and DT/OT activities as it prepares to enter MS C. The initial fieldings of the test sites will also take place during this year.

OPAF 3080 - FY13 continues the tech refresh and recurring event fielding of Increment 10.1.

O&M 3400 - FY13 will provide the major commands (MAJCOMs) (Air Combat Command (ACC), Pacific Air Forces (PACAF), US Air Forces in Europe (USAFE), etc.) the funds to operate, train, and maintain the AOC WS. This funds essential O&M costs to meet mission taskings. Also funds prime contractor recurring event activities. Funds equipment, warranties, maintenance, repair, unit O&M expenses, subject matter experts, operational testing, training, and centralized sustainment (e.g. Commercial off the Shelf (COTS)

licensing & support costs).

## Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

RDT&E 3600: FY14 will continue the Increment EMD phase to include infrastructure development, 3rd party integration, and DT/OT activities as it prepares to enter MS C in FY14. FY15 will finish out the testing of Increment 10.2 with IOC being declared in the same year.

OPAF 3080: FY14 continues the tech refresh and recurring event fielding of Increment 10.1. FY15-17 represents the Increment 10.2 fielding to the multiple sites, to include limited Tech Refresh. 10.1 tech refresh is also continued at this time.

O&M 3400 - FY14-17 will provide to the major commands (MAJCOMs) (Air Combat Command (ACC), Pacific Air Forces (PACAF), US Air Forces in Europe (USAFE), etc.) the funds to operate, train, and maintain the AOC WS. This funds essential O&M costs to meet mission taskings. Also funds prime contractor recurring event activities. Funds equipment, warranties, maintenance, repair, unit O&M expenses, subject matter experts, operational testing, training, and centralized sustainment (e.g. Commercial off the Shelf (COTS)

licensing & support costs). Also, as Increment 10.2 continues to field, sustainment efforts will be required to maintain additional HW/SW.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1078	Acronym	AFNET - INC 2	AFNET - INC 2						
Name of Investment	AIR FORCE INTRANET INCREMENT 2									
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE							
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY			Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS					
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR.	ASTRUCTURI	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE					

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Air Force Intranet Increment 2 re-designs fixed base network boundaries (classified and non-classified) to implement standardized, base-level network management and network defense tools which enable the 24th Air Force to remotely defend and operate the Air Force network enterprise.

AFNET Increment 1 implements the base-level layer of the overall network defense-in-depth construct which protects critical information against attack and unauthorized access, identifies and mitigates network vulnerabilites and continually scans base networks for unusual activity. These tools counter threats to Air Force networks and mission critical information. AFNET Inc 2 also implements Air Force standard tools that provide consistent tactics, techiques, procedures and standardized training that improve overall security and efficiency that reduce operating, training and manpower costs across the network enterprise. These capabilities are necessary to allow the 24AF Commander to transform the fragmented Air Force network into a single network and centrally defend and operate the network enterprise.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	89	34,514	50,538	20,764
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0908561F 04-Servicewide Communications	89	92	93	93
Operations Total	89	92	93	93
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0303112F 03-AFNET	0	34,422	50,445	20,671
Procurement Total	0	34,422	50,445	20,671

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	59.513	53.470	
FY 2013 President's Budget	34.514	50.538	16.02
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-2.932	
•			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Funding is reduced to match current budget estimates to implement secure network gateways and migration of base network traffic through those gateways.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Funding increases to allow updates to classified network (SIPRNet) security posture and standardize network architecture throughout the enterprise. Increased FY13 funds allow implementation of secure network gateways and migration of base network traffic through those gateways.

## **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Maintain existing base network boundaries

- -- Provide required software licenses and software support
- -- Update unsupportable, unsecure hardware, such as firewalls

Maintain existing gateway boundaries

- -- Provide required software licenses and software support
- -- Update unsupportable, unsecure hardware, such as intrusion detection systems

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

During FY12, the requirements owner will accomplish the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) and other programmatic documentation required to begin the AFNET Inc 2 acquisition process

Maintain existing base network boundaries

- -- Provide required software licenses and software support
- -- Update unsupportable, unsecure hardware, such as firewalls

Maintain existing gateway boundaries

- -- Provide required software licenses and software support
- -- Update unsupportable, unsecure hardware, such as intrusion detection systems

Implement Base Boundary Security Enhancements (BBSE) at the remaining 50% of Air Force bases

- -- Eliminate existing security vulnerabilities
- -- Standardize and optimize inter-connections withing the single Air Force Network (AFNET)
- -- Standardize and optimze connections to Geographical Separated Units
- -- Standardize and optimze connections to third party connections such as research laboratories

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

During FY13, the requirements owner will complete programmatic documentation required to begin the AFNET Inc 2 acquisition process and begin fielding secure base boundaries.

-- Field four classified gateways to provide enhanced security and management of the Air Force classified network. This is a surge effort that requires additional BY funding.

Maintain existing base network boundaries

- -- Provide required software licenses and software support
- -- Update unsupportable, unsecure hardware, such as firewalls

Maintain existing gateway boundaries

- -- Provide required software licenses and software support
- -- Update unsupportable, unsecure hardware, such as intrusion detection systems

Implement Base Boundary Security Enhancements (BBSE) at 50% of Air Force bases

- -- Eliminate existing security vulnerabilities
- -- Standardize and optimize inter-connections withing the single Air Force Network (AFNET)
- -- Standardize and optimze connections to Geographical Separated Units
- -- Standardize and optimze connections to third party connections such as research laboratories

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Migrate 50% of bases behind gateways.

Install 35 upgraded classified base boundaries (includes Active, Reserve, ANG bases and operating locations)

-- Includes required hardware and software, installation and engineering

Maintain existing base network boundaries

- -- Provide required software licenses and software support
- -- Update unsupportable, unsecure hardware, such as firewalls

Maintain existing gateway boundaries

-- Provide required software licenses and software support

## **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

Air Force Space Command

### **Component**

Department of the Air Force

### Acquisition

Air Force Under Secretary for Acquisistion

### **Program Management**

Mr. Ronnie Carter

Electronic Systems Center

# **Contract Information** No contract

No contract information is available.

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Maintain existing gateways

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-10-01 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 33.100 (dollars in millions)

Description: Maintain and sustain the existing network gateways to include all hardware, software and engineering/technical support required to ensure continued

network operations and security.

Milestones - Continued								
Activity Name	Start	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		Costs		
Maintain existing gateways.	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-10-01	Planned:	33.100		
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-10-01	Projected:	33.100		
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000		
Maintain and sustain the existing network gateways to include al security.	ll hardware, software and engine	ering/technical	support required	d to ensure contin	nued network opera	tions and		
Project Name: Base Boundary Security Enhancement (BB	SSE)							
Planned Start Date: 2012-01-31 Planned Complete	ion Date: 2014-01-31	Planned Liv	e Cycle Cost:	27.000	(dollars in	millions)		
enhanced network security for Geographically gateways and streamlines network management Activity Name		_		etion Date	Total (	_		
Base Boundary Security Enhancement (phase 1)	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2013-01-31	Planned:	12.000		
Base Boundary Security Emiancement (phase 1)	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	2013-01-31	Projected:	12.000		
Description	·	2012-01-31	3	2013-01-31				
Description  Actual: Actual: O.000  Update 50% of base network security boundary to provide enhanced information assurance against unauthorized network access or attack. Provide protection against known security vulnerabilities and emerging threats. Includes Active, Reserve and Air National Guard locations and provides enhanced network security for Geographically Separated Units. BBSE also optimized the Air Force Network (AFNET) behind the existing network gateways and streamlines network management.								
Activity Name	Start		1	etion Date	Total (			
Base Boundary Security Enhancement (phase 2)	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	2013-12-31	Planned:	15.000		
D 1.4	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	2013-12-31	Projected:	15.000		
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000		
Update 50% of base network security boundary to provide enhan security vulnerabilities and emerging threats. Includes Active, R Units. BBSE also optimized the Air Force Network (AFNET) be	Reserve and Air National Guard l	ocations and p	rovides enhance	d network securi				

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

### **Customers for this Investment**

Air Force Major Commands, Direct Reporting Units, and Field Operating Agencies, Air Force Reserves and Air National Guard, and Combatant Commands (Tenants) located on Air Force installations (United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM), United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM), United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) and United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM)) as well as the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) and non-US allied and coalition forces co-located on USAF bases. As a result of satisfying higher headquarters requirements, subordinate organizations such as wings, field operating agencies, and direct reporting units benefit from the robust, standardized infrastructure provided.

### Stakeholders for this Investment

Air Force Space Command, Chief of Warfighting Integration and Chief Information Officer (SAF/XC), all Major Commands and Air National Guard, Air Force Research Laboratory, Air Staff, and Combatant Commanders/other tenant units located on AF installations, the acquisition community, network operations and security centers and the 24 Air Force Commander are directly supported by infrastructure/capabilities provided by the Air Force Intranet Increment 2 program.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

The Air Force Intranet Increment 2 re-designs fixed base network boundaries (classified and non-classified) to implement standardized, base-level network management and network defense tools which enable the 24th Air Force to remotely defend and operate the Air Force network enterprise.

AFNET Increment 2 implements the base-level layer of the overall network defense-in-depth construct which protects critical information against attack and unauthorized access, identifies and mitigates network vulnerabilities and continually scans base networks for unusual activity. These tools counter threats to Air Force networks and mission critical information. AFNET Inc 2 also implements Air Force standard tools that provide consistent tactics, techiques, procedures and standardized training that improve overall security and efficiency that reduce operating, training and manpower costs across the network enterprise. These capabilities are necessary to allow the 24AF Commander to transform the fragmented Air Force network into a single network and centrally defend and operate the network enterprise.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

The Air Force Intranet Increment 2 re-designs fixed base network boundaries (classified and non-classified) to implement standardized, base-level network management and network defense tools which enable the 24th Air Force to remotely defend and operate the Air Force network enterprise.

AFNET Increment 2 implements the base-level layer of the overall network defense-in-depth construct which protects critical information against attack and unauthorized access, identifies and mitigates network vulnerabilites and continually scans base networks for unusual activity. These tools counter threats to Air Force networks and mission critical information. AFNET Inc 2 also implements Air Force standard tools that provide consistent tactics, techiques, procedures and standardized training that improve overall security and efficiency that reduce operating, training and manpower costs across the network enterprise. These capabilities are necessary to allow the 24AF Commander to transform the fragmented Air Force network into a single network and centrally defend and operate the network enterprise.

Page left intentionally blank

# **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1099	Acronym	AFNET - INC 3	AFNET - INC 3						
Name of Investment	AIR FORCE INTRANET INCREMENT 3									
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE								
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY			<b>Acquisition Category</b>	PRE-MAIS					
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR	ASTRUCTUR	Е	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE					

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Air Force Intranet Increment 3 consolidates MAJCOM-centric network domains into a single AF-centric domain that allows the 24 Air Force Commander to centrally defend, operate and manage the Air Force Component of the Defense Information Infrastructure. AFNET Inc 3 also updates each fixed base Network Control Center (NCC) to replace obsolete network equipment that supports core network services at each base.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	29,676	14,729	23,054	93
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0908561F 04-Servicewide Communications	89	92	93	93
Operations Total	89	92	93	93
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0303112F 03-AFNET	29,587	14,637	22,961	0
Procurement Total	29,587	14,637	22,961	0

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	60.229	22.961	
FY 2013 President's Budget	14.729	23.054	8.33
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		0.093	
		•	

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Funding increases to allow replacement of obsolete and unsecure hardware at base network control centers.

# **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

PY funds were used to maintain existing base network control centers and to consolidate network core services into a single Air Force Network (AFNET).

During FY11, network migration and consolidation efforts were accomplished at 11 locations:

Westover ARB MA, Youngstown OH (ANG), Homestead FL, Pittsburg PA, Pope AFB NC, MacDill AFB FL, Charleston AFB SC, McGuire AFB NJ, McConnell AFB KS, McChord AFB WA, Scott AFB IL.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

The requirements owner will begin producing programmatic documenation required to begin acquisition.

PY funds will be used to maintain existing base network control centers and to consolidate network core services into a single Air Force Network (AFNET).

During FY12, network migration and consolidation efforts will be accomplished at 40 locations: Yokota, Hickam, Elmendorf, Misawa, Osan, Vandenberg, Air Force Academy, Patrick, Barksdale, Minot, Vance, L.A. Air Station, Laughlin, Air Reserve Personnel Center, New Boston, Whiteman, Thule, Antigua, Cavelier, Altus, Tyndall,

Sheppard, Cape Cod, Ft. Meade, Dhalgren, Andrews, Luke, Goodfellow, Pentagon, Bolling, Columbus, Maxwell, Mt. Home, Spangdahlem, Eielson, Anderson, Peterson, Buckley, F.E. Warren, Malmstrom.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

The requirements owner will continue producing programmatic documenation required to begin acquisition.

PY funds will be used to maintain existing base network control centers and to consolidate network core services into a single Air Force Network (AFNET). During FY13, network migration and consolidation efforts will be accomplished at 29 locations: Holloman, Moody, Seymore Johnson, Nellis, Davis-Montham, Ellsworth, Lakenheath, Mildenhall, Aviano, Incirlik, Beale, Shaw, Offut, Ramstein, Lajes, Langley, Kirtland, Gunter, Tinker, Eglin, Wright-Patterson, Hanscom, Rome Lab, Cannon, Hulbert, Edwards, Hill, Arnold, Robins.

Network consolidation will be complete during first quarter of FY14.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Air Force Space Command

#### **Component**

Department of the Air Force

### **Acquisition**

Air Force Under Secretary for Acquisition

### **Program Management**

Mr. Ronnie Carter

Electronic Systems Center

### **Contract Information**

Name: ArcSight
City/State: Cupertino, CA
Supported Network security

Function:

Name: BlueCoat
City/State: Sunnyvale, CA

<b>Contracts</b> -	Continued
Supported	Network managment.
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	General Dynamics Information Technology
City/State:	Fairfax, VA
Supported	Network Management
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Harris Services IT Corp
	Dulles, VA
Supported	Network Management
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Hewlett Packard Corp.
City/State:	Palo Alto, CA
Supported	Network software and software support.
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Lockheed Martin Integrated Systems Inc
City/State:	Gaithersburg, MD
Supported	Network Management
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	McAfee
City/State:	Santa Clara, CA
Supported	Network security.
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	NetApp
City/State:	Sunnyvale, CA
Supported	Network management and storage.
Function:	
Name:	Niksun Corp
City/State:	Princeton, NJ
Supported	Network Security.
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Nominum Corp.
City/State:	Redwood City, CA

Contracts - Continued

**Supported** Network management systems.

**Function:** 

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Air Force Network consolidation.

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 17.600 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Consolidates all base networks into an overall Air Force Network (AFNET) to increase security, decrease operating costs and improve reliability of

the warfighter network.

Activity Name	Start Date	Compl	letion Date	Total (	Costs		
Air Force Network Consolidaton.	Planned: 2011-	10-01 Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	17.600		
	Projected: 2011-	10-01 Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	17.600		
Description	Actual:	Actual:		Actual:	0.000		
Consolidates all hase networks into an overall Air Force Network (AFNET) to increase security, decrease operating costs and improve reliability of the warfighter network							

#### Customers/Stakeholders

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Air Force Major Commands, Direct Reporting Units, and Field Operating Agencies, Air Force Reserves and Air National Guard, and Combatant Commands (Tenants) located on Air Force installations (United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM), United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM), United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) and United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM)) as well as the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) and non-US allied and coalition forces co-located on USAF bases. As a result of satisfying higher headquarters requirements, subordinate organizations such as wings, field operating agencies, and direct reporting units benefit from the robust, standardized infrastructure provided.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Air Force Space Command, Chief of Warfighting Integration and Chief Information Officer (SAF/XC), all Major Commands and Air National Guard, Air Force Research Laboratory, Air Staff, and Combatant Commanders/other tenant units located on AF installations, the acquisition community, network operations and security centers and the 24 Air Force Commander are directly supported by infrastructure/capabilities provided by the Air Force Intranet Increment 3 program.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

The Air Force is consolidating all base networks into a single secure, efficient Air Force Network (AFNET) to increase security, reduce operating costs and increase situational awareness of the warfighting network. During FY13, network migration and consolidation efforts will be accomplished at 13 locations.

FY13 is the last year funded.

	Description of what the ou	tvear funds (BY+1	through BY+5)	will be used to accom	nplish
--	----------------------------	-------------------	---------------	-----------------------	--------

FY13 is the last year funded.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	3947	Acronym	AF-IPPS					
Name of Investment	AIR FORCE-INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS)							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE						
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		Acquisition Category	NONE				
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN.	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

AF-IPPS will be a web enabled, Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) based, SECAF "3-1" Memorandum dated 15 October 2010, (Active, Reserve, and Air National Guard) initiative solution that will integrate many existing personnel and pay processes into one self-service system. The system represents the AF commitment to modernizing business practices and providing enhanced support for today's service members and their families. AF-IPPS will align with Department of Defense (DoD) data standards for personnel, pay, and accounting, including the Common Human Resource Information Standards (CHRIS) and the Enterprise Information Web (EIW) effort, which will ensure compliance with the Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA).

Current Air Force (AF) personnel and Pay operations are implemented in separate domains and systems, each with independent business processes, technical solutions, and information technology (IT). The lack of an integrated system and antiquated technology contribute to thousands of personnel and pay errors and delays in customer support that drives total cost of ownership higher across system operations. AF-IPPS eliminates the current systems' problems by delivering an integrated Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) solution that provides accurate and timely personnel and pay information for AF operations and superior customer service for the Airman. AF-IPPS will ensure that Air Force personnel and pay fully support the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) FY17 auditability requirements.

The AF-IPPS acquisition strategy is a two increment approach, with multiple, discrete, and severable capability releases delivered every 18-24 months. For Increment 1, the government will conduct a full and open competitive (best value) source selection with a single contract award for blueprinting, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) implementation, integration with the government hosting environment, testing, delivery, and sustainment. Increment 2 will be a separate acquisition effort focused on the sustainment of the system.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	33,216	103,565	127,246	139,014
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0702806F 04-Administration	2,970	3,382	4,048	4,324
0901220F 04-Administration	7,775	8,543	0	0
0901299F 04-Administration	0	0	6,741	6,579
Operations Total	10,745	11,925	10,789	10,903
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0901250F 03-GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	0	0	24,760	0
Procurement Total	0	0	24,760	0
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0605018F 07-Force Development Transformation	22,471	91,640	91,697	128,111
RDT&E Total	22,471	91,640	91,697	128,111

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	103.445	128.778	
FY 2013 President's Budget	103.565	127.246	23.68
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-1.532	
<u> </u>			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The AF-IPPS FY13 net funding reduction of \$1.532M did not represent any requirements changes. AF-IPPS FY13 RDT&E funding was increased by \$1.099M in the FY13 PB (from \$90.598M to \$91.697M). The increase reduces the FY13 RDT&E requirements shortfall. AF-IPPS O&M funding was separated from the AF/A1 PE and assigned a new PE beginning in FY13; the AF-IPPS O&M funding reduction of \$2.631M (from \$13.420M to \$10.789M) was external to the program and increased the FY13 funding shortfall.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The AF-IPPS PMO is scheduled to award a prime contract to design, develop, integrate, test, deploy, operate and sustain an automated information system (AIS) in FY12. Procurement funds are required in early FY13 to purchase commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) software and hardware to support the integration and deployment activities. As program activities ramp up from FY12 to FY13, additional RDT&E and O&M funding is required to support prime contract and Functional Management Office (FMO) activities, respectively.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- a. Completion of Cost Analysis Requirements Description (CARD)
- b. Completion of Analysis of Alternatives
- c. Completion of Service Cost Position (SCP)
- d. Completion of Acquisition Strategy Panel (ASP)
- e. Release of draft Request for Proposal (RFP)

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- a. SAE approved Life Cycle Management Plan (LCMP)
- b. Final RFP Release

- c. Milestone B/Authorization to Invest (ATI)
- d. Initiate Change and Transition Management (Data Management Environment (DME), E-forms, SOA)
- e. Commence Source Selection
- f. Contract Award

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- a. Initiate Blueprint/Fit Gap Analysis for Releases 1/2/3/4
- b. Begin Release 1 design, COTS procurement, integration, test, change management, and training
- c. Continue Change and Transition Management Efforts

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

- a. Integration Test and Evaluation for Release 1
- b. Finish design, integration, test, deployment, change management, and training and enter sustainment of Release 1- Initial Operational Capability (IOC)
- c. Obtain Authorization to Invest (ATI) for Releases 2 and 3
- d. Begin design, integration, and test of Releases 2 and 3
- e. Continue Blueprint/Fit Gap Analysis for Release 4

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### **Component**

Department of the Air Force

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Tom Davenport

### **Contract Information**

Name: Jacobs Technology Inc.

City/State: Lincoln, MA

**Supported** Engineer support via ETASS

**Function:** 

Name: MITRE Corporation

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Bedford, MA Supported MITRE

**Function:** 

Name: Northrop Grumman IT

City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** Transition Lab Environment (TLE)

**Function:** 

Name: Oasis Systems Inc. City/State: Lexington, MA

**Supported** Acquisition support via PASS

**Function:** 

Name: Ryan Consulting
City/State: Montgomery, AL

Supported Program Management support via Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)

**Function:** 

Name: Tecolote Research Inc.

City/State: Goleta, CA

Supported Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Increment 1

Planned Start Date: 2010-02-01 Planned Completion Date: 2018-06-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 914.373 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** AF-IPPS will be a web enabled, Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) based, SECAF "3-1" (Active, Reserve, and Air National Guard) initiative

solution that will integrate many existing personnel and pay processes into one self-service system. The system represents the AF commitment to modernizing business practices and providing enhanced support for today's service members and their families. AF-IPPS will align with Department of Defense (DoD) data standards for personnel, pay, and accounting, including the Common Human Resource Information Standards (CHRIS) and the Enterprise Information Web (EIW) effort, which will ensure compliance with the Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA). AF-IPPS Increment 1

acquisition strategy is comprised of a multiple release strategy is planned to be executed in five severable and discrete capability releases.

VIIICOLOIIC	5 Continued							
Activity	Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
Technic	al & Program Management (PMO)	Planned:	2010-02-01	Planned:	2018-06-30	Planned:	86.551	
		Projected:	2010-02-01	Projected:	2018-06-30	Projected:	86.551	
Descr	ription	Actual:	2010-02-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
Inclu	des contracted advisory and assistance services (A&AS), MITRE.	travel, independent test,	Independent Ve	erification and Va	alidation (IV&V)	and other progran	n managemen	t

Includes contracted advisory and assistance services (A&AS), MITRE, travel, independent test, Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) and other program management expenses. Activities will also include source selection and award of the prime contract.

Activity Name	Start D	ate	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Change and Transition Management	Planned: 2	2012-03-01	Planned:	2018-06-30	Planned:	90.103
	Projected: 2	2012-03-01	Projected:	2018-06-30	Projected:	90.103
Description	Actual: 2	2011-08-31	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

Early within Increment 1, Change and Transition Management activities include:

Milestones - Continued

- (1) Stand up Data Management Environment (DME) within the Defense Information System Agency's (DISA) Rapid Access Computing Environment (RACE) by purchasing initial hardware and software required for effort to include configuration and test data profiling, extract/transform/load, and Metadata management tools; identification of Authoritative Data Source(s); design/development of repeatable processes for profiling; ontology development; and the cleansing and staging of the foundational data for re-use by Prime Contractor.
- (2) Establishment of an operational platform capability that bridges the AF-IPPS application with the DoD and Air Force enterprise network and hardware environments within the timelines required to deploy the solution.
- (3) Investigation into integration of Workflows/E-forms within AF-IPPS.
- (4) Investigation into integration of potential Service Oriented Architecture (SOA) development activities to support AF-IPPS.
- (5) Change Management activities to prepare the end user for the AF-IPPS versus legacy enviornment.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Prime Contract	Planned: 2012-07-02	Planned: 2018-06-30	Planned: 488.671
	Projected: 2012-09-14	Projected: 2018-06-30	Projected: 488.671
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Award prime contract to work on requirements analysis, blueprinting, system design, integration, test, deployment, change management, training, operate, and sustainment for AF-IPPS and support other activities required for developing and integrating AF-IPPS. Prime contractor will also configure COTS products, renew COTS S/W, and/or purchase S/W and H/W to support above activities.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) Software (S/W)	Planned: 2012-07-02	Planned: 2018-06-30	Planned: 32.718
	Projected: 2012-09-14	Projected: 2018-06-30	Projected: 32.718
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

This effort funds the enterprise PeopleSoft license and the COTS software (S/W) procured by the prime contractor at contract award. For FY11-12, planned costs include all COTS S/W renewed and/or procured by the AF-IPPS PMO; AF-IPPS Prime Contractor will procure and maintain all non-PeopleSoft COTS S/W, therefore FY13-out planned costs only includes PeopleSoft renewal by PMO.

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

AF/A1/A1X SAF/FM/FMP SAF/US(M)

### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

AF/TE

**AF-IPPS Prime Contractor** 

**AFMC** 

**AFNETOPS** 

AFOTEC/46 TS

AFPEO C3I&N/BES

**AFPOA** 

 ${\sf CAPE}$ 

**DCMO** 

DDR&E

**DFAS** 

DISA

DOT&E

DT&T

**ERP Partners** 

ESC/AQ/EN/FM/JA/PK

**Interface Partners** 

JITC

OSD P&R

SAF/AQ/AQIB/AQRE

SAF/XC

**SECAF** 

USD(AT&L)

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Funds:

•Prime contractor will conduct systems integration, development, and test activities.

- •Technical and Program Management (PMO) will conduct technical and program management activities.
- •Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) will conduct independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) activities.
- •Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) Software (S/W) will renew COTS S/W license and extend existing agreements.
- •Change and Transition Management will support the government in developing change and transition Management initiatives and products relating to the successful implementation of AF-IPPS program to enable a smooth transition to the new system.

#### **Procurement Funds:**

COTS software and hardware necessary for the program to deliver/deploy Increment 1 capabilities for the AF Military Enterprise. This amount includes sufficient software licenses for operational production, training, and MAC II Continuity of Operations (COOP) environments that support multiple releases towards program development, enabling fielding of a solution that supports approximately 505,000 users and provides scalability for future growth of users. Funds will also be used to procure the software to support a MAC II Continuity of Operations (COOP) environment to ensure continuous operations. Funds will also be used to procure COTS hardware to support the training environment. The value represents projected costs based on current technology studies and potential vendor solutions that include ERP, application support/management tools, and other business support software.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Funds:

- •Prime contractor will conduct systems integration, development, and test activities.
- •Technical and Program Management (PMO) will conduct technical and program management activities.
- •Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) will conduct independent Verification and Validation (IV&V) activities.
- •Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) Software (S/W) will renew COTS S/W license and extend existing agreements.
- •Change and Transition Management will support the government in developing change and transition Management initiatives and products relating to the successful implementation of AF-IPPS program to enable a smooth transition to the new system.

#### **Procurement Funds:**

COTS software and hardware necessary for the program to deliver/deploy Increment 1 capabilities for the AF Military Enterprise. This amount includes sufficient software licenses for operational production, training, and MAC II Continuity of Operations (COOP) environments that support multiple releases towards program development, enabling fielding of a solution that supports approximately 505,000 users and provides scalability for future growth of users. Funds will also be used to procure the software to support a MAC II Continuity of Operations (COOP) environment to ensure continuous operations. Funds will also be used to procure COTS hardware to support the training environment. The value represents projected costs based on current technology studies and potential vendor solutions that include ERP, application support/management tools, and other business support software.

# **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0049	Acronym	AHLTA					
Name of Investment	ARMED FORCES HEALTH LONGITUDINAL TECHNOLOGY APPLICATION							
Lead Agent	TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY							
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		Acquisition Category	MAIS				
DoD Segment	HEALTH			GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

AHLTA, DoD's current Electronic Health Record (EHR), serves as one of the world's largest clinical information systems. AHLTA provides secure, 24x7, worldwide online access to patients' medical records, making it a key enabler of military medical readiness. AHLTA stores data in a central location to ensure healthcare providers have ready access to medical information when and where needed to support the military's highly mobile patient population. As military members move from location to location, AHLTA is readily available to support their healthcare needs. AHLTA supports uniform, high-quality health promotion and healthcare delivery to Military Health System (MHS) beneficiaries across the military enterprise.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	143,509	142,953	126,449	134,022
DEF HLTH PROG				
0605013HP 02-RDT&E	4,137	0	1,651	1,684
0807721HP 03-Procurement	0	0	0	3,052
0807781HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	11,037	19,006	8,190	8,370
0807793HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	128,335	123,947	116,608	120,916
DEF HLTH PROG Total	143,509	142,953	126,449	134,022

# **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget       159.718       156.214         FY 2013 President's Budget       142.953       126.449       -16.50         Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013       -29.765	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
	FY 2012 President's Budget	159.718	156.214	
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 -29.765	FY 2013 President's Budget	142.953	126.449	-16.50
	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-29.765	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Differences between the FY 2012 President's Budget (PB) and the FY 2013 PB for FY 2013 are primarily due to:

- Reallocation of funding from AHLTA into the more appropriate Related Technical Activities initiative to accurately reflect where the budget will be executed. Service Medical Information Management/Information Technology (IM/IT) funding for all training was being reported in AHLTA. Upon further determination, this training was artificially inflating AHLTA's control since the training crossed many different systems.
- Departmentally directed management efficiencies which reduced program management support, on-site support and further need for Problem Knowledge Coupler licenses. Additionally, based on the departmental review, AHLTA no longer required development funding.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Differences between the FY 2012 and the FY 2013 in the FY 2013 PB are primarily due to:

- Reallocation of funding from AHLTA into the more appropriate Related Technical Activities initiative to accurately reflect where the budget will be executed. Service Medical Information Management/Information Technology (IM/IT) funding for all training was being reported in AHLTA. Upon further determination, this training was artificially inflating AHLTA's control since the training crossed many different systems.
- Departmentally directed management efficiencies which reduced program management support, on-site support and further need for Problem Knowledge Coupler licenses. Additionally, based on the departmental review, AHLTA no longer required development funding.
- Some of the reduction was offset by small increase by the Army Medical Command to work with Tri-service component to make engineering enhancements in order to better integrate clinical systems and business intelligence in order to deliver actionable information to support business processes and effective information exchange.

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Developed/integrated AHLTA Release 3.6 Service Pack 1 (SP 1). A major enhancement that resolved over 500 Service-driven issues (including the Top 15 Field reported and the Top 5 Tier 1 errors); making AHLTA compatible with Windows 7/XP; and updating with International Classification of Disease (ICD) 9 Codes that help to standardize diagnosis.

Upgraded embedded commercial software components and error handling capabilities. Software and application stability improved along with reducing software footprint of the AHLTA Client.

Corrected potential patient safety issues via System Change Requests (SCR).

Army Medical Command continued clinical process engineering enhancement changes.

Sustain the Primary Computing Facility at Montgomery Alabama; the Alternate Computing Facility at San Antonio Texas; and 101 Military Treatment facilities and their satellites.

Began upgrade to stabilize Central Data Repository (CDR) and create Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) platform

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Make necessary enhancements to correct any potential patient safety issues and to continue software development activities to address System Change Requests in accordance with functional requirement.

Continue to sustain the Primary Computing Facility at Montgomery Alabama, the Alternate Computing Facility at San Antonio Texas, and 101 Military Treatment facilities and their satellites facilities.

Upgrade International Classification of Disease (ICD) 10 Codes that assist medical providers in standardizing diagnosis.

Integrate Wounded, Ill, and Injured initiatives (Disability Evaluation System Information Technology Initiative (DES-ITI) / Neuro-Cognitive Assessment Tool (NCAT) & Health Artifact and Image Management Solution (HAIMS)) and Enterprise Blood Management System (EBMS) into AHLTA

Complete COTS upgrade to CDR to update following software: eGate implementation, HPUX O/S 11.31, Oracle Client 10.2.0.4, Oracle Client 11gR1, Oracle Server 11gR2, and Tuxedo 10.3. This upgrade will create a platform for the Service Operated Architecture and CDR Stabilization. CDR Stabilization support included a whole host of tasks, beginning with a strategic upgrade plan and data lifetime management plan, has expanded into the upgrade of Oracle on the CDR, upgrade or replacement of other infrastructure components, and optimization of SQL used by AHLTA clients. All tasks were selected to reach such goals as improvement to CDR scalability, manageability and stability, as well as performance optimization and ensuring that CDR employs seamless real-time fail over and load balancing.

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Continue to sustain the Primary Computing Facility at Montgomery Alabama, the Alternate Computing Facility at San Antonio Texas, and 101 Military Treatment facilities and their satellite facilities.

Army Medical Command will continue to work with Tri-service component to make engineering enhancements to better integrate clinical systems and business intelligence in order to deliver actionable information to support business processes and effective information exchange.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Continue to sustain the Primary Computing Facility at Montgomery Alabama, the Alternate Computing Facility at San Antonio Texas, and 101 Military Treatment facilities and their satellite facilities.

Army Medical Command will continue to work with Tri-service component to make engineering enhancements in order to better integrate clinical systems and business intelligence in order to deliver actionable information to support business processes and effective information exchange.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**Health Affairs** 

#### **Component**

TRICARE Management Activity

#### **Acquisition**

Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO)

#### **Program Management**

COL Aaron Silver

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

## **Contract Information**

Name: Axiom Resource Management, Inc

City/State: Falls Church, VA

**Supported** AHLTA Benefits Assessment

Function:

Name: Axiom Resource Management, Inc

City/State: Falls Church, VA

**Supported** Program Management business and technical functions for Sustaining Base systems

Function:

Name: Deloitte

City/State: Alexandria, VA

**Supported** DHIMS & DHSS DT&E

Function:

Name: Deloitte

Contracts - Continued

City/State: Alexandria, VA

**Supported** Program Management and Information Assurance support

Function:

KSJ. Associates Name: City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported AHLTA Economic Analysis Support

Function:

**SAIC** Name:

City/State: Falls Church, VA **Supported** AHLTA Critical Fixes

Function:

SAIC Name:

City/State: Falls Church, VA

**Supported** AHLTA/CHCS Sustainment

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: ICD-10				
Planned Start Date: 2011-09-15	<b>Planned Completion Date:</b>	2012-09-14 Planned L	ive Cycle Cost: 5.468	(dollars in millions)
<b>Description:</b> International Statistical	l Classification of Diseases and Re	lated Health Problems 10th	Revision (ICD-10) codes	
Activity Name		Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs

Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total C	Costs
ICD 10 Integration	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-09-14	Planned:	5.468
	Projected:	2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-09-14	Projected:	5.468
Description	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

ICD codes are specific designations given to every diagnosis, description of symptoms and cause of death. Each diagnosis a human being may be given has a code, a numbered designation, that goes with it. That code means that every medical professional in the United States and many other parts of the world will understand the diagnosis the same way. The ICD 10 codes will be integrated into AHLTA in FY 2013.

Project Name: Integrate Wounded Ill and Injured (WII) Warrior initiatives and Enterprise Blood Management System (EBMS) into AHLTA

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 **Planned Completion Date:** 2012-03-12 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 4.629 (dollars in millions) Description: Integrate WII Warrior initiatives (Medical Evaluation Board Information Technology Initiative (MEB ITI) / Neuro-Cognitive Assessment Tool

(NCAT) & Health Artifact and Image Management Solution (HAIMS)) and Enterprise Blood Management System (EBMS) into AHLTA

activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Integrate AHLTA, CHCS and VA-related development components	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-03-12	Planned:	3.114
	Projected:	2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-03-12	Projected:	3.114
Description	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
All integration support necessary to fully integrate separate AHLTA,	CHCS, and VA-related dev	velopment comp	onents with the	full range of the	AHLTA and CHCS	systems
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Integrate WII and AHLTA	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-03-12	Planned:	0.582
	Projected:	2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-03-12	Projected:	0.582
Description	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
All integration support necessary to fully integrate separate Wounder (CHCS) systems.	d Warrior development com	ponents with the	full range of th	ne AHLTA and C	omposite Health C	are System
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-03-12	Planned:	0.933
Integrate Enterprise Blood Management System		2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-03-12	Projected:	0.933
Integrate Enterprise Blood Management System	Projected:	2011-10-03	,		J	

### Customers/Stakeholders

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The customers for this project include the beneficiaries, health care providers, and managers of the Army, Navy and Air Force Military Treatment Facilities. Primary end-users are MHS patients for whom quality care is the utmost priority.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The DoD stakeholders for this project include:

MHS Health Care Providers

Service Surgeons General

Tricare Management Activity

DoD Health Affairs

Service Readiness Personnel

Veteran's Administration Health Care Providers and staff

Contracted Civilian Health Care Providers

MHS and Service Manpower Reporting

Secretary of Defense

Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs

Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Clinical and Program Policy

Military Line Commanders Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness)

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

In FY13, O&M funding is planned for sustainment of AHLTA. This funding maintains on-site support operations, Systems Engineering/Security Accreditation, Data Mapping, testing, program management, etc.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

O&M funding in FY14-17 is planned for sustainment of AHLTA. This funding maintains on-site support operations, Systems Engineering/Security Accreditation, Data Mapping, testing, program management, etc.

# **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6040	Acronym	AAC-IAA		
Name of Investment	ARMY ACCE	SSIONS - INT	EGRATED AUTOMATION	ARCHITECTURE	
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN.	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The US Army Accessions Command (USAAC) Integrated Automation Architecture (AAC-IAA) encompasses the entire automation support for an accessions and recruiting mission that operates primarily in the public space. The AAC-IAA is an Information Technology solution supporting Total Army (Active, Reserve, Army National Guard) Recruiting. The initial cornerstone of the AAC-IAA is a software component originally referred to as the Army Recruiting Information Support System (ARISS). It is now a sub-component within the larger, integrated architecture (AAC-IAA) which is in the maintenance and sustainment phase.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	88,559	91,924	86,600	94,160
MILPERS		·		
Mil Pers, Army				
0904901a 01-N/A	154	0	0	0
MILPERS Total	154	0	0	0
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0801715A 03-Recruiting And Advertising	65,865	70,925	64,322	62,940
O&M, Army Res				
0508991A 04-Recruiting And Advertising	136	130	133	133
O&M, ARNG				
0528550A 01-Base Operations Support	15,629	14,503	13,559	13,758
Operations Total	81,630	85,558	78,014	76,831
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0219900A 02-AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	6,775	6,366	4,343	13,263
Procurement Total	6,775	6,366	4,343	13,263
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0605013A 05-ACQBIZ	0	0	4,243	4,066
RDT&E Total	0	0	4,243	4,066

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	97.557	96.077	
FY 2013 President's Budget	91.924	86.600	-5.32
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-9.477	
'	-		-

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OMA: \$5.085M Decrease (7%)

Amount was transferred out at Army level to provide a zero sum loss/gain for required RDTE funding (corrected appropriation).

OMAR: \$.001M Decrease (1%) Due to inflation adjustments

OMNG: \$1.551 Decrease (10%) Result of a Program Budget Reduction

OPA: \$7.083M Decrease (62%) Result of a Program Budget Reduction

RDTE: \$4.243M Increase (100%)

Increase was for required RDTE funding (corrected appropriation); zero sum program gain/loss for equal amount of O&M that was transferred out at Army level.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMA: \$6.603M Decrease (9%) Result of a Program Budget Reduction

OMAR: \$.003M Increase (2%) Due to inflation adjustments

OMNG: \$.944M Decrease (7%)

Result of a Program Budget Reduction

OPA: \$2.023 Decrease (32%)

Result of a Program Budget Decision.

RDTE: \$4.243M Increase (100%)

Increase was for required RDTE funding (corrected appropriation); zero sum program gain/loss for equal amount of O&M that was transferred out at Army level.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Continued in a sustainment mode providing leveraged IT support to directly influence Army force strength. Provided business function-driven updates, license renewals, software maintenance and security (IAVA) patches.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Continue in a sustainment mode providing leveraged IT support to directly influence Army force strength. Provide any business function-driven updates, license renewals, software maintenance and security (IAVA) patches

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Continue in a sustainment mode providing leveraged IT support to directly influence Army force strength. Provide any business function-driven updates, license renewals, software maintenance and security (IAVA) patches. There will be re-platforming of specific software modules that are obsolete and no longer supported by the vendor community.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Continue in a sustainment mode providing leveraged IT support to directly influence Army force strength. Provide any business function-driven updates, license renewals, software maintenance, tech refresh to maintain currency and security (IAVA) patches.

# **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**Human Resources Command CoS** 

#### Component

Department of the Army

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

LTC DONALD W. EDWARDS, JR. HRC PERSINSD

Human Resources Command PERSINSD RM

### **Contract Information**

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH)

City/State: 8283 Greensboro Drive, Mclean, VA 22102, VA

**Supported** Strategic Planning and Development

Function:

Name: HP Enterprise Services (HPES)

City/State: 13600 EDS Drive, Herndon, VA 20171-3225, VA Supported Information Technology System Support Services

Function:

Name: Lockheed Martin Information Technology (LMIT)

City/State: 2339 Route 70 W, Cherry Hill, NJ 08002-3315, NJ

Supported Information Technology Operational Support Services

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: FY 12 tech refresh

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 7.641 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** annual technology refresh architecture components that are no longer supported by the vendor community

Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
- infrastructure and end-user device component replacement	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	7.641
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	7.641
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

DoD recruiting services (Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps and Army National Guard), US Military Entrance Processing Command (USMEPCOM), Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC), Army Human Resources Command (HRC), Army G1, Assistant Secretary of the Army - Manpower and Reserve Affairs (ASA M&RA) and Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC)

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Assistant Secretary of the Army - Manpower and Reserve Affairs, Army G1, Army Human Resources Command, Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC)

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

- OMA will be used for softare licensing, labor maintenance to keep the architecture in sustainment mode
- OPA will be used for tech refresh of selected components of the infrastructure that will reach life cycle end points and will be no longer supported by the vendor community
- RDT&E will be used to re-platform specific software module(s) that are obsolete and no longer supported by the vendor community

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

- OMA will be used for softare licensing, labor maintenance to keep the architecture in sustainment mode
- OPA will be used for tech refresh of selected components of the infrastructure that will reach life cycle end points and will be no longer supported by the vendor community
- RDT&E will be used to re-platform specific software module(s) that are obsolete and no longer supported by the vendor community

# **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1104	Acronym	BII		
Name of Investment	BASE INFOR	MATION INFI	RASTRUCTURE		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR.	ASTRUCTUR	Е	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Base Information Infrastracture sustains and provides support services to the Air Force Intranet (AFNET), Informations Transport System (ITS) and Voice Switching System (VSS). This includes hardware and software trouble-shooting and repair, software license agreements and support, and 24/7 engineering and technical assistance for every Air Force base data network, all network defense and network management capabilities and the telephone switch and cable plant at every Air Force base.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	153,471	155,403	171,359	218,288
MILPERS		·		
Mil Pers, AF				
0305560F 06-N/A	178	178	186	186
MILPERS Total	178	178	186	186
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0303112F 04-Servicewide Communications	39,380	34,022	97,455	34,089
0908561F 04-Servicewide Communications	712	712	736	744
Operations Total	40,092	34,734	98,191	34,833
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0303112F 03-AFNET	0	79,404	52,380	136,853
0303112F 03-BASE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE	113,201	41,087	0	0
0303112F 03-INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	0	0	20,602	46,416
Procurement Total	113,201	120,491	72,982	183,269

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	180.902	150.934	
FY 2013 President's Budget	155.403	171.359	15.96
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		20.425	
	•		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Funding has increases due to higher software license and software license support costs, an increased number of network components leaving the manufacturers warranty period, and an increasing number of network components that are beyond their planned end-of-life which drives increase support cost. priority requirements.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Funding has increases due to higher software license and software license support costs, an increased number of network components leaving the manufacturers warranty period, and an increasing number of network components that are beyond their planned end-of-life which drives increase support cost. priority requirements.

### **Program Accomplishments**

### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

During FY11, Base Information Infrastructure funding implemented contracts that repaired or replaced malfunctioning network components, purchased software license support agreements and provided engineering support to the Air Force network enterprise. This included the network infrastructure at every active duty and reserve base (105) world wide, all network control center network defense and management tools throughout the enterprise and all base telephone switches.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY12 Base Information Infrastructure funding implements contracts that repair or replace malfunctioning network components, purchase software license support agreements and provide engineering support to the Air Force network enterprise.

FY12 funds will update network gateway components that have reached end of life and no longer meet mission requirements for throughput, security and reliability.

FY12 funds will modernize obsolete and unsupportable network infrastructure components as 5 locations: Lackland AFB, MacDill AFB, Laughlin AFB, Cannon AFB, Eielson AFB.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

FY13 Base information infrastructure funding implements contracts that repair or replace malfunctioning network components, purchase software license support agreements and provide engineering support to the Air Force network enterprise.

FY12 funds will modernize obsolete and unsupportable network infrastructure components at 3 high priority locations.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

FY14 Base information infrastructure funding implements contracts that repair or replace malfunctioning network components, purchase software license support agreements and provide engineering support to the Air Force network enterprise. This includes the network infrastructure at every active duty and reserve base (105) world wide, all network control center network defense and management tools throughout the enterprise and all base telephone switches.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Air Force Space Command

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

#### Acquisition

Air Force Under Secretary for Acquisition

### **Program Management**

Mr. Ronnie Carter

Electronic Systems Center

### **Contract Information**

Name: Aruba Networks Corp.

City/State: Sunnvale, CA

**Supported** Wirless network management.

Function:

Name: BMC City/State: Pheonix, AZ

**Supported** Knowledge management

Function:

Name: BMC Software City/State: Lexington, MA

Contracts -	Continued
Supported Function:	Network management
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	EMC Hopkinton, MA Network management.
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	IBM Rochester, NY Network Management
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	McAfee Santa Clara, CA Network security (firewalls).
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Microsoftw Redmond, WA Network server operating systems
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Net IQ Houston, TX Network performance management
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	TBD (pre award)  Network Infrastructure
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	TBD (pre-award) Infrastructure management
Name: City/State:	TBD (pre-award)

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** IT asset managment

**Function:** 

Name: TBD (pre-award)

City/State:

**Supported** Network enterprise sustainment.

Function:

Name: TBD (pre-award)

City/State:

Supported Network infrastructure

**Function:** 

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Renew all mission essential hardware support contracts.

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 53.400 (dollars in millions)

Description: Base Information Infrastructure (BII) sustains and provides support services to the Air Force Intranet (AFNET), Informations Transport System (ITS)

and Voice Switching System (VSS). This includes hardware and software trouble-shooting and repair, software license agreements and support, and 24/7 engineering and technical assistance for every Air Force base data network, all network defense and network management capabilities and the telephone switch and cable plant at every Air Force base. BII also replaces base network backbone infrastructure components that are obsolete,

beyond end-of-support by the manufacturer or no longer meet mission requirements.

This investment closes gaps identified by the Air Force Requirements board to establish and maintain standard network defense, network management and situational awareness tools. BII also maintains a standard configuration baseline across the Air Force network enterprise. BII ensures that required security patches and software updates are loaded when required and obsolete hardware is repaired or replace to ensure mission accomplishment along with the necessary security posture.

Activity Name	<b>Start Date</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Renew all mission essential hardware support contracts.	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 53.400	
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 53.400	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

Base Information Infrastructure (BII) sustains and provides support services to the Air Force Intranet (AFNET), Informations Transport System (ITS) and Voice.

Maintains base infrastructure at all main operating bases including Active Duty, Reserve and Air National Guard.

Project Name: Renew all mission essential software licenses and support agreements.

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 32.300 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Base Information Infrastructure (BII) sustains and provides support services to the Air Force Intranet (AFNET), Informations Transport System (ITS)

and Voice Switching System (VSS). This includes hardware and software trouble-shooting and repair, software license agreements and support, and

#### Milestones - Continued

24/7 engineering and technical assistance for every Air Force base data network, all network defense and network management capabilities and the telephone switch and cable plant at every Air Force base. BII also replaces base network backbone infrastructure components that are obsolete, beyond end-of-support by the manufacturer or no longer meet mission requirements.

This investment closes gaps identified by the Air Force Requirements board to establish and maintain standard network defense, network management and situational awareness tools. BII also maintains a standard configuration baseline across the Air Force network enterprise. BII ensures that required security patches and software updates are loaded when required and obsolete hardware is repaired or replace to ensure mission accomplishment along with the necessary security posture.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs	
Renew all mission essential software license and support agreements.	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 32.300	
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 32.300	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

Base Information Infrastructure (BII) sustains and provides support services to the Air Force Intranet (AFNET), Informations Transport System (ITS) and Voice. Includes all network defense tools and network managment capabilities throughout the Air Force.

#### Project Name: Update or replace obsolete, unsecure network infrastructure components.

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 22.000

**Description:** Base Information Infrastructure (BII) sustains and provides support services to the Air Force Intranet (AFNET), Informations Transport System (ITS) and Voice Switching System (VSS). This includes hardware and software trouble-shooting and repair, software license agreements and support, and 24/7 engineering and technical assistance for every Air Force base data network, all network defense and network management capabilities and the telephone switch and cable plant at every Air Force base. BII also replaces base network backbone infrastructure components that are obsolete, beyond end-of-support by the manufacturer or no longer meet mission requirements.

This investment closes gaps identified by the Air Force Requirements board to establish and maintain standard network defense, network management and situational awareness tools. BII also maintains a standard configuration baseline across the Air Force network enterprise. BII ensures that required security patches and software updates are loaded when required and obsolete hardware is repaired or replace to ensure mission accomplishment along with the necessary security posture.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Update or replace obsolete or unsecure network infrastructure components at	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 2.914	
Laughlin AFB.	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 2.914	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

Update or replace obsolete, unsecure network infrastructure components at Laughlin AFB.

Components are beyond end of life, unsupportable or no longer meet mission requirements.

(dollars in millions)

Tilestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start 1	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		Costs
Update or replace obsolete, unsecure network infrastructure components. at	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	4.749
Cannon AFB.	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	4.749
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Update or replace obsolete, unsecure network infrastructure components at Can	non AFB.					
Components are beyond end of life, unsupportable or no longer meet mission re	•					
Activity Name	Start 1		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Update or replace obsolete, unsecure network infrastructure components at		2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	3.011
Eielson AFB.	3	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	3.011
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Activity Name Update or replace obsolete, unsecure network infrastructure components at	Planned:	Date 2011-10-01	Compl Planned:	etion Date 2012-09-30	Total (	3.600
Lackland AFB.		2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	3.600
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Update or replace obsolete, unsecure network infrastructure components at Lack	cland AFB.					
Components are beyond end of life, unsupportable or no longer meet mission re		_	-			~
Activity Name	Start 1			etion Date	Total (	
Update or replace obsolete or unsecure network infrastructure components at		2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	2.254
MacDill AFB.	3	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	2.254
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Update or replace obsolete, unsecure network infrastructure components at Mac	Dill AFB.					
Components are beyond end of life, unsupportable or no longer meet mission re	quirements.					

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

### **Customers for this Investment**

Air Force Major Commands, Direct Reporting Units, and Field Operating Agencies, Air Force Reserves and Air National Guard, and Combatant Commands (Tenants) located on Air Force installations (United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM), United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM), United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) and United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM)) as well as the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) and non-US allied and coalition forces co-located on USAF bases. As a result of satisfying higher headquarters requirements, subordinate organizations such as wings, field operating agencies, and direct reporting units benefit from the robust, standardized infrastructure provided.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Air Force Space Command, Chief of Warfighting Integration and Chief Information Officer (SAF/XC), all Major Commands and Air National Guard, Air Force Research Laboratory, Air Staff, and Combatant Commanders/other tenant units located on AF installations, the acquisition community, network operations and security centers and the 24 Air Force Commander are directly supported by infrastructure/capabilities provided by Base Information Infrastructure funding.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

From FY13 Base information infrastructure funding implements contracts that repair or replace malfunctioning network components, purchase software license support agreements and provide engineering support to the Air Force network enterprise.

This includes the network infrastructure at every active duty and reserve base (105) world wide, all network control center network defense and management tools throughout the enterprise and all base telephone switches.

BII funding maintains and sustains the Air Force network enterprise. Funding provides technical and engineering support to identify, troubleshoot and resolve network outages. Provides technical support to all enterprise network defense tools, network management capabilities and infrastructure throughout the Air Force.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

From FY14 - FY 17 Base information infrastructure funding implements contracts that repair or replace malfunctioning network components, purchase software license support agreements and provide engineering support to the Air Force network enterprise. This includes the network infrastructure at every active duty and reserve base (105) world wide, all network control center network defense and management tools throughout the enterprise and all base telephone switches.

BII funding increases from FY14 through FY17 due to the increasing support requirements of fielded systems leaving initial warranty periods.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	1854	Acronym	BCS-F		
Name of Investment	BATTLE CON	TROL SYSTE	EM FIXED		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	PROTECTION	1		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Battle Control System Fixed (BCS-F) is the cornerstone system for the North American Aerospace Defense Command/US Northern Command (NORAD/NORTHCOM) Homeland Defense mission. BCS-F provides 24 hours, 7 days a week, 365 days a year Command and Control (C2) mission support within the United States and Canada to include Alaska, Hawaii, US Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. Its five operational locations within the US and Canada execute surveillance, identification, data link operations, weapons control, and air battle management within their respective areas of operation. BCS-F supports other DoD and Governmental Agencies in support of various Homeland Security missions and civil relief operations. It conducts other Special Security Event missions (Super Bowl, Presidential Inaugurations, and other requirements) and is tasked with the protection of the President and Vice-President of the US. BCS-F conducts operations and provides tactical control for the defense of the National Capital Region mission.

The delivered capabilities of BCS-F fill existing and emerging capability and performance gaps in command and control missions, Homeland and theater air defense, civil relief, airspace management, data link management, air surveillance, weapons control, and aircraft identification. Additionally, BCS-F enabled the cost-saving closure of one of three CONUS Air Defense Sectors, increased radar input capacity and area of coverage, and increased flight plan processing capacity. The upgrade of hardware and software components significantly increased system operational availability and stopped sustainment shortfalls created by diminishing resources.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	33,159	30,173	23,246	21,648
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0102326F 01-N/A	1,617	1,617	1,661	1,694
MILPERS Total	1,617	1,617	1,661	1,694
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0102326F 03-BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM - FIXED	11,920	22,489	16,374	18,254
Procurement Total	11,920	22,489	16,374	18,254
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0102326F 07-R/SAOC Modernization	19,622	6,067	5,211	1,700
RDT&E Total	19,622	6,067	5,211	1,700

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	36.587	11.877	
FY 2013 President's Budget	30.173	23.246	-6.93
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		11.369	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The program's allocation of RDT&E funding and OPAF funding was increased to support the procurement of hardware and training for the stand up of an organic software support activity at Hill AFB, UT. The majority of the increase was in OPAF funding.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

In FY12 the program was actively developing and fielding a major hardware and software upgrade as the final modernization build. The -6.930 million difference in FY13 is due to a draw down of modernization activity; therefore, a reduction in RDT&E funding requirements.

## **Program Accomplishments**

## FY 2011 Accomplishments

- Fielded increment maintained threshold operational availability rates
- Developmental increment completed Factory Qualification Testing and moved into formal Developmental Testing
- Three Interim Contractor Support software builds were developed, tested and fielded to the operational sites that included Information Assurance updates, software deficiency fixes, and required enhancements
- The system's remote workstation capability was tested and operationally fielded to the National Military Command Center

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Increment 3, Release 3.2 will be fielded in all sites. This includes installation and integration, site acceptance tests, developmental testing, and operational testing. Specifically:

- Training Material Development -update and deliver course materials for the following:
  - -Oct 2011 delivery: Firewall portion of System Security
  - -Dec 2011 delivery: Weapons Operations, Surveillance Operations, Gateway Manager, System Administration and Maintenance, and BCS System Manager
- System Support Facility (SSF) Operational Testing: 7 Sep -8 Nov 2011

- SSF AF System Interoperability Testing: 16 Nov -13 Feb 2012
- Operational site testing and fielding Oct -Sep 2011
- Firewall Training 14 Nov -18 Nov 2011

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- Operations Training (Weapons, Surveillance, Gateway Manager) 3 Jan -13 Jan 2012
- System Administration/Maintenance/Manager Training 16 Jan -27 Jan 2012
- SSF JITC Testing 3 Apr -21 May 2012
- Final operational site testing and the completion of fielding to all four US operational sites will occur by Feb 2013
- Follow on fielding of the Auxiliary System Suite will be complete in May 2013

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

System will be in sustainment with two planned software maintenance builds per year to ensure Information Assurance compliance, data link standards compliance, operating system updates, and resolution of any bug fixes

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Air Combat Command/A8YB

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

#### Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

Lt Col Lisa Tucker

ESC/HSNB

## **Contract Information**

Name: Thales Raytheon Systems Inc

City/State: Brea, CA

**Supported** Prime contractor for development and integration

Function:

Name: Thales Raytheon Systems Inc

City/State: Brea, CA

Contracts - Continued

**Supported** Prime contractor for system support

**Function:** 

## Milestones/Schedules

**Project Name: Battle Control System-Fixed** 

Planned Start Date: 2006-12-26 Planned Completion Date: 2012-02-17 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 89.300 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Battle Control System Fixed (BCS-F) is the cornerstone system for the North American Aerospace Defense Command/US Northern Command

(NORAD/NORTHCOM) Homeland Defense mission. BCS-F provides 24 hours, 7 days a week, 365 days a year Command and Control (C2) mission support within the United States and Canada to include Alaska, Hawaii, US Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. Its five operational locations within the US and Canada execute surveillance, identification, data link operations, weapons control, and air battle management within their respective areas of operation. BCS-F supports other DoD and Governmental Agencies in support of various Homeland Security missions and civil relief operations. It conducts other Special Security Event missions (Super Bowl, Presidential Inaugurations, and other requirements) and is tasked with the protection of the President and Vice-President of the US. BCS-F conducts operations and provides tactical control for the defense of the National Capital Region

mission.

The delivered capabilities of BCS-F fill existing and emerging capability and performance gaps in command and control missions, Homeland and theater air defense, civil relief, airspace management, data link management, air surveillance, weapons control, and aircraft identification. Additionally, BCS-F enabled the cost-saving closure of one of three CONUS Air Defense Sectors, increased radar input capacity and area of coverage, and increased flight plan processing capacity. The upgrade of hardware and software components significantly increased system operational availability and stopped sustainment shortfalls created by diminishing resources.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Increment 3, Release 3.2	Planned: 2006-12-26	Planned: 2012-02-17	Planned: 89.300
	Projected: 2006-12-26	Projected: 2012-09-06	Projected: 89.300
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 74.119

BCS-F Increment 3, Release 3.2 delivers major operational capability enhancements to the baseline system of record. The release add a machine to machine interface that ingests, parses, and integrates Air Tasking Order and Airspace Control Order data from teh Theater Battle Management Core System, delivers and Auxiliary Server Suite that enables off-line traing on critical tasks without jeopardizing ongoing real world operations, delivers data link upgrades, implements software modifications that enable Mode 5 capability, and delivers operating system changes and fixes to the operational baseline.

## Customers/Stakeholders

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The five BCS-F Air Defense Sectors: Eastern Air Defense Sector (EADS), Rome, NY; Western Air Defense Sector (WADS), McChord AFB, WA; Alaska Air Defense Sector (AADS), Elmendorf AFB, AK; Hawaii Region Air Operations Center (HIRAOC), Wheeler Air Force Base, HI; Canadian Air Defence Sector (CADS), North Bay, ON.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Stakeholders are Air Combat Command as the Lead Command, Pacific Command (PACOM) and North American Aerospace Defense Command-US Northern Command (NORAD-USNORTHCOM) as the Combatant Commands, Air Forces North/1AF/CONUS NORAD Region, Alaskan NORAD Region, Canadian NORAD Region, HAF/A5, A3, and SAF/AQ

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

- System will be completing testing and fielding of all four US sites
- System's Auxiliary System Suite will be completing testing and fielding by 2Q FY13
- Stand up and transition to organic software support will be underway

\$1.2M RDT&E for test support

\$3.7M RDT&E for engineering and program operations support

\$4.4M OPAF for technical refresh annual allocation @33% per year for 3 year cycle

\$7.2M OPAF for contracted hardware and software sustainment during transition to organic support

\$3.9M OPAF for organic software maintenance hardware procurement and operations

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

BY+1:

\$1.7M RDT&E for engineering and program operations support

\$4.4M OPAF for technical refresh annual allocation @33% per year for 3 year cycle

\$5.3M OPAF for contracted hardware and software sustainment during transition to organic support

\$4.0M OPAF for organic software maintenance hardware procurement and operations

BY+2:

\$1.5M RDT&E for engineering and program operations support

\$0.6M OPAF for acquisition support

BY+3

\$0.6M OPAF for acquisition support

BY+4

\$0.6M OPAF for acquisition support

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1005	Acronym	BEC		
Name of Investment	BIOMETRICS	ENABLING (	CAPABILITY		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE AWARENE	ESS-ENVIRONMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC), an Acquisition Category (ACAT) 1- Special Interest Program, will be the Department of Defense's (DoD) authoritative biometric database repository. Capabilities shall include multi-modal storage and matching, state-of-the-art Service Oriented Architecture (SOA), management portal, Biometrically Enabled Watch-List (BEWL), increased system capacity and processing ability and system interoperability and data sharing with government agencies and stakeholders including Department of Justice's (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) and other DoD and Federal agencies as required. Next Generation-Automated Biometric Identification System (NG-ABIS) is currently a Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) and will transition into BEC Increment 0 upon receiving a Full Deployment Decision (FDD). NG-ABIS provides a robust capability for distinguishing friend from foe in hot spots around the globe. NG-ABIS enables near-instantaneous device-to-database communication and lays the foundation for enhanced device-to-device communication, reducing cycle and response times. NG-ABIS receives submissions from existing QRC-based collection devices (e.g. Biometrics Automated Toolset (BAT) and Handheld Interagency Identity Detection Equipment (HIIDE) and objective tactical collection devices being developed as part of the Joint Personnel Identification version 2 (JPIv2) program. NG-ABIS also receives request by authorized users to perform storage retrieval, searches of biometric data collection and matching results. NG-ABIS provides a reliable and effective tool to its primary beneficiary, the Warfighter, for overseas operations by allowing them to make near real-time retention, capture or release decision, resulting in enhanced safety and in-theater effectiveness.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	111,873	98,346	0	0
Operations		·		
O&M, Army				
0303140A 04-Servicewide Communications	1,218	1,653	0	0
0308615A 04-Servicewide Communications	20	0	0	0
Operations Total	1,238	1,653	0	0
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0219900A 02-BIOMETRICS ENTERPRISE	46,606	57,057	0	0
0303140A 02-INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	6,210	2,185	0	0
Procurement Total	52,816	59,242	0	0
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0607665A 07-NON-MIP BIOMETRICS	57,819	37,451	0	0
RDT&E Total	57,819	37,451	0	0

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	96.185	64.618	
FY 2013 President's Budget	98.346	0.000	-98.35
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-64.618	
			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OMA: \$1.719M Decrease (100%)

Army resource management decision removed all funding from FY13 PB submission due to funding reductions based on Army priorities.

OPA: \$24.628M Decrease (100%)

Army resource management decision removed all funding from FY13 PB submission due to funding reductions based on Army priorities.

RDTE: \$38.271M Decrease (100%)

Army resource management decision removed all funding from FY13 PB submission due to funding reductions based on Army priorities.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMA: \$1.653M Decrease (100%)

Army resource management decision removed all funding from FY13 PB submission due to funding reductions based on Army priorities.

OPA: \$59.242M Decrease (100%)

Army resource management decision removed all funding from FY13 PB submission due to funding reductions based on Army priorities.

RDTE: \$37.451M Decrease (100%)

Army resource management decision removed all funding from FY13 PB submission due to funding reductions based on Army priorities.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Awarded System Integration competitive contract to support NG-ABIS system integration efforts.

Supported Milestone B activities and develop associated documentation.

Supported additional sizing based on rapidly increasing submission rates from Warfighter.

Supported HSPD 24/NSPD 59 and maintained the compliance of the system consistent with current information assurance guidance, DoD policy and biometric standards.

Provided support to the development of Army and Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) level documentation consistent with DoD Instruction 5000.02, the Defense Acquisition System and compliant with existing statutory and regulatory policies for a Full Deployment Decision for BEC Increment 0.

Provided program management and operational suport to include infrastructure and facility costs.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Support activities and documentation for a Pre-Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) Review and Milestone-B (decision point to start the engineering and manufacturing development stage).

Implement system interoperability capabilities for increased collaboration and automation of biometric data sharing with the Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) IDENT system.

Leverage biometric capabilities and data sharing with government agencies and stakeholders, including Department of State (DOS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), DHS, FBI, USCENTCOM and USSOCOM.

Support system sizing based on rapidly increasing submission rates from Warfighter.

Support Homeland Security Presidential Directive 24 (HSPD 24)/ National Security Presidential Directive 59 (NSPD 59) and maintain the compliance of the system consistent with current information assurance guidance, DoD policy and biometric standards.

Support test and evaluation activities for BEC Inc 0 to include development of test plans, conducting preliminary testing of system functionality, production of test reports and support of technical reviews.

Provide program management and operational support to include infrastructure and facility costs.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

HQDA G3/5/7

#### **Component**

Department of the Army

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

COL Sandra Vann-Olejasz

PM DoD Biometrics

#### **Contract Information**

Name: CACI

City/State: Alexandria, VA

Supported Program Management Office Support

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman
City/State: Fairmont, WV

**Supported** DoD ABIS System Integration Support

Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Biometrics Enabling Capability

Planned Start Date: 2008-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-12-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 131.388 (dollars in millions)

Description: Biometrics Enabling Capability (BEC), an Acquisition Category (ACAT) 1- Special Interest Program, will be the Department of Defense's (DoD)

authoritative biometric database repository. Capabilities shall include multi-modal storage and matching, state-of-the-art Service Oriented

Architecture (SOA), management portal, Biometrically Enabled Watch-List (BEWL), increased system capacity and processing ability and system

#### **Milestones - Continued**

interoperability and data sharing with government agencies and stakeholders including Department of Justice's (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) and other DoD and Federal agencies as required. Next Generation-Automated Biometric Identification System (NG-ABIS) is currently a Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) and will transition into BEC Increment 0 upon receiving a Full Deployment Decision (FDD). NG-ABIS provides a robust capability for distinguishing friend from foe in hot spots around the globe. NG-ABIS enables near-instantaneous device-to-database communication and lays the foundation for enhanced device-to-device communication, reducing cycle and response times. NG-ABIS receives submissions from existing QRC-based collection devices (e.g. Biometrics Automated Toolset (BAT) and Handheld Interagency Identity Detection Equipment (HIIDE) and objective tactical collection devices being developed as part of the Joint Personnel Identification version 2 (JPIv2) program. NG-ABIS also receives request by authorized users to perform storage retrieval, searches of biometric data collection and matching results. NG-ABIS provides a reliable and effective tool to its primary beneficiary, the Warfighter, for overseas operations by allowing them to make near real-time retention, capture or release decision, resulting in enhanced safety and in-theater effectiveness.

Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Complete all applicable statutory and regulatory program documentation	Planned:	2011-05-01	Planned:	2012-12-31	Planned:	13.664
associated with Milestone-B (MS-B)	Projected:		Projected:	2013-06-29	Projected:	18.056
Description	Actual:	2011-05-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
During this activity all applicable program documentation will be completed and	annrowed by th	na appropriata aut	harity The El	MD cource calectio	n will be complet	tad and

During this activity all applicable program documentation will be completed and approved by the appropriate authority. The EMD source selection will be completed and integrated costs will be verified by industry (proposals).

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Pre-Engineering Manufacturing Development (EMD) Review	Planned: 2011-07-01	Planned: 2012-06-30	Planned: 5.856
	Projected:	Projected: 2012-10-01	Projected: 7.320
Description	Actual: 2011-07-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Contracting activities to support the EMD acquisition phase post Milestone-B (MS-B) to include Request For Proposal (RFP) development, Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE) and market research. Included in this review will be the status of BEC MS-B documentation, the draft RFP, contracting documents and schedule.

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Customers using these capabilities include Department of State (DOS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), DHS, FBI, USCENTCOM and USSOCOM.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Primary stakeholders are the Department of State (DOS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), DHS, FBI, USCENTCOM and USSOCOM.

# **Funding Accomplishments**

Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

N/A - No Funding

Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

N/A - No funding

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0591	Acronym	BSM-E		
Name of Investment	BUSINESS SY	YSTEMS MOD	ERNIZATION - ENERGY		
Lead Agent	DEFENSE LO	GISTICS AGE	NCY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAI	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Business Systems Modernization - Energy (BSM-E) is an integrated system of systems using an open system architecture design. BSM-E provides an automated, integrated, and responsive system for managing all Department of Defense (DoD) fuels. The Enterprise Level manages procurement, supply, and financial functions for Defense Energy Supply Center (DESC). BSM-E is a multi-functional Automated Information System (AIS) which processes point of sale data and provides inventory control, finance and accounting, procurement, and facilities management. BSM-E is composed of an integrated set of Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) software applications based around an Oracle Relational Database Management System (RDBMS) and hosted on commercially available computer hardware. The system also provides interfaces to existing logistics and financial Automated Information Systems (AISs).

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	37,048	53,443	52,731	55,170
DWCF				
WCF, Defense				
0708205DS 20-N/A	37,048	53,443	52,731	55,170
DWCF Total	37,048	53,443	52,731	55,170

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	53.534	56.068	
FY 2013 President's Budget	53.443	52.731	-0.71
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-3.337	
-	-		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

DWCF Operations funds decreased by \$3.3M as functional support requirements were reduced. Specifically, new applications development for the initiative is being reduced and migrated to Energy Convergence.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

DWCF Operations funds decrease by \$0.7M as functional support requirements were reduced. Specifically, new applications development for the initiative is being reduced and migrated to Energy Convergence.

## **Program Accomplishments**

## FY 2011 Accomplishments

Portions of BSM-E environment were redesigned to increase BSM-E security posture at the DISA DECC which required the acquisition of new hardware and software license. Fail-over Continuity Of Operations (COOP) environment for Fuels Manager Defense (FMD) was established. User-requested enhancements were done for Fuels Enterprise Servier (FES), Bid Evaluation Model/Paperless Ordering Reports Transaction System (BEM/PORTS), Oracle/Oil Gas Financial (OGF), and Oil Energy Downstream (OED).

Majority of funds were for operations which went for hosting services with DISA, contractor support for Help Desk, Base Level Application, Oracle/OED, FES, and BEM/PORTS.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

User-requested enhancements for FES, BEM/PORTS, Oracle/OGF, OED. Enhancements to FMD to interface with Energy Convergence and enhancements to BEM to interface to Energy Convergence. Data migration efforts from BSM-E systems to Energy Convergence. Deploy FMD 8.0 to 604 sites across CONUS and OCONUS. Tech refesh of approximately \$600K spent yearly for hardware replacement and upgrades to Base Level field sites.

Majority of funds are for operations which went for hosting services with DISA, contractor support for Help Desk, Base Level Application, Oracle/OED, FES and BEM/PORTS.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

User-requested enhancements for FES, BEM/PORTS, Oracle/OGF, OED. Enhancements to FMD to interface with Energy Convergence and enhancements to BEM to interface to Energy Convergence. Data migration efforts from BSM-E systems to Energy Convergence. Deploy FMD 8.0 to 604 sites across CONUS and OCONUS. Tech refesh of approximately \$600K spent yearly for hardware replacement and upgrades to Base Level field sites.

Majority of funds are for operations which went for hosting services with DISA, contractor support for Help Desk, Base Level Application, Oracle/OED, FES and BEM/PORTS.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Majority of funds will be used for operations for hosting services with DISA, contractor support for Help Desk, Base Level Application, Oracle/OED, FES and BEM/PORTS. Also any associated cost for retiring BSM-E applications.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

DLA Energy

#### **Component**

Defense Logistics Agency

#### Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

Don Smith

**DLA Information Operations** 

#### **Contract Information**

Name: Oracle America
City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** Oil Enterprise Downstream (OED), Oracle Government Financials (OGF), Reporting Data Store (RDS)

Function:

Name: Pro-telligent LLC
City/State: Arlington, VA

Contracts - Continued

**Supported** Fuels Enterprise Server

Function:

Name: Varec Inc City/State: Norcross, GA

**Supported** BSME Base Level support

Function:

Name: Varec Inc City/State: Norcross, GA

Supported Fuels Manager Defense software support

Function:

Name: Varec Inc City/State: Norcross, GA

**Supported** Software install/upgrade at Base Level entities

**Function:** 

**Milestones/Schedules** Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

DLA employees and service providers

## **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Military Services

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

DWCF Operations in FY 2013 is for sustainment of this operational program. It will support System Change Requests (SCRs) for technology upgrades and capability improvement for auditability and business requirements. Also included are software maintenance, program management support, and base level deployments.

## Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

DWCF Operations in FY 2014-2017 is for sustainment of this operational program. It will support System Change Requests (SCRs) for technology upgrades and

capability improvement for auditability and business requirements.	Also included are software maintenance, program management support, and base level deployments.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6320	Acronym	NCMC/ITW-AA				
Name of Investment	CHEYENNE N	MOUNTAIN COMPLEX/TACTICAL WARNING - ATTACK ASSESSMENT					
Lead Agent	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE						
Category	NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM Acquisition Category MAIS			MAIS			
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE AWARENE	ESS-ISR	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS		

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Cheyenne Mountain Complex/Integrated Tactical Warning /Attack Assessment (CMC/ITW/AA) is the assigned name for the investment comprised primarily of the Combatant Commanders' Integrated Command and Control System (CCIC2S) whose IT registry number is SCD01272, and also listed under DoD Joint Unified Registry Number 0005QP. Includes Command and Control of Space Forces (e.g., Space Battle Management Core Systems (SBMCS), Single Integrated Space Picture (SISP) and other non-ITW/AA capabilities. The North American Aerospace Defense Command (NORAD), a bi-national command consisting of the United States and Canada, and the United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM), in compliance with DoD direction, initiated a multi-year process improvement and evolution of NORAD, USSTRATCOM and relevant component Battle Management/Command and Control (BM/C2) capabilities. To accomplish the goals of the initiative, a Program Management Directive (PMD) was issued (current version dated 14 Sep 2009) to provide "definition, development, testing, integration, implementation, sustainment, operations, modernization, enhancement, and life cycle support for the NORAD Cheyenne Mountain Complex (NCMC) with its associated Command and Control (C2) nodes and systems." The foundation of the initiative is the sustainment of the NCMC-Tactical Warning/Attack Assessment (TW/AA) systems. In addition, the improvements and evolution goals are to deliver "An Integrated Battle Management/Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence (BM/C4I) "system of systems" that provides the comprehensive BM/C2 capabilities needed to execute existing and future NORAD/USSTRATCOM missions, including support to theater Combatant Commanders." It supports national strategic objectives and provides every level of the NORAD/USSTRATCOM command structure with the information management, decision aids and connectivity required to monitor, assess, plan and execute assigned missions.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	124,878	123,578	115,166	110,355
MILPERS		·		
Mil Pers, AF				
0305906F 01-N/A	2,263	2,010	2,028	2,104
0305906F 02-N/A	10,566	14,907	11,735	11,730
0305906F 05-N/A	674	697	720	744
MILPERS Total	13,503	17,614	14,483	14,578
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0305906F 01-Depot Maintenance	65,409	58,203	70,154	64,297
0305906F 01-Global C3I And Early Warning	37,480	28,490	22,743	23,366
Operations Total	102,889	86,693	92,897	87,663
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0305906F 03-CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	7,742	18,523	7,012	7,330
0305906F 05-SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	744	748	774	784
Procurement Total	8,486	19,271	7,786	8,114

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	151.131	145.304	
FY 2013 President's Budget	123.578	115.166	-8.41
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-30.138	

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

The program has depended on significant supplemental funding to sustain the mission. Certain subsystems were replaced with more modern sustainable systems.

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Operation and Maintenance activities planned for the current (CY) is updating the software changes required by the users within our current budget constraints. In addition we will continue to upgrade. Including the following: updated hardware, software and contract logistical support to meet evolving operational needs and evolving sensor and communication architectures. Provided migration upgrades to improve integration across all ITW/AA systems to continue sustainment of Missile, Air and Space missions. The program also purchased warranties and supply chain management spares, emergency on-site maintenance support which included 24-7 to troubleshoot problems. In addition, this program funds, Critical Space Operations, support to include: Advisory and Assistance Services (A&AS) support to assist managing the day-to-day activities of the program office. Also, we have funded, travel, test, and supplies to run this program. Other procurement funds was used to procure replacement/technical refresh of the servers, backup systems, network infrastructure, and to test system upgrades. This includes Core system upgrades, support systems current versions of Space Integrated Space Picture (SISP) and Scenario Injection Systems (SIS).

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

FY13 Operation and Maintenance activities planned for the BY is a continuation of planned and updated software changes required by the users within our current budget

constraints. In addition we will continue to upgrade. include the following: updated hardware, software and contract logistical support to meet evolving operational needs and evolving sensor and communication architectures. Provided migration upgrades to improve integration across all ITW/AA systems to continue sustainment of Missile, Air and Space missions. The program also purchased warranties and supply chain management spares, emergency on-site maintenance support which included 24-7 to troubleshoot problems. In addition, this program funds, Critical Space Operations, support to include: Advisory and Assistance Services (A&AS) support to assist managing the day-to-day activities of the program office. Also, we have funded, travel, test, and supplies to run this program. Other procurement funds was used to procure replacement/technical refresh of the servers, backup systems, network infrastructure, and to test system upgrades. This includes EWS S/W for mission application servers, CCiC2S support systems for infrastructure servers and IS S/W domain controllers.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

: O&M activities continue planned/updated S/W changes.. We will also continue to upgrade the following: H/W, S/W and contract logistical support to meet evolving operational needs, sensor and comm architectures. The program also purchased warranties and supply chain management spares, emergency on-site maintenance support which included 24-7 support. Other procurement funds will be used to procure replacement/technical refresh of the H/W and COTS systems

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**Component** 

Department of the Air Force

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Bryan Bagley

**Contract Information** No contract information is available.

Milestones/Schedules Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

**Customers for this Investment** 

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Operation and Maintenance activities planned for the BY is a continuation of planned and updated software changes required by the users within our current budget constraints. In addition we will continue to upgrade the following: updated hardware, software and contract logistical support to meet evolving operational needs and evolving sensor and communication architectures. Provided migration upgrades to improve integration across all ITW/AA systems to continue sustainment of Missile, Air and Space missions. The program also purchased warranties and supply chain management spares, emergency on-site maintenance support which included 24-7 to troubleshoot problems. In addition, this program funds, Critical Space Operations, support to include: Advisory and Assistance Services (A&AS) support to assist managing the day-to-day activities of the program office. Also, we have funded, travel, test, and supplies to run this program.

Other procurement funds will be used to procure replacement/technical refresh of the servers, backup systems, network infrastructure, and to test system upgrades. This includes CCIC2S Core Systems for Enterprise Database (EDB) servers; EWS S/W mission application servers, and continuation of IS S/W domain controllers.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Operation and Maintenance activities planned for the curFYDP is updating the software changes required by the users within our current budget constraints. In addition we will continue to upgrade. include the following: updated hardware, software and contract logistical support to meet evolving operational needs and evolving sensor and communication architectures. Provided migration upgrades to improve integration across all ITW/AA systems to continue sustainment of Missile, Air and Space missions. The program also purchased warranties and supply chain management spares, emergency on-site maintenance support which included 24-7 to troubleshoot problems. In addition, this program funds, Critical Space Operations, support to include: Advisory and Assistance Services (A&AS) support to assist managing the day-to-day activities of the program office. Also, we have funded, travel, test, and supplies to run this program.

Other procurement funds will be used to procure replacement/technical refresh of the servers, backup systems, network infrastructure, and to test system upgrades. CCIC2S Support Systems and infrastructure servers, Domain Controllers, Security Servers and Scenario Injection System upgrades.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6946	Acronym	CAC2S				
Name of Investment	COMMON AV	AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM					
Lead Agent	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY						
Category	NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM Acquisition			Acquisition Category	NONE		
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	& CONTROL		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS		

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Common Aviation Command and Control System (CAC2S) is a coordinated modernization effort to replace the existing aviation command & control equipment of the Marine Air Command and Control System (MACCS) & provide the Aviation Combat Element with the necessary hardware, software, equipment, & facilities to effectively command, control, & coordinate aviation operations. CAC2S will accomplish the MACCS missions with a suite of operationally scalable modules to support the Marine Air Ground Task Force (MAGTF), Joint, and Coalition Forces. CAC2S integrates the functions of aviation command & control into an interoperable system that will support the core competencies of all Marine Corps warfighting concepts. CAC2S, in conjunction with MACCS organic sensors & weapons systems, supports the tenets of Expeditionary Maneuver Warfare & fosters joint interoperability. CAC2S Increment 1 will improve current aviation command & control systems in the following Marine aviation agencies: Direct Air Support Center (DASC), Tactical Air Command Center (TACC), and Tactical Air Operations Center (TAOC). Future increments encompassing Marine Air Traffic Control Detachment (MATCD), Low Altitude Air Defense Battalion (LAAD BN), Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS) & airborne node capabilities are anticipated but are not yet baselined. The restructured CAC2S program is executing in accordance with the Acquisition Strategy of August 17, 2010 and the revised Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) of November 12, 2010; both documents were approved by Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development, and Acquisition) (ASN (RDA)), the CAC2S Milestone Decision Authority (MDA). The program completed a successful Phase 1 Milestone C review on November 17, 2010. Subsequently, the CAC2S Phase 1 Milestone C Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) was issued by ASN (RDA) on November 30, 2010.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	80,979	55,305	84,062	81,521
Operations				
O&M, MC				
0702806M 01-Field Logistics	1,193	1,217	1,242	1,266
0702808M 01-Field Logistics	2,834	11,983	11,439	11,733
0804731M 03-Specialized Skill Training	235	0	0	0
Operations Total	4,262	13,200	12,681	12,999
Procurement				
Procurement, MC				
0206313M 04-AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	42,355	15,864	65	20,080
Procurement Total	42,355	15,864	65	20,080
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Navy				
0206313M 07- Air Ops Cmd & Control (C2) Sys	34,362	26,241	71,316	48,442
RDT&E Total	34,362	26,241	71,316	48,442

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	54.117	107.939	
FY 2013 President's Budget	55.305	84.062	28.76
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-23.877	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Procurement, Marine Corps (PMC): The decrease of \$4.4M in PMC funding reflects an execution mark imposed on CAC2S in FY13, due to under execution in FY11. No impact to capability by this mark. The program can absorb the reduction with little risk.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E): The decrease of \$19.699M in RDT&E funding reflects a Department of the Navy (DoN) Financial Management Branch (FMB) mark (\$4.292M) imposed on CAC2S in FY13 (The reason for the mark was the compression of the Developmental Test (DT) schedule); a \$15.237M decrease due to adjustments in Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD)13-Amended Program Objective Memorandum (APOM); and a \$0.170M decrease due to normal budget cycle issue adjustments.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Operartions and Maintenance, Marine Corps (O&M,MC): The reduction of \$-0.519M in O&M funding is due to budget cycle issue adjustments. No impact to program.

Procurement, Marine Corps (PMC): The decrease of \$-15.799M in PMC funding is due to the ramp down of production for Phase 1 CAC2S due to phase 1 nearing completion and an execution mark imposed on CAC2S in FY13 due to under execution in FY11.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E): The increase of \$45.075M in RDT&E funding is due to ramp up of Phase 2 SD&D activities in FY13. Contract award for Phase 2 is scheduled for 4th qtr FY12. FY13 funding will fund this development contract, performing SDS development, PDS/SDS integration and software development.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

A Phase 1 Milestone C decision was completed in 1QFY11

Phase 2 Firm-Fixed-Price (FFP) Demonstration contracts awarded to 4 vendors in 2QFY11

Phase 1 Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E) completed in 3QFY11

Full Deployment Decision (FDD) successfully completed in 4QFY11

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

A contract for production of the Phase 1 Upgrade Kits was awarded during 2QFY12

Phase 1 fielding initiated 1QFY12

Phase 1 Limited Deployment Capability to be achieved 2QFY12

Phase 2 will award a single contract during 3QFY12 to support the Processor Display Subsystem/Sensor Data Subsystem (PDS/SDS) Engineering Development Model (EDM)

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Phase 2 Critical Design Review (CDR) to be conducted in 3QFY13

Phase 1 fielding completes 3QFY13

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Operations & Maintenance MC (OMMC) funds of \$11.733M will fund Contractor support, consumables, S/W maintenance, Commercial Off The Shelf S/W tech refresh, system transportation, & upgrades to facilities. Procurement Marine Corps (PMC) funding of \$20.080M will buy Phase 2 LDUs & necessary production support. Research, Development, Test & Evaluation Navy (RDTEN) funding of \$48.442M will fund Phase 2 development & integration efforts, DT testing, operational assessment & live interface testing.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### **Component**

Department of the Navy

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

CAPT Pat Costello

#### **Contract Information**

Name: Custom Manufacturing and Engineering, Inc.

City/State: Pinellas Park, FL

**Supported** To produce Phase 1 Change Kit assembly

**Function:** 

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: General Dynamic-Scottsdale

City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

**Supported** Engineering and Technical Services for the CAC2S program

Function:

Name: General Dynamics C4 Systems, Inc.

City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

**Supported** To conduct initial Sensor Data Subsystem development demonstrations for the CAC2S program (Phase 2)

Function:

Name: General Dynamics-Columbia

City/State: Columbia, MD

**Supported** (DSAN and LongArm) Engineering Services and Support for the CAC2S program

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman Systems

City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** To conduct initial Sensor Data Subsystem development demonstrations for the CAC2S program (Phase 2)

**Function:** 

Name: QinetiQ-North America

City/State: Stafford, VA

**Supported** Engineering and Scientific support to the CAC2S Program Management Office

Function:

Name: Solipsys Corporation

City/State: Fulton, MD

**Supported** Provides Software licenses (applications) and supporting engineering services for the CAC2S program

Function:

Name: Thales Raytheon Systems Corporation, LLC

City/State: Fullerton, CA

**Supported** To conduct initial Sensor Data Subsystem development demonstrations for the CAC2S program (Phase 2)

Function:

Name: The Boeing Company City/State: Huntington Beach, CA

Supported To conduct initial Sensor Data Subsystem development demonstrations for the CAC2S program (Phase 2)

Function:

#### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Common Aviation Command and Control System
Planned Start Date: 2002-10-25 Planned Completion Date: 2038-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 2,472.500 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Common Aviation Command and Control System (CAC2S) mission is to enable the consolidation of the existing functionality of the Marine Air Command and Control System (MACCS) into a single system and provide common hardware, software, equipment and facilities to effectively.

Command and Control System (MACCS) into a single system and provide common hardware, software, equipment and facilities to effectively command, control and coordinate aviation operations. CAC2S will accomplish the MACCS missions with a suite of operationally scalable modules to support the Marine Air Ground Task Force (MAGTF), Joint, and Coalition Forces. CAC2S integrates the functions of aviation command and air control into an interoperable system that will support the core competencies of all Marine Corps warfighting concepts. CAC2S, in conjunction with MACCS organic sensors and weapons systems, supports the tenets of Expedetionary Maneuver Warfare and fosters joint interoperability. CAC2S Increment I will improve current Aviation Command and Control (AC2) systems in the following Marine aviation agencies: Direct Air Support Center (DASC), Tactical Air Command Center (TACC), and Tactical Air Operations Center (TAOC). Future increments encompassing Marine Air Traffic Control Detachment (MATCD), Low Altitude Air Defense Battalion (LAAD BN), Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS) and airborne node capabilities are anticipated but are not yet baselined.

CAC2S Increment I will be accomplished through a two-phased approach. Phase 1 will address the requirements to establish the baseline CAC2S capabilities for the MACCS and improve AC2 performance and effectiveness. Phase 2 will address the requirements for remaining Aviation Combat Element (ACE) Battle Management and command and control requirements. Limited Deployment Capability will be achieved by 1QFY12 with the completion of Phase 1 development. Phase 1 Full Deployment will commence in FY12. Phase 2 completion will result in the delivery of the full CAC2S Increment I capabilities and is planned to begin fielding in FY15.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Phase 2: Development of PDS/SDS	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 26.300	
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 26.300	
Description	Actual: 2011-10-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

Phase 2 is structured to accommodate the integration of technologies necessary for the CAC2S SDS to meet remaining ACE Battle Management and Command and Control requirements. Phase 2 activities during FY12 will focus on the Processing and Display Subsystem (PDS) and Sensor Data Subsystem (SDS) development.

**Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs** Phase 1: Production Contract 2011-12-01 2011-12-31 Planned: Planned: Planned: 1.500 Projected: 2011-12-01 Projected: 2012-01-31 Projected: 1.500 2011-11-07 Actual: 2012-01-11 1.800 Description Actual: Actual:

Phase 1 Production will be achieved through a combination of procurements

Marine Corps Common Hardware Suite (MCHS) centralized competitive contracts

Joint Range Extension (JRE) contract with Product Group 11 (PG11)

Competitive Firm-Fixed-Price (FFP) contract in FY12 for the PDS Change Kits

Activity Name	Start Date		Completion Date		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Phase 1: Integration/Fielding/Training	Planned:	2012-01-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	14.400
	Projected:	2012-01-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	14.400
Description	Actual:	2011-11-17	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Training and fielding of 4 CAC2S Phase 1 Systems to Operational Forces						

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Fleet Forces Command (FFC)/Marine Corps Forces (MARFOR)

Supporting Commands (Training, Marine Corps Communications Electronics Schools (MCCESs), and Marine Corps Tactical Systems Support Activity (MCTSSA))

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Congressional advocacy

Assistant Secretary of the Navy (ASN) Research, Development and Acquisition (RD&A) advocacy

Director of Operational Test & Evaluation (DOT&E) advocacy

Department Of the Navy (DON)/Chief of Naval Operations (CNO)/Commandant of the Marine Corps (CMC) advocacy

Joint Staff/Combatant Commander (COCOM) advocacy

Fleet Forces Command (FFC)/Marine Corps Forces (MARFOR) advocacy

Marine Corps Systems Command (MCSC)

Program Executive Office Land Systems (PEO LS)

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

FY2013 Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (O&M,MC); (\$11.4M) will fund Contractor support, travel, facility upgrades at various sites, software maintenance, and tech refresh, training and fielding requirements of Phase 1.

FY2013 Procurement, Marine Corps (PMC); (\$0.065M) fund will support costs.

FY2013 Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN); (\$71.3M) is a continuation of efforts in FY12, consisting of the CAC2S Phase 2 SDS Development and Integration efforts including Developmental testing and Information Assurance certification test scans.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC):

(\$64.4M) will fund, Contractor support, consumables, software maintenance, COTS software tech refresh, system transportation, and upgrades to facilities.

Procurement, Marine Corp (PMC):

(\$160.7M) will buy the CAC2S Approved Acquisition Objective (AAO), related production costs, contractor support, and production testing.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN):

(\$111.2M) will fund the completion of Phase 2 testing, operational assessment, and live interface testing in accordance with continued sensor interface/integration and communications interface/interoperability validation. Funds will also be used for program management, engineering, and logistics support, technology analysis

completion, and System Integration Laboratory (SIL) sup	oport costs.	

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0435	Acronym	CHCS		
Name of Investment	COMPOSITE	HEALTH CAF	RE SYSTEM		
Lead Agent	TRICARE MA	NAGEMENT	ACTIVITY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	HEALTH			GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

CHCS is the military's legacy computerized provider order entry (CPOE) system supporting over 700 Military Treatment Facilities and satellites worldwide. It provides for ordering/documenting laboratory tests, radiology exams, performs prescription transactions, documents outpatient appointments and other care administered to 9.6 million beneficiaries. CHCS improves patient safety and enables improved quality of care. Clinical documentation entered through the Department of Defense's Electronic Health Record (EHR) is sent into CHCS and its modules to provide the official repository of the medical coding information and to handle the transmission of those encounters via interface.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	57,736	35,491	36,173	30,545
DEF HLTH PROG				
0605013HP 02-RDT&E	2,466	0	0	0
0807793HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	55,270	35,491	36,173	30,545
DEF HLTH PROG Total	57,736	35,491	36,173	30,545

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	38.453	39.613	
FY 2013 President's Budget	35.491	36.173	0.68
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-3.440	
-			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY 2013 between the FY 2012 President's Budget (PB) and the FY 2013 PB is primarily due to departmentally directed management efficiencies.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Slight increase change between FY 2012 and FY 2013 based on economic adjustment (inflationary rate).

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Provided sustainment activities for domain maintenance, legacy support, system engineering, licensing and contracting fees for CHCS. A portion also provides funding for civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel, and continuation of onsite support to Europe and Pacific sites.

Completed Ancillary System Change Request (SCR) initiatives which allows for essential patient safety, mission essential and/or regulatory updates to CHCS ancillary modules (laboratory/anatomic pathology, pharmacy & radiology) based on a prioritized list developed by TRI-Service functionals from each ancillary group. These are Critical Fixes necessary to upgrade CHCS to comply with regulatory mandates such as College of American Pathologists (CAP) and Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations (JCAHO) Inspections.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Provide sustainment activities for domain maintenance, legacy support, systems engineering, licensing and contracting fees for CHCS. Efforts include funding for civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel, and continuation of onsite support to Europe and Pacific sites.

Upgrade International Classification of Disease (ICD) 10 Codes that assist medical providers in standardizing diagnosis.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Provide sustainment activities for domain maintenance, legacy support, systems engineering, licensing and contracting fees for CHCS. Efforts include funding for civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel, and continuation of onsite support to Europe and Pacific sites.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Provide sustainment activities for domain maintenance, legacy support, system engineering, licensing and contracting fees for CHCS. Efforts include funding for civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel, and continuation of onsite support to Europe and Pacific sites.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Health Affairs

#### **Component**

TRICARE Management Activity

#### **Acquisition**

Component Acquisition Executive (CAE), TMA

#### **Program Management**

Col Aaron Silver

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

### **Contract Information**

Name: CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

City/State: Falls Church, VA

**Supported** Standard Tables Updates

Function:

Name: SAIC

City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported AHLTA/CHCS Sustainment

**Function:** 

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Department of Defense healthcare:

- Providers
- Patient Administration
- Scheduling Clerks
- Registration Clerks
- Patient Administration Personnel
- Administrators
- Case Managers
- Service Liaison
- Medical Planners
- Command Surgeons

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The DoD stakeholders for this project include:

MHS Health Care Providers

Service Surgeons General

Tricare Management Activity

DoD Health Affairs

Service Readiness Personnel

Veteran's Administration Health Care Providers and staff

Contracted Civilian Health Care Providers

MHS and Service Manpower Reporting

Secretary of Defense

Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs

Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Clinical and Program Policy

Military Line Commanders

Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness)

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

O&M funding provides sustainment activities for domain maintenance, legacy support, systems engineering, licensing and contracting fees for CHCS. Efforts include funding for civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel, and continuation of onsite support to Europe and Pacific sites.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

O&M funding provides sustainment activities for domain maintenance, legacy support, systems engineering, licensing and contracting fees for CHCS. Efforts include funding for civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel, and continuation of onsite support to Europe and Pacific sites.

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	3146	Acronym	CANES		
Name of Investment	CONSOLIDA	ΓED AFLOAT	NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	SERVICE	
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE NA	VY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	PRE-MDAP
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	SS	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Consolidated Afloat Networks & Enterprise Services (CANES) is a DoN Efficiency Initiative and is the Navy's only Program of Record (POR) to replace existing afloat networks and provide the necessary infrastructure for applications, systems, and services to operate in the tactical domain. CANES is the technical and infrastructure consolidation of existing, separately managed legacy afloat networks currently under Ship Communications Automation. The legacy, afloat network designs are End of Life starting in FY 2012 and CANES will replace these existing, unaffordable, and obsolete networks. The fundamental goal of CANES is to bring Infrastructure and Platform as a Service (IaaS / PaaS), within which current and future iterations of Tasking, Collection, Processing, Exploitation and Dissemination (TCPED) computing and storage capabilities will reside. CANES will provide complete infrastructure, inclusive of hardware, software, processing, storage, and end user devices for Unclassified -SCI, for all basic network services (email, web, chat, collaboration) to a wide variety of Navy surface combatants, submarines, Maritime Operations Centers, and Aircraft. In addition, approximately 36 hosted applications and systems inclusive of Command and Control, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance, Information Operations, Logistics and Business domains require the CANES infrastructure to operate in the tactical environment. Specific programs, such as Distributed Common Ground System - Navy (DCGS-N), Global Command and Control System - Maritime (GCCS-M), Naval Tactical Command Support System (NTCSS), and Undersea Warfare Decision Support System (USW-DSS), are dependent on the CANES Common Computing Environment (CCE) to field, host, and sustain their capability because they no longer provide their own hardware. FY 2013 investments will fund procurement of (23) production units, (1) Technical Training Equipment, integration, and associated costs for pre-installation design and activity drawings, and installations for (20) afloat units and (1) Technical Training Equipment unit. In addition, the investment will continue to fund platform set 3 and 4 baseline development, Developmental Testing (DT) and Initial Operational Test & Evaluation (IOT&E) on unit level platforms in support of Full Deployment Decision (FDD) in 4QFY13. DT will be performed on force level baselines in support of Follow On Test and Evaluation (FOT&E) planned to occur in FY 2014.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	50,378	211,804	408,267	417,026
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, Navy				
0303138N 06-N/A	531	1,062	1,062	1,062
MILPERS Total	531	1,062	1,062	1,062
Operations				
O&M, Navy				
0303138N 01-Ship Operations Support & Training	2,425	12,204	28,735	25,639
Operations Total	2,425	12,204	28,735	25,639
Procurement				
Other Proc, Navy				
0303138N 02-CANES	8,593	96,088	283,628	314,812
0303238N 02-CANES-INTELL	2,752	72,313	79,427	60,666
Procurement Total	11,345	168,401	363,055	375,478
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Navy				
0303138N 07- CANES Integration	28,673	24,855	15,415	14,847
0303238N 07- CANES Integration	7,404	5,282	0	0
RDT&E Total	36,077	30,137	15,415	14,847

### **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget       304.196       434.572         FY 2013 President's Budget       211.804       408.267       196.46         Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013       -26.305	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
	FY 2012 President's Budget	304.196	434.572	
Change PR 2012 vs PR 2013 -26 305	FY 2013 President's Budget	211.804	408.267	196.46
20.500	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-26.305	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Other Procurement, Navy decrease (\$-25.862M, -7%) in budget is due to both an internal transfer within the Navy from CANES to Automated Digital Network System (ADNS) to facilitate fielding synchronization between the two programs, as well as IT Data Center Consolidation efforts and Enterprise Software License reductions.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation decrease (\$-248K, -2%) in budget is due to strategic sourcing and services acquisition efficiency reductions. The impact of this decrease is a reduction of one systems engineering support FTE.

Operations and Maintenance, Navy decrease (\$-195K, -1%) in budget is due to strategic sourcing and services acquisition efficiency reductions. This reduction impacts the legacy afloat networks sustainment costs.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Other Procurement, Navy increase (\$+195.971M,+54%) in budget is commensurate with the increased number of CANES systems being procured and installed. Limited Deployment started in FY2012 and Full Deployment planned for FY2014.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation decrease (\$-16.042M, -104%) is due to a Congressional add to complete the Engineering and Manufacturing Development competitive contract and conduct Operational Assessment (Issue 65890) in FY2012, which accounts for the disproportioned budget authority in comparison to FY13. FY13 development efforts ramp down as the program shifts from Engineering & Manufacturing Development phase to Production phase.

Operations and Maintenance, Navy increase (\$+16.531M, +58%) is due to CANES requirement to incrementally replace legacy afloat networks; currently under PE 0708012N (1B2B), including Integrated Shipboard Network Systems (ISNS), Combined Enterprise Regional Information Exchange System - Maritime (CENTRIXS-M), Submarine Local Area Network (SubLAN) and Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI) Networks under PE 0303109N (4A6M). Replacement of legacy networks starts in FY2012 with CANES full sustainment responsibility for legacy afloat networks in FY2014.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

- -Achieved Milestone B (MS B), which approves entry into the Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) phase. As part of the Milestone B decision, the entrance criteria for the upcoming Milestone C and the CANES Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) were approved.
- -Established Service Cost Position (SCP) in support of MS B and updated CANES Fielding Plan and Funding Profile to reflect the SCP.
- -Completed Critical Design Reviews (CDR) with both system developers, which established platform design baselines.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- -Complete statutory and regulatory acquisition documentation to achieve CANES MS C.
- -Revise Cost Analysis Requirements Document (CARD) and Life Cycle Cost Estimate (LCCE) in support of Navy's Service Cost Position (SCP) for MS C.
- -Conduct Operational Assessment (OA) in support of MS C.
- -Preparation begins for Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E) on Unit level platforms to complete operational testing.
- -Prepare Enterprise Engineering and Certification (E2C) lab for testing on platform set 1 and 2 baselines.
- -Conduct platform set 1 and 2 partial-build integration testing of hosted applications and systems as they migrate to CANES baseline while waiting on system developers down select.
- -Conduct developer baseline configuration testing.
- -Conduct final platform set 1 and 2 baseline testing.
- -Commence Source Selection activities associated with Full Deployment contract.
- -Achieve MS C.
- -Procure (13) units, (1) Technical Training Equipment, integration, and associated costs for pre-installation design and activity drawings.
- -Install (4) afloat units and (1) Technical Training Equipment unit.

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- -Conduct ongoing hosted system integration and patch testing for platform set 1 and 2.
- -Develop platform set 3 and 4 baselines to support conduct of testing.
- -Conduct platform set 1, 2, 3 and 4 testing events at E2C lab.
- -Perform Development Test (DT) and IOT&E in support of Full Deployment Decision (FDD) in 4QFY 2013 on unit level platform.
- -Perform DT on force level baseline in support of Follow-On Test and Evaluation (FOT&E) planned to occur in FY 2014.
- -Procure (27) units, (1) Technical Training Equipment, integration, and associated costs for pre-installation design and activity drawings.
- -Install (25) afloat units and (1) Technical Training Equipment unit.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Investment will fund (29) procurements, integration and (32) CANES installations. Close out platform set 3&4 baseline development and begin to develop Technical Insertion (TI) of the 2 year software rolling baseline. Developmental Testing (DT) on force level and submarines and Follow On Test & Evaluation to occur. Provide program management and engineering expertise necessary to maintain and operate service-wide systems. Sustain fielded legacy afloat networks until replaced by CANES.

### **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

**CANES Program Office** 

**Component** 

Department of the Navy

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

**CAPT Didier LeGoff** 

### **Contract Information**

Name: Lockheed Martin MS2 Tactical Systems

City/State: San Diego, CA

Supported Engineering Manufacturing Development (EMD) Contract. Contract to design, develop and produce an afloat network integrating COTS hardware

**Function:** and software.

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp.

City/State: San Diego, CA

Supported Engineering Manufacturing Development (EMD)Contract. Contract to design, develop and produce an afloat network integrating COTS hardware

**Function:** and software.

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corp.

City/State: San Diego, CA

**Supported** Hardware Procurement /System Developer contract to manufacture initial and final product baseline.

Function:

Name: System Research, Applications

City/State: San Diego, CA

**Supported** Program Management and Acquisition documentation development.

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Engineering and Manufacturing Development

Planned Start Date: 2010-03-04 Planned Completion Date: 2012-06-29 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 161.841 (dollars in millions)

Milestones -	Continued
TATHESTORES.	· Commuca

**Description:** Supports operational assessment (OA), completion of regulatory and statutory requirements for Milestone (MS) C, and initial CANES installation

aboard a Guided Missile Destroyer (DDG) platform. OA is required to achieve MS C. The first DDG installation is required to perform and

complete Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E), which is required to achieve Full Deployment Decision (FDD).

Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total C	Costs
Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) Contract Execution	Planned:	2010-03-04	Planned:	2011-12-31	Planned:	105.267
	Projected:	2010-03-04	Projected:	2011-12-31	Projected:	105.267
Description	Actual:	2010-03-04	Actual:	2011-12-31	Actual:	98.867

Includes the award of two system developer contracts for design, development, and integration of CANES system. Preliminary Design Review, Critical Design Review and Test Readiness Review and Contractor System Integration Test also to be achieved. At the end of this effort, the program will down-select to a single developer based on their network system design.

Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	on Date Total Costs	
Milestone C Event	Planned:	2011-02-01	Planned:	2012-06-29	Planned:	9.526
	Projected:	2011-02-01	Projected:	2012-06-29	Projected:	9.526
Description	Actual:	2011-01-01	Actual:		Actual:	4.888

MS C activities associated with completion of requirements to include regulatory and statutory acquisition documents, participation in Integrating Integrated Product Team (IPT), Overarching IPT, IT Acquisition Board (ITAB) Readiness Meeting, and ITAB.

Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	ion Date Total Costs	
Operational Assessment	Planned:	2011-07-01	Planned:	2012-03-30	Planned:	2.898
	Projected:	2011-07-01	Projected:	2012-03-30	Projected:	2.898
Description	Actual:	2011-07-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.376

OA includes lab accreditation activities and an Operational Test Readiness Review (OTRR). Conduct OA testing under various operational, system and network environmental conditions to assess CANES capabilities by both contractor personnel, fleet operations and maintenance personnel.

#### Project Name: Limited Deployment

Planned Start Date: 2012-01-31 Planned Completion Date: 2013-12-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 569.976 (dollars in millions)

Description: Limited Deployment (LD) Contract Award after a down-select to single CANES design. Includes follow-on effort to procure LD fielding units and all

associated production activities.

Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Limited Deployment Contract Award	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2013-09-30	Planned:	135.688
	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	2013-09-30	Projected:	135.688
Description	Actual:	2012-02-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

Includes LD Contract Award after a down-select to single CANES design. Provides for DDG and LHD platform first articles, production unit procurements, and associated production activities.

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	Start Date		etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Limited Deployment Contract Option Award	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2013-12-31	Planned:	60.914
	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	2013-12-31	Projected:	60.914
Description	Actual:	2011-09-01	Actual:		Actual:	2.463
Includes the exercise of the LD Contract Award Option, which provides for the	he procurement and	d associated activ	ities for Limite	d Deployment fie	lding units.	

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

- -COMMANDER, FLEET FORCES COMMAND (CFFC) NORFOLK, VA. End product expected CANES system.
- -TYPE COMMANDERS (TYCOM) various locations. End product expected CANES system.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

- -Assistant Secretary of the NAVY for Research, Development & Acquisition ASN (RD&A) Washington, DC. Stakeholder responsibility Component Acquisition Executive.
- -Undersecretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics USD (AT&L) Washington, DC. Stakeholder responsibility Milestone Decision Authority.
- -Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Information Dominance (OPNAV N2/N6) Washington, DC. Stakeholder responsibility Resource Sponsor.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

(\$363M) funds are for procurement of (27) units, (1) Technical Training Equipment (TTE), integration, and associated costs for pre-installation design and activity drawings. In addition, the FY 2013 Consolidated Afloat Networks Enterprise Service (CANES) investment will fund installations for (25) afloat units and (1) Technical Training Equipment unit.

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN);

(\$15.4M) funds are for continued Platform Set 3 and 4 baseline developments. Perform Developmental Testing (DT) and Initial Operational Testing & Evaluation (IOT&E) on unit level platform in support of Full Deployment Decision (FDD) in 4Q FY 2013. Continue testing events at the Enterprise Engineering and Certification (E2C) lab on Platform Sets 2, 3, 4. Perform DT on force level baseline in support of Follow-On Test and Evaluation (FOT&E) planned to occur in FY 2014. Continue hosted system integration testing and Application Integration (AI).

Operations and Maintenance, Navy (OMN);

(\$28.7M) provides for program financial management and engineering to include contract, procurement, logistics operations, and technical expertise necessary to maintain and operate service-wide systems. Additionally, this funding will support currently fielded legacy affoat network systems that have not been replaced by CANES.

Military Personnel, Navy (MPN); (\$1M) provide program management and oversight of testing and integration of end item support.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

(\$1,547.5M) funding will provide procurement (116 units), integration, and installation (119 units) of Consolidated Afloat Networks Enterprise Service (CANES) platforms.

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN);

(\$55.3M) funding will provide continued system development on Platform Set baselines 3 & 4, which includes submarine system, and associated Follow-On Testing & Evaluation (FOT&E). CANES will continue development of Technical Insertion (TI) related to the 2 year rolling software baseline and 4 year rolling hardware baseline.

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN);

(\$100.9M) funding provides for program financial management and engineering to include contract, procurement, logistics operations, and technical expertise necessary to maintain and operate service-wide systems. Additionally, CANES will assume full responsibility of providing sustainment of fielded legacy afloat network systems, until replaced by CANES.

Military Personnel, Navy (MPN);

(\$4.2M) provide program management and oversight of testing and integration of end item support.

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	0573	Acronym	DCPDS						
Name of Investment	DEFENSE CIVILIAN PERSONNEL DATA SYSTEM								
Lead Agent	DEFENSE HU	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY							
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY			Acquisition Category	NONE				
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MANA	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS				

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

As the Department's enterprise civilian HR system, the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) supports HR operations and improved business processes, with continuous implementation of improved technology, meeting cost, schedule, and performance goals. Network and system operations span worldwide, with 24/7 operations supporting 19 Regional Service Centers and over 300 Customer support Units. DCPDS has upgraded to the Hewlett Packard Itanium/Blade architecture for all enterprise servers and all Military Department and Defense agency regional platforms. This, followed by the migration to Oracle's Release 12 software, will ensure the technology base to maintain DCPDS as a leader in federal HR systems. Web-enabled DCPDS and the addition of its Self Service capability have increased the number of users from 20,000 to over 700,000. Led by the Civilian Personnel Management Service, the DCPDS manager, the Department has been designated by OPM/OMB as one of five HR Shared Service Centers, with DCPDS supporting approximately one-third of the federal work force. DCPDS has proved its business case and saves the Department over \$200 million per year by operating centrally those HR system activities previously operated by the individual Services/agencies. The future focus of DCPDS is the expansion of these efficiencies through the Consolidation of DCPDS operations to a single site. Enterprise operations, as well as several DoD Component customer regional operations, are currently located at this central site.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	75,002	56,992	55,162	73,652
DWCF				
WCF, Defense				
0708203DS 20-N/A	598	836	854	871
0901527DBD 17R-N/A	918	1,216	1,205	1,190
DWCF Total	1,516	2,052	2,059	2,061
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0901220SE 04-Defense Human Resources Activity	48,707	41,815	43,021	51,652
O&M, Navy				
0901212N 04-Civilian Manpower And Personnel Management	1,666	1,822	1,697	1,732
Operations Total	50,373	43,637	44,718	53,384
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0219900A 02-AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	2,651	352	1,006	382
Other Proc, Navy				
0901212N 07-COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	376	333	423	461
Procurement, DW				
0901220SE 01-PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	11,831	6,004	6,514	16,319
Procurement Total	14,858	6,689	7,943	17,162
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0603769SE 03-ADVANCED DISTRIBUTED LEARNING (ADL)	8,255	4,614	442	1,045
RDT&E Total	8,255	4,614	442	1,045

### **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012         FY 2013         vs FY 2013           FY 2012 President's Budget         129.672         115.662
<b>FY 2013 President's Budget</b> 56.992 55.162 -1.83
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 -60.500

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Vertical change for DCPDS operations and sustainment due to contract re-compete.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

DHRA, Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Service (DCPAS) funding change between FY2012 and FY 2013 funding is less than 10% indicating steady state for the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) operations and sustainment only.

	FYIZ PB	FY 13 PB
DHRA/DCPAS	O/M 76,374	66,888
DHRA/DCPAS	PDW 7,509	7,867
DHRA/DCPAS	RDT&E 6,800	4,200
Total	90,683	78,955
Other DoD Components O/M, PDW	7, RDT&E 38,989	36,707
Total	129,672	115,662

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- -Fielded Oracle User Productivity Kit (UPK) to DCPDS customer users
- -Moved additional Components to central DCPDS operations sites
- -Procured additional operations capability for the DCPDS consolidated enterprise
- -Expanded data warehouse user interface and user dashboard information access
- -Continued phased infrastructure enhancements to support DoD Information Assurance mandates

- -Developed interfaces between DCPDS and other systems; integrating systems where possible
- -Developed enhancements to support legislative requirements
- -Developed information assurance enhancements to comply with mandated DoD requirements to align with DMZ extension for all DoD systems.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- -Phase III of DMZ extension to comply with DoD mandated DMZ extension requirements for all systems;
- -Enhancements to comply with HR legislative and DoD requirements;
- -HR LoB initiatives, including modification to eOPF interface IAW OPM mandates;
- -Development of DCPDS interfaces to support of the Defense Enterprise Hiring Solution.
- -Initiate distribution of architecture changes vice Itanium

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- -Enhancement and compliance with information assurance requirements, including DMZ extension requirements;
- -DCPDS and other systems development to ensure compliance with legislative, OPM and OMB mandates;
- -System enhancements to support HR LoB initiatives, including eOPF and related OMB/OPM federal-wide initiatives.
- -Continuation of architecture changes vice Itanium.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Completion of migration of enterprise DCPDS to alternate hardware as Itanium platform is phased out.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### **Component**

Defense Human Resources Activity

### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Cheryl L. Fuller

### **Contract Information**

Name: Lockheed Martin

700 North Frederick Avenue

City/State: Gaithersburg, MD

**Supported** Provide support to the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) as the system intergrator.

**Function:** 

Name: Mythics, Inc.

1439 N Great Neck Road

City/State: Virginia Beach, VA

**Supported** Provide maintenance and technical support as a authorize reseller of Oracle software products.

**Function:** 

Name: Oracle America, Inc.

1910 Oracle Way

City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** Provide maintenance support for the Oracle software, the operating software for the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS)

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

**Project Name: DoD Demilitarized Zone Extension** 

Planned Start Date: 2011-05-11 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1.539 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** DMZ extension ensures the DCPDS Operation site enclaves are in compliance with the Internet to NIPRNET DoD DMZ functional requirements standard. This is a continuation of effort oriented to place priority on the protection of private (i.e., accessible by the NIPRNet only) DoD systems

against attacks from the Internet by establishing a DoD DMZ and ensure all Internet-facing servers are protected within either a centralized DoD

DMZ or any agency developed DMZ Estension.

**Start Date Completion Date Activity Name Total Costs** Cost Analysis, testing, Cost Estimates and HW execution plan Planned: 2011-05-11 Planned: 2012-09-10 Planned: 0.600Projected: 2011-05-11 Projected: 2012-09-10 Projected: 0.600 Actual: 2011-05-11 Actual: Actual: 0.000 Description

Performing analysis on potential replacement servers for current hardware architecture.

Project Name: USA Staffing Interface

Planned Start Date: 2011-09-08 Planned Completion Date: 2013-01-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 0.321 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The need exists to transfer data bi-directionally to automate, to the extent possible, job opportunity announcements in USA Staffing and selected

applicant records in the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS). In the current state, system users across the Department initiate Request for Personnel Actions (RPAs) in DCPDS and then manually enter all relevant position information in USA Staffing, a federal talent acquisition system designed, developed, and hosted by OPM. After human resource (HR) staffers audit the certificate in USA Staffing, they must manually

		Selected (	Capital Investi	ments Repor	·t			
Milestones - Con	ntinued							
	enter the selectee's data	into DCPDS. This interface bet	ween DCPDS ans	s USA Staffing	eliminates thi	s manual effort.		
<b>Activity Name</b>				t Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		Total (	Costs
Develop interfac	ce between DCPDS and USA	A Staffing	Planned:	2011-09-08	Planned:	2013-01-31	Planned:	0.000
			Projected:	2011-09-08	Projected:	2013-01-31	Projected:	0.000
Description			Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Develop inter	rface to transfer data bi-direc	ctionally to automate process between	en DCPDS and US	A Staffing.				
roject Name:	Secure Hash Algorithm	n (SHA) 256 Infrastructure Up	ograde					
Planned Start	t Date: 2011-11-15	Planned Completion Date:	2013-05-31	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	3.404	(dollars in	millions)
						gned and the sig		
	SHA is also used to prov for that utility is know a An initial evaluation of spelled out in the memo with all DoD rules, regu	vide assurance that file has not be s SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will necessitations and directives. Specific	peen altered, eithe that all DoD syste everal hardware are ed to be upgraded	r intentionally or ems must use a read software iten to meet the min	or unintention new version for that will be nimum SHA-2	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement	smission. The cr r than December security posture to keep DCPDS	urrent standard 31, 2013. change. As in compliant
Activity Name	SHA is also used to prove for that utility is known a  An initial evaluation of spelled out in the memowith all DoD rules, regulations of the spelled out in the memowith all DoD rules, regulations of the spelled out in the memowith all DoD rules, regulations of the spelled out in the memowith all DoD rules, regulations of the spelled out in the spelled	vide assurance that file has not be s SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will necessitations and directives. Specific	peen altered, eithe that all DoD syste everal hardware an ed to be upgraded hardware and sof	r intentionally or ems must use a read software iten to meet the min	or unintention new version for that will be nimum SHA-2 and since they or	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate	smission. The cr r than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing eq	change. As in compliant uipment and
Activity Name Implementation	SHA is also used to prov for that utility is know a An initial evaluation of spelled out in the memo with all DoD rules, regu software currently in use	vide assurance that file has not be s SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will necessitations and directives. Specific	peen altered, eithe that all DoD syste everal hardware an ed to be upgraded hardware and sof	r intentionally of ems must use a read software iten to meet the min tware is require	or unintention new version for that will be nimum SHA-2 and since they or	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement	smission. The cr r than December security posture to keep DCPDS	change. As in compliant uipment and
•	SHA is also used to prov for that utility is know a An initial evaluation of spelled out in the memo with all DoD rules, regu software currently in use	vide assurance that file has not be s SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will necessitations and directives. Specific	peen altered, either that all DoD system everal hardware are ed to be upgraded hardware and sof Star Planned:	r intentionally or ems must use a read software iten to meet the min tware is require	or unintention new version for that will be nimum SHA-2 and since they of Compl	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate etion Date 2013-05-14	smission. The cr r than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing eq	change. As in compliant quipment and
•	SHA is also used to prov for that utility is know a An initial evaluation of spelled out in the memo with all DoD rules, regu software currently in use	vide assurance that file has not be s SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will necessitations and directives. Specific	peen altered, either that all DoD system everal hardware are ed to be upgraded hardware and sof Star Planned:	r intentionally or ems must use a read software item to meet the min tware is require t Date 2011-11-15	or unintention new version for the sthat will be nimum SHA-2 d since they of Compl Planned:	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate etion Date 2013-05-14	smission. The corr than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing equation Total (Planned:	change. As in compliant quipment and Costs 3.404
Implementation  Description	SHA is also used to prov for that utility is know a An initial evaluation of a spelled out in the memo- with all DoD rules, regu software currently in use of SHA 256	vide assurance that file has not be s SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will necessitations and directives. Specific	everal hardware are d to be upgraded hardware and sof  Star  Planned: Projected: Actual:	r intentionally or ms must use a read software item to meet the min tware is require to the material to the min tware is require to the min tware is required to the	or unintention new version f ns that will be nimum SHA-2 ed since they of  Compl Planned: Projected: Actual:	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate etion Date 2013-05-14 2013-05-14	smission. The corr than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing equation of the planned:  Projected: Actual:	change. As in compliant quipment and Costs  3.404 0.000 0.000
Implementation  Description Procure hards	SHA is also used to prov for that utility is know a An initial evaluation of a spelled out in the memo- with all DoD rules, regu software currently in use of SHA 256	vide assurance that file has not be as SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will need allations and directives. Specific et.	everal hardware are d to be upgraded hardware and sof  Star  Planned: Projected: Actual:	r intentionally or ms must use a read software item to meet the min tware is require to the material to the min tware is require to the min tware is required to the	or unintention new version f ns that will be nimum SHA-2 ed since they of  Compl Planned: Projected: Actual:	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate etion Date 2013-05-14 2013-05-14	smission. The corr than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing equation of the planned:  Projected: Actual:	change. As in compliant quipment and Costs  3.404 0.000 0.000
Implementation  Description Procure hards  Project Name:	SHA is also used to prove for that utility is known at An initial evaluation of spelled out in the memowith all DoD rules, regulations of SHA 256	vide assurance that file has not be as SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will need allations and directives. Specific et.	everal hardware are d to be upgraded hardware and sof  Star  Planned: Projected: Actual:	r intentionally or ms must use a read software item to meet the min tware is require to the material to the min tware is require to the min tware is required to the	or unintention new version for that will be nimum SHA-2 ed since they or  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: dated, October	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate etion Date 2013-05-14 2013-05-14	smission. The corr than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing equation of the planned:  Projected: Actual:	change. As in compliant quipment and Costs  3.404 0.000 0.000 dementation.
Description Procure hards Project Name: Planned Start	SHA is also used to prove for that utility is known a  An initial evaluation of a spelled out in the memory with all DoD rules, regular software currently in use of SHA 256  ware and software licences in the stantage of the second of the se	vide assurance that file has not be a SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will neclations and directives. Specific et.	everal hardware are ded to be upgraded hardware and soft  Star  Planned: Projected: Actual: ace with the DoD Cl  2013-05-31 anue all software ded y January 2015.	r intentionally or ms must use a read software item to meet the mintware is required to the management of the total software is required to the total software is software in the total software in the total software is software in the total software i	or unintention new version f ns that will be nimum SHA-2 ed since they of  Planned: Projected: Actual: dated, October  Cycle Cost: the Intel Itanig performed of	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate etion Date 2013-05-14 2013-05-14 14, 2010, mandat 0.000 um processor. It on potential repla	smission. The corr than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing equation of the projected: Actual: OCPDS currently seement servers.	change. As in compliant uipment and costs 3.404 0.000 0.000 dementation.
Implementation  Description Procure hards Project Name: Planned Start Description:  Activity Name	SHA is also used to prove for that utility is known a An initial evaluation of spelled out in the memowith all DoD rules, regular software currently in use of SHA 256  ware and software licences of Itanium Replacement It Date: 2011-11-30  Oracle announced in Mathe Itanium database ser being provided for futur DCPDS Components.	vide assurance that file has not be a SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will need a lations and directives. Specific et.  Planned Completion Date: arch 2011 a decision to discontinuers, which must be replaced by	peen altered, either that all DoD system weral hardware and ed to be upgraded hardware and soft Star Planned: Projected: Actual: Actual: ace with the DoD Claracter with the DoD Claracter all software depth January 2015. Acts, finalizing hardstart all parts.	r intentionally or ms must use a read software item to meet the mintware is required to the management of the total software is required to the total software is software in the total software in the total software is software in the total software i	or unintention new version f ns that will be nimum SHA- ed since they of  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: dated, October  Cycle Cost: the Intel Itani g performed of d performance	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate etion Date 2013-05-14 2013-05-14 14, 2010, mandat 0.000 um processor. It on potential repla	smission. The corr than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing equation of the projected: Actual: OCPDS currently seement servers.	change. As in compliant uipment and Costs  3.404 0.000 0.000 dementation.  millions) operates using Plans are This affects all
Implementation  Description Procure hards Project Name: Planned Start Description:  Activity Name	SHA is also used to prove for that utility is known a An initial evaluation of a spelled out in the memowith all DoD rules, regular software currently in use of SHA 256  ware and software licences of Itanium Replacement Itanium Replacement Itanium database ser being provided for futur DCPDS Components.	vide assurance that file has not be a SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will need a lations and directives. Specific et.  Planned Completion Date: arch 2011 a decision to discontinuers, which must be replaced by	peen altered, either that all DoD system that all DoD system everal hardware are ed to be upgraded hardware and soft Star Planned:  Projected: Actual:	r intentionally of the minus must use a red software item to meet the minus marked to memorandum.  Planned Live evelopment on malysis is being ware testing and to the marked to the minus marked to the marked to the marked to the minus must be marked to the minus must be marked to the minus must be marked to the minus marked to the min	or unintention new version f ns that will be nimum SHA- ed since they of  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: dated, October  Cycle Cost: the Intel Itani g performed of d performance	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate  2013-05-14 2013-05-14 14, 2010, mandat  0.000 um processor. If on potential replate analysis of ava  etion Date 2013-05-01	smission. The corr than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing equation of the projected: Actual: OCPDS currently incement servers.	change. As in compliant uipment and Costs  3.404 0.000 0.000 dementation.  millions) operates using Plans are This affects all
Implementation  Description Procure hards Project Name: Planned Start Description:  Activity Name	SHA is also used to prove for that utility is known a An initial evaluation of spelled out in the memowith all DoD rules, regular software currently in use of SHA 256  ware and software licences of Itanium Replacement It Date: 2011-11-30  Oracle announced in Mathe Itanium database ser being provided for futur DCPDS Components.	vide assurance that file has not be a SHA-1. DoD has mandated the DCPDS system identified set, software and hardware will need a lations and directives. Specific et.  Planned Completion Date: arch 2011 a decision to discontinuers, which must be replaced by	peen altered, either that all DoD system that all DoD system everal hardware are ed to be upgraded hardware and soft Star Planned:  Projected: Actual:	r intentionally of the minus tuse and software item to meet the minus tuse as a required to meet the minus tuse is required to the minus tuse is required to the minus tuse of	or unintention new version f ns that will be nimum SHA-2 d since they of  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: dated, October  Cycle Cost: the Intel Itani g performed of d performance  Compl	ally, during tran to SHA-2 no late affected by this 256 requirement directly integrate 2013-05-14 2013-05-14 14, 2010, mandat 0.000 um processor. If on potential replate analysis of available analysis of available of SHA-2 no pate.	smission. The corr than December security posture to keep DCPDS with existing equation of the projected: Actual: OCPDS currently accement servers. It is a server of the project of the pr	change. As in compliant juipment and Costs  3.404 0.000 0.000 dementation.  millions) operates using Plans are This affects all

Performing analysis on potential replacement servers for DCPDS architecture.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

DCPDS supports over 800,000 civilian employees in DoD including appropriated fund, non-appropriated fund, local national, National Guard and DoD demonstration project employees. Customers include all of these employees, as well as DoD managers and supervisors. In addition, DCPDS is used by two non-DoD agencies: the Executive Office of the President and the International Broadicasting Bureau/Broadcasting Board of Governors.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The Office of the Secretary of Defense staff, Military Services and Defense Agencies are the system stakeholders.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Civilian HR automation enhancements planned for FY 2013 are focused on software development of legislative requirements to support the Department's civilian workforce. DCPDS program interfaces support the Defense Enterprise Hiring Solution (DEHS), the OPM electronic official personnel folder (eOPF)system, deployment of the case management tracking system, ongoing work include the area of competency management, and the development of additional interfaces between the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) and other civilian HR systems to fully integrate the automated support capabilities of the environment. DoD is one of five designated Shared Service Centers in the federal government focused on providing standard services across agency lines, gaining potential significant business and cost-saving benefits. DoD is considered a leader in this initiative.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

O&M funding supports the operation, maintenane and sustainment of DCPDS (Including Title V); hardware and software license maintenance, program management, information assurance; and DCPDS enhancements/ Planned DCPDS enhancements include development and support of full self-service functions; Potential contract transition cost for FY 2013. O&M funds to support the DCPDS program FY13-17 are estimate as follows:

Items	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
HW/SW	\$ 9.8M	\$12.1M	\$13.8M	\$12.5M	\$12.5M
PM/IA Consultants	\$10.1M	\$12.3M	\$12.3M	\$12.1M	\$12.1M
DCPDS Ops/Maint	\$23.1M	\$27.3M	\$30.3M	\$29.9M	\$29.8M
Total O&M	\$43.0M	\$51.7M	\$56.4M	\$54.5.0M	\$54.4M

FY2013-2017 pocurement funds will be used for lifecycle replacement of the hardware, software and to meet regulatory requirements in support of the Department

FY 2013 -2017 RDT&E funds will be used for development of interfaces and enhancements for DCPDS.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	4035	Acronym	DEERS, RAPIDS, CAC						
Name of Investment		DEFENSE ENROLLMENT ELIGIBILITY REPORTING SYSTEM, REAL-TIME AUTOMATED PERSONNEL IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM, AND COMMON ACCESS CARD							
Lead Agent	DEFENSE HU	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY							
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY			Acquisition Category	NONE				
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS				

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS), Real-Time Automated Personnel Identification System (RAPIDS), and the Common Access Card (CAC) are interdependent, interrelated, mission critical operational systems that promote an efficient flow of business processes and regularly impact every individual affiliated with the Department of Defense (DoD). DEERS is the DoD's authoritative data repository of all manpower, personnel (active duty, guard/reserve, civilian, selected contractors, retirees, and family members), benefits, eligibility, and TRICARE enrollment worldwide.

The DEERS Person Data Repository (PDR), maintains records for more than 39 million persons. CAC uses the DEERS database for authentication and personnel information. RAPIDS is the system that supports the Uniformed Services Identification card program, provides on-line updates to DEERS and issues the CAC to Service Members, civilians, and eligible contractors. DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC together provides an enterprise-wide credentialing program for both logical and physical access protecting DoD personnel, networks and assets.

DEERS provides hundreds of system interfaces, web services, and applications to the military healthcare systems. DEERS is designed to add enterprise solutions quickly and efficiently, resulting in better, more cost effective service to Members and warfighters. RAPIDS has a network of over 2,475 issuing stations at 1,695 locations providing the seven uniformed services the means to verify eligibility for benefits and entitlements. CAC is essential to the DoD's enterprise-wide solution for secure identity credentialing by allowing logical access to the DoD's computer networks and systems as well as physical access to buildings and secure areas. These systems provide direct support to the warfighters and allows their focus to stay on the mission instead of their benefits, entitlements, and other human resource oriented needs.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	172,374	142,687	162,099	161,723
DEF HLTH PROG				
0807752HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	10,513	9,639	9,774	9,911
DEF HLTH PROG Total	10,513	9,639	9,774	9,911
Operations O&M, DW				
0901220SE 04-Defense Human Resources Activity	153,822	130,459	147,854	146,882
Operations Total	153,822	130,459	147,854	146,882
Procurement Procurement, DW				
0901220SE 01-PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	7,648	2,200	3,334	3,794
Procurement Total	7,648	2,200	3,334	3,794
RDT&E RDT&E, DW				
0605803SE 06-JOINT SERVICE TRAINING & READINESS SYS & DEV	391	389	1,137	1,136
RDT&E Total	391	389	1,137	1,136

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	136.981	140.739	12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
FY 2013 President's Budget	142.687	162.099	19.41
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		21.360	
•			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

O&M (0100): \$19.582M Increase (14%):

The budget for the DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC initiative for FY2013 increased between the FY2012 to FY2013 PB submission by \$19.582M due to the implementation of an EIAS for real-time access decisions in both the classified and unclassified environment.

O&M (0130): \$0.116M Decrease (<1%):

The TMA O&M budget for the DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC initiative for FY2013 decreased between the FY2013 PB submission by \$0.116M. Historical trends have indicated decreased funding requirements from MHS. Projections were previously based on funding requests but during the year of execution, less funding required. In this environment in which DoD's budget is ever decreasing and since funding is not budgeted on contingency, the decrease is based on projected historical trends. If additional funding is required during the year of execution, then it will compete with other unfunded requirements.

Procurement (0300): \$1.145M Increase (1%)

The budget for the DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC initiative for FY2013 increased between the FY2012 to FY2013 PB submission by \$1.145M due to an upgrade of Non-Combatant Evacuation Operation (NEO) Tracking System (NTS) and initiation of the Joint Personnel Accountability Reconciliation and Reporting (JPARR) solution.

RDT&E (0400): \$0.749M Increase (1%)

The budget for the DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC initiative for FY2013 increased between the FY2012 to FY2013 PB submission by \$0.749M due to an upgrade of NTS.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

O&M (0100): \$17.395M Increase (12%):

The O&M budget for the DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC initiative increased from FY2012 to FY2013 by \$17.395M due to the implementation of an Enterprise Identity Attribute Service (EIAS) for real-time access decisions in both the classified and unclassified environment.

O&M (0130): \$0.135M Increase (<1%):

The TMA O&M budget for the DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC initiative increased from FY2012 to FY2013 by \$0.135M due to inflation across the fiscal years (price growth only, no program growth).

Procurement (0300): \$1.134M Increase (1%)

The budget for the DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC initiative increased from FY2012 to FY2013 by \$1.134M due to an upgrade of NTS and initiation of the JPARR solution.

