Acute Disease Quality Initiative XXII: Quality Improvement Goals for Acute Kidney Injury

> Group Leaders: Kianoush Kashani, MD Mitchell Rosner, MD Michael Haase, MD For the ADQI Consensus Group



Name	Institution
Kianoush Kashani*	Mayo Clinic, Rochester, USA
Mitchell Rosner*	University of Virginia, USA
Michael Haase*	Otto-von Guericke University Magdeburg, Germany
Andrew Lewington	St. James's University Hospital, Leeds, UK
Donal O'Donoghue	Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, UK
F. Perry Wilson	Yale University, USA
Mitra Nadim	University of Southern California, USA
Samuel Silver	Queen's University, Ontario, Canada
Alexander Zarbock	University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany
Marlies Ostermann	King's College London UK
Ravindra Mehta	University of California, San Diego, USA
Sandra Kane-Gill	University of Pittsburgh, USA

Name	Institution
Xiaoqiang Ding	Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
Peter Pickkers	Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
Azra Bihourac	University of Florida, USA
Edward Siew	Vanderbilt University, USA
Erin Barreto	Mayo Clinic, Rochester, USA
Etienne Macedo	University of California, San Diego, USA
John Kellum	University of Pittsburgh, USA
Paul Palevsky	University of Pittsburgh, USA
Ashita Tolwani	University of Alabama-Birmingham, USA
Claudio Ronco	University of Padova, Italy
Luis Junos	University of Arkansas, USA
Oleksa Rewa	University of Alberta, Canada

Name	Institution
Sean Bagshaw	University of Alberta, Canada
Theresa Mottes	Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, USA
Jay Koyner	University of Chicago, USA
Kathleen Liu	University of California, San Francisco, USA
Lui Forni	University of Surrey, UK
Michael Heung	University of Michigan, USA
Vin-Cent Wu	National Taiwan University, Taiwan



- Goals:
 - Provide an objective, dispassionate distillation of literature
 - Describe current state of practice, diagnosis, and management of AKI and dialysis
- 21 prior consensus groups covered essential topics in AKI, dialysis and critical care

ADQI XXII consensus meeting

- Diverse panel of experts
 - Nephrology
 - Critical care
 - Nursing
 - Pharmacy
 - Epidemiology
 - Biostatistics
 - Medical informatics
- Quality improvement processes
 - Knowledge gaps
 - Research agenda
- October 28-30, 2018

ADQI Modified Delphi



ADQI XXII groups and objectives

Group #	Assignment	Objectives
1	Primary prevention- Community	 Provide consensus recommendation to mitigate the risk of AKI in the populations of resource-limited or resource sufficient environments Current best practices at the community levels Novel strategies to detect higher risk patients, raising awareness, communicating with primary physicians, and legislative strategies to achieve the goals
II	Primary prevention- Hospital	 Provide recommendations regarding the AKI risk modification and primary prevention following medical encounters Strategies for optimization of AKI prevention before its occurrence Risk stratification, early detection, use of biomarkers or other novel risk detecting tools, and optimal management
111	Secondary Prevention	 Provide recommendations about quality indicators to mitigate the impact of AKI after its occurrence (secondary prevention) Indicate the best practices in the management of patients with AKI in different stages
IV	Quality improvement of RRT programs	 Provide an approach to improve quality of care and safety measures of renal replacement therapy (RRT) provided for AKI Recommendations regarding how to enhance the quality of RRT to comply with current or future knowledge Structure, process, and outcomes of RRT Programs
V	Tertiary Prevention after hospital	 Provide recommendations regarding the quality of care and safety measures for the care of patients during acute kidney disease (AKD) phase (7-90 days after AKI) Identify the quality indicators that are acceptable for the management of AKI patients beyond the index hospitalization (tertiary prevention) Standardized to optimize the follow-up visits and short- and long-term outcomes of AKI patients





ADQI Time to start working



Background

- Recent literature
 - AKI and its progression can be preventable
- Care pathways for AKI → not well defined
 Considerable variability in care
 - Most institutions do not track compliance
- Identifying quality indicators and care pathways
 - → Critical step in improving outcome of patients at risk or with AKI



AKI care a continuum



Community Healthcare Standards for AKI Group 1

- Roles and responsibilities of patients, clinicians, and healthcare systems
 - Healthcare systems and clinicians should identify populations- and patients-at-risk of AKI and implement monitoring and preventive interventions to decrease AKI risk.

