

Pillar 3 Disclosures 31 December 2019

Citibank Holdings Ireland Limited and its Operating Entity, Citibank Europe Plc



Table of Contents

F	orward	Looking Statements	8
1	Intro	duction	9
	1.1	Background and context	9
	1.2	Scope	9
	1.3	Pillar 3 Policy	9
	1.4	Overview of Citi Organisation Structure	10
	1.5	Basis of Consolidation & Disclosure	14
2	CEP	Independent Risk Management Objectives and Policies	15
	2.1	Risk Overview	15
	2.2	Risk Governance & Reporting	15
	2.3	Risk Profile and Risk Appetite	18
3	Cred	lit Risk	20
	3.1	Credit and Counterparty Risk Overview	20
	3.2	Credit Risk Governance and Reporting	21
	3.3	Credit and Counterparty Risk Management	21
	3.4	Credit and Counterparty Risk Measurement	22
	3.5	Credit and Counterparty Risk Mitigation	23
	3.5 3.5		24 24
	3.5		25
	3.6	Credit Risk Adjustments	26
	3.7	Definitions of Past Due and Impairment	26
4	Mark	ket Risk	28
	4.1	Market Risk Overview	28
	4.2	Market Risk Governance and Reporting	28
	4.3	Trading Book Risk Management	29
	4.4	Trading Book Risk Measurement	30
	4.5	Non-Trading Book Risk Management and Measurement	30
	4.6	Non-Trading Book Risk Measurement	31
	4.7	Market Risk Mitigation	31
5	Liqui	dity Risk	32
	5.1	Liquidity Risk Overview	32
	5.2	Liquidity Risk Governance	32
	5.3	Liquidity Risk Management and Measurement	32
6	Oper	rational Risk	34
	6.1	Operational Risk Overview	34



	6.2	Operational Risk Governance and Reporting	34
	6.3	Operational Risk Management	35
	6.4	Operational Risk Measurement	36
	6.5	Operational Risk Mitigation	36
7	Secu	ritisation	37
	7.1	Securitisation activity	37
8	Regu	llatory Framework for Disclosures	38
	8.1	Differences between Accounting and Regulatory Exposure Amounts	38
9	Capit	tal Resources and Minimum Capital Requirements	39
	9.1	Capital Resources	39
	9.2	Minimum Capital Requirement	44
	9.3	Overview of Risk-Weighted Assets	44
	9.4	Capital Buffers	46
1() Leve	rage	49
	10.1	Leverage ratio	49
	10.2	Management of Excessive Leverage Risk	49
11	1 Non-	trading Book Exposures	53
	11.1	Non-trading Book Equity Exposures	53
12	2 Quar	ntitative Disclosures on Credit Risk Profile and Credit Risk Mitigation (CRM)	54
	12.1	Credit Risk Disclosures on Off- and On-Balance Sheet exposures	54
	12.2	Credit Risk Mitigation (CRM)	75
13	3 Cred	it Risk Profile and CRM in the Standardised Approach	81
	13.1	Credit Quality Analysis	81
14	4 Mark	et Risk	85
15	5 Liqui	dity Risk	86
	15.1	LCR Disclosure	86
	15.2	Asset encumbrance	87
16	5 2019	Remuneration Statement	89
	16.1	Introduction	89
	16.2	Remuneration Governance	89
	16.3	Compensation Philosophy	90
	16.4	Design and Structure of Remuneration	91
	16.5	Link between Pay and Performance	93
	16.6	Other Remuneration Policies	96
17	7 CEP	& CHIL Board and Senior Management Disclosures	102



18 CEP	Committees	105
19 Con	flict of interest policy	114
20 Busi	ness Continuity Management	115
20.1	Governance and Oversight	115
20.2	Risk Assessment	116
20.3	Key Controls	117
Append	ix 1: CEP & CHIL Board Member Biographies	118



List of Figures & Tables

Figure 1	Ownership Structure 31 December 20191	0
Table 1:	KM1- Key Metrics for CEP 30 September 2019 & 31 December 2019	2
	KM1- Key Metrics for CHIL 30 September 2019 & 31 December 2019	
	Portfolio VaR	
Table 4:	Sensitivity of Economic Value and Net Interest Revenue	1
Table 5:	Securitisation activity as at 31 Dec 2019	7
	LI1 - Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and the mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories 31 December 2019	
Table 7:	LI2 – Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements	
Table 8:	LI3- Outline of the differences in the scopes of consolidation (entity by entity)	8
Table 9:	Own Funds CEP 31 December 2019 & 2018	0
Table 10	: Own Funds CHIL 31 December 2019 & 20184	1
Table 11	: Regulatory Capital Resources Reconciliation to Audited Financial Statements CEP 31 December 20194	2
Table 12	Regulatory Capital Resources Reconciliation to Audited Financial Statements CHIL 31 December 20194	2
Table 13	: Capital Instruments Features4	3
Table 14	: EU OV1 - Overview of RWAs 30 September & 31 December 2019 - CEP4	5
Table 15	: EU OV1 - Overview of RWAs 30 September & 31 December 2019 - CHIL4	5
Table 16	: Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer 31 December 2019 - CEP4	6
Table 17	: Amount of institution-specific countercyclical buffer 31 December 2019 - CEP4	7
Table 18	: Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer 31 December 2019 - CHIL4	7
Table 19	: Amount of institution-specific countercyclical buffer 31 December 2019 - CHIL4	7
Table 20	: Summary Reconciliation of Accounting Assets and Leverage Ratio Exposures for CEF	250
Table 21	: Summary Reconciliation of Accounting Assets and Leverage Ratio Exposures for CHII	L50
Table 22	: Leverage Ratio Common Disclosure for CHIL & CEP5	1
Table 23	: Split of On Balance Sheet Exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) for CEP5	1
Table 24	: Split of On Balance Sheet Exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) for CHIL 5	2
Table 25	: Non-Trading Book Equity Exposures 31 December 20195	3
Table 26	: CRB-B - Total and average net amount of exposures 31 December 20195	5
Table 27	: CRB-B - Total and average net amount of exposures 31 December 20185	6
Table 28	: CRB-C – Geographical breakdown of exposures 31 December 2019 5	7
Table 29	: CRB-C – Geographical breakdown of exposures 31 December 20185	9
Table 30	: CRB-D – Concentration of exposures by industry 31 December 2019 6	1
Table 31	: CRB-D - Concentration of exposures by industry 31 December 2018 6	3
Table 32	: CRB-E – Maturity of exposures 31 December 20196	5
	: CRB-E – Maturity of exposures 31 December 20186	
	: CR1-A – Credit quality of exposures by exposures class and instrument 31 December 20196	6
Table 35	CP1-A Cradit quality of exposures by exposures class and instrument 31 December	



	2018	67
Table 36:	CR1-B – Credit quality of exposures by industry or counterparty types 31 December 2019	68
Table 37:	CR1-B – Credit quality of exposures by industry or counterparty types 31 December 2018	69
Table 38:	CR1-C - Credit quality of exposures by geography 31 December 2019	70
Table 39:	CR1-C - Credit quality of exposures by geography 31 December 2018	71
Table 40:	CR1-D – Ageing of past-due exposures 31 December 2019	72
Table 41:	CR1-E – Non-performing and forborne exposures 31 December 2019	72
Table 42:	CR2-A – Changes in the stock of general and specific credit risk adjustments 31 December 2019	73
Table 43:	CR2-B – Changes in the stock of defaulted and impaired loans and debt securities 31 December 2019	
Table 44:	NPE Information on non-performing exposures and ECL by Obligor Type and Industry Type 31 December 2019	
Table 45:	NPE Information on non-performing exposures and ECL by Geographic segment 31 December 2019	74
Table 46:	CR3 - CRM techniques - Overview 31 December 2019	75
Table 47:	CR3 - CRM techniques - Overview 31 December 2018	75
Table 48:	CCR1: Analysis of CCR exposure by approach 31 December 2019	75
Table 49:	CCR1: Analysis of CCR exposure by approach 31 December 2018	76
Table 50:	CCR2 - Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) capital charge 31 December 2019	76
Table 51:	CCR2 - Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) capital charge 31 December 2018	76
Table 52:	CCR8 - Exposures to CCPs 31 December 2019	77
Table 53:	CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31	
	December 2019	78
Table 54:		
	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31	78
Table 55:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018	78 979
Table 55: Table 56:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201	78 979 879
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2019	78 979 879 79 80
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019	78 979 879 79 80
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2018 Credit Quality Assessment Scale	78 979 879 79 80 80 81
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 80 81
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2018 Credit Quality Assessment Scale Simplified Summary of Risk Weightings by Credit Quality Step CR4 - Standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects 31 December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 80 81
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2018 Credit Quality Assessment Scale	78 979 879 79 80 80 81 81
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63:	December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 80 81 81 82
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63: Table 63:	CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018. CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 80 81 81 82 82
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63: Table 63: Table 64: Table 65:	CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 80 81 81 82 82 83
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63: Table 64: Table 65: Table 65: Table 66: Table 67:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2018 Credit Quality Assessment Scale Simplified Summary of Risk Weightings by Credit Quality Step CR4 - Standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects 31 December 2019 CR5 - Standardised approach – Risk Weighted 31 December 2019 CR5 - Standardised approach - Risk Weighted 31 December 2019 CR5 - Standardised approach - Risk Weighted 31 December 2019 MR1 - Market risk under the standardised approach 31 December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 81 81 82 82 83 84 85 86
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63: Table 64: Table 65: Table 65: Table 66: Table 67:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018	78 979 879 79 80 81 81 82 82 83 84 85 86
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63: Table 63: Table 65: Table 65: Table 66: Table 67: Table 68:	December 2019 CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 201 CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2019 CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2018 Credit Quality Assessment Scale Simplified Summary of Risk Weightings by Credit Quality Step CR4 - Standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects 31 December 2019 CR5 - Standardised approach – Risk Weighted 31 December 2019 CR5 - Standardised approach - Risk Weighted 31 December 2019 CR5 - Standardised approach - Risk Weighted 31 December 2019 MR1 - Market risk under the standardised approach 31 December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 81 81 82 82 83 84 85 86 88
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63: Table 64: Table 65: Table 65: Table 66: Table 67: Table 68: Table 69:	December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 81 81 82 82 83 84 85 86 88 98
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63: Table 64: Table 65: Table 66: Table 66: Table 67: Table 68: Table 69: Table 70:	December 2019	78 979 879 79 80 81 81 82 82 83 84 85 86 88 98
Table 55: Table 56: Table 57: Table 58: Table 59: Table 60: Table 61: Table 62: Table 63: Table 65: Table 65: Table 66: Table 67: Table 68: Table 69: Table 70: Table 71:	December 2019	78 979 879 80 81 81 82 82 83 84 85 86 88 98 99



(including Citi Directorships)	103
Table 74: Memberships of the CEP Board of Directors 31 December 2019	104
Table 75: Directorships held by CHIL Board of Directors 31 December 2019	104
Table 76: Memberships of the CHIL Board 31 December 2019	104
Table 77: CEP Board Risk Committee Composition	105
Table 78: CEP Board Audit Committee Composition	106
Table 79: CEP Remuneration Committee Composition	106
Table 80: CEP Nomination Committee Composition	106
Table 81: CEP Related Party Lending Committee Composition	107
Table 82: CEP Executive Committee Composition	
Table 83: CEP Credit Committee Composition	109
Table 84: CEP Product Review Committee Composition	
Table 85: CEP Operational Risk Committee Composition	111
Table 86: CEP Operating Committee Composition	111
Table 87: Financial Crime Governance Committee	112
Table 88: CEP Asset and Liability Committee Composition	113



Forward Looking Statements

This document contains certain forward-looking statements. Citigroup cautions readers that no forward looking statement is a guarantee of future performance. Citigroup's actual results may differ materially from those included in any forward-looking statements, which are indicated by words such as "believe", "expect", "anticipate", "intend", "estimate", "may increase", "may fluctuate", and similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as "will", "should", "would", and "could".

Any forward-looking statements are based on management's current expectations and involve external risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to: levels of activity and volatility in the capital markets, global economic and business conditions, including the level of interest rates and exchange rates, the credit environment, unemployment rates, and political and regulatory developments in the U.S. and around the world, as well as the outcome of legal, regulatory and other proceedings.

For a more detailed discussion of potential risk factors, the reader is directed to Citigroup's 2019 Annual Report. Except as required by any competent regulator or applicable law, Citigroup expressly disclaims any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained in this document to reflect any change in Citigroup's expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based. The reader should, however, consult any additional disclosures that Citigroup has made or may make in documents it has filed or may file with the SEC, including Citigroup's 2019 Annual Report and CHIL & CEP's 2019 financial statements.



1 Introduction

1.1 Background and context

This document outlines the Pillar 3 disclosures for Citibank Europe plc (CEP) and Citibank Holding Ireland Limited (CHIL) (consolidated), collectively "the Companies".

The disclosures are made in accordance with the Pillar 3 requirements laid out in the EU prudential rules for banks, building societies and investment firms, as set out in Part 8 of the Capital Requirements Regulation within the Capital Requirements Directive (CRD IV) package. In addition, the Companies have implemented the European Banking Authority (EBA) final guidelines on revised Pillar 3 disclosures (EBA/GL/2016/11), issued in December 2016, which bring into force the disclosure of new quantitative tables to further enhance comparability and consistency across the industry.

The CRD IV package, which came into effect on 1 January 2014 and implements the provisions of the Basel Capital Accord in the EU, mandates a framework of capital adequacy regulation for banks and investment firms incorporating three distinct pillars:

- Pillar 1 prescribes the minimum capital requirements for such firms;
- Pillar 2 addresses the associated supervisory review process; and
- Pillar 3 specifies further public disclosure requirements in respect of their capital and risk profile.

The following disclosures have been made purely for explaining the basis on which the Companies have prepared and disclosed information about capital requirements and the management of certain risks and for no other purpose. They do not constitute any form of financial statement and must not be relied upon in making any investment or judgement on the entity.

1.2 Scope

In accordance with Pillar 3 requirements, the scope covered by the Companies' Pillar 3 disclosures include CRD IV capital requirements and resources, credit risk, market risk, operational risk, liquidity risk, leverage ratio, non-trading book exposures, securitisation activity, encumbered /unencumbered assets and remuneration disclosures. Information on the Companies' CRD IV capital ratios is also included.

Some of the areas covered are also dealt within the Companies' Annual Report 31 December 2019. In other areas, more detail is provided in these Pillar 3 disclosures. For instance, the section on capital requirements includes additional information on the amount of capital held against various risks and exposure classes, and the section on capital resources provides details on the composition of the Companies' own funds as well as a reconciliation of accounting equity to regulatory capital.

It should be noted that while some quantitative information in this document is based on financial data contained in the Companies' Annual Report 31 December 2019, other quantitative data is sourced from the Companies' prudential returns and is calculated according to regulatory requirements.

1.3 Pillar 3 Policy

In accordance with Article 431 (3) of the CRR, the Companies have adopted the EU Pillar 3 Standard, which outlines the disclosures policy requirements to support compliance with Part Eight of the CRR and associated EBA guidelines. The firm operates within a framework of internal controls and procedures for assessing the completeness of public disclosures.

The Companies Pillar 3 document is subject to a formal governance process and has been reviewed by appropriate senior management within the Finance, Risk, Treasury and HR functions. The document was reviewed by the CEP Executive Committee and the CEP Audit Committee; and was approved by the CEP & CHIL Board of Directors.



1.4 Overview of Citi Organisation Structure

Citigroup Inc. (Citi) is a global diversified financial services holding company incorporated under the laws of the state of Delaware, and whose businesses provide consumers, corporations, governments and institutions with a broad range of financial products and services, including consumer banking and credit, corporate and investment banking, securities brokerage, trade and securities services and wealth management. Citi has approximately 200 million customer accounts and does business in more than 160 countries and jurisdictions.

Citi's principal banking (depository institution) subsidiary is Citibank, N.A (CBNA)., a national banking association, with offerings encompassing consumer finance, credit cards, mortgage lending and retail banking products and services; investment banking, commercial banking, cash management, trade finance and e-commerce products and services; and private banking products and services.

Figure 1 Ownership Structure 31 December 2019



CHIL is a direct subsidiary of Citibank Overseas Holdings Bahamas Limited (COHBL), which is an indirect subsidiary of the banking entity Citibank N.A. (CBNA), a direct subsidiary of Citigroup, Inc. (Citi).

CHIL and its sole operating entity CEP is a financial services group that strives to provide its client with "best in class" services across a diverse range of products and geographies. In doing so, it aims to best serve its core target market client needs while adhering to Citi's overall mission statement and values.

CEP is the only subsidiary of CHIL, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Citigroup Inc., headquartered in Dublin, Ireland. CEP is recognised as being an integral part of the Citi network, both regionally and globally. CEP is authorised by the Central Bank of Ireland (CBI) and as a systematically important European financial institution, falls under the Single Supervisory Mechanism as overseen by the European Central Bank (ECB). CEP has a long term single "A" rating or equivalent assigned by all three primary Rating Agencies.

CEP has over 9,700 employees across 22 European jurisdictions and is now Citi's principal European banking subsidiary, providing services to Citi's clients who require or wish to transact via an EU licensed bank. The businesses covered by CEP include Markets and Securities Services, Corporate Banking, Treasury and Trade Solutions (TTS), and Private Banking.

CEP's principal activities are the provision of core banking services to Citi's Institutional Client Group (ICG) target market clients including governments, public sector, multinational corporations and their subsidiaries, large local corporates, financial institutions, and fund managers.



The principal ICG businesses are TTS, Markets and Securities Services and Banking, servicing a wide range of target market clients including Governments, Public Sector clients, Multinational Corporations and their subsidiaries, Large Local Corporates, Financial Institutions and Fund Managers.

The main ICG banking services offered to CEP's clients include trade and cash management activities, vanilla foreign exchange and interest rate products, corporate banking, and security services (fund management and custody).

Consumer and private banking products and services are also offered, principally to high net worth and ultra-high net worth customers through the UK branch of CEP.

CEP has three Citi Service Centres (CSC), which provide select middle and back office services to CEP and other Citigroup affiliates. These service centres are based in CEP's Ireland, Poland and Hungary branches, and account for around 78% of CEP's employees across the region.

CEP's income is derived principally from Fees and Commission Income rather than traditionally Net Interest Income.

Under the Single Supervisory Mechanism (SSM), CEP is designated as an Other Systemically Important Institution (O-SII) and is under direct ECB supervision. Supervision is performed by a Joint Supervisory Team (JST), comprised of both the European Central Bank (ECB) and the Central Bank of Ireland (CBI).

The table below provides an overview of CEP's and CHIL's capital, leverage and liquidity regulatory metrics during the year.



Table 1: KM1- Key Metrics for CEP 30 September 2019 & 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands	СЕР			
	Sep-19	Dec-19		
Available capital (amounts)		,		
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	8,136,304	8,885,618		
Tier 1	8,136,304	8,885,618		
Total capital	8,136,304	8,885,618		
Risk-weighted assets (amounts)				
Total risk-weighted assets (RWA)	42,074,657	39,578,604		
Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA				
Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%)	19.34%	22.45%		
Tier 1 ratio (%)	19.34%	22.45%		
Total capital ratio (%)	19.34%	22.45%		
Additional CET1 buffer requirements as a percentage	e of RWA			
Capital conservation buffer requirement (2.5% from 2	2.50%	2.50%		
Countercyclical buffer requirement (%)	0.36%	0.36%		
Bank G-SIB and/or D-SIB additional requirements (%)	0.00%	0.00%		
Total of bank CET1 specific buffer requirements (%) (r	2.86%	2.86%		
CET1 available after meeting the bank's minimum car	11.34%	14.45%		
Basel III leverage ratio				
Total Basel III leverage ratio exposure measure	80,510,567	79,456,613		
Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 2 / row 13)	10.11%	11.18%		
Liquidity Coverage Ratio				
Total HQLA	19,975,926	21,827,571		
Total net cash outflow	16,066,816	15,760,351		
LCR ratio (%)	124.33%	138.50%		
Net Stable Funding Ratio				
Total available stable funding	25,806,195	25,935,676		
Total required stable funding	23,161,393	22,458,828		
NSFR ratio (%)	111.42%	115.48%		



Table 2: KM1- Key Metrics for CHIL 30 September 2019 & 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands	CHIL		
	Sep-19	Dec-19	
Available capital (amounts)			
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	8,147,518	8,896,740	
Tier 1	8,147,518	8,896,740	
Total capital	8,147,518	8,896,740	
Risk-weighted assets (amounts)			
Total risk-weighted assets (RWA)	42,074,657	39,606,364	
Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA			
Common Equity Tier 1 ratio (%)	19.36%	22.46%	
Tier 1 ratio (%)	19.36%	22.46%	
Total capital ratio (%)	19.36%	22.46%	
Additional CET1 buffer requirements as a percentage of RWA			
Capital conservation buffer requirement (2.5% from 2019) (%)	2.50%	2.50%	
Countercyclical buffer requirement (%)	0.36%	0.36%	
Bank G-SIB and/or D-SIB additional requirements (%)	0.00%	0.00%	
Total of bank CET1 specific buffer requirements (%) (row 8 + row	2.86%	2.86%	
CET1 available after meeting the bank's minimum capital require	11.36%	14.46%	
Basel III leverage ratio			
Total Basel III leverage ratio exposure measure	80,521,376	79,476,832	
Basel III leverage ratio (%) (row 2 / row 13)	10.12%	11.19%	
Liquidity Coverage Ratio			
Total HQLA	19,975,926	21,827,571	
Total net cash outflow	16,066,816	15,760,351	
LCR ratio (%)	124.33%	138.50%	
Net Stable Funding Ratio			
Total available stable funding	25,806,195	25,934,184	
Total required stable funding	23,161,393	22,458,828	
NSFR ratio (%)	111.42%	115.47%	



1.5 Basis of Consolidation & Disclosure

CHIL prepares consolidated financial statements under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). CEP prepares standalone financial statements under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).

CHIL produces consolidated regulatory returns and CEP produces individual (solo) regulatory returns for submission to the regulator relating to capital adequacy and balance sheet information.

The financial information reported in the consolidated financial statements and consolidated regulatory returns are largely similar, other than presentation.

The disclosures in this document are reported at the consolidated level in accordance with the CRD requirements. These disclosures are updated annually in line with the accounting year end as at 31 December and are supplemented by condensed semi-annual disclosures. Unless otherwise stated, all tables are as at 31 December 2019, with prior year comparatives as at 31 December 2018.

Both CHIL and CEP's Capital Resources, Minimum Capital Requirements, Total Risk-weighted Assets and Leverage ratios have been disclosed for transparency.

The disclosures are published on the Investor Relations section of Citi's website and complement the group level materials included in the Citigroup 2019 and 2018 Annual Reports.



2 CEP Independent Risk Management Objectives and Policies

2.1 Risk Overview

To achieve its business strategy, CEP selectively takes risks.

The objective of CEP's risk management system is to ensure that the risks associated with CEP's strategy are identified, understood, quantified, mitigated, communicated and are consistent with CEP's commitment to the principle of Responsible Finance in accordance with Citi standards.

The CEP Board of Directors (Board) considers that the risk management systems in place, briefly outlined in the sections following, are adequate with regard to CEP's profile and strategy.

2.2 Risk Governance & Reporting

CEP has a comprehensive risk governance framework in place to provide oversight of CEP's monitoring and management of risks, ensuring that the risk profile of CEP is well documented and managed.

Risk governance at CEP is cascaded through risk frameworks and risk policies, which describe how CEP identifies, analyses, evaluates, manages and monitors risk. This ensures transparent lines of responsibility and accountability for the core governance processes performed by CEP.

Risk management oversight is conducted at both Board and executive level, supported by the workings of various committees. This includes the Board Risk Committee (BRC), Board Audit Committee (BAC), Remuneration Committee and Nomination Committee. It also includes the Management Committees such as the Executive Committee, Asset & Liability Committee (ALCO), Credit Committee, Operating Committee, Operational Risk Committee, Outsourcing Committee, Financial Crime Governance Committee and Product Review Committee (PRC).

Enhancements in good governance are monitored on an ongoing basis via interaction with peer institutions and benchmarking surveys, reviewing emerging guidance from regulators and supervisory bodies and reviewing Citigroup developments.

The Board has overall responsibility for CEP's risk strategy, including Risk Appetite Limits. The BRC is a sub-committee of the Board and is governed by Terms of Reference approved by the Board. The BRC has responsibility for the oversight and advice to the Board on the current risk exposures of CEP and future risk strategy. The BRC monitors risk trends and reviews the level of resourcing and capabilities required to ensure governance standards are met. The BRC oversees Independent Risk Management and provides recommendations to the Board on risk related matters. The BRC convenes at least quarterly and in 2019 met on seven occasions.

Risk Mission

CEP's risk mission is to take intelligent risk with shared responsibility, without forsaking individual accountability. The management of risk is the collective responsibility of all CEP employees.

The Board and senior management set the tone from the top and cascade accountability and responsibility for risk management throughout CEP. This ensures comprehensive risk dialogue among senior management and provides crucial leadership and guidance which enables senior management to make better risk and reward trade-off decisions.

CEP has in addition a robust and sound remuneration strategy in place, supported by effective employee compensation structures balancing strategic goals and behaviour. The CEP remuneration strategy promotes sound and effective risk management, and supports CEP's strategy, objectives and the long term interests of the organisation.



Three Lines of Defence

Risk management in CEP follows the principle of the three lines of defence model:

- Each of CEP's businesses (First Line of Defence) owns and manages the risks inherent in, or arising from, the business, and is responsible for establishing and operating controls to mitigate key risks, performing manager assessments of the design and effectiveness of internal controls, and promoting a culture of compliance and control;
- CEP's independent control functions (Second Line of Defence), comprising of Independent Risk
 Management and Independent Compliance Risk Management set standards against which the
 businesses and functions are required to manage and oversee their risks, including compliance
 with applicable laws, regulatory requirements, policies and standards of ethical conduct. These
 functions are involved in identifying, measuring, monitoring, and controlling aggregate risks, and
 are independent of front line units; and,
- CEP's Internal Audit function (Third Line of Defence) independently reviews the activities of the
 first two lines of defence. This is undertaken based on a risk-based audit plan and a methodology
 approved by the Audit Committee. Internal Audit provides objective, reliable, valued and timely
 assurance to the Board, senior management and regulators regarding the effectiveness of
 governance, risk management, and controls which mitigate current and evolving risks and enhance
 the control culture within CEP.

Independent Risk Management

In pursuit of its mission, CEP Independent Risk Management acts as a strong independent partner of the business to support effective risk management across all risks to which CEP is exposed in a manner consistent with CEP's risk appetite.

CEP Independent Risk Management is an independent function within the CEP legal vehicle. The CEP Chief Risk Officer (CRO) reports directly to both the Citi EMEA CRO and the CEP CEO. The CEP CRO has frequent, direct and independent access to the Board and the BRC. CEP Independent Risk Management maintains appropriate representation on all CEP management committees and other governance fora as appropriate. The CRO reports on the risk profile of the bank on an ongoing basis to the BRC and Board.

CEP aims to ensure that CEP Independent Risk Management employees possess the appropriate expertise, stature, authority and independence and are empowered to make decisions and escalate issues.

Enterprise Risk Governance Framework

CEP has in place comprehensive, documented risk management frameworks and policies to support the management of the material risks identifying for its activities, and ensure accountability through its three lines of defence model.

CEP's Enterprise Risk Governance Framework is an overarching risk governance framework, based on sound principles of good risk governance and management and on guidance issued by regulatory authorities. The Framework outlines the risk governance structure in CEP, the core governance processes of the bank and the roles and responsibilities.

Formalised risk management frameworks by material risk type codify the processes and practices involved in the management of risk in CEP. The purpose of these risk frameworks is to clearly set out:

• the principles of sound risk management for each material risk type;



- clear lines of authority and risk responsibility, including roles and membership of both management and risk committees, with the responsibility to monitor adherence to frameworks and policies;
- how the risk is governed under the three lines of defence approach;
- supporting policies and processes.