RDT&E (0400): \$0.748M Increase (1%)

The budget for the DEERS/RAPIDS/CAC initiative increased from FY2012 to FY2013 by \$0.748 M due to an upgrade of NTS.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- 1. Removed SSN from all DoD ID cards protecting Personally Identifiable Information (PII).
- 2. Modified DEERS to support new Young adult (21-26 year old) eligibility from The Affordable Health Care for America Act.
- 3. Enabled common identification of persons/patients across MHS and VA. Provided real time eligibility verification for T3 point of service retail and mail order pharmacy.
- 4. Developed and executed enrollment, operations, and customer service improvements, as well as the security mandates, management controls and transition requirements for T3 North and OCONUS regions.
- 5. Provided milConnect portlets to VA's eBenefits Portal and increased the number of Self-Service Credentials issued to Veterans.
- 6. Provided DoD beneficiaries and their family members with a central support office for assistance with issues related to the DEERS Database, DoD benefits and entitlements.
- 7. Extended DoD beneficiary support through self-help tools using the milConnect portal.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- 1. Work with both the Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES) and Navy Exchange (NEX) Service to allow the catalog exchange services to receive real-time, automated verification of eligibility information for online catalog sales as well as various ad-hoc reporting requirements for their operations.
- 2. Provide COCOM with web access to all permanently assigned personnel in their area of responsibility (AOR).
- 3. Develop and execute enrollment, operations, and customer service improvements, as well as the security mandates, management controls and transition requirements for T3 TDEFIC, South, and TRICARE Dental programs.
- 4. In support of Virtual Lifetime Electronic Record (VLER), implement software for use in identifying duplicate patients, and develop applications and web services to support Service members' Group Life Insurance (SGLI) Online Enrollment System, milConnect beneficiary portal, Wounded Warrior, and VA/DoD information exchange.
- 5. Introduce additional self-service capabilities for dental enrollment.

## FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- 1. Transform Delivery of ID Card Services Streamline policy and leverage emerging technologies for electronic credentialing capabilities to improve security, and data quality.
- 2. Promote a Enterprise Identity Attribute Service (EIAS) for real time access decisions in both the classified and unclassified environment.

- 3. Enable data sharing to leverage technology across multiple systems, providing automated vetting capabilities and early warning signs of high risk vulnerabilities.
- 4. Develop and release DMDC portal, focusing on creating a "one-stop" place for beneficiaries to get benefits and DoD-related information and transform customer service through migration to electronic mechanisms including e-Correspondence, mobile applications, milConnect and other self-service capabilities.
- 5. Provide an integrated application and beneficiary contact center to improve customer service.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Continue transformation of ID Card Services. Continue promotion of EIAS for real time access decisions. Continue information sharing with VA to administer and process benefits, reduce costs, and improve transparency. Require FY12-13 funds to continue. Enhance DMDC's portal with technology advancements to improve customer experience and service. Leverage new technology and automation to increase customer satisfaction and reduce costs.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**DMDC** 

#### Component

Defense Human Resources Activity

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Christian Grijalva

**DMDC** 

### **Contract Information**

Name: Deloitte Consulting
City/State: Arlington, VA
Supported PM Support

Function:

Name: Exponent, Inc.
City/State: Menlo Park, CA
Supported Card Failure

Function:

Name: Hewlett-Packard Company

City/State: Herndon, VA

Supported DMDC Support Office (DSO)

Function:

Contracts - Continued Hewlett-Packard Company Name: City/State: Herndon, VA **Supported** Mid-Range Services Function: Hewlett-Packard Company Name: City/State: Herndon, VA **Supported** Software Support Function: Hewlett-Packard Company Name: City/State: Herndon, VA Supported Systems Function: Hewlett-Packard Company Name: City/State: Herndon, VA Supported User Support Function: Northrop Grumman Corporation Name: City/State: McLean, VA **Supported** Information Analysis Function: Name: SRA International, Inc. City/State: Fairfax, VA **Supported** High-End Architecture Function: **Telos Corporation** Name: City/State: Ashburn, VA Enterprise HW / SW Maintenance Supported Function: Telos Corporation / XACTA Name: City/State: Ashburn, VA **Supported** IT Security **Function:** 

# Milestones/Schedules

		•		(dollars in	=
er service improv	vements, as wel	l as the securi	ty mandates, ma	nagement contro	ols and
Star	t Date	Comple		Total (	Costs
Planned:	2011-06-16	Planned:	2012-05-31	Planned:	2.960
Projected:	2011-06-16	Projected:	2012-05-31	Projected:	2.960
Actual:	2011-06-16	Actual:		Actual:	2.500
Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Planned:	2011-07-18	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	3.200
Projected:	2011-07-18	Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	3.200
Actual:	2011-07-18	Actual:		Actual:	2.950
Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Planned:	2011-08-09	Planned:	2011-12-31	Planned:	3.000
Projected:	2011-08-09	Projected:	2011-12-31	Projected:	3.000
Actual:	2011-08-09	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
T3 functionality					
Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Star Planned:	2011-10-01	Comple Planned:	2011-12-31	Total (	3.200
	2011-10-01	-			
Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2011-12-31	Planned:	3.200
Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected:	2011-12-31 2011-12-31	Planned: Projected:	3.200 3.200
Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-12-31 2011-12-31	Planned: Projected:	3.200 3.200 3.200
Planned: Projected: Actual:  Star Planned:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-08-01 <b>t Date</b> 2012-02-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-12-31 2011-12-31 2011-11-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:	3.200 3.200 3.200
Planned: Projected: Actual:  Star Planned:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-08-01 t Date	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple	2011-12-31 2011-12-31 2011-11-01 etion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: <b>Total (</b>	3.200 3.200 3.200 Costs
Planned: Projected: Actual:  Star Planned:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-08-01 <b>t Date</b> 2012-02-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple	2011-12-31 2011-12-31 2011-11-01 etion Date 2013-04-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total ( Planned:	3.200 3.200 3.200 3.200 Costs
Planned: Projected: Actual:  Star  Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-08-01 <b>t Date</b> 2012-02-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected:	2011-12-31 2011-12-31 2011-11-01 etion Date 2013-04-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total ( Planned: Projected:	3.200 3.200 3.200 Costs 3.200 3.200
Planned: Projected: Actual:  Star  Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-08-01 <b>t Date</b> 2012-02-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected:	2011-12-31 2011-12-31 2011-11-01 etion Date 2013-04-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total ( Planned: Projected:	3.200 3.200 3.200 Costs 3.200 3.200
	Star Planned: Projected: Actual:  Star Planned: Projected: Actual:  Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	Start Date   Planned: 2011-06-16   Actual: 2011-06-16   Actual: 2011-06-16   Projected: 2011-06-16   Actual: 2011-07-18   Projected: 2011-07-18   Actual: 2011-07-18   Actual: 2011-07-18   Actual: 2011-08-09   Projected: 2011-08-09   Actual: 2011-08-09   Actua	Start Date   Complete	Start Date   Completion Date	Start Date Completion Date Total Completed: 2011-06-16 Planned: 2012-05-31 Planned: Projected: 2011-06-16 Projected: 2012-05-31 Projected: Actual: 2011-06-16 Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Projected: 2012-04-30 Planned: Projected: 2011-07-18 Planned: 2012-04-30 Projected: Actual: Actu

filestones - Continued	~.			_		
Activity Name	Start	Start Date		Completion Date		Costs
Environment Upgrades - Current	Planned:	2012-01-01	Planned:	2013-03-31	Planned:	0.250
	Projected:	2012-01-01	Projected:	2013-03-31	Projected:	0.250
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Environment Upgrades - Current SIPR Environment						
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Cross Domain Solution	Planned:	2012-01-01	Planned:	2012-12-31	Planned:	1.600
	Projected:	2012-01-01	Projected:	2012-12-31	Projected:	1.600
Description	Actual:	2011-09-16	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Cross Domain Solution						
roject Name: RAPIDS Lifecycle Refresh						
Planned Start Date: 2012-04-02 Planned Completion Date	: 2013-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	3.990	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Implement a lifecycle refresh on RAPIDS, upgrading worlwide implementation.	the operating system	n from Window	w XP to Windo	ows 7. This upg	grade will require	on-site
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
RAPIDS Lifecycle Refresh	Planned:	2012-04-02	Planned:	2013-09-30	Planned:	3.990
	Projected:	2012-04-02	Projected:	2013-09-30	Projected:	3.990
	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

- Secretary of Defense, the Services, all policy makers of OSD, and the joint staff;
- Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs for the administration of the direct care and the managed care system (TRICARE) within the DoD;
- Managed Care Support Contractors and the Medical Treatment Facilities within the DoD who provide medical benefits;
- Department of Veterans Affairs for the administration of the Montgomery GI Bill and for use of the information in DEERS to support registration and eligibility determination for veterans;
- Members of the uniformed services and retirees and their families; civilian employees of the Department of Defense; and survivors of military retirees for accurate information on their benefits and affiliation status;
- Pharmacies access DEERS to retrieve personnel data for individuals making health claims;
- Various Law Enforcement Organizations;
- Recruiters:
- Service Members Civil Release Act; and
- Other Federal Agencies.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

- Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD);
- Military Services;
- Joint Staff;
- Combatant Commands;
- Inspector General of the Department of Defense;
- Defense Agencies;
- DoD Field Activities; and all other organizational entities within the Department of Defense;
- U.S. Coast Guard under agreement with Department of Homeland Security (DHS), when not operating as a Military Service under the Department of the Navy;
- Commissioned Officers Corps of the U.S. Public Health Service (USPHS) under agreement with the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS);
- Commissioned Officers Corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) under agreement with the Department of Commerce (DoC); and
- Civilian employees of the Intelligence Community (e.g., National Security Agency, Defense Intelligence Agency, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, and National Reconnaissance Office), if their appropriate personnel data have been submitted and verified in DEERS.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

O&M (0100):

- Promote a "One TRICARE" mindset by providing a consistent look to beneficiaries, enforcing standardized processes, producing consistent correspondence, providing portability of enrollments, fees, catastrophic cap and deductible, providing a common enrollment application and customer service, and providing DEERS data in virtually real time to the Clinical Data Repository;
- Provide accurate tracking of contingency personnel statistics based on location;
- Enable common identification of persons and patients across the MHS and VA, real time eligibility verification for point of service retail and mail order pharmacy, as well as Military and retiree personnel and pay data to the VA;
- Provide a central repository for Primary Care Managers;
- Provide dependent survivor pay and family SGLI data for VA Loans, Pension or Dependency Indemnity Compensation, Dependent Educational Assistance Program, and insurance payment/burial benefits upon death of a family member;
- Provide self help tools and a single, authoritative view of authorized medical, dental, commissary, exchange, morale welfare and recreation, family life insurance, and educational benefits and entitlements 24 hours a day, 7 days a week through DMDC's milConnect portal;
- Enable collaborative software development between the VA and DoD agencies so that solutions developed by one agency can be reused by both agencies to control costs;
- Provide DoD beneficiaries and their family members with a central support office for assistance with issues related to the DEERS Database, DoD benefits and entitlements
- Provide enhanced customer care by collaborating with Federal Agencies to ensure member benefits are protected;
- Work with the Army and Air Force Exchange Service and Navy Exchange Service to allow the catalog exchange services to receive real-time, automated verification of eligibility information for online catalog sales and various ad-hoc reporting requirements for their operations;
- Minimize fraud via computer matches with Social Security Administration resulting in prosecutions and recoupment of erroneous payments;

- Provide interoperable solutions for both DoD and VA through Virtual Lifetime Electronic Record identity management and transfer of data to support seamless eligibility determination and administration of veterans benefits. Require FY12-13 funds to continue;
- Enable NTS/Emergency Tracking Accountability System (ETAS), a certified and accredited DoD automated system that accounts for, and sustains visibility of noncombatant evacuees during a NEO under the authority of DoDD 1000.25, DoD Personnel Identity Protection Program;
- Implement Joint Personnel Accountability Reconciliation and Reporting (JPARR), to enable reconciliation and reporting of personnel from multiple DoD sources;
- Deploy both fixed and mobile platforms across 42 countries (to include war zones) and aboard Navy ships;
- Issue new DoD populations ID cards to securely authenticate on DoD networks and physically access DoD installations to receive entitlements and participate in coalition partner pilots; and
- Collaborate with the medical community to use the CAC as an authentication token for scheduling medical appointments and receiving drug benefits at pharmacies.

#### Procurement (0300):

- Acquire, install, and maintain the DMDC infrastructure, as well as replacing outdated and maintenance-intensive equipment to continue to ensure full functionality and security of the system.

#### RDT&E (0400):

- Continue research and development of providing security personnel notices on persons of interest attempting to access facilities and increased personnel protection and policy compliance;
- Continue research and development of providing immediate authentication of emergency essential personnel; and
- Continue research and development of providing an interface among disparate applications/systems across the DoD.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

#### O&M (0100):

- Continue to deliver responses for over 39 million records and worldwide access times averaging less than 2 seconds for over 4 million transactions processed daily;
- Provide 99.5 percent database availability for over 4 million daily transactions;
- Post accurate, up-to-date information from the Uniformed Services within 24 hours from receipt and support of Service member mobilizations within 24 hours of notification;
- Reduce average issuance times to no more than 17 minutes for all DoD Identification card forms and maintain 97 percent availability for the RAPIDS system;
- Incorporate new benefits or entitlements as directed by Congressionally mandated dates;
- Ensure card technology remains state-of-the-art and interoperable in accordance with OMB mandated standards for HSPD-12;
- Provide accurate and timely responses to customer inquiries by answering phone calls in under one minute wait time and correspondence within ten days, measured in the aggregate;
- Create a team to pro-actively identify and fix data errors before beneficiaries are negatively impacted;
- Create and retain accurate reporting required by law or regulation Command Post Exercise Module to allow Commanders and Staffs to use NTS in simulated exercises with no evacuee participation required;
- Consular Task Force (CTF) Data Push to support the Department of State's CTF program to provide timely information on US Citizen evacuees;
- Pre-Registration Module to pre-populate the NTS database prior to an evacuation; and
- Joint Patient Tracking System to push data from the ETAS into the Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) Joint Patient Tracking System for rapid patient accountability.

#### Procurement (0300):

- Fund the JPARR/NTS effort as directed by Office Under Secretary of Defense (OUSD) Personnel & Readiness (P&R);
- Acquire, install, and maintain the DMDC infrastructure, as well as replacing outdated and maintenance-intensive equipment to continue to ensure full functionality and security of the system; and
- Establish a web service between DEERS and Component's manpower and personnel systems to support the Electronic Data Interchange Person Identifier (EDIPI) to Social Security Number links and provide web services from Components to DMDC to provide Organization Unique Identifier to EDIPI linkage for DMDC storage.

#### RDT&E (0400):

- Continue research and development of providing security personnel notices on persons of interest attempting to Access facilities and increased personnel protection and policy compliance;
- Continue research and development of providing immediate authentication of emergency essential personnel; and
- Continue research and development of providing an interface among disparate applications/systems across the DoD.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	0178	Acronym	DEAMS						
Name of Investment	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM								
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE							
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY			<b>Acquisition Category</b>	MAIS				
DoD Segment	FINANCIAL N	MANAGEMEN	VT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS				

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Defense Enterprise Accounting and Management System (DEAMS) was approved under Business Management Modernization Program (BMMP) as a joint United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM), Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS), and Air Force (AF) project to replace legacy systems using an enterprise architecture with commerical-off-the-shelf (COTS)-based financial accounting software (general ledger, accounts payable, accounts receivable, financial reporting, billing, etc.). DEAMS will use a Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP)/Financial Systems Integration Office (FSIO) certified COTS software package (Oracle) as its core system software and will conform to requirements promulgated by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Act, Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), Government Management Reform Act (GMRA), Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA), Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) and other related laws, regulations, and policies. Accurate, reliable, and timely financial information is a top priority of the Secretary of the Air Force and Chief of Staff of the Air Force (CSAF). This can only be achieved through a modernization and integrated software solution accompanied by sound accounting processes proven through successful audits.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	60,542	111,098	150,602	194,824
DWCF		·		
WCF, Defense				
0408010DBE 20-N/A	6,746	14,042	13,897	13,624
DWCF Total	6,746	14,042	13,897	13,624
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0308610F 04-Other Servicewide Activities	1,767	0	0	0
0702806F 04-Other Servicewide Activities	4,680	4,628	4,692	4,700
0901538F 04-Administration	0	2,892	25,440	50,329
Operations Total	6,447	7,520	30,132	55,029
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0901538F 03-GCSS-AF FOS	2,260	14,824	7,413	11,804
Procurement Total	2,260	14,824	7,413	11,804
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0901538F 07-Def Enterprise Acct Mgt Sys (DEAMS)	45,089	74,712	99,160	114,367
RDT&E Total	45,089	74,712	99,160	114,367

#### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	135.554	134.309	
FY 2013 President's Budget	111.098	150.602	39.50
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		16.293	
	·		•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

AF Operations & Maintenance has an increase of 150.7%. Operations and Maintenance increased to fully fund DEAMS O&M requirement which previously had a shortfall. AF Other Procurement decreased 57.6%, which was due to the program re-using existing hardware and software purchased previously.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The resource table is double reporting the AF 3400 funds that support the DEAMS program. The correct line is the 3400 funds that are for maintenance activities. AF Operations & Maintenance has an increase of 193.4%. The O&M increase supports FTE resources, software and hardware maintenance, and DISA support as the Increment 1 Technology Demonstration reaches stabilization. Additional funding is required for recurring sustainment, training, data maintenance and RICEW objects for the deployed capability. The AF Research Development Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) increased 32.8% in FY13. The Increment 1 effort increases as the program moves from blueprinting and functional design into more labor-intensive technical design, change management, build, and test efforts to support deployment activities. AF Other Procurement has a decrease of 50% from FY12 to FY13. The reduced requirement is due to the program re-using existing hardware and software purchased previously. TWCF-Operating experienced an decrease of 6.1% due to funding sustainment costs for Military Sealift Command and Surface Deployment and Distribution Command. TWCF-Capital experienced an increase of 6.8%.

#### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Matured DEAMS Tech Demo based on customer priorities (SAF/FMP and USTRANSCOM/J8). Solved AF infrastructure problems to improve performance for DEAMS and other ERPs. Decreased number of manual workarounds and improved interface performance with legacy systems. Successful end of fiscal year closeout for Scott AFB, IL and DFAS Limestone, ME. Increased user acceptance through change management and training. Based on increased user confidence, gained approval to roll-out to next base earlier in FY12 than originally planned.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Continue to sustain and mature the tech demo as well as complete an independent Operational Assessment. Increment 1 has six Production releases. For Production Release 1 – Complete software build, Developmental/Operational Test (DT/OT). Prepare for roll-out to McConnell AFB, KS. Complete acquisition Milestone B, award a

contract for the Blueprint and Design for Production releases 2-6. Start design for Releases 2-4. Sustain existing releases.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Production release 1, Cutover/Go Live and Roll-out to remaining five Air Mobility Command (AMC) bases which do not use Transportation Working Capital Funding (TWCF). Release 2, which is for AMC bases with TWCF, plus MacDill AFB, FL complete software build, Developmental/Operational Test (DT/OT). Release 3 is an upgrade from Oracle eBusiness Suite version 11i to release 12. Plan to finish the design, start development and Developmental Test (DT). Release 4 is for HQ USTRANSCOM (HQ USTC) and HQ Surface Deployment and Distribution Command (HQ SDDC). Plan to finish design, start development and DT. Release 5 is for AF CONUS bases. Plan to finish design and start software build. DEAMS Increment 2 has two releases. Start design of Inc 2, release 1. Sustain existing releases.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Inc 2 Release 2 – Cutover and Go Live (CO/GL), Roll-out to AMC bases with TWCF. Release 3 (upgrade to Oracle R12) – Complete Operational test, train users, CO/GL. Release 4 – complete OT, CO/GL, and Roll out to HQ USTC and HQ SDDC. Release 5 – complete software build, DT/OT, CO/GL and start Independent Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E). Release 6 is for Pacific Command (PACAF) and USAF in Europe (USAFE) - Conduct design. Inc 2, release 1 complete build. Sustain existing releases.

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**Component** 

Department of the Air Force

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Jerry Duke

#### **Contract Information**

Name: Accenture
City/State: Reston, VA

Supported System Integrator, Post Production Support/Stability, and Design Reviews

Function:

Name: Blue Tech
City/State: San Diego, CA

<b>Contracts</b> -	Continued
Supported Function:	AMC DCBS Tool for Oracle Application Developers
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Booz Allen Hamilton McLean, VA Change Management
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Booz Allen Hamilton McLean, VA Strategic Communications
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	CACI-CMS Information Systems, Inc Arlington, VA MSC FMS Business Process Requirements
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	CACI-CMS Information Systems, Inc Arlington, VA MSC FMS Help Desk and Anlaysis
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Capabilities Integration Environment Centennial, CA CIE Test Support
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Capital Cities Technology/Ernst and Young Herndon, VA ERP Implementation Support Services
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Computer Sciences Corporation Falls Church, VA Surface Deployment and Distribution
Name: City/State:	DLT Solutions Herndon, VA

Contracts - Continued

Supported DEAMS Oracle on Demand Services and Licenses

**Function:** 

Name: DLT Solutions City/State: Herndon, VA

Supported Oracle Development and Production License and Software Maintenance

Function:

Name: DLT Solutions City/State: Herndon, VA

Supported Oracle Software Maintenance

Function:

Name: G.C. Micro Corporation

City/State: Petaluma, CA

Supported AMC DCBS Sun Server Support

Function:

Name: Harris IT Services
City/State: Dulles, VA

**Supported** DCBS System Software Maintenance

Function:

Name: Harris IT Services
City/State: Dulles, VA

Supported DEAMS Enterprise Security Support

Function:

Name: Harris IT Services
City/State: Dulles, VA

**Supported** Functional Test Center

Function:

Name: Harris IT Services
City/State: Dulles, VA

**Supported** SDDS TFMS-M Technical Support

Function:

Name: Jacobs Technology
City/State: Tullahoma, TN

Contracts -	Continued
Supported	Engineering Technical Acquisition Support
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Kearney
City/State:	Alexandria, VA
Supported	Program Management Support Services for the Functional Management Office
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Kearney and Co, Inc
City/State:	
Supported	Functional Support
Function:	MITTEL
Name:	MITRE
City/State:	
Supported Function:	Engineering Support
Name:	Mythics, Inc.
City/State:	Virginia Beach, VA
Supported	SDDC TFMS-M Oracle Support
Function:	
Name:	Oracle
City/State:	Herndon, VA
Supported	Tool for Oracle Application Developers. Software application for development and administration of Oracle databases using SQL.
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Quantech
City/State:	Lexington, MA
Supported	Professional Acquistion Support Services
Function:	
Name:	Responsible Test Organization (RTO)
City/State:	Montgomery, AL
Supported	Development Test Support
Function:	Described Community
Name:	Ryan Consulting Group
City/State:	Indianapolis, IN

**Contracts - Continued** 

Supported Independent Verification and Validation

Function:

Name: Secure Data/EMTEC

City/State: O'Fallon, IL

**Supported** Level 1 Help Desk Support

Function:

Name: Telecote
City/State: Goleta, CA

Supported Cost Estimating Support

Function:

Name: US Information Technologies

City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** MSC FMS Sustainment and Maintenance

Function:

#### Milestones/Schedules

Planned Start Date: 2004-01-01 Planned Completion	<b>Date:</b> 2012-04-27	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	258.600	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Provide full accounting capability to Scott AFB						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Government Test Support	Planned:	2004-01-01	Planned:	2010-09-30	Planned:	15.800
	Projected:	2004-01-01	Projected:	2010-09-30	Projected:	15.800
Description	Actual:	2004-01-01	Actual:	2010-09-30	Actual:	15.800
Support from the government testing entities.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Software Procurement	Planned:	2005-06-30	Planned:	2006-06-27	Planned:	4.600
	Projected:	2005-06-30	Projected:	2006-06-27	Projected:	4.600
Description	Actual:	2005-06-30	Actual:	2006-06-27	Actual:	4.600
Procure software for the system.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Systems Integrator-Enterprise Support Services	Planned:	2006-02-03	Planned:	2011-02-02	Planned:	7.400
	Projected:	2006-02-03	Projected:	2011-02-02	Projected:	7.400
	Actual:	2006-02-03	Actual:	2011-02-02	Actual:	7.400

Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
System Integration-Other Development	Planned:	2006-02-03	Planned:	2011-02-02	Planned:	13.900
	Projected:	2006-02-03	Projected:	2011-02-02	Projected:	13.900
Description	Actual:	2006-02-03	Actual:	2011-02-02	Actual:	13.900
Development to enable the COTS product to support the user base.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
PMO/FMO Program Management/Direct Mission Support	Planned:	2006-02-03	Planned:	2011-02-02	Planned:	133.200
	Projected:	2006-02-03	Projected:	2011-02-02	Projected:	133.200
Description	Actual:	2006-02-03	Actual:	2011-02-02	Actual:	133.200
Resources to operate a functional management office to represent the user cor line with the program's stated objectives.	nmunity and to op	erate a program i	mangement offi	ce to keep the cos	t, schedule and po	erformance in
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
Technology Demonstration Blueprinting	Planned:	2006-03-28	Planned:	2007-02-13	Planned:	6.700
	Projected:	2006-03-28	Projected:	2007-02-13	Projected:	6.700
Description	Actual:	2006-03-28	Actual:	2007-01-17	Actual:	6.700
Analysis of commercial off the shelf capability compared to customer require	ements					
		t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Activity Name		t Date 2006-10-19	Comple Planned:	etion Date 2009-07-10	Total Planned:	Costs 18.500
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment	Star					
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment	Star Planned:	2006-10-19	Planned:	2009-07-10	Planned:	18.500
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.	Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	2006-10-19 2006-10-19	Planned: Projected:	2009-07-10 2009-08-17	Planned: Projected:	18.500 18.500
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.  Description Software packages required (as deterimined in blueprinting) to meet customer	Planned: Projected: Actual: r requirements.	2006-10-19 2006-10-19	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2009-07-10 2009-08-17	Planned: Projected:	18.500 18.500 18.500
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.  Description Software packages required (as deterimined in blueprinting) to meet customer Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: r requirements.	2006-10-19 2006-10-19 2006-08-08	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2009-07-10 2009-08-17 2009-08-17	Planned: Projected: Actual:	18.500 18.500 18.500
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.  Description Software packages required (as deterimined in blueprinting) to meet customer Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: r requirements.  Star	2006-10-19 2006-10-19 2006-08-08 t Date	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple	2009-07-10 2009-08-17 2009-08-17 etion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total	18.500 18.500 18.500 Costs
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.  Description	Planned: Projected: Actual: requirements. Star Planned:	2006-10-19 2006-10-19 2006-08-08 t Date 2006-11-16	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned:	2009-07-10 2009-08-17 2009-08-17 etion Date 2009-03-19	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total Planned:	18.500 18.500 18.500 <b>Costs</b>
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.  Description Software packages required (as deterimined in blueprinting) to meet customer Activity Name Hardware Procurement	Planned: Projected: Actual: requirements. Star Planned: Projected:	2006-10-19 2006-10-19 2006-08-08 t Date 2006-11-16 2006-11-16	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected:	2009-07-10 2009-08-17 2009-08-17 etion Date 2009-03-19 2009-03-19	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned: Projected:	18.500 18.500 18.500 <b>Costs</b> 4.800 4.800
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.  Description Software packages required (as deterimined in blueprinting) to meet customer  Activity Name Hardware Procurement  Description Hardware procured to satisfy the requirement.	Planned: Projected: Actual: requirements. Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	2006-10-19 2006-10-19 2006-08-08 t Date 2006-11-16 2006-11-16	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	2009-07-10 2009-08-17 2009-08-17 etion Date 2009-03-19 2009-03-19	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned: Projected:	18.500 18.500 18.500 <b>Costs</b> 4.800 4.800 4.800
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.  Description Software packages required (as deterimined in blueprinting) to meet customer Activity Name Hardware Procurement  Description Hardware procured to satisfy the requirement.  Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: requirements. Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	2006-10-19 2006-10-19 2006-08-08 <b>t Date</b> 2006-11-16 2006-11-19	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	2009-07-10 2009-08-17 2009-08-17 etion Date 2009-03-19 2009-03-19	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned: Projected: Actual:	18.500 18.500 18.500 <b>Costs</b> 4.800 4.800 4.800
Activity Name Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE) committment accounting.  Description Software packages required (as deterimined in blueprinting) to meet customer Activity Name Hardware Procurement  Description	Planned: Projected: Actual: requirements. Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	2006-10-19 2006-10-19 2006-08-08 <b>t Date</b> 2006-11-16 2006-11-16 2006-10-19	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple	2009-07-10 2009-08-17 2009-08-17 etion Date 2009-03-19 2009-03-19 2009-03-19	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total	18.500 18.500 18.500 <b>Costs</b> 4.800 4.800 <b>Costs</b>

ctivity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
ystem Integrator Test	Planned:	2007-01-04	Planned:	2010-05-24	Planned:	0.000
	Projected:	2007-01-04	Projected:	2010-05-24	Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2006-11-01	Actual:	2010-05-24	Actual:	0.000
Testing of the system by the System Integrator.						
ctivity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
ata Cleansing	Planned:	2007-01-19	Planned:	2010-05-27	Planned:	2.200
	Projected:	2007-01-19	Projected:	2010-05-27	Projected:	2.200
Description	Actual:	2007-01-19	Actual:	2010-05-27	Actual:	2.200
Activity to ensure data is formated properly and does not contain errors.						
ctivity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
ardware and Software Maintenance	Planned:	2007-01-26	Planned:	2011-02-02	Planned:	2.600
	Projected:	2007-01-26	Projected:	2011-02-02	Projected:	2.600
Description	Actual:	2007-01-26	Actual:	2011-02-02	Actual:	2.600
Resources to purchase hardware and provide software maintenance.						
ctivity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
efense Information Systems Agency/Global Combat Support System-Air	Planned:	2007-03-21	Planned:	2011-02-02	Planned:	16.900
orce	Projected:	2007-03-21	Projected:	2011-02-02	Projected:	16.900
Description	Actual:	2007-03-21	Actual:	2011-02-02	Actual:	16.900
Infrastructure provider						
ctivity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
ystems Integration-Post Production Support/Integrated Logistics Support	Planned:	2007-08-01	Planned:	2011-02-02	Planned:	15.000
LS)	Projected:	2007-08-01	Projected:	2011-02-02	Projected:	15.000
Description	Actual:	2007-08-01	Actual:	2011-02-02	Actual:	15.000
Support beyond the first developement increment.						
ctivity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
illing Module DEAMS Consolidated Billing System Development and	Planned:	2008-04-01	Planned:	2011-02-02	Planned:	5.200
ustainment	Projected:	2008-04-01	Projected:	2011-02-02	Projected:	5.200
Description	Actual:	2008-04-01	Actual:	2011-02-02	Actual:	5.200
Module developed and added to sustain consolidated billing.						
ect Name: Increment 1						
lanned Start Date: 2011-08-01 Planned Completion Date: 20	17_09_30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost	367 200	(dollars in	millions)
escription: Full accounting for USTRANSCOM, Material Sealift Com			•			

Command, Air Force Reserve Command, Air Nationa	al Guard, and Air Force Global Str	ike Command. Provide Design	/Development/Test of the
system.	Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs
Activity Name		Completion Date	
ncrement 1 Sustainment	Planned: 2011-08-01	Planned: 2016-03-15	Planned: 216.800
	Projected: 2011-08-01	Projected: 2016-03-15	Projected: 216.800
Description	Actual: 2011-08-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Maintain the funationality baselined.			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs
Y12 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 46.517
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 46.517
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY12 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Y12 Software Procurement	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 0.624
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 0.624
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY12 Software Procurement			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Y12 COTS Software Maintenance	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 1.117
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 1.117
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY12 COTS Software Maintenance			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Y12 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 9.888
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 9.888
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY12 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
	Planned: 2012-04-01	Planned: 2013-04-01	Planned: 2.201
Release 1 Development			
Release 1 Development	Projected: 2012-04-01	Projected: 2013-04-01	Projected: 2.201

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 2 Development	Planned: 2012-04-15	Planned: 2014-03-15	Planned: 1.315
	Projected: 2012-04-15	Projected: 2014-03-15	Projected: 1.315
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 2 Development			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 4 Design	Planned: 2012-04-15	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 2.779
	Projected: 2012-04-15	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 2.779
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 4 Design			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 3 Design	Planned: 2012-04-15	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 7.804
	Projected: 2012-04-15	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 7.804
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 3 Design			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 5 Design	Planned: 2012-09-15	Planned: 2013-05-01	Planned: 2.325
	Projected: 2012-09-15	Projected: 2013-05-01	Projected: 2.325
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 5 Design			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY13 COTS Software Maintenance	Planned: 2012-10-01	Planned: 2013-09-30	Planned: 3.578
	Projected: 2012-10-01	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 3.578
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY13 COTS Software Maintenance			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY13 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF	Planned: 2012-10-03	Planned: 2013-09-30	Planned: 10.800
	Projected: 2012-10-01	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 10.800
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY13 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF			

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY13 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support	Planned: 2012-10-01	Planned: 2013-09-30	Planned: 52.543
	Projected: 2012-10-01	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 52.543
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY13 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY13 Hardware & Software Maintenance	Planned: 2012-10-01	Planned: 2013-09-30	Planned: 1.196
	Projected: 2012-10-01	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 1.196
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY13 Hardware & Software Maintenance			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 1 Implementation	Planned: 2013-01-01	Planned: 2013-04-01	Planned: 6.949
	Projected: 2013-01-01	Projected: 2013-04-01	Projected: 6.949
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 1 Implementation			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 4 Build/Test/Go-Live	Planned: 2013-03-01	Planned: 2014-05-01	Planned: 9.033
	Projected: 2013-03-01	Projected: 2014-05-01	Projected: 9.033
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 4 Build/Test/Go-Live			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 3 Build/Test/Go-Live	Planned: 2013-03-01	Planned: 2014-05-01	Planned: 27.271
	Projected: 2013-03-01	Projected: 2014-05-01	Projected: 27.271
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 3 Build/Test/Go-Live			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 2 Implementation	Planned: 2013-07-10	Planned: 2013-09-30	Planned: 5.229
	Projected: 2013-07-10	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 5.229
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 2 Implementation			

A	Gr. 4 Dr. 4		m . 10 :
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs
Release 5 Build/Test/Go-Live	Planned: 2013-09-15	Planned: 2014-07-15	Planned: 8.662
	Projected: 2013-09-15	Projected: 2014-07-15	Projected: 8.662
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 5 Build/Test/Go-Live			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY14 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support	Planned: 2013-10-01	Planned: 2014-09-30	Planned: 55.302
	Projected: 2013-10-01	Projected: 2014-09-30	Projected: 55.302
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY14 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY14 COTS Software Maintenance	Planned: 2013-10-01	Planned: 2014-09-30	Planned: 7.536
	Projected: 2013-10-01	Projected: 2014-09-30	Projected: 7.536
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY14 COTS Software Maintenance			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY14 Hardware & Software Maintenance	Planned: 2013-10-01	Planned: 2014-09-30	Planned: 1.875
	Projected: 2013-10-01	Projected: 2014-09-30	Projected: 1.875
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY14 Hardware & Software Maintenance			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY14 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF	Planned: 2013-10-01	Planned: 2014-09-30	Planned: 12.796
	Projected: 2013-10-01	Projected: 2014-09-30	Projected: 12.796
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY14 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 6 Design	Planned: 2014-01-15	Planned: 2014-09-30	Planned: 3.017
č	Projected: 2014-01-15	Projected: 2014-09-30	Projected: 3.017
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 6 Design		//////	

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs
Release 4 Implementation	Planned: 2014-05-0	<u>-</u>	Planned: 2.359
•	Projected: 2014-05-0	Projected: 2014-08-01	Projected: 2.359
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 4 Implementation			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY15 COTS Software Maintenance	Planned: 2014-10-0	-	Planned: 16.906
	Projected: 2014-10-0	Projected: 2015-09-30	Projected: 16.906
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY15 COTS Software Maintenance			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY15 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support	Planned: 2014-10-0	<u>-</u>	Planned: 55.302
	Projected: 2014-10-0	Projected: 2015-09-30	Projected: 55.302
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY15 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY15 Hardware & Software Maintenance	Planned: 2014-10-0	Planned: 2015-09-30	Planned: 3.301
	Projected: 2014-10-0	Projected: 2015-09-30	Projected: 3.301
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY15 Hardware & Software Maintenance			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY15 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF	Planned: 2014-10-0	Planned: 2015-09-30	Planned: 24.545
	Projected: 2014-10-0	Projected: 2015-09-30	Projected: 24.545
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY15 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 6 Build/Test/Go-Live	Planned: 2014-10-0	-	Planned: 6.321
	Projected: 2014-10-0	Projected: 2015-09-15	Projected: 6.321
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 6 Build/Test/Go-Live			

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 5 Implementation	Planned: 2015-01-15	Planned: 2016-01-01	Planned: 32.786
	Projected: 2015-01-15	Projected: 2016-01-01	Projected: 32.786
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 5 Implementation			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 6 Implementation	Planned: 2015-09-01	Planned: 2016-06-30	Planned: 11.705
	Projected: 2015-09-01	Projected: 2016-06-30	Projected: 11.705
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Release 6 Implementation			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY16 Software Procurement	Planned: 2015-10-01	Planned: 2016-09-30	Planned: 10.613
	Projected: 2015-10-01	Projected: 2016-09-30	Projected: 10.613
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY16 Software Procurement			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY16 COTS Software Maintenance	Planned: 2015-10-01	Planned: 2016-09-30	Planned: 3.301
	Projected: 2015-10-01	Projected: 2016-09-30	Projected: 3.301
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY16 COTS Software Maintenance			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY16 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support	Planned: 2015-10-01	Planned: 2016-09-30	Planned: 56.312
	Projected: 2015-10-01	Projected: 2016-09-30	Projected: 56.312
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY16 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY16 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF	Planned: 2015-10-01	Planned: 2016-09-30	Planned: 8.857
	Projected: 2015-10-01	Projected: 2016-09-30	Projected: 8.857
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY16 Defense Information System Agency/GCSS-AF			

Milestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY17 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support	Planned: 2016-10-01	Planned: 2017-09-30	Planned: 22.495
	Projected: 2016-10-01	Projected: 2017-09-30	Projected: 22.495
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FY17 PMO/FMO Program Mgt/Direct Mission Support			

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

**Customers for this Investment** 

**Stakeholders for this Investment** 

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Research Development Test and Evaluation funds will be used for the following activities:

Increment (Inc) 1 Release (Rel) 1 Cut-over and Go-live (CO\GL) including Change Management, Data Conversion, Training, and Roll-out. Inc 1, Rel 2 complete Build phase, perform Developmental Test and Operational Test, Data Conversion, Training, and Roll-out. For Inc 1, Rel 3 complete Design and Build. Inc 1, Rel 4 perform Design and Build. Inc 1, Rel 5 perform Design. Inc 2, Rel 1 start Design.

Procurement Funds will be used to procure hardware and software required for GCSS staging, operational implementation, and COOP hardware and software.

Operations and Maintenance funds are for Strategic Communication, travel, supplies, equipment.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14: RDT&E Increment (Inc) 1, Release (Rel) 2 finalize Roll-out. Inc 1, Rel 3 complete Developmental Test and Operational Test (DT/OT), Training, and retrofit to existing sites. Inc 1, Rel 4 complete DT/OT, Cutover, Go-Live and Rollout. Inc 1, Rel 5 conduct Build, DT/OT, Cutover, Go-Live. Inc 1, Rel 6 start Design. Inc 2, Rel 1 complete Design and start Build. Other Procurement Funds procure hardware and software required for GCSS staging and operational implementation and COOP hardware and software. Operations and Maintenance funds the Functional Management Office requirements such as travel, supplies, equipment, and the Strategic Communication contract.

FY15: RDT&E Inc 1, Rel 5 perform Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E), start Roll-out. Inc 1, Rel 6 perform Build, DT/OT, Cutover, Go-Live. Inc 2 Rel 1 DT/OT, Cutover, Go-Live, start IOT&E. Other Procurement Funds procure hardware and software required for GCSS staging and operational implementation and

COOP hardware and software. Operations and Maintenance funds the Functional Management Office requirements such as travel, supplies, equipment, and the Strategic Communication contract.

FY16: RDT&E Inc 1, Rel 5 finish Roll-out. Inc 1, Rel 6 perform Roll-out. Inc 2, Rel 1 perform Roll-out. Inc 2, Rel 2 DT/OT Cutover, Go-Live Operations and Maintenance funds the sustainment of DEAMS including hardware refresh and maintenance, software maintenance, and DISA/GCSS-AF hardware support (systems and database administration).

FY17: RDT&E Inc 2, Rel 2 perform Roll-out. Funds required for A&AS contractor personnel at Program Management Office (PMO) and Functional Management Office (FMO). Includes three months of remaining on-site training and change management activities at AFMC and AFSPC bases. Other Procurement Funds replace technologically obsolete hardware. Operations and Maintenance Funds required for the sustainment of DEAMS including hardware and software maintenance, DISA/GCSS-AF support (systems and database administration), sustaining training, and help desk support.

FY18: Operations and Maintenance Funds required for the sustainment of DEAMS including hardware and software maintenance, DISA/GCSS-AF support (systems and database administration), sustaining training, and help desk support.

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0594	Acronym	DISS		
Name of Investment	DEFENSE INI	FORMATION	SYSTEM FOR SECURITY		
Lead Agent	DEFENSE LO	GISTICS AGE	ENCY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Defense Information System for Security (DISS) will improve information sharing capabilities, accelerate clearance-processing timelines, reduce security vulnerabilities, and increase DoD security mission capability. The DISS mission is to consolidate the DoD security mission into an Enterprise System that will automate the implementation of improved national investigative and adjudicative standards to eliminate costly and inefficient work processes and increase information collaboration across the community. DISS is currently under development and will replace the Joint Personnel Adjudication System (JPAS) a legacy system. When fully deployed this will be a secure, authoritative source for the management, storage and timely dissemination of and access to personnel with the flexibility to provide additional support structure for future DoD security process growth. When deployed, it will accelerate the clearance process, reduce security clearance vulnerabilities, decrease back-end processing timelines, and support simultaneous information sharing within various DoD entities as well as among a number of authorized federal agencies. DISS will provide improved support to the Insider Threat and Personal Identity programs and will be comprised of capabilities that are currently part of the Joint Personnel Adjudication System (JPAS) and will create a robust and real-time capability for all DoD participants in the Military Departments, and DoD Agencies. It will also include automated records check (ARC) functionality and the creation of an adjudicative case management capabilities, single point of entry for; personnel security, adjudicative case management, and decision support functionality to all DoD adjudicators. DISS will provide near continuous intra-Central Adjudication Facility (CAF) communications on a web-based enabled platform utilizing a unified architecture with security management.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	20,600	20,600	24,927	25,769
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0305070S 04-Defense Logistics Agency	0	0	0	18,983
Operations Total	0	0	0	18,983
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0605020BTA 05-DEFENSE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY	20,600	0	0	0
0605070S 05-Defense Information System Security (DISS)	0	20,600	24,927	6,786
RDT&E Total	20,600	20,600	24,927	6,786

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	28.592	27.400	
FY 2013 President's Budget	20.600	24.927	4.33
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-2.473	
•			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Vertical change due to internal budget cuts reflected in FY 2013-2017 POM submission, during the transition planning process for DISS to fall under DLA.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Original funding for FY 2012 as a result of PBR13 was \$26.625M. However, that funding request was decreased by \$6.025M for FY 2012 (to \$20.6M) due to congressional reduction across all DLA Defense Enterprise Business Systems (DEBS) programs. This action skews the comparison between FY 2012 and FY 2013 when in reality, planned FY 2012 software and hardware purchases, for the development of the Joint Verification System (JVS), have been deferred to FY 2013 and FY 2014.

#### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- •Defense Information System for Security (DISS) Milestone Development Decision (MDD) Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM)
- •CATS deployment to Washington Headquarters Services (WHS) and Department of Energy (DOE)
- •E-adjudication web-service deployment to Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA)
- •Automated Continuing Evaluation System (ACES) enhanced for compatibility with Electronic Questionnaires for Investigations Processing (e-QIP) 2010

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- •Award Contract for Joint Verification System (JVS)
- •DISS Portal, and Enterprise Application Integration (EAI) Development and Preliminary Design Review (PDR) and Critical Design Review (CDR) for DISS Portal
- •Deploy Automated Continuous Evaluation System (ACES) Release 2.4.3
- •Case Adjudication Tracking System (CATS) V3 deployment to Air Force adjudication facility
- •Continued program management, database design, and support for acquisition compliance reporting, acquisition subject matter expertise, business case analysis, metrics, system analysis, requirements support, contract execution, contract documentation, investment activities, and test management oversight.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- Enterprise Application Integration (EAI) and Joint Verification System (JVS) Development and Preliminary Design Review (PDR) and Critical Design Review (CDR)
- •Initiate Joint Verification System (JVS) Preliminary Design Review (PDR) and Critical Design Review (CDR)
- •Acquisition Milestone B
- Initiate Case Adjudication Tracking System and Automated Continuous Evaluation System physical transfer of infrastructure
- •Continued program management, database design, and support for acquisition compliance reporting, acquisition subject matter expertise, business case analysis, metrics, system analysis, requirements support, contract execution, contract documentation, investment activities, and test management oversight.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

- Joint Verification System Initial Operating Capability (IOC)
- •Acquisition Milestone C and Full Deployment Decision (FDD)
- •Initiate Joint Personnel Adjudication System (JPAS) retirement and finish migration of all users
- •Integration of the Case Adjudication Tracking System (CATS) and Automated Continuous Evaluation System (ACES) into the Enterprise Application Integration (EAI) layer

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

USD(I) HCI&S

#### Component

Defense Logistics Agency

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Sheldon Soltis

**DLA J623** 

#### **Contract Information**

Name: CACI
City/State:
Supported Joint Verification System Development
Function:

Name: IBM Global Services

City/State:

**Contracts - Continued** 

Supported PMO Support

Function:

Name: Microsoft Consulting Services

City/State:

**Supported** Case Adjudication Tracking System Development to Air Force

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

	leases					
Planned Start Date: 2009-02-01 Planned Completion Date:	2013-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	13.050	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Deploy functionality to further enhance records check au	itomation.					
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Deploy release 2.4	Planned:	2011-01-01	Planned:	2011-11-24	Planned:	2.371
	Projected:	2011-01-01	Projected:	2011-11-24	Projected:	2.371
Description	Actual:	2011-01-07	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Incorporate e-QIP 2010 version as a part of the ACES and further enhance ex	isting system inter	faces.				
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Deploy Release 2.6	Planned:	2011-06-09	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.500
	Projected:	2011-06-09	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.500
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Build interfaces with external systems to support additional checks and modified permit system users to specify which external data sources are to be used for	the group of check		interfaces. Rele	ase 2.6 will also p	rovide ad hoc fund	etionality to
roject Name: Case Adjudication Tracking System Single Code Base						
roject Name: Case Adjudication Tracking System Single Code Base Planned Start Date: 2010-01-01 Planned Completion Date:		Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	12.117	(dollars in	millions)
• • • •	2012-12-31		-		(dollars in	millions)
Planned Start Date: 2010-01-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012-12-31 he Case Adjudica		System (CAT)		(dollars in )	
Planned Start Date: 2010-01-01 Planned Completion Date:  Description: This project involves developing a single code base for the project involves developing a single code base	2012-12-31 he Case Adjudica	ation Tracking S	System (CAT)	S).		
Planned Start Date: 2010-01-01 Planned Completion Date:  Description: This project involves developing a single code base for the Activity Name	2012-12-31 he Case Adjudica Start	ation Tracking S t Date	System (CAT) Comple	S). etion Date	Total (	Costs
Planned Start Date: 2010-01-01 Planned Completion Date:  Description: This project involves developing a single code base for the Activity Name	2012-12-31 he Case Adjudica Start Planned:	ation Tracking S t Date 2010-10-01	System (CAT) Comple Planned:	S). etion Date 2012-01-01	Total (	Costs 5.050

Iilestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
CATS Single Code Base User Migration	Planned: 2012-01-01	Planned: 2012-12-31	Planned: 1.500
	Projected: 2012-01-01	Projected: 2012-12-31	Projected: 1.500
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
This activity entails deploying multiple CATS user groups to the single code	e base adjudicative software applica	ation.	
roject Name: DISS Family of Systems (FoS)			
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-18 Planned Completion Date:	2014-12-31 Planned Liv	e Cycle Cost: 40.000	(dollars in millions)
<b>Description:</b> The DISS FoS will replace the Joint Personnel Adjudica		-	tool.
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Release 1: DISS Enterprise Portal	D1 1 2011 10 10	D1 1 2012 05 10	D1 1 7.000
Release 1. DISS Enterprise Fortai	Planned: 2011-10-18	Planned: 2012-05-18	Planned: 5.000
Release 1. DISS Enterprise Fortai	Projected: 2011-10-18	Projected: 2012-05-18 Projected: 2012-05-18	Projected: 5.000 Projected: 5.000
Description			
	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual:	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual:	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000
Description	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual:	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual:	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000
Description The DISS Enterprise Portal will provide a personalized user defined web bases.	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual: sed interface and offer secure acces	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: s to enterprise services and applica	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000 tion functionalities.
Description The DISS Enterprise Portal will provide a personalized user defined web bas Activity Name	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual: sed interface and offer secure acces Start Date	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: s to enterprise services and applica Completion Date	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000 tion functionalities.  Total Costs
Description The DISS Enterprise Portal will provide a personalized user defined web bas Activity Name	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual: sed interface and offer secure acces  Start Date  Planned: 2012-05-18	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: s to enterprise services and applica  Completion Date  Planned: 2013-04-24	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000 tion functionalities.  Total Costs  Planned: 14.000
Description The DISS Enterprise Portal will provide a personalized user defined web bas Activity Name Release 2: DISS Enterprise Application Integration Layer	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual: sed interface and offer secure acces  Start Date  Planned: 2012-05-18 Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual:	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: s to enterprise services and applica  Completion Date  Planned: 2013-04-24 Projected: 2013-04-24 Actual:	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000 tion functionalities.  Total Costs  Planned: 14.000 Projected: 14.000
Description The DISS Enterprise Portal will provide a personalized user defined web bas  Activity Name Release 2: DISS Enterprise Application Integration Layer  Description	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual: sed interface and offer secure acces  Start Date  Planned: 2012-05-18 Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual:	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: s to enterprise services and applica  Completion Date  Planned: 2013-04-24 Projected: 2013-04-24 Actual:	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000 tion functionalities.  Total Costs  Planned: 14.000 Projected: 14.000
Description The DISS Enterprise Portal will provide a personalized user defined web bas  Activity Name Release 2: DISS Enterprise Application Integration Layer  Description The DISS Enterprise Application Integration Layer provides the end-to-end in the discontinuous content of the discontinuous content o	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual: sed interface and offer secure acces  Start Date  Planned: 2012-05-18 Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: federated capabilities to access data	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: s to enterprise services and applica  Completion Date  Planned: 2013-04-24 Projected: 2013-04-24 Actual: a through a common interface.	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000 tion functionalities.  Total Costs  Planned: 14.000 Projected: 14.000 Actual: 0.000
Description The DISS Enterprise Portal will provide a personalized user defined web bas Activity Name Release 2: DISS Enterprise Application Integration Layer  Description The DISS Enterprise Application Integration Layer provides the end-to-end activity Name	Projected: 2011-10-18 Actual: sed interface and offer secure acces  Start Date  Planned: 2012-05-18 Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: federated capabilities to access data  Start Date	Projected: 2012-05-18 Actual: s to enterprise services and applica  Completion Date  Planned: 2013-04-24 Projected: 2013-04-24 Actual: a through a common interface.  Completion Date	Projected: 5.000 Actual: 0.000 tion functionalities.  Total Costs  Planned: 14.000 Projected: 14.000 Actual: 0.000  Total Costs

# Customers/Stakeholders

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Military Departments

- Army
- Navy/Marine Corps
- Air Force

Defense industry

- Facility security officers
- Personnel security officers
- Security management and support staff

Other Federal Government:

- Office of Personnel Management

(Defining customers as those who receive a direct product or service from the agency, both internal and external)

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Direct users of the system include, but are not limited to:

- Department of Defense
- DoD Agencies and Activities
- DoD adjudicators
- DoD security officers

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

FY 2013 RDT&E funding will be used for the DISS Program Management Office support costs, to include civilian salaries, program management, and travel. It will also support the prime and/or sub-contractor costs for development, deployment, and test and evaluation. The funding will also support Case Adjudication Tracking System (CATS) and Automated Continuous Evaluation System (ACES) deployment.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY 2014-2017, RDT&E funding will be used to incorporate new functionality as required by the sponsor, to include, as a minimum, integration of the Case Adjudication Tracking System (CATS) and Automated Continuous Evaluation System (ACES). O&M funding will be to support Operations and Sustainment of the system and will provide support for all DoD personnel who require a security clearance to include contractors.

Page left intentionally blank

#### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	0595	Acronym	DISN		
Name of Investment	DEFENSE INF	FORMATION	SYSTEM NETWORK		
Lead Agent	DEFENSE INF	FORMATION	SYSTEMS AGENCY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFRA	ASTRUCTUR	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Defense Information System Network (DISN) is DoD's consolidated world wide telecommunications infrastructure that provides end-to-end information transport for DoD operations, providing the warfighters and the Combatant Commanders (COCOMs) with a robust Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (C4I) information long-haul transport infrastructure. The DISN goal remains to seamlessly span the terrestrial and space strategic domains, as well as the tactical domain, to provide the interoperable telecommunications connectivity and value-added services required to plan, implement, and support any operational missions, anytime, and anywhere pushing DISN services to the "edge" of the communications network. The vision of "power to connect" is the availability and accessibility of a ubiquitous, secure, robust, trusted, protected, and routinely used wide-bandwidth network, populated with the information and information services that our forces need.

As a Mixed Life Cycle Program, the DISN's primary focus is on sustainment of the existing network. Transport provides a robust worldwide capability to transmit voice, video, data and message traffic for the Combatant Commanders, Military Departments and Defense Agencies. DISA must provision, install, and maintain the network to support those capabilities. Real Time Services provide precedence-based assured services for voice and video over converged IP End-to-End. Voice reflects the consolidation of secure and unsecured voice services while Video provides global, interoperable unclassified and classified video services with full-service video teleconferencing. DISN IP services are the Secret Internet Protocol Router Network and the unclassified but sensitive Internet Protocol Router Network. The Joint World-wide Intelligence Communications System operates on the DISN, providing voice, video, and data communications and collaboration in support of the President, the Secretary of Defense, the National Intelligence Community, and DoD. The Operational Support Services (OSS) was created to manage the Telecommunications Management Network and tools that automate DISN's operation, administration, maintenance and provisioning functions. OSS supports the implementation of a common OSS for DISN, while promoting efficiencies through consolidation, automation, and standardized data sharing.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	2,052,118	2,032,030	2,109,384	2,056,423
DWCF				
WCF, Defense				
0303155DK 17R-N/A	1,752,172	1,742,774	1,778,894	1,823,358
0303155DK 57R-N/A	8,200	8,230	18,830	7,074
DWCF Total	1,760,372	1,751,004	1,797,724	1,830,432
Operations O&M, DW				
0303126K 04-Defense Information Systems Agency	159,293	174,475	168,590	80,095
Operations Total	159,293	174,475	168,590	80,095
Procurement				
Procurement, DW				
0303126K 01-DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK	95,855	84,932	116,906	124,202
Procurement Total	95,855	84,932	116,906	124,202
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0303126K 07-DISN SYSTEMS ENGINEERING SUPPORT	35,598	17,479	7,262	7,514
0303126K 07-PRESIDENTIAL AND NATIONAL VOICE CONFERENCING	1,000	4,140	18,902	14,180
RDT&E Total	36,598	21,619	26,164	21,694

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	2,194.437	2,124.741	
FY 2013 President's Budget	2,032.030	2,109.384	77.35
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-15.357	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Explanation:

A \$15.357M decrease in funding for FY2013 from PB2012 to PB2013 is the result of the following:

O&M, Defense-Wide: \$66.902M Increase (+65.79%)

- A \$21.914M decrease is for the transfers of 132 civilian personnel who support the DISA DISN Engineering and Service Delivery program from its Operation and Maintenance appropriation to DWCF DISN Subscription Services (DSS) Program, for proper execution. These personnel are organic to DISN services.
- A \$91.257M increase due to the inclusion of OCO funding. DISN buys transport backbone terrestrial bandwidth, contractor support associated with DISN activities, and maintenance to support missions in Afghanistan with continuous critical telecommunications capability in support of Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), Joint Staff (JS), Unified Combatant Commands (UCCs), Military Departments (MILDEPs), and other government Agencies.
- A \$2.441M decrease attributed to properly price personnel payroll costs based on actual FTE

Procurement, Defense-Wide: \$28.530M Increase (+32.28%)

- A \$27.000M increase is attributed to the changing mix of equipment being purchased for technical refreshment; accelerates DATMS Elimination and deploys two IP video suites
- A \$1.530M increase is due to the economic increase in the estimated purchase price

RDT&E, Defense-Wide: \$0.274M Increase (+1.06%)

• A \$0.274M increase is due to the economic increase in the estimated purchase price

DWCF overall funding change: \$111.063M Decrease

DWCF O&M: \$116.643M Decrease (-6.97%)

- A decrease of \$59.226M due to management efficiencies to lower Information Technology contracting costs to support the DISN Subscription Services based on customer requirements and reduction of overhead costs.
- A decrease of \$152.604M due to re-alignment of information assurance activities within the agency. Details are in the DoD IT Budget Classified Annex.
- A \$69.734M increase for higher COMSATCOM contract costs due to expanded service requirements in the U.S. Central Command Area of Responsibility.
- A \$25.453M increase to personnel support for the organic engineers, implementation delivery personnel, and support for operational messaging.

DWCF CA: \$5.580M Increase (+67.80%)

• A \$5.580M increase due to necessary investments to EMSS Gateway architecture and capabilities need to undergo a transformation in order to be compatible with the new technology of the next generation satellite constellation, Iridium NEXT.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

#### Explanation:

FY2013 President's Budget Request increase of \$77.354M in funding between FY2012 to FY2013 is the result of the following:

O&M, Defense-Wide: \$5.885M Decrease (-3.37%)

- A \$21.914M decrease is for the transfer of 132 civilian personnel who support the DISA DISN Engineering and Service Delivery program from its Operation and Maintenance appropriation to DWCF DISN Subscription Services (DSS) Program, for proper execution.
- An \$7.445M increase in OCO funding reflects COMSATCOM costs due to price increases for existing multi-year contracts specifically supporting the Afghanistan theater of operations equipment maintenance contract adjustments.
- An \$8.584M increase in equipment maintenance for circuit transition equipment.

Procurement, Defense-Wide: \$31.974M Increase (+37.65%)

- A \$28.828M increase is attributed to the changing mix of equipment being purchased for technical refreshment; accelerates DATMS Elimination and deploys two IP video suites
- A \$3.146M increase is for the acquisition of cryptographic, voice encoding, audio summing, audio distribution and end-user equipment for sites participation in the Presidential National Voice Conferencing (PNVC) project, and JWICS IP conversion and EPC/SECN purchases

RDT&E, Defense-Wide: \$4.545M Increase (+21.02%)

• A \$15.045M increase supports the development of PNVC baseband equipment to support an initial operational capability in FY 2015

An \$10.500M decrease in OCO funding is due to the completion in FY2012 of JUON CC-0368, the transition of DTCS capability to EMSS for sustainment.

DWCF overall change: \$46.720M Increase

DWCF O&M: \$36.120M Increase (+2.16%)

- A \$26.120M increase is for the civilian personnel who support the DISA DISN Engineering and Service Delivery program from its Operation and Maintenance appropriation to DWCF DISN Subscription Services (DSS) Program, including funding for messaging system FTE.
- A \$10.000M increase is due to an economic increase in the non-labor pricing adjustments

DWCF CA: \$10.600M Increase (+128.80%)

• A \$10.600M increase is due to EMSS Gateway transformation, procurement for Broadband Global Area Network Remote Access Service equipment to provision delivery of secure Internet Protocol based Mobile Satellite Service to DoD users, and support for the enhancement to the Distributed Tactical Communications System architecture and enable availability to connect new satellite communication systems.

#### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

FY11 accomplishments: Continued to sustain the global DISN, with transport removing 35 DATMS nodes and transitioning 35 ATI circuits; JWICS transitioned 60 nodes from ATM to IP, deployed a new core node and optimized 13 others; EPC/SECN performed site surveys, engineering analysis, and contract actions for 4 switch replacements; DRSN continued modification work on COMSEC upgrades for DRSN switches; OSS continued standardization and integration of OSS sub-elements; DSCS sustained the DSCS constellation along with doing modem and terminal certifications; SATCOM continued specification development and physically transitioned the Joint C4I Decision Support Center to a new location; PNVC continued with system engineering support; while Assured SATCOM in Single Theater (ASSIST) released the RFI and obtained an ADM for MDD Decision. The DISN Tech Refresh completed 24 of 30 DATMS replacements plus one site upgrade; 4 multifunction soft switch upgrades and replaced 20 EOL COMSEC devices.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY12 planned accomplishments: Continue to sustain the global DISN, with transport removing 40 DATMS nodes and transitioning 1200 DATS circuits; JWICS transition of 60 more nodes from ATM to IP, updating VTC capability and completion of node optimizations; Enhanced Pentagon Capability/Survivable Emergency Conferencing Network (EPC/SECN) complete SECN site install and 3 switch replacements; DRSN complete COMSEC upgrade development for DRSN switches and develops the Engineering Change Proposal for the Dual Narrowband Interface card (NBIC) for DRSN switch; OSS continued standardization and integration of OSS sub-elements; continued sustainment of the DSCS constellation and modem and terminal certifications; and continued PNVC system engineering support. The DISN Tech Refresh plans 14 replacement installs and 130 upgrades at DATMS locations; 4 more new multifunction soft switch (MFSS)upgrades, 80 EOL COMSEC device replacements and Secure Voice (SV) VoSIP suite installs and 2 SV Conference Managers going operational.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

The FY13 planned accomplishment is the sustainment of global DISN to meet DoD's mission. Supporting this are major maintenance activities: 1)Tech Refresh/EOL Equipment Replacement continues replacement of EOL backbone equipment and software (legacy ATM, Promina, and select COMSEC); MSPP's to transition legacy assets; a Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS) backbone; Rapid Agile Provisioning; Multi Functional Switches (MFS) Enhancements; timing and synch upgrades; and Secure But Unclassified (SBU) and secure voice, video, and data services upgrades, to complete IP enablement of DRSN; 2) JWICS Core Architecture implementation, WAN Optimization, and 10GE COMSEC deployment.; 3) EPC/SECN EOL equipment upgrades; 4) Enhanced Mobile Satellite Service (EMSS) Gateway upgrades and transition of the Defense Tactical Communications System (DTCS) capability to EMSS; and 5) JHITS switch expansions, enhanced security posture and avoidance of technological obsolescence.

FY13 development activities include: 1) Procurement of PNVC interface equipment to DRSN; 2) Baseband equipment development for the PNVC FY15 IOC; 3) IP Enablement for the DRSN DSS-2A switch, completion of HEMP Phone development and continued development of a NORTHCOM conferencing solution; 4) Elements Management System activities supporting emerging technologies and service assurance; and 5) DRSN NBIC replacement development effort and Console User Interface update.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

FY14 funding will support DISN global sustainment, PNVC developmental efforts and interfaces to DRSN, DISN Systems Engineering, DISN Technology Refreshment related primarily to End-Of-Life (EOL) actions and convergence to and all-IP environment, JWICS conversion and sustainment, and SATCOM Service Enhancements including a commercial satellite capital lease and earth terminal upgrades.

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

DISA/NS

#### **Component**

Defense Information Systems Agency

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

James L. Travis, III DISA/NSP

#### **Contract Information**

Name: ADC International LLC

City/State: Arlington, VA

**Contracts - Continued** 

Supported The INMARSAT contracts provide for the lease/purchase of a full range of mobile satellite telecommunications (INMARSAT) airtime service,

**Function:** equipment and maintenance.

Name: AOS, Inc (World Hqs)

City/State: Dallas, TX

Supported The INMARSAT contracts provide for the lease/purchase of a full range of mobile satellite telecommunications (INMARSAT) airtime service,

**Function:** equipment and maintenance.

Name: Apptis Inc City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** This DISN Global Services (DGS) contract provides the necessary programmatic/ operation/ engineering services to support the life cycle

**Function:** management of the DISN.

Name: Apriva ISS, LLC
City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

**Supported** This contract provides support services for the Secure Mobile Environment Portable Electronic Device (SME PED) Multi-Carrier Entry Point

Function: (MCEP). These services include follow-on operations and maintenance support for MCEP-1 and the addition of MCEP (2) failover/backup to

include operations and maintenance support.

Name: Arrowhead Global Solutions

City/State: Falls Church, VA

**Supported** The DATS contracts provide the necessary programmatic/operation/ engineering services, material, and equipment to support the life cycle

Function: management of the DISN. The services include the acquisition of Sub-Digital Signal (DS0), 3Khz Voice Grade, DS0 through Optical Carrier

(OC-N/OC-Nc) transport services from any TELCO DEMARC point to any TELCO DEMARC point Service within the contiguous United States

(CONUS).

Name: Arrowhead Global Solutions

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported The INMARSAT contracts provide for the lease/purchase of a full range of mobile satellite telecommunications (INMARSAT) airtime service,

**Function:** equipment and maintenance.

Name: Artel, Inc.
City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** The DSTS-G contracts provide for the lease/purchase of a wide range of domestic and international commercial satellite services, including satellite bandwidth, bandwidth and service management, leased earth terminals (e/t), purchased e/t, e/t operation and maintenance, commercial

teleport services, terrestrial interconnection services, host nation agreement support, and systems engineering support.

Name: AT&T
City/State: Oakton, VA

Supported Leased Video and Video Dial-Up Services: Operations and maintenance Support to Government-owned System

**Function:** 

Contracts - Continued

Name: AT&T Corporation
City/State: Bedminster, NJ

Supported The DTS-CE provides sub-T1 and other point-to-point transmission services to government-specified service delivery points within CONUS.

Function:

Name: AT&T Corporation

City/State: Vienna, VA

**Supported** The DATS contracts provide the necessary programmatic/operation/ engineering services, material, and equipment to support the life cycle management of the DISN. The services include the acquisition of Sub-Digital Signal (DS0), 3Khz Voice Grade, DS0 through Optical Carrier

(OC-N/OC-Nc) transport services from any TELCO DEMARC point to any TELCO DEMARC point Service within the contiguous United States

(CONUS).

Name: AT&T Government Solutions

City/State: Honolulu, HI

Supported The JHITS contract provides the primary inter- and intra-base telecommunications services for the Department of Defense (DoD) in the State of

Function: Hawaii, providing end-to-end common user switched and dedicated transmission services. Other authorized users may include federal, state, and

local agencies.

Name: Buchanan & Edwards, Inc.

City/State: Arlington, VA

Supported This task order provides design, functioning, implementation, operations, maintenance and federation of the Consolidated Database Architecture

**Function:** (CDBA) and all applications subordinate to the CDBA system.

Name: Communication Decision-SNVC (CDS)

City/State: Fairfax, VA

Supported This contract is an Indefeasible Right of Use (IRU) Property Lease, with an O&M contract that provides an exclusive IRU for two Eastern U.S.

**Function:** OC-192 circuits.

Name: Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC)

City/State: Chantilly, VA

Supported The DNMSS-G/NEC contract provides support for the Defense Switched Network (DSN), Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN), Advanced

Function: Defense Integrated Management Support System (ADIMSS), Advanced DRSN Defense Integrated Management Support System (ARDIMSS), and

the Integrated Network Management System (INMS).

Name: Deloitte Consulting LLP

City/State: Scott AFB, IL

Supported This contract provides for support of the Financial Management Systems Software in use by DISA Defense Working Capital Fund, specifically

Function: Information Services Business Area activities, including the DISN Subscription Services (DSS).

Name: General Dynamics Decision Systems

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

**Supported** This contract is a services contract for EMSS Gateway Operations and Maintenance on-site services.

**Function:** 

Name: General Dynamics Decision Systems

City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

Supported This EMSS contract includes purchase of Iridium equipment and services (secure voice and unsecure data) to access the EMSS Government

Function: Gateway. Cross-linking satellites with on-board processing provides the following: global coverage, independence from foreign/local

infrastructure, voice and data capability, STU-III/STE interoperability, single point terrestrial connectivity, improved communications security and

other special features.

Name: Harris Corporation

City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** The DSTS-G contracts provide for the lease/purchase of a wide range of domestic and international commercial satellite services, including

Function: satellite bandwidth, bandwidth and service management, leased earth terminals (e/t), purchased e/t, e/t operation and maintenance, commercial

teleport services, terrestrial interconnection services, host nation agreement support, and systems engineering support.

Name: Iridium Satellite LLC

City/State: Tempe, AZ

**Supported** The EMSS Airtime contract provides for global satellite communications services for handsets, pagers and other user equipment configurations

Function: with unlimited monthly voice, data, and messaging services for U.S. Gov't subscribers.

Name: Iridium Satellite LLC

City/State: Tempe, AZ

**Supported** This contract is a services contract for EMSS Gateway Maintenance & Support Services Agreement, Iridium equipment maintenance.

**Function:** 

Name: L3 Global Communications Solutions

City/State: Victor, NY

**Supported** The INMARSAT contracts provide for the lease/purchase of a full range of mobile satellite telecommunications (INMARSAT) airtime service,

**Function:** equipment and maintenance.

Name: MCI/Qwest City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Telecommunication and Transmission Services/Capital Lease & IRU Maintenance

Function:

Name: NANA Regional Corp/TKC Technology Solutions LLC

City/State: Kotzebue, AK

**Supported** This contract is an Indefeasible Right of Use (IRU) Property Lease, with an O&M contract.

Function:

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: Northrop Grumman Information Technology

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported This task order provides Task Order Management, DISA Program Management Support, Engineering, Research and Analysis Support, and DISA

Function: Site Engineering and Management Planning Support.

Name: O'Gara Satellite Systems Inc City/State: Rancho Palos Verdes, CA

Supported The INMARSAT contracts provide for the lease/purchase of a full range of mobile satellite telecommunications (INMARSAT) airtime service,

**Function:** equipment and maintenance.

Name: Oberon Associates Inc

City/State: Manassas, VA

Supported The DNMSS-G/ASC contract provides program management support for the Defense Switched Network (DSN), Defense Red Switch Network

Function: (DRSN), Advanced Defense Integrated Management Support System (ADIMSS), Advanced DRSN Defense Integrated Management Support

System (ARDIMSS), and the Integrated Network Management System (INMS).

Name: Oberon Associates Inc

City/State: Manassas, VA

Supported This task order provides division-wide planning and management services and the full range of IA and management services for the Connection

Function: Approval Offices (CAOs), the Ports, Protocols, and Services Management Office (PPSM), and the DoD IA/Security Accreditation Working Group

(DSAWG).

Name: Qwest Government Services

City/State: Arlington, VA

**Supported** The DATS contracts provide the necessary programmatic/operation/ engineering services, material, and equipment to support the life cycle

Function: management of the DISN. The services include the acquisition of Sub-Digital Signal (DS0), 3Khz Voice Grade, DS0 through Optical Carrier (OC-N/OC-Nc) transport services from any TELCO DEMARC point to any TELCO DEMARC point Service within the contiguous United States

(CONUS).

Name: SAIC

City/State: Falls Church, VA

**Supported** This DGS contract provides the necessary programmatic/ operation/ engineering services, material, and equipment to support the life cycle

**Function:** management of the DISN.

Name: Space Link International, LLC

City/State: Dulles, VA

**Supported** The DSTS-G contracts provide for the lease/purchase of a wide range of domestic and international commercial satellite services, including satellite bandwidth, bandwidth and service management, leased earth terminals (e/t), purchased e/t, e/t operation and maintenance, commercial

teleport services, terrestrial interconnection services, host nation agreement support, and systems engineering support.

Name: Sprint

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Herndon, VA

Supported The DTS-CE provides sub-T1 and other point-to-point transmission services to government-specified service delivery points within CONUS.

Function:

Name: Sprint Communications Co., LP

City/State: Reston, VA

Supported This task order provides Federal Relay services in both English and Spanish for the authorized entities of Department of Defense, Military

Function: Departments (Army, Navy/USMC and Air Force) and Defense Agencies, other institutions including military and civilian government employees

of DoD, retirees, Veterans, and contractors authorized to work in DoD facilities.

Name: Sprint Government Systems

City/State: Reston, VA

Supported Telecommunication and Transmission Services/Capital Lease & IRU Maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: Time Warner Telecom Holdings, Inc

City/State: Littleton, CO

Supported Telecommunication and Transmission Services/Capital Lease & IRU Maintenance

Function:

Name: Verizon Business Network Services (formerly MCI)

City/State: Ashburn, VA

**Supported** The DTS-CE provides sub-T1 and other point-to-point transmission services to government-specified service delivery points within CONUS.

Function:

Name: Verizon Business Networks Services, Inc.

City/State: Ashburn, VA

Supported The DTS-PII contract provides point-to-point to and within the Expanded Pacific Regionwhich consists of: Pacific Command (PACOM), Northern

Function: Command (NORTHCOM), Southern Command (SOUTHCOM), and Central Command (CENTCOM) Area of Operations (AOR), at bandwidths

ranging from sub T-1 to 10G. The DTS-P II will support both DoD and authorized non-DoD operations.

Name: Verizon/MCI City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Telecommunication and Transmission Services/Capital Lease & IRU Maintenance

Function:

Name: WILTEL Communications/Level 3 Comm

City/State: Tulsa, OK

Supported Telecommunication and Transmission Services/Capital Lease & IRU Maintenance

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: DISN TECHNOLOGY REFRESHMENT (LETR/0400	0)					
Planned Start Date: 2007-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	*	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	37.845	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> DISN Technology Refresh plans, purchases, and implement			•		ISN and DISN-s	upported
systems.	1		, , ,			11
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
DISN Tech Refresh (LETR/0400) - Phase 11	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	3.900
	Projected:	2010-11-01	Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	3.900
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Under DISN Tech Refresh (LETR/0400) - Phase 11, the RDT&E funds will su	apport:					
1) Complete Phase III of DSS-2A Large Switch (secure voice) modification						
2) Initiate requirements definition and begin design phases to IP-enable DSS-2						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
DISN Tech Refresh (LETR/0400) - Phase 12	Planned:	2011-11-01	Planned:	2013-04-30	Planned:	3.500
	Projected:	2012-05-15	Projected:	2013-09-30	Projected:	3.500
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Under DISN Tech Refresh (LETR/0400) - Phase 12, the RDT&E funds will su						
1) I. '4'-4 II'-1 A14'4 1 F1 -4 - M4'- D 1 - (IIFMD) D1 D1						
1) Initiate High Altitude Electro-Magnetic Pulse (HEMP) Phone Development	t					
2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A						
<ul><li>2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A</li><li>3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develo</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A</li> <li>3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develo</li> <li>Project Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)</li> </ul>						
<ul><li>2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A</li><li>3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develo</li></ul>	pment	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	717.945	(dollars in	millions)
<ul> <li>2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A</li> <li>3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develoroject Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)</li> </ul>	pment	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	717.945	(dollars in	millions)
<ul> <li>2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A</li> <li>3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develoged Project Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)</li> <li>Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date: Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition Activity Name</li> </ul>	pment 2017-09-30	Planned Live	·	717.945	(dollars in Total (	·
<ul> <li>2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A</li> <li>3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develoged roject Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)</li> <li>Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date: Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition</li> </ul>	pment 2017-09-30		·		·	·
<ul> <li>2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A</li> <li>3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develograted Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)</li> <li>Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date: Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition Activity Name</li> </ul>	pment 2017-09-30 Start Planned:	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
<ul> <li>2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A</li> <li>3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develoged Project Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)</li> <li>Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date: Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition Activity Name</li> </ul>	pment 2017-09-30 Start Planned:	t Date 2009-11-02	Comple Planned:	etion Date 2011-10-15	Total (	Costs 4.160
2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A 3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develoroject Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)  Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date:  Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition  Activity Name  Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN)/DSS-2A (FY10.09)	pment 2017-09-30 Start Planned: Projected: Actual:	t Date 2009-11-02 2009-11-02 2009-10-15	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs 4.160 4.159
2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A 3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develor Project Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)  Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date:  Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition  Activity Name  Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN)/DSS-2A (FY10.09)  Description  Phase II software and patch release for the DRSN DSS-2A switches. Currently Activity Name	pment  2017-09-30  Start  Planned:  Projected:  Actual:  y in final testing at	t Date 2009-11-02 2009-11-02 2009-10-15	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual: SN Test Bed. L	2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs  4.160 4.159 4.159
2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A 3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) developed to Project Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)  Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date: Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition Activity Name Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN)/DSS-2A (FY10.09)  Description Phase II software and patch release for the DRSN DSS-2A switches. Currently	pment  2017-09-30  Start  Planned:  Projected:  Actual:  y in final testing at	2009-11-02 2009-11-02 2009-10-15 DISA JTIC DR	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual: SN Test Bed. L	2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 egacy of Mileston	Planned: Projected: Actual: ne #0595-1005.	Costs  4.160 4.159 4.159
2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A 3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develor roject Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)  Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date:  Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition  Activity Name  Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN)/DSS-2A (FY10.09)  Description  Phase II software and patch release for the DRSN DSS-2A switches. Currently Activity Name	pment  2017-09-30  Start  Planned:  Projected:  Actual:  y in final testing at	2009-11-02 2009-11-02 2009-10-15 DISA JTIC DR t Date 2009-11-02	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual: SN Test Bed. L Comple	2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 egacy of Mileston	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: ne #0595-1005. Total (	Costs  4.160 4.159 4.159 Costs
2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A 3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develor Project Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)  Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date:  Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition  Activity Name  Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN)/DSS-2A (FY10.09)  Description  Phase II software and patch release for the DRSN DSS-2A switches. Currently Activity Name	pment  2017-09-30  Start  Planned:  Projected:  Actual:  y in final testing at  Start  Planned:	2009-11-02 2009-11-02 2009-10-15 DISA JTIC DR t Date 2009-11-02	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual: SN Test Bed. L Comple Planned:	2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 egacy of Mileston etion Date 2012-01-01	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: ne #0595-1005. Total ( Planned:	Costs  4.160 4.159 4.159  Costs  3.860
2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A 3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) developed Project Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)  Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date: Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition Activity Name Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN)/DSS-2A (FY10.09)  Description Phase II software and patch release for the DRSN DSS-2A switches. Currently Activity Name COMSEC KIV KG (FY10.05A)  Description	Planned: Y in final testing at Planned: Projected: Actual: Flanned: Planned: Projected: Actual:	2009-11-02 2009-10-15 2019-10-15 DISA JTIC DR t Date 2009-11-02 2009-11-02 2009-10-15	Planned: Projected: Actual: SN Test Bed. L Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 egacy of Mileston etion Date 2012-01-01 2012-03-31	Planned: Projected: Actual: ne #0595-1005.  Total (  Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs  4.160 4.159 4.159  Costs  3.860 3.860 0.000
2) Continue activities to IP-enable DSS-2A 3) Initiate National Conferencing Management Improvements (NCMI) develor Project Name: DISN Technology Refreshment (LETR/0300)  Planned Start Date: 2007-11-01 Planned Completion Date: Description: DISN Tech Refresh Definition  Activity Name  Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN)/DSS-2A (FY10.09)  Description  Phase II software and patch release for the DRSN DSS-2A switches. Currently Activity Name  COMSEC KIV KG (FY10.05A)	Planned: Projected: Actual: y in final testing at Planned: Projected: Actual:  Planned: Projected: Actual:	2009-11-02 2009-10-15 DISA JTIC DR t Date 2009-11-02 2009-11-02 2009-10-15 MSEC equipment	Planned: Projected: Actual: SN Test Bed. L Comple Planned: Projected: Actual: t. Includes circu	2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 2011-10-15 egacy of Mileston 2012-01-01 2012-03-31 it upgrades and no	Planned: Projected: Actual: ne #0595-1005.  Total (  Planned: Projected: Actual: ew access lines, pa	Costs  4.160 4.159 4.159 4.159  Costs  3.860 0.000 articularly

ilestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
DISN OSS/Element Management Systems (EMS) Consolidation Client	Planned:	2009-11-02	Planned:	2012-11-15	Planned:	0.800
Access - Phase 1	Projected:	2009-11-02	Projected:	2012-11-15	Projected:	0.800
Description	Actual:	2009-10-15	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This activity establishes DCN connections and Thin Client access to the DISN cites being addressed in Phase 2. Legacy of Milestone #0595-1005.	OSS at three of s	ix locations. The	e CONUS remo	ote sites are in Pha	se 1, with the rema	aining three
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
DISN Real Time Services/Unified Capabilities (RTS/UC) Spiral 1	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2011-07-31	Planned:	4.800
	Projected:	2010-11-01	Projected:	2011-09-15	Projected:	4.800
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Deployment of MFSS at sites in EUCOM and PACOM to route voice traffic ov Hawaii and South West Asia (SWA). Legacy of Milestone #0595-1005.	er IP and enable	point-to-point vi	deo over IP. Ir	ncludes contract av	wards to support M	IFSS installs
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
DISN Real Time Services/Unified Capabilities (RTS/UC) Spiral 2A - Phase	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	13.000
11	Projected:	2010-11-01	Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	13.000
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:	2012 0.50	Actual:	0.000
Planning and implementation of RTS/UC Sprial 2 capabilities, including assure of DoD networks from Internet telephony (ITSP) risks. Legacy of Milestone #C Activity Name	)595-1006.	e and video; assu t <b>Date</b>		etion Date	s, including Mobili  Total (	
G-Root Technical Refreshment/Upgrade - Spiral 1&2	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	0.640
	Projected:	2010-11-01	Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	0.640
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:			0.000
		2010-11-03	Actual.		Actual:	0.000
Acquisition, engineering, installation and test acceptance of G-Root single and with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor	dual server suites			ded sites are in Co		
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor	dual server suites ne #0595-1006.		globally. Inclu	ided sites are in Co		and PACOM,
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor <b>Activity Name</b>	dual server suites ne #0595-1006.	s at six locations	globally. Inclu		ONUS, EUCOM, a	and PACOM,
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor Activity Name DISN OSS/Multi-Protocol Labeling Switching (MPLS) Network Probes -	dual server suites ne #0595-1006. <b>Start</b>	s at six locations	globally. Inclu	etion Date	ONUS, EUCOM, a	and PACOM,
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor Activity Name DISN OSS/Multi-Protocol Labeling Switching (MPLS) Network Probes -	dual server suites ne #0595-1006. Start Planned:	s at six locations  t Date  2010-11-01	globally. Inclu  Compl  Planned:	etion Date 2012-05-01	ONUS, EUCOM, a  Total (  Planned:	and PACOM,  Costs  1.655
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor  Activity Name  DISN OSS/Multi-Protocol Labeling Switching (MPLS) Network Probes - Phase 1 (FY11.14)  Description  Deployment of performance monitoring probes to moniter DISN MPLS network	dual server suites ne #0595-1006. Start Planned: Projected: Actual:	s at six locations  t <b>Date</b> 2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05	globally. Inclu  Compl  Planned:  Projected:  Actual:	2012-05-01 2012-05-01	ONUS, EUCOM, a  Total (  Planned:  Projected:  Actual:	nnd PACOM, Costs 1.655 1.655 0.000
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor  Activity Name  DISN OSS/Multi-Protocol Labeling Switching (MPLS) Network Probes - Phase 1 (FY11.14)  Description	dual server suites ne #0595-1006.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: k infrastructure p	s at six locations  t <b>Date</b> 2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: ve sites in CON	2012-05-01 2012-05-01	ONUS, EUCOM, a  Total (  Planned:  Projected:  Actual:	Costs 1.655 1.655 0.000 1), and
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor Activity Name  DISN OSS/Multi-Protocol Labeling Switching (MPLS) Network Probes - Phase 1 (FY11.14)  Description  Deployment of performance monitoring probes to moniter DISN MPLS network PACOM (1). Legacy of Milestone #0595-1006.  Activity Name	dual server suites ne #0595-1006.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: k infrastructure p	t <b>Date</b> 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 performance at fire	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: ve sites in CON	2012-05-01 2012-05-01 IUS (2), EUCOM	ONUS, EUCOM, a  Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: (1), CENTCOM (	Costs 1.655 1.655 0.000 1), and
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor Activity Name  DISN OSS/Multi-Protocol Labeling Switching (MPLS) Network Probes - Phase 1 (FY11.14)  Description  Deployment of performance monitoring probes to moniter DISN MPLS network PACOM (1). Legacy of Milestone #0595-1006.  Activity Name	dual server suites ne #0595-1006. Start Planned: Projected: Actual: k infrastructure p	t Date  2010-11-01 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 2010-11-05	Comples Planned: Projected: Actual: ve sites in CON	2012-05-01 2012-05-01 2012-05-01 IUS (2), EUCOM etion Date	ONUS, EUCOM, a  Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: (1), CENTCOM (  Total (	Costs  1.655 1.655 0.000 1), and Costs
with the relocation to European IAP covered under Spiral 3. Legacy of Milestor Activity Name  DISN OSS/Multi-Protocol Labeling Switching (MPLS) Network Probes - Phase 1 (FY11.14)  Description  Deployment of performance monitoring probes to moniter DISN MPLS network PACOM (1). Legacy of Milestone #0595-1006.	dual server suites ne #0595-1006.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: k infrastructure p  Start  Planned:	t Date 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 performance at fit t Date 2010-11-01	Complement of the planned: Projected: Actual: ve sites in CON  Complement of the planned:	2012-05-01 2012-05-01 IUS (2), EUCOM etion Date 2012-04-30	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: (1), CENTCOM (  Total (  Planned:	Costs  1.655 1.655 0.000 1), and Costs 17.500

ilestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
COMSEC KIV KG (FY11.05A)	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-03-31	Planned:	4.180
	Projected:	2010-11-01	Projected:	2012-03-31	Projected:	4.180
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Acquisition, site surveys, engineering, installation and test acceptance of EOL r supporting SIPRNet users. Includes parts of the COMSEC replacement activitie activity is a finishing tail of Milestone ID # 0595-1006	s for 154 KIV-7	Ms, 28 DS3 mod				
Activity Name		t Date	-	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Multi-Funtion Switch (MFS) to Multi-Function Soft Switch (MFSS) Upgrade	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-01-15	Planned:	4.900
(FY11.06)	Projected:	2010-11-01	Projected:	2012-01-15	Projected:	4.900
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
of the MFS-to-MFSS upgrades were FY08.06 in Milestone # 0595-1003; FY09.	06 in Milestone	# 0595-1004;and	l FY10.06 in M	ilestone # 0595-10	005.	
A 4 * 4 BT	C4	4 D 4	<i>a</i> 1	4* D 4	70 4 1 4	a .
Activity Name  South West Asia (SWA) Ontired Transmission Network (OTN) Teeh Refresh		rt Date		etion Date	Total	
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh -	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-06-30	Planned:	5.100
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11	Planned: Projected:	2010-11-01 2010-11-01	Planned: Projected:		Planned: Projected:	5.100 5.100
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2012-06-30 2012-06-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:	5.100 5.100 0.000
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site	2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 e and 3 non-DSS s	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area	Planned: Projected: Actual:	5.100 5.100 0.000
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone #6	2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 e and 3 non-DSS s	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area	Planned: Projected: Actual:	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR).
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se MILCON funding actions may impact schedule as currently planned. Legacy fre Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone #6	2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 and 3 non-DSS s 0595-1106. Lega	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area ± #0595-1006.	Planned: Projected: Actual: of Responsibility	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR).
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se MILCON funding actions may impact schedule as currently planned. Legacy from	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone #0 Star Planned:	2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 and 3 non-DSS s 0595-1106. Lega t Date 2010-11-01	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the acy of Milestone Compl Planned:	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area ± #0595-1006. etion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: of Responsibility	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR).
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se MILCON funding actions may impact schedule as currently planned. Legacy fre Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone #0 Star Planned:	2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 and 3 non-DSS s 0595-1106. Legart Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the ccy of Milestone Compl	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area & #0595-1006. etion Date 2012-03-30	Planned: Projected: Actual: of Responsibility  Total (  Planned:	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR). Costs
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se MILCON funding actions may impact schedule as currently planned. Legacy fre Activity Name  DISN Core Router Tech Refresh - FY11	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone # Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	2010-11-01 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the acy of Milestone Compl Planned: Projected: Actual:	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area & #0595-1006. etion Date 2012-03-30 2012-03-30	Planned: Projected: Actual: of Responsibility  Total (  Planned: Projected: Actual:	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR). Costs 18.000 18.000 0.000
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se MILCON funding actions may impact schedule as currently planned. Legacy fre Activity Name  DISN Core Router Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Replacement of EOL components of the P, C-PE, and U-PE routers within CON components. Potential issues with space and power associated with replacement	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone #6 Star Planned: Projected: Actual: IUS, PAC, and lof with T1600	2010-11-01 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 EUR. Replaces 22 router chassis. I	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the lety of Milestone Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: 28 CONUS condegacy of Miles	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area e #0595-1006. etion Date 2012-03-30 2012-03-30 inponents, 84 EUR tone #0595-1006.	Planned: Projected: Actual: of Responsibility  Total (  Planned: Projected: Actual: components, and	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR). Costs 18.000 18.000 0.000 74 PAC
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se MILCON funding actions may impact schedule as currently planned. Legacy fre Activity Name  DISN Core Router Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Replacement of EOL components of the P, C-PE, and U-PE routers within CON components. Potential issues with space and power associated with replacement Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone #6 Star Planned: Projected: Actual: IUS, PAC, and lof with T1600	2010-11-01 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 2010-11-05 2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 EUR. Replaces 22 router chassis. Let Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the lety of Milestone Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: 28 CONUS condegacy of Miles Compl	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area & #0595-1006. etion Date 2012-03-30 2012-03-30 inponents, 84 EUR tone #0595-1006. etion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: of Responsibility  Total (  Planned: Projected: Actual:	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR). Costs 18.000 18.000 0.000 74 PAC
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se MILCON funding actions may impact schedule as currently planned. Legacy fre Activity Name  DISN Core Router Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Replacement of EOL components of the P, C-PE, and U-PE routers within CON components. Potential issues with space and power associated with replacement	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone #6 Star Planned: Projected: Actual: IUS, PAC, and lof with T1600	2010-11-01 2010-11-05 e and 3 non-DSS s 0595-1106. Legant Date 2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 EUR. Replaces 22 router chassis. Let Date 2011-05-01	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the lety of Milestone Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: 28 CONUS condegacy of Miles	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area 2 #0595-1006. etion Date 2012-03-30 2012-03-30 etion etion bate 2012-03-30	Planned: Projected: Actual: of Responsibility  Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: components, and  Total ( Planned:	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR). Costs 18.000 18.000 0.000 74 PAC
SouthWest Asia (SWA) Optical Transmission Network (OTN) Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Installation/upgarde of 4 optical multiplexer systems at 1 DISN Subscription Se MILCON funding actions may impact schedule as currently planned. Legacy fre Activity Name  DISN Core Router Tech Refresh - FY11  Description Replacement of EOL components of the P, C-PE, and U-PE routers within CON components. Potential issues with space and power associated with replacement Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: rvice (DSS) site om Milestone #6 Star Planned: Projected: Actual: (US, PAC, and lof with T1600 Star	2010-11-01 2010-11-05 e and 3 non-DSS s 0595-1106. Legant Date 2010-11-01 2010-11-01 2010-11-05 EUR. Replaces 22 router chassis. Let Date 2011-05-01	Planned: Projected: Actual: sites within the lety of Milestone Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: 28 CONUS condegacy of Miles Compl	2012-06-30 2012-06-30 CENTCOM Area 2 #0595-1006. etion Date 2012-03-30 2012-03-30 ponents, 84 EUR tone #0595-1006. etion Date 2012-11-01	Planned: Projected: Actual: of Responsibility  Total (  Planned: Projected: Actual: components, and	5.100 5.100 0.000 (AOR). Costs 18.000 18.000 0.000 74 PAC

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
DISN Real Time Services/Unified Capabilities (RTS/UC) Spiral 2B - Phase	Planned:	2011-11-01	Planned:	2013-04-30	Planned:	18.600
12	Projected:	2011-11-01	Projected:	2013-04-30	Projected:	18.600
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Follow-on deployment of RTS/UC Sprial 2 capabilities, including assured of the following the state of the sta	classified voice and v	video; assured ar	nd non-assured U	JC Services, incl	uding Mobility: pro	otection of DoD
networks from Internet telephony (ITSP) risks.  Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
COMSEC KIV KG (FY12.05A)	Planned:	2011-11-01	Planned:	2013-03-31	Planned:	4.180
COMBLE RIVING (1 112.0011)		2011-11-01	Projected:	2013-03-31	Projected:	4.180
Description	Actual:	2011 11 01	Actual:	2010 00 01	Actual:	0.000
Acquisition, site surveys, engineering, installation and test acceptance of Eusupporting SIPRNet users. Includes parts of the COMSEC replacement activity is a part of the original Milestone ID # 0595-1007.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Wide-Area Network Soft Switch (WAN SS) (FY12.06)	Planned:	2011-11-01	Planned:	2013-04-30	Planned:	8.500
	Projected:	2011-11-01	Projected:	2013-04-30	Projected:	8.500
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This activity is the follow-on effort to the MFS-to-MFSS conversion effort.						
roject Name: ENHANCED PENTAGON CAPABILITY / SURVI	VABLE EMERG	ENCY CONF	ERENCING	NETWORK (	EPC/SECN) (LE	E4D/0300-010
Planned Start Date: 2008-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2017-09-30	Planned Live	e Cycle Cost:	16.011	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Enhanced Pentagon Capability (EPC)/Survivable Emer secure voice systems. They support senior leadership s protected secure voice conferencing switches. This protected terminals or space segments.	ecure voice confer ject supports the si	encing, using sustainment of t	survivable SAT he switching s	TCOM links (D ystems and inte	SCS/MILSTAR) erfaces, but not th	and HEMP e satcom
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
EPS/SECN - Phase 11	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	5.393
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	5.393
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Actions within EPS/SECN - Phase 11 include:  1) Site Survey for installations of SECN backup and switch replacement at  2) Site Survey for switch replacement at NMCC EPC/SECN site.  3) Engineering analysis and test support for improvements to UEN and Air	craft systems interfac	ces to EPC switc	hes including E	4B/E6 STE interf	face.	
<ul><li>4) Contract and award of tasks to implement SECN backup capability and r</li><li>5) Complete contract action for switch replacements at Site-R and NMCC.</li></ul>	management improve	ements at five SI	ECN sites. Instal	lations will comp	olete in FY2012	

Milestones	s <b>-</b> (	Cont	tinu	ed

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
EPC/SECN - Phase 12	Planned: 2011-11-01	Planned: 2013-04-30	Planned:	5.594
	Projected: 2011-11-01	Projected: 2013-04-30	Projected:	5.594
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual:	0.000

Actions within EPS/SECN - Phase 12 include:

- 1) Complete SECN Backup installation.
- 2) Complete three switch replacements
- 3) Continue support for EPC/SECN and UEN performance monitoring and improvements.
- 4) Begin SECN digitization to support future transition to PNVC (AEHF)

#### Project Name: JOINT WORLDWIDE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM (JWICS) (LE2M/0300)

Planned Start Date: 2008-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2017-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 112.442 (dollars in millions)

Description: JWICS is the Top Secret (TS) Special Compartmented Information (SCI) Wide Area Network that provides Video TeleConferencing (VTC), Voice

over Internet Protocol (VoIP) and data services to the DoD, the Intelligence Community (IC), Federal decision makers, warfighters and intelligence

analysts worldwide. JWICS is the network that ties all community SCI networks together into one common fabric.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs
JWICS - Phase 11	Planned: 2010-11	-01 Planned: 2012-04-30	Planned: 9.100
	Projected: 2010-11	-01 Projected: 2012-04-30	Projected: 9.100
Description	Actual: 2010-11	-05 Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Phase 11 of the JWICS transition icludes the following actions:

- 1) Procure, integrate and transition 60 JWICS nodes from ATM to IP
- 2) Deploy and integrate 3 JWICS core locations with WAN Optimizations
- 3) Optimize 13 JWICS Core locations by reducing the amount of physical interfaces required at each location
- 4) Reduce the JWICS DATMS footprint

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
JWICS - Phase 12	Planned: 2011-11-01	Planned: 2013-04-30	Planned: 9.000	
	Projected: 2011-11-01	Projected: 2013-04-30	Projected: 9.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

Phase 12 of the JWICS transition includes the following actions:

- 1) Procure, integrate and transition 60 additional JWICS nodes from ATM to IP
- 2) Implement WAN Optimizers at remaining JWICS Core sites
- 3) Implement additional VTC port capacity and High Definition VTC services across JWICS

#### Project Name: OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS (OSS) (LEMT/0400)

Planned Start Date: 2008-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2017-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 12.543 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Operational Support Systems provide operational and network operating systems that instrument and automate the operations, administration,

maintenance and provisioning functions creating a single DISN-wide view for network managers and operators. Collectively, these systems are

known as the DISN Operational Support Systems (OSS).

Willestones Continued							
Activity Name	Start	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
OSS - Phase 11	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	1.317	
	Projected:	2010-11-01	Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	1.317	
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000	

OSS - Phase 11 provides the following capabilities:

Milestones - Continued

- 1) Provide standardized capability for all data sharing interfaces for network management data.
- 2) Implement a shared data model on service-oriented architecture for all DISN element management systems (EMS).
- 3) Develop out-of-the-box data translations for the pulling and pushing of data from Common Communications Vehicle (CCV).

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
OSS - Phase 12	Planned: 2011-11-01	Planned: 2013-04-30	Planned: 1.336
	Projected: 2011-11-01	Projected: 2013-04-30	Projected: 1.336
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

OSS - Phase 12 plans to provide the following capabilities:

- 1) Integration of network management for elements supporting DISN Real Time Services (RTS) and future DISN services.
- 2) Continue development of information sharing for all OSS systems with the objective of providing a unified view of all DISN services for network operators and DISN customers

#### Project Name: PRESIDENTIAL AND NATIONAL VOICE CONFERENCING (PNVC)(LENP/0400)

Planned Start Date: 2008-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2017-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 68.964 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Presidential and National Voice Conferencing (PNVC) is the AEHF survivable SATCOM voice conferencing (SSVC) system that provides a near toll-quality voice conferencing capability to the President and other senior national/military leaders anywhere in the world. PNVC activities include program management, system engineering, development, integration, installation, and testing of new baseband (audio-summing, cryptographic, and voice encoder/decoder) equipment.

Activity Name	Start Date	Complet	ion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
PNVC - Phase 11	Planned: 2010	-11-01 Planned:	2012-04-30 Plann	ned: 1.910
	Projected: 2010	-11-01 Projected:	2012-04-30 Proje	cted: 1.910
Description	Actual: 2010	-11-05 Actual:	Actua	al: 0.000

PNVC - Phase 11 plans the following actions with the RDT&E funding for this activity:

- 1) Update PNVC CPD
- 2) Complete MSD-III Subsystem Regmt Review
- 3) Start BIG Specification Refresh
- 4) Draft and complete AO-level review of PNVC CONOPS
- 5) Draft SECN-PNVC Transition Plan and conduct first meeting of Transition Planning Working Group

Whiestones - Continued				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
PNVC - Phase 12	Planned: 2011-11-	01 Planned: 2013-04-30	Planned: 4.345	
	Projected: 2011-11-	01 Projected: 2013-04-30	Projected: 4.345	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

PNVC - Phase 12 plans the following actions with the RDT&E funding for this activity:

- 1) Continue MSD-III development efforts through PDR
- 2) PNVC CONOPS to be signed

Milestones - Continued

- 3) Service Coordinated SECN-PNVC Transition Plan
- 4) Complete MSD-III Project Design Review (PDR)
- 5) Finalize BIG Specification
- 6) Complete Contract Planning activities for BIG with NSA projected Contract Award of Jan 2013.

#### Project Name: DEFENSE RED SWITCH NETWORK (DRSN) (LE4C/0400)

Planned Start Date: 2009-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2017-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 16.924 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Defense Red Switch Network provides Multi-Level secure voice calling and conferencing for DoD and other Federal Departments including gateway

interfaces to other secure voice systems. Secure Voice switches are special purpose government only systems, not commercial products.

Activity Name	Start Date		Completion Date		Total Costs	
DRSN - Phase 11	Planned:	2010-11-01	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	1.870
	Projected:	2010-11-01	Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	1.870
Description	Actual:	2010-11-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

DRSN - Phase 11 continues project to modify the EADS Ectocryp Black COMSEC device for use with the DRSN switches as a replacement for the STE-R based channel encryption unit. Worked in coordination with NSA for a US-approved version. Demonstrated Ectocryp successfully during the Coalition Warfare Interoperability Demonstration in May 2011.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
DRSN - Phase 12	Planned: 2011-11-01	Planned: 2013-04-30	Planned: 1.928
	Projected: 2011-11-01	Projected: 2013-04-30	Projected: 1.928
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

DRSN - Phase 12 to continue with the following actions:

- 1) Completion of the development and testing of the Ectocryp as a replacement for the STE based CEU.
- 2) Development of requirements and ECP for development of replacement of Dual Narrowband Interface card for the DRSN DSS-2A switch.
- 3) Development of requirements and ECP for update of user interface software used in switch Command consoles.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The primary customers of the DISN investment are the supported Business Mission Area (BMA), Warfighting Mission Area (WMA), and Defense Intelligence Mission Area (DIMA) within Department of Defense (DoD), including the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), the Joint Staff (JS), DoD Combatant Commanders (COCOMs), the Military Departments, the Defense Agencies, the Intelligence Community and the Warfighter, as well as our mission Allies. The services, which include

mobile and strategic voice, video, data, and organizational messaging capabilities, are provided by the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) as stated and delineated by the varied customers.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

While the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) is the ultimate DoD stakeholder, the DISN customer base is the real stakeholder. The information technology (IT) services and support provided to the customers are their generated requirements, vetted through their Mission Area sponsors within the Department and their Military Departments or Defense Agencies, and approved by OSD for development, engineering, implementation, execution, operation and sustainment.

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

DISN consolidated worldwide telecommunications capability provides secure, end-to-end information transport for DoD operations using the following funds in FY2013:

- Operations & Maintenance (O&M), Defense-Wide \$168.59M will meet the sustainment of commercial circuits, commercial satellites and Special Communication requirements, enabling DISN to deliver an integrated platform of transport bandwidth, computing, and information services on DoD's Internet Protocol (IP) networks and providing command and control (C2) capabilities in support of emerging joint operations. Commercial circuit funding provides non-recurring costs to transition circuits from expiring contracts to other DISN support assets. Military and Commercial Satellite funding provides for SATCOM systems engineering; provides for operations, engineering, sustainment, technical support, and contract support service for the Defense Satellite Communications System. Special Communication Requirements fund the lifecycle support for the Enhanced Pentagon Capability/Survivable Emergency Conferencing Network (EPC/SECN) switch system that supports the survivable Nuclear Command and Control Voice System for the National Command Authority.
- O&M, Defense Working Capital Fund (O&M, DWCF) \$1,778.894M will continue sustainment of the infrastructure supporting the DISN Subscription Services and DISN Reimbursable Programs. The DSS consists of global offerings that support information transport, real-time services such as voice video, data, and interoperability, organizational messaging, mission assurance through Information Assurance activities such as hardening, secure configuration management, and Enterprise Infrastructure Network Management. The Reimbursable activities include the Joint Hawaii Information Transfer System (JHITS), serving government facilities within Hawaii; Satellite Services including commercial satcom leases, and the Enhance Mobile Satellite Services (EMSS) providing secured global voice and data services; Special Programs such as Bosnia and Kosovo communications, Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) support, the DoD Continuity of Operations Integrated Network, as well as specific customer funded projects.
- Procurement, DW \$116.906M supports Technology Refreshment (TR); Joint Worldwide Intelligence Communications System (JWICS); and the Enhanced Pentagon Capability (EPC)/Survivable Emergency Conferencing Network (SECN). The focus of DISN investment funds is to ensure that the network remains up-to-date and capable, while optimizing and leveraging the DISN Core and extensions, continuing to address end-of-life (EOL) equipment issues and the transition to an Internet Protocol (IP) based architecture for Transport, Voice, Video, and Data Services. TR, JWICS, EPC/SECN, and PNVC projects will all address and continue the replacement/technology refreshment of EOL backbone equipment and software which includes replacement of legacy ATM, Promina, select cryptographic equipment.
- DWCF Capital Authority (CA, DWCF) \$18.830M funding will support the continued upgrade and End-of-Life Tech Refreshment of the EMSS gateway and signal-accessing capabilities, increased IP-based access for DoD users to Mobile Satellite Services (MSS), continued enhancements to the Distributed Tactical Communications System (DTCS) increasing user access and supported applications.
- Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E), DW \$26.164M funding focuses on two areas, DISN Engineering Services (DSE) and the Presidential and National Voice Conferencing system (PNVC). DSE provides engineering for Internet Protocol (IP) and Optical transport capabilities to ensure essential operations and refreshment of network operating systems that automate the operations, administration, maintenance and provisioning functions. PNVC funds support acquisition activities

of baseband equipment, including engineering to develop new vocoder, cryptographic and audio-summing equipment.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

The Defense Information Systems Network (DISN) is the Department of Defense (DoD) consolidated worldwide telecommunications capability that provides secure, end-to-end information transport for DoD operations.

- For FY14:\$2,056.423M
- RDT&E, \$21.694M DW will primarily support Presidential and National Voice Conferencing (PNVC) developmental efforts and interfaces to Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN), improving Presidential and senior leadership communication capabilities.
- Procurement, \$124.202M DW will support DISN Technology Refreshment related primarily to End-Of-Life (EOL) actions and convergence to an all-IP environment, improving bandwidth and reducing user costs; JWICS conversion and sustainment from an ATM to IP network avoiding technological obsolescence.
- O&M, \$80.095M DW will support DISN global sustainment, maintaining high system availability and functionality.
- O&M, Defense WCF \$1,823.358M funding will sustain global DISN transport services (equipment and bandwidth), voice services, video services, data services, organizational messaging services, operational support services, and DISN system engineering assets.
- Capital Authority (CA), \$7.074M Defense WCF funding will provide SATCOM Service Enhancements including a commercial satellite capital lease and earth terminal upgrades which will provide more robust war fighter support.
- For FY15:
- RDT&E, DW funding will support Continued PNVC developmental efforts.
- Procurement, DW funding will provide continued DISN Systems Engineering and Tech Refresh related to End-Of-Life (EOL) actions and implementation of IP-Convergence, continuing to improve bandwidth and reduce user costs.
- O&M, DW funding will continue supporting DISN global sustainment to maintain high system availability and functionality.
- O&M, DWCF funding will sustain DISN transport services, voice services, video services, data services, messaging services, operational support services, and system engineering assets.
- CA, DWCF funding will start efforts for certain capital lease/Indefeasible Right of Use (IRU) replacements.
- For FY16:
- RDT&E, DW funding will carry PNVC to its Initial Operations Capability (IOC), providing robust survivable capabilities to senior leadership and decision makers.
- Procurement, DW funding will continue DISN Systems Engineering and DISN Tech Refresh related to End-Of-Life (EOL) actions and IP-Convergence implementation.
- O&M, DW funding will provide DISN global sustainment to maintain high system availability and functionality.
- O&M, DWCF funding will sustain DISN transport services, voice services, video services, data services, messaging services, operational support services, and system engineering assets.
- CA, DWCF funding will support certain capital lease/IRU replacements.
- For FY17:
- RDT&E, DW funding will continue PNVC development activities and modernization.
- Procurement, DW funding will continue to provide DISN Systems Engineering and DISN Tech Refresh related to End-Of-Life (EOL) actions and the conclusion of IP-Convergence.
- O&M, DW funding will support: DISN global sustainment to maintain high system availability and functionality.
- O&M, DWCF funding will sustain DISN transport services, voice services, video services, data services, messaging services, operational support services, and system engineering assets.

CA, DWCF funding will support continued capital lease/IRU replacements.				

Page left intentionally blank

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0613	Acronym	DMLSS		
Name of Investment	DEFENSE ME	DICAL LOGI	STICS STANDARD SUPPOR	RT	
Lead Agent	TRICARE MA	NAGEMENT	ACTIVITY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	HEALTH			GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Defense Medical Logistics Standard Support (DMLSS) program provides the Military Medical Departments (Army, Navy, and Air Force MilDeps) one standard Department of Defense (DoD) medical logistics system. The DMLSS suite of applications provides the healthcare driven capability to support the medical logistics needs of the DoD community for critical medical commodities - pharmaceuticals and medical/surgical supplies across the continuum of care from the battlefield to tertiary care at a major DoD medical center. This capability is enabled by the partnership of the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Defense Supply Center Philadelphia and the Military Health System providing an industry to practitioner supply chain for the medical commodity. The DMLSS Defense Logistics Agency Wholesale (DMLSS-W) applications are funded by Defense Logistics Agency while the garrison medical treatment facilities and theater applications are funded by the Defense Health Program. The current DMLSS system provides full spectrum capability for medical logistics management. Basic functionality includes stock control, Prime Vendor operations, preparation of procurement documents, research and price comparison for products, property accounting, biomedical maintenance operations, capital equipment, property management, inventory, and a facility management application that supports the operations of a fixed medical treatment facility physical plant and supports Joint Commission on the Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations' (JCAHO) accreditation requirements. DMLSS, in coordination with the Theater Medical Information Program – Joint (TMIP-J), is providing to the Services and the Combatant Commanders the functional logistics capabilities necessary to rapidly project and sustain joint medical capabilities for medical logistics management of theater medical materiel operations. Current products deployed to the theater include the DMLSS Customer Assistance Module (DCAM), a medical logistics ordering tool that allows users to view their supplier'

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	53,330	55,239	44,626	48,976
DEF HLTH PROG				
0605013HP 02-RDT&E	12,018	7,268	4,272	7,126
0807721HP 03-Procurement	509	5,592	0	0
0807781HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	2,765	882	908	935
0807793HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	28,918	30,894	28,914	30,353
DEF HLTH PROG Total	44,210	44,636	34,094	38,414
DWCF				
WCF, Defense				
0708203DS 20-N/A	9,120	10,603	10,532	10,562
DWCF Total	9,120	10,603	10,532	10,562

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	67.988	72.076	
FY 2013 President's Budget	55.239	44.626	-10.61
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-27.450	
<u> </u>	•		•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Adjustments to the DMLSS initiative between the FY 2012 President's Budget (PB) and the FY 2013 PB are predominately associated:

- Breaking out two initiatives previously reported under DMLSS for increased visibility and accountability. Funding for Theater Enterprise Wide Medical Logistics System (TEWLS) and Patient Movement Item Tracking System (PMITS), to include O&M and RDT&E, were previously reported under DMLSS in FY 2012 but are being reported as their own separate initiatives in FY 2013 PB.
- Moving a scheduled hardware refresh planned to be accomplished with FY 2013 procurement funds to FY 2012 funds during the latest portfolio review.
- Transitioning funding for medical logistics support in theater to the Theater Medical Information Program Joint (TMIP-J) initiative.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Change between FY 2012 and FY 2013 is associated with:

- Departmentally directed management efficiencies thereby reducing the need for O&M.
- Funding was programmed and executed using FY 2012 procurement funding for developing Equipment and Maintenance Business Intelligence/Decision Support (BI/DS) development with RDT&E.
- $FY\ 2012$  Procurement funding was used for the phased hardware refresh.

### **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Conversion of Army sites from the Army Standard Finance System (STANFINS) to General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS).

Completed custom conversion and approval processes for Joint Task Force National Capital Region Medical (JTFCapMed).

Began development of Controlled Substance Ordering System (CSOS) used to manage controlled substances.

Began development of Equipment and Equipment Maintenance Business Intelligence/Decision Support (BI/DS).

Completed Phase 2 of support to the Theater Medical Logistics (MEDLOG) Common Operating Picture by delivering a net-centric web service of Defense Medical Logistics inventories.

Sustained, maintained and supported the DMLSS applications. This included program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, Defense Information Security Agency (DISA) computing support, Testing & Evaluation, and Department of Defense Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation (DIACAP) support

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Incorporate enterprise catalog data to support Business Intelligence/Decision Support (BI/DS) - providing enterprise reference data incorporated into the Joint Medical Asset Repository (JMAR) database to support enterprise catalog data construct enabled across the Defense Medical Logistics operational enterprise systems.

Complete development of Equipment and Equipment Maintenance BI/DS.

Field Controlled Substance Ordering System, which will allow end-users to use electronic commerce to securely order controlled substances and other pharmaceuticals.

Start development on functionality that will provide the capability for forward deployed units to logistically manage medical products from the Medical Master Catalog (MMC) to the tactical level.

Modernize the current messaging transaction infrastructure to support e-commerce external vendor systems.

Sustain, maintain and support the DMLSS applications. This includes program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, DISA support and DIACAP support.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Provide situational awareness capability for a medical logistics common operating picture and exporting of that data to the Medical Situational Awareness Tool (MSAT).

Provide enterprise master catalog data - real time catalog data for the management and control of supply chain operations and critical items.

Provide Enterprise View of total Maintenance History for Patient Movement Items (PMI) Equipment to include In-theater Maintenance as well as Owning Facility Maintenance and Theater visibility for scheduled maintenance required on deployed PMI equipment.

Sustain, maintain and support the DMLSS applications. This includes program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, DISA support and DIACAP support.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Design/Convert Dashboards to be Web Services for Remote portlet compliant to facilitate tailored user interfaces directly with any joint system reporting response

capability based on Class VIII inventory.

# **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

Component

TRICARE Management Activity

**Acquisition** 

Component Acquisition Executive (CAE),TMA

**Program Management** 

**COL Christopher Harrington** 

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

### **Contract Information**

Name: Akimeka
City/State: Honolulu, HI

Supported Development/Sustainment of Joint Medical Asset Repository &J oint Medical Logistics Readiness Tool

Function:

Name: CACI
City/State: Chantilly, VA

Supported Development and Sustaiment DMLSS

Function:

Name: CACI
City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** E-Commerce support

Function:

Name: CACI City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** Functional Sustainment

Function:

Name: CACI
City/State: Chantilly, VA

Contracts -	Continued
Supported	Sustainment ELCM DMLSS
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Deloitte
City/State:	Alexandria, VA
Supported	Development
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Evolvent
City/State:	
Supported	Hardware and Software technical and operation support
Function:	OFNIED AL DVALANGO CORDOD ATION
Name:	GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION
City/State:	Fairfax, VA
Supported Function:	Program management suppor
	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Name: City/State:	
Supported	Development Technical Support
Function:	Development Teenment Support
Name:	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
City/State:	Herndon, VA
Supported	DMLSS module Engineering Lifecycle Management (ELCM)
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Supported	Software Engineering Support
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	HP Enterprise Service
City/State:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Supported	Development and sustainment
Function:	
Name:	HP Enterprise Services
City/State:	Herndon, VA

**Contracts - Continued** 

Supported DMLSS modules' Systems Software Engineering

Function:

Name: MGT and Tech Solutions

City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported Life Cycle Management, Business Process Reengineering, Acquisition and Functional Support

Function:

Name: PSI

City/State: Columbia, MD

Supported Development and Sustainment of JMAR/JMLRT

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name:	DMLSS N	Iodules							
Planned Start	t Date: 20	011-12-01	Planned Completion Date:	2013-05-31	Planned Liv	e Cycle Cost:	24.880	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b>	DMLSS M	Iodule: Deve	lopment, integration and upgrade	es					
<b>Activity Name</b>				Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Medical Master	Catalog (MN	MC) Developm	nent across the Enterprise	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	2013-05-31	Planned:	16.109
				Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	2013-05-31	Projected:	16.109
Description				Actual:	2011-12-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Start develop the tactical le		ctionality that v	will provide the capability for forward	rd deployed units to	logistically ma	nage medical pro	oducts from the I	Medical Master Cata	alog (MMC) to
<b>Activity Name</b>				Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Controlled Subs	tance Orderi	ng System (CS	SOS)	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	2013-05-31	Planned:	1.175
				Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	2013-05-31	Projected:	1.175
Description				Actual:	2011-12-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Integration ar	nd field Enter	rprise-wide Co	ntrolled Substance Ordering System	into DMLSS. Abil	lity to order Co	ntrolled Substanc	ees		
<b>Activity Name</b>				Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Common User I	Database (CU	JD): Enhanced	task-time-treater data	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	2013-05-31	Planned:	1.900
				Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	2013-05-31	Projected:	1.900
Description				Actual:	2011-12-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Develop Task	x-Time-Treat	er Prototype							

Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
DMLSS Customer Assistance Module (DCAM)	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	2013-05-31	Planned:	1.991
	Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	2013-05-31	Projected:	1.991
Description	Actual:	2011-12-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Upgrade Theater component of DMLSS to utilize Medical Master Catal	og. MMC integrated into	DMLSS theate	er component			
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
e-Commerce: Modernized messaging transaction infrastructure	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	2013-05-31	Planned:	3.704
	Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	2013-05-31	Projected:	3.704
		2011-12-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

DMLSS customers include medical logisticians; persons responsible for purchasing pharmaceuticals, medical/surgical items and equipment; materiel managers, handlers and warehouse personnel; biomedical engineering personnel; facilities managers; equipment maintenance personnel; combat developers, readiness planners and integrated medical logistics managers at medical field operating agencies, joint commands and Service staffs; planners and health care providers and staff at 175 Army, Navy, and Air Force military treatment facilities and associated clinics worldwide. DMLSS capabilities are used to support over 9 million members of the Military Health System (MHS). Other customers include Prime Vendors who supply pharmaceutical and medical / surgical supply items to the DoD military treatment facilities.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The DMLSS Program, co-sponsored by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) (ASD)(HA)) and the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Logistics and Materiel Readiness), is a unique partnership engaging the wholesale medical logistics, medical information management, medical information technology, and user communities. The Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) OASD(HA), in coordination with the Military Departments (MilDep) Surgeons General, provide overall program oversight, with direct oversight by the MilDep Deputy Surgeons General; Director, Medical Readiness (Joint Staff); and the MHS Chief Information Officer (CIO). The Chiefs of Medical Logistics for each of the MilDeps, reporting to their respective Deputy Surgeons General, join with medical logisticians in the DLA and DoD(HA) to form the Medical Logistics Proponent Committee (MLPC). The MLPC provides input on functional oversight, serves in a board of directors' role, and meets quarterly to advise the DMLSS program.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

O&M will be used to sustain, maintain and support the DMLSS applications. This includes program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, Defense Information Security Agency (DISA) computing support, Testing & Evaluation and Security Accreditation (DIACAP).

RDT&E will be used to provide: 1) Situational awareness capability for a medical logistics common operating picture and exporting of that data to the Medical Situational

Awareness Tool (MSAT); 2) Enterprise master catalog data - real time catalog data for the management and control of supply chain operations and critical items; and 3) Enterprise View of total Maintenance History for Patient Movement Items (PMI) Equipment to include In-theater Maintenance as well as Owning Facility Maintenance and Theater visibility for scheduled maintenance required on deployed PMI equipment.

#### Description of what the outvear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Sustain, maintain and support the DMLSS applications with O&M FY 2014 - FY 2017. This includes program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, Defense Information Security Agency (DISA) computing support, Testing & Evaluation and Security Accreditation (DIACAP). In FY 2014, RDT&E will be used to design/convert dashboards to be web services and remote portlet compliant to facilitate tailored user interfaces directly with any joint system reporting response capability based on Class VIII inventory.

Page left intentionally blank

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0615	Acronym	DMS		
Name of Investment	DEFENSE ME	ESSAGE SYST	EM		
Lead Agent	DEFENSE INI	FORMATION	SYSTEMS AGENCY		
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	& CONTROL		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Defense Message System (DMS) is DoD's system of record for the secure and guaranteed exchange of official information and other data contained within organizational messages between DoD and non-DoD activities, Allies, and the intelligence community. Official information is directive in nature, commits resources, makes formal requests, and/or provides a command position. Organizational messaging supports the garrison and tactical environments, providing command and control (C2), combat support, and other functional activities. The transmission and the internal distribution of DMS signed and encrypted messages require electronic approval by designated command officials. A DMS message is auditable and traceable, with assured delivery. Confidentiality is achieved through the use of mandatory and discretionary access control protections. The DMS is based upon commercial off-the-shelf technology and exceeds established performance metrics, e.g., 98%+ system availability and guaranteed delivery. It is the Defense Information System Network (DISN) Clinger-Cohen compliant messaging service identified in the Agency's target architecture. The DMS replaced the outdated and resource intensive Automatic Digital Network (AUTODIN) as directed by Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence (OASD C31), Policy Memo 3-8460-04399, subject: Enterprise-Wide Messaging, 23 Apr 99. DISA performs the day-to-day operational sustainment and system maintenance of the backbone. The Assistant Secretary of Defense (ASD) Networks and Information Integration (NII) memorandum dated 16 May 2005 declared DMS to be in sustainment phase through 2012. The Services and agencies were directed to plan and budget for DMS sustainment until the program's end of life. Beginning in FY 2013, the Services'/Agencies'/COCOMs' requirements currently fulfilled by DMS will be provided by the DISN Organizational Messaging Service.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	99,638	90,738	0	0
DWCF		·		
WCF, Defense				
0303155DK 17R-N/A	46,893	51,827	0	0
0901527DBD 17R-N/A	1,333	1,610	0	0
WCF, Navy				
0605010DN 20-N/A	848	719	0	0
0708211DN 20-N/A	337	337	0	C
DWCF Total	49,411	54,493	0	0
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, Navy				
0303129N 06-N/A	10,410	11,475	0	0
MILPERS Total	10,410	11,475	0	C
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0303129K 04-Defense Information Systems Agency	10,079	0	0	0
O&M, MC				
0206628M 01-Field Logistics	870	1,484	0	0
0708012M 01-Field Logistics	3,652	3,000	0	0
O&M, Navy				
0208550N 01-Base Operating Support	1,108	1,117	0	0
0303113N 04-Servicewide Communications	10,476	5,847	0	0
0303129N 04-Servicewide Communications	8,092	6,902	0	0
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	538	0	0	0
0708012N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	510	0	0
0708020N 01-Ship Depot Operations Support	600	0	0	0
070002011 01-5111p Depot Operations Support	35,415	18,860	0	0

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>
0101315N 02-NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS	0	664	0	0
0303129N 02-NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS	3,220	1,754	0	0
Procurement, MC				
0206313M 04-COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	23	2,200	0	0
0206313M 04-COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	697	769	0	0
Procurement Total	3,940	5,387	0	0
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Navy				
0605013M 05- Marine Corps IT	462	523	0	0
RDT&E Total	462	523	0	0

# **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	107.506	19.143	
FY 2013 President's Budget	90.738	0.000	-90.74
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-19.143	
'			-

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

From the FY 2012 PB Submit to the FY 2013 PB Submit:

FY 2012 FY 2013 \$Change % Change 19,143 0 -19,143 -100%

Decrease in funding from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is the result of the following:

DWCF: \$ 3.258M Decrease (17%)

DFAS decrease in the amount of -\$1.676M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012. NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$1.582M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

O&M: \$8.824M Decrease (46%)

AIR FORCE decrease in the amount of -\$5.046M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012. NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$3.778M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

PROC: \$.494M Decrease (2%)

NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$.494M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

MILPERS: \$6.061M Decrease (32%)

NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$6.061M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

RDT&E: \$.506M Decrease (3%)

NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$.506M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

From the FY 2012 PB Submit to the FY 2013 PB Submit:

FY 2012 FY 2013 \$Change % Change 90,738 0 -90,738 -100%

Decrease in funding from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is the result of the following:

DWCF: \$ 54.493M Decrease (60%)

DISA decrease in the amount of -\$51.827M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012. The resources to sustain "core messaging capabilities" transitioned to DISN in FY 2013 and beyond.

DFAS decrease in the amount of -\$1.610M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012. NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$1.056M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

O&M: \$18.860M Decrease (21%)

NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$18,860M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

PROC: \$5.387M Decrease (6%)

NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$5.387M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

MILPERS: \$11.475M Decrease (12%)

NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$11.475M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

RDT&E: \$.523M decrease (1%)

NAVY decrease in the amount of -\$.523M - DMS ends at the end of FY 2012.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

FY 2011 Accomplishments:

- Provided commercial refresh of operating systems to include initial support for Windows 2008 Server and ensured continued interoperability within the DMS user community; and added operationally driven usability improvements to improve system management
- Sustained Operational DMS: Procured necessary modifications/upgrades required to preclude technological obsolescence and meet evolving DoD security policies; continued life cycle support of Certificate Management Infrastructure (CMI) security products
- Completed testing and approval for fielding for DMS Release 3.1.5 capabilities and fixes
- Began testing and approval for fielding for DMS Release 3.1.6 capabilities and fixes

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments:

- Provide commercial refresh of operating systems and ensure continued interoperability within the DMS user community; and add operationally driven usability improvements to improve system management
- Sustain Operational DMS: Procure necessary modifications/upgrades required to preclude technological obsolescence and meet evolving DoD security policies; continue life cycle support of Certificate Management Infrastructure (CMI) security products
- Operationalize Network Operations Center Fort Detrick (NOC-D) as replacement for decommissioned NOC facilities in Europe and Pacific
- Implement Message Conversion System (MCS) Version 3.0.
- Complete testing and approval for fielding for DMS Release 3.1.6 capabilities and fixes
- Begin testing and approval for fielding for DMS Release 3.1.7 capabilities and fixes

Note: These planned accomplishments will all be applicable to/benefit the DISN Organizational Messaging Service.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

N/A

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

N/A

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

DISA/NS

#### Component

Defense Information Systems Agency

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

James L Travis, III DISA/NSP

### **Contract Information**

Name: APPTIS City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Contracts - Continued** 

Supported Cost Analysis and Program Planning & Analysis Support

Function:

Name: Computer Science Corporation

City/State: Chantilly, VA

Supported DMS National Gateway Center (NGC) Maintenance, Logistics and Closure Support

Function:

Name: DSA City/State: Fairfax, VA

Supported Software and Systems Engineering and Testing Support

Function:

Name: Electronic Data Systems Corp.

City/State: Plano, TX

**Supported** DMS/NGC Support System Software Maintenance and Operational Technical Support

**Function:** 

Name: Lockheed Martin
City/State: Manassas, VA
Supported DMS Sustainment

**Function:** 

Name: SAIC, Inc.
City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported DMS Network Operations Center (NOC) Support

Function:

Name: Telos Corporation
City/State: Ashburn, VA

Supported Automated Message Handling System Support via The Army Infrastructure Solutions I contract

**Function:** 

**Milestones/Schedules** Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

# **Customers for this Investment**

**Stakeholders for this Investment** 

# **Funding Accomplishments**

Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

N/A

Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

N/A

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1042	Acronym	DOEHRS-IH				
Name of Investment	DEFENSE OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH READINESS SYSTEM - INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE						
Lead Agent	TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY						
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE		
DoD Segment	HEALTH			GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS		

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Defense Occupational and Environmental Health Readiness System - Industrial Hygiene (DOEHRS-IH) is a comprehensive, automated information system that provides a single point for assembling, comparing, using, evaluating, and storing occupational personnel exposure information, workplace environmental monitoring data, personnel protective equipment usage data, observation of work practices data, and employee health hazard educational data. DOEHRS-IH will provide for the definition, collection and analysis platform to generate and maintain a Service Member's Longitudinal Exposure Record. DOEHRS-IH will describe the exposure assessment, identify similar exposure groups, establish a longitudinal exposure record baseline to facilitate post-deployment follow-up, and provide information to enable exposure-based medical surveillance and risk reduction.

### Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	17,246	18,803	16,400	17,114
DEF HLTH PROG				
0605013HP 02-RDT&E	462	8,795	8,451	8,685
0807721HP 03-Procurement	4,230	500	101	0
0807781HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	435	444	457	470
0807793HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	12,119	9,064	7,391	7,959
DEF HLTH PROG Total	17,246	18,803	16,400	17,114

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	25.640	7.846	
FY 2013 President's Budget	18.803	16.400	-2.40
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		8.554	
•			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Differences between the FY 2013 as presented in FY 2012 PB compared to FY 2013 PB are primarily due to:

- Due to departmentally directed management efficiencies in FY 2011, the Military Health System (MHS)Information Technology portfolio was reviewed in detail and revised. Due in part to the efficiencies review, MHS governance also looked at realigning some programs. Exposure Characterization configuration was one of the programs that was realigned.

Exposure Characterization configuration was originally budgeted in the FY 2012 President's Budget (PB) to begin in FY 2012. No funding for configuration was included in FY 2013 (FY2012 PB).

Based on a decision made by MHS governance, the Exposure Characterization configuration schedule was changed to begin in FY 2013. Funding in FY 2013 in the FY 2013 PB reflects this revised schedule.

What appears to be an increase in DOEHRS-IH is really a result of a change in the schedule for configuration of Exposure Characterization.

- Additionally, there was also programmed a small increase in O&M funding associated with the FY 2013 development Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Differences between the FY 2012 and the FY 2013 in the FY 2013 PB are primarily due to:

- Methodical review and prioritization of services and functionalities to comply with departmentally directed management efficiencies. Contractor supported program management was reduced which results in a decrease in funding requirements in FY 2013.

- Funds required in FY 2012 were not required to be programmed in FY 2013 since RDT&E activities associated with enhancements for Food and Water Safety Surveillance, improvements to data received from the Defense Manpower Data Center's Defense Enrollment Eligibility System, and design for supporting Environmental Health functionality in the Data Warehouse were completed.
- Additionally, procurement funds required in FY 2012 were not required to be programmed in FY 2013. Deployment of tablet computers to the Air Force and Navy Industrial Hygiene users and hardware refresh were budgeted and completed in FY 2012.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

Performed development and enhancements for Food and Water Safety Surveillance, improvements to data received from the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC)'s Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS); prototyping of the Exposure Characterization and Food and Water Safety Surveillance interfaces; and design for supporting Environmental Health functionality in the Data Warehouse.

Began deployment of tablet computers to the Air Force and Navy's Industrial Hygiene users.

Conducted system sustainment activities ensuring the system maintains its information assurance and security accreditations, including the Department of Defense (DoD) Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP) requirements; commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) software upgrades; system maintenance activities; required testing and evaluation activities; and Tier III Service Desk support of the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Begin configuration of enhancements for Food and Water Safety Surveillance in the web application, mobile application, and data warehouse and improvements to data received from the Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC)'s Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS); prototyping of the Exposure Characterization and Food and Water Safety Surveillance interfaces; and design for supporting Environmental Health functionality in the Data Warehouse.

Continue deployment of tablet computers to the Air Force and Navy's Industrial Hygiene users.

Perform system sustainment activities ensuring the system maintains its information assurance and security accreditations, including the Department of Defense (DoD) Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP) requirements; commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) software upgrades; system maintenance activities; required testing and evaluation activities; and Tier III Service Desk support of the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Complete configuration of enhancements for Food and Water Safety Surveillance, improvements to data received from the DMDC's DEERS; prototyping of the Exposure Characterization and Food and Water Safety Surveillance interfaces.

Complete deployment of tablet computers to the Air Force and Navy's Industrial Hygiene users.

Begin configuration of Exposure Characterization (minimizes the impact of worksite hazards and facilitates readiness by providing information to enable exposure-based medical surveillance) in the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse and completion of the Environmental Health, radiation, and

ventilation functionality in the Data Warehouse.

Conduct hardware refresh replacement and continue normal sustainment and maintenance operations.

Complete integration of single Web based interface engine to ensure the number of separate interfaces is minimized.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Complete the configuration of Risk Assessment, interface with Electronic Health Record (EHR), and for Sampling (to determine the extent of the exposures and develop a protocol to ensure the accuracy and confidence levels to support crucial decisions), data sharing across DOEHRS, and ergonomics (ability to assess ergonomic risk) in the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse. Continue sustainment.

