



An evaluation of the vegetation and plant diversity along the proposed route between the Eskom Merensky and Foskor substations

February 2012





# An evaluation of the vegetation and plant diversity along the proposed route between the Eskom Merensky and Foskor substations

Prepared for:

**Nsovo Environmental Consulting** 

by

G.J. Bredenkamp DSc., Pr.Sci.Nat.

EcoAgent CC
PO Box 23355
Monument Park
0181
Tel 012 4602525
Fax 012 460 2525
Cell 082 5767046

March 2012





# **Contents**

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE  EXECUTIVE SUMMARY  THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT  2. ASSIGNMENT  3. RATIONALE  4. STUDY AREA  4.1. General	8 8 10
6. METHODS	
6.1 Vegetation and habitat survey	15
6.2 Conservation Priority / Sensitivity	16
7. RESULTS:	18
7.1 Vegetation Classification	18
7.2 Description of the vegetation types	21
Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (Vulnerable)	21
Species of Conservation Concern	24
Conclusion	
2. Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld	26
Species of Conservation Concern	28
Conclusion	29
3. Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld	29
a. Plains Bushveld	30
b. Mountain Slope Bushveld	32
Species of Conservation Concern	34
Conclusion	35
4. Lydenburg Thornveld	36
Species of Conservation Concern	38
Conclusion	39
5. Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld	40
Species of Conservation Concern	42
Conclusion	42





6. Northern Escarpment Quartzite Sourveld	43
7. Northern Mistbelt Forest	43
8. Tzaneen Sour Bushveld	44
Species of Conservation Concern	45
Conclusion	
Species of Conservation Concern  Conclusion	
10. Lowveld Rugged Mopaneveld	
Species of Conservation Concern	
Conclusion	53
11. Phalaborwa-Timbavati Mopaneveld	53
Species of Conservation Concern	55
Conclusion	55
12 River and Spruit Systems	56
Conclusion	58
8 DISCUSSION	59
1. REFERENCESABRIDGED CURRICULUM VITAE: GEORGE JOHANNES BREDENKAMP	





#### **DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE**

- I, George Johannes Bredenkamp, Id 4602105019086, declare that I:
- Am the owner of Eco-Agent CC, CK 95/37116/23
- am committed to biodiversity conservation but concomitantly recognize the need for economic development. Whereas we appreciate the opportunity to also learn through the processes of constructive criticism and debate, we reserve the right to form and hold our own opinions and therefore will not willingly submit to the interests of other parties or change our statements to appease them;
- abide by the Code of Ethics of the S.A. Council for Natural Scientific Profession;
- Act as independent specialist consultants respectively in the fields of ecology, vegetation science and botany,
- am assigned as specialist consultant by Nsovo Environmental Consulting for the proposed project "An evaluation of the vegetation and plant diversity along the proposed route between the Eskom Merensky and Foskor substations" described in this report;
- do not have or will not have any financial interest in the undertaking of the activity other than remuneration for work performed;
- have or will not have any vested interest in the proposed activity proceeding;
- have no and will not engage in conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- undertake to disclose to the client and the competent authority any material information that have or may have the potential to influence the decision of the competent authority required in terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 2006;
- will provide the client and competent authority with access to all information at our disposal, regarding this project, whether favourable or not.

J

Broclery C





#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The vegetation of all four alternatives was investigated. From the desktop study, confirmed by the field survey, option 3, which runs from Burgersfort to Ohrigstad along the R555, was eliminated. This is because the route along the R555 runs for most of the way in a narrow valley, with the Mabitsana River and the tarred R555 in this valley. The line will have to run for most of the way on the sensitive mountain foot slopes and cross the river and road several times. Furthermore, many irrigated agricultural enterprises occur in the Ohrigstad area, stretching all the way to Marapeng. This mosaic of narrow river valley, river, mountain slopes and agriculture where-ever the valley is a bit broader, causes the route to be unsuitable. From an ecological perspective both the riverine vegetation and the vegetation of the mountain slopes have a high ecological sensitivity. Therefore this entire valley forms an ecologically sensitive ecosystem. This is also a much longer route.

Furthermore, from the desktop study, confirmed by the field survey, option 4, was eliminated. The line of this option runs through nine vegetation types, and over very high and steep mountains of Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld and Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld with two endangered ecosystems (Sekhukhune Mountainlands and Sekhukhune Norite Bushveld, SANBI & DEAT 2009), Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld with endangered Malmani Karstland (SANBI & DEAT 2009), the vulnerable Northern Escarpment Quartzite Sourveld (Mucina & Rutherford (2006), Northern Mistbelt Forest area and the vulnerable Tzaneen Sour Bushveld (SANBI & DEAT 2009). Especially the Great Escarpment area consists of very rugged and high mountains, resulting in a very difficult route with several threatened ecosystems.

Alternative Routes 1 and 2 were further investigated in more detail by field surveys. The vegetation along these routes is described in detail, including the protected and red data species. Medicinal plants and aliens and weeds are indicated.

The most difficult part of the route is from the Merensky substation through Orichstad Mountain Bushveld which is an extremely mountainous area with sensitive vegetation. This part of the line transects quite sensitive vegetation and it is suggested that a walkthrough in this area is essential.





The most serious limitation on the Lowveld plains where the line transects the Granite Lowveld vegetation type, is the abundance of the protected tree *Sclerocarya birrea*. It is certain that several of these trees will be in the way of the transect.

Locally are also the river and spruit crossings. No river or spruit is very wide, so the lines can easily cross these rivers or spruits systems. Care should be taken to place pylons adequately away from river or spruit banks, avoiding any damage to the banks or water courses. Erosion should be avoided at all times.

Another factor in this area is that large properties are game farms and lodges. These areas are effectively conserved by the owners, and it is realised that the public participation is an important issue. After finalisation of the exact transect, a walkthrough will have to confirm any issues regarding vegetation.

From an ecological perspective, Alternative 1 is the preferred route.





#### THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Eskom proposed the development of a new power line from the Merensky substation near Steelpoort to the Foskor substation near Phalaborwa. This power line is more than 120 km long. Alternative routes were proposed.

#### 2. ASSIGNMENT

EcoAgent Ecological Consultants CC was appointed by Nsovo Environmental Consulting to assess the vegetation and flora for the entire route of proposed routes. This assignment is in accordance with the 2010 EIA Regulations (No. R. 543-546, Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, 18 June 2010) emanating from Chapter 5 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998).

The assignment is interpreted as follows: Compile a study of the vegetation and flora of the route, with emphasis on Red Data or Protected plant species that occur or may occur along the route. In order to compile this, the following had to be done:

## 2.1. Initial preparations:

- Obtain relevant maps and information on the natural environment of the concerned area.
- This includes information on Red Data plant species that may occur in the area.
- Obtain the Vegetation Types (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006) along the routes.

## 2.2. Vegetation and habitat survey:

- Use the Vegetation Types as basis for ecosystem delimitation.
- List the plant species (trees, shrubs, grasses and herbaceous species)
   present in the ecosystem recognised.
  - Identify potential red data plant species, alien plant species, and medicinal plants.

#### 2.3. Plant community delimitation and description

- Describe the habitat and vegetation.
- Determine the sensitivity of the site for biodiversity, veld condition and presence of rare or protected species.





## 2.4. General

- Identify and describe particular ecologically sensitive areas.
- Identify problem areas in need of special treatment or management, e.g. bush encroachment, erosion, water pollution, degraded areas, reclamation areas.
- This includes information on Red Data plant species that may occur in the area.





#### 3. RATIONALE

It is widely recognised that it is of the utmost importance to conserve natural resources in order to maintain ecological processes and life support systems for plants, animals and humans. To ensure that sustainable development takes place, it is therefore important that the environment is considered before relevant authorities approve any development. This led to legislation protecting the natural environment. The Environmental Conservation Act (Act 73 of 1989), the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (NEMA) (Act 107 of 1998) and the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004. (Act 10 of 2004) ensure the protection of ecological processes, natural systems and natural beauty as well as the preservation of biotic diversity in the natural environment. They also ensure the protection of the environment against disturbance, deterioration, defacement or destruction as a result of man-made structures, installations, processes or products or human activities. A draft list of Threatened Ecosystems was published (Government Gazette 2009) as part of the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004). These Threatened Ecosystems are described by SANBI & DEAT (2009).

All components of the ecosystems (physical environment, vegetation, animals) of a site are interrelated and interdependent. A holistic approach is therefore imperative to include effectively the development, utilisation and where necessary conservation of the given natural resources in an integrated development plan, which will address all the needs of the modern human population (Bredenkamp & Brown 2001).

It is therefore necessary to make an inventory of the plant communities and biodiversity on the alternative routes, in order to evaluate the biodiversity and possible rare species. This inventory should then serve as a scientific and ecological basis for the planning exercises.





#### 4. STUDY AREA

#### 4.1. General

The different options suggested for the Merensky - Foskor Eskom power line are indicated in Figure 1. The northern parts of all options will traverse through conservation areas (Figure 2). All options start at the Merensky substation near Steelpoort. As the area is very large, many spruit systems are found along the routes (Figure 3). A few larger rivers or spruits are mentioned, but the numerous smaller spruit systems will have to be identified during a walk-down.

Option 1 (the preferred option) will run along the Steelpoort – Burgersfort road (R555) but before reaching Burgersfort it will turn north-eastwards and cross the rugged mountainous area towards the Strydom tunnel on the R36. It will then cross the mountains east of the Strydom tunnel and run towards Mica and from there towards the Foskor substation south of Phalaborwa.

Option 2 will follow the same route as option 1 up to the Mica area, but will then turn more east to run south and east of option 1, in the direction of Hoedspruit, and then turn northwards to the Foskor substation.