Core Risk Governance Processes

Appropriate processes and tools are in place to manage, measure and actively mitigate risks taken by CEP. CEP Independent Risk Management ensures that key risks are identified, managed, reported and monitored effectively by executing the following processes:

- CEP's Material Risk Identification and Assessment Process which identifies and assesses risk
 exposures, concentrations and positions, both quantitative and qualitative, identified as the most
 significant risks to CEP, and how these risks are monitored and mitigated;
- Assess and challenge the CEP 3-Year Strategic Plan and provide a report outlining the results of that challenge to the Board on an annual basis;
- Enable Board review and approval of the CEP Risk Appetite Statement on an annual basis, This articulates the amount of risk which the Board is prepared to tolerate in pursuit of its strategy;
- Adopt Policies that establish standards, risk limits, and policy adherence processes;
- Stress testing and ensuring appropriate shocks and models are used to assess CEP's material risks;
- Documenting an annual, Board-approved Risk Plan which outlines key deliverables which support
 and enhance risk management. Progress against the plan is tracked and reported to the BRC on
 an ongoing basis; and,
- The CEP branch network and reporting lines to ensure all branches are operating in line with the CEP Enterprise Risk Governance Framework.

Stress Testing

In CEP, stress testing is integrated into CEP's risk management processes and supports business decisions and processes including strategic decisions.

The stress test programme:

- Supports bottom-up and top-down stress testing, including reverse stress-testing;
- Is a flexible platform that enables modelling of a wide variety of stress tests across business lines and risk types;
- Draws data from across the organisation, as needed; and,
- Enables intervention to adjust assumptions.

Sensitivity analysis supports ongoing risk monitoring by risk teams as appropriate. It is performed at regular intervals dependent on internal and regulatory requirements.

CEP utilises scenario analyses, which are both dynamic and forward looking. Scenarios appropriately impact all material risk types and risk factors and specific vulnerabilities relevant to CEP.

Reverse stress testing is used by CEP to assess its business model vulnerabilities and is appropriate to the nature, size and complexity of its business and the risks it bears.



Risk Monitoring & Reporting

CEP Independent Risk Management complete ongoing monitoring of the risk environment which enables a comprehensive set of reports to be produced. These reports ensure Management, relevant Committees and the Board appropriately assess and understand the key risks facing CEP:

- Detailed reports on Risk exposures covering all material risks are sent to the BRC and Board at each sitting;
- Transparent, and rigorous reporting on exposures and concentrations by risk area are sent to Risk Committees; and.
- Monthly adherence to CEP RAS reports are sent to Management to ensure that CEP risk taking remains consistent with the limits set by the CEP Board

CEP uses a global Citi risk reporting system to monitor credit and market risk exposure. CEP uses both systems and processes to monitor operational risk, the output of which is consolidated to provide an operational risk profile.

Further information on the scope and nature of risk monitoring & reporting is provided in the following sections dedicated to individual risk areas Credit Risk (Chapter 3), Market Risk (Chapter 4), Liquidity Risk (Chapter 5) and Operational Risk (Chapter 6).

2.3 Risk Profile and Risk Appetite

Risk Profile

For 2019, CEP identified the following risks as being material to its business:

Material Risk	Definition
Credit Risk	Risk to earnings or capital from a borrower failing to meet the terms of any contract
Operational Risk	Risk of failed internal processes or systems, human errors or misconduct or adverse external events
Compliance Risk	Risk of loss arising from violations of, or non-conformance with, local, national, or cross-border laws, rules, or regulations, our own internal policies and procedures, or relevant standards of conduct
Liquidity Risk	Risk of inability to fund assets and meet obligations as they come due at a reasonable cost
Market Risk	Risk due to adverse movements in market rates or prices, such as interest rates and equity prices
Strategic Risk	Risk resulting from adverse business decisions, inappropriate



	business plans, ineffective business strategy execution, or the failure to respond to changes in the macro- economic environment.
Inter-Affiliate Risk	Risk of loss due to exposure to affiliated entities within Citi
Reputational Risk	Threat or danger to the good name or standing of the entity vis-à- vis customers, shareholders or other stakeholders

CEP's strategy, approved annually by the Board, is articulated with respect to target markets and clients and includes an outlook on the global economy, an overview of the evolving regulatory environment, and a view on the competitive landscape. The overall strategic objective of CEP is to generate sustainable earnings while protecting its capital and liquidity, proactively managing product positioning and driving client led innovation.

CEP's strategy is therefore focused on optimising returns within a targeted Board-approved Risk Appetite Statement to maintain its strong capital and funding position.

An overview of CEP's management of Credit Risk (Chapter 3), Market Risk (Chapter 4), Liquidity Risk (Chapter 5) and Operational Risk (Chapter 6) is provided in the following sections on these individual risk areas.

Risk Appetite

CEP has a defined Risk Appetite, aligned to business strategy. The Risk Appetite Statement formally articulates the levels and types of risk that the Board is willing to accept, or avoid, in order to achieve CEP's strategic objectives. It includes qualitative statements with associated Risk Review Thresholds, and quantitative statements with associated Risk Limits. It aims to support business growth whilst restricting any excessive accumulation of risk in CEP's risk profile.

The Risk Appetite Statement is the cornerstone in CEP's risk strategy and is core in aligning overall corporate strategy, capital allocation, and risk. It is embedded in CEP's corporate strategy and risk culture, and continuously monitored and revised, with Board approval at least annually or more frequently as required.

In line with CEP's business model, with a balance sheet that is relatively stable, diversified and of high credit quality, key prudential and risk profile metrics remain within limits set by the Board in the Risk Appetite Statement. These key risk metrics associated with the risk profile are provided in Table 1: KM1 for CEP and Table 2: KM1 for CHIL under section 1.4.



3 Credit Risk

3.1 Credit and Counterparty Risk Overview

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the potential for financial loss resulting from the failure of a borrower or counterparty to honour its financial or contractual obligations. Concentration risk, within credit risk, is the risk associated with having credit exposure concentrated within a specific client, industry, region or other category.

Credit risk in CEP arises from on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet items, mainly through exposures to large corporates, financial institutions, and governments along with inter-company affiliates, and predominantly through Wholesale Credit Risk and Available for Sale (AFS) exposure. CEP has limited retail exposure through Consumer and Private Banking.

Wholesale Credit Risk exposure is comprised of direct risk, contingent risk and clearing risk. Typical financial reporting categories that include wholesale exposures are deposits with banks, debt securities held-to-maturity, loans and off-balance sheet commitments such as unused commitments to lend and letters of credit.

Available For Sale (AFS) assets are those financial assets that are designated as available for sale or are not classified as loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments or financial assets at fair value through profit or loss.

Consumer and Private Banking is comprised of Cards & Personal Instalment Loans (PIL). The consumer PIL portfolio includes all types of loans provided to individuals – secured or unsecured, term/instalment or revolving, and direct or indirect.

Credit risk also arises from settlement and clearing activities, when CEP transfers an asset in advance of receiving its counter-value or advances funds to settle a transaction on behalf of a client.

Counterparty Risk

Counterparty risk is the risk arising from the possibility that the counterparty could default before the settlement of a transaction, without fulfilling its financial obligation.

Counterparty credit risk for CEP is small. It arises as a result of sales and trading business activities including:

- Capital Markets OTC (Over-the-Counter) Derivatives;
- Securities Financing Transactions (SFT) such as repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase transactions; and,
- Settlement Exposure.

Quantitative Credit, Credit Concentration and Counterparty Risk related disclosures made in accordance with the CRR requirement and the EBA final guidelines on revised Pillar 3 disclosures are provided in section 12.



3.2 Credit Risk Governance and Reporting

CEP manages credit risk on a day-to-day basis through a three lines of defence approach.

The first line of defence in CEP are involved in acquiring and evaluating applications for lines of credit, along with conducting regular (at least annual) assessments and evaluations of material obligors.

Responsibility for oversight and challenge of these risks sits with Independent Risk Management led by the CRO. CEP's Head Credit Risk reports to the CEP CRO. The Credit Risk Management function reports to the Head of Credit Risk and is responsible for approving credit lines and exposures in line with delegated approval authority limits set out in the CEP Credit Management Policy, and for ongoing monitoring and reporting on credit risk exposures and trends including portfolio and delinquency based monitoring and management.

The CEP Board has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that there is an appropriate credit risk management framework in place. Credit risk governance is provided by the Board, BRC, Executive Committee, Credit Risk Committee and Product Review Committee.

The Board is also responsible for articulating CEP's risk appetite for credit risk.

The Remedial Management function, which reports to the Head of Credit Risk, evaluates and determines obligors deemed non-performing in line with CEP's Remedial Management Policy. It assesses higher risk and non-performing cases on a quarterly basis for loan loss provisioning on a discounted cash flow basis, and takes the necessary remedial actions to manage clients or exposures in financial difficulty where possible and otherwise minimise losses to CEP.

CEP has a dedicated Fundamental Credit Risk function which reports to the CRO and which is responsible for providing independent assurance on credit risk by monitoring adherence to the CEP Credit Risk Management Framework, associated credit policies and applicable regulations.

Credit risk limits for CEP are governed by CEP's Risk Appetite Framework. CEP's Credit Risk Committee sets more granular level exposure and concentration limits in the context of Board Risk Appetite Statement limits.

CEP Risk Management monitor the Credit Risk profile on an ongoing basis and ensure detailed reports are sent to the Credit Risk Committee and the BRC/Board on the Credit risk portfolio which also outline adherence to agreed limits.

3.3 Credit and Counterparty Risk Management

CEP has adopted sound principles for the management of credit risk informed by regulatory guidance and Group practices. These key principles on which CEP bases its Credit Risk Management Framework are:

- CEP has an appropriate credit risk management environment;
- CEP operates sound credit granting, credit measurement, and credit monitoring processes;
- CEP monitors asset quality and maintains appropriate provisions for bad and doubtful debts;
- CEP maintains adequate controls over credit risk and appropriate disclosures; and,
- Credit risk is also comprehensively assessed from a Risk Capital and Stress Loss perspective.

Credit risk is deemed a material risk to CEP and is captured as part of CEP's approved Risk Appetite Statement.



CEP uses the global CitiRisk transaction and exposure processing system to manage credit exposure to its wholesale obligors and counterparties such as:

- Extension of Credit;
- Collateral Management; and,
- Exposure Monitoring.

Methodology Used to Assign Credit Limits

The process for approving a credit risk exposure limit is guided by:

- core credit policies;
- procedures and standards;
- experience and judgment of credit risk professionals; and,
- the amount of exposure at risk.

The process also applies to all counterparty credit risk products - OTC derivative contracts, repo-style transactions and eligible margin loans. The process includes the determination of maximum potential exposure after recognition of netting agreements and collateral as appropriate.

While internal ratings are the starting point in establishing credit assessments, a range of factors, such as quality of management and strategy, nature of industry, and regulatory environment, among others, are also taken into consideration for obligor limits and approval levels. Exposure to credit risk on derivatives is also impacted by market volatility, which may impair the ability of clients to satisfy their obligations to CEP. Credit risk analysts conduct daily monitoring versus limits and any issues are escalated to credit officers and business management as appropriate.

Stress Testing

Stress Testing is performed on expected credit losses conditional on a given macroeconomic scenario, and includes the projection of credit losses for all facilities in the credit risk portfolio and all businesses. CEP Independent Risk Management, in conjunction with the businesses, develops stress scenarios, reviews the output of periodic stress testing exercises and uses the information to make judgements as to the ongoing appropriateness of exposure levels and limits.

3.4 Credit and Counterparty Risk Measurement

Credit Risk Regulatory Capital Requirement

CEP has adopted the Standardised Approach for calculating credit risk and counterparty risk capital requirements, which is based on ratings from External Credit Assessment Institutions. Credit exposures are assigned a risk weighting based on the external credit rating of the counterparty to arrive at a risk adjusted or Risk Weighted Asset (RWA). Risk weights reduce with increasing credit quality of the obligor.

For off-balance sheet items, a Credit Conversion Factor (CRR, Art 111) is used to transform the nominal value into an exposure-at-default.

For all on-balance sheet items, the exposure value is measured as the on-balance sheet carrying, or accounting value.

For OTC Derivatives, CEP uses the Current Exposure Method (CEM) approach to measure the replacement cost within a derivative contract in the case of a counterparty default. CEM assigns to each transaction a



regulatory stipulated exposure based on the mark-to-market value and a measure of potential future exposure which is a percentage of notional exposure driven by residual maturity and the type of contract, i.e. interest rate, equities etc.

CEP leverages the Financial Collateral Comprehensive Method (CRR¹, Article 223) to determine the appropriate haircuts for liquid and marketable collateral, and in doing so, calculate a net exposure-at-default (e.g. for SFTs).

Where appropriate for SFT and OTC derivatives, netting and collateral may be recognised as credit risk mitigants provided that they meet certain eligibility criteria.

For clarity, sovereign bond holdings in AFS are risk-weighted per CRR, Art 114(2).

Internal Credit Risk Capital Assessment

Wholesale Credit Risk is assessed using a Monte Carlo simulation model that estimates defaults and economic losses during a 1-year time horizon. The model estimates a range of loss scenarios based on simulated distributions for Probabilities of Default (PD), Loss Given Defaults (LGD), facility usage/Exposure at Default (EAD) and credit rating migration loss estimates. The model also captures correlated movements in credit spreads to infer price movements on AFS holdings from downgrades. The internal risk capital assessment for credit risk also considers both Single Name and Sectorial Credit Concentration risk.

From an internal risk capital perspective, counterparty exposures that do not require Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA) treatment are included in the wholesale credit risk capital model.

3.5 Credit and Counterparty Risk Mitigation

Credit risk mitigation is of vital importance to CEP in the effective management of its counterparty and credit risk exposures. Netting agreements, collateral and other techniques have a material beneficial impact on the level of such risks borne by the organisation. CEP's credit risk mitigation processes are governed by CEP credit risk management policies.

Five types of collateral are recognized within CEP: cash, securities, financial assets, real estate and physical assets.

CEP's core principles of collateral management are:

- Documentation;
- Legal enforceability;
- · Valuation; and,
- Collateral control.

These core principles are designed to ensure that the risks associated with the value and liquidity of collateral being held in support of a facility are fully understood and documented and that they form part of the approval of the facility.

Collateral received is subject to continuous monitoring. This includes establishing the legal enforceability of the collateral and ensuring it is valued regularly.

CEP has processes and procedures in place to ensure that appropriate information is available to support the collateral process, including timely and accurate information relating to margin calls, though this process

cîti

23

¹ Capital Requirements Regulation

is required for only a very small number of customers. Key to the process is a daily credit exposure report as well as reports identifying counterparties that have not met their requirement for additional collateral to satisfy specified initial margin amount and variation margin thresholds. In addition, there is firm wide risk reporting of counterparty exposures at an individual and an aggregated level.

Quantitative collateral related disclosures in line with the CRR requirements and the EBA Guidelines (EBA/GL/2016/11) are provided in section 12.

3.5.1 Credit Risk

Generally, in consultation with legal counsel, CEP determines whether collateral documentation is legally enforceable and gives CEP the right to liquidate or take possession of collateral in a timely manner in the event of the default, insolvency, bankruptcy or other defined credit event of the obligor.

Collateral is defined as pledged, transferred, and other secured assets that achieve enforceable security interests. For all collateral, CEP will have a perfected lien and the legal ability to gain possession of collateral if required.

In addition, CEP leverages from legal guarantee(s) provided by an affiliate of the obligor that will cover commercial risk and be legally enforceable. It constitutes one of the primary sources of repayment, or one of the principal considerations in the decision to extend credit if the support provider has the financial ability and willingness to meet the obligations of the supported obligor without harming its own credit ratings.

Valuation

Each CEP business sets appropriate loan-to-value ratios, loanable values, or haircuts for each appropriate type of collateral involved, as applicable:

- Loan-to-value ratio: the ratio of a loan to the value of posted collateral;
- Loanable value: the most likely recovery value of the collateral considering all potential resolution;
- Haircut: the amount by which the market value of posted collateral must exceed the cash advance or associated exposure against the collateral.

The value of collateral is generally determined at inception and on an ongoing basis per agreed methodology. In the case of the Insurance Letters of Credit business ("ILOCS") where daily margining exists, the pricing for securities is generated on an automated daily basis based upon publically available sources. The recoverability of collateral instruments is reviewed on a regular basis during the term of a loan as part of the credit review.

Collateral Concentrations

Apart from a concentration of cash and high grade liquid bonds such as AAA Rated U.S. Treasury, OECD Government or U.S. Agency bonds, there were no other material concentrations of collateral as at 31 December 2019.

3.5.2 Counterparty Risk

CEP adopts Citi policies and procedures in respect of the management and governance of financial assets, including those relating to the securing and valuing of collateral, utilised for the purpose of mitigating the credit risk of OTC derivatives, repo-style transactions and eligible margin loans.



Credit Reserve

Prior to quoting a price to a client for a derivatives transaction, CEP checks with the relevant Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA) desk for a credit reserve charge which is offset against the profit and loss account for the transaction.

Derivative Master Netting Agreements

Counterparty credit risk from derivatives is mitigated where possible through netting agreements whereby derivative assets and liabilities with the same counterparty can be offset. Off-balance sheet netting and netting of collateral against exposure is permitted under approved circumstances. CEP policy requires all netting arrangements to be legally documented. ISDA (International Swaps and Derivative Association) master agreements are CEP's preferred manner for documenting OTC derivatives. The agreements provide the contractual framework within which dealing activities across a full range of OTC products are conducted and contractually binds both parties to apply close-out netting across all outstanding transactions covered by an agreement if either party defaults or other predetermined events occur.

CEP considers the level of legal certainty regarding enforceability of its offsetting rights under master netting agreements and credit support annexes to be an important factor in its risk management process. Industry standard legal agreements combined with internal reviews for legal enforceability are used to achieve a perfected security interest in the collateral.

Primary Types of Collateral

Where CEP uses margining, cash collateral and security collateral in the form of G10 (Group of Ten) government debt securities are generally posted to secure the net open exposure of OTC derivative transactions, at a counterparty level, whereby the receiving party is free to co-mingle or re-hypothecate such collateral in the ordinary course of business. Non-standard collateral, such as corporate bonds, municipal bonds, U.S. agency securities and mortgage-backed securities, may also be pledged as collateral for OTC derivative transactions. Security collateral posted to open and maintain a master netting agreement with a counterparty in the form of cash and securities may from time to time be segregated in an account at a third-party custodian pursuant to a tri-party account control agreement.

Valuation

Collateral valuations are performed daily for SFTs and OTC derivatives. Collateral haircuts may be applied in the form of instrument margins and foreign exchange margins where appropriate. With regards to instrument margins, the level of haircut is driven by asset type and duration to maturity whereas a foreign exchange margin arises when there is a currency mismatch between the credit exposure and the collateral. CEP has sound and well managed systems and procedures for requesting and promptly receiving additional collateral for transactions whose terms require maintenance of collateral values at specified thresholds as documented in the respective legal agreements.

3.5.3 Wrong Way Risk and Rating Downgrades

Wrong Way Risk

An integral aspect of portfolio management is overseeing concentrations. Portfolio management is further complicated when the assumption of independence between potential exposure and potential default proves not to be true. The interdependence between the exposure and any underlying collateral can exacerbate and magnify the speed in which a portfolio deteriorates. For this reason, a best practice of portfolio management includes an assessment of correlated or "wrong way risks".

For CEP, Insurance Letter of Credit (ILOC) products and SFTs (reverse repos & securities borrowing), and OTC derivatives may incur general wrong way risk. For ILOC facilities, obligors are not permitted to post their own security as collateral, and therefore specific wrong way risk is not applicable. For SFT



counterparties, national central banks for example, are allowed to post own collateral, which incurs specific wrong way risk.

Should general and specific wrong way risk arise, where there is a material correlation between the credit quality of the counterparty and the value of the collateral, or any significant degree of dependence between the risk to the counterparty and that of the collateral, then this aspect of accounting for, managing, and reflecting both general and specific wrong way risks could be reflected through the definition of acceptable collateral, which ensures that the quality and liquidity value of the collateral received is in excess of the credit extended, as well as identification and quantification metrics to ensure these risks are managed and reflected.

Other aspects of wrong way risk are monitored by credit and other analysis, such as the use of stress tests conducted on at least a bi-annual basis for the ILOC product.

General wrong way risk will be applied for pledged securities within the ILOC portfolio with the collateral comprising of securities and cash.

General wrong way risk for SFTs/OTC trades will be applied to transactions where the underlying and counterparty are from institutions or governments domiciled in the same country (positive correlation with general market factors).

3.6 Credit Risk Adjustments

From 1 January 2018, the IFRS 9 impairment standard applies to any debt instruments measured at amortised cost or at fair value through other comprehensive income and also to off balance sheet loan commitments and financial guarantees. The guiding principle of the expected credit loss (ECL) model is to reflect the general pattern of deterioration or improvement in the credit quality of financial instruments.

The amount of ECLs recognised as a loss allowance or provision depends on the extent of credit deterioration since initial recognition of the asset. The measurement of an ECL is primarily determined by an assessment of the financial asset's probability of default (PD), loss given default (LGD) and exposure at default (EAD) where the cash shortfalls are discounted to the reporting date.

The ECL model is a three-Stage model under which financial assets are classified in 3 stages depending on the extent of credit deterioration. Stage 1 includes assets with no significant increase in credit risk (SICR) since initial recognition. ECL is estimated over the next 12 months of the asset; Stage 2 includes assets that have experienced SICR since initial recognition, but the exposure is not yet defaulted. ECL is estimated over the lifetime; and Stage 3 includes assets deemed to be credit-impaired for which a credit loss has already been suffered. ECL is estimated over the lifetime.

For a detailed description of CEP's accounting policies and risk management practices, please refer to Note 1J, "Impairment of financial assets" in the CEP Annual Report and Financial Statements 2019.

3.7 Definitions of Past Due and Impairment

Definitions of past due and impairment

Exposures are considered to be past due if material contractually agreed payments of principal, interest or fee has not been paid at the date it was due.

For overdrafts, days past due commence once an obligor has breached an advised limit, has been advised a limit smaller than current outstandings, or has drawn credit without authorisation and the underlying amount is material. An advised limit comprises any credit limit determined by CEP and about which the obligor has been informed.



In line with the ECB Regulation (EU) 2018/1845, CEP assesses an amount to be material for past due purposes if the amount past due is equal to or above €500 or represents an amount greater than 1% of the total amount of all on-balance sheet exposures to the obligor excluding equity exposures. CEP recognises exposures as being past due in accordance with section 4 of the EBA Guidelines on the application of the definition of default and Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/171 on the materiality threshold for credit obligations past due. An exposure can only be past due if there is a legal obligation to make a payment and the payment is compulsory. In the event there is no legal obligation or payment is not compulsory, non-payment does not constitute a breach. Once the legal obligation for a mandatory payment has been established, the counting of days past due starts as soon as any amount of principal, interest or fee has not been paid at the date when it was due.

In line with the default definition under Article 178 of the Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR), exposures which are 90 days past due are treated as defaulted, and classified Stage 3 from an IFRS9 perspective.

Financial assets are deemed to be credit-impaired when a credit loss event has occurred. Credit-impaired assets are classified Stage 3 and in default. A loss allowance for financial assets with material exposure is determined through an individual impairment assessment. Material exposures are aggregate Outstanding and Unused Commitments (OSUC) above \$10million in Institutional Clients Group (ICG); \$2.5million in Citi Commercial Banking (CCB) and \$500,000 in Citi Private Bank (CPB).

A loss allowance is determined using the IFRS9 ECL Model for financial assets which do not have material exposures. Interest revenue is calculated based on the carrying amount of the asset, net of the loss allowance, rather than on its gross carrying amount.

Further information can be found in section 12.



4 Market Risk

4.1 Market Risk Overview

Market risk is the risk to earnings or capital from adverse changes in market factors, such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, credit spreads or equity prices. CEP is exposed to market risk through both its trading book and non-trading book activities.

The trading portfolio comprises positions held with trading intent, where the business looks for short-term price differences between buying and selling prices. CEP is currently not a material trading entity or broker dealer business and as such these market risk exposures are small relative to the size of the balance sheet. In addition non-linear risk in CEP is managed according to a back to back model where market risk is transferred to a Citi affiliate.

The non-trading portfolio primarily comprises loans held at amortised cost, deposits, available for sale (AFS) securities and held to maturity portfolios. The principal risk on the non-trading portfolio is Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB).

4.2 Market Risk Governance and Reporting

CEP manages market risk including IRRBB on a day-to-day basis through a three lines of defence approach.

The Markets business forms the first line of defence for market risk, with CEP's Head of Markets having primary responsibility for trading related risk in CEP, whilst CEP's Corporate Treasury forms the first line of defence for all IRRBB in CEP.

Responsibility for oversight and challenge of these risks sits with Independent Risk Management led by the CRO. CEP's Head of Market and Liquidity Risk reports directly to the CEP CRO. The Market Risk Management function reports to the Head of Market and Liquidity Risk and is responsible for the independent assessment of market risk in CEP.

The CEP Board has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that there is an appropriate market risk management framework in place, including in respect of IRRBB. Market risk governance is provided by the Board, BRC, Executive Committee, ALCO, Market Risk Sub-Committee and Product Review Committee. The Board is also responsible for articulating CEP's risk appetite for market risk.

Market risk limits for CEP are governed by CEP's Risk Appetite Framework. CEP's ALCO sets more granular level VaR, sensitivity and other limits in the context of Board Risk Appetite Statement limits. In the case of the trading book, additional limits and triggers are then set for individual Markets businesses and trading desks. Triggers are an important mechanism to signal increased risk taking and if exceeded they prompt a discussion between CEP Independent Risk Management and front line businesses.

Trading book market risk in CEP is governed by the CEP Market Risk Management Framework and the Citi Mark-To-Market Risk Policy. Non-trading book market risk in CEP is also governed by the CEP Market Risk Management Framework, and by the Citi Market Risk Management for Accrual Portfolios Policy.

CEP Risk Management monitor the Market risk profile on an ongoing basis and ensure detailed reports are sent to the Market Risk Sub-Committee and the BRC/Board on the Trading book and Non-trading book which also outline adherence to agreed limits.



4.3 Trading Book Risk Management

Market risk in trading portfolios is monitored by CEP using a series of measures, including:

- Factor Sensitivities;
- VaR; and,
- Stress Testing.

Factor sensitivities represent the change in the value of a position for a defined change in a market risk factor, such as a change in the value of a bond for a one-basis-point change in interest rates. Independent Risk Management ensure that factor sensitivities are calculated, monitored and, in most cases, limited, for all relevant risks taken in a trading portfolio.

Value at Risk (VaR) estimates the potential decline in the value of a position or a portfolio under normal market conditions at a 99% confidence level over a one-day time period. Citi's VaR methodology, adopted by CEP, incorporates the factor sensitivities of the trading portfolio with the volatilities and correlations of those factors. The VaR model is based on the volatilities of, and correlations between, a comprehensive set of market risk factors, including factors that track the specific issuer risk in debt and equity securities. The variance/covariance matrix is calibrated using three years of market data, with volatilities adjusted to capture fat tail effects at a 99% confidence level over a one-day period. Market variables simulated from the matrix by a Monte Carlo methodology are applied to factor sensitivities to generate a forecast distribution of one-day profit or loss, from which the VaR can be computed. The factor sensitivities are designed to capture all material market risks on each trading asset, including the non-linear risks associated with derivative portfolios.

Stress testing is performed on trading portfolios on a weekly basis to estimate the impact of extreme market movements. It is performed on both individual trading portfolios and the overall portfolios and businesses. Independent Risk Management, in conjunction with the businesses, develops stress scenarios, reviews the output of weekly and other periodic stress testing exercises and uses the information to make judgements as to the ongoing appropriateness of exposure levels and limits.

CEP employs top-down systemic stresses to monitor risks in its portfolio. Systemic stresses are designed to quantify the potential impact of extreme market movements and are constructed using both historical periods of market stress and projections of adverse economic scenarios.

CEP maintains the necessary systems, controls and documentation to demonstrate appropriate standards in respect of valuation, reporting, reserving and valuation adjustments.

CEP Independent Risk Management monitors limit utilisation on a daily basis and in addition limit utilisation is reviewed by the ALCO and the Market Risk Sub-Committee. Additional controls on trading book activity include permitted product lists and a new product approval process.

The highest, lowest, mean and year end level of the daily VaR measure during 2019 and 2018 were as follows:

Table 3: Portfolio VaR

EUR Thousands	2019				20	18		
	Highest	Lowest	Mean	Year End	Highest	Lowest	Mean	Year End
Portfolio VaR	2,374	1,289	1,823	2,190	2,168	1,118	1,524	1,291



4.4 Trading Book Risk Measurement

Trading Book Regulatory Capital

CEP has adopted the standardised approach to calculate its market risk capital requirement, capturing specific risk, general market risk and foreign exchange position risk. Specific risk and general market risk are calculated on trading book exposures and foreign exchange risk is calculated on both trading and banking book exposures.

