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## PREFACE

Only two commentaries on the whole of Plato's Laws have hitherto been published, that of Fr. Ast, Leipzig, Weidmann, 1814 ; and that of G. Stallbaum, Leipzig, Hennings, 1859 and 1860. Many critical editions of the text, however, have appeared, of which I will only mention those which I have used in writing my notes. These are the editions of Rutger Ressen, Louvain, 1531 ; H. Stephanus, 1578 ; I. Bekker, Berlin, 1817 ; C. E. Ch. Schneider, Paris, Didot, 1877; C. Fr. Hermann, Leipzig, Teubner, 1852 ; F. W. Wagner, Leipzig, Engelmann, 1854, 1855 ; J. G. Baiter, J. C. Orelli, A. W. Winckelmann, Zürich, 1839 ; M. Schanz, Leipzig, Tauchnitz, 1879 (the first six books only) ; J. Burnet, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1906. To all these my debt has been great, but I have derived more help from Professor Burnet's edition, with its critical notes and its revised and repunctuated text, than from any of the texts or commentaries, while he and the Clarendon Press have laid me under a further great obligation by allowing me to use the Oxford edition as the basis of my own revision.

Students of the Laws have derived valuable assistance from the many translations which have been made, whether into Latin, or into a modern language. Of such I have constantly consulted those of Marsilio Ficino, Venice, 1491 (twenty-two years before the appearance of the first printed Greek text) ; C. E. Ch. Schneider, F. W. Wagner, and B: Jowett, 2nd ed., Oxford, 1875.

Every page of my notes reveals indebtedness to scholars who have dealt with the text or interpretation of separate passages. The two works of C. Ritter (Platos Gesetze, (1) Darstellung des Inhalts, and (2) Kommentar zum griechischen Texte, Leipzig, Teubner, 1896) deserve special mention.
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)ach, from the large number of passages treated, r commentary. Platon by Dr. von Wilamowitzdid not come into my hands till this book was Vol. II. contains about fifty emendations in f the Laws. A few of these may be generally nd all merit careful consideration.
fessor Burnet, and to my own teacher, Professor zson, O.M., I am indebted for much readily given ussages of special difficulty. The late Professor or of King's College, London, was good enough ough and comment on my notes on the first half
re names I mention with a grateful recognition of assistance, that of the late Mr. F. H. Dale, C.B., Mrs. James Adam. The former, without whose acouragement ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{my}$ work could hardly have been through and discussed with me my notes on nearly of the first ten books. Mrs. Adam has laid me eat obligation by reading through all the proofie has set me right many times, but she is not for all that remains after her criticisms have been Notes in brackets with the initials F.H.D., B.M. record the chief instances where these re differed from without convincing me. text square brackets denote the rejection of ords or letters; angular brackets that the rds or letters have been added conjecturally to t. Clarendon type has been used to denote all tions which have been made in modern times-at lat is, since the invention of printing. es to any other part of Plato are to the pages $s$ of Stephanus's edition, and where the number of dded, it is that of Burnet's text.
E. B. E.

เy, April 1921.
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## ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA

p. 14 line 14 from bottom. for. to read in

## NOTES

624 a 4 line 6. for Platos read Platons
630 a 5 line 4. for $\pi \cdot \varphi \sigma \pi \phi \tau \eta s$ read $\pi \iota \sigma \tau . b \tau \eta s$.
630 c 8 line 3. for $\begin{gathered}s \\ s \\ \text { read } \\ \text { iss }\end{gathered}$
634 c 5 line 10. for by a magistrate or by an old man read by an old man to a magistrate or
635 e 4 line 4. for $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \omega \mu \nu$ read $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \mu \mu \nu$
637 d 4 line 11. for $\mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ read $\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$
638 b 2 line 6. for 456 read 356
639 a 7 line 8. for pres. read pres. ind.
639 c 1 line 8. for $\dot{\text { éop. read } \dot{\epsilon} \omega \rho \text {. }}$
643 с 5 line 4. for $\pi$ aı $\delta i a$ read $\pi a \iota \delta \iota a ́$
643 c 7 line 1. for $\pi a \iota \delta i \omega \nu$ read $\pi, \alpha \iota \delta \omega \hat{\nu}$
643 c 7 line 2. for $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ read $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$
647 a 5 line 3. for $\pi a \iota \delta i a!$ read maldial
647 e 2 line 3. for otos read. oion
p. 279 line 5. for $\eta$ read $\hat{\eta}$

660 d 8 line 2. fọ applied read supplied 1



667 a 1 line 7. for סıокоî read dьокоî
667 b 5 -c 3 line 22. for, $\epsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ read $\epsilon \gamma \kappa a \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$
667 e 3 line l. for $\epsilon \pi a \kappa о \lambda o u \theta \hat{\eta}$ read $\epsilon \pi a \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \hat{\eta}$
668 a 1 line 8. for citcis read $\epsilon l$ tis
669 e 6 line 12. for 648 e read 648 c
671 a 6 line 7. for afer read after
680 e 6 line 5. for If . . . proleptically. read But cp. below 683 a 5 and 7.

684 b 5 line 5. dele of
687 e 2 line 5. for befel read befell
688 b 6 line 5. for $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon \cup \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ read $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$
688 d 2 line 3. for $\delta \iota a \kappa \omega \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ read $\delta \iota a \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$
688 d 2 line 7. for $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu \nu \dot{\eta}$ read $\dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$
689 d 5 line 11. for $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \varphi \operatorname{read} \pi \rho o \sigma \chi \rho \omega \mu \mu \nu \omega$
691 a 1 line 2. for e 7 read c 7
693 b 2 line 1. for $\nu \dot{\nu} \nu \delta \eta$ read $\nu v \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$
695 b 2 line 1. for absolue read absolute
698 b 5 line 10. for practical read poetical
699 d 8 line 1. for $\tau i$ read $\tau \iota$
708 a 3 line 7. for $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\cdot}$ read $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}$
709 c 1 line 16. for $\mu \dot{\eta}$ read $\eta^{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$
710 a 1 line 7. for use read use it
712 е 7 line 4. for $\delta u \sigma \chi \nu \rho \iota \zeta 6 \mu \epsilon \nu$ о read $\delta u \sigma \chi \nu \rho \iota \zeta 6 \mu \epsilon \nu$ оs
717 a 8 line 9. insert ) after "predicate"
719 d 6 line 2. for "timeless" (aor.) read (" timeless" aor.)
730 c 3 line 4. for $\epsilon i \delta o i \eta$ read ciסєiך
730 d 7 line 3. for ávaropevè $\sigma \omega$ read ávaropevé $\sigma \theta \omega$
731 d 6 line 7. for proud read fond
732 d 6 line 2. for ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta o a$ read $d \nu \delta \rho a$
739 c 1 line 9. for suggsetion read suggestion
739 d 5 line 7. dele - .
739 d 5 line 18 insert ) after considered
745 a 6 line 6. for ai $\sigma \chi \rho о к \epsilon \rho \delta \epsilon i a$ read ai $\sigma \chi \rho о \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \epsilon \iota a$
752 d 6 line 4. for sterotyped read stereotyped
754 d 8 line 5. for $£ 20$ read $£ 16$
756 c 6 line 4. for e 16 read l. 16
756 e 4 f. line 15. for e 19 f. read l. 19 f.
758 a 8 line 3. for a 6 read d 6
760 e 7 line 15. for inaedifieare read inaedificare
763 c 3 line 13. for $\dot{a} \sigma \tau v \nu \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$ read $\dot{a} \sigma \tau v \nu 6 \mu \omega \nu$
766 b 3 line 5 for it read $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
773 e 4 line 4. for "stands per read stands "per
774 o 3 ff. line 24 . for pecunia read penuria
775 b 3 line 3. for gen. read acc.

## INTRODUCTION

The treasury of pregnant truths which Plato in extreme old age left, under the title of Laws, as his last legacy to humanity falls into two distinct parts.

When the three pedestrians of the dialogue had reached the place of noonday rest on their midsummer day's walk from Cnossos to the Cave of Zeus, the Athenian calls upon the others to observe that, while they had been talking about laws half the day, they had not yet made, for their new colony, a single law. If, on this hint, the reader of Plato's treatise will turn to see what proportion it contains of actual legislation, and what of "talk about laws," he will find that the "talk" bears to the "laws" the relation of two to one. Of the 321 of Stephanus's pages occupied by the Laws not more than 107 contain definite statutes with their penalties. ${ }^{1}$

To describe this supplement to the actual legislation Plato uses the term $\pi \rho o o i \mu \iota o v$, pleased, as usual, to find a linguistic analogy in established usage. Besides meaning custom, convention or law, vómos was used for a musical "piece" or "theme." Every substantial piece had its prelude: what better name then could be found for the prefaces to the whole treatise on vó $\mu \mathrm{o}$ or to particular laws than $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \pi \rho o o i ́ \mu \iota a ?$

Of one of these two kinds all the supplementary matter consists. Either it is an elucidatory introduction to the

[^1]subject as a whole, or it is such an introduction to one important law or to a section of the code.

The former, or general, introduction, which is resumed at times in later books, comprises what is at first sight a perplexing variety of subjects. The perplexity becomes less when we find a key to it in the perception that, with Plato, Politics is a branch of the life-long ${ }^{1}$ process of Education.

The subject under consideration is Man in Society-
 living creature has a smaller, and inferior, vov̂s when it is born than it has when it is full-grown. About Society likewise ${ }^{4}$ we conclude that, in its early stages, many possibilities for both good and evil are still unrealized. The education of the former is to be in the hands of nurses and schoolmasters, under the direction of the most distinguished of all state officials: ${ }^{5}$ that of the latter mainly in those of the lawgiver alone.

The possibilities of development (1) of Human Nature, and (2) of Society, and the agencies by which satisfactory developments may be produced, are therefore the main subjects of the lawgiver's consideration. Roughly speaking, the latter part of Bk. I., Bk. II., the first part of Bk. V. and many individual preludes-including the majority of those in Bk. VII.-cover the ground of (1). The early part of
 of (2).

Among the preludes to special classes of laws the long theological argument in Bk. X. occupies an outstanding position. Though technically the prelude to laws against impiety, and dangerous superstitions, Cleinias at 887 b 8

 supreme importance attached by the lawgiver to religion as a sanction and preservative of law.

Another prelude which stands out from among the rest is the dissertation in the eighth book ( $825-841$ ), on the unhealthy and the healthy indulgence of sexual appetite.
${ }^{1} 807$ d 4.
2676 b 3.
3672 b 8.
4678 bl-3.
${ }^{5} 765 \mathrm{~d} 8$.

This is not a preface to a law, for no law is made. The community is not ripe for it. The author's dissertation is
 which does its best to impose itself on men's consciences. He speaks " before a corrupt tribunal" as the " single-handed opponent of overwhelming desire, with reason for his only help and support." ${ }^{2}$ The only satisfactory law would be one forbidding all indulgence of the kind except that between lawful husband and wife, with a view to child-production ${ }^{3}$ the pair to be faithful to each other for life-as Plato
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi i \lambda i a s$ ópodoyiaus. The nearest approach to this which he contemplates as possible at the time is the arousing of the sense of shame whenever this high standard should be publicly transgressed.

The most influential of the agencies with which the educator and the lawgiver alike can work are pleasure and pain, ${ }^{5}$ honour and dishonour. ${ }^{6}$ We are told ${ }^{7}$ that education consists in being brought to like and to dislike the right things, and so to secure that $\dot{\eta} \delta o v a i ́ a n d ~ \lambda v i ́ \pi a \iota, ~ \tau ı \mu a i ~ a n d ~$
 At 697 b 2 ff ., 716 d 4 ff ., and in the first eight pages of Bk. V. stress is laid on the importance of right regard for various advantages and characteristics, and the hope of attaining to an honourable rank in the community is at many points held out as an inducement to patriotic and virtuous conduct. ${ }^{8}$

In this connexion two remarkable institutions claim special attention: (1) the $\Delta_{\text {oovv́ }}$ ovo $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ रopós, "Old Men's Dionysiac Chorus," of Bk. II. (665 a 8 ff .) ; and (2) the Nocturnal Council described in Bk. XII. ( 951 and 961 ff ). Both institutions are to be powerful conservatives of that $\alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$ which is the indispensable condition of the e $\epsilon \delta \delta a \mu \mu \nu i a$ of either state or individual. The second of these two institutions is elaborately devised as the best possible $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a$ тодıтєías каi vó $\mu \omega .^{9}$ The former is the receptacle of the

| 1835 e 5. | 2835 c 5. | 8839 a $1,840 \mathrm{~d}, 841 \mathrm{~d}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4840 d 8. | 5636 d 5 ff. | 6643 c 8 ff. and 653 a 5 ff. |
| 7689 a. | 8 e.g. 697 a 10. | 9960 d 1 ff. |

highest educational wisdom, and constitutes the standard of "Musical" taste for the community-and so acts as a $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ó $\rho \theta \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a s,{ }^{1}$ a $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a s$ фv $\lambda \alpha \kappa \eta .^{2}$ Enjoyment, whether spontaneous and individual, or organized and gregarious, may have a good or a bad effect-it may increase or decrease the $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta}$ of both performers and audience. It is a task for the keenest artistic insight, combined with an enlightened and patriotic love of virtue, to guide and to regulate all kinds of artistic representation. The legislator's duty in this matter is explained and enforced in the long dissertation in Bk. II. on the connexion between Art and
 Nocturnal Assembly of Bk. XII. is to do for Religion and Philosophy.

In the endeavour to estimate our author's drift we are not left altogether to ourselves. Plato gives us his own view of the significance of his treatise on Laws in two aspects: (1) as to its relation to his Republic; (2) as to the appreciation he hoped to secure for it.
(1) On p. 739 he distinctly explains that he renounces, as a practical ideal, the complete communism of the earlier political treatise. ' The main ideal is, however, to remain in theory, and among "second-best" practicable regulations the legislator must choose those which come nearest to that ideal. ${ }^{3}$
(2) At 811 c 6 ff. Plato naïvely declares that the Laws is the sort of book which it would do everybody good to study, and further, that agreement or disagreement with its teaching is to be a test to which all literature must submit. The same

$$
{ }^{1} 653 \mathrm{a} 1 . \quad 2654 \mathrm{~d} 8 .
$$

${ }^{3}$ On one point-that of sexual relations-the author of the Laws seems to have abandoned his former advocacy of communism. In the passage above referred to in Bk. VIII. where Plato reaches the high. water-mark of monogamous morality, there is no indication of a theoretically superior state of things. Here, too, there is a "first-best," and a "second-best," but the first-best is the cordial recognition, in its regulation by the state and society, of the monogamous ideal; the second-best is the partial acknowledgement of its superiority by a society which is ashamed to disown it, but shrinks from adopting it as imperative and official.
appreciation of all publications on the subject of Law is expressed at 858 e 5 ff., where Plato claims that such writings ought to be considered as literature, and ought to be written in a persuasive and kindly style. Again, at 957 c 4 ff ., "the study of Law is of all others the surest to make the learner a better man."

More than this: Law itself must be an object of an almost instinctive reverence. While to be consistently and continuously law-abiding is to be one of the surest roads to rank and distinction, ${ }^{1}$ a still higher civic excellence is that of the man who feels bound, whenever occasion offers, to take upon himself the duty of a modern policeman. "The'man who interferes to prevent wrongdoing ${ }^{2}$ is worthy of twice the honour of the merely law-abiding citizen." . . . "The man who helps the magistrate to punish offenders is the perfect citizen, the paragon of virtue." Often, after ordaining the penalty for an offence, he points to the duty of the bystander to help to bring an offender to justice-ordaining at least the penalty of social disgrace if this duty be not fulfilled. To a modern Englishman this demand seems significant of oppressive interference, by an almost personified state, with individual liberty. Nor is this the only regulation which he might resent on the same ground. Many restrictions are placed on the citizen's freedom by the legislator of the Laws. For instance: (I) the family $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ must never be sold or divided, ${ }^{3}$ nor (2) must other property be acquired by its owner than land, its stock and equipment, and its produce, ${ }^{4}$ and even this kind of property was limited in amount by law. ${ }^{5}$. (3) Testators are much restricted in disposing of their property after death. ${ }^{6}$ (4) Parents are compelled to send their children to school. ${ }^{7}$

On the other hand the liberty of the individual citizen is, in important aspects, recognized by Plato as a state necessity as well. "Do not," he says, "make your magistrates big and irresponsible: the statesman must cherish freedom, as
${ }^{1} 729 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{ff}$.
${ }^{4} 741$ e 1, e 7 ff., 846 d.
${ }_{5}^{2} 730 \mathrm{~d} 2$.
3741 b.
${ }^{3} 922 \mathrm{bff}$.

7804 d . His comment on this regulation is "Don't forget, parents, that your children belong to the state more than they do to you."
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well as wisdom and fellow-feeling." ${ }^{1}$ But this freedom cannot, as things are, be complete. A spontaneous, enlightened social instinct ought to bring every man voluntarily to undergo these and other necessary state restrictions. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{He}$ should realize that it is to his own advantage as much aseven more than-to that of the state, if the common good comes first in everybody's thoughts, and his own private
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s . " 3$ Such, however, is human nature that, though a man may see this, the allurement of pleasure and the dread of pain prove stronger than wisdom. Only a divinely inspired man, if such were to arise, ${ }^{4}$ could act aright without the constraining bonds of man-made $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \iota s$ and vó $\mu o s$. These fetters are no disgrace to Wisdom, but only to the blindness of men. Wisdom's supreme authority is sacred and universal. Positive, compulsory Law and Order have only a delegated power, and would be unnecessary if men were perfect. ${ }^{5}$

Much thought and discussion, along with much experience of life, may enable men to grasp the idea of a service " which is perfect freedom"-may even open their minds to the vision of a Divine Law-of a wisdom whose sphere altogether transcends their own capabilities of insight. Three or four passages in the Laws-which at first sight seem merely pessimistic - are probably meant as helps to a humble attitude towards the supreme Noûs. Three times ${ }^{6}$ he calls men "God's puppets." He even says that their so being is the best thing about them. ${ }^{7}$ Great natural and historical catastrophes, he says, impress on him the littleness of all that human forethought and endeavour can achieve. ${ }^{8}$ Again, "after all, men's affairs are not much worth being in earnest about, but we cannot help being in earnest all the samemore's the pity!" To such views, he tells us, he is brought when he contemplates the stupendous nature of the divine excellence. ${ }^{9}$ "Bear with me, Megillus! My words of depreciation were due to a sudden revelation of our insignifi-

| 1693 b 2. | 2875. | 3875 a 6. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4875 c 3 ff. | ${ }^{5} 875$ c 6 ff. | ${ }^{6} 644 \mathrm{~d} 7$ ff, 803 c 4 ff ., 804 b 3. |
| 7803 c 5. | 8709 a . | 9804 b. |
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self-love, and the belief that it is right to be one's own best friend: whereas in fact all kinds of mischief flow from this source. Here, as elsewhere, the lover is blind, and cannot distinguish right from wrong or good from bad: more respect, he thinks, is due to himself, than to the truth." ${ }^{1}$
"A good way to get on good terms with friends and comrades is' to think their services to you greater than they do themselves, and to hold your services to them of less importance than your friends think them." ${ }^{2}$
"There is nothing deadly about complete ignorance of a subject: it is much worse when much has been learnt in a bad way." ${ }^{3}$
"A slave should be safer from wrong than a free man : it is a sham goodness which only avoids wrongdoing when it is difficult." ${ }^{4}$
"It is a disgrace for a mistress to be called in the morning by her maids : she ought to call them." ${ }^{5}$
"No man is fit to rule who has not first been under rule himself ; moreover, to have served well is a better title to distinction than to have been a good ruler. For among a man's rulers are the Gods, as well as his elders and betters among men." ${ }^{6}$
 best way to give this to children-and to yourself at the same time-is, not to admonish them so much as we do, but to let them see that we never fail to do what such admonition would direct." ${ }^{8}$
"What you do not see, in your little corner of the mighty universe, is, that things do not happen in it for your sake : you, like all that takes place there, are what you are in order that $i t s$ perfection may be complete." ${ }^{9}$

To conclude this rough sketch of the contents of Plato's Laws, we may ask what is the abiding impression left by its perusal. Is it not this? Not only has he given us a code of political and social law which has been the foundation of much subsequent legislation, but he leaves us with increased reverence for the rule of right and goodness, and a quickened faith in its ultimate victory over folly, superstition, and vice.
${ }^{1} 731 \mathrm{~d} 6$.
${ }^{4} 777$ d 2.
7729 b 1.
2729 c 8 ff.
${ }^{5} 808$ a 3.
${ }^{8} 729 \mathrm{c} 2$.


## ANALYSIS OF BOOK I

624 a 1. Spartan and Cretan institutions, which claim to have been the work of divinely inspired legislators, are based on the assumption that the state is a fighting machine. If it cannot fight, it loses its independence, and the power of enjoying its property.

626 c 5. But there are other fights besides (1) those with foreign states. (2) A country may be at variance with itself. (3) A man's "better self" has to contend with his baser inclinations (and for a right termination of the third kind of fight the noblest qualities of all are required).

626 e 5 . In fights (2) and (3) the victory of the better elements is spoken of as a victory of the whole being.

627 c 2. In civil strife the important thing is to reconcile the combatants, not to exterminate, or reduce to impotence, the vanquished side.

628 c 4 . This opens up a wider view for the $\nu \circ \mu_{0} \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ s. Of course he aims, in his legislation, at producing the greatest excellence, and therefore he must not organize his state solely with a view to external war, for this develops only an inferior kind of excellence. Instead of thinking of war when there is peace, he ought rather to be thinking of peace when he is conducting war.

629 a 4. Success in civil strife demands higher qualities than success in foreign warfare, inasmuch as, to succeed in the former, a man must win the trust of his fellow citizens. This cannot be done without more virtues than that of bodily courage. He must have all the virtues.

630 b 8. Therefore, in framing laws, big or small, the vouo $\theta^{\prime}$ ' $\tau \eta$ s must have in view the production of excellence of all kinds, and, in estimating different kinds of excellence, he must put the mind before the body, and, of the virtues of the mind, he must esteem those most highly which have least to do with the body, and most

## THE LAWS OF PLATO

with the mind. Herein we have the key to the proper classification of laws.

632 d 8. As all life is a fight, and as, in all fights, the excellence of the fighter depends prominently on his к $\alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$ (power of resistance), it may be expected that in other virtues there will be an element like that which is prominent in bodily courage. A legislation which tries only to encourage the power of resistance to bodily pain and danger, is a lame, left-handed kind of legislation. There are all the temptations of pleasure to be resisted, and these are ignored by such legislation.

635 e 4. In other words, if the Spartan and Cretan institutions are to stand examination, they must be able to show that they develop temperance, which comes next above courage, in order of precedence, of the virtues of the character.

An exclusively military life stimulates excessive pugnacity, and a too exclusive devotion to bodily development has, incidentally, brought unnatural vice in its train. The two questions (1) "what pleasures ought not to be sought?" and (2) "what pains ought not to be avoided?" go to the foundations of the philosophy of Law.

636 e 4. It is urged that, if the discipline of the military state is rigid, it makes for virtue by putting down excess-such excess, for instance, as any degree of intoxication-with a strong hand.

637 b 7 . This contention opens up the consideration of the proper way of ensuring virtue. Ought the ultimate controlling power to be external or internal? Even where a foreigner would think there was the extremity of licence, there may be safeguards to morality in the $\kappa \alpha \rho \tau^{\prime} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$-the power of saying no-possessed by the individual.

637 d 3. Take the question of wine-drinking; ${ }^{1}$ Is it absolutely wrong that any man should, on any occasion, take enough wine to intoxicate him—as we say, "to get into his head" ? ${ }^{2}$ Are we not
${ }^{1}$ Here follows an apparent digression, for the length of which the author apologizes beforehand. The ensuing discussion of $\mu \epsilon \theta \eta$ (1) throws fresh and original light on the nature and process of education, the moral effects of pleasure and pain, and the testing and formation of character ; and (2) introduces us to a kind of mechanism by which, in dealing with $\mu \sigma v \sigma \kappa \dot{\eta}$, the $\nu 0 \mu 0 \theta \epsilon \tau \eta s$ can guide this process in the right direction. This second division forms the main subject of Bk. II. Incidentally, the demonstration of the similarity of the suggested process of education in temperance to the process of education in courage, emphasizes the closeness of connexion between the two virtues.
${ }^{2}$ In the Republic, p. 403, we are told that the фú入aкes are never to get into this state.

## ANALYSIS OF BOOK I

in danger of associating $\mu^{\prime} \theta \eta$ in our minds with attendant evils which may conceivably be dissociated 'from it? It may perhaps be admitted that, in human experience, these evils always have, so far, accompanied $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \theta$.

640 a 4. Every assembly of men who meet with a common purpose must have a leader. The leader of an army must be brave : the leader of a drinking-party must be sober.

64I a 3. "But even if it be well-conducted, what good will it do? Can it produce anything to stand side by side with the victory which an army aims at winning?" The answer is, not only do the victories it ensures leave no unhappy memories, such as are left by the victories of armies, but-astonishing as it may seem -it is a valuable means of education.

643 a 2. Education in gencral is the training of the young for the activities of life, but, as used by the wise lawgiver, the word means the formation of a virtuous character. In this sense $\pi a \iota \delta \in i ́ a$ is $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ in good men's eyes.

644 b 6. A wise calculation ( $\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu$ ós), on the part of the state, of the advantage, or disadvantage, to be secured by any course of action-i.e. a balancing of prospective pleasure and pain-results in, or rather embodies itself in, law. This law must be such as will come to the aid of a man's better self, when pulled this way and that by the attractions of pleasure, and the fear of pain. Thus law becomes a sort of conscience to the state, which dictates external and internal policy, and throws light on the nature of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha-$ such as drinking-bouts-and on the aims to be pursued by the process of education.

645 d 1 . Much wine heightens the sense of pleasure and pain, heightens anger and desire, while it confuses and deadens the intellect and the judgement. You ask: "Who would willingly put himself into a state in which his moral character is, for the time, made worse?" In return I ask: "Does not every one, when he incurs great bodily fatigue, or takes a strong drug, knowingly put his body, for a time, into a worse state?"

646 d 8. You ask again : "What good can $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \eta \eta$ do, which will stand comparison with the muscular efficiency produced by hard bodily exercise, and the cure wrought by the drug?" Well: there are two kinds of fear. One, the fear of pain; the other, the fear of disgrace. This last we call shame; and while we fight the former, we encourage the latter. At Sparta you fight the former kind by making the young undergo dangers and hardships; i.e. they are artificially put in positions similar to those which, in
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real life, will call for the exercise of the virtue of courage. These artificially contrived exercises not only train; they enable the educators to form an opinion of the strength and worth of individual characters. A similar power of endurance is demanded when temptations to pleasure have to be faced. What better occasion can be imagined for practising the young in the right sort of fear or for discerning which of them are temperate, than a symposium presided over and watched by sober seniors? The young are there brought by wine into a state in which they are specially susceptible to temptations. They are thus at once trained to endurance, and their characters can then best be judged by their educators.

If a фа́ $\rho \mu a к o v$ existed which would temporarily stimulaté fear in the same way that wine stimulates the tendency to $v^{v} \beta \rho \stackrel{s}{ }$ and self-indulgence of all kinds, it would be a valuable agent, and would save much trouble in the training in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i a$. Why then should we discard the use of pleasant wine as a training in $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma \dot{v} \eta$ ?

## ANALYSIS OF BOOK II

652. The right use of wine may do more than test character ; it may be a preservative of the effects of Education. What is real Education? Long before the judgement is mature, the habits may be formed of liking and disliking the right things, and it is just in the formation of such habits that real education consists. But the feelings of pleasure and pain thus fostered tend to lose their strength in the workaday world. The gods have arranged holidays to keep these feelings alive, and have sent us the Muses, Apollo and Dionysus, to teach us how to celebrate these festal days.

What Apollo and the Muses do for us is to add, to the child's innate delight in flinging itself about and making noises, the delight in the systematizing of these noises and motions-in other words, they inspire us with the sense of and love of $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu$ ós and àp $\mu o v i ́ a$.

For choice performances are not only for the festivals of adults; they are also for the education of the young.

This is the main way in which that training of the likes and dislikes by habit is secured. It is not only, remember, the skill of
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the young $\chi o \rho \in v \tau \eta$ that must be kept in view by the educator, it is his taste as well. There is a moral and an immoral xopeía, and the child must be habituated to like the moral sort.

What is moral $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \bar{\eta}$ and xopeía? We can only say that $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ (is a langauge, and) interprets the mind; and if the mind and intention is good, the $\mu$ ovaıк $\eta$ will be good. E.g, anyone can tell from mien and tone whether a man is a coward or not; so it is that songs and dances may be made to reveal all the virtues and vices. But $\mu$ ov $\iota \iota \boldsymbol{\eta}$ is a language which it needs a trained eye and ear to read. Everybody's judgement is not to be taken on the question what is the best $\mu$ ovoıк $\eta$ ? Here again we come to the importance of good habituation : not only will a taste for bad $\mu$ оvб८к ${ }^{\prime}$, if indulged, make a man himself bad, but nothing but habituation to the good can ensure a genuine pronouncement on the side of what is right and good. ${ }^{1}$

Poets, who compose the materials of xopeía, must be under constraint and guidance. The wise Egyptians have for ever stereotyped their art, and allow no deviation from fixed forms. What has been done once can be done again. Let our legislators look to it then, and make arrangements for the proper supervision of poets and musicians.

657 c. To return to the question of what is the right $\mu \mathrm{ovo} \mathrm{\iota} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$. Delight is the spring of motion in the young and active, and the more mature and aged, whose activity is flagging, feel a reflected delight in watching and superintending the performances of the young. The popular notion that the best $\mu$ ovaıк $\eta$ is that which gives most pleasure is right in a sense. But it is these mature and aged people whose judgement must settle the question of what is pleasantest-i.e. best. A child may take more delight in a puppet-show than in a tragedy, so we must correct the bald statement that the best $\mu$ ovaıк $\eta^{\prime}$ is that which gives most pleasure, by adding "to the best judges," and these, as we maintain, are the old and experienced; it may even happen that there is one man who is the best judge of all, and, if so, he should decide. The matter ought never to be settled by the noisy crowd in the theatre, as it is in Italy and Sicily-and with disastrous results to the poets, who are made worse by their audience, instead of making their audience better, as they should.

Again, then, we are brought to see that education draws the

[^2]
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young in the direction that wise experience finds out to be the right one, and that the drawing consists in the right formation of the sentiments of pleasure and pain. To secure this end the lawgiver must call in the aid of the poet-acting under the lawgiver's direction.

660 e. The main duty laid on the poet will be that of convincing the young that no physical or worldly advantage, even when coupled with the lowest of the virtues-bravery-are of any good to a man -are even bad for him—if he has not the higher virtues as well. I would make it a crime for a poet, or anyone else, to talk as if there were any real gain for a man apart from goodness, or any pleasure in doing wrong.

663 a. You tell the young stories full of impossibilities, and they believe them. Use this childish belief : even if I had not proved that virtue means happiness, you can see the necessity of making the child believe it. The chanting which fills the ear and moves the tongue of the child must enchant him to believe that heaven has ordained that real pleasure lies in goodness, and is inseparable from it.

664 c. For this same chanting let three kinds of chorus be constituted: (1) the Muses' chorus of children ; (2) Apollo's chorus of the youthful ; and (3) the mature, from thirty onwards to sixty, must serve the Music of the state in diverse ways. Some of these -the oldest, no doubt-must tell myths to the young, while the younger men perhaps will actually sing; but the main use of the mature will be to form a standard of taste, and regulate the Music of the whole state. And this chorus, as being the repository of real wisdom, is the most valuable to the state of all the three.

664 e. Now, inasmuch as to the mature all kinds of activity are no longer promoted by the imperative instinct which will not let the young keep quiet,-and which we saw to be the soil out of which all the Muses' art was developed,-the gift of Dionysus comes in to supply an artificial stimulus to activity and to suppleness of mind and body. Hence the chorus of the mature is to be called the Chorus of Dionysus.
666. The very "fire" which wine puts into the mature and elderly-and which is beneficent in the way described above-is superfluous, and may even be dangerous, if applied to the already "fiery" young.

666 e. The "old men's chorus," then, must mean something quite different to what it does in Sparta; nor must the education of the young be what it is there-i.e. the manufacture of soldiers.

## Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page


no less than does the symposium of the young. These rulers would naturally be men over sixty,-to see the rules kept, and to keep the peace.

672 a 4. So far, then, from the "madness" caused by wine being an evil, inflicted by a malignant power, as some say, the "fire" it puts into the blood has the same effect on us, when we are grown up, as the exuberant spirits and activity of childhood have on children. In both cases this liveliness is the soil out of which Music grows.

672 e. You two Dorians would, I know, like nothing better than a full discussion of the gymnastic training necessary for the bodily half of Music-i.e. dancing-which springs from the same soil as the other half; and you would discuss the subject admirably. But first let us finish off the topic of wine-drinking, by pointing out that the adoption of this mechanism by the state for educational purposes involves strict limitation by law of the production and use of wine. No city that adopts these regulations will need to have many vineyards.

## ANALYSIS OF BOOK III

676. What is the nature of political organization? Since the world began there must have been countless civilizations which have arisen and been wiped out, with all their arts and devices, by natural cataclysms. After each cataclysm only a few scattered, uncivilized men must have been left on the mountain tops, and these would have to begin their civilization and the formation of communities all over again. To learn the nature and ground-work of political organizations the best way will be to follow, in imagination, the steps by which such scattered remains of unsophisticated humanity would coalesce and grow into a political community.

678 c. On overcoming the horror of the plains, caused by the recent catastrophe, these men would be driven, in the course of many generations, by social instinct to congregate ; their wits would be sharpened by intercourse, and the arts would gradually revive-and among them the art of acquiring property, the art of lying, and the art of war.

679 e 6. In the course of this sketch we may be able to see
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where and how laws come into being. The first form of community would be like what Homer described that of the Cyclopes to be, i.e. a family in which the father's will was the only law.

680 d 7. This family would naturally grow, in after generations, into a clan, of which the representative of the father of the original family would be the chieftain; it would have its own rough notions of what to do and what to avoid, and its own character. One clan might be braver, or more orderly, than another.

68I c 1. The next step is taken when separate clans - each wedded to its own customs, and each with its own charactercoalesce to form a community. There would then have to be some compromise and common understanding as to what, of all the various customs, it would be good for the united community to adopt. Here we have the beginning of the positive enactment of laws.

68I d 7. Whereas the first community would settle probably on the lower slopes of the hills, the third stage would be reached when-all memory of the dangers of the plain having vanishedmen ventured, in course of time, to build a city on an elevation in a plain. In this same age men would begin to traverse the sea, and city would begin to war with city. This brings us to the time of the Trojan war, and the beginning of history.

682 d 5 . We next come to the foundation of the Dorian Confederacy of Sparta, Argos, and Messene ; we return, that is, to an examination of the same Dorian institutions with which Book I. began.

683 c 8. How was it that that confederacy, in spite of all the advantages which its founders had, and of the formidable aspect which it presented to foreign powers, was yet a failure?

686 c 7. When we talk of the success or failure of a nation, we must not think exclusively of its ability to force its will on other nations, or of its lack of this power. The question is not, "is a state, or a man, strong?" but "is it (or lie) wise enough to make a proper use of its strength?" That is what tests its laws and its lawgivers. The worst unwisdom (folly) is that of the state, or man, when conscience points one way, and desire another. That state of folly means ruin to a community, and to an individual: and there is no mental disability in a man which is: such a complete disqualification for any political office as this want of harmony between the desires and the judgement.

689 e 4. There are seven titles to power over one's fellows: there is
(1) The right of parents over children and descendants ;
(2) The right of those who are royally born to govern those who are not ;
(3) The right of the older to rule the younger ;
(4) The right of masters to rule their slaves ;
(5) The right of the stronger to rule the weaker;
(6) The right of the wise to rule the less wise ;
(7) The right which is decided by the fall of the lot.

With all these claims in the field, conflicts between claimants are inevitable.

690 d 5 . It is an overweening sense of their own importance, and a desire to get too much out of their position, that generally brings ruin on kings. What saved Sparta, when Argos and Messene sank, was that the kingly power was halved by the fortunate birth of twins in the royal house, and was further restricted by the recognition, on the part of its legislators, of some of the other claims to ${ }^{\circ}$ power, besides that of birth-and the appointment of co-existing authorities.

692 d 1. So great was the defection of Argos and Messene, whose monarchs were left with an unrestricted power, that, as far as the interests of Hellas went, they largely nullified the good which Sparta was able to do.

693 a 5. A wise lawgiver then will recognize many fountains of authority in a state, and will see that only in this way.can he secure the three main civic requisites, i.e. freedom, statesmanship, and unity (or public spirit.)

693 d 2. If these three objects are to be secured, the government must be neither an extreme autocracy, nor an extreme democracy, but must be a judicious mixture of the two.

694 a 3. Persia's history shows us how all its misfortunes came with the withdrawal of all restrictions from the kingly power.

698 a 9. In the days of Athens's glory a respect for law tempered the desire of every man to do-as he liked; but this desire got the better of law in time-showing itself first in the realm of Art, where the untrained and uneducated many asserted their right to judge as against the educated and judicious few.

702 a 2. "How," asks the Athenian, "can we test the truth of all these principles at which, in our discussion, we have arrived?" Cleinias answers that there is a practical way open.to them, in which they can embody and perhaps test their political principles; for he has himself been entrusted-with a few other citizens-with the task of framing laws for a new colony.
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704. A city should not be a seaport, but should be at least ten miles inland from a harbour, on soil which produces many kinds of crops, but none in such abundance as to leave a surplus for exportation. Foreign trade is demoralizing; so is a navy : it takes the steadfastness out of a land-army to know they can get out of harm's way by taking to their ships; besides, seafighting gives no scope for merit, and no chance of winning honour. It was Marathon and Plataea which, respectively, began and completed the discomfiture of the barbarians, and the salvation of Hellas. If it was the navy which saved her, it would have been better for her to perish than so to be saved. Wrong living is worse than death.

707 e. If the colonists of the new city come, like a swarm of bees, all from the same home, they will pull together the better for it, but then prejudice against any improvement in constitution or legislation will be invincible. It will be better to undertake the difficult task of welding a heterogeneous populace into one. No man who is not equal to a great and difficult task is fit to be a lawgiver or the founder of a city.

709 a. For all his cleverness, however, the lawgiver may find chance too strong for him. Still, that is no reason for pronouncing skill worthless. If skill is helpless against bad luck, good luck is useless without skill.

709 d. Given a heaven-sent lawgiver then, what must a city like our colony ask of luck? This: that absolute power and influence over the whole body should be with one virtuous, wide-minded man, who can rule himself as well as the state, and who will take the lawgiver into his confidence and follow his advice. For our purposes it will be best, I say, for the power to be in one man's hands, always supposing that he possesses the above-mentioned virtues and qualifications. The difficulty of endowing a city with a perfect polity will be greater, the more the supreme power is, in the first case, limited, or subdivided. It is true that it is asking a great deal of Chance, to postulate such a conjunction of virtue and liberality of mind in a ruling power of any kind. But it is the only way to get a perfect polity, and it is an easy one.

7I2. If you have faith enough to take this from me, you will perhaps listen to me when I tell you what the best polity is, and what are the best laws.

After soliciting divine help let us proceed to consider the form of polity to be chosen.
713. The ordinary titles given by political philosophers-those ending in -cracy-all denote that one particular part of the community is supreme over the others; this is never the case in a real polity.:

In the Golden Age Cronos appointed $\delta$ aifoves-superior beings-to rule over mankind ; this analogy will explain what I think the right course at the present day. There is in man a divine part-his mind-and this divine element must do as Cronos did, and appoint subordinate ministers for our government. These ministers of mind's ordaining are the ordinances which we call Laws. These must be sovereign over the state, and over every member of it. But as we have seen that no real polity exists where one element of the populace is supreme over the others, so no laws have any binding force, which are made in the interest of any separate element in the state. To be binding they must be made in the best interest of the state as a whole; and obedience to these laws is the crowning virtue of the statesman and the administrator,-the main title to honour and office.

No state can thrive unless the rulers are the slaves of the Law.

I would begin by charging the citizens to remember that God's rule is inevitable and all-pervading, and that righteousness and vengeance against unrighteousness are his constant attendants. Therefore wickedness is folly, and though the wicked man may prosper for a time, his prosperity will only make his ruin the more disastrous both to himself and to society.

716 c . How then is man to please God?
In all God's works "Measure" is discernible. Like, as the proverb says, clings to like, and man's wisdom is to live by measure. To break bounds, to be lawless, is impiety, and even the offerings and the prayers of an impious man are hateful to God. For the pious, however, it is the first of duties to pay worship and honour to all Gods, both the higher and the lower; next after them to the memory of "divine" men, and next, to one's parents. To our parents and to their care we owe our being: nothing that we can do for them can overpay them, and remember that the time when we can repay is the time of their greatest need-when the payment is most valuable. The greatest care must be taken never, by word or deed, to show disrespect to parents. When they are angry with us, we must
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not resent it. When they die, we must pay due honour to their ashes.
718. So much for our duties to our superiors. We must go to the laws to learn how our life is to be adorned by duties done to our family, to our fellow-citizens, or even to strangers.

Before each class or chapter of laws it will be well to set a preface, to explain the principle of the enactments, to recommend their adoption, and generally to bring the subjects of the laws into such a state of mind as will be favourable to their acceptance.

7I9. As it is, the way of evil is easy, and the path of virtue hard : the voice of the law is precise and prosaic ; all the more need for some adornment of the subject. Such a preface may be compared with the confidential talk which a skilful physician will hold with an enlightened patient, before prescribing his medicine and treatment.
720. As a sample, take the bare law as to marriage-which may well be among the first things to be regulated-and add a disquisition on the principles on which it is founded, and the desirability of the objects it seeks to attain.
722. Even Megillus, with all his Spartan love of brevity, prefers a law with such a preface to one without. And the Athenian assures him that the excess of benefit is far beyond the excess in length. Further, the Athenian compares such a preamble to the prelude with which a skilful musician brings his audience into accord with his main theme, and hints incidentally that great skill, comparable to that of the musician, will be necessary for its composition ; for the "themes" of different classes of laws differ widely, and all laws, with some trifling exceptions, need to be accompanied by such a preamble.

Fortified with this instrument, we will make a second start. As to religion and religious duties, what has been already said may suffice. Next follow duties affecting (1) our own souls, (2) our bodies, and (3) our property.
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726. Honour the soul next to Heaven!., There are in practice many wrong methods of honouring the soul which must be avoided-such as self-opinionatedness, and self-indulgence.

728 d 2. As to our duties to the Body, and Property, we must remember that a middle state is best in both.-Duties there are also to one's family, relatives, friends, the state, and foreigners.

730 b 1. Of desirable personal qualities Truth stands highest; next comes Justice—and personal efforts to see right done and wrong punished; honourable too are T'emperance and Wisdomprovided these virtues are of a social character, and tend to spread to others and help others. Even Anger is necessary, in its placebut Mercy too.

73I d 6. The most gene $\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{a}}$, ugly, and disastrous blemish in human character is selfishness. It clouds the judgement, and is fruitful in folly and error.

732 d 8 . Such conduct as has been recommended is not only right in itself, and so pleasing to Heaven ; it is best and pleasantest for man.

733d7. This may be seen from the consideration of various kinds of lives-that of the temperute, the intellectual, the brave, or the healthy as contrasted with that of the intemperate, the simpleton, the coward, or the diseased, respectively. The balance of happiness will throughout be found on the side of the former, though the latter may have moments of acuter enjoyment.

734 e 3. The political framework of a state consists of (a) the Magistrates, who are of a superior nature to the ordinary citizen ; and (b) the Laws, which the magistrates have to administer.

735 a 7. Applicants for citizenship in our colony must be tested, and the unsatisfactory applicants rejected-summarily, or' on some specious pretext.

736 c 5. As it is a new foundation, all citizens can start fair, unhampered by debts, and the overshadowing influence of great estates which mar the peace of an old-established state. But peace will not reign long here unless the pride of possession can be mitigated, and the love of gain for itself eradicated.

737 c 1. Supposing for the sake of argument that the size of the territory, the nature of the soil, and the size of the neighbouring state will admit, we will imagine a community of
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743 c 5 . The absence of money, and money-making, and credit, will remove many obstacles to peace and good-feeling-there will be :far fewer lawsuits-and men will have time to spare for the real interests of their (1) souls and (2) bodies. Property must take its place as of only third-rate importance. This order must be recognized by the state in all honours it confers; and the lawgiver must test his laws by asking if they recognize this order of precedence.

744 a 8. Still, property must count for something in the state. Our new citizens will (unfortunately) not all bring equal properties with them when they come. Those who have much will be able to add to their store-and this will be permitted within certain limits.-And so we will have Four Classes in the state, arranged on a property qualification. The state must see to it that there is no abject poverty, and that there are no millionaires. It must be a crime to divide the $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$, and a crime to hold more than four times its original amount of land. Any property gained beyond that must go to the state, and the gods. There shall be a Public Register of all surplus property-of all; i.e., beyond the original $\kappa \lambda \eta$ pos, which any citizens hold.

745 b 3 . The city must stand on the middle of its territory, with a central "acropolis sacred to Hestia, Zeus and Athena." From this shall radiate lines dividing (1) the city and (2) the country into twelve parts-not necessarily equal in size, but equal in productive power.

745 e 2. Each $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ shall consist of two parts, one near the city, and one at a distance, and there shall be a dwelling-house on both.

745 e 7 . In all this I say again that the lawgiver must have an ideal, and, in practice, you must come as near it as you can.

746 d 3. Let mathematics preside over all tribal and other divisions, as well as over all measures and weights in daily use, and let them all be arranged so as to fit in with and be readily interchanged with each other:

There is no mental discipline so efficacious as mathematics, if it be kept liberal, as a science, and not debased for purposes of trade. We do not want our citizens to be Egyptians or Phoenicians. (It may not be their fault, poor fellows: there is something magical in climate and situation, as all politicians ought to know.)
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75I. We have now to choose fit persons as magistrates, and assign to them administrative duties. It is imperative that they should be capable. Not only must candidates for office have a good record, but the electorate must be trained in the constitution and its ways.
752. In the case of a newly formed state, with new laws, and a heterogeneous population, such education is impossible. In the first election of officials, then, the parent state must intervene. To begin with, they must help the colonists to select from among themselves and the parent state a body of 37 vo $\mu \circ \phi \dot{v} \lambda a \kappa \epsilon s$. This body is to be permanent, and future elections to it, in days when the state has taken shape, must be conducted in the following manner. Voters to be all who bear arms, or have seen service, whether in cavalry or infantry; election-in the most sacred temple-to proceed by three stages; at the first stage 300, at the second 100, at the final 37 are to be elected. For the first election, however, and for all arrangements as to elections and qualifications of all magistrates, a committee of 200 -half colonists and half Cnossians-is to have full powers.
 supervision over the laws of the state, and (2) must keep the, register of each man's property; and (3) if it be proved to them that any man possesses more than a trifle above the legal amount, they are to ordain the confiscation of all but the original $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$. . $A$ $\nu o \mu о \phi u ́ \lambda a \xi$ must be over 50 and under 70. In addition to the three duties named above they will have many others which we shall have occasion to mention in connexion with the laws concernech

Now as to the other magistrates.
755 b 6. The three $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i$ are to be elected, by all who are serving, or have served as soldiers, from a preliminary list nominated by the $\nu о \mu \circ \phi v \lambda^{\lambda} \alpha \kappa \epsilon \varsigma$, but subject to the criticism of a popular vote. They must then pass the סокı $\alpha \sigma$ ía. The Hipparchs are to be elected in the same manner, only the'actual voters (according to 756 b 1 ) are to be the cavalry. The Taxiarchs and Phylarchs are to be nominated by their superior officers and elected by the hoplites and cavalry respectively. The officers of the light-armed auxiliaries are to be appointed by the generals. The first elections are to be presided over by the vo $\mu о \phi v ́ \lambda a \kappa \epsilon s$; subsequent ones by the $\pi \rho v \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ —of whom more hereafter-and
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the presiding magistrates must decide between candidates who on successive occasions have obtained equal yotes.

756 b 7 . Next as to the $\beta$ ov $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime}$.
Three hundred and sixty $\beta$ ov $\lambda \epsilon v \tau a i$ are to be elected- 90 by each of the four property-classes. : All members of the community may vote. On the first four days, candidates are nominated-an unlimited number-from each of the four classes respectively; on the fifth day 180 of each class are to be selected by all voters from among the nominees, and 90 , out of each 180 selected by lot. These, when they have passed the סоксцабia, are to serve as $\beta o v \lambda \epsilon v \tau a i ́$ for the year. On the third day of nomination the fourth class are not compelled to nominate, and on the fourth day the third and fourth classes are not compelled to nominate. At all other stages, nomination and voting are compulsory.
757. In this form of election, while the introduction of the lot prevents the richer classes from having absolute power, the preponderance of power given to these classes is for the good of the community. True equality consists, not in giving equal power to every man, but in giving power in proportion to worth. This can really be done by God alone, but it is our duty to attempt it, and not to acquiesce either in the absolute power of one or a few-i.e. in oligarchy or tyranny-or on the other hand in the absolute equality of all-i.e. democracy. We must never lose sight of the difference between the worth of different individuals, though of that true equality-which consists of inequality-we can, in our human communities, only get a passable imitation. This is one reason why we must submit to have our judgement "watered" by the lot; another reason is that the lot may be guided by a higher and wiser power. At the same time the lot must not be our master.
758. That there may always be some officials on the look-out for dangers-whether external or internal-to the state: that there may be representatives of the state ready to deal with foreign states, and to preside over state assemblies, a twelfth part of the $\beta o v \lambda \eta$ must, in monthly rotation, form a standing committee called $\pi \rho \cup \tau a ́ v \epsilon \iota$.

758 e. For local surveillance both in country and in town the twelvefold divisions (ep. above, 745 b 6 ff.) will form convenient administrative areas. Besides providing for the charge of religious' edifices and the land annexed to them, we must institute three kinds of local magistrates: (1) $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v v o ́ \mu o c$ for the supervision of



## ANALYSIS OF, BOOK ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{VI}$

As to the religious officials, families already enjoying hereditary priesthoods are to be left in possession of them. In their appointment we must observe a similar admixture of choice by lot to that advocated in the case of the $\beta$ ov $\lambda \epsilon v \tau a i$, and the סoкıцабia must be-strict. The Delphic Oracle will communicate directions as to worship and religion generally to six (\}) official $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau a i$ elected from groups of the local tribes, and partly selected from among a larger number by the Oracle. Like the priests and priestesses they must be over sixty, but while the former only hold office for a year, the ${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau a i$ are appointed for life. Vacancies caused by death are to be filled in by the tribe from which the deceased é $\xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ came. Temple treasurers are to be appointed from the highest property-class by an election and סокıдабia like that of the $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o$ i.

760a6. For the protection of the city we have the generals and other military officers, as well as the $\pi \rho v \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \epsilon s$, and we shall deal later with two other classes of city officials, i.e. the Astynomi and Agoranomi. As to the country districts, to each of the twelve equal divisions a tribe will be assigned by lot, and this tribe will have to appoint five Phrourarchs, who must each choose twelve active young men, of their tribe, between 25 and 30 years old. Each tribal corps (of five officers and sixty men) shall serve for a month in one tribal area, and the whole body shall rotate twice through the areas, first from left to right, then backwards, that they may learn the country thoroughly in different seasons. This will take two years, after which a fresh corps will be appointed. Their duties will be (1) to watch the frontiers and construct defensive works of all kinds, (2) to keep internal peace and facilitate communication within the country, (3) to guard against floods on the one hand, and drought on the other, (4) to add to the amenities of the landscape, and provide gymnasia and public baths, (5) they are to constitute a tribunal for petty causes. They may "commandeer" slaves and beasts of burden for the public work-studying the convenience of the owners as far as possible in so doing. Any abuse of power or any corruption is to be regarded as a serious and disgraceful offence. They shall live a military life, under strict discipline, and with frugal fare : any desertion or dereliction on the part of the officers shall be punishable by the rank and file, the voдофúdaкєs being the supreme authority in such cases. This discipline should create devotion to and respect for loyal service, which is far more important and valuable to a state and to individuals than skilful command.

## THE LAWS OF PLATO

763c3. For the City three Astynomi-chosen partly by lot, and partly by universal vote, from among the members of the highest property-class-are each to take four divisions of the city area into his charge. Their duty will resemble the non-military part of the duty of the Agronomi-roads, water-supply, townplanning will be under their charge. By a similar method of election five Agoranomi are to be appointed from the two highest classes--to police the áyopá and have charge of the templebuildings and fountains in it, and to enforce the state laws as to trade. Astynomi and Agoranomi are to try petty causes separately, larger ones in conjunction.

764 c 5 . The next officials to be elected are those who have charge of Education-mental and physical. Of these there are two kinds: (1) those who superintend schools and school-work, and (2) those who have charge of the arrangements for public contests. In this latter class the variety of subjects will necessitate a subdivision of provinces into (1) athletic contests and chariot-races; (2) musical and dramatic individual performances, and (3) choric performances. For the third class one superintendent will suffice, who must be at least forty. For contests between single performers also one superintendent official will suffice; he must be at least thirty. The choric and the solo superintendents must be chosen in the following manner: only musical adepts will be eligible, and only such will be compelled to elect-the voцофv́дaкєs being the judges of who are such.-Of the ten who get most votes the lot is to choose one, who must further stand a бокслабía in which the only point considered is his musical ability. They are to hold office for a year.-For chariot-races and other gymnastic contests the superintendents-three out of a preliminary twenty-are to be elected from the third and second property-classes, and pass the requisite бокı $\mu \alpha \sigma$ ía-the three highest classes being compelled to vote.

765 d 4 . The superintendent of Education proper is by far the most important official in the whole state, for the first stage of the growth of plant, animal, or man has more influence than any other upon its ultimate development; and the higher the organization the greater the possibilities for evil as well as for good. He must be above fifty, and the father of legitimate offspring; he must be a vo $\mu \circ \phi \dot{v} \lambda a \xi$, and be selected by the whole body of state officials -not counting the $\beta$ ovicutaí or $\pi \rho v \tau a ́ v \epsilon \epsilon s$ as such-and the election must be by ballot, in the temple of Apollo, and be succeeded by the бокгца⿱ía.

## ANALYSIS OF BOOK VI

766 c 2 . Vacancies in any office-or among the guardians of orphans-are to be filled up by the original appointers. In the latter case the vacancy must be filled up within ten days, and the appointers-relatives on both sides-are to be fined a drachma a day each as long as they are in default.

766 d 3. As to Law Courts-the judges in which are a kind of magistrate-full details cannot be settled till all the laws are made; but we may give here a sketch of the principles of their constitution.-We expect wisdom and enlightenment from our judges-not bare decisions only, but reasoned judgements-therefore they must be few and good. At the same time certain public offences must be tried by a democratic tribunal, for everyone is wronged by offences against the state; and there must be no kind of court of first instance in which any citizen is disqualified from holding a place-this is a fundamental right of every member of the community. (In some courts at all events the position of the public seems somewhat to resemble that of a modern jury.) Private causes should be first tried before an informal assembly of friends and neighbours, with two appeal courts above it.-In private causes, if both sides agree to refer the decision of the matter to a particular court, the decision shall be final. Where one man impeaches another, whether the offence be private or public, there are to be two appeals. The final court of appeal for all causes is to be instituted thus: on the last day of the old year all the state officials are to assemble in a temple, and choose on oath one judge from each set of officials; and then to scrutinize the list.Bouleutae and the magistrates who choose the magistrates must be present when the court delivers judgement; it must be open to the public, and judges are to be liable to impeachment for unjust judgement before the voнофv́дакєs.

768 d 7 . So much for the magistracy - the framework of government- : now we turn to the Laws, and in these we must not expect finality at the first attempt. With a view to their modification as the result of time and experience, we must endeavour to imbue the Nomophylakes with the true legislator's spirit; they must see clearly that the result of all legislation is the perfection of the individual, and the removal, and the discrediting of all that hinders it. Neither individual nor state must be content to survive the abandonment of this ideal.

77 I a 5. All laws should have their foundation in religion. The number of households indicated above is 5040 . There is an adaptability and a consonance with the general order of things
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about this number which should make our people regard it : sacred. Each twelfth part of this number is to form a communit with a patron deity of its own ; and two monthly festivals, one i town, and one in the country are to be celebrated by it. Th effect of these will be not only religious, but social; they wi bring the people of the community together, and make ther known to each other. Above all they will facilitate suitabl marriages between the families. The diversions, especially for th young, at these festivals will be under the superintendence of th directors of choruses, and the vo $\mu о \phi v ́ \lambda a \kappa \epsilon s$. It will take at leas ten years to make satisfactory arrangements for these festivals when once fixed, they should only be altered by an unanimou vote, and with religious sanction.

772 d 5. Every man should be married by thirty-five.
The sort of marriage must be that which is best for the state, no that which is most to the taste of the individual.-Rich shoulc not aim at marrying with rich; if they do, wealth will pile itsel up at one end of the scale, and poverty increase at the other Like should not seek like in character either: the marriage o people of opposite temperaments will keep the balance more leve in character as well as property. Another important point in the exhortation to be addressed to young men will be that marriage is a duty to the community.-A man who is unmarried at thirty-five must pay a yearly tax according to his property-class, and shall be held dishonoured thereby.-Another penal offence will be the giving or receiving a dowry beyond what is necessary for the trousseau suitable for each class.-Relatives on tbe father's or mother's side shall have power to act as legal representatives of bride or bridegroom, in proportion to their nearness-the father's side to have precedence.-For wedding ceremonies the Exegetae must be consulted.-There must be a sumptuary law to limit the sum expended on the wedding-feast. Above all the bridegroom and bride must be abstemious as to wine on the occasion : then if ever "to begin well" is a sacred duty.-The newly-married pair should migrate to the country-house of the family; so much separation will improve the family relationship, and the young couple will rear their family, like good citizens, by themselves.

776 b 5. Next to marriage comes the subject of Property, and the property that will need the most careful treatment is household slaves. Very various are the opinions expressed as to the character and value of slaves, and very difficult it is to give rules for their treatment-mainly because both slaves and masters are
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individual, but its absence is more mischievous. The danger is all the greater in this case, because women are morally worse than men to begin with (l). In view of the immense changes which history and observation of other nations show us to have taken place in men's feelings about all manner of things, I do not despair of the possibility of assent to this legislation.

782 d 10 . The fact is, there are three imperative desires, on the satisfaction of which the existence of the race depends : that for food, that for drink, and that for sexual union-and the good, both of individuals and the state, depends on these desires being satisfied in the right way. To put it shortly, the right principle which should guide men in the satisfaction of these desires is that the good of the community should come to count for more than the pleasure of the individual. The means to be taken to bridle these dangerous impulses are also three-fear, habit, and philosophy, and the help must be invoked of the Muses, and the religious festivals.

783 b 2. I will leave the subject here, in the hope that the spirit of my remarks may pervade the legislature, and that in the complete code room may be found for such a regulation of private life as I have advocated.

783 d 8. All communion heightens the effect of right endeavour, but also increases the mischief of carelessnes or slackness. In this marriage-union both the parties should strive above all to give the state the best citizens they can produce. A class of wise women officials should exist in the state to enlighten the newly married in the methods for securing this end, with powers to keep them up to their duty during the first ten years of their married life. Failure of offspring during these ten years should be held to be a ground for divorce. The ultimate, tribunal in cases of divorce should be the relatives of both sides, with Nomophylakes as assessors. When right feeling pervades a community, many of these regulations will remain a dead letter, but they should be there for the punishment of offenders.

785 a 3. Birth registers should be kept by public officials in each ф $\rho a \tau \rho i ́ a$.

785 b 2. A woman should marry between 16 and 20 , a man between 30 and 35.-No woman magistrate must be under 40 ; no man magistrate under 30.-The age for military service for a man is between 20 and 60 ; for a woman-if she has to servebetween 40 and 50.
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## B00K I

## SHORT ANALYSIS

$622 \mathrm{a}-632 \mathrm{~d} 7$.-Spartan and Cretan State institutions teach us that law should foster virtue-i.e. aim at producing human perfection-but they take too narrow a view of what virtue isthey look to bravery alone, and that is only a part, and that the least valuable part, of virtue.
$632 \mathrm{~d} 8-636$ e 4. -How virtue is to be fostered-e.g. temperance. In the case of courage and temperance the processes seem similar.

636 e 4 to end of Book. M' $\theta \eta$.
637 b 7 . $-\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{\epsilon}} \theta \eta$ bad because unregulated.
64 I a 3 to end of Book. The right use of $\mu^{\prime} \theta \eta$.
643 a 2.-What education implies.
644 b 6 . -Education consists in the regulation of the effects of pleasure and pain.

645 d 1. -The practical application of $\mu^{\prime} \theta_{\eta}$ in education.
 aiтíav $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad \delta \iota a \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega$;




ME. Nai.


 $\theta$ évтos tov̀s vópous;














 $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu \eta{ }^{2} \delta<\alpha \pi \epsilon \rho a ̂ v a l$.



$\mathrm{A} \Theta . \quad$ 'O $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota$.
 $5 \ddot{\imath} \omega \mu \in \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\eta}, \tau u ́ \chi \eta \eta$.
 $\tau \epsilon \dot{v \mu i ̀ \nu} \cdot \sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \nu$ ó $\nu o ́ \mu о s$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \iota a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ${ }^{\circ} \pi \lambda \omega \nu{ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \nu$;



















 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha u s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s ~ \dot{a} \epsilon i ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa \eta ́ \rho v к т о \nu ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha}$













 $\mu o \nu i \omega \nu \quad \gamma \in$ ó $\sigma \tau \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu$;


$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Oن̉ $\delta a \mu \omega \bar{s}$.
$\mathrm{A} \Theta . ~ ' А \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau a u ̀ \tau o ́ v ; ~$
K . Nai.
IO



Kム. Taùzóv.






 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \delta \eta \mu о \sigma i ́ a ~ \tau \epsilon, ~ к а i ~ i \delta i ́ a ~ \epsilon ́ к \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ a u ̀ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~$ aùzoîs.







 $\tau \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \epsilon ̇ v ~ a u ̛ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \eta ̈ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$;
 $\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Naí.




 10 $\tau \dot{a} \nu a \nu \tau i ́ a$.
b






 $\dot{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$.
 ठє̀ ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ oữ $\omega s$ ảvaүкаıóтатоע.




$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\mathrm{O} \dot{v} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oûv.





 $\pi о \tau$ ' $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu ~ \phi u ́ \sigma \in \iota$.

 $\tau o \nu, \tau a ̀ ~ \nu \hat{v} \nu$.
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 5 Є゙ขєка $\pi \epsilon \pi о i ́ \eta \tau a \iota$.












ME. Пávv $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv.
 Лакє $\delta \alpha i \mu о \nu о s$.















## Kム. П $\omega$ s $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho$ ov̈;







## 






K $\Lambda$. T $i{ }^{\prime} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$;























 тоѝs $\pi о ́ \rho \rho \omega ~ \nu о \mu о \theta є ́ \tau \alpha s . ~$


 $\tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \nu o ́ \mu \iota \mu а$.


















Kム. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ิ \nu ~ o ̂ ̂ v . ~$


























 $\tau \epsilon \alpha \hat{v} \kappa \alpha i$ èv фóßoıs, öซaı $\tau \epsilon$ dıà $\delta v \sigma \tau v \chi i a v ~ \tau \alpha \rho a \chi \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~$




 632



















 катафаvŋ̂.







 $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$.
 $\Delta \iota o ̀ s ~ \grave{~ \epsilon ̇ a \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ \tau o ́ v \delta є ~} \dot{\eta} \mu i \uparrow \nu$.



ME. Naí.



 $\delta \sigma \tau \iota \sigma o v ̂ \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \theta \dot{\eta} \rho a \nu \eta \hat{v} \rho \epsilon$.

А $\Theta$. Т $\epsilon \in \tau a \rho \tau о \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ́, ~ \ddot{\eta} \cdot \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i \quad \delta v \nu a i ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a, \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta a$.










 $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \iota \omega \dot{\omega}$.


 каí тıvas $\delta \epsilon i v a ̀ s ~ \theta \omega \pi \epsilon i ́ a s ~ к о \lambda а к \iota к а ́ s, ~ а i ̂ ~ к а і ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \omega ิ \nu$



 $\xi \boldsymbol{\xi} \epsilon \in \epsilon$ К $\nu \omega \sigma \iota \iota ;$

KА. Kai $\pi a ́ v v \gamma \epsilon$.
 $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \grave{\tau} \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \delta о \nu \omega \nu$;

K

 $\lambda \nu \pi \omega \bar{\omega}$.


 $\tau \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha}$ ádvvaтov̂$\sigma \alpha \nu ; \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a ;$
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Прòs $\dot{\alpha} \mu$ фó $\tau \epsilon \rho a \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \mathfrak{a} \xi \iota \hat{\omega}$.








 $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$.











d $\mathrm{A} \Theta . \mathrm{O} \dot{v} \gamma \dot{a} \rho \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu i \alpha, \tau \eta \lambda \iota \kappa о \stackrel{i}{ } \sigma \delta \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu \pi f$ тò тoเov̂тov.

K . Oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oûv.


















 $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoîs vó $\mu o \iota s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot$ ờ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ тó $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a i ́ \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





5 ทं $\mu \epsilon i \hat{\imath} \pi \nu \nu \theta \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \delta о \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \omega \nu$, $\tau a \xi \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, $\tau \grave{o}$ ठ̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda v \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$





 $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{i} \sigma \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \widehat{\omega} \nu$ oi $\pi о \lambda i ̄ \tau \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, каi $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \tau า$
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 тoぃỗov.






















 $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$.

ME. ${ }^{\varsigma} \Omega \lambda \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau \epsilon, \delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha a s . \tau o v ́ \tau o v s$, ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ ö $\pi \lambda \alpha$ єis $\tau$ às $\chi \in i ̂ \rho a s ~ \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$.
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 $\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$.

ME. Пิิs oûv $\delta \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$;
















 $\delta \dot{v} \nu \omega \mu \alpha \iota \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\tau} \nu \tau o \iota o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\partial} \rho \theta \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \theta o \delta o \nu$




$\mathrm{A} \Theta . \Sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon . \quad \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon, \epsilon \ddot{l} \tau \iota s \alpha i \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\eta} \nu$,






ME. Kai $\pi \hat{\omega} s$;

 $\ddot{\eta} \pi \bar{\omega} s ~ a ̀ \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$;
 $\pi \alpha ́ \theta o s$ ô $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.




ME. Kai $\pi \hat{\omega} s$;

 $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \nu \omega \omega \nu \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a \quad \gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$.











ME. Kai $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a \gamma \epsilon$.
 5





 $\delta ı \eta \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$.


 $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$ à̉zoîs.


 $\mu a v \theta a ́ v \in \iota s ;$
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\Pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov;
 тòv ä $\rho \chi$ оит' єivau.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\Pi \omega ิ \delta^{\prime}$ ov*;
 $\tau \in \theta_{0 \rho} \dot{\beta} \beta \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.

Kム. Kai тov̂тo oũ̃шs.
b
$\mathrm{A} \Theta . \mathrm{E} \grave{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \eta{ }^{\eta} \nu \tau \iota s \mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \grave{\eta} \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o ̀ ̀ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \iota o ́ \tau \alpha \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$



Kム. $\Sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a \dot{\mu} \mu \stackrel{\nu}{\nu}$ ov̂v.



$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$.
 $\mu \hat{\theta} \theta \eta \mathrm{\eta}$, oùк $\mathfrak{a} \theta o ́ \rho v \beta o s . ~ \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho$;


$5 \mathrm{~K} \Lambda$ ．Tí $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ；cis ovं $\delta \epsilon v i \gamma \in \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota$.



K $\Lambda$ ．П $\omega$ s $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov́；


 $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma v \nu o v \sigma i ́ a \nu$.

Kム．＇А $\lambda \eta \theta$ Є́ $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$.


 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \underset{ }{\alpha} \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \dot{\tau} \chi \eta$ ．

Kム．Па $\mu \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ồv．








 $\tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta о \nu, \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota \pi о \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \ddot{\prime} \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \kappa v \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu \dot{v} \pi^{\prime}$ aù $\tau \circ \hat{v} ;$


 $\nu v \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，$\epsilon i \quad \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon v \mu \alpha$ ó $\rho \theta \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \nu i ́ a s ~ \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu o \iota$,














 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ є̈бovтal.
 $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \iota \beta \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\omega}$ є $\epsilon$ is $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \nu \mu \circ i ̂ \rho a \nu \tau \epsilon i v o v \sigma \alpha \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon i \nu, \mathrm{~d}$

$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. $\mathrm{T} i ́ \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu ;$




 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ каì $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \pi о \iota \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu$.
 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \sigma \beta \eta \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha \mu a \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \pi \pi i$ тò $\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\omega} s \gamma^{\prime} \pi \omega_{s}$, $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon i v a l$,













[^3]
 ơ̂v каì $\pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ тoîs $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma i \nu, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{a} \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa о v ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ̀ \tau \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu o ́ s ~$
 b.





 aù $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\epsilon i \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \psi o ̛ ́ \gamma o v ~ a ́ \gamma o v \tau a s, ~$


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \mu o ́ v o \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̆ \nu \epsilon v ~ a ̀ \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta s ~ a u ̀ \tau o \phi v \omega ̂ s, ~ \theta \epsilon i ́ a ~ \mu o i ́ \rho a ~$
d $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ каi oü $\tau \iota \pi \lambda a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} s \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \alpha \theta o i$. . $\theta a \rho \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \grave{\epsilon} \mu о \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon$



















A . $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu \tau o s ~ \tau o i ́ v v \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o v ~ \tau i ́ ~ \pi о \tau \epsilon ~ \chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ фávaı $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a \nu$

$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota s \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu$.






 $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \tau o ̀ \nu \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi о \nu \tau \alpha$ aùт $\omega \hat{\nu}$ є́ка́ $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, каi $\delta \dot{\eta}$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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K $\Lambda$. Naí.



K^. "E $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$.








$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Пєрi $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau o u ̛ \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \iota a \nu o \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ờ $\tau \omega \sigma i$. $\theta a \hat{v} \mu a \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$光кабтоv $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \eta \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta \dot{\varphi} \omega_{\nu} \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} o \nu, \epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \dot{\omega} s \pi \alpha i \gamma \nu \iota o \nu$









 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o \delta a \pi o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i ̋ \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\mu$ oías. $\delta \in i ̂ \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i \neq \tau \tau \eta$ à $\gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta}$








 $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ 入ó $\gamma o \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta о \hat{v} \sigma a \nu, \nu o ́ \mu o \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \dot{o} \mu \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ каi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda a ı s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu . ~ о v ̃ \tau \omega ~ к а i ~ к а к i ́ a ~ \delta ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \cdot \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$






$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Е $\hat{v} \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, каi $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha i \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ö $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$ ä $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{v} \hat{\nu}$ $\delta_{\iota} \alpha \tau \iota \beta \hat{\eta} s$ ả $\xi \iota \circ \nu \gamma^{i} \gamma \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \theta \eta \nu, \pi o i ̂ o ́ v ~ \tau i ́ ~ \pi o \tau \epsilon ~ a u ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̀ ~ a \epsilon \epsilon \rho \gamma а \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a ; ~$




 $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ oï $\nu \omega \nu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota s$ є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \iota$;

K $\Lambda . ~ П о \lambda v ́ v \epsilon$.



$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\mathrm{N} \alpha \dot{i}, \pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \alpha \nu \dot{a} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota$.
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$ ö ö $\tau \in \nu$ ข'os $\hat{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha i ̂ s ;$

K $\Lambda$. Tí $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$;



$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Пo $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon$.
 $\stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi ${ }^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \theta v \sigma \theta \epsilon i \prime s$.

 $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \quad \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \omega_{s} \chi \rho \eta \eta_{\eta} \gamma \epsilon v \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi \epsilon v^{\prime} \gamma \in \iota \nu$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i$ $\sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \in \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta u \nu a \tau o ́ v ; ~$
 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \in \tau \nu$.

 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о$ и́ $\epsilon \nu$.




K $\Lambda$. Naí.
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Tí $\delta \epsilon ́ ; \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau o s, ~ \hat{\omega} \epsilon \in \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \rho \epsilon, \epsilon i s \pi o \nu \eta \rho i ́ a \nu, \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau o ́-$


$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Пิ̂s $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$;







 ofúovtal;
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \mathrm{Ká} \lambda \lambda_{\iota \sigma \tau \alpha}$.


K $\Lambda$. Пávv $\gamma \epsilon$.

 $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Пิิs $\delta^{\prime} \mathrm{ov}$;
 ; $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{o} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ є́ $\lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega, \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \kappa i ́ \alpha \nu \nu \iota \kappa \hat{a}$




 ' $\alpha \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \nu о \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$;
K . Пoîa $\delta \eta^{\prime}$;
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Tà $\tau \circ \iota \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \cdot$. фoßov́ $\mu \epsilon \theta a \mu \epsilon \in \nu \pi o v \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \kappa \alpha ́, \pi \rho о \sigma-$ $: \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \quad \gamma \in \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
K^. Nai.


 $\chi$ úv $\eta \nu$.
$K \Lambda$. T ${ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ ov';

 $\delta^{\prime}$ є́ãi $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha \iota s ~ к \alpha i ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \alpha \iota s ~ j ́ \delta o \nu a i ̀ s . ~$
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. 'Op ${ }^{\prime}$ ó $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota s$.


 каі $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau о \nu ~ к а к о \nu \nu ~ i \delta i ́ a ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \delta \eta \mu о \sigma i ́ a ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \nu \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \iota к \epsilon \nu ;$
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ' ${ }^{\mathrm{O} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s} \lambda \overline{ }{ }^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.








$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ้ \nu$ ờv.




Kム. Фаıvó $\mu \in \theta a$.













 $\tau о \sigma \dot{v} \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \nu \circ \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota \nu \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi \hat{\eta}$


 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau о \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ aù $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$;
 $5 \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu \epsilon$ ย́va $\pi \hat{\omega} \mu a$;




 $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \delta \rho \in i ́ a s \quad \tau \in \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota$ каi $\delta \in i \lambda i \alpha a s ;$


$5 \ddot{\eta} \mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \tau i \omega \nu$;
入o $\eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \hat{\alpha} s$.


с $\gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ к \alpha i$ vov $\theta \epsilon \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ i $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$









 $\mu o ́ v o \nu a ̉ \nu \tau i ́ \mu \nu \rho i ́ \omega \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ò $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$ ä $\nu$
 $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \theta \theta \iota \iota, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ òк $\kappa о \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma v \mu \pi о \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i o ̛ \nu \omega \nu \gamma v \mu$ -



 $\dot{a} \phi \iota \kappa \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \tau \alpha \nu$ фоßоư $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ s \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$, $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ $5 \pi \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau о$.
 oั̃ $\tau \omega \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \nu$.
$\mathrm{A} \Theta . ~ \Pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \grave{s} \tau \tau \dot{o} \nu \nu 0 \mu o \theta \epsilon \in \tau \eta \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \cdot \mathrm{E} \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$, 649



 $\pi \hat{\omega} \mu a, \ddot{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} s \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \quad \mu \epsilon \nu$;




 $\mu \epsilon \omega s$ єis $\delta o ́ \xi a \nu ; ~ к a i \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \pi a ́ \sigma \eta s$ ó $\tau \circ \circ \prec \hat{\imath} \tau о s \pi a \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a s$

 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu,{ }^{\prime} \hat{\imath} \mu \alpha \iota, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau ’ \dddot{\alpha} \nu \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \circ \hat{\imath}$.

K $\Lambda$. Tí $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu ;$



$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. "A $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ aidov̂s ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon s$, $\dot{\omega} s$ oió $\mu \epsilon \theta a$.
$\mathrm{A} \Theta . \mathrm{K} \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \mu \nu \eta \mu o \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i a \nu$

 $a ̈ \nu \in i ̈ \eta$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Тó $\gamma^{\prime}$ oûv єiкós.





K K . "Ео七кє .


 $a \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \theta \hat{v} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \pi a \rho a ́ \phi \rho \circ \nu a s$ тоьє̂$; ~ \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{i}$


 $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ́ a s \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \eta \tau a \iota ; \sigma \kappa о \pi \omega \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \gamma \bar{\alpha} \rho \delta \eta^{\prime}: \delta v \sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda o v \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$


 $\nu$ v́rov $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a s ;: \eta ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̉ \phi \rho o \delta i ́ \sigma \iota a ~ \grave{\eta} \tau \tau \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta s ~ \tau \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ \psi v \chi \eta ิ s$









$5 \mathrm{~K} \Lambda$. 'A $\lambda \eta \theta$ 立s $\tau \circ \hat{\tau} \tau o ́, ~ \gamma \epsilon . ~$


 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s . \hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha ́ \rho ;$
เо Kム. Пávv $\mu \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v.
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 $\pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma o \rho \in$ v́ous.
 $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a s \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \iota$ каi $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta ิ \sigma \theta a \iota$ бокєi.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\lambda v \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ ${ }^{\omega} \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \alpha \lambda \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \varphi \iota s$ каі $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \in \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \beta i \not \omega, \theta \epsilon о \grave{\imath}$


 $\kappa \alpha i \Delta l o ́ v v \sigma o \nu ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon о \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̌ \delta o \sigma \alpha \nu, ~ i v ’ ’ ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \alpha \nu о \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha l, \tau \alpha ́ s$













 $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \tau o \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \delta \epsilon \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a ; \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha \nu \in i v a \iota \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \nu \delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ Movô̂̀ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu o s, \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} s$;
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \mathrm{O} \tilde{\tau} \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$.


$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Tí $\mu \eta \nu ;$
 є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$.

KА. 'Араүкаїог.



K $\Lambda$. "Еоєкє .

$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Tò $\pi o \imath ̂ o \nu ~ \delta \eta ́ \eta ;$

 $\mu \eta^{\prime} ;$
K.$~ \Pi \rho о \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$.







 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \alpha i \nu \nu \omega \nu$; ơ $\pi o ́ \sigma \alpha \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha$;




 $\delta v \nu a i ́ \mu \in \theta a$. $\hat{a} \rho$ ' oủ $\chi$ ov̈̃ $\tau \omega$;
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \mathrm{O} v ̃ \tau \omega \mu \epsilon ิ ้$ ov̂v.






K $\Lambda$. Nai.











 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon i \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ aíoxpá. каi iva $\delta \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$, $\mu \dot{a}$ кродоүía $\boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta}$


 $a \hat{v}, \tau o v ่ \nu \alpha \nu \tau i o \nu$ ä $\pi \alpha \nu$.
 $\ddot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \epsilon \kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \theta \omega \bar{\tau} \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu$.













Kム. Tò $\pi o \hat{\imath} o \nu$;














 $\sigma \pi o v \delta \eta ̂ s, ~ \chi a i ́ \rho o v \sigma \iota \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̇ ~ \pi a \rho ' ~ a i ́ \tau o i ̂ s . ~$





K $\Lambda$. Eiкós $\gamma \in$ :
IO








$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. "O T о⿱









 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a l$;

 ö $\tau \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\kappa} \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \quad \delta \in \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$




ПАAT $\Omega$ NOE





657
 $\tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta \nu$ à $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu a$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ఆav $\mu a \sigma \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.














c
 $\nu v ิ \nu \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.


$5 \chi \alpha i \rho о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ оí́ $\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \epsilon \hat{v} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, каi о́то́т $\alpha \nu \chi \alpha i \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, oiơ $\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \in \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ẩ; $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉ $\frac{0}{}$ oṽ $\tau \omega s$;

$\mathrm{A} \Theta . \mathrm{K} \alpha i \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \nu \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \circ o v i \tau \varphi, \chi \alpha i \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s, \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi i \alpha \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \nu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ä $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
ı $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{E} \sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$.





 $\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$.




 тòv $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o v s ~ \kappa \alpha i \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \pi о ь o v ̂ \nu \tau \alpha, \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~$



K $\Lambda$. Tá $\chi^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu$.



 à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \sigma v \nu a \gamma a \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho о \epsilon i \pi \tau o \iota, ~ \theta \epsilon i s$




 $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a i v \in \iota \nu$;

K $\Lambda$. Tô $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ;$




 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu \tau i s ~ a ̆ \nu \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$ סıкаíws;






K $\Lambda$. Tí $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$;



Kム. Пढ̂s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ oü,




K $\Lambda$. Ti $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu ;$



















 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ à̇тoi aùzov̀s oi $\theta \epsilon \alpha \tau \alpha i \quad \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v ́ o v \sigma \iota \nu-\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \phi \dot{\theta} \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon \nu \delta^{\prime}$



 околєîб $\theta^{\prime}$ єi $\tau o ́ \delta \epsilon$.

K $\Lambda$. Tò $\pi$ oîov;
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K^. T ${ }^{\prime} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$;

 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$;

 io $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu 0$ oito.










 ä $\delta \iota к о s ~ \oint \grave{\epsilon} \check{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \hat{\omega}$ " $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ фóvov aí $\alpha \tau о ́ \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ " $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$






















 $\nu o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$ Є' $\gamma \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i . \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} s, \ddot{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} s$;













$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ิ \nu$ ỗv.


$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Tí $\delta \epsilon ́ ; ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к а i ~ a ̀ \eta \delta \hat{\omega} s ~ к а i ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega s$ avi $\bar{\varphi}$;





 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s$ тò̀s $\grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, \zeta \eta \mu i \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon$ ỏ $\lambda i ́ \gamma o v \mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \tau \iota-$































K $\Lambda$. Kai $\pi \omega \bar{s}$;


















Bedtionos;


 Sıкаiov $\tau \in$ єîva кai óviov ßíov.












Kム. Пoîa;








 ф $\theta$ óvos ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \dot{\phi} \iota \sigma \beta \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ 入ó $\gamma \omega$.











K $\Lambda$. इv $v \chi \omega \rho \eta \tau \epsilon ́ o \nu$ à $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon i s$.


## ПЛAT $\Omega$ NOE










 ; $\epsilon \iota \nu$ aù $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \in ́ \rho \iota$.


 ; $\epsilon \iota$.










 $; \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i \quad \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta a, \Delta$ tóvvaov.
$\Lambda$. $\Pi \hat{\omega} s \delta^{\prime} \cdot o \dot{v} \cdot \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta a$;

 $\Delta \iota o v v ́ \sigma o v ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.





 1. Tí $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu ;$


## 





 .v $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ тoîs ädovauv каi $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \eta \eta^{2} \nu$.
 $\tau \in \sigma \theta a l$;



 $\sigma \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \mu \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \dot{\delta} \hat{\omega} \nu ;$

[^4]

$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \Pi_{\hat{\eta}} \delta{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} ;$




$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\mathrm{O} \tilde{v} \tau \omega \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \dot{\nu}$ о
A ©. О









 тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu, \pi \rho i \nu$ є́ $\pi i$ тò̀s $\pi o ́ v o v s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \in \iota \rho \in i ̂ \nu$















$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \mathrm{Kai} \pi о \lambda v ́^{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$.

 d $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu o i \tau o$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \mathrm{O} \dot{\delta} \alpha \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$.


5 K $\Lambda \omega \bar{s} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov̉;
 $\chi \circ \rho \omega \nu$;
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 j $\tau \omega \circ \hat{\nu} \nu \not{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \omega$;

 икаі $\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu ;$
K $\Lambda$. Tí $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$;
$1 \Theta .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ ö ${ }^{\circ} \tau \alpha \nu \tau \iota s \mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} \phi \hat{\eta} \kappa \rho i \nu \in \sigma t$



K $\Lambda$. ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$.


 $\nu \tau \epsilon \cdot \kappa \alpha i$ oîov $\hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda о \hat{\imath} \tau о$.





\ム. Kai $\mu a ́ \lambda a$.





\^. ' $\Sigma \chi \dot{\circ} \lambda \hat{\eta} \cdot \pi \hat{\omega} s \delta^{\prime}$ oű;

 $\omega s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ ü $\sigma \omega s$ ä̀ $\lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i \neq$.
i人. Пิिs;
 M. Naí.









$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Kai $\pi \hat{\omega}$;




 dous;
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \zeta \dot{\omega} \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \kappa о \mu \epsilon \nu$.




 каi тоîs $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu \circ$ îs];

Kム. "Еоєкє үои̂ข.







 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ a ̈ \pi o \delta o v ̂ v a l, ~ к a i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \lambda ~ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ a \hat{v} ~ к а i ~ \sigma \chi \eta ́ \mu a \tau \alpha ~ 5 ~$




























 $\left.\pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \psi \in \nu,-\dot{o} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s{ }_{\eta} \mu \eta{ }^{\prime}\right] ;$

Kム．$\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ is ov̉סa $\alpha \hat{\omega} s$.







Kム．＇Аvaүкаıóтата．



Kム．Kai $\tau i s{ }^{\mu} \eta \chi a \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$








 $\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu o ́ v \epsilon s \dot{\eta}^{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \circ \hat{v} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta^{\prime} \kappa о \nu \tau о s$ е












 $\lambda \in \dot{\gamma} о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$.





K $\Lambda$. Tí $\mu \eta \nu ;$












 $\omega_{\nu}^{\nu} \mu \alpha ́ к а \mu \epsilon \nu$;
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ${ }^{" E}$ Е $\tau \iota \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$.
5
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Toút $\omega \nu \delta \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$ єival voнофv́خакаs кс ovvठ $\eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o u ̀ s ~ a u ̛ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \theta o \rho u ́ \beta o v s ~ к а i ~ \nu \eta ́ \phi o v \tau a s ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$






$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ' $\mathrm{O} \rho \theta \hat{\omega}$.



$672 \dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \chi \theta \rho o i ́$, катà vó $\mu$ ovs $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu o v \sigma i ́ a$







b $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ каi $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ aı $\lambda \epsilon \chi \theta$ Є́v.














К $\Lambda$. М $\epsilon \mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu \in \theta a \cdot \tau i \delta^{\prime}$ ov̈;


 aitiovs $\gamma \in \gamma \quad \nu$ éval；

Kム．П $\omega$ s $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov；







 є́á $\sigma о \mu \in \nu$ ．




K ．Nai．




673
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ ．＇ $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta$ 白 $\sigma \tau a \tau \alpha$ ．

 $\sigma \iota \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ ．＇ $\mathrm{O} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\rho} \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv．


 $\gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon i \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．

Kム．＇ОрӨótaтa．

 oữ $\omega s \in i \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega \cdot \tau o ̀ \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \iota \sigma v \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，$\ddot{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} s$ каi $\pi \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \iota \eta-$ тє́ov：


 таúт $\eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є $\rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \sigma \tau \nu ;$


 סıa $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta ̂ s$.

5


$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \Sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.





5 кє́т $\nu$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta$ Є́ $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$.



K . Пávv $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu$ ov̂̀v.

е $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i$ к $\alpha i \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Поîov $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каi $\tau i ́ v a ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ;$







674 ßоú̀ $\eta \tau \alpha \iota \pi i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, ov̉к $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \theta \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu \tau \alpha u ̛ ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi \circ \nu$, $\omega_{s} \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi о \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \theta \eta \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$
 К $\eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каi $\Lambda а к \epsilon \delta a \iota \mu о \nu i \omega \nu \quad \chi \rho \epsilon i a s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \theta \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu$ à $\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
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## BOOK III

## SHORT ANALYSIS

Book III．is，in general，a study of the origin and development of civic communities，undertaken specially with the view of finding how laws arose，and what is the effect of laws on the organism．

676－682 e．－Prehistoric times ：early forms of polity and the origin of law．

683－693 c．－The Dorian Confederacy ：reasons for the decline of Argos and Messene and for the rise of Sparta．
$693 \mathrm{~d}-698 \mathrm{a}$ ．－Persia as a type of autocracy ：the evils of too great power in the governor．

698 b－70I e．－Athens as a type of democracy：the evils of too great freedom in the governed．

## $\Gamma$

$676 \mathrm{~A} \Theta . \mathrm{T} \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv $\delta \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \cdot \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \delta \grave{~} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \tau_{i}^{\prime} \nu \alpha$
 $\tau \in \kappa \alpha i$ кá入入ıбта катíoь；

K＾．Пó $\theta \in \nu$ ；



Kt．$\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon S$ 立 $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ；
 $\mathrm{b} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \circ \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau о \iota \circ \tau \tau \varphi$ ．

К ．Пิิs $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \in \iota s ;$

 5 خ $\epsilon$＇$\gamma \nu \in \nu$ ；


$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ ．Пávv $\mu \in \grave{\nu}$ ov̂v тô̂тó $\gamma \epsilon$ ．







Kム．＇А $\nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha i ̂ o \nu$.

 $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \hat{\nu} \gamma \epsilon \in \nu \in \sigma \iota \nu$ каi $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \beta a \sigma \iota \nu$.


 ठоко仑̂биv；

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \epsilon \in \nu o s$.

 $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda \nu \sigma \mu \hat{\varphi} \pi о \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu-$

Kム．Тं̀ $\pi 0$ oî́v $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ a u ̀ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \delta \iota a \nu o \eta \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ;$



$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ ．





Kム．Eiкòs joûv．


$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ ．$\Theta \omega \mu \in \nu$ ．












$\mathrm{A} \Theta .{ }^{*} \mathrm{~A} \rho \iota \sigma \tau$ ', $\hat{\omega} \mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu i ́ a, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o \nu ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon s, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$




 фатє.








Kム. Tí $\mu \eta_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$;


5 каi $\mu \nu \eta \eta_{\mu \eta \nu}$ єîvaı $\tau$ ò $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \nu$;
K . Ov̉ $\delta a \mu \omega \bar{s}$.




КА. Пิิs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \in \iota s$;




 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v} \gamma^{\prime} \nu o v s, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ̛ \tau \alpha \pi \rho о є \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$;

K $\Lambda$. 'Op日óтата.
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 à $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \omega \dot{u} \pi \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \nu \mu i-$



 т̀̀ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ ~ к а \tau а к \lambda \nu \sigma \mu о \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu o ́ \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu v \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \chi \nu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota ~ \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ каі $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} s$ ä $\lambda \lambda a s \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda$－




 каі̀ ä $\mu \alpha$ б $\omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota ~ к а і ~ \sigma u ́ \mu \pi а \nu \tau \alpha ~ \delta \iota к а \iota o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota ; ~ т o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~}$

$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$ ．＇O $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.




KA．Kai ка入ิิs $\gamma \in \epsilon і ̈ \rho \eta \kappa \alpha s$.



$$
5
$$

 $\nu$ о́ $\mu о \iota s$ є́ $\pi о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$.

Kム．Eiкòs yoûv．
 K 1 ．Tis；



 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oĭ $\gamma^{\prime} \dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\rho \in \epsilon \omega \nu \nu \alpha i o v \sigma \iota ~ к \alpha ́ \rho \eta \dot{\nu} \alpha$




 $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa o i ̂ s ~ \pi o \iota \eta ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \nu \nu$.







$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \mathrm{K} \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$.







Kム. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o u ̂ \nu . ~$





$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Tò $\gamma o \hat{v} \nu$ єiкòs $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ oṽ̃ $\omega \mathrm{s} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$.
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Tí $\delta \epsilon ́ ; ~ \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \grave{\alpha} \rho a$ oùк єiкós;
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Tò $\pi 0$ ôov;










$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . ~ \Pi \omega \omega s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov;
 àvaүкаı̂óv $\pi o v, \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\mathrm{O} \tilde{\tau} \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$.
 5 є̈оикєข.

K . Пávv $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̂ \nu . ~$







 5 бovav.


 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ रi $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.


$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \epsilon \delta i \not \omega \omega \pi \epsilon \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o, \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s \quad \mu \epsilon \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$,




 5 Xápıбı̀ каi Mov́баıs є́фátттєтаı є́єка́бтотє.
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ME. Ti $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu ;$



















ME. Ei




5 $\theta \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \epsilon i \bar{s} \tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \tau \rho \epsilon \pi о \mu \epsilon \in \nu \circ v$.

ME. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ิ \nu$ ov̂v.







ME. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ o ̂ ̂ ̀ \nu$.

 Eủpva日évŋs:

ME. $\Pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov ;


## ME. T ${ }^{\prime} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$;






ME. Kai $\pi \hat{\omega} s$;











 â $\rho$ ' oủx oưz

$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. О白кои̂̀ $\tau o ́ \quad \gamma \in \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \kappa а \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



ME. Пoîov;



ME. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$.



 каi $\mathfrak{i a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave { \alpha }} \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon v o ́ \rho \epsilon \nu a \quad \sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau \alpha$.
$\dot{\rho} \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \eta \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$.
ME. Tò $\pi$ ô̂ov;








 oṽт $\omega \mathrm{s} \dot{v} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon \nu$ ка入ิิs каi $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \hat{\eta} \tau \omega s, \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \phi \iota \sigma-$

ME. 'A $\lambda_{1} \theta \hat{\eta}$.


685 МЕ. Пิ̂s $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha a i \not \tau i ́ \mu \epsilon \mu \phi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ aùz $\hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$;



5 ME. Ỏ̉ $\pi \alpha ́ v v$ fóádoov ${ }^{\prime} \rho \omega \tau \hat{a} s$.


 b ${ }^{\eta} \rho \chi o ́ \mu \in \theta a \quad \pi о \rho \in \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$.








 аікои̂ $\nu \tau \epsilon s$ тóтє, $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$ vóv $\tau \epsilon s ~ \tau \hat{\eta}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'A $\sigma \sigma \nu \rho i ́ \omega \nu ~ \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon i \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Nîvov $\gamma \in \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$, $\theta \rho a \sigma v \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu ~ \eta ้ \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu$
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 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a, \pi \epsilon \rho i \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu \check{\alpha} \nu$ oṽ $\tau \omega$ ठ $\alpha \alpha \nu 0 \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ ；






е aù $\hat{\omega}$ тó $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ є่ $\chi \rho \eta$ ク́ $\sigma \tau \tau$.
 є̇ $\pi \eta \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s ;$



 $\epsilon v ่ \delta a \iota \mu о \nu o i ̂$.











ME．Пávv $\mu$ c̀v oûv．



入óyou；

ME．＂Еоккє रồv．

入óros］；

ME. Tò $\pi \circ \hat{\imath} o \nu ;$
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha, \epsilon i \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta{ }^{\prime}, \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon$ $\alpha{ }^{2} \nu \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \nu \alpha$.

ME. T $i \quad \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} ;$




ME. $\Pi \omega \bar{s} \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉;



ME. T $i{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta_{\nu}$;
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Фí入os $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ voòs $\pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́, \pi \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i$.
ME. $\Pi \hat{\omega} s \delta^{\prime}$ oư;

 тov̂ v́éos $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi a ̀ s ~ \gamma i \gamma v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.







ME. Mav $\theta$ áv $\omega$ ó $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ үáp $\mu \circ \iota$ ठокєîs $\omega$ s oủ 5























 какía $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \phi \theta \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v a, ~ к \alpha i ~}^{\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~} \tau \hat{\eta}_{-} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$







 каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau$ ' $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi a \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} s$.
e ME. "A $\rho \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu i ́ a, ~ к \alpha i \quad \pi о \iota \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ â $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon i s$.
K




 $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ є’ $\xi a \iota \rho \in i ̂ \nu$.

K $\Lambda . \Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$.

 $\tau о \iota \alpha ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu a \iota$.

K . Поíav;
5
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 ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \iota \nu$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . ~ \Pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov;



K







$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. 'О ${ }^{\prime} \theta$ ó $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota s . ~$
$5 \mathrm{~A} \Theta . \Theta \epsilon \circ \phi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \epsilon \dot{v} \tau v \chi \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \nu a \quad \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ є $\beta \delta \delta^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$

 $\phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$.













$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. 'О $\mathrm{O} \theta \dot{\prime} \tau \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon$.








 $\pi \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu \delta \iota \epsilon ́ \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu$;

Kム. "Еоєкє үо仑ेข.
 $\lambda \alpha \beta \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o v ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тov $\pi \alpha ́ \theta o v s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s ; ~ \hat{\alpha} \rho \rho^{\prime} \hat{\omega}$

 $\pi \rho о \ddot{\delta} \dot{\omega} \nu$;

ME. Tò $\pi o \hat{o} 0 \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ;$

 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.

ME. $\Sigma a \phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\epsilon} \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$.

ME. Tò $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{o \nu}$;






 $\theta v \nu o s, \omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \gamma^{i} \sigma \tau \eta s$ vórov ảvoías $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a \mathrm{~d}$






ME. Tò $\pi 0$ ôov;
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Ө $\epsilon$ òs $\epsilon i \mathrm{v} a \iota ~ к \eta \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota s$, ös $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \alpha$


 $\kappa a \tau \iota \delta o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \bar{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \alpha i v o v \sigma \alpha \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \iota, \mu \epsilon i \gamma \nu v \sigma \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


 $\dot{v \mu i ̀ \nu}{ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \gamma \bar{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu$ каi $\theta \nu \mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ óp $\hat{\nu} \nu$, oìo

















 $\mathrm{K} \Lambda .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota$.




$5 \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \rho \iota \omega \nu$ ov̀ $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \mu i \alpha \nu \dot{v} \pi \grave{\epsilon} \rho$ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $E \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{v} \nu \alpha \iota$,
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 $\theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon S \chi \rho \omega \mu \mu \theta a$;

K


 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa \epsilon \in \nu \alpha \iota$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Пิ̂s $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o ̛ o o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$;










 $\tau \rho \epsilon \phi$ ov $\sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. "E $\chi_{\chi \iota}$ रà $\rho$ dó $\gamma o \nu$.















 $\beta$ víoov $\mu \omega i_{i}{ }^{2}$.
 $\gamma \in \gamma o \nu \in ́ v a l$.



K $\Lambda$. Tí $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu ;$
































ME. П $\omega$ s $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ov̈;

 10 $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho о \nu \alpha$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ аُкó $\alpha a \sigma \tau о \nu$.
c ME. Ev̀ $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \in$.
 кор $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$;

ME. Oủ $\delta \alpha \mu \omega \hat{s}$. $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o v \in i ̂ \nu$.





ME. Ov̀ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ô̂v.

 є́ка́бтотє.

ME. Tò $\pi \sigma_{0} \hat{\imath} \nu$;

 бікך

ME. Ov̉к ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega$ ö
 $\mu \eta \nu$ ómoтєроvov̂v, $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \stackrel{\mu}{\epsilon} \mu о \iota \gamma^{\prime}$ ăv סокєîs $\phi \theta \epsilon \in \gamma \xi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$.










# SAVE $\$ 3,999,994$ 

Did you know we sell paperback books too?

## To buy our entire catalog

 in paperback would cost over \$4,000,000
## Access it all now for \$8.99/month

 *Fair usage policy applies
## Continue

$\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha$ тímıа каì ка入̀̀ ката̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
ME. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ิ \nu$ oûv.
5

 $\bar{\epsilon} \chi \bar{\epsilon} \tau \omega$.

ME. Пávv $\mu$ ม̀v oûv.

























































 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \eta \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \delta \iota ~ \pi \rho \in \pi o ́ v \tau \omega s$.










 тò $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \lambda_{\epsilon \gamma}{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu \circ \nu$.

VOL. I 113



## ME. Пoioıs $\delta \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \in \iota$;
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K



 $\sigma v \mu \beta a i ̂ v o \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каì $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o i \omega \omega \nu o ́ v ~ \tau \iota v a ~ \pi о ь o ̂ ̂ \mu a \iota . ~ \dot{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
 каi $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ K \nu \omega \sigma i o \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s$,








5 $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \in \iota$.

 $\nu o \hat{\nu} \dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon i s$ dúvaucv.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \mathrm{E} \hat{\dot{v}} \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \in \iota s$.
ME. Kai $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ каi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \in \mu o v$.
e K . Ká $\lambda_{\iota \sigma} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \tau о \nu . ~ \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha ̀ \rho \pi$ $\kappa а \tau о \iota \kappa і \zeta є \iota \nu \tau \grave{̀ \nu} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.

## BOOK IV

## SHORT ANALYSIS

704-707 e. -Foreign trade and a navy are dangers to the honesty and the bravery of a people. Therefore our colony should not be too near the sea, and its soil should produce various'but not overabundant crops.

707 e-708e.—Better face the difficulty of welding into one a heterogeneous mass of colonists than that of overcoming the general prejudice against any improvement in polity or legislation which you will encounter if they all come from one city.

708 e-709 e.—Though bad luck may thwart the highest skill, without skill the best of luck cannot be utilized.
$709 \mathrm{e}-712 \mathrm{~b}$. -The best chance for the establishment. of a perfect polity is that a"divine" lawgiver should enjoy the complate confidence of a revered and public-spirited despot.
$712 \mathrm{~b}-716$.-The only true polity is one in which Law is supreme, and in which laws are made in the interest of the whole community.

7I6-7I8 b.—Our whole duty towards God and all superior powers and existences is here set forth.

7 I 8 b to end of book. -The need of rational, persuasive, and artistic prefaces to the laws.

## $\Delta$







 $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ є̇ $\pi \iota \theta a \lambda a \tau \tau i \delta \iota o s$ ढ̆ $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \ddot{\eta} \chi \in \rho \sigma a i ́ a$.


 тара́та⿱ ${ }^{2} \lambda i ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ;$
 $\mu \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \alpha \tau \alpha, \hat{\omega} \xi^{\prime} \varphi \in \epsilon$.



Kム．$\Sigma_{\chi \epsilon \delta \grave{\nu} \nu ~ o v ̀ \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \delta \epsilon \eta ' s . ~}^{\text {．}}$




 єкка́ $\sigma \tau \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \epsilon \grave{\lambda} \lambda \eta \chi \epsilon \nu$ ；


Kム．Пávv $\mu \in ฺ v$ oûv．


 $\sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{n}$ каi $\nu о \mu \circ \theta \epsilon \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu, \epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha$
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 $5 \mu i \omega \nu$, à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єiкvías aùzoîs $\gamma^{i} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \rho \circ \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ каi $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$



 $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$, каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ тò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \epsilon ́ \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s . \quad \hat{\eta} \nu . \delta \epsilon ́ \pi o v$



 $\kappa \alpha \theta \in ́ \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, ó $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi^{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha i \nu \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}$ каі $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota-$
 $\nu \hat{\eta} a s \epsilon^{\epsilon} v \sigma \epsilon ́ \lambda \mu o v s{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \alpha \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ̄ \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$, ő $\phi \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$






















 $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma v \sigma \sigma \omega \sigma a \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \mu \alpha \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i{ }^{2} \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu i ̂ v a$





 то仑̂тo $\epsilon ้ \nu \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. $\mathrm{T} i \not{ }^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime} \nu ;$

 $\kappa а i \quad \nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \omega ิ \nu$.

K $\Lambda$. Kai $\pi o \lambda v ́ \gamma \epsilon$.












 бıакท̂s.






















 $\sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$.



$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Tồ $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ;$



 $\pi \epsilon \nu i ́ a s ~ \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \eta ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi о \rho i ́ a \cdot ~ \pi о \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ к а i ~ \nu o ́ \sigma o \iota ~ a ̉ \nu а \gamma к а ́ \zeta о v \sigma \iota ~$









K . Tò mồv;



 $\ddot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \theta \epsilon i \neq \nu . \quad \ddot{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega}_{S} ;$
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 ${ }^{\text {е́гоито. }}$
c





Kム. Пิ̂s $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s ; ~ \epsilon i ~ \tau v ́ p a \nu \nu o s ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota \tau o, ~ \phi \eta ́ s, ~ \nu \epsilon ́ o s, ~ \sigma \omega ́-~$ $\phi \rho \omega \nu, \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \theta \dot{\eta} s, \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu, \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \in i o s, \mu \in \gamma \alpha \lambda o \pi \rho \in \pi \eta$ 's;










 є́к бпиократі́аs.]














 $\mu \in \nu O \nu$.

$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. Ov̉ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi o ́ v \omega \nu$ ov̀ $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \iota \nu o s \pi \alpha \mu \pi o ́ \lambda \lambda o v ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$








 ค̂âov $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ ă $\nu$ тотє $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau o v ̀ s . ~ \nu o ́ \mu o v s ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\delta \nu \nu a \sigma \tau \epsilon v o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu о \dot{\nu} i ́ a, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma \epsilon \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$





$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Tò $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} o \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \in \iota s$; 5
















 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \rho \hat{a} \sigma \tau о \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{\varphi}$.







$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ${ }^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E} \lambda \theta$ oı $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oûv.

c $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu ;$



$5 \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} s \ddot{\alpha} \nu \quad$ oi $\eta \theta \epsilon i \mu \mu \nu$.


 $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \in \rho о \nu$;
d K K . "I $\sigma \omega \mathrm{s}$.
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 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ кá $\lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ oữ $\omega$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . ~ \Pi \omega \varsigma ;$

Kム. $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma$ ’ $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \tau \iota \sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$.


$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. 'A $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \gamma \in \iota$.




 $\mu a ́ \zeta \omega \nu$;

Kム. "Еоикє үои̂ข.



e $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon ́ \rho$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Поí $\omega \nu \delta \eta^{\prime}$;








 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$.

Kム. Tò $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \boldsymbol{\nu}$;




























$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon$ ' $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$.



$\therefore \mathrm{K} \Lambda . \Pi \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{s} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ oṽ;

VOL. I

715 e
חЛAT $\Omega \mathrm{NOE}$














 " $\mu \phi$ рога; "
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi} \delta \in \hat{\imath} \delta \iota a \nu o \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \alpha$.
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 $\sigma \theta a \iota \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$.

5 кєí $\epsilon \nu a ;$
 oîóv $\tau \iota \nu \iota \tau v ́ \pi \omega, ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ờ $\tau \omega \sigma \grave{\imath} \tau \iota \nu \alpha \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, ä้ $\tau \iota$ $\delta \nu \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i}$ aù $\tilde{\omega} \nu \quad \beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \omega ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$.


 $\pi о \iota \in \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \nu 0 \mu \circ \theta \epsilon \sigma i ́ a$.
d K
 $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \epsilon i s \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i \hat{\omega} \nu \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\eta}, \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi a \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$







 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s, \phi \eta \sigma^{i} \nu$,







K $\Lambda$. Ti $\theta \in \iota \delta \eta^{\prime}$.




Kム. 'Араүкаі̂о
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. " $\Sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{\varphi} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ oủ火 $\eta^{\eta} \kappa о v ́ \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \sigma o v ~$







K^. Поía;




 5















$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. 'А $\mathrm{A} \lambda_{\eta} \theta$ '́ $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \quad \lambda \epsilon ' \gamma \epsilon \iota$.











b Kム. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ิ \nu$ ô̂v.





$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Пิิs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\circ}$ ov̈;
























$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Пิ̂s $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ov̉ $\beta o v i \lambda o \mu a \iota ;$
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 5 ठıavoov $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \mathrm{K} \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \gamma^{\prime}, \hat{\omega} \mathrm{M} \epsilon ́ \gamma i \lambda \lambda \epsilon, \epsilon i \pi \epsilon s$.











 $\gamma<\gamma \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$.
















 5






















 $\ddot{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} s$ av̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota-\tau \grave{o} \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota$




 $\epsilon$ є $\pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \tau є$ є́о.






 $\pi \rho о о ц \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. каі $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \circ \gamma o ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon$


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$.




5 тò $\phi \hat{\omega}_{S} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
K $\Lambda$. Пav $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi a \sigma \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o u ̂ \nu . ~$
$\mathrm{A} \Theta$. ' $\mathrm{A} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \quad \gamma \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \sigma \iota \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$, ${ }^{\omega} s \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$





$5 \mathrm{~K} \Lambda$. 'О $\rho \theta$ óт $\tau \tau \alpha$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$.
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5 пóvovs каi фóßous каi à $\lambda \gamma \eta \delta o ́ v a s ~ к а i ~ \lambda u ̛ ́ \pi a s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta ı a \pi o v \hat{\eta}$




























 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.




 aùтò $\dot{\omega}$ s äpı $\sigma \tau \alpha$ à $\pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$.































 à $\nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta$ каi
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## 732








b ä入入oıs à $\mu \grave{\eta}$ є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, ảvaүкаЧо́ $\mu \epsilon \theta a \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\alpha u ̀ \tau o i ̀ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon s$. ठıò $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha a \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu \quad \chi \rho \bar{\eta} \quad \phi \in u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\tau \grave{o}$











 $\gamma \in \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta o i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu \nu \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ a ̂ ~ \delta \omega \rho \in i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ \pi o ́ v \omega \nu, ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \pi \iota \pi \tau o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$





 $\sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} s$.












 $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ó $\rho \theta o ́ \tau \eta s$ тis; $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \chi \rho \grave{\eta}$























 $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma о \mu \in \nu$.
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 $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \mu \hat{\nu} \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \omega ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ äv каi тò тоьоí




 $\epsilon i \omega \theta \in \nu$. ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \rho a o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta a \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\tau о \iota o ́$







 $\chi$ д $\alpha \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi a \rho o ́ v, ~ o i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu ~ \sigma v \rho \rho \epsilon o ́ v ~$


 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ v́ov $\tau \alpha$ к каі $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \alpha s$. то́vо






 $i ̀ \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} \quad \tau \epsilon \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta \alpha$.












 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{o} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau i \alpha \nu \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon i \omega$. $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho_{i}^{\prime} \alpha s \quad \tau \epsilon \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta}$




















Tís oủv $\delta \dot{\eta} \tau \rho o ́ \pi o s ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̈ \eta ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ o j \rho \theta \hat{\eta} s ~ \delta \iota a \nu o \mu \hat{\eta} s ; ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu ~ c$
 $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu} \cdot \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau о \hat{v} \tau o \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \nu о \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}{ }_{\circ} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \alpha$



 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha \chi \omega ́ \rho \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$. $\gamma \tilde{\eta} s \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ó $\pi o ́ \sigma \eta$ тобò̀s $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o \nu a s ~ d$





 ò 入ójos ǐcu.



 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \grave{s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta_{\mu}$ v̂ $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \theta_{\eta} \tau \omega, \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{~} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \tau \rho i \alpha$





 тара́коута каі $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha к \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i \omega \nu$ єi's $\tau \epsilon \pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu о к а і$ ö ӧа
 фор $\omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota a \nu o \mu \omega ิ \nu$, ov̀ $\pi \lambda \epsilon i o v s ~ \mu \iota a ̂ s ~ \delta \epsilon o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$
 ¢ $\rho \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठ $\epsilon$ '́ка.
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 $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ оікіая, каi $\mu \grave{\eta}$














































 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ • каi $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \frac{\nu}{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mathrm{~b}$






















 $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta$ -

 $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu . \chi \rho \eta \eta^{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$.















 ঠ $\eta \mu$ óбıóv $\tau \epsilon \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta \omega$ каi ó $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\omega}$ каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \phi$



 $\pi \alpha \rho а к а \tau \alpha \tau i \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \tau \omega \mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \varsigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v \in \iota, \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \alpha$











 є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota, \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$ où $\delta v \nu a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \cdot \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v $\delta_{1}$
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 à $\nu a \phi a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s . ~ \tau o \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ ov̂v $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu a i ́-$












 $\pi \lambda o u ́ \tau o v ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ каi $\pi \epsilon \nu i a \nu$, $\tau a ̀ s ~ \tau \iota \mu a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ~ a ̉ \rho \chi a ̀ s ~ \dot{\omega} s$










 $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \alpha \hat{v} \pi \lambda o v ̂ \tau o \nu$, ف́s ả $\mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \iota \kappa \tau o ́ \nu-$













































 ка८роѝs $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma o \iota, \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu \mu \beta \hat{\nu} \nu a \iota$ ката̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ oṽ $\tau \omega$ $\sigma u ́ \mu$ -

## П $\Lambda$ AT $\Omega$ NOL


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \iota a$ dià ßíov $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ к а i ~ \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ a ̂ s ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta ́ к а, ~$











 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \dot{a} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu, \hat{\psi}$










 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \nu o \mu \hat{\eta} s, \tau \grave{o} \tau i v a$ т $\rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~ \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$





 $\phi \omega \nu a \delta \in \hat{\imath}$ тóv $\gamma \epsilon$ עó $\mu o \nu \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ тov́тous oi
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## B00K VI

## SHORT ANALYSIS


(1) 752 e 1.-Nонофи́дакєs.

(3) 756 b 7.—Воидєvтаí (757 $\dot{\eta}$ à $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ́ \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~$ i $\sigma o ́ \tau \eta s$; the lot as an auxiliary agent in elections);

(4) 759.-'I $\epsilon \rho \epsilon$ ís and other temple officials.
(5) 760 a 6.-'А $\boldsymbol{\text { роо̀о́ }} \boldsymbol{\prime}$.
(6) 763 c 3.-'А $\boldsymbol{\tau \tau} \downarrow \nu о ́ \mu о ь$.


 most important of all state officials.)
(9) 766 d 2.— -ıкабтаí. $^{\text {. }}$

## II. Nо $о$ о $\theta \in \boldsymbol{\sigma}$. .

(768 e 1-77I a 4.-We must make the No legislating, for many rectifications and additions to the laws will be needed as time goes on.)

771 a 5.-Distribution of the 5040 households into tribes and other divisions.-Social Festivals.

77 I e 1.-Marriage.
773.-The principles which should guide the choice of wife or husband.

774 a.-Marriage a duty to the state.
774 C 3.-Dowries forbidden.
774 e 4.-Legal and religious ceremonies attending marriage.
776 a.--The young couple to remove to the country house.
776 b 5.-Property; especially in slaves-their treatment.
778 b.-Building, public and private.
779 d 7 . -The regulation of the first ten years of married life, and the state's interference with private life, especially that of women: advisability and possibility of such interference.
785.-Ages for marriage, military and official service.

## 5




K 1 ．＂E $\chi \in \iota$ रà $\rho$ oûv oữ $\omega$ ．








Kム．Tíva $\delta \grave{\eta}$ тoû̃ov；



 $\sigma v \mu \beta a i ้ \nu o \iota, \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \alpha \iota$ каì $\lambda \hat{\omega} \beta a \iota ~ \pi о \lambda \grave{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ c$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu o \iota \nu \tau ’ \not ้ \nu \epsilon \epsilon \xi$ aù $\tau \omega \nu$ ．

Kム．П $\omega$ s $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ov́；
 тодєтєías $\tau \epsilon$ каi $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ $\sigma v \mu \beta a i ̂ \nu o \nu . ~ o ́ \rho a ̂ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu ~ 5$







 $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\alpha} s$ aip $\epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a l$ ；

Kム．$\Sigma \chi \in \delta \dot{\nu} \nu$ ov̀к ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \quad \pi о \tau \epsilon$ ．






 фaivolto.
5



 тó $\gamma \epsilon$ тобои̂то⿱.

 тód $\epsilon$.



 $\nu \hat{v}$;







 $\epsilon i \prime \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \tau \nu \grave{\imath} \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega$ каi $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu о \iota \tau о$ ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s, \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$


d Kム. "Exєc yoûv hójov.

 $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \delta \iota a \phi \in \rho o ́ v \tau \omega s \mathrm{~K} \rho \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \mu o ́ v o \nu$ à $\phi о \sigma \iota \omega \dot{-}$ 5 бабӨaı $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \chi^{\omega} \rho a s \hat{\eta}_{\nu}^{\nu} \nu \hat{v} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau о \iota \kappa i \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, $\sigma v \nu \tau o ́ v \omega s \delta^{\prime}$


 $\sigma \theta a \iota$ ảvaүкаьóтатоע $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta ~ \sigma \pi о v \delta \eta ̂ . ~$
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 ік $\alpha \nu \omega ิ s$.
$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. 'О $\mathrm{O} \theta$ ó $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s$.





K $\Lambda$. Tivos $\delta \dot{\eta}$;







 $\sigma v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o v s{ }^{-}$à $\delta \grave{\eta}$ vv̂v $\phi \eta \mu i \mathrm{~K} \nu \omega \sigma i o \iota s ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$






 $\delta \epsilon i \nu \epsilon i s$
d катабт $\hat{\omega} \sigma \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu o ́ \mu о \nu s, \kappa а \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \sigma a i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \delta о к \iota \mu a \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \cdot \gamma \epsilon-$

























 є̈каоттоs $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ точ́тoıs $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$ aùzò̀s $\delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ ̀ ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o ı s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \cdot \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \xi \hat{\eta} s$














































 $\kappa а \theta a ́ \pi \tau \rho \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu, \tau \rho i \tau \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho i \tau \omega \nu \tau \mu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$
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 $\delta \epsilon \chi \circ \mu \epsilon ́ v o v s$ à $і$ каi $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta ı \delta o ́ v \tau a s ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \eta \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu . \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os

























 $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o u \tau \tau \nu, \epsilon \grave{\epsilon} \pi \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} s \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \iota \nu a s \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau o \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu \in ́ v o v s$ tival;

Kム. $\Pi \omega s \gamma$ d̀ $\rho$ ovv;















 $\sigma \mu \epsilon ́ v o \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \circ \hat{v} \nu$ ov̀ $\tau \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon i ́ a ~ \tau u ̛ \chi \eta \eta$ à $\pi \circ \delta \iota \delta o ́ \nu \tau \alpha$,


 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon i ̀ a ~ a ́ \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ aưтòv каi $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho а ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha}$

















 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau о$. каì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ í $\epsilon \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$
$5 \gamma \iota \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$.



b $\pi \rho v \tau \alpha ́ v \epsilon \omega \nu$, каi $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i$ à $\sigma \tau v \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ каі ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma о \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$, $\delta \pi о ́ \tau \alpha \nu$

































## Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page
































 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ a’ $\gamma \rho о \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu o ́ \tau \alpha$. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ oi


























 тov́тovs $\delta v \nu a \tau o u ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ к а i ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau a s ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ к о \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota-~$


























 $\zeta \eta \mu \iota o \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \mathrm{~s}, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \pi \lambda a \sigma i ́ a \nu \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ á $\gamma о \rho \alpha \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$.






 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \theta \lambda_{0} \theta \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha$ s à $\theta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i ̂ s, \delta \iota \tau \tau o v ̀ s ~ a \hat{v} \tau o u ́ \tau o v s . ~[\pi \epsilon \rho i$




 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \chi о \rho \omega \delta i ́ a \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda$ lovs. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \quad \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$
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 $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$ ä̀ $\tau \circ$ îs $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma i \nu ~ к а \tau а \sigma \tau \eta \prime \sigma \omega \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i \tau \rho о \pi о \nu . ~$
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 $\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ a ̉ \phi i к \eta \tau a \iota . ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ таро́vть $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 aữ $\eta \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu{ }^{\prime}$








 5 є̇ $\mu$ í.

K^. Tò $\pi о i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \tau i v \omega \nu ;$




 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \in \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \in ́ v a$.
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## П $\Lambda$ AT $\Omega \mathrm{NO} \mathrm{\Sigma}$





 таs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon i s ~ \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \omega-$




 ă $\rho \rho \eta \nu \tau \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \circ \iota \kappa о$ úv $\tau \omega \nu$ ov̂̃a $\dot{\eta}$ фú $\tau \iota s$




 $\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ن́nò $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ v \omega \nu, \ddot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \nu \quad \phi v \gamma \hat{\eta} \tau \eta \nu \nu$

 $\iota \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \epsilon \not \epsilon \mu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \omega \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, каi



 $\nu \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \epsilon$ v́є $\iota \nu$.







 каì $\tau \hat{\eta}$ тоv̂ $\pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \delta \omega . ~ \delta ı o ̀ ~ к а i ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~$ ò $\sigma u ̛ \mu \phi v \tau o \nu ~ i \epsilon \rho o v ̂ v ~ a u ̀ \tau \alpha ́ s, ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota ~ \delta \grave{~} \alpha$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 '. $\omega \nu$ каі̀ тєттара́коута ápı $\theta \mu$ óv, ờs $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma а s ~$










 $\tau \eta \tau o ́ s \quad \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \iota$ каi $\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \omega s$ à $\lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$, $\dot{\omega}$ ф $\alpha \hat{\imath} \mu \epsilon \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu, \kappa \alpha i$





 кópovs каi кópas, каì á $\mu a$ $\delta \grave{\eta} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho о 仑 ̂ \nu \tau a ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \theta \epsilon \omega \rho o v-~$






















 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu o ́ \mu о \nu$ кратєiv.









$\mathrm{A} \Theta . \mathrm{E} \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota s .{ }^{s} \Omega \pi \alpha \hat{\imath}, \tau o i ́ v v \nu \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$










 5 रá $\mu o v \cdot \tau o ̀ \nu \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \nu \mu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho о \nu \tau \alpha \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon \cup \cup \epsilon \iota \nu \gamma a ́ \mu o \nu$




 $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \iota \nu, \mu \grave{\eta}$ रанєîv $\pi \lambda o v ́ \sigma \iota o \nu \pi \lambda o v \sigma i o v, \mu \eta \delta \grave{~} \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
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Пєрi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \alpha \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$, фídovs $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ каi фí入as
$5 \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon$ iovs $\pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon$ є́катє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ס̀̀ каi



























 $\alpha u ̀ \tau \eta ̂ \pi \alpha \rho ' ~ \epsilon ์ \kappa a ́ \sigma \tau o v ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \chi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu ~ \lambda a \gamma \chi \alpha ́ \nu \eta . ~$






















5













$\pi о \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i$ סov́ $\omega \omega \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$.
ME. Tí $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$;









 $\tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$.

ME. Tí $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$;
b K K. Tí oûv $\delta \dot{\eta} \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu, \hat{\omega} \xi \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon, \delta \iota a \phi \in \rho o \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$
















 $\mu \eta ं \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \nu a ̀ ~ v ̋ \beta \rho \iota \nu ~ v i \beta \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ o i k \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha s, ~ \hat{\eta} \tau \tau \sigma \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ́, ~ \epsilon i$
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K^. Пávv $\mu \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ o u ̂ \nu . ~$










KЛ. Пávu $\mu \mathrm{e} v$ ov̂v.


















КА. "Еоикє $\gamma \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$.







 дытокขє $\bar{\nu} ;$






 $\alpha \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ढ́к $\theta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \tau \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ a ́ v a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta s, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \gamma v \nu a i ̂ \kappa \alpha s$




























 ov $\sigma v \nu \delta о к є \hat{\imath}$.




$\mathrm{K} \Lambda .{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \rho \theta \hat{\omega}_{s} \epsilon i \rho \eta \kappa \alpha$.
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## П $\Lambda$ AT $\Omega N O \Sigma$



 ă $\nu \eta \kappa о v \sigma \tau i a s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau o s ~ a ̈ ̀ \lambda \lambda o ~ \tau \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} S$




 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau \eta$ каó $\mu \in \nu о s$. à $\delta \grave{\eta} \delta \in \hat{\imath} \tau \rho i ́ a ~ \nu о \sigma \eta ́ \mu a \tau \alpha, ~ \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \alpha ~ є i ́ s ~$
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau о \iota s \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ катє́ $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, фó $\beta \omega$ каi vó $\mu \varphi$ каi $\tau \hat{\omega}$ à $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$











 $\theta \epsilon i \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$.

$\mathrm{A} \Theta . \Phi v \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ тoívvv $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \nu \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu v \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau a \cdot$

$\mathrm{K} \Lambda$. Тà $\pi о i ̂ a ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ ठıaкє $\lambda \epsilon u ̛ \eta$;

 ठıатто́ך $\sigma \iota \nu \tau \rho i \neq \nu$.
 $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime} \eta$.



$\mathrm{K} \Lambda . \Pi \hat{\omega}$;


















 àp

 $\nu о \mu о \phi \nu \lambda a ́ \kappa \omega \nu$ є́ $\lambda о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s, ~ o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi \omega \sigma \tau \nu$ oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \omega \sigma \iota$,



































 $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \nu \tau \alpha$ є̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
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## NOTES

## BOOK I

The Dramatis Personae. Lacedæmon and Crete were famous for their codes. Hence the appropriateness of the nationality of the two inferior members of the committee. The Athenian philosopher who propounds a new code must not be supposed to disregard what had already been done in that line. Besides, Doric institutions, having more of positive enactment, and greater interference with the liberty of the subject, present, to Plato's view, a better starting-point, for a consideration of the whole matter, than would those of his own country.

624 a 1. $\epsilon і ̈ \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aitiav $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, " has the credit of your legal arrangements." Cp. Rep. 599 e $\sigma \grave{\epsilon}$ 䴔 $\tau$ ís

a 3. "̈s $\gamma \epsilon \tau$ ò Sıкаıótaтov єimєîv, "most decidedly."
a 4. $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu Z \epsilon u ́ s$, . . . 'A $A o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \alpha$ : this sentence is typical of many in the Laws. A gentle anacoluthon is brought in to heighten the effect of variety. Two strands, so to speak, of the thread are intact, the third is broken. We shall often find a more violent rupture. For an excellent characterization of the style of the Laws cp. Apelt, "Zu Platô̂ Gesetzen," Beigabe zur Jahresb. ü. d. Gymn. C.-A. zu Jena, 1907, pp. 1 ff.
a 7. ка日" "O $\mu \eta \rho \circ v: \tau$ 179. Cp. Minos 319 с 5 ff .—For $\dot{\omega}$ s with an absolute construction after a verbum declarandi cp. below 626 e $4,636 \mathrm{~d} 1,644 \mathrm{~b} 6$ and Rep. 470 е каi $\delta \iota a \nu о \epsilon і ̈ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \grave{\omega}$ $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta \sigma o \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ каi ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\imath} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. Lobeck, on Soph. $A j$. 281, traces the genesis of this not uncommon anacoluthon from such a construction as Laws 964 a $\delta \iota \alpha \nu o o \hat{v} \dot{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.
b 2. $\phi \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta$ is a solemn word (cp. 664 d 4 )—properly used of the utterance of a god.
b 5. áкоv́єтє: for the tense cp. Gorg. 503 c , and $\gamma 193^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \tau \rho \epsilon$ í $\eta \nu$
 (habitual) present tense of the verbs read, to be told, learn, notice, and find in the same way.

