

1 DOUGLAS HAN (SBN 232858)
2 SHUNT TATAVOS-GHARAJEH (SBN 272164)
3 JASON ROTHMAN (SBN 304961)
4 **JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION**
5 751 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 101
6 Pasadena, California 91103
7 Tel: (818) 230-7502
8 Fax: (818) 230-7259

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

10 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
11 **FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE**

12 ADRIAN ESCOBEDO, individually, on behalf
13 of other members of the general public similarly
14 situated;

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 AMERIPEC, INC., a California corporation; and
18 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive;

19 Defendants.

Case No.: 30-2020-01170846-CU-OE-CXC

Assigned for All Purposes to:
Honorable Peter Wilson
Department CX-101

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION OF DOUGLAS HAN IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS
ACTION SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEY
FEE AWARD, COST AWARD, AND
CLASS REPRESENTATIVE
ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT**

[Notice of Motion and Motion for Final
Approval; Declaration of Class Representative
(Adrian Escobedo); Declaration of Settlement
Administrator (Veronica Olivares); and
[Proposed] Order and Judgment filed
concurrently herewith]

Hearing Date: January 19, 2023
Hearing Time: 2:00 p.m.
Hearing Place: Department CX-101

Complaint Filed: November 18, 2020
FAC Filed: April 14, 2022
Trial Date: None Set

1 **DECLARATION OF DOUGLAS HAN**

2 I, **DOUGLAS HAN**, declare as follows:

3 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and founder of
4 Justice Law Corporation, attorneys of record for Plaintiff Adrian Escobedo (“Plaintiff”). The facts
5 set forth in this declaration are within my personal knowledge. If called as a witness, I could and
6 would competently testify as follows.

7 2. I am the managing attorney at the law firm of Justice Law Corporation (counsel of
8 record for Plaintiff) against Defendant Ameripecc, Inc. (“Defendant”).

9 3. I am one the attorneys who has been responsible for the prosecution of Plaintiff’s
10 claims on behalf of the Class against Defendant. My firm and I are referred to as Class Counsel.

11 4. This declaration is offered in support of Plaintiff’s motion for final approval of the
12 Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release, Amendment to the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and
13 Release, and Second Amendment to the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release (“Settlement
14 Agreement,” Settlement,” or “Agreement”) negotiated and entered at arm’s length by the Parties.

15 **CASE HISTORY**

16 5. On August 31, 2020, the then-plaintiff Todd Martin provided written notice to the
17 California Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) and Defendant.

18 6. On November 18, 2020, Todd Martin filed a representative Private Attorneys
19 General Act of 2004 (“PAGA”) action in the Superior Court of California, County of Orange.

20 7. On December 2, 2021, the Parties participated in a private mediation with Mark
21 Rudy. While the Parties did not settle at mediation, the mediator assisted the Parties in further
22 negotiations following mediation that eventually resulted in the settlement of this case.

23 8. On January 6, 2022, an amended written notice to the LWDA was submitted that
24 added Plaintiff as an additional PAGA representative. Following Todd Martin’s death, Plaintiff
25 filed a First Amended Complaint on April 14, 2022 that substituted Plaintiff with Todd Martin as
26 the plaintiff and added several wage-and-hour class action causes of action.

27 ///

28 ///

1 action is pending. (*Bihun v. AT&T Information System* (1993) 13 Cal.App.4th 976, 997.) Finally, a
2 “multiplier” is selected with reference to the following factors: (a) novelty and difficulty of the
3 issues; (b) skills displayed; (c) extent the nature of the litigation precluded other employment; and
4 (d) contingent nature of the fee award. (*Serrano*, at p. 49.)

5 18. Class Counsel charges rates commensurate with the prevailing market rates in the
6 Los Angeles County area for attorneys of comparable experience and skill handling complex
7 litigation. The hourly rates for individual lawyers who worked on this matter are as follows: (a)
8 \$850 per hour for Douglas Han; (b) \$750 per hour for Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh; and (c) \$650 per
9 hour for Jason Rothman. These hourly rates are commensurate with our individual backgrounds,
10 the background of our firm, and our training and experience in litigating class actions, particularly
11 wage-and-hour matters, as outlined below.

12 19. In support of Class Counsel’s hourly rates, attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is an
13 excerpt of the 2021 Real Rate Report compiled by Wolters Kluwer that surveyed the hourly rates
14 charged in 2021 by hundreds of attorneys in the Los Angeles County area. For example, the real
15 market rates of Los Angeles County area attorneys who practiced “Litigation” are surveyed at
16 page 17 of the report, which describes the 2021 rates charged by 342 Los Angeles County partners
17 and 433 Los Angeles County associates. For that category, the Third Quartile Los Angeles County
18 rates were \$1,042 per hour for partners and \$806 per hour for associates. Similarly, page 26 of the
19 report describes the 2021 rates charged by 70 Los Angeles County associates with “Fewer Than 3
20 Years” of experience. For this category, the Third Quartile Los Angeles County rate was \$622 per
21 hour for associates. Page 26 of the report also describes the 2021 rates charges by 128 Los
22 Angeles County associates with “3 to Fewer Than 7 Years” of experience. For that category, the
23 Third Quartile Los Angeles County rate was \$821 per hour for associates. Likewise, page 32 of
24 the report describes the 2021 rates charged by 173 Los Angeles County partners with “Fewer
25 Than 21 Years” of experience. For this category, the Third Quartile Los Angeles County rate was
26 \$1,065 per hour for partners. Finally, Class Counsel’s requested hourly rates are in line with the
27 Laffey Matrix, attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**.

28 ///

1 26. When Class Counsel take contingent cases, they must pay attention to the
2 economics involved. In such cases, Class Counsel anticipate they shall, if successful, receive a fee
3 exceeding their normal hourly rates, otherwise the risk is too great to bear. In other words, when
4 Class Counsel take on one matter, they are unable to take on others due to time-constraints. When
5 Class Counsel became involved in this case, they realized the time commitment it would entail
6 and were forced to turn down other matters.

7 27. Contingent-fee cases present the real risk of working hundreds, if not thousands, of
8 hours that may never be compensated. While the case is being litigated, counsel must pay
9 overhead (salaries, rent, etc.), provide for their own expenses, and pay the out-of-pocket expenses
10 incurred on plaintiff's behalf. Also, the prospect of a court-awarded fee does not greatly reduce
11 the risk posed by these cases. First, counsel must win the case to get a court-awarded fee. Second,
12 there are always the pitfalls and unforeseen obstacles that arise along the way (*i.e.*, settlement
13 offers clients may accept without ensuring counsel receive a reasonable fee, changes in the law
14 substantially affecting the merits of the case). Finally, there is the great risk inherent in advancing
15 tens, if not hundreds of thousands, of dollars in out-of-pocket expenses.

16 28. Class Counsel are acquainted with the contingent-fee market in California. Class
17 Counsel have negotiated numerous contingency-fee agreements with plaintiffs in the past. Many
18 of those agreements required counsel to receive a fee at least thirty-five percent (35%) of the
19 recovery. In fact, Plaintiff even signed a retainer agreement indicating Class Counsel could
20 receive a fee up to thirty-eight percent (38%) of the recovery.

21 29. The Attorney Fee Award is in line with awards in similar cases in California and
22 nationwide and demonstrates it is consistent with the market rates and is reasonable. Class
23 Counsel have also been routinely awarded at least one-third (1/3) fee requests or more in similar
24 class action and representative matters in California, including Orange County. (See *Brittany*
25 *Dam v. Rubber-Cal, Inc.*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2020-01176087-CU-OE-
26 CXC, final approval granted on September 30, 2022 granting 33% fee request; *Earl Rhodes v.*
27 *Cavotec Dabico US, Inc., et al.*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2021-01177305-CU-
28 OE-CXC, final approval granted on July 28, 2022 granting 33% fee request; *Rick Beltran v.*

1 *Stremicks Heritage Foods, LLC*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2019-01043445-
2 CU-OE-CXC, final approval granted on February 10, 2022 granting 33% fee request; *Alexander*
3 *Hernandez v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Corporation*, Orange County Superior Court,
4 Case No. 30-2020-01142388-CU-OE-CXC, final approval granted on December 6, 2021 granting
5 33% fee request; *Alejandro Pichardo v. American Financial Network, Inc.*, Orange County
6 Superior Court, Case No. 30-2016-00880472-CU-OE-CXC, PAGA approval granted on April 7,
7 2021 granting 33% fee request; *Kelly Lomeland v. Consolidated Fire Protection, Inc., et al.*,
8 Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2019-01056877-CU-OE-CXC, final approval
9 granted on February 3, 2021 granting 35% fee request; *Marcel Harrington, et al., v. Arlon*
10 *Graphics, LLC*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2018-00970444-CU-OE-CXC, final
11 approval granted on October 19, 2020 granting 35% fee request; *Bernice Ratcliffe, et al., v. Gold*
12 *Star Mortgage Financial*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2017-00918768-CU-OE-
13 CXC, final approval granted on January 22, 2020 granting 33% fee request; *Genio Chuen v. 911*
14 *Mobile Mechanics, LLC*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2017-00943421-CU-OE-
15 CXC, final approval granted on August 23, 2019 granting 35% fee request; *Michael Emerson et*
16 *al. v. Ganahl Lumber Company*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2014-00747750-
17 CU-OE-CXC, final approval granted on April 26, 2017 granting 33% fee request; and *Mark*
18 *Aceves, et al., v. Cambro Manufacturing Company*, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-
19 2015-00810013-CU-OE-CXC, final approval granted on April 14, 2017 granting 33% fee
20 request.) Therefore, the one-third (1/3) fee request is well within a reasonable range.

21 30. California courts have also approved attorney's-fee awards above the benchmark of
22 thirty-eight percent (38%) of the settlement fund. (See *Crandall v. U-Haul* (Los Angeles County
23 Superior Court, Case No. BC178775), the Honorable Steven Czuleger awarded a 40% attorney fee
24 request in an overtime-exemption class action; in *Bushnell v. Cremar, Inc.* (Orange County
25 Superior Court, Case No. 657778), the Honorable Donald E. Smallwood awarded attorneys' fees
26 in the amount of 38%; in *Abzug v. Kerkorian* CA000981 (Los Angeles County Superior Court,
27 November 1990), the Honorable R. William Schoettler awarded a 45% fee; in *Haitz v. Meyer, et*
28 *al.*, Alameda County Superior Court, 8-20-1990 No. 572968-3, the court awarded a 40% fee; in

1 *Elliott v. Clothestime* (Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 01-CC00333) the Honorable
2 Jonathan Cannon awarded a 40% fee in a wage-and-hour class action which had not yet proceeded
3 to the class certification stage; in both *Rippee v. Boston Market Corporation*, Case No.: 05 CV
4 1359 BTM (JMA) and *Barile v. Boston Market Corporation*, Case No.: 05 CV 1360 BTM (JMA),
5 the Honorable Judge Barry T. Moskowitz awarded a 40% fee to plaintiffs' counsel in wage-and-
6 hour class actions that had not proceeded to the class-certification stage.)

7 31. Considering the foregoing, the Attorney Fee Award is within the bounds
8 established by the above-cited authority. Defendant has also not objected to an award of fees in
9 the sum requested. Finally, the Notice Packets mailed to Class Members informed them of the
10 sum of the Attorney Fee Award, and none of the Class Members objected.

11 Costs

12 32. Class Counsel's Case Cost Detail is attached hereto as **Exhibit 4**. Class Counsel
13 incurred costs including, but not limited to: (a) filing fees (*i.e.*, complaints, stipulations, motions);
14 (b) service of process; (c) mediation fees; (d) expert analysis fees; (e) attorney-service costs for
15 court filings; (f) copy charges for documents; (g) CourtCall costs for attending court hearings; (h)
16 LexisNexis costs for legal research; and (i) postage charges. The Settlement provides for the
17 reimbursement of costs to Class Counsel of up to \$20,000. Therefore, Class Counsel request
18 reimbursement for \$15,623.55, which were reasonably incurred in the prosecution of this case.

19 **CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT**

20 33. For the following reasons, the Class Representative Enhancement Payment of
21 \$7,500 to Plaintiff is fair and reasonable. Plaintiff assumed great risk by challenging Defendant's
22 wage-and-hour policies. Plaintiff dedicated time better apprising himself of his rights, deciding
23 whether remedial action should be taken, searching for attorneys, and contacting his attorneys.
24 Therefore, Plaintiff decided to vindicate not only his rights but also those of fellow employees by
25 filing a wage-and-hour class action lawsuit. Plaintiff also initiated this case after Class Counsel
26 advised him of the risks and sacrifices associated with serving as representatives.

27 ///

28 ///

1 34. By suing his former employer, Plaintiff increased the risk of retaliation by future
2 employers. Plaintiff cost Defendant a substantial sum of money in vindicating his rights and the
3 rights other employees, and such conduct will not be lost on a prospective employer. This risk is
4 real in the information age where employers can easily perform background checks.

5 35. Plaintiff devoted a substantial amount of time to help Class Counsel effectively
6 develop and prosecute this lawsuit. Specifically, Plaintiff: (a) conferred with Class Counsel to
7 discuss every aspect of this case; (b) provided information about Defendant; (c) reviewed and
8 produced documents; (d) identified witnesses if depositions were to be taken; (e) monitored the
9 progress of the litigation; (f) took the time to apprise himself of the facts, issues, and law; (g)
10 helped Class Counsel develop a strategy to obtain additional documents; (h) spent time with Class
11 Counsel discussing the terms of the Settlement Agreement; and (i) reviewed and executed the
12 Settlement Agreement, including its amendments.

13 36. Plaintiff diligently, adequately, and fairly represented Class Members and has not
14 placed his interests above the Class. Class Counsel believe Plaintiff is entitled to the Class
15 Representative Enhancement Payment for the time and effort devoted, and Defendant has agreed
16 not to oppose this payment. Such a payment to the class representative is a common feature of
17 settlements negotiated by Class Counsel.

18 37. California trial courts have routinely approved enhancement payments to class
19 representatives in wage-and-hour class-action settlements. (See e.g., *Bennett v. Countrywide*
20 *Financial Corporation* (2005) San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. GIC840981 (\$35,000);
21 *Hogue v. WH Smith of Nevada, Inc.* (2004) San Diego County Superior Court, Case No.
22 GIC830653 (\$20,000); *Fiez v. Brown Group Retail Inc., d.b.a. Famous Footwear* (2003) Orange
23 County Superior Court, Case No. 01CC00381 (\$35,000 to current employee of defendant and
24 \$20,000 to former employee); *Barry v. Cost Plus, Inc.* (2003) OCSC No. 01CC00380 (\$35,000 for
25 each named plaintiff); *Lajoie v. The Picture People, Inc.* (2003) Orange County Superior Court,
26 Case No. 01CC00324 (\$25,000 each for two named plaintiffs); *Franco v. Vans, Inc.* (2002)
27 Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 01CC03995 (\$35,000 for each named plaintiff);
28 *Crandall v. U-Haul Corporation* (2001) Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC

1 178775 (\$20,000 for each named plaintiff); and *Joel v. Athlete's Foot Group* (2001) Los Angeles
2 County Superior Court, Case No. BC 234231 (\$25,000.) The Class Representative Enhancement
3 Payment is substantially less than the enhancements approved in these cases and is reasonable.

