AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

Vol.42—No.79
4-25-77
PAGES
21085-21265

federal register

MONDAY, APRIL 25, 1977

highlights

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE BRANCH OFFICIALS OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF JIMMY CARTER

The Office of the Federal Register will publish supplement 3 to the U.S. Government Manual on May 2. This supplement will be a separate part in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Executive agencies may obtain copies by submitting Standard Form 1 to the Planning Services Division of the Government Printing Office no later than April 28. Copies may also be purchased for 75 cents from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

CONTINUED INSIDE

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

FCC—Network broadcasting by standard AM and FM stations; policies and procedures 16415; 3–28–77

HEW/SRS—Inpatient services in certain institutions; payment termination.. 4125; 1–24–77

HUD—Eligibility costs incurred by displaced business concern at replacement site 46994; 10–26–76

Treasury/CS—International cargo; security transported in Bond..... 4120; 1–24–77

List of Public Laws

NOTE: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS.

AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

The six-month trial period ended August 6. The program is being continued on a voluntary basis (see OFR notice, 41 FR 32914, August 6, 1976). The following agencies have agreed to remain in the program:

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
NRC	USDA/ASCS		NRC	USDA/ASCS
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS
DOT/NHTSA	USDA/FNS		DOT/NHTSA	USDA/FNS
DOT/FAA	USDA/REA		DOT/FAA	USDA/REA
DOT/OHMO	CSC		DOT/OHMO	CSC
DOT/OPSO	LABOR		DOT/OPSO	LABOR
	HEW/FDA			HEW/FDA

Documents normally scheduled on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Comments on this program are still invited. Comments should be submitted to the Day-of-the-Week Program Coordinator, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408.

ATTENTION: For questions, corrections, or requests for information please see the list of telephone numbers appearing on opposite page.

federal register

Area Code 202 Phone 523-5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the following numbers. General inquiries may be made by dialing **202–523–5240.**

HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

contents

list of cfr parts affected in this issue

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED DURING APRIL

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during April.

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—APRIL

Pages	*Date*	*Pages*	*Date*
17413–17864	Apr. 1	19475–19852	14
17865–18052	4	19853–20109	15
18053–18268	5	20111–20279	18
18269–18386	6	20281–20454	19
18387–18585	7	20455–20616	20
18587–18854	8	20617–20807	21
18855–19122	11	20809–21084	22
19123–19313	12	21085–21265	25
19315–19473	13		

presidential documents

Title 3—The President

PROCLAMATION 4503

Mother's Day, 1977

By the President of the United States of America

A Proclamation

Recent shifts in our culture and society have created new patterns of life for many American mothers and families.

Some of these changes have been desirable, and some not so desirable. But all have put new burdens on the women who must adapt to the shifts—the mothers of America.

By and large they have met the challenge of change with grace, intelligence, and dignity.

Mother's Day should no longer be merely a day on which we reaffirm our love for our mothers. It should also be an occasion for admiration of the way American mothers have maintained those family bonds that protect us from the uncertainties of a changing society and give meaning and direction to our lives.

And it should be an occasion for those of us in public life to reflect on what government can do to help the mothers of America keep our families strong.

In recognition of the contributions of all mothers to their families and to the Nation, the Congress, by a joint resolution approved May 8, 1914 (38 Stat. 770), designated the second Sunday in May each year as Mother's Day and requested the President to call for its appropriate observance.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, JIMMY CARTER, President of the United States of America, do hereby request that Sunday, May 8, 1977, be observed as Mother's Day. I call upon government officials to display the flag of the United States on all government buildings, and I urge all citizens to display the flag at their homes and other suitable places on that day.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 21st day of April, in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred seventy-seven, and of the Independence of the United States of America the two hundred and first.

Jimmy Carter

[FR Doc.77-11981 Filed 4-21-77;4:44 pm]

Memorandum of February 19, 1977

Determination Under Section 103(d)(3) of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as Amended (Public Law 480)—Syria

[Presidential Determination No. 77-12]

Memorandum for the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Agriculture

THE WHITE HOUSE,
Washington, February 19, 1977.

Pursuant to the authority vested in me under the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), I hereby:

Determine that the waiver of the exclusion provided for by Section 103(d)(3) of the Act, for the purpose of selling to Syria in fiscal year 1977 approximately $15 million of agricultural commodities, is in the national interest of the United States, and I waive such exclusion.

Jimmy Carter

STATEMENT OF REASONS THAT A WAIVER UNDER SECTION 103(d)(3) OF THE AGRICULTURAL TRADE DEVELOPMENT AND ASSISTANCE ACT OF 1954, AS AMENDED (PUBLIC LAW 480), IS IN THE NATIONAL INTEREST

Syria is a key to our efforts to achieve a just and lasting peace in the Middle East. Our success will depend in part on Syria's confidence in our intention to develop a broad and constructive bilateral relationship with that country. Concessional sales of agricultural commodities to Syria constitute a tangible demonstration of our intended role in that regard.

Section 103(d)(3) of P.L. 480 excludes from eligibility for concessional sales under Title I any country which sells or furnishes or permits ships or aircraft under its registry to transport to or from Cuba or North Vietnam any equipment, materials, or commodities, so long as those countries are governed by Communist regimes. Syria has been trading with Cuba in recent years. However, under Section 103(d)(3) the President is authorized to waive this exclusion if he determines that such a waiver is in the national interest.

The considerations noted above make the proposed sales of agricultural commodities to Syria and the necessary waiver important to the national interest of the United States.

[FR Doc.77-11938 Filed 4-21-77;3:40 pm]

Memorandum of April 1, 1977

Determination Under 103(d)(3) of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as Amended (Public Law 480)—Zaire

[Presidential Determination No. 77-13]

Memorandum for the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Agriculture

THE WHITE HOUSE,
Washington, April 1, 1977.

Pursuant to the authority vested in me under the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as amended (hereinafter "the Act"), I hereby determine that a waiver of the exclusion provided for by Section 103(d)(3) of the Act, for the purpose of selling $15.3 million worth of agricultural products, is in the national interest of the United States and I do waive that exclusion.

Jimmy Carter

STATEMENT OF REASONS AS TO WHY THE SALE TO ZAIRE UNDER TITLE I OF THE AGRICULTURAL TRADE DEVELOPMENT AND ASSISTANCE ACT OF 1954, AS AMENDED (PUBLIC LAW 480) IS IN THE NATIONAL INTEREST

In response to the Republic of Zaire's current needs, the United States plans to export to that country during fiscal year 1977, $15.3 million worth of agricultural commodities financed under Title I of the Agricultural Trade Development and Assistance Act of 1954, as amended (Public Law 480).

Zaire's size, population, and great mineral and energy resources make it one of the most important countries of sub-Saharan Africa and a nation with a considerable base for economic development. Zaire has in the last few years achieved political stability and significant economic progress. These achievements are now threatened by a severe balance of payments crisis. In helping Zaire to meet this crisis, this concessional sale of agricultural products will also serve America's national interest: it will help reinforce the stability and the continued development of a key African country; it will help to protect this country's own substantial financial stake in Zaire and our access to Zaire's mineral and energy resources; and it will encourage the continued cooperation of a friendly government which plays a leading role in African and Third-World councils. These concessional sales will consist of 4,000 metric tons of rice, valued at $1.0 million, 5,000 metric tons of corn/grain sorghums, valued at $600,000, 3,000 metric tons of tobacco, valued at $13.3 million, and $400,000 worth of other agricultural commodities.

Section 103(d)(3) of Public Law 480 excludes from eligibility for concessional sales under Title I any country which sells or furnishes or permits ships or aircraft under its registry to transport to or from Cuba or North Vietnam any equipment, materials or commodities, so long as those countries are governed by Communist regimes. In 1975, the last year for which we have data, Zaire imported $1,054 worth of goods from Cuba while selling that country $2 worth of Zairian products. However, under Section 103(d)(3) the President is authorized to waive this exclusion if he determines that such a waiver is in the national interest.

The considerations noted above make the proposed sale of agricultural commodiites to Zaire and the necessary waiver important to the national interest of the United States.

[FR Doc.77-11939 Filed 4-21-77;3:41 pm]

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER I—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (STANDARDS, INSPECTIONS, MARKETING PRACTICES), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 29—TOBACCO INSPECTION

Subpart C—Standards

OFFICIAL STANDARD GRADES FOR FLUE-CURED TOBACCO

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: These regulations modify the Official Standard Grades for Flue-Cured Tobacco, U.S. Types 11–14. These regulations are needed to provide for orderly marketing of flue-cured tobacco in light of the production and marketing situation confronting the flue-cured tobacco industry.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 25, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

J. W. York, Director, Tobacco Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, 202-447-2567.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A notice was published on March 7, 1977 (41 FR 26701) that the Department was considering a modification of the Official Standard Grades for Flue-Cured Tobacco, U.S. Types 11–14, which are issued by authority of The Tobacco Inspection Act (49 Stat. 731; 7 U.S.C. 511 et seq.). The proposed modifications would: (1) modify and delete certain definitions to clarify terminology related to grade determination; (2) rephrase certain rules to govern and facilitate grade application; (3) modify certain percentages involving tolerances; and (4) add grades C4GK, X3S, C4S, and N1BO to more accurately describe tobacco as it is presently prepared for market. Numerous comments on these proposed modifications were received by the Department. After a thorough analysis and evaluation of those comments, the Department is hereby modifying the Official Standard Grades for Flue-Cured Tobacco as proposed with certain omissions, clarifications, and additions. These modifications are aimed at improving the quality of flue-cured tobacco brought to market by providing more accurate definitions and grade determinations.

As was explained in the statement of consideration accompanying the notice of proposed modifications cited above, changes in cultural and marketing practices in the flue-cured tobacco industry which have been intensified in the last 3 years have made it necessary to modify certain standard grades for flue-cured tobacco. Two particular problems which have resulted in a lowering of the overall quality of flue-cured tobacco brought to market under present cultural and marketing practices are the increase of foreign matter present in tobacco and to some extent, the waste tolerances allowed in certain grades of tobacco. The proposed modifications were aimed at resolving or reducing these problem areas, where appropriate, by restricting further the amount of foreign matter allowed in certain grades of flue-cured tobacco and, at the same time, eliminating waste tolerances in certain grades of tobacco and modifying these tolerances in other grades.

Various comments were received in response to the proposed modifications as published. A significant number of those comments were in favor of the modifications as proposed. Other comments suggested certain changes in the proposed modifications. Several commenters opposed the use of the word "noticeable" in replacement of the word "normal" in the current definition of "clean" under § 29.1004 of the current standards. These commenters suggested that such a change was too drastic taking into consideration the fact that the term "noticeable" is ambiguous and does not allow for any degree of detection by sight or feel; whereas, the term "normal" is a less rigid term. As an example, those commenters stated that the P and X grades of tobacco have always contained a certain amount of sand or dirt regardless of the method of harvesting and curing, which is considered normal for those grades and the term "normal" would be more appropriate than "noticeable" in the definition of "clean" with respect to such tobacco. In light of the points raised in the comments received it seems appropriate to replace the word "noticeable" with the word "normal" in the definition of clean (§ 29.1004) contained in these standards. For the same reason, in all cases where the word "noticeable" had replaced the word "abnormal" throughout the proposed modification the terms "moderate" and/or "excessive" will be instituted.