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

#### Component

TRICARE Management Activity

#### **Acquisition**

Component Acquisition Executive (CAE),TMA

### **Program Management**

**COL Christopher Harrington** 

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

#### **Contract Information**

Name: Northrop Grumman

City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** DOEHRS-IH Tier III Maintenance Support

**Function:** 

Name: Northrop Grumman

City/State: Mclean, VA

**Supported** Functional and technical support for additional capabilities

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman
City/State: McLean, VA

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** Technical support for Exposure Characterization

Function:

Northrop Grumman Name: City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** Technical support for Interface prototype

### Mile

estones/Schedules						
roject Name: Defense Occupational and Environmental Health Readi	ness System -	Industrial Hyg	giene (DOEH	RS-IH) Moder	nizations/Enhar	ncements
Planned Start Date: 2012-01-31 Planned Completion Date: 2	013-06-01	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	15.812	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> (DOEHRS-IH) is a comprehensive, automated information					ing, using, evalu	ating, and
storing occupational personnel exposure information, work	1		- 1	-		
equipment usage data, observation of work practices data,					will provide for	the definition
collection and analysis platform to generate and maintain a	Service Memb	per's Longitudii	nal Exposure	Record.		
This was in the sine interesting of Wall has a line of		1	C F	W-4 C-C-4 C		1.
This project begins integration of Web based interfaces; co application, mobile application, and data warehouse; proto						
interfaces; and design for supporting Environmental Health				i rood and wate	a Salety Survein	iance
Activity Name	Start Date		Completion Date		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Prototyping Exposure Characterizations	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2013-06-01	Planned:	5.564
	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	2013-06-01	Projected:	5.564
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Expansion of system to incorporate additional methods for functionality to mini	mize the impact	of worksite haza	rds & facilitate	s readiness		
Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Durate training Food & Water Committee of	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2013-06-01	Planned:	2.622
Prototyping Food & Water Surveillance	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	2013-06-01	Projected:	2.622
Prototyping Food & Water Surveillance	i iojecieu.	_01_ 01 51				0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	
<b>Description</b> Supports inspections and assessments of food & drinking water safety, sanitation	Actual:			pport Installation		
Description Supports inspections and assessments of food & drinking water safety, sanitatio of food and water inspections	Actual: n, & service faci	lities. Provides t	the ability to su	• •	Support Plans and	multiple types
Description Supports inspections and assessments of food & drinking water safety, sanitation of food and water inspections Activity Name	Actual: n, & service faci	lities. Provides t	the ability to su  Comple	etion Date	Support Plans and <b>Total (</b>	multiple types  Costs
Description Supports inspections and assessments of food & drinking water safety, sanitation of food and water inspections Activity Name Interface Prototype:Integration of single Web based interface engine to ensure	Actual: n, & service faci  Start  Planned:	t Date 2012-01-31	Comple Planned:	etion Date 2013-06-01	Support Plans and  Total (  Planned:	multiple types  Costs  4.100
Description Supports inspections and assessments of food & drinking water safety, sanitatio of food and water inspections Activity Name	Actual: n, & service faci  Start  Planned:	lities. Provides t	the ability to su  Comple	etion Date	Support Plans and <b>Total (</b>	multiple types  Costs

Milestones - Continued				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Data Warehouse Enhancements: Expands the Data Warehouse to include	Planned: 2012-01-31	Planned: 2013-06-01	Planned: 3.526	
Environmental Health, Ventilation & Radiation	Projected: 2012-01-31	Projected: 2013-06-01	Projected: 3.526	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
Ability to do corporate reporting on additional survey areas				

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Professionals/Specialists/Technicians/Administrative support staff (military and civilian and contract personnel). Industrial Hygiene, Environmental Health, Laboratory Technicians (non-medical), Preventive Medicine, Veterinary, Occupational Health Providers, First responders.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Navy, Air Force, Army, Marines MHS and Line components, DoD functional Community Working Groups, DoD Industrial Hygiene Work Group; Joint Environmental Surveillance Work Group, Service CIO Offices/Functional Representatives, Defense Logistics Agency, US Army Veterinary Command, National Nuclear Security Agency (Non-DoD Federal agency currently using DOEHRS-IH).

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

DOEHRS-IH funding in FY2013 will support the following:

RDT&E funding will be used for completing configuration of enhancements for Food and Water Safety Surveillance, improvements to data received from the DMDC's DEERS; prototyping of the Exposure Characterization and Food and Water Safety Surveillance interfaces.

Completing deployment of tablet computers to the Air Force and Navy's Industrial Hygiene users.

Beginning configuration of Exposure Characterization (minimizes the impact of worksite hazards and facilitates readiness by providing information to enable exposure-based medical surveillance) in the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse and completion of the Environmental Health, radiation, and ventilation functionality in the Data Warehouse.

Complete integration of single Web based interface engine to ensure the number of separate interfaces is minimized.

Procurement funding will support hardware refresh replacement.

O&M funding will support the continuation of normal sustainment and maintenance operations through system sustainment activities ensuring the system maintains its

information assurance and security accreditations, including the Department of Defense (DoD) Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP) requirements; commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) software upgrades; system maintenance activities; required testing and evaluation activities; and Tier III Service Desk support of the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY 2014 - FY2017 funding will support the following:

- Continue sustaining DOEHRS-IH by performing system sustainment activities ensuring the system maintains its information assurance and security accreditations, including the Department of Defense (DoD) Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP) requirements; commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) software upgrades; system maintenance activities; required testing and evaluation activities; and Tier III Service Desk support of the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse.
- Complete the configuration of Risk Assessment, interface with Electronic Health Record (EHR), and for Sampling (to determine the extent of the exposures and develop a protocol to ensure the accuracy and confidence levels to support crucial decisions), data sharing across DOEHRS, and ergonomics (ability to assess ergonomic risk) in the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse.
- Complete development activities associated with Survey functionality (allows for accurate assessment of worker exposures to chemical, physical, and biological agents in the workplace and to provide recommendations for hazard abatement or elimination) for the web application, mobile application, theater application and data warehouse based on priorities provided by the Occupational and Environmental Health Integrated Product Team (OEHIPT) and Force Health Protection and Readiness (FHP&R).

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6312	Acronym	DTS							
Name of Investment	DEFENSE TR	FENSE TRAVEL SYSTEM								
Lead Agent	DEFENSE LO	EFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY								
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		OGY	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	MAIS					
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS					

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

DTS is a fully integrated, electronic, end-to-end travel management system that automates temporary duty travel (TDY) for the Department of Defense (DoD). It allows travelers to create authorizations (TDY travel orders), prepare reservations, receive approvals, generate travel vouchers and direct deposit payment to travelers and the government charge card vendor, all via a single web portal available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. The Defense Business Transformation Agency (BTA)/Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) have program oversight and the Defense Travel Management Office, OUSD (P&R) has functional oversight.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	21,476	8,684	10,891	8,466
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0305070S 04-Defense Logistics Agency	285	7,684	8,050	8,207
0901260BTA 04-Defense Business Transformation Agency	9,496	0	0	0
Operations Total	9,781	7,684	8,050	8,207
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0605020BTA 05-DEFENSE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY	11,695	0	0	0
0605070S 05-Defense Travel System	0	1,000	2,841	259
RDT&E Total	11,695	1,000	2,841	259

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	8.900	8.900	
FY 2013 President's Budget	8.684	10.891	2.21
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		1.991	
•			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The only change from the FY 2012 budget submission to the FY 2013 budget submission for FY 2013 is the \$1.910 additional RDT&E. Thus, this "vertical" change is because of the additional funding added for DTS User Interface changes.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The "horizontal change" of \$1.988 in the FY 2013 President's Budget is made up of 2 parts: an increase of \$1.688 in RDT&E and an increase of \$.300 in non-FTE O&M. The RDT&E increase is due to additional interface work. The O&M increase is due to minor increases in operating costs and and a small increase in engineering resources required for DTS transition to a new O&S and Hosting contractor and has not changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget.

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- -DTS completed conversion of legacy software (Progress 4GL) code to Java, reducing cost and simplifying the System
- -Workdown of System Problem Reports (SPRs)
- -Interface to Management Information System for International Logistics (MISIL) completed
- -Developed and delivered Controlled Spend Account (CSA) capability
- -Upgrade to Oracle 11g
- -Redundant Array of Independent Disks (RAID) Reconfiguration
- -Defense Intelligence Agency Phase II interface for Debt Management
- -Department of Defense Education Activity interface
- -Mask Social Security Number (SSN) on Printed Documents
- -Data Retention Study

### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

#### R&D

- -Continue Program Management and Engineering support to include acquisition compliance reporting, acquisition subject matter expertise, business case analysis, metrics, system analysis, requirements support, contract execution, contract documentation and test management oversight.
- -Workdown of System Problem Reports
- -Defense Travel Improvement Board (DTIB) top priority change requests
- -Financial Partner System (FPS) system changes

### O&M

- -Operation and Sustainment of System
- -Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) archive support.
- -Full scale Continuity of Operation (COOP) exercise
- -Planned award of separate Hosting and Sustainment contracts

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

#### R&D

- -Continue Program Management and Engineering support to include acquisition compliance reporting, acquisition subject matter expertise, business case analysis, metrics, system analysis, requirements support, contract execution, contract documentation and test management oversight.
- -Continue workdown of System Problem Reports
- -Continue Defense Travel Improvement Board (DTIB) top priority change requests
- -Financial Partner System (FPS) system changes

### O&M

- -Operation and Sustainment of System
- -Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) archive support
- -Award of Hosting and Sustainment contracts

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

### R&D

- -Continue Program Management and Engineering support
- O&M
- -Continued Operation and Sustainment of System
- -Off-cycle patch implementation
- -Develop and deliver quarterly maintenance releases
- -Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) archive support

- -Increase system availability and web response time
- -Continued contract support/contracting activity support
- -Government salaries

### **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

### Component

Defense Logistics Agency

### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Michael Simon

**Contract Information** No contract information is available.

### Milestones/Schedules

roject Name:	<b>Operations and Sust</b>	tainment						
Planned Start	t <b>Date:</b> 2010-09-05	Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-04	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	51.420	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b>	Personnel, facilities,	equipment, tools, materials, superv	vision and other ite	ems and service	es needed to op	perate and susta	ain the Defense T	ravel System.
<b>Activity Name</b>			Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
Service Agreem	ents		Planned:	2010-09-05	Planned:	2012-09-04	Planned:	16.254
			Projected:	2010-09-05	Projected:	2012-09-04	Projected:	16.254
Description			Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
- Service agre	eements to include costs	associated with Server Vault, QPX lice	ensing, Apollo, Sabe	er, Galileo web s	ervices, Worlds	pan and telecom		

ΤΔ.	inestones - Continueu						
	Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
	DTS System Environments & Operations	Planned:	2010-09-05	Planned:	2012-09-04	Planned:	16.498
		Projected:	2010-09-05	Projected:	2012-09-04	Projected:	16.498
	Description	Actual:	2010-09-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

- Operating and maintaining the DTS production environment;
- Engineering planning to include activities associated with planning DTS releases, capacity/obsolescence, and system changes;
- Activities that assure the continuity of DTS production operations to include disaster recovery readiness and data integrity readiness;
- Assure hardware configuration items are at current vendor-supported patch levels;
- Management of sustainment activities to include technical review team and configuration control board meetings, lifecycle reviews and peer reviews;
- Perform software and database activities against the production baseline, analyze and troubleshoot production issues, maintain and improve DTS database and data model;
- Performance of all software lifecyle activities associated with delivering sustainment patches for DTS;
- Uploading of service specific user credit card data and Personally Identifiable Information (PII);
- Efforts to maintain a Global Exchange Interface (GEX) and develop and test new financial interfaces involving GEX development staff;
- Passenger Name Records (PNR) validations;

Milestones - Continued

- Operate and maintain Enterprise Web Training System (EWTS) environments including service system administration, application of vendor patches, configuration tuning, and installation of DTS application software builds.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Hardware & Software Maintenance/Purchases	Planned: 2010-09-05	Planned: 2012-09-04	Planned: 6.058
	Projected: 2010-09-05	Projected: 2012-09-04	Projected: 6.058
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

- HW & SW maintenance and purchases to include SQL, MS products and other needed hardware

Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Program Management & Business Operations	Planned:	2010-09-05	Planned:	2012-09-04	Planned:	10.610
	Projected:	2010-09-05	Projected:	2012-09-04	Projected:	10.610
Description	Actual:	2010-09-05	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

- Management processes performed by prime contract management team;
- Project monitoring and control activities, quality assurance, and document management;
- Managing performance of contractual scope;
- System and service delivery to include quality assurance and performance management, executing activities against plan;
- Configuration management support and contract data requirements to include efforts performed by CM team and document management support for deliverables associated with configuration management areas;
- DTS security and information assurance activities.

Activity Name	Start	Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Transition of DTS to new contractor	Planned:	2012-03-05	Planned:	2012-09-04	Planned:	2.000
	Projected:	2012-03-05	Projected:	2012-09-04	Projected:	2.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Transition of hosting and operations and sustainment activities to new awardee	(if required)					

**Milestones - Continued** 

Project Name: DTS Production Environment Development

Planned Start Date: 2011-01-03 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-04 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 8.869 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Personnel, facilities, equipment, tools, materials, supervision, and other items and services, as needed to analyze and develop proposed functionality,

interfaces or technology insertion in the DTS.

**Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs** Production Environment Improvements 2011-01-03 2012-09-04 Planned: Planned: Planned: 5.168 Projected: 2011-01-03 Projected: 2012-09-04 Projected: 5.168 Description Actual: Actual: 0.000 Actual:

- System Problem Report (SPR) drawdowns - support necessary to reduce Java related SPRs by an additional 30 per month;

- Deployment of new functionality into production.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
New Interfaces/Functionality	Planned: 2011-01-03	Planned: 2012-09-04	Planned: 3.201	
	Projected: 2011-01-03	Projected: 2012-09-04	Projected: 3.201	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

- Any new interface or functionality improvements;
- Mask Social Security Numbers (SSN) to support the suppression of SSN documents;
- Changes required to support the update of the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) Phase II interface;
- Management Information System for International Logistics (MISIL);
- Data Retention Study In depth technical study of DTS database storage requirements and possible solutions;
- Controlled Spend Account (CSA) uploading and profile changes required by the CSA program;
- CSA total trip reimbursement and Citi interface bulk migration of user travel charge cards to CSA and updates to charge card vendor interface.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Business Intelligence	Planned: 2012-03-01	Planned: 2012-09-04	Planned: 0.500
	Projected: 2012-03-01	1 Projected: 2012-09-04	Projected: 0.500
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Business intelligence to include Cognos and report schedule activities.			

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

**Customers for this Investment** 

**Stakeholders for this Investment** 

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

### R&D

- -Continue Program Management and Engineering support to include acquisition compliance reporting, acquisition subject matter expertise, business case analysis, metrics, system analysis, requirements support, contract execution, contract documentation and test management oversight.
- -Continue workdown of System Problem Reports (SPRs)
- -Continue Defense Travel Improvement Board (DTIB) top priority change requests
- -Financial Partner System (FPS) system changes

### O&M

- -Operation and Sustainment of System
- -Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) archive support
- -Award of Hosting contract

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

BY+1

R&D

-Continue Program Management and Engineering support

### O&M

- -Continued Operation and Sustainment of System
- -Off-cycle patch implementation
- -Develop and deliver quarterly maintenance releases
- -Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) archive support
- -Increase system availability and web response time
- -Continued contract support/contracting activity fees
- -Government salaries

BY+2

R&D

-Continue Program Management and Engineering support

### O&M

- -Continued Operation and Sustainment of System
- -Continue off-cycle patch implementation

- -Continue to develop and deliver quarterly maintenance releases (content to be determined by functional sponsor)
- -Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) archive support
- -Continued contract support/contracting activity fees
- -Government salaries

### BY+3

#### R&D

-Continue Program Management and Engineering support

### O&M

- -Continued Operation and Sustainment of System
- -Continue off-cycle patch implementation
- -Continue development and delivery quarterly maintenance releases
- -Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) archive support
- -Continued contract support/contracting activity fees
- -Government salaries

### BY+4

### R&D

-Continue Program Management and Engineering support

### O&M

- -Continued Operation and Sustainment of System
- -Continue off-cycle patch implementation
- -Continue development and delivery quarterly maintenance releases
- -Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) archive support
- -Continued contract support/contracting activity fees
- -Government salaries

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	6555	Acronym	DJC2						
Name of Investment	DEPLOYABL	PLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL (DJC2)							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE NA	AVY						
Category	NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM		Acquisition Category	NONE					
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	control		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS				

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Deployable Joint Command and Control (DJC2) is the material solution that provides Joint Task Forces (JTFs) with a deployable command and control (C2) capability. The DJC2 system provides the Joint Force Commander (JFC) a mission critical, integrated family of C2 software applications and systems with which to plan, control, coordinate, execute, and assess military operations across the spectrum of conflict. DJC2 addresses a gap in mission capabilities by providing a JFC with a full range of interoperable, robust, and standardized systems and tools. DJC2 also provides interfaces with both Department of Defense (DoD) and commercial communications pathways to allow the JFC to receive and disseminate pertinent C2 information. This investment delivers a significant increase in C2 mission efficiency and effectiveness through delivery of a standing, readily deployable C2 capability along with process and applications standardization obtained at the lowest calculated total ownership cost.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	28,413	27,037	28,485	22,536
Operations				
O&M, Navy				
0204660N 01-Combat Communications	15,061	13,080	14,344	14,572
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	0	1,304	1,312
0701113N 04-Servicewide Communications	1,133	1,261	0	0
Operations Total	16,194	14,341	15,648	15,884
Procurement				
Other Proc, Navy				
0204660N 02-DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT	8,222	8,994	9,064	3,325
Procurement Total	8,222	8,994	9,064	3,325
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Navy				
0603237N 04- Deployable JT Command and Control	3,997	3,702	3,773	3,327
RDT&E Total	3,997	3,702	3,773	3,327

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	28.417	29.656	
FY 2013 President's Budget	27.037	28.485	1.45
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-1.171	
•	•		•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

RDTEN: -\$.045M for miscellaneous adjustments OPN: -\$.191M for miscellaneous adjustments

OMN: -\$.894M to DJC2 Operational Service Center (DOSC) and software maintenance support for fielded systems

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

RDTEN: +\$.071M for miscellaneous adjustments OPN: +\$.070M for miscellaneous adjustments

OMN: +\$1.320M to DJC2 Operational Service Center (DOSC) and software maintenance support for fielded systems

### **Program Accomplishments**

### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

Procured and delivered system enhancements to DJC2 sites for improved system networking, storage and audio/video distribution as well as for components that required obsolescence refresh. The baseline configuration is based upon existing C4I systems, scaled to the Combatant Command level. Continued system engineering analysis and integration activities. Continued providing software maintenance support and DJC2 Operations Support Center (DOSC) help desk activities for fielded systems.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Continue to procure and deliver system enhancements to remaining DJC2 sites for improved system networking, storage and audio/video distribution as well as for components that require obsolescence refresh of fielded systems. The baseline configuration is based upon existing C4I systems, scaled to the Combatant Command level. Continue system engineering analysis and integration activities. Continue providing software maintenance support and Deployable Joint Command and Control (DJC2) Operations Support Center (DOSC) help desk activities for fielded systems.

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Upgrade system based on joint requirements; rapidly field systems based upon those requirements; analyze operational utilization of the systems; and roll the results of the analysis into upgrades of the system to maintain currency and maximize operational effectiveness. The baseline configuration is based upon existing C4I systems, scaled to the Combatant Command level. The follow-on configurations will include newly developed capabilities based on emergent, joint requirements and operational feedback based upon utilization of earlier delivered systems. Will also continue to address obsolescence issues, provide software maintenance and help desk support for the fielded systems.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Upgrade system based on current joint requirements; rapidly field systems based upon those requirements; analyze operational utilization of the systems; and roll the results of the analysis into upgrades of the system to maintain currency and maximize operational effectiveness. Will also continue to address obsolescence issues, provide software maintenance and help desk support for the fielded systems.

### **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

### **Component**

Department of the Navy

### **Acquisition**

ASN (RDA)

### **Program Management**

Ruth Youngs Lew

### **Contract Information** No contract information is available.

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Operations and Maintenance

Planned Start Date: 2010-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2017-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 107.090 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Operations and Maintenance of DJC2 systems.

Cilestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Operations and Maintenance of DJC2 systems.	Planned:	2010-10-01	Planned:	2011-09-30	Planned:	18.793
	Projected:	2010-10-01	Projected:	2011-09-30	Projected:	18.793
Description	Actual:	2010-10-01	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:	17.185
Operations and Maintenance support of DJC2 systems to include	e Tier 1 and Tier 2 support, doc	umentation updat	es, training and	supporting mana	gement functions.	
4 4 4 5	~	. 🖚 .	~ .			~ .
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Operations and Maintenance of DJC2 systems.	Star Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	13.946
						13.946
	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	
Operations and Maintenance of DJC2 systems.	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2012-09-30 2012-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:	13.946 13.946
Operations and Maintenance of DJC2 systems.  Description	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2012-09-30 2012-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:	13.946 13.946

**Description:** Follow-on tech insertion to include design and integration of infrastructure and architecture upgrades.

Activity Name		Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total C	osts	
DJC2 test bed upgrades and systems engineering and	integration efforts.	Planned:	2010-10-01	Planned:	2011-10-31	Planned:	4.275	
		Projected:	2010-10-01	Projected:	2011-12-30	Projected:	4.275	
Description		Actual:	2010-10-01	Actual:	2011-12-30	Actual:	4.564	

Conduct integration testing of revised Deployable Joint Command and Control (DJC2) Network System Design (Next Gen Architecture) and incorporate fixes to the Network System and validate through regression testing to support fielding decisions, Finalize and test the DJC2 Virtual Machine and Portal Synchronization tool to include server procurement, network support and testing thereby providing the ability to push updated virtual machines and command and control portals to any given DJC2 from either garrison location or the DJC2 Operational Support Center, significantly improving mission tailorability. Conduct trade studies to identify the next generation client for DJC2.

Identify and incorporate emergent/mandated Key Information Profiles (KIP) required by the DJC2 Net-Ready Key Performance Parameter (KPP) into the system design. Update Information Support Plan to reflect system architecture changes and obtained Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) J6/J2 approval. With validated architecture, obtain renewal of the DJC2 Core System Authority to Operate (ATO) and perform required testing and information assurance mitigation to support ATO approval. Investigate potential hybrid power solutions for diesel generator replacement.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
DJC2 test bed upgrades and systems engineering and integration efforts.	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-12-30	Planned: 3.702
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-12-30	Projected: 3.702
Description	Actual: 2011-10-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.733

Continue to incorporate fixes to the Network System and validate through regression testing to support fielding decisions. Continue to conduct trade studies to identify the next generation client for DJC2. Identify and incorporate changes to the DJC2 test bed based on lessons learned from fielded systems and operational world events.

Continue to identify and incorporate emerging/mandated Key Information Profiles required by the DJC2 Net Ready KPP into system design. Obtain prototype equipment and conduct trades studies per the system engineering guidelines. Conduct Critical Design Reviews for upgrade plan upon design approval, prepare the mandatory Engineering Change Proposals, and identify testing, training, and sparing requirements. Construct, integrate and test an alternative power scheme.

filestones - Continued						
roject Name: Tech Insertion/Refresh Production						
<b>Planned Start Date:</b> 2010-10-01 <b>Planned Completion Date:</b>	2017-09-30	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	54.591	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> DJC2 system tech insertion and tech refresh to address of	bsolescence and	security vulner	abilities.			
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Tech Insertion/Refresh Production.	Planned:	2010-10-01	Planned:	2011-12-30	Planned:	8.542
	Projected:	2010-10-01	Projected:	2012-03-31	Projected:	8.542
Description	Actual:	2010-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	8.615
System enhancements provided via Tech Insertaion/Refresh production.						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Tech Insertion/Refresh Production.	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2013-03-30	Planned:	8.994
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2013-03-30	Projected:	8.994
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
System enhancements provided via Tech Insertaion/Refresh production.						

### Customers/Stakeholders

### **Customers for this Investment**

- -U.S. Pacific Command; USPACOM; Honolulu, HI; Sustainment and regular upgrades/refresh to their fielded DJC2 system
- -U.S. European Command; USEUCOM; Stuttgart, Germany; Sustainment and regular upgrades/refresh to their fielded DJC2 system
- -U.S. Army Africa; USARAF; Vicenza, Italy; Sustainment and regular upgrades/refresh to their fielded DJC2 system
- -U.S. Southern Command; USSOUTHCOM; Miami, FL; Sustainment and regular pgrades/refresh to their fielded DJC2 system
- -U.S. Army South; ARSOUTH; San Antonio, TX; Sustainment and regular upgrades/refresh to their fielded DJC2 system
- $\hbox{-}III\ Marine\ Expeditionary\ Force;\ III\ MEF;\ Okinawa,\ Japan;\ Sustainment\ and\ regular\ upgrades/refresh\ to\ their\ fielded\ DJC2\ system$
- -U.S. Naval Forces Central Command; USNAVCENT; Bahrain; Tailored DJC2 Core system repackaged in CONEX boxes
- -Naval Mine and Anti-Submarine Warfare Command; b) NMAWC; c) San Diego, CA; d) Two tailored DJC2 Rapid Response Kits, plus upgrades

### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

- -Shore and Expeditionary Integration Office, Program Manager Warfare 790, Program Executive Office Command, Control, Communications, Computers, & Intelligence; PMW 790, PEO C4I; San Diego, CA; Program Management
- -Naval Surface Warfare Command Panama City Division; NSWC PCD; Panama City, FL; Government Integrator and Technical Direction Agent (TDA)
- -Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, N2/N6F412; OPNAV N2/N6F412; Washington, D.C.; Resource Sponsor
- -Joint Communications Support Element; JCSE; Tampa, FL; Support Manning
- -Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command Systems Center Atlantic; SPAWAR SSC LANT; St. Juliens Creek, VA; Performance Based Logistics Joint
- -Naval Network Warfare Command; NETWARCOM; Virginia Beach, VA; Designated Approval Authority (DAA) for Information Assurance Certification
- -Joint Interoperability Test Command; JITC; Fort Huachuca, AZ; Interoperability Certification

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN);

(\$3.7M) for development efforts for systems engineering, integration and Deployable Joint Command and Control (DJC2) test bed. This includes addressing obsolescence and security posture enhancements as required.

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

(\$9.0M) procures system enhancements for the following three cores: US Army South, San Antonio, Texas (1), Marine Expeditionary Force (III MEF) Camp Hensen, Japan (1) and USEUCOM Stuttgart, Germany (1). Additionally, the program will procure and deliver technical refresh enhancements for two Naval Mine and Anti-Submarine Warfare Command (NMAWC) Rapid Response Kits (RRKs) for LANT and PAC regions.

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN);

(\$15.6M) for support to 4 Geographic Combatant Commands operational sites, 2 Component Commands operational sites and 1 lab/support site. The six DJC2 sites and unit descriptions are as follows: USSOUTHCOM Tampa, Florida (1), USEUCOM Stuttgart, Germany (1), US Army South, San Antonio, Texas (1), AFRICOM (SETAF) Vicenza, Italy (1), USPACOM Camp Smith, Hawaii (1), and Marine Expeditionary Force (III MEF) Camp Hensen, Okinawa, Japan (1).

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN);

(\$13.8M) for development efforts for systems engineering, integration and Deployable Joint Command and Control (DJC2) test bed. This includes addressing obsolescence and

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

(\$13.3M) for the systematic procurement of technology refresh and technology insertion equipment.

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN);

(\$63.5M) for support to 4 Geographic Combatant Commands operational sites, 2 Component Commands operational sites and 1 lab/support site. The six DJC2 sites and unit descriptions are as follows: USSOUTHCOM Tampa, Florida (1), USEUCOM Stuttgart, Germany (1), US Army South, San Antonio, Texas (1), AFRICOM (SETAF) Vicenza, Italy (1), USPACOM Camp Smith, Hawaii (1), and Marine Expeditionary Force (III MEF) Camp Hensen, Okinawa, Japan (1).

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0688	Acronym	DLS		
Name of Investment	DISTRIBUTE	D LEARNING	SYSTEM		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Distributed Learning System (DLS) provides the information technology for delivery and management of training in support of individual and collective task training. Benefits include increased training effectiveness and efficiency, improved readiness, and increased training for customers. Key customers: Soldiers (Active, National Guard, and Reserve), Army Civilians. Key Stakeholders: Army Training and Doctrine Command (Functional Proponent Agent), All other Army Commands, Program Executive Officer - Enterprise Information Systems, Army General Staff.

DLS provides the following capabilities using Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) solutions: (1) Digital Training Facilities (DTF) (Incr 1&2): electronic classrooms that deliver multimedia courseware for self-paced training or group training events. (2) Enterprise Management Center (EMC)(Incr 2): centralized system management of the DLS information resources. (3) Army Learning Management System (ALMS) (Incr 3): A web-based information system for centralizing, standardizing, and optimizing training, training management, and training delivery functions. (4) Deployed Digital Training Campuses (DDTC) (Incr 4): electronic transportable classrooms that deliver multimedia courseware for self-paced instruction or group training events in a deployed location, (5) Army e-Learning: web-based training products used to acquire and sustain business, information technology or Foreign language skills.

As a whole, DLS facilitates the Training Mission Area mission to teach technical and tactical proficiency, develop military occupational specialty (MOS) skills, develop Leaders, support Army Training Transformation, Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) and Lifelong Learning, promote self-development, and sustain individual and unit combat skills.

DLS is located within the Distributed Learning System Data Center, Fort Eustis, VA.

### Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	50,421	48,801	41,101	47,627
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0308610A 04-Servicewide Communications	32,676	35,362	29,377	30,816
0308615A 04-Servicewide Communications	7,610	5,563	5,561	6,750
Operations Total	40,286	40,925	34,938	37,566
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0219900A 02-ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	9,801	7,876	6,163	10,061
Procurement Total	9,801	7,876	6,163	10,061
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0605013A 05-DISTRIBUTED LEARNING SYSTEM (DLS)	334	0	0	0
RDT&E Total	334	0	0	0

# **Program Change Summary**

<b>FY 2012 President's Budget</b> 49.518 48.517
<b>FY 2013 President's Budget</b> 48.801 41.101 -7.70
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 -7.416

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OMA: \$4.522M Decrease (12%)

Realignment within Army Management Headquarters Activity to higher Army priorities.

OPA: \$2.894M Decrease (32%)

Realignment within Army Management Headquarters Activity to higher Army priorities.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMA: \$5.897M Decrease (15%)

Realignment within Army Management Headquarters Activity to higher Army priorities.

OPA: \$1.713M Decrease (22%)

Realignment within Army Management Headquarters Activity to higher Army priorities.

### **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Conducted global Distributed Learning System (DLS) operations and sustainment of fielded Digital Training Facilities (DTFs) and the Enterprise Management Center (EMC) to include technology refreshment of Information Technology (IT) assets and supported the completion of 2.3M seat hours of training.

Conducted operations and sustainment of the Army Learning Management System (ALMS) that included scheduled technology refreshment of IT assets, processed six Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs) for system enhancements and processed 2.08M course completions.

Completed production, New Equipment Training (NET) and fielding of 14 Deployed Digital Training Campus' (DDTCs) [26 systems of 50 systems completed]. Completed the first overhaul cycle for 2 DDTCs in preparation for re-deployment.

Obtained extension of Authority to Operate (ATO) for the DTFs, EMC, and ALMS and obtained the Authority to Connect and Certificate of Networthiness.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Conduct global Distributed Learning System (DLS) operations and sustainment of 220 fielded Digital Training Facilities (DTFs) and the Enterprise Management Center (EMC) to include technology refreshment of scheduled Information Technology (IT) assets and support the completion of 2.4M seat hours of training.

Conduct operations and sustainment of the Army Learning Management System (ALMS) that includes scheduled technology refreshment of IT assets, process Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs) for system enhancements and process 3.4M course completions.

Complete production, New Equipment Training (NET) and fielding of 8 Deployed Digital Training Campus' (DDTCs) [34 systems of 50 systems completed]. Complete the first overhaul cycle for those DDTCs returned from initial deployment and prepare them for re-deployment.

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Conduct global Distributed Learning System (DLS) operations and sustainment of 220 fielded Digital Training Facilities (DTFs) and the Enterprise Management Center (EMC) to include technology refreshment of scheduled Information Technology (IT) assets and support the completion of 2.5M seat hours of training.

Conduct operations and sustainment of the Army Learning Management System (ALMS) that includes scheduled technology refreshment of IT assets, process Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs) for system enhancements and process 5.5M course completions.

Complete production, New Equipment Training (NET) and fielding of 8 Deployed Digital Training Campus' (DDTCs) [42 systems of 50 systems completed]. Complete the first overhaul cycle for those DDTCs returned from initial deployment and prepare them for re-deployment.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

\$47.19 million funding will complete New Equipment training (NET) and field 8 Deployed Digital Training Campus systems [50 systems of 50 systems completed]; support annual Enterprise technology refreshment; and operate, sustain and maintain previously fielded DLS components: Increment 1, Digital Training Facilities (DTF), Increment 2, Enterprise Management Center (EMC), Increment 3, Army Learning Management System (ALMS); and previously fielded DDTC systems.

# **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

Army G3/5/7 DCS Operations and Training

### Component

Department of the Army

### Acquisition

PEO Enterprise Information Systems

### **Program Management**

Mr. Stanley C. Davis

Product Director Distributed Learning System

### **Contract Information**

Name: Contract award pending

City/State: TBA

**Supported** Production and Logistics support of DDTC

Function:

Name: IBM Corporation City/State: Bethesda, MD

Supported Operations and Sustainment and management of Army Learning Management System (ALMS)

Function:

Name: IBM Corporation City/State: Fairfax, VA

Supported Operations and support for Enterprise Management Center

**Function:** 

Name: L-3 Services, Inc City/State: Alexandria, VA

Supported Program Management support

Function:

Name: Lockheed Martin Integrated Systems Inc.

City/State: Bethesda, MD

**Supported** Production and Logistics support for Deployed Digital Training Campus (DDTC)

Function:

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: MILVETS Systems Technology

City/State: Orlando, FL

Supported Provide Commercial Web Based Training for Military and Civilians [Skillsoft]

**Function:** 

Name: N-Link Corporation
City/State: Bremerton, WA

Supported Management of Digital Training Facilities (DTFs) CONUS and OCONUS

Function:

Name: Skillsoft Corporation

City/State: Nashua, NH

**Supported** Provide Commercial Web Based Training for Military and Civilians

**Function:** 

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: The Distributed Learning System (DLS) Deployed Digital Training Campus (DDTC)

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 7.876 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Deployed Digital Training Campus (DDTC) project provides a means for the Army institutional training base to deliver distant learning (dL)

training products and services to globally deployed forces consisting of 10 deployable electronic classrooms in FY12. The DDTC primary role is to provide operationally deployed unit access to video teletraining (VTT), web-based training, collaboration and constructive simulations based training opportunities. The DDTC's will deliver training via multimedia courseware enabling Soldiers to perform remote self-paced instruction or to participate in group training activities and events in a deployed global location. The DDTC project facilitates transformation of the Army institutional training base from a centralized resident training environment to a blended resident and distributed training environment thus providing a more flexible training philosophy while directly supporting the Army's current wartime training needs and ongoing force transition.

**Completion Date Activity Name Start Date Total Costs** Deployed Digitial Training Campus (DDTC) Fielding Planned: 2011-10-01 Planned: 2012-09-30 Planned: 0.250 Projected: 2012-02-01 2012-09-30 0.250 Projected: Projected: Description Actual: 0.000 Actual: Actual:

Field and conduct New Equipment Training (NET) of 8 Deployed Digitial Training Campus (DDTC) systems.

**Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs** Deployed Digitial Training Campus (DDTC) Production Planned: 2012-09-30 Planned: 2011-10-01 Planned: 7.626 Projected: 2012-02-01 Projected: 2012-09-30 Projected: 7.626 Actual: Actual: 0.000 Description Actual:

Produce and support 8 Deployed Digitial Training Campus (DDTC) systems.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

### **Customers for this Investment**

The DLS provides training access to approximately two million users, including the Active Army, U.S. Army Reserves (USAR), Army National Guard (ARNG), and Department of the Army Civilians (DACs), as well as other Government agencies. Customers include learners (military and civilian), instructors, military units, training developers, and training managers. In addition, DLS initially fielded workstations and telecommunication services at 274 Digital Training Facilities (DTFs) worldwide. DLS currently sustains 220 DTFs worldwide. Development is currently underway for 50 Deployed Digital Training Campus (DDTC). 26 DDTCs have been deployed for soldier training in-theater.

### Stakeholders for this Investment

The Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness); Assistant Secretary of the Army ((Manpower & Reserve Affairs); the U.S. Army Deputy Chiefs of Staff for G-1, G-3/5/7, G-4, Chief Information Officer (CIO)/G6, and G-8; the commander, U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC), and the remaining Army Commands. TRADOC is the Army's Executive Agent (AEA). The Army has an Integrated Management with a senior level Distributed Learning Review Group, comprised of functional and acquisition stakeholders, reviews and prioritizes the Army's critical needs. A Distributed Learning General Officer Steering Committee (GOSC) establishes clear measures of accountability, both functional and fiscal. Participation of the White House, the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) sponsored Advanced Distributive Learning Initiative (ADLI) Office, and the Army National Guard, with all DLS and Army Distance Learning initiatives, integrated product teams, and the GOSC.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

In sum, the FY13 Distributed Learning System (DLS) budget totals \$45,208M. The FY13 budget breaks out into OPA2 procurement DME funding (13%, \$6.163M) supporting additional Deployed Digital Training Campus (DDTC) system production and annual DLS enterprise technology refreshment and into O&M maintenance funds (87%, \$39.460M) that support the operations and sustainment of the fielded DLS components. The DLS program is approaching a total steady state (SS) phase of the investment (less annual technology refreshment) when the DDTC production and fielding is completed in FY14.

FY13 Other Procurement Army (OPA) funding in the amount of \$6.163 million procures the DLS enterprise information technology refreshment (hardware and software) across the 220 fielded DTFs, the EMC, the DLS Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP), the ALMS and ALMS enhancements supporting Army web-based learner training administration and training management at remote sites and procures and fields 8 additional DDTC systems (completing production of 42 of 50 objective systems). These integrated efforts will maximize the utility of training to each learner while reducing the time and logistics required by students to complete assigned training.

FY13 O&M funding in the amount of \$39.460 million provides funding for civilian salaries, travel and training for program office personnel and program office contractor support. Additionally, O&M funding directly supports product contractor support and systems operations, sustainment and maintenance across the fielded components of the Distributed Learning System (DLS) Increment 1 (220 ea. Digital Training Facilities-DTFs) located around the globe; DLS Increment 2 (Enterprise Management Center-EMC); DLS Increment 3 (Army Learning Management System-ALMS); Increment 4 (34 ea. Deployed Digital Training Campus-DDTC) and Army e-Learning licenses to courseware for Army Soldiers and Department of the Army Civilians (DAC) to conduct individual training within business, information technology and leadership.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

### BY+1:

Development Modernization/Enhancement (DME) Procurement Funding: \$10.061 million funds support: (A) System fielding and implementation; (B) Enterprise information technology refreshment within DLS Increment 1 [220 ea. Digital Training Facilities-DTFs], Increment 2 [Enterprise Management Center-EMC], Increment 3 [Army Learning Management System-ALMS and Continuity of Operations Plan-COOP], Increment 4 [42 ea. Deployed Digital Training Campus-DDTC]; and (C) DDTC system procurement of the final 8 systems of objective 50 systems.

Steady State (SS) O & M Funding: \$37.284 million O&M funds civilian salaries travel and training for program management office personnel and program management support services. O&M funds also support product contractor support and systems operations, sustainment and maintenance across the fielded components of the DLS Increment 1, DTFs, located around the globe; DLS Increment 2, EMC; DLS Increment 3, ALMS; Increment 4 (DDTC) and Army e-Learning licenses to courseware.

#### BY+2:

Development Modernization/Enhancement (DME) Procurement Funding: \$7.821 million funds support: (A) System fielding and implementation; (B) Enterprise information technology refreshment within fielded DLS Increment 1, DTFs, Increment 2, EMC, Increment 3, ALMS and COOP and Increment 4, 50 ea. DDTC.

Steady State (SS) O&M Funding: \$39.380 million O&M funds civilian salaries travel and training for program management office (PMO) personnel and program management support services (PMSS). O&M funds also support product contractor support and systems operations, sustainment and maintenance across the fielded components of the DLS Increment 1, DTFs; DLS Increment 2 EMC; DLS Increment 3, ALMS; Increment 4,DDTC and Army e-Learning licenses to courseware.

### BY+3:

Development Modernization/Enhancement (DME) Procurement Funding: \$6.988 million funds support: (A) System fielding and implementation; (B) Enterprise information technology refreshment within fielded DLS Increment 1, DTFs, Increment 2, EMC, Increment 3, ALMS and COOP and Increment 4, DDTC.

Steady State (SS) O&M Funding: \$41.61 million O&M funds civilian salaries, travel and training for program management office (PMO) personnel and program management support services (PMSS). O&M funds also support product contractor support and systems operations, sustainment and maintenance across the fielded components of the DLS Increment 1, DTFs; DLS Increment 2 EMC; DLS Increment 3, ALMS; Increment 4,DDTC and Army e-Learning licenses to courseware.

### BY+4:

Development Modernization/Enhancement (DME) Procurement Funding: \$4.910 million funds support: (A) System fielding and implementation; (B) Enterprise information technology refreshment within fielded DLS Increment 1, DTFs, Increment 2, EMC, Increment 3, ALMS and COOP and Increment 4, DDTC.

Steady State (SS) O&M Funding: \$39.696 million O&M funds civilian salaries, travel and training for program management office (PMO) personnel and program management support services (PMSS). O&M funds also support product contractor support and systems operations, sustainment and maintenance across the fielded components of the DLS Increment 1, DTFs; DLS Increment 2 EMC; DLS Increment 3, ALMS; Increment 4,DDTC and Army e-Learning licenses to courseware.

# **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	5090	Acronym	DLA EBS		
Name of Investment	DLA ENTERP	RISE BUSINE	SS SYSTEM		
Lead Agent	DEFENSE LO	GISTICS AGE	NCY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAII	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Enterprise Business System (EBS) is the IT foundation that enables DLA to fully implement electronic business, web-based technologies, and an interoperable data environment. EBS, DLA's ERP platform, was developed and introduced into DLA operations with investment dollars managed through the BSM, CRM, and PDMI initiatives. BSM established the core architecture for DLA's EBS as the ERP platform for supply chain management of DLA's 5.2 million hardware and troop support items. Going forward, all enterprise business initiatives such as EOAS, EProcurement, Real Property, IMSP/IPO, and Energy Convergence that utilize the ERP platform will all become part of the EBS process/systems integration framework.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	116,654	119,480	117,751	114,874
DWCF				
WCF, Defense				
0708203DS 20-N/A	116,654	119,480	117,751	114,874
DWCF Total	116,654	119,480	117,751	114,874

### **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget       108.822       109.541         FY 2013 President's Budget       119.480       117.751       -1.73         Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013       8.210	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
	FY 2012 President's Budget	108.822	109.541	
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 8.210	FY 2013 President's Budget	119.480	117.751	-1.73
	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		8.210	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

DWCF Capital, in Budget Estimate Submission (BES) 2013, is the same as DWCF Capital in PB 2012. Therefore, there aren't any changes Capital wise. DWCF Operations increased by almost 4.02% from PB 2012 to PBR 2013. This is due to an increase in program management support, as well as, Defense Information Services Agency (DISA) infrastructure support.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The Capital funding amount, from FY 2012 to FY 2013, decreased by almost 74.3% due to the implementation of the pricing tool in FY 2012. The OPS funding amount, from FY 2012 to FY 2013, increased by almost 25.7% due to a small increase in Defense Information Services Agency (DISA) and program management supports.

### **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

In the PY, training, integrated testing, and regression testing of Inventory Management and Stock Positioning for deployment of the Navy Fleet Readiness Center and Navy Shipyards sites were supported in support of BRAC. Also, a Product Data Management Initiative assessment and roadmap was completed to evaluate any possible improvements. The assessment identified a requirement for a technology refresh due to expiration of extended maintenance for SAP solution components. The Real Property Plant Maintenance capabilities was expanded to allow essential Non-DLA users to provide updates to the capital improvement projects recorded in EBS.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Ongoing technical refresh to the existing EBS enterprise external portal which provides a single point of web enabled access for external non-DLA users and internal DLA users. The technical refresh consists of design, build, test and integration of additional DLA developing programs, such as Inventory Management and Stock Positioning (IMSP).

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Fuels Contracts data currently exposed under BSM-E through SPIDERS will be extended into EBS in FY 2013. Inventory data currently exposed under BSM-E through

Asset Visibility will be extended into EBS in FY 2013. Transportation data currently exposed under BSM-E through Asset Visibility will be extended into EBS in FY 2013. Operational Data at DFSPs is currently exposed under BSM-E through IDE onto NoMaDD and will be extended into EBS in FY 2013.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Continued sustainment activities and system change requests.

### **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

**DLA Logistics Operations** 

### Component

Defense Logistics Agency

### Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Susan VanMeter

DLA Information Operations

### **Contract Information**

Name: Accenture Ferederal Services LLC

City/State: Reston, VA

Supported EBS Systems Integration

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: DLA Enterprise Business System

Planned Start Date: 2000-09-30 Planned Completion Date: 2017-07-07 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1,785.543 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** DLA's Enterprise Business Systems (EBS) is the initiative that used Commercial-Off-The-Shelf/Enterprise Resource Planning system as a technology

enabler to reengineer its business practices. It incorporated commercial supply chain practices, creating process integration with customers & suppliers while re-shaping its internal structure to better focus on the needs of the warfighter. It is now serving as the IT architecture/foundation for

extending and enhancing the DLA enterprise, in response to new business requirements, such as

Base Realignment And Closure, resulting in a single integrated enterprise business system for logistics.

filestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Technical Refresh	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	11.541
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	11.541
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

### **Customers for this Investment**

DLA employees and service providers

### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Military Services

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

DWCF Capital, in FY 2013, will support System Change Requests (SCRs) for technology upgrades and capability improvement for future critical EBS Sustainment initiatives and business requirements; as well as, continued tech management support. DWCF Operations, in FY 2013, includes continued program management support, software maintenance, and Defense Information Services Agency (DISA) infrastructure support.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

DWCF Capital, in FY 2014-2017, will be used to continue supporting System Change Requests (SCRs) and as a continuation of tech management support. DWCF Operations in FY 2014-2017 continues program management support, software maintenance and DISA infrastructure support.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	6478	Acronym	DODEA C&CI		
Name of Investment	DODEA OFFI	CE AUTOMA	TION AND INFRASTRUCT	JRE	
Lead Agent	DOD DEPENI	DENTS EDUC	ATION		
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		Acquisition Category	NONE	
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR.	ASTRUCTUR	Е	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Local Area Network (LAN) servers and desktop hardware; operating systems, office productivity software; corporate systems; firewalls, routers, cabling and Wide Area Network (WAN) circuit and telephone systems.

### Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	62,724	63,675	64,983	66,315
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0808715BT 04-Department Of Defense Education Activity	38,632	39,137	39,831	40,539
0808717BT 04-Department Of Defense Education Activity	5,624	5,697	5,799	5,902
0808898BT 04-Department Of Defense Education Activity	18,468	18,841	19,353	19,874
Operations Total	62,724	63,675	64,983	66,315

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	73.675	74.983	
FY 2013 President's Budget	63.675	64.983	1.31
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-10.000	
			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Budget reductions were implemented which significantly reduced the IT budget. Lifecycle replacement program remained unfunded along with other reductions to achieve the necessary cuts.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Inflation factors were applied. Actual increases may not be achieved.

### **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- Reduced physical server footprint using server virtualization
- Lifecycle replacement of computer workstations > 5yrs
- Standardization of office productivity software across the enterprise.
- Consolidated workstation and server operating system and office productivity software license purchasing as an enterprise wide license purchase.
- Planned configuration of enterprise wide bandwidth upgrades to support modern era student data and internet access needs
- Worldwide distribution of Virtual School Curriculum Class content for improved student accessibility

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Implementation of worldwide network bandwidth upgrades to support modern era student data and internet access needs are planned for this year.
- Planned reduction of the numbers of physical servers by virtualization of servers, and by consolidation of datacenter resources to comply with the Federal Data Center Consolidation Initiative.
- Lifecycle replacement of computer workstations that are over 5 years old.
- Increased computer workstation access for Junior and Senior High School students is planned for schools that can support wireless connectivity for these workstations.
- Planned implementation of the worldwide replacement of infrastructure configuration management (CM) software to standardize on one platform and to reduce costs for CM software by 50%.

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- Further reductions in numbers of physical servers are planned by increasing virtualization of servers, and by consolidating datacenters to comply with the Federal Data Center Consolidation Initiative.
- Additional lifecycle replacements of computer workstations that are greater than 5 years old are planned.
- Continued implementation of worldwide bandwidth upgrades to support modern era student data and internet access needs.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

DoDEA Office Automation & Infrastructure in operations and support phase no new capability will be developed. Investments will acquire, test and deploy "critical services", technology refreshes and IA upgrades of post service releases based on identified user requirements and policy changes. This capability is anticipated to continue for DoDEA investments while in its sustainment mode thereby being responsive to the performance needs of its approximately 99,000 users world-wide.

# **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**Component** 

DoD Dependents Education

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Jeffrey Friedler

## **Contract Information**

Name: Beyond Trust
City/State: Carlsbad, CA
Supported Office Automation

Function:

Name: Blue Coat Systems, Inc

City/State: Sunnyvale, CA

**Supported** Web Security for Office Automation

**Function:** 

Name: Brocade
City/State: San Jose, CA

Supported Network equipment maintenance

Function:

Name: Brocade
City/State: San Jose, CA
Supported Network Support

Function:

Name: Chesapeake Mission Critical

City/State: Beltsville, MD

Supported Office Automation Infrastructure

**Function:** 

Contracts -	Continued
Name:	EC America
	Gaithersburg, MD
Supported	IT Infrastructure - VTC capability
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Fishnet Security Inc
	Kansas City, MO
Supported Function:	Office Automation
	FOW Group
Name: City/State:	Washington, DC
Supported	Office Automation
Function:	
Name:	IBM
	Armonk, NY
	IT Infrastructure - Data Storage
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Learning Objects, Inc.
	Washington, DC
Supported Function:	LAN/ WAN
Name:	Linux.com
	San Francisco, CA
Supported	Office Automation
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Microsoft
	Redmond, WA
Supported Function:	Office Automation
	01.
Name:	Oracle Redwood Shores, CA
Supported	Database and application support
Function:	Dumouse and appreciation support
Name:	Progress Software Corporation

Contracts - Continued
City/State: Bedford, MA
Supported Office Automation

Function:

<u>Milestones/Schedules</u> Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

**Customers for this Investment** 

**Stakeholders for this Investment** 

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

The DoDEA technology budget for the FY 2013 Budget Estimate Submission supports the continued enhancement of the IT infrastructure accross the entire world-wide DoDEA school system and sustainment of the operational systems. The enhanced infrastructure will ensure access to the Internet for students, teachers and administrators for both web-based Educational and Corporate purposes. DoDEA will continue to install, maintain and enhance secure educational Local Area Networks and Wide Area Networks to increase online teacher training in technology competencies, to infuse educational multimedia computers for teacher and student use, to enhance curriculum through distributed learning technology initiatives, to implement

Student Information (SIS/SMS), to upgrade the E-commerce system to incorporate wide area workflow and to maintain computer-based classroom instruction and school administration applications. Access to the Internet coupled with school network technology will create exciting learning opportunities for students and teachers. The DoDEA technology program will enable students and educators to engage in project-oriented work and provide access to data unimpeded by social, cultural, economic and geographic

constraints. Building technological skills will better prepare students for the 21st century world of work and higher education, which will ensure a higher quality of life for students and their families. DoDEA recognizes that bridging the gap between technology presence and its effective use is essential to providing quality education. DoDEA will continue to enhance the security of IT systems and information as we implement DoD-mandated Information Assurance Vulnerability Assessment (IAVA) management

and reporting systems in each of the Areas, to increase its network and Internet monitoring activities and improve the reliability of its infrastructure.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

The DoDEA technology budget for the FY 2013 Budget Estimate Submission supports the continuned enhancement of the IT infrastructure accross the entire world-wide DoDEA school system and sustainment of the operational systems. The enhanced infrastructure will ensure access to the Internet for students, teachers and administrators

for both web-based Educational and Corporate purposes. DoDEA will continue to install, maintain and enhance secure educational Local Area Networks and Wide Area Networks to increase online teacher training in technology competencies, to infuse educational multimedia computers for teacher and student use, to enhance curriculum through distributed learning technology initiatives, to implement

Student Information (SIS/SMS), to upgrade the E-commerce system to incorporate wide area workflow and to maintain computer-based classroom instruction and school administration applications. Access to the Internet coupled with school network technology will create exciting learning opportunities for students and teachers. The DoDEA technology program will enable students and educators to engage in project-oriented work and provide access to data unimpeded by social, cultural, economic and geographic

constraints. Building technological skills will better prepare students for the 21st century world of work and higher education, which will ensure a higher quality of life for students and their families. DoDEA recognizes that bridging the gap between technology presence and its effective use is essential to providing quality education. DoDEA will continue to enhance the security of IT systems and information as we implement DoD-mandated Information Assurance Vulnerability Assessment (IAVA) management

and reporting systems in each of the Areas, to increase its network and Internet monitoring activities and improve the reliability of its infrastructure.

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	4122	Acronym	EHRWA		
Name of Investment	ELECTRONIC	C HEALTH RE	CORD WAY AHEAD		
Lead Agent	TRICARE MA	NAGEMENT	ACTIVITY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	IT-S
DoD Segment	HEALTH			GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Electronic Health Record Way Ahead (EHRWA) is a proposed Major Automated Information System program to replace/sunset current portfolio of DoD systems providing initial EHR capability, primarily AHLTA and CHCS. EHRWA will result in a longitudinal electronic health record available anywhere, anytime for the lifetime of every patient. EHRWA will promote Virtual Lifetime Electronic Record (VLER) initiative by being a source system for shared healthcare information.

The EHR resulting from EHRWA will deliver health information collected from multiple locations and sources that will be accessible to providers in both a clinical and theater setting. The collection of comprehensive, current and readily available health information will be directly leveraged to optimize medical care, monitor force health, manage health risks, and enhance individual performance. Successful fielding will result in improved fitness of the military force as seen by enhanced individual medical readiness status and improved population health.

A Material Development Decision was granted by Under Secretary of Defense, for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on May 24, 2010 for EHRWA to proceed into pre-program planning and analysis, allowing development of the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) to define a Preferred Alternative. Completion of the AoA initially targeted for December 2010. Phase I of the AoA focused on preliminary assessment of nine (9) alternatives; of which five (5) alternatives considered potentially viable and moved to Phase II for more detailed analysis.

In March 2011, the Secretaries of Defense and Veterans Affairs (VA) committed to jointly address the need to modernize their EHRs, and are currently working together to synchronize planning activities and implement a common approach known as the Integrated Electronic Health Record (iEHR). Many synergies and common business processes, including common data standards and data center consolidation, common clinical applications, and a common user interface have been identified. In September 2011, Milestone Decision Authority issued an Acquisition Decision Memorandum authorizing the DoD EHRWA Program Office to pursue foundational iEHR Enterprise Architecture activities working with the VA, additional development and maintenance activities related to the Blue Button and Personal Health Record, additional VLER Health Development and Deployment activities, and further stabilization of the existing EHR Systems.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	212,201	442,627	331,016	344,101
DEF HLTH PROG				
0605013HP 02-RDT&E	40,579	84,547	63,000	64,100
0807721HP 03-Procurement	140,405	233,200	104,600	204,200
0807793HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	31,217	124,880	163,416	75,801
DEF HLTH PROG Total	212,201	442,627	331,016	344,101

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	444.795	423.816	
FY 2013 President's Budget	442.627	331.016	-111.61
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-92.800	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Electronic Health Record Way Ahead (EHRWA) was granted a Material Development Decision in May, 2010. In March 2011, the Secretaries of Defense and Veterans Affairs (VA) committed to jointly address the need to modernize their EHRs, and are currently working together to synchronize planning activities and implement a common approach known as the Integrated Electronic Health Record (iEHR). In September, 2011, the EHRWA program was authorized to pursue joint foundational iEHR Enterprise Architecture activities. Decrease in the comparison of the FY 2012 to FY 2013 in the FY 2013 President's Budget (PB) is primarily a departmentally directed rebaselining of EHRWA as a result of the effort to establish a new joint DoD/VA iEHR approach.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Electronic Health Record Way Ahead (EHRWA) was granted a Material Development Decision in May, 2010. In March 2011, the Secretaries of Defense and Veterans Affairs (VA) committed to jointly address the need to modernize their EHRs, and are currently working together to synchronize planning activities and implement a common approach known as the Integrated Electronic Health Record (iEHR). In September, 2011, the EHRWA program was authorized to pursue joint foundational iEHR Enterprise Architecture activities. Decrease in the comparison of the FY 2012 to FY 2013 in the FY 2013 President's Budget (PB) is primarily a departmentally directed rebaselining of EHRWA as a result of the effort to establish a new joint DoD/VA iEHR approach.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Continued to make preparations to begin pending a milestone decision; stabilize the clinical data repository; provide critical enhancements to the current EHR as identified by the military Services, and to focus on the infrastructure components that will enable the delivery of clinical information technology in a more modular, services-based approach. Requirements documentation was developed and provided for processing within the acquisition process. Key contributions were made to core initiative planning regarding user interface and data exchange improvements to be demonstrated in a DoD facility.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Establish an integrated Development and Test Center / Environment (DTC/DTE) to facilitate joint DoD and VA capability integration, with emphasis on providing a SOA

Suite and Enterprise Service Bus (ESB) to determine data exchange alternatives between current systems as well as the path forward towards a common infrastructure, common business processes and common services. This will include defining an overall Data Strategy that will address how data will be managed, stored, discovered, accessed, and processed through the use of common data schemas, models, and structures. In addition, clinical capability risk reduction will be conducted via demonstrations and technology assessments.

Specific iEHR activities will include:

- Acquisition Planning
- Milestone A Business Capability Lifecycle (BCL) and Program Management Agreements (PMAs) Documentation
- Program Cost Estimate
- Foundation Capabilities
- Functional Requirements Baseline
- Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) Suite / ESB
- DTE
- Alpha Sites at DoD and VA facilities
- Architecture / Infrastructure / Data
- Target Architecture
- Data Strategy
- Foundational Infrastructure Components demonstration and prototyping
- Clinical Capability Risk Reduction: Demonstration, Prototyping, and Technology Insertion for Initial Capabilities (i.e., Pharmacy, Lab, Immunization, and Consult and Referral Management)
- Strategic and Program Planning
- Governance
- Regionalization
- iEHR Portfolio Laydown
- Transition Application Planning

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Perform activities necessary to obtain a Milestone B decision for Increment 1, as well as Investment Management activities for Increment 2. Priorities will include prototyping core foundational components within the data architecture, system architecture, and SOA framework, conducting best of breed/best value analysis on commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) / Government off-the-shelf (GOTS) products aligned to the capability prioritization provided by the clinical community, maturing the DTC/DTE, developing both development and operational test plans, performing risk assessments and risk reduction activities, and preparing for an Initial Operational Capability (IOC) event.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Specific annual plans and accomplishments will be better defined following the selection of the Preferred Alternative based on an Analysis of Alternatives (AoA). The ultimate goal of the EHR Way Ahead is to provide an electronic health record that fully supports the needs of our Service Members and beneficiaries as well as better support for the continuity of care by improved sharing of data between the DoD, the Department of Veterans Affairs and our other government and private partners.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### **Component**

TRICARE Management Activity

#### **Acquisition**

Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO)

#### **Program Management**

Ms. Paula Friedman Director, EHRWA Planning Office

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

# **Contract Information**

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton Inc

City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** Program Management Services

Function:

Name: Deloitte Consulting, LLP

City/State: Alexandria, VA

**Supported** Information Management contractor support

**Function:** 

Name: Deloitte Consulting, LLP

City/State: Alexandria, VA

Supported Program management support

Function:

Name: ER Williams, Inc. City/State: Silver Spring, MD

T	
<b>Contracts</b> -	Continued
Supported	Planning office business operations support
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	ICS Nett, Inc
City/State:	Vienna, VA
Supported	DTC Management Support Services
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Integrity Management Consulting
	McLean, VA
Supported	Program management/support services
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	MITRE, Corp.
City/State:	McLean, VA
Supported	Engineering support
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Netstar-I, Inc
City/State:	Rockville, MD
Supported Function:	Technical support
Name:	Planned Systems International
City/State: Supported	Columbia, MD Development and Testing Center Service
Function:	Development and Testing Center Service
Name:	Technology Automation, Inc.
City/State:	Falls Church, VA
Supported	Program management support
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Vangent, Inc
City/State:	Arlington, VA
Supported	CHDR/BHIE/VLER Sustainment
Function:	
1	

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Electronic Health Record (EHR) Way Ahead is a proposed Major Automated Information System program. Joint DoD/VA project. AoA

decision pending.

This project is for Initial Planning activities.

Planned Start Date: 2010-05-24 **Planned Completion Date:** 2012-07-10 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 22.403 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Project exceeds 18 months because although a Material Development Decision (MDD) was granted by Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition,

Technology & Logistics (USD (AT&L)) on May 24, 2010 for EHR Way Ahead to proceed into pre-program planning and analysis which initiated development of the Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) to provide a Preferred Alternative. The MDD also supported the development of acquisition planning documentation with a target to enter the formal acquisition process. Phase I of the AoA focused on preliminary assessment of nine (9) alternatives; five (5) of the alternatives were considered potentially viable and carried into the AoA Phase II for more detailed analysis. During the second quarter of FY11, TMA was directed to pause the AoA to support the joint program analysis efforts undertaken by the DoD and VA. On

March 17, 2011, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the VA agreed to jointly pursue a common EHR acquisition.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Alternative of Analysis/Business Process Reengineering/Technical	Planned: 2010-05-24	Planned: 2012-03-30	Planned: 14.895
Alternative Assessment (AoA/BPR/TAA)	Projected: 2010-05-24	Projected: 2012-03-30	Projected: 14.895
Description	Actual: 2010-05-24	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Present a coherent, defensible, and robust explanation for an EHRWA acquisition. Ensure budget, programmatic and operational impacts are considered. Departmental requirements must be fulfilled before programmatic decisions are made.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
PO Personnel Augmentation	Planned: 2010-05-	24 Planned: 2012-03-30	Planned: 5.562
	Projected: 2010-05-	24 Projected: 2012-03-30	Projected: 5.562
Description	Actual: 2010-05-	24 Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Definition of the integrated Electronic Health Record (iEHR) programmatic plans; support to the Integration Team and execution of tasks; financial management of the interdependent initiatives and, the integration of technical planning for the future state. This funding requirement provides personnel for continued programmatic support in the areas of engineering advisory, strategic planning and program management, and finance.

Activity Name	<b>Start Date</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Requirements Personnel Support (IM)	Planned: 2011-07-15	5 Planned: 2012-07-10	Planned: 1.945
	Projected: 2011-07-15	5 Projected: 2012-07-10	Projected: 1.945
Description	Actual: 2011-07-15	5 Actual:	Actual: 0.000

The DoD and the VA are defining a proposed joint requirements definition and management framework. This will serve as the methodology for managing requirements for the capabilities being prioritized into the Capability Sets. This funding requirement provides personnel for the requirements generation and management activities for the iEHR.

#### Project Name: Infrastructure for EHRWA (Initial Support)

Planned Start Date: 2011-01-26 Planned Completion Date: 2012-01-25 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 12.645 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Engineering planning, design, and implementation for the network layer and computing infrastructure support services (For this first Exhibit 300 (B)

this project is being called Initial Support for ease of reference. There was support provided from Jul 2010 through Jan 2011 but falls outside this

reporting period and therefore not included).

Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Infrastructure Personnel Augmentation	Planned:	2011-01-26	Planned:	2012-01-25	Planned:	12.645
	Projected:	2011-01-26	Projected:	2012-01-25	Projected:	12.645
Description	Actual:	2011-01-26	Actual:		Actual:	12.645
Program Management office support for the management of infrastructure System (MHS) common development, test and evaluation environments for coject Name: Infrastructure for EHRWA (Follow on Support)			ade as necessary	racinty to nost c	onsondated Willia	ry ricaiui
Planned Start Date: 2012-01-26 Planned Completion Date	e: 2013-01-25	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	13.146	(dollars in	millions)
Planned Start Date: 2012-01-26 Planned Completion Date  Description: Engineering planning, design, and implementation for Activity Name	r the network layer a		infrastructure			pport)
<b>Description:</b> Engineering planning, design, and implementation for	r the network layer a	and computing	infrastructure	support services	s. (Follow on Su	pport)
<b>Description:</b> Engineering planning, design, and implementation for <b>Activity Name</b>	r the network layer a <b>Start</b>	and computing t Date 2012-01-26	infrastructure Comple	support services etion Date	s. (Follow on Su <b>Total</b> (	pport) C <b>osts</b>

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The EHR Way Ahead investment will have multiple customers including the Combatant Commanders, Joint Task Force (JTF) Commanders, Theater Surgeons, Assistant Secretary Defense (Health Affairs (ASD (HA)), the Joint Staff, Military Departments' staffs, the Veterans Administration, and the individual warfighter. Direct users include: physicians, physician assistants, dentists, nurses, corpsmen, independent duty corpsmen, medical technicians, medical planners, and other medical support personnel.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The stakeholders of this project are broad in scope as this program is vital to the ability to maintain a warfighter's life-long medical record, medical situational awareness, and the Combatant Command's (COCOM's) command and control. Stakeholders include: the Commander-in-Chief, Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD(P&R)), Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs (ASD(HA)), Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO), Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Department of Veterans Affairs (VA).

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

The below are the planned activities but until the AoA gets approved and EHRWA (iEHR) becomes a formally approved program, detailed specific information by appropriation is not available.

RDTE will be used for prototying, development and integration.

Procurement will be used for licenses.

O&M will be used for program management support to include administration, budgeting, Acquisition, and acquisition documentation,

Perform activities necessary to obtain a Milestone B decision for Increment 1, as well as Investment Management activities for Increment 2. Priorities will include prototyping core foundational components within the data architecture, system architecture, and SOA framework, conducting best of breed/best value analysis on commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) / Government off-the-shelf (GOTS) products aligned to the capability prioritization provided by the clinical community, maturing the DTC/DTE, developing both development and operational test plans, performing risk assessments and risk reduction activities, and preparing for an Initial Operational Capability (IOC) event.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Specific annual plans and accomplishments will be better defined following the selection of the Preferred Alternative based on an Analysis of Alternatives (AoA).

RDTE will be used for prototying, development and integration.

Procurement will be used for licenses, pre-deployment and deployment activities.

O&M will be used for program management support to include administration, budgeting, Acquisition, and acquisition documentation.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1791	Acronym	EC		
Name of Investment	ENERGY COM	NVERGENCE			
Lead Agent	DEFENSE LO	GISTICS AGE	ENCY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAI	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

EC will meet the direction of the December 2003 Office of the Secretary of Defense PDM to merge the energy commodities into EBS and normalize the DLA supply chain process to support a single DLA ERP for all of DLA's business lines. Energy related system functions will be supported by EBS and the SAP O&G industry solution, and EProcurement to provide system support for DLA Energy.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	55,210	45,755	29,028	20,710
DWCF				
WCF, Defense				
0708205DS 20-N/A	55,210	45,755	29,028	20,710
DWCF Total	55,210	45,755	29,028	20,710

## **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012         FY 2013         vs FY 2013           FY 2012 President's Budget         50.923         41.761           FY 2013 President's Budget         45.755         29.028         -16.73
FY 2013 President's Budget 45.755 29.028 -16.73
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 -12.733

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

DWCF Capital increased by \$10.0M to fund the System Integrator efforts to perform the design, build, and test of software that will provide additional functionality associated with the natural gas, electricity, coal, aerospace energy, and petroleum commodities business transactions within the DLA Enterprise Business System (EBS).

DWCF Operations decreased by \$22.2M primarily due to DISA Processing funds being moved to the base line.

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

DWCF Capital decreased by \$3.0M primarily due to a reduction in System Integrator efforts to support the tech management area which includes working all issues associated with various environments (i.e. development, production, training, etc.). In addition, \$15.355 was reprogrammed to EC to support additional design efforts.

DWCF Operations increased by \$1.7M to fund DISA DECC server and network operations for the program and the associated cost increases from DISA DECC operations.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

FY 2011 accomplishments:

Blueprinting and testing of Release 1 (non-petroleum commodities) functionality

Design Release 2 (petroleum commodities) functionality

- -Completed the plan and analyze phase of the entire 4 year program
- -Established 562 detailed requirements that capture all the program's requirements in the Capability

**Development Document** 

- -Conducted the System Requirements Review, which formed the program's functional baseline
- -Developed the 80 business scenarios, foundation for the functional designs and test plans for the entire program
- -Conducted the Preliminary Design Review, Critical Design Review, Test Readiness Review for Release 1

- -Performed training for about 300 new users
- -Completed the design, build, and test phases for Release 1, which brought the non-petroleum commodities into DLA's Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system
- -Completed about half of the design phase for Release 2, which will bring in the petroleum commodity into DLA's ERP system

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY 2012 Accomplishments:

- Deployment of Release 1 (non- petroleum commodities) functionality
- Design, build, and test Release 2 (petroleum commodities) functionality

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

FY 2013 Accomplishments:

- Deployment of Release 2 (petroleum commodities) functionality
- Design, build, and test Release 3 (added functionalities including additional reporting capability, additional pipeline, planning, and quality data interfaces, automation of additional finance processes)

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

FY 2014- Deployment of Release 3

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**Component** 

Defense Logistics Agency

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Robert Dempsey Hackett

**Contract Information** No contract information is available.

## Milestones/Schedules

Planned Start Date: 2011-03-01	<b>Planned Completion Date: 2</b>	011-11-24	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	3.888	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Design, build, test, and	deployment of non-petroleum com	modities into th	e Enterprise B	usiness Syster	n.		
Activity Name		Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Release 1.0 Deployment		Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2011-11-24	Planned:	3.888
		Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2011-11-24	Projected:	3.888
Description		Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:	2011-11-24	Actual:	3.888
Conduct Production Readiness Review Key Deliverable: Production Readiness	C-1 11 C	ent and post relea	se support for er	id users.			
	Review (PRR)  Planned Completion Date: 20	013-10-24	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:		(dollars in	millions)
Key Deliverable: Production Readiness coject Name: Release 2.0 Planned Start Date: 2011-03-01	Review (PRR)  Planned Completion Date: 20	013-10-24 nodities into the	Planned Live	Cycle Cost: siness System		(dollars in	,
Key Deliverable: Production Readiness roject Name: Release 2.0  Planned Start Date: 2011-03-01  Description: Design, build, test, and	Review (PRR)  Planned Completion Date: 20	013-10-24 nodities into the	Planned Live Enterprise Bu	Cycle Cost: siness System	1.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,
Key Deliverable: Production Readiness roject Name: Release 2.0  Planned Start Date: 2011-03-01  Description: Design, build, test, and Activity Name	Review (PRR)  Planned Completion Date: 20	013-10-24 nodities into the	Planned Live e Enterprise Bu t Date	Cycle Cost: siness System Comple	ı. etion Date	Total (	Costs

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

DLA employees and service providers

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Military Services

## **Funding Accomplishments**

## Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

In FY 2013, DWCF Capital will fund the System Integrator to perform the design, build, and test of software that will provide additional functionality associated with the natural gas, electricity, coal, aerospace energy, and petroleum commodities business transactions within the DLA Enterprise Business System (EBS). Capital will also be used to purchase software licenses. DWCF Operations will be used to support the System Integrator efforts, will be provided to the Joint Interoperability Test Command (JTIC) to support operational and interoperability testing, and for program management office support.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

In FY 2014-2017, DWCF Capital will fund the System Integrator to complete the final release of software and to support the deployment of the software into the field, and to purchase additional software licenses. DWCF Operations will be used to support the System Integrator efforts, provided to the JTIC to support operational testing, and for program management office support.

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0510	Acronym	EI/DS							
Name of Investment	EXECUTIVE	EXECUTIVE INFORMATION/DECISION SUPPORT								
Lead Agent	TRICARE MA	TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY								
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY			Acquisition Category	NONE					
DoD Segment	HEALTH			GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS					

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

EI/DS is comprised of a central datamart Military Health System Data Repository (MDR) and several smaller datamarts: MHS Management Analysis and Reporting Tool (MART M2), Electronic Surveillance System for the Early Notification of Community-based Epidemics (ESSENCE), and Purchased Care Operations Systems -TRICARE Encounter Data (TED) & Patient Encounter Processing and Reporting (PEPR). Many of these operate within a Business Objects XI (BOXI) environment. EI/DS manages receipt, processing, and storage of over 155 terabytes of data from both Military Treatment Facilities (MTF) and the TRICARE purchased care network systems. These data include inpatient dispositions, outpatient encounters, laboratory, radiology, and pharmacy workload, TRICARE network patient encounter records, TRICARE mail order pharmacy patient encounter records, beneficiary demographics, MTF workload and cost information, eligibility and enrollment, Pharmacy Data Transaction Service data, customer satisfaction surveys, and data associated with the Wounded Warrior care. EI/DS provides centralized collection, storage and availability of data, in various data marts, to managers, clinicians, and analysts for the management of the business of health care.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	52,998	47,920	43,459	47,162
DEF HLTH PROG				
0605013HP 02-RDT&E	1,949	3,196	1,479	3,863
0807721HP 03-Procurement	620	0	0	110
0807793HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	50,429	44,724	41,980	43,189
DEF HLTH PROG Total	52,998	47,920	43,459	47,162

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	47.631	48.820	
FY 2013 President's Budget	47.920	43.459	-4.46
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-5.361	
<b>'</b>			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Differences between the FY 2013 as presented in FY 2012 PB compared to FY 2013PB is primarily due to:

- Methodical review and prioritization of services and functionalities to comply with departmentally directed management efficiencies. Contractor supported program management was reduced and several applications were decommissioned such as the Clinical Data Mart, Military Health System (MHS) Insight and Managed Care Forecasting and Analysis System (MCFAS). This results in a decrease in funding requirements in FY 2013.

(Special note: The increase from FY 2013 to FY 2014 O&M funding is that additional sustainment which will be required to maintain the upgrades to ESSENCE in FY 2013 and FY 2014.)

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Differences between the FY 2012 and the FY 2013 in the FY 2013 PB are primarily due to:

- Methodical review and prioritization of services and functionalities to comply with departmentally directed management efficiencies. Contractor supported program management was reduced and several applications were sunset such as the Clinical Data Mart, Military Health System (MHS) Insight and Managed Care Forecasting and Analysis System (MCFAS). This results in a decrease in funding requirements in FY 2013.
- -Additionally funds required in FY 2012 were not required to be programmed in FY 2013 since RDT&E activities associated with forecasting data within the Military Health System Data Repository application and the development of a data feed in support of service agencies completed.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Completed ESSENCE application COTS build out and configuration development environment. ESSENCE is a DoD-wide system that provides early detection of infectious disease outbreaks at medical treatment facilities.

Completed ESSENCE v4 (Block 3) Development, Integration, Testing (DIT) Cycle 2. ESSENCE v4 (Block 3) will enhance disposition (inpatient and outpatient) surveillance and analysis; chief compliant surveillance and analysis; and visibility of laboratory results details.

Continue development of the MHS Data Repository (MDR) Query Monitor to track Software Capability Evaluation (SCE) utilization and Protected Health Information (PHI) access

Implemented improvements made to data quality assurance tools in receiving source data

Sustained, and maintained applications, including program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, testing & evaluation, and security accreditation.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Sustain and maintain applications, including program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, testing & evaluation, and security accreditation.

Expand visibility of forecasting data within the MDR application and to develop data feed in support of service agencies. The Military Health System Data Repository (MDR) is the centralized data repository for the Department of Defense Military Health System (MHS) that captures, validates, and distributes health network data worldwide.

Begin implementing the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes within EI/DS, ESSENCE and MHS Management Analysis and Reporting Tool (M2)/MDR.

Develop analysis prototype and environment for ESSENCE.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Sustain and maintain applications, including program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, testing & evaluation, and security accreditation.

Continue enhancing ESSENCE capabilities for medical surveillance. Fielding upgrades and new releases.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Sustain and maintain applications, including program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, testing & evaluation, and security accreditation.

Continue enhancing ESSENCE capabilities for medical surveillance. Fielding upgrades and new releases. Additional O&M funding, above that for the sustainment mentioned above, will be used to sustain the upgrades to ESSENCE in FY 2013 and FY 2014.

## **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

**Component** 

TRICARE Management Activity

**Acquisition** 

Component Acquisition Executive (CAE), TMA

**Program Management** 

Mr. Mike Smith

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

#### **Contract Information**

Name: IBA

City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported DHSS Program Office Program Managment Support

Function:

Name: PSI

City/State: Columbia, MD

**Supported** Code Maintenance (Operations & Maintenance)

**Function:** 

Name: Vangent
City/State: Arlington, VA

**Supported** Application Support Operations & Maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: Vangent
City/State: Arlington, VA

Supported Data Processing Operations, security and maintenance support

Function:

Name: Vangent City/State: Arlington, VA

**Supported** Operations and maintenance

Function:

#### Milestones/Schedules

roject .	Name:	EI/DS	ennancements	;

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 Planned Completion Date: 2013-06-29 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 5.716 (dollars in millions)

Description: EI/DS provides centralized collection, storage and availability of data, in various data marts, to managers, clinicians, and analysts for the management

of the business of health care.

This data includes inpatient dispositions, outpatient encounters, laboratory, radiology, and pharmacy workload, TRICARE network patient encounter records, TRICARE mail order pharmacy patient encounter records, beneficiary demographics, MTF workload and cost information, eligibility and enrollment, Pharmacy Data Transaction Service data, customer satisfaction surveys, and data associated with the Wounded Warrior project.

The Military Health System Data Repository (MDR) is the centralized data repository for the Department of Defense Military Health System (MHS) that captures, validates, and distributes health network data worldwide.

This project is to expand visibility of forecasting data within the MDR application and to develop data feed in support of service agencies.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Provides centralized MDR data to the Services to support electronic billing	Planned: 2012-02-28	Planned: 2013-03-02	Planned: 1.083		
	Projected: 2012-02-28	Projected: 2013-03-02	Projected: 1.083		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		
Supports Centralized Billing for Medical Services					
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Enhance Business Analysis Reporting	Planned: 2012-05-31	Planned: 2013-03-02	Planned: 2.811		
	Projected: 2012-05-31	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 2.811		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		
Delivers additional data elements functionality					
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>		
ESSENCE enhancement	Planned: 2012-06-30	Planned: 2013-06-29	Planned: 1.822		
	Projected: 2012-06-30	Projected: 2013-06-29	Projected: 1.822		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Physicians and business planners at Military Treatment Facilities and clinics,

Environmental and Preventive Medicine personnel,

TRICARE Management Activity personnel,

Veterans Administration, Managed Care Support Contractors, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Military Departments

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Health Protection and Readiness
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Clinical and Program Policy
Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense, Health Budgets and Financial Policy
Military Services' Surgeons General

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

EI/DS funding will support:

O&M - Sustain and maintain applications, including program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, testing & evaluation, and security accreditation.

RDT&E - Continue enhancing ESSENCE capabilities for medical surveillance, and fielding upgrades and new releases.

## Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

EI/DS funding FY 2014 - FY 2017 will support the following:

O&M - Sustain and maintain applications, including program management, software upgrades, information assurance procedures, software maintenance fixes, testing & evaluation, and security accreditation.

Procurement - Funding for hardware refresh.

RDT&E - Funding to support the transition of Clincal Data Mart functionality to the Health Services Data Warehouse (HSDW) and continued ESSENCE capabilities enhancements for medical surveillance as well as associated sustainment for these enhancements. Fielding upgrades and new releases.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0483	Acronym	ECSS							
Name of Investment	EXPEDITIONARY COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM									
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE								
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	PRE-MDAP					
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAII	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS					

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

ECSS supports Agile Combat Support, the AF's part of the Focused Logistics joint functional concept, an enabling mission spanning the full spectrum of military operations. It also supports the eLog21 campaign. eLog21 is designed to transition Air Force logistics' processes from the current reactionary, functionally stove piped processes to an anticipatory (planning-based), cross-functional (highly trained), integrated (fully visible by all parties), high performance (new metrics) operation. These gaps in performance are most notably seen in the approximately 240 legacy information systems currently in use. ECSS will transform the AF logistics enterprise by redesigning business processes and implementing best business practices contained in an ERP COTS suite. ECSS will support over 250K users and replace approximately 240 legacy Information Technology systems with capabilities in product support; business intelligence; supply chain management; expeditionary logistics command & control; maintenance, repair and overhaul; PLM; and financial management. ECSS will be a network/information-centric logistics system using web technology, based upon interoperability and horizontal connectivity across the spectrum of the logistics functions necessary to support the warfighter. It will be the information tool that provides logistics operators, planners and warfighters, at the joint and AF levels, a fused, integrated, near real-time, accurate logistics picture thereby enabling visibility into and control of the logistics pipeline. ECSS will support expeditionary logistics for the Expeditionary AF in deployed and garrison environments. The ECSS program has been approved for Increment 1 only.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	277,437	288,030	187,853	308,168
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0708560F 01-N/A	1,764	1,520	1,530	1,570
0708560F 02-N/A	0	76	78	81
MILPERS Total	1,764	1,596	1,608	1,651
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0708561F 04-Logistics Operations	7,110	7,921	8,188	8,366
0708610F 04-Logistics Operations	41,479	82,835	57,959	94,758
Operations Total	48,589	90,756	66,147	103,124
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0708610F 03-GCSS-AF FOS	9,500	55,793	771	32,335
Procurement Total	9,500	55,793	771	32,335
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0708610F 07-Expeditionary Combat Support System	217,584	139,885	119,327	171,058
RDT&E Total	217,584	139,885	119,327	171,058

# **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget       289.204       159.973         FY 2013 President's Budget       288.030       187.853       -100.18         Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013       27.880	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
	FY 2012 President's Budget	289.204	159.973	
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 27.880	FY 2013 President's Budget	288.030	187.853	-100.18
	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		27.880	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

FY13			Change	e	
	FY12 PB	FY13 PB	Amount	%	
Operations (O&M) 3400	72.524	57.959	(14.565)	(20.1)	FY13 funding was adjusted to align program to Independent Cost Estimate (ICE) completed by
OSD/CAPE for the Feb 20	11 Critical Ch	ange Report.			
Other Procurement 3080	31.171	.771	(30.400)	(97.5)	FY13 funding was adjusted to align program to ICE completed by OSD/CAPE for the Feb 2011
Critical Change Report.					
RDT&E 3600	47.004	119.327	72.323	153.9	FY13 funding was adjusted to align program to ICE completed by OSD/CAPE for the Feb 2011
Critical Change Report.					

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

FY13 PB			Chang	ge	
	2012 (CY)	2013 (BY)	Amount	%	
Operations (O&M) 3400	82.835	57.959	(24.876)	(30.0)	FY13 funding reduction due to Systems Integrator development and test delays to both Release 1 Pilot
C and D, planned fielding	activities and tl	he subsequen	ıt sustainme	ent of EC	CSS Increment 1 was also shifted. This shift drives planned sustainment costs out of FY13.
Other Procurement 3080	55.793	.771	(55.022)	(98.6)	Other procurement requirements for Release 1 were scheduled to be procured in FY12.
DDE0 E 2/00	120.005	110.227	(20.550)	(1.4.7)	1 1FOCC P.1 1 (4 1 CFV12 1 1 1 Cf. C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C
RDT&E 3600	139.885	119.327	(20.558)	(14.7)	As the program reached FOC for Release 1 at the end of FY13, a draw down of the System Integrator is
planned.					

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- 1. Achieved ECSS Increment 1 Pilot A (Foundational Configuration and Base Vehicle Management) Go-Live on time at Hanscom, Scott, Warner Robins, Langley, Wright Patterson, and DFAS in July 2010.
- 2. Achieved ECSS Increment 1 Pilot B (Base Equipment Management) Go-Live on time at Hanscom, Scott, Warner Robins, Langley, Wright Patterson, and DFAS in December 2010.
- 3. Worked with customer and contractor to add Mobile Supply Chain (MSC) capabilities which enable disconnected operations on mobile computing devices.
- 4. Established a Joint Risk Management Board with the system integrator to identify and mitigate risks affecting ECSS--providing an integrated approach for proactively managing risks so they don't become issues.
- 5. Worked with customer and all Air Force MAJCOMs to build fielding plan for 40,000 users across 186 sites.
- 6. Successfully completed a Critical Change Report (CCR) and notified Congress on new preferred alternative on Piloting approach.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

1. Completion of Critical Change Report (CY=2012)

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

1. Milestone B Decision (BY=FY13)

## FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

ECSS will complete prototyping activities and continue Program Office Support.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

AF/A4I

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

## **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

Kevin Keck

AFMC AFPEO/ELS

# **Contract Information**

Name: CSC

City/State: Beavercreek, OH Supported System Integrator

Function:

Name: Oracle America, Inc

City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** Oracle Software Suite

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Increment 1: Blueprinting									
Planned Start Date: 2005-08-31 Planned Completion Date:	2009-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	534.589	(dollars in	millions)			
<b>Description:</b> Increment 1: Blueprinting (Tech Demo) - Base Materia	l & Equipment Ma	anagement: Blu	eprinting						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs			
Blueprinting	Planned:	2005-08-31	Planned:	2009-09-29	Planned:	534.589			
	Projected:	2005-08-31	Projected:	2009-09-29	Projected:	534.589			
Description	Actual:	2005-08-31	Actual:	2009-09-29	Actual:	534.610			
Blueprinting (Tech Demo) - Base Material & Equipment Management: Blu	eprinting								
Project Name: Increment 1: Pilot A									
Planned Start Date: 2009-09-30 Planned Completion Date:	2010-12-20	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	76.000	(dollars in	millions)			
<b>Description:</b> Foundational Configuration and Base Vehicle Management									
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs			
Increment 1 Pilot A "Go-Live"	Planned:	2009-09-30	Planned:	2010-07-31	Planned:	67.113			
	Projected:	2009-09-30	Projected:	2010-07-31	Projected:	67.113			
Description	Actual:	2009-09-30	Actual:	2010-07-31	Actual:	62.946			
Increment 1: Implementation Pilot A - "Go-Live" - Foundational Configura	tion and Base Vehic	le Management							
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs			
Increment 1 Pilot A Support	Planned:	2010-08-01	Planned:	2010-12-06	Planned:	8.887			
	Projected:	2010-08-01	Projected:	2010-12-20	Projected:	8.887			
Description	Actual:	2010-08-01	Actual:	2010-12-10	Actual:	8.247			
Increment 1: Implementation Pilot A - Pilot Support Foundational Config	guration and Base V	ehicle Manageme	ent.						
Project Name: Increment 1: Pilot B									
Planned Start Date: 2009-09-30 Planned Completion Date:	2011-10-15	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	134.182	(dollars in	millions)			

Milestones - Continued							
<b>Description:</b> Base Equipment Management							
Activity Name	Start Date		Completion Date		<b>Total Costs</b>		
Increment 1 Pilot B "Go-Live"	Planned:	2009-09-30	Planned:	2010-12-20	Planned:	88.426	
	Projected:	2009-09-30	Projected:	2010-12-20	Projected:	88.426	
Description	Actual:	2009-09-30	Actual:	2010-12-20	Actual:	81.618	
Increment 1: Implementation Pilot B - "Go-Live" - Base Equipment Manage	ment.						
Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>		
Increment 1 Pilot B Support	Planned:	2010-12-07	Planned:	2011-10-15	Planned:	45.755	
	Projected:	2010-12-21	Projected:	2011-10-15	Projected:	45.755	
Description	Actual:	2010-12-21	Actual:		Actual:	25.438	
Increment 1: Implementation Pilot B - Pilot Support - Base Equipment Mana	gement						
Project Name: Increment 1: Pilot C							
Planned Start Date: 2009-09-30 Planned Completion Date:	2012-06-15 <b>Planned Live</b>		e Cycle Cost: 428.268		(dollars in millions)		
<b>Description:</b> Base Materiel and Equipment Management			•				
Activity Name	Start	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Increment 1 Pilot C "Go-Live"	Planned:	2009-09-30	Planned:	2012-04-17	Planned:	276.816	
	Projected:	2009-09-30	Projected:	2012-04-17	Projected:	276.816	
Description	Actual:	2009-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	210.704	
Increment 1: Implementation Pilot C - "Go-Live" - Base Materiel and Equip	ment Management						
Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>		
Increment 1 Pilot C Support	Planned:	2011-10-16	Planned:	2012-06-15	Planned:	151.452	
	Projected:	2011-10-16	Projected:	2012-06-15	Projected:	151.452	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
Increment 1: Implementation Pilot C - Pilot Support - Base Materiel and Eq	uipment Manageme	ent					

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The primary Customer is AF/A4, however the total ECSS Customer population of up to 250,000 users at all Air Force installations worldwide. ECSS Customers will include all Air Force Major Commands, Direct Reporting Units (DRUs), and other AF subordinate agencies and organizations. Additionally, the Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard will use the ECSS on a global basis. ECSS will also include Customers from other organizations, bureaus, and agencies external to the Air Force, including the Navy, Army, Marine Corps, Defense Finance Accounting Service (DFAS), Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), DoD, and various North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) countries.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

ECSS stakeholders include AF/A4, DoD Joint warfighting commanders, USAF warfighting, logistics, and Acquisition commanders, OSD, Joint Staff, and Electronic Systems Center ESC).

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

FY13 O&M (3400) activities: \$57.959M

- \$ 8.000M Organizational Change Management
- \$ 4.000M Software License Maintenance
- \$12.634M DISA Services
- \$16.150M Legacy Remediation
- \$16.175M Logistic Transformation Office (LTO)
- \$ 1.000M CIE Hardware/Software Maintenance

FY13 Procurement (3080) activities: \$0.771M

- \$0.771 End-User Software Licenses

FY13 RDT&E (3600) activities: \$119.327M

- \$81.031M System Integration and Legacy Remediation
- \$13.875M Data Cleansing, Preparation and Readiness
- \$ 3.810M Test and Evaluation Support
- \$20.611M Program Office Support

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14 O&M (3400) activities: \$94.758

- \$34.759M Sustainment, Increment 1
- \$ 8.250M Organizational Change Management
- \$ 4.100M Software License Maintenance
- \$20.240M DISA Services
- \$ 1.000M CIE Hardware/Software Maintenance
- \$12.695M Legacy Remediation
- \$13.714M Logistic Transformation Office (LTO)

FY14 Procurement (3080) activities: \$32.335M

-\$32.335M End User Software License

FY14 RDT&E (3600) activities: \$171.058M

- \$128.058M System Integration and Legacy Remediation
- \$ 14.500M Data Cleansing, Preparation and Readiness
- \$ 5.500M Test and Evaluation Support
- \$ 20.500M Program Office Support

FY15 O&M (3400) activities: \$89.840M

- \$31.366M Sustainment, Increment 1
- \$ 8.500M Organizational Change Management
- \$ 4.300M Software License Maintenance
- \$21.000M DISA Services
- \$ 1.000M CIE Hardware/Software Maintenance
- \$12.155M Legacy Remediation
- \$11.519M Logistic Transformation Office (LTO)

FY15 Procurement (3080) activities: \$37.467M

-\$37.467M End User Software License

FY15 RDT&E (3600) activities: \$137.291M

- \$ 95.791 System Integration and Legacy Remediation
- \$ 14.500M Data Cleansing, Preparation and Readiness
- \$ 6.000M Test and Evaluation Support
- \$ 21.000M Program Office Support

FY16 O&M (3400) activities: \$81.028M

- \$30.077M Sustainment, Increment 1
- \$ 8.750M Organizational Change Management
- \$ 4.400M Software License Maintenance
- \$ 7.750M DISA Services
- \$20.898M Legacy Remediation
- \$ 9.153M Logistic Transformation Office (LTO)

FY16 Procurement (3080) activities: \$68.699M

- \$ 68.699M Hardware Tech Refresh

FY16 RDT&E (3600) activities: \$88.802M

- \$ 57.802M System Integration and Legacy Remediation
- \$10.000M Data Cleansing, Preparation and Readiness
- \$ 3.000M Test and Evaluation Support
- \$ 18.000M Program Office Support

FY17 O&M (3400) activities: \$69.195M

- -\$47.078M Sustainment, Increment 1
- \$ 4.600M Software License Maintenance
- -\$ 8.000M DISA Services
- -\$ 9.517M Logistic Transformation Office (LTO)

FY17 Procurement (3080) activities: \$7.362M

- \$7.362M Hardware Tech Refresh

FY17 RDT&E (3600) activities: \$3.734M - \$3.734M Program Office Support

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0314	Acronym	GFEBS		
Name of Investment	GENERAL FU	JND ENTERPI	RISE BUSINESS SYSTEM		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	FINANCIAL N	MANAGEMEN	NT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS) will become the Department of the Army's new core financial management system for administering its General Fund to improve performance, standardize processes and ensure it can meet future needs. GFEBS shall be capable of supporting the Department of Defense (DoD) with accurate, reliable and timely financial information, in peacetime and in war. GFEBS is a commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system that is certified by the Chief Financial Officer's Council (CFOC) and provides the six core financial functions (United States General Ledger (USGL), Cost Management, Funds Control, Payable Management, Receivable Management and Reports). GFEBS will allow senior leaders to make informed decisions on a real time system. This system supports the "Future Force" transition path of the Army Campaign Plan (ACP).

The fielding of GFEBS will close deficiencies within today's financial systems such as: lack of transaction-based general ledger controls, non-standard general ledger charts of accounts, lack of integrated, accurate, accessible and relevant in near real time financial data, lack of traceability of cost transactions, limited visibility of Real Property (fixed asset valuation) and absence of linkage between budget and performance information. GFEBS will replace over 80 systems by FY17. Once fully deployed in FY12, GFEBS will provide Army decision makers with full cost visibility capabilities for financial management.

#### GFEBS goals include:

- Provide decision support information to sustain Army Warfighting capability
- Furnish analytic data and tools to support Institutional Adaptation
- Reduce the cost of business operations
- Improve accountability and stewardship

GFEBS will move the Army from a "spend and consume culture" to a "cost and control culture" creating benefits for Congress, DOD and Army Leadership, the Soldier and the financial management community within the Army.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	192,559	89,394	64,896	64,660
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0308610A 04-Servicewide Communications	79,800	63,142	0	0
0308698A 04-Administration	2,151	0	0	0
0708610A 04-Logistic Support Activities	205	0	0	0
0908610A 04-Base Operations Support	0	0	59,863	57,424
Operations Total	82,156	63,142	59,863	57,424
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0219900A 02-GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM	97,309	25,459	4,216	6,414
Procurement Total	97,309	25,459	4,216	6,414
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0604822A 05-GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEB	13,094	793	0	0
0605013A 05-ENTERPRISE ARMY WORKLOAD & PERFORMANCE SYS (E	0	0	817	822
RDT&E Total	13,094	793	817	822

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	84.417	59.943	
FY 2013 President's Budget	89.394	64.896	-24.50
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		4.953	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OMA: \$4.078M Increase (7%)

GFEBS deployment was delayed six months. As a result, ramped up helpdesk support is required to support the number of new users who will begin using the system in FY12.

OPA: \$.058M Increase (1%)

The increase is to support pre-planned product improvements.

RDTE: \$.817M Increase (100%)

The increase is to support potential development and test of the Procure-to-Pay initiative.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMA: \$3.279M Decrease (5%)

The GFEBS helpdesk will be ramped down slightly in FY13 as users who have been using the system for several years should begin to submit fewer helpdesk tickets.

OPA: \$21.243M Decrease (83%)

GFEBS will be fully deployed in FY12. As a result, there is a significant decrease in the OPA requirement which is currently used to fund deployment efforts.

RDTE: \$0.024M Increase (3%)

The increase is to support potential development and test of the Procure-to-Pay initiative.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- Received Full Deployment Decision (FDD) from Milestone Decision Authority (Jun 2011)
- Successfully deployed GFEBS to over 25,000 end users at Wave 3 (Oct 2010), Wave 4 (Jan 2011), Wave 5 (Apr 2011) and Wave 6 (Jul 2011) sites
- Fielded Release 1.4.2 (Oct 2010) and 1.4.3 functionality (Jul 2011)
- Continued to conduct initial training in preparation for deployment and On-Site Support training after deployment
- Continued preparing for the final Waves of deployment (Wave 7: Oct 2011, Wave 8a: Apr 2012, Wave 8b: Jul 2012)
- Continued to sustain Helpdesk and Maintenance for installations already live
- Completed Design Phase for final development release to be fielded Dec 2011

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Successfully deploy to remaining Waves of end users (Wave 7: Oct 2011; Wave 8a: Apr 2012; Wave 8b: Jul 2012)
- Field functionality of final development release (Dec 2011)
- Continue to conduct initial training in preparation for deployment and On-Site Support training after deployment for remaining Waves
- Conduct Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) testing
- Reach Full Deployment (FD) (Jul 2012)
- Continue to sustain Helpdesk and Maintenance for installations already live

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

All BY accomplishments will be associated with system maintenance, enhancements and continuous improvements as expected from a program in the sustainment phase. Examples are maintaining a fully functioning Helpdesk and releasing software patches to fix bugs or functionality required as identified in helpdesk tickets.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Operations & maintenance, enhancements and continuous improvements of fielded solution and some technology refresh. Specifically, maintaining a fully functioning Helpdesk, releasing software patches to fix bugs or functionality required as identified in helpdesk tickets and replacing servers which may be end-of-life.

# **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

ASA(FM&C)

**Component** 

Department of the Army

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

COL Patrick Burden

PM GFEBS

#### **Contract Information**

Name: Accenture Federal Services, LLC

**City/State:** Reston, VA **Supported** System Integrator

Function:

Name: Binary Group, Inc. City/State: Arlington, VA

**Supported** Program Management Support Services

**Function:** 

Name: Carahsoft Technology Corporation

City/State: Reston, VA

Supported software maintenance

Function:

Name: CDW Government LLC

City/State: Vernon Hills, IL

**Supported** Equipment maintenance

Function:

Name: Cellco Partnership/Verizon Wireless

City/State: Bedminster, NJ Supported Wireless services

Function:

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: Hewlett Packard City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** software maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: iLuMiNa Solutions Incorporated

City/State: California, MD

Supported Technical Management Support Services

Function:

Name: Iron Bow Technologies LLC

City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** Original equipment maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: Iron Bow Technologies, LLC.

City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** Original equipment maintenance

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation

City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** Global Exchange Integration Support

Function:

Name: Oracle America, Inc.
City/State: Redwood City, CA
Supported software maintenance

**Function:** 

#### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Project Manager General Fund Enterprise Business System (PM GFEBS)

Planned Start Date: 2005-06-24 Planned Completion Date: 2021-12-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1,361.265 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** GFEBS will become the Department of the Army's new core financial management system for administering its General Fund to improve performance, standardize processes and ensure it can meet future needs. GFEBS shall be capable of supporting DoD with accurate, reliable, and timely financial information, in peacetime and in war. GFEBS is a commercial off-the-shelf Enterprise Resource Planning system certified by the

Chief Financial Officer's Council (CFOC), providing the six core financial functions (United States General Ledger (USGL), Cost Management, Funds Control, Payable Management, Receivable Management and Reports). Reports will allow senior leaders to make informed decisions on a real

time system and supports the "Future Force" transition path of the Army Campaign Plan.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Complete Release 1.4	Planned: 2008-07-	Planned: 2011-12-15	Planned: 248.670
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000
Description	Actual: 2009-10-	01 Actual: 2011-12-31	Actual: 248.670
r			
Release 1.4 will provide full capability at all SOMARDS locatio		ludes Releases 1.4.1, 1.4.2, 1.4.3 and	d 1.4.4.
•		ludes Releases 1.4.1, 1.4.2, 1.4.3 and Completion Date	d 1.4.4.  Total Costs
Release 1.4 will provide full capability at all SOMARDS locatio	ons (includes Waves 7, 8a and 8b). This inc	<b>Completion Date</b>	
Release 1.4 will provide full capability at all SOMARDS locatio  Activity Name	ons (includes Waves 7, 8a and 8b). This inc <b>Start Date</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The prime customer for this investment is the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Financial Management & Compotroller (ASA(FM&C)). The end product expected by ASA(FM&C) is an auditable financial system which meets the requirements of the Chief Financial Officer's Act and Federal Financial Management Improvement Act requirements.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Stakeholders for this investment include the Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Mgmt (ACSIM), Headquarters, Department of the Army staffs, Army Service Component Command, United States Army Acquisition Support Center, Direct Reporting Units, Army Commands, and Defense Finance and Accounting Service. Each of these groups has voting member on the GFEBS Executive Steering Committe, the governing body established by the ASA(FM&C) to provide management, oversight, and direction of the GFEBS project.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

RDT&E: \$.817M - FY13 RDTE funding is seed money to support the Procure-to-Pay effort.

OPA: \$4.216M - FY13 OPA dollars support software procurement, new hardware, hardware refresh and pre-planned product improvement.

OMA: \$59.863M - FY13 OMA dollars will support the GFEBS PM office, Operations and Support (O&S) activities which include Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 Helpdesk support for the fully deployed solution, break-fix solutions, hardware and software maintenance, facilities, training sustainment, Security, Accreditations, Primary and Secondary Data Center support and support contractor efforts.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

BY+1:

RDTE: \$.822M - FY14 RDTE funding is seed money to support the Procure-to-Pay effort.

OPA: \$6.414M - FY14 OPA dollars support software procurement, new hardware, hardware refresh and pre-planned product improvement.

OMA: \$57.424M - FY14 OMA dollars will support the GFEBS PM office, Operations and Support (O&S) activities which include Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 Helpdesk support for the fully deployed solution, break-fix solutions, hardware and software maintenance, facilities, training sustainment, Security, Accreditations, Primary and Secondary Data Center support and support contractor efforts.

BY+2:

RDTE: \$0.777M - FY15 RDTE funding is seed money to support the Procure-to-Pay effort.

OPA: \$2.122M - FY15 OPA dollars support software procurement, new hardware, hardware refresh and pre-planned product improvement.

OMA: \$52.861M - FY15 OMA dollars will support the GFEBS PM office, Operations and Support (O&S) activities which include Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 Helpdesk support for the fully deployed solution, break-fix solutions, hardware and software maintenance, facilities, training sustainment, Security, Accreditations, Primary and Secondary Data Center support and support contractor efforts.

BY+3:

RDTE: \$0.747M - FY16 RDTE funding is seed money to support the Procure-to-Pay effort.

OPA: \$7.278M - FY16 OPA dollars support a major technology refresh.

OMA: \$50.619M - FY16 OMA dollars will support the GFEBS PM office, Operations and Support (O&S) activities which include Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 Helpdesk support for the fully deployed solution, break-fix solutions, hardware and software maintenance, facilities, training sustainment, Security, Accreditations, Primary and Secondary Data Center support and support contractor efforts.

BY+4:

RDTE: \$0.759M - FY17 RDTE funding is seed money to support the Procure-to-Pay effort.

OPA: \$0.341M- FY17 OPA dollars support software procurement, new hardware, hardware refresh and pre-planned product improvement.

OMA: \$51.431M - FY17 OMA dollars will support the GFEBS PM office, Operations and Support (O&S) activities which include Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 Helpdesk support for the fully deployed solution, break-fix solutions, hardware and software maintenance, facilities, training sustainment, Security, Accreditations, Primary and Secondary Data Center support and support contractor efforts.

## **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	0880	Acronym	GBS		
Name of Investment	GLOBAL BRO	OADCAST SEI	RVICE		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MDAP
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	ZS .	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Global Broadcast Service (GBS) provides worldwide, high-capacity, one-way transmission of video, imagery, and other large data files in support of joint military forces in garrison, in transit, and in theater using satellite technology. GBS augments existing military satellite communication systems; however combat operational experience in Operation Iraqi FREEDOM has shown that GBS can be the primary source of war fighter information for users (especially special operation forces). Using wireless GBS satellite receiver systems, military users afloat and ashore receive live and recorded video information, large data files such as weather maps and imagery, and services to perform their missions, while retaining mobility afforded by communication.

The GBS system includes fixed and transportable transmit suites that collect information products from national and sources. The transmit suites assemble these information products into broadcasts that are transmitted over communication payloads on military and leased commercial satellite services. A GBS receive suite that is within the footprint of the GBS satellite beams receives the information products that are being broadcast and then information provided to local users. GBS is executing to meet all of the Operational Requirements Document (ORD) threshold requirements that have been validated and funded within the Acquisition Program Baseline (APB).

GBS achieved a major program milestone when Air Force Space Command declared Initial Operational Capability (IOC) 1 for GBS on 12 December 2003. GBS also successfully completed Multiservice Operational Test & Evaluation (MOT&E) 1 and 2 in 1st Qtr FY06 and 3rd Qtr FY07 which provided operational proof of the Internet Protocol (IP) capabilities. Beyond Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) was approved 13 April 2007 which allowed the continuation of production. IOC 2/3 declaration was approved on 22 October 2008.

In December 2006 the decision was made to transition current Satellite Broadcast Managers (SBM) to the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) Defense Enterprise Computing Center (DECC). The contract for this effort was awarded to Lockheed Martin on 15 May 2009. A Receive Suite production IDIQ contract for FY09 and FY10 procurement was awarded 30 September 09. A new IDIQ procurement contract will be competed in FY10 to procure new Joint Internet Protocol Modem (JIPM) baseline receive suites.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	89,502	115,215	99,166	71,743
MILPERS		·		
Mil Pers, AF				
0603840F 01-N/A	592	603	624	644
MILPERS Total	592	603	624	644
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0303601F 01-Global C3I And Early Warning	14,842	14,673	16,645	15,736
0303605F 01-Global C3I And Early Warning	11,671	13,260	12,529	11,998
O&M, MC				
0206313M 01-Field Logistics	0	0	1,520	(
0708012M 01-Field Logistics	0	0	970	998
Operations Total	26,513	27,933	31,664	28,732
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0303601F 03-MILSATCOM SPACE	28,543	16,117	0	(
Other Proc, Army				
0310703A 02-GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS	4,561	64,774	47,131	40,806
Procurement, MC				1.56
0206313M 04-RADIO SYSTEMS	0	157	5,095	1,561
Procurement Total	33,104	81,048	52,226	42,367
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0303601F 07-MILSTATCOM Terminals	3,500	0	0	(
0603840F 05-Satellite Broadcast Manager (SBM)	25,793	5,631	14,652	(
RDT&E Total	29,293	5,631	14,652	C

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	126.902	77.881	
FY 2013 President's Budget	115.215	99.166	-16.05
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		21.285	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Single year of Navy Maintenance OCO funding for \$1.52M.

Programming of \$970K Navy Acquisition for PE708012 to procure receive suites.

Programming of \$5.095M in Navy Acquisition for PE206313 to procure receive suites.

No change in Army Acquisition PE310703.

No change for PE 33601 CS.

9% increase in PE33601 OMAF reflects the start of dual simultaneous operations of broadcast facilities. GBS will start to transmit from the new DISA facilities as well as from the current broadcast locations. Both systems will be operational until all users are fully compatible with the DISA generated broadcast.

No change for PE 33065 O&M.

No change for PE 63840 CS.

527% increase in PE36840F RDT&E reflects the completion of efforts to transfer Satellite Broadcast Management (SBM) functionality to Defense Enterprise Computing Centers and installation costs at DISA that started in FY09.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Single year of Navy Maintenance OCO funding for \$1.52M.

First year of Navy Acquisition funding for \$970K.

Increase of \$4.938M in Navy Acquisition for PE206313.

27% decrease in Army Acquisition reflects realignment of planned procurement activities.

Air Force Acquistion PE33601 not funded; to be addressed in year of execution.

3% increase for PE33601 Civilian Operations Staffing.

14% increase in PE33601 OMAF for sustaining engineering support and continuation of existing software maintenance efforts associated with the current software baseline. This software will be replaced when the DECC based architecture is fully operational.

6% decrease in PE33605 OMAF reflects adjustments in contractor logistics support at current SBM sites and revised estimate for DISA costs at the new DECC-based SBM sites.

3.5% increase for PE63840 Military Staffing.

160% increase in PE36840F RDT&E reflects the completion of efforts to transfer Satellite Broadcast Management (SBM) functionality to Defense Enterprise Computing Centers and installation costs at DISA that started in FY09.

# **Program Accomplishments**

### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

Continued efforts to transfer broadcast capabilities from current stovepipe broadcast facilities into facilities managed by DISA

Continued design efforts on Rucksack Portable Receive Suites

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Complete design efforts to transfer broadcast capabilities from current stovepipe broadcast facilities into facilities managed by DISA. Begin system test by outside test organizations.

Complete design efforts on Rucksack Portable Receive Suites and extablish a production baseline.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Complete testing efforts to transfer broadcast capabilities from current stovepipe broadcast facilities into facilities managed by DISA. Once testing is completed and and accepted, recurring operations can transfer to the sustainment community. Completes non-recurring engineering and installation of SBM Operations at DECC facilities. Ensures Operational Tests are completed in order to receive Authority to Operate (ATO) and transition broadcast capabilities from legacy broadcast facilities to DISA DECC facilities. OSD directed transition to DECCs to ensure continued technical viability of the system and proper O&M funding in the future.

Begin ordering Rucksack Portable Receive Suites (RPRS). The RPRS supports a single classified security enclave and supports at least a single broadcast transponder. It also provides receive capabilities required by Special Operations in deployed forward operations areas.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

System in sustainment. GBS provides the warfighter with a continuous flow of high-speed, high-volume multimedia communications and information flow for deploying, deployed, on the move, and garrisoned forces. There are currently over 1500 users among all branches of service.

Continue ordering receive suites for warfighter as requried and as budget allows

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

SMC/MC

#### **Component**

Department of the Air Force

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Durante, Donna M

ESC/HNSB

## **Contract Information**

Name: General Dynamics

C4 Systems Inc

City/State: Taunton, MA

**Supported** Procurement of receive suites fro GBS users.

Function:

Name: Lockheed Martin Corportation Information Systems & Global Services

City/State: Gaithersburg, MD

**Supported** Prime contractor responsible for the transition of current SBM broadcast capabilities to DISA DECC facilities.

Function:

Name: Raytheon Company

Intelligence and Information Systems

City/State: Reston, VA

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** Procurement of receive suites for GBS users.

**Function:** 

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: SBM DECC Transition						
·	2012 00 20	DI 17.	G 1 G 4	122 202	(1.11.	•111•
Planned Start Date: 2008-10-01 Planned Completion Date:		Planned Live	-		(dollars in	· ·
<b>Description:</b> Transitions current GBS broadcast capabilities from curr						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total	
Prime Contractor passes System Acceptance Test	Planned:	2010-03-12	Planned:	2012-03-20	Planned:	21.220
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-03-20	Projected:	21.220
Description	Actual:	2010-03-12	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Contractor performs System Acceptanced Test per the test plans and test proc	edures developed	on the program i	in accordance w	ith design specific	cations.	
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
System Operational Test	Planned:	2012-03-21	Planned:	2012-05-25	Planned:	3.165
	Projected:	2012-03-21	Projected:	2012-05-25	Projected:	3.165
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Prime contractor passes System Operational Test as defined by the test plans	and procedures de	veloped on ths pr	rogram in accor	dance with design	specifications.	
Project Name: Receive Suite Activities						
Planned Start Date: 2010-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012 00 20	Planned Live	Cvolo Cost	21 446	(dollars in	milliona)
			-		(	,
<b>Description:</b> Continues development activities for the Rucksack Portab						iour
qualification units for Transportable Ground Receive Sui		imanzes an tra t Date		a product docur e <b>tion Date</b>	nentation. <b>Total</b>	Coata
Activity Name  RPRS Design Delivery Order 5	Planned:	2010-10-01	Planned:	2011-10-20	Planned:	2.310
KPRS Design Delivery Order 3						
	Projected:		Projected:	2011-10-20	Projected:	2.310
<b>Description</b>	Actual:	2010-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Contractor is concluding design test including Environmental Qualification T	• • • •					
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
RPRS Design Delivery Order 6	Planned:	2011-08-15	Planned:	2011-10-30	Planned:	0.600
	Projected:	2011-08-15	Projected:	2011-10-30	Projected:	0.600
Description	Actual:	2011-08-15	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

	a				<b>.</b> .
Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	letion Date	Total (	Costs
Procure four TGRS Qualification Units	Planned: 2011	-08-22 Planned:	2012-03-22	Planned:	8.699
	Projected: 2011	-08-22 Projected:	2012-03-22	Projected:	8.699
Description	Actual:	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Contractor produces four qualification units and performs ma	anufacturing test according to specificatio	ns, test plans and proced	ures.		
Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	letion Date	Total (	Costs
RPRS Design Delivery Order 7	Planned: 2011	-11-01 Planned:	2012-06-30	Planned:	1.340
	Projected: 2011	-11-01 Projected:	2012-06-30	Projected:	1.340
Description	Actual:	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
FInalizes RPRS design, develops traning courses and finalize	es documentation before production.				
Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	letion Date	Total (	Costs
RPRS Design Delivery Order 7	Planned: 2011	-11-01 Planned:	2012-06-30	Planned:	1.340
	Projected: 2011	-11-01 Projected:	2012-06-30	Projected:	1.340
Description	Actual:	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
FInalizes RPRS design, develops traning courses and finalize	es documentation before production.				

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Customers include all branches of service -- Air Force, Army, Navy, and Marines.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Stakeholders include major commands such as ARSTRAT, AFRICOM, CENTCOM, CYBERCOM, DISA, EUCOM, JS, NETWARCOM, NORTHCOM, PACOM, SOCOM, SOUTHCOM, STRATCOM, TRANSCOM.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

RDT&E activities completion of the DECC transition - system integration, test and evaluation.

Other Procurement will be used to purchase Receive Suites.

O&M includes system sustainment and Transmit Suite operations. System sustainment includes contractor logistics support software manintenance support and maintenance engineering and technical orders. Transmit Suite Operations includes broadcast operations (helpdesk support, support to Theater Information Managers (TIMs), SBM hardware maintenance, and annual maintenance fee for hardware and software installed at Defence Enterprise Computing Centers.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14 O&M includes system sustainment and Transmit Suite operations. System sustainment includes contractor logistics support, software manintenance support, maintenance engineering and technical orders. Transmit Suite Operations includes broadcast operations, helpdesk support, support to Theater Information Managers (TIMs), and SBM hardware maintenance; and annual maintenance fee for hardware and software installed at Defence Enterprise Computing Centers.

FY15 O&M includes system sustainment and Transmit Suite operations. System sustainment includes contractor logistics support, software manintenance support, maintenance engineering and technical orders. Transmit Suite Operations includes broadcast operations, helpdesk support, support to Theater Information Managers (TIMs), and SBM hardware maintenance; and annual maintenance fee for hardware and software installed at Defence Enterprise Computing Centers.

FY16 and FY17 are continuations of the same activities.

## **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	5069	Acronym	GCSS-AF		
Name of Investment	GLOBAL COM	MBAT SUPPO	RT SYSTEM - AIR FORCE		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAII	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

GCSS-AF is the means by which Agile Combat Support (ACS) Automated Information Systems (AIS) will be integrated to improve business processes. GCSS-AF provides a core set of infrastructure services for global combat support information, with appropriate security credentials to any authorized entity. The Integration Framework (IF) is a modern, web-based, service-oriented architecture based system that enables the Air Force to integrate and deliver decision quality asset visibility information to AF MAJCOM and Combatant Commanders. GCSS-AF boasts more than 800K military, civilian and contractor users supporting the Department of Defense (DoD). Primary GCSS-AF components include: 1) The Integration Framework which provides a common hosting and messaging environment; 2) Security services which provide mechanisms to identify and authenticate individual users for role-based access, supporting Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) certificates and keys which allow for integration and interoperability of automated information systems (AISs) and cross-functional capabilities to facilitate secure, data sharing across functional domains; 3) the AF Portal, with security layers, to provide a common secure entry point for a reduced sign-on capability to mission applications; 4) a presentation layer on both the Non-classified Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNET) and Secret Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNet); and 5) Data Services warehouse which is a consolidated repository of AF combat support information. The warehouse allows for a consolidation of automated information systems to enhance business processing efficiencies and supporting business analytics to allow our Expeditionary Aerospace Force to execute the Air Force mission throughout the full spectrum of military operations. The modernized systems are being developed in compliance with and hosted on the Defense Information Infrastructure Common Operating Environment. These collective applications and capabilities provide the essential combat support which map t

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	85,532	97,007	81,216	78,096
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0303141F 02-N/A	1,144	1,176	1,208	1,232
MILPERS Total	1,144	1,176	1,208	1,232
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0303141F 01-Other Combat Ops Spt Programs	77,367	95,382	70,272	73,826
Operations Total	77,367	95,382	70,272	73,826
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0303141F 03-GCSS-AF FOS	3,645	0	4,736	3,038
Procurement Total	3,645	0	4,736	3,038
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0303141F 07-Systems Engineering & Integration	3,376	449	5,000	0
RDT&E Total	3,376	449	5,000	0

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	95.638	71.726	
FY 2013 President's Budget	97.007	81.216	-15.79
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		9.490	
١			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The change in the O&M funding is in support of Air Force IT efficiencies. The RDT&E funds increase is for the advancement of cloud computing. The procurement funds increased as a result of the program's office efforts to reprogram previous years procurement funds. The Full Time Equivalent (FTE) costs was a result of recalculated full time equivalent costs to match actual expenditures and inflation.

While the O&M FY13 funds decreased in the FY13 PB compared to the FY12 PB, the procurement and RDT&E funds increased. The vertical change of \$9.49 is a result of the following:

- A decrease of O&M funds of \$3.576M from FY12 PB to FY13 PB
- An increase of RDT&E funds of \$4.552M from FY12 PB to FY13 PB
- An increase of procurement funds of \$4.736M from FY12 PB to FY13 PB
- An increase in FTE costs of \$3.780M from FY12 PB to FY13 PB

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The overall -15.8% horizontal change in the FY13 PB is mainly due to a decreased Operations & Maintenance (O&M) appropriation. The program's O&M appropriation was fully funded in FY12 but has a shortfall in FY13. The negative horizontal change was caused by the reduction in FY13 O&M funds in support of the IT efficiencies campaign.

The recorded horizontal change for Research, Development, Testing & Evaluation (RDT&E) and procurement appropriations were positive. While FY12 has zero procurement funds reported on Automated Budget Interactive Data Environment System (ABIDES), FY13 has \$4.7M authorized. The increase in the procurement was to due to reprogramming efforts initiated by the program office to facilitate technical refresh in the FYDP.

A total of \$5M FY13 RDT&E funds was authorized. This positive horizontal change was an increase of \$4.6M from FY12. The reason for the change is to facilitate the migration of GCSS-AF's hosted applications to a Cloud Computing Environment (CCE).

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- 1) Three new applications have been added for hosting on the GCSS-AF framework AFWay II, AFFOR Collaboration Tool (ACT) and Requirements Integration Visualization Enterprise Tool (RIVET).
- 2) GCSS-AF implemented AF Enterprise Dashboard Spiral 3.1 extending the framework for the Air Force Enterprise Dashboard to the Major Commands (MAJCOMs).
- 3) New groups and lists capability were added to the professional networking user interface. To date, users have created over 670 groups and 960 lists where they can collaborate and share ideas with other AF Portal users.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- -Implement new lead system integrator contract
- -Terminate Air Force Instant Messenger/Friends and Family Instant Messenger
- Support the fielding of ECSS Release 1 Pilot C
- Implement Enterprise Service Bus (ESB) 3.2 (reliability enhancement, also required version upgrades of unsupported Commercial off the Shelf (COTS) software).

## **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

GCSS-AF was declared Full Operation Capability (FOC) 29 Jan 2010 and is in sustainment. Planned BY goals are:

- Operate and maintain current program capabilities
- Renewal of expiring Commercial off the Shelf (COTS) software licenses
- Technical refresh of essential equipment
- Maintain 99% system availability (Operation Requirements Document requirement)
- Evaluate the Cloud Computing Environment (CCE) available for the migration of GCSS-AF applications

## FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

The nature of work performed in GCSS-AF is primarily sustainment. Budget requests are mainly for maintenance of current services and technical refresh to keep equipment and software current.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

HQ AFSPC/A6O (Lead Command)

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

#### **Acquisition**

ESC/HII (Program Management)

#### **Program Management**

Toy D. Robinson, GS-15

ESC/HII (Program Management)

## **Contract Information**

Name: Lockheed Martin City/State: King of Prussia

**Supported** Support GCSS-AF sustainment system integrator

Function:

Name: Lockheed Martin
City/State: King of Prussia, PA

**Supported** Support GCSS-AF sustainment system integrator

Function:

<u>Milestones/Schedules</u> Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Over 800,000 Air Force and DoD total force members benefit from the services provided by the AF Portal and hosted combat support applications.

Commanders at all levels are served by the delivery of fused, actionable combat support information.

45 hosted combat support applications benefit from GCSS-AF's infrastructure framework. Benefits include hosting services, data warehouse, discovery/search services, enterprise service bus information transport and mediation, and enterprise security.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

The USAF Space Command (Peterson AFB) leads GCSS-AF in its mission to provision USAF cyber infrastructure.

Various functional areas ("pillars" of AF combat support) participate in GCSS-AF governance as voting members of the Requirements Management Board (RMB). RMB voting Air Staff functional members (Pentagon) include: AF/A1X (Personnel), AF/A4IS (Logistics), AF/A5X (Programs), AF/A9IC (Analytics), AF/SG (Medical), SAF/A6 (Information Technology), SAF/FM (Finance), SAF/AQXI (Acquisitions).

USAF Major Commands and Joint Combatant Commands are stakeholders consuming GCSS-AF combat support information in support of the planning and execution of military operations.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Funding outlined in the GCSS-AF budget is based on the requirements for infrastructure (hardware, software, support personnel, and Continuity of Operations Plan site) needed to host 45 combat support applications on NIPRNet and SIPRNetT, including large Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) programs such as Expeditionary Combat Support System (ECSS) and Defense Enterprise Accounting Management System (DEAMS), and to operate the Air Force Portal. The direct impact that GCSS-AF has on the warfighter justifies the budget which facilitates the support of the combat applications hosted on GCSS-AF, from positive control of nuclear weapons, to movement of munitions, to the Air Force Chief of Staff's (CSAF) senior leader dashboard, to numerous logistics, personnel, and finance applications.

FY13 Operations & Maintenance (O&M) appropriation is required to keep the program operational and functioning as intended in aiding the warfighter to accomplish critical missions. 3400 funds provide all the operations, maintenance, and sustainment activities of the infrastructure, the portal, and data service components of GCSS-AF. O&M activities consist of Data Services (32,935K), General Operations and Support (\$13,785K), Akamai operations (\$3,442K), helpdesk (\$2,856K), software licenses (\$8,662K), and SPO support (\$2,873K). Data Services includes contracts with Defense Information System Agency (DISA) and Teradata. General operations and maintenance of GCSS-AF includes the enterprise service bus, the sustainment of a third production site, maintenance of all documentation, update and maintenance of GCSS-AF websites, the integration and operability of 45 information system application system on the Integration Framework (IF), the integration of 150 remote-sign-on applications on the portal.

FY13 procurement appropriation funding allows the program to make bulk buys of hardware for technical refresh of some of the hundreds of servers and network devices in the GCSS-AF infrastructure. Information Technology (IT) is in a constant moving state making technical refresh a necessity. The absence of scheduled technical refresh would create a gap in service due to obsolete technology.

The GCSS-AF program is constantly evaluating Commercial off the Shelf (COTS) products to improve its core services. FY13 Research, Development, Testing & Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation is necessary to allow GCSS-AF to conduct market research and analysis and obtain reasonable assurance that the COTS purchased are the right solutions for GCSS-AF in terms of capability and cost. \$5M was added to the program's FY13 RDT&E funds as part of the Air Force's move forward to implement a CLOUD COMPUTING ENVIRONMENT (CCE) to increase agility and reduce costs.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14 through FY17

GCSS-AF has reached Full Operation Capability (FOC). The justification for the Five-Year Defense Plan (FYDP) budget is for adequate resources to keep the program operational and to afford 99% system availability to support critical combat applications while simultaneously keeping up with market demand and technical evolution. GCSS-AF schedule in the FYDP is to have a technical refresh done every three years. The projected procurement appropriation cost estimates in the FYDP covers hardware, software, data services, support personnel, and support services to maintain the program while in sustainment. The FY12 Defense Authorization Act added \$5M RDT&E funds towards the effort of migrating to a Cloud Computing Environment.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	5070	Acronym	GCSS-ARMY			
Name of Investment	GLOBAL COM	MBAT SUPPO	RT SYSTEM - ARMY			
Lead Agent	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY					
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS	
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAII	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS	

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

GCSS-Army will provide the Army's Soldier with a seamless flow of timely, accurate, accessible, actionable and secure information not readily available today that gives combat forces a decisive edge. GCSS-Army will modernize logistics by implementing best business practices to streamline supply operations, maintenance operations, property accountability, logistics management and integration procedures in support of the Future Force transition path of the Army Campaign Plan. This effort will implement a comprehensive logistics automated solution for the Army and provide the commander on and off the battlefield with an integrated and interoperable end-to-end view of the logistics chain, equipment status and asset visibility to support decisions that will affect the outcome of combat operations, combat power and planning for future operations. This solution implements Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) products from the company System, Application and Product, Aktiengesellschaft (SAP AG). This will also allow the Army to retire multiple custom designed standalone business software baselines optimized to existing Army business processes and replace them with a single integrated business software baseline that has been optimized to industry defined best business practices. It will eliminate the need for extensive maintenance and modification of aging, diverse software systems resulting in improved and efficient change control and configuration management through implementation of an enterprise system. The primary beneficiaries of GCSS-Army are: Logistics Managers and Planners, Resource Managers, Commanders at all levels and Logistics Domain Owners. This investment interacts with Army Enterprise Systems Integration Program (AESIP), Logistics Modernization Program (LMP), General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS) and Integrated Personnel and Pay System-Army (IPPS-A). These programs and GCSS-Army comprise the Single Army Logistics Enterprise.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	185,130	297,550	298,431	255,022
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, Army				
0904901a 01-N/A	154	136	0	0
0904901a 02-N/A	0	0	143	143
MILPERS Total	154	136	143	143
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0308610A 04-Servicewide Communications	11,480	29,407	45,306	75,559
0708610A 04-Logistic Support Activities	12,576	13,235	19,364	19,979
Operations Total	24,056	42,642	64,670	95,538
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0216300A 02-SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)	0	1,691	0	0
0219900A 02-SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)	39,684	92,590	112,691	135,866
Procurement Total	39,684	94,281	112,691	135,866
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0303141A 07-ARMY ENTERPRISE SYSTEM INTEGRATION PROGRAM (A	42,000	17,436	24,331	18,819
0303141A 07-GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYS - ARMY (GCSS-ARMY)	79,236	126,761	96,596	4,656
0303141A 07-INSTALLATION FIXED BASE (IFB)	0	16,294	0	0
RDT&E Total	121,236	160,491	120,927	23,475

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	277.840	328.787	
FY 2013 President's Budget	297.550	298.431	0.88
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-30.356	
•	-		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

MPA: \$.003M Increase (2%)

Military salaries and benefits using updated information from the Deputy Chief of Staff- Army Personnel (Army G-1).

OMA: \$4.107M Decrease (6%)

GCSS-Army OMA was decreased based on the following factors: GFEBS realignment from SAG 432 to 438, Senior leadership priority decisions, civilian pay adjustments, nonpay nonfuel inflation and other miscellaneous economic adjustments.

OPA: \$65.252M Decrease (37%)

GCSS-Army OPA funding profile has been aligned to match the results of the approved Army Cost Position (23 June 2011) as directed by Office of Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army, Financial Management & Comptroller.

RDTE: \$39.000M Increase (48%)

GCSS-Army RDT&E funding profile has been aligned to match the results of the approved Army Cost Position (23 June 2011) as directed by Office of Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army, Financial Management & Comptroller.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 and FY13 is the result of the following:

MPA: \$.007M Increase (5%)

Military salaries and benefits using updated information from the Deputy Chief of Staff-Army Personnel (Army G-1).

OMA: \$22.028M Increase (52%)

Efforts are underway for the G-8 to properly fund GCSS-Army OMA based on the results of the approved Army Cost Position (23 June 2011) as directed by Office of Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army, Financial Management & Comptroller.

OPA: \$18.410M Increase (20%)

GCSS-Army OPA funding profile has been aligned to match the results of the approved Army Cost Position (23 June 2011) as directed by Office of Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army, Financial Management & Comptroller.

RDTE: \$39.564M Decrease (25%)

Result of a decrease in RDTE requirements from FY12 to FY13 for prototype build, developmental testing, and technology system integration. As program proceeds towards fielding the RDTE requirements continue to decrease.

## **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- \*Completed a successful Limited User Test (LUT) of Release 1.1 demonstrating functionality of the current release for the program (Supply, Maintenance, Property Accountability, and Financial Capabilities) with the 11th Armored Cavalry Regiment (ACR) at Fort Irwin, CA.
- \*Updated specific capabilities through a "Break/Fix" period and re-introduced them to the release after a successful developmental test, validating that the issue was resolved.
- \*Achieved a MS C decision (approval for production) from the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA), the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD(AT&L)), to proceed to limited deployment and proceed to a full Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E) in Aug 11.
- \*Completed data conversion activities and the New Equipment Training (NET) with the IOT&E Unit, the 2nd Brigade, 1st Armored Division, on the GCSS-Army ERP Solution in Aug 11.
- \*Began work efforts on the Plan/Analyze Phase of Release 1.2

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- \*Completed the IOT&E with the 2nd Brigade, 1st Armored Division and supporting financial activities in Oct 11.
- \*Proceed to a Full Deployment Decision (FDD) in 4Q FY12 and seek permission from the MDA, the USD(AT&L), to deploy the GCSS-Army ERP Solution Wave I to the Army Supply Support Activities (SSAs) first in 4Q FY12. Wave I deployment will provide the supply functionality to the SSAs necessary to enable the future deployment of GCSS-Army Release 1.1 to subordinate tactical units. Release 1.2 will complete its Plan/Analyze Phase and begin its Design/Build Phase in FY12. Release 1.2 will add a disconnected capability to the baseline and added Financial Capabilities.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- \*Continue deploying Wave I to SSA units across the Army throughout the fiscal year.
- \*Complete Release 1.2 in FY13 and enter into an operational assessment phase where the release will be validated to be operationally suitable for deployment.
- \*Begin sustainment operations for newly fielded units.
- \*Continue sustainment operations for previously fielded units.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

GCSS-Army will continue the deployment of Wave I to SSA units across the Army throughout FY14. GCSS-Army will proceed into a break/fix period following the assessment phase from the previous fiscal year on Release 1.2 and will re-introduce the fixed capabilities back into the release following validation. The program will seek a fielding decision in FY14 to deploy the full increment, Release 1.1 and 1.2, as Wave II. GCSS-Army will also begin sustainment.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

HQDA Deputy Chief of Staff G-4

#### Component

Department of the Army

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

LTC Timothy Domke

GCSS-Army

## **Contract Information**

Name: Northrop Grumman Information Systems

**City/State:** Midlothian, VA **Supported** Systems Integrator

Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Global Combat Support System- Army

		our cupitur zarvesta		- <b>v</b>				
ilestones - Con	tinued			<del></del>				
Planned Start	Date: 2000-10-12 Planned Completion D	<b>Date:</b> 2027-09-30	Planned Live	e Cycle Cost:	4,153.750	(dollars in	millions)	
Description:	GCSS-Army will modernize logistics by implement accountability, logistics management and integration seek permission to deploy the GCSS-Army ERP Solution supply functionality to the SSAs necessary to enable complete its Plan/Analyze Phase and begin its Des Capabilities.	on procedures. In this polution Wave I to the Apple the future deployme	project, the Pro Army Supply S nt of GCSS-Ai	gram will proc upport Activit rmy Release 1.	ceed to a Full Dies (SSA)s. Was 1 to subordinate	eployment Decisive I deployment e tactical units. F	sion (FDD) a will provide Release 1.2 w	
<b>Activity Name</b>		Star	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
IOT&E		Planned:	2011-09-01	Planned:	2011-10-21	Planned:	9.180	
		Projected:	2011-09-01	Projected:	2011-10-21	Projected:	9.180	
Description		Actual:	2011-09-01	Actual:	2011-10-21	Actual:	6.122	
Independent (	Operational Test & Evaluation at Fort Bliss, including the	e Operational Monitoring	that will be exec	cuted by the Ope	eration Test Com	mand.		
Activity Name		Star	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Product Solution	n Development	Planned:	2011-09-01	Planned:	2013-06-30	Planned:	200.460	
		Projected:	2011-09-01	Projected:	2013-06-30	Projected:	200.460	
Description		Actual:	2011-09-01	Actual:		Actual:	2.185	
Release 1.2 D	Development and testing. Additional GCSS-Army capabil	ity including mobile defe	nse solution and	enterprise equip	pment master.			
Activity Name		Star	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operational/Site	Implementation	Planned:	2012-07-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	48.340	
		Projected:	2012-07-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	48.340	
Description		Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
Wave 1 Field	ing (SARSS & Tactical Finance) - FY12							
<b>Activity Name</b>		Star	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operational/Site	Implementation	Planned:	2012-10-01	Planned:	2013-09-30	Planned:	73.340	
		Projected:	2012-10-01	Projected:	2013-09-30	Projected:	73.340	
Description		Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
-								

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Wave 1 Fielding (SARSS & tactical Finance) - FY13

GCSS-Army is the tactical unit / installation logistics and financial system for the U.S. Army. The primary beneficiaries of GCSS-Army are: Logistics Managers and Planners, Resource Managers, Commanders at all levels and Logistics Domain Owners. When fully deployed, GCSS-Army will affect every supply room, motor pool, direct support repair shop, warehouse, DOL and property book office in the total Army, improving efficiency and visibility for over 169,000 users globally. GCSS-Army is an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) solution that will track supplies, spare parts and organizational equipment. It will track unit maintenance, total cost of ownership

and other financial transactions related to logistics for all Army units.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

The primary stakeholders for this investment include the following: Logistics Managers and Planners, Resource Managers, Commanders at all levels (tactical through Major Army Commands), and Logistics Domain Owners. They are the ultimate beneficiaries of improvements in process efficiency and effectiveness. Other stakeholders include the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Financial Management and Comptroller (ASA(FM&C)) and the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) (OUSD(C)), the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Logistics and Materiel Readiness (DUSD LM&R), the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration (ASD(NII)), the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology (ASA(ALT)), the Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-4 (ODCS, G-4) and the Commander, U.S. Army Materiel Command (AMC). The ODCS, G-4 is the focal point of Product Manager, Global Combat Support System-Army (GCSS-Army).

