

On Universal Computably Enumerable Prefix Codes

Cristian S. Calude and Ludwig Staiger

2007

- 1 Characterisation of universal c.e. prefix codes
- 2 Properties of universal c.e. prefix codes

Motivation

The prefix-free computable set $S = \{1^{\log n} 0 \text{bin}(n) : n \geq 0\}$ codes every integer $n \geq 0$ with a string of length $2 \log n + 1$ bits (here $\text{bin}(n)$ is the binary representation of $n + 1$ without the leading 1).

There is prefix-free computable set T that codes every integer $n \geq 0$ with a string of length $\log n + 2 \log n \log + 1$ bits.

Is there a **best way** for representing integers with prefix-free computable codes?

Introduction

1

Fix an alphabet $X = \{0, \dots, r - 1\}$ and denote by X^* the set of finite strings (words) on X .

If v is a prefix of w we write $v \sqsubseteq w$. A set $V \subseteq X^*$ is **prefix-free** or a **prefix code** if for all $v, w \in V$ with $v \sqsubseteq w$ we have $v = w$.

A *self-delimiting Turing machine* (shortly, a *machine*) is a Turing machine C processing binary strings such that its program set (domain) $\text{dom}(C) = \{\pi \mid \pi \in X^* \wedge \mid C(\pi) \text{ halts}\}$ is prefix-free.

A prefix code is **computably enumerable (c.e.)** iff it is the domain of a machine.

We can effectively construct a machine U (called **universal**) such that for every machine C , there exists a constant k (depending only on U and C) such that for every string $\pi \in \text{dom}(C)$ there exists a string $\pi' \in \text{dom}(U)$ such that $U(\pi') = C(\pi)$ and $|\pi'| \leq |\pi| + k$.

A **prefix-universal** machine U is a machine such that for every machine C there exists a string w (depending only on U and C) such that for every string $\pi \in \text{dom}(C)$ we have $U(w\pi) = C(\pi)$.

Every prefix-universal machine is universal, but the converse is not true.

Main questions

A c.e. prefix code is **universal** if it can code any non-negative integer in an optimal way up to a additive constant.

- Characterise universal c.e. prefix codes.
- How large are universal codes?

Theorem

Let $V \subseteq X^$ be a c.e. prefix code. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1. The set V is a universal c.e. prefix code.*
- 2. For every partial computable one-one function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X^*$ having a prefix-free range, there exist a partial computable one-one function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X^*$ and a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*
 - a. $f(\text{dom}(f)) \subseteq V$,*
 - b. $\text{dom}(g) \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$ and $|f(n)| \leq |g(n)| + k$, for every $n \in \text{dom}(g)$.*

Theorem (continuation)

3. *For every computable one-one function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X^*$ having a prefix-free range, there exist a computable one-one function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X^*$ and a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*
 - a. $f(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq V$,
 - b. $|f(n)| \leq |g(n)| + k$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
4. *For every c.e. prefix code $D \subseteq X^*$ there exist a partial computable one-one function $\varphi : X^* \rightarrow X^*$ and a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:*
 - a. $D \subseteq \text{dom}(\varphi)$, $\varphi(D) \subseteq V$, and
 - b. $|\varphi(u)| \leq |u| + k$, for every $u \in \text{dom}(\varphi)$.

A characterisation of universal c.e. prefix codes

3

For the case $V = \text{dom}(U)$, where U is a universal machine we have:

Corollary

For every c.e. prefix code $D \subseteq X^$ and every universal machine U there are a one-one partial computable one-one function $\varphi : X^* \rightarrow X^*$ and a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:*

- a. $D \subseteq \text{dom}(\varphi)$, $\varphi(D) \subseteq \text{dom}(U)$,
- b. $|\varphi(u)| \leq |u| + k$, for all $u \in D$, and
- c. $U(\varphi(u)) = u$, for all $u \in D$.

Theorem

Let $V \subseteq X^$ be a c.e. prefix code. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- 1. There exists a prefix-universal machine U such that $V = \text{dom}(U)$.*
- 2. For every c.e. prefix code $D \subseteq X^*$ there exists a string $w \in X^*$ such that $wD = V \cap wX^*$.*

Noncomputability

Theorem

No universal c.e. prefix code $V \subseteq X^$ is computable.*

Maximality

A prefix code V is **maximal** if for every prefix code W , $V \subseteq W$ implies $W = V$.

Lemma

If $V \subseteq X^$ is a c.e. maximal prefix code, then V is computable.*

Corollary

No universal c.e. prefix code is a maximal prefix code.

Not every computable prefix code is contained in a computable maximal prefix code.

Sparsity

A set $W \subseteq X^*$ is called **sparse** if there is a polynomial $p(n)$ such that $|W \cap X^n| \leq p(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem

Let $W \subseteq X^$ be the complement of c.e. subset of X^* , and let W be non-sparse. Then, for all $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ we have:*

$$\sum_{w \in W} r^{-\alpha \cdot H_U(w)} = \infty.$$

Corollary

Let U be a universal prefix machine, let $W \subseteq X^$ be computably enumerable or a non-sparse complement of a computably enumerable language and let $D = \{\pi : \pi \in \text{dom}(U) \wedge U(\pi) \in W\}$. Then $\sum_{w \in D} r^{-\alpha \cdot |w|} = \infty$ for all $\alpha < 1$.*

Entropy

1

The unique value $H_W \in [0, 1]$ such that $\sum_{w \in W} r^{-\alpha \cdot |w|}$ converges for all $\alpha > H_W$ is known as the *entropy* of the language W . It can be calculated by the formula:

$$H_W = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_r (|W \cap X^n| + 1)}{n}.$$

Theorem

Let $V \subseteq X^*$ be a universal c.e. prefix code. Then $H_V = 1$.

Theorem

Let $V \subseteq X^$ be a universal c.e. prefix code. Then, the lower entropy of V is 1:*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log_r (|V \cap X^{\leq n}| + 1) = 1.$$