Option 3 will initially also follow the same route as option 1 for a short distance, but at Burgersfort it will turn eastwards and follow the R555 to Ohrigstad and further on to the Strydom tunnel. From here on the route is similar to that of option 1.

Option 4 will run northwards from the Merensky substation, over undulating and mountainous area towards Penge and then over the rugged mountains over the great escarpment to cross the R36 between Trichardsdal and Diputhi and then run through the Kapama / Madrid Nature Reserve area toward Phalaborwa.





Figure 1: A locality map showing the different options for the Merensky Foskor Eskom power line






Figure 2: A locality map showing the different options for the Merensky Foskor Eskom power line with conservation and sensitive areas






Figure 3: The hydrology of the area showing major river systems and numerous smaller spruits along all the route options

ECOLOGY & BIODIVERSITY CONSULTANTS

#### 6. METHODS

### 6.1 Vegetation and habitat survey

A desktop study was made on the vegetation and flora fauna and general ecology and ecological sensitivity of the area along all options suggested for this power line. A site visit followed the desktop study.

The routes were driven on 24 and 25 October 2011 by Prof G.J. Bredenkamp, a delegation of Nsovo Environmental Consulting and also a delegation of Eskom. Staff members of Eskom gave guidance in the field on the location of the various options. Parts of the route, especially the south-western mountainous parts, were again visited during February 2012.

The vegetation of the route was stratified into relatively homogeneous units based on Vegetation Type Units (Mucina & Rutherford 2006). Regular stops were made in each vegetation unit identified, to record vegetation and plant species present and also on the conservation status, sensitivity and condition of the vegetation. Special features were identified as major river crossings, wetlands, rocky ridges or any other features considered to be of importance for the biodiversity assessment.

The general vegetation of the unit was described using both the desktop study and the field observations. For the particular vegetation type a description of the dominant and characteristic species was made at several sites within each Vegetation Type unit. These descriptions were based on total floristic composition, following established vegetation survey techniques (Mueller-Dombois & Ellenberg 1974; Westhoff & Van der Maarel 1978). Data recorded included a list of the plant species present, including trees, shrubs, grasses and forbs. Comprehensive species lists were therefore derived for each plant community / ecosystem present on the site. These vegetation survey methods have been used as the basis of a national vegetation survey of South Africa (Mucina *et al.* 2000) and are considered to be an efficient method of describing vegetation and capturing species information. Notes were additionally made of any other features that might have an ecological influence.





The identified systems are not only described in terms of their plant species composition, but also evaluated in terms of the potential habitat for red data plant species.

Red data plant species for the area were obtained from the SANBI data bases, with updated threatened status, (Raimondo *et al* 2009). These lists were then evaluated in terms of habitat available on the site, and also in terms of the present development and presence of man in the area.

Protected trees are according to the list provided by the National Forests Act 1998 (Act 84 of 1998). Other protected plants are according to the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004), or provincial regulations.

Alien invasive species, according to the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (Act No.43 of 1983) as listed in Henderson (2001), are indicated.

Medicinal plants are indicated according to Van Wyk, Van Oudthoorn & Gericke (1997), these are mentioned in the species lists.

#### 6.2 Conservation Priority / Sensitivity

The following **conservation priority** / **ecological sensitivity** categories were used for each site:

High: Ecologically sensitive and valuable land with high species richness

and/or sensitive ecosystems or red data species that should be

conserved and no developed allowed.

Medium-high: Land where sections are disturbed but which is in general

ecologically sensitive to development/disturbances.

**Medium:** Land on which low impact development with limited impact on the

vegetation / ecosystem could be considered for development. It is recommended that certain portions of the natural vegetation be

maintained as open space.

Medium-low: Land of which small sections could be considered to conserve but

where the area in general has little conservation value.





**Low**: Land that has little conservation value and that could be considered

for developed with little to no impact on the vegetation.

## **Species status**

Plant species recorded in each plant community with an indication of the status of the species by using the following symbols:

A = Alien woody species

D = Dominant

d = subdominant

G = Garden or Garden Escape

M = Medicinal plant species

P = Protected trees species

p = provincially protected species

RD = Red data listed plant

W = weed

The field observations were supplemented by literature studies from the area (Bredenkamp 1982, Gertenbach 1983a, 1983b, Mathews 1991, Siebert 2001, Siebert *et al.* 2002a, 2002b, 2002c, 2002d 2002e and 2003).





## 7. RESULTS:

# 7.1 Vegetation Classification

According to the new vegetation map of South Africa (Mucina & Rutherford 2006) the routes transect the following vegetation types, Threatened Ecosystem Status is according to SANBI & DEAT (2009):





Vegetation Type	Route	Conservation	Threatened Ecosystems	Threatened Status
		status	(SANBI & DEAT 2009)	
		(Mucina &		
		Rutherford 2006)		
Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld	1, 3, 4	Vulnerable		
Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld	4	Least Threatened	Sekhukhune Mountainlands	Endangered
			Sekhukhune Norite Bushveld	Endangered
3. Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld	1, 3, 4	Least Threatened	Sekhukhune Mountainlands	Endangered
4. Lydenburg Thornveld	1	Vulnerable		
5. Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld	1, 3, 4	Least Threatened	Malmani Karstlands	Endangered
6. Northern Escarpment Quartzite Sourveld	4	Vulnerable		
7. Northern Mistbelt Forest	4	Least Threatened		
8. Tzaneen Sour Bushveld	4 (1, 2)	Least Threatened	Tzaneen Sour Bushveld	Vulnerable
9. Granite Bushveld	1, 2, 4	Least Threatened		
10. Lowveld Rugged Mopaneveld	1, 2	Least Threatened		
11. Phalaborwa-Timbavati Mopaneveld	1, 4	Least Threatened		
12. River Crossings	all			








Figure 4: The vegetation types (Mucina & Rutherford 2006) along the optional routes



From the desktop study, confirmed by the field survey, option 3, which runs from Burgersfort to Ohrigstad along the R555, was eliminated. This is because the route along the R555 runs for most of the way in a narrow valley, with the Mabitsana River and the tarred R555 in this valley. The line will have to run for most of the way on the sensitive mountain foot slopes and cross the river and road several times. Furthermore, many irrigated agricultural enterprises occur in the Ohrigstad area, stretching all the way to Marapeng. This mosaic of narrow river valley, river, mountain slopes and agriculture where-ever the valley is a bit broader, causes the route to be unsuitable. From an ecological perspective both the riverine vegetation and the vegetation of the mountain slopes have a high ecological sensitivity. Therefore this entire valley forms an ecologically sensitive ecosystem. This is also a much longer route.

Furthermore, from the desktop study, confirmed by the field survey, option 4, was eliminated. The line of this option runs through nine vegetation types, and over very high and steep mountains of Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld and Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld with two endangered ecosystems (Sekhukhune Mountainlands and Sekhukhune Norite Bushveld, SANBI & DEAT 2009), Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld with endangered Malmani Karstland (SANBI & DEAT 2009), the vulnerable Northern Escarpment Quartzite Sourveld (Mucina & Rutherford (2006), Northern Mistbelt Forest area and the vulnerable Tzaneen Sour Bushveld (SANBI & DEAT 2009). Especially the Great Escarpment area consists of very rugged and high mountains, resulting in a very difficult route with several threatened ecosystems.

## 7.2 Description of the vegetation types

## **Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (Vulnerable)**

The Merensky substation is located in the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld. Although the vegetation of these plains falls within the Sekhukhune Centre of plant endemism (Siebert 2001, Siebert *et al.* 2002a-e) this area is highly transformed by many villages and their agricultural fields. Within the study area this bushveld is restricted to the valley floors of the rivers that dissect the mountains. These areas are heavily grazed and often not in prime condition. This resulted in Mucina & Rutherford (2006) labelling the conservation status of this vegetation as Vulnerable.





Large parts of these plains are dominated by *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Acacia tortilis*, *Acacia mellifera* and *Acacia nilotica*. Other plant species found here include the trees *Boscia foetida*, *Euclea linearis*, *Searsia batophylla* (along spruits and dongas) with the forbs *Felicia clavipilosa*, *Hermannia odorata*, *Gisekia africana*, *Melhania rehmannii* and the grasses *Aristida congesta*, *Enneapogon cenchroides*, *Urochloa mosambicensis*. Alien plant species are often found close to villages or along roads and tracks.