In response to other comments received and upon further reconsideration of the proposed modifications, the following definitions and rules are amended:

(1) The definition of the term "dirty" was originally deleted from the proposal. Further evaluation has confirmed that this definition is still needed and the Department is replacing this term in the appropriate place; for example, under "definitions," § 29.1013 shall now describe "dirty" and all succeeding sections shall be renumbered accordingly.

(2) The definition of foreign matter is changed by replacing the word "abnormal" with the word "excessive." This is being done because of comments received which suggested that this definition should take into account the sand factor.

(3) Rule 24 is changed by replacing the word "abnormal" with the word "excessive." The reason for this change is the same as (2) above.

(4) Rule 26: A new section, 29.1132 is being added to the proposed modifications in accordance with comments received to coincide with the definition of "dirty" and to add new special factors of "dirt" and "sand" to the current special factors of "U" and "W" appearing in the current standards. These special factors may be applied to any grade in the Primings Group, including first quality Nondescript from the Primings group.

The majority of the commenters felt the proposal to increase uniformity requirements on certain grades and to reduce allowable injury and waste tolerances on several grades to be unnecessary at this time. The Secretary's position, after a thorough review of the comments, is that the current official standards provide for a sufficient differential between grades on waste, injury, and uniformity factors but that the proposed amendment may have more adequately accomplished the objective. However, because this portion of the proposal was opposed by many segments of the tobacco industry, the Secretary has determined that the current standards relating to injury and waste tolerances can be used for the 1977 flue-cured season. The only exception to this determination is a reduction of the waste tolerance in the "N" group from 60 to 50 percent, as it met with strong support from the industry and should prove highly beneficial to the producer. Therefore, no change will be made in the current standards to reflect either an increase or decrease in any uniformity, injury or waste percentage factor, except as stated herein in relation to the "N" group. If the problems necessitating this revision still exist after this trial period, further amendments to the flue-cured standards will be considered for the 1978 and following seasons. It is anticipated that the State Departments of Agriculture, the Farm Bureaus, and Extension Service, with assistance from the Secretary, will cooperate in providing educational programs throughout the flue-cured region to explain the modification to producers and attempt to convince them that it is advantageous to market clean and ripe tobacco from the standpoint of accrued monetary benefits.

The addition of new grades, C4GK, X3S, C4S, and N1BO, met favorably with the majority of the commenters and this portion of the amendment is adopted without change.

Tobacco not covered by any standard grade is designated as "No-G" or "No-G-F." Commenters felt that a more precise definition of the symbol "F" for "foreign matter" on a basket ticket would serve as a deterrent for producers to market an undesirable product and thereby improve the quality of this tobacco. For example, Rule 24 describes "No-G-F" as containing "stalks, suckers, or foreign matter, such as straw, strings, rubber bands, grass, weeds, or an excessive amount of dirt or sand." Accordingly, beginning with the 1977 flue-cured season, the graders shall specifically mark on the basket ticket after "No-G-F" special factors "dirt" or "sand" as applicable. For example, "No-G-F—sand."

It is hereby found and determined that 30 days' notice of the effective date hereof is impractical, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest in that:

(a) The Department has met with representatives of all segments of the flue-cured tobacco industry and familiarized them with the proposed amendments;

(b) Farmers, warehousemen, and buyers are now making plans for the marketing of the 1977 crop which is expected to begin before mid-July; and

(c) These amendments are necessary to continue orderly marketing conditions in the flue-cured marketing area.

Therefore, after consideration of all relevant facts, good cause exists for making the amendments herein effective on April 25, 1977.

Accordingly, Part 29 of this Title, as amended, follows in its entirety:

In Subpart C of Part 29 delete §§ 29.1001 through 29.1225 and substitute therefor the following:

Subpart C—Standards

Official Standard Grades for Flue-Cured Tobacco (U.S. Types 11, 12, 13, and 14)

DEFINITIONS

ELEMENTS OF QUALITY

RULES

GRADES

SUMMARY OF STANDARD GRADES

KEY TO STANDARD GRADEMARKS

AUTHORITY: Sections 29.1001 to 29.1225 issued under sec. 14, 49 Stat. 734; 7 U.S.C. 511n.

Subpart C—Standards

Official Standards Grades for Flue-Cured Tobacco (U.S. Types 11, 12, 13, and 14)

DEFINITIONS

§ 29.1001 Definitions.

As used in these standards, the words and phrases hereinafter defined shall have the indicated meanings so assigned.

§ 29.1002 Body.

The thickness and density of a leaf or the weight per unit of surface. (See Elements of Quality Chart.)

§ 29.1003 Class.

A major division of tobacco based on method of cure or principal usage.

§ 29.1004 Clean.

Tobacco is described as clean when it contains only a normal amount of sand or soil particles. Leaves grown on the lower position of the stalk normally contain more sand or dirt than those from higher stalk positions. (See rule 4.)

§ 29.1005 Color.

The third factor of a grade based on the relative hues, saturations or chromas, and color values common to the type.

§ 29.1006 Color intensity.

The varying degree of saturation or chroma. Color intensity as applied to tobacco describes the strength or weakness of a specific color or hue. (See Elements of Quality Chart.)

§ 29.1007 Color symbols.

As applied to flue-cured tobacco, color symbols are L—lemon, F—orange, FR—orange red, R—red, V—greenish, K—variegated, KR—variegated red or scorched, G—green, GR—green red, GK—green variegated (may be scorched), GG—gray green, KL—variegated lemon, KF—variegated orange, KV—variegated greenish, and KM—variegated (scorched) mixed.

§ 29.1008 Combination symbol.

A color or group symbol used with another symbol to form the third factor of a grademark to denote a particular side or characteristic of the tobacco. As applied to flue-cured tobacco, the combination symbols are XL—lub side, PO—oxidized primings, XO—oxidized lugs or

cutters, BO—oxidized leaf or smoking leaf, GL—thin-bodied nondescript, and GF—medium-bodied nondescript.

§ 29.1009 Condition.

The state of tobacco which results from the method of preparation or from the degree of fermentation. Words used to describe the condition of tobacco are: Undried, air-dried, steam-dried, sweating, sweated, and aged.

§ 29.1010 Crude.

A subdegree of maturity. Crude leaves are usually hard and slick as a result of extreme immaturity. A similar condition may result from fire-kill, sunburn, or sunscald. Any leaf which is crude to the extent of 20 percent or more of its surface may be described as crude (See rule 20.)

§ 29.1011 Cured.

Tobacco dried of its sap by either natural or artificial processes.

§ 29.1012 Damage.

The effect of mold, must, rot, black rot, or other fungus or bacterial diseases which attack tobacco in its cured state. Tobacco having the odor of mold, must, or rot is considered damage. (See rule 21.)

§ 29.1013 Dirty.

The state of tobacco containing moderate to excessive amounts of dirt or sand, or tobacco to which additional quantities of dirt or sand have been added. (See rule 24 and 26.)

§ 29.1014 Elasticity.

The flexible, springy nature of the tobacco leaf to recover approximately its original size and shape after it has been stretched.

§ 29.1015 Elements of quality.

Elements of quality and the degrees used in the specifications of the Official Standard Grades for Flue-cured, U.S. Types 11–14, are shown in chart form. Words have been selected to describe the degrees of each element.

§ 29.1016 Finish.

The reflectance factor in color perception. Finish indicates the sheen or shine of the surface of a tobacco leaf.

§ 29.1017 Fire-killed.

Any leaf of which 5 percent or more of its surface has a set green color caused by excessive heat in the curing process. Any lot containing 5 percent or more of such tobacco may be described as fire-killed. (See rule 23.)

§ 29.1018 Flue-cured.

Tobacco cured under artificial atmospheric conditions by a process of regulating the heat and ventilation without allowing smoke or fumes from the fuel to come in contact with the tobacco; or tobacco cured by some other process which accomplishes the same results.

§ 29.1019 Foreign matter.

Any extraneous substance or material such as straw, strings, rubber bands, grass, weeds, or an excessive amount of dirt or sand. (See rule 24.)

§ 29.1020 Form.

The stage or preparation of tobacco such as stemmed or unstemmed.

§ 29.1021 Grade.

A subdivision of a type according to group, quality, and color.

§ 29.1022 Grademark.

A grademark normally consists of three symbols which indicate group, quality, and color. A letter is used to indicate group, a number to indicate quality, and a letter or letters to indicate color. For example, B3F means: Leaf, good quality, orange color.

§ 29.1023 Green (G).

A color term applied to immature or crude tobacco. Any leaf which has a green color affecting 20 percent or more of its surface may be described as green. (See rule 19.)

§ 29.1024 Greenish (V).

A color term applied to greenish-tinged tobacco. Any leaf which has a greenish tinge or a pale green color affecting 20 percent or more of its surface may be described as greenish. (See rule 18.)

§ 29.1025 Group.

A division of a type covering closely related grades based on certain characteristics which are related to stalk position, body, or the general quality of the tobacco. Groups in Flue-cured, U.S. Types 11–14, are: Wrappers (A), Leaf (B), Smoking Leaf (H), Cutters (C), Lugs (X), Primings (P), Mixed (M), Nondescript (N), and Scrap (S).

§ 29.1026 Injury.

Hurt or impairment from any cause except the fungus or bacterial diseases which attack tobacco in its cured state, but which is not serious enough to be classified as waste. (See definitions of Damage and Waste; see also rule 14.)

§ 29.1027 Leaf scrap.

A byproduct of stemmed or unstemmed tobacco.

§ 29.1028 Leaf structure.

The cell development of a leaf as indicated by its porosity. (See Elements of Quality Chart.)

§ 29.1029 Lemon (L).

Yellow.

§ 29.1030 Length.

The linear measurement of cured tobacco leaves from the butt of the midrib to the extreme tip.

§ 29.1031 Lot.

A pile, basket, bulk, or more than one bale, case, hogshead, tierce, package, or other definite package unit.

§ 29.1032 Maturity.

The degree of ripeness. (See Elements of Quality Chart.)

§ 29.1033 Mixed color.

Distinctly different colors of the type mingled together. (See rule 16.)

§ 29.1034 Mixed group.

This group consists of tobacco from three or more groups or two distinctly different groups which are mixed together in various combinations.

§ 29.1035 Nested.

Any lot of tobacco which has been loaded, packed, or arranged to conceal tobacco of inferior grade, quality, or condition. Nested includes: Any lot of tobacco which contains injured or other inferior tobacco, any of which cannot be readily detected upon inspection because of the way the lot is packed or arranged. (See rule 23.)

§ 29.1036 No-G.

A designation applied to a lot of tobacco which is nested, offtype, semicured, fire-killed, smoked, oxidized over 10 percent, or has an odor foreign to the type. (See rule 23.)

§ 29.1037 No-G-F.

A designation applied to a lot of tobacco that contains stalks, suckers, or foreign matter. (See rule 24.)

§ 29.1038 Oil.

A soft semifluid constituent of tobacco. (See Elements of Quality Chart.)

§ 29.1039 Offtype.

Tobacco of distinctly different characteristics which cannot be classified as Flue-cured, U.S. 11-14. (See rule 23.)

§ 29.1040 Orange (F).

A reddish yellow.

§ 29.1041 Orange Red (FR).

A yellowish red.

§ 29.1042 Order (case).

The state of tobacco with respect to its moisture content.

§ 29.1043 Oxidized (O).