625 a 3. For the superfluous av́róv cp. Gorg. 482 d 2, Tim. 28 a 8. (Riddell, Digest § 223.)
 qualify vouıкois as our such in the sense of so might, nor is $\nu о \mu \iota \kappa o i ̂ s$, as Stallbaum says, epexegetic of rotov́тoıs; but the two words $\ddot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ vo $\mu \iota \kappa о i ̂ s ~ g o ~ c l o s e l y ~ t o g e t h e r, ~ a n d ~ \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau o \iota s, ~ w h i c h ~$ qualifies them both, gets from its context a complimentary shade of meaning - "among legal institutions (lit. "in law-bred habits") of so distinguished an origin" (or "character"). Cf. 751 с $9 \tau \epsilon \theta \rho \alpha ́ \phi \theta a \iota \stackrel{\ominus}{\epsilon} \nu \eta \eta^{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ Є̂ $\pi \epsilon \pi a \iota \delta \epsilon v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s$.
 would not find law and government an unpleasant subject of consideration now, supposing we discoursed to each other about it as we walk." There is no need for the $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ which $L$ and $O$ insert after $\dot{\alpha} \eta \delta \hat{\omega} s$. The only suggestion that the Athenian would bear a part in the discussion is made modestly by the word $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v o v \tau a s$. The exceptional advantages enjoyed by the Cretan and the Spartan in the matter of law are urged as a reason why they would enjoy a talk about it: if $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ stood as the subject of $\delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta{ }_{\eta} \nu$ $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$, it would be a suggestion that the Athenian too was an authority on the subject. This he does not make.
b 1. $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ MSS., $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ Schanz. - $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{~s} \delta^{\prime}$, "there is no doubt that . . . " Cp. Symp. 173 b 6.
b 2. $\omega \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \kappa о$ v́o $\mu \epsilon \nu$, "if my information is correct."
b3. ís єikós," we are sure to find."- $\pi v i ́ \gamma o v s ~ o ̋ \nu \tau o s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} v$, "ut par est in hoc aestu" Stallbaum.
 $\pi i ́ v o v \tau \alpha s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \eta ̀ o ́ o v \eta ้ \nu S y m p . ~ 176 \mathrm{e}$.
c 3. ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota s$, "a good suggestion!"
c 3-6. The division between the persons of the dialogue given here is that of the MSS. Schanz and others have made various alterations in it, for the worse, I think.





 but, as the scholiast says, $\alpha \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s{ }_{\circ} \pi \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \iota \nu$, "your accoutrement,"
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excellence of a state's institutions is their suitability for ensuring victory in war over other states." Cp. ö $\rho о \nu \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon v o s 739 \mathrm{~d}$.
c4. The scholiast says this reply is quite Laconic in style. $\theta \epsilon i o s$ is a favourite Spartan epithet of praise; only it should, in strict dialect, be $\sigma \epsilon i=s$.
c 6-d 2. With this description of life as a fight we may compare H. G. Wells, New Worlds for Old, p. 218, "Human nature is against human nature. For human nature is in a perpetual conflict; it is the Ishmael of the universe, against everything, and with everything against it ; and within, no more and no less than a perpetual battleground of passion, desire, cowardice, indolence and goodwill." It will be observed that Plato insists, as he develops this idea, that the narrower the field of conflict, the nobler the characteristics which are required to bring the fight to the right conclusion.
d 1. Ast takes áviç̂ $\pi \rho$ òs aútóv as parallel to the two previous
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ understood; but it seems better, with Stallbaum, to take aúr $\hat{\varphi}$ as dat. agentis with $\delta \iota a \nu o \eta \tau \epsilon^{\prime} o \nu$. We are thus brought to the self-conscious standpoint.
 stitute the $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \in \nu$ of Eus. and $A^{2}$ and Vat. 1029 for $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. Herm. joins $\ddot{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} s$; to the previous sentence, and proceeds $\tau i$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о \mu \epsilon \nu$;
 in a simpler form of expression, would be the object of the dependent infin. into the case governed by the word on which the infin. depends, St. quotes many parallels: e.g. Gorg. 513 e

 $\tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ a ̈ \mu \alpha ~ \gamma \nu o ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \delta \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota: ~ t h e ~ i n f i n . ~ t h u s ~$ becomes epexegetical.
d 8. Ast and Schanz follow the "apographum Vossianum" in inserting каi before $\in \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v s$, and Stallbaum approves, though he does not (in his 1859 edition) print the кai. Burnet does not accept the $\kappa \alpha \grave{i}$ but'puts a comma after $\tau \epsilon$. The reading with the $\kappa \alpha i$ is easier. The speaker simply re-enumerates the three contests mentioned above-between communities, between individuals, and between our two selves, so to speak. I would, however, follow Burnet, because I think that the author here intends' to direct special attention to his following subject, i.e. the contest between the worse and the better self, and, to lead up to that, divides all
contests into two classes: (1) those fought in public ( $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma^{\prime}(\alpha)$ and (2) those fought in the privity of a man's own consciousness. It is hard to see how the каi before éкáбтovs could drop out, because, at first sight, it gives such a satisfactory sense. The same reason makes it easy to believe that somebody inserted it.
e 2. к $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta a$, " and in that very war." Cl. has just said that life is a fight. (This is better, I think, than "and just that victory," i.e. " the victory over oneself.")
e 4. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ : i.e. this talking about victory and defeat.-For the construction cp. above on 624 a 7.
e 6. $\alpha \nu a \sigma \tau \rho^{\prime} \notin \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, i.e. "let us go backwards from the individual to the state."


b 1. The $\tau \grave{\partial}$ (before $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o i ́ v v \nu)$ goes with the $\epsilon i$ clause.—The paradoxical nature of the idea of self-conquest, where both combatants are self-which Plato forbears to notice here (cp. also c 8) -he has pointed out at Rep. 430 ef. (cp. also Gorg. 489 c). He is more concerned here to hint at a more serious error in popular thought, i.e. that of supposing that the really superior could ever become in any sense inferior.
b 5. $\delta o v \lambda o v ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota: ~ c o n a t i v e . ~$
c 1. ${ }^{\prime}$ á $\tau 0 \pi o \nu$ : the scholiast points out that the apparent absurdity springs from the twofold sense of the word крєî $\boldsymbol{\imath} \tau \circ \nu$, which is a name not only for $\tau$ ò $\beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \tau \tau o \nu$ but also for $\tau$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon \rho \nu$.
c 3. $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \delta \dot{\eta}$ : see on 639 d 2.
c 8. ov $\pi \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \pi o \nu$ ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ ), "not our business."
c 9. $\theta \eta \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota v:$ used in the same sense as $\delta \iota \omega ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ at Rep. 454 a

 a notion." At Gorg. 489 b Plato uses the fuller phrase óvó $\mu a \tau \alpha$ $\theta \eta \rho \epsilon v_{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ (aucupari verba), and Boeckh :(quite unnecessarily)
 proposes to take ö $\boldsymbol{\pi} \iota$. as the neut. of ö $\sigma \tau \iota$, i.e. ("it is not our business to inquire) wherein lies the victory or the defeat" (which would be said to put the worse above the better, or vice versa). But this is no criticism of the ordinary way of speaking, and deprecation of verbal criticism is what the context demands.
d 1 ff . "The object of our present examination of ordinary language is not to find what is proper or improper as an expression, but to find what is naturally right or wrong as law." I think
$\sigma \kappa о \pi о \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \pi \rho o ́ s$ should be taken closely together, like $\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma$ $\alpha \iota$ cis in Eur. Med. 1166, in the sense of examine. Cp. below,

 $\sigma \kappa о \pi о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega$. . .
d 4. фv́v $\epsilon \iota$ may be translated by putting the adj. "essential" with the nouns " rightness and wrongness."

 736 ढ̈s $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon$ иоь бокє $\hat{\imath}$.
d 8. $\tau o ́ \delta \epsilon$ : the Athenian's next point is that the dissentients would not, in a civilized community, be left to themselves. There are tribunals which would set bounds to the fighting instinct. Thus he leads his audience round to the consideration that there are other things for laws to do besides getting men into fighting order. See 628 a 6 . We must bear it in mind that the investigation of the Cretan and Spartan institutions here begun is not, as some have thought, meant to be the main business of the book, even at starting. From the first the author intended the deficiencies of the two systems to serve as an introduction to the philosophy of law and a philosophical code.
d 11 ff . There are two difficulties in this speech: (1) éкóvтas in e 3, and (2) $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ́ \alpha ~ \rho \epsilon \tau \eta ́ \nu . ~(C p . ~ 739 ~ a ~ f . ~ \tau \grave{\eta \nu ~} \dot{\alpha} \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$

 at first sight to mean the third in excellence, but it is evident that both speaker and hearers at once see that the third kind of judge would be far more useful than either of the others. Jowett translates "a third excellent judge," apparently taking $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ as if it were a qualification of $\delta_{\iota \kappa} \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \nu$. The question may even be asked, could $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ possibly mean par excellence? I think it is best to suppose that the speaker, taking it for granted that everyone would see that his second judge was better than his first, uses $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o s$ in the sense of third in an ascending scale. Three was held by the Greeks to be a lucky number (cp. Soph. O.C. 8, O.T. 581, Aesch. Eum. 759, Soph.
 of the associations of our third-rate. Hence $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \nu \nu$ here means more excellent than either. of the other two. (Cp. 717 c 2 ff .)

The former difficulty is a greater one. Wherein, if we read €кóvias, lies the superiority of the third judge? Ritter says no satisfactory. answer, can be found to this question, and reads
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lawgiver sometimes looks towards peace, there is a sense in which he may be said to be looking towards a war in which his state may be involved: but it is civil, not foreign war, and it is with a view of avoiding it, not of making one side efficient fighters, that he makes his laws. For the distinction between $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota s$ and $\pi \delta^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \mu$ os
 $a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ коб $\mu \epsilon \hat{i} v$ throw light on Plato's views as to the function of the lawgiver. The former contains the same metaphor as is used by St. Paul at Col. 2. 19 of the Christian community : $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \epsilon \phi a \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu}$,

 latter cp. Eur. Cycl. 339 where the Cyclops, an enemy to law, speaks of lawgivers as $\pi о \kappa \kappa i \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau \epsilon s \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu \beta_{i}^{\prime} \nu \nu$.
b6. єip $\dot{\nu} \eta \nu \quad \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ : Plato allows himself to use the same

 the expression is made less strained by the nearness of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau$ $\tau \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ used of the same $\sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota s$, and in the latter passage by the addition of $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a$ to $\epsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta$. (Ast wants to read $\dot{\epsilon}^{\kappa} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, and Stallbaum, reproving Ast, tells us that the gen. goes with $\delta \epsilon \xi\{\iota \tau o \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, and is equal to $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \nu$. .) It seems strange to us
 the English form would be: "that, after the victory of one or the other party, the other should be put to death." All through the speech the gen. abs. clauses contain, as Stallbaum says, the primaria notio. For $\pi o \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ cp. 673 b 7, 914 d, Charm. 171 b, Phil. 20 e, Rep. 499 c, 509 a, Theaet. 145 a, Soph. 252 a.
b 9. Though long inclined with Schanz to bracket $\dot{\alpha} \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \nu \tau i v a \iota$ -indeed I suspected the words before I knew that he did-I have now decided to follow Burnet in keeping them. It must be remembered that the infinitive depends on $\delta \epsilon \xi \xi a \iota \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu$. The question resolves itself into: "under which of the two circumstances would you prefer to be forced to turn your attention to a foreign foe?" (ảvá $\gamma \kappa \eta \nu$ єivau is therefore the equivalent of " when so compelled.")
 commonplace, the root of Plato's philosophy of law; it is not, in his eyes, so much a means of repressing evil, as a means of producing good.
 are things which we should pray to be spared."; lit. "the needing them is to be deprecated.'
d 1. ov́к $\hat{\eta}_{\nu} \kappa \tau \lambda$.: the past. tense contains a reference to their
previous conversation on the subject: "the victory which we were talking about comes under the head of things necessary, not of things that are best:"-a variety of the so-called "philosophic imperfect."
 out in regular form, but the meaning all through is perfectly clear. It is implied, but not said, that it is a mistake to be so deeply interested in the cure of a malady as to forget that it is better not to have had a malady to cure. We shall meet the same medical metaphor in another connexion below at 646 c.
 again the characteristic preference for variety of expression.' The style of the Laws is loose, and at times almost dreamy, but the thought is definite and clear.- $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \eta^{\prime} s$ is used of a $v o \mu o \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta s$ as at Rep. 342 d of an $\ddot{\iota} \alpha \tau \rho o s-p e r f e c t ~(i n ~ h i s ~ a r t) . ~$
d 7. $\alpha \pi \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ is epexegetic of $\delta \iota \alpha \nu o o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ$ s ov̋ $\tau \omega$.
e 1. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\dddot{c}}{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \bar{s}$ : thus the Athenian has brought round the argument to a condemnation of Cleinias's interpretation of the root notion of the Dorian institutions. Cp. below 803 d 3 ff .
e 3. $\theta a v \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \omega$. . . $\epsilon i$. . . $\mu \dot{\prime}$, " I am much mistaken if (they have) not." For the same idiom in a past tense cp. Aristoph. Pax

 surprised if cp. Prot. 349 с ov̉ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ äv $\theta \alpha \cup \mu \alpha ́ \bigvee о \iota \mu \iota ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \alpha ̉ \pi о \pi \epsilon \iota-~$ $\rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon v o ́ s ~ \mu o v \tau \alpha i ̂ j \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega s ~ \ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon s$.
 Zürich edition, Schanz and Burnet adopt Bekker's emendation of the MS. aúroùs to aúroîs. Stallbaum stands by the MS. reading. "Sed libri omnes mordicus tenent accusativum. Atque is sane defensionem utcunque paratam habet. Pendet enim non ex verbo proximo, sed potins ex remotiore $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \rho \omega \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$." I do not agree with St.'s interpretation, but I think that the MS. reading does admit of a 'defensio.' I take the connexion of ideas to be this : "You say fighting was the one thing the old legislation had in view : I am not surprised you should think so ; but we on our part (av́roús) must not at any point (ov' $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \nu$ ) be too keen fighters;" i.e. "do not let us insist on our view, but try by discussion to elicit the true view." (To those who still prefer av́roîs I would suggest taking it as neuter, the following ék $\kappa i v \omega \nu$ being the first reference to the authors of the vó $\mu \iota \mu$.)
a 2. $\omega$ s $\mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$. . . $\left.\sigma \pi o v \delta a\right\} o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, "in the name of our
common devotion to the subject"-" not forgetting that they cared about it just as much as we do." $\tau u \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ is "laws and government."
 conduct the argument."
a 4. $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \omega \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta a \quad \gamma o \hat{v} \nu$, "I'm sure you won't mind if I appeal to Tyrtaeus." $\pi \rho o i ̈ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ means "to put in a position of


a6. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau a$ here (like the $\tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ seven lines higher up) refers to war, not to law.- $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ : " $\mathfrak{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ enim superlativis ita apponitur ut eorum significationem augeat" Ast, who
 $\beta \dot{\beta} \sigma \tau a \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$, and many other examples from Plato and other

b 1. ov̀ $\tau^{\prime}$. . . ouv' $\tau^{\prime}$ MSS., ov̀ $\delta^{\prime}$. . . oṽ $\delta^{\prime}$ Boeckh (following Tyrtaeus).
b 2. $\epsilon i \pi \grave{\omega} \nu \sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o े \nu a ̈ \pi a \nu \tau a$, "and he goes pretty well through the list of (worldly) advantages." Cp. the fragment of Tyrtaeus, Bergk, A.L. 12.
 fingers' ends."
 at first sight it looks as if $\delta c a \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega s$ (i.e. T.'s poetical skill) contained the whole ground for the bestowal of the epithets cooós and d ${ }^{2}$ aOós, but a consideration of the whole argument, and more particularly of the comparison in 630 c and e between the heaveninspired legislator who aims at producing virtue (and whose code is pervaded by one principle), and the hand-to-mouth human legislator who meets special needs by special (repressive) enact-ments,-this consideration shows, I think, that the words here mean : "your insight and your right feeling are manifest from the high praises you bestow on high virtue in war"; i.e. it was not merely the excellence of T.'s poetry that makes the speaker call him
 as he shows in 630 b , he takes a narrow view of virtue.

d7ff. Badham brackets $\epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu \omega \nu$ as being an impediment to the construction, while many emendations have been proposed
 '́кко́s of Baiter and Badham). I would in the text adopt a less extensive emendation than any of them, suggested to me by F.H.D.,-that of transposing $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ and $\pi o \tau \epsilon \rho o v s . ~ T h e ~ l a t t e r ~$
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lated by Ficinus，are missing in all MSS．They are almost necessary for the sense，and the repetition of the word $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in i ́ a s$ might well divert the transcriber＇s eye，and cause him to omit the words．－$\dot{v} \gamma \circ \eta^{\prime} s$ is used as in the passage of Simonides quoted at Prot． 346 c $\dot{v} \gamma \iota \grave{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \rho$ ：＂sound＂in a moral sense，＂honest．＂（See above on a and below on 639 a 7．）So in $E p$ ．x． 358 c $\tau \grave{o}$ 人 $\gamma$ a
 фı入oooфíav．
b 3．$\delta \iota \alpha \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon$ ：used in the sense of taking a firm stand（lit． straddle）as in the passage of Tyrtaeus from which the above quotation comes（11．21）．
b 4．Again Eusebius must be held to have preserved the correct reading ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\hat{\omega}}{\hat{\omega}} \pi \sigma \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \omega$（so Winckelmann conjectured），where the
 quoting the passage，saves the sense by omitting $\phi \rho \alpha \zeta_{\epsilon \iota} \mathrm{T}$ v́ $\rho \tau \alpha \iota o s$.
b 7．$\epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha$ ó $\lambda^{i} \gamma \omega \nu{ }^{\text {² }}$ Eus．，$\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ ó $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$ MSS．A matter of rhythm．As Eus．seems often to have been right in this passage when differing from the MSS．，I follow Burnet in choosing the former，especially as the addition of $\epsilon \hat{\cup}$ seems to improve the balance of the phrase．－The mention of mercenaries suggests a further difference between Tyrtaeus＇s ideal and that of Theognis． The mere fighter does not care which side he is on．Theognis＇s loyal man fights for what he thinks right．
b 8．Now that the dóyos we have followed has shown us a higher and a lower excellence－one four times as good as the other， we may say－can we imagine that any＂decent＂legislator，let alone a divinely－inspired one，would have only the lower in view in framing his laws？（Cp．above 628 e．）－We shall find Plato＇s common personification of the $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ lower down at 644 e in the phrase $\phi \eta \sigma \iota v$ ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$.
c 2．$\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ ：i．e．in Crete．
c 3．For ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda$ MSS．Heindorf conjectured $\alpha \not \partial \lambda o \sigma \epsilon$ ，comparing
 of Riddell＇s defence of ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ o（Digest of $P l$ ．Idioms § 21）I adopt H．＇s correction because I believe that in the idiom in which some part of $\pi$ o七є $\hat{\nu} \nu$ has to be understood with ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda o$ ，there is


 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \epsilon i \xi \alpha \iota$ ；（cp．also Euthyd． 287 e ）．．Perhaps the $\epsilon$ of $\neq \boldsymbol{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \sigma \epsilon$ was elided，and that may have facilitated the change to ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$ ．
c 6．$\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ ：for the $\cdot$ whole $\cdot$ of this：passage it
is important to compare Aristotle，＇Eth．Nic．v．p． 1129 b 11 ff.
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\chi \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' ゙ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ ，and l． 29 where he quotes
 （Bergk＇$\sigma \tau \iota v$ ）．Aristotle＇s definition of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \sigma \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ as the $\alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \eta$ that shows itself in one＇s dealings with one＇s neighbour（ $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ ＇$\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ）explains and coincides with Plato＇s identification of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma v ́ v \eta$ with $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \tau \eta s$.
c 7．кат⿳亠 ка兀 $\rho^{\prime} \nu:$ as кат⿳亠 $\tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu ~(b e l o w ~ 635 \mathrm{~d})$ means＂in the right way，＂so катд̀ каıрóv means＂opportunely．＂Cp．Pind．
 àvíaıs．
c 8．$\delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ тıцía єîvaı：a still more complicated verbal phrase depending on $\delta v ́ v a \mu \iota s$ is to be found at Phaedo 99 c $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon}$

 Varieties of verbal construction with $\delta v$ voauıs are（l）simpl．inf．



 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau 0 \hat{v}$ o̊ $\rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ ó $\rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ र́v$\nu \alpha \mu \iota \nu:$（3）$\hat{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with inf．：Rep．

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ нóvov $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о \iota s$ à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\theta \eta \rho i ́ o \iota s ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \epsilon \rho \omega \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha \nu \chi о \rho \epsilon i ́ a \nu$.
d 3．$\tau$ ò̀s $\pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega$ vo $\mu о \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \alpha_{s}$ MSS．Fault has been found with this expression（1）because of the occurrence of two cases of the word $\nu o \mu o \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta$ s in one sentence，and（2）because $\pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega$ was thought an inadequate expression：＂Nam aperte fateor etiam istud $\pi o ́ \rho \rho \rho \omega$ sic indefinite positum mihi displicere＂（Stallbaum， who，like Ficinus，prints the sentence as a question）．Ritter
 Thersites is discovered $\pi о ́ \rho \rho \omega \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \sigma \tau \tau \alpha ́ \tau o \iota s$ ．Perhaps here and there $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \rho \rho \omega$ has the sense of our＂low down，＂＂out of the way，＂＂beyond the pale．＂（Ought vo $\mu_{0} \theta^{\prime}$＇тas perhaps to be rejected？）－For the use of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu$ cp．below 637 e ，where $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ has even a stronger condemnatory force．
d 4．ov＇$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{i} \rho \gamma \in \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．，＂no，we don＇t：it is ourselves we are depreciating ：we are quite at sea in imagining ．．．＂
d 8．rò $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ，＂but，＂cp．Heindorf on Theaet． 157 b．（C．W．E．Miller

Am. Phil. Ass. Trans. vols. xxxix. and xl. denies Heindorf's statement —in vain, I think.) For $\tau$ ò $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ in a question cp. 886 b 3,967 a 6
 Meno 97 c.
d 9. The substitution of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon$ for $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon$ is like our putting "it is truth" in a similar sentence for "it is true." Cp.

e 1. Badham's guess that $\theta$ єíov $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ́ s$, written with contractions as $\theta \epsilon i \not \partial \check{\rho}$, was first copied $\theta \in \iota \alpha \rho$ and then changed to
 grammar and sense, indispensable, i.e. a person. $\theta$ єías cannot stand. There is no substantive, with which it would make sense, that could have been left out. Even if, with the scholiast, we supply $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s ~(w h i c h ~ S t e p h a n u s ~ p r i n t s), ~ o r ~(b e t t e r) ~ w i t h ~$ Gottleber, vouo $\theta \epsilon \sigma i \alpha a s$, and grant that it might have been omitted, $\dot{\epsilon} \tau i \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ still has to go back to Cleinias's last speech but one for a subject. (Cp. also the $\epsilon \in \tau i \theta \epsilon \iota$ and the av́tóv in 631 a.) Cousin was looking in the right direction when he suggested supplying $\kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ wịth $\theta$ єías. Cp. Meno 99 d каi oi $\Lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon s$, öт $\alpha \nu$ тıvà

 oi $\Lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon i ́ \omega \theta a \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \epsilon ヒ ́ \epsilon \iota v, ~ o ̋ \tau \alpha \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha ~ \tau о v$

 тís äv ov̉v $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi o \iota ~ \theta \epsilon i o \iota s ~ a ̉ v \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ;$
 $\nu \hat{v} \nu \epsilon \not \subset \delta \eta \pi \rho o \tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \zeta \eta \tau 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ : this difficult passage has been variously interpreted as it stands, and variously emended. The first question to decide is whether the cí $\eta \eta$ are classes of virtue, or classes of laws. Stallbaum held the former view (as did Ast), and he took $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ as referring to the $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ implied in $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta{ }^{2} \nu$. Though this seems impossible, Steinhart's emendation of $\alpha u \dot{\tau} \omega \hat{\nu}$ to $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta}$ s provides a good construction for this interpretation. And this interpretation would be satisfactory, if the sentence stopped at vórovs. But what have the modern, narrow-minded, hand-to-mouth legislators, who are referred to in the latter half of the sentence, to do with $\epsilon i \delta \partial \eta \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ? The point made against them is that they do not look to $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \eta^{\prime}$ at all. In the latter half of the passage the $\epsilon i \delta \eta$ must be kinds or classes of laws. Inasmuch as $\alpha \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ seems to be contrasted with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} v$, I do not adopt Ast's alteration of $\alpha \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$ to $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$. I would, with Schneider, take ou' $\delta^{\prime}$ as ov $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ (I would even suggest that we ought perhaps to read ov' $\chi$
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educational value of law. In thus saying, it must be admitted that he rather reads his own views into the Cretan's statement that what Minos wanted was to make good soldiers, and Cleinias must have been rather surprised (after the manner of M. Jourdain), to find he had been a philosopher after all. The Athenian next asks if he shall show him how he ought to have gone on after such a promising beginning, and thus skilfully gets into the professor's chair without seeming to claim it for himself. This manœuvre is dramatically perfect.

63 I a 1. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \in \hat{\delta} \zeta$.: what is implied here is: "and this is the way Minos and Lycurgus must have sought for laws."
a 2. $\sigma o \hat{v}$ : Ast is, I think, wrong in writing $\sigma o v$, and taking it as merely possessive with $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma \iota v$ : it is best taken as governed by ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \alpha \mu a \iota$. The two constructions that follow are marked off by $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon$ and $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$, as if oùk ä $\gamma \alpha \mu a \iota$ were to follow in the $\delta \epsilon$ clause : a parallel to the former may be found at Eur. Iph.

 äyapaı might have stood before a ö ó $\iota$ clause may be seen by Hipp. Mai. 291 е ä $\gamma \alpha \mu a i ́$ oov öть $\mu о \iota$ бокєîs . . . Instead, however,
 the $\mu_{\epsilon} \epsilon^{\prime}$ had stood at the beginning of the $\tau$ ò $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ clause. The perfectly regular construction of the complex sentence would have been: кaì $\sigma o \hat{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi$. ä $\gamma a \mu a \iota$

a 8. $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda$ ó $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ means "expound," which goes closely with $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.


b 8. тódıs кт $\tilde{\tau} \alpha \iota$ MSS. and Eusebius, $\kappa \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau a \iota$ Theodoret, $\pi a \rho i ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$ Stobaeus; $\pi \alpha \rho i ́ \sigma \tau a \tau a \iota$ Badham conjectured, $\pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \tau \hat{a} \tau a \iota$ Hug. $\pi \delta^{\prime} \lambda_{\text {cs }}$ is out of place here: (1) the point of view is that of the individual members of the community: rov̀s aữois $\chi \rho \omega \mu \not \mu^{\prime} \nu o v s$ b 5 ; (2) it is moreover unnatural to speak of a state as possessing e.g. io $\chi$ ìs $\epsilon$ is $\delta \rho o ́ \mu o \nu$; (3) if $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ stood it seems more natural that it should not have a $\tau \iota s$ agreeing with it (Eusebius, in his quotation of the passage, leaves it out). $\tau \iota s$, no doubt, was the only subject of $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \quad \chi \tau a \iota$, and Stobaeus's $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$-he fancied the sentence as reported, or dependent - points the way to Badham's mapícтazal, which is palaeographically not unlikely to have been corrupted to $\pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{\imath} \varsigma \kappa \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha c$. (IC was read twice, the second time as K.) I would follow Schanz in adopting it. It may be noted that $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\chi \eta \tau a \iota}$ is aptly used of gifts which come from
the gods.—For the sense cp. St. Matthew 6. 33 § $\eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon \delta_{\epsilon} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o v$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu$ каi тク̀v $\delta \iota к а \iota о \sigma v ́ v \eta \nu ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau о \hat{v}$, каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ن̀ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$.—For $\pi \alpha \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ thus used cp. Laws 707 a
 —At $697 \mathrm{~b} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}$ are divided into three classes: (1) $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} v \quad \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}^{\prime}$, (2) $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ка入̀̀ каì $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}$, and (3) $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ov́ $i^{i} \alpha \nu$ каi $\chi \rho \eta \eta_{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$. Of these divisions the second and third together correspond to $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \iota \nu \alpha$ here.
 enumerated in Bk. II. 661 a : at Gorg. 451 e i $\sigma \chi$ v́s is left out, while in the well-known $\sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda \iota o v$, to which Socrates there refers, after health, beauty, and honestly-won wealth, comes (as fitting on a social occasion) $\dot{\eta} \beta \hat{\alpha} \nu \mu_{\epsilon} \in \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi_{i}^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ as a fourth. The addition,
 that we are not to lay stress on any particular three or four.
c 4. $\kappa \iota \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ : a genitival dative of the instrument, going closely with the verbal noun; so below $633 \mathrm{~b} \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \mathrm{~s} \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \grave{\imath}$ $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \iota s .-o v \tau v \not \subset \lambda$ ós: the proverbial blindness of wealth is here spoken of as a malady incident to its possessor.
c6. $\dot{\eta}$ ф $\rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s:$ for this repetition cp. below 823 c 4 and Heindorf on Gorg. 501 a.—For the sense cp. 688 b 2, 963 a 8.
c 7. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu o \hat{v} \nu$ is the reading of the MSS. and of Stobaeus; Eusebius and Theodoret-read $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} v o \hat{v}$, which I follow Schanz and Burnet in adopting. Badham, independently, suggested the latter reading, referring to the passage (710 a) where Plato speaks slightingly of $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \eta \mu \omega ́ \delta \eta \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v \nu^{\prime} \eta v$ as contrasted with that which is accompanied by ф $o$ óv $\eta \sigma \iota s$, or rather is $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ as well.
 is spoken of as a very poor thing. The stress laid, all through this passage, and elsewhere in the Laws, on the importance of the conjunction of the virtues is in favour of Eusebius's reading. (We might almost say Plato holds that one virtue by itself, or at all events the natural tendency to it, needs to be corrected by another -e.g. above at 630 b , and below at 831 e , he talks of the danger that the mere $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in \hat{i} o s$ may bécome a nuisance. In different passages in the Laws we are told that two things are necessary to perfection of character: (1) the natural disposition to a particular virtue must be trained in action (791 с $\tau$ ò $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu \delta \epsilon_{i}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v \mu \alpha$
 $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu \grave{\omega} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \phi \rho o v \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu)$; (2) one virtue cannot stand alone; it must be helped by others. Above ( 630 aff .) the Athenian speaks of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o ́ \tau \eta s$ as involving $\sigma v \mu \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$, and he says we
might call this $\tau \epsilon \lambda_{\epsilon ́ a} \delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha \iota} \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ —" perfect righteousness." In the present passage he uses $\delta_{1 \kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma v ́ v \eta ~ i n ~ t h e ~ n a r r o w e r ~ s e n s e . ~ A l l ~}^{\text {a }}$ this shows that our present discussion is practical, not speculative. The author wants us to have in mind the perfect character, and the way to produce it, rather than a classification of the virtues, or a scientifically exact nomenclature for a treatise on Moral Philosophy.)

63I d 1-632b1. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$., "nature has set all these above the other four, and the lawgiver must put them in the same rank. In the next place he must proclaim to the citizens that his other commands to them have these blessings in view: that of the blessings themselves the human wait upon the divine, and all the divine upon their leader wisdom. (As to the commands I spoke of ) he must ( $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ) so dispense honour and disgrace as to watch over (the whole life of the citizen) : he must regulate the marriages they make, and his care must next extend to the production and rearing of both sexes, from youth to age. To do this he must carefully and closely observe them in all their intercourse with each other, and notice what gives pain, what gives pleasure, what excites desire and ardent affection. His laws must themselves be the instruments for rightly administering both blame and praise. Moreover, in anger, in fear, in all the troubles that misfortune brings, in the relief from trouble that comes with prosperity, in all the chances of disease or health, war or peace, poverty or wealth, what the lawgiver has to teach and to define is, in each of these various conditions, what is right, and what is wrong."

I have translated this difficult and somewhat loosely jointed passage in full, to show what I take to be the connexion of thought between its different parts. (d 3) $\beta \lambda \epsilon$ 'тovoas cival is


 the citizens) is best taken with $\delta \iota a \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \tau \epsilon \circ \circ$ : $\tau 0$ ó $\tau \omega \nu$ refers (not
 of parenthesis). If this explanation be adopted it will be seen that there is no force in Badham's contention that these words mean that the legislator is to charge someone else (e.g. the magistrate) to make the detailed enactments of which a summary is given from d 6 onwards, and which the legislator himself is to make. To obviate this supposed contradiction he reads $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ for $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota$. (He does not say how we are to construe $\epsilon i v a c$. . Fr. Doering (ut supra) makes this supposed contradiction the basis of his view that the

# SAVE $\$ 3,999,994$ 

Did you know we sell paperback books too?

## To buy our entire catalog

 in paperback would cost over \$4,000,000
## Access it all now for \$8.99/month

 *Fair usage policy applies
## Continue

translated which are helpful. He says, no doubt. the place for the lawgiver's pronouncement ( 631 d 2 ) on the relative value of the spiritual and temporal excellences would be one of those $\pi \rho o o i ́ \mu \iota a$ or prefaces to branches of enactments, of which the Laws contain many, and which Plato compares, at the end of the fourth book, to the prelude of a musician, or the conversation of a wise doctor with an educated patient on the subject of his disease and its treatment. The other note is the comparison of the outline of man's life ( d 6 ff .), in which the salient points serve as hints for the classification of laws, to $958 \mathrm{c} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \grave{\imath} \delta \dot{\eta}, \tau \grave{o} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o \hat{v} \tau o$,
 каi $\sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon i \xi \alpha \nu \tau \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i ́ \omega s, ~ \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \iota ~ \tau \epsilon$ סíкаs єĭ тьva


$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$. . . ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \iota:$ in this passage both sense and construction have been obscured by the idea that it deals only with the way in which money is made and spent. Ast, for instance, says $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \omega \nu i \alpha a s$ and $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda v \sigma \sigma \iota s$ are governed by $\phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota v$, and, as he naturally wants something to connect $\phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$. with $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$, he proposes to alter $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ to каi: also, both he and Stallbaum understood кoıv $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ías to refer to business partnerships. This involves them in further difficulties
 former they take to be neuter, and add $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ before $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ (though St. does not print it). St. says that $\epsilon \mathcal{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ тov́toıs "refertur ad
 disappear when it is recognized that the passage deals with two distinct subjects, and falls into two divisions at the word $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o v$. The first subject is the regulation of money-making and moneyspending; the second the supervision of fellowships and associations, a very different matter. The каí after $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu$ connects $\phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ with $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, the genitival dative $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ $\tau 0$ úroıs is masc. (sc. $\pi о \lambda_{i}^{\prime} \tau \iota \iota s$ ), and $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ and ${ }_{\alpha} \kappa о v \sigma \iota \nu$ agree with it: with órôov I think we must supply $\tau \rho \rho_{0} \pi o v$ from the preceding sentence. The word has occurred so recently, and would fall in so naturally with $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ óroîo that the omission is not extraordinary. It is not necessary to press the words écov̂oıv and äкovбьv to the logical conclusion that they apply equally to both the кoıv$\omega \nu^{\prime} \alpha \iota$ and the $\delta \iota a \lambda v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$. Though it is conceivable that certain associations might claim adherents who did not wish to belong to them, it is naturally the breaking up of an association which may be against the will of some of its members. (So
 $\epsilon u ́ \tau v \chi o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ тóv $\tau \epsilon \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi o \hat{v} \nu \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i ́ l$ we have to translate the $\tau \epsilon$ by or, and not to assume the author to mean that Fortune, when raising a man, makes him both fortunate and unfortunate.)
b6. Just as $\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ and $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ are the direct objects of $\dot{p} v \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$, so, in the parallel clause, $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \kappa о \pi \epsilon i v$ governs кoıvшvías and $\delta \iota a \lambda v \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \iota s$; in both cases, however, the direct objects have explanatory adjuncts-in the first ${ }_{o}^{\prime \prime} \nu \tau \iota \nu^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \eta \tau a \iota$

 $\delta \iota a \theta \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ above.-I cannot help, suspecting that $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \mu \grave{\eta}$ after Sícaıov is the addition of a scribe who thought the expression
 same scribe added the $\tau \epsilon$ after $\stackrel{\ddot{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, which then became necessary. If I am right in wishing to reject these three words, ois is a true relative, to which $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v i \tau \omega \nu$ is the antecedent; if not, oîs must be used as a dependent interrogative. I would translate the whole: " and to keep an eye on the associations formed or broken by any of the citizens-may be willingly, may be on compulsion(so as to observe) the manner in which all such mutual transactions take place, both the just ones and the unjust" (or, retaining the кai $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ and the $\tau \epsilon$, and supposing the subject of the two verbs to be $\tau \grave{\prime} \delta_{i}^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \iota o v$, "and to distinguish the just ones from the unjust"). It is impossible not to wish that Plato had lived to rewrite this whole passage.


 $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \iota \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha ́ s$, and $A p .36 \mathrm{~b} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \sigma v \nu \omega \mu о \sigma \iota \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$. It will be noticed that at 636 b it is stated that the $\sigma v \sigma \sigma i \tau \iota \alpha$, which were коьv $\omega \nu i \alpha \iota$ of the young men, led to $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \iota \mathrm{s}$. This gives one reason for the state supervision of коьv $\omega \nu_{i}^{\prime} \alpha \iota$.
b 8. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu v^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ : Schanz, following a suggestion of Ast's, brackets these words. Stephanus changed them to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu o ́ \mu \omega$ (or тoîs vó $\mu o \iota s$ ). It seems far more likely that Plato should have allowed himself a genitive among so many datives, after the analogy of the genitive with $\dot{\alpha} к \rho о \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ (in the sense of obey, Gorg. 488 c ), than that a scribe should have introduced it, or altered it from a dative. At p. $715 \mathrm{c} \epsilon v^{\prime} \pi \epsilon i \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o s ~ h a s ~ a ~ d a t . ~$ with it. An instance of the variety of construction which Plato allows himself may be seen at Hipp. Mai. 285 d , where, within


## THE LAWS OF PLATO

$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a \iota o \lambda o \gamma^{\prime} a s{ }_{\eta} \delta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \omega \nu \tau a \iota$. For other out-of-the-way uses


 $218 \mathrm{~b} \sigma v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \quad \gamma$ à $\rho$ тoîs $\tau \epsilon \tau о ́ \tau \epsilon \pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon i \bar{\sigma} \iota$ каì $\tau 0 i ̂ \mathrm{~s}$ vîv $\lambda \in \gamma о \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu o(s)$.
 come to the end of all his organizations;" $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta s$ sodıccías here corresponds to the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu a \tau \alpha$ of 945 d 5.
c 4. This is the first mention of the voнофv́дакєs of whom we are to hear so much later.-кa, $\tau \delta \delta \delta_{\nu} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ : i.e. when the lawgiver has passed all the various classes of citizens in review. At 738 de Plato speaks of the great importance of the electors' personal knowledge of those on whom honour or office is to be conferred:



 and, like the following $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \quad \tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$, means the whole of the lawgiver's enactments and arrangements, which are in charge of the фúдакєs.
 gen.) est versari in aliqua re, persequi, studere,". Ast, Lex. Cp.

 2 Cor. 5. 7. For further particulars about the two classes of Guardians (those of original, and those of imparted wisdom) of the Laws cp. 961 ff . and 964 eff. For $\delta o \xi a \alpha d$. cp. e.g. Tim. 51 d ff .
 give unity to the whole system, and make it subservient to Temperance and Justice, instead of to Wealth and Pride." There is a striking similarity between the leading idea of this passage and that of St. Paul's words at Col. 2. $19 \ldots \tau \grave{y}$




 at p. 753 d below it is used of appointing magistrates, and at
 in the sense of produce.-As to the form, which Ast, in deference to Dawes (Misc. Crit. 228) changed to àmoфaív, possibly Dawes
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 $\tau^{\prime} \Lambda \epsilon \omega s \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$;-The course recommended is this: first they are to consider the cultivation of all the separate virtues in turn, using the same method of investigation in each case; then they are to show, if they can, that particular laws or codes of law conduce to this object ( $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota)$.
e 3. Of ${ }^{\circ \prime} \pi \omega \mathrm{s} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ with subj. in a temporal sense ("as soon as") we have possibly another instance at 755 a (if the text there
 Gerth ii. p. 445 says that wie is similarly used in old German and in modern Volkssprache instead of als (temporal).
e 5. $v / \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \delta \epsilon \grave{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s:$ i.e. "after we have considered the methods whereby all virtue may be fostered." For vi $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o v$


e 6. Ritter supports Stallbaum's view that ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon \nu v \nu \delta \eta \eta_{\eta} \delta \iota \eta \lambda \theta o \mu \epsilon \nu$
 edd. ка̀ ${ }^{a}$ ) refers exclusively to the outline of the division of law which was given in 631 dff ., and spoken of there as $\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{\circ}{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ s $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \epsilon i \bar{s}$ $\tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{~s}}$ s $\pi o \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha \iota s$, -as to marriage, money-making, etc. The reference is, doubtless, to laws of some kind ; but the phrase "the laws we examined just now" might well include a reference to the positive Cretan institutions with which the discussion began, as well as the imaginary ones summarized in 631 dff . I say imaginary, because the Ath. is there saying what the panegyric of Cretan laws ought to be. The subsequent course of the argument ( 634 a ff.) seems to favour the assumption that the Dorian institutions were referred to here. It should be remembered that the "imaginary" ones were Dorian too. Doering (p. 27), of course, excludes all possibility of there being a reference in " ${ }^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon \nu v \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ $\delta \iota \eta \quad \lambda \theta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ to 631 d 6 ff . He (following Tiemann, Kr. Analyse $v$. Büch. I. und II. der pl. Gesetze) holds that $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \epsilon \beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$ goes with $\delta \iota \eta \quad \lambda \theta o \mu \epsilon \nu-"$ which we showed (at $631 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ ) to be $\underset{\epsilon}{\kappa \epsilon} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$ $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi о \nu \tau a$," that à $\delta \iota \eta \quad \lambda \theta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ refers to human benefits ( 631 b 7 ), and that $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \phi a v o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ means "we will show them (i.e. the human benefits) to be supplied by the Dorian laws." This is special pleading, with a vengeance. '̇кєîcє $\beta \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$ must go with $\dot{o} . \pi о \phi a \nu o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$; $\delta \iota \imath^{\prime} \lambda \theta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ does not want a secondary predicate, and $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \phi \alpha \nu o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ does.-This discussion of Dorian institutions (which is not carried through very far) is a dramatic introduction to the subject of the Laws. Bruns and others err greatly, I think, in taking it to have been the original subject of the whole treatise.
e 7．ä $\nu \quad \theta \epsilon \grave{o} s{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \theta^{\prime} \neq \lambda \eta$ ：this pious aspiration was，as the speaker no doubt knew，not destined to be fulfilled（cp．on 778 b 7 ）．
 is not only Cretan institutions that are to be criticized；Spartan and Athenian must come in for their share of criticism as well＂； and this explanation fits in well with the following kocvòs $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ¿ $\lambda^{\prime}$ ó $o \mathrm{os}$ ，i．e．＂the discussion is on law in general，not on Cretan law only．＂Still，it is more likely that the Ath．means＂the discussion will test the validity of your views and mine as well as of his＂；коıv．$\gamma$ ．ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ will then mean＂we are all three open to criticism．＂The（a 4）$\lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ov̂v is pointedly addressed to both the two，and the subsequent discussion deals in particular with Spartan rather than with Cretan institutions．
a 7．каi $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o \nu ~ \ddot{\eta} \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \rho \tau o \nu$ ；＂And thirdly，or fourthly？＂i．e．
 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\mu}{\alpha} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．：remembering that he had said that the investiga－ tion of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha a s$ is to serve as a type for that con－ cerning the other branches of virtue，he is anxious to proceed formally．－Definite enumeration（ $\delta \iota \alpha \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ ）makes for clear－ ness（ $\delta \eta \lambda_{0} \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$－masc．－in the next line）；cp．below 894a8 8 s $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \iota ⿱ ⺌ 兀 口 \epsilon \sigma \iota \iota \nu \lambda \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu 0 \hat{v}$ ．
 words Plato is perhaps waiving aside the ontological question． Stallb．thinks they refer to＂litem et controversiam a Sophistis motam＂and similar discussions in the Protagoras．Ritter is possibly right in thinking that he has in mind somebody＇s criticism of the term $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \eta \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ，but not the Sophists＇contention （that virtues of different kinds can each exist separately from other virtues）．－St．quotes several passages in which Plato uses $\epsilon i ̈ \tau \epsilon$ ．．． $\epsilon \ddot{i} \tau \epsilon$（or an equivalent）in a similar way：Crito 50 a，Sympos． 212 c ，Laws 872 e 1 ，Tim． 28 b ；Ritter adds Laws $863 \mathrm{~b} \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$
 ò $\theta v \mu o ́ s$.
b7．$\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} s \chi \in \rho \sigma i$ is a genitival dative of the instrument； cp． 631 c кıvク$\sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \mu \tau \iota . \quad$（Moeris＇s and Harpocration＇s ＂$\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \mu \alpha \chi^{i \alpha s} \Pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ Nó $\mu \omega \nu \bar{\alpha}$＂is now generally thought to be based on a mistaken reading of this passage，though Pierson－on Moeris 406－proposed，perhaps rightly，to read $\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \mu \alpha \chi i a \iota s$ for $\tau \alpha i ̂ s \chi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma i} \mu a ́ \chi \alpha \iota s$ here．）
b 8．I follow Hermann and Schanz in accepting Ast＇s $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \iota \iota$ for the MS．$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ．—The $\tau \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ after $\dot{\alpha} \rho \pi \alpha \gamma \alpha i{ }^{\prime} s$ is slightly apologetic．
b 9. крviттєía $\tau \iota s$ óvopá $\xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, "there is a service called

 $\epsilon i ̈ \tau \epsilon \dot{a} \gamma \rho o v o ́ \mu o v s \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\tau \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$, -throws some light upon this institution. The service was so called because those on it had to keep out of sight. They hid during the day, and did their work - usually killing prominent Helots - during the night. Plutarch says (Lyc. 28) so cruel an institution could not have been devised by Lycurgus; that it must have been of later origin. No doubt, he says, that is what gave Plato the idea that Spartan institutions were only good for bravery.- $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s}$ подर́́movos $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \eta \overline{\sigma \epsilon \epsilon}$, "as full as could be of hardening toils."
c 1. $\chi \in \not \mu \omega \varphi \nu \omega \nu$, "in wintry weather," a variety of the common $\chi \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu o s$. -As Ast says, both the privatives ( $\dot{a} \nu v \pi$. and $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \omega$.) go with $\chi \in \mu$. At 942 d it is recommended that soldiers should get accustomed to lie on hard beds and go bare-headed and barefooted. Cp. Xen. De rep. Lac. 2. 3.
 at Sparta at which choruses of men and of boys performed dances. Athenaeus" (xiv. pp. 630 f.) mentions a "lyric" dance, called the $\gamma \nu \mu \nu o \pi a \iota \delta \iota \kappa \eta$, of a stately and elaborate character, resembling the tragic ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \alpha$. Ath. also says (xv. p. 678) that at the $\gamma v \mu \nu o \pi a \iota \delta i a c$ the leaders of the choruses wore garlands of palm-
 $\gamma \in \nu о \mu \epsilon \quad \nu \eta s$ víк $\eta$ s. The festival occurred in the middle of the summer in the month Hecatombaeon; hence $\tau \hat{\eta}$ rô $\pi v^{\prime}$ ious $^{\prime}$ $\dot{\rho} \omega \mu \nu \quad \delta \iota a \mu a \chi{ }^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$. Probably the performance was a long and exacting one.
c 6. є́ка́ $\sigma \tau o \tau \epsilon$, "in detail" (Jowett).
c 8. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau i \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$; "How are we to define courage?" -lit. "As what are we to set down courage?" The fact that temptations, like privations, are spoken of as endured and combated (he echoes the $\delta \iota a \mu a \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ in $\delta \iota a \mu a ́ \chi \eta v$ ) enables the Ath. to slip imperceptibly from the subject of courage to that of temperance, which he thus presents at first as a branch of courage.
c 9. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ oü $\tau \omega \mathrm{s}:$ cp. the Homeric $\mu \grave{\alpha} \psi$ ov̈ $\tau \omega \mathrm{s}$; so Pol. 286 e

d2. каí tıvas $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ s ~ \theta \omega \pi \epsilon i ́ a s ~ к о \lambda а к \iota к \alpha ́ s, " ~ w i t h ~ a l l ~ t h e i r ~$ powerful wheedling cajoleries." (Cp. Romeo and Juliet iI. ii. 140 "a dream too flattering sweet to be substantial.") The rivas shows that the word $\theta \omega \pi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \alpha$ s is used metaphorically, and that Pl. does not mean to add literal fattery as a third assailant of
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in $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \beta a i v \epsilon \iota v$. In $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota a ́$ we have a simple pun: its figurative meaning of "shrewd" serves to introduce the following adjectives. -Again we have a redundancy of ideas, like that at 633 d 2. (Schanz proposes to omit ко $\mu \psi \grave{a}$ каi, partly because the каì was only added by the second hand in A.)
 explanatory apposition to $\dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu$; ср. 638 e 6 .- $\gamma \epsilon \dot{\sim} о \nu \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, "while teaching men how pleasure tastes."
 and av̉兀 $\hat{\omega} v$ evidently refer to $\lambda$ únas: otherwise we should have
 though the thought of chivalry's "Noblesse oblige" might incline us to take it with $\eta_{\nu}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \alpha \zeta \epsilon$ as well. -The (dependent) question breaks off abruptly, and the speaker begins a fresh (indépendent) one at $\pi o \hat{v} \delta \dot{\eta} \dot{\prime}$ to express the idea with which he started; then the question is repeated $\cdot$ in other words. All this is very conversational in style. (St. and Jowett make $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma a s$ and $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ refer to $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta o v a ́ s$, and translate the two verbs as if they were in the present tense.)
b 1. The punctuation here should be av̀ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{v}$--(Prof. Burnet agrees). $-\pi o \hat{v} \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$., " Where, I say, has this same enactment been made in your laws with reference to pleasure?"
c 1. ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \mathrm{s}$ : it is difficult to be sure whether this means equally or perhaps here-the former, I think. In the next line it is perhaps.一ката̀ $\mu \epsilon \gamma \bar{\lambda} \lambda a \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ каì $\delta \iota a \phi a v \hat{\eta}$, "(instances) on a large and striking scale." $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \rho \eta$ means "in detail," "in the several parts," as distinguished from ка $\theta^{\prime}$ "̈ $\lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \nu($ (Tim. 55 е катá $\tau \epsilon$
 also at Philebus 30 b) means lit. in large details; the addition of каi $\delta$ ıaфavin emphasizes the fact that the details, to show the
 "I should not be at a loss."
c 4. i $\mu \mathrm{o}$ íss: i.e. as obvious as in the case of institutions intended to train men to disregard pain.
 he ${ }_{\text {ere }}$, down to 635 bl , is this: "no actual system is perfect; not yours at Sparta and Crete, any more than ours at Athens. So, in our search for perfection, we must none of us feel hurt if deficiencies in his native institutions are exposed. We are too old for anything like pettishness. Well, it is natural that I should know, better than either of you, what fault the world finds, rightly or wrongly, with Dorian institutions. Now one of the best of them
-among many good ones-is that which regards any criticism of the laws, unless made privately by a magistrate or by an old man to a contemporary, as sacrilegious. There are no young men here, so we may proceed."

 $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s, \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \tilde{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho o s . ~ S t a l l b$. adds Plato, $A p .34 \mathrm{e}$ à $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon i \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$
 $\delta^{\prime}$ ô̂v $\kappa \tau \lambda$. Similarly Rep. 462 d каì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ дov ó óovô̂v $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu^{\prime}$
 These and other passages (Stallb. cites Dem. Phil. iii. 16 and De cor. §44) support Eusebius's $\lambda$ ó $o$ os against the MS. ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ here, and the $\delta^{\prime}$ oîv in the passage from the Apology gives some confirmation to Bekker's $\delta^{\prime}$ ô̂v, which Schanz and Burnet rightly adopt instead of the MS. $\gamma^{\prime}$ ô̂v.
d 7. $\epsilon " \pi \in \rho \kappa \alpha i$, " if (your laws are good) as in truth they are";

e 1. With $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \underline{\xi}$ €̀òs $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ \pi \alpha a ́ v \tau a s ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \omega v \epsilon i v ~ S t a l l b . ~ c p s . ~ R e p . ~$

e 4. With the suggestion of criticism in $\sigma v v \nu o \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ (trans.) here cp. our similar use of to reflect upon, to think twice about.
e 6. With $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ we may suppose $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \hat{a} \nu$ to be supplied from d 9 .
635 a 1. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ duavoías: I accept without hesitation Burnet's punctuation of this passage, which connects $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ סıavoías
 "though far removed from the old legislator's mind-as far as $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$ from $\nu \hat{v} \nu$-you have hit it. You must be a $\mu a ́ v \tau \iota s$." There is a confusion between time and space which gives a certain haziness to the metaphor. (Schanz wants to bracket $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$.) For the

 $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}$, "the lawgiver leaves us free to discuss without offence." ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \quad \pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ for the simple $\pi \lambda \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ is an anacoluthon; perhaps the speaker meant us to feel that $\delta_{\iota} \alpha \lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \mu \in \nu o \iota$ is equivalent to $\epsilon i \delta \delta a \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ i \mu \epsilon \theta a$.
a 6. "каì s.v. A" Burnet. I conjecture that what was originally written here was ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ oṽ $\tau \omega s^{\prime} \kappa \alpha i{ }^{\mu} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \nu \gamma \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} s$-that the CKAI, owing to the faintness of the $I$, the bad formation of the $\mathbf{K}$ and of the $\mathbf{C}$ was read as EICA. It was seen afterwards that a $\kappa a i$ was wanted before $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon, \nu$ and it was inserted in A above the line. (It is a slight confirmation of this that A has not ov゙ $\tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ but ov̋ $\tau \omega$.) Schanz omits the кai. It certainly does not seem necessary-if, as

Ast and Stallb. say, cis ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ means quapropter in the sense of wherefore, for which reason. But can it? The nearest approach I can find to this use is Soph Trach. 403 's $\tau_{i}^{\prime}$; "for what purpose?" but "with a view to which" does not suit this passage. ( $\epsilon$ is $\boldsymbol{\text { rovico }}$ at I Timothy 4. 10, is translated in the A.V. "therefore," but it is altered in the R.V. to "to this end").-As against Schanz it may be urged that $\gamma \epsilon$ is much more natural after $\kappa \alpha i$ than after $\epsilon$ is $\alpha^{\circ}$. F.H.D., omitting каi, proposes to read $\epsilon i \bar{i} \alpha$ for $\epsilon i{ }^{\boldsymbol{s}}$ à.
a 7. ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho \tau^{\prime} \gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega}$ ai $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$., "it is no disgrace to a man to be told of something wrong; if he is grateful to his critic, instead of being indignant with him, it may result in his being able to set the wrong right."
b 2. For $\pi \omega$ Stallb., Schneider, and Schanz accept $\pi \omega s$, the reading of a Vienna MS. But the former stands very well here as an anticipation of $\pi \rho i v$.
b 3. $\beta \epsilon \beta$ ai ${ }^{\prime}$ : proleptic ; so, Rep. 537 c , a method of $\mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta \sigma \iota s$ is said to be $\beta \epsilon \beta \beta a \iota o s$, and Rep. 585 e $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o ́ v \tau \epsilon \ddot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$ s каì $\beta \epsilon \beta a i \omega s$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o i t o$. In all these cases it is the result that is fixed and definite, not the process by which the result is reached. ( $\beta$ ' $\beta$ aıos is a favourite word with Plato.) We must supply ${ }_{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega}$ from above with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \rho \hat{\omega} v:$ "In what I say I shall not find fault; that can only be done after an exhaustive investigation : instead of that I will tell you the difficulty I feel about your system."

c 2. $\phi \epsilon v \xi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ : the paronomasia helps the formal statement of the analogy : it is not only pain and alarm that they will run away from; they will run away from those men who have had the training which they themselves have missed.
c 6-d 1. I would substitute a() for the (•) generally found after
 тal to be the protasis, and סov入évorova to be apodosis. A parallelism thus comes out between the two cases: those untrained in fighting fear will (1) flee from the toils and troubles of life, and (2) fall before the better trained; so too those untrained in resisting pleasure will (1) be worsted by pleasure ( $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \partial \nu \nu$ rrícov $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \grave{\eta} \tau \tau$. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi o ́ \beta \omega \nu$ ), and (2) will be worsted and overcome by the better trained ( $\delta$ ov $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma o v \sigma \iota ~ к \tau \lambda$.). The каí before $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ -
 is epexegetic, inasmuch as $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aio $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ rootiv explains wherein the firmness ( $\kappa a \rho \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ) is shown. I do
 I think is certain, and would hold even though it were decided
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e 4. Ast and Stallb. insist on taking $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ as an adverbial phrase like $\tau \grave{\partial} \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ tov́zov, and $\hat{\dot{\omega}} \nu$, or rather the antecedent to $\overparen{\omega} \nu$, as a partitive gen.; but (1) such a construction as
 where $\tau^{\prime}$ is the object of $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, is much more common in Plato; (2) it is much more natural that the gen. which is the antecedent of $\AA \nu$ should have something to depend on ; and (3) the singular $\tau$ ó suits the context better, as being a direct reference to $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \sigma$ v́v $\eta$, whereas the antecedent gen. to $\AA \nu$ might be either sing. or plur. The only strict parallel to such a partitive gen. as they here suppose, cited by Stallb., is at Soph. $232 \mathrm{~b} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{a}^{\nu} \nu a \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \beta \omega \mu \in \nu$ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \sigma 0 \phi \omega \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, where Burnet accepts Heindorf's $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \beta \mu \epsilon \nu<\epsilon \in \nu>$.
e 6. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, "I would suggest that we should talk," or "we have got to talk." At 632 e the Ath. had proposed that they should take the $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau \alpha$ of the different virtues one after another, and though, as Ritter, following Susemihl, says, they have really been discussing $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ (disguised as a kind of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon$ éa) since 633 d , this is the first time the virtue is introduced
 This is a rhetorical artifice. Plato wants to show clearly how the arguments used about $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a$ (and the training in it) apply equally to $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$. No doubt also he wishes to bring out the unity of virtue. (The-as I take it-superficial inconsistency of calling the virtue by two names has been the ground of many attacks upon the treatise. The difficulty felt is a real one. Possibly the passage from 633 c 8 to 635 e 3 was put in as an afterthought as an alternative way of introducing the discussion about $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$. I have only room here to refer to Doering (ut supra), pp. 28 ff.)-I have adopted Badham's $\mu \omega \nu \tau \iota$ for the $\tau \grave{\tau}$ (ecquid?) of the earliest editionsaltered by Stephanus and Ast to $\tau i$. Seeing the preceding word ends in $\mu \in \nu$, it is very possible that $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ was omitted in error: anyhow it makes the sense clearer to an English reader. (F.H.D. suggests that Plato wrote $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \omega \cdot \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota$.) The Ath. here repeats the question already put at 634 b .
e 7. I do not follow Badham in rejecting $\hat{\eta} \tau \alpha i \hat{s}$ —" En purum
 intellexit."-I think Plato adopted the unusual $\eta$ instead of the gen. because his choice would lie between making $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ agree with $\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \iota \tau \epsilon \omega \omega \nu$ (understo 8 d ) -and though he often uses $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$
as subject to $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v_{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta \alpha \iota$, neither he, nor probably anyone else, ever said $\dot{\eta} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota-a n d ~ w r i t i n g ~ s u c h ~ a n ~ a w k-~$ ward phrase as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \mathcal{v}^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$. Bdh., however, apparently, takes $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ as agreeing with $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ understood. He should at least have given an instance of the construction.-With $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon v o \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \omega \nu$ we may supply either $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ or $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$, preferably the latter.-For $\eta$ ク after $\delta \iota a \dot{\phi} \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ cp.

 $\tau \grave{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma v v a \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$. For the omission of the second $\dot{\epsilon} v$ cp. the
 $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ avi $\bar{\omega} \nu ; \epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta}:$ Sparta and Crete had "divinely planned" constitutions; other constitutions were made at random, not on any fixed principle-like the codes described at 630 e , made by the lawgivers of the day.

636a 1. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тòv $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu \nu v \nu \delta \dot{\eta}:$ i.e. "as (we did find some superiority) in the case of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha$ just now."

 Male interpretes ad civitates trahunt," Ast.
a 4. ${ }^{\prime} о \iota \kappa \epsilon \nu$. . . $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, "it does seem difficult for such things as institutions to be as clearly beyond dispute in action as in their intention"-in other words, "it is hard to get institutions that are as satisfactory in action as they are in theory." Ast is doubtless right (pace Stallb.) in taking $\dot{\alpha} v a \mu \dot{\phi} \iota \sigma \beta_{\eta_{e}^{-}}^{-}$ $\tau \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ s as equivalent to the adjective-so Laws 968 b $\tau i{ }^{\prime} \delta_{\dot{\epsilon}} \mathrm{o}$

 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \tau \alpha \_$-though I do not think he is right in taking $\tau \grave{o} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~}$ $\tau \grave{a} s \pi_{0} \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ as an adverbial phrase-"circa civitates."-Rather it is the subject of $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$. (This is better, I think, than taking $\tau$ ó with $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha u$.) With Ast's construction "a man," or "a lawgiver" would presumably have to be supplied as the subject of $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \partial \alpha$. His translation of the whole is: "circa civitates s. leges ita certum esse, h.e. tam certas leges (quae nihil controversiae vel dubitationis habeant) statuere, ut res ipsa, s. eventus respondeat legislatoris consilio."
a 6. $\kappa \iota v \delta v v \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \gamma^{\prime} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$. : the parallel is adequately suggested, but the expression of it is not complete,-not even logical—the $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \rho$ '̇v $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \mu \sigma \iota \nu$ has no right to be where it is.-This informality may be intentional (i.e. a dramatic representation of a hasty conversational style), or it may be due to hasty writing
(the informal $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{i}$ and the $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu \mu \tau a$ coming after the ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu$
 $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$, Ast reads ô for $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\hat{\omega}}{\hat{\omega}}$.

 "without finding that . . ."
 instance."
b 3. $\chi$ a $\lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\alpha}$, "are a danger." (Cp. Polit. 274b $\theta \eta \rho i \omega v$ ö $\sigma a$
 dangerously liable to produce." The young men of the cities named were-"spoiling for a fight," because they were so highly trained. Arist. Pol. v. 7, in speaking of a $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ at Thurii, sets it


 Grote chap. lxv. gives reason for thinking that the revolution at Miletus, described by Plut. Lys. 8, was not due to political differences. The Boeotians are well known to have regarded bodily training as a more important part of education than the training of the mind.
b 4. каi $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \grave{i}$ introduces the next, and more weighty, charge against the $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́ \sigma \iota a-a ~ c h a r g e ~ o f t e n ~ b r o u g h t ~ b y ~ a n c i e n t ~ w r i t e r s .-~$ This whole passage, down to $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \kappa \epsilon \in \vee a \iota$, presents great difficulties. According to Burnet the original reading of A (and O) was raגaıòv vópov, corrected by the writer-(I examined the passage in A and thought it was by a later hand)-to $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega \hat{\omega}$ $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ : a later hand altered the $\omega$ 's back to o and wrote vó $\mu \iota \mu \nu$

 omit the кai, which seems to me likely to have been introduced by some scribe who took $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ф́vícv as going, along with $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \partial ̀ v$, to qualify $\nu \dot{\prime} \mu o \nu$, and took $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu o \nu$ as in appositiou to $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \in \rho \grave{\imath}$ $\tau \grave{a}$ á $\phi \rho o \delta i ́ \sigma \iota a \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \delta o v a ́ s$. This last view I think a mistake. The $\dot{\eta} \delta o v a i ́ a r e ~ \phi \quad \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ as opposed to vó $\mu \varphi$, and the force of the passage seems weakened if they are spoken of as a vó $\mu$ os. I have therefore accepted Boeckh's $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota ~ o ̂ v ~ v o ́ \mu \iota \mu o v, ~ a n d ~ A s t ' s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ к a \tau a ̀ ~ ф ́ p u ́ c ı v ~$
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \theta \eta \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ goes with $\dot{\phi} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$. Stallb. oddly says it is a "hyperbolical" strengthening of the charge against the $\gamma v \mu \nu \dot{c} \sigma \Delta a$, that the mischief they wrought extended to animals, quoting Laws 942 d , where dáapxía is spoken of as extending to the brutes.
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siderations which go to the foundations of the philosophy of law : (1) What pleasures ought not to be sought? (2) What pains ought not to be avoided?" These weighty words illuminate the whole treatise on laws which follows. Whether the lawgiver is enjoining or prohibiting, giving honour or fixing a penalty, his $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \eta$ is shown in his power to answer these two questions. Nature provides the raw material in the form of the emotions of pleasure and pain ; the educator of states and individuals moulds it by the habits which his laws and institutions induce. (See below 727c1-5 for an instance of the legislator's application of this principle.)
d 5 . $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ is emphatic ; the myth dealt with superhuman beings.
 first of modern interpreters to write $\ddot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ (ALO) for the ${ }^{\mu} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ of the inferior MSS. and the received text,-apparently as a conjeeture of his own, and Stallb. follows him in interpreting the word to mean "abodes," acc. to its old poetical use. It is certainly so used at 865 e , which Stallb. quotes, but it there stands in the vague sense of "haunts," not in that of houses, which he gives it here. Besides it is not cities, or houses or families, but the institutions and constitution of the $\pi \mathrm{o}^{\prime} \lambda \mathrm{s}$ on the one hand, and the habits and character of the $i \delta \iota(\dot{\omega} \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$ on the other, that are here in question, and it is best to take "cities and men's characters" here as short for that. One half of the compound notion is mentioned in the first member of the comparison, and the other half in the second.
e 2. $\zeta \hat{\varphi} o v \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi a v:$ very possibly under these words he included not only individual animals, but the universe; an organism, which, like human communities, had its laws-the 乌̣̂ov êv ópatóv of Timaeus 30 d .
e $4-637 \mathrm{~b} 6$. To the height of this philosophical argument Megillus cannot rise, though he expresses polite admiration. He takes refuge in the practical consideration that you don't see the drunkards about Sparta that you see at Athens and elsewhere.
e 6. ठокє $\hat{\imath}$ цоь used impersonally, followed by acc. c. inf. is rare--The $\tau o ́$ added to $\grave{\eta} \delta o v a ̀ s ~ \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ expresses the fact that the injunction to avoid indulgence had been under consideration before (i.e. at 635 b 6 ).
e 7. $\delta \iota a \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is a sort of historic present. "(I'm no philosopher) but it is clear to me that the Lacedaemonian lawgiver is right in that injunction of his to shun pleasure."
e 8. $\beta o \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$, , " will take the field." (It is a pity that the dictionaries do not give this as the primary meaning instead of " assist.")

637 a 1. $\kappa \alpha ́ \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ : see above on 629 a 6.
a 2. For ô̂ (which depends on $\dot{\eta} \delta o v a i ̂ s) ~ A s t ~ s u g g e s t e d ~ \dot{v} \phi ’ ~ o \hat{v}$, and Schanz actually prints $\delta \iota^{\prime} o \hat{v}$; but o $\hat{\hat{v}}$ suits the vagueness of the antecedent better than $\delta \hat{\imath}$ ôर. $\boldsymbol{\tau} 0 \hat{v} \tau o$ is explained a little further down to be $\sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota \alpha$ and ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ) тov́тoıs $\sigma v \nu \in \pi \sigma^{\mu} \mu \in v a$ - the licence and exhilaration attendant on set occasions for drinking.
a 3. avoía: the schol. on Arist. Nub. 418 throws light on the associations of this word: $\dot{\alpha} \nu o \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \nu \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \rho o \delta \iota \sigma i \omega v, \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau o \iota \alpha u ́-$
 $\delta \iota \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ Є̀ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \nu . \quad$ Cp. Eur. Androm. 674 रvvaîка $\mu \omega \rho \alpha i v o v \sigma \alpha \nu$.
a 5. "o $\sigma \omega \nu \Sigma \pi \alpha \rho \tau \iota a ́ \tau \alpha \iota s \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \iota$, "with which Spartans are concerned," i.e. for which they are responsible.
b 1 f . каi oű' ${ }^{\prime}$ MSS.; каi ou' $\delta$ ' Schweighäuser on Athenaeus iv. p. 43, where this passage is quoted, and where for $\lambda$ v́бaıтo we find $\rho \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau o$, which I have adopted. Those who read $\rho$ éva $\sigma \tau$ evidently took $\Delta \iota o v v ́ \sigma \iota a$ as its subject, and made ' ${ }^{\prime} \chi o \nu \tau$ ’ nom. in agreement with it (so Stallb.). Those who read $\lambda$ v́vaıтo probably took ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota s$ as its subject, and ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \circ \nu \tau^{\prime}$ as acc. masc. sing. (so Ast in his edition, though apparently in his Lexicon he takes ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \chi \circ \tau \tau$ ' to be nom. neut. pl.). The former view is supported by the only other instance of $\pi \rho \sigma^{\prime} \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \not \subset \iota \nu$ in Plato (Rep. 469 c ) where it means to provide an excuse.
b 2. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\alpha} \xi^{\xi} \alpha \iota s \in \hat{i} \delta o \nu:$ the object of $\epsilon \hat{i} \delta o \nu$ in the mind
 Ast). For $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} V \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \iota s$ Ast quotes schol. on Lucian, $A d$ Iov.


 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha^{\prime} \xi \eta$ s.
 here slyly putting an argument for the Athenians into Megillus's mouth ? The Dorian, like a too strictly brought up child, when released from the rigid Spartan discipline, runs to excess in indulgence.-Juvenal calls Tarentum " madidum."
b 7. $\epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$. . . $\beta \lambda a \kappa \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$, "all indulgence in pleasure is good where there goes with it a power of saying 'no'; where that power is weakened the man is a fool."
 " to lay hands on a man "-cp. Gorg. 486 a єï $\tau \iota s$ $\sigma o \hat{v} \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s .$.
 used in the figurative sense of the Latin reprehendere.— $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho$ ' $\grave{\eta} \mu \omega \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s: ~ t h i s ~ S t a l l b$. translates "nostra instituta defendens." This would no doubt be right if, with Schanz, we adopted the Aldine alteration of the MS. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ to $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} v$. At Symp. 219 a $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \mu o \hat{v}$ means " what I have to say," i.e. "what
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, and at $251 \mathrm{~d} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \hat{\imath} \mathrm{~s} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s$ with the same slight difference.-It will be seen that in our passage it is much more likely that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is masc., and that $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ is used (as it often is) absolutely ("in self-defence") as at Laws 731 b , where also it is subordinate to another participle v七к $\nu \nu \tau \alpha$ (as $\delta \in \iota \kappa \nu v{ }^{\prime} s$ is here to it). $\tau \iota \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ then is "a man from (or 'on') our side "—an Athenian.
(b 7-d 5.) The connexion of ideas in this passage is this: "Liberty to indulge is good, but not licence. Foreigners, though, are not good judges in the matter. What they take to be licence is often only a liberty to which they are not accustomed. But let us not waste time in condemning or justifying each other ; the only man who is before our tribunal is the lawgiver ; and it will help us in our judgement of what is good or bad in law if we review carefully the whole question of the effect of wine and the regulation of indulgence in it." We are thus launched on a subject which occupies the rest of Book I., and is not finally dismissed till the end of Book II. In the course of its investigation we are introduced to the relation of vó $\mu$ os and the $v o \mu \circ \theta^{\prime} \tau \eta \rho$ to $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon_{i}^{\prime} \alpha$.
 $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ which follows it is the same $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ that we had at 635 a 5 after $\dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ (cp. Thuc. i. 128. $3 \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \hat{v} \theta \eta \quad \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon i v)$ : the addition of the $\tau o \hat{v}$ (cp. Xen. Hel. iv. 8. 5 тov́тovs $\alpha \hat{v}$ тoı $\alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \lambda_{\epsilon} \epsilon \omega \nu \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \epsilon$ $\tau o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi \theta \alpha \iota$ ) and of the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$ make it seem stranger than usual to us.
d 3. ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oûv $\epsilon \ddot{l} \pi \omega \mu \mu \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega$, "I really should like to say more still." For $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ô̂v used in this way cp. Phaedr. 247 c

 $\delta^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$.) shows that $\mu^{\prime} \in \theta \eta$ is not used here in the sense of strong drink, but in that of ebriety. We must remember that the Greeks drank nothing stronger than wine, and nearly always drank that mixed with water, and hence that the word $\mu^{\prime} \theta \eta$ had not the disgusting connotation that its equivalent has among us. The effect of drinking wine-especially that of drinking more wine

## Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page


to $\beta \lambda$ '́ $\boldsymbol{\pi} o \nu \tau \epsilon$. Stephanus mentions this reading: it is reported to have been in the margin of Voss's MS., and Ficinus translates "si ad victoriam belli fugamque respexerimus." This unnecessary alteration is sufficiently condemned by the fact that $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau$, in the sense of respicere (ad), is always intransitive in Plato (i.e. followed by a prep.). (At Tim. 51 c it is trans. in the sense of to see with the bodily eyes, and at Charm. 172 c in the sense of look for, seek; cp. Heindorf ad loc.)
a 7. The $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ clause goes closely with the previous words.I have put a colon after $\mu a ́ \chi \eta s$ (as Schanz), also a comma after
 (which is a "historic" present). (? $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i \quad \delta \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$.) This arrangement assumes for $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ almost the force of "for instance."
b 2. ूoкрoús: for the early history of lawless Locri and its wonderful conversion by Zaleucus cp. e.g. Grote ch. xxii. As to its later єv่vouía cp. Pind. Ol. ix. 17, and x. 15, Plato, Tim. 20 : for its conservatism cp. Dem. C. Timocr. 744.-The defeat of the Locrians by a Syracusan force, here referred to, is probably that inflicted on them by Dionysius the younger in ${ }^{3} 456$ в.c., when he had to flee from Syracuse. He had to fight with the Locrians for the possession of the citadel. If this is so we have a terminus a quo for the composition of the Laws (see below on 711e5).-Cean laws and Oean morals were proverbially excellent. Nothing seems to be known of the circumstances of Ceos's subjection to Athens.
b 4. av̉rov̂ €́кáacov: i.e. taking them in fullest detail, and examining them minutely.
b 7. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \delta^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$.: having dismissed the notion that the most powerful nations must needs have the best laws, the Ath. warns his hearers that no custom or practice ought to be praised or blamed without a careful consideration of the circumstances of the case.
c 2. $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ $\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s: ~ I ~ t h i n k ~ S t a l l b . ~ i s ~ r i g h t, ~ a s ~ a g a i n s t ~ A s t, ~$ in taking this to mean discuss (verbally), rather than reflect upon
 somewhat for this view.
c 3. $\pi \rho \circ \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \in \mathcal{\prime}$ : rather more than propose here; it is "who set


c 4. For кат̀̀ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi$ оо cp. on 635 d 7.
c 5. I have followed Ast and Schanz in adopting Cornarius's correction of the MS. $\pi v \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ to $\tau v \rho o{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$. C. 'quotes from

 c 8 presents a special difficulty. tuoós might be used in either the sing. or the plur., but the singular avoóv, which would have to be supplied with ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ 'Xov $O a$, would not be natural Greek. As an article of food they always spoke of $\pi v \rho o i$ or $\kappa \rho \iota \theta a i$.

 ép $\gamma a \sigma i a v$.
c 7. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi о \rho \alpha$, "the application." - The following relative clauses explain the word $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \phi \rho a ́ v-m o r e ~ p a r t i c u l a r l y ~ i s ~ t h e ~ i n f . ~$ $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ epexegetic of $\pi \rho o \sigma \phi o \rho a v:-\mathrm{I}$ have not followed Schanz in adopting Madvig's athetesis of this inf. Such an epexegetic inf. is just possible in the Laws. If an emending copier had inserted anything, he would, I think, have inserted $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi^{\prime} \rho \in \epsilon \nu$ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, which is the reading of two inferior MSS. according to Stallb. -The points to be observed about the application of the diet are the manner of the application, the choice of recipients, the concomitant treatment, the state of the food, and the state of the recipients.
d 2. $\tau$ тoбov̂tov $\mu$ óvov: i.e. only the bare word $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta$.

 writes $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \kappa o \lambda o v \theta o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \mathcal{\prime}$ for $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \circ \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$. I follow Boeckh, and also bracket каi after $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa$. I can hardly believe that $\mathfrak{\epsilon}$ racveiv in these circumstances, in two consecutive lines, could have been used first in the sense of praise as opposed to blame, and then in the sense of back an opinion, whether favourable or unfavourable. I conjecture the original text to have been: $\mu$ áp $\rho v \sigma \iota \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ к $\alpha \grave{i}$
 was written in the margin of some copy, by a scribe who thought a verb ought to be supplied : when $\grave{\epsilon} \pi$. got into the text, it became necessary to add a каi after $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o u$. In any case the meaning is clear. The philosopher must not be content with the verdict of numbers, or with that of experience: he must go behind both, like the scientific doctor in the case of diet mentioned above. Both of us, says the Ath, are on the wrong tack: $I$, in appealing to numbers, you, in appealing to the witness of results.
d5. к仑́poov: decisive-something that will settle the matter.
d 6. $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega}$ : i.e. oiv $v($ " vino abstinentes" Ficinus.
d7. тô̂̃o: the last-mentioned argument from experience. $\eta_{\mu}^{\mu} \hat{\nu}$ is probably the Ath., but it may be the whole company.

According as we decide this point, we shall decide between $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ and $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \nu$ in e 5 .
e 3. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ av̉zov̂ $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o v, \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu^{\prime} \theta \eta \mathrm{f}$ : a bold and emphatic
 we should begin a fresh sentence here, "And I hope that in so doing I may be able to show" etc.
e 4. ${ }_{0} p \partial_{\eta} \nu \mu^{\prime} \theta_{0} \theta_{o \delta \nu}$ : i.e. the discussion is to be a lesson in logic.
e 5. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{A}, \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{Hug}$; see above on d7.- $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ av̉̃ $\bar{\nu} \nu$ : i.e.
 about $\mu^{\prime} \theta \eta$ alone. It is not only on one subject that you will find yourselves (you, Spartans and Cretans) in the minority, and it will be as well for you to know how to answer attacks.
e 6. Some editions read $\dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \nu$ here, on no MS. authority.
639 a 2. For $\delta \dot{\eta} \mathrm{L}$ has $\delta \epsilon$, and for $\pi \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ Ast would read the more usual $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \pi \eta$, an unwarrantable alteration.
a 5. каi where we should say or.
a 6. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ MSS.; Eusebius and Theodoret, in quoting this passage, omit the article. Cp. below c 4. $\tau \iota v \omega v$ как $\omega \nu$ Herm.
a 7. víctes кai $\dot{\delta} \tau \iota o \hat{\nu}$ : an interrogative form of the very
 rotten and rot, sometimes of the morally unsound, sometimes of the nonsensical. The neuter is used adverbially here as acc.

 - $\boldsymbol{\eta} \gamma \boldsymbol{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ MSS., $\dot{\eta} \gamma o{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ Eusebius. Ast notes that the MSS. not infrequently change a pres. to a deliberative subj.; cp. с 5 below.
a 9. The mention of како̀ äp $\rho{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ leads naturally to the consideration of the $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ̀ s{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \omega \nu$, itself a step towards that of the due ordering of $\sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota a$.
bl. oîv, a mere ghost here, as far as its illative force goes, serves the euphony of the sentence, by obviating the jingling $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \tau \in \nu a v \tau \iota \hat{a}$ à $\nu \tau \epsilon \mu \mu^{\prime}$.
b 6. кä้ Stephanus, кai MSS.
b 7. Schanz agrees with Cobet in thinking that $\omega$ s has dropped out before $\mathbf{v} \pi \mathrm{ro} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \theta \eta$; but, as Ritter says, though this is palaeographically possible, the comparison of $649 \mathrm{~d} 6 \pi \alpha \nu \theta^{\prime}{ }_{\partial}^{\prime \prime} \sigma a \quad \delta \iota^{\prime}$ $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} s a \hat{v} \mu \in \theta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \phi \rho o v a s ~ \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ shows that the metaphor could be used without such an introduction. Besides, would not
 cps. Critias 121 a $\mu \epsilon \partial$ v́v$\nu \tau \epsilon s$ vimò $\tau \rho v \phi \hat{\eta} s$.
b 10. To clear our ideas the A'th. introduces us to a "right-
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is oi $\omega^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$; cp. above on a 7.-For the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ of A and Eus., $O$ has $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ : $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ has $\ddot{\alpha} v$, and $\mathrm{O}^{2} \delta \dot{\eta}$.- In the change from the sing. (ó $\delta \dot{\prime} \dot{\epsilon}$ ) to the plural in $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \circ$ ús we have the last of the conversational irregu-

c 7. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ (i.e. oioí $\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ) : this ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ may have given rise to the ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu$ suggested as a variant for $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in c 5 .
d2. ${ }^{\prime} \chi \bar{\chi} \delta \dot{\eta}$ (cp. 627 c 3): Heindorf on Gorg. 460 a says, "mihi vox ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota \nu$ in hac quoque formula, ut saepe alias, inhibendi et subsistendi vi accipienda videtur"-somewhat, i.e., like our
 ever, and possibly here, ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \in \delta \dot{\eta}$ seems to be used just with the force of ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$. A phrase so commonly used in conversation must have lost some of its original significance.
 must be supplied here.
d 9. $\delta \imath \eta \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \kappa \alpha$ : this word is always used elsewhere in Plato with a personal object (though at Phil. 57 c it is not expressed) in the sense of to question, put to the question; here it means

 $\pi o v$. . . $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$, "I have never seen or heard of one that was as it should be throughout, and though I may have seen insignificant parts that were proper here and there, still I may say I found the majority of them altogether at fault." In $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\sigma v \mu \pi \pi \alpha \tau \alpha$ we have the same change to the neut. as that noticed on 638 e 3.
e 5. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s} \mu_{\epsilon} \nu \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$.: a polite way of hinting disbelief in the existence of such a thing as a "proper" $\sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota o v .-\epsilon v ं \theta v$ 's, " at first sight."

640 a 4. тò $\mu^{\prime} \mathcal{v}$ : demonstrative, "this much you do know,
 kind of concerted action."
a 5. éкá $\sigma \tau o \iota s$ agrees in sense with the individual members of which the companies spoken of are composed ; we should translate "for each set of people." $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi o \hat{v}$ is " in all cases," i.e. in every sort of company.
a 11. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ : " frequentissime Graeci a singulari collective vel infinite posito ad pluralem transeunt" Ast, who cps. 853 d $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota s$ є่ $\gamma \gamma^{i} \gamma \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$. . ôs . . . ov̂̃oı . . . $\gamma^{i} \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.
b 4. rav $\bar{i} \tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega$ as at Theaet. 148 d , " at all costs."
b6. $\nu \hat{\nu} v \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon$. . $\phi \iota \lambda o \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ s : the connexion between

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ and ${ }^{\prime} \rho{ }^{\prime} \xi^{\prime} \circ \nu \tau o s$. The nearest approach to this arrangement

 to know whether $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \delta o v$ was felt as a gen. dependent on a noun (äpgovtos being a slight, though significant, modification of ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi$ ( $\quad \nu \tau 0 s$ ) or as governed by a part. of the verb $\ddot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \dot{\chi} \epsilon \iota$. $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho o i ̂ s ~ i s ~ g o v e r n e d ~ b y ~ t h e ~ v e r b a l ~ n o u n ~ o ́ ~ \rho \mu c \lambda i a u s ~(c p . ~ 631 d 3) . ~$ - ${ }^{\circ} \mu \iota \lambda i a \iota s$ is plural, I suppose, because it takes two armies to make a battle.-(Against Badham's rewriting of this sentence
 are at least two strong objections: (1) what can have been the motive for changing so simple a construction into a very complex one? (2) whereas, in the version in the text, ${ }^{a} \rho \rho \xi \xi^{\prime} \nu \tau o s$ goes equally well with $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ and with $\phi \dot{i} \lambda \omega \nu$, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o \hat{v}$ will not go with both. The sentence as we have it seems to ine quite in the style of the Laws.-Ficinus translates "non de exercitu nec imperaturo." Hence Schanz reads oud
 ${ }^{a} \rho \xi^{\circ} \rho \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$.)
b 8. єipq́vŋ and $\phi \iota \lambda o \phi \rho o \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$ (peace and goodwill) have already been mentioned together at 628 c 10 .
c 2. ov̀к $\dot{\alpha} \theta_{o}^{\prime} \rho v \beta$ os: i.e. somewhat of a chaos, and therefore needing, more than anything, an ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu$.
c 3. Cleinias's very ready assent, here and in his next four answers, to any suggestion of a fault that may be found with a $\sigma v \mu \pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \iota o v$, shows him still incredulous.
c 4. каï тov́toıs: this company, as well as the other company (of soldiers) spoken of above.
c 6. $\dot{\alpha} \theta$ ó $\rho v \beta$ ov, " orderly."
 oodós in d4. The particular wisdom here denoted is largely covered by Dr. Johnson's (grammatically indefensible) word "clubbable." The instinct and tact implied in the English word is at all events indispensable for the office in question.
c 10. The position of the $\tau \epsilon$ is not logical : grammatically the two main items to be connected are (1) the guardian of what is present, and (2) the producer of the hoped-for addition; whereas the position of the $\tau \epsilon$ forces us to think of the two items as (1) the present, and (2) the future (augmented) good-fellowship.-There is a further structural irregularity in ricioyos, which does not depend on $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ (one who takes care), alone, as in grammar it
should, but on a sort of compound noun $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \varsigma{ }^{\circ \prime} \pi \omega \varsigma \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ which is equivalent to one who produces. '(Possibly Plato did not like the sound of $\phi u{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \xi \tau \in \tau \hat{\eta} S$, or perhaps he began with the continuatiou каì $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 白 $\sigma o \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta s$ in his mind, and thought, as he went on, that it did not express all that he had to say, and so chose the fuller phrase,-all that remained of the former being the case of $\pi \lambda$ єíovos.)
 фоóvıцог $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \sigma v \nu o v \sigma i ́ a s ~ i n ~ c 9, ~ v \eta ́ \dot{\phi} о \nu \tau \alpha$ (in the literal sense) introduces only one, though the most essential, of the conditions on which the character of the $\dot{\alpha} \theta$ ó $\rho v \beta$ os of c 6 depends. Cp.
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \theta \eta \nu \nu o ́ \mu o s, \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \nu \eta ́ \phi o v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \sigma v \mu \pi o \sigma \iota \alpha \rho \chi \in i v$.
d6. каí is here or.-v'оs introduces a new condition, in such a way as to imply that it would, of course, have been taken for granted: "young and 。inexperienced" is almost the same as "inexperienced" alone. (There is something attractive about Badham's $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ for $\nu^{\prime} \epsilon o s$, but, on the whole, I think that, if $\nu^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ and $\nu$ ' $\neq s$ had equal MS. authority, the latter is preferable.-"If, with a drunken or a young inexperienced chief, an assembly of drunkards does not signally come to grief, it will be far more by luck than good guiding.")
d 9-e 5. In other words, the critic of $\sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota \alpha$ must be quite sure that what he is blaming is not some accidental accompaniment. He cannot be sure of this until he has seen a $\sigma v \mu \pi r^{\prime} \sigma \iota o v$ properly conducted under favourable conditions. If then he condemns it-and he may-we must listen to him (e.g. you mustn't select a rotten apple, when you want to condemn apples as such-aú $\tau \grave{\partial} \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu \alpha$ ).
e 4. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$, "any proceeding" (without sober guidance).
64I a 3. The next question the Cretan puts is: "supposing that we are wrong in blaming $\sigma v \mu \pi o \sigma^{\sigma} \iota a$, what good do they do?"
a 4. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \circ$ тò $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \pi o ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ v o ́ \mu \iota \mu o \nu$, "this drinking institution." I have followed Schanz in reading o $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ s for the MSS. ó $\rho \theta$ òv. Since 639 d 1 we have had ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \gamma \in \nu \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \varphi$, ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$
 It is more likely that a scribe mistook the termination than that Plato shonld have varied the phrase here.
a 5. The antecedent to ő is $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha$, not the statement about it.
a 7. víкך $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu о v: ~ s o ~ a b o v e ~ 638 ~ a ~ 7 ~ v i ́ к \eta \nu ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta s ~(a t ~ 647 d ~$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́v $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \varphi$ víк $\nu \nu$, at a 4 below $\pi о \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \omega і$ víкаs).
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from being so indisputably $\mu \in ́ \gamma \beta$ a, as Cl . thinks it, it sometimes undoes some of the advantages to which it is incidental-as indeed is implied in the proverbial qualification $\mathrm{K} a \delta \mu \epsilon i a$, which nobody ever heard applied to $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha$. - The argument does not seem quite on all fours here, unless we admit an extension of the phrase to cover remoter consequences. What was generally called a $\mathrm{K} a \delta \mu \epsilon^{\prime} a^{\alpha}$ $\nu i ́ k \eta$ would be less likely to produce $\ddot{v} \beta \rho \iota$ s in the victor than one which had cost him less.-For Ka $\delta \mu \epsilon i a$ Ast quotes from Erasmus's Adagia; "undecunque natum est adagium, Cadmeam victoriam appellabant infelicem etiam ipsis victoribus."
c 8. סoкєís $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, "we are to conclude then!"-Cleinias can hardly believe his ears; still less, doubtless, when he hears the
 lit. "the occupation of drinking in company," a blunt phrase adopted by the Ath. himself at 645 c 3.
d1. ís $\epsilon$ is $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \bar{\lambda} \eta \nu$ ноípav $\tau \epsilon i v o v \sigma a \nu$ (the construc-tion-after $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma_{\epsilon \epsilon \varsigma}$-is a variety of that noticed on 624 a 7 ), "has an important educational tendency."
d 6. $\tau \grave{\prime} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} s:$ used adverbially, as at Thuc. vi. 33. 2
 $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \nu \mu i ́ q$.-" "To be quite sure that this is so in very truth" ( $\delta \iota \sigma \sigma \chi \nu \rho i \zeta_{\epsilon \sigma} \theta a \iota$ here, I think, as at Theaet. 158 d , Crat. 440 c , means "to maintain," or "insist upon a thing to oneself," and so


d7. "As we have embarked on the subject," he goes on, "you are welcome to my opinion."
d 10. $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a$ : indicative, I think.
e 2 f. Before Burnet, all interpreters took $\sigma v v \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad a \iota$ as governing tòv $\lambda_{o ́ \gamma o v . ~ H e, ~ h o w e v e r, ~ p u t s ~ a ~ c o m m a ~ a f t e r, ~ a s ~ w e l l ~ a s ~}^{\text {a }}$ before, $\sigma v v \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu a l$, i.e: he takes it absolutely, with $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$, in the sense of "do your (and my) best to," and governs $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ b y ~ \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma a \iota$. This makes the arrangement of the latter part of the sentence seem awkward. But the apparent awkwardness is not foreign to the style of the Laws; and it was perhaps intended to give special emphasis to $\boldsymbol{\tau} \grave{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}$ óyov. On the other hand it clears up the construction of the earlier part of the sentence. How obscure this was we may see from the fact that, while Ast says we must supply in sense $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} v a$, , $\boldsymbol{\tau} v \nu \nu o \hat{v} v$ with $\dot{v} \mu \hat{a} s$ (getting it out of the

 more in favour of strive, as a meaning for $\dot{\sigma} v \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{i} v a$, , than direct.
$\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu=\nu$ agrees with the subj. of $\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$, and, with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{\omega} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$, means "to the best of my powers."
e5. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ : the order here is of the same involved kind noticed

 be under the impression.
e 7. "I think of as many matters as he; but I give heaven thanks, and make no boast of them" (Jaques, in As You Like It).
 not to give you the notion." ${ }^{\prime}$-In $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o \hat{v} \mathrm{~L}$ has undoubtedly here preserved for us the right reading (and so $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ ): A and $\mathrm{O}^{1}$ had $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \grave{\alpha}$.
 ment). In a passage of Porphyrius's Life of Plotinus (quoted by Ritter and Preller, p. 517) the word occurs in this sense: P. says that Plotinus, and two other pupils of the philosopher Ammonius,

 expounded to them in his lectures." Plato probably uses it consciously as a metaphor-from the extracting the metal from the ore (Laws 678 d ). Ast takes the word to mean elaborate (a long speech), a slightly different view. Stallb. thinks it can mean "to make by way of explanation" (a long speech). (Badham rewrites it àvєкג̀s ai $\rho o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s!$ )—ò̀ $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$, "at vero" Stallb., who cps. Apol.
 scientifically correct treatment can never get clear and adequate expression in (philosophical) argument without (the help of) a correct theory of $\mu$ overк $\eta^{\prime}$ "; and this last, he says, cannot be discussed without an exposition of what is meant by education in general, of which it is a branch.-That $\delta \iota o ́ \rho \theta \omega \sigma \iota s$ means " correct treatment" we are helped to see by the ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu$ тoîs $\lambda$ óroos that follows.




 of apodosis to the $\epsilon i$ clauses that follow. They mean: "Consider (both of you) what we had better do." The following construction seems rather slovenly, and it is not surprising that Hermann (followed by Schanz) wants to delete from $\epsilon i$ to $\lambda_{o ́ \gamma o v . ~ T h e ~}^{\text {on }}$ nearest approach to this is such a construction as the first $\epsilon i$ clause

 тò̀s $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau a s ;$ Here the $\tau \iota$; ("how would it be?") has to be supplied out of the previous $\dot{\delta} \rho \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \tau i \pi \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. Another $\epsilon i$ clause depending on something not expressed is that at Laws 744 a $\epsilon \ddot{l}$
 versational anacoluthon is best marked by a -.
b 3. $\pi \rho o ́ \xi \in \operatorname{vos}$ apparently used as an adjective here: so $\xi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu 0$ at

 peculiarity of the anacoluthon is that there seems to be a tardy attempt to mend it; $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\nu}$, which comes as if after a parenthesis, is a sufficient explanation of the dative raıo'v ; again quite conversational.
b 5. Ast unaccountably takes $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta$ as adverbial ("propterea"). Its separation from $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ gives it special emphasis. $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ depends grammatically on $\epsilon v^{\prime \prime} \nu \iota \alpha$ rather than on $\pi \rho o \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$, though the proximity of $\pi \rho \circ \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ to $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ is significant. It shows whose $\pi \rho o ́ \xi \in \nu o \iota$ are being talked of.—Badham rejects $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ . . . $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ as a " miseri magistelli interpretatio," and Schanz follows him. The omission certainly seems to improve the sentence.
 qualifying $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta$ ús has very little definite meaning. We might say: "If I heard the mere children talking, and they, being Lacedaemonians, had some fault to find with, or some praise to bestow upon, the Athenians."-In the margin of Cod. Voss. was
 phrase would come too soon after $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu \epsilon v^{\prime} \theta \dot{v}$, and the loss of the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$, if it was there, is hard to account for. As it is, the preceding
 The plur. $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ applies to the whole body of $\pi \rho o \dot{\xi} \xi \in v o \iota$ of Athens, of whom Meg. was one-the $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \xi^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ of b 6.
c 2. Acc. to Boeckh как $\hat{\omega} \mathbf{s} \dot{\rho} \epsilon \oint \epsilon \iota \nu$ тıvá is a Laconism. It is certainly not ordinary Attic.
c 5. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha y$, " thorough, hearty."
c 7. $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho \circ ́ \nu \tau \omega$ s тoıô̂тoı: Ritter quotes Ep. vii. 336 d
 $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \in \rho о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$.
c 8. The two points about the goodness of the good Athenian
 it is not open to the Spartan, to be bad in all sorts of ways, if he likes; (2) it is genuine, and the mark and warrant of its genuineness is that it is (as we should ,say) perfectly natural-the gift of
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dislocation of what seems the natural order where genitives are concerned is not uncommon in the Laws. St. quotes $648 \mathrm{e} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$






e 5. oi $\tau \rho o ́ \gamma o v o \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ : i.e. our family (at that time), in the person of Epimenides.- 'є $\kappa$ rórov, "from that day to this." The• ${ }^{\prime \prime} \epsilon \omega \gamma \epsilon$ that follows shows that Cleinias speaks of his own family, and not of the Cnossian state (see on d 4). Ast quotes Diog. Laert.



 it comes to being able to do it, my task is none too easy." The
 which see Heindorf's note on Theaet. 161 e ) allows of its being used, by a slight zeugma, in a slightly different sense with $\hat{\rho} q q^{\prime} \dot{\iota} \iota a$. As the subject of ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \tau \sigma \iota \mu \alpha$ it was equal to $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \omega \dot{\omega}$.-For the connexion of the notions of $\beta$ ov́ $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ and $\delta v v^{\nu} \alpha \iota \iota$ cp. Gorg. $509 \mathrm{~d} \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ Súva $\mu \iota \nu$ ク̈ ßoú $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$; к $\tau \lambda$.
 Phaedr. 257 b ìva . . $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho o ̀ s " E \rho \omega \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\phi} \iota \lambda o \sigma o ́ \phi \omega \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ тòv ßíov тоíqтаı.




 ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \chi \alpha \rho \iota$. As $\delta v v^{\prime} \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota$ sometimes means significare, so $\delta$ v́vapıs often means significatio. (Ast in Lex. gives eight instances.) In these three passages, as at Gorg. 455 d -where Cope translates $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ $\dot{\rho} \eta \tau о \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \delta^{\prime} v \alpha \mu \iota \nu \ddot{\mu} \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu$ "the entire force and meaning of rhetoric"-I think the notion in the writer's mind is rather "what the term implies," than "what the thing can do." Ritter in a valuable note (p. 11f) says that in these passages it means " what the thing is." Referring to Peipers, Ontologia Plat. 250 ff . he says that with Plato civaı is nothing but the dv́vajıs $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ каi $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. If that is so, we have in all these passages a simple tautology. Cp. Soph. 247 e 3.
a 6. ǐ'́ov єivą тòv . ... dóyov: "accusativus, in hac structura Atticis usitatus, Platoni imprimis frequentatur," Heindorf on Phaedr. 272 e. Among other passages he quotes Laws 688 e tóv

 oivos. Cp. 773 d where oivos is spoken of as chastened $\dot{v} \pi \bar{o}$ $\nu \dot{\eta} \phi o \nu \tau o s$ é $\tau \in \rho \rho o v \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$. These words may also contain a reference to the part to be taken in education by the god as the inspirer of the Dionysiac Choir.
b 3. $\lambda$ é $\gamma o \iota s$ äl : so below 782 d 9, Parm. 126 a, Phaedr. 227 c.
b 5. тoûto av̉тó: antecedent to $\dot{\text { oftoôv (not, as Stallb., to } \tau \grave{~}}$
 cu $\theta$ ús.
b 6. €́ $\kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s: ~ n e u t . ~(s o ~ A s t-n o t, ~ a s ~ S t ., ~ m a s c.) . ~ W e ~ s h o u l d ~$ understand it better if Plato had written év ékáocous roîs $\tau 0 \hat{v}$
 two consecutive words beginning with $\pi \rho$, and for some reason preferred not to say тoîs $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ ŋ́коvбı $\tau 0 \hat{v} . \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau o s$. -The masc. oi $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa o v \tau \epsilon s$ is used as a subst. with a possessive gen. depending on it at $A p o l .34 \mathrm{~b}$; the neut. $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \nu \tau a$ is equally substantival here.
b 8. ${ }^{\eta}$ тıva oikодо́ $о \nu$ : " $\tau \iota$ s est forte (etwa) qua significatione praesertim cum $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ conjunctum gaudet. Sic infra $644 \mathrm{a} \eta{ }^{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \nu v a \pi \rho o ̀ s$



 $\kappa \rho \dot{a} \tau o s ~ \delta \dot{\eta} \mu o v \tau \iota, 950 \mathrm{~d} 8$. -With regard to this early specialization in the education of the craftsmen, if it had been objected to Plato that this sort of training would make a man into a tool, he would probably have answered that he meant his oiкобó $о$ oc and $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ í to be tools. Possibly too, if it were urged that you cannot tell. at the beginning of a child's education what calling he will be best fitted for, he would have said that it is for the good of the community that crafts should be hereditary. Cp. Rep. 415 a ä $\tau \epsilon$
 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon$.
c 4. For ávaүкаía followed by an act. infin. St. cps. Soph. 242 b,
 ठıà $\mu а к \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ тoùs $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s ~ \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$.
c5. $i \pi \pi \epsilon \hat{v}^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu \pi a i{ }_{j}{ }^{2} \nu \tau a$ : this suggests to us a rocking horse, or the $\pi a \iota \delta a \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime}$ s on all fours with a child astride on his back.

It should be remembered, however, that $\pi \alpha i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ is a cognate of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha$ as well as of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \alpha$, and that the line between the two was not nearly so sharply drawn with the Greeks as it is with us. Only the two richest classes at Athens had to.serve as cavalry. As ${ }^{\prime \prime} \phi \eta \beta$ oı they had to learn to ride in earnest, but it was probably not this stage that Plato was thinking of here.
c 6. $\pi o \iota o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$, the reading of the MSS., whether due to the original author or to a copying scribe, must be a slip for mot $\epsilon \hat{\imath} v$, due to the attraction of the neighbouring $\pi \alpha i\} o v \tau \alpha$. In the margin of the MS. of Eusebius, who quotes this passage, the correction to rroteîv is made, and Boeckh and Ast made it separately.
c 7. $\pi a \iota \delta i \omega v$, the reading of Eusebius and Aristides, is now generally adopted for the rai $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$ of the MSS.- $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \epsilon$. . . oit
 employments) in which they themselves (will) have to be engaged when they grow *up," i.e. I take the $\dot{\alpha} \phi$. $\tau \in \bar{\epsilon} \lambda$. "' $\chi \in \iota \nu$ to




 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota \nu$ is often used in the Laws without $\beta_{i o v}$ (once, 801 e , with ßíov) for "to die." At Tim. 90d it means to find its fulfilment, and this is apparently the sense which Jowett gives it here. He translates " (to direct the children's inclinations . . .) to their final aim in life." This neglects the emphatic aúrov́s.-Another possibility is that $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \iota$ here means "to reach perfection"-the same as the $\tau^{\prime} \lambda \in \epsilon \circ \nu \epsilon_{i}^{i} v a \iota$ four lines lower down-" to the point to which they themselves must come if they are to reach perfection." But the meaning wanted is not that the teacher must set the highest possible ideal before the child, but that he must direct his thoughts, and more particularly his. inclinations, to a particular employment.-With this explanation too the emphatic aúroús seems out of place, whereas it goes admirably with the $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa о \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v o v s}$ if that is taken to be the most significant word in the phrase. (F.H.D. suggests that $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \in \chi \in \iota \nu$ means "to take up their position in the world.")-The main point in this paragraph, as the next words clearly show, is, not that children should specialize early but, that the first object of education is to make children like doing what will be their life-work.
d 1. $\tau \rho o \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$ is disciplinam, a common Platonic use; cp.
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$\mu \alpha ́ \lambda a \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ $\sigma \phi{ }^{\prime} \delta \rho \alpha \cdot \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \cdot \omega \nu$ ．Ficinus ànd Cornarius translate as if they had $\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \omega^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu o \nu \stackrel{\mu}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$ ，treat $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$ as redundant，and supply $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \nu$ with the gen．${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau o \iota o v i \tau \omega \nu$ ． （R．G．Bury would read $\epsilon$＇s $\langle\tau \alpha \dot{\prime}\rangle \tau \epsilon$ ，taking $\kappa \alpha \pi$ ．and $v \alpha v \kappa \lambda$ ．to be genitives．）Ast saw that $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau o c o v i \tau \omega \nu$ must have a noun to depend on，and conjectured that $\mu \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \alpha$ was an early mistake for $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ；Winckelmann preferred $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ，referring to 918 al where we have $\kappa \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \alpha \varsigma \grave{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ ．With this and the change to the acc．sing．（ $\left.\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon v \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu o \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu\right)$ Schanz is content，and Ritter approves．As a smaller alteration I proposed formerly to read ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha$ for $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha$ and to keep the following genitives， taking кал $\eta \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} i_{\alpha}$ and vavкдұрías as acc．plur．，and translating $\pi \epsilon \pi . \sigma \phi . \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho$ ．＂in the case of men who have been highly trained．＂ But I now prefer with F．H．D．to see the source of error in
 and vavкえ $\quad$ pías as objective genitives depending on it．It will be noticed that oo íav in the same connexion recurs eight lines further down．The gen．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\rho} \pi \omega \nu$ is on all fours with the $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the earlier half of the sentence．We might then translate the whole passage from vivv $\gamma^{\prime} \rho$ ：＂As it is（cp．v仑vv $\delta \prime \epsilon$ at Phaedr． 244 a）we blame or praise the bringing up of individual men，speaking of that one among us as an educated man，another as uneducated（and we say this）sometimes in the case of those who have been highly trained for hucksterage or for seamanship，or for any other such business．＂
e 3．$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ refers to the business trainings spoken of above．
e 4．There is something attractive in Ritter＇s suggestion that perhaps for $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ here we ought to read $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \nu$. He

 єiрд $\mu$＇́̀
e 6．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ is not connected with $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \nu$ by $\tau \epsilon$ ，but it is
 ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ St．cps．Solon ap．Stob．Serm．xlvi． $22{ }^{a \prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$ $\mu a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \stackrel{a}{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \theta \grave{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ 白 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \iota$ ，and Arist．Pol． 1333 a 2 and Cic．De legg．iii． 2.

644 a 1．ふ́фо $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \sigma \alpha^{\prime} \mu \in v o s$（the technical term for＂isolating＂a phenomenon）refers to the $\mu \grave{\eta}$ áó $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \nu \gamma^{\prime} \iota^{\prime} \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ in 643 d 6 ．－For
 $\sigma \grave{v} \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota s$ ，Phaedo 61 с $\left.\bar{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \gamma \grave{\omega} \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \mu \alpha \iota\right)$ ．This looks like a very early variant．
a 3．$\tau \iota v a$ ：cp．above on 643 b 8．－I see no force in Badham＇s
objection that it is ridiculous to talk of $i \sigma \chi \chi^{\prime} s$ as if it were a $\sigma o \phi^{\prime} i^{\prime}$. To say nothing of the difference between ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o s$ and the English other in such sentences, "the training which aims at money, or, say, some particular bodily strength "implies the acquirement of skill, and ooфía includes all sorts of skill (cp. Prot. 321 d 'H申aívzov
 literal, as well as in a metaphorical sense, a $\sigma o \phi o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \tau \eta \prime s$ (Soph. Phil. 431). For the whole passage cp. Epist. 358 c 3


 o’vo $\mu \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
a 4. ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \in v$ vô каi $\delta \grave{\imath} \kappa \eta s:$ a negative definition of the aim of education; i.e. it must produce $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ and $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma v ́ v \eta$. The former was partly implied in the ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu \nu \nu$, and the latter in the $\alpha \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu o v$ above. That $\sigma \omega \dot{\phi} \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha$, the other two of the $\theta \epsilon i \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \alpha^{\prime}$ mentioned at 631 c , are not specified here we have no right to complain. The Athenian selects the two most indispensable products of education. That he is speaking generally, and not philosophically classifying, is shown by his resumption of his whole contention, three lines lower down, in the form: "true education has got to make us good," prefaced as it is by a deprecation of criticism of his previous terms- $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ o’vó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ avizoîs (i.e. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \lambda o \iota s\right)$.
a 6. For óvó $\mu a \tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha \phi ́ \epsilon \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ St. cps. Euthydem. 285 а ка兀̈ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ỏvó $\mu a \tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha \phi \in \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
a 8. $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu$ : merely a sort of apology, for the general term $\dot{a}^{2} \alpha \theta{ }^{\prime}$ í ; i.e. it does not mean that in nearly all cases well educated men are good (so Ast and Jowett), but that the nearest approach to a general term in the case is the word good: "what you may call good."
b 1. $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu o \hat{v} \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ : this he says in view of the disparagement of the $\sigma v \mu \pi \sigma_{0} \sigma \iota a$, which he is going to show may be educational implements for training men in $\sigma \omega \phi \rho \sigma \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ - - $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu \tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \alpha ́ \rho i ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o v$, " the greatest blessing man can receive, and the better the man, the greater the blessing." The $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ - in the verb shows that he is speaking not of what a man has $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon$, but of additions to it.
b 2. ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota:$ the connexion with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu o \rho \theta o v \sigma \theta a \iota$ shows that the word is used for "goes wrong"-" outsteps bounds"-a rare
 statement that it is every man's duty to do what he can to help
education into the right lines is noteworthy.- L and O have $\pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s ~ b u t ~ A ~ h a s ~ r r a v \tau i, ~ a n d ~ s o ~ \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
b 6. Cp. above on 624 a 7 . The $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda a \iota ~ r e f e r s ~ t o ~ 626 ~ e ~ 2 f f . ; ~$ though the precise statement that the man who conquers himself is good is not made there, at 627 b 6 f . that statement is made about a city.
b 9. $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, "let us consider again"-the object, most




c 1. $\mu \mathrm{o}$ : this ethic dative turns what looked like a command into a request. It is almost "if you please." I don't think Schanz can be right in altering it to $\mu o v$. Cp. Dem. 18. 178 тovi $\tau \boldsymbol{\mu} \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} v v$

 "allow me to . . . if I can."-The $\delta i$ cikóvos, of course, goes with $\delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha a$.—" Let me, please, try and show you, by the help of a figure, how the case stands."
 ("pro se quisque" Ast), "each separate individual," or "each separate self."- ${ }^{\text {Év }} \mathrm{va}$ is of course predicate. (St. takes av̉zóv closely with ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{v}$.)
c 9. $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ : Stephanus was for reading $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau o \iota \nu$, in which case, I suppose, $\pi \rho$ òs $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ would be adverbial : "non male" Ast says, though he keeps $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \hat{\sigma}^{\prime} v \tau \omega \nu$, supplying av̉ $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ (i.e. the two $\sigma v \mu \beta o v i \lambda \omega$, $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \eta^{\prime}$ and $\lambda \dot{u} \pi \eta$ ) with it. But certainly, if $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ be kept-and I think it should-it is best, with Stallb., to take it as a neuter.-For the adjective used substantively without the article cp: Laws' $816 \mathrm{~d} \ddot{a} \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \gamma \epsilon \lambda o i \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \pi o v \delta a i \alpha a$ . . . $\mu a \theta \epsilon i v . .$. ov̉ $\delta v \nu a \tau o ́ v .-o i v$, which refers of course to $\delta o ́ \xi a s$, was altered in the margin of Eusebius to the un-Attic aiv (cp. Wecklein, Curae Epigraphicae, p. 14).
c 10. A good instance of the neutral use of ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \prime$ s.
d1. $\theta$ áppos, "confidence," "a cheerful expectation." As he has deliberately given the neutral sense to $\epsilon \lambda \pi i^{\prime}$ s he has to find another word for it here; besides, $\theta$ áppos is more decidedly the
 $\theta a \rho \rho a \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} v$. For this use, and for the whole passage, cp. Tim.
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ordinary puppets: it is possible that we are not meant for the amusement of the heavenly spectators; they may have been made " for some serious purpose."-We need not follow up the metaphor by asking, "who pulls the wires and with what motive?" The following words ( $\tau o \delta \delta \epsilon \delta \notin{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ ) confine its application. We answer to the tug of passion or other motives just in the way that.the marionettes answer to the pull of the wires. (Cf. King Lear Iv. i. 38 " As flies to wanton boys, are we to the Gods: They kill
 $\tau o ́ \delta \epsilon \delta_{\epsilon} i^{\prime} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, and below, 672 b 8.
e 1. $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \kappa \mu \epsilon \nu$ : the pres. means we are (not) inquiring (into this-i.e. the motive of the pulling).
 cujus fons in vicino Є́vavtíaı ov̂ $\sigma a \iota ~ c e r n i t u r " ~ S t a l l b . ~$
e 4. ô̂ $\delta \grave{\eta} \delta \iota \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta}$ каì какі́a кєîтає: lit. "in the very region where vice ‘marches with' virtue." We might say, " on the border line between vice and virtue."- $\mu t \hat{\imath} \gamma \hat{\alpha} \rho \ldots . . \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$
 of the original metaphor is left here-nothing but the wires: we are no longer a spectacle: we can pull our own wires.-ó dójos: as before, the personified argument-"Philosophy."
 picture of Zeus at one end of the golden rope, successfully resisting the pull of all the other gods and goddesses at the other, was no doubt present to Plato's mind here,-as at Theaet. 153 c , where he suggests that the golden rope is an allegorical representation of the sun-but I do not think that $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}$ is an abstract used for the concrete ; i.e. it does not mean rope, but drawing. It is $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\eta}$ that is used in the non-natural, i.e. the metaphorical sense :-" the golden and blessed drawing of reason." (Cp. Twelfth Night 1. i. 35 "How will she love, when the rich golden shaft Hath killed the flock of all affections else That live in her.") $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}$ will thus have here much the same sense it has at $659 \mathrm{~d} \dot{\eta} \pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu \nu \lambda \kappa \eta \quad \tau \epsilon$ $\kappa \alpha i \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \eta^{\prime}$ —and at 819 a, where it almost equals $\tau \rho o \not \phi^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$ or $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha$. By this time the metaphor has almost disappeared : it survives only in the suggestion of Homer's golden rope- $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ коьvòv vó $\mu о \nu$ '̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$, "which gets the name, when it affects (not a man, but) the state, of a generally binding law." (See above on 644 d 2 .) The main idea which emerges here is one which has been presented to us before, and which the author means us to have in mind all through; i.e.. that of the educational influence of law. Behind all education too,
whether of the man or of the community, we are shown the force of reason which guides it. Cp. Rit. and Prell. 523 d.
a 2. ä $\lambda \lambda \lambda$ as $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho a ̀ s .$. ó óoias: an embarrassing wealth of thought is here hinted at rather than adequately expressed: A revision by the author's hand would doubtless have added clearness. The codex Ricardianus adds каi $\mu о \nu 0 \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\eta}$ after ô̂cav, and Ficinus translates the words. Schanz marks a lacuna after ô̂oav. Even with the added words the antitheses are not all clearly expressed. The $\pi \alpha \nu \tau 0 \delta a \pi \sigma o i s ~ \epsilon i ̈ \delta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\mu$ oías has, I would suggest, already in the ordinary text the ideas to which it is the antithesis, though they are not clearly expressed as such. The drawing of virtue is single ( $\mu(\hat{\alpha}-\mathrm{in}$ an emphatic position): along with this, and with the epithets $\chi \rho v \sigma \hat{\eta}$ and $i \in \rho \alpha$, , go naturally those of $i m-$ mutability and harmony, and these are further suggested by the contrasted dissimilarity of the opposing forces.
a 5. The $\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{\prime}$ here reminds us of the $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \beta i o v$ and the rav $i ́$ in a somewhat similar exhortation to the good citizen at 644 b 3. -In the whole of the present passage down to $\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \varphi \eta$ the language admits of reference to the struggle between the good and the bad elements either in the man or in the state.
a 6. $\pi \rho \not q_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ кaì ov $\beta$ ßuiov: cp. the quotation from Isaiah in


 $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ крíctv. The only point in the metaphor here preserved is the gold as contrasted with the other metals-physically, in bulk, hardness and roughness their inferior, but in worth and (so to speak) moral power, their superior. Cp. the language used at Rep. 415 a, and often elsewhere in the Republic, of the golden element in the state.- $\delta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ a $\dot{v} \tau o \hat{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu: \mathrm{cp}$.


 it is the duty of the good citizen to support the law, and what Plato in the Republic calls the golden element among the citizens.
a 7. The $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \nu$ occurs in no MS. Eusebius has it, and Ficinus has in nobis in his translation. The palaeographical argument cuts both ways; the ä $\nu$ is as likely to have been the cause of the introduction of an $\mathcal{\epsilon} \nu$, as of its loss, and Ficinus's in nobis may have been a translation of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$. There is a slight gain of definiteness of expression in the $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu$, and I have doubtfully
left it in the text out of deference to the views of most modern editors.
b 1. кaì ov゙ $\tau \omega$. . . $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu o s, \hat{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \nexists \eta$ : a hard passage. Ritter (p. 13) has a useful note on it, more particularly on the uses of
 are generally distinct; $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os persuades, is rhetorical, aims at producing a certain mental atmosphere : $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o s$ convinces and lays down the law ; the $\pi \rho o o i ́ \mu \iota \alpha$ of the Laws are $\mu \hat{v} \theta o \iota . "$ But I do not find it easy to agree with $R$. that $\delta \mu \hat{v} \theta_{o s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ here means "the recommendation of virtue":-as we might say in quasi-parliamentary language, "the speech for virtue." I think $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} S$ is a subjective gen., and that the whole passage means "If this help is given, and the golden element prevails, virtue's persuasive representation, which likened us to puppets, will not fail of its effect (and after all the cord I speak of is a golden one)." A $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ is said $\left.\sigma \omega_{\iota}^{\prime}\right\} \epsilon \sigma \theta \dot{\alpha} \iota$ (Theaet. 164 a and 167 d ) when it is still maintained, -when it has not to be abandoned; a $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ when it holds its own as a persuasive force. For the form of the phrase cp. Rep. 621 b 8 каì ov̈ $\tau \omega \mathrm{s}$, $\hat{\omega}$ Г ${ }^{2} \alpha \hat{v} \kappa \omega \nu, \mu \hat{v} \theta$ os $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \kappa \alpha \dot{\imath}$ ov̀ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma^{\prime} \dot{\lambda} \epsilon \tau \sigma$. (Here, though the lit. meaning is "the $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os was preserved to us," I think there underlies the literal meaning the suggestion that the $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os gained its point: this is borne out by the following каi $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s \hat{\alpha} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, âv $\pi \epsilon \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ $\alpha u ̉ \tau \omega ิ$, which also illustrates the persuasive, instructive character of the $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ Os.) Cp. Theaet. $167 \mathrm{~d} \sigma \hat{\varphi}\} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. . . o $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ ov̂̃os, 164 a, Rep. 395 b. St. cps. Phil. 14 a (where see Badham's note). -For the order of the $\omega_{\mathrm{s}}$ and the $\theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \nu \nu$ St. cps. Soph. 242 c, Phil. 18 d, and Polit. 260 c.-Ast and Stallb. take ó $\mu \hat{v} \hat{\theta}_{o s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ as "the story about virtue"; sooner than agree to this Badham would (very ingeniously) read ${ }^{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \iota$ for ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$. The difficulty of deciding the meaning of the gen. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ is so great, that Badham's suggestion is very attractive.
b 2. This result of the : use of an єik $\omega_{\nu}$ was that hoped for when it was first promised at 644 cl : it gives something of an explanation ( $\tau \rho \rho^{\prime}$ rov $\tau \iota v a ̀$ ) of what was meant by saying that self-mastery is essential to virtue (cp. 633 d and e) ; it means that the better elements must be victorious over the worse.
b3. каi ö ö $\iota$ ró $\lambda \iota \nu$ каі̀ $\langle\delta \iota \omega \tau \tau \nu \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. : the next result that follows, if the $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os makes its way, is that every man for himself can form a right judgement about the various motives and inducements to action of different kinds, and guide his life by it, and that a state, when it gets its right judgement either directly
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For the proleptic $\sigma \phi \circ \delta \rho о \tau^{\prime} \dot{\rho} \rho a s$ with $\epsilon \pi \tau \iota \tau \in \mathfrak{i v \epsilon \iota}$ St. cps. Prot. 327 c

e5. There is a conversational looseness about the acc. $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ $" \xi \iota \nu$ (as there is in the use of avं ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \quad$ two lines above, when the $\tau \iota s$ to which it refers comes after it), and there are several other, more regular moulds in which the sentence might have been cast, but the meaning is quite clear. The acc. with eis $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{o} \nu \dot{a} \phi \iota \kappa \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ is of the same kind as the acc. with o" $\mu$ o七os of the thing in which
 ${ }^{\prime} \theta \mu \alpha \theta$ ' $\dot{\circ} \mu$ oiol. There is no need, with Schanz, to suspect a corruption of the text.

646 a 2. $\pi о \nu \eta \rho o ́ \tau \alpha \tau o s: ~ a t ~ 644 \mathrm{~b} 7$ the admission was referred to that a man who could not rule himself was a $b a d$ man; so again here.
a 4. I.e. by the side of the proverbial (Ar. Nub. 1417) childishness of the old man, we may now set the childishness of the man who is overcome by wine. The comparison adds dignity to the state of mind of the latter, but it does not make that state any clearer ; the childishness is hardly of the same kind. What is common to the two is that both come in later life.
b 5. The $\epsilon i$ is the usual $\epsilon i$ after $\theta a v \mu \alpha ́ \oint \omega$.
b 6. «̈ $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \phi \alpha v \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$, "utter degradation," Jowett.
b 7. $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \rho:$ with $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ we must supply $\phi a v \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ from the preceding sentence.
 sion. It is possible that we ought to put a (;) after $\dot{\alpha} \delta v \nu \alpha \mu i \alpha v$, and supply $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \epsilon \in a v \tau o ̀ \nu \epsilon \in \mu \beta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ from what precedes.
 them to it (referring to the éк $\omega \nu$ above) ; $\beta a \delta$. that at the time of seeking the doctor's services they are in fair health.- $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ with


 more in this analogy of medical treatment than at first appears. When we come to the Athenian's complete scheme for the regulation of the use of wine (see $674 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ ) we see that its use is to be, morally speaking, a medical one; no city would need, he says, many vineyards; the scheme would not be good for what in England is called "the trade."
c 4. $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ ó $\lambda^{\prime}(\gamma o v$ vi $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ : apparently an adaptation of the poetical $\mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$; the usual Platonic phrase is ó $\lambda$ íyov (or ö $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma(\omega) \nu \ddot{\nu} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \nu$.
c 7. $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \iota a$ каì $\pi$ óvovs: a hendiadys, "the labours of the gymnasia."- $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon i$ is probably refers to the temporary fatigue after great exertion.
d 2. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota$ : about other practices, that is, besides those which are concerned with the body, we should expect people to be able to submit to a temporary loss or inconvenience, if it was the price of greater future gain.
d5. I think we have here again a conversational laxity of expression, and that the presence of $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ with $\tau \grave{\partial} v$ oivov is made to cover the absence of $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ or $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$ with $\delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta \hat{\eta} s$. If we cancel
 and supply $\delta \iota a \nu 0 \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta^{\prime}$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ from the preceding sentence, it will be awkward if we do not make this sentence too a question, and that will not suit the $\epsilon \ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho$ clause which follows.
 this (practice) as really belonging to these (practices)"-i.e. as being one of the practices in which a temporary loss will produce a future gain. (I think that is better than, with Stallb., to take тoṽo to be "this state of things" and $\tau 0$ órous to be "istis quae ad compotationem pertinent," i.e. $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \bar{\iota}$ đòv oìvov $\delta(a \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta}$.)-The following sentence incidentally explains more clearly what he means by $\tau о \hat{\tau} \tau \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu$ тov́тoıs.
d 8. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi{ }^{\circ}{ }_{\nu}{ }_{\nu} \sigma a$ фаí $\nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ : the subject to be supplied is $\hat{\eta} \pi$. $\tau$. oi. $\delta_{\iota} \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta}$.
d 9. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ iò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, "than that which accrues to the body" (in the instances, i.e., given at c 3 ff .). $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$ : is this a metaphor from the stadium—"at the start"? (cp. 648el). Ast takes $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta}$ to be equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ or $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha}_{\rho} \chi \dot{\eta} v$, omnino. Anyhow the point is that, whereas the $\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda$ ía spoken of just above has to be waited for, this advantage is enjoyed at once.
 to the possession of an advantage $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\sigma} \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \hat{\epsilon}^{\lambda} \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \omega$. —av่т $\hat{\varphi}$ refers to $\delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta}$; cp. on 645 d 4.
 the Ath. introduced temperance under the guise of a kind of courage, so here he introduces the sense of shame as a kind of fear.
 we do or say."

647 a 4. évavióos : here and in the following line not used as at $^{2}$ a 10 and 646 e 4 . There it means opposite in a logical sense; here it means opponent in a military sense. We may, I think, translate $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \tau i o s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i ́$ by " is a foe to," or perhaps "challenges."
 things men fear"; so at 635 b we have $\lambda \hat{v} \pi a \iota$ and $\phi o ́ \beta o c$, with ijסovaí and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ a \iota ~ n e x t ~ d o o r ~ t o ~ t h e m . ~ . ~$
a 8. Ast was no doubt right in altering the ov̉火 ${ }_{a} \nu$ of the MSS. to ov кai. (So too Badham: Ast's other alterations in this

 каi . . . ккí here is rather "whether . . . or" than "both . . . and," and the whole is equivalent to : "anybody who is worth anything, whether he be lawgiver or not."
a 9 f. $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ is subordinated to $\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma \circ \rho \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota$ in order to bring out the linguistic. connexion between the honourable ai $\delta \omega^{\prime}$ s and its execrable opposite. (Schanz rejects the words $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu$ ai $\delta \hat{\omega}$, but
 $\phi o ́ \beta o s$ has naturally an opposite $\theta \alpha ́ \rho \rho o s(s e e ~ a b o v e ~ 644 \mathrm{c} 10$ f.).-It is interesting to note that, before it was known that A confirmed
 correct reading also occurred in the margin of 0 .
 the individual man or to the state." In saying $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma i a$ he is probably thinking, not so much of a state's action towards other states, as of the character of its laws and institutions. So at Phaedr. $277 \mathrm{~d} \delta \eta \mu o \sigma i^{\prime}$ is is explained as e.g. implying vó $\mu o v s \tau \iota \theta \in i ́ s$. The adverb would also apply to the action of an individual man in a public capacity, as a $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta$ 's for instance, or a speaker before a

 $\dot{\epsilon} \varphi \dot{\partial} \phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i s \delta^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$. If this latter sense was prominent in this passage, it would mean "a curse to either an $i \delta \iota \omega$ ít $\eta$ s or a тодıтько́s"; probably the words would cover both meanings, and so be untranslatable in English.

 responds to our "man for man" (cp. Kipling's "Man for man, the Fuzzy licked us 'oller "), and "one thing with another."
b 7. Probably $\theta$ áp $\rho o s$ would not have had a gen. depending on it of the thing of which fear is not felt, if it had not been for the
 $\kappa \alpha \kappa \eta$ s, quia de pudore dictum est antea, qui etiam honestus potest esse " Stallb.-The $\pi$ ' $\rho \iota$ with gen. explains what it is in friends we are afraid of-i.e. their pouring shame upon us; cp. $648 \mathrm{~b} 2 \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \in i a s$ $\tau \epsilon \pi \pi^{\prime} \rho \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{i} \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda i \alpha a s$ of the matter with which the test is concerned.
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in combat). The $\pi \rho o$ - of the $\pi \rho o \gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \xi_{0 \nu \tau \alpha}$ proposed by Stephanus would be in place only if followed by "we must make him able to conquer"; but what we have is v८к $\hat{\alpha} \nu \delta \in \hat{\imath} \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ $\delta \iota \alpha \mu a \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu-"$ make him fight successfully against (his temptation to indulgence)."-For motєîy with an inf. in the sense of compel
 $\lambda \eta \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s$.
c 9. aủzô̂ MSS. ; Ast corrected this to aútov̂. Schanz retains the MS. reading.
c 10. Rabe (ut supra) says 0 gives a variant $\hat{\eta}$, for $\hat{\eta}$.
c 10-d 7. "Or are we to imagine that though a successful fight against timidity is the necessary preliminary to perfect courage, and though the most gifted nature ( $(\dot{\delta} \sigma \tau \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu)$ will never reach half the excellence of which it is capable if it has not had experience and training in such fights; temperance forsooth can be acquired in perfection by a man who has never gone through a successful struggle against a host of delightful seductions that beckon him towards impudence and crime-a struggle in which he is to be helped by reason, by active exertion, and by skill, whether at play or at work? Surely he is not to lack all such experiences as these?"
c 10. $\delta \in \iota \lambda_{i ́ \alpha}$ : this word, followed by $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a \nu$, and the $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ in d 3 reveal to us that we are really discussing the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v \not \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ for the production of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha$ and $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ (cp. 632 elf.).-A had apparently altered $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda_{i ́ q}^{a}$ to $\delta \iota \alpha i \tau \eta ;$ but in the margin is $\gamma \rho . \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda_{i ́ q}$ : in 0 the text has $\delta \iota \alpha i \tau \eta$ and the margin
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \delta \in i ́ a$ does not fit the passage at all.
d 6. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma o v$ is difficult; I think it means the active exertion of his trainers, but it is conceivable that it means the habit which comes of repeated action on the part of the trained.
d8. тóv $\gamma^{\prime}$ єiкóта $\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ ov: for the article, cp. 649 с 7 тó $\gamma$ ’ oûv
 collected a number of similar instances of the use of the neuter art. from later books of the Laws.
e 1. фóßov фа́ $\rho \mu к о \nu, ~ " a ~ d r u g ~ t o ~ p r o d u c e ~ f e a r . " ~(S e e ~ D i n d o r f ~$ on Steph. Thes. s.v. фа́ $\rho \mu \alpha к о$.) Gomperz, G. D. p. 500, suggests bromine.- $\theta$ cós: in order to make the fictitious parallel as exact as possible, Plato postulates a divine origin answering to that of wine : the object of the fiction is to bring out clearly the main points in the nature and action of wine; hence the exactness of the parallel. One important fact that comes out clearly is that
 $\pi o ́ \sigma \iota \nu)$.
e 2. " ${ }^{〔} \theta^{\prime} \lambda_{\eta} \mathrm{L} O$ et in marg. $\gamma \rho$. $\mathrm{a}^{3}:{ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda_{\eta} \mathrm{A}$ " Burnet.- ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with vopi $\xi_{\epsilon \nu \nu}$ is a somewhat loose (but still more convenient)
 -Notice also the change from plur. in $\dot{d} v \theta \rho$ (ínooss to sing. in aưtóv in e 3.
e 4. $\mu^{\prime} \hat{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{\text {ov }} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ could hardly have taken a dat. (avi $\left.\hat{\varphi}\right)$ if it had not been joined with $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau a$.

