## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5140

September Term, 2022

1:21-cv-00406-TJK

Filed On: September 14, 2022

Campaign Legal Center,

Appellee

٧.

Federal Election Commission,

Appellee

Heritage Action for America,

**Appellant** 

Consolidated with 22-5167

BEFORE: Millett, Rao, and Childs, Circuit Judges

## ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion to hold in abeyance, the opposition thereto, and the reply; and the motion for summary affirmance and dismissal, the opposition thereto, and the reply, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion to hold in abeyance be denied without prejudice to a determination by the merits panel that abeyance is warranted. It is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the motion for summary affirmance be denied in No. 22-5167 as to the district court's denial of intervention. The merits of the parties' positions are not so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to dismiss No. 22-5140 be referred to the merits panel to which this case is assigned. The parties are directed to address in their briefs the issues presented in the motion to dismiss rather than incorporate those arguments by reference.

## United States Court of Appeals FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5140

September Term, 2022

Because the court has determined that summary disposition is not in order, the Clerk is instructed to calendar this case for presentation to a merits panel.

**Per Curiam**