A term applied to tobacco that has deteriorated and turned black during the curing process. Any leaf of which 10 percent or more or its surface has been blackened during the curing process may be described as oxidized. Oxidized tobacco is also known as barn scald or barn rot. (See rules 23 and 25.)

§ 29.1044 Package.

A hogshead, tierce, case, bale, or other securely enclosed parcel or bundle.

§ 29.1045 Packing.

A lot of tobacco consisting of a number of packages submitted as one definite unit for sampling or inspecting. It is represented to contain the same kind of tobacco and has a common identification number or mark on each package.

§ 29.1046 Prematurity.

A condition of growth and development characteristic of the lower leaves of the tobacco plant. Premature leaves have some appearance of ripeness due to a process of starvation caused by translocation of plant food elements from these leaves to other leaves higher on the stalk.

§ 29.1047 Quality.

A division of a group or the second factor of a grade based on the relative degree of one or more elements of quality.

§ 29.1048 Raw.

Tobacco as it appears between the time of harvesting and the beginning of the curing process.

§ 29.1049 Red (R).

A brownish red.

§ 29.1050 Semicured.

Tobacco in the process of being cured or which is partially but not thoroughly cured. Semicured includes tobacco which contains fat stems, swelled stems, frozen tobacco, frozen stems, or stems that have not been thoroughly dried in the curing process. (See rule 23.)

§ 29.1051 Side.

A certain phase of quality, color, or length as contrasted with some other phase of quality, color, or length; or any peculiar characteristic of tobacco.

§ 29.1052 Slick.

A term used to denote tobacco having a close or tight leaf structure. Any leaf of lemon or orange color of which 20 percent or more of its surface is close or tight may be described as slick. (See rule 17.)

§ 29.1053 Smoked.

Any tobacco affected by smoke or fumes in the curing process. (See rule 23.)

§ 29.1054 Sound.

Free of damage.

§ 29.1055 Special factor.

A symbol or term authorized to be used with specified grades. Tobacco to which a special factor is applied may meet the general specifications but has a peculiar side or characteristic which tends to modify the grade. (See rules 10, 21, 22, and 26.)

§ 29.1056 Steam-dried.

The condition of unfermented tobacco as customarily prepared for storage by means of a redrying machine or other steam-conditioning equipment.

§ 29.1057 Stem.

The midrib or large central vein of a tobacco leaf.

§ 29.1058 Stemmed.

A form of tobacco, including strips and strip scrap, from which the stems or midribs have been removed.

§ 29.1059 Strips.

The sides of a tobacco leaf from which the stem has been removed or a lot of tobacco composed of strips.

§ 29.1060 Sweated.

The condition of tobacco which has passed through one or more fermentations natural to tobacco packed with a normal percentage of moisture. This condition sometimes is described as aged.

§ 29.1061 Sweating.

The condition of tobacco in the process of fermentation.

§ 29.1062 Symbol (S).

As applied to Flue-cured tobacco the symbol (S), when used as the third factor of a grademark, denotes slick, unripe tobacco in lemon or orange color. (See rule 17.)

§ 29.1063 Tobacco.

Tobacco as it appears betwen the time it is primed and cured, and the time it enters into the different manufacturing processes. The acts of stemming, sweating, and conditioning are not regarded as manufacturing processes. Tobacco, as used in these standards, does not include manufactured or semi-manufactured products, stems, cuttings, clippings, trimmings, siftings, or dust.

§ 29.1064 Tobacco Products.

Manufactured tobacco, including cigarettes, cigars, smoking tobacco, chewing tobacco, and snuff.

§ 29.1065 Type.

A division of a class of tobacco having certain common characteristics and closely related grades. Tobacco which has the same characteristics and corresponding qualities, colors, and lengths is classified as one type, regardless of any factors of historical or geographical nature which cannot be determined by an examination of the tobacco.

§ 29.1066 Type 11.

That type of flue-cured tobacco commonly known as Western Flue-cured or Old Belt and Middle Belt Flue-cured, produced principally in the Piedmont sections of Virginia and North Carolina and the district extending eastward to the coastal plains region. That portion of this type known as Old Belt Flue-cured, normally characterized by a heavier body and darker color shade and produced principally in the Piedmont sections of Virginia and North Carolina, may be classified as Type 11a; and that portion of the type known as Middle Belt Flue-cured, normally characterized by a thinner body and lighter color shade and produced principally in a section lying between the Piedmont and coastal plains regions of Virginia and North Carolina, may be classified as Type 11b.

§ 29.1067 Type 12.

That type of flue-cured tobacco commonly known as Eastern Flue-cured or Eastern Carolina Flue-cured, produced principally in the coastal plains section of North Carolina, north of the South River.

§ 29.1068 Type 13.

That type of flue-cured tobacco commonly known as Southeastern Flue-cured or South Carolina Flue-cured, produced principally in the coastal plains section of South Carolina and the southeastern counties of North Carolina, south of the South River.

§ 29.1069 Type 14.

That type of flue-cured tobacco commonly known as Southern Flue-cured, produced principally in the southern section of Georgia, in northern Florida, and to some extent in Alabama.

§ 29.1070 Undried.

The condition of unfermented tobacco which has not been air-dried or steam-dried.

§ 29.1071 Uniformity.

An element of quality which describes the consistency of a lot of tobacco as it is prepared for market. Uniformity is expressed as a percentage in grade specifications. (See rule 13.)

§ 29.1072 Unsound (U).

Damaged. (See rule 21.)

§ 29.1073 Unstemmed.

A form of tobacco, including whole leaf and leaf scrap, from which the stems or midribs have not been removed.

§ 29.1074 Variegated (K).

Any tobacco that does not blend with the normal colors of the type; any leaf of which 20 percent or more of its surface is grayish, mottled, bleached, dotty-faced, scalded, or sunbaked. (See rule 15.)

§ 29.1075 Variegated red or scorched (KR).

A red discoloration which usually results from excessive heat in the curing process. Any leaf of which 20 percent or more of its surface has been reddened in the curing proces may be described as variegated red or scorched. (See rule 16.)

§ 29.1076 Waste.

The portion or portions of the web of tobacco leaves which have been lost or rendered less servicable for use in tobacco products, including: (a) Portions which have decomposed or largely decomposed by field diseases and field-firing, pole-burning, bulk-burning; (b) portions which are dead, lifeless, and do not have sufficient strength or stability to hold together in the normal manufacturing process due to excessive injury of any kind.

§ 29.1077 Wet (W).

Any sound tobacco containing excessive moisture to the extent that it is in unsafe or doubtful-keeping order. Wet applies to any tobacco which is not damaged but which is likely to damage if treated in the customary manner. (See rule 22.)

§ 29.1078 Width.

The relative breadth of a tobacco leaf expressed in relation to its length. (See Elements of Quality Chart.)

ELEMENTS OF QUALITY

§ 29.1101 Elements of quality and degrees of each element.

These standardized words or terms are used to describe tobacco quality and to assist in interpreting grade specifications. Tobacco attributes or characteristics which constitute quality are designated as elements of quality. The range within each element is expressed by the use of words or terms designated as degrees. These several degrees are arranged to show their relative value, but the actual value of each degree varies with group.

Elements	Degrees				
Maturity	Immature	Unripe	Mature	Ripe	Mellow.
Leaf structure	Tight	Close	Firm	Open	
Body	Heavy	Fleshy	Medium	Thin	
Oil	Lean	Oily	Rich		
Color intensity	Pale	Weak	Moderate	Strong	Deep.
Width	Stringy	Narrow	Normal	Spready	
Length		(1)	(1)	(1)	
Uniformity		(2)	(2)	(2)	
Injury tolerance		(2)	(2)	(2)	
Waste tolerance		(2)	(2)	(2)	

[1] Expressed in inches.
[2] Expressed in percentage.

RULES

§ 29.1106 Rules.

The application of these official standard grades shall be in accordance with the following rules.

§ 29.1107 Rule 1.

Each grade shall be treated as a subdivision of a particular type. When the grade is stated in an inspection certificate, the type also shall be stated.

§ 29.1108 Rule 2.

The determination of a grade shall be based upon a thorough examination of a lot of tobacco or of an official sample of the lot.

§ 29.1109 Rule 3.

In drawing an official sample from a hogshead or other package of tobacco, three or more breaks shall be made at such points and in such manner as the inspector or sampler may find necessary to determine the kinds of tobacco and the percentage of each kind contained in the lot. All breaks shall be made so that the tobacco contained in the center of the package is visible to the sampler. Tobacco shall be drawn from at least three breaks from which a representative sample shall be selected. The sample shall include tobacco of each different group, quality, color, length, and kind found in the lot in proportion to the quantities of each contained in the lot.

§ 29.1110 Rule 4.

All standard grades must be clean.

§ 29.1111 Rule 5.

The grade assigned to any lot of tobacco shall be a true representation of the tobacco at the time of inspection and certification. If, at any time, it is found that a lot of tobacco does not comply with the specifications of the grade previously assigned, it shall not thereafter be represented as such grade.

§ 29.1112 Rule 6.

A lot of tobacco on the marginal line between two colors shall be placed in the color with which it best corresponds with respect to body or other associated elements of quality.

§ 29.1113 Rule 7.

Any lot of tobacco which meets the specifications of two grades shall be placed in the higher grade. Any lot of tobacco on the marginal line between two grades shall be placed in the lower grade.

§ 29.1114 Rule 8.

A lot of tobacco meets the specifications of a grade when it is not lower in any degree of any element of quality than the minimum specifications of such grade.

§ 29.1115 Rule 9.

The use of any grade may be restricted by the Director during any marketing season when it is found that the grade is not needed or appears in insufficient volume to justify its use.

§ 29.1116 Rule 10.

Any special factor approved by the Director of the Tobacco Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, may be used after a grademark to show a peculiar side or characteristic of the tobacco which tends to modify the grade.

§ 29.1117 Rule 11.

Interpretations, the use of specifications, and the meaning of terms shall be in accordance with determinations or clarifications made by the Chief of the Marketing Program Branch and approved by the Director.

§ 29.1118 Rule 12.

In determining the grade of a lot of tobacco, the lot as a whole shall be considered. Minor irregularities which do not affect over one percent of the tobacco shall be overlooked.

§ 29.1119 Rule 13.

Degrees of uniformity shall be expressed in terms of percentages. The percentages shall govern the portion of a lot which must meet the specifications of the grade. (These percentages shall not affect limitations established by other rules.) The minor portion must be closely related, but may be of a different group, quality, and color from the major portion.

§ 29.1120 Rule 14.

The application of injury tolerance as an element of quality shall be expressed in terms of a percentage. The appraisal of injury shall be based upon the percentage of affected leaf surface or the degree of injury. In appraising injury, consideration shall be given to the normal characteristics of the group as related to injury.

§ 29.1121 Rule 15.

Any lot of tobaco containing 20 percent or more of variegated tobacco other than variegated red or scorched shall be described as variegated and designated by the color symbol "K," "KL," "KF," or "KV."

§ 29.1122 Rule 16.

Any lot of ripe tobacco which contains 20 percent or more of variegated red or scorched tobacco shall be designated by the color symbol "KR." Any lot of unripe tobacco which is under 20 percent greenish or green but which contains 20 percent or more of scorched tobacco, or any lot of tobacco which contains 20 percent or more of a color distinctly different from the major color shall be classified as mixed color and designated by the color symbol "KM."