4 **ADEQUACY OF JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION**

5 38. In May 2004, I graduated from *Pepperdine University School of Law* with a Juris
6 Doctor degree. In May 2001, I obtained a Bachelor of Science degree in Political Science with a
7 minor in English from *University of Houston*.

8 39. From January 2004 to May 2004, I served as a Judicial Extern to the Honorable
9 Lourdes G. Baird of the *United States District Court for the Central District of California*.

10 40. Since its inception, our firm has almost exclusively focused on the prosecution of
11 consumer and employment class actions, involving wage-and-hour claims, unfair business
12 practices or consumer fraud. Moreover, our firm has successfully litigated to conclusion over three
13 hundred (300) wage-and-hour class or representative actions. Currently, we are the attorneys of
14 record in over a dozen employment-related putative class actions in both state and federal courts
15 in the State of California. During time, in association with other law firms, we have obtained
16 millions of dollars on behalf of thousands of individuals in California.

17 **CLASS ACTION RESULTS**

18 41. Attached hereto **Exhibit 5** are a list of class action and representative matters that
19 have been given final approval.

20 42. Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh is an Of Counsel at my office. Shunt received his
21 undergraduate degree from University of California, Los Angeles and earned a Juris Doctor
22 degree from Southwestern University School of Law. Shunt was admitted to practice in California
23 in 2010. Shunt is admitted to practice in the Courts of California. The focus of Shunt's practice is
24 class action wage-and-hour law. Shunt has worked on multiple class action cases and
25 representative actions that have been granted final approval, including *Keles, et al. v. The Art of*
26 *Shaving – FL, LLC* Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG13687151; *Esters et al v. HDB*
27 *LTD. Limited Partnership* Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-279879 DRL;
28 *Bridgette Guzman, et al. v. International City Mortgage, Inc.*, San Bernardino County Superior

1 Court, Case No. CIVDS1502516; *Davidson et al. v. Lentz Construction General Engineering*
2 *Contractor*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-279853 LHB; *Betancourt v. Hugo*
3 *Boss USA, Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC506988; *Porras et al. v. DBI*
4 *Beverage, Inc. et al.*, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-14-CV-266154; *Hartzell et*
5 *al. v. Truitt Oilfield Maintenance Corporation*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-
6 CV-283011; *Navarro-Salas et al. v. Markstein Beverage Co. et al.*, Sacramento County Superior
7 Court, Case No. 34-2015-00174957-CU-OE-GDS; *David White, et al. v. Pilot Travel Centers,*
8 *LLC*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2013-0009098; *McKinnon, et*
9 *al. v. Renovate America, Inc., et al.*, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2015-
10 00038150-CU-OE-CTL; *Evelyn Antoine, et al. v. Riverstone Residential CA, Inc., et al.*,
11 Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2013-00155974; *Pina v. Zim Industries, Inc.*,
12 Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-284498 SPC; *Amaya v. Certified Payment*
13 *Processing et al.*, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2015-00186623-CU-OE-GDS;
14 *Burke v. Petrol Production Supply, Inc.*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-15-101092;
15 *Ceron et al v. Hydro Resources-West, Inc.*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-15-
16 101461; *Chavana v. Golden Empire Equipment, Inc.*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No.
17 BCV-16-102796; *De La Torre et al. v. Acuity Brands Lighting, Inc.*, San Bernardino County
18 Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1601800; *Dobbs v. Wood Group PSN, Inc.*, Case No. BCV-16-
19 101078 Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-16-101078-DRL; *Gonzalez et al v.*
20 *Matagrano, Inc.*, San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-16-550494; *Harbabikian*
21 *et al. v. Williston Financial Group, LLC*, Ventura County Superior Court, Case No. 56-2016-
22 004485186-CU-OE-VTA; *Prince v. Ponder Environmental Services, Inc.*, Kern County Superior
23 Court, Case No. BCV-16-100784; *Ramirez v. Crestwood Operations, LLC*, Kern County Superior
24 Court, Case No. BCV-17-100503; *Reyes v. Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.*, Kern County
25 Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-280215; *Rodriguez v. B&L Casing Serve, LLC et al.*, Kern
26 County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-282709; *Marketstar Wage and Hour Cases,*
27 Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. JCCP004820; *Rodriguez et al. v. Delta Sierra*
28 *Beverage, LLC*, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2017-00206727; *Stuck v. Jerry*

1 *Melton & Sons Construction, Inc.*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-16-101516;
2 *Blevins v. California Commercial Solar, Inc.*, Kern County Superior Case, No. BCV-17-100571;
3 *Cisneros et al v. Wilbur-Ellis Company, LLC*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-17-
4 102836; and *Castro et al. v. General Production Service of California, Inc.*, Kern County Superior
5 Court, Case No. BCV-15-101164. Shunt was also certified as class counsel in *Fulmer et al. v.*
6 *Golden State Drilling, Inc.*, Kern County Superior, Court Case No. S-1500-CV-279707; *Manas et*
7 *al. v. Kenai Drilling Limited*, Los Angeles County Superior, Court Case No. BC546330; and
8 *Nuncio et al. v. MMI Services, Inc.*, Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-282534,
9 cases that were certified after a contested class certification. Shunt is currently handling several
10 class actions pending in California.

11 43. Jason Rothman is an Associate Attorney at my office. Jason received his
12 undergraduate degree from University of California, San Diego, and earned a Juris Doctorate from
13 Thomas Jefferson School of Law. Jason was admitted to practice in California in October of 2015.
14 Jason has been employed with the Justice Law Corporation since April of 2021. Jason is admitted
15 to practice in all state courts of California. The focus of Jason's practice has been on class action
16 wage and hour law, to which he has almost exclusively practiced in for over four years. Jason has
17 a significant role on multiple class action and PAGA cases that have either been granted final
18 approval or are in the approval stage, including those referenced as **Exhibit 6**. Jason is also
19 handling several class actions pending in various courts in California.

20
21 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of California the foregoing is true and
22 correct. Executed this 19th of December 2022 at Pasadena, California.

23
24 
25 _____
26 Douglas Han
27
28

EXHIBIT 1

Date	User	Activity	Rate	Duration	Total	Case Link	Description
Douglas Han							
8/19/20	Douglas Han	Pre-Lawsuit Investigation	\$850.00	1.2	\$1,020.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct intake with potential client Todd Martin; Prepare and circulate to team
8/19/20	Douglas Han	Draft Documents	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft retainer and authorization letter to potential client Todd Martin; Prepare and send to Client
8/30/20	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review PAGA Notice letter to Labor and Workforce Development Agency
9/22/20	Douglas Han	Pre-Lawsuit Investigation	\$850.00	1	\$850.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct intake with potential class member Adrian Escobedo; Prepare and circulate to team
9/22/20	Douglas Han	Draft Documents	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft retainer and authorization letter to potential class member Adrian Escobedo; Prepare and send to Client
9/23/20	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review records request letter to Agent of Service
11/16/20	Douglas Han	Draft Pleadings	\$850.00	0.5	\$425.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit and analyze PAGA Complaint to include additional research
11/18/20	Douglas Han	Edit Pleadings	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit corresponding initiating documents; Prepare and circulate to team
11/20/20	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence re sending PAGA Complaint to Client
12/16/20	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence re introduction from new Counsel
12/18/20	Douglas Han	Draft Correspondence	\$850.00	0.6	\$510.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond to and from Opposing Counsel re acceptance of service, NAR and e-service agreement
12/18/20	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to and from Counsel re emergency rule and electronic service
1/7/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review signed notice of acknowledgment of receipt from Opposing Counsel
1/18/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	2.1	\$1,785.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Adrien Escobedo from Sync Staffing
2/8/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze Defendant's Answer to Complaint for potential demurrer
2/9/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order designating case as complex
2/12/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of initial status conference and complex determination to Opposing Counsel
	Douglas Han		\$850.00		\$0.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	
3/13/21	Douglas Han	Draft Pleadings	\$850.00	1.2	\$1,020.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft joint statement in advance of initial status conference; Prepare and circulate to Opposing Counsel and team

3/15/21	Douglas Han	Draft Pleadings	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit revised joint statement and circulate finalized version to Opposing Counsel
3/15/21	Douglas Han	Draft Correspondence	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond with Opposing Counsel re meet and confer in advance of initial status conference
3/18/21	Douglas Han	Draft Correspondence	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond with Opposing Counsel re early mediation
3/19/21	Douglas Han	Draft Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond with Opposing Counsel re mediators and availability
3/19/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review stipulation and proposed order to continue status conference; Prepare and circulate to Opposing Counsel
3/22/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review mediation dates and availability discussions to and from Opposing Counsel
3/22/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Third Party	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Mediator's assistant Janet Clark re setting a date for mediation
3/23/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized stipulation and proposed order from Opposing Counsel
3/23/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's signed order continuing status conference
3/26/21	Douglas Han	Draft Correspondence	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft correspondence to and from Opposing Counsel's re concerns over formal discovery
3/30/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review mediation confirmation letter from Mark Rudy
4/12/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review introduction email and availability for a meeting to Opposing Counsel
6/9/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of substitution of attorney from Opposing Counsel
6/16/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review substitution of attorney to Opposing Counsel
6/28/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review data request letter
7/13/21	Douglas Han	Draft Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for conflict check re mediation and data analysis required to and from Berger Consulting Group
9/2/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re updates informal data production in advance of mediation
9/7/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review meet and confer letter to Opposing Counsel re Plaintiff's informal discovery requests
9/13/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review follow up to Opposing Counsel re informal discovery letter review update from Opposing Counsel
9/22/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review concerns re informal discovery letter and availability to meet and confer to Opposing Counsel

9/27/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re mediation and informal sampling
9/28/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on informal discovery from Opposing Counsel and discuss timeline of sampling production
9/30/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re sampling sizes
10/4/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Team	\$850.00	1	\$850.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Team meeting re mediation preparation, witness interviews and declaration preparation
10/6/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Fabian Garcia
10/7/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Rene Garcia
10/8/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	1.5	\$1,275.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze witness interview summaries and evaluate for mediation
10/12/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Jesse Aguilar
10/13/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Bernardo Cordova and Luis Hidalgo-Villareal
10/14/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	1.1	\$935.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze witness interview summaries and evaluate for mediation
10/14/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Manuel Magana
11/4/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review follow up re informal discovery documents and sampling records to Opposing Counsel
11/4/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	3	\$2,550.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate potential class members' records from Opposing Counsel
11/5/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.8	\$680.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review concerns re missing informal discovery and evaluate additional data to and from Opposing Counsel
11/8/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.5	\$425.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review initial concerns re missing sampling records and updated handbooks to and from Opposing Counsel
11/9/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for expert review and data analysis re informal discovery to Berger Consulting Group
11/10/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Team	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re drafting putative class members declarations for mediation purposes
11/11/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond to and from Opposing Counsel re explanation in data produce and further analysis
11/16/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	1.1	\$935.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review damages/penalties analysis from Berger Consulting Group Expert Jarrett
11/16/21	Douglas Han	Edit Documents	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review declarations for putative class members

11/22/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	1	\$850.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review additional personnel and payroll records from Opposing Counsel re potential class members
11/23/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review declarations to class members
11/29/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	1.1	\$935.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review mediation brief and circulate redlined copy to team
11/29/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Opposing Counsel re mediation and class action settlement proposal
11/29/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review meet and confer letter re pending concerns to and from Opposing Counsel
11/29/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Jarrett from Berger Consulting Group re additional analyses requested
11/30/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	3.2	\$2,720.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Raymond Bloomer; pull records for exhibits
11/30/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.8	\$680.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and provide feedback on mediation brief
12/1/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and evaluate additional damages/penalties analyses from Jarrett
12/1/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review email correspondence to Client Todd Martin re case updates and upcoming mediation
12/2/21	Douglas Han	Mediation	\$850.00	9	\$7,650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare for and attend mediation
12/2/21	Douglas Han	Edit Documents	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate and revise memorandum of understanding to and from Opposing Counsel
12/2/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate individual settlement agreement and release forms from Opposing Counsel
12/3/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate further revisions to memorandum of understanding with Opposing Counsel
12/3/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review letter to Client re settlement proposal and confirmation of approval
12/3/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revised memorandum of understanding and settlement agreement to Opposing Counsel
12/4/21	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze revisions to settlement agreement from Opposing Counsel
12/6/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review joint report in advance of further status conference to Opposing Counsel
12/7/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Team	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re beneficiary requirements and concerns re settlement timeline
12/7/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Client re memorandum of understanding and class representative duties

12/9/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review email correspondence to Opposing Counsel re availability for a call and additional revision to joint report
12/9/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review bid requests to settlement administrators CPT Group, CPT Group and Simplus
12/9/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of courtcall appearance to Opposing Counsel
12/9/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review requested quote from settlement administrators from CPT Group
12/10/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re revisions to joint report and concerns re adding new plaintiff
12/10/21	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review requested quote from settlement administrators from Simpluris
12/13/21	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.6	\$510.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review email correspondence to Mark Rudy regarding settlement concerns
12/13/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.8	\$680.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re additional revisions to joint report and concerns re settlement
12/13/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized joint report from Opposing Counsel
12/14/21	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order continuing case management conference
12/16/21	Douglas Han	Communicate with Third Party	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Mark Rudy re updates on settlement concerns
1/3/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Client's beneficiary re case updates and timeline
1/5/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review amended PAGA notice letter to Labor and Workforce Development Agency
1/5/22	Douglas Han	Motion Practice	\$850.00	0.6	\$510.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and edit motion for leave to file first amended complaint
1/6/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of motion to reflect continued hearing to the Court and Opposing Counsel
1/6/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Mark Rudy re ongoing settlement discussions
1/13/22	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re availability for a call and introduction of new attorney
1/14/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Defendant's substitution of attorney and revised memorandum of understanding
1/14/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Opposing Counsel re new settlement discussions
1/17/22	Douglas Han	Communicate with Client	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Client re approval of settlement terms

1/18/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit revised memorandum of understanding to facilitate a quick resolution
1/18/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review joint report requesting continuance
1/19/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Opposing Counsel's revised memorandum of understanding; Prepare and circulate to Client for approval
1/20/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revised joint report to Opposing Counsel and the Court
1/20/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of courtcall appearance to Opposing Counsel
1/21/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order continuing further status conference
1/24/22	Douglas Han	Communicate with Team	\$850.00	0.8	\$680.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re settlement timeline and documents to prepare
1/26/22	Douglas Han	Edit Documents	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit redlined settlement agreements
1/27/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized settlement agreement to Clients
1/28/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review partially executed settlement agreements to Opposing Counsel
1/31/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review fully executed settlement agreements from Opposing Counsel
1/31/22	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re drafting of long form agreement and stipulation to amend complaint
2/1/22	Douglas Han	Edit Pleadings	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit first amended complaint and stipulation to file first amended complaint; Prepare and circulate to team
2/4/22	Douglas Han	Edit Documents	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit long form settlement agreement and research local rules to follow
2/7/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice and exclusion form for settlement purposes
2/8/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revised long form agreement and supporting docs to Opposing Counsel for their edits
2/16/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review further revised long form agreement from Opposing Counsel
2/18/22	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re long form agreement compliancy with local court rules and standards
3/1/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.5	\$425.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review concerns re settlement agreement and payment deadlines to and from Opposing Counsel
3/1/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review redlined version of further revised settlement agreement to and from Opposing Counsel