648a2. ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa о \iota \mu \eta \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ : possibly the word is a new creation: it implies a previous state in which many, if not all, of the mental powers were in abeyance. коь $\mu \alpha$ is used in a metaphorical, sense


a 6. 光 $\sigma \theta$ ' ö ó $\kappa \kappa \tau \lambda$.: not "could the lawgiver have made any use of it ?" but "could the lawgiver have used it at all (for producing courage)?" We may notice the parallel form of the two

a 7 f . oîov . . . $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \theta \theta a l$, " for instance, what easier than to have put this question to him?"
a 9. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ : this implies that it is not only as a test that the drug may be useful-the state to which it reduces a man will serve for his training in courage as well. Cp. 649 d 8
 is better than to take $\tau i \delta^{\prime}$; in b4 as if it were equivalent to ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha \delta_{\epsilon}$.)
b 1. For $\beta$ áбavov $\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ cp. Tim. 68 d єi $\delta \in ́ \epsilon \tau \varsigma \tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$

b 2. For $\pi \pi^{\prime} \rho \iota$ cp. above on 647 b 7.
b6. каì тô̂тo: he begins as if he were going to say: " He will say yes to that too"; then he remembers that in this question there were two alternatives, and puts in $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} S \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s$ as explanatory of the $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$, slightly varying the phrase by the insertion of the article ("the safety you speak of"). (Is it possible that a $\tau$ ó has fallen out after the $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \tau 0$ ? It would thus be more regular in form as an explanatory addition to the $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$. .)
 second purpose of the drug: in the course of the testing process
 towards the formation of a courageous habit of mind.
b 9 . ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ rois $\pi a \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$, "while the patient's mind was disturbed."
c 1. $\tau \grave{o} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\mu} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha}\}^{\prime} \omega \nu$ : Stallb. says that if the $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ had been put in, it would have come before $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ; ~ I$ think it would have come before $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}$ : the $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, like the $\tau \iota \mu \omega \nu$, describes the treatment of a hopeful case, the $\nu \operatorname{vov} \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ and the $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \alpha{ }_{\xi} \omega \nu$ that of an unhopeful one; the following $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ clauses mark the same distinction between the two cases.
c 3. $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \in \nu o v:$ the middle (instead of the passive) to show that the "patient" is supposed to take an active part in his own training.
c 4. § $\eta \mu i ́ \alpha \nu ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon i ́ s: ~ s u b o r d i n a t e ~ t o ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \iota s ~ \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$. The only reason for calling attention to this is that Stallb. calls $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon i$ an anacoluthon, and mentions the suggestion to emend it to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \prime \prime \eta s$, as if it in its clause held the same position"as $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \iota s \ddot{\alpha} \nu$ in the previous one.
 consideration ( ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o$ ) you found no fault with the drink."
c 7. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$, "our present arrangements."-It is not necessary with Ast to suppose $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \iota \alpha$ supplied in thought from the
 the gen. is the same as that used with verbs of wondering (or other emotions) to denote the source of the emotion. Rep. 426 d


 numbers in a "drinking" class should be strictly limited.
d 1 ff . The main outline of this long and complicated sentence

 ( $\left.{ }_{0} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \iota \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \iota\right)$.
 єival (or $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ) means to intervene, often with the notion of obstructing the view (see $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota s$ of eclipses): ${ }_{\epsilon} \pi \pi . \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ is to interpose, generally with the same added notion; so that it means, as here, to screen, lit. "putting his feelings of shame in between himself and other ṗeople." Cp. $732 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mu \eta \delta \in \mu i ́ a v ~ a i \sigma \chi \chi ́ v \eta \nu$ $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \pi o \iota o v ́ \mu \epsilon v o \nu$. The following clause ( $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \sigma o^{\prime} \mu \in \nu o s$ is subordinate to rocov́ $\mu \in \mathcal{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ at once gives the reason of the action, and explains the metaphor of the previous phrase: his shame is not an actucal obstacle, but it acts like one; it prevents others from seeing what is going on. • So Ast. (For other views see Wyttenbach's note on Plutarch, Cons. ad Ap. 36. Thompson on Gorg. 523 d thinks
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## $648 e$

the second subjective; but they are well illustrated by Stallb.'s
 $\gamma \dot{\eta} \rho \omega$ s.
e 6. I have followed Schanz and Burnet in adopting Stallb.'s insertion of $\underset{\alpha}{\prime} \nu$ after $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$, though I think it worth considering whether $\gamma^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ was not what Plato wrote ; the loss of the ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu$ is hard to explain, but $\gamma^{\prime} \stackrel{\mu}{\alpha} \nu$ might without difficulty have become $\gamma \alpha^{\prime} \rho$ : $\gamma \epsilon$ very often follows within a few words of vaí.-Another alteration of the text which is worth considering is that made in the Aldine ed., which reads $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.—каì ò $\tau \circ \iota o \hat{v} \tau o s: ~ e v e n ~ t h e ~$ man who is confident in his powers of resistance to temptation (would be wise to stop before the last glass).

649 a 2. $\sigma \chi \chi^{\epsilon} \delta^{\prime} \nu$, as at 644 a 8 , modifies the general assertionnot that the speaker doubts the truth of what he says, but he prefers the more modest form of assertion.
 do profess to concoct such potions, are not fit to sit down with philosophers." For Plato's metaphorical use of the word $\partial o$ ív $\eta$ cp. (among others) that at Symp. $174 \mathrm{c} \phi \alpha \hat{v} \lambda o s ~ \dot{\omega} v \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \sigma o \phi o \hat{v}$ $\vec{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ i ́ \epsilon v a \iota ~ \theta о i ́ v \eta \nu ~ a ̈ к \lambda \eta \tau o s . ~$
a 5. I have ventured to insert a каi before $\hat{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \chi \rho \eta$. (An abbreviation of the same shape as that used for $\dot{\omega} s$, when written vertically and accented, was used for каi: this may account for the dropping out of каi after - $\omega \mathrm{s}$.) It seems very strange that Plato should confine excessive and inopportune confidence to things
 to be felt at all. If we have the кai we get three distinct classes of improper confidence: (1) excessive, (2) inopportune, and (3) (totally) misplaced. (Schanz puts a comma before $\ddot{\alpha}$ $\mu \grave{\eta} \chi \rho \grave{\eta}$, as if he took it for $\mathfrak{a}$ ov $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ —" which things ought not to be done.")-As the word $\pi \omega \mu \alpha$ goes closely with the gens. $\dot{\alpha} \phi$. and $\tau 0 \hat{v} \lambda$. $\theta \alpha \rho \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$, no $\tau \iota$ (as Heind. suggested) is needed before it.
a 6. $\hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} s \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$; so at $639 \mathrm{bl} \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \hat{a} \nu \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma o \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$; where an affirmative answer is evidently expected. O says that $\pi \alpha \tau \rho . \beta \iota \beta \lambda$. has $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ and so Ed. Lov.
a 7. $\tau o ̀ v$ oîvov $\phi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \omega v, "$ and he will name wine."
a 8. $\tau$ ô̂to: (nom.) either neut. for masc., referring to oîvos (cp. Heind. on Gorg. 460 e, where he cites Gorg. 463 b and Laws
 $\grave{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \rho \omega \kappa \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \iota \nu \alpha$ ), or, better, with $\pi \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ understood. ("Is this just the opposite of the last potion we spoke of?")
a 9. A has $\tau o \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$ corr. by $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ to $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \nu \theta_{\rho \omega \pi}(\mathrm{cp} .653 \mathrm{~d} 1)$; $0^{3}$ mentions a reading $\pi \iota o ́ v \tau \alpha$ ä $\nu \theta \rho(\omega \pi o v$.
b 1. With .the somewhat otiose addition $\ddot{\eta} \pi \rho^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ (after $\alpha$ ù̇òv $a \dot{v} \tau o v \hat{v}$, and indeed with the whole passage, Stallb. well com-

 collection of passages on the effect of wine is also interesting.-( $\ddot{\eta}$ was omitted at first in $\mathbf{A}$ and added above the line; Schanz discards both it and $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$. .)
b 2. With $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$ we must supply $\pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \hat{i}$. (H. Steph. would have altered it to $\pi \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \tau \alpha \iota$, so as to bring it into line with $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o \hat{\tau} \tau a c$.)
b 3. єis $\delta o ́ \xi a v$, "in imagination"; so Philebus 57 c cis $\sigma a \phi \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \iota a \nu$,
 46 e єis $\dot{\omega} \phi$ '́ $\lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$ "in the way of advantage."

 passage Heindorf has a note on $\delta^{\prime}$ following $\tau \epsilon$, as it does here at b 5 (cp. 628 a 1).
 part, I suppose?"
c 3. $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \mu \nu \eta \mu o v \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ : it is simpler to take this (as Ast in Lex.) to mean " your memory is correct," than with Jowett " thank you for reminding me." To remind is generally $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \mu \nu \nu \eta \sigma \kappa \omega$.

 fears and real hardships. The fictitious potion would have produced imaginary fears and hardships. The description of its effects has made admirably clear the way in which it is suggested that wine should be used, and for what purpose.
c 5. The ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \rho$ of the MSS. is altered by a very late hand in A to $\hat{\rho} \rho a$. This correction is manifestly better than Ast's introduction of $\epsilon i$ after ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \alpha$. - $\tau \grave{c} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \tau i o v:$ i.e. the right sort of fear (that of disgrace): $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ exhilaration.
c 7. For the $\tau$ ó cp .647 d 8.
 tival, "in such states of mind as would naturally incline us to be . . ."
d 1 f. aí $\chi \rho \rho^{\prime} v$ goes with $\tau \iota$ as well as with $\dot{o} \tau \iota o \hat{v} \nu$, which is added as a sort of after-thought, to make the $\tau$, when it got to be used with $\delta \rho \hat{a} v$, more general. $\tau \iota$ was omitted in the old editions,
and by the first hand in O , but occurs in A and L , and was added by $0^{2}$.
d 4. ov'кои̂v $\kappa \tau \lambda$., " are not all these (that I am going to mention) states (of mind, or condition) in which we are so affected?"
d 5. $\delta \in \iota \lambda_{\iota} \alpha$, as Ast says, is quite out of place in this enumeration. Is it possible that $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota ́ \alpha$ is what Plato wrote? (Steinhart's suggestion $\dot{a} \nu a \iota \delta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} c_{k}$ is palaeographically likely, but the word is descriptive of the whole state, not of a separate manifestation of it, as all the other words are.)
d 7. $\epsilon \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \grave{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu$ (which agree with $\pi \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho \alpha \nu)$ are put in this strange order to emphasize the importance of the point that the training he offers to temperance has none of the $\mu v \rho^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \alpha$ $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ and the dangers of the only practical training that courage can have.- $\tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ depends on $\pi \epsilon i \hat{\rho} \rho \nu$.
d 9. ßarávov каi $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \hat{\alpha} s: ~ a ~ h e n d i a d y s ; ~ " s p o r t i v e ~ t e s t . " ~ C p . ~$ Polit. 308d $\dot{\eta} \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \bullet . . . \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \hat{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu \beta \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \iota \epsilon \hat{i} .-F o r ~ t h e ~$ pleonastic $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu$ after $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{}{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o \nu$ cp. Minos 318 e 7 ov

 very like the $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$ after $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o$ at Tim. 30 a.
 $\mu \in \tau$ ' $\epsilon$ ù $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon$ ías $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$, "provided a little care attend its application."
e 3. ióv $\tau \alpha$ agrees with the imagined subject of $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$. "Do you recommend a man (instead of using the test I offer) to run the risk of making a compact or legal agreement with him?" (If he breaks it you will know that he is not a just man, but is not the experiment a dangerous one ?)

650 a 1. $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ refers to $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ (so .Ast.-Bekker prefers to read aiv $\hat{\varphi}$. Stallb. understands by $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ the things themselves about which the agreement was made). - $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon v$ ó$\mu \epsilon v o v \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ Dıovv́oov $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a s, "$ by watching him (lit. getting into his company) with the help of a Bacchic festal indulgence?"
 previous description of the parallel case, but the meaning is quite clear, if the parallel be kept in mind. I have followed Stallb. and Schanz in adopting Bekker's correction of the MS. кıv $\nu \nu \nu \epsilon$ v́ $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ to $\kappa \iota \nu \delta v \nu \epsilon v^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, and adopted Burnet's punctuation, with a comma before and after ov̈rcos, which resumes (cp. 625 b 6 ).-Ast shrewdly remarks that this second kind of depravity would be readily betrayed under the influence of wine.
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is only found (in Plato) here and at ' $E p .330 \mathrm{~b}$, where it means "catch," "entangle," much as it does here.
b 3. $\tau i \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ : so the MSS.; Madvig conjectured $\tau^{\prime} i$ $\pi o \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \lambda \hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$. The imperfect is more usual in such a clause depending on $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha$, but the pres. will stand perfectly well. The $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ favours the present: the definition given at 643 d 6 ff . is to stand for them still.

653 a 1. тov́tov $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho, \ldots \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a$ (see below 654 d 8, where what is here called $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho_{i} a^{a}$ is called $\phi v \lambda a \kappa \eta$ ), "if I am not mistaken, this institution (of $\sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \sigma \iota a$ ), if properly conducted, is a safe-guard of education "-i.e. is a means of preserving the effect
 does not mean as much as "education depends on" (Jowett). For

 тoútov.
a 4. $\mu^{\prime} \mathcal{\epsilon}^{\prime} a \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$,"that is a strong thing to say," "that is taking high ground."
a 5. $\lambda^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} \omega$ б $\tau$ oivvv . . ., "this is what I say: a child's first infantile sensations are those of pleasure and pain; and these sensations are the sphere in which the soul first acquires goodness or badness. Wisdom and fixed right opinion come to specially favoured men as they are getting old, and certainly a man who gets them, and all the blessings in their train, is a perfect man. The first acquisition of goodness by a child is, I say, a matter of education. Clearly, if pleasure and liking, and pain and dislike, for the right things, are implanted in the soul of one who cannot yet reason about them, and if, when he does arrive at a reasoning age, these sensations concur with his reason to pronounce that his character has been properly formed by his relatives, this harmonious combination, in its entirety, is Virtue, while the part of it which consists of the rightly trained sensations of pain and pleasure, whereby the man hates what he ought to hate, from his childhood up, and likes what he ought to like-it is just that element which, if I am right, is Education, and so for purposes of our discussion I would distinguish and define it."
a 7. фрóv $\eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ঠє̀ каì $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: lit. "about wisdom-and .fixed right opinions-I say that it is lucky for a man if he acquires it as he is getting old." The accusatives are not exactly absolute: the construction is a conversational extension of such a sentence às,
 (a gnomic aorist) emphasizes the fact-that ф’óv $\dot{\eta} \sigma \iota v$ is the
prominent word among the preceding accusatives. Cp. Cic. De fin. v. 21 "praeclare enim Plato: beatum cui etiam in senectute contigerit ut sápientiam verasque opiniones assequi posset."-For $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o s$ in this connexion cp. Tim. 37 b dógaı каî $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota s$ र' $\gamma \nu o v-$ $\tau а \iota \beta \epsilon \beta \beta \iota o \iota$ каì à $\lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i$ ís.
b 1. I think, with some hesitation, that it is better to take macסeiav as the predicate. The definition of what education is comes at the end of the speech (b $6-\mathrm{c} 4$ ).
b 4. $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, "to treat (the matter) philosophically,-


 $\delta \nu \nu a \mu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \omega \nu$ depends on $\psi v \chi a i ̂ s$; $\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ is a gen. abs., which perhaps would not have been used thus without its subject, if it had not been for the preceding gen. $\delta v \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu .-\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu \eta \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ : the subject to this must be $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \eta ̀ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \phi \iota \lambda i ́ a ~ к \tau \lambda . ~ S o, ~ I ~ f i n d, ~$ Apelt, ut sup. p. 5. He says: "Das $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \eta \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$, ohne Unterstützung von Seiten des eigenen $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o s$, bisher von anderen zum Guten erzogen, wird nunmehr, da der eigene Verstand ausgebildet ist, zu seiner Freude gewahr, wie richtig es erzogen worden ist." For another way of describing the union between pleasure or appetite



$\ldots$ b 6. I am strongly inclined to agree with F.H.D. who would bracket ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, and take $\pi \rho o \sigma \eta \kappa o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ as masc. This gives $\dot{v} \pi o ́ ~ a ~$ more natural sense ; but it is difficult to see how ${ }^{\hat{\epsilon}} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ came in : perhaps it was a marginal additional to $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu \dot{\prime} \alpha$.- $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi \alpha \sigma a$ : lit. "in its entirety," i.e. the two elements of correctly formed habit and moral insight taken together.
b 7. $\tau o ̀ \tau \epsilon \theta_{\rho \alpha \mu} \mu^{\prime} \mathcal{V} O \nu$ is lit. "the part of it trained rightly." We should find it more natural in English to say "the training (in feeling pleasure or pain) is education"; what Plato says is rather: "the result of the training is education," as above at bl and 2 , i.e. "a child so trained is a child educated." (Cp. Steele's " To have loved her was a liberal education.")
c 2. $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{2} \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$ : it is difficult to be sure whether $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\lambda{ }^{\circ} \gamma \boldsymbol{\varphi} \varphi$ denotes the instrument by which the distinction is made, or the (quasi) person in whose interest the distinction is made: I think, the latter.
c 3. катá $\gamma \in \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu(\mathrm{sc} . \delta o ́ \xi \alpha v)$ : St. cps; Phil. $41 \mathrm{~b} 4 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}$


This whole paragraph should be carefully compared with Rep. 401 b ff., more especially with the following passages: d 1 каi $\epsilon^{\dot{j}} \theta \hat{v} \mathrm{~s}$






 $\dot{\phi} \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ каì $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. (Scholars have been in too great a hurry to correct this passage. At least seven alterations of the text have been proposed, of which Schanz adopts Stephanus's $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota o \hat{\nu} \nu$ for $\beta \epsilon \beta a i ́ o v s$ in a 8 , and Eusebius's $\lambda$ óyov for $\lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi$, while he pronounces ${ }_{\rho} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s . . . \quad \dot{\epsilon} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$ to be corrupt. I have followed Burnet in leaving the text as the chief MSS. have it, merely writing, with him and Schanz, $\alpha$ ví $\eta$ ' $\sigma \theta$ ' for the MS. ait $\hat{\eta}$ s $\theta$ ', where Eusebius has a $\bar{\tau} \tau \eta{ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta^{\prime}$, and suggesting the athetesis of $\vec{\epsilon} \theta \hat{\theta} \nu \nu$.)
c 5. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu:$ two things were said above about $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a$ at 643 bff : (1) "As the twig is bent the tree inclines,"-i.e. "if you let a•child play at a thing, when he grows up he will like that thing," and (2) What he means by education is that of character and disposition, not that of special faculties; i.e. he wants to make a good citizen, not a good carpenter, etc.
c 8. $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ ov́ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ : here again he does not say the training is education, but the rightly trained or schooled delight and its opposite are education : I think here again we may translate "are matters of education."
c 9. калà $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ : this is the reading of the MSS. The Aldine edition read $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$, and was followed by all the early editions including that of Ast. Even after the discovery that the MSS. read кат⿳亠 $\pi$ то $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$, Stallbaum in his one vol. ed., the Zürich editors, and Schanz prefer to read $\tau \grave{a} \pi o \lambda \lambda a ̀$, evidently holding that Aldus, by accident or design, had got back to what Plato wrote. It does not seem to have been sufficiently noticed that, though the syntax gains greatly by the reading $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$, the sense is materially altered. Did Plato mean to say that most of the effect of education, as he interprets the word, wears off in ordinary life?-or only that a good deal wears off? Ast, though he had no reading but $\tau \grave{c}$. $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ before him, does not take it as the subj. of $\chi^{a} \lambda$. and $\delta \iota a \phi \theta$., but translates it plerumque. The more moderate statement seems to me more natural here, so I have followed Burnet in printing калà тодג̀̀. « $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a$, the word
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repeated statement or argument; cf. Rep. $549 \mathrm{~d} \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota}{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \delta \grave{\eta}$ ö $\sigma \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o i ̂ a ~ \phi \iota \lambda o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ai $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \epsilon S \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ í $\mu \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.
e 2. oîov, "you might almost say."- $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta o v \hat{\eta} s$ : see below on 654 a 3. - $\left.\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \alpha i^{\prime}\right\} o v \tau \alpha$ : though there is no dative with the verb, the $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ - is not "otiose" ; it denotes the joining others in playing, "joining in a game." So at Euthyd. 283 b $\dot{\omega}_{2} \eta \theta_{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$. . . $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} \mathrm{s}$. . . $\pi \alpha i \varrho \xi \epsilon \iota$. . . каì $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$.
e 4 f. $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \omega \nu: \tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota s$, order, system the Greeks naturally held to be the foundation of all science.-ois shows a sturdy disregard of logic, to say nothing of grammar: it is only the $\tau \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota \rho$, not the $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \xi^{i} \alpha \mathrm{as}$ to which ois refers : the perception of $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \epsilon$ involves the perception of their opposites, and Plato will not omit this fact; at the same time he finds the illogical relative ois a convenient sentence-link. As we have seen before, he often prefers the neuter pronoun when talking of things with feminine names.
e 5. $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ́ ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu^{\prime} о v^{\prime} \dot{\prime} a$ : the fact that these and many other Greek scientific terms still live in modern languages is a witness to the creative power of the Greek intellect; but it must not be forgotten (1) that in the course of centuries the words have taken on new associations and connotations, and (2) that when the Greeks were making the sciences, they were also making scientific nomenclature. The words they chose as technical expressions were mostly words in common use, such as shape, measure, row, form, flow and the like, and we must not expect them to have acquired at once a strictly limited technical application. In the discussion of $\mu \circ v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$ which follows we shall find, e.g., the words $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o ́ s$ and $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha, \mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} o s$ and $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o \nu i \alpha$, whether used separately, or contrasted one with another, so variously applied that we cannot always translate them in the same way.

The Greeks seem to have been about as sensitive to order and system in bodily motion as in sound. Our muflled perceptions make it hard for us even to guess what ol $\rho \chi \eta \sigma \iota s$ meant to Plato. It is to some extent the same with the formal element in language : we cannot hope to understand the Greeks thoroughly when they criticize the rhythm of poetry or prose. Their sensibilities in such matters were keener than ours.
$\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o ́ s$, as Plato tells us below ( 664 e 8 ), is the name given to systematized movement ( $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\kappa} \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s} \tau \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota$ ). The material of this systematized movernent may be bodily movement, speech; or musical sounds. The word is from the same root as $\rho \rho^{\prime} \epsilon$, though we have no trace of its use in the sense of $a$ flowing. . It is possible that it gained its special sense of measure and regular, recurrence
from the sense of the evenness of the motion of fluids, as compared with that of most solids, but, in its special use, it is more probably an echo, so to speak, of the sound of the recurring waves on the sea-shore as heard by the Greeks. 'A $\rho \mu o v i \alpha$, as we learn from the same passage below ( 665 a 1 ), is the name given to the effect produced by the juxtaposition of musical notes of different pitch. Sometimes " pitch" will translate the word, sometimes even " tune." Sometimes it is used with a reference to the arithmetical relations of the different notes of the scale, while sometimes it means scale, or style of music.

654 a 1. The toús before $\theta$ coús, which H. Stephanus wanted to eject, adds to the demonstrative force of the following rov́rovs.
 rhythm and pitch," whereas $\epsilon v \not \rho v \theta \mu \circ \nu$, as suggested by a marginal
 $\mu o{ }^{\prime}(o v)$, introduces the further notion of the adaptability or the careful preservation of $\rho v \theta \mu$ ós.
a 3. $\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ 认ं $\delta o \nu \hat{\eta} s$ : these words (repeated from 653 e 2) are of great importance to the Athenian's theory. The gods whom he called men's $\sigma v \nu \epsilon 0 \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha i ́$ gave them not only artistic sensibilities, but the power of enjoying them as well. As the author of Ecce Homo says (chap. x.) "The highest perfection of pleasure is not among the prizes of exertion, the rewards of industry or ingenuity, but a bounty of nature, a grace of God."-For $\hat{\eta} \delta \grave{\eta}$ all the MSS. have $\eta \eta \delta \eta$ : it was first corrected. by Aldus.- $\hat{\eta}$ is an instrumental dative and
 go closely together, the participle being the more significant of the two.- $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ : for the gen. with $\chi o \rho \eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{cp}$. Theaet. 179 d 8 : the vulgate $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ has no MS. authority.
a 4. $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda o u s$, the reading of O and the early editions, involves a construction foreign to the habits of the word. It is easy to supply $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$, as obj. to $\sigma v v \epsilon i \rho o \nu \tau \alpha s$, from the preceding $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ and $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
a 5. A has (over the line) tò before $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ and space for two letters after $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{a}$. Schanz justly conjectured that a scribe had (wrongly) altered $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀$ into $\tau \grave{o} \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ (which is also the reading of 0 ). For the causal meaning of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha^{c}$. acc. cp. the orator

 We may translate here: "because of the name joy which comes natural to them." The vulgate followed O and the corrector of A (though Bekker and the Zürich editors left the $\tau \boldsymbol{o}$ out alto-
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \chi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} s$ was taken to mean "ductum a laetitia" (St.). But $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ c . g e n$. in Attic is always used with a person (to say nothing of the difficulty then of translating $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \mu \phi \tau \tau \nu \nu)$.
a 9. Since the first stage of education is due to the institutors of the रo oós, " $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \epsilon v \tau o s$ will (at that stage) mean $\dot{\alpha} \chi o ́ \rho \epsilon v \tau o s$, and the educated pupil will be the one who has been thoroughly drilled in a रo oós."
b 3. $\tau$ ò $\sigma v v^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{o ́ v ~}^{\text {ć } \sigma \tau \iota v, ~ " i s ~ a ~ g e n e r i c ~ n a m e ~ f o r . " ~ S o ~ b e l o w ~}$ (665 a) Xo ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \alpha$ is said to be a generic name for both ( $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v v a \mu-$

 $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \grave{o} \nu \tau o ̀ \sigma u ́ v o \lambda o v ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \epsilon v \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ ) $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v ́ v o \lambda o v$ is the subject.
b 9. "What we mean when we say $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ "-i.e., as he goes on to explain, "can singing and dancing be said to be well done, if the words or gestures are not themselves right and good?"
c 3-d 3. A free translation will show how I take this difficult paragraph.-"Supposing then a man has correct taste in matters of art, and acts up to it" (as far as he can); "shall we hold such a man better educated in रo $\boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime} i \alpha$ and $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$ if he is number one or number two of those I am going to describe? Number one is able on every occasion adequately to express, by bodily movement and voice, what he has considered in his mind to be the right thing, while taking no pleasure in rightness, and not feeling any dislike to wrongness. Number two, while quite unable to reach perfection in vocal or bodily expression of what is in his mind ( $\hat{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha \nu 0 \in i \hat{i} \tau \iota)$, feels, to the full, a delight in what is right and good, and a disgust at all that is wrong and bad."
ó coıồ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ os refers back-" such a man as I have just described." —The unusual $\ddot{\eta}$ ( $=\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ ), which nearly all modern editors have followed Ast in rejecting, is put in to show that ó roıoṽos is not antecedent to òs $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ alone, but to $\kappa \epsilon \hat{i} v o s$ ôs $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ as well. The same motive perhaps led to the slightly irregular substitution of ős $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ for $\stackrel{c}{\epsilon} a ́ v$. Burnet retains the $\ddot{\eta}$ before ôs $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$, but I cannot follow him in putting a (;) after $\mu$ ovoıкı́v: I think there should be no stop at all there.-The next important difficulty
 two objections to this : (1) Ex hypothesi (see c 3) both the characters described have a right judgement as to what is ка入óv or not, and (2) if Plato had wanted to say that the second one had not the power of bodily representation, or that of correct judgement, would he not have said $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi} \nu \hat{\varphi} \delta \iota \alpha \nu o \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota ?$ For not only is $\ddot{\eta}$
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it does not convey to us the notion of movement of the limbs and body as well as that of shape and mien, which are all conveyed by $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ here.
 sumta est," Ast.
 another way of saying "any education at all"; but it seems to convey a hint that Greeks may have something to learn from foreigners. Above, at 637 d and e, Plato had appealed to foreign customs in discussing $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \eta$, and below, at 656 f., he finds much to learn from Egypt. Cp. Archer-Hind's note on Phaedo 78 a, where he also compares Rep. 499 c , and Symp. 209 e. Is it possible that the words contain a reference to Xenophon's Cyropaedia? Cp. 694 c, Athenaeus xi. 504 and 505 , Aul. Gell. N.A. xix. 3.
e 10. $\pi o \tau \epsilon$ in this question corresponds to the Scotch "again"
 emendation of the MS. ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \chi{ }^{\prime} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ ). Cp. Rep. 395 e, Gorg. 522 a , Phil. 45 b , Theaet. 191 c .-The Athenian now proceeds to show that the question of the good or bad in art is a moral one. He is content-to avoid $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho o \lambda o$ quáa - to take only one virtue, with its $^{\text {a }}$ opposite vice, in illustration of his view. He takes courage and cowardice, both of which are particularly manifest in the look and mien.

655a3. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \chi \rho \omega \mu a \tau \alpha$ : this reference to the pallor of the coward seems hardly to call for the amusing protest which follows against a bit of virtuoso's slang-the transference to music of a term properly belonging to painting. The protest is all the more remarkable because Plato himself, at Rep. 601 a and b, twice uses $\chi \rho \omega \mu \mu \tau \alpha$ in a metaphorical sense, first of highly coloured poetical diction, and next of the brilliance and attractiveness conferred on
 speaks of as $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu_{0 v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s} \chi \rho \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.-Boeckh, convinced that the mention of $\chi \rho \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ at a 3 was not enough to account for the criticism of the word $\epsilon v^{v} \chi \rho \omega \nu$ at a 7 , interpolated, after ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \in \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ in

a 6. We must supply ${ }_{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \tau \iota v$ after $\epsilon \dot{v} \dot{\rho} \rho \mu o \sigma \tau o v$ from the ov̉k '̈́ $\sigma \iota \nu$ that follows.
a 7. $\mu^{\prime}$ خ os $\eta \quad \sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ : we have the same chiasmus here as at
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda o s$, and $\rho v \theta \mu o ́ s$ and $\epsilon v ้ \rho v \theta \mu o \nu$ to the $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$.
b 2. iv $\nu a \delta \grave{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \lambda о \gamma i a \operatorname{\pi o\lambda \lambda \eta ́} \tau \iota s \gamma_{i}^{\prime} \gamma \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota:$ at 632 de the Athenian proposed, "if his audience liked," to go through the
virtues, beginning with. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha$, for the purpose then before them : in fact he only got through $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i \alpha$ and $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v ́ v \eta$. So here, in a different argument, he finds it enough to take one virtue, and to treat it as typical of all the rest, leaving it to his audience to think out the way in which other virtues can be expressed in $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ and $\mu$ é $\lambda o s$. It would no doubt have been a congenial task to Plato to do this himself, but, at his age, he had not time for it.
b 3. These words have been variously punctuated: $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} v,{ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$
 (Ast). The punctuation in the text-now generally adopted-was suggested by St. in a note ( 1859 ed.) but not printed in his text. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ is "once for all.'
b 4. єïтє av̉ $\hat{\eta} s, \epsilon \ddot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \nu \grave{s}$ єiкóvos, " whether they consist in an expression of the virtue itself, or are concerned with an image of it"; i.e. whether the gesture or the exclamation is the outcome of actual virtue of the mind or excellence of the body (as is described at 654 e 10 ff . in the case of courage), or whether (as in the case of an actor) the virtue or excellence only exists in the artist's imagination (as we should say). The gens. avi $\bar{\eta} \hat{s}$ and єikóvos are in apposition 'to $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$, and governed, like it, by $\dot{\epsilon}^{\chi}{ }^{o} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$. (Ritter's discussion of the passage is helpful, but it is surely perverse of him to take $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ and $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau o s$ as dependent on $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ каi $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\lambda} \eta$. avj $\hat{\eta} \rho$ with him (as with St .) refers to $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$. He takes $\alpha u ́ \tau \eta \grave{\eta}(\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a) \psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ as the real (brave) man, and $\epsilon i \kappa \grave{\omega} \nu(\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i ́ a s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s)$ as an artist's or poet's conception of him.)
b 7. ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho о к \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta}$, "a good proposal!" Cp. Rep. 576 e


b 9. ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \iota \grave{\eta} \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon$ : from this point down to $d 3$ we are concerned with a difficulty; it is this: It is a general opinion that the function of art is to please; different people are pleased by different artistic representations ( $\chi o \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ). We have just laid it down that good art means virtue and bad art vice: do those who make the mistake of liking best something which is not really best, do so because they like vice? No one will confess to that, at any rate; it is almost blasphemous to suppose it. The solution of the difficulty, given in the following paragraph (d 5-656 a 5 ), is that tastes are not formed without a process of habituation : we cannot see the significance of anything so complicated as a $\chi o ́ \rho \in v \mu a,-$ which itself depends for its significant representation on trained habits of imitation-any more than we can be good without having gone through the process of forming our character and
tastes by long habit. (That is where education comes in, and where a bad education does harm.) The same question with regard to pleasure in general is propounded at Rep. 581 eff., and answered in much the same way as it is here.
c 3. The MSS. had $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ corrected in A to $\lambda^{\prime} \notin \gamma \rho \epsilon \tau$. The ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} \nu$, which is rather awkward, must go with cival. Hermann and Schanz read $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \circ \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$, but this does not mend matters: äv $\epsilon i v a \iota$ is oratio obliqua construction for ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon_{i}^{\prime \prime} \eta$ in a direct form of question;
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ : it is implied that, if we like different things, some of us must make the mistake ( $\pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ) of thinking that best which is not best. Either, then, best has different meanings for different people according to their nature, or some of us do not see clearly.-As I read the passage, the latter suggestion opens the way for the explanation at d 5 ff .
 introduction of the particle $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$. (Stallbaum would read $\tau \alpha v ่ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\alpha v ं \tau \alpha ́, S c h a n z ~ \tau \alpha u ̛ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu ' ́ v)$.
c5. ov $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \pi o v \quad \hat{\epsilon} \rho \in \hat{\imath} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \iota s:$ the argument of this sentence depends on the consideration introduced by the following каíтоь $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma{ }^{\prime} \nu \gamma \epsilon$; therefore it is wrong to put a full stop after $\mu o v \sigma \eta$ $\tau \iota \nu i ́ . ~ " M e n ~ a l w a y s ~ s a y ~ t h a t ~ w h a t ~ t h e y ~ l i k e ~ i s ~ t h e ~ r i g h t ~ s o r t ~ o f ~$ $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ : you will never find a man confessing that he likes the vicious and degraded :-in other words, that the degraded and vicious $\mu \circ v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta^{\prime}$ is better than that which is morally of the opposite kind." (And yet it is said that a theatrical manager once secured a large audience for a piece by advertising it as "the worst play in London.")
d 5 ff. "Seeing that choric performances are representations of ways and manners, and deal with most varied kinds of actions and situations, and that the individual performers depend for their rendering on a mixture of trained habit and imitative power ( $\eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ к $\left.\alpha \grave{\iota} \mu \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota\right)$, it is necessary that those (performers) who find word, tune or gesture after their own fashion, whether this is due to their natural disposition or their previous familiarity with them, or to both,. should not only like and praise such representations, but also should pronounce them to be right and good; while they cannot possibly like, or approve of, or help calling bad, representations which are repugnant either to their natural disposition, or to the way of thinking with which they are familiar." The performers here spoken of are not professional actors, but every reader or reciter of a poem with all its accompaniments ; cp. 656 a 2.
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 the correct reading is ó $\rho \theta_{o}^{\prime} \tau a \tau \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$.
a 7. $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̂v $\tau \iota$ : we had $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ o $\hat{v} \nu$ at 624a7 and we find $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \iota$; ("an forte?") at Prot. 310d4. The ${ }^{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \theta^{3} \eta \nu \tau \iota \nu a$ is used like the " $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ö $\bar{\tau} \iota$ at 663 d .9 . In A the $\mu$ is "in rasura," and the $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ô̂v $\tau \iota$ is "extra versum" (Burnet and Schanz). Schanz cuts out these three words. His ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ after $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma$ ous ("s in rasura") fills the gap left by the $\mu$ of $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.-The Athenian asks, "Do you think then that the man who takes pleasure in gestures or songs of an evil character suffers at all (from so doing)? or that men who find pleasure in the opposite direction (i.e. in good songs) get any advantage from it ?" The whole question is in loose conversational style.
a 8. aovqpías: for the gen. used in place of an adj. cp. Arist. Poet. 1454 a 28 tovipías $\ddot{\eta} \theta o v s$, and below 660 a $2 \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \omega \nu(\tau \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \nu)$.
 Ath. "Won't you go further than that, and say that they can't help being in the same plight as the man who sees bad men's evil ways not with dislike but with enjoyment, notwithstanding the perfunctory disapproval which a dim notion of his own depravity may make him express?"
b 1. With ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ we must supply ${ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \tau \iota \nu$ : it is almost equivalent to $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ : by a contrary process the English as is used as a relative pronoun after such.
 but " perfunctorily," "not seriously" ; $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ is constantly contrasted with $\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta}$, and in this connexion it gets the notion of "child's-

 the man is said to treat his own evil propensities as if they were a dream.
 of the other MSS., seems to me to give the right sense. Ficinus seems to have read $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (? masc.). $\alpha \dot{v} \tau o \hat{v}$ (neut.) is, I suppose, to be translated "of such conduct."- $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ '́ $\tau \epsilon$, " on such an occasion" (i.e. in the very moment when he forbears to praise). -For $\dot{\delta} \mu o \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$
 $\pi \rho \alpha \xi \in \epsilon$.
 This is a clear case of necessity.

of $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ before $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$. (Stallbaum takes $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots \pi \alpha \delta \epsilon i a \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha, \nu$ as an absolute acc. with $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$; they are much more
 -the Laws shows a weakness for verbal jingles, which some may think senile-is a sort of summary and reminder of the previous argument that dance and song are the subject matter of education: "about the Muses' work, which is at once education and amusement."
c 4. $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \chi o ́ \mu \in \nu o v:$ a vague phrase; "anything in the way of $\rho \cdot \hat{\rho} \theta \mu$ ós."
 with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha}\} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$; therefore Burnet is right in putting a comma
 children) out just what he happens to be in the way of goodness or badness." o $\pi o \not \eta \tau \eta$ 's is, I think, the subject of $\tau \cup ́ \chi \eta$.-
 (supplied in thought) is the object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, and ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$ is the secondary predicate; lit. "render them whatever he happens to be."-The каí before $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{v} . \pi$. emphasizes these words; the poet is imagined as teaching the children what he likes himself. (If ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota$ is the subject of $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$, the words should be translated, "turn them out whatever chance determines in the way of goodness or badness,"-the "chance" ultimately being the disposition which the poet happens to have.)-Ast also put a comma after $\chi$ opoîs, but then he put another comma after $\tau$ úx $\eta$, taking $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \tilde{\xi} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ absolutely, in the sense of informare-governing $\pi a \hat{\delta} \delta a s$ understood-and taking ${ }^{\circ} \tau \iota \alpha \not ้ \nu \tau u ́ \chi \eta$ in apposition to $\tau o v ̂ \tau o$. —тoùs . . . $\pi \alpha \hat{i} \delta \delta_{s}$ каì $\nu$ v́ovs is a sort of hendiadys, chosen, probably, instead of $\nu$ '́ovs $\pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha$, because, to the author's ear, it improved the balance of the sentence.
 surprise you."

d 7. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i\langle\epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \alpha i \hat{s} \sigma v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \epsilon$ íss, "to practise habitually," lit. "to deal with by their habituations." In A the letters нөєı in $\sigma v v \eta \theta$ eíals are a correction made by $\mathrm{A}^{2}$. Schanz reads ovvovoiass, which, I think, is very likely what $\mathrm{A}^{1}$, but not what Plato, wrote. For (1) $\mu \epsilon \tau a \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \jmath_{\xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota}$ (with $\mu^{\prime} \in \lambda \eta$ for object) would not by itself mean practise (songs), in the sense of repeat them until they were familiar (which is the one meaning which suits the passage), but with the addition of $\tau \alpha \hat{\iota} s \sigma v \eta \theta \epsilon$ ías we get that meaning; and (2) $\tau \alpha i \hat{s}$ ovvovoiacs must have $\hat{\epsilon} v$ with it if
it is to mean "in their classes," which is simplest here-though it might mean "by means of their classes." It must be admitted that $\tau \alpha i$ is $\sigma v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i \alpha u s$ is an unusual expression, but that makes it less likely to have been either the mistake of a scribe, or the idea of a corrector. (It is perhaps worth considering whether $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon \iota \rho i \not\} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ may not be passive, and $\sigma \chi \eta \not \eta \alpha \tau \alpha$ and $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$ acc., on the analogy of the acc. of the thing taught with verbs of teaching.)
e 2. каі $\circ \mu о \iota \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ : for this the MSS. have каì $\dot{\circ} \pi о \hat{\imath}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha$; which words were rejected by Schanz. Apelt, Jen. Prog. 1905, preferably suggests that they should be replaced by the reading of the text.-ка८vотонєîv applies to a modification of existing forms, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota v 0 \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ to the devising of new ones.
e 3. For ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \stackrel{\partial}{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha \ddot{\eta} \mathrm{A}$ and O wrongly read $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \tau \tau \alpha \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}$.-
 refers to $\sigma \chi \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$. Though the patterns in the temples mentioned above were drawn, painted, or sculptured forms, the addition of $\dot{\epsilon} v \mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \sigma v \mu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta$ shows that there were in Egypt stereotyped forms of song and dance as well, and so we are distinctly told at 657 a and 799 a .

 At Epinomis 987 a the author speaks of the astronomical science of Egypt (and Syria) as $\beta \epsilon \beta a \sigma \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \mu^{\prime} \nu \alpha$ र $\rho^{\prime} \nu \boldsymbol{\imath} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \rho \iota \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon i \rho \omega$.

657 a 1. For the remarkable acc. H. Richards suggests $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\alpha v \tau \eta \eta \eta^{\prime} \delta \tau^{\prime} \chi \chi \nu \eta$. I think it is not impossible that a $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ has dropped out before $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$. Cp. Burnet, pref. to vol. v., end of last paragraph but one. Perhaps this idea gets some slight support from the кат̀̀ $\tau \alpha \cup \cup \tau \alpha ́$ in 660 b 7.
 $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi o ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha$ : so MSS.; this can hardly stand. (1) The middle $\nu о \mu \circ \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ is used (of a single legislator- $\theta a \rho \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ ) in the sense of $v o \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$; (2) as $v o \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ already has $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\iota o} \tilde{\tau}^{\tau} \omega \nu$ to complete its sense, and give the subject matter of the legislation, the object $\mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \eta$ is superfluous; (3) $\theta \alpha \rho \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ is quite out of place. The "confidence" spoken of at b3 is supposed to spring from the consideration that the thing had been done before, and $\theta \alpha \rho \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$ there is quite naturally introduced, but there is no sense in saying that the first person who made such a law did it with confidence, especially when the sentence begins $\delta v \nu a \tau o ̀ v ~ ’ ’ \rho ’ \hat{\eta} \nu$. Only one of these difficulties is removed by Madvig's rejection of $\theta \alpha \rho \rho o v \hat{v} \tau \alpha$, which

# SAVE $\$ 3,999,994$ 

Did you know we sell paperback books too?

## To buy our entire catalog

 in paperback would cost over \$4,000,000
## Access it all now for \$8.99/month

 *Fair usage policy applies
## Continue

§' $\eta$ rincts: an imperfect phrase for "the search for pleasure and the avoidance of its opposite." Badham suggested that for $\zeta \dot{\eta} \pi \eta \sigma \iota s$ we ought to read $\dot{v} \phi \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \iota s-i . e$. "the tendency of our likes and dislikes to make us crave novelty in $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta^{\prime} "$-an attractive suggestion. F.H.D. would bracket $\zeta \eta \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, taking $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \eta \hat{\eta}^{\kappa} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \lambda v ́ \pi \eta s$ as subjective genitives. If we are to be content with the vulgate, we must doubtless follow Stallbaum in taking $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ § $\eta \tau \in \hat{\imath} \nu($ the MS. $\pi o v$ has been universally corrected since Aldus to $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ ) as a gen. of definition, explanatory of $\xi \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$, after the same pattern as
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ at 723 d . Ast on 647 c collects many instances of a similar "perissologia," to which St. adds, among others, Euthyd.
 єỉval, where there is no $\tau 0 \hat{\imath}$, and where Badham ejects $\delta o \kappa \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ and

 "For the craving of our likes and dislikes manifested in the search after novelty in $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$. . . ."
 damage . . : by branding it as old-fashioned." The Aldine ed. was again undoubtedly right in altering the MS. $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu$ to the nom.-The $\zeta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$ is spoken of as if it were a person actuated by the desire described.
c 3. $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{~A}^{2}$ and Vat. 1029 (cp. on d 8), $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ALO.For the datives governed by $\chi \rho \epsilon^{\prime} \alpha \nu \mathrm{cp} .670$ a where $\psi \iota \lambda \hat{\varphi} \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \omega$ is governed by $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$. Greek uses the dative in a more varied way than other languages do. The whole sentence may be translated: "Well then, we may say then-may we not ?-without fear of contradiction, that the right way to use $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \bar{\eta}$ and the relaxation of choric performances is as follows."
c 5 . " $W$ e feel delight when we think that things are right with us, and we think that things are right with us when we feel delight." The latter half of the statement means, as Ritter says (Analysis p. 11), "we do well to be glad"-" the gladness does us good." Herein, he seems to say, is the great sanction of all merry-making.
c 8. I think Burnet is right in putting a comma after $\tau 0 \iota \circ$ ' $\tau(\underset{\iota}{ }$, making $\chi \alpha i \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ an explanation of the three preceding words.
d1. avंтoí points the contrast between young and old: the former express their joy in dance and song; their, elders feel the joy ( $\chi \alpha i ́ \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ), but it is second-hand, they are spectators only ( $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s})$.
d 2. $\tau$ ò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$., "as to us elders, we think that the proper way for us to proceed is to look on." There seems to be a reminiscence in the $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \delta \delta \nu \tau \omega$ s of the $\delta_{\rho} \rho \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ in c 4.
d 3. $\pi a \iota \delta \in i ́ q \mathrm{~A}, \pi a \iota \delta \iota \underline{q} \mathrm{O}$, and so $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ (Burnet).
 $\mu \in V o \iota$ ) : i.e., while regretting our own lack of activity, we can take delight in that of others-in fact we encourage it, because it can rouse us ( $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \dot{\prime} \rho \epsilon(\nu)$ from our torpor to an imaginary ( $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ ) youth. It is a delightful fancy that represents the sight of another's joy as awakening the onlooker from the sleep of age, by the help of memory-or, as we should say, by the help of imagina-tion.-The words $\tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu a s$ at once take us in thought to a Greek festival, with its attendant contests in all kinds of artistic and other exercise, and prepare us for the éofa̧óvz $\frac{1}{}$ in el.
d 8. $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ỗv $\kappa \tau \lambda$., " we think,-don't we?- that there is something in the generally expressed opinion about festal performances. Most people say that etc."-It is clear here again (as in c 3) that $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ and Y were right in reading the indicative.
e 4. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta \grave{\eta}$. . . $\dot{\tau} \mu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a l$, "without doubt, as it is recognized that merry-making on such occasions is right, the man who gives pleasure to most people, and who gives the greatest pleasure ought to be most highly honoured."

658 a 1. "Not only are we right in saying so, but we should be right in doing so."
a 4. $\tau \alpha \chi^{v}$ : "nota paronomasiam," Ast.
a 5. $\delta<a \iota \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ aưvò кađà $\mu \notin \rho \eta$ : he has here in mind the distinction between the different kinds of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu$. The imaginary proclamation of a contest which follows is peculiar in not making this discrimination.
a6. ovi $\tau \omega \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega}$ : so at Rep. 351 a and Phil. 12 c ; $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ oü $\tau \omega$ s ( $\dot{\rho} \alpha \delta \dot{i} \omega s$ ovi $\tau \omega \mathrm{s} \kappa \tau \lambda$.) is the common order, " without quali-fication."- $\delta \nu \tau \tau \nu o \hat{v} v$ seems used in the sense of "any you like."
a 8. $\pi \rho о є i \pi o \iota:$ the idea is "resumed" by the noun $\pi \rho о \rho \rho \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$
 $\eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \nu$.
b 1. ôs [ $\left.\delta^{\prime}\right]$ à $\nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. 6 Ficinus translates: "praemiaque ei proponit, qui spectatores maxime delectaverit." From this Winckelmann naturally concludes that Ficinus read $\hat{o}^{s} \dot{\alpha} \nu$, and Usener, who (followed by Schanz) reads $\delta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{a} \nu$ (for $\delta \grave{\eta}{ }^{2} \nu \nu$ ), suggests that possibly $\theta \epsilon i s \nu \iota \kappa \eta \tau \dot{\eta} \rho \iota a$ ought to stand immediately before ôs. Whether the words are transposed or not, it is perhaps better (with Ficinus) to
take ôs $\stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu$ as "the prize to be for the man who," than as merely depending on $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \iota o v ́ \mu \in \nu o \nu$ ("to find out" or "to see, who"). The insertion of $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ before $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a natural copyist's error ; or the $\delta$ ' may have been introduced intentionally by a scribe who had not seen to the end of the sentence.- $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \alpha \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O S$ I take to be passive (Ast in his Lex. gives only this passage as an example of its middle use). Not only does this agree with the habit of the verb, but a participle agreeing with the subj. of $\pi \rho \circ \epsilon_{i} \pi \sigma \iota$ would very awkwardly disturb the course of the sentence.
b 2. vıк $\sigma_{\eta}^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ : the $\delta^{\prime}$ is due to the suggestion in the previous clause that there might have been some restriction laid down as to the nature of the contest.
b 3. The каi before $\kappa \rho \iota \theta \hat{\eta}$ is explanatory. It seems strange, as Ast says, that $\nu \iota \kappa \eta \sigma \eta$ should come before $\kappa \rho \iota \theta \hat{\eta}$, but the каi implies that the two verbs refer to the same event.-We may trans-
 prizes, and invite all and sundry to compete for them, in a contest of mere pleasure-giving-the prize to go to the.man who gives most pleasure to the spectators, without being restricted in the means he employs,-all that is necessary is that he should surpass all rivals in producing just precisely (öт $\iota \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ) this very result, and be pronounced to have been the most delightful among the competitors." The sentence is a rough one, in conversational style. Ast rewrites it elegantly. Stallbaum, while rebuking Ast for his boldness, adopts, in his translation, but not in his text, the boldest of Ast's alterations-that of v七кŋ́ $\eta$ to $\nu \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (" eum victoriam esse reportaturum siquidem . . .").
b 6. $\tau o \hat{v} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \iota \epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon \iota s$; "In what respect do you mean?"
b 9. ov $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ́ v ~ к \tau \lambda$., "I shouldn't wonder if one of them thought that by a puppet-show he would have the best chance of the prize."
c3. Sıкаíws: the gist of the question is in this word. The point is not which performers would get most votes, but which performer ought to get most votes. So óp $\theta \hat{\omega}$ s at d 8 .
c 4. $\omega$ s $\gamma$ voùs $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$, "as if he could decide!"
c5. Schanz brackets the words $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha i ́ \quad \tau \epsilon$, which, he says, have been altered in A from $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \alpha s ~ \tau \epsilon$. If the words are genuine (which I doubt), they must mean, not "hear the com-petitors"-which would be unbearably tautological when followed

c 10. The Athenian's answer is' a further exemplification of the principle enunciated in the words $\delta \iota \alpha \iota \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$ avं $\tau \grave{o} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \prime \rho \eta$
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turn out a judge better than that produced by the ordinary experience of life. The reason why this experience tells more with the old than with the young is simply that they have had more of it. At the present time, in default of quality of training, they must rely on quantity alone.-The $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \alpha ́ \tau o \iota s$ and $\delta i{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota i \alpha \nu$ of 659 d 3 point in the same direction as the present passage. (H. Stephanus altered $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ to $\nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, which is most unwarrantably translated by Ast: "(longe melius est) quam juvenum"). For the connexion of " ${ }^{\prime} \theta_{0}$ os with $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a ~ c p . ~ R e p . ~ 518 ~ e ~$
 тoùs фúخакаs.
e 6. These words remind us of 655 c 8 каíтоь $\lambda$ '́үovaív $\gamma є \kappa \tau \lambda$. We shall have to recur to both these passages at 668 a 9 .
 and trained $i_{\kappa} \alpha \nu \hat{\omega}$ s were spoken of in the plural, as a class, the man with the special endowment and training is spoken of in the singular, as being rarer. There is no need to suppose that the author has here a special functionary in mind.- $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha .$. ö $\tau$, " the reason why (I say this) is that . . ."
a 4. The early vulgate $\theta a \tau \epsilon \in \rho o v$ for $\theta \epsilon$ á $\tau \rho o v$ is a typical misreading : Ficinus translates it "ab alio discere."
a5. Burnet was the first to put a comma after $\mu a \nu \theta$ ávovta. The каí before $\hat{\epsilon}_{\kappa}^{\kappa \pi \lambda \lambda \tau \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu}$ does not connect this word with $\mu a v \theta^{\prime} \nu o v \tau \alpha$-for this we should want ov' $\tau \epsilon$-but means both, though, strictly, either it ought to go before $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \theta_{o \rho} \hat{v}^{\prime} \beta_{o v,}$, or there
 which case the $\dot{v} \pi o$ o would have to be repeated. We may translate, "misled, as much by his own ignorance as by the noise made by the mob." The first ov̈ $\tau \epsilon$ clause describes the case of the judge without $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$, the second (ov̈ $\tau^{\prime}$ ầ $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau a \kappa \tau \lambda$.) that of the judge who, "though he has insight" ( $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma \nu} \bar{\omega} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ ), gives the lie to his convictions, and his (sacred) profession, through cowardice. -For the $\theta_{o ́ \rho v} \beta_{\text {os cp. Rep. } 492 \text { b. }}$
a 7. For the omission of $\epsilon \xi$ with ov̂̃ $\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{cp}$. 770b5 $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath}$ $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu \quad \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau i \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ тò̀s vó $\mu o v s$. Adam on Rep. 373 e calls it "the usual Greek idiom."
b1. $\psi \in v \delta \delta^{\mu} \mu \in \nu=\nu \kappa \tau \lambda$., "be so irresolute as to give a vote which he knows to be false."
b 4. Ficinus took roîs with $\theta_{\text {eatais ; }}$; but, evèn though it is just conceivable that $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \delta \delta o \hat{o} \sigma \iota$ might be used in the sense of "manifest, express by way of response" (to the poet's efforts), clearly here the people whom it is the judge's duty to oppose

 $\theta_{\epsilon \alpha \tau \alpha i s}$ has no article is no more surprising than that $\theta_{\epsilon \alpha \tau} \omega \nu$ has none, two lines above.
b 5. Unfortunately Eusebius, who quotes this passage, stops at ecarais. What follows in the MSS. cannot be right. Hermann, Schanz, and Burnet adopt Winckelmann's insertion of oú before ка $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon$. Ritter will have none of the ov; but then he has to translate ка $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$ by "wie umgekehrt." This is only putting in the negative in German, without putting it in in Greek. Even with the Greek negative the sentence is far from smooth; we must make the negative mean; "the old Greek procedure did not,admit of acting as the Sicilian and Italian does now, which " (does so and so). Badham would have us mark a considerable lacuna after the word vó $\mu$ os. I would suggest another way out of the difficulty, which is, to eject the words $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \nu \gamma \bar{\alpha} \rho \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i{ }^{`} E \lambda \lambda \eta$ $\nu \iota \kappa(\tilde{\varphi}$ vó $\mu \omega$, as being a marginal scholium, which has been wrongly incorporated with the text. The sentence $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$. follows naturally after $\tau o i ̂ s . . . \mu \grave{\eta} . \ldots$ o $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \pi o \delta \iota \delta o \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ : it is a concrete instance of what these words describe. I have therefore ventured to bracket these words and put a colon after $\theta \epsilon a \tau \alpha i$ is.
 almost in the sense of "give way to"; cp. 802 c 1 qaîs $\delta \bar{\epsilon} \grave{\eta} \delta o v a i ̂ s$

c1f. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \rho \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ : it should be remembered that these $\kappa \rho \iota \tau a i$ are the mob: $\pi a \iota \delta \in$ vevorot $^{2}$ in the next line is ironical, and Burnet is doubtless right in reading aủrov̀s with A-the spectators actually educate (l) the poets. (As Schanz reads aùrov̀s without comment, I conclude he thought the breathing in A was a rough one.)
c 5. aviroîs $\delta \rho \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota$, "through their own action"-as we should put it, "and they have themselves to thank for it."" A and $O$ read ầ $\tau 0 i ̂ s . \quad$ Modern editors rightly follow Vat. 1029 in reading aủzoîs. Cornarius sees too much in aviroîs $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ when he translates, "quum ipsi poemata faciant." Ficinus has nunc iis ex theatro contrarium accidit. This looks as if he read $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota v$, and took it to mean "owing to the actors." The ordinary contrast between $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$ and $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ gives a flavour of antithesis to the sentence; it is almost equal to aủroì $\delta \rho \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \chi o v \sigma \iota$. (Badham's ẩ oits $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ is less pointed.)- $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau o v{ }^{2} \nu a \tau \tau i o v:$ i.e. they see plays with morals worse than their own, and come to take pleasure increasingly in what is wrong and bad, and their taste, instead of being elevated, is corrupted.
 of this doctrine was that at 653 b . It was almost as clearly laid

 author's mind when he wrote 643 e and 656 b . If then education is the process of drawing and leading the youthful mind in the direction in which the Law says it ought to go, we see, incidentally, what sort of claim the subject of Education has to fill a large place in a treatise on Laws. The framer of laws, that is, must consider the possibilities of education-must know the nature of the process, and the capacities of its subject matter; and further, the most important branch of Law itself will be that which provides for, and regulates the educating process. See note on 671 a 4-672d.
 have over again the insistence on both (1) фv́rıs, natural endowment, and (2) experience, as a necessity for right opinion. The second point is further reinforced by the addition of the words $\delta \iota^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{\prime} \alpha \nu$. The same two influences were referred to in 655 d 8

d 5 . $\dot{\epsilon} \theta i\left\{\eta \tau a \iota:\right.$ we are reminded by this word of the ${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ s

 merely to the "second class" of фúdaкєs vó $\mu \omega \nu$ spoken of at 632 c , but to all rightly educated adults with whom the young came in contact. It is, however, only ó $\gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ (d7) who is referred to as an authority on the question of what is right and wrong. Eusebius, in quoting this passage, has $\tau \in \theta \in \tau \mu \in \in \mathcal{\nu}$ o七s (neut.) for $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \mu^{\prime} \mathcal{V}$ oıs, a disquieting variant-due perhaps to an imperfect memory.
e 1. The $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \omega \nu{ }^{\epsilon \prime} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ resumes the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \alpha$ of d 4 , and introduces

 almost smothered in relative and other clauses.- ${ }^{\prime \prime \nu} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s} \mu \bar{\epsilon} \nu$
 seems to think the spirit of the language inspires the $\lambda$ óyos on such an occasion.-For this application of the notion cf. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{q} \delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon \tau$ at Phaedo 114d.
e 2. $v \hat{v} v$ : if this is right, it must mean "under our present system." Stallbaum thought it might be an error for $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} v$.
e 3. $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu i ́ a \nu: ~ c p . ~ a b o v e ~ 653 \mathrm{~b} 6 a \check{v} \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu i ́ a \kappa \tau \lambda$. - $\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta} \nu$ : this again is partly a quibble. Thé $\sigma \pi r o v i o ́ n ~ w h i c h ~$
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 would rob the comparison of an important feature.)
a 5. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \phi \rho_{o ́ v} \nu \nu$ : cp. above 655 b. Here we have the same definition of what is $\kappa \alpha \lambda o o^{\prime} \nu$ in art.
"b 1. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta ı o ́ s, ~ " B l e s s ~ y o u!"-~ v \hat{v} v, " a t ~ t h e ~ p r e s e n t ~ t i m e . " ~$
b 2. $\pi o t \epsilon i v$ is doubtless here used in the special sense in which it was used in a 7 and 8.
 before $\dot{\omega} \sigma a v \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$, merely round off the phrase, and reinforce $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu:-$ " the same, and of the same nature."
c 3. I think Burnet is right in omitting the comma after $\theta a v \mu \alpha ́\} o r \mu \iota$ (most editors have it). Thus read, the sentence oủk ä้ $\theta a v \mu$. $\kappa \tau \lambda$. will mean, "I expect it was through my not expressing my meaning clearly that-to my cost ( $(\pi \pi \alpha \theta \circ v)$-I did so "; i.e. "that I created, and suffered from, a false impression" (so Ficinus).
c 4. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’ à $\beta_{o v ́ \lambda o \mu a i} \kappa \tau \lambda$.: i.e. "instead of speaking clearly (and abusing things as they are), I gave you a general sketch of what I wish to be, in the matter of $\mu$ ovoıк $\eta^{\prime}$, in such a way, perhaps, as to make you think that that ( $\tau \alpha i \hat{\tau} \alpha$ ) was what I meant"; then (as a reason why he did not find fault with the actual state of things) "because, though it is sometimes necessary to rail at hopeless and hardened sinners, such railing is not at all a pleasant task"-lit. "things past cure, and far advanced on the wrong road."-The emphatic $\epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$ in c 6 seems to be merely due to the fact that $\hat{\alpha} \sigma \grave{v} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \epsilon s$ had come before. The $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ is, by its position, also emphatic.
d 1 and 3. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ and $\tau o \iota a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ are $\grave{a}$ סıavoov̂ $\mu a \iota$ and $\mathfrak{a} \beta o v ́ \lambda o \mu a \iota ~$ $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta \quad \nu$ respectively.
d 6 . Both ov́т $\omega \mathrm{s}$ and $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \nu \hat{v} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ go with $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a$, just as both the $\kappa \alpha \theta \theta^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \rho$ clauses in the next three lines go with rí $\gamma$ voito.
 to be applied is $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \in \ddot{\eta} \eta$.
d 9. "̈ $\epsilon \tau$, "furthermore."
 settlement of the question."-Cleinias's remarks at'bl ff. showed that he was thinking of the form and style of $\mu$ оvгıк $\eta$ : here the Ath. rather suddenly directs our sole attention to the subject matter of the poet's work, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \dot{\prime} \mu \in \nu \alpha$. He was entitled to do so by the admission by his hearers of the principle enunciated at 655 b, that ка入óv in $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \bar{\eta}$ means $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \grave{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$, but no
doubt his hearers were somewhat bewildered, as Cleinias's answer (on 661 d ) shows. The Athenian is here pursuing, in a concrete instance, the same inquiry which he makes in general in Bk. I.: i.e. are the Cretan and Spartan institutions, though they may teach us much, as satisfactory as they claim to be?
e 1. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha, \alpha<\grave{\imath} \mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$ is a hendiadys. This identification is also based on a previous admission ( 654 a 5 ff .).
e 5. Kı $\downarrow$ v́ $\alpha$ тє каì Mí $\delta \alpha$ : Tyrtaeus (12.6) has the Ionic forms

e 6. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \iota a \rho \hat{\omega} s ~ \zeta \hat{\eta}$, " lives a life of misery." $\dot{\alpha} \nu \iota a \rho o ́ s ~ i s ~ t h e ~ n a t u r a l ~$ opposite of $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{s} s$, Prot. $351 \mathrm{c}, 355$ e.
e 7. $\epsilon \ddot{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho{ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$ : the Athenian has asserted, with his hearer's assent, the legislator's right to dictate to the poet, and is thus enabled a second time to turn the tables on the Spartan national poet. Whereas Tyrtaeus says: No amount of physical or temporal advantage counts for anything in a man who is not brave, the Ath. here lays it down that even bravery itself is just as worthless, if the possessor is ${ }_{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa о s$. He even goes further, and says that it, like all other advantages, is a curse and not a blessing to a man if he is not virtuous. (Cp. 630 b 3 ff ., and Gorg. 511 ff .)

66 I a 2 ff . The optatives $\tau o \lambda \mu \hat{\omega}, \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\varphi}$, and $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma v o \iota \tau o$ are, in form, the direct expression of the speaker's wish, but, as ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa$ оs $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \underset{\omega}{\omega}$ is directly contrasted with roıo $\hat{v} \tau o s \stackrel{\mu}{\omega} \nu$, and the quotations from Tyrtaeus run on, we may suppose them to be, in effect, the reported expression of a wish ; i.e. "he must say, I would not have him steel his mind to face slaughter," etc. For a similar change from oblique to direct narration cp. Tim. 18 с $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ ö $\pi \omega s \mu \eta \delta \epsilon i ́ s$



b 1. For ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \theta$ ब $\iota$ c. gen. in the sense of "depend on" cp. Prot.
 b 2. $\tau \grave{̀} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda o s, "$ the crown."
b 5. All this is an emphatic restatement of what was said at 631 b 7 ff.
c 1. $\tau \grave{o} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \nu$, "in general," because "life" is the most general expression of all physical activity-of which the particular senses just mentioned are kinds.
 . . . ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda a \tau \tau o \nu \delta^{\prime}$ : i.e. the possession of immortality would only prolong-and so multiply-the misery infinitely ; while a speedy death would shorten, and so lessen it.
 boldly emends to $\epsilon \pi \iota \zeta \hat{\eta}$; Stallb. and the Zurich edd. retain the impossible vulgate $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \zeta \varphi \bar{\eta} \eta$; Schanz writes $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \zeta \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\eta}$, and Burnet
 gives as the vulgate here). I have, with some hesitation, preferred Schanz's emendation to Burnet's. The poetical form seems less likely to have been written by Plato here than the participial periphrasis (cp. e.g. єivaı $\gamma$ ( $\gamma v o ́ \mu \epsilon v o v$ in e 2); also the rasura in A is not so easily accounted for on Burnet's hypothesis. At the same time, the rasura apart, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \xi \dot{\xi} \eta$, written originally with no $\iota$ in the last syllable, would be naturally written $\epsilon \pi \iota \zeta \varphi \eta$ by a careless scribe.
c 6. $\pi o \iota \eta=\sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ MSS., $\pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ Eus.
c 7. àroठ८סóv $\tau \alpha \mathrm{s}$, "furnish," as at 659 b 5.
c 8. I have followed Schanz in putting only a colon after ঠо $\rho \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon$.
d 1. кал㐅̀ A, кака̀ O, Eus., Iambl., and a late hand in the margin of A.-This emphatic ( $\sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega}$ ) restatement of the main point-and explanation of $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \ddot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$-is made by the Athenian because it is just of this that he expects it will be hardest to convince his hearers.
d 3. ò $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ơ̂v $\eta_{\rho} \rho o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ : these words refer directly to the question $\hat{\eta} \gamma^{\alpha} \rho$; in c 8 , and indirectly to the $\sigma v v o \mu o \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma \omega \dot{\omega} \epsilon \epsilon$ a at the beginning of the paragraph ; but they do not compel us to take $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha \ldots \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ as a question, as the first printed editions did-reading the fut.
d 7. $\delta \iota \grave{c} \tau \epsilon \in$ خous: i.e. all three advantages are to be supposed to be lasting.-vipiv: ethic dat., "if you like." Iamblichus, in his quotation of the passage, omits it.
d 7 ff . каі ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \quad \pi \rho о \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.: I think $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu \iota$ does not govern the following accusatives, and that cival is not predicative to $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$, but that the accusatives are the subjects to $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ $\epsilon_{i}^{i} \nu a \iota$, which stands for $\gamma^{i} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota-\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ agreeing naturally with the last acc.- $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{o}$ : $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ (not ov $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \dot{\nu}$ ) because the sentence is, in effect, conditional-perhaps too the fact that it is the subject to an infin. ( $\epsilon$ ival) had something to do with the choice of $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \nu$.-To those who prefer to take $\epsilon i v a \iota$ with $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \omega \bar{\nu}$ I would still urge that it is best to take $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ with all the accusatives: "I don't mind adding, if you like, that he has preeminent strength and courage, with immortality to boot, and moreover none of the so-called evils." Then the construction is changed,
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 corresponds to $\delta \iota a ́ \phi o \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \iota v \iota$, and $\delta \iota a ́ \phi o \rho o s ~ \pi a \rho a ́ ~ \tau \iota v a ~ t o ~ \delta \iota a ́ \phi o \rho o ́ s ~$ tevos.
c 7. For $\nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau a s$ cp. above 624 a 4 and 5.
 $\delta \dot{\eta}$ at d 6 , implying that the Ath. does not think for a moment that Zeus and Apollo would give such an answer.
 tion in these words-it is almost as if the Ath. flourished a piece of logic in the face of his unsophisticated audience. (So Touchstone discourses of "philosophy," and a "figure of rhetoric" to Corin or William.) Anyhow it is not easy to see why the next question is the "correct" sequel to the last.
d 4. The word $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \dot{\partial} \alpha_{i} \mu \omega \nu$ brings in a fresh notion.- It means not simply happy-which would be much the same as $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$-but
 $\tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ \epsilon \dot{\delta} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$, where Adam quotes Aristotle's elegy on Plato:


 78 a Socrates adds какобаí $\mu \nu \nu$ (a word of colloquial abuse-" Godforsaken" as E. S. Thompson says) to $\ddot{a} \theta \lambda c o s$, as if the one notion involved the other. It would therefore be more than ${ }^{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \pi о \nu$ if the Gods made the answer supposed at d 6. As the two Gods are the original lawgivers for Sparta and Crete, the Ath.'s hearers are bound to agree here.
 become absurd."
 should not like to see such a saying put into the mouth of a God"; lit. "to be said in the case of a God." For this use of $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$ c. gen. with $\lambda \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ cp. Rep. 475 a $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \circ \hat{\nu} \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu, 524 \mathrm{e}$



e 2 ff. $\eta \rho \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \omega$, "let (the question) be supposed to have been put to "; and perhaps too $\delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{i} \pi \pi^{\prime} \tau \omega$ is, "and let him be supposed to answer."- $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \iota o s ~ i s ~ h e r e ~ u s e d ~ a s ~ s y n o n y m o u s ~ w i t h ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \delta a i ́ \mu \omega \nu$. We have the same $\mu \circ \iota$ with $\eta_{\eta} \rho$. that we had with $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, and that is one reason why I think Schanz is wrong in altering $\dot{\eta} \rho \omega \tau \eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega$ into $\dot{\eta} \rho \omega \tau \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ : there would then be too great
uniformity between the two clauses. For a similar pair of accusatives, coupled with the figura etymologica, cp. Laws 705 c $\mu \iota \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota s \pi o \nu \eta \rho \grave{a} s \mu \iota \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ тò̀s $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o v s ;$ the only difference here is that the verb is passive. (The Cod. Voss.-in marg.put in $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ before $\pi \alpha \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \alpha$, and Ast actually prints $\pi \alpha \tau \eta^{\prime} \rho \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ $\nu o \mu o \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \rho$ with no MS. authority, and St. approves.)-The pregnant use of the perf. imperat.-not merely "let the question have been put," but "grant," or "suppose that the question has been put"-is quite idiomatic ; cp. Ө $524 \mu \hat{v} \theta$ os $\delta^{\prime}$ 'ös $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu v \hat{v} \nu$
 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \in i^{\prime} \delta o ́ \tau \omega \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \omega$.
e 5. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ', "and yet."
e 6-663a 7. $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta ~ \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ oûv $\kappa \tau \lambda$. "well, the lawgiver-or father—who decides this way" (i.e. that the $\eta$ " $\delta \iota \sigma \tau o s \beta$ ios is $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \omega \tau \alpha \tau о s)$ " would, I think, appear absurdly at a loss to give a consistent answer. If, on the other hand, he declared the perfectly just life to be perfectly blessed, anyone who heard him would, I think, inquire 'what was the advantage and merit in it, superior to pleasure, which the law found to recommend?' Why, what advantage can the just man find which has no pleasure in it? I ask you, is fair fame, and the praise of men and gods, an advantage and an honour which is unpleasant, and an ill name the reverse? My good lawgiver, we shall never admit that. Pray, is wronging nobody, and being wronged by nobody, unpleasant, though good and right, and is the other behaviour pleasant, though disgraceful and bad?"hesitation, that we ought to take this word with $\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \in \nu o s$ rather than with ф<ívoıтo: (1) because $\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \in \nu o s$ with a qualifying word is more naturally used than if taken absolutely; i.e. "he who decides this way," rather than "the decider, the authority," or even "the deciding lawgiver," and (2) because there seems to be a
 e 8. (I am not influenced by e.g. Crat. 398 c $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \delta^{\prime}$ oûv $\tau i ́ \theta \epsilon \mu a \iota$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. because I think that. there, as at Crat. $418 \mathrm{~d} 2, \tau \alpha i \boldsymbol{\tau} \eta$ means "that is why.")
e 7. ä $\tau 0 \pi o s$ goes, I think, closely with ${ }^{\circ} \pi \pi o \rho o s ; ~ n o t ~ " w o u l d ~$ look foolish and . . . ," but "would appear strangely at a loss
 that by a remarkably disgraceful victory"-(cp. our "nice and warm").—The gen. $\boldsymbol{\tau o \hat { v }} \sigma v \mu \phi$. $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau \hat{\omega} \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \iota \nu$ depends on the $\dot{\alpha}$ privative in ${ }_{\alpha} \pi \pi о \rho o s$.

663 ail. o o vó $\mu o s:$ this personification of vó $\mu o s$ is peculiar, but
intelligible；ó vó $\mu$ os represents the same point of view as ó vo $\mu$ o－ $\theta^{\prime} \tau \eta$ s．$\tau$ ò $\delta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \iota o v$ is what the law enjoys，and consequently the law is held responsible for the effects of just action．Schanz adopts Badham＇s substitution of vo $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ s for vó $\mu o s$ here，and I am strongly disposed to follow his example．If vó $\mu$ os be retained， it must anyhow be regarded as a conscious substitution for $\nu \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}$－ $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \tau \eta s$ ，denoting the same＂party＂in the argument．
 is：For the just man to be $\epsilon \dot{v} \delta \alpha \dot{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ must be an $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta O^{\prime} \nu$ ；there is no $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \alpha$ Oó $\nu$ the just man can experience，which is $\chi \omega \rho \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \in \nu o \nu \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} s:$
 be separated，or that the lives spoken of at 662 d 1 are two．
a 6．$\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ívó $\tau \iota \nu o s \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ：to complete the picture，from the point of view of law and lawgiver－i．e．of the community－ the recipient of the wrong must be mentioned as well as the wrongdoer ；one involves the other．
a 7．$\eta_{\eta}$ for $\kappa \alpha i$ ，possibly to show that no special distinction is here intended between $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \theta_{o ́ v}^{\prime}$ and ка入óv；possibly，only for variety＇s sake．— $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$＂$\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ ，＂the different state of things，＂where we should expect＂the opposite state of things＂；possibly， because $\tau \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a ~ h a d ~ j u s t ~ b e f o r e ~ b e e n ~ u s e d ~ a d v e r b i a l l y . ~ T h e ~ c o n-~$ text shows that it is the opposite state of things，which he here denotes by the milder expression．（Ast rejected $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \ldots$ кака́．A Venetian MS．－Bekker＇s 录—and the four earliest printed editions omitted $\eta \kappa \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ．．．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．Ficinus trans－ lates the whole passage－giving it all，even каí $\pi \omega s$ ，to the Ath．； Cod．Voss．attributed $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha$ ．．．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$（acc．to Ast and Stallb．） to Cleinias．（More probably Cod．Voss．gave him down to кака́．）
b 1．каi á $\gamma \alpha \theta$ óv $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ ка入óv：I cannot help suspecting these words to be spurious．The identification of a a $\alpha \theta_{o ́ v} \nu$ and $\kappa \alpha \lambda o ́ v$ is
 the generals of which the latter pair are particulars－but it is a separate point．It would need different arguments，and it is not used in the rest of the paragraph．The only defence the words seem to admit of is，that the whole of the paragraph appears to have been written in a less careful style than the preceding part of the argument．— $\epsilon i \mu \eta \delta \delta_{\nu}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ：Ast is，I think，right in supplying $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ in sense（before $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\nu}$ ）from the following clause ；i．e．not ＂will persuade，if nothing else can，＂but＂will persuade to（this）， if to nothing else．＂
b 2．vo $\mu \circ \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．，＂in the lawgiver＇s eyes that reasoning is ＇most wicked and dangerous，which denies that this is the case＂－
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( $\sigma \kappa \iota а \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \eta \mu \alpha)$ of right and wrong that they have been looking at, and (2) that, like other pictures, it only produces the illusion intended, if seen from a certain point. Here the illustration
 who has had a training in just action would have been brought near to justice, and would therefore discern the faults of the picture which looked all right when he was far off from it.
ce. Naber's 廿órous for dóroıs (adopted by Schanz) seems wrong; the following representation of the case ( $\hat{\omega s} \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \iota a \gamma \rho . \kappa \tau \lambda$.) would need $\lambda$ of ${ }^{\prime} o c$ to explain it.
c 3 ff. I have removed the comma from after $\phi$ aıvó $\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$, put commas before and after $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ тồj $\delta \iota \kappa a i ́ o v ~ \epsilon ́ v a v \tau i ́ \omega s$, and after $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \rho о \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \nu a$, and would translate ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{s} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \kappa a \gamma \rho$. . . $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$, "That the right and wrong he sees is like a rough picturethe wrong, which behaves in the opposite way to the right, appearing, when seen by him when he is in a wrong and bad state, pleasant, and the right most unpleasant; while, when they are seen by him when he is in a state of righteousness, every man sees both sides altogether in the opposite light." If the €́autov̂ of the MSS. is right, we must suppose an imaginary pupil of the lawgiver to be spoken of. In that case $\pi a v \tau i$ in $c 5$ is irregular, and $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \eta$ recorded (or suggested) by a late hand in the margin of A is preferable; but if Ast (applauded by St., and followed by Schanz) is right in reading aùvô̂ for $\mathfrak{\epsilon}$ eavcov̂, $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \dot{\imath}$ is quite in order. - Badham proposed каì $\tau \alpha ٌ \delta \iota \kappa \alpha$ for каì $\alpha \delta \iota к а$ (the omission to repeat the article is hardly noticeable among so many irregularities of expression)—and to eject the words $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu{ }^{\circ} \delta \iota \kappa \alpha$ $\tau \hat{G}$ то̂̀ $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \hat{o} o w$ inserting $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ädıка after $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu a$, and rejecting $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} s$ in c5.-F.H.D. would reject $\tau \hat{\omega}$ тồ $\delta \iota \kappa a i ́ o v$. Schanz marks a lacuna before évavícs. Stephanus (and C. Ritter) recommend the rejection of the $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ before $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \hat{v} \delta \iota \kappa a i o v-$


 described in the present case. -The $\mu \epsilon ́ v$ before ${ }_{\alpha} \delta \delta$ ска corresponds

 $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o v i \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$. Among the suggested alterations of the passage that of Madvig seems to me the best; he supposes $\bar{\epsilon} v a v \tau i \varphi$ to have fallen out before évaviics We thus get a clumsy chain of participles, but greater clearness. I should still, if this were adopted, put .a comma after $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho о \tilde{u} \mu \epsilon \nu a$.—A somewhat similàr
philosophizing is to be seen in Euripides, Iph. in Aul. 387 тоvŋро仑̂ ф $\omega \tau$ òs $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta о \nu \alpha i ̀ ~ к а к а i ́ . ~$
c 7 f . The question arises: with what do $\tau \eta \nu, \pi o \tau \epsilon \in \rho a \nu$ and $\tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ in the next line agree? In grammar it is $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$, but the meaning of this word coalesces with that of its dependent gen. $\kappa \rho^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ to mean "true judgement," or rather "claim to truth." What the sentence means is, "which claim to be true has the higher authority?"
d 5-e 2. As Cleinias's form of assent shows a disposition to go behind the argument, the Ath. reinforces it by considerations of expediency. He is careful, by the extremely hypothetical form of the question, to guard against the idea that he himself for a moment doubts the reality of his previous conclusion. He does not say, "if it were otherwise, what better opportunity for a useful lie could a legislator have?" but, "if it had been otherwise," and "have had." (Voltaire's "il faudrait l'inventer" is in a less hypothetical form.) It is almost as if Plato argued: "Does it not look as if it must be true, because it is such a useful thing to be able to say ?"-о̂̂ $\tau \iota$ каì $\sigma \mu \kappa \kappa \rho \grave{\nu}$ ő $\phi \epsilon \lambda$ оs: we have already met this phrase at 630 c and 647 a in connexion with the



 to be used much as we say, in an argument, "there you have me."
e 1. Schanz adopts H. Stephanus's insertion of $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ before $\pi o \iota \epsilon i v$ : this insertion was independently suggested by Badham. At $671 \mathrm{c} 4 \delta v \alpha^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v}_{0}$ ous has just as much need of a supplied inf. The difference is that there the sentence is long, and a moctiv which occurs near the end sounds as if it might be the missing inf., though it is not. I am inclined to believe in a pregnant use of $\delta \dot{v} v a \sigma \theta a \iota$ in the sense of "to be equal to bringing it about that" (cp. Ast, Lex.), akin to its meaning of "to signify," "to be equal to "; $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu \pi o \iota \epsilon i \nu$ would sound very awkward.
e 2. $\pi$ áv $\alpha a s$, which is in no MS., has been, by most editors, added to the text from Eusebius's quotation of the passage.
e 3 ff . The most various interpretations have been proposed of Cleinias's remark, and the Athenian's answer. The difference arises from the various subaudienda imagined before or after Cleinias's remark ; e.g. (before it) "it would certainly be better if we could do without a lie" (C. Ritter) ; (after) "id quod verum esse putamus difficile est (nobis) persuadere (non ita esse)" Ast.

Both these cannot be right; I think no subaudienda are needed. The author is directly calling attention to the plastic nature of the youthful mind, and incidentally suggesting a correct appreciation of myths and their position in education. In the previous paragraph the Athenian's language, in referring to the possible use of a lie, is carefully chosen- $\bar{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\psi} \quad \psi \epsilon v \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \grave{s} s$ $\nu^{\prime} \epsilon_{o v s-h e ~ c a l l s ~ i t ~}^{\lambda} \nu v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon_{s}$, and an efficient prompter of a good disposition. This is because he wants to point out the use of stories in forming the mind. Cleinias does not see what he is driving at, and takes refuge in the following safe and somewhat trite remark: "truth" (i.e. philosophical truth) " is a treasure, and an abiding one; but the process of getting it into people's minds is evidently a hard one." In the Athenian's answer I have
 It is not likely that Plato should have spoken of the story as told by a Sidonian (and that is the most natural translation of the
 $\mu v \theta o \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \mu \alpha$ is only a variety for the proverbial $\psi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta o s$ or $\psi \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \mu \alpha$
 cp. Phaedrús 228 d $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu^{\prime} \epsilon_{\nu} \tau o \iota \delta_{\iota \alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu o \iota \alpha \nu$. What the Athenian says there is: "I grant you; but it is not hard to get a cock-and-bull story like the Sidonian one into people's minds." (I think Burnet is wrong in reading the words as a question. A question should have had ov $\dot{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\prime} \delta \iota o v$, and if it had been a question, it would naturally have been repeated after Cleinias's $\pi o i(a ;$ ) - The Ath. seizes on the word $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ as opening up the general subject of the way in which the young mind can and ought to be furnished with ideas and feelings. Of course the Cretan goes off mentally in the direction suggested, and asks $\pi o \hat{\imath} \alpha$; He has been in a fog, and he sees a chance of getting into clearer air.
e6. $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \varphi \in \tau o$ may fairly be taken as a gnomic aorist; the
 particular story was referred to.
e 9. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha^{\delta} \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$ $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \iota v$, "proof that a man will (be able to) persuade." For $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu a$ in the sense of "proof" or "confirmation" cp. Laws 801 b 9, Thuc. i. 2. 6.

664 a 2. av́тóv is the vouo $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta s$, not the imaginary $\tau \iota \varsigma$. -The substance of this paragraph is as follows: "the minds of the young are plastic. It is of the utmost importance that they should be moulded aright. They must be led to think that doing right is pleasanter than doing wrong. The songs they sing and hear, the stories that are told to them, the admonition of their elders, and
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to the arrangement as already known.-Up to 666 dff . Plato uses language about the class of citizens between thirty and asixty years of age which conceals from his interlocutors the fact that it is only in a figure that he describes them as a रo oós ; from 666 d onwards he unfolds to them that the $\mu o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ to which the mature minds among the citizens are to be devoted is " $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota ́ \omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 seems not to refer to $\tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ which are to be the subject matter of the songs. If it does, the following clause is very irregularly expressed. Stallbaum would like to put a comma after $\lambda \epsilon ́ \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, and insert the words каi $\tau о \hat{\tau} \tau o$ before $\phi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$. The only way in which we can bring the passage into order as it stands is to suppose $a \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ to refer to the general arrangements about the choruses: "The main point to be kept before us in our proceedings in this." -I have ventured to read $\alpha \hat{v}$ for $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
b 8. $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\gamma} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ : just as the citizens in general are to be told that the laws of the state were given by a god, or by a divinely inspired man, so, to the young, the truths which only the experienced philosopher can discover are to be presented with the sanction of religion.
c 1. It is, I think, admissible to suppose that $\dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda_{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ refers to the statement that the right and good life is the pleasantest, not to the statement that the gods say so ; whereas
 $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ refers merely to the appeal to the religious sanction.
c 5. єííoı: previous references to $\in о \rho \tau \alpha i ́$ at 653 d and 657 d and the words $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \alpha ́ \tau \rho \omega$ at 665 e 5 make it clear that this word is here used in the technical sense of "coming on" to the stage at a public festal performance.
c 6. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \eta \sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta}$ : i.e. it is to be no amateurish performance; the choir must do its very best ; as indeed is to be expected, when all the city assembles to hear it. It is the choir of the Muses, who preside over education. (The occasion has some of the elements of the modern school speech-day.)- $\delta \mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota \tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ \kappa о \nu \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ : Plato does not here specify a date which is to divide $\pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \delta \bar{\delta} \epsilon$ from $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \alpha ́ \xi o \nu \tau \epsilon s ;$ probably because, for different purposes, and in different states, the date varied; also, in some states the ${ }^{\prime} \phi \eta \beta_{0}$ ぃ formed an intermediate class.
c 7. Cp. Critias 108 с каì тòv Maí $\omega \nu \alpha ́$ тє каi Mov́vas є́ $\pi \iota-$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda o u v \mu \in \nu o v$, though there the divinity is only appealed to for
inspiration, and not, as here, implored to produce conviction as well. The second chorus is evidently that of Apollo.
 those, i.e., still undergoing the process of education-or perhaps
 able. The words $i \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta_{o v}$ s, "graciously pleased to convince," look more like a prayer for others than for the suppliants themselves.
d 1 . As I think that the $\delta \epsilon$ in $\tau o u ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$, and not the $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ after $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, marks the chief contrast to the $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon$ clause in c 4 , I have put a colon, and not a full stop (as St. and Burnet) after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \cup \chi o ́ \mu \in \nu O S$-Schanz puts a comma there. The grammatical con-
 as both $\ddot{\phi} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\kappa \alpha \tau a \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \phi \theta a \iota$ depend on $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, but logically the three choruses on the one hand, and the old men past "singing" on the other, are more opposed than the two first choruses and the rest of the population.
d 2. $\tau o \grave{s} \mathrm{~s} \mu \epsilon \tau$ à $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ : this can mean nothing but "those who are beyond that age"; but it is an unusual expression, as also is $\phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \iota$, , in the next line, which a comparison of $665 \mathrm{~d} 9-\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \pi o v$
 persuade us to translate "to support the toil of," an unusual extension of the sense of to endure (something evil). Is it possible that the word here means to contribute?) cp. Polit. 298 a $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\left.\tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon s \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu\right)$ —or even to produce?
 the same characters"; i.e. about men who display virtuous dispositions.
d 4. $\delta i \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon i \alpha s ~ \phi \eta \mu \eta \mathrm{~s}:$ : i.e. of an inspired character, cp. 624 b 2.
d 8. That is, we are now going to see what is the second and chief use of $\mu \epsilon \theta \eta$-that referred to beforehand at 653 a as a means of safe-guarding education. Its first.use-that of enabling the
 -(rò) $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \beta_{\alpha}^{\prime} \sigma \alpha v o v ~ \lambda a \mu \beta \alpha ́ v \epsilon \nu-$ and to train the young in aió's and aio $\chi \dot{v} v \eta$--had been explained already at the end of Bk. I. The forgetfulness of his hearers provides the Ath. with the occasion for a useful repetition.

Inasmuch as the explanations which follow all apply to the participation of mature and elderly men in the chorus of Dionysus, Orelli's $\tau \rho i \neq \tau o v s$ for $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o v s$ (in d 6 ) is inadmissiblebesides, there could have been nothing about the first two choruses which would seem strange to his hearers; it was only
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about the third that they needed further information. Possibly it was the recent occurrence of the word $\tau \rho i \neq o u s$ in $d 1$ which made him choose the plural here. We get the sing. again at 665 b .
e 3. $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\rho \chi \grave{\alpha} s \tau \omega \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ : i.e. at 653 df . Here oi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota$ means the discussion begun in this book; and so probably above, at d 9 .
e 7. $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ : i.e. of bodily movement, and of voice; this gen. depends on $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, and that on aí$\theta \eta \eta \tau \nu$. This acc. should itself have been in the gen., as governed by the nearer verb ${ }^{\prime} \phi \dot{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \pi \tau о \iota \tau 0$, but, to avoid three genitives, one on the back of another, it is made to be governed by ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Xol, even though it is duplicated by the following $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau 0:$-au instructive instance of Plato's sentence-construction, and treatment of cases. (Burnet has made this construction much clearer by putting a comma after $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \quad \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu$. Stallb. commends, and Schanz adopts Winckelmann's ai $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ for $\alpha \not / \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ (cp. Phaedo 65 d, and Phil. 35 a). Badham suggests the same change (comparing $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\varphi} \hat{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ${ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ), and places the word after ouv $\delta \grave{v} \nu$. But a comparison of
 $\kappa \iota \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\xi} \epsilon \omega \nu$ o $\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \tau a \hat{\xi} \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$-makes it very hard to explain
 and if the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \chi o \iota$ clause was in the writer's mind at the beginning of the sentence, the slight anacoluthon involved in aí $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$. . . є́ф́́ттоито is easily explained-especially when there were so many genitives about.)-For the whole subject of the passage cp. Phil. 17 cff . and above on 653 e 4.

665 a 2. A has ípuovias, and so a second hand in O ; i.e. the writer of A cannot be trusted as perfect in grammar. Cp. Hdt.
 'Нракл́єï 'A $\mu \phi \iota \tau \rho \tilde{\omega} \omega \nu$; if ever a Greek would have thought it right to say övoua 'A $\mu \phi \iota \tau \cdot \rho v \sigma^{\prime} v o s$, he would have done it in this sentence, one would think.
a 8. It would have been more regular to repeat the $\delta$ before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Mova $\omega \nu$, but the pl. $\epsilon \ddot{\imath} \rho \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ makes it clear that two choruses are spoken of, and so the repetition-which would rather spoil the rhythm-is unnecessary.
b 2. $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, not "(has) to be spoken of," but " (must) be called (that of Dionysus)."
 licence which Cleinias associates with the name of Dionysus seems to accord ill with old age. In spite of the Spartan institution of
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says, is partly due to the different natures etc: of the different classes of singers-and the $\grave{\eta} \delta o v \dot{\eta}$ by the $\pi о \iota \kappa \iota \lambda i ́ a .-E u s e b i u s$, Stallb. says, has $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau o \hat{v} \delta \epsilon i ̂ v$ in c 2 for the MS. $\tau \grave{o} \delta \epsilon i v$. It was, of course, open to the speaker to continue on the model of his
 - $\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \pi^{\prime} \rho \iota$; But that is no reason why, with Ast, we should read тov̂ $\delta \in \hat{i}$.
 claimed that old men are the best judges. (Ritter would read $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta$ ' for $\tau o \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$, a good suggestion.)
d 3. $\hat{\alpha} \delta o v$ : the participle is the principal verb in sense; the question is, in effect, "Where would the old men sing-the old men, whose songs (in subject matter) would be the best, and would therefore do most good?"

 of"; it includes, I think, the idea of "the best authority about";

 $\tau \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau \alpha \ddot{\eta} \cdot \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta$.
e 1. रaí $\epsilon \iota$ ท̀ $\tau \tau o \nu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o$ : litotes for "does not like doing it," as is shown by the following "if obliged to do it."
e 2. ö $\sigma \omega$. . . $\tau \dot{o} \sigma \varphi$ $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ : added, with conversational asyndeton, in amplification of the comparatives $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$ and $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$ " and the older and wiser he grows, the more he feels it."
 "(Nature might) stand up and say to all the world."
e 6. ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu:$ he does not like doing it at all; the being obliged makes it worse, the publicity of a theatrical performance is a "still further" aggravation.—каi $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha ́ \quad \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon i$ suggests yet another grievance-the фшvaбкós, with blind pedantry, might put the old man on meagre diet-just the opposite treatment, as the sequel shows, to what the case needs.
 force of the climax ; he asks, in effect, "can you imagine a more distressingly humiliating situation? Every spark of $\pi \rho o \theta v \mu i a$ would be stifled by it."-This comic picture helps to unsettle the notion that the रopeía of the mature and elderly is to be a literal one.

666 a 2. av̇тov́s possibly refers to all the "singers," not' the third chorus alone.

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\iota} \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\varphi}, "$ Photius.-ó $\chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon v^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \nu$, a metaphor from irrigation, is appropriate to the "liquid fire" of "drink"; cp. As You Like It II. iii. 48 " For in my youth I never did apply Hot and rebellious liquors in my blood."
 address themselves to the work of life." Cp. Eur. Orestes 1068
 attack their task."]
 with the (imaginary) object of $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \alpha^{\sigma} \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ and subject of ó $\chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \cup \in \iota \nu$; the Aldine ed. emended it to $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda \alpha \beta o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$, which would agree with the subject of $v o \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \eta ; \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu .-\epsilon \in \mu \alpha \nu \eta$ 's, "passionate, violent," is a less derogatory epithet than $\mu \alpha \nu \iota \omega \delta \eta s$ " crazy."
a 8. $\gamma \epsilon v^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, and the following infs. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \pi^{\prime} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, к $\alpha \lambda \epsilon i v$, and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, are best taken•as dependent on $\nu o \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, not as on $\chi \rho \eta^{\prime}$ (supplied from ov $\chi \rho \eta^{\prime}$ ).
b 1. тòv v'́ov: not a precise term; sometimes it is used of mere children ; sometimes, as here, used as the opposite of $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$.A has $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \nu$ corr. by $A^{2}$ to $\tau o ̀ \nu \nu \epsilon \neq \nu$, which is the reading in Athenaeus x. 55, and Stobaeus, Flor. 44. 44.
 forty," as we say-i.e. enters the fourth decade. This meaning is sufficiently defined by the previous $\mu^{\prime} \not \chi \chi \rho \tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ \kappa o \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu .-\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau o i ̂ s$ $\sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota \tau i o \iota s \epsilon \dot{v} \omega \chi{ }_{\eta} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$. : the situation suggests an old-fashioned College Common-Room at Oxford or Cambridge.
b 3. ка $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ seems used of the general invocation of the gods before the drinking began, and $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha к \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ is a slight variation of the general word to mark a special appeal. (Badham would reject $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i v$, thus making the position of the $\tau \epsilon$ more regular ; but it is difficult to see why anyone should have put it in, if it was not there.)
b 4. A has $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega v, O$ and Athenaeus $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \hat{\omega} v$, Stob. and. Galen $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \nu$ (so Schanz and Burnet).- $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \alpha$ $\kappa \alpha i \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha \nu$, "(to) what is at once the recreation, and the special religious privilege of the older men." The word $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ is specially appropriate, as it was used of a festival ceremony in particular ; at Eur. I.T. 959 the word is applied to the feast of the Xó́s. Athenaeus ii. 40 d can hardly be right in saying that
 called $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{s}$ because of the large sums spent upon them-" $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau o ̀ ~ \delta a \pi \alpha \nu \hat{\alpha} \nu "$-a feast was itself, as it always has been, a ceremony, involving initiation.
b 5. є́ $\pi i ́ \kappa o v \rho o v$ is here an adjective, qualifying ф́́ $\rho \mu а к о \nu$; cp.


b 6. I have ventured to bracket the words $\tau \grave{v} v$ oîvov. It was a natural marginal explanation of фа́ $\rho \mu \alpha_{\alpha} \nu$, and it is very hard to fit it in as well as $\eta_{\eta} \nu$ and фа́ $\rho \mu \alpha \kappa o \nu$ in the text. I would trans-
 men, which he has given to mankind as a charm against the austerity of age." (So, too, Peipers, Qu. Cr. de Pl. Legibus, p. 95. H. Richards suggests reading $\hat{\eta} s$ for $\hat{\eta} \nu$.)
b 7. I feel sure that Burnet is right in reading $\lambda \eta_{\eta} \theta_{\eta}$ for the MS. $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta_{\eta \nu}$. The only way by which editors have made sense of the passage is to adopt the suggestion, made in the margin of Cod. Voss., to insert $\tau \epsilon$ after $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, but the sentence runs much better in Burnet's form.
 MSS. I doubt the correctness of the construction $\tau \grave{\eta} \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$
 nature of the soul becomes like iron put into the fire." $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, like $\epsilon \hat{i} v a t$, can have an adverb as predicate, but I think such a sentence as the above would be hard to find. I suggest that what was written was ка $\theta a \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i$ $\epsilon$ 's, or possibly ка $\alpha a \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon i$ 's' The sentence would then mean "becoming, so to speak, iron put in the furnace." (Ast, who reads $\mu a \lambda \alpha \kappa \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ v ~ \tau \epsilon$, says we must supply
 in the furnace.") [F.H.D. would bracket $\gamma$ ( $\gamma$ vó $\mu \in \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$.]

 672 d 9 ). Ast's note on this passage is: "Frequens vero est comparatio animi ferocis cum ferro aqua tincto, molliti vero cum ferro igne cocto. Plutarchus de discrim. adul. p. 73 c [chap. xxxvi]


c 8. $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i \nu \nu \dot{\psi} \delta \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ : it may naturally be asked here, "if this third chorus is to sing in private, where is the public benefit? -who are to be 'charmed' by it?" This question is answered implicitly in the sequel, thus: "Their superior insight and training makes them the repository of correct taste. It is to them that the $v o \mu o \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta$ must go when he wants to find what style of xopéia is to be enjoined by law for the two other choruses; and it is they who must supervise the poets and musicians." In other words, they are not primarily a performing chorus, like the other
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 when we learnt to sing in chorus."
e2. ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu$ ä $\sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota ~ к а \tau \varphi \kappa \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu$ : the use of the adj. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{o}$ os shows what these words imply.-oîov . . . $\kappa \in \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : if $\phi o \rho \beta a ́ \delta a s$ is sound-it looks very much like a marginal synonym for $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \gamma^{\prime} \lambda_{\lambda} \eta \quad \nu \epsilon \mu \circ \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} o v s$ - it must be the main predicate to $\kappa_{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \eta \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, "you keep your young men in flocks, like so many colts at grass in one big herd."- ${ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ in Crete, and $\beta$ ová in Sparta, were technical terms for the bands or classes in which the youths were trained.
e 5. ápocaivovta: as at Rep. 493 b , Plato uses this verb in its original sense of "to be ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma \rho \iota o s$, wild," the opposite of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon i o s$ in derivation, as in sense.- $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ : gnomic aor. used side by side with pres.-the education being a lengthy process.-iттокópov ... $\psi \dot{\eta} \chi \omega \nu$ : the metaphorical language of this passage, which is even playfully extravagant, indirectly prepares his hearers for his main metaphor as to the $\dot{\phi} \delta \dot{\eta}$ and $\mu \bar{v} \sigma a$.
e 6. $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta \iota \delta o v ̀ s \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \iota \delta o \tau \rho o \phi i ́ a, "$ paying all due attention to his rearing"; the absence of the art. with $\pi \rho o \sigma-$ $\dot{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau a$ gives additional emphasis to $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha$-"in all points"; I take

 cp. Prot. 318 e (quoted in the note on the next line) ö $\mathrm{on}^{2}$. . . äv є $\ddagger$ " $\eta$.

667 a 1. Ast has collected many instances where $\delta \epsilon$, instead of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \dot{\prime}$, follows ov̀ (or $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ) $\mu$ óvov, e.g. 747 e 1, 965 b 9.- $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ the political, ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta$ the civic or rather civil communities. There might be several $\ddot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta$ in a $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$.- $\delta$ cock $\epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ : not so much as "be a governor of" (Jowett); the word would apply to the part taken in the state by any member of a self-governing community. Cp .




 $\sigma \phi \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta \eta^{\sigma} \omega \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \pi \alpha \omega \delta \epsilon i ́ a s$.
a $2 . \stackrel{o}{o} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}$ : i.e. the typical unregenerate member of the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime} \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$ described above; "that's just the sort of yokel that . . ."一к $\alpha$ " $\left.\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \grave{\alpha} s \tau \omega \nu \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu\right.$ at 664 e , here used manifestly of the beginning of the whole treatise (see below on 671 a 4 ff ). $-\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 than Tyrtaeus's warriors, for he everywhere and always accounts
bravery not as the first, but as the fourth of virtue's possessions, whether for state or for individual." Cf. above 630 a 7 ff ., where $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota s$ is contrasted with foreign warfare.
a 4. Burnet has made the connexion of the different parts of the sentence clearer by putting a comma after the words $\dot{\alpha} \in \grave{\iota} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi o \hat{v}$, which go closely with $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$.-The datives $i \delta \iota \omega \dot{\omega} \alpha \iota s$ and $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \pi_{o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota}$ go with $\tau \epsilon \in \tau \alpha \rho \tau o \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$; the value of this particular one of virtue's possessions is low, both for the state and for the individual. Cp. $661 \mathrm{~b} 5 \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$
 Cf. also Phil. 66 a $\omega$ s $\grave{\eta} \delta o v \grave{\eta} ~ \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ov̉к ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ ov̉ $\delta^{\prime} \alpha \hat{v}$ $\delta \epsilon \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$. Ast is wrong in putting in $\dot{\omega}$, by way of explanation, before $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau o \nu$; the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha 0$ òs $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta$ s does not so regard courage.
 other "-are frequently used with the sort of implication that the last speaker is "a little too clever." Cp. Gorgias 513 c, Phil. 19 a, Phaedr. 265 b . $-\pi \alpha \lambda_{\iota \nu} \alpha \hat{v}:$ the reference is to 630 d 2.
a 9. $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho \rho$ : Heindorf on Parm. 150 b has collected many instances of this elliptical use ; cp. e.g. 900 e, Ar. Nub. 226.$\pi о \rho \epsilon v \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, $\epsilon i \beta o v^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, "please let us go." Ast cps. Rep. 394 d

a $10 \mathrm{ff} . \epsilon \bar{i} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \propto \mu \epsilon \nu \quad \mu o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.: this is the first unequivocal declaration that the mature citizens of from thirty to sixty are not to form a रo oós in the literal sense. We have now to find out what is the $\mu \mathrm{o} \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$-what is the accomplishment or spiritual contribution, proper to the Dionysiac "choir." The keynote of the paragraph is given us in the words $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \omega$ and $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \eta$.
b 2. ai $\sigma \chi \chi^{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota,\left\langle\eta \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon\right.$ : the feeling of shame which, for these men, bars the way to public musical performances like those of the other choirs, has been fully described, but not their desire for the highest kind of activity. This desire is perhaps implied when they are called $\theta \epsilon i=\imath \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \mathrm{s}(666 \mathrm{~d} 6)$, and it is consistent with their being кvрьஸ́татоь $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \mu \omega \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$
 c 4) ; so that $\phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ is here simply "we assert," not "we have asserted."
b 5-c 3. "Is it not necessarily the case with all things that have any attendant charm, either, in the first place, that the very fact that it is charming is by itself the important point about the thing, or that what matters most is its correctness, or further,
the advantage of it? What I mean is this: take food and drink -any kind of nourishment; a charm attends it which we should call pleasure. But as for what we should call correctness and ad vantage, just that out of any ( $\epsilon \in \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ) of our victuals which we call wholesome is in itself what is most correct" (i.e. in the case of food advantage and correctness coincide).-CT. Gorg. 474.d, and 506 cd .-The main difficulty in the passage lies in the $\eta \ddot{0} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$
 to be the subject to fival tò ó of $\theta_{o}^{\prime} \tau a \tau o v$, we get, as he says, a misere turbata sententia. But it is clear that the subject of cival
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$., to which the antecedent would, if the sentence went on regularly, be, like $\chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota v$, in the acc., is left suspended, and the sentence suddenly takes another path. Cf. Phaedr. 233 b єv่rv-
 $\tau v \gamma \chi \alpha$ vecv. A nominative similarly suspended occurs at Rep.

 $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a$. (Bdh. reads $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ for $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ and says that for ó $\rho \theta_{o}^{\prime} \tau \alpha \tau o v$ we want something like $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$; Schanz agrees so far as to obelize ${ }^{\rho} \rho \theta^{\prime}$ ótatov.)
b 6. The $\mu \hat{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{2} v$ is important, and is repeated at d 9.
b 8. For the second каí cp. 665 с 3.
c 5. The two examples, drawn (1) from practical physical life, and (2) from the life of the intellect, are only preliminary to the consideration of the importance of clear notions about the distinct spheres of pleasure, correctness, and moral effect in the domain of (3) art. Above ( $657 \mathrm{e}-658 \mathrm{e}$ ) we have been told that the common idea that pleasure is the criterion in art is only true of the pleasure felt by certain trained and experienced judges. Again, at 663 c , the question was raised as to the value of different judgements. The present passage-667b5-671a4is a development of the author's views on the subject. It falls into two parts:
(1) $667 \mathrm{~b} 5-669 \mathrm{~b} 4$ deals with the requisites of a competent judge. We here are told that what the true judge learns from experience and from training is, that there are further considerations besides pleasure which must be taken into account; and indeed that it is doubtful whether a case would ever present itself in which pleasure could be severed from these. If these requirements are not satisfied, the right-minded judge will feel no pleasure ; and thus we are able, after all, to accépt the doctrine
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 $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \nu$, "and of course, on the other hand, does no harm."
e 2. $\tau o i ̂$ ä $\ddot{\lambda} \lambda$ doos refers to the same things as $\tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ at e 4, i.e. $\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \dot{\prime} \alpha, \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a$ or $\dot{o} \mu o o o ́ \tau \eta s$. (Stallbaum takes $\tau 0 \hat{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ to refer to $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$, and to stand for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.)
e 3. $\grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \grave{\eta}$. . . $\epsilon \pi \pi \alpha к о \lambda o v \theta \hat{\eta}$ : cp. Philebus 52 eff . where Socrates explains that it is not the extent, or even the intensity, of a quality which shows it in its truest and best light, but its pureness; there must be no admixture of anything else with it.
e 5 f . Cleinias's remark is merely an echo of the Athenian's $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} a \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \eta \nu$, "You would exclude, of course, any pleasure that had an admixture of pain." The remark serves to introduce the following statement of the Athenian, that in the case just imagined we should have $\pi a \iota \delta \iota a ́$ pure and simple-not $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a$. At 668 bl he expresses a doubt whether this pure and simple $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ is ever to be found.
e 10 ff . $\hat{\alpha} \rho$ ' ồv . . . $\delta \tau \tau o \hat{v} \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$; "may we not, in consequence of all this, assert, that a representation or imitation ought on nq account to be estimated by the pleasure of it, or by somebody's empty opinion? This applies to any instance of equality ; the equal is not equal, nor the symmetrical symmetrical, in any case, because somebody thinks it so, or because a thing takes somebody's fancy.-No, it must be estimated by no other thing in the world than by exactness of correspondence." I have followed Burnet in adopting Stallbaum's punctuation of this paragraph, i.e. in marking кaì $\delta \grave{\eta}$. . ö ö $\lambda \omega$ s as a parenthesis.

668 a 1. Almost every editor has his own way of emending the MS. $\ddot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \iota s(\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \tau \varphi)$. I follow St. and Ast in reading $\ddot{\eta} \epsilon \ddot{\imath} \pi \iota \rho(\chi \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \tau(\varphi)$. There is, as St. says, a "vestige" of this reading in the marginal note reported from Cod. Voss., $\eta_{i \prime \prime}^{\prime \prime}$ Tis $\tau o ́ \gamma \epsilon$ i'vov ívov. I conjecture that the course of the corruption was, that some scribe put in-perhaps inadvertently- $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$ after $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$-that then $\ddot{\eta} \epsilon \ddot{l}$ was inadvertently dropped out. (It is just possible that the original reading was $\ddot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}, \hat{\eta}$ eitcs.)
a 9. $\eta^{\prime \prime} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho \alpha \alpha \kappa \lambda$.: Plato has not taken the trouble to reconcile this statement with that at 658 e 6 . Verbally one contradicts the other. Really the second statement sets aside the first by going a step further back in the explanation. At 658 e he allows that the $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \dot{\eta}$ of the perfect judge is a criterion; here he says that, because that $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \eta^{\prime}$ turns out to be dependent on something else, that other thing is the real criterion,-We, may
translate，＂then，if a man says that the value of $\mu$ ovaıк $\bar{\eta}$ depends on the pleasure it gives，this account will not do．You must by no means make a merely pleasure－giving $\mu$ ovaıк $\eta \nu$－if such there be －your serious object ；you must aim at that kind which is a life－ like representation of what is right and good＂－lit．＂which preserves its life－likeness to the representation of the right and good．＂The last few words are very difficult．I take $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \iota \mu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ as a genitival dative；it would have been in the gen．but for the fact that $\tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{v}$ depends on $i t$ ．［F．H．D．appeals to

 $\mu \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota, "$ and he holds that $\tau \hat{\omega}$ то̂̀ ка入ồ $\mu \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ means much the same as $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota o ́ \lambda o \gamma o v \mu^{\prime} \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ ，i．e．that no $\mu i \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ is＂worth considering，＂in Plato＇s opinion，which is not a representation of тò ка入óv．My note on the latter passage will show that I think that in neither passage is Plato really talking of the likeness of one representation to another representation，but of the likeness to a thing represented，i．e．of the correctness of the representation．Both ${ }_{o} \rho \theta$ ó $\tau \eta$ s（b 6）and $\tau$ ò ка入óv are to be considered．Also the $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ before $\dot{o} \mu$ ．seems to me to be in favour of my view．］Ritter boldly says that $\mu i \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ both here and at 669 e 4 means the thing imitated．But that would only help us here if we had $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \mu$ ． instead of $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau 0 \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \mu$ ．He allows us the alternative of taking $\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau . \kappa$ ．$\mu$ ．as a＂dativus caussae，＂＂which gets its likeness
 669 e 4 to give to $\mu^{\prime} \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ the meaning pattern which it seems to bear at Politicus 274 a 2 ？）
b 4．rov́toıs：the members of the Dionysiac Choir．
b 6．$\gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ，＂you will remember．＂－$\hat{\eta} \nu$ ，${ }^{\omega} s \phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，＂was，according to us．＂The $\hat{\eta} \nu$ sufficiently shows the reference to be to what was said a little time back，so that $\phi \alpha \mu \in \nu$ is a historic present．O reads ${ }^{\prime \prime} \phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，unnecessarily．The reference is to 667 d 5 f ．
b 7．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ seems here to be used in the sense of＂to repro－ duce＂or．＂represent＂；cp．below 817 b 8.
b 10．For $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad c$ ．acc．in place of a simple gen．cp．below on 685 c 2.
 put the previous statement in an interrogative form．The $\pi \hat{\alpha}$ s of the $\pi \hat{\alpha} s$ àv ó $\mu o \lambda o \gamma o \hat{\imath}$ means＂anyone who considers the question，＂ whereas the subject of $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ovं $\kappa \dot{o} \mu o \lambda o \gamma o \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ is＂all who are concerned in the production of the $\pi o \iota \eta \mu a \tau \alpha "$ ；for in a sense the audience is helping to create the illusion．Cp．Arist．Poetics 1447 a 13.
(Badham says the каí before $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \boldsymbol{i}$ is quite out of place, and must be a mistake for $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon_{\text {. }}$ )
c4-8. The difficulty of this passage, and the difficulty of reconciling it with what follows is due, I think, mainly to the want of a perfect analogy between the natures of the two arts of $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ and painting. Here we are dealing with the productions
 "esoteric" terms of $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa \eta$, but in the general sense of nature: this is made clear by the following $\tau i \pi \pi \tau \epsilon \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ and ó $\tau о v \pi о \tau^{\prime}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i \nu$ єiк $\grave{\nu} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \nu \tau \omega$ (cp. also 669 e 3 f.). They refer to the representation, not to the thing represented; i.e. the words mean not "what is the essence of the thing which the poet intends to represent?" but "what is the representation really intended to be a representation of?" On the other hand the paragraph d 7-e 5
 means the nature of the thing that is copied by the painter-not its "absolute essence" in a dialectic sense, for art represents the outward characteristics ( $\phi \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu \alpha$, cp. Rep. 596 e) of the individual, not the character of the type. The sphere of ${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \theta^{\prime} \tau \eta s$, both in $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \dot{\eta}$ and $\gamma \rho a \phi \iota \kappa \eta$, is the artist's technique. The ordinary spectator has experience enough of the world of feeling, and of the external world to enable him to feel the sensation the artist designs to produce, but he does not know how it is done, and could not correct the mistakes of an unskilful performer. Again, a man may have enough technical knowledge to criticize the artist (or even to produce the work of art), without being able to say whether the moral effect of the $\pi$ oí $\eta \mu a$ was good or bad. Thus we get the three classes, of (1) $\delta \pi o \lambda i ̀ s{ }^{\circ} \chi \chi \lambda o s,(2)$ the capable art-critic (and the $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \eta$ 's?), and (3) the capable vo $\mu 0 \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta s$, whose respective achievements are here described.-We may translate: "Then it seems that if a man wants to make no mistake about any particular production, he must know what it is. For if he does not know its nature-does not know, that is, what it means to represent, and of what it really is the image, he will hardly discern whether the intention is correctly carried out or not."Badham may be right in reading $\mu \iota \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ for $\beta o u \lambda \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \omega \mathrm{~s}$ at c 8. It is difficult to see how $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\rho \theta$ ó $\tau \eta \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} s \beta o v \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ can mean the correct carrying out, or right realization, of the intention (cp. 682 a 9 ), and yet that is the meaning we must have here: On the other hand avंтov, which stands for $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ moın $\mu a \tau o s-t h e$ constr. being $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad$ ó $\theta$. $\ddot{\eta}$ каі $\dot{\alpha} \mu$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \beta$ оv $\lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$-goes better with it than it would with $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \tau \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$.-Badham meets this
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the body. -The reason why $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu o v^{\prime}$ is ${ }^{\prime}$ plural is that the human body has sets of members, the sets being of different " numbers."
e 1. The subject of ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \subset \iota$ is easily assumed, from the previous
 i.e. the picture.
e 2. With $\chi \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \alpha$ and $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ we can easily supply $\pi \rho o \sigma$ -

e 8. $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ éavтô : i.e. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \eta_{\kappa о \nu \tau \alpha . ~}^{\text {. }}$
 more ado ( $\eta$ " $\delta \eta$ ), that the man who has been equal to this judgement must be able easily to decide this further point-whether the work of art is beautiful, or, if not, where it may be thought to be deficient in 'beauty'?" Cl. "Why, in that case, I should say that pretty well all of us" (i.e. all the world) "would (equally) be judges of the beauty" (we should say the points) "of animals." (So Ast.)

There are difficulties about this interpretation, but I think it follows the line of least resistance. For $\tau \hat{\varphi} \gamma \nu \delta \nu \tau \tau \iota$ we should have

 the Cretan so ready to admit the difficulty of deciding whether an animal was beautiful or not. Perhaps he speaks as a farmer, thinking of the points of stock. (Jowett translates, "Must we not also know whether the work is beautiful, or in any respect deficient in beauty?" Cl. "If this were not required, stranger, we should all of us be judges of beauty." Ritter takes Cleinias's remark to mean that the decision about beauty $i$ s one for which any man is competent.) It follows that, if Cleinias is right, " all the world " would be in the position of $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \gamma \nu o ́ v \tau c$, i.e. would be competent to pronounce upon the ${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta_{0}{ }^{\prime} \tau \eta$ s of the picture of an animal. The analogy, however, from painting (or sculpture) does not serve to explain the processes of the appreciation of $\mu$ ovatк $\eta$-which, we are soon to be told, are difficult to follow-it only makes clear what are the three stages of acquirement to which attention is to be drawn.
a 8. Badham, for $\kappa$ aì $\pi a ́ v \tau \eta$, would read $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha-u n n e c e s s a r i l y ;$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta$ generalizes the statement.
a 9. Boeckh proposed to read $\partial,{ }^{\circ}, \tau \iota$ for $o \partial \tau$ : either is possible;

 E. S. Thompson's note.
b 1, $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \bar{\xi}:$ not, as Jowett, "that it has been well executed";
the $\epsilon \hat{\jmath}$, as at 668 d 2 , refers to the higher aesthetic or moral judgement on the performance.
 the paragraph hopelessly illogical. Even if Badham's objection to $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \eta$ be upheld, the $\grave{\eta} \tau \omega \sigma o \hat{v} v$ emphasizes the fact that the question considered is a general one, applying equally to different kinds of artistic production. With this it is impossible to fit in words specifically describing a production of one kind only. It is not till the next paragraph that we return to the special consideration of the branch $\mu$ ovaıк $\eta$. I have therefore ventured to bracket these words.

 must not fail to point out how it is that $\mu$ ovo兀ィк $\eta$ is such a difficult subject."
b 6. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{v} \mu \nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota . . . \epsilon i \kappa o ́ v \omega v$, "the fact is that, while it is more discussed than other sorts of images, it needs quite the most careful treatment of any." $\epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ introduces rather attendant circumstances here, than cause. The two reasons why the subject is difficult are given afterwards ( $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$.). For $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \bar{\eta}$, "at the same time that" or "although," cp. Rep. 348 c '̇ $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ каí, Phaedo 87 a 8, Apol. 27 c 10 ; for $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota^{\prime}$ "although " (Ast on 686 b 2 says " $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$, , quanquam, alioqui") cf. Symp. 187 a, Prot. 353 a, Apol. 19 e ("and yet") and below 794 d 7, 875 c 3.—Stallbaum thinks the $\tau \grave{o}$ which the Aldine ed. put in before $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} a \dot{v} \tau \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ indispensable: I think we do better without it. $\dot{v} \mu \nu \epsilon i \tau a \iota$ is
 ... $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \chi \chi \omega)$.-For the omission of the $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́$ before $\tau \alpha ̀ s$ $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\circ}$ Ast cps. 685 b and Soph. 227 b .
b 8. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\omega} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \rho$. . . Mov $\alpha \hat{\omega} \nu$, "not only is a mistake most injurious" (cp. above 656 b 4 ) "by which you are led to entertain bad dispositions, but it is very hard to discover, because our poets are not exactly as gifted as the Muses themselves."Stallb. reminds us of the celebrated passage in the Republic (401 d) on the far-reaching effects of good and bad Music: кvpı$\omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon}$


 $\mu \eta$ rovivaviiov $\kappa \tau \lambda$. -The ironic litotes of the indictment of the poets and musicians of Plato's day strikes the key-note of the bitter invective which follows.
c4. The MSS. have $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \gamma^{\gamma} \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} v: ~ I ~ h a v e ~ a d o p t e d ~ t h e ~$

Aldine correction of $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a$ to $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$. It is not likely that; after protesting against the "slang" term $\epsilon$ v̌ $\chi \rho \omega \nu \mu^{\prime} \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ os at $655^{\text {a }} 7$ 7, Plato should here use $\chi \rho \bar{\omega} \mu \alpha$ in the sense of "complexion" or "style" of music; besides, the corresponding instances which follow show that we want the mention of $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ here. (It is hard to see how, from the fact that, at 668 e , we have $\chi \rho \rho^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ in the sense of the colours and outlines of a picture, Stallbaum concludes that we ought to read $\chi \rho \omega \mu \alpha$ каì $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ here.)-For the general sense of these terms of $\mu 0 v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$ cp. above on 653 e 5 and 654 e 4 . Here (as at 654 e 4 ) $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ doubtless denotes bodily posture or gesture-possibly the grouping of a chorus.
c 7. The $\dot{v} \pi \sigma_{-}$in $\dot{i} \pi o \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{i} \sigma a \iota$ has doubtless the meaning as an accompaniment; the preposition is used in this sense, apparently with all three cases.
 "musical fireworks," or "programme music." One is reminded of Dr. Johnson's "I would it were impossible" of the difficult piece of music.
 one thing."

 $\pi а \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \xi \omega$. I do not think it means "call forth laughter from the men," but "furnish an object of mockery for all the men
 $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ тoîs $\lambda o ́ y o u s \dot{u}^{\pi} \tau^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \in \nu$ Theaet. 166 a. I.e. I think we ought to
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \not \omega \pi \nu$ as a partitive gen. dependent on ö $\sigma o v s$. Lobeck's comment on these words (Aglaoph. ii. p. 948) is, "Orphei sententia
 $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \psi \iota o s \check{\omega}_{\rho} \rho \eta$, i.e. 'quicunque ad pubertatis annos et ad eam aetatem adoleverunt, quae Veneri matura habetur.' Hinc Plato transfert ad judicii maturitatem, illudque musicae genus, de quo loquitur, omnibus, qui in his rebus aliquem sensum habeant veraeque voluptatis capaces sint, taedio fore dicit." We may translate "(are greatly given to such jumbles and confusions) as would furnish matter for the scorn of all whose ' power of delight,' as Orpheus says, 'is in its happy prime.' "-(H. Richards would read öroıs for örous.) As Hamlet told the players, "this overdone . . . though it make the unskilful laugh, cannot but make the judicious grieve."
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that $\mu^{\prime} \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ can be used in the sense of $\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau o ́ v$; Stallibaum thinks that Plato allowed himself to say $\mu \iota \mu \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ when he meant $\mu \iota \mu \eta \tau \alpha$. The most satisfactory account of the passage seems to me a variety of Stallbaum's view, i.e. that Plato allowed himself to
 јoıs $\mu \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \mu \iota \mu \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ (" to which individual among those to be found in worthy representations"). We get a hint'of what is in his mind from his specification of the contemptible-i.e. not $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota o{ }^{2} \lambda_{o \gamma} \alpha$-attempts to represent e.g. the cries of animals. As against Ast and Ritter, it is the attempt to represent, rather than the thing to be represented, that is characterized here; besides, Ritter by no means establishes for $\mu^{\prime} \mu \eta \mu \alpha$ the sense he desiderates. (For another alternative see above on 668 b $2 \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{v} \mu \iota \mu$.) At $796 \mathrm{~b} \pi \rho о \sigma \boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$ is used much in the sense of $\dot{\alpha} \xi$ 'ó $\lambda o \gamma a$ here


 $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ́ v$, " is the height of barbarism."
 help suspecting that $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha \phi_{i}^{\prime} \lambda o v$ was originally a commentator's explanation of some out-of-the-way word, such as ó $\rho \epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \kappa o ́ v$, which governed the genitives- $\phi_{i} \lambda_{o v}$ being used in the poetic and late use of fond of. Ast boldly gives dídov that sense in the text. If the $^{\text {a }}$ text is sound, and if we reject Ast's interpretation, the most likely interpretation of $\tau \alpha^{\prime} \chi o v s$ and the other genitives is that they depend on $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{o} \pi o ́ \sigma o v$, being of the nature of the gen. in $\tau$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau^{\prime} \chi \chi \nu \eta s ;$ "everything in the way of speed, etc." Less likely is it that the genitives go closely with \$iरov to denote the souroe of the liking, cp. $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \alpha \sigma \tau \omega \nu \eta s$ at 648 e , and the gen. with ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \alpha \mu a \iota$, $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \omega, \zeta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega}$; or that it is a gen. of definition, as in $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \iota o v$ $\tau v \rho \alpha ́ v \nu o v ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ (Rep. 567 e). We may translate, "all that sort of display (is the height of barbarism) which consists in speed, perfect execution, and the power to reproduce the cries of animals, which is (so much) the rage that . . ."
e 7. A further looseness of structure in the sentence is that $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \dot{\tau} \epsilon$ goes on as if $o v ँ \tau \omega$ s had preceded it, and a subject has to be provided for $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$, i.e. the people whose bad taste has just been described.