§ 29.1123 Rule 17.

Any lot of lemon, or orange colored tobacco containing 20 percent or more of slick tobacco shall be designated by the symbol "S" in the X, C, or B groups.

§ 29.1124 Rule 18.

Any lot of mature tobacco in lemon or orange color containing 20 percent or more of greenish tobacco, or any lot which is not green but which contains 20 percent or more of greenish and green tobacco combined shall be designated by the color symbol "V."

§ 29.1125 Rule 19.

Any lot of tobacco containing 20 percent or more of green tobacco, or any lot which is not crude but contains 20 percent or more of green and crude combined shall be designated by the color symbols "G," "GR," "GK," "GG," or the combination symbols "GL," or "GF."

§ 29.1126 Rule 20.

Crude tobacco shall not be included in any grade of any color except green, green red, green variegated, gray green, or the combination symbols "GL," or "GF" in the nondescript group. Any lot containing 20 percent or more of crude tobacco shall be classified as nondescript.

§ 29.1127 Rule 21.

Damaged tobacco which otherwise meets the specifications of a grade shall be treated as a special factor grade by placing the special factor "U" after the grademark.

§ 29.1128 Rule 22.

Sound tobacco that is wet or in doubtful-keeping order but which otherwise meets the specifications of a grade shall be treated as a special factor grade by placing the special factor "W" after the grademark.

§ 29.1129 Rule 23.

Tobacco shall be designated by the grademark "No-G," when it is nested, offtype, semicured, firekilled, smoked, oxidized over 10 percent, or has an odor foreign to the type.

§ 29.1130 Rule 24.

Tobacco shall be designated by the grademark "No-G-F," when it contains stalks, suckers, or foreign matter such as straw, strings, rubber bands, grass, weeds, or an excessive amount of dirt or sand.

§ 29.1131 Rule 25.

Any lot of tobacco containing 10 percent or less of oxidized tobacco (except as provided in rule 12) shall be designated by the combination symbols "PO," "XO," or "BO." Crude or green tobacco containing 10 percent or less of oxidized shall be graded "N2."

§ 29.1132 Rule 26.

Tobacco that contains a moderate amount of dirt or sand, but which otherwise meets the specifications of any Primings grade, including the first quality Nondescript from the Primings group, shall be designated by placing the special factor, "dirt" or "sand" after the grademark.

GRADES

§ 29.1161 Wrappers (A group).

This group consists of leaves from the C and B group stalk positions. Wrappers are mature to ripe, elastic, have small and blending fibers, and show a low percentage of injury affecting wrapper yield.

U.S. Grades, Grade Names, Minimum Specifications, and Tolerances.

A1L—Choice quality lemon wrappers: Firm leaf structure, medium body, spready, deep color intensity, rich in oil, 18 inches or over in length, 30 percent of leaves not lower than B3 or C3, 5 percent injury tolerance affecting wrapper yield.

A1F—Choice quality orange wrappers: Firm leaf structure, fleshy, spready, deep color intensity, rich in oil, 18 inches or over in length, 30 percent of leaves not lower than B3 or C3, 5 percent injury tolerance affecting wrapper yield.

§ 29.1162 Leaf (B group).

This group consists of leaves normally grown at or above the midportion of the stalk. Leaves of the B group have a pointed tip, tend to fold, usually are heavier in body than the other groups, and show little or no ground injury.

U.S. Grades, Grade Names, Minimum Specifications, and Tolerances.

B1L—Choice quality lemon leaf: Ripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, rich in oil, deep color intensity, spready, 20 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 90 percent; injury tolerance, 5 percent.

B2L—Fine quality lemon leaf: Ripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, rich in oil, deep color intensity, normal width, 18 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 85 percent; injury tolerance, 10 percent.

B3L—Good quality lemon leaf: Ripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, oily, strong color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

B4L—Fair Quality Lemon Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, oily, moderate color intensity, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5L—Low Quality Lemon Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6L—Poor Quality Lemon Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B1F Choice Quality Orange Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, rich in oil, deep color intensity, spready, 20 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 90 percent; injury tolerance, 5 percent.

B2F Fine Quality Orange Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, rich in oil, deep color intensity, normal width, 18 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 85 percent; injury tolerance, 10 percent.

B3F Good Quality Orange Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, oily, strong color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

B4F Fair Quality Orange Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, oily, moderate color intensity, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5F Low Quality Orange Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, weak color intensity, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6F Poor Quality Orange Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, weak color intensity, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B1FR Choice Quality Orange Red Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, rich in oil, deep color intensity, spready, 20 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 90 percent; injury tolerance, 5 percent.

B2FR Fine Quality Orange Red Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, rich in oil, deep color intensity, normal width, 18 inches or over in length. Uniformity 85 percent; injury tolerance, 10 percent.

B3FR Good Quality Orange Red Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, oily, strong color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

B4FR Fair Quality Orange Red Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, oily, moderate color intensity, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent, injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5FR Low Quality Orange Red Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, weak color intensity, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6FR Poor Quality Orange Red Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, weak color intensity, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B4R Fair Quality Red Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, heavy, oily, moderate color intensity, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5R Low Quality Red Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, heavy, lean in oil, weak color intensity, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B3K Good Quality Variegated Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, oily, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

B4K Fair Quality Variegated Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5K Low Quality Variegated Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6K Poor Quality Variegated Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B3KR Good quality Variegated Red or Scorched Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, oily, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance 15 percent.

B4KR Fair Quality Variegated Red or Scorched Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5KR Low Quality Variegated Red or Scorched Leaf

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B3V Good Quality Greenish Leaf

Mature, firm leaf structure, fleshy, oily, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance 15 percent.

B4V Fair Quality Greenish Leaf

Mature, firm leaf structure, fleshy, oily, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5V Low Quality Greenish Leaf

Mature, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B3KL Good Quality Variegated Lemon Leaf

Unripe, close leaf structure, heavy, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

B4KL Fair Quality Variegated Lemon Leaf

Unripe, close leaf structure, heavy, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5KL Low Quality Variegated Lemon Leaf

Unripe, tight leaf structure, heavy, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6KL Poor Quality Variegated Lemon Leaf

Unripe, tight leaf structure, heavy, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B3KF Good Quality Variegated Orange Leaf

Unripe, close leaf structure, heavy, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

B4KF Fair Quality Variegated Orange Leaf

Unripe, close leaf structure, heavy, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5KF Low Quality Variegated Orange Leaf

Unripe, tight leaf structure, heavy, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6WF Poor Quality Variegated Orange Leaf

Unripe, tight leaf structure, heavy, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B3KM Good Quality Variegated Mixed Leaf

Unripe, close leaf structure, heavy, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

B4KM Fair Quality Variegated Mixed Leaf

Unripe, close leaf structure, heavy, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5KM Low Quality Variegated Mixed Leaf

Unripe, tight leaf structure, heavy, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6KM Poor Quality Variegated Mixed Leaf

Unripe, tight leaf structure, heavy, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B4KV Fair Quality Variegated Greenish Leaf

Unripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 25 percent waste.

B5KV Low Quality Variegated Greenish Leaf

Unripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

B6KV Poor Quality Variegated Greenish Leaf

Unripe, firm leaf structure, medium body, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 40 percent waste.

B3S Good Quality Slick Leaf

Unripe, close leaf structure, fleshy, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

B4S Fair Quality Slick Leaf

Unripe, close leaf structure, fleshy, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance, 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5S Low Quality Slick Leaf

Unripe, tight leaf structure, fleshy, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B4G Fair Quality Green Leaf

Immature, close leaf structure, fleshy, oily, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5G Low Quality Green Leaf

Immature, tight leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6G Poor Quality Green Leaf

Immature, tight leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B5GR Low Quality Green Red Leaf

Immature, tight leaf structure, heavy, lean in oil, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B4GK Fair Quality Green Variegated Leaf

Immature, close leaf structure, heavy, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

B5GK Low Quality Green Variegated Leaf

Immature, tight leaf structure, heavy, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

B6GK Poor Quality Green Variegated Leaf

Immature, tight leaf structure, heavy, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

B5GG Low Quality Gray Green Leaf

Immature, tight leaf structure, heavy, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

§ 29.1163 Smoking Leaf (H Group).

This group consists of leaves normally grown at or above the midportion of the stalk. Leaves of the H group show a high degree of maturity, more open leaf structure in relation to the B Group, and a

material amount of injury characteristic of very ripe leaf tobacco.

U.S. Grades, Grade Names, Minimum Specifications, and Tolerances

H3L Good Quality Lemon Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, strong color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

H4L Fair Quality Lemon Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, moderate color intensity, normal width. Uniformity 70 percent; injury tolerance, 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

H5L Low Quality Lemon Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

H6L Poor Quality Lemon Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

H1F Choice Quality Orange Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, deep color intensity, spready, 20 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 90 percent; injury tolerance, 5 percent.

H2F Fine Quality Orange Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, deep color intensity, normal width, 18 inches or over in length. Uniformity 85 percent; injury tolerance, 10 percent.

H3F Good Quality Orange Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, strong color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

H4F Fair Quality Orange Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, moderate color intensity, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

H5F Low Quality Orange Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

H6F Poor Quality Orange Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

H4FR Fair Quality Orange Red Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, moderate color intensity, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

Mellow, open leaf structure, fleshy lean in oil, weak color intensity, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

H6FR Poor Quality Orange Red Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil, weak color intensity, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

H4K Fair Quality Variegated Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, normal width. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

H5K Low Quality Variegated Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, narrow. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

H6K Poor Quality Variegated Smoking Leaf

Mellow, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, stringy. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

§ 29.1164 Cutters (C Group).

This group consists of leaves normally grown at or just below the midportion of the stalk. Leaves of the C group have a tendency to roll concealing the stem or midrib. Cutters usually have a rounded tip, are thin to medium in body, and show some ground injury.

U.S. Grades, Grade Names, Minimum Specifications, and Tolerances.

C1L Choice Quality Lemon Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, oily, deep color intensity, spready, 20 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 90 percent, injury tolerance, 5 percent.

C2L Fine Quality Lemon Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, oily, deep color intensity, spready, 20 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 85 percent; injury tolerance, 10 percent.

C3L Good Quality Lemon Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, oily, strong color intensity, spready, 18 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

C4L Fair Quality Lemon Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, lean in oil, moderate color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

C5L Low Quality Lemon Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, lean in oil, weak color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

C1F Choice Quality Orange Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, oily, deep color intensity, spready, 20 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 90 percent; injury tolerance, 5 percent.

C2F Fine Quality Orange Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, oily, deep color intensity, spready, 20 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 85 percent; injury tolerance, 10 percent.

C3F Good Quality Orange Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, oily, strong color intensity, spready, 18 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance, 15 percent.

C4F Fair Quality Orange Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, moderate color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

C5F Low Quality Orange Cutters

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity 70 percent; injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

C4KR Fair Quality Variegated Red or Scorched Cutters

Ripe open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

C4V Fair Quality Greenish Cutters

Mature, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

C4KL Fair Quality Variegated Lemon Cutters

Unripe, close leaf structure, medium body, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

C4KM Fair Quality Variegated Mixed Cutters

Unripe, close leaf structure, medium body, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

C4S Fair Quality Slick Cutters

Unripe, close leaf structure, medium body, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

C4G Fair Quality Green Cutters

Immature, close leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

C4GK Fair Quality Green Variegated Cutters

Immature, close leaf structure, medium body, normal width, 16 inches or over in length. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

§ 29.1165 Lugs (X Group).

