

All redactions in this document utilize exemptions (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C)

Exhibit 42

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 10:11 AM
To: Dr John Hagmann
Subject: Re: Fourth year elective for (b)(6),(b)(7)

John, one other thing - I meant to get the check for the (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) December while you were out but forgot. Can I get your guys to send it out? It was \$814.46 for that one. My home address is (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) Thanks. (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

(b)(7) (b)

From: (b)(7) (b) (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Wednesday, April 24, 2013 10:02 AM
To: Dr John Hagmann
Cc: (b)(7) (b)
Subject: Thanks and question about summer

John, Hope the Italy trip went well. I was happy to come back and find all the students had allegedly completed the course without an international incident.

Thanks for the check for the hut.

Finally, I'm working the Central Soviet process here to have supplies purchased through the MDL for the USUHS students for OEMS. It is interesting and brings up the need to work the contracting solution for the purchase of OEMS coursework.

Finally, I am at a 90% solution for which students will be available to do the "USUHS" course and which will need to do the earlier "T/A advanced" course due to summer experience or other conflicts. It is looking like we will need to put more students into the earlier T/A course than we did last year. In addition, I have two students who have a rather cool overseas option which conflicts with both OEMS courses. Would it be a reasonable compromise for us to pay the travel etc. to send them to your summer procedures course or would it be better to have them complete it in their 4th year (as we did with a couple last year who went to Peru). Let me know your thoughts.

Again, hope you had a good trip and looking forward to this summer. (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

--
(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Col USAF MC CFS

Vice Chair, Military and Emergency Medicine

Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

(b) (b)

From: (b) (b) (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2013 2:00 PM
To: Dr John Hagmann
Subject: Fwd: Trip Itinerary

Here is the receipt - (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: 10th Mountain Division Hut Association <huts@huts.org>
Date: Thu, Mar 28, 2013 at 12:33 PM
Subject: Trip Itinerary
To: University of the Health Sciences Uniformed Services (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

10th Mountain Division Hut Association
1280 Ute Avenue Suite 21
Aspen, CO 81611

(970)925-5775
huts@huts.org

Trip Itinerary

March 28, 2013

University of the Health Sciences Uniformed Services
(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
4301 Jones Bridge Road
Bethesda MD 20814

Res #: (b)(6),(b)(7)
Agent: (C)

Thank you for making a reservation with 10th Mountain. This letter is your receipt for your payment and a confirmation of your itinerary. **Please review this letter carefully and call us immediately if anything is inaccurate.**

PLEASE FILL OUT AND RETURN THE NECESSARY WAIVER FORMS:

10th Mountain Summer Information and Waivers
(<http://www.huts.org/Reservations/waiversandinfo.html>)

OR COMPLETE WAIVERS ELECTRONICALLY AT:
Electronic Waiver

Please Note!!! alpine Resource Center Combo (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Reservations:

| Date | Unit | #People | Price | Total |
|------|------|---------|-------|-------|
|------|------|---------|-------|-------|

| | | | | |
|-----------|--|------------------|---------|----------|
| 7/8/2013 | Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: BEP Regular | Adult 16 | \$16.50 | \$264.00 |
| Monday | Door Lock Combination | (b)(6),(b)(7) | | |
| 7/9/2013 | Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: BEP Regular | Adult 16 | \$16.50 | \$264.00 |
| Tuesday | Door Lock Combination | (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) | | |
| 7/10/2013 | Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: BEP Regular | Adult 16 | \$16.50 | \$264.00 |
| Wednesday | Door Lock Combination | (b)(6),(b)(7) | | |
| 7/11/2013 | Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: BEP Regular | Adult 16 | \$16.50 | \$264.00 |
| Thursday | Door Lock Combination | (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) | | |
| 7/12/2013 | Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: BEP Regular | Adult 16 | \$16.50 | \$264.00 |
| Friday | Door Lock Combination | (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) | | |

Subtotal: \$1,320.00
Taxes: \$0.00
Total: \$1,320.00

Payments:

| Date | Description | Amount |
|-----------|----------------------------|------------|
| 3/28/2013 | Master Card **** * (b)(6), | \$1,320.00 |

Balance Due: \$0.00

***** THIS LETTER MUST BE POSTED IN THE HUT UPON YOUR ARRIVAL *****
If we can be of further assistance, please contact us at (970)925-5775 or huts@huts.org.

Check in/Check out time is 1:00 PM.

Dogs are not allowed at the huts because of serious health/hygiene issues.

Cancellations and Changes: Cancellations and changes must be made 30 days prior to your trip start date in order to receive an 80% credit for a future hut trip. Cancellations and changes made less than 30 days prior to your trip start date will result in forfeiture of your payment. No Refunds.

Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: PARKING FOR THE SANGREE M. FROELICHER HUT HAS CHANGED. PARKING IS NOT AVAILABLE AT THE FORMER PARKING AREA OR ALONG BUCKEYE GULCH ROAD AND VIOLATORS MAY BE TOWED AT THEIR EXPENSE. PLEASE SEE WWW.HUTS.ORG FOR CURRENT PARKING INFORMATION.

Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: SUMMER ACCESS: PLEASE USE THE SUGGESTED NON-MOTORIZED ROUTE VIA BUCKEYE GULCH IN ORDER TO ACCESS SANGREE'S HUT. NOTE THAT ACCESS TO SANGREE'S HUT VIA THE MT. ZION ROAD(FDR 109) IS PROHIBITED. 10th Mountain does not sell a topographic map for the Sangree M. Froelicher Hut. For a free detailed map go to: www.huts.org/images/maps/sangree-hut-low-res-map.pdf Summer and Off Season Vehicle Access: 10th Mountain Does Not Guarantee Vehicle Access To Any Of The Huts May 1st to Thanksgiving.....

Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: Lake County Sheriff [719-486-1249](tel:719-486-1249)

(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Thursday, November 29, 2012 4:03 AM
To: Dr John Hagmann
Subject: Fwd: Trip Itinerary

John, Got a somewhat urgent message from the 10th mountain upon my return from Australia. I paid the fee below and attached are the combos. If anything is amiss let me know - otherwise send check to me at home (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) Have fun! (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: 10th Mountain Division Hut Association <huts@huts.org>
Date: Wed, Nov 28, 2012 at 5:11 PM
Subject: Trip Itinerary
To: University of the Health Sciences Uniformed Services (b)(6) (b)(7)(C)

10th Mountain Division Hut Association
1280 Ute Avenue Suite 21
Aspen, CO 81611

(970)925-5775
huts@huts.org

Trip Itinerary

November 28, 2012

University of the Health Sciences Uniformed Services
(b)(6) (b)(7)(C)
4301 Jones Bridge Road
Bethesda MD 20814

Res #: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Agent: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Thank you for making a reservation with 10th Mountain. This letter is your receipt for your payment and a confirmation of your itinerary. **Please review this letter carefully and call us immediately if anything is inaccurate.**

PLEASE FILL OUT AND RETURN THE NECESSARY WAIVER FORMS:

Francie's Cabin Winter Information and Waivers
(<http://www.huts.org/Reservations/waiversandinfo.html>)
OR COMPLETE WAIVERS ELECTRONICALLY AT:
Electronic Waiver

*12/18 Gward
received check*

Reservations:

| Date | Unit | #People | Price | Total |
|------|------|---------|-------|-------|
|------|------|---------|-------|-------|

| | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| 12/4/2012 | Francie's Cabin | Adult 2 | \$35.00 | \$70.00 |
| Tuesday | Door Lock Combination (b)(6),(| | | |
| 12/5/2012 | Francie's Cabin: BEP Regular | Adult 20 | \$17.50 | \$350.00 |
| Wednesday | Door Lock Combination (b)(6), | | | |
| 12/6/2012 | Francie's Cabin: BEP Regular | Adult 20 | \$17.50 | \$350.00 |
| Thursday | Door Lock Combination (b)(6), | | | |

Subtotal: \$770.00
Taxes: \$44.46
Total: \$814.46

Payments:

| Date | Description | Amount |
|------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 11/28/2012 | Master Card **** * (b)(6),(b)(7) (C) | \$814.46 |
| | Balance Due: | \$0.00 |

***** THIS LETTER MUST BE POSTED IN THE HUT UPON YOUR ARRIVAL *****
If we can be of further assistance, please contact us at (970)925-5775 or huts@huts.org.

Check in/Check out time is 1:00 PM.

Dogs are not allowed at the huts because of serious health/hygiene issues.

Cancellations and Changes: Cancellations and changes must be made 30 days prior to your trip start date in order to receive an 80% credit for a future hut trip. Cancellations and changes made less than 30 days prior to your trip start date will result in forfeiture of your payment. No Refunds.

Francie's Cabin: Summit County Sheriff [970-668-8600](tel:970-668-8600)

(b)(6) (b)(7)

From: Dr John Hagmann <jhagmannrd@deploymentmedicine.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 12:44 PM
To: (b)(6) (b)(7) (b)(6) (b)(7)
Subject: FW: Sangree Hut July 2013

10th Mountain Division Hut Association
1280 Ute Avenue Suite 21
Aspen, CO 81611

University of the Health Sciences Uniformed Services
(b)(6) (b)(7)
4301 Jones Bridge Road
Bethesda MD 20814
Res #: (b)(6),(b)(7)
Agent: (C)

Please Note!!! alpine Resource Center Combo: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

7/8/2013
Monday Sangree M. Froelicher Hut: BEP Regular
Door Lock Combination - (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Exhibit 43

(b)(6) (b)(7)

From: Dr John Hagmann <jhagmannmd@deploymentmedicine.com>
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 7:49 AM
To: (b)(6) (b)(7)
Subject: Really need to move

help me get a contract

(b)(6),(b)(7)
(C)

We had discussed several options on setting up a mechanism that would let outside units contact for a version of the OEMS course. This has just now become critical. I have four different organizations from Army and AF that really want the true OEMS but need a mechanism so that they actually get that. Their contracting officers are (for once) cooperative and asking for an old or existing contract to pony onto.

USU is the idea 'client' for this

We could say something like:

"OEMS is a required course within the Military and Emergency Medicine Curriculum normally completed as part of Military Medical Field Studies. Due to other academic, service specific, or operational requirements, some USU students can not participant during the usual time period. USU has the requirement for these students to participate a separate outside OEMS Course equivalent to the physician level program taught during the regular academic program. Therefore, USU seeks a sole source IDIQ (Indefinite Delivery, Indefinite Quantity) contract with the developer and training organization solely authorized to provide the OEMS Course."

We do not need to mention Live tissue – it is enough to say equivalent to the USU course.

If there are any concerns that I would use such a contract to bill USU for the current programs, this should not be an issue since an IDIQ can only be applied at the request of a contracting officer and I can agree that the regular and 4th year programs are not part of the IDIQ but part of my contribution to Mil Med (perhaps viewed as my teaching to earn a faculty position.) Weather USU ever using this option is entirely up to the University – there is no commitment but there is the contract for others to use. I wish we could eventually work this so that USU gets some credit for this offering.

I REALLY need us to try to get this through NOW. The 44th Med Brigade at Bragg, a BN in Hawaii, a Brigade in Alaska, and AFSOC units are desperate to get me to teach these courses.

What do we need to do to get this going.

Other discussions on July and the options will follow this week. Note; I am OCONUS until 25 June so phone is not best unless I can Skype.

Thanks

(b)

(b)(7) (b)(7)

From: Dr John Hagmann <jhagmannmd@deploymentmedicine.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 1:22 PM
To: (b)(7) (b)(7)
Subject: Sole Source Justifications
Attachments: SOLE SOURCE JUSTIFICATION (Advanced Tactical Medical Training, OEMS.doc)

(b)(6),(b)
(7)(C)

This is a list of possible justifications. I added an intro paragraph as a straw man for you. You may want to scrub out all of the LTT reference. We may not want to mention the LTT in any contract – it is just something that I include in the training – and not a USU statement of work requirement...