3/2/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Opposing Counsel re settlement documents
3/3/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.5	\$425.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on settlement checks and revisions to exclusion form to and from Opposing Counsel
3/7/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized settlement documents and client settlement checks from Opposing Counsel
3/7/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review partially executed settlement agreement to Opposing Counsel
3/16/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review draft of stipulation to file first amended complaint and first amended complaint to Opposing Counsel
3/22/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review closing letters to correspond with client settlement checks to Clients
3/28/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revisions to stipulation and circulate draft declaration to and from Opposing Counsel
3/30/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized stipulation and supporting documents to Opposing Counsel
3/30/22	Douglas Han	Motion Practice	\$850.00	2.1	\$1,785.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review motion for preliminary approval and provide feedback
4/1/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order re brief in support of substitution of Plaintiff's Successor
4/1/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.5	\$425.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Opposing Counsel re Court's order to file brief
4/5/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications re first amended complaint and brief re Successor in Interest to and from Opposing Counsel
4/8/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Opposing Counsel re stipulations to continue brief and to replace PAGA representative
4/11/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review stipulation and proposed order to comply with Court's recent minute order
4/13/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review further revised settlement agreement per Court's order to and from opposing Counsel
4/14/22	Douglas Han	Draft Pleadings	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and edit first amended complaint per Court's standards
4/14/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	1	\$850.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revisions to settlement agreement and class notice to and from Opposing Counsel
4/14/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized settlement agreement to Clients for approval and signatures
4/14/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review partially executed settlement agreement from Clients and circulate to Opposing Counsel
4/18/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate revised motion for preliminary approval

4/20/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates re joint report motion for preliminary approval documents to and from Opposing Counsel
4/20/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of courtcall appearance to Opposing Counsel
4/21/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order setting new status conference
6/15/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	1	\$850.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review case documents in preparation of upcoming motion for preliminary approval hearing
6/16/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate Court's tentative ruling continuing hearing and order re supplemental brief
6/16/22	Douglas Han	Communicate with Team	\$850.00	0.5	\$425.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re tentative, amendment to settlement agreement and final steps in settlement process
6/16/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review submission to tentative ruling from Opposing Counsel and inform the Court
6/16/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's updated, final tentative ruling re continuing hearing and request for a supplemental brief
6/20/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of tentative ruling to Opposing Counsel
6/23/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review supplemental brief and supporting documents with exhibits and circulate to Opposing Counsel
6/24/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review joint report in advance of further status conference
6/27/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze revisions to exhibits for settlement documents from Opposing Counsel
6/28/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.6	\$510.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications re compliancy concerns re local court rules and standards for exhibits with Opposing Counsel
6/28/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review acceptance of settlement bid to and from CPT Group
7/6/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order vacating upcoming status conference
7/15/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for updates on translations to and from CPT Group
7/16/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review requested translation forms from CPT Group
7/18/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on supplemental documents and translated forms to Opposing Counsel
7/18/22	Douglas Han	Motion Practice	\$850.00	1.1	\$935.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and edit revised motion for preliminary approval documents per court's tentative
7/21/22	Douglas Han	Motion Practice	\$850.00	0.5	\$425.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized motion for preliminary approval and supporting documents to Opposing Counsel

7/22/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review declaration re submission to labor and workforce development agency to Opposing Counsel
8/17/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate Court's tentative ruling continuing hearing and concerns to documents
8/17/22	Douglas Han	Communicate with Team	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re submission to tentative and Court's concerns
8/18/22	Douglas Han	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$850.00	0.6	\$510.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re tentative ruling and revisions needed
8/19/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revisions re second amendment to the settlement agreement to and from Opposing Counsel
8/19/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for revised translated forms to and from CPT Group
8/19/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized second amendment to the settlement agreement to Client
8/19/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review partially executed amendment documents to Opposing Counsel
8/19/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review additional declaration re submission to the LWDA to Opposing Counsel
8/22/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review fully executed amendment documents to and from Opposing Counsel
8/24/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate revised translated forms to and from CPT Group
8/25/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on finalized supplemental documents to Opposing Counsel
8/28/22	Douglas Han	Motion Practice	\$850.00	0.7	\$595.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Revise supplemental documents and provide feedback to team
8/28/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review additional request for revised translated forms to and from CPT Group
8/31/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze further revised translated forms to and from CPT Group
9/2/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review with Opposing Counsel re timeline on settlement documents
9/2/22	Douglas Han	Motion Practice	\$850.00	1.2	\$1,020.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review second supplemental brief documents
9/8/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review third declaration re submission to labor and workforce development agency to Opposing Counsel
9/14/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate tentative ruling granting preliminary approval
9/14/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.8	\$680.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communication with Opposing Counsel re submission to tentative ruling

9/15/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review declaration re submission to labor and workforce development agency to Opposing Counsel
9/19/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's order approving preliminary class action settlement
9/19/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.2	\$170.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review additional settlement and approval documents to CPT Group
9/19/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of entry of order sent to Opposing Counsel
9/30/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review introduction and administration timeline from CPT Group
9/30/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.8	\$680.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with CPT Group re revisions and updates to Class Notice
10/3/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.5	\$425.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revised notice packet to and from CPT Group
10/10/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for update on class data to CPT Group
10/17/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.6	\$510.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized class list and preliminary calculations from CPT Group
10/24/22	Douglas Han	Review Correspondence	\$850.00	0.3	\$255.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communication with Opposing Counsel and CPT Group re additional revisions
10/24/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review further revised notice packet and approve of calculations to and from CPT Group
10/28/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review confirmation of initial mailing from CPT Group
11/4/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/11/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/18/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/25/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/2/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/9/22	Douglas Han	Settlement Preparation	\$850.00	0.4	\$340.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for additional settlement declaration to and from CPT Group
12/9/22	Douglas Han	Document Review	\$850.00	0.1	\$85.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/16/22	Douglas Han	Motion Practice	\$850.00	1.7	\$1,445.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review time records in preparation for motion for fees

12/16/22	Douglas Han	Motion Practice	\$850.00	2.8	\$2,380.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and edit Motion for Final Approval and supporting documents
Total:				82.8	\$70,380.00		

Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh

8/19/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Pre-Lawsuit Investigation	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review intake with potential client Todd Martin; evaluate as representative
8/19/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review retainer letter to potential client Todd Martin
8/30/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Draft Correspondence	\$750.00	1.6	\$1,200.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft PAGA Notice letter to Labor and Workforce Development Agency
9/22/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Pre-Lawsuit Investigation	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review intake with potential client Adrian Escobedo
9/22/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review retainer and authorization letter to potential class member Adrian Escobedo
9/23/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Draft Correspondence	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft records request letter to Agent of Service
9/30/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Draft Correspondence	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft second notice of records request
11/16/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Draft Pleadings	\$750.00	2.1	\$1,575.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft PAGA Complaint; Prepare and circulate to team to evaluate
11/18/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Draft Pleadings	\$750.00	0.8	\$600.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft, edit and finalize PAGA Complaint
11/20/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence re sending PAGA Complaint to Client
12/18/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to and from Opposing Counsel re acceptance of service, NAR and e-service agreement
12/18/20	Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to and from Counsel re emergency rule and electronic service

1/7/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review signed notice of acknowledgment of receipt from Opposing Counsel
1/6/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Case Investigation	\$750.00	0.8	\$600.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Research and investigate potential witnesses
2/6/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Discovery	\$750.00	2.7	\$2,025.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit Form Interrogatories, Special Interrogatories, Request for Admissions and Request for Production of Documents
2/8/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze Defendant's Answer to Complaint
2/9/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order designating case as complex
2/10/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Discovery	\$750.00	0.5	\$375.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit and finalize discovery to Defendant
2/12/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of initial status conference and complex determination to Opposing Counsel
3/13/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review joint statement in advance of initial status conference to Opposing Counsel
3/15/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revised joint statement and circulate finalized version to Opposing Counsel
3/15/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Opposing Counsel re meet and confer in advance of initial status conference
3/18/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Opposing Counsel re early mediation
3/19/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Correspondence	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond with Opposing Counsel re mediators and availability
3/19/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Pleadings	\$750.00	0.5	\$375.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and edit stipulation and proposed order to continue status conference; Prepare and circulate to Opposing Counsel

3/22/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review mediation dates and availability discussions to and from Opposing Counsel
3/22/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Mediator's assistant Janet Clark re setting a date for mediation
3/23/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized stipulation and proposed order from Opposing Counsel
3/23/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's signed order continuing status conference
3/26/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review email correspondence re Opposing Counsel's concerns over formal discovery
3/30/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review mediation confirmation letter from Mark Rudy
4/12/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review introduction email and availability for a meeting to Opposing Counsel
6/9/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of substitution of attorney from Opposing Counsel
6/16/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review substitution of attorney to Opposing Counsel
6/28/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review data request letter
7/13/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for conflict check re mediation and data analysis required to and from Berger Consulting Group
9/2/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re updates informal data production in advance of mediation
9/7/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review meet and confer letter to Opposing Counsel re Plaintiff's informal discovery requests

9/13/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review follow up to Opposing Counsel re informal discovery letter review update from Opposing Counsel
9/22/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review concerns re informal discovery letter and availability to meet and confer to Opposing Counsel
9/27/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re mediation and informal sampling
9/28/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on informal discovery from Opposing Counsel and discuss timeline of sampling production
9/30/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re sampling sizes
10/4/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Team	\$750.00	1	\$750.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Team meeting re mediation preparation, witness interviews and declaration preparation
10/5/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	1.2	\$900.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze witness interview summaries and evaluate for mediation
10/6/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Fabian Garcia
10/6/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	1	\$750.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze witness interview summaries and evaluate for mediation
10/7/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Rene Garcia
10/8/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	1.1	\$825.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze witness interview summaries and evaluate for mediation
10/12/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Jesse Aguilar
10/13/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	1.3	\$975.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze witness interview summaries and evaluate for mediation

10/13/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Bernardo Cordova and Luis Hidalgo-Villareal
10/14/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Manuel Magana
11/4/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review follow up re informal discovery documents and sampling records to Opposing Counsel
11/4/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	3	\$2,250.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate potential class members' records from Opposing Counsel
11/5/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.8	\$600.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review concerns re missing informal discovery and evaluate additional data to and from Opposing Counsel
11/8/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.5	\$375.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review initial concerns re missing sampling records and updated handbooks to and from Opposing Counsel
11/9/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	2.8	\$2,100.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review analyze informal production of data and documents produced by Defendant
11/9/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft request for expert review and data analysis re informal discovery to Berger Consulting Group
11/10/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Team	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re drafting putative class members declarations for mediation purposes
11/11/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond to and from Opposing Counsel re explanation in data produce and further analysis
11/11/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	2.5	\$1,875.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft Class Member declarations based on intakes
11/16/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	1.5	\$1,125.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft declarations for putative class members; Prepare and circulate to team
11/19/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	2.3	\$1,725.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Manuel Magana

11/22/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	2.1	\$1,575.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Luis Hidalgo Villareal
11/23/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare declarations to class members
11/24/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh		\$750.00	2.3	\$1,725.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Tony Perez
11/29/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	2.5	\$1,875.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review, edit, and revise mediation brief and circulate redlined copy to team
11/29/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Opposing Counsel re mediation and class action settlement proposal
11/29/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review meet and confer letter re pending concerns to and from Opposing Counsel
11/29/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	2.9	\$2,175.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Bernardo Cordova; extract exhibits for mediation
11/29/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Jarrett from Berger Consulting Group re additional analyses requested
11/30/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	1.3	\$975.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review, edit, and revise mediation brief to include class members declarations and additional exhibits
12/1/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and evaluate additional damages/penalties analyses from Jarrett
12/1/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Draft Documents	\$750.00	1	\$750.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare revisions to mediation brief; Prepare and circulate to team
12/1/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review email correspondence to Client Todd Martin re case updates and upcoming mediation
12/2/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Mediation	\$750.00	9	\$6,750.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare for and attend mediation

12/3/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Settlement Preparation	\$750.00	2.3	\$1,725.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate and negotiate the terms of the memorandum of understanding
12/3/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review letter to Client re settlement proposal and confirmation of approval
12/3/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Settlement Preparation	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revised memorandum of understanding and settlement agreement to Opposing Counsel
12/4/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Settlement Preparation	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze revisions to settlement agreement from Opposing Counsel
12/6/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review joint report in advance of further status conference to Opposing Counsel
12/7/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Team	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re beneficiary requirements and concerns re settlement timeline
12/7/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Client re memorandum of understanding and class representative duties
12/9/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review email correspondence to Opposing Counsel re availability for a call and additional revision to joint report
12/9/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review bid requests to settlement administrators CPT Group, CPT Group and Simpluris
12/9/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review requested quote from settlement administrators from CPT Group
12/10/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re revisions to joint report and concerns re adding new plaintiff
12/10/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review requested quote from settlement administrators from Simpluris
12/13/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Settlement Preparation	\$750.00	0.6	\$450.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review email correspondence to Mark Rudy regarding settlement concerns

12/13/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$750.00	0.8	\$600.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re additional revisions to joint report and concerns re settlement
12/13/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized joint report from Opposing Counsel
12/14/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order continuing case management conference
12/16/21	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Third Party	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Mark Rudy re updates on settlement concerns
1/3/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Client's beneficiary re case updates and timeline
1/5/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review amended PAGA notice letter to Labor and Workforce Development Agency
1/5/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Law & Motion	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit motion for leave to file first amended complaint
1/6/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of motion to reflect continued hearing to the Court and Opposing Counsel
1/6/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Mark Rudy re ongoing settlement discussions
1/13/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Opposing Counsel re availability for a call and introduction of new attorney
1/14/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Defendant's substitution of attorney and revised memorandum of understanding
1/14/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review summary of call with Opposing Counsel re new settlement discussions
1/17/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Communicate with Client	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Client re approval of settlement terms

1/18/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Settlement Preparation	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit revised memorandum of understanding to facilitate a quick resolution
1/18/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review joint report requesting continuance
1/19/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Opposing Counsel's revised memorandum of understanding; Circulate to Client for approval
1/20/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revised joint report to Opposing Counsel and the Court
1/21/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order continuing further status conference
4/1/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order re brief in support of substitution of Plaintiff's Successor
4/1/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.5	\$375.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communication with Opposing Counsel re Court's order to file brief
4/5/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review concerns re first amended complaint and brief re Successor in Interest to and from Opposing Counsel
4/21/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order setting new status conference
6/16/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate Court's tentative ruling continuing hearing and order re supplemental brief
7/6/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order vacating upcoming status conference
8/17/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.2	\$150.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate Court's tentative ruling continuing hearing and concerns to documents
9/28/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.3	\$225.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for timeline to and from CPT Group