670 a 1. $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ö $\sigma o \nu$ í $\pi o ́$, "except where it is accompanied by," i.e. "without being accompanied by." $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \sigma o \nu$ as a sort of compound preposition occurs again at 856 d 3, where it governs a
gen. - $\psi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \varphi$ : this dat. is doubtless governed by $\chi \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$, and the gen. $\chi \rho \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ means literally " ( $\left.\dot{\alpha} \mu o v \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \alpha\right)$ is involved in the employment (of)." The construction is made to seem more natural by the fact that $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ with a dative has come just before. (Cp. $631 \mathrm{~d}, 640 \mathrm{~b}$, and 657 c .) -The $\delta^{\prime}$ after $\psi\left(\lambda \hat{\omega}\right.$-we expect $\gamma$ á $^{\rho}-$-and the abrupt change in construction which it involves, are strange. We may translate, "whereas the employment of either (flute or harp) by itself involves a mere tasteless catch-penny virtuosity."A comparison of this passage with Rep. 531 a, Laws 655 a 7 and 812 de . would seem to show that the thought of a certain school of musicians was enough to make Plato go near to lose his temper.
 of that."-What follows is as good as saying, "perhaps we have spent too much time on the wrong music"; $\gamma \epsilon$ is "after all."-By the mention of the quinquagenarians separately from the younger men of the mature class, Plato seems to hint that the Dionysiac Choir is not homogeneous: the older men may have different duties and different needs from those of the younger.
a 6-b 2. $\tau \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon . . . \pi \rho o \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta$, "well, from what has gone before we may logically deduce this much : that all the quinquagenarians who are expected to sing must have had a training superior to that of the members of an ordinary chorus."-As at $829 \mathrm{~d} 7 \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \nu \alpha$
 as it does in all texts but Ast's--to begin with a capital letter.The literal meaning is, "to have been taught something better than the choric music."
 are to understand from these words that only a select band from among men between fifty and sixty are actually to sing, or whether by $\ddot{\psi} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu$ we are to understand generally "to take their part in the Music of the State." What follows seems to point to the latter explanation, though the previous reference to the effect of wine on the old ( 666 b ) favours the former.
b 4. $\hat{\psi} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$. . o o $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$ : these words seem to be a loosely expressed explanation of what is meant by $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$ ac $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ${ }_{\text {o}} \boldsymbol{\rho} \theta$ ót $\eta \tau a \quad \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu$, and look suspiciously like a commentator's work. I cannot accept Stallbaum's explanation of $\hat{\psi} \pi \rho . \ddot{\eta} \mu \hat{\eta} \pi \rho$. $\tau o \hat{v} \delta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau i$, "qui curaverit vel etiam non curaverit harmoniam Doricam, h.e. qui harum rerum fere incuriosus et ignarus fuerit." The writer meant, "(and be able to say) what tune the Doric scale suited or did not suit." That settles the question of correctness of
ípuovía. The following words, which deal with the question of $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o ́ s$, are still more loosely expressed. $\tau o \hat{v} \hat{\rho} v \theta \mu o \hat{v}$, like $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\delta \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau i$, goes with $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu-\hat{\omega}$ т $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ being supplied in thought ; and $\dot{\rho} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \hat{\eta} \eta \mu^{\prime}$ is "short" for $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \pi \rho o \sigma \hat{\eta} \psi \in \cdot$ $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$. (Heindorf, commenting on the omission of $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ before $\tau \alpha \dot{u} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \ddot{\eta}$ €̈ $\tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$-at Gorg. 488 d , says: "in Platone exempla ubivis sunt obvia."-Ritter's "whether rightly or not" wants єïce o $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ єïтє $\mu \dot{\eta}$.) I have bracketed these words mainly because of their slovenly style, which is matched by the logic shown in the specification of a single "mode" in a general statement (see also on c 2 below). A comparison of el and 812 clff . suggests that under the term ó $\rho \theta$ ó $\tau \eta$ s here Plato includes not only formal, musical correctness, but also the $\epsilon \hat{\mathcal{v}}$ of 669 b 1, i.e. the moral effect of the music as well.
b 10. Badham's correction of the MS. $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ to $\alpha \mathfrak{v} \lambda \hat{\omega}$ seems to me a certain one ; $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is quite out of place. $\delta \pi o \lambda i ̀ s o_{o}^{\prime \prime} \chi \lambda o s . .$.
 its antecedent refer to the same people, whereas öooc av่ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ would modify ó $\pi 0 \lambda$ v̀s ö $\chi$ خos by the addition of "such of them, that is, who." On the other hand $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha, \delta \epsilon L \nu$ aủd $\hat{\varphi}$ accords admirably with
 Heindorf quotes this passage, along with Soph. Ajax 588 and Phil. 773, as illustrating Sophist 217 с $\mu \bar{\eta} \tau o i v v v . . . \dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho v \eta \theta \epsilon i$ is $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ (Lobeck on $A j .588$ quotes Pollux 104 ídıov $\tau \grave{~} \Pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu o s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \rho \imath \eta \theta \epsilon i s \gamma^{\prime}(\eta \eta)$ ).
 they are doing this without knowing a single thing about it."
 every musical composition is correct if it has the right elements, and faulty if it has the wrong ones." These words gather up the ideas of the Athenian's long speech ( $669 \mathrm{~b} 5-670 \mathrm{~b} 6$ ), by way of specification of what is meant by $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\rho \theta$ Ó $\tau \eta \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \lambda \omega \nu(\mathrm{b} 4)$. (They would have been quite superfluous if $\hat{\psi} \cdot \pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu \ldots \delta \rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ $\ddot{\eta} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ had been part of the original argument.) Ritter (p. 77) is
 and the $\epsilon \dot{v}$ - in $\epsilon \dot{v} \alpha^{\prime} \rho \mu o \sigma \tau o v$ and $\epsilon \hat{v} \rho v \theta \mu o v$ are used not of technical but of moral correctness, and that the paragraph introduces the third of the considerations defined in 669 a $7-\mathrm{b} 2$.
c5. $\tau i$ ô̂v $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: these words continue the idea of the ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Xov in c 2 , "what, further, about the man who does not even know what the piece contains?" i.e. who does not know one ápuovía from another, or does not know the difference between $x$ noble and
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and may sing them as they should be sung." ка $\theta$ o $\rho \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, like $\kappa a \tau \iota \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$ at 632 c 4 , and 652 a 2 , is used of a survey which results in knowledge.

 refer only to the choosers themselves (not "for men of any particular age and kind"), but it is: thereby implied that the choosers will be able to choose for others as well as for themselves.
d 6. oü $\tau \omega$ s: i.e. $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi o ́ v \tau \omega \varsigma$.
d 7. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \nu v \epsilon i \bar{s}$ : this word, followed as it is by $\dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu o \hat{v}$, refers to the danger against which we are cautioned at 656 a 7 and 669 b 8 , that bad music may produce bad morals.
e 1. $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \mu_{\nu}{ }^{\prime} s \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \omega \nu \tau a \iota$ : it is not clear from this passage whether the influence of the older men on the taste of the younger is that of example, or is by way of precept. A comparison of 666 c inclines us to the latter view ; the $\tau$ ò $\pi a \rho a \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ too seems to suggest that the actual singing has more effect on the singers themselves, and that the effect on the young is subsequent, i.e. that the older men's theoretical and practical skill enables them to give good teaching to others.- $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{s}$ occurs again at 919 e , where it is used as the opposite of $\mu \hat{\sigma} \sigma o s$.
 is used like the French porter, and our "to bear upon" (a subject), for "to be concerned with," "to apply to"; cp. Rep. 538 c $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$
 transitive use of $\phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota^{\prime}$ in this sense is common in Plato; e.g.



e 4. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ रov̀s $\pi o \iota \eta \tau a ̀ s$ av̀roús: equivalent to $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi o \iota \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$; it is not necessary to supply $\phi \epsilon \rho \circ$ v́r $\eta$ S-or even oưَ $\eta$ s.
(As first written in A, $\tau \grave{o} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os had no preposition before it: $\epsilon \pi \pi \grave{\imath}$ was afterwards put in above the line. According to Schanz and Burnet, Badham substituted $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ for this $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i$, and Schanz does so in his text. Badham's note (Conv. Epist. p. 10) is ambiguous; I think he means to substitute $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$ for the $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ in e 4.)

These remarks of the Ath. are significant of Plato's views on poetry, and the poetic inspiration. At Rep. 401 b ff. he says super-

 should produce only what is right and good ( $\tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \tau o ̂ o ̂ ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o \hat{v}$ єiкóva
 $\mu$ оvaıкoi of his time would seem to have hardened since writing the passage in the Republic, for there he contemplated the possibility that there should be $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o i$ (and, by implication, $\pi о \iota \eta \tau a i$
 $\phi$ vorv: here he talks as if the mointaí at all events are not likely to have that power.
e 7. кaì $\delta \in v \tau \tau^{\prime} \rho o v$, "as well as of the thing mentioned in the second place"; i.e. as well as the power to choose the right $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu$ ós and à $\rho \mu o v i ́ a$.

67 I a 1 ff . We may perhaps translate, "or with all his chanting he will never enchant the young to love virtue." It is not necessary to suppose that he has the word xopóv in mind when he writes
 on Gorg. 478 c , "satis frequens (est) huiusmodi a plurali ad singularem transitus."-каì ö $\pi \epsilon \rho \ldots \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \sigma \nu \epsilon \nu$, "well, when it began, the argument aimed at showing that our advocacy of the Dionysiac Choir was not mistaken, and it has done its best. We must now inquire whether it has succeeded."-As at 664 e 3 ( $\kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ à $\rho \chi \grave{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu), \hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i \hat{s}$ here means at the beginning of the account of the Chorus of Dionysus. At a 7, however (öлє ${ }^{\circ} \dot{\boldsymbol{v} \pi \epsilon \theta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta a \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$
 $\mu^{\prime} \in \eta$ discussion ( 640 c 1 ). -It is better, with Stallbaum, to take
 to $\beta o \eta \theta_{\epsilon}{ }^{2} \nu$ (so Ast and Jowett: "bring eloquent aid"). What follows is in no sense a vindication of the eloquence of the $\lambda$ óros. It is a justification of the support it gave to the Dionysiac Choir. -The dat. $\chi o \rho \hat{\varphi}$, governed by $\beta_{o j} \theta \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$, is of the same kind as those noticed on 670 a 2. (See Appendix to Bk. II.)
 of at 666 b 2 (of those over thirty), but any symposium, whatever the age of its members might be.
 $\theta \epsilon \rho \mu o ́ v$. . . In this phrase $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda_{o v}$ seems (ungrammatically) to have taken the place of $\pi \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{o}_{0 \nu}$ (cp. Gorg. 453 a ), which is both adv. and adj. In A there is an erasure mark of three letters after $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$; perhaps the scribe wrote ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \iota$ by mistake, and crossed it out. Eusebius has $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \iota$; he also has $\dot{\alpha} \in \grave{\iota}$ for the nonsensical vulgate $\epsilon i$ afer $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v$. A has $\epsilon i$ with an erasure mark and a "star" before it.
b 1. All recent editors, except Stallb. and the Zürich edd., follow Eusebius here in reading $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \gamma^{\prime} u^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ instead of the MS.
$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$.—Badham says $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ is not Greek here ; that it ought to be $\epsilon \pi i ́$.
b 3. Cp. 645 d 6 ff.
b 4. Cp. 649 a 4 , and for $\pi \alpha \rho \rho \eta \sigma i ́ a ~ 649 \mathrm{~b} 3$.
b 5. The question of the claim to be " $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ did not come up before, but it is pertinent to the present subject.
b 8. ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \quad \phi \mu \epsilon \nu$ : at 666 b 7 ff .
b10. $\mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s:$ Eusebius has $\mu а \lambda \dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s$ here, as the MSS. had at 666 b . It is natural that the expression should slightly vary in the repetition.
c 1. Heindorf, on Gorg. 479 c (â $\rho$ ’ oûv $\sigma v \mu \beta u i v \epsilon \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o v ~$ какд̀ $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa$ кía;) quotes this passage as an instance where $\epsilon^{i} \boldsymbol{i v a}$ "subaudiri potest" with $\sigma v \mu \beta a i v \in \epsilon \nu$, this $\epsilon i v a \iota$ being expressed at Parm. 134 bl ; the participle is also admissible with $\sigma v \mu \beta a i v \epsilon \iota v$, and $o v v$ is to be "understood," as H. says, at Euthydem. 281 e.
 lawgiver was to be the $\pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta s$. Indeed the nearest approach to the mention of any $\pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \eta \mathrm{s}$ was the statement that the $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ $\hat{\eta} \theta$ os of the mature man would grow $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \lambda a \sigma \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ under the influence of wine. But the process of moulding implies the moulder. The analogy between the symposium of the young, as described at the end of Bk. I., and the Chorus of Dionysus, is to be seen in the fact that the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta$ òs vopo $\theta_{\epsilon} \tau \eta s$, through the agency of the sexagenarians, is to stand to the third chorus in the same relation as the ruler of the feast stood to the symposium of the young.
c 3. $\omega \sigma \pi \pi \epsilon \rho \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$, coming after ${ }^{\circ} \tau^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \nu \notin a \iota$, evidently means "as in their youth." (Ast suggested 炨 $\sigma \epsilon \rho$; the old vulgate was ${ }_{\delta}^{\circ} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$.) In Bk. I. the $\nu o \mu 0 \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ s is appealed to, and referred to as arranging the education of the young-e.g. at $647 \mathrm{a}, 648 \mathrm{a}$, 649 a.-ồ vó $\mu o v s$ єivac $\delta \in i ̂ i v \mu \pi о т \iota к о$ '́s, "and from him must come laws to regulate symposia."
 тóv is predicative with $\epsilon \cup \cup \in \lambda \pi \iota \nu$ and the other adjectives; cp.

 to observe order, or be content with what is his proper share of silence, speech, drink, and song." -There is a slight zeugma in the use of $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \mu^{\prime} \mathcal{V} \in \in \mathcal{Y}$.
c 8. єíctóvtı and $\epsilon i \sigma \pi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \bar{\pi} \epsilon \iota \nu$ : apparently terms of the athletic arena; $\delta \iota a \mu a \chi^{\circ} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ (which governs the dat. $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \dot{\lambda} \lambda \hat{\varphi} \hat{\varphi} \theta$ áp $\rho \epsilon \iota$ ) is quite in harmony, "able to bring a champion to hold his own
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the result of $\tau o \iota a v i \tau \eta ~ \mu ' \hat{\epsilon} \eta$, with $\tau o \iota o \hat{\tau} \tau o \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \pi o ́ \tau a l$, but the former are really an explanation of what is meant by cooov̂тo. It is because the $\sigma v \mu \pi \sigma^{\prime} \tau a \iota$ are law-abiding and docile that the good results follow. I have therefore venturel to change $\delta \epsilon$ to $\delta \grave{\eta}$, and have put the comma after $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota$ instead of after $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$. The clause might then be translated, "the reason being that they had played their part in the meeting throughout in accordance with rules, and had obeyed whenever those who were sober issued commands to those who were not."Ast's further emendations of $\dot{o} \pi \dot{o} \tau \epsilon$ to $\grave{\circ} \pi \eta \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$, and $\dot{a} \phi \eta \gamma o \hat{\imath} \nu \tau o$ (for which L and O have áфíкocvтo) to í $\phi \eta \gamma o i v \tau o$, as " Platonic," seem to me probable, particularly the latter. Perhaps, however, $\dot{\alpha} \phi \eta \gamma o \hat{v} \mu a \iota$ was preferred here, as being the military term, to keep

 at Symp. 197 d 2 is a close parallel.
a 4. Cleinias recurs to the doubt which Megillus and he hinted at 639 c and e.-For A's $\epsilon{ }^{\prime \prime} \eta \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ have $\epsilon \pi i$ (the latter supra


a 5-b 1. $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau o i ́ v v \nu . . . \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu$, "we can now see that it was a mistake, in dealing with the gift of Dionysus, to condemn it absolutely as a bad thing, which no state would tolerate. Indeed there is more still that might be said on the subject, but I should hesitate to mention in public the very greatest boon which he confers, because most men, when it is mentioned, misjudge, and misconceive it." $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$ in a 8 , whether explained by supposing the ellipse of a preceding "but it is no good," or whether we give it the meaning "though" claimed above for $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$ at 669 b 6 , has in effect here an adversative force, and may be represented by "but." What follows is mainly an instance of the wrong-headedness of the multitude, though it leads up to a defence of the gift of Dionysus.- ${ }_{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\nu}$ corresponds to the $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v} \mathrm{~s} \rho \dot{\rho} \eta \theta^{\prime} \nu$ of 638 c 3 and the $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \dot{v}$ s of d 2 .
b 3. By calling the story a $\phi \dot{\eta} \mu \eta$ he implies that it had in men's minds the sanction' of religion.- $\dot{v} \pi o \rho \rho \rho \hat{\epsilon} \pi \omega \varsigma$, "is current in some quarters."
b 4. $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \circ \rho \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta$. . . $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \dot{\rho} \eta \tau a \iota$, "was deprived of the use of his wits. That is why he inflicts on us Bacchic possession with all its frenzy and dancing-he wants to take vengeance on somebody; and is is from a desire for vengeance that he has given us wine to produce this madness.". Then, with a "heaven help
their profanity!" he explains that this very tendency to frenzied motion which is stimulated in later life by wine is the naturally implanted human instinct out of which springs the highest of all arts, $\mu о v \sigma \iota к \eta$.-Where is the "senselessness" and the "silly exaggeration" which Bruns (Plato's Gesetze, p. 50) finds here, with Zeller's help ?-I do not even see the "Mangel an Klarheit des Ausdrucks" which Ritter feels bound to admit.-Euripides, in the prelude to the Cyclops, makes Silenus, addressing Dionysus, speak

 and the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \mu \alpha \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s(R e p .533 \mathrm{~d})$, though not of the $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ (or the vov̂s $\psi v \times \hat{\eta} s$ ); Ast cps. the Lucretian mens animi (iv. 758).

 каi $\beta$ акхєias. (L, O, and $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ have $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$; if this were to be adopted, we ought to have $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ in the next line.)
b 6. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \theta \epsilon v$ is best taken as referring to $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho o v(\mu \epsilon \nu o s$, not to the original $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \circ \rho \bar{\eta} \theta_{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \nu \omega \mu \mu \nu$; Dionysus was supposed to have compassed the maddening of men by wine out of revenge-others should be mad, as well as he.
 he is dismissing fancy in favour of fact. In both cases the fancy and the real picture have some traits in common. Here there is a distant analogy between the state of the infant whose $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$ has not yet developed, and that of the God, who has lost it ; in both cases too there is a possession which leads to gesticulations and cries.
c 4. $\pi \hat{\alpha} v \mu a i v \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, "is quite mad "; $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ is not, as Stallb. says, a
 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, "as soon as ever he gets on his legs."
c 6. $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ s-not, so far, mentioned by name, in spite of the ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \phi \alpha \mu \epsilon-$ is here used probably in the limited sense of the part of Xopeía which consists of bodily movement-at all evnts it refers mainly to the bodily training which this demands.
d 1. Cp. 654a7 and 665 a 6 .-The use of $\hat{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa^{\prime} \nu \alpha a l$, "yield," suggests the view that the instinctive motion is the soil, so to speak, in which the sense grows, which is to reduce $\dot{a} \tau \alpha \xi \dot{\xi}$ to $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi ı$.
d 2. The MS. $\theta \epsilon \omega \nu$, which with difficulty could be made to mean "from among gods," is very awkward, and I have followed good Dr. Hagenbutte (Cornarius) in substituting $\tau$ ovícuv for it. This may be taken to refer in particular to $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu$ ós and $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o v i ́ a$,
or (better) generally to the course of events, or process, just described. Ritter, reminding us that at 653 cd we were told that the gods had appointed the Muses and Apollo and Dionysus to share men's feasts, proposes to read ímò $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu-F . H . D$ would read $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$-but the sentence wants $\tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$.
d5. каì $\delta \grave{\eta}$ каi . . . i $\sigma \chi$ v́os, "so it comes to this, it seems: while the other people's story has it that wine has been given out of spite towards mankind, to make us mad, the account we have now given represents it as a specific given in quite the opposite spirit-as a means whereby our souls may win modesty, and our bodies health and vigour."-"An excellent summary of our discourse," says Cleinias.
 (654 a 9) "did we not, that $\chi о \rho \epsilon$ ía as a whole was nothing more nor less than $\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$, and further, that one half of $\chi o \rho \epsilon i ́ a$, that which concerns the voice, was a matter of $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o i ́$ and $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o v i ́ \alpha \iota ? "$
Cl. "Yes."

Ath. "And we found that $\dot{\rho} v \theta \mu o{ }^{\prime}$ s was not confined to the movement of the voice (up and down) but was shared by the movement of the body, though $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ (gesture and posture) belonged to bodily action alone; while in the other part the movement of the voice is tune."-In other words, there is a clear analogy between the two halves of the subject, inasmuch as more than one of the same terms have to be applied to both.

673 a 3 f . I have unhesitatingly followed Burnet in adopting Ritter's emendation of the MS. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ into $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a s:$ in that case $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ of course belongs to $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha a s$. Not only, as Ritter says, do we thereby get a real antithesis to the following $\mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s ~ \alpha \hat{\alpha} \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$, but $643 \mathrm{e} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \alpha i \delta \delta \omega \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha \nu$ furnishes us with a confirmatory parallel.
a 4. ov'к oîठ' ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha \tau \rho o ́ \pi o v$ is a kind of apologetic qualification of the term applied—as we might say, "for want of a better term "; lit. we used the term "in a sense."
 dancing."
 "technical education."- $\epsilon \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o \iota o \hat{v} \tau o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o \hat{v}$, "towards such a condition of it" (i.e. of the body).
a 10. Schanz follows Bèkker, Ast, and the Zürich editors in adopting from some inferior MSS. $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon i \pi \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$. Except at 672 c the word $\gamma v \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ has not been used in this connexion. The
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encourage other kinds of vice is no reason why $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \theta \eta$ should be banished; the full statement, of which this sort of parenthesis is a hint, would be: "and if any other practices are treated in the same loose way', I should equally vote against them."
a 3. ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \mathrm{~K} \rho$. каї $\Lambda \alpha \kappa$. $\chi \rho \epsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma, "$ going even beyond the Cretan and Lacedemonian usage."
a 4. With $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ Ast and Stallb. understand $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \dot{\rho} \circ \nu$ from above, and all interpreters follow them. But I cannot help thinking that we ought to take $\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon i \mu \eta \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu o ́ \mu \omega$ exactly as we must take $\kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \eta \mu i \quad \gamma \in \tau \hat{\psi} \nu o ́ \mu \omega$ at Rep. 468 b, i.e. " to the Carthaginian law that on campaign nobody is ever to taste this drink, but (that men) must during all such period be waterdrinkers, I would add, not only that at home too no slave, male or female, should ever taste wine but, that even the magistrates, etc." For one thing, I think that just after $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\mu} \eta \nu \stackrel{a}{ } \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi \hat{\eta} \phi o v$, if he had meant to recall the phrase, he would not have used the compound with $\pi \rho o \sigma$ - but the simple verb; but my main reason for preferring this interpretation is that it suits the context far better than the other.
a 5. K $\alpha \rho \chi \eta \delta o \nu^{\prime} \omega \nu$ : Bruns (p. 51) finds in this a direct contradiction of what was said about Carthaginian drunkenness at 637 d. But surely it is just the drunken nation which would find such a regulation imperative in war time. E.g. the vodka prohibition in Russia in 1914.
b 2. ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \alpha s, "$ when on duty."
b 4. $\epsilon i \mu \eta े \sigma \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \kappa i ́ \alpha s ~ \hat{\eta} \nu o ́ \sigma \omega \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ : i.e. "unless by trainer's or doctor's orders."
c 1. Eusebius's $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \omega \nu$ is an improvement on the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \pi^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ of the MSS. and Stobaeus.
c 2. oú $\delta^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \tau \iota v \iota$ : for ö övıs in the sense of $\dot{o} \sigma \tau \iota \sigma o \hat{v} v$ (after a

 (where there is a virtual negative).— $\tau \alpha \kappa \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$. : i.e. among other ordinances for regulating agriculture would be one for confining wine-growing within very modest limits.

## APPENDIX A

67I a 1-4. As I have said above, in a note on the Analysis of Bk. I., I regard the disquisition on $\mu^{\prime} \theta \eta$ in that book as a general introduction to the subject of education, and the
moral effects of $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \dot{\eta}$ and $\lambda \hat{\prime} \pi \eta$. After the nature of the educational process has been clearly described at the beginning of Bk. II. ( $653 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ ), Plato proceeds to deal specially with $\mu$ ovaıк ${ }^{\prime}$, and the relation to it of the gift of Dionysus. Among the young, we had been told, the benefit of the gift might be found in a properly conducted symposium : among the mature and elderly, it is to be found in the Choir of Dionysus. After describing the constitution of the latter, he now, at 671 a , turns to consider its applicability to the work of education, and in so doing he recalls ( $671 \mathrm{a}-672 \mathrm{~d} 10$ ) the main points of the former disquisition on $\mu^{\prime} \hat{\theta} \eta$ as a possible $\epsilon_{\pi} \pi \tau \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon v \mu a \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ s.

We may well fancy that when Plato wrote 643a 4-7,' the
 meaning: that, to the Athenian's hearers the word $\theta$ tós merely stood-and was meant to stand-for oivos; but that the author had in mind the subject of the third chorus. Whether this was so or not, the words express so well what I conceive to be the plan of the division of the subject that I will quote them in full.



 und nach ihrer Herausgabe durch Philippos von Opus) holds that the tractate in Bk. I. on the possible use of $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \theta_{\eta}$ as an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \in v \mu a$ aidov̂s ( 646 a-649 c), and the suggestion of a Chorus of Dionysus, with all the discussion of $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a$ and $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$ preliminary to it, were written at different times, and with totally different views : --that they can never have been intended by their author to form parts of the same treatise-that indeed they contradict each other in several important points. Also that the section of Bk. II. from 671 a $4 \sigma \kappa о \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \mu \theta a$ to 672 d is a clumsy attempt on the part of an editor to bring the two discussions into harmony ; and that all references, in the second book, to the treatment of $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \theta \eta$ in the
 well as all passages in Bk. I. which might seem to look forward to, or lead up to the main discussion of. Bk. II., were inserted into the text by the same editor-equally clumsily. Bruns's examination of these and other parts of the Laws is very searching, and is written with great ability, and is indeed a very helpful guide to the understanding of many parts of the treatise. His arguments are powerful, and both the destructive and the constructive ${ }^{1}$ parts
${ }^{1}$ E.g. his view that the bulk of Bk. II. originally formed part of Bk. VII.
of his book, if they are to be satisfactorily confuted, would need answers far too long to be given here. I can only say here that I am not convinced by them, and that I think the general line of the defence against his criticisms is this: i.e. that the train of thought in Plato's dialogues often winds about in such unexpected ways, that different readers arrive at quite different views as to the importance to be assigned to different sections, and as to the way in which each section was intended by its author to serve as a contribution to the main argument. Often indeed it is impossible to secure agreement as to what the main argument was intended to be. On these grounds I do not accept as final Bruns's statements that the author of such and such a passage evidently meant to go on in a different way from that in which the treatise prcceeds, or that it is logically impossible that Plato could have taken subjects in the order in which he seems to have arranged them. Also tlfere seem to me to be analogous points in the two $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \eta$ discussions to which Bruns is blind.

## APPENDIX B

$672 \mathrm{e} 1-673 \mathrm{e} 2$. The subject of $\chi$ ofeía falls into two halves: (1) the training of the (ear and) voice, and (2) the training of the body in rhythmic movement. The first part Plato here calls $\mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$, giving the word a more restricted sense than usual ; the second he calls $\gamma^{\nu} \mu \nu a \sigma \tau<\kappa \eta$, though he does not imply thereby that the sole object of $\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\eta}$ is the training for $\chi o \rho \in i^{\prime}$. The first half of the subject, he says, has been fully dealt with: of the second, though it has not been left out of sight, the treatment has been incomplete; shall he complete it now? Are we, i.e., to have, side by side with the description of the ${ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \nu$ vos $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \mu 0 v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta \quad \nu$, a companion picture of the technical training
 . . . $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} s \kappa a i ̀ \pi \hat{\eta} \pi o \iota \eta \tau \epsilon \in \nu$; What old blue gets tired of boating "shop"? There is nothing the two Dorians would like better than a long talk about gymnastic training, but the Athenian-or at all events Plato-does not mean to indulge them. In acceding to their request he tells them they know it all already, and then he begins the subject in such a way as to hint that his treatment of it.is to follow the lines of the discussion of the training in $\mu$ ovoıк $\eta$. Then, with a repeated promise to go on hardly out of his mouth ( $\tau \grave{\grave{c}} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a \quad \dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\xi} \bar{S}$
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Cl. "You may."

Ath. "Don't you think myriads on myriads of states have come into being during this time? and, whatever the amount, have not an equal number of states ceased to be? Have they not severally exhausted all kinds of constitution many times over? Have they not sometimes grown from small to big, and sometimes sunk from big to small?-changed too from good to bad, and from bad to good?"
Cl. "It must have been so."

Ath. "Now I want, if I can, to get hold of the thing that caused all this transformation ; for I expect that would reveal to us the secret of the birth and change of states."
a 1. Cp. Plut. Demosth. ch. 4 каì $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \hat{\varepsilon} v \tau a v ́ \tau \eta$, катà $\Pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \alpha .-\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \rho \times \eta^{\prime} \nu$ : for this expression he substitutes
 object of his search is what perhaps in modern phrase we might call "the secret of political vitality."
a 6. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ aivovgav: many editors have been inclined to think Boeckh right in reading $\mu \epsilon \tau a \beta a \iota v o v \sigma \omega \nu$.-Badham wrote it so independently. Ast and Schanz adopt the change. Perhaps, though, Plato preferred the rhythm of the slightly irregular expression. After all it is not straining language much to talk of the advance of a state being transformed in the direction of perfection, instead of saying that the advancing state is so transformed.
a 8. That is, the point of view from which we must examine the question must be one which takes in an immense expanse of time, and all the transformations which occur in it.- $\mu \dot{\eta} \kappa o v s \tau \epsilon$

 is right in taking dò $\delta \epsilon \quad \gamma \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$. to mean, "but you can be sure of this much (can't you), that it must be a hopelessly immeasurable time"? He makes $\tau$ ó the article to the $\dot{\omega}$ clause. Hermann, Schneider, Schanz, and Burnet rightly make the sentence a question. Ast and Heindorf take $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ as "perquam," as in $\dot{\omega}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu}{ }^{\prime}$ at Crat. 395 b .-Schanz preserves the ${ }^{*} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho o \nu$ of A as against the $a ̈ \pi \lambda \epsilon \tau o \nu$ of $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{O}$, and most modern editors.
c 1. For $\pi$ á $\sigma a s$, "all kinds of," cp. 637 a 3 ảvoía $\pi$ áa $\eta$, 688 с $6 \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$ какі́a.
c 6. $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́$ : Ast on this passage, and Heindorf on Phaedr. 270 c, have collected instances of the "redundant" $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, where the simple gen. might have stood. It is especially frequent with airía. Cp. also 664 a $\tau$ oútov $\dot{\delta} \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota ~ r a ̂ \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \mu \eta \dot{\chi} \alpha v \grave{\eta} v ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \rho i ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$,
and 678 a 3.-- $\epsilon i \quad \delta \nu \nu a i \mu \epsilon \theta a$ : less confident than $\ddot{\eta} \nu \delta \nu v \omega \prime \mu \epsilon \theta a$, almost wistful in tone. The line of thought here followed is this : if we can find what is the cause and nature of the true development of a state, i.e. of its progress towards perfection, we shall learn what is the first principle or vital force which brought it into being. A practical application of this knowledge is described at 683 b ; it will enable us to decide what laws are suitable for a state.
c 9. With $\pi \rho \circ \theta \nu \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \phi \alpha \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ we may usefully compare $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \alpha \iota \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \delta \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ in a very similar sentence in 641 e ; it is a more direct expression than the $\pi \rho o \theta v \mu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma$ ac $\dot{\alpha} \pi о ф \alpha i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ which seems more natural to us.

677 a 5 . Among many passages from ancient writers which speak of wholesale destruction of life by some physical catastrophe

 $\gamma^{\prime}$ vos ódízov $\tau \iota \pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$. There is no need, with Boeckh, to put in $\tau \grave{o}$ before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ in the present passage. Among other slight variations between the two passages, in the Pol. he says "the human race survives in a mere fragment"; whereas here he says, "only a very few representatives of mankind survive." Cp. Rep. $363 \mathrm{~d} \pi a i ̂ \delta a s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ таíठ $\omega \nu$ фабì каi $\gamma^{\prime} \operatorname{vos}$

 ${ }_{a}^{a} \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon(\gamma \omega \hat{v} \nu$ ) mean turn one's attention to, ponder, think about, but is "let us suppose." I have therefore put a (-) after $\gamma \in \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \nu$; i.e. the speaker meant to add a secondary predicate to $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta v$, perhaps in the form of a ö $\tau \iota$ or $\dot{\omega}$ s clause. The interruption of Cleinias's question enables him to change the subject of the $\omega$ s clause from $\alpha u ̈ \tau \eta$ ( $\grave{\eta} \phi \theta \circ \rho \alpha ́)$ to oi $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi v \gamma o ́ v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$.
b2. I think we may include $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ кopvфaîs in the picture suggested by $\zeta \omega \pi v \nu \alpha$, as well as in the statement of fact about the surviving herdsmen; the speaker is thinking, perhaps, of the seemingly tiny flashes from heath or forest fires seen on distant mountains. There is moreover a special appropriateness in the metaphor, since water puts out fire, and water was the destroying medium in the catastrophe.
b 5 ff . "To say nothing of the other resources of civilization, of course such men as these can know nothing of all the tricks devised by dwellers in cities to over-reach or eclipse or otherwise damage each other." The $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ before $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota$ is masc.-otherwise the $\pi \rho \partial े \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \lambda o u s$ and the $\epsilon \pi r \iota \nu o o v \sigma \iota \nu$ would be harsh : $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \omega \nu$ has to do without an article, for, if it had one, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ would occur
too often. -It is even possible that the first $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is masc. - Wagner suggested that we ought to read $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o i \sigma \iota \iota$ for ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota$.-Stallb. and Herm. both inserted the usual $\tau \epsilon$ after the first $\tau \omega \nu$, thus adding emphasis to the $\mu \eta \chi^{\alpha \nu} \hat{\omega} \nu$; Wagner and Schanz agree.--Cobet alters $\epsilon$ 's to $\epsilon \in \kappa$; but $\epsilon i s$, "in the matter of" (cp. 774 b 4, 809 e 7, 860 d 1 ), suits the context better, and it provides a good construction for the antecedent of óró $\sigma a$. Cobet cps. Symp. 188 b , and takes $\epsilon i \prime \prime \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$. к. $\phi \iota \lambda$. with the words which follow, not with $\tau \epsilon \chi^{\nu} \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \nu .-o i \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau o \hat{i} \mathrm{~s} \ddot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \iota$ is equivalent to "civilized beings."
 (Schanz would substitute $\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ for $\theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ here and at c 3, —unnecersarily.)
c 2. $\hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha \hat{\eta} \nu \mathrm{A}$ corrected by $\mathrm{A}^{3}$ to $\ddot{\alpha} \rho \delta \eta \nu$, which is the reading of L, O and Eus. ; an instructive mistake on the part of A. Cod. Voss. also read $\hat{\alpha} \rho a \hat{j} \nu$.
c 4-7. "We shall suppose, shall we not, all implements to be destroyed and all serviceable contrivances of statesmen or other experts to disappear entirely at that juncture?" It is hard to
 $\pi о \lambda_{\iota \tau} \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$; in either case the meaning is much the same.-In $\sigma \pi o v \delta a i \omega s$ we have the notion of professional or purposeful activity ; cp. 656 a 4.
c 7-d 6. Burnet has followed O. Immisch (ut supra, pp. 60 ff. ) in attributing $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$. . . $\dot{\delta} \tau \iota o \hat{v} v$ to the Ath. I. says the Armenian translation, Ficinus, and $L$ confirm this division. B. has also placed the $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \tau o$, which follows $\dot{o} \tau \iota o \hat{\nu} \nu$ in A (and which, from MS. days downwards, has been either omitted or changed) at the head of Cleinias's answer. The only change I would make in Burnet's reading of the passage is that I follow Herm. in rejecting the first $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \sigma \in \nu$. But it is not only the reading and division of this difficult passage that have been disputed. Interpretations have varied at many points; e.g. as to whether $\epsilon \tau \tau \eta$ or $\tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon$ (understood) is the subj. of $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \nu \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu$, whether ( $\tau 0 \grave{v} \mathrm{~s}$ ) $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$ means after or before the flood, and whether $\Delta \alpha \iota o ́ a ́ \lambda \omega$ (and the other datives) means (revealed) by•D. (Ast), or to D. Indeed the whole drift of the passage seems to have been differently understood by every interpreter. I would suggest as a translation of $\pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \ldots \gamma \epsilon \gamma o v o ́ \tau a$ : Ath. "If .the world was without interruption furnished with all the advantages it now possesses, what room was there for any new invention whatever ?" Cl. "It comes to this, that we shall have to suppose ( ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \alpha$ ) that during myriads of myriads of years, the men
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institutions referred to at 676 a 5 and c 3 and 683 b. He means, as he explains immediately, that virtue and vice, like the details of civilization, take time to develop, and can only develop in their company. How this applies to vice is explained at e 6 ff . He does not give a corresponding explanation of the rise of virtue, because a virtuous development (the $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta o \sigma \iota s$ єis $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ) is natural. The object of the whole treatise is to show how to avoid and obviate the accidents which give rise to vice.
b 3. O has $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \grave{i}$ for $\ddot{\eta}$.
b 6. The $\omega$ of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ being in an erasure in A, Schanz conjectures $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{i} \nu .-\epsilon$ 'is $\pi . \kappa \tau \lambda$., "the world came to be what the world is," Jowett.
 401 c means "in little bits"; here it is "little by little," as at Rep. 407 d, Phaedr. 262 a, Theaet. 180 e, Theag. 130 c. At Soph. $241 \mathrm{c} \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ v, ~ l i k e ~ t h e ~ f o l l o w i n g ~ к \alpha i ~ к \alpha \tau \grave{a} \beta \rho \alpha \chi$ v, means "ever so little."
 The sense of " to be likely" is not common for $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
c 3. "'Evaudos eleganter dicitur tam de sermone, quo adhuc aures personant, quam de re qualibet, cujus adhuc recens est memoria," Ruhnken, Tim.
c 5. For $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \alpha u \tau o u ́ s ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s e n s e ~ o f ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v s$ cp. Lysis 215 b , Parm. 133 e.
 rightly, been taken as an expansion of such a phrase as $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$,

 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{o} \hat{v}$ (Lysias xii. 12). Schanz, however, marks $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau o i ̂ s ~ a s ~ c o r r u p t, ~$ and Badham introduces каıрoîs after रoóvov. (Rather than this I would take roîs as masc.)-Steph. altered the MS. mopeía into $\pi o \rho \epsilon \hat{i} a$, and, as there is a gap two letters long in A before the word, Schanz admirably conjectured $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi o \rho \in i ́ \alpha$. The $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ goes well with the $\tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ in c 8.
c 7. The $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$, to which Boeckh took exception, has the effect of making the $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ clause (which Boeckh rejected) the most significant part of the complex sentence. It is as if Plato said, "They could not travel to each other as yet, either by land or sea, because all kinds of vehicles had been destroyed." - $\sigma \dot{v} v$ $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau ' \in \chi v a \iota s:$ i.e. "as well as the arts necessary for their construction."
d 1. $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \epsilon i ̂ a$ for $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda$ : Lobeck, Paralipp.•iv. 10, gives
many instances of nouns and adjectives in -os, $-\eta,-a$, or $-o v$ which have alternative forms in - $\epsilon \iota o s,-\epsilon \iota \alpha$, or $-\epsilon \iota \circ \nu$, and mentions this case in that connexion.- $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \epsilon \chi v \mu \epsilon \mathcal{\prime} \alpha$ : i.e. "filled up with -N. mud," like the "nine men's morris."
d2. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \dot{\rho} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota:$ used, not, as some take it, of clearing out the mines, but in its technical sense of extracting metal from the ore ; cp. on 642 a . There could of course be no possibility of doing this if the mines were not accessible.
d 3. $\delta \rho v o \tau o \mu i ́ a s: ~ a b s t r a c t ~ f o r ~ c o n c r e t e ; ~ " t i m b e r, " ~ n o t ~ m e r e l y, ~$ as L. \& S., "firewood." (Not "they (had) no means of felling timber," as Jowett, but "they were consequently badly off for timber.")-A has $\pi \circ v, \mathrm{O}$ тои́ $\tau \iota$, Vulg. $\tau i ́ \pi o v$.
d7. A has $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ with $\delta \dot{\eta}$ as an alternative, and $O \delta \dot{\eta}$ with ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu$ as alternative.
d 8. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ oű $\tau \omega \varsigma \gamma \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \alpha a \iota$ : i.e. that the miners' art revived.
 with $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \sigma \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ as alternative.
e 6. The change in the matters spoken about is not so abrupt as at first it seems: there was no $\sigma \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \iota s$, because $\ddot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \sigma \mu \in \nu 0 \iota \dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau o \grave{v} s$
 of weapons. Still, the author wishes to add a further result of the $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu i ́ a$, i.e. that there was enough for all ; also to hint what were the chief curses of civilized societies, i.e. money, and liesthe unnatural appetite for accumulated wealth, and the loss of faith and truth which comes in the train of selfishness.

679a 1. vo $\mu \hat{\eta} s$ : the following $\hat{\eta} \delta \iota_{\epsilon} \xi(\omega \nu$ shows that this is not to be restricted to the literal sense of pasturage, but, as in the case of $\delta \rho v o \tau o \mu i \alpha$ at 678 d 3 , stands for the resulting product, i.e. flocks and herds; so, at Xen. Anab. iii. 5. 2 (vораì $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha i \beta_{0} \beta \kappa \eta \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$
 means pasturing herds.
a 7. ov'd' ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime} \nu$ : this adverbial use of the emphatic form is uncommon.
b 1. Perhaps it is better to say that the clause $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\omega} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \chi \chi \boldsymbol{\nu}$ $\pi о \rho i\} \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ is the direct object of $\bar{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon$, than to take $\tau \grave{\omega} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \chi \nu \alpha$ as the direct object, and explain (as Stallb.) $\pi o \rho \iota \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$ to be $=\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ $\pi 0 \rho i\} \in \iota v$.
 iron, rather than to general distress, such as that caused by the flood. (Jowett trans. "when reduced to their last extremity.") - $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ каì $\epsilon \pi i ́ \delta o \sigma \iota v: ~ \beta \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta$ here perhaps means sprouting or birth; at Phaedr. 251 d it means a nascent germ, or sprout; at

Prot. 334 a, all that sprouts above ground from a root; Sophocles uses $\beta \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau a \iota$ of birth, O.T. 717, Trach. 382, and at O.C. 972 of conception. In that case the two words would exactly correspond to the $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ of 676 c 8.
b 3. סıà тò $\tau 0 \iota o \hat{\tau} \tau o v, ~ " t h a t ~ b e i n g ~ s o . " ~$
 words. Wagner concludes that they were absent from some MSS., and that the scribe who restored them put them in the wrong place. He would place them after $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu o u \tau^{\prime}$ à $\nu$. Ast puts a full stop at ôv $\nu \epsilon \varsigma$, and only a comma after $\pi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$. Cornarius makes these words mean ". . . (were without the gold) which was then among them." Some of the difficulty is removed if, with Stallb., we take what precedes to be, like the following one, a general statement; not "they would not have been rich," but " men were never made rich (who had no gold and silver, and that was the men's condition)." (Ritter takes $\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$ and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa$ '́'voos to refer to the period and the men of the earlier civilization before the flood ; but this does not help.)
b 7. $\hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \ddot{a}^{\nu} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$., "if a community is to breed the best natures, it must admit neither poverty nor wealth: without them no blatant oppression can gain a footing, or jealous envy."
c 1. Stallb. has collected many examples of the way in which variety is secured by the substitution of $\tau \epsilon \ldots$ ov for a second or third $o v \approx \tau \epsilon$; this is a step towards the not infrequent ovi $\tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \epsilon$.
 good influences was their so-called simplicity. What they heard called fair or foul, they were so 'simple' as to think rightly named, and believe really to be so. No one was 'clever' enough to suspect a lie, as do our wiseacres of to-day. What they were told about gods and men, they took for true, and lived by it, and that is how they came to be just the kind of men we have above described."
d 2. $\epsilon i ̈ r \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{~A}$ (and L and O ?); Vat. 1029 reads $\epsilon$ ï $\pi о \mu \epsilon \nu$; so Ficinus and Cornarius, who trans. diximus; so Ast conjectured, and so Schanz reads. The substance of this paragraph is almost entirely recapitulatory, so that the indic. may well be right-in spite of the $\mu \bar{\epsilon} \lambda$ خovact civac "are bound to be." The only novelty is the mention of many generations, but this is implied in the 1000 years of 677 d .
 aúrov̂ seems to have been added to the ката̀ $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota v$ with the same effect as in ${ }^{\prime} r{ }^{2} \theta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ a à $\tau o \hat{v}$ and similar, mostly Homeric, expressions; cp. our "within there," "without there" in Shakespeare. (Ast
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to their wild life"; i.e., Homer, like the Athenian, tells of units of population scattered among the hill-tops, and points to the necessary consequences of such isolation.
d 7. оїкүб८v: here the concrete "household."
d8. $\gamma^{\prime}$ vos: the "family"; not yet the clan into which the
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. gives the reason for $\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \pi \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \rho i ́ a, ~ " d e a r t h, " ~ n o t ~ o f ~$ men, but of possessions and implements.
e 1. $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ aís: the antecedent to this relative is, of course, not (as Stallb.) $\phi \theta o \rho a i ̂ s$, though it immediately precedes, but $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \iota$. The Ath. does not think it necessary to repeat the words $\tau o \iota a \hat{v} \tau \alpha \iota$ $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha \iota ~ \gamma i ́ \gamma \nu o v \tau \alpha \iota$, but they are carried on in sense from his last speech. (Ast, followed by Wagner, Hermann, the Zür. edd., Schanz and Ritter read $\dot{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{c}} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ oîs.) -In this paragraph we pass from the single family with the father at the head, to the next generation, when the eldest brother takes his father's place and, as it were, acts as the "father" of his younger brothers and their families, as well as of his own.
 Plato is thinking of cases where authority and property descended through the mother. The same interpretation is possible at 690 a 3.
e 3. $\pi \alpha \tau \rho o \nu o \mu о v ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota:$ Timaeus, Lex., gives two explanations of this word: (1) oi roîs jovıкoîs (i.e. handed down from father to
 latter is most likely the right one, at least for this passage (in spite of 680 a 6 ) ; only the "father" is the father of the tribe, who inherits his position from the original father of the family.-We may translate the whole paragraph : "And so do there not arise, out of these single households and families, whom the dearth consequent on the cataclysms keeps in isolation, communities in which the eldest rule because they inherit the authority from father or mother, and the people follow them, and are soon to be found forming one flock, like so many birds, ruled by paternal authority, the justest of all titles to royal rank?"-This is the fully developed patriarchy.
e 6. "Yes, and next, larger numbers ( $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ íovs subj.) join together to form greater communities such as we may term $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$." The word $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ seems, strangely used of these primitive communities. Naber conjectures $\epsilon \pi \pi \alpha v \lambda \epsilon \iota s$. If $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ is correct, it must be used proleptically. F.H.D. conj. that ró $\lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ is a mistake for oiк $\eta \sigma \epsilon \iota$ due to the adjacent $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v s$.
e 7. $\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma^{\prime} \alpha{ }^{\circ}$ : as we use the words "planting " or "plantation" for a planted space, so "cultivation" is used here for cultivated spaces.

68I a 2. $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ '́ $\rho v{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, "as walls of defence."—оiкíav: he uses the word oikia, figuratively, for what he has just called a róдıs. At a 7 he calls it an оїк $\eta \sigma \iota s$.
a 8. $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon i v a \iota . . .{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi o v \sigma \alpha \nu, "$ should bring with it."
b 1. oikєïv: the subj. to this verb is really the $e^{\prime} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v s$,
 ${ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \omega \nu .$. ., "(each) a distinct set, derived from a distinct set of . . ." ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ is governed by an imaginary ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi o \nu \tau \alpha s$, agreeing with the same $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v s$ understood.
b 3. $\kappa о \sigma \mu \iota \omega \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$. . . $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \delta \rho \iota \kappa \bar{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$, "the more orderly or spirited the forbears, the more orderly and spirited would be the dispositions of the descendants they had brought up." I think $\kappa о \sigma \mu \iota \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ are under the government of an
 necessarily proposes $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$.
b 4. катф̀ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu$ here seems to mean "duly," "as was to be expected."-oüt $\omega$, " in this manner," i.e. by descent and training. (Or ought we to take ovi $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$ s as "merely," "just," with кaт $\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu$, ás in $\alpha \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ ov゙ $\tau \omega s$ ? In such an idiomatic phrase it is hard for us to be certain; "just in the same way" would fit in well here.)
 imprint." . Not only would each contingent bring its own traditional manners and dispositions, but it would be sure to perpetuate its own preferences in its descendants.-It is surprising what a light is let in upon the sentence by Schneider's àv ai $\rho \in \sigma \epsilon \epsilon s$ for the MS. àvaı $\rho^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$. Burnet adopts it; so do Herm. and Wagner, though they are probably wrong in taking ${ }_{\alpha} \nu v$ with $\ddot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$; it goes much better with $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau v \pi o v \mu \notin \nu o v s . ~ A s t ~ s a w ~ p a r t ~$ of the truth when he proposed to read ai $\rho \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon$ (so Schanz), instead of $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$.-Ast also proposed $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon v p \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota s$, and Winckelmann $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon v \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, Orelli $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\imath} \alpha i \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota s$. Stallb. actually retains $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ and translates it "ea quae'susceperint."
c 1. Schanz says that A has avं $\boldsymbol{\omega} \hat{\omega}_{0}$
.c 2. With $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \tau^{\prime} \rho \sigma$ ovs we must supply $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon \nu$. This added clause ( $\tau o \grave{s} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$. . . $\dot{v} \sigma \tau \notin \rho o v s$ ), which Schanz would eject from the text, gives the whole sentence the same effect it would have had if $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{s}$ had been put in (predicatively) with vó $\mu o v s$, and the second clause omitted.-This is exactly the informal way in
which thoughts drop out in conversation. It says, in effect, "I don't mean that other people's laws will be positively displeasing to them ; only that they will like their own best." (H. Steph. wanted to insert the $\pi \rho \omega^{\prime}$ тovs.)
 all, how a definite enactment of laws came about?"-i.e. from the necessity of choosing, for the united community, the best out of the laws of the clan-units out of which it was formed. If this is the right interpretation of these words, it follows that the next speech of the Ath. is a development of this idea; and this view is supported by the explanatory $\gamma 0 \hat{v} \nu$ added to the adverbial $\tau 0$ $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a \hat{v} \tau a$.
 families had once united to form one community, they" (could not go on with different notions in their minds as to what was permissible and what not, but) "would baye to choose certain representatives of their whole body," etc.
c 8. These kotvoí, or public representatives, would have a double task: (1) that of selecting the best from the laws of the several tribes, and (2) that of selecting the best from among the rulers of the several clans, to serve for the united state.
 the community ") goes with what follows.
c 10. oîo $\beta$ act $\lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \iota \iota$," with king-like power." (There is no need, with Hug, to reject these words.)
d1. фavєрà $\delta \epsilon i \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s: \phi a v \epsilon \rho a ́$ is proleptic, "indicate clearly" -not, as Schneider and Jowett, "publicly present."- $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \theta \theta a \iota \tau$ סóv $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$, "propose for their acceptance"-rather than "give them the choice of them," Jowett-implying that it had been settled that whatever the кocvoí chose would be accepted.

d 3. $\eta$ каí $\tau \iota \nu a \quad \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i \alpha \nu$, "or perhaps monarchy," Jowett.
 subject to the verb is still oi, i.e. the кoovoi, and it is apparently used absolutely, "will direct affairs during this change of
 oikєiv $\epsilon \ddot{\eta} \eta$. (Most interpretars take the verb to mean "will live," i.e. they suppose the subject somehow changed to "the whole community." Apart from this change of subject, what a feeble end to the paragraph! "And in this altered state of the government they will live," Jowett.)
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"possession" and "excitement," and this may have made him choose a less familiar form. ( $\dot{v} \mu \nu \omega \delta \delta \dot{\delta} \omega$ also does not occur elsewhere in Plato.) As to the former point I think it is best to suppose that Proclus only quoted the $\hat{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \theta \epsilon \alpha \sigma \tau<\kappa o ́ v$ when he meant to bring in the $\dot{v} \mu \nu \omega \delta o \hat{v} \nu$ as well-and this gives us a hint how to take $\dot{v} \mu \nu \nu^{2} \delta o \hat{v} \nu$. - The other three quotations are not verbal, and the point of them lies in the $\theta$ tiov. Heindorf and Badham (who also alters $\dot{v} \mu \nu \varphi \delta o \hat{v} \nu$ to $\dot{v} \mu \nu \varphi \delta \hat{\omega} \nu)$ take the $\kappa \alpha \dot{i}$ as connecting $\theta \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ and ${ }_{\epsilon}\llcorner\theta \in a \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \dot{\delta} \nu$, and suggest (the former as an alternative to another
 rejected. But Proclus's quotations seem to establish too (i.e. as well as other classes of $\theta \in \hat{\epsilon} 0 \iota \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ ) as the meaning of $\kappa a i$, , and moreover $\dot{v} \mu \nu \omega \delta o v \nu$, which is rather a difficulty any way, becomes more difficult if $\kappa \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}$ is and.
a 7. $\tau o \hat{v} \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \hat{\prime} \nu \tau o s \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \mu \dot{v} \theta o v$, "in the imaginary history which has now occupied our attention."
 insight into my meaning." (Generally interpreted "tell us something about our object"; i.e. the discovery of the origin of law.) Cp. 668 с 8.
b 4. "' ${ }^{\prime}$ 'vita: loosely used for "in the neighbourhood of."
b 7. For ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu$ with a measure of time, in the sense of after, cp:
 каì $\mu \alpha к \rho а i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ı o ́ \delta o ı s . ~$
b 10. jouv, "what I mean is"; cp. 681 c 7.
c1. For $\dot{v} \pi \mathbf{c}^{\prime}$ c. acc. meaning (to put or go) "close up to"

 to be felt as coming down from above.
 seems merely due to a desire to vary the expression.
c 4. Stephanus's emendation of the MS. $\tau \iota$ to $\tau \iota v a$ is a certain one. The loss of $\nu \alpha$ was doubtless due to the following $\mu a$, and
 common one-for the expression.
c 6. катф́кочv : probably here, if not in катоскойขтаs ( 677 c 2), and катчкí $\theta \eta(682 \mathrm{~b} 2)$, the ката- has the meaning of down into the plain.
d 6. The $\tau \dot{\prime}$ marks the как $\alpha$ as historical.
d7. $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ : this word introduces us to the stage of violent revolution, foreshadowed perhaps ín the word $\pi \alpha \theta \eta_{\eta} \mu a \tau a$ at 681. d $^{8}$, and marking a new age, if
e 1. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ " ${ }^{\omega} \sigma \tau \tau$ : used somewhat loosely, like our "instead of that," with a change of subject.
e 2. oi", "and these exiles"; for, as at e 4, $\phi u \gamma{ }^{\prime}$ s is used for $\phi v \gamma \alpha \alpha^{\circ} \alpha$.-Ritter is possibly right in taking $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu$ with $\kappa a \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$, but if it be taken with $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ it need not mean "banished a second time," but merely "sent away again"; cp. Hdt. v. 72 where $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota v \begin{gathered} \\ \epsilon \\ \xi\end{gathered} \in \pi \iota \tau \tau \tau$ is said of Cleomenes, when, after occupying the Acropolis, he was forced to relinquish it again. (Stallb. takes the $\phi v \gamma$ ás to be the exile of the veterans from Troy, and the oil to be the $\nu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \iota$ who were driven out in their turn ( $\left.\pi \alpha \lambda_{\imath} \nu\right)$; but this interpretation of the second $o^{\prime \prime}$ is very harsh.)
 $\phi v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \delta a s$, which is actually the reading of 0 . So at 680 e 7 $\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma i a s$ stands for ä $\gamma \rho o v s$. Stallb, quotes Thom. Mag. p. 902 $\phi v \gamma \eta$ - каì oi $\phi v \gamma a ́ \delta \epsilon s \cdot$ -
 $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$. . $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$. . . $\tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$," all the subsequent course of these events"-"the rest of the story" (Jowett). The $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \mathrm{i}$ s is emphatic: "you Lacedaemonians" are the people to tell that; i.e. "it is part of Lacedaemonian history."
e 8. Though in grammatical structure $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho \chi^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}$ goes closely with $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \tau \rho a \pi \dot{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \theta a$, in $i d e a$ it belongs to the subordinate participle $\delta_{\iota a} \lambda \epsilon \gamma{ }_{0} \mu \epsilon \mathcal{\nu} \circ$ (not "we turned aside at the beginning while discussing," but "we turned aside at the beginning of our dis-cussion").-The difference of tense between the two subordinate participles, $\delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma$ óv $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$, indicates that it is the second participle which goes specially with the main verb-and further defines the action it describes; for the main verb is also an aorist.- $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \delta \delta \nu \epsilon$ s has very much the same meaning as $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$ $\tau v \chi$ о́v $\tau \in$ s at 683 e 5 -used of chance subjects encountered in a discourse or a mental survey.

 ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu a v \jmath \tau \grave{\tau} \nu \lambda a \beta \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha^{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \chi \epsilon$ ), $\lambda a \beta \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$ (or $\lambda a \beta a i$, 'cp. Phaedr. 236 b ) means the hold or grip which wrestlers get of each other; so that $\lambda a \beta \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ or $\alpha \pi \pi o \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota$ is "to allow your antagonist to get hold, to get to grips." The application of the metaphor is plain. The two antagonists are the personified $\Lambda$ ó $\quad$ oos and the Athenian -or perhaps the three of them-and they are this time going to discuss seriously and exclusively the origin and character of Dorian institutions.

683 a . It is perhaps permissible to wonder whether aù $\tau \dot{\eta} v$ is

 that this is a mistake for кат $\kappa \kappa i \sigma \theta \alpha i$. A and one or two early edd. did make the mistake of substituting катоі́кךбьь for катоíкьбьі. Still катоькєiбӨaє is possible here, if we suppose it said of the concrete "settlement," i.e. state of. Lacedaemon, which is, implied
 facilitates the supposition.
a 2. The relation of the added clause каi $\mathrm{K} \rho .{ }_{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. is best expressed by putting a (-) before it. It does not all of it belong to the relative sentence; though ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime} \phi а \tau \epsilon \dot{o} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$ катоєкє $\sigma \theta \theta \alpha \iota$. has to
 with the $\eta^{\prime \prime} v$. (Ast and Schneider take $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi$ ois каí as equivalent to ó $\mu$ оioıs каí, " with the same sort of laws as Crete.")
a 4. $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta$ óv $\nu \epsilon$ s limits the meaning. of $\pi \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \eta$ ( $\tau o \hat{v} \lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ ) to the part of it concerned with the imaginary history.-The explanatory asyndeton ( ${ }^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha \quad \kappa \tau \lambda$.) is common in Plato; cp. 684 a 2 , Menex. 239 d 1.
a 7. $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \rho \tau \eta$ : the fourth representative polity does not present any marked. development or alteration of internal constitution, as compared with the third. The difference is mostly one of size. It is a nation of three cities. Also, what is very important for the argument, the polity is a real, not an imaginary one.
 only does history show us the formation stage, but we can use our own eyes, so to speak, because the foundation has endured to the present day.-A and the margin of $O$ have $\pi \tau \rho \bar{v} \nu \hat{v}$ for $\nu \hat{v} \nu$; perhaps they did not understand катфккь $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ alone.
bl. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{G} \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{c} \pi \tilde{c}^{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ : I think it is better to take these words with $\tau i$-" what out of all these (political) arrangements," rather than "as the result of all this history, or description." For one reason, this interpretation provides a natural explanation of av̇ $\hat{\omega} \nu$. -This involves taking кат ккí $\theta \eta$ in the sense of established, settled, arranged-of a part of the civic establishment. Cp. Tim. 24 c. (C. Ritter suggests that perhaps Lacedaemon is the subject of $\kappa \alpha \tau \omega \kappa i \sigma \theta \eta$.)-L has $\epsilon \check{\iota} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i, \mathrm{~A}$ and O omit каì: it adds a useful emphasis to $\delta v \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \alpha$, and is more likely to have been omitted than inserted, so I restore it.
b 5. This sentence and the following one gain greatly in point if, with C. Ritter, we read $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \grave{a}$ for the MS. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha-b u t$ not with his explanation. He takes $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda_{\epsilon \kappa \tau} \epsilon^{\prime} о \nu$ to mean "we must now
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(Bruns pp. 163 ff . holds, naturally, that all in this passage that points back to anything in Bks. I. or II. is a forgery of the editor ; consequently whatever he cannot interpret as a reference to a previous part of Bk. III. he rejects.)
c 2. óv̉ $\chi$ єípovs ov' $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \lambda a ́ \tau \tau o v s, ~ " a s ~ g o o d, ~ a y e, ~ a n d ~ a s ~ l o n g . " ~ " ~$
c 4. $\sigma \chi$ ¢ $\delta_{o}^{\prime} \nu$ (a favourite form of qualification in the Laws), "if I am not mistaken" (it is Midsummer Day).
c 8. $\gamma \epsilon \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ таís $\delta \iota a \nu o i ́ a \iota s: ~ a ~ b o l d ~ p h r a s e ; ~ " l e t ~ u s ~ p u t ~$ ourselves in thought." Stallb. cps. Menex. 239 d ćv '̇кєívé

c 9. By $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o u ́ \tau \omega v$ he probably means the territories of these three states, including the towns dependent on each.
d1. iк $\alpha \nu \omega \bar{s}$ : its position suggests that this word rather qualifies than strengthens $i \pi \sigma \chi \notin i ́ \rho \iota \alpha$; i.e. that it means not thoroughly, but virtually.
d 2. ${ }_{\omega}$ s $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{o}$. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu v ́ \theta o v$ : "significat ipse Plato, se in his enarrandis incertos sequi fontes. Quod profecto dignum est animadversione, quandoquidem in iis, quae deinceps exponit, aliquoties discessit ab iis quae ab Herodoto, Xenophonte, aliisque scriptoribus.de iis rebus memoriae prodita sunt," Stallb. We cannot help suspecting that Plato is here continuing to some extent the invention of history in which he has been recently engaged.
d 10. rávтєs oi $\tau$ ó $\tau \epsilon$ : all the inhabitants of those three states
 The oaths are repeated below in detail, when the position of the $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu \circ \iota$ is defined.
e1-3. $\left.\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \eta . . . \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \hat{v}_{\epsilon \tau \alpha}\right)$ : in both these remarks the Ath. seems to be contemplating the overthrow of a form of government by a force within the state, not, as at 709 a 3, the conquest by another state, and consequent subjection of the inhabitants, or even such interference of one state with the constitution of another as was common at the time of the Peloponnesian War.For the omission to repeat the preposition $\dot{v} \pi o ́$ before $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \nu \dot{\nu} \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ cp. 635 e and 685 b.
e 5. $\nu v \nu \delta \grave{\eta}-\mu \prime ́ v$ : "here," says Bruns, " is conclusive proof that the part of the treatise which preceded Bk. III. was not,-or, at least, not merely-Bks. I. and II." If we follow Bruns we get into trouble with his "Redaktor." What editor who could insert so many forged references, would fail to expunge one which evidently stultified his arrangement?-Badham and Cobet are clear that ó ócíyov ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ is a wrongly inserted marginal explanation of $\nu v \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$. If so, it must have been a very early
insertion, for Photius quotes this passage as it stands (s.vv. $v \hat{v} \nu \delta \eta^{\prime}$, though he omits the $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon$, and says it is from Bk. IV.; the next quotation he gives-Eur. Hipp. 233-has, like our present passage, $\nu v \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ followed, after an interval, by $\left.\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\right)$. Besides, both phrases have their own work to do in the sentence. $\nu v \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ is contrasted with $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta$ ' in e 6 , while $\dot{o} \lambda$. $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \mu \pi$. specifies the time of the action of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ : "a little time back, when we happened, the other day, on this subject in our conversation." It seems to me that it is the least extravagant of all the assumptions necessitated by this passage, to suppose it to refer to a previous discussion-either an imaginary discussion, or one recorded in a lost dialogue. ${ }^{1}$ There is nothing at the beginning of Bk. I. to suggest that this is the first meeting of the three interlocutors. The sentiment is entirely in harmony with Plato's views as expressed in the Laws and elsewhere as to the perfect selfsufficiency of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta}$, whether of men or of political organizations. Besides, it is a well-known Platonic doctrine that "nothing that is can be destroyed, except by its own proper and specific evil" (A. E. Taylor, Plato, p. 87). Cp. Rep. 609 a 9 тò $\sigma v ́ \mu \phi v \tau o v ~$

 in the Laws is there (pace Ritter) anything to justify the very specific reference in the text-nowhere such a statement as e.g. we

 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \phi \theta$ opaì $\sigma v \mu \beta \alpha i ́ v o v \sigma \iota v$. Whereas, however, Aristotle in this passage merely says "revolution comes, as a rule, from within the state," what Plato means, I take it, is that "revolution is the government's or king's own fault ;" i.e. I take $\alpha \dot{\phi} \dot{\omega} \nu$ a $\tau \bar{\tau} \nu$ to refer, not to the people of the state concerned but, to its government.
 of the three kingdoms, contrasted with which not only the description of the first three polities, but even the traditional and varying accounts of the first Dorian establishment are кєvóv $\tau \iota$. (Some interpreters have thought that $\kappa \in \nu o ́ v \tau \iota$ refers to pure theory, apart from facts.)
e 10. 入ó $\gamma o v$ : almost doctrine, view.
684 a 1. Badham is doubtless right in excluding from the text the second $\tau \grave{v} v$ aúrò̀ dóyov. It disturbs the construction, and looks like a mere accidental repetition.— $\alpha \hat{\lambda} \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$, " reality."
${ }^{1}$ F.H.D. thinks the reference is to the disastrous result of $\tau \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \tau \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ aúrò̀ $\dot{v} \phi '$ モ̇avtov̂ at 626 e 3 .
a 3. $\tau \rho \iota \tau \tau \alpha i$ is: not merely a variety for $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \iota$; each time the oath was taken three kings or three communities were addressed.
a 4. The gen. of the inf. indicates the purpose of the common laws of the three states, and goes closely with ${ }^{\epsilon} \theta \in \nu \tau 0$ : they were the laws, i.e., which regulated the mutual relation of kings and subjects-the oi $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ and the oi $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ respectively of the oath.
a 6. For ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \delta \delta^{\prime} \omega$ in the sense of keep an oath cp. Eur. I.T. 790

b 2. An ungrammatical corrector of A altered $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \iota$ to $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$.
 tivaı $\kappa \tau \lambda$. This use of $\tau o ́ \gamma \epsilon$ is the same as in the parallel expression at Euthyd. 291 a, where the best MSS. have тó $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \hat{v}$ oîda

 тó $\gamma \epsilon$ gave some confirmation to Bernhardy's conjecture, and Burnet adopts it in the text. But he does not even mention Badham's corréction of this passage. I think the text ought to stand at both places.- $\tau \sigma^{\prime}$ does not go closely with $\mu^{\prime} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau o v$ as an attribute: it is an independent demonstrative; cp. 807a6
 importance to the political arrangements?" (Lit. "to the establishments of polities, as by law established, in the three states.")
b 7. $\epsilon \ddot{\imath} \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.: this clause seems added by way of implication that the agreement was not more in the kings' interest than in that of the peoples.
b 9 f . Here we have the principle of our "League of Nations" proposals.
c 1. The following little apology for the use of a certain amount of force was thought so inapposite by Zeller and Stallb. that they reject from каi $\mu \eta_{\nu}$ to $\tau i \mu \eta \nu$; at c 10, and Schanz follows them. The connexion of ideas from cl to e 5 may be thus expressed: although the Dorian body politic could not dispense altogether with the surgeon's knife, it had at all events this advantage, that it was free from the diseases of millionaires and of debt; it is true that it was necessary to encounter the popular prejudice against the use of force, but its rulers and guides were not hampered by the conservative cry " $\mu \bar{\eta} \kappa \iota \nu \epsilon i v \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \kappa i v \eta \tau \alpha$ " (i.e. "vested interests").
c 3. For $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \in \rho \hat{a} \nu \in \dot{\nu}$ cp. below 872 с 4.
c 7. $\tau$ ò $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}$ : cp. Apol. 23 a 5, Rep. 340 d 7 (" whereas, in point of fact," Adam), Laws 691 d 6, 731 e 3. "For all that (one inust
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323 a $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau a \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha$ means "any man" (however unskilled).--каì $\tau o v \theta^{\prime}$. . . $\dot{v} \pi \eta \eta \rho \chi \in \nu$, "had this advantage as well" (as that spoken of above at b 5 ff .).
e 4. ov̈ $\tau \omega \mathrm{s}$, "as it was." - $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ каі̀ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \varsigma$, "whereby they escaped all painful animosities."-The $\tau \epsilon$ and каí mark that the advantage consisted in two facts: (1) there could, from the nature of the case, be no disputes about anybody's share of land, and (2). no one had to be relieved of debt : $\delta \iota a \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is pass.The change in construction is a marked instance of the tendency to vary the form of expression.
e 8. "катоі́кıєьs scr. rec.: катоі́кךбıs A L O," Burnet.
685 a 1. $\alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ probably refers to the av่roîs of the previous question: "what point in their conduct?" Megillus does not like hearing "Dorian institutions" and "failure" connected. (Another alternative is to suppose $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ to refer to the кaтoíкıбьs and $\nu о \mu о \theta \epsilon \sigma i a$ : the answer to the question rather makes for the former interpretation.)
a 2. As Stallb. says, oi̋к $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\sigma} \iota \mathrm{s}$ is the right word here. Cp. 681a7.
a 6 ff. $\alpha \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$. . . $\pi o \rho \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, " easy or not, we have got to consider and investigate this point now or else relinquish our old men's sober pastime of law-hunting, with which we promised ourselves on starting to sweeten the toil of our journey."-The explanation of the Cretan at the end of this book changes the $\pi a \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ into $\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\eta}$.- $\alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ recalls the ovं火 $\dot{\alpha} \eta \delta \hat{\omega} s$ of 625 a 6 and the $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\rho} \neq \sigma \sigma \tau \omega \nu \eta$ of b 7 . - Ritter cps. Phaedr. 276 d where Socrates speaks of writing a book as being the preparation of a pastime for old age; cp. also Parm. 137 b 2, and below 712 b 2.
a 8. $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o v a$ : H. Steph., followed by Ast, inserted a каì before this word ; Wagner would reject it as a marginal interpretation of $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu$; Schanz reads $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o ́ v \omega s$. No change is needed. Stallb. aptly cps. 769 a $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \phi \rho \omega \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$; cp. also Tim.
 Plato is speaking of philosophic theorizing.-Burnet has cleared up a good deal of the difficulty of the passage by putting the comma after $\left.\vec{\epsilon} \xi_{\xi} \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}\right\}_{o v \tau \alpha}$ instead of after vó $\mu \omega \nu$. -The participles $\sigma \kappa о \pi$. каì $\vec{\epsilon} \xi$., which both govern $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$, though grammatically subordinate to $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \lambda} \theta \epsilon i v$, really contain the main idea of the sentence. It reads as if, when he began it, he meant it to be
 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi$., but changed it, as the further thought occurred, to $\pi \alpha i i_{0 \nu \tau a s}$ $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \lambda} \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} v \kappa \tau \lambda$.

 two lines below.-оi" . . $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \epsilon к о \sigma \mu \eta$ ккабьь: the tense of the verb helps the quasi-personification of $\nu$ óroo, and is decidedly against taking $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$ as referring to persons; and the word might have been so taken without changing vó $\mu \omega \nu$ to vo $\mu_{0} \theta_{\epsilon \tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$, as Badham does (and $\tau^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}$ to $\tau i v \omega v$ ), simply by supposing $\ddot{\eta} \tau o v \tau \omega \nu$ to stand for

b 5. $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \sigma \kappa \iota \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ and $\mu \epsilon \iota \zeta^{\delta} \delta \nu \omega \nu$ both qualify $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$, and $\pi \epsilon \rho i^{\prime}$

 $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$ at 684 b 5.-Steph. first corrected the MS. катоєк $\eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ to катоккí $\sigma \omega \omega$. Ast and Stallb. take кат. $\pi о \lambda$. closely together, Ast translating the two words by "Staatsverfassungen." A com-
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ фıдобó申ov фú $\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, might seem to suggest that катоккí $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ here are treated as a single noun, and that the adjj. agree with them both, not with $\pi \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ alone; Adam in his note cps.

b 6. As Ast says, ${ }^{\text {al }} \nu \tau i$ here means in preference to, as at Phaedr.



b 7-e 4. At 684 a attention was called to the measures adopted by the Dorian states to secure internal stability ; here we are concerned with their defence against a possible attack from without.
b 7. There is no $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ corresponding to this $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$, only the resuming $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in $\pi \rho \partial े s ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \tau a \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ in d 2.
c 2 f. oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau o ̀ "$ " $\lambda \iota \iota v$ оíко仑̂ $v \tau \epsilon s$, and $\tau \hat{\eta} \ldots \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ Nîvov $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \in \nu_{\eta}$ : we saw on 676 c 6 that $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ c$. gen.,' when joined to such words as aitía, $\mu \eta \chi \alpha v \eta^{\prime}, \mu v v^{\prime} \mu \eta$-i.e. such words as naturally take a dependent gen.-often stands in the place of a simple gen. Plato moreover often uses $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \bar{c} c$ c. acc. as a substitute for the simple gen. after other kinds of noun. In other words $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad c .$. gen. represents our objective, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad$ c. acc. (generally) a possessive gen.: In the two phrases given above we have a transitional stage, in which a participle is added to the $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ ; \tau \hat{\eta}$ $\pi \dot{\pi} \rho i$ Nivov $\gamma \in \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ is hardly to be distinguished in sense from $\tau \hat{\eta}$
 $\beta a \sigma c \lambda \epsilon i \bar{s}$., A similar periphrastic use of $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ may be observed in
 genitives.

their insolent behaviour provoked the (Greek) expedition against Troy."
c 5. $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ here used in the sense of dignity, glory; a poetical use, which is only general in late prose authors.
 —каӨ́áтє $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu . . . к а i$ тóтє: "ad illustrandam sententiam superiorem quae afferuntur per comparationem, ea $\dot{\alpha} \sigma v v \delta \dot{\delta} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ accedere solere non uno loco ostendimus: v. ad Gorg. 448 e , Rep. $497 \mathrm{~b}, 577$ c, Crat. 433a, Theaet. 173 d, Phaedon. 61 a, Legg. 628 d, 659 e" [as Stallb. stops the passage], "Pol. 296 e," Stallb.-who compares the "apposition" of the explanatory comparing clause to the apposition of single nouns. It is like the direct answer to a question, which needs no connecting link (cp. e.g. 685 a 2).
 Empire,". Jowett. The selection of an expression, which would apply to the Dorian federation as well, suggests an equality of power on both sides.
 been captured was a strong ground of complaint against the Greeks." The story of the first capture is told at $I l$. v. 640 ff . The analogy of $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o v s$ at Rep. 464 d , and Laws 737 b shows that $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ c. acc. denotes not the people appealed to (here the Assyrians), but those appealed against. Even $\epsilon^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i v$ can have $\pi \rho^{\prime}$ ós c. acc. instead of the ordinary dat.; cp. Demod.

d 1. $\tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$. . . $\mu o ́ \rho \iota o v: ~ " h o c ~ u n d e ~ P l a t o ~ h a u s e r i t, ~$ incertum," Stallb.
d 2. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\eta} . \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha, "$ propter haec omnia," Ast; better "to meet all these perils," "in the face of all this."- " $\tau a \hat{v} \tau$ ' ${ }_{\eta} \nu$ Schneider [and Hermann]; $\alpha \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \eta v$ A LO; $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ vulg." Burnet. If it were not for the ráv $\tau \alpha$ and the $\omega s$ after $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ in d 5 , it would be simpler (omitting $\pi a ́ v \tau a$ and $\dot{\omega}$ ) to adopt the MS. $\tau \alpha$ v́r $\eta v$. The $\grave{\omega}$ s may plausibly be ousted, as Steph. suggested, and as Ficinus seems to have read; and though ráv $\quad$ a might conceivably be a mistake for a $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ which had been written in the margin as a variant for $\tau a v \tau \tau \eta v$, such a variant was not likely to be suggested unless the rávica had been already in the text. As the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\prime} \tau a$ is there, and as Ast is no doubt right in denying that it can be construed with a a $\nu \eta \rho \rho \eta \mu$ '́v $\nu{ }^{\prime}$ каi кат. as omni ex parte, Schneider and Hermann's emendation must be regarded as certain.
d4. The $\dot{\mu}_{i}^{\prime} a$ and the $\dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\lambda} \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ bring into prominence the
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e 6. The order of the words in this sentence is peculiar. (For

 not also likely that they should think that the arrangement would be a stable one, and would be likely to last?" (Badham's alteration of the first кai to $\delta \iota \alpha$ does not give the right sense.)

686 a 1 . av́roús: i.e. the oi $\tau$ ó $\tau \epsilon$ of 685 b 7 (and e 4), the Dorians of the time- $\tau \alpha, \hat{\nu} \theta^{\prime}$ : the Dorian federation or empire.
a 3. A has $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \epsilon к о \sigma \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota$ and this is given as a variant in 0 , though O and L read $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \epsilon \kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \mu \epsilon$ 'vovs. A break in the construction, which goes on as if an "and they reflected" had been interposed before this and the following inf. кєХ $\rho \eta \mu^{\prime} \in{ }^{\nu}$ quite natural method of varying a chain of participles. Those who read $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \epsilon к о \sigma \mu \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu o v s$ have to do something with the $\epsilon i v a \iota$ in a 4. Badham, followed by Schanz, strikes it out; Apelt, p. 5, would read oî $\mu a \iota$ for it, Madvig $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i ́$. - The three things that made it reasonable to expect that the Dorian federation would be firm and lasting were: (1) the memory of common exploits, (2) the kinship of their rulers, and (3) the fact that they were assured, by the orackes that they had consulted, of the blessing of Heaven. And yet, as we learn from the following paragraphs, these glorious prospects soon vanished. Sparta alone maintained the Dorian tradition, and that was weakened by constant conflict with the two other members of the Confederacy.
b 2. $\mu^{\prime} \chi \chi \rho \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu$ : the $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ is supported by all MSS., and by a marginal note in O stating that it existed "in all copies." Steph. corrected it to $\tau 0 \hat{v}$, and Schanz followed him.— $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon i$, " and yet," "though"; cp. above on 669 b 6 , and 875 c 3.
 out."—каi $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega v \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ єis $\stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \nu$, "and if the confederacy had been unanimous." There is a slight zeugma here; the plan was that of a confederacy, and the carrying out of the plan involves the existence of the confederacy, and it is with this that, in sense, $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ agrees.
b 8. There is much to be said for Ast's (and Badham's) ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon$ for the MS. ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o$; " by looking elsewhere" fits in much better with the rest of the sentence than "by looking at anything else," or "at any other $\sigma v \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ "; and the omission of the $\sigma \epsilon$ is a likely mistake.-The $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda c s$, and the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ are both redundant.-Is it not possible that the words $\alpha \not \partial \lambda \rho\langle\sigma \epsilon\rangle \sigma \kappa о \pi \omega \nu$ were not written by Plato, but by a commentator?

point of view) thinks of what Hellas had lost in being disappointed of a powerful champion ; the Spartan, on the other hand, thinks more of the $\kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \jmath \mu \epsilon \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \pi \rho \alpha_{\gamma} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$-the great tradition, that his own state had kept alive; and so he puts this consideration first.
c4. то̂̂тo: adverbial, "in this case, here." Cp. 677 d 1 , $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ at 700 d l, and каі̀ $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$ at Apol. 29 b (where Burnet however follows Eusebius in reading каíтос against the MSS. and Stobaeus).
c $7 \mathrm{ff} . \stackrel{\hat{\alpha} \rho}{\rho}{ }^{\prime}$ ov̂v . . . $\delta \iota \alpha \nu o \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, " my good sir, can it be that we have fallen unawares into a common mistake? Everybody, when he contemplates some event or production that has excited his admiration, thinks ' what a good thing! it might have produced marvellous results, if people had only known how to take a proper advantage of it!' Is it not possible that, on this occasion, we may form wrong and untrue ideas about this very subject?just as any men may on any other subject, about which they should think as I have above described ?"-Instead of directly continuing this "satisfactory" investigation into the mistakes in the Dorian laws and constitution, the Ath. here interposes a caution-which he dramatically confesses (at d 7 f .) that he needs himself-against being dazzled by mere power or force-as if it were the great object, with the state or man, to be strong enough to do as he likes in the world. It is not enough to be strong enough to defy the Persians; you must be wise as well. Even if the Dorians had known how to maintain their empire, it might not have been for their own or their neighbours' good. This protest is, as he says, quite on the same lines as the deprecation of the cultivation of mere bravery in Bk. I.--The construction, as in a 3 , is broken in the middle; the $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ in d 1 corresponds to the $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ after oió $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$, but it introduces, not a participle, as we should expect, but a finite verb.
d 1. Cobet would reject $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ and $\tau \iota v a$, but this impoverishes the clause: като́ $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$ т $о$ óтог implies "in a way which the (imagined) speaker could specify"; the ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ in c 9 "imagines" the speaker.- $\tau o ́$ is best taken with $\nu \hat{v} \nu$, and not adverbially with $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$, as Stallb.
d 3. ov゙ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota v$, " and against the natural course of things," "contrary to the law of the universe." Cp. 682a2,

d 5. Naturally Megillus takes some time to see what the Ath. is driving at.
d 7. For the tense of $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha$ cp. ${ }_{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \theta \eta \nu 688$ a 3.
 irregularity; cp. 811 c 7.- $\sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o s ~ h e r e, ~ l i k e ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu ~ b e l o w ~$ at 687 a 5 , seems used, not merely of the Dorian army but, of the Dorian nation, and the terms are chosen because the nation had a

d 9. I strongly suspect that we ought to insert ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ somewhere;
 sense, after "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ : " or would have been."
e 2. Megillus is still quite in the dark. "What," he says, "wasn't there sense in all we have been saying?" "Maybe," answers the Ath.
e 5. $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon$ : a gnomic aorist in a dependent sentence is a rarity.${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \tau \sigma \hat{v} \tau o$, ${ }^{\omega}$ : the brachylogy is less remarkable in English if we translate ${ }_{\epsilon} \pi \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon$ "feels." It is, at any rate, far less irregular
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.-with which Stallb., after Heindorf, compares it.
e 8. The word $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta a \iota \mu o v o \hat{\imath}$ (cp. the use of $\epsilon \dot{v} \delta a i ́ \mu \omega \nu$ above at $662 \mathrm{~d} 4)$ first gives the key to the ground of the Ath.'s objection. He has called a halt, because they were in danger of thinking that the lost opportunity necessarily meant the loss of national "happiness." There were other ways of missing that, besides not being strong enough.

687 a 1. Still Megillus does not see. "What is the harm of that?" he says.
a 2 ff . The question now started is: "what are the limits to the advantages to be derived from mere power and force?"- $\pi 0 \hat{\imath}$ $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$. . . ${ }^{\circ} \rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ s $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ : i.e. "by what prospects is the praise justified ?" This question is not directly answered. Indirectly Megillus (at e 5 ff.) is brought to see the answer.

 would they have made the best they could out of the situation."
 after the preceding $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$; nor does Hermann establish the second $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ by reading the first as an enclitic, and taking it with $\lambda \epsilon \gamma о \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{o v}$ : the subject has not been encountered by chance. (He translates: "de ipso cujus nescio quomodo nunc mentio incidit.") On the other hand the enclitic (pace Badham) is very much in place where it stands. It gives just the general significance to тov̂ кalpô $\hat{a} \nu \quad$ $\check{\epsilon} \tau v \chi o v$ which shows us that we are not to apply these words merely to the utilizing of the opportunity spoken of
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b 6. єî̃ $\tau \tau v$ : another gnomic aorist.— $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ єỉ $\pi \epsilon \nu$, "the prospect which makes him say so is . . ."- $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$. . . $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ . . . $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ : a clear instance of an acc. absolute—attracted perhaps into the case of $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o$.

## c 1. Ast writes $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \sigma \\ \\ \text {. }\end{gathered}$.

c 2. I quite agree with Stallbaum that the words ís aútós $\dot{\varphi} \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o s$ would be better away; they must be due to a commentator, who put them in, either as an explanation, or as a parallel passage.-" $\bar{\prime} \nu \tau \iota=$ "definite." I would translate: "all men have in common, as a definite object of desire, that which the argument has just brought out."
 this was long the vulgate; the restoration of the lost ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu$ between the two words of A is due to a marginal note of Cod. Voss., and was first printed by Bekker.
d1. $\tau 0$ îs $\gamma \epsilon$ фídoıs: it is here ingeniously hinted that, though each of us may think it an admirable thing for ourselves to be able to do as we like, we may yet see clearly that it is not always good for our friends to have this power. For instance, fathers would not grant it to their sons, nor, e.g., would a son in Hippolytus's position grant it to his father. This suggestion completely opens Megillus's eyes, and he sees the Ath.'s drift ; he was beginning to see it at d 9.- $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ : "acute demonstravit Boeckhius $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ non esse in $\tau \alpha v ̋ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ commutandum," Stallb. "Tav̉ $\alpha \grave{a}$ flagitat canon Cobetianus," Schanz; but he reads $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$.
d2. It is curious to note that A reads éavzoîoıv, but L and O aúroîs, though O mentions the former as a variant.
d 4. $\pi \alpha \hat{i} \mathrm{~s} \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho i$, "though one is a boy and the other a man."
d 9. For the parenthetical $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota$, "you mean," or "you would say," cp. Crat. 421 c 7, and Philebus 49 a 9.
d 10. It is impossible to give the force of these words in the absence of an English word which, like veavias, means both young and hot-headed. The ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \nu^{\prime} о s(\omega \nu \nu)$ is, by implication, "because he is too young," so the $\gamma^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu \quad \ddot{\omega} \nu$ is "because he is too old," and so Jowett's "in the dotage of age, or the heat of youth" comes near to the Greek.- ${ }^{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ́, "$ or perhaps."
 blind to the right and justice of the case" ; and at e $\mathbf{3}$ o $\delta$ $\delta$ t $\pi \alpha$ îs $\gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \eta$ is, " while the son is not blind."-As is usual with Plato's illustrations, there is a special appositeness in-Theseus's case, for it was by a wish that the fatal result was produced.
e 2. I think $\pi \alpha \theta \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ is used here, as at 681 d 8 , and 695 e 3 , in the sense of "circumstances," "plight," though the analogy of

 ${ }^{\text {'I }} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi o ́ \lambda v \tau o v$ is more naturally taken as "which befel Th. in relation to Hipp.," than "which overcame Th. in reference to Hippolytus."
e.7. The utmost apparently that can be got out of the MS. reading тウ̀v $\beta$ ov́ $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \tau$. $\epsilon . \phi$. is "without praying that his desire should any the more be in accordance with his own reason." (Jowett's "for his wish may be at variance with his reason" cannot be got out of any of the readings!) As, however, A LO all give $\pi o \lambda \grave{v}$ as a variant for $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu$, and as in A there is a gap before $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ which may well have held $\pi o \lambda \grave{v}$, we may perhaps (with Schanz and Burnet) substitute $\pi o \lambda \grave{v}$ for $\mu \eta \delta \delta \iota \nu$, and thus obtain a more natural meaning. At the same time the question must be faced : how did $\mu \eta \delta \delta \nu$ come there if it was not what Plato wrote? Also $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o \quad \delta \epsilon$ looks like the main antithesis to ov $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o$ in e 5 f., and perhaps with $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ we might translate, "unless at the same time he prays that his desire should be in accordance with his own reason."
e 8. The following words do more than repeat what has just been said if we read $\pi$ rod $v^{\prime}$; having said that it is far more desirable that the wish should harmonize with wisdom, he now adds that the one thing we ought to pray for-whether for men or for states-is that our wisdom may be great.- $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \sigma \pi \epsilon \in \dot{\delta} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ (as active) corresponds precisely to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \nu \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i ́($ as passive).

688 a 1. $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha}^{\nu} \delta \rho \alpha$ vo $\mu о \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta v$ : for the simple vo $\mu^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta v$, like $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau o \hat{v} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ́ s$ at Rep. 620 b 7 . Ast unnecessarily inserted каi before $v o \mu$., and Schanz, equally unnecessarily, rejected the word altogether.-It is best to take the $\omega$ s clause not as dependent on a $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \iota \nu$ supplied from the above $\lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \iota \nu$ סoкєis but on the following $\dot{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \eta \nu$; I would therefore put no comma at vó $\mu \omega \nu$, but would insert one after $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \eta \nu$, to mark that каì $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \mu \iota \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \omega$ has the ö $\bar{\tau} \iota$ clause (in a 4) as object: "I not only am reminded myself that a statesmanlike lawgiver ought always etc. . . . but I would further ( $\epsilon \pi-$ ) remind you," etc.
a 2. $\tau 0 \hat{u} \tau o$ : i.e. the need for, and the need for encouraging, vovs or $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$.
a 3. For the tense of $\epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu$ cp. $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha 686 \mathrm{~d} 7$. Neil on Ar. Eq. 696 says aorists of instantaneous action are almost confined to the dramatists. - $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi^{\grave{\rho}}$. . . $\lambda \epsilon \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ is
parenthetical, and should be so marked-He uses the lst pers. because it is a reminder to them all.
a 4. $\tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$. . . $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \epsilon \in \lambda \epsilon \mu \alpha$ : for the gen. taking the place of a possessive pron. cp. 631 a 2 $\sigma o \hat{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma \iota v$,

a6. $\tau \grave{o} \delta_{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ v:$ in a note on 643 a 3 Ast classes together cases in which, as there, the neuter possessive pron. has its verb in the 3rd pers. (e.g. 723 b, 778 e, Rep. 533 a, Lach. 188 c, Ar. Eccl. 393) with those in which, as here, and at 860 c , and Theaet. 161 e , the verb is in the same person as that of the pronoun. He lays it down that in all these cases the neut. poss. is a periphrasis for the personal pronoun. l suggest that it is better to take the neut. poss. in the latter class of cases as adverbial-" as for me," "for my part." (Stallb. says that we ought to supply $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon v \mu \alpha$
 possibly adverbial (cp. 686 c 4, $677 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{l}, 700 \mathrm{c} 8$ ), possibly agreeing with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa^{\prime} \epsilon \in \epsilon \mu \mu \alpha$ understood-which anyhow is the subject to $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ v́o .
blf. $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu, ~ " b u t ~ m o s t ~ o f ~ a l l ~ a n d ~$ first of all he should have in mind "etc. Stobaeus, apparently quoting from memory, omits каí and writes каí in the place of the $\tau \eta^{\prime} \nu$.
b 2. $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s$ 并 $\gamma \epsilon \mu o ́ v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s:$ ср. 631 с 6,963 а 8.
 words are best understood through a comparison of the contrasted

 panied by a passionate desire to see it acted on and enforced.
b 4. The asyndeton is of the explanatory kind; cp. on 685 c 6. "The fact is that."-It is instructive to note that there was a
 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$. -He means that he affirms as strongly as ever the need of $\nu 0 \hat{v}$.
b 6. $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ is Boeckh's incontestably right correction of the MS. $\epsilon i \theta^{\prime}$. For the sense cp. 636 c 1 каi $\epsilon i \prime \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha i \jmath_{0 \nu \tau \alpha ~} \epsilon i \neq \epsilon$
 it is with him more than a mere "academic" opinion-such, e.g., as he would uphold in the course of their $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \in v \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ (685 a 7); he is strongly impressed with the necessity of carrying it out in practice. In other words, the notion is one which would stand the test of practical experience. We may well suppose that the Ath.'s earnestness here suggested to one of his
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to the argument as it proceeds," "if you let the $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o s$ guide you (in the future)" ; cp. d 4.
c 3. If $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ is correct, $\phi \theta o \rho \alpha \hat{\alpha}$ must be taken in a general sense, as downfall, not in the special sense of death. Very likely, though, Boeckh was right in altering $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ to $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$; cp. 684 a 2.
 (imperial) idea," or "scheme."
c 5. $\tau \alpha ̀ \alpha \epsilon \rho \grave{~} \tau$ тò $\nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu:$ cp. on 685 c 2 ff ., also below c 7.
c 6. All modern editors adopt a late MS. (Ven. Marc. 184) reading $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ for the clumsy $\pi \rho o \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ of the best MSS.- $\tau \hat{n}$ $\lambda о \iota \pi \eta \bar{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \sigma \eta$ какı́a, "but by their manifold faults of another kind" ; for $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta$ cp. 637 a 3,676 c 1.
c 7. $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \epsilon \in \mathcal{L}$ agrees with $\tau 0$ ò̀s $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s($ or $\tau \alpha ̀ s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s)$ and $\tau$ ò $\delta \iota \alpha \nu o ́ \eta \mu \alpha$ understood.
d 2. $\epsilon i \neq \pi o v:$ for the ellipsis of the $\gamma^{\prime} \neq \gamma \in \tau \alpha \iota$ cp. Rep. 497 e 2


 with Bekker; to read $\epsilon i^{\prime} \pi o v \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota$, $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \tau \alpha \iota$. Cp. the similar, but more remarkable, ellipsis of $\gamma \in \nu \circ \mu \epsilon \operatorname{vas}$ at Phaedr. 267 d 2

d5. is ov̂̄ıv $\phi^{\prime} \lambda o \iota s, "$ for the friendship I bear you."
d 6. $\epsilon \in \pi \alpha \chi \theta \prime \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, "would be distasteful to you" (and therefore we won't do it).
d8. '̇ $\mathcal{\nu}$ oís: quite general; "and that is where," i.e. in conduct rather than in words.-I have adopted Ast's ' $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta^{\prime} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ for the MS. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ$, not merely because the sentence runs better so, but because it gives us a better sense: "if you compare words with actions, you will soon see which praise is of the highest quality," comes in better here than : "the man of right feeling is never shown in his true character more clearly than by whether, in such circumstances, he praises or does not praise." Nor can we get a better sense by taking $\kappa \alpha i{ }^{\prime} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \hat{v}^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \rho o s$.
e 3. Stobaeus, in quoting this passage, has $\delta \in \frac{1}{\text { before }} \delta \dot{\eta}$, but the asyndeton is more impressive.
 the same effect."— - $o ́ \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu о \mu о \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ : Ast on 643 a 6 , and Heindorf on Phaedr. 272 e have collected many instances of this "Attic," and, as Heindorf says, peculiarly "Platonic" acc. with verbals

e 7. «̈ $\nu o \iota \alpha \nu$ : another name for ${ }_{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta_{i} \alpha$. Boeckh, on the
grounds (1) that Ficinus translates the word here, and at 689 b 3 , and 691 d 1 , by ignorantiam, and (2) that Plato elsewhere couples

 here. But, of the passages quoted, those from the Sophist and the Theaetetus do not support the view that in his later writings Plato used ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime} \gamma \nu o \iota a$ and $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \theta_{i} \alpha$ as synonymous. In these two passages he denotes a special kind of äpoıa by the name of $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \theta^{\prime} \alpha$, distinctly adding, in the former passage, that there are other kinds of ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \gamma v o \iota \alpha$ which could not be so called. Moreover, one of the arguments by which Ast supports Boeckh's view is that Plato opposes $\ddot{\alpha} v o \iota \alpha$ to vovs, and $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \theta^{\prime} \dot{a} a$ to $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$. This does not sever ${ }^{\circ} \nu o \iota a$ from $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta_{i}^{\prime} \alpha$ here; for, just above, vô̂s and $\dot{\phi} \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ have themselves been used as synonymous ( 688 b 2 ). Again, the definition of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} a$ given in 689 a corresponds much better with the general meaning of ävoıa than with that of ${ }_{a}{ }^{2} \gamma^{2}$ oıa, however likely may be restitution of the latter word in some passages ; e.g. (?) Laws 819 d 2 , Phil. 38 a , and 48 c , where Burnet prints it against MS. authority.-For the use of $\alpha^{\prime} \nu o \iota \alpha \mathrm{cp}$.

 ảvoцоьov́ $\mu \in \nu o \iota$.

689 a 5. The $\tau \eta \eta^{\prime} v$ goes with the sentence ő $\tau \alpha \nu . . . \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \oint \eta \tau \alpha \iota$, which is felt to be in apposition to $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta_{i}^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ and so to be the equivalent of a noun.- $\delta o ́ \xi \alpha v$ : this may well have been (Schanz says was) the original reading of A ; but it was altered in that MS. to Só $\xi_{n}$, which is the reading of L and O and Stobaeus. Some late MSS., however, recovered the correct reading. The absolute neut. part. is necessary to the sense of the sentence.
a 7. The $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \omega v i a$ is not, of course, between pleasure and pain, but between these two sensations, and rational (or philosophical) opinion. It is the opposite of the $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu^{\prime} \alpha$ spoken of at d 5 .
a 9. $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$, ö $\tau \iota \tau o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime}$ ovs $\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau i \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s, "$ and it is of very wide extent, because it resides in the main division of the soul."
 the commons, or multitude in a city."
b 2. ö $\tau \omega v \ldots \stackrel{\gamma}{\eta} \psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ : when, that is, in the soul, viewed as a sort of community, power gets into the hands of the multitude, instead of into those of the men who are fit to rule.
b4. In form the $\tau \epsilon$ after $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega$ s connects $\theta \epsilon i \eta \nu$ ä $\nu$ with
$\pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma o \rho \in v^{\prime} \omega$; in reality the second statement is not so much an addition to, as an amplification of, the first.
b 5. $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau o ́ v:$ this is used adverbially, in the sense of $\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha v \tau \hat{\omega} s-$ "equally in the case of a city and in the case of a single man." (O omits the кai before $\delta \grave{\eta}$, which shows that the writer did not understand $\tau \alpha v \dot{\tau} o ́ v$; каì is added in the margin of 0 , and is found in A and Eus. and Stob.-Badham would reject $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta^{\prime}$ in b 3.)
b 7. $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \alpha s$, if singular, would probably have been neuter;

c 1. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' ov $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ : i.e. "I should not call the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta_{i} \dot{a}$ of a hand-worker the worst kind of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta^{i} \alpha$." In other words, it is a far worse evil for an unwise man to have his way as against the rulers of the state, than for a cobbler to mend shoes badly. Cp. what is said below at d 3 about the relative unimportance of the inability to read or swim.
c 6. $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau \circ$. . . $\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \mu \in \mathcal{v} \circ$, "this much then we are clear about, and will constantly affirm." The perf. part. expresses the making up of the mind once for all; the pres. $\lambda \in \gamma{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu=\nu$ the readiness to declare the opinion whenever it should be necessary. (Badham would read $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$, Schanz brackets каi $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu=\nu$ because Theodoret omits it, and Eusebius puts it after $\left.\epsilon \chi \chi^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu=\nu.\right)$
c 7. A L and O and all other MSS. of Plato have $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} o v \sigma \iota$. Eusebius, in his quotation of the passage, preserved nearly the right reading-he has $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta \alpha^{\prime}$ vovoı-which is found first in a late hand in the margin of A and some other MSS. Stobaeus quotes it as $\epsilon i \boldsymbol{s} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu o v \sigma \iota$ (see below d 9)— tis also Boeckh, as a conjecture.-For the acc. of the inner object $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ("in these respects") cp. Soph. 228 b 3 '́ $\nu \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}$ סógas є́ $\pi \iota \theta \nu \mu i ́ a \iota s ~ к а i ~ \theta v \mu o ̀ v ~ \eta ̀ \delta o v a i ̂ s ~ к \alpha i ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \nu ~ \lambda v ́ \pi \alpha \iota s ~ к а i ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~$
 where $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ бь $\alpha \phi \in \rho о \not \mu \in \nu \alpha$ means " thus differing" ( $\tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha$ does not go with $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha)$. Ast cps. $700 \mathrm{~d} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ ổv oü $\tau \omega \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \mathrm{s}{ }_{\eta} \theta_{\epsilon} \in \epsilon \epsilon$ $\ddot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o ̀ \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta o s$.
c 8. I think it is better to put a comma after $\epsilon^{€} \chi{ }^{\prime} \rho \in \mathcal{\nu} \circ \nu$, so that âv каì $\kappa \tau \lambda$. may refer specially to $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma \iota \nu$ óv $\nu \iota \delta \iota \sigma \tau \notin O \nu$. (So at d 2 ff . the commendation of wisdom goes with the absence of intellectual qualification.)
c 9. $\pi \alpha ́ v v\rangle o \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa o i ́: ~ w h a t ~ w e ~ s h o u l d ~ c a l l ~ " s e n i o r ~ w r a n g l e r s . " ~ " ~$
d1. $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \pi \sigma \nu \eta \mu^{\prime} \nu_{0 \iota}(\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota)$ is best taken as middle, governing
 $\pi \epsilon \phi v \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha$ ( $\epsilon \sigma \tau i ́)$ - "and have perfected themselves in all the accomplishments and dexterities of which the mind is capable."
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d 6. We should not be far wrong in saying that here, as in the passages of the Republic cited above, the $\sigma v \mu \dot{\phi} \omega \nu i a$ spoken of is what Plato elsewhere calls $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$. He definitely explains that it consists of a state of mind, not in the character of a man's deeds, which might be rightly done from a wrong motive.
 dórov; he translates "he who is devoid of reason"). - $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ : a variety for $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, which admits of being joined to $\dot{\alpha}^{\mu} \mu \alpha a i v \omega \nu$ in sense, as well as to $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$.
d 9. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ rov̀vaviiov, "far from it!" The contrast between $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ and $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \theta a i v \omega \nu$ is not that of logical opposites, but of incongruities.- $\epsilon$ 's $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ must mean the same as the $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ at c 7 ; i.e. "in this respect."
 and sums up the $\delta \epsilon \delta о \gamma \mu^{\prime} \nu 0 \nu$ каi $\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu=\nu$ above, as the $\tau \epsilon \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \omega$ corresponds to the $\kappa \epsilon \dot{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega$. •
e 4. We now pass to a different subject ; i.e. the various "titles," as a lawyer would call them, by which rule is exercised. The $\delta \stackrel{\text { 匕 }}{ }$ (for which A first wrote $\tau \epsilon$, and afterwards corrected it to $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, which is what Stobaeus has) marks the thought which serves to pass from one subject to the other: i.e. "men without oodia must not rule, but rulers we must have, all the same."
 used from the point of view of the ruler. It is his title, or claim to the position; so that the addition of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \chi{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota$ constitutes a zeugma. The claim is that he should rule and others should be ruled. Hence we may translate: "titles to rule and obedience," or "claims to rule and to be obeyed." This furnishes a better explanation of the genitives $\pi a \tau \rho o ́ s$ and $\mu \eta \tau \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ than if we took $\mathfrak{\alpha} \xi \grave{\xi} \omega \mu a$ to mean ratio, with Ast, who translates "ratio de patre et matre." (So Jowett, who translates it "principle."-Ficinus seems to have read $\pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho a$, and Badham conjectures

a 2. The connecting links need attention. The $\tau \epsilon$ after the first $\stackrel{\mu}{\epsilon} \nu$ does not go with the next $\kappa \alpha i$, which means or, but with the $\tau \epsilon$ after the second ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu$. The $\tau \epsilon$-in $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$, again, does not go with the кai next to it (which possibly also means or-see above on 680 e 2 ), but with the каí before ö $\lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$.
a 4. The second of the two statements thus connected by $\tau \epsilon$
 $\mu \eta \tau \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ s in a slightly more general form, and $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma \quad{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ suggests a second or even a third generation as added to those who are to obey.
b 6. Cl. "A most compelling kind of rule, that" (i.e. "superior strength is indeed an unanswerable claim").

Ath. "Yes, and all over the animal kingdom it is the commonest kind of rule, and Pindar tells us that it is so ordained by Nature."
b 8. Pindar's words, as quoted at Gorg. 484 b (where see Thompson's note)—and referred to at Gorg. 488 b and Laws 714 e and 890 a-do not contain the words кazà $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota v$ or $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, though there, c 1, in expounding them, Plato uses the word $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, and at 488 b tò кãà $\dot{\phi} v ́ \sigma \iota v . ~ A l s o, ~ a s ~ B o e c k h ~ s a y s, ~ H e s y c h i u s ~ h a s ~ N o ́ \mu o s ~$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ó $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \grave{s}$ кuтà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\phi} \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu$. All these references point to the fact that Pindar spoke of "club-law" as "Nature's" lawin Wordsworth's words, "the good old rule"-and make it extremely likely that Boeckh (p. 178) was right in supposing that ka ${ }^{\text {à }}$ $\dot{\phi} v \sigma \iota v$ had dropped out at Gorg. 484 b 6 after $\phi \eta \sigma i ́ v$. (Ast, agreeing with de Geer in thinking that $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \phi \dot{\phi} \sigma \iota v$ is too prosaic an expression to have occurred in Pindar, conjectures that ф́v $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ is what has fallen out.)-Both here and at 890 a , it will be seen that Plato is not content with Pindar's dictum. - $\tau \grave{\text { ò }}$ Sè . . . $\pi \epsilon \phi$ кviáa, " but there is a sixth title to rule which is the greatest of all ; that which ordains that the ignorant must follow, and the wise must lead and direct. And yet in this case ( $\tau 0 \hat{\mathrm{v}} \tau \mathrm{o}$ ), O most sapient poet, I would venture to affirm that that which is really ( $\pi \epsilon \phi v \kappa v \hat{\imath} a v$ ) the rule of law over willing subjects, where no compulsion is necessary, is not against Nature; it is Nature's own arrangement."
c 1. $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o$ is probably adverbial ; cp. 677 d 1 and 686 c 4 : literally, "in the case of," or "about this title." The rule of law must always be, if the law is rightly made (by the common sense of the community- $\epsilon \kappa$ ко́v $\tau \omega \nu$ ), the rule of wisdom (cp. below, 714 a 2
 the governed is to acquiesce in it; and excellence in man or community is, of course, what Nature demands.
c 3. The words $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ou ßiaıo $\begin{gathered}\text { mark the contrast with the rule }\end{gathered}$ of force described above. (Stallb. ${ }^{\text {takes }} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \ldots \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ as in apposition to $\dot{\phi} \dot{v} \sigma \iota v$; explaining that it is nature's law, not force that makes the ignorant obey the wise. But it is impossible so to explain away $\tau \grave{\eta} v$ тôv vó $\mu$ ov á $\rho \chi \dot{\eta} v$. It is possible, with Ast, to

 ßaбı $\lambda$ éa фク́ras cîval.
c 5. The seventh title to rule, which depends on the decision
of the lot, is $\theta_{\epsilon 0 \phi} \phi \lambda \eta^{\prime} s$, because the lot is believed to be the pronouncement of the divine will. The ruler too, himself, may be supposed to be the favourite of heaven. Cp. Phil. 39 e 10 díkalos

c6. єis к $\kappa \bar{\eta} \rho o ́ v \tau \iota \nu a \pi \rho o a ́ \gamma o \mu \epsilon \nu$ : the words are difficult. I think they mean, "we bring (the seventh kind of ruler) before the tribunal of the lot in some form." Cp. 741 b 5 ó véf $\mu \mathrm{as}$

c7. árróova ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, "to take his place among the governed."-For the article with סıкаıótatov cp. 624 a 3.
d1ff. "From all this," the Ath. proceeds, "we may see that the right to govern is not so simple as a man might think, and that there are so many kinds of claims to be a governor, that there may well arise discord in a state from their conflict. However, our immediate business is to see what was the rock on which the governors of our primitive Dorian community split."
d2. $\pi a i \not \zeta_{o v \tau \epsilon s} \pi \rho o ́ s, "$ addressing our speculations to"; cp. on $\pi \alpha i \zeta \omega$ and $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ above on 685 a 6 f . The idea is that of "joining in the game" with the constitution-mongers.
d 3. $\pi \rho o ̀ s \cdot a ̈ \rho \chi o v \tau a s: ~ \pi \rho o ́ s$ is difficult; apparently it is "which apply to" or "belong to, rulers," a rather curious variety of expression for the ( $\mathfrak{a} \xi \iota \omega \mu \mu \tau \alpha$ ) $\tau о \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}{ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ of a 1 . (Madvig would read $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ for $\pi \rho o ̀ s$, and Schanz follows him; but all difficulty does not vanish then.)-ö $\boldsymbol{\circ} \iota$ : an adverbial neuter; "in what respect," i.e. "how inconsistent they all are with each other." And he goes on to say that his light-hearted framer of schemes of government will find these conflicting claims very hard to reconcile.
d 5. $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \mathcal{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ is probably a medical metaphor: "treat."
d 6. $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\iota} \pi a \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau o ́ v \tau \epsilon s: i . e$. which of these rights were outraged, or strained, by the kings of Argos and Messene.-For $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ c$. acc. in place of a gen. cp. above on 685 c 2 and 688 c 5 . We thus get back to the question which was put in 684 e 7 , and again at 686 b 6 , though here the scope is narrowed.
elff. We have here an example of the nice applicability of Plato's illustrations. It is precisely the halving of the whole power that saves the Lacedaemonian dynasty. His readers, too, may well have remembered that $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} a s$ s $\omega \rho o \phi \dot{\alpha} \gamma o v s$ are mentioned in the immediately preceding lines of Hesiod-Op. et D. 38 f . (And yet Zeller could say that the quotation was not an apt one here!)
e2. á $\gamma \nu o \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s$ answers in proper form the question $\tau i$
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 fellow-countrymen ; "what was done among you Spartans."-It is better to take $\dot{\rho} \dot{q} \delta \delta o v$ with ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$, than to take the latter word to mean "it is possible," and supply another $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ with $\rho \dot{\rho} \dot{q} \delta \iota o v$.
b 11. тò $\sigma \alpha \phi^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o v:$ the same use of the article as at 690 c 7. So we might say "that is what is certain," instead of "that is certain."
c 1. Nearly all modern editors agree with Stallb. in rejecting
 grammatici interpolamentum, qui $\mu \epsilon i ́ \zeta o v a$ neutrum pluralis esse nollet," Herm.
c 2. A first wrote $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \epsilon i s$, but corrected it to $\pi a \rho \epsilon i s$, which is the reading of 0 and Stobaeus.- $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon$ ìs $\tau \grave{\prime} ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \iota o v, ~ " p a y i n g ~ n o ~$ regard to proportion." Cp. Phil. $64 \mathrm{~d} 9 \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o v ~ к а і ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \sigma v \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o v$ $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$. There is the same quasi-moral significance attaching to the word $\mu \epsilon$ ' $\tau \rho \cos$ (whether used in the sense of "not excessive in either direction," or in that of "suited to," " proportioned to," i.q. $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \sigma$, which again is used occasionally in the first sense of $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \iota o s)$ as there is to $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu v^{\prime}$; cp. Phil. 64 e $6 \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \circ o ́ \tau \eta s$ रà $\rho$
 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
c 3. $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ : not " complete ruin results," but "ruin results in every case"; $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ is, in effect, "in the case of the overfed body," and $\tau \grave{a} \delta \delta^{\prime}$ "in the case of the overbalanced $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$." (Cp. Julius Caesar, in. i. 18, "The abuse of greatness is, when it disjoins Remorse from power.")-With $\epsilon \xi v \beta \rho i \xi o v \tau a$ used metaphorically of bodily disorder cp. our "proud" flesh. We might perhaps translate: "breaking out here in rank flesh, and there in rank insolence (with its offspring outrage)."

 $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ is given in the margin of A as a variant for $\theta \epsilon \hat{i}$, and may even have once stood in the text. ( $\theta \in \hat{\imath}$ seems to be a metaphor from the race-course, and not merely an application of the idea of swift movement, as is probably the case in the English expressions run to seed, run riot.)
c 7. каí is or ; i.e. both experience and a sense of responsibility are necessary, if disaster is to be avoided.
d 1. $\ddot{\omega}^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \ldots \phi_{i} \lambda \omega \nu$, "without getting its faculties thoroughly infected with the deadly disease of folly, and (thus) alienating its closest friends."一 $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \in \hat{i} \sigma a$ : in connexion with
$\nu^{\prime} \sigma o v$ this word probably has something of the meaning of infect, which belongs to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi i ́ \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \pi \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma:$ ср. Rep. 496 d $\delta \rho \omega \nu$
 with ${ }_{\omega}^{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, like other infins., has its subject in the nom. where it is identical with the subj. of the verb on which the infin.

 cis "A $\beta v \delta o \nu$.
d 2. $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ : i.e. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$.- $\delta \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu o \iota a$ is the intelligence, or thinking power of the man ; $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ being used something in the way in which we say 'soul' for' 'man,' when we say "there was not a soul there." (Badham would read av̇ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ aैvoıav.)
d 3. $\delta_{\iota \epsilon} \neq \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu$ : gnomic aorist.—av̉ $\eta^{\prime} \nu$ : i.e. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta \nu \eta \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ $\phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota$.
d.4. $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o$. . . vo $\dot{\mu} \circ \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} v$, "it would take a great lawgiver so to be inspired with a sense of fitness as to guard against this." At bl $1 \boldsymbol{i}$ (with $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ) was the acc. of the inner object; here $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$ (with $\epsilon \dot{v} \lambda \alpha \beta \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ) is acc. of thing guarded against.
 stopping and arrangement of this passage (he puts a comma after $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu O \nu$, a colon after $\tau 0 \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a \iota$, reads $\tau o ̀ \delta^{\prime}$ for $\tau \sigma^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ and puts a - after $\epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota$ ), and take $\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ to be an absolute construction, and $\dot{\omega}$. . . $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ as dependent on $\tau 0 \pi \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \iota$, in the same way
 can at the present day form a reasonable conjecture that this end was then secured" (i.e. that the danger was guarded against). "But in point of fact, there seems to have been-" Cl. "What?" Ath. "a special providence watching over you," etc. For the $\tau o ̀ \delta$ ' cp. 684 с 7, 731 e 3, Apol. 23 a, Rep. 340 d 7. (It seems to me that the passage would gain in directness if we read $\gamma \in \nu \circ \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ : "that there were great lawgivers at that day we have now every reason to conjecture ; but no legislator could have arranged for the birth of twins.") (Ast put in $\tau o ̀$ before $\tau$ т́tє; all the early printed texts from Ald. to Stallb. (except Bekker) had oîmaı for the second civval. This necessitated the supplying, in thought, of $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ with $\theta \epsilon$ ós $\tau \iota \varsigma$; Schanz substitutes $\epsilon \ddot{\eta} \eta \neq a ̈ \nu$ for $\epsilon \hat{i} v a \iota$.)
 had been before. This seems better than to take ' $\mathcal{\epsilon}$, as merely "from," i.e. "born from."- $\epsilon$ 's $\tau o ̀ \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \rho \iota o \nu \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon$, "brought them within a more reasonable compass," i.e. by halving the kingly power.
e 2. $\mu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu^{\prime} \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \iota_{\imath}^{\prime} \alpha \tau \iota \nu \grave{\imath} \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$ : this probably refers to the
direction Lycurgus was supposed to have received from Apollo's oracle at Delphi (cp. 624 a 5).
e 3. $\mu \in i ́ \gamma v v \sigma \iota v: ~ c p . ~ P l u t . ~ L y c . ~ c h . ~ v . ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ v \omega \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ к а \iota \nu o-~$





a 3. ó סè т $\boldsymbol{i}$ ítos $\sigma \omega \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho$ : Plutarch (Lyc. ch. vii.), in quoting a good deal of this passage, attributes the institution of the ephorate to the men of a period a hundred and thirty years later than Lycurgus. At Epistle viii. 354 b both the senate of old men, and the ephorate, are attributed to Lycurgus; as also they are by Herodotus (i. 65). Aristotle, Pol. v. 9. 1, says Theopompus established the ephorate, and he would seem to be the тоícos $\sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$ spoken of here by Plato. (For further references see Ast's and Stallb.'s notes.)-The words $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o s ~ \sigma \omega \tau \eta(\rho$ recall the $\tau \grave{o} \tau \rho i ́ \tau o v$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \iota$-the third cup in honour of Z $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \mathrm{s} \Sigma_{\omega \tau \eta} \rho(\mathrm{cp}$. Phil. 66 d, and see Heindorf's note on Charm. 167 a 9).
 the ephors as obv $\gamma \epsilon \varsigma$ oi $\tau v \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, and says that the method of their election was $\pi \alpha \iota \delta a \rho \iota \omega \delta \eta \bar{s} \lambda_{i} u \nu$, so that Plato's words here in describing the ephors as "as good as elected by lot," are justified (cp. Grote ii. ch. 6).-The five-fold repetition of the word $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \iota s$ in this passage, like the repeated $\stackrel{\mu}{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ in the early part of it, are marks of rapid and unrevised writing. Plato takes very little pains about the statement of historical facts. It is the point they are to illustrate that is important. Hence the polishing of such a passage as this was naturally left till the last -and was never done.
a 7. $\vec{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\phi} \hat{\omega} \hat{\epsilon} \delta \epsilon \iota \iota$; we might almost say that $\mathfrak{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ may be supplied, in thought, with $\hat{\omega} \nu$ : the reference to the lot, and to birth, and to the wisdom of the old men recalls the previous list


 Hellas.
 hands of Temenus and Cresphontes."

b 4. $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \partial ̀ \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$., "else they would hardly have imagined that they sufficiently curbed by (coronation) oaths a youthful
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 $\dot{\eta} \mu i v$, collects the following instances of a like arrangement of words : Laws 645 b, Polit. 260 c, Phil. 18 d.
 Notwithstanding its curious position, the $\mu^{\prime} \mathcal{\prime} \nu$ with its $\delta^{\prime}$ has nothing to contrast but $\mu \dot{\prime} a \nu$ and $\tau \grave{\omega}$ dív. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \hat{v} v a \iota$ seems to mean
 тò $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o v$ : i.e. in the Marathonian war.
d 6. $\delta \delta^{\prime}$, "while," or "and moreover." - $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu a$ : it is noticeable that the same sort of term is applied to the corruption of the body politic, as would be applied to moral corruption in a single man. (See above 689 a 7.) The sin of the two recreant cities is want of internal $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega \nu i \alpha$, and this is accurately reproduced, on a larger scale, by the hostility shown by them to Sparta and the whole of Hellas, respectively.
d 7. $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ : as we have seen before, Plato is not writing history; he uses his memory of history, or even, perhaps, his conception of what the course of history might have been, to furnish illustrations of his argument. It seems certain that there was not war between Sparta and Messene at the time of Mardonius's invasion, though the two states were old antagonists. Possibly the misstatement has here a dramatic reason: the Athenian may well be supposed reluctant to recall the real behaviour of Sparta at the time, to the mind of Megillus. Below, at 698 e , it is suggested that there may have been another reason for the Spartan inaction.
e 1. For $\pi \epsilon \rho i(b i s)$ cp. above 685 c 4 and 688 c 5.
 that Sparta did all it could in responding (ímaкov́єı) to the summons of Hellas, and promising assistance. Argos did not even do that; it was philo-Persian. (I see no reason for Ritter's pro-
 that ( $\delta^{\prime}$ '), if a man were to relate the history of that time, he would find many hard things to say about the conduct of Hellas in the 'Persian war." There are several other ways in which the words of this passage might be taken. Ast, Schneider, and Burnet take $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$. $\tau$. $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \rho \nu$ with $\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$. But, with this arrangement, $\tau \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \grave{\epsilon} \kappa$. $\quad \tau$. $\pi$. are oppressively tautological. Though strictly, I think, $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ only governs $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тóтє $\gamma \epsilon \nu о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$, its position suggests that it is to be supplied, in idea, with калクүopoî, in the form of "in the course of his story."
e 4. ou $\delta$ " $a \hat{v} \kappa \tau \lambda$., "in fact, he could not (properly) say that

Hellas did defend itself at all. No: if the allied Athenians and Lacedaemonians had not repelled the threatened slavery, the Greek races would by this time" (have lost their individuality, and would have sunk to the grievous plight of the isolated Greek cities within the Persian dominions).
e 6. кov $\hat{\eta}$ ठ $\iota a v o ́ \eta \mu \alpha$, "unanimity"; almost a compound verbal noun, formed from кouv̂̀ $\delta \iota a v o \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$. So, in English, we might occasionally make an adverbial phrase qualify a verbal noun, and, e.g., from "all but explode" form "an all but explosion." (Cp. Tennyson's "Sweet Catullus's all-but island" in "Frater ave atque vale.")