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

RDT&E

Complete development of Release 1.2 with the remaining realization process to Test Readiness Review. Additionally, unit and integration testing will be conducted on the system by the Systems Integrator in coordination with other Programs (e.g. GFEBS, LMP, IPPS-A, etc). Users from the field will be pulled in as needed in preparation for Production Readiness Review. Release 1.2 will enhance the current capabilities of 1.1 as well as add property book, maintenance, enterprise equipment master, federated financials and the mobile defense solution. Once GCSS-Army 1.2 is fielded, existing legacy Standard Army Management Information Systems (STAMIS) will have the ability to be retired if Army Leadership sees fit to do so.

OPA

Procurement resources are required to support full fielding of GCSS-Army beginning 1 August 2012 to approximately 5600 users at various locations. 1 August 2012 marks the beginning of Wave 1 fielding that will occur over a 27 month period. Wave 1 fielding will occur primarily in the Continental United States (CONUS); however, some outside CONUS travel will be required. As such, significant Temporary Duty (TDY) expenses will be incurred.

System, Application and Product, Aktiengesellschaft (SAP AG) licenses will also be procured in accordance with the fielding schedule. Users will need an individual license, to be procured by GCSS-Army, in order to access the system. The Army Enterprise License agreement with System, Application and Product (SAP) allows for each user to have the same access to SAP functionality at a pre-negotiated price.

OMA

O&M resources are required to support sustainment activities of GCSS-Army releases 1.0 and 1.1 once the capability is fielded. Sustainment activities on an ERP instance include sustaining the SAP Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, Extensions, Forms and Workflow (RICEFW) as well as providing for break/fix and help desk personnel during fielding.

As part of the Army's Enterprise License Agreement with SAP, GCSS-Army must pay the contracted amount of 17% of the cumulative license procurement costs to cover SAP updates and fixes necessary throughout the contract period.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

OPA:

Procurement resources are required to support the completion of GCSS-Army Wave 1 & 2 fielding and training from FY14-17. GCSS-Army will field to approximately 8400 users to complete Wave 1 and 146000 users for Wave 2. These users will also require a license in order to access the SAP system that will be procured in accordance with the fielding strategy.

GCSS-Army will invest significantly in operational change management activities in order to socialize the differences between the STAMIS systems and the ERP solution.

GCSS-Army will also go through a series of hardware refreshes in order to replace the hardware infrastructure at the Production, Continuity of Operations (COOP) and contractor sites.

#### OMA:

O&M resources are required to support sustainment activities of GCSS-Army release 1.0, 1.1 and 1.2 once the capability is fielded. Sustainment activities on an ERP instance include sustaining the SAP RICEFW as well as providing for break/fix and help desk personnel during fielding.

As part of the Army's Enterprise License Agreement with SAP, GCSS-Army must pay the contracted amount of 17% of the cumulative license procurement costs to cover SAP updates and fixes necessary throughout the contract period.

#### RDT&E:

RDT&E resources are required for Operational Test & Evaluation (OT&E) activities as well as facilities costs at Fort Lee, VA. OT&E is required in support of a fielding decision that will mark the beginning of Wave 2 fielding.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0155	Acronym	GCSS- USMC			
Name of Investment	GLOBAL COM	MBAT SUPPO	RT SYSTEM - MARINE CO	RPS		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY					
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS	
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAI	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS	

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

GCSS-MC: The Global Combat Support System – Marine Corps (GCSS-MC) is a portfolio of systems that supports logistics elements of command and control, joint logistics interoperability, and secure access to and visibility of logistics data. GCSS-MC is based upon the Marine Corps Logistics Operational Architecture and logistics business process reengineering initiatives. GCSS-MC is part of a joint GCSS effort, managed by the Joint Staff J-4, aimed at improving logistics capability and filling in deficiencies in the accuracy and timeliness of logistics data.

GCSS-MC/LCM: GCSS-MC Logistics Chain Management (LCM) is a program within GCSS-MC. It is comprised of Increments 1, 2, and 3. GCSS-MC LCM Increment 1 is the first increment of GCSS-MC. It provides initial capabilities for GCSS-MC. The system provides Combat Service Support functionality: Supply, Maintenance, Task Organization, and Request Tracking in a shared data environment in support of deployed operations. Specifically the system centralizes logistics information for access by multiple authorized users (closing a significant warfighting gap), complies with the J-4 GCSS Mission Area Interface Control Document that establishes a DoD Family of Systems for logistics information visibility and decision support, and satisfies initial Marine Corps requirements for meeting Combatant Commander 129/57 Data Elements that provide asset visibility data to Combatant and Joint Task Force Commanders.

GCSS-MC/LCM Increment 1: GCSS-MC LCM Increment 1 is the first increment of GCSS-MC/LCM. It is a separate acquisition program with its own milestone events. It is based on the implementation of Oracle e-Business Suite 11i as the core software package. Increment 1 provides the foundation for all future Marine Corps logistics systems modernization. Increments 2 and 3 are not reported here because they have not officially been approved through the new Business Case Lifecycle (BCL) process.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	138,306	98,389	109,775	40,694
Operations				
O&M, MC				
0206312M 01-Operational Forces	0	0	41,631	0
0702806M 01-Field Logistics	6,026	6,026	6,164	6,302
0702808M 01-Field Logistics	78,333	41,685	16,620	28,851
O&M, MC Res				
0502514M 01-Operating Forces	1	1	0	0
Operations Total	84,360	47,712	64,415	35,153
Procurement				
Procurement, MC				
0206313M 04-COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	26,988	13,897	24,034	5,541
Procurement Total	26,988	13,897	24,034	5,541
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Navy				
0206313M 07- MAGTF CSSE & SE	26,958	36,380	21,326	0
0604717M 07- MAGTF CSSE & SE	0	400	0	0
RDT&E Total	26,958	36,780	21,326	0

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	91.963	93.932	
FY 2013 President's Budget	98.389	109.775	11.39
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		15.843	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC); \$21.293M and Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps, Reserve (OMMCR); \$-0.001M increase is the result of the adjustment for the FY13 fielding to OEF pilot that was accelerated to FY11/12 to support the Installation & Logistics reset and reconstitution of the force. The remaining -\$19M reflects the removal in PB13 of GCSS-MC Increment 2 funding provided in PB12.

Procurement Marine Corp (PMC); +\$19.086M increase reflects revisions in hardware and software procurements to support the migration of the GCSS-MC production environment from DISA hosting to the Marine Corps Enterprise IT Services (MCEITS) environment.

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN); -\$24.535M decrease reflects the removal in PB13 of GCSS-MC Increment 2 funding provided in PB12. Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2013 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC); \$16.704M and Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps, Reserve (OMMCR); \$-0.001M increase is the result of net adjustments to the revised Total Force Implementation cutover strategy and the accelerated fielding to OEF pilot effort.

Procurement Marine Corp (PMC); \$10.137M increase reflects the hardware and software procurements to support the migration of the GCSS-MC production environment from DISA hosting to the Marine Corps Enterprise IT Services (MCEITS) environment in FY13 vice FY11.

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN); -\$15.454M decrease is the net change resulting from the completion of GCSS-MC Block 1 Release 1.2 system development funded with R&D, the removal in PB13 of GCSS-MC Increment 2 funding provided in PB12, and the initial funds provided to support upgrade of Increment 1 to the Oracle eBusiness Suite Release 12.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Increment 1 activities during FY11 included the completion of system rollout and user training for III Marine Expeditionary Force (MEF) units and the start of rollout to I & II MEF units and the supporting establishment; the Deployed Release 1.2 Integrated Developmental Test (IDT), Systems Integration Development Test & Evaluation (SIDT&E); and preparation for Follow-on Test & Evaluation (FOT&E).

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY12 Increment 1 activities during FY12 include the continuation of system rollout and user training for I & II MEF units and the supporting establishment. The Deployed Release 1.2 will complete Developmental Test, Operational Test and follow-on test of of deployable system. The requirements analysis and contract award for the Increment 1 Oracle eBusiness Suite upgrade from Release 11 to Release 12 and the Post Deployment System Support (PDSS) contract award for the sustainment vendor are scheduled to occur in FY12.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

FY13 Increment 1 activities during FY13 include completion of system rollout and user training for I & II MEF units and the supporting establishment. The Total Force Implementation of GCSS-MC Increment 1 is scheduled to achieve Full Deployment (FD) by July 2013. The Increment 1 Oracle eBusiness Suite upgrade from Release 11 to Release 12 begun in FY12 will continue through FY13 with a target completion date of mid-FY14.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

The Oracle eBusiness Suite upgrade from Release 11 to Release 12 will be completed in Q2 of FY14. Increment 1 development activities will be completed and sustainment activities will continue. Annual post-deployment system support consists of project management, system maintenance, engineering, enhancements, integration, testing, technical support services, and Certification and Accreditation (C&A) activities.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### Component

Department of the Navy

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

Andrew Dwyer

## **Contract Information**

Name: Oracle Corp.

City/State: Redwood City, CA

**Supported** System Development & Integration

Function:

#### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Global Combat Support System - Marine Corps (GCSS-MC) Increment 1

Planned Start Date: 2003-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2023-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1,022.000 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** GCSS-MC: The Global Combat Support System – Marine Corps (GCSS-MC) is a portfolio of systems that supports logistics elements of command

and control, joint logistics interoperability, and secure access to and visibility of logistics data. GCSS-MC is based upon the Marine Corps Logistics Operational Architecture and logistics business process reengineering initiatives. GCSS-MC is part of a joint GCSS effort, managed by the Joint Staff

J-4, aimed at improving logistics capability and filling in deficiencies in the accuracy and timeliness of logistics data.

GCSS-MC/LCM: GCSS-MC Logistics Chain Management (LCM) is a program within GCSS-MC. It is comprised of Increments 1, 2, and 3. GCSS-MC LCM Increment 1 is the first increment of GCSS-MC. It provides initial capabilities for GCSS-MC. The system provides Combat Service Support functionality: Supply, Maintenance, Task Organization, and Request Tracking in a shared data environment in support of deployed operations. Specifically the system centralizes logistics information for access by multiple authorized users (closing a significant warfighting gap), complies with the J-4 GCSS Mission Area Interface Control Document that establishes a DoD Family of Systems for logistics information visibility and decision support, and satisfies initial Marine Corps requirements for meeting Combatant Commander 129/57 Data Elements that provide asset visibility data to Combatant and Joint Task Force Commanders.

GCSS-MC/LCM Increment 1: GCSS-MC LCM Increment 1 is the first increment of GCSS-MC/LCM. It is a separate acquisition program with its own milestone events. It is based on the implementation of Oracle e-Business Suite 11i as the core software package. Increment 1 provides the foundation for all future Marine Corps logistics systems modernization. Increments 2 and 3 are not reported here because they have not officially been approved through the new Business Case Lifecycle (BCL) process.

Activity Name	Start D	ate	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Increment 1 Total Force Implementation (TFI)	Planned: 2	2010-05-18	Planned:	2013-07-01	Planned:	279.000
	Projected: 2	2010-05-18	Projected:	2013-03-11	Projected:	269.800
Description	Actual: 2	2010-05-18	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

Total Force Implementation includes all activities required to complete system rollout and user training of GCSS-MC Increment 1 to I, II & III MEF units, the reserve units and the garrison establishment. TFI will be achieved at Full Deployment (FD).

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

GCSS-MC customers are the active and reserve operating forces and the garrison units throughout the Marine Corps.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Requirements: Marine Corps Combat Development Command (MCCDC), Combat Development & Integration (CD&I)

Functional Owner: Deputy Commandant, Installations & Logistics (DC I&L)

Acquisition: Commander, Marine Corps Systems Command (MCSC)

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Increment 1 activities during FY13 include completion of system rollout and user training for I & II Marine Expeditionary Forces (MEF) units as GCSS-MC Increment 1 is scheduled to achieve Full Deployment (FD) in July 2013. The requirements analysis for the Oracle eBusiness Suite upgrade from Release 11 to Release 12 is scheduled to begin in FY12 and with the upgrade activities to continue through FY14.

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC)

\$64.4 OMMC Baseline to include OMMC OCO support for the following major activities: Travel in support of completion of Release 1.1 system rollout and instructor travel to I & II MEF units and the supporting establishment; DISA hosting services; Marine Corps Enterprise IT Services (MCEITS) and facilities lease agreements; program office analytic and technical support; Post Deployment Systems Support (PDSS) for the Government Ops Center (GOC); and Oracle software maintenance fees.

Procurement Marine Corp (PMC);

\$24.034M will support the procurement of hardware in conjunction with the move of the production system from DISA to MCEITS and the technology refresh of the development environment.

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy (RDT&EN);

\$21.326M will support the continued upgrade of Increment 1 to the Oracle eBusiness Suite Release 12.

## Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC);

\$111.3M provides Increment 1 Post Deployment Systems Support (PDSS) support for the GOC staff and service fees for DISA and Marine Corps Enterprise IT Services (MCEITS) lease agreements. PDSS support includes the recurring annual project management, system maintenance, engineering, integration, testing, technical support services, and Certification and Accreditation (C&A) activities.

Procurement, Marine Corp (PMC);

\$32.853M provides for the technical refresh of earlier GCSS-MC hardware procurements. Hardware procurements in FY14 and beyond are baselined on a five-year lifecycle.

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy (RDT&EN): There is no budgeted RDTEN funding in FY14-17.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0882	Acronym	GCSS-J		
Name of Investment	GLOBAL COM	MBAT SUPPO	RT SYSTEM-JOINT		
Lead Agent	DEFENSE INI	FORMATION	SYSTEMS AGENCY		
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAI	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Global Combat Support System-Joint (GCSS-J) is an information technology (IT) application that is transitioning to a service oriented architecture to deliver asset visibility to the joint logistician (i.e., essential capabilities, functions, activities, and tasks necessary to sustain all elements of operating forces in theater at all levels), and facilitates information interoperability across and between Combat Support and Command and Control functions. In conjunction with other Global Information Grid elements including Global Command and Control System-Joint, Defense Information Systems Network, Computing Services, and Combatant Commands/Services/Agencies information architectures, GCSS-J will provide the IT capabilities required to move and sustain joint forces throughout the spectrum of military operations.

GCSS-J significantly increases access to information stored in disparate databases via a single sign on, web portal application, using a Secret Internet Protocol Router Network Public Key Infrastructure certificate. The GCSS-J infrastructure provides secure web-access, discrete user account administration, data mediation, and enterprise management features that facilitate delivery of capabilities to meet the vision of a net-centric architecture, as well as the integration of information across combat support functional areas. GCSS-J uses web-based technology to meet the tenets of Joint Publication 4-0, Joint Logistics; GCSS-J provides the IT capability to plan, execute, and control joint logistics operations

The Initial Operational Capability for Increment 7 was NIPRNet v7.0 which was fielded in March 2009. The release supported the Defense Logistics Agency's requirement for an account request and provisioning process, and implemented single-sign-on access for US TRANSCOM's Common Operational Picture/Deployment and Distribution applications (i.e., Single Mobility System and Intelligent Road/Rail Information Server). The initial SIPRNet capability, v7.0.1, was fielded in June 2009 and supported CENTCOM's requirement for a Joint Logistics Common Operational Picture (JLOGCOP) (e.g., a Fuels WatchBoard to provide users with the status and visibility of fuels in a Joint Operational Area) along with other enhancements that support integrated decision-making, effective synchronization and allocation of resources, and optimization of joint logistic processes for the Combatant Command, Joint Task Force Commanders, and their staff.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	32,011	37,773	36,765	37,475
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0303141K 04-Defense Information Systems Agency	11,314	14,981	14,093	13,990
Operations Total	11,314	14,981	14,093	13,990
Procurement				
Procurement, DW				
0303141K 01-GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,695	2,955	3,002	3,104
Procurement Total	2,695	2,955	3,002	3,104
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0303141K 05-GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (CC/JTF)	18,002	19,837	19,670	20,381
RDT&E Total	18,002	19,837	19,670	20,381

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	40.937	41.238	
FY 2013 President's Budget	37.773	36.765	-1.01
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-4.473	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

PB2012/FY2013 PB2013/FY2013 \$ Change \$ Change \$41.238M \$36.765M -\$4.473 10.85%

#### Explanation:

Decrease in funding from PB2012/FY2013 and PB2013/FY2013 is the result of the following:

O&M: -\$3.709M Decrease (8.99%)

-\$3.709M decrease due to DISA IT support services costs being realigned to GCCS-J (IT Initiative 881) in conjunction with their large increase in mission sustainment funding.

RDT&E: -\$0.803M Decrease (1.95%)

-\$0.803M decrease due to termination of less mature tools.

Procurement: +0.039M Increase (0.09%)

+\$0.039M increase due to inflation rates for NonPay, NonFuel purchases.

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

FY2011 FY2012 \$ Change \$ % Change \$37.773M \$36.765 -\$1.008 2.67%

Explanation:

Decrease in funding from FY2012 and FY2013 is the result of the following:

O&M: -\$0.888M Decrease (2.35%)

-\$0.888M decrease due to termination of less mature tools.

RDT&E: -\$0.167M Decrease (0.44%)

-\$0.167M decrease due to termination of less mature tools.

Procurement: +0.047M Increase (0.12%)

+\$0.047M increase due to inflation rates for NonPay, NonFuel purchases.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

FY 2011 Accomplishments:

- -Continued to meet the functional priorities of the Combatant Command 129 Requirements as approved and prioritized by the functional sponsor, Joint Staff J4
- -Achieved the initial architectural transition and capability migration (i.e., Flex-based architecture) which affects the mapping, reporting capabilities, and Joint Engineer Planning and Execution System
- -Enhancements to the Intra-theater Distribution capability development (i.e., air, land, and sea domains)

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY 2012 Plans:

- -Will continue to meet the functional priorities of the Combatant Command 129 Requirements as approved and prioritized by the functional sponsor, Joint Staff (JS) J4
- -Support development of the Adaptive Logistics Planning effort
- -Support the continued transition to a service-oriented architecture (SOA) to deliver asset visiblity to the joint logistician (i.e., essential capabilities, functions, activities, and tasks necessary to sustain all elements of operating forces in theater at all levels), and facilitate information interoperability across and between Combat Support (CS) and Command and Control (C2) functions
- -Continue to provide the information technology capabilities required to moved and sustain joint forces throughout the spectrum of military operations
- -Support for Information Assurance Certification Authority (i.e., system release security testing, verification and validation, and produce certification and accreditation documentation)
- -Software and system testing support
- -Operational Test and Evaluation
- -Engineering Support (i.e., assess, develop, and recommend improvements and risks associated with systems engineering processes; and recommend implementation and development, input to test, field and other activities and plans to develop key system software, data, technical architectures and strategies)
- -Support development of web services for the National Level Ammunition Capability (NLAC) (i.e., enhance munitions logistics planning and management by supporting the Joint Ammunition Community, including ammunition users, managers, and planners throughout the DoD)
- -Create new WatchBoards (e.g., fuels, munitions)
- -Include Google Earth functionality and capabilities (i.e., provide the ability to render geographically tagged report data, map layers, and watchboards in a format that can be consumed and displayed by the Google Earth client)

-Begin the initial Distribution capability and WatchBoard functions on the NIPRNet capability

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

FY 2013 Plans:

- -Will continue to meet the functional priorities of the Combatant Command 129 Requirements as approved and prioritized by the functional sponsor, JS J4
- -Expand the Intra-theatre Distribution capability (i.e., expenditures of munitions during contingencies)
- -Develop WatchBoards for the remaining classes of supply (e.g., food, equipment)
- -Upgrades to the Joint Engineer Planning and Execution System capability
- -Begin requirement analysis for humanitarian support

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

FY 2014 GCSS-J: Test, deploy, and maintain NIPRNet/SIPRNet capabilities based upon the Combatant Command 129 Requirements and Capability Development Document as approved and prioritized by the functional sponsor, Joint Staff J4. All of these requirements and goals are translated into releases with specific capabilities, which have established cost, schedule, and performance parameters approved by the DISA's Component Acquisition Executive/Milestone Decision Authority.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### **Component**

Defense Information Systems Agency

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Marie Dominguez

## **Contract Information**

Name: AAC, Inc.
City/State: Vienna, VA

**Supported** Software and System Performance Testing Support

Function:

Name: Micro Technologies, LLC

City/State: Vienna, VA

Contracts - Continued

**Supported** Computer Lab Operations and Information Assurance

Function:

MIPR to DIA - Contractor - Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) Name:

City/State: San Diego, CA **Supported** Security Testing

Function:

Northrop Grumman Information Technology Name:

City/State: McLean. VA

**Supported** Software Development and Integration

Function:

Unisys Corporation Defense Communication Division Name:

City/State: Salt Lake City, UT

**Supported** Data Applications and Integration Analysis Support

Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: GCSS-J Increment 7

Planned Start Date: 2008-01-10 Planned Completion Date: 2014-03-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 227.643 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Global Combat Support System-Joint (GCSS-J) is an information technology (IT) application that continues to transition to a service oriented architecture to deliver asset visibility to the joint logistician (i.e., essential capabilities, functions, activities, and tasks necessary to sustain all elements of operating forces in theater at all levels), and facilitates information interoperability across and between Combat Support and Command and Control functions. In conjunction with other Global Information Grid elements including GCCS-J, Defense Information Systems Network, Computing Services, and Combatant Commands/Services/Agencies information architectures, GCSS-J will provide the IT capabilities required to move and sustain joint forces throughout the spectrum of military operations.

GCSS-J significantly increases access to information stored in disparate databases via a single sign on, web portal application, using a Secret Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNet) Public Key Infrastructure certificate. The GCSS-J infrastructure provides secure web-access, discrete user account administration, data mediation, and enterprise management features that facilitate delivery of capabilities to meet the vision of a net-centric architecture, as well as the integration of information across combat support functional areas. GCSS-J uses web-based technology to meet the tenets of Joint Publication 4-0, Joint Logistics; GCSS-J provides the IT capability to plan, execute, and control joint logistics operations.

Milestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
GCSS-J; Increment 7; v7.3; v7.3.1; v7.3.2	Planned: 2011-02-02	Planned: 2012-11-08	Planned: 39.710
	Projected: 2011-02-02	Projected: 2012-11-08	Projected: 39.710
Description	Actual: 2011-02-02	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

This version will complete the architectural transition. The key elements of the transition are the incorporation of JBOSS and FLEX into the architecture. These Open Source software products improve system performance by allowing the end user to pull the data once and manipulate that data in their browser, thus eliminating the processing time between the application and authoritative data sources, which is significant when the data source is a legacy system. Additionally, the new architecture reduces the footprint and increases the scalability of the servers.

This release will support the development of web services for the National Level Ammunition Capability (NLAC) (i. e., enhance munitions logistics planning and management by supporting the Joint Ammunition Community, including ammunition users, managers, and planners throughout the Department of Defense), the Fuels Automated System (FAS) FAS Enterprise Server (FES), and WebREPOL; create new WatchBoards; include Google Earth functionality and capabilities (i.e., provide the ability to render geographically tagged report data, map layers, and WatchBoards in a format that can be consumed and displayed by the Google Earth clients); and begin the initial Intra-Theatre Distribution capability on the NIPRNet.

Key Deliverable/Usable Functionality: Test, deploy, and maintain NIPRNet/SIPRNet capabilities based upon the Combatant Command 129 Requirements and Capability Development Document as approved and prioritized by the functional sponsor, Joint Staff J4. All of these requirements and goals are translated into releases with specific capabilities, which have established cost, schedule, and performance parameters approved by the DISA's Component Acquisition Executive/Milestone Decision Authority.

Will support the development of web services for NLAC, FES, and WebREPOL; create new WatchBoards; include Google Earth functionality and capabilities (i.e., provide the ability to render geographically tagged report data, map layers, and WatchBoards in a format that can be consumed and displayed by the Google Earth client); and begin the initial Distribution capability on the NIPRNet. Additionally, will support the development of the Logistics Capability Planning Tool effort.

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The customers for this Investment are the warfighters. The primary beneficiaries of this investment are the joint logisticians. They are military officers, warrant officers, enlisted personnel, civilians, and contractors that specialize in providing the joint logistics support that extends from the national industrial base to the end user. Joint logisticians are the planners, executors, and controllers of core joint logistic capabilities. They understand tactical, operational, and strategic operations and synchronize efforts to effectively meet joint force requirements.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The stakeholders for this Investment are the Joint Staff J-4 and Combatant Commands and Service Representatives.

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

In FY 2013 (\$14.093M), GCSS-J O&M funding will be used to provide Enterprise System's Management support for the fielded systems (both SIPRNet and NIPRNet) located at the strategic server sites, Systems Management Center-Montgomery (primary site) and Defense Computing Center-Pacific (fail over site). The GCSS-J infrastructure is comprised of commercial-off-the-shelf software and hardware products which require annual maintenance support (i.e., product upgrades, Information Assurance Vulnerability Alerts, and electronic and technical support) along with performance and security monitoring tools. The infrastructure includes implementation of a more robust Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP), Contingency Site, and security (e.g., intrusion detection on GCSS-J strategic servers) processes and tools. Additionally, O&M funding includes civilian salaries, shared services, and travel and training requirements.

In FY 2013 (\$19.670M), GCSS-J RDT&E funding will be used to continue the transition to a service-oriented architecture in a net-centric environment. Development activities will focus on expanding the Intra-theatre Distribution capability (e.g., expenditures of munitions during contingencies), developing WatchBoards for the remaining classes of supply (e.g., food, equipment), upgrades to the Joint Engineer Planning and Execution System, and requirements analysis for development of humanitarian support capability.

In FY 2013 (\$3.002M), GCSS-J Procurement funding will be used to continue supporting the expanded user base and enable scalability of the system. Additionally, Procurement funds will be used to continue enhancing the system to make use of virtualization allowing for greater return of investment in current and future hardware resources and expanded capability for the warfighter.

- \*Tech refresh procure new servers and new networking devices because current models are end-of-life in 2013.
- \*Replace current COTS tool/tools (Program is interested in potentially replacing the Reporting Tool and the Identify Manager Tool) with emerging technologies; specific software will be determined through future market studies. Analysis should determine which tool is the best alternative.
- \*Maintain current baseline during development phase; purchase Sun IDM user licenses for increase in user base. Purchase Oracles DBMS software licenses for additional user memory and licenses.

## Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

In FY 2014 through FY 2018 (\$13.990M-\$14.668M), GCSS-J O&M funding will continue to be used to maintain the fielded system at the strategic server sites, Systems Management Center-Montgomery (primary site) and Defense Computing Center-Pacific (fail over site). The GCSS-J infrastructure is comprised of commercial-off-the-shelf software and hardware products which require annual maintenance support (i.e., product upgrades, Information Assurance Vulnerability Alerts, and electronic and technical support) along with performance and security monitoring tools. The infrastructure includes implementation of a more robust Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP), Contingency Site, Enterprise System Management (ESM), and security (e.g., intrusion detection on GCSS-J strategic servers) processes and tools. Additionally, O&M funding will continue to support civilian salaries, shared services, and travel and training requirements.

In FY 2014 through FY 2018 (\$20.381M-\$20.967M), GCSS-J RDT&E funding In FY 2014 through FY 2018 (\$21.063M-\$23.379M), GCSS-J RDT&E funding will continue to support development of identified and documented mission capability gaps described in the Combatant Command 129 Requirements. The Director for Logistics, Joint Staff J4 prioritized the top gaps to fulfill and they include developing real-time, map-based displays and charts; real-time connectivity to services/agency data sources, data bases, and systems; plan, manage, and track movements and distribution; provide timely and accurate information on location and status of supplies; provide status of deployment and distribution nodes; conduct real-time supportability analysis of courses of action; provide retrograde status and control information;

provide capability to track NEO, humanitarian assistance support, and personnel; estimate and track costs associated with logistics support.

In FY 2014 through FY 2018 (\$3.104M-\$3.228M), GCSS-J Procurement funds will be used to continue supporting the expanded user base and enable scalability of the system. Additionally, Procurement funds will be used to continue enhancing the system to make use of virtualization allowing for greater return of investment in current and future hardware resources and expanded capability for the warfighter.

Page left intentionally blank

#### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	6491	Acronym	GCCS-A		
Name of Investment	GLOBAL COM	MMAND AND	CONTROL SYSTEM - ARM	ЛΥ	
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	control		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Global Command and Control System - Army (GCCS-A) is the Army's strategic and theater Command and Control (C2) system. GCCS-A fulfills the need for critical C2 automation tools for the warfighter. A key component of the Army Battle Command System (ABCS), GCCS-A fulfills this need by providing a seamless link of operational information and data from the strategic Global Command and Control System – Joint (GCCS-J) to Army theater elements and below. GCCS-A provides a common picture of Army tactical operations to the Joint and Coalition community and delivers joint asset visibility to the Army to facilitate joint and combined operations. GCCS-A provides support for common situational awareness, readiness reporting, and collaborative execution and planning. GCCS-A provides a 24/7 Help Desk, a comprehensive on-line and live user training program and a multitude of Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) hardware and products. GCCS-A supports Force Tracking and Reception, Staging, Onward Movement and Integration (RSO&I) and dramatically improves the ability of the Army to analyze courses of action, develop and manage Army force components supporting Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS) war plans, ensure that the Army portions of plans are executable, and provide theater level battle management.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	33,048	42,832	25,439	20,856
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, Army				
0904901a 02-N/A	170	145	148	152
MILPERS Total	170	145	148	152
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0310700A 02-ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)	20,272	18,788	10,848	6,709
Procurement Total	20,272	18,788	10,848	6,709
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0303150A 07-ARMY GLOBAL C2 SYSTEM	12,606	23,899	14,443	13,995
RDT&E Total	12,606	23,899	14,443	13,995

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	42.870	21.200	
FY 2013 President's Budget	42.832	25.439	-17.39
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		4.239	
			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OPA: \$5.049M Increase (87%)

The increase funds the completion of fielding of GCCS-A Version 4.1.

RDTE: \$.810M Decrease (5%)

The decrease is due to adjustments made to budget years.

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

MPA: \$.003M Increase (2%)

Adjusted military pay using updated military pay rates

OPA: \$7.940M Decrease (42%)

The decrease is due to completion of the GCCS-A Version 4.1 Hardware and associated software license procurement.

RDTE: \$9.456M Decrease (40%)

The decrease funds higher priority items within the Army.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Software and System Engineering for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Data Engineering for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Software Development of Automated Command and Control Tools for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Test and Evaluation for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Support and Management for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Hardware procurement

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Software Initial/Maintenance Licensing and Software Support

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Fielding Support

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Training Support

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Software and System Engineering for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Data Engineering for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Software Development of Automated Command and Control Tools for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Test and Evaluation for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Support and Management for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Hardware procurement

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Software Initial/Maintenance Licensing and Software Support

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Fielding Support

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Training Support

## FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Software and System Engineering for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Data Engineering for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Software Development of Automated Command and Control Tools for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Test and Evaluation for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

Support and Management for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Hardware procurement

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Software Initial/Maintenance Licensing and Software Support

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Fielding Support

GCCS-A/DRRS-A Training Support

## FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

The Army will continue to modernize and enhance current capabilities to support both the Service and Joint warfighter as part of a synchronized, orchestrated DoD wide effort that will transition the GCCS Family of Systems (FoS) into a more agile, net-centric, service oriented environment.

# **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

PM Mission Command, Bus Mgt Div

**Component** 

Department of the Army

**Acquisition** 

**ASAALT** 

**Program Management** 

COL Jonas Vogelhut

**PM Mission Command** 

# **Contract Information**

Name: CACI

City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** DRRS-A development and integration

**Function:** 

Name: CACI

City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** Fielding and Training Support

Function:

Name: CACI

City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** Program Management Office Support

Function:

Name: GTSI

City/State: Herndon, VA

Supported Initial and Refresh Hardware

Function:

Name: Lockheed-Martin Corporation (LMC)

City/State: Springfield, VA

**Supported** GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization

**Function:** 

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Fielding Support						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 201	12-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	4.306	(dollars in	millions)
Description: Field Support Representatives (FSRs) deployed CONUS and	OCONUS t	o install and co	nfigure systen	ns.		
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Fielding Support	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	4.306
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	4.306
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Field Support Representatives (FSRs) deployed CONUS and OCONUS to install	and configure	systems.				
Project Name: PMO Support						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 201	12-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	1.101	(dollars in	millions)
Description: Support and management for GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernizat						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
PMO Support	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.101
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.101
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Program support and management for modernization efforts.						
Activity Name		t Date	-	etion Date	Total (	
PMO Support	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.682
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.682
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Coordination of schedules and management activities to ensure support to the war	rfighter.					
Project Name: Software Development						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 201	12-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	0.370	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Software and system engineering for GCCS/DRRS-A moder	rnization.					
		. —		.4! D.4.	Total (	Togta
Activity Name		t Date	Compl			
	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.370
Activity Name Software Development	Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected:		Planned: Projected:	0.370 0.370
Activity Name Software Development  Description	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.370
Activity Name Software Development  Description Software and system engineering for modernization developmental requirements.	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2012-09-30 2012-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:	0.370 0.370 0.000
Activity Name Software Development  Description Software and system engineering for modernization developmental requirements.  Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 t Date	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple	2012-09-30 2012-09-30 etion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: <b>Total (</b>	0.370 0.370 0.000
Activity Name Software Development  Description Software and system engineering for modernization developmental requirements.	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Star Planned:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 <b>t Date</b> 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned:	2012-09-30 2012-09-30 etion Date 2012-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total ( Planned:	0.370 0.370 0.000 Costs
Activity Name Software Development  Description Software and system engineering for modernization developmental requirements.  Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 <b>t Date</b> 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple	2012-09-30 2012-09-30 etion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: <b>Total (</b>	0.370 0.370 0.000

A JAMES ST	a.	4 <b>D</b> . 4		41 B 4	m	3 4
Activity Name	Start Date			etion Date	Total Costs	
Software Development	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	16.525
Description	Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	16.525 0.000
<b>Description</b> Development of Automated Command and Control Software Tools	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
•						
Project Name: Software Support						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:		Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	6.230	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> GCCS-A/DRRS-A software intial/maintenance licensing	,		-	_		~
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
Software Support	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	6.230
Description	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	6.230
<b>Description</b> Software initial and maintenance licensing and software support for fielded sy	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
	ystems.					
Project Name: Test and Evaluation						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:		Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	0.500	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Test and evaluation for the GCCS-A/DRRS-A modernization						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
Test and Evaluation	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.500
5 14	Projected:		Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.500
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Test and evaluation of modernization developmental requirements.						
Project Name: Training Support						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	1.638	(dollars in	millions)
•						
<b>Description:</b> Trainers to prepare units prior to deployment.						Toota
<b>Description:</b> Trainers to prepare units prior to deployment. <b>Activity Name</b>		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
<b>Description:</b> Trainers to prepare units prior to deployment.	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.638
Description: Trainers to prepare units prior to deployment.  Activity Name  Training Support	Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected:		Planned: Projected:	1.638 1.638
Description: Trainers to prepare units prior to deployment.  Activity Name  Training Support  Description	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.638
Description: Trainers to prepare units prior to deployment.  Activity Name  Training Support  Description Instructors provide system training to units in preparation for deployment.	Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected:	2012-09-30	Planned: Projected:	1.638 1.638
Description: Trainers to prepare units prior to deployment.  Activity Name  Training Support  Description	Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected:	2012-09-30	Planned: Projected:	1.638 1.638
Description: Trainers to prepare units prior to deployment.  Activity Name  Training Support  Description Instructors provide system training to units in preparation for deployment.	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2012-09-30 2012-09-30	Planned: Projected:	1.638 1.638 0.000

Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Hardware	Planned: 2011-	-10-10 Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	4.964	
	Projected: 2011-	-10-10 Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	4.964	
Description	Actual: 2011-	-10-01 Actual:		Actual:	0.000	

## Customers/Stakeholders

#### **Customers for this Investment**

GCCS-A is an investment driven by Military Requirements validated by the Joint Chief's of Staff. The Joint Chief's of Staff have designated Joint and Coalition Combatant Commanders, warfighters, strategic decision makers, and Headquarters, Department of the Army as customers for this project. GCCS-A supports Combatant Commanders in all major military theaters along with I, III, V and the 18th Airborne Corps and associated Division Headquarters. GCCS-A also supports Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF)/Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) Army command and control (C2) functions. GCCS-A is the only conduit between the Army and Joint commanders for command and control (C2).

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

GCCS-A is an investment that is driven by military requirements validated by the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Joint Chiefs of Staff have designated Joint and Coalition Combatant Commanders, warfighters, strategic decision makers, Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) and other services and agencies as stakeholders of this project.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

#### **RDTE**

In response to the Congressional direction (Section 247 of Fiscal Year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)), GCCS-A will be included in the modernization of command and control systems within the Department of Defense (DoD) under the Joint Command and Control (JC2) framework. While sustaining and synchronizing current fielded operations, the Army will modernize and enhance current capabilities to support both the Service and Joint warfighter as part of a synchronized, orchestrated DoD wide effort that will transition the GCCS FoS into a more agile, net-centric, service oriented environment.

#### OPA

FY13 Base procurement dollars in the amount of \$10.699 million procures mission critical hardware in support of the GCSS-A system and COTS software to meet the GCCS-A approved fielding schedule, and continued software maintenance and support. IAW Section 1815 of the FY08 NDAA this item is necessary for use by the active and reserve components of the Armed Forces for homeland defense missions, domestic emergency responses, and providing military support to civil authorities. Additionally, in response to Congressional direction (Section 247 of Fiscal Year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)), GCCS-A will be included in the modernization of command and control systems within the DoD under the JC2 framework. Continued fielding, refresh, software maintenance, and software licensing are required for the Army to modernize and enhance current capabilities to support both the Service and Joint warfighter as part of a synchronized, orchestrated DoD wide

effort that will transition the GCCS FoS into a more agile, net-centric, service oriented program.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

#### **RDTE**

In response to the Congressional direction (Section 247 of Fiscal Year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)), GCCS-A will be included in the modernization of command and control systems within the Department of Defense (DoD) under the Joint Command and Control (JC2) framework. While sustaining and synchronizing current fielded operations, the Army will continue to modernize and enhance current capabilities to support both the Service and Joint warfighter as part of a synchronized, orchestrated DoD wide effort that will transition the GCCS FoS into a more agile, net-centric, service oriented environment.

#### OPA

FY14-17 Base procurement dollars in the amount of \$6.604 million will continue to procure mission critical hardware in support of the GCSS-A system and COTS software to meet the GCCS-A approved fielding schedule, and continued software maintenance and support. IAW Section 1815 of the FY08 NDAA this item is necessary for use by the active and reserve components of the Armed Forces for homeland defense missions, domestic emergency responses, and providing military support to civil authorities. Additionally, in response to Congressional direction (Section 247 of Fiscal Year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)), GCCS-A will be included in the modernization of command and control systems within the DoD under the JC2 framework. Continued fielding, refresh, software maintenance, and software licensing are required for the Army to modernize and enhance current capabilities to support both the Service and Joint warfighter as part of a synchronized, orchestrated DoD wide effort that will transition the GCCS FoS into a more agile, net-centric, service oriented program.

Page left intentionally blank

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6046	Acronym	GCCS-M				
Name of Investment	GLOBAL COM	MMAND AND	CONTROL SYSTEM - MAR	RITIME (GCCS-M)			
Lead Agent	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY						
Category	NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM		Acquisition Category	MAIS			
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	control		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS		

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Global Command and Control System - Maritime (GCCS-M) is the Maritime implementation of the GCCS Family of Systems. It provides Maritime Commanders at all echelons of command with a single, integrated, scalable Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (C4I) system that fuses, correlates, filters, maintains and displays location and attribute information on friendly, hostile and neutral land, sea and air forces. It integrates this data with available intelligence and environmental information to support command decision-making. The system operates in near real-time and constantly updates unit positions and other situational awareness data. GCCS-M records data in appropriate databases and maintains a history of changes to those records. The user can use the data to construct relevant tactical pictures using maps, charts, topography overlays, oceanographic overlays, meteorological overlays, imagery data and all-source intelligence information coordinated into a Common Operational Picture (COP) that can be shared locally and with other sites. Navy Commanders can review and evaluate the general tactical situation, plan actions and operations, direct forces, synchronize tactical movements and integrate force maneuver with firepower. The system operates in a variety of environments and supports joint, coalition and allied forces.

GCCS-M is designated as a Mission Critical, Acquisition Category (ACAT) IAC – Major Automated Information System (MAIS), National Security System (NSS). Assistant Secretary of the Navy (ASN) (Research, Development & Acquisition (RDA)) is the Milestone Decision Authority. The Command and Control Program Office (Program Manager, Warfare (PMW) 150) functions as the acquisition program manager under Program Executive Officer (PEO) C4I.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	63,807	53,864	56,507	57,329
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, Navy				
0701113N 06-N/A	314	307	276	283
MILPERS Total	314	307	276	283
Operations				
O&M, Navy				
0204571N 01-Warfare Tactics	20	20	20	20
0204656N 01-Mission And Other Ship Operations	10	0	0	0
0204660N 01-Combat Communications	29,506	27,161	39,908	43,538
0303150N 01-Combat Communications	2,208	794	858	843
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	0	1,577	1,608
0701113N 04-Servicewide Communications	1,523	1,687	0	0
0902398N 04-Administration	373	381	389	397
Operations Total	33,640	30,043	42,752	46,406
Procurement				
Other Proc, Navy				
0204660N 02-NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	5,554	5,938	8,150	8,788
Procurement Total	5,554	5,938	8,150	8,788
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Navy				
0604231N 05- GCCS-M Maritime Applications	24,299	17,576	5,329	1,852
RDT&E Total	24,299	17,576	5,329	1,852

# **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	54.866	49.987	
FY 2013 President's Budget	53.864	56.507	2.64
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		6.520	
•			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Global Command & Controls System - Maritime (GCCS-M) Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN) increase of \$2.2M is the result of a Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 Program Objective Memorandum (POM-13) request to fund the GCCS-M Program to latest Program Lifecycle Cost Estimate (PLCCE) in support of its August 2011 Service Cost Position (SCP) update for the GCCS-M Increment 2 Force/Unit Level Fielding Decision Review (FDR). This POM-13 plus-up not only restored the GCCS-M budget to the approved Milestone C SCP signed 29 April 2010, it also takes into account the shortfall in FY12 by reallocating funds across FY13-16, enabling GCCS-M 4.1 to achieve Full Operational Capability (FOC) in FY16 (vice FY20 as budgeted in the FY12 President's Budget), (Issue #16171, Fund GCCS-M to SCP).

Global Command & Controls System - Maritime (GCCS-M) Procurement, Navy (OPN) funding decrease of \$0.5M in Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 is the result of a realignment of funds from Procurement, Navy (OPN) to Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDT&E,N), in order to properly align funding within the program to the August 2011 Service Cost Position (SCP) update.

Global Command & Controls System - Maritime (GCCS-M) Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDT&E,N) funding increase of \$5.3M in Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 is the result of a realignment of funds from Procurement, Navy (OPN) to Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDT&E,N), in order to properly align funding within the program to the August 2011 Service Cost Position (SCP) update. Increase is also due to the placement of the Global Force Management Data Initiative (GFM-DI) program and its associated funding into the GCCS-M baseline. Development of GFM-DI functionality, a Vice Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff (VCJCS) directed department-wide enterprise solution, will begin in FY13.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Global Command & Controls System - Maritime (GCCS-M) Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDT&E,N) funding decrease of \$12.2M from Fiscal Year (FY) 2012 to FY13 is due to the program completing development and operational testing for the Increment 2 Group Level solution and the resulting transition of RDT&E,N funded Program Support headcounts to Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN) and Other Procurement, Navy (OPN) to support the increase in GCCS-M installations and retirement of all legacy GCCS-M baselines.

Global Command & Controls System - Maritime (GCCS-M) Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN) funding increase of \$12.7M is the result of a Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 Program Objective Memorandum (POM-13) request to fund the GCCS-M Program to latest Program Lifecycle Cost Estimate (PLCCE) in support of its August 2011 Service Cost Position (SCP) update for the GCCS-M Increment 2 Force/Unit Level Fielding Decision Review (FDR). This POM-13 plus-up not only restored the GCCS-M budget to the approved Milestone C SCP signed 29 April 2010, it also takes into account the shortfall in FY12 by reallocating funds across FY13-16, enabling GCCS-M 4.1 to achieve Full Operational Capability (FOC) in FY16 (vice FY20 as budgeted in the FY12 President's Budget), (Issue #16171, Fund GCCS-M to SCP).

Global Command & Controls System - Maritime (GCCS-M) Procurement, Navy (OPN) funding increase of \$2.2M is the result of a Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 Program Objective Memorandum (POM-13) request to fund the GCCS-M Program to latest Program Lifecycle Cost Estimate (PLCCE) in support of its August 2011 Service Cost Position (SCP) update for the GCCS-M 4.1 Force/Unit Level Fielding Decision Review (FDR). This POM-13 plus-up not only restored the GCCS-M budget to the approved Milestone C SCP signed 29 April 2010, it also takes into account the shortfall in FY12 by reallocating funds across FY13-16, enabling GCCS-M 4.1 to achieve Full Operational Capability (FOC) in FY16 (vice FY20 as budgeted in the FY12 President's Budget). The GCCS-M SCP properly funds software-only installs that are in conjunction with Common Computing Environment/Consolidated Afloat Networks and Enterprise Services (CCE/CANES) hardware (HW) installations. Per Navy direction, OPN is the appropriate fund source when the GCCS-M installation is an incidental cost to the CCE/CANES HW installation, (Issue #16171, Fund GCCS-M to SCP)

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Prior Year Accomplishments, FY11:

- \* In Nov 2010, GCCS-M Inc 2 received Patrol Coastal COTF-approved test report with Operationally Effective/Suitable (OE/OS) determination. DOT&E memo of Mar 2011 concurred with COTF OE/OS findings, and finalized declaration of Full Deployment Decision (FDD) for Inc 2, as per Jun 2010 MS C ADM.
- \* Initial Operational Capability (IOC) officially declared by the Fleet in a Navy message released 25 Mar 2011.
- \* Inc 2 Force Level (FL) IOT&E completed in Nov 2010; DOT&E memo of 21 Jun 2011 concurred with COTF OE/OS findings.
- \* Inc 2 Group Level (GL) development began in FY2011 with two delivery orders awarded in Jun/Jul, 2011, for GCCS-M GL v4.1 interface development.
- \* Inc 2 Unit Level (UL) IOT&E was completed in Apr 2011; DOT&E memo of 29 Jul 2011 concurred with the COTF OE/OS findings.
- \* In Aug 2011, successful Fielding Decision Review (FDR) and Configuration Steering Board (CSB) to review Inc 2 readiness to field GCCS-M v4.1 UL and FL.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Planned Accomplishments, FY12:

- \* Install GCCS-M on 18 Group/Unit Level ships and 4 Force Level ships, and sustain Increment 1 and 2 configurations.
- \* Develop and integrate Group Level software solution, with Group Level Developmental Test (DT) planned for August 2012.
- \* Provide command, control and readiness support to all GCCS-M operational sites, training sites and GCCS Joint shore sites.

## FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Planned Accomplishments, FY13:

- \* Install GCCS-M on 40 Group/Unit Level ships, 4 Force Level ships and 2 Ashore sites, and sustain Increment 1 and 2 configurations.
- \* Group Level Operational Assessment (OA) is planned for October 2012, with Group Level Technical Evaluation (TECHEVAL) and Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E) planned for May 2013 and July 2013, respectively.
- \* Begin development of Global Force Management Data Initiative (GFM-DI) functionality.
- \* Provide command, control and readiness support to all GCCS-M operational sites, training sites and GCCS Joint shore sites.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

RDTEN will continue the Global Force Management - Data Initiative (GFM-DI) with an Engineering Drop in FY14. Procurement will be used to procure and install GCCS-M software (QTY: 33 Afloat / 2 Ashore). O&M will continue to fund command, control and readiness support to GCCS-M operational and training sites. GCCS-M will also provide technical assistance, hot-line availability, maintenance and software-only installations to all Navy afloat platforms and associated shore support sites.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

PEO C4I - Program Manager, Warfare (PMW) 150

#### **Component**

Department of the Navy

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

CAPT Steve McPhillips PEO C4I - PMW 150

## **Contract Information**

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Program Management, Acquisition, Business Financial Management and Contract Management

Function:

Name: Client Solutions Architects

City/State: San Diego, CA

Supported Integrated Logistics Support Services

Function:

Name: Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC)

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Software Development

Function:

Name: Sentek Global City/State: San Diego, CA

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** Systems Engineering and Technical Assistance (SETA) Support Services

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Duciest Names Clobal Command and Control System Maritims (CC	CCC M) Dragging	oment and Fig	ldina			
Project Name: Global Command and Control System - Maritime (GC			_			
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:		Planned Live	•		(dollars in	
<b>Description:</b> Procure associated software licenses and install GCCS-M	on 18 Group/U	nit Level ships	and 4 Force I	evel ships, as w	ell as provide as	sociated
logistics support and initial training efforts.						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
Software Procurement	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.057
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.057
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.296
Procurement of software licenses						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Logistics Support and Training	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.933
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.933
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.542
Logistics support and initial training efforts						
Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Installation Planning and Fielding	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	2.947
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	2.947
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.826
Installation planning support and fielding of GCCS-M software on 18 Group/U	Unit Level ships a	nd 4 Force Level	ships.			
Project Name: GCCS-M Increment 2 Group Level Software Develop	ment					
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-02 Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	17.580	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Development, integration, and testing of GCCS-M Incren			•			
Activity Name		t Date	•	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Software Development and Integration	Planned:	2011-10-02	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	11.479
- <del>-</del>	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	11.479
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	2.334
GCCS-M Increment Group Level software development and integration, asser						

Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Planned: 2011-10-0	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 0.919	
Projected: 2011-10-0	1 Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 0.919	
Actual: 2011-10-0	1 Actual:	Actual: 0.187	
Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Planned: 2011-10-0	2 Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 4.288	
Projected: 2011-10-0	1 Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 4.288	
Actual: 2011-10-0	1 Actual:	Actual: 0.872	
Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Planned: 2011-10-0	2 Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 0.894	
Projected: 2011-10-0	1 Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 0.894	
Actual: 2011-10-0	1 Actual:	Actual: 0.182	
	Planned: 2011-10-0 Projected: 2011-10-0 Actual: 2011-10-0  Start Date  Planned: 2011-10-0 Projected: 2011-10-0 Actual: 2011-10-0  Start Date  Planned: 2011-10-0  Projected: 2011-10-0  2011-10-0 Projected: 2011-10-0	Planned:         2011-10-02         Planned:         2012-09-30           Projected:         2011-10-01         Projected:         2012-09-30           Actual:         2011-10-01         Actual:             Start Date         Completion Date           Planned:         2011-10-02         Planned:         2012-09-30           Projected:         2011-10-01         Projected:         2012-09-30           Actual:         Actual:         Completion Date           Planned:         2011-10-02         Planned:         2012-09-30           Projected:         2011-10-01         Projected:         2012-09-30	

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Customers include warfighters onboard Aircraft Carriers (CVN), Amphibious Assault Ships (LHD/LHA), Amphibious Command Ships (LCC), Ashore operational and training sites, Guided Missile Destroyers (DDG), Guided Missile Cruisers (CG), Amphibious Transport Dock (LPD) SAN ANTONIO Class (LPD-17), Submarines (SSN/SSBN/SSGN), Guided Missile Frigates (FFG), Littoral Combat Ships (LCS), LPD AUSTIN Class (LPD-4), Dock Landing Ships (LSD), Mine Countermeasure Ships (MCM), and Patrol Coastal (PC).

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA), Naval Network Warfare Command (NETWARCOM), Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV) N2N6, OPNAV N8, Program Executive Officer, Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (PEO C4I), Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy - C4I and Space (DASN C4I/S), Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development & Acquisition)(ASN RDA).

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDT&E,N);

(\$5.3M) will be used to complete development, integration, and testing of Global Command & Control System - Maritime (GCCS-M) Increment 2 for Group Level ships. Complete transition of GCCS-M Increment 2 on Force, Group and Unit Level ships to the Common Computing Environment (CCE)/Consolidated Afloat Networks

Enterprise Services (CANES) environment. Complete developing and testing interfaces with Program Executive Office Integrated Warfare Systems (PEO IWS) Combat Systems (Aegis/Ship Self Defense System (SSDS)) and systems for other Services, Agencies, and traditional and non-traditional partners. Complete investigating and adopting Service Oriented Environment (SOE) to further the continued development of maritime tactical command and control capabilities.

RDT&E,N will also support the Global Force Management - Data Initiative (GFM-DI), a Fiscal Year (FY) 13 new start and a Vice-Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff (VCJCS)-directed, Department-wide enterprise solution that enables visibility/accessibility/sharing of data applicable to the entire DoD force structure. For the GFM-DI enterprise solution of the force structure, GCCS-M)will be the data source for the Navy's force structure representation. Development of GFM-DI functionality will begin in FY13.

#### Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

(\$8.1M) will be used to procure and install GCCS-M software on program-of-record afloat (Force and Group/Unit level) and ashore activities (FY13 QTY: 43 Afloat / 2 Ashore).

#### Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN);

(\$42.7M) and Military Personnel, Navy (\$0.276M) will provide command, control and readiness support to 14 GCCS-M operational sites and 8 training sites. GCCS-M will also provide command and control systems support in the form of technical assistance, hot-line availability, maintenance and software-only installations to all Navy Force Level Platforms (22) (Amphibious Command Ships (LCC class), Multi-purpose Aircraft Carrier (Nuclear-Propulsion) class Carriers, and Landing Helicopter Assault (LHA) and Landing Helicopter Dock (LHD) class Amphibious Ships); Unit Level Platforms (225) (Guided Missile Cruisers (CG), Destroyers (DD) and Guided Missile Destroyers (DDG), Guided Missile Frigates (FFG), Landing Ship, Dock (LSD) and Amphibious transport dock (LPD) class Amphibious Ships, Mine Countermeasures Ships (MCM), Littoral Combat Ships (LCS), Patrol Craft Coastal (PC), and Attack Submarine (Nuclear-Powered) (SSN), Ballistic Missile Submarine (Nuclear-Powered) (SSBN), and Guided Missile Submarine (Nuclear-Powered) (SSGN) class Submarines); and associated shore support sites' Software Support Activity (SSA) and In-Service Engineering Activity (ISEA). The SSA and ISEA provide hardware and software support for GCCS-M and Global Command & Control System - Joint (GCCS-J) fielded on these afloat and ashore sites.

## Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Research Development Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN): (\$7.5M)

Will continue the Global Force Management - Data Initiative (GFM-DI) with Software Engineering Drops scheduled in FY14 and FY16, and alternating with Developmental Tests in FY15 and FY17.

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN): (\$15.6M)

Will continue to fund Global Command and Control System - Maritime (GCCS-M) software upgrades and installations, and any associated hardware costs where Common Computing Environment/Consolidated Afloat Networks and Enterprise Services (CCE/CANES) is not installed.

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN): (\$173.3M)

Military Personnel, Navy (MPN): (\$1.0M)

Will continue to fund command, control and readiness support to 14 GCCS-M operational sites and 8 training sites. GCCS-M will also provide command and control systems support in the form of technical assistance, hot-line availability, maintenance and software-only installations to all Navy Force Level Platforms (22) (Amphibious Command Ships (LCC class), Multi-purpose Aircraft Carrier (Nuclear-Propulsion) class Carriers, and Landing Helicopter Assault (LHA) and Landing Helicopter Dock

(LHD) class Amphibious Ships); Unit Level Platforms (225) (Guided Missile Cruisers (CG), Destroyers (DD) and Guided Missile Destroyers (DDG), Guided Missile Frigates (FFG), Landing Ship, Dock (LSD) and Amphibious transport dock (LPD) class Amphibious Ships, Mine Countermeasures Ships (MCM), Littoral Combat Ships (LCS), Patrol Craft Coastal (PC), and Attack Submarine (Nuclear-Powered) (SSN), Ballistic Missile Submarine (Nuclear-Powered) (SSBN), and Guided Missile Submarine (Nuclear-Powered) (SSGN) class Submarines); and associated shore support sites' Software Support Activity (SSA) and In-Service Engineering Activity (ISEA).

Page left intentionally blank

#### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	0881	Acronym	GCCS-J		
Name of Investment	GLOBAL COM	MMAND AND	CONTROL SYSTEM- JOIN	Т	
Lead Agent	DEFENSE INF	FORMATION	SYSTEMS AGENCY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	control		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Global Command and Control System-Joint (GCCS-J) Program Element funds a Joint Command and Control (JC2) portfolio which includes: GCCS-J, Joint Planning & Execution Services (JPES) and supports the development and sustainment of the JC2 Architecture. GCCS-J is a suite of mission applications/systems that provide critical joint warfighting C2 capabilities by presenting an integrated, near real-time picture of the battle space for planning and execution of joint military and multinational operations. GCCS-J is the Joint C2 System of Record currently consisting of three primary baselines: the Joint Operations Planning & Execution System (JOPES), and GCCS-J Global, which contains Integrated Imagery and Intelligence (I3), Situational Awareness/Common Operating Picture (COP) capabilities, and supporting infrastructure. The Status of Forces & Training System (SORTS) transferred programmatic responsibility from GCCS-J to OSD P&R at the end of FY11. The GCCS-J program, at large is responsible for sustaining current operational baselines, modernization of key capability areas and synchronization across the Family of Systems (FOS). GCCS-J is used by all nine combatant commands (COCOMs) at sites around the world, supporting joint and coalition operations. Additionally, through the continued evolution of the GCCS Family of Systems (FoS), the Services are also utilizing components of the GCCS-J infrastructure to build their Service unique variants thus reducing the number of unique components. JPES produces enhancements to the Joint Operations Planning and Execution System (JOPES), focused adaptive planning capabilities, and provides a set of core infrastructure services necessary to provide the warfighter an interoperable environment where functionality can be easily added as mission needs dictate. The Joint C2 Architecture is a reference architecture that aligns to the Department of Defense Information Enterprise Architecture (DoD IEA). It describes architectural concepts, technical constructs, and contains reference information related to the physical, software, information assurance, and data, standards applicable to joint C2 capabilities integration and interoperability. It is designated an authoritative source of information and technical direction for the joint C2 capability area to enable capability investment and modernization planning in support of Department objectives and minimize integration risks as capabilities are developed and deployed.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	260,867	246,982	254,280	221,301
DWCF		·		
WCF, Defense				
0408010DBE 20-N/A	808	898	913	929
DWCF Total	808	898	913	929
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0303150F 06-N/A	30,675	0	0	0
Mil Pers, Navy				
0101221N 06-N/A	6,020	0	0	0
0303150N 06-N/A	1,365	2,035	2,076	2,117
MILPERS Total	38,060	2,035	2,076	2,117
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0303150F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	2,658	5,019	3,094	2,505
0303150F 01-Global C3I And Early Warning	35,260	0	0	0
0303184F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	102	0	290	290
0303186F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	196	0	0	0
0303251F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	391	947	969	991
0303254F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	1,092	710	731	747
0303255F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	2,848	0	0	0
O&M, DW				
0303150K 04-Defense Information Systems Agency	103,999	126,193	158,311	143,396
O&M, MC				
0206625M 01-Field Logistics	597	0	0	0
0206626M 01-Field Logistics	1,700	2,599	2,940	3,136
O&M, Navy				
0204651N 01-Combat Communications	620	556	542	551
0204651N 01-Combat Support Forces	0	4,570	10,395	8,869

GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM- JOINT (0881)

				FY 2014
0204660N 01-Combat Communications	FY 2011	FY 2012	<u>FY 2013</u> 3,936	4,307
	4,725	2,191	2,896	2,899
0303150N 01-Combat Communications	6,000	2,979	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0
0303252N 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	3,485	0	0	550
0303253N 01-Combatant Commanders Core Operations	990	3,305	685	
0305972N 01-Space Systems And Surveillance	13	0	0	0
0902398N 04-Administration	373	381	389	397
Operations Total	165,049	149,450	185,178	168,638
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				12.550
0303150F 03-AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS	9,159	13,285	15,829	13,559
Other Proc, Navy				1.076
0204660N 02-NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	2,984	1,958	1,383	1,276
0303113N 07-COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	0	5,042	8,850	7,883
Procurement, DW				
0303150K 01-GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	6,246	5,324	0	0
Procurement, MC				
0206313M 04-COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	7,247	1,980	1,470	1,643
0206313M 04-COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	405	8,936	1,205	1,189
0206315M 06-FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	141	144	111	111
0506313M 04-COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	0	0	190	0
Procurement Total	26,182	36,669	29,038	25,661
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0303150F 07-JC2 Technology And System Development	3,055	0	0	0
RDT&E, DW				
0303150K 07-GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	26,183	56,680	36,575	23,694
RDT&E, Navy				
0206625M 07- Intel Command and Control (C2) Sys	1,530	0	0	0
0303150M 07- Exp Indirect Fire Gen Supt Wpn Sys	0	1,250	0	0

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>
0303150M 07-Global Force Mgmt - DI (GFM-DI) for Global Cm	0	0	500	262
RDT&E Total	30,768	57,930	37,075	23,956

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	333.010	288.199	
FY 2013 President's Budget	246.982	254.280	7.30
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-33.919	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Overall, funding increase of \$5.227M reflects minimal program changes but the variance between appropriations was significant.

DISA O&M: FY13 increase of \$67.6 million reflects a DoD decision for GCCS-J to be an enduring foundational program for enterprise Command and Control capabilities to the warfighter. A portion of this increase was a transfer from RDT&E and PROC to keep the system reliability at a mission acceptable level, specifically; provide full sustainment of deployed capabilities including critical operational onsite support to combatant commands, Service components, and GCCS-J FOS fielding of Global 4.2.0.9; provide COTS license fees associated with the delay of GCCS-J tech refresh and begin GCCS-J modernization efforts targeted at priority sustainment cost drivers to include starting to replace expensive COTS products with more cost effective open source COTS hardware and software alternatives, and client consolidation; enabling the GCCS-J Family of Systems (FoS), and the Services to leverage components of the GCCS-J infrastructure to build their Service-unique variants. This add also provides significant help desk service for GCCS-J applications by the Joint Staff Support Center in the Pentagon.

DISA PROC: FY 2013: Procurement funding was terminated beginning in FY 2013 and transferred to GCCS-J O&M to provide critically needed operations and sustainment support.

DISA RDT&E: GCCS decreased -\$20.1 million due to an OSD-directed slow-down in the development of planning applications residing within the C2 Adaptive Planning tools and movement of selected Joint Planning and Execution System applications to sustainment beginning in FY 2013.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Overall, funding increase of \$5.8M is in line with inflation. However, changes by appropriation reflect an overall shift in emphasis to GCCS-J and GCCS Family of Systems sustainment and operations and a reduction in GCCS-J and GCSS Family of Systems modernization.

DISA O&M: FY13 increase of \$32.1 million reflects a DoD decision for GCCS-J to be an enduring foundational program for enterprise Command and Control capabilities to the warfighter. A portion of this increase was a transfer from RDT&E and PROC to keep the system reliability at a mission acceptable level, specifically; provide full sustainment of

deployed capabilities including critical operational onsite support to combatant commands, Service components, and GCCS-J FOS fielding of Global 4.2.0.9; provide COTS license fees associated with the delay of GCCS-J tech refresh and begin GCCS-J modernization efforts targeted at priority sustainment cost drivers to include starting to replace expensive COTS products with more cost effective open source COTS hardware and software alternatives, and client consolidation; enabling the GCCS-J Family of Systems (FoS), and the Services to leverage components of the GCCS-J infrastructure to build their Service-unique variants.

DISA PROC: FY 2013: Procurement funding was terminated beginning in FY 2013 and transferred to GCCS-J O&M to provide critically needed operations and sustainment support.

DISA RDT&E: GCCS decreased -\$20.1 million due to an OSD-directed slow-down in the development of planning applications residing within the C2 Adaptive Planning tools and movement of selected Joint Planning and Execution System applications to sustainment beginning in FY 2013.

#### **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

- Executed priority Information Assurance Vulnerability Alerts (IAVAs)
- Closed Critical Software Problem Reports impacting operations
- Began tech refresh to address COTS end-of-life issues
- Provided interface upgrades and critical fixes
- Completed 3 Global releases, 2 JOPES releases, and 1 SORTS release
- Provided on-site support at key sites:
- Coalition and NATO-specific interfaces (CENTCOM, EUCOM and AFRICOM)
- Onsite COP and I3 Subject Matter Experts (CENTCOM, AFRICOM, PACOM, USFK and EUCOM)
- Hot fixes display FAA tracks on the COP and access to the new IGC
- Addressed interface issues between the two systems and deliver an enhanced air picture at

#### **AOC-WS**

- Joint Command and Control Common User Interface (JC2CUI)
- Provided single web entry point
- Used agile development process to reduce delivery and test time.
- CDS-improved user track picture and associated attributes between domains (25%)
- Enterprise COP (ECOP)/Agile client- Sprints underway

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Continue planned migration to Net-centric Joint C2 capabilities, sustainment and synchronization of current baselines, and transition from use of stand-alone enclaves to shared enterprise deployments as the JC2 Roadmap is developed.
- Sustain the GCCS-J baselines (Global & JOPES)
- Global 4.2.0.9
- Global 4.2.0.9 U1 + 3-4 additional Patches, 4.2.0.10, and 4.2.0.11
- JOPES 4.2.0.2 and JOPES 4.2.X, + 3-4 additional Patches

- Provide critical operational support for the combatant commands/GCCS-J agile client
- Begin work on Global 4.2.1
- Start COTS Technical Refresh to minimize impact of COTS end-of-life issues
- Start client consolidation
- Start X86 migration
- Continue technical refresh
- Continue Adaptive Planning enhancements
- Respond to priority Information Assurance Vulnerability Alerts (IAVAs)
- Provide Helpdesk support
- Maintain license support and agreements
- Provide commercial software updates
- Install critical patches/updates
- Provide hardware maintenance
- Respond to Critical Software Problem Reports
- Maintain interoperability between GCCS-J and the FoS
- -Integrate External interfaces and Services
- -Provide Software fixes.
- -Conduct Integration and testing
- -Begin integration of Global Force Management Data Initiative (GFM DI)
- Modernization and Infrastructure Initiatives:
- -JC2CUI provide initial fielding of OWF/ transition framework to PEO GES; Two releases of widgets planned
- CDS Complete of RSC deployment to support CENTCOM
- Enterprise COP/Agile client: provide two releases planned for additional plug-ins and system enhancements
- Develop, test and release Adaptive Planning enhancements.
- Begin implementation of COTS Alternatives and X86 Migration: Start sequenced open source and x86 migration.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

The Mar 2011 DoD Analysis of Alternatives (AoA), tasked DoD to produce a roadmap 1QFY12 to implement Joint C2 capability needs defined in the Joint Requirements Oversight Counsel (JROC) approved CDD. The AoA influences FY13/beyond execution. GCCS-J will:

- •Continue planned migration to Net-centric Joint C2 capabilities, sustainment and synchronization of current baselines, and transition from use of stand-alone enclaves to shared enterprise deployments as the JC2 Roadmap is developed.
- Continue to work modernization initiatives as directed by JS/J8 and funding is made available.
- Provide 4-Global, 2-JOPES, 2-Joint Command and Control Common User Interface (JC2CUI), 2 Agile Client releases based on President's Budget as is.
- Continue migration of Client functionality to Agile Client and JC2 CUI
- Complete objective client migration mapping
- Complete new client requirement mapping process

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

FY 2014:

- Joint C2 Roadmap Plan will dictate the GCCS-J way ahead.
- GCCS-J will focus on sustaining core capabilities already deployed based on "as is" President's Budget
- Continue JC2CUI and Agile Development
- Global Releases and Updates
- JOPES Releases and Updates
- Continue X86 Migration
- Continue Adaptive Planning enhancements

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

PEO C2C

#### Component

Defense Information Systems Agency

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Ms. Kimberly M. Rice

PEO C2C, CC3

## **Contract Information**

Name: Booz-Allen

8283 Greensboro Drive

Mclean,

City/State: VA

**Supported** Rapid TPFDD Builder

Function:

Name: Braxton-Grant Technologies Inc.

10105 village Green Drive

City/State: Woodstock, MD

Supported McAfee

Function:

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: CACI

14151 Park Meadow Drive

DUNS 114896066

City/State: Chantilly, VA

Supported Systems Engineering Development/Integration Support - JC2 Common User Interface

**Function:** 

Name: Dynamic Systems Inc.

5261 West Imperial Highway

City/State: Los Angeles, CA

**Supported** SUN Systems Engineering Support - SUN Secure Global Desktop

**Function:** 

Name: Dynamic Systems Inc.

5261 West imperial Hwy

City/State: Los Angeles, CA

**Supported** Oracle Directly Server Software

Function:

Name: Four LLC

15413 SNOWHILL LN

City/State: Centerville, VA

**Supported** Engineering & Software Development - Gemstone Software Maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: IPKeys

1 INDUSTRIAL WAY W BLDG E STE E-H

City/State: Eatontown, NJ

Supported Program Control Support (Sub to IPKEYS)

**Function:** 

Name: IPKEYS TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED LIABILITY CO

1 INDUSTRIAL WAY W BLDG E STE E-H

**City/State:** EATONTOWN, NJ **Supported** Acquisition Support

Function:

Name: Lancer Information Solutions, LLC

712 Day Lane

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Alexandria, VA

**Supported** Oracle Software Maintainance

**Function:** 

Name: Melillo Consulting Inc.

285 DAVIDSON AVE, STE 202

**SOMERSET** 

City/State: NJ

**Supported** HP Loadrunner Software Maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: Northrop Grumman

2340 Dulles Corner Road

City/State: Herndon, VA

Supported "Integrated Imagery & Intelligence Services -

13 Engineering Services & SW Development Support

Function: "

Name: Northrop Grumman

7575 COLSHIRE DRIVE

MC LEAN

City/State: VA

Supported Integrated Imagery & Intelligence Services

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman

7575 COLSHIRE DRIVE

City/State: MCLEAN, VA

**Supported** Engineering and SW Development - COP Development/Sustainment

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman

7575 COLSHIRE DRIVE

City/State: MCLEAN, VA

Supported Integrated Gaming System

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman

7575 COLSHIRE DRIVE

City/State: Mclean, VA

Supported Systems Engineering Development/Integration Support

Function:

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: Oracle America Inc.

1910 ORACLE Highway

City/State: Reston, VA

Supported JAVA for Business Enterprise/SUN JAVA Software Maintenance

Function:

Name: Pragmatics

1761 Business Center Drive

City/State: Reston, VA

Supported Joint Force Projection

Function:

Name: Pragmatics

7926 JONES BRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 711

City/State: MCLEAN, VA Supported JOPES Support

Function:

Name: Pragmatics

1761 Business Center Drive

City/State: Reston, VA Supported JPES Framework

Function:

Name: SAIC

10260 Campus Point Drive Bldg C,

San Diego

City/State: CA

Supported Security Engineering Support

**Function:** 

Name: SAIC

1710 SAIC DR

City/State: MClean, VA

Supported Deployment and Sustainment Technical Support

Function:

Name: Spectrum Systems Inc.

11325 RandonHills Road Suite 600

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Loadrunner SW Maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: Spectrum Systems Inc.

11325 Random Hills Road

Suite 600

City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** HP SOA Registry

Function:

Name: Spectrum Systems Inc.

11325 RandonHills Road

Suite 600

City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Engineering & Software Development - Jabber SW Maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: Teamquest Corporation

One Teamquest Way

City/State: Clear Lake, IA

Supported TeamQuest Analyzer, Reporter, Alert, Encryption 5 Sun Solaris Servers, and Maintenance Agreement- 4 CPUs

Function:

Name: TKC Integration Services

3201 C Street
Suite 400C
City/State: Anchorage, AK
Supported BEA Web Logic

**Function:** 

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: JPES Development

Planned Start Date: 2011-03-29 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-10 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 32.987 (dollars in millions)

Description: Joint Planning and Execution System (JPES) serves as the material developer of APEX enterprise capabilites in accordance with the Adapative

Planning Roadmap II in support of the Joint Planning and Execution Community (JPEC) enterprise capabilities to include complete lifecycle support

for development and/or integration of capabilities against validated requirements and net-centric Command and Control (C2) architecture.

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	Start Date		etion Date	Total	Costs
Integrated Gaming System	Planned:	2011-03-29	Planned:	2012-03-28	Planned:	11.091
	Projected:	2011-03-29	Projected:	2012-03-28	Projected:	11.091
Description	Actual:	2011-03-29	Actual:		Actual:	1.849
Develop Capability Package 1 & Capability Package 2 in FY12, Joint rapid a Joint Tasking (Attack, Defend, etc.), UI usability enhancements and resolution Algorithm, Data on demand to improve usability of save and load CFDB Pla	of "Map Wrap" de nning and Design,I	fect that splits Pantegration with J	ACOM AOR,A FW/DVL for JO	synchronous reh OPES reference of	nearsal,Migration of lata.	f Sensing
Activity Name		Date	-	etion Date	Total	
Rapid TPFDD Build	Planned:	2011-07-01	Planned:	2012-06-30	Planned:	11.989
		2011-07-01	Projected:	2012-06-30	Projected:	11.989
Description	Actual:	2011-07-01	Actual:		Actual:	1.998
Develop v1.1 to include, Integration with JFW/DVL for JOPES reference da generation fixes, JFAST integration with web service, ILOC enhancements, <b>Activity Name</b>	UI Improvements,				Conus -to- Conus n	
JPES Framework	Planned:	2011-09-02	Planned:	2012-09-01	Planned:	7.207
of Ed Francovork		2011-09-02	Projected:	2012-09-01	Projected:	7.207
Description	Actual:	2011-09-02	Actual:	2012 07 01	Actual:	1.201
Develop V1.2, DVL SOAP-based web services, Permissions management fo integration with IGS, DVL integration with RTB, extended ABAC support <b>Activity Name</b>	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
JCRM	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	2012-09-10	Planned:	2.700
	Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	2012-09-10	Projected:	2.700
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.450
JCRM is being transitioned to DISA in two parts, First part in December 201	1, Second Part in J	ine 2012. Develo	opment will cov	er enhancements	s required in 4QFY	12
roject Name: JPES Sustainment						
Planned Start Date: 2011-03-29 Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-09	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	13 734	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Provide critical and necessary activites (e.g.: software ar technical services necessary to analyze, design, test, cert Services (JPES) applications.	nd systems engine ify & accredit, de	ering support, ploy and maint	modernization	n, integration, to	esting, operationa Joint Planning an	l support and d Execution
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
Rapid TPFDD Build	Planned:	2011-07-01	Planned:	2012-06-30	Planned:	2.488
	Projected:	2011-07-01	Projected:	2012-06-30	Projected:	2.488
Description	Actual:	2011-07-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.415
Sustain Deployed v1.0, providing critical and necessary support (eg: installin Scans.	g patches, respond	ng to priority Int	formation Assu	rance Vulnerabil	ity Alerts (IAVAs)	and Retina

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Integrated Gaming System	Planned:	2011-09-02	Planned:	2012-09-01	Planned:	3.439
	Projected:	2011-09-02	Projected:	2012-09-01	Projected:	3.439
Description	Actual:	2011-09-02	Actual:		Actual:	0.573
Sustain Deployed v1.1, providing critical and necessary support (eg:	installing patches, respond	ing to priority In	formation Assur	rance Vulnerabilit	ty Alerts (IAVAs)	and Retina
Scans.						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
JPES Framework	Planned:	2011-09-10	Planned:	2012-09-09	Planned:	2.839
	•	2011-09-10	Projected:	2012-09-09	Projected:	2.839
Description	Actual:	2011-09-10	Actual:		Actual:	0.473
Sustain Deployed v1.2, providing critical and necessary support (eg:	installing patches, respond	ing to priority In	formation Assur	rance Vulnerabilit	ty Alerts (IAVAs)	and Retina
Scans.	G4	t Date	Commi	etion Date	Tc4-1 4	Costs
Activity Name Joint Force Projection	Planned:	2011-09-10	Planned:	2012-09-09	Total (	1.689
Joint Force Projection						
B 1.4		2011-09-10	Projected:	2012-09-09	Projected:	1.689
Description	Actual:	2011-09-10	Actual:		Actual:	0.282
Providing critical and necessary support to sustain V2.5.4.0 (eg: resp certification and accrediation, and performing Retina Scans	onding to priority Informat	ion Assurance V	ulnerability Ale	erts (IAVAs), maii	ntaining system se	cuirity
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
JCRM	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	2012-09-09	Planned:	3.278
VOLUM		2011-12-01	Projected:	2012-09-09	Projected:	3.278
Description	Actual:	2011 12 01	Actual:	2012 05 05	Actual:	0.546
JCRM being transitioned to DISA in two parts, First part in December		e 2012 IPES wi		g/exericse server		
sustain the operational server in June 2012.	or 2011, Second part in Jun	C 2012 31 LB WI	ii sastain trainin	g exeriese server	in December 2011	and win
Project Name: GCCS-J Modernization						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 Planned Completion	Date: 2012-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost	32 921	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Modernization of key Joint Command and Control			•			
use of local stand-alone enclaves to the implement			v teciniology a	na revamping p	nocesses. Begin	iransition moi
Activity Name	1	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Global Modernization	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	10.974
Global Modernization		2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	10.974
Description	Actual:	2011-10-03	Actual:	2012-09-30	Actual:	1.829
Descrimin	Actual:	2011-10-03	Actual.		Actual.	1.029
Begin work towards client consolidation, COTS migration and X86 g	latforms and sorrioss					

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
JC2CUI & Widget Evolution	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	10.974
	Projected:	2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	10.974
Description	Actual:	2011-10-03	Actual:		Actual:	1.829
Continue to explore potential use of widgets (An element of a GUI, such as a access data and services. Develop additional Joint C2 core widgets to acess a Software (GOSS) Board to enhance Ozone Widget Framework (OWF). Expa development toolkit. Establish Joint C2 governance process for widget market Activity Name	applications and send and third party deve etplace.	vices via web bro	owser. Continu supported via	e partnership with	Government Ope	n Source t / Widget
Enterprise COP & Agile Client Server Development .	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	10.970
Emergine Cor a right Cheft Berter pertendiment.		2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	10.970
Description	Actual:	2011-10-03	Actual:	2012 07 50	Actual:	1.829
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 Planned Completion Date:  Description: Provide critical and necessary support activities (e.g., maresponding to priority Information Assurance Vulnerabil exercises, updating documentation, and maintaining system deployed system.	intaining license ity Alerts (IAVA	s) and critical S	oftware upda Software Prob	tes, installing pa lem Reports imp	pacting operation	maintenance, ns, supporting
Activity Name	Star	Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
GCCS-J Global Releases and Fielding	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	23.432
	Projected:	2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	23.432
Description	Actual:	2011-10-03	Actual:		Actual:	3.900
Two Global releases, Two Global updates, 3-4 additional patches - each at 6 r current system and provide patches to issues found during initial fielding and			e Planned to	target specific AC	OC fixes to proble	ms identified in
Activity Name	Star	t Date		etion Date	Total (	
GCCS-J Critical Software Problem Support	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	23.432
	•	2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	23.432
Description	Actual:	2011-10-03	Actual:		Actual:	3.905
Global emergency patches address excessive memory consumption when usin	ng certain Integrate	d Intelligence and	d Imagery (I3)	application feature	es and fixes incor	actly formatta

Milestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
JOPES Releases	Planned: 2011-10	0-03 Planned: 2012-09-3	30 Planned: 23.432
	Projected: 2011-10	0-03 Projected: 2012-09-3	30 Projected: 23.432
Description	Actual: 2011-10	0-03 Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Two major JOPES releases at 6 month intervals from	the release date. Provides security and infrastructure	e updates and critical fixes to JOPI	ES.

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Customers include all nine combatant Commanders and four uniformed services. Principal customers are the Secretary of Defense, National Military Command Center, Joint Task Force and Component Commanders, and deployed forces below the JTF and other DOD components.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Stakeholders are the GCCS-J customers, Joint Staff J3/J8.

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

O&M - O&M funds will be used for the sustainment and synchronization of the operational system to ensure that a robust and secure set of C2 capabilities are available to the users 24x7. Funding will also support synchronization support requirements identified by the overall GCCS Family of Systems (FoS) as part of the continued migration of the current GCCS (FoS) to agile C2 capabilities. Funding will also be applied toward JPES (IGS, RTB, JFW) systems, and the Joint C2 Architecture.

PROC - Procurement funding was terminated beginning in FY 2013 and realigned to GCCS-J O&M to provide critically needed operations and sustainment support.

RDT&E - The GCCS-J PMO will continue to work upgrades to the infrastructure required due to COTS obsolescence. Funds will also be used to modernize and develop Joint C2 capabilities, including CDS, JCUI and Enterprise COP efforts, and new initiatives recommended to be developed as meeting the future priorities of the JSJ8 as the current Operational Sponsor. Continued improvements will be made to decouple interfaces and migrate existing functional capabilities to the enterprise.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

O&M - Future year O&M funds will be used for the sustainment and synchronization of the operational system to ensure that a robust and secure set of C2 capabilities are available to the users 24x7. Funding will also support synchronization support requirements identified by the overall GCCS Family of Systems (FoS) as part of the continued migration of the current GCCS (FoS) to agile C2 capabilities. Funding will also be applied toward JPES (IGS, RTB, JFW) systems, and the Joint C2 Architecture.

PROC - Procurement funding was terminated beginning in FY 2013 through FY17 and realigned to GCCS-J O&M to provide critically needed operations and sustainment

support.

RDT&E - The GCCS-J Program Management Office (PMO) will continue to work upgrades to the infrastructure required due to COTS obsolescence. Funds will also be used to modernize and develop Joint C2 capabilities, including CDS, JCUI and Enterprise COP efforts, and new initiatives recommended to be developed as meeting the future priorities of the JSJ8 as the current Operational Sponsor. Continued improvements will be made to decouple interfaces and migrate existing functional capabilities to the enterprise.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	0884	Acronym	GDSS		
Name of Investment	GLOBAL DEC	CISION SUPPO	ORT SYSTEM		
Lead Agent	U.S. TRANSPO	ORTATION C	OMMAND		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAII	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Global Decision Support System (GDSS) is a US Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM) -funded system providing combatant commanders throughout the full spectrum of military operations Mobility Air Forces (MAF) Command and Control (C2) information for the Defense Transportation System (DTS). As the MAF's principal C2 system, the operational imperative is to deliver robust capabilities to command and control MAF forces using a net-centric environment, allowing access and information sharing across classified and unclassified domains and interoperates with Air Force/Army/Joint C2 systems as an integral part of the DTS.

GDSS offers capability for MAF C2 elements to accomplish continuous collaborative planning and tasking in response to assessments of mission impacts to task or redirect airborne MAF aircraft while coordinating associated mission, aircrew, and logistics requirements changes through the appropriate Civil Aviation Authority, MAF, Combat Air Forces (CAF), and Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF) C2 fixed and mobile elements.

GDSS provides a critical part of the capability towards meeting the MAF goal of near-real-time 100% Total Asset Visibility and in-transit visibility.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	58,925	61,209	74,730	82,427
DWCF				
WCF, Air Force				
0408010DBE 20-N/A	58,925	61,209	74,730	82,427
DWCF Total	58,925	61,209	74,730	82,427

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	67.332	74.415	
FY 2013 President's Budget	61.209	74.730	13.52
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		0.315	
<b>.</b>	•		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The increase is a result of an inflation adjustment.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Dynamic mission replanning, global aircrew management, global aircrew scheduling, cross-domain data transfer, and Intelligence collaboration capabilities project ramp-up increased funding in FY13

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- Attained Full Operating Capability with fielding GDSS version 2.3.0—updated functional capability, completed transition of legacy interfaces, and powered down all Legacy GDSS equipment
- Fielded GDSS version 2.3.1 addressing functional user issues, ensured Standard Desktop Compliance 3.x compatibility, and upgraded security
- Developed and fielded GDSS NIPRNet version 2.3.2 as a technology refresh to utilize 64-bit capability and upgraded storage area network
- Developed GDSS version 2.3.3 with Common Access Card/Public Key Infrastructure (CAC/PKI) integration and Transportation Tracking and Accounting Number initiatives
- Developed and fielded two GDSS Exercise Management Console versions
- Developed and fielded Aviation Operations Risk Management (AvORM) version 2.3
- Initiated GDSS technology refresh with Dynamic Mission Replanning (DMR), Global Aircrew Management (GAM), and Global Aircrew Scheduling (GAS)

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Complete fielding GDS SIPRNet version 2.3.2 delivering technology refresh utilizing 64-bit & upgraded storage area network
- Field GDSS version 2.3.3 delivering CAC/PKI integration & implement transportation tracking and accounting number initiative

- Develop and field GDSS version 2.3.4 addressing 30 user-requested functionality fixes
- Develop and field GDSS version 3.0 addressing downward-directed requirements, incorporating technology updates, and first phase toward web-based-only capability
- Develop GDSS version 3.0.1 phase 2 web-based capability and begin development of version 3.0.2 addressing external interface changes, software obsolescence and mandated security updates.
- Upgrade two enclave sites to provide SIPRNet replication capability
- Initiate development of replacement cross domain solution.
- Develop and field three AvORM versions to provide analysis of changing flight scheduling information/sleep times and durations to analyze impact on mission changes and optimize mission effectiveness
- Develop and begin transition of selected GAM functions to internet applications
- Develop DMR functionality and complete initial architecture and external/internal engineering for single site integration
- Begin modifying GAS internal systems and Graduate Training Integration Management System (GTIMS) and initiate an enterprise version of GAS by creating an initial architecture and defining internal and external engineering changes

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- Develop and field three major GDSS version updates addressing last two web-based capabilities phases while including downward-directed modifications (external interface changes to ensure compliance with evolving security requirements and optimize data flow, address software obsolescence issues, and be compliant with mandated security updates)
- Continue development of cross domain replacement solution.
- Validate, define, and mitigate user requirements in AvORM and field version 3.0.
- Field operational prototypes for DMR and GAM to a limited user base. Continue advanced engineering of "What-if" scenario automation capability begin production engineering
- Continue enterprise version of GAS by developing the architecture and begin internal and external systems changes.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Planned investment is to continue with downward-directed software modifications to GDSS in three major software releases annually. Continue with operational prototypes and fielding for DMR and GAM. Continue enterprise version of GAS by updating architecture and begin internal and external systems changes. The program will continue to be refined in support of AMC and DoD Functional Needs Analyses (FNAs).

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### **Component**

U.S. Transportation Command

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Danny Wedmore, GS14

### **Contract Information**

Name: ARINC City/State: Annapolis, VA

**Supported** Administrative and technical program support

Function:

Name: Computer Science Corporation (CSC)

City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported Software sustainment, development and level 3 support

Function:

Name: CSC

City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported AISS program support for configuration management, testing, evaluation, certification, fielding, training. Contract is utilized as a shared service

**Function:** funded by and supporting 6 AMC/A6I program.

Name: Cyintech
City/State: Smyrna, GA

Supported Aviation Operational Risk Management (AvORM)

Function:

Name: Dell

City/State: Round Rock, TX

**Supported** GAS/GAM/DMR server software

**Function:** 

Contracts - Continued

Name: Dynamic Research Corp (DRC)

City/State: Andover, MD

**Supported** GAS/GAM/DMR custom applications development

**Function:** 

Name: MITRE City/State: Bedford, MA

**Supported** Project and Integration Engineering Support

Function:

Name: Red River
City/State: Claremont, NH

Supported GAS/GAM/DMR Navishpere Analyzer software

**Function:** 

Name: SPAWAR
City/State: Charleston, SC

**Supported** GAS/GAM/DMR design, prototyping, testing, and incremental development for custom applications

Function:

Name: Tri-Cor City/State: Lanham, MD

**Supported** Software and hardware administration and support, change management, and engineering support.

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Global Decision Support System (GDSS)

Planned Start Date: 2001-02-11 Planned Completion Date: 2021-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 825.103 (dollars in millions)

Description: Software modifications required to keep pace with external interface changes, software obsolescence (i.e. Oracle and other COTS product versions no

longer supported), downward-direct requirements to meet corporate requirements, and security mandates. Also includes software fixes and

compatibility.

**Start Date Total Costs Activity Name Completion Date** GDSS Enterprise Services Monitoring (ESM) v2.2 2010-09-15 Planned: Planned: 2011-10-14 Planned: 3.729 Projected: 2010-09-15 Projected: 2011-10-14 Projected: 1.700 Actual: 2010-09-15 Actual: 2011-10-14 Actual: 1.700 Description

Develop, test, and field version update; update monitoring capabilities of currently fielded C2 system and provide enhanced monitoring capabilities.

Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total Costs	
GDSS v2.3.2	Planned:	2010-09-27	Planned:	2011-10-14	Planned:	3.442
	Projected:	2010-09-27	Projected:	2011-12-15	Projected:	1.916
Description	Actual:	2010-09-27	Actual:	2011-12-15	Actual:	2.117
Field version update; technology refresh with 64-bit environment, S	torage Area Network (SAN)	and replication	agent upgrade.			
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
GDSS XSG Phase 2	Planned:	2010-10-01	Planned:	2011-09-30	Planned:	1.750
	Projected:	2010-10-01	Projected:	2011-09-30	Projected:	1.750
Description	Actual:	2010-10-01	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:	1.750
Engineer and field security solution; Provide and install 15 XML fir	rewall devices and associated	d engineering to i	implement.			
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
GDSS v2.3.3	Planned:	2011-04-08	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	2.295
	Projected:	2011-04-08	Projected:	2012-02-29	Projected:	1.782
Description	Actual:	2011-04-08	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Test and field version update; DOD-mandated Common Access Car	rd (CAC) enabling and Trans	sportation Tracki	ng Account Nu	mber (TTAN).		
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
GDSS ESM V2.3	Planned:	2011-04-22	Planned:	2011-10-14	Planned:	1.721
	Projected:	2011-04-22	Projected:	2011-10-14	Projected:	1.059
Description	Actual:	2011-04-22	Actual:	2011-10-14	Actual:	1.059
Develop, test, and field version update; Update monitoring capabilit	ies of currently fielded C2 s	ystem and provid	de enhanced mo	nitoring capabiliti	es.	
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
GDSS v2.3.4	Planned:	2011-08-01	Planned:	2012-06-20	Planned:	1.228
	Projected:	2011-08-01	Projected:	2012-06-20	Projected:	1.228
Description	Actual:	2011-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Develop, test, and field version update; provides 25 user change req	uests; functional enhanceme	nts and software	fixes to improv	e usability and rel	iability.	
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
GDSS v3.0	Planned:	2011-08-01	Planned:	2012-10-31	Planned:	1.411
	Projected:	2011-08-01	Projected:	2012-10-31	Projected:	1.411
Description	Actual:	2011-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

ilestones - Continued							
Activity Name	Star	Start Date		Completion Date		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Enterprise Service Monitor V2.4	Planned:	2011-09-01	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	0.563	
	Projected:	2011-09-01	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	0.563	
Description	Actual:	2011-09-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
Update monitoring capabilities of currently fielded C2 system and provide enl	nanced monitoring	g capabilities.					
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
GDSS HBSS v3.0 and SPLUNK Phase 2	Planned:	2011-09-30	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.368	
	Projected:	2011-09-30	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.368	
Description	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
Engineer and field security solution; Provide and install hardware solution (40	servers) and asso	ciated engineerin	g to implement	·			
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
Exercise Management Console v1.1.3	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	0.705	
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	0.705	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
Update GDSS exercise console with user change requests enhancing exercise	capabilities in sup	port of COCOMs	<b>;</b>				
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>		
XSG Phase 3	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.916	
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.916	
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
Provide and install 15 XML firewall devices and associated engineering to in	nplement.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	te Total Costs		
Automatic Cross Domain Solution / MAC-D (Mobility Air Cross Domain)	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.010	
Phase 1	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.010	
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
Development of an automated solution for moving MAF data between unclass	sified and classifie	d environments.					
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Enterprise Service Monitor V3.0	Planned:	2012-02-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.806	
	Projected:	2012-02-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.806	
	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	

ilestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		Total Costs	
GDSS v3.0.1	Planned:	2012-05-01	Planned:	2012-12-01	Planned:	0.707
	Projected:	2012-05-01	Projected:	2012-12-01	Projected:	0.707
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Develop, test, and field version update; functional update to address user issu					se 2 with ISO 3166	5-1 and ACAR
standard message set updatesensure continued messaging capabilities with t						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total C	
GDSS version 3.0.2	Planned:	2012-06-01	Planned:	2013-03-15	Planned:	1.010
		2012-06-01	Projected:	2013-03-15	Projected:	1.010
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Thin Client Phase 3 with 25 user change requests - Functional enhancements	and software fixes	to improve the u	sability and rel	iability of GDSS.		
oject Name: Aviation Operational Risk Management (AvORM)						
Planned Start Date: 2010-09-19 Planned Completion Date:	2014-09-19	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	4.668	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> AvORM will provide Mobility Air Forces (MAF) aircre	ura plannara aah	adulara flight r	nonogorg and	conjur landara n	radiativa analysi	a toola to
mitigate aircrew and mission risks, and reverse the grow parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref	), planning factor	rs (e.g., Notices	to Airmans (			
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref <b>Activity Name</b>	), planning factor ueling, aeromedi Star	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date	to Airmans ( Compl	NOTAMS), haza	ardous airspace),  Total (	and mission  Costs
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref	), planning factor iueling, aeromedi Star Planned:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date 2011-01-03	Compl Planned:	NOTAMS), haza etion Date 2011-11-15	ardous airspace),  Total ( Planned:	and mission  Costs  1.553
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref <b>Activity Name</b>	), planning factor iueling, aeromedi Star Planned:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03	to Airmans ( Compl	NOTAMS), haza etion Date 2011-11-15 2011-12-15	ardous airspace),  Total (  Planned:  Projected:	and mission  Costs  1.553  1.688
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description	), planning factor dueling, aeromedi Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual:	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual:	20sts 1.553 1.688 1.688
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati	), planning factor dueling, aeromedi Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual:	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual:	20sts 1.553 1.688 1.688
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.	), planning factor dueling, aeromedi Star Planned: Projected: Actual:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management -	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: Development	etion Date 2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pr	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t	Costs  1.553 1.688 1.688 2001 to identify
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  AvORM v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name	), planning factor ueling, aeromedi Star Planned: Projected: Actual: ion Operational Ris	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management –	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: Development Compl	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t	and mission  Costs  1.553 1.688 1.688 2001 to identify  Costs
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.	), planning factor ueling, aeromedi Star Planned: Projected: Actual: ion Operational Ris Star Planned:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management - t Date 2011-10-01	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl Planned:	etion Date 2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date 2012-05-30	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Total (  Planned:	and mission  Costs  1.553 1.688 1.688 cool to identify  Costs  0.541
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  AvORM v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name  AvORM V2.5	), planning factor ueling, aeromedi Star Planned: Projected: Actual: ion Operational Ris Star Planned: Projected:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management - t Date  2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl Planned: Projected:	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Planned: Projected:	20sts 1.553 1.688 1.688 2.001 to identify 20sts 0.541 0.541
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name  Avorm V2.5  Description	), planning factoriueling, aeromedi  Star  Planned: Projected: Actual: ion Operational Ris  Planned: Projected: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management - t Date  2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl Planned: Projected: Actual:	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date  2012-05-30 2012-05-30	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Total (  Planned: Projected: Actual:	20sts 1.553 1.688 1.688 2.001 to identify 20sts 0.541 0.541 0.000
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  AVORM v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name  AVORM V2.5  Description  User Interface Redesign, Enhanced Alerting and Fatigue Data Capture. Rede	Planned: Planned: Actual: ion Operational Ris Planned: Projected: Actual: ion Operational Ris Planned: Projected: Actual: esign of scoring wo	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management - t Date  2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 prksheet to pin-po	Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: ints risk on an	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date  2012-05-30 2012-05-30 individual sortie b	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: assis within a flight	20sts 1.553 1.688 1.688 2001 to identify 20sts 0.541 0.541 0.000 2 duty period.
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  AVORM v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name  AvORM v2.5  Description  User Interface Redesign, Enhanced Alerting and Fatigue Data Capture. Rede Provides alerting enhancements and captures fatigue scoring data for predicti	Planned: Planned: Actual: ion Operational Ris Planned: Projected: Actual: ion Operational Ris Planned: Projected: Actual: esign of scoring wo	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management - t Date  2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 prksheet to pin-po	Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: ints risk on an	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date  2012-05-30 2012-05-30 individual sortie b	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: assis within a flight	20sts 1.553 1.688 1.688 2001 to identify 20sts 0.541 0.541 0.000 2 duty period.
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name  Avorm v2.5  Description  User Interface Redesign, Enhanced Alerting and Fatigue Data Capture. Rede Provides alerting enhancements and captures fatigue scoring data for predicti for AMC aircrews.	Planned: Projected: Actual: ion Operational Ris  Planned: Projected: Actual: ion Operational Ris  Star  Planned: Projected: Actual: esign of scoring we we analysis, auto-p	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation) t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management – t Date  2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 orksheet to pin-populated fields, separations of the computation of the	Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: ints risk on an coring enhance	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date  2012-05-30 2012-05-30 individual sortie become and leading	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: sasis within a flight g-edge inflight crev	20sts  1.553 1.688 1.688 2.001 to identify  20sts  0.541 0.000 2 duty period. 3 v rest modeling
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name  Avorm v2.5  Description User Interface Redesign, Enhanced Alerting and Fatigue Data Capture. Rede Provides alerting enhancements and captures fatigue scoring data for predicti for AMC aircrews.  Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: On Operational Ris  Planned: Projected: Actual: On Operational Ris  Star  Planned: Projected: Actual: esign of scoring we we analysis, auto-p	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation)  t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management  t Date  2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 orksheet to pin-populated fields, set Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: ints risk on an coring enhance  Compl	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date  2012-05-30 2012-05-30 individual sortie b ments and leading	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: assis within a flight g-edge inflight crev	and mission  Costs  1.553 1.688 1.688 2.001 to identify  Costs  0.541 0.000 1 duty period. 2 v rest modeling  Costs
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref  Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description  (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name  Avorm v2.5  Description  User Interface Redesign, Enhanced Alerting and Fatigue Data Capture. Rede Provides alerting enhancements and captures fatigue scoring data for predicti for AMC aircrews.	Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation)  t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management  t Date  2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 prksheet to pin-populated fields, set Date  2011-12-01	Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl Planned: Projected: Actual: ints risk on an coring enhance  Compl Planned:	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date  2012-05-30 2012-05-30 individual sortic by ments and leading etion Date  2012-09-30	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Total C  Planned: Projected: Actual: asis within a flight g-edge inflight crev  Total C  Planned:	20sts 1.553 1.688 1.688 1.688 2001 to identify 20sts 0.541 0.541 0.000 a duty period. a v rest modeling 20sts 0.677
parameters (e.g., weather, airfield conditions/restrictions factors (e.g., hazardous cargo, tactical navigation, air ref Activity Name  Avorm v2.0  Description (formerly identified as v2.7) Develop, test, and field version updates. Aviati assess, and mitigate risk of daily MAF operations.  Activity Name  Avorm v2.5  Description User Interface Redesign, Enhanced Alerting and Fatigue Data Capture. Rede Provides alerting enhancements and captures fatigue scoring data for predicti for AMC aircrews.  Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned:	rs (e.g., Notices cal evacuation)  t Date  2011-01-03 2011-01-03 2011-01-03 sk Management  t Date  2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 orksheet to pin-populated fields, set Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: Development  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: ints risk on an coring enhance  Compl	etion Date  2011-11-15 2011-12-15 2011-12-15 of an automated pretion Date  2012-05-30 2012-05-30 individual sortie b ments and leading	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: redictive analysis t  Total C Planned: Projected: Actual: assis within a flight g-edge inflight crev	and mission  Costs  1.553 1.688 1.688 2.001 to identify  Costs  0.541 0.000 1 duty period. 2 v rest modeling  Costs

Milestones - Continued						
Project Name: Dynamic Mission Replanning (DMR)						
Planned Start Date: 2011-04-01 Planned Completion Date	: 2018-09-30	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	123.878	(dollars in	millions)
Description: Dynamic Mission Replanning development tool synch	ronizing mobility m	•				
Activity Name	Start	Date	-	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
DMR Agile project identification	Planned:	2011-04-01	Planned:	2012-03-01	Planned:	8.430
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	6.668
Description		2011-04-01	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:	6.668
Provide an agile release train with DMR user stories coupled with a cohesi	ive plan and schedule for	or software deve	eloper team exe	ecution.		
Activity Name	Start		Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
DMR Technical Prototype				Planned:	5.127	
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-06-30	Projected:	5.127
Description		2011-10-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
DMR technical review of key/critical Information Technology capabilities	to review new technol	ogies.				
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
DMR Release 1	Planned:	2012-03-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	3.987
	Projected:	2012-03-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	3.987
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Develop, test, and provide DMR initial release focused on key read-only v	isualizations.					
Project Name: Global Aircrew Management (GAM)						
DI 104 (D.) 2011 04 01 DI 107 117 DI	2010 00 20	D1 1 T !	~ - ~ .	70.052	(dollars in	
Planned Start Date: 2011-04-01 Planned Completion Date	<b>:</b> 2018-09-30	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	70.052	(uonars m	millions)
Planned Start Date: 2011-04-01 Planned Completion Date  Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides tr						
<b>Description:</b> Global Aircrew Management development provides tr and management.	racking of crew mem	bers; reduces t	time/effort and	d enhances accu	racy of MAF airc	crew allocation
Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides trand management.  Activity Name	racking of crew mem	bers; reduces t <b>Dat</b> e	time/effort and	d enhances accu	racy of MAF aird	crew allocation
<b>Description:</b> Global Aircrew Management development provides tr and management.	sacking of crew mem  Start  Planned:	Date 2011-04-01	Comple Planned:	etion Date 2012-03-01	Total C	crew allocation  Costs  5.868
Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides trand management.  Activity Name  GAM Agile Project Identification	Start Planned: Projected:	Date 2011-04-01 2011-04-01	Comple Planned: Projected:	etion Date 2012-03-01 2011-09-30	Total ( Planned: Projected:	Costs 5.868 4.641
Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides trand management.  Activity Name  GAM Agile Project Identification  Description	Start Planned: Projected: Actual:	Date 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 2011-04-01	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	etion Date  2012-03-01 2011-09-30 2011-09-30	Total C	crew allocation  Costs  5.868
Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides trand management.  Activity Name  GAM Agile Project Identification	Start Planned: Projected: Actual:	Date 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 cor software deve	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	etion Date 2012-03-01 2011-09-30 2011-09-30 ecution.	Total C Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs 5.868 4.641 4.641
Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides trand management.  Activity Name  GAM Agile Project Identification  Description Provide an agile release train with GAM user stories coupled with a cohesi Activity Name	Start Planned: Projected: Actual: ive plan and schedule f	Date 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 for software development	Completime/effort and Completed: Projected: Actual: eloper team exe	etion Date 2012-03-01 2011-09-30 2011-09-30 ecution. etion Date	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs 5.868 4.641 4.641 Costs
Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides trand management.  Activity Name  GAM Agile Project Identification  Description  Provide an agile release train with GAM user stories coupled with a cohesis	Start Planned: Projected: Actual: ive plan and schedule f Start Planned:	Date 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 for software devel 2011-10-30	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	etion Date 2012-03-01 2011-09-30 2011-09-30 ecution. etion Date 2012-06-30	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total ( Planned:	Costs 5.868 4.641 4.641 Costs 3.606
Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides trand management.  Activity Name  GAM Agile Project Identification  Description Provide an agile release train with GAM user stories coupled with a cohesi Activity Name	Planned: Actual: ive plan and schedule f  Planned: Projected: Actual: ive plan and schedule f  Planned: Projected:	Date 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 for software devel 2011-10-30 2011-10-30	Completime/effort and Completed: Projected: Actual: eloper team exe	etion Date 2012-03-01 2011-09-30 2011-09-30 ecution. etion Date	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs 5.868 4.641 4.641 Costs
Description: Global Aircrew Management development provides trand management.  Activity Name  GAM Agile Project Identification  Description Provide an agile release train with GAM user stories coupled with a cohesi Activity Name	Planned: Planned: Actual: ive plan and schedule for Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Actual:	Date 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 2011-04-01 for software devel 2011-10-30 2011-10-30 2011-10-30	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual: eloper team exe Comple	etion Date 2012-03-01 2011-09-30 2011-09-30 ecution. etion Date 2012-06-30	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total ( Planned:	Costs 5.868 4.641 4.641 Costs 3.606

Milestones - Continued							
Activity Name	Start	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		Costs	
GAM Release 1	Planned:	2012-03-30	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	3.987	
	Projected:	2012-03-30	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	3.987	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
GAM initial release focused on key read-only visualizations.							
Project Name: Global Aircrew Scheduling (GAS)							
Planned Start Date: 2011-04-01 Planned Comple	tion Date: 2018-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 57.403		(dollars in	(dollars in millions)			
<b>Description:</b> Global Aircrew Scheduling provides unit-le			-		ission execution	data.	
Activity Name		Start Date Completion Date		Total (			
GAS Agile project identification	Planned:	2011-04-01	Planned:	2011-09-30	Planned:	3.236	
	Projected:	2011-04-01	Projected:	2011-09-30	Projected:	3.236	
Description	Actual:	2011-04-01	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:	3.236	
Provide an agile release with GAS user stories coupled with a c	cohesive plan and schedule for soft	tware developer	r team execution	ı <b>.</b>			
Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	<b>Total Costs</b>	
GAS Technical Prototype	Planned:	2011-10-30	Planned:	2012-06-30	Planned:	2.327	
	Projected:	2011-10-30	Projected:	2012-06-30	Projected:	2.327	
Description	Actual:	2011-10-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
GAS technical review of key/critical Information Technology of	capabilities to review new technological	ogies.					
Activity Name	Start	Start Date Completion Date		Total (	Costs		
GAS Release 1	Planned:	2012-03-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.810	
	Projected:	2012-03-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.810	
					4 . 1	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Includes echelons with C2 information: HQ Air Mobility Command, 618 Tanker Airlift Control Center, Numbered Air Forces, Mobility Air Forces units, HQ Air Force Reserve Command, HQ Air National Guard, HQ Pacific Air Forces, HQ Air Combat Command, HQ Special Operations Command, HQ Air Force Special Operations Command, HQ United States Air Forces in Europe, United States Central Command, United States Southern Command, United States Joint Forces Command, and United States Transportation Command for visibility of mobility assets, and for execution of mobility assets. GDSS customers are all agencies that rely on air mobility operations to complete their mission requirements. In addition, GDSS plays an active role for managing the use of MAF assets and resources supporting Homeland Security and Humanitarian Operations. Access to this data can be achieved at various levels ranging from direct access via web-based client to system defined interface with customer system of record.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM) and its Air Force component, Air Mobility Command (AMC).

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

GDSS FY13/BY: Capital H/W (\$2.4M): Hardware to upgrade/refresh the network and application infra-structure, across the system development lifecycle will be purchased for the upgraded capabilities with DMR, GAM and GAS, to include C2 Hardening. Capital S/W (\$36.2M): Develop, test, and field three GDSS update versions to address functional requests, technology updates, mandated security updates and downward directed requirements; begin MAF C2 Intel Mission Partners Integration; and continue development and field web-based GDSS version. Modifications required for external interface changes and software obsolescence (e.g., Oracle and other COTS product versions no longer supported), compliance with evolving security requirements, and to optimize data flow. Begin transition of selected functions to web-based internet applications utilizing agile development techniques. Validate, define, and mitigate user requirements in Aviation ORM and field v 2.5. In conjunction with the System Integration Program, revised DMR/GAM functionality will be delivered in iterative sprints packaged in increments. To do this, a refinement of requirements, architectures, system of systems engineering, design, services definition, data engineering, training plans, and testing and performance plans will be produced prior to the start of the next increment. Operational prototypes for DMR and GAM to a limited number of users will be transitioned for fielding. New iterations will be fielded as new prototypes to limited users. Advance engineering of "What-if" automation capability will continue and production engineering will begin late in the year. Continue enterprise version of GAS by creating an updating architecture and begin internal and external systems changes. Operating (\$35.2M): Operating funds provide for software licenses and maintenance contracts (\$2.6M), hardware maintenance support (\$0.3M), Level 1 and 2 Help Desk (\$4.1M), systems security (\$1.9M), contract support for capability in the Program Management Office (\$7.3M), GDSS software modification for interface changes and software obsolescence to keep pace with associated COTS and DoD systems as well as maintaining compliance with DoD security measures on NIPR and SIPR systems (\$5.2M), Systems Administration and database administration including level 2.5 and above help desk support (\$1.5M), Hardware Obsolescence Management for end of service life, high failure rate, and unsupported hardware replacement for items that do not support upgraded functionality (\$0.5M), program management for Aviation Operational Risk Management fielding (\$0.7M), and migration of multi-level cross security domain capability (\$0.5M). Funding also supports operations and development contracts for GAS, GAM and DMR (\$10.6M).

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

BY+1-5: Capital H/W (\$2.4M/yr): Hardware to upgrade the network and application infrastructure, across the system development lifecycle will be purchased for the new capabilities (DMR, GAM and GAS) including C2 Hardening. Capital S/W (\$41.2M/yr): Develop, test, and field three GDSS software updates per year to address functional requests, technology updates, mandated security updates and downward directed requirements; and continue MAF C2 Intel Mission Partners Integration project. Modifications required for external interface changes and software obsolescence (e.g., Oracle and other COTS product versions no longer supported), compliance with evolving security requirements, and to optimize data flow. Continue transition of selected functions to rich internet applications utilizing agile development techniques in conjunction with fielding and maintaining a web-based only GDSS version. Teaming with the System Integration Program, revised DMR/GAM functionality will be delivered in iterative sprints packaged in increments. To do this a refinement of requirements, architectures, system of systems engineering, design, services definition, data engineering, training plans, and testing and performance plans will be produced prior to the start of the next increment. Operational prototypes for DMR and GAM to a limited number of users will be transitioned for fielding. New iterations will be fielded as new prototypes to limited users. Production will produce some operational prototype of the "What-if Automation" capability. Continue enterprise version of GAS by creating an updating architecture and begin internal and external systems

changes. Operating (\$37.8M/yr): Operating funds provide for software licenses and maintenance contracts (\$2.6M), hardware maintenance support (\$0.3M), Level 1 and 2 Help Desk (\$4.1M), systems security (\$1.9M), contract support for capability in the Program Management Office (\$6.9M), GDSS software modification for interface changes and software obsolescence to keep pace with associated COTS and DoD systems as well as maintaining compliance with DoD security measures on NIPR and SIPR systems (\$7.0M), Systems Administration and database administration including level 2.5 and above help desk support (\$1.5M), Hardware Obsolescence Management for end of service life, high failure rate, and unsupported hardware replacement for items that do not support upgraded functionality (\$0.5M), migration of multi-level cross security domain capability (\$0.8M), and fielding Intel Mission Partners Integration (\$0.5M). Funding also supports operations and development contracts for GAS, GAM and DMR (\$11.7M).

Page left intentionally blank

#### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	6963	Acronym	GUARDNET XXI		
Name of Investment	GUARDNET 2	XXI			
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR.	ASTRUCTUR	Е	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

GuardNet XXI is the Army National Guard's (ARNG) contribution to the Army's LandWarNet, supporting the telecommunications needs of citizen-soldiers by providing wide area network (WAN) connectivity to critical applications and services to include DoD and Army level applications such as: Reserve Component Automation System (RCAS); Standard Finance System (STANFINS); Personnel Electronic Record Management System (PERMS); Official Military Personnel File (OMPF); Standard Installation/Division Personnel System ARNG (SIDPERS-ARNG); Total Army Personnel Data Base Guard (TAPDB-G); and the Standard Procurement System (SPS). GuardNet XXI also provides connectivity to over 300 Congressionally sponsored Distributive Training and Technology Project (DTTP) distance learning classrooms.

While state local area network (LAN) infrastructure connects facilities within each state (armories, camps, activities) with their Joint Forces Headquarters (JFHQ), GuardNet XXI facilitates and supports secure unclassified communication outside to state and federal government agencies via the JFHQs gateway in a similar manner to the way Installation Information Infrastructure Modernization Program (I3MP) supports Active Duty Installations. This allows local personnel to immediately access pay, administration and training applications to support soldier and unit requirements.

GuardNet XXI is the ARNG's primary means of supporting the voice, video and data communications requirements of JFHQ, the ARNG Directorate and the National Guard Bureau (NGB) in meeting their Command and Control (C2) and training needs and fills the telecommunication gaps between the JFHQ and the Defense Information Service Network (DISN).

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	79,355	78,534	83,182	85,467
Operations O&M, ARNG				
0523126A 01-Land Forces Systems Readiness	32,905	30,555	36,603	38,165
0528550A 01-Base Operations Support	46,450	47,979	46,579	47,302
Operations Total	79,355	78,534	83,182	85,467

### **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget         78.534         77.799           FY 2013 President's Budget         78.534         83.182         4.65	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
<b>FY 2013 President's Budget</b> 78.534 83.182 4.65	FY 2012 President's Budget	78.534	77.799	
	FY 2013 President's Budget	78.534	83.182	4.65
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 5.383	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		5.383	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OMAR: \$5.383M Increase (7%)

Due to technology refreshment and circuit costs. This program is now in the operations and maintenance phase of its lifecycle. The circuits are leased and the hosting facilities are well established within all 57 locations. After FY12 the program costs are expected to remain constant for the program.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMNG: \$4.648M Increase (6%)

Due to technology refreshment and circuit costs. This program is now in the operations and maintenance phase of its lifecycle. The circuits are leased and the hosting facilities are well established within all 57 locations. After FY12 the program costs are expected to remain constant for the program.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- Started and Finished Gateway Consolidation
- Began Operationalizing GuardNet XXI to incorporate Army communications systems (WIN T, DCGS-A)
- Continued to modernize the State Wide Area Networks
- Completed computer virtualization
- Continued to develop regional data center services
- Continued to modernize GuardNetXXI
- Began monitoring SIPR traffic tunneling over GuardNetXXI

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Monitor and Improve routing through the 4 new Gateways -
- Continue Operationalization of GuardNet XXI to incorporate Army communications systems (WIN T, DCGS-A)
- Continue to modernize the State Wide Area Networks
- Continue to develop regional data center services
- Augment network to support additional SIPR traffic tunneling over GuardNetXXI

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- Continue to modernize the State and Territory Wide Area Networks
- Continue the development of regional data center services
- Continue to modernize GuardNetXXI through Tech refresh initiatives
- Continue Operationalization of Guardnet
- Enhance network to support additional SIPR traffic tunneling over GuardNetXXI
- Establish JFHQ Alternate Circuits

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Budget Year activities for each appropriation are as follows:

OMNG: \$85.467M - The ARNG will continue to modernize the state wide area networks (WAN). We will begin to consolidate the GuardNet XXI gateways to four states. We will continue implementing new measures on GuardNet XXI to meet the Army CIO/G-6 objectives for Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPV6) and physical diversity. We will also continue with normal maintenance/lifecycle activities necessary for operation of GUARDNET XXI.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Army Reserve National Guard(ARNG)

#### Component

Department of the Army

#### Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

LTC Guy Gormley

J6-C4

### **Contract Information**

Name: Sprint Government Systems Division

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** GuardNet XXI backbone/transport circuits

**Function:** 

Name: Sprint Government Systems Division

City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** NOC Time and Material Expenses

Function:

Name: SRA Corporation, Government Division

City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Network Operations Center (NOC) facility

**Function:** 

Name: SRA International Corporation, Government Division

City/State: Fairfax, VA Supported NOC Maintenance

**Function:** 

<u>Milestones/Schedules</u> Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

### **Customers for this Investment**

Principal customers of GuardNet XXI are the citizen-soldiers of the Army National Guard (ARNG). GuardNet XXI serves as the "Channel of Communications" among the National Guard Joint Force Headquarters (JFHQ) of the states, territories and District of Columbia and fills the telecommunication gaps between the JFHQ and the Defense Information Service Network (DISN). It also facilitates and supports the communication to state and federal government agencies via the JFHQs. Telecommunication services are also provided to leadership and functional proponents of critical applications and services to include: the Department of Defense and Army level applications such as Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS); Reserve Component Automation System (RCAS); Standard Finance System (STANFINS); Personnel Electronic Record Management System (PERMS); Official Military Personnel File (OMPF); Standard Installation/Division Personnel System Army National Guard (SIDPERS-ARNG); Total

### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The principal stakeholders of GuardNet XXI are the National Guards of the 50 states, 3 territories, and the District of Columbia; the Army National Guard Directorate; and the National Guard Bureau. A secondary stakeholder is the Program Executive Office - Enterprise Information Systems (PEO-EIS) representing RCAS, DTTP, and the Army.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Budget Year (FY13) activities for each appropriation are as follows:

OMNG: \$82.870M - The ARNG will continue to modernize the state wide area networks (WAN). The ARNG G6 will continue implementing new measures on GuardNet XXI to meet the Army CIO/G-6 objectives for Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPV6) and physical diversity. In addition, the ARNG G6 will also continue with normal maintenance/lifecycle activities necessary for operation of GuardNet XXI.

To perform basic Operations and Maintenance of the GuardNet XXI, MXCL funds 86 CMEs amounting to <15M.

APPN: 2065 SAG: 122 AMSCO: 122G26 MDEP: MXCL APC: 5XAC

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

BY+1 through BY+4:

Planned activities for BY+1 through BY+4 (FY14-FY17) for each appropriation are as follows:

OMNG: \$352.920M - GuardNet XXI is in maintenance phase. BY+1 thru +4 year OMNG funding will be used to further implement the activities described in the previous BY paragraph as well as:

- 1. Install network servers to begin implementation of the computer virtualization process (remote desktop access)
- 2. Continue development of regional data center services
- 3. Continue modernization of GuardNet XXI

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1017	Acronym	ITS - INC 1					
Name of Investment	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEM INCREMENT 1							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE						
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS			
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR.	ASTRUCTUR	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	57,301	49,863	32,513	52,457
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0908561F 04-Servicewide Communications	623	623	651	651
Operations Total	623	623	651	651
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0303112F 03-INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	56,678	49,240	31,862	51,806
Procurement Total	56,678	49,240	31,862	51,806

## **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget       50.336       50.832         FY 2013 President's Budget       49.863       32.513       -17.35         Change PR 2012 vs PR 2013       -18.319	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	Change FY 2012 FY 2013 vs FY 2		
	FY 2012 President's Budget	50.336	50.832		
Change PR 2012 vs PR 2013	FY 2013 President's Budget	49.863	32.513	-17.35	
Change 1 b 2012 vs 1 b 2013	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-18.319		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The Air Force will upgrade fewer bases than planned during FY13.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The Air Force base upgrades scheduled during FY13 are smaller and less complex than during FY12.

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

During FY11, network infrastructure upgrades were accomplished at the following 3 Air Force bases:

- 1. Grand Forks AFB, ND
- 2. Spangdahlem AB, Germany
- 3. Fairchild AFB, WA

Upgrades provide survivable, 99.9% reliable base network infrastructure capable of minimum 1 megabit per second throughput across the network backbone.

Ensures access to mission critical Command and Control, combat support and business systems.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

During FY12, network infrastructure upgrades will be completed at Hickam AFB, HI

Upgrade provides survivable, 99.9% reliable base network infrastructure capable of minimum 1 megabit per second throughput across the network backbone.

Ensures access to mission critical Command and Control, combat support and business systems.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

During FY13, network infrastructure upgrades will be completed at the following 4 Air Force bases:

- 1. Hurburt Field, FL
- 2. Malmstrom AFB, MT
- 3. Creech AFB, NV
- 4. Mountain Home AFB, ID

Upgrades provide survivable, 99.9% reliable base network infrastructure capable of minimum 1 megabit per second throughput across the network backbone.

Ensures access to mission critical Command and Control, combat support and business systems.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

During FY14, network infrastructure upgrades will be completed at 5 Air Force bases.

Upgrade provides survivable, 99.9% reliable base network infrastructure capable of minimum 1 megabit per second throughput across the network backbone.

Ensures access to mission critical Command and Control, combat support and business systems.

### **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

Air Force Space Command

### Component

Department of the Air Force

### **Acquisition**

AF Under Secretary for Acquisition

## **Program Management**

Mr. Ronnie Carter

Electronic Systems Center

# **Contract Information**

Name: General Dynamics Information Technology

City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Base network infrastructure.

Function:

Name: Harris Services IT Corp

City/State: Dulles, VA

**Supported** Base network infrastructure.

**Function:** 

Name: Lockheed Martin Integrated Systems Inc

City/State: Gaithersburg, MD

**Supported** Base network infrastructure.

Function:

Name: TBD (pre-award)

City/State: Supported Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Hickam AFB Infrastructure upgrade.

Planned Start Date: 2009-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2011-11-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 0.619 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains

high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

**Activity Name** Start Date **Completion Date Total Costs** Hickam AFB Infrasctructure upgrade 2009-10-01 Planned: 2011-11-30 Planned: Planned: 0.619 Projected: 2009-10-01 Projected: 2011-11-30 Projected: 0.619 Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000 Description

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

Milestones - Continued

Project Name: Hurburt Field Network Infrastructure upgrade.

Planned Start Date: 2010-06-10 Planned Completion Date: 2011-11-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 0.849 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard

infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single

points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

**Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs** Hurlburt Field Network Infrastructure Upgrade. 2010-06-10 Planned: 2011-11-30 Planned: 0.849 Planned: Projected: 2010-06-10 Projected: 2011-11-30 Projected: 0.849 Description Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

Project Name: Creech AFB network infrastructure upgrade.

Planned Start Date: 2011-12-30 Planned Completion Date: 2012-11-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 5.961 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard

infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single

points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

**Start Date Activity Name Completion Date Total Costs** Creech AFB Network Infrastructure Upgrade. Planned: 2011-12-30 Planned: 2012-11-30 Planned: 5.961 Projected: 2011-12-30 Projected: 2012-11-30 Projected: 5.961 Description Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

Project Name: Mountain Home AFB network infrastructure upgrade.

Planned Start Date: 2011-12-30 Planned Completion Date: 2013-01-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 9.104 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard

infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single

#### **Milestones - Continued**

points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Mountain Home Network Infrastructure Upgrade.	Planned: 2011-12-30	Planned: 2013-01-30	Planned: 9.104
	Projected: 2011-12-30	Projected: 2013-01-30	Projected: 9.104
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

#### Project Name: Randolph AFB network infrastructure upgrade.

Planned Start Date: 2011-12-30 Planned Completion Date: 2013-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 6.183 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains

high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single

points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Randolph AFB Infrastructure Upgrade.	Planned: 2011-12-30	Planned: 2013-09-30	Planned: 6.183
	Projected: 2011-12-30	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 6.183
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0 000

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

### Project Name: Vance AFB network infrastructure upgrade.

Planned Start Date: 2011-12-30 Planned Completion Date: 2013-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 5.126 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard

infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single

points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Vance AFB Network Infrastructure Upgrade.	Planned: 2011	1-12-30	Planned:	2013-09-30	Planned:	5.126
	Projected: 2011	1-12-30	Projected:	2013-09-30	Projected:	5.126
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and	d Reserve base network	backbone	infrastructure re	equired to meet in	creasing demand f	or high-speed

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

### Project Name: Columbus AFB network infrastructure upgrade.

Planned Start Date: 2012-01-31 Planned Completion Date: 2013-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 5.322 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single

points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Columbus AFB Network Infrastructure Upgrade.	Planned: 2012-01-31	Planned: 2013-09-30	Planned: 5.322	
	Projected: 2012-01-31	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 5.322	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

### Project Name: Thule AB network infrastructure upgrade.

Planned Start Date: 2012-02-28 Planned Completion Date: 2013-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 2.188 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single

points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

Milestones - Continued				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Thule AB Network Infrastructure Upgrade.	Planned: 2012-02-28	Planned: 2013-09-30	Planned: 2.18	38
	Projected: 2012-02-28	Projected: 2013-09-30	Projected: 2.18	38
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.00	00

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Air Force Major Commands, Direct Reporting Units, and Field Operating Agencies, Air Force Reserves and Air National Guard, and Combatant Commands (Tenants) located on Air Force installations (United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM), United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM), United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) and United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM)) as well as the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) and non-US allied and coalition forces co-located on USAF bases. As a result of satisfying higher headquarters requirements, subordinate organizations such as wings, field operating agencies, and direct reporting units benefit from the robust, standardized infrastructure provided.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Air Force Space Command, Chief of Warfighting Integration and Chief Information Officer (SAF/XC), all Major Commands and Air National Guard, Air Force Research Laboratory, Air Staff, and Combatant Commanders/other tenant units located on AF installations, the acquisition community, network operations and security centers and the 24 Air Force Commander are directly supported by infrastructure/capabilities provided by the Information Transport System program.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

The Information Transport System (ITS) Increment 1 installs robust Active Duty and Reserve base network backbone infrastructure required to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access that provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

FY13 funding provides base network infrastructure updates to seven Air Force bases. These updates provide required network connectivity to access Air Force command and control systems, combat support systems and all business systems to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access. This connectivity provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates

design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14-FY17 funding provides base network infrastructure updates to remaining Air Force bases not upgraded to the baseline architecture. These updates provide required network connectivity to access Air Force command and control systems, combat support systems and all business systems to meet increasing demand for high-speed network access. This connectivity provides the data, video and imagery supporting Air Force operations and provides a standard infrastructure allowing the 24th Air Force Commander to centrally manage and defend the Air Force network. ITS designs, installs and sustains high-speed network backbones using industry standard design methodologies and installation principles which eliminates design flaws, such as single points of failure, which occur as a result of patch-work network design and implementation.

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	2180	Acronym	I3MP					
Name of Investment	INSTALLATIO	TION INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE MODERNIZATION PROGRAM						
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY						
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		Acquisition Category	NONE				
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR	ASTRUCTURI	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Installation Information Infrastructure Modernization Program (PM I3MP) modernizes the Army's installation-level information infrastructure with enterprise solutions in support of Net-Centric Operations and Warfare. PM I3MP employs a synchronized effort to modernize the Army's information networks, outside cable plants, telephone switching systems, campus area networks and long haul gateway for Army installations in Europe/Pacific/CONUS. I3MP supports the deployed commander by upgrading the capacity and reliability of the infrastructure enabling access to stay behind forces and support agencies. I3MP, in accordance with the Department of the Army's approved Installation Sequence Lists and thru the use of Commercial-Off-The-Shelf products and contract installers, replaces the antiquated, costly, unsupportable and maintenance intensive legacy systems with an integrated information system that is state-of-the-art, secure, interoperable and capable of passing voice/data/video traffic. I3MP also provides local distribution capability for information exchange for business systems and collaboration as well as achieving funding efficiencies by reducing duplication, minimizing impact on the receiving installation and by engineering a total site solution. This base infrastructure is capable of supporting Defense Reform Initiatives, the Global Information Grid-Bandwidth Expansion, Home Station Operation Centers, Army Transformation and Army Knowledge Management (AKM). This infrastructure is critical for reach back and power projection of the digital division and employment of advanced technology for an agile combat force. I3MP improves the overall quality of the service of the information infrastructure. The restructure of PM I3MP and incorporation of management of the Army Enterprise affords the Army the opportunity to provide enterprise-level oversight and management of its entire information infrastructure under one program/one Project Manager (PM). The addition of the enterprise management provides the Army with capab

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
INVESTMENT TOTAL	592,155	480,383	82,839	369,685	
Operations					
O&M, Army					
0702829A 04-Logistic Support Activities	1,728	122	112	113	
Operations Total	1,728	122	112	113	
Procurement					
Other Proc, Army					
0219900A 02-INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM(	590,427	480,261	82,727	369,572	
Procurement Total	590,427	480,261	82,727	369,572	

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	Change FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2013 vs FY 2013		
FY 2012 President's Budget	480.383	241.119		
FY 2013 President's Budget	480.383	82.839	-397.54	
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-158.280		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OPA: \$158.280M Decrease (66%) Due to higher Army priorities.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMA: \$010M Decrease (8%)

Decrease in civilian costing estimates

OPA: \$397.534M Decrease (83%) Due to other higher Army priorities

### **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- \* Replaced the antiquated, costly, unsupportable and maintenance intensive legacy systems with an integrated information system that is state-of-the-art, secure, interoperable and capable of passing voice/data/video traffic.
- \* Completed engineering efforts:
- -Ft Bliss, TX- Phase 10 (Hospital)
- -Ft Huachuca, AZ(BOB II)
- -Ft Leavenworth, KS

- -Ft Campbell, KY
- -Ft. Jackson, SC
- -Ft. Gordon / Ft. Gillem, GA
- -VoIP European Effort, all Europe
- -West Point Military Reservation, NY
- -Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania
- -Ft. Drum, NY
- \* Implemented Line Side VOIP to over 6000 users at Ft. Bragg
- \* Positioned all FY11 Fielding efforts for VOIP readiness
- \* COMPLETED PROJECTS
- -Ft. Belvoir, Virginia
- -Ft. Drum, New York
- -Ft. Jackson, South Carolina
- -Ft. Lee, Virginia
- -Ft. Meade, Maryland
- -Ft. Meade, Maryland
- -Ft. Meade, Maryland
- -Ft. Stewart, Georgia
- -Rodriguez Live Fire Complex, Korea
- -Camp Carroll & Henry, Korea
- -Ft. Polk, LA

### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

- \* Complete FY11 Engineered efforts
- \* Set Army UC Foundation
- \* 4 PARENT SITES
- -Ft. Bragg
- -Redstone
- -Ft. Carson
- -Ft. Huachuca
- \* Implement Europe and Pacific Region VOIP
- \* Complete MCA Efforts
- -Ft. Riley
- -Ft. Hood
- -Ft. Stewart
- -Ft. Leonard Wood
- -Ft. Drum
- -Ft. Meade

- -West Point
- -Ft. Detrick
- -AP Hill
- -Campbell
- -Ft. Lewis

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- \* WORK WITHIN THE DECREMENTED PLAN OF 152M.
- -Ft. Hood as a stand alone effort

#### FIELD FOLLOWING EFFORTS IF FUNDS ARE RETURNED TO I3MP IN FY13:

- -Ft. Bragg
- -Redstone
- -Ft. Carson
- -Ft. Huachuca
- -Europe and Pacific Region VOIP
- -Ft. Riley
- -Ft. Hood
- -Ft. Stewart
- -Ft. Leonard Wood
- -Ft. Drum
- -Ft. Meade
- -West Point
- -Ft. Detrick
- -AP Hill
- -Campbell
- -Ft. Lewis

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

To accomplish implementation and engineering support for Army sites/efforts to complete the modernization and upgrade of the Telecommunications/Information Infrastructure for locations in the Continental United States (CONUS), Europe and Pacific theaters. These modernization efforts, which will implement high-speed backbone networks that provide for the convergence of voice, data and video on one platform (VOIP), support the Unified Capabilities (UC) initiative.

# **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

PM P2E

**Component** 

Department of the Army

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

LTC David Thompson

PM I3MP

### **Contract Information**

Name: ALCATEL LUCENT
City/State: MC LEANSVILLE, NC

**Supported** Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

Function:

Name: AT&T INC.
City/State: VIENNA, VA

Supported Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

**Function:** 

Name: BECHTEL GROUP, INC.

City/State: FREDERICK, MD

Supported Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

Function:

Name: BLACK BOX CORPORATION

City/State: HERNDON, VA

Supported Commercial level support services

Function:

Name: BLACK BOX CORPORATION

City/State: HERNDON, VA

**Supported** Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

Function:

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION

City/State: EATONTOWN, NJ

Supported Satellite Ground Communications & Engineering, Technical and Program Support Services

Function:

Name: EPS CORPORATION (City is TINTON FALLS but cannot update the field)

City/State: NJ

**Supported** Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

Function:

Name: GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION

City/State: NEEDHAM, MA

Supported Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

**Function:** 

Name: SAIC, INC.
City/State: MCLEAN, VA

Supported Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

**Function:** 

Name: SAVANTAGE FINANCIAL SVC

City/State: ROCKVILLE, MD

Supported PM NSC Systems Engineering and Technical Assistance Support Services

Function:

Name: SIEMENS AG
City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

Function:

Name: SIERRA HOLDINGS CORP.

City/State: ARLINGTON, VA

Supported Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

Function:

Name: VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS INC.