9/30/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Review Correspondence	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review introduction and administration timeline from CPT Group
10/28/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review confirmation of initial mailing from CPT Group
11/4/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/11/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/18/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/25/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/2/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/9/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Settlement Preparation	\$750.00	0.4	\$300.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for additional settlement declaration to and from CPT Group
12/9/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Document Review	\$750.00	0.1	\$75.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/12/22	Shunt Tatavos- Gharajeh	Motion Practice	\$750.00	1.2	\$900.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review time records in preparation for motion for fees
Total:				72.6	\$54,450.00		

Jason Rothman

4/12/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft introduction email and availability for a meeting to Opposing Counsel
6/9/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review notice of substitution of attorney from Opposing Counsel
6/16/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft substitution of attorney to Opposing Counsel
6/28/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	1.2	\$780.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft informal data request letter to Opposing Counsel

6/28/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond to and from Opposing Counsel re data request letter
7/13/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft request for conflict check re mediation and data analysis required to and from Berger Consulting Group
9/2/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft correspondence to Opposing Counsel re updates informal data production in advance of mediation
9/7/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft meet and confer letter to Opposing Counsel re Plaintiff's informal discovery requests
9/13/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft follow up to Opposing Counsel re informal discovery letter review update from Opposing Counsel
9/22/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft concerns re informal discovery letter and availability to meet and confer to Opposing Counsel
9/27/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re mediation and informal sampling
9/28/21	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on informal discovery from Opposing Counsel and discuss timeline of sampling production
9/30/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re sampling sizes
10/4/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Team	\$650.00	1	\$650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Team meeting re mediation preparation, witness interviews and declaration preparation
10/4/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1.2	\$780.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Velma Diaz; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/4/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1.3	\$845.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Tony Perez; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/4/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1.4	\$910.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Tony Chung; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/4/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1	\$650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Sebastian Carmona; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/5/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1.1	\$715.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Delanie Vargas; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/5/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1	\$650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Samnang Mao; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/5/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Fabian Garcia; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/5/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1	\$650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Rene Garcia; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/6/21	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Fabian Garcia
10/7/21	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Rene Garcia

10/8/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	0.9	\$585.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Karen Navarro; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/8/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Kevin Cortez; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/8/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1.2	\$780.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Nicolas Riveros; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/8/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1.1	\$715.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Manuel Magana; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/12/21	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Jesse Aguilar
10/13/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1.1	\$715.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Carlos Ramos; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/13/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1	\$650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Jesus Aguilar; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/13/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Martin Zambrano; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/13/21	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Bernardo Cordova and Luis Hidalgo-Villareal
10/14/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	1.2	\$780.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Luis Hidalgo-Villareal; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/14/21	Jason Rothman	Case Investigation	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Conduct telephonic interview of witness Bernardo Cordova; draft interview analysis and circulate to team
10/14/21	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review correspondence to Opposing Counsel re records request for Manuel Magana
11/4/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft follow up re informal discovery documents and sampling records to Opposing Counsel
11/4/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	3	\$1,950.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review analyze informal production of data and documents produced by Defendant
11/5/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft concerns re missing informal discovery and evaluate additional data to and from Opposing Counsel
11/8/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft initial concerns re missing sampling records and updated handbooks to and from Opposing Counsel
11/9/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for expert review and data analysis re informal discovery to Berger Consulting Group
11/10/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Team	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re drafting putative class members declarations for mediation purposes
11/11/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Third Party	\$650.00	1.2	\$780.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Telephone conference with expert re theories of liability and data analysis
11/11/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.7	\$455.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Correspond to and from Opposing Counsel re explanation in data produce and further analysis

11/16/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	1.3	\$845.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review damages/penalties analysis from Berger Consulting Group Expert Jarrett
11/17/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	2.8	\$1,820.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Jesus Aguilar
11/19/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	1.9	\$1,235.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Rene Garcia
11/22/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze personnel records of Jose Luis Rosales Vargas
11/22/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	4.5	\$2,925.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft Class Member declarations based on intakes
11/23/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	6.8	\$4,420.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft mediation brief and prepare relevant exhibits
11/24/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	7.4	\$4,810.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft mediation brief and prepare relevant exhibits
11/29/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	1.3	\$845.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft mediation brief and circulate to team for revisions
11/29/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Third Party	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Call with Opposing Counsel re mediation and settlement proposal; Prepare a summary and circulate to team
11/29/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft meet and confer letter re pending concerns to and from Opposing Counsel
11/29/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Third Party	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Jarrett from Berger Consulting Group re additional analyses requested
11/30/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit mediation brief to include class members declarations as exhibits; Prepare and circulate to team
12/1/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and evaluate additional damages/penalties analyses from Jarrett
12/1/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit revisions to mediation brief; Prepare finalized version and circulate to Mark Rudy
12/1/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft email correspondence to Client Todd Martin re case updates and upcoming mediation
12/2/21	Jason Rothman	Mediation	\$650.00	9	\$5,850.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare for and attend mediation
12/3/21	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	2.3	\$1,495.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate and negotiate the terms of the memorandum of understanding
12/3/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate further revisions to memorandum of understanding with Opposing Counsel
12/3/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft letter to Client re settlement proposal and confirmation of approval
12/3/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft revised memorandum of understanding and settlement agreement to Opposing Counsel

12/4/21	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze revisions to settlement agreement from Opposing Counsel
12/6/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft joint report in advance of further status conference; Prepare and circulate to Opposing Counsel
12/7/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Team	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re beneficiary requirements and concerns re settlement timeline
12/7/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Client	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Call with Client re MOU and class representative duties; Prepare summary and circulate to team
12/9/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft email correspondence to Opposing Counsel re availability for a call and additional revision to joint report
12/9/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft bid requests to settlement administrators CPT Group, CPT Group and Simpluris
12/9/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft notice of court call appearance to Opposing Counsel
12/9/21	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review requested quote from settlement administrators from CPT Group
12/10/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re revisions to joint report and concerns re adding new plaintiff
12/10/21	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review requested quote from settlement administrators from Simpluris
12/13/21	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.6	\$390.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft email correspondence to Mark Rudy regarding settlement concerns
12/13/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re additional revisions to joint report and concerns re settlement
12/13/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized joint report from Opposing Counsel
12/14/21	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order continuing case management conference
12/16/21	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Third Party	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Mark Rudy re updates on settlement concerns
1/3/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Client	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Call with Client's beneficiary re case updates and timeline; Prepare a summary and circulate to team
1/5/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	1.4	\$910.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft amended PAGA notice letter to Labor and Workforce Development Agency; Prepare and circulate to team
1/5/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	3.4	\$2,210.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft motion for leave to file first amended complaint; Prepare and circulate to team
1/6/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Pleadings	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit notice of motion to reflect continued hearing; Prepare and file/serve to the Court and Opposing Counsel
1/6/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Third Party	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Call with Mark Rudy re ongoing settlement discussions; Prepare a summary and circulate to team

1/13/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communications with Opposing Counsel re availability for a call and introduction of new attorney
1/14/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Defendant's substitution of attorney and revised memorandum of understanding
1/14/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Call with Opposing Counsel re new settlement discussions; Prepare a summary and circulate to team
1/17/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Client	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Client re approval of settlement terms
1/18/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit revised memorandum of understanding; Prepare and circulate to team and Opposing Counsel
1/18/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft joint report requesting continuance; Prepare and circulate to team and Opposing Counsel
1/19/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Opposing Counsel's revised memorandum of understanding; Prepare and circulate to Client for approval
1/20/22	Jason Rothman	Edit Documents	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit revised joint report; Prepare finalized version and file/serve to Opposing Counsel and the Court
1/21/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order continuing further status conference
1/24/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Team	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re settlement timeline and documents to prepare
1/31/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re drafting of long form agreement and stipulation to amend complaint
2/1/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Pleadings	\$650.00	0.6	\$390.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft first amended complaint and stipulation to file first amended complaint; Prepare and circulate to team
2/4/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	6.8	\$4,420.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft long form settlement agreement and research local rules to follow; Prepare and circulate to team
2/7/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	3.4	\$2,210.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft class notice and exclusion form for settlement purposes
2/8/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.7	\$455.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft revised long form agreement and supporting docs to Opposing Counsel for their edits
2/16/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review further revised long form agreement from Opposing Counsel
2/18/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re long form agreement compliancy with local court rules and standards
3/1/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review concerns re settlement agreement and payment deadlines to and from Opposing Counsel
3/1/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review redlined version of further revised settlement agreement to and from Opposing Counsel
3/2/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Call with Opposing Counsel re settlement documents; Prepare summary of call and circulate to team

3/3/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on settlement checks and revisions to exclusion form to and from Opposing Counsel
3/7/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized settlement documents and client checks from Opposing Counsel; Prepare and circulate to Clients
3/7/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft partially executed settlement agreement to Opposing Counsel
3/16/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare draft of stipulation to file first amended complaint and first amended complaint to Opposing Counsel
3/25/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	5.8	\$3,770.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement
3/28/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revision to stipulation and circulate draft declaration to and from Opposing Counsel
3/28/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	3.7	\$2,405.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement
3/30/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare finalized stipulation and supporting documents to Opposing Counsel
3/30/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	3.2	\$2,080.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement; circulate to team
4/1/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order re brief in support of substitution of Plaintiff's Successor
4/1/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re Court's order to file brief
4/5/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review concerns re first amended complaint and brief re Successor in Interest to and from Opposing Counsel
4/8/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re stipulations to continue brief and to replace PAGA representative
4/11/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Pleadings	\$650.00	1.5	\$975.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft stipulation and proposed order to comply with Court's minute order; Prepare and circulate to Opposing Counsel
4/12/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Pleadings	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft notice of entry of order and first amended complaint; Prepare and circulate to team
4/13/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	1.3	\$845.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Revise settlement agreement per Court's order to and from opposing Counsel
4/13/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	1.7	\$1,105.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare revised motion for preliminary approval and supporting documents to Opposing Counsel
4/14/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Pleadings	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit first amended complaint per Court's standards; Prepare and circulate to team
4/14/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	1	\$650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revisions to settlement agreement and class notice to and from Opposing Counsel
4/14/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized settlement agreement to Clients for approval and signatures

4/14/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review partially executed settlement agreement from Clients and circulate to Opposing Counsel
4/18/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	1.3	\$845.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Finalize revised motion for preliminary approval for filing
4/21/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order setting new status conference
6/16/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate Court's tentative ruling continuing hearing and order re supplemental brief
6/16/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Team	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re tentative, amendment to settlement agreement and final steps in settlement process
6/16/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review submission to tentative ruling from Opposing Counsel and inform the Court
6/16/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's updated, final tentative ruling re continuing hearing and request for a supplemental brief
6/20/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft notice of tentative ruling; Prepare and circulate to Opposing Counsel
6/23/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	4.6	\$2,990.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Prepare supplemental brief and supporting documents with exhibits and circulate to Opposing Counsel
6/24/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft joint report in advance of further status conference; Prepare and circulate to Opposing Counsel
6/27/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.7	\$455.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze revisions to exhibits for settlement documents from Opposing Counsel
6/28/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate compliancy concerns re local court rules and standards for exhibits with Opposing Counsel
6/28/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review acceptance of settlement bid to and from CPT Group
7/6/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's minute order vacating upcoming status conference
7/15/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for updates on translations to and from CPT Group
7/16/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review requested translation forms from CPT Group
7/18/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on supplemental documents and translated forms to Opposing Counsel
7/18/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit motion for preliminary approval documents
7/21/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	1.2	\$780.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized motion for preliminary approval and supporting documents to Opposing Counsel
7/22/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review declaration re submission to labor and workforce development agency to Opposing Counsel

8/17/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	1	\$650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review case documents in preparation of upcoming motion for preliminary approval hearing
8/17/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate Court's tentative ruling continuing hearing and concerns to documents
8/17/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Team	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Discussion with team re submission to tentative and Court's concerns
8/18/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Adverse Party	\$650.00	0.6	\$390.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with Opposing Counsel re tentative ruling and revisions needed
8/19/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revisions re second amendment to the settlement agreement to and from Opposing Counsel
8/19/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for revised translated forms to and from CPT Group
8/19/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Documents	\$650.00	0.6	\$390.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft finalized second amendment to the settlement agreement to Client
8/19/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review partially executed amendment documents to Opposing Counsel
8/19/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review additional declaration re submission to the LWDA to Opposing Counsel
8/22/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review fully executed amendment documents to and from Opposing Counsel
8/24/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate revised translated forms to and from CPT Group
8/25/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review updates on finalized supplemental documents to Opposing Counsel
8/28/22	Jason Rothman	Edit Documents	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Edit supplemental documents with team and circulate to Opposing Counsel
8/28/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review additional request for revised translated forms to and from CPT Group
8/31/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review and analyze further revised translated forms to and from CPT Group
9/2/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review with Opposing Counsel re timeline on settlement documents
9/2/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate second finalized supplemental brief documents to Opposing Counsel
9/8/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review third declaration re submission to labor and workforce development agency to Opposing Counsel
9/14/22	Jason Rothman	Law & Motion	\$650.00	1	\$650.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review case documents in preparation of upcoming motion for preliminary approval hearing
9/14/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Evaluate tentative ruling granting preliminary approval

9/14/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communication with Opposing Counsel re submission to tentative ruling
9/15/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review declaration re submission to labor and workforce development agency to Opposing Counsel
9/19/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review Court's order approving preliminary class action settlement
9/19/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review additional settlement and approval documents to CPT Group
9/19/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Pleadings	\$650.00	0.2	\$130.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft notice of entry of order; Prepare and circulate to Opposing Counsel
9/28/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for timeline to and from CPT Group
9/30/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review introduction and administration timeline from CPT Group
9/30/22	Jason Rothman	Communicate with Third Party	\$650.00	0.8	\$520.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Communicate with CPT Group re revisions and updates to Class Notice
10/3/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review revised notice packet to and from CPT Group
10/10/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review request for update on class data to CPT Group
10/17/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.6	\$390.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review finalized class list and preliminary calculations from CPT Group
10/24/22	Jason Rothman	Review Correspondence	\$650.00	0.3	\$195.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review communication with Opposing Counsel and CPT Group re additional revisions
10/24/22	Jason Rothman	Settlement Preparation	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review further revised notice packet and approve of calculations to and from CPT Group
10/28/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review confirmation of initial mailing from CPT Group
11/4/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/11/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/18/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
11/25/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/2/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/9/22	Jason Rothman	Draft Correspondence	\$650.00	0.4	\$260.00	Ameripec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft request for additional settlement declaration to and from CPT Group

12/9/22	Jason Rothman	Document Review	\$650.00	0.1	\$65.00	Ameripeec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review weekly report from CPT Group to evaluate the participation rate
12/12/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	0.5	\$325.00	Ameripeec, Inc. adv. Martin	Review time records in preparation for motion for fees
12/14/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	6.9	\$4,485.00	Ameripeec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft Motion for Final Approval and supporting documents
12/16/22	Jason Rothman	Motion Practice	\$650.00	10.8	\$7,020.00	Ameripeec, Inc. adv. Martin	Draft and finalize Motion for Final Approval and supporting documents
		Total:		165.2	\$107,380.00		

Total for the Firm: 320.6 \$232,210.00

EXHIBIT 2



2021 Real Rate Report[®]

The Industry's
Leading Analysis
of Law Firm Rates,
Trends, and Practices

Report Editor**Jeffrey Solomon**

Senior Director, Product Management Legal Analytics, Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

Lead Data Analysts**Carol Au**

Business Systems Quantitative Analyst
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

Pankaj Saha

Data Engineer
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

ELM Solutions Creative**David Andrews**

Senior Graphic Designer
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

Contributing Analysts and Authors**Jason Bender**

Legal Analytics Product Manager
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

Deniece Bushell

Senior Product Marketing Manager
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

Nathan Cemenska

Associate Director, Product Management
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

Margie Sleboda

Lead Technology Product Manager
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

Executive Sponsor**Barry Ader**

Vice President, Product Management and Marketing
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

© 2004 - 2021 Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions. All rights reserved. This material may not be reproduced, displayed, modified, or distributed in any form without the express prior written permission of the copyright holders. To request permission, please contact:

ELM Solutions, a Wolters Kluwer business
20 Church Street
Hartford, CT 06103 United States
ATTN: Marketing
+1-860-549-8795

LEGAL CAVEAT

Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions has worked to ensure the accuracy of the information in this report; however, Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information or analyses in all cases. Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. This report should not be construed as professional advice on any particular set of facts or circumstances. Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions is not responsible for any claims or losses that may arise from any errors or omissions in this report or from reliance upon any recommendation made in this report.