Population (National monitoring for variation in AKI incidence)



Community Healthcare Standards for AKI Group 1

- How should AKI high-risk populations be monitored?
 - High-risk patients/populations have a "Kidney Health Assessment" (KHA)
 - At least 30 days before AND again within 2-3 days after an AKI risk exposure
 - Tailored
 - Clinical context
 - Clinician judgment
 - Healthcare system resources





Community Healthcare Standards for AKI Group 1

- Preventive strategies for high-risk populations
 - Clinicians review KHA before a planned or immediately after unplanned acute exposure
 - KHA followed by Kidney Health Response (KHR) after acute high-risk AKI exposures
 - Raising awareness
 - Coordination between all stakeholders to monitor the rate, etiologies, and outcomes of AKI

Population Periodically Periodically



Community Healthcare Standards for AKI

Group 1- Quality Indicators

Quality Indicators	Structure	Process	Outcome	
	Trained staff availability Laboratory availability Population-based databases	Kidney Health Assessment Percentage receiving KHA	Population based AKI incidence Percentage of AKI patients requiring admission Proportion of patients with AKI risk exposure	
Community	History/physical, Minimal lab tests	Physician-dependent, education, SCr, urine dipstick/output	Percentage of patients admitted to hospital/ward/ICU	
Community	'Self-pay' Resource-limited regions	No specialists/limited resources and medications sickness	Number of patients presented to outpatient clinics with CAKI	
	'Insurance' pharmacist/case manager Resource-sufficient regions	Exposure evaluation (MISS) Kidney Health Response	Number of AKI stage 1-2-3, QoL, mortality, adverse events, iatrogenic complications, functional status, economic effects	









- How and when should hospitalized high-risk be identified?
 - All patients at hospital admission should be screened for AKI risk
 - Periodic risk reassessment
 - All AKI at-risk patients
 - Serum creatinine, urine dipstick analysis, and urine output
 - Complementary diagnostic tests
 - Local availabilities
 - Clinical context
 - Clinician judgment

- Preventive measures
 - Early correction or mitigation of context-specific modifiable AKI risk factors should be considered for all high-risk patients

- Quality indicators for AKI risk profiling?
 - a) Proportion of patients screened for AKI risk among all admissions
 - b) Proportion of identified AKI high-risk patients among all screened patients
 - c) Proportion of AKI high-risk exposures among all hospitalized population and all high-risk patients
 - d) Proportion of patients who received an appropriate intervention around a high-risk exposure
 - e) Proportion of patients who developed AKI among all admissions, and all high-risk patients

- Utility of quality indicators for AKI risk profiling
 - Quality indicators should be reviewed and utilized to identify areas of improvement and action
 - Frequency of reporting
 - Local resources
 - Regulatory requirements
 - Periodic; At least once a year

Group 2- Quality Indicators



Secondary Prevention of AKI Group 3

- Key considerations for diagnosis/evaluations
 - Optimize proportion of patients who undergo:
 - Context-appropriate
 - Timely evaluation
 - Cost saving

Secondary Prevention of AKI Group 3

- Limiting the duration and severity of AKI
 - Implementation and reporting of the proportion of patients that receive timely and diagnosisappropriate interventions
 - Compliance with these interventions should be measured, reported and reviewed on periodic basis
 - At least an annual basis

Secondary Prevention of AKI Group 3

- Key considerations for reducing the complications of AKI
 - Prevention of avoidable AKI-related complications requires:
 - Monitoring
 - Implementation of risk reduction strategies
 - Reported as context-specific adverse events