This group consists of leaves normally grown near the bottom of the stalk. Leaves of the X group usually have a blunt tip and open face; they show some ground injury characteristic of the group.

U.S. Grades, Grade Names, Minimum Specifications, and Tolerances.

X1L Choice Quality Lemon Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, oily, strong color intensity. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 6 percent may be waste.

X2L Fine Quality Lemon Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, oily, strong color intensity. Uniformity, 75 percent; injury tolerance 25 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

X3L Good Quality Lemon Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, lean in oil, moderate color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

X4L Fair Quality Lemon Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, lean in oil, weak color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X5L Low Quality Lemon Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, thin, lean in oil, pale color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 40 percent waste.

X1F Choice Quality Orange Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, oily, strong color intensity. Uniformity, 80 percent; injury tolerance 20 percent, of which not over 5 percent may be waste.

X2F Fine Quality Orange Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, oily, strong color intensity. Uniformity, 75 percent; injury tolerance 25 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

X3F Good Quality Orange Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, moderate color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

X4F Fair Quality Orange Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X5F Low Quality Orange Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, pale color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 40 percent waste.

X3KR Good Quality Variegated Red or Scorched Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

X4KR Fair Quality Variegated Red or Scorched Lugs

Ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X3V Good Quality Greenish Lugs

Mature, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

X4V Fair Quality Greenish Lugs

Mature, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X4KL Fair Quality Variegated Lemon Lugs

Unripe, close leaf structure, thin. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X4KF Fair Quality Variegated Orange Lugs

Unripe, close leaf structure, medium body. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X4KV Fair Quality Variegated Greenish Lugs

Unripe, firm leaf structure, medium body. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X3KM Good Quality Variegated Mixed Lugs

Unripe, close leaf structure, medium body. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

X4KM Fair Quality Variegated Mixed Lugs

Unripe, close leaf structure, medium body. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X3S Good Quality Slick Lugs

Unripe, close leaf structure, medium body. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

X4G Fair Quality Green Lugs

Immature, firm leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

X5G Low Quality Green Lugs

Immature, firm leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 40 percent waste.

X4GK Fair Quality Green Variegated Lugs

Immature, close leaf structure, medium body. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

§ 29.1166 Primings (P Group).

This group consists of round-tipped leaves from the lowest portion of the stalk. Leaves of the P group ripen prematurely as a result of starvation and show a material amount of injury characteristic of leaves grown close to the ground.

U.S. Grades, Grade Names, Minimum Specifications, and Tolerances.

P2L Fine Quality Lemon Primings

Prematurely ripe, open leaf structure, thin, oily, moderate color intensity. Uniformity, 75 percent; injury tolerance 25 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

P3L Good Quality Lemon Primings

Prematurely ripe, open leaf structure, thin, lean in oil, weak color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

P4L Fair Quality Lemon Primings

Prematurely ripe, open leaf structure, thin, lean in oil, pale color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

P5L Low Quality Lemon Primings

Prematurely ripe, open leaf structure, thin, lean in oil, pale color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 40 percent waste.

P2F Fine Quality Orange Printings

Prematurely ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, oily, moderate color intensity. Uniformity, 75 percent; injury tolerance 25 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

P3F Good Quality Orange Primings

Prematurely ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, weak color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

P4F Fair Quality Orange Primings

Prematurely ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, pale color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

P5F Low Quality Orange Primings

Prematurely ripe, open leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil, pale color intensity. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 40 percent waste.

P4G Fair Quality Green Primings

Immature, firm leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 30 percent waste.

P5G Low Quality Green Primings

Immature, firm leaf structure, medium body, lean in oil. Uniformity, 70 percent; tolerance, 40 percent waste.

§ 29.1167 Mixed (M Group).

This group consists of tobacco from three or more groups or two distinctly different groups which are mixed together in various combinations.

U.S. Grades, Grade Names, Minimum Specifications, and Tolerances.

M4F Fair Quality Mixed Groups

Ripe, firm leaf structure, heavy, lean in oil, injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

M5F Low Quality Mixed Groups

Ripe, firm leaf structure, heavy, lean in oil, injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

M4KR Fair Quality Variegated Red or Scortched Mixed Groups

Ripe, firm leaf structure, fleshy, lean in oil. Injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

M4KM Fair Quality Variegated Mixed Groups

Unripe, close leaf structure, heavy. Injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

M5KM Low Quality Variegated Mixed Groups

Unripe, tight leaf structure, heavy Injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

M5GK Low Quality Green Variegated Mixed Groups

Immature, tight leaf structure, heavy. Injury tolerance 30 percent, of which not over 10 percent may be waste.

M5GK Low Quality Green Variegated Mixed Groups

Immature, tight leaf structure, heavy. Injury tolerance 40 percent, of which not over 20 percent may be waste.

§ 29.1168 Nondescript (N Group).

Extremely common tobacco which does not meet the minimum specifications or which exceeds the tolerance of the lowest grade of any other group except Scrap.

U.S. Grades, Grade Names, Minimum Specifications, and Tolerances.

N1L—Best nondescript from the P group: tolerance: 50 percent waste.

N1XL—Best nondescript from the X group: tolerance: 50 percent waste.

N1K—Best nondescript from the H group: tolerance: 50 percent waste.

N1R—Best, heavy, dark-colored nondescript from the B group: tolerance: 50 percent injury or waste.

N1KV—Best, variegated, medium-bodied, greenish nondescript from the B group: tolerance: 50 percent injury or waste.

N1GL—Best, thin, crude green nondescript from the P or X groups: tolerance: 50 percent crude, injury, or waste.

N1GF—Best, medium-bodied, medium-colored, crude green nondescript from the B or C groups: tolerance: 50 percent crude, injury or waste.

N1GR—Best, heavy, dark-colored, crude green nondescript from the B group: tolerance: 50 percent crude, injury, or waste.

N1GG—Best, crude gray green nondescript from the B group: tolerance: 50 percent crude, injury, or waste.

N1PO—Oxidized tobacco from the P group: tolerance: 50 percent injury or waste.

N1XO—Oxidized tobacco from the X or C groups: tolerance: 50 percent injury or waste.

N1BO—Oxidized tobacco from the B or H groups: tolerance: 50 percent injury or waste.

N2—Poorest nondescript of any group or color: tolerance: Over 50 percent crude, injury, or waste.

§ 29.1169 Scrap (S Group).

A byproduct of stemmed or unstemmed tobacco. Scrap accumulates from handling tobacco in farm buildings, warehouses, packing and conditioning plants, and stemmeries.

U.S. Grade, Grade Name and Specifications.

S—Scrap; loose, whole, or broken unstemmed leaves; or the web portion of tobacco leaves reduced to scrap by any process.

SUMMARY OF STANDARD GRADES

§ 29.1181 Summary of Standard Grades.

2 GRADES OF WRAPPERS

A1L, A1F.

24 GRADES OF LEAF

B1L, B2L, B3L, B4L, B5L, B6L, B1F, B2F, B3F, B4F, B5F, B6F, B1FR, B2FR, B3FR, B4FR, B5FR, B6FR, B4R, B5R, B3K, B4K, B5K, B6K.

16 GRADES OF SMOKING LEAF

H3L, H4L, H5L, H6L, H1F, H2F, H3F, H4F, H5F, H6F, H4FR, H5FR, H6FR, H4K, H5K, H6K.

10 GRADES OF CUTTERS

C1L, C2L, C3L, C4L, C5L, C1F, C2F, C3F, C4F, C5F.

10 GRADES OF LUGS

X1L, X2L, X3L, X4L, X5L, X1F, X2F, X3F, X4F, X5F.

8 GRADES OF PRIMINGS

P2L, P3L, P4L, P5L, P2F, P3F, P4F, P5F.

6 GRADES OF GREENISH

B3V, B4V, B5V, C4V, X3V, X4V.

15 GRADES OF VARIEGATED

B3KL, B4KL, B5KL, B6KL, B3KF, B4KF, B5KF, B6KF, B4KV, B5KV, B6KV, C4KL, X4KL, X4KF, X4KV.

7 MIXED GRADES

M4F, M5F, M4KR, M4KM, M5KM, M4GK, M5GK.

15 GRADES OF GREEN

B4G, B5G, B6G, B5GR, B4GK, B5GK, B6GK, B5GG, C4G, C4GK, X4G, X5G, X4GK, P4G, P5G.

7 GRADES OF VARIEGATED MIXED

B3KM, B4KM, B5KM, B6KM, C4KM, X3KM, X4KM.

6 GRADES OF VARIEGATED RED OR SCORCHED

B3KR, B4KR, B5KR, C4KR, X3KR, X4KR.

5 GRADES OF SLICK

B3S, B4S, B5S, C4S, X3S.

13 GRADES OF NONDESCRIPT

N1L, N1XL, N1K, N1R, N1KV, N1GL, N1GF, N1GR, N1GG, N1PO, N1XO, N1BO, N2.

1 GRADE OF SCRAP

S

Special factors "U" (unsound) and "W" (doubtful-keeping order) may be applied to all grades. The special factors "dirt" or "sand" may be applied to any grade in the Primings group, including first quality Nondescript from the Primings group. Tobacco not covered by the standard grades is designated "No-G," or "No-G-F."

KEY TO STANDARD GRADEMARKS

§ 29.1225 Key to Standard Grademarks.

GROUPS

A—Wrappers. B—Leaf. H—Smoking Leaf. C—Cutters. X—Lugs. P—Primings. M—Mixed Group. N—Nondescript. S—Scrap.

QUALITIES

1—Choice. 2—Fine. 3—Good. 4—Fair. 5—Low. 6—Poor.

COLOR SYMBOLS

L—Lemon. F—Orange. FR—Orange red. R—Red. K—Variegated. KR—Variegated red or scorched. G—Green. V—Greenish. GR—Green red. GK—Green variegated. GG—Gray green. KL—Variegated lemon. KP—Variegated orange. KV—Variegated greenish. KM—Variegated mixed.

COMBINATION SYMBOLS

XL—Lug side. PO—Oxidized primings. XO—Oxidized lugs or cutters. BO—Oxidized leaf or smoking leaf. L—Thin-bodied nondescript. GF—Medium-bodied nondescript.

SPECIAL SYMBOL

S—Slick

Dated: April 20, 1977.

WILLIAM T. MANLEY,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.77-11756 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Valencia Orange Regulation 554]

PART 908—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Minimum Size Requirement

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation requires fresh Valencia oranges shipped from District 2 of the California-Arizona production area to measure at least 2.32 inches in diameter (163 and larger sizes), during the period April 29, 1977, through January 15, 1978. The regulation recognizes that the composition of the crop is such that more than ample quantities of larger, more desirable sizes of oranges are available to meet fresh market demand. It is in the interest of producers and consumers to establish the minimum size of Valencia oranges as specified. The smaller sizes of oranges can be marketed in export and in processing outlets.

DATES: Effective dates: April 29, 1977, through January 15, 1978.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles R. Brader, Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, 202-447-3545.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: Notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 4, 1977 (42 FR 17879), that consideration was being given to the establishment of a size regulation for Valencia oranges grown in District 2, under the applicable provisions of the amended marketing agreement and Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California. This marketing order program is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The regulation was recommended by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under the amended marketing agreement and order as the agency to administer the terms and provisions thereof. The notice provided that written comments in connection with the proposed regulation be submitted by April 15, 1977. None were received.