John

Exhibit 44

RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE/WAIVER CERTIFICATE

For use of this form, see AR 150-30, the proponent agency is PMG

DATA REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, United States Code, Section 3012(g)
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To provide commanders and law enforcement officials with means by which information may be accurately identified.
ROUTINE USES: Your Social Security Number is used as an additional/alternate means of identification to facilitate filing and retrieval.
DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your Social Security Number is voluntary.

| | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------|
| 1. LOCATION Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute | 2. DATE 20131023 | 3. TIME 0830 | 4. FILE NO. |
| 5. NAME (Last, First, MI) (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | 6. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS Uniformed Services University Bethesda MD | | |
| 6. SSN (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | 7. GRADE/STATUS (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | | |

PART I - RIGHTS WAIVER/NON-WAIVER CERTIFICATE

Section A. Rights

The investigator whose name appears below told me that he/she is with the United States Army Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute under the Uniformed Services University and wanted to question me about the following offense(s) of which I am suspected/accused: Article 92 UCMJ failure to obey and order or regulation and dereliction of duty, Article 134 violation of Gov Fiscal and Ethical law. Before he/she asked me any questions about the offense(s), however, he/she made it clear to me that I have the following rights:

1. I do not have to answer any question or say anything.
2. Anything I say or do can be used as evidence against me in a criminal trial.
3. (For personnel subject to the UCMJ) I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. This lawyer can be a civilian lawyer I arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for me at no expense to me, or both.

- or -

- (For civilians not subject to the UCMJ) I have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with me during questioning. I understand that this lawyer can be one that I arrange for at my own expense, or if I cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for me before any questioning begins.
4. If I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, I have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if I sign the waiver below.

5. COMMENTS (Continue on reverse side)

Section B. Waiver

I understand my rights as stated above. I am now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer first and without having a lawyer present with me.

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| WITNESSES (If available) | | 3. <u>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</u> |
| 1a. NAME (Type or Print) | <u>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</u> | |
| b. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE | <u>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</u> | |
| 2a. NAME (Type or Print) | <u>(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)</u> | |
| b. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS AND PHONE | 6. ORGANIZATION OF INVESTIGATOR Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute | |

Section C. Non-waiver

1. I do not want to give up my rights
 I want a lawyer I do not want to be questioned or say anything

2. SIGNATURE OF INTERVIEWEE

ATTACH THIS WAIVER CERTIFICATE TO ANY SWORN STATEMENT (DA FORM 2823) SUBSEQUENTLY EXECUTED BY THE SUSPECT/ACCUSED

PART II - RIGHTS WARNING PROCEDURE

THE WARNING

1. **WARNING** - Inform the suspect/accused of:
 - a. Your official position.
 - b. Nature of offense(s).
 - c. The fact that he/she is a suspect/accused.
2. **RIGHTS** - Advise the suspect/accused of his/her rights as follows:

"Before I ask you any questions, you must understand your rights."

 - a. "You do not have to answer my questions or say anything."
 - b. "Anything you say or do can be used as evidence against you in a criminal trial."
 - c. (For personnel subject to the UCMJ) "You have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with you during questioning. This lawyer

can be a civilian you arrange for at no expense to the Government or a military lawyer detailed for you at no expense to you, or both."

- or -

(For civilians not subject to the UCMJ) "You have the right to talk privately to a lawyer before, during, and after questioning and to have a lawyer present with you during questioning. This lawyer can be one you arrange for at your own expense, or if you cannot afford a lawyer and want one, a lawyer will be appointed for you before any questioning begins."

- d. "If you are now willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, with or without a lawyer present, you have a right to stop answering questions at any time, or speak privately with a lawyer before answering further, even if you sign a waiver certificate."

Make certain the suspect/accused fully understands his/her rights.

THE WAIVER

"Do you understand your rights?"

(If the suspect/accused says "no," determine what is not understood, and if necessary repeat the appropriate rights advisement. If the suspect/accused says "yes," ask the following question.)

"Have you ever requested a lawyer after being read your rights?"

(If the suspect/accused says "yes," find out when and where. If the request was recent (i.e., fewer than 30 days ago), obtain legal advice whether to continue the interrogation. If the suspect/accused says "no," or if the prior request was not recent, ask him/her the following question.)

"Do you want a lawyer at this time?"

(If the suspect/accused says "yes," stop the questioning until he/she has a lawyer. If the suspect/accused says "no," ask him/her the following question.)

"At this time, are you willing to discuss the offense(s) under investigation and make a statement without talking to a lawyer and without having a lawyer present with you?" (If the suspect/accused says "no," stop the interview and have him/her read and sign the non-waiver section of the waiver certificate on the other side of this form. If the suspect/accused says "yes," have him/her read and sign the waiver section of the waiver certificate on the other side of this form.)

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

WHEN SUSPECT/ACCUSED REFUSES TO SIGN WAIVER CERTIFICATE: If the suspect/accused orally waives his/her rights but refuses to sign the waiver certificate, you may proceed with the questioning. Make notations on the waiver certificate to the effect that he/she has stated that he/she understands his/her rights, does not want a lawyer, wants to discuss the offense(s) under investigation, and refuses to sign the waiver certificate.

IF WAIVER CERTIFICATE CANNOT BE COMPLETED IMMEDIATELY: In all cases the waiver certificate must be completed as soon as possible. Every effort should be made to complete the waiver certificate before any questioning begins. If the waiver certificate cannot be completed at once, as in the case of street interrogation, completion may be temporarily postponed. Notes should be kept on the circumstances.

PROBABLE INCRIMINATING STATEMENTS:

1. If the suspect/accused has made spontaneous incriminating statements before being properly advised of his/her rights he/she should be told that such statements do not obligate him/her to answer further questions.

2. If the suspect/accused was questioned as such either without being advised of his/her rights or some question exists as to the propriety of the first statement, the accused must be so advised. The office of the serving Staff Judge Advocate should be contacted for assistance in drafting the proper rights advisal.

NOTE: If 1 or 2 applies, the fact that the suspect/accused was advised accordingly should be noted in the comment section on the waiver certificate and initialed by the suspect/accused.

WHEN SUSPECT/ACCUSED DISPLAYS INDECISION ON EXERCISING HIS OR HER RIGHTS DURING THE INTERROGATION PROCESS: If during the interrogation, the suspect displays indecision about requesting counsel (for example, "Maybe I should get a lawyer."), further questioning must cease immediately. At that point, you may question the suspect/accused only concerning whether he or she desires to waive counsel. The questioning may not be utilized to discourage a suspect/accused from exercising his/her rights. (For example, do not make such comments as "If you didn't do anything wrong, you shouldn't need an attorney.")