The following species were listed for this plant community:

#### **TREES AND SHRUBS**

Acacia gerrardii			Grewia bicolor	
Acacia mellifera	d		Grewia flava	
Acacia nilotica	d		Lantana camara	Α
Acacia tortilis	D		Melia azedarach	Α
Agave americana	Α		Rhigozum obovatum	
Aloe castanea	p		Sarcostemma viminale	
Aloe cryptopoda	p		Schotia latifolia	RD
Aloe globuligemma	p		Sclerocarya birrea	Р
Balanites maughami	P		Searsia batophylla	RD
Boscia foetida			Searsia engleri	
Dichrostachys cinere	а	D	Tinnea rhodesiana	
Ehretia rigida			Triaspis glaucophylla	
Euphorbia tirucalli			Ziziphus mucronata	М

## **GRASSES**

Aristida adscensionis	Eragrostis lehmanniana
Aristida congesta s. barbicollis	Eragrostis superba
Cenchrus ciliaris	Fingerhuthia africana
Chloris virgata	Melinis repens s. repens
Dactyloctenium aegyptium	Panicum maximum
Enneapogon cenchroides	Sporobolus ioclados
Enneapogon scoparius	Stipagrostis hirtigluma
Eragrostis heteromera	Themeda triandra





## Tragus berteronianus

Abutilon angulatum

#### Urochloa mosambicensis

Kohautia cynanchica

#### **FORBS**

Acalypha indica Lantana rugosa Achyranthes aspera v. sicula Kleinia longiflora Asparagus suaveolens Leonotis ocymifolia Bidens bipinnata W Melhania acuminata Blepharis integrifolia Melhania rehmannii Monechma divaricatum Clerodendrum ternatum Corchorus asplenifolius Ocimum americanum Datura stramonium WM Pavonia burchellii Felicia clavipilosa Phyllanthus maderaspatensis W Flaveria bidentis Pollichia campestris Galenia sarcophylla Schkuhria pinnata W Seddera fruticosa Geigeria burkei

Gossypium herbaceum Sesamum triphyllum W

Hermannia modesta Sesbania bispinosa

Hermbstaedtia odorata Sida alba

Hibiscus caesius Solanum panduriforme W

Hibiscus micranthus Tephrosia purpurea

Jamesbrittenia atropurpurea Tribulus terrestris W

Jatropha latifolia Vernonia poskeana

Justicia flavaWaltheria indicaWJusticia protracta s. rhodesianaZinnia peruvianaW

Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld			
Status	Dense to Disturbed plains to	oushveld	
Soil	Clay-loam	Rockiness %	5-25
Conservation priority:	Medium	Sensitivity:	Medium
Agricultural potential:	Medium-Low	Need for rehabilitation	Medium





Dominant spp.	Acacia tortilis, Acacia mellifera, Dichrostachys cinerea, Euclea
	linearis

## **Species of Conservation Concern**

A list of Species of Conservation Concern for the Grid 2627BB was obtained from the database on the SANBI website. Threatened species are those that are facing high risk of extinction, indicated by the categories Critically Endangered (CE), Endangered (EN) and Vulnerable (VU). Species of Conservation Concern include the Threatened Species, but additionally have the categories Near Threatened (NT), Data Deficient (DD), Critically Rare (CR), Rare (R) and Declining (D). This is in accordance with the new Red List for South African Plants (Raimondo *et al.* 2009).

The following species of conservation concern were previously recorded from the Grid 2430CA (SANBI, POSA website):

Species	Status
Dicliptera fruticosa K.Balkwill	NT
Elaeodendron transvaalense (Burtt Davy) R.H.Archer	NT
Lydenburgia cassinoides N.Robson	NT
Adenia fruticosa Burtt Davy subsp. fruticosa	NT
Searsia sekhukhuniensis (Moffett) Moffett	Rare
Combretum petrophilum Retief	Rare
Euphorbia sekukuniensis R.A.Dyer	Rare
Searsia batophylla (Codd) Moffett	VU
Zantedeschia jucunda Letty	VU
Gladiolus sekukuniensis P.J.D.Winter	VU
Acacia sekhukhuniensis P.J.H.Hurter	CR
Delosperma rileyi L.Bolus	DDD
Asparagus intricatus (Oberm.) Fellingham & N.L.Mey.	DDT
Acalypha caperonioides Baill. var. caperonioides	DDT
Myrothamnus flabellifolius Welw.	DDT
Ilex mitis (L.) Radlk. var. mitis	Declining
Drimia altissima (L.f.) Ker Gawl.	Declining
Hypoxis hemerocallidea Fisch., C.A.Mey. & Avé-Lall.	Declining
Eulophia speciosa (R.Br. ex Lindl.) Bolus	Declining





Searsia batophylla, Hypoxis hemerocallidea and Eulophia speciosa were observed within the transect area. For most of the other species the plains habitat is not suitable, they are present on the mountain areas of Sekhukhuneland.

Balanites maughamii and Sclerocarya birrea are nationally protected trees observed along the route while the Aloe species are all provincially protected.

#### Conclusion

The vegetation within on the plains are quite disturbed, there are often villages, roads, tracks and current or old agricultural fields present. As the pylons of the power line will have a relatively small footprint, the impact on the vegetation will be small. However, due to the presence of red data and possibly protected plant species, a walkthrough is recommended for this area, to ensure that sensitive areas are excluded for construction of pylons.



Figure 5: Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld in the foreground and Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld in the Background





25

#### 2. Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld

This Open Mountain Bushveld occurs patchy throughout the area, the soils contain high concentrations of heavy metals and the area is often prone to mining operations. In this study very small part of this Bushveld is affected, the largest piece is just north of Steelpoort along route option 4, which was already eliminated. However, being a very sensitive ecosystem, due to several endemic and threatened species, the description is included.

#### **Trees and Shrubs**

Acacia ataxacantha, Elephantorrhiza praetermissa
Acacia gerrardii Elaeodendron transvaalense RD

Acacia mellifera s. detinens Euclea linearis

Acacia nigrescens, Euclea undulata M

Acacia nilotica Grewia flava

Acacia senegal v. leiorhachis Grewia vernicosa

Acacia senegal v. rostrata Hippobromus pauciflorus

Acacia tortilis s. heteracantha Kirkia wilmsii

Aloe arborescens p Maerua cafra

Aloe castanea p Maytenus undata

Aloe cryptopoda p Lydenburgia cassinoides RDP

Boscia foetida Ormocarpum trichocarpum

Brachylaena ilicifolia Ozoroa sphaerocarpa

Carissa bispinosa Rhoicissus sekhukhuniensis
Celtis africana Sclerocarya birrea P

Combretum apiculatum Searsia keetii

Combretum hereroense Searsia sekhukhuniensis RD

Combretum molle Searsia wilmsii

Combretum petrophilum RD Terminalia prunioides

Commiphora mollis Tinnea rhodesiana

Croton gratissimus Vitex obovata subsp wilmsii

Cussonia transvaalensis Dichrostachys cinerea





#### **Grasses**

Aristida canescens Eragrostis lehmanniana

Aristida canescens, Eragrostis superba
Aristida transvaalensis Fingerhuthia africana

Bothriochloa insculpta Heteropogon contortus

Brachiaria eruciformis Loudetia simplex
Digitaria eriantha Melinis repens
Diheteropogon amplectens Panicum deustum

Elionurus muticus Sporobolus ioclados

Enneapogon scoparius Themeda triandra

#### **Forbs**

Abutilon angulatum Kohautia cynanchica
Adenia fruticosa RD Kyphocarpa angustifolia

Asparagus cooperi Melhania rehmannii

Asparagus suaveolens Merwilla plumbea RD

Barleria kaloxytona Monechma divaricatum

Barleria saxatilis Myrothamnus flabellifolius RD

Berkheya insignis Ocimum americanum

Blepharis aspera Phyllanthus glaucophyllus

Blepharis integrifolia Polygala hottentotta

Clerodendrum ternatum Ptycholobium plicatum

Commelina africana Rhynchosia minima

Corchorus asplenifolius Sansevieria hyacinthoides

Crabbea angustifolia Seddera capensis

Cyphostemma coddii Senna italica

Ectadiopsis oblongifolia Stylochiton natalensis

Euphorbia enormis Stylochiton sp

Euphorbia schinzii Syncolostemon concinnus

Evolvulus alsinoides Tephrosia purpurea

Geigeria burkei Tetradenia brevispicata,

Gerbera jamesonii Tragia dioica

Hibiscus aethiopicus Waltheria indica W

Hypoestes forskaolii Xerophyta retinervis





Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld				
Status	Dense to Disturbed mountain bushveld			
Soil	Clay-loam	Rockiness	5-25	
		%		
Conservation	High	Sensitivity:	High	
priority:				
Agricultural	Low	Need for	Low	
potential:		rehabilitation		
Dominant spp.	Combretum apiculatum,	Grewia vernico	osa, Dichrostachys	
	cinerea, Euclea linearis and	d Euclea undulata		

## **Species of Conservation Concern**

A Threatened species and Species of Conservation Concern list for the Grid 2627BB was obtained from the POSA database on the SANBI website. Threatened species are those that are facing high risk of extinction, indicated by the categories Critically Endangered (CE), Endangered (EN) and Vulnerable (VU). Species of Conservation Concern include the Threatened Species, but additionally have the categories Near Threatened (NT), Data Deficient (DD), Critically Rare (CR), Rare (R) and Declining (D). This is in accordance with the new Red List for South African Plants (Raimondo *et al.* 2009).

The following species of conservation concern were previously recorded from the Grid 2430CA (SANBI, POSA website):

Species	Status
Dicliptera fruticosa K.Balkwill	NT
Elaeodendron transvaalense (Burtt Davy) R.H.Archer	NT
Lydenburgia cassinoides N.Robson	NT
Adenia fruticosa Burtt Davy subsp. fruticosa	NT
Searsia sekhukhuniensis (Moffett) Moffett	Rare
Combretum petrophilum Retief	Rare
Euphorbia sekukuniensis R.A.Dyer	Rare
Searsia batophylla (Codd) Moffett	VU
Zantedeschia jucunda Letty	VU
Gladiolus sekukuniensis P.J.D.Winter	VU





Acacia sekhukhuniensis P.J.H.Hurter	CR
Delosperma rileyi L.Bolus	DDD
Asparagus intricatus (Oberm.) Fellingham & N.L.Mey.	DDT
Acalypha caperonioides Baill. var. caperonioides	DDT
Myrothamnus flabellifolius Welw.	DDT
Ilex mitis (L.) Radlk. var. mitis	Declining
Drimia altissima (L.f.) Ker Gawl.	Declining
Hypoxis hemerocallidea Fisch., C.A.Mey. & Avé-Lall.	Declining
Eulophia speciosa (R.Br. ex Lindl.) Bolus	Declining

Elaeodendron transvaalense, Combretum petrophilum, Lydenburgia cassinoides, Adenia fruticosa, Myrothamnus flabellifolius and Searsia sekhukhuniensis were observed close to the transect area. Merwilla plumbea, not listed above, was also seen. The habitat is also suitable for most of the other threatened species listed.