Table of Contents - 2021 Real Rate Report

A Letter to Our Readers • 4

Report Use Considerations • 5

Section I: High-Level Data Cuts • 9

- Partners, Associates, and Paralegals
- Partners, Associates, and Paralegals by Practice Area and Matter Type
- Partners and Associates by City
- Partners and Associates by City and Matter Type
- Partners by City and Years of Experience
- Associates by City and Years of Experience
- Partners and Associates by Firm Size and Matter Type

Section II: Industry Analysis • 64

- Partners, Associates, and Paralegals by Industry Group
- Partners and Associates by Industry Group and Matter Type
- Basic Materials and Utilities
- Consumer Goods
- Consumer Services
- Financials (Excluding Insurance)
- Health Care
- Industrials
- Technology and Telecommunications

Section III: Practice Area Analysis • 85

- Bankruptcy and Collections
- Commercial
- Corporate: Mergers, Acquisitions, and Divestitures
- Corporate: Regulatory and Compliance
- Corporate: Other
- Employment and Labor
- Environmental
- Finance and Securities
- General Liability (Litigation Only)
- Insurance Defense (Litigation Only)
- Intellectual Property: Patents
- Intellectual Property: Trademarks
- Intellectual Property: Other
- Real Estate

Section IV: In-Depth Analysis for Select US Cities • 175

- Boston, MA
- Chicago, IL
- Los Angeles, CA
- New York, NY
- Philadelphia, PA
- San Francisco, CA
- Washington, DC

Section V: International Analysis • 195

Section VI: Matter Staffing Analysis • 227

Appendix: Data Methodology • 232

A Letter to Our Readers

Welcome to the Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions Real Rate Report®, the industry's leading data-driven benchmark report for lawyer rates.

Our Real Rate Report has been a relied upon data analytics resource to the legal industry since its inception in 2010 and continues to evolve. The Real Rate Report is powered by Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions LegalVIEW® data warehouse, the world 's largest source of legal performance benchmark data, which has grown to include over \$150 billion in anonymized legal data.


This year, we launched our LegalVIEW Insights Report series, which explores the emerging trends behind the overall legal spend volatility seen in corporate legal departments. The insights reports coupled with the Real Rate Report are great tools to drive actionable decisions.

The legal services industry relies on internal analytics and the use of external data resources, such as the LegalVIEW® data warehouse, to support legal management strategies. The depth and details of the data in the Real Rate Report enable you to better benchmark and make more informed investment and resourcing decisions for your organization.

As with past Real Rate Reports, all of the data analyzed are from corporations' and law firms' e-billing and time management solutions. We have included lawyer and paralegal rate data filtered by specific practice and sub-practice areas, metropolitan areas, and types of matters to give legal departments and law firms greater ability to pinpoint areas of opportunity. We strive to make the Real Rate Report a valuable and actionable reference tool for legal departments and law firms.

As always, we welcome your comments and suggestions on what information would make this publication more valuable to you. We thank our data contributors for participating in this program. And we thank you for making Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions your trusted partner for legal industry domain expertise, data, and analytics and look forward to continuing to provide market-leading, expert solutions that deliver the best business outcomes for collaboration among legal departments and law firms.

Sincerely,



Barry Ader

Vice President, Product Management and Marketing
Wolters Kluwer's ELM Solutions

Report Use Considerations

2021 Real Rate Report

- Examines law firm rates over time
- Identifies rates by location, experience, firm size, areas of expertise, industry, and timekeeper role (i.e., partner, associate, and paralegal)
- Itemizes variables that drive rates up or down

All the analyses included in the report derive from the actual rates charged by law firm professionals as recorded on invoices submitted and approved for payment.

Examining real, approved rate information, along with the ranges of those rates and their changes over time, highlights the role these variables play in driving aggregate legal cost and income. The analyses can energize questions for both corporate clients and law firm principals.

Clients might ask whether they are paying the right amount for different types of legal services, while law firm principals might ask whether they are charging the right amount for legal services and whether to modify their pricing approach.

Some key factors¹ that drive rates²:

Attorney location - Lawyers in urban and major metropolitan areas tend to charge more when compared with lawyers in rural areas or small towns.

Litigation complexity - The cost of representation will be higher if the case is particularly complex or time-consuming; for example, if there are a large number of documents to review, many witnesses to depose, and numerous procedural steps, the case is likely to cost more (regardless of other factors like the lawyer's level of experience).

Years of experience and reputation - A more experienced, higher-profile lawyer is often going to charge more, but absorbing this higher cost at the outset may make more sense than hiring a less expensive lawyer who will likely take time and billable hours to come up to speed on unfamiliar legal and procedural issues.

Overhead - The costs associated with the firm's support network (paralegals, clerks, and assistants), document preparation, consultants, research, and other expenses.

Firm size - The rates can increase if the firm is large and has various timekeeper roles at the firm. For example, the cost to work with an associate or partner at a larger firm will be higher compared to a firm that has one to two associates and a paralegal.

Rates increase in geographic areas with growing population

Additional analysis was performed to examine the impact of geographic location on law firm hourly rates. This report, like previous ones, shows that large, cosmopolitan legal services markets like New York City, San Francisco, and Los Angeles are associated with higher hourly rates. In addition, our analysis reveals a significant spike in hourly rates in areas of the country

¹ David Goguen, J.D., University of San Francisco School of Law (2020) Guide to Legal Services Billing Retrieved from: <https://www.lawyers.com/legal-info/research/guide-to-legal-services-billing-rates.html>

² Source: 2018 RRR. Factor order validated in multiple analyses since 2010

Report Use Considerations

that are currently experiencing high population growth. Significant average rate increases occurred from 2020 to 2021 in many areas, but especially Fresno, California (~15% average rate increase), Greenville, SC (~18%), Miami, FL (~9%), Nashville, TN (~11%), Oklahoma City (~13%), Phoenix, AZ (~10%), and Seattle, WA (~11%) -- all of which have experienced much higher than average population growth in recent years.

The correlation between hourly rates and population growth makes sense. When people and businesses move into an area, it creates a spike in demand for all sorts of goods and services, including legal services. However, it is hard for the supply of legal services to move as quickly as demand because attorneys looking to move into a new geographic area face high switching costs that most will refuse to pay unless they absolutely have to.

First, attorneys looking to take work in a new state have to get licensed there, which takes time and effort and is a distraction that can reduce their current income in the form of the number of hours they are able to bill to clients. Second, despite the rise in remote working, many attorneys looking to establish practices in a new geographic location may have to establish at least some physical presence there, find a new office, new lodging, and potentially uproot their entire family. Third, even if the switching costs of licensure, physically moving, etc. are paid, attorneys may fear yet another switching cost in the form of attrition of their existing clients from their original geographic locale, who may view them as no longer investing in their knowledge of the legal problems and legal solutions that are specific to the original locale.

³ Source: 2020 RRR. Factor order validated in multiple analyses since 2010

Section I: High-Level Data Cuts

Cities

By Matter Type

2021 - Real Rates for Associate and Partner

Trend Analysis - Mean

City	Matter Type	Role	n	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	2021	2020	2019
Kansas City MO	Non-Litigation	Associate	95	\$250	\$320	\$385	\$323	\$288	\$278
		Litigation	Partner	14	\$285	\$350	\$484	\$402	\$445
Las Vegas NV	Non-Litigation	Partner	19	\$250	\$300	\$445	\$375	\$421	\$480
		Associate	12	\$238	\$323	\$377	\$320	\$282	\$280
Little Rock AR	Non-Litigation	Partner	12	\$215	\$215	\$300	\$267	\$290	\$274
Los Angeles CA	Litigation	Partner	342	\$475	\$715	\$1,042	\$759	\$708	\$694
		Associate	433	\$402	\$602	\$806	\$610	\$583	\$535
		Non-Litigation	Partner	559	\$600	\$880	\$1,160	\$894	\$872
Louisville KY	Litigation	Associate	761	\$480	\$685	\$895	\$696	\$665	\$620
		Partner	18	\$265	\$356	\$405	\$344	\$353	\$338
	Non-Litigation	Associate	16	\$178	\$200	\$278	\$225	\$227	\$214
Madison WI	Non-Litigation	Partner	12	\$208	\$215	\$249	\$224	\$230	\$197
Memphis TN	Non-Litigation	Partner	15	\$244	\$389	\$529	\$421	\$424	\$430
		Partner	16	\$290	\$415	\$425	\$364	\$355	\$347
Miami FL	Litigation	Partner	20	\$298	\$340	\$369	\$345	\$346	\$334
		Partner	100	\$282	\$475	\$614	\$465	\$473	\$453

Section I: High-Level Data Cuts

Cities

By Years of Experience

2021 - Real Rates for Associate

Trend Analysis - Mean

City	Years of Experience	n	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	2021	2020	2019
Jackson MS	7 or More Years	22	\$55	\$55	\$183	\$124	\$125	\$183
Kansas City MO	3 to Fewer Than 7 Years	26	\$252	\$310	\$349	\$306	\$294	\$292
	7 or More Years	28	\$295	\$325	\$370	\$325	\$283	\$282
Los Angeles CA	Fewer Than 3 Years	70	\$494	\$533	\$622	\$543	\$511	\$413
	3 to Fewer Than 7 Years	128	\$533	\$709	\$821	\$673	\$582	\$510
	7 or More Years	164	\$412	\$565	\$841	\$629	\$604	\$576
Miami FL	3 to Fewer Than 7 Years	14	\$277	\$340	\$408	\$364	\$323	\$323
	7 or More Years	30	\$310	\$475	\$540	\$431	\$429	\$374
Minneapolis MN	3 to Fewer Than 7 Years	23	\$330	\$351	\$462	\$394	\$388	\$355
	7 or More Years	26	\$326	\$448	\$580	\$441	\$397	\$384
Nashville TN	7 or More Years	13	\$245	\$283	\$325	\$287	\$271	\$253
New Orleans LA	3 to Fewer Than 7 Years	13	\$235	\$238	\$280	\$251	\$260	\$248
	7 or More Years	13	\$260	\$340	\$364	\$324	\$326	\$276
New York NY	Fewer Than 3 Years	160	\$440	\$590	\$775	\$629	\$560	\$513
	3 to Fewer Than 7 Years	237	\$412	\$670	\$875	\$681	\$625	\$559

Section I: High-Level Data Cuts

Cities

By Years of Experience

2021 - Real Rates for Partner

Trend Analysis - Mean

City	Years of Experience	n	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	2021	2020	2019
Los Angeles CA	Fewer Than 21 Years	173	\$550	\$855	\$1,065	\$815	\$724	\$703
	21 or More Years	332	\$527	\$725	\$1,145	\$844	\$818	\$758
Memphis TN	Fewer Than 21 Years	11	\$283	\$300	\$340	\$317	\$324	\$309
	21 or More Years	17	\$365	\$415	\$425	\$391	\$379	\$370
Miami FL	Fewer Than 21 Years	44	\$408	\$540	\$583	\$503	\$484	\$485
	21 or More Years	99	\$414	\$550	\$750	\$569	\$564	\$532
Milwaukee WI	Fewer Than 21 Years	14	\$306	\$358	\$433	\$373	\$355	\$323
	21 or More Years	26	\$375	\$470	\$545	\$535	\$530	\$431
Minneapolis MN	Fewer Than 21 Years	41	\$400	\$520	\$604	\$513	\$515	\$478
	21 or More Years	82	\$450	\$659	\$789	\$619	\$591	\$579
Nashville TN	Fewer Than 21 Years	27	\$375	\$464	\$495	\$436	\$413	\$374
	21 or More Years	49	\$420	\$470	\$536	\$481	\$472	\$433
New Orleans LA	Fewer Than 21 Years	24	\$275	\$305	\$390	\$346	\$361	\$337
	21 or More Years	40	\$295	\$332	\$412	\$352	\$373	\$369
New York NY	Fewer Than 21 Years	456	\$656	\$1,044	\$1,407	\$1,033	\$987	\$938

Section I: High-Level Data Cuts

Cities

By Role

2021 - Real Rates for Associate and Partner

Trend Analysis - Mean

City	Role	n	First Quartile	Median	Third Quartile	2021	2020	2019
Little Rock AR	Partner	16	\$215	\$250	\$300	\$272	\$287	\$282
Los Angeles CA	Partner	786	\$536	\$822	\$1,101	\$843	\$808	\$766
	Associate	1,110	\$450	\$653	\$860	\$664	\$636	\$587
Louisville KY	Partner	25	\$278	\$355	\$400	\$348	\$363	\$348
	Associate	25	\$180	\$210	\$264	\$224	\$228	\$210
Madison WI	Partner	15	\$241	\$389	\$536	\$419	\$435	\$440
Memphis TN	Partner	30	\$290	\$351	\$415	\$354	\$351	\$342
Miami FL	Partner	226	\$360	\$530	\$675	\$525	\$524	\$516
	Associate	149	\$265	\$361	\$475	\$375	\$381	\$365
Milwaukee WI	Partner	52	\$316	\$390	\$476	\$452	\$450	\$387
	Associate	36	\$270	\$305	\$358	\$316	\$305	\$269
Minneapolis MN	Partner	175	\$419	\$595	\$711	\$578	\$544	\$531
	Associate	137	\$295	\$406	\$521	\$405	\$377	\$376
Nashville TN	Partner	108	\$375	\$468	\$535	\$463	\$457	\$414
	Associate	73	\$252	\$311	\$338	\$305	\$283	\$257