The specified minimum size requirement reflects the Secretary's appraisal of the crop and current and prospective marketing conditions. The 1976-77 crop of Valencia oranges is currently estimated at 55,500 carlots. The demand in regulated fresh market channels is expected to require about 38 percent of this volume. The remaining 62 percent would be available for utilization in export, processing, and other outlets. Fresh shipments of California-Arizona Valencia oranges are now in progress. The volume and size composition of the crop of Valencia oranges grown in the production area are such that ample supplies of the more desirable sizes will be available to satisfy the demand in regulated channels. The regulation is necessary to assure shipment of Valencia oranges of the more desirable sizes in the interest of growers and consumers, and it would contribute to the establishment and maintenance of orderly marketing conditions.

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, including the proposals set forth in the notice and other available information, it is found that the regulation of shipments of Valencia oranges, as set forth in this regulation, is in accordance with the amended marketing agreement and order and will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

It is further found that good cause exists for making this regulation effective at the time hereinafter set forth and for not postponing the effective date until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (1) a notice of proposed rulemaking concerning this regulation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 4, 1977 (42 FR 17879), and no objection to it was received; (2) the regulatory provisions are the same as those contained in the notice; (3) the recommendation and

supporting information for regulation of Valencia oranges were submitted to the Department after an open meeting of the committee in District 2 of the production area, which was held to consider recommendations for regulation, after giving due notice of the meeting, and interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit their views at this meeting; and (4) information concerning the provisions and effective time has been provided to handlers of Valencia oranges.

Accordingly, the minimum size requirement for the handling of Valencia oranges is as follows:

§ 908.854 Valencia Orange Regulation 554.

Order. (a) During the period April 29, 1977, through January 15, 1978, no handler shall handle any Valencia oranges grown in District 2 which are of a size smaller than 2.32 inches in diameter, which shall be the largest measurement at a right angle to a straight line running from the stem to the blossom end of the fruit: *Provided*, That not to exceed 5 percent, by count, of the Valencia oranges contained in any type of container may measure smaller than 2.32 inches in diameter.

(b) As used in this section, "handle", "handler", and "District 2" shall have the same meaning as when used in the amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674).)

Dated: April 19, 1977, to become effective April 29, 1977.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.77-11763 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Title 12—Banks and Banking

CHAPTER III—FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

PART 329—INTEREST ON DEPOSITS

Adoption of Amendments Pertaining to Certain "Noninsured Banks" in Massachusetts

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation groups Massachusetts "banking companies" with mutual savings banks for purposes of the regulation of interest or dividends which may be paid on time and savings deposits. Massachusetts banking companies are more akin to mutual savings banks than to commercial banks in their operations, but the FDIC's regulations currently are worded so that banking companies are treated as commercial banks for purposes of interest rate regulation. By grouping banking companies with mutual savings banks, these amendments will afford banking companies the 25 percent rate differential advantage over commercial banks that is now afforded mutual savings banks.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 25, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel Wm. Persinger, Assistant General Counsel, Legal Division, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Washington, D.C. 20429. (202-389-4324)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 18(g) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, 12 U.S.C. 1828(g) ("Section 18(g)"), provides the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") with the authority to regulate the rates of interest or dividends paid on time and savings deposits by FDIC insured State-chartered banks that are not members of the Federal Reserve System ("insured nonmember banks") and by non-FDIC insured banks in certain states ("noninsured banks"). The Commonwealth of Massachusetts is a State in which noninsured banks are subject to interest and dividend rate regulation by the FDIC. Under the authority of section 18(g), the Board of Directors of the FDIC has promulgated regulations which establish the rates of interest which may be paid by all insured nonmember banks, regardless of location, and by noninsured banks (including noninsured mutual savings banks) in Massachusetts. 12 CFR Part 329.

One form of noninsured bank operating in Massachusetts is the "banking company." Mass. Gen. Laws Ann. ch. 172A. Massachusetts banking companies operate on a limited scale. The bulk of their loans are consumer loans. They are prohibited by State law from making commercial loans and are subject to strict limitations on real estate loans. Banking companies are permitted to accept and pay interest on deposits. In view of the foregoing, the Board of Directors of the FDIC has determined that banking companies are more like mutual savings banks than commercial banks in the scope of their activities.

Part 329 of the FDIC's regulations is currently worded so that banking companies are treated as commercial banks and, therefore, are limited to the payment of a lower maximum rate of interest on time and savings deposits than mutual savings banks and savings and loan associations. To eliminate this unfair competitive disadavntage and to permit banking companies to effectively compete with thrift institutions, the Board of Directors of the FDIC has decided to adopt amendments to Part 329. The amendments group Massachusetts banking companies with mutual savings banks and guaranty savings banks in New Hampshire for purposes of setting ceilings on the interest rates the banks can pay their depositors. This affords such banking companies the same .25 percent rate differential advantage over commercial banks as that afforded mutual savings banks. Because this decision is based on the limited activities carried on by banking companies, if, in the future, banking companies should broaden their activities, it may be necessary for the FDIC to reevaluate the appropriateness of continuing to afford them such a rate advantage.

The FDIC published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 12188) notice of its proposed amendments to Part 329. Interested parties were given the opportunity to submit, not later than April 4, 1977, data, views and recommendations regarding the proposed amendments. Comments were received from the Savings Banks Association of Massachusetts, the National Association of Mutual Savings Banks, and the Massachusetts Commissioner of Banks. The comments from the two trade associations were generally favorable. The Commissioner of Banks stated that, in her opinion, Massachusetts banking companies are neither commercial banks nor savings banks, but that they are consumer installment lenders and they should not be subject to FDIC regulation.

The FDIC has reviewed the comments and has made one minor structural change in its proposal, in that it has changed the language of its citation to the Massachusetts law. Otherwise, the proposed amendments are hereby adopted without change. Because the amendments represent a substantive rule which relieves a restriction, the Board of Directors of the FDIC has determined, pursuant to § 302.6 of the rules and regulations of the FDIC, that good cause exists for the waiver of the thirty day period before the amendments become effective.

12 CFR Part 329 is amended as follows:

1. In § 329.0 the third sentence is revised to read as follows:

§ 329.0 Scope.

* * * Except for §§ 329.7, 329.8, and 329.10, the provisions of this Part 329 do not apply to: (a) Mutual savings banks; (b) guaranty savings banks operating in the State of New Hampshire, so long as the guaranty savings banks operate substantially under and pursuant to the laws of the State of New Hampshire pertaining to mutual savings banks and do not engage in commercial banking; or (c) corporations operating in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts as banking companies pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 172A of the General Laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

2. In § 329.7 paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 329.7 Maximum rates of interest or dividends payable on deposits by insured nonmember mutual savings banks.

(a) *Definitions.* For the purpose of this section, the term "mutual savings bank" includes: (1) Any mutual savings bank, (2) any guaranty savings bank which operates in the State of New Hampshire substantially under and pursuant to the laws of that State pertaining to mutual savings banks so long as the guaranty savings bank does not en-

gage in commercial banking, and (3) any corporation operating in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts as a banking company pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 172A of the General Laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

* * * * *

By Order of the Board of Directors, April 19, 1977.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,
ALAN R. MILLER,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc.11807 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 77-GL-8; Amdt. 39-2878]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

General Electric Model CF6-50A/CF6-50C/CF6-50C1/CF6-50D/CF6-50E/CF6-50E1 and CF6-50H Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This airworthiness directive (AD) action requires installation of fuel and oil tubes with protective coverings. The protective covering will prevent fuel and oil tube penetration in the event of an uncontained engine fire.

DATES: *Effective Date:* April 29, 1977. *Compliance:* Compliance required not later than January 31, 1978.

ADDRESSES: Copies of General Electric Service Bulletin 72-447 may be obtained by contacting: General Electric Company, Commercial Engine Division, Commercial Publications; Mail Drop F119, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Mixell, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Flight Standards Division, AGL-214, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018; telephone 312-694-4500, extension 308.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: There have been instances of fires within the compressor which penetrated the air bleed manifolds and burned through oil lines below the compressor case. Since this condition may exist or develop in other engines of the same type design a proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include an Airworthiness Directive requiring the installation of a protective shield on General Electric CF6 Series engines was published in 41 FR 31567. After publishing the notice, the agency determined that the proposed installation did not provide adequate protection of the fuel and oil tubes from a fire originating in the compressor. Accordingly, that notice was amended (41 FR 7159) to propose an Airworthiness Directive requiring installation of fuel and oil tubes with protective coverings below the compressor.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the amendment. No objections were received.

In accordance with Departmental Regulatory Reform, dated March 23, 1976, an evaluation of the anticipated impacts has been made, and it is expected that the final rule will be neither costly nor controversial.

Accordingly, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.89) § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13) is amended by adding the following Airworthiness Directive:

GENERAL ELECTRIC. Applies to General Electric Models CF6-50A, CF6-50C, CF6-50C1, CF6-50D, CF6-50E, CF6-50E1 and CF6-50H engines installed in aircraft certificated in all categories.

Compliance required by January 31, 1978, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent possible burn through of fuel or oil tubes located below the compressor section, accomplish the following in accordance with General Electric Service Bulletin (CF6-50) 72-447 dated December 30, 1976, or subsequent FAA Approved revision thereto:

(a) Replace the Fuel Manifold, Part Number 9008M43G01, 9008M43G02 or 9008M43G03, with Part Number 9200M17G01, 9200M17G02 or 9200M17G03.

(b) Replace the Lube Supply, Part Number 9043M25G02, with Part Number 9200M11G01.

(c) Replace the "B" Sump Scavenge Aft, Part Number 9068M88G01 or 9194M18G01, with Part Number 9200M10G01.

(d) Replace the "B" Sump Scavenge Forward, Part Number 9005M64G01, with Part Number 9191M72G01.

(e) Replace the "C" Sump Scavenge, Part Number 9054M44G01, with Part Number 9200M15G03.

(f) Replace the "D" Sump Scavenge, Part Number 9055M93G01, with Part Number 9200M16G02.

Equivalent modifications may be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Great Lakes Region.

This amendment becomes effective April 29, 1977.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

The manufacturer's specifications and procedures identified and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1). All persons affected by this directive who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to General Electric Company, Cincinnati, Ohio 45215. These documents may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration, Great Lakes Regional Office, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018 and at FAA Headquarters, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. A historical file on this AD which includes the incorporated material in full is maintained by the FAA at its headquarters in Washington, D.C., and at Great Lakes Region.

NOTE.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on April 15, 1977.

LEON C. DAUGHERTY,
Acting Director,
Great Lakes Region.

NOTE.—The incorporation by reference in the preceding document was approved by the Director of the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 19, 1967.

[FR Doc.77-11749 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 77-SW-15; Amdt. 39-2877]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Mooney Aircraft Corporation Models M20E, F, and J Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: A new Airworthiness Directive (AD) is being issued to require removal and replacement of Stewart-Warner oil coolers on Mooney Models M20E, F, and J airplanes to prevent possible loss of oil.

DATES: Effective date April 21, 1977, and was effective upon receipt for all recipients of the airmail letter dated April 15, 1977. Compliance required within the next five (5) hours time in service after the effective date of this AD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martin J. Saunders, Propulsion Section (ASW-214), Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Flight Standards Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Post Office Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101; telephone 817-624-4911, extension 524.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: There have been reports of internal corrosion causing holes in the oil cooler resulting in loss of engine oil and subsequent loss of engine power. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, an AD is being issued to remove and replace the defective Stewart-Warner oil coolers on Mooney Models M20E, F, and J airplanes.