The protected trees Lydenburgia cassinoides and Sclerocarya birrea are present.

#### Conclusion

The vegetation on the mountains contains several red data and protected plant species, where-ever possible the mountain areas of Sekhukhuneland should be avoided. It seems that although this type of Bushveld is prominent between Steelpoort and Burgersfort, the proposed line will seldom transect these mountains, except for Route 4, which is already eliminated. However, should any line cross this vegetation type, a walkthrough is recommended for this area, to ensure that sensitive areas are excluded for construction of pylons.

## 3. Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld

Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld is present in the Burgersfort – Ohrigstad and Penge areas. (present for options 1, 3 and 4, not for option 2). This Mountain Bushveld also has, as the Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld, high species diversity and several plant species of conservation concern. However, this area consists of mountains and valleys, both being important for this survey. Option 3 runs for most of the way in a prominent valley, containing plains bushveld, but often has to run over the footslopes of the mountains.





Option 3, which runs from Burgersfort to Ohrigstad along the R555, was eliminated. This is because the route along the R555 runs for most of the way in a narrow valley, with the Mabitsana River and the tarred R555 in this valley. The line will have to run for most of the way on the sensitive mountain foot slopes and cross the river and road several times. Furthermore, many irrigated agricultural enterprises occur in the Ohrigstad area, stretching all the way to Marapeng. This mosaic of narrow river valley, river, mountain slopes and agriculture where-ever the valley is a bit broader, causes the route to be unsuitable. From an ecological perspective both the riverine vegetation and the vegetation of the mountain slopes have a high ecological sensitivity. Therefore this entire valley forms an ecologically sensitive ecosystem. This is also a much longer route.

The two main plant communities found in this area are: a) The Plains Bushveld in the Valleys and b) the Mountain slope Bushveld. These are described separately.

#### a. Plains Bushveld

The Dense Plains Bushveld is restricted to the Ohrigstad valley, the route of Option 3. This is degraded to pristine bushveld with a dense woody cover. The vegetation is dominated by *Acacia tortilis*, *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Combretum apiculatum*, *Euclea linearis* and *Euclea undulata*, while *Eragrostis rigidior*, *Enneapogon scoparius* and *Themeda triandra* are prominent in the grass layer.

The following plant species were recorded from this plant community:

#### **Trees and Shrubs**

Acacia tortilis	d	Euphorbia tirucalli	M
Aloe castanea	p	Grewia monticola	
Aloe marlothii	р	Gymnosporia senegalensis	М
Berchemia zeyheri	M	Hexalobus monopetalus	
Combretum apiculatum	M	Karomia speciosa	
Dichrostachys cinerea	d	Mundulea sericea	М
Ehretia rigida	M	Ormocarpum trichocarpum	
Euclea linearis	d	Peltophorum africanum	М
Euclea undulata	dM	Ptaeroxylon obliquum	М
Euphorbia cooperi		Rhoicissus tridentata	М





Sclerocarya birrea	PM	Ximenia americana	М
Searsia leptodictya		Ziziphus mucronata	М
Tarchonanthus camphoratus M			

## **Grasses**

Aristida congesta		Eragrostis superba	
Aristida congesta subsp barbicollis		Heteropogon contortus	d
Bothriochloa insculpta		Melinis repens	
Brachiaria nigropedata		Panicum maximum	
Cymbopogon excavatus		Pogonarthria squarrosa	
Digitaria eriantha		Setaria sphacelata	
Enneapogon scoparius	d	Themeda triandra	d
Eragrostis rigidior	d	Urochloa mosambicensis	

## **Forbs**

	Hypoestes aristata	М
p	Ipomoea magnusiana	
p	Kyphocarpa angustifolia	
	Pentarrhinum insipidum	М
	Senecio tamoides	М
M	Solanum incanum	М
M	Solanum nigrum	WM
WM	Solanum panduriforme	М
M	Tephrosia sp	
	M M WM	p Ipomoea magnusiana p Kyphocarpa angustifolia Pentarrhinum insipidum Senecio tamoides M Solanum incanum M Solanum nigrum WM Solanum panduriforme





Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld: Plains Bushveld			
Status	Dense to Disturbed plains bushveld		
Soil	sandy-loam	Rockiness	1
		%	
Conservation	Medium-High	Sensitivity:	Medium-High
priority:			
Agricultural	Medium to High	Need for	Low
potential:	(irrigation)	rehabilitation	
Dominant spp.	Acacia tortilis, Combretum apiculatum, Dichrostachys cinerea,		
	Euclea linearis and Euclea undulata		



Figure 6: The Dense Plains Bushveld of the area

# b. Mountain Slope Bushveld

The mountain slopes, facing in all directions, as the valley curves through the mountains are covered with dense Mountain Slope Bushveld. This is often pristine mountain bushveld with a dense woody cover, though the herbaceous layer is poorly





developed due to the dense woody layer. Many woody species occur in this plant community, with *Combretum apiculatum* and *Tarchonanthus camphoratus* prominent. *Aristida congesta* subsp *barbicollis, Eragrostis rigidior, Eragrostis lehmanniana* and *Enneapogon scoparius* are the most conspicuous grass species in the scanty herbaceous layer.

The following plant species were recorded from this plant community:

## **Trees and Shrubs**

Acacia exuvialis		Gymnosporia senegalensis	M
Acacia tortilis	d	Hexalobus monopetalus	
Berchemia zeyheri	M	Karomia speciosa	
Combretum apiculatum	DM	Mundulea sericea	М
Crotalaria monteiroi		Opuntia ficus-indica	Α
Dichrostachys cinerea		Ormocarpum trichocarpum	
Ehretia rigida	M	Pappea capensis	
Elaeodendron transvaalense	PM	Peltophorum africanum	М
Euclea linearis	d	Phyllanthus reticulatus	
Euclea natalensis	M	Ptaeroxylon obliquum	М
Euclea undulata	dM	Rhoicissus tridentata	М
Euphorbia ingens		Sclerocarya birrea	Р
Euphorbia tirucalli	M	Searsia leptodictya	
Flueggea virosa	M	Tarchonanthus camphoratus	dM
Grewia bicolor		Ximenia americana	М
Grewia monticola		Ziziphus mucronata	М

## Grasses

Aristida congesta subsp barbicollis d		Eragrostis rigidior	d
Digitaria eriantha		Heteropogon contortus	
Enneapogon scoparius	d	Panicum maximum	
Eragrostis lehmanniana	d	Sporobolus fimbriatus	
Forbs			
Abutilon austroafricanum		Asparagus sp	
Aloe cryptopoda	р	Barleria cf guenzii	
Aloe fosteri	р	Commelina africana	М





Evolvulus alsinoides	M	Pentarrhinum insipidum	M
Hibiscus micrantha		Solanum incanum	М
Kalanchoe paniculata	M	Stylosanthes fruticosa	
Kyphocarpa angustifolia		Waltheria indica	W
Melhania prostrata			

Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld: Mountain Slope Bushveld				
Status	Pristine mountain bushveld			
Soil	Rocky shallow sandy	Rockiness	5-20	
		%		
Conservation	High	Sensitivity:	High	
priority:				
Agricultural	Low	Need for	Low	
potential:		rehabilitation		
Dominant spp.	Combretum apiculatum,	Euclea linearis,	Euclea undulata,	
	Tarchonanthus camphorate	ıs		

## **Species of Conservation Concern**

A Threatened species and Species of Conservation Concern list for the Grid 3325DB was obtained from the POSA database on the SANBI website. Threatened species are those that are facing high risk of extinction, indicated by the categories Critically Endangered, Endangered and Vulnerable. Species of Conservation Concern include the Threatened Species, but additionally have the categories Near Threatened, Data Deficient, Critically Rare, Rare and Declining. This is in accordance with the new Red List for South African Plants (Raimondo *et al.* 2009).

The following species of conservation concern were previously recorded from the Grid 2430DA (SANBI, POSA website April 2011):

Species	Status
Adenia fruticosa Burtt Davy subsp. fruticosa	NT
Aloe fouriei D.S.Hardy & Glen	DDT
Ansellia africana Lindl.	Declining
Ceropegia distincta N.E.Br. subsp. verruculosa R.A.Dver	DDD





Combretum petrophilum Retief	Rare
Dicliptera fruticosa K.Balkwill	NT
Dracaena transvaalensis Baker	Rare
Eulophia speciosa (R.Br. ex Lindl.) Bolus	Declining
Euphorbia sekukuniensis R.A.Dyer	Rare
Gladiolus macneilii Oberm.	CR
Gladiolus pavonia Goldblatt & J.C.Manning	CR
Indigofera leendertziae N.E.Br.	DDT
Jamesbrittenia macrantha (Codd) Hilliard	NT
Khadia alticola Chess. & H.E.K.Hartmann	Rare
Lydenburgia cassinoides N.Robson	NT
Ocimum tubiforme (R.D.Good) A.J.Paton	CR
Orbea gerstneri (Letty) Bruyns subsp. gerstneri	Rare
Pentatrichia alata S.Moore	DDD
Rhoicissus laetans Retief	Rare
Searsia batophylla (Codd) Moffett	VU
Thesium davidsonae Brenan	VU

Lydenburgia cassinoides was found during the field visit in this area. There is suitable habitat for several of the threatened plant species along the transect of this Option of the power line, especially for Adenia fruticosa subsp. fruticosa, Ocimum tubiforme, Orbea gerstneri subsp. gerstneri and Combretum petrophilum, but none of these species were found on the site during the field visit.