EXHIBIT 3

LAFFEY MATRIX

- [History](#)
- [Case Law](#)
- [See the Matrix](#)
- [Contact us](#)
- [Home](#)

			Years Out of Law School *				
Year	Adjustmt Factor**	Paralegal/ Law Clerk	1-3	4-7	8-10	11-19	20 +
6/01/22- 5/31/23	1.085091	\$225	\$413	\$508	\$733	\$829	\$997
6/01/21- 5/31/22	1.006053	\$208	\$381	\$468	\$676	\$764	\$919
6/01/20- 5/31/21	1.015894	\$206	\$378	\$465	\$672	\$759	\$914
6/01/19- 5/31/20	1.0049	\$203	\$372	\$458	\$661	\$747	\$899
6/01/18- 5/31/19	1.0350	\$202	\$371	\$455	\$658	\$742	\$894
6/01/17- 5/31/18	1.0463	\$196	\$359	\$440	\$636	\$717	\$864
6/01/16- 5/31/17	1.0369	\$187	\$343	\$421	\$608	\$685	\$826
6/01/15- 5/31/16	1.0089	\$180	\$331	\$406	\$586	\$661	\$796
6/01/14- 5/31/15	1.0235	\$179	\$328	\$402	\$581	\$655	\$789
6/01/13- 5/31/14	1.0244	\$175	\$320	\$393	\$567	\$640	\$771
6/01/12- 5/31/13	1.0258	\$170	\$312	\$383	\$554	\$625	\$753
6/01/11- 5/31/12	1.0352	\$166	\$305	\$374	\$540	\$609	\$734
6/01/10- 5/31/11	1.0337	\$161	\$294	\$361	\$522	\$589	\$709
6/01/09- 5/31/10	1.0220	\$155	\$285	\$349	\$505	\$569	\$686
6/01/08- 5/31/09	1.0399	\$152	\$279	\$342	\$494	\$557	\$671
6/01/07-5/31/08	1.0516	\$146	\$268	\$329	\$475	\$536	\$645
6/01/06-5/31/07	1.0256	\$139	\$255	\$313	\$452	\$509	\$614
6/1/05-5/31/06	1.0427	\$136	\$249	\$305	\$441	\$497	\$598
6/1/04-5/31/05	1.0455	\$130	\$239	\$293	\$423	\$476	\$574
6/1/03-6/1/04	1.0507	\$124	\$228	\$280	\$405	\$456	\$549
6/1/02-5/31/03	1.0727	\$118	\$217	\$267	\$385	\$434	\$522
6/1/01-5/31/02	1.0407	\$110	\$203	\$249	\$359	\$404	\$487
6/1/00-5/31/01	1.0529	\$106	\$195	\$239	\$345	\$388	\$468
6/1/99-5/31/00	1.0491	\$101	\$185	\$227	\$328	\$369	\$444
6/1/98-5/31/99	1.0439	\$96	\$176	\$216	\$312	\$352	\$424
6/1/97-5/31/98	1.0419	\$92	\$169	\$207	\$299	\$337	\$406
6/1/96-5/31/97	1.0396	\$88	\$162	\$198	\$287	\$323	\$389
6/1/95-5/31/96	1.032	\$85	\$155	\$191	\$276	\$311	\$375

6/1/94-5/31/95	1.0237	\$82	\$151	\$185	\$267	\$301	\$363
----------------	--------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

The methodology of calculation and benchmarking for this Updated Laffey Matrix has been approved in a number of cases. See, e.g., DL v. District of Columbia, 267 F.Supp.3d 55, 69 (D.D.C. 2017)

* $\frac{1}{2}$ Years Out of Law School $\frac{1}{2}$ is calculated from June 1 of each year, when most law students graduate. $\frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" includes an attorney in his 1st, 2nd and 3rd years of practice, measured from date of graduation (June 1). $\frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" applies to attorneys in their 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th years of practice. An attorney who graduated in May 1996 would be in tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 1-3" from June 1, 1996 until May 31, 1999, would move into tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 4-7" on June 1, 1999, and tier $\frac{1}{2}$ 8-10" on June 1, 2003.

** The Adjustment Factor refers to the nation-wide Legal Services Component of the Consumer Price Index produced by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor.

EXHIBIT 4

JUSTICE LAW CORPORATION CASE COST DETAIL
Ameripec, Inc. adv. Escobedo

<u>Date</u>	<u>Payee</u>	<u>Expense Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>
8/17/2020	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 32.49
8/19/2020	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 5.00
8/31/2020	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 8.20
8/31/2020	Labor and Workforce Development Agency	PAGA Filing Fee	\$ 75.00
9/22/2020	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 5.00
9/23/2020	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 16.10
9/30/2020	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 16.55
10/23/2020	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 16.10
11/19/2020	OneLegal	Filing and Filing Fee	\$ 1,494.56
11/24/2020	OneLegal	Service of Process	\$ 154.50
11/25/2020	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 12.20
12/18/2020	United States Postal Service	Service of Process	\$ 3.25
1/8/2021	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 14.25
2/16/2021	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 14.25
3/15/2021	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 5.00
3/24/2021	Orange County Superior Court	Document Retrieval	\$ 7.50
4/27/2021	Mark S. Rudy APC	Mediation Fees	\$ 10,000.00
4/27/2021	General Logistics Systems	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 19.66
10/22/2021	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 60.00
10/28/2021	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 8.53
10/28/2021	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 20.00
10/29/2021	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 25.79
11/23/2021	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 30.00
12/2/2021	Douglas Han	Attorney Reimbursement - Mediation	\$ 20.68
12/3/2021	General Logistics Systems	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 12.86
12/9/2021	CourtCall	Court Hearing	\$ 94.00
12/10/2021	Berger Consulting Group	Expert Review and Analysis of Payroll Records	\$ 1,425.00
12/13/2021	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 14.67
1/6/2022	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 10.08
1/6/2022	OneLegal	Filing & Filing Fee	\$ 91.11
1/17/2022	United States Postal Service	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 1.55
1/19/2022	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 10.00
1/20/2022	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 14.67
2/1/2022	Orange County Superior Court	Document Retrieval	\$ 7.50
3/17/2022	General Logistics Systems	Mailing Correspondence	\$ 29.16
3/28/2022	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 5.00
3/30/2022	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 15.19
4/12/2022	OneLegal	Filing & Filing Fee	\$ 86.75
4/21/2022	OneLegal	Filing & Filing Fee	\$ 92.15
6/28/2022	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 5.00
7/21/2022	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 45.57
8/19/2022	SignNow	Attorney Services	\$ 5.00
8/19/2022	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 30.38
9/2/2022	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 30.38
9/15/2022	OneLegal	Filing	\$ 45.57
	OneLegal	Filing & Filing Fee (Anticipatory)	\$ 76.96
	OneLegal	Filing (Anticipatory)	\$ 15.19
	LexisNexis	Legal Research	\$ 1,250.00
	Justice Law Corporation (In House)	Photocopies (968 @ \$0.15 per page)	\$ 145.20
		Total Cost	\$ 15,623.55

EXHIBIT 5

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Jamie Contreras v. Stueves's Milk Transport, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1304440	David Cohn
Art Kelly et al. v. Barker Management, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC506120	Kenneth Freeman
Patrick Arrellano v. Tolt, LLC; Tolt Service Group, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC512644	Amy Hogue
Derya Keles et al. v. The Art of Shaving-FL, LLC	Alameda County Superior Court	RG13687151	Wynne Carville
Marc Newman v. Hyder & Company	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2013-00051617-CU-OE-CTL	John Meyer
Abigail Stahl v. Fred Leeds Properties, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC509716	John Wiley, Jr.
Johnny Esters et al. v. HBD LTD, Limited Partnership	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-279879 DRL	David Lampe
Brian Davidson et al. v. Lentz Construction General Engineering Contractor, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-279842-LHB	Lorna Brumfield
Lindsay Griffiths v. Paper Source, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC506121	William Highberger
Gabriel Betancourt v. Hugo Boss USA	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC506988	Kenneth Freeman
Stephen McDougale et al. v. Ensign Drilling Company (California), Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-279842-LHB	Lorna Brumfield
Cody Pierce v. Progress Rail Services Corporation	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-282596	David Lampe
Michael Weston et al. v. Helmerich & Payne International Drilling Co.	Kern County Superior Court	1500 CV279549	David Lampe
Rod Rodriguez v. B&L Casing Service, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-282709-DRL	David Lampe
Jose Duval et al. v. DBI Beverage, Inc.	Santa Clara County Superior Court	1-14-CV-266154	Peter Kirwan
Pamela Van Goev v. Pro's Choice Beauty Care, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC545400	John Wiley, Jr.
Michael Peterson v. T-J Roofing Co., Inc (Baker Roofing)	San Joaquin County Superior Court	39-2014-00316043-CU-OE-STK	Barbara Kronlund
Ilya Zaydenburg et al. v. Crocs Retail, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC554214	John Wiley, Jr.
Jeff Hartzell et al. v. Truitt Oil Field Maintenance Corporation	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-283011-DRL	David Lampe
Nickolus Blevins v. Watkins Construction Co., Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-283079-LHB	Lorna Brumfield
Jennifer Ailey et al. v. Restoration Hardware, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	JCCP4794	William Highberger
Mario Navarro-Sales et al. v. Markstein Beverage Co.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2015-00174957	Alan Perkins
Jason Novak v. Midlands Management Corporation; Midlands Claim Administrators	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC56702	Ann Jones
Oscar Pina v. Zim Industries, Inc. dba Bakersfield Well & Pump	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-284498-SPC	Sidney Chapin
David W. White et al. v. Pilot Travel Centers LLC	San Joaquin County Superior Court	39-2013-00301569-CU-OE-STK	Linda Lofthus
Kristin Hollinger et al. v. Safety Management Systems, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-284499-DRL	David Lampe
Michelle Ross et al. v. Southern State Insurance (Alsmadi)	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC507217	Kenneth Freeman
Simone Blattler et al. v. Kate Spade & Company	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC521256	Kenneth Freeman
Melba Hynick v. AmeriFirst Financial, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC573246	Lisa Hart Cole
Evelyn Antoine v. Rivertone Residential CA, Inc. dba Riverstone Residential Group	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2013-00155974	Alan Perkins
Lesly Chavez et al. v. East West Bank	San Francisco County Superior Court	CJC-13-004839	Curtis Karnow
John Kim v. Hanmi Bank	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC534578	Elihu Berle
Nickolous Blevins v. Republic Refrigeration, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC579924	Elihu Berle
Melba Hynick et al. v. International City Mortgage, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1502516	Keith Davis
Jose Contreas v. Towne Center Property Management, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC513621	Ann Jones

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Cody Pierce et al. v. Robert Heely Construction, LP	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-282474-LHB	Lorna Brumfield
Terry Tauchman v. Outerwall, Inc. aka Coinstar, Inc.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2013-00154815	Alan Perkins
Sherrie Ward et al. v. Amazon Processing, LLC dba Appstar Financial	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2015-00012522-CU-OE-CTL	Timothy Taylor
Karen McKinnon et al. v. Renovate America, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2015-00038150-CU-OE-CTL	John Meyer
Mark Aceves et al. v. Cambro Manufacturing Company	Orange County Superior Court	30-2015-00810013-CU-OE-CXC	Glenda Sanders
Kevin Marking v. Randy's Trucking, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-15-100180-TSC	Thomas Clark
Daniel Saiyasit et al. v. Saccani Distributing Company	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2015-00187440	Raymond Cadei
Michael Emerson et al. v. Ganahl Lumber Company	Orange County Superior Court	30-2014-00747750-CU-OE-CXC	Kim Dunning
Jose Salas v. Clean Harbor Environmental Services, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-15-100187DRL	David Lampe
Edwin Murillo v. W.A. Thompson, Inc	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-16-101994	Sidney Chapin
Tyrone Windham et al. v. T.F. Louderback, Inc. dba Bay Area Beverage Company	Contra Costa County Superior Court	CIVMSC16-00861	Barry Goode
Derrick Lankford v. Roseburg Forest Products Co.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC603618	Ann Jones
Alejandro Hernandez v. Crest Beverage, LLC	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2015-00039163-CU-OE-CTL	Katherine Bacal
Martin Gonzalez v. Matagrano Inc.	San Francisco County Superior Court	CGC-16-550494	Curtis Karnow
Malachi Smith et al. v. Marketstar Corporation	Alameda County Superior Court	JCCP004820	George Hernandez
Justin Dougherty v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC544841	Maren Nelson
Edgardo Madrigal et al. v. Couch Distributing Company, Inc.	Santa Cruz County Superior Court	15-CV-00439	Paul Burdick
Rodney Hoffman v. Blattner Energy Inc.	United States District Court of Central California	ED CV 14-2195-DMG (DTBx)	Dolly Gee
Ruben Amaya v. Apex Merchant Group, LLC dba Express Processing	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2015-00186623-CU-OE-GDS	Steven Rodda
Eduardo De La Torre et al. v. Acuity Brands Lighting, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1601800	Donna Gunnell Garza
Carlos Ramirez v. Mashburn Transportation Services, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-15-100591-SPC	Stephen Schuett
Dennis Carr et al. v. American Security Products Company	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1606769	Wilfred Schneider, Jr.
Shane Burke v. Petrol Production Supply, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-15-101092-SPC	Stephen Schuett
Sam John et al. v. Rival Well Services Incorporated	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-15-100504-SPC	Stephen Schuett
Tanya Orosco v. Visionary Home Builders of California	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2017-00210368-CU-OE-GDS	Christopher Krueger
Eric Savage et al. v. Regus Management Group, LLC	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC498401	Elihu Berle
Adalberto Chavana v. Golden Empire Equipment, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-16-102796-DRL	David Lampe
Jeff Prince v. Ponder Environmental Services, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-16-100784-DRL	David Lampe
Fernando Mondragon et al. v. Oldenkamp Trucking, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-16-102399	Stephen Schuett
John Steele et al. v. Delta Sierra Beverage, LLC	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2017-00206727	Alan Perkins
Nabor Navarro v. Trans-West Intermodal, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1700850	Brian McCarville
David Dobbs v. Wood Group PSN, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-16-101078-DRL	David Lampe
Keith Lacy v. Azuma Foods International, Inc., USA	Alameda County Superior Court	RG16827402	Winifred Smith
Julio Ceron et al. v. Hyrdo Resources-West, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-15-101461	Stephen Schuett
Antonio Calderon v. BKB Construction, LP	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-102154-DRL	David Lampe