City/State: ARLINGTON, VA

**Supported** Infrastructure Modernization (IMOD) Acquisition

**Function:** 

### Milestones/Schedules

**Project Name: Installation Information Infrastructure Modernization Program** 

Planned Start Date: 2000-10-01 **Planned Completion Date:** 2018-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 495.518 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Installation Information Infrastructure Modernization Program (I3MP) connects the Joint Warfighter through modernization and lifecycle management of the information infrastructure, to support the Global Network Enterprise Construct (GNEC). I3MP modernizes installation infrastructure by using a standard architecture and common suite of equipment. The program seamlessly integrates Access, Distribution, Core, Secure, and Transport Infrastructure Sub-systems to enable voice, video, and data services into a single cohesive system, and establishes, extends, and/or refreshes the connections and technologies that make up a Communications Infrastructure System (CoinS) and support an installation's Campus Area Network (iCAN). I3MP delivers a seamless system by providing the cable plant, switches, security devices, and network management capabilities that comprise a standard digital network, allowing people and computers on an installation to connect to each other and the installation network to connect to the Department of Defense global network, known as the Global Information Grid (GIG). The infrastructures are capable of supporting Defense Reform Initiatives, the GIG-Bandwidth Expansion, Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP), and Unified Capabilities (UC) initiatives and are critical for both reach back and power projection of the digital division and employment of advanced technology supporting agile combat forces

Activity Name	Start Date		Completion Date		<b>Total Costs</b>		
FY12 Overseas Communications and Computers (C4) communications	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	169.378	
infrastructure	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	169.378	
Description	Actual:	2012-02-02	Actual:		Actual:	0.000	

FY 2012 Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) funding supports the procurement, installation, and /or enhancement of Command, Control, Communications and Computers (C4) communications infrastructure directly supporting ongoing Army operations in the USCENTCOM/Southwest Asia (SWA) area of operational responsibility: Afghanistan, Bahrain, Kuwait and Qatar with special focus on the C4 infrastructure for U.S. Forces-Afghanistan and the five U.S. Forces Regional Commands (RCs) RC-East, RC-South, RC-West, RC-North and the newly established RC-Southwest.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
FY12 I3MP Modernization	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 310.883
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected:	Projected: 310.883
Description	Actual: 2012-02-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

FY12 contract awards/engineering for I3MP CONUS and OCONUS sites/efforts. Includes I3MP VoIP, UC, and Outside Plant (OSP) work at multiple sites to include Ft. Belvoir, Ft. Bragg, Ft. Carson, Ft. Huachuca, Ft. Lewis, Ft. Meade, Redstone Arsenal, Germany (two sites), Camp Humphreys, Camp Buckner, and I3MP sustainment efforts affecting multiple sites.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Customers are the Army Major Commands (MACOMs), Garrisons, Directorates of Information Management, Field and Combatant Commanders and ultimately the warfighter. I3MP satisfies individual site requirements to transport high-volume and near real time data as well as providing secure user access and efficient enterprise management solutions throughout the installation and to the Defense Information Systems Network (DISN) in support of sustainment, contingencies, split-based operations and modularity to support the Joint Expeditionary Army. I3MP brings together several types of information technologies and integrates them into a cohesive, secure,

interoperable, state-of-the art information system, capable of passing voice and data traffic. The installed architecture is robust and scalable, and can easily meet an installation's data requirements in support of the Current Force and the Future Force. I3MP also provides the electronic path for combat force transformation.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The major stakeholders are HQ DA, Chief Information Officer (CIO)/G-6, Program Executive Office Enterprise Information Systems (PEO EIS), Network Enterprise Technology Command (NETCOM) and the Combatant Commanders. The funding received is used to implement I3MP. This program supports all Army organizations.

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

OPA: \$57,727 is to accomplish Army sites/efforts to complete the modernization and upgrade of the Telecommunications/Information Infrastructure for locations in the Continental United States

(CONUS), Europe, and Pacific theaters. These modernization efforts, which will implement high-speed backbone networks that provide for the convergence of voice, data and video on one platform, support the Unified Capabilities (UC) initiative.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

OPA: \$1155.471M is to accomplish Army sites/efforts to complete the modernization and upgrade of the Telecommunications/Information Infrastructure for locations in the Continental United States

(CONUS), Europe, and Pacific theaters. These modernization efforts, which will implement high-speed backbone networks that provide for the convergence of voice, data and video on one platform, support the Defense Information Systems Network (DISN) Global Information Grid (GIG); Army Campaign Plan; Army Knowledge Management (AKM); web-enabled applications; image processing for intelligence missions; command and control for Army Expeditionary, Joint and Combined Forces; and telemedicine and telemaintenance, and are critical to enabling reach back and power projection of the digitized Army, as well as employment of the advanced technology required for today's agile combat force.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1667	Acronym	IGC					
Name of Investment	INTEGRATEI	INTEGRATED DATA ENVIRONMENT/GLOBAL TRANSPORTATION NETWORK CONVERGENCE						
Lead Agent	U.S. TRANSPO	U.S. TRANSPORTATION COMMAND						
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY Acquisition Category NONE							
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAI	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Integrated Data Environment/Global Transportation Network Convergence will retire legacy information technology components, leverage modern components of existing systems, and single-up management enabling supply chain & distribution information visibility with a global perspective. IGC provides InTransit Visibility capability which allows for accurate and timely end-to-end movement information on the status of cargo, personnel, patient passengers, household goods, ports and carriers in the DoD transportation system to include movement on USTRANSCOM-commercially contracted carriers. Additionally, IGC provides distribution process information to the National Command Authorities (NCA), USTRANSCOM, Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), supported/supporting Combatant Commands (COCOMs), and other DoD organizations to support operations from peace through the spectrum of conflict.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	46,261	52,246	35,124	35,716
DWCF				
WCF, Air Force				
0408010DBE 20-N/A	46,261	52,246	35,124	35,716
DWCF Total	46,261	52,246	35,124	35,716

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	50.552	38.690	
FY 2013 President's Budget	52.246	35.124	-17.12
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-3.566	
•	<u> </u>		

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Acquisition: A planned technical refresh of IGC hardware occurs in FY12 of (\$12.2M) that does not occur in FY13. A planned Transportation Tracking Number (TTN) capability of (\$1.3M) occurs in FY12 that does not occur in FY13. There is a reduction of contract funds (\$3.6M) in FY13 to fund conversion to government billets.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

In March 2011, the Schedule Movement Interface (SMINT) was successfully integrated into IGC allowing IGC to satisfy a key capability of providing exercise support with near real time and historical information to users. In May 2011, IGC completed the migration of Global Tracker Applications (GTA) users from the Global Transportation Network (GTN) to IGC. In June 2011, the effort to stand up a Low Side COOP site in a Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) facility was completed, and IGC completed the added functionality for In-transit Visibility Report of Shipment (ITV/RepShip) reporting. In August 2011, IGC was granted a Full Deployment Decision (FDD) and GTN legacy was retired. In September 2011, IGC completed the development efforts for the first phase of functionality to meet the DoD's requirement to incorporate the Transportation Tracking Number (TTN).

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Funding in 2012 will be used primarily to accomplish all sustainment activities necessary for the IGC program, which include all Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) environment operating costs, DISA support for established cross-domain solution, all hardware and software maintenance costs, and all labor support required to maintain the program. Additionally, a technical refresh of the Teradata hardware is planned (Teradata is the primary hardware of the IGC environment) to be completed in July 2012 and the next three releases of the TTN functionality will be released.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Funding in 2013 will be used primarily to accomplish all sustainment activities necessary for the IGC program, which include all Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) environment operating costs, DISA support for established cross-domain solution, all hardware and software maintenance costs, and all labor support required to maintain the program.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Funding in 2014 will be used primarily to accomplish all sustainment activities necessary for the IGC program, which include all Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) environment operating costs, DISA support for established cross-domain solution, all hardware and software maintenance costs, and all labor support required to maintain the program.

# **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### Component

U.S. Transportation Command

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

LTC Rod Aleandre

### **Contract Information**

Name: Lockheed Martin Corporation

City/State: Herndon, VA

Supported Development, implementation and engineering support.

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Transportation Tracking Number (TTN)

Planned Start Date: 2009-06-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 19.483 (dollars in millions)

Description: TTN generates a unique, unclassified identifier in the classified force planning systems which can be used by the unclassified Service and Joint

transportation domains to achieve end-to-end visibility of unit movements.

ilestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Transportation Coordinators-Automated Information for Movement System II	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.460
(TC-AIMS II)	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.460
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Specific TTN feeds to be built in FY12 include TC AIMS II which will add TTN	I/TTAN to the	Army manifest re	eporting system			
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Integrated Booking System-Commerical Sealift Solution (IBS-CSS)	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.202
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.202
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Specific TTN feeds to be built in FY12 include IBS-CSS which will add TTN/T	ΓAN to the SDI	DC Container Bo	ooking System.			
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Activity Name Global Decision Support System (GDSS)/Scheduling and Movement	Star Planned:	t Date 2011-10-01	Compl Planned:	etion Date 2012-09-30	Total (	0.218
·		2011-10-01				
Global Decision Support System (GDSS)/Scheduling and Movement	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.218
Global Decision Support System (GDSS)/Scheduling and Movement Interface (SMINT)	Planned: Projected: Actual: ransportation T	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 racking Advice N	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2012-09-30 2012-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:	0.218 0.218 0.000
Global Decision Support System (GDSS)/Scheduling and Movement Interface (SMINT)  Description  Specific TTN feeds to be built in FY12 include GDSS/SMINT which will add Tr	Planned: Projected: Actual: ransportation Ton (JOPES) inter	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 racking Advice N	Planned: Projected: Actual: Number (TTAN	2012-09-30 2012-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:	0.218 0.218 0.000 ft scheduling
Global Decision Support System (GDSS)/Scheduling and Movement Interface (SMINT)  Description  Specific TTN feeds to be built in FY12 include GDSS/SMINT which will add Transparent and modify the IGC to Joint Operational Planning and Execution Systems	Planned: Projected: Actual: ransportation Ton (JOPES) inter	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 racking Advice N	Planned: Projected: Actual: Number (TTAN	2012-09-30 2012-09-30 ) to the Air Mobil	Planned: Projected: Actual: ity Command airli	0.218 0.218 0.000 ft scheduling
Global Decision Support System (GDSS)/Scheduling and Movement Interface (SMINT)  Description  Specific TTN feeds to be built in FY12 include GDSS/SMINT which will add Transparent and modify the IGC to Joint Operational Planning and Execution System Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: ransportation Transportation	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 racking Advice N rface. t Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: Number (TTAN	2012-09-30 2012-09-30 ) to the Air Mobil etion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: ity Command airli: <b>Total (</b>	0.218 0.218 0.000 ft scheduling

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

IGC provides distribution process information to the National Command Authorities (NCA), USTRANSCOM, Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), supported/supporting Combatant Commands (COCOMs), and other DoD organizations to support operations from peace through the spectrum of conflict. IGC is a Continental United States (CONUS) based information system accessible world-wide through the Global Information Grid.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

HQ United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM)/J3 and J6, Scott AFB, IL.

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Funding in 2013 will be used to accomplish all sustainment activities necessary for the IGC program, which include all environment operating costs, Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) support for established cross-domain solution, all hardware and software maintenance costs, and all labor support required to maintain the program.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Funding in the outyears will be used to accomplish all sustainment activities necessary for the IGC program, which include all environment operating costs, Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) support for established cross-domain solution, all hardware and software maintenance costs, and all labor support required to maintain the program.

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0599	Acronym	IPPS-A					
Name of Investment	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM - ARMY							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY						
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY Acquisition Category PRE-MAIS							
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Integrated Personnel and Pay System - Army (IPPS-A) provides the Army with an integrated, multi-component, personnel and pay system which streamlines Army Human Resources, enhances the efficiency and accuracy of Army personnel and pay procedures and supports Soldiers and their families. IPPS-A will be a web-based tool, available 24 hours a day, accessible to the primary beneficiaries of Warfighter, HR professionals, combatant commanders, personnel and pay managers and other authorized users throughout the Army. IPPS-A addresses major deficiencies in the delivery of military personnel and pay services and also provides internal controls and audit procedures that prevent erroneous payments and loss of funds. Army intends to design, develop and implement IPPS-A using the enterprise core IT investment initially developed by the Business Transformation Agency (BTA) and transitioned to the Services in October 2009. The Army will build out the Army-specific attributes and functionality of the core IT Investment to develop an integrated, Army-specific system. As part of this strategy, IPPS-A will be built using commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) software, upgrading the platform to the latest version of PeopleSoft (v9.1) and migrating the environment to an Army Data Center.

In FY2012, IPPS-A will start Design, Development, and Integration efforts for Increment I Release 1.0. IPPS-A Increment I will consist of a multi-Component trusted database with single record for all Army Soldiers. It will allow for interface communications and generation of new multi-Component reports, including a Soldier Record Brief (SRB). The Increment I database will also serve as the foundation for Increment II in which pay and personnel capabilities will be developed and deployed. IPPS-A anticipates a Milestone C decision for Increment I in O1 FY2013 and a Full Deployment Decision (FDD) for Increment I in O2 FY2013.

IPPS-A will also pursue a Milestone B decision for Increment II in Q1 FY2013. Increment II will deliver total integrated personnel and pay capabilities across four releases: personnel accountability in FY2014 (Release 2.0), essential personnel services in FY2015 (Release 3.0), pay in FY2016 (Release 4.0), and remaining personnel services in FY2017 (Release 5.0). The Army anticipates Full Deployment of IPPS-A in FY2017 when integrated personnel and pay capability will be provided to 1.2 million users across all Army components.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	58,348	68,628	159,702	177,341
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0308610A 04-Servicewide Communications	0	0	0	10,127
Operations Total	0	0	0	10,127
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0219900A 02-AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	0	0	1,056	22,589
Procurement Total	0	0	1,056	22,589
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0605018A 05-INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPP	58,348	68,628	158,646	144,625
RDT&E Total	58,348	68,628	158,646	144,625

## **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012         FY 2013         vs FY 2013           FY 2012 President's Budget         68.693         53.968           FY 2013 President's Budget         68.628         159.702         91.07
<b>FY 2013 President's Budget</b> 68.628 159.702 91.07
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 105.734

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OPA: \$1.056M Increase (100%)

The funds increased due to IPPS-A initial system implementation and fielding of Increment I. Listed item is used to set up and staff the four-tier Help Desk as IPPS-A initially implement and field Increment I (ERP system). OMA will be used to sustain the system once it is fielded/deployed.

RDTE: \$104.678M Increase (194%)

The funds increased due to the Army's commitment to fully funding the program for completion of Increment I development and integration, as well as initial system Design, Development, and Integration efforts associated with critical activities for Increment II, Release 2.0. The Increment II Releases require ramp-up efforts of the System Integrator in order to meet our current schedule of fielding capabilities every 12 months. Release 2.0 is twice the size of Increment I in terms of efforts required for development and integration.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OPA: \$1.056M Increase (100%)

The funds increased due to IPPS-A initial system implementation and fielding of Increment I. Listed item is used to set up and staff the four-tier Help Desk as IPPS-A initially implement and field Increment I (ERP system). OMA will be used to sustain the system once it is fielded/deployed.

RDTE: \$90.018M Increase (131%)

The funds increased due to the Army's commitment to fully funding the program for completion of Increment I development and integration, as well as initial system Design, Development, and Integration efforts associated with critical activities for Increment II, Release 2.0. The Increment II Releases require ramp-up efforts of the System Integrator in

order to meet our current schedule of fielding capabilities every 12 months. Release 2.0 is twice the size of Increment I in terms of efforts required for development and integration.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

- -Developed Soldier Record Brief prototype;
- -Approved Technical Architecture Specification Document for IPPS-A data centers;
- -Developed Acquisition Strategy based on modular development and delivery of capabilities;
- -Completed initial sessions of Foundation Fit/Gap and System/Sub-system Specifications (S/SS) statements for the Foundation and Acquire Lifecycles;
- -Received approval of Functional Baseline 1.3 and Allocated Baseline 1.0;
- -Decomposed approximately 7500 S/SS and System Requirements Specifications Statements;
- -Developed Interface Requirements Statements (IRS);
- -Initiated data mapping for field systems;
- -Completed eight business processes related to initial operations, production, payroll certification and personnel data;
- -Developed Performance Work Statement and solicitation package for Increment I development contract;
- -Prepared required acquisition documentation for Increment I to include approval of the Acquisition Strategy.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

IPPS-A will engage in multiple activities related to system development and deployment of Increment I to include:

- -Beginning of Design, Development and Integration for Increment I;
- -Build-out of the Production environment and data centers:
- -Deployment planning;
- -Data and interface conversion;
- -Security planning;
- -Develop reports and queries;
- -Develop all program Increment I Milestone C (MS C) acquisition documentation under DoD 5000 regulations in preparation for a MS C decision in FY13.

In addition, IPPS-A will prepare all required acquisition documentation for a FY13 Milestone B decision for Increment II. Increment II acquisition documentation will follow Business Capability Lifecycle (BCL) guidance in accordance with Directive Type Memorandum (DTM) 11-009, Acquisition Policy for Defense Business Systems (DBS). IPPS-A will also develop the Performance Work Statement and solicitation package for the Increment II System Integrator contract.

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

IPPS-A will complete critical activities associated with Government Acceptance and Operational Testing which will lead to a Full Deployment Decision for Increment I in Q2 FY13;

- -Begin deployment and sustainment in Q2 FY13;
- -Obtain Milestone B for Increment II development;
- -Begin system Design, Development and Integration efforts associated critical activities for Increment II, Release II;
- -Prepare the required acquisition documentation for a Milestone for Deployment for Increment II, Release II;

- -Continue data management activities to include data call from legacy systems, data analysis, data cleansing and data conversion;
- -Design and build out the system technical architecture for IPPS-A;
- -Configure the Enterprise Resource Planning system against functional personnel specifications.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

In FY14, IPPS-A plans to achieve a Milestone for Deployment of Increment II Release 2.0, to include completion of fit/gap analysis, development, testing, training and fielding. In addition, IPPS-A will begin development of Increment II, Release 3.0 providing Essential Personnel Services.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Army G-1 FMD

#### Component

Department of the Army

#### **Acquisition**

#### **Program Management**

COL Robert G. McVay IPPS-A PMO

## **Contract Information**

Name: Actuate Corporation
City/State: San Mateo, CA

**Supported** Software License - Maintenance

Function:

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Program Management Support Contractor

**Function:** 

Name: CapGemini City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** Independent Verification and Validation Support Contractor

Function:

Name: CarahSoft Tech

<b>Contracts</b> -	Continued
City/State:	
Supported Function:	Software Support
Name:	Corporate Leadership
	Charlotte, NC
Supported Function:	Membership Fee
Name:	DLT Solutions, Inc
	Herndon, VA
Supported Function:	Software Maintenance
Name:	ImmixTechnology
	McLean, VA
Function:	
Name:	ImmixTechnology
	McLean, VA
Supported	Software Licenses
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Mythics
	Virginia Beach, VA
Supported	Software License - Maintenance
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Mythics  Note: The state of the
	Virginia Beach, VA
Supported Function:	Software Support
Name:	Oracle America
City/State:	Reston, VA
Supported	Software Licenses
Function:	
Name:	Plan B Government Systems
City/State:	Bowie, MD

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** Software Licenses

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Integrated Personnel and Pay System - Army (IPPS-A) Increment I

Planned Start Date: 2009-09-08 Planned Completion Date: 2012-12-07 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 137.526 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** IPPS-A will provide the Army with an integrated, multi-Component, personnel and pay system which streamlines Army Human Resources (HR),

enhances the efficiency and accuracy of Army personnel and pay procedures, and supports Soldiers and their families. IPPS-A will be a web-based tool, available 24 hours a day, accessible to Soldiers, HR professionals, Combatant Commanders, personnel and pay managers, and other authorized users throughout the Army. IPPS-A addresses major deficiencies in the delivery of military personnel and pay services and also provides internal controls and audit procedures that prevent erroneous payments and loss of funds. IPPS-A will ultimately support the Army Hire to Retire end-to-end

business process.

Increment I will provide the data foundation for building functional business processes necessary to fully support the Hire to Retire life cycle across all Increment II releases. By the completion of Increment I, IPPS-A will have established a multi-Component trusted database, system infrastructure

and the ability to generate multi-Component reports.

Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	letion Date	Total (	Costs
Increment I Prep and Analysis	Planned: 2011	-02-01 Planned:	2011-11-30	Planned:	50.417
	Projected: 2011	-02-01 Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	60.500
Description	Actual: 2011	-02-01 Actual:		Actual:	0.000
			1.7		

Prepare for development of Increment I to include acquisition documentation, data mapping, software license procurement/maintenance and Increment I contract preparation and development.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Increment I Development	Planned: 2011-11-30	Planned: 2012-10-31	Planned: 68.442	
	Projected: 2012-02-01	Projected: 2012-11-30	Projected: 91.020	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

The IPPS-A Increment I Development activity will be the development of a multi-Component trusted database with a single personnel and pay record for all Army Soldiers. Increment I will contain personnel and human resources data for the entire Army in one trusted data source and the ability to generate new multi-Component reports, including a Soldier Record Brief (SRB) for all Components.

Milestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Increment I Testing	Planned: 2012-10-23	Planned: 2012-12-07	Planned: 1.680
	Projected: 2012-12-01	Projected: 2013-03-31	Projected: 3.420
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Increment I will be an integrated test activity involving collaborative planning and execution of test phases and events to provide shared data in support of independent analysis, evaluation and reporting by all stakeholders. Various types of testing and evaluation will be conducted to include: Functional Testing, Integration Testing, Integrat

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

IPPS-A customers include the Soldiers, HR professionals, Combatant Commanders, personnel and pay managers, and other authorized users from all Components of the Army (Active, Reserve, and National Guard). IPPS-A will provide the Army with an web-based integrated, multi-Component, personnel and pay system that will be available 24 hours a day which will streamline Army Human Resources (HR), enhance the efficiency and accuracy of Army personnel and pay procedures, and support Soldiers and their families. IPPS-A will provide support to the Soldier in the core mission of conducting operations; promoting and maintaining effective military personnel management; and ensuring accurate and timely military personnel data, including delivery of benefits, are available at all levels of management and oversight.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

IPPS-A has numerous stakeholders ranging across all Components of the Army, as well as across DoD. Primary Army stakeholders include the G-1 community (the Functional Sponsor), the Assistant Secretary of the Army (ASA) Financial Management and Comptroller (FM&C), the ASA Manpower and Reserve Affairs (M&RA), Army Human Resources Command, U.S. Army Reserve, Army National Guard, and Business Process Owners across the Army personnel and pay community. The Program Executive is the Program Executive Officer Enterprise Information Systems (PEO EIS). The IPPS-A PMO reports through PEO EIS to ASA(ALT) will provide acquisition approvals through the Army Acquisition Executive, and will coordinate with the Army Office of Business Transformation. At the DoD level, the Milestone Decision Authority (MDA) is the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Deputy Chief Management Office (OSD DCMO). Primary stakeholders include DFAS, Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), USD(AT&L).

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

#### RDT&E:

RDT&E activities include completion of critical activities associated with Government Acceptance and Operational Testing which will lead to a Full Deployment Decision for Increment I in Q2 FY13 and begin deployment and sustainment. IPPS-A will begin system Design, Development and Integration efforts associated critical activities for Increment II, Release II. This will require ramp-up efforts of the System Integrator in order to meet our current schedule of fielding capabilities every 12 months. Major activities will include finalization of the required acquisition documentation for a Full Deployment Decision for Increment I, Release I; continuation of data management activities to include data call from legacy systems, data analysis, data cleansing and data conversion; design and build out the system technical architecture for

IPPS-A and configure the Enterprise Resource Planning system against functional personnel specifications.

#### OPA:

OPA activities include initial system implementation and fielding of Increment I.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

BY+1 (FY14)

OMA: \$10.127M - O&M funding will be used for the operations and maintenance support of IPPS-A Increment I & II functionalities which includes program office contractor support, software license renewal and Help Desk support.

OPA: \$22.589M - Other Procurement funds will be used for system implementation and fielding of IPPS-A Increment II, Release II functionalities to all three Army components as well as procuring equipment upgrades, equipment maintenance and scheduled Hardware lifecycle refresh.

RDT&E: \$144.625M - RDT&E funding will be used to complete system Design, Development and Integration, System Development Testing, as well as Government Acceptance and Operational Testing critical activities which will lead to a Milestone for Deployment for Increment II, Release II functionalities. In addition, IPPS-A will begin system Design, Development and Integration efforts associated critical activities for Increment II, Release III.

#### BY+2 (FY15)

OMA: \$25.655M - O&M funding will be used for the operations and maintenance support of IPPS-A Increment I & II functionalities which includes program office contractor support, software license renewal and Help Desk support.

OPA: \$9.599M - Other Procurement funds will be used for system implementation and fielding of IPPS-A Increment II, Release III functionalities to all three Army components as well as procuring equipment upgrades, equipment maintenance and scheduled Hardware lifecycle refresh.

RDT&E: \$141.794M - RDT&E funding will be used to complete system Design, Development, and Integration, System Development Testing, as well as Government Acceptance and Operational Testing critical activities which will lead to a Milestone for Deployment for Increment II, Release III functionalities. In addition, IPPS-A will begin system Design, Development, and Integration efforts associated critical activities for Increment II, Release IV.

#### BY+3 (FY16)

OMA: \$26.157M - O&M funding will be used for the operations and maintenance support of IPPS-A Increment I & II functionalities which includes program office contractor support, software license renewal and Help Desk support.

OPA: \$13.190M - Other Procurement funds will be used for system implementation and fielding of IPPS-A Increment II, Release IV functionalities to all three Army components as well as procuring equipment upgrades, equipment maintenance, and scheduled Hardware lifecycle refresh.

RDT&E: \$140.475M - RDT&E funding will be used to complete system Design, Development, and Integration, System Development Testing, as well as Government Acceptance and Operational Testing critical activities which will lead to a Milestone for Deployment for Increment II, Release IV functionalities. In addition, IPPS-A will begin system Design, Development and Integration efforts associated critical activities for Increment II, Release V.

#### BY+4(FY17)

OMA: \$27.284M - O&M funding will be used for the operations and maintenance support of IPPS-A Increment I & II functionalities which includes civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel, software license renewal and Help Desk support.

OPA: \$8.700M - Other Procurement funds will be used for system implementation and fielding of IPPS-A Increment II, Release V functionalities to all three Army

components as well as procuring equipment upgrades, equipment maintenance, and scheduled Hardware lifecycle refresh.

RDT&E: \$122.691M - RDT&E funding will be used to complete system Design, Development and Integration, System Development Testing, as well as Government Acceptance and Operational Testing critical activities which will lead to a Milestone for Deployment for Increment II, Release V functionalities. In addition, IPPS-A will prepare and finalize all required acquisition documentation for a Full Deployment Decision for Increment II.

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1826	Acronym	ISPAN	'AN									
Name of Investment	INTEGRATEI	TEGRATED STRATEGIC PLANNING AND ANALYSIS NETWORK											
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	EPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE											
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS								
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	control		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS								

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

ISPAN consists of a system-of-systems approach that spans multiple security enclaves for strategic and operational level planning and leadership decision making. The system is composed of two elements: (1) a Collaborative Information Environment (CIE) managing strategy-to-execution planning across all United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) Mission areas; and (2) a Mission Planning and Analysis System (MPAS) that supports the development of Joint Staff Level I through Level IV nuclear and conventional plans supporting National and Theater requirements. Both elements of the ISPAN program establish a framework to support the USSTRATCOM's effects-based planning and analysis activities. The mission of USSTRATCOM is to establish and provide full-spectrum global strike, coordinated space and information operations capabilities to meet both deterrent and decisive national security objectives, and to provide operational space support, integrated missile defense, Global Command Control Communications and Computers Intelligence Surveillance and Reconnaissance (C4ISR), and specialized planning expertise to the joint warfighter. This mission has been defined by the 2002 Unified Command Plan (UCP) changes 1 and 2. To enable these missions, the Integrated Strategic Planning and Analysis Network (ISPAN) (formerly known as SWPS) must be capable of both deliberate and adaptive planning employing the full spectrum of kinetic and non-kinetic weapons. The planning system will continue to evolve as weapon systems are matured, new systems are developed, and the threat changes, particularly in the area of worldwide proliferation of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD). The ISPAN modernization program includes initiation of Course of Action (COA) Development as a service to the DoD enterprise, workflow and decision support development, Combatant Commander (COCOM) Collaboration (Global Operations Center Collaborative Environment (GOC CE), User Defined Operational Picture (UDOP)), conventional mission planning integration, and Mission Planning Analysis System (MPAS) maintenance and modernization. This includes software coding, integration of multiple internal and external planning applications. ISPAN also includes automated data processing equipment (ADPE), software, facilities support, manpower, and training to support the mission objectives of ISPAN, associated deployable and distributed data processing nodes, and subsidiary systems.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	51,983	79,195	74,557	61,727
MILPERS		·		
Mil Pers, AF				
0101325F 01-N/A	4,486	4,636	4,674	4,801
MILPERS Total	4,486	4,636	4,674	4,801
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0101313F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	0	13,100	0	0
0101318F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	30,293	41,843	43,258	42,167
0101325F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	7,560	7,476	7,728	7,896
Operations Total	37,853	62,419	50,986	50,063
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0101313F 03-STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	9,644	12,140	8,197	6,863
Procurement Total	9,644	12,140	8,197	6,863
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0101313F 07-Strategic War Planning System(SWPS)	0	0	10,700	0
RDT&E Total	0	0	10,700	0

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	65.754	55.875	
FY 2013 President's Budget	79.195	74.557	-4.64
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		18.682	
<b>'</b>	<u> </u>		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Operations and Maintenance (O&M) funding changes reflects USSTRATCOM program code adjustments between IT Element Expense Investment Codes (EEICs) and non-IT EEICs, and \$3M of additional funding to support Pre Milestone B activities for the ISPAN Mission Planning and Analysis System (MPAS) II modernization program.

Change in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation funding reflects Pre Milestone B funding provided to support ISPAN Mission Planning and Analysis System (MPAS) II modernization program.

Change in Military Personnel is a cost of living adjustment

Change in Civilian Personnel is a cost of living adjustment

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Operations and Maintenance (O&M) funding change from FY12 to FY13 was a planned reduction, FY12 O&M funds included additional funding to support ISPAN Block 1 Full Deployment.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation funding increase in FY13 reflects funds provide to support Pre-B milestone activities for the ISPAN Mission Planning and Analysis System (MPAS) II modernization program.

Other procurement reduction was to support higher headquarters priorities.

Change in Military Personnel is a cost of living adjustment

Change in Civilian Personnel is a cost of living adjustment

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Operational and Maintenance Support

- Semi Annual application software maintenance deliveries to support Strategic Planning Guidance and National Command Capability
- IT Operational support

- Professional Tool Operators to support Functional users
- Program and Functional Management support
- Engineering support

Other Procurement - Annual Life-cycle hardware upgrades, this includes servers, storage networks, personal computer, and workstations on a 4-year life-cycle profile.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Funds are for Operations and Sustainment for the Full Deployment of Block 1.

- Semi Annual application software maintenance deliveries to support Strategic Planning Guidance and National Command Capability
- Annual Life-cycle hardware upgrades, this includes servers, storage networks, personal computer, and workstations on a 4-year life-cycle profile.

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Funds are for Operations and Sustainment for the Full Deployment of Block 1.

- Semi Annual application software maintenance deliveries to support Strategic Planning Guidance and National Command Capability
- Annual Life-cycle hardware upgrades, this includes servers, storage networks, personal computer, and workstations on a 4-year life-cycle profile. Funds provided to support pre-milestone B development activities.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Funds are for Operations and Sustainment for the Full Deployment of Block 1.

- Semi Annual application software maintenance deliveries to support Strategic Planning Guidance and National Command Capability
- Annual Life-cycle hardware upgrades, this includes servers, storage networks, personal computer, and workstations on a 4-year life-cycle profile.

### **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

### Component

Department of the Air Force

### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Jon Nelson

# **Contract Information**

Name: BAE Systems National Security Solutions

City/State: Bellevue, NE

**Supported** Software development and maintenance

Function:

Name: Computer Science Corporation

City/State: Bellevue, NE

Supported Hardware and software purchases and installation, system administration, technical solutions, information systems day-to-day support

Function:

Name: Lockheed Martin Corporation

City/State: Papillion, NE

**Supported** Software development and maintenance

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems

City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** Software development and maintenance

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems

City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** Software maintenance

Function:

Name: Science Applications International Corporation

City/State: McClean, VA

**Supported** Software development and maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: Strategic Professional Resources, Inc

City/State: Papillion, NE

**Supported** Acquisition and Program Management Support

Function:

<u>Milestones/Schedules</u> Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

**USSTRATCOM Customers:** 

- Commander USSTRATCOM
- Strategy, Plans and Policy Division,
- Combat Plans Division,
- Force Assessment Division,
- Global Strike Division,
- Nuclear Planning and Execution System (NPES),
- Global Operations Directorate,
- Joint Functinal Combatant Command (JFCC)

#### Customers outside of USSTRATCOM:

- President of the United States
- Secretary of Defense
- Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff
- -Nuclear Commanders
- -Regional Combatant Commanders
- -Air Force Mission Support System (AFMSS)
- Joint Mission Planning System (JMPS)
- AFSPC Safety Enhances Reentry Vehicle
- 20th Air Force, 625th Missile Operations Flight/Trajectory Analysis Branch (TAB)
- Naval Surface Warfare Center/Dahlgren Division
- United Kingdom
- United States Air Force, Air Combat Command (ACC)
- Cruise Missile Support Agency

### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Process Owner: USSTRATCOM/JFCC GS/CC

Stephen Wilson, MajGen, USAF

901 SAC Blvd

Offutt AFB, NE, 68113-6600

Executive Agent: United States Air Force (USAF)

Milestone Decision Authority: Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (AT&L)

Operation Requirements: Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS), Theater Commanders

Plan Execution: Strategic Forces

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Funds are for Operations and Sustainment for the Full Deployment of Block 1.

FY13 Operations and Maintenance

- Semi Annual application software deliveries to support Strategic Planning Guidance and National Command Capability
- IT Operational support
- Professional Tool Operators to support Functional users
- Program and Functional Management support
- Engineering support

FY13 Other Procurement - Annual life-cycle hardware upgrades, this includes servers, storage networks, personal computer, and workstations on a 4-year life-cycle profile.

FY13 Research, Development, Test and Evaluation funds provided to support pre-milestone B Mission Planning and Analysis System (MPAS) II activities.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Funds are for Operations and Sustainment for the Full Deployment of Block 1.

FY14 OPAF for life-cycle hardware refreshment.

FY14 OMAF funds provide for system administration support, engineering support, hardware purchases and maintenance, and application software maintenance and training.

FY14 Military Personnel - support to the ISPAN Program

FY14 Civilian Personnel - support to the ISPAN Program

FY15 OPAF for life-cycle hardware refreshment.

FY15 OMAF funds provide for system administration support, engineering support, hardware purchases and maintenance, and application software maintenance and training.

FY15 Military Personnel - support to the ISPAN Program

FY15 Civilian Personnel - support to the ISPAN Program

FY16 OPAF for life-cycle hardware refreshment.

FY16 OMAF funds provide for system administration support, engineering support, hardware purchases and maintenance, and application software maintenance and training.

FY16 Military Personnel - support to the ISPAN Program

FY16 Civilian Personnel - support to the ISPAN Program

FY17 OPAF for life-cycle hardware refreshment.

FY17 OMAF funds provide for system administration support, engineering support, hardware purchases and maintenance, and application software maintenance and training.

FY17 Military Personnel - support to the ISPAN Program

FY17 Civilian Personnel - support to the ISPAN Program

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1179	Acronym	ISPAN - INC 2	NN - INC 2									
Name of Investment	INTEGRATEI	TEGRATED STRATEGIC PLANNING AND ANALYSIS NETWORK - INCREMENT 2											
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	EPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE											
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS								
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	& CONTROL		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS								

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

ISPAN Increment 2 modernization will provide the following capabilities:

- 1. Campaign/Contingency Planning
- Perform mission analysis, effects planning, and basic briefing support for OSD In-Process Reviews.
- Integrate conventional target development processes, including support for target coordination boards and Flexible Strike Option (FSO) planning.
- 2. Crisis Action Planning (CAP)
- Create user-defined planning templates for specific operations types or to reflect local policies and procedures.
- Create the ability for Branch-Sequel planning in user defined parent-child relationships between C/CP and CAP and among multiple CAPs.
- Add support for Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment (JIPOE).
- A modular, automated orders-writing service from templates for Warning Orders (WARNORDs), Planning Orders (PLANORDs), Alert Orders (ALERTORDs), Operation Orders (OPORDs), Fragmentary Orders (FRAGORDs), Execution Orders (EXORDs), and Situation Reports (SITREPs), that can be either standalone documents or linked to applicable C/CP and CAP workspaces.
- 3. Decision Support (DS)
- Integrate conventional strike web services. Expand geospatial, temporal, and relational support for Situation Development and Assessment (SA) by consuming additional information web services, updating data readers, and integrating the visualization capability into the planning environment.
- Add web services from other operational planning systems to improve orchestration of integrated COA development.
- 4. Sustainability
- Expand the application capacity with better performance by virtualizing the system architecture. Provide survivable and redundant applications at multiple sites with a basic data backup and node transition plan.
- Provide survivable and redundant apps at multiple sites with data backup and node transition plan.
- Update the portal with an improved user interface
- 5. Training

- Ex	pand the ca	pabilit		global	users	with:	a trainin	g work	space.	chat	com	puter-	based tra	ining,	better (	organize	d info	and	search	capa	bilities,	plus v	videos.

- 1	Upo	late	trainir	ng for	system	functiona	ality c	hanges.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	22,794	13,571	13,347	8,898
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0101325F 01-N/A	588	608	612	628
MILPERS Total	588	608	612	628
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0101318F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	0	1,300	6,500	6,800
0101325F 01-Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	450	445	460	470
Operations Total	450	1,745	6,960	7,270
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0101313F 03-STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	3,700	1,100	1,000	1,000
Procurement Total	3,700	1,100	1,000	1,000
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0101313F 07-Strategic War Planning System(SWPS)	18,056	10,118	4,775	0
RDT&E Total	18,056	10,118	4,775	0

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	13.508	11.356	
FY 2013 President's Budget	13.571	13.347	-0.22
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		1.991	
-			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Change Resource, Development, Test & Evaluation – In order to fully fund the Increment 2 Service Cost Position the PMO moved \$2M from Increment 3 funding profile to Increment 2. The Increment 3 initiative is currently on hold pending FY14 Program Objective Memorandum

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Change in Operations & Maintenance - FY12 funding reflects only a partial year and FY13 is for a full year of support.

Change in Other Procurement is a planned reduction to support ISPAN Increment 2 hardware and software purchases.

Change in Resource, Development, Test & Evaluation – Planned reduction from FY12, FY13 is the final funding to support Increment 2 Full Deployment planned for FY13.

Change in Military Personnel is a cost of living adjustment

Change in Civilian Personnel is a cost of living adjustment

### **Program Accomplishments**

### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

- Inc2, Spiral 1 (Campaign, Contingency Planning) Strategic Guidance and support for IPR A, part 1 of 2 (Mission Analysis and Effects Planning for OPLAN/CONPLANs)
- Inc2, Spiral 1 (Crisis Action Planning) Automated Orders, part 1 of 2 (WARNORD, PLANORD, OPORD, EXORD, FRAGO); COA development updates (Mission Analysis, Phases, Forces, Analysis, etc...)
- Inc2, Spiral 1 (Decision Support) Joint User Messaging (JUM) interface necessary for future data consumption
- Inc2, Spiral 1 (Training) Training workspace with Help Chat, Quick Reference Guides, and Help Videos
- Inc2, Spiral 1 (Architecture) New Menu Structure; New CCP/Orders/Reference Material Libraries; Work Product Copy; Joint C2 Objective Architecture and IA

Other: Virtualized the GAP CIE application; Established a DISA DECC SIPRNET node; Began JOPP training at Army CGSC; Began the TOPAS ACTD transition (Army); Began the ICEWS S&T transition (OSD-ONR-DARPA)

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY12 ISPAN Increment 2 incremental Spiral development to support CIE user requirements.

- Must meet Key Performance Parameter (KPP) requirements and FY12 Adaptive Planning approved Strategic Guidance and Concept Development tasks. Spiral 2 continuation of Spiral 1
- OPLAN/CONPLAN Strategic Guidance Development
- -- Mission Analysis, Effects Planning, and Initial Staff Estimates
- -- IPR A Brief with supporting notes
- Blended Courses Of Actions
- Automated Orders
- -- Add ALERTORD, ORDMOD, and SITREP
- -- User defined Order (CONOP)
- Integrate the Theater Operational Planning and Assessment Services (TOPAS) capability for Effects Planning and Assessment (APEX task)
- -- Task assigned to multiple Courses of Actions
- Net Ready KPP, Information Assurance, and Joint C2 Objective Architecutre migration
- -- FIREFOX
- -- Single Record Copy (compliments Work Product Copy) with optional links between data elemens between plans and orders

Increment 2 Initial Operational Capability planned for FY12.

Post IOC Enhancements planned for FY12

- -Add a Plan Initiation Module to complete Strategic Guidance work
- -- Capture Strategic Planning Guidance
- Update CAP Web Services as required
- Force, Logistics, and transportation planning data exchange
- Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment (JIPOE)

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Increment 2 development (concluding in FY13) is fully funded for development and sustainment.

Post IOC Enhancements continued in FY13

- Enhance Course Of Action (COA) validity workspace
- Visually highlight conflicts among COA tasks and between COA tasks and planning restrictions
- User-defined overlay objects in the interactive viewer
- Support Commander's Situation Awareness of global and Area of Responsibilty events

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Sustainment of capabilities delivered to support ISPAN Increment 2. This includes software maintenance, IT operational support, Program Management and Engineering support and life-cycle hardware replacements

# **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

**Component** 

Department of the Air Force

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Jon Nelson

### **Contract Information**

Name: Lockheed Martin Corportation

City/State: Papillion, NE

**Supported** Software development and maintenance

Function:

Name: Strategic Professional Resources, Inc

City/State: Papillion, NE

**Supported** Acquisition and Program Management Support

**Function:** 

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: ISPAN Increment 2 Program Management Support											
Planned Start Date: 2010-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2013-08-31 <b>Planned Live Cycle Cost:</b> 7.492			7.492	(dollars in millions)						
Description: Day-to-day support to the program manager, costing and budget support, testing support, etc to support Spiral development											
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	<b>Total Costs</b>					
Increment 2 Spiral 1 Program Management Support	Planned:	2010-10-01	Planned:	2012-03-31	Planned:	2.247					
	Projected:	2010-10-01	Projected:	2012-03-31	Projected:	2.247					
Description	Actual:	2010-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	1.761					
Day-to-day management support to the ISPAN Program Manager. This included development.	les costing, suppor	t for external rep	orting, budgetir	ng and program m	nanagement to supp	oort Spiral 1					

Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
Planned:	2010-10-01	Planned:	2012-03-31	Planned:	0.588	
			2012-03-31		0.588	
Actual:	2010-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.334	
d ISPAN Test Pro	ogram Office per	rsonnel to suppo	ort Increment 2 Sp	iral 1 developmen	t deliveries.	
	-		-	Total Costs		
		-			0.911	
					0.911	
3					0.911	
Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
Planned:	2012-04-01		2013-08-31	Planned:	2.247	
Projected:	2012-04-01	Projected:	2013-08-31	Projected:	2.247	
Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
es costing, suppor	t for external rep	orting, budgetii	ng and program m	anagement to supp	oort Spiral 2	
Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
Planned:	2012-04-01	Planned:	2013-08-31	Planned:	0.588	
Projected:	2012-04-01	Projected:	2013-08-31	Projected:	0.588	
Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
d ISPAN Test Pro	ogram Office per	rsonnel to suppo	ort Increment 2 Sp	iral 2 developmen	t deliveries.	
Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
Planned:	2012-04-01	Planned:	2013-08-31	Planned:	0.910	
Projected:	2012-04-01	Projected:	2013-08-31	Projected:	0.910	
Actual:	2011-11-30	Actual:	2011-12-31	Actual:	0.039	
2012-01-31	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	9.188	(dollars in	millions)	
ving capabilities efing support fo	: or OSD In-Proc	ess Reviews.				
	Planned: Projected: Actual: ad ISPAN Test Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual: es costing, suppor  Start Planned: Projected: Actual: ad ISPAN Test Projected: Actual: bd ISPAN Test Projected: Actual: ad ISPAN Test Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual: bd ISPAN Test Projected: Actual: Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual:	Projected: 2010-10-01 Actual: 2010-10-01 ad ISPAN Test Program Office per  Start Date  Planned: 2010-10-01 Projected: 2010-10-01 Actual: 2010-10-01  Start Date  Planned: 2012-04-01 Projected: 2012-04-01 Actual: es costing, support for external rep  Start Date  Planned: 2012-04-01 Projected: 2012-04-01 Actual: ad ISPAN Test Program Office per  Start Date  Planned: 2012-04-01 Actual: ad ISPAN Test Program Office per  Start Date  Planned: 2012-04-01 Actual: 2011-04-01 Actual: 2011-11-30  2012-01-31 Planned Live  Ving capabilities:  effing support for OSD In-Proc	Planned: 2010-10-01 Planned: Projected: 2010-10-01 Actual: ad ISPAN Test Program Office personnel to support of the start Date Complete Planned: 2010-10-01 Projected: Actual: 2010-10-01 Planned: Projected: 2010-10-01 Projected: Actual: 2010-10-01 Actual:  Start Date Complete Planned: 2012-04-01 Planned: Projected: 2012-04-01 Projected: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Projected: 2012-04-01 Planned: Projected: 2012-04-01 Planned: Projected: 2012-04-01 Planned: Projected: 2012-04-01 Planned: Projected: 2012-04-01 Projected: Actual:	Planned: 2010-10-01	Planned: 2010-10-01 Planned: 2012-03-31 Planned: Projected: 2010-10-01 Projected: 2012-03-31 Projected: Actual: 2010-10-01 Actual: Actual: Actual: dI SPAN Test Program Office personnel to support Increment 2 Spiral 1 developments	

#### Milestones - Continued

- Add support for Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment (JIPOE).
- A modular, automated orders-writing service from templates for Warning Orders (WARNORDs), Planning Orders (PLANORDs), Alert Orders (ALERTORDs), Operation

Orders (OPORDs), Fragmentary Orders (FRAGORDs), Execution Orders (EXORDs), and Situation Reports (SITREPs), that can be either standalone documents or linked to

applicable C/CP and CAP workspaces.

- 3. Decision Support (DS)
- Integrate conventional strike web services. Expand geospatial, temporal, and relational support for Situation Development and Assessment (SA) by consuming additional

information web services, updating data readers, and integrating the visualization capability into the planning environment.

- Add web services form other operational planning systems to improve orchestration of integrated COA development.
- 4. Sustainability
- Expand the application capacity with better performance by virtualizing the system architecture. Provide survivable and redundant applications at multiple sites with a basic

data backup and node transition plan.

- Provide survivable and redundatnt apps at multiple sites with data backup node transition plan.
- Update the portal with an improved user interface.
- 5. Training
- Expand the capability to support global users with a training workspace, chat, computer-based training, better organized info and search capabilities, plus videos.
- Update training for system functionality changes.

Activity Name	<b>Start Date</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Spiral 1 Capability A3 Leadership Decision Support	Planned: 2010-11-30	Planned: 2012-01-31	Planned: 0.366		
	Projected: 2010-11-30	Projected: 2012-01-31	Projected: 0.366		
Description	Actual: 2010-11-30	Actual:	Actual: 0.299		

Joint User Messaging (JUM) interface necessary to consume future data as well as support for C2 Battle Management Communications (C2BMC) and Combatant Commanders' Integrated C2 System (CCIC2S) Services for Missile Defense and Warning

Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Spiral 1 Capability A2 Crisis Action Planning	Planned:	2010-11-30	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2.712	
	Projected:	2010-11-30	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	2.712	
Description	Actual:	2010-11-30	Actual:		Actual:	2.293	

Automated orders writing service, part 1 of 2; Branch/sequel planning and blended COAs, part 1 of 2; COA development updates: phases, forces, analysis, spell check, etc.; Effects Assessment capability via integration of Theater Operation Planning and Assessment Service (TOPAS) Advanced Capability Technology Demonstration (ACTD) capability, phases 1 and 2 of 3

Activity Name	Start Date Completion Date		Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Spiral 1 Capability A1 Contingency Planning	Planned:	2010-11-30	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2.156	
	Projected:	2010-11-30	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	2.156	
Description	Actual:	2010-11-30	Actual:		Actual:	2.109	
Strategic Guidance and support for In-Progress Review A, part 1 of 2 (Mission Ar	nalysis and Eff	fects Planning for	Operation Plan	ns (OPLANS)/Cor	ncept Plans (CONI	PLANs))	
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
Spiral 1 Capability A5 Sustainability	Planned:	2010-11-30	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2.499	
	Projected:	2010-11-30	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	2.499	
Description	Actual:	2010-11-30	Actual:		Actual:	2.038	
Return to Service, Operational Availability, and Mean Time Between Critical Fail							
Joint Worldwide Intelligence Communications System (JWICS) alternate site (Po					m · · ·	<b>.</b>	
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (		
Spiral 1 Capability A6 Net Centricity	Planned:	2010-11-30	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	0.676	
Description	-	2010-11-30	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	0.676	
<b>Description</b> IA improvements; Continued transition to the Joint C2 Objective Architecture	Actual:	2010-11-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.601	
*	g.		<i>a</i> ,	. 5	TD ( ) (	m . • a	
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date		Total Costs	
Spiral 1 Capability A4 Training	Planned:	2010-11-30	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	0.778	
December 1997		2010-11-30	Projected:	2012-01-31	Projected:	0.778	
Description  Training and analysis analysis and analysis analysis and	Actual:	2010-11-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.735	
Training workspace with help-chat, updated quick reference guides, and updated l	•						
oject Name: Increment 2 Hardware and Software to support Alternate	_						
Planned Start Date: 2010-12-01 Planned Completion Date: 201		<b>Planned Live</b>	•		(dollars in	=	
<b>Description:</b> Hardware equipment and COTS software to support the Alte software.	ernate Site de	ployment requir	rement. This i	ncludes servers,	workstations, ar	nd associated	
Activity Name	Start Date Completion Date		Total (				
Hardware Alternate Site Capability	Planned:	2010-12-01	Planned:	2012-05-31	Planned:	2.932	
	Projected:	2010-12-01	Projected:	2012-05-31	Projected:	2.932	
Description	Actual:	2010-12-01	Actual:		Actual:	1.979	
Hardware to support the CIE development environment for the visualization and configuration of the visualization of visualization of visualization of visualization of visu	deployment of	the CIE applicati	ion to alternate	site location. This	s includes servers,	workstations,	

Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs
Planned: 2011-05-31	Planned: 2011-09-30	Planned: 1.686
Projected: 2011-05-31	Projected: 2011-09-30	Projected: 1.686
Actual: 2011-05-31	Actual: 2011-09-30	Actual: 1.686
ne deployment environment.		
Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Planned: 2012-06-01	Planned: 2013-08-31	Planned: 1.604
Projected: 2012-06-01	Projected: 2013-08-31	Projected: 1.604
Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
]	Planned: 2011-05-31 Projected: 2011-05-31 Actual: 2011-05-31 ne deployment environment.  Start Date  Planned: 2012-06-01 Projected: 2012-06-01	Planned:         2011-05-31         Planned:         2011-09-30           Projected:         2011-05-31         Projected:         2011-09-30           Actual:         2011-05-31         Actual:         2011-09-30           ne deployment environment.         Completion Date           Planned:         2012-06-01         Planned:         2013-08-31           Projected:         2012-06-01         Projected:         2013-08-31

#### Project Name: Increment 2 Spiral 2 Software Development

Planned Start Date: 2011-06-30 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 8.450 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** ISPAN Increment 2 modernization will provide the following capabilities:

- 1. Campaign/Contingency Planning
- Perform mission analysis, effects planning, and basic briefing support for OSD In-Process Reviews.
- Integrage conventional target development processes, including support for target coordination boards and Flexible Strike Options (FSO) planning.
- 2. Crisis Action Planning (CAP)
- Create user-defined planning templates for specific operations types or to reflect local policies and procedures.
- Create the ability for Branch-Sequel planning in use defined parent-child relationships between C/CP and CAP and among multiple CAPs.
- Add support for Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment (JIPOE).
- A modular, automated orders-writing service from templates for Warning Orders (WARNORDs), Planning Orders (PLANORDs), Alert Orders (ALERTORDs), Operation

Orders (OPORDs), Fragmentary Orders (FRAGORDs), Execution Orders (EXORDs), and Situation Reports (SITREPs), that can be either standalone documents or linked to

applicable C/CP and CAP workspaces.

- 3. Decision Support (DS)
- Integrate conventional strike web services. Expand geospatial, temporal, and relational support for Situation Development and Assessment (SA) by consuming additional

information web services, updating data readers, and integrating the visualization capability into the planning environment.

- Add web services form other operational planning systems to improve orchestration of integrated COA development.
- 4. Sustainability
- Expand the application capacity with better performance by virtualizing the system architecture. Provide survivable and redundant applications at multiple sites with a basic

data backup and node transition plan.

- Provide survivable and redundatnt apps at multiple sites with data backup node transition plan.

#### Milestones - Continued

- Update the portal with an improved user interface.
- 5. Training
- Expand the capability to support global users with a training workspace, chat, computer-based training, better organized info and search capabilities, plus videos.
- Update training for system functionality changes.

Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Spiral 2 Capability A5 Sustainability	Planned:	2011-06-30	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.485
	Projected:	2011-06-30	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.485
Description	Actual:	2011-06-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.060
Return to Service, Operational Availability, and Mean Time Between Critical Fa Joint Worldwide Intelligence Communications System (JWICS) alternate site (P						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Spiral 2 Capability A6 Net Centricity	Planned:	2011-06-30	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.357
	Projected:	2011-06-30	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.357
Description	Actual:	2011-06-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.113
IA improvements; Continued transition to the Joint C2 Objective Architecture						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Spiral 2 Capability A2 Crisis Action Planning and Effects Based Planning	Planned:	2011-06-30	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	7.608
	Projected:	2011-06-30	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	7.608
Description	Actual:	2011-06-30	Actual:		Actual:	3.095
Complete support for Strategic Guidance development and the associated IPR A	brief part 2 of	2 Strategic Guid	lance is 1 of 4 r	varts required to fu	lly implement C/C	P for

Complete support for Strategic Guidance development and the associated IPR A brief, part 2 of 2. Strategic Guidance is 1 of 4 parts required to fully implement C/CP for USSTRATCOM J5; the remainder of this requirement depends on Increment 3. OPLAN/CONPLAN Strategic Guidance/Plan Initiation development (applies to CAP also) (Post IOC); Effects Assessment capability via integration of Theater Operation Planning and Assessment Service (TOPAS) Advanced Capability Technology Demonstration (ACTD) capability

Automated orders writing service, part 2 of 2; COA tasks by phase, and in multiple time formats (Post IOC); Blended COAs, part 2 of 2; Branch and sequel planning (Post IOC); Addition of Plan Initiation Module (PIM) to the CAP template structure (Post IOC); Integration of non-military situation development and COA planning through the Defense Advanced Research Products Agency's (DARPA's) Integrated Crisis Early Warning System (ICEWS); Staff Estimates (Post IOC)

#### Project Name: ISPAN Increment 2 Post IOC Enhancements

Planned Start Date: 2012-04-30 Planned Completion Date: 2013-08-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 10.369 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** System will be refined as needed to achieve and verify its full capability. This will include closure of any Category 1 deficiency reports, final verification of Sustainment Capability Area KPPs (Return to Service and Operational Availability), fielding an alternate JWICS instance for

reliability, and development of a number of attributes that contribute to the major capability areas but are not themselves KPPs.

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
ISPAN Increment 2 Post IOC Enhancement	Planned:	2012-04-30	Planned:	2013-08-31	Planned:	10.369
	Projected:	2012-04-30	Projected:	2013-08-31	Projected:	10.369
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
System will be refined as needed to achieve and verify its full capability. This Capability Area KPPs (Return to Service and Operational Availability), fieldir contribute to the major capability areas but are not themselves KPPs.		, ,	2			

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

### **Customers for this Investment**

**USSTRATCOM Customers:** 

- Commander USSTRATCOM
- Strategy, Plans and Policy Division
- Combat Plans Division
- Force Assessment Division
- Global Strike Division
- Joint Functional Combatant Commands (JFCCs)

#### Customers outside of USSTRATCOM:

- President of the United States
- Secretary of Defense
- Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff
- Regional Combatant Commanders, USSOCOM

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Process Owner: USSTRATCOM JFCC-GS/CC

Stephen W. Wilson, Maj Gen, USAF

901 SAC Blvd

Offutt AFB NE 68113-6600

Executive Agent: United States Air Force (USAF)

Milestone Decision Authority: Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (AT&L)

Operational Requirements: Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS), Theater Commanders

Plan Execution: Strategic Forces

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Resource and Develoment -

In FY13, GAP CIE will complete Increment 2 with the following enhancements (\$4.775M):

OPLAN/CONPLAN Strategic Guidance/Plan Initiation development

Course Of Action tasks by phase, and in multiple time formats

Addition of Plan Initiation Module (PIM) to the CAP template structure

Access for more simultaneous users with robust application response time

Joint Worldwide Intelligence Communications System (JWICS) alternate site

Force, logistics, and transportation planning data exchange

Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment (JIPOE), initial capability

Support for Commander's situational awareness of Area of Responsibility events

Battle Rhythm Builder tool

Other Procurement - Life cycle Increment 2 hardware and software replacements (\$1M)

Operations and Maintenance funding (\$6.96M) provides for civilian salaries, software maintenance support for Increment 2 development, IT Operational Support, Program Management and Engineering support

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14 -Other Procurement - Life cycle Increment 2 hardware replacements (\$1M)

FY14 - Operations and Maintenance (\$7.27M)-

Civilian salaries

Software maintenance support for Increment 2 development

IT Operational Support

Program Management and Engineering support

FY15 -Other Procurement - Life cycle Increment 2 hardware replacements (1.5M)

FY15 - Operations and Maintenance (\$7.29M)-

Civilian salaries

Software maintenance support for Increment 2 development

IT Operational Support

Program Management and Engineering support

FY16 -Other Procurement - Life cycle Increment 2 hardware replacements (\$1.5M)

FY16 - Operations and Maintenance (\$7.4M)-

Civilian salaries

Software maintenance support for Increment 2 development

IT Operational Support

Program Management and Engineering support

FY17 -Other Procurement - Life cycle Increment 2 hardware replacements (\$1.3M)

FY17 - Operations and Maintenance (\$7.41M)-

Civilian salaries

Software maintenance support for Increment 2 development

IT Operational Support

Program Management and Engineering support

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1550	Acronym	ISPAN - INC 3		
Name of Investment	INTEGRATEI	) STRATEGIC	PLANNING AND ANALYS	SIS NETWORK - INCREM	MENT 3
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	PRE-MAIS
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	& CONTROL		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Campaign/Contingency Planning

- Ability to create a campaign/contingency plan that includes strategic guidance, commander's intent, mission analysis, base plan and annexes appropriate to the plan type, end state-objective-effect-action/task development, analysis, and plan assessment, and support to the in-process review process and senior leader briefings.
- Ability to create a campaign/contingency plan from a stored template. Crisis Action Planning (CAP)
- Ability to create up to 8 Combatant Commander-unique CAP ops templates that account for process variations. Creation of templates should be a user function to include creating and/or maintaining data/information connectivity between the template and briefings.
- Four GAP CIE instances within the single physical architecture, filterable by one, all, or a combination of organizations supported for planning. These "filtered instances" will conform to the same GAP CIE performance requirements as the single physical architecture.
- A Commander's Decision Support Page for each CAP that brings in key elements of info and allows the Commander to task subordinates, receive reports, and track status of plan development.
- A Command Status workspace to edit info on command status relevant to each Combatant Command, to include threat levels, senior leader

locations, support briefings, battle rhythm development, and geospatial/temporal presentation of net-centric situational awareness data. Decision Support

- Develop and incorporate into GAP CIE/GSAT, registered web services including relevant and releasable MPAS data, for use in GSAT, CAP, and effects planning and analysis matrices.
- Provide a data and info exploration and visualization capability for viewing and comparing data from one or more databases, spreadsheets, and/or portlet tables, within Campaign/Contingency Planning, Event, Effects Planning and Analysis, and CAP workspaces.

System Training

- Updated training infor to include operation of all added capabilities, in the same forms as required for Increment 2. Sustainability
- Add NIPRNET production and associated pre-production strings to the primary location.
- Minimum active, concurrent logins: 800 JWICS, 1600 SIPRNET, 1600 NIPRNET.
- Scalable architecture to account for unanticipated users.
- Maximum time delays permitted during specific user operations, such as switching between portlets.

Net-Centric: same as Increment 2

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	0	0	5,680	0
RDT&E RDT&E, Air Force				
0101313F 07-Strategic War Planning System(SWPS)	0	0	5,680	0
RDT&E Total	0	0	5,680	0

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	0.355	7.665	
FY 2013 President's Budget	0.000	5.680	5.68
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-1.985	
•			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Change in FY13 Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation the approved funding will support ISPAN Increment 3 Milestone B decision and contract award. To fully fund the ISPAN Increment 2, (Initiative 1179), Service Cost Position, the program office allocated \$1.985M of funding to Initiative 1179. The Increment 3 initiative funding is being addressed in the FY14 programming and planning decisions of the DoD.

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Change in FY13 Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation funding will support ISPAN Increment 3 Milestone B decision and contract award. In order to fully fund the Increment 2 Service Cost Position the Program Management Office moved funding from Increment 3 funding profile to Increment 2. The Increment 3 initiative funding is being addressed in the FY14 programming and planning decisions of the DoD.

### **Program Accomplishments**

### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

-No approved funding

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

-ISPAN Increment 3 Milestone B development is currently on hold pending FY14 Program Objective Memorandum funding

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

-ISPAN Increment 3 Milestone B development is currently on hold pending FY14 Program Objective Memorandum funding

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

No funding currently approved for Increment 3. Working through the corporate process to secure funding for Increment 3 in the FY14 Program Objective Memorandum (POM).

# **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

**Component** 

Department of the Air Force

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Jon Nelson

**Contract Information** No contract information is available.

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: ISPAN Collaborative Information Environment Increm	nent 3 Mileston	ne B decision				
Planned Start Date: 2012-04-01 Planned Completion Date: 2	012-12-31	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	1.200	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Develop required supporting data to meet a Milestone B de Position, etc.	ecision. This in	ncludes the Acc	uistion Strate	gy, Program Of	fice Estimate, Sei	vice Cost
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Develop draft Program Office Estimate	Planned:	2012-04-01	Planned:	2012-12-31	Planned:	0.500
	Projected:	2012-04-01	Projected:	2012-12-31	Projected:	0.500
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Develop Program Office Estimate to support ISPAN Service Cost Estimate						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
ISPAN Service Cost Position Estimate	Planned:	2012-04-01	Planned:	2012-12-31	Planned:	0.500
	Projected:	2012-04-01	Projected:	2012-12-31	Projected:	0.500
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Support the Air Cost Agency in building the ISPAN Increment 3 Service Cost I	Estimate					

Milestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
ISPAN Increment 2 Acquisition Strategy	Planned: 2012-04-01	Planned: 2012-12-31	Planned: 0.200
	Projected: 2012-04-01	Projected: 2012-12-31	Projected: 0.200
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Develop and coordinate the ISPAN Increment 2 Acquistion Str	ategy to support a Milestone B decision		

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

### **Customers for this Investment**

**USSTRATCOM Customers:** 

- Commander USSTRATCOM
- Strategy, Plans and Policy Division
- Combat Plans Division
- Force Assessment Division
- Global Strike Division
- Joint Functional Combatant Commands (JFCCs)

#### Customers outside of USSTRATCOM:

- President of the United States
- Secretary of Defense
- Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff
- Regional Combatant Commanders, USSOCOM

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Process Owner: USSTRATCOM JFCC-GS/CC

Stephen W. Wilson, Maj Gen, USAF

901 SAC Blvd

Offutt AFB NE 68113-6600

Executive Agent: United States Air Force (USAF)

Milestone Decision Authority: Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (AT&L)

Operational Requirements: Joint Chiefs of Staff (JCS), Theater Commanders

Plan Execution: Strategic Forces

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Budget Year RDT&E resources activities.

-- The Increment 3 initiative funding is being addressed in the FY14 programming and planning decisions of the DoD.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

No funding currently approved for Increment 3. The Increment 3 initiative funding is being addressed in the FY14 programming and planning decisions of the DoD.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1555	Acronym	JBC-P		
Name of Investment	JOINT BATTI	LE COMMANI	D-PLATFORM		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	& CONTROL		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Joint Battle Command–Platform (JBC-P) is a foundation for achieving information interoperability between joint warfighting elements on current and future battlefields. As the next generation of Force XXI Battle Command Brigade & Below (FBCB2) technology, it will be the principal command and control system for the Army and Marine Corps at the brigade and below level, providing users access to the tactical information necessary to achieve information dominance over the enemy. It consists of computer hardware and software integrated into tactical vehicles, aircraft, and provided to dismounted forces. JBC-P uses a product line approach to software development to save cost and promote a common architecture. Components include a core software module that provides common functionality required of all platforms and tailored software modules with unique capabilities for dismounted, vehicle, logistic, aviation, and command post elements. JBC-P software is designed for use over the Blue Force Tracking II transceiver and associated satellite networks, as well as ground-based networks. Other key enhancements include a redesigned, intuitive user interface and faster mapping software to quickly process and display critical graphics. It will be the primary provider and user of digital battle command and situational awareness across the spectrum of operations and will allow warfighters to more effectively and consistently communicate critical information over networks that connect the most distant and remote locations.

JBC-P software is designed to run on existing FBCB2 systems as well as new hardware items, reducing the army's investment in new hardware. In addition to utilizing the FBCB2 systems, JBC-P provides new hardware capabilities including ruggedized remoteable vehicle computers (tablets), dismounted devices for use with tablets, one way beacons, and ancillary equipment (e.g., Mission Data Loader, Disc Duplicator, cables, installation kits, etc.).

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	57,398	61,983	39,451	51,618
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0303140A 02-JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	0	0	18,675	51,618
Procurement Total	0	0	18,675	51,618
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0203759A 07-JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	3,748	0	0	0
0604805A 05-JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	53,650	61,983	20,776	0
RDT&E Total	57,398	61,983	20,776	0

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	163.962	93.373	
FY 2013 President's Budget	61.983	39.451	-22.53
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-53.922	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OPA: \$58.106M Decrease (76%)

The decrease of \$58.106M (FY12 President's Budget: \$76.781M vs FY13 President's Budget: \$18.675M)

in the Other Procurement, Army appropriation is due to change in reporting to no longer address items in the JBC-P funding line that are not part of the JBC-P program. The FY13 President's Budget amount of \$18.675M reflects only those funds designated for the JBC-P program. The FY12 President's Budget amount of \$76.781M includes funds for related but separate efforts such as the Tactical Ground Reporting (TIGR) system, Blue Force Tracking (BFT) 2 transceiver and KGV-72 inline encryption device.

RDTE: \$4.184M Increase (25%)

The increase of \$4.184M (FY12 President's Budget: \$16.592M vs FY13 President's Budget: \$20.776M) in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation appropriation is for efforts required to complete development and test in FY13 rather than FY17.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OPA: \$18.675M Increase (100%)

The increase in Other Procurement, Army funds from FY12 to FY13 supports initial procurement of Joint Battle Command - Platform hardware following a Full Rate Production decision review in FY13.

RDTE: \$41.207M Decrease (66%)

The decrease in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDTE) funds from FY12 to FY13 reflects a ramp down in development efforts. FY12 reflects significant

development and testing efforts required to meet a Milestone C in FY12 and Full Rate Production decision in FY13. FY13 efforts is the last year of development funding and efforts in this FY include completion of software Build 4 development and testing to support Full Rate Production.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

Completed Functional Qualification Test (FQT) and System Software Acceptance Test (SSAT) for JBC-P Build 1 software.

Completed software Build 2 and FQT for the JBC-P Vehicle, Command Post, and Network Operations Center products.

Completed Family of Systems (FoS) Build 2.

Continued development of JBC-P Core software and initiated monthly releases of Core Software Development Kits (SDKs) for use by product developers (JBC-P and others) to develop product software.

Completed Design Reviews 2 and 3 and achieved all requirements for Critical Design Review.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Complete software Build 3 and FQT for the JBC-P Vehicle, Command Post, and Network Operations Center products.

Complete Family of Systems (FoS) Build 3 and SSAT.

Complete development of software Build 4.

Conduct testing at the Network Integrated Evaluation 12.2 in preparation for Milestone C approval to conduct Initial Operational Test & Evaluation for Capability Set 13-14.

Conduct Milestone C decision review.

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Complete development and testing of software.

Conduct operational testing at the Network Integrated Evaluation 13.1.

Conduct Full Rate Production decision review to approve fielding of hardware and software to Army units.

Award production contract for Dismountable Vehicle Computer System and Dismounted Device (344 each), and for Vehicle Mounted Beacon Systems (344).

Complete JBC-P First Unit Equipped (FUE) fielding and continue fielding to Army units.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Conduct testing on JBC-P Capability Set 15-16 software in preparation for fielding decision.

Award Full Rate Production contracts/options for fielding to the force (498 each dismountable vehicle computer system and dismounted device; 1,531 vehicluar beacons and 1,339 standalone beacons).

## **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

PEO C3T

**Component** 

Department of the Army

**Acquisition** 

**Program Management** 

LTC Mark Daniels

PM FBCB2

### **Contract Information**

Name: DRS Technologies, Inc.

City/State: Melbourne, FL

Supported Handheld device prototyping

**Function:** 

Name: General Dynamics C4 Systems

City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

**Supported** Handheld device prototyping

Function:

Name: Software Engineering Directorate

US Army Aviation and Missile Research, Development and Engineering Center

City/State: Huntsville, AL

**Supported** Software Engineering and Development

**Function:** 

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Joint Battle Command - Platform (JBC-P) Development

Planned Start Date: 2009-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2013-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 203.192 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Development of hardware and software for the Joint Battle Command - Platform (JBC-P) tactical battle command system to meet Army requirements.

Includes development, procurement of low rate initial production hardware for test purposes and testing required to reach a Full Rate Production and

Fielding Decision.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
JBC-P Initial Standalone Dismounted User Device Development	Planned: 2011-03-01	Planned: 2012-03-31	Planned: 3.796
	Projected: 2011-03-01	Projected: 2012-03-31	Projected: 3.796
Description	Actual: 2011-03-08	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Award two prototype development contracts to evaluate options for a sta software.	andalone dismounted user device (har	dheld); conduct evaluations and den	nonstrations; integrate with
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
JBC-P Standalone Dismounted User Device Testing	Planned: 2011-10-24	Planned: 2011-11-23	Planned: 5.000
	Projected: 2011-10-24	Projected: 2011-11-23	Projected: 5.000
Description	Actual: 2011-10-31	Actual: 2011-12-01	Actual: 4.989
Conduct testing of JBC-P standalone dismounted user device (handheld	at Army Network Integrated Evaluation	ion 12.1.	
Conduct testing of JBC-P standalone dismounted user device (handheld <b>Activity Name</b>	at Army Network Integrated Evaluat  Start Date	ion 12.1.  Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Total Costs Planned: 4.818
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	
Activity Name	Start Date Planned: 2012-03-01	Completion Date Planned: 2013-03-31	Planned: 4.818
Activity Name  JBC-P Follow-on Standalone Dismounted User Device Development	Start Date           Planned:         2012-03-01           Projected:         2012-03-01           Actual:         Actual	Planned: 2013-03-31 Projected: 2013-03-31 Actual:	Planned: 4.818 Projected: 4.818
Activity Name  JBC-P Follow-on Standalone Dismounted User Device Development  Description	Start Date           Planned:         2012-03-01           Projected:         2012-03-01           Actual:         Actual	Planned: 2013-03-31 Projected: 2013-03-31 Actual:	Planned: 4.818 Projected: 4.818
Activity Name  JBC-P Follow-on Standalone Dismounted User Device Development  Description  Procure additional prototypes of standalone dismounted user device (har	Start Date Planned: 2012-03-01 Projected: 2012-03-01 Actual: adheld) for continued integration with	Planned: 2013-03-31 Projected: 2013-03-31 Actual: software, testing and evluation.	Planned: 4.818 Projected: 4.818 Actual: 0.000
Activity Name  JBC-P Follow-on Standalone Dismounted User Device Development  Description  Procure additional prototypes of standalone dismounted user device (har Activity Name	Start Date  Planned: 2012-03-01 Projected: 2012-03-01 Actual: adheld) for continued integration with	Planned: 2013-03-31 Projected: 2013-03-31 Actual: software, testing and evluation. Completion Date	Planned: 4.818 Projected: 4.818 Actual: 0.000  Total Costs

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The primary customers are US Army tactical commanders and soldiers at brigade and below. In addition, US Marine Corps combat leaders and marines are customers of JBC-P. Other customers include Allied and Coalition partners.

The customer is represented by the Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC), specifically the US Armor Center and School at Fort Knox.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The stakeholders for this program are the Program Executive Office Command, Control, and Communications-Tactical (PEO C3T) and the respective Program Executive Offices whose platforms mount and interface with JBC-P (e.g., Abrams Tank, Bradley Fighting Vehicle, Paladin, Stryker Interim Armored Vehicle, High-Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicle, etc.). Other stakeholders include the Army Chief Information Officer (CIO), Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) and the US

Marine Corps System Command.

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation: Complete system engineering and software development for software builds leading to Capability Set 15-16 software. Conduct developmental and operational testing of software and hardware items to prove out the system in preparation for a Full Rate Production decision review.

Procurement: Award Production Contracts for Dismountable Vehicle Computer System, Dismounted Device and component items such as Embedded GPS Receiver (EGR) cards (or equivalent) and cables necessary to utilize existing tactical radios as Beacon (one way Position Location Information) devices. Conduct production testing to verify performance with the software and conduct Full Rate Production (FRP) decision review. Upon successful FRP decision review, begin fielding Capability Set 15-16 software on existing platforms and on new hardware items in accordance with the Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) model.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

- FY14: Continue procurement of hardware and fielding hardware and software in accordance with the Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) model.
- FY15: Continue procurement of hardware and fielding hardware and software in accordance with the Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) model.
- FY16: Continue procurement of hardware and fielding hardware and software in accordance with the Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) model.
- FY17: Complete procurement of hardware and continue fielding hardware and software in accordance with the Army Force Generation (ARFORGEN) model.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1009	Acronym	JPI		
Name of Investment	JOINT PERSO	NNEL IDENT	TIFICATION SYSTEM		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	IT OF THE AR	MY		
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE AWARENI	ESS-ENVIRONMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Joint Personnel Identification Version 2 (JPIv2) will provide an Army tactical biometric collection capability to capture a person's biometric data and enroll them into the DoD enterprise authoritative biometric database to positively identify and verify the identity of actual or potential adversaries. JPIv2 development will be informed by prototype collection capabilities provided by the Biometrics Automated Toolset-Army (BAT-A) and the Handheld Interagency Identity Detection Equipment (HIIDE). U.S. forces are currently operating unilaterally or in combination with joint, multinational and interagency partners to identify unknown individuals and verify the identity of person(s) in any situation across the full spectrum of military operations, to include Overseas Contingency Operations. Capabilities envisioned for JPIv2 will be configurable for multiple operational mission environments. Tactical biometric capabilities will revolutionize individual-oriented DoD operations such as detainee management and questioning, base access, counterintelligence screening, border control, humanitarian assistance and displaced persons management by increasing identification accuracy; improving the efficiency of the identification process; ensuring a more comprehensive view of the individual in question, such as previous aliases and activities; and raising overall effectiveness of all of the aforementioned operational uses. Primary stakeholders include Army, Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) and other DoD and Federal agencies as required.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	2,069	15,018	15,248	15,240
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0307665A 07-BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE - MIP	2,069	15,018	15,248	15,240
RDT&E Total	2,069	15,018	15,248	15,240

## **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012         FY 2013         vs FY 2013           FY 2012 President's Budget         15.018         15.357           FY 2013 President's Budget         15.018         15.248         0.23
<b>FY 2013 President's Budget</b> 15.018 15.248 0.23
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 -0.109

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

RDTE: \$.109M Decrease (1%)

Program adjustment due to Army management decisions.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

RDTE: \$.230M Increase (2%)

Program adjustment due to Army management decisions.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Developed comprehensive strategic roadmap for the accomplishment of acquisition decision, particularly outlining all necessary systems engineering tasks including System Requirement Review, System Functional Review, Technology Readiness Assessment, Preliminary Design Review and Critical Design Review.

Interviewed, screened and selected a Federally Funded Research and Development Corporation (FFRDC) to assist with the accomplishment of acquisition decision events. Developed thorough statement of work describing all necessary tasks, activities, and reports for the FFDRC to accomplish, ensuring the necessary MS B work will be done in an accurate and timely manner.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Provide system engineering activities supporting operation and evaluation of current technology prototypes for integration into what will be the newly developed tactical

biometric collection devices (JPIv2) to satisfy the Capabilities Development Document requirements.

Support a Preliminary Design Review (PDR) in preparation for an Acquisition Category (ACAT) I-(Special Interest) acquisition decision.

Provide Test and Evaluation activities supporting operation and evaluation of prototype devices as part of the Preliminary Design Review in preparation for an Acquisition Category (ACAT) I - (Special Interest) acquisition decision in FY13.

Develop Army and Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) level documentation consistent with DoD Instruction 5000.02, The Defense Acquisition System, and compliant with existing statutory and regulatory policy for an acquisition decision in FY13.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Develop activities under an Engineering & Manufacturing Development (EMD) contract for JPIv2 program of record. EMD efforts include: defining system of systems functionality and interface requirements;

completing preliminary design to include both hardware and software;

defining and developing system maturity, reliability and technical performance measures;

developing operational deployment sustainability, suitability and survivability plans;

conducting technical reviews consistent with required system capability.

Provide Test and Evaluation (T&E) activities under EMD contract for JPIv2 program of record. EMD T&E efforts include: developing test plans against system requirements;

conducting preliminary testing of system of system functionality;

producing test reports to inform development activities;

providing T&E support to scheduled technical reviews;

Develop Army and Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) level documentation consistent with DoD Instruction 5000.02, The Defense Acquisition System and compliant with existing statutory and regulatory policy for an acquisition decision in FY13.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Provides continued EMD efforts and leveraging of current tactical biometrics collection system. Complete hardware and software detailed design to reduce system level risk.

# **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

HQDA G-3/5/7

**Component** 

Department of the Army

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

COL Sandra Vann-Olejasz
PM-DoD Biometrics

**Contract Information** 

No contract information is available.

## Milestones/Schedules

**Project Name: Joint Personnel Identification System** 

Planned Start Date: 2009-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2015-01-26 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 81.551 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Joint Personnel Indentification Version 2 (JPIv2) will provide an Army tactical biometric collection capability to capture a person's biometric data and

enroll them into the DoD enterprise authoritative biometric database to positively identify and verify the identity of actual or potential adversaries. JPIv2 development will be informe by prototype collection capabilities provided by the Biometrics Automated Toolset-Army (BAT-A) and the Handheld Interagency Identity Detection Equipment (HIIDE). U.S. forces are currently operating unilaterally or in combination with joint, multinational and interagency partners to identify unknown individuals and verify the identity of person(s) in any situation across the full spectrum of military operations, to include Overseas Contingency Operations. Capabilities envisioned for JPIv2 will be configurable for multiple operational mission environments. Tactical biometric capabilities will revolutionize individual-oriented DoD operations such as detainee management and questioning, base access, counterintelligence screening, border control, humanitarian assistance and displaced persons management by increasing identification accuracy; imporving the efficiency of the identification process; ensuring a more comprehensive view of the individual in question, such as previous aliases and activities; and raising overall effectiveness of all of the aforementioned operational uses. Primary stakeholders include Army, Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM)

and other DoD and Federal agencies as required.

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
Architecture Framework	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2013-02-14	Planned:	28.740
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2013-02-14	Projected:	28.740
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Complete full draft of Department of Defense Architecture Frames	work (DODAF) architecture	Define system f	inctionality and	l interface require	ments prepare pre	eliminary and

Complete full draft of Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DODAF) architecture. Define system functionality and interface requirements, prepare preliminary and detail designs including emphasis on form factor, assess existing system maturity, perform test and evaluation activities, examine deployment sustainaility, sutiability, and survivaility plans for FY2014 deployment.

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Customers are Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (USCENTCOM) and United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM).

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Primary stakeholders include Army, Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Department of Homeland Security (DHS), National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC), Department of State (DOS), United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) and other DoD and Federal agencies as required.

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

#### RDTE:

FY2013 Core funding supports the planning, development and preparation for a Milestone B decision in FY2013. Funds will also support development activities under an Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) contract for JPIv2 program of record. EMD efforts include: defining system of systems functionality and interface requirements; complete preliminary design to include both hardware and software; define and develop system maturity, reliability and technical performance measures; develop operational deployment sustainability, suitability and survivability plans; and conduct technical reviews consistent with required system capability. Additionally, funding will support government civilian labor and operations to include travel, training, supplies, infrastructure, and facility costs. Funds will also support Test and Evaluation (T&E) activities under an EMD contract for JPIv2 program of record. EMD T&E efforts include: development of test plans against system requirements; conducting preliminary testing of systems functionality; production of test reports to inform developmental activities; and providing T&E support to scheduled technical reviews.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

#### RDTE:

Joint Personnel Identification Version 2 (JPIv2) will provide an Army tactical biometric collection capability to capture an adversary or neutral person's biometric data and enroll them into the Department of Defense (DoD) enterprise authoritative biometric database to positively identify and verify the identity of actual or potential adversaries.

JPIv2 development will be informed by prototype collection capabilities. U.S. forces are currently operating unilaterally or in combination with joint, multinational, and interagency partners, to identify unknown individuals and verify the identity of person(s) across the full spectrum of military operations. Capabilities proposed for JPIv2 will be configurable for multiple operational mission environments. JPIv2 planned development employs integrated software and sensors to capture multimodal information in an interoperable system facilitating the use of biometrics. JPIv2 captures an individual's identity utilizing the person's unique physiological, and/or behavioral features and linking this identity to the individual's past activities, earlier encounters, and previously used identities. The operating environment includes anywhere military forces may operate. The JPIv2 will interoperate with a variety of other biometric collection, database, and information systems and adhere to applicable technical standards.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6189	Acronym	JPALS		
Name of Investment	JOINT PRECI	SION APPROA	ACH AND LANDING SYSTI	EM	
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE NA	AVY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	FORCE APPL	ICATION		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

JPALS will provide a rapidly deployable, adverse weather, adverse terrain, day-night, survivable, and mobile Precision Approach and Landing Capability that supports the principles of forward presence, crisis response and mobility. JPALS will enable U.S. forces to safely land aircraft on any suitable surface world-wide (land and sea), with ceiling and/or visibility the limiting factor. The capability will support interoperability among the Department of Defense (DoD) in support of joint operations, training and logistics and provide interoperability with the domestic and international air traffic control/airspace system. JPALS is intended to be interoperable with military forces of allied nations and to replace existing landing systems such as Instrument Landing Systems (ILS), Microwave landing Systems (MLS), Precision Approach Radar (PAR), and Automatic Carrier Landing Systems (ACLS). These systems are currently facing sustainment issues and are not interoperable with each other and require different avionics on the aircraft. JPALS will allow DoD to have one standard landing system, which will reduce overall operational costs. An Analysis of Alternatives (AoA) was initially conducted from July 1996 to August 1997. Local Area Differential GPS (LDGPS) was identified as the most promising technology alternative that satisfied the requirements of the Operational Requirements Document (ORD). In April 2004, the J-8 staff determined that the JPALS Mission Need Statement required replacement by an Initial Capabilities Document (ICD). The ICD was validated and signed by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council on 19 September 05. The AoA was updated in April 2005. The conclusions reached were used to start the High Performance Team process to generate a Capabilities Development Document (CDD). The CDD was used to produce the final version of the performance specification. When the CDD was signed in March 2007, the Navy became Lead Service for the program. The JPALS Development contract was competitively awarded to Rayth

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	172,196	160,166	195,344	174,411
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0603860F 04-Precision Landing Systems	12,452	19,879	57,975	19,578
RDT&E, Army		,		
0604201A 05-ACFT AVIONICS	14,106	22,032	0	0
RDT&E, Navy		,		
0603860N 04- JPALS	118,818	72,537	78,364	37,012
0603860N 04- JPALS 1B	26,820	45,718	59,005	117,821
RDT&E Total	172,196	160,166	195,344	174,411

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	182.214	241.676	
FY 2013 President's Budget	160.166	195.344	35.18
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-46.332	
•	-		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Navy, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E): Decrease of \$10.8M is due to a change in priorities within OPNAV N88, the JPALS Increment 1B aircraft integration effort has been undergoing a lead platform replan since October. This reduction is associated with OPNAV's change in priorities and has been factored into the program replan. As such, no impact is anticipated due to this reduction.

Army, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E): Decrease of \$41.3M as Army defunds JPALS.

Air Force, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E): Increase of \$5.8M reflects funding for the C-130J aircraft integration effort. The C-130J is the lead Air Force aircraft for Inc 2 Land Base JPALS

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Navy, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E): Increase of \$19.1M is due to JPALS 1B program ramping up with F/A-18 and MH-60 Integration. This was the original plan but due to a change in priorities within OPNAV N88, the JPALS Increment 1B aircraft integration effort has been undergoing a lead platform replan since October.

Army, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E): Decrease of \$22M due to the Army defunding JPALS.

Air Force, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E): Increase of \$38M due to ramp up activities to support a Milestone B decision and select and award a contract.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

JPALS Increment 1A accomplishments in the prior year:

- Navy Gate 6 Review: 29 Aug 2011
- Successful Landing Helicopter Dock (LHD) 1 USS Wasp Sensor Data Collection completed 22 July

JPALS Increment 1A Program Highlights:

- Development proceeding successfully and near baseline schedule for completion
- Software integration complete
- Algorithm validation complete
- Hardware technical/configuration design baseline established
- EDM2 Final Cabinet Integration complete delivery to Naval Air (NAVAIR) in October.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- JPALS Increment 1A Technical Readiness Review (TRR) completed.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- JPALS Increment 1A Capability Production Document (CPD) completed.
- JPALS Increment 1A Production Readiness Review (PRR) completed.
- JPALS Increment 1A Milestone C completed.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

BY+1: Operational Testing of JPALS system. Test events include Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Ship Integration and flight test.

# **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### Component

Department of the Navy

# Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

CAPT Darrell D. Lack

# **Contract Information**

Name: Raytheon Company City/State: Fullerton, CA

Contracts - Continued

**Supported** JPALS Development Contract

**Function:** 

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Joint Precision Approach and Landing System (JPALS) Increment 1A

Planned Start Date: 2008-09-15 **Planned Completion Date:** 2014-06-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1,274.290 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Joint Precision Approach and Landing System (JPALS) program is a Joint Program with Tri-Service partners for acquisition of JPALS including the Navy Program Executive Office, Tactical (PEO(T))/Program Manager, Air (PMA213), Patuxent River, MD, Air Force (653rd Electronic Systems Wing (653 ELSW)), Hanscom Air Force Base (AFB), MA), and Army (PEO Aviation, Redstone Arsenal, AL). JPALS is a Global Positioning System (GPS)-based precision approach and landing system that will replace several aging and obsolete aircraft landing systems with a family of systems that is more affordable and will function in more operational environments, and support all Department of Defense (DoD) Land and Sea Based applications. The National Defense Strategy of the United States of America calls for highly mobile forces that can rapidly respond to crises worldwide. Success in meeting this challenge requires the ability to land aviation assets virtually anywhere, at any time. JPALS will provide this capability by being rapidly deployable, survivable and interoperable among the U.S. Services and with U.S. allies, as well as with civil aircraft and landing facilities. JPALS will eventually support unmanned and highly automated aircraft, and will be able to operate during restricted Emission Control (EMCON) conditions.

The approved JPALS Acquisition Strategy has acquisition broken into seven increments, based on technology maturity and Service needs. Increment 1 Sea Based JPALS is separated into two phases; Increment 1A ship based systems and Increment 1B aircraft integration. Navy is the lead for Increments 1A and 1B only.

Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
JPALS Development Contract	Planned:	2008-09-15	Planned:	2014-06-30	Planned:	1274.290
	Projected:	2008-09-15	Projected:	2014-06-30	Projected:	1274.290
Description	Actual:	2008-09-15	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
The current contract quantity of 13 consists of eight Engineering Development Mod	del (EDM) in	nits and five non-e	nd item repres	sentative Avionics T	est Kits (AVT	Ks)

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

All aviation ships.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

The Department of Defense (DOD), the Department of the Navy (DON), the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO), Assisstant Secretary of the Navy, Research Development and Acquisition (ASN(RDA)), the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD).

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN): (\$137.3M), Joint Precision Approach and Landing System (JPALS) Increment 1A provides for development, integration, installation, and test of Sea-Based JPALS on all air capable ships, in accordance with the JPALS Capability Development Document (CDD). This effort includes the build and test of Ship Global Positioning System/Inertial Navigation System based precision approach and landing systems to replace obsolete AN/SPN-46 and Army/Navy/Shipboard Radar Navigation (AN/SPN)-35 Systems. This requirement supports the JPALS Integration on Aircraft Carrier, Fixed Wing, Nuclear (CVN)/Landing Helicopter, Amphibious (LHA)/Landing Helicopter Deck (LHD)-class ships, Destroyer Group (DDG)-1000 class ships (Tactical Air Communication and Navigation (TACAN) Replacement)), establishes requirements for air integration, and provides critical enabling technology for Joint Strike Fighter, Unmanned Carrier-Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike (UCLASS) and FIRESCOUT Unmanned Air System (UAS). Includes risk reduction efforts and trade studies for other air capable ships. JPALS Engineering Development Model (EDM) test articles will be delivered to support system development and demonstration, as follows:

FY13 Plans: Attain Milestone C and award Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) contract. Three LRIPs planned - CVN-69, LHD-1, and Government Ship Integration Lab (SIL).

Research Development Test and Evaluation, Army (RDTEN): (\$0M) no FY13 activities planned.

Research Development Test and Evaluation, Air Force (RDTEN): (\$58M) in FY13 Plan to complete source selection, obtain Milestone B approval and award a contract.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Research Development Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN): (\$606.7M across FYDP) Plans are FY14: \$154.8M for Initial Operational Capability (IOC), FY15; \$129.8M for Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOT&E), FY16: \$159.6M for Full Rate Production Decision, Full Rate Production Decision Contract Award, and FY17: \$162.4M for Production Installs.

Research Development Test and Evaluation, Army (RDTEN): (\$0) no activities planned across the FYDP.

Research Development Test and Evaluation, Air Force (RDTEN): (\$308.8M across FYDP) Plans are in FY14 Conduct System Requirements Review/System Functional Review, complete Technology Readiness Assessment, complete Preliminary Design Review, FY15; Complete Critical Design Review, FY16; Build Engineering Development Models, conduct contractor and developmental testing and deliver final Aircraft Integration Requirements Specification to the integrating platforms, FY17; Complete developmental testing, obtain Milestone C approval and exercise the LRIP option.

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	6524	Acronym	JTRS AMF		
Name of Investment	JOINT TACTION	CAL RADIO S	SYSTEM (JTRS) - AIRBORN	E AND MARITIME/FIXE	ED STATION (AMF)
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE NA	VY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	NONE
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	SS	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Airborne and Maritime/Fixed Station (AMF) Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) is an approved materiel program, and part of the DoD strategy for fielding software reprogrammable network capable radios to meet present and future communications and navigation requirements. AMF JTRS will develop, procure, and support integration and installation of an advanced communications system to meet the requirements of the JTRS Operational Requirements Document (ORD) v3.2, dated 9 April 2003, and amended by ORD v3.2.1, approved by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council Memorandum (JROCM), dated 28 August 2006. AMF JTRS will meet both near-term RF communications needs and objective network-enabled operations. The overall objective of the AMF JTRS program is to provide an integrated, modular communications capability for all Service's airborne, maritime, and fixed station tactical radio requirements. The Joint Program Executive Office (JPEO) JTRS will manage the AMF program through Full Rate Production. Individual airborne, maritime, and fixed station platform requirements will define the capabilities installed in AMF JTRS Small Airborne (SA) and Maritime/Fixed Station (M/F) sets, and their respective levels and complexities. AMF JTRS equipment will be employed in fixed wing, rotary wing, and unmanned airborne platforms, surface and subsurface ship platforms, and fixed land stations in order to provide the warfighter with a modern, secure, dynamically reconfigurable communications capability which will increase battlefield mission effectiveness, automate information and system management, and substantially improve information interoperability across the forces. AMF JTRS will transform and modernize airborne, maritime, and field communications with improved networked data and voice capabilities and enable network-centric operations. AMF JTRS will provide a flexible, reconfigurable, and highly maintainable radio frequency communications capability via modular systems built upon an open systems architecture.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	363,489	396,944	134,773	96,586
MILPERS		·		
Mil Pers, Navy				
0701113N 06-N/A	354	354	354	354
MILPERS Total	354	354	354	354
Operations				
O&M, Navy				
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	0	2,191	471
0701113N 04-Servicewide Communications	2,270	2,166	0	0
Operations Total	2,270	2,166	2,191	471
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0310700A 02-JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	0	900	74,041	76,294
Procurement Total	0	900	74,041	76,294
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0207423F 07-C2ISR JTRS Integration	52,455	43,964	0	0
RDT&E, Army	ŕ	,		
0604280A 05-NETWORK ENTERPRISE DOMAIN (NED)	0	0	0	19,467
RDT&E, Navy				
0604280N 05- AMF JTRS	308,410	349,560	58,187	0
RDT&E Total	360,865	393,524	58,187	19,467

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	565.981	371.966	
FY 2013 President's Budget	396.944	134.773	-262.17
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-237.193	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

RDT&E: The \$137.897 million reduction in FY 2013 RDT&E funds between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 President's Budget positions is the result of Navy and Air Force funding reductions due to the deferral of the Maritime/Fixed Station (M/F) radio form factor.

Procurement: The \$99.628 million reduction in FY2013 procurement funds between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 President's Budget positions is the result of Navy and Air Force zeroing procurement funding and Army partially reducing procurement funds due to the deferral of the Maritime/Fixed Station (M/F) radio form factor.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

RDT&E: (net -\$335.337 million) The actual \$291.373 million decrease in AMF RDT&E funding from FY 2012 to FY 2013 is due in part to the orderly ramp-down of AMF System Development and Demonstration efforts, as well as funding reductions by the Navy and the Air Force. The additional apparent \$43.964M decrease in RDT&E,AF is due to an administrative error (FY 2012 amount is not AMF program funds).

Procurement: The \$73.141 million increase in AMF Procurement funding from FY 2012 to FY 2013 reflects the start of production associated with the award of the Phase 1 Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) contract in FY 2013 (FY 2012 amount is zero).

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Continued Engineering Development Model (EDM) hardware and non-waveform software build 2.1 & 2.3 development and integration.

Conducted initial hardware and software demonstration with the AMF JTR Set-SA for Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW).

Delivered AMF JTR Set-SA EDMs with initial Link 16 capability to the Army and initial WNW/Link 16 capability to the Air Force. A total of 15 EDMs were delivered. Continued platform integration development for AMF test program.

Continued Acquisition documentation for Milestone C.

Continued NSA information assurance activities and verification of design.

Continued development engineering and management support for associated JTR system components.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Restructure AMF program due to schedule delays, technical challenges, increased costs, and changing Service priorities.

Close out existing prime contract.

Conduct market research to support non-developmental item (NDI) acquisition planning.

Modify material solutions for incremental acquisition strategy - focused on using NDI to meet user needs.

Re-phase delivery of waveform capabilities to align with Army battlefield network implementation and maturity of NDI products.

Develop RFP and award contract for NDI solution to meet requirements for Apache Block 3, Lot 4.

Acquire initial Phase 1 (Link 16/SRW) integration assets for Apache Block 3, Lot 4.

Sponsor NDI vendors for Network Integration Evaluation (NIE) and waveform certification efforts. Support

legacy radio certification of networking capabilities.

Develop RFI/RFP for Phase 2 NDI solutions for Soldier Radio Waveform (SRW)/Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW) in Army Aviation platforms (Apache, Blackhawk & Chinook).

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Support Developmental Test (DT)/Operational Test & Evaluation (OT&E) flight tests of Phase 1 radios with Apache Lot 4.

Complete all Government developmental/validation testing conducted on Phase 1 Engineering Development Model (EDM) articles.

Conduct Phase 1 Milestone C review and award the Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) contract option for Apache Block 3, Lot 4; begin Government developmental testing on LRIP articles.

Award contract(s) for Phase 2 NDI solutions for SRW/WNW in Army Aviation platforms (Apache, Blackhawk & Chinook).

Conduct waveform confidence testing for SRW/WNW-Antijam (AJ).

Sponsor NDI vendors for NIE and waveform certification efforts.

Support legacy radio certification of networking capabilities.

Develop RFI and RFP for Phase 3 NDI solutions for MUOS in user platforms.

#### Procurement:

Procure 110 AMF Small Airborne (SA) radios for Army rotary wing platforms.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Conduct Initial Operational Test & Evaluation (IOT&E) on Phase 1 (Link-16/SRW) LRIP radios in support of Full Rate Production (FRP) decision and Initial Operating Capability (IOC).

Conduct Limited User Test (LUT), down select, and aircraft integration of Phase 2 (SRW/WNW) radios.

Complete integration and LUT on Phase 3 (MUOS) radios.

Procure 178 JTR-SA radios.

## **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

DoD CIO

**Component** 

Department of the Navy

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Carol Kim (Acting)

AMF JTRS Program Office

## **Contract Information**

Name: Lockheed Martin Corp.

City/State: Manassas, VA

**Supported** Prime system integrator for four (4) major subcontractors to develop software and hardware for JTRS-AMF.

Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: System Development Demonstra
--

Planned Start Date: 2008-03-28 Planned Completion Date: 2013-11-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1,595.233 (dollars in millions)

Description: Contract awarded to Lockheed Martin Corp 28 March 2008. Vendor will design and develop two working form factors with demonstrated capability

to run five waveforms in an NSA certified environment.

Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
CDR through Initial Government Engineering Development Model Delivery	Planned:	2009-12-04	Planned:	2011-06-13	Planned:	488.862
(IGED)	Projected:	2009-12-04	Projected:	2011-06-13	Projected:	488.862
Description	Actual:	2009-12-04	Actual:	2011-06-13	Actual:	488.862
Activity covers the period between completion of CDR through successful delivery	, by prime co	ntractor of the Init	ial Governme	nt Engineering De	velopment Mode	4 (IGED)

Activity covers the period between completion of CDR through successful delivery by prime contractor of the Initial Government Engineering Development Model (IGED).

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Initial Government Engineering Development (IGED) Model Delivery	Planned: 2011-06-14	4 Planned: 2013-11-30	Planned: 682.685
through Milestone C (MS C)/Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP)	Projected: 2011-06-14	4 Projected: 2013-11-30	Projected: 453.170
Description	Actual: 2011-06-14	4 Actual:	Actual: 453.170

Activity covers the period beginning with the delivery by Prime Contractor of the Initial Government Engineering Development Model (IGED) and ending with successful completion of MS C and entrance Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP).

**Milestones - Continued** 

Project Name: Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP)

Planned Start Date: 2013-09-01 Planned Completion Date: 2015-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1,235.480 (dollars in millions)

Description: Initial, small quantity phase of production that provides assets for completion of operational testing and provides for orderly ramp-up of production

capability.

**Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs** Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) 2013-09-01 2015-09-30 Planned: Planned: Planned: 1235.480 Projected: 2013-09-01 Projected: 2015-09-30 Projected: 616.132 Description Actual: Actual: 616.132 Actual:

Initial, small quantity phase of production that provides assets for completion of operational testing and provides for orderly ramp-up of production capability.

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

This investment is driven by military requirements approved and validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council. These requirements are established to meet critical warfighting capability targets in the DoD's transformational way forward. The Services will be responsible for procuring JTR sets and for integrating them into various existing and future platforms. Ultimately, the customer is the tactical joint warfighter, who will benefit from the force multiplier capability enabled by the mobile, ad hoc JTRS network.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Stakeholders within the DoD include USD (AT&L); Vice Chairman, JCS; USD (Comptroller); DoD CIO; Director (CAPE); Director (OT&E); Service Secretaries; Commander, JFCOM; MILDEP 3-Star Programmers), JCS J6 and J8; USD (I), USD (P&R); Director, NSA; MILDEP Comptrollers; and SOCOM Acquisition Executive), and the various procurement and platform integration PEOs within the Services. Outside stakeholders include the US Congress and our allied/coalition partners, who will benefit from the interoperability JTRS will provide.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN): (\$2.6M), Military Personnel, Navy (MPN): (\$.354M) and

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN): (\$58.1M)

The RDTEN phase of the Airborne and Maritime/Fixed Station (AMF) program completes in FY15. AMF's main efforts in FY14-FY17 focus on identifying, testing, selecting, and procuring NDI radios in incremental phases that provide additional capabilities.

Phase 1 will provide Link-16/SRW capable radios for Apache.

Phase 2 will provide SRW/WNW capable radios. Phase 3 will provide Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) capable radios. Activities that lead up to Full Rate Production (FRP) decisions include Initial

Operational Test & Evaluation (IOT&E), platform integration, Limited User Tests (LUT), flight tests. AMF will complete developmental test efforts including: Delta System Verification Review (DSVR) on Software Build 4.0 (Soldier Radio Waveform (SRW) and Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW)), Early Operational Test on Software Build 5.0 (Mobile User Objective Systems), Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E) of the C-130 with Software Build 2.3 (Very High Frequency/Ultra High Frequency Line of Sight (VU/LOS)), and DSVR of Software Build 5.0 (MUOS).

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN): (\$8.9M) and Military Personnel, Navy (MPN): (\$1.4M)

The RDTEN phase of the Airborne and Maritime/Fixed Station (AMF) program completes in FY15. AMF's main efforts in FY14-FY17 focus on identifying, testing, selecting, and procuring NDI radios in incremental phases that provide additional capabilities.

Phase 1 will provide Link-16/SRW capable radios for Apache.

Phase 2 will provide SRW/WNW capable radios.

Phase 3 will provide Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) capable radios. Activities that lead up to Full Rate Production (FRP) decisions include Initial Operational Test & Evaluation (IOT&E), platform integration, Limited User Tests (LUT), flight tests. AMF will complete developmental test efforts including: Delta System Verification Review (DSVR) on Software Build 4.0 (Soldier Radio Waveform (SRW) and Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW)), Early Operational Test on Software Build 5.0 (Mobile User Objective Systems), Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E) of the C-130 with Software Build 2.3 (Very High Frequency/Ultra High Frequency Line of Sight (VU/LOS)), and DSVR of Software Build 5.0 (MUOS).

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0342	Acronym	JTRS HMS		
Name of Investment	JOINT TACTI	CAL RADIO S	SYSTEM (JTRS) - HANDHE	LD, MANPACK, AND SM	MALL FORM FIT RADIOS (HMS)
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE NA	AVY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	S	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) is the Department of Defense (DoD) family of common software-defined programmable radios that form the foundation of a seamless information network supporting Joint Vision 2020 objectives. JTRS, a key enabler of tactical military communications, will provide critical transformational communications capabilities across the spectrum of operations in a Joint environment. The JTRS Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit (HMS) program complies with the information technology standards contained in the DoD IT Standards Registry (DISR). Those standards embrace commercial open architectures and modular designs to deliver multiple communications means and network functions from a single platform. JTRS HMS provides military commanders with the flexibility to command, control and communicate with their forces via voice, video, and data media forms, during all aspects of military operations. JTRS HMS will operate in existing manned and/or unmanned/unattended vehicles, ships, and aircraft, as well as embedded into planned future systems in conformance with applicable requirements and across Service boundaries. JTRS HMS radios will be compliant with the JTRS Software Communications Architecture. JTRS HMS will provide graduated levels of capabilities to fit the users' needs. The Small Form Fit (SFF) radios will be embedded within Multi-Service platforms. Increment 1 of the JTRS HMS program consists of the following form factors: AN/PRC-154 Rifleman Radio, AN/PRC-155 Manpack and SFF embedded sets in both 1 and 2 channel configurations. JTRS HMS planned accomplishments for FY13 include completion of Increment 1, Phase 2 Full Rate Production (FRP). Key events planned for the 2 Channel Manpack for FY13 are Increment 1, Phase 2
Contractor Development Test (CDT) with Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) Applique'; Increment 1, Phase 2 National Security Agency (NSA) Certification; Increment 1 Development Test (GDT) Part 2; Increment 1, Phase 2 Multi-service Operational Test and Evaluation (MOTE) w

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	290,073	581,074	658,260	579,026
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0207423F 02-N/A	0	76	78	81
MILPERS Total	0	76	78	81
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0207423F 01-Primary Combat Forces	0	178	184	188
Operations Total	0	178	184	188
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0207423F 03-TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	170,673	38,567	56,229	68,756
Other Proc, Army				
0310700A 02-AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	51,282	426,199	482,209	475,518
Other Proc, Navy				
0204163N 02-COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M	0	0	3,300	735
Procurement Total	221,955	464,766	541,738	545,009
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0604280F 05-Joint Tactical Radio System(JTRS)	0	0	230	2,779
RDT&E, Army				
0604280A 05-NETWORK ENTERPRISE DOMAIN (NED)	755	0	0	28,217
RDT&E, Navy				
0604280N 05- HMS JTRS	67,363	116,054	116,030	2,752
RDT&E Total	68,118	116,054	116,260	33,748

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	633.864	496.539	
FY 2013 President's Budget	581.074	658.260	77.19
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		161.721	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

FY 2013 RDT&E funds increased \$103.578M and OPN decreased \$-0.473M between PB-12 and PB-13 to mitigate the impact of Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) program schedule delays on the HMS Manpack development and to incorporate OSD-directed changes in the Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) implementation to the SHA-256 requirement.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

RDT&E funds decreased \$-0.024M and OPN increased \$3.300M from FY 2012 to FY 2013.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

Conducted Increment 1, Phase 2 Contractor Development Test(CDT)

Conducted AN/PRC-154 Increment 1, Phase 1 Government Development Test(GDT) Part 2

Conducted Two Channel Manpack Increment 1, Phase 2 Government Development Test(GDT) Part 1

Conducted Two Channel Manpack Increment 1, Phase 2 Field Experiment(FE) Part 1

Conducted AN/PRC-154 Increment 1, Phase 1 Field Experiment(FE) Part 2

Conducted Two Channel Manpack Increment 1, Phase 2 Limited User Test(LUT)

Conducted Max Power(MP) Customer Test/Network Excursion

Conducted AN/PRC-154 Verification of Correction of Deficiencies(VCD)

Conducted Security Verification Test(SVT) for AN/PRC-154

Delivery of MUOS HPA Pre-Engineering Development Models(EDMs)

Conducted AN/PRC-154 Increment 1, Phase 1 National Security Agency(NSA) Certification

Conducted Increment 1, Phase 1 AN/PRC-154 with Low Rate Initial Production(LRIP) Phase 1 & Incr. 1, Phase 2 Two Channel Manpack with Low Rate Initial

Production(LRIP) Phase 2 Milestone C award

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Increment 1, Phase 2 National Security Agency (NSA) Certification for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Contractor Development Test (CDT) for Two Channel Manpack with MUOS Applique'

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Full Rate Production (FRP) In-process Review for Two Channel Manpack

Delivery of MUOS Applique' Engineering Development Models (EDMs)

Delivery of AN/PRC-154 Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) units

Delivery of Two Channel Manpack Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) units

Begin Full Rate Production (FRP) for AN/PRC-154

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Field Experiment (FE) Part 2 for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Government Development Test (GDT) Part 2 for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Multi-service Operational Test and Evaluation (MOTE) for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Government Development Test 2.2 and 2.3 (GDT) for AN/PRC-154

Conduct initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOTE) for AN/PRC-154

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Contractor Demonstration Test (CDT) for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Initial Operation Capability (IOC) for AN/PRC-154

Conduct Cold and Hot Climate Testing for AN/PRC-154

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Hot Climate Testing for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Delta Multi-service Operational Test and Evaluation (MOTE) with WIN-T IOTE for Two Channel Manpack

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Continue to procure Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) radios with procurement funding to ramp up production line

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Government Development Test (GDT) for Two Channel Manpack with MUOS Applique'

Increment 1, Phase 2 National Security Agency (NSA) Certification for Two Channel Manpack w/ MUOS

Delivery of Two Channel Manpack Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) units

Delivery of AN/PRC-154 Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) units

Begin Full Rate Production (FRP) for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Initial Operation Capability (IOC) for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Government Development Test (GDT) Regression w/MUOS for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Follow-On Operational Test and Evaluation (FOTE) w/MUOS for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Contractor Demonstration Test (CDT) for Two Channel Manpack

Procure Production radios with procurement funds

Conduct Increment 1, Phase 2 Cold Climate Testing for Two Channel Manpack

Conduct Government Development Test (GDT) Regression with MUOS for Two Channel Manpack

# FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Procure 20,787 Production radios with procurement funds

## **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

DoD CIO

**Component** 

Department of the Navy

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

COL John Zavarelli, PM JTRS HMS

JPEO JTRS HMS

### **Contract Information**

Name: General Dynamics C4 Systems

City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

**Supported** Prime Contractor: System Development and Demonstration Support

Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name:	<b>System Development and Demonstration</b>	- HMS Development and Integration.
· ·	•	1 0

Planned Start Date: 2004-07-16 Planned Completion Date: 2015-12-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1,211.853 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The contracting activity development completion extended to the end of 2015 to allow for integration of final planned waveform (MUOS).

Multi-Service Operational Test and Evaluation will occur in FY12.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
System Development and Demonstration - FY04	Planned: 2004-07-16	Planned: 2004-09-30	Planned: 22.300
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 22.300

This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY04.

Activity Name	<b>Start Date</b>	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
System Development and Demonstration - FY05	Planned: 2004-10-01	Planned: 2005-09-30	Planned: 71.477
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 71.477
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FV05			

Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	letion Date	Total	Costs
System Development and Demonstration - FY06	Planned:	2005-10-01	Planned:	2006-09-30	Planned:	122.254
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	122.254
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY06.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	letion Date	Total	Costs
System Development and Demonstration - FY07	Planned:	2006-10-01	Planned:	2007-09-30	Planned:	132.884
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	132.884
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY07.						
Activity Name	Start Date		Compl	letion Date	Total	Costs
System Development and Demonstration - FY08	Planned:	2007-10-01	Planned:	2008-09-30	Planned:	150.586
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	150.586
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY08.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
System Development and Demonstration - FY09	Planned:	2008-10-01	Planned:	2009-09-30	Planned:	127.052
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	127.052
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY09.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
System Development and Demonstration - FY10	Planned:	2009-10-01	Planned:	2010-09-30	Planned:	153.000
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	153.000
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY10.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comp	letion Date	Total	Costs
System Development and Demonstration - FY11	Planned:	2010-10-01	Planned:	2011-09-30	Planned:	69.300
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	64.134
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY11.						

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		Total	Costs
System Development and Demonstration - FY12	Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01	Planned: Projected:	2012-09-30	Planned: Projected:	178.800 0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	19.661
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY12.						
Activity Name	Start Date		Compl	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
System Development and Demonstration - FY13	Planned:	2012-10-01	Planned:	2013-09-30	Planned:	86.800
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY13.						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
System Development and Demonstration - FY14	Planned:	2013-10-01	Planned:	2014-09-30	Planned:	62.500
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY14.						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		Total	Costs
System Development and Demonstration - FY15	Planned:	2014-10-01	Planned:	2015-09-30	Planned:	26.900
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY15.						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
System Development and Demonstration - FY16	Planned:	2015-10-01	Planned:	2015-12-31	Planned:	8.000
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This activity focuses on the system development and demonstration in FY16.						
Project Name: Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) - LRIP will begin in	FY11 and co	ntinue through	h FY14.			
Planned Start Date: 2011-07-29 Planned Completion Date: 201	12-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	503.471	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> HMS radios are divided into 2 phases with two 1-year LRIP produce 4,782 radios.			•		lios and Phase 2	LRIP will
Activity Name	Start Date		Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
LRIP Option Year One - FY11	Planned:	2011-07-29	Planned:	2011-09-30	Planned:	80.832
	Projected:	2011-07-29	Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	40.287
The first LRIP Option year will produce 6,250 radios for Phase 1 and 100 radios f	for Dhaga 2					

ilestones - Continued								
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total			
LRIP Option Year Two - FY12	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	422.639		
T	-	2011-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000		
Description The control LDID Oction and Theory and A 6222 and Top Co. Phys. 1 and A 622	Actual:	2	Actual:		Actual:	12.053		
The second LRIP Option year will produce 8.342 radios for Phase 1 and 4,682								
oject Name: Operations and Support (O&S) during the production		_						
Planned Start Date: 2011-12-15 Planned Completion Date: 2	2025-09-30	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	6,462.761	(dollars in	millions)		
<b>Description:</b> To support those radios that have been in the field.								
Activity Name		t Date	_	etion Date	Total			
Operations and Support - FY12	Planned:	2011-12-15	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	6.837		
		2011-12-15	Projected:		Projected:	0.000		
Description	Actual:	:10	Actual:		Actual:	0.000		
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have already b								
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total Costs			
Operations and Support - FY13	Planned:	2011-12-15	Planned:	2013-09-30	Planned:	67.947		
		2011-12-15	Projected:		Projected:	0.000		
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000		
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have already b				_				
Activity Name		t Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		-			
Operations and Support - FY14	Planned:	2013-10-01	Planned:	2014-09-30	Planned:	100.678		
<b>5</b>	•	2013-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000		
Description This activity will associate and in a street to the constitute that have already by	Actual:	1.4	Actual:		Actual:	0.000		
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have already b			<i>a</i> ,		<b>75</b> . 1	<b>a</b>		
Activity Name		t Date	-	etion Date	Total			
Operations and Support - FY15	Planned:	2014-10-01	Planned:	2015-09-30	Planned:	203.052		
Description	Actual:	2014-10-01	Projected: Actual:		Projected: Actual:	0.000 $0.000$		
<b>Description</b> This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have already be		15	Actual.		Actual.	0.000		
Activity Name		t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs		
Operations and Support - FY 16	Planned:	2015-10-01	Planned:	2016-09-30	Planned:	258.458		
Operations and Support - 1 1 10		2015-10-01	Projected:	2010-07-30	Projected:	0.000		
Description	Actual:	2013-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000		
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have already b			Actual.		Actual.	0.000		

ilestones - Continued				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY17	Planned: 2016-10-01	Planned: 2017-09-30	Planned: 316.906	
	Projected: 2016-10-01	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have	e already been fielded in FY17.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY18	Planned: 2017-10-01	Planned: 2018-09-30	Planned: 404.818	
	Projected: 2017-10-01	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have	e already been fielded in FY18.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY19	Planned: 2018-10-01	Planned: 2019-09-30	Planned: 505.686	
	Projected: 2018-10-01	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have	e already been fielded in FY19.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY20	Planned: 2019-10-01	Planned: 2020-09-30	Planned: 602.390	
	Projected: 2019-10-01	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have	e already been fielded in FY20.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY21	Planned: 2020-10-01	Planned: 2021-09-30	Planned: 676.408	
	Projected: 2020-10-01	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have	e already been fielded in FY21.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY22	Planned: 2021-10-01	Planned: 2022-09-30	Planned: 741.935	
	Projected: 2022-10-01	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

ilestones - Continued							
Activity Name	Start	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY23	Planned:	2023-10-01	Planned:	2023-09-30	Planned:	795.853	
	Projected:	2023-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have already	ady been fielded in FY2	23.					
Activity Name	Start	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY25	Planned:	2024-10-01	Planned:	2025-09-30	Planned:	902.914	
	Projected:	2024-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have already	ady been fielded in FY2	25.					
Activity Name		Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - FY24	Planned:	2024-10-01	Planned:	2024-09-30	Planned:	878.879	
		2024-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have already	ady been fielded in FY	24.					
This activity will provide continuos support to those radios that have alreation oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY	-						
	Y13 and continue th		Cycle Cost:	7,495.005	(dollars in	millions	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY	<b>Y13 and continue th</b> <b>e:</b> 2025-09-30	rough FY25. Planned Live	•			millions	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date	<b>Y13 and continue th</b> <b>e:</b> 2025-09-30 pected to total 181,76	rough FY25. Planned Live	ase 1 and 69,2				
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp	Y13 and continue the: 2025-09-30 pected to total 181,76 Start Planned:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31	ase 1 and 69,2 Comple Planned:	232 radios for Pl	nase 2  Total  Planned:	Costs 530.472	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13	Y13 and continue the: 2025-09-30 pected to total 181,76 Start Planned:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date	ase 1 and 69,7 Comple	232 radios for Pletion Date	nase 2 Total	Costs 530.472 0.000	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description	e: 2025-09-30 pected to total 181,76 Start Planned: Projected: Actual:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31	ase 1 and 69,2 Comple Planned:	232 radios for Pletion Date	nase 2  Total  Planned:		
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13	e: 2025-09-30 pected to total 181,76 Start Planned: Projected: Actual:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31	ase 1 and 69,3  Comple  Planned:  Projected:	232 radios for Pletion Date	Planned: Projected:	Costs 530.472 0.000	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description This activity will produce 12,258 radios for Phase 1 and 5,399 radios for Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Start  Start  Start  Start  Start	Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31 2013-07-31	ase 1 and 69,3  Comple  Planned:  Projected:  Actual:  Comple	232 radios for Pletion Date 2013-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs 530.472 0.000 0.000 Costs	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description This activity will produce 12,258 radios for Phase 1 and 5,399 radios for Phase 1.	Planned: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31 2013-07-31	Planned: Actual:  Comple  Comple	232 radios for Pletion Date 2013-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned:	Costs 530.472 0.000 0.000 Costs 566.635	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description This activity will produce 12,258 radios for Phase 1 and 5,399 radios for Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY14	Planned: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected:	Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31 2013-07-31	Planned: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:  Planned: Projected:	232 radios for Pletion Date 2013-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned: Projected:	Costs 530.472 0.000 0.000  Costs 566.635 0.000	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description This activity will produce 12,258 radios for Phase 1 and 5,399 radios for Patential Rate Production - FY14  Description	Planned: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31 2013-07-31	Planned: Actual:  Comple  Comple	232 radios for Pletion Date 2013-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned:	Costs 530.472 0.000 0.000  Costs 566.635 0.000	
oject Name: Full Rate Production (FRP) - FRP will begin in FY Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description This activity will produce 12,258 radios for Phase 1 and 5,399 radios for Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY14	Planned: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31 2013-07-31	Planned: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:  Planned: Projected:	232 radios for Pletion Date 2013-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned: Projected:	Costs 530.472 0.000 0.000  Costs 566.635 0.000	
Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description This activity will produce 12,258 radios for Phase 1 and 5,399 radios for Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY14  Description This activity will produce 13.021 radios for Phase 1 and 5,869 radios for Activity Name	Planned: Planned: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31 2013-07-31  Date 2013-10-01 2013-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	232 radios for Pletion Date 2013-09-30 etion Date 2014-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned: Projected:	Costs 530.472 0.000 0.000  Costs 566.635 0.000 0.000	
Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description This activity will produce 12,258 radios for Phase 1 and 5,399 radios for Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY14  Description This activity will produce 13.021 radios for Phase 1 and 5,869 radios for Phase 1 an	Planned: Planned: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31 2013-07-31	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Actual:  Comple Planned:	232 radios for Pletion Date 2013-09-30 etion Date 2014-09-30	Planned: Actual:  Total  Planned: Actual:  Actual:  Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs 530.472 0.000 0.000  Costs 566.635 0.000 0.000  Costs	
Planned Start Date: 2013-07-31 Planned Completion Date Description: Total quantities for all Services in those years are exp Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY13  Description This activity will produce 12,258 radios for Phase 1 and 5,399 radios for Activity Name Full Rate Production - FY14  Description This activity will produce 13.021 radios for Phase 1 and 5,869 radios for Activity Name	Planned: Planned: Planned: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY13.  Start  Planned: Projected: Actual: Planned: Projected: Actual: Phase 2 in FY14.  Start  Planned:	rough FY25. Planned Live 3 radios for Ph Date 2013-07-31 2013-07-31  Date 2013-10-01 2013-10-01	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:  Comple Planned: Actual:  Comple	232 radios for Pletion Date 2013-09-30 etion Date 2014-09-30	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Planned: Projected: Actual:  Total  Total	Costs 530.472 0.000 0.000  Costs 566.635 0.000 0.000	

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Full Rate Production - FY16	Planned: 2015-10-01	Planned: 2016-09-30	Planned: 611.270	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will produce 11,465 radios for Phase 1 and 6,6	56 radios for Phase 2 in FY16.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Full Rate Production - FY17	Planned: 2016-10-01	Planned: 2017-09-30	Planned: 635.343	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will produce 11,924 radios for Phase 1 and 6,7	71 radios for Phase 2 in FY17.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Full Rate Production - FY18	Planned: 2017-10-01	Planned: 2018-09-30	Planned: 952.001	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will produce 19,080 radios for Phase 1 and 9,2	58 radios for Phase 2 in FY18.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Full Rate Production - FY19	Planned: 2018-10-01	Planned: 2019-09-30	Planned: 0.000	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will produce 23,899 radios for Phase 1 and 8,9	28 radios for Phase 2 in FY19.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Full Rate Production - FY20	Planned: 2019-10-01	Planned: 2020-09-30	Planned: 955.203	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will produce 21,229 radios for Phase 1 and 8,2	90 radios for Phase 2 in FY20.			
A addadda Nama	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Activity Name	Planned: 2020-10-01	Planned: 2021-09-30	Planned: 678.174	
Full Rate Production - FY21				
<u> </u>	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	

Milestones - Continued							
Activity Name	Start Date		Compl	Completion Date		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Full Rate Production - FY22	Planned:	2021-10-01	Planned:	2022-09-30	Planned:	579.178	
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
This activity will produce 18,031 radios for Phase 1 and 4,523 radios for Phase	2 in FY22.						
Activity Name	Start	Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs	
Full Rate Production - FY23	Planned:	2022-10-01	Planned:	2023-09-30	Planned:	412.970	
	Projected:	2022-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
This activity will produce 17,852 radios for Phase 1 and 3,008 radios for Phase	e 2 in FY23.						
Activity Name	Start	Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs	
Full Rate Production - FY24	Planned:	2023-10-01	Planned:	2024-09-30	Planned:	8.622	
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
This activity will produce 0 radios for Phase 1 and 151 radios for Phase 2 in FY	Y24.						
Activity Name	Start	Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs	
Full Rate Production - FY25	Planned:	2024-10-01	Planned:	2025-09-30	Planned:	0.697	
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
This activity will produce 0 radios for Phase 1 and 12 radios for Phase 2 in FY2	25.						
Project Name: Operations and Support (O&S) post-procurement							
Planned Start Date: 2025-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2	2043-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	13.556.400	(dollars in	millions)	
<b>Description:</b> Occurs after the production of the required systems is com			•				
sustain the fielded systems.	-F		,	,	511 B 5111 B 5 512		
Activity Name	Start	Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs	
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY 26	Planned:	2025-10-01	Planned:	2026-09-30	Planned:	918.305	
	Projected:	2025-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fie	elded in FY26.						
Activity Name	Start	Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs	
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY27	Planned:	2026-10-01	Planned:	2027-09-30	Planned:	933.959	
	Projected:	2027-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000	
	- <b>J</b>						
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000	

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY28	Planned: 2027-10	-01 Planned: 2028-09-30	Planned: 949.882	
	Projected: 2027-10	-01 Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY28.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY29	Planned: 2028-10	-01 Planned: 2029-09-30	Planned: 966.077	
	Projected: 2028-10	-01 Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY29.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY30	Planned: 2029-10	-01 Planned: 2030-09-30	Planned: 982.552	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY30.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY31	Planned: 2030-10	-01 Planned: 2031-09-30	Planned: 1000.421	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY31.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY32	Planned: 2031-10	-01 Planned: 2032-09-30	Planned: 1022.050	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY32.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY33	Planned: 2032-10	-01 Planned: 2033-09-30	Planned: 988.447	
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Total Costs</b>		
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY34	Planned: 2033-10-0	1 Planned: 2034-09-30	Planned: 939.554		
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY34.				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY35	Planned: 2034-10-0	1 Planned: 2035-09-30	Planned: 882.806		
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY35.				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY36	Planned: 2035-10-0	1 Planned: 2036-09-30	Planned: 833.427		
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY36.				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY37	Planned: 2036-10-0	1 Planned: 2037-09-30	Planned: 764.762		
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already	eady been fielded in FY37.				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY38	Planned: 2037-10-0	1 Planned: 2038-09-30	Planned: 692.211		
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have alre-	eady been fielded in FY38.				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY39	Planned: 2038-10-0	1 Planned: 2039-09-30	Planned: 570.749		
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.000		
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000		

Activity Name         Start	ilestones - Continued						
Projected:   Projected:   Projected:   Projected:   O.000     Description	Activity Name	Start Date			<b>Completion Date</b>		Costs
Description         Actual:         Actual:         Actual:         Actual:         0.000           This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY40.           Activity Name         Start Date         Completion Date         Total Costs           Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY41         Planned:         2040-10-01         Planned:         2041-09-30         Planned:         310.693           Description         Actual:         <	Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY40	Planned: 20	039-10-01	Planned:	2040-09-30	Planned:	437.661
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY40.  Activity Name  Start Date  Completion Date  Total Costs  Planned: 2040-10-01 Planned: 2041-09-30 Planned: 310.693  Projected: 2040-10-01 Projected: Projected: 0.000  Description  Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000  This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY41.  Activity Name  Start Date  Completion Date  Total Costs  Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000  Planned: 2041-10-01 Planned: 2042-09-30 Planned: 221.030  Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000  Description  Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000  Description  This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.  Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000  Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000  This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.  Activity Name  Planned: 2042-10-01 Planned: 2043-09-30 Planned: 141.813  Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000		Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Activity Name         Start Date         Completion Date         Total Costs           Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY41         Planned: 2040-10-01         Planned: 2041-09-30         Planned: 310.693           Projected: 2040-10-01         Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000         Projected: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000           This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY41.         Start Date         Completion Date         Total Costs           Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY42         Planned: 2041-10-01         Planned: 2042-09-30         Planned: 221.030           Pescription Actual: Act	Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY41  Planned: 2040-10-01 Planned: 2041-09-30 Planned: 310.693  Projected: 2040-10-01 Projected: Projected: 0.000  Description This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY41.  Activity Name  Start Date Completion Date Total Costs  Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY42  Planned: 2041-10-01 Planned: 2042-09-30 Planned: 221.030  Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000  Description Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000  This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.  Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs  Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43  Planned: 2042-10-01 Planned: 2043-09-30 Planned: 141.813  Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000	This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been field	ed in FY40.					
Projected: 2040-10-01 Projected: O.000  Description Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: O.000 This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY41.  Activity Name Start Date Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY42 Planned: 2041-10-01 Planned: 2042-09-30 Planned: 221.030 Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000 Description Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: O.000 This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.  Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs  Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43 Planned: 2042-10-01 Planned: 2043-09-30 Planned: 141.813 Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000	Activity Name	Start Da	ate	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Description   Actual:   Actual:   Actual:   Actual:   0.000	Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY41	Planned: 20	040-10-01	Planned:	2041-09-30	Planned:	310.693
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY41.  Activity Name  Start Date  Completion Date  Total Costs  Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: Actual: Actual		Projected: 20	040-10-01	Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Activity Name  Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY42  Planned: 2041-10-01 Planned: 2042-09-30 Planned: 221.030  Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000  Description This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.  Activity Name  Start Date  Completion Date Total Costs  Completion Date Total Costs  Projected: Projected: O.000  Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000  Projected: Projected: O.000  Projected: Projected: O.000  Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000	Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY42  Planned: 2041-10-01 Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000  Projected: Actual: Actual: Actual: O.000  This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.  Activity Name  Start Date  Completion Date  Total Costs  Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43  Planned: 2042-10-01 Planned: 2043-09-30 Planned: 141.813 Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000	This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been field	ed in FY41.					
Projected: Projected: O.000  Description Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: Actual: O.000  This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.  Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs  Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43 Planned: 2042-10-01 Planned: 2043-09-30 Planned: 141.813  Projected: Projected: Projected: O.000	Activity Name	Start Da	ate	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Description       Actual:       Actual:       Actual:       0.000         This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.         Activity Name       Start Date       Completion Date       Total Costs         Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43       Planned: 2042-10-01 Planned: 2043-09-30 Planned: 141.813 Projected: Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000	Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY42	Planned: 20	041-10-01	Planned:	2042-09-30	Planned:	221.030
This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been fielded in FY42.  Activity Name Start Date Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43 Planned: 2042-10-01 Planned: 2043-09-30 Planned: 141.813 Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000		Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Activity NameStart DateCompletion DateTotal CostsOperations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43Planned: 2042-10-01Planned: 2043-09-30Planned: 141.813Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000	Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43  Planned: 2042-10-01 Planned: 2043-09-30 Planned: 141.813  Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000	This activity will provide sustainment to those radios that have already been field	ed in FY42.					
Projected: Projected: Projected: 0.000	Activity Name	Start Da	ate	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
	Operations and Support - Post Procurement - FY43	Planned: 20	042-10-01	Planned:	2043-09-30	Planned:	141.813
<b>Description</b> Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000		Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
	Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

This investment is driven by military requirements approved and validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council. These requirements are established to meet critical warfighting capability targets in the DoD's transformational way forward. The Services (Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps) will be responsible for procuring JTRS sets and for integrating them into various existing and future platforms. Ultimately, the customer is the joint tactical warfighter, who will benefit from the force multiplier capability enabled by the mobile, ad hoc JTRS network.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Stakeholders within the DoD include Vice Chairman, Joint Chief of Staff (JCS); USD (Comptroller); DoD CIO; Director (CAPE); Director (OT&E); Service Secretaries; Commander, U.S. Joint Forces Command (JFCOM); Military Department (MILDEP) 3-Star Programmers; JCS J6 and J8; USD (I), Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel & Readiness); DoD Deputy General Counsel (Acquisition & Logistics); Director, NSA; MILDEP Comptrollers; Special Operations Command (SOCOM) Acquisition Executive and the various procurement and platform integration Program Executive Officers (PEOs) within the Services. Outside stakeholders include the US Congress and our allied/coalition partners, who will benefit from the interoperability JTRS will provide.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN); (\$3.3M) and Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN); (\$116.0M)

Funding will provide the JTRS capability to meet Joint Ground Mounted, Dismounted & Embedded Radio Requirements. Increment 1, Phase 2 will develop the 2 Channel Manpack and SFF-B. Phase 2 radios are all Type 1 compliant for use in a classified environment running Ultra High Frequency (UHF), Satellite Communications (SATCOM), High Frequency (HF), Enhanced Position Location and Reporting System (EPLRS), Soldier Radio Waveform (SRW), Mobile User Objective System (MUOS), and Single Channel Ground to Air Radio System (SINCGARS) waveforms. The FY13 Budget will be used to obtain Information Assurance certification for Phase 2 radios with MUOS capability, to continue MUOS porting and testing activities, to initiate Manpack capabilities of Over-The-Air-Rekeying/Over-The-Air-Zeroizing (OTAR/OTAZ), Very High Frequency/Ultra High Frequency Lineof- Sight (V/UHF LOS) with Air Traffic Control (ATC), to initiate efforts to port Public Key Information (PKI) functionality onto Phase 2 radios and to provide technical and engineering support for development efforts.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN); (\$3.5M) and Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN); (\$3.0M)

Funding will provide funding for delta testing and the operational assessment of the follow-on Manpack capabilities.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6587	Acronym	JTRS NED	ΓRS NED					
Name of Investment	ment JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) - NETWORK ENTERPRISE DOMAIN (NED)								
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY							
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	NONE				
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	S	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE				

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The JTRS NED Program Office manages the development and sustainment of three categories of waveform products or software applications: legacy waveforms, networking waveforms, and Network Enterprise Services (NES). These waveform products and software applications are components of JTRS radios and support net-centric operational warfare at sea, in the air, and on the ground. Legacy waveforms (SINCGARS Enhanced System Improvement Program (ESIP), Bowman VHF, HF Single Side Band (SSB)/Automatic Link Establishment (ALE), HAVE QUICK II, UHF DAMA SATCOM, EPLRS, & Link 16), when instantiated on a JTRS radio, produce radio performance qualities consistent and interoperable with corresponding DoD legacy systems. Networking waveforms, when integrated on JTRS radios, provide IP-based networked communications that can extend the Global Information Grid (GIG) to the last tactical mile. Networked radios in the tactical environment will provide the capability to relay and share voice, data, and video transmissions. NES software products (JWNM, JENM & ENS) are those software applications that are essential to networking waveforms to establish and manage IP networks and achieve IP-based interoperability. Networking waveforms (WNW, SRW, & MUOS) with their NES products are new capabilities that will evolve in functionality, performance, and security throughout their life cycle in response to changing warfighter needs for networked voice, video, and data communications, changing technology and GIG standards, and new security vulnerabilities or threats.