COMMENTS (Continued)

SWORN STATEMENT

For use of this form, see AR 190-45; the proponent agency is PMG.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

AUTHORITY: Title 10, USC Section 301; Title 5, USC Section 2951; E.O. 9397 Social Security Number (SSN).
PRINCIPAL PURPOSE: To document potential criminal activity involving the U.S. Army, and to allow Army officials to maintain discipline, law and order through investigation of complaints and incidents.
ROUTINE USES: Information provided may be further disclosed to federal, state, local, and foreign government law enforcement agencies, prosecutors, courts, child protective services, victims, witnesses, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Office of Personnel Management. Information provided may be used for determinations regarding judicial or non-judicial punishment, other administrative disciplinary actions, security clearances, recruitment, retention, placement, and other personnel actions.
DISCLOSURE: Disclosure of your SSN and other information is voluntary.

| | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. LOCATION AFRRI | 2. DATE (YYYYMMDD) 2013/10/23 | 3. TIME 0830 | 4. FILE NUMBER |
| 5. LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE NAME (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | 6. SSN | 7. GRADE/STATUS (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) | |
| 8. ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS USU | | | |

9. I, (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), WANT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT UNDER OATH:

On 23 Oct 2013, around 0830 hrs, I met with COL (b)(6) at AFRRI. He introduced himself as the Investigation Officer duly appointed by Dr. Rice to investigate the administration and operation of the Operational Emergency Medicine Skills (OEMS) course and Dr. John Hagnann. COL (b)(6) informed me of my article 31 rights; I understand and waive those rights. He stated that he would take notes in a question and answer format and then transcribe these notes into this form for my review. I would then review the form, make changes as necessary to reflect my views and at a later time he would arrange to sign with me as my sworn statement. He stated this investigation was to meet, in general, the requirements of AR 15-6, the Navy JAGMAN, and the AF Command Directed Inquiries. I was permitted to review the letter of appointment. He then swore me in prior to beginning the questions.

Q. What is your principle title, your duties and responsibilities?

A. I am the vice chair of the Military and Emergency Medicine department and am tasked with curriculum development and oversight.

Q. How long have you been on this job?

A. Two years, since the Fall of 2011.

Q. What is the Department of MEM's relationship with DMI and Dr. Hagnann? (Speak historically; USUHS has severed the latest relationship)

A. Let me go back and tell you of my earlier relationship with Dr. Hagnann and how that played into working with him here at USUHS. In 2002 I had completed RAM and was assigned to Hurlburt Field as the Operational Support Medical (OSM) flight commander. It was a relatively large unit with 16 flight surgeons, 4 physician's assistants (PAs) and 36 Independent Duty Medical Technicians (IDMTs) as well as a few admin and logistics personnel. We were responsible for the bulk of operational medical support for deployed AFSOC personnel. When I took charge of the unit, numerous physicians, PAs and IDMTs had attended OEMS and recommended it to me as ideal for our needs. I attended an OEMS course at Quantico VA in 2003 and was similarly impressed. The students at the course were from varied backgrounds but included several USU students and alumni. I learned from Dr. Hagnann that he had developed the course while he was faculty at USU and taken it with him after he retired. I graduated USU in 1992 and knew Dr. Hagnann as MEM faculty but did not recall a course like OEMS while I was a student.

My medical personnel continued to take the OEMS course and consistently praised it. Perhaps the most dramatic example came when one of my GMO docs completed the course shortly before deployment to Djibouti. During the deployment, he was faced with a terrible, friendly fire event from a JDAM with numerous serious casualties. By all accounts his performance during this event was remarkable. Upon return, he said if it had not been for OEMS and what he learned there, he wouldn't have been able to handle it. Based on this and the other positive reviews and the high-threat environments to which we deployed relatively inexperienced personnel, I decided that OEMS would be part of the required, initial training for all providers and medics coming into our unit at Hurlburt prior to deployment qualification. This was perhaps late 2003 or early 2004.

10. EXHIBIT (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) PERSON MAKING STATEMENT PAGE 1 OF 8 PAGES

ADDITIONAL PAGES MUST CONTAIN THE HEADING "SWORN STATEMENT" TAKEN AT _____ DATED _____

THE BOTTOM OF EACH ADDITIONAL PAGE MUST BEAR THE INITIALS OF THE PERSON MAKING THE STATEMENT, AND PAGE NUMBER MUST BE INDICATED.

STATEMENT OF

(b)(6), (b)(7)TAKEN AT **AFRRI**DATED **2013/10/23**

B. STATEMENT (Continued)

We had a high turnover and were sending 20 or so personnel per year to OEMS courses at considerable expense. In an effort to reduce costs, I asked Dr. Hagmann if he would teach the course at Hurlburt. We would pay the same approximately \$2500 fee for the course but save the TDY funds. He agreed and we hosted the training at our warehouse on Hurlburt and in the attached Eglin range several times until I moved in summer of 2005.

I recall there were several challenges I had to deal with to get Wing approval. Most notably, I had to get a wing level exception to a rule that forbade Live Tissue Training (LTT) on Hurlburt. I was told this rule was based on an incident before my time there when an animal carcass from a training episode with one of the helicopters was inappropriately disposed of and washed up on a public beach with tubes and lines in it. As for the payment and other details, I had MSC and logistics personnel who worked out the details with Hagmann. During a typical course our personnel filled about 1/3 of the seats with other 2/3 being special operations forces (SOF) and DoD personnel coming from unrelated units. I assume they paid for their people because I was paying for mine but was not aware of the specifics.

Q. You were aware that Hagmann was charging outside agencies while using the Air Forces base?

A. I never thought about it. I guess I would have assumed they were because I was paying for my guys.

Q. Was the Wing Commander aware of this?

A. He must have been because I had to go to the command to obtain permission to have the LTT after the animal washed up on shore. In addition, we arranged through the wing to use particular ranges in the Eglin range.

Q. When did you leave Hurlburt?

A. 2005. I went back to the RAM where I was the General Preventive Medicine Residency Program Director. In this role I didn't give OEMS a second thought. I subsequently moved to staff assignments in Australia and the Pentagon, and then back to USU in 2011. During that time I never had contact with Hagmann, DMI or OEMS.