CEP also applies the standardised approach to calculate its credit valuation adjustment capital requirement (CRR, Art 384), and incorporates an exemption for inter-affiliate exposures within that charge, pursuant to CRR, Art 382(4)(b).

Quantitative disclosures on market risk capital requirements made in accordance with the CRR requirement and the EBA final guidelines on revised Pillar 3 disclosures are provided in section 14.

Internal Trading Book Risk Capital Assessment

CEP uses the Citi iVAST (Integrated VaR and Stress Testing) model aligned to the Global Citi risk capital methodology to determine economic risk capital for the trading book. The model combines a scenario-based approach (stress P&Ls) with a simulation-based approach (VaR P&L) to calculate risk capital over a one year time horizon at a 99.97% confidence level.

4.5 Non-Trading Book Risk Management and Measurement

Positions in the Non-Trading Book arise primarily from customer flows. As noted above the main products include loans held at amortised cost, deposits and available for sale (AFS) securities. The risks arising through CEP's non-traded portfolios are estimated using a common set of standards that define, measure, limit and report the market risk. As previously noted the principal risk in CEP's non-trading book is interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB). CEP uses a cashflow-based approach for the measurement, management and monitoring of IRRBB in line with industry best practice.

CEP's measure of IRRBB from an earnings perspective is Interest Rate Exposure (IRE). IRE measures the potential pre-tax impact on NIR for non-trading book positions due to defined shifts in interest rates over a 12 month period. Net Interest revenue (NIR) is the difference between the yield earned on the non-trading book portfolio assets (including customer loans) and the rate paid on the liabilities (including customer deposits or company borrowings).

In addition CEP measures Economic Value Sensitivity (EVS) for its non-trading book. EVS captures the impact of interest rate changes on CEP's Economic Value of Equity (EVE) and is calculated by discounting all interest rate sensitive instruments on the balance sheet using a base and stressed interest rate curve and calculating the difference. Runoff assumptions for deposit balances, which are derived using statistical analysis of historical customer information, are applied to the balance sheet to derive the lifetime balances of liabilities. Prepayment risk is not a feature of the CEP balance sheet.

The following table shows the IRE and EVS measure as at 31 December 2019 and 31 December 2018 assuming parallel upward and downward shifts of interest rates by 100 bps. A positive IRE/EVS indicates a potential increase in earning/economic value while a negative IRE/EVS indicates a potential decline in earning/economic value. For the downward shift of 100 bp, the IRE/EVS measurements below assume an interest rate floor of zero. This has been enhanced in early 2020 and exposure in the down shock is now measured relative to an interest rate floor of -200 bps. IRE and EVS are calculated on a monthly basis.



Table 4: Sensitivity of Economic Value and Net Interest Revenue

EUR Thousands	Economics Value Sensitivity						
	December 2019		Decemb	er 2018			
	+100bps	-100bps	+100bps	-100bps			
EUR	209,432	3,552	259,006	7,351			
USD	65,043	(62,854)	40,889	(46,597)			
GBP	5,466	(7,838)	16,596	(25,127)			
CZK	11,995	(13,313)	9,138	(10,031)			
Total	307,670	(79,356)	352,577	(90,134)			

EUR Thousands	Interest Rate Exposure			
	December 2019		Decemb	er 2018
	+100bps	-100bps	+100bps	-100bps
EUR	105,483	(1,099)	109,903	(1,321)
USD	19,889	(19,184)	42,551	(42,939)
GBP	16,548	(13,612)	20,741	(28,458)
CZK	8,823	(8,835)	5,658	(5,671)
Total	153,060	(48,229)	179,589	(77,994)

Prior year comparatives have been restated in line with final regulatory submissions.

Stress testing is performed for IRRBB on a monthly basis. Systemic stresses are designed to quantify the potential impact of extreme market movements and are constructed using both historical periods of market stress and projections of adverse economic scenarios.

4.6 Non-Trading Book Risk Measurement

Non Trading Book Risk Capital Assessment

CEP calculates risk capital based on an interest rate simulation for a one year holding period. The model essentially covers all non-traded positions related to interest rate risks in compliance with the Citigroup Market Risk Management for Accrual Portfolio Policy.

The model generates 200,000 P&L scenarios, and Risk Capital is estimated as the 99.97% percentile of the one-year P&L distribution.

4.7 Market Risk Mitigation

As outlined in the previous chapters Market Risk in the trading book is managed within agreed risk limits with ongoing monitoring and reporting processes in place.

Customer derivative activity in CEP is managed in line with a back to back hedging model where the market risk is transferred to a Citi affiliate.

The principal risk on the non-trading portfolio is Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB) which is mitigated through the purchase and sale of securities.



5 Liquidity Risk

5.1 Liquidity Risk Overview

CEP defines liquidity risk as the risk that it will not be able to meet efficiently both expected and unexpected current and future cash flow and collateral needs without adversely affecting either daily operations or its financial condition. CEP recognises liquidity risk as a material risk.

The CEP Liquidity Risk Management Framework and accompanying CEP liquidity policy, establish the standards for defining, measuring, limiting and reporting liquidity risk. This is in order to ensure the transparency and comparability of liquidity risk taking activities and the establishment of an appropriate risk appetite. In addition, CEP ensures at all times, it adheres to external Regulatory requirements and guidelines in relation to liquidity, including the LCR Delegated Act established through CRDIV.

5.2 Liquidity Risk Governance

CEP manages Liquidity risk on a day-to-day basis through a three lines of defense approach.

Corporate Treasury form the first line of defence in CEP and own the responsibility for managing liquidity risks. CEP Corporate Treasury, are responsible for managing CEP's liquidity on a day to day basis and ensuring liquidity management strategies and processes adhere to regulatory requirements and CEP's Liquidity Risk Management Framework and Policy. Review, challenge and oversight is provided by Independent Risk and Internal Audit. The CEP Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO) is the primary committee for liquidity management, which reports to the Executive Committee (EXCO). Review, challenge and oversight is also provided by the CEP Board and the CEP Board Risk Committee. Ultimate responsibility for liquidity risk management in CEP rests with the Board.

Responsibility for oversight and challenge of these risks sits with the second line of defence; Independent Risk Management led by the CRO. CEP's Head Market & Liquidity Risk reports to the CEP CRO. The Market & Liquidity Risk Management function reports to the Head of Market & Liquidity Risk and are responsible for overseeing and challenging the effectiveness of controls and management of liquidity risk in CEP. The review of both first and second line activity is undertaken by the Internal Audit function, who represent the third line of defence.

The CEP Board has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that there is an appropriate liquidity risk management framework in place. Liquidity risk governance is provided by the Board, BRC, Executive Committee, Operational Risk Committee and Product Review Committee.

The Board is also responsible for articulating CEP's risk appetite for liquidity risk. Liquidity risk limits for CEP are governed by CEP's Risk Appetite Framework. The ALCO is responsible for maintaining oversight on Liquidity Risks and also over the adequacy and effectiveness of the Liquidity Risk Management Framework and associated policies. CEP Risk Management monitor the Liquidity risk profile on an ongoing basis and ensure detailed reports are sent to the ALCO and the BRC/Board on the Liquidity risk profile which also outline adherence to agreed limits.

As part of the ILAAP, a Liquidity Adequacy Statement is signed by the Board. In April 2020 the Board concluded that in the context of CEP's strategy, business model, governance and risk management framework, CEP's current and forecasted liquidity position are adequate and capable of withstanding a severe but plausible stress scenario under both Economic and Normative perspectives.

5.3 Liquidity Risk Management and Measurement

A significant amount of CEP's liquidity is sourced from operational deposits from third-party clients, CEP's own equity, as well as intercompany funding. As at end of 2019, approximately 40% of the assets on CEP



Balance Sheet of €55.2Bn were deemed to be high quality and liquid (e.g. cash at central bank). The holding of a significant buffer of high quality liquid assets is the primary mitigation of the liquidity risks faced by CEP.

Liquidity risk is measured, managed and mitigated in CEP by using metrics for monitoring, limiting, and reserving against adverse scenarios or conditions in relation to liquidity risks. The framework employed by CEP for managing liquidity risk measures the potential impacts of these liquidity risks and establishes a target for reserve sufficiency across these risks. Further, CEP employs a suite of limits to manage and appropriately restrict liquidity risks on the CEP balance sheet.

As part of the ILAAP (Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process) and FLP (Funding Liquidity Plan), CEP prepares a detailed plan of its liquidity position, which also considers a forecast of future business activities over the next three-year period. Through these processes, CEP identifies strategic liquidity issues, establishes the parameters for identifying, measuring, monitoring and limiting liquidity risk, and sets forth key assumptions for liquidity risk management. Liquidity stress testing results assist in deriving the liquidity risk appetite, which is approved by the CEP Board. The liquidity risk appetite forms the basis for legal entity and business liquidity limits. Assumptions used to develop stress testing metrics, which define the risk appetite and the liquidity buffer required to sufficiently mitigate liquidity risks, are reviewed and updated periodically through the internal governance framework including the ALCO.

The LCR Delegated Act is calculated and reported on a consolidated basis and in significant currencies. In accordance with the HQLA Operational Requirements in the LCR Delegated Act, CEP ensures that the currency denomination of its liquid assets are consistent with the distribution by significant currency of its net liquidity outflows. Implemented as part of the CEP HQLA Procedure, currency limits are monitored daily at a consolidated level.

In the ordinary course of business, CEP enters into various types of derivative transactions, including bilateral transactions that are over-the-counter (OTC) and transactions settled via exchanges with central counterparties. CEP maintains liquidity reserves to counter potential liquidity outflows from derivatives activities under various stress scenarios.

CEP is a direct participant in Settlement Systems where it offers General Clearing Member (GCM) services across EMEA and Settlement Agent services in multiple European markets through CEP's branches and the centralised Target 2 Securities clearing platform. To support direct clearing activity CEP must post cash and securities collateral in order to access credit lines and fund payment obligations. To ensure there is sufficient liquidity to meet CEP's intraday liquidity needs, any cash amount regularly used for intraday payments and any collateral pledged for intraday credit are reported as encumbered for CEP's regulatory liquidity reporting. The Intraday and Collateral Management Sub-Committee of ALCO serves as the supporting committee for the monitor and review of intraday and collateral related.

The liquidity position for CEP is calculated and reported to senior management and reviewed by the CEP Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO), CEP Risk Committee and CEP Board of Directors. CEP's Board reviews and approves the Liquidity Risk Management Policy and the Internal Liquidity Adequacy Assessment Process (ILAAP), the Liquidity Risk Management Framework, Funding and Liquidity Plan, Contingency Funding Plan.

To provide for resilience under stress, CEP holds a buffer of High Quality Liquid Assets (HQLA), which is comprised of cash (held at central banks), as well as high quality securities, mainly EU and US government bonds. As at month-end December 2019, this HQLA, as per CRDIV eligibility criteria, equated to €21.8 billion (this HQLA split between cash of €14 billion and bonds of €7.8 billion).

CEP's CRD IV LCR was 138.5% as of 31 December 2019 with a surplus of €6.1bn above the minimum 100% requirement; the average LCR DA for CEP in 2019 was 126%.



6 Operational Risk

6.1 Operational Risk Overview

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, systems or human factors, or from external events, and includes the reputation and franchise risk associated with business practices or market conduct.

Operational Risk Management (ORM), operating within the second line of defence, proactively assists the businesses, operations, technology and other functions in enhancing the effectiveness of controls and managing operational risks across products, business lines and regions. Furthermore, operational risks are considered as new products and business activities are developed and processes are designed, modified or sourced through alternative means. The objective is to keep operational risk at appropriate levels relative to the characteristics of CEP businesses, the markets in which it operates, its capital and liquidity, and the competitive, economic and regulatory environment.

The following sub-categories are considered the Key Operational Risks for CEP:

- Processing Risk: (Inc. Execution & Integration)
- Human Capital Risk
- Data Management Risk
- Fraud Risk (Internal/External)
- Third Party Risk
- Information Technology Risk
- Information Security and Communication Risk
- Reporting Risk: (Inc. Regulatory Change)
- Model Risk
- Governance and Risk Oversight
- Physical Damage Risk
- Markets Integrity Conduct Risk
- Money Laundering Risk
- Sanctions Risk
- Bribery Risk
- Customer or Client Conduct Risk

6.2 Operational Risk Governance and Reporting

CEP manages operational risk on a day-to-day basis through a three lines of defence approach.

Business and functions form the first line of defence in CEP and own the responsibility for managing operational risks and controls in their area.

Responsibility for oversight and challenge of these risks sits with Independent Risk Management (second line of defence) led by the CRO. CEP's Head of Operational Risk reports to the CEP CRO. The Operational Risk Management function reports to the Head of Operational Risk and are responsible for overseeing and challenging the effectiveness of controls and management of operational risk in CEP.

CEP's Internal Audit function (third line of defence) independently reviews the activities of the first two lines of defence.

The CEP Board has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that there is an appropriate operational risk management framework in place. Operational risk governance is provided by the Board, BRC, Executive Committee, Operational Risk Committee and Product Review Committee.

The Board is also responsible for articulating CEP's risk appetite for operational risk.



Operational risk limits for CEP are governed by CEP's Risk Appetite Framework. The Operational Risk Committee (ORC) is responsible for maintaining oversight on Key Operational Risks and also over the adequacy and effectiveness of the Operational Risk Management Framework and associated policies, to anticipate and mitigate operational risk for CEP and ensure consistent implementation across CEP and its branch operations.

CEP Risk Management monitor the Operational risk profile on an ongoing basis and ensure detailed reports are sent to the Operational Risk Committee and the BRC/Board on the Operational risk profile which also outline adherence to agreed limits.

6.3 Operational Risk Management

Citi maintains a system of policies to anticipate, mitigate and control operational risk. Furthermore, CEP has established an Operational Risk Management Framework to monitor, assess and communicate operational risk and the overall effectiveness of the internal control environment. This framework is consistent with Citi's Three Lines of Defence approach to risk management.

The CEP ORM Framework establishes minimum standards for consistent identification, measurement, monitoring, reporting, and management of operational risk across CEP. Operational risk management proactively assists the businesses, operations, technology and other functions in enhancing the effectiveness of controls and managing operational risks. This is achieved through the application of various components of the Operational Risk Management Framework:

- Annual Risk Assessment in respect of internal controls within the entity;
- Capture of Operational Risk Event Data to support advanced capital modelling and management;
- Formal Assurance Programme in respect of the design and operating effectiveness of CEP's key internal controls and systems deployed across the business;
- Issue/Corrective Action Plans in respect of control effectiveness;
- CEP Management Control Assessment (MCA), a key component of the Business Environment and Internal Control Factors (BEICFs) required under Basel Capital Standards:
- Operational Risk Scenario Analysis to identify and quantify emerging operational risks.

The process established by the ORM Framework is expected to lead to effective anticipation and mitigation of operational risk and improved operational risk loss experience and includes the following steps:

- Identify and assess Key Operational Risks;
- Design controls to mitigate identified risks;
- Establish Key Risk Indicators (KRIs);
- Implement a process for early problem recognition and timely escalation; and,
- Produce comprehensive operational risk reporting.



6.4 Operational Risk Measurement

Operational Risk Regulatory Capital Requirement

CEP has adopted the Standardised Approach for calculating CEP's operational risk capital requirement. Under this approach, CEP's business activities are divided into business lines as prescribed in the CRR and a beta factor (12%, 15% or 18%) is applied to a 3-year rolling average of gross revenues. CEP uses audited financial statements as the basis for the input of the calculation.

CEP's Pillar 1 operational risk capital requirement is €320m as at 31 December 2019.

For CEP's assessment of its Pillar 2 internal risk capital requirement for operational risk a hybrid approach was used taking into account stress losses calculated in 1/50 confidence level and severe idiosyncratic scenarios. Pillar 2 is calculated to determine whether Pillar 1 is adequate, or if add-ons are required.

6.5 Operational Risk Mitigation

In addition to the robust suite of operational risk frameworks, policies and processes as outlined above, CEP also mitigate some potential operational risk events through appropriate insurance arrangements e.g. building insurance for physical damage events.



7 Securitisation

7.1 Securitisation activity

CEP had two securitisation positions as at 31 December 2019.

CEP acted as arranger and lead manager on these securitisations. As a means of compensation for the role, CEP received the positions in the form of S-class certificates. These positions are deemed traditional securitisations in the banking book and are subject to the new securitisation framework for capital requirements as outlined in the CRR amended Regulation on Securitisation.

CEP did not originate the securitisations, but is an investor in these positions. There are no re-securitisation exposures and no assets awaiting securitisation. In addition, there was no instance of CEP acting as a sponsor for third party securitisation deals. Additionally, there are no off balance sheet securitisation exposures.

CEP has adopted the new hierarchy of methods under the CRR amended Regulation and in line with this, the securitisation positions are treated under the SEC-SA method to calculate risk-weighted exposure amounts. The exposure amount, risk weighted assets and capital requirement applied to the positions held at 31 December 2019 are set out in the below table:

Table 5: Securitisation activity as at 31 Dec 2019

EUR Thousands		2019					
	Risk weighting	Exposure	RWAs	Capital Resources Requirement			
On Balance Sheet	At 24.5%	4,066	996	80			
	At 20.3%	676	137	11			

From an Accounting Policy perspective, CEP's holdings of the S-class certificates in both structures are viewed as trading assets under IFRS, because some of the valuation inputs to calculate the value of the S-class certificates are unobservable (customer prepayment rates). The S-class certificates are classified as a level 3 asset and the day 1 P&L is amortised over the life of the deal.



8 Regulatory Framework for Disclosures

This section enables users to compare the scope of accounting consolidation and the scope of regulatory consolidation and the allocation of the regulatory scope of consolidation into the different risk frameworks laid out in Part Three of the CRR.

8.1 Differences between Accounting and Regulatory Exposure Amounts

Table 6: LI1 - Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and the mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories 31 December 2019

There are no material differences between the accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation.

EUR Thousands			Carrying values of items					
	Carrying values as reported in published financial statements	Carrying values under scope of regulatory consolidation	Subject to the credit risk framework	Subject to the CCR framework	Subject to the securitisation framework	Subject to the market risk framework	Not subject to capital requirements or subject to deduction from	
Assets								
Cash and balances at central banks	17,210,535	17,210,535	17,210,535	-	-	-	-	
Items in the course of collection from other banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Trading portfolio assets	998,035	998,035	-	-	4,742	993,293	-	
Financial assets designated at fair value	97,800	97,800	-	-	-	97,800	-	
Derivative financial instruments	2,867,175	2,867,175	-	-	-	2,867,175	-	
Loans and advances to banks	12,312,624	12,312,624	12,312,624	-	-	-	-	
Loans and advances to customers	15,181,285	15,181,285	15,181,285	-	-	-	-	
Reverse repurchase agreements and other similar secured lending	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Available-for-sale financial investments	3,313,826	3,313,826	-	-	-	3,313,826	-	
Other	3,254,355	3,254,355	990,926	-	-	-	2,263,428	
Total assets	55,235,635	55,235,635	-	-	-	-	-	
Liabilities								
Deposits from banks	13,513,294	13,513,294	-	-	-	-	13,513,294	
Items in the course of collection due to other banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Customer accounts	24,951,118	24,951,118	-	-	-	-	24,951,118	
Repurchase agreements and other similar secured borrowings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Trading portfolio liabilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Financial liabilities designated at fair value	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Derivative financial instruments	2,888,770	2,888,770	-	-	-	2,888,770	-	
Other	4,692,594	4,692,594	-	-	-	-	4,692,594	
Total liabilities	46,045,776	46,045,776	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 7: LI2 – Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements

Template EU LI2 is not provided because there are no differences between the regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements.

Table 8: LI3- Outline of the differences in the scopes of consolidation (entity by entity)

None of the entire	Method of accounting	Me	hod of regulatory consolidation			Description of the entity
Name of the entity	consolidation			Neither consolidated nor Deduct deducted		
Citibank Europe plc	Solo		Х			Credit institution
Citibank Holdings Ireland Limited	Full consolidation	. X		-		Holding Company



9 Capital Resources and Minimum Capital Requirements

9.1 Capital Resources

The CRD requires that CHIL and CEP comply with minimum capital standards and maintain a prescribed excess of total capital resources over Pillar I capital requirement. Capital resources are measured and reported in accordance with the CRD.

CHIL and CEP's regulatory capital resources comprise of the following distinct elements:

- Common Equity Tier 1 Capital, which includes ordinary share capital, share premium, retained earnings and capital reserves;
- Deductions from capital include:
 - Intangible assets, including goodwill;
 - Prudent valuation;
 - Deferred tax relying on future profitability; and,
 - Significant investments.

Table 9 and 10 shows the regulatory capital resources of CHIL and CEP as at 31 December 2019 and 31 December 2018. Tables 11 and 12 show the reconciliation between the balance sheet values and the regulatory capital values of the items included in CHIL and CEP's Capital Resources as at 31 December 2019. Further details on the composition of CHIL and CEP's Capital resources are shown in Table 13.



Table 9: Own Funds CEP 31 December 2019 & 2018

EUR thousands	CE	P
	31-Dec-19	31-Dec-18
Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	2,464,130	2,419,173
of which: Share Capital	9,375	9,198
of which: Share Premium	1,747,149	1,714,190
of which: Capital Reserves	735,880	721,998
of which: Other Reserves	(28,274)	(26,213)
Retained earnings	6,725,728	5,650,655
Accumulated other comprehensive income (and any other reserves)	-	=
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	9,189,858	8,069,828
Additional value adjustments (negative amount)	(10,620)	(7,611)
Intangible assets (net of related tax liability) (negative amount)	(81,024)	(81,958)
Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability excluding those arising from temporary difference (net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) are met) (negative amount)	(199,979)	(222,161)
Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)	(12,618)	(12,380)
Total Regulatory Adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	(304,240)	(324,109)
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	8,885,618	7,745,719
Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	8,885,618	7,745,719
Tier 2 (T2) Capital	-	-
Total Capital (TC = T1 + T2)	8,885,618	7,745,719
Total Risk-Weighted Assets	39,578,604	39,474,731
Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount	22.45%	19.62%
Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount	22.45%	19.62%
Total capital (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount	22.45%	19.62%



Table 10: Own Funds CHIL 31 December 2019 & 2018

EUR thousands	CHIL			
	31-Dec-19	31-Dec-18		
Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	2,242,345	2,201,200		
of which: Share Capital	-	-		
of which: Share Premium	611,858	600,315		
of which: Capital Reserves	1,645,432	1,662,739		
of which: Other Reserves	(14,945)	(61,854)		
Retained earnings	6,946,029	5,866,894		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (and any other reserves)	-	-		
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	9,188,374	8,068,094		
Additional value adjustments (negative amount)	(10,607)	(7,598)		
Intangible assets (net of related tax liability) (negative amount)	(81,024)	(81,958)		
Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability excluding those arising from temporary difference (net of related tax liability where the conditions in Article 38 (3) are met) (negative amount)	(200,003)	(222,188)		
Direct, indirect and synthetic holdings of the CET1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount above 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions) (negative amount)	-	-		
Total Regulatory Adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	(291,634)	(311,745)		
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	8,896,740	7,756,349		
Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	8,896,740	7,756,349		
Tier 2 (T2) Capital	-	-		
Total Capital (TC = T1 + T2)	8,896,740	7,756,349		
Total Risk-Weighted Assets	39,606,364	39,474,731		
Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount	22.46%	19.65%		
Tier 1 (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount	22.46%	19.65%		
Total capital (as a percentage of total risk exposure amount	22.46%	19.65%		

Prior year comparatives have been restated in line with final regulatory submissions.



Table 11: Regulatory Capital Resources Reconciliation to Audited Financial Statements CEP 31
December 2019

EUR Thousands	Balance per	Adj to Bala	Adj to Balance Sheet Items for Regulatory Capital Resources			Adj to Balance Sheet Items for Regulatory Capital Resources		
	Audited Financial Statements	Intangible Assets	Deferred Tax	Prudent Valuation	Significant Investments	Balance per Regulatory Capital Resources		
Tier 1 Capital								
Share Capital	9,375					9,375		
Share Premium	1,747,149					1,747,149		
Capital Reserves	735,880					735,880		
Other Reserves	(28,274)					(28,274)		
Retained Earnings	6,725,728					6,725,728		
Tier 1 Capital Before Deductions	9,189,858	-				9,189,858		
Intangible Assets		(81,024)				(81,024)		
Deferred Tax Asset			(199,979))		(199,979)		
Prudent Valuation				(10,620)		(10,620)		
Significant Investments					(12,618)	(12,618)		
Tier 1 Capital After Deductions	9,189,858	(81,024)	(199,979)	(10,620)	(12,618)	8,885,618		
Total Capital Resources	9,189,858	(81,024)	(199,979)	(10,620)	(12,618)	8,885,618		

Table 12: Regulatory Capital Resources Reconciliation to Audited Financial Statements CHIL 31
December 2019

EUR Thousands	Balance per	Adj to Balance Shee	Capital Resources	Balance per	
	Audited Financial Statements	Intangible Assets	Deferred Tax	Prudent Valuation	Regulatory Capital Resources
Tier 1 Capital					
Share Capital	-				-
Share Premium	611,858				611,858
Capital Reserves	1,645,432				1,645,432
Other Reserves	(14,945)				(14,945)
Retained Earnings	6,946,029				6,946,029
Tier 1 Capital Before Deductions	9,188,374				9,188,374
Intangible Assets		(81,024)			(81,024)
Deferred Tax Asset			(200,003)		(200,003)
Prudent Valuation				(10,607)	(10,607)
Tier 1 Capital After Deductions	9,188,374	(81,024)	(200,003)	(10,607)	8,896,740
Total Capital Resources	9,188,374	(81,024)	(200,003)	(10,607)	8,896,740



Table 13: Capital Instruments Features

Capital Instruments Main Features		CET1	CET1		
1	Issuer	Citibank Europe Plc	Citibank Holdings Ireland		
2	Unique identifier (eg. CUSIP, ISIN or Bloomberg	Private placement	Private Placement		
3	identifier for private placement) Governing law(s) of the instrument*	Irish	Irish		
	Regulatory treatment				
4	Transitional CCR rules	CET 1	CET1		
5	Post-transitional CRR rules	CET 1	CET1		
6	Eligible at solo/(sub-) consolidated/ solo & (sub-)consolidated	Solo			
7	Instrument type (types to be specified by each jurisdiction)	Ordinary issed shares with full voting rights	Ordinary issed shares with full voting rights		
8	Amount recognised in regulatory capital (as of most recent reporting date)	€1,756,524 comprising nominal and premium	€ 611,860 comprising nominal and premium		
9	Nominal amount of instrument	1			
9a	Issue price	€22,192 15/03/2001 €6,348,689 17/04/2001 €180,703 28/09/2001 €908,846 01/01/2008 €1,857,824 31/12/2009 €423,036 01/01/2016	€2 30/10/2003 €1 31/12/2009		
9b	Redemption price	N/A	N/A		
10	Accounting classification	Share holders equity	Share holders equity		
11	Original date of issuance	€22,192 15/03/2001 €6,348,689 17/04/2001 €180,703 28/09/2001 €908,846 01/01/2008 €1,857,824 31/12/2009 €423,036 01/01/2016	€2 30/10/2003 €1 31/12/2009		
12	Perpetual or dated	Perpetual	Perpetual		
13	Original maturity date	No maturity	No maturity		
14	Issuer call subject to prior supervisory approval	No	No		
15	Optional call date, contigent call dates and redemption amount	N/A	N/A		
16	Subsequent call dates, if applicable	N/A	N/A		
	Coupons / dividends				
17	Fixed or floating dividend/coupon	N/A	N/A		
18	Coupon rate and any related index	N/A	N/A		
19	Existence of a dividend stopper	No	No		
20a	Fully discretionary, partially or mandatory (in terms of timing)	Fully discretionary	Fully discretionary		
	Fully discretionary, partially or mandatory (in terms of amount)		Fully discretionary		
21	Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem	No	No		
22	Noncumulative or cumulative**	Non comulative	Non comulative		
23	Convertible or non-convertible	Non-convertible	Non-convertible		
24	If convertible, conversion trigger(s)	N/A	N/A		
25	If convertible, fully or partially	N/A	N/A		
26	If convertible, conversion rate	N/A	N/A		
27	If convertible, mandatory or optional conversion	N/A	N/A		
28	If convertible, specify instrument type convertible into	N/A	N/A		
29	If convertible, specify issuer of instrument it converts into	N/A	N/A		
30	Write-down features	N/A	N/A		
31	If write-down, features, write down trigger(s)***	N/A	N/A		
32	If write-down, full or partial	N/A	N/A		
33	If write-down, permanent or temporary	N/A	N/A		
34	If temporary write-down, description of write-down	N/A	N/A		
35	mechanism Positionin subordination hierarchy in liquidation (specify instrument) instrument)	All subordinated liabilities	All subordinated liabilities		
36	instrument) Non-compliant transitioned features	No	No		
37	If yes, specify non-compliant features	N/A	N/A		
	in yes, specify non-compilatit realures	IN/A	IV/A		



9.2 Minimum Capital Requirement

CEP complies with the CRR Minimum Capital Requirements to ensure that sufficient capital is maintained to cover all relevant risks and exposures. For this purpose, the firm calculates capital charges for credit risk, market risk and operational risk based upon the standardised approach, as well as recognising a number of credit risk mitigation techniques in calculating the charges for credit and counterparty risk. The total Capital Resources must be greater than its Minimum Capital Requirement, allowing for a capital excess to accommodate any additional obligations, such as Pillar 2 charges. CHIL (consolidated) and CEP have the same minimum capital requirement (8%). In addition to the minimum capital requirement, Pillar 2 Requirement is communicated through the annual SREP (Supervisory Review and Evaluation Process).