693а 3. ка $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$. . . катоккєїгаı: the antecedent to $\hat{\omega} \nu$ may be $\gamma^{\epsilon} \nu \eta$, or "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon \varsigma$, or even ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$.
a 4. סıaлєфор $\mu_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$ a refers to the dispersion of the inhabitants of e.g. Greek states, $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \phi о \rho \eta \mu^{\prime} \nu^{\prime} \alpha$ to their incorporation into communities of $\beta$ áp $\beta$ apol. Cp. Grote, ch. xxxiii. p. 162, "the empire of the Great King was then an aggregate of heterogeneous elements, cemented together by no tie except that of common fear and subjection-no way coherent nor self-supporting, nor pervaded by any common system or spirit of nationality." And later, p. 177, "wholesale translations of inhabitants from one place to another were familiar to the mind of a Persian satrap."-It is very tempting to adopt Cobet's belief that $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \pi a \rho \mu \epsilon \in \mathcal{\epsilon} a$ is a marginal explanation of $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon ф о \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in \alpha$ which has no right to a place in the text. In that case какलิs катоккєî̃aı is "lead a miserable existence." If the word be retained, it will be "lead a miserable sporadic existence," '́ $\sigma \pi a \rho \mu \notin \epsilon a$ being joined adverbially to катоскєіта兀, and какюิs qualifying both words.
 with . . ."; an answer to Megillus's question at 685 a 1 rîs $\delta \grave{\eta}$

 тодєтєкоîs.
a 7. In adding кaì roîs $v \hat{v} \nu$ he probably has in mind the contemporary Persian despotism into which their ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau o s{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ had degenerated.-iva . . ä $\lambda \lambda 10$, "and my reason for finding fault is this: I hope, by investigating the causes of the errors, to discover what course, different from that which was taken, ought to have been taken."- Ritter, unlike all other interpreters, takes aù $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ to be masc., and $\tau \grave{a} s$ aitias to mean the charges (brought against them)-a suggestion not to be lightly rejected; but (1) it seems better to take $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ (a 5 ), a $\mathfrak{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu(\mathrm{a} 7$ ), and $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$
(b l) all to refer to the same thing-i.e. the legislators' errors; (2) the desired discovery of the right course ( $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in v \rho i \sigma \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.) is more likely to follow an investigation of causes than of charges; and (3) the gen. av่ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ in that sense would be unusual.
b 2, $\tau o ̀ \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \nu=\nu v v^{\prime} \delta \eta$, and is so fixed by the tense of $\epsilon i \pi \% \mu \epsilon \nu$.
 so far as it had gone).- $\ddot{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ is the equivalent of modern quotation marks, and the ov $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. . . vo $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{0} \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ is clearly the recapitulation of the results previously arrived at in the discussion, but it is not made clear whether the following three grounds for the said conclusions are stated as self-evident truths, or whether they are statements, in a new form, of points previously brought out in the argument. The latter, I think, is the case; inasmuch as (1) despotism, (2) folly, and (3) unpatriotic dislike ( 691 d 2 ) of one's fellow citizens and failure to help one's allies-three faults which he has enlarged on-are respectively inconsistent with the (1) freedom, (2) wisdom and (3) fellow-feeling here desiderated; for (3) cp. 628 a 3 and c 10 . The following words seem prompted by a feeling that the reference to his previous views has not been quite explicit enough.
b 6. $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota \varsigma, ~ " ~ p e r h a p s . " ~$
c 2. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \omega \phi \rho o v \epsilon \hat{\imath} v: ~ B a d h a m ~ s a y s ~ t h e ~ w h o l e ~ a r g u m e n t ~ i s ~$
 reject the three words (partly because as originally written the text of A omitted the $\hat{\eta}$ before $\pi \rho$ òs in c 3 , as also did O).-If we retain the MS. text we must assume that ó $\tau \alpha \nu \phi \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ does not mean "when we say, as we do now," but introduces a general instance of different ways of putting the same thing, and not a repetition of the instance that has just occurred- $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ being substituted for $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i a$, because it does not admit of excess. The best illustration of the identification of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ with $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ and true public spirit is the passage in the Republic which deals with $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ as the virtue of a state$430 \mathrm{~d}-432 \mathrm{a}$. Plato there likens it to a $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o \nu^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha}(431 \mathrm{e}$ ), and further, at 432 a 6 , calls it a ó $\mu o ́ v o \iota a$, and a $\chi \in i \rho o \nu o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~$

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau 0 \hat{v}$ ov̋ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu a s \epsilon_{\hat{\imath}}{ }^{\alpha} \rho \rho \chi \epsilon \iota v$. (The $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ or vô̂s of a state is thus distinguished from the oo ${ }^{\prime}$ ia which is the virtue peculiar to the ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon$, and is described at Rep. $428 \mathrm{f} .-429 \mathrm{a}$.)-Bruns (p. 170) regards this explanation as too simple ("naiv") and selfevident a piece of botcher's work to need a refutation.
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No concerted action of any kind is possible in the state. Untempered, irresponsible autocracy means that, though the state acts as one man, i.e. possesses unity, and though, possibly, its actions may be guided by $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$, there is no $\phi \iota \lambda$ ía. - Aristotle at Pol. 1266al speaks as if Plato had wanted to mix the two elements when at their worst, instead of letting them modify each other. Authority in any form-e.g. in that of ai $\delta \omega \bar{s}, 698 \mathrm{~b} 5$-is, in a sense, ék $\tau v \rho a v v i ́ \delta o s ~(\mu o v a \rho \chi i a s) ~ \gamma є \gamma o v o ́ s . ~$
e1. $\phi \iota \lambda i ́ a \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \phi \rho o v \eta \eta^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ : as at b4 and c 3 the two go together. Concerted action is not enough, unless there is wisdom to direct it. (But it is not to be imagined, he would doubtless add,
 reminds us that at 628 b c the danger of $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$ is mentioned, and
 that at 640 c 9 a $\phi \rho \rho_{\nu} \nu \mu$ os ${ }^{\circ} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ was said to be as necessary for a
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau$ á $\tau \tau \epsilon \nu$ : this does not mean that the logos has proved it already. The Ath. foretells that this conclusion is inevitable. As explained at a 7, he investigates failure in the hope that if its causes are discovered, the wanderer may be put in the right path. Thus ate 9 he says, "we must point out the causes."
 the other."一 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \alpha$ тov́ $\tau \omega v$, "the right measure of the two elements."
e 8. ov̋ $\tau \omega \pi \omega$ s, "succeeded more or less in doing the same," i.e. in achieving a proper combination of the two elements

694 a 1. $\tau \grave{a}$ aï $\tau$ ca (see above on el): i.e. the causes of their later degeneracy.
a 3. $\tau o ̀ \mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \sigma o \nu . . . \hat{\eta} \gamma o \nu: \hat{\eta} \gamma o v$ is used, as is $\dot{a} \gamma a \gamma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ below at 701 e 6 (and perhaps $\ddot{\alpha} \gamma o v \tau \epsilon s$ below l. 7), in the sense of to take $a$ certain course. I have followed Schanz in adopting Hertlein's $\mu \epsilon \in \sigma o v$ for the MS. $\mu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \rho \iota o v$, mainly because, though it is natural enough that Plato should describe the Persians and Cyrus as in a state midway between slavery and freedom, it is not natural that he should say they had the right amount of slavery; he would have found a less obnoxious word than $\delta o v \lambda \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} a$ to describe the opposite of $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho{ }^{\prime} \dot{\prime}$, when urging the necessity of a certain amount of it. $-\mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ means " more than at a later time."
a 5. $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha \quad \delta \grave{\epsilon} \not \partial \lambda \lambda \omega \nu-\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi o ́ \tau \alpha \iota:$ as immediately explained, this circumstance gives a larger scope to the liberality of their disposition.

has $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \hat{\omega} \tau a \iota$ for subject, we must regard these nominatives as absolute (cp. Jebb's note on Soph. Ant. 260, where he says that
 the parallel sentence that follows at b2 we have the gen. abs. in the corresponding place. No doubt the variety of construction was intentional.- ${ }^{a} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ may mean the ruling class among the Persians, or the Persians proper, regarded as the rulers of the subject nations just referred to.
 (sed $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$ s.v. $A^{2}$ )." I have adopted Burnet's solution of this interesting puzzle, rather than Schanz's (who prints $\tau \iota$ with A), mainly because "able to advise about any matter" makes so much better sense here than "at all able to advise." cis may well have been omitted by mistake after $\tau 0$ ìs, though it is difficult to see where $\epsilon i$ came from. Perhaps A corrected his $\tau \iota$ to $\epsilon i l \tau$ from a comparison of O or its like, and we must then also suppose that O merely omitted the $s$ by mistake. It is curious that in some inferior MSS. the $s$ was transposed to the second word, $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota s$.коьvŋ̀ $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: what wisdom there was in individuals was thus available for the community. Cp. vov̂ kouv $\boldsymbol{\text { víav }}$ below.-These counsellors furnish an informal counterpart to the Spartan Senate of old men.- $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$ is Steph.'s manifestly right correction of the MS. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \in \delta \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon \nu$.
c 2. $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \alpha \quad \chi \rho \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ : a playfully grandiloquent phrase for what we should call " making a shrewd guess."
c 4. The MS. $\tau o \hat{\tau} \tau o$, if correct, is not the antecedent of ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$, but the subj. of $\phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \iota$; it can hardly be both. It is generally interpreted : "this (explanation) at all events brings our investigation to the goal for which we started." But surely for this we ought to have $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \kappa^{\prime} \psi \iota \nu$, and the $\tau o \hat{\tau} \tau o$ is awkward. Stallb. translates $\phi^{\prime} \rho \in \iota \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \sigma \kappa^{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \psi \iota \nu$ perducit nobis considerationem; but the rest does not fit in easily. Badham ingeniously suggested $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ for тov̂тo, "it helps us to consider the thing we started to find." Schanz adopts this, and I follow him. For the $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ before a


c 5. $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{o} \rho \mu a \iota:$ cp. above on c 2.-Ast is perhaps right in preferring $\delta \dot{\eta} \nu v \nu$ to $\delta \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{v} \nu$.
c 6. Athenaeus, who quotes this passage (xi. 505 a) to show that Plato had a spite against Xenophon, has, besides some minor variants,


$\pi$ ovos seems a more suitable epithet ; for all that it may not be what Plato wrote. Athenaeus, in his coarse abuse of the great philosopher, is not likely to have been very careful to quote him exactly.-Plato doubtless had in mind here the author of the Cyropaedia and the Oeconomicus, and meant this, as Ritter says, as a deliberate protest against the system of education described in the former book.
c 7. $\hat{\eta} \phi \theta a \iota:$ cp. our conversational use of "tackle" (a subject); it denotes a mere dealing with the subject, not a devoted study of it. Hence Ast's oúdè for ouv $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ is out of place, i.e. there could be no heightening of the force of the negative.-It is possible that we
 тしvఁ ô̂v.
 together at Rep. 354 a; here they mean "fortune's favourites"specially gifted and guided by a higher power.
d 3. $\eta \not \partial \eta$, "from their birth."
 reject, must refer to the advantages implied in the application of
 should say. - Cp. 715 b 8 , where $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o c o v i \tau \omega \nu$ refers to what is implied in the previous $\pi$ גov́roós $\tau \iota s$.
d 6. 白 $\pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} v \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \varrho o v \sigma \alpha \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.: a classical example of such conduct in modern literature is furnished by Countess Gruffanuff's educational methods with the Princess Angelica.-The break in the construction, which leaves the $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ "in the air," is in the familiar conversational style.

e 1. $\gamma v v a<\kappa \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ \mu \hat{\epsilon} v$ ô̂v $\kappa \tau \lambda$., "what could you expect of a bringing up by women-women of the royal seraglio-new to their high station, with never a man to advise them ?"
e6. av̉roîs $a \hat{v} . \ldots \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\alpha} \tau o$, "was all the time acquiring for them."-But with the "flocks" he did not secure for them the shepherd's training; a literary conceit.
 manifestly alienum additamentum, and Schanz follows him. The passage certainly reads on admirably if $\sigma \kappa \grave{\lambda} \rho \alpha^{\prime} \nu$ follows ov̂ $\sigma a \nu$, but there is this special reason, noticed by Stallb., for thinking Пєр $\iota \kappa \bar{\eta} v$ genuine, that $\mathrm{M} \eta \delta \iota \kappa \eta \nu$ at a 7 gains special point as a contrast to $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$; so that I should only agree to Ast's rejection, if $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ M $\eta \delta \iota \kappa \eta \eta$ were rejected as well. I cannot believe Stallb. is right in rejecting only Пє $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} \nu$. The separation of ổ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ from its predicate $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \alpha^{v}($ in that case) by the circumstantial absolute clause seems
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proofs of Darius's political wisdom ; he shared his own power with others, and made his people one in spirit. Like Cyrus, he was

c 8. H. Richards would add av̇ós to " $\bar{\beta} \beta \delta o \mu o s$, but it is hard to see how such a natural addition should have dropped out; and $\epsilon \notin \delta o \mu o s$ by itself emphasizes more the fact that D. associated six others with himself in the government.-The same division into seven satrapies is mentioned at Ep. vii. l.c. Hdt. iii. 89 says D. divided his kingdom into twenty satrapies.
c 10. каì vó $\mu о$ vs . . . оікєі̀, " and set himself to govern by laws of his own making" (whereby he gave his people égalité).
 context (on both sides) shows that D., instead of keeping the tribute paid by the subject races, divided it among his Persian subjects; another abandonment of arbitrary power.
d 7. $\widehat{\omega} \Delta \alpha \rho \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon$. . K $\alpha \mu \beta \dot{v} \sigma \eta \nu$ : Burnet follows Stallb. in marking off this passage as an animated-one might almost say an agitated-parenthesis; and this is the best way out of the difficulty.- $\delta \delta \delta^{\prime}$ resumes the thread of the interrupted sentence, of which 気 $\rho \rho \xi \eta$ s is the sùbject, very naturally.-Stallb. compares aptly such "tragic" adjurations as that which begins Euripides's Alcestis- $\widehat{\omega} \delta \omega \mu a \tau^{\prime}$ ' $A \delta \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ' $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ ois $\kappa \tau \lambda$., where the relative sentence contains all that is said about the vocative.-We might paraphrase here: "To think that you should have been blind to Cyrus's blunders!"
d8. i' $\sigma \omega$ s is a sort of apology for the strangeness of the adjuration-something like an "I think you will admit." (Steph. would read an exclamatory $\omega$ s for ôs; Ast would reject ôs; Herm. brackets the whole passage-but $\Xi^{\prime} \rho \xi \xi \eta$ s badly wants a verb, especially with of $\delta \epsilon$ following; Peipers, Quaest. Crit. de Pl. Legg. p. 81, accepts Hermann's athetesis and rejects $\delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$ as well ; Badham marks a lacuna after $\Xi^{\prime} \in \rho \xi \eta$, and Schanz follows him.)
e 2. $\delta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \ldots \pi \alpha \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota v$, " Xerxes, I say, being a product of the same kind of education, duly reproduced Cambyses' career." (Ast, Lex., gives $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \tau \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ the meaning passus est.)
e 4. Єॄк $\gamma \in$ тoбovíov, "ever since," "from that day to this."
e 5. $\pi \lambda \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon$ óvó $\mu a \tau \iota$ : this, coming after $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$, is tautological, but apparently Plato could not resist the temptation to play with the word $\mu^{\prime} \gamma$ as. (It is possible that it is not Plato, who says it, but a commentator, making explicit the hint which already lay in the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$ s.)
e 6. For the MS. $\tau$ v́ $\chi \eta$ s Steph., Ast, Herm., and Schanz read $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$. But similar genitives occur at Antiphon, De caede Herodis

 $\tau^{\prime} \chi \chi \nu \eta$ s ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau i v$; the gen. is equal to an adjective: "the cause is no accidental one." It is explained, 696a 2 f., that the same effect always follows; and we must supply aïтıóv $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ with


696a1f. This кaí means and, but those in a 2 mean or. The father must be excessively rich, and also possess unrestricted sway over his fellows. Even then the $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ allows exceptions; but if the bringing up is the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \pi i \pi \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \sigma=s \tau \rho o \phi \eta^{\prime}$ above described, excellence is out of the question.
a 2. "Boy or man, however long he live," i.e. the effect of the bad education will last a lifetime.
 the lawgiver, for practical purposes, we, at present, for theoretical. Such a remark as this prepares the ground for the dramatic fiction of a new Cretan Colony, which serves to mark the transition at the beginning of the fourth book from the purely theoretical to the practical part of the treatise.
a 6 f . All the кai's in these two lines are or ; if the conjunction before $\tau \rho \circ \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$ had stood alone, it would probably have been ovं $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$. $-\pi \epsilon v i ́ q ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$. ., "whether to rich or poor, subject or prince."
a 7. $\tau \rho \circ \not{ }^{\prime} \eta_{\nu}$ : Boeckh, in confuting Cornarius's plausible substitution of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ for this word, quotes Ar. Pol. $1294 \mathrm{~b} 22 \dot{\delta} \mu \mathrm{o}$ íws
 Lacedaemonians). He also says: "Tò $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ à $\rho \chi$ às $\theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu$ est Lycurgus,
 Ritter says, Aristotle has, at Pol. 1313 a 25 ff , adopted Plato's view of the reason for the durability of the Spartan constitution, i.e. the division, and other restrictions of personal power.
 is a fast runner."
b4. With $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ must be supplied $\tau \iota \mu a ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon \epsilon i \in i v a \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi$ ov́vas —"even virtue must not be highly honoured if unaccompanied by $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma \dot{v} \eta$."
b 8. đòv $\lambda_{o ́ \gamma o v ~ a ̆ ́ к о ́ ́ \sigma a s: ~ w e ~ s h o u l d ~ s a y, ~ i n ~ a ~ s i m i l a r ~ c a s e, ~}^{\text {a }}$ "when you have heard my reasons," but the Greek still refers to the logos as having an external reality and convincing power.
c 2 and 8. These two instances may be regarded as cases of the virtue of $\phi \rho o v^{v} \eta \sigma \iota$, the former being of an inferior kind to
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the latter. In the case of the clever artist $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \boldsymbol{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ is excellence, rather than virtue.
c5. This argument involves the assumption that where $\delta \iota \kappa a \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{v} \eta$ is absent, á $\delta \iota \kappa i ́ a$ must be present; the neutral state as to $\delta \iota \kappa$. is put out of consideration. Here $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\nu} \eta$ is shown in what we should call consideration for others. The clever man (in any line) might easily take an unfair advantage of his neighbour, but a sense of justice makes him hold his hand.
 $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o v \epsilon i ̂ v$.
 $\tau o ́ \delta \epsilon$, but it explains the point of view from which aí $\stackrel{\prime}{V}$ vaîs $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ are to be discussed : "There is a further question which arises, when we are considering the principles on which civic honours ought to be bestowed."-We have been told above that $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ is a necessary adjunct to all virtue; now we are asked, for the purposes of the lawgiver, to appraise this adjunct on its own account.
d 4 ff . Ath. "Suppose $\sigma \omega \dot{q} \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ to exist in a man's soul by itself, unacciompanied by any virtue besides; would it have any claim to honour or not?"

Meg. "I cannot tell."
Ath. "A very proper answer ; for really, if you said yes to either of my alternatives, I should think it a mistake.'

Meg. "It's just as well then that I answered as I did."
Ath. "Quite so : the fact is that what is a (mere) adjunct to the things which deserve civic recognition or disapproval, is not of a nature to detain us; for the purposes of our argument we may neglect it."

Meg. "The adjunct you mean being $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\eta} ?$ ?"
Ath. "Yes. What is important is that whatever, of the things outside it, does us, with its help, the most service, that thing should be most highly honoured, and what comes next in usefulness next. In this way every quality, all down the list, would get its due meed of honour in its turn." As King Lear said to his youngest daüghter, "Let it be so: thy truth then be thy dower." But though $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ is to get no more praise from the public than Cordelia gave herself, this does not mean that it is worthless. We learnt in Bk. I. that $\underset{\text { é } \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v}{ } \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ s are of great importance, and now we see that no virtue can be operative without it. As a personal virtue, it seems to involve a good deal of what we call self-respect. Notwithstanding the colourlessness which
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and Cic. De off. iii. 6. 28, "quam omnia incommoda subire, vel externa, vel corporis, vel etiam ipsius animi," where the contrasted evils are given just as at Gorg. 477 b -ovkô̂v х $\rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ каi

 The $\lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu a$ at b 6 is a hint that some part at least of the definition of the classes is not the speaker's own.
b 4. $\kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ : as often, the passive of $\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \bar{\epsilon} \alpha \iota$-this time, of $\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \iota \iota$ in the sense of reckon as.
 this scheme"; $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ov́ $\tau \omega \nu$ here stands vaguely for something in the context, as at 694 d 4.
b 7. єis $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\varrho}$. . . $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \rho o \alpha ́ \gamma o v \sigma a$ : it would appear from this that material wealth is at least not to be honoured ; it is even conceivable that the author meant it to be a disgrace. At 741 e 7 it is laid down that no citizen is to possess any money. At b 2 above $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu i ́ a \iota$ are spoken of as well as $\tau \iota \mu \alpha i$, and the word may mean disgrace, though it may perhaps mean merely the absence of
 ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$, it cleảrly means positive disgrace.) In either case we might translate this passage: "either by promoting wealth to be a recipient of honour, or by raising, through honours, any member of the inferior classes of goods into a class above." (Jowett takes
 giving money the place of honour.")
 morality."
c6. Schanz recurs to the old accentuation in $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$, taking $\pi^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \iota$ to govern $\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ only, and not, as Ast says, $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ \alpha s . \quad \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ would thus $=\Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} s$. But the analogy
 $\tau \eta ̀ \nu$ aitíav, and 691 b 2 тov́тov $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha ́ \theta o v s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ s, are in favour of taking the construction here to be $\dot{\eta} \delta \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \psi \iota \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{\Pi} \Pi_{\epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha s . ~ C p . ~ a b o v e ~ o n ~}^{685}$ с 2.
c 7. A has $\bar{\epsilon} \pi i \grave{\iota} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \stackrel{\ddots}{\epsilon} \tau \iota$; the scribe himself seems to have thought that the $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi i \mathfrak{i}$ had been doubled by mistake, for he put dots under the first, and a line under the second. (At the same time he did not venture to erase either.) Burnet apparently accepts this view,
 ${ }^{\prime} \tau \iota$. To this Apelt, p. 6, objects forcibly that the Persian decline was not steady "from year to year"; there were ups and downs. He prefers to regard A's reading as a defaced form of three words,
 comes in very well after "has led us to make a long disquisition"


 Whatever view be taken of this dark passage, ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota$ must be wrong. The state of the Persian constitution is not represented as being bad to begin with. The only proposed emendation which would keep it
 other grounds. We want here a general summing up of the result of the discussion, not a repetition of a single incident of it. I venture to print Apelt's suggestion in preference to any other.'
c 8. $\tau \grave{o}{ }^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \hat{\theta} \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$. . . $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ : that is, they (the Persians) acted in direct violation of the directions given to the lawgiver

 коьvшvíav $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \Pi^{\prime} \rho \sigma \sigma \iota s$.
d 1-698a3. As Stallb. says, it is the $\tau \epsilon$ after ö $\tau \alpha \nu$ in d 6 which corresponds to ov $\theta^{\prime}$ in d 2 ; the first part deals with the conduct of the potentates, the second (ö $\tau \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$.) with that of
 the subj. to $\dot{\eta} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ is $\stackrel{\alpha}{ } \rho \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, supplied from $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ $\beta o v \lambda \eta_{\eta} ; ~ \phi i \lambda \iota \alpha$ goes in sense with $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ as well as with ${ }_{\epsilon} \theta \nu \nu$; the $\tau \epsilon$ after ${ }_{\epsilon} \chi \theta \rho \hat{\omega} s$ is "and in consequence."-For $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ $\mu \iota \sigma o v ̂ \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ср. Rep. 417 b $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \grave{\eta}$ каi $\mu \iota \sigma о v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$, and
 1134 with Lobeck's note. (I see no reason to follow Schanz in making a lacuna after $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, and rejecting the forcible $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$. At most I would put a (-) after $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ and another after ка $\alpha a \phi \theta$. to mark the looseness of the construction. After $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ there is an erasure in A of something (? ${ }^{\circ \prime} \tau \alpha \nu$ ), and the last eight letters of $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \tau \alpha \nu$ extend beyond the line into the margin. This looks as if A at first omitted $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$-a natural blunder. Hug would excise from $\pi v \rho \grave{\imath}$ to $\mu \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon$ s.) -We may translate : "Patriotism has vanished. On the one hand the mind of the potentates does not think of the good of their subjects and the people, but only of the establishment of their own authority, so that, if they imagine it will do themselves the least good, when occasion occurs, they overturn and burn with fire cities and tribes of friendly people, and, in consequence, hate and are hated with a deadly and pitiless hatred. On the other hand, when they come to want the common people to fight in their defence, they find
there is no sort of union among them, and no zeal to make them risk their persons in battle. Masters of countless millions, they cannot command a single soldier. They hire outsiders, as if they had no subjects of their own, and actually fancy that strangers and hirelings will be their salvation. Besides all this, there is a folly which they cannot avoid, for they proclaim by their actions on each occasion that whatever counts in the state as honourable and precious is as nothing in comparison with gold and silver."

698 a 9. The older MSS. all have $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \tau \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} a \hat{v}$ тоえ兀тєías, and so Burnet prints it. If Plato wrote this he must have intended to put in ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\prime} a v$, but, as he puts in ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \in \rho^{\prime} \dot{a}$ in another construction, it seems the best thing to omit the $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$. Late MSS. and all edd. but Burnet alter $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$ to тодıтєíav.
a 10. Though at a 5 f. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \gamma \epsilon \Pi \epsilon \rho \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ seems to be the
 epexegetic of $\tau$ ', it seems better here to take $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ (" to set forth") as governing the following is clause directly, and to take $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ 'A $А \tau \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s$ as adverbial-" with respect to the Athenian constitution."
b 1. Here we have two prepositional phrases depending on nouns: $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial} \pi \alpha \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ on $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a$, and $\dot{v} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \in \rho \rho \omega \nu$ on $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$. In the latter case, as Stallb. says, a simple gen. would have left it doubtful whether it was subjective or objective; the expression used leaves no doubt that government by, not government of, is meant. The quasi-compound adjective $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho o \nu$ $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi$ Хov́r $\eta$ s adds to the effect of complexity given by the sentence. (Ast ingeniously, but wrongly, proposed to read $\dot{v} \mu \in \tau \in \mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho a s$ for $\dot{v} \phi$ ' є $\tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega \nu$.)
b 3. For the dat. governed by the verbal noun $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi i \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s \mathrm{cp}$. on 633 c 2 .
b 5. ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \tau \mu \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu . . . \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu:$ we must not press this $\epsilon \in \kappa$ to mean that officials of any kind might come from any of the four classes of Solon's timocracy. Members of the fourth class were members of the electing assembly, but might not be elected themselves to any office, while some high offices were confined to members of the highest class. '̇́ $k$ then means "on a basis of," and, to those who knew, the mention of $\dot{d} \rho \chi \alpha i$ in this connexion would convey the notion that in some way certain offices were confined
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$\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha i$; "reports kept reaching us of immense preparations and never-ceasing threats on the part of the great king."
 fire of youth"; the adjs. are predicative.
 sponds to the каí before кат $\alpha$ $\theta \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau \alpha \nu$ in b 1 . He has just said the Athenians saw no way of avoiding destruction, whether they stayed on land, or took to the sea. Then he enlarges on these two points: (1) if they stood their ground they would get no help, and (2) if they tried to sail away they would be intercepted. There is a break in the construction after ov' $\delta^{\prime} \mathcal{V} \alpha: \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V} \circ \iota$ goes back to the $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o$, to which, in sense, $\beta o \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ is subordinate. Schanz and Burnet mark this by parenthesis marks before $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \circ \circ$ and after $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ in bl.
b 1. $\tau$ ó $\gamma \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ : the $\gamma \epsilon$ gives the effect of "so much for their chances by land."

 $\mu^{\prime} \in \nu o v s, "$ and remembered how desperate the chances of success in the field had looked then"; ' $\epsilon$ фaív $\epsilon \tau 0$, in quasi-reported speech, refers to a time previous to that of $\sigma v \nu \in \nu o ́ o v v$, and must therefore be rendered in English by a pluperfect.- $\mathbf{\epsilon}_{\xi} \xi \dot{\alpha} \pi$. . . . $\dot{\epsilon} \phi . \gamma \in \nu$. : lit. "how victory in fight had seemed to emerge from a hopeless state of things"; i.e. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \neq \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ is merely a variety of expression for ä $\pi$ o 0 ov.
 $\epsilon \pi i \grave{a} \gamma \kappa \dot{v} \rho a s$ dicunt Graeci, ut in notissima Demosthenis sententia
 monet Harpocration. Et cum spes aptissime per ancoram significetur, facillima translatione dicunt, $\vec{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \vec{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i ́ \delta o s ~ o ́ \chi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$, unde in proverbium abiit." Porson on Orest. 68, who cites Ar. Eq. 1244 and this passage, and Plut. Non posse suad. ch. 26. 6

 $\delta \iota a \nu \eta \xi^{o} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$ Neil on $E q .1244$ agrees with Casaubon that in the phrase $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i ́ \delta o s{ }^{\prime} \chi \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$ the metaphor is of a man on a
 $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta i \alpha s$. Certainly Plutarch did not use the metaphor with the consciousness of its coming from the use of an anchor; a shipwrecked swimmer would not fare any better for being anchored. Still I can hardly believe Porson to have been wrong in such a matter.
 in explanatory apposition to $\tau \alpha \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$. Both kinds of fear helped to unite the populace. F.H.I. suggests that ô $v$. .
 $\dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$. Badham rejects the words $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \quad{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. They involve a tautology, but it is difficult to see who could have inserted them. An author does sometimes say the same thing twice over, in slightly different language, if he wants to lay special stress on the idea conveyed. Certainly there is no idea in the Laws to which Plato attaches such importance as to this, i.e. that loyalty to good laws begets a good character. It was this loyalty, he says, to the laws and institutions of a better time that made the Athenians of that day what they were.
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma^{\prime} \beta \in \epsilon$.—Cp. the scriptural use of the word fear, e.g. " the fear of the Lord is the beginning of wisdom."

 the timorous man is (by nature) free and immune ; and yet, had it not been for his seizure by a fear on that occasion, the timorous man would never have joined the army, and repelled the foe." For $\alpha \not \phi o \beta o s$ with a cognate gen. cp. 647 с $3 \quad \alpha \quad \alpha o \beta o \nu . . . \phi o ́ \beta \omega \nu$ $. \pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu .-\bar{\nu} \nu$ : the rel. clause has here, I think, an adversative force.- $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon o s{ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \alpha \beta \beta \tau$ : used with the consciousness that it was an epic phrase (cp. the Hom. $\delta$ '́os $\epsilon i \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ), and, moreover, that $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{o s}$ had a literary connexion with ai $\delta \omega \bar{\prime} s . \quad$ Cp. O 657 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \chi \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} p$ aidòs каi $\delta \delta^{\prime} \neq s$, the verse from the Cypria quoted at
 1083, the passage which begins ov $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \pi о \tau^{\prime}$ ov" $\tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \nu \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ vó $\mu \circ \iota$
 ai $\sigma \chi \chi^{v} v \eta$.-The idea of the fight with fear-which here results in the mastering of the first kind of fear by the second-has occurred

 careful consideration of the ten or more emendations proposed in various parts of this passage, I have come to the conclusion that they all present difficulties at least as great as those in the text; and so, I am glad to see, has O. Apelt (p. 6) ; only he accepts Schanz's statement that A has $\hat{\eta}$ o $\quad \delta \in i \lambda o ̀ s$, and he proposes to read $\hat{\eta}$ ó $\delta \in \iota \lambda$ òs $\grave{\epsilon} \lambda$. каi ${ }^{\alpha} \phi$. But Burnet, who comes after Schanz, assures us that A, like all the other MSS., reads $\hat{\eta} s$. (The chief emendations are : $\hat{\eta} s$ ó $\delta o \hat{v} \lambda o s$ Heindorf and Ast, $\hat{\eta} s$ o $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$ Herm.,
 Stallb., $\hat{\eta} \hat{o}$ ó $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$ Schanz, ô for ôv Ritter; Schmidt would reject $\kappa \alpha i ̊ \alpha \phi o \beta o s$ and $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega ̈ \nu$.)
d 2 . $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ̈ккабтоs: if $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ оs had been the true reading at either place above, it would not have been necessary to alter the subject to $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \dot{\omega}$ 气̈ккаотоs here.
d 3-e 6. Meg. "What you say is not only very true, but there is also a special fitness in its being said by you as an Athenian.". Ath. "There is a special fitness about my words, Megillus; I mean that it is right to tell that story to you, born as you are to an inheritance in your ancestors' character. Moreover, I want you and Cleinias to consider what my story has to do with lawmaking" (lit. "if I am saying what has in any degree"- $\tau$-_" an appropriateness to $\nu_{0} \mu_{0} \theta \epsilon \sigma$ (a"). "For my disquisition is not made for the story's sake, but for the reason I mention" (i.e. to help us to understand the right principles of $v o \mu o \theta \epsilon \sigma(a)$. "It is interesting: (lit. "just look !") just as, in a way, our fate was the same as that of the Persians, though they reduced the populace to absolute slavery, and we, on the other hand, drove our masses towards absolute freedom, so our discourse of a little time back turns out in a way very useful (towards deciding) how and what ought to be said next."
d 6. Ast would read кoıv $\omega \nu o ̀ v ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \tau \rho i ́ \omega v \gamma \epsilon \gamma o v o ́ \tau \alpha ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$. . He was partly led to this by the fact that $\pi a \tau \rho \omega^{\prime} \omega \nu$ (for $\pi a \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ) was (apparently) the only MS. reading he knew.
d 8. H. Steph. alters $\tau \grave{\imath}$ to $\tau \grave{\alpha}$, Schanz rejects it; Wagner reads $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa о \nu$ for $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ ŋ́когта-all quite unnecessary changes.
 view to the object of our discussion." It seems more natural to take ( $\tau 0 \hat{\prime} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$ ) ồ $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \omega$ to mean "what I mentioned just now."
e 2. $\tau \alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta o s: ~ i . e . ~ n a t i o n a l ~ d e t e r i o r a t i o n ~ a n d ~ d e c a y .-~$ The plpf. $\sigma v \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$-in which Schanz may well be right in introducing the augment-does not imply that the process of deterioration took place at Athens sooner than in Persia; it marks the time of the events as previous to that of the verb cipquévo cioí.

e 5. The chief difficulty in this passage is in the apparent inadequacy of $\kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s$ cip $\eta \mu^{\prime} \nu_{0}$ as an introduction to the subordinate $\pi \hat{\omega} s \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. All through the paragraph the idea of fitness and correspondence has been prominent: this may incline us to read into $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ the notion "aptly," i.e., in theis case, "so as to give an indication." (Ficinus puts in "demonstrant.") This is
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nor the clapping of hands either, to signify applause. No:,the educated part of the audience had made it a rule, as far as they were concerned, to listen in silence throughout a performance, and there was the reminder of the official's rod to keep order among the children and fiunkeys (their attendants) and the mass of the populace."
b 1. $\epsilon " \delta \eta$ and $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau \alpha$ here seem used in the same sense as єîठos and $\tau \rho$ óто九 at Rep. 424 c єîठos $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ каıvòv $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta ̂ s$
 $\kappa \iota v o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \tau \rho o ́ \pi \sigma \iota ~ \alpha ้ \nu \epsilon v \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$ vó $\mu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \iota \sigma \tau \omega \nu$.
b2. Of the two readings $\tau o v i \tau \omega \mathrm{~A}$ and $\tau o \hat{v} \tau o \mathrm{~L}$ and O , the former is manifestly the correct one.-On the other hand I think a corrector of $O$ was right in changing the $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$ after $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \nu \nu$ into $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ at b 7. The original scribe was misled by familiarity with the phrase ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \in i s \not{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{o}$ "indiscriminately." If A L and Oand ö $\lambda \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \alpha, \Delta a c c$. to the scribe of O -are right, we must suppose Plato to have been guilty of a vulgar error. (So also in the case of Aristotle, De part. anim. 663 b 31.)
b 3. $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and perhaps $\mu \alpha \alpha_{\iota} \sigma \tau \alpha$ imply that the term $\theta \rho \hat{\eta} \nu o \iota$, as thus applied, did not date from these early times.
b 5. The oîma८ possibly does not imply doubt in the speaker's mind as to the subject, but as to the reason for the name $\delta_{\iota} \theta v^{\prime} \alpha \mu \beta o s$. The apposition is a strange one, anyhow. (Can $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ possibly be used in the sense of "a production"—_"a Dionysiac product"?)-vó $\mu$ ovs: the so-called Nomes must have been, as Wagner and Apelt say, something like the German Chorale, and, from their solemn character were necessarily accompanied by the lyre.-This use of the term law in music seems to Plato, in a way, to clinch his argument; cp. below 722 e 1 and 799 e 10 ff ., Plut. De mus. 1133 bc .- The applicability of words denoting fixed standards or rules to music is evident in many languages. E.g. our canon (Gr. $\kappa \alpha \nu \omega \nu$ ) denotes a composition written strictly according to rule. (Grove's Dict. of Music, s.v. canon.) It is amusing to read at Arist. Probl. 19. 28 ( 919 b 38 ) that the vó $\mu$ o七 which were sung were so called because, in illiterate ages and peoples, actual laws were sung-like versified Latin gender rules.
 "as a special kind of song"; merely a variety of the previous
 inexplicable, and the latter proposes to read $i \epsilon \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu$ for $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu$.
b 7. See above on b 2.
c 1. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \omega \nu$ depends on $\kappa \hat{v} \rho o s$; Ast well compares a similar gen. and infin. with kupoos at Dem. Adv. Aristocr. 689 (sub fin.)
 put a comma after $\tau 0$ óv $\omega \nu$. For the loosely connected epexegetic infins. cp. below 790 c 3, Rep. 416 a 6 (with Adam's note), 443 b 8, Gorg. 513 e (with Thompson's note), Tim. 33 c 4, Phaedr. 242 b.
 what we should call "the cultured classes"-"those who moved in educated circles."- $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \circ \nu^{\prime}$ '́al $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{i}=$ the Lat. versari in ; for a


 take the words to mean the body of teachers and educational officials -"those engaged in education.")-For $\pi a i \delta \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ in the sense of


c 6. aủzoîs: emphatic, "with their own ears." Riddell however, Digest §222, takes it as a mere "pronominal resumption."- $\pi \alpha \iota \sigma \grave{ }$ . . . ö $\chi \lambda \varphi$ : cp. Rep. 397 d 7.
d1. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau$ ": adverbial, "in these respects"; it goes with ${ }^{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.一ovi $\tau \omega \tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \mathrm{~s}$, "so strictly." (If any alteration of the text is to be proposed, I should venture to suggest $\tau \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}$ for $\tau a \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$.)
d4. ф'́vєє . . . $\dot{\eta} \delta o v \hat{\eta} s$, "ignorant, in spite of all their poetical gifts, of what is right and proper in the Muses' domain, frenzied victims of an unhappy itch for pleasure." This censure applies to both words and tune. Aristoxenus, as quoted by Athenaeus (xiv. 632 b), echoes it thus: ка̀ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} a \tau \rho a{ }_{\epsilon} \epsilon \beta \beta \epsilon \beta a \rho$ -
 avi $\eta \eta \mu o v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$. See also the quotation from the same author made by Plut. De mus. 1142 b , where Telesias of Thebes is said to have forsaken the old school of Pindar and others for that of Philoxenus and Timotheus, with disastrous effects.
d 6. кєраvvv́vтєs $\kappa \tau \lambda$. : cp. Plut. De mus. 1133 b ov̉ $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ç $\xi \hat{\eta} v \tau$ т̀̀
 á $\rho \mu o v i ́ a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \rho ̀ ~ v ~ \theta \mu o u ́ s . ~$
 were guilty of so far slandering their art as to assert, in their folly, that there was no such thing as right or wrong in music : the one proper criterion was the pleasure of the hearer, be he gentle or simple."
e 3. єïтє $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu$ єïтє $\chi \epsilon i \rho \omega \nu$ äv єï $\tau \iota s$ : the syntax is
peculiar; ${ }^{\wedge} \nu \epsilon_{i ̈ \eta} \eta$ seems to be the reported-speech form of the iterative ${ }^{a} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu:-\dot{o} \kappa \rho i v \omega \nu \hat{\alpha} \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu \tau \iota s$, " the arbiter would be (on occasion) a man of some consideration"; this, quoted from
 $\kappa \rho i v \omega \nu$. It is not parallel to the $\epsilon \ddot{\pi} \pi \epsilon \rho \ldots \pi \epsilon \iota \theta$ oí $\mu \eta \nu$ äv at Prot. 329 b (which is itself not free from suspicion), for that is in direct speech, and the main verb is a present (Goodwin, M. and T. § 506).
e 4. It is clear from the context that $\pi o \iota \eta \tau \alpha i$ and $\pi o \iota \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ are here used of musical composers and compositions in the first place, though the same artist "sets" ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota)$, to the heterogeneous musical medley, words of an equally extravagant kind ( тoıov́tovs).
e 5. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \nu o \mu i ́ a \nu:$ at the same time that these lawless poets gave the mob (oi rod $\begin{gathered}\text { oí) an unfounded conceit in their own }\end{gathered}$ judgement, they discredited the principles on which alone a true judgement could be passed.

701 a 3. $\theta \epsilon \alpha \tau \rho о \kappa \rho \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha$ : as we might say, "Tom, Dick, and Harry usurped the critic's chair." Cp. Hamlet iII. ii. 26 "the censure of the which one" (i.e. "the judicious") " must in your allowance o'erweigh a whole theatre of others."-_" $\delta \grave{\eta} \mathrm{O}$ et s.v. $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ : $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{A}, "$ Burnet.
a 3-a 7. "For even though a democracy had arisen, if confined to music ( $\mathcal{\epsilon} v a v j \tau \eta \eta \mu o ́ v o v$ ), and to properly educated men, it would have done no great harm; but, as it was, it did not stop at music, and the notion that every man was an authority on every subject, and was above all rules, this was the notion which got the upper hand among us, and Education had to give way to it."-For this sense of $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \tau \circ \mathrm{cp} .690 \mathrm{~b} 8 \mathrm{f}$. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$
 $\stackrel{a}{a} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
a 4. "aü $\hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \mathrm{L}$ (ut vid.) : $\epsilon a v \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~A}$ O," Burnet.-Again at a 5 A and O have $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, a manifest error for $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, but no good MS. has the latter, and some omit the pronoun altogether.
a 7. ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \phi o \beta o \iota$ : without the right kind of fear, that is, of which we heard so much at the end of Bk. I. Pope expresses a good deal of the same idea when he says "For fools rush in where Angels fear to tread."
a 8. $\tau$ ò $\gamma$ à $\rho \kappa \tau \lambda$., "for :what is it but shocking impudence, when a man disregards the opinion of his betters out of a selfconceit that is begotten of liberty grown over-bold ?"
b 2. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu} \eta \mathrm{s}:$ Ast cps. Plut. Galba 1064 (ch. 25)
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c 7. $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu=\nu$ and $\phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$ agree with the subject to $\alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota v$, i.e. "oneself," and the $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha$ is one's own mouth which speaks the $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o s$ (Stallb. takes $\dot{\alpha} \chi$. $\tau \grave{\partial} \sigma \tau$. to be "unbridled in mouth," and to refer to the $\lambda^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime} o v$-with a glance at the previous metaphor-, then directly, the dó $o s$ itself is pictured again as a runaway horse. Cp. Prot. 338 a $\epsilon \phi \in i ̂ v a \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \chi \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma a \iota ~ \tau \grave{a ̀ s ~} \dot{\eta} v i ́ a s$ $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \iota s, ~ E u r . ~ B a c c h . ~ 385 ~ a ̉ \chi a \lambda i ́ v \omega \nu ~ \sigma \tau о \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ a ̉ v o ́ \mu o v ~ \tau ' ~ a ̉ \phi \rho o-~$ $\sigma$ v́vas $\tau$ ò $\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \delta v \sigma \tau v \chi i \alpha$, Aristoph. Ran. 838 ả $\chi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu o v ~ \sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha$; so we talk of "letting one's tongue run away with one."
 picturesque and familiar expression for "get a fall." Some commentators take the proverb to imply clumsiness, others blindness to one's own advantages (cf. Ar. Nub. 1274). A L and O have vô, $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ övov. The mistake was probably not accidental, but due to a misunderstanding of some grammarian's note to the effect that often-e.g. in the passage from the Clouds- $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$ obvov was meant to be heard as $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} v o \hat{v}$.
d2. $\chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \nu \stackrel{\prime \prime}{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ : a clear case of conflation. I should follow Vat. 177, Schanz, and others in rejecting. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$. Boeckh p. 197 says: "Illud autem cognovi, numquam iungi duas praepositiones $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \kappa \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda o v$, nisi quarum alterutra possit absque casu scribi, ita ut adverbii teneat locum." (The passages cited by Stallb. in defence of the text admit either of special explanation, or of a likely emendation.)
d7. $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu: 693 \mathrm{~b} 3$. Of the three objects, the first two correspond accurately enough to the Liberté and Fraternité of the early French Republicans ; but the mind in Plato's state is shown chiefly in the renouncement on the part of the multitude of any claim to intellectual Égalité. See especially 689 b 2 f ., where the absence of the disposition to obey the wise is called ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} v o \iota a$, 693 c and Rep. 431 d ff.
e 1. $\tau 0 v v^{\prime} \omega \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \in \kappa \alpha \delta \dot{\eta}$, "it is to secure these objects that, etc."
 which we should expect, $\tau \dot{\eta} v \tau \epsilon \delta$. каi $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda$. in semi-agreement with it. Ficinus translates "duas gubernationum species," and somewhat so, to preserve the order and emphasis, must we translate in English. But this does not prove that Boeckh (p. 197) is right in holding that Plato must have written $\delta$ vo є є" $\delta \eta ~ \tau г о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a s$. There is no need, indeed, of the passages he quotes (e.g. below 735 a 5 ) to prove that such words would be correct and natural Greek. But no one has a right to forbid such a construction as that in the text. There is nothing more illogical in it than in, e.g., $\tau 0 \hat{v}$


 $346 \mathrm{~d} 5)$.
e 3-8. $\lambda \alpha \beta^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \kappa \tau \lambda .:$ € $\epsilon \alpha \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho \alpha s$ is gen. sing. ; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ is "in the case of the Persians," $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ "in the case of the Athenians."$\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ is subordinate to $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon$ ' $\delta o \mu \epsilon \nu$; "we perceived that, when we found" or "got" (in either the one or the other, etc.); $\tau$ ó $\tau \epsilon$ resumes the participial clause.- $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon \rho \rho \iota \alpha\right\} \epsilon \iota \nu$ is used, as at Ar. Pol. 1314 a 8 , of a self-assertive, pushing 'sense of freedom.Ritter appositely compares $E p$. viii. 354 e $\delta o v \lambda \epsilon i ́ a ~ \gamma a ̀ p ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̀ ~ \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a ~$
 and reminds us how near the two passages come to the Aristotelian doctrine of the right mean.
e 6. á $\gamma \alpha \gamma^{\prime} v \tau \omega \nu$ (so $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{O}$ and the margin of A ) is intrans. ; "when they marched, moved, pushed on "-a military term. A ${ }^{1}$ and the margin of $O$ have $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \partial \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, from which Schanz conjectures the original reading to have been ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \alpha \nu$ ió $\nu \tau \omega \nu$. Many other alterations have been proposed of this passage, as may be seen from Schanz's critical note.


 $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega}$. . . $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega}$. The pure demonstrative use of the article is unusual outside Homer and the tragedians (e.g. Aesch. Suppl.

$702 \mathrm{a} 2 . \operatorname{av} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ : its position, and the $\gamma^{\prime}$ both help to make this word emphatic ; "and that's the reason why."
 verb to fit it.
a8. $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s . . . \kappa \alpha i$ i $\delta_{i ́ a}^{a}$ : in the first two books we were dealing with the latter subject-i.e. the way laws can help to make a good man-and in the third book with the former-the right way to ordain the constitution of a state. The mention of this subject is a dramatic introduction to Cleinias's subsequent communication. The following question clinches the matter; for the Ath. asks if there is any test to be applied which would gauge the success of their attempts, and the soundness of their theories.
b 4. кãà $\tau \dot{v} \chi \eta_{\nu} \tau \iota v a ̀:$ in the same sense $\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon o ́ v$ is used at
 $\kappa \alpha \theta \eta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu о s$ є́v $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \theta a$; so at b 7 ката́ $\tau \iota v \alpha \alpha \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o ́ v . ~$
c2. каì $\pi$ рós (adv.) : cp. Laws 746 d 8 каì $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \epsilon$ -



 294 а каi $\sigma \dot{v} \gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ́ s$, Men. 90 е каi á $\mu \alpha \theta_{i ́ a} \gamma \epsilon \pi \rho o ́ s$, Prot. 321 d $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta є ́ ~ к \alpha i ~ a i ~ \Delta ı o ̀ s ~ \phi v \lambda а к \alpha i ~ \phi о \beta \epsilon \rho a i ~ \eta ̂ \sigma \alpha \nu, ~ S o p h . ~ 234 ~ а ~ ф \eta \mu i ́, ~ к а i ̀ ~$ $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \theta a \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \eta s$, Menex. 249 е каì $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \gamma \epsilon$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu(-o \iota s) \pi o \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ (-oîs) $\chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \nu{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi \omega$. It will be seen that only three of these passages have no $\gamma \epsilon$. In other authors the $\gamma \epsilon$ is left out as often as not.
c 8. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \mu o i ́ c ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ i ́ v i ̂ \nu: ~ t h e ~ a d v a n t a g e ~ t o ~ t h e ~ t h r e e ~ s p e c u l a t o r s ~$ would be-ultimately perhaps-the opportunity of putting their views to the test of experience (see Timaeus 19 c ), but, at all events, immediately the opportunity of seeing the general principles, above arrived at, applied to concrete instances, and embodied in actual laws. In either case they would be putting their theories to the test.
 versation which had already taken place-possibly to the whole of the conversation on the subject on which they were then engaged. The former explanation suits the context better, but
 imagination."
d 2. oiov"imagining ourselves to be."
d 3. $\grave{\epsilon \pi i \sigma} \kappa \epsilon \psi \iota s$, inspection, examination, as at 849 a , rather than inquiry, as at Rep. 456 c ; "we shall be able to look at what we want"-i.e. a test of their theories.
 Phaedr. 242 B ubi schol. $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \pi \stackrel{\imath}{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \sigma \eta$
 ut interpretatur Hesych." (Stallb.)

## BOOK IV

704 a 1. "Well, what are we to understand that your city is going to be?" ("I don't mean," he goes on, "what it is called now, or what name is going to be given it, but, is it going to be a coast town, or an inland town?")
a 4. каì ó катоєкı $\sigma \mu$ òs $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s$, " the mere circumstances of its founding;" e.g. who founded it? or how was it done? Plato later speaks of this imaginary city as $\dot{\eta} \mathrm{M} \alpha \gamma \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s \quad 860 \mathrm{e} 6$,
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$\epsilon \prime \mu \grave{\imath}$. . . ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu . .{ }^{\prime \prime} \xi \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ means "otherwise it must have acquired," lit. "if it was not to acquire." "The latter' use is a quasi-auxiliary one (Goodwin, M. and T. 428 a ); in the former the verb is more alive-has more of its own proper meaning.
d 5. For $\neq \delta \epsilon \iota \iota$ without $\not{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{cp}$. Goodwin, M. and T. 415 ff .
 ways as bad as they are refined "-" many dangerous refinements"; $\pi о \iota \kappa$ í ${ }^{\prime}$ os here, like $\pi о \iota к \grave{\imath} \lambda \lambda \omega$ at Eur. Cycl. 339, has the notion of " over-civilized," "over-complicated," "over-refined" (not "discordant" as Jowett). Cp. rep. 557 с $\pi \epsilon \pi о \iota \kappa \iota \lambda \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$.
d7. $\tau o \iota a v ́ \tau \eta \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$, "in consequence of its natural position"; we should merely say "in consequence." The redundancy is quite in Plato's style. Cp. e.g. Rep. 505 b $\ddot{\eta} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha$
 ф $\rho о \nu \epsilon$ î ;
d 8. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu v v_{\iota}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota$, " there is comfort in" (Jowett).—Stallb. well cps. Cicero, De rep. ii. 3 and 4, where he talks of the corruptela ac demutatio morum in maritime cities, and praises Romulus for putting Rome away from the coast.
 harbour, the more dangerous it was.-o" $\mu \omega \mathrm{s} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$., "however, we will make shift to do with it as it is." Ast and Wagner wrongly take these words to mean, "so much the better that it is (removed from the sea)" but this entirely neglects the ó $\mu \omega \mathrm{s} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$. This clause is almost parenthetic-" not that I insist on any alteration";-the $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ in the following sentence goes back to the
 the sea.
 as the modern reader would be inclined to take it, of the visible charm of the sea, but of the convenience to daily life of a varied and well-stocked market. Cp. Modern Painters, pt. iv. ch. xiii. $\$ \$ 17 \mathrm{ff}$.
a 3. ${ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}=$ "in a deeper sense than the superficial meaning of the words"; i.e. there is something morally as well as physically distasteful about the sea. The words $\dot{a} \lambda \mu \nu \rho o ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau o ́ v \eta \mu \alpha ~ o c c u r, ~$ we are told, in a poem of Alcman.
a 4. $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \pi \eta \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ d o e s ~ n o t ~ g o ~ c l o s e l y ~ w i t h ~ \hat{\epsilon} \mu \pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda \hat{a} \sigma \alpha$, but is a quasi-adjectival adjunct probably to $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau \iota \sigma \mu o \hat{v}$ alone; cp. Rep. 371 d and Soph. 223 d , where $\epsilon \mu \pi о \rho o \iota$ are distinguished from $\kappa \alpha ́ \pi \eta \lambda_{o \iota}$ : the former travel with their goods (and probably sell

 importers, and sell, retail, to uatives.
 ways," "trickery and cheating." The word $\pi \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \mu \beta o \lambda o s$, which seems to have obtained considerable currency in later Greek, is explained by Ruhnken (Tim. p. 148), following Harpocration, as originally applied to something thrown back on one's handsparticularly a slave. Dio Chrys. xxxi. 321.d couples the word with $\pi \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \mu \pi \rho \alpha \tau o s . ~ H e r e ~ D i o ~ s e e m s ~ t o ~ u s e ~ t h e ~ w o r d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ s e n s e ~$ of "good-for-nothing" (cp. our phrase "an old shop-keeper," and Uncle Remus's "the same old two-and-sixpence"), but previously on the same page he says a healthy.nature has nothing $\pi \alpha^{i} \lambda^{\prime} \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu$ or $\delta v \sigma \chi{ }^{\epsilon} \rho^{\prime} \epsilon$ s about it, where the words evidently mean shifty and spiteful respectively, as the following words identify the char-
 vouched for by Timaeus's interpretations $\pi о \lambda v \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \beta o \lambda o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \mu \iota \hat{d} \gamma \nu \omega \prime \mu \eta \mu \grave{\eta}: \mu \epsilon \prime \nu \omega \nu$. Both meanings are well attested by Ruhnken's quotations. $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ in composition, like our back-, has often a sinister significance (cp. $\pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \tau \rho \iota \beta \dot{\eta} s$ at Soph. Phil. 448,
 backslide, backbite. Dio in the above passage may well have had Plato's words in mind: he says, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ rò̀s $\mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \kappa \alpha \pi \eta \eta^{\prime} \lambda o v s$ roùs

 spurious).
a 8. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu v ́ \theta \iota o \nu$. . . $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha v ̃ \tau \alpha: ~ p r o b a b l y ~ n o t " a n ~ a s s u a g e-~$ ment of these fears of ours," but "an abatement of these dangers." Cp. Thuc. . . 103 '̇ $\lambda \pi i s \delta_{\epsilon} \kappa \iota \nu \delta v ́ v \varphi \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu v ́ \theta \iota \iota \nu$ ov̂ $\sigma \alpha$, and Plato, Critias 115 b $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \dot{\theta} \theta \iota a \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu o \nu \hat{\eta} s$. The word is used at 773 e, and elsewhere in the Laws, in the sense of "incitement to," and so Athenaeus 640, e uses it when he misquotes Plato's $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu v \theta_{\iota} \alpha$
 very fact that (it) produces all kinds of crops."
b 1. Schanz's faith in A is here justified. Its original reading
 rightly, as I think. L.O, Eus., and Stob. follow a text which reads
 corrector of A (so Schanz), or (as Burnet) the original writer, has altered the shorter into the longer form-r-changing $\pi o \lambda \dot{v} \phi o \rho o s$ to $\pi \alpha ́ \mu \phi o \rho o s$, and adding the missing words in the margin, so as to
 provides a likely explanation of the omission, but the shorter form
gains so much in lucidity as to make it preferable. For the

b4. какóv goes with єis $\gamma \epsilon \nu$. каi $\delta \iota \kappa$. $\dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$, much as
 cp. Rep. 331 b, Phil. 63 c. This phrase, though often used with superlatives, or expressions equivalent to superlatives, does not in itself mean "prae ceteris" (Ast), or "above all" (L. \& S.)-here, for instance, it could not be so translated-but it is like our "taking one thing with another," "taking it all round." The similar $\epsilon i=1$ acquired (from its constant use in comparison) just this sense of "above all". Cp. $647 \mathrm{~b}, 738$ e.

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$, where the $\tau \rho о \phi \dot{\eta}$ referred to is the какоेs $\beta$ íos o̊ $\nu$ oi $\tau \omega \nu$

c 5. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ '̇ $\nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \lambda o c^{\prime} \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ : there is a slight redundance here. Badh. insists on correcting to $\pi \rho \delta \partial \rho \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau o ̀ s$, and Schanz follows him. But why might not Plato say "for the parts of the ships" interiors," instead of "for the parts inside the ships," or "for the inside parts of the ships"? Cp. Prot. 334 b, where $\tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$ and $\tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \grave{\partial} s \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \omega \mu \mu \alpha \tau o s$ are used for the exterior and the interior of the body, and Phaedr. 247 c ai $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \tau \alpha ̀ ~ " ौ \xi \omega$ тô̂ oúpavô̂ (not "the things beyond the ovंpavós," but "the outside of the ov́pavós").
c 6. There is a Platonic redundance also about the $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ("always") following on ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \hat{\imath} o v(\epsilon \quad \epsilon \tau i)$.
c 7. каi $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$. . . $\tau \hat{\eta} s \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, "that natural feature of the country also is a good one."
c 8. $\tau i \quad \delta \eta$; "how so?"
c 9. "It is well that a city should find it difficult to follow its enemies' example to its cost."-For the double acc. cp. below on 742 e 3.
d 2. Schanz says that A reads $\delta \dot{\eta} \tau \iota$, and this seems to me to lead up to the Ath's. answer better than the usually received $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau i$. It is "Have you anything that has been said, in your mind, when you say that?" To which the Ath. answers, in effect: "Yes; but it is something that was said some time ago."
d 3. What he means by $\phi \dot{v} \lambda a \tau \tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ is further defined at e 1 ff ,, i.e. "take care that I do not fall into (1) the error of putting something, else before virtue, or (2) that of exalting one kind of virtue at the expense of the rest."-I. Bruns, p. 170, of course
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legislation," and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ at a 4 are "the ,aforesaid objects," i.e. that the law should promoter(1) virtue, and (2) virtue in general.
a 4. ồv $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu \eta$ : a very vague expression. So we might. say "is to be got by it."— $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \delta \grave{\eta}$. . . $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, "the dangerous imitation of one's enemies, to which I referred, arises in the following way."
a 7. After the apologetic parenthesis the ${ }_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ construction is abandoned, and direct narrative is substituted.
a 8. $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \delta \dot{\eta}^{\eta}$ like (the suggested) $\delta \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho$ at 638 a 7 , "for instance," "in fact."
b 7. $\stackrel{a}{\nu} \nu$. . $\sigma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \subset \gamma \kappa \epsilon \nu$ : so put because the Athenians did not then become a sea power. The difficulty with Minos and the Minotaur was got over in another way. (Plato mentions the story also at Phaedo 58 a b.) The $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ before ${ }^{\circ} \nu$, to which Stallb. takes exception, explains and justifies the application of the adj. $\kappa a \kappa \eta \eta^{\prime}$ to $\mu i \mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ in a 5.
c 1. $\mu \circ \nu^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ is in strong contrast to the following $\pi v \kappa \nu \grave{\alpha}$ $\dot{a} \pi о \pi \eta \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a s("$ making constant starts"), $\delta \rho о \mu \mu \kappa \hat{\omega} . . . \dot{a} \pi о \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$,
 Plato's $\mu o \nu i \not \subset \omega \nu \nu \dot{o} \pi \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ : at Themist. ch. 4, and at Philopoemen ch. 14.
c 2. $\delta \rho о \mu \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \tau \tau \alpha \chi$, " as fast as their legs would carry them."
 loose dependence on the $\hat{\epsilon} \theta \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ in c 2.
c 6. Both the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ and the $\tau \iota \nu a s$ are scornful-oủk aio $\chi \rho a ́ s$, ,̈s $\phi a \sigma \iota v, \phi v \gamma{ }^{\prime} s: ~ p e r h a p s$ Plato had in mind Archilochus's $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi i s$
 Archil. fr. ; cp. also similar confessions in fragments of Alcaeus and Anacreon, and in Hor. Od. ii. 7. 10).
c 6-8. What is wanting to make this passage intelligible is (1) the discovery of a poem (such as those referred to in the last note) in which the words oúk aioxpàs $\phi v \gamma$ ás occurred, and (2) another , poem in which the words of the author of the first one
 $\mu v \rho \iota o \mu v \rho(\dot{\alpha} \kappa \iota s)$. As it stands it seems remarkable, that s.o mụch should be made of phrases or expressions applied to the conduct just described. (Does $\dot{\rho}$. mean "words of command"? F.H.D.). If, with Schanz, we reject $\rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, :our mind is naturally fixed, all
 $\dot{\epsilon} \theta i(\xi \in v \operatorname{d~1)}$ of those who use a navy. On the other hand it is



Tov̉vaviiov is almost equally out of place. Another correction of the passage which is attractive-especially if $\rho \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ be retained -is that made by "Coraes ad Plutarch. Vol. i. 208. 20 " (Stalllb.) of $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha$ to $\tau o \iota a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$. Stallb. defends $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ by referring to Phil.
 Phil. 65 d 7 and Laws 702 d. 1 (so too 685 e 4). (If the suggestion that we are here dealing with a poetical quotation be accepted, perhaps the poetical $\lambda \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$-as F.H.D. suggests-was what. Plato wrote.)
c.8. Ast is probably right in writing $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \mu v \rho i \omega \nu$ as one word ; cp. Theat. 175 a 4.
d 2. $\tau \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \beta_{\epsilon} \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu \quad \mu^{\prime} \rho o s:$ the assumption, that soldiers are the aristocracy of a state is more explicitly made in the Republic.
d4. $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega}$ : a kind of "ethic" dat. =" in his" (i.e. Homer's "representation," "according to Homer"; cp. Rep. 389 e oía каì

d 5. катєХо $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$, "hard pressed"; cp. Xen. Cyn. 9. 20

elff. 旬 96-102. The chief difference between Plato's quota-
 place of our $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \mu \sigma \nu$. If Plato wrote the gen. he must have meant "lay hold on," "take earnestly to," fighting. At the
 of "stopped" fighting. - Other variations are $\epsilon \mathfrak{c} v \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \mu$ ovs for
 and oî' ajopev́єєs for ${ }^{\circ} \rho \chi \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda a \hat{\omega} \nu$. In all points, I think, our Homeric text is better than Plato's.

707 a 5: The MSS. read ä $\mu a \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a ~ \tau \iota \mu a ̀ s . ~ B a d h a m ~ w o u l d ~$ read $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s$, taking ä $\mu a$ adverbially with $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o \iota s, ~ a n d ~$
 be possible Greek for "honours conferred in the hour of deliverance," $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ s in b. 1 must go with $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho^{\prime}$ ias expressed or supplied-it cannot, as Stallb. wants, go with $\epsilon \in \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$ s-and $\tau \mu \mu{ }^{\prime}$ s in the sense of price takes a genitive naturally; also the temptatation to a scribe to put a dat. after $\alpha \mu \alpha$ is a strong one. On these grounds I accept Badham's emendation.-The ${ }^{\circ} \mu a$, though perhaps improving the rhythm of the sentence; seems somewhat redundant ; but oif $\mu u l$, which Apelt would substitute for it, is out of place in such a decided expression of opinion.-We may translate: "Again, cities which owe their power to their navies do not confer the reward for their deliverance upon the
heroes of the fight. The victory is won by the arts of the pilot, the boatswain and the rower, and by a miscellaneous and disreputable crowd (who exercise these arts), and there can be no proper bestowal of honours upon individuals."
a 6. $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i \sigma \tau \varphi \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \kappa \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu: \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda$. is neut., and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi 0 \lambda$. masc.; lit. "the noblest element among the fighters." For the generalizing use of the neut. cp. $731 \mathrm{e} \tau v \phi \lambda o \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha \iota \quad \gamma \grave{a} \rho \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\partial}$ $\phi \iota \lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ ó $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. (roîs кад入ívтoıs here 'would have sounded like "the handsomest.")
b 1. $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o \delta a \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \grave{o v} \pi \alpha ́ v v \sigma \pi o v \delta a i ́ \omega v \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ : Heindorf on Phaedr. 243 c has collected passages from Greek and Latin authors where "sailors" is used as a term of abuse.-The кaí before $\pi a v \tau o \delta a \pi \omega \nu$, which is in all the MSS., was omitted from all printed texts before Stallbaum's, and is again rejected by Schanz. Ast omitted the $\delta \iota a$ before $\kappa v \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ and put it in the place of the каi before $\pi a \nu \tau o \delta a \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$. This greatly simplifies the construction, but impoverishes the sense.-The extraordinary $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ of the MSS. was corrected by Ald.
b 3. The importance of bestowing public distinction has often been urged .already-e.g. at 631 e $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{o} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s}$. . . каì $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\alpha}-$




 corrupt distribution of public distinctions is denounced as a "debasing of the moral currency."
c 1. The Ath. does not say that Artemisium and Salamis had no part in the deliverance of the Greeks from Persian despotism, but that they did not either begin or complete that deliverance. After all, he adds, mere preservation is, from our present point of view, not nearly so important as the effect of one or the other style of fighting upon the character of the citizens.
c 2. As at 698 e 4 , Schanz rejects the $\hat{\epsilon} \nu$ before Mapa日̂̀v.
c7. $\sigma o \circ$ (dat. ethicus) indicates that the fresh point is in Cleinias's favour.
 often, contain the main ideas: "The truth is, our object in these inquiries, whether into the nature of the country or its institutions, is to secure the right sort of constitution, for we don't think, as most men do . . ."- $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{a} \alpha$ s $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$ : not as Stallb. "civitatis virtutem"-that point comes in later with
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Ald. altered to the fut. (and so Schanz). Here, however; the aor. is probably correct. It may be used, without ${ }_{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\nu}$, of the moment when the colonists, or rather their leaders; "made up their minds to welcome" Peloponnesian comrades; but, more probably, we ought to read $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \iota \sigma \tau^{*}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \mu \circ \iota$ in a 4.
 Argos (in Crete)."
 " is from those parts." The Peloponnesian Gortys seems to have been in Arcadia, not in Argos.

 city of Gortyn." In classical times, however, the Cretan Gortyn or Gortys was better known than the Arcadian one. It is mentioned at B 646 and $\gamma 294$.
 a parallel from Gorg. 505 e to this "explanatory" asyndeton:

 likely wrongly) that the sentences would have run better if $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ and $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \eta \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ respectively had been absent.
b4. For $\pi о \lambda_{\iota о \rho к є \hat{\imath} \nu}$ in the figurative: sense of "hem in," " bring pressure to bear on" cp. Xen. Mem. ii. 1. 13 and 17, and Alc. II. 142 a.
 $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \mu$ (not, as Schneider, Wagner, and Jowett, "conquered by a superior power in war ", but it may be doubted whether Plato meant " (completely overpowered) in an unequal contest," or "by an irresistible attack"; I think the latter.-Cp. Milton, Par. Lost, vi. 454 " Against unequal arms to fight in pain."
c 1. $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$, "in some respects," $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime}$, " in other respects."
c 3. ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \iota$ : the "pregnant" use of $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \in \iota$ in the sense of $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota$;

 agree with $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \mathcal{V} O S$, which is acc., but it may be doubted whether if a fem. noun had been in the place of $\gamma^{\prime}$ 'Vos we should have had
 subject of a previous direct statement ; o$v$ is "since it is."
c 4. For $\stackrel{\partial}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a s$ with a gen: of comparison cp. Gorg. $512 \mathrm{~d}{ }_{\circ} \rho \alpha$
 $\sigma \omega{ }_{\iota} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
c 5. The $\delta^{\prime}$ is adversative to $\epsilon \mathfrak{v} \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, "instead of
that the disaffected body, whose withdrawal is sometimes due to bad laws, and which wants to go on living in the same ways which were fatal to it before, because it is used to them, etc." This is better than to take tò $\delta^{\prime}$ adverbially. It is wrong to supply

d1-7. "On the other hand; while a miscellaneous conglomeration of colonists! has; not, as a body, prejudices which hamper the lawgiver, it takes a long time and great effort to bring about true union in such arcase:" The $\delta^{\prime}$ in d 1 corresponds to the: $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \nu$ in c 2 . Then follows a consideration on the other side: "after all,.we must face the (last mentioned) difficulty, remembering that the work of a lawgiver and founder must in any case demand exceptional ability and virtue."
d 3. $\sigma v \mu \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a \iota$, "coalesce." So Ar. Pol. v. 3 $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu}$
 observation as that in the text.
 would read $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \nu$, and ${ }^{\prime}$ Herm. (pref. to vol. vi.) $\kappa a \theta^{\prime} \varphi \tau \alpha$. I.suspect that the correct reading is $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} v a$, $\epsilon i \hat{i}$, with commas before and

 of $\epsilon$ is is a difficulty, at first sight a fatal one; but perhaps the cacophony of ка $\theta^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \alpha{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \alpha a$ led the writer to proceed as if the sentence, like the preceding one, had a finite verb. No cis is

 L-and O)-"in the nature of the case"-is better than L and O 's öpus-"after all"-but the latter would give a tolerable sense, which oü $\tau \omega$ s, the third MS. variant, would not.
d 7. If the MS. $\tau \in \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \sigma \sigma \nu$ is correct, the meaning is that "law-giving and city-founding is an sovereign specific for manly excellence." (Cp. Critias 106 b ф́́व $\rho$ аког . . . $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega ́ т а т о \nu ~ к а \grave{~}$ ä $\rho \sigma \sigma \tau о \nu \quad ф а \rho \mu a ́ к \omega \nu$, $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu$.) This leaves $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ in an adjectival relation to $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$; but why should "manly." excellence be specified, and what connexion has such a statement with what has gone before? I think Badham was right in reading $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \omega-$ $\tau \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$, but I would not, with him, read $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ and take the sentence to be a question.-For the connexion with what has gone before see above on d 1-7. (If it were a question I should prefer the MS. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ 由́tatov-" $i$ it such a specific as we assume?")For $\pi \rho^{\prime} \mathbf{s}^{\prime}$ in the sense of "(to be good) at,", or "in reference

入óरovs.
d 8 ff. "I don't doubt it," says Cleinias, " but I don't quite see why you say so just now." Then the Ath. pulls himself up short, -much as he did in Bk. III. at 686 c 7,-as if suddenly struck by a consideration which would modify his previous statement. This dramatic device would not be necessary if his two interlocutors had been men of greater intellectual power than they are represented to be.-F. Doering (p. 17) argues that the whole passage from єiкós to $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{\varphi}$ at 712 a 7 is an interpolation-written at an earlier time while Plato still held the views maintained in the Republic. Zeller held that the passage was not Plato's at all, but a hash-up of the passages in the Republic where Plato says his ideal state can only come into being if either philosophers become kings, or kings philosophers. He relies much on the occurrence of the words $\mu \nu \eta^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu, \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \theta^{\prime} \prime s$ and $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \eta^{\prime} s$ in 709 e , as compared with Rep. 487 a. But the idea of the passage in the Laws is not the same, but one more in keeping with the practical tone of the treatise. He does not suggest, as he did in the Rep.-473 b ff. and elsewhere-, that philosophers should be made kings, or kings philosophers, but that a well-endowed and well-disposed despot might be so fortunate as to secure the services of a vo $\mu_{0} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta$ s


What the Ath. says in this passage is: "After all, are we not in danger of expecting too much of, and of attributing too much to even such $\theta \epsilon i 0 \iota \quad \ddot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ as we have postulated?" Cleinias's question has made the Ath. pause, and "go back on "- ' $\pi \pi \alpha \nu \iota \omega$ the subject of the legislator ; and he is suddenly impressed with the view expressed later by Dr. Johnson, as to the "small part" played by "laws" in curiug the "ills that human hearts endure." We may imagine a short interval of silence after Cleinias's question. Then the Ath. says: "I fancy the result of my reconsideration of the matter of the vouo $\theta^{\prime}$ є $\tau a \iota$ will be that I shall say something actually ( $\kappa \alpha \hat{\imath}$ ) derogatory to them as well ( ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \alpha$ ). But if my remark is at all apposite, no harm will be done. After all, why should I mind? It is pretty much the same with all things human."
e 1. It was perhaps the contrast between this remark and the high compliment just paid to lawgivers that led to O's variant of $\epsilon \in \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\dot{\epsilon}^{\pi} \pi \alpha \nu \iota \omega \nu$.
 "not unseasonably," "to the point."-Ritter takes the whole passage quite differently ; i.e. "I think I am going to point out a .
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 particularly because $\pi \dot{u} \nu \tau \alpha \quad \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ seems, from its position, meant to be the obj. of einóvta.- ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau i v$ in both sentences stands for ${ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \in \sigma \tau \iota \nu$.


 $\theta \epsilon \sigma^{\prime}$ ' as' one of the subjects to $\delta \iota a \kappa v \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \omega \sigma \iota$, and to identify $\tau v \chi \chi \eta$ and кaı $\rho$ ós as the second guiding agency. (Badham alters $\pi \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ to $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o s$ and $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ to $\theta \epsilon \grave{\nu}$-naturally enough, if the usual view is correct.) But Luck and Fit Occasion are two distinct influences.
b•8. $\grave{\mu \epsilon \rho} \hat{\sigma}^{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{\nu}{\text { : }}$ : here too I would desert the ordinary interpretation, which supplies $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \neq$ with $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$, and makes $\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ depend on it-" mitius est. concedere;" Stallb: I believe that, by a very mild anacoluthon, the construction (after $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ) is varied from $\dot{\omega}$ s with indic. to an inf. ( $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\imath} \nu$. To - I describe the admission as $\eta \mu \epsilon \rho 0 \nu$ is so extraordinary that Badham would read $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota \omega$ тє $\rho o \nu$. But, used as an adj. describing the nature of $\tau^{\prime} \chi \chi \nu \eta$, as contrasted with the two other agencies, it is apt, and recalls the contrast described at Rep. 410 d f. between the $\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o ́ t \eta s$ of the nature whose body only has been developed,
 man's share in the work of the universe; luck and "ripeness" are not in his hands, but skill is. I would therefore put a comma or colon after $\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$, remove the comma after кa८ $\rho \grave{s}$, and substitute a colon for the full stop after $\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau a$. -"Dans les champs de lobservation le hasard ne favorise que les esprits préparés," Pasteur, Vie p. 88.
c 1. $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega}$ vos cannot (pace the dictionaries and the translators) stand either for "in a time of storm," or "on the occasion of a storm," or (as Stallb.) "at the exigency of a storm" (quum tempestas exïgit atque postulat), nor even "at the critical moment in a storm." All these usages would demand, in classical Greek, a preposition with каı $\rho \hat{\omega} . \quad$ As at.p. 752 a $1, \sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta a c$ (used absolutely) means "to co-operate," and it naturally takes: $a$ dat. of the person helped. Here the third agency ( $\tau \in \chi, \cdot \eta$ ) is represented as helping the second (кai $\rho$ ós). We could get. on without $\chi \in \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu o s$ ("in a storm"), and $\eta^{\prime} \mu \dot{\eta}$ (conversationally added to $\kappa v \beta \epsilon \rho \nu \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta \nu$ in the sense of "rather than the opposite" -this is perhaps better than to take $\tilde{\eta} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ with $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \lambda a \beta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta a u$, i.e. "rather than that it should not"), but an Englishman's fancy
is hardly enough authority in such a case. Badham rejects rboth $\chi \epsilon \mu \hat{\omega} \nu o s$ and $\hat{\eta} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ and Schanz follows him. That one version of Stobaeus apparently omits $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ does not give much support to the latter omission. (L. \& S. actually take.$\kappa v \beta$. as the obj. of , $\sigma v \lambda \lambda$.) [F.H.D. would read $\hat{\epsilon} v \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\varphi}$ and trans. "to intervene in a storm at the right moment."]
 a commentator's amplification which has wrongly found its way
 Schanz's $\kappa \alpha ٌ \nu \tau 0 \imath \hat{s} \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \iota s$ are unnecessary.
 In other words, "law-making as much as any task needs slill.".
c 7. The asyndeton is of the usual "explanatory" kind.-
 accepting the easier reading $\epsilon i \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ here, though $A$ and $O$ and some inferior MSS. have $\epsilon i \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \iota$. The opt. should be retained, it carries back the mind to the mention of other favourable circumstances-of position and soil—made at $704 \mathrm{a} f \mathrm{ff}$.—" which ought, as we saw above, to be enjoyed by a city, if it is ever to be a prosperous one." At Rep. 490 a there is a similar opt. .in a
 ... . каì ov́к $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \iota \in \epsilon \in \nu o \iota$, where Adam says the opt. represents the "philosophic imperfect" in direct speech-" was," i.e. "is, as we saw" and where Ast and Madvig change $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda o \gamma \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ into a past tense. Adam also cps. Charm. 156 b 8, where Madvig emends a similar opt. by inserting an ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$.
 occurring in the Laws ; e.g. 677 с 5 т́є $\chi \nu \eta$ s'є́ $\chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \mathcal{\nu} \nu$ for $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \iota \kappa o ́ \nu$. It probably goes predicatively with $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$.
c 9. $\delta \in \hat{\imath} \imath$ is used much as at c 1 ; we may supply in thought, "we must allow" before it, from $\delta о \tau \epsilon \begin{gathered}\text { ' }\end{gathered}$
d 2. The MS. reading is apparently $\dot{o} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \tau \iota, \pi \alpha \rho o ̀ v$, and so the early editions. Correctors of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{L}$, and O give a variant $\pi \alpha \rho$, for $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v$. Boeckh first put a comma after $\mathfrak{o}^{\circ} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s$, and wrote $\tau i$ for $\tau \iota$, and all recent edd. except Schanz follow him. But the words will not bear Boeckh's translation of $\tau i ́ \pi \alpha \rho o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\varphi}, \delta \iota a ̀$ $\tau v ́ \chi \eta s$ _-"ea quae modo contigerint fortuna . . ." The . utmost we can make of them is to suppose a "double-barrelled".question; e.g. "they would be justified in praying, wouldn't they, for what particular thing would it be, the presence of which would render
 answers the first question only, but $\kappa є \lambda \epsilon v_{o ́} \mu \in v o \iota$. . . є'irrєìv in
d 5 seems to refer to the second. H. Steph. gets the requisite sense by reading ob $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \tau \iota$ ô $\pi a \rho o ̀ v$, and so does Wagner's ő, $\tau \iota$ for $\tau \iota$; but Schanz's reading does this with less alteration of the text. Heindorf had already objected to the MS. $\epsilon \pi \iota \delta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\circ} \iota$ as an impersonal, and Schanz reads $\notin \pi \iota \delta^{\prime} \epsilon o v$ (leaving $\dot{\rho} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \tau \iota$ as in the MSS.). We may translate this: "would be justified, wouldn't he, in praying for something put in his hand by chance (and) likely to need nothing but his skill besides." Ritter well reminds us that ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ s carries us in thought to the ill-advised prayers spoken of at 688 b 6.
d6. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aí $\bar{\omega} \nu \quad \epsilon \dot{v} \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$, "to say what it was they prayed for."
d 8. Stallb. says we may supply ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \nu$ with $\delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ from the Ath.'s previous sentence. Better than this is to suppose, with Schanz, that $\delta \grave{\eta}$ is a scribe's misreading of $\alpha, \nu$, or-better stillthat $\delta \rho a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ is what Plato wrote, the final $\epsilon \nu$ being due to the following $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma$.
d 10. $\tau \iota$ is governed of course by $\delta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, not by ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi o v \sigma a \nu$.
e 1. $\epsilon \in \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ : perhaps " with your remaining resources";

 $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda o \iota . . . \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \xi \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma o \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon} \beta \iota \alpha \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$; Ast however says it means "henceforward"-as it apparently does at Ep. 316 d 8-and he is possibly right.
e 3-6. Ritter's arrangement of this passage, which Burnet has adopted, not only involves less change in the words than anyexcept Hermann's-but gives the most natural continuation of the dialogue. The MSS. make $\phi^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \epsilon \ldots \hat{\eta} \gamma^{\prime} \rho$; a continuous speech of the Athenian's : to this Cl answers Nai, and the Ath. goes on again with $\tau$ ó $\delta \epsilon$. Besides giving the question $\tau i ́ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. to Cl., Ritter, following Schramm, alters ; $\hat{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ to ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \alpha$; ( ${ }^{\chi} \rho a$ is the reading of L). (Schanz adopts Stallb.'s alteration of $\hat{\eta} \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho$ to $\tau i ́ \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho-$ which he gives to Cl ., and with him rejects Naí. To this Ritter properly objects that $\tau 0$ o $\pi o \hat{\imath} o v$; and not $\tau i \gamma^{\prime} \rho$; is always used in the Laws in such a case. Besides, Stallb.'s $\tau i \quad \gamma \alpha \rho$; is-as he himself says of Schramm's ingenious тòv vouo $\begin{gathered}\text { ' } \tau \eta \nu \text {; }\end{gathered}$ "justo audacius." To Hermann's arrangement, which gives rov $\nu о \mu . ~ . . . \hat{\eta} \gamma^{\alpha} \rho$; to Cl., and begins the Ath.'s answer Nai $\tau o ́ \delta \epsilon ;$ the objection is that Naí answers one question, and ró $\delta \epsilon$ another.
e 4. Burnet differs from most recent edd. in adopting $A^{2}$ s correction of $\phi \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ to the more suitable $\phi \rho \alpha \zeta^{\prime} \rho \mu \epsilon \nu$. "In saying this we are speaking for the lawgiver, are we not?"
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$\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \hat{\omega} s$ : a similar notional anacoluthon may be seen in the addition of $\tau \epsilon$ каì $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu i ́ a \iota$ at $696 . \mathrm{d} \mathrm{Il}$, and of $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$ at $7: 09 \mathrm{c} 2$. In all three cases it is necessary to picture to oneself both oppposites in order to anderstand the nature of one, and the distinction is loosely spoken of as if it was the distinguishing mark of one member of the pair. In much the same way we use the word distinction in the sense of distinguishing mark. .(Schanz would reject the $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ v$ and $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ c l a u s e s ~ a s ~ a ~ c o m m e n t a t o r ' s ~ e x p l a n a-~$ tion, and Badham would change $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{o i s}{ }^{-} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ into $\tau o \hat{v} \mu \eta$ ' and reject the $\tau 0$ îs $\delta_{\text {ć }}$ clause; but this might be taken to imply that all children and animals were temperate, and this, no doubt, Plato wanted to avoid.)
 46 е $\mu о \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \alpha \iota \emptyset \rho o v \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \omega$ s.

b5. For the plural. фv́ $\sigma \in \sigma . \Delta v$ Stallb. (as against Zeller, who finds fault with it) cps. Rep. 410 e $\pi \boldsymbol{v} \dot{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\omega}$ ф́v $\sigma \epsilon$, and 424 a

b 6. Badham supposes that ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau, \alpha$ is a misreading of $\hat{\rho} \hat{\alpha} \omega \sigma \tau \alpha$. The latter; besides getting support from the $\hat{\rho} \hat{q} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \kappa$ каi $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \sigma \tau, a$ at d 8 , and from $\tau \alpha ́ \chi o s$ каì $\dot{\rho} q \sigma \tau \omega \nu \eta$ at 711.a.2, may be thought more significant and expressive; but the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ in b 8 seems to me to put ${ }^{\text {a }} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ beyond a doubt.
b 8. $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota s$, " process of settlement." Verbal derivatives in Greek retain the power of representing the imperfect as well as the perfect and momentary tenses of the verbs from which they are derived.
c 1 f. Cl. "How, or by what arguments, could a man ever get people to "believe that?"

Ath. "Why, it is easy to see that it is in the nature of things that that should be so."
 Ath., to whom the MSS. give it, to Cleinias, and Ast saw that St.
 Athenian.
c 7. The кãá -which, logically speaking, should be repeated before $\boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{o} \gamma \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ is omitted for rhythm's sake.-For this use of
 $\mu$ ย̀v oư $\delta \epsilon \in$, , ö $\tau \iota$
d 1. I think Stallb., the Zür. edd. and Schanz are right in adopting Ald.'s emendation of the MS. av่ $\hat{\omega}$ to $a \dot{v} \tau(\omega)=\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \partial{ }^{\nu}, \kappa \tau \lambda$., " what more could God do for a city?"
d 3. $\delta \epsilon v \in \tau \epsilon \rho o v$, "second best"; for "best of all" had been implied in the preceding $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$.- $\tau \iota \nu \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ answers to an English "say."
入órov, and not to stand for the demonstrative $\pi \cdot \sigma o v ́ \tau \omega$, which is omitted with $\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu, ~ a s ~ a t ~ L y s i s ~} 206$ a oưкov̀v ö $\sigma \varphi$ äv $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a v \chi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota v$, $\delta v \sigma a \lambda \omega \tau$ о́тє $\rho \circ \iota$ रí $\gamma v o v \tau a \iota$; "It would be third best, and so on in proportion-more difficult, the more rulers there were, and vice versa."
 pression more natural in. Cleinias's mouth than the more technical $\pi$ тдıтєíav.
d 7. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} . . . \gamma \epsilon$ " with the help, of course, of"; for $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ' thus used cp. $720 \mathrm{~d} 7,738 \mathrm{~d} 7,791$ a $7,862 \mathrm{~d} 5$.
d 8. єis $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau 0$ : i.e. єis $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ápía $\tau \eta \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota v$.
e 1. $\delta \in \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho 0 \nu:$ not, as above at. d 3, "the second best thing," but adverbial-"in the second degree."-I agree with Hermann in
 hand. (1) $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$. $\lambda^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \epsilon \varsigma$ comes more naturally as the end of Cleinias's speech than as a parenthesis; (2) an indication that the words did not stand in the original is to be found in the tovos in e 4, which is a sort of apology for the introduction of a new element into the consideration. (3) ov $\delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ is too strong a negative for the circumstances; for according to the text the second of the three polities enumerated is the only one that the Athenian alters.
e 3.. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o v$ : adverbial, like $\delta \in \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ above; "in the highest degree,". i.e. "most (easily and quickly)."
e 4. $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ : it has been explained in Bk. III. that the best form of hereditary kingship is that where, as at Sparta, there were two kings at a time.
e 5. For variety's sake the form of the expression is changed, and $\tau \grave{\prime} \tau \epsilon \in \tau \alpha \rho \tau o v$, like $\delta \epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ at d 3 , is "the fourth best thing." -Burnet does well to put a comma after òdızapxía as well as before it.- $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ тooov́rov =" the best form of state."
 cracy are not only less numerous, but less secure: in their position as $\delta v v^{\prime} \sigma \tau a l$, than the members of an oligarchical class.
e 7. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is almost "remember."- $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau a$ is the $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ towóvov of

e 8 f . фúv $\epsilon \iota$ as we should say " providentially." (Wagner takes
 so Ald. for the MS. кoıv $\hat{\eta}$-goes closely with $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ s in the next
line: "a kind of force which he shares with the holders of supreme power."-The $\tau \iota s$ helps to express that the kind of force is unique, and not to be confounded with mere station and dignity-and is against Badham's rather attractive substitution of $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta$ for $\rho \dot{\rho} \mu \eta \eta$. ( $\tau \iota s$ would then be " more or less.")

7 II a 1. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau 0$ : an extreme instance of the boldness with which Plato uses neuter pronouns. It stands for oi $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, ~ " t h i s ~ e l e m e n t . " ~$
a 4. $\pi \hat{\omega} s$; does not ask for the grounds of the opinion just expressed. It is: "What do you say? I can't take it in." "And yet I have said it often enough," answers the Athenian. "It is because you don't realize what $\tau v \rho a \nu v i ́ s ~ i s . " ~ " N o, " ~ s a y s ~$ Cl., "and I don't want to either." There is a little impatience in the first part of the Ath's. answer-caused perhaps by the tone of the question at 710 c 1 f .
b 1. "Can't you see that the facility I pointed out is involved in the notion of a despotism?"
b 7. Burnet, by putting commas after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ and $\pi o \lambda_{i} \tau \alpha \rho$, shows that it is unnecessary with Stallb. to supply $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \eta$ with $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$-the two ' $^{\alpha} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon$ clauses being amplificatory to ö $\pi \eta \pi \epsilon \rho$, and divided, to balance the sentence properly, by $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau$ ò̀s $\pi о \lambda_{i}^{\prime} \tau \alpha$ s.
b 8. $\dot{v} \pi o \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi o v \tau \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\varrho} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu: ~ " m e t a p h o r a ~ a b ~ i i s ~ r e p e t i t a ~$ qui pueris ductus literarum praescribunt" Stallb., who cps. for the whole passage Claudian's "regis ad exemplum totus componitur orbis" (De qu. cons. Hon. 299), and Cic. Legg. iii. 14.-. The ancients had not formulated the idea of the sovereignty of " public opinion."
c 1. $\epsilon \pi \pi \alpha \iota v o \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha$ and $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$ are, in idea, subordinate to $\pi \rho о \tau \rho \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, but the syntactical construction is of the loose order common in the long and somewhat straggling sentences of the Laws - the intervening ívo $\rho^{\prime} \alpha^{\phi} \phi o v \tau \alpha$ being explanatory of $\pi о \rho \in \tilde{v} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.
c 3. каì $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oió $\mu \epsilon \theta a$. . .; we should say: "But what makes you think that . . ?" A and $O$ originally wrote $o^{i} \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$, but it is corrected by the first hand to oió $\mu \epsilon \theta$. Schneider reads каí $\pi \omega s$ oió $\mu \epsilon \theta a$, "et putamus fere"; Badham goes still further, and by reading $\pi \hat{\omega} s<0 \hat{v} \kappa>$ oió $\mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ makes the sentence into an emphatic assent. So does Apelt (p. 7), who would read каi moós (adv.). But the reading of the text best fits the course of the conversation. The emphatic word in the question is $\tau \alpha \chi v$. The conservative Dorian cannot easily imagine any change of view as rapid. The
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modesty with the latter meaning, he must have said $\dot{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ here. I suspect that what Plato wrote was $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} v$, and that the scribe's eye was caught by the $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the preceding line.-R: Hackforth, The Authorship of the Platonic Epistles, p. 153, surmises that Plato here has in mind the young Hipparinus, the son of Dion. This conjecture, he says, if correct, would fix the date of the composition of Bk. IV. at 354-3 в.c.
e 8. $\sigma v \mu \pi \pi^{\prime} \sigma \eta s \delta v \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \omega s$. . . $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho$ : i.e. "whatever the form of the government be," or "in whosesoever hand the supreme power may lie."
e 8 ff . ${ }_{o}^{\prime \prime} \tau \alpha \nu$. . . $\sigma v \mu \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \eta$, "quando aliquo. in homine prudentiae et temperantiae conjuncta fuerit potentia summa" (Schneider). The $\phi \rho o v \epsilon i ̂ v ~ i n d i c a t e s ~ e s p e c i a l l y ~ t h e ~ p a r t ~ w h i c h ~$ might be played by the ideal lawgiver. (In the very similar passage in Rep. 473d2 I would suggest that the comma be removed after $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \eta$ and the difficult $\tau \circ \hat{\tau} \tau o$ be taken adverbially,
 каì фı $\lambda_{0} \sigma о \phi_{i}{ }^{\prime}$ )
$7 \mathrm{I} 2 \mathrm{a} 3 . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ : i.e. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$.
a 4. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv. . . $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \hat{\varphi}$ : cf. on 736 b 6 below. "Fancy that in this oracular deliverance of mine you have been listening to a story when I declare that, whereas in general " (lit. "one way.") "it is'hard for a city to get good laws, yet, if only things happened as I say, it would be the simplest thing in the world."-кк $i$, I think, does not put a fresh point, but is explanatory of $\kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \varphi-$ $\delta \eta \quad \sigma \theta \omega$. I have removed the comma between the two imperatives. —ка $\alpha a \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ does not, like ка $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$, go closely with the adjacent noun or adj., but with the verb, i.e. with the whole sentence; here we may translate it "fancy that . . ."- $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ ós $\tau \iota s: ~ c p$. 841 c 6. According to Plato, conviction does not follow only from logical proof; the mind may accept truth "embodied in a tale," or delivered as an oracle. Stallb. cps. Phil. 44 c, Polit. 304 c d.
a 8. The connexion of thought is very hard to trace, but I do. not think it is absolutely necessary to suppose a lacuna here, or to accept Susemihl's alteration of $\pi \cdot \hat{\omega}$; to ка $\lambda \hat{\omega} s$. This remedy, as Susemihl himself felt, renders the $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \theta a$. too abrupt, and he was obliged to put in $\delta \dot{\eta}$ after that word. $\pi \omega \bar{\omega}$; does not refer to the three preceding lines, which need no explanation, but to $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$. . . $\kappa \epsilon \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu \omega \delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma \theta \omega$ which does. The Ath. has said, in effect: "a truce to exact arguments, and historical parallels; fancy that what I have laid down is a story, told by
the mouth of an oracle." "Why?" says Cleinias. Then, with a natural explanatory asyndeton, ithe Ath. answers: '"Because I want to bring your city, Cleinias, into the story, and like three grey-bearded children as we are, to make up its imaginary laws."
b1. I take it that we must supply $\mu \hat{v} \theta o v$ in thought with
 the laws are not to be real ones; $\pi \lambda \alpha^{\alpha} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ is also chosen as being a word associated with fiction.
b 2: The $\pi a i ̂ \partial a$ of $L$ and $O$ and the earlier edd. must have been written by someone who had in mind the passage at 789 e , where the physical moulding of the still "soft" infant is recommended. Stallb. was the first to recall the reading of A on Bekker's and Bast's testimony. He rightly explains the "childishness" of the proposal to lie, not, as Hermann held, in the comparison, suggested by $\pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \tau \epsilon \nu$, to children's wax modelling, but in the make-believe that they are real lawgivers. (Herm.'s ref. to 746 a 8 -though throwing light on our passage-does not prove his point.)
b 5. Burnet's suggested $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \kappa о$ v́ $\alpha a s$ is certainly an improvement on Land O's ítaкои́vas. Cp. Thompson's note on Gorg. 487 c 5.
b 8. After the solemn invocation, which seems to promise a real start, comes another digression: on the applicability of the ordinary classification of polities. None of the names-Democracy, Oligarchy, Aristocracy, and Monarchy-seems fully to describe any existing polity, and, what is more, it would be a bad thing for it if they did, for they all denote the preponderance of one element in the state, to the detriment of the others. Nomarchy or-since all good laws are inspired by Heaven-Theocracy would best denote the perfect $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a$. Thus the digression brings us round to the spirit of the Invocation, and the place of Religion in the state is defined, and its importance explained.- $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a v$ : already, at a $2, \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{c}$ a and $\nu o ́ \mu o c$ have been placed side by side as objects of investigation, and although the whole work is styled Nópot, as contrasted with the earlier work Пo $^{\prime} \iota \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime}$ a, the two subjects are felt to be closely connected. In the present work, however, it is naturally the äpюrтoı vó $\mu$ oc that are the main subject.
c 2. oîov $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau i ́ \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota s \beta o v \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ s ; ~ " I n ~ w h a t ~ s e n s e ~ d o ~ y o u ~$ mean your question to be taken?"-The repeated oîo in the next question would in English be: "Do you mean, is it to be . . . ?"
d 3. oṽ $\tau \omega \mathrm{s}$, "straight off," "on the spur of the moment";


been expressly excluded by Cleinias. Even that element is to be found in the Spartan constitution.
d5. $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ i s ~ M S S . ~ A s ~ P l a t o ~ e l s e w h e r e ~ s a y s ~ a ̉ \mu \eta '-~$
 and the like, Schanz is probably right in reading $\theta a v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} s$ here. $\theta \alpha v \mu a \sigma \tau \grave{\alpha}$ és occurs in Soph. fr. 963 (Dind.), and in a probably spurious line in Eur. Iph. Aul. 943 ; but even supposing this to be natural Greek in Plato's time, it would not legitimize $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu$ $\grave{\omega}$, for $\theta \alpha v \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$ is used as an adv., $\theta \alpha v \mu a \sigma \tau o ́ v ~ n e v e r . ~$
 seeing that all the MSS. give $\delta \eta \mu о к \rho \alpha \tau о \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \eta$, it is very tempting to adopt H. Stephanus's alteration of the MS. каí тıs to каíтои, especially as (1) Heindorf and Cobet disbelieve in ${ }^{\prime \prime} о \iota \kappa \in \nu$ (in the sense of videtur) with a participle; and (2) фаívєта८ '́oぃќ́vaı involves in that case what seems to us an awkward redundancy. It must be recognized on the other hand (1) that, if каíтoı had been written, it is almost impossible to explain its alteration to $\kappa \alpha i ́ \tau \iota s$, whereas (2) the alteration of $\delta \eta \mu о \kappa \rho \alpha \tau o v \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ to the dat., in the neighbourhood of 'єокќєvaı, is readily conceivable. As to the objection taken to ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\prime}<\kappa \epsilon$ with a nom. participle, though at Crat. 419 c (bis) many editors, including Burnet, have followed Heindorf's
 though, at Politicus 277 d , one MS. reads $\neq \neq \iota \kappa \alpha$. . . кı $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ for єоька . . . кıv ${ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$ (so Burnet), at Crat. 408 e all MSS. and all
 the text was suggested by Winckelmann in his edition of the Euthydemus p. 74, and adopted by Stallbaum. Cp. also below
 seems to be quoting this passage along with some comments on it. -Immediately afterwards Aristotle refers to the opinion expressed at 693 d as to the desirability of mixing despotism and democracy to form a proper polity ; a subject cognate to our present one.
e 4. I think Madvig is right in reading $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \eta \theta \epsilon i \boldsymbol{\prime}$ for the $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \in$. of the MSS.
 attractive, but not necessarily right.
e 7. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \pi o \rho \hat{\omega}$ is here used as at Polit. 262 e for ov $\delta v v_{\nu} \mu a \iota$, and with the same construction. There is no need, with Schanz, to suppose that we ought perhaps to read $\tau_{i}^{\prime} \nu \alpha$. . . єiime (or to suppose $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ to have fallen out between $\tau^{\prime} \dot{\nu} a$ and $\left.\delta \iota \iota \sigma \chi v \rho \iota \zeta^{\circ} \mu \in \nu o v\right)$.
e8. For cirtcîv in the sense of call, pronounce to be, cp. Soph.
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and A $\theta$. The MSS. give ov่кô̂v . . . $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} v$ to the Ath., and $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{u}$ 位 $\nu$ ô̂v to Cleinias. Herm. transposes the two passages, making ov̉кov̂v . . . $\delta \rho \hat{a} \nu$ (not as a question) the beginning of the Ath.'s next speech. But $\pi \alpha ́ \nu v ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ ov̂v is not apposite in Cl.'s mouth. He cannot be expected to see at once that a $\mu \hat{v} \theta$ os will help them. But it is likely that he should be rather puzzled by the suggestion, and ask: "Is that the way we have to proceed?"
a 9. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : i.e. in Bk. III. 678 ff .
b 1. The place of $\tau 0 . v \tau \omega \nu$ makes it clear to the ear that $\pi o \delta \lambda \omega \nu$ is governed by the comparative $\pi \rho o \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \alpha$.
b 3. It would be interesting to learn the origin of $L$ and $O$ 's strange variant of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon i \tau \alpha \iota$ for A's ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ оíкєiz $\alpha \iota$-which


b 5. Cl. is reassured by this orthodox allusion to the good old times of Cronos's reign.
b 8. каì $\tau \grave{o} \nu \epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} S \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha i ́ \nu \omega \nu \ddot{\alpha} \nu \mu \hat{v} \theta o \nu$, "yes, and if you tell the story right through . . ." ó $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} s \mu \hat{v} \theta o s$, which, in most connexions, would mean "the next story," here must be taken to mean "the whole story from point to point," not merely some
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$, where $\epsilon \hat{\xi} \hat{\eta} s{ }_{s} \pi \epsilon \rho a i ́ v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ means "to be brought duly to its conclusion," no step being omitted.-The older edd. give this speech to Megillus. H. Steph. so assigned the previous speech (at b 5) as well.


 $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \dot{\alpha} \delta$. $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ : the participle is here felt to be the principal verb. It would be hard to find a case of a bare iкalòs $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi 0 \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ in the sense of "able to avoid doing."
c 8. The MSS. have $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \tau o:$ the last syllable of this enormity may here be explained by the assumption that $\dot{\epsilon} \phi i \sigma \pi \eta$ $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$, as Julian quotes it, was the original reading-just as at d.7 A (acc. to Schanz) has $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \phi \hat{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \tau o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\bar{\epsilon} \phi$. $\tau$ ò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. Hermann first put $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$ in the text.
d3. molpvious is, in effect, still under the government of
 asyndeton is of the ordinary explanatory kind.
d 5. $\tau \alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ v, ~ l i k e ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ \tau o ~ a t ~ 686 ~ c ~ 4, ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ at 700 d 1 , $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau o ́ v$ at Phil. 37 d and Polit. 3.08 e, is adverbial: "in like manner."

$\theta_{\epsilon \text { còs }: ~}^{\text {: }} \mathrm{\eta}$. 'This mistake perhaps led, by imperfect correction, to the ${ }^{a} \rho \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ к $\alpha a ̀$ which the best MSS.-but not Julian-place before $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha_{\nu} \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$. Assuming, with Hermann and Schanz, that Julian's text was correct, I conjecture (1) that someone wrote o
 transposed ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \rho a$ and $\delta \dot{\eta}$-either inadvertently, or on purpose, and (3) that some hasty corrector of this transposition brought along the $\kappa \alpha i$ as well as the $\ddot{u}^{\circ} \rho \alpha$. -Schramm ingeniously suggested that äpa кai stood for $\epsilon \delta \rho \alpha$ каi, but such a superfluity of expression is unlikely.-Stallb. first rejected the $\kappa \alpha \grave{~(b e f o r e ~} \phi \iota \lambda$. .), but afterwards defended both ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \alpha$ and $\kappa \alpha i$. .-He was very possibly right in rejecting the following $\tau o ̀$-for which Herm. substitutes, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ó $\tau \epsilon$ as at c 8 .
 "ease," and with $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ "comfort" or "relief"-as at 779 a.
e 1. With $a i \delta \hat{\omega}$. . . $\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \delta \mu \in \nu o v$ Stallb. well cps. Prot. 322 c , where Plato calls aidós (mercy) and $\delta i \kappa \eta$ кó $\sigma \mu о \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu о i ~$ $\phi_{\iota} \lambda i ́ a s ~ \sigma v v a \gamma \omega \gamma o i ́$.

 ( $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ in margin), looks like a deliberate alteration of Plato's text:-Stallb. thought the alteration due to the mistaken idea that there was a tautology in evvopíav кaì à $\phi \theta$ ovíav dík $\bar{s}$.Inasmuch as Plato afterwards represents good laws as taking the place of these divine rulers, it is natural that he should give a hint of this beforehand in mentioning their benignant action in this direction. $\epsilon \dot{v} \nu \boldsymbol{\mu} i a v$ and $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i a \sigma \tau a$ are the two most important words in this description. They represent severally the two branches of the inquiry which have been kept constantly before us, i.e. $v_{0} \mu_{0} \theta_{\epsilon \sigma} i^{\prime} a$ and $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a$. The inevitability of $\sigma \pi \sigma^{\prime} \sigma \iota s$ in a false $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} a$, with the wrong'sort of laws, is explained below at 715 ab .
 begins the practical application of the $\mu \hat{v} \theta_{o s}$. The moral is: (1) that the only possible ruler of a community is the Deity, and (2) that law, the modern substitute for the $\delta a i \mu o v \in s$ of the Golden Age, is also of divine origin.—Julian has $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \sigma \omega \nu \ldots \ddot{\alpha}^{\rho} \rho \chi \epsilon$, and, in e 6 , $\mathfrak{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \psi v \hat{\xi}$ ıs.
 of old, obedience was paid to the Deity in the person of his ministers, the $\delta$ aí ${ }^{\prime}$ divine in us, and that is the intellect, represented in the person of
its ministers. These ministers we may call not $\delta$ aí $\mu$ ovas but vô̂ $\delta \iota a \nu o \mu a ́ s$, "the arrangements" or "appointments made by the intellect," and to which we give the name of laws. For the divinity of voûs cp. Rep. 501 b with Adam's note; also Iim. 90 a where he calls the vô̂s a $\delta \alpha i ́ \mu \omega \nu$. I take $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ vô̂ to be not an objective gen. denoting the thing distributed but a subjective gen. denoting the maker of the arrangement, which arrangement is the law. Not only is $\nu o ́ \mu o s$ connected with the idea of $\nu^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau$, but I think Plato's fancy played with the verbal assonance between $\delta$ aí $\mu$ ovas
 the former word got into the text by mistake for the latter.) voûs in its highest form-the trained philosophic intellect-is thus enthroned as the supreme authority in politics and law.-Cp. Cic. De legg. i. § 17 ; penitus ex intima philosophia hauriendam iuris disciplinam, though Cicero's philosophy is not the same as Plato's, when he goes on to say (§ 18) est ratio summa insita in natura, quae iubet ea quae facienda sunt, prohibetque contraria. Eadem ratio cum est in hominis mente confirmata et confecta, lex est. When Cicero connects the Gk. vó $\mu o s$ with $\nu^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \nu$, as being so called " $a$ suum cuique tribuendo," he is very possibly thinking of Plato's association here of $\delta \iota \alpha \nu o \mu \eta$ with vó $\mu o s$, but he leaves Plato's $\tau o \hat{v}$ $v o \hat{v}$ out of sight-Below, at 715 cd , Plato almost in the same breath speaks of magistrates as being vi $\pi \eta \rho^{\prime} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \iota \tau 0 i s$ vó $\mu \circ \iota s$, and of their service as being $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \sigma^{\prime} \alpha a \nu$, and calls special attention to the fact that serving the laws and serving the Gods is the same thing. For the fancied etymological connexion of vô̂s

a 2. ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$ (as contrasted with $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\eta} \rho$ ) points the distinction between human and divine leadership.
a 3. $\grave{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \epsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ : a hendiadys $=$ "coveted delights."
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \varphi$ voб́$\eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \iota$ had been the original text, no one could have thought (pace Ast) of putting in как $\hat{\omega}$-or, as Heindorf conjectures, $\kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$-between the two words-as if there could be an ${ }_{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau o \nu$ $\nu o ́ \sigma \eta \mu \alpha$ which was not какóv!-but if Pl. wrote $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \omega \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\varphi}$ $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta v$, it is quite conceivable that a commentator should remark that by как $\hat{\varphi}$ he meant voón $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$, and that, after the two words had become rivals for the place, both should be included in the text. Hence I feel sure that Herm. is right in rejecting the latter word. Stallb. well cps. Gorg. 507 e , where the same selfish indulgence is called $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} v v \tau о \nu ~ к а к o ́ v . ~(A s t ~ d o u b t f u l l y, ~$ and Stallb. confidently, take voớ $\mu a \tau \iota$ to be "per appositionem
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the place of the preceding $\beta \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, the slightly different " look to" suits this passage better.)-We must repeat $\delta \in i v$ with $i \delta \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, " that they have got to have in view the interest of that form of government, and to secure its permanence and integrity."-The $\delta \bar{\sigma} \pi \omega$ s clause is exegetical to $\sigma v \mu \phi \dot{\phi} \rho o v$, and sounds the more natural because it might itself depend on i $\delta \in \hat{\imath}, v$, if necessary. It is repeated in another form below at d 3.
 каі ${ }^{\alpha} \delta \iota \iota \kappa \frac{1}{}$ may have been meant to include positively enacted right and wrong, these words show that the larger question of right and wrong in the abstract, as we should say, is the main subject of the $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$. "And they say that these words best define justice as it exists in nature," i.e. that outside positively enacted law, right and wrong do not exist.
d 3. "Genitivus $\tau \hat{\eta} S \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ e voce $\sigma v \mu \phi \phi^{\prime} \rho o v$ pendet, ut dativus $\dot{\epsilon} \alpha v \tau \hat{\imath}$ pro genitivo positus sit" Ast.- $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu^{\prime} \in \mathcal{\tau} \epsilon \nu$, "with a view


d 6. $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ' is taken by Wagner to mean "this course of action," i.e. the punishment of the law-breaker. But clearly $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ' is $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha$, and " using the term rightful for them " is an amplification of $\dot{\omega}$ s $\dot{\alpha} \iota \iota \kappa \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha$, which gives the justification of the punishment.
d 9. It seems equally clear that here too $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau$ ' means "these enactments." Ast and Stallb. take it as adverbial: the former translates it by "propterea, idcirco," the latter says it means the same as oű $\tau \omega$ кai $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta$. The sense which they and all other interpreters appear to get out of the sentence is: "that is how it will always be with justice." But the Ath. could never say that, and it by no means agrees with what precedes and follows. What we want, and what he says, is: "These positive enactments will always claim the merit of rightfulness, and that is how they will do it" (i.e. by penal enforcement). -For oṽ $\tau \omega$ каi $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta$ cp. 681 d 6.—For the art. with סíкаєov cf. $630 \mathrm{~d} 9,659 \mathrm{~b} 3$.
d 11. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$ is the superior strength of the maker of these laws. They are made by $\tau \grave{o}$ к $\rho a \tau o \hat{v} \nu$ (above c 9 ) and superior strength was
 Schulthess's $\mathfrak{\alpha} \mathfrak{\xi} \iota \omega \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ is evidently right.; the MS. $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ is a careless misreading.
e 3. For $\tau \hat{\omega} v{ }^{2} \not{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{cp}$. below 871 e 3 and 866 d 7 .


possible ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi o ́ \delta \iota \alpha$ is a subtle way of discrediting the particular $\vec{\alpha} \xi^{\prime}(\omega \mu \alpha$ immediately referred to.
 think, that. Pindar would have it to be by nature, (thus) legalizing extreme violence, to use his own words." The assertion made at 690 b 8 was. that. Pindar said that "club-law" was " according to nature." From the fuller quotation at Gorg. 484 b , we can see that Pindar used the word ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \gamma \in \iota$ of the action of that "Law which none can gainsay" (vó $\mu o s$ ó $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon u ́ s)$. Probably it means there " takes" (in the sense of " that those should take who have the power") ; here Plato uses it in another sense of "takes," suggestive of forcible wresting of the truth. So he applies to Pindar
 ìs фaíver $\theta \alpha \iota$ in a similar position at Rep. 359d7; Goodwin, M. and T. §.755. "As we are told that he said." (Badham rewrites the passage.)
 that vóros depends on force, and act on this belief, or to those
 $\pi \epsilon \phi$ кшiav 690 c 3. As the Athenian puts it towards the end of his next speech, the two classes are (1) those who hold that men are above laws; and (2) those who hold that laws are above men.
a 8 ff . "Where offiee is a thing to fight for, the winners get the government so absolutely in their own hands as not to leave a scrap of power with the losers, in this generation or the next; and moreover" ( $\tau \epsilon \ldots \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$, cp. 649 b 5 ) "both sides watch each other constantly, to make.sure that no man shall come to power who will raise $a_{n}$ hand against them to avenge former wrongs. What I say is, that is not a polity, and no laws are proper laws which are not made in the interest of the whole community. When laws are made in the interest of part of the populace, I call those people not citizens but schismatics, and I call their claim tor have right on their side a. lie."

For the general sense of the passage cp. 875 a $5-8$, and the $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \phi_{i} \lambda \eta \nu$ of 693 b 3.
a 11. $\pi \cdot \alpha \rho \alpha \phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau o v \tau \epsilon s:$ i.e. each party, as its turn comes.
b 1. á $\dot{\phi} \kappa \sigma^{\prime} \mu \in \nu=s:$ the participle, as often, contains the main idea in the sentence. The "rising against" the party in power would not be serious unless a position of power had first been obtained.
b 2. $\tau a v ́ \tau \alpha \varsigma, "$ such combinations."
b 4. ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, like $\hat{\epsilon} \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$ at a 9 , I take to be a gnomic



b 5. I do not see that anything is gained by the Aldine $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \tau \epsilon i \alpha s$ and $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha a s$ for the MS. $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \tau \alpha s$ and $\pi o \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha s$. —Cp. 832 с 2 тои́ $\tau \omega \nu$ रà $\rho$ $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi о \lambda_{\iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~}^{\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu}$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \mu i \alpha, \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \omega \tau \epsilon i ̂ a \iota$
 тov́tovs to refer to $\nu o ́ \mu o v s$, or, with the MSS., to $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$, there is something of a $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \delta o к i \alpha \nu ~ a b o u t ~ t h e ~ s e n t e n c e . ~ A g a i n, ~$ whether $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$ in b 6 be taken to refer to vó $\mu \mathrm{o}$ o or not, at all events $\phi a \sigma \iota v$ must have a personal subject, and that with any reading will have to be got out of $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$. Besides, I do not think rov́rovs in b 5 would have been put in at all unless it were to call attention to the change in the object of the verb, and show that the speaker is now talking of the $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$, not of the $\nu o{ }^{\prime} \mu o v s$.
b 7. $\tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ is a genitival dat.
c 1. i $\sigma \chi \grave{v} v \kappa \tau \lambda$.: the usual explanatory asyndeton.
c 2. $\tau 0 \imath \hat{\iota} \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota$ vó $\mu o \iota s:$ it is significant that in the Laws prominence is given to positive enactment when the author is speaking of the principles on which rulers are to be selected. Cp.


 $\tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \underset{\iota}{ } \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \xi \alpha \iota \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$.
c 3. vıк $\hat{q}$ : a reference to the oi vıкウ́ $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon S$ at a 8 ; there is a victory to be won by prospective rulers; to gain this prize they must excel in submissiveness to the laws.
c4. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \sigma i \alpha \nu:$ I agree with Ritter that Schulthess's $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ (so Ast and Schanz), and Orelli's $\theta \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (so Wagner and Stallb.) for $\theta \epsilon \bar{\omega} \nu$ are mistaken. There is an unPlatonic poverty of thought in such a statement as: "The man who obeys the laws best is to be made chief servant of the laws." At 762 e we are told that the highest distinction falls to the man
 713 e we were told that no city is safe under any other rule than the divine. Laws are the modern representatives of the $\delta$ aípoves of the Golden Age : they derive their authority from the divine element in us; obedience to them is therefore obedience to the Gods. I see nothing to invalidate this explanation in the fact that, immediately below, he says he has called the magistrates ín $\eta \rho^{\prime} \in \tau a s$ тois vó $\mu o \iota s$. He has just explained that the two terms "servants of the laws" and "servants of the Gods" are
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it is merely an alternative for кат $\dot{\alpha}$ б́к $\eta \nu$, Plato would hardly have added $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \dot{\iota} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\imath} \sigma v \nu \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ бíкך кл入. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi о \rho \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ (ср. Tim. 33 dff .) is probably meant to bring before our minds ther revolutions of the heavenly bodies. The apparent irreconcilability of the two truths is meant to have the form of a paradox, a divine mystery. In the Aristotelian treatise $\Pi \epsilon \rho i$ кó $\sigma \mu \boldsymbol{v} 401 \mathrm{~b}$ this passage is quoted with $\pi о \rho \epsilon v^{\prime} \mu \epsilon v o s$, but all other quotations and all MSS. give the compound verb.-For the numerous quotations of this passage see Stallb.'s note.- $\pi \epsilon \rho a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ is used absolutely ; its
 $ך \uparrow \pi \rho o \theta v \mu i ́ a$ Eur. Phoen. 589.
a 2 ff . $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\iota} \kappa \tau \lambda$., "and Justice always goes along with Him and punishes those who forsake the divine law; and any man for whom good fortune is in store follows Justice in close attendance, modest and sedate in mien ; but any man who is puffed up with pride-whether he be big with the sense of wealth or rank, or foolishly vain of youthful beauty-and kindles in his soul the flame of wanton wickedness, claiming to be above all rule and guidance, and fit to rule others instead,-such a man is abandoned by God; and in this lost state he takes to himself yet other abandoned men, and with mad antics sets himself to work a general havoc. Many men make a hero of him, but before long Justice visits him with a full retribution, and he involves in his own downfall the utter ruin of his house and country."- $\tau \hat{\varphi}$. $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$. . . $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho o ́ s: ~ S t a l l b$. shows by many quotations from ancient commentators that Plato is still following the Orphic line of thought as expressed e.g. in

a 3. $\hat{\eta} s . . . \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s \sigma v \nu \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota:$ the repetition of the word $\sigma v v^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ suggests the thought that the company of Justice means the company of God, whereas he who abandons Justice ката-

a 4. Because Eusebius has no каí before кєкоб $\mu \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{s}$, and because in A каi кєкоб $\mu \eta \mu^{\prime}$ боо is written in the margin, Schanz
 so all MSS. and some quotations. Theodoret, Cedrenus, and some MSS. of Eusebius have $\epsilon i^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\tau} \tau s . \quad$ Plutarch, De Is. et Os. p. 477 has a modification rather than a quotation of the passage, which begins $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \iota v \epsilon$. Boeckh, Ast, Stallb., Herm. and Schanz adopt $\epsilon i \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \iota$. It is evident that this suits the passage ; ката入єimeтaı in b 1 is the main verb, and the $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ clause is a dependent one. The explanation of the MS. reading seems to be that Plato is archaizing here on purpose, and uses ó. Tוs, the Epic form of
ör $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \mathrm{s}$ (cp. in Agamemnon's solemn appeal to the avengers of perjury
 may well have been in Plato's mind at the time) ; so that $\hat{o} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \iota$
 Badham would write here).-Plutarch further modifies $\phi \lambda \epsilon \bar{\gamma} \epsilon \tau a \iota$ into $\phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma$ ó $\mu \epsilon \nu 0$ o, which suits the rest of his passage. (H. Steph.

 ordinate in sense to $\mathfrak{k} \xi a \rho \theta \epsilon i$ is $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a v \chi i ́ a s ; ~ t h e y ~ a r e ~ i l l u s t r a-~$ tions of the various forms which $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda a v \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ may take.
b 2. The same idea lurks in the metaphorical $\sigma \kappa \iota \rho \tau \hat{a}$ that is to be found in the modern English slang term "a bounder."
b 3. ${ }^{\epsilon} \delta \circ \xi \in \nu$ and $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ are gnomic aorists.
 this dispensation."
b6. $\delta \rho \hat{a} \nu \hat{\eta}$. $\delta \iota a v o \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta a \iota$ : the answer $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ $\delta \iota a v o \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ i s$ 'є́ó $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$. . . would correspond more exactly to the question, as Badham would write it, with the $\eta$ omitted, but the more inexact correspondence is quite Platonic. (Bdh. would also reject $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ $\delta \iota a \nu o \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ in b 9.) Schanz rejects both $\eta$ 种 $\delta \iota a \nu o \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$.
b 8. Madvig (followed by Schanz) would remove the emphatic asyndeton. by reading ö $\tau \iota \dot{\omega} s$. This spoils the sentence; even Heindorf's $\delta \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ for $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$ weakens it.
c $1 \mathrm{ff} . \mu_{i}^{\prime} \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$., "there is only one, and it finds its only expression in the old saying that like will love like,--if it is itself within the proper bounds; things that know no bounds love neither each other nor those which do. Some men say 'man is the measure of all things'; in a far truer sense it is God who. really sets the bounds by which all things human ( $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu)$ are measured and judged."
c 1. The selection of the word $\dot{a} \kappa 0 \dot{\partial} \boldsymbol{\partial o v} \theta o s$ suggests the same
 $\sigma v \nu a \kappa o \lambda o v \theta \eta \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega v . ~ C o m p a n y ~ i n ~ a ~ j o u r n e y ~ i m p l i e s ~ u n a n i m i t y . ~ . ~$
c 3. The addition of the words ${ }_{\circ}^{\circ} \nu \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\prime} \varphi$ shows that the speaker is not so much adapting the old proverb as limiting its scope. It always has been applied freely to the association of the wicked (e.g. Od. xvii. 218, and Arist. N.E. ix. 3. 3) ; Plato says the natural liking of each other is confined to the good. He uses for good the word $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \tau \rho \iota o s$, which suggests "within certain limits," and this suggestion helps the further deductions of his argument.Whereas Aristotle (l.c.) warns us of the evil results of loving a bad man-i.e. the becoming like him-Plato holds that not even when
you have become like a bad man can you love him. All wickedness is represented as ${ }^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \rho i a$, "extravagance" or "excess," which must arouse universal dislike. At Polit. 284 e , after defining the two criteria of size, i.e. (1) the relative, and (2) the absolute, Plato


c4. $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho o \nu:$ "Tangitur effatum illud Protagorae . . . de quo v. Cratyl. 385 e, Theaet. 152 a" Stallb.
c 6. $\tau$ ooovi $\tau$ is equivalent to "the author of limitation," and the following roooṽtov to "one who puts a limitation on his own conduct and behaviour."- $\epsilon$ is $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu \iota \nu \stackrel{̊}{\text { öt } \iota ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a: ~ s o ~ a t ~} 771 \mathrm{e}$




 clearly to be identified with ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho^{\prime} \dot{a}$.
d 3. каĭ ä $\delta \iota к$ оs MSS. There is no point in adding ă $\delta \iota \kappa$ os to the two preceding predicates, and Burnet has adopted Ritter's insertion of $\delta$ before it. To make it clearer that каì $\dot{o} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota$ ıкоs and каì $\grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' stand for the rest of the vices, I have put a comma after סıá申opos (which, as above at 679 b , means "hostile"). Schanz rejects каï ädıкоs. Faehse reads каì ä $\theta$ єos, and Stallb. suggested каї ä $\phi \iota \lambda$ os for $i$ it.
d 5. The addition of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime} \sigma \tau a \tau o v$ signifies that Plato is not so much gloating over the confusion of the wrongdoers, as expressing delight in the grandeur and beauty of the philosophical truth, and the ennobling of religion above. the position which it held in popular notions.
d 6. Burnet rightly adopts Schanz's $\dot{\alpha} \in \grave{i}$ for the MS. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, which Stallb. rejects and most edd. turn into $\delta \eta$.
 an abomination (and his selfish prayer an outrage) but it will be the worse for him that he has offered it. Stallb. has collected, in his note on the following words, many similar passages from ancient authors.

717 a 1. For the $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ó with óp $\theta_{o ́ v}^{\prime}$ cp. above $714 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{9}$,and 630 d 9 , 659 b and 69 lbll .
a 3. Suidas, in quoting this passage (s.v. $\mu c \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \kappa є \phi a \lambda \eta$ ), has

 probably in both places a proftable expenditure is being spoken'of:
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gen. in the place of the commoner dat. Light is thrown on the subject by Porphyr. Vit. Pythag. p. 197 cited by Ast : .кaì $\tau 0 i ̂$ s
 other change of the MS. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \hat{i} \alpha$ to $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \grave{\alpha}$ is confirmed.by O and has been universally adopted. Further, at bl Burnet rejects $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \dot{\alpha}$ as a very natural commentator's explanation of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \dot{\nu}$, which was enough for Plutarch. With Plutarch the two kinds of offering are, for the higher gods $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta_{\epsilon} \xi \iota \alpha$ kai $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$, and for the lower-whom he wrongly calls $\delta \alpha i \mu o v \epsilon s$, instead of $\chi$ Өóvıo七 $\theta \epsilon o i ́-\tau \grave{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \phi \omega \nu \alpha$ $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$ : I should like to see a further advance on these lines in the rejection of каi $\delta \epsilon v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ (in a 8). This seems to me just as likely to be a commentator's addition. It still remains a puzzle why Plato should have so very markedly ( $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu^{\prime} \mathcal{\nu}$ ) put the inferior honours of the second class of deities in the forefront of his enumeration. It looks as if he were condemning a tendency to put the $\chi$ Oóvıoı $\theta$ єoí first.
 of the city, even if not one of the "Olympians," was put into the same class with them as far as ritual went.
a 8. ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \tau \iota \alpha$ [ $\kappa \alpha i{ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon v ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ ] каì $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho a ́:$ Ast says $\tau \iota \mu a ́ s$ in a 6 is in apposition to these words, Stallb. that these words are in apposition to $\tau \iota \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} s$. I incline to Ast's view ; ${ }^{\prime} \rho \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau \lambda$. are the emphatic words: "As for the honours which, next to the Olympian and city-patron deities, you pay to the gods of the world below, you will be acting correctly if you give them the inferior honours, and the former the superior." (Schneider seems to make a fresh sentence begin with $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \tilde{v}^{\prime} \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$, and to treat $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. as its predicate. I do not think $\delta \epsilon \xi \iota \alpha$ in Plutarch and $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ here are used in the sense of "of good" or "evil omen" (Jowett), but literally, like ä $\rho \tau \iota \alpha$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \tau \alpha$, the symbolism being in both cases implied.
b 2. Here we have another instance of the redundancy observed above at 716 c 7 . It seems unnecessary, with Bdh. and Schanz, to reject ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$; he says "just above" instead of "above." Cp. however 683 e 5,861 a 8.
 (I think), and in Plutarch, Numa ch. 8, means (like $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \nu$ ) perform, celebrate ( $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} v, \theta v \sigma i ́ a s, \pi \rho \mu \pi \alpha ́ s, \chi o \rho \epsilon i a s$ ) ; at Phaedr. 252 d and Eur. Bacch. 415 it is used absolutely, without an object, in the
 ö $\rho \gamma<\alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$. (So too Photius and Gramm., Bek. Anecd. i. p. 287.) Thompson on Phaedr. 252 d says " ó $\rho \gamma \iota \alpha^{\prime} \oint \epsilon \iota v$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ is the
usial constrúction," but I have not been able to find any instances of it, unless. Ast's ó $\left.\rho \gamma \iota \alpha \alpha^{\prime}\right\} o c$ be adopted here. At b 4 i i $\rho \mathrm{v}^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \rho \gamma \iota \alpha\right\}^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ implies a transitive use in the sense of "serve" (a shrine), or "worship" (a statue). In late Greek (Plutarch and Lucian) there are two transitive uses of the word, (i) "worship" (a god), and (2) "initiate" (a worshipper). Schanz follows Ast in reading ó $\rho \gamma \iota \alpha$ §oı, but in the case of a word with such various uses and constructions we have no right to do this, and a middle ó $\rho \gamma \iota \alpha{ }^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \grave{i}$ with a dative of the deity honoured may well take rank among the rest of them.
b4. $\epsilon \pi \alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta o \hat{\imath}:$ this is the reading of all the MSS. but one (Bekker's $v$ ), which has $\epsilon \pi \alpha \kappa о \lambda o v \theta \epsilon i v v$ with -ồ over it. The earlier edd. including Ast and Stallb. unwarrantably altered this to $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\pi} \alpha \kappa \kappa \quad \lambda o v \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. Either the author, or a transcriber, thought another ${ }^{\prime} \nu \nu$ unnecessary after that with the immediately preceding verb; it can hardly be an independent wish.-aúroîs: it is hard to say whether this is masc. or neut.-iठ $\rho^{\prime} \mu \alpha \alpha \tau$ : if av́roîs is masc. this would imost naturally mean statues (as at 931 e . So Schneider) ; if neuter, "those observances," i $\delta \rho v{ }^{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha$ would mean shrines.-" Next after 'these deities will come the statues of each man's household gods" (i.e. his dead ancestors) "the worship of whom is to conform to the (public) regulations." Cp. 910 c.
b 6. The form of the sentence is changed; instead of $\gamma o v \in i s$ $\zeta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \tau \iota \mu \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota$ we have $\gamma \circ v^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \iota \mu \alpha i \quad \zeta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu$. . It is assumed that parents stand to their offspring in a quasi-divine relationship. - $\omega_{s} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \mu \iota s \cdot$ MSS. Ficinus in his translation begins this sentence "quibus fas est," and I think Hermann argues rightly that ois and not $\dot{\omega}$ s ought to stand here. It is more natural to leave out the $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota ́ \iota$ with $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \iota$ if we have ois, than if we have $\dot{\omega} s$ in the sense of nam ; in other words $\theta^{\prime} \mu \iota s$, standing where it does, needs the support of a more emphatic word than $\dot{\omega} s$.
b.8. Like the Latin antiquior, the comp. and sup. of $\pi \rho^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \beta v$ are used of superior obligations; there seems to be the same kind of punning use of $\pi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \beta v$ s here that there is in the case of $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha$ cós at c 5 .-vo $\mu^{\prime} \zeta_{\epsilon \in \iota \nu} \delta^{\prime}: \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ without any clause before it to which it is adversative is here " moreover," as in каi. . . $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$.-It is easy to supply "he ought" from the preceding $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \iota$ ( $\left.\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i ́\right)$, though the ois belongs only to the previous clause. (Ast apparently felt that the ois ought to be carried on in thought as well, and therefore preferred . $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ s.) -_" He should consider, moreover, that all he has in his possession belongs to those who gave
him birth and nurture, and should make his property minister to his parents' service to the utmost of his power, whether of purse or person, or mind, and thereby repay the debt of cares and pains which they have bestowed upon him-an expenditure made of old for his youthful development, which moreover the son repays to the old when age has brought them to need the repayment sorely."
 not $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. For the dat. St. cps. $631 \mathrm{~d}, 633 \mathrm{c}$, Crat. 437 c , Parm. 128 c.
c 3. $\delta \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ and $\tau \rho^{\prime} \tau \alpha$ are governed by $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \chi \in \iota \nu:$ the clause
 body and mind, as well as external property.
c 4. $\delta \alpha \nu \epsilon ' \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha$ is in apposition to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s$ and $\grave{\omega} \delta \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha s$.
 repetition of this word: the debts are of old standing, and the creditors are old when they are repaid. Under these circumstances the unusual and poetical $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ in the sense of aged (so at Tim. 22 b , and Symp. 182 b ) does not seem out of place.-There is a further verbal antithesis in the conjunction $\pi a \lambda a \iota \alpha \grave{\alpha} \epsilon \pi \grave{\iota} \nu \epsilon \neq \iota s$. $\nu^{\prime} \notin \circ \iota s$, I think, is neut., and refers to the acquired possessions and powers of which mention has just been made: "loans granted of old on the security of what was young." The man who has incurred the debt is all through spoken of in the singular. (Ast translates " pueris mutuos datos," Stallb. " pueris tanquam impensas," Wagner "wegen der Kinder erlitten," Schneider "in parvulis elocatas," Jowett "in the days of his infancy.")-The $\delta \delta^{\prime}$, which Ast and Apelt object to, seems to present no greater difficulty than that after voui $\langle\epsilon \iota \nu$ in b 8 , and it has much the same meaning here. (Objecting to this $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ and the unusual sense of $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o i ̂ s, ~ A p e l t ~ c o n j e c t u r e s ~ \delta \iota \pi \lambda a \sigma^{\prime} \omega s$ for $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\pi a \lambda \alpha \iota o \hat{\iota}$; but when a man has been told that his whole possessions must go in payment, "twice the debt" seems a limitation. A modern actuary would think "twice the loan" a poor return after thirty years.)
 of the perf. expresses the abiding effect of a transgression, even in word, against filial piety.
d 1. There is a similar conceit to those noticed above in the use of $\pi \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \beta v \varsigma$, $\nu^{\prime} \neq \frac{\rho}{\prime}$, and $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota o ́ s$, in the juxtaposition of $\kappa o v ́ \phi \omega v$.
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and Ast in omitting $\tau \epsilon$.after סađáv $\eta$ s. Stallb. and Schanz agree in the latter point. This makes a weak conclusion. What the MSS. say is: "Above all, never forget them; and don't grudge a penny you fittingly spend in their honour ;" the other is: "Above all show that you never forget them by spending a proper sum in their honour."
a 4. Stobaeus omits the $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ after $\dot{d} \xi \notin \alpha \nu$.
a 6. Here ends the imaginary exhortation, and the description of the "armoury" of ceremonies by which the favour of all superior beings is to be propitiated. For the right behaviour towards equals and inferiors we are referred to the laws themselves. -The antecedent which has to be supplied to ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$ is an adverbial acc. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$, qualifying $\dot{\eta}$ $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \xi o \delta o s ~ . ~ . ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \mu а к а р i ́ a \nu ~$ ... $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} ; "$ as regards those things." (Schneider takes this $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ to be governed by $\pi \epsilon \dot{\prime} \theta_{o v \sigma a .)} \dot{\alpha}$ and ${ }^{\circ} \sigma a$ are themselves governed by $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha$, which is subordinate to $\phi a c \delta \rho v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu o v$, which in its turn is subordinate to $\kappa \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon i v$.
a 7. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, which Ast would reject, means, as Stallb. says, divinitus constituta; cp. Od. § $207 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \Delta t o ́ s ~ \epsilon i ́ \sigma \iota v ~ a ̈ \pi a v \tau \epsilon s ~$ $\xi \epsilon i v o i ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \chi$ оí $\tau \epsilon$.
a 8. каì ómi入ías stands for a more regular каì ö $\sigma a s$ ó $\mu \iota \lambda i ́ a s$ -"(what) relations with all of these."
b 1. $\phi a \iota \delta \rho v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v . . . \kappa о \sigma \mu \epsilon i v$ : i.e. the fulfilment of these various social obligations will give his life orderliness and charm.