## Conclusion

This plant community is high in species richness with several red data species. A few individuals of the protected *Elaeodendron transvaalensis*, *Sclerocarya birrea*, *Aloe fosteri* and *Aloe cryptopoda* are found in this vegetation. The conservation value and sensitivity are regarded as being high, due to biodiversity and also due to the ecological function of the mountains and the valley as a dispersal corridor for plants and animals. There is also abundant farming activities in the valley, including irrigated crops. There will be several turning points for the line, as the road winds through the valley. It is suggested that this option not be used due to the several constraints.







Figure 7: Cleared Open Plains Bushveld in the foreground, Open Plains Bushveld in the middle and Mountain Slope Bushveld in the background

## 4. Lydenburg Thornveld

The Option 1 route crosses a small section of the Lydenburg Thornveld on the undulating mountain plateau area above the Strydom tunnel. This area is a wooded grassland, quite cold with frost during winter. Species such as the frost hardy *Acacia karroo, Acacia caffra, Cussonia paniculata Diospyros lycioides* and *Euclea crispa* are prominent.

The following plant species were recorded from this plant community:

## **Trees and Shrubs**

Acacia caffra	d	Euclea crispa	M
Acacia karroo	dM	Dombeya rotundifolia	
Acacia robusta		Rubus transvaalensis	
Cussonia paniculata		Ehretia rigida	М
Diospyros lycioides		Gymnosporia buxifolia	М





Mundulea sericea M Searsia pyroides

Rhoicissus tridentata M Ziziphus mucronata M

Searsia leptodictya

**Grasses** 

Aristida congesta Melinis repens
Aristida congesta subsp barbicollis Microchloa caffra

Aristida diffusa Monocymbium ceresiiforme

Bewsia biflora Panicum maximum
Brachiaria serrata Panicum natalense

Cymbopogon excavatus Pogonarthria squarrosa

Digitaria eriantha Schizachyrium sanguineum

Diheteropogon amplectens Setaria sphacelata

Eragrostis superba Themeda triandra d

Heteropogon contortus d Tristachya leucothrix

**Forbs** 

Anthospermum rigidum Lippia javanica

Commelina africana M Pentarrhinum insipidum M

Dicoma anomala Schistostephium crataegifolium

Elephantorrhiza elephantina M Senecio coronatus

Euphorbia clavarioides Senecio microglossus

Evolvulus alsinoidesMSenecio tamoidesMHelichrysum cephaloideumSolanum incanumMHelichrysum rugulosumSolanum panduriformeM

Hibiscus trionum Tephrosia sp

Kohautia amatymbica Vernonia oligocephala





Lydenburg Thornveld			
Status	Open wooded grassland		
Soil	sandy-loam	Rockiness	1
		%	
Conservation	Medium	Sensitivity:	Medium
priority:			
Agricultural	Medium	Need for	Low
potential:		rehabilitation	
Dominant spp.	Acacia karroo, Acacia caffra, Euclea crispa		

### **Species of Conservation Concern**

A Threatened species and Species of Conservation Concern list for the Grid 2430CB was obtained from the POSA database on the SANBI website. Threatened species are those that are facing high risk of extinction, indicated by the categories Critically Endangered, Endangered and Vulnerable. Species of Conservation Concern include the Threatened Species, but additionally have the categories Near Threatened, Data Deficient, Critically Rare, Rare and Declining. This is in accordance with the new Red List for South African Plants (Raimondo *et al.* 2009).

The following species of conservation concern were previously recorded from the Grid 2430DA (SANBI, POSA website April 2011):

Species	Status
Adenia fruticosa Burtt Davy subsp. fruticosa	NT
Aloe fouriei D.S.Hardy & Glen	DDT
Ansellia africana Lindl.	Declining
Ceropegia distincta N.E.Br. subsp. verruculosa R.A.Dyer	DDD
Combretum petrophilum Retief	Rare
Dicliptera fruticosa K.Balkwill	NT
Dracaena transvaalensis Baker	Rare
Eulophia speciosa (R.Br. ex Lindl.) Bolus	Declining
Euphorbia sekukuniensis R.A.Dyer	Rare
Gladiolus macneilii Oberm.	CR
Gladiolus pavonia Goldblatt & J.C.Manning	CR





Indigofera leendertziae N.E.Br.	DDT
Jamesbrittenia macrantha (Codd) Hilliard	NT
Khadia alticola Chess. & H.E.K.Hartmann	Rare
Lydenburgia cassinoides N.Robson	NT
Ocimum tubiforme (R.D.Good) A.J.Paton	CR
Orbea gerstneri (Letty) Bruyns subsp. gerstneri	Rare
Pentatrichia alata S.Moore	DDD
Rhoicissus laetans Retief	Rare
Searsia batophylla (Codd) Moffett	VU
Thesium davidsonae Brenan	VU

None of these species occur in this plant community as the habitat is not suitable for any of them.

# Conclusion

This plant community is fairly high in species richness with no red data species or protected species found in the survey. It is also a very small area that will be crossed by the line. The construction of the line can be supported.





# **5. Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld**

This is a narrow band of bushveld on dolomite, in the vicinity of the Strydom tunnel. It is situated along the western drier part of the Escarpment. The vegetation is woodland with a dense shrub layer. It occurs on the low and high mountain slopes in the area. The geology is dolomite and the shallow, rocky soils are of the Mispah soil Form. A very small, almost negligible part of this vegetation is crossed by Options 1, 3 and 4.

Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld			
Status	Open to dense bushveld		
Soil	sandy-loam	Rockiness	1
		%	
Conservation	Medium-High	Sensitivity:	Medium-High
priority:			
Agricultural	Low	Need for	Low
potential:		rehabilitation	
Dominant spp.	Acacia nigrescens, Acacia nilotica, Combretum apiculatum		
Dominant spp.	Acacia nigrescens, Acacia	niiotica, Combretu	ım арıсиlatum

The vegetation is dominated by the woody layer with several woody species present.

### **Trees and Shrubs**

Acacia ataxacantha,		Combretum molle	
Acacia gerrardii		Croton gratissimus	
Acacia nigrescens	d	Cussonia spicata	
Acacia nilotica	d	Dichrostachys cinerea	
Acacia tortilis		Dombeya rotundifolia	
Aloe cryptopoda	p	Euclea crispa	M
Boscia albitrunca	M	Euclea undulata	М
Brachylaena ilicifolia		Euphorbia tirucalli	M
Carissa bispinosa		Grewia bicolor	
Celtis africana		Grewia flava	
Combretum apiculatum	d	Gymnosporia senegalensis	М
Combretum hereroense		Hippobromus pauciflorus	





Kirkia wilmsii Searsia leptodictya
Ozoroa albicans Senna petersiana
Pouzolzia mixta Tecoma capensis

Rhoicissus tridentata Vitex obovata subsp wilmsii

### **Grasses**

Aristida canescens Eragrostis lehmanniana

Aristida congesta Eragrostis superba

Aristida transvaalensis Heteropogon contortus

Bewsia biflora Loudetia simplex
Bothriochloa insculpta Melinis nerviglume

Brachiaria serrata Melinis repens s. repens

Digitaria eriantha Panicum deustum

Diheteropogon amplectens Panicum maximum

Elionurus muticus Themeda triandra

Enneapogon scoparius

### **Forbs**

Abutilon angulatum Kohautia cynanchica
Asparagus intricatus Kyphocarpa angustifolia
Asparagus suaveolens Ocimum americanum

Barleria saxatilis Phyllanthus glaucophyllus

Blepharis integrifolia Polygala hottentotta
Cheilanthes dolomitica Rhynchosia nitens

Clerodendrum ternatum Sansevieria hyacinthoides

Commelina africana M Stylochiton natalensis

Corchorus asplenifolius Tephrosia purpurea

Euphorbia schinzii Tetradenia brevispicata,

Evolvulus alsinoides Tragia dioica

Geigeria burkei Waltheria indica W

Hibiscus aethiopicus Xerophyta retinervis



Hypoestes forskaolii



# **Species of Conservation Concern**

Although Matthews (1991), Van Wyk & Smith (2001) and Mucina & Rutherford (2006) mention that several endemic plant species occur on this dolomite area, the area crossed by the lines is so small that none of these species were recorded.

### Conclusion

As far as vegetation is concerned, the development of the power lines can be supported in this area.




Figure 8: Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld





# 6. Northern Escarpment Quartzite Sourveld

A very small part of this veld type is close to the proposed route of Route 1, though it is so small that this vegetation is not discussed further.

### 7. Northern Mistbelt Forest

The proposed lines cannot go through indigenous forest. The proposed Route 4 seems to cross a patch of forest, but this will not be allowed by the authorities. This is one of the reasons why Route 4 was eliminated as an option. This vegetation is not discussed further.





### 8. Tzaneen Sour Bushveld

This vegetation stretches in a narrow band on the plains and all along the lower footslopes and hills of the escarpment. The vegetation is tall open bushveld with a tall grass layer. The geological substrate is gneiss and granite and the soils are shallow sandy and rocky lithosols. This is very dense, often tall bushveld, merging into forest-like vegetation. Only the route of Option 4 transects this vegetation, albeit a very narrow band. This vegetation contains many large trees and also has a very high species richness. Large *Ficus* trees (various species) together with several other large trees are prominent. Several threatened species occur in this vegetation.