The Pillar 2 Requirement was 2.9% as at 31 Dec 2019. This was subsequently updated to 2.7% (effective from the 1st Jan 2020).

CEP uses external ratings from External Credit Assessment Institutions (ECAIs) in the calculation of its credit risk capital requirements.

To assess the adequacy of its capital to support current and expected future activities, CEP produces regular capital forecasts, taking into account both normal business conditions and stress scenarios. As part of this process, CEP maintains an ICAAP (Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process) which documents CEP's risk appetite, regulatory capital requirement and associated policies and procedures.

The capital adequacy assessment is performed through two lenses: a normative and an economic perspective, which complement and inform each other. The normative perspective assesses CEP's ability to fulfil its capital-related regulatory and supervisory requirements under a base case scenario and stress scenarios. The economic perspective assesses the extent to which material risks are covered by internal capital resources in base and stress scenarios.

9.3 Overview of Risk-Weighted Assets

Table 14 and 15 provide the overview of CEP' and CHL's (respectively) RWAs and minimum capital requirements as of 31 December 2019.



Table 14: EU OV1 - Overview of RWAs 30 September & 31 December 2019 - CEP

EUR Thousands	RWAs	Minimum capital requirements		
į	2019 Q4	2019 Q3	2019 Q4	2019 Q3
Credit risk (excluding CCR)	31,571,282	34,336,494	2,525,703	2,746,920
Of which the standardised approach	31,571,282	34,336,494	2,525,703	2,746,920
Of which the foundation IRB (FIRB) approach	-	-	-	-
Of which the advanced IRB (AIRB) approach	-	-	-	-
Of which equity IRB under the simple risk-weighted approach or the IMA	-	-	-	-
CCR	2,584,107	1,927,050	206,729	154,164
Of which mark to market	1,869,179	1,775,289	149,534	142,023
Of which original exposure	-	-	-	-
Of which the standardised approach	13,422	21,559	1,074	-
Of which internal model method (IMM)	-	-	-	-
Of which risk exposure amount for contributions to the default fund of a CCP	693	1,090	55	87
Of which CVA	700,812	129,112	56,065	10,329
Settlement risk	589	36	47	3
Securitisation exposures in the banking book (after the cap)	1,133	982	91	79
Of which IRB approach	-	-	-	-
Of which IRB supervisory formula approach (SFA)	-	-	-	-
Of which internal assessment approach (IAA)	-	-	-	-
Of which standardised approach	1,133	982	91	79
Market risk	1,419,645	1,681,455	113,572	134,516
Of which the standardised approach	1,419,645	1,681,455	113,572	134,516
Of which IMA	-	-	-	-
Large exposures	-	-	-	-
Operational risk	4,001,847	4,128,639	320,148	330,291
Of which basic indicator approach	-	-	-	-
Of which standardised approach	4,001,847	4,128,639	320,148	330,291
Of which advanced measurement approach	-	-	-	-
Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight)	-	-	-	-
Floor adjustment	-	-	-	-
Total	39,578,604	42,074,657	3,166,288	3,365,973

Table 15: EU OV1 - Overview of RWAs 30 September & 31 December 2019 - CHIL

EUR Thousands	RWAs		Minimum capital requirements		
	2019 Q4	2019 Q3	2019 Q4	2019 Q3	
Credit risk (excluding CCR)	31,586,388	34,336,494	2,526,911	2,746,920	
Of which the standardised approach	31,586,388	34,336,494	2,526,911	2,746,920	
Of which the foundation IRB (FIRB) approach	-	-	-	-	
Of which the advanced IRB (AIRB) approach	-	-	-	-	
Of which equity IRB under the simple risk-weighted approach or the IMA	-	-	-	-	
CCR	2,584,107	1,927,050	206,729	154,164	
Of which mark to market	1,869,179	1,775,289	149,534	142,023	
Of which original exposure	-	-	-	-	
Of which the standardised approach	13,422	21,559	1,074	-	
Of which internal model method (IMM)	-	-	-	-	
Of which risk exposure amount for contributions to the default fund of a CCP	693	1,090	55	87	
Of which CVA	700,812	129,112	56,065	10,329	
Settlement risk	589	36	47	3	
Securitisation exposures in the banking book (after the cap)	1,133	982	91	79	
Of which IRB approach	-	-	-	-	
Of which IRB supervisory formula approach (SFA)	=	-	-	-	
Of which internal assessment approach (IAA)	-	-	-	-	
Of which standardised approach	1,133	982	91	79	
Market risk	1,432,299	1,681,455	114,584	134,516	
Of which the standardised approach	1,432,299	1,681,455	114,584	134,516	
Of which IMA	-	-	-	-	
Large exposures	-	-	-	-	
Operational risk	4,001,847	4,128,639	320,148	330,291	
Of which basic indicator approach	-	-	-	-	
Of which standardised approach	4,001,847	4,128,639	320,148	330,291	
Of which advanced measurement approach	-	-	-	-	
Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight)	-	-	-	-	
Floor adjustment	-	-	-	-	
Total	39,606,364	42,074,657	3,168,509	3,365,973	



9.4 Capital Buffers

The CRR requires CEP to hold capital buffers.

Countercyclical Buffer

The countercyclical capital buffer aims to ensure that capital requirements take into account the macro-financial environment. Its primary objective is to protect the banking sector from periods of excess aggregate credit growth. The designated authorities can set the countercyclical capital buffer rates between 0% and 2.5%.

CEP is required to calculate its institution-specific countercyclical buffer rate as a weighted average of the buffer rates that have been announced for each jurisdiction to which the firm has relevant credit exposures. Relevant credit exposures are as follows;

- credit risk
- specific risk
- securitizations

The institution-specific countercyclical buffer rate consists of the weighted average of the countercyclical buffer rates that apply in the jurisdictions where the relevant credit exposures of the institutions are located.

The following tables set out CHIL's and CEP's countercyclical buffer requirement for 31 December 2019.

Table 16: Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer 31 December 2019 - CEP

Eur Thousands	General creditexposures	Trading book exposure	Securatisation	Own funds requirements					
Breakdown by country	Exposure value for SA	Sumof long and short position of trading book	Exposure value of securitisation positions in the banking book under the Standardised Approach	Of which: Credit risk exposures	Of which: Trading book exposures	Securitisation positions in the banking book	Total	Institution specific countercyclical buffer	Countercyclical capital buffer rate
Hong Kong	69,278	-	-	5,422	-	-	5,422	0.0042%	2.00%
Norway	244,985	146	-	14,795	12	-	14,807	0.0145%	2.50%
Sweden	580,691	19,688	-	44,768	1,575	-	46,343	0.0454%	2.50%
Czech Republic	1,030,033	-	-	80,786	-	-	80,786	0.0475%	1.50%
Iceland	49,131	-	-	3,932	-	-	3,932	0.0027%	1.75%
Slovakia	289,589	-	-	23,795	-	-	23,795	0.0140%	1.50%
United Kingdom	4,515,046	160,565	4,742	347,060	12,845	91	359,996	0.1410%	1.00%
Lithuania	13,723	-	-	1,098	-	-	1,098	0.0004%	1.00%
Denmark	253,342	24,102	-	16,333	1,928	-	18,261	0.0072%	1.00%
Ireland	1,249,997	1,656	-	95,113	132	-	95,246	0.0373%	1.00%
France	6,472,597	182,663	-	409,162	14,613	-	423,775	0.0415%	0.25%
Bulgaria	193,740	-	-	15,487	-	-	15,487	0.0030%	0.50%
Total	14,962,151	388,820	4,742	1,057,752	31,106	91	1,088,949	0.3586%	



Table 17: Amount of institution-specific countercyclical buffer 31 December 2019 - CEP

Eur Thousands	2019
Total Risk exposure amount	39,578,604
Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer rate	0.3586%
Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer requirement	141,944

Table 18: Geographical distribution of credit exposures relevant for the calculation of the countercyclical buffer 31 December 2019 - CHIL

Eur Thousands	General creditexposures	Trading book exposure	Securatisation	Own funds requirements					
Breakdown by country	Exposure value for SA	Sumof long and short position of trading book	Exposure value of securitisation positions in the banking book under the Standardised Approach	Of which: Credit risk exposures	Of which: Trading book exposures	Securitisation positions in the banking book	Total	Institution specific countercyclical buffer	Countercyclical capital buffer rate
Hong Kong	69,278	-	-	5,422	-	-	5,422	0.0042%	2.00%
Norway	244,985	146	-	14,795	12	-	14,807	0.0145%	2.50%
Sweden	580,691	19,688	-	44,768	1,575	=	46,343	0.0454%	2.50%
Czech Republic	1,030,033	-	-	80,786	=	=	80,786	0.0474%	1.50%
Iceland	49,131	-	-	3,932	=	=	3,932	0.0027%	1.75%
Slovakia	289,589	-	-	23,795	-	-	23,795	0.0140%	1.50%
United Kingdom	4,515,046	160,565	4,742	347,060	12,845	91	359,996	0.1409%	1.00%
Lithuania	13,723	-	-	1,098	=	=	1,098	0.0004%	1.00%
Denmark	253,342	24,102	-	16,333	1,928	=	18,261	0.0071%	1.00%
Ireland	1,249,997	1,656	-	95,113	132	=	95,246	0.0373%	1.00%
France	6,472,597	182,663	-	409,162	14,613	-	423,775	0.0415%	0.25%
Bulgaria	193,740	-	-	15,487	-	-	15,487	0.0030%	0.50%
Total	14,962,151	388,820	4,742	1,057,752	31,106	91	1,088,949	0.3585%	

Table 19: Amount of institution-specific countercyclical buffer 31 December 2019 - CHIL

Eur Thousands	2019
Total Risk exposure amount	39,606,364
Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer rate	0.3585%
Institution specific countercyclical capital buffer requirement	141,976

Capital Conservation Buffer

CEP is also required to hold a capital conservation buffer. The buffer was introduced 1 January 2016 at 0.625% of RWAs. The buffer is scheduled to increase by 0.625% per year until it reaches 2.5% of RWAs on 1 January 2019. The buffer held by CEP as at 31 December 2019 was €990 million and 31 December 2018 was €740 million.



Other Systemically Important Buffers

Represents a capital buffer requirement on institutions deemed systemically important to the local domestic economy.

The Central Bank of Ireland has set a rate of 1.0% for CHIL, beginning in 2019 at 0.25% and increasing up to 1.0% by 2021.



10 Leverage

10.1 Leverage ratio

Leverage risk is the risk that excessive growth in exposure or a decrease in capital will lead to an entity becoming more vulnerable to leverage or contingent leverage that may require unintended corrective measures, including distressed selling of assets which might result in losses or in valuation adjustments to its remaining assets.

In accordance with CRR rules, the leverage ratio for CEP is calculated by dividing Tier 1 capital by a non-risk based measure of an institution's on-and off-balance sheet exposures.

The leverage ratio is a monitoring tool which allows competent authorities to assess and constrain the risk of building up excessive leverage in their supervised institutions.

The European Supervisory Authority (European Banking Authority (EBA), established by Regulation (EU) No 1093/2010 of the European Parliament of the Council, concluded in its report on the leverage ratio requirement that the leverage ratio requirement should be calibrated at 3%. As per the CRR II (Capital Requirements Regulation) document, the leverage ratio requirements take effect from 28 June 2021.

CHIL (consolidated) and CEP's ratio is in excess of this at 11.19% and 11.18% respectively at 31 December 2019.

The final design and calibration of the proposals will be informed by a comprehensive quantitative impact study and as such, no account has been taken of these proposed revisions in these ratios.

10.2 Management of Excessive Leverage Risk

The following points describe CEP's approach to managing the risk of excessive leverage.

- Daily capital monitoring: for both CHIL and CEP, capital ratios (CET1, Tier 1 and total capital
 ratio) are monitored on a daily basis. The excess capital over Pillar 1 requirements, over the
 ICG and over the capital action trigger are also monitored daily. The latter is an internal trigger
 set to ensure we manage the entities with enough of a capital 'buffer' to permit timely
 management decisions in case of a capital shortfall. This report is sent out to senior
 management every day.
- **Daily large exposure monitoring**: this shows the concentration to our largest counterparties (those to whom we have exposure equal to 10% or more of our eligible capital).
- Leverage ratio/Risk Appetite limit: CEP and CHIL's Risk Appetite limit is set at 5%, and it is being monitored and reported on a quarterly basis
- New Products Approval Committee: new products, new activities or complex transactions
 are reviewed in this forum which has representatives from the relevant business area and each
 support function in the firm.
- **Regulatory advisory pre-notification process**: the regulatory advisory team provide regular feedback to the businesses on the regulatory capital needed to support any new trade.



- Product Control daily P&L meeting: this meeting allows each product control team to highlight the P&L of their desk and this information is widely shared amongst the finance management team. This allows all the finance areas to be alerted if an expected or realised loss is incurred.
- Liquidity monitoring/stresses/mismatch between assets and liabilities: Citi employs
 multiple daily liquidity stress tests which measure Citi's ability to survive a range of potential
 stress environments. In doing this Citi's liquidity resources are measured against potential
 stressed liquidity outflows that may result as a consequence of liquidity mismatches, among
 other considerations. The requirement to cover these projected losses on a standalone basis
 acts as a governor against excessive leverage through overuse of maturity transformation or
 maturity gaps.
- Forward-looking leverage ratio forecasts are being produced as part of CHIL & CEP's ICAAP process

The following disclosure templates provide additional details on the Leverage Ratio.

Table 20: Summary Reconciliation of Accounting Assets and Leverage Ratio Exposures for CEP

EUR Thousands	2019
	Applicable Amounts
Total assets as per published financial statements	55,235,635
Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	(243,660)
Adjustments for securities financing transactions "SFTs"	26,534
Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (ie conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	24,731,724
Other adjustments	(293,620)
Leverage Ratio Exposure	79,456,613

This table summarises the total leverage exposure, comprising of the total assets in the statutory financial statement and other regulatory adjustments for leverage purposes.

Table 21: Summary Reconciliation of Accounting Assets and Leverage Ratio Exposures for CHIL

EUR Thousands	2019
	Applicable Amounts
Total assets as per published financial statements	55,234,281
Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	(249,814)
Adjustments for securities financing transactions "SFTs"	26,534
Adjustment for off-balance sheet items (ie conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	24,746,857
Other adjustments	(281,026)
Leverage Ratio Exposure	79,476,832



Table 22: Leverage Ratio Common Disclosure for CHIL & CEP

EUR Thousands	2019			
	CHIL	CEP		
	CRR Leverage Ratio	Exposures		
On-Balance Sheet Exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)				
On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs and fiduciary assets, but including collateral)	41,924,734	41,932,242		
Asset amounts deducted in determining Tier 1 capital	281,026	293,620		
Total On-Balance Sheet Exposures (excluding derivatives, SFTs and fiduciary assets)	41,643,708	41,638,622		
Derivative Exposures				
Replacement cost associated with derivatives transactions	1,030,020	1,030,020		
Add-on amounts for PFE associated with derivatives transactions	1,991,833	1,991,833		
Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions	404,492	404,492		
Total Derivative Exposures	2,617,361	2,617,361		
Securities financing transaction exposures				
SFT Exposure	10,468,906	10,468,906		
Total Securities Financing Transaction Exposures	10,468,906	10,468,906		
Off-Balance Sheet Exposures				
Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	24,746,857	24,731,724		
Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts	-	-		
Total Off-Balance Sheet Exposures	24,746,857	24,731,724		
Capital and Total Exposures				
Tier 1 capital	8,896,740	8,885,618		
Total Leverage Ratio Exposures	79,476,832	79,456,613		
Leverage ratio				
Leverage ratio	11.19%	11.18%		
Choice on transitional arrangements and amount of derecognised fiduciary items				
Choice on transitional arrangements for the definition of the capital measure	Fully Phased In	Fully Phased In		

This table shows the breakdown of the Leverage exposure disclosed in Table 23 and 24.

Table 23: Split of On Balance Sheet Exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) for CEP

EUR Thousands	2019
	CRR Leverage Ratio Exposures
Total On-Balance Sheet Exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs), of which:	41,932,242
Trading Book Exposures	824,878
Banking Book Exposures, of which:	41,107,365
Exposures treated as sovereigns	18,620,132
Exposures to regional governments, MDB, international organisations and PSE NOT treated as sovereigns	365,036
Institutions	2,781,120
Secured by mortgages of immovable properties	405,762
Retail exposures	3,243
Corporate	14,459,976
Exposures in default	83,692
Other exposures (eg equity, securitisations, and other non-credit obligation assets)	4,388,402



Table 24: Split of On Balance Sheet Exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) for CHIL

EUR Thousands	2019
	CRR Leverage Ratio Exposures
Total On-Balance Sheet Exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs), of which:	41,924,734
Trading Book Exposures	824,878
Banking Book Exposures, of which:	41,099,857
Exposures treated as sovereigns	18,620,132
Exposures to regional governments, MDB, international organisations and PSE NOT treated as sovereigns	365,036
Institutions	2,781,120
Secured by mortgages of immovable properties	405,762
Retail exposures	3,243
Corporate	14,459,976
Exposures in default	83,692
Other exposures (eg equity, securitisations, and other non-credit obligation assets)	4,380,894

There was no material change to the leverage ratio of CHIL and CEP versus the prior year (CHIL 2019-11.19% vs 2018-10.16%, CEP 2019-11.18% vs 2018-10.15%).



11 Non-trading Book Exposures

11.1 Non-trading Book Equity Exposures

CEP has a small number of equity investments, which are held outside the trading book. This category includes investments in clearing houses, exchanges and other strategic investments which are required to be held for membership, access or relationship purposes, and which are otherwise not traded. They are carried on the balance sheet at cost.

Table 25: Non-Trading Book Equity Exposures 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands	2019	2018		
Investments Held at Cost	14	57		



12 Quantitative Disclosures on Credit Risk Profile and Credit Risk Mitigation (CRM)

12.1 Credit Risk Disclosures on Off- and On-Balance Sheet exposures

For completeness the tables in this section are disclosed at the CHIL level and difference to CEP called out as relevant.

The below tables detail CHIL's credit risk profile focusing on on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet regulatory exposures. As of 31 December 2019 CHIL's and CEP's total on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures were €75.95 billion and €75.88 billion respectively.

The risk profile is further analysed into exposure classes, industry, regions, maturities and defaulted exposures.



Table 26: CRB-B - Total and average net amount of exposures 31 December 2019The table below provide a breakdown of credit risk exposures pre CCF (Credit Conversion Factor) and CRM by exposure class and average over four quarters.

EUR Thousands	Net value of exposures at the end of the period	Average net exposures over the period
Central governments or central banks	-	-
Institutions	-	-
Corporates	-	-
Of which: Specialised lending	-	-
Of which: SMEs	-	-
Retail	-	-
Secured by real estate property	-	-
SMEs	-	-
Non-SMEs	-	-
Qualifying revolving	-	-
Other retail	-	-
SMEs	-	-
Non-SMEs	-	-
Equity	-	-
Total IRB approach	-	-
Central governments or central banks	18,338,716	18,580,582
Regional governments or local authorities	107,399	105,662
Public sector entities	416,803	393,292
Multilateral development banks	297,798	316,225
International organisations	2,072	16,706
Institutions	3,704,125	4,137,792
Corporates	49,460,363	48,877,121
Of which: SMEs	460,072	517,490
Retail	3,936	10,422
Of which: SMEs	2,697	3,251
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	541,667	369,747
Of which: SMEs	-	· -
Exposures in default	103,246	657,506
Items associated with particularly high risk	1,100,903	953,553
Covered bonds	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· -
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	1,862,361	2,062,375
Collective investments undertakings	-	4,391
Equity exposures	14	14
Other exposures	13,876	28,473
Total standardised approach	75,953,280	76,513,859
Total	75,953,280	76,513,859



Table 27: CRB-B - Total and average net amount of exposures 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Net value of exposures at the end of the period	Average net exposures over the period
Central governments or central banks	-	-
Institutions	-	-
Corporates	-	-
Of which: Specialised lending	-	-
Of which: SMEs	-	-
Retail	-	-
Secured by real estate property	-	-
SMEs	-	-
Non-SMEs	-	-
Qualifying revolving	-	-
Other retail	-	-
SMEs	-	-
Non-SMEs	-	-
Equity	-	-
Total IRB approach	-	-
Central governments or central banks	17,968,584	15,571,558
Regional governments or local authorities	106,118	107,789
Public sector entities	220,077	363,083
Multilateral development banks	379,744	380,550
International organisations	1,586	3,730
Institutions	5,195,210	5,984,700
Corporates	48,468,785	46,839,794
Of which: SMEs	537,377	570,166
Retail	19,021	18,015
Of which: SMEs	6,457	4,506
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	174,331	626,143
Of which: SMEs	-	-
Exposures in default	1,071,387	1,081,113
Items associated with particularly high risk	823,321	576,881
Covered bonds	-	-
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	-
Collective investments undertakings	7,297	4,564
Equity exposures	57	4,226
Other exposures	40,929	98,813
Total standardised approach	74,476,446	71,660,959
Total	74,476,446	71,660,959



Table 28: CRB-C – Geographical breakdown of exposures 31 December 2019

This table provide a breakdown of credit risk exposures pre CCF and CRM by geographical areas and exposure classes.

EUR Thousands					Net value									
	Switzerland	Czech Republic	Germany	Spain	France	United	Hungary	Ireland	Luxembourg					
						Kingdom								
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Central governments or central banks	-	75,972	4,794,489	13,582	1,700,009	1,120,328	793,365	37	25,541					
Regional governments or local authorities	-	-	-	104,069	-	695	-	-	-					
Public sector entities	2	-	0	1	129,776	30	-	1	18					
Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	-	-	2,391	-	-	163,241					
International organisations	983	-	-	-	1,089	-	-	-	-					
Institutions	5,036	4,295	106,536	50,997	398,728	1,019,455	1	88,524	2,079					
Corporates	2,630,563	1,264,274	4,722,426	3,453,953	10,432,880	5,405,226	946,854	1,592,010	578,059					
Retail	0	1,713	0	-	4	8	652	0	1					
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	471,628	70,039	-	-	-	-					
Exposures in default	5,563	5,093	31,576	-	-	-	40,483	3,739	-					
Items associated with particularly high risk	1,549	28,235	67,493	60,956	371,196	25,130	29,452	19,731	37					
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	22,906	50,617	107,177	26,375	311,366	450,463	44,967	27,907	-					
Collective investments undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-					
Equity exposures	1	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-					
Other exposures	-	535	-	-	-	_	-	2,530	-					
Total standardised approach	2,666,602	1,430,735	9,829,698	4,181,562	13,415,088	8,023,726	1,855,773	1,734,481	768,976					
Total	2,666,602	1,430,735	9,829,698	4,181,562	13,415,088	8,023,726	1,855,773	1,734,481	768,976					



Table 28: CRB-C – Geographical breakdown of exposures 31 December 2019 (continued)

Net value							
Netherlands	Romania	Sweden	Rest of EMEA	USA	Rest of Americas	APAC	Total
	_		_			_	
_		_			_		
_		_			_		
_		_			_		
_	-	_	_	-	_	-	-
6,000,155	1 315 238	565 352	715 447	1 122 573	95 302	1 326	18,338,716
0,000,155	1,313,230	505,552	713,447		75,502	1,320	107,399
155 663	_	579	34 174		0	3	416,803
133,003	_	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	-	-	297,798
_	_	_	-	22,437	_	14,000	2,072
51 651	8 559	1 333	630.721	980 085	72 629	283 496	3,704,125
	,			,		,	49,460,363
		741,567		2,744,442	-,027,004		3,936
-	5-15	_	1,015	_	_	-	541,667
_	7 838	_	8 916	39	_	_	103,246
		106			23 288	3	1,100,903
02,505	,	-	300,333	5,011	23,200	_	1,100,203
43 170	_	2 333	666 356	86 668	6.840	15 216	1,862,361
		2,555	-		*	13,210	1,002,301
_	_	_	13	_	_	_	14
_	3 107	561		_	_	0	13,876
8.505.481				5.258.481	5.025.062		75,953,280
, ,	, ,				/ /	<i>' '</i>	75,953,280
	Netherlands			Netherlands Romania Sweden Rest of EMEA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 6,000,155 1,315,238 565,352 715,447 - - - - 155,663 - 579 34,174 - - - 95,040 - - - - 51,651 8,559 1,333 630,721 2,172,539 700,816 741,587 5,693,399 0 543 - 1,013 - - - - - 7,838 - 8,916 82,303 7,845 106 380,535 - - - - 43,170 - 2,333 666,356 - - -	Netherlands Romania Sweden Rest of EMEA USA - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 6,000,155 1,315,238 565,352 715,447 1,122,573 - - - - 2,634 155,663 - 579 34,174 96,557 - - - 95,040 22,439 - - - 95,040 22,439 - - - 95,040 22,439 - - - - - 51,651 8,559 1,333 630,721 980,085 2,172,539 700,816 741,587 5,693,399 2,944,442 0 543 - 1,013 - -	Netherlands Romania Sweden Rest of EMEA USA Rest of Americas - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>Netherlands Romania Sweden Rest of EMEA USA Rest of Americas APAC - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 6,000,155 1,315,238 565,352 715,447 1,122,573 95,302 1,326 - - - - 2,634 - - - 155,663 - 579 34,174 96,557 0 3 3 - - - 95,040 22,439 - 14,688 - - - - 95,040 22,439 - 14,688 - - - - 95,040 22,439 - 14,688 - - - - - - - - - - - <</td></t<>	Netherlands Romania Sweden Rest of EMEA USA Rest of Americas APAC - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - 6,000,155 1,315,238 565,352 715,447 1,122,573 95,302 1,326 - - - - 2,634 - - - 155,663 - 579 34,174 96,557 0 3 3 - - - 95,040 22,439 - 14,688 - - - - 95,040 22,439 - 14,688 - - - - 95,040 22,439 - 14,688 - - - - - - - - - - - <



Table 29: CRB-C – Geographical breakdown of exposures 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands					Net value				
	Switzerland	Czech	Germany	Spain	France	UK	Hungary	Ireland	Luxembourg
Central governments or central banks	-	Republic	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institutions	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	-
Corporates	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Retail	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central governments or central banks	-	365,565	3,662,024	6,264	1,987,895	1,491,357	865,024	2,426	25,957
Regional governments or local authorities	-	-	-	105,231	-	-	-	-	-
Public sector entities	2	-	0	1	90,585	29	0	5	587
Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	-	-	2,095	-	-	291,110
International organisations	494	-	-	-	1,092	-	-	-	-
Institutions	28,129	7,503	90,200	59,052	411,597	1,782,381	1,826	53,115	10,330
Corporates	1,995,190	1,510,083	4,547,509	3,408,395	9,748,001	6,217,913	897,165	1,892,224	945,264
Retail	0	2,999	0	-	1	6,082	8,874	0	3
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	162,424	56	-	-	-	11,852
Exposures in default	13,443	7,236	32,570	25,794	7,279	4,381	23,432	60,729	35,243
Items associated with particularly high risk	-	51,110	161	62,979	163,615	45,871	16,807	261	44
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Collective investments undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1,910	5,386
Equity exposures	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other exposures	2	525	113	3	5,187	13,777	6,699	2,843	9
Total standardised approach	2,037,261	1,945,021	8,332,577	3,830,143	12,415,309	9,563,886	1,819,827	2,013,514	1,325,785
Total	2,037,261	1,945,021	8,332,577	3,830,143	12,415,309	9,563,886	1,819,827	2,013,514	1,325,785