 768 d 5 ). -Ficinus translates "legum ipsarum tractatio demonstrabit." This suggests to Ast that perhaps $\delta \epsilon i \xi \in \iota$ кai has fallen out after $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \notin o \delta o s .-A s$ Ritter says, the $\pi \epsilon i \theta_{0}$ ova here does not refer to the prefaces spoken of below. This class of laws requires no preface.
b 7. $\delta о \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu o \iota$. . . ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ : I agree with Apelt (p. 8) in thinking that it is too much to expect that this can mean "it seems to me the right thing for him . . . to begin," and accept his
 this I take to be a variety of expression for a simple gen. . Cp. on 676 c 6 .
 noticed that the subjects of how to behave to (1) children, (2) relatives, (3) friends, (4) félow-citizens, and .(5) $\xi^{\xi} \mathcal{v}$ oo are all dealt with in this order below at $729 \mathrm{a}-730 \mathrm{a}$. It seems then that the best explanation of this difficult passage is to śuppose it to be a
statement of the author's intention of dealing with these subjects, here ( 718 a 6 ff .) postponed, later on in the general preface, before coming to the actual legislation about them.
c 4. I think we ought to follow Ast.in assigning this question to Cleinias. The Ath. has said that such a discourse must not be $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota \nu$ vó $\mu o v$; it is natural then that Cl . should ask " what is the proper form for it?" If with other editors we take it as a "rhetorical" question and put it in the Athenian's mouth, we are met by the difficulty that in all other such questions as are cited by Stallb.-Symp. 178 d, below 720 a 6, 722 d, and 723 b-the verb is in the first person.
c 6 ff. "It is by no means easy to confine its delivery within the bounds of what you may call a single pattern; but let us look at it somehow in this way, and see if we cannot get a definite notion about it."
c 9 . $\tau \grave{o} \pi \pi o i o \nu$ refers in grammar to $\tau \iota$ in c 7 , but the Ath.'s following disquisition is rather an explanation of oviccoi $\tau \iota \nu a$ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu$, which $=o \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \sigma i ́ \pi \omega$.
c 10. aủzov́s: Wagner says, either we must suppose aủzov́s to refer to $\tau o v_{s}$ vó $\mu$ ovs, and give $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau o v s$ an active sense, or take av̇roús to be a scribe's mistake for do $\sigma \tau o$ ós. $^{2}$. He is not right, however, in saying that the people have not been referred to. At
 lines later. That $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \theta \dot{\eta}$ s should be act. is very unlikely, as it has recently ( 715 c 2 ) occurred in a passive sense.- $\dot{\alpha} \sigma$ ovós makes good sense, but it would want an article before it.
 them," or perhaps "to persuade them towards virtue."
d 2. $\tau \grave{\alpha}$. . . $\delta \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta^{\prime} \varphi \nu \tau \alpha:$ not, I think, (as the Scholiast) "the speech just delivered"-i.e. that beginning at 715 e 7 -but "just what I mentioned "-i.e. at 718 b 5 . $-\epsilon \delta \xi \xi \in \nu$ is a "conversational" aorist, which we should translate by a present.
d4. The first thing to note about this perplexing passage is that the vulgate $\lambda \alpha \beta_{0}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \alpha, \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \nu \nu \delta^{\prime}$ has no MS. authority. In A we have $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu * * \delta^{\prime}$, the $\delta^{\prime}$ in an erasure, and in both A and O $\lambda \alpha \beta o \not \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ is given in the margin, clearly as an alternative to $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \delta^{\prime}$. Burnet adopts the alternative, and this gives a construction, though a harsh one: cis tó goes with áкoúє $\iota \nu$, and there is a threefold change of "person" within the three clauses ; the subj. of $\pi \alpha \rho a i v \eta$ is (I think) $\dot{\delta}^{\dot{\prime}} \nu^{\circ} \mu \circ \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \eta \mathrm{s}, \lambda a \beta_{o} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ agrees with the antecedent to $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \hat{\omega} v$; the subject to $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{v} \epsilon \nu$ is the man to whom the $\nu_{0} \mu \circ \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \eta$, is speaking. O. Apelt (p. 8) takes a similar
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course in reading $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \nu \tau a$ ("getting hold of"), which he thinks more likely than $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ to have been corrupted into $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v \delta^{\prime}$. (This I doubt; $\lambda \alpha \beta{ }^{\circ} \mu$. might very well have been so written in early cursive as to be read as $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu-\mu u{ }^{2} \mu$-and the three letters replaced by $* * \delta^{\prime}$ might well have been $\epsilon v a$.)We may translate: "It seems to me, then, that just the discourse I spoke of would do something towards making a man listen in a civil and even kindly mood to the subject of the lawgiver's exhortations," i.e. the actual enactments, "as they would fall on a mind not altogether unprepared." (Madvig, followed by Schanz, writes $\grave{\omega} \mu \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta}, \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o v \delta^{\prime}$, Badham $\lambda a \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon v a \operatorname{la} \lambda \lambda \lambda o v ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 (to go with $\epsilon$ is $\tau \grave{\prime}$ ) after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha i v \eta$, Ritter contents himself with altering $\tau \grave{o}$ in d 3 to $\tau \grave{\alpha}$.)- $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$., "in fact it will be a very welcome result, if he brings his audience, by making them, as I say, more kindly, into a more docile frame of mind, however little he may do in that direction."
d 5. Vermehren is doubtless right in reading $\phi \eta \mu i$ for the MS. $\phi \eta \sigma \grave{\imath}$ : the repetition of $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$ is marked, and $\ddot{\nu}^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \eta \sigma \iota \quad$ is very otiose.
d 6. Badham's $\pi \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu v$ for the MS. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ is right here, I think
 $\epsilon^{\nu} \mu a \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$; in both places it is implied that goodwill towards instruction conduces to receptivity, and should precede it. It is just this inclination which the following words describe as wanting. The reason is that heaven has ordained that the "first step" in the road to virtue shall be a hard one. Hence the special need that the benefits to follow on this step should be clearly set forth.
e 2. Both at Rep. 364 cd , where the passage (Hes. Op. et D. 287 ff .) is quoted, and here, there are variants from our text of
 and here $\hat{\eta}{ }^{\circ} \dot{o} \delta \partial_{s} \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \dot{a}$, a great improvement, as ódíq$\dot{\eta}$ only says the same things as the following words-which are here paraphrased
 subject is not clear. Even the $\phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ (for $\pi^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \nu$ ) in v. 292, in the sense of "(easy) to endure," may be correct. The two last variants are not supported, as $\lambda \epsilon i \eta$ is, by the quotation at Xen. Mem. ii. 1. 20. It was a favourite passage with Plato ; cp Prot. 340 d and Phaedr. 272 c.

719a 5. av̉ $\begin{gathered}\text { MSS. ; for this Bdh. proposed â̂ (and so Schanz). }\end{gathered}$ O. Apelt (p. 9) thinks it more likely that $\alpha \hat{v}$ should have become
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d 6. $\pi p o \dot{\sigma} \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ used of the legislator's constant injunction; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \eta^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma a s$ "timeless" (aor.) of his arguments in its support.
d 7. $\epsilon i \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu o \iota$. . . $\epsilon i \eta \eta$, "if one of my characters was a woman of great wealth."
d 8. $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi o \iota \eta \mu a \tau \iota$ : this goes with $\epsilon \ddot{\eta} \eta$ almost as directly as with $\delta \iota a \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ vóoro. Ficinus takes it only with the following $\epsilon \epsilon^{\epsilon} \pi a \iota v o i \not \eta \nu$, and so does the MS. of Stobaeus. Many wrongheaded alterations of $\pi o \iota \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ have been made, e.g. $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu a \tau \iota$ Ast, $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota$ Winckelmann, $\mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota$ Stallb., voo $\dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \iota$. Haupt,
 who failed to see that the "poet" is speaking here of what his character in his poem would say.
e 1. In $\bar{\epsilon} \pi a \iota v o i ́ \eta \nu$ the poet speaks as if it were he who expressed the opinions of his characters-while the following $\bar{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \alpha$, , like $\delta_{\iota} \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ voıто, fixes the responsibility on the character in his poem -conscious all the time that the former view is the correct one.
 $\tau \eta$, "the same kind of tomb that you, the lawgiver, would enjoin"; but I am much disposed to accept H. Richards's suggestion that
 have $\hat{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu \epsilon \in \sigma o \iota$; Bekker was most likely right in reading the now
 $\sigma o \iota$, which would help to explain $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ av̀ $\tau o ́ v .-\nu \hat{v} \nu$ is evidently used in the sense of $v v v \delta \dot{\eta}$, which Schanz suggests for it.
e 5. I.e. as a lawgiver you have no right to use the term $\mu_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \rho \iota o \nu$ unless you define it.
e 7 ff. Having established the fact that the $\nu_{0} \mu \circ \theta_{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ s is able to recommend the law by argument and persuasion, he now proceeds to explain the best way of doing it. This speech is very conversational in style, but quite clear. Stallb. is right in marking a break, and a fresh start, after $\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \nu$. . "I ask then, is our dispenser of laws to put no such preface in the forefront of his ordinances? Must he say straight off what has to be done or not done, name the penalty attached to. transgression, and pass on to the next law without adding to his enactments a single bit of conciliation or persuasion? Why, just as doctors for instance are in the habit of treating us, one this way, and one that, when we are ill ( $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau o \tau \epsilon)$-just call the two styles to mind, and.then we can appeal to the law-maker--just as children would appeal.to the doctor to be as nice to them as possible. Give you an instance? What I mean is, there are doctors and doctors' men; who bear' the name, you know, of doctors themselves." "They do." "And they
are all so called whether they are free men, or slaves who pick up their skill by listening to their masters' directions and watching their proceedings, learning by rote and not by principle, which is the way the free-born doctors themselves learn, and the way they teach the members of their school. You grant the existence of these two kinds of doctors?" "Certainly."
e 8. For $\pi \rho \circ a \gamma o \rho \epsilon v ́ \eta ~ O ~ h a s ~-\epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon \iota$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2}-\epsilon$ voo ; in $\mathrm{O}-\epsilon \iota$ is corrected to $-\eta$ and therei is a marginal note saying "all copies have the subjunctive"; n ф $\alpha \dot{\varrho} \bigvee_{\eta} \eta$ and $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \eta \tau \tau \iota$ both A and O have the subj., which A corrects to opt. and O (in $\phi \rho \alpha \S_{\eta}$ only) to the pres. ind. - Ast, not recognizing that the subjunctives are deliberative, reads opt. and puts in $\stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \nu$.

 prove that the part. here may be masc., and denote the people for whom the laws are made (so Jowett), but I think Wagner is right in taking it to be neuter, and to mean "enactments," as at 785 a. The latter meaning fits in with the $\pi \rho o \sigma$ - in $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta i \delta \hat{\omega}$ better than the former.
b 2. I believe that all commentators and translators are in error in thinking that Plato admits the possibility of the empirics being free citizens. From кат' ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \alpha \xi \iota \nu$ to $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta$ applies solely to $\delta o \hat{v} \lambda o \iota$; the previous words mean : "Yes, (we call the whole lot "doctors") whether they belong to the free-citizen kind, or the slave kind "then follows a description of the slave' kind. This is also clear from b 4 and b 5, as also. below at 857 cd .
b 5. ou゙ $\tau \omega$ seems to us pleonastic ; it serves to give a unity and emphasis to the part of the sentence containing $\mu \epsilon \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota$ and $\delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa о v \sigma \iota$. The course of learning and teaching systematically ( $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ \phi v \sigma \iota v$ ) in medical schools is opposed to the random picking up 'by their slave assistants of bits of doctors' skill.-With roìs $\alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a i ̂ \delta a s$ Stallb. cps. oi $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \epsilon s \times 769$ b.1. Cp. Rep.
 philosophic practitioners'you make them out to be!" where there is a slight pun, as Machaon was really Asclepius's son, L. \& S. s.v. I. 3 and the biblical phrase "the sons of the prophets."
c 2. кaí: we should say " or."
c 3 , 4. Schanz says one é $\kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v$ must go. But if the second be omitted the sentence runs awkwardly : if the first was not originally there, who would think of putting it in? £́ка́ $\sigma \tau o v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ оiкєє $\hat{\omega} \nu$ bears ' a 'very relevant sense. . iThe slaves' were' not" treated as individuals', but in the' lump.
c 5. ou' $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \delta^{\prime} \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ : i.e. he would cut his patient very short if he showed a disposition to explain his case or to ask for an explanation.
c 7. I cannot but think that Plato wrote $a \dot{v} \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \eta$ s here, and that some early scribe wrote - $\omega$ s because he had so recently had three consecutive words ending in - $\omega$ s. If the MS. text be retained, it might be as well to put a comma after $\tau$ v́pavvos, to show that $a \dot{v} \theta a \delta \omega \hat{s}$ goes with $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \xi a s$. "He writes him a second-hand prescription, with a cock-sure air, issuing his orders like a tyrant whose will is law, and then 'rushes off to the next slave-patient." (avj $\theta a \delta \hat{\omega} s$ will hardly bear the meaning "with the absolute air of a tyrant"; Jowett takes $a \dot{v} \theta$. with oï $\chi \in \tau \alpha \iota-$ "rushes off with equal assurance.")
c 8. каì $\dot{\rho} q \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\prime} \eta \eta \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$., "and by so doing lightens his master's professional labours"-i.e. by relieving him of his slave-patients. (Jowett unaccountably translates: "and so relieves the master of the house of the care of his invalid slaves.")
 physician now and then treated the case of a slave.
 a philosophical, systematic investigation; for the latter cp. above
 friends as well, into his confidence."
d 5. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$ vocoúv $\tau \omega \nu$ : a curious change of number.
d 6. $\dot{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \hat{\xi} \in \nu$ : gnomic aor.
d 7. For $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ " by the help of" cp. Rep. $560 \mathrm{~d} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho o \rho i ́ Y o v a \iota .$. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \alpha \nu \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu \iota \hat{\omega} v$, and cp. Theaet. 180 с 8 and above $710 \mathrm{~d} 7,738 \mathrm{~d} 7,791$ a 7.
e 1. $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ seems by all interpreters to have been taken absolutely, in the sense of "make (him) whole," or "complete (the cure)." I do not believe this use to have been possible. The analogy of $767 \mathrm{a} 9 \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \kappa \rho i v \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \delta i \kappa \eta \nu \dot{a} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ suggests that $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$ could be used with a participle in the sense of "finish doing, succeed in doing"; I would therefore remove the
 restore him to complete health"-lit. "to succeed in bringing him to health."
e2f. $\tau \epsilon$. . : каi $\left.\gamma \nu \mu \nu a \sigma \tau \grave{\jmath}{ }^{2} \gamma \nu \mu \nu a ́\right\} \omega \nu$ : the suggestion of another analogy, which the reader is left to work out for himself.
 should) perform his one function in two methods, or confine himself to the worse method of the two, and make his patient hate him?"
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a commentator's explanation of $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \sigma \tau \tau \nu \nu \\ \eta\end{gathered}$; but the two phrases do not mean the same thing: "There is a sense in which mankind is by nature partaker of immortality," i.e. " Mankind enjoys by its nature a kind of immortality."
c 1. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu, "$ of every kind," a not unusual use of $\pi \hat{\alpha} s ; ~ c p$. 723 d 1.-The kind spoken of in the Phaedo is for the time left out of sight. Orie of the kinds of this desire is, he goes on to say, the wish to know that one's name will not be unheard after one's death. A desire for fame is thus seen to be a kind of desire for immortality. A further motive is adduced at 773 e (where the thought of this passage recurs), i.e. the individual's part in the service of heaven does not lapse, if he leaves children to represent him.
 race had a sort of collective consciousness of the possibilities open to it, and as if it had what in an individual we should call an instinctive desire to prolong its existence. There is a sense in which every parent of a living child shares in the race's immortality. Cp. Symp. 206 c 6 and e 8, 207 d 1, 208 b 5, Aristotle, De anima 415 a 26 ff . ' r a $22-b 7$
 which the unusual gen. suggests .a specially close relationship between time and the human race; some such a relationship we may fancy to have been in Plato's mind as that between space and the material world unfolded in the Timaeus.-Cp. also Tim. $37 \mathrm{~d}-38 \mathrm{~b}$, where Time is called "a moving image of eternity that abides in unity."
c 6. $\gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \iota$ : an instrumental dat. Reproduction is the means by the help of which the race secures the blessing of immortality. (Schneider's "ortu" is insufficient.)-"So the race of man is time's coeval twin, bound to it in a fellowship which will never be dissolved. The fashion of mankind's lasting is this : it attains to immortality by a reproduction of itself; for, as generation succeeds to generation, the race is one and the same throughout the ages. From this succession it is impious for any man to cut himself off, and that is what that man deliberately does who neglects to surround himself with wife and children."
d4. A good instance of кaì . . . $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, " and besides," "and moreover." A omitted the каí at first writing, L and O have it.
d 5. $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota, ~ " i n ~ p u b l i c . " ~$
d 8. aúrov́s: i.e. laws (in general).-ov̋r $\omega$ is explained by the following $\delta \iota \alpha ̀$ $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к \tau \lambda . ~ . ~$
e 1. $\tau \delta \quad \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \tau a \tau o v, ~ " a t ~ t h e ~ v e r y ~ l e a s t, " ~ " q u a l i f i e s ~ \delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{v} s$. Ast and Stallb., by putting a comma after $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, obscure the fact that $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa \in \iota$ also goes with $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o v \hat{s}$. (Schanz would reject $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \eta_{\kappa}^{\prime} \epsilon \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \tau \alpha \tau o v$, and others would emend it.) The added $\tau 0 i ̂ s \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ rounds off the sentence and helps to show how $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\eta} \kappa \in \iota$ is to be taken.
e 5. $\gamma \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \mu \tau \alpha$ is here used in the sense of statutes, written laws ; so below 823 a 1, 858 e 4, 922 a 4, Polit. 302 e 10.
e 7. $\mu \circ \iota$ seems best taken as an ethic dative.
722 a 1. єi $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu 0 \iota \tau o$ є́ка́тє $\rho \alpha$ : apparently "if both sorts were in existence," i.e. " were possible." סıסoîтo would seem the natural verb here.
a 2. All the early MSS. wrote $\dot{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \rho o i ́ \mu \eta \nu$ for ai $\rho o i ́ \mu \eta \nu . — o \dot{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$. : i.e. "after all, what is important is that Cleinias here should approve of the legislation now produced; for it is his city that is now contemplating the task of putting such laws into practice."
a 4. тoîs тo七ov́тoıs vó $\mu \mathrm{o} s$, "such laws as we make," not "such laws as you have described as preferable."-I cannot help thinking that vó $\mu$ ous ought not to be in the text. It is not "laws of such a kind" that the new Colony was thinking of profiting by, but merely laws of some kind or other. This Megillus would naturally denote
 Some commentator perhaps put in vó $\mu$ oıs at the side to explain roıov́roıs, and it got into the text by mistake.
a 6. These words, I think, not only convey Cleinias's thanks, but his approval of Megillus's choice of the longer form of law. "You are right, Megillus, and I thank you." Cp. 723 c 1 ка入ôs . . . ठокєîs $\mu$ оє тó $\gamma \epsilon \tau$ тобои̂тov $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$.
a 7. $\gamma \rho a \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ : not, as Ast, Lex., in the same sense as above at e 5 , but simply in that of "written matter." It is " too foolish" to "take account of" the mere length of a law-clength," says the parenthesis, " is in itself neither a vice nor a virtue."
b 1. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$. : this $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ corresponds to the $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$ in a 7. $\tau \alpha^{\prime}$ here, though not in the previous parenthesis, should, I think, have $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ supplied in thought. The written matter of the longer of the two kinds of laws, which was to be "at least twice as long" as the shorter one, is of more than twice its practical utility. In fact the case is analogous to that of the two kinds of physician above mentioned. In that case the superiority of the better one was "great" (720 e 6).

$\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ a s)$ ), lit. (pace Ritter) "superior in the matter of the excellence
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\rho} \eta \tau o \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$; (Ritter says it means: "the one class exceeds in excellence by more than twice the usefulness of the other class"a very roundabout way of saying that one class was more than three times as useful as the other.)
b 4. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v} \tau o ~ \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$, " yet in the face of this," "yet for all this"; so L and O . $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau 0 v^{\prime} \tau \omega$, the reading of A and the margin of L and O , is out of place; what follows is in no sense an additional point.
b5. ${ }^{\prime} \xi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} v:$ the participle contains the main idea of the sentence. It does not seem ever to have occurred to any of the lawgivers that, all the time during which they have been employing nothing but force, there was another course open to them; i.e. "as far as the uneducated state of the masses would permit," they might use persuasion. (Wagner must be wrong in taking the $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ö $\sigma о \nu$ clause with what follows.)
c 1. I am inclined to adopt Ast's emendation of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \nu$ for the MS. $\mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \eta \nu$; if the initial $a$ were faint, $\mu$ might easily be read as $\mu$, and $\alpha \gamma \kappa$ he read as $\alpha \chi$. The only defence of the MS. reading that seems possible is the assumption that $\pi \epsilon \iota \theta_{0} \hat{\imath}$ $\kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu v ́ \nu \tau \epsilon S$ $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$ is a poetical quotation. Bdh. and Stallb. suggest $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$, and this Schanz adopts.
c 6. $v \hat{v} v$ : I do not feel sure about Schanz's alteration of the MS. $v \hat{v} \nu$ to $v v \nu \delta \grave{\eta}$. The following $\delta \iota-$ may well have helped a $-\delta \grave{\eta}$ to disappear, but $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ is not out of place. The reference is not to any recent part of the discussion about laws, but to the whole discussion. In English we should say "to-day" or "on the present occasion," not "just now" in such a case. Cp. below e $4 \dot{\eta} \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \iota a \tau \rho \iota \beta \grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu v \hat{\imath} a$.—ка兀а̀ $\theta \epsilon o ́ v \tau \iota v a$ : Porson (on Eur. I.A. 411, Adversaria p. 251) pointed out that $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \iota s$ and not $\theta$ єós $\tau \iota s$ was usual in tragedy, but it is not so in Plato. For the phrase катà $\theta$ єóv cp. above 682 e 10 , where it is also used of a felicitous turn of the conversation.
c 7. $\gamma \epsilon$ jovós is not (as Jowett) "which comes into my mind," but "which has been brought out,-emerged." The three old men have talked through four books before making any laws. This means (e5) that, before making a law, we must be clear about the principles on which it is to be made. The further analogy of musical vó $\mu o$, , which has been before us already, suggests that some science ( ${ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \vee \sim \nu \mathrm{d} 5$ ) must go to the fitting of the prelude to the piece proper. The style and tone of the prelude
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a $\pi \rho o o{ }^{\prime} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \mathrm{v}$, or taken the trouble to compose and produce one."
 see below on 781 al .
e 4. $\dot{\eta} \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta_{\iota} a \tau \rho \iota \beta \grave{\eta} . . . \sigma_{\eta} \mu a i \nu \epsilon \iota:$ see above on c 6 f.
e 5. At e 3 Cod. Voss. has oैv for oٌ ôvos and so Bdh. conjectured here. The construction of ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ őv ${ }^{\prime}$ тos is, as Ast says, precisely similar to that noticed on 624 a 7 . The adoption of this construction heightens the force of the contradiction of $\mathrm{\omega}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ov́к övтos $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ at e 3.-Bdh. would rejèct $\gamma \epsilon$ and Schanz follows him.
 e 4.-ov̋k ... $\delta \iota \pi \lambda o \hat{\imath}$ : i.e. it is not the same thing twice over.
e 7. ô $\delta \dot{\eta} . \ldots$. . $o \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ ' $\mathfrak{i v a l}$ : the asyndeton is of the explanatory kind.
e8. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \quad \epsilon \rho \rho \eta \eta_{\eta} \eta$ : the participle contains the more important verb;"whose pronouncement was likened ..."

723 a 1 . $\epsilon i \hat{i v a} \iota$ still depends on the imaginary $\delta o \kappa o v \sigma \iota$ supplied at e 6 .
a 2. $\dot{v} \pi \grave{o}$ тov̂ $\delta$ : as Ritter says, this in the Laws would naturally mean "by that one of the two Dorians who had not spoken last," i.e. in this case " by Megillus." M. has nowhere called
 difficulty is to suppose an imperfect recollection on Plato's part of what had been the actual terms of Megillus's declaration (at 721 e 4 ff .) of a preference for the law plus the preface. Schanz suspects the words. Ast would take them to mean "on that account," propterea.-Ritter mentions the possibility of taking $\tau o \hat{v} \delta \epsilon$ to refer to the speaker himself, as at Laches 180 d 7 , but follows Schanz in rejecting the words. Apelt, Eis. Prog. 1901, suggests $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau 0 \hat{v} \delta \epsilon$, "henceforward."
a 3. $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ is a strong $\delta \epsilon$ to the previous $\mu \epsilon \varepsilon v$.- $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s$ (at which Ritter stumbles) is "rhetorical"; i.e. by the rules of art it is as necessary for a law to have a $\pi \rho o o i \mu c o v$ as it is for a speech (of any kind) to have one.

 of mind in the hearer. This is what it does in rhetoric, in poetry, and in music ; and we must recognize that in a law too the $\pi \rho o o i \mu c o v$ is not merely persuasive. It has an artistic, or stylistic function ; it strikes the key-note (as Aristotle says of the rhetorical $\pi \rho o o i ́ \mu c o v$, at Rhet. 1414 b 25 ) of what is to follow. The addition of this "artistic" aid is the $\tau \rho^{\prime} i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \delta^{\prime} \neq \nu$ of 722 c 2.
a 5. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \not \tau a \xi(\nu$, like $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \pi i \tau \alpha \gamma \mu a$, is a matural word for: a doctor's
prescription，which corresponds to the law proper．The neuter ${ }_{o}^{\prime \prime}$ is quite natural here ；there is no need for Bdh．＇s o $\hat{\vartheta}$ ．
 the model $\pi \rho o o i ́ \mu \iota o \nu$ given at $721 \mathrm{~b} f \mathrm{ff}$ ．It is possible though that $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha ́ v \eta$ is used colloquially of a discovery recently made：＂I see that ．．．＂Cp． 718 d 2 and $\delta \rho \hat{\omega}$ at 722 c 3 ，and c 6.
b 1．入ójos is here，and below at c4，used in the sense of ＂text＂or＂body＂of the law，as opposed to introductory matter．
b 2．For the єîval with $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon \in ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ cp．Phil． 13 b 5 and Prot． 325 a 2.
b 3 f ．$\dot{\varsigma} \varsigma \tau o ̀ \nu \nu \nu \mu o \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta \nu$ ．．．$\delta \iota \eta \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ ：in interpreting this difficult and awkward sentence we must start from the con－ trasted $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ and $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \not{ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o \nu$ ．Apparently there is to be a general introduction to the body of laws as a whole，and separate preambles，which are to be prefixed to individual laws，＂in which way，＂i．e．＂in virtue of which they will surpass their former selves as much as the double law above given surpassed its former self＂（i．e．the so－called simple，and double laws of 72 lbff ．）．The awkwardness arises from the fact that $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu o i ́ \rho o v s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o \grave{v} s \pi \rho o o \iota \mu i ́ \omega v$ $\pi o \iota \epsilon i v$, which would suit both cases， comes after the injunction to supply a general preamble to the whole．This difficulty would be removed if каi ка $\theta^{\prime}$＇゙кабтоv had immediately followed $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ．But on the other hand there seems a fitness in putting ка $\theta^{\prime}$＇゙к $\kappa \sigma \tau о \nu$ immediately before $\stackrel{\grave{\eta}}{\eta}$ $\delta_{\iota o} i^{\sigma} o v \sigma \iota \nu$ éavî̀v．A revision on the part of the author would doubtless have removed the awkwardness，but not as Stallb． suggests，by substituting $\pi \rho о о i ́ \mu \iota \alpha ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \nu a \iota$ for $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu$ ．$\alpha \dot{v} \tau$ ． $\pi \rho$ ．$\pi o l \in i ̂ v$.
 643 a 2 ，and $\tau$ ò $\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ for $\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \in \hat{i} s$ at 778 e 1.
c 1．＂So far，Cleinias，I think you are right，when you admit that all laws have preambles belonging to them，and that when beginning any piece of legislation one ought to put at the head of each law the preamble that suits the whole text of the law－ for it is no unimportant pronouncement that is to follow，and it will make a great difference whether or not the laws are distinctly retained in the memory－still we should not be right in laying it down that a preface is as necessary for what we call small laws as for great ones．You ought not to make such a rule in the case of all kinds of songs or speeches either－and yet there is a natural preface to them all，but you need not use all the prefaces．No ；
you must leave it to the orator; or the musician, or the lawgiver, to deal with each case as he thinks fit."
c 2. Cleinias may perhaps have been surprised to find his expression of agreement expanded into what follows, but it is nothing but a repetition of some points in the Ath.'s last speech.
c 3. $\pi \rho о \tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu a \iota$. . . $\in \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \sigma \iota s: ~ t h e r e ~ i s ~ m u c h ~ d i f f i c u l t y ~$ here. L. \& S., Schneider, and Wagner take $\pi$. т. 入ó $\gamma$. with $\pi \rho о \tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \in \alpha \iota$, and $\notin \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s$ with $\pi \epsilon \phi \nu \kappa o^{\prime} s$.("conveniens natura singulis prooemium," "den für die einzelnen geeigneten Eingang"). This must be wrong. Whenever $\pi \rho \circ \tau \iota \theta^{\prime}$ vą means prefix A to $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}$ is in the dat. ; besides, what sense does it make to say that when a man starts to legislate, he must put at the head of the whole body of law "the preamble that suits the separate individuals"? At least we ought to have had $\pi \rho o o i \mu \iota a$. Clearly $\pi \rho o \tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$
 proper preamble belonging to the whole text"- ${ }^{\prime}$ óyos used as at b 1.— $\pi a v \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{v}$ 入óүov suggests a long law, and this prepares us for the statement that in the case of slight enactments the preamble may be omitted. (F.H.D. would reject $\tau 0$.̂.)-Ast and Stallb.• are doubtless right in taking $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o ́ \mu \in \nu o \nu$ as agreeing with $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$ understood. (Jowett apparently takes it as neut. agreeing with $\pi \rho о o i ́ \mu \iota o v$.)
c 5. $\sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\omega}$ s . . . $\mu \nu \eta \mu o v \epsilon v^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ recalls the $\epsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ of a 5 ; $\mu \nu \eta$. (pass.) does not mean "to be recorded " (Ast, Lex.) but "to be remembered."
c6. a ${ }^{\prime} \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ : the plural of what was just spoken of as $\tau \grave{o}$ $\stackrel{\rho}{\rho} \eta \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \nu o v$, i.e. the laws.
c 7. $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ : almost "so-called."- $\dot{\delta} \mu o i ́ \omega s: ~ t h e ~ c o n t e x t ~$ (каíтоь . . . "̈ $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ ) shows that this word does not mean "to an equal extent" or "(by preambles) of equal length," but "equally," " invariably."
d1. тò $\tau о \iota o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$ : not " to make such a rule," but "to do such a thing" (as to make prologues). The genitives $\ddot{q} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau o s$ and $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ suppose some such construction as $\pi \rho о o i ́ \mu \iota a$ тoוєîv, for which this is a substitute. The anacoluthon is as harsh as that noticed at b 5. H. Steph. held that $\epsilon \in \pi i$ had fallen out before $\underset{\sim}{\alpha} \sigma \mu a \tau o s$, and Ast and Stallb. agreed with him. (Cp. Riddell; Dig. § 17.)
d 6. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ is a gen. of definition; "let us make no more hesitating delay, but let us retrace our steps and start, if. you do not mind, from those things you said above when•you were not avowedly prologizing. Let us hope, as they say at
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both their occupations and amusements" is, I think, far too limited.)
b 1. каі коьоо́татог, " and to their most mutual advantage"; "communiterque omnium interest,". Stallb. (Stallb. takes $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma^{\gamma} \circ \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$

b 4. öv $\nu \omega$ s emphasizes the necessity expressed in the following verbal adjectives-" undoubtedly."

## BOOK V

726 1. 象коv́o $\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \kappa \tau \lambda$. : with the exception of a few lines at the end, the whole of this book is addressed to the same imaginary audience who were instructed above at 716 and 717 on the duties to Gods and parents.
3. [ $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \hat{\theta} \epsilon o v_{s}$ ]: below at 727 a 1 and b 4 these words are quite in place as a qualification of $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu$, but I cannot believe that the author put them in here. They involve the twofold absurdity of implying that the Gods are (1) possessed by mankind, and (2) godlike. Ritter was the first to call attention to the difficulty. The former absurdity was lessened by Stobaeus, who substituted $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \beta^{\prime} \dot{\omega}$ for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aivoov. He, apparently, found $\mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ \theta \epsilon o v ́ s$ already inserted.—оiкєьózaтov o" $\nu$, "sein eigenstes Eigenthum" (Wagner). - $\delta \iota \tau \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau i \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ : an elegant variety of $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́-$ $\pi \alpha \sigma \iota \delta \iota \tau \tau \alpha ́ \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$, i.e. " all, in all cases"=" unquestionably." The Louvain edition has $\delta \iota \tau \tau \alpha ́ \epsilon \not \epsilon \tau \iota \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota$.-It is tempting, with Iamblichus, to omit ov̂v and put merely a comma after $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$.
 supremacy is the title to honour which ennobles both the Gods and our souls.-[Commas after $\psi v \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} v, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \nu o v s$ (as well as after $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \in \rho \nu)$ make the sentence clearer. J.B.M.]- $\quad$ € $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} о \mu^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}$
a 2. $\delta \in v \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu$ goes closely with $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon o v ́ s$, as at b 4.—The object to be supplied in thought with $\tau \iota \mu \hat{q}$ is $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aí $\tau \hat{v} \psi v \chi \eta \nu$.For the idea cp. Tennyson's "Self-reverence, self-knowledge, selfcontrol" (Oenone).
 "honour, you know, is a priceless benefit; if a thing harms you, it cannot bring you honour." There are, Plato says, many ways, which we are all prone to take, of honouring our own souls, "as we think," which do it no honour at all. The only way to honour
our souls is to make them better. Instead of which, much of the conduct whereby we think to honour them, does them harm. Apparently Plato nowhere else uses $\tau i ́ \mu \iota o s$ in an active sense, but I think he makes it clear by the context that it is active here. If we understand him to say that honour cannot be paid to anything bad—especially if we go so far as to accept Stallbaum's emendation $\theta \epsilon i \omega \nu$ र̀̀ $\rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$, which accentuaxtes that ideathis clashes with the statement that every honouring of the soul $\beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i ́ \omega$ є́ $\kappa \quad \chi \epsilon i \rho o v o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma^{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha$. The modest confession with which Plato begins at a 2 , as well as much of the subsequent exhortation, shows that he starts with the assumption that there is much in every $\psi v \chi \eta^{\prime}$ that needs amendment. We are not to wait till this imperfection is removed, to honour the soul. [St. Peter tells us to "honour all men." J.B.M.] Every step we take towards its removal is an honour paid to it. Honour then can be paid to something that is imperfect, and consequently bad. I agree with Ritter in taking ájaOóv to mean "something beneficial," and какóv "something harmful," but I do not see that he gains anything by reading $\theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ 'ov for $\theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} o v$. The latter word, besides being a high commendation, adds the implication that since the Gods receive honour and glory, it must be a good, and therefore a beneficial thing. Schanz's $\psi v \chi \eta^{\prime}$ for $\tau \iota \mu \eta$ seems to go still further from the context-ignoring, as it does, the contrast between $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta o ́ v$ and какóv. All the above-mentioned objections also apply to Susemihl's suggestion to bracket á $\gamma^{2} \theta o{ }^{\prime} v$ as a "gloss" on $\theta \epsilon i \hat{i} \nu$. —For the active use of $\tau i ́ \mu \iota o s$ cf. Aesch. Eum. 853 ovi $\pi \iota \rho \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ $\gamma$ à $\rho$
 next line. Plato uses ä äluos both in the sense of bringing disgrace (Gorg. 527 d 1) and suffering disgrace (Gorg. 486 c 2). [F.H.D., J.B.M., and A.M.A. take típıov as passive, and incline to Stallbaum's $\theta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu$.]
a 5. 入ó $\gamma o \iota s \hat{\eta} \delta \omega \dot{\rho} \rho o \iota s \hat{\eta} \hat{\imath} \pi \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ : three headings under which fall the various $\kappa \grave{\beta} \beta \delta \eta \lambda_{0} \iota \tau \iota \mu \alpha i(728 \mathrm{~d} 5)$ enumerated below. It should be noticed that he does not say that words, e.g., or gifts, or even shirkings or compliances cannot honour the soul, but only such words, gifts or compliances as fail to do it good.-(1) Selfconfidence and self-praise, and (2) self-excuse, fall under the head of dó ${ }^{\prime}$ oıs; (3) self-indulgence, and (5) the preferring beauty to goodness, and (7) the preference of wealth to virtue, fall under the head of $\delta \omega \rho o \iota s$, while (4) the shrinking from toil, and (5) the shrinking from death fall under that of $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\xi} \in \sigma \iota$.
a 7. av́тíкa, "for instance." Cp. Ruhnken, Tim. s.v. - $\pi \alpha i ̂$ s
 J.B.M.].

 below at 746 b 4 тò $\nu \hat{v} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ is personified, and is subject to the verb $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
b 7. $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\eta}$ Stob., $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha i \rho \eta$ MSS. Cp. Heindorf on Theaet. 162 e: "vulgatum $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \alpha a i \rho \omega$ mutavi in $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega}$, velut ubique scribendum ubi eximendi significatum verbum hoc habet."
 face of the lawgiver's exhortation and eulogium."- [ $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v$ is the lawgiver's speech recommending the law. J.B.M.] $\epsilon \in \pi \alpha \iota \nu o v \mu ' \epsilon \nu v v s$ in c 4 again introduces in another connexion the consideration of the lawgiver's praise, which is a kind of $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$, the great agency for the encouragement of moral conduct. (Schanz would change $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ to $\psi o ́ \gamma o v$, and reject $\kappa \alpha i$ ' $\epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \nu o v$.
c 3. как $\omega \nu$ : as at b6, not only suffering, but damage (to the soul).
c 7. $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o \iota \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ : i.e. when guilty of that, or any other, shirking of a hard duty. Wagner suggests <ouं> $\delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$, applying ` $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тoıâ̂ $\tau \alpha \sigma u ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ to the duties shirked. [J.B.M. suggests that the ov' before $\tau \iota \mu \hat{q}$ really belongs here.]
d 1. $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega s$, " under any circumstances," goes with ára日óv.For the sense cp. Apol. 29 a 7, 37 b 7 and 42.
 "instead of combating, the notion by convincing demonstration that ..." For the time, vô̂s and $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$ are taking opposite sides. Stobaeus's $\dot{\eta} \gamma o v j \mu \in \nu o s$ in $d 2$ spoils the passage.
d 5. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ i $\tau o v ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o v ́ s: ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ m o r e ~ u s u a l ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu ; \mathbf{c p}$. above on 690 d 6 . We may translate "the kingdom of the Gods below."
 Plato ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ os in the sense of different is followed by a gen.
d 8. All the later edd., except Stallb., Schneider, and the Ziir. edd., have a comma after $\epsilon i=1 \nu a \iota$; but it seems best, since the next sentence gives a proof of the statement contained in. $\psi \in v \delta o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$, to omit the comma and to take $\psi \epsilon v \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \phi \eta \sigma^{i}$ closely together"is wrong in saying" ("for this reckoning, which makes more of the body than of the soul, is a false one").
e 2. Burnet does well to eject the comma which most edd. have after $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \mathbf{v} \epsilon \hat{i}$.-There is much variety among the interpretations given of $\dot{\omega}$ : Wagner translates it by a simple that (dass), Jowett
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of the $\chi$ puciov of O and Stobaeus，and rightly，I think．It is not a question of a small＂piece of money＂（Jowett），or small sum of money，but of an umount of gold，small in comparison with＂all the gold on the earth and in the earth，＂of which we hear immediately． So，at the end of the Phaedrus，Socrates wishes for a certain $\chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{o s}$ ．［Clem．Al．Strom．vii．§ 78，p． 879 quotes $\chi \rho v \sigma o ̀ \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$＇̇ $\pi \grave{\imath} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ каì $\dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ ．J．B．M．］
a 5 ．What was hinted above at c 2 ，is here expressly set forth ： that，for the citizen，the（ideal）lawgiver＇s enactment is the ultimate canon of morality．
a 6．$\delta \iota a \rho \iota \theta \mu o v ́ \mu \in v o s \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \eta$ ，＂sets down in his list．＂
a 7．It is better to suppose that ${ }^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \eta$ may，under the circum－ stances，dispense with $\stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu-o ̈ s \not \partial \nu \nu \ddot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ would sound awkward－ than to read ${ }^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ with Peipers．Cp． 920 d 3 with Stallb．＇s note． Of the passages cited there $873 \mathrm{e} 3 \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\circ} \sigma \alpha \ldots . . \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \eta$ is almost parallel with this．
a 8．ov̉k oî $\delta \epsilon \nu$ ．．．$\delta \iota a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon i ́ s$ ，＂without knowing it，the man， whoever he be，is bringing hideous disgrace upon the majesty of

 $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \lambda o \gamma i \zeta_{\epsilon \tau \alpha \iota} \kappa \tau \lambda$ ．
b 2．$\lambda \epsilon \gamma \circ \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta v$ ，＂so－called，＂qualifies the word $\delta^{\prime} \kappa \eta \nu$ alone－


 －What he means by＂so－called＂he explains below at c 2 ff ． The ordinary translation－＂what is said to be the heaviest penalty for wrong－doing＂－involves a contradiction of what follows．If it is generally said to be so，how is it that＂no one takes it into account＂？
b6．кат⿳亠㐅 lit．＂by way of their companionships，＂i．e．＂in intimate com－ munion．＂Schneider wrongly takes it with $\delta \iota \omega$ ког $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha$－＂istorum sectans consuetudinem＂；and so Wagner，and（in effect）Jowett． An examination of the two contrasted clauses shows that $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \chi^{i}$－

 we read，that $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \sigma v \nu o v \sigma i \alpha s$ is put in to balance $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s: ~ i t ~$ is in talk that communion mainly consists．（Hence I think Schanz wrong in rejecting каi $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ in c 1．）
c 2 f ．When we call this consequence $\delta^{\prime} \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \eta$ ，we give it too good a name，for $\delta i ́ \kappa \eta$ is the right treatment of an offender，with a view
 -in a passage where the whole theory of what we may call official punishment is explained on the same lines as here. Plato feels that the Greek language cannot easily express what he means; the nearest he can get to a bad name for punishmeut is $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i \alpha$. Perhaps he chooses this because it has in it the suggestion of anger, which the just judge never feels. At Gorg. 525 b and at Prot. 324 a $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho^{\prime} \alpha$ is used in a neutral sense, but in both passages we are told that there is a right and a wrong kind of $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho^{\prime} \alpha$-in the former we read that if a man is ${ }_{o} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho o v \mu \mu \in \nu o s$ it has a beneficial effect; in the latter, of the man who punishes out of revenge for the crime, it is said $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\theta \eta \rho i o \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda o \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega s \quad \tau \iota \mu \omega-$ $\rho \epsilon i \tau a l$. On the other hand, at Theaet. 177 a 2 , the wrong form of $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho^{\prime} \alpha$ is spoken of as $\delta i ́ к \eta$.
c 3. ádıкı́as $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o ́ \lambda o v \theta o s \pi \alpha ́ \theta \eta$, "a painful consequence of wrongdoing" (Jowett).
c 4. ő $\tau \in \tau v \chi \grave{\omega \nu} \kappa \alpha i \mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \omega \nu$ : for the remarkable conjunction of the aor. and impf. participles in apparently the same sense
 тíov $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \beta$ ívєє как $\bar{s} \dot{\alpha} \chi \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \nu .-\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \theta \iota o s: ~ a t ~ R e p . ~ 380 \mathrm{~b}$ Plato explains that a man is never $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda$ cos as the result of duly ordained




 $\pi о \lambda \lambda o \grave{\imath} \sigma \omega \hat{\varphi}\} \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{v} \mu \in \nu o s:$ the worst penalty that can be incurred by the wrong-doer is that he is cut off from the society of the good and incorporated in that of the bad and completely assimilated to them. If he is not so cut off and so incorporated, he is still ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \theta \lambda_{\iota o s}$, for the good, among whom he still lives, are likely to get rid of him as an incorrigible villain ; this is $\delta \mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \gamma \chi^{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu$ whose fate is likely to be "destruction," as an example to others (cp. below 854 e 7 ). On the other hand $\delta$ $\tau v \chi \omega \nu$ is $\ddot{\alpha} \theta \lambda$ ıos because he does not get the only treatment that would cure him, which is proper punishment. (This interpretation of a most perplexing passage I owe to the late Prof. J. B. Mayor. F.H.D. also takes ó $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \gamma \chi \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \omega \nu$ to be the man who is put to death ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \dot{v} \mu \in \nu o s$ ), but assumes the words to mean that he is put to death by the law for his crimes; and that the only way a man can avoid the above described penalty is by disappearing from the world. Prof. H. Jackson, on the other hand, holds that the
arrangement is chiastic (and so Ficinus), and that ó $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \gamma \chi$ áv $\omega \nu$ ( $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a s)$ is the man who is said not to be cured "because he does not get the treatment he wants,"-if he does not get the $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a, a$ fortiori he does not get $\delta i \not \kappa \eta$-and that $\dot{a} \pi о \lambda \lambda \dot{v} \mu \in \nu o s$ only means that such a man goes hopelessly to the bad, and is a lesson to the rest of the world.)
c 6. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} v$ is a genitival dative, "our glory." [Dat. of reference, "in our judgement," J.B.M. and A.M.A.]

 man's true glory consists in choosing the good, and in doing his best to remedy all remediable evil.
c 9. ov̉к $\epsilon \dot{v} \phi v^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \frac{\nu}{} \epsilon$ is $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: it is the soul's natural affinity with the Good that gives it the value as a $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \mu a$ claimed for it at 7262 and 727 e . This is implied in the statement just made, that the true glory of the soul is the pursuit of the Good, and the rejection of evil.
 ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \theta \eta$ may be gnomic, or may refer to the fact that the order of merit was. given on the preceding page; more likely the latter. The Aldine $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta}$ for the MS. $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ must be right (unless some words are lost, e.g. $\langle\dot{\eta}\rangle \tau \mu \mu \bar{\eta}\langle\tau o \hat{\nu} \delta \epsilon\rangle$ ). -Burnet is the first among modern editors to print $\pi \hat{\alpha}$. . . vo ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ as a parenthesis-like that at el below. (There are difficulties both ways, but perhaps the
 greater evil.) [J.B.M. dissents, translating "as for the third, every one would consider that this was etc."]
d 4. I think it is best to take cival to depend on vṓ $\sigma \in \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ är, repeated in thought; so that in effect the sentence is equivalent to: "everybody will recognize that the natural (and proper) honour paid to the body comes third in importance."- $\delta$ ' âर, "here again."
d 5. ö $\sigma \alpha \iota$ implies that the $\kappa i \beta \delta \eta \lambda o \iota$ are more numerous than the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \in i ̂ s ~ \tau \iota \mu a i$.
d6. $\mu \eta \nu \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ 向 $\mu$ ос фaivєтal: this curious phrase seems to mean: "as I imagine, he distinguishes them (as follows)." Explanatory asyndeton; as also is the case with the sentence beginning $\tau i \mu \iota o \nu \epsilon i v a \iota$; but this is so regular after ö $\delta \epsilon$ and $\tau o \iota o ́ \sigma \delta \epsilon$ that it is hardly noticeable.
e 2. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \underline{\omega}$. . . $\mu a \kappa \rho \hat{\varphi}$, , but that those bodies which possess all these qualities" (lit. "the whole of this condition") "to an extent half-way between these two extremes ["are in the
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in the $\sigma v \mu \phi \omega v o \hat{v} \sigma a$ and $\sigma v v a \rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma a$ which follow; the word means something like "harmonious."- $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ is an ethic dative.
a 7. $\epsilon$ 's $\ddot{\alpha} \dot{\pi} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, "generally," i.e. in the case of men of all stations; to be taken with the two preceding participles. [J.B.M. and A.M.A. take it with $\left.{ }_{\alpha} \lambda v \pi o v.\right]$
b 1. ai $\delta \hat{\omega} \pi o \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$, óv $\chi \rho v \sigma o ́ v: ~ t h i s ~ r e m a r k ~ f o r m s ~ a ~ n e a t ~$ transition to what may be regarded as a true member of the series now before us (see on 718 cl ). We are concerned with objects of honour and respect ; there is a true sense, he goes on (b 6) to tell us, in which children, who are always being told to pay respect to others, are deserving of respect. themselves. (The remarks are not made "per occasionem," as Stallb. would have us believe.)
b 3. $\tau o ́$ has a stronger demonstrative force than usual here. Cp. 730 c 4.- - $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \quad \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ is a sententious periphrasis for $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ : "We fancy that we are going to secure this legacy to them by scolding them when they show a lack of it. But modesty is not created by the admonition which people nowadays address to the young, when they tell them that it is the duty of one who is young to show respect to everybody."
b 5. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ : masc. (So Ritter: Schneider transl. "omnia.") It is the obj. of $\alpha i \sigma \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, not an attribute of $\tau o ̀ v \nu \epsilon \neq \nu$.
 elder to whom the lawgiver gives the advice. The change of number in such a case is not uncommon in Plato. Stallb. cps. Prot. 324 a.-Cp. Juv. xiv. 47, with Mayor's note.
c 3. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \alpha$ каì avi $\hat{\omega} v$, "simul atque ipsorum" Schneider.
c5. $\delta \mu о \gamma \nu i ́ \omega \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \iota \iota \omega \nu i \alpha \nu \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.: Ruhnken, s.v. ó $\mu o ́ \gamma \nu \iota o \iota \theta \epsilon \circ$ í, quotes from Pollux iii. 5 a description of relations
 following $\tau \alpha v \dot{u} o \hat{v} \phi$. aï. ${ }^{\epsilon}$ Xovaav obliges us to take the abstract noun to stand for the concrete in translation: " all the members who share the worship of the family gods, and who have the same blood in their veins" (lit. "the same natural blood").
c 7. Ruhnken was the first to point out that Stobaeus had in $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda_{\text {íovs }}$ preserved for us the true reading for the curiously perverse $\gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ oűs of the MSS. and early editions. The corruption is readily explicable palaeographically.
d 1. The subtlety of this wise counsel of humility consists in the selection of the man's own state of mind, and not in his outward expressions, as the determining cause 'of his' popularity.

If he really thinks his friend's services to him of more worth and importance than what he does for them, his behaviour to them cannot fail to be conciliatory and void of offence.- $\epsilon \dot{v} \mu \in \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{s}}$, the MS. reading, has been unnecessarily altered by H. Steph., Ast, Bekker, and the Zürich edd. to $\epsilon \dot{v} \mu \in \mathcal{V} \epsilon$ s, which Stobaeus also has. Stallb. refers to 657.d above, and Phil. 45 e, and Schramm also to Rep. 563 c, passages which show that such a phrase as $\tau o ́ \gamma \epsilon$
 фídovs каì є́ $\tau \alpha i ́ \rho o v s$.
d 3. " ${ }^{\eta}$ 'Кєîvoı: sc. $\dot{\eta} \gamma o \hat{v} \nu \tau a \iota$.
 goes, this gen. might stand either for $\eta \geqslant$ av̀roì oi $\phi i ́ \lambda o \iota ~ \delta \iota \alpha v o o v ̂ v \tau a \iota ~$ (so Schneider and Wagner), or, by brachylogy, for $\hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \phi^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \tau \alpha s$ (Jowett); but the sense of the sentence points to the former interpretation. A is to set a higher value on B's services to him than B himself sets on them, and is also to set a lower value on his own services to $B$ than $B$ sets on them. There is no question of a comparison of service with service.
d5. $\tau o \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \lambda \nu \mu \pi \iota ́ a \sigma \iota \nu$ : with $\tau o \hat{v}$ we must supply ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu o s$ from the following $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu \omega \nu$. -" In preference to the Olympian or other contests" is, by brachylogy, for "in preference to conquering at the Olympian, etc."
d7. Só $\xi \eta$ : "Ruhm" (Wagner) is nearer than opinione (Schneider). Plato suggests that glory may be gained by preeminence in more lines than one.-The $\dot{\omega}$ s $i \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \tau \eta \kappa \grave{\omega}$ s...
 addition. Can it be due to a commentator who took $\delta o \xi \eta \eta$ to be merely (as Schneider) "in a reputation for"?-It is perhaps not fanciful to see a link between this and the preceding recommendation in the fact that the value of a $\dot{v} \pi \eta \rho \epsilon \sigma^{\prime} \dot{a}$ is considered in both.
e 2. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota \omega$ 手 $\tau \tau \alpha \stackrel{\circ}{o} \nu \tau \alpha$, " have a special sacredness."
e 3. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ : if the reading каi $\epsilon$ 's $\tau$. $\xi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$. is sound, although the rest of the passage dealing with $\cdot \xi^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mathcal{V} \iota$ treats only of offences committed against them, it is better to take $\xi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ here as a subjective gen. than to make it synonymous with the following єis roùs $\xi^{\prime}$ 'Vovs. Schn., Wagn. and Jowett can hardly be right in translating $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ as "all that concerns strangers." In that case the $\tau \alpha$ would surely have to be repeated before $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ to make this clear. Besides, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, in this sense, is not "inseparable from heaven's vengeance." I think it best though to follow F.H.D. who would bracket каi єis iovis $\xi^{\prime} \in \nu o v s$ as a marginal gloss on the objective gen. $\xi^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \omega \nu$.
e 4. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ goes closely with the following $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$; cp. 747 b 5 $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aútô̂ $\phi \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ ध̀ $\pi \iota \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \alpha$.
e 7. For $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ heightening the force of a comparative cp . below 781 a 3, and Gorg. 487 b . Here too it repeats the sound of the previous $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu . \quad[J . B . M$. takes $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ with $\delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu^{\prime} \in \nu o s$ here and with $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho o{ }^{\nu}$ above at c.5.]

730 al. $\begin{gathered}\text { ó } \xi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \iota o s ~ \\ \epsilon \\ \kappa\end{gathered} \dot{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. : i.e. whether it were a $\delta \alpha i \not \mu \omega \nu$ or a $\theta$ єós who in any particular case had the $\xi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{V}$ os under his protection, they were all ministers of Zєìs $\xi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \iota o s$.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi$. $\dot{\alpha} \mu$. We have the same bold use of these adjectives below at b 2 in $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \omega ́ \rho \iota \alpha \dot{o} \mu \iota \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.
a 7. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau v \chi \in \nu$ MSS., but in A there are two marks before the word standing for two missing letters. Badham ingeniously suggested that $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\tau} v \chi \chi \bar{\nu}$ was the original reading. (So Schanz.) $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} v \chi \in \nu \quad \delta \quad \rho$. would mean, I think, not "failed to secure the fulfilment of a promise of safety," but simply "failed to secure" such a promise. This directly states the offence which brings down the God's vengeance, and directly explains the fate of the suppliant. The reading ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau v \chi \in \nu \dot{\circ} \mu$. leaves it to be inferred that the promise was broken, and seems, in so far, inferior, as a direct representation of what happened.
b 1. Possibly in this enumeration of the subjects just dealt with, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ éav $\boldsymbol{c}_{o ́ v}^{\nu}$ refers to the honouring of the soul, and $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ є́avtov to the proper regard to be had to one's body, and one's property. Ritter holds that $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota}$ éavtóv includes the body as well as the soul.-It seems better to take the $\tau \alpha$ at the beginning of bl —which also goes with $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ $\phi . \kappa . \sigma v \gamma$. and with $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \chi$.—with $\dot{\rho} \mu \iota \lambda \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$, than to make $\grave{o} \mu$. agree only with $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \alpha \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi$. Cp. above 718 a 8, where $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon \cup \cup \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ went with $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa \gamma o ́ v o v s ~ к \tau \lambda$. as well as with $\xi \in \nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́$. The position of $\delta \mu \iota \lambda \eta \mu a \tau \alpha$ is also in favour of so taking it.
b 3. $\pi 0$ ôós $\tau \iota \varsigma \stackrel{\omega}{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \nu$ av́zós: as Ritter says, there is a want of clearness in the arrangement of the subject matter here. This passage, down to 732 d 7 , might well be described as directions for the real honouring of the soul, and would seem to be more in place after the description of the kinds of false honouring of the soul given in 727 f . or as an amplification of the $\tau 0 \hat{\iota} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon i \nu o \sigma \iota \nu$ ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ in 728 c 6 . The ostensible ground of the division is that the qualities here praised depend not on law, but on public opinion for their sanction. Also the virtues here to be described are spontaneous-not the result of external restriction.
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 $\theta \in \tau \in \circ$ ．
c 6．Schanz is，I think，right in adopting Hermann＇s $\tau \epsilon$ for $\gamma \epsilon$ ． The same man is not supposed to have both faults，and both are declared to be prejudicial．
c 8．$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，＂complete＂；so ó $\mu o$ ó́ $\tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ．．．$\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ at Phaedr．
 use noticed on 637 a 3 ，and elsewhere，for＂all kinds of．＂－ $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \tau o$ ：gnomic．
d 1．$\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta o ̀ \nu \dot{\delta} \mu \circ \dot{\prime} \omega \omega$ ：i．e．he has estranged his friends，and even his children－if he has any－and it is all one to him whether they are alive or not；he is absolutely alone．
d 2．Next in honour to regard for truth，as a necessary social characteristic，comes eagerness for public service－an active，or aggressive form of virtue－first as shown in combating $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \iota ́ a$. $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota o \sigma v v^{\eta} \eta$ is eminently a social virtue ；but，as we read at elff．， even $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v ́ v \eta$ and $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta \sigma \iota s$ have their social aspects，inasmuch as they can be communicated to others．
d4． éké́vov：we have a similar gen．after $\delta \iota \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ b e l o w ~_{\text {a }}$ at 743 a 6 ；only here it is by brachylogy for $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 的任vov $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} s$ ．
 phrase is a favourite with Plato．Here he means that the righteous man multiplies the value of his own righteousness every time he helps to make another man righteous by checking him in wrongdoing．
d 5．$\mu \eta \nu v v^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ：Stallb．quotes（from Stob．xliv．40），from the so－ called $\pi \rho \circ o i ́ \mu \iota \alpha$ vó $\mu \omega \nu$ of Charondas，a similar injunction．
d6．$\sigma v \gamma \kappa о \lambda \alpha \oint \oint \nu v$ ：this active co－operation with the magis－ trate is the crowning development of social Sıкаєoбviv $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu \eta \rho:$ for the article with a predicative adj．cp．Menex．248a 4
 Aeschin．Пєрі̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i ́ a s ~ 267$ каі̀ тòv ка入ò̀ $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu$ द́ $\mu \epsilon \grave{~}$ $\dot{\omega} \nu o ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \in \nu$ ．It has almost the force of putting the adjective into the superlative．Cp．below 732 a 2 тóv $\gamma \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \nu{ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha$＇́ $\sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$. Cp．St．Matthew v． 19.
d 7．Schanz and Burnet rightly put a comma after $\tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota o s$ （and so Stob．i． 95 ed．Meineke，but not at ix．55）．Other editors
 Emperor Julian，in quoting this passage，has $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ．This is，no doubt，a possible construction，and the gen．is analogous to that after vıкŋт $\eta \rho \iota \alpha \phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu-e . g$ ．at 964 b 4—and Schanz adopts it in his text．At 953 d 5 we have $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \iota \kappa \eta \phi o ́ \rho \omega \nu \tau \iota \iota o ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$ ，ànd

Ast puts in $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime}$ here. But the simple dat. of all the MSS. is also a possible construction, on the analogy of the dat. with $\nu \kappa \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu-$ $856 \mathrm{c} 8 \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \quad \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu$, Menex. 247a ă $\nu \nu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \dot{v} \mu \mu \hat{\beta}$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\eta}$.
e 2. кє́ктๆтaı: Schanz, in his preface, expresses his belief that, though good MSS. vary on the point, the reduplicated form was never used by Plato except after a word ending in a vowel (cp.
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \epsilon \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota)$.
 " which admit, not only of a man's having them himself, but of his imparting them to others." An extraordinary "stretch" of the application of $\delta v \nu a \tau o ́ s$, due to the Greek preference for the personal rather than the impersonal construction. Cp. Rep. 521 a


 similar stretch, and personification in our familiar "easy to read," "good to eat." Cp. above on 663 e 1 for a similar " pregnant" use of $\delta v_{v a \sigma} \theta a l$. (There is not the slightest ground for emending, with Schanz, to ö $\sigma \alpha$. . . $\tau \iota \varsigma$ סóva Apelt, Eis. Prog. 1910, to change $\delta v v a \tau \alpha ́$ to $\left.\delta \delta^{\prime} o v a v i \tau \alpha ́.\right) ~$
e 4 ff . $\tau \partial \nu \nu \epsilon \bar{\rho} \nu \ldots \psi^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ : it is easier to picture to ourselves the three types of men here described in the case of $\phi \rho \sigma$ óvoıs than in that of $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\eta} \eta$. It is hard to imagine a man who would grudge to others the possession of the latter characteristic, while having it himself.-[J.B.M. suggests that a man who prides himself on his good manners, and wishes to keep them for his own set, is a case in point.] All this disquisition on social duty is an explanation of what was meant at 701 d 9 by saying that a community ought to be $\phi i \lambda \eta \eta$ éavt $\hat{\eta}$.
e 5. Ast would read $\hat{\epsilon} \theta \in \epsilon \lambda o v \tau a ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \rho \hat{a} \nu, \delta \epsilon \hat{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$; Stallb., keeping the MS. reading, says we ought to supply $\tau \tau \mu \hat{a} \nu$ after $\bar{\epsilon} \hat{a} v$. It is best to keep ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \hat{a} \nu \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, and to translate "leave him in the second class"; äкроs is "first-class," cp. Polit. 292 e äкроє $\pi \epsilon \tau \tau \in \cup \tau a i ́$.

73I a 2. $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\jmath} \xi \epsilon \iota v:$ depreciation of the "precious jewel" savours of the ill-nature which makes "the toad ugly and venomous."
a3. $\dot{a} \phi \theta$ óvos: the desire to rise by the detraction of others (a 5) not only takes away all merit from the "informer," but vitiates all the efforts he may make towards excellence himself.

We may notice that it is implied that such a desire is likely to prompt false accusations (a $\left.8 \tau \hat{\omega} \alpha \delta^{\prime} i ́ \kappa \omega s \psi^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota\right)$.
a 6. $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ oió $\mu \epsilon \nu$ vos $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \chi \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, "fancying that he is gaining credit." oîmaı $\delta \epsilon i ̂ v ~ \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota, ~ f r o m ~ m e a n i n g ~ " I ~ t h i n k ~ i t ~ m u s t ~ b e, " ~$ passes to the meaning "I fancy that it is." Cp. Rep. 535 a 9, and

 $\delta \in \hat{\imath} v$ is becoming redundant. Cp. below on d 5 . [J.B.M. interprets it-"fancying that his only way to win is by running down others," i.e. that $\delta$. oi. $\dot{v}$. means "thinking that he has got to."]
b 1. à $\gamma v ́ \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau o \nu \pi o \iota \omega \nu="$ crippling."
b 2. [ $\tau \grave{c}$ € $\epsilon a v \tau o \hat{v} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \rho o s, "$ as far as he is concerned " J.B.M.]
b4. ©̀s ö $\tau \iota \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ emphasizes the injunction to mercy, as being the more necessary one.- $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha$, "dangerous."
b6. $\mu \alpha \chi^{o} \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu$ are subordinate to $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$.

 "inflexibly."
c 1. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$ : sc. $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta$ $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. This is an adverbial neut. acc.; "as to the sins of all those who, etc." use of the article when followed by a relative Ast gives, besides ten instances from the subsequent books of the Laws, others from Epin. 974 c, Phil. 21 c, Prot. 320 d, Soph. 241 e, Rep. 469 b, 510 a, cf. also Theaet. 168 a. Except at Theaet. 168 a and Laws 761 e and 871 e the relative is always öros or ó $\pi$ ó $\sigma o s .-F o r ~ a \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Stob. and A have $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (acc. to Burnet)—Schanz says A has $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$-a curious mistake which the other MSS. seem all to have escaped.$i a \tau \alpha ́$ is acc. with $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \iota$ understood.
c 2. €́ $\kappa \omega \bar{\prime}$, "deliberately," or "with his eyes open"; i.e. he fails to see the intrinsic connexion between misery and wrongdoing. This, in effect, was called at 689 a $\dot{\eta}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \sigma \chi^{\prime} \tau \tau \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$. So at 663 b f. the error of thinking that tò $\eta$ i $\delta \dot{v}$ can ever be separated from $\tau \grave{o}$ סíkacov is partly explained and clearly condemned. There, and at Rep. 589 d, this doctrine-the Kern des Socratismus, as Gomperz calls it (Gk. Denk. ii. 53) -is defended on the ground that no man who thoroughly understood where his own interest lay could possibly be persuaded to go in any other direction ; and so it is defended here.
c4. кєкт $\bar{\eta} \tau \sigma$ : though $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ added an iota above the line to the $\eta$ of A's к'́ккт $\quad \tau \quad$ all the other MSS. and Stob. and all the editions
 probably after reading Elmsley on Eur. Heracl. 283.
c 6. The omission of the seventeen letters - $\tau 0 \nu$ " $\vec{\epsilon} \nu$ oủ $\nu \hat{\varphi} \tau \iota \mu \iota \omega \tau \alpha_{\dot{\prime}}^{-}$
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$\psi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta \iota \kappa \alpha{ }^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$; ' where Ar. explains that it is the excess of selflove that is bad.
e 3. For the redundant $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ see above on d 5. (Ast and Stallb. say that $\tau$ ò $\delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ stands for " the saying that it ought" or "the idea that it ought"; and H. Steph. actually proposes to insert $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$

 —тò $\delta^{\prime}$ : possibly " whereas"—the adverbial use ; cp. on 630 d 8 ,
 here ; cp. also above 642 a 3 . But perhaps it is better to take $\tau$ ó as a demonstrative as J.B.M. suggests.-The words $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$
 confusion between "it is at the bottom of all kinds of faults," and "all kinds of faults occur because of it." (This is perhaps better than to suppose these words spurious, though they do look rather like a marginal explanation.)
e 5. This is a neat application of the proverb "Love is blind "; -" no love," he hints, " is so blind as self-love."

732 a 1. Tò aúrov̂ is vaguer than any corresponding English expression ; it stands for "what he himself has, or is, or does, or says."- $\pi \rho \grave{o} \tau o \hat{v} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta o \hat{v} s: ~ i . e . ~ h e ~ d o e s ~ n o t ~ a s k ~ f i r s t ~ w h a t ~ i s ~ t h e ~ r e a l ~$ nature of a thing, but whether it is his or not. He feels bound to respect anything that is his own, more than "the real thing," as we might say.

a 6. ${ }_{\circ} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$., " and in consequence of this notion, when we know little or nothing we think we know everything, and, instead of getting others to do things which we can't do, we incur inevitable disaster by trying to do them ourselves."



 is doubtless right in adopting the reading $\alpha_{\epsilon} \epsilon \grave{\imath}$ for $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$; Stob. i. 95 has $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i$, though at xxiii. 18 he has $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ in quoting the same passage.
b 4. $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i \alpha \nu$ aí $\chi \chi \dot{v} \nu \eta \nu$. . . $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \pi o \iota o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu: ~ с р . ~ 648 \mathrm{~d}$
 come between him and his purpose. To be ashamed of inferiority is one of the consequences of excessive love of self.- ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\varphi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ may mean "(shame) at such a course," i.e. at the accepting an inferior position, or "at such a fact," i.e. that he is inferior.
b6. The parallelism between $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ $\tau$ ov́ $\tau \omega \nu$ and
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \iota \mu \alpha$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ovं $\chi \hat{\eta} \tau \tau o v$ forbids us to follow Stallb. in removing the comma after $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$ and placing it after $\delta \epsilon$.- $\lambda \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \iota$ $\epsilon \in \alpha v \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \iota \mu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$, "repeat by way of reminding oneself of them." (The "Vere de Vere repose" is only to be secured by constant self-reminders.)
b 7. $\tau 0 \dot{v} v a v \tau i o v="$ by a movement in the opposite direction"; not, as Wagner, "auf der entgegengesetzten Seite."-The subj. to $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \rho \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu v$ would be av́ $\tau o ́$, supplied from $\tau \iota \nu o s .-P l a t o ~ h e r e, ~ u s i n g ~$ language especially suggestive of the ebb and flow of the tide, appeals to the law of Nature expounded at Phaedo 72 a b, according to which ( $\gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \ell \epsilon \sigma \iota$ being always from opposite to.opposite) all change "goes in a circle,." now this way, now that. Cp. what he, says about action and reaction at $676 \mathrm{~b} 9-\mathrm{c} 4$, and at Kep. 563 e 8 ; cp. also the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa v ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ spoken of at Pol. 269 e.
b 7 ff . This passage should, I think, be stopped with a full stop after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \iota \mu \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha$, and colons (or possibly commas) after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \rho \rho \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi o v \dot{\sigma} \eta \mathrm{~s}$, to mark $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \mu \nu$. . . . $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda$. as a parenthesis. The $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ does not go naturally with the gen. abs. The construction is like that at Rep. 330 c $\grave{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \ldots{ }_{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \tau \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta}$. . . $\sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha ́ \varrho o v \sigma \iota v .-\delta \iota o ̀ \delta \grave{\eta} \quad \chi \rho \eta$ ——a conversational brachylogy (so Stallb.) for "and that is why I say (everybody) ought"-"resumes" "$\sigma \pi \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \ldots \delta \epsilon i$, and $\delta$ ' introduces what we may call the second premiss of the argument. He founds the need of his injunction upon the natural law of "action and reaction," as exemplified in ${ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ (for which cf. Phil. 34 b ).
c 2. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ must have an object inf. supplied : "urge everybody else so to do." Badham's ö $\lambda \eta \nu<\pi o ́ \lambda \iota v>$ and Schanz's $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad o ̈ \lambda \eta \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu$ alter the construction and make $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ depend on $\pi \alpha \rho a \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \iota$; this arrangement, though it gets rid of a slight difficulty-that of the want of an obj. to $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \dagger \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu-d o e s ~ m o r e ~ h a r m ~ b y ~ o b l i t e r a t i n g ~ t h e ~ c o r r e s p o n d e n c e ~}^{\text {- }}$ between $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\epsilon} \tau \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \epsilon \notin \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ and каì ő $\lambda$. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \rho$. $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \chi$. $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, i.e. between the particular and the general repression of emotion.
 steady and fair" (lit. "established in prosperity"), "or it chance that men's destinies find themselves face to face with certain undertakings as with a high steep hill." $\tau \epsilon$. . . кaì is sive . . .

 Fortune's changes."-The contrast is between a steady run of good fortune, and a period of strenuous fighting with obstacles. Most
interpreters take $\delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ to denote an external opposing forceas if a man's Genius sometimes helped, and sometimes hindered him. It seems better to suppose the Genius to be so closely identified with the man as to share his difficulties, as well as his good fortune. At Tim. 90 a Plato calls each man's soul his $\delta \alpha i \mu \omega \nu$. The language in both cases is poetical and, to a certain extent, metaphorical.-The change to the plur. ( $\delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ ), after $\notin \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v$, is a quite common variety of expression. ( $\tau v \chi \not a s$ may mean fate in a sinister sense, but not, by itself, misfortune. This is against Zeller's proposal to translate $\kappa . \tau$. by " auf die Seite des Unglücks," and omit oîov:. . . $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \hat{\xi} \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ as a "Glossem." Schanz adopts Zeller's $\dot{\alpha} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \tau \eta \sigma \iota$, avoiding the above-mentioned difficulty by
 the passage by excluding $\delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ and reading $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$.It is a hard passage. [J.B.M. inclines to Badh.'s $\left.\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi^{\prime}\langle\alpha s].\right)$
 (the toils) by the blessings." (Schneider cannot be right in making $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta o \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota$ masc., and translating à $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon i \not \tau \alpha \iota$ "per ea quae largitur.")
d1. I think $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ is neut., "their present lot"so Ficinus praesentia; most interpreters supply $\pi o ́ v \omega \nu$ with $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$.
d 2. With $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ o $\alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s$ we must supply $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ from the pre-
 good things, they must hope that, by God's good help, entirely the opposite of this (diminution) will always happen to them."-The
 was really made when $\delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ v \omega \nu$ in c 6 was substituted for $\delta \alpha^{\prime} \mu$ о $\quad$ os. ( $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ देvav ía $\tau 0 \hat{u} \tau \omega \nu$ is generally taken to be in apposition to $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta^{\prime}$; but this-as Peipers (p. 100) says-is a very weak
 to $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ than $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ referring back to $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}$.$\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \epsilon \in \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a$ is a plural variety of $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau o v\rangle \nu \alpha \tau i o v$. -The first of these objections to the ordinary interpretation would be obviated if, with Peipers, we rejected $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha}$, or placed it after $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\gamma \in \nu \eta \sigma \in \sigma \theta a \iota-b u t$ not the latter.)
d 4. $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \alpha \iota s ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ُ \lambda \pi i ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ and $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \mathrm{s} \dot{v} \pi о \mu \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \pi . \tau . \tau$. may be described as "datives of effective accompaniment"; $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \alpha \iota s$ goes with both.
d5. $\mu \eta \delta \delta^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \phi \epsilon \iota \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$, "without any relaxation of effort."-. $\kappa а \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota a ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha ́ s, "$ whether in work or play."
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such a case (ov゙ $\tau \omega s$ ) it" (i.e. the life) "suits our nature, or, in another case ( ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega s$ ) it does not suit it." The investigation that follows reaches the converse of the conclusion stated at Tim. 81 e: $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$
 (Ritter's objections to $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ seem to me to be invalidated by taking-as above- $\epsilon i ̈ \tau \epsilon \ldots \epsilon \ddot{\prime}$. . $\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ as dependent on $\sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon i \nu-$

 treats ov $\quad \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ and ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$ as the emphatic words, and $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{v} \iota \iota \nu$ as a colourless amplification of $\pi \epsilon \notin v \kappa \epsilon$; also, I conclude that he, and former interpreters, either take $\pi^{\prime} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\phi} v \epsilon$ as impersonal, or make $\grave{\eta}$ ó $\rho \theta \theta^{\prime} \tau \eta s$ its subject.)
b 1. $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o v$, "what is neither pleasure nor pain." (This, acc. to Epicurus, is " the chief good.")
b 2 ff . From $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} s$ to the second $\mu \in i\} o v o s$ was omitted in the first four printed editions (but again not by Ficinus), but Cornarius, whose translation appeared with the fourth of them (Basil. 2) discovered the omission.
b 5 f. "$\quad \sigma \alpha$. . . $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha \phi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, " we could give no positive reason for desiring a mixture in which both these two ingredients were in equal quantity." No doubt, however, if it were a question of choosing this mixture in place of one where $\lambda \tilde{\prime} \pi \eta$ predominated, there would be a reason for so choosing it-just as $\tau$ ò $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ was declared above to be preferable to $\lambda \gamma^{\prime} \pi \eta$. This is in fact stated below at c 6 ff .
b6-c 1. Ast objects that $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \beta o v ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ and $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha i ̈ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota v$ say the same thing twice over, and holds that the words $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ $\alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ €́ $\kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ were originally placed either before or after ${ }_{\epsilon} \xi \xi \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta s$ in the next sentence. Schanz would reject $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ ßov́ $\eta \eta \sigma \iota v$. Burnet, by putting a comma after $\beta$ oú $\lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu-i . e$ :
 struction. At bl we had a distinction made between $\alpha i \rho o v ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ and $\beta o v \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$, and at 734 c $1 \beta o v \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ and aí $\rho \in \sigma \iota s$ are both used. Here, indeed, both are needed for the sense, which is, that what incites or repels desire, decides the choice. We may translate "all these objects of choice are either preferable or not" (lit. "are superior or not in respect to the choice of each several object".), "in virtue of frequency, of amount, of intensity, or again of equality of composition, or in virtue of qualities which are the opposite to such as I have named in their appeal to desire" (i.e. by being few, small, or feeble). Ast is right, I think, in saying that $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \nu \nu$ Sıa $\phi^{\prime} \rho \rho \nu \tau \alpha$ are-not objects of dislike, but-what

Aristotle would have called $\tau \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha ́ \phi o \rho \alpha$. Plato means thatwhile desire may be excited by strong motives or by weak-if the objects of desire and repulsion are equally mixed in any life, or in any object of choice, such an object will not be chosen.
c 1-6. We have not yet come to the consideration of the case where a neutral object is placed side by side with a positively repulsive one (for that cf. c 8 ff .). We are now dealing with the measurable amount of pleasure or pain ; and he goes on to remind us that when a life contains amounts of both, we must decide by the preponderance of one or the other. And so he leads up to the pronouncement that, though e.g. the vehemence of a pleasurable emotion is in itself desirable, a situation, or a life, in which pleasure is feeble, is preferable to one in which the pleasure is intense, if in the latter case the pleasure is overbalanced by concomitant pain, whereas the feeble pleasure is not so overbalanced.
c 7. ка $\theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 i ̂ s \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ : i.e. just as we did above (at bl) in considering the case of $\tau o ̀ \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$.
c 8. It seems clear to me that Ritter is right in reading $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{o \nu \tau \alpha}$ here. (Ast had suggested $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta{ }_{\alpha} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda_{o \nu \tau \alpha}$ . . . $\tau \grave{o} \nu \quad \delta$ " $a \hat{v}$. ) Plato says: "The life of equipoise, as surpassing the one class-i.e. those lives where pain predominatesin the possession of what we like, we desire; but, as surpassing the other class in what we dislike, we do not desire it." E.g. where pain is 5 and pleasure 5 , there is, in effect, more pain than where pain is 5 and pleasure 6, and also more pleasure than where pain is 6 and pleasure 5.
(Prof. Burnet, for reasons which he has kindly communicated to me, prefers to retain the MS. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$. He finds in the $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} v \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota v$ and $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \phi \hat{v} \sigma \iota v$ of a 7 f ., and specially below
 what is $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ generally, and what is $\dot{\eta} \delta \dot{v}$ to the individual by being specially adaptable to his nature. Thus there may be, he holds, a



 nature) to these alternatives"; a repetition, in other words, of

d 3. Stallb. proposes to reject $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta_{\iota \alpha \nu 0 \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma} \theta a \iota$ at c 7 . It does seem out of place here. I suspect, though, that we ought not simply to dismiss it, but to substitute for it $\delta \iota a \iota \rho \in \hat{\sigma} \theta \theta a \iota$, or possibly $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \iota a \iota \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota:$ "we have got to explain (on these principles)
which lives nature bids us desire." For this use of $\delta \iota a i \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota$ cp. 647 c 1 .
d 4. By $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ he means the same limits or conditions as by rov́roos in d 3 ff . If, that is, we say that we are guided in our desires by any other consideration than that of the preponderance of pleasure, it must be because we know nothing of the world.
d 7 ff . I follow all editors but Badham and Schanz in adopting the first-hand correction of A's $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ to $\hat{\omega} \nu \pi \pi^{\prime} \rho \iota$; it is a correction evidently made from A's original, and not out of the scribe's

 scribe had doubted the possibility of using $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \iota \frac{}{\alpha}$ as the opposite of $\beta$ ov́ $\lambda_{\eta \tau o v ; ~ b e s i d e s, ~ i t ~ g r e a t l y ~ o v e r w e i g h t s ~ t h e ~}^{\text {; }}$ article $\tau$ ó. - The accumulation of participles - $\pi \rho \circ \in \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$,
 The intonation of the speaker is wanted, to put each into its place The participles ióóv $\tau \alpha$ and $\tau \alpha \xi^{\alpha} \alpha \mu \in \nu o \nu$ convey the main ideas; i.e. it is they which depend on $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$. "What lives, and how many lives are there, between which when a man selects, on a review of the desirable and the undesirable, he ought to make this consideration into a law for himself, and so, picking out what not only he likes, and finds pleasant, but also is best and noblest, lives the happiest life he possibly can?"
e 1. I am strongly inclined to accept Badham's ingenious " idoóv $\tau \iota v a$ for iסóv $\tau \alpha$; it relieves us of one participle, and makes an apposite qualification of vórov. (Against his rewriting of the

 along it is the particular thing in the life, not the life itself that has been spoken of as the object of desire; here, in particular, we are said to make ourselves a law out of such preferences as will
 be a sort of explanation and resumption of the phrase $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ didov $\grave{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ used at d 1.
.e 3. ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$ MSS. I feel sure that Plato wrote $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \omega_{\tau} \omega v$ here.—Cp. $637 \mathrm{al} \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime} \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ and $729 \mathrm{e} 1 \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$. - The $\pi \rho о є \lambda^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \varepsilon \nu o \nu$ in $d 7$, to which we naturally supply $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$, is not helped by the distant ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu$.
e 6. $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o v \alpha$ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oûv . . . $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$, " anyone who is familiar with the discreet life will set it down as mild in every respect."

734 a 4. The $\tau \epsilon$ after $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta v \mu i ́ a s$ should be rejected, I think̂.

# SAVE $\$ 3,999,994$ 

Did you know we sell paperback books too?

## To buy our entire catalog

 in paperback would cost over \$4,000,000
## Access it all now for \$8.99/month

 *Fair usage policy applies
## Continue

 English of a formal style, whether of poetry or prose, was a poetical one in Greek. Stallb. cps. Eur. Bacch. 388 ó $\tau \hat{\alpha} s \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi$ đias $\beta$ iotos,
 comment is "verba autem haec poetam sapiunt." (Zeller adds this to his list of faulty phrases from the Laws.)
c 6. $\mu \alpha \nu o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a: ~ c p . ~ a b o v e ~ o n ~ a ~ 8 . — \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, "on the score of pleasure." Lobeck, Paral. p. 363, is indignant that the neuter article or adjective should "adminiculo egere nullo" in expressing an abstract notion, while a feminine must always be supposed to have an attendant in the background. It is not necessary to "supply" anything here with $\tau \hat{\eta}$. ( $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}, \mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \delta i$,
 Ast—in his anxiety to support his $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \tau \eta \sigma \iota s$ of $\dot{o}$. . . $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o v-$ to say that these words could only be used of two pairs of lives. Strictly they only apply to a single pair-ó à $\nu \delta \rho \in i \hat{o}$ s and ó $\delta \in \iota \lambda o ́ s$, because the last mentioned; but in sense $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ$ g goes with all the subjects of $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, and $\epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ with all its objects. He
 meaning of ${ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ goes.- $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \mu \phi_{o}^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ : i.e. both pleasurable and painful sensations.
 to ${ }^{\text {é }} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о$. . . $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \beta^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.
d1. There is a break here, and the place of the subject is resumed by ó $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \epsilon i o s . \quad$ (Peipers, p. 97 note, goes further than Cornarius and Ast, for he would reject the whole passage from $\delta \delta \dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ to $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, on the ground (1) that it repeats what was said before, (2) that the construction is a tangled one, and (3) that the meaning of several words is obscure.)
d4. There is a triumphant exuberance about the emphatic summing up of the often argued cause.-I fancy that a final revision would not have left two $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ clauses so near each other as those at d 2 and d 7 .
 in soul"; the implication is that, though it is easier to see in the case of the body, the truth is just as undoubted in the case of the soul. (Schanz follows H. Müller in rejecting the $\hat{\eta}$.)
 enjoyable; the following datives are in explanatory apposition to

e 1. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ has $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi o v \tau \alpha \ldots \hat{\eta} \nu$ as its object: "secures that its possessor lives, etc." (It is anyhow an awkward construc-
 not adv.
e 3-735 a 6. "So far the preface to our laws, and there it ends. After the 'prelude' it is right and proper that a 'tune' should follow, and this is really the place for a general outline of civic institutions. Now just as, in the case of a web or woven structure of any description, you cannot make both woof and warp of the same kinds of thread, but the substance of the warp must be of a superior nature to that of the woof-for the former is strong and endowed with a character of firmness, while the latter is softer and is bound to yield-from this comparison we may conclude it to be reasonable that the men who are destined for rule in our cities should in each case be set apart in some such way (as the warp threads are) from those whose temper has been tried by only a slight education. For (you must know that) there are two branches of civic organization, the one being the conferring of office on individuals, the other the providing your officers with (a code of) laws (to administer)."
e4f. The use of the singular shows that vó $\mu$ os-and consequently $\pi \rho o o i ́ \mu o \nu$ too-are used in the technical musical sense (as at Rep. 531 d , Tim. 29 d ), to which there is a punning reference in the subsequent vó $\mu$ ovs. Cp. Jowett, Introd. p. 76, though in his, and all other translations or commentaries that I know, the musical sense is ignored here.
e 6. $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ : the "outline" of the subject, for which he selects this place in the treatise, is the division of the politician's domain into two branches, defined at 735 a 5 f .,-(1) the (training and) selection of magistrates, and (2) the provision of laws for them to execute. This division corresponds in spirit to the disposition of the subject matter throughout the Laws. Roughly speaking, two thirds of the treatise deal with the "personnel" of the citizens of all ranks-their selection, their training, and their enlightenment by means of $\pi \rho o o i ́ \mu \iota \alpha$, and the way they can be influenced generally; the other third consists of statutes. Naturally the character of the magistrates is more important than that of any other citizen.- $\sigma v \nu \nu \phi \eta^{\prime} \nu$ : this and its fellow accusatives have no verb to govern them; they are "dropped" with the modification of the structure of the sentence.

735 a 1. Ast would read $\epsilon \in \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \sigma \tau \rho o \phi a i ̂ s ~ f o r ~ c ́ v ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \iota s, ~$ but such a general word as $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o s$ is quite in place, and is useful in the metaphor. Cp. Hdt. iv. $28 \kappa \epsilon \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ồ $\tau o s$ ó $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \grave{\omega} \nu$


## THE LAWS OF PLATO

a 2. $\delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \delta \dot{\eta}$ : another conversational break in the form of the sentence. After $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$ oûv at e 6 we should expect " $\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ \tau \omega s$ каi vel simile quid" (Ast). He goes on as if the simile had been fully drawn out, instead of hinted at.- $\tau o$ ùs $\tau \grave{a} s$ ả $\rho \chi$ às . . . ${ }_{a}{ }^{a} \rho \xi o v \tau a s:$ this is the reading of L and 0 -the reading which Ficinus translated-"qui magistratus in civitate gesturi sunt,"and to which Aristotle refers when he says (Pol. ii. 1265 b 18)



 a marginal variant of 0 . For a long time the reading in printed
 edd. had ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \xi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$. Schanz adopts A's $\mu \in \gamma \alpha \bar{\lambda} \alpha$ s for $\tau \grave{\alpha} s$, and, further, Bücheler's alteration of $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{q}$ in a 4 to $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho a ̀ s$ (so too

 Apart from authority, the passage becomes comparatively meaningless if we assume that the comparison is between the superior "grit"-to use another metaphor-of the greater magistrates, as compared with the lesser ones. The stouter threads are clearly magistrates-of all orders - who execute the laws, and the "yielding," weaker ones the general popuiace who have to obey them. It is hard to account for the $\mu \in \gamma \alpha \lambda a s$; possibly it was due to a commentator's suggestion of $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ for $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{q}$, made
 a further description of the magistrates themselves, and their class.

a 4. $\beta a \sigma a \nu \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau a s:$ this word suggests, on the one hand, the teasing and twisting of the thread, and, on the other, the "severity" and "thoroughness" of the educative and testing process.є́ка́бтотє: almost our "respectively."-Stobaeus inserts каі̀
 tation-as well as in the reading-of this whole passage: e.g. Ast finds in it a comparison between warp and the harsh power of the ruler, and beween the woof and the milder action of the legislator. Stallb. says the woof typifies the laws.
a 5. $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ 并, "nam profecto," "for I must tell you." The clause throws a fresh light on the subject just discovered, revealing the important part played by the election of magistrates in the organization of a state, and providing us, in so doing, with the $\dot{u} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho a \phi \dot{\eta}$ we have been promised above at e 6.-Bruns
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b 6 ff. $\delta \iota \alpha \nu o o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . . . \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha i \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota, "$ for he will reflect how vain and endless must be the pains he will have to take with the animals' bodies or with their souls if he does not purge his herds by discriminating selection, since either natural depravity or evil nurture, not content with ruining its victims, spreads the fatal taint to tempers and bodies, of one beast after another, which were hitherto sound and uncontaminated."


c 3. $\kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ : as Stallb. says, here, and at Gorg. 484 c $\beta$ ô̂s $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, and possibly at Laws 902 b 8, $\kappa \tau \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ seems to be used for $\kappa \tau \eta v \eta$.
c 4. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \zeta \hat{\omega} \omega \nu$ depends on $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon$, not on $\sigma \pi o v \delta \eta^{\prime}$ (Stobaeus has $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \tau \epsilon \sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta})$. In all three sentences $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ has to be supplied.
c 6. The infins. $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon v \nu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ and $\phi \rho \alpha \jmath_{\zeta \epsilon \iota \nu}$ are epexegetical to $\sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta s$, and the $\tau \epsilon$, possibly for rhythm's sake, has been put earlier than its natural place, which is after $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \epsilon v \nu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$; Stobaeus has $\gamma \epsilon$ for it.
c 7. $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa о \nu$ €́ка́ $\sigma \tau о \iota s, "$ the treatment proper to each case."
d1. $\pi \rho \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \omega \nu$, "dealings with them, measures, treatment generally"-" and the whole of the rest of their treatment."av̇íка $\gamma^{\prime} \rho$, " to begin with," "for instance."
 (p. 14) is mistaken in saying that 709 e $5-712$ a 7 is the only passage in the Laws in which Plato admits that a rúpavvos may be useful to a state. In both cases there is a big if in the background. The possibility of the existence of a кó $\sigma \mu \iota o s \tau v \rho a \nu \nu o s$ ( 710 d 7 ) or another Nestor ( 711 e ) is spoken of as contrary to
 when he says that it is impossible that 709 eff ., 691 c 6 ff . and 713 c 6 ff . could have been originally written as parts of the same book. There is not much difference between saying that an occurrence is extremely rare, and contrary to experience, and saying that you must act on the assumption that it is impossible.
d 7. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$, the reading of A and Stobaeus, is mentioned as a variant in the margin of L and O , which have $\dot{a} \gamma a \pi \eta \tau \omega \bar{\omega}$, which is also given as a variant in the margin of A. Probably the former was early altered to $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{\varsigma}$, a word which was used in the sense of "with difficulty"; for this meaning admirably suits this passage. It is found at Critias 106 a, Lys. 218 c, and possibly at Lysias, C. Andoc. p. 107 § 45.-("Y You must be content
with that"—cp. 684 c 7 -is not far from "it is much if you'get that.") $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \omega \nu \tau \omega s$ does not seem to occur elsewhere; it is in formation like $\delta \rho_{o} \lambda_{0} \gamma_{0} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \omega$, which is common in Plato, and it possibly meant here "he would be quite content to do merely that."
e 1. $\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i \alpha$ is not here used in the sinister sense which it bears above at 728 c .-The whole expression seems strangely pleonastic.
e 2. $\theta$ áváov . . . ' $\pi \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon$ ' $s$, "exacting the penalty of death or exile"-(lit. "making death or exile the accomplishment of the penalty ").
 existence" (lit. " owing to scarcity of food"), " let it be known that they have made themselves ready, in their poverty, to follow their leaders in an attack on the property of the wealthy."

736 a 1. $\tau 0$ v́roıs is governed by $\tau \iota \theta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ os : $\alpha_{\pi} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \gamma^{\prime} \nu \quad$ may be in apposition to áтоıкíav, "while calling these people a colonya method of banishment which brings no disgrace"-but it is better, as suggested by Burnet's comma after $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ to see in the word the peculiarly Euripidean acc. in apposition to the action of the verb ( $\left.{ }_{\epsilon} \xi \xi \in \pi \epsilon \prime \mu \psi a \tau o\right)$. So Riddell, Idioms § 13. Cf.
 early printed editions read $\delta \iota^{\prime} \epsilon \dot{v} \phi \eta \mu i ́ \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \hat{\eta} s$, with no MS. authority. Ast suggests $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \hat{\eta}$; but this leaves $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o \iota s$ unaccounted for. Wagner would reject $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda a \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$; Stallb. süggests $\dot{\alpha} \nu \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \hat{\eta} s$. Apelt (p. 9) would read $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \grave{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{o} \nu o \mu \alpha$ : ingenious, but the text seems more natural.)
a 3. $\epsilon \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} s$ ö ö $\iota \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, "hurting their feelings as little as possible."- $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i \quad . . . \delta \rho a \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu$, "everybody who undertakes to frame a constitution must start by getting rid of undesirables somehow." Then he goes on to say that for their new settlement they will not be obliged to "plan (to send away) a colony, or select a method of purgation"; all they will have to do is to admit none but such as they approve among the applicants. This is described by the MSS. as ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\alpha} \tau о \pi \omega^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ than all the $\kappa \alpha \theta a \rho \mu o i ́$ that have been previously described, whether $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \epsilon \iota v o i ́$ or $\pi \rho a o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \iota$. It is clear that Ritter's $\dot{\alpha}_{\kappa о} \boldsymbol{\pi} \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$, which Burnet accepts, fits this statement exactly, while it is most remarkable that all previous translators or commentators should have been content with the MS. reading. (Jowett neglects the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota$ and translates "Our present case, however, is peculiar.")
a 6. ov̉ $\boldsymbol{\prime}^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda o \gamma \eta_{\nu} \tau \iota \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ : all translations apparently take $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \rho \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ to be a gen. of definition; Schn. "delectum aliquem. purgantem," Wagu. "irgend eine Auswahl fur die

Reinigung."-I would suggest that it is more natural to take it,
 process of purgation, and to translate $\mu \eta \chi a v a \hat{a} \theta a \iota$ द́кклоүभ́v $\tau \iota \nu a$ $\kappa \alpha \theta$., "contrive a selection of a purgative process." $\epsilon \kappa \lambda о \gamma \eta$ is almost always used of selecting something you want, and would sound strange as applied to the process of picking (or casting) out the bad citizens. "Our task," he goes on to say, "is to see that none but the good are admitted."
a 7. Madvig is right, I think, in rejecting $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa$. If it be retained we must, I suppose, supply $\dot{\delta} \delta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ with $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, or else with ovp $\rho \in$ év $\tau \omega \mathrm{c}$. (Wagner transl. "von vielen Orten her," Schn. "ex. multis locis.")
b 1. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\mu} \nu \pi \eta \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ : we are to understand, I think, that the spring water is what we want in our reservoir, and the muddy mountain torrent what we wish to avoid; and it seems that $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha v \tau \lambda o \hat{v} v \tau a s$ in b 3 describes the drawing off of the spring water into the reservoir ( $\lambda i \mu \nu \eta$ ) and the two following participles -both compounded with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma_{o}^{\prime}$-the various ways of preventing the mountain torrents from joining the spring water. $\sigma v \rho \rho \in \epsilon^{\prime} v \tau \omega \nu$ will then be conative. In the natural course of things they would have flowed into the same $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta$ as the $\pi \eta \gamma a i$.
b 4. "Clearly no political machinery can secure us from trouble and risk. True ( $\gamma \epsilon$ ); but as in our present attempt at constitution-making we can arrange our facts to fit our theory, we will suppose the gathering of the citizens complete, and its select character duly secured. To do this last we must refuse admittance to the bad ones among the applicants for citizenship, after we have plied them with all good advice and allowed a sufficient time for a thorough appreciation of their character, while we must do all we can by kind and gracious treatment to win the good ones to our side."
b 6. $\tau \alpha ́\left(\right.$ in $\left.\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}\right)$ has a demonstrative force, and is the subject of $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu \quad \pi \rho a \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$, and $\tau \grave{\alpha} v \hat{v} v$ is an adverb of time; lit. "but since these attempts (of ours) are being made now in (the world of) theory and not in (that of stern) fact." The early editions-even Ast's—have $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ for $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$, on no MS. authority ; they apparently took either $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu$ or $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \rho a \tau \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$ as the subject. The MSS. of Plato all have $\tau \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$, though there seems to have been some hint of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$ in 0 . Those of Stobaeus have $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$, which is clearly right. In A an $o$ is written over the $\tau$. This is difficult to interpret; for though $\tau \grave{ } \delta^{\prime}$ (adverbial) might begin the sentence as well at least as $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$, it is hard to make anything of $\tau \delta^{\prime} \dot{\delta}^{\prime}$.
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fills the gap. Ficinus filled it boldly by inserting after $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o v$ (in d 2) "ita ferme et nobis accidisse videtur." (Müller omitted $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$, and took ö ö $\iota$ to be the nent. of ö óт $\iota$. Schanz also prints ö, $\tau \iota$, but keeps каӨáтє $\rho$. Both these readings put too much weight on $\gamma<\gamma \nu \delta \mu \in \nu \sigma v$, and even so do not straighten out the construction.)
c 7. vo $\mu \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ refers to the distribution of money as well as of land : "dissension about land, about the cancelling of debts, and about the distribution of property." (Wagner believes that $\nu 0 \mu \hat{\eta} s$ means pasture here; most interpreters take it to apply to $\gamma \bar{\eta} s$ only.)
c 8. $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \nu$ : although in grammar this probably agrees with ${ }^{\prime} \varphi \rho \nu$, it is really the subject of dispute rather than the dispute itself about which the city is called upon to legislate-either in a conservative, or a liberal spirit. $\quad \eta^{\prime \prime} \nu$ is governed both by $\nu_{0} \mu \circ \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$, and by $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, and $\kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} v . \rightarrow \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \eta$ : the compelling cause is the discord between the "haves" and the "have-nots."
d 1. $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i \omega \nu$, "any old-established state"; so Ficinus and Schneider. The earlier editors, on no MS. authority, inserted ${ }_{\text {ov }} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \varphi$, after $\dot{\alpha} \kappa i v \eta \tau o v$, for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a i \omega v$ to depend on. Stallb., though abandoning the ouv $\delta \dot{\prime} \nu$, still takes $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i \omega \nu$ as a partitive gen. with "anything" understood-as at Rep. 445 e-governed by $\hat{\epsilon} \hat{\epsilon} \nu \quad$ and $\kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, and he may be right. [A.M.A. suggests that $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i \omega \nu$ may mean "any of the capital."]
 remains, and a slow and cautious change, advancing at long intervals by imperceptible degrees, in the following manner." $\epsilon v^{\prime} \chi \eta$, as at 841 c 7 , Rep. 450 d , and elsewhere, is what we might call a "Utopian ideal"-in German "ein frommer Wünsch" (Stallb.).-The $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \iota \beta$ ǵovoıv, and the $\kappa \iota v o v ̌ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ in the next sentence, are the same people.
d 4. $\ddot{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ : the MSS., and almost all editors, write $\dot{\eta} \delta \bar{\epsilon}$, and begin the next sentence with it. Burnet rightly adopts Bekker's addition of it, as $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \delta \epsilon$, to the previous sentence,-marking it more clearly by altering the comma which Bekker placed aften it into a colon, and putting a comma before it.
d5. The early editions, again on no MS. authority, read $\dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon$ ——and so Stallb. and Herm.-as verb to $\hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \iota v o v \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \in i$. be a supply of reformers from time to time (men who, etc.)." $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ $\kappa \iota \nu o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ is a partitive gen., like that after $\alpha^{\lambda} \lambda \iota$ s. According to Porson, who reads oïкoıs for oîkos at Aesch. Ag: 961, we have
there $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ in the same sense: "there is a store of those things." For the gen. cp. Aristoph. Ach. $184 \sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \tau o \tau \omega \nu$ $\lambda_{i}{ }^{\prime} \theta \omega \nu$. (Badham suggests altering $\dot{i} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ into $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$.)
d 6. Stallb. rightly points out that $\tau 0 v \tau \tau \omega \nu$ does not agree with
 is the specially distressed among their debtors who excite the pity of the liberal-minded rich.
 $\nu \epsilon \iota \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu$, and at 740 a $2 \nu \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega \nu$ are used of the community dividing up its own property among its own members: here it seems to mean "sharing their property with them." (Badham suggests that we ought to read $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \nu \epsilon \mu о \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime}$ vovs: the middle of this compound is used at Epinomis 991 b in an active sense.)-The four acc. participles are quite in order, as agreeing with the subject to коь $\omega \omega \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} \nu$-which is used absolutely.-Plato's favourite chiasmus again: $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi$. refers to the debts, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \mu$. to the gift of land ; these two subjects were mentioned in the reverse order at d 5 . - $\alpha \mu \hat{\eta} \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \eta$. . . $\dot{\eta} \gamma o v \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu o v s, "$ they manage to show regard for moderation, and act from a conviction that poverty consists . . ." Cp. Arist. Pol. ii. $1266 \mathrm{~b} 29 \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \quad \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu i a s$
 $\dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$.
e 4. $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} \alpha \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta$, "the surest source of civic well-being."
e 5. aúr $\eta$ : i.e. the true estimate of property-especially the repression of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau i \alpha-n o t ~ n e c e s s a r i l y ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota o ́ \tau \eta s$, though it would come to much the same.
e 7. $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \eta$ ката $\bar{\eta} \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ : i.e. "for conditions so desirable as those above described."

737 a 1. The words $\tau \hat{\eta} s \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ have given much difficulty. Ficinus boldly translates $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s \tau$. $\mu$. by hoc fundamento, and Herm. (De vestig. p. 27) concluded that Ficinus had read and Plato written $\beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, and not $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ (Schanz accepts this). Ficinus's translation, however, does not prove either that he read $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$, or that he translated $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ by fundamento. He may have read simply $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s \delta_{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \theta \rho \hat{\alpha} s o v ้ \sigma \eta s$, and supplied $\kappa \rho \eta \pi i \delta o s$ in thought from the context. The scribe of A may well have had two readings before him, for before $\mu \in \tau \alpha-$ $\beta \alpha \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ there is a gap filled with two dummy letters. I would suggest that Plato wrote the simple $\tau \alpha v i \tau \eta s$, and that this was interpreted by one commentator to stand for $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \epsilon \omega$ s (and rightly so) ; and by another for $\kappa \rho \eta \pi i \delta o s$, for which he substituted
the to him more familiar word $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$; this last was, owing to a remembrance of what was said at d 3 , corrected to $\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$. It is to be noticed that $\eta^{\prime} \nu$ in a 2 , "the thing which we (in Magnesia) are escaping," fits in better as referring to an unsound political condition, than as to a rotten political foundation; $\tau \alpha u u^{\tau} \eta \mathrm{S}$ then would refer to катабла́ $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ s. (Schneider, Stallb., Wagn., and Jowett make the best they can of $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \dot{a} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ s in the sense of change-" laborante hoc transitu," etc.-the "change" being, acc. to Ritter, that from inequality of possessions to equality.)-ovk $\epsilon \cup ̈ \pi o \rho o s ~ \grave{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota s \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu 0 \iota \tau$ 'äv, "the statesman's course will be full of difficulty."
a 2. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ : i.e. after an unsound condition has been established. - Most editors now adopt Bekker's ov́ $\subset \mu \mu \hat{a}$ for the MS. où $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu i ́ a$.
 "for all that, it is just as' well to have it explained how we should have contrived to escape it, if we had not been so fortunate."
a 5. Many recent editors rightly adopt Ast's $\delta \dot{\eta} v v v$ for the MS. $\delta \grave{\eta} v \hat{v} v$; for $v \hat{v} v$ is unnatural when introducing a recapitulation.
 quite well stand for "by means of absence of avarice, combined with justice," and it has this advantage over the (easier) reading $\phi \iota \lambda$. $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ ádıкías, suggested by Heindorf, and adopted by Schanz, that-as below at 747 b 7 , and as implied above at 736 e-avarice is thus by itself declared to be a danger, whether satisfied justly or not. Heindorf's reading would confine the blame to unjust gains; and so would have the same effect as the $\epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta}$ added by later hands to
 $\tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \rho^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$; in both cases the commentator seeks to modify the moral censure of what is an ordinary state of mind.-The sentence introduced by $\epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma \theta \omega \omega \delta \dot{\eta} \nu v \nu$ is recapitulatory: he restates (1) the vital importance of $\mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota o ́ \tau \eta$ s in the words $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta i \kappa \eta \xi$, and (2) the deadly danger of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau i a \quad \pi \lambda o u ́ \tau o v$ in the words $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \mu \eta ̀ ~ \phi \iota \lambda o \chi \rho \eta \mu a-$ $\tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$. So too at b 2 he restates the impossibility of going further in the lawgiver's work until this danger has been removed (see e 5 above).
a6. $\ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \ldots \delta \iota \alpha \phi v \gamma \eta$, "and there is no other way of escape, broad or narrow, than such a plan as that." As $\phi$ vỳ̀ $a \dot{u} \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ has occurred in the previous sentence, there is no need here to specify what the escape is from. Badham maintains that Plato must have written $\mu \eta \chi a v \grave{\eta} \delta_{c a \phi} \phi \gamma \hat{\eta} s-a n d$ Schanz agrees-but to say, as he does, that a $\mu \eta \chi$ av ${ }^{\prime}$ can be described as " broad'or
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arrangements are to be made by the whole populace, instead of by the three lawgivers now consulting. Boeckh corrected the first error, and Herm. the second. (The av́tòv of L and O is merely a copyist's error of a very ordinary kind.)—oै $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ тои $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu о \hat{v}$, " numerical amount," like ő $\gamma \kappa$ оs $\pi \lambda \eta_{\eta} \theta$ ovs at c 6.

 $\nu \epsilon i \mu \omega$; similarly at Tim. $23 \mathrm{~b} \quad{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v$ ( $\gamma^{\prime} \in \nu o s$ ) $\dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi o v s$ means " noblest among men." So we speak of spreading a gift, or a charge, over a number of people.
c 6. ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \kappa о$ о $\delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$.: the two points to be taken into account in fixing the number of heads of families are (1) the size of the territory, and (2) the necessity of having a population large enough to take its place among the surrounding states. The latter consideration fixes the limit downwards, the former upwards. But instead of saying, as we should expect: "you must not have a larger population than your territory will support," he says, in effect, " you must remember (when you are estimating the capacity of your territory) that only enough need be allowed to each manto satisfy moderate desires."

As to this sentence I thoroughly agree with Stephanus in two important points: (1) that aooov's is the indeterminate pron. (like $\pi o \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \omega$ at 628 b 7 ), and (2) that the sense demands that $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ should be supplied mentally from $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$. Those who accent $\pi o ́ \sigma o v s$, and make the question a double one,-" how much land will support how many?"-imply that the amount and the nature of the territory available is yet to be ascertained; while $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ iovos . . . $\pi \rho o \sigma \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ sinks into a mere parenthesis. But the previous sentence implies just the opposite of this: i.e. that our decision as to the number of the people must depend on the size of the land. It is as if a man, after saying, "you must cut your coat according. to your cloth," went on to say, "we must calculate how much cloth will make a decent coat." (Ficinus read mooov́s, for he translates "ut tot moderatis hominibus sufficiat." So too Jowett.) -As to the second point, I would (mentally) add $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ even if reading $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ with A or $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ with Schneider and Burnet and L and O , i.e. I would supply $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta s \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s(\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath})$ as an antecedent to óró $\sigma \eta$. I think, however, that the Aldine correction of $\dot{\gamma} \hat{\eta}$-or $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ as A -to $\gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ gives us the true reading. This brings it into line with $\pi \lambda \gamma^{\prime} \theta_{\text {ous }} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ at d 2 , with which we must supply $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ also. -Possibly the $\iota$ in A's $\gamma \hat{\eta} \iota$ is a mistake for c.

Between $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ and $\gamma^{\eta} s \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ I can see no gap in the sense such
as Badham discerns-only the ordinary explanatory asyndeton.(At Aristotle, Pol. ii. 1265 a $18 \mathrm{ff} .$, where he seems to be referring to Plato's two considerations as here given, I suspect we ought to
 proceeds to suggest as an addition to what Plato had said, кai $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \nu \iota \omega \nu \tau \alpha s ~ \tau o ́ \pi o u s, ~ i . e . ~ h e ~ t h i n k s ~ P l a t o ~ o u g h t ~ t o ~ h a v e ~$ considered the kind of country the neighbours inhabited, as well as (the numbers and character of) the neighbours themselves.)
c 7. $\lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i ́ s$ here and $\lambda^{\prime} \notin \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ at 738 a 2 have the meaning "choose" ( pace L. \& S. s.v. $\lambda_{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \mathrm{B}$ ).
 settlements as to number and size of lot as the circumstances warrant, but we shall give the reasons for them.- $v \hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \lambda$., " on the present occasion (when we have none of the necessary details) all we can do is to complete the outline of the legislator's task." I do not think he means here (as Wagner), "we will leave this subject in outline and proceed to the task of making laws so as to complete our discourse." iva $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha i ́ v \eta \tau a \iota$ belongs, I take it, to $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu$. $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \nu . \kappa$. $i \pi \pi o \gamma \rho a \phi \hat{\eta} s$, and I would take away the comma which separates them in all editions. The subj. of $\pi \epsilon \rho$. is $v o \mu o \theta \epsilon \sigma i ́ a$, not $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s .-F o r ~ t h e ~ \sigma \chi \eta \mu a \tau o s$ and the $i \pi \sigma \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \hat{\eta} s$ Ast
 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \hat{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha}^{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
e 1-e 7. "Let there (be assumed to) be-to choose a convenient number-5040 landholders-men ready to fight for their land. Likewise let the land and dwelling-places be divided so as to make the same number, -man and portion of land making a pair. First then let the whole number be divided by two, and next by three ; in fact ( $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ ) the number admits of divisions by four, and five, and all numbers up to ten without a break."
e 3. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \alpha u ̛ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \eta$, like $\tau^{\prime} \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha$ and $\pi^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon(\mu \epsilon \rho \eta)$ at e 6 , are acc., while the $\delta$ vo $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \eta$ in e 4 and the $\tau \rho^{\prime} \alpha$ in e 5 are nom.
e 4. $\sigma v \nu v o \mu \eta$, the MS. reading, seems to mean a lot which counts as one single division ; here it is "a pair." Ast's suggested $\sigma v ́ v \nu o \mu a$, the adj., would give the same sense, but would not be quite so explỉcit ; $\gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \sigma v ́ v \nu o \mu a$ would be "counting together." -What follows seems to be merely advice to the lawgiver to familiarize himself with. the various groups into which his whole number may be divided.
e 6. I would, with Schanz, adopt Stephanus's correction of the MS. Tòv aư $\tau \grave{o} v$ into $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ aúrô̂, so as to retain the same construction for $\tau \rho^{\prime} \alpha$ as for $\delta$ voo; for if $\tau \grave{o} v$ av̉̃òv is right,
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu o ́ v$, and not $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$, as Ast suggests, must be supplied with it.-(Ritter thinks that the divisions by two and three are laid down as imperative, whatever whole number be selected by the lawgiver, and he translates $\nu \epsilon \mu \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ " must be obtainable by division"-where he takes the $\pi \epsilon \in \phi v \kappa \epsilon \quad \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$. to apply only to the 5040. He gives as his reason the frequent occurrence in the subsequent civic arrangements of divisions into twelve and groups of twelfths. To this division he thinks that by two and then by three-i.e. into six-is intended to lead up.)

738 a 2. $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \eta^{\prime}$-so A, O2, and Ficinus's dicamus—is "let us choose etc." ; $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \sigma \epsilon \nu \delta \eta^{\prime}$-so L and 0 --"we choose etc."
a 4. $\delta \pi \hat{\alpha} \hat{S}^{\prime}$ ( $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu o ́ s$ ) here is evidently not the same as $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu o \hat{v}$ at e 5 above-for that is 5040, and this is contrasted with 5040 -but "the complete number," Ficinus's "universus numerus," perhaps we might say "the ideal whole number" [" number in general," F.H.D.], " the unlimited numerical series" ["infinity" A.M.A.], " totam numerorum seriem," Ast. This is obviously impossible. He will have to content himself with one which admits of "no more than fifty-nine different factorizations" (which, I am told, is the case with 5040 . It is also pointed out to me that $5040=1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \times 5 \times 6 \times 7$ ). The ov $\pi \lambda$ tíous $^{\prime}$ in a 7 accounts for the adversative $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ in a 4, and is manifestly inconsistent with the absurd correction of the first $\dot{o}$ in a 4 to ov, which is adopted by Boeckb, Ast, Wagner, Hermann and Schanz. (Grynaeus in his "correction" of Ficinus's version translates as if he read ov́ $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \delta \grave{\eta}$ in a 4, and it is curious to read in Serranus's translation, side by side with Stephanus's Greek text ó $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} s$, "neque enim omnis numerus." (Boeckh, p. 54, to support the neg., quotes from the "corrected" Ficinus.)- $\epsilon$ 's $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$, "for all purposes"; so $\epsilon$ 'is $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu$ каì ö $\sigma \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$. in the next line. Cornarius unaccountably translates it as masc., "in quemvis" (? numerum).
a 6. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \check{a} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ каi коıv $\sigma \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ : these words come in very awkwardly after ö $\sigma \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ єip $\quad \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$. I suspect they were the marginal comment of someone who was thinking of the necessity of arithmetic for business purposes. "Business engagements and dealings" are not spheres in which the multiple divisibility of men's total number-i.e. varied grouping-is of special importance. But it is of great importance in arranging (1) an army and (2) the collection of taxes or the distribution ( $\delta \iota \alpha \nu \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ) of state allowances. (Cobet rejected каї коь $\omega \nu \eta \eta_{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$, thinking $\kappa$. a gloss on $\sigma v \mu \beta$.-Cp. Rep. 333 a $\sigma v \mu \beta o ́ \lambda \alpha \iota \alpha$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \not \epsilon \epsilon s$
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 $\tau \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \eta$ instead of $i \epsilon \rho \alpha$, as here- - $i \delta \rho \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ applies to the case of a newly founded city ; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ to that of a regenerated old one.
c 1. ö $\sigma \alpha$ є $\kappa \Delta_{\epsilon} \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.: it seems best to take ö $\sigma \alpha$ as governed by $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \theta \epsilon o ́ s$ to be supplied from the following $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma a \nu$.
c 2. $\delta \pi \pi \eta \delta \dot{\eta}$. . . $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, "in whatever way they persuaded people -whether telling of visions of the Gods which had appeared, or of an inspired message delivered from heaven."
c 3. $\lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta s: ~ n o t ~ " q u i ~ d i c i t u r " ~ S t a l l b ., ~ a n g e b l i c h e ~(W a g n),$. or "reputed " (Jowett), but "reported." $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ belongs to both clauses; either the Gods themselves appeared on the spot where they wished a temple to be built, or they inspired a man with the knowledge of their preference, and he "reported" it. (Herm. unnecessarily rejects $\pi \epsilon^{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ in c 2 , -and so Schanz.)—With $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon s \delta^{\prime}$ the subject changes from the old-time stories, to the old-time men (veteres Fic.), who told them. So, as Stallb. points out, at 761 bc , the subject changes from vár $\alpha \iota$ to ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$, though there the gender of the participles in agreement with the different subjects gives an indication of the change. (I do not see why Stallb. postpones this change of subject to $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota^{\prime} \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ instead of making it begin at once with $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau o$.)
c 5. ка $\theta i \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \delta^{\prime}$ : $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ is not adversative, but introduces an amplification of the preceding statement.—" And moreover, by such stories they gave sanctity to oracles, and images, and altars, and shrines, and provided each of these with a piece of consecrated ground." For $\phi \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta$ in the sense of seat of an oracle cp. Eur. Hel.

d 3. $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} о \iota s:$ i.e. before any portions of land are assigned to human occupants.
 the subject of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma$. is not the same as that of the next verb, it is better to put a comma after it. These words are generally taken to mean " may provide opportunity for the satisfaction of all kinds of needs," but Ficinus took them to.mean "may provide opportunity for the discharge of all the services they can render (et facultatem sui facibius ad quoslibet usus exhibeant"). -Is it possible that the words mean "(not only) provide facilities for the several religious functions"? ["No." F.H.D.]
d 7. $\phi \iota \lambda o \phi \rho o v \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota:$ again there is a (slight) change of subject, from the meetings to the citizens engaging in them.- $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \theta v \sigma \iota \hat{\omega} v$, "thanks to the sacrifices"; for this use of $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ see above on 720d7. ("Vermögen der Opfer." Wagn.).-оікєє $\omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ к а і ~$
$\gamma \nu \omega \rho i \xi \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ : hendiadys, "become intimately acquainted with one another.". Cp. 953 a 7 ढ $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.
e 1. o $\hat{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ §ov ov̛ס̀̀v . . . $\eta$ : "Frequens hic Graecis est mos post genitivum comparativo junctum $\eta$ inferendi" (Heindorf on Gorg. 500 c , where he quotes, among this and other passages, Dem.
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \grave{\eta} \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \hat{\nu} \tau \eta े \nu{ }^{〔} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \delta \alpha$;)
e 5. $\widehat{\epsilon} \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ै v ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o, ~ " t h i s ~ a m o n g ~ a l l ~ o b j e c t s " ; ~ l i t o t e s ~ f o r ~$ "this above everything." Cp. above 647 b , and 705 b.

739 a-e. To understand this very difficult passage it will help us if we go back to 737 a , where we were told that it is "ó $\rho$ O'́т $\epsilon \rho o v "$-here, at a 6, it is ó $\rho \theta$ óta possibilities under less favourable circumstances than those actually to be enjoyed by Cleinias's prospective fellow-citizens. The $\tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta$ $\pi о \lambda_{\iota \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~(a s ~ h e ~ h e r e ~ c a l l s ~ i t) ~ i s ~ a ~ g e n e r a l ~ n a m e ~ f o r ~ a l l ~ s u c h ~ i n f e r i o r ~}^{\text {n }}$ arrangements as either the invincible conservatism of a lawgiver, or the unfavourable circumstances of a community may render necessary. The $\delta \epsilon \tau \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \pi{ }_{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha$ marks the first, and a moderate, deviation, in the same direction, from the perfection of the ideal state. Generally speaking, we shall find that Cleinias's new city will be in a condition to adopt this second best constitution (cp. below 807 bc ), but the Athenian will not dogmatize ; he will have done his duty when he has laid all the possibilities before Cleinias, and left him to choose between them.
a-b 1. "The next move that I am going to make in my process of lawgiving-a move like that of the desperate draughtplayer who has to abandon his "sacred" line-is of an unusual kind, and may cause surprise at the first hearing. Still, reflection and experience will make it clear that a city is likely ( $\left.{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu\right)$ to attain to (only) a second-best constitution. Possibly people whose only conception of a lawgiver is that of an arbitrary dictator, will say I ought to have given them something better. No; the right course is to set forth the best constitution, the second-best and the third-best, and leave the choice between them to the authority who is responsible for the community in question."
a 1. The $\phi$ o $\alpha$ - the "move" for which he apologizes-is the abandonment of ideal perfection, and is well typified by the draughtman's abandonment of the "sacred" middle line on the board.
a 3. I would put a full stop at $\pi o \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$.
a 4. Attention must be paid to the ${ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ with oikєî $\sigma$ 位: he does not say, "it will appear that a $\pi$ ó $\lambda_{\iota}$ s is being .constituted," but "is
in danger of being constituted," "is likely to be constituted" (cp.
 commentators ignore the ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$, except Stallb. who translates by a fut. infin. He takes the sentence to mean: "apparebit secundo loco conditam civitatem conditum iri ita ut ad id quod est optimum temperetur et conformetur;" in other words, "that the secondbest" will turn out the best; which is too paradoxical.- $\pi \rho$ òs $\tau$ ò $\beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o v$ is best taken as a qualification and explanation of
 for $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \rho \omega s$ simplifies the immediate context at the expense of the general sense of the passage ; avं $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ in the next sentence would then have nothing to stand for but " a well-constructed state," and this is not what we want.)
a5. At first sight it seems more natural to take $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\sigma v^{\prime} v \eta \theta \in s$ to mean "owing to the unsuitability (of the second constitution) to a lawgiver who is not absolute "; but the $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta \in s$ recalls the $\alpha^{\alpha} \eta \eta \eta$ s ov̉ $\sigma \alpha$ of a 2, and suggests that (as the $\tau \iota s$ here is the same person as the áкovovia there), it may mean, "owing to the want of familiarity (on the part of $\tau \iota \varsigma$ ) with a lawgiver who leaves anything to a people's choice." This second interpretation suits the general idea of the passage better. Ficinus takes it so : "quia consuetus non fuerit cum legumlatore more tyrannico inferendis legibus non utenti."
b 3. These are not the headings of separate divisions of the succeeding portion of the work. The author here gives us to expect that, with a view to the practical utility of the work, he will often give alternative enactments on the same subject. Ritter (p. 146) has collected several instances of such alternatives. Cp. especially the alternatives at 740 e f.
b 4. I have adopted Burnet's correction of the MS. $\stackrel{\mu}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \ddot{\pi} \pi о \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$ to $\dot{\alpha} \in i \quad \pi о \tau \epsilon$.
 accordance with his own disposition such of his native institutions as are to his taste." In other words, familiarity will sometimes count more than abstract excellence.
b 8 ff . What Plato here says is this: "Although the $\phi$ o $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \phi$ ' $i \epsilon \rho o \hat{v}$ has been made-although it has been admitted that some of the enactments now to be suggested are incompatible with the ideal constitution described in the Republic-for all that, the only proper test of the excellency of any provision or enactment will be this: how nearly does it approach that ideal?" The vigorous denunciation of selfishness in all its forms which we have already
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c 8. кoıvá, "communem in usum" (Fic.).
d 3. каí is denique (Ficinus and Stallb.).—кат̀̀ $\delta v ́ v a \mu \iota v$ ö $\tau \iota \mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ : the usual pleonasm.
d 5. ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda$ dov : i.e. "no one will find any other criterion of superior excellence for laws ( $\tau 0 \cup \dot{v}^{\tau} \omega \nu$ )" than the knowledge how far they serve the purpose of binding the community together by a common interest. (There is much that is attractive in Ficinus's way of taking $\tau o v \tau \tau \nu$ as dependent on ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o \nu-"$ any definition of perfect excellence other than (all) this"-" this" being its doing away with ídoov, and putting кo七vóv in its place.-But then he has to "go round " the oḯt८vєs vó $\mu$ o七 clause, which he translates: "ac denique (si) pro viribus sub his legibus vivant quae unam quam maxime civitatem efficiunt"; the "vivant" is not in Plato.—Badham's
 provides a construction for oïтıvєs vó $\mu \boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$., but introduces a foreign element into the passage. $\tau 0 v v^{\prime} \omega \nu$ then for him, as for Ficinus, will be "all these conditions"-" all this unity." He would also read $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta$ o $\lambda \hat{\eta}$ s for $i \pi \epsilon \epsilon \beta$ o $\lambda \hat{\eta}$; I imagine he made $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$ depend on ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ and $\left.\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \beta \beta\right\rangle \hat{\eta}$ s on ö $\rho o \nu$. I see no reason for the latter change, but $\zeta_{\eta} \tau \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau a s-a f t e r ~-\zeta o v \tau a \iota-s h o u l d ~ b e ~$ carefully considered. On the whole, I prefer the MS. text.
d 6. $\pi o ́ \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ is left "pendens." (Badham would reduce this to


d7. [ $\pi \lambda$ cíovs $\mathfrak{e} v o ́ s]:$ apparently, as we should say, "two or more," "a few,"-for there must be at least two to form a community_but it is a strange phrase.-Possibly oiкой $\iota$ means not inhabit, but manage. If so we must supply "its citizens" with $\delta \iota a \xi \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$. Anyhow we are meant to infer that superhuman conditions may be necessary for the realization of the perfect polity. I think that the $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ íous $\mathfrak{\epsilon} v o{ }^{\prime} s,-w h i c h ~ a l s o ~ a g r e e s ~ w i t h ~ \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s ~$ understood-in 740 c 3, has got in here by mistake. It is not unlikely that in some MS. the two passages were the length of a column apart, and so might have stood side by side on a page.
e 1. ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta, "$ alibi" (Fic.).
 we may well believe, he has suggested, that only divine natures could support the perfect polity. "The polity which we have now set ourselves to evolve in our conversation is the nearest approach we can get to the divine conditions, and is (only) in the second degree the (really) one state we spoke of "-" si non primo, certe secundo loco erit una" (Fic.). It must be admitted that, in
spite of the apparent reference to the $\mu^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ of $d \mathbf{3}$ above, the utmost significance to be got out of $\eta^{\circ} \mu^{\prime} \alpha$ is small. (Can it mean "a united state"?) Perhaps Apelt's suggestion (p. 10), to read $\tau \iota \mu i \alpha$ for it, gives us what Plato wrote. (Heindorf removes
 the sense of "is a possible one." Schanz supposes $\dot{\eta} \mu^{\prime} \alpha$ to be a mistaken interpretation of $\bar{\alpha}^{n s}$, i.e. $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} \eta \rho$, which he actually prints: " next nearest to the divine and the first polity.")
e 5. This must not be taken, I think, to mean that the author proposes to furnish a complete polity and set of laws for the conditions which admit of only the "third-best" polity.-He does not definitely propose that, even for the "second-best" conditions. —The word $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho a i v \epsilon \iota \nu$ (without some such word as $\pi a \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ ) does not always mean to complete; e.g. at $T i m$. $89 \mathrm{e} \tau \grave{\partial} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \rho \gamma \varphi$. . . $\delta \iota \alpha \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha \iota \tau^{\prime}$ äv is opposed to $\delta \iota ’ \quad \grave{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \epsilon i a s$. . .
 means "favour me with the answer to my question." Cp. also 790 c 3 below. (For $\pi \epsilon \rho i^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$, "perform," of music, or a dramatic representation, see Adam on Rep. 532 a ; used of a speech it is " deliver," cf. Plut. Mor. 130 a.) What he here contemplates is the furnishing his hearers, when the opportunity occurs, with spec1mens of such legislation as will be wisest in conditions still further removed than the "second-best" from "the ideal." (See above on. 739 b 8.$)$
 $\gamma \in \nu o \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \eta \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \tau o \iota a v ́ \tau \eta \nu$ recalls the introductions to the descriptions in the Republic of the oligarchical and other constitutions and characters; Rep. 548 d $6 \tau i s$. . . $\pi \hat{\omega} s \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ and 553 a 3


740 a 1. $\mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}\} o \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha}$. . . є $\epsilon \ddot{\rho} \eta \tau \alpha \iota:$ not " has been declared to be too great a task for" but " is a proposal which is too big for"_-" majus sonat" (Schneider). So at Soph. 226 с 3 бıaıрєтька́ $\pi o v \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta^{\prime} \epsilon v \tau \alpha \in i \not \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ is not "have been declared to be concerned with division," but "are uttered as terms denoting division."
 not a picked "strain," like the $\phi$ v́גaкєs of the Republic, nor has their early nurture or subsequent training fitted them for the "ideal" conditions.
 mental principle of ancient and modern law Plato adds two considerations designed (1) to endear, and (2) to dignify the possession
of land. (1) He appeals to patriotic sentiment:-" The country of which it is a piece is your native land "; and (2) he reminds his hearers of the fact that the Earth, of which it was also a piece

 —claims allegiance and honour from all mortals. Cp. 877 d 5 ff .
a 5. Neither the av̇T $\dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ nor the $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ are necessary to the sense, but their repetition gives clearness and importance to the following clauses. I would therefore neither expel $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ with Stallb., nor change it to $\dot{\alpha} \in i \quad$ with Schanz.
 apparently "all the more (ought we to cherish it) because,-being itself a goddess, it is the mistress of such as are mortal."
a 7. $\tau \alpha v i \tau \grave{\alpha}$. . . $\delta a i ́ \mu o v a s: ~ t h o u g h ~ i n ~ f o r m ~ t h i s ~ i s ~ a ~ c o m m a n d ~$ to extend these sentiments of reverence to all the supernatural beings who haunt the country, in effect it serves to bind all such religions up into a mutually supporting whole. (I therefore see no reason with Usener to reject this passage.)
b3. A ${ }^{1}$ wrote $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ for $\epsilon \sigma \tau$ íaı; mistakes like this, and $i \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ (for $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu^{\prime}$ ) at 741 a 3 , shake one's confidence in the scribe of $A$.
b 5. Schanz adopts the Aldine $\hat{\dot{\omega}} \delta^{\prime}$ for the MS. $\hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$, with a comma after $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.
 says it is probable that Attic law attempted to restrict the number of families to a fixed number, but did not interfere with the size of the families. Plato sees that, to do the first, it is necessary to do the second as well.
c 1. $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. . . $\gamma^{\prime} \operatorname{l} \nu o v s: ~ i . e . ~ h i s ~ a n c e s t o r s, ~ c a l l e d ~ a t ~ 717 b 5 ~$ $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \varphi^{\prime} \omega \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu . ~ I ~ t a k e ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~(\gamma ' \epsilon \nu) ~ . ~ .. ~ . ~ к \alpha i ~(\pi o ́ \lambda) ~ t o ~ b e ~ " b o t h$. . . . and," and $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon$ vovs and $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega$ s to depend on $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. Those who take the каi before $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ as "and" (Wagn. and Jow.) saddle the heir with a great responsibility; how is he to be the $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon v \tau \eta$ 's "of the city and of all the dead and living citizens"? It is not clear how Fic. and Schneider take it.- $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \zeta \epsilon \omega \tau \omega \nu$ каi öбovs $\kappa \tau \lambda$.: a comparison of 717 b 5 shows that this means all the inheritor's own ancestors, including his parents. Apparently the new $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o v o ́ \mu o s$ is to enter upon his office
 time ") would then be the date of his installation. At 775 e 5 ff . we are told that the heir when he marries is to occupy the second family residence, i.e. that away from the city.
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this word was omitted by the first hand in A, Schanz omits it.) —каì тoúvavтiov... ô $\lambda^{\prime} \dot{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon \nu$ : I do not think that any alteration of the MS. reading is necessary here ; but I agree with Burnet that, of the many suggested emendations, Winckelmann's insertion of ai before dóvayzal is the best. But why not take $\tau \epsilon$ after $\tau \iota \mu a i$ is not as both but as and-connecting cioiv and
 encouragements."- $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ is a difficulty. It seems to be used in the same sense as at 830 a 5 cis av̉ròv âv. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ đòv ${ }^{a} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} a$, i.e. that of "take the field"-here, more particularly, "operate,"一 $\tau \iota \mu$ is and the other datives being instrumental. Both $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \chi^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \iota \mathrm{S}$ and ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \iota$, not the latter alone, are the subjects of反́v́vaval; $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \nu$ '́ovs is the equivalent of an objective gen. The meaning we may thus get from the MS. reading is: "And on the other hand (there are) deliberate encouragements of larger families, and (both of these), operating [through the voice of warning] by means of honour and disgrace, and the admonition given by the old to the young, are able to secure the object abovementioned." The chief awkwardness in this sentence seems to me the repetition of the idea of $\nu o v \theta \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota$ in $\delta_{\iota} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu$ $\nu o v \theta \epsilon \tau \eta \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \nu$. Is not the latter a marginal comment? I have
 ( $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota$ is certainly not "respond to"; there is no notion of concord in the Greek $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\alpha} v$, as there is in the English meet.Ast turns $\tau \iota \mu a i$ is $\kappa \tau \lambda$. to noms., Herm. rejects $\epsilon_{i} i \boldsymbol{i} v$, Badham turns it into $\tau \iota \sigma \grave{\imath}$, Schneider reads $\delta v \nu a \tau a i ́(f o r ~ \delta o ́ v a \nu \tau a l), ~ S c h r a m m ~$

e 2 ff . In case of the failure of all possible methods for keeping the numbers down, we must send out a colony.- $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma a$, "complete," as in the common $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \eta$.
e 6. $\dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon$, "is at their command."
e 7. $\hat{\omega} \nu$ : dependent on $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \iota \kappa \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$, "consisting of such people as . . ." The Ald. ed. emended $\tilde{\omega} \nu$ to oî, which Schanz adopts; but this substitutes a less important for a more important consideration.
 "and if ever a flood of disease comes surging upon them." We have a like metaphorical use of $\kappa \hat{v} \mu a$ (and катак $\lambda \tilde{\vartheta} \varsigma \omega$ ) at Tim. 43 b , and at Rep. 473 c . (Cornarius puts in $\eta$ before $\nu$ ó $\sigma \omega \nu$, and takes $\kappa \hat{\nu} \mu a$ and $\kappa а \tau \alpha \kappa \lambda v \sigma \mu o ́ v \cdot$ literally. Ast, comparing 677 a, approves.)