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Silvia Harbabikian et al. v. Williston Financial Group, LLC	Ventura County Superior Court	56-2016-00485186-CU-OE-VTA	Kent Kellegrew
Alex Vega et al. v. Advance Beverage Co., Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-16-100848-DRL	David Lampe
Emmanuel Villarin v. BHFC Operating LLC dba Bottega Louie	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC616136	Carolyn Kuhl
Milton Krisher et al. v. General Production Service of California, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-15-100795	David Lampe
Steve Stuck v. Jerry Melton & Sons Construction, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-16-101516-DRL	David Lampe
Caryn Rafferty et al. v. Academy Mortgage Corporation	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2016-00191285-CU-OE-GDS	David Brown
Carrie Baker v. Central Coast Home Health	San Luis Obispo County Superior Court	17CV-0219	Tana Coates
Jamar Farmer v. Cooks Collision, Inc.	Napa County Superior Court	17CV000969	Diane Price
Alvin Hayes et al. v. Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-101019	Stephen Schuett
Carlos Ramirez v. Crestwood Operations LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-100503	David Lampe
Belen Torrez v. Freedom Mortgage Corporation	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1709351	David Cohn
Nickolous Blevins v. California Commercial Solar, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-199571	Stephen Schuett
Ricardo Ortega et al. v. Nestle Waters North America, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC623610	Carolyn Kuhl
Marco Reyes v. Halliburton Energy Services, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-280215-SDS	Stephen Schuett
Dennis Carr v. So-Cal Structural Steel Fabrication, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1605828	Thomas Garza
Dois Sides et al. v. S.A. Camp Pump Company	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-16-100219-DRL	David Lampe
Javier Cisneros et al. v. Wilbur-Ellis Company LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-102836-DRL	David Lampe
Landon Fulmer, Jr. et al. v. Golden State Drilling, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV0279707-SDS	Stephen Schuett
Alejandro Hernandez v. NUCO2 Management, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-102571-SDS	Stephen Schuett
Carlos McCollum et al. v. Delta Tech Service, Inc.	Solano County Superior Court	FCS049504	Scott Daniels
Juan Garcia et al. v. Straub Distributing Company, LTD	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-100377-DRL	David Lampe
Hal Weinshank et al. v. Freedom Mortgage Corporation	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2018-00229068	David Brown
Carl Morel et al. v. Aseptic Solutions USA Ventures, LLC	Riverside County Superior Court	RIC1711383	Craig Riemer
Bridgette Guzman v. CrossCountry Mortgage, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2017-00050474-CU-OE-CTL	Richard Whitney
Jose Castillo v. Gabriel I. Cruz dba GIC Transport Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-101807-DRL	Thomas Clark
Maximo Garcia et al. v. Glide Rite	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC665485	William Highberger
Marie Hernandez v. Starbucks Corporation dba Teavana	Ventura County Superior Court	56-2017-00497449-CU-OE-VTA	Matthew Guasco
Talia Turner et al. v. Alliance Residential, LLC	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2016-00199504-CU-OE-GDS	Alan Perkins
Genio Chuen v. 911 Mobile Mechanic, LLC	Orange County Superior Court	30-2017-00943421-CU-OE-CXC	Glenda Sanders
Elbern Gentry v. Eugene Burger Management Corporation	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2015-00182515-CU-OE-GDS	David Brown
Daniel Lee v. Westside Habitats, LLC	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC702296	Elihu Berle
Victor Felix v. Remedial Transportation Services, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-102595	David R. Lampe
Amy Lustig v. Skyline Financial Corporation	Los Angeles County Superior Court	JCCP4929	Daniel Buckley
Maurice Bunche et al. v. Mettler-Toledo Rainin, LLC	Alameda County Superior Court	RG18899279	Winifred Smith
Richard Valencia v. Hill Phoenix, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1715125	David Cohn
Annie Ayala v. Cherry Creek Mortgage Co., Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1813616	David Cohn
Melissa Paez v. C&R Restaurant Group, LP	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-103171	Stephen Schuett

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Johnie Honeycutt et al. v. California Sierra Express, Inc.	Sarremonto County Superior Court	34-2017-00210723	David Brown
Jimmy Alexander v. Republic Services, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-102520-DRL	David Lampe
Jose Garcia v. Hronis, Inc	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-101510	David Lampe
Carlos Koreisz et al. v. On Q Financial, Inc	Ventura County Superior Court	56-2018-00511 126-CU-OE-VTA	Mark Borrell
Jason Manas et al. v. Kenai Drilling Limited	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC546330	Daniel Buckley
Michelle Xiong et al. v. Hilltop Ranch, Inc.	Merced County Superior Court	18CV-01340	Brian McCabe
David Bibb v. Gazelle Transportation, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-103172-DRL	David Lampe
Israel Balderama v. Steeler, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-102314-DRL	David Lampe
Donna Chavez v. Munchkin, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1829987	John Tomberlin
Julio Rodriguez v. Square-H Brands, Inc	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC719423	Elihu Berle
Jose Godinez et al. v. Lazer Spot, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-102721	Thomas Clark
Ratcliffe v. Gold Star Mortgage Financial Group	Orange County Superior Court	30-2017-00918768-CU-OE-CXC	Peter Wilson
Jose Duval v. Pacific States Petroleum, Inc.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2018-00231934, 34-2018-243085	David Brown
Karen Morgan v. Childtime Childcare, Inc. (Federal)	United States District Court of Central California	8:17-cv-01641 AG (KESx)	Andrew Guilford
Alejandro Amador v. RMJV, LP dba Fresh Creative Foods	San Diego County Superior Court	37 -2018-00045893-CU-OE-NC	Jacqueline Stern
Georgeta Beldiman v. Universal Hospital Services, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-102235-SDS	Stephen Schuett
Juan Sanchez v. Leon Krous Drilling, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC705069	William Highberger
Araz Parseghian et al. v. Homestreet Bank	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2018-00241855-CU-OE-GDS	David Brown
Jose Garcia v. Pacific Coast Supply, LLC	Sacramento County Superior Court	30-2019-00247748-CU-OE-GDS	David Brown
Carl Powell et al. v. West Coast Casing, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-15-100277-DRL	David R. Lampe
Daniel Flores v. Wilmar Oils & Fats (Stockton), LLC	San Joaquin County Superior Court	STK-CV-UOE-2018-0012758	Barbara Kronlund
Jordan Dahlberg et al. v. Fresno Beverage Company dba Valley Wide Beverage	Tulare County Superior Court	VCU279083	Bret Hillman
Kamada McDaniel v. Royal Cup, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG19001661	Brad Seligman
Steven Franklin v. Synergy One Lending, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-100178-SDS	Stephen Schuett
Jorge Proctor v. Helena Agri Enterprises, LLC	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2018-00057894-CU-0E-CTL	Joel Wohlfeil
Mariano Martinez v. Community Playgrounds, Inc.	Solano County Superior Court	FCS053879	Bradley Nelson
Fabian Mayorag v. Sturgeon Services International, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC509717	Ann I. Jones
Christine Arman v. Circor Aerospace, Inc.	Riverside County Superior Court	RIC1613578	Sunshine Sykes
Liam Meyers et al v. Power Machinery Center	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-19-100897-DRL	David R. Lampe
Imelda De Vega v. Baxter Healthcare Corporation	Merced County Superior Court	20CV-00782	Brian McCabe
Mario R. Guerrero et al. v. Plaza Home Mortgage, Inc.	Imperial County Superior Court	ECU001150	L. Brooks Anderholt
Marcel Harrington et al. v. Arlon Graphics, LLC	Orange County Superior Court	30-2018-00970444-CU-OE-CXC	Peter Wilson
Daishun Luckett v. King's Hawaiian Bakery West, Inc. et al.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19TRCV00761	Gary Y. Tanaka
Harry Noriesta v. Konica Minolta Business Solutions U.S.A., Inc.	United States District Court of Central California	EDCV 19-620-JGB (KKx)	Jesus G. Bernal
Rance Lewis v. Environmental Waste Minimization, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-19-102248-SDS	Stephen Schuett
Juan Olivas et al. v. VCI Construction, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-100512-SDS	Stephen Schuett

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Jonathan McAllister et al. v. La Tortilla Factory, Inc.	Sonoma County Superior Court	SCV-263220	Gary Nadler
Luis Ross et al. v. Cardinal Financial Company L.P.	Orange County Superior Court	30-2018-00998757-CU-OE-CXC	William Claster
Mansour Nije v. Lucira Health, Inc. f/k/a Diassess, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG20055890	Julia A. Spain
Byron Woods et al. v. Johanson Dielectrics, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19STC11487	Maren Nelson
Harry Noriesta v. Konica Minolta Business Solutions U.S.A., Inc.	Merced County Superior Court	20CV-01183	Brian McCabe
Guy Beaudoin et al. v. Weststar Transportation, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-101045	David R. Lampe
Josh Spier et al. v. Gibbs International, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-19-101774-DRL	David R. Lampe
Raynisha Buntun et al. v. 1st Class Staffing et al.	San Joaquin County Superior Court	STK-CV-UOE-2018-15239	Geoge J. Abdallah
Thomas Cuen v. Patriot Enviornmental Services, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-102851	David R. Lampe
Sovann Touch v. Presidio Components	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-101005	David R. Lampe
Justin Janis et al. v. United Rentals (North America), Inc.	Kern County Suprior Court	BCV-19-102692	David R. Lampe
Jeff Borghi v. Goldco Direct LLC dba Goldco Precious Metals	Ventura County Superior Court	56-2019-00533053-CU-OE-VTA	Jeffery G. Bennet
John Kula v. Markem-Imaje Corporation	San Bernardino County Suprior Court	CIVDS1911687	Bryan F. Foster
Joseph Garza v. CIG Logistics (Continental Intermodal Group)	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-19-102776-SDS	Stephen Schuett
Robin Arnold v. Guranteed Rate, Inc.	Ventura County Superior Court	56-2019-00523081-CU-OE-VTA	Jeffery G. Bennet
Tyler Arciniega et al. v. Ony Glo, Inc. dba Mortgage Bankers	San Bernardino County Suprior Court	CIVDS1901760	Brian S. McCarville
Erica Corona et al. v. Property West, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2017-00028103-CU-OE-CTL	Ronald F. Frazier
AnnMarie Albanex v. Bank of Hope	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19STCV30577	Rafael A. Onkeko
Ernesto Perez v. Tri-Star Deying and Finishing, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC649292	Carolyn Kuhl
Kelly Lomeland v. Consolidated Fire Protection	Orange County Superior Court	30-2019-01056877-CU-OE-CXC	Glenda Sanders
Edward Cardenas v. Point Mortgage Corporation	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2018-00036627-CU-OE-CTL	Ronald F. Frazier
Patricial Alcantar et al v. Bay Equity, LLC	Marin County Superior Court	CIV1903376	James Chou
Efrain Perez v. Freedom Medical, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1903517	Bryan F. Foster
Justin Tourchette v. Finelite, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG19022885	Frank Roesch
Nathan Priess v. Fiore Management, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-100930-DRL	David R. Lampe
Paul Svinth v. Wastequip Manufacturing Company, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-17-102143-DRL	David R. Lampe
Marisol Coronado v. Adventist Health Medical Center Tehachapi et al.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-19-102644	David R. Lampe
Beverly Saolom v. Pulmonox Corporation	San Mateo County Superior Court	19-CIV-05070	Nancy Fineman
Rodney Bianco et al. v. Fujitsu America, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	18STCV00254	Daniel Buckley
Mark Barnes v. American Financial Network	Orange County Superior Court	30-2017-00921175-CU-OE-CXC	William Claster
Alejandro Pichardon v. American Financial Network	Orange County Superior Court	30-2016-00880472-CU-OE-CXC	William Claster
Edgar Sanchez v. Sunpower Corporation	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-102563-SDS	Stephen Schuett
Keith Lacy v. Agro Merchants Oakland, LLC	Alameda County Superior Court	RG18909127	Brad Seligman
Joseph B. Williams v. Good Health, Inc. et al.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19STCV14944	Elihu M. Berle
Matthew Tucker v. BYD Coach & Bus, LLC	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC698921	Amy Hogue
Jamie Garcia v. Argo Chemical, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-102162	David R. Lampe
Cindy Johnson et al. v. Summit Funding, Inc.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2018-00237292	Shama H. Mesiwala

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Paul Zavala v. Donaghy Sales, LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-102005	David R. Lampe
Luis Pelayo v. Rancho Foods, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20NWCV00359	Raul Sahagun
Joy Mathis v. Wintrust Mortgage a division of Barrington Bank & Trust Company N.A.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	18STCV01136	Amy Hogue
Antonesha Hoshaw v. Sutherland Healthcare Global Solutions	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19STCV33165	Carolyn Kuhl
Jose Duval v. Dawson Oil Company	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2020-00276862-CU-OE-GDS	Shama H. Mesiwala
Robin Edwards et al. v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC606083	Daniel Buckley
Cesar Martinez v. Blue Dot Safes Corporation	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19PSCV00618	Gloria White-Brown
Sasha Ellis v. UDR LP et al.	Orange County Superior Court	30-2018-01022710-CU-OE-CXC	William Claster
Steven DelCorso v. Westland Technologies, Inc.	Stanislaus County Superior Court	CV-20-002807	John R. Mayne
Victor Duron et al. v. Super Care, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19STCV1479	Kenneth Freeman
Jenay Clayton et al. v. Land Home Financial Services, Inc.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2019-00258005-CU-OE-GDS	Shama H. Mesiwala
Priscilla Ramirez v. Amphastar Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDSZOI1327	David Cohn
Gina Davidson v. Augusta Financial, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20CHCV00420	Melvin Sandvig
Bob Vilitchai v. Ametek Programmable Power, Inc. et al.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2015-00025968-CU-OE-CTL	Gregory W. Pollack
Carleton Hargrove v. San Diego County Credit Union (PAGA)	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2019-00049944-CU-0E-CTL	Joel R. Wohlfeil
Diego Ayala et al. v. J.C. Ford Company	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-102948-DRL	David R. Lampe
Francisco Munoz v. Bon Suisse, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2018-00031675-CU-OE-CTL	Kenneth J. Medel
Frank Fernandez v. Confluent Medical Technologies, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG19012821	Brad Seligman
Monique Gonzalez v. Murad, LLC	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19STCV28937	Yvette M. Palazuelos
Elizabeth Stacy v. Branded Group, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-21-100443-BCB	Bernard C. Barmann
Brittney Hart v. Zazzle, Inc.	San Mateo County Superior Court	20-CIV-01321	Nancy L. Fineman
Eric Williams v. Aetna, Inc. et al.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG19004083	Stephen Kaus
Lavelle Marshall v. Impossible Foods, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG20052303	Brad Seligman
Alphonso Rivera, et al. v. Love Automotive Group, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-102730	David R. Lampe
Ron Ferguson adv. Alpha Petroleum Transport, Inc et al.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-18-101446-BCB	Bernard C. Barmann
Lisa Ramirez et al. v. CareFusion Resources, LCC et al.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2018-0058078-CU-OE-CTL	Ronald F. Frazier
Kevin Lor v. Antech Diagnostics, Inc (PAGA)	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2020-00282790	Shama H. Mesiwala
Ashley Simms v. John Stewart Company	Alameda County Superior Court	HG19028122	Paul D. Herbert
Nestor Bulle v. Killion Industries, Inc	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2020-00018510-CU-OE-CTL	Timothy B. Taylor
Vanessa Samaniego v. Sanmina Corporation	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-102817	Bernard C. Barmann
Daniel Sonico v. Ji Medical, Inc. adv. Ramat	Alameda County Superior Court	RG20062766	Evelio Grillo
Christina Signh v. Ryzen Solutions	Alameda County Superior Court	RG19039158	Frank Roesch
Joseph Fox v. Crunch, LLC	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2020-00276101-CU-OE-GDS	Richard K. Sueyoshi
Alexander Hernandez v. Saint Gobain Performance Plastics Corporation	Orange County Superior Court	30-2020-01142388-CU-OE-CXC	Randall J. Sherman
Randall Cowen v. Everett Financial, Inc dba Supreme Lending	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2018-00247289-CU-OE-GDS	Shama H. Mesiwala
Jerome Oswald v. L.A. Turbine	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV33496	Carolyn B. Kuhl