### The following species are present:

### Trees and shrubs

Acacia davyi Ficus sansibarica

Acacia polyacantha Heteropyxis natalensis
Acacia sieberiana Parinari curatellifolia
Albizia versicolor Peltophorum africanum
Antidesma venosum Piliostigma thonningii

Bauhinia galpinii Pterocarpus angolensis P

Catha edulis M Pterocarpus rotundifolius

Faurea rochetiana Sclerocarya birrea P

Faurea saligna Searsia pentheri
Ficus burkei Terminalia sericea
Ficus petersii Trichilia emetica

#### **Grasses**

Alloteropsis semialata

Andropogon schirensis

Aristida congesta

Bothriochloa bladhii

Cymbopogon caesius

Cynodon dactylon

Heteropogon contortus

Hyparrhenia cymbaria

Hyperthelia dissoluta

Setaria nigrirostris

Setaria sphacelata

Themeda triandra

# Diheteropogon amplectens

### **Forbs**

Some forb species occur scattered in the grassy layer, and these are not abundant:

Merensky Foskor February 2012





### **Species of Conservation Concern**

Species	
Aloe hardyi H.F.Glen	Rare
Combretum petrophilum Retief	Rare
Dracaena transvaalensis Baker	Rare
Encephalartos brevifoliolatus Vorster	EW
Encephalartos cupidus R.A.Dyer	
Encephalartos paucidentatus Stapf & Burtt Davy	VU
Gladiolus macneilii Oberm.	CR
Helichrysum junodii Moeser	
Thesium davidsonae Brenan	VU

Several species of conservation concern are present in this dense vegetation. Although none of these were recorded during the field survey, possibly due to the very narrow band of this vegetation, but also due to the inaccessibility, it is certain that some of them will occur on the route. This is one of the reasons why Route 4 is eliminated as an option.

### Conclusion

Due to the dense vegetation, species richness and possible presence of threatened species, it is suggested to avoid this route.








Figure 9: Dense Tzaneen Sour Bushveld



### 9. Granite Bushveld

The granite bushveld on the granite Lowveld plains cover the largest part of all the transects in the study site. This large granite plain is covered with the "typical" Lowveld bushveld, well know, for example, from large parts of the Kruger National Park.

Prominent species are *Combretum apiculatum* on the sandy or gravelly upland sites, while *Acacia nigrescens* and other *Acacia* species are prominent on the more clayey bottomland sites. Many species could be recorded, as this area is often close to roads and accessible.


The following plant species were recorded in this plant community:

### Trees and shrubs

Dichrostachys cinerea

Acacia exuvialis		Dodonaea angustifolia	М
Acacia gerrardii		Dombeya rotundifolia	М
Acacia nigrescens	d	Ehretia amoena	
Acacia nilotica		Ehretia rigida	m
Acacia sieberiana	M	Erythrina lysistemon	
Acacia tortilis	d	Euclea divinorum	М
Agave sisalana	Α	Euclea natalensis	
Albizia harveyi	d	Ficus stuhlmanni	
Bolusanthus speciosa		Flueggea virosa	
Capparis tomentosa		Gardenia volkensii	
Cassia abbreviata		Grewia bicolor	
Combretum apiculatum	D	Grewia flava	
Combretum collinum		Grewia flavescens	
Combretum hereroense		Grewia monticola	
Combretum imberbe	Р	Gymnosporia senegalensis	М
Combretum zeyheri	M	Lannea discolor	
Commiphora africana		Lannea schweinfurthii	
Cordia ovalis		Lantana camara	Α
Dalbergia melanoxylon ——		Ozoroa engleri	

dM



Pappea capensis

Pavetta schumanniana Searsia guenzii Pavetta schumanniana Μ Searsia leptodictya Peltophorum africanum Μ Senna didymobotrya Α Philenoptera violacea PMSenna petersiana DM Pterocarpus angolensis PMSpirostachys africana p Pterocarpus rotundifolius Terminalia prunoides Terminalia sericea DM Schotia brachypetala Xeromphis obovata Schotia capitata

Ziziphus mucronata

**Grasses** 

Sclerocarya birrea

Aristida adscensionis Hyperthelia dissoluta

Aristida congesta Melinis repens

РМ

Aristida diffusa Panicum maximum

Bothriochloa insculpta Perotis patens

Brachiaria nigropedata Pogonarthria squarrosa Cenchrus ciliaris Sporobolus africanus Digitaria eriantha Sporobolus ioclados Enneapogon cenchroides Tricholaena monachne Enneapogon scoparius Trichoneura grandiglumis Eragrostis rigidior Urochloa mosambicensis d

Heteropogon contortus

**Forbs** 

Achyranthes aspera Geigeria burkei

Acrotome inflata Gomphrena celosioides W

Agathisanthemum bojeri Helichrysum sp

Heliotropium steudneri Aspilia mossambicensis Becium filamentosum Heliotropium strigosum

W Bidens pilosa Hermannia sp

Bulbostylis hispidula Hermannia tomentosa Chamaecrista absus Hermbstaedtia odorata Commelina benghalensis Hibiscus cannabinus

Commelina erecta Hibiscus sp

Cyperus esculentus W Indigofera filipes Dicerocaryum zanguebarium Μ Kohautia virgata

Evolvulus alsinoides Μ Kyphocarpa angustifolia





M

W Leucas glabrata Solanum panduriforme Tagetes minuta W Ocimum americanum Phyllanthus maderaspatensis Tephrosia grandiflora Rhynchosia totta Waltheria indica W Richardia braziliensis W Zornia milneana

WM

# **Species of Conservation Concern**

Schkruhria pinnata

Asphodelaceae	Aloe hardyi H.F.Glen	Rare
Asphodelaceae	Aloe thompsoniae Groenew.	Rare
Apocynaceae	Brachystelma parvulum R.A.Dyer	VU
Combretaceae	Combretum petrophilum Retief	Rare
Celastraceae	Elaeodendron transvaalense (Burtt Davy) R.H.Archer	NT
Zamiaceae	Encephalartos dyerianus Lavranos & D.L.Goode	CR
Zamiaceae	Encephalartos lebomboensis I.Verd.	EN
Proteaceae	Protea laetans L.E.Davidson	VU
Rosaceae	Prunus africana (Hook.f.) Kalkman	VU
Anacardiaceae	Searsia batophylla (Codd) Moffett	VU

None of these species were recorded during the field survey. The flat granite plains are not suitable habitat for these species, which are all nich specialists, or occur on the mountain areas to the west of the granite plains.

## Conclusion

Several game farms and cattle farms are found in this area.

Special care will be needed in the crossing of the spruit systems within this area.

The construction of the line can be supported.







Figure 10:Typical Granite Lowveld vegetation



### 10. Lowveld Rugged Mopaneveld

Only Routes 1 and 2 will transect this vegetation type in the north-eastern extreme of the study area. The Foskor substation is located on the northern boundary of this vegetation type. This is the rugged hilly area of the Olifants River valley, south of Phalaborwa. The landscape is irregular plains and rocky hills, with moderate to steep slopes. Colophospermum mopane is often restricted to valleys, while the hills are dominated by Acacia nigrescens with Combretum apiculatum also present.

The following plant species were recorded in this plant community:

### Trees and shrubs

Gardenia volkensii Acacia exuvialis Acacia nigrescens Grewia bicolor Acacia nilotica Grewia flavescens Berchemia discolor Grewia hexamita Ρ Grewia monticola Boscia albitrunca Colophospermum mopane D Grewia villosa Combretum apiculatum Gymnosporia senegalensis M Combretum hereroense Hexalobus monopetalus Combretum imberbe Ρ Kirkia wilmsii Lannea discolor Combretum zeyheri Μ Commiphora africana Manilkara mochisia Commiphora mollis Ozoroa engleri Dalbergia melanoxylon Pappea capensis Μ Dichrostachys cinerea Μ Pavetta schumanniana Dodonaea angustifolia Μ Peltophorum africanum Μ Dombeya rotundifolia M Philenoptera violacea PMEhretia amoena Pterocarpus rotundifolius Ehretia rigida Rhigozum zambesiacum M РМ Erythrina lysistemon Sclerocarya birrea Euclea natalensis Terminalia prunoides

Terminalia sericea

### **Grasses**

Ficus abutilifolia

Flueggea virosa

Aristida adscensionis Aristida congesta





DM

Bothriochloa radicans Melinis repens

Cenchrus ciliaris Panicum maximum

Digitaria eriantha Pogonarthria squarrosa Enneapogon cenchroides Sporobolus panicoides Enneapogon scoparius Tricholaena monachne Eragrostis rigidior Trichoneura grandiglumis

Fingerhuthia africana Urochloa mosambicensis

Heteropogon contortus

### **Forbs**

Achyranthes aspera Hermannia tomentosa Agathisanthemum bojeri Hermbstaedtia odorata Hibiscus sidiformis Aspilia mossambicensis Chamaecrista mimosoides Kohautia virgata

Commelina benghalensis Kyphocarpa angustifolia

Commelina erecta Leucas glabrata Crabbea velutina Melhania forbesii Evolvulus alsinoides Melhania rehmannii Geigeria burkei Ocimum americanum Gomphrena celosioides Phyllanthus asperulatus Gossypium africanum Solanum panduriforme

Heliotropium steudneri Waltheria indica

Heliotropium strigosum Xerophyta retinervis Zornia milneana Hemizygia elliottii

# **Species of Conservation Concern**

Species	
Ansellia africana Lindl.	

This epiphytic orchid is often found growing on threes within this plant community.





d

### Conclusion

Game farming is a special feature in this area.

Special care will be needed in the crossing of spruit systems.

The construction of the line can be supported.