Secondary Prevention of AKI

	Diagnosis and Evaluation	Limiting Severity and Duration of AKI	Prevention avoidable AKI Complications
Recognition	AKI stage-dependent threshold met	 Nephrotoxin or contributing medication Poor hemodynamics Cause-specific diagnosis delayed 	 AKI has occurred High frequency of hyperkalemia in patients with AKI Poor extubation rates in patients with AKI due to volume overload Adverse drug events
Action	Context-appropriate Evaluation	 "Nephrotoxin Stewardship" Asses and optimize hemodynamics Invasive/ noninvasive Avoid hyperglycemia Nephrology referral guidelines Monitoring of kidney function with serum creatinine and urine output 	 Improved monitoring for complications (e.g. BMP/bicarbonate/phosphorus measurement) Risk reduction strategies (e.g. reduced K intake, unnecessary maintenance fluids, review of appropriate dosing of meds) Management of complications (e.g. treatment of hyper K, fluid removal)
Results	 Improved frequency of context- appropriate diagnostic evaluation Improved recognition of cause- specific AKI 	 Improved rates of nephrotoxin alerting/ evaluation/ discontinuance Hemodynamic intervention applied Improved timeline of cause- specific diagnosis/ interventions Reduced duration and severity of AKI (e.g. maximum stage, length, recovery) 	 Process (improved monitoring/detection, reduction in unnecessary K supplementation, med reconciliation/ evaluation) Clinical (reduced incidence of severe hyperkalemia, treatment of severe acidosis pH <7.2, less adverse drug events related to inappropriate drug dosing/selection in AKI)

Secondary Prevention of AKI

Group 3 – Quality Indicators

Quality Indicators	Structure	Process	Outcome
Hospital admission	EMR E-alert Biomarkers Imaging	Mandate risk-assessment System-driven identification and prevention	Percentage of patients admitted to hospital/ward/ICU/ specialist unit who develop AKI
	History/physical,	Physician-dependent, education,	Percentage of patients admitted to
	Minimal lab tests	SCr, urine dipstick/output	hospital/ward/ICU
Hospital	'Self-pay'	No specialists/limited resources and	Number of AKI, RRT, mortality
stav	Resource-limited regions	medications sickness	
	'Insurance' pharmacist/case	Specialist-driven/e-alert	Number of AKI stage 1-2-3, QoL, mortality,
	manager	medication, imaging,	adverse events, iatrogenic complications,
	Resource-sufficient regions	surgery, sickness (ICU)	functional status, economic effects

- How should the quality of acute RRT be monitored, evaluated, and reported?
 - Quality indicators should integrate structure, process, and outcome indicators for each therapeutic modality, both in the ward and ICU

- Minimum **structure** quality indicators
 - Specifically target
 - Clinician
 - Nursing
 - Allied health professionals
 - Capacity and expertise for providing acute RRT
 - Identify a responsible team to implement and report quality metrics for acute RRT services

- Minimum **process** quality indicators
 - Incorporate methodologies to standardized procedures and protocols
 - Increased efficiency and consistency
 - Safety
 - Specific to each RRT modality

- Minimum **outcome** indicators
 - Patient-centered outcomes
 - Provider and patient satisfaction
 - Mortality
 - Quality of life among survivors
 - Dialysis liberation rates
 - Health-economic outcomes

Quality indicators of renal replacement therapy Group 4 – Quality Indicators

Quality Indicators	Structure	Process	Outcome
	Trained personnel Minimal technology availability Resource-limited regions	Therapy prescription Medication adjustment Small solute clearance	Bleeding Filter clotting Adverse events
RRT	24 hr staffing model Specialist availability Multimodality technology availability	Delivered does evaluation Downtime Optimized fluid management Time from prescription to therapy Filter life	CLABSI Time on dialysis Catheter dysfunction RRT goals achieved
	Resource-sufficient regions		

Tertiary prevention of AKI

- Appropriate post-AKI/AKD care
 - Healthcare systems need to ensure
 - Appropriate follow-up
 - Quantitate the proportion of patients who need post-AKI/AKD follow-up
 - Evaluate quality of care for those who received post-AKI/AKD follow-up

Tertiary prevention of AKI Group 5

- Key elements of an appropriate post-AKI/AKD care
 - Structure
 - Needed personnel and resources

– Process

• Who and by whom, what, where, when, why, and how

– Outcome

• CKD progression, continued or new need for dialysis, mortality, etc.