Accordingly, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.89), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13) is amended, effective April 21, 1977, and was effective upon receipt for all recipients of the airmail letter dated April 15, 1977, which contained this amendment, by adding the following new AD:

MOONEY: Applies to Models M20E (Serial Numbers 101 through 400, 470 through 1217, 1219, 1221, 1223 through 1308, 670001 through 670062, 690001 through

690073, 700001 through 700039, 700041 through 700043, 700045 through 700052, 700055, 700056, 700060, 700061, 21-0001 through 21-1180); M20F (Serial Numbers 660002 through 660004, 670001 through 670363, 670365 through 670385, 670387 through 670482, 670484 through 670539, 680001 through 680206, 690003 through 690090, 690092, 700001 through 700061, 700063 through 700066, 700070 through 700072, 22-0001 through 22-1437); M20J (Serial Numbers 24-0001 through 24-0154) airplanes.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless already accomplished. To prevent loss of engine oil accomplish the following:

(a) Before the next flight of the affected airplanes, remove the upper engine cowl and visually check the engine oil cooler to determine whether it is a Stewart-Warner Model 8432H (Serial Numbers 001 through 449).

(1) If the oil cooler is not of the model and serial numbers listed above, make an entry in the aircraft maintenance records indicating that this Airworthiness Directive (AD) has been accomplished and the airplane may be returned to service.

(2) If the oil cooler is of a model and serial number listed above, prior to each flight within the next five (5) hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, check the oil cooler for oil leaks. If any leaks are detected, comply with the removal and replacement requirements referenced in paragraph (b) of this AD before further flight.

A pilot may perform the visual checks outlined in items (a)(1) and (a)(2), above, pursuant to the provisions of FAR 43.3(h).

NOTE.—For the requirements regarding the listing of compliance and method of compliance with paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD in the aircraft permanent maintenance record, see FAR 91.173.

(b) Within the next five (5) hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, remove and replace the defective cooler with a Stewart-Warner Model 8432H oil cooler (Serial Numbers 500 and up).

(c) Any equivalent method of compliance with this AD must be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Flight Standards Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

NOTE.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and OBM Circular A-107.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on April 13, 1977.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.77-11751 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 75-NE-28; Amdt. 39-2879]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Sikorsky S-58 Helicopters Certificated in All Categories

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: AD 75-13-01 requires inspections of main rotor stationary star assemblies. Because of a design change, some of these assemblies do not have to be inspected. This revision replaces the assembly number with the specific part numbers of the stars that must be inspected.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 20, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward W. Maila, Airframe Section, ANE-212, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Flight Standards Division, New England Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803, telephone 617-273-7336.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A telegraphic AD was issued May 16, 1975, and AD 75-13-01 was issued effective June 13, 1975, to require inspections of the stationary stars for cracks. At that time all stationary stars under this assembly part number were made of magnesium. Subsequently the manufacturer obtained approval for and manufactured the stationary stars of aluminum which is more resistant to the type of cracking experienced in the magnesium stars. This revision of the AD is to assure that the inspection requirements apply only to the magnesium stars and not to the aluminum stars which have experienced no service difficulties.

Since this amendment provides a clarification only and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than thirty (30) days after the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.89), Section 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, (14 CFR 39.13) Amendment 39-2238 (40 FR 25203), AD 75-13-01, is further amended as follows:

1. In the last sentence of the first paragraph, delete "stationary star assembly, P/N S1610-24013," and insert the following in its place: "magnesium stationary stars, P/Ns S1610-24013-0, S1610-24013-1, and S1610-24013-2."

2. Paragraph (a), delete "assemblies."

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423), sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

NOTE.—The Federal Aviation Admininstration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts on April 15, 1977.

WILLIAM E. CROSBY,
Acting Director,
New England Region.

[FR Doc.77-11750 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-CE-17]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Bloomfield, Iowa, Transition Area

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule designates a 700-foot transition area at Bloomfield, Iowa, to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new nondirectional beacon (NDB) approach procedure to Runway 36 at the Bloomfield, Iowa, Municipal Airport.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 16, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alden C. Schneider, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ACE-537, Federal Aviation Administration, Central Region, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106; telephone 816-374-3408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Thursday, February 24, 1977 (42 FR 10854), which proposed to designate a transition area at Bloomfield, Iowa. The City of Bloomfield, Iowa, installed an NDB on the Bloomfield Municipal Airport. This facility will serve as the navigational aid for an instrument approach procedure to Runway 36 at the airport. It is necessary that a transition area be designated at Bloomfield, Iowa, based at 700-feet above the ground, to encompass the flight of aircraft executing the new instrument approach procedure. No objections were received from this Notice.

Accordingly, Subpart G, § 71.181 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181) as republished on January 3, 1977 (42 FR 440), is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., June 16, 1977, by adding the following new transition area:

BLOOMFIELD, IOWA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5 mile radius of the Bloomfield Municipal Airport (latitude 40°44'41" N., longitude 92°25'46" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 177° bearing from the Bloomfield Municipal Airport, extending from the 6.5 mile radius area to 8.5 miles south of the airport.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); § 11.61, Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 11.61))

NOTE.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does

not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on April 14, 1977.

JOHN E. SHAW,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.77-11748 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Title 18—Conservation of Power and Water Resources

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

PART 295—EMERGENCY REGULATIONS

CROSS REFERENCE: For a document which transfers all remaining provisions of Part 295 of 18 CFR Chapter I to Part 1000 of 18 CFR Chapter X, see FR Doc. 77-11878 appearing under 18 CFR Chapter X in the Rules and Regulations section of this issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER.

CHAPTER X—ADMINISTRATOR—EMERGENCY NATURAL GAS ACT OF 1977

PART 1000—REGULATIONS UNDER THE EMERGENCY NATURAL GAS ACT OF 1977

Emergency Regulations

AGENCY. Administrator—Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This is a republication and codification of the general orders issued by the Administrator of the Emergency Natural Gas Act during the natural gas crisis of 1977.

This order will cause a republication and codification of these general orders into regulations. There have been no substantive changes; editorial changes have been made to conform with the Federal Register format.

DATES: Effective April 21, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

J. Paul Douglas, 202-432-1212, Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Room 9200.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: President Carter signed into law the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977, Pub. L. No. 95-2 on February 2, 1977. This Act empowered the President to order interstate gas pipelines to transfer gas from surplus to shortage areas until April 30, 1977. Further, the President could authorize interstate pipelines to purchase natural gas for delivery prior to August 1, 1977, at fair and equitable prices. To implement this Act, President Carter designated Richard Dunham, Chairman of the Federal Power Commission as Administrator.

The Administrator's General Orders created a Natural Gas Act organizational structure, and set guidelines for the emergency purchase of natural gas. These orders were issued by the Administrator to alleviate the natural gas crisis and to keep gas flowing to high priority users.

A new section 1000.1, Definitions, has been added which includes the terms defined in the Emergency Natural Gas Act. This order will create a new Part 1000, and a new Chapter X of Title 18, removing these general orders from Part 295, Chapter 1 of Title 18 in which they were previously published.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 533 and Pub. L. No. 95-2, the Administrator hereby codifies and republishes the general orders issued under Pub. L. 95-2 as Part 1000, Chapter X, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations to be entitled "Regulations under The Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977, Emergency Regulations" effective on date of issuance of this order.

The purpose of Part 1000, Chapter X, of Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations is to set forth the general orders issued by the Administrator to implement the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977. The substance of these regulations are unchanged from the General Orders Nos. 1-6, and Order Nos. 1-A, 2-A, 4-A, and 6-A, issued by the Administrator. The form and order of appearance have been changed in some instances for editorial reasons. A new section, Definitions, has been added which includes the terms defined in the Emergency Natural Gas Act.

Section 1 sets forth the definitions used for these regulations. Section 2 defines the organizational structure which was established to implement the Act. Section 3 further delineates the organizational structure by detailing the procedure for daily and weekly consultation. Section 4 sets the general criteria for emergency purchases under section 6 of Pub. L. No. 95-2. Section 5 details the purchaser and seller reporting requirements. Among other things, section 6 exempts transport and operational facilities used for emergency purchases of natural gas from regulation under the Natural Gas Act or state law. Section 7 defines emergency supplies. Section 8 restricts emergency purchases to qualified purchasers.

The regulations adopted herein govern the organization, implementation, purchase, reporting and exemptions associated with the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977 which are under the control of the Administrator of this Act or those to whom such power has been delegated.

The Administrator finds: (1) The notice, public comment procedure and effective date provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553 do not apply with respect to the Part herein adopted.

(2) In view of the purpose, intent, and effect of the Part herein ordered, good cause exists for making it effective upon issuance of this order.

(3) The adoption of the regulations prescribed herein is necessary and appropriate for the administration of the Emergency Natural Gas Act.

The Administrator, acting pursuant to the provisions of the Emergency Natural Gas Act, Pub. L. No. 95-2, and Executive Order No. 11969 (February 2, 1977), orders:

(A) That Part 1000, Chapter X, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations is adopted to read as follows:

AUTHORITY: Pub. L. 95-2 and authority delegate to the Administrator by the President in Executive Order 11969.

§ 1000.1 Definitions.

(a) When used in this Part, unless the context otherwise requires:

(1) "Act" means the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977, Pub. L. No. 95-2, 91 Stat. 4.

(2) "Administrator" means the individual delegated by the President of the United States to implement the Act.

(3) "Interstate Pipeline" means any natural gas company which is engaged in the transportation of natural gas by pipeline as defined in section 2(b) of the Natural Gas Act. (15 U.S.C. 717a).

(4) "Intrastate pipeline" means any person (other than an interstate pipeline) engaged in the transportation by pipeline of natural gas.

(5) "Interstate natural gas" means natural gas (other than natural gas transported pursuant to a transportation certificate issued under 18 CFR 2.79) transported by an interstate pipeline in a facility which is certificated under the Natural Gas Act or which would be required to be certificated but for section 1(c) of such Act.

(6) "Local distribution company" means any person (including a Governmental entity) which receives natural gas for local distribution and resale to natural gas users.

(7) "Antitrust laws" means the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. 1 et seq.), the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. 12, 13, 14-19, 20, 21, 22-27), the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41 et seq.), Sections 73 and 74 of the Wilson Tariff Act (15 U.S.C. 8-9), and the Act of June 19, 1936, Chapter 592 (15 U.S.C. 13, 13a, 13b, and 21a), and similar state laws.

(8) "State" means any state of the United States and the District of Columbia.

(9) "High priority use" means the use of natural gas in a residence; or the use of natural gas in a commercial establishment in amounts of less than 50 Mcf on a peak day; or any other use of natural gas the termination of which the President determines would endanger life, health or maintenance of physical property.

§ 1000.2 Organizational structure for the administration of Pub. L. 95-2.

(a) The decisional responsibility and the legal actions to be taken under Pub.

L. No. 95-2 are those of the Administrator and not those of any non-governmental personnel.

(b) Participation of non-governmental personnel in the personnel groups established herein is for information data gathering and general advisory purposes only.