Table 29: CRB-C – Geographical breakdown of exposures 31 December 2018 (continued)

EUR Thousands	Net value									
	Netherlands	Romania	Sweden	Rest of EMEA	USA	Rest of	APAC	Total		
Central governments or central banks	-	_				Americas	_			
Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		
Corporates	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_		
Equity	-	_	-	_	_	-	_			
Total IRB approach	_	_		_	_		_			
Central governments or central banks	5,841,894	1,039,274	713,829	852,841	929,238	78,339	106,655	17,968,584		
Regional governments or local authorities	3,041,024	1,037,274	713,027	032,041	887	70,337	-	106,118		
Public sector entities	66,575	36	746	32,296	29,206	0	7	220,077		
Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	40,940	37,375	-	8,224	379,744		
International organisations	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	1,586		
Institutions	118,800	1,827	1,624	1,390,016	767,860	84,564	386,386	5,195,210		
Corporates	1,582,721	648,908	805,791	6,168,943	2,166,358	4,386,081	1,548,239	48,468,785		
Retail	0	312	-	748	0	-	0	19,021		
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	_	-	_	_	_	174,331		
Exposures in default	557,806	20,998	_	163,510	105,612	13,354	_	1,071,387		
Items associated with particularly high risk	66,699	9,382	33	388,550	3,298	12,762	1,749	823,321		
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	,	-,	-		
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	_	_	_	_	_	-	_	<u>-</u>		
Collective investments undertakings	0	_	_	_	_	-	0	7,297		
Equity exposures	-	_	_	56	_	_	-	57		
Other exposures	418	4,068	1,862	5,355	67	-	1	40,929		
Total standardised approach	8,234,913	1,724,806	1,523,886	9,043,256	4,039,901	4,575,100	2,051,261	74,476,446		
Total	8,234,913	1,724,806	1,523,886	9,043,256	4,039,901	4,575,100	2,051,261	74,476,446		



Table 30: CRB-D – Concentration of exposures by industry 31 December 2019

This table provide a breakdown of exposures pre CCF and CRM by industry or counterparty types and exposure classes

EUR Thousands	Business Administrative Services	Credit Instituitions	Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air Conditioning Supply	Financial Intermediation (Excl. Monetary Financial Institutions)	Information and Communication	Manufacturing	Personal (Private Households)
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regional governments or local authorities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public sector entities	-	155,662	18,232	18	1	10,556	-
Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
International organisations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institutions	61	3,166,174	-	0	-	-	2,422
Corporates	4,020,041	1,265,310	4,473,295	259,368	3,803,357	14,347,703	1,437,751
Retail	285	-	13	-	84	24	168
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	143,999	-	-	-	-	-	39
Exposures in default	35,138	-	-	-	178	23,872	-
Items associated with particularly high risk	44,851	302,769	5,314	99	207,597	168,887	-
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	30,788	953,385.88	121,371	-	221,564	322,509	-
Collective investments undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	13
Other exposures	-	5,062	-	-	-	-	8,814
Total standardised approach	4,275,163	5,848,363	4,618,225	259,485	4,232,782	14,873,550	1,449,206
Total	4,275,163	5,848,363	4,618,225	259,485	4,232,782	14,873,550	1,449,206



Table 30: CRB-D – Concentration of exposures by industry 31 December 2019 (continued)

EUR Thousands	Primary Industries	Public Administration and Defence	Transportation and Storage	Wholesale/Retail Trade & Repairs	Central Banks	Other	Total
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central governments or central banks	-	3,257,382	-	-	15,064,378	16,957	18,338,716
Regional governments or local authorities	-	107,399	-	-	-	-	107,399
Public sector entities	0	1,726	133,394	-	-	97,213	416,803
Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	-	-	297,798	297,798
International organisations	-	-	-	-	983	1,089	2,072
Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	535,468	3,704,125
Corporates	254,000	12	2,269,466	3,177,032	-	14,153,028	49,460,363
Retail	0	-	219	3,143	-	-	3,936
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	-	-	397,629	541,667
Exposures in default	235	-	8,915	32,235	-	2,675	103,246
Items associated with particularly high risk	10,376	-	181,679	114,300	5,311	59,720	1,100,903
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	-	7,252	-	-	205,492	1,862,361
Collective investments undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity exposures	-	-	-	-	1	-	14
Other exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,876
Total standardised approach	264,612	3,366,518	2,600,925	3,326,710	15,070,672	15,767,069	75,953,280
Total	264,612	3,366,518	2,600,925	3,326,710	15,070,672	15,767,069	75,953,280



Table 31: CRB-D - Concentration of exposures by industry 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Business Administrative Services	Credit Instituitions	Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air Conditioning Supply	Financial Intermediation (Excl. Monetary Financial Institutions)	Information and Communication	Manufacturing	Personal (Private Households)	Primary Industries
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Regional governments or local authorities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public sector entities	-	66,578	20,730	587	3	32	-	0
Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
International organisations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institutions	292	4,584,736	-	0	0	1	19	-
Corporates	3,963,790	1,294,612	4,242,314	139,361	3,592,748	14,070,550	1,205,291	375,514
Retail	286	-	5	-	5,586	891	6,239	0
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	95,050	-	-	-	-	-	56	-
Exposures in default	264,309	1,070	88,622	-	51,971	541,891	-	12,480
Items associated with particularly high risk	75,909	264,274	10,639	220	22,193	174,538	-	2
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short- term credit assessment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Collective investments undertakings	-	-	-	6,789	-	-	-	-
Equity exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-
Other exposures	-	2,551	98	6,690	2,058	-	30,943	-
Total standardised approach	4,399,636	6,213,821	4,362,407	153,648	3,674,559	14,787,903	1,242,561	387,996
Total	4,399,636	6,213,821	4,362,407	153,648	3,674,559	14,787,903	1,242,561	387,996



Table 31: CRB-D - Concentration of exposures by industry 31 December 2018 (continued)

EUR Thousands	Public Administration and Defence	Transportation and Storage	Wholesale/Retail Trade & Repairs	Central Banks	Other	Total
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central governments or central banks	4,502,492	-	-	13,444,824	21,268	17,968,584
Regional governments or local authorities	106,118	-	-	-	-	106,118
Public sector entities	1,834	99,677	-	-	30,635	220,077
Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	-	379,744	379,744
International organisations	-	-	-	494	1,092	1,586
Institutions	-	-	-	-	610,162	5,195,210
Corporates	24	2,034,345	3,411,293	-	14,136,533	48,466,374
Retail	-	3,055	2,958	-	0	19,021
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	-	79,225	174,331
Exposures in default	-	50,010	37,975	-	23,060	1,071,387
Items associated with particularly high risk	1	41,607	161,660	12,747	59,532	823,321
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short- term credit assessment	-	-	-	-	-	-
Collective investments undertakings	-	-	-	-	508	7,297
Equity exposures	-	-	-	1	43	57
Other exposures	0	-	-	999	-	43,339
Total standardised approach	4,610,469	2,228,694	3,613,885	13,459,065	15,341,801	74,476,446
Total	4,610,469	2,228,694	3,613,885	13,459,065	15,341,801	74,476,446

Prior year comparatives have been restated in line with final regulatory submissions.



Table 32: CRB-E – Maturity of exposures 31 December 2019

The table below provide a breakdown of net exposures pre CCF and CRM by residual maturity and exposure classes.

EUR Thousands	Net exposure value										
	On demand	<= 1 year	> 1 year <= 5 years	> 5 years	No stated maturity	Total					
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Institutions	-	=	=	=	=	= .					
Corporates	-	=	=	=	=	= '					
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	=					
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	=					
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	=					
Central governments or central banks	-	15,831,456	28	2,507,232	-	18,338,716					
Regional governments or local authorities	-	104,069	-	3,329	-	107,399					
Public sector entities	-	301,958	17,322	97,522	-	416,803					
Multilateral development banks	-	296,175	-	1,624	-	297,798					
International organisations	-	1,089	-	983	-	2,072					
Institutions	-	1,364,047	0	2,340,078	-	3,704,125					
Corporates	-	46,919,160	95,880	2,445,323	-	49,460,363					
Retail	-	3,262	-	674	-	3,936					
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	541,667.40	-	-	-	541,667					
Exposures in default	-	58,745	-	44,501	-	103,246					
Items associated with particularly high risk	-	1,038,405	104	62,395	-	1,100,903					
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	1,862,361	-	-	-	1,862,361					
Collective investments undertakings	=	=	=	=	-	=					
Equity exposures	=	=	=	14	-	14					
Other exposures	-	-	-	13,876	-	13,876					
Total standardised approach	-	68,322,395	113,334	7,517,551	-	75,953,280					
Total	-	68,322,395	113,334	7,517,551	-	75,953,280					

Table 33: CRB-E – Maturity of exposures 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Net exposure value								
	On demand	<= 1 year	> 1 year <= 5 years	> 5 years	No stated maturity	Total			
Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	=			
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	=			
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Central governments or central banks	-	16,128,717	1,622,994	216,872	-	17,968,584			
Regional governments or local authorities	-	5,537	100,581	-	-	106,118			
Public sector entities	-	47,440	84,982	87,655	-	220,077			
Multilateral development banks	-	88,634	254,642	36,468	-	379,744			
International organisations	-	495	1,091	-	-	1,586			
Institutions	-	4,885,356	308,783	1,072	-	5,195,210			
Corporates	-	27,331,619	18,261,067	2,876,099	-	48,468,785			
Retail	-	14,461	1,893	2,667	-	19,021			
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	91,077	83,254	-	-	174,331			
Exposures in default	-	813,784	145,456	112,147	-	1,071,387			
Items associated with particularly high risk	-	347,581	352,036	123,705	-	823,321			
Covered bonds	-	=	-	-	-	-			
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short- term credit assessment	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Collective investments undertakings	-	7,297	-	-	-	7,297			
Equity exposures	-	57	-	-	-	57			
Other exposures	-	40,929	-	-	-	40,929			
Total standardised approach	-	49,802,982	21,216,779	3,456,685	-	74,476,446			
Total	-	49,802,982	21,216,779	3,456,685		74,476,446			



Table 34: CR1-A – Credit quality of exposures by exposures class and instrument 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands	Gross carryin	g values of				Credit risk	
	Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write- offs	adjustment charges of the period	Net values
Total IRB approach	-	-	-			-	-
Central governments or central banks	-	18,340,394	(1,678)	-	-	-	18,338,716
Regional governments or local authorities	-	107,399	-	-	-	-	107,399
Public sector entities	13	416,920	(117)	-	-	-	416,816
Multilateral development banks	-	298,262	(464)	-	-	-	297,798
International organisations	-	2,072	(0)	-	-	-	2,072
Institutions	-	3,705,360	(1,235)	-	-	-	3,704,125
Corporates	103,273	49,489,247	(28,924)	-	-	-	49,563,596
Of which: SMEs	-	461,291	(1,219)	-	-	-	460,072
Retail	-	3,942	(6)	-	-	-	3,936
Of which: SMEs	-	2,702	(6)	-	-	-	2,697
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	541,985	(317)	-	-	-	541,667
Of which: SMEs	-	-	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>
Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Items associated with particularly high risk	56,067	1,080,014	(35,178)	-	-	-	1,100,903
Covered bonds	-	· · · · · -	-	-	-	-	<u>-</u>
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short- term credit	-	1,862,699	(338)	-	-	-	1,862,361
assessment							
Collective investments undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Equity exposures	-	14	-	-	-	-	14
Other exposures	-	13,947	(71)	-	-	-	13,876
Total standardised approach	159,353	75,862,253	(68,327)	-	-	-	75,953,280
Total	159,353	75,862,253	(68,327)	-		-	75,953,280



Table 35: CR1-A – Credit quality of exposures by exposures class and instrument 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Gross carrying values of					Credit risk	
	Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write- offs	adjustment charges of the period	Net values
Total IRB approach	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Central governments or central banks	-	17,968,703	(1,302)	-	-	-	17,967,401
Regional governments or local authorities	-	106,118	(0)	-	-	-	106,118
Public sector entities	7,280	220,082	(4,868)	-	-	-	222,494
Multilateral development banks	-	379,756	(130)	-	-	-	379,626
International organisations	-	1,586	(0)	-	-	-	1,586
Institutions	1,070	5,220,868	(1,080)	-	(490)	-	5,220,368
Corporates	1,090,071	48,512,531	(88,398)	-	(12,838)	-	49,501,366
Of which: SMEs	-	537,490	-	-	-	-	537,490
Retail	-	19,024	(22)	-	(0)	-	19,002
Of which: SMEs	-	6,458	-	-	-	-	6,458
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	174,331	(141)	-	-	-	174,190
Of which: SMEs	-	-	-	-	-	-	= '
Exposures in default	-	-	-	-		-	- '
Items associated with particularly high risk	-	778,203	(18,588)	-	(67,295)	-	692,320
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	=
Claims on institutions and corporates with a short- term credit assessment	-	-	-	-	-	-	- '
Collective investments undertakings	-	7,297	(0)	-	-	-	7,297
Equity exposures	-	57	-	-	-	-	57
Other exposures	-	40,929	(0)	-	(1,081)	-	39,847
Total standardised approach	1,098,422	73,429,485	(114,529)	-	(81,704)	-	74,331,673
Total	1,098,422	73,429,485	(114,529)	-	(81,704)	-	74,331,673



Table 36: CR1-B - Credit quality of exposures by industry or counterparty types 31 December 2019

The table provide a picture of the credit quality of CHIL's on-balance-sheet and off-balance sheet exposures by industry or counterparty types.

EUR Thousands	Gross carryin	g values of	Specific credit risk	General credit risk	Accumulated write-	Credit risk	
	Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	adjustment	adjustment	offs	adjustment charges	Net values
Business Administrative Services	37,626	4,243,677	(6,283)	-	-	-	4,275,020
Credit Instituitions	-	5,851,952	(3,585)	=	-	=	5,848,367
Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air Conditioning Supply	2,479	4,618,039	(2,293)	=	-	=	4,618,225
Financial Intermediation (Excl. Monetary Financial Institutions)	3	2,447,868	(1,204)	-	-	-	2,446,667
Information and Communication	2,985	4,235,061	(5,265)	-	-	-	4,232,782
Manufacturing	58,241	14,837,013	(21,763)	-	-	-	14,873,490
Personal (Private Households)	-	1,452,193	(2,543)	-	-	-	1,449,649
Primary Industries	404	265,130	(922)	-	-	-	264,612
Public Administration and Defence	-	3,368,085	(1,567)	-	-	-	3,366,518
Transportation and Storage	12,516	2,596,716	(8,307)	-	-	-	2,600,925
Wholesale/Retail Trade & Repairs	41,901	3,296,253	(11,345)	-	-	-	3,326,809
Central Banks	-	15,070,768	(96)	-	-	-	15,070,672
Other	3,198	13,579,498	(3,153)	-	-	-	13,579,543
Total	159,353	75,862,253	(68,327)	-	-	-	75,953,280



Table 37: CR1-B – Credit quality of exposures by industry or counterparty types 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Gross carryin	g values of	6 16 15 11			6 5 1	
	Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write- offs	Credit risk adjustment charges	Net values
Business Administrative Services	277,855	4,136,487	(21,362)	-	(584)	-	4,392,395
Credit Instituitions	1,070	6,214,761	(3,246)	-	-	-	6,212,585
Electricity, Gas, Steam and Air Conditioning Supply	91,500	4,275,235	(11,198)	-	(385)	-	4,355,151
Financial Intermediation (Excl. Monetary Financial Institutions)	3	2,457,742	(1,088)	-	(79)	-	2,456,577
Information and Communication	51,971	3,623,602	(2,379)	-	(120)	-	3,673,075
Manufacturing	550,385	14,251,150	(29,852)	-	(2,890)	-	14,768,793
Personal (Private Households)	-	1,245,093	(4,461)	-	(1,073)	-	1,239,559
Primary Industries	12,480	375,568	(270)	-	(1)	-	387,777
Public Administration and Defence	-	4,610,469	(1,080)	-	-	-	4,609,389
Transportation and Storage	50,757	2,180,299	(7,009)	-	(3,020)	-	2,221,027
Wholesale/Retail Trade & Repairs	37,990	3,582,892	(23,729)	-	(69,442)	-	3,527,711
Central Banks	-	13,459,185	(221)	-	-	-	13,458,963
Other	24,411	13,017,001	(8,633)	-	(4,109)	-	13,028,670
Total	1,098,422	73,429,485	(114,529)	-	(81,704)	-	74,331,673



Table 38: CR1-C - Credit quality of exposures by geography 31 December 2019

This table provide a picture of the credit quality of CHIL's on-balance-sheet and off-balance-sheet exposures by geography.

EUR Thousands	Gross carrying	g values of	Enocific avadit viels	General credit risk	Accumulated write-	Credit risk	
	Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	adjustment	offs	adjustment charges	Net values
Switzerland	5,563	2,661,959	(921)	-	-	-	2,666,602
Czech Republic	7,904	1,425,601	(2,771)	-	-	-	1,430,735
Germany	40,450	9,793,580	(4,332)	-	-	-	9,829,698
Spain	61	4,183,917	(2,416)	-	-	-	4,181,562
France	1,305	13,425,028	(11,246)	-	-	-	13,415,088
United Kingdom	2,026	8,027,881	(6,181)	-	-	-	8,023,726
Hungary	59,935	1,804,523	(8,684)	-	-	-	1,855,773
Ireland	3,739	1,734,753	(4,012)	-	-	-	1,734,481
Luxembourg	-	769,294	(318)	-	-	-	768,976
Netherlands	61	8,510,433	(5,013)	-	-	-	8,505,481
Romania	10,285	2,036,908	(3,247)	-	-	-	2,043,946
Sweden	-	1,312,140	(289)	-	-	-	1,311,851
Rest of EMEA	15,067	8,231,802	(14,114)	-	-	-	8,232,756
USA	40	5,260,273	(1,833)	-	-	-	5,258,481
Rest of Americas	12,916	5,014,096	(1,950)	-	-	-	5,025,062
APAC	-	1,670,064	(1,001)	-	-	-	1,669,063
Total	159,353	75,862,253	(68,327)	-	<u>-</u>	-	75,953,280



Table 39: CR1-C - Credit quality of exposures by geography 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Gross carrying	y values of						
	Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write-offs	Credit risk adjustment charges	Net values	
Switzerland	14,551	2,024,274	(1,742)	-	(335)	-	2,036,747	
Checz Republic	7,243	1,939,816	(9,658)	-	(1,730)	-	1,935,671	
Germany	32,662	8,300,794	(4,582)	-	(107)	-	8,328,767	
Spain	28,131	3,805,069	(4,107)	-	(17)	-	3,829,076	
France	7,279	12,415,973	(13,448)	-	(3,155)	-	12,406,649	
UK	4,381	9,561,393	(5,247)	-	(1,869)	-	9,558,658	
Hungary	23,435	1,796,600	(12,255)	-	(2,898)	-	1,804,882	
Ireland	63,263	1,953,310	(6,517)	-	(70)	-	2,009,986	
Luxembourg	38,443	1,290,652	(3,521)	-	(16)	-	1,325,558	
Netherlands	562,254	7,678,406	(10,186)	-	(282)	-	8,230,193	
Romania	21,033	1,704,416	(4,706)	-	(3)	-	1,720,740	
Sweden	-	1,524,691	(910)	-	(73)	-	1,523,708	
Rest of EMEA	173,024	8,884,731	(23,472)	-	(70,405)	-	8,963,878	
USA	109,367	3,934,719	(11,234)	-	(645)	-	4,032,207	
Rest of Americas	13,354	4,562,678	(1,560)	-	(55)	-	4,574,418	
APAC	-	2,051,964	(1,384)	-	(43)	-	2,050,536	
Total	1,098,422	73,429,485	(114,529)		(81,704)		74,331,673	



Table 40: CR1-D - Ageing of past-due exposures 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands		Gross carrying values									
		> 30 days ≤ 60		> 90 days ≤ 180	> 180 days ≤ 1						
	≤ 30 days	days	days	days	year	> 1 year					
Loans	111,122	-	-	-	-	3,422					
Debt securities	-	-	-	-	-	-					
Total exposures	111,122	-	-	-	-	3,422					

Table 41: CR1-E - Non-performing and forborne exposures 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands	R Thousands Gross carrying amount of performing and non-performing exposures							Accumulated impairment and provisions and negative fair value adjustments due to credit risk				Collaterals and financial guarantees received	
		Of which performing but past due > 30 days and <= 90 days	g ue s Of which						On non-performing exposures				
						Of which impaired	Of which forborne		Of which forborne		Of which forborne	On non- performing exposures	
Debt securities	3,312,979	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,527	-	-	-	-	-
Loans and advances	44,523,180	-		114,544	114,544	114,544		28,919		9,313		14,634	
Off-balance-sheet exposures	37,322,179	-		43,106	43,106	-		26,169		2,191		-	-



Table 42: CR2-A – Changes in the stock of general and specific credit risk adjustments 31 December 2019

EUR thousands	Accumulated specific credit risk adjustment	Accumulated general credit risk adjustment
Opening balance	116,731	
Increases due to amounts set aside for estimated loan losses during the period	13,735	
Decreases due to amounts reversed for estimated loan losses during the period	(35,975)	
Decreases due to amounts taken against accumulated credit risk adjustments	(9,238)	
Transfers between credit risk adjustments	6,459	
Impact of exchange rate differences Business combinations, including acquisitions and disposals of subsidiaries	-	
Other adjustments	(23,517)	
Closing balance	68,195	
Recoveries on credit risk adjustments recorded directly to the statement of profit or loss	18,665	
Specific credit risk adjustments directly recorded to the statement of profit or loss	(30,860)	

Table 43: CR2-B – Changes in the stock of defaulted and impaired loans and debt securities 31 December 2019

EUR thousands	Gross carrying value defaulted exposures
Opening balance	111,006
Loans and debt securities that have defaulted or impaired since the last reporting period	100,986
Returned to non-defaulted status	(37,455)
Amounts written off	(20,545)
Other changes	(39,449)
Closing balance	114,544



Table 44: NPE Information on non-performing exposures and ECL by Obligor Type and Industry Type 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands			Sum of Credit Ex	posure Input	Sum of IFRS9 E Scal		Total Sum of	Total Sum of IFRS9
	SME indicator Industry	> 1 year <= 5 years	<90	> 1 year <= 5 years	<90	Credit Exposure Input	ECL including Scalar	
Non-financial corporations	Non-SME	Administrative And Support Service Activities		3,561		0	3,561	0
		Construction		239		1	239	1
		Electricity, Gas, Steam And Air Conditioning Supply		1,959		840	1,959	840
		Financial And Insurance Activities		31,561		2	31,561	2
		Information And Communication		562		2	562	2
		Manufacturing		51,914		1,887	51,914	1,887
		Mining And Quarrying		633		135	633	135
		Professional, Scientific And Technical Activities Transportation And Storage		370 11,788		84 521	370 11,788	84 521
		Wholesale And Retail Trade; Repair Of Motor Vehicles And Motorcycles		28,974		4	28,974	4
	Non-SME Total			131,562		3,476	131,562	3,476
	SME	Administrative And Support Service Activities		2,071		733	2,071	733
		Agriculture, Forestry And Fishing		246		11	246	11
		Construction		2,447		9	2,447	9
		Financial And Insurance Activities		0		-	0	-
		Information And Communication		2,724		30	2,724	30
		Manufacturing		6,356		4,583	6,356	4,583
		Professional, Scientific And Technical Activities		29		15	29	15
		Transportation And Storage		0		1	0	1
		Wholesale And Retail Trade; Repair Of Motor Vehicles And Motorcycles		8,621		1,726	8,621	1,726
		Other Service Activities		171		153	171	153
	SME Total			22,666		7,260	22,666	7,260
Households	Non-SME	Private Bank Customers	3,422		768		3,422	768
Grand Total	Non-SME Total		3,422 3,422	154,228	768 768	10,736	3,422 157,650	768 11,504

Table 45: NPE Information on non-performing exposures and ECL by Geographic segment 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands	Sum of Credit Exposure Input		Sum of IFRS9 EC	L including Scalar			
	> 1 year <= 5 years	<90	> 1 year <= 5 years	<90	Total Sum of Credit Exposure Input	Total Sum of IFRS9 ECL including Scalar	
CANADA		12,907		-	12,907	=	
SWITZERLAND		5,563		1	5,563	1	
CZECH REPUBLIC		8,431		325	8,431	325	
GERMANY		40,753		1	40,753	1	
SPAIN		60		22	60	22	
FRANCE		1,285		=	1,285	=	
UNITED KINGDOM	3,422	1,798	768	489	5,220	1,256	
GREECE		172		37	172	37	
HUNGARY		54,377		7,183	54,377	7,183	
IRELAND		3,739		0	3,739	0	
JAMAICA		0		-	0	-	
LIBERIA		692		=	692	=	
NETHERLANDS		59		1	59	1	
NORWAY		9,156		=	9,156	=	
PORTUGAL		400		2	400	2	
ROMANIA		10,234		801	10,234	801	
SLOVAKIA		4,547		1,874	4,547	1,874	
SIERRA LEONE		0		-	0	=	
UNITED STATES		40		1	40	1	
SOUTH AFRICA		13		-	13	-	
Grand Total	3,422	154,228	768	10,736	157,650	11,504	



12.2 Credit Risk Mitigation (CRM)

The table below shows the extent of the use of CRM techniques used in total credit risk exposures.

Table 46: CR3 - CRM techniques - Overview 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands	Exposures unsecured – Carrying amount	Exposures secured – Carrying amount	Exposures secured by collateral	Exposures secured by financial guarantees	Exposures secured by credit derivatives
Total loans	15,923,212	938,036	54,358	883,678	-
Total debt securities	-	-	-	-	-
Total exposures	15,923,212	938,036	54,358	883,678	-
Of which defaulted	_	_	_	_	_

Table 47: CR3 - CRM techniques - Overview 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Exposures unsecured - Carrying amount	Exposures to be secured	Exposures secured by collateral	Exposures secured by financial guarantees	Exposures secured by credit derivatives
Total loans	16,002,420	-	38,088	-	-
Total debt securities	-	-	-	-	-
Total exposures	16,002,420	-	38,088	-	-
Of which defaulted	715,882	-	-	-	-

Counterparty Credit Risk

The following table provides a comprehensive view of the methods used by CHIL and CEP to calculate Counterparty Credit Risk (CCR) regulatory requirements and the main parameters used within each method. This excludes CVA charges or exposures cleared through a CCP.

Table 48: CCR1: Analysis of CCR exposure by approach 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands	Notional	Replacement cost/current market value	Potential future credit exposure	EEDE	Multiplier	EAD post CRM	RWAs
Mark to market		3,021,853	3,260,067			2,617,361	1,869,179
Original exposure	-					-	-
Standardised approach		-			-	-	-
IMM (for derivatives and SFTs)				-	-	-	-
Of which securities financing transactions				-	-	-	-
Of which derivatives and long settlement transactions				-	-	-	-
Of which from contractual cross- product netting				-	-	-	-
Financial collateral simple method (for SFTs)						-	-
Financial collateral comprehensive method (for SFTs)						2,353,299	13,422
VaR for SFTs						-	-
Total							1,882,602



Table 49: CCR1: Analysis of CCR exposure by approach 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Notional	Replacement cost/current market value	Potential future credit exposure	CEDE	Multiplier	EAD post CRM	RWAs
Mark to market		1,679,447	2,187,119			1,570,514	1,163,055
Original exposure	-					-	-
Standardised approach		-			-	-	-
IMM (for derivatives and SFTs)				-	-	-	-
Of which securities financing transactions				-	-	-	-
Of which derivatives and long settlement transactions				-	-	-	-
Of which from contractual cross- product netting				-	-	-	-
Financial collateral simple method (for SFTs)						-	-
Financial collateral comprehensive method (for SFTs)						1,035,543	9,959
VaR for SFTs						-	-
Total							1,173,013

Prior year comparatives have been restated in line with final regulatory submissions.

Table 50: CCR2 - Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) capital charge 31 December 2019

The table below provides the regulatory calculations for CVA under the standardised method approaches.

