weeks the Administrator has the duty to insure the payment of at least one and one-half times the employee's regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of 40 and this overtime compensation cannot be said to have been paid until all straight time compensation due the employee under the statute or his employment contract has been paid. Thus if the employee works 41 hours in a particular week, he is owed his salary for 35 hours- $\$ 200$, 5 hours' pay at $\$ 5.71$ per hour for the 5 hours between 35 and 40-\$28.55, and 1 hour's pay at $\$ 8.57$ for the 1 hour in excess of $40-\$ 8.57$, or a total of $\$ 237.12$ for the week.
[46 FR 7316, Jan. 23, 1981]

## § 778.323 Effect if salary is for variable workweek.

The discussion in the prior section sets forth one result of reducing the workweek from 40 to 35 hours. It is not either the necessary result or the only possible result. As in all cases of employees hired on a salary basis, the regular rate depends in part on the agreement of the parties as to what the salary is intended to compensate. In reducing the customary workweek schedule to 35 hours the parties may agree to change the basis of the employment arrangement by providing that the salary which formerly covered a fixed workweek of 40 hours now covers a variable workweek up to 40 hours. If this is the new agreement, the employee receives $\$ 200$ for workweeks of varying lengths, such as $35,36,38$, or 40 hours. His rate thus varies from week to week, but in weeks of 40 hours or over, it is $\$ 5$ per hour (since the agreement of the parties is that the salary covers up to 40 hours and no more) and his overtime rate, for hours in excess of 40 , thus remains $\$ 7.50$ per hour. Such a salary arrangement presumably contemplates that the salary will be paid in full for any workweek of 40 hours or less. The employee would thus be entitled to his full salary if he worked only 25 or 30 hours. No deductions for hours not worked in short workweeks would be made. (For a discussion of the effect
of deductions on the regular rate, see §§ 778.304 to 778.307.)
[46 FR 7316, Jan. 23, 1981; 46 FR 33516, June 30, 1981]

## § 778.324 Effect on hourly rate employ-

 ees.A similar situation is presented where employees have been hired at an hourly rate of pay and have customarily worked a fixed workweek. If the workweek is reduced from 40 to 35 hours without reduction in total pay, the average hourly rate is thereby increased as in §778.322. If the reduction in work schedule is accompanied by a new agreement altering the mode of compensation from an hourly rate basis to a fixed salary for a variable workweek up to 40 hours, the results described in $\S 778.323$ follow.

## §778.325 Effect on salary covering more than 40 hours' pay.

The same reasoning applies to salary covering straight time pay for a longer workweek. If an employee whose maximum hours standard is 40 hours was hired at a fixed salary of $\$ 275$ for 55 hours of work, he was entitled to a statutory overtime premium for the 15 hours in excess of 40 at the rate of $\$ 2.50$ per hour (half-time) in addition to his salary, and to statutory overtime pay of $\$ 7.50$ per hour (time and one-half) for any hours worked in excess of 55 . If the scheduled workweek is later reduced to 50 hours, with the understanding between the parties that the salary will be paid as the employee's nonovertime compensation for each workweek of 55 hours or less, his regular rate in any overtime week of 55 hours or less is determined by dividing the salary by the number of hours worked to earn it in that particular week, and additional half-time, based on that rate, is due for each hour in excess of 40 . In weeks of 55 hours or more, his regular rate remains $\$ 5$ per hour and he is due, in addition to his salary, extra compensation of $\$ 2.50$ for each hour over 40 but not over 55 and full time and one-half, or $\$ 7.50$, for each hour worked in excess of 55 . If, however, the understanding of the parties is that the salary now covers a fixed workweek of 50 hours, his regular rate is $\$ 5.50$ per hour in all weeks. This assumes that when an employee works
less than 50 hours in a particular week, deductions are made at a rate of $\$ 5.50$ per hour for the hours not worked.
[46 FR 7316, Jan. 23, 1981]

## §778.326 Reduction of regular overtime workweek without reduction of take-home pay.

The reasoning applied in the foregoing sections does not, of course, apply to a situation in which the former earnings at both straight time and overtime are paid to the employee for the reduced workweek. Suppose an employee was hired at an hourly rate of $\$ 5$ an hour and regularly worked 50 hours, earning $\$ 275$ as his total straight time and overtime compensation, and the parties now agree to reduce the workweek to 45 hours without any reduction in take-home pay. The parties in such a situation may agree to an increase in the hourly rate from $\$ 5$ per hour to $\$ 6$ so that for a workweek of 45 hours (the reduced schedule) the employee's straight time and overtime earnings will be $\$ 285$. The parties cannot, however, agree that the employee is to receive exactly $\$ 285$ as total compensation (including overtime pay) for a workweek varying, for example, up to 50 hours, unless he does so pursuant to contracts specifically permitted in section 7(f) of the Act, as discussed in $\S \S 778.402$ through 778.414. An employer cannot otherwise discharge his statutory obligation to pay overtime compensation to an employee who does not work the same fixed hours each week by paying a fixed amount purporting to cover both straight time and overtime compensation for an "agreed" number of hours. To permit such a practice without proper statutory safeguards would result in sanctioning the circumvention of the provisions of the Act which require that an employee who works more than 40 hours in any workweek be compensated, in accordance with express congressional intent, at a rate not less than one and one-half times his regular rate of pay for the burden of working long hours. In arrangements of this type, no additional financial pressure would fall upon the employer and no additional compensation would be due to the employee
under such a plan until the workweek exceeded 50 hours.
[46 FR 7316, Jan. 23, 1981]

## §778.327 Temporary or sporadic reduction in schedule.

(a) The problem of reduction in the workweek is somewhat different where a temporary reduction is involved. Reductions for the period of a dead or slow season follow the rules announced above. However, reduction on a more temporary or sporadic basis presents a different problem. It is obvious that as a matter of simple arithmetic an employer might adopt a series of different rates for the same work, varying inversely with the number of overtime hours worked in such a way that the employee would earn no more than his straight time rate no matter how many hours he worked. If he set the rate at $\$ 6$ per hour for all workweeks in which the employee worked 40 hours or less, approximately $\$ 5.93$ per hour for workweeks of 41 hours, approximately $\$ 5.86$ for workweeks of 42 hours, approximately $\$ 5.45$ for workweeks of 50 hours, and so on, the employee would always receive (for straight time and overtime at these 'rates') $\$ 6$ an hour regardless of the number of overtime hours worked. This is an obvious bookkeeping device designed to avoid the payment of overtime compensation and is not in accord with the law. See Walling v. Green Head Bit \& Supply Co., 138 F. 2d 453. The regular rate of pay of this employee for overtime purposes is, obviously, the rate he earns in the normal nonovertime week-in this case, \$6 per hour.
(b) The situation is different in degree but not in principle where employees who have been at a bona fide $\$ 6$ rate usually working 50 hours and taking home $\$ 330$ as total straight time and overtime pay for the week are, during occasional weeks, cut back to 42 hours. If the employer raises their rate to $\$ 7.65$ for such weeks so that their total compensation is $\$ 328.95$ for a 42-hour week the question may properly be asked, when they return to the 50 -hour week, whether the $\$ 6$ rate is really their regular rate. Are they putting in 8 additional hours of work for that extra $\$ 1.05$ or is their "regular", rate really now $\$ 7.65$ an hour since this is