In 2011 when I came to USU it was the time of curriculum reform and I was asked what would be good for the students. My goal with MEM curriculum was simple; give the students training and experiences that would teach them skills they would need in the operational environment and which would inspire to them to consider careers in operational medicine. I believed, because of my experience with the OEMS course and the consistently positive reviews it received from the young doctors and medics who attended, that a course like the OEMS I had attended and hosted at AFSOC could be an excellent addition to the education of USU students. I thought then and continued to believe we could develop USUHS OEMS of our own. I had every intention of creating such a course within MEM and looked to how and where I would get my faculty and staff the experience they would need to teach this material, recognizing that few had this kind of operational experience.

It was in the midst of these thoughts that I ran into Dr. Hagmann at the University in 2011. He told me he was there giving his "annual spiel" to the students about what OEMS was and how it was offered to the USU students "free of charge". He told me that he had taught the course on and off campus over the years since he retired from MEM and USUHS - typically 12 times a year and that USUHS students regularly attended at no charge to the University. I then brought up the idea of "bringing it back" to the university. He said it would be difficult to do the entire student body but if the university would allow it to be held at USU it could be done. For such a large group, he said he would need pre-selected students to become trained early in the summer so they could act as TA's for the main course. These would be selected from volunteer students - likely the usual, EM and trauma surgery interested students who would have chosen to attend his outside courses in past years. The training would be involved and time consuming for the students selected. They would first attend a one-week OEMS "procedures course" that DMI already had on the schedule. This course was heavy on "hands-on" procedures with less emphasis on the underlying physiology, pathology and pharmacology. It was typically used as a refresher course for those who have completed OEMS course years earlier and want to update skills prior to a deployment. Hagmann felt that it would help bring our students up to a level where they could assist classmates with procedures. They would then attend the 2-week OEMS "advanced course" I was more familiar with. I was told it covered the same procedures but with much more of the underlying physiology, pathology and pharmacology that help a provider understand when and why to choose particular procedures. After this intensive 3 weeks of OEMS training, they would be prepared to assist the USU MEM faculty with the hands on teaching of the rest of the class who would attend the OEMS "USUHS course" which would be the same as the "advanced course" with perhaps a bit more explanation needed for inexperienced 1st year students. Dr. Hagmann felt it would require 25-30 T/As to execute the larger course and he wanted to select these himself from the list of volunteers. After the explanation to the students where I watched him emphasize how much time this would require (total of 5 weeks of OEMS activities over the summer), I feared we would not have enough. However, we ultimately had more than 50 students volunteer from which 30 were selected. Of these two dropped out; one had a personal falling out with Dr. Hagmann the other for academic remediation.

(b)(6), (b)(7)
(C)

WAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 2 OF 8 PAGES

STATEMENT OF

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

TAKEN AT AFRR

DATED 2013/10/23

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

These 28 first attended procedures courses in England or Colorado followed by the advanced course taught here at USU. In all of these courses they were accompanied by students from other units including foreign military, DoD, and other federal agencies including the FBI and members of the White House medical unit. I did not attend either procedures course but reviewed the experience with students who attended and all were positive. I sat through nearly the entire OEMS "advanced course" taught at USU along with other officer and enlisted staff. I was trying to get as many of our faculty and staff through the course as possible so they could assist as we developed our own version later.

The course had evolved since I had seen it in 2003-5 but it still seemed to really engage the students (both USU and outside), many of whom stated it was the best training they had ever participated in. There were minor headaches and logistical challenges but overall, it went off very well. There were elements that were edgy, but these seemed to motivate rather than to put students off. Also, all practical elements of the course were introduced with the explanation that anything that is done within the course must be "voluntary, painless and safe". After the course I met with a large group of students to discuss their likes and dislikes, again with an eye to improving the product that would ultimately be ours. There were complaints and concerns, all of which seemed to be things we could address in the next iteration. The primary complaint was that Dr. Hagmann often ran long in his "stories" during lecture. Overall, however, the feedback was overwhelmingly positive with many stating things like, "it was the best training I ever had" and "I was beginning to think I made a mistake coming to USU and medicine but this made me realize why I am here". I counted OEMS in 2012 as an overwhelming success.

Q. Did you have meetings about this summer OEMS course with the Dean, (b)(6) or others?

A. I feel like I had dozens of meetings about the course. Many of these were more "hallway conversations" with many of the more serious "sit down meetings" revolving around the LTT, which seemed the only controversial item at the time. I remember being referred to and discussing the course initially with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and the executive curriculum committee (ECC). She gave me very helpful guidance and showed me the forms I would need to complete for ECC course approval. In the end, I never completed this step as curriculum reform was in full swing and I was told that "we don't do courses anymore we have modules". I helped arrange ATL support for cadaver and other specimen access in the anatomy lab during the "advanced" and "USU" courses. I discussed the attendance of students who were struggling with academics with both OSA and module directors. I did paperwork with Dr. (b)(6) office to get passes for the foreign military attendees; Norway, UK, and Taiwan as I recall. I talked with the Brigade Commander who had participated in the course herself years before.

I was excited about the course and talked about it with everyone. It felt to me as though everyone in the school knew of the upcoming course and I never thought anything was out of the ordinary due to all of the leadership involvement. I continued to feel that I was not creating a new course at USU but simply transitioning what had been a well-known elective experience into a required part of the MEM curriculum. The one piece that did seem problematic and accounted for the bulk of my conversations with SOM leadership was the LTT. This issue was primarily addressed with (b)(6), (b)(7) with side conversations with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and (b)(6). The OEMS course uses a USDA approved, porcine wounding and training protocol for the final "field training" day of OEMS. I believed the LTT was a valuable component of the course and advocated strongly with leadership for its inclusion. This was understandably difficult for the University, which is faced with the potential damaging criticism and public backlash that might be generated by organizations like PETA and others. The end result of these numerous conversations was that we could not do the LTT at USU or include it in any way as part of the required courses for USU students. However, we were allowed to make the LTT training available to students if we set up a "firewall" to separate it from USU. Our course would be FTX 201 and would end on the final Thursday of the course. The LTT would be offered to students directly by DMI at an off campus site that they would procure and manage themselves. It would not be associated with the school of medicine and would thus be something students could elect to participate in if they so desired. This plan was approved by the university. I informed Dr. Hagmann of the decision and left him to find his own training site. The FTX was ultimately conducted in this fashion.