JTRS waveforms, and network enterprise service applications are subsystems that are assessed for interoperability and security compliance once integrated in a JTRS radio or terminal system. JTRS radios or terminals apply for and maintain the required Authority To Operate (ATO). The JTRS Product Lines (GMR, HMS, AMF, and MIDS) are responsible for integrating waveform software applications to their respective hosts (JTRS Form Factors). Service acquisition agencies are responsible for acquiring and fielding host radio hardware and integrating JTRS into platforms to meet specific warfighter needs. JTRS NED waveform and networking applications minimize the DoD communications gap by promoting commonality, jointness and interoperability, providing cost savings through maximization of software code porting and reuse, technology insertion, and common solutions, while allowing flexibility to meet unique requirements.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	156,902	134,515	104,321	67,505
MILPERS		·		
Mil Pers, Navy				
0701113N 06-N/A	354	354	354	354
MILPERS Total	354	354	354	354
Operations				
O&M, Navy				
0303109N 04-Servicewide Communications	40,257	39,514	42,264	0
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	0	672	692
0701113N 04-Servicewide Communications	652	660	0	0
Operations Total	40,909	40,174	42,936	692
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0604280F 05-Joint Tactical Radio System(JTRS)	628	0	1,954	22,137
RDT&E, Army				
0604280A 05-NETWORK ENTERPRISE DOMAIN (NED)	0	0	0	23,621
RDT&E, Navy				
0604280N 05- JTRS Network Enterprise Domain (JNED)	115,011	93,987	59,077	20,701
RDT&E Total	115,639	93,987	61,031	66,459

## **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget       144.803       92.450         FY 2013 President's Budget       134.515       104.321       -30.19         Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013       11.871	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
	FY 2012 President's Budget	144.803	92.450	
Change PR 2012 vs PR 2013	FY 2013 President's Budget	134.515	104.321	-30.19
Change 12 2012 (0.12 2012	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		11.871	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

RDT&E: The \$30.393M decrease is a result of the JTRS Joint program budget strategy. As part of this strategy, software sustainment funds were transferred from RDT&E to O&M,N in the budget year prior to the President's Budget submission.

O&M,N: The \$42.264M increase reflects the JTRS Joint program acquisition strategy. As part of this strategy, software sustainment funds were transferred from RDT&E to O&M,N in the budget year prior to the President's Budget submission.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

RDT&E: The \$32.956M decrease reflects a reduction in waveform development efforts as well as the JTRS Joint program acquisition strategy. As part of this strategy, software sustainment funds were transferred from RDT&E to O&M,N in the budget year prior to the President's Budget submission.

O&M,N: The \$2.762M increase in JTRS NED funding is for the execution of the NED program's software in-service support. Software in-service support provides maintenance of base software applications to meet emerging issues with the NED software products affecting multiple radios.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- (1) Continued Software In Service Support (SwISS) for the Soldier Radio Waveform (SRW), Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW) and Legacy waveforms (SINCGARS, HF/UHF SATCOM, Link-16, Bowman, Link-16 CMET).
- (2) Continued development of Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) waveform, the Joint Airborne Network-Tactical Edge (JAN-TE) waveform and JTRS Enterprise Network Manager (JENM) Phase 2.
- (3) Completed development and performed Formal Qualification Test (FQT) for JENM Phase 1, Soldier Radio Waveform Network Manager (SRWNM) 1.0.2, Enterprise Network Services (ENS) Phase 1 Software Internet Controller (SoftINC), ENS Phase 1 Tactical Data Controller (TDC) and SRW 1.1.
- (4) Began SwISS for JENM Phase 1.

(5) Continued to support waveform integration, test and evaluation to include hardware and Software Waveform Certification Process (Software Communications Architecture (SCA) compliance testing) to meet program requirements. Continue NED program management office support.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

- (1) Complete development of MUOS waveform and begin Software In Service Support for the MUOS waveform to include awarding of SwISS contract.
- (2) Continue development of the JAN-TE waveform.
- (3) Continue to provide NED technical support, systems engineering, spectrum allocation, system security engineering, problem resolution and support of SCA activities.
- (4) Complete development of JENM Phase 2. Continue SwISS for JENM Phase 1.
- (5) Continue to support waveform integration, test and evaluation to include hardware and Software Waveform Certification Process (SCA compliance testing) to meet program requirements. Continue NED program management office support. Continue Software In Service Support for Legacy waveforms.
- (5) Award SRW and MUOS SwISS contract.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- (1) Continue SwISS for the SRW waveform, the MUOS waveform, the WNW waveform, the Legacy waveforms, Network Services and Network Managers.
- (2) Begin development and perform FQT for JENM Phase 3.
- (3) Continue to support waveform integration, test and evaluation to include hardware and software waveform Certification Process (SCA compliance testing) to meet program requirements. Continue NED program management office support.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Continue SwISS for the WNW, SRW, MUOS and Legacy waveforms. Continue SwISS for Network Services and Network Managers.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### **Component**

Department of the Navy

## **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

CAPT Kevin R. Peterson

#### **Contract Information**

Name: BAE Systems Information and Electronics

City/State: Wayne, NJ

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** Technical support, maintenance, and enhancements to the baseline Link-16 waveform.

**Function:** 

Name: General Dynamics C4 Systems Inc.

City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

**Supported** Technical support, maintenance, and enhancements to the baseline WNW waveform.

Function:

Name: ITT Corporation City/State: Fort Wayne, IN

Supported Technical support, maintenance, and enhancements to the baseline JTRS Bowman waveform (JBW).

Function:

Name: ITT Corporation City/State: Fort Wayne, IN

**Supported** Technical support, maintenance, and enhancements to the baseline SINCGARS waveform, and ENS Phase 1 SoftINC.

**Function:** 

Name: ITT Corporation City/State: Fort Wayne, IN

Supported The ITT Solder Radio Waveform contract includes the development and testing of SRW to provide IP networking capability to disadvantaged

Function: users.

Name: Lockheed Martin Corporation

City/State: Sunnyvale, CA

**Supported** The Lockheed Martin contract includes the development, installation, and deployment of the MUOS JTRS Waveform Application.

Function:

Name: Rockwell Collins, Inc. City/State: Cedar Rapids, IA

Supported Technical support, maintenance, and enhancements to the baseline HF/UHF SATCOM waveform, and ENS Phase 1 Tactical Data Controller

Function: (TDC).

Name: Systems Research and Applications Corporation

City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Operations Management, Acquisition Management, Systems Engineering, Software Engineering, Network Engineering, Radio Frequency (RF) Engineering, Information Assurance Engineering, Test and Evaluation, Financial Management, Cost Estimating, and Administrative Support.

Name: The Boeing Company City/State: Huntington Beach, CA

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** Technical support, maintenance, and enhancements to JENM.

**Function:** 

The Boeing Company Name: City/State: Huntington Beach, CA

Supported The Boeing JTRS Ground Mobile Radio/Waveform contract included the development and testing of the ground mobile radio and the Wideband

**Function:** Networking Waveform (WNW) to provide a backbone tactical network for Ground Domain.

#### Mil

I AN	. 134 6 4 1	D 1 .				
oject Name: Network Enterprise Domain (NED) Engineer	-	-				
Planned Start Date: 2001-10-01 Planned Completion		Planned Live	•		(dollars in	
<b>Description:</b> JTRS Waveforms and Network Management Sy						
networking radio waverforms for integration on					ck, Small Form	ı Fit (HMS),
Airborne and Maritime / Fixed Station (AMF) a			•	//		
Activity Name		t Date	1	etion Date	Total	
Engineering and Manufacturing Development	Planned:	2003-10-01	Planned:	2015-01-01	Planned:	48.249
	Projected:	2003-10-01	Projected:	2015-01-01	Projected:	48.249
Description	Actual:	2003-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Joint Airborne Networking-Tactical Edge						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Engineering and Manufacturing Development	Planned:	2006-10-01	Planned:	2012-08-01	Planned:	172.658
	Projected:	2006-10-01	Projected:	2012-08-01	Projected:	172.658
Description	Actual:	2006-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Mobile User Objective System						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
Engineering and Manufacturing Development	Planned:	2006-10-01	Planned:	2013-03-30	Planned:	342.213
	Projected:	2006-10-01	Projected:	2013-03-30	Projected:	342.213
Description	Actual:	2006-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Network Enterprise Services (NES)						
roject Name: Network Enterprise Domain (NED) Post Depl	loyment Software Suppo	ort / Post Prod	uction Softwa	are Support		
	•	Planned Live			(dollars in	millions)
				,		

ilestones - Continued				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Sustainment	Planned: 2010-10-	01 Planned: 2011-09-30	Planned: 41.256	
	Projected: 2010-10-	01 Projected: 2011-09-30	Projected: 41.256	
Description	Actual: 2010-10-	01 Actual: 2011-09-30	Actual: 41.256	
Software in Service Support				
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Sustainment	Planned: 2011-10-	01 Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 40.693	
	Projected: 2011-10-	01 Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 39.514	
Description	Actual: 2011-10-	01 Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
Software in Service Support				

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The customers for this investment include the Programs of Record; specifically, Airborne, Maritime and Fixed Station (AMF), Ground Mobile Radios (GMR), Handheld, Manpack and Small Form Fit (HMS) and Multifunctional Information Distribution System (MIDS).

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The stakeholders for this investment include the services (Army, Navy, Air Force and Marine Corps) and the senior services of the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD).

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN): (\$59.0),

Military Personnel, Navy (MPN): (\$0.354) and

Operation and Maintenance, Navy (OMN): (42.9M)

## Continue to support the following:

- Software In Service Support for the Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) waveform.
- Complete development and perform Functional Qualification Test (FQT) for JTRS Enterprise Network Manager (JENM) Phase 3 in 2Q FY13.
- Software In Service Support for Network Services and Network Managers.
- Waveform integration, test and evaluation to include hardware and software waveform Certification Process (SCA compliance testing) to meet program requirements.
- NED program management office support.
- Continue Software In Service Support for Legacy waveforms.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN): (\$66.2M), Military Personnel, Navy (MPN): (\$1.4M) and Operation and Maintenance, Navy (OMN): (\$2.8M)

#### Continue to support the following:

- Software in Service Support for the Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW), Soldier Radio Waveform (SRW), Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) and Legacy waveforms.
- Software In Service Support for Network Services and Network Managers.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	3945	Acronym	JMS				
Name of Investment	JSPOC MISSIO	POC MISSION SYSTEM					
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AI	R FORCE				
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE		
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	& CONTROL		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS		

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The JSpOC Mission System (JMS) consists of mission-focused software applications, databases, servers, client workstations, and local area networks hosted on an open, scalable, network-centric service oriented architecture. JMS will operate within the systems-of-systems construct for Joint C2. Specifically, JMS provides:

- (U) The C2 infrastructure to present SSA information in a collaborative, operational context, providing the ability to rapidly and accurately process, display and disseminate actionable information at multiple security levels
  - (U) Operational environment information (including net-centric interfaces with intelligence, indications and warning, and environmental data and services)
  - (U) A dynamic, scalable database of space objects and assets
- (U) Threat identification and notification services, theater support tools, including distributed SSA analysis tools and the planning and tasking tools necessary to turn space support requests into mission type orders

JMS is overseen by the Battlespace Awareness FCB on the JCIDS coordination process. In addition, the system will implement and demonstrate solutions that support AFSPC/A5 validated operational mission threads to the JSpOC for evaluation and use as determined by the Operational Commander as a risk reduction capability, selected orchestrated services and applications in compliance with the JI-STP.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	101,047	83,575	56,639	61,389
Operations		·		
O&M, Air Force				
0305614F 01-Space Control Systems	2,321	2,237	928	1,127
Operations Total	2,321	2,237	928	1,127
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0305614F 03-SPACE MODS SPACE	0	929	1,066	1,013
Procurement Total	0	929	1,066	1,013
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0305614F 07-Command & Control (C2)	9,517	0	10,182	12,712
0305614F 07-Data Integration	12,705	0	0	3,502
0305614F 07-Infrastructure	32,851	31,074	19,288	17,763
0305614F 07-Mission Applications	43,653	49,335	25,175	25,272
RDT&E Total	98,726	80,409	54,645	59,249

## **Program Change Summary**

	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	122.098	112.266	
FY 2013 President's Budget	83.575	56.639	-26.94
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-55.627	

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Congressional rescission and marks in FY11 and FY12 followed rebaselining by Air Force during the FY13 POM.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

# **Program Accomplishments**

## FY 2011 Accomplishments

Delivered:

- Initial SOA foundation (compliant with OSD maturity model),
- Initial hardware and software infrastructure,
- Initial User Defined Operating Picture (UDOP) providing 38 web services integrating 14 data sources (such as Space Order of Battle, automated crew logs, and access to NGA imagery)
- Automated multiple manual processes.

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Planned CY FY12 and BY FY13 accomplishments build upon previously delivered capabilities and continue the systematic migration from legacy systems. FY12 planned accomplishments include:

- Modifications based on 47 User Change Requests (UCRs)
- Development of 17 new services
- Access to other systems' data collection/tasking
- Incorporation of High-interest event monitoring

• Development and integration of key Space catalog capabilities including initial access to the Special Perturbation (SP) catalog, SP catalog archival capability, historical element set plot capability, exposure and archival of atmospheric drag data, sensor tasking performance metrics, exposure of sensor calibration data, and the ability to publish element sets to Space C2 systems

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Planned FY13 accomplishments continue migration from legacy systems by delivering the following capabilities:

- Evaluation of Resident Space Object RSO characterization and orbital accuracy
- Sensor calibration
- · Metric/SOI tasking
- Maneuver detection, breakup, reentry, launch, and de-orbit services
- Exercise & test capability
- Space Order of Battle (SOB) and asset characterization

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

- Retire SPADOC, the legacy system
- Initiate development (new functionality and integration) of:
- Real-time force status
- Ops Continuity via a backup location
- Identification and characterizations of orbiting space objects and post-launch objects
- SSA Data Sharing
- Master space tasking order
- Overflight analysis
- SSA event forecasting and prediction

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Lt Col Douglas Hermes

**Contract Information** No contract information is available.

# Milestones/Schedules

ŭ	Complete Acquisition	e e	2011 11 20	DI 17.	G 1 G 1	25,000	(1.11.	•11•
	t Date: 2011-04-01	<b>Planned Completion Date:</b>		<b>Planned Live</b>	-		(dollars in	
Description:	- An acquisition strateg	y based on the new program app approval	oroach (following j	program transfe	er to a new Sy	stem Program O	iffice) is in work	and curren
	- An updated Aquisition	n Decision Memorandum ADM	is expected in Nov	v 2011				
<b>Activity Name</b>			-	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Acquisition Dec	cision Memorandum		Planned:	2011-04-01	Planned:	2011-11-30	Planned:	0.000
			Projected:	2011-04-01	Projected:	2011-11-30	Projected:	0.000
Description			Actual:	2011-04-01	Actual:	2011-12-19	Actual:	0.000
Acquisition I	Decision Memorandum expe	ected Nov 2011						
<b>Activity Name</b>			Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Acquisition Stra	ategy		Planned:	2011-04-01	Planned:	2011-11-30	Planned:	0.000
			Projected:	2011-04-01	Projected:	2011-11-30	Projected:	0.000
Description			Actual:	2011-04-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Based on new	v acquisition approach follo	wing restructure and transfer of pro	gram to new prograi	m office. Current	tly being staffed	l ofr OSD approva	ıl.	
oject Name:	<b>Deliver Increment 1</b>							
Planned Start	t Date: 2011-09-01	<b>Planned Completion Date:</b>	2012-09-28	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	30.000	(dollars in	millions)
Description:		d Architecture (SOA) infrastruc crement 1 will be leveraged fron					levelopment and	expedite
	- The SPO issued RFIs development	to Industry and Data Calls to go	vernment labs to e	ensure maximur	m awareness o	f existing capab	ilities requiring	minimal
Activity Name	•	RFP development, etc) for existing		lities will begin t <b>Date</b>		etion Date	Total (	Costs
-	perational Picture (UDOP)		Planned:	2011-09-01	Planned:	2012-09-28	Planned:	5.000
	-		Projected:	2011-09-01	Projected:	2012-09-28	Projected:	5.000
			A		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Description			Actual:		Actual.		Actual.	0.000

A 10 to ST	G4	4 D. 4	<b>a</b> 1	41 D 4	TD 4 1 4	a .
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total Costs	
Service Oriented Architecture Backbone	Planned:	2011-09-01	Planned:	2012-09-28	Planned:	20.000
	Projected:	2011-09-01	Projected:	2012-09-28	Projected:	20.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Infrastructure to support the Service Oriented Architecture.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Initial Space Object Catalog, Conjunction Assessments; Orbit Determination	Planned:	2011-09-01	Planned:	2012-09-28	Planned:	5.000
	Projected:	2011-09-01	Projected:	2012-09-28	Projected:	5.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Links JMS to legacy capabilities in an external legacy system.						
roject Name: Deliver Increment 2						
Planned Start Date: 2012-06-10 Planned Completion Date: 20	014-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	30.000	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Delivers space object catalog and astrodynamic calculation	s supporting s	pace situational	awareness an	d Space C2		
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	Costs
Astrodynamics for SSA & C2	Planned:	2012-06-20	Planned:	2012-12-15	Planned:	15.000
	Projected:	2012-06-20	Projected:	2012-12-15	Projected:	15.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Astrodynamic tools to support space situational awareness and Space C2. Final	content of this e	ffort is pending a	pproval in May	2012 by Require	ments and Plannir	g Council

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

**Customers for this Investment** 

**Stakeholders for this Investment** 

## **Funding Accomplishments**

# Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Please see DoD FY12 and FY13 BES, Vol III, Part 2, Exhibits R for detailed justifications.

Overall, the program will continue risk reduction engineering and focus on incremental releases (Information Technology BOX construct) to deploy a service-oriented architecture (SOA) environment and tools to progressively advance operational capabilities toward an integrated JSpOC Mission System (JMS). This program will produce

a net-centric collaborative environment, enhance and modernize space surveillance capabilities, create decision relevant views of the space environment, and enable efficient distribution of data across the space surveillance network.

JMS is responsible for Space Situational Awareness (SSA) and command and control (C2) of space forces. SSA includes the knowledge of all aspects of space related to operations to thoroughly assess threats to U.S. space assets and develop options, military and diplomatic, to counter them and to establish contingency plans to ensure U.S. forces can maintain access to space assets. JMS will access intelligence on adversary space operations, process surveillance of all space objects and activities, maintain detailed reconnaissance of specific space assets; fuse space environmental data, maintain awareness of cooperative space assets; and allow the Joint Functional Component Command for Space (JFCC-Space) to conduct space forces integrated command, control, communications, processing, analysis, dissemination, and archiving activities.

Near-term focus is to provide a sustainable net-centric environment with a highly accurate, responsive, and robust SSA system migration from the rapidly aging, and sustainment challenged Space Defense Operations Center (SPADOC) system (SPADOC design end of life was 2002). JMS will provide integrated space knowledge/information for the Command, JFCC-Space to plan, direct, coordinate, and control operations of assigned forces. JMS will provide the ability to: monitor status, activities, and environment for assigned/attached space forces; assess how space forces support the battle space, provide impacts of changes to force status, and impacts of enemy forces on space assets; plan space operations to support theater and national operations; and execute Joint space tasking, track task performance, adapt tasking to changing situations, and conduct technology forecasting for emerging needs. JMS will also develop improved information capabilities for integration across SSA sensors through data exposure accomplished via the Net Centric Sensors and Data Sources effort (BPAC A012) in the SSA Systems PE (0604425F).

This program is in Budget Activity 07, Operational System Development because this budget activity includes development efforts to upgrade systems that have been fielded or have received approval for full rate production and anticipate production funding in the current or subsequent fiscal years.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Infrastructure will provide a services-oriented architecture (SOA) net-centric collaborative information environment at the Unclassified, Secret, TS/SCI, and SAP levels. Efforts incorporate net-centric enterprise services and integrate incremental space mission applications services. Priority is migration off the legacy SPADOC hardware and services into a sustainable infrastructure. Effort integrates components of SSA mission applications and C2 capabilities into the JSpOC to create timely, actionable knowledge necessary for maintaining space superiority and exercising command and control of space forces.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6190	Acronym	JTRS GMR					
Name of Investment	ent JTRS - GROUND MOBILE RADIOS							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY							
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	NONE			
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	ZS .	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE			

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Ground Mobile Radios (GMR) program operates on an enterprise model designed to minimize risks and manage costs. The JTRS GMR is the next-generation tactical vehicular radio for use by the Army, Air Force and Marine Corps. Other JTRS programs such as Handheld, Manpack and Small Formfit Radios (HMS), and Airborne, Maritime and Fixed Radios (AMF) provide capabilities for remaining military applications. PM Network Enterprise Domain (NED) provides Waveforms and Networking services to JTRS product lines. JTRS is a family of software-defined radios for voice and data that is backward-compatible with other military and civilian radio systems which are currently in use and supports networking waveforms that implement full-featured mobile ad hoc networks. The functionality and expandability of the Joint Tactical Radio System are built upon the Software Communication Architecture (SCA) which governs the system structure and operation enabling programmable radios to load waveforms, run applications and be networked into an integrated system. Interoperability among radio sets is enhanced because the same waveform software can be ported to multiple radio sets. The JTRS GMR will provide networking capability using the Wideband Networking Waveform (WNW) and Soldier Radio Waveform (SRW) to connect soldiers and sensors to the decision makers "On-The-Move" (OTM). The JTRS GMR is the key enabler for OTM connectivity to the Global Information Grid, an essential multiplier to network centric warfare. JTRS GMR will provide the warfighter with mobile Internet-like capabilities such as voice, data, networking and video communications, as well as interoperability with current force radios across the battlespace. These capabilities will close the communications interoperability gap that exists today.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	135,919	33,065	0	0
MILPERS		·		
Mil Pers, Navy				
0701113N 06-N/A	177	0	0	0
MILPERS Total	177	0	0	0
Operations O&M, Navy				
0701113N 04-Servicewide Communications	163	165	0	0
Operations Total	163	165	0	0
Procurement Other Proc, Army				
0310700A 02-JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	37,290	900	0	0
Procurement Total	37,290	900	0	0
RDT&E RDT&E, Navy				
0604280N 05- GMR JTRS	98,289	32,000	0	0
RDT&E Total	98,289	32,000	0	0

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	234.439	278.919	
FY 2013 President's Budget	33.065	0.000	-33.07
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-278.919	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

FY 2013 changes between the President's Budget Positions:

FY 2012 PB FY 2013 PB \$ Change % Change

278,919K 0 -278,919K -100

#### Explanation:

100% decrease in funding from FY2012 to FY2013 is a result of program cancellation. Following a Critical Nunn McCurdy breach the USD AT&L conducted a reassessment of the GMR program. Conclusions of the reassessment did not support certification of the program, thereby cancelling the program.

RDT&E: \$24,393K decrease (100%). OPA: \$243,981K decrease (100%). PMC: \$10,377K decrease (100%). O&MN: \$168K decrease (100%).

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

FY 2013 President's Budget Request:

FY 2012 FY 2013 \$ Change % Change 33,065K 0 -33,065K -100

#### Explanation:

100% decrease in funding from FY2012 to FY2013 is a result of program cancellation. Following a Critical Nunn McCurdy breach the USD AT&L conducted a reassessment of the GMR program. Conclusions of the reassessment did not support certification of the program, thereby cancelling the program.

RDT&E: \$32,000K decrease (100%). OPA: \$900K decrease (100%). O&MN: \$165K decrease (100%).

## **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Supported the design and development of the GMR product, Technical support to the Program Management Office (PMO), completed Production Qualification Test (PQT), Field Testing, and Customer Test (CT).

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Conduct close out of SDD contract. Activities include: identify critical deliverables such as hardware, design specifications, instrumentation, modeling tools, simulators, etc. for delivery to the Government.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

N/A as the program has been terminated.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

N/A as the program has been terminated.

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

DoD CIO

#### **Component**

Department of the Navy

#### Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

COL Gregory M. Fields, PM GMR

JPEO JTRS GMR

## **Contract Information** No contract information is available.

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: JTRS- Ground Mobile Radios								
Planned Start Date: 2002-01-30 Planned Completion Date: 20	012-03-30	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	1,597.100	(dollars ir	millions)		
<b>Description:</b> The JTRS GMR is the next-generation tactical vehicular radio for use by the Army, Air Force and Marine Corps								
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs		
Program Initiation	Planned:	2002-05-08	Planned:	2005-01-18	Planned:	305.900		
	Projected:	2002-05-08	Projected:	2005-01-08	Projected:	305.900		
Description	Actual:	2002-05-08	Actual:	2005-01-18	Actual:	305.900		
Program Initiation (Milestone (MS) B) through GMR pre-Engineering Development Models (EDM). This is a System Development and Demonstration (SDD) which took place from 2002 through 2005 and culminated in the delivery of the pre-EDM radios.								
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs		
Delivery of initial pre-EDM assets	Planned:	2005-01-19	Planned:	2011-09-30	Planned:	1314.000		
	Projected:	2005-01-19	Projected:	2011-09-30	Projected:	1314.000		
Description	Actual:	2006-11-30	Actual:		Actual:	1279.800		
Delivery of pre-EDM assets through completion of EDM phase. The final activ	ity for incremen	it 1 is Multi-servi	ce Operational	Test and Evalua	tion (MOT&E).			
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs		
GMR Certification and Contract Closeout	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2011-03-30	Planned:	11.400		
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-03-30	Projected:	11.400		
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000		
Final testing to achieve EDM radio certification. This phase will culminate in pr	rogram cancelati	ion.						

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

## **Customers for this Investment**

 $\ensuremath{\text{N/A}}$  as the program has been terminated.

### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

N/A as the program has been terminated.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

## Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Program canceled- no funding allocated.

	Description of what the ou	tvear funds (BY+1	through BY+5)	will be used to accom	nplish
--	----------------------------	-------------------	---------------	-----------------------	--------

Program Canceled- no funding allocated.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6430	Acronym	KM					
Name of Investment	KNOWLEDGI	E MANAGEM	ENT					
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY						
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE			
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR	ASTRUCTUR	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE			

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Army Knowledge Online (AKO), as the Army's Enterprise Portal, is the centerpiece of the Army's Knowledge Management strategy. It provides 2.3 million plus users (soldiers, civilians and contractors) with access to vital applications, knowledge and services. AKO supports LandWarNet vision to provide operational capabilities to the Warfighter during all six Joint Operational Phases across multiple OCONUS theaters of operations. AKO provides enterprise-level services to its customer to include user authentication, e-mail, video messaging, web-based collaboration, file storage, and instant messenger. AKO e-mail provides every soldier with one e-mail address for life which is also used as the key identifier for the Army Common Access Card. Four billion e-mail messages went through the AKO portal and 80% of the Army's e-mail goes through AKO. AKO also provides a centralized location for file management, information sharing, and a directory of everyone in the military. AKO provides enterprise collaboration and knowledge management services to the Army community. These services are delivered from three data centers and from two networks: NIPRNET and SIPRNET. AKO's life cycle management plan projects a 20% technology replacement annually and an annual supplement of the storage capacity. The technology refresh scheduled for this OPA requirement replaces aging Cisco network equipment to include switches, routers and firewalls in both the primary and secondary data centers and on both NIPRNET and SIPRNET. Much of the AKO Cisco network equipment has aged to the point where it is no longer supported by the vendor. Hardware failure will cause AKO services to go offline for days, denying users and organizations access to Army applications as well as access to their files and other information until suitable replacement equipment can be procured. These Cisco devices also include firewall devices to meet information assurance requirements. Without the technology refresh of these firewalls, security deficiencies cannot be remediated and the Army LandWarNet is exposed to risk. The storage supplement requirement adds storage capacity, backup capacity and replication capacity to our existing storage services. Demand for AKO collaboration services continues to grow annually requiring an increase in storage capacity (raw storage capacity, backup capacity, and data replication capacity). Also, must maintain the AKO services Continuation of Operations (COOP) systems.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	78,609	94,033	65,002	52,434
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0901212A 04-Other Service Support	0	2,455	2,536	2,604
0908610A 04-Other Service Support	73,165	81,006	62,466	49,830
Operations Total	73,165	83,461	65,002	52,434
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0310700A 02-AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	5,444	10,572	0	0
Procurement Total	5,444	10,572	0	0

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	95.023	98.171	
FY 2013 President's Budget	94.033	65.002	-29.03
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-33.169	
			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OMA: \$19.350M Decrease (23%)

Result of the Army decision for the reduction in email, portal, and collaboration capabilities in this program to fund Enterprise Email and Enterprise Collaboration.

OPA: \$13.819M Decrease (100%)

Result of the Army decision for the reduction in email, portal, and collaboration capabilities in this program to fund Enterprise Email and Enterprise Collaboration.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMA: \$18.459M Decrease (22%)

Result of the Army decision for the reduction in email, portal and collaboration capabilities in this program to fund Enterprise Email and Enterprise Collaboration.

OPA: \$10.572M Decrease (100%)

Result of the Army decision for the reduction in email, portal and collaboration capabilities in this program to fund Enterprise Email and Enterprise Collaboration.

## **Program Accomplishments**

## **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

- AKO SIPR Accreditation
- AKO NIPR Accreditation
- AKO/DKO Help Desk Transition to Army Enterprise Service Desk (AESD) Infrastructure Upgrades

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- AKO/DKO Contract Recompete
- AKO NIPR Accreditation
- Infrastructure Upgrade
- AKO/DKO Help Desk Transition to Army Enterprise Service Desk (AESD)

## **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- AKO/DKO Contract Recompete
- Operations & maintenance, enhancements and continuous improvements of fielded solution

## FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Operations & maintenance, enhancements and continuous improvements of fielded solution.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

PD Army Knowledge On-line

#### Component

Department of the Army

## Acquisition

PEO Enterprise Information Systems

#### **Program Management**

Dr. Kenneth Fritzsche

PD Army Knowledge On-line

## **Contract Information**

Name: Cisco - WorldWide Technologies

City/State: Maryland Heights, MD

**Supported** Provides hardware and software which includes maintenance

Function:

Name: EMC - ARH, LLC
City/State: Woodland Park, CO

**Supported** Provides software maintenance for AKO storage and infrastructure

Function:

Contracts - Continued

Name: Northrop Grumman
City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Operate, maintain, enhance, and transform existing Army Knowledge Online (AKO) Enterprise Services (ES) system and establish more modern

**Function:** and scalable portal system to be known as AKO/DKO ES

#### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: AKO Technology Replacement

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 416.098 (dollars in millions)

Description: Army Knowledge Online (AKO), as the Army's Enterprise Portal, is the centerpiece of the Army's Knowledge Management strategy, providing 2.3

million plus users (soldiers, civilians and contractors) with access to vital applications, knowledge and services. AKO provides enterprise-level services to its customer to include user authentication, e-mail, video messaging, web-based collaboration, file storage, and instant messenger. AKO's life cycle management plan projects a 20% technology replacement annually and an annual supplement of the storage capacity. The technology refresh scheduled for this OPA requirement replaces aging Cisco network equipment to include switches, routers and firewalls in both the primary and secondary data centers and on both NIPRNET and SIPRNET. Much of the AKO Cisco network equipment has aged to the point where it is no longer supported by the vendor. Hardware failure will cause AKO services to go offline for days, denying users and organizations access to Army applications as well as access to their files and other information until suitable replacement equipment can be procured. These Cisco devices also include firewall devices to meet information assurance requirements. Without the technology refresh of these firewalls, security deficiencies cannot be remediated and the Army LandWarNet is exposed to risk. The storage supplement requirement adds storage capacity, backup capacity and replication capacity to our existing storage services. Demand for AKO collaboration services continues to grow annually requiring an increase in storage capacity (raw storage capacity, backup capacity, and data replication capacity). Also, must maintain the AKO services Continuation of Operations

(COOP) systems.

Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Technology refresh	Planned: 2011-10-03	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 12.689	
	Projected: 2011-10-03	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 12.689	
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

The technology refresh scheduled for this OPA requirement replaces aging Cisco network equipment to include switches, routers and firewalls in both the primary and secondary data centers and on both NIPRNET and SIPRNET.

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The customers are all personnel, Major Commands (MACOM), Army organizations and functional directorates Army-wide, i.e., Active Army, Army National Guard, Army Reserves, Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Military Academy Cadets, Army civilians, Army retired personnel, Army active duty soldier's dependents, ROTC cadets and Army contractors. Further, DoD military and civilians, Homeland Defense participants and other Federal agencies, if they have a need to know, can have sponsored restricted access accounts and become customers of AKO, provided they have an Army person confirm their requirement and serve as their sponsor.

The Army Knowledge Online Project Office (AKO) also hosts and administers the Army Home Page, which is the face to the public for authoritative information about the Army; this makes the entire US and world populations our customers. Therefore, world-wide, anyone with access to the web can view the Army Home Page and view shared information approved for the public to read.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Primary stakeholders for AKM/AKO are the Secretary of the Army (SecArmy) and Chief of Staff Army (CSA). The Army Chief Information Officers Executive Board (CIOEB) composed of the senior officials (GO/SES level) from all Army MACOMs and DA staff/secretariat elements provides formal oversight, review, and sponsorship for the AKM strategy. The AKO Configuration Control Board manages the portal requirements and is composed of representatives from all Army MACOMs and DA staff and secretariat elements. The CIOEB and the AKO CCB provide forums to ensure strategy integration and to ensure portal development supports Army transformation objectives. These forums represent the interests of all 1.8 million AKO account holders as well as all of the functional communities in the Army (Logistics, Intelligence, Finance, Personnel, Medical, Legal, Criminal Investigative Division (CID), National Guard, Army Reserve, etc.) and serve to keep the AKM initiatives moving forward.

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

OMA funds the operations & maintenance, enhancements, and continuous improvements of fielded solution.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

OMA funds the continued operations & maintenance, enhancements, and continuous improvements of the fielded solution.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6298	Acronym	LMP						
Name of Investment	LOGISTICS M	IODERNIZAT	RNIZATION PROGRAM						
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY							
Category	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY			Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS				
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT			GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS				

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Logistics Modernization Program (LMP) is a System (combination of hardware and software solution with a user interface). LMP delivers an enterprise system that builds, sustains, and generates warfighting capabilities using one of the largest, fully-integrated supply chain and maintenance, repair, and overhaul solutions in the world. LMP delivers a fully integrated suite of software and business processes, providing streamlined data on maintenance, repair, and overhaul, planning, finance, acquisition, weapon systems supplies, spare parts, services, and materiel. It is the Army's core logistics information technology (IT) initiative that replaced the two largest National-level logistics systems: the inventory management Commodity Command Standard System (CCSS), and the depot and arsenal operations Standard Depot System (SDS). The primary beneficiaries of the LMP solution are the Army Materiel Command (AMC) depots and arsenals that support the warfighter. LMP meets the Army's IT logistics vision of a long-overdue transformation from legacy National applications to a modernized logistics enterprise solution across AMC to arsenals, depots, and other non-depot maintenance activities at the National level. LMP support is critical to the Army achieving an integrated enterprise solution that enables materiel readiness and provides asset management and accountability, architecture and acquisition compliancy, and financial transparency.

LMP manages approximately 2 million transactions daily, approximately \$22 billion in inventory on more than 70 Department of Defense (DoD) systems to include interfaces with Army's other Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems currently under development - Army Enterprise Systems Integration Program (AESIP), Global Combat Support System-Army (GCSS-Army), and General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS). LMP was fielded to all remaining commands, depots, arsenals and related sites in October 2010 and is currently used by approximately 25,000 users at more than 50 Army and DoD Continental United States (CONUS) and Outside the Continental United States (OCONUS) locations, including the Army's Communications-Electronics Command (CECOM) Life Cycle Management Command (LCMC), Aviation and Missile Command (AMCOM) LCMC, TACOM LCMC, Joint Munitions and Lethality (JM&L), Army Sustainment Command (ASC), and all depots and arsenals in the Industrial Operations Activity Group, as well as the Defense Finance Accounting Service (DFAS).

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	456,125	218,544	283,739	0
DWCF		·		
WCF, Army				
0708202DA 20-N/A	190,703	123,469	159,686	0
0708212DA 06R-N/A	67,992	39,215	48,080	0
0708212DA 20-N/A	13,130	48,960	69,073	0
0708610A 06R-N/A	175,800	0	0	0
DWCF Total	447,625	211,644	276,839	0
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0708610A 04-Logistic Support Activities	8,500	6,900	6,900	0
Operations Total	8,500	6,900	6,900	0

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	156.317	0.000	
FY 2013 President's Budget	218.544	283.739	65.20
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		283.739	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

AWCF: \$276.839M Increase (100%)

Increase is due to FY13 funding not being included in the previous submission.

OMA: \$6.900M Increase (100%)

Increase is due to FY13 funding not being included in the previous submission.

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 and FY13 is the result of the following:

AWCF: \$65.195M Increase (31%)

AWCF CIP: Increase is due to Army approved requirement related to Increment 2 of the LMP (e.g., EIB analysis and design).

AWCF Operations: Increase is due to plans to transition sustainment services from the current Industry provider to Government. This enables Government to build required capability.

## **Program Accomplishments**

## FY 2011 Accomplishments

- 1) LMP completed its Third and Final Deployment on 21 October 2010, where a total of 8 instances of CCSS and 42 instances of SDS were retired.
- 2) Provided additional level of support to deployed sites and users during the Post Go-Live Support phase to ensure a smooth Transition to Sustainment (TTS).
- 3) Continued to improve and enhance the LMP solution by addressing emerging statutory, regulatory, and policy requirements, high priority customer requests, external

audit recommendations, and required compliance (e.g., Financial compliance, eProcurement Phase I).

- 4) Received DIACAP 3-year Authority to Operate (ATO).
- 5) Continued to support Enterprise Ammunition, Automatic Identification Technology (AIT), and interfacing to General Fund Enterprise Business System (GFEBS).
- 6) Continued to address all Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM) requirements.
- 7) Continued to sustain the LMP deployed solution and residual legacy instances.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

- 1) Deliver a major functional release and periodic incremental updates for AMC critical development work, including solution extensions to the LMP deployed baseline to eliminate costly workarounds.
- 2) Maintain/achieve compliance to emerging statutory, regulatory, and policy requirements such as FFMIA, SFIS, Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA), and DIACAP.
- 3) Start migration of interfaces from SeeBeyond to Netweaver Phase II.
- 4) Provide the customers' requirements for enhanced and automated logistics functionality (e.g. EIB analysis and design) to the AMC depots, arsenals, and LCMCs with capabilities required to yield maximum benefits from the LMP system through shop floor control, automated identification technology, and Item Unique Identification required by DoD policy.
- 5) Purchase of Enterprise SAP and Oracle Licenses to support the Army plan to transition from current contract to an organic service provider.
- 6) Begin transition planning to ultimately transition LMP services to government agencies, including the knowledge transfer from the current service provider.
- 7) Continue to sustain the LMP deployed solution and residual legacy instances.

## FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- 1) Deliver a major functional release and periodic incremental updates for AMC critical development work, including solution extensions to the LMP deployed baseline to eliminate costly workarounds.
- 2) Maintain/achieve compliance to emerging statutory, regulatory, and policy requirements such as FFMIA, SFIS, Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA), and DIACAP.
- 3) Continue migration of interfaces from SeeBeyond to Netweaver Phase II.
- 4) Continue to provide the customers' requirements for EIB engineering development.
- 5) Develop and subsume additional functionality for Joint Munitions & Lethality (JM&L) Enterprise AMMO. 6) Perform planning and management of:
- a) National Maintenance Program (NMP) Installation enhancements as provided in current solution
- b) Army Prepositioned Stocks (APS) program to support the National Military Strategy by prepositioning critical war fighting stocks in strategic locations worldwide to reduce deployment response times for an Expeditionary and Transforming Army
- c) Budget formulation will support development of detailed budget plans in LMP from the individual installation up to the total AWCF.
- 7) Continue to transition LMP services from the current contract to an organic service provider.
- 8) Continue to sustain LMP deployed solution and residual legacy instances.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

## **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

AMC

**Component** 

Department of the Army

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Gabriel Saliba

LMP

## **Contract Information**

Name: Computer Sciences Corporation

City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported Functional and technical information exchanges covering requirements from the nine AMC functional areas (Acquisition, Distribution, Product

Function: Lifecycle

Management, Supply Chain Planning, Manufacturing/Remanufacturing, Maintenance Management, Industrial Base Operations, Warehouse

Management/Inventory Management, and Budget and Finance).

Name: Computer Sciences Corporation

City/State: Falls Church, VA Supported LMP Core

Function: Modernized Sustainment Services

Name: L-3 Services
City/State: Alexandria, VA

Supported Provides management, administrative, financial, technical, and business transformation support services to the LMP Project Management Office.

**Function:** 

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Logistics Modernization Program - Increment 2 (Expanded Industrial Base (EIB))

Planned Start Date: 2012-01-15 Planned Completion Date: 2015-10-15 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 32.670 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** LMP - Increment 1 was fully fielded as of October 2010 and is on target to achieve Full Deployment status on the deployed operational baseline in

December 2011. The objective of the LMP – Increment 2 is to enhance LMP by implementing and fully integrating the documented and approved

#### Milestones - Continued

Expanded Industrial Base (EIB) requirements. The EIB requirements include Shop Floor Automation (SFA), Item Unique Identification (IUID), Enterprise Equipment Master (EEM), Automated Identification Technology (AIT), and Plant Equipment Maintenance (PM). Over the past five years, the EIB requirements have emerged from the DoD, the Army and the AMC industrial base. Under close examination of the total requirements set and in line with the current LMP solution, it became clear that EIB requirements were very interrelated and needed to be designed and tested together in a comprehensive solution based on the SAP capabilities. Examination of the complexity involved in implementing these requirements independently is neither logical nor supportable within current resource constraints. Accordingly, the Army determined the need to address these requirements by expanding the LMP solution. The solution for these requirements will be designed, built, and tested together and implemented in cohesive packages to the LMP user community that will meet the critical needs of the various stakeholders, enabling the Department to achieve a clean audit while providing interoperable tools for total asset visibility across the Defense Enterprise. Ultimately, LMP – Increment 2 will enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the currently deployed solution by providing the critical (e.g. must have) SFA requirements integrated with IUID, EEM, AIT, and PM. The enhancements provided by EIB will provide access to real-time supply chain information at all levels of the enterprise down through the shop floor, and will result in manufacturing (MAN) and remanufacturing (REMAN) capabilities that will improve visibility of work-in-process (WIP), quality management, capacity planning, and traceability/genealogy capability; and implementation of electronic work instructions.

Implementation of a consolidated approach of these enhancements at the depots and arsenals will help minimize re-work, causative research, material cost escalation and labor c

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Expanded Industrial Base (EIB)	Planned: 2012-01-27	Planned: 2012-12-31	Planned: 32.670
	Projected: 2012-01-27	Projected: 2012-12-31	Projected: 32.670
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

The LMP Expanded Industrial Base (EIB) effort encompasses the development of Shop Floor Automation (SFA) capabilities and additional components to Army Materiel Command Industrial Base Operations. Once designed, developed, tested and deployed, the LMP EIB solution will interface with the Enterprise Equipment Master (EEM) record in Global Combat Support System-Army (GCSS-A). The solution will be AIT enabled and support more efficient inventory asset tracking. One of the primary objectives of the LMP EIB is to comply with AIT requirements based on transactional volume and efficiency impact. Therefore, AIT functional requirements will be integrated into the LMP roadmap in conjunction with Shop Floor Automation (SFA), Item Unique Identification (IUID), and the LMP Equipment Master (LEM). SFA capabilities will drive effective execution of manufacturing operations by guiding, triggering, and reporting plant activities as events occur from point-of-order release into manufacturing to point-of-product delivery to finished goods. Within the LMP suite, SFA will provide mission-critical information about production activities across the enterprise and supply chain. The collection, tracking, documenting, archiving, and analysis of the detailed shop floor data generated by the manufacturing activities will be performed in the SAP Complex Assembly Manufacturing System (CAMS) module. The required data will be exchanged between the SAP CAMS and SAP ECC. SFA will bridge the gap between the current ERP capabilities in LMP and the capabilities required on the shop floor. The power of full ERP integration, supplemented by the AIT, IUID, LEM, and shop floor automation technology, will provide a world-class solution to the management and maintenance of the Army's modernized arsenals, depots, and ammo plants. Initially, as a sub-component of the SFA requirements, the EIB solution will include tool crib management. This element of Plant Maintenance (PM) is considered to be a critical segment of the consolidation with SFA, AIT, LEM and

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

LMP was fully fielded in October 2010 and is currently used by approximately 25,000 users at more than 50 Army and Department of Defense (DoD) Continental United States (CONUS) and Outside the Continental United States (OCONUS) locations, including the Army's Communications-Electronics Command (CECOM) Life Cycle Management Command (LCMC), Aviation and Missile Command (AMCOM) LCMC, Tank-Automotive and Armaments Command (TACOM) LCMC, Joint Munitions and Lethality (JM&L) LCMC, Army Sustainment Command (ASC), and all depots and arsenals in the Industrial Operations Activity Group (IOAG), as well as the Defense Finance Accounting Service (DFAS).

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Army Materiel Command (AMC), including Commanding General and Deputy Commanding General AMC, AMC G-4, G-6 and G8, Logistics Support Agency (LOGSA), Lead AMC Integration Support Office (LAISO), Communications-Electronics Command (CECOM) Life Cycle Management Command (LCMC), Aviation and Missile Command (AMCOM) LCMC, Tank-Automotive and Armaments Command (TACOM) LCMC, Joint Munitions and Lethality (JM&L) LCMC, Army Sustainment Command (ASC), and all depots and arsenals in the Industrial Operations Activity Group (IOAG),;; Department of the Army (DA), including Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics and Technology, DA G-4, Program Executive Office Enterprise Information Systems Defense; Department of Defense, including Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics, Office of the Deputy Chief Management Officer, and Finance Accounting Service (DFAS).

## **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Budget Year (FY2013) activities for each appropriation are as follows:

Army Working Capital Funds (AWCF) Capital Investment Program (CIP) and AWCF Operations (OPS): \$276.759M and Operation and Maintenance, Army (OMA): \$6.900M -

The Logistics Modernization Program's (LMP's) goal is to modernize Army logistics business practices and supporting information technology to meet current and future military readiness requirements consistent with DoD's Business Systems Transition Plan. LMP continues to improve its capabilities as an enabler for the Army to achieve its commitment to having fully auditable AWCF financial statements.

The FY2013 AWCF CIP funds (\$116.959M): Deliver a major functional release and periodic incremental updates to provide enhanced and additional capability requirements as prioritized by AMC (21.169M); Maintain/achieve Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) compliance (\$1.098M); National Maintenance Program (NMP) Installation enhancements (\$1.708M) will improve the workloading and management processes for depot-level work performed through the NMP; Army Prepositioned Stock (APS) enhancements (\$4.271M) will bring the War Reserve Secondary Items Requirements Determination Process into LMP; Continue migration of interfaces from SeeBeyond to NetWeaver Phase II (\$6.314M); The Expanded Ammunition (\$8.707M) improves the capabilities of national-level ammunition management at JM&L; Expanded Industrial Base (EIB) prototyping phase (\$47.293M) will bring additional logistics functionality to the AMC Industrial Base Operations with capabilities required to yield maximum benefits from the LMP system through Shop Floor Automation, Automated Identification Technology, and Item Unique Identification as required by DoD policy; Non-Army Managed Items-Product Support Integration Directorate (NAMI-PSID) will integrate NAMI-PSID management and accountability into Enterprise Resource Planning Systems (\$11.400M); integrate LMP with other Army and Defense Logistics Agency defense business systems (\$14.999M).

AWCF OPS funds (\$159.880M): 24/7 operation and sustainment of modernized solution, corrective, preventive, and adaptive or regulatory changes, system access needs, help desk services for user support to include security, and workflow support. Hardware, software, and upgrades for data processing support and infrastructure services, and sustainment of the residual legacy instances. Support the Army plan to transition from the current contract to an organic service provider. Begin transition planning to ultimately transition LMP services to government agencies, including the knowledge transfer from the current service provider.

OMA funds (\$6.9M): Support the LMP Project Office to include Core LMP staff and support contractors, travel, training, supplies, and equipment refresh. Funds are used for sustainment, management, and oversight support of LMP system suite. LMP system suite supports the following functions: supply requisitions, repair part requisitions; depot level reparable; War Reserves prepositioning stocking, and replenishment; Ammunition management, storage, retrieval, and shipment. Additionally, the LMP suite supports these functions for national level logistics in order to provide and ensure uninterrupted support of tactical level logistics systems used by the joint warfighters daily.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

No reported funding for Future Year Defense Plan (FYDP).

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	2213	Acronym	MCS V6.4		
Name of Investment	MANEUVER	CONTROL SY	STEM, V6.4		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	IT OF THE AR	MY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	& CONTROL		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Tactical Battle Command (TBC) provides the tactical core environment and common services baseline for collaborative Command and Control (C2) executive decision making capabilities, maneuver functional and battle staff tools, and enterprise services. Maneuver Control System/Tactical Battle Command (MCS/TBC) is a suite of products and services that include the Command Post of the Future (CPOF), Battle Command Common Services (BCCS), Maneuver Control System (MCS), Joint Convergence effort with the Marine Corps, Tactical SharePoint Web Portal, Coalition Interoperability and integration of other Army Battle Command Systems (ABCS).

The original MCS program was a single, stand alone solution which has evolved to the multi-product program of today. TBC as defined by the elements below represents the evolution of the program.

- 1. CPOF serves as the Army's mission critical C2 system that provides collaborative and situational awareness tools to support decision making, planning, rehearsal and execution management. This capability is the primary tool used throughout the Army to manage the operations, brief commanders, and provide the fused Common Operational Picture.
- 2. BCCS provides the enabling infrastructure for ABCS and Tactical Battle Command which will migrate to the Net-Centric Enterprise Services (NCES) environment and Joint Command and Control Capability (J2C2). The Battle Command Server (BC Server) provides interoperability services including the Publish and Subscribe Service (PASS) and Data Dissemination Service (DDS). The server also supports Joint Convergence with the USMC by providing a data exchange gateway that allows the direct exchange of Common Operating Picture (COP) data between the joint services. SharePoint portal services are also provided for asynchronous collaboration managing business and operational processes and leveraging business intelligence tools for data analysis.
- 3. MCS Version 6.4 is a mission critical C2 system that allows commanders and staffs to visualize the battle space and synchronize the elements of combat power. MCS includes battle staff tools and maneuver functional capabilities including Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear (CBRN) tools and Engineering Tools for Combat and Construction Engineers.

TBC has a Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) approved Capabilities Production Document as of Jun 08, as well as an approved Acquisition Program Baseline in Feb 08.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	181,856	122,011	127,624	131,812
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0310700A 02-MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	155,733	78,031	57,628	64,171
0310705A 04-INITIAL SPARES - C&E	1,475	1,633	1,671	772
Procurement Total	157,208	79,664	59,299	64,943
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0203740A 07-MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	24,648	42,347	68,325	66,869
RDT&E Total	24,648	42,347	68,325	66,869

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	144.666	118.357	
FY 2013 President's Budget	122.011	127.624	5.61
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		9.267	
•	·		•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OPA: \$2.897M Decrease (5%)

This decrease from FY12 to FY13 is due to changes in initial fielding requirements for Tactical Battle Command. In addition to this, technical refresh requirements for Training Base locations is decreased from FY12 to FY13.

RDTE: \$12.164M Increase (22%)

This increase from FY12 to FY13 is due to additional requirements tied to the Mission Command (MC) Collapse Strategy for the development and integration efforts to allow for a MC solution with open architecture that produces a collaborative MC environment for Maneuver, Fires and Air supported by Intel and Logistics.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OPA: \$20.365M Decrease (26%)

Decrease is due to the proper alignment of dollars with TBC fielding requirements. The latest validated Unit Set Fielding schedule calls for a smaller amount of hardware, software and associated support costs based upon the common client effort.

RDTE: \$25.978M Increase (61%)

Increase is due to additional requirements tied to the Collapse Strategy. Increase is also caused by a Congressional Mark taken in Current CY of \$22.588M.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Continued Joint Convergence Engineering and Development.

Conducted continuing CPOF Development of 7.0 baseline.

Conducted Battle Command Collapse Development and Integration.

Continued Battle Command Common Services Development.

Completed initial fielding of TMC equipment to 63 units in accordance with unit, set fielding schedule.

Completed technical refresh of required Active, National Guard and Reserve units.

Continued TMC field support.

Continued hardware procurement of TMC suite of products.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Continue Joint Convergence Engineering and Development.

Complete CPOF 7.0 Development.

Commence CPOF 8.0 Development.

Continue Battle Command Collapse Development and Integration.

Continue Battle Command Common Services Development.

Complete initial fielding of TMC equipment to 45 units in accordance with unit, set fielding schedule.

Complete technical refresh of required Active, National Guard and Reserve units.

Continue TMC field support.

Continue hardware procurement of TMC suite of products.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Funding provides for the continuation of Mission Command Collapse development and integration efforts to allow for a single Mission Command solution with an open architecture that produces a collaborative Mission Command environment for Maneuver, Fires and Air supported by Intel and Logistics.

Funding also provides for the continuing procurement of Tactical Mission Command (TMC) equipment and associated field support for the Active Army, Reserve, and National Guard Units in support of the Unit Set Fielding schedule. This will also procure TMC associated field support for deploying Active Army, Reserve, and National Guard Units above and beyond original Base requirements. This is in support of the Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) Surge and fielding to Army Service Component Commands (ASCCs), ESD (Equipment Sourcing Document) and Modernization units.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Continue to initially field and conduct technical refresh of units in accordance with unit set fielding schedule and to develop capabilities as planned for Capability Sets. Continue development of Battle Command Collapse.

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Tactical Mission Command (TMC)

#### **Component**

Department of the Army

#### **Acquisition**

ASA ALT

### **Program Management**

John Leonforte

Project Manager Mission Command (PM MC)

## **Contract Information**

Name: General Dynamics C4 Systems, Inc.

City/State: Scottsdale, AZ

**Supported** Command Post of the Future (CPOF) Development

Function:

Name: Lockheed Martin Corporation

City/State: Tinton Falls, NJ

**Supported** Joint Convergence Product Development (JCPD)

Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: BCCS Software Development and Technical Support

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 5.394 (dollars in millions)

Description: Continuation of development of BCCS architecture to provide the standardized Mission Command infrastructure including; Information Services

Infrastructure (ISI), ABCS Interoperability Services, and Collaboration Services.

**Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs** BCCS Software Development and Technical Support 2012-09-30 Planned: 2011-10-01 Planned: Planned: 5.394 Projected: 2011-10-01 Projected: 2012-09-30 Projected: 5.394 0.000 Actual: Description Actual: 2011-10-01 Actual:

Continuation of development of BCCS architecture to provide the standardized Mission Command infrastructure including: Information Services Infrastructure, ABCS

Interoperability Services, and Collaboration Services.

Milestones - Cor	 ntinued							
Project Name:	<b>Collapse Development</b>	and Integration						
Planned Start	t Date: 2011-10-01	Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	Planned Live	e Cycle Cost:	35.566	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b>	Development and integr	ration tied to Battle Command C	ollapse strategy fo	or various Miss	sion Command	products.		
<b>Activity Name</b>		Start Date Completion Date			Total Costs			
Collapse Develo	opment and Integration		Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.370
				2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	12.968
Description			Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Development	t and integration tied to Batt	le Command Collapse strategy for v	arious Mission Cor	nmand products.				
Project Name:	<b>CPOF Development</b>							
Planned Start	t Date: 2011-10-01	Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	16.571	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b>		t of Command Post of the Future						
		execution management. Develo			on (3G) will e	nable full-spect	rum operations, g	global
	scalability and seamless	transition between connected ar	nd disconnected o	perations.				
Activity Name				Date		etion Date	Total (	
CPOF Develop	ment		Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	16.571
			Projected:		Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	16.571
Description			Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
		ding an array of real-time situationall-spectrum operations, global scala						gement.
Project Name:		n-spectrum operations, global scala	offity and seamless	transition betwe	en connected at	ia disconnected o	perations.	
•	**	Dlamad Completion Date.	2012 00 20	Dlammad I ima	Crusto Costo	20.240	(dollars in	:111: aa)
	t Date: 2011-10-01	Planned Completion Date:		Planned Live	•			
Activity Name	11 1	atives, Senior Trainers and othe	U 11	erioris in supp t <b>Date</b>		etion Date	nand suite of pro- <b>Total (</b>	
Field Support			Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	28.340
			Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	28.350
			Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Description			, CC , CTD.	Cproducts				
-	d Support Representatives, S	Senior Trainers and other fielding su	ipport efforts of TM	c products.				
CONUS Field	d Support Representatives, S Hardware Procurement	<del>-</del>	ipport efforts of TM	ic products.				
CONUS Field Project Name:		<del>-</del>		Planned Live	e Cycle Cost:	18.032	(dollars in	millions)

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Suite of products hardware procurement	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	18.032
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	18.032
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Workstation and BCCS Server hardware procurement for initial and technical	al refresh of units in	accordance with	Unit Set Fieldi	ing schedule.		
Project Name: Joint Convergence Development						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	3.899	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Continuing development of MIP enables Coalition comlevel.	manders to exchar	nge digital battle	efield informa	ation among cou	ntries from Corp	s to Company
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Joint Convergence Development	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	3.899
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	3.899
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Continuing development of MIP enables Coalition commanders to exchange	digital battlefield in	nformation amon	g countries from	n Corps to Compa	any level.	
Project Name: Program Management Support						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	3.212	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Management and associated support for Tactical Missio	n Command deve	lopment efforts	S.			
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Program Management Support tied to Development and Integration efforts.	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	3.212
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	3.212
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Management and associated support for TMC development efforts.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Program Management Support	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	7.086
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	7.086
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Program support costs to monitor and execute the program.						
Project Name: Software Licenses and Support						
			~ . ~ .	0.4.5.60	(1.11.	•111•
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	24.563	(dollars in	millions)

Activity Name		Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total	Costs
Software Licenses and Support		Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	24.563
		Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	24.563
Description		Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Initial software license costs, maintenance	ce licenses, as well as associated sof	tware support.					
ject Name: Test and Evaluation							
jeet rame. Test and Evaluation							
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01	Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	0.360	(dollars in	millions)
	-			•			millions)
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01	-	on and associated		ctical Mission			
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Description: Continuing government	-	on and associated	support for Ta	ctical Mission	Command base	eline.	
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Description: Continuing government Activity Name	-	on and associated Start	support for Ta t Date 2011-10-01	ctical Mission Compl	Command base etion Date	eline. Total	Costs

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

TMC customers are Army combatant and force commanders and staffs at battalion level and above, operationally deployed and in garrison environments. TMC capability is the combined arms commander's mission critical information display system. It is found in primary command and control vehicles on the ground and in the air, and in joint and tactical command posts (CP) of maneuver battalions through corps. TMC will be employed in both heavy and light corps; light infantry, mechanized, air assault, and airborne divisions; separate heavy and light brigades, Stryker Brigade Combat Team (SBCT); ranger; and armored cavalry regiments. The TMC suite of products is also employed in aviation, engineer, special operations forces, chemical, signal, and military police units. The program also receives oversight from HQDA staff elements (G3, G6, G8), as well as the Department of Defense Chief Information Officer (DoD CIO).

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

The stakeholders for this investment are the Program Executive Office Command, Control, and Communications-Tactical (PEO C3T) and the HQDA staff that supports this program (G3, G6, G8) as well as the Department of Defense Chief Information Officer (DoD CIO). The TRADOC Capability Manager provided a validated need statement.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

## Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

RDTE: \$68.325M in funding provides for the continuation of Mission Command Collapse development and integration efforts to allow for a single Mission Command solution with an open architecture that produces a collaborative Mission Command environment for Maneuver, Fires and Air supported by Intel and Logistics.

OPA: \$59.299M in funding provides for the continuing procurement of Tactical Mission Command (TMC) equipment and associated field support for the Active Army, Reserve, and National Guard Units in support of the Unit Set Fielding schedule. This will also procure TMC associated field support for deploying Active Army, Reserve, and National Guard Units above and beyond original Base requirements. This is in support of the Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) Surge and fielding to Army Service Component Commands (ASCCs), ESD (Equipment Sourcing Document) and Modernization units.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

RDTE: Efforts in BY+1 through BY+5 will support the completion of Collapse development. This will also support associated test, interoperability, integration, safety and security efforts in support of the strategy.

OPA: Efforts in BY+1 through BY+5 will support initial fielding of TMC equipment as well as technical refresh efforts. Technical refresh is meant to replace the obsolete hardware with current equipment to provide units with current software versions with increased capability in order to achieve a standardized baseline across the Army, which is synchronized with deployment of new capability.

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	3448	Acronym	MCDL		
Name of Investment	MARINE COF	RPS DISTANC	E LEARNING		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE NA	AVY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

# **Brief Summary of This Investment**

THREE TIERED ONLINE LEARNING SYSTEM THAT PROVIDES WEB-BASED TRAINING AND EDUCATION FOR MARINES, GOVERNMENT CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES, AND SELECTED FAMILY MEMBERS.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	24,628	40,398	36,425	34,907
Operations				
O&M, MC				
0804751M 03-Professional Development Education	9,110	9,264	8,860	9,025
0804756M 03-Training Support	15,039	25,177	23,238	21,769
Operations Total	24,149	34,441	32,098	30,794
Procurement				
Procurement, MC				
0206211M 06-TRAINING DEVICES	19	19	0	0
0206313M 04-COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	460	5,938	4,327	4,113
Procurement Total	479	5,957	4,327	4,113

## **Program Change Summary**

	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	40.398	37.018	
FY 2013 President's Budget	40.398	36.425	-3.97
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-0.593	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Changes made to support higher Marine Corps priorities.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Changes made to support higher Marine Corps priorities.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Operations and sustainment of the DL Program

- Network Operations Center (NOC) Support hosting and delivery of e-courseware; IA; security updating and patching; hardware and software maintenance; Learning Management System (LMS) maintenance; help desk; courseware testing; Configuration Management.
- Contractor Logistics Support (CLS) Support for fielded equipment
- Electronic Courseware Development
- Development and Delivery of DPME Programs for both Officers and Enlisted Personnel
- Hardware (HW) and Software (SW) refresh of selected Commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) of fielded equipment

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Operations and sustainment of the DL Program

- Network Operations Center (NOC) Support hosting and delivery of e-courseware; IA; security updating and patching; hardware and software maintenance; Learning Management System (LMS) maintenance; help desk; courseware testing; Configuration Management.
- Contractor Logistics Support (CLS) Support for fielded equipment
- Electronic Courseware Development

- Development and Delivery of Distance Professional Military Education (DPME) Programs for both Officers and Enlisted Personnel
- Hardware (HW) and Software (SW) refresh of selected Commercial-off-the-Shelf (COTS) fielded equipment

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Operations and sustainment of the DL Program

- Network Operations Center (NOC) Support hosting and delivery of e-courseware; IA; security updating and patching; hardware and software maintenance; Learning Management System (LMS) maintenance; help desk; courseware testing; Configuration Management.
- Contractor Logistics Support (CLS) Support for fielded equipment
- Electronic Courseware Development
- Development and Delivery of Distance Professional Military Education (DPME) Programs for both Officers and Enlisted Personnel
- Hardware (HW) and Software (SW) refresh of selected Commercial-off-the-Shelf (COTS) fielded equipment

## FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Operations and sustainment of the DL Program

- NOC Support hosting and delivery of e-courseware; IA; security updating and patching; hardware and software maintenance; Learning Management System (LMS) maintenance; help desk; courseware testing; Configuration Management.
- CLS Support for fielded equipment
- Electronic Courseware Development
- Development and Delivery of DPME Programs for both Officers and Enlisted Personnel
- -HW and SW refresh of selected COTS fielded equipment

## **Management Oversight**

### **Functional**

## Component

Department of the Navy

## **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

Col David A. Smith

## **Contract Information**

Name: Professional Software Engineering, Inc. (PROSOFT)

City/State: Virginia Beach, VA

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** Contractor Logistics Support (CLS). Provides support to all DL fielded assets.

**Function:** 

**Milestones/Schedules** Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Marine Corps Training & Education Command College of Distance Education & Training are the requirements sponsor for the MC Distlance Learning Program. Ultimate customers are all Marine and Marine Civilians that the system serves.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Marine Corps Training & Education Command College of Distance Education & Training MARCORSYSCOM PM TRASYS

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC);

(\$32.0M) fund the operations and sustainment of the Marine Corps Distance Learning Program (MCDLP) which includes the development and delivery of electronic courseware and other learning products that meet critical Marine Corps training and education requirements (MOS - skill progression training, common skills training, Professional Military Education (PME) and Pre-deployment training); Contractor Logistics Support (CLS) for Learning Resource Centers - the locations where Marines can go to access electronic training and education at Marine bases and stations worldwide; the Network Operations Center (NOC), which hosts and delivers electronic courseware and other training products for Marines worldwide; COTS software maintenance; Marine Corps Training and Education Command (TECOM) College of Distance Education and Training (CDET) operations; and the development and delivery of officer and enlisted Distance PME (DPME) Programs. CDET DPME programs support enlisted Marines, Lance Corporal - Gunnery Sergeant and officers, Chief Warrant Officer - Major and provide requisite PME for the 80% of Marine officers and enlisted leaders who are not afforded the opportunity to attend the resident courses.

Procurement, Marine Corp (PMC);

(\$4.3M) funding will be used for the refresh of selected Commercial-off-the-Shelf (COTS) hardware, software and peripherals for the Distance Learning Network Operations Center (NOC) and content servers and software on the Marine Corps Enterprise Network (MCEN).

## Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Operation and Maintenance Marine Corps (OMMC);

(\$120.5M) funding will continue to be used for the operations and sustainment of the Marine Corps Distance Learning Program (MCDLP) which includes the development

and delivery of electronic courseware and other learning products that meet critical Marine Corps training and education requirements (MOS - skill progression training, common skills training, Professional Military Education (PME) and Pre-deployment training); Contractor Logistics Support (CLS) for Learning Resource Centers - the locations where Marines can go to access electronic training and education at Marine bases and stations worldwide; the Network Operations Center (NOC) which hosts and delivers electronic courseware and other training products for Marines worldwide; COTS software maintenance; TECOM CDET operations; and the development and delivery of officer and enlisted Distance Professional Military Education (DPME) Programs. CDET DPME programs support enlisted Marines, Lance Corporal - Gunnery Sergeant and officers, Chief Warrant Officer - Major and provide requisite PME for the 80% of Marine officers and enlisted leaders who are not afforded the opportunity to attend the resident courses.

#### Procurement, Marine Corp (PMC);

(\$12.0M) funding will be used for the refresh of COTS hardware, software and peripherals for the Deployable Learning Resource Center (DLRC) suites. It will also refresh hardware and software for the Network Operation Center as well as content servers and software on the Marine Corps Enterprise Network (MCEN).

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6525	Acronym	MCTFS-P		
Name of Investment	MARINE COR	RPS TOTAL FO	ORCE SYSTEM-PERSONNE	EL .	
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE NA	AVY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

MCTFS is an integrated pay and personnel system supporting personnel management requirements for active duty, reserve, and retired Marines. As well as supporting Marine Corps personnel functions, the system establishes, computes, and pays active duty and reserve Marines. (The pay function for retired Marines is processed through DRAS).

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	8,450	36,277	34,292	31,924
Operations				
O&M, MC				
0808716M 01-Field Logistics	6,798	20,017	32,574	30,164
0901220M 04-Administration	0	14,580	0	0
O&M, MC Res				
0502514M 01-Operating Forces	1,652	1,680	1,718	1,760
Operations Total	8,450	36,277	34,292	31,924

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	36.277	36.400	
FY 2013 President's Budget	36.277	34.292	-1.99
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-2.108	
•			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The Vertical change between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 of \$36.400M and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 of \$34.292M is a funding change that reduced funding \$2.108M (-\$2.110M Operation & Maintenance Marine Corps (OMMC) decrease and +.002M Operation & Maintenance Marine Corps Reserve (OMMCR) increase).

-\$1.979M decrease in OMMC to fund contractor labor for Marine Corps Total Force System (MCTFS) sustainment was due to -\$.034M reduction for investments in commercial software and software maintenance by establishing and managing Department of the Navy (DON) Enterprise Software Licenses (ESL) for software in wide use within the DON that are supported by a Business Case Analysis, -\$2.0M reduction for USMC IT, and an increase of +\$0.059M Economic Assumption (EA)-008 Purchase Inflation and a reduction of -\$0.004 EA-011 Working Capital Fund Adjustments.

\$.002M increase in OMMCR to fund contractor labor for Marine Corps Total Force System sustainment was due \$0.007M increase in EA-008 Purchase Inflation and a -\$0.005M decrease EA-011 Working Capital Fund Adjustment.

Effect of \$1.977M decrease (combined -\$1.979M OMMC and \$.002M OMMCR): Contractor Labor for FY13 MCTFS sustainment will be reduced by 26,000 hours to compensate for reduction in funding. 26,000 hours of Software release system change requests for legislative, regulatory, mission essential, resetting force changes and contingencies will not be done in FY13.

-\$0.131M decrease in OMMC funding for civilian personnel (CIVPERS) was a result of -0.033M funding reduction of capping CIVPERS individual awards to 1% of basic compensation (PBE-13 Position NCB-13 Delta), -0.012M funding reduction capping CIVPERS individual awards to 1% of basic compensation (PBE-13 Position NCB-13 Delta), -0.008M CIVPERS - Resource Management Decision 702 EA-007 (CIVPERS Pay Raise Reduction Ver. 2 Part I) (NCB-13 Position OSD-13 Deltas) and a -0.078M CIVPERS - RMD 702 EA-007 (CIVPERS Pay Raise Reduction Ver. 2 Part II) (NCB-13 Position OSD-13 Delta).

Effect of -\$.0131M OMMC funding reduction for civilian labor, awards will be limited to 1% of compensation and civilian raises will be reduced.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Horizontal Change between the FY2012 \$36.277M and FY2013 \$34.292M columns of the FY2013 President's Budget Request is a decrease of -\$1.985M (\$-2.023 Operation & Maintenance Marine Corps (OMMC) decrease and +\$.038M Operation & Maintenance Marine Corps Reserve (OMMCR) increase).

OMMC for Contractor Labor in FY12 was \$20.017M, FY13 President's Budget Request was \$17.733M. Funding reduction for Contractor Labor is \$2.284M from FY12 to FY13 from the following OSD-13 changes reducing FY13 from \$19.678M to \$17.733M:

\$-2.000M ALTPOM-13: USMC IT Reductions

\$0.013M Economic Assumptions (EA)-008 PURCHASE INFLATION

\$0.046M EA-008 Purchase Inflation

\$-0.001M EA-011 Working Capital Fund (WCF) Adjustments

\$-0.003M EA-011 WCF Adjustments

OMMCR for Contractor Labor in FY12 was \$1.68M, FY13 President's Budget Request was 1.718M. Funding increase for Contractor Labor of \$.038M from FY12 to FY13 from the following OSD-13 changes increasing FY13 from \$1.716M to \$1.718M:

\$0.007M EA-008 Purchase Inflation

\$-0.005M EA-011 WCF Adjustments

\$0.002M Plus up over FY12

Effect of Funding decrease from FY12 to FY13 of -\$2.246M (combined -\$2.284M OMMC + \$.038M OMMCR): Contractor Labor for FY13 MCTFS sustainment will be reduced 30,000 hours due to funding decrease. 30,000 less hours than FY12 will be done performing Software release system change requests for legislative, regulatory, mission essential, resetting force changes and contingencies will not be done in FY13.

OMMC for Civilian Labor in FY12 was \$14.58M, FY13 President's Budget Request is \$14.841M. Funding increased for Civilian Labor by \$.261M from FY12 to FY13 from the following OSD-13 changes decreasing Civilian Labor FY13 funding from \$14.927M to \$14.841M:

\$-0.002M CIVPERS - RMD 702 EA-007 (CIVPERS Pay Raise Reduction Ver. 2 Part I)

\$-0.006M CIVPERS - RMD 702 EA-007 (CIVPERS Pay Raise Reduction Ver. 2 Part I)

\$-0.02M CIVPERS - RMD 702 EA-007 (CIVPERS Pay Raise Reduction Ver. 2 Part II)

\$-0.058M CIVPERS - RMD 702 EA-007 (CIVPERS Pay Raise Reduction Ver. 2 Part II)

Effect of -\$.261M OMMC funding increase from FY12 to FY13 for civilian labor, will allow limited civilian employee awards and reduced civilian raises.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

Automate Reserve Garnishment Processing and Treasury Offset System.

Voucher Interface File(EDA) - automated release of dataset file to interface

Automate Cost of Living Adjustment when reporting change to Basic Allowance Housing (BAH)

Stop Family Separation Allowance when duty transfer or stopping BAH

Correct the Calculations of Tax Exempt Wages for Commissioned Officers.

Correct Calculation Lump Sum Leave in Excess of 60 Days Reserve Marines on Active Duty.

Phase I New TSP Roth 401(K) created in Accordance with Public Law 111-31.

Current Selective Reenlistment Bonus Process for Auto Triggering of SRB Zone Payments.

Add Joint Experience to MCTFS from the Joint Duty Assignments Management Information System (JDAMIS) at DMDC

Deletion of Excess Additional Training Period and Readiness Management Period Waivers

Record of Emergency Data

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Modification(MOD) to Deduction Process of Building/Starting/Stopping the 968 Retirement Home Deduction

Pension Not Waived to Review Pay and Allowances For Retro Reporting

Removing the Individual Ready Reserve Pre-Postitioned Check Muster Process

Establish transaction to Report Stored Value Card

MOD to Inclusion of Servicemembers Group Life Insurance(SGLI)/Family SGLI/ Tramatic Injury Protection SGLI – so determination of applicable coverage amounts of insurance when providing an accounting of monthly payments to the VA and when performing internal audits.

MOD to Q Allotments – file changes to support the automation of court-martial allotment.

Automate Creation of State Tax/Reserve Federal Tax Vouchers

New Activation Status Code – Executive Order 13529 of 16 January 2010, ordered the activation of the Selected Reserve and certain Individual Ready Reserve members of the Armed Forces

MOD for revisions of the way Respite Absence is Earned

Create a Separate Operational Support Extract for Defense Manpower Data Center

Collection Server Request Manager User Account Clean-Up to Comply with Mandated Information Assurance

Automated Voucher Process Phase V

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Correct Erroneous Processing of Combat Leave

MOD to Reserve Overpaid Reports/Eliminate Pay Hold Flags 'C' & 'E'

Selective Reenlistment Bonus Process for MCTFS to SABRS Interface/Expansion of Q-Records

Unclaimed Monies for Separated Members –create pay codes to record movement of unclaimed monies to the proper Treasury fund & allow for future disbursement from that account should a claim be made.

MOD to the Savings Deposit Program Process to Document Negative Transactions.

MOD to Document Tracking Mgmt to support the DO's Indebtedness Notification Process.

Foreign Language Test Range.

Create New Fields Billet Identification Code & Assigned Billet Identification Code & MOD to the FAP Billet Identification Code –(part of the Global Force Management Data Initiative (GFMDI)).

MOD to Time Lost Processing.

New transactions to Report and Remove Basic Allowance Housing (BAH) Dependents Cert Date.

Implement Automatic Credit of Returned Net Pay/Allotment Electronic Fund Transfer Payments.

Request Leave While Awaiting Separation (LWAS) Process Part II

Reserve Component Workforce Transaction File

Restoring Select Grade Modifications (Join/Drop Erroneous)

Modify Service School Completion and Martial Arts Program

Balance Transfer upon Join to Active Duty/Reserve

MOD Worse Case Scenario

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Provide civilian and contractor labor for (MCTFS) Pay and Personnel Systems operational support, maintenance, and sustainment. FY14 Civilian and Contractor labor will provide operational support to maintain production, software integration and acceptance test environments, maintenance, improvements to system performance and resolution of production trouble reports and provide design, programming and testing to sustain MCTFS, and MCTFS Software Release(SR) 2-13 (Oct 2013) & SR1-14 (Apr 2014).

## **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Manpower and Reserve Affairs and Programs & Resour

#### **Component**

Department of the Navy

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

Clinton Swett

USMC, Program & Resources, Technology Services Org

## **Contract Information**

Name: Computer Sciences Corporation

City/State: Falls Church, VA

**Supported** Software maintenance, operational support and sustainment for military pay, personnel, manpower and accounting systems.

Function:

<u>Milestones/Schedules</u> Investment is operational. No milestone information has been entered.

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

MCTFS-Personnel is an integrated pay and manpower system.

MCTFS-Manpower: Per SECNAVINST 5000.2C, section 5.2.2.1, the Deputy Commandant for Manpower and Reserve Affairs (DC, M&RA) is designated the Functional Manager for Marine Corps Automated Information Systems (AISs). The Deputy Commandant (DC), Manpower and Reserve Affairs (M&RA), Manpower Information (MI) is MCTFS Manpower customer and acts as the proponents for all USMC matters and activities (units) with respect to the MCTFS Manpower Memorandum of Understanding (MOU).

MCTFS-Pay: RFF, Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC, Programs and Resources), The Marine Corps Pay Requirements Directorate (MCPRD) is the MCTFS Pay customer and acts as the proponent for all Marine Corps Pay System matters with respect to the MCTFS Pay Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)

Standard Accounting, Budgeting and Reporting System - HQ, Marine Corps , Programs and Resources Department, RFA DFAS SABRS Program Management Office (PMO)

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

MCTFS-Manpower Information Systems Support Activity (MISSA) function as the primary Marine Corps representative for Manpower and Reserve Affairs (M&RA), Manpower Information (MI). All Marine Corps requests for information and support from the TSO are requested through the MISSA. MISSA provides information supplied by the TSO back to requesting organizations.

Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC), Programs and Resources (P&R) Department, Technology Services Organization (TSO) Kansas City, Missouri provides resources and support in performing a variety of software development and technology services for the United States Marine Corps (USMC) commands and activities.

# **Funding Accomplishments**

## Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve (OMMCR);

(\$1.7M) supports MCTFS-(Manpower) operational support, maintenance, and sustainment. The major cost element is contractor labor.

Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC);

(\$32.5M) (was \$34.6M) supports MCTFS Personnel (Pay and Manpower) operational support, maintenance, and sustainment. The major cost elements are civilian and contractor labor.

MCTFS-Pay and MCTFS-Manpower. MCTFS-Pay includes MCTFS Pay, Remote Access Pay Transaction Reporting System, Document Tracking and Management System, Discharge Account Separation, Management Reports, Standard Accounting Budgeting Reporting System (SABRS), and SABRS Management Analytical Retrieval Tools System (SMARTS) support. MCTFS-Manpower includes MCTFS-Manpower, Operational Data Store Enterprise and Unit Diary/Marine Integrated Personnel System support.

Provides mission essential support for DON Financial Improvement Plan to strengthen MCTFS business processes and system, transformation will result in information that is accurate, reliable and accessible, unqualified audit opinion, and SBR audit readiness. Regulatory and legislative required changes will be done, Marines will be paid accurately and on time, provide proper accounting of MILPERS and MC appropriations saving MC funding.

MCTFS-Pay meets OSD standards for pay accuracy, timeliness, and legislative responsiveness with 99.9% Pay Accuracy. MCTFS and SABRS are Capability Maturity Model Integrated Level 3 Certified. BSC metrics are used to track Quality, Cost and Schedule Performance. MCTFS processes +25 million personnel and pay transactions annually. MCTFS computes average of \$590M semi-monthly pay period totaling \$14.2B annually. MCTFS accounts for 59.8% of USMC TOA. FY13 Civilian and Contractor labor will provide operational support to maintain production, software integration/acceptance test environments and maintain and improve system performance and fix production trouble reports. FY13 Civilian and Contractor Labor will provide design, programming and testing of modifications to existing functionality; regulatory, legislative and audit driven changes for two MCTFS and three SABRS sustainment releases in FY13. 26,000 hours of Software release system change requests for legislative, regulatory, mission essential, resetting force changes and contingencies will not be done in FY13 due to reduction in funding from FY12 President's Budget Request.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC);

(\$107.2M) (was \$120.5M) supports Marine Corps Total Force System (MCTFS) Personnel (Pay and Manpower) operational support, maintenance, and sustainment. The major cost elements are civilian and contractor labor.

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps/Reserve (OMMCR);

(\$7.2M) (was \$7.2M) supports (MCPC 501102) MCTFS-Manpower operational support, maintenance, and sustainment. The major cost element is contractor labor.

Marine Corps Total Force System (MCTFS)-Personnel includes MCTFS-Pay and MCTFS-Manpower. MCTFS-Pay includes MCTFS Pay, Remote Access Pay Transaction Reporting System, Document Tracking and Management System, Discharge Account Separation, Management Reports, Standard Accounting Budgeting Reporting System and SMARTS support.

MCTFS-Manpower includes MCTFS-Manpower, Operational Data Store Enterprise and Unit Diary/Marine Integrated Personnel System support.

Provides mission essential support for DON Financial Improvement Plan to strengthen MCTFS business processes and system, transformation will result in information that is accurate, reliable and accessible, unqualified audit opinion, and SBR audit readiness. Regulatory and legislative required changes will be done. Marines will be paid

accurately and on time, provide proper accounting of MILPERS and MC appropriations saving MC funding.

MCTFS-Pay meets OSD standards for pay accuracy, timeliness, and legislative responsiveness with 99.9% Pay Accuracy. MCTFS and SABRS are Capability Maturity Model Integrated Level 3 Certified. BSC metrics are used to track Quality, Cost and Schedule Performance. MCTFS processes +25M personnel and pay transactions annually. MCTFS computes average of \$590M semi-monthly pay period totaling \$14.2B annually. MCTFS accounts for 59.8% of USMC TOA.

Civilian and Contractor labor will provide operational support to maintain production, software integration/acceptance test environments and maintain and improve system performance and fix production trouble reports. FY14-FY17 Civilian and Contractor Labor will provide design, programming and testing of modifications to existing functionality; regulatory, legislative and audit driven changes for two MCTFS and three SABRS sustainment releases in each FY. 147,000 contractor hours of Software release system change requests for legislative, regulatory, mission essential, resetting force changes and contingencies will not be done during FY14-FY17 due to reduction

in funding from FY12 President's Budget Request for FY14-FY17 and FY13 President's Budget Request for FY14-FY17.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1191	Acronym	MIRS		
Name of Investment	MEPCOM INT	EGRATED R	ESOURCE SYSTEM		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	MY		
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

US MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING COMMAND INTEGRATED RESOURCE SYSTEM (USMEPCOM MIRS): MIRS provides the automation and communications capability for USMEPCOM to meet its peacetime, mobilization, and wartime military manpower accession mission for the Armed Services. USMEPCOM conducts its work through 65 MEPS across the country. The main objectives of the 65 Military Entrance Processing Stations (MEPS) is to conduct aptitude tests, medical examinations, and administratively process, enlist, and ship applicants for the Armed Forces and Reserves; conduct aptitude tests, medical examinations and determine acceptability, administratively process, allocate, induct and ship Selective Service System registrants, when required; and provide aptitude and medical examination services for other Federal agencies, as requested. MIRS interfaces with recruiting capabilities for the services, incorporating the concept of electronic data sharing using standard Department of Defense (DoD) data elements between USMEPCOM and all the Armed Services recruiting and accession commands. In the event a military draft is required, MIRS directly supports mobilization through electronic links with the Selective Service system and its ability to provide processing and shipment to boot camp capability for those drafted into military service.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	39,636	33,300	33,450	36,438
Operations				
O&M, Army				
0801715A 03-Examining	27,883	27,351	33,450	25,365
Operations Total	27,883	27,351	33,450	25,365
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0219900A 02-AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	11,190	5,286	0	11,073
Procurement Total	11,190	5,286	0	11,073
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0605013A 05-USMEPCOM TRANSFORMTION - IT MODERNIZATION	563	663	0	0
RDT&E Total	563	663	0	0

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	DV 2012	Change FY 2012	
<u>-</u>	FY 2012	FY 2013	vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	34.320	42.096	
FY 2013 President's Budget	33.300	33.450	0.15
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-8.646	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OMA: \$4.388M Increase (15%)

Increase in OMA funding required to sustain USMIRS until replaced by new Applicant Processing System.

OPA: \$13.034M Decrease (100%)

Funding cut as USMIRS was expected to be sunset in FY13

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OMA: \$6.099M Increase (22%)

USMIRS was to undergo sunset beginning in FY13 following FY12 fielding of the Virtual Interactive Processing System (VIPS). VIPS has been delayed. Funding increase is due to USMIRS sustainment vice sunset.

OPA: \$5.286M Decrease (100%)

Funding cut in anticipation of USMIRS sunset

RDTE: \$.663M Decrease (100%)

No funding was provided to USMEPCOM in FY13, since funding was provided to support development and fielding of the Virtual Interactive Processing System.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Due to the expected fielding of the Virtual Interactive Processing System (VIPS), the investment has been in limited sustainment over the PY.

- Maintained USMIRS, associated network infrastructure and associated Applicant Processing Systems in order to meet DoD and Army Certification and Accreditation requirements.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Maintain USMIRS, associated network infrastructure and associated Applicant Processing Systems in order to meet DoD and Army Certification and Accreditation requirements and changes to enlistment standards including changes to applicable law.
- Migration of USMIRS HQ and Military Entrance Processing Stations (MEPS) servers to Oracle 11g, current versions will no longer be supportable.
- Technology refresh of obsolete application servers at the HQ and MEPS. This effort only includes lifecycle of equipment and does not include modernization or virtualization efforts of USMIRS.
- Technology refresh of workstation components across the system. This involves replacement of approximately 2500 desktop computers across the MEPS and HQ used predominantly to process applicants.
- Technology refresh of Network Switch cards at 65 geographically separated (MEPS)
- Technology refresh of Electronic Fingerprint Capture Stations, used to capture fingerprints for background investigations. Fingerprints are captured and transmitted to Office of Personnel Management (OPM) and Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) for results.
- Technology refresh of network intrusion and monitoring devices and software at HQ and MEPS

## FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- Maintain USMIRS and associated Applicant Processing Systems in order to meet DoD and Army Certification and Accreditation requirements and changes to enlistment standards including changes to applicable law

## FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

- FY14 requirements will be limited to those necessary to sustain USMIRS and applicant processing until sunsetting of USMIRS. This is anticipated to occur during FY16 after Virtual Interactive Processing System Increment 1.0 is fully operational.
- Maintain USMIRS and associated Applicant Processing Systems in order to meet DoD and Army Certification and Accreditation requirements, and support changes to enlistment standards including changes to applicable laws.

### **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

USMEPCOM

**Component** 

Department of the Army

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Eddie McIntyre

#### **Contract Information**

Name: COMPUTERS UNIVERSAL

City/State: WESTERVILLE, OH

Supported Labor for Exchange, Network, Windows Server and Desktop Support

Function:

Name: COMPUTERS UNIVERSAL
City/State: WESTERVILLE, OH

Supported Labor for Systems Analysis, Engineering and Programming Support

Function:

Name: WORLD WIDE TECHNOLOGY HOLDING CO., INC.

City/State: MARYLAND HEIGHTS, MO

**Supported** Procurement of 233 Cisco 6500 Network Switch Cards

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Technology refresh for FY12

Planned Start Date: 2011-11-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-12-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 22.500 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** This effort involves the technology refresh of Electronic Fingerprint Capture Stations, USMIRS HQ and Military Entrance Processing Stations

(MEPS) servers, Cisco 6500 network cards and applicant processing work stations.

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Techology refresh of 6500 network cards throughout the Command	Planned:	2011-11-01	Planned:	2012-12-31	Planned:	7.532
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	7.532
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This is the replacement of obsolete network cards at 65 MEPS and HQ.						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Electronic Fingerprint Capture Station technology refresh	Planned:	2011-11-15	Planned:	2012-03-31	Planned:	7.736
	Projected:	2012-04-02	Projected:	2012-06-01	Projected:	3.860
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Project replaces the current EFCS hardware and software. Current systems w				They are incomp	atible with Windo	ws 7, which is
mandated by US Army. Contract award expected 15 Nov 2011. FOC of systematics of the system of the sy						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
Technology refresh of USMIRS servers	Planned:	2012-01-01	Planned:	2012-12-31	Planned:	2.936
	Projected:	2012-08-01	Projected:	2013-03-01	Projected:	3.350
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This is technology refresh of application and database servers located at 65 M	EPS locations and	l the Headquarter	S.			
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Applicant processing Workstation technology refresh	Planned:	2012-03-01	Planned:	2012-12-31	Planned:	4.000
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	4.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
This is the replacement of applicant processing work stations purchased in 200 motherboard, memory, and disc drives.  Project Name: Technology refresh for FY13	07-2008. They ha	ive exceeded prod	luct "end-of-lif	e". Continued use	would require the	upgrade of
Planned Start Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Completion Date:	2013-07-31	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	1.500	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> The effort includes technology refresh for Applicant Proc	essing hardware	e and software o	during FY13.			
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	Costs
	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	2013-07-31	Planned:	0.000
Replacement of Applicant Testing Laptops			D 1		Drainatada	0.000
Replacement of Applicant Testing Laptops	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Replacement of Applicant Testing Laptops  Description	Projected: Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000

Milestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Network intrusion and monitoring devices and software at HQ and MEPS	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 2012-12-31	Planned: 0.350
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 0.350
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
This is the replacement of network intrusion and monitoring devices and softw upgrade of motherboard, memory, and disc drives.	are at HQ and MEPS. They have	exceeded product "end-of-life".	Continued use would require the

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Our customers include applicants with the desire to enter military service and the Recruiting and Training Commands for ALL Services.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Stakeholders include:

US ARMY

US Navy

US Air Force

US Marine Corps

Asst Sec of Defense (Health Affairs)

Defense Transportation Mgmt Office

Under Secretary of Def (P&R)

Under Secretary of Def (Intel)

US Coast Guard

Office of Personnel and Management

Selective Service

Dept of Veterans Affairs

National Archives & Records Admin

US Army Corps of Engineers

General Services Administration

Defense Manpower Data Center

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

USMIRS and associated applicant processing systems must be sustained. This includes a bare-bones effort needed to bring hardware and software to latest supported versions with no increase in functionality. This is necessary in order to retain DoD and Army Certification and Accreditation requirements, and changes to enlistment

standards including changes to applicable law.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

- BY+1: Activities will be performed to maintain USMIRS and associated applicant processing systems in order to meet DoD and Army certification and accreditiation requirements, and changes to enlistment standards including changes to applicable laws.
- BY+2: Activities will be performed to maintain USMIRS and associated applicant processing systems in order to meet DoD and Army certification and accreditiation requirements, and changes to enlistment standards including changes to applicable laws.
- BY+3: Activities will be performed to maintain USMIRS and associated applicant processing systems in order to meet DoD and Army certification and accreditiation requirements, and changes to enlistment standards including changes to applicable laws.
- BY+4: Activities will be performed to maintain applicant processing application not subsummed under VIPS Increment 1.0. These applications are expected to be subsummed under VIPS Inc 2.0 sometime in FY17. However, if VIPS Inc 2.0 is not funded, funds will be needed to maintain, or modernize these applications.

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1184	Acronym	MPS - INC 4	MPS - INC 4							
Name of Investment	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEM INCREMENT 4										
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE								
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS						
DoD Segment	FORCE APPL	ICATION		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS						

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Mission Planning Systems (MPS) is a collection of individual programs that provide automated flight and weapons delivery planning. Collectively, they have been designated as a Major Automated Information System (MAIS) that must meet the statutory and regulatory requirements for a MAIS acquisition program. The overarching MPS acquisition strategy is based upon an incremental approach where multiple projects are developed concurrently, but all are at different stages within the development timeline. Although not a joint program, MPS is the DoD "system of record" to provide mission planning capabilities, with the Air Force, Army and Navy and other DoD agencies being the primary beneficiaries. MPS includes the Unix-MPS, the Portable Flight Planning Software or PFPS, and the Joint Mission Planning System or JMPS. The objective of the MPS programs is to migrate legacy systems to a seamless, collaborative, single multi-service PC-based system operating in a net-centric environment.

FY13 RDT&E funding supports initial migration to JMPS as well as Modernization follow-on releases for platforms that have initially fielded a JMPS MPE. Increment IV and Modernization programs represent a strategy to continually meet new OFP capabilities as dictated by the aircraft. FY13 Procurement funding supports the continued refresh of MPS hardware for the JMPS Increment IV Program. It also continues to fund production systems for the Joint Precision Airdrop System (JPADS). FY13 O&M funding primarily supports software maintenance requirements for JMPS software maintenance activities on platforms where platform specific changes are integrated into RDT&E releases for fielding. FY13 O&M dollars also funds unit-level support to include system support representatives that provide system administration and depot-level technical support. Full Deployment date will be determined by the Full Deployment Decision Acquisition Decision Memorandum.

The Increment IV program emerged from a critical schedule change with the submittal of the MPS Inc IV Critical Change Report to Congress on December 23, 2011.

MPS Inc IV was without funding obligation from November 29, 2009 until December 23, 2010 due to the Critical Change process. In addition, a funding cut in December 2009 resulted in Mobility Air Force program content (i.e., tanker and airlift platforms) being deferred until the FY 13-and-beyond time frame.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	99,776	112,599	110,483	102,340
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0208006F 01-N/A	4,851	4,983	5,082	5,214
MILPERS Total	4,851	4,983	5,082	5,214
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0208006F 01-Combat Enhancement Forces	23,936	33,399	26,956	19,466
Operations Total	23,936	33,399	26,956	19,466
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0208006F 03-THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMEN	13,603	11,208	9,068	7,328
Procurement Total	13,603	11,208	9,068	7,328
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0208006F 07-Air Force Mission Spt Sys(Afmss)	57,386	63,009	69,377	70,332
RDT&E Total	57,386	63,009	69,377	70,332

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	123.447	132.430	
FY 2013 President's Budget	112.599	110.483	-2.12
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-21.947	
<u> </u>			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Vertical change in Mission Planning Systems funding by appropriation. Overall decrease of \$19.216M due to the following: Three percent increase (\$2.240M) in RDT&E due to the continued realignment of funding between Initiatives 6170 and 1184; forty percent OPAF decrease (\$6.053M) due to higher Air Force priorities; sixty-five percent decrease (\$15.403M) in OMAF is due to higher Air Force priorities.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Horizontal change in Mission Planning Systems funding by appropriation. Overall decrease of \$3.452M due to the following: Nine percent increase (\$6.638M) in RDT&E due to the continued realignment of funding between Initiatives 6170 and 1184; nineteen percent OPAF decrease (\$2.140M) due to higher Air Force priorities; twenty-one percent decrease (\$7.779M) in OMAF is due to higher Air Force priorities.

#### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Major project milestones accomplished/planned for FY11:

- Continued software development for the Joint Precision Airdrop System.
- Continued software development/maintenance efforts Joint Mission Planning System core capability and A-10, B-1, F-15, F-16, F-22A, E-3, E-8 platforms.
- Fielded intial release for E-3 (Spiral 1)
- Fielded initial release for E-8 (Spiral 1)
- Fielded follow-on release for F-16 Block 30 (SCU 7.1)
- Fielded follow-on release for F-16 Block 40/50 (M5.2+)
- Fielded follow-on release for F-10 (Suite 7a)
- Fielded follow-on release for F-15 (v2.0)
- Refreshed 37% of mission planning computers to include Joint Mission Planning Computer systems.
- Fielded 51% of updated prototypes and 49% of production systems for the Joint Precision Airdrop System.

- Reduced open Mission Planning System software deficiencies by 51%.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Major project milestones planned for FY12:

- Continue software development for the Joint Precision Airdrop System.
- Continue software development/maintenance efforts for Joint Mission Planning System core capability and A-10, B-1, F-15, F-16, F-22A, E-3, E-8 platforms.
- Field follow-on release for B-1 (Rel 6.0)
- Field follow-on release for F-16 Block 30 (SCU 8)
- Field follow-on release for F-16 Block 40/50 (M6.1+)
- Field follow-on release for F-10 (Suite 7b)
- Field follow-on release for F-15 (v3.0)
- Field follow-on release for F-15 (v3.1)
- Field follow-on release for E-3 (Spiral 1.1)
- Field follow-on release for E-8 (Spiral 1.1)
- Refresh minimum 30% of mission planning computers to include Joint Mission Planning Computer systems
- Production change over for Joint Precision Airdrop System Mission Planning Kits will result in no change to the percentage in updated prototypes to production systems fielding; priority is contractor logistics support and spares.
- Minimum 10% reduction in open Mission Planning System software deficiencies.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Major project milestones planned for FY13:

- Continue software development for the Joint Precision Airdrop System.
- Continue software development/maintenance efforts for Joint Mission Planning System core capability and A-10, B-1, F-15, F-16, F-22A, E-3, E-8 platforms.
- Field follow-on release for B-1 (Rel 7.0)
- Field follow-on release for F-15 (v3.1.5)
- Refresh minimum 30% of mission planning computers to include Joint Mission Planning Computer systems.
- Production change over for Joint Precision Airdrop System Mission Planning Kits will result in no change to the percentage in updated prototypes to production systems fielding; priority is contractor logistics support and spares.
- Minimum 10% reduction in open Mission Planning System software deficiencies.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Will continue software support for Joint Mission Planning System core capabilities; platform updates for A-10, F-15, F-16, F-22, B-1, E-3, E-8, E-4, RC-135, EC-130; and support for the Joint Precision Airdrop System. Will also continue to refresh a minimum 30% of mission planning computer systems, continue the fielding of production systems for the Joint Precision Airdrop System, and reduce a minimum of 10% of the open Mission Planning System software deficiencies.

# **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**Component** 

Department of the Air Force

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Col Thomas Killeen

### **Contract Information**

Name: BAE Systems City/State: San Diego, CA

**Supported** CORE/ISM/AWE Software Maintenance

**Function:** 

Name: BAE Systems City/State: San Diego, CA

**Supported** Framework 1.4 Software Development

**Function:** 

Name: BAE Systems City/State: San Diego, CA

**Supported** Initial Program Management D.O.

Function:

Name: BAE Systems City/State: San Diego, CA

Supported JASSM/CLOAR/FAR Software Maintenance

Function:

Name: DCS Corporation City/State: Alexandria, VA

**Supported** Initial Program Management D.O.

**Function:** 

Contracts - Continued

Name: DCS Corporation

City/State: Alexandria, VA

**Supported** TAWS Software Development

Function:

Name: Lockheed Martin Corporation

City/State: Owego, NY

Supported Initial Program Management D.O.

**Function:** 

Name: Lokheed Martin Corporation City/State: Colorado Springs, CO Supported F-16 Software Development

**Function:** 

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corporation

City/State: Bethpage, NY

**Supported** Weapons Planning Software (WPS) Software Development

**Function:** 

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corporation

City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** B-1 Releases 7, 8, and 9 Software Development

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corporation

City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** Framework 1.5 Software Development

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Missions Systems Corporation

City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** Initial Program Management D.O.

Function:

Name: Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation

City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** B-2 Software Maintenance and Sustainment

Function:

Name: Science Applications International Corporation

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** Systems Engineering and Integration

Function:

Name: The Boeing Company

City/State: St. Louis, MO

**Supported** F-15 Software Development

**Function:** 

Name: The Boeing Company

City/State: St. Louis, MO

**Supported** Initial Program Management D.O.

**Function:** 

Name: The Boeing Company

City/State: Wichita, KS

**Supported** Common Bomber Software Development

Function:

Name: The Boeing Company

City/State: Wichita, KS

**Supported** F-15 Suite 6 Software Development

Function:

Name: Tybrin Corporation

City/State: Fort Walton Beach, FL

Supported TASM Software Development

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Increment IV; Representat	ive Platform (E-8) – SW Development ar	d Fielding							
Planned Start Date: 2008-09-01 Pl	anned Completion Date: 2012-04-01	Planned Live	Cycle Cost: 1	19.947	(dollars in	millions)			
<b>Description:</b> Increment IV; Representative Platform (E-8) – SW Development and Fielding									
Activity Name	Sta	art Date	Completi	on Date	Total (	Costs			
E-8 MPE V1.0: OT-FDD	Planned:	2011-07-01	Planned: 2	2012-04-01	Planned:	5.734			
	Projected	1: 2011-07-01	Projected:	2012-04-01	Projected:	5.734			
<b>Description</b> E-8 MPE V1.0: OT-FDD	Actual:	2011-07-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000			

Milestones - Continued						
Project Name: Increment IV; A-10 Ste 7 MPE SW Development an	d Fielding					
Planned Start Date: 2010-02-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012-05-12	Planned Live	e Cycle Cost:	3.544	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Increment IV; A-10 Ste 7 MPE SW Development and	-					
Activity Name		t Date	•	etion Date	Total (	
A-10 Ste 7B: CA-MPE Rel	Planned:	2010-02-01	Planned:	2012-05-12	Planned:	2.179
	Projected:	2010-02-01	Projected:	2012-05-12	Projected:	2.179
Description	Actual:	2010-02-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
A-10 Ste 7B: CA-MPE Rel						
Project Name: CAF Modernizations (B-1, F-15, F-16, F-22) – SW I	Development, Mai	ntenance, and	Fielding			
Planned Start Date: 2010-08-01 Planned Completion Date:	2016-08-01	Planned Live	e Cycle Cost:	42.307	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> CAF Modernizations (B-1, F-15, F-16, F-22) – SW De	velopment, Mainte	nance, and Fie				
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	
B-1 Rel 6: CDR-MPE	Planned:	2010-08-01	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	4.391
	Projected:		Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	4.391
Description	Actual:	2010-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
B-1 Rel 6: CDR-MPE						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
F-15 Ste 7 v3.0: FQT-MPE Rel	Planned:	2011-04-01	Planned:	2012-02-01	Planned:	2.645
	Projected:	2011-04-01	Projected:	2012-02-01	Projected:	2.645
Description	Actual:	2011-04-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
F-15 Ste 7 v3.0: FQT-MPE Rel						
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
F-22 v13.0 (3.2B): CA-CDR	Planned:	2011-08-01	Planned:	2013-01-01	Planned:	5.762
	Projected:	2011-08-01	Projected:	2013-01-01	Projected:	5.762
Description	Actual:	2011-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
F-22 v13.0 (3.2B): CA-CDR						
Activity Name	Star	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
B-1 Rel 7: CDR-MPE Rel	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2013-03-01	Planned:	6.339
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2013-03-01	Projected:	6.339
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
B-1 Rel 7: CDR-MPE Rel						

<b>Iilestones - Continued</b>					
Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	letion Date	Total (	Costs
F-15 Ste 7 v3.1: FQT-MPE Rel		-10-01 Planned:	2012-08-01	Planned:	2.243
	3	-10-01 Projected:	2012-08-01	Projected:	2.243
Description	Actual: 2011	-10-01 Actual:		Actual:	0.000
F-15 Ste 7 v3.1: FQT-MPE Rel					
Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	letion Date	Total (	Costs
F-16 Blk 40/50 M6.1+: FQT-MPE Rel	Planned: 2012	-01-01 Planned:	2012-07-01	Planned:	0.996
	Projected: 2012	-01-01 Projected:	2012-07-01	Projected:	0.996
Description	Actual:	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
F-16 Blk 40/50 M6.1+: FQT-MPE Rel					
roject Name: Sustainment of Platform MPEs					
Planned Start Date: 2010-10-01 Planned Completion	on Date: 2015-10-01 Plan	ned Live Cycle Cost:	21.271	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Sustainment of Platform MPEs (A-10, B-1, E		•			
Activity Name	Start Date		letion Date	Total (	Costs
B-1 Rel 4 (SB-13)	Planned: 2010	-10-01 Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	0.550
	Projected: 2010	-10-01 Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	0.550
Description	Actual: 2010	-10-01 Actual:		Actual:	0.000
B-1 Rel 4 (SB-13)					
Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	letion Date	Total (	Costs
F-16 Block 30 (SCU 7)	Planned: 2011	-02-01 Planned:	2012-02-01	Planned:	0.650
	Projected: 2011	-02-01 Projected:	2012-02-01	Projected:	0.650
Description	Actual: 2011	-02-01 Actual:		Actual:	0.000
F-16 Block 30 (SCU 7)					
Activity Name	Start Date	Comp	letion Date	Total (	Costs
F-16 Blk 40/50 M5/M5+	Planned: 2011	-02-01 Planned:	2012-07-01	Planned:	0.650
	Projected: 2011	-02-01 Projected:	2012-07-01	Projected:	0.650
Description	Actual: 2011	-02-01 Actual:		Actual:	0.000
F-16 Blk 40/50 M5/M5+					
Activity Name	Start Date		letion Date	Total (	
E-3	Planned: 2011	-04-01 Planned:	2012-09-01	Planned:	0.970
	Projected: 2011	-04-01 Projected:	2012-09-01	Projected:	0.970
Description	Actual: 2011	-04-01 Actual:		Actual:	0.000
E-3					

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
A-10 Suite 7A	Planned: 2011-06	-01 Planned: 2012-06-01	Planned: 0.550
	Projected: 2011-06	-01 Projected: 2012-06-01	Projected: 0.550
Description	Actual: 2011-06	-01 Actual:	Actual: 0.000
A-10 Suite 7A			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
RC-135	Planned: 2011-07	-01 Planned: 2012-12-01	Planned: 0.395
	Projected: 2011-07	r-01 Projected: 2012-12-01	Projected: 0.395
Description	Actual: 2011-07	-01 Actual:	Actual: 0.000
RC-135			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
B-1 Rel 6 (SB-15)	Planned: 2011-12	-01 Planned: 2013-12-01	Planned: 1.640
	Projected: 2011-12	-01 Projected: 2013-12-01	Projected: 1.640
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
B-1 Rel 6 (SB-15)			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
F-15 Suite 7 v3.0/v3.1 SDB-2	Planned: 2012-02	-01 Planned: 2013-07-01	Planned: 2.658
	Projected: 2012-02	-01 Projected: 2013-07-01	Projected: 2.658
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
F-15 Suite 7 v3.0/v3.1 SDB-2			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
F-16 Block 30 (SCU 8)	Planned: 2012-02	-01 Planned: 2013-02-01	Planned: 0.780
	Projected: 2012-02	-01 Projected: 2013-02-01	Projected: 0.780
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
F-16 Block 30 (SCU 8)			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
E-8	Planned: 2012-04	-01 Planned: 2013-09-01	Planned: 0.970
	Projected: 2012-04	-01 Projected: 2013-09-01	Projected: 0.970
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
A-10 Suite 7B/8	Planned:	2012-06-01	Planned:	2013-11-01	Planned:	0.550
	Projected:	2012-06-01	Projected:	2013-11-01	Projected:	0.550
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
A-10 Suite 7B/8						
Project Name: MAF Modernizations: Special Mission ACC Platfor	rms (E-3 v2.0, v3.0	, v3.1/E-8 v2.0	0, v3.0, v3.1/R	C-135 v3.0) – S	Software Develo	pment,
Maintenance, and Fielding						
Planned Start Date: 2011-01-01 Planned Completion Date		<b>Planned Live</b>	•		(dollars in	-
<b>Description:</b> MAF Modernizations: Special Mission ACC Platform	s (E-3 v2.0, v3.0, v	3.1/E-8 v2.0, v	3.0, v3.1/RC-	135 v3.0) – Soft	ware Developme	ent,
Maintenance, and Fielding			-			~
Activity Name		Date		etion Date	Total (	
Special Mission (E-3/E-8) v2.0: CA-MPE Rel	Planned:	2011-01-01	Planned:	2012-05-01	Planned:	3.755
D. and Mark	Projected:		Projected:	2012-05-01	Projected:	3.755
<b>Description</b> Special Mission (E-3/E-8) v2.0: CA-MPE Rel	Actual:	2011-01-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
•	G4	t Date	C1	etion Date	Total (	74
Activity Name Special Mission (E-3/E-8) v3.0/v3.1: CA-FQT	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-10-01	Planned:	1.023
Special Mission (E-3/E-6) V3.0/V3.1. CA-FQ1		2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-10-01	Projected:	1.023
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:	2012-10-01	Actual:	0.000
Special Mission (E-3/E-8) v3.0/v3.1: CA-FQT	Actual.	2011-10-01	Actual.		Actual.	0.000
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Special Mission (E-3/E-8) v3.0/3.1: FQT – MPE Rel	Planned:	2012-01-01	Planned:	2013-07-01	Planned:	1.961
Special mission (B 5/B 6) 15.0/5.1.1 Q1 Int B 1001		2012-01-01	Projected:	2013-07-01	Projected:	1.961
Description	Actual:	_012 01 01	Actual:	_010 07 01	Actual:	0.000
Special Mission (E-3/E-8) v3.0/3.1: FQT – MPE Rel						
Project Name: JPADS-MP Software Development, CAT v3.0 & v3	.1					
Planned Start Date: 2011-08-01 Planned Completion Date		Planned Live	Cycle Cost	10.368	(dollars in	millione)
<b>Description:</b> JPADS-MP Software Development, CAT v3.0 & v3.1		I familieu Live	Cycle Cost.	10.500	(uonai s III	
Activity Name		t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
JPADS v3.0: CA-FQT	Planned:	2011-08-01	Planned:	2012-09-01	Planned:	2.688
		2011-08-01	Projected:	2012-09-01	Projected:	2.688
Description	Actual:	2011-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
JPADS v3.0: CA-FQT						

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		Total (	Costs
JPADS v3.1: CA-PDR	Planned:	2011-08-01	Planned:	2013-02-01	Planned:	3.456
	Projected:	2011-08-01	Projected:	2013-02-01	Projected:	3.456
Description	Actual:	2011-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
JPADS v3.1: CA-PDR						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
JPADS v3.0: FQT-MPE Rel	Planned:	2012-09-01	Planned:	2013-05-01	Planned:	1.536
	Projected:	2012-09-01	Projected:	2013-05-01	Projected:	1.536
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
JPADS v3.0: FQT-MPE Rel						
Project Name: Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and	Fielding					
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date	te: 2014-01-01	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	11.994	(dollars in	millions)
•		Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	11.994	(dollars in	millions)
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Data Description: Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fig. Activity Name	elding	Planned Live	·	11.994	(dollars in Total (	,
<b>Description:</b> Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fig.	elding		·		(	,
<b>Description:</b> Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fig. Activity Name	elding <b>Start</b>	t Date 2011-10-01	Comple	etion Date 2013-03-01	Total (	Costs
<b>Description:</b> Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fig. Activity Name	elding Start Planned:	t Date 2011-10-01	Comple Planned:	etion Date 2013-03-01	Total (	Costs 2.262
<b>Description:</b> Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fig. Activity Name  F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT	elding Start Planned: Projected:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Comple Planned: Projected:	etion Date 2013-03-01	Total ( Planned: Projected:	Costs 2.262 2.262
Description: Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fig.  Activity Name F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Description F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT	elding Start Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01	Comple Planned: Projected: Actual:	2013-03-01 2013-03-01	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:	Costs 2.262 2.262
Description: Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fig Activity Name  F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Description F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Project Name: Software Maintenance of Modernized MAF Spec	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 -3 v2.0, v3.0, v	Planned: Projected: Actual:  3.1/E-8 v2.0,	2013-03-01 2013-03-01 v3.0, v3.1/RC-1	Planned: Projected: Actual:	2.262 2.262 2.000
Description: Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fide Activity Name  F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Description F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Project Name: Software Maintenance of Modernized MAF Spect Planned Start Date: 2012-05-01 Planned Completion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual:  cial Mission ACC (E-te: 2016-07-01	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 -3 v2.0, v3.0, v Planned Live	Planned: Projected: Actual:  3.1/E-8 v2.0, Cycle Cost:	2013-03-01 2013-03-01 v3.0, v3.1/RC-1	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:  135 v3.0) (dollars in	2.262 2.262 2.000
Description: Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fide Activity Name  F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Description F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Project Name: Software Maintenance of Modernized MAF Special Description: Software Maintenance of Modernized MAF Special	Planned: Projected: Actual:  cial Mission ACC (E-te: 2016-07-01	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 -3 v2.0, v3.0, v Planned Live	Planned: Projected: Actual:  3.1/E-8 v2.0, Cycle Cost: /E-8 v2.0, v3.4	2013-03-01 2013-03-01 <b>v3.0, v3.1/RC-</b> 1 3.863 0, v3.1/RC-135	Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual:  135 v3.0) (dollars in	2.262 2.262 0.000 millions)
Description: Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fide Activity Name  F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Description F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Project Name: Software Maintenance of Modernized MAF Spect Planned Start Date: 2012-05-01 Planned Completion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual:  cial Mission ACC (E-te: 2016-07-01	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 -3 v2.0, v3.0, v Planned Live /2.0, v3.0, v3.1	Planned: Projected: Actual:  3.1/E-8 v2.0, Cycle Cost: /E-8 v2.0, v3.4	2013-03-01 2013-03-01 v3.0, v3.1/RC-1	Planned: Projected: Actual:  135 v3.0) (dollars in v3.0)	2.262 2.262 0.000 millions)
Description: Increment IV; F-22 Rel 12 SW Development and Fide Activity Name  F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Description F-22 Rel 12 (3.2A): PDR-FQT  Project Name: Software Maintenance of Modernized MAF Special Description: Software Maintenance of Modernized MAF Special Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual:  Pial Mission ACC (E-te: 2016-07-01  Mission ACC (E-3 v Start Planned:	2011-10-01 2011-10-01 2011-10-01 -3 v2.0, v3.0, v Planned Live /2.0, v3.0, v3.1 t Date	Planned: Projected: Actual:  3.1/E-8 v2.0, Cycle Cost: /E-8 v2.0, v3.4 Comple	2013-03-01 2013-03-01 v3.0, v3.1/RC-1 3.863 0, v3.1/RC-135 etion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual:  135 v3.0) (dollars in v3.0) Total (	2.262 2.262 0.000 millions)

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Air Combat Command (ACC), Air Mobility Command (AMC), Air Force Global Strike Command (AFGSC), Air Education and Training Command (AETC), USSOCOM Directorate of Operations (USSOCOM/SOJ3), Commander, Naval Air Forces, U.S. Atlantic Fleet (COMNAVAIRLANT), Commander, Naval Air Forces, U.S. Pacific Fleet (COMNAVAIRPAC), Commander, Naval Air Reserve Force (COMNAVAIRRES), Deputy Chief of Staff of the Marine Corps for Aviation (HQMC/APW), Commander, Naval Special Warfare Command (COMNAVSPECWARCOM), and the Program Executive Officer for Army Aviation. In addition, there are Foreign Military Sales customers.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Air Combat Command (ACC) and Air Mobility Command (AMC) serve as the Lead Operational Commands for the Mission Planning Systems program.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

RDTEAF: Funds Increment IV and Modernization acquisition requirements to include: Aircraft/Weapon unique software for fighter/bomber requirements (\$29.169M) and Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance or ISR (\$820K); also funds Mobility requirements (\$9.041M). Fighter/Bomber/ISR requirements include the F-22A, F-16, F-15, B-1, A-10, weapons, and RC-135. Mobility requirements include the Joint Precision Airdrop System (JPADS). Also funds Joint Mission Planning System core-Framework software and Common Capabilities (\$7.222M), systems integration, engineering, and SPO support (\$8.826M), test/training/certification (\$5.135M), and program management support/Withholds (\$9.164M).

OPAF: Funds procurement programs for Increment IV and Modernization requirements based on a three-year (Objective) refresh cycle of Legacy and Joint Mission Planning System computers: Combat Air Forces/Air Force Global Strike Command/Air Education and Training Command (\$1.334M), Mobility Forces Joint Precision Airdrop System (JPADS) (\$6.580M), and program management support/withholds (\$805K).

OMAF: Funds Increment IV and Mondernization sustainment requirements to include: Aircraft/weapon unique software for Fighter/Bomber/Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance or ISR/Training (\$12.860M) and Weapons (\$2.465M) platforms. Fighter/bomber/ISR/training/Weapons requirements include the A-10, F-15, F-16, F-22A, B-1, RC-135, E-3, E-8, and T-38. Weapons requirements include the Weapons Planning Software (WPS). Funds Framework software, Common Capabilities, Flight Performance Models (\$8.329M) and systems integration and engineering (\$1.584M). Funds test and certification (\$3.223M). Funds HQ requirements support and non-lead MAJCOM requirements for AMC, PACAF, USAFE, AETC, and AFGSC (\$1.226M).

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14-FY18 RDTEAF: Continues to fund Increment IV and Modernization acquisition requirements to include: Aircraft/Weapon unique software for Fighter; Bomber; Mobility; Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance or ISR; and Weapons platforms and associated common capabilities; Framework software; Systems integration and engineering, test/training/certification; Program management support. FY14 starts the development of Unique Planning Components in support of Joint Mision Planning System migration for mobility platforms.

FY14-FY18 OPAF: Continues to fund procurement requirements for Increment IV and Modernization programs on a three-year (Objective) refresh cycle to include: Joint Mission Planning Systems computer with peripheral hardware; Program management support. Continues to fund prototype and production systems for the Joint Precision Airdrop System (JPADS).

FY14-FY18 O&M: Continues to fund Increment IV sustainment requirements to include: Aircraft/weapon unique software for Fighter; Bomber; Mobility; Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance or ISR and training; Combat, Search; and Weapons platforms and associated common capabilities; Framework software; Software maintenance support for flight performance models and associated tools; Systems integration and engineering, test/training/certification; Non-lead MAJCOM requirements for PACAF, USAFE, AETC, and AFGSC Program management support.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6170	Acronym	MPS	MPS						
Name of Investment	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS (INCREMENT III)									
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE							
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	MDAP					
DoD Segment	FORCE APPL	ICATION		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS					

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Mission Planning Systems (MPS) is a collection of individual programs that provide automated flight and weapons delivery planning. Collectively, they have been designated as a Major Automated Information System (MAIS) that must meet the statutory and regulatory requirements for a MAIS program. The overarching MPS acquisition strategy is based upon an incremental approach where multiple projects are developed concurrently, but all are at different stages within the development timeline. Although not a joint program, MPS is the DoD "system of record" providing mission planning capabilities for the Air Force, Army and Navy and other DoD agencies. MPS includes the Unix-MPS, the Portable Flight Planning Software or PFPS, and the Joint Mission Planning System or JMPS. The objective of the MPS programs was to migrate legacy systems to a seamless, collaborative, single multi-service PC-based system operating in a net-centric environment. MPS transitioned to sustainment in January 2011, and consequently no longer submits MAIS or Defense Acquisition Executive Summary (DAES) reports. This is the final Exhibit 300 submission.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	51,154	31,369	29,601	33,566
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0208006F 01-Combat Enhancement Forces	43,767	24,048	23,374	26,691
Operations Total	43,767	24,048	23,374	26,691
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0208006F 03-THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMEN	7,387	7,321	6,227	6,875
Procurement Total	7,387	7,321	6,227	6,875

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	30.665	22.864	
FY 2013 President's Budget	31.369	29.601	-1.77
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		6.737	
<b>'</b>	<u> </u>		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Vertical change in Mission Planning Systems funding by appropriation. Overall increase of \$9.176M due to the following: One hundred percent decrease (\$4.900M) in RDT&E due to the continued realignment of funding between Initiatives 6170 and 1184; sixty-eight percent OPAF increase (\$4.241M) due to the continued realignment of funding between Initiatives 6170 and 1184; thirty-eight percent increase (\$9.835M) to the continued realignment of funding between Initiatives 6170 and 1184.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Horizontal change in Mission Planning Systems funding by appropriation. Overall decrease of \$2.448M due to the following: Fifteen percent OPAF decrease (\$1.094M) due to higher Air Force priorities; five percent decrease (\$1.354) in OMAF due to higher Air Force priorities.

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Major project milestones accomplished/planned for FY11:

- Continued software maintenance efforts for Joint Mission Planning System, Portable Flight Planning Software, and Unix-Mission Planning System core capabilities.
- Continued software maintenance efforts for A-10, B-1, F-16, F-22A, RC-135 platforms.
- Field follow-on release for F-22A (v11 MX 1).
- Refreshed 37% of mission planning computers to include Joint Mission Planning Computer systems.
- Reduced open Mission Planning System software deficiencies by 51%.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Major project milestones planned for FY12:

- Continue software maintenance efforts for Portable Flight Planning Software and Unix-Mission Planning System core capabilities.
- Field Portable Flight Portable Planning Software Release 4.2.1.
- Continue software maintenance efforts for F-22A, RC-135 platforms.

- Field follow-on release for F-22A (v11 MX 2).
- Refresh minimum 30% of mission planning computers to include legacy and Joint Mission Planning Computer systems.
- Minimum 10% reduction in open Mission Planning System software deficiencies.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Major project milestones planned for FY13:

- Continue software maintenance efforts for Portable Flight Planning Software and Unix-Mission Planning System core capabilities.
- Field Portable Flight Portable Planning Software Release 4.2.2.
- Continue software maintenance efforts for F-22A, RC-135 platforms.
- Refresh minimum 30% of mission planning computers to include legacy and Joint Mission Planning Computer systems.
- Minimum 10% reduction in open Mission Planning System software deficiencies.

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Will continue legacy software maintenance efforts for Portable Flight Planning Software and Unix-Mission Planning System core capabilities as well as required Joint Mission Planning System platform software maintenance. Will also continue to refresh a minimum 30% of mission planning computer systems and reduce a minimum of 10% of the open Mission Planning System software deficiencies.

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Col Thomas Killeen

### **Contract Information**

Name: BAE Systems
City/State: San Diego, CA

**Supported** MPEC Software Development

Function:

Name: Lokheed Martin Corporation

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Colorado Springs, CO

**Supported** MPEC Software Development

**Function:** 

Name: Northrop Grumman Space & Mission Systems Corporation

City/State: Herndon, VA

**Supported** MPEC Software Development

**Function:** 

Name: Science Applications International Corporation

City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** Systems Engineering and Integration

**Function:** 

Name: The Boeing Company

City/State: Wichita, KS

Supported MPEC Software Development

Function:

Name: Tybrin Corporation

City/State: Fort Walton Beach, FL

Supported MPEC Software Development

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Sustai	nment of Platforn	n MPEs						
Planned Start Date:	2010-03-01	Planned Completion Date:	2014-03-01	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	3.600	(dollars in 1	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Sustain	nment of Platform	MPEs						
Activity Name			Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total C	Costs
F-22 Inc 3.1			Planned:	2010-07-01	Planned:	2011-12-01	Planned:	0.700
			Projected:	2010-07-01	Projected:	2011-12-01	Projected:	0.700
Description			Actual:	2010-07-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
F-22 Inc 3.1								
<b>Activity Name</b>			Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total C	Costs
F-15 Suite 6 v2.0			Planned:	2010-09-01	Planned:	2012-02-01	Planned:	0.700
			Projected:	2010-09-01	Projected:	2012-02-01	Projected:	0.700
Description			Actual:	2010-09-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
F-15 Suite 6 v2.0								

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
RC-135 Sp 2	Planned: 2011-07-01	Planned: 2012-12-01	Planned: 0.700
	Projected: 2011-07-01	Projected: 2012-12-01	Projected: 0.700
Description	Actual: 2011-07-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
RC-135 Sp 2			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
F-22 Inc 3.1 continued through May 2013	Planned: 2011-12-01	Planned: 2013-05-01	Planned: 0.700
	Projected: 2011-12-01	Projected: 2013-05-01	Projected: 0.700
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
F-22 Inc 3.1 continued through May 2013			

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Air Combat Command (ACC), Air Mobility Command (AMC), Air Force Global Strike Command (AFGSC), Air Education and Training Command (AETC), USSOCOM Directorate of Operations (USSOCOM/SOJ3), Commander, Naval Air Forces, U.S. Atlantic Fleet (COMNAVAIRLANT), Commander, Naval Air Forces, U.S. Pacific Fleet (COMNAVAIRPAC), Commander, Naval Air Reserve Force (COMNAVAIRRES), Deputy Chief of Staff of the Marine Corps for Aviation (HQMC/APW), Commander, Naval Special Warfare Command (COMNAVSPECWARCOM), and the Program Executive Officer for Army Aviation. In addition, there are Foreign Military Sales customers.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Air Combat Command (ACC) and Air Mobility Command (AMC) serve as the Lead Operational Commands for the Mission Planning Systems program.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

RDTEAF: NA.

OPAF: Funds Legacy and Increment I/II/III requirements based on a three-year (Objective) refresh cycle for Mission Planning System computers: Combat Air Forces/Air Force Global Strike Command/Air Education and Training Command, Mobility Forces, and program management support/withholds (\$6.227M).

OMAF: Funds Increment I/II/III sustainment requirements/withholds to include: Aircraft/weapon unique software for Fighters (\$1.55M), Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance or ISR (\$734K) and Weapons (\$4.746M) platforms. Fighter requirements include the F-22A. ISR requirements include the RC-135, E-3, and E-8. Weapons requirements include the Joint Air to Surface Standoff Missile (JASSM) and the Precision Guided Munitions Planning System (PGMPS). Funds systems integration and engineering (\$1M). Funds legacy mission planning systems (\$8.037M) including Unix-Mission Planning System (MPS), Portable Flight Planning

Software (PFPS), Common Low Observable Autorouter, Flight Performance Models, Warfighter's Edge. Funds test and certification (\$1.2M). Funds operational field support (\$2.2M). Funds HQ requirements support and non-lead MAJCOM requirements for AMC, PACAF, USAFE, AETC, and AFGSC (\$1.176M).

#### Description of what the outvear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14-FY18 RDTEAF: NA.

FY14-FY18 OPAF: OPAF: Continues to fund procurement requirements for Legacy and Increment I/II/III programs on a three-year (Objective) refresh cycle to include: Legacy and Joint Mission Planning Computer systems with peripheral hardware for Combat Air Forces/Air Force Global Strike Command/Air Education and Training Command, and Mobility Forces requirements. Additionally funds Program management support.

FY14-FY18 OMAF: Continues to fund legacy and Increment I/II/III sustainment requirements to include: Aircraft/weapon unique software for fighter; Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance or ISR; weapons platforms; software maintenance support for legacy mission planning systems and associated tools; systems integration and engineering, test/training/certification; operational field support, non-lead MAJCOM requirements for PACAF, USAFE, AETC, AFGSC, and Program management support.

Page left intentionally blank

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0057	Acronym	MIDS		
Name of Investment	MULTIFUNC	TIONAL INFO	RMATION DISTRIBUTION	SYSTEM	
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE NA	VY		
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	NONE
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	ZS .	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

MIDS (Multifunctional Information Distribution System) was designated a Platform Information Technology (PIT) system on July 11, 2007 by NETWARCOM as the Operational Designated Approving Authority (ODAA). MIDS is a multinational (U.S., France, Germany, Italy, Spain) cooperative development program with joint service participation (Navy, Army, Air Force). The Department of Defense and US international allies highlighted the need for a Link-16 voice and data communications terminal that was lower volume and lighter weight than other available Link-16 radios. The MIDS program was created to fill the gap by providing a reduced volume/weight radio with Link-16 capability. MIDS-LVT (Low Volume Terminal) is interoperable with NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization) users and significantly increases force effectiveness while minimizing hostile actions and friend-on-friend engagements. Forty (40) nations and agencies are approved to buy information and/or equipment and there are over 8000 MIDS terminals currently in use or on contract.

The MIDS-LVT will migrate to a Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) four-channel, Software Communications Architecture (SCA) compliant radio that maintains Link-16 and Tactical Air Navigation (TACAN) functionality. The MIDS JTRS design is interchangeable with MIDS-LVT. MIDS JTRS accommodates future capabilities and closes numerous Agency performance gaps. It adds improvements to Link-16 enhanced throughput, Link-16 frequency re-mapping and programmable crypto.

The MIDS products have successfully demonstrated extensive cost avoidance through maximization of interoperability, technology insertion, and common solutions between US and international platforms and has demonstrated a significant reduction in unit cost over the past 9 years due to a model acquisition strategy of continuous competition. Total program requirements include terminal development, F/A-18 integration, software hosting, implementation of National Security Agency (NSA) guidelines and production transition.

### Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	71,946	154,684	160,022	167,987
MILPERS	•	·		
Mil Pers, Navy				
0701113N 06-N/A	531	531	531	531
MILPERS Total	531	531	531	531
Operations				
O&M, Navy				
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	0	3,192	3,287
0701113N 04-Servicewide Communications	17,615	17,592	15,518	15,133
0701113N 04-Space And Electronic Warfare Systems	3,733	3,175	3,051	3,688
Operations Total	21,348	20,767	21,761	22,108
Procurement				
Aircraft Proc, AF				
0207423F 05-OTHER AIRCRAFT	8,275	58,542	11,639	5,790
Aircraft Proc, N				
0204136N 01-F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET	13,578	12,522	11,872	6,060
0204154N 01-EA-18G	2,365	5,366	5,592	0
Other Proc, Army				
0214400A 02-RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	5,763	8,336	7,798	1,438
Procurement Total	29,981	84,766	36,901	13,288
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0207423F 05-Joint Tactical Radio System(JTRS)	0	3,466	0	0
0207423F 07-C2ISR JTRS Integration	0	3,466	0	0
0604280F 05-Joint Tactical Radio System(JTRS)	0	0	410	3,912
RDT&E, Army				
0604280A 05-NETWORK ENTERPRISE DOMAIN (NED)	0	0	0	1,236
RDT&E, Navy				107.010
0604280N 05- MIDS/JTRS	20,086	41,688	100,419	126,912

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>
RDT&E Total	20,086	48,620	100,829	132,060

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	105.815	96.774	
FY 2013 President's Budget	154.684	160.022	5.34
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		63.248	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

RDT&E (Research, Development Test and Evaluation) increase of \$89.314M is due to additional funding for Multifunctional Information Distribution System (MIDS) Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Concurrent Multi-Netting-4 (CMN-4) full development and specifications development for Tactical Targeting Networking Technology (TTNT) as well as the beginning of full development of this capability and its waveform.

O&M (Operations and Maintenance) decrease of \$-0.611M reflects a mandated reduction for service support contractors and working capital fund adjustments.

Procurement net decrease \$-1.528M due to revised platform requirements for MIDS Terminals; increase in Army (OPA) and Navy (APN) quantities required is offset by decrease in Air Force (APAF) quantities required.

MILPERS (Military Personnel) No Change.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Research, Development Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN) increase of \$58.731M is due to the commencement of MIDS JTRS Concurrent Multi-Netting-4 (CMN-4) full development. Begin and complete the specifications development for Tactical Targeting Networking Technology (TTNT) for MIDS JTRS as well as the beginning of full development of this capability. FY13 also is a full year of development of Crypto Modernization (CM)/Block Upgrade 2 (BU2) capability and enhancement efforts for MIDS-Low Volume Terminal (LVT).

Operations and Maintenance, Navy (OMN); \$0.994M; MIDS JTRS Core Terminal Full Production and Fielding terminals are scheduled for delivery and installation; therefore, sustainment funding is increased for Problem Reports (PR) investigations and fixes for the increased number of fielded terminals.

Aircraft Procurement, Navy (APN) decrease \$-0.424M due to changes in quantities of MIDS Terminals for Army, Air Force and Navy platforms.

MILPERS (Military Personnel) No Change.

#### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

FY11: Received Limited Production & Fielding 2 decision for Core Terminal program. Began Verification of Corrections of Deficiencies (VCD) testing for Initial Operational Capability (IOC) and Full Production and Fielding decision in 2012. Began development and implementation of a Crypto Modernization (CM) capability for MIDS JTRS, a mandate required by the National Security Agency (NSA). Continued CM spec development and Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) Enhancements spec development for MIDS-LVT. Continued MIDS systems engineering, Communications Security (COMSEC), Information Assurance (IA) and program management support.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY12: Receive Full Production and Fielding (FP&F) decision for Core Terminal program (MIDS JTRS). Complete the Crypto Modernization (CM) and ECP Enhancements spec development for MIDS-LVT. Begin development of MIDS-LVT CM/Block Upgrade 2 (BU2) and Enhanced Throughput (ET) capabilities that will replace or update several hardware, software and firmware components within the terminal. Develop Frequency Remapping (FR), a required Department of Transportation (DOT) mandate to enable the continued use of MIDS Link-16 to remap at least 14 of its 51 data transmission and receipt time slots to frequencies which do not interfere with current and planned Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) safety of flight systems. Continue MIDS systems engineering, COMSEC, Information Assurance (IA) and program management support.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

FY13: Deliver MIDS JTRS Crypto Modernization (CM) capability. Begin development to incorporate Concurrent Multi-Netting-4 (CMN-4) and Tactical Targeting Network Technology (TTNT). Begin development of the TTNT waveform. These capabilities provide Joint Airborne Network-Tactical Edge (JAN-TE) functionality to run advanced mission applications in a cross-platform/cross-domain tactical network enterprise and the ability to simultaneously participate in four Link-16 Nets. Continue the CM/Block Upgrade 2 (BU2) capability and enhancement efforts for MIDS-LVT to include finalizing the detailed technical and interface information in the Item Performance Specification and the Interface Control Document. Define the performance and interface requirements and provide engineering analysis to finalize interface with the Signal Message Processor (SMP) design. Continue Link 16 CM efforts to replace the current Communications Security/Transmission Security on the SMP to extend the operational lifetime of currently fielded MIDS-LVT Terminals. Continue MIDS systems engineering, COMSEC, Information Assurance (IA) and program management support.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

MIDS-LVT Block Upgrade 2 development, Crypto Modernization Production, qualification and certification using Block Cycle software release. Complete MIDS JTRS Concurrent Multi-Netting-4 (CMN-4) development and begin test. Continue MIDS JTRS Tactical Targeting Networking Technology (TTNT) development. MIDS-LVT Block Cycle 8 software upgrade. Continue sustainment efforts for both MIDS-LVT and MIDS JTRS terminals to include Systems Engineering and Integration (SE&I) and programmatic support.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

**Component** 

Department of the Navy

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Capt. Scott Krambeck

#### **Contract Information**

Name: BAE Systems
City/State: Fort Wayne, NJ

**Supported** Systems Engineering, software support

Function:

Name: DLS

City/State: Cedar Rapids, IA

**Supported** Systems Engineering, development and production

**Function:** 

Name: ViaSat City/State: Carlsbad, CA

**Supported** Systems Engineering, development and production

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Block Cycle (BC) 1 for MIDS-JTRS

Planned Start Date: 2011-09-16 Planned Completion Date: 2013-05-15 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 26.000 (dollars in millions)

Description: Complete the development and begin implementation of MIDS JTRS Block Cycle 1 which incorporates NSA mandated Crypto Modernization as well

as high priority Problem Report (PR) fixes.