Figure 11: Lowveld Rugged Mopaneveld in the background

# 11. Phalaborwa-Timbavati Mopaneveld

Only Routes 1 (small part) and 4 will transect this vegetation type in the north-eastern extreme of the study area. The Foskor substation is located on the southern boundary of this vegetation type. This is the flat plains west of Phalaborwa. The landscape is an undulating plain with Colophospermum mopane and Acacia nigrescens in the lower lying areas, while Combretum apiculatum and Terminalia sericea becomes more prominent on upland sites.

The following plant species were recorded in this plant community:

### **Trees and shrubs**

Acacia exuvialis

Acacia nigrescens





Acacia tortilis Gardenia volkensii

Albizia harveyi Grewia bicolor

Boscia albitrunca Ρ Grewia flavescens

Cassia abbreviata Grewia hexamita

Cissus cornifolia Grewia monticola

Colophospermum mopane D Grewia villosa

Combretum apiculatum Gymnosporia senegalensis M d

Lannea discolor Combretum hereroense Ρ Combretum imberbe Maerua parvifolia Combretum zeyheri M Ozoroa engleri

Commiphora africana Pappea capensis

Commiphora mollis Pavetta schumanniana Μ Dalbergia melanoxylon Peltophorum africanum M PM Dichrostachys cinerea Μ Philenoptera violacea

Ehretia amoena Sclerocarya birrea PM

Ehretia rigida Strychnos madagascariensis

Euclea divinorum Terminalia prunoides

Flueggea virosa Terminalia sericea dM

#### **Grasses**

Aristida adscensionis Melinis repens

Panicum maximum Aristida congesta

Bothriochloa radicans Perotis patens

Brachiaria nigropedata Pogonarthria squarrosa

Cenchrus ciliaris Schmidtia pappophoroides

Themeda triandra Digitaria eriantha

Tricholaena monachne Andropogon gayanus Fingerhuthia africana Trichoneura grandiglumis

Urochloa mosambicensis Enneapogon scoparius d

Eragrostis rigidior

Heteropogon contortus

**Forbs** 

Chamaecrista mimosoides Achyranthes aspera Acrotome inflata Clerodendrum ternatum Commelina benghalensis Agathisanthemum bojeri

Aspilia mossambicensis Commelina erecta





Evolvulus alsinoides Kohautia virgata

Kyphocarpa angustifolia Gomphrena celosioides

Heliotropium steudneri Leucas glabrata Melhania forbesii Heliotropium strigosum

Hemizygia elliottii Ocimum americanum Hermannia glanduligera Solanum panduriforme Hermannia tomentosa Tephrosia polystachya

Hermbstaedtia odorata Waltheria indica

Zornia milneana Ipomoea magnusiana

# **Species of Conservation Concern**

Species	
Aloe thompsoniae Groenew.	
Encephalartos dyerianus Lavranos & D.L.Goode	
Encephalartos lebomboensis I.Verd.	EN

None of these species were found during the field survey.

### Conclusion

Game farming is a special feature in this area.

Special care will be needed in the crossing of spruit systems.

The construction of the line can be supported.







Figure 12: Game farming in the Lowveld areas.

# 12 River and Spruit Systems

Several River or spruit crossings occur along the transect routes. At the smaller spruits no riparian zone is present, the vegetation is continuous with the adjacent bushveld vegetation. However, the larger rivers have a distinct riparian zone, with large trees for example Faidherbia albida, Acacia galpinii, Acacia robusta and Philenoptera violacea.

The following species were recorded at a larger river:

### **Woody species**

Acacia galpinii
Diospyros mespiliformis
Acacia robusta
Faidherbia albida
D
Acacia tortilis
Ficus sycomorus
Combretum apiculatum
Grewia bicolor
Combretum imberbe
P
Grewia monticola
Combretum microphyllum
Peltophorum africanum

Dichrostachys cinerea Philenoptera violacea P





Phoenix reclinata Terminalia sericea

Sclerocarya birrea MP Ziziphus mucronata M

**Grasses** 

Aristida congesta Eragrostis superba

Cynodon dactylon Heteropogon contortus D

Dactyloctenium aegyptium Melinis repens

Digitaria eriantha Pogonarthria squarrosa d

Eragrostis heteromera Tricholaena monachne
Eragrostis rigidior d Trichoneura grandiglumis

**Forbs** 

Agathisanthemum bojeri Melanthera scandens

Ageratum conyzoides W Solanum incanum W
Cyperus sexangularis Solanum panduriforme W
Lippia rehmannii Xanthium strumarium W

Schkruhria pinnata W

River and Spruit crossings			
Status	River and spruit linear wetlands		
Soil	Sandy or clayey	Rockiness	0%
Conservation priority:	High	Sensitivity:	High
Agricultural potential:	Low	Need for rehabilitation	Low
Dominant spp.	Combretum imberbe, Faidherbia albida, Acacia galpinii, Diospyros mespiliformis, Ficus sycomorus, Acacia robusta, Philenoptera violacea, Phoenix reclinata		





### Conclusion

No river or spruit is very wide, so the lines can easily cross these river or spruit systems. Care should however be taken to place pylons adequately away from river or spruit banks, avoiding any damage to the banks or water courses. Erosion should be avoided at all times.





### **8 DISCUSSION**

The vegetation of all four alternatives was investigated. From the desktop study, confirmed by the field survey, option 3, which runs from Burgersfort to Ohrigstad along the R555, was eliminated. This is because the route along the R555 runs for most of the way in a narrow valley, with the Mabitsana River and the tarred R555 in this valley. The line will have to run for most of the way on the sensitive mountain foot slopes and cross the river and road several times. Furthermore, many irrigated agricultural enterprises occur in the Ohrigstad area, stretching all the way to Marapeng. This mosaic of narrow river valley, river, mountain slopes and agriculture where-ever the valley is a bit broader, causes the route to be unsuitable. From an ecological perspective both the riverine vegetation and the vegetation of the mountain slopes have a high ecological sensitivity. Therefore this entire valley forms an ecologically sensitive ecosystem. This is also a much longer route.

Furthermore, from the desktop study, confirmed by the field survey, option 4, was eliminated. The line of this option runs through nine vegetation types, and over very high and steep mountains of Sekhukhune Mountain Bushveld and Ohrigstad Mountain Bushveld with two endangered ecosystems (Sekhukhune Mountainlands and Sekhukhune Norite Bushveld, SANBI & DEAT 2009), Poung Dolomite Mountain Bushveld with endangered Malmani Karstland (SANBI & DEAT 2009), the vulnerable Northern Escarpment Quartzite Sourveld (Mucina & Rutherford (2006), Northern Mistbelt Forest area and the vulnerable Tzaneen Sour Bushveld (SANBI & DEAT 2009). Especially the Great Escarpment area consists of very rugged and high mountains, resulting in a very difficult route with several threatened ecosystems.

Alternative Routes 1 and 2 were further investigated in more detail by field surveys. The vegetation along these routes is described in detail, including the protected and red data species. Medicinal plants and aliens and weeds are indicated.

The most difficult part of the route is from the Merensky substation through Orichstad Mountain Bushveld which is an extremely mountainous area with sensitive vegetation. This part of the line transects quite sensitive vegetation and it is suggested that a walkthrough in this area is essential.



The most serious limitation on the Lowveld plains where the line transects the Granite Lowveld vegetation type, is the abundance of the protected tree *Sclerocarya birrea*. It is certain that several of these trees will be in the way of the transect.

Locally are also the river and spruit crossings. No river or spruit is very wide, so the lines can easily cross these rivers or spruits systems. Care should be taken to place pylons adequately away from river or spruit banks, avoiding any damage to the banks or water courses. Erosion should be avoided at all times.

Another factor in this area is that large properties are game farms and lodges. These areas are effectively conserved by the owners, and it is realised that the public participation is an important issue. After finalisation of the exact transect, a walkthrough will have to confirm any issues regarding vegetation.

From an ecological perspective, Alternative 1 is the preferred route.





### 11. REFERENCES

Acocks, J.P.H. 1988. Veld types of South Africa, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. *Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa*. 57: 1–146.

Bredenkamp, G.J. 1982. 'n Plantekologiese studie van die Manyeleti Wildtuin. DSc thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

Bredenkamp, G.J. & Brown, L.R. 2001. Vegetation – A reliable ecological basis for environmental planning. *Urban Greenfile* Nov-Dec 2001: 38-39.

Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism. 2007. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act 10 of 2004): Publication of Lists of Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable and Protected Species. Government Notices.

Gertenbach, W.P.D. 1983a. Ekologiese studie van die suidelikste Mopaneveld in the Kruger Nasionale Wildtuin. DSc thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

Gertenbach, W.P.D. 1983b. Landscapes in the Kruger National park, *Koedoe* 26: 9-121

Henderson, L. 2001. Alien weeds and invasive plants. ATC, Pretoria.

Low, A.B. & Rebelo, A.G. (eds) 1996 *Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland.* Dept Environmental Affairs & Tourism, Pretoria.

Matthews, W.S. 1991. Phytosociology of North-eastern Mountain Sourveld. MSc thesis, University of pretoria, Pretoria.

Mucina, L, & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds.) 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. *Strelitzia* 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. Mucina, L., Bredenkamp, G.J., Hoare, D.B. & Mcdonald, D.J. 2000. A National vegetation database for South Africa. *South Africa Journal of Science* 96:497-498.





Raimondo, D., Von Staden, L., Foden, W., Victor, J.E., Helme, N.A., Turner, R.C. Kamundi, D.A. & Manyama, P.A. (Eds.). 2009. Red list of South African plants 2009. *Strelitzia* 25:1-668.