Q. How was it funded?

A. DMI was going to "give the course to USU students at no charge", the same way they had been doing for years. At the time I believed it to be a generous gift from a former alumni and faculty. While the gift to the entire class would be larger, I did not perceive it to be substantively different from the "gift" of training that had been given to elective students in previous years. The fact that giving or accepting such "gifts" without meeting strict requirements was illegal was unknown to me until the meeting with (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) the next year in 2013. Until that meeting I believed that it was widely known that USU students were attending the various DMI taught courses both off and on campus and being funded by DMI. Indeed, when (b)(6) explained that accepting the "gifts" from DMI in the fashion we had been doing was a mistake, he acknowledged OEMS had been going on at USUHS for a long time. I continue to feel I was walking a path well-trod before me.

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) ING STATEMENT

PAGE 3 OF 8 PAGES

STATEMENT OF

TAKEN AT AFRRI

DATED 2013/10/23

B. STATEMENT (Continued)

Q. During that course in 2012 were you aware of Ketamine being injected into students?

A. Ketamine had not been part of protocols when I was initially familiarized with the course at AFSOC. However, I did see micro-dose ketamine during the "advanced" course and the "USU" course in the summer at USU in 2012. Having left operational medicine in 2005, I have not used ketamine in my own practice but I observed it along with other members of my staff including 3 Emergency Medicine Physicians who felt it was safe.

Q. Were you aware of the "shock lab" where blood was removed from students, they were observed and then the blood was auto transfused back into them?

A. Yes we saw that done as well.

Q. Were you aware of the use of Informed Consent?

A. My recollection of all the Hagmann led courses I have attended or observed includes a frequently voiced premise that, "every procedure done must be 3 things; voluntary, painless and safe". In all of the explanations of his courses and the individual procedures I have been witness to, I feel he emphasized this strongly. I have never observed anything in the training environment that was not entirely voluntary.

Q. Are you familiar with the AMA's policy on informed consent for medical students practicing procedures on each other?

A. I am not

Q. Are you aware of a University Policy?

A. I am not

Q. A Department of MEM policy?

A. Not that I am aware of. Historically, when I was a student we did procedures here on each other and we do them in combat medical skills currently.

Q. Do you know where the Ketamine came from?

A. No,

Q. Do you know where the Versed came from?

A. No. I have never seen Versed used in any OEMS courses that I have been part of including the Advanced or the USU course taught here in 2012.

Q. Did you believe Dr Hagmann was faculty?

A. I did

Q. Was Dr. Hagmann given the authority to conduct the OEMS course regularly with the University?

A. I believed he was. In all of my conversations with leadership, MDL, ATL, etc. people seemed familiar with Dr. Hagmann and the OEMS course and were never surprised at it "coming back".

Q. Were you aware of Dr. Hagmann's past reputation at the University?

A. I'm not sure what you're asking. He was faculty here when I was a student and continued in that capacity for another 8 years after my graduation. He retired in 1999 or 2000 and then taught OEMS as a civilian contractor extremely successfully after that. He seemingly continued to offer his courses to USU students free of charge and came to the University annually to offer those courses. I assumed his reputation was good.

Q. Did anyone ever approach you to tell you that Dr Hagmann was not looked favorably upon by the University and he was run out of here because of the way he ran OEMS?

A. No I was never told that. Given all of the discussions I had about the OEMS course and Hagmann I am surprised.

Q. Are you aware of students being used to transport medical equipment for the use by the DMI run OEMS course?

A. Yes I was. Part of the T/A briefing before volunteering included a requirement to go to the DMI site in VA and spend a weekend organizing and packing equipment. Then they would assist in transporting it to the site for the upcoming course.

Q. Are you aware of students being used to assist and coordinate the procurement of equipment from other medical facilities for use during the OEMS course?

A. No

Q. Were you aware of students traveling to OEMS courses without Orders?

A. Once. My understanding at the time was that attending a course or other activity felt to be "in support of the unit mission" and in which a military member is neither receiving support from his unit or being paid for the service, should be done as Permissive TDY. In accordance with this understanding, I directed that students should be on PTDY status. However, during the most recent iteration of OEMS in 2013, Hagmann arranged for a "procedures course" to occur early during the student Spring break. As I recall, late Friday, as some had already left and others were preparing to leave, I got a call from a student and discovered that they had not done PTDY orders for the trip.

INI (b)(6),(b)(7)
(C)

STATEMENT

PAGE 4 OF 8 PAGES

STATEMENT OF (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) TAKEN AT AFRRJ DATED 2013/10/23

9 STATEMENT (Continued)

I didn't know what to do and went to the Commandant's office to ask if we could put in permissive TDY orders for them if they couldn't do it themselves. One of the NCOs, I believe it was (b)(6), told me we could do "an accountability letter" to cover them. I generated a letter with the list of students attending and gave it to the commandant's office and felt I had met the requirement in this case. I continued to believe that PTDY was the right answer until the meetings in 2013 with the OGC.

Q. Describe the process that allows a student to leave the university to attend DMI?

A. I cannot. I only interfaced with it peripherally and I am still confused by it. We left it to the commandant's office. We in MEM handle orders for the summer experience but we only approve them based on funds availability. We rely on OSA to deal with students who may not be able to participate in the Summer Experience due to academic issues and on the Commandant to address those with disciplinary or other issues. We do not have "command authority" over students in MEM.

Q. Can you tell me how the students leave for the summer experience?

A. The experiences are somewhat service specific. I am responsible for the USAF students, (b)(6) for the Army and LT (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) for the Navy. For the USAF, I have formalized the experience a bit more than was previous. I have arranged for centrally (USAF) funded seats for all USAF students to attend 2 weeks of the Aerospace Primary Course. They may then choose from several additional elective options for which we have negotiated \$50k from the USAF SG. We are working to formalize Army and Navy options but for now students may choose from a USMC Mountain Medicine Course or they must arrange for individual or group experiences based on their own, a classmate's or a faculty member's contacts. These must be approved by the service representative. As for travel expenses, the students are taught how to generate a DTS request through what I believe is a University training event. As summer experience is varied and requires advanced DTS skills, our staff including (b)(6)

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) help the students finalize and approve the orders.