EUR Thousands	Exposure value	RWAs
Total portfolios subject to the advanced method	-	-
(i) VaR component (including the 3× multiplier)		-
(ii) SVaR component (including the 3× multiplier)		-
All portfolios subject to the standardised method	665,603	700,812
Based on the original exposure method	-	-
Total subject to the CVA capital charge	665,603	700,812

Table 51: CCR2 - Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) capital charge 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Exposure value	RWAs
Total portfolios subject to the advanced method	-	-
(i) VaR component (including the 3× multiplier)		-
(ii) SVaR component (including the 3× multiplier)		-
All portfolios subject to the standardised method	264,918	76,901
Based on the original exposure method	-	-
Total subject to the CVA capital charge	264,918	76,901



Table 52: CCR8 - Exposures to CCPs 31 December 2019

The following table provides a comprehensive picture of the institution's exposures to CCPs. In particular, the template includes all types of exposures (due to operations, margins, and contributions to default funds) and related capital requirements.

EUR Thousands	EAD post CRM	RWAs
Exposures to QCCPs (total)		-
Exposures for trades at QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which (i) OTC derivatives	-	-
(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives	-	-
(iii) SFTs	-	-
(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved	-	-
Segregated initial margin	-	-
Non-segregated initial margin*	29,551	
Prefunded default fund contributions	2,498	693
Alternative calculation of own funds requirements for exposures		-
Exposures to non-QCCPs (total)		-
Exposures for trades at non-QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which (i) OTC derivatives	-	<u>-</u>
(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives	-	- ,
(iii) SFTs	-	- ,
(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved	-	-
Segregated initial margin	-	-
Non-segregated initial margin	-	
Prefunded default fund contributions	-	-
Unfunded default fund contributions	-	- ,
omanaea aeraan funa continuations	-	-

^{*}Comprises initial and variation margin posted in relation to client clearing.



Table 53: CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2019

The table below provides a breakdown of Counterparty Credit Risk exposures and risk-weighted by portfolio (type of counterparties) and by risk weight (riskiness attributed according to the standardised approach).

EUR Thousands	Risk weight							Of which	
Exposure classes	0%	2%	20%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Total	unrated
Central governments or central banks	2,330,059	-	4,096	38,102	-	-	-	2,372,257	2,327,059
Regional government or local authorities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public sector entities	-	-	179,297	-	-	-	-	179,297	11,751
Multilateral development banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
International organisations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Institutions	-	29,551	7,279	937,856	-	4,809	0	979,495	106,381
Corporates	-	-	78,953	66,312	-	1,184,211	1,618	1,331,094	948,127
Retail	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	12	12
Institutions and corporates with a short-term	-	-	827	7,595	-	43,860	874	53,155	-
credit assessment									
Other items	-	-	-	-	-	33,326	22,024	55,349	41,091
Total	2,330,059	29,551	270,452	1,049,864	12	1,266,206	24,515	4,970,660	3,434,421

Table 54: CCR3: Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Risk weight							Ofhish
Exposure classes	0%	20%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Total	Of which unrated
Central governments or central banks	1,021,529	-	907	-	-	-	1,022,436	1,015,626
Regional government or local authorities							-	
Public sector entities	-	55,345	-	-	-	-	55,345	
Multilateral development banks							-	
International organisations							-	
Institutions	-	12,040	610,477	-	1,181	-	623,698	104,120
Corporates	-	65,513	37,435	-	759,120	32,554	894,622	491,854
Retail	-	-	-	62	-	-	62	62
Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment							-	
Other items	-	-	-	-	3,991	5,903	9,894	5,574
Total	1,021,529	132,897	648,819	62	764,292	38,457	2,606,057	1,617,236



Table 55: CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 2019

The following table provides an overview of the impact of netting and collateral held on exposures for SFT and derivatives, including exposures arising from transactions cleared through a CCP.

EUR Thousands	Gross positive fair value or net carrying amount	Netting benefits	Netted current credit exposure	Collateral held	Net credit exposure
Derivatives	3,021,853	-	3,021,853	404,492	2,617,361
SFTs	2,353,299	-	2,353,299	(0)	2,353,299
Cross-product netting	-	-	-	-	-
Total	5,375,152	-	5,375,152	404,492	4,970,660

Table 56: CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Gross positive fair value or net carrying amount	Netting benefits	Netted current credit exposure	Collateral held	Net credit exposure
Derivatives	1,679,447	-	1,679,447	108,934	1,570,514
SFTs	9,606,004	-	9,606,004	8,570,461	1,035,543
Cross-product netting	-	-	-	-	-
Total	11,285,451	-	11,285,451	8,679,394	2,606,057

Table 57: CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR 31 December 2019

EUR Thousands		Collateral used in SFTs					
	Fair value of col	lateral received	Fair value of p	osted collateral	Fair value of	Fair value of	
	Segregated	Unsegregated	Segregated	Unsegregated	collateral received	collateral posted	
Cash	-	404,492	-		-	-	
Debt	-		-	-	11,466,998	-	
Total	-	404,492	-	-	11,466,998	-	



Table 58: CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2019

The table below illustrates the extent of CHIL's exposures to credit derivative transactions broken down between derivatives bought or sold.

EUR Thousands	Credit der	Other credit		
	Protection bought	Protection sold	derivatives	
Notionals				
Single-name credit default swaps	607,501	-	-	
Index credit default swaps	-	-	-	
Total return swaps	-	-	-	
Credit options	-	-	-	
Other credit derivatives	-	-	-	
Total notionals	607,501	-	-	
Fair values				
Positive fair value (asset)	10,446			
Negative fair value (liability)	(17,862)			

Table 59: CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands	Credit deriva	ative hedges	Othor avadit		
	Protection bought	Protection sold	Other credit derivatives		
Notionals			-		
Single-name credit default swaps	231,572	-	-		
Index credit default swaps	-	-	-		
Total return swaps	-	-	-		
Credit options	-	-	-		
Other credit derivatives	-	-	-		
Total notionals	231,572	-	-		
Fair values			-		
Positive fair value (asset)	-		-		
Negative fair value (liability)	(8,514)		-		



13 Credit Risk Profile and CRM in the Standardised Approach

13.1 Credit Quality Analysis

Standardised credit risk exposures

The nominated External Credit Assessment Institutions (ECAIs) used by CEP & CHIL are Standard & Poor's, Moody's and Fitch. These are used for all credit risk exposure classes. Credit assessments applied to items in the trading book and banking book alike, are assigned in accordance with the requirements laid out in the CRD, including the use of the credit quality assessment scale. The credit quality assessment scale assigns a credit quality step to each rating provided by the ECAIs, as set out in the Table 60 below:

Table 60: Credit Quality Assessment Scale

Credit Quality Step	Standard & Poor's	Moody's	Fitch
Step 1	AAA to AA-	Aaa to Aa3	AAA to AA-
Step 2	A+ to A-	A1 to A3	A+ to A-
Step 3	BBB+ to BBB-	Baa1 to Baa3	BBB+ to BBB-
Step 4	BB+ to BB-	Ba1 to Ba3	BB+ to BB-
Step 5	B+ to B-	B1 to B3	B+ to B-
Step 6	CCC+ and below	Caa1 and below	CCC+ and below

Risk weightings are assigned to each exposure depending on its credit quality step and other factors, including exposure class and maturity. Exposures for which no rating is available receive the rating of the central government where the entity is incorporated or 100% if the central government is unrated. Table 61 below sets out a simplified summary of how credit quality is linked to risk weighting.

Table 61: Simplified Summary of Risk Weightings by Credit Quality Step

Credit Quality Step	Governments and central banks	Corporates	Institutions < 3 months maturity	Institutions > 3 months maturity
Step 1	0%	20%	20%	20%
Step 2	20%	50%	20%	50%
Step 3	50%	100%	20%	50%
Step 4	100%	100%	50%	100%
Step 5	100%	150%	50%	100%
Step 6	150%	150%	150%	150%



The below tables detail CHIL's credit risk profile focusing on on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet credit risk exposures and credit risk mitigation effects. As of 31 December 2019 CHIL's and CEP's total on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures were €75.95 billion and €75.88 billion respectively.

Table 62: CR4 - Standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects 31
December 2019

EUR Thousands	Exposures befo	re CCF and CRM	Exposures pos	t CCF and CRM	RWAs and RWA density		
Exposure classes	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	RWAs	RWA density	
Central governments or central banks	18,317,265	21,451	18,963,993	83,635	184,948	1%	
Regional government or local authorities	107,399	-	107,399	-	527	0%	
Public sector entities	285,570	131,233	264,827	65,767	74,416	23%	
Multilateral development banks	273,951	23,847	273,951	12,048	85,576	30%	
International organisations	983	1,089	983	1,089	-	0%	
Institutions	2,782,273	921,852	2,781,559	629,578	1,413,986	41%	
Corporates	14,459,976	35,000,387	13,810,450	16,041,130	26,290,802	88%	
Retail	3,243	693	3,243	362	2,704	75%	
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	405,762	135,905	405,762	67,953	473,689	100%	
Exposures in default	108,228	40,387	108,228	29,654	206,351	150%	
Higher-risk categories	559,958	495,576	525,579	411,376	1,405,656	150%	
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	0%	
Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	1,280,574	581,787	1,280,190	309,420	1,439,410	91%	
Collective investment undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	0%	
Equity	14	-	14	-	14	100%	
Other items	13,876	-	13,876	-	8,310	60%	
Total	38,599,073	37,354,207	38,540,053	17,652,014	31,586,388	56%	

Table 63: CR4 - Standardised approach – Credit risk exposure and CRM effects 31
December 2018

EUR Thousands	Exposures befor	e CCF and CRM	Exposures post	CCF and CRM	RWAs and RWA density		
Exposure classes	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	RWAs	RWA density	
Central governments or central banks	17,748,288	220,295	17,664,145	111,806	119,612	1%	
Regional government or local authorities	106,118		106,118	-	177	0%	
Public sector entities	142,407	77,670	113,860	38,938	32,457	21%	
Multilateral development banks	359,694	20,049	359,577	10,025	33,262	9%	
International organisations	497	1,089	497	1,089	-	0%	
Institutions	4,050,647	1,144,563	4,042,661	729,027	2,872,887	60%	
Corporates	16,544,600	31,924,185	15,558,549	14,178,345	27,387,423	92%	
Retail	16,869	2,151	16,851	650	13,126	75%	
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	161,129	13,202	160,988	6,601	167,553	100%	
Exposures in default	752,333	319,054	681,211	191,633	1,300,898	149%	
Higher-risk categories	237,783	585,539	224,657	443,769	1,002,639	150%	
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	0%	
Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	-	-	-	-	0%	
Collective investment undertakings	7,297	-	7,297	-	7,297	100%	
Equity	57	-	57	-	57	100%	
Other items	40,929	-	40,929	-	32,209	79%	
Total	40,168,648	34,307,798	38,977,396	15,711,883	32,969,596	60%	

Prior year comparatives have been restated in line with final regulatory submissions.



Table 64: CR5 - Standardised approach - Risk Weighted 31 December 2019

This table provides the breakdown of exposures under the standardised approach by asset class and risk weight.

EUR Thousands					Risk weight						Of which
Exposure classes	0%	2%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total	unrated
Central governments or central banks	18,648,326	-	20,066	-	127,835	-	72,223	-	179,179	19,047,628	15,364,333
Regional government or local authorities	104,765	-	2,634	-	-	-	-	-	-	107,399	107,240
Public sector entities	-	-	319,653	-	911	-	10,030	-	-	330,594	98,724
Multilateral development banks	200,424	-	-	-	-	-	85,576	-	-	286,000	85,566
International organisations	2,072	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,072	983
Institutions	-	293,874	950,538	-	1,897,450	-	269,274	1	-	3,411,137	510,673
Corporates	-	-	1,894,642	-	4,339,700	-	23,367,667	249,571	-	29,851,580	18,450,708
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	3,605	-	-	-	3,605	3,597
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	39	_	-	473,675	_	-	473,715	473,715
Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	499	137,384	-	137,883	128,582
Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	936,955	-	936,955	497,323
Covered bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-	-	-
Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit	-	-	66,926	-	623,878	-	468,247	430,560	-	1,589,610	(325)
assessment											
Collective investment undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	14	14
Other items	1,617	-	4,936	-	-	-	7,323	-	-	13,876	13,968
Total	18,957,205	293,874	3,259,395	39	6,989,773	3,605	24,754,527	1,754,471	179,179	56,192,067	35,735,100



Table 65: CR5 - Standardised approach - Risk Weighted 31 December 2018

EUR Thousands					Risk we	eight					
Exposure classes	0%	2%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Total	Of which unrated
Central governments or central banks	17,443,560	-	169,485	22,191	-	84,980	-	55,735	-	17,775,951	13,761,687
Regional government or local authorities	105,231	-	-	887	-	-	-	-	-	106,118	105,231
Public sector entities	-	-	-	150,423	-	5	-	2,370	-	152,799	1,606
Multilateral development banks	336,340	-	-	0	-	-	-	33,262	-	369,601	33,204
International organisations	1,586	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,586	494
Institutions	-	325,078	-	530,174	-	2,786,662	-	655,285	474,490	4,771,688	886,096
Corporates	-	-	-	1,352,336	-	3,087,986	-	24,743,790	552,782	29,736,895	18,749,482
Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	17,501	-	-	17,501	17,501
Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	-	56	-	-	167,533	-	167,589	167,589
Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,736	856,108	872,844	851,881
Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	668,425	668,425	294,453
Covered bonds										-	
Institutions and corporates with a short-term credit assessment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -
Collective investment undertakings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,297	-	7,297	7,297
Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57	-	57	57
Other items	5,512	-	-	4,009	-	-	-	31,408	-	40,929	39,756
Total	17,892,228	325,078	169,485	2,060,021	56	5,959,633	17,501	25,713,472	2,551,805	54,689,279	34,916,333



14 Market Risk

Table 66: MR1 - Market risk under the standardised approach 31 December 2019

The table displays CHIL's components of own funds requirements under the standardised approach for market risk. For CEP the RWA of Foreign exchange risk was €289 million as at 31 December 2019.

EUR Thousands	RWAs	Capital requirements
Outright products		
Interest rate risk (general and specific)	1,130,073	90,406
Equity risk (general and specific)	-	-
Foreign exchange risk	302,227	24,178
Commodity risk		
Options		
Simplified approach		
Delta-plus method		
Scenario approach		
Securitisation (specific risk)		
Total	1,432,299	114,584



15 Liquidity Risk

15.1 LCR Disclosure

The amounts reported in each quarter below, are the average of the previous 12-month end amounts preceding that particular quarter. The below table shows a stable trend for the CEP LCR throughout 2019.

Table 67: LIQ1 - LCR disclosure

	unsolidation (consolidated) nd units (EUR millions)	Total unweighted	Total unweighted	Total unweighted	Total unweighted	Total weighted value	Total weighted	Total weighted	Total weighted value
•	ding on (DD Month YYY)	value 31/03/2019	value 29/06/2019	value 28/09/2019	value 31/12/2019	31/03/2019	value 29/06/2019	value 28/09/2019	31/12/2019
	data points used in the calculation of averages	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
HIGH-QUAL	ITY LIQUID ASSETS								
1	Total high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)					18,748	19,431	20,315	20,787
CASH-OUTF	LOWS								
2	Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers, of which:	3,927	3,962	3,701	2,849	924	990	955	763
3	Stable deposits	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	Less stable deposits	3,754	3,716	3,432	2,609	751	743	686	522
5	Unsecured wholesale funding	24,248	25,218	26,360	26,806	12,755	13,375	14,224	14,468
6	Operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks of cooperative banks	8,915	9,112	9,250	9,410	2,208	2,273	2,312	2,352
7	Non-operational deposits (all counterparties)	15,333	16,106	17,110	17,396	10,547	11,102	11,912	12,115
8	Unsecured debt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Secured wholesale funding					0	0	0	0
10	Additional requirements	18,283	18,391	19,027	19,823	3,386	3,373	3,539	3,774
11	Outflows related to derivative exposures and other collateral requirements	977	962	932	875	919	892	865	821
12	Outflows related to loss of funding on debt products	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Credit and liquidity facilities	17,306	17,429	18,095	18,948	2,467	2,481	2,674	2,953
14	Other contractual funding obligations	2,526	2,455	2,532	2,135	2,457	2,387	2,464	2,067
15	Other contingent funding obligations	16,696	16,846	16,984	16,964	929	936	944	945
16	TOTAL CASH OUTFLOWS					20,451	21,060	22,127	22,016
CASH-INFLO	ows								
17	Secured lending (eg reverse repos)	2,219	2,688	3,293	3,914	407	656	920	1,010
18	Inflows from fully performing exposures	4,735	4,634	4,693	4,571	3,448	3,397	3,430	3,315
19	Other cash inflows	1,210	1,314	1,718	1,805	1,104	1,045	1,287	1,221
EU-19a	(Difference between total weighted inflows and total weighted outflows arising from transactions in third countries where there are transfer restrictions or which are denominated in non-convertible currencies)					0	0	0	0
EU-19b	(Excess inflows from a related specialised credit institution)					0	0	0	0
20	TOTAL CASH INFLOWS	8,164	8,636	9,704	10,290	4,959	5,098	5,637	5,546
EU-20a	Fully exempt inflows	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EU-20b	Inflows Subject to 90% Cap	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EU-20c	Inflows Subject to 75% Cap	8,164	8,636	9,704	10,290	4,959	5,098	5,637	5,546
21	LIQUIDITY BUFFER					18,748	19,431	20,315	20,787
22	TOTAL NET CASH OUTFLOWS					15,492	15,962	16,490	16,470
23	LIQUIDITY COVERAGE RATIO (%)					121.02%	121.74%	123.20%	126.21%



15.2 Asset encumbrance

Assets or collateral are considered encumbered when they have been pledged or used to secure, collateralize or credit enhance a transaction which impacts their transferability and free use.

As at 31 December 2019, €8,496m of assets were encumbered. The assets available on the CEP consolidated IFRS balance sheet were €55,228mm with a further €14,076mm of collateral received. The asset encumbrance ratio for the December 2019 was 12.2%. The encumbrance ratio reduced from 14.3% in December 2018, driven by an increase in both the balance sheet size and the collateral received and not encumbered.

Items which have been reported as encumbered are listed below:

- Pledged for intraday liquidity requirements;
- derivative assets (loans placed with counterparties to cover negative net mark to market derivative balances);
- amounts placed with the Central Bank, which are part of the minimum or required reserves has been conservatively assigned as encumbered on the basis that they cannot be "freely withdrawn";
- · cash placed with clearing houses;
- collateral pledged in repurchase agreements;
- past due exposure from loans.



Table 68: Asset encumbrance

The values shown below represent the median values of the quarter-end numbers in 2019.

Temp	late A - Encumbered and unencumbered assets				
		Carrying amount	Fair value of	Carrying amount	Fair value of
		of encumbered	encumbered	of unencumbered	unencumbered
		assets	assets	assets	assets
		EUR mm	EUR mm	EUR mm	EUR mm
010	Assets of the reporting institution	2,896	0	52,956	0
030	Equity instruments	0	0	94	0
040	Debt securities	513	513	3,377	3,637
050	of which: covered bonds	0	0	0	0
060	of which: asset-backed securities	0	0	0	5
070	of which: issued by general governments	360	360	3,124	3,297
080	of which: issued by financial corporations	153	153	264	266
090	of which: issued by non-financial corporations	0	0	5	5
120	Other assets	2,426	0	49,415	0

Temp	late B - Collateral received		
		Fair value of encumbered collateral	Fair value of collateral received or
		received or own debt securities	own debt securities issued available
		issued	for encumbrance
	_	EUR mm	EUR mm
130	Collateral received by the reporting institution	6,067	5,583
140	Loans on demand	0	0
150	Equity instruments	0	0
160	Debt securities	6,067	5,127
170	of which: covered bonds	0	0
180	of which: asset-backed securities	1,217	1
190	of which: issued by general governments	4,476	4,852
200	of which: issued by financial corporations	131	248
210	of which: issued by non-financial corporations	0	0
220	Loans and advances other than loans on demand	0	0
230	Other collateral received	0	522
240	Own debt securities issued other than own covered bonds or asset-backed securities	0	0
250	TOTAL ASSETS, COLLATERAL RECEIVED AND OWN DEBT SECURITIES ISSUED	8,963	0

Template C - Sources of encumbrance					
		Matching liabilities, contingent liabilities or securities lent	debt securities issued other than		
		EUR mm	EUR mm		
010	Carrying amount of selected financial liabilities	395	408		
020	Derivatives	364	377		
030	of which: Over-The-Counter	364	377		
040	Deposits	31	31		
050	Repurchase agreements	31	31		
120	Other sources of encumbrance	141	8,386		
170	TOTAL SOURCES OF ENCUMBRANCE	536	8,963		



16 2019 Remuneration Statement

16.1 Introduction

The remuneration disclosures are applicable to Citibank Holdings Ireland Limited (**CHIL**) and its operating entity Citibank Europe plc (**CEP**). As CEP is the sole subsidiary and employing entity of CHIL, the remuneration section of the disclosure is prepared at the CEP level and covers all jurisdictions CEP operates within.

16.2 Remuneration Governance

CEP Remuneration Committee

The CEP Remuneration Committee (**RemCo**) was formed in September 2014 per Regulation 83 (1) of SI 158 European Union (Capital Requirements) Regulations 2014 (**SI 158**).

The RemCo has responsibility for the review and approval of proposed decisions regarding remuneration of CEP employees and CEP Material Risk Takers (MRTs). This includes decisions which have implications for risk and risk management of CEP and which are to be taken by the Board of Directors of CEP (Board).

When reviewing such decisions, to ensure compliance by CEP with its obligations under the European Union (Capital Requirements) Regulations 2014 2013/36/EU (known as **CRD IV**) and European Banking Authority Guidelines on Sound Remuneration Policies (**EBA Guidelines**), the RemCo shall take into account the long-term interest of CEP's shareholders, investors, any other stakeholders in CEP and the public interest.

The CEP RemCo acts as the Remuneration Committee of CEP, whereas the Personnel and Compensation² Committee fulfils the same role at the group level. The role and responsibilities of the RemCo are set out in its Terms of Reference as approved by the Board and which are available from the CEP Company Secretary.

The RemCo is empowered to draw upon internal and external expertise and advice as it determines appropriate. It has the ability to review the appointment of external remuneration consultants that the Board and / or Committee may decide to engage for advice or support.

The RemCo met 7 times in 2019 and for the 2019 performance year, the RemCo members were:



² The Personnel and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Citigroup Inc.

All Independent Non-Executive Directors also served on the CEP Risk Committee in 2019.

Global Remuneration Committee

The Personnel and Compensation Committee (P&C Committee), oversees Citi's 3 global remuneration policies and practices. It annually reviews the compensation structures for members of senior management and a number of highly compensated and/or regulated individuals, in accordance with guidelines established by the P&C Committee, and provides oversight of the design and structure of incentive programs globally in the context of risk management.

The P&C Committee's Terms of Reference are documented in the P&C Committee Charter, which establishes the scope and mandate of the P&C Committee's responsibilities and the general principles governing the remuneration policy of the firm globally.

P&C Committee members are all independent non-executive directors, selected and appointed on factoring in their background and experience in business generally and in remuneration, corporate governance and/or regulatory matters specifically, and their capability to fulfil their responsibilities as P&C Committee members.

Material Risk Takers

In accordance with the CRD IV and SI 158, which transposes Directive 2013/36/EU into Irish law, CEP annually identifies its Material Risk Takers and maintains a record of those identified. This process captures the categories of individuals whose professional activities are determined as having a material impact on CEP's risk profile.

CEP identifies MRTs principally using its understanding of the criteria for identification as set out in the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 604/2014 and the EBA Regulatory Technical Standards (RTS) for MRT identification. CEP made a number of enhancements to the identification approach for 2019.

16.3 Compensation Philosophy

CEP's Compensation Philosophy is consistent with Citi's Compensation Philosophy which is online available at: https://www.citigroup.com/citi/investor/data/comp phil policy.pdf?ieNocache=805.

Employee compensation is a critical tool in the successful execution of Citi's corporate goals.

Citi's compensation policies and practices are designed to support achievement of business strategy whilst ensuring an effective risk management framework and incentivising appropriate behaviours.

The Compensation Philosophy describes Citi's approach to balancing the five primary objectives that Citi's compensation programs and structures are designed to achieve.

Citi's Compensation Philosophy is closely linked to the ongoing work in embedding culture, including through the Citi Mission and Values Proposition and the Citi Leadership Standards The Compensation Philosophy also sets out Citi's commitment to managing risk, and management receives clear direction from the Personnel and Compensation Committee (P&C Committee) to use discretion in awarding incentive compensation consistently with risk mitigation principles.



³ Citigroup Inc.

Design and Structure of Remuneration 16.4

Ratio of Fixed to Variable Remuneration

CEP seeks to balance the components of reward between fixed and variable remuneration, and short and long-term components. Where required, an annual review of the balance between fixed and variable remuneration takes place and, adjustments are made to the fixed element of pay to ensure that an appropriate balance of fixed versus variable continues to be maintained. The aggregate of fixed remuneration paid MRTs for 2018 is set out in the "Remuneration awarded to MRTs for 2019 performance year" Table 69.

Following the introduction of CRD IV, CEP annually seeks formal shareholder approval to apply a maximum fixed-to-variable ratio of 1:2 for MRTs in CEP, with the most recent approval being granted in the fourth guarter of 2019 for the 2019 performance year. Approval of the ratio was sought from P&C Committee, which is the board committee of the ultimate parent company as well as from Citibank Holdings Ireland Limited as 100% shareholder of CEP, following recommendation from the Board.

Fixed Remuneration - Salary, Role Based Allowances and Benefits

CEP's fixed remuneration is set to appropriately attract, retain and motivate employees, in line with market practices. Fixed remuneration primarily reflects an employee's professional experience and organisational responsibilities as set out in the employee's job description and terms of employment, and includes the following elements:

- Salary
- Standard benefits including pension
- Role Based Allowances (RBA) for a limited number of roles

All of these elements are classified as fixed remuneration on the basis of the EBA Guidelines (including that they are permanent and do not depend on performance).

Pension and other non-cash benefits are offered to employees as part of an overall reward package. CEP aims to provide pension and other benefits across all units/business groups, which are competitive against the external market.

RBAs have been assigned to a limited number of roles to reflect organisational responsibilities. The decision of whether a particular role is eligible for an RBA is subject to the approval of the RemCo. The rationale for granting an RBA is clearly articulated by reference to the eligibility criteria, including specific details on the duties and responsibilities of the role.

Variable Remuneration - Discretionary Incentive and Retention Award Plan

Discretionary Incentive and Retention Award Plan (DIRAP) is Citi's main discretionary variable remuneration plan⁴, and applies globally.



⁴ MRTs are eligible for a supplemental cash award.

It is designed to incentivise, reward and retain employees based on their current and prospective performance and contribution.

Citi operates a fully flexible remuneration policy, including the possibility to pay zero variable remuneration.

Deferrals and Retention Periods

Awards made under the DIRAP are typically in the form of cash, deferred cash, Citi equity and/or Citi deferred equity.

Awards under DIRAP are subject to mandatory deferral where the individual's total annual variable remuneration awarded under DIRAP exceeds globally set thresholds. The Citi standard deferral policy period is four years. Typically, at least 50% of deferred awards are made in the form of Citi equity and are therefore inherently performance-based. Citi believes that awarding deferred equity and deferred cash are effective means of aligning employee interests with those of stockholders and other stakeholders.

MRTs are subject to deferral rates of between 40% and 100% of total variable compensation with 60% deferral when total variable compensation is equal to or above €500k / £500K / or the local currency equivalent in line with regulatory requirements. The proportion of upfront and of deferred variable remuneration delivered in equity is at least 50%.

Deferred awards to MRTs vest over at least three years; deferred awards vest over five years for CEP Senior Management⁵ MRTs) or MRTs employed by CEP Austria, as per local requirements; and deferred awards vest over seven years for CEP MRTs performing as Senior Managers designated under UK Prudential Regulation Authority (**PRA**) or Financial Conduct Authority (**FCA**) regulation.

Awards for MRTs are subject to a further retention period post-vesting. This is six months for deferred cash awards and typically twelve months for equity awards and deferred equity awards. However, for CEP Senior Management MRTs, CEP Austria MRTs and CEP MRTs who are PRA/FCA-designated Senior Managers, if they are not identified under RTS article 3(1) or 3(3) then only a six-month retention applies on deferred equity.

In line with the EBA Guidelines, Citi has discontinued payment of dividends on deferred equity and of interest on deferred cash to Material Risk Takers.

MRTs who fall within the 2019 approved CEP *de-minimis* thresholds were subject to Citi's mandatory deferrals.