Siebert, S.J. 1998. .Ultramafic substrates and floristic patterns in Sekhukhuneland, South Africa. MSc thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria

Siebert, S.J. 2001 Vegetation on the ultramafic soils of the Sekhukhuneland Centre of Endemism. PhD thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria

Siebert, S.J., Van Wyk, A.E. & Bredenkamp, G.J. 2001. Endemism in the flora of ultramafic areas of Sekhukhuneland, South Africa South African Journal of Science 97:529-532.

Siebert, S.J., Victor, J., Van Wyk, A.E. & Bredenkamp, G.J. 2002a. An assessment of threatened plants and conservation in Sekhukhuneland. *PlantLife* 26:7-18.

Siebert, S.J. Van Wyk, A.E. & Bredenkamp, G.J. 2002b. The physical environment and major vegetation types of Sekhukhuneland, South Africa. South African Journal of Botany 68: 127-142.

Siebert S.J., Van Wyk A.E., Bredenkamp G.J. & Du Plessis F. 2002c. Grasslands and wetlands of the Sekhukhuneland Centre of Plant Endemism. *Bothalia* 32(2): 211–231.

Siebert S.J., Van Wyk A.E., & Bredenkamp G.J. 2002d. Vegetation ecology of Sekhukhuneland, South Africa: *Combretum hereroense – Grewia vernicosa* Open Mountain Bushveld. *South African Journal of Botany* 68(4): 497-517.

Siebert S.J., Van Wyk A.E., & Bredenkamp G.J. 2002e. Vegetation ecology of Sekhukhuneland, South Africa: *Kirkia wilmsii – Terminalia prunioides* Closed Mountain Bushveld. *South African Journal of Botany* 68(4): 474-496.

S.J., Van Wyk, A.E., Bredenkamp, G.J. & Siebert, F. 2003. Vegetation of the



of the Sekhukhuneland Centre of Plant Endemism, South Africa.

The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983)

The Environmental Conservation Act, 1989 (Act No. 73 of 1989)

The National Environment Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998)

The National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004. (Act 10 of 2004). Government Gazette RSA Vol. 467, 26436, Cape Town, June 2004.

The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004. (Act 10 of 2004), Draft list of threatened ecosystems. Government Gazette RSA Vol. 1477, November 2009.

The National Forests Act, 1998 (Act 84 of 1998)

Van Wyk, A.E. & Smith, G.F. 2001. Regions of floristic endemism in southern Africa. Umdaus Press, Hatfield, Pretoria.

Van Wyk, B.E., Van Oudtshoorn, B. & Gericke, N. 1997. Medicinal plants of South Africa. Briza, Pretoria.

Westhoff, V. & Van der Maarel, E. 1978. The Braun-Blanquet approach. In: Whittaker, R.H. (ed.) Classification of plant communities. W. Junk, The Hague.





### ABRIDGED CURRICULUM VITAE: GEORGE JOHANNES BREDENKAMP

Born: 10 February 1946 in Johannesburg, South Africa.

Citizenship: South African

Marital status: Married, 1 son, 2 daughters

#### Present work address

Department of Botany, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, 0002, South Africa

Tel:(27)(12)420-3121 Fax: (27)(12)362 5099

E-Mail: gbredenk@postino.up.ac.za

or

EcoAgent CC

PO Box 25533, Monument Park, 0105, South Africa

Tel: (27)(12) 346 3180 Fax: (27)(12) 460 2525 Cell 082 5767046

E-Mail: ecoagent@mweb.co.za

#### Qualifications:

1963 Matriculation Certificate, Kemptonpark High School

1967 B.Sc. University of Pretoria, Botany and Zoology as majors,

1968 B.Sc. Hons. (cum laude) University of Pretoria, Botany.

1969 T.H.E.D. (cum laude) Pretoria Teachers Training College.

1975 M.Sc. University of Pretoria, Plant Ecology.

1982 D.Sc. (Ph.D.) University of Pretoria, Plant Ecology.


Theses: (M.Sc. and D.Sc.) on plant community ecology and wildlife management in nature reserves in South African grassland and savanna.

#### **Professional titles:**

- MSAIE South African Institute of Ecologists and Environmental Scientists
  - 1989-1990 Council member
- MGSSA Grassland Society of Southern Africa
  - 1986 Elected as Sub-editor for the Journal
  - 1986-1989 Serve on the Editorial Board of the Journal
  - 1990 Organising Committee: International Conference: Meeting Rangeland challenges in Southern Africa
  - 1993 Elected as professional member
- PrSciNat. South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions Registration Number 400086/83
  - 1993-1997 Chairman of the Professional Advisory Committee: **Botanical Sciences**
  - 1993-1997: Council Member
  - 1992-1994: Publicity Committee
  - 1994-1997: Professional Registration Committee

### Professional career:

- Teacher in Biology 1970-1973 in Transvaal Schools
- Lecturer and senior lecturer in Botany 1974-1983 at University of the North
- Associate professor in Plant Ecology 1984-1988 at Potchefstroom University for CHE



 Founder and owner of the Professional Ecological Consultancy firms Ecotrust Environmental Services CC and Eco-Agent CC, 1988-present.

#### Academic career:

- · Students:
  - Completed post graduate students: M.Sc. 53; Ph.D. 14.
  - Presently enrolled post-graduate students: M.Sc. 4; Ph.D. 2.
- Author of:
  - 175 scientific papers in refereed journals
  - >150 papers at national and international congresses
  - >250 scientific (unpublished) reports on environment and natural resources
  - 17 popular scientific papers.
  - 39 contributions in books
- · Editorial Committee of
  - South African Journal of Botany,
  - Journal Grassland Society of Southern Africa,
  - Bulletin of the South African Institute of Ecologists.
  - Journal of Applied Vegetation Science. (Sweden)
  - Phytocoenologia (Germany)
- FRD evaluation category: C2 (=leader in South Africa in the field of Vegetation Science/Plant Ecology)

### Membership:

- International Association of Vegetation Science.
- British Ecological Society
- International Society for Ecology (Intecol)
- Association for the Taxonomic study of the Flora of Tropical Africa (AETFAT).
- South African Association of Botanists (SAAB)
  - 1988-1993 Elected to the Council of SAAB.
  - 1989-1990 Elected as **Chairman** of the Northern Transvaal Branch
  - 1990 Elected to the Executive Council as Vice-President
  - 1990- Sub-editor Editorial Board of the Journal
  - 1991-1992 Elected as **President** (2-year period)
  - 1993 Vice-President and Outgoing President
- Wildlife Management Society of Southern Africa
- Suid-Afrikaanse Akademie vir Wetenskap en Kuns (=South African Academy for Science and Art).
- Wildlife Society of Southern Africa
  - 1975 1988: Member
  - 1975 1983: Committee member, Pietersburg Centre
  - 1981 1982: Chairman, Pietersburg Centre
- Dendrological Society of Southern Africa
  - 1984 present: Member
  - 1984 1988: Committee member, Western Transvaal Branch
  - 1986 1988: Chairman, Western Transvaal Branch
  - 1987 1989: Member, Central Committee (National level)
  - 1990 2000: Examination Committee
- Succulent Society of South Africa





2002 - 2006: Chairman, Northern Region Conservation Committee

2002-2007: Member of Council

### Special committees:

- Member of 10 special committees re ecology, botany, rangeland science in South Africa.
- Member of the International Code for Syntaxonomical Nomenclature 1993-present.

### Merit awards and research grants:

1968 Post graduate merit bursary, CSIR, Pretoria.

1977-1979 Research Grant, Committee re Research Development, Dept. of Cooperation and Development, Pretoria.

1984-1989 Research Grant, Foundation for Research Development, CSIR, Pretoria.

1986-1987 Research Grant, Dept. of Agriculture and Water Supply, Potchefstroom. 1990-1997 Research Grant, Dept. of Environmental Affairs & Tourism, Pretoria.

1991-present Research Grant, National Research Foundation, Pretoria.

1991-1993 Research Grant, Water Research Commission. 1999-2003 Research Grant, Water Research Commission.

2006 South African Association of Botanists Silver Medal for outstanding contributions to South African Botany

#### Abroad:

1986 Travel Grant, Potchefstroom University for Christian Higher Education, Potchefstroom

Visits to Israel, Italy, Germany, United Kingdom, Portugal.

1987 Travel Grant, Potchefstroom University for Christian Higher Education, Potchefstroom.

Visits to Germany, Switzerland, Austria, The Netherlands, United Kingdom.

1990 Travel Grant, FRD.

Visit to Japan, Taiwan, Hong-Kong.

1991 Travel Grant, FRD.

Visits to Italy, Germany. Switzerland, Austria, France, The Netherlands, United Kingdom.

1993 Travel Grant, University of Pretoria.

Visits to the USA, Costa Rica, Czech Republic, Austria.

1994 Travel Grant FRD.

Visits to Switzerland, The Netherlands, Germany, Czech Republic.

1995 Travel Grant FRD, University of Pretoria

Visits to the USA

1996 Travel Grant, University of Pretoria

Visit to the UK.

1997 Travel Grant University of Pretoria, Visit Czech Republic, Bulgaria

1998 Travel Grant, University of Pretoria, Visit Czech Republic, Italy, Sweden

1999 Travel Grant, University of Pretoria, Visit Hungary, Spain, USA

2000 Travel Grant, University of Pretoria, Visit Poland, Italy, Greece.

2001 Travel Grant, NRF, Visit Brazil

2006 German Grant Invited lecture in Rinteln, Germany

#### Consultant

Founder and owner of Ecotrust Environmental Services CC and Eco-Agent CC Since 1988 >250 reports as consultant on environmental matters, including:





- Environmental Management Programme Reports,
- Vegetation Surveys,
- Wildlife Management,
- Veld Condition and Grazing Capacity Assessments, Red data analysis (plants and animals).