Post-AKI Care Summary

Stage 1 AKI of Short Duration (1 day) SCr normal or returns to baseline Hospital Limited Event in healthy pt Consider RAMPS/ bundle within 1 year	Duration of Stage 1 AKI (1-3) Limited Co-morbidities No prior CKD SCr not returning to baseline Consider RAMPS in 6months	Prolonged Stage 1 AKI or Stage 2 AKI for shorter duration Increasing co- morbidities (advancing age, some mild CKD) SCr persistently elevated Labs in next weeks – month with long term RAMPS/neph appt	Prolonged Stage 2 AKI with UA showing injury Multiple co- morbidities (age, cancer, DM, CV dx established CKD) SCr persistently elevated in some but some recovery Labs in 1-2 weeks w/ neph appt / RAMPS in weeks	Stage 3 AKI and Persistent other forms of AKI History of Prior AKI, significant CV dx, comorbidities and advanced CKD Labs within days of discharge and follow up with Nephrology- RAMPS within 1 week	AKI –D recovered and non-recovered Prior CKD 4 Recurrent AKI/AKD RAMPS WATCH ME – Labs within days of discharge and follow up with Nephrology within 1 week
Non-Nephrology	Care Providers			Nephrology-Based	Care Providers

AKI/AKD severity

PC	DST AKI/ AKD Kidney Health Care Bundle -RAMPS
<u>R</u> enal Function Check	 Checking kidney function through serum creatinine and eGFR Checking proteinuria / albuminuria When available consider biomarkers, imaging and other tests as feasible
<u>A</u> dvocacy	 Patient and Caregiver education about AKI and CKD Communication with other allied health care providers (general practitioners, primary care doctors, dieticians, nurses, pharmacists and social workers)
<u>M</u> edications	 Medication reconciliation, review and management Specifically discuss risk benefits of ACE/ ARB Review nephrotoxins, drugs requiring renal dose adjustment and over the counter medications
<u>P</u> ressure	 Ensure patient understands blood pressure goals and targets Specifically discuss risk benefits of ACE/ ARB Discuss fluid status, ideal weight and the role of diuretics
<u>S</u> ick Day Protocols	 Educate patients on medications that need monitoring during intercurrent illnesses Consider protocols to hold medications like NSAIDS, ACE / ARB, diuretics, metformin, calcineurin inhibitors, SGLT2 inhibitors

AKI	-D/ AKD-D Kidney Health Care Bundle –WATCH ME
Weight Assessment	Discuss Dry Weight monitoring and permissive hypervolemia
	 Discuss the role for diuretics in maintaining urine output and ideal volume status
<u>A</u> ccess	 Educate patients about the care of central venous catheters
	Vein preservation protocols / awareness
	 When appropriate begin to plan and educate about the role of arterio-venous access and other renal replacement therapy modalities
<u>T</u> eaching	 Patient and Caregiver education about dialysis requiring AKD and short and long-term risks and consequence
	 Communication with other allied health care providers (general practitioners, primary care doctors, dieticians, nurses, pharmacists and social workers) about patient needs. (e.g. alterations in medication regimens in the setting of new renal replacement therapy).
<u>C</u> learance	 Frequent assessments of underlying renal function (via pre-dialysis labs or timed clearances)
	 Frequent assessments of the quality of the renal replacement therapy being provided to ensure adequate clearance
<u>Hypotension</u>	Education about hypotension avoidance
	Education around blood pressure medications administration in the peri-RRT period
<u>ME</u> dications	 Medication reconciliation, review and management
	Specifically discuss risk benefits of ACE/ ARB
	 Review nephrotoxins, drugs requiring renal dose adjustment and over the counter medications

ADQI XXII Summary

- We have identified 5 phases of AKI care spanning the clinical spectrum
- We proposed quality indicators to develop, measure and study across structure, process, outcomes and patient experience domains
 - Goal is improve the quality of AKI care
 - \rightarrow Improve patient outcomes
- With new knowledge
 - Targets should change
 - QI process should not change

ADQI XXII

Organizers and Sponsors

- Organizers:
 - Mitchell Rosner, MD
 - Kianoush Kashani, MD
 - Michael Haase, MD



- Founders:
 - John A. Kellum, MD
 - Claudio Ronco, MD
 - Ravindra L. Mehta, MD
 - Rinaldo Bellomo, MD



















THANK YOU FOR YOUR KIND ATTENTION