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Dyron Dorsey v. Kruger Foods, Inc.	San Joaquin County Superior Court	STK-CV-UOE-2020-0002099	Erin Guy Castillo
Garner adv. Inter-State Oil Company adv. Garner	AAA	1-21-0003-6413	Kenneth H. Yoon
Alyssa Webb-Bruner v. RA Medical Systems, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2019-00066232-CU-OE-CTL	James A. Mangione
Janine Salinas v. Change Healthcare Technology Enabled Services, LLC	Ventura County Superior Court	56-2020-00539300	Mark Borrell
John Hernandez et al. v. Triangle Distributing Co.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV13172	Maren Nelson
Rason Williams v. National Construction Rentals, Inc	Alameda County Superior Court	RG20075904	Brad Seligman
Gina Massenzo v. Bachem Americas, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20TRCV00591	Gary Y. Tanaka
Itxel Toribio v. ITT Aerospace Controls, LLC	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19STCV17469	Kenneth R. Freeman
Lanzell Smith Jr. v. American Campus Communités, Inc.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2020-00280934	Shama H. Mesiwala
Randy Munoz v. Osram Sylvania Inc., et al.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2020-00284677	Judith S. Craddick
Arlene Bandril et al. v. Plastikon Industries	Alameda County Superior Court	RG19038227	Brad Seligman
Kijana Wicker v. ASC Profiles LLC (PAGA)	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2019-00270803-CU-OE-GDS	Judith S. Craddick
Janet Ugale et al. v. Allen Distribution, LP	San Joaquin County Superior Court	STK-CV-UOE-2020-0005807	Barbara Kronlund
Angela Burmayan v. Weck Analytical Environmental Services, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV30871	William F. Highberger
Rick Beltran v. Stremicks Heritage Foods, LLC	Orange County Superior Court	30-2019-01043445-CU-OE-CXC	Peter Wilson
Luis Searls v. Central Valley Eggs LLC	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-101910-JEB	J. Eric Bradshaw
Elisala Tela v. Metrocell Constrution, Inc. (PAGA)	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1918537	David Cohn
Nina Brooks v. Action Property Management, Inc. (PAGA)	Los Angeles County Superior Court	BC562417	Daniel J. Buckley
Joshua Mendoza v. Savage Services Corporation	Los Angeles County Superior Court	18STCV04798	Daniel J. Buckley
Eddie Fulinara v. Genmark Diagnostics, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2019-00000877-CU-OE-CTL	Ronald F. Frazier
Janine Salinas v. Jafra Cosmetics International, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV04284	Carolyn Kuhl
Joseph Hernandez v. Buttonwillow Warehouse Company, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-19-100202-BCB	Bernard C. Barmann
Zulema Diaz v. Roxbury Surgery Center, LLC, et al.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV46531	Mel Red Recana
Daniel Rodriguez v. Means Engineering, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2020-00024397-CU-OE-CTL	Katherine Bacal
Erika Martinez v. N.A.F.T.A Distributors	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1938970	David Cohn
Juan Gomez v. IHI Power Services Corp.	Merced County Superior Court	20CV-02657	Brian McCabe
Jose Zuniga v. Central Valley Concrete, Inc.	Merced County Superior Court	20CV-00490	Brian McCabe
Brian Perez, et al. v. Merit Aluminum, Inc.	Riverside County Superior Court	RIC1904580	Sunshine S. Sykes
Jacob Schumacher, et al. v. Georgia Pacific Corrugated LLC	Central District Federal Court	CV 19-8632-DMG (AFMx)	Dolly M. Gee
Gerald Angeles, et al., v. iKrusher, Inc.	Contra Costa County Superior Court	MSC20-01312	Edward Weil
Robert Enriquez v. MCE Corporation	Contra Costa County Superior Court	MSC20-01744	Edward Weil
Buck Walsh, et al., v. Tandem Diabetes Care, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	A281153-48	Joan M. Lewis
Michane Greene v. Information Resources, Inc. d/b/a Scanscape	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVSB2024620	David Cohn
Francesca Burke, et al., v. Old Republic Home Protection Company, Inc., et al.	Contra Costa County Superior Court	MSC20-02203	Edward G. Weil
Shonie Shoemaker v. Broken Arrow Communications, Inc.	Merced County Superior Court	21CV-03517	Brian McCabe
David Pena v. San Joaquin Supply dba Ernest Packaging	Los Angeles County Superior Court	19STCV43500	Amy D. Hogue

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Blain Smith, et al. v. KS Industries, L.P.	Kern County Superior Court	S-1500-CV-284188-BCB Consolidated with BCV-21-101300	Bernard C. Barmann
Daniel Ybanez v. Navy Federal Credit Union	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2019-00016815-CU-OE-CTL	Gregory W. Pollack
Amanda Cunningham v. Cottonwood H.C., Inc. dba Cottonwood Post-Acute Rehab	Yolo County Superior Court	CV2021-1375	Daniel M. Wolk
Devon Zabalza v. Customized Distribution Services, Inc.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDSI931371	David Cohn
Adedeji Adejobi v. Nulaid Foods, Inc. et al.	San Joaquin County Superior Court	STK-CV-UOE-2020-0008625	Barbara Kronlund
Robert Enriquez v. Global Modular, Inc.	Merced County Superior Court	20CV-03162	Brian McCabe
Nguyen Ngo, et al. v. Medimpact Healthcare Systems, Inc.	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2020-00015657-CU-OE-CTL	Keri Katz
Liduvina Jurado v. Gallo Cattle Company	Merced County Superior Court	18CV-01342	Brian McCabe
Aharon Spry, et al. v. Prime Lube, Inc, et al.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS2018738	David Cohn
Gorge Monjaraz v. H & U, Inc. d/b/a Sun Noodle California, LLC	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20MCV00285	Thomas D. Long
Eileen Velasquez, et al. v. Hi-Temp Insulation, Inc.	Merced County Superior Court	21CV-02505	Brian McCabe
Steven Jefferson v. McCormack Baron Management, Inc.	San Francisco County Superior Court	CGC-20-588162	Richard B. Ulmer Jr.
Mario Ceja v. Silvergate Bank	San Diego County Superior Court	37-2020-0016207-CU-OE-CTL	Ronald F. Frazier
Allen Morgan v. Wehah Farm, Inc dba Lundberg Family Farms	Butte County Superior Court	20CV02554	Stephen E. Benson
Sean Hammond, et al. v. Paul Durham Electric, Inc. fka Harold E. Nutter & Son, Inc.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2020-00291142-CU-OE-GDS	Christopher Krueger
Fateema Brown v. Fair Oaks Estates, Inc.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2020-00278787	Richard Sueyoshi
Charisma Coleman, et al. v. H&E Equipment Services, Inc. et al.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG20069157	Brad Seligman
Daria Regester, et al. v. The Jackson Laboratory dba The Jackson Laboratory, West	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2021-00310014-CU-OE-GDS	Christopher E. Kreugar
Theresa Romandia v. Mattson Technology, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG20053121	Brad Seligman
Christopher Luque, et al. v. Glovis America, Inc.	Ventura County Superior Court	56-2021-00550720-CU-OE-VTA	Jeffrey G. Bennett
Earl Rhodes, et al. v. Cavotec Dabico US Inc., et al.	Orange County Superior Court	30-2021-01177305-CU-OE-CXC	Peter Wilson
Stacey Collins v. Mobile Medical Examination Services, LLC dba MEDXM, et al.	Orange County Superior Court	30-2020-01130693-CU-OE-CXC	Glenda Sanders
Alexandra Pelgrift v. The 21st Amendment Brewery Cafe	San Francisco County Superior Court	CGC-20-585227	Ethan P. Schulman
Kevin McNeil, et al. v. KIK International, LLC., et al.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS1915438	Bryan F. Foster
Jose Lozano v. Peterson Brothers Consutruction, Inc.	Merced County Superior Court	22CV-00103	Brian McCabe
Sterling Skinner v. MoreFlavor, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG20084231	Brad Seligman
Tom Tesene v. Serrato- McDermott, Inc. dba Allied Auto Stores	Alameda County Superior Court	RG21090589	Brad Seligman
Joseph Jimenez v. Makita USA, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV21732	Lawrence P. Riff
Tiffany Gaston, et al. v. Onrad, Inc.	Riverside County Superior Court	RIC2004221	Craig Riemer
Brittany Dam v. Rubber-Cal, Inc.	Orange County Superior Court	30-2020-01176087-CU-OE-CXC	Peter Wilson
Justin Avalos v. Indio Products, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV40470	Elihu M. Berle
Gene Weber v. Sunrise Medical (US) LLC	Merced County Superior Court	20CV-01461	Brian McCabe
Roberto Flores v. Rivermaid Trading Company	San Joaquin County Superior Court	STK-CV-UOE-2020-0008623	Jayne Lee

Cases	Court	Case Number	Judge
Tom Tesene v. Bossard, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG21088612	Frank Roesch
Jose Rivera, et al. v. Acco Brands Corporation, et al.	San Bernardino County Superior Court	CIVDS2020490	David Cohn
Katrina Trester, et al. v. Delicato Vineyards	San Benito County Superior Court	CU-22-00016	J. Omar Rodriguez
Beverly Salom, et al. v. Lumentum Operations LLC, et al.	Santa Clara County Superior Court	19CV354198	Sunil R. Kulkarni
Alfredo Salas v. Golden Specialty Foods, LLC	Merced County Superior Court	22CV-00393	Brian McCabe
Alan Childs, et al. v. Dal Chem, Inc. dba Alexis Oil Company	Riverside County Superior Court	CVRI2100684	Craig Riemer
Wesley v. Premier Equipment Rental, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-101-858-BCB	Bernard C. Barmann
Jamesha Burns v. A.M. Castle & Co.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV45602	Carolyn B. Khul
Luis Miranda v. New Pride Tire, Inc. et al.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG20063513	Stephen Kaus
Marion Schwarz, et al. v. TriWest Healthcare Alliance Corp.	Sacramento County Superior Court	34-2019-00272292-CU-OE-GDS	Jill H. Talley
Robin Quiusky v. Intevac, Inc.	Santa Clara County Superior Court	20CV368343	Sunil R. Kulkarni
Andrew McEathron, et al. v. Ahern Rentals, Inc.	Alameda County Superior Court	RG17867366	Stephen Kaus
Alejandro Munoz v. Sierra Circuits, Inc. et al.	Santa Clara County Superior Court	21CV386080	Sunil R. Kulkarni
Marco Rodriguez v. HYM Engineering, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	21STCV24146	Carolyn B. Kuhl
Sarah Gomez, et al. v. Townsend Industries, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-19-100608	Bernard C. Barmann
Neil Fraser, et al. v. Valley Power Systems, Inc. et al.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV00279	Carolyn B. Kuhl
Janine Nelson v. Captek Softgel International, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	20STCV21184	Elihu M. Berle
Matthew Cordova v. Jakks Pacific, Inc.	Los Angeles County Superior Court	22PSCV00149	Salvatorre Sirna

EXHIBIT 6

Case Name	Court	Case Number	Judge	Type
Yadira Rodriguez, et al. v. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, et al.	San Bernardino Superior Court	CIVDS1818367	Hon. David Cohn	Class
Erica Monique Carroll v L.A. Family Housing, LLC, et al.	Los Angeles Superior Court	20STCV04474	Hon. Maren Nelson	Class
Brianna Cooks v Reliant Real Estate Management, Inc., et al.	Los Angeles Superior Court	18STCV05531	Hon. Amy D. Hogue	Class
Darci Fry v Laguna Asset Management, Inc., et al.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-100165	Hon. Thomas Clark	PAGA
Javier Garcia v Rich Doss, Inc., et al.	Fresno County Superior Court	18CECG04533	Hon. Rosemary McGuire	Class
Sherman Lee Hardy v Hogan Personnel, LLC, et al.	San Bernardino Superior Court	CIVDS1917381	Hon. David Cohn	Class
Miguel Haro, et al. v TW Sewrvices, Inc, et al.	Orange County Superior Court	30-2019-01044791-CU-OE-CXC	Hon. Kirk Nakamura	Class
Gabriela Herrera v Pomona Quality Foam, LLC, et al.	Los Angeles Superior Court	19STCV38235	Hon. Ann I. Jones	Class
Rosemarie Williams v Inclusion Services, LLC, et al.	Los Angeles Superior Court	BC702272	Hon. Daniel J. Buckley	Class
Steven Michael Webb, et al. v. United Valet Parking, Inc., et al.	San Diego Superior Court	37-2019-00046009-CU-OE-CTL	Hon. Richard E. L. Strauss	Class
Andrea Denise Sennett v. Idemia America Corp., et al.	Los Angeles Superior Court	19STCV45076	Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman	PAGA
Andres Trujillo v Secard Pools, Inc., et al.	San Bernardino Superior Court	CIVDS1920474	Hon. David Cohn	Class
Shykeena Johnson, et al. v Absolute Security International, Inc., et al.	San Bernardino Superior Court	CIVDS1909589	Hon. David Cohn	Class
Edwin Rolando Prado v IMAX Corporation d/b/a IMAX WORLDWIDE HOME, et al.	San Bernardino Superior Court	CIVDS1820265	Hon. David Cohn	Class
Sarah Naticchioni v Urban Parks Concessionaries d/b/a The California Parks Company	Riverside Superior Court	RIC1823161	Hon. Sunshine Sykes	Class
Michael Teer v Sabert Corporation	Riverside Superior Court	RIC1905847	Hon. Sunshine Sykes	Class
Janine Salinas v Jafra Cosmetics International, Inc.	Los Angeles Superior Court	20STCV04284	Hon. Carolyn Kuhl	Class
Brian Perez v Merit Aluminum, Inc.	Riverside Superior Court	RIC1904580	Hon. Sunshine Sykes	Class
Christopher Luque v Glovis America, Inc.	Ventura Superior Court	56-2021-00550720-CU-OE-VTA	Hon. Jeffrey G. Bennett	Class
Dwayne Wesley v Premier Equipment Rental, Inc.	Kern County Superior Court	BCV-20-101858-BCB	Hon. Bernard C, Barmann, Jr.	Class
Jose Rivera v Acco Brands Corporation, et al.	San Bernardino Superior Court	CIVDS2020490	Hon. David Cohn	Class

Marion Schwarz v Triwest Healthcare Alliance Corp.,	Sacramento Superior Court	34-2019-00272292-CU-OE-GDS	Hon. Jill. H. Talley	Class
Neil Fraser, et al. v. Valley Power Systems, Inc., et al.	Los Angeles Superior Court	20STCV00279	Hon. Carolyn B. Kuhl	Class
Janine Nelson v Captek Softgel International, Inc.	Los Angeles Superior Court	20STCV21184	Hon. Elihu M. Berle	Class