Iilestones - Continued	<b>~</b> .	. 🕳 .			<b></b>	~ .
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
Development for Crypto Moderization and Software updates.	Planned:	2011-09-16	Planned:	2013-03-15	Planned:	20.071
		2011-09-16	Projected:	2013-03-15	Projected:	20.012
Description	Actual:	2011-09-13	Actual:	D.G.I	Actual:	20.012
Full Development of the MIDS JTRS Crypto Modernization and other Soft	- '		-			
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
Block Cycle 1 Testing	Planned:	2012-01-02	Planned:	2013-05-15	Planned:	5.929
	Projected:		Projected:	2013-05-15	Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2012-01-02	Actual:		Actual:	0.337
Testing the development of Crypto Modernization and Software updates wi	th the MIDS JTRS to	erminals, both co	ontractor and Go	vernment testing.		
roject Name: MIDS JTRS Sustainment						
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	14.457	(dollars in	millions)
Description: Sustainment of MIDS JTRS as it reaches its Full Produ	ction and Fielding	and Initial Ope	erational Capa	bility (IOC) dec	isions.	
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
MIDS JTRS SE&I	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	2.400
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	1.722
MIDS JTRS Systems Engineering and Integration/Problem Report Investiga	ations.					
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Delta LVT	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	3.100
	Projected:	2012-01-23	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	3.100
Description	Actual:	2012-01-24	Actual:		Actual:	1.470
Determine the differences in the MIDS-LVT baseline from when MIDS JTI				posal to the MIDS	S-LVT terminal. D	etermine
whether the differences from the baseline MIDS-LVT and current MIDS-L				d'an Data	m. 4 3 4	74
Activity Name		2011-10-01		etion Date 2012-09-30	Total (	8.957
MIDS JTRS Program Support	Planned:		Planned:			
Description	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.000
Description MIDS ITDS Programmatic support to include NIMCI Travel contractor on	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	4.840
MIDS JTRS Programmatic support, to include NMCI, Travel, contractor an	a government suppo	rt personnei.				
roject Name: Block Upgrade 2 for MIDS-LVT				104.000	( 1 11 .	milliana)
roject Name: Block Upgrade 2 for MIDS-LVT Planned Start Date: 2012-09-03 Planned Completion Date:	2015-09-30	<b>Planned Live</b>	Cycle Cost:	104.000	(dollars in	mimons)
			•			

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Award of Blcok Upgrade 2 contract	Planned: 2012-09-03	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 33.600
	Projected: 2012-09-03	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 0.000
<b>Description</b> After the Specification Development has been received from	Actual: m the vendors, the Statement of Work can be written	Actual: for Block Upgrade 2 with the awa	Actual: 0.000 ard for the full development
•			
After the Specification Development has been received from planned for September 2012.	m the vendors, the Statement of Work can be written	for Block Upgrade 2 with the awa	ard for the full development
After the Specification Development has been received from planned for September 2012.  Activity Name	m the vendors, the Statement of Work can be written  Start Date	for Block Upgrade 2 with the awa	ard for the full development  Total Costs

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

MIDS-LVT and MIDS JTRS customers are the U.S. Navy, Air Force and Army as well as the MIDS foreign nations of France, Italy, Germany and Spain. MIDS-LVT also serves numerous Foreign Military Sales (FMS) and Direct Commercial Sales (DCS) customers through-out the world.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

MIDS JTRS stakeholders are DoD Chief Information Officer (DoD CIO), Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition Technology and Logistics USD (AT&L), and Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE). MIDS-LVT stakeholders include in addition to the MIDS JTRS stakeholders, Navy International Program Office (IPO) and the French, Italian, German and Spanish Ministries of Defense.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Research Development Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN):

(\$100.8M) Budget year will accomplish the delivery of Multifunctional Information Distribution System (MIDS) Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Crypto Modernization (CM) capability. Begin initial development to incorporate Concurrent Multi-Netting-4 (CMN-4) and Tactical Targeting Network Technology (TTNT). These capabilities provide Joint Airborne Network-Tactical Edge (JAN-TE) functionality to run advanced mission applications in a cross-platform/cross-domain tactical network enterprise and the ability to simultaneously participate in four Link-16 Nets. Continue the CM/Block Upgrade 2 (BU2) capability and enhancement efforts for MIDS-Low Volume Terminal (LVT) to include finalizing the detailed technical and interface information in the Item Performance Specification and the Interface Control Document. Define the performance and interface requirements and provide engineering analysis to finalize interface with the Signal Message Processor (SMP) design. Continue Link 16 CM efforts to replace the current Communications Security/Transmission Security on the SMP to extend the operational lifetime of currently fielded MIDS-LVT Terminals.

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN):

(\$21.8M) Provide MIDS-LVT support in systems engineering, configuration management, administrative support, interoperability efforts, International Program Office (IPO) support of the Steering Committee (SC), contractor support and deliver Block Cycle 7 software upgrades to MIDS-LVT terminals. Also provide MIDS JTRS support in systems engineering, configuration management, administrative support, interoperability efforts, contractor support, and Systems Engineering and Integration (SE&I)/Problem Report (PR) investigations services. Includes salaries for MIDS civil servants.

Aircraft Procurement, Navy (APN):

(\$17.5M) Procurement of MIDS-LVT and MIDS JTRS terminals as well as integration kits for those platforms migrating to MIDS JTRS.

Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (APAF):

(\$11.6M) Procurement of MIDS-LVT and MIDS JTRS terminals as well as integration kits for those platforms migrating to MIDS JTRS.

Other Procurement, Army (OPA):

(\$7.8M) Procurement of MIDS-LVT terminals.

Military Personnel, Navy (MPN);

(\$0.531M) Provides salary for MIDS military billets in program support.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Research Development Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN);

(\$226.7M) Complete the development of Multifunctional Information Distribution System (MIDS) Low Volume Terminal (LVT) Block Upgrade 2/Crypto Modernization (BU2/CM), a mandate from the National Security Agency (NSA). Begin and complete testing and qualification of the BU2/CM and retrofit into fielded MIDS-LVT terminals. Complete the development of MIDS Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Concurrent Multi-Netting-4 (CMN-4) and Tactical Targeting Networking technology (TTNT). Begin and complete Operational and Developmental Testing for both CMN-4 and TTNT. Complete the Engineering Change Proposals, testing and upgrades to TTNT as platform installation make necessary.

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN);

(\$90.3M) Provide MIDS-LVT support in systems engineering, configuration management, administrative support, interoperability efforts, International Program Office (IPO) support of the Steering Committee (SC), contractor support and Block Cycle software upgrades to MIDS-LVT terminals. Also provide MIDS JTRS support in systems engineering, configuration management, administrative support, interoperability efforts, contractor support, and Systems Engineering and Integration (SE&I) services/Problem Report (PR) investigations. Support Block Cycle software upgrades for MIDS JTRS terminals. Includes salaries for MIDS civilian personnel.

Aircraft Procurement, Navy (APN);

(\$6.0M) Procurement of MIDS-LVT and MIDS JTRS terminals as well as integration kits for those platforms migrating to MIDS JTRS.

Military Personnel, Navy (MPN):

(\$2.1M) Provides salary for MIDS military billets currently working in the MIDS Program Office.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	6368	Acronym	NAVSTARGPS					
Name of Investment	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (GPS)							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY						
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE			
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	Z.S	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

NAVSTAR Global Positioning System programs are comprised of the Navigation Sensor System Interface (NAVSSI) ACAT IVT, Post FRP; Air Navigation Warfare (Navwar), ACAT III, Post FRP; Sea Navigation Warfare (Navwar) ACAT III, Inc 1 (Post FRP), Inc 2 (Pre MS C), Inc 3 (Pre MS B); AN/WRN-6, Defense Advanced GPS Reciever (DAGR), Abbreviated Acquisition Program (AAP), Post FRP-DR; and the GPS-Based PNT system (GPNTS) program Pre-Acq. The NAVSTAR GPS programs mission is to provide assured and protected navigation solutions to the war fighters through supported, affordable, and integrated systems. In accordance with OPNAVINST 9420.1B "GPS Precise Positioning Service systems shall be used for all combat, combat support, and combat service support operations and training" to provide assured access to accurate position and performance under intentional and unintentional interference. The NAVSSI is a surface ship based system that integrates shipboard positioning, navigation and timing data, and distributes the processed output to user systems and networks. NAVSSI provides precise navigation and timing data, and GPS almanac and ephemeris data to onboard combat, weapons, and command and control systems in real time with GPS as the primary source of data. Navy Air and Sea NAVWAR are major elements of the GPS system, providing modernized User Equipment (UE). The NAVWAR antenna technology provides continued access to GPS information in a denied environment. The GPNTS program will integrate modernized GPS UE being developed by the GPS Wing into a complete NAVWAR solution for Navy surface and subsurface platforms. The Navy's overall GPS UE upgrade is modernization of all GPS systems on Air and Sea platforms. This will require the replacement of existing legacy GPS receivers with enhanced capability receivers and antennas. These new receivers and antennas will incorporate technology enhancements to support new signals in the maritime domain, in space, enhanced receiver security, aircraft operations within controlled airspace and future weapons, combat, and C4I systems requirements. The AN/WRN-6 is a stand alone legacy receiver that is currently being sustained in the fleet. Current efforts are upgrading assets in the fleet to the most recent approved configuration. This receiver is facing obsolescence issues and will be replaced by the GPNTS system. The DAGR program provides lightweight hand held GPS receivers to Navy users.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	9,504	13,681	8,561	11,107
Operations				
O&M, Navy				
0305164N 01-Combat Communications	225	187	253	239
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	0	428	426
0701113N 04-Servicewide Communications	320	530	0	0
Operations Total	545	717	681	665
Procurement				
Other Proc, Navy				
0305164N 02-NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	1,747	4,595	4,234	5,320
Procurement Total	1,747	4,595	4,234	5,320
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Navy				
0604777N 05- NAVSTAR GPS Equipment	7,212	8,369	3,646	5,122
RDT&E Total	7,212	8,369	3,646	5,122

### **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget         13.052         10.209           FY 2013 President's Budget         13.681         8.561         -5.12	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
<b>FY 2013 President's Budget</b> 13.681 8.561 -5.12	FY 2012 President's Budget	13.052	10.209	
	FY 2013 President's Budget	13.681	8.561	-5.12
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 -1.648	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-1.648	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

OMN reduction of -\$.117 impacts NAVSTAR GPS programs decreasing In Service Engineering Activity support by 48%.

OPN reduction of -\$.475 impact NAVSTAR GPS programs decreasing & deferring installation costs in the Fiscal Year Defense Plan.

RDTE reduction of -\$1.516 impacts NAVSTAR GPS programs decreasing Unmanned Air Systems and Assured Postitioning, Navigation & Timing research and development support.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

OMN delta between FY12 and FY13 of +\$.010 reflects increase in NAVSTAR GPS program In Service Engineering Activity support by .04%.

OPN delta between FY12 and FY13 of -\$.837 reflects decrease in number of NAVSTAR GPS program by 158 units procured and installed.

RDTE delta between FY12 and FY13 of -\$.367 reflects decrease in NAVSTAR GPS program Unmanned Air Systems and Assured Postitioning, Navigation & Timing research and development support.

# **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Sea NAVWAR: Conducted DT and integration of Advanced Digital Antenna Production (ADAP) on Cruiser Guided Missile (CG) ships and Carrier Vessel Nuclear (CVN) ships.

Initiated discussion of Increment 3 Submarine Anti-Jam (SUB AJ) Analysis of Alternatives (AoA).

Participated in joint NAVWAR Memorandum of Understaning (MOU) initiatives with Canada, United Kingdom and Australia.

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Sea NAVWAR: Increment 2-Conduct DT and integration on Carrier Vessel Nuclear (CVN) ships and LHA ships.

Increment 3 (SUB AJ): Begin acquisition and logistics documentation in support of Milestone B.

Participate in joint NAVWAR MOU initiatives with Canada, United Kingdom, and Australia.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Sea NAVWAR: Increment 2 (ADAP): Conduct developmental test (DT) on amphibious helicopter assault ship (LHA) and amphibious transport dock (LPD) ships. Increment 3 (SUB AJ): Begin acquisition and logistics documentation in support of Milestone C Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP). Begin developmental testing and operational assessment (DT/OA) of SUB AJ.

Participate in joint NAVWAR MOU initiatives with Canada, United Kingdom, and Australia.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Sea NAVWAR:

Increment 2 (ADAP): Procure and install ADAP on available platforms.

Increment 3 (SUB AJ): Complete developmental testing and operational assessment (DT/OA) of SUB AJ.

Complete acquisition and logistics documentation and achieve Milestone C Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) approval.

Procure SUB AJ LRIP units.

Begin final developmental test and operational test (DT/OT) of SUB AJ.

Participate in joint NAVWAR MOU initiatives with Canada, United Kingdom, and Australia

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

PMW/A170

#### **Component**

Department of the Navy

#### **Acquisition**

**SPAWAR** 

### **Program Management**

Eric Tietz

PMW/A170

### **Contract Information**

N/A, Contract no longer associated with #6368

City/State: Supported Function:

Name:

Name: N/A. Contract no longer associated with #6368

City/State: Supported Function:

Name: Ratheon systems LTD

City/State: HARLOW, UK Supported

Supported Function:

Name: Raytheon Systems LTD

City/State: HARLOW, UK

Supported Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Sea Navigation Warfare (NAVWAR)

Planned Start Date: 2011-05-15 Planned Completion Date: 2012-11-15 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 180.100 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Sea NAVWAR is an ACAT III program that consists of three incrments. Increment 1 GPS Antenna System (GAS-1), Increment 2 Advanced Digital

Antenna Production (ADAP), and Increment 3 Submarine Anti-Jam GPS Enhancement (SAGE).

Start Date **Activity Name Completion Date Total Costs** Sea NAVWAR Increment 3 Milestone (MS) B Decision Review. Planned: 2011-05-15 Planned: 2012-11-15 Planned: 180.100 Projected: 2011-05-15 Projected: 2012-11-15 Projected: 180.100 Actual: 2011-05-15 Actual: Actual: 0.000 Description

Sea NAVWAR Increment 3 is progressing towards a MS B Decision in May 2012. Key events in support of the MS B decision is completing a Capability Development Document (CDD), Technology Readiness Assessment (TRA) and System Requirements Review (SRR).

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Increment one: LCAC, MHC, FFG

Increment two: LCAC, MCM, LSD, LCC, CVN, DDG, CG, LHA, LHD, LPD, WHEC, WMSL

Increment three: SSN, SSGN, SSBN

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

N2/N6E, N2/N6F1, COMPACFLT, SUBLANT, SUBPAC, US FLEET FORCES COMMAND

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

In accordance with OPNAVINST 9420.1B "GPS Precise Positioning Service (PPS) systems shall be used for all combat, combat support, and combat service support operations and

training" to provide assured access to accurate position and performance under intentional and unintentional interference. NAVWAR's mission is to provide continued access to GPS information in a denied environment.

Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy (RDT&E) continues to support platform integration requirements, Developmental Test/Operational Test (DT/OT), the Navy's development of a smaller Anti-Jam (AJ) antenna and a conformal low-observable AJ antenna for aircraft with unique requirements, and new technology AJ solutions for submarines.

AIrcraft and Other Procurement, Navy (APN/OPN) is used to install the AJ equipment in surface, sub-surface and Naval aviation platforms.

OM&N, Program Related Engineering and Program Related Logistics (PRE/PRL) is used to provide for engineering and logistics support of installed systems.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

In accordance with OPNAVINST 9420.1B "GPS Precise Positioning Service (PPS) systems shall be used for all combat, combat support, and combat service support operations and

training" to provide assured access to accurate position and performance under intentional and unintentional interference. NAVWAR's mission is to provide continued access to GPS information in a denied environment.

RDT&E continues to support platform integration requirements, Developmental Test/Operational Test (DT/OT), the Navy's development of a smaller Anti-Jam (AJ) antenna and a conformal low-observable AJ antenna for aircraft with unique requirements, and new technology AJ solutions for submarines.

APN/OPN is used to install the AJ equipment in surface, sub-surface and Naval aviation platforms.

Operation and Maintenance, Navy (OM&N), Program Related Engineering and Program Related Logistics (PRE/PRL) is used to provide for engineering and logistics support of installed systems.

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	0186	Acronym	NAVY ERP					
Name of Investment	nt NAVY ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING (ERP)							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY						
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	MAIS			
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAI	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Navy Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) is a fully integrated business management system that updates and standardizes Navy business operations. Managers have visibility across the Enterprise, increasing their effectiveness and efficiency. The single integrated system provides authoritative, secure and reliable information across functional communities to align and improve Enterprise performance.

Navy ERP establishes an array of integrated processes and rules so previously disconnected functions now work with the same data. More rapid data availability supports more informed decision-making. The Navy's business experts increasingly use these same processes and rules, standardizing information, and eliminating redundancies. Those efficiencies save money. The visibility of that data makes Navy ERP an effective tool for identifying potential savings.

The Finance & Acquisition portion of the system provides visibility for budgeting/fund availability/execution across all Commands. Such visibility improves insight of total costs on operations, leading to improved decision-making across the Enterprise. Data retrieval and updates are near real-time and fully interfaced to the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS).

The speed of data availability supports real-time program management, which in turn improves life-cycle planning, execution tracking, and closeout of completed projects. Fully integrated, the system captures metrics that allow dynamic reporting and Earned Value Management. In workforce management, the system creates a single view of the total workforce and interfaces with authorized DoD and DON human resources and civilian pay systems of record.

The Single Supply Solution aspect of the system enhances the ability of Navy supply chain managers to readily provide Sailors and ships items they need every day. The Navy ERP system supports supply logistics by integrating the supply chain end-to-end.

The Navy has directed that as Navy ERP is implemented command by command, it becomes the financial system of record. By the end of FY11 approximately 47% (\$63B) of the appropriated Navy Total Obligation Authority (TOA) will be managed within Navy ERP. To date, 69% of Navy RDT&E dollars are tracked in the Navy

ERP system. With 66,000 users worldwide by October 2011, Navy ERP is on track to manage one of the largest supply chains in the world and will be larger than any financial management solution in the public sector

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	227,941	205,148	135,584	109,358
DWCF				
WCF, Navy				
0605010DN 20-N/A	79,393	40,590	13,098	11,741
0708202DN 20-N/A	36,674	37,843	13,454	5,563
DWCF Total	116,067	78,433	26,552	17,304
Operations				
O&M, Navy				
0208550N 01-Enterprise Information	234	0	0	0
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	0	10,618	10,911
0708020N 01-Enterprise Information	101,575	110,921	93,935	79,776
0708020N 04-Servicewide Communications	5,978	10,641	0	0
Operations Total	107,787	121,562	104,553	90,687
Procurement				
Other Proc, Navy				
0708020N 07-COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,087	5,153	4,479	1,367
Procurement Total	4,087	5,153	4,479	1,367

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	205.871	135.284	
FY 2013 President's Budget	205.148	135.584	-69.56
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		0.300	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Operations and Maintenance, Navy (OMN) Increase of \$1.153M is the result of funding added to integrate Grants Management functionality at the Office of Naval Research (ONR) into the Navy ERP solution.

Other Procurement Navy (OPN) decrease of \$-0.002M is due to lower requirement for General Funded SAP License procurements.

Navy Working Capital Fund (NWCF) Decrease of \$-0.002M due to reduction in Help Desk funding for Naval Supply Systems Command.

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Operations and Maintenance, Navy (OMN) decrease of \$-17.009M is due to reduced deployment and site implementation requirements as the program ramps down and completes major deployments to the four Systems Commands, Office of Naval Research, and Strategic Systems Programs Command.

Other Procurement Navy (OPN) decrease of \$-0.674M is due to lower requirement for General Funded SAP License procurements.

Navy Working Capital Fund (NWCF) decrease of \$-51.881M is due to completion and ramp down of implementation efforts at Working Capital Funded Naval Supply System's Command and Naval Sea Systems Command Working Capital Funded sites.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- Acquisition Decision Memorandum signed by USD AT&L authorizing Full Deployment. Full Deployment Decision (FDD) achieved.
- Navy ERP achieved a major milestone with the Phase 2 Regional "Go-Live" of the Single Supply Solution. The provided capability increases the ability of Navy supply chain manager to effectively and efficiently provide Sailors and ships items they need every day, replacing multiple non-integrated legacy supply systems. Phase I of the Single Supply Solution, implemented in Feb 2010, replaced the Uniform Inventory Control Point at Naval Supply Systems Command (NAVSUP) Weapons Systems

Support Centers and addressed the wholesale functions of the Supply Chain for Navy managed items; Planning, Allowancing, Procurement, Order Fulfillment, Sourcing and Data Management. Phase II replaces various Retail Supply Systems at Naval Air Stations, Fleet Logistic Centers, TRIDENT Refit Facilities, Submarine Support Facilities and Reusable Asset Management across the world.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Deploy Financial and Acquisition Functionality to an additional 22,000+ end users at Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) Working Capital Fund. Go-Live for NAVSEA Working Capital Fund was on 1 October 2011. This is the Navy ERP Program's largest roll-out to date.
- Continue and complete deployment of Single Supply Solution to Naval Supply Systems Command (NAVSUP).
- Complete Technical Upgrade of SAP Enterprise Central Component (ECC) from legacy 5.0 to current 6.0 version. This will allow the program to improve reliability of the Navy ERP solution and enable more business capability without custom development.
- Complete remaining tasks from the Initial Operational Test & Evaluation and achieve Follow-on Operational Test & Evaluation/Full Interoperability Certification for the Single Supply Solution.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- Go-live at Office of Naval Research (ONR) and Strategic Systems Programs Office (SSP)
- Complete all Navy ERP Program of Record deployment and implementation activities and transition to long term sustainment operations providing ongoing sustainment of the system and end user services for over 71,000 users
- Achieve Full Operational Capability (FOC) and Full Deployment (FD).

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

- Operate & maintain 24/7 availability of the ERP Production System. Provide on-going end user and Help Desk services for over 71,000 users at NAVSUP, NAVSEA, Naval Air Systems Command, Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command, Office of Naval Research and Strategic Systems Programs Office.
- Commence Pre-deployment activities to include business process workshops and data conversion plans in order to continue the deployment of ERP financial management functionality to Navy shore commands.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Navy ERP Program Office

#### **Component**

Department of the Navy

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

## **Program Management**

Dr. Jennifer Carter

# **Contract Information**

Name: ATHERAS LLC
City/State: Landover, MD

Supported IV&V

**Function:** 

Name: Computer Science Corporation (CSC)

City/State: Falls Church, VA Supported Program Support

**Function:** 

Name: Deloitte
City/State: New York, NY

**Supported** Implementation/Sustainment

Function:

Name: General Dynamics Information Technology (GDIT)

City/State: Fairfax, VA

Supported Integration/Sustainment

Function:

Name: Herren Associates
City/State: Washington, DC
Supported Prgram Support

Function:

Name: IBM

City/State: Armonk, NY

**Supported** Development/Integration//Implementation

Function:

Name: IBM

City/State: Armonk, NY

Supported Implementation/Ssustainment

Function:

Name: IBM

City/State: Armonk, NY

Supported Implementation/Sustainment

**Function:** 

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: IBM

City/State: Armonk, VA

**Supported** Implementation/Sustainment

Function:

Name: iLuMinA
City/State: California, MD
Supported Sustainment

**Function:** 

Name: SAP

City/State: Washington, DC

Supported Development/Integration/Sustainment

**Function:** 

#### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Navy ERP Implementation/Deployment

Planned Start Date: 2010-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2013-03-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 74.641 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** This project includes all of the remaining Navy ERP Deployments:

Naval Supply Systems Command (NAVSUP) (Phase II - Fleet Logistics Centers and Partner Sites)

Strategic Systems Program (SSP) Office of Naval Research (ONR)

Naval Sea Systems (NAVSEA) Command Navy Working Capital Fund (NWCF)

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Naval Sea Systems (NAVSEA) Command Navy Working Capital Fund	Planned: 2010-10-01	Planned: 2012-03-31	Planned: 31.962
(NWCF) Deployment	Projected: 2010-10-01	Projected: 2012-03-31	Projected: 31.962
Description	Actual: 2010-10-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Deploy Navy ERP to Naval Sea Systems (NAVSEA) Command Working Capital Fund sites.

Activity Name	<b>Start Date</b>		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Naval Supply Systems Command (NAVSUP) Phase II Deployment	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2013-03-31	Planned:	20.064
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2013-03-31	Projected:	20.064
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Deploy Navy ERP Release 1.1 Single Supply Solution to Remaining NAVSUP FI	eet Logistics (	Centers and Partn	er Sites			

Deploy Navy ERP Release 1.1 Single Supply Solution to Remaining NAVSUP Fleet Logistics Centers and Partner Sites.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Strategic Systems Program (SSP) Command Deployment	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2013-03-31	Planned: 12.559
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2013-03-31	Projected: 12.559
Description	Actual: 2011-10-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Deploy Navy ERP to Strategic Systems Programs (SSP) Command.			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Office of Naval Research (ONR) Deployment	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2013-03-31	Planned: 10.055
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2013-03-31	Projected: 10.055
Description	Actual: 2011-10-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Deploy Navy ERP to Office of Naval Research (ONR)			

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR)

Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA)

Naval Supply Systems Command (NAVSUP)

Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR)

Office of Naval Research (ONR)

Strategic Systems Programs (SSP)

# **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Resource Sponsor: Deputy Chief of Naval Operations (Fleet Readiness and Logistics)

Budget Submitting Office: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

Program Executive Office: Program Executive Office, Enterprise Information Systems

Comptroller: Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Financial Management and Comptroller

Acquisition Oversight:

- Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development and Acquisition)
- Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy (C4I and Space)
- Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN);

\$104.5M will provide sustainment products and services (license/software maintenance, operation of the production system, hardware maintenance, help desk, data center operations, etc) to support day-to-day business operations for over 71,000 users of Navy Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) at all four major Systems Commands (Naval Air Systems Command, Naval Supply Systems Command, Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command and Naval Sea Systems Command), the Office of Naval Research (ONR) and Strategic Systems Programs (SSP). It will also support stabilization of the financial and acquisition solution deployment and implementation efforts at SSP and ONR, which are scheduled to go-live on 01 October 2012. Additionally, OMN will support on-going operations of the Program's production system.

#### Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

\$4.5M will procure hardware (HW) and software (SW) fundamental to system operation including SW licenses, critical system hardware servers and data storage for sustainment of the current users, ensuring system availability and deployment to ONR and SSP scheduled for October 2012.

#### Navy Working Capital Fund-COST (NWCFCST);

\$26.6M will provide help desk and SAP Licenses maintenance costs for existing users at Working Capital Fund sites at Naval Air Systems Command (~12,000 users), Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (~7,000 users), Naval Supply Systems Command (~11,000 users) and Naval Sea Systems Command (~22,000 users).

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

#### Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN);

\$420.9M will provide sustainment products and services (license/software maintenance, operation of the production system, hardware maintenance, help desk, data center operations, etc) to support day-to-day business operations for over 71,000 users of Navy Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) at all four major Systems Commands (Naval Air Systems Command, Naval Supply Systems Command, Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command and Naval Sea Systems Command), the Office of Naval Research (ONR) and Strategic Systems Programs (SSP). It will also support stabilization of the financial and acquisition solution deployment and implementation efforts at SSP and ONR, which are scheduled to go-live on 01 October 2012. Additionally, OMN will support on-going operations of the Program's production system.

#### Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

\$17.7M will procure hardware and software fundamental to continued system operation including software licenses and system hardware refresh to ensure system availability and effective sustainment of the current users.

OMN and OPN have also been provided in the FYDP for the continued deployment of ERP's financial management functionality (Release 1.0) to additional shore based commands. Pre-deployment activities for these shore-based commands will be conducted to include business process workshops and data conversion plans.

### Navy Working Capital Fund-COST (NWCFCST);

\$65.3M provides help desk and SAP Licenses maintenance costs for existing users at Working Capital Fund sites at Naval Air Systems Command (~12,000 users), Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (~7,000 users), Naval Supply Systems Command (~11,000 users) and Naval Sea Systems Command (~22,000 users).

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1372	Acronym	NTCSS					
Name of Investment	nent NAVY TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY						
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE			
DoD Segment	LOGISTICS/S	UPPLY CHAI	N MANAGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Navy Tactical Command Support System (NTCSS) is a multi-application program that provides standard information resource management to various afloat and associated shore-based fleet activities. It incorporates the functionality of the Shipboard Non-Tactical ADP (SNAP) systems, the Naval Aviation Logistics Command Management Information System (NALCOMIS), Maintenance Resource Management System (MRMS), and several small stand-alone information systems. NTCSS is built on the open system Global Combat Support System (GCSS) foundation architecture. It incorporates the common operating environment as developed under the Global Command and Control System (GCCS), utilizes the "common engine" (common hardware with the tactical shipboard systems), incorporates Paperless Ship concepts, Computer-Aided Acquisition and Logistics Support (CALS) initiatives, and thus provides a common system environment. NTCSS provides full range, responsive mission support ADP hardware and software to support management of information, personnel, material and funds required to maintain and operate ships, submarines, and aircraft. NTCSS allows efficient management of information resources through use of standardized hardware and software to meet the mission support information management requirements for force sustainment in support of the new direction of the Navy and Marine Corps. Completion of the NTCSS mission will provide the tactical commander the required combat support information for tactical decisions, improve equipment supportability and maintainability and result in a commensurate enhancement in the material condition and combat readiness of the surface, subsurface and aviation units.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	85,572	117,452	117,994	97,572
DWCF		· ·		
WCF, Navy				
0708202DN 20-N/A	2,320	1,978	2,012	2,046
DWCF Total	2,320	1,978	2,012	2,046
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, Navy				
0701113N 06-N/A	12,177	12,433	12,694	0
MILPERS Total	12,177	12,433	12,694	0
Operations O&M, Navy				
0204112N 01-Mission And Other Ship Operations	824	373	379	385
0204215N 01-Mission And Other Flight Operations	606	627	648	668
0204216N 01-Mission And Other Flight Operations	179	20	40	40
0204413N 01-Combat Support Forces	56	89	90	61
0204455N 01-Combat Support Forces	99	0	0	0
0204655N 01-Mission And Other Ship Operations	31	23	23	23
0204656N 01-Mission And Other Ship Operations	50	0	0	0
0708012N 01-Ship Operations Support & Training	2,514	2,165	3,031	2,764
0708012N 04-Space And Electronic Warfare Systems	34,527	33,924	31,244	30,218
0708017N 01-Ship Operations Support & Training	4,926	17,686	16,501	20,943
O&M, Navy Res	,	,		
0502384N 01-Combat Support Forces	63	0	0	0
0502385N 01-Ship Operations Support & Training	582	593	589	601
Operations Total	44,457	55,500	52,545	55,703

0708012N 02-NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS) Procurement Total	FY 2011 23,195 23,195	<b>FY 2012</b> 33,017	<b>FY 2013</b> 35,732	FY 2014 30,323 30,323
RDT&E RDT&E, Navy 0604231N 05- NTCSS (Naval Tactical Command Spt Sys) RDT&E Total	3,423	14,524	15,011	9,500
	3,423	14,524	15,011	9,500

# **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	122.473	115.179	
FY 2013 President's Budget	117.452	117.994	0.54
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		2.815	
<u> </u>			-

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

OPN: \$0.05M increase from PB12 to PB13 reflects increase in Fleet hardware and software upgrades, resulting in reduction of NTCSS baselines and an decrease in associated maintenance costs.

OMN: \$0.2M increase from PB12 to PB13 reflects expanded fleet support (pierside) and help desk support of software baselines.

RDTEN: \$2.4M increase from PB12 to PB13 reflects additional funds received in POM-13 to complete the NTCSS transition to Open Architecture. This funding increase will allow NTCSS to transition from a client server architecture to a web client, open architecture on schedule and avoid approximately \$30M of sustainment costs related to the client server architecture.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

OPN: \$2.7M increase from FY12 to FY13 reflects increase in Fleet hardware and software upgrades, resulting in reduction of NTCSS baselines and an decrease in associated maintenance costs.

OMN: \$0.5M increase from FY12 to FY13 reflects expanded fleet support (pierside) and help desk support of software baselines.

RDTEN: \$0.5M increase from FY12 to FY13 reflects a requirements increase due to the transition to Open Architecture.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- 1. Completed development of Naval Tactical Command Support System (NTCSS) Optimized Organizational Maintenance Activity (OOMA) release 5.20.
- 2. Completed the initial NTCSS Patriot installation on USS Bonhomme Richard.
- 3. Completed initial identification of functional requirements for NTCSS Single Supply Baseline (SSB), which will transition NTCSS from client-server to web-based,

open architecture.

4. Achieved Full Operational Capability for Optimized Organizational Maintenance Activity (OOMA).

### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

- 1. Continue development of One NALCOMIS (Naval Aviation Logistics Command/Management Information System). One NALCOMIS will consolidate organizational and depot level aviation maintenance into a single system.
- 2. Continue design, development, and migration of NTCSS into the Maritime Logistics Data Network (MLDN) concept of operations featuring multi-UIC (Unit Identification Code), which will provide a consolidated logistics management system by combining logistics data from multiple fleet operational platforms into a single database management system ashore with bi-directional replication and transactional capabilities.
- 3. Provide for the designing, developing, and testing of Single Supply Baseline (SSB), which provide for the transition of the current, client-server architecture to a service-oriented architecture (SOA) and web-based services.
- 4. Install Optimized OMA (OOMA) at 50 squadrons currently using legacy Organizational Maintenance Activity (OMA).
- 5. Install NTCSS Patriot at 16 Navy Expeditionary Combat Command.
- 6. Upgrade NTCSS Viking to NTCSS Patriot at 11 Naval Air Stations, 5 Marine Aviation Logistics Squadrons, and 10 training sites.
- 7. Upgrade 2 force level ships, 6 unit level ships and 11 submarines from NTCSS Viking to NTCSS Patriot.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- 1. Complete development and testing of One NALCOMIS (Naval Aviation Logistics Command/Management Information System) (5.30).
- 2. Continue development of One NALCOMIS (5.35)
- 3. Continue development of Single Supply Baseline (SSB).
- 4. Install NTCSS Patriot release on 57 ships/submarines, 6 Naval Air Stations (NAS), 5 Marine Aviation Logistics Squadrons (MALS), 9 Training sites, and 40 Navy Expeditionary Combat Enterprise (NECE) sites.
- 5. Install NTCSS Optimized Organizational Maintenance Activity (OOMA) application at 44 aviation sites.

## FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Commence fielding of One NALCOMIS (5.30). Commence fielding of NTCSS Single Supply Baseline (SSB). Commence development of NTCSS SSB release 2 to support reduced manning on CVN 78. Complete development of One NALCOMIS (5.35) that satisifies requirements identified in Integrated Concept of Operation and Requirements Specification (ICRS) document. Continue development of One NALCOMIS (5.40) to provide a single enterprise database. Complete fielding of NTCSS Patriot.

### **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

PEO C4I PMW 150

**Component** 

Department of the Navy

**Acquisition** 

DASN C4I

**Program Management** 

CAPT Steve McPhillips PEO C4I PMW 150

### **Contract Information**

Name: CACI

City/State: San Diego, CA Supported O&S support

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name:	<b>Naval Tactical Command Support System (NTCSS)</b>	
---------------	--	--

Planned Start Date: 1992-12-21 Planned Completion Date: 2021-12-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 31,112.900 (dollars in millions)

Description: The Naval Tactical Command Support System (NTCSS) is an information system for management of ships, submarines, aviation squadrons, and

intermediate maintenance activities (afloat and ashore). NTCSS provides the unit commanding officer and crew with the ability to manage maintenance of the ship/aircraft, parts inventory, finances, automated technical manuals and drawings, personnel information, and unit administrative information. NTCSS also provides the intermediate level maintenance activities with the ability to manage workload and resources involved in repair

actions for aviation repairables and ships repair work packages. NTCSS is required for operations during peace, crisis, and war time.

Activity Name	1	1	Č	Start	Date	Compl	etion Date	To	otal Costs
FY12 NTCSS Ashore Installations				Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned	22.441
				Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projecte	d: 22.441
Description				Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	6.144

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Star	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
FY12 NTCSS Afloat Installations	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	10.567
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	10.567
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	3.129
Install NTCSS on 35 afloat platforms						
Activity Name	Star	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total	Costs
OOMA 5.30 Development	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-11-27	Planned:	8.867
	Projected:	2010-05-03	Projected:	2012-11-27	Projected:	8.867
Description	Actual:	2010-05-03	Actual:		Actual:	1.047
This activity originally started May 2010.						

OOMA 5.30 is a software maintenance release that leverages open architecture in lieu of legacy client-server architecture. Delivers Beyond Capability Maintenance (BCM) interdiction process improvements with the ability to:

Induct items as maintenance level 1, 2 or 3

Document multiple maintenance levels working on the same item simultaneously OR sequentially

Identify type worker (organic artisan, commercial artisan, Sailor/Marine) and separate the associated hours

Identify and separate material requirements ordered by artisans, Sailors/Marines

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Surface ships, Submarines, Carriers, Expeditionary Assault Ships, Multipurpose Amphibious Assault Ships, Naval Air Stations, and Navy and USMC Squadrons.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA), Naval Network Warfare Command (NETWARCOM), Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV) N41, OPNAV N2N6, Program Executive Officer, Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (PEO C4I), Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy - C4I and Space (DASN C4I/S), Assistant Secretary of the Navy (Research, Development & Acquisition)(ASN RDA).

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN); (\$52.5M), Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN); (15M), Military Personnel, Navy (MPN); (\$12.7M) Provides the unit commanding officer of ships, submarines, aviation squadrons, and intermediate maintenance (afloat and ashore) activities the ability to manage Ship/Aircraft maintenance, Parts inventory, finances, tech manuals and drawings, personnel information, food service, and ship's store. NTCSS has approximately 25,000 users and is installed on 250 ships/subs, Naval Air Stations/Marine Aviation Logistics Squadrons (NAS/MALS) for inventory management and supply functionality. Fleet Readiness Centers, Navy and Marine Corps aviation squadrons, and Navy Expeditionary Combat Enterprise (NECE) sites, OMN funds support NTCSS

maintenance costs, civilian salaries, program office contractor support, and travel for program office personnel to provide services including help desk, life cycle support, security accreditation support, data center hosting fees, software only installations, Hardware/Software integration testing, In-Service Engineering Activity (ISEA) support, Casualty Reporting (CASREP) response, SHIPMAIN documentation, engineering documentation, Sys Admin manual updates, and training.

Funds support Naval Tactical Command Support System (NTCSS)/Naval Aviation Logistics Command Management Information System (NALCOMIS) hardware, software and related server maintenance (to include pack-up kits for deploying aircraft squadrons) costs as well as civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel in the Norfolk and Jacksonville areas. NALCOMIS provides the Organizational Maintenance Activity (OMA) and Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) and Supply Activities with a real-time, computer based management information system and allows for aircraft/component maintenance and configuration of logs/records, data analysis and reports. Provides funding for all Fleet Readiness Center (FRC) Southeast and Mid-Atlantic servers in the Oceana, Norfolk, Jacksonville, May port and Key West Naval Air Stations.

#### Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

(\$35.7M) provides standard tactical support information systems to various afloat and associated shore-based fleet activities. NTCSS Patriot release will be installed on 57 ships/submarines, 6 Naval Air Stations (NAS), 5 Marine Aviation Logistics Squadrons (MALS), 9 Training sites, and 40 Navy Expeditionary Combat Enterprise (NECE) sites. The NTCSS Optimized Organizational Maintenance Activity (OOMA) application will be installed at 44 aviation sites. Ship Set Equipment Upgrades procures afloat ruggedized, commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) computing equipment, which includes servers to support the NTCSS application and database, personal computers (PCs) that will interface with the servers for maintenance and supply transactions; and printers to display output. COTS software, which includes the operating system, comes loaded on the servers and PCs. Marine Aviation Logistics Squadron (MALS)/Shore Equipment Upgrades procures ashore ruggedized, COTS computing equipment, which includes servers to support the NTCSS application and database, PCs that will interface with the servers for maintenance and supply transactions, and printers to display output. COTS software, which includes the operating system and database, comes loaded on the servers and PCs.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN); (\$206.5M), Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN); (17.9M) and Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve (OMNR); (\$2.4M)

Provides the unit commanding officer of ships, submarines, aviation squadrons, and intermediate maintenance (afloat and ashore) activities the ability to manage Ship/Aircraft maintenance, Parts inventory, finances, tech manuals and drawings, personnel information, food service, and ship's store. NTCSS has approximately 25,000 users and is installed on 250 ships/submarines, Naval Air Stations/Marine Aviation Logistics Squadrons (NAS/MALS) for inventory management and supply functionality, Fleet Readiness Centers, 265 Navy and Marine Corps aviation squadrons, and Navy Expeditionary Combat Enterprise (NECE) sites. OMN funds support NTCSS maintenance costs, civilian salaries, program office contractor support, and travel for program office personnel to provide services including help desk, life cycle support, security accreditation support, data center hosting fees, software only installations at 48 Navy Expeditionary Combat Command (NECC) sites, Hardware/Software integration testing, In-Service Engineering Activity (ISEA) support, Casualty Reporting (CASREP) response, SHIPMAIN documentation, engineering documentation, Sys Admin manual updates, and training.

Funds support Naval Tactical Command Support System (NTCSS)/Naval Aviation Logistics Command Management Information System (NALCOMIS) hardware, software and related server maintenance (to include pack-up kits for deploying aircraft squadrons) costs as well as civilian salaries, program office contractor support, travel and training for program office personnel in the Norfolk and Jacksonville areas. NALCOMIS provides the Organizational Maintenance Activity (OMA) and Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) and Supply Activities with a real-time, computer based management information system and allows for aircraft/component maintenance and configuration of logs/records, data analysis and reports. Provides funding for all Fleet Readiness Center (FRC) Southeast and Mid-Atlantic servers in the Oceana, Norfolk, Jacksonville, May port and Key West Naval Air Stations.

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN);

(\$103.4) - Naval Tactical Command Support System (NTCSS) is a multi-function program designed to provide standard tactical support information systems to various afloat and associated shore-based fleet activities. NTCSS Single Supply Baseline (SSB) release will be installed on 188 ships/submarines, 26 Naval Air Stations (NAS), 17 Marine Aviation Logistics Squadrons (MALS), 32 Training sites, and 22 Navy Expeditionary Combat Enterprise (NECE) sites. The NTCSS Optimized Organizational Maintenance Activity (OOMA) application will be installed at 220 aviation sites. Ship Set Equipment Upgrades procures afloat ruggedized, commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) computing equipment, which includes servers to support the NTCSS application and database, personal computers (PCs) that will interface with the servers for maintenance and supply transactions, and printers to display output. COTS software, which includes the operating system, comes loaded on the servers to support the NTCSS application and database, PCs that will interface with the servers for maintenance and supply transactions, and printers to display output. COTS software, which includes the operating system and database, comes loaded on the servers and PCs.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6965	Acronym	NCES		
Name of Investment	NET CENTRIC	C ENTERPRIS	E SERVICES		
Lead Agent	DEFENSE INF	FORMATION	SYSTEMS AGENCY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR.	ASTRUCTURI	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Program Executive Office (PEO) for Global Information Grid Enterprise Services (GES) continues to expand their portfolio of critical warfighting enterprise services operating on the Secret Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNet) and the Non-Classified Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNet). Critical Warfighter, Business, and Intelligence Mission Area services within the PEO GES portfolio include the NCES Program capabilities (an enterprise Collaboration capability providing a suite of web-accessible collaboration capabilities to enable over 300,000 authorized Department of Defense (DoD) users to share information and collaborate across Components/Combatant Commands/Joint Stafff/Agencies; User Access (Portal) allows 2 million users to access relevant information through a web-based presentation; Enterprise Search and Content Delivery supports the exposure, retrieval, and delivery of protected information and enables centralized and federated search and data source integration; and Service Oriented Architecture Foundation (SOAF) capabilities enables programs to share services-based applications across the GIG while leveraging information assurance and Network Operations (NetOps) capabilities). The PEO GES portfolio also includes capabilities provided through the Vice-Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Initiatives (VCI), Strategic Knowledge Integration Web (SKIWeb) providing decision and event management support to all levels of a widespread user-base ranging from Combatant Commanders to the Joint Staff to Coalition partners on the SIPRNet, and is transitioning support for Identity Synchronization Service (iDSS) and enterprise access control to an enterprise infrastructure. The individual suite of capabilities within the portfolio of services provides the user with the flexibility to couple the services in varying ways that supports their mission need. This flexibility provides unprecedented access to web and application content, critical imagery, intelligence and Warfighter information, and forward

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	117,652	154,871	131,933	135,313
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0303170K 04-Defense Information Systems Agency	110,653	149,612	126,144	129,103
Operations Total	110,653	149,612	126,144	129,103
Procurement				
Procurement, DW				
0303170K 01-NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	3,494	3,429	2,865	2,850
Procurement Total	3,494	3,429	2,865	2,850
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0303170K 07-JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER	3,505	1,830	2,924	3,360
RDT&E Total	3,505	1,830	2,924	3,360

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	155.198	139.643	
FY 2013 President's Budget	154.871	131.933	-22.94
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-7.710	
-			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

FY2012	FY2013	\$ Change	% Change
\$139.643M	\$131.933M	-\$7.710M	-5.52%

Increase in funding from FY2012 to FY2013 is the result of the following:

O&M: \$9.694M Decrease (-7.68%)

The decrease is attributable to (-\$6.400M) ending the OCO funding for Joint Enterprise Services; (-\$2.111M) completion of the transition of the Strategic Knowledge Integration Web (SKIWeb) from a local service running at United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) to a Joint Enterprise Service; and (-\$1.183M) represents a change in contract cost and a more efficient pricing structures

Procurement: \$0.037M Increase (+1.31%)

The increase is attributable to a change in the inflation rate

RDT&E: \$1.947M Increase (+199.28%)

The increase is attributable to support the additional analysis of industry standards and specifications to facilitate the rapid integration of emerging commercial technologies into existing operational enterprise service and services transitioning from local services to enterprise services; risk mitigation; and enhancements of concept of operations and tactics, techniques, and procedures for initiatives addressing deployable services

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

FY2012 FY2013 \$ Change \$ 139.643M \$131.933M -\$22.938M -14.81%

Increase in funding from FY2012 to FY2013 is the result of the following:

O&M: \$23,468M Decrease (-15.69%)

The decrease (-\$16.355M) is attributable to a reduction in the funding to support the buildout of the Joint Enterprise Email infrastructure which would buy-down the cost of other users' migration to the joint enterprise service; a decrease (-\$2.111M) is attributable to the completion of the Strategic Knowledge Integration Web (SKIWeb) from a local service running at United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) to a Joint Enterprise Service; and (-\$8.472M) is attributable to the consolidation of four capabilities under the Data Services Environment (DSE) and two messaging capabilities into Enterprise Messaging (EM)

Funding increased (+\$4.078M) to support the provisioning of infrastructure that would encourage the early transitioning of additional users into the Joint Enterprise Email and Joint Enterprise SharePoint Service capabilities; travel increases (+\$0.192M) supports the user planning and integration activities supporting Joint Enterprise Services (Collaboration, Messaging, Enterprise Services Management, DoD Visitor, and Identity and Access Management); Vice Chairman Joint Chief of Staff initiatives funding increases (+\$2.000M) to sustain existing and integrate additional capabilities into the Joint Enterprise Services baseline; and an increase (+\$3.600M) supports the entry of the SKIWeb into sustainment following the successful completion of its transition from a local service to a Joint Enterprise Service

A decrease (-\$6.400M) is attributable to the ending of OCO funding for Joint Enterprise Services

Procurement: \$0,564M Decrease -16.45%)

The decrease (-\$1.000M) reflects the completion of transitioning SKIWeb from being a local service at USSTRATCOM to an enterprise service supporting additional operational users

An increase (+\$0.436M) is attributable to an increase in license cost for Enterprise Search/Enterprise Catalog supporting increased usage and cataloged artifacts

RDT&E: \$1.094M Increase (+59.78%)

The decrease (-\$0.889M) reflects the completion of transitioning SKIWeb from being a local service at USSTRATCOM to an enterprise service supporting additional operational users

An increase (+\$1.919M) supports the analysis of industry standards and specifications to ensure enhancements and added functionality for existing operational enterprise services incorporate current and emerging technologies to speed deliver of critical services at lower cost; and an increase (+\$0.064M) supports minor changes to testing to support existing and emerging Joint Enterprise Services

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

FY 11 funds provided enhancements and scaling of the portfolio of enterprise services to meet growing user demand while keeping the services relevant to end-users evolving mission needs. FY11 funds supported:

- •Expansion of Enterprise Collaboration to support over 200K new registered users; sustainment of the rapid failover instantiation
- •Sustainment of the Enterprise Search and Enterprise Catalog services providing protected artifacts for worldwide discovery and retrieval
- •Transition of the Strategic Knowledge Integration Web from a local to an enterprise service which obtained Initial Operational Capability
- •Transition of the GIG Content Delivery Service (GCDS) to the Defense Working Capital Fund
- •Initial integration of User Access with the Storefront/Marketplace capability
- •Integration of two messaging services (Machine-to-Machine Messaging/Joint User Messaging) into a single Enterprise Messaging service
- •Transition of Enterprise Service Management to an open source solution

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY12 funds will sustain, enhance, and scale the deployed portfolio of enterprise services to meet growing user demand for a:

- •Suite of Collaboration services supporting authorized DoD users and unanticipated users from outside the DoD
- •User Access service that allows users to access relevant information through a web-based presentation
- •Enterprise Search services that support the exposure, discovery, and retrieval of protected information
- •Strategic Knowledge Integration Web (SKIWeb) service that provides decision and event management support to all levels of a widespread user-base
- •Service Oriented Architecture Foundation (SOAF) capabilities to enable programs to share services-based applications across the GIG while leveraging information assurance and Network Operations (NetOps) capabilities

FY12 funds will expand the portfolio to support:

- •DOD Visitor on the SIPRNet
- •Deployable capabilities to support disconnected, intermittent, and low-bandwidth environments;
- •Initial Identity and Access Management (IdAM) services; integrate select Enterprise Services with Dynamic Access Control

Failure to fund these services will impact the sustainment and scaling of critical services that directly support operational users' missions and impact the replacement of manual processes that cause unacceptable delays in a Warfighter's information access with automated policies.

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

FY13 funding will support the expansion of PEO-GES's portfolio of enterprise services adding Mobile Application Store and Mobile Device Management services and an enterprise file sharing capability.

FY13 funding will:

- •Sustain SKIWeb
- •Continue to expand Collaboration and Enterprise Search and Enterprise Catalog to support user demand

- Sustain and enhance DoD Visitor
- •Expand the Identify and Access Management services to additional enterprise computing centers and programs of record
- •Sustain the account-provisioning services
- •Continue the implementation of a framework of key capabilities to facilitate transition to Enterprise services
- •Sustain and enhance the deployable versions of the enterprise services

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

FY14 funding will support the continued scaling, sustainment, and enhancement of the PEO-GES portfolio of enterprise services, including those delivered by the Net-Centric Enterprise Services program; enhance the Identity and Access Management, SKIWeb, Mobile Application Store/Mobile Device Management, and Enterprise File Sharing services; evolve the deployable versions of the enterprise services; and expand the active/active hosting environment.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### **Component**

Defense Information Systems Agency

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Ms. Julie Mintz

# **Contract Information**

Name: Alliant SB
City/State: NE
Supported
Function:

Name: Booz Allen
City/State: McLean, VA

Supported SOAF-JEDS Telecommunications

Function:

Name: Carahsoft Technology Corporation

12639 Sunrise Valley Dr. STE D2

City/State: Reston, VA

Contracts -	Continued
Supported	Enterprise Collaboration (Defense Connect Online)
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Comptel Incorporated
City/State:	Vienna, VA
Supported Function:	
-	D ( 0 ) A 1 ( 1 1000 E ) D1 (0 ) 500
Name:	Data Systems Analysts, Inc. 10300 Eaton Place Suite 500
City/State:	VA
Supported Function:	Program Management Support
-	FGM
Name:	
City/State: Supported	VA
Function:	
Name:	Hewlett Packard
City/State:	Palo Alto, CA
Supported	Collaboration Hosting and Enterprise Services
Function:	Condotation Hosting and Enterprise Services
Name:	Hewlett-Packard
City/State:	Palo Alto, CA
Supported	
<b>Function:</b>	
Name:	Hewlett-Packard
City/State:	Palo Alto, CA
Supported	Collaboration and Enterprise Services Hosting
Function:	
Name:	Hewlett-Packard
City/State:	Palo Alto, CA
Supported Function:	Enterprise testing CM
	Let 11: C
Name:	Intelligence Community Enterprise Search T336, Suite 6906, 9800 Savage Ft. Meade
City/State:	Savage, MD

Contracts - Continued

**Supported** ICES/CD&D Lightweight Discovery

Function:

**SAIC** Name:

City/State: San Diego, CA

Supported Function:

**SAIC** Name:

City/State: San Diego, CA **Supported** Security IA

Function:

Tangible Name: City/State: Bethesda, MD

Supported **Function:** 

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Net Centric Enterprise Services pre-planned product improvements

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 **Planned Completion Date:** 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 155.198 (dollars in millions)

Description: Net-Centric Enterprise Services (NCES): The Program Executive Office (PEO) for Global Information Grid (GIG) Enterprise Services (GES) provides a portfolio of critical enterprise services to Warfighter, Business, and Intelligence end-users on the Secret Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNet) and the Non-Classified Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNet). This portfolio of services includes the services delivered by the Net-Centric Enterprise Services (NCES) Program, allows more than 2 million authorized Department of Defense (DoD) users to share information and collaborate across Components/Combatant Commands/Joint Staff/Agencies using a suite of web-accessible Collaboration capabilities supporting authorized DoD users and unanticipated users from outside the DoD; a Portal that allows users to access and share relevant information through a web-based presentation; Enterprise Search and Content Delivery services that support the exposure, discovery, retrieval, and delivery of protected information; and a Service Oriented Architecture Foundation (SOAF) to enable programs to share services-based applications across the GIG while leveraging information assurance and NetOps capabilities.

The PEO-GES portfolio is rapidly expanding to include the Strategic Knowledge Integration Web (SKIWeb) service to provide decision and event management support to a widespread user base ranging from Combatant Commanders to the Joint Staff to Coalition partners on the SIPRNet; the DoD Visitor capability that allows enterprise users to "go anywhere in the DoD, login, and be productive"; an enterprise authoritative data source registry to provide one-stop access to DoD data source directories; and Identity and Access Management services that provide the basis for replacing time- and resource-intensive manual processes with near real-time automated account provisioning and access control.

The individual capabilities within the portfolio of services provide the user with the flexibility to couple the services in varying ways providing

Milestones - Continued				
unprecedented access to web and application of	content to support the users' varying and even	volving missions.		
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	Total C	osts
Upgrade Adobe Connect.	Planned: 2011-12-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned:	1.200
	Projected: 2011-12-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected:	1.200
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual:	0.000

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The portfolio of enterprise services support up to 2.5 million users on the NIPRNet and 300 thousand users on the SIPRNet. This includes 100 percent of the active-duty military and DoD civilianusers, 75 percent of the full-time Guard and full-time Reserve users, 25 percent of the Guard and Reserve users, and up to 250 thousand embedded contractors.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Stakeholders include the Warfighter, Business, and Intelligence users, joint warfighter, National level leaders, and other mission and coalition partners across the full spectrum of operations.

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

In FY13 the Program Executive Office Global Information Grid Enterprise Services (PEO GES) portfolio of services will be fully in an operational state and will have transitioned to primarily operational (O&M) and acquisition (Procurement) dollars, with remaining planning (RDT&E) dollars allocated to testing new enterprise services, development and transitioning local services into the Department of Defense (DoD) enterprise infrastructure, and evaluation of technologies to support the expansion of the Identity and Access Management services.

BY planning funds (\$2.924M) will support any operational testing (\$2.120M) required for enhancement of the existing portfolio of enterprise services and capabilities and evaluation of technologies (\$0.804) to support the expansion of Joint Enterprise Services into disconnected, intermittent, and low-bandwidth environments and enhance Storefront and Enterprise File Sharing capabilities.

BY acquisition funds (\$2.865M) will provide software licenses to maintain the Enterprise Search centralized and federated discovery capabilities, and maintenance of the enterprise catalog hosting up to 60 million document artifacts for discovery. Funds will also support the scaling of the enterprise catalogs on both networks to support growth in the number of registered artifacts.

BY operations and maintenance funds (\$126.144M) will support sustainment and scaling of the services delivered by the Net-Centric Enterprise Services program to meet user demand and the continuing growth and transition of services into the PEO GES portfolio. FY 2013 funds will: (\$28.451M) sustain the Web-Conferencing service and

allow the continued scaling to meet user demand and sustain and scale the Instant Messaging/Chat service supporting users in the strategic core and tactical edge; (\$12.827M) sustain the centralized and federated search capabilities and the enterprise catalog both in the strategic core and tactical edge; (\$24.165M) support the engineering, sustainment, and operationalization activities for existing and transitioning services; (\$18.000M) sustain and integrate initiatives sponsored by the Vice Chairman Joint Chief of Staff; and (\$17.645M) for the expansion of Identity and Access Management (IdAM) services to additional enterprise computing centers, sustain the operational infrastructure, sustain the Identity Synchronization Service (IdSS) and Enterprise Active Directory Service Forest (EASF), and extend initial IdAM services to the tactical edge users; and (\$7.218M) to support the test and security activities required to support changes, upgrades, and transitioning of local services into the DoD enterprise.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14-FY17 planning funds for the Program Executive Office Global Information Grid Enterprise Services (PEO-GES) portfolio of services will support the required testing and modeling and simulation required to support source selection activities as contracts are re-competed to ensure enterprise suitability of the services being provided. Funding will also support any required testing and development needed to integrate enhanced services into the PEO-GES portfolio baseline from Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD), Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD), or pre-planned product improvements required to integrate, adapt, and transition local services into the larger DoD enterprise infrastructure.

FY14-FY17 acquisition funds will be utilized to provide two-year full text search licenses and full-text and faceted query Enterprise Catalog services renewals and a geospatial facet search license on the Secret Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNet) and Non-Classified internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNet) in alternating years. These licenses support centralized indexes and the Enterprise Search capabilities for Content Discovery, while maintaining maintenance and failover support. Funds will also acquire and implement additional faceted search failover servers as additional search appliances are added to support increases in the number of documents exposed for privileged access. The license upgrades will allow the portfolio to support user demand for the exposure of more authoritative data sources and scale the Enterprise Catalog to meet growing user demand.

FY14-FY17 operations and maintenance funds will sustain and expand the PEO GES portfolio of enterprise services and transition new services and capabilities that are relevant to the Warfighters' evolving mission needs on the classified and unclassified DoD networks. Funds will support the continued scaling, sustainment, and enhancement of enterprise capabilities delivered by the Net-Centric Enterprise Services program, the deployment and sustainment of capabilities provided through initiatives, JCTDs, ACTDs, and the transition and operationalization of local services into the larger DoD enterprise. Funding will support the continual scaling of the DoD Collaboration service to support demand and evolving mission needs for web-conference and chat/instant message capabilities and the integration of this service with the existing Defense Information Systems Network Video Services; sustain and continue to build out the Intelligence Community Enterprise Solutions SIPRNet/NIPRNet Content Discovery service (Centralized and Federated Search, and Enterprise Catalog); scale the SOAF services as additional demand for service discovery, machine-to-machine messaging, and service management occur; and sustain the planned version enhancements to the metadata registry and net-centric publisher services as required to support POR, COI, Service Registry, and discovery requirements. Funding will sustain and enhance Strategic Knowledge Integration Web (SKIWeb); DoD Visitor, Identity Synchronization Service, and Enterprise Active Directory Services Forest; Identity and Access Management services; and robust Mobile Application Store/Mobile Device Management services. This suite of operational services will ultimately allow all DoD users to leverage services and enterprise solutions that will directly support end-users operational missions independent of time and the users' location.

## **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	3538	Acronym	NGEN									
Name of Investment	NEXT GENER	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK										
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY										
Category	NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM			Acquisition Category	PRE-MAIS							
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR.	ASTRUCTUR	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE							

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN) is an enterprise network which will provide secure, net-centric data and services to Navy and Marine personnel and represents the continuous evolution of information technology at the Department of Navy. NGEN forms the foundation for the DON's future Naval Network Environment that will be interoperable with and leverage other Department of Defense-provided Net-Centric Enterprise Services. NGEN program has been established to provide net-centric capability that replaces and improves the enterprise IT services that the previous Navy–Marine Corps Intranet (NMCI) provided (expired Sep-10). The Continuity of Services Contract (CoSC) was awarded to the NMCI Incumbent in Jul-10 to support the transition from NMCI to NGEN. Beginning in FY11, CoSC will provide continued NMCI 2010 capability for the largest DoD centrally managed IT network, supporting approximately 382,000 seats representing over 700,000 users across the globe and providing comprehensive, end-to-end information services through a common computing and communication environment.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	1,757,357	1,610,311	1,876,958	1,795,831
DWCF				
WCF, Navy				
0208305DN 20-N/A	14,018	12,360	13,048	11,813
0408020DN 20-N/A	14,499	13,480	14,178	12,830
0605010DN 20-N/A	199,172	197,790	206,832	186,691
0708202DN 20-N/A	25,274	25,011	26,283	23,806
0708211DN 20-N/A	25,074	23,121	24,378	22,130
0708213DM 02R-N/A	4,619	5,079	5,039	5,125
DWCF Total	282,656	276,841	289,758	262,395
MILCON				
Mil Con, Navy				
0901211N 03-MCON Design Funds	7,211	0	0	0
MILCON Total	7,211	0	0	0
MILPERS				
MERHFC, Navy				
0807732N 01-N/A	886	1,082	1,357	1,619
Mil Pers, Navy				
0208550M 06-N/A	15,307	16,843	20,994	25,266
0208550N 06-N/A	735	0	0	0
MILPERS Total	16,928	17,925	22,351	26,885
Operations				
O&M, MC				
0208550M 01-Base Operating Support	322,942	338,863	376,079	287,044
0208550M 01-Field Logistics	0	0	16,678	17,041
0208550M 01-Operational Forces	0	0	3,957	4,033
O&M, MC Res				
0505550M 01-Base Operating Support <b>O&amp;M</b> , <b>Navy</b>	32,321	32,731	35,946	26,773

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
0202056N 04-Combat/Weapons Systems	45	0	0	0
0204283N 01-Ship Operations Support & Training	3	0	0	0
0204304N 01-Ship Depot Maintenance	16	0	0	0
0204313N 01-Weapons Maintenance	87	0	0	0
0204575N 01-Electronic Warfare	0	4	0	0
0208550N 01-Enterprise Information	631,523	595,352	828,677	747,926
0208550N 04-Other Personnel Support	24,887	28,294	15,566	15,550
0303157N 01-Aircraft Depot Operations Support	1	0	0	0
0701113N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	0	0	1,340	1,363
0701113N 04-Servicewide Communications	1,300	1,320	0	0
0708017N 01-Ship Depot Operations Support	1	0	0	0
O&M, Navy Res				
0208550N 01-Enterprise Information	66,914	54,392	43,699	43,726
Operations Total	1,080,040	1,050,956	1,321,942	1,143,456
Procurement				
Other Proc, Navy				255.024
0303113N 07-ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	174,765	103,479	115,334	255,824
Procurement, MC			104 225	103,993
0206313M 04-COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES Procurement Total	191,828	154,329 257,808	124,337	359,817
	366,593	257,808	239,671	339,817
RDT&E RDT&E, Navy				
0601152N 01- UNDIST	368	0	0	0
	372	•	0	0
0603729N 03- Warfighter Protection Adv Tech		0	1,291	1,333
0605861N 06- ONR Science & Technology Mgmt	3,161	3,409	*	1,912
0605864N 06- NAWC Weapons Division	0	3,340	1,912	33
0605865N 06- OPTEVFOR Support RDT&E Total	28 3,929	32 6,781	33 3,236	3,278
KD1&E 10tal	3,929	0,/81	3,230	3,410

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	1,734.766	1,754.297	
FY 2013 President's Budget	1,610.311	1,876.958	266.65
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		122.661	
•			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Military Personnel Navy (MPN) decrease of \$-.111M price change tied to MPN.

Navy Working Capital Fund Cost (NWCFCST) increase of \$+64.7M to cover increase CoSC fixed cost pricing rates in FY13 supporting working capital fund customers.

Operations Maintenance Navy (OMN) increase \$+179.96M apparent increase for FY13 reflects execution year distribution of SEAT service to DON organizations. The impact additional Continuity of Services Contract (COSC) support leading into the Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN). Growth in command personnel, both government and contractor increases in direct workload as contract and server cost increases.

Operation Maintenance Navy Reserves (OMNR) decrease \$-32.87M reflects a realigment and programming OMNR SEAT service funds to organizations supporting reservists. The impact leaves the OMNR short of adequate CoSC support for the reservists in FY13.

Operations Maintenance Marine Corps (OMMC) increase of \$+58.8M reflects program requirement for increased transition support to ensure transition to government owned government operated (GO/GO) environment. Growth in command personnel, both government and contractor increases in direct workload as contract and server cost increases.

Operations Maintenace Marine Corps Reserves (OMMCR) increase of \$+2.7M reflects program requirement for increased transition support to ensure GO/GO environment within the Marine Corps.

Other Procurement Navy (OPN) decrease \$-62.7M is a realignment in strategy for the buy back of Infrastructure buy under CoSC and beginning the software license procurement for NGEN. The impact moves the Navy from the CoSC (NMCI bridge contract) to the NGEN contract.

Procurement Marine Corps (PMC) decrease \$-85.6M due to the accelerated buy back of hardware and software, ITSM tools, Service Desk and Engineering testing. The

impact is U.S. Marine Corps' intranet becomes a GO/GO enterprise intranet.

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDT&E,N) decrease of \$-2.2M is related to CoSC/NGEN Appropriation Realignments and CoSC/NGEN Fixed Cost Appropriation Realignment.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Medicare Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contribution, Navy (DHAN) increase of \$+.275M price change tied to Military Personnel Navy (MPN).

MPN increase of \$+4.2M scheduled increase & escalation.

Navy Working Capital Fund-Cost (NWCFCST) increase of \$+12.9M to cover increase CoSC fixed cost pricing from FY12 to FY13 supporting working capital fund customers.

Operations Maintenance, Navy (OMN) increase \$+220.6M apparent increase between FY12 and FY13 reflects execution year distribution of SEAT service to DON organizations. The impact additional Continuity of Services Contract (COSC) support leading into the Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN).

Operation Maintenance Navy Reserves (OMNR) decrease \$-10.7M reflects a realignment and programming OMNR SEAT service funds to organizations supporting reservists. The impact leaves the OMNR short of adequate CoSC support for the reservists in FY13.

Operations Maintenance Marine Corps (OMMC) increase of \$+57.9M reflects program requirement for increased transition support to ensure transition to government owned government operated (GO/GO) environment.

Operations Maintenace Marine Corps Reserves (OMMCR) increase of \$+3.2M reflects program requirement for increased transition support to ensure transition to GO/GO environment within the Marine Corps.

Other Procurement Navy (OPN) increase \$+11.9M is a result of the FY13 Infrastructure buy under CoSC and beginning the software license procurement for NGEN. The impact moves the Navy from the CoSC (NMCI bridge contract) to the NGEN contract.

Procurement Marine Corps (PMC) decrease \$-30M due to the FY12 one time buy of hardware and software, ITSM tools, Service Desk and Engineering testing. The impact is U.S. Marine Corps becomes a GO/GO enterprise intranet.

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN) decrease of \$-3.5M is related to CoSC/NGEN Appropriation Realignments and CoSC/NGEN Fixed Cost Appropriation Realignment.

#### **Program Accomplishments**

#### **FY 2011 Accomplishments**

FY2011 Other Procurement, Navy (OPN) funds procured a Government Purpose Rights (GPR) license for the Technical Data Processes and Procedures (TDPP) from Hewlett Packard/Enterprise Services (HP/ES). Government Purpose Rights (GPR) permits full use and transference of information for development of the segmentation

contracts that comprise the future Naval Enterprise Network. In accordance with the CoSC Technical Refresh Plan (TRP), FY11 OPN funding also provided for the Technical Refresh (TR) of fielded equipment and components required to support the network. FY2011 Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN) funding financed operations, including Transport and Enterprise Services, End User Services fees, Hardware Usage fees, and End User Device TR under the CoSC that was awarded July 2010.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

FY12 funding will continue to finance the TR of fielded equipment and components required to support the network, in accordance with the CoSC TRP. Additionally, FY12 funding will continue financing operations, including Transport and Enterprise Services, End User Services fees, Hardware Usage fees, End User Device TR under CoSC, and procurement of software licenses via DoD ESI.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

The Navy will use FY13 funding to complete the scheduled Technical Refresh (TR) of network hardware to ensure compliance with contractual requirements to maintain the network in compliance with industry standards for best practices. Additionally, in FY13 the Navy will award contracts to transition from the CoSC to NGEN. These contracts provide operation and maintenance of the transport segment consisting of cable plants, servers, routers, switches and the myriad of network devices necessary to provide the NGEN capabilities. The Navy will also award a contract for enterprise services to ensure compliance with requirements for meeting service level agreements. Other FY13 funding will continue financing CoSC operations, including Transport and Enterprise Services, End User Services fees, Hardware Usage fees, End User Device TR, which entails implementation, operation and maintenance support of user Hardware (H/W) and Software (S/W) through managed field services for Non-Secure Internet Protocol Router (NIPR) and Secure Internet Protocol Router (SIPR) environments; in addition to transitioning to the new NGEN Transport and Enterprise Services contracts including TRP, and procurement of software licenses via DoD ESI.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

CoSC will end in April 2014 and NGEN will become fully operational. FY14 OPN funding will finance the TR of fielded network equipment and components, begin procuring the Transport Layer (backbone) infrastructure, and complete transition to the new NGEN Transport contract including TRP. FY14 O&MN funding will continue financing operations, including Transport and Enterprise Services, End User Services fees, H/W Usage fees, End User Device TR, and procure S/W licenses to support 300,000 USN users.

# **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

OPNAV N2/N6

**Component** 

Department of the Navy

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Captain Shawn P. Hendricks PMW 205 Program Office

#### **Contract Information**

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton

City/State: VA

**Supported** Cost Estimating

Function:

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Program Mgt, Bus FM, Admin Ops, Transition, Enterprise Svcs, Transport, Contracting, Acquisition, Cyber Security, Hardware

Function:

Name: Falconwood, Inc City/State: San Diego, CA

**Supported** Logistics, Engineering, T&E, and Strategic planning

Function:

Name: Hewlett Packard Enterprise Services

City/State: Plano, TX

**Supported** Continuity of Services Contract (CoSC) - Providing NMCI 2010 services.

Function:

Name: Jacobs Engineering
City/State: Pasadena, CA

**Supported** Logistics and Information Technology Service Mgt

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN) - Transit	ion from NM	CI to NGEN				
Planned Start Date: 2009-08-10 Planned Completion Date: 2	014-04-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	1,252.364	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN) is an enterprise personnel and represents the continuous evolution of inform future Naval Network Environment that will be interoperal Services. As the successor to the Navy Marine Corps Interownership of the network and decrease costs through comproject encompasses the transition from NMCI to NGEN.	mation technol ble with and le rnet (NMCI), in petition of vari	hich will provid ogy at the Depa verage other De s being develop ous segments of	de secure, net- artment of Navepartment of I ed to provide f the operation	centric data and vy. NGEN form Defense-provided increased Navy n instead of a sign	ns the foundation ed Net-Centric En y command and congle service contr	for the DON's terprise ontrol through ract. This
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
Acquisition Program Baseline (APB)	Planned:	2010-06-04	Planned:	2011-12-12	Planned:	0.150
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-11-12	Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2010-06-04	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Prepare the APB in accordance with DoD Inst 5000.02						
Activity Name	Start Date		Compl	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Program Life Cycle Cost Estimate (PLCCE) Final	Planned:	2010-09-02	Planned:	2011-11-02	Planned:	2.500
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2010-09-02	Actual:	2011-11-01	Actual:	0.000
The NGEN PLCCE, developed by The Space and Naval Warfare Systems Com of the program's Cost Analysis Requirements Description (CARD). Costs are c MIL-STD-881C Appendix B dated 14 January 2011 and the Cost Element Structure 1995. The PLCCE captures total ownership costs including both direct and inductive the estimate is developed in base-year dollars and inflated to then-year dollars Activity Name	aptured and reporture (CES) from rect costs regardusing current Of	orted based on the n DoD Automated dless of the funding	e Work Breakded Information Source for the cary of Defense	own Structure (W systems (AIS) Ec le entire program	WBS) as defined in latential volume (WBS) as defined in latential	DoD's Draft EA) Guide dated - FY2024).
Cost Analysis Requirements Document (CARD) Final	Planned:	2010-11-18	Planned:	2011-11-04	Planned:	0.900
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2010-11-18	Actual:	2011-11-01	Actual:	0.000
Prepare the CARD in accordance with DoD Inst 5000.02,		3-40		• •		
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Acquisition Strategy (AS)	Planned:	2011-06-06	Planned:	2011-11-28	Planned:	1.000
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2011-06-06	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Updated Acquisition Strategy in accordance with DoD Inst 5000.02	•					

Ailestones - Continued						
Activity Name	<b>Start Date</b>		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Transport and Enterprise Services Acquisition Plan	Planned:	2011-06-06	Planned:	2011-11-28	Planned:	0.500
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-02-29	Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2011-06-06	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Prepare the Transport Services (TXS)/Enterprise Services (ES) AP in accordance	with DoD Ins	t 5000.02.				
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Service Cost Position (SCP)	Planned:	2011-07-07	Planned:	2011-11-02	Planned:	0.500
	Projected:		Projected:		Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2011-07-07	Actual:	2011-11-01	Actual:	0.000
The SCP will be prepared by Naval Center for Cost Analysis (NCCA), based on	the Program L	ife Cycle Cost Es	timate develope	ed by the Program	o Office.	
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Transport / Enterprise Services Request for Proposal	Planned:	2011-09-30	Planned:	2011-11-28	Planned:	1.000
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-03-15	Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Prepare Request for Proposal (RFP) to reflect the Performance Work Statements	for Transport	and Enterprise Sea	rvices.			
Activity Name	Start Date		Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Test & Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP) Final	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-02-22	Planned:	1.250
	Projected:		Projected:	2012-09-14	Projected:	0.000
Description	Actual:	2011-10-03	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Prepare TEMP in accordance with DoD Inst 5000.02.						

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

All US Navy and US Marine Corps users of the Navy Marine Corps Intranet (NMCI), NIPRNet and SIPRNet.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

Under Secretary of Defense(Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD (AT&L)) - Washington DC - Milestone Decision Authority (MDA);

Department of Defense, Chief Information Officer (DoD CIO) - DC;

Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition (ASN (RDA)) – DC;

USMC Hq C4 – Arlington VA – USMC Resource Sponsor;

Deputy ASN (DASN C4I/IO/Space) - DC;

Dept of the Navy CIO (DON CIO) - DC;

Marine Corps Systems Command (MARCORSYSCOM) - Quantico VA;

MC Net Ops and Security Cennter (MCNOSC) - Quantico VA;

Office of the Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV N2/N6) – DC – USN Resource Sponsor;

Program Executive Office (PEO-EIS) - VA;

Commander, Navy Cyber Forces (CYBERFOR) – VA Beach VA – Cyber Type Cmdr;

Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR) – San Diego CA – NGEN BSO;

Fleet Forces Command (FFC) – Norfolk VA;

Commander Pacific Fleet (COMPACFLT) – Honolulu HI;

FLTCYBERCOM / COM10FLT – Ft Meade MD – Cyber Operational Authority;

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Continuity of Services Contract (COSC) and Next Generation Network (NGEN) is an enterprise network which will provide secure, net-centric data and services to Navy and Marine personnel and represents the continuous evolution of information technology at the Department of Navy for Over 700,000 users providing over 384,000 workstations and laptops in more than 3,000 DON locations. NGEN forms the foundation for the DON's future Naval Network Environment that will be interoperable with and leverage other Department of Defense-provided Net-Centric Enterprise Services. Each individual Department of Navy organizations funds COSC/NGEN with mission funds as follows:

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN)

\$845.6M in funding will continue financing operations, including Transport and Enterprise Services, End User Services fees, Hardware Usage fees, and End User Device TR initially under CoSC transitioning to the new Transport TRP, and procurement of software licenses for COSC/NGEN users.

O&M Reserves (OMNR)

\$43.7M in funding for approximately 50K Reservist COSC workstations, laptops and software supporting Reserves at various Reservist worksites.

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN)

\$115.3M in funding will continue to finance the Technical Refresh (TR) of fielded equipment and components required to support the network, in accordance with the Continuity of Services Contract (CoSC) Technical Refresh Plan (TRP) and begin transition to the NGEN Transport Contract vehicle for TR requirements.

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN)

\$3.2M for basic desktop computer services including seats and software in support and Air Warfare centers and Fleet Force Command offices

Navy Working Capital Fund-COST (NWCFCST)

\$289.8M for the COSC follow-on to the Navy Marine Corps Intranet, the DON's current shore-based network and operating environment and funded with Working Capital Funds. NGEN will supply a secure information technology infrastructure for the Warfare Centers. Funds support non-NAHI NMCI services including seats, scanners, storage, printers, hardware, software, spillage charges, and engineering services. Funding provides the CoSC IT necessary for operations at NAVSUP Headquarters, Business Systems Center, Logistics Operations Center, Weapons Systems Support, as well as Global Logistics Systems and associated Fleet Logistics Centers.

Military Personnel Navy (MPN)

\$21M provides the funding for military billets supporting operation and maintenance of NGEN.

Procurement, Marine Corps (PMC)

\$124.3M will support operating requirements to maintain the COSC/NGEN Government Owned/Government Operated (GO/GO) environment. Major cost elements include; contractor delivered services for 90,000 Marine Corps seats. Supports tech refresh of aging enterprise network and end user hardware. Funds also provide necessary end user software licenses for the Government Owned/Government Operated (GO/GO) Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN) environment.

Operations and Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC) and OMMC Reserves (OMMCR)

\$396.7M in OMMC and \$35.9M in OMMCR will support COSC and NGEN transition requirements, and all supporting requirements for a Government Owned/Government Operated (GO/GO) environment. Major cost elements include: contractor delivered services for 90,000 Marine Corps seats, NGEN transition support and award of NGEN support contracts including Transport Services, Enterprise Services, Enterprise Service Desk, Software Maintenance, CIVPERs, and other operating costs.

Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contribution (DHAN)

\$1.4M funds the retiree tail for MPN.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Continuity of Services Contract (CoSC) will end in April 2014 and Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN) will then be fully operational. Prior NMCI services are being provided by COSC and will be phased out as these services are replaced under the NGEN contract during FY14 for over 700,000 users providing over 384,000 workstations and laptops in more than 3,000 DON locations. Next Generation Network (NGEN) will be the DON enterprise network providing secure, net-centric data and services to Navy and Marine personnel and representing the continuous evolution of information technology at the Department of Navy. NGEN forms the foundation for the DON's future Naval Network Environment that will be interoperable with and leverage other Department of Defense-provided Net-Centric Enterprise Services. Each individual Department of Navy organizations funds COSC/NGEN with mission funds as follows:

Operations & Maintenance, Navy (OMN)

\$2.777.5M in funding will continue financing operations, including Transport and Enterprise Services, End User Services fees, Hardware Usage fees, and End User Device TR initially under CoSC transitioning to the new Transport TRP, and procurement of software licenses for over 700,000 users providing over 384,000 workstations and laptops in more than 3,000 DON locations.

O&M Reserves (OMNR)

\$171.3M is funding for approximately 50K Reservist COSC workstations, laptops and software supporting Reserves at various Reservist worksites.

Other Procurement, Navy (OPN)

\$768.7M in funding will continue to finance the Technical Refresh (TR) of fielded equipment and components required to support the network, in accordance with the Continuity of Services Contract (CoSC) Technical Refresh Plan (TRP) and begin transition to the NGEN Transport Contract vehicle for TR requirements.

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (RDTEN)

\$12.7M for basic desktop computer services including seats and software in support and Air Warfare centers and Fleet Force Command offices

Navy Working Capital Fund-COST (NWCFCST)

\$1,073.7M for the COSC follow-on to the Navy Marine Corps Intranet, the DON's current shore-based network and operating environment and funded with Working Capital Funds. NGEN will supply a secure information technology infrastructure for the Warfare Centers.

Procurement, Marine Corps (PMC)

\$227.9M supports tech refresh of aging enterprise network and end user hardware. Funds also provide necessary end user software licenses for the Government Owned/Government Operated (GO/GO) Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN) environment.

Operations and Maintenance, Marine Corps (OMMC) and OMMC Reserves (OMMCR)

\$970.8M in OMMC and \$113.4M in OMMCR will support COSC and NGEN transition requirements, and all supporting requirements for a Government Owned/Government Operated (GO/GO) environment. Major cost elements include: contractor delivered services for 90,000 Marine Corps seats, NGEN transition support and award of NGEN support contracts including Transport Services, Enterprise Services, Enterprise Service Desk, Software Maintenance, CIVPERs, and other operating costs.

Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contribution (DHAN)

\$6.5M for Military Personnel, Navy CoSC basic desktop computer services including seats and software in support of Information Technology.

#### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	0192	Acronym	PTWA								
Name of Investment	PRODUCT TAILORING WARFIGHTER APPLICATIONS										
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE									
Category	NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM		Acquisition Category	NONE							
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE AWARENE	ESS-ENVIRONMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS						

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Current legacy weather systems are made up of disparate components. AF weather forces provide direct support to strategic, operational, and tactical decision-makers using different 'systems' with dissimilar interfaces to perform similar types of weather support. Likewise, battlefield weather personnel supporting Army operations use dissimilar systems and interfaces depending on whether they are in-garrison or deployed. These configurations duplicate solutions; increase manpower, maintenance, and training burdens; and do not enable automated delivery of comprehensive, accurate, timely, relevant, and consistent weather information in support of military operations. Additionally, current AF weather systems provide minimal interoperability with limited machine-to-machine interface capability. This produces a bottleneck in getting weather impacts to decision-makers. Furthermore, the current capability lacks tools for meteorological and operational risk management. These shortfalls have an adverse impact across the full Range of Military Operations (ROMO) where weather support is needed.

The JET Program employs an evolutionary acquisition strategy to provide a scalable, standard software and hardware baseline which delivers web-enabled, decision-quality weather data, services and products to weather forces and AF/Army warfighters/operators (henceforth, warfighters will be used for both), interfaces with applicable command and control systems, and replaces disparate legacy weather systems with a single, integrated capability. The JET contract provides for development, test, fielding and sustainment of the JET system to achieve these objectives. This acquisition includes a system architecture that will satisfy JET functional and technical requirements, a migration strategy to evolve towards an ultimately seamless integration with other Air Force Weather (AFW) programs of record on an optimum schedule; and a transition plan to sustain fielded JET capability, as well as legacy systems until they are subsumed or replaced by the JET Program.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	25,227	17,604	13,912	13,376
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0305111F 01-Global C3I And Early Warning	7,200	3,421	6,166	5,600
Operations Total	7,200	3,421	6,166	5,600
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0305111F 03-WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	5,518	6,870	3,688	3,694
Procurement Total	5,518	6,870	3,688	3,694
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0305111F 07-Weather Service	12,509	7,313	4,058	4,082
RDT&E Total	12,509	7,313	4,058	4,082

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	17.719	12.960	
FY 2013 President's Budget	17.604	13.912	-3.69
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		0.952	
	·		

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The vertical change saw an increase between the FY12 and FY13 PB submission. Operations and Maintenance (O&M) drove the increased cost in FY13. Additional O&M funding is needed in 2013 as JET will have Increment 2 partially fielded. Legacy systems will also still require support because of protracted fielding schedule resulting from 3080 reductions which forces the continuation of dual baseline maintenance.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The horizontal change saw a reduction between FY12 and FY13 in the Procurement funding. The Increment 2 Fielding requirement at the six Operational Weather Squadrons is projected for use of FY11 and FY12 Procurement funding. Procurement funding drives the drop-off in the FY12 to FY13 horizontal change. The cost requirement to support completion of JET Increment 2 fielding with FY13 funding is reduced from FY11-12 Procurement levels.

#### **Program Accomplishments**

# FY 2011 Accomplishments

Fielding of Inc 1 was completed through the fielding of Service Packs (SP) which provided additional functionality. SP1 provided the following: 30-hour TAF, SDC v1.6 updates, LEADS upgrade to 4.5, Joint METOC Merge, Web Mapping Service, resolution of 14 Category 2 OT&E Deficiencies, and resolution of Cert T&E security vulnerabilities. This was delivered/fielded to the User FY11/Q1. Additionally, SP2 provided the following features: upgrade to FDDC v2, resolution of NOTAM 08-185, ATC Portlet Viewability, OWS Webmaster's Toolkit, AFCENT Remote Sensor Access, S-FTP, Process European Radar & Lightning Data, ARQ Display, View Observations, TAFs, and WWAs together, Replace Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG), and LEADS METSAT Updates. This was delivered/fielded to the User FY11/Q4. These SPs have allowed for the operational transition from NTFS to JET. Also, Maintenance Releases have increased security/operational effectiveness through the delivery of five separate sustainment work-offs.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Increment 2 Build A completed a Milestone C/Fielding Decision review in January 2012. Increment 2 Build A changes the Increment 1 architecture by regionalizing the JET OWS hardware/servers, Sensor Collection Appliance implementation, and support Enterprise Web Consortium (EWC) stand-up. The follow-on fielding is scheduled

to commence in March 2012. Additionally, Increment 2 Build B is currently in the Test and Evaluation phase and is scheduled for a Fielding Decision in FY12/Q3. Build B completes Increment 2 functional requirements through the following: GIS Viewer – Integrated Geospatial Display (IGD), Metwatch/Mission Watch portals, Mission data ingest (ATO), Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) Services, and Web-Mapping Service, Web-Feature Services.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

An Increment (Inc) 2 Service Pack (SP) has been defined to resolve evolving operational needs to support additional fixed sensor locations with a JET Inc 2 solution. Scheduled deployment will occur starting in FY13/Q2. Inc 2 Build C is in pre-contractual developmental activities. The engineering definition is complete and the Program Office is in the process of MDA approval based on a three release strategy starting in FY12/Q4 and last through FY14/Q4. Inc 2 Build C Release 1 will be deployed in FY13/Q3. FY13 funding is being used towards programming the Inc 2 SP and Build C development phase. Inc 2 fielding will conclude in FY13 at the last operational weather squadron to complete basic Inc 2 fielding requirements. Follow-on fielding activities will occur at sites requiring a scaled regionalized infrastructure to meet the weather forecasting needs. These locations will be fully defined in FY13 for follow-on contract initiation.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

A single-sign on capability will be added via a third and final release of Inc 2 Build C. This will bring Build B capabilities to the enterprise level through the completion of Build C development. Build C Release 2 and 3 fielding will commence. One Operational Weather Squadrons technical hardware refresh will be accomplished using Procurement funding.

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Todd Meyers ESC/HBAJ

#### **Contract Information**

Name: RAYTHEON COMPANY

INTELLIGENCE AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

City/State: OMAHA, NE

Supported Function:

#### Milestones/Schedules

**Project Name: Joint Environmental Toolkit (JET)** 

Planned Start Date: 2006-03-28 **Planned Completion Date:** 2015-07-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 221.900 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Joint Environmental Toolkit (JET) is the name of the system that will support the Product Tailoring Warfighter Applications (PTWA) program. JET is an environmental data collection and processing project that facilitates the construction of mission-tailored products for military operations worldwide and provides continuous aerospace and ground weather support to DoD operational customers. Data and products are provided to warfighters and warfighter support activities at all levels (strategic, operational, and tactical) of DoD operations with environmental data critical to combat operations involving both Air Force and Army assets. JET will provide the capability for users to create, access, modify, and transmit mission-critical environmental data among users and between users and operational customers. JET supports the AF core capabilities of Counterair, Counterspace, Counterland, Countersea, Strategic Attack, Counter Information, Command and Control, Airlift, Air Refueling, Spacelift, Special Operations Employment, Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance, Combat Search and Rescue, Navigation and Positioning with worldwide environmental data collection and formatting for warfighters and warfighter support activities. It will also support the Army core capabilities of Aviation, Air Defense, Ammunition, Post Support, Close Combat (Heavy and Light), Deep Strike Operations, Rear Strike Operations, Combat Support; Combat Service Support; Analysis Control Element, Intelligence Preparation of the Battlefield, Mission Planning and Rehearsal, Air Assault, Airborne Operations, Maneuver, Targeting, Terrain Analysis, Battlefield Visualization, Tactical Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Operations, Split-Based & Force Projection Operations, Military Operations Other Than War, Logistics, Space; Command, Control, Communications, and Computers; Engineering, Mine Warfare, Fire Support, Intelligence and Electronic Warfare; Nuclear; Biological, and Chemical Warfare; Land Combat Engineering Support, Special Operations, Technical Base/Post Training, Test and Evaluation. There are no known agency performance gaps. With the successful completion of Increment 1, Service Pack 2, the user is currently decommissioning one of their legacy systems - NTFS. Increment 2 is scheduled to be fielded starting in Feb 2012; IOC will be reached with the delivery of the first OWS in Spring 2012. Increment 2 will consolidate all JET servers and another legacy system, OPS II, will be decommissioned.

. • .	inestones Commueu						
	Activity Name	Start Date		Comple	etion Date	Total C	osts
	Contractor Logistics Support (Sustainment Year 1)	Planned:	2006-03-28	Planned:	2006-10-13	Planned:	1.028
		Projected:	2006-03-28	Projected:	2006-10-13	Projected:	1.028
	Description	Actual:	2006-03-28	Actual:	2006-10-13	Actual:	1.028

Milestones - Continued

The Sustainment vehicle provides for the necessary sustainment support of JET and Legacy Systems. This includes the sustainment of fielded JET software and hardware for AF fixed, AF deployed, Army garrison, and Army deployed locations. This effort provides Depot level maintenance help desk support (24 hours a day, five days a week) to fielded JET until the legacy systems are decommissioned. In collaboration with the User, this efforts ensuring currency of previously delivered manuals and includes in the guide a set of policies and procedures for troubleshooting common problems associated with JET. This effort includes the collection, tracking, and reporting of system performance metrics. This effort provides limited preventive maintenance on fixed AF and Army in-garrison JET hardware only as permitted by the PMO. Preventative maintenance includes general inspection, cleaning, and baseline verification of operating system, BIOS, Time Compliance Network Orders, and baseline versions. This effort includes actions required to analyze JET software problems, and to fix high priority software as permitted by the PMO, whether identified through routine deficiency reporting or through external security directives. This effort includes the review of Time Compliance Network Orders for system applicability and impact as stated in Air Force Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) and IAVAs for system applicability and impact as stated in Army Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) process. This includes the review of IAVBs and IATTs for JET system applicability with notification to the User and PMO of the analysis of all TCNOs and IAVAs. This effort includes the sustainment of LEADS software for the entire AF Weather Weapon System, including the JET implementation LEADS; including assistance and advice on LEADS scripts maintenance and LEADS operations in addition to restorative actions. This effort includes the review of adaptive maintenance requests for system applicability and impact with subsequent analysis notifica

Activity Name	Start Date		Comple	tion Date	Total Costs		
Increment 1	Planned:	2006-03-28	Planned:	2008-09-30	Planned:	13.684	
	Projected:	2006-03-28	Projected:	2008-07-30	Projected:	13.684	
Description	Actual:	2006-03-28	Actual:	2008-09-30	Actual:	13.684	

Inc 1 has the following objectives: simplify operations; standardize the single Human-Machine Interface (HMI); minimize manpower, training, maintenance and support requirements; establish a single contract vehicle to consolidate and streamline; implement Command & Control Enterprise Reference Architecture (C2ERA) aka Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA); provide tailorable weather products to the Warfighter; and replace legacy N-TFS, JWIS, and IMETS.

vinestones Continueu							
Activity Name	Start Date		Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs	
Contractor Logistics Support (Sustainment Year 2)	Planned:	2006-10-14	Planned:	2007-10-14	Planned:	5.071	•
	Projected:	2006-10-14	Projected:	2007-10-14	Projected:	5.071	
Description	Actual:	2006-10-14	Actual:	2007-10-14	Actual:	5.071	

Milestones - Continued

The Sustainment vehicle provides for the necessary sustainment support of JET and Legacy Systems. This includes the sustainment of fielded JET software and hardware for AF fixed, AF deployed, Army garrison, and Army deployed locations. This effort provides Depot level maintenance help desk support (24 hours a day, five days a week) to fielded JET until the legacy systems are decommissioned. In collaboration with the User, this efforts ensuring currency of previously delivered manuals and includes in the guide a set of policies and procedures for troubleshooting common problems associated with JET. This effort includes the collection, tracking, and reporting of system performance metrics. This effort provides limited preventive maintenance on fixed AF and Army in-garrison JET hardware only as permitted by the PMO. Preventative maintenance includes general inspection, cleaning, and baseline verification of operating system, BIOS, Time Compliance Network Orders, and baseline versions. This effort includes actions required to analyze JET software problems, and to fix high priority software as permitted by the PMO, whether identified through routine deficiency reporting or through external security directives. This effort includes the review of Time Compliance Network Orders for system applicability and impact as stated in Air Force Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) and IAVAs for system applicability and impact as stated in Army Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) process. This includes the review of IAVBs and IATTs for JET system applicability with notification to the User and PMO of the analysis of all TCNOs and IAVAs. This effort includes the sustainment of LEADS software for the entire AF Weather Weapon System, including the JET implementation LEADS; including assistance and advice on LEADS scripts maintenance and LEADS operations in addition to restorative actions. This effort includes the review of adaptive maintenance requests for system applicability and impact with subsequent analysis notifica

Activity Name		Date	Comple	tion Date	Total Costs	
Contractor Logistics Support (Sustainment Year 3)	Planned:	2007-10-14	Planned:	2008-11-12	Planned:	6.004
	Projected:	2007-10-14	Projected:	2008-11-12	Projected:	6.004
Description	Actual:	2007-10-14	Actual:	2008-11-12	Actual:	6.004

The Sustainment vehicle provides for the necessary sustainment support of JET and Legacy Systems. This includes the sustainment of fielded JET software and hardware for AF fixed, AF deployed, Army garrison, and Army deployed locations. This effort provides Depot level maintenance help desk support (24 hours a day, five days a week) to fielded JET until the legacy systems are decommissioned. In collaboration with the User, this efforts ensuring currency of previously delivered manuals and includes in the guide a set of policies and procedures for troubleshooting common problems associated with JET. This effort includes the collection, tracking, and reporting of system performance metrics. This effort provides limited preventive maintenance on fixed AF and Army in-garrison JET hardware only as permitted by the PMO. Preventative maintenance includes general inspection, cleaning, and baseline verification of operating system, BIOS, Time Compliance Network Orders, and baseline versions. This effort includes actions required to analyze JET software problems, and to fix high priority software as permitted by the PMO, whether identified through routine deficiency reporting or through external security directives. This effort includes the review of Time Compliance Network Orders for system applicability and impact as stated in Air Force Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) and IAVAs for system applicability and impact as stated in Army Computer Emergency Response Team (Army CERT) process. This includes the review of IAVBs and IATTs for JET system applicability with notification to the User and PMO of the analysis of all TCNOs and IAVAs. This effort includes the sustainment of LEADS software for the entire AF Weather Weapon System, including the JET implementation LEADS; including assistance and advice on LEADS scripts maintenance and LEADS operations in addition to restorative actions. This effort includes the review of adaptive maintenance requests for system applicability and impact with subsequent analysis notif

Iilestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		Costs
Increment 2	Planned:	2007-12-15	Planned:	2012-06-30	Planned:	45.849
	Projected:	2007-12-15	Projected:	2012-06-30	Projected:	45.849
Description	Actual:	2007-12-15	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Increment 2 has the following features: enhanced Service Ori Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) Standards; migration to with DCGS-A; enhanced automation and generation of first-g Data Analysis and JET); replace Standalone Analysis System includes an initiative called server regionalization. It consoli Sensor Collection Appliance (SCA). The SCA is to collect & modes.	on-demand product generation; inc guess products; improved data/prod is (Open Principle User Processor, I date and/or reduce the number of JI	reased C2 inter uct disseminati Mark IVB). Ac ET servers at m	faces and Common capabilities; Juditionally, the enajor hubs (OWS)	non Operational Propertional Properties of the METOC (confort is a hardward) and converts Open	icture (COP) supporting the most baseline better and software upgorerational Weather	ort; integration tween Weather grade which Flights to a
Activity Name	Start	Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Increment 1 Site Surveys	Planned:	2008-02-25	Planned:	2009-06-30	Planned:	2.399
	Projected:	2008-02-25	Projected:	2009-06-30	Projected:	2.399
Description	Actual:	2008-02-25	Actual:	2009-06-30	Actual:	2.399
The effort provides site surveys, labor, and associated travel		Area of Respon		r and Space Opera		
Activity Name	Start	Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Increment 1 Fielding (25 OWS AOR)	Planned:	2008-06-13	Planned:	2009-12-31	Planned:	3.108
	Projected:	2008-06-13	Projected:	2009-12-31	Projected:	3.108
Description	Actual:	2008-06-13	Actual:	2009-12-31	Actual:	3.108
The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and Operational Weather Squadron and associated CONUS Area JET Increment 1. The effort provides training materials and Activity Name	of Responsibility. The effort provide conduct training.		y efforts to purcl			nd checkout
Increment 1 Fielding (STRATCOM)	Planned:	2008-06-16	Planned:	2010-03-18	Planned:	0.043
morement i i reiding (STIATEON)	Projected:	2008-06-16	Projected:	2010-03-18	Projected:	0.043
Description	Actual:	2008-06-16	Actual:	2010-03-18	Actual:	0.043
<b>Description</b> The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and						****
effort provides all necessary efforts to purchase ESRI license						
Activity Name		Date		etion Date	Total	•
Increment 1 Fielding (26 OWS AOR)	Planned:	2008-06-16	Planned:	2009-12-31	Planned:	5.445
<i>S</i> ( <i>S</i> -	Projected:	2008-06-16	Projected:	2009-12-31	Projected:	5.445
Description	Actual:	2008-06-16	Actual:	2009-12-31	Actual:	5.445
The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and						
Operational Weather Squadron and associated CONUS Area	of Responsibility. The effort provide					
JET Increment 1. The effort provides training materials and	conduct training.					

ilestones - Continued	G4	Start Date		Constation Date		~ .
Activity Name			Completion Date		Total Costs	
Increment 1 Fielding (AFWA)	Planned:	2008-06-16	Planned:	2009-03-31	Planned:	1.275
	Projected:	2008-06-16	Projected:	2009-03-31	Projected:	1.275
Description	Actual:	2008-06-16	Actual:	2009-03-31	Actual:	1.275
The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and applicable Co Agency, Offut AFB Nebraska. The effort provides all necessary efforts to prematerials and conduct training.	urchase ESRI licens	es and install, tes	st and checkout	JET Increment 1.	The effort provide	es training
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Increment 1 Fielding (15 OWS AOR)	Planned:	2008-06-16	Planned:	2009-12-31	Planned:	3.004
	Projected:	2008-06-16	Projected:	2009-12-31	Projected:	3.004
Description	Actual:	2008-06-16	Actual:	2009-12-31	Actual:	3.004
The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and applicable CO Weather Squadron and associated CONUS Area of Responsibility. The effort. The effort provides training materials and conduct training.						
Activity Name	C.	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		Costs
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compi	enon Date	I Otal (	2000
Increment 1, Service Pack 1	Planned:	2008-07-10	Planned:	2010-01-31	Planned:	3.918
·						
Increment 1, Service Pack 1  Description Service Pack 1 has the following features: 30-hour Terminal Aerodrome For	Planned: Projected: Actual: recast (TAF); Standa	2008-07-10 2008-07-10 2008-07-10 ard Desktop Conf	Planned: Projected: Actual: figuration (SDC	2010-01-31 2009-08-31 2010-01-31 2) version 1.6 Upd	Planned: Projected: Actual: ates; updated arch	3.918 3.918 3.918 itecture view
Increment 1, Service Pack 1  Description Service Pack 1 has the following features: 30-hour Terminal Aerodrome For and Web Services Tech Documentation; Leading Environmental Analysis a Merge; Web Mapping Service; resolution of 14 Category II Deficiency Rep Weather Domain Capability; resolve Cert Test & Eval (CT&E) security vul.	Planned: Projected: Actual: recast (TAF); Standand Display System (orts (DR); software nerabilities.	2008-07-10 2008-07-10 2008-07-10 and Desktop Conf LEADS) upgrad update provided	Planned: Projected: Actual: figuration (SDC e to 4.5; Joint M for testing with	2010-01-31 2009-08-31 2010-01-31 E) version 1.6 Upd Meteorological and Distributed Comm	Planned: Projected: Actual: lates; updated arch I Oceanographic (I	3.918 3.918 3.918 itecture view METOC) on-Army
Increment 1, Service Pack 1  Description Service Pack 1 has the following features: 30-hour Terminal Aerodrome For and Web Services Tech Documentation; Leading Environmental Analysis a Merge; Web Mapping Service; resolution of 14 Category II Deficiency Rep Weather Domain Capability; resolve Cert Test & Eval (CT&E) security vul Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: recast (TAF); Standand Display System (orts (DR); software nerabilities.	2008-07-10 2008-07-10 2008-07-10 and Desktop Conf LEADS) upgrad update provided	Planned: Projected: Actual: figuration (SDC e to 4.5; Joint M for testing with	2010-01-31 2009-08-31 2010-01-31 2) version 1.6 Upd deteorological and	Planned: Projected: Actual: lates; updated arch	3.918 3.918 3.918 itecture view METOC) on-Army
Increment 1, Service Pack 1  Description Service Pack 1 has the following features: 30-hour Terminal Aerodrome For and Web Services Tech Documentation; Leading Environmental Analysis a Merge; Web Mapping Service; resolution of 14 Category II Deficiency Rep Weather Domain Capability; resolve Cert Test & Eval (CT&E) security vul.	Planned: Projected: Actual: recast (TAF); Standa nd Display System ( orts (DR); software nerabilities. Star Planned:	2008-07-10 2008-07-10 2008-07-10 ard Desktop Cond (LEADS) upgradupdate provided t Date 2008-07-21	Planned: Projected: Actual: figuration (SDC) te to 4.5; Joint M for testing with  Compl Planned:	2010-01-31 2009-08-31 2010-01-31 S) version 1.6 Upd deteorological and Distributed Commetion Date 2009-12-31	Planned: Projected: Actual: lates; updated arch d Oceanographic (I mon Ground Station  Total ( Planned:	3.918 3.918 3.918 itecture view METOC) on-Army Costs 2.496
Description Service Pack 1 has the following features: 30-hour Terminal Aerodrome For and Web Services Tech Documentation; Leading Environmental Analysis a Merge; Web Mapping Service; resolution of 14 Category II Deficiency Rep Weather Domain Capability; resolve Cert Test & Eval (CT&E) security vul Activity Name Increment 1 Fielding (17 OWS AOR)	Planned: Projected: Actual: recast (TAF); Standa nd Display System ( orts (DR); software nerabilities.  Star	2008-07-10 2008-07-10 2008-07-10 ard Desktop Cond (LEADS) upgradupdate provided t Date 2008-07-21	Planned: Projected: Actual: figuration (SDC e to 4.5; Joint M for testing with	2010-01-31 2009-08-31 2010-01-31 c) version 1.6 Upd Meteorological and Distributed Commetion Date	Planned: Projected: Actual: lates; updated arch d Oceanographic (I	3.918 3.918 3.918 itecture view METOC) on-Army Costs
Description Service Pack 1 has the following features: 30-hour Terminal Aerodrome For and Web Services Tech Documentation; Leading Environmental Analysis a Merge; Web Mapping Service; resolution of 14 Category II Deficiency Rep Weather Domain Capability; resolve Cert Test & Eval (CT&E) security vul.  Activity Name Increment 1 Fielding (17 OWS AOR)  Description The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and applicable Conceptional Weather Squadron and Pacific Theatre Area of Responsibility. Increment 1. The effort provides training materials and conduct training.	Planned: Projected: Actual: recast (TAF); Standand Display System (orts (DR); software nerabilities.  Star Planned: Projected: Actual: OTS software licens The effort provides is	2008-07-10 2008-07-10 2008-07-10 and Desktop Conf LEADS) upgrad- update provided t Date 2008-07-21 2008-07-21 es required to ope all necessary effor	Planned: Projected: Actual: figuration (SDC e to 4.5; Joint M for testing with  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual: erate and maint orts to purchase	2010-01-31 2009-08-31 2010-01-31 E) version 1.6 Upd Meteorological and Distributed Comme etion Date 2009-12-31 2009-12-31 ain the JET system ESRI licenses and	Planned: Projected: Actual: lates; updated arch I Oceanographic (I mon Ground Statio  Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: n in the Joint Base I install, test and c	3.918 3.918 3.918 itecture view METOC) on-Army  Costs 2.496 2.496 Hickam heckout JET
Description Service Pack 1 has the following features: 30-hour Terminal Aerodrome For and Web Services Tech Documentation; Leading Environmental Analysis a Merge; Web Mapping Service; resolution of 14 Category II Deficiency Rep Weather Domain Capability; resolve Cert Test & Eval (CT&E) security vul. Activity Name Increment 1 Fielding (17 OWS AOR)  Description The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and applicable Co Operational Weather Squadron and Pacific Theatre Area of Responsibility. Increment 1. The effort provides training materials and conduct training.  Activity Name	Planned: Projected: Actual: recast (TAF); Standand Display System (orts (DR); software nerabilities.  Star Planned: Projected: Actual: OTS software licens The effort provides is	2008-07-10 2008-07-10 2008-07-10 and Desktop Conf LEADS) upgrad update provided t Date 2008-07-21 2008-07-21 es required to ope all necessary effort	Planned: Projected: Actual: figuration (SDC e to 4.5; Joint M for testing with  Comple Planned: Projected: Actual: erate and maint orts to purchase	2010-01-31 2009-08-31 2010-01-31 E) version 1.6 Upd Meteorological and Distributed Comm etion Date 2009-12-31 2009-12-31 2009-12-31 ain the JET system ESRI licenses and	Planned: Projected: Actual: lates; updated arch l Oceanographic (I mon Ground Statio  Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: n in the Joint Base	3.918 3.918 3.918 itecture view METOC) on-Army  Costs  2.496 2.496 4.496 Hickam heckout JET  Costs
Description Service Pack 1 has the following features: 30-hour Terminal Aerodrome For and Web Services Tech Documentation; Leading Environmental Analysis a Merge; Web Mapping Service; resolution of 14 Category II Deficiency Rep Weather Domain Capability; resolve Cert Test & Eval (CT&E) security vul.  Activity Name Increment 1 Fielding (17 OWS AOR)  Description The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and applicable Conceptional Weather Squadron and Pacific Theatre Area of Responsibility. Increment 1. The effort provides training materials and conduct training.	Planned: Projected: Actual: recast (TAF); Standand Display System (orts (DR); software nerabilities.  Star Planned: Projected: Actual: OTS software licens: The effort provides: Star	2008-07-10 2008-07-10 2008-07-10 and Desktop Conf LEADS) upgrad update provided t Date 2008-07-21 2008-07-21 es required to ope all necessary effort t Date 2008-08-18	Planned: Projected: Actual: figuration (SDC e to 4.5; Joint M for testing with  Compl  Planned: Projected: Actual: erate and maint orts to purchase  Compl	2010-01-31 2009-08-31 2010-01-31 E) version 1.6 Upd Meteorological and Distributed Comme etion Date 2009-12-31 2009-12-31 ain the JET system ESRI licenses and	Planned: Projected: Actual: lates; updated arch d Oceanographic (I mon Ground Statio  Total ( Planned: Projected: Actual: n in the Joint Base d install, test and c	3.918 3.918 3.918 itecture view METOC) on-Army  Costs 2.496 2.496 Hickam heckout JET

Milestones - Continued									
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>						
Increment 1 Fielding (Air and Space Operation Centers)	Planned: 2008-10-01	Planned: 2010-10-31	Planned: 1.207						
	Projected: 2008-10-01	Projected: 2010-10-31	Projected: 1.207						
Description	Actual: 2008-10-01	Actual: 2010-06-08	Actual: 0.201						

The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and applicable COTS software licenses required to operate and maintain the JET system at all Air Force and Space Operations Centers. The effort provides all necessary efforts to purchase ESRI licenses and install, test and checkout JET Increment 1. The effort provides training materials and conduct training. Later, this effort was descoped due to requirements change.

Activity Name		Start Date Completion 1		tion Date	ate Total Costs		
	Contractor Logistics Support (Sustainment Year 4)	Planned:	2008-11-13	Planned:	2009-11-12	Planned:	6.235
		Projected:	2008-11-13	Projected:	2009-11-12	Projected:	6.235
	Description	Actual:	2008-11-13	Actual:	2009-11-12	Actual:	6.235

The Sustainment vehicle provides for the necessary sustainment support of JET and Legacy Systems. This includes the sustainment of fielded JET software and hardware for AF fixed, AF deployed, Army garrison, and Army deployed locations. This effort provides Depot level maintenance help desk support (24 hours a day, five days a week) to fielded JET until the legacy systems are decommissioned. In collaboration with the User, this efforts ensuring currency of previously delivered manuals and includes in the guide a set of policies and procedures for troubleshooting common problems associated with JET. This effort includes the collection, tracking, and reporting of system performance metrics. This effort provides limited preventive maintenance on fixed AF and Army in-garrison JET hardware only as permitted by the PMO. Preventative maintenance includes general inspection, cleaning, and baseline verification of operating system, BIOS, Time Compliance Network Orders, and baseline versions. This effort includes actions required to analyze JET software problems, and to fix high priority software as permitted by the PMO, whether identified through routine deficiency reporting or through external security directives. This effort includes the review of Time Compliance Network Orders for system applicability and impact as stated in Air Force Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) and IAVAs for system applicability and impact as stated in Army Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) process. This includes the review of IAVBs and IATTs for JET system applicability with notification to the User and PMO of the analysis of all TCNOs and IAVAs. This effort includes the sustainment of LEADS software for the entire AF Weather Weapon System, including the JET implementation LEADS; including assistance and advice on LEADS scripts maintenance and LEADS operations in addition to restorative actions. This effort includes the review of adaptive maintenance requests for system applicability and impact with subsequent analysis notifica

Activity Name	Start Dat	te Comp	letion Date	Total Costs	
Increment 1, Service Pack 2	Planned: 200	09-03-27 Planned:	2010-07-31	Planned:	2.652
	Projected: 200	09-03-27 Projected:	2010-02-28	Projected:	2.652
Description	Actual: 200	09-03-27 Actual:	2010-07-31	Actual:	2.652

Service Pack 2 has the following features: upgrade from SDC 1.2 to FDDC v2; Davis Monthan NOTAM 08-185; Air Traffic Control (ATC) Portlet Viewability; OWS Webmaster's Toolkit; AFCENT Remote Sensor Access; Secure-File Transfer Protocol (S-FTP); Process European Radar & Lightning Data; ARQ Display; View Observations, TAFs, and WWAs together; Replace Scalable Vector Graphics (SVG); LEADS METSAT Updates.

Milestones - Continued									
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>						
Contractor Logistics Support (Sustainment Year 5)	Planned: 2009-11-13	Planned: 2010-11-15	Planned: 8.662						
	Projected: 2009-11-13	Projected: 2010-11-15	Projected: 8.662						
Description	Actual: 2009-11-13	Actual: 2010-11-15	Actual: 8.662						

The Sustainment vehicle provides for the necessary sustainment support of JET and Legacy Systems. This includes the sustainment of fielded JET software and hardware for AF fixed, AF deployed, Army garrison, and Army deployed locations. This effort provides Depot level maintenance help desk support (24 hours a day, five days a week) to fielded JET until the legacy systems are decommissioned. In collaboration with the User, this efforts ensuring currency of previously delivered manuals and includes in the guide a set of policies and procedures for troubleshooting common problems associated with JET. This effort includes the collection, tracking, and reporting of system performance metrics. This effort provides limited preventive maintenance on fixed AF and Army in-garrison JET hardware only as permitted by the PMO. Preventative maintenance includes general inspection, cleaning, and baseline verification of operating system, BIOS, Time Compliance Network Orders, and baseline versions. This effort includes actions required to analyze JET software problems, and to fix high priority software as permitted by the PMO, whether identified through routine deficiency reporting or through external security directives. This effort includes the review of Time Compliance Network Orders for system applicability and impact as stated in Air Force Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) and IAVAs for system applicability and impact as stated in Army Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) process. This includes the review of IAVBs and IATTs for JET system applicability with notification to the User and PMO of the analysis of all TCNOs and IAVAs. This effort includes the sustainment of LEADS software for the entire AF Weather Weapon System, including the JET implementation LEADS; including assistance and advice on LEADS scripts maintenance and LEADS operations in addition to restorative actions. This effort includes the review of adaptive maintenance requests for system applicability and impact with subsequent analysis notifica

Activity Name		Start Date		etion Date	Total Co	osts
Increment 1, Service Pack 1 Fielding	Planned:	2010-01-21	Planned:	2010-04-30	Planned:	0.406
	Projected:	2010-01-21	Projected:	2010-04-30	Projected:	0.406
Description	Actual:	2010-01-21	Actual:	2010-04-30	Actual:	0.406

The effort provides all necessary fielding support for Fielding Increment 1, Service Pack 1 at the following locations: 26 OWS, 15 OWS, 25 OWS, 17 OWS, 21 OWS, Aviation Tactics Evaluation Group, 23rd Weather Squadron, 335th Training Squadron, Schofield Barracks Army Area Processing Center (APC), Yongson AIN Army APC, Grafenwoehr AIN Army APC, and Kaiserslautern AIN Army APC

Activity Name	Start	Start Date		etion Date	Total C	<b>Total Costs</b>		
Increment 1, Service Pack 2 Fielding	Planned:	2010-07-08	Planned:	2010-12-31	Planned:	0.824		
	Projected:	2010-07-08	Projected:	2010-12-31	Projected:	0.824		
Description	Actual:	2010-07-08	Actual:	2010-12-31	Actual:	0.824		

The effort provides all necessary fielding support for Fielding Increment 1, Service Pack 2 at the following locations: 26 OWS, 15 OWS, 25 OWS, 17 OWS, 21 OWS, Aviation Tactics Evaluation Group, 23rd Weather Squadron, 335th Training Squadron, Schofield Barracks Army Area Processing Center (APC), Yongson AIN Army APC, Grafenwoehr AIN Army APC, and Kaiserslautern AIN Army APC

Milestones - Continued								
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs		
Increment 1 Fielding (28 OWS AOR)	Planned:	2010-07-31	Planned:	2011-02-28	Planned:	1.486		
	Projected:	2010-07-31	Projected:	2011-02-28	Projected:	1.486		
Description	Actual:	2010-07-31	Actual:	2011-02-28	Actual:	1.486		
The effort provides all necessary hardware, JET software, and applicable COTS software licenses required to operate and maintain the JET system in the Shaw AFB Operational								
Weather Squadron and Southwest Asia Area of Responsibility. The effort	provides all necessary	efforts to purch	ase ESRI licens	es and install, to	est and checkout JET	Increment 1		
The effort provides training materials and conduct training.		_						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs		
Increment 1 Fielding (Additional Sites, Kosovo)	Planned:	2010-08-18	Planned:	2011-05-31	Planned:	0.805		
	Projected:	2010-08-18	Projected:	2011-05-31	Projected:	0.805		
Description	Actual:	2010-08-18	Actual:	2011-05-31	Actual:	0.658		
The effort conducts site acceptance testing on the Service Pack 2 software	e to ensure that data is 1	being received a	nd processed, as	nd that the JET	capabilities are funct	tioning		
properly. Following acceptance testing, installers will brief site personnel								
includes participation in ongoing service pack communications with the C			ions apply to the	e following sites	s: Camp Stanley, Car	mp Walker,		
Yongsan (RIPR), Yongsan (SIPR), Ft Schafter (USARPAC, HI, DET 1 1	WS), and Hickam AFF	3 (199 WF).						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs		
Software Maintenance Releases	Planned:	2010-09-27	Planned:	2011-09-26	Planned:	2.853		
	Projected:	2010-09-27	Projected:	2011-09-26	Projected:	2.853		
Description	Actual:	2010-09-27	Actual:	2011-09-26	Actual:	2.853		

This effort provides the engineering support required to analyze JET software problems, and to fix software deficiencies via six maintenance releases. These releases are agreed through between the User and PMO via Configuration Control Board and update to the software baseline.

VIIICOTOII	es commeta							
Activity Name		Start	Comple	etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>			
Contra	ctor Logistics Support (Sustainment Year 6)	Planned:	2010-11-16	Planned:	2011-11-15	Planned:	7.496	
		Projected:	2010-11-16	Projected:	2011-11-15	Projected:	7.496	
Des	rintion	Actual:	2010-11-16	Actual:	2011-11-15	Actual:	7 496	

Milestones - Continued

The Sustainment vehicle provides for the necessary sustainment support of JET and Legacy Systems. This includes the sustainment of fielded JET software and hardware for AF fixed, AF deployed, Army garrison, and Army deployed locations. This effort provides Depot level maintenance help desk support (24 hours a day, five days a week) to fielded JET until the legacy systems are decommissioned. In collaboration with the User, this efforts ensuring currency of previously delivered manuals and includes in the guide a set of policies and procedures for troubleshooting common problems associated with JET. This effort includes the collection, tracking, and reporting of system performance metrics. This effort provides limited preventive maintenance on fixed AF and Army in-garrison JET hardware only as permitted by the PMO. Preventative maintenance includes general inspection, cleaning, and baseline verification of operating system, BIOS, Time Compliance Network Orders, and baseline versions. This effort includes actions required to analyze JET software problems, and to fix high priority software as permitted by the PMO, whether identified through routine deficiency reporting or through external security directives. This effort includes the review of Time Compliance Network Orders for system applicability and impact as stated in Air Force Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) and IAVAs for system applicability and impact as stated in Army Computer Emergency Response Team (AFCERT) process. This includes the review of IAVBs and IATTs for JET system applicability with notification to the User and PMO of the analysis of all TCNOs and IAVAs. This effort includes the sustainment of LEADS software for the entire AF Weather Weapon System, including the JET implementation LEADS; including assistance and advice on LEADS scripts maintenance and LEADS operations in addition to restorative actions. This effort includes the review of adaptive maintenance requests for system applicability and impact with subsequent analysis notifica

Activity Name		Date	Comple	tion Date	Total Costs	
Increment 2 Site Surveys	Planned:	2010-12-08	Planned:	2011-10-31	Planned:	0.437
	Projected:	2010-12-08	Projected:	2011-10-31	Projected:	0.437
Description	Actual:	2010-12-08	Actual:	2011-10-31	Actual:	0.437

The effort includes conducting site surveys at the following locations: 15 OWS, 21 OWS, 28 OWS, 17 OWS, 25 OWS, 36 OWS, 335 Training Squadron, Aviation Tactics Group, 23rd Weather Squadron, Patrick AFB and Vandenberg AFB. In addition, this effort includes the following: Fielding planning to cover the coordination of each site's specific requirements for space, environmental, security, system interface and data requirements in advance of installation deployment as well as planning the in-plant staging activities; briefing site staff on JET features, installation and checkout process; and obtaining customer agreements on equipment, space, working space for installation team and any site preparation prior to installation.

Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Software Maintenance Releases	Planned:	2011-07-25	Planned:	2012-07-24	Planned:	0.861
	Projected:	2011-07-25	Projected:	2012-07-24	Projected:	0.861
Description	Actual:	2011-07-25	Actual:		Actual:	0.861

This effort provides the engineering support required to analyze JET software problems, and to fix software deficiencies via six maintenance releases. These releases are agreed through between the User and PMO via Configuration Control Board and update to the software baseline.

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

**Customers for this Investment** 

Stakeholders for this Investment

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

3600 funding. Migration from regional to enterprise, enabling seamless inter-Operational Weather Squadron (OWS) and OWS to Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA) operations, service exchanges and data flow through the single sign-on capability. Integrating capability deliveries from 4 Dimensional Forecaster-in-the-Loop and Human Machine Interface requirements.

3080 funding. Contracted support of Increment 2 Build A and B fielding/installation.

3400 funding. Capabilities Being Delivered: JET Sustainment includes the sustainment of both software and hardware. The scope consists of logistics engineering, C&A support, help desk support and preparation of troubleshooting guides, support for AFWA Remedy ARS, JET sustainment and preventative maintenance inspections, software sustainment, software subscriptions service and software licensing, hardware sustainment, supply support and spares management and material packing, handling, storage and transportation.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

RDT&E funding. (BY+1 and BY+2) Completion of Migration from regional to enterprise, enabling seamless inter-Operational Weather Squadron (OWS) and OWS to Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA) operations, service exchanges and data flow through the single sign-on capability. Integrating capability deliveries from 4 Dimensional Forecaster-in-the-Loop and Human Machine Interface requirements. (BY+3 and BY+4) Requirements not identified at this time.

Procurement funding. (BY+1) Start fielding of Build C and 1/3 technical refresh of hardware. (BY+2) Complete fielding of Build C, start fielding D and 1/3 technical refresh of hardware. (BY+3) Complete fielding of Build D and 1/3 technical refresh of hardware.

Operations & Maintenance (O&M) funding. (BY+1 to BY+4) Capabilities Being Delivered: Anticipate reduced sustainment costs for FY 14 and out. JET Sustainment includes the sustainment of both software and hardware. The scope consists of logistics engineering, C&A support, help desk support and preparation of troubleshooting guides, support for AFWA Remedy ARS, JET sustainment and preventative maintenance inspections, software sustainment, supply support and spares management and material packing, handling, storage and transportation.

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1640	Acronym	RCAS	AS						
Name of Investment	RESERVE CO	MPONENT A	UTOMATION SYSTEM	MATION SYSTEM						
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	IT OF THE AR	MY	7						
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	MAIS					
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS					

## **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Reserve Component Automation System (RCAS) is an automated information system that enhances the Army's Reserve Components (RC) ability to achieve and sustain critical automation interoperability and accomplish unit mobilization planning and readiness, day to day operations and administration. Fully deployed, RCAS links Army National Guard (ARNG) and U.S. Army Reserve (USAR) units located in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Europe and the Pacific Rim. The RCAS was certified as compliant with the Clinger-Cohen Act throughout its acquisition phase.

Now fully operational and in sustainment, RCAS is the system of record for all states and territories mobilizing their citizen Soldiers for disaster response, homeland security task missions and overseas deployment. Established in response to a GAO Report on the Army Reserve Component's inability to provide timely and accurate mobilization data, the RCAS now dramatically improves the RC's ability to organize, train and equip their citizen Soldiers, mobilize forces in half the historical time required and provides resource visibility to state and federal agencies of all forces at home and abroad.

The primary beneficiaries of RCAS software (SW) and hardware (HW) are the full-time manning personnel in the ARNG and USAR and traditional RC leadership from the National Guard Bureau (NGB) and U.S. Army Reserve Command (USARC) level down to the unit level. RCAS SW is the system of record for RC unit-level personnel management, retirements points accounting, force management, safety management and mobilization planning/execution. RCAS mobilization data is integrated with U.S. Army Forces Command's systems to enable timely and accurate mobilization readiness status to senior Army leadership.

RCAS benefits include: improved decision-making and better information management due to the increased accessibility, flexibility and knowledge sharing that the system provides; increased Commander visibility down to the unit level; enhanced timeliness, accuracy, integrity, and security of information; RCAS mobilization software is the RC's system of record to prepare for all unit level mobilization activity prior to arrival at the Mobilization Station; stable, reliable and responsive architecture that supports the needs of the RC and improves the mission effectiveness, interoperability and operational readiness of RC IT systems; enhanced visibility of RC availability and readiness to U.S. Army FORSCOM.

## Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	84,328	82,705	69,428	75,083
Operations				
O&M, Army Res				
0532214A 01-Force Readiness Operations Support	5,011	0	0	0
0538610A 01-Land Forces Systems Readiness	20,397	21,496	14,388	19,407
O&M, ARNG		,		
0509892A 04-Administration	3,874	4,345	4,696	4,740
0509892A 04-Servicewide Communications	15,907	15,616	14,851	15,985
Operations Total	45,189	41,457	33,935	40,132
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0219900A 02-RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS	39,139	41,248	35,493	34,951
(RCAS)				
Procurement Total	39,139	41,248	35,493	34,951

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	82.735	82.033	
FY 2013 President's Budget	82.705	69.428	-13.28
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-12.605	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OMAR: \$6.575M Decrease (31%)

Program decremented by higher Army authority.

OMNG: \$.127M Increase (1%)

Program increased due to higher training requirements.

OPA: \$6.157M Decrease (15%)

Program decremented by higher Army authority.

## Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 and FY13 is the result of the following:

OMAR: \$7.108M Decrease (33%)

Program decremented by higher Army authority.

OMNG: \$.414M Decrease (2%)

Program decremented by higher Army authority.

OPA: \$5.755M Decrease (14%)

Program decremented by higher Army authority.

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- -Procured and deployed commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) hardware & software, storage systems (4568 items), CISCO routers (2805), servers/desktops/notebooks (962) and ancillary equipment, to the USAR's enterprise data center at Ft. Bragg, NC.
- -Initiated the engineering/design phase of the Enterprise Modernization Plan for upgrading the software framework and Oracle database version to address program's technical obsolescence risk.
- -The ARNG continued its Active Directory consolidation and modernization project with pilot testing for a best of breed hardware and software solution.
- -Designed, tested and deployed the Battle Roster module, an incremental upgrade to the Mobilization Planning Data Viewer application, automating a business process that required significant data manipulation into a single enterprise-wide application that provides senior leadership with unprecedented capability to accurately and rapidly source Army deployment requirements with trained Soldiers and units.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- -Complete the engineering & design phases of the Enterprise Modernization Plan (EMP) that migrates the entire suite of 19 RCAS software applications from outdated Microsoft-based software frameworks to the current Microsoft .NET 4.0 framework to address program's technical obsolescence risk and extend the life of RCAS SW applications through FY17.
- -Complete the engineering and design phase of the RCAS data encryption project which addresses the risk of the compromise of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) stored in the RCAS integrated database.
- Upgrade virtualization solution from VM Ware 4.0 to VM Ware 5.0 and migrate VCenter to ESXi. Included in this deployment will be an enhanced data backup solution (VEAM) that leverages the program's earlier investment in virtualization technology.
- -Deploy and configure the Oracle Advanced Security Option module across the RCAS system baseline which provides Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) compliant encryption solutions for PII stored in the RCAS integrated database.
- -Reduce program overhead costs by 15% to align with DoD mandates to reduce contractor-provided services.
- -Complete the engineering and design phase of the RCAS system interface modernization project which utilizes a COTS solution to standardize and secure all information exchanges between RCAS and other DoD systems of record.
- -Deploy the Oracle Data Integrator software and 'go live' with the initial 3 system interfaces using this COTS solution.

## FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- -Complete Government testing and deployment of Enterprise Modernization Project (EMP is primarily directed at RCAS SW upgrade and Oracle DB upgrade to version 11GR2) to Full Operational Capability. EMP deployment delivers full compliance with the Army common operating environment.
- -Deploy high-priority functional enhancements to the RCAS mobilization application.
- -Migrate 50% of RCAS system interfaces (total of 36) to standard, secure Oracle-based solution.
- -Refresh 20% of the RCAS fielded infrastructure across the ARNG and USAR, to include workstations and peripherals.
- -Deploy 5 additional distance-learning based training modules.

## FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

100% of RCAS system interfaces are migrated to standard, secure Oracle-based solution. Life-cycle refresh of the RCAS web/database server platforms. Life-cycle refresh of 20% of RCAS fielded IT infrastructure across the ARNG and USAR. Deploy SW enhancements to the entire suite of 19 RCAS mobilization, force management,

safety management and personnel management applications. Initiate/complete life-cycle refresh of COTS HW for the ARNG's enterprise active-directory architecture.

#### **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

PD RCAS

**Component** 

Department of the Army

**Acquisition** 

#### **Program Management**

Mr. Thomas Neff PD RCAS

#### **Contract Information**

Name: Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC)

City/State: San Diego, CA

**Supported** System lifecycle sustainment.

Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Reserve Component Automation System (RCAS) Infrastructure Refresh.

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 41.248 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Provide technology refreshment (TR) of the Reserve Component Automation System's enterprise components that include commercial off-the-shelf

(COTS) information technology (IT) hardware and software fielded to Army National Guard and U.S. Army Reserve units. The Technology Refreshment project is based on a 5 year refresh cycle, refreshing 20% of the fielded infrastructure annually to avoid product end of life or obsolescence. The Technology Refreshment project provides for the effective and efficient periodic replacement of Commercial Off-The-Shelf

(COTS)

components, e.g., processors, displays, switches, routers, computer operating systems, commercially available software, etc as may be necessary

across

the Enterprise to assure continued supportability of that system through an indefinite service life.

Milestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
RCAS Infrastructure Refreshment.	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 41.248
	Projected: 2011-10-01	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 41.248
Description	Actual: 2011-10-01	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

The RCAS technology refreshment activity objective is to provide an effective and efficient periodic replacement of Commercial Off-The-Shelf (COTS) components as may be necessary across across the ARNG and USAR to assure continued supportability of the system through an indefinite service life. The FY12 technology provides a 20% refresh of the fielded infrastructure scheduled for replacement of servers, desktop workstations, laptops, printers, routers and other IT components.

#### Project Name: Reserve Component Automation System (RCAS) Lifecycle Software Sustainment.

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 41.487 (dollars in millions)

Description: Provide RCAS lifecycle sustainment for a suite of 19 web-based, virtualized software applications used throughout the Army National Guard

(ARNG)

and the U.S. Army Reserve (USAR) for Mobilization Planning and Execution, Force Structure Management, Safety, and Personnel Management involving approximately 2.5M souce lines of code. Also provides for sustainment and security of 31 external interfaces with other DoD/Army

Systems of record.

Activity Name	Start Date		Completion Date		<b>Total Costs</b>	
RCAS Lifecycle Software Sustainment.	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	41.487
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	41.487
Description	Actual:	2011-10-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

RCAS provides lifecycle sustainment for a suite of 19 web-based, virtualized applications used throughout the Army National Guard (ARNG) and the U.S. Army Reserve (USAR) for Mobilization Planning and Execution, Force Structure Management, Safety, and Personnel Management involving approximately 2.5M source lines of code.

RCAS also provides sustainment and security of 31 external interfaces with other DoD/Army systems of record; quarterly updates to the RCAS database per year (mandatory, driven by Army security mandates); three major software releases per year (regulatory/policy driven changes); three Service Pack software releases per year (user requested enhancements); Tier 2/3 Help Desk, 8 x 5, 365 days/year, responding to an average of 1600 trouble tickets per year; field maintenance of ARNG and USAR infrastructure between refresh cycles; System Administrator technical training and end-user training on RCAS functional software applications; and program support in the areas of Engineering, Quality Assurance, Independent Verification and Validation, Configuration Management.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The primary customers for this investment are the full-time staff personnel in Army National Guard (ARNG) and U.S. Army Reserve (USAR) units and commands located in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Europe and the Pacific Rim. This investment provides these personnel with the necessary information technology hardware and software tools that facilitates unit mobilization planning, preparation, and execution, day to day operations, and administration.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

The stakeholders for this investment include Commanders and functional staffs from the Active Army, the Army National Guard (ARNG) and the U.S. Army Reserve (USAR). This structure of command and functional control includes HQDA; U.S. Army Forces Command (FORSCOM); Chief, U.S. Army Reserve; U.S. Army Reserve Command (USARC) and subordinate Operational and Functional Commands/Training Commands/Regional Support Commands; Major Commands (MACOM); National Guard Bureau (NGB); State Adjutants General; State Joint Force Headquarters (JTF); and Mobilization Stations (MS), all of which are responsible for providing trained, equipped, and ready Soldiers and cohesive units to meet global requirements across the full spectrum of operations.

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

OPA - Refresh 20% of the RCAS infrastructure fielded to the ARNG and USAR, e.g. personal computers, servers, printers, routers and software.