74I a 3. vó $\theta_{\eta} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon_{i}^{\prime} a$ : stronger than the $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho \hat{a} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a$ of

735 a 4. There, the education referred to was less stringent and complete: here it is "a base imitation" of the education of the higher classes.- ©ко́vitas, "if they can possibly help it."-For $\pi a \rho \epsilon \mu \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ see above on 740 b 3.
a 4. Cp. 818 d 8 , Prot. 345 d, Simonides, Fr. 5.-The string of alternatives here concluded furnishes a striking instance of the feature of the Laws mentioned on 739 b 3.
a 5. $\nu \hat{v} \nu=\nu v \nu \delta \dot{\eta} .-\phi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \tau$ : almost "let us imagine." - $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ belongs, as Stallb. says, to tòv $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ ó $\mu \epsilon \nu=\nu$ dó ${ }^{\prime} o v$. As a rule it is taken with $\pi \alpha \rho a \iota v \in i v$. - Here the $\lambda^{\prime}$ óos personified appeals-not, I think, to the three, nor to the three plus an imaginary group of colonists, but-to the imaginary assembly of the new colonists, in the same strain as that of the prelude at the beginning of the book. I think the speech is supposed to finish at $\sigma a \phi \epsilon i$ is in 745 bl . Though some new regulations are introduced at 741 e 7 , the whole passage consists mainly of arguments and explanations designed to secure compliance with the regulations as to property. At 744 a 8 there is a repetition of what was said at 741 b 7 f., which suggests that the speaker is still the same.
a 6 ff. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\text { ón }} \boldsymbol{\mu} \circ \stackrel{\circ}{\tau} \tau \eta \tau \alpha$. . . $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\mu} \tau \omega \nu$, "never cease to follow Nature in honouring conformity, and equality, and identity, and correspondence, whether in number or in any (other) influence
 "that which agrees"; cp. 746c8. I agree with Ritter (p. 147) that the genitive is objective, and that we are meant to infer that $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu o ́ s$ is a $\delta v v^{\prime} \alpha \mu \iota s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \dot{a} \tau \omega \nu$.
b 4. $\mu$ '́ $\tau \rho \iota o \nu$ is not merely "mediocre" (Fic.), or "modest" (Jow.) ; it has the notion of symmetry and suitability. He speaks as if the amount were a statue of which they are begged "not to spoil the true proportions," by adding to or detracting from them by trafficking in it.
b 5. $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s:$ this was the reading of the first hand in A , and O. In both MSS. there is a suggested correction, possibly by the original hand, to $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o v, \nu$ being. written over the s. In A the $s$ is in an erasure. This suggests that the writer was at first in doubt as to which was right. Ficinus's "neque deus ipse distributor" leaves us in doubt as to his reading. He may well have been in doubt himself. I cannot think that if the original reading had been $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o v$, anyone would have altered it to the nom.; but the very recent use of the word in the sense of portion of ground may well have led to the reverse change. At 690 c 5 Plato speaks of the ruler chosen by lot as $\theta \epsilon o \phi \nu \lambda \hat{\eta}$. This
is quite in accordance with the description of the lot as a minister. of heaven, and so a $\theta$ cós. Hermann cps. the deification of "Оркоs at Hes. Theog. 231. The addition of the $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\omega} \nu$ marks the word as in need of an explanation or reminder. The reminder that the lot is divine is in place, but not so the reminder that the previously mentioned "distributor" was a God. Evidently $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ is right.
 This abruptness makes it a little easier to dispense with the somewhat complicated verbal notion "will (help you) if you do"; but it obscures the connexion with what follows. The law (b7) and the religious considerations (c 2) definitely refer-in the usual chiastic order--to the $v_{0} \mu_{0} \theta^{\prime} \tau \eta$ s and the $\theta$ єós of Burnet's parenthesis; so, too, at d $3 \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \alpha$ vó $\mu \varphi$ каì $\tau \hat{\varphi} \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$. I would therefore mark the passage off with colons only.
b 6 ff . What follows is involved in structure, and consequently obscure. $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ (in $\mathrm{b}_{0} 6$ ) seems to correspond with the $\pi \rho \overline{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{s}$ тov́roıs $\delta{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota$ at c 8 ; the second $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ (at c 1) with $\epsilon i \tau \alpha$ at c 2. To confirm what he has said of the antagonism of the God, and the Law to the recalcitrant citizen, he adds: (1) (b 6 ff .) that the law enjoins further that-as he took his land knowing beforehand that it was already sacred to the gods, and that it was going to have this sacredness ceremonially confirmed - punishment must follow the infringement of the original arrangement; and (2) (c 8 ff .) that there was to be constituted a special machinery for inflicting this punishment where it was due.
c 1. $\ddot{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$ : this depends on $\pi \rho o \epsilon \iota \pi \omega v$. The law had warned this man (who is now unwilling to obey it) that his land must either be taken on these particular terms, or let alone. (There is therefore no such "bull" here as Stallb. discerns.)
c 4. $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho \iota \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \nu \ldots \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ does duty both as the direct object of $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ in $\mathrm{b7}$ and as an expression of the substance of the prayers mentioned in c 3; in the latter case it is "will pray that the sentence may be duly executed." (Stallb. takes it as dependent
 -regardless of the difference in tense-by "ita pruescribet ut . . . moneat"; Schneider finds an object for $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ by putting in "obedientiam (injungit)."
c 6. $\gamma \rho \dot{\prime} \psi a v \tau \epsilon$-anyhow a break in the construction-must agree with the subj. of $\kappa a \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota v$ as well as that of $\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota$, and therefore I think it describes not the priests, but the legal authorities; this is confirmed by the vó $\mu \omega$ in d 3.-[Longinus]
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 Adam's note on Rep. 495 e 2.
e 7. $\pi \rho \partial ̀ s ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \delta ' ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \tau o u ́ r o ı s, ~ " t h e r e ~ i s ~ b e s i d e s ~ y e t ~ a n o t h e r ~$ law which goes with all these ordinances." (It is unusual to find a phrase repeated so soon in Plato ; cp. c 8 where also we have $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ̈ \tau \tau) ~.(~) ~$

742 a 2. i $\delta \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta$ : it is explained at b 2 why the community needs money.. The community's money would doubtless be of gold or silver, whereas the daily uses of internal traffic would be served, it is implied, by an inferior currency.
a 2-5. I can find no complete explanation of this very difficult passage. Some light is shed on it by Rep. 371 b 3 -e 5, where Plato explains why coined money is needed within the state itself. He there says (1) the $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o{ }^{\prime}$ want it to facilitate their buying and selling intercourse with each other, and (2) it is needed for the payment of $\delta \iota \alpha$ когоь; he does not say (in the Republic) whether these are paid by $\phi v \lambda^{\lambda} \alpha \kappa \epsilon s$ or by $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o i ́$. Inasmuch as the former are forbidden to have any private property whatever (416d), it is probable that the currency was only to be touched by the $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o i ́ a n d \mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \tau o i ́$. So in our passage the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \gamma \eta$ which necessitates a currency is spoken of as taking place (1) between the $\delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o i ́$, and (2) between $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. (whoever they are). This last point is made clear by the $\tau \epsilon \ldots \ldots{ }^{\prime}$, and is, I think, generally ignored. Ast alters ómó $\sigma \omega \nu$ to ó $\pi o ́ \sigma o \iota s$, and translates "(et omnibus) quibus illo opus est ut mercedem . . . solvant"; $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$, he says, means money. Stallbaum says $\delta \pi$. . . . $\tau o \iota o v i \tau \omega \nu$ stands, by attraction, for $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ тoıov́тoıs ónó$\sigma \omega \nu$ х $\rho \in i ́ a$ ( $\epsilon \sigma \tau i \nu)$, and means " (quam facere fere necessarium est opificibus) atque omnibus istiusmodi hominibus quorum opera utimur." Ficinus —like the early editors, who put a full stop at i $\delta \iota \omega$ $\tau \eta$ —did not, like the modern editions, take $\nu o ́ \mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ to be governed by $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \epsilon \hat{\imath} v a \iota$ $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta$ a $u$ understood. His translation though could hardly have been made from our text; it is: "sed quia nummis opus est quotidianae commutationis gratia, quae inter artifices et huiusmodi ferme est necessarium, cum mercenariis et servis et colonis merces aliqua debeatur, iccirco nummos habere concedimus etc."-Badham cuts out $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \tau o \iota v \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu \mu \iota \sigma \theta$ oús; but then he has to take $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime \prime} \nu$, i.e. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta^{\prime} \nu$, as the object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \tau i v \epsilon \iota \nu$, and this does not help us. Schanz, so far, has the last word in saying
"locus haud dubie corruptus." If driven to translate the text I would suggest, for $\kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu . . . \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau i v \epsilon \iota \nu$, "and for all men whose function it is to pay, to hired slaves or aliens, wages for services of such a kind "-the "services" being spoken of as the equivalent of the work produced by other artificers. In this way the paying wages for services would be represented as a kind of barter. F.H.D. suggests that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \iota \sigma$ ov́s $=$ " wages in money"-consisting in vó $\mu \omega \mu a$ (as opposed to wages in kind): A.M.A. would reject $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o \iota o v i \tau \omega \nu$.
a 6. av่тoîs . . . áסóкı $\mu о v$, "current at home, but worthless abroad."
a 7-b 2. The occasions which take representatives of the state abroad are divided into (1) military, and (2) peaceful missions. The second class may either go as negotiators ( $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \iota s$ ) or mere state-messengers ( $\kappa \eta^{\prime} \rho v \kappa \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ ). At 950 d 8 a third class of mission is added—к$\eta^{\prime} \rho v \xi \iota \nu \tilde{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \epsilon i \alpha \iota s \ddot{\eta} \kappa \alpha i ́ \tau \iota \sigma \iota \theta \epsilon \omega \rho о i ̂ s$.
b1. The first five editions omit all the words between this $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ and that in the next line. Steph. discovered the omission too late to include the words in his text.
b 3. vó $\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o ́ \nu:$ this addition is grammatically otiose, but welcome in the interests of clearness. Ast rejects it.$i \delta \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$ : the position of the private traveller is not explicitly defined on all points. (1) We may conclude, I think, from what goes before, that he had to get leave from the authorities, not only to travel, but to employ some of the state fund of Greek currency for the purpose. (2) The next question, which is at first sight obscure, is what is the vó $\mu \tau \sigma \mu a \xi \in \nu \iota \kappa o ́ v$ which is (possibly) left in his pocket on his return? Is it some money current only in a foreign state, or is it some of the aforesaid vó $\mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha{ }^{2} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota \kappa o ́ v$, or is it perhaps some "barbarous" coinage? The answer is, I think, that the term $\xi \in \nu$ кко́v would apply to all three cases and is meant here to do so. (3) We may again conclude, I think, that, though we are not told of the transaction, the returned traveller gives back, as a matter of course, all he had not spent of the state money. But if, over and above this ( $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \in \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ ), he has in his possession some foreign money-either given him by a foreigner, or made by him in trade-he must not keep it in this form, but must change it into the home (i.e. brass, or copper) currency. This regulation might be partly prompted by a fear that the travelled citizen might have entered into secret compact with some foreign power, for the purposes of which compact the possession of foreign currency would be useful. The words do not warrant Ficinus's
interpretation that the traveller first changed his foreign money and then deposited it in the state treasury. It is to be noticed that the penalty of concealment is (b 8) confiscation. This would be no penalty if the money was the state's by rights already.
b4. The first five printed edd.-and some edd. of Stobaeus, apparently-forsake all the Plato MSS. in reading $\pi \alpha \rho a \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \mu \nu \nu$ for $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \in \nu o s$. There could hardly be a better instance of the way in which a marginal interpretation makes its way into the text.
 àvà $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$; cf. Dem. Pro Phorm. 954. 19 т $\rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ f o s ~ a n d ~ G o r g . ~$ $464 \mathrm{c} \pi \rho$ òs тò $\beta$ 白 $\lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$.
b 7. The object of idoo $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \in \nu=s$ is not "anything"-e.g. any part of the sum of state money borrowed-but the sum of foreign money in question. This is made clear by the fact that the fine to be paid by the man with the guilty knowledge is to be "not less than the sum of the foreign money brought back."
 former religious, the latter social.
c 2. Perhaps the author here imagines himself to be asked: "How is a man to marry his daughters if he has no property?-or get security for money lent, if his debtor has no money, or, for that matter, how can he lend money at all? The answer is: "The Law will give you no help in either of these latter transactions; and it forbids dowries altogether."-The usual chiasmus.
c 3. The Law wishes to make trade impossible, and therefore will not recognize credit. It is suggested at Rep. 556 a that that is the best way to prevent the creation of debt in a state:





 915 e 2 ff .—As to usury cf. below 921 d.-As to dowries, at 774 cd Plato gives reasons for this law, and penalties for its infringement. This was apparently the law in Sparta "teste Aelian. V.H. vi. 6, Hermann, De vestig.". Stallb.
c 7. $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{\prime} \mu a \tau a$ : used in the neutral sense of habit, rather than practice.
及oú $\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota v$ : we may translate the words as a hendiadys, "the
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 with acc. of the inner object are enabled to govern a direct object as well. At Symp. 222 a $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \pi r o \nu \ddot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \ddot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \epsilon \nu$ the $\mu \epsilon$ is in a similar position. (Ast says $\mu a \tau$. ßov $\lambda$. are "epexegetic" to $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\delta v \nu a \tau a ́, S t a l l b$. that $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \nu \nu a \tau \alpha ́$ are "absolute posita"—_"quod vero pertinet ad ea quae non possunt fieri" ; the objection to this is that $\hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \subset \iota \rho \circ \hat{\imath}$ wants a direct object.)-" He will send no vain wishes in the direction of the impossible, any more than he will try to attain to it."
 without being the other as well.
 reminds us that it follows from the definition of poverty given above at 736 e 2 f . that Plato would call rich the man who is abstemious and independent of external possessions.
e 8. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{o} \lambda i ́ \gamma o \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} u \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ : a variety of $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$, or $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega s$. Stallb. well cps. the Lat. "homo in paucis doctus."
e 9. $\ddot{\alpha}$ каì какós $\tau \iota s \kappa \epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \tau^{\prime}$ ä $\nu$ : I think this means "and that is just what a bad man would be likely to acquire." But all previous interpreters take it to mean "and that is what even a bad man might possess." If they are right, the argument halts. Plato has previously said-not that it is not necessary for great riches and great goodness to be united-but that it is impossible. The ordinary interpretation would be a good proof of the former, but it is superfluous after the latter. Moreover, as he has just said that a very rich man cannot be very good, why should he here state it merely as a possibility that the great fortune should be in the hands of a bad man?

743a1. The argument then proceeds to deduce from the previous statement (at e5) that goodness and happiness must always go together, that the very rich cannot be happy either.$\alpha$ vंтois is oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i$, , who are assumed to hold that great riches are necessary to happiness.

Plato always uses this word in the sense of differently. Ast, Herm., Zürr., Stallb., and Schanz read סıaфє $\rho^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$. I think Burnet is right in following the MSS. For variety's sake Plato used the word in an unusual sense, trusting to the following $\delta \iota \alpha \dot{\phi} \in \rho o ́ v \tau \omega s$, in a similar position, to define it.
a 5. $\eta^{\eta} \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa$ סıкаíov каì ádíкоv $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ : Stallb. says the $\tau \epsilon$ goes with the каí. He compares Crito 43 b 4 є́v $\tau \sigma \sigma \alpha \cup ́ \tau \eta \tau \epsilon \alpha \dot{a} \gamma p v \pi \nu i ́ a$
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu{ }^{\epsilon} \epsilon \gamma \sigma o \iota s$. May be; but I am inclined in this instance to think that $\eta \tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota s$ was meant to go entirely with $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. (So Schneider.)
a 6. $\pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime} o v \hat{\eta} \delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma i ́ a$ : this implies the belief that more than half the money made in trade or other intercourse is made by asking too much for one's goods or services.- $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \ldots$. . à $\nu \lambda$ í $\sigma \kappa \epsilon-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$, "the expenditures, which" (in the case of the bad man) "shrink from being made equally when it is right to spend and when it is disgraceful to do so." The negatives go in sense with ${ }^{\prime} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda^{\prime}$ ov $\tau \alpha$, which is used with a curious "personification" of the expenditures. Stallb. cps. Rep. 370 b 10, where also the subj. of ${ }^{\prime} \theta \theta^{\prime} \lambda_{\epsilon \iota}$ is inanimate. In both cases ${ }^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ seems to be used as a semi-auxiliary—like our own "will."
a 8. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. . . $\delta a \pi \alpha \nu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota, "$ which are rightful and are ready to be incurred on rightful objects."—The ка入 $\hat{\omega} \nu$ каi єis ка入а́ balances $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} s \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \alpha i \sigma \chi \rho \hat{\omega} s$ in sound, but not in sense, as it only deals with one sort of expenditure.- $\delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma^{\prime}(\omega){ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \tau \sigma \alpha$ : this time it is not $\pi \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon_{0} \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta} \delta \pi \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma^{i} \varphi$, i.e. the necessary expenditure of both men is assumed to be about equal to what the good man spends on charity and the like; e.g. A spends $£ 100$ on necessary objects, and $£ 100$ on charity and the like, while $B$ only spends $£ 100$ altogether. In the subsequent calculation both expenditure and saving are spoken of as if they were in the relation of 2 to 1 and 1 to 2 respectively.
 must supply something like $\pi \rho a \tau \tau o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, as suggested by the following $\tau \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \omega \nu$; $\tau 0 v v^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ (neut.) is the getting twice as much and the spending twice as little.
 man keeps him in a neutral state as far as regards expenditure on disgraceful objects; though it does not make him good, it keeps him from being bad in a particular direction.-The less well attested reading ovंк áyäòs (for ov какòs) comes to the same thing, i.e. "the other (the bad man) is not good when he forbears to spend on
bad objects-only miserly"; but ov какós goes much better with the next line ( ${ }^{\gamma} \gamma a \theta_{o}{ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \kappa \tau \lambda$.).—Early edd., e.g. Louv. and Steph., have $\tau o \tau \grave{\epsilon}$; so Ast, who points out that, as at A 63, $\boldsymbol{\tau o \tau \epsilon} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\text { i }}$ is used without the usual $\tau o \tau \grave{\epsilon} \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ preceding it. So Stallb. and Burnet. Other recent edd. read $\tau$ ó $\tau \epsilon$, which would mean "when he is miserly." The words $\tau 0 \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \pi o \tau \epsilon$ mean "though on occasion"; i.e. when it is a question not of spending, but of making money. Then the bad man's actions are positively-even superlatively-

b 5. ò $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \ddot{\prime} \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ is equivalent to a geometrical Q.E.D.For "The Philosopher Leo" or "The Great Leo" mentioned in Burnel's note to this passage as here ceasing to correct the text see Gibbon ch. liii. (vol. vi. p. 104 Bury's ed.).
b 7. öтаv каi . . . $\pi^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \eta s$, "provided that he is at the same time of a niggardly nature, though in fact the superlatively bad man is very poor, because he is generally a profligate spender." -Granting, as everybody seems disposed to do, that $\pi \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \alpha \lambda_{o s}$ (b 4) in A is a writer's slip for $\pi \alpha ́ \gamma к а к о s, ~ t h e ~ t e x t ~ a p p e a r s ~ q u i t e ~$ sound, and needs none of the alterations great or small proposed by Madvig, Badham, and Schanz. Plato treats the situation thoroughly-turns it inside out. He then turns to consider the way in which men become very poor. Here, too, the very bad have the pre-eminence ; indeed it is only the vice of niggardliness which keeps a few very bad men at the other end of the scale.
c 3. Again "Q.E.D."
c 5. Above at $718 \mathrm{a} 6-\mathrm{b} 5$ we have been told that the details of our code will teach us what behaviour to our fellows $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$



 $\ddot{\epsilon} \beta$ earlier part of the work, but is a completion of the statement begun just above at 742 d 2 ff . There he tells us what the statesmanlike lawgiver would not make his object in framing his laws. Hence I would translate: "The object of our laws (which I was explaining) is." The imperfect is what Goodwin, M. and T. §40, and Adam on Rep. 490 a, call the "philosophic imperfect"-"was" being equal to "is, as we saw."
d2. $\lambda^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \delta \eta^{\prime}:$ the asyndeton emphasizes the statement.$\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ : in O cival is written before X $\rho v \sigma o \delta o$, but is marked as doubtful. This means that the writer-or corrector-knew of a
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e 7. ou゙ $\tau \omega$ : i.e. in accordance with the order of importance just given.
e 8. vo $\quad 0 \theta \epsilon i \tau \alpha l$, the reading of $0^{1}$, is doubtless a writer's slip for $\nu 0 \mu o \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \tau \alpha \iota$, which is the reading of Stobaeus. The perf. (as A), though less natural after $\epsilon i \quad \tau a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \tau a \iota$, is more significant: " we have been right in the laws we have made;" i.e. the fact that the right qualities are held in the highest honour is a proof that the legislation has been right.-oi avíó $\theta \iota \pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \tau o ́ \mu \in v o \iota$ $\nu o ́ \mu o \iota$ are " any laws which may hereafter be made in the colony," i.e. "and the same test will be applicable to all future legislation."

744 a 3. $\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, "$ make it clear to himself."
a 4. $\epsilon i . . . \eta^{\prime}$ : as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \eta \mu a i v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is equivalent to "ask himself the question," this question can be treated as if it were an indirect one. If it were put directly, the two alternatives would be introduced by $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu . . . \vec{\eta}$; "The lawgiver, I tell you, must often ask himself these two questions: first, 'What am I aiming at?' and secondly, 'Am I hitting the mark, or missing it ?' In this way, and this only, he may possibly so discharge his task as to leave nothing for others to do after him." (Schanz marks a laccuna after $\sigma \kappa о \pi o \hat{v}$.)
 M. and T. § 416.
b3. र $\rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ : though we are not told so, we must assume that this portable property was not allowed to remain in the form of money-for the possession of gold and silver was forbidden (742 a)—but was exchanged for land which was added to the original $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$. -The $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ was doubtless given by the state, so that a citizen, who arrived with only enough money to enable him to work it, could take his place among the rest.-The property qualifications for the four classes would probably be estimated not in (acres of) land, but in (bushels of) produce.
b 4 ff . The difficulties in this passage-and they are greatfortunately do not obscure its main point, which is contained in
 have been more convenient," we are told, "if each colonist had brought the same amount of property with him, but they have not." It being so, it is best (for certain reasons) for the state to recognize this inequality, and to make it the basis of a classification of the citizens into four divisions.-The difficulties concern the reasons for this proceeding.-Ritter (p. 149 ff .) has a helpful discussion of the passage. I follow him generally-except in what he says at the end about the readings-and more particularly
in taking the $\tilde{\epsilon} \nu \in \kappa \alpha$ clauses to furnish the grounds for the classification, not the purposes which it was meant to serve.- $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \delta \grave{\eta}$. . .
 $\kappa \alpha i ́$. He will not go into all his reasons, but only mentions one -i.e. the promotion of internal peace by allowing its due weight to wealth. We may translate: "especially as the state allows all a fair chance."
b 5 ff. ï $\nu a \kappa \tau \lambda$. : I prefer to regard this final clause as containing a rather gross zeugma, than as either deficient, or redundant. (Steph. would remedy its supposed deficiencies by adding $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́$ before $\tau \eta^{\prime} \nu$ in b 6 and $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ (or $\kappa \alpha \theta \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ) before $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{s}$ $\tau \iota \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$, and putting $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ (for $\tau \epsilon$ ) after it.-Ritter accepts the $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$, and would reject ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i ́ l . . . \delta \iota \alpha v o \mu a i ́ . ~ A s t-f o l l o w e d ~ b y ~ S c h a n z ~$ —would reject from $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i ́$ to $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} v$, and the latter also brackets

$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu . . . \tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ he personifies the occasions of election and tax-fixing, and has in mind some such expression as "may take account of," "may estimate," to govern $\tau \iota \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu-$ - the price of each man's value," and, when all that does follow is $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ agreeing with a different subject-and $\delta \iota \alpha \phi^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, he has a vague notion that enough may be got thence to fill the gap. (Stallb., with a quite different explanation, adds $\tau \epsilon$ to ioó $\tau \eta \tau$ ós.) The only change I would make in Burnet's text is to reject the comma after Sıavouaí.
b6. Sıavouaí: in the case of distributions I imagine that the lower classes would receive more, while of cioфopaí.they would pay less.
b 7. $\mu \grave{\eta}$. . . $\mu o ́ v o v ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ́: ~ h e ~ d o e s ~ n o t ~ s a y ~ t h a t ~ b i r t h ~$ and personal distinction of mind or body are not to weigh with those who appoint, but that the size of a man's estate ought to be considered as well.
c 1. All editors agree in reading $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ with $\mathrm{O}^{1}$ for A's $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau$.
c 2. $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ and $\pi \epsilon \nu i \alpha \nu$ are the MS. readings (though $0^{1}$ has $\pi \epsilon \nu i \alpha s)$. If the simpler $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ (Ast) had stood for the former, it is hard to see how the more out-of-the-way $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$ came to be substituted for it.-With $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \tau \iota \mu \alpha{ }_{s} \tau \epsilon$ we must suppose the subject to change and become " men," i.e. the men mentioned in $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau o \iota s$.
 ensured by an award which though unequal is proportional to some recognized standard, is explained-as Ritter says-below at 757 ab , where we are told, however, that the true standard can only be discerned by the divine intelligence.-I would translate
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(ivva . . . $\left.\delta \iota a \phi \phi^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota\right)$ : "that all occasions of election to offices or fixing of taxes or bounties (may estimate) each man's real worth not merely by his own or his ancestors' virtues, nor yet by their bodily strength or attractiveness, but also by his enjoyment of or his lack of wealth, and that men may be endowed with dignities and responsibilities on so fair a principle of proportional, though unequal, distribution, that no quarrels may disturb their peace."
c 4. $\mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota$ : an instrumental dative with $\tau \iota \mu \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$, which is equivalent to $\tau \iota \mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ : "arrange by size of property in four classes." Ficinus translates $\mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ov̉cías "magnitudine differentes bonorum."
 any event the names (and property qualifications) of the classes will remain the same, whether the individual members change or
 is the reading of A-suggests that the latter was not a mere copyist's mistake on the part of the scribe of A (see below on d 4) but an old variant.

d 4. The scribe of A seems to have been under some disturbing influence about this time. Not only does he make such a careless mistake as vo $\boldsymbol{\prime} \dot{\prime} \sigma \mu a \tau o s$ here for $\boldsymbol{v o \sigma}^{\prime} \mu \mu a \tau o s$ and $\delta \grave{\eta}$ for $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ at el, but he omitted altogether, at the first writing, a long passage from 745 a 2 ( $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s)$ to c $4(\delta \hat{\epsilon})$.—ö . . . $\kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a l$, "which may more rightly be said to be disintegration than discord." Cp. Arist. Pol.
 $\delta_{\iota a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma_{\nu o \nu \tau \alpha \iota} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ nodı $\tau \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$. (Some translators-Fic., Serr., Wagn.-take $\eta$ そ to be or.)
d6. With $\pi$ roûtov we are meant to supply some "strong" epithet equivalent to $\chi^{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \nu$.
 may call them class-division and class-discord. (Ritter says that, as these are only two names for the same thing, $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ should be rejected.)-The persistence of the reading $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ for $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o$ $\tau^{\prime} \rho \omega \nu$ in d 6 -so A, 0 , Stob.-gives weight to Wagner's suggestion that it is the second $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ in A and O which ought to be altered to the gen. So Schanz, but Burnet prefers the authority

 trate, and no good citizen."
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the Acropolis, as from a centre, are to radiate the dividing lines of the districts. (Ficinus takes $\dot{\alpha} \phi$ ' ô to be "starting from which"; another meaning which might be given to it is "apart from which.")-This central portion is particularly suitable for Hestia; cp. Phaedrus 247 a with Thompson's note.
c 1. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \omega \bar{\delta} \delta \kappa \alpha$ : the art. here and in the next line is not merely "the just-mentioned"; it suggests that the number is the rational one. At 771 b Plato justifies the selection of the number
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \delta \delta \omega$. - It is clear that the dividing lines are to radiate from the central enclosure because each division is to contain part of the city proper.
c 2. $\stackrel{\prime \prime}{ } \sigma \alpha$ : the equality, he explains, is not to be reckoned.by size, but by the productivity of the divisions.
c 3. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \theta \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ and $\chi \epsilon i \rho o v o s$ are genitives of material.
c 5. каi $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$. . . є́ка́ $\tau \epsilon \rho о v$, "and to join together as a lot two pieces of land partnered each with its near piece or with its far piece," i.e. each piece will have a fellow, the "far" one a " near" fellow, and the "near" one a "far" fellow. So I understand Peipers ( $\ddot{Q u a e s t i o n e s ~ C r . ~ p . ~ 96) ~ t o ~ t a k e ~ t h e ~ p a s s a g e, ~ a n d ~ t h o u g h ~}$ the use of $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$-" hold on to a partner"-is extraordinary,
 (Ast) or ${ }_{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \theta \epsilon \tau$, either of which would be superfluous, or even to $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau о \tau \epsilon$ (Schanz), "in the case of each $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s, "$ which would be equally superfluous-and, besides, $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \chi \neq \nu \tau \alpha$
 $\tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega$.
 rejecting $\epsilon i \frac{i s}{} \kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ as an intruder from the margin. The only possible way of explaining it, if it be retained, seems to be to supply $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \eta \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ in thought from the previous $\sigma v \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$. Ast's $\not{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \omega$ would not account for the datives. On the other hand, if only a comma be placed after $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, and єîs $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ be omitted, the next two clauses fall quite smoothly into their places.
d 2. $\mu \eta \chi^{\alpha} \alpha \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota \delta_{\epsilon} \cdot . \quad \delta \iota \alpha \nu o \mu \hat{\eta} s$, "likewise in arranging the separate halves we must regulate the proportion of poor soil to rich, of which we spoke just now, using differences of size to produce equality"-i.e. the poverty and richness of the soil must vary inversely as the size. Not that each half $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ must be equal to its fellow half, 4 but that the near halves should be equal,

" the matter of the poverty etc. of the land," and, by a natural, though apparently unexampled expansion of this idiom, Plato makes $\nu v \nu \delta \grave{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \frac{\nu}{}$ agree with the $\tau o ́$. Ast and Schanz do not believe this expansion possible, and insert $\pi \epsilon \rho i$-Ast before $\phi a v \lambda$. and Schanz after $\chi$ '́pas. Stallb. makes the gen. by itself equal to the gen. with $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, comparing Rep. 576d7. But this does not mean the same thing; it is not "what he said above," but the "matter" itself, which is the object of $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha c$.-The expression is like $\tau \bar{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau^{\prime} \chi \chi \eta \rho$ at Gorg. 450 c. The article is probably left out here because, if put with one of the three nouns, it must have been put with all. At Eur. Phoen. 403, in a similar expression, we have $\tau \grave{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} i \lambda \omega \nu$ for $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\phi}^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$, and at Herc. Fur. $633 \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ for $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$.- $\delta^{\prime} \chi \alpha \tau \mu \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ is equivalent to a compound. (Ought we possibly. to read $\delta \iota \chi \circ \tau \mu \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota$ ?)
d 5. The MS. vєí $\mu \alpha \sigma$ usurp the sense of the act. here, "we must divide the men too into twelve bodies"; if not, how is $\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon v o \nu$ to be explained? Schanz holds that there is a lacuna after $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \eta$. I believe that Plato wrote $\nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu \alpha \iota$.-As at e 1 above the MSS. vary between $\delta \grave{\eta}$ and $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$; this time A is right, and O wrong.- $\tau \eta^{\prime} \nu$ : with this I think we are meant to supply $\delta \iota \alpha \nu o \mu \eta \nu$, as suggested by $\delta \iota \alpha \nu o \mu \hat{\eta} s$ and $\nu \epsilon \hat{i} \mu a \iota$ just before. (Ast would supply $\kappa \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \nu$, or, in preference, change $\tau \eta^{\prime} \nu$ into $\left.\tau \alpha^{\prime}.\right)-\alpha " \lambda \lambda \eta s$, "superfluous," i.e. over and above the $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$.
d 6. $\epsilon$ ís ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \delta \kappa \kappa \alpha \mu^{\prime} \rho \rho \eta$, "(arranging the distribution) so as to make the twelve tribes equal (in wealth)." (No need with Schanz to reject $\tau$ á.)-This division would spread the rich men equally throughout the twelve tribes.
d 8. The twelve Gods are to have their $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o \iota$ as well as the citizens. This assigning of each territorial division to a patron deity would foster tribal patriotism, and prevent separate coalitions amongst either the rich or the poor throughout the state.
e 1. $\lambda a \not \chi^{\prime} v:$ see L. \& S. s.v. § V.
e 4. $\nu^{\prime} \notin \epsilon \sigma \theta$ a८ $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \nu$ : there is a change of subject here. " Each citizen is to possess two houses."
e 5. All edd. now adopt Boeckh's correction of the MS. катоíк $\eta \iota \iota \nu$ to катоíкıбьv. Cp. above 683 a 1 and a 6.

e 8. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} v \in i p \eta \mu \epsilon{ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$., "all the arrangements suggested above are not likely ever to find just the conditions which will ensure that they should all be carried out quite literally."
 phrase $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega}$ s oü $\tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ at 633 c 9 .
 $\kappa \alpha i . .$. оік $\eta \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ at a 6 introduce the two main divisions into which the above-mentioned "arrangements" fall—the accusatives being in each case in apposition to $\sigma \dot{u} \mu \pi \mu \nu \tau \alpha$. (Stallb. takes $\mu \epsilon \sigma$. and oiк. to be governed by ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s$.)
a 4 f. $\chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v}$ : here regarded as an ornament.-The two clauses which express what the "men" will not submit to have-limited money, and limited families - are balanced by two clauses which express what the men will not submit to be deprived of-i.e. decorative gold, and other luxuries which the legislator will evidently forbid.- $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \omega \nu$ is rather strangely used for "add to the list of things forbidden." (Badham proposes to read " $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \ddot{\alpha}$, regarding it as the second out of three thinga which are not likely $\sigma v \not \mu \pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha \sigma v \mu \beta \hat{\eta} v \alpha \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$, i.e. (1) ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha s \tau \epsilon \kappa \tau \lambda$., (2)
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \xi \nu$ are not of the nature of things which may be expected not to happen. They are within the power of the legislator, to ordain or not as he sees fit.
a 6. Here we have the usual chiasmus : it is the city which is to be in the middle, in the ideally arranged state, and it is to have dwellings arranged "all over the country" round it. The plural $\mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau a s$ is chosen perhaps to balance the plural oiк $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$, and to avoid the two short syllables at the end of $\mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon$, and, though strange, it can be explained as "central positions for all states which have a city." (I think it is possible though that we ought to read $\mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon$. Wagner would read $\mu \epsilon \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha s$, in agreement with oiк$\eta \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$; the superlative seems hardly natural.)
a 7. oîov óvєípaта $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu, \eta \geqslant \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \eta \rho o \hat{v}:$ Stallb. aptly cps. Rep. 471 c , where Socrates is said to have forgotten to
 $\delta v v a \tau \eta$.—Burnet has rightly gone back to the arrangement of the older interpreters-e.g. Ficinus and Ast-in putting the comma after $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \eta$ instead of before it.
bllf. र 1 over his ground again in the following manner."-It looks as if this and the following sentence were two alternative ways of saying the same thing. Plato can hardly have meant both to stand as they are. (Schneider, Wagner, Stallb., and Schanz prefer the $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ of A to the $\epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ of L and $\overline{\mathrm{O}}$. Schneider translates: "sed opus est ut quisque haec secum reputet." In so
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d 3-e 3. I think the key to the explanation of this very difficult

 self-consistency" ; but he did not follow out this idea far enough. He stopped at the word $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i \omega \nu$, whereas the reference to this self-consistency is not made clear until we get to the words ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \mu \epsilon \tau \rho a$, and $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o s s ~ \sigma \dot{v} \mu \phi \omega v a$, at e 2 . The main part of the sentence I


 (including the $\mu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau \rho a$ etc.)- ${ }_{0}^{\prime \prime} \theta \epsilon \nu$. . $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu a ́$ being, as Burnet marks it, a parenthesis.-I believe that for the MS. $\tau \grave{\eta} v \delta \dot{\sigma} \xi a v$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ we ought to read $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \delta o \xi \dot{\xi} \sigma \eta \mathrm{~S}-\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ would then mean "in conjunction with" instead of "in sequence to."- $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v$ I take to

 baum's suggestion that it is a mistake for $a \hat{\mathcal{J}}$. We may translate : "That very self-consistency we must now do our best to consider in conjunction with the proposed division of the state into twelve parts, inquiring in what conspicuous way the twelve parts, which in their turn admit of being divided in very many ways, these and their immediate subdivisions, and those which spring from them, until we get down to the 5040 individual citizensand such divisions will give you your фрatpíal, your $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o t$, and your $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota$, and besides these, your military divisions, whether for battle or the march, yes, and your money-values and your measures, whether of solids, liquids, or weights-how all these, I say, are so to be fixed by law as to harmonize with and to fit in with each other."

Hermann proposes a very ingenious emendation of $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o v \delta \dot{\eta}$, i.e. $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \cdot \delta \in \hat{i}$; and upon this Wagner founds a still more ingenious explanation, which some may prefer to that given above. It is

 the similarity of the two clauses, $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v \ldots \mu \epsilon \rho \eta$ was accidentally omitted. (He does not explain how ката́ then became $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$.) He then takes $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ 'í $\tau$ as as a true superlative: "clearly you will split them up into the parts which have the greatest number of divisions "-he accepts Ast's $\alpha \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ for aù $\boldsymbol{u} o \hat{v}$.-This explanation is more natural (than that given above) so far, but' it does not accommodate itself so well to what follows. - As to $\pi \lambda$ cícras $\delta_{\iota a v o \mu a ́ s ~ i n ~ d ~} 5,420$ ( $\frac{1}{12}$ of 5040 ) is divisible by $1,2,3,4$,
$5,6,7,10,12,14,15,20$, and 21 , to say nothing of larger numbers.
 would be a personal division, founded on blood-relationship; the last two are local ones. Herm. De vest., in commenting on the adoption of these familiar terms, reminds us that Aristotle, Poet. 1448 a 35, says that $\kappa \omega ́ \mu \eta$ is the Spartan word for what the Athenians called $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o s$. On this passage of Ar. Bywater quotes
 $\kappa a \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \eta ́ \mu o v s$. This does not seem to have been Plato's idea, for below at 848 c the $\delta \omega \delta \delta \kappa \kappa \alpha \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota$ are evidently in the country.
e 1. $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ made a bad suggestion in changing $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \gamma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ to $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} v a s$.
 arrangement of an army on the march differs from the battle order.
e 3. $0^{2}$ makes a natural but quite unnecessary suggestion that for $\nu o ́ \mu o \nu$ we ought to read $\nu o \mu o \theta^{\prime} \epsilon ́ \tau \eta \nu$.
e 4. $\delta \epsilon_{i}^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ follows the construction noticed above on 643 a 6 , and 688 e 5 , of the acc. of the agent after a verbal adj. in - $\tau \in \circ v$. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta o ́ \xi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu \stackrel{\mu}{\alpha} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota \sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o \lambda o \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \nu$, " what might appear to be a peddling minuteness."
e 6. The standardizing of the parts of machinery, due largely to Sir Joseph Whitworth, has conferred incalculable benefits on modern mechanical engineering. (We want a world-lawgiver to-day, to ordain a metric system on a duodecimal basis.)-каi ко七七 $\hat{\varphi}$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \nu o \mu i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ : advantage is taken of the fact that $\delta \in i \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ has preceded, and the construction is continued; but the connexion with the main verb ( $\left.\phi \circ \beta \eta \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \sigma \tau i\right)$ is not the same. In translating we must begin afresh, as Ficinus does-"Et communi ratione censeat, etc."-Schanz would slightly mitigate the ávaкó ${ }^{2}$ ov $\theta$ ov by omitting $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ in e 5.
 $\kappa \alpha i \quad \underset{\epsilon}{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota$ : plane and solid geometry. Then follow the numerical aspects of the sciences of harmony and kinetics.
a 5. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \beta \lambda^{\prime} \notin \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ : i.e. in view of this general
 on the importance of this standardizing ( $\sigma v \nu \tau \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ). The reason is that men's minds will be accustomed to think of each number as having certain relations to other numbers.
b 1 ff . "For home life, for public life, for all kinds of arts and crafts, the most efficacious branch of education is mathematics. But the great point is that it wakes up the sleepy and stupid nature, and makes it quick, and mindful, and shrewd; the
improvement upon its original self is miraculous." For the usefulness and intellectual effect of mathematics cf. below 819 c 6

 526 a 8.
b 5. The use of $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ is much the same as above at $693 \mathrm{bl} \tau i$ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ "̈ $\delta \epsilon \iota \pi \rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o$, and grows out of the meaning in comparison with which is often found in $\pi \alpha \rho^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha$, e.g. Rep. 435 a; cp. above 729 e 4. (Wagner translates: "indem er, vermögen dieser göttlichen Kunst, gegen seine Natur Fortschritte macht.")
b 6. ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda$ oıs is almost our "further."
b 7. $\tau \iota s$ : our "you."
b 8. $\alpha v \tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ is, of course, mathematical proficiency.
 $\mu \in \nu o s i \alpha ́ \theta o \iota, "$ you would find, to your surprise, that you had made a regular rogue of him, instead of a philosopher." $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ кадоч $\mu \in ́ v \eta \nu$ = " regular," " professional."
c 5. ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega v$, as at b6, denotes the rest of the habits and influences (besides mathematics) which mould the dispositions of these unpopular Semites. Cp. Rep. 436 a $\tau \grave{o}$ фı $\lambda o \chi \rho \eta \not \mu a \tau o v$ ö


c 6. $\kappa \tau \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a s$ is put for $\phi \iota \lambda o \chi \rho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \alpha s-" o w i n g$ to the debasing effect of the rest of their pursuits, and of their wealth." (The Egyptians got no further in their "geometry" after they had learnt enough to make practical land-surveyors. It was the "free" spirit of the Greek which built mathematical science on this foundation.)
c 7. Without ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ this sentence would mean " whether it was a bad lawgiver who did it"; the ${ }^{\prime} \nu \nu$ makes it " whether it might have been a bad lawgiver who did it . . ." (Steph. would change
 confines the force of ${ }_{a} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ to $\gamma \in \nu o{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu o s$, Schanz changes it to $\delta \dot{\eta}$.)
 influence of such a tendency apart from these." . One such possible influence, as he proceeds to say, is that of locality.
d3. The ov́k, which Ast would reject, is established by all MSS., and by those of Galen and Stobaeus. Stallb. rightly defends it as the same negative which is put in after $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho v \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha$, , and cites similar negatives at Prot. 350 d and Philebus 26 d, where they are inserted after ís ov́k ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s ~ \grave{\omega} \mu о \lambda o ́ \gamma \eta \sigma a$. and ov́k

# SAVE $\$ 3,999,994$ 

Did you know we sell paperback books too?

## To buy our entire catalog

 in paperback would cost over \$4,000,000
## Access it all now for \$8.99/month

 *Fair usage policy applies
## Continue

$\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o v$. Like the $\tau o v_{s}$ in all MSS. at d 1 , the mistake was due to a careless assimilation to a neighbouring word. $L$ has $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$.
b5-c 2. The main idea of this difficult paragraph is: "the sharper the instrument, the more dangerous it is in inefficient hands." The main difficulty lies in the infinitive clause $\tau 0$ v.. . .
 text shows, I think, conclusively that Plato's general meaning is: "no advantage can spring from a well-equipped city's well-made laws, if it appoints incapable magistrates to administer them." The construction is hard to grasp, but I do not think it is improved if with Steph. we change tô to $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa$ to $o \hat{v}$, or with Schramm (who is followed by Hermann, Wagner, and Schanz), we change $\tau o \hat{v}$ into $\tau \hat{\omega}$. We may say, I think, that the gen. $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$ is "prospectively" or "proleptically" attracted by $\tau \epsilon \partial^{\prime} \ell \tau \omega \nu$. Riddell (Dig. § 27) calls it "a genitive placed at the beginning of a construction, for the sake of premising mention of it, without any grammatical justification of the gen."-A minor difficulty arises in connexion with the gen. abs. clause $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda^{\prime} o v . .$. "́p "ov. Wagner translates "inasmuch as" (da),-Jowett, and probably the Latin translators, by "although . . . lawgiving is a hard task," or "an important matter." I think the former is preferable to the latter, but that, though the syntax would allow either, and $\mu{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho \gamma o \nu$ is often thus used, the hint of the $\epsilon \hat{v}$ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a \sigma \mu \epsilon \epsilon \eta \nu$ and the emphatic position of $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda^{\prime} o v$ entitle us to translate: "where the product of lawgiving is an elaborate one"-the $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ belonging. to ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \gamma^{\prime} v$ being left out for rhythm's sake. For $\mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma_{0}{ }^{2}$ in the sense of "grand achievement" cp. Symp. 178 d . I would translate the whole clause: "that, where your code of laws is an elaborate one, what follows if a wellequipped state sets inefficient officers to administer its well-made laws is this: not only does it reap no benefit from their excellence, and become a laughing-stock to the world, but you may be pretty sure ( $\sigma \chi \epsilon \delta \delta^{\prime} \nu$ ) that states in such a condition would find such laws particularly dangerous and injurious." (Heindorf's 'idea, which
 $\langle\epsilon \epsilon\rangle \tau o \hat{v}$ is sufficiently refuted by Hermann in his critical note. The whole passage is unintelligible if we do not grasp the fact
 -F.H.D. suggests that $\epsilon^{\hat{v}} \tau \epsilon \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \varphi \tau \omega \nu$ is a "gloss.")
c 4. I think $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{0} \boldsymbol{\imath} \tau o$ refers back, and means "the danger of having incapable magistrates appointed."
c 6. $\beta$ á $\sigma a \nu o v . . . \epsilon_{i v a ı} \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa o ́ \tau a s:$ further references to the (Athenian) $\delta о к \iota \mu \alpha \sigma i a$ occur at 754 d 1 and 755 d 6.
c 8. The correction of A's aữoùs to â̂ $\tau o u ̀ s$ was made in some MSS., and in all the printed editions.-The periphrastic civa $^{i}$ $\delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa$ ó $\tau a s$, is peculiar.
c 9. Ast suggested that $\tau \epsilon$ is a mistake for $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$. Schanz .held that $\tau \epsilon \theta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta a \iota$ and $\tau \epsilon$ ought both to be rejected; but, though there is some tautology in $\tau \epsilon \theta \rho \alpha^{\prime} \phi \theta a \iota . \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \dot{\nu} \mu \in \mathcal{L} 0 v s$, it is awkward to suppose the $\epsilon i v a i$ from c 8 to be supplied in thought with $\pi \epsilon \pi \alpha i, \delta \epsilon v \mu \epsilon \in \mathcal{V} v s$. Hermann and Burnet think it better, with Stallbaum, to bracket only $\tau \epsilon$. It is hard to believe that anyone deliberately inserted the $\tau \epsilon:$ it must be due to careless writing; either the scribe's eye was caught by the $\tau \epsilon$ in the next line, or he unconsciously repeated the first syllable of $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a^{\prime} \phi \theta a l$, which came after another - $\theta a l$. (Ficinus's "esse educatos" gives some support

 breed good characters and habits of mind in those who live under them.
 кpivelv: the usual chiasmus. The latter verb is used in the same sense at 961 b 6.
.. d 3. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ' is adverbial, and seems here to have the meaning "but in this case"; cp. 873a3.
d 7 ff. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma$ à $\rho$. . . фаívoıтo: in other words " what we began in a speculative, imaginative vein, has turned into reality, and we have now gone too far to retreat ; your state we must found, under whatever disadvantages. Even the imagination too has claims. I don't like to leave $m y$ fancy picture unfinished."-The omission of the фaciv in the MSS. was probably due to its similarity to the last two syllables of the preceding word; it was recovered from a scholiast's quotation of this passage in his commentary on Crat. 421 d.
 taken with $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in the ordinary sense of the collocation $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta} \eta$." Adam on $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ in a similar passage at Rep. 556 b.
 above on $712 \mathrm{a} 4, \mathrm{~b} 2,736 \mathrm{~b} 6$. Most translators take $\mu v \theta_{0} \lambda_{o \gamma i ́ a}$ to mean conversation (Ficinus, Schneider, Wagner, and L. \& S. s.v.), but it is clear that the Ath. regards his function to be that of providing the ideal to which the actual is to conform as far as may be. His proposal when the conversation began, and as it developed,
was to draw a picture of a perfect polity. At first it was all "make-believe" and the make-believe is not" all to be given up, even now.
a 3. $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о$. . . ф́aívoıтo, "if it went about the rworld without a head, it would look hideous." For the metaphor cp. Gorg. 505 d, Phaedr. 264 c, Phil. 66 d, Tim. 69 b. The scribe of A did not understand this passage; he put a stop after $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega \prime \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ and left out the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ which we owe to L and 0 . They also preserve the reading ката入ímoı $\mu \iota$, which $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ altered to ката入єímoı $\mu$.
 is natural that the ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \nu$ with фंaivoıтo should be omitted. Ast (who conjectures $\mathfrak{a} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \hat{a})$ adds it, and so Cod. Voss. Heindorf's ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \pi \tau \alpha \nu \iota$ for $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \eta$ is not lightly to be rejected, but $\pi \lambda a \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ seems to want an amplification more than ф́ívoıгo. Herm. would reject $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \eta$.
a 6. ov́ $\mu$ óvov $\gamma \in \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}:$ for the ellipse Stallb. cps. Phil. 23 b, Phaedo 107 b .
a 8. The repetition of this reservation (cp. above 739 e 5 and 632 e 7 ), coupled with a reference to the author's age, is a pathetic indication of his fears that he might not live to complete his task satisfactorily.
b 2 ff. $\stackrel{\ominus}{\epsilon} \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$., "with his help we will not forget." "What?" "What a bold stroke our present attempt at statefounding will prove."
 you thinking in saying so?" and the $\omega$ s in the answer depends on a supplied $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ : "(I am thinking) how, etc." каì $\pi о \hat{\imath} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ $(\beta \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \pi \omega \nu)$ is "and why do you say so?" Stephanus's $\pi \rho o ́ s$ for $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ amounts to saying the same thing twice, for $\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau^{i}$ is here just the same as $\pi o \hat{\imath}$; Badham's transposition of $\kappa \alpha i \not \pi o \hat{\imath}$ to the place before $\beta \lambda_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu$ (which Schanz adopts) would make it less clear that $\beta \lambda^{\prime} \pi \pi \omega \nu$ has to be supplied in thought with the following $\omega$ s. The two questions are answered chiastically: "I say we are venturesome because our future citizens will find our laws new and strange, and what I am afraid of is that they will reject them."
b 10. o" $\pi \omega s \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \xi o v \tau \alpha i ́ \pi o \tau \epsilon$, "in the hope that they will manage to accept."
c 1 ff . As explained above ( 751 c 8 ff .) no elector can choose magistrates properly who is not imbued with the spirit of the laws they will have to administer. Manifestly this will not be the case with the new colonists. There must be an interregnum to allow a generation to grow up who have acquired familiarity with the new
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Hermann's $i \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ for the MS. $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ in d 7. The subject to $\kappa \alpha \tau о \kappa \kappa \imath \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ is not " you and Megillus," for there is no reason to regard them apart from : the trio, but " you Cretans," or "you Cnossians," and, as Cleinias is a Cnossian (cp. 702 c 4), you must be supplied as the subject of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$. But the subject of $i \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$ must be they, i.e. the Cnossians. A still more decisive reason against $i \sigma \tau \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ is that the Ath. does not explain until the following paragraph who is to appoint the magistrates. It is only then that we find that the appointment is to be made by the Cnossians alone. Cp. 754 c.
d6. $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \alpha{ }_{s} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}:$ this acc., which furnishes the main support of Herm.'s conjecture $i \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota v$, is intelligible, I think, with $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$. The construction whereby what should be the subj. of a dependent sentence is put in the acc. is a sterotyped one, something resembling the acc. c. inf. Cp. Aristoph. Nub. 1148

 Blaydes unnecessarily conjectures $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \mathrm{~s}$ крıтаîs.-Along with this question we may consider the reading in el where A has $\alpha \nu \mu \iota \nu$,
 ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ has no place here, and Steph. (followed by Ast and Wagner) changed it to $\alpha \hat{v}$, while Herm. (followed by Schanz and Burnet) discards it, supposing it to have arisen from a mistaken reading of $\delta^{\prime}$. I would suggest that $\alpha^{\prime} \nu \mu i \nu$ is almost as likely to be a mistake for $\delta^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ as for $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$, and that the former would suit the context better.
d7. $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha a s$ must be supposed to be under the government of an $\alpha i \rho \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
e1. каí, "merely."-vо ооф́лакаs: for the functions of the Athenian officers of this title cp. Grote, Hist. of Greece, vol. v. (ch. xlvi.) p. 226 f . One of their chief duties seems to have been to keep the ordinary magistrates "up to the mark." Ritter compares Epist. viii. 356 d where it is proposed to give to thirty-five vo оофи́дакєs the decision of war or peace, and of sentences of death and exile. Above at 671 d , as R. says, it is not an official title, but a general description of men who enforce particular laws. (The following passage from p. 161 of Lord Acton's Lectures on the French Revolution describes a similar constitutional device to that of Plato's vo $о ф \dot{\prime} \lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon s:$ : "He" (the Abbé Sieyès) "mitigated democracy by another remarkable device. The Americans have made the guardians of the law into watchers on the lawgiver, giving to the judiciary power to preserve the Constitution against
the legislature. Sieyès invented a special body of men for the purpose, calling them the Constitutional Jury, and including not judges, for he suspected those who had administered the ancient law of France, but the elite of veteran politicians.") : Wagner well reminds us, in this connexion, of the use of the term ф́v $\lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon$ s in the Republic-first introduced there at 374 e . The $\phi v{ }^{\prime} \lambda a \kappa \in s$
 to the voнофv́дaкєs of the Laws.
e 5. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ : a reminiscence of $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau o ́ \mu \pi \sigma \lambda \iota s$, the Homeric epithet of K $\rho \eta^{\prime} \tau \eta$.

 convenere," and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi$. by "qui habitabunt." I think we should follow him.

753 a 3. Schanz may be right in thinking $\tau \hat{\eta}$ a mistake for $\tau \iota \nu \iota$, especially as in A the $\iota$ of $\tau \eta \iota$ is in an erasure ; still, $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \in \tau$. $\delta v \nu$. might well mean "by means of the power which they are entitled to exercise."
a 6. $\epsilon_{\kappa}^{\kappa} о \iota \nu \omega \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu$ : for the termination see above on 705 d 5.
a 7. $\mu^{\prime} \mathcal{\prime} \gamma \alpha$ $\phi \rho o \nu o v \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ : in saying that the enterprise was "beneath the dignity" of Athens and Sparta, the Athenian may well have meant to hint that the interference of two such great powers would be dangerous to the independence of the new state, to say nothing of the possible want of harmony between them.
a 9 f . каi $\tau$ oîs . . . $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$, " and this remark applies equally to the other founders, as do the proposals just made about yourself," i.e. the nine other Cnossians, mentioned above at 702 c 5 , are also to be induced to become citizens of the new state. It is a question whether we ought not to put a comma after ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota$. Serranus, Schneider and Stallb. are right in taking oikı $\sigma \tau \alpha i$ is to mean conditoribus. Ficinus and other translators take it to mean' simple "colonists." The mistake of $\lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ for $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ seems to have originated with Ald. No MS. has. it, and Ficinus translates the true reading.
b 1. Both $A$ and $O$ seem. to have copied from a text which had $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$ instead of $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ o $\hat{i} \nu$, but both corrected the error early.,
b 2. єip $\sigma \sigma \theta \omega$ (cp. 814 d 8 ) . is equivalent to our "so much for . : ." The question how the "interregnum" vo $\mu \circ \phi$ v́d $\alpha \kappa \epsilon$ s are to be elected is re-opened below at•d 7. But he considers it important to give details of the normal election proceedings first.
 their ages permitted," i.e. the electors are to be all who are serving
(ö $\quad \pi \lambda \tau \iota \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ), and all who have served ( $\pi \circ \lambda_{\epsilon} \mu \rho \sigma \kappa \epsilon \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ), as long as their age allowed it. Clearly it would not be intended to deprive the veterans of a vote after their retirement.
b 7. Inasmuch as $\kappa \circ \iota \nu \omega \nu o v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ is equivalent to $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ коь$\nu \omega v \epsilon \hat{\imath} v, \pi \sigma \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$ and the following infinitives are felt to be dependent on a preceding $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$; with $\phi \epsilon \rho \in \epsilon \tau \omega$ at d 4 he returns to the imperative. Cp. below 755 d 5.
c 2. $\beta \omega \mu$ óv : Stallb. refers to Plut. Them. ch. 17 and Pericles ch. 32 for instances of votes being placed on an altar. A corrupt vote would thus be sacrilegious.

c 5. ő $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$. . . $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v:$ i.e. if any citizen took objection to any of the names proposed, he might submit it to publicly delivered magisterial decision. Any names to which such objections were sustained would be $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \pi о к \rho \iota \theta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau \alpha$.
c 7. $\mu \grave{\eta} \quad \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \tau \tau o \nu \quad \tau \rho \iota \alpha \kappa o \nu \tau \alpha \quad \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \nu:$ I think this does not mean "for a period of not less than thirty days," as most translators take it—but "within as much as thirty days." He is to have full thirty days during which he may make his objection.-
 $\kappa \rho \iota \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \tau \alpha$ at d 3 , and the $\kappa \rho \iota \theta^{\prime} \epsilon_{\nu \tau \alpha}$ implies either that the names have not been objected to, or that the objection has not been sustained. There is naturally no power of objection at the two later stages of the election.
 —here in the sense of "vote for," there in that of "elect by voting."
 ßovdך $\theta$ єís (as Zeller).
d 5. $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau о \mu i ́ \omega \nu \pi о \rho \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s:$ this further religious sanction makes the third and definite vote a still more solemn ceremony. Stallb. well cites Dem. Contra Aristocr. p. 642 oú $\delta$ c̀ $\tau \grave{̀} \nu \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau a$
 каì крıô каì таv́pov.
d 6. The subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \circ \phi \eta \nu \alpha, \tau \tau \nu$ is oi ${ }^{\circ} \rho \chi \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ which we may supply from the $\tau o v{ }^{\circ}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \chi o v \tau \alpha s$ at c 8 which is the subject of the infinitives $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \xi \alpha \iota$ at c 8 and d 3.-Zeller not only makes the "semifinal" hundred elect the thirty-seven (from among themselves), but preside at the election as well; i.e. he makes them the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \phi \eta \nu \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$. Ficinus gues further and makes the thirty-seven the subject of $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} r o \dot{\phi} \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu$; i.e. he makes them return themselves. It is the absence, in a brand-new state, of proper presiding and returning officers which necessitates the arrangements now to be
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e 8. тò $\delta$ ' : best taken, as e.g. at 642 a, adverbiallý, "whereas."
 stages are distinct, as in the previous statement: "not only is any beginning," he says, " more than half the business, but a good beginning is beyond praise." Our "well begun is half done" modifies this in two directions. Cp. below 775 e 2.
a 6. Ast tells us to take $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ with $\epsilon \dot{v} \pi \sigma o \rho \hat{\omega}$ (and consequently $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu$ as a preposition, and $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v ~ c l o s e l y ~ w i t h ~ \alpha ́ v a \gamma к \alpha i ́ o v ~$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \sigma v \mu \phi ́ \rho \rho о \nu \tau o s)$, and Ast (Lex.) and L. \& S. s.v. quote Phaedr. 235 a, as if it were $\epsilon \dot{v} \pi о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota$ instead of $\epsilon \dot{v} \pi \sigma \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0 \hat{u}$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota$, in support of such a construction. It seems better, with Steph., to take $\pi \lambda \eta \nu$ as a conjunction, and $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$ as dependent
 (The decision between the two grammatical views is so difficult that it is not surprising that Steph. forbears, as he says, to mark his own view by the punctuation.)
b1. $\pi o \lambda \lambda a i . . . E \notin \nu \iota a \iota$ is something like the conversational English "a good few." It is hard to say whether $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s$ goes closely* with $\ddot{\epsilon} \nu \iota a \iota$, or generally with the verbs of the sentence. Ficinus's simple multas ignores $\pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota s$ '̀vıaı altogether.—A came to grief both with катоєкı $\theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ and катоькьба́баıs, writing first катоькь ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$, then катоєкь $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$ for the former, and first
 wrote the former correctly, L and O have катоьк $\eta \sigma \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \iota s$ for the latter.
b 3. $\nu \hat{v} v \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\jmath}{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \iota:$ the sentence thus begun is never finished ; à $\delta \grave{\eta}$. . . $\gamma \in \gamma \sigma{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \tau \alpha$ at b 7 ff . is a substitute for its conclusion; in other words $\ddot{a} \delta \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ "resumes" $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \vec{\epsilon} \nu \tau \varphi$ $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \iota$.
 of childhood lasts." I have followed •Burnet in reading raıías. Even if the MS. reading $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon_{i} a s$ be retained, it must still mean childhood (not, as Jowett, "while he is in want of education"). As to the form of the word cp. Schneider on Rep. 537 c 1 and below, 808 e 2 and 864 d 5.
b6. வ́vayкаíovs is the emphatic word, "finds allies solely among his own connexions." Ficinus's "ad suos semper refugiens in his solis praesidium reperit" suggests that possibly oikєíovs was a marginal interpretation of $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha i o v s . ~$
b 7. $\stackrel{a}{a}$ : i.e. the mutual affection natural between young children and their parents, and the sense of dependence on the latter felt by the former.-K $\nu \omega \sigma \sigma^{\prime} o \iota s \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu$, "erga curatores eius

Gnosios" (Fic.). $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau . \dot{\epsilon} \pi$. does not (as Jowett) give the ground for the assertion ; it is rather "thanks to their care."
 have expected the adj. cp. 880 b 1.
c 2. $\delta \eta^{\prime} \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2}$, and so Burnet; for this Schneider adopts the $\gamma \epsilon$ of A and O ; all other editors give the $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ of the early printed texts. Ficinus's igitur justifies Burnet's choice.
c 4. The construction from $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda o \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v s ~ t o ~ \epsilon ́ \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ is conversational-almost slipshod.-As this is a repetition of $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ... $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu$ at 752 e 4 ff ., we are bound to take кoıv $\hat{\eta}$ to mean " in conjunction with the colonists," for there we read кou $\hat{\eta} \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi . \epsilon i s \tau . \sigma . \tau$. In other words $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \lambda . \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon i s \tau . \dot{\alpha} \pi . \dot{a} \phi \iota \kappa о-$ $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ explains $\kappa 0 \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$, and is a loose equivalent for $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi$. $\kappa \tau \lambda$. at 752 e 5 . (Steph. takes $\kappa o \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ closely with $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu o v s$, placing the comma before it, instead of after.)
c 8. The $\sigma v \nu$ - in the verb marks the contrast with the state of things described in d 2 ff . Before, and during, the election the 100 Cnossians and the 100 colonists act together. As soon as the colony's magistrates are duly appointed, the alliance ceases.
d 4. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon}$ є. к. $\tau$. : for the partitive genitive as the predicate of єîvaı or $\gamma^{i} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ Ast cps. 762 e 9,948 b 1 (ò $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \gamma \iota ́ \sigma \tau o v \tau \iota \mu \eta^{\prime}$ $\mu a \tau o s), 950$ e 2,951 с 7.
d5. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$ тoî $\sigma \delta \epsilon$ : a little more than "for the following purposes "; $\epsilon \pi i ́ h$ has the notion of presiding over a certain province,
 110. 22.
d 7. $\hat{\omega} \nu$, for $\dot{\epsilon} v$ oîs, is not too "strong" an attraction for the
 ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi$ रovo t too is rather loose for "for the (proper) magistrates to


d8. $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.: the full construction would be $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \hat{\omega} \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \nu \mu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu . \quad$ No man of the highest class would be held guilty of a misdemeanour if he had only understated his property by about £20. (Interpreters from Ficinus to Ast were content to hold Plato to have meant that the property of a man of the highest class was four minae; and that, as Hermann remarks (De vest. note 137), though a man of the highest class is said at 948 b 1 to be liable to a fine of twelve minae for a single offence.) In keeping with the loose style of this whole passage is the careless arrangement of subject matter, involving a repetition (as

Ritter points out) of much that we have had before in Bk. V. at pp. $744 \mathrm{de}, 745 \mathrm{a}$. (Schanz accepts Badham's suggestion that there is a lacuna after $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \gamma \rho a \dot{\psi} \eta$.)
 besides the confiscation of the offending sum, an equal sum was to be produced by the offender, half of which was to go to the informer, and half to Religion.
e 8. For ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ of the tribunal cp. $784 \mathrm{~d} 2 \hat{\epsilon} \nu \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \varphi, ~ a n d ~ G o r g . ~$


755 a 1. At the mention of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa o \iota \nu \omega \nu \nu \tau \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ and $\delta \iota a \nu o \mu \dot{\eta}$ an Athenian citizen would think e.g. of the rents of the state silver mines, and of the $\delta \omega \omega \beta \epsilon \lambda i a$.
a 2. $\tau o \hat{v} \kappa \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \rho o v$ is not governed by $\pi \lambda \eta \eta$, but by the notion "possessed of" to be supplied from "a $\mu$ o七pos; after each distribution he is to be left possessed only of his original lot.
 (after a 4) quite unnecessary and very awkwardly expressed $\mu \eta \kappa$ ќ́ $\tau$ ... $\delta \iota a v o \eta \theta_{\dot{\eta} \tau \omega}$. With $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta$ ás we must from a 5 and 6 supply $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \nu \tau a$. This provides a natural explanation for the

 or, more strictly 'speaking, the antecedent to $o \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \omega$ s is contained in the roûtov. We should say " and so, in proportion, according as the $\nu o \mu o \phi u ́ \lambda a \xi$ has gone further (than that) beyond (the age of fifty)"; e.g. if sixty-two he has only eight years of office before him. (There seems no reason, with Hermann, to think $\boldsymbol{o}^{\boldsymbol{\pi} \sigma_{o}^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime}$ a more likely expression here than ö $\boldsymbol{\pi} \omega \mathrm{s}$.-Apelt (p. 10) would read $\ddot{a}^{\alpha} \pi a s$ for $\grave{o} \pi \omega \rho$, putting a comma after it, and none before it. He justly points out that kãà $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ m u s t ~ m e a n ~ s o m e-~$ thing more definite than "for this reason"; it means "in this proportion"; but I cannot follow him in his alteration and interpretation of the succeeding words. He translates from кaлá to $\delta_{\text {savon } \theta \text {. "nach diesem Verhältniss soll jeder (nicht bloss der 60- }}$ jährige), wenn ( $\left.{ }^{(a} \nu\right)$ er (beim Antritt des Amtes) schon über 60 alt die 70 erreicht, nicht langer daran denken, dies Amt zu verwalten." -F.H.D. also holds that $\pi \lambda$ 白 $v \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \beta a ́ s$ means "having passed (sixty) by more." Stallb. holds that ${ }^{\circ} \pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ is "de tempore accipiendum," and translates "atque secundum hanc rationem, ubi quis hanc aetatem transgressus plus septuaginta anuos vivat, ne jam cogitato etc.")-As Ritter points out (p. 157), it would not happen that exactly thirty-seven vодофv́дакєs would have to be chosen at every election, as the time of office would in many cases be shorter than
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(called here $\kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \iota s$ ). If, as is usually assumed, the generals are only to nominate twelve, the $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o \tau o v i \alpha a$ would be a farce. (One way out of the difficulty would be to suppose that each of the three generals is to produce a list of twelve candidates. But there is no hint of any limitation of the number of candidates in other cases, and the "one for each tribe" could only apply to candidates on the further assumption that each general must choose one of his from each tribe. F.H.D. proposes to reject $\tau \alpha \xi^{\prime} \iota \alpha \rho \chi o \nu$; this would give us "twelve candidates out of each tribe.")
e 1. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \phi v \lambda \hat{\eta}$ is the reading of L and Eus. It is strange that both A and O should have the extraordinary $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta$ фu入aкŋ́, and that the corrector of A should have got no further than putting a "vitii nota" in the margin.
e 2. Madvig was possibly right in inserting $\dot{\eta}$; before $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, so as to bring the expression into line with that below at 756a7. Stallb. thinks both expressions allowable.
e 4. $\tau \grave{o} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma v{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o \gamma o v \kappa \tau \lambda$. : the assumption that, in ordinary circumstances, no popular assembly could be convened except by the $\beta$ oud $\eta^{\prime}$ and its representative officials shows us Plato here writing as an Athenian for Athenians. The dramatic standpoint is abandoned. Herm. (De vest. p. 39) says that for some points the Laws tells us more about Attic arrangements than we can get from any other source.
e 8. râv ö $\sigma o v$ '́ $\mu \pi \sigma \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \circ \nu$ is not, as L. \& S., "all. who are of military age," but, as 756 a 3 shows, "all who belong to the forces (in any capacity)."-The $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$ тov́toıs is not local-does not qualify $\kappa \alpha \theta_{i} \sigma \alpha \iota$, but $\epsilon_{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda^{\prime} \not \epsilon \iota о \nu$, and takes the place of the word for "remaining" which we should expect: "all who after the cavalry and the hoplites have a claim to belong to the forces."$\chi \epsilon \iota \rho о \tau o \nu \circ$ и́ $\nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$.: "Unum tantum apud Platonem ab Atheniensium usu recedere videtur, quod taxiarchos a solis peditibus, hipparchos ab equitibus, inspectante tantum reliquo exercitu, creari jubet, quod Athenis ab universo populo factum esse constat" (Herm. De vest. p. 40).
e 9. im $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o v s^{\prime} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ : this seems to be in direct contradiction to bl in the next page, where we are told that the cavalry is to elect the i $\pi \pi \pi \alpha \rho \chi o \iota$. Many ways out of the difficulty have been suggested. Stallb., Wagn., and Madvig, whom I follow, reject кai $i \pi \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \chi o v s$ here ; Herm. rejects the account, given a few lines below, of the election of the hipparchs; Badham would read $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o v s$ —"vice-generals"—here. Possibly the fact that the hoplites looked on at the election may be thought to justify the $\tau \sim \alpha v \tau \epsilon s$.

756 a 1. A further difficulty is presented by roúrocs. If, like
 (756 a 3), it means "in subordination to," " as assistants to," and represents the superior officers, there will be nothing to which this cov́coıs clearly refers-even though we do not remove the каi $i \pi \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho$ ous with Stallb., Wagn., and Madvig. For this reason Madvig (followed by Schanz) proposed to take out the sentence $\phi v \lambda . .$. aip $\epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega$ and put it after $i \pi \pi \epsilon v^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega v$ (b 3). An argument against this is that at a 4 Plato seems to imply that the only election that had still to be arranged was that of the $i \pi \pi \alpha \rho \chi o \iota$, whereas, by this arrangement, the $\phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \rho \chi o \iota$ are left out as well. Of course Hermann's athetesis of $i \pi \pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \rho \chi \omega \nu$. . . i i $\pi \pi \epsilon v o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, if accepted, cuts away the ground for Madvig's transposition. 'The difficulty is best met, I think, by Ast's proposal to read aùroîs for $\alpha \tilde{\text { ú }}$ тov́тoıs' (If the MS. text be left unaltered, we must suppose that its contradictions and irrelevancies are due to the absence of the author's final revision.-F.H.D. would reject av́ $\left.\tau 0 v \tau^{\prime} o \iota s.\right)$
 induentes," but the same technical use which occurs above at 753 b 6, i.e. "serving as hoplites."
a 6. The MSS. and the early printed edd. all had $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \beta o \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ for $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi \rho \circ \beta_{o} \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$, though the early translators got the meaning right. Ast was the first to correct the error.
b 5 f. If, after the second recount (i.e. the third count), the decision as to which two candidates had the largest number of votes was challenged, the tellers were to settle the matter by voting among themselves. The Aldine edition was doubtless right in correcting the MS. covíoıs (assimilated) to $\tau 0$ úzovs.—oi $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$
 "to whom severally in each case had fallen the duty of counting the hands held up." $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \rho o \nu{ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho o ́ s$ at 836 a is "to provide a curb for," "to account for," "to be competent to deal with," and
 duty to deal with the votes." This expression would fit in particularly well where the duty was one of counting.-"'ккабтov $\epsilon \kappa \kappa ́ \sigma \tau \tau \iota s$ might mean that a separate set of tellers were appointed for each count, or merely that separate tellers. dealt with separate bodies of voters.-If these tellers were merely required to settle among themselves what the result of the voting had been, they would only be resaying what they had said before. Evidently the election was put into their hands by the challenge of the third count. (The general view is that the words denote the presiding magistrates.)
 venient number for our subdivisions" (e.g. the $\pi \rho \cup \tau$ ávets).
c 1. I think Stallb. and all other interpreters (except Ast) are wrong in taking $\tau o u ́ \tau \omega v$ to depend on $\tau \grave{\partial} v \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu o ́ v$, and that it depends on $\mu^{\prime} \rho \eta$, while tòv áp $\rho \theta \mu \rho^{\prime} \nu$ (if genuine) qualifies the
 unnecessarily, substitutes ov̋ $\tau \omega$ s for $\tau 0 v \tau^{\prime} \tau \nu$, appealing for support to Ficinus's ita ut-"et in quattuor partes per nonaginta distribuatur, ita ut a censibus singulis consiliarii nonaginta ferantur." -A change I would suggest is the rejection of the words ka à
 superfluous, and they are awkward. An arithmetically minded commentator may well have added the words in the margin.
c 3. $\mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ í $\sigma \tau \omega \nu$ here, and $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa$ рótatov at d 1 and d 3, refer of course, not to the numbers of the classes, but to the amount of the property-qualification. We must translate by "highest" and "lowest." He seems to use the plural and singular indifferently in the same sense.-ä ${ }^{2} \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ : the same as $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho a$ at e 4. Apparently the whole community, not only the soldiers, as in the case of the election of magistrates ( 753 b 5 ).-At the first reading it looks as if from each class, on its election-day, ninety senators were chosen. But when we come to the fifth day, and the final election, we find that it is possible, out of the number voted for out of each class, to select 180. The first voting, therefore, must have been a $\pi \rho \circ \beta o \lambda \eta$ like the first voting in the case of the vонофи́дакєs described at 753 c , where everybody wrote the name of the man he wanted to elect.
c 4. $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta o \xi a ́ \sigma \eta \quad \xi \eta \mu i ́ q$ : the same as what, at e 1 and e 5 , is called

c 6. кат⿳亠 $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ : i.e. on this, as on all the days, the whole community voted. At Pol. 1266 a 14 ff., Aristotle describes the arrangement for the election of the $\beta o v \lambda \eta^{\prime}$ given in
 ing this кaгà $\tau a \dot{v} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ к. т. т. Either, then, Aristotle made the same mistake as Muretus, Stallb., and others-i.e. understood the fixed number of ninety senators to have been elected on each day-or Nickes is right in emending ícovs to ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \omega$. (See. Susemihl and Hicks ad loc.)
elf. We may conclude that, on the third day, when the candidates from the third class were being nominated, a member of the third class who failed to vote was fined double the $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta$ ऽ $\eta \mu i \alpha$.
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and with the three preceding words is equivalent to our phrases "being placed in the same class," " being. judged to deserve equal honour." We may translate : "slaves and masters will never make friends, nor will worthless and worthy to whom equal honour is a warded-for equal treatment results in inequality when it is given to what is unequal-unless given in a due measure-and both those two false relationships are the fruitful sources of civic discord." roîs ávícoss is not, as Wagner takes it, an instrumental dative-"durch das Ungleiche"-but a common dative of the recipient.
a 3. $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \mu \eta \grave{\eta}^{\tau} \tau \gamma \gamma$ ávoc $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \notin \tau \rho o v:$ the really equal treatment is that which takes cognizance of the inequality of the recipients; so we read above at 744 c 2 that if honour and power are bestowed with discrimination, they are bestowed with real equality (iss iбaícava). We use the same metaphor, in almost the same phrase, when we talk of a man's being "equal to" or "unequal to" his position or his task.-Proper weight should be assigned to the $\tau v \gamma \chi^{\text {ávol }}$; the idea of due proportion is contained partly in the verb, which means to "hit the mark." (Campbell, on Politicus 284 d , says of this passage: "here we seem to find the point of transition from the Platonic to the Aristotelian $\mu \epsilon \sigma$ ó $\eta \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s} . ")}$
 inequality, but, as Ritter (p. 161 f .), the two varieties of àvcoón ${ }^{\prime}$ s
 absolute rule, and the other in the $\sigma \phi o \delta \delta \rho a{ }_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \varepsilon v} \theta \epsilon \rho i a$ of complete democracy. Both these relationships provoke rebellion in different ways. Both are equally unstable political conditions, because incompatible with the $\phi i \lambda i a$ without which we have often been told that no community can cohere. (Cp. 693 b 4, 697 c 9,699 c 1, $701 \mathrm{~d} 9,743 \mathrm{c} 6$.
a 5-c 6. $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \partial ̀ s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v, ~ " T h e r e ~ i s ~ r e a l ~ p h i l o-~$ sophy in the true old saying that equality is the mother of friendship, but the ambiguity as to which kind of equality it is which has this effect leads' to grievous mistakes. There are two sorts of equality, which go by the same name, but in action produce in many cases virtually opposite results. Any ordinary state or lawgiver can employ the one in bestowing dignities. All that is necessary is to use the lot, and so distribute them by the indiscriminate impartiality of numbers and scale. But the truest and best kind of equality is hidden from the ordinary sight. None but the divine eye can discern it. - Man's vision cannot penetrate far enough to help $\cdot$ him much; but what he can see of
it is of priceless , value to states and to individuals. To the greater it gives more, to the lesser less, adapting its gift in due proportion to the nature of each, and when it comes to honours, it assigns the higher ones to those whose worth is higher, and whenever it deals with those who are deficient in disciplined virtue it.gives them their fit share, all in due proportion" (reading є́ка́ $\sigma \tau о \tau \epsilon$ in c 5 ).
 might seem to prove that if men are placed on an equal footing, they must thereby be made friends. In effect, he says, this result would only follow if they are rightly so placed. ' In other words, the real meaning of the proverb is no more than " like will to like."
b 4. $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \rho \omega$. . . каì $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{\varphi}$ : what Lord Acton (Fr. Rev. 161) calls "by coarse and obvious arithmetic."
b 6. In just this spirit Sir Henry Taylor's Philip van Artevelde says: "The'world knows nothing of its greatest men." So, too, Coleridge :

> "It sounds like stories from the land of spirits, If any man obtain that which he merits, Or any merit that which he obtains."
 27.)
b 7. The scholiast on Gorg. 508 a ( $\dot{\eta}$ í $\sigma o ́ \tau \eta s$ 并 $\gamma \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \iota к \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \grave{\epsilon} \nu$


 $\dot{\alpha} \in i ́ i$ is almost " as a matter of fact."
 íóл $\eta \tau о \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa i \sigma \iota s$, the power of discerning the true equality, whereby each man would be treated proportionally to his merit.$\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ő $\sigma o v{ }^{\circ} \nu \quad \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon ́ \sigma \eta$ : lit. "every bit of help it gives."
c 5. $\alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \quad \pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i \alpha \rho$ is a kind of hendiadys-"disciplined virtue"; the great object of all training is $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \bar{\eta}$. -It is quite possible that, in order not to overweight the sentence, Plato did not complete the parallel, but left what was missing to be extracted from the general summary that follows. Steph., however, thought that some words must have fallen out after $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i \alpha a s$, and Ast thinks they were $\eta^{\prime \prime} \tau \tau o v s \delta_{\imath} \delta o v \sigma a$. Schanz marks a lacuna after $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a s$. . Ficinus translates: " minoribus autem virtute et disciplina minores." I suspect that we ought

then all is in order. (F.H.D. would keep $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{E} \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \rho o \iota s, \text { but put a }}$ dash after $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a s$.$) -For катà \lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ see above on 755 a 7 кат $\alpha$

c 6. ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \kappa \tau \lambda$., " denn es ist doch wohl auch die Staatsklugheit fur uns stets das Recht an sich" (Wagner). Plato will not recognize a statecraft that is not founded on just principles. -"Honesty is the best policy" is another variant of the same theme.
 such, and expressed in the next line but one by the words $\tau \delta$ $\delta_{i ́ \kappa \alpha \iota o v .-\sigma к о \pi о v ́ \mu є \nu о \nu ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~ i s ~ " i n ~ t h e ~ i n t e r e s t ~ o f . " ~}^{\text {. }}$
d 3. For the $\hat{\eta}$. . . $\tau \iota$ cp. above on 643 b 8.
 of equality meted out by Nature's decree to the unequal "; ï $\sigma$ ov here is used in the sense of "fair."
 ávaүкаîov here has somewhat the meaning "the best we can do"; this use is further discussed in his note on p. 173, where he refers, among other passages, to 628 d l , and e 6 below.- $\pi o ́ \lambda_{\iota v}$ ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \sigma \alpha \nu: ~ n o t, ~ I ~ t h i n k, ~ a s ~ J o w e t t, ~ " e v e r y ~ c i t y, " ~ b u t ~ a s ~ W a g n e r, ~$ "der gesammte Staat"; the implication is that the state need not be so precise in its use of terms as the individual. We may translate: "When, however, a community as a whole applies these terms"-those of natural equality and civic justice-" it must be content sometimes to use them in a modified sense unless it is willing to admit a certain amount of civic discord in its midst(all) equity and indulgence are infractions of the perfect and strict rule of justice"-(the last words in italics are Jowett's). (F.H.D. and A.M.A. prefer " every state" for $\pi$. ${ }^{\circ} \pi \pi$.)
d6. $\pi \alpha \rho \omega \nu v \mu i o \imath \sigma \iota:$ predicative. The relaxation from the true sense of the word iбót $\bar{s}$ is in the direction of the spurious i$\sigma o ́ \tau \eta s$ which holds that all men have an equal right to power and honour. As explained at 756 e 5 ff ., such an equal chance is to be given within a strictly limited area.
e 1. $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ is not "for," but "you know." Burnet properly indicates the relation of this clause to the preceding one by marking it as a parenthesis. The reasons why the lot is to be introduced into the political machinery are threefold : (1) because man's judgement of character and worth cannot be trusted very far (b7); (2) because the temper of both rulers and ruled will notalways stand the strain of the position (e 4) ; and (3) because, by so' doing, we invoke the guidance of Heaven " $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{f}$ ): 'Of these
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rendered in English.by beginning the second with "No."--т̀े
 to restore the idiomatic $\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta \boldsymbol{v}$ of A and O for the vulgate $\boldsymbol{\tau} \delta$.
b6. Though at d 3 he calls this twelfth part of the $\beta o v \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \dot{\partial}$
 them the Athenian term $\pi \rho v \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon s$.
 takes it to mean "one (part) after another.")-The aírov̀s which Steph. and Ast print for the MS. av̇roùs involves a change of subject for the infin., which is the more awkward because $\nu \in i ́ \mu a \nu \tau a s$ manifestly agrees with the vague "they" or "we" which is the subj. of ${ }_{\epsilon} \hat{\alpha} \nu$. (If not, they ought to have printed aí $\hat{\omega} \nu$ as well.)
 a chiastic arrangement makes it probable that the $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \gamma \gamma^{\hat{\epsilon}} \lambda \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ is supposed to be the task of the man $\bar{\epsilon} \xi$ av̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, while the $\pi \tau v \nu \theta_{\alpha} \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ takes place at the interview with the ióv $v \iota \tau \iota v i$ $\pi \circ \theta \epsilon \nu \stackrel{a}{a} \lambda \lambda \circ \theta \epsilon \nu$.
c 2. $\dot{\epsilon} \tau o i ́ \mu \omega s$ 系 $\pi \iota \tau v \chi \epsilon i v$, "so as to meet at short notice," is epexegetic to $\pi \alpha \rho \bar{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ av̇тoùs фúגaкas. (H. Richards would read $\dot{\epsilon} \tau o i ́ \mu o v s$. It is hard to see how so simple a reading as that could have been altered to the more difficult-and recherché -adverb.)
d 1. For $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu^{\prime} \mathcal{\prime} \nu$, "if possible," cp. 830 d 4 ; literally it
 revelation of a seditious plot is of cardinal importance.
d 2. Winckelmann's $\delta \iota^{\prime}{ }^{2}$ explains the MS. $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$-which the vulgate $\delta i o ̀$ does not-and has been rightly approved by Wagner, and adopted by Schanz and Burnet.- $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \bar{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$. . ккаì $\delta \iota \lambda \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ : $\sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma \omega \nu$, as Burnet writes it, is the proper correlative to $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$; i.e. the opposite of a $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \lambda v \sigma \iota s$ is not a $\sigma \tilde{\prime} \lambda \lambda$ ojos but a $\sigma v \lambda \lambda o \gamma \eta$. The Greeks kept the two senses of our convocation distinct. Besides, this correction supplies $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi \iota \pi \tau o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ with a second fem. noun to agree with it.-These same presiding magistrates-this standing committee of the $\beta o v \lambda \eta^{\prime}$-is to have the power of convoking and proroguing all state meetings, regular, or extraordinary.-It is doubtful whether $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ depends on $\pi \rho о к а \theta \not \eta^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu v$, or on $\sigma v \lambda \lambda о \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu$ кai $\delta \iota a \lambda v \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu-$ probably on the former, in its technical sense of preside, as at Aristot. Pol. vi. 1322 b $14 \hat{\eta} \pi \rho о \kappa \alpha ́ \theta \eta \tau a l ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$ vs.
e 2. ทं $\dot{\text { víca }}$ is temporal ("now that," "as soon as ever"), not causal,' as Ficinus, Serranus, and Jowett. It may be doubted whether Plato ever uses $\dot{\eta} v i ́ \kappa \alpha$ in a causal sensé $;$; but when used
temporally with a perfect tense, as.here, it is nearly causal.-We shall learn at 760 b why the twelvefold division of the country and city (cp. above 745 b 6 ff .) was an important preliminary to the appointment of various magistrates.
e 4. оік $\eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu^{\prime}$ is "private houses," оікоסо $\mu \iota \omega \hat{\omega}$ "public buildings." As to the former, doubtless no houses could be built in..any situation or style that was not approved of by the city officials. At Pol. vi. 1321 b 19 Aristotle speaks of $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ $\tau o ̀{ }^{\circ} \alpha \sigma \tau v$


759 a 1. The usual chiasmus; the subject coming last in the previous enumeration is dealt with first.- $\nu \epsilon \omega \kappa$ ó $\rho o v s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ i \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ a s$ каi iєpєías: here the priesthood is supposed to be separate from the office of $\nu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\omega} \kappa о \rho o s$; below at b 3 f . the offices are joined. Cp. Arist.


 is no need to bring the two passages into line by rejecting, with Badham and Schanz, the $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ́$ in a 1.)
a 2. o $\delta \hat{\omega} v$ : this and the following genitives may be said to depend on $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ ( $\epsilon i \nless \eta \eta$ ) at a 6 , or to be assimilated to the genitives in e 5 above.
a 3. кó $\sigma \mu o v \tau o \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o \iota \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ : e.g. at A thens the $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \nu o ́ \mu o \iota$ had the superintendence of the scavengers (Boeckh, P.E. p. 204 Eng. Trans.); though the word $\kappa \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \sigma$, as at line 8 below, has a much wider signification ; in the latter case the officials' duties extended to the regulation of trade.
a 5. каi $\pi \rho o \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i(\omega)$ at Athens à $\sigma \tau v \nu o ́ \mu o \iota$ and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma o \rho \alpha \nu o ́ \mu o \iota$ were regularly appointed, five for the city, and five for the Piraeus.$\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о \sigma \tilde{\eta} \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu, "$ the stateliness and decorum of a city."
a6. ${ }_{\epsilon} \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ : Stobaeus, whose quotation begins with the word $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, puts in a $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\prime}$ before the $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$-evidently to round off the quotation. Schanz, however, accepts the $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ as part of the original, and, like Ast (who rejects $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ ), founds on it the (not unnatural) conclusion that the previous text is deficient. Ast supposes that before $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ has fallen out a reference to the duties

 If the text is sound, we must suppose the $\tau \rho i \alpha a \notin i \delta \eta$ to include the temple officials. In that case we have again a reverse chiasmus. At a 1 ff . the temple officials were named first, and the police last; now the city and market police are dealt with first, and the temple officials last.
a 7. $\epsilon \pi о \nu o \mu \alpha ́ \xi o v \tau \alpha$ : the last time we had a participle so describing the action of the agent ( $\nu \epsilon^{\prime} i^{\prime} \mu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \mathrm{s} 758 \mathrm{~b}$; cp. also 757 e 5
 imaginary $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$; here, as at b 8 and c 1 below, it is singularused, apparently, of a single vouo $\theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta s — o r$, perhaps, merely $\tau \iota \nu \alpha ́$ or $\sigma \epsilon$ is to be supplied.
 office is hereditary must not be removed; but if, as may well happen with such appointments in the case of newly settled people, either no temple or only a few temples (have priesthoods), to any which are unprovided with them priests and priestesses must be appointed to undertake for the deities the charge of the shrines."
 from the previous sentence.-ois $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ 'ко九 is literally "to any" ( $\} i \in \rho o i s$; Stallb. and F.H.D. say $\theta \in o i ̂$ ) ". to which appointment should not have been made." Its meaning is made clear by the
 pass. of $\kappa \alpha \theta i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$. It is here used as an impersonal passive like $\pi \epsilon \pi o ́ v \eta \tau a \iota$ at Phaedr. 232 a 4 or the Thucydidean ( $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ av̀roîs) $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon$ v́aøтo (i. 46). (Many emendations of the passage have been proposed. H. Steph. would read ódıyíatoıs for ólíqoıs oîs. I think Ast was the first editor to put a comma after ódí $\begin{gathered}\text { ocs. - }\end{gathered}$ Ast would read $i \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma v^{\prime} \nu \eta$, O. Apelt oî $\mu \alpha \iota$, Wagner $\dot{\delta} \sigma i \alpha \alpha \tau \mu \grave{\eta}$ for oîs $\mu \grave{\eta}$, Orelli ö $\sigma \iota \alpha$ for oîs, while Schanz rejects ois $\mu \eta$ 立 ка $\theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$ коь altogether. Stallb. and Wagner take $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i ́$ and ${ }^{\circ} \lambda^{\prime} i^{\prime} \gamma \iota \iota \tau \iota \sigma^{\prime}$ to be not temples but people (and so F.H.D.), in which case cioiv
 remove the (.) or ( $\cdot$ ) from after $i \in \rho^{\prime} \epsilon a s$ in a 8 and put it after $\dot{a} \gamma o \rho \alpha \nu o ́ \mu o v s .-\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \iota a \iota$ is used in the sense of $\pi a \tau \rho \iota \kappa \alpha i ́$ (which Ast would substitute for it).
b 1. As above at 754 bl and 755 e 1 , L alone seems in $\alpha i \hat{s}$ to have preserved the true reading. Schanz does not note the fact that A reads às.
b 4. The sentence $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$. . . $\epsilon i \eta \eta$ comes almost as a parenthesis in the middle of the directions about the priesthood; hence the asyndeton-which Herm. wanted to remove by reading $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ for $\delta \grave{\eta}$.
b6. $\mu \epsilon \iota \gamma \nu v v^{\prime} \tau \alpha s: ~ t h e r e ~ i s ~ a ~ d o u b l e ~ l a x i t y ~ i n ~ t h e ~ u s e ~ o f ~ t h i s ~$ word : (1) it is plur. whereas the corresponding participles on each
 and (2) the inf. кaтaб $\bar{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, with the subj. of which it is supposed to agree, is not there, but has to be evolved mentally as the equi-
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d 1. тoútoıs: i.e. тoîs vó $\mu o \iota s$.
 restriction is the only point on which Plato differs from general Greek usage in the matter of the priesthood.-The following words, especially the $i \kappa a \nu \omega \hat{s}$, admit of the supposition that there might be some younger priests not fully qualified.
d 5-e 1. Adopting $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ 's correction of $\tau \rho i \mathrm{~s}$. for $\tau \rho \epsilon i \mathrm{is}$ (Ficinus has "ter") in d 5, we may (freely) translate: "Four out of the twelve tribes are on three (separate) occasions to elect (by voting) four men, each from themselves, and after duly examining (and thereby definitely appointing) the three (from each lot of four) who get most votes, must send (the remaining) nine to Delphi (for the oracle) to pronounce for one out of each lot of three" (i.e. the set elected by each group of four tribes). The $\hat{\epsilon} \xi \operatorname{aui} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu(\mathrm{d} 6)$ leaves us in doubt whether each voter could only vote for men of his own tribe, or for any members of the four tribes of which his own was one; probably the former was the case.-The association of the tribes into three separate, probably territorial, voting-bodies of four tribes each may have been meant to secure that the final six should not all come from the same part of the country. The $\delta о к \iota \mu a \sigma i \alpha$ is to take place in the case of these as well, and all vacancies occasioned either by failure to pass it, or by death, are to be filled-not by Delphi, but by the original voting:bodies-from the same source from which the defaulter came (e 1-3).
d 8. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu=\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\imath} v$, "oraculo designari" (Ast); the technical expression for the pronouncement of the oracle. The early printed edd. up to Steph. had $\dot{a} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, but not Stob. or any MS.-If this explanation is right the total number of $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \eta \tau a i$ would be six, of whom three only would be chosen by Delphi. (Ritter would retain $\tau \rho \epsilon i ̂ s ~ i n ~ d ~ 5 ~ a n d ~ r e a d ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha ́ к \iota s ~ f o r ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \tau а \rho a s, ~$ or else thinks that these three latter ones form the whole body, and so F.H.D. ; but $\delta_{\text {окı }}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \sigma v \tau a s$ is against this, as also the oîs âv $\pi \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta \gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{v} . \psi \hat{\eta} \phi o \mathrm{~s}$.)
e 1. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \chi \rho^{\prime} \nu o v ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \lambda_{\iota \kappa \iota}{ }^{\prime} \alpha$, " the age-limit."
e 2. $\tau \grave{o} \nu \lambda \iota \pi o ́ v \tau \alpha$ ( $\pi \rho o \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ ), " (elect). the missing man"; we should say " fill the vacancy." The main point is not in the word for elect, therefore it seems unnecessary, with Herm., to make it more significant and apposite by reading. $\pi \rho o \sigma \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon i^{\prime} \sigma-$ $\theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$.
e 3. $\tau \epsilon \delta \eta^{\prime}$, as $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ would write it-probably on some authorityseems more appropriate here than $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta}$.
e 5. $\tau o v ́ \tau \omega \nu$ : i.e. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.-Coupled as it is with $\kappa \alpha \rho \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ here probably means rents-doubtless grazing rents.

760 a 1. $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega \nu \tau \iota \mu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ : plur. for sing. as at 763 d 6 and 756 с 3.

a 7. For $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad$ c. gen. as a substitute for an objective gen. see above 685 c 2 .-For $\tau a v i \tau \eta$ followed by an explanatory clause cp .

b 1. $\pi \rho v \tau \alpha \nu_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \omega \nu$ : see above on 758 b 6.
b 4. Eusebius has $\nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \omega$ for $\nu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \mu \eta \tau \alpha L$. Plato doubtless wrote the latter. He has twice before mentioned the division of the rural territory into twelve equal parts ( 745 c 1 and 758 e e 3). An author quoting the passage would be likely to bring this verb into line $\cdot$ with the imperatives which follow.- $\phi u \lambda \grave{\eta} \delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime}$ : as at Athens, "the tribe, as a whole, did not correspond with any continuous portion of the territory" (Grote, Hist. ch. xxxi. p. 60). The assigning of a definite portion of country to a tribe by lot is an ad hoc arrangement-for organizing the rural police. Moreover, it will be seen that the arrangement only holds for a month. The twelvefold division of the land for administrative purposes has already been referred to at 745 e l ; they were probably wedgeshaped districts arranged round the city as a centre-as is implied by $\kappa v ́ \kappa \lambda \omega$ at d 1 .
-b 5. кat' '́vıavóov: either these words are not Plato's, or they were written before he had matured the plan explained at d 2e 3 ; for they are irreconcilable with that on any interpretation. I would therefore bracket them.
b 6. oiov: this rather strange qualification is perhaps due to the fact that the officials have two titles given them.-Hermann's conjecture that the MS. фu入á $\rho \chi$ ovs is a mistake for $\phi \rho o v \rho \alpha^{\rho} \rho \chi o v s$ is confirmed by two MSS. of Eusebius.- ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \omega$ : apparently for ${ }^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \xi \in \sigma \tau \omega$; "let it be open to them," by way of meiosis for "they will have to." Is it possible that we ought to read 〈' $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right\rangle$ - $\boldsymbol{c}$ $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega$, "let it be their duty", ?-The natural order of the
 $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \bar{\epsilon} \omega v \tau \hat{\eta} s$ à̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (or $a \dot{v} \tau o \hat{v}$ ) $\phi v \lambda \hat{\eta} s$. It must have been the unusual order which gave rise to the variants $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \varphi$ and $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau о \nu$ for $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \cdot \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. (F.H.D. would bracket $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon$.)
c 2. $\delta_{\iota} \alpha \kappa \lambda \rho \rho \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ : the $\delta \iota \alpha-$ in; the verb not only describes the original distribution by lot of the twelve $\mu$ ó $\rho \subset a$ among the twelve $\phi v \lambda a i$, but the subsequent change of distribution described
 changes were decided by. a fixed rotation, not by casting lots. . In other words the $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$, in its strict meaning, only applies to the first distribution, the $\delta c \alpha$ - to all the subsequent distributions as well.
 we may conclude that both the sixty $\phi \rho o v \rho o i$ and the five ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \epsilon s$
 e 4 below.)
c 6 ff . ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \ldots \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \varphi$ : I believe that the difficulties of this passage have all arisen from the improper inclusion in the text of
 pretation of $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \rho \eta$, made by a commentator who feared that $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu^{\prime} \rho \eta$ might be taken to mean the twelve tribes. The intruding words were taken to be the object of $\mu \in \tau a \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \tau \tau o \nu \tau a s$, and this involved either the change of the earlier edd. (and Stallb.) of $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\eta} \varsigma \tau \dot{\prime} \pi o \nu$ to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \xi \eta_{S} \tau \dot{\prime} \pi \tau \omega$, or else the insertion (by Schanz) of $\epsilon$ is. The tomma which Burnet puts after $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ótovs restores $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò тóтov as the proper obj. of $\mu \epsilon \tau a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \nu \tau a s$, and brings the four offending words into their proper place-i.e. of apposition to $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta$ —but, at the same time, reveals them as intruders.—ö $\pi \omega \bar{\circ} \dot{\alpha} \nu$ is not temporal-"cum primum" (Ficinus)—but describes the posi-tions-or order-from which the "changes to the next lot" are to be made ; " auf welche Weise" (Wagner), "quemadmodum" (Stallb.).
d1. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \delta \epsilon \xi \notin \alpha$ : i.e. following the sun, or, as we should say, the hands of the clock.- $\phi u \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ No. I. would spend the twelfth month im lot No. XII. and then would begin to retrace its steps, beginning with lot XI. The following explanation shows that Plato knew of some "observers" who faced the South, and consequently had the East on their left hand.
d 5. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \chi{ }^{\omega} \rho \rho \underline{q}$ stands for "besides learning the country."
 temporal gen. going with $\tau \grave{\partial} \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o v$.
d7. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ єis tótov means just the same as the previous $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ то́то⿱.

 marginal explanation that áypovónovs кaì ф $\rho о v \rho \alpha \rho^{\rho} \chi$ ous was here used, as at b6, of the five head magistrates, and did not include the subordinate sixty (cp. on c 5 above). -It is impossible to suppose that the outgoing five are each to choose thirteen successors; i.e. that $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ is the subject of aipeír $\theta a \iota$. Ficinus's 'transla-
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following $\delta^{\prime}$; (3) after the final $\mu$ of rotciv an $\mu$ was likely to fall out. As to its position see Burnet, Pref. to vol. v.
a 6. The rain-water is to be diverted from flooding the crops and conducted by artificial channels and dykes into reservoirs.
 not dykes in the sense of embankments, but channels for drawing off the water from the reservoirs in the desired directions.
b 3. катабєұо́ $\mu \epsilon v a \iota$ каì тívovgaı: the former participle refers to the water which remains above ground in the коі̂גає váraı and which goes off as vá $\mu a \tau \alpha$ or $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu o \prime$, the latter to the water which the ground absorbs and gives off in springs ( $\kappa \rho \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ ).
b 5. It is perhaps permissible to wonder whether Plato did not write $\pi \rho o \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \alpha a$, not $\pi$ тooov̄ $\alpha \iota$ here.-каí, "even."
b 6. $\pi \eta \gamma a i \hat{u}(v i \delta a \tau a$ ): this adj. is used to denote the clear water from spring or lake, as opposed to the turbid storm-torrent (cp. above on 736 b ). -The language of the whole of this hastily written passage ( $\mathrm{b} 6-\mathrm{d} 3$ ) more resembles the latter than the former.
b 6 f. $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \pi \eta \gamma a i \alpha$ v̈ $\delta a \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$., "and that, enhancing the beauty of the clear water, whether stream or spring, by plantations and•stone structures, and collecting the streams in rockchannels, they may ensure abundance, and, by means of artificial runnels, should any sacred grove or consecrated enclosure be near, may add to their charm by discharging their streams at every season of the year into the very interior of the temples of the Gods."
b 7. коб $\mu$ о仑ै $\nu \epsilon \varsigma$ : there is a change of subject here, from the $\nu$ ánac to the rural engineers.
 abundance. Cp. Plut. Conv. Disp. iv. 4 ( 667 c) kaì ovvovoías
 ढ̈pas: not "to suit the seasons," "pro singulis anni temporibus" (Schn.), "je nach den einzelnen Jahreszeiten" (Wagn.), but simply " at all seasons" (Jowett)—even the driest.
c 3. L again alone has the right reading, ä $\lambda$ oos. A, and probably 0 , had $\delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma o s$, a mistake easily to be accounted for by the similarity between $\mathbf{A}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$.- $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha$ : i.e. in the neighbourhood of the $\pi$ oг $\alpha \mu$ ós or $\kappa \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta$.
c 4. $\dot{a} \phi \in \epsilon \mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \nu \nu$ MSS. ; as $\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \dot{\prime} \eta \mu \iota$ is often used of the consecration of men or animals, Ast, followed by all subsequent editors, except Winckelmann and Burnet, rightly altered this to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \mathcal{V}^{\prime} \nu \nu$. It is possible that Plato used the more out-of-the-way compound on purpose. On the other hand, the occurrence of $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\phi} \dot{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \boldsymbol{s}$ just
afterwards in its natural sense is in favour of $\alpha \nu \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon \mathcal{V}_{0} \nu$, and provides a possible account of the source of the error.-Schanz rightly rejects the difficult $\hat{\eta}$ in c 4 . Cp. below 958 d 4 . - I accept Stallb.'s and Burnet's punctuation whereby av̉ $\tau$ á goes closely with $\tau \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \quad$ i $\epsilon \rho \alpha{ }^{2}$.
c5. коб $\mu \bar{\omega} \tau \iota$ : a final revision would scarcely have left this
 understood.-(The Zürich edd. adopt the reading $\dot{v} \delta \rho \in$ ías of the Ven. MS. 勿, making it the object of $\pi o \omega \omega \sigma \tau \nu$, and Madvig would insert. a $\tau \epsilon$ after $\epsilon i$ in c 3 .)- $\gamma \dot{\mu} \mu \nu$ á $\sigma \iota \alpha ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$.: since Ficinus all translators (as far as I know), in spite of the natural meaning of the particles in c 6 , treat the gymnasia and the baths as two separate institutions-the former for the young men themselves, the latter for their elders. Ficinus, however, follows the literal sense of Plato's words, according to which the young men are to make the gymnasia (c 6) "not only for themselves, but for old men as well," and they are to do this by adding (not only a frigidarium, which all gymnasia would have, but also) a tepidarium. This would render the institution a boon to the old and "to the sick and the toil-worn." I would even insert a comma after $\gamma^{\prime} \rho \circ{ }^{\prime}$ this plain.
c 7. Vat. 1029 (Bekker's Y ) omits $\theta_{\epsilon \rho \mu \alpha}$, and Naber would reject it-rightly, I think. The mention of the supply of firewood is enough by itself to show that hot baths are meant. The
 158. -With the use of the adj. Stallb. cps. that of $\xi \in \nu$ có with $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau \alpha$ at 718 a 7 , and with $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha$ at 730 a 4.
d1. It is hard to piece together these disjointed jottings. $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ ỏ $v \eta \eta^{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$ (" with a view to their amendment") seems to go closely with $\delta \epsilon \chi о \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v s \in \dot{\jmath} \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} s$, and $\tau \epsilon$. . . каí to connect ка $\alpha \nu \dot{o} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ vóvoıs ( $\sigma \omega \mu \mu \tau \alpha$ ) and $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho v \mu \epsilon ́ v a$ róvoıs $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau a$.
d 3. $\delta^{\prime} \xi \in \iota v$ : Winckelmann has undoubtedly recovered the original word for us in changing the MS. $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \xi \xi \iota$ to $\delta^{\prime} \xi \xi \iota v$. Its construction is that of a "cognate" acc. with $\delta \in \chi \circ \mu^{\prime} \mathcal{\nu} \mathcal{O} s$-as at
 iaf $\rho 0 \hat{0}$, perhaps it is best, with Stallb., to take it as "nota breviloquentia dictum pro $\eta^{\prime} \delta^{\prime} \xi \xi \iota v$ ia $\alpha \rho 0 \hat{v}$. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ rávv $\sigma o ́ \phi o v$." Another possibility is to take ia $\boldsymbol{\rho} \rho \circ \hat{v} \mu . \pi . \dot{\sigma}$. to stand "pregnantly" for "than treatment by a poor physician." Ficinus translates: "quae sane curatio longe melior est quam medici parum periti medela." We should say: "a visit to the bath is much more efficacious than a visit to a poor physician."
 preposition; "and will provide the means of delightful recrea-tion."-The connexion of ideas between $\pi a \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ and $\sigma \pi 0 w \delta \gamma^{\eta}$ seems to be this: "The ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma p o{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{o}$. have to provide recreation for themselves and others-but they have also work to do which is no play ; they have to risk their lives in our defence."
d 6. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau a \hat{\imath} \tau a$ is quite general: "(the serious part) of their
 each tribe.
d8. $\gamma \epsilon \epsilon \tau o ́ v \omega \nu$ refers, I think, to neighbouring foreigners, so that $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ os $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ in the following line does not apply to them, but only to the natives- $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi_{0} \lambda_{\iota \tau} \hat{\omega} \nu$; if this is so, $\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \dot{o} \nu \omega \nu$ is short for $\eta^{\prime \prime} \nu \tau \iota \varsigma \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau o ́ \nu \omega \nu$ á $\delta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$.
e 2. av̉roús, "by themselves."
e 3. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$, "cum duodenis" (Schneider); cp. below 762 e 9. This must mean that each of the five ф $\rho$ óvpap ${ }^{\circ}$ ot of the tribe associated his twelve young subordinates with him to form the tribunal ; its numbers would then be sixty-five. The following $\tau o u ̀ s$ e $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \tau \alpha \alpha i ́ \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ is doubtless rightly rejected by Hug as a hasty comment of someone who simply added together twelve and five. There is nothing to make us think that only one lot of twelve
 $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ implies that the number had been mentioned before. This was only done at 760 b 7 , and no subsequent mention has been made of any particular twelve.
e5. ठıкá̧є८v каі̀ ä $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ : the arrangement is remarkable, because it is not chiastic.

 below, 767 a 4, and 768 b 6 ( $\tau$ '́ $\lambda o s ~ к \rho i v \epsilon \iota \nu), 957$ b 4.
e 7. The acc. $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò̀s à $\gamma \rho o$ ovó $_{\mu}$ ovs has nothing to govern it. He


 At Rep. 574 a $3 \phi^{\prime} \rho \in \epsilon \nu$ is used alone in this sense.- $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (partitive)
 at 760 e 9 . Already there it was intimated that the "commandeering" was not to be quite arbitrary.
a 2. $\begin{gathered} \\ a\end{gathered} \nu$. . $\delta \delta \delta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, "if they accept a present offered with corrupt motives." Plato's custom is to put $\pi a \rho a$ with the gen. of the person from whom a thing is received, but here it would be inconvenient to put in $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, so he takes advantage of the fact
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offence"; ámoфaívєıv is used as "to name" is used as a technical term in the House of Commons. The following words describe the process by which this is done. It is implied that the five might overlook an offence in one of the sixty, though, as we see below, the juniors were to show the seniors no mercy. (Ficinus translates $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ by damnent.)
c 5. "And post him in the Agora as a deserter."
c 6. $\tau \grave{̀}$ éavtô̂ $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s, "$ quantum in se sit" (Fic.).
d 1. $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \dot{\eta} \tau \omega$ s qualifies $\kappa о \lambda \alpha \zeta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$, not $\kappa о \lambda \alpha \zeta^{\prime} \xi \iota v$.-If Plato meant both the aú $\boldsymbol{\omega} \hat{\nu}$ of the MSS. and av́rós to stand-which is doubtful-his object was to emphasize the fact that in the case of the ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon S$ there was no one whose orders or permission could excuse absence; aúrós would then be "on his own authority, ultro."-Probably $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is a mistake for $\alpha \hat{v}$.
d 2. There is a delicate $\mu \epsilon \prime \epsilon \omega \sigma \iota s$ about $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{i} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, "attend to."
d4. $(\mu \grave{\eta}) \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \iota \omega^{\prime} \nu$ is subordinate to the preceding participles: "he who notices or hears of it without indicting the offender."
d 5. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \kappa \tau \lambda$. : a striking instance of an explanatory asyndeton. It tells us what "the severer ( $\pi \lambda \epsilon \operatorname{cov} \imath$ ) penalty" was.
d 6. $\eta \tau \iota \mu \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \theta \omega$ MSS. Schanz is very likely right in substituting for this the more technical $\dot{\eta} \tau \iota \mu \omega \sigma \theta \omega$ (cp. Rep. $553 \mathrm{~b} \dot{i} \pi \grave{o} \sigma v \kappa о \dot{\phi} \alpha \nu^{-}$ $\left.\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau о \theta \nu o ́ v \tau \alpha \hat{\eta}^{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \pi \epsilon \sigma o ́ v \tau \alpha \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \omega \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha\right)$. The offender is to be disqualified from ever again holding office over any of the young
 attention to such lapses. If they can't prevent them, they must at least be sure that they are duly punished." Then follows a most valuable little $\pi \rho o o i ́ \mu \iota o v$ on the philosophy of authority and government in general. The asyndeton makes it the more impressive. (Stobaeus's $\delta \prime ́$, which Ast adopts, is a natural error.) "Believe me-I wish everybody would believe me-that there is not a man living who will ever make a good master without being a servant first; and no success as a ruler can bring a man so much honour and credit as loyal service, first of all to the laws,-for therein he serves the gods-and next, while he is young, to all elders and superiors."
e5. ís $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu$ toîs $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s ~ o v ̂ \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \delta o v \lambda \epsilon i ́ a \nu: ~ t h i s ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~$ is here felt to be an expansion of the simple $\delta o v \lambda \epsilon i \alpha i \dot{\nu}$ used as the "acc. of the inner object" with $\delta o v \lambda \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \iota v$; © $\omega$ s with a noun and participle in the acc. can, however, by itself be used in the sense of "under the idea that." - So at Phaedo $109 \mathrm{~d} \dot{\omega} s . . . \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha$


dat. $\tau 0 i$ is $\theta \epsilon o i ̂ s$, depending directly on the noun $\delta o v \lambda \epsilon i ́ a v$, cp. above on 760 e 4 . For the statement itself cp . on 715 c 4 ff ,
 by admiration." The veneration felt by the young "squire" for the distinguished veteran who commands him is half his training. -Burnet preserves the letters of the MS. $\ddot{\epsilon}^{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \epsilon \tau \alpha a \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ in writing $\ddot{\xi} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota ;$ Stobaeus hạs $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a \dot{a} \epsilon i$, and so Schanz. All edd. before Schanz print $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \pi \\ \pi \\ \epsilon \\ \end{gathered}$
e 7. Schanz would follow Ast in rejecting rov̀s véovs, but though. unnecessary it comes in quite naturally as the (superfluous) correlative of $\tau 0 i \bar{s} \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau \epsilon \in \rho o$ cs.
e 8. The MS. reading ánó $\rho o v$, if right, means "povertystricken," "scanty," "penurious"; at 680 d 8 we had áa $\pi$ opía in the sense of dearth. But, though I do not think ánófov impossible here, I believe O. Apelt (p. 11) is right in reading $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{u} \dot{\rho} \rho o v$ for it. Plutarch (Bellone an pace etc. ch. vi. p. $349 \AA$ ) uses ${ }^{\prime} \pi{ }^{\pi} v \rho a$ бítca of the meagre fare of soldiers on a campaign, as contrasted with the rich diet allowed to a chorus in training. This meaning exactly suits our present passage.-For $\gamma \in \gamma \in v \mu \in \mathcal{V}$ substitute for $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{cp}$. $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi$ ov́ras $\epsilon_{i v a l}$ at 63 ld 3 , and


e 9. oi $\delta \omega \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ : i.e. the five lots of twelve each-"duodeni" (Schneider) ; the same who are called $\tau$ ov̀s $€ \xi \eta \eta_{\kappa о \nu \tau \alpha}$ at $\mathrm{d} \mathbf{3}$ above. (Cp. on 761 e 3.)
 on not having."