Q. Can you tell me how the students traveling to Italy in the spring of 2013 went without orders?

A. Italy was different as I recall. I thought they would be traveling on permissive TDY orders, which is what we thought was the right thing to do for them as they were not using USU funds. Then, at the very last minute I got a call from a student and discovered that they had not done orders. Several had already left. I didn't know what to do so I went to the Commandant's office to ask if we could put in permissive TDY orders for them if they couldn't do it themselves. One of the NCOs, I believe it was (b)(6), told me we could do "an accountability roster" to cover them. I generated a letter with the list of students attending and gave it to the commandant's office.

Q. Did you ever see an email from the OGC office that said students must be on orders?

A. No, I do not recall seeing an email addressing this issue. As stated previously, I believed that PTDY was the right answer until the meetings in 2013 with the OGC.

Q. Do you recall telling staff not to create DTS orders unless it was for the Colorado trip?

A. No but I can imagine the confusion. Dr. Hagmann had complained the cost of student travel had exceeded what he estimated. I asked our travel people about money and estimated that we had enough in our summer experience budget to pay for the airfare for the Colorado group. They were then going on full orders funded by USU so I can see myself explaining that only the ones going to Colorado were on "paid" orders. I still believed that when they traveled but were not using USU funds they were supposed to be on PTDY status until the meeting earlier this year (2013) with (b)(6).

Q. Can you tell me about the use of Frequent Flier miles for (b)(6) traveling to CO?

A. I don't remember the details. It may have been that he had changed his venue and switched to Colorado after the orders were done for the other students or it may have just been a DTS mistake where his orders didn't get completed or approved. As I recall, someone called wondering how to get a ticket for him at the last minute. I didn't have an answer and was going to just tell him to go to the later course planned at that time for VA locally. Then before we formulated an answer I was told he had taken care of it using frequent flier miles from someone else, maybe his father. I don't remember the details but I can't remember contributing to the discussion at all. I recall it simply as being informed he had a problem and then being informed he had solved it. Travel is difficult to handle at the university. I don't understand it very well and try to stay out of it.

Q. Were you aware of (b)(6) being in Georgia following the CO course to teach Rangers the OEMS course for DMI?

A. No

Q. Were you aware that he was recruited to obtain Oxygen cylinders for another course in Atlanta?

A. No

Q. Are you aware of DMI arranging to pay for a car for (b)(6) trip to CO?

A. We paid for two cars for the CO trip but don't know how many cars DMI arranged for the trip.

Q. Do you know about the renting of Mountain Huts in CO?

(b)(6), (b)(7)

INI (C) STATEMENT

PAGE 5 OF 8 PAGES

STATEMENT OF (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) TAKEN AT AFRRJ DATED 2013/10/23

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

A. I have been involved in renting huts for the high altitude medicine courses taught by DMI. It is a separate course from OEMS but was offered to students attending the Colorado procedures course. It is another course that has been attended by USUHS 4th year students as an elective or during Spring break.

Q. Why is being separate from OEMS relevant?

A. I don't know.

Q. Regarding the CO course, were you aware of a plan to treat students who were showing signs of Acute Mountain Sickness?

A. A central theme of the High Altitude Medicine course taught by DMI is prevention, identification and treatment of Acute Mountain Sickness. Diamox and steroids are available and the Lake Louis Scale is completed daily. I have not, however, seen a specific written plan.

Q. Did students fill out paperwork to accept gifts from DMI? Can you show me the forms that they were required to sign?

A. All of the training that happened in 2012 was done without proffer letters or gift acceptance forms. As we approached these courses for the summer of 2013, (the Italy trip had already occurred) I was made aware that legal (b)(6) (b)(7)(C) was concerned that it was not correct for Dr. Haggmann to give gifts in the form of training, travel or lodging to students and it was not appropriate for the University or students to accept those gifts.

There were two distinct issues that had to be dealt with. First was how to deal with past "gifts" which by the admission of (b)(6) had been going on since Haggmann's retirement. The second was how to deal with the upcoming courses which would begin in July. We had a series of meetings which included (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) and myself. Once or twice we met with the acting Dean and the President for final decisions.

The initial response was to cancel OEMS altogether. I returned to the department and told everyone OEMS was off. Days later we had another meeting where (b)(6) said we "could do this", we just need to do it right. How to do it right was a bit of a moving target but at the end of the day Haggmann had to sign proffer letters to offer the value of the courses – both off and on campus – to the University and its students. They would have to go to President Rice and he would accept them. I got examples from the OGC and drafted the letters for Dr. Haggmann to sign to cover the value of the courses in CO and VA as well as the value of the "advanced course" to be taught at USU. Additionally, students traveling for courses had to individually accept the gift from DMI on behalf of the University. I drafted the appropriate forms provided for me by OGC and sent them to the students who signed and sent them back to me in one form or another. I collected them and passed them on to (b)(6). In this effort I was an "errand boy" just trying to make this thing work. The second step would be accounting for past courses, who had attended and what was the value provided. I did a bit of checking with the registrar's office and with (b)(6) to see what students had done in the past but mostly put this issue on the back (b)(6) until we could finish execution of the current training event. It was my impression from meetings I attended that USU would be required to account for students who had attended previous courses between 1999 and Italy in 2013 and make payment to DMI and Haggmann to address the mistake.

Q. Were you aware of Alcohol being used on students in the Cognition Lab?

A. No, not ever.

Q. Were you aware of penile blocks being done on students?

A. I had heard discussions but I'd never seen one. It was a story of a (b)(6) who did one on himself but it was a bit apocryphal. I was not aware of them being done in any courses I have been associated with. It never seemed to me like a problem common to combat or one that would need to be addressed in the course.

Q. Were you aware of Foley Catheters being done on students?

A. We don't do them at the USU course but I was aware after 2012 that they had been done at the Procedures courses in England and Colorado.

Q. During the development of the MOU with DMI you wrote the course description for the USU course?

A. Yes, I took most of it from the DMI website.

Q. You were asked what the description of the course in Colorado would be.

A. Yes, I said they could use the description I provided for all the courses as they were fairly general. Haggmann had told me that the procedures courses were the same as the advanced course but with less explanation of the underlying physiology, pathology and pharmacology so I thought the general description would be adequate.