Total variable remuneration to CEP MRTs and the composition between cash, equity and deferred elements are included in the "Remuneration awarded to MRTs for the 2019 performance year" Table 69.

⁵ Senior Management as defined under articles 3(1) and 3(3) of the EBA regulatory technical standards on criteria to identify categories of employees whose professional activities have a material impact on an institution's risk profile under Article 94(2) of Directive 2013/36/EU.



92

Performance Based Vesting

Deferred awards for MRTs and Covered Employees⁶ are subject to Performance Based Vesting (**PBV**) conditions as an additional ex-post adjustment mechanism. This structure further balances for risk and aligns the actual pay-out to employees with business performance.

The PBV for deferred equity awards is formulaic with the pay-out contingent on future performance. The trigger for application of a pay-out reduction is the emergence of pre-tax losses in the "reference business" for the calendar year ending immediately prior to the vesting date of a given tranche of deferred equity.

Deferred cash awards are subject to discretionary PBV performance based vesting, which may result in cancellation of all or part of unvested awards where an employee has significant responsibility for a material adverse outcome, such as events, which lead to serious financial or reputational harm to Citi.

Malus / Clawback

Deferred remuneration is subject to pre-vesting adjustment (**Malus**) including in the circumstances envisaged in the EBA Guidelines.

Individuals are also informed that as an MRT, all awards whether of immediate cash or stock, or deferred cash or stock will be subject to Clawback. This means that the Company may at any time during a certain period require repayment or otherwise recover an amount corresponding to some or all of the immediate cash or stock, or deferred cash or stock award made. If the Company determines that it is appropriate to recover or require repayment of some or all of the Award, then it shall be entitled to offset and/or make deductions from an individuals salary or from any other sums due to them from the Company or any Associated Company.

16.5 Link between Pay and Performance

Remuneration is determined by a combination of factors, which include firm, business and individual performance/contribution. Individual performance ratings reflect both 'what' outcomes have been achieved and 'how' they were achieved. Performance ratings then guide bonus decision-making.

Bonus pool decisions are based on many factors such as:

- Year over year business performance
- Performance compared with plan for the current year
- Performance against key risks (including conduct risk, operational risk) and controls objectives
- Performance relative to peers.

Individual Performance

⁶ Covered Employees are identified at the global level and are defined as follows. Group 1 Covered Employee: Senior executives with firm-wide responsibilities (Section 16 and executive officers). Group 2 Covered Employee: Senior employees who, individually, can take, or influence the taking of, material risk for Citigroup or for a material business unit. Group 3 Covered Employees - Employees in similar roles and with similar incentives who could, acting as a group, create material risk for Citigroup or a material business unit, even though they are not likely capable of doing so if acting alone.



93

One of Citi's key compensation principles is to "promote meritocracy by recognising employee contributions".

The performance assessment of all CEP employees is based on individually tailored goals (the "What") and an assessment against Citi's Leadership Standards (the "How"). Employees receive two performance ratings, one for each of the 'What' and the 'How'.

Citi's Leadership Standards outline behavioural expectations for each employee to demonstrate leadership, reinforcing the work that Citi has done to create a culture that serves and protects clients and other shareholders in the economies and communities in which Citi operates.

Citi's Leadership Standards:

Develops our people:

Builds talent and teams for Citi by creating a culture of meritocracy and transparency, and celebrating excellence, initiative and courage

- Inspires and empowers the team to work collaboratively to achieve superior results
- Creates an environment where people hold themselves to the highest ethical standards
- Models personal growth and consistently provides coaching and feedback in support of ongoing development and retention
- Attracts great talent, builds a diverse talent pipeline, and recognizes, rewards, promotes based on performance

Drives value for clients:

Enables economic value and positive social impact for clients, companies, governments, and communities

- Puts clients first by anticipating, understanding, and exceeding their expectations and needs
- Acts as a trusted partner to clients by delivering superior advice, products and services
- Brings the best of Citi and knowledge of global issues and market trends to create value and good will with clients
- Drives innovation, competitive differentiation and speed to market by actively learning from others

Works as a partner:

Works collaboratively across the firm and encourages others to achieve the best results for Citi and our clients

- Exemplifies global leadership by embracing unique perspectives from across Citi to achieve the best solutions
- Challenges self and colleagues to higher levels of performance by actively listening and engaging in constructive dialogue



 Treats people with respect and assumes the intentions of others are based on common goals and shared purpose

Champions progress:

Champions a culture of high standards, pushes for progress, embraces change and challenges the status quo in support of Citi's vision and global strategy

- Communicates a vision that is forward looking and responsive to changes in the environment
- Inspires enthusiasm and mobilizes resources for productive and innovative change
- Exhibits confidence and agility in challenging times
- Sets a positive tone when implementing Citi-wide change initiatives

Lives our values:

Ensures systemically responsible outcomes while driving performance and balancing short and long term risks

- Sets the standard for the highest integrity in every decision
- · Leads by example; willing to make difficult choices in support of Citi and our stakeholders
- Makes Citi better for all by putting the clients' and Citi's interests ahead of individual or team interests
- · Has the courage to always do what's right and the humility to learn from mistakes

Delivers results:

Sets high standards and achieves performance objectives by creating a clear path toward ethical and sustainable results

- Translates Citi's strategy into effective business plans while proactively overcoming obstacles
- Prioritizes and provides a clear line of sight to the most critical work
- Sets goals and measures progress to ensure the organization is focused on ethics, execution, and results
- Expects self and team to consistently meet/exceed expectations

CEP also conducts an annual independent review process pursuant to which the control functions provide an evaluation of risk behaviours of MRTs. The risk behaviour rating from this independent review process is included in the performance evaluation system to inform the performance review conducted by the individual's manager. The performance evaluation system includes formal risk goals for all MRTs as well as a formal manager-provided risk rating.

The CEP Senior Leadership Team are also subject to an enhanced performance assessment approach that captures feedback from the Board at year-end.



Remuneration of Control function employees

In terms of remuneration for employees in control functions, whilst remuneration levels are influenced by Citi's overall performance, individual compensation is determined within the function and pay decisions are based on assessments against measurable goals and targets, which are set by each function.

CEP maintains the independence of key functions (e.g. Compliance and Risk Management) to minimise any scope for potential conflicts of interests. No business unit has the potential to influence individual awards in the control functions. CEP ensures performance management and compensation decisions for function personnel, are directed by function management, and not the business unit.

16.6 Other Remuneration Policies

Guarantees, Buyouts and Retention Payments

Citi has guidelines in place with respect to guarantees that apply to all employees across the EMEA region, including employees of CEP. Citi's guidelines on guarantees provide that guaranteed incentive awards for employees can only be made in exceptional circumstances, in the context of recruitment and with reference to the first year of service and provided the legal entity has a sound and strong capital base.

As part of the governance framework, HR regularly monitors the number of guarantees that are awarded to new hires by the business and the award of guarantees for MRTs requires RemCo review and approval. The "Guaranteed bonus, sign-on and severance payments made to MRTs in 2019" Table 70 includes 2019 guaranteed awards made to MRT hires.

Awards which buy out equity or similar instruments which are forfeited as a result of resigning employment with another employer and joining CEP are generally permitted but must not be more generous in either amount or terms than that provided by the former employer, and be subject to appropriate retention, deferral, performance and clawback arrangements. These awards are included in "MRT Deferred Remuneration" Table 71.

Retention payments can only be made in exceptional circumstances, for example, during major restructuring, during a merger process, or where a business is winding down, such that particular employee need to be retained on business grounds.

Severance

Severance payments are subject to appropriate governance and approvals. CEP's severance payment guidelines are in line with the EBA Guidelines and provide that severance:

- Should not provide for a disproportionate reward but should represent appropriate compensation for early termination of employment.
- Should not reward failure, misconduct or be paid where immediate termination of the employment contract is permitted.
- Are not paid to employees transferring between legal entities, unless required by law.

The "Guaranteed bonus, sign-on and severance payments made to MRTs in 2019" Table 70 includes severance payments made to MRTs, whose employment terminated in 2019.



Personal Hedging

Citi has trading policies that limit hedging strategies that might otherwise undermine the risk alignment effects of their remuneration arrangements. Citi's Code of Conduct applies to all Citi employees, executive officers and directors and states that, when considering personal investments in Citi securities, an individual must avoid any personal trade or investment in a security, derivative, futures contract, commodity, or other financial instrument if the trade or investment might affect or appear to affect the individual's ability to make unbiased business decisions for Citi

Further employees subject to the EBA Guidelines on Remuneration Policies are prohibited from engaging in personal hedging strategies or taking out remuneration or liability related contracts of insurance that undermine or may undermine any risk alignment effects of their remuneration arrangements.

In addition, Citi's Personal & Investment Trading Policy (PTIP) prohibits "Covered PErsons" (separately defined for this purpose) and related persons from hedging in any manner (other than currency hedges) unvested restricted equity or deferred equity awarded under Citi's Capital Accumulation Program (CAP)



Table 69: Remuneration Awarded to MRTs 2019 Performance Year

				All other MRTs	
EUR millions (i)	Management Body (ii)	Managamant (iii)	Investment Banking	Independent Control Functions	All Other (iv)
Number of employees (v)(vi)	9	17	63	12	10
Total fixed remuneration (vii)	2.4	6.0	19.7	2.6	2.6
Total variable remuneration (viii)	1.8	3.7	15.0	0.9	1.1
Of which: cash-based	0.9	1.9	7.4	0.5	0.6
Of which: deferred	0.8	0.7	3.3	0.1	0.2
Of which: shares or other share-linked instruments (ix)	1.0	1.8	7.6	0.4	0.5
Of which: deferred	0.9	0.8	3.9	0.2	0.2
Of which: other forms	-	-	-	-	-
Of which: deferred	-	-	-	-	-
Total remuneration	4.2	9.8	34.8	3.5	3.6

- i. All non-EUR awards are converted using the European Commission exchange rate for financial programming and the budget for December 2019.
- ii. Management Body as defined under articles 3(1) and 3(2) of the EBA regulatory technical standard on criteria to identify categories of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on an institution's risk profile under Article 94(2) of Directive 2013/36/EU.
- iii. Senior Management as defined under article 3(3) of the EBA regulatory technical standard on criteria to identify categories of staff whose professional activities have a material impact on an institution's risk profile under Article 94(2) of Directive 2013/36/EU.
- iv. All Other category includes all other employees who cannot be mapped into one of the other categories e.g. Operations & Technology, Retail Banking and Corporate Functions.
- v. Number of employees reflects CEP MRTs as at 31st December 2019. Remuneration data excludes individuals where figures are already captured in PRA regulatory returns.
- vi. As at 31st December 2019, four CEP MRTs were also members of the CHIL Board of Directors. External Directors receive additional fixed remuneration for their roles.
- vii. Fixed remuneration includes salary, role based allowance and the value of pension and benefits.
- viii. Variable remuneration awarded in respect of 2019 performance year. In accordance with the shareholder approval obtained in 2019, variable component of remuneration of an MRT for any one year can be set up to a maximum of 200% of the fixed remuneration.
- ix. Share-based awards are made in Citi shares and represent value at grant.



Table 70: Guaranteed bonus, sign-on and severance payments made to MRTs

	Guarantee	eed bonuses Sign-on		n awards Severance payr		payments
EUR millions (i)	Number of employees	Total amount	Number of employees	Total amount	Number of employees	Total amount
Management Body	-	-	-	-	-	-
Senior Management	-	-	-	-	3	0.8
Other MRTs	-	-	-	-	5	0.8
Investment Banking	-	-	-	-	4	0.6
Independent Control Functions	-	-	-	-	-	-
All Other	-	-	-	-	1	0.2

- i. All non-EUR awards are converted using the European Commission exchange rate for financial programming and the budget for December 2019.
- ii. Severance payments allocated to MRTs terminated during 2019, which include redundancy payments and statutory severance. None of these severance payments were included in the ratio of variable to fixed remuneration for 2019 performance year in line with the EBA Guidelines (paragraph 154 (a) (c)).
- iii. The highest severance in 2019 was a redundancy payment made to an employee for the amount of EUR 0.50m.
- iv. The total amount of severance payments reflects final amounts paid out to individuals.



Table 71: MRT Deferred Remuneration

EUR millions (i)	Outstanding defe remuneration as at Do of w	ecember 31, 2019 (ii),	Of which: Total amount of outstanding deferred and retained remuneration exposed to ex post explicit and/or implicit	Total amount of amendment during the year due to ex post explicit adjustments	Total amount of amendment during the year due to ex post implicit adjustments	Total amount of deferred remuneration paid out in the financial year (v)	Total amount of deferred remuneration awarded in the financial year (vi)
	Unvested	Vested (iii)	adjustment				
Management Body	3.3	0.3	3.6	-	-	1.4	1.6
Of which: cash-based	1.4	-	1.4	-	-	0.5	0.7
Of which: shares or other share-linked instruments	1.9	0.3	2.2	-	-	0.9	0.9
Of which: other forms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Senior Management	3.6	1.4	5.0	-	-	2.8	2.6
Of which: cash-based	1.5		1.5	_	-	0.6	0.7
Of which: shares or other share-linked instruments (iv)	2.1	1.4	3.5	-	-	2.3	1.8
Of which: other forms	-		-	_	-	-	-
All other MRTs	17.2	6.5	23.7	-	-	12.7	11.3
Investment Banking	15.8	5.9	21.7	-	-	11.5	10.2
Of which: cash-based	6.3	-	6.3	-	-	2.6	3.2
Of which: shares or other share-linked instruments	9.6	5.9	15.4	-	-	8.9	6.9
Of which: other forms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Independent Control Functions	0.5	0.3	0.8	-	-	0.5	0.5
Of which: cash-based	0.2	-	0.2	-	-	0.1	0.1
Of which: shares or other share-linked instruments	0.3	0.3	0.6	-	-	0.4	0.3
Of which: other forms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
All Other	0.8	0.4	1.2	-	-	0.7	0.7
Of which: cash-based	0.3	-	0.3	-	-	0.1	0.2
Of which: shares or other share-linked instruments	0.5	0.4	0.9	-	-	0.6	0.5
Of which: other forms	-	-	- [-	-	-	-

- i. Value of all non-EUR cash and share awards are converted using the European Commission exchange rate for financial programming and the budget for December 2019.
- ii. Value of outstanding share awards is calculated using Citi closing share price as at December 31, 2019.
- iii. Total outstanding deferred remuneration that has vested but is under restriction as at December 31, 2019. Value of shares has been calculated as of vest date.
- iv. Includes both shares and any stock units granted
- v. Shares are considered paid when vested. The Fair Market Value (FMV) is determined by the closing New York Stock Exchange stock price for Citigroup common stock on the trading day immediately prior to the award's vest date. vi. Value of share-based awards made in 2019 represents value at grant.



Table 72: 2019 Remuneration Banding for Annual Compensation of Individuals Earning at least EUR 1 million

Total Remuneration (in EUR)	Number of individuals(ii)
1,000,000 to 1,500,000	6
1,500,000 to 2,000,000	2
2,000,000 to 2,500,000	-
2,500,000 to 3,000,000	1
3,000,000 to 3,500,000	-
3,500,000 to 4,000,000	-
4,000,000 to 4,500,000	
4,500,000 to 5,000,000	-
5,000,000 to 6,000,000	
6,000,000 to 7,000,000	-
7,000,000 to 8,000,000	-
8,000,000 to 9,000,000	-
9,000,000 to 10,000,000	-
Greater than 10,000,000	-
TOTAL(iii)	9

- i. All non-EUR awards are converted using the European Commission exchange rate for financial programming and the budget for December 2019.
- ii. The number of individuals reflects those remunerated over EUR 1 Million within the MRT population as at 31st December 2019.
- iii. Individuals included in above count are located across a number of European countries i.e. Ireland, Spain, Sweden, UK



17 CEP & CHIL Board and Senior Management Disclosures

The following senior management disclosures are made in accordance with CRR Article 435.2 and pertain to the regulated operating company, CEP.

Board Composition

The CEP Board of Directors (Board) is comprised of nine directors as follows:

- Four Independent Non-Executive Directors, meaning that they are considered to meet the criteria for independence.
- Three Non-Executive Directors, one being directors without executive management responsibility in CEP but who have executive management responsibilities within the Group and two being directors without executive management responsibilities within CEP or Group.
- Two Executive Directors, being directors employed by CEP, with executive day-to-day management responsibilities.

The CEP Board is committed to identifying and appointing the best qualified people to serve on the Board. Board appointments are based on merit and candidates are considered against objective criteria, having due regard for the benefits of diversity on the Board, including gender. The Board Diversity Policy applies to the recruitment of all directors of the Board and sets out the approach to increasing the diversity of management at Board level, including specific targets for gender representation. The Board aspires to have a composition in which female representation is 30 percent. As of 31 December 2019, 44 percent of the Board were female.

The selection criteria for Non-Executive Directors of CEP are designed to ensure that they bring an independent view point to the deliberations of the Board that is objective and independent of the activities of management and CEP. A key responsibility of the CEP Nomination Committee is to lead the process for Board appointments and for identifying and nominating, for approval by the Board, candidates for appointment to the Board. The Board's breath of expertise is outlined in further detail in the biographical summaries later in this appendix.

All newly appointed Directors receive induction training, which includes training on directors' duties under Irish law (Companies Act 2014, relevant governance provisions of Statutory Instrument No. 158 of 2014 and the Corporate Governance Requirements for Credit Institutions 2015).

The Board is responsible for the appointment of the Chief Executive Officer and Senior Management (i.e. Pre- Approved Control Functions per the 2014 Fitness & Probity Standards issued by the Central Bank of Ireland (Standards)) with appropriate integrity and adequate knowledge, experience, skill and competence. Candidates are recruited and selected in accordance with Citi Human Resources standard recruitment policy and procedures and relevant CEP policies.

The Nomination Committee is mandated to consider the appointment of Senior Management. All Senior Management appointments are required to comply with the Standards.

Distinction between the Roles of Executive and Non-Executive Directors

A fundamental distinction is drawn between the roles of executive and Non-Executive directors.

The Non-executive directors must have a knowledge and understanding of the business, risks and material activities of CEP to enable them to contribute effectively. The Independent non-executive directors must comprise individuals with relevant skills, experience and knowledge (such as accounting, auditing and risk management knowledge) that provide independent challenge to the Executive directors of the Board.



CEP's Audit Committee is comprised of four Independent non-executive directors. CEP's Board Risk Committee is comprised of a non-executive directors, the majority being independent. The Chairperson of these committees set the agenda for the committee meetings. Dedicated support is available to Independent Non-Executive Directors on any matter requiring additional and/or separate advice to that available in the normal Board process, including:

- Full and unhindered access to the business, which involves the receipt of detailed presentations given by business or control functions;
- Technical training in the form of Board tutorials. These regular tutorials cover a wide range of subjects including: capital and liquidity requirements, anti-money laundering rules and recovery and resolution planning.

Table 73: Directorships held by Citibank Europe plc Board of Directors 31 December 2019 (including Citi Directorships)

Name	Total number of Directorships
Susan Dean	2
Breffni Byrne	3
Desmond Crowley	3
Patrick Dewilde	3
John Gollan	5
Ebru Packan	1
Cecilia Ronan	4
Jeanne Short	1
Zdenek Turek	3
Total	25

Please note that the full biographies of CEP's Board of Directors members are available in the Appendix.



Table 74: Memberships of the CEP Board of Directors 31 December 2019

Membership 31 December 2019

Name	Gender	Role	Duration of Board Membership
Susan Dean	Female	Non-Executive Director – Chair	3 yrs 9 mths
Breffni Byrne	Male	Independent Non-Executive Director	6 yrs 8 mths
Desmond Crowley	Male	Independent Non-Executive Director	2 mths
Patrick Dewilde	Male	Non-Executive Director	11 mths
John Gollan	Male	Independent Non-Executive Director	9 mths
Ebru Pakcan	Female	Non-Executive Director	2 yrs 10 mths
Cecilia Ronan	Female	Executive – Chief Administrative Officer and Citi Country Officer	9 yrs 5 mth
Jeanne Short	Female	Independent Non-Executive Director	2 yrs 6 mths
Zdenek Turek	Male	Executive – Chief Executive Officer	6 yrs 1 mth

CHIL Board Composition

The CHIL Board reviews and approves the Pillar 3 Disclosures. CHIL does not currently have any committees.

Table 75: Directorships held by CHIL Board of Directors 31 December 2019

Name	Total number of Directorships
Susan Dean	2
Zdenek Turek	3
John Gollan	5
Silvia Carpitella	3
Total	13

Table 76: Memberships of the CHIL Board 31 December 2019

Membership 31 December 2019

Name	Gender	Role	Duration of Board Membership
Susan Dean	Female	Non-Executive Director – Chair	3 yrs 2 mths
Zdenek Turek	Male	Executive – Chief Executive Officer	4 yrs
Silvia Carpitella	Female	Executive – Chief Financial Officer	8 mths
John Gollan	Male	Independent Non-Executive Director	8 mths



18 CEP Committees

Board Risk Committee

The Board Risk Committee (BRC) is a Committee of the Board and is governed by Terms of Reference approved by the Board. The BRC has responsibility for the oversight and advice to the Board on the current risk exposures of CEP and future risk strategy taking account of the overall risk appetite and the current and future financial position of CEP, whilst ensuring the development and on-going maintenance of an effective risk management system.

The BRC plays an active role in the development of CEP's ICAAP and ILAAP along with reviewing and recommending the ICAAP and ILAAP for approval by the Board.

On an ongoing basis, the BRC is responsible for advising the Board on the effectiveness of the strategies and policies with respect to maintaining, on an ongoing basis, amounts, types and distribution of both internal capital and own funds adequate to cover the risks of CEP.

The BRC must also advise the Board of its recommendations on necessary adjustments of the risk strategy resulting from changes in the CEP business model or market developments, or from recommendations made by the risk function. The BRC is furthermore tasked with overseeing the implementation of strategies for capital and liquidity management as well as for all the remaining relevant risks applicable to CEP, including market, credit, operational, reputational and IT risks.

The BRC's annual review of the CEP Recovery Plan prior to submission to the Board ensures that a comprehensive view of capital and liquidity risk is considered in this analysis.

To fulfil its risk monitoring responsibilities, the BRC relies on a number of management committees to ensure all material risks are being appropriately identified, measured, managed and where possible and appropriate, mitigated. The Executive Committee is the delegated committee of the Board with responsibility for overseeing the risk management committees. In addition, the Chief Risk Officer (CRO) reports to the BRC on material risks and how these are being managed at each scheduled meeting.

The current composition of the BRC is set out below:

Table 77: CEP Board Risk Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019	
Jeanne Short	Chair - Independent Non-Executive Director
John Gollan	Independent Non-Executive Director
Breffni Byrne	Independent Non-Executive Director
Desmond Crowley	Independent Non-Executive Director
Susan Dean	Non-Executive Director

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is a Committee of the Board and is governed by its Terms of Reference approved by the Board. The purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility for the integrity of CEP's consolidated financial statements, financial reporting process, CEP's systems of internal accounting and financial controls, and the performance of Internal Audit.

The Audit Committee has a responsibility to raise any concerns or recommendations regarding the audit of CEP's consolidated financial statements or the effectiveness of the CEP's internal control over financial reporting to Citigroup's Audit Committee. The Audit Committee also assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility relating to compliance with policy standards, guidelines for risk assessment and compliance by CEP with local legal and regulatory requirements, including CEP's disclosure controls and procedures, where applicable.



The current composition of the Board Audit Committee is set out below:

Table 78: CEP Board Audit Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019

John Gollan	Chair – Independent Non-Executive Director	
Breffni Byrne	Independent Non-Executive Director	
Desmond Crowley	Independent Non-Executive Director	
Jeanne Short	Independent Non-Executive Director	

Remuneration Committee

The Remuneration Committee is a Committee of the Board and is governed by its Terms of Reference approved by the Board. It has responsibility for decisions regarding remuneration on behalf of the Board, including those that have implications for Risk and CEP Risk Management, which are to be taken by the Board. When reviewing such decisions, the Remuneration Committee takes into account the long-term interest of its shareholders, investors and other stakeholders in CEP and the public interest.

The Remuneration Committee assists with the design and implementation the CEP's Remuneration Policy to ensure that remuneration practices do not promote excessive risk taking. The Remuneration Committee also evaluates CEP's compliance with the Remuneration Policy, and assesses whether CEP's remuneration practices are creating the desired incentives for managing risk, capital and liquidity.

The current composition of the Remuneration Committee is set out below:

Table 79: CEP Remuneration Committee Composition

Men	nharchir	31 F	December	2010
IVICII	IIDELOIIL	JOIL	Jecember	2013

Jeanne Short	Chair (Interim) – Independent Non-Executive Director
Breffni Byrne	Independent Non-Executive Director
Desmond Crowley	Independent Non-Executive Director

Nomination Committee

The Nomination Committee is a Committee of the Board and is governed by its Terms of Reference approved by the Board. The Nomination committee is responsible for leading the process for appointments to the Board and making recommendations to the Board on all new appointments of both executive and non-executive directors. It is also responsible for assessing the structure, size and composition of the Board, in particular as compared to relevant EBA Guidelines, and making recommendations to the Board with regard to any changes. The Nomination Committee is also involved in succession planning for the Board, taking into account the future demands on the business and the existing level of skills and expertise.

The Nomination Committee also ensures members of the Board receive comprehensive and tailored induction training to enable their effective contribution from their appointment, and that all members of the Board receive appropriate training in a timely manner on an ongoing basis.

The current composition of the Nomination Committee is set out below:

Table 80: CEP Nomination Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019

Breffni Byrne	Chair – Independent Non-Executive Director
Susan Dean	Independent Non-Executive Director
Jeanne Short	Independent Non-Executive Director



Related Party Lending Committee

The Related Party Lending (RPL) Committee is a Committee of the Board and is governed by its Terms of Reference approved by the Board. The RPL Committee, under delegated authority from the CEP Board, is responsible for ensuring policies and procedures are in place to identify individual loans to a related party as defined in, and per the requirements of, the Code of Practice on Lending to Related Parties 2013 as issued by the Central Bank of Ireland (RPL Code).

The Committee ensures Central Bank reporting requirements in respect of related party exposures on a quarterly basis are fulfilled, and reports to the Board on any and all material matters relating to the RPL Code and otherwise as the RPL Committee considers necessary for the purpose of ensuring the proper discharge by the Board of its obligations under the RPL Code.

The membership of the Related Party Lending Committee is set out below:

Table 81: CEP Related Party Lending Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019	
Cecilia Ronan	Chief Administrative Officer and Citi Country Officer – Chair
Silvia Carpitella	Chief Financial Officer
Monika Starzak	Country Controller
Martina Williams	Head of Human Resources
Mark Satterthwaite	Head of Compliance
Silvia Carpitella	CEP Treasurer (Interim)
Anthony Brennan	Risk Representative and Head of Credit Risk
Stephen Fedor	Head of Private Bank
Davinia Conlan	Ireland Country Counsel

Management Committees

Executive Committee

The CEP Board has delegated authority to the Executive Committee to take key decisions regarding the management of, and set the direction for, CEP, in line with Board-approved Strategic Plan and the recommendations and decisions of the Board.

The Executive Committee is responsible for the day-to-day running of CEP and for the management of CEP's relationship with regulatory authorities. It manages and oversees the ongoing business activities of CEP in accordance with the business model, strategic plan, risk appetite, policies, instructions and guidelines established by the Board, and applicable legislation and regulatory requirements.

The Executive Committee reports to the Board as required, which may be upon request from the Board, and acts as the primary forum for ensuring the CEP's business goals and client service and leadership standards are embedded throughout CEP.

Key responsibilities of the Executive Committee with respect to finance, capital, liquidity and risk include:

- Monitoring CEP's overall financial and business performance against key objectives
- Reviewing, prior to Board approval, CEP's financial plan for the forthcoming financial year including a
 profit and loss statement, balance sheet, funding structure, capital structure, liquidity, solvency and
 rating targets.
- Monitoring on a continuous basis the internal operations of CEP against the financial plan set for each financial year, including financial plans relating to operations, liquidity, capital resources and investments and report regularly to the Board the outcome of such monitoring.