O&M - Lifecycle software sustainment for a suite of 19 web-based, virtualized applications used throughout the ARNG and USAR for Mobilization Plann/Execution, Force Structure Management, Safety and Personnel Management involving 2.5M source lines of code. The RCAS project O&M also supports: Sustainment and security of 36 external interfaces with other DOD/Army systems of record; Quarterly RCAS database updates per year (mandatory, driven by Army security mandates); Three major software releases per year (regulatory/policy driven changes); Three Service Pack software releases per year (user requested enhancements); Tier 2/3 Help Desk, 8 x 5 weekdays, year round, responding to 1600 trouble tickets per year; ARNG and USAR field maintenance between infrastructure refresh cycles; System Administrator technical training and end-user training on RCAS functional software applications; Program Office - Civilian Pay, Travel, Training, Communications, Supplies and Equipment; Program Support - Engineering, Quality Assurance, Independent Verification and Validation and Configuration Management.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

BY+1 - OPA - Life-cycle refresh of the RCAS web/database server platforms. Life-cycle refresh of 20% of RCAS fielded IT infrastructure across the ARNG and USAR, e.g., personal computers, servers, printers, routers and software. Initiate/complete life-cycle refresh of COTS HW for the ARNG's enterprise active-directory architecture.

BY+1 - O&M - 100% of RCAS system interfaces are migrated to standard, secure Oracle-based solution. Deploy SW enhancements to the entire suite of 19 RCAS mobilization, force management, safety management and personnel management applications. Program Office - Civilian Pay, Travel, Training, Communications, Supplies and Equipment and Program Support - Engineering, Quality Assurance, Independent Verification and Validation, and Configuration Management.

BY+2 - OPA - Life-cycle refresh of 20% of RCAS fielded infrastructure across the ARNG and USAR, e.g., personal computers, servers, printers, routers and software. Initiate life-cycle refresh of COTS HW in USAR enterprise-wide data center.

BY+2 - O&M - Initiate retirement of RCAS Personnel Management SW applications aligned with the full-fielding of the Integrated Personnel and Pay System-Army. Deploy SW enhancements to the entire suite of 16 RCAS mobilization, force management & safety management applications. Migrate RCAS Oracle database to version 12. Program Office - Civilian Pay, Travel, Training, Communications, Supplies and Equipment and Program Support - Engineering, Quality Assurance, Independent Verification and Validation and Configuration Management.

BY+3 - OPA - Life-cycle refresh of 20% of RCAS fielded infrastructure across the ARNG and USAR, e.g., personal computers, servers, printers, routers and software.

Complete life-cycle refresh of COTS HW in USAR enterprise-wide data center.

BY+3 - O&M - 100% of personnel management data stored in RCAS is migrated to IPPS-A and RCAS Personnel SW is removed from the network. Deploy SW enhancements to the entire suite of 16 RCAS mobilization, force management & safety management applications. Program Office - Civilian Pay, Travel, Training, Communications, Supplies and Equipment and Program Support - Engineering, Quality Assurance, Independent Verification and Validation and Configuration Management.

BY+4 - OPA - Life-cycle refresh of 20% of RCAS fielded infrastructure across the ARNG and USAR, e.g., personal computers, servers, printers, routers and software.

BY+4 - O&M - 100% of personnel management data stored in RCAS is migrated to IPPS-A and RCAS Personnel SW is removed from the network. Deploy SW enhancements to the entire suite of 16 RCAS mobilization, force management & safety management applications. Program Office - Civilian Pay, Travel, Training, Communications, Supplies and Equipment and Program Support - Engineering, Quality Assurance, Independent Verification and Validation and Configuration Management.

#### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1699	Acronym	SMIS-X60	IIS-X60						
Name of Investment	SHIPBOARD	MANAGEME	EMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM							
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	IT OF THE NA	AVY	7						
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE					
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR	ASTRUCTUR	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE					

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Shipboard Management Information System (SMIS) consists of a collection IT networks and components serving communications, logistics, engineering, deck/operations, personnel, and administrative functions for the whole of the MSC fleet (109 noncombatant ships with an additional 50 in reserve status) via networked resources and provides the infrastructure upon which other systems operate. SMIS provides users access to information and services that are mission essential to the U.S. Government (USG), Department of Defense (DoD), Department of Navy (DoN), and civilian employees whom MSC supports. SMIS components include (along with related security, cryptographic and other communications elements): Afloat U-LAN - The shipboard Afloat Unclassified Local Area Network (U-LAN) provides the base infrastructure for providing all IT functions. Afloat C-LAN - The shipboard Afloat Classified Local Area Network (C-LAN) is installed on specific ships requiring access to classified material and communications as part of their mission. Afloat Environment - The Afloat environment based on Windows servers and workstations. PPTS - PC to PC Transfer System (PPTS) allows shipboard users to perform messaging services required for ship operations. ANOC -The Afloat Network Operations Center (ANOC) provides connectivity between land-based and shipboard systems. Ships docked or at sea have network connectivity to the MSC enterprise, messaging services, NIPRNet, SIPRNet, and other networks by way of the ANOC. BEST - The Bandwidth Efficient Satellite Transport (BEST) system provides ships at sea with connectivity to the ANOC, and subsequently to all networks accessible by the ANOC. NGW - The Next Generation Wideband (NGW) system under development will replace BEST functionality. In addition to allowing MSC ship operations through communications and supporting applications, SMIS directly supports other IT investments capabilities that include: engineering and logistics readiness, reducing overhead costs, command inspections, shipboard executive information and analysis, shipboard logs, food service management, ship configuration logistics improvement, supply management liquid petroleum accounting, shipboard automated maintenance management, vibration monitoring, administrative management and time and attendance, automated system for patient care and information, civilian mariner payroll, and data conversion for repair and overhaul material identification.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	72,278	81,153	74,953	68,947
DWCF				
WCF, Navy				
0408020DN 20-N/A	72,278	81,153	74,953	68,947
DWCF Total	72,278	81,153	74,953	68,947

## **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012 President's Budget         86.877         86.477           FY 2013 President's Budget         81.153         74.953         -6.20	(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
	FY 2012 President's Budget	86.877	86.477	
Change DD 2012 vg DD 2013	FY 2013 President's Budget	81.153	74.953	-6.20
Change FD 2012 VS FD 2015 -11.524	Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-11.524	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

NWCFEXP -decrease of (\$8,612M) 11% decrease

The decrease in funding between PB12 FY13 requirements and PB13 FY13 requirements is a result of the reprogramming of funds to BIN 4776. BIN 4776 is a new program for the Next Generation Wideband system that will replace MSC's legacy Satellite system (BEST - Bandwidth Efficient Satellite Transmission System) that is reaching the end of life.

NWCFPPP - decrease of (\$2.912M)34.3% decrease

The decrease in funding between PB12 FY13 requirements and PB13 FY13 requirements is a result of the reprogramming of funds to BIN 4776. BIN 4776 is a new program for the Next Generation Wideband system that will replace MSC's legacy Satellite system (BEST - Bandwidth Efficient Satellite Transmission System) that is reaching the end of life.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

NWCFCST decrease of (\$6.888M) 9% decrease

FY 12 to FY13 decreased requirements caused by contract transition costs in FY12 not exisiting in FY13. The transition costs are for a 3 month transition period for the afloat operations support contract due to be awarded in the 2nd quarter of FY12

NWCFCPP - increase of (\$0.688M) 14% increase

FY12 to FY13 increased requirement due to increased afloat IT Hardware technology refreshes needed to prepare for migration to the new Navy afloat infrastructure.

### **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Operations:

- 1) Provided voice and data communications bandwidth to whole of MSC fleet
- 2) Processed trouble calls, performed application and technology support (including technician visits) and provided training to MSC fleet

Maintenance: 1) Began deployment of afloat release maintenance upgrades to SMIS fleet infrastructure for U-LAN (AR1), C-CLAN(ACG) and HBSS.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

#### Operations:

- 1) Continue to provide voice and data communications bandwidth to whole of MSC fleet
- 2) Continue to process trouble calls, perform application and technology support (including technician visits) and provide training to MSC fleet
- 3) Continue to conduct configuration/technical changes and IAVA patching to maintain security posture

#### Maintenance:

- 1) Continue deployment of afloat release maintenance upgrade to SMIS fleet infrastructure with new software versioning (AR1)
- 2) Continue deployment of new hardware/software for classified LAN (ACG)
- 3) Complete deployment of host-based security system to fleet (HBSS)
- 4) Continue to conduct site specific hardware upgrading and software patching to afloat and ashore sites
- 5) Deploy SMIS components on newly acquired vessels
- 6) Deploy additional SMIS capability support (e.g. payroll, food service, ordinance, IT security)

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

### Operations:

- 1) Continue to provide voice and data communications bandwidth to whole of MSC fleet
- 2) Continue to process trouble calls, perform application and technology support (including technician visits) and provide training to MSC fleet Maintenance:
- 1) Complete deployment of afloat release maintenance upgrade to SMIS fleet infrastructure with new software versioning (AR1)
- 2) Complete deployment of new hardware/software for classified LAN (ACG)
- 3) Continue to conduct site specific hardware upgrading and software patching to afloat and ashore sites
- 4) Continue to conduct configuration/technical changes and IAVA patching to maintain security posture
- 5) Deploy SMIS components on newly acquired vessels
- 6) Deploy additional SMIS capability support (e.g. payroll, food service, etc.)

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

In future out-years the focus for SMIS will be to continue providing satellite/voice/data communications, maintaining hardware/software licensing/vendor support, funding support and maintenance personnel, deploying appropriate security patches and upgrading hardware/software components as they reach end of life. New ship acquisition and application/system support is projected.

### **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

**Component** 

Department of the Navy

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Ken Toy

**Contract Information** No contract information is available.

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: SMIS FY12 Technology Refresh and Deployment

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-28 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 8.574 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** This effort seeks to deploy SMIS technology updates for the maintenance of SMIS infrastructure on new and existing vessels. MSC seeks to refresh

the technology on approximately 1/5th of its fleet per year. This includes hardware and software for SMIS components (along with related security, cryptographic and other communications elements) consisting of: Afloat U-LAN - The shipboard Afloat Unclassified Local Area Network (U-LAN) provides the base infrastructure for providing all IT functions. Afloat C-LAN - The shipboard Afloat Classified Local Area Network (C-LAN) is installed on specific ships requiring access to classified material and communications as part of their mission. Afloat Environment - The Afloat environment based on Windows servers and workstations. PPTS - PC to PC Transfer System (PPTS) allows shipboard users to perform messaging services required for ship operations. ANOC -The Afloat Network Operations Center (ANOC) provides connectivity between land-based and shipboard systems. Ships docked or at sea have network connectivity to the MSC enterprise, messaging services, NIPRNet, SIPRNet, and other networks by way of the ANOC. BEST - The Bandwidth Efficient Satellite Transport (BEST) system provides ships at sea with connectivity to the ANOC, and subsequently to all networks accessible by the ANOC.

# Milestones - Continued

Activity Name	Start Da	ate	Comple	etion Date	Total C	Costs
SMIS Technology Refresh and Deployment	Planned: 20	011-10-03	Planned:	2012-09-28	Planned:	4.900
	Projected: 20	011-10-03	Projected:	2012-09-28	Projected:	4.900
Description	Actual: 20	011-10-03	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

Deployment of new hardware and software to maintain SMIS components on MSC fleet and shore-based facilities. Activity completion results in functioning SMIS components deployed as part of overall SMIS infrastructure.

Deployment to include:

- -Upgraded major fleet infrastructure components (AR1, ACG, HBSS)
- -Deployment of SMIS components on new MSC fleet transferred in FY12
- -Hardware replacement
- -Software upgrades and patching

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

**Customers for this Investment** 

Stakeholders for this Investment

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Navy Working Capital Fund-Cost (NWCFCST); (\$69.3M)

SMIS funding supports satellite/voice/data communications, maintaining hardware/software licensing/vendor support, funding support and maintenance personnel, deploying appropriate security patches and upgrading hardware/software components as they reach end of life.

Funding supports the following components:

Satellite/Voice/Data Communications Leased Bandwidth – Approximately one-third of total funding supports leased bandwidth and circuits providing voice/data transmission and connectivity between the fleet and shore-based facilities.

Software Purchase and Maintenance – Similar to hardware budget lines, funding supports software version upgrades and yearly license renewals for software deployed across the fleet.

IT Afloat Support Personnel – Budgeted funding supports operations personnel required to install, operate and maintain SMIS infrastructure across the fleet. Personnel

operations include: network administration, IAVA implementation, email and internet service operation, defense messaging, bandwidth management, security system operations, afloat network operations, back-up center operations, afloat communications support, system alteration and upgrade support, computer and communications components installation support, helpdesk/trouble ticket support, IT training (shipboard and shore-based), equipment refurbishment support and other support necessary ensure SMIS operations.

Navy Working Capital Fund-CPP (NWCFCPP); (\$5.5M);

Hardware Purchase and Maintenance – Funding levels are set to refresh approximately one-fifth of the fleet hardware per year allowing for a five-year tech refresh cycle across the entire fleet. Funding levels provide for new hardware associated with ship acquisition and budgets include vendor maintenance and support costs.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Navy Working Capital Fund-Cost (NWCFCST); (\$261.8M)

SMIS funding supports satellite/voice/data communications, maintaining hardware/software licensing/vendor support, funding support and maintenance personnel, deploying appropriate security patches and upgrading hardware/software components as they reach end of life.

Funding supports the following components:

Satellite/Voice/Data Communications Leased Bandwidth – Approximately one-third of total funding supports leased bandwidth and circuits providing voice/data transmission and connectivity between the fleet and shore-based facilities.

Software Purchase and Maintenance – Similar to hardware budget lines, funding supports software version upgrades and yearly license renewals for software deployed across the fleet.

IT Afloat Support Personnel – Budgeted funding supports operations personnel required to install, operate and maintain SMIS infrastructure across the fleet. Personnel operations include: network administration, IAVA implementation, email and internet service operation, defense messaging, bandwidth management, security system operations, afloat network operations, back-up center operations, afloat communications support, system alteration and upgrade support, computer and communications components installation support, helpdesk/trouble ticket support, IT training (shipboard and shore-based), equipment refurbishment support and other support necessary ensure SMIS operations.

Navy Working Capital Fund-CPP (NWCFCPP); (\$14M)

Hardware Purchase and Maintenance – Funding levels are set to refresh approximately one-fifth of the fleet hardware per year allowing for a five-year tech refresh cycle across the entire fleet. Funding levels provide for new hardware associated with ship acquisition and budgets include vendor maintenance and support costs.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	1794	Acronym	SPS				
Name of Investment	STANDARD F	PROCUREME	NT SYSTEM				
Lead Agent	DEFENSE LO	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE		
DoD Segment	ACQUISITION	N		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS		

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Standard Procurement System (SPS) automates the contracting process from procurement request through award and administration to final closeout. SPS accomplishes three main functions: contract placement, procurement, and contract administration. SPS has made significant strides towards transforming the way the Department does business and impacts the following critical DoD value added outcomes: On Time Request, Cash-to-Cash, Urgent Requests, and Financial Transparency. SPS is used by nearly 27,000 procurement professionals from all the military Services and other Defense agencies world-wide. The contract placement function includes the purchasing, renting, leasing, or otherwise obtaining of supplies and services. The procurement function includes description (but not determination) of supplies or services required, selection and solicitation of sources, preparation and award of contracts, and issuance of modifications. The contract administration function includes the performance of delegated contract functions, review recommendations, approval of progress payments, quality assurance, and production reporting. The SPS Joint Program Management Office (JPMO) is the acquiring office and manages the acquisition and deployment of SPS for all DoD worldwide, non-classified contract placement and administrative contracting offices. The SPS Milestone Decision Authority is the Program Executive Officer in the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA). The Director of Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy (DPAP) is the Principal Functional Proponent.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	51,657	38,155	41,786	42,010
DEF HLTH PROG				
0807781HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	40	40	41	41
0807783HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	294	387	409	418
DEF HLTH PROG Total	334	427	450	459
DWCF				
WCF, DECA				
0708198DBC 20-N/A	25	25	26	27
WCF, Defense				
0303125DBD 17R-N/A	242	281	230	224
0303156DK 17R-N/A	285	297	152	155
0408010DBE 20-N/A	420	432	455	462
0708203DS 20-N/A	25	0	0	0
WCF, Navy				
0408020DN 20-N/A	878	782	782	795
0605010DN 20-N/A	1,823	2,620	2,452	2,456
DWCF Total	3,698	4,437	4,097	4,119
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0308612F 04-Other Servicewide Activities	10,891	8,763	8,987	9,251
O&M, Army				
0908610A 04-Other Service Support	4,664	4,958	5,246	4,411
O&M, DW			226	211
00000000 04-Defense Security Service	325	330	336	311
00000000 04-Defense Threat Reduction Agency	414	426	442	458
0305070S 04-Defense Logistics Agency	238	13,171	18,647	19,212
0701113BL 04-Defense Contract Management Agency	20	24	24	24
0808898BT 04-Department Of Defense Education Activity	25	25	26	27

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
0901220SE 04-Defense Human Resources Activity	18	18	20	20
0901260BTA 04-Defense Business Transformation Agency	20,075	0	0	0
0901598D8W 04-Washington Headquarters Service	25	25	26	27
1160404BB 01-Special Operations Command	19	25	25	26
O&M, Navy				
0204140N 01-Ship Depot Operations Support	250	250	250	250
0303113N 01-Enterprise Information	1,665	1,416	1,334	1,305
0708012N 04-Acquisition And Program Management	818	1,024	934	933
0708020N 01-Ship Depot Operations Support	4,316	0	0	0
Operations Total	43,763	30,455	36,297	36,255
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0310700A 02-AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	2,459	2,439	538	766
Procurement Total	2,459	2,439	538	766
RDT&E RDT&E, DW				
0602303E 02-INFORMATION ASSURANCE & SURVIVABILITY	26	26	26	27
0603890C 04-BMD INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS	357	371	378	384
			0	0
0605020BTA 05-DEFENSE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY	1,020	0	U	U
RDT&E Total	1,403	397	404	411

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	38.562	39.509	
FY 2013 President's Budget	38.155	41.786	3.63
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		2.277	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

DLA portion of Defense Wide - When PB 2012 was locked in SNaP-IT, it included an errant cut levied upon it by OSD and the FY 2013 amount was \$13.5M. Very soon after the PB 2012 locked, OSD corrected the cut and established a baseline funding level for FY 2013 of \$18.522M. The changes that took place since that re-established baseline only included a slight increase of \$125K for inflation, with PB 2013 submitted at \$18.647M.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

DLA portion of Defense Wide - When PB 2012 was locked in SNaP-IT, it included an errant cut levied upon it by OSD and the FY 2013 amount was \$13.5M. Very soon after the PB 2012 locked, OSD corrected the cut and established a baseline funding level for FY 2013 of \$18.522M. The changes that took place since that re-established baseline only included a slight increase of \$125K for inflation, with PB 2013 submitted at \$18.647M.

### **Program Accomplishments**

# FY 2011 Accomplishments

- SR 11/11a. Allows sites the ability to: archive/store data off-line prior to official archiving; restore documents from storage; date/time stamp display in local time; IA improvements; support for foreign currency transactions; and standard data vendor category support.
- Completed testing of SR 12. Providing improved capability for unit price changes, support for Federal Desktop Core Configurations, ability to generate Purchase Request returns to originating systems, and Procurement Data Standard (PDS) for emergency/contingency contracts.
- Completed development of SR 13. Provides Windows 7/Vista compatibility as well as SR 12 requirements.
- Performed pilot testing/deployment of the PDS Schema v2.2.1 Utility. Provided to 118 Contracting Offices resulting in over 27,000 contract awards in PDS format.
- Delivered the PDS Schema v2.3 Utility for testing of awards and modifications.
- Tested and deployed quarterly legacy integration updates associated with SR11/11a.

### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

• Service Release (SR) 13 Testing and Deployment are planned. This release will provide users a Windows 7/Vista compatibility as well as all expected requirements from

#### SR12.

- Indentify Version 4.2.2 "Critical fix" requirements to post service releases. This capability will ensure that the needs of SPS users are met and effectively addressed under the current governance process.
- SR 14 development and testing is planned for FY 2012. Capabilities for users will include: unit price changes when exchange rate is changed in a CLIN; lock CLIN numbering in award modifications; Microsoft Server Server 2008 OS/PD2 client compatibility; and additional support for webMethods. This is the last planned SR, upon completion of this activity SPS will be in full sustainment.
- Add support for 2012 NAICS.
- Develop and test the upgraded CCR interface to SAM.
- Test and deploy an upgraded version of Integrity@SPS that detects the most common PDS data quality errors in contracts.
- Expect the services and other defense agencies to fully deploy PDS v2.2.1.
- Expect the services and other defense agencies to validate and perform operational testing for contract modifications in the PDS v2.3 format.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- Critical Fix Development, Testing and Deployment are planned. The JPMO will develop, test and deploy "critical fixes" of post services releases based on identified user requirements. This capability is anticipated to continue for the SPS program while in its sustainment mode, and thereby being responsive to the performance needs of its 27,000 users world-wide.
- Field testing and service and other defense agency deployment of SR 14 is planned.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

SPS in operations and support phase no new capability will be developed. Program will develop, test and deploy "critical fixes", technology refreshes and IA upgrades of post service releases based on identified user requirements and policy changes. This capability is anticipated to continue for the SPS program while in its sustainment mode thereby being responsive to the performance needs of its 27,000 users world-wide.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy

### Component

Defense Logistics Agency

### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

### **Program Management**

Brian J. Lutz, Maj. USAF

Defense Logistics Agency

## **Contract Information**

Name: Advanced Alliant Solutions Team, LLC

City/State: Fairfax, VA
Supported Level 1 Help desk

Function:

Name: CACI City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Level 2/3 Help desk

**Function:** 

Name: CACI
City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Product sustainment

**Function:** 

Name: CACI City/State: Fairfax, VA

Supported Response team support

**Function:** 

Name: CACI
City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Software licensing and maintenance - Sybase

Function:

Name: CACI City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Software maintenance

Function:

Name: CACI City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Software maintenance - webMethods

Function:

Name: CACI City/State: Fairfax, VA

Supported Technology Refresh

**Function:** 

**Contracts - Continued** Carahsoft Technology Corp. Name: City/State: Reston, VA **Supported** Software testing Function: Citrix Systems, Inc. Name: City/State: Fort Lauderdale, FL **Supported** Citrix software licensing and support Function: DAM Consulting. Inc. Name: Silver Spring, MD City/State: Supported Integration services Function: **Data Networks Corporation** Name: City/State: Reston, VA **Supported** Programmatic Support Function: Divine Imaging, Inc. Name: City/State: Philadelphia, PA **Supported** MS Windows Software licensing and support Function: **Information Experts** Name: City/State: Reston, VA **Supported** Training Function: Spectrum Systems, Inc. Name: City/State: Fairfax, VA Software Maintenance and Technical Support for ETI Tool Supported Function: Telnet, Inc. Name: City/State: Rockville, MD Supported Communications service Function: Universal Consulting Services, Inc. Name:

Contracts - Continued City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Legacy Integration Software Maintenance

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Service Release 14

Planned Start Date: 2011-05-31 Planned Completion Date: 2012-03-29 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 1.415 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Service Release 14 provides technology refresh and application updates to the Standard Procurement System including support for:

- Unit price changes when exchange rate is changed in a CLIN (Phase 2)

- ACRN Renumbering when using the Attach Function

Windows Vista/7

- Microsoft Server 2008 OS/PD2 Client Compatibility

- webMethods Ver. 8

- Lock CLIN Numbering in Award Modification

- EDA IDX File (ACO Mods)

- DFARS 204.7104-1

- Adapter Award Data Elements for SF 1449

Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total C	Costs
Develop Service Release 14	Planned:	2011-05-31	Planned:	2012-03-29	Planned:	1.415
	Projected:	2011-05-31	Projected:	2012-03-29	Projected:	1.415
Description	Actual:	2011-05-31	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Dayslan Sarviga Palagga 14 which will provide technology refresh and application	n undatas as d	agaribad in the D	raigat Dagarinti	on This is the les	et planned CD after	aamplation

Develop Service Release 14 which will provide technology refresh and application updates as described in the Project Description. This is the last planned SR sfter completion SPS will be in full sustainment.

Project Name: Product Sustainment 2012

Planned Start Date: 2012-01-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-12-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 2.595 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Develop, test and deploy "critical fixes", technology refreshes and IA upgrades of post service releases based on identified user requirements and policy/regulation changes. This capability is anticipated to continue for the SPS program while in its sustainment mode thereby being responsive to

the performance needs of its 27,000 users world-wide.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>			
Perform 2012 Product Sustainment	Planned: 2012-01-01	Planned: 2012-12-31	Planned: 2.595			
	Projected: 2012-01-01	Projected: 2012-12-31	Projected: 2.595			
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000			
Develop test and deploy "critical fixes" technology refreshes and IA ungrades of post service releases based on identified user requirements and policy/regulation changes						

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The Standard Procurement System (SPS) is a joint Department of Defense (DoD) Information Technology (IT) initiative. It currently provides a contract writing and management system to over 27,000 Procurement professionals across all the Military Departments and Defense Agencies and is used by the Defense Finance and Accounting Service to obtain obligation and receipt data necessary for contract disbursements and reconciliation.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

SPS touches the logistics, finance and acquisition communities, it has a broad spectrum of stakeholders. Stakeholders and their influence are as follows: (1) Defense Logistics Agency: Program Management and oversight. (2) Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics: (a) Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy: Sponsor and Process Owner. (b) Supply Chain Systems Transformation in support of Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy: Functional management and oversight through the Weapon System Lifecycle Management Business Mission Area. Functional control exercised through the Defense Sourcing Portfolio (DSP). (3) Military Departments and Defense Agencies - Program influence provided via requirements levied through the DSP Board, the SPS Operational Requirements Committee, Technical Working Groups, Component Manage Offices, Component Desk Officers and participation in decentralized product testing.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

DLA (O&M, DW) funding line covers six major areas of the operations and support of SPS, as follows: (1) Maintenance of SPS Version 4.2.2 in the following categories: Integrations Maintenance, Product Maintenance, Clause Maintenance, Technical Refresh, and Call Center/Help Desk Support. (2) Program contractor support to complete program documentation, logistics, budget, training, requirement management, configuration management, and requirement enhancements to the current SPS 4.2.2 baseline. (3) Maintenance of the Government Test Facility (GTF) to complete necessary testing of the current SPS Version 4.2.2. (4) Critical fixes to the 4.2.2 platform including Procurement Desktop-Defense (PD2), the adapter, and Federal Procurement Data System - Next Generation (FPDS-NG) engine. (5) Civilian pay funds which accounts for approximately 5% of the SPS funding line. (6) General operating expenses to operate the JPMO.

The Army (O&M, A) funding provides for the operational and sustainment phase of SPS to include: hardware and software maintenance, facilities and network costs; data center operations; functional support, training support and call center support, and project management activities.

The Army (OPA) funding provides for completion of server consolidation.

The Navy (NWC, O&M,N) provides funding for software support services, other commercial costs, civilian salaries, contractor support, and travel for Navy office personnel at Navy commands supporting the Standard Procurement System at Naval Air System Command, Naval Supply Systems Command, Naval Sea Systems Command, Military Sealift Command and Space and Naval Warfare System Command.

Air Force (O&M, AF) funding line sustains the automated contract writing capability and permits deployment of technical refresh activities and routine hardware maintenance as to 100+ AF contracting sites. It also provides for continued centralized support processes via the Air Force Contracting Information System Project Office at Gunter AFB. This centralized support reduces the overall Total Cost of Ownership of the Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE) by eliminating the need for

additional technical staff at each operating location.

SOCOM (O&M, DW) – USSOCOM requires ODA Response Team support to sustain operational success with current, supported versions of Procurement Desktop-Defense (PD2).

TRANSCOM (WCF, Defense) – Military Sealift Command (MSC) MSC utilizes DLA's Standard Procurement System (SPS) as its contract writing system. MSC funds the local operational support of a Tier 2 Helpdesk to provide sustainment support for MSC's custom interfaces between its financial management system (MSC-FMS) and SPS as well as support for the N10 Website used to post procurement related documents. The MSC custom interface is not a SPS Program Management Office standard supported legacy integration.

Other Defense Agencies (ODAs) (D,WC/RDTE) funding provides for SPS Help Desk to perform the green-light assessment on Pre-Deployment packages (future versions), as well as provide assessments on hardware and software data in order to obtain access to the new release software, and troubleshoot any upgrade issues before upgrading. The ODA community also budget (as a community) to buy additional helpdesk support from CACI. Funding includes in-house FTE support to solve complex technical issues.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

DLA (O&M, DW) funding line covers six major areas of SPS operations and support: (1) Maintenance of SPS Version 4.2.2 in the following categories: Integrations Maintenance, Product Maintenance, Clause Maintenance, Technical Refresh, and Call Center/Help Desk Support. (2) Program contractor support to complete program documentation, logistics, budget, training, requirement management, configuration management, and requirement enhancements to the current SPS 4.2.2 baseline. (3) Maintenance of the Government Test Facility (GTF) to complete necessary testing of the current SPS Version 4.2.2. (4) Critical fixes to the 4.2.2 platform including Procurement Desktop-Defense (PD2), the adapter, and Federal Procurement Data System - Next Generation (FPDS-NG) engine. (5) Civilian pay funds to support the JPMO. (6) General operating expenses to operate the JPMO.

Army (O&M, A) funding provides for the operational and sustainment phase of SPS to include: hardware and software maintenance, facilities and network costs; data center operations; functional support, training support and call center support, and project management activities.

Navy (NWC and O&M, N) provides funding for software support, server hardware maintenance, civilian salaries, Contracting Office contractor support, travel, and training for offices at Naval Air System Command, Naval Supply Systems Command, Naval Sea Systems Command, Military Sealift Command and Space and Naval Warfare System Command.

Air Force (O&M, AF) funding line sustains the automated contract writing capability and permits deployment of technical refresh activities and routine hardware maintenance as to 100+ AF contracting sites. It also provides for continued centralized support processes via the Air Force Contracting Information System Project Office at Gunter AFB. This centralized support reduces the overall Total Cost of Ownership of the Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE) by eliminating the need for additional technical staff at each operating location.

SOCOM (O&M, DW) – USSOCOM requires ODA Response Team support to sustain operational success with current, supported versions of Procurement Desktop-Defense (PD2).

TRANSCOM (WCF, Defense) – Military Sealift Command (MSC) MSC utilizes DLA's Standard Procurement System (SPS) as its contract writing system. MSC funds the local operational support of a Tier 2 Helpdesk to provide sustainment support for MSC's custom interfaces between its financial management system (MSC-FMS) and SPS as well as support for the N10 Website used to post procurement related documents. The MSC custom interface is not a SPS Program Management Office standard supported legacy integration.

Other Defense Agencies (ODAs) (D,WC/RDTE) funding provides for SPS Help Desk to perform the green-light assessment on Pre-Deployment packages (critical fixes and technology refresh), as well as provide assessments on hardware and software data in order to obtain access to the new release software, and troubleshoot any upgrade issues before upgrading. The ODA community also budget (as a community) to buy additional helpdesk support from CACI. Funding includes in-house FTE support to solve complex technical issues.

Page left intentionally blank

## **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	6388	Acronym	TDLS				
Name of Investment	TACTICAL D.	ATA LINK SY	STEM				
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE					
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	NONE		
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	XS .	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE		

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Tactical Data Links (TDL) are used in a combat environment to exchange information such as messages, data, radar tracks, target information, platform status, imagery and command assignments. TDLs provide interoperability, local and global connectivity and situational awareness to the user when operating under rapidly changing operational conditions. TDLs are used by the Air Force, Army, Navy, and Marine Corps theater Command and Control elements, weapon platforms, and sensors. TDLs include but are not limited to: Link 16, Link 11, Situational Awareness Data Link.

The Joint Interoperability of Tactical Command and Control Systems (JINTACCS) Program ensures platform/system interoperability through the development and management of a joint/combined architecture, tactical information exchange requirements, interface definitions and protocols, platform/system implementations, employment concepts and operating procedures. This includes the configuration management of all TDL and Uniform Services Message Text Format message standards, platform/system interoperability assessments and interoperability certification testing.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	239,634	59,641	26,436	34,695
Procurement				
Aircraft Proc, AF				
0207445F 05-A-10	846	674	0	0
0207445F 05-F-16	0	0	0	7,681
Other Proc, AF				
0604281F 03-GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	21,622	10,388	269	248
Procurement Total	22,468	11,062	269	7,929
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0207445F 07-FIGHTER TACTICAL DATA LINK	22,756	0	0	0
0207448F 07-C2isr Tactical Data Link	1,528	1,522	1,633	1,650
0604281F 05-Family Of Gateways	125,520	14,421	4,224	5,512
0604281F 05-TLC System Integration	67,362	32,636	20,310	19,604
RDT&E Total	217,166	48,579	26,167	26,766

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	119.081	81.414	
FY 2013 President's Budget	59.641	26.436	-33.21
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-54.978	
			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Funds changed from the FY12 PB to the FY13 PB budget position for

FY13 due to due to PMA reallocation of efficiencies, contractor/studies reductions, and the completion of Family of Gateways and their transition to sustainment by the end of FY12.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Funds changed between the FY12 and the FY13 columns of the FY13 President's Budget request due to PMA reallocation of efficiencies, contractor/studies reductions, and the completion of Family of Gateways and their transition to sustainment by the end of FY12.

# **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Prior year accomplishments include the sustainment of Situational Awareness Data Link (SADL) including installation on over 1700 platforms and a transition to logistics organization. Battlefield Airborne Communications Node (BACN) was operated 24/7 and deployed to Southeast Asia on the aircraft. Integration Testing for B-52 Common Link Integration Processing (CLIP) was transitioned to the responsible logistics organization for sustainment. MADL Enterprise program office completed development of required documentation (MADL Waveform Design Specification and Message Standard) to support F-22 MADL implementation and F-35 MADL enhancements. Due to AFMC hold on depot transitions, Joint Range Extension (JRE)/JRE Transparent Multi Platform Equipment Package (JRE/JTEP) did not transition to sustainment in FY11. Pocket J, Joint Air Defense System Integrator (JADSI), and Link-16 Alaska (LAK) are scheduled to transition to sustainment by the end of FY12.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Current year accomplishments include sustainment of Situational Awareness Data Link (SADL) including installation on over 1700 platforms and a transition to logistics organization. Battlefield Airborne Communications Node (BACN) was operated 24/7 and deployed to Southeast Asia on the aircraft. Integration Testing for B-52

Common Link Integration Processing (CLIP) was transitioned to the responsible logistics organization for sustainment. MADL Enterprise program office completed development of required documentation (MADL Waveform Design Specification and Message Standard) to support F-22 MADL implementation and F-35 MADL enhancements. Due to AFMC hold on depot transitions, Joint Range Extension (JRE)/JRE Transparent Multi Platform Equipment Package (JRE/JTEP) did not transition to sustainment in FY11. Pocket J, Joint Air Defense System Integrator (JADSI), and Link-16 Alaska (LAK) are scheduled to transition to sustainment by the end of FY12.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Planned accomplishments include the study, analysis, enhancement, development, integration, demonstration, test, and evaluation of Tactical Data Links (TDL) as a subset of the broader Aerial Layer Network. TDLs will be used in both peace time and combat environments to exchange information such as character-oriented and fixed-formatted messages, data, radar tracks, target information, platform status, imagery, free-text messaging and command assignments. Programs included in this PE are Joint Interoperability of Tactical Command and Control Systems (JINTACCS), interoperable System Management and Requirements Transformation (iSMART), Data Link Test Facility (DTF), Air Force Participating Test Unit (AFPTU), Network Centric Capability Assessment (NCCA), Coalition Interoperability, Cursor on Target (CoT), and 5th to 4th Generation interoperability.

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

System of systems plans include the study, analysis, enhancement, development, integration, demonstration, test, and evaluation of TDLs as a subset of the broader Aerial Layer Network. TDLs will be used to exchange information such as character-oriented and fixed-formatted messages, data, radar tracks, target information, platform status, imagery, free-text messaging and command assignments. Programs included in this PE are JINTACCS, iSMART, DTF, AFPTU, NCCA, Coalition Interoperabilility.

### **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

ESC/HNA

**Component** 

Department of the Air Force

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Scott Farnsworth

HNAC

### **Contract Information**

Name: Arinc

City/State: Annapolis, MD Supported Battlespace Networks

Function:

Contracts -	Continued
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Arinc Annapolis, MD Battlespace Networks
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	L-3 Services Marlton, NH Battlespace Networks
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Northrup Grumman San Diego, CA Battlespace Networks
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Prologic Inc.  Manassas, VA  Battlespace Networks
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Prologic Inc.  Manassas, VA  Battlespace Networks
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Tactical Comm Group Tewksbury, MA Battlespace Networks
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Ultra Austin, TX Battlespace Networks
Name: City/State: Supported Function:	Ultra Austin, TX Battlespace Networks

### Milestones/Schedules

**Project Name:** Situation Awareness DataLink (SADL)

Planned Start Date: 2007-01-03 Planned Completion Date: Planned Live Cycle Cost: 41.615 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Situation Awareness DataLink (SADL) integrates US Air Force Close Air Support (CAS) aircraft with the digitised battlefield via the US Army

Enhanced Position Location Reporting System (EPLRS). SADL is designed to provide fighter-to-fighter, air-to-ground and ground-to-air high speed data communications that are robust, secure, jam-resistant and contention free. It has automatic and on-demand position and status reporting for situation awareness. The US Army's Force Battlefield Command for Brigade and Below (FBCB2) employs EPLRS as the data communication system backbone for the Tactical Internet (TI). SADL, a variant of EPLRS for aircraft, takes advantage of the EPLRS networking and communications services to provide the pilot with situation awareness and combat ID for the TI. The SADL radio is integrated with the aircraft avionics and displays, providing the pilot with real-time visual tactical data exchange with his wingmen, supporting a variety of co-operative engagement tactics. Fighter positions, radar targets, fuel/weapons stores and ground target positions are shared. The fighter-to-fighter SADL network operation is autonomous and does not rely on the ground-based TI, but can join it, when present, to acquire the ground friendly TI position data. The pilot controls the point around which he can see the closest five TI ground positions, providing for fratricide avoidance in CAS missions. Network planning and initialisation is claimed to be simple and can be customised from the cockpit.

**Completion Date Activity Name** Start Date **Total Costs** Sustainment Transition Planned: 2011-10-01 Planned: 2012-09-30 Planned: 4.500 Projected: 2011-10-01 Projected: Projected: 4.500 0.000 **Description** Actual: Actual: Actual:

Sustainment Transition

**Project Name:** Common Link Integration Processing (CLIP)

Planned Start Date: 2008-06-01 Planned Completion Date: Planned Live Cycle Cost: 200.241 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** CLIP is a software development program that provide an enterprise solution for LINK-16 and Joint Range Extension Application Protocol message

processing.

**Activity Name Start Date Completion Date Total Costs** 2011-04-01 Sustainment Planned: Planned: 2012-04-01 Planned: 8.550 Projected: 2011-04-01 Projected: Projected: 8.550 Actual: Actual: Actual: 0.000 Description

Transfer to sustainment

Project Name: Pocket-J

Planned Start Date: 2009-04-01 Planned Completion Date: Planned Live Cycle Cost: 90.923 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** The Pocket-Js are ground stations that allow the Continental U.S. NORAD Region, or CONR, and Air Forces Northern's two Air Defense Sectors to

directly communicate over data-links to fighter, command and control, and other data link equipped aircraft. This allows pilots to get a visual representation of where a track of interest, commonly referred to as a TOI, is rather than relying solely on voice communication to locate errant

aircraft.

Milestones - Continued			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
2.3.1 ATO	Planned: 2011-09-01	Planned: 2012-04-30	Planned: 5.430
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 5.430
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
2.3.1 ATO			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Install 23 Remote Element Systems	Planned: 2011-09-30	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 5.430
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 5.430
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Install 23 Remote Element Systems			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Deliver 2.3.2 Baseline	Planned: 2011-09-30	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 5.430
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 5.430
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Deliver 2.3.2 Baseline			
Project Name: Link 16 Alaska (LAK)			
Planned Start Date: 2010-09-01 Planned Completion Date:	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b> 84.986	(dollars in millions)
<b>Description:</b> Link 16 Alaska is a program consisting of 16 remotely mana	nged Link 16 ground entry po	oints throughout the Alaskan A	AOR.
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
Complete Hard Drive Installations	Planned: 2011-12-01	Planned: 2012-01-30	Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Complete Hard Drive Installations			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>
System DT	Planned: 2011-12-01	Planned: 2012-01-30	Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
System DT			
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
FCA	Planned: 2012-01-01	Planned: 2012-03-31	Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
FCA			

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion I	Date Total Costs
Logistics Documentation (100% Verification)	Planned: 2012-	<u>=</u>	2-04-30 Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Logistics Documentation (100% Verification)			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion I	Date Total Costs
IATO	Planned: 2012-	2-01 Planned: 2012	2-04-30 Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
IATO			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion I	Date Total Costs
PCA	Planned: 2012-	3-01 Planned: 2012	2-05-31 Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
PCA			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion I	Date Total Costs
CCB (Product Baseline)	Planned: 2012-	4-01 Planned: 2012	2-04-01 Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
CCB (Product Baseline)			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion I	Date Total Costs
PEO OT Approval	Planned: 2012-	14-01 Planned: 2012	2-06-30 Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
PEO OT Approval			
Activity Name	Start Date	Completion I	Date Total Costs
OT (FDE – Force Development Evaluation)	Planned: 2012-	14-01 Planned: 2012	2-06-30 Planned: 1.380
	Projected:	Projected:	Projected: 1.380
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

## **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

ACC, PACAF, USAFE, AFSOC, AFSPC, AMC, AFMC, ANG, NORAD, AFCENT, AFRICOM, USCENTCOM, USNORTHCOM, USPACOM, USSOUTHCOM, USSOCOM, Customs, Air Operations Centers, UAVs, Command and Reporting Centers

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

ACC, PACAF, USAFE, AFSOC, AFSPC, AMC, AFMC, ANG, NORAD, AFCENT, AFRICOM, USCENTCOM, USNORTHCOM, USPACOM, USSOUTHCOM, USSOCOM, Customs, Air Operations Centers, UAVs, Command and Reporting Centers

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Expected accomplishments in FY13 for the TDLS System of Systems (SoS) are the study, analysis, enhancement, development, integration, demonstration, test, and evaluation of Tactical Data Links (TDL) as a subset of the broader Aerial Layer Network. TDLs will be used in both peace time and combat environments to exchange information such as character-oriented and fixed-formatted messages, data, radar tracks, target information, platform status, imagery, free-text messaging and command assignments.

RDT&E efforts for the TDL SoS for this BY total (\$26.4M). O & M activities for the TDL SoS for the BY total (\$5.8M). Other procurement activities for the TDL SoS for the BY total (\$.3M).

Programs included in this funding are Joint Interoperability of Tactical Command and Control Systems (JINTACCS), interoperable System Management and Requirements Transformation (iSMART), Data Link Test Facility (DTF), Air Force Participating Test Unit (AFPTU), Network Centric Capability Assessment (NCCA), Coalition Interoperability, Cursor on Target (CoT), and 5th to 4th Generation interoperability.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Expected accomplishments in FY13-17 are the study, analysis, enhancement, development, integration, demonstration, test, and evaluation of Tactical Data Links (TDL) as a subset of the broader Aerial Layer Network. TDLs will be used in both peace time and combat environments to exchange information such as character-oriented and fixed-formatted messages, data, radar tracks, target information, platform status, imagery, free-text messaging and command assignments.

RDT&E efforts for the TDL SoS for the FYDP total (\$91.4M). O & M activities for the TDL SoS for the FYDP total (\$24.7M). Aircraft Procurement activities for the TDL SoS for the FYDP total (\$30.3M). Other procurement activities for the TDL SoS for the FYDP total (\$39.7M).

Programs included in this funding are Joint Interoperability of Tactical Command and Control Systems (JINTACCS), interoperable System Management and Requirements Transformation (iSMART), Data Link Test Facility (DTF), Air Force Participating Test Unit (AFPTU), Network Centric Capability Assessment (NCCA), Coalition Interoperability, Cursor on Target (CoT), and 5th to 4th Generation interoperability.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1243	Acronym	TELEPORTGEN1	LEPORTGEN1						
Name of Investment	TELEPORT G	ENERATION	1/2							
Lead Agent	DEFENSE INI	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY								
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	MAIS					
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	CS .	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE					

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Department of Defense (DoD) Teleport is a collaborative investment within the Department and among the Services that provides deployed warfighters with seamless worldwide multi-band Satellite Communication (SATCOM) reach-back capabilities to the Defense Information System Network (DISN) Service Delivery Nodes (SDN) and legacy tactical command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence (C4I) systems. Teleport's goals are to upgrade selected sites from the Standardized Tactical Entry Point (STEP) program, which only provides reach-back via X-band SATCOM, and meet the growing throughput requirements of the deployed warfighter.

The DoD Teleport upgrade fills several capability gaps by adding communications support in the Ultra High Frequency (UHF), Extremely High Frequency (EHF), military Ka and Commercial (i.e., C and Ku) SATCOM frequency bands, which represents a ten-fold increase to the throughput and functional capabilities of these STEP sites. As growing throughput requirements are an agency-identified gap, the Teleport system provides deployed forces with interfaces for high-throughput multi-band and multimedia connectivity from deployed locations to DISN and Global Information Grid (GIG) information sources and support.

Teleport has been deployed incrementally as a multi-generational program, and a Full Deployment (FD) was authorized by ASD/NII on February 18, 2011. Specific accomplishments during the budget year are primarily focused on sustainment and technology refreshment of the existing technologies of Generations 1 and 2 to include Joint Internet Protocol Modem, iDirect, and Linkway S2 upgrades that are necessary to maintain the Information Assurance posture, transmission security requirements, and interoperability of the system. The primary beneficiaries of the Teleport investment are the DoD Combatant Commanders, Military Departments, Defense Agencies and the warfighter.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	65,317	82,062	84,440	90,111
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0303610F 01-Global C3I And Early Warning	778	3,507	3,823	3,930
O&M, Army				
0303610A 04-Servicewide Communications	30,954	31,026	32,072	30,313
O&M, DW				
0303610K 04-Defense Information Systems Agency	7,373	9,935	7,561	7,555
O&M, Navy				
0204163N 04-Servicewide Communications	559	907	753	663
0303610N 04-Servicewide Communications	11,712	21,377	25,870	31,181
Operations Total	51,376	66,752	70,079	73,642
Procurement				
Procurement, DW				
0303610K 01-TELEPORT PROGRAM	13,420	13,188	12,248	14,286
Procurement Total	13,420	13,188	12,248	14,286
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0303610K 07-NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES	521	2,122	2,113	2,183
RDT&E Total	521	2,122	2,113	2,183

### **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	79.034	85.705	
FY 2013 President's Budget	82.062	84.440	2.38
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-1.265	
•			•

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in funding from FY2012 to FY2013 is the result of the following:

Note: Explanation of funding changes for Air Force and Army was not available at the time of this update.

O&M (Air Force): \$.133M increase (.04%)

O&M (Army): \$.368M increase (.01%)

• Slight increase is attributed to an inflation adjustment.

O&M (Navy): \$-1.028M Decrease (.04%)

• A \$-1.028M decrease in commercial services reduction to Contractor support. In order to accommodate outsourcing reductions to all mission area, fewer workstations will be built and moves of personnel to other Department of Navy (DON) locations will be delayed

O&M (DISA): -\$1.331M Decrease (.15%)

• A -\$1.331 decrease is attributed to the full deployment of Gen 2 implementation of military Ka-band capacity and Internet Protocol (IP)/net-centric capabilities

Procurement (DISA): \$.594M Increase (.05%)

• A \$.594M increase is attributed to additional efforts to continue integration and fielding of Joint IP Modem.

RDT&E (DISA): -\$.001M Decrease (.00%)

• The decrease is due to adjustment for inflation

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY2012 to FY2013 is the result of the following:

O&M (Air Force): +\$.316M Increase (.08%)

• Note: Air Force data was not available at time of submittal

O&M (Army): +\$1.046M (.03%)

• Note: Army data was not available at time of submittal

O&M (Navy): +\$4.339M Increase (.16%)

• A \$+4.339 increase for Department of Navy (DON) internal reprogramming to Fleet Cyber Command. Increase is to support TELEPORT site, Generation 3 installation and support Super High Frequency Shore OPS at Jacksonville, FL; Naples, Italy and Rota, Spain

O&M (DISA): -\$2.374M Decrease (.31%)

• A -\$2.374M decrease is attributed to the completion of full deployment of Gen 2 implementation of military Ka-band capacity and Internet Protocol (IP)/net-centric capabilities.

Procurement: -\$.940M Decrease (.08%)

• A -\$.940 decrease is a result of decreased procurement and execution labor requirements as a result of the full deployment of Gen 2.

RDT&E: -\$0.009M Decrease (.00%)

• This decrease is a result of inflation adjustments

## **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- -Continued Teleport's technology refreshment plan to improve existing capabilities;
- -Included improvements and upgrades of Generation Two military Ka-band growth and Internet Protocol IP) Net-Centric capabilities
- Ensured system reliability and synchronization with tactical warfighters and field capability upgrades, refreshers, and insertions based on market research and system performance requirements.
- -Inserted new technologies that increased security, user satisfaction, and enhanced enterprise-wide interoperability

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

- -Continue to extend service life by addressing Commercial-Off-The-Shelf (COTS) / Non-Development Item (NDI) logistics and Information Assurance (IA) compliance concerns;
- -Funding periodically replaces COTS components and software to assure continued supportability of that system through an indefinite service life;
- -Continue to stay ahead of obsolescence curve with cost-effective planned technology upgrades, refreshers, and insertions based on market research and system performance requirements;
- -Continue to maintain system reliability and synchronization with tactical warfighters and fields capability upgrades requested by stakeholders through the TPO Engineering Change

Request (ECR) process;

- -Upgrade IP modem HW/SW;
- -Modernize legacy cryptographic devices;
- -Continue to integrate and field the JIPM, enabling IPv6;
- -Implement the UHF integrated waveform upgrade;
- -Improve the Teleport management and control system.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- -Continue to maintain system reliability and synchronization with tactical warfighters and fields capability upgrades requested by stakeholders through the TPO Engineering Change Request (ECR) process;
- -Continue to upgrade IP modem Hardware (HW) / Software (SW);
- -Continue to modernize legacy cryptographic devices;
- -Continue to integrate and field the JIPM, enabling IPv6;
- -Continue to implement the UHF integrated waveform upgrade;
- -Continue to improve the Teleport management and control system.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

- -Continuation of Technology Refreshment (Tech Refresh);
- -Maintain responsibility for all Tech Refresh and In-Service Engineering Activity (ISEA) until Teleport system FOC.

## **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

DISA/NS

**Component** 

Defense Information Systems Agency

Acquisition

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Sal Scaglione

## **Contract Information**

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported DoD Teleport System Program Management and Technical Support

Function:

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Joint IP Modem Support

Function:

Name: SAIC, Inc.
City/State: McLean, VA

Supported Teleport Technology Refreshment Support

Function:

Name: Systems Technology Forum

City/State: Reston, VA

Supported Technology Refreshment Implementation and Integration Support

Function:

## Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Technology Refreshment (Tech Refresh)

Planned Start Date: 2011-08-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-10-01 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 13.357 (dollars in millions)

#### Milestones - Continued

**Description:** The purpose of Tech Refresh is to continue enhancing the existing technologies of Generations 1 and 2, including Joint Internet Protocol Modem

(JIPM), iDirect, and Linkway S2 upgrades, which are necessary to maintain the IA posture, transmission security requirements, and interoperability of

the Teleport System.

Activity Name	Start	Start Date		etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
iDirect Technology Refreshment	Planned:	2011-08-01	Planned:	2012-10-01	Planned:	1.400
	Projected:	2011-08-01	Projected:	2012-10-01	Projected:	1.400
Description	Actual:	2011-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

<sup>\*</sup>This activity is still going through the Engineering Change Request approval process. Once it is approved, more information will be provided.

The iDirect Tech Refresh effort includes procruring two iDirect iDX2.2 systems for implementation at Fort Buckner and Wahiawa. Version iDX 2.2 will resolve existing security findings and support increased capability and performance for the warfighter.

Activity Name	Start Date		Start Date		Comple	tion Date	Total Cos	sts
JIPM Technology Refreshment	Planned:	2011-08-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	6.100		
	Projected:	2011-08-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	6.100		
Description	Actual:	2011-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000		

The JIPM program fulfills two key requirements concerning Security and Efficiency of bandwidth. The JIPM will use the DVB-S2/RCS open standard waveform and incorporate a method to provide security of transmission data that is approved by the National Security Agency (NSA) and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Networks and Information Integration (ASD NII). The JIPM supports the Teleport Program Office's (TPO) overall requirement to supply gateways that employ built-in encryption or TRANSEC across the worldwide Teleport system. Additional capabilities added to JIPM for customers such as Global Broadcast System (GBS) to include data rates, modes of operation (e.g., receive-only), and multi-seam, multi-satellite operation.

Activity Name	nme Start Date C		Completion Date		Total C	Costs
Linkway S2 Technology Refreshment	Planned:	2011-08-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	1.067
	Projected:	2011-08-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	1.067
Description	Actual:	2011-08-01	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

The Linkway S2 refresh is part of a two year Linkway IP modem refresh effort. The Linkway S2 Tech Refresh will provide the Linkway 2100 fleet with S2 TRANSEC Traffic Terminals (modems), which provide a significant performance and capability improvement from the 2100 modem and 8.3 s/w. The new modems have much higher throughput capabilities with DVB-S2 encoding supporting up to 10 Msps and have internal TRANSEC.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The customers or users of the DoD Teleport system include all deployed Warfighters requiring communications access into the global DISN, as well as the sustaining base infrastructure that supports those Warfighters. Organizationally, the Combatant Commanders and the Services represent these users.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

The stakeholders of this investment are the Combatant Commanders and the Services.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

#### Procurement:

In FY13, Teleport's technology refreshment program will continue to extend service life by addressing COTS/NDI logistics and IA compliance concerns. This funding periodically replaces COTS components and software to assure continued supportability of that system through an indefinite service life. It is required to stay ahead of obsolescence curve with cost-effective planned technology upgrades, refreshers, and insertions based on market research and system performance requirements. It maintains system reliability and synchronization with tactical warfighters and fields capability upgrades requested by stakeholders through the TPO Engineering Change Request (ECR) process. Without these additions, the warfighter may suffer effectiveness and suitability limitations to access the most high speed, secure, and interoperable voice, data, and video networks within the DoD.

#### O&M:

In FY13, funding will continue to support the services (Army, Navy, Air Force) that are sustaining operations in the field. Funding will also continue to support Theater Netops Center activities; program management for the newly deployed full suite of Teleport capabilities. Support levels will continue to provide deployed forces with interfaces for multi-band and multimedia connectivity from deployed locations to online DISN Service Delivery Nodes (SDN) and Global Information Grid (GIG) information sources and support.

#### RDT&E:

In FY13, funding will allow the program to continue a technology refreshment schedule required to sustain Gens-1/2 fielded capabilities.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

#### Procurement:

Through the FYDP, Teleport's technology refreshment program will continue to procure the necessary hardware and software in order to link the deployed warfighter to the sustaining base and provide high-throughput, multi-band, and multi-media telecommunications services for deployed forces. Without these additions, the warfighter will be prevented from using the most high speed, secure, and interoperable voice, data, and video networks within the DoD.

#### O&M:

Through the FYDP, funding will support increased activities with DISA's Teleport acquisition partners in the Army and Navy, STEP operations, and program management support for the newly deployed full suite of Teleport capabilities. Support levels will provide deployed forces with interfaces for multi-band and multimedia connectivity from deployed locations to online DISN Service Delivery Nodes (SDN) and Global Information Grid (GIG) information sources and support.

#### RDT&E:

Through the FYDP, the Teleport program will continue to support planning and testing for technical hardware and software refresh.

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1248	Acronym	TELEPORTGEN3		
Name of Investment	TELEPORT G	ENERATION	3		
Lead Agent	DEFENSE INF	FORMATION	SYSTEMS AGENCY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	S	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Department of Defense (DoD) Teleport system is a collaborative investment within the Department that upgrades telecommunications capabilities at selected Standardized Tactical Entry Point (STEP) sites. The Teleport system provides deployed forces with improved interfaces for multi-band and multimedia connectivity from deployed locations anywhere in the world to online Defense Information Systems Network (DISN) Service Delivery Nodes (SDN) and legacy tactical command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence (C4I) systems. The Teleport system facilitates interoperability between multiple Satellite Communications (SATCOM) systems and deployed tactical networks, thus providing the user a seamless interface into the DISN and legacy C4I systems. Teleport integrates multi-band, multi-mode satellite capabilities to provide connectivity for deployed tactical communications systems. Teleport upgrades provide worldwide, integrated communications nodes that also have the ability to modularly insert emerging systems adopted by DoD to support deployed forces and Joint Task Forces (JTF).

The DoD has identified gaps in the Department's use of antiquated communication suites as well as insufficient communications capacity and throughput. Teleport Generation 3 will field three satellite gateway enhancements in three phases, and the full installation and integration of these enhancements will provide increased satellite connectivity and an expansion of capacity and throughout, which will effectively strengthen DoD's communications and support to tactical and deployed warfighters worldwide. Specific accomplishments in this budget year are focused on Generation 3 Phase 1 site surveys and the procurement of Navy Multiband Terminals (NMT) and Modernization of Enterprise Terminals (MET) that are necessary to begin satisfying the X/Ka - band to Advanced EHF XDR capability gap; Generation 3 Phase 2 planning and test article procurement of METs to meet the Enhanced X/Ka - band capacity and throughput; and Generation 3 Phase 3 planning to support the MUOS to Legacy UHF capability. The primary beneficiaries of the Teleport investment are the DoD Combatant Commanders, Military Departments, Defense Agencies and the warfighter.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	57,988	51,282	46,605	66,219
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0303610K 04-Defense Information Systems Agency	4,955	7,014	9,533	9,810
Operations Total	4,955	7,014	9,533	9,810
Procurement				
Procurement, DW				
0303610K 01-TELEPORT PROGRAM	47,619	39,972	33,135	52,982
Procurement Total	47,619	39,972	33,135	52,982
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0303610K 07-TELEPORT PROGRAM	5,414	4,296	3,937	3,427
RDT&E Total	5,414	4,296	3,937	3,427

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	52.491	46.755	
FY 2013 President's Budget	51.282	46.605	-4.68
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-0.150	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Decrease in funding for FY2013 from PB2012 to PB2013 is the result of the following:

O&M: \$1.247M Increase (15.04%)

• A \$1.247M increase is attributed to increased program management support with our Acquisition Partners and program management support of the full suite of Teleport capabilities. Support levels will continue to provide forces with interfaces for multi-band and multimedia connectivity from deployed locations to online DISN Service Delivery Nodes and Global Information sources and support

Procurement: -\$1.461M Decrease (.04%)

• A -\$1.461 decrease is a result of a slight reduction of Gen 3 activities associated with the procurement of Modernization Earth Terminal. Teleport reallocated funding which rescheduled the procurement of two METs in FY13; however, this does not affect the overall acquisition program baseline in providing enhanced X/Ka access to support WGS.

RDT&E: +\$0.064M Increase (.01%)

• The increase is attributed to adjustments for inflation

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

FY2013 President's Budget Request increases/decreases in funding between FY2012 to FY2013 is the result of the following:

O&M: \$2.519M Increase (.35%)

• A \$2.519M increase is attributed to increased program management support with our Acquisition Partners and program management support of the full suite of Teleport capabilities. Support levels will continue to provide forces with interfaces for multi-band and multimedia connectivity from deployed locations to online DISN Service Delivery Nodes and Global Information sources and support

Procurement: -\$6.837M Decrease (.17%)

• A -\$6.837M decrease is a result of a reduction of Gen 3 procurement of Modernization Earth Terminal. Teleport reallocated funding which rescheduled the procurement of two METs in FY13; however, this does not affect the overall acquisition program baseline in providing enhanced X/Ka access to support WGS.

RDT&E: -\$0.359M Decrease (.08%)

• This decrease is a result of reduced planning activities supporting Phase 1 efforts.

### **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- -Procured equipment to install Navy Multiband Terminals (NMT) at the Teleport test bed and Teleport sites;
- -Began site preparations for 18 NMT terminals and baseband equipment at Teleport/gateway sites;
- -Conducted a Critical Design Review (CDR) on January 27, 2011;
- -Received authorization to procure two Modernization Enterprise Terminals (MET), which reduced the cost of the overall program.

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- -Plan to achieve Generation 3 Milestone C to authorize the procurement and fielding of an additional two Modernization Enterprise Terminals (MET);
- -Continue Advance Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) Navy Multiband Terminal (NMT) implementation to allow warfighters more robust access to the new AEHF constellation utilizing Extended Data Rates (XDR);
- -Focus on the advanced Wideband Global Satellite Communications (WGS) capabilities by procuring and fielding additional enhanced MET X/Ka-band satellite terminals.

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- -Begin completing operational testing for AEHF;
- -Begin commissioning of NMTs to support the AEHF capability;
- -Conduct a Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) to Legacy Systems Interoperability Critical Design Review (CDR);
- -Continue to focus on the WGS capabilities by fielding enhanced MET X/Ka satellite terminals;
- -Refresh end-of-life Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS) terminals and remain interoperable with tactical WGS X/Ka-band users.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

- -Plan for the Generation 3 Full Deployment Declaration (FDD);
- -Phase 1, continue installation for NMTs;
- -Phase 2, continue fielding and installation of METs;

-Phase 3, continue testing and commissioning at sites.

# **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

DISA/NS

**Component** 

Defense Information Systems Agency

**Acquisition** 

OUSD(ATL)

**Program Management** 

Sal Scaglione

# **Contract Information**

Name: Barling Bay
City/State: Anchorage, AK

Supported Web Development and Training

Function:

Name: Booz Allen Hamilton

City/State: McLean, VA

Supported DoD Teleport System Program Management and Technical Support

Function:

Name: Systems Technology Forum

City/State: Reston, VA

Supported Generation 3 Phase 1 Implementation and Integration Support

Function:

Name: TASC M
City/State: Chantilly, VA

**Supported** Generation 3 Phase 1 Integrated Testing and Certification

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Phase 1 AEHF XDR

<b>filestones - Continued</b>						
Planned Start Date: 2010-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2	015-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	105.570	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Phase 1 will provide Advanced Extremely High Frequency	Extended Dat	a Rate (AEHF)	XDR) capabil	lities to warfight	ters worldwide by	y installing
terminals from the Navy Multiband Terminal (NMT) programmes	am at Teleport	and other gate	way sites.			
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Northwest Facilities Preparation	Planned:	2011-03-07	Planned:	2012-10-19	Planned:	0.969
	Projected:	2011-03-07	Projected:	2012-10-19	Projected:	0.969
Description	Actual:	2011-03-07	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Facilities preparation for Northwest includes developing the site requirements p	ackage (March -	Aug 2011); com	npleting the inst	tallation drawing p	plans (Feb 2011 - J	an 2012); and
conducting site preparation (Apr - Oct 2012).						
Activity Name		t Date		etion Date	Total (	
Camp Roberts Facilities Preparation	Planned:	2011-03-11	Planned:	2012-12-03	Planned:	0.766
	Projected:	2011-03-11	Projected:	2012-12-03	Projected:	0.766
Description	Actual:	2011-03-11	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Facilities preparation for Camp Roberts includes developing the site requiremer and conducting site preparation (Apr - Dec 2012).	nts package (Mai	rch - Nov 2011);	completing the	installation drawi	ing plans (Mar 201	1 - Mar 2012)
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Fort Buckner Facilities Preparation	Planned:	2011-03-16	Planned:	2013-04-04	Planned:	0.792
	Projected:	2011-03-16	Projected:	2013-04-04	Projected:	0.792
Description	Actual:	2011-03-16	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Facilities preparation for Fort Buckner includes developing the site requirement and conducting site preparation (July 2012 - Apr 2013).	s package (Marc	ch - Oct 2011); co	ompleting the in	nstallation drawing	g plans (Mar 2011	- June 2012);
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Wahiawa Facilities Preparation	Planned:	2011-03-18	Planned:	2013-02-04	Planned:	0.896
	Projected:	2011-03-18	Projected:	2013-02-04	Projected:	0.896
Description	Actual:	2011-03-18	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Facilities preparation for Wahiawa includes developing the site requirements parameters of conducting site preparation (May 2012 - Feb 2013).	ckage (March -	Aug 2011); comp	pleting the insta	allation drawing pl	lans (Mar 2011 - F	eb 2012); and
Activity Name	Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Landstuhl Facilities Preparation	Planned:	2011-05-31	Planned:	2013-03-06	Planned:	1.074
-	Projected:	2011-05-31	Projected:	2013-03-06	Projected:	1.074
Description	Actual:	2011-05-31	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Facilities preparation for Landstuhl includes developing the site requirements per conducting site preparation (July 2012 - Mar 2013).	ackage (May - C	oct 2011); comple	eting the install	ation drawing plan	ns (Feb 2011 - May	y 2012); and

Activity Name	Star	t Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Lago Facilities Preparation	Planned:	2011-08-04	Planned:	2013-02-19	Planned:	0.766
	Projected:	2011-08-04	Projected:	2013-02-19	Projected:	0.766
Demonstration	Actual:	2011-08-04	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
<b>Description</b> Facilities preparation for Lago includes developing the sit				drawing plans (Ju		
•	e requirements package (Aug - Nov 20		the installation	drawing plans (Ju		2); and
Facilities preparation for Lago includes developing the sit conducting site preparation (Aug 2012 - Feb 2013).	e requirements package (Aug - Nov 20	011); completing	the installation		ıly 2011 - Feb 201	2); and
Facilities preparation for Lago includes developing the sit conducting site preparation (Aug 2012 - Feb 2013).  Activity Name	e requirements package (Aug - Nov 20 Star	011); completing  t Date	the installation	etion Date	uly 2011 - Feb 201 <b>Total (</b>	2); and Costs

#### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The customers or users of the DoD Teleport system include all deployed Warfighters requiring communications access into the global DISN, as well as the sustaining base infrastructure that supports those Warfighters. Organizationally, the Combatant Commanders and the Services represent these users.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

The stakeholders of this investment are the Combatant Commanders and the Services.

# **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

#### Procurement:

In FY13, activities will continue to focus on increasing the legacy system's capacity to fully utilize the advance WGS capabilities by fielding enhanced MET X/Ka satellite terminals. Activities also include continuation of the AEHF (NMT) terminal implementation to allow warfighters more robust access to the new AEHF constellation utilizing extended data rates (XDR).

#### O&M:

In FY13, funding will support increased program management support with our Army and Navy acquisition partners and program management support for the newly deployed full suite of Teleport capabilities. Support levels will provide deployed forces with interfaces for multi-band and multimedia connectivity from deployed locations to online DISN Service Delivery Nodes (SDN) and Global Information Grid (GIG) information sources and support.

#### RDT&E:

In FY13, the Teleport program will continue planning and testing the enhancements of Generation 3. Program efforts will focus on the Phase 2 Modernization of Enterprise (MET) X/Ka band terminals. Teleport will conduct final tests for MUOS-DISN for initial operational capability at two Teleport sites and continue the preparation of engineering and program documentation to support a Gen 3 Phase 2 Milestone C decision for enhanced X/Ka capability. Teleport will also oversee progress of the MLGC activities, update the Gen 3 Phase 3 schedule accordingly, and participate in design and strategy reviews held by the Emerging Technologies office for MUOS to Legacy capability. Lastly, funding will support pre-Milestone C documentation development for Gen 3 Phase 3 and the Milestone C decision to include schedule updates, a Critical Design Review, and a life cycle cost estimate.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

#### Procurement:

Teleport will continue to procure the necessary hardware and software in order to link the deployed warfighter to the sustaining base and provide high-throughput, multi-band, and multi-media telecommunications services for deployed forces. Under Phase 1, Teleport will install the remaining NMT terminals. Under Phase 2, Teleport will begin to install MET terminals. Under Phase 3, Teleport will provide interoperability between Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) users and legacy UHF users by installing MUOS-to-Legacy UHF SATCOM Gateway Component (MLGC) suites of equipment at Teleport/gateway sites. Without these additions, the warfighter will be prevented from using the most high speed, secure, and interoperable voice, data, and video networks within the DoD.

#### O&M:

O&M funding will support operations and program management support for the newly deployed full suite of Teleport capabilities. Support levels will provide deployed forces with interfaces for multi-band and multimedia connectivity from deployed locations to online DISN Service Delivery Nodes (SDN) and Global Information Grid (GIG) information sources and support.

#### RDT&E:

The Teleport program will continue planning and testing of the enhancements of Generation 3 up to their Milestone C decisions. Phase 1 will provide Advanced Extremely High Frequency Extended Data Rate (AEHF XDR) capabilities to warfighters worldwide by installing terminals from the Navy Multiband Terminal (NMT) program at Teleport and other gateway sites. Phase 2 activities will provide deployed commanders with sufficient bandwidth to rapidly transmit the largest video and data products to the battlefield warfighter, including Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) streaming video, digital imagery intelligence, and mapping and weather products and services. Teleport Generation 3 Phase 3 will provide interoperability between Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) users and Legacy UHF users by installing MUOS-to-Legacy UHF SATCOM Gateway Component (MLGC) suites of equipment at Teleport/gateway sites.

### **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	1911	Acronym	TBMCS		
Name of Investment	THEATER BA	TTLE MANA	GEMENT CORE SYSTEM		
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AII	R FORCE		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	NONE
DoD Segment	COMMAND &	control		GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

#### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Theater Battle Management Core System (TBMCS) is the mission critical Command and Control (C2) system that provides automated management of air battle planning, intelligence operations, and execution functions in peacetime, exercise, and wartime environments. TBMCS is used to task all air assets in the Area of Responsibility (AOR) (not solely Air Force assets) and is the critical planning tool of commanders and staffs at all levels of the Joint Task Force including the Joint Force Air Component Commander (JFACC). TBMCS produces the joint Air Tasking Order (ATO), Air Space Control Order (ACO) and the Air Defense Tactical Operations Data message (TACOPDAT). The system provides functional connectivity horizontally to other services and allies, and vertically to standard or air expeditionary wings, other elements of the Theater Air Control System (TACS), deployed units and to higher headquarters. Modernization efforts on TBMCS system continues under the Command and Control Air Operations Suite (C2AOS) and the Command and Control Information Services (C2IS) programs. Both programs are moving focused on moving TBMCS-Force Level (FL) into a Services Oriented Architecture (SOA) environment.

The mission of the Theater Battle Management Core System (TBMCS) program is to close performance gaps through an evolving sequence of increased capabilities to improve timeliness and effectiveness of theater air combat operations. Modernization efforts on TBMCS-FL continue under the Command and Control Air Operations Suite (C2AOS) and the Command and Control Information Services (C2IS) Programs. Both programs are focused on moving TBMCS-FL capabilities into a SOA environment. They are leveraging commercial Information Technology (IT) and other web technologies to migrate to SOA and achieve Netcentric Operations. Future TBMCS Unit Level (UL) modernization will come from a new competitively-awarded development program.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	34,406	57,181	45,870	38,113
Operations				
O&M, Air Force				
0207410F 01-Combat Enhancement Forces	1,185	23,020	21,836	23,766
Operations Total	1,185	23,020	21,836	23,766
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0207410F 03-THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM	19,412	18,267	5,487	5,399
Procurement Total	19,412	18,267	5,487	5,399
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Air Force				
0207410F 07-Application Development	13,809	15,894	18,547	8,948
RDT&E Total	13,809	15,894	18,547	8,948

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	EV 2012	FY 2012 FY 2013				
	<u> </u>		vs FY 2013			
FY 2012 President's Budget	69.248	49.048				
FY 2013 President's Budget	57.181	45.870	-11.31			
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-3.178				
•			•			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The reductions were taken to support higher DoD priorities and other possible Air Force efficiencies.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The reductions were taken to support higher DoD priorities and other possible Air Force efficiencies.

# **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

TBMCS-FL completed Development Test (DT) and Operational Test (OT) (non AOC) for Maintenance Release 2 (MR), completed DT and OT for MR1 Security Service Pack 4 (SSP), and completed DT and OT for MR1 SP 27.

Unit Level/Unit Command and Control (UL/UC2) was finished and field Increment #1

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

UL/UC2 will finish work to include testing and fielding for Increment #2. Also, will finish work, coordination, and have a signed CDD.

FOE (Fair Opportunity Exception) contract will be completed

### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

UL/UC2 will finish increment #2 work and begin work on 1067 which will represent Increment #3 requirements. Also, UL/UC2 will begin work on UC2 which is the follow on to UL/UC2

Sustainment contract for increment #2

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

TBMCS-FL plans to complete DT/OT for MR1 SSP7, MR2 SSP4, MR3 (AOC).

testing and fielding the first increment of UC2 and continue sustainment of UL/UC2 until UC2 is proven in the field.

# **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Joseph Thorp

# **Contract Information** No contract information is available.

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: TBMCS UL Increme	ent 2						
Planned Start Date: 2009-02-27	<b>Planned Completion Date:</b>	2012-11-01	<b>Planned Live</b>	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	2.500	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Development Delivery	y Order						
Activity Name		Star	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
UL/UC2 inc 2 Development ECP update.		Planned:	2011-07-12	Planned:	2012-01-31	Planned:	2.500
		Projected:	2011-07-12	Projected:	2012-07-12	Projected:	2.650
Description		Actual:	2011-07-12	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
To provide continual support for the U	L/UC2 Inc 2 both technically and pro	grammatically.					

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The geographic AOCs: AFCENT, AFEUR, AFKOR, AFPAC, AFSOUTH, AFSOUTH, AFNORTH, AFRICOM, & 11AF.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

The stakeholders are ACC/AFC2IC/C2C, ACC/A3 and A2 as Lead Command. All 47 CAF units/wings across ACC, USAFE, PACAF, AFSOC, ANG, AFRC, and HAF/A3 and SAF/AQID.

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

3600

C2IS: Will complete and award follow-on contract for the full development of Airspace capability. Will continue with follow-on development releases of Air Mission Management capabilities, to include dynamic planning and replanning, planning for Network Enabled Weapons, and Ballistic Missile Defense and Counter Air Operations. (FY11: 4.417 / FY12: 9.434 / FY13: 3.656)

PRC2: Will complete testing of, and support fielding decision for, PRMS version 3.X.1 Which will provide mission management capabilities, capture data regarding events, and automate collection of information for incident reports. Will begin development of PRMS version 3.X.2 which will provide improved mission management capabilities.

(FY11: 2.046 / FY12: 2.068 / FY13: 0.770)

C2AOS: Will compete and award follow-on contract for the full development of Airspace capability. Will continue with follow-on development and releases of Air Missions Management capabilities, to include but not limited to dynamic planning and replanning, planning for Network Enabled Weapons, and Ballistic Missile Defense and Counter Air Operations

(FY11: 4.144 / FY12: 3.092 / FY13: 2.704)

UL/UC2: Will test UL/UC2 Ops increment 3. Post Milestone B development UC2 1.0 which will provide migration of UL/UC2 into a service oriented infrastructure capable of meeting the Net-Ready key performance parameter, will implement elements of the Installation Control Center (ICC) Enabling Concept, combining unit level intelligence, operations, and other functional areas into a single installation wide C2 capability.

(FY11: 4.488 / FY12: 4.466 / FY13: 4.307)

TBMCS FL: N/A

3080

TBMCS FL: Will continue fielding TBMCS FL Spiral 1.1.3 Maintenance Release 2 to 35 locations (25 AD/5 ANG/5 AFR) (FY11: 14.648 / FY12: 17.157 / FY13: 4.281)

UL/UC2: Will support fielding UL/UC2 Increment 2 and 3 to 41 active duty locations. FY 13 funding includes provisions for government contract oversight, technical expertise, and program office support associated with the fielding UL/UC2. Additionally, FY 13 funding will support Type 1 training & fielding. (FY 11: 12.632 / FY12: 4.640 / FY13: 3.878)

PRC2: Will procure various hardware pieces and associated software liceneses for fielding to various sites. Funding will provide for governemtn contract oversight, technical expertise, and program office support associated with the fielding of PRC2. (FY11: 1.101 / FY12: 1.124 / FY13: 0.406)

3400

FL: continue to deliver service security packages, help desk support, licensing upgrades, and maintanence releases. (FY11: 25.15 / FY12: 20.93/ FY13: 16.07)

UL/UC2: Will continue to sustain licensing software and hardware. Increment 1 wil be sustained at Hill AFB. (FY11: .790 /FY12: 3.94 / FY13: 4.19)

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

C2IS: Will compete and awardfollow-on contract for thefull development of Airspace capability. Will continue with follow-ondevelopment and releases of Air MissionManagement capabilities, to include dynamic planning and replanning, planning for Networkenabled Weapons, and Ballistic Missile Defense and Counter Air Operations.

C2AOS: Will compete and award follow-on contract for the full development of Airspace capability. Willcontinue with follow-on development and releases of Air MissionManagement capabilities,

to include but not limited to dynamic planning and replanning, planning forNetwork Enabled Weapons, and Ballistic Missile Defense andCounter Air Operations.

PRC2: Will complete testing of, and support fielding decision for, PRMS version 3.X.1 which will provide mission management capabilities, capture data regarding events, and automate collection of information for incident reports. Will begin development of PRMS version 3.X.2 which will provide improved mission management capabilities.

UL: Funding includes provisions for government contract oversight, technical expertise, and program office support associated with the fielding of UL/UC2. Additionally, funding will support Type 1 training & fielding. As contractors field the UL/UC2 upgrades, they will provide specialized training at each location.

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1913	Acronym	TMIP-J		
Name of Investment	THEATER ME	EDICAL INFO	RMATION PROGRAM-JOIN	NT	
Lead Agent	TRICARE MA	NAGEMENT	ACTIVITY		
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MAIS
DoD Segment	HEALTH			GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Theater Medical Information Program - Joint (TMIP-J) integrates components of the Military Health System sustaining base systems and the Services' medical information systems to ensure timely interoperable medical support for mobilization, deployment and sustainment of all Theater and deployed forces in support of any mission. TMIP-J enhances the clinical care and information capture at all levels of care in Theater, transmits critical information to the Theater Commander, the evacuation chain for combat and non-combat casualties, and forges the theater links of the longitudinal health record to the sustaining base and the Department of Veterans Affairs. TMIP-J is the medical component of the Global Combat Support System. TMIP-J provides information at the point of care and to the Theater tactical and strategic decision makers through efficient, reliable data capture, and data transmission to a centralized Theater database. This delivers TMIP-J's four pillars of information support through the electronic health record, integrated medical logistics, patient movement and tracking, and medical command and control through data aggregation, reporting and analysis tools for trend analysis and situational awareness. TMIP-J fulfills the premise of "Train as you fight" through the integration of components which are identical or analogous to systems from the sustaining base. TMIP-J adapts and integrates these systems to specific Theater requirements and assures their availability in the no- and low- communications settings of the deployed environment through store and forward capture and transmission technology.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	59,284	71,784	94,603	96,669
DEF HLTH PROG				
0605013HP 02-RDT&E	21,848	24,304	39,803	39,032
0807721HP 03-Procurement	2,340	2,286	2,390	2,467
0807781HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	7,126	7,295	7,469	7,648
0807793HP 01-Operation & Maintenance	27,970	37,899	44,941	47,522
DEF HLTH PROG Total	59,284	71,784	94,603	96,669

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	71.798	91.576	
FY 2013 President's Budget	71.784	94.603	22.82
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		3.027	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY 2013 between the FY 2012 President's Budget (PB) and FY 2013 PB is associated with the transition of funding for theater medical logistics support applications from the Defense Medical Logistics Standard Support (DMLSS) to TMIP-J. This increase is offset by decreases in O&M and RDT&E due to departmentally directed management efficiencies.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase between FY 2012 and FY 2013 is due to following:

- Development, integration, testing and associated sustainment of Increment 2, Release 2 which includes interface with Social Security Number reduction and the use of Electronic Data Interchange Person Number, inclusion of International Statistical Classification of Diseases 10th Revision codes, extended use of Public Key Infrastructure and Common Access Card, and increases use of virtualization technologies.
- Medical Situational Awareness in Theater (MSAT) upgrade to communicate with subject matter experts and all agencies and resources that maintain medical entomology consultation information and with host nation toxic industrial chemical and toxic environmental chemical sites and location and information on occupational hazards. MSAT shall enable user to access information pertaining to U.S. personnel who receive care in non-DoD medical facilities, allow the user to access DoD operations, planning and execution system(s) and Provide Patient Movement Crew information.

# **Program Accomplishments**

#### FY 2011 Accomplishments

Continued integrating medical information systems/applications to ensure restructured functions act as a stand-alone information system in theaters of no and low communications connectivity; operates successfully on deployed Service platforms/devices; and independently leverages theater interfacing/networking capabilities.

Commenced integrating Deployable Tele-Radiology System (DTRS) into current Release, integrating the Global Command & Control System (GCCS) to ensure other

operational data feeds. Completed upgrades and sustained DTRS at 45 sites in theater

Deployed the Theater Image Repository (TIR) in Landstuhl, designed with sufficient online storage to cover current theater medical and dental images and an expandable storage capability for future requirements.

Added Upgrades to Logistic Business intelligence that enable decision support and knowledge management for medical planners and all the medical logistics and Medical Situational Awareness in the Theater (MSAT).

#### **FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments**

Begin Increment 2 Release 3 (I2R3) integration development effort including interface with Social Security Number (SSN) reduction and the use of Electronic Data Interchange Person Number (EDI PN), inclusion of International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes, extended use of Public Key Infrastructure and Common Access Card (PKI/CAC), and increased use of virtualization technologies.

Perform management, testing, licensing and sustainment of the theater portion of the following: AHLTA Theater, Joint Medical Analysis Tool (JMAT), TMIP Composite Health Care System Cache (TC2), AHLTA Mobile, TMIP Framework, Theater Integration, Patient Movement Items Tracking System (PMITS), DMLSS Customer Assistance Module (DCAM), Marine Maritime Module (MMM), and Medical Situational Awareness in Theater (MSAT).

Commence development of TMIP-J Increment 2 Release 2 (I2R2), which will provide updated interfaces to AHLTA Theater, Theater Medical Data Store (TMDS), MSAT, TC2, DMLSS and other components.

Upgrade to International Classification of Disease (ICD) 10 Codes that assist medical providers in standardizing diagnosis.

#### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

Complete I2R2 development/integration and commence operational testing/operational assessment. I2R2 Full Deployment Decision (FDD) is scheduled in first quarter FY 2014. PMO will continue I2R3 integration development effort initiative, SSN reduction and the use of EDI PN, inclusion of ICD-10 codes, extended use of Public Key Infrastructure and Common Access Card (PKI/CAC), and increased use of virtualization technologies.

Upgrade MSAT to communicate with subject matter experts and all agencies and resources that maintain medical entomology consultation information and with host nation toxic industrial chemical and toxic environmental chemical sites and location and information on occupational hazards. MSAT shall enable users to access information pertaining to U.S. personnel who receive care in non-DoD medical facilities, allow the user to access DoD operations, planning and execution system(s) and Provide Patient Movement Crew information

Perform management, testing, licensing and sustainment of the theater portfolio.

#### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Complete I2R3 development/integration and conduct operational testing/operational assessment. This will include: Theater Framework modernization and development, AHLTA-Theater modifications based on functional requirements, such as Prevent Active Duty (AD) wellness reminder to be generated on non-AD patients and Allow printing of wellness reminders by personnel categories, e.g., contractor personnel, active duty, etc.

## **Management Oversight**

**Functional** 

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

Component

TRICARE Management Activity

**Acquisition** 

Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO)

**Program Management** 

**COL Aaron Smith** 

TRICARE Management Activity (TMA)

#### **Contract Information**

Name: Base Tech
City/State: McLean, VA

**Supported** DTRS-TIR Development & Support Operations

**Function:** 

Name: Deloitte
City/State: Alexandria, VA

**Supported** Theater program support

**Function:** 

Name: Deloitte
City/State: Alexandria, VA

Supported DHIMS & DHSS DT&E

Function:

Name: Deloitte
City/State: Alexandria, VA

**Supported** DHIMS Program Management & Information Assurance Supt

Function:

Name: GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION

City/State: Fairfax, VA Supported PM & Eng Spt

Function: Blood & Radiographic Imaging

**Contracts - Continued** 

Name: MSGI CORPORATION

City/State: Tampa, FL

**Supported** Theater Train the Trainer

Function:

Name: PLANNED SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL, INC.

City/State: Columbia, MD

**Supported** AHLTA Theater Sustainment

Function:

Name: SAIC

City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported CHCS CACHE TC2 Development & Sustainment

Function:

Name: SAIC

City/State: Falls Church, VA

**Supported** Integration & Sustainment

Function:

Name: Vangent

City/State: Falls Church, VA

Supported implement an integrated Single Sign-On (SSO) and Context Management (CM) capability

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Medical Situation Awareness Technology (MSAT)/Theater Medical Data Store (TMDS) development and integration

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 Planned Completion Date: 2012-03-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 6.564 (dollars in millions)

Description: TMIP-J provides information at the point of care and to the Theater tactical and strategic decision makers through efficient, reliable data capture, and

data transmission to a centralized Theater database. TMIP-J fulfills the premise of "Train as you fight" through the integration of components which

are identical or analogous to systems from the sustaining base.

Development and Integration of MSAT/TMDS into TMIP-J as part of the Increment 2 Release 2 interface.

MSAT will link together information that encompasses disease and non-battle related injuries; physical and psychological trauma; patient tracking; chemical and biological threats; environmental and occupational health; intelligence; Command and Control data; personnel; unit locations and

weather.

Theater Medical Data Store (TMDS) serves as the authoritative Theater database for collecting, distributing and viewing Service members' pertinent medical information. It provides one central location for healthcare providers to view Theater medical data.

TMDS updates the AHLTA Clinical Data Repository (CDR), where all Service members' Electronic Health Records (EHR) reside. This information

#### **Milestones - Continued**

is also made available to the VA through an interface known as Bidirectional Health Information Exchange-Theater (BHIE-T). Using TMDS, medical staff can view airlifted critically injured patients' history, progress notes, laboratory, drug and radiological history before arrival at their next location. TMDS supports the collection of information from first responder, battalion aid station and Theater hospitals and makes the information readable in Theater and back to OCONUS and CONUS hospitals and ultimately to the CDR and to the VA.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
TMIP-01 (MSAT/TMDS) CLIN 2001	Planned: 2011-10-03	Planned: 2012-03-31	Planned: 3.564	_
	Projected: 2011-10-03	Projected: 2012-03-31	Projected: 3.564	
Description	Actual: 2011-09-30	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

I2R2 Integration/Development

Continued development of Geographical Information System (GIS), Patient, Personnel & Unit Tracking, Ad Hoc Query and Reporting, Medical Logistics, Medical Surveillance and Intelligence, Medical Surveillance and Intelligence

Activity Name	Start Da	Start Date		Completion Date		osts
TMIP-01 (MSAT/TMDS) CLIN 2007	Planned: 20	011-10-03	Planned:	2012-03-12	Planned:	2.999
	Projected: 20	011-10-03	Projected:	2012-03-12	Projected:	2.999
Description	Actual: 20	011-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000

I2R2 Integration/Development

Complete new development of Theater Blood Enhancement System which includes expanding scanning capability, enhancing low/intermittent bandwidth performance in the core Theater Blood Application, Enhancing the unit reporting structure in the Theater Blood Application, Batch receipt capability, Identity Management, & Architecture Improvements

#### Project Name: Theater Integration

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 Planned Completion Date: 2012-03-31 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 3.677 (dollars in millions)

Description: Continue integration associated with TMIP Increment 2 designs, migrate from Increment 2 Release 1 to Increment 2 Release 2 releases.

The TMIP Framework (TF) shall include net-centric, non-net-centric, austere or disconnected commo on hardware in Theater and shall work with VA products and EMR systems.

TMIP-J Increment 2 Block 2 (I2 R2) provides information management/information technology (IM/IT) for the Military's deployed medical business practice and includes systems and applications that support related aspects of Theater care that include medical supplies, equipment, healthcare documentation, patient visibility, and medical surveillance.

Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
TMIP-04 (Integration) CLIN 3003	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-03-31	Planned:	0.071
	Projected:	2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-03-31	Projected:	0.071
Description	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
I2R2 TMIP-Framework Integration program management costs, and						
Telecommunications fees, and Lab space usage costs to be tracked separately in	n support of PA	.1913-105				

Ailestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start Date		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
TMIP-04 (Integration) CLIN 3001	Planned: 2011	-10-03	Planned:	2012-03-31	Planned:	3.606
	Projected: 2011	-10-03	Projected:	2012-03-31	Projected:	3.606
Description	Actual: 2011	-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
12D2 TMID Framework (TE) Integration						

I2R2 TMIP-Framework (TF) Integration

Continue integration associated with TMIP Increment 2 designs, migrate from Increment 2 Release 1 to Increment 2 Release 2 releases.

The TF shall include net-centric, non-net-centric, austere or disconnected commo on hardware in Theater and shall work with VA products and EMR systems.

**Project Name:** TMIP Composite Health Care System Cache (TC2)

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-03 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 0.546 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** TC2 development and integration effort as part of the Increment 2 Release 2 interface.

TC2 provides documentation for inpatient healthcare and ancillary services order-entry and result-reporting in the deployed environment. TC2 provides inpatient management, laboratory, radiology and pharmacy ordering capabilities and enables users to schedule outpatient clinic and radiology procedures.

TC2 uses the TMIP Framework for transmission of data to the Theater Medical Data Store (TMDS).

Integration/Development program management costs to be tracked separately in conjuction with TMIP-03 (TC2) Activity ID PA1913-103

Activity Name	Start	Date	<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
ГМІР-03 (TC2) CLIN 1001	Planned:	2011-10-03	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	0.526
	Projected:	2011-10-03	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	0.526
Description	Actual:	2011-09-30	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Integration/Development						
Integration/Development  Complete the development of the order entry graphical us	er interface portal and support governm	nent testing activ	vities.			
Complete the development of the order entry graphical us	er interface portal and support governm Start	_		etion Date	Total C	Costs
Complete the development of the order entry graphical us <b>Activity Name</b>	Start	_		etion Date 2012-09-30	Total C	Costs 0.020
2	Start	Date	Compl			

### Customers/Stakeholders

#### **Customers for this Investment**

The direct customers for TMIP-J are the Combatant Commanders, Joint Task Force (JTF) Commanders, Theater Surgeons, Assistant Secretary Defense (Health Affairs (ASD(HA)), the Joint Staff, Military Departments' staffs, VA, and the individual warfighter. Direct users include: physicians, physician assistants, dentist, nurses, corpsmen, independent duty corpsmen, medical technicians, medical planners, and other medical support personnel.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

The stakeholders of this project are broad in scope as this Program is vital to the ability to maintain a warfighter's life-long medical record, medical situational awareness, and the Combatant Command's (COCOM's) command and control. Stakeholders include: the Commander-in-Chief, Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD(P&R)), Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs (ASD(HA)), Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), U.S. Joint Forces Command (USJFCOM), and U.S. Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM).

#### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Funding for TMIP-J in FY 2013 supports the following:

- Perform management, testing, licensing and sustainment of the theater portfolio to include the following: AHLTA Theater, Joint Medical Analysis Tool (JMAT), TMIP Composite Health Care System Cache (TC2), AHLTA Mobile, TMIP Framework, Theater Integration, Patient Movement Items Tracking System (PMITS), DMLSS Customer Assistance Module (DCAM), Marine Maritime Module (MMM), and Medical Situational Awareness in Theater (MSAT).
- Complete I2R2 development/integration and commence operational testing/operational assessment. I2R2 Full Deployment Decision (FDD) is scheduled in first quarter FY 2014. PMO will continue I2R3 integration development effort initiative, SSN reduction and the use of EDI PN), inclusion of ICD-10 codes, extended use of Public Key Infrastructure and Common Access Card (PKI/CAC), and increased use of virtualization technologies.
- Upgrade MSAT to communicate with subject matter experts and all agencies and resources that maintain medical entomology consultation information and with host nation toxic industrial chemical and toxic environmental chemical sites and location and information on occupational hazards. MSAT shall enable users to access information pertaining to U.S. personnel who receive care in non-DoD medical facilities, allow the user to access DoD operations, planning and execution system(s) and Provide Patient Movement Crew information.
- Training required on TMIP-J applications as they are deployed to the Services for fielding in the theater of operations.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

Beyond FY 2013, funding for TMIP-J is planned to support the following:

- Management, testing, licensing fees and sustainment of the theater portfolio to include the following: AHLTA Theater, Joint Medical Analysis Tool (JMAT), TMIP Composite Health Care System Cache (TC2), AHLTA Mobile, TMIP Framework, Theater Integration, Patient Movement Items Tracking System (PMITS), DMLSS Customer Assistance Module (DCAM), Marine Maritime Module (MMM), and Medical Situational Awareness in Theater (MSAT.
- Completion of Increment 2, Release 3 development/integration and conduct operational testing/operational assessment. This will include: Theater Framework modernization and development, AHLTA-Theater modifications based on functional requirements, such as Prevent Active Duty (AD) wellness reminder to be generated on non-AD patients and allow printing of wellness reminders by personnel categories, e.g., contractor personnel, active duty, etc.

- Training required on TMIP-J applications as they are deployed to the Services for fielding in the theater of operations.	

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	3855	Acronym	VIPS					
Name of Investment	VIRTUAL IN	VIRTUAL INTERACTIVE PROCESSING SYSTEM						
Lead Agent	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY							
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	Acquisition Category	NONE			
DoD Segment	HUMAN RES	OURCE MAN	AGEMENT	GIG Architecture Category	FUNCTIONAL AREA APPLICATIONS			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Virtual Interactive Processing System (VIPS) will modernize and automate the Information Technology (IT) capabilities for qualifying Applicants into the Military Service during wartime, peacetime, and mobilization. VIPS will enable a responsive, flexible and efficient means to qualify Applicants to meet manpower resource requirements for the uniformed Services, Coast Guard, and National Guard routine and contingency operations. VIPS will be the future accessioning system to be used by the US Military Entrance Processing Command (USMEPCOM) and will replace their legacy system, USMEPCOM Integrated Resource System (USMIRS). USMEPCOM serves as the single entry point for determining the physical, aptitude, and conduct qualifications of candidates for enlistment. VIPS will provide the capability to electronically acquire, process, store, secure, and seamlessly share personnel data across the Accessions Community of Interest (ACOI). When fully implemented, VIPS will reduce the cycle time required to induct enlistees to meet the needs of Homeland Defense, reduce the number of visits to the Military Entrance Processing Stations (MEPS), reduce manual data entry errors, and reduce attrition through better pre-screening practices. GAO has reported that better pre-screening practices will yield cost savings and cost avoidance of \$83M per year for the VIPS automated elements, when Increment 2.0 is deployed. The overall annual estimated cost avoidance is \$479M across the DoD as referenced in the 1997 GAO Study 97-39 Military Attrition: DoD could save Millions by Better Screening Enlisted Personnel. The implementation of a Modular Open System Architecture (MOSA) approach will enable accession data to be securely available to applicants and ACOI partners such as Recruiting and Training Commands, Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), Military Health System, Human Resource Management (HRM), and Defense Travel Management Office (DTMO). VIPS will support compliance with Department of Defense (DoD) direction for a net-centric env

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	23,398	25,314	28,264	0
Operations				
O&M, DW				
0305070S 04-Defense Logistics Agency	0	7,584	15,252	0
0901260BTA 04-Defense Business Transformation Agency	3,010	0	0	0
Operations Total	3,010	7,584	15,252	0
Procurement				
Procurement, DW				
0305070S 01-MAJOR EQUIPMENT	0	4,730	2,840	0
0901260BTA 01-MAJOR EQUIPMENT, BTA	4,000	0	0	0
Procurement Total	4,000	4,730	2,840	0
RDT&E				
RDT&E, DW				
0605020BTA 05-DEFENSE BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION AGENCY	16,388	0	0	0
0605070S 05-Virtual Interactive Processing System (VIPS)	0	13,000	10,172	0
RDT&E Total	16,388	13,000	10,172	0

## **Program Change Summary**

FY 2012         FY 2013         vs FY 2013           FY 2012 President's Budget         35.830         29.140           FY 2013 President's Budget         25.314         28.264         2.95
<b>FY 2013 President's Budget</b> 25.314 28.264 2.95
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013 -0.876

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

The VIPS program funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 only applies to the RDT&E appropriation. The vertical change is due to internal budget cuts, therefore the program budgeted the requirements accordingly.

### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

The VIPS program funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request are due to the fact VIPS was originally scheduled to be moving into the sustainment phase in FY 2013. The program has not been approved for full development due to program schedule slips and notifying the Senior Official on May 11, 2011 that the VIPS program requires an assessment subject to a Critical Change Event. Until approval, the program will continue to experience schedule delays that will further misalign the appropriations to the schedule.

# **Program Accomplishments**

## FY 2011 Accomplishments

- -Completed development and acceptance testing of a Rapid Operational Capability (Medical Pre-Screen 2807-2)
- -Convened a Preliminary Design Review (PDR)
- -Received an interim Milestone B Acquisition Decision Memorandum (ADM)
- -Designated as a Pre-MAIS program by Acquisition Technology and Logistics (AT&L)
- -VIPS PMO matured acquisition documentation in anticipation of Milestone B to include the System Requirements Specification (SRS), Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM), Business Case for the Business Capability Lifecycle (BCL), and continued to refine the DoDAF 2.0 architecture artifacts for the Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) 8.0 compliance

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- -Successfully complete the Critical Change Report (CCR) per Section 2445c of Title 10 U.S.C.
- -Complete the development of the requirements and related acquisition activities in support of a revised Increment 1.0

- -Prepare and draft acquisition documentation to achieve a Milestone B ADM
- -Demonstrate limited technical capability for managing architecture and requirements in FY2012
- -Execute Program Management and Engineering support to include acquisition compliance reporting, acquisition subject matter expertise, business case analysis, metrics, system analysis, requirements support, contract execution, contract documentation, investment activities and test management oversight for a revised Increment 1.0

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- -Conduct a Critical Design Review (CDR)
- -Develop technical capability demonstrations to be provided to the test community
- -Complete the development of the system and draft acquisition documentation in anticipation for a Milestone C in support of the revised Increment 1.0
- Continue to execute Program Management and Engineering support to include acquisition compliance reporting, acquisition subject matter expertise, business case analysis, metrics, system analysis, requirements support, contract execution, contract documentation, investment activities and test management oversight for a revised Increment 1.0

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

If funded for FY 2014, the VIPS program will acheive Milestone C, Full Deployment Decision (FDD), and Full Deployment (FD) for Increment 1.0a. Additionally, the program will acheive a Milestone B and Critical Design Review (CDR) for the next Increment (Increment 1.0b).

#### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

OSD Personnel and Readiness (P&R)

#### Component

Defense Logistics Agency

#### **Acquisition**

OUSD(ATL)

#### **Program Management**

Scott Smith

Defense Logistics Agency

#### **Contract Information**

Name: CACI

City/State: Arlington, VA

**Supported** Prime Contractor for design and development work. Currently in design phase.

Function:

Name: Data Networking Corporation

**Contracts - Continued** 

City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** VIPS PMO Acquisition Support

Function:

Name: Deloitte
City/State: Arlington, VA

**Supported** System Engineering support to the VIPS PMO

**Function:** 

Name: Eyaktek
City/State: Dulles, VA

**Supported** Technical expertise to the VIPS PMO

Function:

Name: KM Systems Group City/State: Arlington, VA

Supported VIPS PMO EVM support for prime contractor

**Function:** 

Name: Sawdey Solutions City/State: Beavercreek, VA

**Supported** Supplemental VIPS PMO acquisition support

Function:

Name: TeraThink
City/State: Reston, VA

**Supported** Effort is to develop a set of reccommendations planned and method on how to establish a VIPS PMO should-cost.

Function:

#### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Virtual Interactive Processing System (VIPS)

Planned Start Date: 2004-09-30 Planned Completion Date: 2025-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 554.696 (dollars in millions)

Description: The Virtual Interactive Processing System (VIPS) will modernize and automate the Information Technology (IT) capabilities for qualifying

Applicants into the Military Service during wartime, peacetime, and mobilization. VIPS will enable a responsive, flexible and efficient means to qualify Applicants to meet manpower resource requirements for the uniformed Services, Coast Guard, and National Guard routine and contingency operations. VIPS will be the future accessioning system to be used by the US Military Entrance Processing Command (USMEPCOM) and will replace their legacy system, USMEPCOM Integrated Resource System (USMIRS). USMEPCOM serves as the single entry point for determining the physical, aptitude, and conduct qualifications of candidates for enlistment. VIPS will provide the capability to electronically acquire, process, store, secure, and seamlessly share personnel data across the Accessions Community of Interest (ACOI). When fully implemented, VIPS will reduce the

#### Milestones - Continued

cycle time required to induct enlistees to meet the needs of Homeland Defense, reduce the number of visits to the Military Entrance Processing Stations (MEPS), reduce manual data entry errors, and reduce attrition through better pre-screening practices. The implementation of a Modular Open System Architecture (MOSA) approach will enable accession data to be securely available to applicants and ACOI partners such as Recruiting and Training Commands, Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), Military Health System, Human Resource Management (HRM), and Defense Travel Management Office (DTMO). VIPS will support compliance with Department of Defense (DoD) direction for a net-centric environment and take advantage of automated data capture technology, e.g., medical equipment with the capability to capture and electronically transmit exam results. The accessioning system of the future will be location independent, virtually paper-free, and automated to assist with bringing the right people at the right time to operational commanders. The VIPS Program has not yet been baselined.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Prototyping Phase (BCL) - Milestone B	Planned: 2010-09-30	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 33.000
	Projected:	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 33.000
Description	Actual: 2010-09-30	Actual:	Actual: 0.000
Develop the required Business Capability Lifecyle (BCL) a activities.	equisition documentation for a Defense Business I	Information System to obtain author	ization to iniate development
Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Engineering Development	Planned: 2012-10-01	Planned: 2013-12-31	Planned: 10.085
	Projected: 2012-10-01	Projected: 2013-12-31	Projected: 10.085

Engineering Development	Planned:	2012-10-01	Planned:	2013-12-31	Planned:	10.085
	Projected:	2012-10-01	Projected:	2013-12-31	Projected:	10.085
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Develop the VIDC detailed design gustom configuration integration and testing to	datamaina	antahla raguirama	nta and arrata	m waahility far inaran	ant 1 0a	

Develop the VIPS detailed design, system configuration, integration, and testing to determine acceptable requirements and system usability for increment 1.0a.

Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Planned: 2014-01-01	Planned: 2014-03-31	Planned: 0.000	
Projected: 2014-01-01	Projected: 2014-03-31	Projected: 3.250	
Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	
	Planned: 2014-01-01 Projected: 2014-01-01	Planned: 2014-01-01 Planned: 2014-03-31 Projected: 2014-01-01 Projected: 2014-03-31	

Currently not funded to complete this activity. Developmental test and evaluation to determine compliance and sufficiency of vendor delivered solution. Includes SIT, SQT, and SAT for increment 1.0a.

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

-US Military Entrance Processing Command (USMEPCOM)

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

- -US Military Entrance Processing Command (USMEPCOM)
- -Accessions Community of Interest (ACOI) including Army, Navy, Marine, Airforce, and Coast Guard

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

The VIPS program has RDT&E, Procurement, and O&M but, the PMO plans to request to re-program the procurement and the O&M in FY 2013.

In FY 2013, the VIPS PMO plans to use RDT&E to conduct a Critical Design Review (CDR) and develop technical capability demonstrations to be provided to the test community. Additionally in FY 2013 the VIPS PMO will complete the development of the system and draft acquisition documentation in anticipation for a Milestone C in support of the revised Increment 1.0.

Execute Program Management and Engineering support which includes acquisition compliance reporting, acquisition subject matter expertise, business case analysis, metrics, system analysis, requirements support, contract execution, contract documentation, investment activities, and test management oversight for a revised Increment 1.0.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

VIPS currently does not have funding in FY 2014-2017, although an issue paper to reinstate funding for these years was submitted for this PBR 2013. If additional funding is acquired, it will be used to develop and deploy the remaining capabilities of Increment 1.0 and initiate development of Increment 2.0, which will extend technical capabilities for business process management and integrate medical management processes. These capabilities include electronic pre-screening of medical information and generating the initial electronic medical lifecycle records.

Page left intentionally blank

# **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1152	Acronym	VSS			
Name of Investment	VOICE SWIT	CHING SYSTI	EM			
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AI	R FORCE			
Category	INFORMATIO	ON TECHNOL	OGY	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	PRE-MAIS	
DoD Segment	DOD IT INFR	ASTRUCTUR	E	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE	

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

The Voice Switching System (VSS) implements required voice switch software and hardware configurations, software and hardware upgrades, and replaces backup power systems.

VSS also upgrades the Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN) to eliminate obsolete, beyond end-of-life components that provide critical communications between the White House, JCS, and SAF to Combatant Commanders.

VSS also implements Real Time Services (RTS)/Unified Capabilities (UC) to provide precedence based assured services for voice, video and data over a converged IP end-to-end (E2E) network with Quality of Service (QoS) to meet Joint Staff E2E performance requirements.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	15,492	23,781	16,989	17,611
MILPERS				
Mil Pers, AF				
0305560F 06-N/A	178	178	178	186
MILPERS Total	178	178	178	186
Procurement				
Other Proc, AF				
0303112F 03-VOICE SYSTEMS	15,314	23,603	16,811	17,425
Procurement Total	15,314	23,603	16,811	17,425

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	43.692	41.048	
FY 2013 President's Budget	23.781	16.989	-6.79
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		-24.059	
'			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Funding is reduced due to the consolidation of secure telephone switches which result in fewer switches that require updating. Further, due to the long manufacturerer lead time and demand from other departments, the Air Force will contract for fewer Defense Red Switch Network secure switches during FY13.

#### Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Funding is reduced due to the consolidation of secure telephone switches which result in fewer switches that require updating. Further, due to the long manufacturerer lead time and demand from other departments, the Air Force will contract for fewer Defense Red Switch Network secure switches during FY13.

# **Program Accomplishments**

# FY 2011 Accomplishments

PY funds upgraded 31 base telephone switches to enable Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) capability.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Fund software licenses for the Telephony Management System, Voice Protection System and upgrade base telephone switch hardware and software as mandated by DoD directive to ensure security and service availability.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Fund software licenses for the Telephony Management System, Voice Protection System and upgrade base telephone switch hardware and software as mandated by DoD directive to ensure security and service availability.

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

Upgrade Defense Red Switch Network switches at four locations (Al Udied AB, Wright-Patterson AFB, Hurlburt Field and Boulder CO.)

Fund software licenses for the Telephony Management System, Voice Protection System and upgrade base telephone switch hardware and software as mandated by DoD directive to ensure security and service availability.

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

Air Force Space Command

#### Component

Department of the Air Force

#### **Acquisition**

Air Force Under Secretary for Acquisition

#### **Program Management**

Mr. Ronnie Carter

Electronic Systems Center

## **Contract Information**

Name: Avaya Corp City/State: Basking Ridge, NJ

**Supported** Telephone communications.

Function:

Name: General Dynamics Information Technology

City/State: Fairfax, VA

**Supported** Telephone communications.

Function:

Name: SecureLogix
City/State: San Antonio, TX

**Supported** Telephone switch security.

**Function:** 

#### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Telephony Management System software license support

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 3.047 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** Purchase software license support for the Air Force enterprise telephone system.

Activity Name	Start	Date	Comple	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Telephony Management System Software License Support	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	3.047
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	3.047
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Provides software licenses, technical support and software updates required	d to operate and maint	ain the system to	manage teleph	one switch opera	tions and maintena	ince activities.
Project Name: Voice Protection System (VPS) software license sup	port.					
Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date:	2012-09-30	Planned Live	Cycle Cost:	2.302	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> Purchase software licenses and support for all Air Force	ce telephone switche	es to ensure rec	quired security	<i>7</i> .		
Activity Name	Start			etion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Voice Protection System Software License Support.	Planned:	2011-10-01	Planned:	2012-09-30	Planned:	2.302
	Projected:	2011-10-01	Projected:	2012-09-30	Projected:	2.302
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Provides software licenses and support required to ensure Air Force telepho	one switch security ag	ainst unauthoriz	ed access or att	ack.		
roject Name: Upgrade Defense Red Switch Network.						
Planned Start Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Completion Date:	2017-04-30	Planned Live	<b>Cycle Cost:</b>	21.760	(dollars in	millions)
<b>Description:</b> VSS upgrades the Defense Red Switch Network (DRS between the White House, JCS, and SAF to Combatan	t Commanders.	, ,				
Activity Name	Start Planned:	2012-09-30		etion Date 2014-02-28	Total (	8.416
Defense Red Switch Network Upgrade.			Planned:		Planned:	
D 1.4	3	2012-09-30	Projected:	2017-04-30	Projected:	8.416
	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
<b>Description</b> Upgrades the Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN) to eliminate obsolete,						HOUSE ICS

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

Air Force Major Commands, Direct Reporting Units, and Field Operating Agencies, Air Force Reserves and Air National Guard, and Combatant Commands (Tenants) located on Air Force installations (United States Central Command (USCENTCOM), United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM), United States Northern Command (USNORTHCOM), United States Strategic Command (USSTRATCOM) and United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM)) as well as the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) and non-US allied and coalition forces co-located on USAF bases. As a result of satisfying higher headquarters requirements, subordinate organizations such as wings, field operating agencies, and direct reporting units benefit from the robust, standardized infrastructure provided.

#### Stakeholders for this Investment

Air Force Space Command, Chief of Warfighting Integration and Chief Information Officer (SAF/XC), all Major Commands and Air National Guard, Air Force Research Laboratory, Air Staff, and Combatant Commanders/other tenant units located on AF installations, the acquisition community, network operations and security centers and the 24 Air Force Commander are directly supported by infrastructure/capabilities provided by the AFNET Inc 2 program.

## **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

he Voice Switching System (VSS) implements required voice switch software and hardware configurations, software and hardware upgrades, and replaces backup power systems.

VSS also upgrades the Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN) to eliminate obsolete, beyond end-of-life components that provide critical communications between the White House, JCS, and SAF to Combatant Commanders.

#### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

he Voice Switching System (VSS) implements required voice switch software and hardware configurations, software and hardware upgrades, and replaces backup power systems.

VSS also upgrades the Defense Red Switch Network (DRSN) to eliminate obsolete, beyond end-of-life components that provide critical communications between the White House, JCS, and SAF to Combatant Commanders.

# **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1202	Acronym	WIN-T INC 1				
Name of Investment	WARFIGHTE	R INFORMAT	TON NETWORK - TACTICA	AL INCREMENT 1			
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	EPARTMENT OF THE ARMY					
Category	NATIONAL S	SECURITY SY	STEM	Acquisition Category	MDAP		
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	ZS .	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE		

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Warfighter Information Network-Tactical (WIN-T) is the Army's current and future tactical network that will provide seamless, assured, mobile communications for the warfighter along with advanced network management tools to support implementation of commander's intent and priorities incrementally. Increment 1 provides Networking At-The-Halt capability down to Battalion level (1a) with a follow-on Enhanced Networking At-The-Halt (1b)- Modification Work Order (MWO) to improve efficiency and encryption. WIN-T Increment 1 components reside at the Theater, Corps, Division, Brigade and Battalion levels.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	29,742	48,018	98,292	174,992
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army 0310704A 02-WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	29,742	34,848	98,292	174,992
Procurement Total	29,742	34,848	98,292	174,992
RDT&E RDT&E, Army				
0604818A 05-JOINT NETWORK NODE (JNN) TESTING	0	13,170	0	0
RDT&E Total	0	13,170	0	0

# **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	47.258	27.744	
FY 2013 President's Budget	48.018	98.292	50.27
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		70.548	
•			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OPA: \$70.548M Increase (100%)

FY13 OPA Increase is due to the acceleration of Increment 1b MWO kits to fielded units.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OPA: \$63.444M Increase (182%)

FY13 OPA increase is due to the acceleration of Increment 1b MWO kits to fielded units.

RDTE: \$13.170M Decrease (100%)

FY13 RDTE decreased since the Initial Operational Test and Evaluation has been completed.

## **Program Accomplishments**

# FY 2011 Accomplishments

- Operational Test and Evaulation Report (OT&ER) February 2011
- Full Rate Production (FRP) decision for WIN-T Inc 1a waved, May 2011
- Fielded 2 Regional Hub Node sites with Ku/Ka band capability
- Tech Refresh of COTS equipment

#### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Support of Increment 2 Inital Oprational Test and Evaluation.
- Fund WIN-T Increment 1b Tech Refresh via Modification Work Order (MWO)

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

- Field approximately 32 WIN-T INC 1 units with modification work order (MWO).

### FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments

- Funding will field approximately 100 WIN-T INC 1 units and modification work order (MWO).

# **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

PEO C3T WIN-T

#### Component

Department of the Army

#### **Acquisition**

**OSD** 

#### **Program Management**

LTC Jason Shepard

PEO C3T

### **Contract Information**

Name: General Dyanamics (GD)

City/State: Duluth, GA

**Supported** Production of Equipment

Function:

Name: General Dyanamics (GD)

City/State: Taunton, MA

Supported Integration and Engineering Services

Function:

# Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Net Centric Waveform (NCW)/Colorless Core Modification Work Order (MWO) - FY12

Milestones - Continued

Planned Start Date: 2011-10-01 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-30 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 34.848

(dollars in millions)

**Description:** Provides Enhanced Networking at-the-Halt: Capability: Enables more efficient wideband communications at-the-halt. Supports the distribution of intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance information via voice, data and limited video. Improved unit coordination and synchronization.

Connectivity: Commercial and military band SATCOM to Theater, Corps, Division, Brigade and Battalion.

Equipment: radios, routers, servers, encryption (sends unclassified and classified data over the same path), modems (wideband modem for efficient

operation over satellites) and antennas (transportable).

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	Total Costs
NCW/Colorless Core for FY12	Planned: 2011-10-01	Planned: 2012-09-30	Planned: 34.848
	Projected: 2012-03-05	Projected: 2012-09-30	Projected: 34.848
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

Procurment of NCW modems on SATCOM contract and colorles core equipment on CHS contract plus Integration of the equipment

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

WIN-T Increment 1 customers are the Army units that belong to Active, National Guard and Reserve components.

### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

WIN-T Increment 1 stakeholders are the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Network Information and Integration OASD(NII), the Army Acquisition Executive, Project Manager, WIN-T; Program Executive Officer, Command, Control and Communications (Tactical), Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology ASA(ALT), Army G3, Army G8 and Force Readiness Command(FORCECOM).

# **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

FY13 OPA funds will field approximately 32 WIN-T INC 1 units with modification work order (MWO).

# Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14 OPA funding will field approximately 100 WIN-T INC 1 units and modification work order (MWO).

FY15 OPA funding will field approximately 52 WIN-T INC 1 units and modification work order (MWO).

FY16 OPA funding is for finishing up the fielding of MWO kits.

Page left intentionally blank

### **Investment Informaton**

Investment Number	1208	Acronym	WIN-T INC 2					
Name of Investment	WARFIGHTE	R INFORMAT	TION NETWORK - TACTICA	AL INCREMENT 2				
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	EPARTMENT OF THE ARMY						
Category	NATIONAL S	ECURITY SY	STEM	<b>Acquisition Category</b>	MDAP			
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	S	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE			

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Warfighter Information Network-Tactical (WIN-T) is the Army's Program to achieve a world-class Joint expeditionary network enabled by information technologies that support the goals of the Army Campaign Plan and other Army/Joint mandates. WIN-T is the cornerstone tactical communications system whose strategy is being implemented in the 2007 to 2027 timeframe. The WIN-T program is establishing a single integrating framework creating a network of networks for the Army, subject to commander's intent and security policy. WIN-T will enable the mobile warfighter to operate on a noncontiguous battlefield environment.

WIN-T Increment 2 is key to the Army's Network Modernization program. WIN-T Increment 2 provides an initial commercial and military band networking on-the-move (OTM) capability and a mobile infrastructure to Division, Brigade, Battalion and Company. WIN-T Increment 2 also supports limited collaboration and mission planning. It enables the distribution of information via voice, data and realtime video from ground-to-ground and ground-to-satellite communications. WIN-T Increment 2 enables an initial Planning, Monitoring, Controlling and Prioritizing (PMCP) capability to the Division Headquarters (HQs) and/or the Brigade network. Network survivability is enhanced by automatically reconfiguring the network due to node or link loss. Spectrum efficiency and reuse is accomplished with the Highband Network Waveform (HNW) and Net-Centric Waveform (NCW). The Quality of Service (QoS) capability enables message traffic prioritization by level of importance to the warfighter. This acquisition approach will minimize risk, cost and schedule. WIN-T Increment 3 develops the mature technologies which will be inserted into WIN-T Increment 2.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	355,144	837,368	788,719	988,688
Procurement				
Other Proc, Army				
0310706A 02-WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	313,494	815,184	731,068	939,343
0310706A 04-INITIAL SPARES - C&E	24,857	12,053	54,865	43,346
Procurement Total	338,351	827,237	785,933	982,689
RDT&E				
RDT&E, Army				
0603782A 04-WIN-T INCREMENT 2 -INITIAL NETWORKING-ON-THE-	16,793	10,131	2,786	5,999
RDT&E Total	16,793	10,131	2,786	5,999

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	946.931	775.864	
FY 2013 President's Budget	837.368	788.719	-48.65
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		12.855	

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

OPA: \$10.069M Increase (1%)

The increase in funding between the Presidents Budget is due to funding additional Capability Set 13(CS13) efforts and revised test schedule.

RDTE: \$2.786M Increase (100%)

The increase in funding between the Presidents Budget is due to funding additional Capability Set 13(CS13) efforts and revised test schedule.

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Decrease in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

OPA: \$41.304M Decrease (5%)

The decrease in OPA reflects a shift into Full Rate Production and Fielding

RDTE: \$7.345M Decrease (73%)

The reduction in RDTE reflects ramp down and completion of test efforts

# **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- Awarded Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) delivery order for lots 1b/2 (15 January 2011)
- Completed Production Qualification Test Contractor (PQT-C) (28 February 2011 1 May 2011)
- Completed Logistics Demonstration (19 July 2011)

- Completed Production Qualification Test Government (PQT-G) (15 May 2011 14 August 2011)
- Completed Logistics Demonstration (01 July 2011 28 July 2011)

## FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

- Cold Region Test Alaska (04 -18 January 2012) Completed
- New Equipment Training (NET) to include Crew Drills (4 January 2012 22 March 2012)
- Force Development Test and Experimentation (FDT&E) (26 March 2012 13 April 2012)
- Initial Operational Test and Evaluation (IOTE) (25 April 2012 16 May 2012)
- First Unit Equipped (FUE) (30 August 2012)
- Full Rate Production Decision Review (FRP DR) (17 September 2012)
- Start of Full Rate Production upon successful FRP DR
- Contract Option Award 1st year of FRP (20 September 2012)

### FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments

- Follow-on Production Contract Award (30 March 2013)
- Initial Operational Capability (IOC) (10 May 2013)

#### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

Follow-on Production Contract 1 Award

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

PEO C3T WIN-T

#### Component

Department of the Army

#### Acquisition

OSD

#### **Program Management**

LTC Robert M. Collins

WIN-T

### **Contract Information**

Name: General Dynamics
City/State: Taunton, MA

**Contracts - Continued** 

**Supported** Prime Contractor

Function:

### Milestones/Schedules

Project Name: Full Rate Production Decision Review

Planned Start Date: 2010-02-13 Planned Completion Date: 2012-09-15 Planned Live Cycle Cost: 924.731 (dollars in millions)

**Description:** A review conducted at the conclusion of Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) effort that authorizes entry into the Full Rate Production (FRP) and

Deployment effort of the Production and Deployment phase of the Defense Acquisition Management Framework.

Full Rate Production (FRP) is the highest level of production readiness. Engineering/design changes are few and generally limited to quality and cost improvements. System, components or items are in rate production and meet all engineering, performance, quality and reliability requirements. All materials, manufacturing processes and procedures, inspection and test equipment are in production and controlled to six-sigma or some other appropriate quality level. FRP unit cost meets goal, funding sufficient for production at required rates. Lean practices well established and continuous process improvements ongoing.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
New Equipment training (NET)	Planned: 2012-01-09	Planned: 2012-03-22	Planned: 12.662
	Projected: 2012-01-09	Projected: 2012-03-22	Projected: 12.662
Description	Actual: 2012-01-04	4 Actual:	Actual: 0.000

The NET will train a goup of experienced individuals with varying specialties for initial training on the maintenance and operation WIN-T Inc 2 equipment.

Training will be provided to all assigned operators and maintainers of WIN-T Inc 2 that is critical to unit readiness.

Activity Name	Start Da	ate	Comple	etion Date	Total C	Costs
Cold Region Test	Planned: 20	012-01-23	Planned:	2012-02-17	Planned:	0.491
	Projected: 20	012-01-23	Projected:	2012-02-17	Projected:	0.491
Description	Actual: 20	012-01-04	Actual:	2012-01-18	Actual:	0.491
THE COLUMN THE COLUMN AT A RECORD AT A LACE	11 C 11 /1		1	11.1 1 . 1		

The testing effort is centered at the Bolio Lake Test Complex, Alaska. A full range of cold weather or temperate climate tests will be conducted.

Activity Name	Start Date	<b>Completion Date</b>	<b>Total Costs</b>
Force Development Test and Experimentation (FDT&E)	Planned: 2012-03-26	Planned: 2012-04-13	Planned: 0.097
	Projected: 2012-03-26	Projected: 2012-04-13	Projected: 0.097
Description	Actual:	Actual:	Actual: 0.000

The test is being conducted to evaluate training, logistics, doctrine, organization and materiel. The results of the test are provided to the developers, testers, modelers, and materiel developers.

Milestones - Continued						
Activity Name	Start	t Date	Compl	etion Date	Total (	Costs
Initial Operational Test and Evaluation(IOTE)	Planned:	2012-04-16	Planned:	2012-05-16	Planned:	8.861
	Projected:	2012-04-16	Projected:	2012-05-16	Projected:	8.861
Description	Actual:		Actual:		Actual:	0.000
WIN-T Inc 2 IOT is a dedicated operational test and evaluation conducted effective and suitable and supports the decision to proceed beyond Low R.			tative articles, t	o determine wheth	her WIN-T Inc 2 is	s operationally

### **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

This investment is driven by military requirements approved and validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council. These requirements are established to meet critical warfighting capability targets in the DoD's transformational way forward.

The customer is the warfighter who will benefit from commercial and military band networking OTM capability and a mobile infrastructure to Division, Brigade, Battalion and Company. WIN-T Increment 2 also supports limited collaboration and mission planning. It enables the distribution of information via voice, data and realtime video from ground-to-ground and ground-to-satellite communications.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

WIN-T Increment 2 stakeholders are the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Network Information and Integration OASD(NII), the Army Acquisition Executive, Project Manager, WIN-T; Program Executive Officer, Command, Control and Communications (Tactical), Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics and Technology ASA(ALT), Army G3, Army G8 and Force Readiness Command (FORCECOM).

### **Funding Accomplishments**

### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

FY13 funds will be used to procure 3 Heavy Brigade Combat Teams (HBCTs), 2 IBCTs and 2 Stryker Brigade Combat Teams (SBCTs) in Full Rate Production.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14 will continue Full Rate Production. FY14 Contract Option Award.

FY15 will continue Full Rate Production. FY15 Contract Option Award.

FY16 will continue Full Rate Production, FY16 Option Award, Army Decision Review, PQT-C (JC4ISR), Follow-on Operational Test (FOT) JC4ISR.

FY17 will continue Full Rate Production.

FY18 will continue Full Rate Production.		

Page left intentionally blank

# **Investment Informaton**

<b>Investment Number</b>	1242	Acronym	WIN-T INC 3	IN-T INC 3					
Name of Investment	WARFIGHTE	R INFORMAT	TON NETWORK - TACTICA	NETWORK - TACTICAL INCREMENT 3					
Lead Agent	DEPARTMEN	T OF THE AR	HE ARMY						
Category	NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM			<b>Acquisition Category</b>	MDAP				
DoD Segment	BATTLESPAC	CE NETWORK	ZS	GIG Architecture Category	COMMUNICATIONS AND COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE				

### **Brief Summary of This Investment**

Warfighter Information Network-Tactical (WIN-T) is the Army's Program to achieve a world-class Joint expeditionary network enabled by information technologies that support the goals of the Army Campaign Plan and other Army/Joint mandates. WIN-T is the cornerstone tactical communications system whose strategy is being implemented in the 2007 to 2027 timeframe. The WIN-T program is establishing a single integrating framework creating a network of networks for the Army, subject to commander's intent and security policy. WIN-T will enable the mobile warfighter to operate on a noncontiguous battlefield environment.

WIN-T Increment 3 is key to the Army's Network Modernization program. WIN-T Increment 3 capability supports full network planning and execution while fully on-the-move (OTM). This Inc provides enhanced mobility, satellite connectivity, and connects users to implement the commander's priorities by providing the capability and tools to plan, monitor, control, prioritize, and visually display (e.g., current network status and connectivity) the various networking and internetworking components for networks that connect Secret and unclassified users from a location at the Corps, Division and Brigade at the Area of Responsibility (AOR). Inc 3 also fields to the Enhanced Signal Brigade which operates at the Corps and Above Echelons. All of the support for Corps is provided by Inc 1. Network reliability and robustness is enhanced with the addition of the air tier layer. Inc 3 introduces the aerial tier to enhance network robustness and improves throughput while on the move and at the halt. Building on previous increments, it supports full network planning and execution while on-the-move. Inc 3 also provides a larger satellite dish at the Division level to improve throughput. In addition, NetOps is improved to ensure robust communications on the move.

# Resources

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
INVESTMENT TOTAL	167,339	175,688	275,232	76,252
RDT&E RDT&E, Army				
0603782A 04-WIN-T INCREMENT 3 - FULL NETWORKING ON THE MO	167,339	175,688	275,232	76,252
RDT&E Total	167,339	175,688	275,232	76,252

## **Program Change Summary**

(Dollars in Millions)	FY 2012	FY 2013	Change FY 2012 vs FY 2013
FY 2012 President's Budget	287.808	275.192	
FY 2013 President's Budget	175.688	275.232	99.54
Change PB 2012 vs PB 2013		0.040	
'			

# Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 and the FY 2013 President's Budget Position for FY 2013 (Vertical Change).

Increase in FY13 funding from FY12 PB to FY13 PB result from the following:

RDTE: \$.040M Increase (.15%)

Change reflects a minor adjustment to the database

Explanation of funding changes between the FY 2012 and FY 2013 columns of the FY 2013 President's Budget Request (Horizontal Change).

Increase in funding from FY12 to FY13 is the result of the following:

RDTE: \$99.544M Increase (57%)

The increase is required to complete Aerial Tier development and procure prototypes for the Developmental Test (DT)/Limited User Test (LUT).

### **Program Accomplishments**

### FY 2011 Accomplishments

- \* Continued the System Development and Demonstration contract
- \* Completed incremental Software development engineering builds
- \* Revised Acquisition Program Baseline (APB) approved October 22, 2010.

### FY 2012 Planned Accomplishments

Inc 3 funds continue the Inc 3 System Development and Demonstration contract to include:

- \* Software development engineering builds
- \* Continue development of the Inc 3 mature technologies that will be inserted into Inc 2
- \* Continue development of aerial tier

- \* Providing the objective transmission subsystem
- \* Joint Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (JC4ISR) radio and associated antennas.

#### **FY 2013 Planned Accomplishments**

Inc 3 funding will continue the EMD phase of the program, Transmission Subsystem Critical Design Review, as well as preparing for the Technology Insertions into WIN-T Inc 2. Key Technology Insertions are associated with:

- \* Fielding Full NetOps
- \* JC4ISR transmission subsystem
- \* Air Tier communications payload
- \* Final waveforms for NCW/HNW
- \* TS enclave support
- \* Includes prototypes for the DT/LUT

### **FY 2014 Planned Accomplishments**

- \* Continue the EMD phase
- \* Transmission Subsystem Developmental Test (DT)/Limit User Test (LUT)

### **Management Oversight**

#### **Functional**

PEO C3T WIN-T

#### **Component**

Department of the Army

#### **Acquisition**

OSD

#### **Program Management**

LTC Robert M. Collins

WIN-T

## **Contract Information**

Name: General Dynamics
City/State: Taunton, MA
Supported Prime Contractor

**Function:** 

### Milestones/Schedules

· ·	•	` '	` ′			
<b>Planned Start Date:</b>	2007-07-02	Planned Complet	tion Date: 2012-04-1	5 Planned Live Cycle Cos	t: 287.808	(dollars in millions)
<b>Description:</b> The Cri	itical Design Revi	ew (CDR) demonst	trates that the maturity	of the design is appropriate to su	pport proceeding with	full-scale fabrication.

assembly, integration, and test. CDR determines that the technical effort is on track to complete the flight and ground system development and mission operations, meeting mission performance requirements within the identified cost and schedule constraints.

mission operations, meeting mission performance requirements within the identified cost and schedule cor

The following are objectives of a CDR:

Project Name: Transmission Subsystem (TSS) Critical Design Review (CDR)

Ensure that the "build-to" baseline contains detailed hardware and software specifications that can meet functional and performance requirements

Ensure that the design has been satisfactorily audited by production, verification, operations, and other specialty engineering organizations

Ensure that the production processes and controls are sufficient to proceed to the fabrication stage

Establish that planned Quality Assurance (QA) activities will establish perceptive verification and screening processes for producing a quality product

Verify that the final design fulfills the specifications established at the Preliminary Design Review (PDR)

Activity Name	Start Date		Completion Date		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Joint Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence,	Planned:	2010-10-15	Planned:	2012-04-15	Planned:	49.631
Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (JC4ISR) Radio Development	Projected:	2010-10-15	Projected:	2012-04-15	Projected:	49.631
Description	Actual:	2010-10-15	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
	11.	C '11 1	ъ .	(ICAICD) D 1	* 4 41 XXXXX CC 1	r 2 ,

Develop and integrate the Joint Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (JC4ISR) Radio into the WIN-T Inc 3 system.

Activity Name	Start Date	Completion Date	<b>Total Costs</b>	
Joint Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence,	Planned: 2010-10-15	Planned: 2012-04-15	Planned: 88.915	
Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (JC4ISR) Radio Antenna Development	Projected: 2010-10-15	Projected: 2012-04-15	Projected: 88.915	
Description	Actual: 2010-10-15	Actual:	Actual: 0.000	

Develop and integrate the Joint Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (JC4ISR) Radio Antenna into the WIN-T Inc 3 system.

Activity Name	<b>Start Date</b>		<b>Completion Date</b>		<b>Total Costs</b>	
Software Development	Planned:	2010-10-15	Planned:	2012-04-15	Planned:	38.296
	Projected:	2010-10-15	Projected:	2012-04-15	Projected:	38.296
Description	Actual:	2010-10-15	Actual:		Actual:	0.000
Devolop the WIN-T Inc 3 software for integration of the JC4ISR Radio and ass	sociated antennas					

# **Customers/Stakeholders**

#### **Customers for this Investment**

This investment is driven by military requirements approved and validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council. These requirements are established to meet critical warfighting capability targets in the DoD's transformational way forward. The customer is the warfighter who will benefit from commercial and military band networking OTM capability and a mobile infrastructure to Division, Brigade, Battalion and Company.

#### **Stakeholders for this Investment**

WIN-T Increment 3 stakeholders are the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Network Information and Integration OASD(NII), the Army Acquisition Executive, Project Manager, WIN-T; Program Executive Officer, Command, Control and Communications (Tactical), Assistant Secretary of the Army for Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology ASA(ALT), Army G3, Army G8 and Force Readiness Command (FORCECOM).

### **Funding Accomplishments**

#### Description of what the funds for 2013 (BY) will be used to accomplish

Inc 3 FY13 RDT&E funding will continue the EMD phase of the program, conduct Transmission Subsystem Critical Design Review (TSS CDR), as well as preparing for the Technology Insertsions into WIN-T Inc 2. Key Technology Insertsions are associated with:

- \* Fielding Full NetOps
- \* JC4ISR transmission subsystem
- \* Air Tier communications payload,
- \* Final waveforms for NCW/HNW
- \* TS enclave support, this targets objective capabilities in the JROC approved WIN-T Inc 2 CPD.

### Description of what the outyear funds (BY+1 through BY+5) will be used to accomplish

FY14 will continue EMD phase as well as conduct the Transmission Subsystem Development Test/Limited User Test (TSS DT/LUT).

FY15 will continue the EMD phase, for additional Technical Inserts and conduct Production Readiness Review, MS C and Low Rate Initial Production (LRIP) Contract Award.

FY16 will continue EMD for additional Tech Inserts and conduct DT/LUT, continue LRIP and award LRIP Option Award

FY17 will continue LRIP and Production Qualification Test - Contractor.

FY18 will continue LRIP as well as Logistics Demonstration, Production Qualification Test - Government, New Equipment Training (NET), Force Development Test and Experimentation (FDTE) and Initial Operational Test (IOT).