763 a 1. oîourtep, like oiov at Charm. 153 a 2, and oîa at Critias 113 e 3 , is utpote-"cum famuli sint": (Schneider).
a 2. $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa$ is lit. "from among"-" and they must not (seek aid) from the neighbouring farmers and villagers, and use their slaves, etc."

 that is, than those done for the sake of the public. To mark this I have changed the colon before $\tau \alpha$ to a comma. ${ }_{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha$ is governed by $\delta$ сакого̂̀ $\tau$ 'єs $\tau$. к. $\delta$.
a 6. I have ventured to bracket éavtois. There is nothing in it which has not already been expressed by av̉zoì $\delta i$ ait $\tau \hat{\nu}$. For
 I have also marked the fact that $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \tau \nu \omega \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$. brings a change of subject matter by putting $a \cdot d a s h ~ i n s t e a d ~ o f ~ a ~ c o m m a ~ b e f o r e ~ \pi ~ \pi ~ \rho o ́ s, ~$
 less, not thinking here of the educational value of the studyas he was in his panegyric of mathematics at 747 b -but only of the value of the information itself.
b 5. ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{\eta}$ s, "attendant"; we must supply $\chi$ ápıv from b3, with the genitives.
 or $\alpha \gamma$., or whatever he likes "; i.e. "under whatever name he prefers, let each man do his best to protect his country." With $\tau 0 \hat{\imath} \tau o$ $\pi \rho o \sigma a \gamma o \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ ("calling then by that name") Stallb. cps. Symp.

 supplied from b 6 , Ast is wrong in saying that tov̂̃o refers to ${ }_{\epsilon} \pi \tau \tau \eta \delta \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \alpha$.) As the Spartan $\kappa \rho v \pi \tau \epsilon i ́ a$, mentioned above at 633 b 9 , was a similar kind of service to that of the áypovó $\mu 0$, it is natural to refer to. it here. As the exhortation seems to be specially addressed to the rank and file of the ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \rho o v o \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime} \mu o t$, it is not likely that, as Orelli suggests, Timaeus's (and Photius's) imaay $\rho^{\prime} \tau \cos$ ought to be substituted for крvitoús, for that word is said (by Tim.) to be the title of a (probably) high official.
 election of magistrates came that of the Agoranomoi and Astynomoi." ai $\rho \in \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega$ s depends on $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тov̂̃o; cp. Symp. 217 e 1 $\mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota \quad \delta \in \hat{\imath} \rho o$ тov̂ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$, and similar genitives with ${ }_{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau a \hat{v} \theta a$. $\dot{a} \gamma o \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad \pi^{\prime} \epsilon \iota$ and $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau$. $\pi^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \iota$ are periphrases for the simple gen. (cp. above on 685 c 2 ), so that $\tau \grave{̀}$ áyopavó $\mu \omega \nu \pi \pi^{\prime} \rho \iota$ stands for
 the $\tau{ }^{\prime}$ was, by a slovenly conversational laxity of construction, allowed to do double duty: (1) to form the adverbial $\boldsymbol{\tau} \grave{\jmath} \dot{\mu} \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$, and (2) to go, as above explained, with ả $\gamma \dot{\circ} \rho a \nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \rho$.) -As to the reading, I believe Burnet's is the right solution of the difficulty. All other MSS. but A, and all the printed edd.
 which is too big for it. In the margin stands $\tau \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ("cum vitii nota," Schanz). Burnet naturally supposes that $\tau \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ originally stood in A where now $\hat{\eta} v$ stands, and further conjectures that it was a clumsy scribe's version of an indistinctly written $\pi^{\prime} \rho \iota \quad \hat{\eta} \nu$. —The ${ }^{j} \dot{\nu} \dot{\text { i refers }}$ to 760 bl .
c 5. Bekker substituted $\gamma \epsilon$ for the MS. $\tau \epsilon$, which is certainly wrong; Ast would simply reject it. We may conjecture that the insertion of $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$ after $\dot{a} \gamma \rho o v_{o ́ n o ı s ~ i m p r o v e d ~ t h e ~ r h y t h n ́ n ~ o f ~ t h e ~}^{\text {in }}$ sentence $;$ it is hard to see how it improved its meaning.-It is
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hands has decided between the candidates, and you have found the six who have most votes.". I think dia $\quad \epsilon \rho \rho$. is gen. abs. with the subj. left out, and that $\kappa \alpha i$ is explanatory-i.e. introduces a more detailed account of the same process described by $\delta \iota a \chi \epsilon \iota \rho$. The subj. to $\alpha^{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ is the antecedent to oís., Where the Greek says when those who have most votes ( $\chi є \iota \rho о \tau о \nu i \alpha \iota ~ h a s ~ t o ~ b e ~ s u p p l i e d ~ w i t h ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau a \iota) ~ " c o m e ~ t o ~ s i x, " ~$ we should say " when you come to the six, etc." In both cases the coming is metaphorical. (It would spoil the sentence to put in $\kappa \rho^{\prime} \sigma \iota \iota$, with Heindorf, or-rather better- ${ }^{\prime} \xi^{\xi} \epsilon \tau \mathcal{\tau} \alpha \iota \iota$ with Winckelmann, after єis.)
e 2. oîs $\tau 0 \hat{\prime} \tau \omega \nu \quad \underset{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \in \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon$ : probably, as Ritter- says, the $\pi \rho v ́ \tau \alpha \nu \epsilon \iota$. R. cps. 758 d 2 ff . and 755 e 4.
e 3. Here again, in av̇тoîs $L$ alone among our MSS. preservies the right reading; A and O have airoùs.
 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, adopted by all editors, leaves the main difficulty of this passage untouched. After the preceding words no descrip- tion of the process of the election of the Agoranomoi is needed; if given, it should repeat the substance of d 7 ff . $\delta \iota a \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o \tau o \nu \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ -
 introduces an unheard-of novelty. It makes the elected ten, themselves select by lot five from among their number, and proclaim their appointment. Ficinus has: "quippe de decem qui ceteros suffragio superarint' quinque sorte designentur, et comprobati magistratus declarentur." This suggests a reading $\delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \kappa \alpha_{i}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \chi \epsilon \iota \rho о \tau o \nu \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$ (or $\pi \rho о \kappa \rho \iota \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ). The view that ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ is governed by a word denoting preference is supported by the reading of L , and O (which is also that of $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ ) $\hat{\eta}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. This variant I take to be due to a marginal $\hat{\eta}$ oi ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \iota$, intended to explain $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu{ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$. I think the best course here is to bracket $\delta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \alpha$. . . $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \phi \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$; the next best merely to read $\delta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho o \chi \epsilon \iota \rho о \tau о \nu \eta \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \tau \omega \nu$, in either case rejecting Aldus's $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$,
e 8. $\chi \notin \iota \rho \dot{\tau} \tau о у \epsilon i \tau \omega$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \hat{a} s \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ : these words are difficult, If we apply them to the election of the Agoranomoi they introduce a further contradiction of $\dot{\gamma} i \gamma \nu$, $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \alpha \iota^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$. . Besides, what can be the result of an election where "every voter votes for every candidate"? The only way out of this difficulty is to suppose that every member of ,the voting assembly was bound, under penalty, to lift up hị hand either for
or "against each of the $\pi \rho \rho \beta a \lambda \lambda o{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu o l$. But we have no other reason to assume that there was any such process as voting against a candidate. I therefore think the words mean that every member of the voting assembly is to vote at the election of every official; i.e. whether it be for Agronomoi, or Astynomoi, or Agoranomoi. It will be observed that the risk of having to pay the large fine of fifty drachmas need not be run by any member of the two lower classes, for he may absent himself from the assembly with impunity ( 764 a 3 ff .). (Ficinus translates $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ by quemlibet. Jowett takes $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$ to be "all the ten." Ritter mentions-to reject it-the possibility that the following $\delta \boldsymbol{\rho} \dot{\eta}$ ' $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \omega \dot{\nu}$ means "the (elected candidate) who refuses to serve.")
 to be a general direction applying to deliberative as well as voting assemblies; and this gives some support to the assumption just made that the $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho . \pi \hat{a} s \pi \alpha ́ v i \dot{\alpha}$ was of general application, and did not refer to one election alone.
a 7. $\tau \iota$ may perhaps have arisen from dittography of the following $\pi$, and Schanz rejects it. If genuine, it is an adverbial acc. of inner object-" unless the magistrates issue some sort of order."
 $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta}$ recognizes that there has been a digression.
b 7. av̇roûs, " by thèmselyes," as at c 3 below.
c 6. €́катє́ $\rho \omega \nu$ depends, I think, not on áp $\rho$ оv $\alpha \alpha$ (" of each of the two subjects"), but: on Sıт 0 oús ("two sets of each kind of official"); there would thus bè four kinds altogether.
c 7. $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v$, if correct, must mean "in the subjects"; i.e. music and gymnastic. avi $\hat{\eta} s$, which is the reading of $L$ and which Ed. Lov. and Steph. print, seems to mean " (of education) proper," as distinguished from the puiblic contests which tested it. It would be interesting to know where $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ came from; its only warrant to us is Cod. Voss., a late hand in A, and a somewhat earlier one in 0 . I believe $L$ again is right. For this use of $\dot{a} \hat{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ cf. b 7 and c 3. [F.H.D. prefers $\alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ as the more difficult reading:]-The fact that $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon i a s ~ . ~ . ~ d \dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ was̀ omitted beth in O and in A is prima facie evidence that one of the two MSS. was copied from the other. But (1) they may both have been copied from the same original, or (2) from MSS. which shared the omission, or (3) the omission, of which the cause is patent, might have been made independently by the scribes of both.-With the second $\dot{\pi} a \iota \delta \epsilon i a s$, as with $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega v i a s$ in d 3 , we ought, I think, to supply á $\rho \chi o v \tau \dot{\alpha} s$ from the preceding sentence.
c 8 ff. $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma i \omega \nu$ каì $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu \quad$ è $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} s:$ these wordṣ count ạs a titular designation, and кó $\sigma \mu о v$. . . кор $\omega \nu$ are loosely tacked on to part of it, i.e. to $\begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \epsilon \\ \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha ́ s: ~ " b y ~ s u p e r i n t e n d e n t s ~\end{gathered}$ of education the Law means overseers of gymnasia and schools, to look after both their outward seemliness and the instruction given in them, and the regulation of these matters, and to regulate the attendance and residence of boys and girls." (Stallb. would make $\gamma v \mu \nu$. and $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa$. depend on the genitives which depend on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \alpha ́ s$.)-Stephanus's correction of the MS. $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \omega \bar{\nu}$ to $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa a \lambda \epsilon i \omega \nu$ (cp. 804 c 3 ) is supported by the reading бıסабка入í $\omega \nu$ in Vat. 1029.
d 1 . кó $\sigma \mu \circ v$ is used, I think, of the dignity and beauty of the buildings and other surroundings of the places of education. It will be remembered that at Rep. 401 bff . Plato attaches great importance to the beauty of the surroundings in which a child learns. (F.H.D. prefers arrangement, Jowett "order.")
d 2. oiк $\eta \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ : not, I "think, as Jowett, "lodging," i.e. home accommodation; this would hardly fall within the sphere of the Education Authority. Its connexion with $\phi o \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ suggests that it means that the education official should assign pupils to the several schools according to residence-should see, in other words, that each child went to the school nearest its home, and that there was a school within easy reach of every home. Hermann's $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \eta \quad \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ which Schanz adopts, denotes a part of the subject which has been already mentioned-i.e. the $\pi a i \delta \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ itself-and does not come well in connexion with "the going to and from school."
 a mistake could hardly be made independently. It seems to have been corrected early in both MSS., and probably existed in the MS. or MSS. from which they were copied.-This branch of the $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\jmath} s$ каi $\gamma v \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ ä $\rho \chi o v \tau \epsilon s$ might incidentally serve as "examiners" of the schools and superintendents of their public displays, but their main duty was with adults. The word $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu^{\prime} \dot{a}$ is here used generally of all public contests, though at d 5 (if the reading is correct) it is used, as at Meno 94 b , of gymnastic contests only. On the other hand $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i \hat{s}$ in d 4 is rather unusually applied to competitors in "musical" ${ }^{\gamma} \gamma \bar{\omega} \nu \epsilon s$ as well as to those in gymnastic contests.
d 5. Stallbaum suggested that we ought to read ${ }^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\omega} \hat{\omega}^{2}$ as for
 mistaken $\alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu a s$ at d 3 , but still leaves the sharp contrast between
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— $\tau \alpha \mathfrak{\xi} \iota s$ is "system," "systematic arrangement," what we should call the rules of the Musician's art, not merely (as Wagner and Jowett) the ordering and arrangement of the performance by the ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi^{\prime} \nu \tau \in \mathrm{s}$. (Reading $\gamma(\gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$ ), we may translate: "as displayed in dancing and the whole round of artistic manifestation."
 It is necessary to use the plural as long as the number is not definitely ascertained, even though it may turn out to be only one.
e 6. The mistake of ik $\alpha \nu \hat{\omega} s$ for iк $\alpha \nu \bar{s}$ must have been an early one; A L and O all three have it; only A corrected it. Schanz believes that A had ikavòs to begin with, though he admits some disturbance in the MS. at the syllable -oेs. (Possibly the text once was $i_{\kappa} \alpha \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \delta \epsilon \stackrel{\iota}{\epsilon} \chi \in \iota$.)
 that $\tau \rho \iota \alpha ́ \kappa o \nu \tau \alpha \quad \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu \grave{\omega} s \notin \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ would be good Greek. There is therefore no necessity with Ast (and Schanz) to reject the ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ here. - $\epsilon i \sigma \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ús: this title denotes the official as the man to whom all intending competitors must apply; who would certify their right to compete, and assign them their order (cp. $\delta_{\iota} \alpha \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha$ below), as well as decide the result ( $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota a ́ \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota \nu . \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota)$.
a 4. It would have seemed simpler to us if he had said $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma \omega-$
 coupling $\epsilon i \sigma a \gamma$. and $\dot{a} \pi o \delta \iota \delta o v ́ s$, and take $\epsilon i ̉ v a \iota$, with them both.$\delta_{\iota} \alpha \theta \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha$ : this title cannot be supposed to imply any placing of the members of the chorus in a proper position-that duty would fall to the Choragus.
 que haec studia adamarunt" (Schneider); i.e. "devote themselves to the subject." Ficinus has "qui huic rei vacant." Is it possible that he read $\sigma \chi o \lambda \alpha ́ \oint o v \sigma \iota$, and that our $\dot{\phi} \iota \lambda о \phi \rho o ́ v \omega s$ ' $\sigma \chi \eta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota$ was originally a marginal interpretation of it? At,bl 1 these musical devotees are called oi $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \iota$.
 $\pi o \iota \eta \tau \epsilon \sigma$, "in proposing a name too, the elector must take one ${ }^{-}$ from the class of musicians."
 wrong in assigning to кат $\quad$ रóp $\eta \mu a$ here the meaning objection, and to $\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \gamma^{\prime} \rho \eta \mu a$ the meaning "defence." кат $\eta \gamma о \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ is used by Plato in the sense of assert, declare, while the force of $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ - is constantly that of rejection. The author's decided fondness for chiasmus too is an argument on the same side. $\tau \dot{\hat{\omega} \nu} \mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\prime} \nu$ are the challengers, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime}$ the defenders.' Ficinus has: "una haec approbatio repro-
batioque habeatur" (though he alters the order of the subsequent clause because the c̣hiasmus does not suit the Latin idiom).
b 4. The sole result aimed at by the бокıцабía is to get the best musician of the .ten selected candidates. I would therefore, retaining Stephanus's' comma, which Burnet has reinserted after
 the participle is as significant as $\lambda \alpha \not \chi \eta$, and the words mean "succeeds in passing the examination and (so) gets the appointment.". Otherwise ós aٌy $\lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \eta \eta$ is a lame repetition of the information conveyed by ó $\lambda a \chi \omega \nu$ in b 3. (Heindorf thinks $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ has fallen out before $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \kappa$; on the other hand Hermann, at c 6 below, would remove the $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ which the text has there. If either change' be thought necessary, I should prefer Hermann's.-F.H.D. thinks бокıцаб $\theta \epsilon i ́ s$ a gloss.)
b 5. $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha v ่ \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$. . . $\dot{o} \lambda \alpha \chi \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \rho i ́ \sigma \iota \nu:$ two controversies divide interpreters of this passage. (1) In the first place it is disputed whether ( $a$ ) $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \omega$ governs $\mu о \nu \omega \delta \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \quad \sigma v \nu \alpha v \lambda \iota \omega \nu-$ as it does the corresponding $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi o \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ in the preceding sentence; or whether (b) $\mu \circ \nu$. and $\sigma v v a v \lambda \iota \omega \nu$ 'depend on the preceding
 ( $a$ ) to the candidates who are "examined" for the office of ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ or $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda o \theta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta s \mu о \nu \psi \delta \iota \omega \nu$, or (b) to the competitors in musical $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon s$. Ficinus, Ast, and Stallbaum take the former view ; Wagner,Schneider, and Ritter the latter. . The previous $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \chi^{\circ} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu . \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \omega$, the importance attached in the case of the corresponding election to
 number of $\mu \circ \nu$. and $\sigma v \nu a v \lambda \iota \omega \nu$ are all arguments in favour of (a). The main reasons against (a) are that the final words cis . . . ó $\lambda \alpha \chi \grave{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \rho i \sigma \iota \nu$ are tautological and somewhat otiose; also the
 $\lambda \alpha \chi \omega \bar{\nu}$ strained. Whichever view be taken, no great harm is doné to the general tenor of the passage.-But (2) those who in the second controversy hold, with Stallbaum, Susemihl and Jowett,
 $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta s \mu o \nu \omega \delta \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ is not to decide between competitors, but to refer the decision to another body, stultify the whole description of these elections. The one most important function of all these Presidents is undoubtedly to judge at the contests (see e.g. 765 a 3 ). The term $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda_{o} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \eta s$ itself proves it. Wagner gets out of the difficulty by rejecting $\epsilon i s$ him. Ficinus, Ast, Schneider, Wagner, and Ritter refer the words, as undoubtedly they ought to "be referred, to the $\delta о к ı \mu a \sigma i \alpha$ to be
passed by each of the ten selected candidates, and as such, though somewhat tautological, they are, considering the importance attached to the $\delta о к \iota \mu \alpha \sigma i ́ a$, not out of place.-We may infer that oi крıтаí were the special body of musical experts chosen (by the vo $о \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{v} \lambda a \kappa \in s$ -see above a 7) to conduct the бокı $\mu \alpha \boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}$ : $^{*}$. We may translate : "that man among the candidates for examination who is appointed ( $\lambda \alpha \chi \omega^{\prime} \nu$ ) by proceedings just like these, for that year, shall be president of solo-performances and concerted pieces; and each man" (of the ten) "drawn by lot must" (as described in connexion with the previous election) "submit to the decision of the jury (of musicians)."-(Ast, followed by Stallb., may be right in thinking ${ }_{\delta} \lambda \alpha \chi^{\omega} \nu$ in c 1 an explanatory comment. If so, it is a correct comment. It is almost " (each of the ten) when his turn comes."
 which the flute alternated with the lyre. See Athenaeus 617 f . and 618 a, and the commentators on Hor. Odes iv. 1. 22, and Epod. 9. 5. (Some think that the two instruments sounded together.)
c 3. $\dot{\epsilon}_{\kappa} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \stackrel{\imath}{\epsilon} \tau \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon v \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \tau \iota \mu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ : the musical and literary critics might apparently belong to any property class, even the highest. (Nowadays these would mostly come from the two middle classes, while the best judges of horseflesh or athletics would belong either to the richest class, or to the poorest.)
c 5. It is hard to see how the каi arose, which $A, L$ and $O$ have after $\tau \rho \iota \sigma i \nu . \quad N o$ printed edition has it, I think. $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ 's substitution of $\tau \rho \iota \sigma \grave{\imath}$ for $\tau \rho \iota \sigma i \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ s e e m s ~ t o ~ s u g g e s t ~ t h a t ~ к \alpha i ~ a r o s e ~ f r o m ~$ a misreading of $\nu$.
c 6. $\lambda \alpha \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ is here used, as at b 4 and b 6, in the sense of "to be definitely appointed."
c 8. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ रокıца $\zeta^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ : i.e. a jury of experts-corresponding to the $\kappa \rho \iota \tau \alpha ́ s$ of c 1 . The word $\psi \hat{\eta} \phi o s$ suggests that there might be differences of opinion among the jury, and that a majority carried the day.
d1. $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \lambda \hat{\eta} \xi \iota v$ каì крícıv: a hendiadys; almost " appointment to an office by examination." The two processes are closely connected, as in the words $\lambda a ́ \chi \eta$ докı $\mu \alpha \sigma \theta$ 'is at b 4. 'The ка $\theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\eta} \nu \tau \iota \nu a o \hat{v} \nu$ seems to make the application general to all the kinds of official whose election has been mentioned since 764 d 5 . These final words are meant to emphasize the importance of the бокı $\mu \alpha \sigma i ́ a$.
d 4. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho о \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \alpha=\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$, i.e. $\mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\gamma \geqslant \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s(764$ с 5 ).
d 7. For the $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ cp. above on a 2.—The age limit is established
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suffered from many misapprehensions. To begin with, Ald. altered the MS. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ' $\pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta \eta_{\nu}$ into $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \not \alpha^{\prime} \tau \eta \nu . \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau \eta \nu$, a mistake which even Ritter has perpetuated; Hermann, to simplify the construction, rejected the important aip $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha$, , taking
 Schanz follow him; Schneider and Wagner translate ${ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\alpha i \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ by "auctorem fieri ut eligatur," "veranlassen dass . . . gewählt werde,". also understanding, as do Stallb. and Apelt, ròv $\mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$. to be the vo $\mu_{0} \theta^{\prime} \tau \eta \rho$ instead of the director of Education; these latter interpreters also make an awkward break in the sense after $\hat{\eta}$, and separate $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{v} \tau \circ \dot{v}$, the manifest antecedent of ös $\alpha \not \nu \nu$, from its relative; further, Ritter unaccountably $\cdot$ makes $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \tau_{\hat{y} \hat{j}} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota$ depend on $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu$ insteád of on ${ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma$. . But it is Ritter who has shown us the right way out of the difficulty ; and that is to take $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$ as an "accusativus absolutus," as at Thuc. iii. 40. 4: " but inasmuch as the right choice of the man who is to have charge of them ( $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu$ ) is bound to come first and foremost, (he must) do his utmost to appoint and make their Director that man who out of all in the state is in every respect the best man."-Both

 $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \delta о \kappa \iota \mu \dot{́} \tau \alpha \tau о \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \eta_{\nu} \nu$ - With $\pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ we must supply $\delta \in i$ from a 5 . (Jowett slurs over the difficulty by putting for ${ }_{\alpha} \rho \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \chi \rho \epsilon \grave{\omega} \nu .$. . . $\alpha i \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota, "$ he should begin by taking care that he is elected who etc.".; otherwise he follows Schneider and Wagner.-I do not think that anything is gained by Apelt's ingenious substitution of $\epsilon v \xi \alpha a \sigma \theta a \iota$ for ${ }^{\prime} \rho \rho \xi \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$, though it makes that part of the sentence easier from his point of view.
 would have made the electing body unwieldy.- $\tau o ̀ \tau o \hat{v}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A} \pi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu o s$ $i \in \rho o ́ v:$ a place peculiarly suitable for deciding a matter connected with $\mu$ оvбıкй.
b 3. It is interesting to note that though all other interpreters and editors before Bekker took $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu о \mu о \phi \nu \lambda a ́ к \omega \dot{\nu}$ to be governed by $\kappa \rho \dot{v} \beta \delta \eta \nu$, the Louvain editor (Rutger Ressen), while keeping the comma after $\nu о \mu о \phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu$, shows, by putting a comma. also before it, that he sees right way to take the words.- $\dot{\phi} \epsilon$ óv $\tau \omega v$
 antecedent to be supplied in thought with öv $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \iota \nu a$ would have been $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau o v$ (cp. above on 753 d 1 ) ; as it is we must supply $\tau 0 v \tau \tau$.
 is strange, and Hug would: reject it; but it would be stranger if
any commentator or scribe put it in when it. was not there to begin with. The reason for its insertion was probably rhythmical. Plato would hardly end a sentence with five consecutive long syllablès.
b 7. $\pi \grave{\lambda} \grave{\eta} \nu \nu о \mu о \phi \nu \lambda \alpha \kappa \kappa \omega v$ : we are left to conjecture the reason for this exclusion. Could such great and wise men be suspected of jealousy?. [F.H.D. says "yes"._" by others than the electing body."]
c 2. $\pi \rho i ̀ \nu$. . . $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ : in other words, "while there is more thàn a month of his time left to rụn.".
c 5. From Steph. onwards all edd. have $\mu^{\prime} \notin \lambda o v$, which was the reading of A and O . This was thoughtlessly corrected in A and O to $\mu^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \lambda \frac{1}{} \nu$, probably the mistakèn reading of some other MS.
 $\kappa \alpha i . \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta \eta \mu о \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ : i.e. only those who lived within reach of the home circle.
c 7. $\mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \psi \iota \omega \bar{\omega} \pi \alpha i \delta \delta \omega \nu$ : this definition of relations who are to count legally occurs below at 877 d 1 and 878 d 7 ; at Dem. C. Macart. p. 1067 we have $\mu^{\prime} \chi \rho \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \psi \iota \alpha^{\prime} \delta \omega \nu$ [ $\left.\pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu\right]$. The same grade of relationship is expressed at 925 a 6 by $\mu^{\prime} \mathcal{\chi} \chi \iota \pi \alpha ́ \pi \pi o v$ $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu(i v \delta \hat{\omega} \nu)$ : the grandchildren of the children of one's? grandfather are one's own first cousins one remove. -The only connexion between these two injunctions is that both are cases of substitution. A final revision. would hardly have left them as.they stand.
d 3 ff . Before describing the appointment of this next kind of officials, i.e. the judges, Plato introduces a short preface in which the two main points are: (1) the judges must be men of superior intellect, and (2) the machinery for giving legal decisions must be such as will favour (a) clear presentment of cases, and (b) due deliberation.
 at: 876 b he talks of $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \rho \alpha \alpha \alpha \hat{v} \lambda \alpha$ каi ${ }^{\prime} \dot{p} \omega \nu \alpha$, which give their decisions by ballot. A true judge, Plato implies, ought to be able to throw light on the principles of justice which underlie the statutes, and not content himself with a safe legal yes or no, like a mere arbitrator.
d 5. $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \delta i \kappa \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ 'тaîs ảvaкрícєбь: the preliminary procedure for legitimizing the position of the two parties to the trial was naturally mostly formal.,
 a large: beench of. judgès could not all give reasoned judgements;
it must be a question of voting yes or no ; and (2) the number of superior intellects is necessarily small.' The tribunal must be both small and good.
 " what the contention of each side is.":
e 2. каї тò $\beta \rho a \delta \grave{v}$ тó $\tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \iota \varsigma ~ d ’ \nu \alpha к \rho i v \epsilon \iota \nu: ~ i n ~ e f f e c t ~ e x-~$ planatory of what is meant by ó $\chi$ рóvos; "tempus autem et mora, frequensque inquisitio ad aperiendam controversiam conferunt" (Fic.).

767 a 7. ov̉к ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu$. . . $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$," while not a ruling official has yet a very high authority." "The каí emphasizes.
a 9. крív $\omega \nu$. . . $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$, "finally decides." Cp. on 720 e 1.
 " would be the right ones," i.e. " ought to be appointed."

 sight the words seem to be reintroducing us to the three tribunals mentioned at 766 e 3 ff : : (1) that of neighbours and friends ; (2) the first court of appeal ; and (3) the final court of appeal. But, if
 "summa dignitate praeditum"), the epithet can hardly apply to a court composed of arbitrators informally appointed by the litigants themselves. (Below, at 915 c 6 the "neighbours'" court, and the aipєтоi Síкабтає are spoken of as two distinct courts.) Ritter thinks кvрı $\epsilon \tau \alpha \tau o v$ means " most competent" ("befugtest"). Even so, it would seem to exclude the notion of an appeal from it. Susemihl thought it a mistake for $\tau$ ò $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$-and it may perhaps be worth considering whether $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu$ at c 2 and кvрı $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \alpha \tau о \nu$ here may not, by some accident, have changed places. Some difficulties; but not all, would disappear if this transposition were made. I think Plato means that if both sides agree to the court, the decision is to be final.-As we proceed, we find, instead of the two appeal courts, two courts for the trial of different kinds of suits: (1) b 5, those suits in which one private citizen has a grievance against another: (2) b7, those in which the alleged offence is one committed against the state.-Still more puzzling is the question, what relation does the next court mentioned-that introduced at c 2-bear to any or all of those just described? The words $\tau$ ò $\tau \rho i ́ \tau o \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \eta \dot{\tau} \hat{\imath} \hat{v} \iota \nu$ seem to mark it as the third court (of appeal)
 exंclude the supposition that it is the court which is cognisant of offences against the state-that mentioned at b 7. If, however,
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d 3. $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega}$ : a possessive dat., used as a variety for $\alpha$ v̉rov̂.
d5. avizoîs is probably the right reading, though the Cod. Voss. aưzồ points to a quite possible variant av̀rov́s (ATTOTCAN being read ATTOTEAN).
 technical term used of those who "had recourse to" a higher court; the notion being that the highest court was a place of

 not be true of all individuals, only of the different magisterial bodies, each as a class. By that time many of the individuals who served in the previous year would have been replaced.
e 3. ${ }_{\epsilon}$ è $\nu \quad \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \tau \tau$.: this enactment may well apply, as Ritter thinks ( p .168 ), to all courts.
e 5. i i $\epsilon \epsilon \chi$ '́т $\omega$, "let him be under an obligation."
e 6. $\tau$ ò $\eta \mu \tau \sigma v$ : so the MSS. ; Ritter, comparing $846 \mathrm{~b} 3-\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 for $\tau \grave{2} \delta \iota \pi \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \iota o v$; although it is only a minimum penalty, it may be all the injured man will get; for acc. to e 8 f . anything beyond this is to be paid to the state and $\tau \hat{\omega} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta i \kappa \eta \nu \delta \iota \kappa a \sigma a \mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, and he might be an informer. Wherever $\eta \mu \omega \sigma v$ comes from it is clearly a mistake. [F.H.D. suggests that the mistake arises from a misreading of a numerical expression.]
e 8. All editors but Wagner have adopted the Ald. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{0}$ íce for
 -"the judges are to decide what penalty they are to suffer at their" (i.e. "the judges'") " hand."
e 9. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\delta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma i \omega \nu \quad \begin{gathered}\epsilon \\ \gamma \\ \lambda\end{gathered} \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ : here we go back to the court first referred to at b7, for the trial of offences against the state. In this the public is to take an important part. Whereas, in the tribal courts for trying suits between private citizens, the public is only represented by a section of itself, elected by lot, in state trials the jury, as we should call it, is to be the whole $\delta \hat{\eta}_{\mu o s}$-the Public Assembly of all the citizens.

768 a 1-5. Burnet has made the connexion of ideas clearer by marking off oi $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \ldots \delta_{\iota \alpha \kappa \rho i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon \omega \nu$ as a parenthesis. This parenthesis gives the reason for the arrangement outlined in the main sentence, which is as follows: "(It is necessary) in the first place to admit the public to a share in the trial (of state offences) . . , but, while the inception, and the final decision of such a suit must lie with the whole body of citizens, still the investigation must be conducted by such three of the highest order of
magistrates as the defendant and plaintiff shall agree on." To the $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu$ in a l corresponds the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' in a 4 , which is "resumed" by the $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ in a 5 ; $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \ldots \vec{\alpha} \pi o \delta \iota \delta o \mu \epsilon \quad \nu \eta \nu$ is a concessive clause.
a 3. '้̇ $\delta$ íк $\eta$, " justly" ("and they would justly resent being excluded from all share in such decisions").
a 5. $\bar{\epsilon} \nu$ is technically used of the court before which a case is tried.-Apparently then the Public Assembly had to give leave to prosecute, and to acquit or condemn (and assess the penalty), on a report from a competent legal tribunal who had investigated the case. It is to be noticed that this leaves no room for the influence of rhetoric on the susceptible public.
a 8. L, and several other MSS., for aúroi-which is clearly right—read aúroîs; this reading occurs as a marginal variant in O, and was printed in the four first edd. Ficinus would seem to have read $\alpha \hat{v}, \quad \eta \eta v$, as he translates "consilium ipsum electionem utriusque cognoscat et judicet." (Possibly a scribe in whose ears av̀roí and av̉ $\tau \dot{\eta}$ sounded alike, wrote the latter by mistake; this then became avं $\dot{\eta} \eta$ in one MS. and $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ in another, this last changing back to av́roîs by the converse of ,the original error.

 choice of each of them," not "the choice of them (i.e. judges) made by each." Most likely each litigant chose one judge; the difficulty would be to agree on the third. If each persisted in his own choice for the third judge, the $\beta$ ov $\lambda \eta^{\prime}$ would have to select one of the two. It would therefore be manifestly unwise for either of the litigants to choose a decided partisan.-By $\beta o v \lambda \eta$ we ought perhaps to understand the $\pi \rho v \tau \alpha \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\prime} \epsilon \iota$, who were a standing committee of the $\beta$ ov $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime}$.—The $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota$ - in $\epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \rho \dot{\prime} \nu \epsilon \iota v$ seems to mark the action of a superior authority.
 a true citizen, who does not share the right of pronouncing judgement on his fellows." This little piece of political wisdom helps to form a true conception of the state, and citizenship. It may rank with another enlightening little sentence at 740 a $2-4$, which reminds us that the land which belongs to a citizen also belongs to the state.
b 4. The каí marks the $\phi v \lambda \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha}$. $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho \rho \iota a$ as a fresh tribunal, and yet at b7 below they seem to belong to the class of courts of first appeal mentioned above at 767 a 2 ( ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{o}$ $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \prime \rho \iota v \nu)$. Stallbaum is inclined to regard them as alternatives
to the court of neighbours and friends; but in that case there would be only one appeal court, and it is clearly laid down at 767 a 3 that there are to be two. See also 915 c 5 f . which distinctly speaks of the neighbours' courts as distinct from and inferior to the $\phi v \lambda \in \tau \iota \kappa \alpha i$ סíкаı. The truth seems to be that in this $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \rho a \phi \eta^{\prime}$ (c5) Plato did not attempt to give us a complete plan of courts as they might be arranged in detail, but only to enumerate certain leading principles of such an arrangement; e.g. (1) that there must be separate courts for state and private trials; (2) that there must be a second and a final court of appeal ; (3) that this final court must be carefully constituted.
b5. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \rho a \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ : these words mark the contrast between the elaborate constitution of the final appeal court and the haphazard choice, for the tribal courts, of the first citizens at hand, as occasion demanded. Probably it was not contemplated that the whole tribe should be assembled for their election.-It seems to be hinted' by the coupling together of the three points of (1) election by lot, (2) election as occasion demanded-i.e. when there was a cause to try-and (3) the inaccessibility to motives of personal sympathy, that somehow (3) was more likely to be found in conjunction with (l) and (2).-Perhaps these tribal courts were conceived as country courts, and the second Appeal Court in the city would sit more regularly, and be of a more elaborate constitu-
 some such word as "be appointed"; this idea is implied in $\delta \iota \kappa a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota v$.
b 7. ő $\phi a \mu \epsilon \nu$. . . $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \theta a \iota, "$ which we assert to have been endowed with as complete an impartiality as the wit of man could devise."
b8. No editors put a comma after $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$; i.e. they all take $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta v \nu \alpha \mu ' ́ v o ı s ~ w i t h ~ i t, ~ a n d ~ n o t ~ w i t h ~ к \rho i v \epsilon ı v . ~ I ~$ prefer, though not very confidently, to take the dat. with $\kappa \rho i v \epsilon \iota \nu$, and would therefore insert a comma before roîs. -Ficinus for $\phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ has " esse debere diximus," as if he had read $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon v \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon \sigma \nu$ - - $\tau 0 i ̂ s$ is masc.; Fic. takes it to be neut. ("ad eas lites dirimendas").
c 1. The $\phi v \lambda \epsilon \tau \iota \kappa \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \prime \rho \iota \alpha$ are mentioned below at 915 с 5.
c 2. At 915 c $5 \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \sigma \mathcal{C}$, when used in exactly the same connexion as here, is expanded by the addition of $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta_{\eta} \lambda o v s$ and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$; Ast is therefore probably right (Lex. s.v.) in giving to the word in these two passages, not its ordinary sense of "get rid of," "finish with," but the meaning
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use of $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \eta^{\prime}$ as an adj. is more in accordance with Platonic rusage than its use as a subst., and when Plato does use it as a subst., it is generally-as e.g. at 650 b 9 -in the sense of the science or art of government (Wagner tries, in vain, I think, to fit that sense in here) ; (3) the dependence of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \iota \kappa \eta \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu$ on the neut. © $\in \nu$ ós
 impossible, is awkward.
d5. For this use of $\delta \epsilon^{\prime} \xi \mathcal{G}$ o account, cp. above 718 b 2 . A sketch of the whole, he says, must precede the details of the parts, because in the explanation of these details a reference to other departments is often necessary. Cp. below 812 a 8.
d 7. Here he goes on to say that we have now reached the right (iк $\alpha \nu \eta$ ) point in our sketch where the subject of the appointment of magistrates may end for the present, and the account of laws proper begin. Eicinus and Schneider take $\gamma \in \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \eta \rho$ as a gen. abs. with $\tau \hat{\eta} s \delta_{\epsilon} \epsilon \xi^{\prime} \delta o v$ understood-the latter translating it by "quoniam progressa est." Wagner takes $\gamma \epsilon \nu$. with ai $\rho \in \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega$ " bis zur erfolgenden Wahl der Obrigkeiten." The former is clearly the right view. "You see ( $\nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \eta \nu \nu)$, at this point, now that the general outline has been completed as far as the election of magistrates, this would be a fit conclusion for the preliminary part of our subject."
e 2. каí connects iка $\nu \eta$-which is supposed to be carried on
 led the first three printed edd. to substitute $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \grave{\imath}$ for the MS. $\bar{\epsilon} \tau \tau$. Though Bas. 2 corrected this error, it reappeared in Steph.
e 7. $\phi \iota \lambda^{\prime} \omega$ s : what specially pleases the Athenian's hearers is that he has enabled them to see the subject as a whole in its two main divisions of (1) Political Machinery, and (2) Legal Enactment.

769 a 1. Above at 685 a 7 the Ath. says $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \pi \alpha i \xi o v \tau a s$ $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \nu \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o v a$, and at $712 \mathrm{~b} 1 \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \mu \theta a$. . ,
 There is the same contradiction of terms in Parmenides's $\pi \rho a \gamma-$ $\left.\mu a \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \dot{\delta} \eta{ }^{2} \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha ̀ \nu \pi \alpha \iota\right\} \epsilon \iota \nu($ Parm. 137 b$)$ as in the $\epsilon \mu \dot{\phi} \rho \omega \nu \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \grave{\alpha}$ :. . $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \mu^{\prime} \nu \eta$ here : $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$ involves the notion of a pastime, and of make-believe; but there is a method and a meaning in this $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha$, as is shown by the words $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \iota \omega \dot{\delta} \eta s$ and ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \phi \rho \omega \nu$.
a 3. This contrast is still further brought out by Cleinias's answer. The connexion between the two remarks is better seen when we notice that the first begins with $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ and the second ,with ка入 ${ }^{\prime}$ 'v. "A fine game,", the Ath. says": "A fine piece "of
work," Cleinias answers. Notice also the contrast between $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu .-\delta \eta \lambda o \hat{v} \nu$ is "set forth," "display." The "work" is the actual constitution of Cleinias's new state.
a 7 ff . oî $\theta$ ' ö $\tau \mathrm{c}$ к $\kappa \tau \lambda$., "just as. the artist's brush, you know, seems never to get to the end of its work upon the several figures in his picture, but looks as if it were going on everlastingly heightening colour or 'relieving' it, or whatever the initiated call the process,-never reaching the point at which it admits of no further increase of beauty or vividness." ( $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu \alpha$ comes in better earlier in the English sentence.) Ast wished to eject $\kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$, but it is better to suppose, a conversational inconsequence -"just as the artist's brush, you know," standing for "you know how the artist's brush"; the ка $\theta$ á $\pi \epsilon \rho$ enables us to put in the "how," and marks the simile.
a 8. $\zeta \varphi \omega \nu$ : though $\zeta \hat{\varphi} o \nu$ is used for " picture" below at c 1 and 5 , I think Ast and Stallb. are wrong in translating $\zeta \uparrow \omega \omega$ by "pictures" here; it is "figures."
a. 9. ámoх $\rho a i v \epsilon \iota \nu$ : at Arist. De color. 796 a 24, where, however, there is a variant $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime} \chi \chi \rho \bar{\omega} \int_{\epsilon \tau \alpha l}$, this verb is taken to mean "to change the colour of." If, however, it means here to change, or to tone down, or simply to remove colour, as some have thought, the expression is too straightforward to be technical; and that is what the subsequent words proclaim it to have been. At Rep. 586 c 1 spurious pleasures are said to look like real pleasures because they are "thrown into relief" (à $\pi о \chi \rho a \iota \nu o \mu^{\prime} \nu \alpha$, ) by adjacent pains. The sense of "throw up," "relieve" (by adjacent contrast) also exactly suits our present passage. Therefore I think Ast and Stallb. right (but see Adam, on the Republic passage) in taking the use in the two Platonic passages to be the same. (A.M.A. holds that $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{2} \boldsymbol{\chi} \rho a i v \epsilon \iota \nu$ describes removal of colour, the relief being obtained by contrast, which normally consists of removal or darkening of adjacent colour. The explanation of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \pi^{\alpha} \chi \rho a i v \epsilon i v$ in Tim. Lex.
 be thought that "combination" of colours is akin to the bringing out the force of one colour by the juxtaposition of a contrasted one.)- $\tau o \hat{v} \chi \rho . \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \chi \rho$. and $\kappa о \sigma \mu o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ both depend, in different ways, upon $\pi a v \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$.
b 1. For oi $\zeta \omega \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega \nu \pi a i ̂ \delta \epsilon s \mathrm{cp}$. above on 720 b 5 .—ä้ $\pi a v ́-$ $\sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ is just like $\hat{a}^{\nu} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{\imath} \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ at 767 d 2.
b 2. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ : the words ov̀к $\ddot{\alpha} \nu \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \ldots \pi a v \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ contain the idea "will never reach a particular final point," and on this idea depends "such as to admit of no further improvement."
b 5. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \prime$, "alioqui," Ast ; cp. on 669 b 6 . A clear case of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ "although." All interpreters but Ast and Jowett seem to have taken $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о \dot{v} \omega \nu$ as governing $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$, as if Cl. said "listening to your words teaches me," and translate $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon$ by since, or for, as if it introduced a reason why it was only by such listening that he could learn. This is far-fetched. What Cl. says is: "I know pretty well from hearsay what you mean, though I am no expert in the painter's craft."-The кaì av́ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ós is best translated by emphasizing the first $I$.
b 6 ff . "That doesn't matter ; we can easily use the abovementioned fact about it as an illustration."
 "Let us put it to the following use-such as to ask . . ."
c 1. 广设 -for "pieture" may perhaps be seen in the Art term "still life."
c 1-8. From Ast downwards, all edd. have adopted Van Heusde's correction of the MS. $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \omega$ to $\tau \epsilon \dot{\omega}_{s}$; but there remain two violent breaks in the construction-quite (pace O. Apelt p. 4) beyond the range, I think, of Platonic anacolutha. The second is the jump from $\tau 0 \hat{v} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \nu 0 \rho \theta_{0} \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon$ in c 4 to oîós $\tau \epsilon \not{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ in c 6 . This is entirely obviated by supposing, with Herm., that Plato wrote not $\tau o \hat{v}$ but ôs before $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \pi \alpha \nu \rho \theta o \hat{v} \nu$. Schanz alone ventures to follow Herm. in his text. The $\tau \epsilon$ and the каí link the two clauses together in a way which is impossible if the text stands as the MSS. have it.—The earlier break is in c 1-3 кaì $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau^{\prime} . . . \chi^{\prime} o ́ v o v$, and should be remedied, I think, by inserting <íćvai> after $\dot{\alpha} \in i-$ for which some early copies seem to have had $\delta \grave{\eta}$. If this $\delta \grave{\eta}$ was a true variant, and not a corrector's guess, it points to some confusion in the text at this point; and it does not seem impossible that $i^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\nu} \alpha \_$—which exactly represents Ficinus's progrediatur-should have been accidentally omitted. Without some such addition I think it is impossible to arrive at any of the renderings which have been given for the passage. The ró before $\phi$ av ${ }^{\prime}$ ót $\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\rho o v}$ in $\mathrm{O}^{2} \mathrm{I}$ take to be a guess, made to bring it into line with $\tau o ̀ \beta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \tau \iota o v$, by a corrector who did not see that the tó does not go with $\beta \epsilon \in \lambda \tau \iota o v$ but with $\ddot{\iota} \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ (intr.). Whether we insert the $\tau o ́$ or not, we cannot construe the sentence satisfactorily if we take í $\sigma \chi \in \iota \nu$ as transitive; nor has $i \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, however we take it, any satisfactory predicate in the received reading. Ficinus's rendering is: "quod non ad peius sed ad melius futuro tempore progrediatur." (A.M.A. suggests taking $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau^{\prime}$ to be the subject to $\grave{i} \sigma \chi \in \iota \nu$ in the sense of $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota-\mathrm{cp} . \beta \epsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ at e.1.)
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 Ficinus among previous interpreters on his side.- $\tau i v a \operatorname{\tau } \tau \rho_{0}^{\prime} \pi o v \delta i \delta$. $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ is a dependent interrogative explaining what $\tau 0 \hat{i} \tau 0$ is; the
 $o \quad \pi \omega s$ at 770 d 1 . A's A', acc. to Schanz, has $\tau \iota \omega$ à the scribe evidently


 already mentioned admit of six different translations of the passage ; and there is further the doubt which has been felt whether $\pi p i v$
 or (2) "until he succeeds in his object," or (3) Stallb. thinks it may mean "while life lasts." (2) is right, I think.-As to the reading in e5, Ald. was no doubt right in correcting the MS. $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau 0 v$ to $\tau o \hat{\imath} \tau o$. Possibly the scribes understood $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o v$ to be còv $\nu о \mu_{0} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \nu$, but more likely the final $v$ was accidental. (I think Fic. read $\tau \iota \nu \alpha$, and either read or put in a кaí after $v \delta \mu o v s$; but. his translation is not literal enough to indicate his reading clearly.) We may translate: "Well, supposing a man discovers a way to teach another, however imperfectly, by precept or example, the right method of conserving or improving laws, he will persevere, won't he, in his explanation of his method, until he succeeds?"

770 a 6. ${ }_{\epsilon} v{ }^{2} \delta v \sigma \mu a i ̂ s ~ \tau o v ~ \beta i o v: ~ t h e ~ r e a d i n g ~ a t ~ A r i s t . ~ P o e t . ~ 1457 ~$ b24, which attributes this phrase to Empedocles, rests on inferior MS. authority ; but it looks like a sensible correction of Aristotle's text, and may even have had the support of some independent tradition unknown to us.
a 8. кaì rovítovs, " them too" (as well as ourselves).--aủroús, "at the same time," goes with the following words. (Schneider's "hos quoque ipsos" is pointless.)
b 1. Cl. "Certainly ; if we can."
b 5. $\grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi о \lambda \lambda a \pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon i \not q o \mu \epsilon \nu$, " we lawgivers shall leave innumerable deficiencies in each of the subjects about which we legislate"; i.e. "in every division of our legislation."-For the

 $\sigma v ́ \mu \phi \notin \rho \sigma \nu$.
b 6 ff. ov $\mu{ }_{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \ldots \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \eta \gamma \eta \theta^{\prime} \varphi$, "at the same time we shall do our best to provide what I may call a sketch of the important details, and the general outline. This sketch it will be for you to turn into the finished picture."
c 2. áv $\tau$ á, "what it. is."-the guiding principle, i.e., indicated
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. at c 5 :-The following passage is a reminiscence of 630 e 2 and 631 d , which is again recalled below at 963 a 3 .
c 7-e 6. "Our unanimous decision amounted briefly to this: in whatsoever way our citizen's nature, be it of man or woman, young or old, was likely to achieve a full measure of the excellence of soul of which it is capable, as the result of some occupation, some habit, some kind of possession or desire, or opinion, or of some mental discipline, towards this same object every nerve shall be strained as long as life lasts; nobody in any station must show a preference for any kind of thing that thwarts these means (of achieving perfection) ; he must sacrifice even the state, if it appears' necessary that it should be overturned, sooner than see it bow to a servile yoke at the bidding of its meaner citizens, or else he must give up the state and become an exile. Any such fate must be suffered by men sooner than they should accept a régime productive of their deterioration."
 -For $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu 0 \tau^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \nu$ cp. on 769 e 6 .
d 3. For $\pi o \iota \hat{a}_{S} \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ Apelt ( 1901 Prag ) would read $\pi o \tau^{\prime}$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$; after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \mu a \tau o s$, however, $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ is de trop. [F.H.D. " probably right ; see 896 d."]-The ( $\epsilon \kappa$ ) $\mu a \theta \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$ пот' $\tau \iota \nu \omega \nu$ is a foreshadowing of the $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha a \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i a$ of 965 b 1.
d5. This $\delta \pi \omega \omega$ is the indirect form of $\dot{\omega}$, and introduces the gist of the above-mentioned $\sigma v \gamma \chi^{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma \iota s$.
d 6. Stephanus's restoration of $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ for the MS. $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ is confirmed by Ficinus's " omni studio tendat."
d7. $\tau$ ov́roıs is not (as Ficinus) "this object," but these $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \eta$ $\delta \epsilon ข ́ \mu a \tau a, \ddot{\eta} \theta \eta \kappa \tau \lambda$.
e 1. $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ó $\sigma \tau \iota \sigma o \hat{v} \nu$ : i.e. whether he be an official or a private citizen. (Stallb. prefers $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ó ot $\iota o \hat{v}$, which occurs in a MS. of no authority.) - $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \omega \tau \overline{\omega \nu}$ : so MSS. Here we approach the central knot in this bundle of entanglement. I see no way of untying it. It has been cut in different ways. From Stallb. I would adopt
 put a comma after $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, and read $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ for $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, taking it with $\pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{s}$ in the sense of "part with the state," "sacrifice the state" (on the analogy of $\beta$ iov, $\lambda$ ó ${ }^{\prime}$ ov $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ). It seems to me that we want two alternatives of which $\ddot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon^{\prime}$ 're $\epsilon \nu$ is the second, the infinitivès being governed by an imaginary "but must choose " implied, by contrast, in $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \rho o \tau \iota \mu \omega \nu$. If we
have no such infinitive in the place of $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ we are driven (with Fic.) to take ${ }^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$. as the alternative to $\lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu-i . e$. (do something) "sooner than either sit down under the rule of mean men, or go into exile"; and it is not clear what the "something" is: Ficinus takes it to be "die for his country." But even if he could get this out of $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ каì $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, and could fit in $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \alpha \nu .$. $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, the words $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o \iota \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ in e 4 imply that more sacrifices (than that of life alone) have been mentioned. His translation is: "Pro patria praeterea, si necesse sit, mori paratus sit antequam velit aut eversam videre civitatem ingoque servitutis subiectam a peioribus gubernari, aut fuga ipsam deserere."-Of the alternatives as I read them, the latter (exile) would only be adopted when the "right minded" were too few to make a fight; the former danger (extinction of the state) would result when neither side was strong enough to gain the upper hand, and they destroyed each other. (Ast would read каì $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ảvá $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau o s$,
 (1) banishment, (2) flight.—Stallb. keeps ává $\sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu$, makes $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ depend on it, reads ímoúívarav, and, like many editors, puts only a comma after $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$. Wagner would read $\tau o ̀ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ for $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$; he supplies, I imagine, $\epsilon^{\prime} a ̀ \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta$ фаív $\eta \tau \alpha \iota$ with $\lambda \epsilon i ́-$ $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu$. With these two interpreters $\dot{\omega}$ s in e 4 is not for, but that. Schanz reads úrro $\mu \in i ́ v a s$ with Ast, and rejects $\eta$ そ with Madvig.)
e7. $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i \hat{\imath}$, like the $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \bar{s}$ at b 5 , is emphatic: that was "we lawgivers"; this is "you vo $\mu о \phi \dot{v} \lambda a \kappa \epsilon$ s."- $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ goes with $\tau 0 \grave{v} s$ $\nu o ́ \mu o ̀ v s .-T h e ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ є $\epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ (and the $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ in the following line) are not, as Ast and Stallb., (1) private, and (2) public, virtue, but (1) the encouragement of such pursuits etc. as are helpful to virtue (d2), and (2) the discouragement of öтога $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi o ́ \delta \iota a$ тov́тoıs (d7). —I accept unhesitatingly O. Apelt's (p. 11) restitution of $\epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon$ for the MS. $\epsilon \pi \mu \iota \nu \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$; "pass in review" exactly describes the action proper to the vo $\mu \circ \phi \dot{\chi} \lambda a \kappa \epsilon s$. Above at 708 e 1,0 and all the inferior MSS. had $\epsilon \pi a \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ where A alone had preserved є $\pi \alpha \nu \iota \omega \nu$. Cp. also 693 c 6, Theaet. 186 b 8.

77 I a 1. Ficinus puts in eas inquam vituperate after vó $\mu$ ovs; acting on this hint, or on one from Cornarius, Steph. silently inserts $\psi^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ into the Gk. text at that point.
a 3. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ : the worst of it is that some of these $\epsilon \mu \pi o ́ \delta \iota a-e . g$. great wealth-are regarded as legitimate objects of ambition.
 somewhat as follows, keeping religion in view from the first."

## Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page


special class." Cp. also Laws 848 a 7 . (Grou conjectured $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime}-$ $\pi \mu \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha \iota \nu$, and Ast accepted it. Ficinus takes $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \theta a ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ with $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \nu \epsilon \mu \eta \theta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \alpha \iota v$, "si . . . ad alteram partem . . . accesserint.")
c 7. т $\hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho о v^{\prime} \eta \eta$ фク́ $\mu \eta$ каi $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ : hendiadys, "the principle just enunciated."
d 1. $\tau u v ́ \tau \eta v: ~ S c h n e i d e r, ~ w h o ~ t r a n s l a t e s ~ " e t ~ d i s t r i b u t i o n e m ~$ hanc faciamus," apparently takes $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu$ to stand for $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \nu o \mu \eta \eta_{\nu} \nu$ $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu$; all other interpreters take it to mean $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ or $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$. Ast reads avitท́v for it. Ficinus leaves it. out in his translation.
d3. 'є $\pi$ ' av̉́roîs: i.e. at the altars (Schn. "ad eos"; apparently he takes av́roîs to refer to the patron deities).
d 4. $\delta \omega \dot{\delta} \epsilon \kappa \kappa \alpha \mu_{\grave{\epsilon} \nu}^{\nu}$. . . $\delta \iota \alpha \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega}$ : apparently each tribe had one rural, and one urban festival every month; the former for the tribe as a whole; the latter for that tribe's division of the city proper (cp. above 745 e 2).
d5. $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. . . $\theta \epsilon \sigma$ ós : in the previous exposition of the advantages of these religious $\sigma v v^{2} o \delta o \iota$ or $\sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda o \gamma o \iota$ at 738 d 6 , the advantages were likewise represented as being of two kinds: (1) religious, and (2) social. The second class there corresponds almost exactly to the second class here. The first there was expressed in the difficult words ö $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ä $\nu$. . . $\epsilon i s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \chi \rho \epsilon i \alpha s$
 sent the first class I take to mean " to secure the favour of heaven and all the heavenly influences," taking $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \theta \epsilon o v^{\prime}$ as well as $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ to depend on $\chi$ ápıтos. At 796 c 3 we shall find a similar difficulty in dealing with the elusive word $\chi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota s-\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota \nu \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha s$. Here it has generally been interpreted to mean either gratitude, or worship (and by some $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\imath} \theta \epsilon o v$ s is made to depend directly upon $\left.{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \kappa \alpha\right)$ : "primum quidem diis habendae gratiae et rerum divinarum causa." (Schneider)-" haec deorum primo divinorumque colendorum gratia ita fiant" (Ficinus). (A.M.A. agrees with this.)
d7. $\dot{\omega} s \phi \alpha \hat{\imath} \mu \in \nu \stackrel{\mu}{\alpha} \nu$ marks the inclusion of the last named object as an opinion for which the speaker is personally responsible. The necessity of mutual acquaintance to the members of a community was enlarged on at 738 e 1 ff .
e'1. $\pi \rho o ́ s, "$ in view of."

 in thought as their antecedent.- $\tau \iota s$ is almost equal to a plural" people."-We may translate: "to put an end to ignorance of brides' families and brides themselves, as well as of families into
which daughters marry." For the neut. plur. cp. $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi о \nu \pi \alpha$ at 772
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \rho \tau \alpha$ тò̀s ${ }^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \omega \gamma^{\prime} \in \nu o v s$, and Soph. Phil. 448 f . There is no need, with Ast, to write $\hat{\eta} \nu$ for $\hat{\alpha}$.
e 5. $\sigma \pi \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta} s$. . . $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota a ̀ s ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \chi o \rho \epsilon v ́ o v \tau \alpha s: ~ P l a t o ~ i s ~ n e v e r ~$ tired of finding "earnest" in pleasurable sport ; cp. 67.2 e 5 ö $\lambda \eta$
 Mov́ras $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon i ́ a \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ каi $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \alpha, v$, and the place assigned to pleasure, and festivals in the theory of education as expounded at 653 c ff.

772 a 2. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$. . . $\pi \rho \circ \phi \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ : thi is not to be done at all times, and as a matter of course ; a reason must be assigned, and a particular age fixed on. Some old story might associate such relaxation of ordinary rules with a particular age, and so give it a quasi-religious sanction. The tıvòs is possibly an indication that $\dot{\eta} \lambda_{\iota \kappa i ́ a}$ is not used in the ordinary sense of time of life, but in that of occasion, season ; cp., however, Symp. 206 c $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \not{\epsilon} v$ $\tau \iota \nu \iota \dot{\eta} \lambda_{\iota \kappa} \iota_{\imath} \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.
a 3. $\mu^{\prime} \chi \chi \rho \iota \pi \epsilon$ aíoov̂s $\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho o \nu o s{ }^{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, "under the restraint of a clean-minded shame on the part of all." A $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \phi \rho \omega \nu$ aio $\omega$ s would admit of greater relaxation than an aí $\hat{\omega}^{\prime}$ s of the wrong

 suggests that there might be some who would not be fit for such a function.-For the connexion of ai $\delta \omega \omega^{\prime} s$ and $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma v v^{\prime} \eta$ cp.
 and Charm. 160 ef.
a 5. $\tau o \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi о \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ă $\rho \chi о \nu \tau \alpha s$ каї vo $\mu о \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \alpha s:$ these would be the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda_{o} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ oi $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \chi{ }^{\circ} \rho \omega \delta^{\prime} \hat{c}^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ mentioned above at 764 e 2; vo $\mu \boldsymbol{0} \theta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \alpha$ s seems used here in the limited sense of $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda_{o} \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \tau, \alpha s$.
a 6. ő $\sigma o \nu{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu$, " wherever," lit. "to whatever extent."-The Aldine $\tau a ́ \tau \tau o v \tau a s$ looks simpler at first, but $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau o \nu \tau \epsilon S$ goes rather better with the neut. sing. ö́oov. (If $\tau a ́ \tau \tau o v \tau a s$ be read it would seem better to put the comma after vo $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{0} \theta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \alpha s$ instead of after . vo оофv $\ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu$.) Schneider and Burnet are the only editors retaining the MS. text. (Schneider, and others, take vo ${ }^{\circ} \theta^{\prime}$ '́tas to be predicative, i.e. coupled by каí with ' 'тг $\mu є \lambda \eta \tau \alpha ́ s$ and $\kappa о \sigma \mu \eta \tau \alpha ́ s)$. Ald. also changed the MS. ö $\sigma o \nu$ to ö $\sigma \omega \nu$, but only the next three
 is a mistake for $\dot{\alpha}^{\prime} \theta \lambda_{o} \theta^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \alpha$ ?
a 7. Ast would make ő $\sigma \alpha \sigma \mu \iota \rho \rho \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi о \lambda \lambda \nless \alpha$ the direct obj.
of $\hat{\epsilon}^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$, but it is best to take ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \alpha \kappa \tau \lambda$. closely with $\tau 0 \iota \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$, and supply " aliquid" (Schn.) with '̇к $\kappa \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$.
b 2. кат’ Є́vıavтóv, "quotannis," strengthened and amplified by the $\dot{\alpha} \in i$; "in each succeeding year."
b 4. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \omega \mathrm{S}{ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \rho o s \kappa \tau \lambda$., " until the regulations for such proceedings shall seem to have been sufficiently defined."
b 5. The MS. Xooòs for $\chi$ póvos was very likely due to the ö $\rho o s$ in the preceding line.
b 6. As no MS. has a possible reading, we shall do well to follow Schanz and Burnet in adopting what is by far the best of the conjectures in place of the $\delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \rho \iota s$ of $A$ and O, i.e. Schneider's $\delta \in \kappa \alpha \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i s$. This, like the vulgate $\delta \in \kappa \alpha \epsilon \in \tau \eta \rho o s$, might be an adj., in which case the genitives $\theta v \sigma \iota \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\chi o \rho \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$ would depend on $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho i ́ a s$, but it is best to take it as a noun on which the two genitives depend directly: "a ten years' cycle of festal sacrifice .and dance would be a reasonable and adequate period to assign" (lit. $\tau \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon i$ is "if assigned ") " for each and all of the details." (Ast, holding that $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha$ каi ${ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha$, and the lawgiver's enactments, both during and after the $\chi$ рóvos $\tau \alpha \chi \theta \epsilon i ́ s$, refer, not to $i \in \rho \alpha ́$ alone, but to all legislation, would reject the words $\theta v \sigma \iota \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \chi o \rho \epsilon \iota \omega \nu$; but, as Ritter says (p. 171), the mention at d 2 of $\theta \epsilon \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu \mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon i \alpha s$ favours the general view that the whole passage refers to $i \in \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ alone.
c 1. $\kappa о \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ : i.e. in consultation with the lawgiver.
c 2. єí $\phi^{\prime} \rho \in \epsilon \nu$ here means "report."— $-\tau \hat{\eta} s a \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\sigma} \cdot \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, " within the sphere of their office."
c 3. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \nu$ : i.e. "̈́к. $\tau \grave{̀} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$.
c 4. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~s} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \xi \epsilon \iota \rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$ depends on and explains $\tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s$.
c 6. av̇тoîs : i.e. the various officials.
c 7. "к $\kappa \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ proprie dicitur quicquid inopinatum et repentino quasi impetu nos deprehendit, et in universum quod
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ is used, I think, -as at 850 c $2 \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ каi $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \alpha s$ т $\nu \nu$ $\pi o ́ \lambda_{\iota} \nu$-rather in the sense of consult, lay a matter before, than in that of visit ; and so it governs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi^{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu o \nu$ as well as $\mu a v \tau \epsilon i \alpha a s$. The $\chi \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \rho \chi o v \tau \epsilon S$ and the $\nu o \mu o \phi v ́ \lambda \alpha \kappa \epsilon S$ are to consult first the whole body of state officials, next the public assembly, and thirdly all the oracles. A single objection from any of these quarters is to be fatal to a project of change.
d4. For кратєîv-abs. in the sense of "to have the best of it" cp. Phaedr. 272 b ó $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \theta_{o ́ \mu \epsilon v o s ~} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \rho a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, and below 839 a 4 and 5, Tim. 54 a.
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accumulate in a narrow area, and a similar excess of poverty will be found at the other end of the scale.
a 7. In the same way endowments of mind and character must be tempered by the admixture of opposites, if the state is to de manned in a salutary fashion. (Plato would doubtless, in modern times, have counselled alliances between families of opposite political views.)
b 5. $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v^{\prime} \omega \gamma^{\prime} \mu o \nu$ sounds like a poetical.expression ; possibly it is a reminiscence of Eur. I.A. $847 \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon v\left(\omega\right.$ $\gamma^{\prime} \mu o v s$ ov̉к ôv oras ஸs $\epsilon \ddot{\xi} \xi \alpha \sigma \iota$.
b 6. $\phi^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime} \pi \omega s \kappa \tau \lambda$. : again it is the family with which the alliance is to be made, rather than the positive qualities of the particular bride or bridegroom, which are supposed to determine the choice. It does not seem to have occurred to Plato that personal inclination, if more play were allowed to it, might, act in the same way as the counsels of oi $\epsilon \mu \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon s$.

c 2. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ is emphatic, "to us, the founders of this state," as
 772 c 6.
c 3. $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v, ~ " e x p r e s s l y " ~(n o t ~ " p e r ~ r a t i o n e m " ~ a s ~ F i c) ..-. ~$ $v o ́ \mu \omega$ is an instrumental dat. (not "add to the law". as Jowett.).
c 7. $\gamma \epsilon \lambda o i ̂ \alpha$ qualifies the intinitives $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha ́ \varrho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. So at Xen. Mem. iv. 2.32 каì тò íyıaívєıv каì тò vơєîv . . . $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \ddot{\prime} \eta$.
 the MS. ** $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \gamma \in i \rho a \iota$. Both $A$ and $O$ have a blank space between $\theta v \mu \grave{o} \nu$ and $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \rho a \iota$, which may well have been filled with $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$, due to dittography of the first syllable of the already misread $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho \alpha \iota$. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \in i \quad \rho \in \iota \nu$ is far commoner in Plato than $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \gamma \in i \rho \in \iota \nu$, more particularly in the figurative sense-cp. Rep. 440 c 5 ov́к
 form in -a८ (cp. above 719 e 3) was not so unusual in Homer as in Attic prose, and was perhaps adopted here from a vague reminiscence of Homer's T $\rho \omega \sigma \grave{\nu} \theta \nu \mu o ̀ \nu$ є́ $\gamma \epsilon \hat{i} \rho a \iota$, though the latter, like the Homeric $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu o s \epsilon_{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\iota} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ (with dat.), is used, not in the sense of incense, but in that of "put heart into."-The ,early printed edd. insert каi before $\theta v \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \gamma \epsilon i \rho a \iota$.
d1. ठíкך $\kappa \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} \rho о$. . $\kappa \kappa \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$, ",mixed after the fashion of a drinkers' bowl."-o $\hat{\hat{v}} \kappa \tau \lambda$., "in which the wine, when poured in, is hot to madness, but when chastened by another and a sober divinity, thanks to good company, yields, a wholesome
and innocuous beverage."- $\mu \alpha \iota \nu^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{o s}$ is not merely an epithet of oîvos; it is part of the predicate.-At $A n$ seni, etc. 792 b
 Plutarch's comment at $D e$ aud. poet. 15 e illustrates ${ }^{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \theta$ òv каi
 ov́ $\sigma v \nu \alpha \iota \rho o \hat{v} \sigma a$ тò $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \mu o v$. [F.H.D. cps. also Phil. 61 c 6.] To Athenaeus this passage is simply a "familiar quotation"; he applies it, in a manner quite inconsistent with its context, merely to enforce the precept ovं $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota v$. [Longinus] $\Pi \epsilon \rho i \stackrel{v}{v} \psi o v s$ says that in the judgement of many Plato's own style here needs the chastening of a "spirit of soberness": vńфov $\tau \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \rho, \phi \alpha \sigma i, \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu \tau o ̀$
 $\nu \eta ́ \phi o v \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota$.
 leave such matters" (Jowett), nor even, as Schneider and Wagner, " we must omit such matters in our law"; the following $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ clause shows that we ought to supply $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ from it, and translate " (the wise man) must give up trying to attain such objects by law."
 persuasive power of $\mu \circ v \sigma \iota \kappa \eta$; similarly at 671 a 1 $\epsilon \pi \omega \delta o ̀ \nu \gamma^{\prime} \gamma-$
 and 944 b 3 тoıav̂ $\tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \mu \nu \theta o v ́ \mu \epsilon v o s \in \pi \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \iota v$, and Rep. 608 a 3
 $\epsilon \pi \varphi \delta \eta^{\prime} \nu$.
 he said the state would be ill-balanced if some citizens were excessively rich while others were excessively poor; here he points to the fear that the natures of the offspring would be one-sided if the temperaments of the parents both inclined towards the same extreme. There he was thinking of the external circumstances of the citizens; here of the natures and temperaments of the children. It is not easy to determine whether $\delta \mu$. $\alpha \dot{v} \tau$. aن́ $\tau$. means that the object which each single father ( $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau о \nu$ ) must have in view is (1) the approximation of all citizens to a common type, (2) the resemblance of the man's own children to each other-so Wagner-or (3) the "equability"-so Schneider and Jowett-of each child's own temperament. The emphatic $a v ่ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ inclines me to the third interpretation. Schanz says A has av̉roîs.
e1. Steph. was the first editor to print $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \sigma \tau \sigma v$ for the vox nihili $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau o v$ of the MSS., though $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ made the correction.—The insatiability of those who desire the wrong sort
of "equality" is, by a rhetorical figure, transferred to the equality itself.
e 4. $\beta i a\}^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu-w h i c h$ is a variety in expression for $\beta^{\prime} \dot{q}$-is antithetic to $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ óveíסovs; like $\epsilon \pi \pi \alpha ́ \delta o v \tau \alpha$ in $d 6$ it agrees with the subject of $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \imath$, on which $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \rho \in \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ depends. (Schanz
 for the inf. ; while Ast compares it to idiomatic participles after verbs of saying and perceiving where we should expect an inf.)
e 6. $\epsilon \mu \pi \pi \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : at 721 b 6 ff . Here we have a further glimpse into Plato's deepest thoughts on human destiny. There we read that $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \iota \varsigma$, the power of reproduction, gives the human race a hold on immortality; here he says that $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota$, provides for the continuous service of $\tau \grave{o} \pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \nu(728 \mathrm{~d} 1$ ), the supreme object of worship, and implies that only those who serve the Highest get into touch with $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha} \epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon v o \hat{v} \rho \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$-real, indestructible existence. Thus we are led on to that wonderful passage at 903 c , where we are told that every $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon \in \sigma \iota s$ fashions an instrument for helping to secure the felicity of the universe, and that the great mistake to which each insignificant mortal is liable is to fancy that the universe is made for him, and not he for it.



e 7. Steph. first corrected the MS. к $\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \pi \sigma \nu \tau \iota$ to the acc., though he left the dat. in his text.



a 2. ís $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \gamma \alpha \mu \epsilon i \nu:$ these words are difficult. Ficinus, misled either by his text or by his eye, seems to have joined them to the $\pi \epsilon \rho i \gamma^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ in 773 e 5 ; for there his translation is: "ad nuptias igitur, ut decet, celebrandas"; here it is merely "de nuptiis ineundis." Wagner takes $\dot{\omega}$ s to be how-going back, i.e., to the subject of the sort of marriage which is advisable-a subject discussed above at 773 aff .-he would even read ov̂s for $\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}$. But the following threat of penalties upon obstinate bachelors is in favour of Schneider's and Jowett's view that $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$ s is that, and that the' words mean "that marriage is a duty," and depend rather
 may have been a marginal heading which strayed into the text. Its place in Ficinus's translation gives some slight support to this view.
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The negatives are difficult ; for they do not negative the participles so much as $\gamma \eta \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota$. We may translate: "But I would return to the subject, and insist that there is no great probability that, on account of dearth of money, whether in the case of the bridegroom, or in that of the bride's father, the poor should fail to reach old age." The reason follows: "in our state there is no abject poverty." The early printed edd. turned $\tau$ ò into $\tau \hat{\omega}$, and so all subsequent edd. except Schanz and Burnet. Another alteration of this passage suggested in the margin of O and the Florentine MSS. was the substitution of $\delta \iota \delta \delta^{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\gamma \eta \rho \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$. Ficinus read $\gamma \eta \rho a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$. Ast and Stallb. welcome this change, and take $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ as an inf. with an imperative force: "we must teach the poor that it is as broad as it is long if a dowry is neither given nor received, all being equally poor," "propterea quod isto modo omnibus sit pecuniarum pecunia" Stallb. The following $\gamma{ }^{\prime} \rho$ clause does not in the least support a statement of this nature. Schneider and Schanz give the passage up and suppose a lacuna after $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \iota$. Apelt proposes to read $\gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha i \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ for $\gamma \eta \rho \alpha{ }^{\sigma} \kappa \kappa \epsilon \nu$, retaining the unauthorized $\tau \hat{\omega}$, and expressing doubt about the previous part of the sentence. His idea is that Plato is here urging us to pay respect to parents.
 $\dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \sigma a$ stands for $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \alpha^{\rho} \chi \eta$.- The gen. after $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ is unusual; Schanz emends it to $\tau 0$ iss.-It would be better to put a colon after $\pi \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \epsilon \iota$ what follows is a distinct reason for dispensing with dowries.
c 7. $\ddot{v} \beta \rho \iota s$ : Stallb. ad loc., and Bekker in the Excursus to the twelfth chapter of Charicles have collected many passages from ancient writers illustrative of the airs and tyranny of the uxor dotata
 arguments, speaking of dowries as "frena." The original reading in A and O was $v ँ \beta \rho \epsilon \iota s$; the correction made by $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ to $\ddot{v} \beta \rho \iota s$ has been universally adopted. Steph.'s $\bar{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \nu$ for $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$ has no MS. authority. The adverb fits both clauses better than the
 is not conclusive for $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$, though somewhat. in its favour.
 to his credit."- $\eta$ : we should have expected an explanatory $\kappa \alpha i$ instead of the first $\eta^{\eta}$. This $\eta^{\prime}$ is not or, but either, or whether. The early printed edd., not seeing this, coolly put in $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ before it; they also, de suo, changed the $\delta \epsilon$ before $\mu \nu \hat{a} s$ to $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon$. Contrary to his usual custom, Plato here begins the enumeration
of the four classes at the bottom. The sentence leaves several points unexpressed, and to be supplied from the context; if all were
 $<\ddot{\alpha} \xi(a>\kappa \tau \lambda$.
 well be marked off as a parenthesis. Their addition renders the corresponding additions in the previous clauses unnecessary. [F.H.D. suggests that the words are a commentator's "gloss."]
 the right reading. The scribes of these MSS., however, knew of a variant $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \iota i ̈$ for $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \sigma^{\prime}(\varphi$, which variant is the text reading of A, which has the correct reading in a late hand in the margin. A further knows of a variant $\dot{o} \phi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ for $\dot{o} \phi \epsilon \epsilon \lambda \hat{\epsilon} \tau \omega$. -The author leaves us in some doubt as to the nature of the penalty. It is clear that the temple stewards concerned are to confiscate the surplus money or goods given with the bride; but it is not stated whether one or both of the guilty parties-and if one which-is to pay the equivalent fine to the public exchequer. We may conclude that in case of a marriage between members of different property-classes the rate of the higher class would fix the amount.
 This payment by the defaulting stewards would apparently go to the temple treasuries.-Stallb. cps. Plut. Solon ch. xx. $\tau \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$

 There probably the $\phi \epsilon \rho \nu \eta$ was not the dowry in general, but only the trousseau.
e 4. $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma \dot{\prime} \eta \nu \quad$ : Herm. De vest. p. 9 (note 25) notices that the two points in which Plato's law differs from that given at Dem. Contra Steph. p. 1134 are (1) that Plato characteristically admits relatives on the female side, and (2) mentions the grandfather as coming before the brother.—Steph. would write $\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} \eta \nu$ for $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o v$. The case is like that of $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu$ at c 7 ; he has not here, however, any support from Ficinus, who has primum for $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} o v$, deinde for $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \in \rho a v$, tertia for $\tau \rho i ́ \tau \eta \nu$.
e7. L and $O$ do not share A's mistake of $\sigma v \mu \beta a i v \epsilon \iota$ for $\sigma v \mu \beta a i v \eta$.
e 8. кvpíovs: the adj. can be applied, in a slightly different sense of course, to the people who are capable of making a "valid" betrothal. On the validity of the betrothal depended the legitimacy of the children of the marriage.
e 9. For $\pi \rho o \tau_{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon \epsilon a}$ cp. schol. on Aristoph. Thesm. $973{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{H} \rho a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$
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 $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$. See also Ruhnken, Tim. s.v.-For the MS. $\ddot{\eta} \tau \iota s$ I think we ought to read $\ddot{\eta} \tau i s$. ó $\sigma a$ and $\tau i \prime s$ would then both introduce interrogative sentences dependent in grammar on $\epsilon \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$. Stallb. wished to read $\hat{\eta} \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau s$.
 $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, "and be quite satisfied to do as they tell him."-
 759 c d.
a 4. For the "absolute" $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́$ clause Stallb. cps. Phaedr. 250 c 8 $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda$ ovs $\kappa \tau \lambda$.
a 5. Ficinus unaccountably has ex latere paterno for what in our MS. text is $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$; so Serranus ex parte patris.
a 7. єis $\chi \rho \eta \mu \mu \tau \dot{\alpha}: ~ c p$. above on 774 b 4 .-As at 774 d 4 , the graduated arrangement of property-classes forms a framework which renders full expression of the points connected with each stage unnecessary ; $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \tau \tau \omega$ is loose for "the man of the highest class."
a 8. $\epsilon \in \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} s$ oü $\tau \omega, \kappa \alpha \theta \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$, "just in series according as . .."
 $\nu v \mu \phi \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} s$ Mov́vas $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ : for the two ideas cp. Rep. 403 c l $\psi o ́ \gamma o v$
 for a simple possessive genitive cp. above on 685 c 2 . For the genitive
 Jowett is right, as against all other interpreters, in giving $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ its technical musical sense. Laws are not things you are educated in ; music is. It may be said that "the laws of the hymeneal Muses" is itself a figurative expression for "a cultivated, liberal state of mind and feeling"; but the Muses are not readily compared to legislators; and the sense of strains or melodies, or harmonies fits the phrase better: "as a vulgar soul that is not attuned to the melodies of the Muse of marriage."
b 4. The subject of excessive drinking comes in naturally on the mention of the wedding feast.
 (coming after ov $\tau \epsilon \not{ }_{\alpha} \lambda^{2} \lambda_{o} \theta_{i}^{\prime} \pi o v$ ) is "above all" (it is out of place, and dangerous).-The MSS. of Athenaeus, who quotes this passage at x. 39, have ov' $\delta^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda_{o} \theta \iota$, and ov' $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon_{s}$; the Plato MSS. have ov่̉ $\epsilon$ in both places. Dindorf corrected the first ov' $\delta$ ' in Athenaeus, and Bekker the second ov̈ $\tau \epsilon$ in Plato.
c 2. I am convinced that we ought to put a full stop after
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book. At 753 e 8 we were told that the proverb $\alpha \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \eta^{\prime} \mu \iota \sigma v$ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o ́ s$ did not honour ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi$ ' as highly as it deserved, and again at 765 e 3 the significance and importance of $\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \beta \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \tau \tau-$ - the seeds and weak beginnings" Hen. IV. Part 2, iii. 1. 85-was eloquently described.-Ast suggests that kai may be a misreading of the tachygraphical sign for $\omega \mathrm{s}$. This would give us an easier sentence, but we are not driven to this assumption-still less to Schanz's athetesis of каi $\theta$ єós : the emphasizing каí and the abrupt identification of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ with the divine power seem not out of place in such a striking sentence. Probably Schanz, like Stallb., took the $\theta \epsilon o ́ s$ to be the deity mentioned at c $4-\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$. $\quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma(\hat{\omega} \zeta \epsilon \iota$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ was very likely a proverbial saying. (Apelt holds каi $\theta$ єós
 "der sich durch Gewohnheit fest eingewurzelt hat." But does not this make the following if clause superfluous? ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \rho \chi \chi^{\prime}$ cannot gain a firm footing unless it is duly honoured.)
e 3. $i \delta \rho v \mu \epsilon v \eta$ : $i \delta \rho \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$ is the regular word for the establishment of a divinity.
e 5. $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \nu$ oíкíaıv: the $\delta$ vo oiк $\bar{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ belonging to each $\kappa \lambda \hat{\eta} \rho o s$ mentioned at 745 e 4.

776 a 1. $v \epsilon \sigma \tau \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ : there is here none of the disapproval which was implied in the use of this metaphor at Rep. 548 a, where he speaks of wedded homes as $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\omega} s \nu \epsilon o \tau \tau \iota \alpha{ }_{s}$ ioías.
a 2. $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ is the most significant verb in this passage. The motive for this separation from the paternal home is explained by the following $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ clause, and its necessity is again urged at a 7 ff . vo $\mu^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ is subordinate to $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$; the $\tau \epsilon$, inserted after the latter word in Ald. and the next three printed texts, obscures the true significance of $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$. (Ritter p. 405 suggests that perhaps vouí $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ єíval stands for vo $\mu i\} \epsilon \iota v$.
a 5. катакор $\grave{s} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \ldots \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \mu о \nu \hat{\eta} s$, " while a companionship which is too close, and which misses the desire begotten by long absence, makes (the same natures) fall apart from sheer satiety (of companionship)."
b 1. Plato does not seem to have used $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ elsewhere in the sense of $v i s i t$.
 Boeckh p. 140, among other instances in which Lucr. "colorem duxit a Platonicis," compares this passage with De rerum nat. ii. 78 :

Inque brevi spatio mutantur saecla animantum
Et quasi cursores vitai lampada tradunt.

For the $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha \delta \eta \phi o \rho i ́ a$, or $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \alpha ́ s$, as it was also called, cp. Hdt. viii. 98, Rep. 328 with Adam's note.
b 4. With $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon$ v́ov $\tau \alpha \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\imath} \theta \epsilon o v{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{cp}$. above 774 a l $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\varphi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ ì $\pi \eta \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \tau \alpha$ s ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \theta$ avi $\tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \rho a \delta \iota \delta o ́ v a \iota . ~$
b 6. All subsequent editors have rightly adopted Ast's correction
 $\mu \in \nu a$, "Of the majority (of such belongings) it is as easy to give an account as to get possession of them; but slaves are a difficulty every way" (i.e. it is difficult to get possession of them, and difficult to give directions about them). "And the reason is, that we say things about slaves which are partly right and partly wrong; for we contradict experience of their serviceableness as well as follow its teaching in the form which our very language takes about them"; in other words, "our very language about slaves is inconsistent, and our experience shows a similar diversity and contradiction." This enigmatical sentence naturally brings from the downright Megillus a request for further explanation. "Do we?" he says; "what do you mean?" At c 6 ff . the Ath. admits the obscurity of his remark, and then explains that he meant that about any known system of slave-holding you will find a bewildering diversity of opinion; more particularly that, though we all know cases where slaves have been more to their masters than even brothers or sons, we sometimes talk of them as if they were good-for-nothing :-e.g. you find Homer saying that by divine ordinance slavery is essentially degrading to the slave. (Susemihl takes $\chi \rho \epsilon \hat{i} a \iota$ to be "our needs," and tries to get from the words the meaning "sometimes we speak of slaves as if they were.the reverse of useful to us, and sometimes as if they were useful" ; but, as Ritter says, even if the words could be made to mean this-which they cannot-that would be no reason (aítıov) for the difficulty of the subject. Ritter himself construes $\dot{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \boldsymbol{j} \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a$ . . . каi $\tau \alpha ̀ \lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon v \alpha$ "for according to the way we treat them, slaves show characteristics that are the opposite of each other, and in accordance with the way in which we treat them, we also mould our judgement about slaves." This general conclusion harmonizes well with the following remarks of the Ath. about the treatment of slaves, but ignores entirely the manifest opposition between є́vavтía $\tau u i ̂ s ~ \chi \rho \epsilon i \alpha a ı s ~ a n d ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} s ~ \chi \rho \in i ́ a s)$.
c 3. The $\tau \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ which was left out in $A$ is supplied by an early hand in the margin, and is present in 0 , though $\tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ is in an erasure; it seems as if in the original of both there was some indistinctness about the $\tau \alpha{ }^{\prime}$.
c 7. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau$. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ E. : the gen. goes with the superlative $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$, and is like that of the idiomatic $\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega^{\prime} \pi \omega \nu$-" in the world "with a superlative. It is equivalent to "throughout the whole of

 Laches 197 d 4.
 $\delta o v \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \omega s$, "the slavery-system of Heraclea under which the Mariandyni are held in serfdom." This is (nearly).Stallbaum's interpretation, and is right, I think, as against Ruhnken's view -adopted by Liddell \& Scott-that סov入cía is "abstract for concrete"-as in the next case cited-and stands for body of slaves. R. is however right, as against Stallb., in taking $\kappa \alpha \tau a \delta o v \lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ to be a genitive of defnition (cp. on 723 d 6 ), rather than a genitive of origin.-For the relation of the Mariandyni to the people of Heraclea Pontica, Ast and Stallb. refer, among other authors, to Strabo xii. 3. 41, p. 817 . Athenaeus vi. 263 ef . and 264 a f. gives authorities for regarding the servitude of the Mariandyni and Penestae as the result of voluntary compacts.
d 4. ö $\delta \grave{\eta} \ldots$. . $\tau o ́ \delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau i v:$ an abrupt explanatory asyndeton. - $\pi \alpha \rho \iota \grave{\omega} \nu \hat{\varphi} \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma \varphi$, "in the course of my argument"-lit. "as I passed along it in my argument."
 possibility of slaves being capable and well-disposed. The $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho$ in d 7 is "why !" or " you know," rather than "for."
d 8. It would be interesting to know whether $O$ has any trace of the senseless dislocation of letters by which A arrived at $\gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \mu$ '́vo七 $\epsilon \sigma \omega ́ \kappa \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$. (A new collation of the now recovered 0 would be of great value.)
e 4. With $\tau 0 \dot{v} \nu a \nu \tau i o \nu$ we must supply either $\lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, or $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$ о́мєขоv.
 ceding line. Ast wanted to insert $\tau o v i \tau \omega$, and Stallb. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta o u ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ before $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \epsilon$.
e 6. каì $\mathfrak{a} \pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha \tau о$, "explicitly declares"; the каí emphasizes the verb.

777 a . For the variety of reading see scholia and notes on Od. xvii. 322 f. $\tau \epsilon$ vóov . . . $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \bar{\nu}$ sounds more like Homer

 thoughtlessly wrote $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \mu \epsilon i \beta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ; \mathrm{L}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2}$ have $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \mu \epsilon і \rho є \tau \alpha \iota$.

## Purchase full membership to immediately unlock this page


$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \tau \lambda$. - the $\pi \epsilon \rho i \cdot$ being naturally left out in view of the $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ in the following line; it is easily supplied from the preceding $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \alpha ́ s$, and $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ s$. If the $\tau \alpha ́$ be rejected, the
 $\pi \alpha \theta \eta^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$.-For $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta i ́ \nu \omega \nu$.(so a late hand in A and O for $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu)$ the scholiast in A, and Hesych. s.v., give the interpretation $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
c 5 f. Athenaeus and Stobaeus have $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o \delta \dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$, clearly an error.-The MS. $\kappa \lambda o \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$, which Naber would reject, Burnet well emends to $\kappa \lambda \omega \pi \omega \nu$. In A the $o$ is in an erasure. We may translate: "History has repeatedly shown (how many troubles result from this source) in the case of the frequent revolts wont to be made by the Messenians, and in that of the states which own many dependents of the same race ; and again in the case of the multifarious robberies and adventures of the so-called 'Rovers' of the Italian shore." It is implied that these Italian pirates had once been held in subjection as slaves. The people in that part of the world have alwaysutaken naturally to brigandage. --Stobaeus has doubtless preserved the correct reading in ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$, where all other texts have ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$. Cp. Adam on Rep. 437 b : " I have noted the-certain or probable-omission of ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ in all or the best MSS. in Phaedo 62 c, 109 e, Euthyd. 291 e (?), Rep. $457 \mathrm{~d}, 516 \mathrm{e}, 558 \mathrm{~d}$, where the omission is lipographical ; also in Phaedo 72 b, Euthyd. 281 c, Crat. 389 e, 409 a, Alc. I. 132 b, 133 e, Soph. 266 a, Phil. 47 b, Hipp. Mai. 295 a."—This sentence is a curious-perhaps we may say careless-repetition of the $\epsilon$ is $a \dot{a}$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau о \_\alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \psi a \nu \tau \alpha s \kappa \tau \lambda$. at 776 d 2.
 recommend by way of policy is these two things."
 yoke easily."-The Grammarians quoted in Stallb.'s note tell us (1) that $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \omega \tau \eta s$ was used in the sense of $\sigma v \mu \pi \alpha \tau \rho \iota \omega \tau \eta \rho$, just as $\pi o \lambda i ́ \tau \eta s$ is used for $\sigma v \mu \pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s$, and (2) that $\pi o \lambda i \tau \eta s$ would be used for a free Greek, $\pi a \tau \rho \iota \omega ́ \tau \eta s$ for a slave or a barbarian.
d 2. $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu o ́ v o \nu$. . . $\pi \rho о \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$," paying them attention, not merely on their account, but still more on their own." $\pi \rho o \tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu$, as at 770 d 7 , is not used in the sense of prefer, but is merely a stronger $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu$. With $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ we must supply ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \kappa \alpha$ from the former part of the sentence.
d3. $\dot{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \rho \circ \phi \grave{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o c o v i \tau \omega \nu$, "the proper way to treat men in that position is . . ."
d 4. $\epsilon i \delta v \nu a \tau o ́ v$ marks the statement as something of a paradox ; $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa i ́ \alpha$ is, of course, never allowable. The following $\gamma^{\prime} \rho$ clause sets the precept in its right light. The justice which shows itself when there is no compulsion, must be genuine, and is therefore admirable.
 $\tau \alpha ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \omega \nu \delta o u ̀ \lambda \omega \nu \eta$ そ. к. $\pi$. Schneider is, so far as I know, the only interpreter who takes these words in the right way; all others content themselves with the reproduction of Ficinus's senseless "circa mores actionesque servorum." $\eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ каi $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota s$ is fairly rendered by the English behaviour-" the man who shows himself free from all taint of wickedness and oppression in his behaviour towards his slaves."
 quotation; "ad producendas virtutis fruges aptissimus" Fic. Cp. Cymbeline iv. ii. 180, "valour | that wildly grows in them, but yields a crop | as if it had been sow'd." The poetical $\alpha^{\prime} \mu^{\prime} \alpha \nu \tau o s$ was doubtless part of the same passage. It reads like a bit of Pindar.
e 2. $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \quad$ ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \quad \ddot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \sigma \tau \tau \alpha$, "to say, and with truth." "Rhetoribus tritum est dicere $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v \lambda^{\prime} \gamma \omega \nu,{ }^{\prime} \phi \eta \pi \pi v \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu$ " Lobeck on Soph. Aj. 757.
e 3. каí is "or," and $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ "any kind of."-Here, as in the injunction at 729 b , ai $\sigma \chi \chi^{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o \grave{s} \nu^{\prime} \notin o v s$, we come very near to chivalrous and even Christian sentiment.
e 4. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta$. $\mathfrak{\epsilon} a v$. does not go with $\delta v v a \sigma \tau \epsilon v v_{0} \tau \iota-t h a t$ would be tautological—but with $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \nu-" t o$ declare in the case of any superior with reference to his inferior." Cp. the note on $\pi \rho^{\prime}$ s at 778 a 2.
e 5. The $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \in i ́ l$ of A and O is a peculiarly senseless reproduction of a scribe's error, due to the dittography of the $\Delta$ of $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$. If it had not been for the quotations in Ath. and Stob. we should no doubt have acquiesced in the vulgate $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i$. — $-\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \eta{ }_{\eta} \kappa \tau \lambda$., "instead of debauching them by mere admonitions such as we should use to our equals."-Aristotle at Pol. i. 1260 b 5 directly contradicts Plato on this and the following point.

778 a 1. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v, "$ pure and simple."
a 2. $\grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta}$, "whereby"; a curious adverbial neuter pluralsomething like $\tau \grave{\partial} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon$ used for "whereas." Nearly the same ä $\delta \eta$ occurs at Phaedr. 244 d 6 (possibly in a poetic quotation), at Soph. Aj. 1043 (Lobeck's note), Dem. Epist. 1490, and stands for ä $\boldsymbol{\omega} \epsilon$ $\delta \eta^{\prime}$ or oîa $\delta \eta$.-- $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\delta o u ́ \lambda o v s$ is the greatest difficulty ; it seems
to be used "pregnantly," in the sense of "in their treatment of slaves." Cp. on 777 e 4 ; the sense is helped by the previous $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$ in $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \alpha i\}_{0} \tau \alpha \alpha$.
a 3. The active $\theta \rho v v_{\pi} \tau o v \tau \epsilon s$ is manifestly used in the sense of the previous $\theta \rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ro七єiv, and we must supply avं $\tau 0$ ús, or, better, тòv $\beta$ iov, from the context, as its object. ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ and ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \in \iota v$ are somewhat irregularly epexegetic of $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \dot{\tau} \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$. (Schneider takes ${ }_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}$ to be directly governed by $\theta \rho \dot{v} \pi \tau o v \tau \epsilon \varsigma-"$ cujusmodi deliciis multi admodum stulte in servos utentes.")| We may translate : "whereby, in their treatment of slaves, many people, most unwisely, in bringing over-refinement into their life, make it harder both for the slaves as slaves, and for themselves as masters."
 unusual construction-the indefinite ö $\tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ï $\eta$ for the simple temporal ö $\tau \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \dot{\prime}$ or ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \alpha \nu \hat{\eta}$. It is as if we should say as often as, in the place of as soon as ever; the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \omega$ s $\epsilon \ddot{\eta} \eta$ at Theaet. 155 a 4, where the apodosis is $\phi \eta \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \gamma \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \sigma \theta a l$, is somewhat analogous, but less extraordinary. I think it possible that Plato wrote $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu-a$ "philosophic" imperfect ; if so, the opt. would be more regular.
b 2 f. $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$. . . $\pi{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{\iota \nu} \epsilon \pi \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \tau^{\prime} \epsilon_{0} \nu \epsilon i v a \iota:$ for the acc. of the agent with a neut. verbal adj. cp. 643 a 6 and 688 e 5.
b 3. $\pi \epsilon \rho i ́ \quad \tau \epsilon$ í $\rho a ̀$ каi $\tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta$ : I think interpreters are wrong in treating these words as if they were $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon i \in \rho a ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon i ́ \chi \eta$; i.e. $\tau \epsilon$ is not both, but and. $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i}$ i. каi $\tau$. are a variety of expression for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad i \in \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega \nu$, and as such are coupled by $\tau \epsilon$ with $\tau o v i \tau \omega \nu$. What Plato says is that the virgin city's task is to go into all details of city architecture, "and (more particularly) the details of the structure of the temples and the city walls." That is to say, he does not here limit the question to the consideration of temples and walls alone. It is only at $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu o ́ v o v$ in $c 1$ that he lets us know that the subject of city architecture is not to be treated at length.
b4. 预 : a variety of the "philosophic" imperfect; "really, properly, came before . . ." He goes on to explain that, though in reality the houses would have to be built before the family life was begun, in a disquisition on the subject we may arrange matters in the reverse order, if we like.
b 5. The subject to $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ is $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ (understood). - $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ is a repetition of the $\lambda \hat{c}^{\prime} \gamma \omega$ at a 10 .-каí $\mu \alpha \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, "it is perfectly legitimate "-the strengthened $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda a$.
 "make-believe," about a topic which will not be found in the

# SAVE $\$ 3,999,994$ 

Did you know we sell paperback books too?

## To buy our entire catalog

 in paperback would cost over \$4,000,000
## Access it all now for \$8.99/month

 *Fair usage policy applies
## Continue

words in which he tells us."-The author and poem are unknown. —Here again Aristotle disputes Plato's judgement: at Pol. 1330 b 32 he says $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$, oi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ф ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \epsilon \epsilon \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu{ }^{\prime \prime} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha ̀ s$
 -The $\mu \epsilon \grave{\nu}$ кaí marks the first of the two reasons given as subordinate in importance to the second, which is introduced by $\delta^{\prime} . . . . \quad$ є̈ $\tau \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o \iota s . ~$
e 1. $\gamma \eta^{\prime} \iota \nu a$ : not necessarily of earth—or even of brick. He uses this word rather than $\lambda i \theta \iota \nu a$ because of the previous $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu a$. The substance of the "earth" in Greece is rock, and the walls dug out of the earth would be walls of stone. Ast quotes the orator Lycurgus ( $A d v$. Leocr. 153) $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$
 $\lambda_{\iota} \theta^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$. Plut. Lyc. ch. xix. represents the legislator
 каì ov $\pi \lambda$ ív $\theta$ oıs $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \not \alpha^{\prime} \nu \omega \tau \alpha \iota$." Here $\pi \lambda i ́ \nu \theta o \iota$ means blocks of stone.— $\tau \grave{o} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ is a periphrasis for $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{i}$.
 the reference is to the tasks proposed at 760 e 6 ff . for the $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \rho o v o ́ \mu o \iota-;$ the $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ corresponds to the $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$ at e 6 , where the construction goes on as if we had $\epsilon i \mu \grave{i} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \pi^{\prime} \mu \pi \sigma о \iota \mu \epsilon \nu$ here.
 intention of keeping them out of the country."
e 6. Steph. would substitute $\epsilon i$ for $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$, and Wagner supports
 but the building of the walls that is said to be "ridiculous." But what Plato says is ridiculous is the inconsistency between the two actions, and that is exactly expressed by the $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ and $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$.
e 7. Ast is certainly right in taking $\pi \rho o ́ s$ to be an adverb. (Stallb. would have us couple $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu a \lambda \theta \alpha \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu$ " $\xi \xi \iota \nu \pi o t \epsilon i \hat{i} \nu$ in the sense of " conduce to effeminacy.")
e 8. $\pi \rho о к а \lambda o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu \kappa \tau \lambda$., " a city-wall incites men to run inside it instead of facing the foe, and instead of seeking safety by ensuring that some of them are vigilant night and day, to fancy that the real way to be safe is to shut oneself up and go fast asleep inside walls-as if men were meant for inactivity! Such men don't know that real ease and rest is what comes after toil:-what is more, I can tell them that ease and rest of the disgraceful kind, which is nothing but laziness, inevitably produces toil and trouble in its turn."

779 a 4. The close coupling of $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon v \delta o \nu \tau \alpha s$ with $\phi \rho a \chi \theta^{\prime} v \tau \alpha$ s by $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i$ is a humorous touch, as if not to be wide awake
( $\phi \rho o v \rho \epsilon i v \nu v ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho$ ) but to go fast asleep were the right way to protect oneself.
 the of $\nu \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ marks the expression as proverbial, we may compare 2 Henry IV. v. iv. 28, "Well, of sufferance comes ease."
a 7. oípal is a gentle expletive; Wagner's "nach meiner Meinung" makes too much of it, and too little of the strength of the opinion here expressed.-каi is explanatory. (The early printed texts altered $\dot{\rho} q \theta v \mu i a s$ to $\dot{\rho} q \theta v \mu i a u$, an erroneous assimilation like that of $\delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta} \rho \iota a$ to $\delta_{\iota \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \omega \nu}$ at 778 с 7. )
a 8. $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota v$ : whereas the natural order is from toil and trouble to rest, an unnatural propensity to rest first will work the reverse way, and lead from rest to toil and trouble.-Jowett's " a renewal of trouble" introduces a wrong notion.- $\tau \iota$, " for any reason."
b2. $\beta$ ád $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ : used (in the middle) like the Lat. iacere (fundamenta, muros).
 describing the way in which security was to be gained. The houses were to be built on the same plan, and of the same size, so that they would fit together and present an impregnable front to the outer world. (Ficinus took the two datives with the previous clause-as if these characteristics made the city "one continuous wall.")- $\epsilon$ 's $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ s ódov́s: this arrangement of the houses was apparently not to be confined to those on the edge of the city. The ofoi would cut the town up into blocks enclosed in continuous walls.
b 6. סoáфopos: superior, that is, in safety, to an arrangement which would expose each house to be attacked on all sides.
 but, as Ritter says, ${ }^{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{s} \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \mu^{\prime} v \eta$ is unintelligible. Burnet has doubtless restored the correct reading by the suggestion that the first letter of the MS. $\epsilon \omega$ s is due to dittography of the $\epsilon$ of $\delta \delta^{\epsilon}$.I think it is possible that we ought to remove the comma after $\delta^{\prime}$ and make $\tau о \tilde{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ depend on $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ оiкодо $\mu \eta \theta^{\prime} \varphi \tau \tau \alpha$.
clf. 1 aí, "even to the extent of." $\zeta \eta \mu \nu o \hat{v} v \tau \alpha s$ is subordinate to $\pi \rho о \sigma a v a \gamma \kappa \alpha ́\} o v \tau \alpha \varsigma$, indicating the means of compulsion.
c 4. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} S \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~S}$. . $\epsilon_{\pi} \pi \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \psi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$,"encroach on public property" (Jowett). It is possible that the words mean "interfere with the plan of the city."
c 7. oiкєiv, as Ast and Stallb. say, is administrare. (Ritter
 "which sites it would be proper to occupy with buildings"-lit.

voL. I

"inhabit." His objection that the province of the $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau v v o ́ \mu o t ~ d i d ~$ not extend outside the city-walls would apply still more to his own interpretation. Such matters, however, as e.g. the introduction of water-courses, and the places where the country roads were to enter the city, were naturally the concern of the city authorities.) [F.H.D. and A.M.A. suggest that ö $\sigma a$ may be ö $\sigma a$ vi $\delta a \tau a$.]-

 by the $\sigma v \nu$ - in $\sigma v v \iota \delta o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, "considering them in the light of experience"—"usu docti" Fic.—"usu cognita" Schn.-There is some analogy in construction with $965 \mathrm{~b} 10 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \epsilon \epsilon i ̂ \nu o ~ \sigma v \nu-$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\xi} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \quad \sigma v \nu o \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$; there $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a$ is directly governed by $\sigma v \nu \tau a ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a \iota$.
 statutory law is incapable of foreseeing.-o" ${ }^{\circ} \tau \epsilon$, "now that."
d 4. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota$, "are ready for."
 where there was the same invitation to imagine that a certain stage had been reached ; cp. too 712 a 4.
d 9. Siaura: rather vitae spatium (Schn.) than vivendi regula (Fic.) ; $\eta^{\nu}$ in the next line is temporal, like $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \mu^{\prime} \rho a v$ at 780 a 4.
e2. $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{v}^{\prime} \eta$ '̇ $\sigma о \mu^{\prime} \epsilon_{\eta} \eta$ : this, and $\ddot{\omega} \nu \delta \iota a \dot{\phi} \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ at 963 b 5 , may, as Stallb. says, be added to Porson's list in his note on Hec. 358 beginning "Rara participii substantivi cum alio participio conjunctio."-Schneider, Zuirr., Herm., Wagner, and Schanz all follow Bekker in printing a mark of interrogation after $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$. The early edd. up to Steph. put a full stop after it. Ficinus, however, had already seen that $\tau i v a$ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \zeta \grave{\eta} \nu$ depends on cintiv. Ast (in his text), Stallb., and Burnet rightly follow Ficinus, and Burnet makes the construction rather more clear by marking off $\tau o ̀ \delta \grave{\eta}$. . $\epsilon \in \not \subset o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ (which Fic. neglects altogether) as a parenthesis. This parenthesis means: "the natural sequel to our previous injunctions"-the injunctions, i.e., given above and interrupted at 776 b 5 , on the subject of choice of a wife, and the marriage ceremony. The above-mentioned majority of interpreters take $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \epsilon^{i} \rho \eta \mu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ to be the immediately preceding words. But why should Plato call the problem that faces him one that springs from the previous one, when it is the previous one-the question, i.e., how the married pair are to spend the first year of married life?
e 4. $\tau о \circ o v ̃ \tau \omega \nu$ : i.e. $\delta v \sigma \kappa o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ or $\delta v \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$, which is the equivalent of the ironical ov่ $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega \nu$ єن่код $\omega \tau \alpha \tau о \nu$.
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mean aliter do not take it with $\bar{\epsilon} \nu \sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota \tau i ́ o \iota s$, only with $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta_{i ́ a \iota \tau a v}$ $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a l$. Besides doing violence to $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho \hat{o} v \tau \omega \mathrm{~s}$, this enlargement of the reference to life in general is quite out of place; the following context shows that the $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \sigma$ ítıa alone are in question here.- $\boldsymbol{\text { ov̂ro }}$ in b 2 is the institution of the $\sigma v \sigma \sigma$ itca.)

b 3. $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau \partial े \nu{ }_{0}{ }^{\circ} \nu$ : i.e. to the rest of. Greece.-(Ast would reject $\hat{\nu} \nu$ as due to dittography of the last syllable of $\theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ v$, supplying $\hat{\eta} v$ as the verb; but the anacoluthon in the $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon$ in b 7 is natural in a conversational style.)- $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\alpha} s \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \nu \nu:$ a pleonasm
 in the countries of both his hearers.
 verb is repeated below at c 6 it is used in its natural sense. The first institutor was not a real lawgiver, but a special need-at e 2 he calls it a providential one-the implication being that no human lawgiver could have ventured to enforce such a custom.
b5f. $\dot{v} \mu i v$, from b 4, has to be supplied in thought with

 circumstances which conspired to compel the adoption of $\boldsymbol{\sigma v \sigma \sigma i} \boldsymbol{i} \iota a$ : (1) the population was small, and (2) it was threatened by a great danger. (A.M.A. cps. the "National" or "Communal Kitchens " started during the war.)
c 1. Schanz suggests that possibly we ought to read $\phi \in \rho \in \iota \nu$ for $\delta_{\iota a \phi} \rho \rho \epsilon \iota$.
c 2. $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \delta \in v \mu \alpha$ : as at 638 c 2 , "practice."
c 4-d 1. "What I wanted to explain was, that, though this institution was once viewed with amazement, and was one which no lawgiver would have dared to impose on people, to-day there would be no such difficulty in the way of the lawgiver who wanted to enact it. But that which is the logical consequence of this institution, a thing which, like the former ( $\tau \epsilon$ ), is by, nature adapted to succeed if tried, and which, because it is tried nowhere, as good as makes the lawgiver, as the saying is, card his wool into the fire and lose his labour in countless other such ways--this is one which it is neither easy to propose, nor for the proposer to put in practice."
c 7. The $\tau \epsilon$ after $\pi \epsilon \phi v \kappa o ́ s$ and that after $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ seem right enough, and there is no need to change the second into $\delta \stackrel{\epsilon}{c}$ with. Hermann (followed by Stallb., Bdh., Wagn., and Schanz); but•I think that Badham is certainly right in removing the comma
after the second $\gamma \boldsymbol{\iota} \nu^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu \boldsymbol{\nu} \nu$ and the $\tau \epsilon$ after $\dot{o} \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \gamma o v$. It is not the institution in question that makes the legislator's work fruitless, but the fact that the institution is nowhere adopted. The $\boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon}$ after $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ connects the first $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ with $\pi o \iota o \hat{v} \nu$, to which the second $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o \nu$ is subordinate.-There are two spheres where "law and order" (d 5) ought to be introduced ; its absence in the second vitiates its action in the first. This is explained in what follows.-Another conjecture I would unhesitatingly accept in this passage is Ast's change of $\pi o \iota o \hat{v} \nu \tau a$ to $\pi o v o \hat{v} \nu \tau a$. He cps. Rep. 486 c а́ $\nu o ́ v \eta \tau \alpha$ $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi o \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.— $\alpha \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \tau \tau \alpha$ is an adverb.
c 8. "The phrase $\tau \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \iota \zeta{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ in Plato seems always to mean "as they say in the proverb" or "proverbial saying." Adam on Rep. 422 e.
d 3. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ : this word reminds us of Socrates's expressions of reluctance to deal with the regulation of the position of women at the beginning of Bk. V. of the Republic.
d4. $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о v_{0} \iota \tau$ ' $\stackrel{a}{\nu} \nu$. . . $\mu^{\prime} \tau \eta \nu$, "I will explain, for fear that this very subject may involve us in much useless discussion." (Fic., Ast (Lex.), and Schneider take $\delta \iota \alpha \tau \rho \iota \beta \eta^{\prime}$ to be simply delay: "ne frustra in hoc ipso diu vos teneam," Schneider.)
d 6. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha ́ \kappa \tau \omega \nu . . . \stackrel{้}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$, "while most of what is unregulated or ill-regulated weakens the effect of something else that is well regulated."
d 8. ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$, "others besides"; a tautological expressionsomething like our "safe and sound "-sufficiently familiar to be used where the sense of rhythm demands weight of phrase. Cp.



 $\tau \circ v i \tau \omega v$, and Laws $875 \mathrm{~d} 7,894$ e 5 , and 933 e 6 (acc. to the MS.
 just as an instance of this that the subject under discussion now presents itself to us." A partial analogy to this is presented by
 $\nu \hat{v} \nu$; cp. also Arist. Pol. 1287 a 1. $\tau \grave{̀} \lambda \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ then would be the position of women, and the whole sentence would mean, "the position of women is a case in point." (It must be admitted that this explanation is somewhat strained. If we could be bold enough to adopt Badham's rather violent change (p. 20) of $\pi \pi^{\prime} \rho \iota$ to $\pi \epsilon i \rho a$, all difficûlty of interpretation would vanish: "and we have in this very thing a case in point, as the saying is."-It would also
be perhaps too bold to imagine the existence of such a phrase as $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тov́ $\tau o v \epsilon^{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ in the sense of "that is the matter in hand "-' $\bar{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ being impersonal.-Ast, Schn., and Wagner take $\epsilon \notin \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon$-here, and perhaps in the Aristotelian passage as well-to mean "is at a standstill"; but, though $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} v a \iota$ can certainly mean "to halt," "to come to a stop," it is doubtful whether the perf. was used in the sense of "to stand still"; besides, it is not clear that there is any halt in the discussion.$\tau \grave{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu o v$, acc. to these interpreters, is oratio nostra. [F.H.D. agrees with Ast and Schneider.]
 (Fic., Jowett and others), but "to the world's astonishment," "extraordinarily."
 a charge of undue licence might be brought against the Spartan and Cretan women ; and this seems to have been the case if we may trust Euripides (Androm. 595 ff .). Stallb. cps. Hoèckh, De Creta ins. iii. 124.- $\epsilon$ 's $\tau$ ò $\phi \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{s} \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \alpha \iota:$ a poetical expression, used as at Prot. 320 d, Theaet. 157 d, Tim. 91 d, Laws 869 c, Rep. 461 c in the sense of " has come into being," "has been created "- $\phi \hat{\omega} s$
 vóєь; whereas below, at c 6, as above at 722 e , and at Parm. 128 e , Phaedr. $261 \mathrm{e}, \phi \hat{\omega}$ is used for "publicity." Here, however, as in some of the other instances where $\phi \hat{\omega} s$ means life, the secondary sénse of exposure to men's gaze is suggested as well.
a 2. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{o}^{\circ}:$ the MSS. and the early printed texts read ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda o$, and some of them not only accepted the asyndeton, and slurred over the $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega s$, but treated $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ as if it were $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$. Steph. was the first to see the true reading, though he printed $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o$ in his text : $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau o$ in a 4 is the antecedent to this ő. —к $\dot{\alpha} \grave{\imath}$ ${ }_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ s here $=$ " to begin with"; we may transl.: "No; just that part of our human race which was, to begin with, clandestine and stealthy, as the result of its weakness-I mean the female sexhas most unwisely been suffered by the lawgiver to be free from law, because to bring it under law was hard."
a 3. The comparatives stand for strengthened positives; for the $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ thus used see on 729 e 7.--For $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \lambda о \pi \omega \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \rho \nu$ thus applied cp. Hes. Op. 67 and 78 ( $\left.\epsilon \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota\right) \psi \epsilon v^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \alpha \theta^{\prime}$
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intervened to lead men to the kindred reform now advocated; instead of that there is a likelihood that its proposer would be thought mad-at all events ( $\gamma^{\prime}$ ) in states which have no syssitia for men.
 sitia are a recognized civic institution."-ímá $\rho \chi \epsilon \iota$ is impersonal.
c 2. $r o ́ \theta \epsilon v$, as at Gorg. 471 d and Symp. 172 c, means "how is it possible that . . . ?"- ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \varphi$, "in real life, as we know it in Greece," as contrasted with the theoretical considerations in which the political and social systems of the Laws are founded-referred to

 $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ : an awkward sentence: "to force upon women their consumption of food and drink's being publicly viewed." The $a c c . c$. inf. clause is a sort of secondary object to $\pi \rho o \sigma \beta \iota \alpha\} \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, like $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ in ov่ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \rho o \sigma \beta \iota \alpha \oint \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ at Crat. 410 a 7. (Stallb. translates $\gamma \nu \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa \alpha s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \beta \iota \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ a ́ v a ́ \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu ~ b y ~$ "nulieres cogere ad . . consumptionem," supplying $\boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ before $\phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \alpha ̀ \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota$. Ast is said to have suggested-I cannot find where-that $\pi o \iota o v \mu \epsilon \nu a s$ has fallen out before $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$. Badham would change $\gamma v \nu \alpha i ̂ \kappa a s$ to $\gamma \nu \nu \alpha \iota \kappa \omega \nu$. .)
c 5. $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ : adv., " more reluctantly."
c 6. $\delta \epsilon \delta v \kappa o ́ s: ~ c p . ~ R e p . ~ 579 ~ b ~ к а \tau а \delta \epsilon \delta v к \grave{\omega}$ s $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ є̀v $\tau \hat{\eta}$ оiкía $\tau \grave{\alpha}$
 this is the reading of the early printed texts, up to Ast, and of Ficinus, who translates timide. H. Steph. from a comparison of
 the attempt is made to drag her."-Ast would reject the $\delta$ ' after this word ; H. Richards would change it to $\delta \bar{\eta}$.
c 7. $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$, like $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s$ at d 2, all kinds of.— $\pi o \lambda \grave{v}$ к $\rho \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon$, "will be far too strong for."
d 1. $\tau 0 \hat{v} \tau$ ': i.e. $\tau \grave{o} \gamma^{\prime} \boldsymbol{v o s , ~ " t h i s ~ s e x . " - o ̈ ~} \pi \epsilon \rho$ which is Bekker's correction of the MS. oím $\epsilon \rho$, certainly makes better sense than either the vulgate $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho$ or Stallb.'s ov̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho$; it would refer to b 8. At the same time, the vulgate $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho$, which Schn., Zürr., and Herm. retain, is possible, and accounts better for the MS. oî $\pi \epsilon \rho$. Stallb.'s ô̂ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ would mean " (in the other places) to which I referred"; but he had not definitely referred to any particular states which had no
 the mention of the correct view."
d 3. $\epsilon i$ i $\delta \grave{\eta}$ ठокє $\hat{\imath} \kappa \tau \lambda$.: a practical application of the principle enunciated above at 739. If the circumstances of the case render
the theoretically best impracticable, the philosopher is even willing to sacrifice theoretical completeness, and leave the-subject alone. "If you wish our discussion of politics as a whole to attain its end, as far as theory goes, I am quite willing to give reasons for thinking my view good and fitting, provided you like to listen to them ; if you don't, I will drop the subject."-Fähse and Ast would, very plausibly, read $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ for $\dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi \hat{\eta}$. Ast thinks that Ficinus read $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta}$ because he translates the word by manca. This does not follow ; e.g. at Crat. 420 c 7 he translates $\dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \alpha$ by "defectus quidam consequendi impos." (ả $\tau v \chi \eta$ 's means unsuccessful as well as unfortunate. The Ath. means "if you have it at heart to make our talk a success."- ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \sigma \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu \in \kappa \alpha$ is contrasted with the
 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v \gamma \in v^{\prime} \epsilon \theta$ al to be "if it is your opinion that the discussion has been etc.")
d 9. ${ }^{\circ} \nu \omega \theta^{\prime} \nu \quad \pi \circ \theta \epsilon \nu \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon i v$, " to be starting from a long way back." $\epsilon \pi \iota \chi \chi \iota \rho \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ is used absolutely, in the sense of proceed, take a particular line in an argument or investigation.
e 2. With $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \eta \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s$, which occurs below at 801 a 1 , cp. $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s$ above at 778 al.
e 5. With Bk. III. begins the investigation of the true nature and correct form of the $\pi o \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha$, and so he refers to what comes at the beginning of that book as $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \dot{\tau} \alpha$. We are not bound to suppose that when these words were written the treatise actually began at Bk. III.
e 6. $\chi \rho o ́ v o s$ and $\chi \rho \eta^{\prime}$ are both such common words that they are likely to have been signified occasionally by their first two letters. This would account for the fact that A has $\chi$ póvov where L and O (though in an erasure) and the margin of A have the correct $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$. Schanz thinks the mistake due to a misreading of an original $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$.

782 a 2. As $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \nu$ qualified $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \lambda \eta \chi \epsilon \nu$ and $\notin \xi \epsilon \iota$, so $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s$
 space of time since its beginning-since it came into beingmust have lasted an immeasurable age." A very awkwardly constructed sentence; it is doubtful if it is Greek. It looks like the "conflation" of two modes of expressing the same thing; fortunately there is no doubt what it means-i.e. that if the time of the world's existence is not infinite, at all events it is unthinkably long. [F.H.D. would asterisk $\mu \hat{\eta} \kappa \delta ́ s ~ \tau \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ä $\rho \chi \eta s$ as spurious or hopelessly corrupt.]
a 5. '̇ $\pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \cup \cup \mu a \tau \alpha$ means practices, courses, measures adapted to
influence character or habits; $\tau \alpha^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \omega$ s and $\dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \xi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a s$ are qualifying, adjectival genitives. We may perhaps render: "régimes of all
 an oxymoron ; it seems to mean nothing more than "the principle of laissez-faire."
a 6. каi $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ was rejected by Ast, and $\beta \rho$. was emended to $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho_{o ́ \tau \eta}^{\eta} \tau o s$ by Orelli, and to $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ s by Hermann. Wagner would change $\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ to $\delta \omega \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$. Schanz follows Ast. Though it is difficult I prefer the MS. reading. I would put a comma after $\beta \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ s and supply $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o i ̂ a ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon \dot{v} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ with it, taking the words to mean "various fashions of feeding oneself." The counterpart to this is a variety of taste in articles of food, and that is the variety next mentioned. I even think that the introduction of the second variety-by a $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \mu \alpha$-would be too abrupt without the preceding каi $\beta \rho \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega$. We shall see presently why he brings in the bodily appetites. (Cp. on d 7 below.)
b 1. aú $\hat{\omega} \nu$ is "of their previous selves," i.e. "of their natures."
b5. The rıva indicates that the Ath. does not insist on the historical truth of the myth of Triptolemus; someone, at all events, at some time introduced corn as a new food.
b 6. Many edd. have adopted Ald.'s unnecessary change of $\mu \grave{\eta}$ to $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$. -The article with $\chi \rho o ́ v \omega$ after $\hat{i}$ is peculiar; I think we ought to read $\pi \omega$ for the MS. $\tau \hat{\omega}$.—As at 780 b 6 and e 1 (see Burnet's notes), the margin, by $\mu o \nu$, shows what the original scribe's mistake for $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ had been.
c1. The argument is that the survival of human sacrifices proves the existence of cannibalism in the past. Further, the Orphic vegetarianism and the Orphic sacrificial offerings, on the other hand, are indications of very opposite feelings as to methods. of feeding, and tastes in food, thus establishing the appositeness of the $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o i \alpha$, and $\pi \alpha \nu \tau o \delta a \pi \alpha$ at a 6 and 7.
c 3. For ö $\tau \epsilon$ cp. Porson's note on oí $\sigma$ ' ö $\tau \epsilon$ at Eur. Hec. 110. —Schanz's é $\tau o ́ \lambda \mu \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ is clearly a better correction than Stallb.'s '́ $\tau o ́ \lambda \mu \omega \nu$ for the MS. '̇ $\tau o \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$. The $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ after $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu o \iota$ corresponds to the $\mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu$ after $\epsilon \tau o ́ \partial \mu \omega \nu$; there is an erasure over the o. of $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ in A.-The order is, as usual, chiastic; food, sacrifices: sacrifices, food.
c 5. ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu \dot{\alpha}$ is, so to speak, in quotation-marks; as if he had said "in Orphic language, pure." Cp. Horace, A.P. 392 victu foedo deterruit Orpheus; foedo being used, in the technical Orphic sense, for all animal food; not, as Orelli, "the food of beasts," nor, as others "cannibalism."
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 $\nu о \sigma \eta ́ \mu a \tau \alpha$.—oй $\tau \tau \rho о v \quad \tau \epsilon$ каi ávךкоvбтías: a hendiadys again, " frenzied rebellion."
e 5. $\dot{\alpha} \pi r o \pi \lambda_{\eta \rho o \hat{v} \nu \tau \alpha}$ : this construction supposes that a $\tau \iota v a$ is the subject to $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$.
e 6. $\lambda \hat{\prime} \pi \eta$ s: this is the way desire works-by pain which craves alleviation. $\delta \epsilon i \hat{\nu}$ might no doubt be dispensed with, but it is more like an, author's than a scribe's pleonasm. I am much attracted by Apelt's suggestion (p. 12) that $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu-c o m i n g ~ a s ~ i t ~ d o e s ~ a f t e r ~$ a final s-is a scribe's error for $\sigma \pi \epsilon v^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu . \quad \sigma \pi \epsilon v \delta \delta \omega \nu$, he notices, is just so used at Timaeus 86 c 1 , in a passage very like this.- $\sigma \dot{\phi} \hat{\alpha} s$ is the pleasures and desires which are thus half personified-an unusual use of the pronoun.

783 a 3. $\ddot{v} \beta \rho \epsilon \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \sigma \tau \eta$ каó $\mu \epsilon \nu o s$," " a reckless, wanton flame of passion."
a 4-b 1. $\dot{\alpha} \delta \grave{\eta}$. . . $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \rho \rho o \eta_{\nu}$ : (1) I think the object to be supplied in thought with $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \pi o \nu \tau \alpha$-which, and not the $\pi \rho \epsilon \in \pi o \nu \tau \alpha$ of L , I assume to be the right reading-is not the voo $\eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, but the people who are liable to them-i.e. the possessors of the appetitesthe av́rois of 782 d 11 , who were to be rightly guided. (2) Ritter glances at the possibility that, though the restraints are said to be three, the Ath. is really thinking of only two-i.e. the terrors of the
 used in the $\pi \rho о o$ í $\mu \iota a$ which accompany the laws; but he is right, I think, in rejecting this idea, and regarding vó $\mu$ os here as force of habit. (3) I think it probable that $\sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \nu v v_{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ is a scribe's error, and that the Aldine and Vulgate $\sigma \beta \epsilon \nu v v^{\prime}{ }^{2} \iota$ is the correct reading. The scribe probably did not intend it (as Stallb. and Herm.) for a gen. abs., but for an imperative, forgetting the previous construction. (As to the possibility of such a gen. abs. cp. on 755 d 6 above.) (Steph. may be right in reading $\tau \rho^{\prime} \not \approx r o v \tau \alpha \mathrm{~s}$, though the change of number is common in Plato in such cases, and the sing. is attested by the variant $\pi \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \pi o \nu \tau \alpha$.) "In dealing with these three dangerous impulses, we must guide men's eyes, beyond what is called delight, towards their true advantage, and must try, on the one hand, to restrain the dangerous tendencies by the three most potent influences of fear, habit, and philosophy; and on the other, by calling in the aid of Music and Gymnastics, to quench their fire and allay the fury of their onset." - The
 seems to me, to the confirmation of the reading $\sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \nu v v^{\prime} \alpha l$.Ritter is right in saying that $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu i o \iota \sigma \iota$ is almost predicative; no
special presiding gods ${ }_{j}^{*}$ are meant, but the gods generally, in their capacity of patrons of gymnastic contests.

In the last few pages of this book we seem to have detached suggestions of lines of argument, which a final revision would have rearranged and worked up into a consecutive exposition. The chief points in it are: (1) The danger of leaving human nature to itself; (2) the great possibilities in the way of moulding human nature; (3) the mistake of confounding acquired habits and prejudices with laws of nature. The passage from $\pi \alpha \prime \delta \omega v \delta \epsilon \delta \eta^{\prime}$ in b 2 to $\kappa \alpha \lambda \omega$ s in d 4 occurs in L, but was originally absent from $A$ and $O$. It begins in much the same way as Bk. VII. begins; this fact, and the detachment of the passage, are further indications that this part of the treatise has not received its final ordering.
b 2. $\theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ is used of the arrangement of topics in their imaginary legislation.
b5. We are bound, I think, to accept the reading ìvíku $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$, though, as the text stands, it appears inexplicable. I would suggest that the corruption lies in the MSS. $\epsilon$ 's $\tau \grave{\prime} \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \mu \pi \mu \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$. This phrase is common, especially with $\pi \rho o \ddot{\epsilon}^{\prime} v \alpha \_$-e.g. above, 755 b 4 $\pi \rho o$ ió $\nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu o{ }^{\prime} \mu \omega \nu$ єis $\tau o v ้ \mu \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$-and the neighbourhood of $\pi \rho o$ öó $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ here may have influenced the scribe; but the phrase will not fit either $\pi \epsilon \rho a i v o \iota \tau o$ ä $\nu$ or $\dot{\eta} \nu^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta$. I would substitute $\dot{\omega}_{s}$ for $\epsilon i s$, and take $\dot{\omega}$ s as the correlative of the ovi $\tau \omega$ in b 3: "while the discussion advances on the same lines as it did when we came on the subject of the syssitia before, possibly our full tale of regulations will be made up." The way the subject was reached above was through the question ( 779 d 5 ) " what has the legislator to say to' men and women after they are married ?"-I would, with Burnet, accept Ritter's arrangement of

 $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$, and this reading is retained by Stallb. and Herm. Schneider reads ö $\tau \epsilon$ for ő $\tau \epsilon$, $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa \omega \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ for $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$, and (like Zürr.) retains the spurious $\epsilon$ is before $\tau$ ás. Schanz abandons the passage as hopeless.)
b 8. The second great difficulty in this passage is the interpre-
 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ occurs above at 648 d in the sense of obtendere. The $\tau \epsilon$ seems to point back to the $\tau \epsilon$ in ö $\tau \epsilon$ vó $\mu o s$ at b 4 . If so, $\alpha v \dot{v} \hat{\omega} \nu$ may stand for vó $\mu \omega \nu$, but more likely for $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \sigma \sigma \iota \tau i \omega v$, and $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \pi r i \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \quad \alpha u ̛ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ are "the necessary steps leading up to them," which are to be made into screens, or defences, set up in
front of them. "And the preliminaries to the syssitia, which are (equally) unregulated at present, we will reduce to, order, and place before them as a screen or shelter." The upshot of the passage then is this: "at the present stage of our inquiry we must be content to reserve the details of the regulation of private life, but I want you to remember what I said about the three cardinal impulses of human nature, for that is important."
c 2. $v v \nu \delta \eta$ : i.e. at b 5 ff .
d 2. All recent editors except Schneider accept Steph.'s insertion of $\hat{\psi} \nu$ before $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \hat{v} \nu$. (Schneider prefers to read $\hat{\alpha}$ for $\tau \grave{\alpha}$.)
d4. We are here brought back to the point from which we digressed in 779 e.
d 5. av̇тov́s: i.e. $\tau 0 \grave{s} \nu v \mu \phi i ́ o v s, ~ i m p l i e d ~ i n ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu v \mu \phi \iota к \alpha ́ . ~$
d 9. $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \hat{v} \sigma \theta a \iota$, like $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \neq \alpha^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ at 780 al , is used for to produce.
e 1. $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ . ~ . ~ . ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \epsilon \varsigma, " a l l ~ w h o ~ t a k e ~ p a r t ~ i n ~ a n y ~ k i n d ~ o f ~$ common work." ко८vшขoi is the important word. As union increases efficiency beyond the proportion of mere numbers, so failure on the part of one of the united workers does more harm than if he were merely spoiling work of his'own.
e 3. $\mu \grave{\eta}{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \nu o \hat{v} \nu$ : ignorance, as well as carelessness, may cause failure. This furnishes one reason for the supervision practised by the committee of wise women-as to whom cp. Theaet. 149 d 6.

784 a 1. às єi入ó $\mu \in \theta \alpha$ : here, as in the $\dot{\eta} \nu i ́ \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \iota к о ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ at 783 b 5 , many interpreters unaccountably translate the aor. ind. as if it were a subj. with $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$. It is possible that the past tense stands for " whom you are to assume that we have chosen," but more likely Ritter is right in seeing here an additional sign of the lack of revision. When writing these words the author thought he had spoken of these female officials before. On revision he would have discovered his error.
a2. $\tau 0$ îs ${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ : who the magistrates are who are to determine the composition of this body of female officials we are left to guess; possibly a committee of the vo $о о$ v́daкєs. (Stallb., after quoting Hermann's (De vest. ii. p. 7) extraordinary statement that.the custodes of the married pairs were some men and some women, apparently, in his next note, takes $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \stackrel{a}{ } \rho \chi{ }^{\prime} \rho \sigma \iota \iota$ to mean "add to the number of (male) officials." But, as Ritter says, $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ never has this meaning in Plato.
a 3. ó $\pi o ́ \tau \alpha \nu$ : i.e. at what intervals fresh elections were to take place.
a 4. $\mu^{\prime} \notin \rho \iota \tau \rho i ́ \tau o v \mu^{\prime} \rho \rho o v s \ddot{\omega}^{\omega} \rho a s:$ the proceedings at this daily
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equivalent to the legal term ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \mu^{\prime} \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \delta \iota a i ́ \tau \eta$ (e.g. Aristoph. Wasps, $524)$; the disputants i.e. are to acquiesce in the court, and act upon its decision. (It will be seen that Burnet's text would admit of the interpretation adopted by Winckelmann, who would read
 the decision of those to whom these (ten vo $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\phi} \boldsymbol{v} \lambda a \kappa \epsilon s)$ intrust it." But, as B. says, the original disputants are a much more appropriate
 complicates the proceeding unduly, if we are to suppose a second delegation.-H. Richards would cut the knot by reading ois $\hat{\alpha} \nu$
 [кai] . . . $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \omega \sigma \iota$ was a marginal variant.)
c 7. ávaypá $\dot{\phi} \in \iota \nu$ corresponds to our "post," as used of defaulters.
d 2. For ${ }^{\epsilon} v$ of the tribunal cp. above 754 e 8 .-Steph. first recognized that $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ goes with ${ }_{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \nu o s$, though in his, as in the earlier texts, it is written $\tau \hat{\omega} v \delta \grave{\epsilon}$, and begins the next sentence.Ficinus misinterpreted the next sentence in a curious manner, translating it "Nec nuptiis procreandisque liberis ulterius det operam : ac si id tentaverit" etc.
 sisted in being attended by a train of servants. Stallb. notes that Theophr. Char. 25 represents the mean man as unwilling to buy a proper maid to attend his wife $\epsilon i s \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \epsilon\} \xi o ́ \delta o v s, ~ a n d ~ t h a t ~ D e m . ~ A d v . ~$
 A has (acc. to Schanz) $\gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \epsilon * * \omega \nu$, the third $\epsilon$ being in an erasure. Burnet says this is corrected from an original $\gamma \in \nu \in \epsilon \iota \iota$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ (which J. G. Schneider conjectured); L and O have $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu$ with $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \theta \lambda_{i} \omega \nu$ in the margin. From a comparison of Alc. I.
 $\tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \iota{ }_{\eta}{ }^{\prime} A \sigma \iota ́ a-w h e r e$ one MS. has $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota a$, B concludes, no doubt rightly, that $\gamma \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \sigma \iota a$ here is a mistake for $\gamma \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \theta \lambda \iota a$. (Stallb. mentions this as a possible emendation, but rejects it.) It is not clear whether there was any difference between the $\epsilon \pi \pi \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ and the $\gamma \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \theta \lambda_{\iota \alpha}$. Both appear to have been celebrated on the tenth day after the child's birth. Cp. Aristoph. Av. 494 and 922. The former word, as Ast and Stallb: say, has a religious significance.

785 a 1. The subject to $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega$ is the regulations just recommended.
a 2. $\pi \rho a \tau \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$, "they should be put in force."
a 5. Burnet retains the original $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \eta^{\prime}$ of $A, L$ and $O$, but differs from all other editors in putting a full stop after it. (Schanz adopts the early correction to $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$, and inserts ${ }^{\omega} \omega$ before $\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s$.)
$\zeta \omega \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ would thus stand as a literal quotation of the formal words used in the register.-Burnet also retains the MS. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$ $\gamma \in \gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \omega$, which many edd. have followed Orelli in changing to the inf.
a 6. The acc. $\tau \grave{o} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu \hat{o}^{\prime} \nu$ remains a difficulty. It seems to be an acc. of inner object: " let there be added a writing giving the number." Perhaps we onght to accept Orelli's emendation.
b 2. $\gamma^{\prime} \mu o v \delta \grave{\prime}$ öpov: see above on 721 b . -The addition of $\tau \grave{v} \nu \mu \alpha \kappa \rho^{\prime} \tau \alpha \tau о \nu \chi \rho . \dot{\alpha} \phi$. is an indication that some variety in the enactment is conceivable.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In this latter total are reckoned the necessary directions in Bk. VII. for the nurture of the very young and the education of the adolescent, though the author, while declaring, at 790 b 2 , that they are the foundation of all legislation, expressly disclaims for them the name of laws.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ It would seem to follow from this that the first educators must have been inspired by the gods, and the education and training of the young by habit was the means of passing this inspiration on to other generations.
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