Q. What is your opinion regarding IO procedures?

A. IO procedures are commonly used in emergency settings and often the preferred method of access in a point of injury setting far forward. We did those here at USU so I think it was sanctioned.

Q. What about penile nerve blocks

A. I don't have a sense that penile nerve blocks would have much of a role in the typical combat trauma environment.

STATEMENT OF

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

TAKEN AT AFRRI

DATED 2013/10/23

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

Q. Did you ever ask or attempt to find out the content of these outside OEMS courses?

A. I certainly asked. I was told that they did the same procedures as the advanced course by Dr. Hagmann and I got the same information when asking students returning from the courses. Nevertheless, I've been very critical of myself for not having gone to the procedure course and attended myself.

Q. In faculty discussions did any staff say that these procedures were too aggressive?

A. No, I did rely on my EM staff colleagues and solicited their opinions. They are far more current in standard practice than I am. However, I have the experience of the far forward, AFSOC environment where the need for buddy transfusions, blind airways in a dark helicopter and sternal IO access as the preferred method are not uncommon and in where the typical GMO doc will not have this training in medical school or internship. Based on all the inputs, I came away believing that training on IO procedures and the Shock lab would be items we would retain in the USU OEM course.

Q. Do you have anything else to add?

A. I guess the overall message in my defense, if you will, is that I wanted to give the students the skills, confidence and inspiration, to do great things in operational medicine. I wanted the University to be at the forefront of combat medical response and felt that OEMS was a step along the path to that goal. I don't know all the allegations and I am sick about it because I advocated very strongly for the course and know it would not have happened without my input. I sincerely hope that no student was hurt and that the University will not be held accountable for a mistake that I made. I honestly don't understand travel at the university and can't account for how it happens. I have never had a voucher closed soon enough that I didn't have to pay the bill out of pocket before it was approved and I just returned from a trip to Indiantown Gap for which I had no orders or funding but went anyway to accomplish the mission. I had never heard of the limitations of gifting before this Spring.

I honestly believed I was expanding an already established program (OEMS) that we would ultimately make our own and make better. Perhaps, I did not perform due diligence by attending an OEMS "procedures" course. Including OEMS, I started 6 new summer experiences that first year. I attended 2 (AMP 101 and AFSOC) in 2012 and a third (Top Knife) in 2013 with the 4th (CASF) cancelled due the OEMS debacle this year. If I'd chosen OEMS instead, perhaps I could have asked important questions like why penile blocks were done and I could have decried the alcohol as never appropriate in a training environment. Did Hagmann lose his mind? I simply never anticipated this. Obviously as soon as we heard of these issues we cancelled the course.

Q. Can you explain the "air of secrecy?"

A. Maybe. One of Hagmann's messages is "We are different and we are special" "We are far forward, out on a limb, by ourselves with no help, we have to be the best!" This can get translated as "It's us against the world, they don't understand the constraints we operate under, and we are not bound by their rules".

He uses this quite well, especially with those, like my guys at AFSOC, who are on the periphery of SOF. The message is "We are the elite team" and "I am capable to handle anything". This message is strong and resonates with many students. He creates a feeling of importance and he does it very well. When we ran these courses at Hurlburt, there was an absolute "fervor" that this experience; that it was "the greatest thing on earth". If done correctly, I can see it as an asset. I haven't observed overt "secrecy" but I've attended courses of 60-120 students. I can see it evolving out of this psychological mood with a smaller group.

NOTHING FOLLOWS

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 7 OF 8 PAGES

STATEMENT OF TAKEN AT AFRRJ DATED 2013/10/23

9. STATEMENT (Continued)

NOTHING FOLLOWS

AFFIDAVIT

I, , HAVE READ OR HAVE HAD READ TO ME THIS STATEMENT WHICH BEGINS ON PAGE 1, AND ENDS ON PAGE 8, I FULLY UNDERSTAND THE CONTENTS OF THE ENTIRE STATEMENT MADE BY ME. THE STATEMENT IS TRUE. I HAVE INITIALED ALL CORRECTIONS AND HAVE INITIALED THE BOTTOM OF EACH PAGE CONTAINING THE STATEMENT. I HAVE MADE THIS STATEMENT FREELY (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) WITHOUT THREAT OF PUNISHMENT, AND WITHOUT COERCION, UNLAWFUL INFLUENCE, OR UNLAWFUL INDUCEMENT.

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(Signature of Person Making Statement)

WITNESSES:

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a person authorized by law to administer oaths, this 1 day of October, 2013

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

USU

Bethesda MD

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
(Typed Name of Person Administering Oath)

Investigator

(Authority To Administer Oaths)

ORGANIZATION OR ADDRESS

INITIALS OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

PAGE 8 OF 8 PAGES

Exhibit 45

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6) (b) (b)
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2013 12:10 PM
To: (b)(6),(b)
Subject: Investigation

(b)(6),(submitted this email to the DCIS investigators this week:

We have captured and preserved several email accounts and I have glanced over some of the information. Initially it appeared that the main "financial" part of this was improper travel under 31 USC 1353. Now it appears there was more to it than that. Based on what I have seen Dr. Hagmann was using our students as his teaching staff for courses for which he was charging Federal agencies, as well as U.S. military and foreign military personnel. Had he hired others, in addition to the cost of the travel, he would have also had to pay salaries. Since our students were already being paid by the US he was using USU to subsidize his business. But it goes further than that.

Most of his training appears to be directed towards non-physicians (that's the largest group of customers). Training that will be provided to the medics is going to be suggested/approved by the physicians assigned to those units. The physicians who volunteer to be assigned to those units are most likely going to be those who have been to field medicine training and liked the experience. Our students who were helping him teach obviously liked it. By befriending our students through his "generosity" he not only had a teaching staff, he also created a group that would advocate and push for military units to pay to take his course. He also established a network which he could call upon when needed.

For example, he later introduced a present USU student into one email. Dr. Hagmann noted:

"The above copied (b) (b)(6) is a former Ranger now USU MS-II working with me for the summer (really putting in a lot to make things happen.) He is arriving ATL tonight to help with a Ranger Course and will meet (DOCTOR) tomorrow . . ."