- Either directly or in conjunction with the ALCO, reviewing and recommending to the Board proposals for the allocation of capital within CEP
- Taking decisions regarding material matters escalated to it for decision by the ALCO in the discharge
 of its responsibilities relating to the capital, liquidity and balance sheet position of CEP.
- Receiving updates on the overall risk profile of CEP along with key risk issues from the Chief Risk
 Officer and taking such action as may be required in the light of those updates
- Ensuring that all appropriate risk considerations are incorporated within the strategic planning and budgeting process, risk appetite setting, recovery planning and capital and liquidity planning processes on an ongoing basis
- Taking decisions regarding material matters escalated to it for decision by the Credit Committee in the discharge of its responsibilities relating to the monitoring, oversight and control of CEP's credit portfolios
- Taking decisions regarding material matters escalated to it for decision by the Operational Risk Committee, including relating to outsourcing activities.

The current composition of the Executive Committee is set out below:

Table 82: CEP Executive Committee Composition

Memb	archin	21 D	acam	hor ?	201a

Michiberonip of December 2010	
Zdenek Turek	Chief Executive Officer
Cecilia Ronan	Chief Administrative Officer and Citi Country Officer
Silvia Carpitella	Chief Financial Officer
Mark Satterthwaite	Head of Compliance
Barry Ryan	Chief Risk Officer
Nigel Kemp	General Counsel
Colin Moreland	Head of TTS
Cormac Donohoe	Head of Markets
Auke Leenstra	Head of Banking

The Company Secretary to the Board also act as Company Secretary to the Executive Committee. In addition, the Executive Committee has a number of permanent attendees including the Chief Auditor, Head of Human Resources and the Head of Operations and Technology.

Credit Committee

The Credit Committee is the sub-committee of the Executive Committee responsible for monitoring, overseeing and controlling the credit portfolios of CEP and its branches.

The Executive Committee has delegated authority to the Credit Committee to:

- Review CEP's Credit Risk Management Framework (Framework) and any associated policies, which
 sets out good governance, roles and responsibilities and applicable regulatory guidance, on an annual
 basis and recommend any changes to the Executive Committee for onward approval by the Board
- Monitor and review credit risk exposure, profile and adherence to credit risk appetite and approval in line with the Credit Risk Management Framework, Credit Policies and the Risk Appetite Statement
- Approve large credit facilities in line with the threshold set out as part of CEP's credit approval authorities
- Undertake portfolio reviews and business deep dives and invite relevant business and risk employees to attend meetings to discuss specific portfolio and credit related matters.



- Review the portfolio and any concentrations therein from numerous perspectives including, but not limited to, single name obligors, industry, country and sovereign risk.
- Review the non-performing exposures and their level of provision.
- Receive regular reports from the Credit Risk Management Function and branches in relation to credit risk assessment and credit risk management.
- Review material matters from the Impairment Working Group including Expected Credit Loss (ECL) under IFRS9 added
- Review the output of the Credit Quality Assurance Function which validates that the credit risk
 assessment and credit risk management processes are completed in line with Irish and European
 regulatory standards and requirements

The current membership of the Credit Committee is set out below:

Table 83: CEP Credit Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019

Chief Risk Officer – Chair
Chief Executive Officer
Chief Administrative Officer
Chief Financial Officer
Head of Credit Risk
CEP Treasurer
Head of Trade
Head of Banking

Product Review Committee

The Product Review Committee (PRC) is a subcommittee of the Executive Committee. The purpose of the Committee is to consider and approve proposals for the development of new markets, products and services and/or significant changes to existing markets, products and services, in CEP.

The Executive Committee has delegated authority to the Product Review Committee to:

- Review the Company's New Product Approval Framework (Framework), any associated policies and applicable regulatory guidance on an annual basis and recommend any changes to the Framework to the Executive Committee for onward approval by the Board
- Review proposals for new products or services, changes to existing products or services or entering new markets proposed by a business unit, and consider the risk impacts of such proposals
- Review post-implementation assessments of approved proposals at agreed intervals to evaluate performance against business case
- Report to the Executive Committee on a regular basis regarding new product review approvals and issues identified.
- In reviewing proposals, the Committee shall take into account the impact of the new activities and the specific associated risks, including the impact on the Company's regulatory compliance, risk profile, liquidity, market, credit, operational and reputational risk.

The current membership of the Product Review Committee is set out below:



Table 84: CEP Product Review Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019

·
Chief Risk Officer – Chair
Chief Executive Officer
Chief Administrative Officer
Chief Financial Officer
General Counsel
CEP Treasurer
Head of Compliance
Head of Credit Risk
Head of Market and Liquidity Risk
Head of Operational Risk Management
Head of Enterprise Risk
Head of Risk Analytics
Head of O&T

Operational Risk Committee

The Operational Risk Committee (ORC) is a subcommittee of the Executive Committee. The purpose of the Committee is to assist the Executive Committee in identifying and assessing the operational risks associated with the activities of Citibank Europe plc (Company) and maintain oversight over the adequacy and effectiveness of CEP's Operational Risk Management Framework, associated policies and internal control processes.

The Executive Committee has delegated authority to Operational Risk Committee to:

- Review CEP's Operational Risk Management Framework, sub-frameworks (and associated frameworks, which set out the principles of good operational risk management, roles and responsibilities and applicable regulatory guidance on an annual basis and recommend any changes to the Executive Committee.
- Identification and assessment of the operational risk inherent in all material products, activities, process and systems to ensure that the inherent risks and incentives are understood.
- Ongoing monitoring of CEP's operational risks, changes in the operational risk profile, adherence with
 the operational risk appetite approved by the Board in CEP's Risk Appetite Statement, potential
 exposures to material losses and emerging risks and escalate matters requiring attention to the
 Executive, Risk and/or Audit Committees, in a timely manner.
- Consider significant control issues that do or may impact CEP's business activities and escalate as appropriate, review and approve remedial action plans, oversee implementation of remedial action plans within agreed timeframes and monitor closure.
- Review the results of the annual risk and controls assessments process performed by CEP as part of the Manager's Control Assessment and the results of regular risk and control assessments of CEP.
- Review regulatory reports on operational risk post submission of those reports to the relevant regulatory body as appropriate.
- Monitor the level of operational risk training undertaken at all levels throughout CEP to assist in ensuring a strong and proactive risk management culture in CEP.

The current composition of the table is set out below.



Table 85: CEP Operational Risk Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019

Chief Risk Officer – Chair
Head of Operational Risk
Chief Executive Officer
Chief Administrative Officer
Head of Compliance
Chief Financial Officer
General Counsel
Head of TTS
Head of Markets
Head of Private Banking
Head of Banking
CEP O&T Head

Operating Committee

The Operating Committee is a sub-committee of the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee has delegated authority to the Operating Committee to oversee the implementation of the strategic objectives, business strategy, financial plan and operating plan set by the Board and the ongoing business activities of the branches.

The Committee reviews the performance of the business across CEP, particularly in the branches and Citi Service Centres, and also by product and by function, and report on such performance to the Executive Committee and any other Board Committees which may be relevant. This includes oversight of business risks and controls of relevance to the branches, oversight of product review issues identified by the Product Review Committee and relevant to products available through the branches.

The current composition of the Operating Committee is set out below:

Table 86: CEP Operating Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019

Chief Executive Officer – Chair
Chief Administrative Officer and Citi Country Officer
Chief Financial Officer
Head of Compliance
Head of Human Resources
CEP Treasurer
Chief Risk Officer
General Counsel
Business Head: TTS
Business Head: Investor Services
Business Head: DCC
Business Head: Markets
Business Head: Issuer Services
Business Head: Banking & Cluster Head: Benelux and Austria
Cluster Head: CEE
Cluster Head: Nordics
Cluster Head: Southern Europe
Cluster Head: UK Branch
Head of O&T for Europe Cluster and for CEP
Chief Information Officer



Financial Crime Governance Committee

The Financial Crime Governance Committee is a sub-committee of the Executive Committee. The primary purpose of the Financial Crime Governance Committee is to oversee the Anti-Money Laundering (AML), Sanctions, and Anti-Bribery and Corruption (AB&C) programmes across CEP and its branches. The Committee also considers fraud and cyber-security related matters that may increase the risk of money laundering or terrorist financing. It escalates material issues to the Audit Committee in a timely manner where appropriate.

The membership of the Committee is set out below:

Table 87: Financial Crime Governance Committee

Membership 31 December 2019

Head of Compliance – Chair
EMEA AML, Sanctions and AB&C Head
Chief Administrative Officer and Citi Country Officer
Ireland AML Head/AML Compliance Officer
Ireland AML Compliance Officer
Continental Europe AML Cluster Head
EMEA Regional FIU Oversight & Governance Head
EMEA Sanctions Head
CEP Fraud Oversight (GIFR)
Ireland Sanctions and AB&C Compliance Officer
AML Compliance Assurance Officer
Funds Transfer Agency Compliance Officer
EMEA Head of Transfer Agency
Dublin KYC Business Support Unit Head
Fraud Management Officer
Head of Information Security
CEP Internal Legal Counsel
CEP Internal Audit

Asset and Liability Committee

The ALCO is a sub-committee of the Executive Committee. The ALCO provides oversight and governance of CEP's Balance Sheet including capital, funding, liquidity and market risk. In this regard, the ALCO is an integral part of the overall risk management framework and functions as a forum for senior management to ensure adherence to corporate-wide policies and procedures, regulatory requirements, rating agency commitments and, as necessary, to recommend and implement appropriate funding plans.

The primary responsibilities of the ALCO are to:

- Provide country oversight of market and liquidity risks, transfer pricing and balance sheet management across businesses.
- Understand the economic and rate views of the countries within the region as used in forecasts and scenarios.
- Evaluate the proposed asset and deposit levels on balance sheets of the region's significant legal vehicles by country, including key initiatives for asset reduction, structural liquidity usage, capital market debt and regional funding plans.
- Endorse that the CEP legal vehicle is appropriately capitalized.
- · Review the stress tests associated with these risks.
- Endorse Annual Funding & Liquidity plans and the associated liquidity limits, structural funding and cross currency limits ratios.



- Monitor any limit breaches and target shortfalls, & monitor progress against liquidity plans, Risk Appetite Limits and Recovery Triggers including modifications of plans.
- Approve the transfer pricing and liquidity benchmarks for CEP.
- Understand key legal and regulatory constraints the CEP legal vehicle, as well as emerging issues.
- Review Company key documents in order to recommend for approval, including the ILAAP and ICAAP, and Group policies applicable to the Company, insofar as they are relevant to the Committee's responsibilities.

The current composition of the ALCO is set out below:

Table 88: CEP Asset and Liability Committee Composition

Membership 31 December 2019

Chief Executive Officer – Chair
Chief Financial Officer
CEP Treasurer
European Bank Treasurer
Chief Risk Officer
Head of Compliance
Chief Administration Officer and Citi Country Officer
Treasurer Hungary
CEP Head of TTS
CEP Head of Markets and Securities Services
Treasurer Bulgaria and Romania
Treasurer Czech and Slovakia
Head of Market & Liquidity Risk
CEP Head of Banking



19 Conflict of interest policy

In line with the requirements of the EBA Guidelines on internal governance under Directive 2013/36/EU (EBA/GL/2017/11), the following section provides an overview of the conflict of interest policy applicable to CEP and to the management body.

As CEP is a significant European financial services provider and part of a global banking group, its Board of Directors seeks to operate to the highest ethical standards in compliance with all relevant laws, regulations, codes and guidance in each of the jurisdictions in which the Company conducts business.

The Board has responsibility for overseeing the implementation of the Citigroup Code of Conduct which includes the conflicts of interest policy for the Company and its staff. A copy of the Citigroup Code of Conduct, is available on the Citigroup Investor Relations website.

The Board must also be in a position to identify actual or potential conflicts of interest affecting Board members, and manage them fairly and appropriately, so as to ensure objective decision-making, oversight and compliance with external and internal requirements. Accordingly the Board has established a separate CEP Board Conflicts of Interest Standard (Standard) relating solely to the Board.

The Standard sets out the principles and requirements applicable to the Directors who have or may have personal interests or duties that conflict with the interests of, or their duties to, the Company. The Standard, together with any applicable terms of a Director's letter of appointment, reflects and supplements the applicable requirements in that regard contained in:

- Irish Companies Act 2014
- Central Bank of Ireland Corporate Governance Requirements for Credit Institutions 2015
- European Union (Capital Requirements) Regulations 2014 (S.I. 158/2014) relating to EU Directive 2013/36/EU (CRD)
- Guidelines on internal governance under CRD issued by the European Banking Authority on 26 September 2017 (EBA/GL/2017/11)
- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision Guidelines Corporate Governance Principles for Banks July 2015



20 Business Continuity Management

20.1 Governance and Oversight

2013/36/EU (EBA/GL/2017/11), the following section provides an overview of CEP's business continuity management framework.

Citi's global, enterprise level Continuity of Business (CoB) Program provides a framework for Citi's business and technology organizations to recover their processes in the event of a business interruption or technology service disruption.

CoB planning and testing across CEP is aligned with Citi's CoB Policy and CoB Standards. Citi's CoB Policy and Standards collectively define the minimum control requirements with which all CEP businesses are required to comply, including location and proximity risk assessment, business continuity and crisis management plan documentation, testing methodologies, quality reviews, and risk reporting.

A governance framework, supported by dedicated CoB resource, is in place to ensure all Citi Businesses, including CEP, implement and adhere with Citi's CoB Program.

To provide CEP Management with a holistic CoB view of program compliance and CoB risks a CoB Monthly Operating Report ('MOR') has been implemented within CEP. This provides a comprehensive CEP view on all CoB Key Risk Indicators ('KRI's') covering all elements of Citi's CoB Program; CEP's CoB Coordinator and/or Regional CoB Head attend the CEP Technology Oversight Working Group ('TOWG') to provide an update on CEP's CoB and to review KRIs. The CEP TOWG, with representation from CEP CoB, identifies and defines the CEP IT Risk Management Framework.

Each country in which CEP has a presence has a Country CoB Coordinator supported by a Regional CoB Team and Regional CoB Head.

Additionally, CEP has appointed a CEP CoB Coordinator to support the consistent execution of Citi's CoB Policy and Standards across CEP in conjunction with the Regional CoB Team.

CEP CoB Coordinator:

- Attends CEP TOWG; reviews KRIs and updates TOWG on CEP CoB program
- Identifies and validates the CoB Trac entities within Europe which are relevant for inclusion in the CoB Monthly Operating Report for Citibank Europe Plc
- Provides direction and support regards CoB to the CEP Management
- Provides status updates to the CEP Management team in the event of an incident/ crisis management/CoB invocation within the CEP
- Each business has assigned Business Recovery Coordinators ('BRCs') and Business Unit Heads ('BUHs') who are responsible for recovery practices.

Country CoB Coordinator

 Manages implementation of the CoB Policy and Standards requirements and other CoB Program elements, including documentation of BIAs, BRPs, and CMPs, testing of BRPs and CMPs, management reporting, training and awareness for all business units in the Country



- Oversees business unit compliance with local and regional Business Continuity-related regulations in conjunction with the local compliance officer and the regional Business Continuity Management Team(s)
- Serves as Country Infectious Disease Coordinator responsible for delivering all requirements as outlined in the Infectious Disease Operating Plan ('IDOP')
- Provides direction and support to all Business Recovery Coordinators ('BRCs') within the Country
- Responsible for ensuring the Country Crisis Management Plan is complete, compliant, and maintained according to CoB Standards
- Provides status updates to the Regional BCM team in the event of an incident/crisis management/CoB invocation within the Country

CITI Country Officer (covering all legal entities including CEP)

- Responsible for ensuring that an effective CoB Program is in place in the country
- Invokes Country CMP or BRP
- Final responsibility for coordinating and approving Country CMP
- Responsible for ensuring compliance with all CoB legal requirements and required supporting documentation from local compliance officer within the country
- Acts as the lead contact with regulators for all businesses in country
- May designate the Country CoB Coordinator
- Works in partnership with all local Citi executives, including CSC Site Heads where applicable, in the planning and execution of BRP and CMP

20.2 Risk Assessment

Risk assessment is mandated by Citi COB Policy for all CEP Products/Functions. It takes the form of a corporate standard Business Impact Analysis (BIA) at the individual business entities level, and a Proximity Risk Assessment undertaken only for the highest risk locations.

Business Impact Analysis is conducted on an annual basis. The goals of risk assessment include:

- Identification of the potential impact of uncontrolled, non-specific events
- Consideration of adverse events along a broad scale including major operational disruptions
- Analysis of all business departments, not just information technology
- Estimation of the allowable downtime and acceptable levels of data, operations and financial losses

Planning

Business units and technology organizations must document and maintain plans for the recovery of their processes in the event of a business interruption or technology service disruption.



Each CoB Entity must create and maintain a Business Recovery Plan ('BRP') specific to that CoB Entity.

Risks

Citi's CoB Program supports the safety and soundness of Citi's businesses through consistent enterprise-wide Continuity of Business risk management practices, including risk assessment, recovery planning, testing, and crisis management. The scope of the program includes planning for and testing of business process and technology recovery capabilities, as well as crisis management communication channels. The overall effectiveness of the program is determined by the ability to maintain operations and technology availability in line with business objectives.

To this end, as defined in Citi CoB Policy and Standards all Citi Businesses are required to implement a CoB Program that includes: Assessment processes; Crisis Management Plans; Recovery Planning; Testing; Maintenance; Independent Review; Monitoring and Reporting; and Training and Awareness.

20.3 Key Controls

Citi CoB entities within the geographical footprint of Citibank Europe Plc ('CEP') have been established in accordance with Citi's CoB Policy and Standards. Citi's global CoB Program – defined in Citi's CoB Policy and Standards - provides a framework for Citi's business and technology organizations to recover their processes in the event of a business interruption or technology service disruption.

Each CoB entity includes Business Functions and associated CoB Processes. A CoB Entity, Business Function and/or CoB Process can support more than one Legal Entity as CoB planning is based around a Process performed (irrespective of Legal Entity).

Testing

Business and technology testing must verify that processes can be recovered in line with the Business's continuity objectives, as defined by the Business Impact Analysis process. Business Recovery Coordinators are responsible for ensuring that test objectives are met. Business recovery teams, crisis management teams, infrastructure teams, and application teams must participate in CoB testing, as appropriate. Detailed requirements for testing are defined in the CoB Testing Standards.

CoB Monthly Operating Report ('MOR')

Report stating the Monthly Executive Summary, Key Risk Indicator, CoB Assessment/Validation, CoB Planning, CoB Testing, CoB Technology Invocation Performance, Audit and Regulatory issues, Crisis Management, Risk Exception and Training, ARP and TRP Compliance.

Monitoring & Reporting (M&R) Survey

On an annual basis, Business Recovery Coordinators for CoB Entities that were created at least 120 days prior to the end of the annual CoB reporting/ testing period must complete the Monitoring and Reporting (M&R) survey and attest to the completeness and adequacy of their CoB program. The Business Unit Heads must approve the survey, thereby attesting to the adequacy of their CoB program.



Appendix 1: CEP & CHIL Board Member Biographies

CEP Directors' Board Membership and Experience 31 December 2019

Executive Directors

Zdenek Turek

Zdenek Turek is an Executive Director of CEP and is the Chief Executive Officer of CEP, as well as Europe Cluster Head, which includes 25 countries across the region.

Zdenek joined Citi in his native Czech Republic in 1991 where he held a number of banking and corporate finance management roles, before moving to Citi Romania in 1998 as Citi Country Officer. In 2002, Zdenek became Citi Country Officer of Hungary while also overseeing the Central European Cluster of five countries including Hungary, Czech Republic, Romania, Slovakia and Bulgaria.

In 2005, Zdenek was appointed Citi Country Officer for South Africa and Division Head for Africa with responsibility for the bank's business in the 16 countries of Citi operations in this region, based in Johannesburg.

In 2008, Zdenek joined Citi Russia as Citi Country Officer and served as CEO of Central and Eastern Europe, which included eight countries across the region.

Zdenek moved from Moscow to London in 2013, where he took on the role of Western Europe Cluster Head and subsequently EMEA Corporate Banking Head.

Prior to joining Citi, Zdenek worked for the Central Bank of Czechoslovakia and A.I.C., a management consulting company.

Zdenek graduated with an MA in Finance and Banking from University of Economics, Prague in 1986. His further studies included the Advanced Management Development Program at the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania in 1997 and the Executive MBA program at INSEAD, from which he graduated in 2010.

Cecilia Ronan

Cecilia Ronan is an Executive Director of CEP and is the Citi Country Officer (CCO) for Citi Ireland, Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) for the Europe cluster, and CAO for CEP.

Appointed CCO in 2018, Cecilia leads the Citi franchise in Ireland, overseeing 2,500 people. As CCO, Cecilia works with Product and Function Heads to design, execute and embed the business strategy in Ireland. She leads and is accountable for the management of risk and controls and protecting and enhancing Citi's brand in the Irish market. In addition, she is responsible for the attraction, development and retention of the outstanding people that Citi's values, business and strategy require.

In addition to responsibilities as CCO, Cecilia is also CAO for Citibank Europe Plc (CEP) a position she has held since 2012, providing strategic and operational leadership for the European legal vehicle, which employs over 9,000 people across 22 countries.

Cecilia joined Citi in 2000 as a Senior HR Business Partner, before becoming Head of HR for the Irish Franchise and Citibank Europe Plc. Prior to that, Cecilia worked in Microsoft Ireland and across a range of roles in Government and NGOs in Africa.



Cecilia has a Bachelor of Civil Law from University College Dublin and a Masters in HR from Leicester University. Cecilia is a member of the Board of the National College of Ireland, a Fellow of the Institute of Bankers and a member of the FSI Executive Board.

Independent Non-Executive Directors

Breffni Byrne

Breffni Byrne is an Independent Non-Executive Director of CEP and Chair of the Nomination Committee. He is a Non-Executive Director for Zurich Insurance plc and CPL plc, a company listed on the Irish Stock Exchange.

He is a former chair of Aviva's General Insurance and Life Insurance operations in Ireland and of NCB Stockbrokers and Tedcastle Holdings. He is also a former Non-Executive Director and Audit Committee chair of Irish Life and Permanent PLC, Ark Life, Hikma Pharmaceuticals Plc and Coillte Teoranta Ltd.

A chartered accountant, he was formerly Managing Partner of the Audit and Business Advisory practice of Arthur Andersen in Ireland and Risk Management Director of Andersen's audit practices in the Middle East, India, Africa and the Nordic countries.

Desmond Crowley

Desmond (Des) Crowley is an Independent Non-Executive Director of CEP and Chair of the Remuneration Committee. Des attended Trinity College Dublin from 1976 – 1980, Economic & Social Studies (now BESS) and graduated with a B.A. Mod. (Economics and Mathematical Economics). Des joined Andersen Consulting in 1980 and worked on a variety of consulting assignments in Financial Services, Government and Pharmaceutical in the UK, USA, Scandinavia and Ireland until 1988, when he joined bank of Ireland Group to lead the Technology development team.

Des was previously employed by Bank of Ireland Group, where he had a varied career running business units and parts of the Retail Bank. In March 2000 he became a member of the Bank of Ireland Group Executive Committee, on being appointed Chief Executive of Retail Banking. Des was appointed Chief Executive of UK Financial Services, Director of Bristol & West plc and Bank of Ireland UK Holdings plc in January 2006.

He was appointed Chief Executive - Retail UK Division in March 2012. Des was previously chair of Post Office Financial Services and is Director of First Rate Exchange Services, the foreign exchange joint venture with UK Post Office. Des is also Director of New Ireland Assurance Company plc.

John Gollan

John Gollan is an Independent Non-Executive Director of CEP and Chair of the Audit Committee.

John is also a member of the Supervisory Board and chair of the Audit Committee of Euronext NV. He chairs the Board of Euronext London Ltd. Currently he is also Chair of the charity, Brain Research UK, which funds research into neurological conditions.

Previously John was a board member of NYSE LIFFE and a non-executive director of Merrill Lynch International, where he chaired the board Risk Committee, and Bank of America Merrill Lynch International Limited, where he chaired the board. His executive career includes roles as Board Chair, CEO and CFO, working in the United Kingdom, Europe and Asia in banking, fund management and financial markets with Standard Chartered, Lloyds Bank, Gartmore and SIX Group. John was also the practice leader of KPMG's Financial Services Consulting, Asia and is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales.



Jeanne Short

Jeanne Short is an Independent Non-Executive Director of CEP and Chair of the Board Risk Committee. She is the former CRO of UBS APAC region, a role she held from 2012 to 2016. Jeanne has over 40 years' experience in the banking industry, broad sector and geographical knowledge. In her role, Jeanne was part of the senior management team of Risk Control and of APAC at UBS, reporting to a UBS Group Executive Board Member.

Prior to UBS, Jeanne held a number of positions at Charterhouse Bank and Hambros Bank.

Jeanne was previously a Director of Charterhouse Bank, UBS Limited and a member of the Board of Governors of the Bridge Academy.

Non-Executive Directors

Susan Dean

Susan joined the board of CEP as a Non-Executive Director in March 2016 and in September 2016, she was appointed as Chair.

Before leaving Citigroup in 2011, Susan was the Chief Financial Officer of Citi's Institutional Clients (ICG) businesses globally. Susan joined Salomon Brothers in 1987. Other, previous roles included: Chief Financial Officer for the Citigroup franchise in EMEA; head of ICG Operations and Technology; past sponsor of CitiWomen.

Susan qualified as an accountant with PriceWaterhouseCoopers.

Ebru Pakcan

Ebru Pakcan is a Non-Executive Director of CEP. Ebru is the Head of Treasury and Trade Solutions business for Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) based in London. Ebru is actively engaged with Citi's clients across Corporate, Financials Institutions and Public Sector entities, developing payment, cash management and trade financing solutions to meet their evolving requirements. She leads a team of business managers, product and sales specialists across a set of diverse markets and disciplines.

Prior to her current role, Ebru managed the Global Payments and Receivables business for the Treasury and Trade Solutions (TTS) based in New York until Nov 2016 and held the same position for EMEA region based in London between 2008 and 2012.

Ebru joined Citibank in Turkey in 1997 as a Project Manager in Technology department and later expanded responsibilities in Operation and Technology division in various management positions. She held numerous roles across multiple countries including the position of the Securities Country Manager (SCM) in Turkey, managing the custody and clearing business.

In 2004, she moved to London to lead the Transaction Services EMEA Implementation team, responsible for the end-to-end delivery of new business mandated by Citi's institutional clients in the EMEA region.

Ebru holds a degree in Computer Engineering from Bogazici University in Istanbul, Turkey.

Ebru has been actively engaged with the Payments industry, having served on the Board of CHAPS Clearing Company in UK until 2012 and the Board of The Clearing House Payments Company L.L.C. in the United States until 2016. She currently sits on Citi's Operating Committee for Europe, Middle East and Africa and she is a Director of the Board of Citibank Europe Plc in Ireland.

Patrick Dewilde

Patrick Dewilde is a Non-Executive Director of CEP. Patrick was appointed Head of Markets and Securities Services, Asia Pacific ex-Japan in April 2014 and retired after 41 years in Banking in March 2018.



Patrick began his career in KBC in Belgium before joining Citibank in Bahrain in 1983, in Treasury Marketing and Sales. He relocated to Turkey in 1984 and was later appointed Head of Investment Bank. Patrick then moved to Vienna in 1989 to run the Austrian Treasury and Investment Bank. He became CCO of Austria from 1991 to 1994, then relocated to Philippines as Head of Investment Bank and Country Treasury from 1994 to 1998, before moving to Hong Kong in 1998. He relocated to London in late 2003 to run the Sales and Trading for Middle East, Turkey and Israel.

In 2005, Patrick established the Risk Treasury for CEEMEA based in London covering 33 countries. From 2010 to 2014, Patrick was concurrently the Regional Markets Head for South and East Africa, Turkey and Israel.

Other CHIL Directors' Board Membership and Experience 31 December 2019

Executive Directors

Silvia Carpitella

Silvia Carpitella is an Executive Director of CHIL and is the Chief Financial Officer of CEP. Silvia joined Citi in 1989 in Internal Audit, Italy and has over 20 years' experience in CEP and in the Financial Services Industry. She has extensive local and European regulatory and governance experience throughout her career and with the Central Bank of Ireland, European Central Bank and with BaFin.

Silvia was previously Chief Financial Officer of Germany/Austria and Principality of Monaco, and Cluster Chief Financial Officer for Switzerland, Israel, Russia, Ukraine and Kazakhstan. Her coverage included Switzerland, Germany and Italy where the main legal entities were subsidiaries and branches of Citibank N.A.

Silvia also serves on the Board of Citibank Espana S.A. and of Citigroup Wealth Management S.A.M. in the Principality of Monaco. She graduated 110 cum laude in Business Administration at the University of Florence.