(c) The consultation group shall be composed of the following persons:

(1) Governmental representatives to be designated by the Attorney General, the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture, the Federal Trade Commission, the Administrator of the Federal Energy Administration, as well as staff members to be assigned by the Federal Power Commission;

(2) Consumer representatives, designated by the Secretaries of Health, Education and Welfare and Housing and Urban Development from members of the general public;

(3) Representatives of state and local governments, as designated by the National Governors' Conference, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, the Conference of Mayors, and the National Association of Counties;

(4) Representatives of the natural gas industry, including interstate and intrastate natural gas pipelines and local distribution companies.

(d) These personnel shall be further divided into working groups, as necessary.

§ 1000.3 Details for daily and weekly consultation through conference procedures.

(a) As provided in Order No. 1, representatives of various parties are to participate in conferencing procedures under Pub. L. 95-2. The Emergency Gas Requirements Group will meet weekly on Wednesday at 10 a.m., Room 9200, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. The functions of this group are to review the prior week's national gas operations, consider general gas transfer operational guidelines covering the next week's gas flows, consider plans for refilling storage during future periods and to assemble data for reports to the President through the Administrator concerning actions taken under Pub. L. No. 95-2.

(b) The Daily Work Group will meet in Room 9200, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. at 8:30 a.m. The functions of this group are to include the maintenance of daily contact with pipelines and distributors to determine their expected daily requirements and supply, to comment on requests for orders requiring the transportation and/or deliveries of gas and to recommend proposed actions appropriate to the resolution of these matters. The Daily Work Group will meet with the Emergency Gas Requirements Group at their weekly meetings.

(c) The membership and functions of both groups will change from time to time as the situation demands.

§ 1000.4 General criteria for emergency purchases under section 6 of Pub. L. No. 95-2.

(a) Under section 6(a) of the Act the President may authorize emergency purchases of gas upon appropriate terms and conditions which shall include provisions for fair and equitable prices. Until further order, the Administrator herein determines that the term "fair and equitable" is satisfied by the setting of price levels comparable to the price at which natural gas has recently sold in intrastate commerce. No subsequent action by the Administrator may require a refund of any monies collected or the reduction of prices in any transaction complying with this section and otherwise complying with the Act.

(b) Emergency purchases may be made without prior notification to or authorization by the Administrator where the price for such sales is equal to or less than $2.25 per MMBtu, inclusive of all state and local taxes and other adjustments.

(1) With respect to a wellhead sale of natural gas, $2.25 per MMBtu is the maximum consideration that may be received by the seller if the natural gas is delivered at the wellhead without prior notification to or authorization by the Administrator. The price is inclusive of any form of payment to the seller or to any affiliate of the seller for any services however denominated that are necessary to deliver the gas at the wellhead.

(2) The seller or any affiliate of the seller may not receive in the transaction any additional charges above for commissions, brokerage fees, finders fees or similarly described charges.

(c) Where the seller of the gas will be required to use alternate fuel to replace the volumes sold, emergency purchases may be made without prior notification to or authorization by the Administrator, where such price is equal to or less than the cost of alternate fuel plus 7 percent. No subsequent action by the Administrator may require a refund of any monies collected or the reduction of prices in any transaction complying with this section and otherwise complying with the Act.

(d) Where a distribution company or intrastate pipeline makes an emergency sale it may receive its overall replacement cost plus applicable transportation and storage costs, if any. No subsequent action by the Administrator may require a refund of any monies collected or the the reduction of prices in any transaction complying with this section and otherwise complying with the Act. Emergency purchases may be made without prior notification to or authorization of the Administrator where such price is equal to or less than its overall replacement cost plus applicable transportation and storage costs, if any.

(e) Purchase of natural gas at prices in excess of the levels set forth above shall be permitted only upon authorization by the Administrator upon a showing that the subject gas is not otherwise available, and that the purchase of such gas by the purchaser will promote the objectives of this Act and is fair and equitable in the circumstances. Where the seller of the gas will be required to use alternate fuel to replace the volumes sold, the Administrator will consider the appropriateness of such price, based upon the cost of alternate fuel suitably adjusted for loss of efficiency and increased maintenance costs. Upon authorization of such higher price, no subsequent action by the Administrator may require a refund of any monies collected or the reduction of prices in any transaction so authorized.

(f) When a transaction is effected prior to or after February 3, 1977, including all delivery or transportation arrangements, whether or not covered by an express authorization of the Administrator in a specific order, the transaction shall be deemed to be "authorized" and "ordered" for purposes of Sections 6 and 9 of the Act.

§ 1000.5 Reporting requirements.

(a) Section 12 of the Act requires weekly reporting of prices and volumes of natural gas delivered, transported or contracted for. Therefore, within 72 hours of the commencement of deliveries in any transaction under the Act, the purchaser or recipient of gas shall advise the Administrator in writing:

(1) The section of the Act or Administrator's orders or regulations under which the transaction is made;

(2) The estimated volumes to be delivered on a daily basis and in the aggregate;

(3) The price (on an MMBtu basis) and the basis on which such price is derived;

(4) The name, business address and telephone number of the seller or sellers;

(5) How the gas is being transported and the compensation paid for transportation;

(6) Whether the gas involved has been sold under FPC emergency procedures within 60 days of the report;

(7) The amount and method of determination of any broker's fees, commissions, or finder's fees paid in relation to the transaction;

(8) Other relevant terms and conditions of the transaction.

(b) If the deliveries began before February 11, 1977, this information shall be filed by February 16, 1977, or within 72 hours of receipt of actual notice.

(c) On the second Wednesday following commencement of deliveries, and on each Wednesday thereafter, the recipient shall report the actual prices and volumes for all deliveries.

(d) Any person selling gas pursuant to § 1000.4, shall within 15 days of the commencement of deliveries, and on the first of each month thereafter, file with the Administrator a statement setting forth either:

(1) The details of its conversion to alternate fuel which made available the

gas sold under the order. Such statement shall contain a computation of the amounts and prices of the alternate fuel used or purchased, and any other information relevant to the derivation of the price being charged for the gas from the cost of alternate fuel; or

(2) A statement setting forth its overall replacement costs for gas and the method of derivation of the price charged for the sale of gas under the order from such replacement costs.

§ 1000.6 Emergency use exemptions.

(a) Any pipeline which complies with an order to transport gas or construct and operate facilities to transport gas when that gas has been authorized as an emergency purchase under section 6(a) of the Act is not subject to regulation under the Natural Gas Act or to regulation as a common carrier under any provision of state law.

(b) Section 9(b) of the Act makes certain contractual provisions concerning the commingling of gas unenforceable, if an authorization under section 6(a) of the Act applies to the delivery, transportation or contract for supplies of such gas.

§ 1000.7 Emergency supplies.

(a) "Emergency supplies" in section 6 of the Act shall be deemed to mean natural gas that is necessary to enable the purchasing party to serve uses of natural gas other than the boiler fuel uses and industrial use with alternate fuel capability specified in Priorities 4 through 9 (18 CFR 2.78(a)(1)(iv)–(ix)) below:

(4) Firm industrial requirements for boiler fuel use at less than 3,000 Mcf per day, but more than 1,500 Mcf per day, where alternate fuel capabilities can meet such requirements.

(5) Firm industrial requirements for large volume (3,000 Mcf or more per day) boiler fuel use where alternate fuel capabilities can meet such requirements.

(6) Interruptible requirements of more than 300 Mcf per day, but less than 1,500 Mcf per day, where alternate fuel capabilities can meet such requirements.

(7) Interruptible requirements of intermediate volumes (from 1,500 Mcf per day through 3,000 Mcf per day), where alternate fuel capabilities can meet such requirements.

(8) Interruptible requirements of more than 3,000 Mcf per day, but less than 10,000 Mcf per day, where alternate fuel capabilities can meet such requirements.

(9) Interruptible requirements of more than 10,000 Mcf per day, where alternate fuel capabilities can meet such requirements.

§ 1000.8 Purchaser qualification for emergency purchases.

(a) From February 22, 1977 until April 30, 1977, no pipeline or distribution company may contract to purchase emergency supplies pursuant to section 6 of Pub. L. 95–2 of contemporaneously with execution of the contract, the pipeline or distribution company is delivering directly or indirectly any natural gas for uses defined in 18 CFR 2.78(a)(1)(iv)–(ix).

(b) The obligation to determine whether it is qualified to make such a purchase is imposed on the purchaser, not the seller. Each sale consummated pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act (91 Stat. 4, 8) shall be deemed to be authorized as to the seller and any person transporting such gas for the purchaser if the seller receives from the purchaser a statement, in a form satisfactory to the seller, that the purchaser is entitled to purchase such gas. The seller may deem the purchaser's execution of a contract to purchase gas a statement that the purchaser is qualified to purchase such gas.

(c) If it is later demonstrated that the purchaser was not qualified to purchase gas, neither the seller nor the reserves from which deliveries are made shall become subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Power Commission under the Natural Gas Act (15 U.S.C. § 717, et seq.).

(d) The purchaser may be liable for civil and/or criminal penalties under section 11 of the Act (91 Stat. 9–10).

(B) The regulations adopted herein shall be effective upon issuance of this order.

(C) These regulations are issued pursuant to the authority of Pub. L. 95–2 and the authority delegated to the Administrator by the President in Executive Order No. 11969 and shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

RICHARD R. DUNHAM,
Administrator.

REDESIGNATION TABLE

CFR Part No.	*Prior Order No.*
1000.1	
1000.2	Order No. 1.
	Order No. 1–A.
1000.3	Order No. 3.
1000.4	Order No. 2.
	Order No. 2–A.
	Order No. 5 (2d para.).
1000.5	Order No. 4.
	Order No. 4–A.
1000.6	Order No. 5, (1st para.).
	Order No. 6, (1st para.).
1000.7	Order No. 6, (2d para.).
1000.8	Order No. 6–A.

[FR Doc.77–11878 Filed 4–22–77;8:45 am]

Title 26—Internal Revenue

CHAPTER I—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

SUBCHAPTER C—EMPLOYMENT TAX

[T.D. 7483]

PART 34—TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT TAX REGULATIONS UNDER THE TAX REFORM ACT OF 1976

Withholding Tax on Certain Winnings From State-Conducted Lotteries

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Temporary regulations.

SUMMARY: This document provides temporary regulations relating to the withholding of tax on certain winnings from State-conducted lotteries. In general, these regulations require any State or State agency to withhold a tax on lottery winnings of more than $5,000. These regulations implement a provision of the Tax Reform Act of 1976.

DATE: The temporary regulations apply to winnings paid after January 2, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John P. MacMaster of the Legislation and Regulations Division, Office of the Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20224 (Attention: CC:LR:T) (202–566–3516).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

This document contains temporary employment tax regulations (26 CFR Part 34) under section 3402(q) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. Section 3402(q) was added to the Code by section 1207(d) of the Tax Reform Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94–455, 90 Stat. 1705) in order to require withholding on certain winnings from State-conducted lotteries.

IN GENERAL

New section 3402(q) of the Code requires, among other things, that every State or State agency making a payment of winnings above certain limits from a State-conducted lottery must deduct and withhold a tax equal to 20 percent of the payment. Winnings are generally subject to withholding under this provision if the winnings, less the amount of the wager, exceed $5,000. The temporary regulations provide that winnings not in the form of money are to be taken into account at their fair market value. In addition, the temporary regulations prescribe that payments of $5,000 or less are also subject to withholding if the total amount of all payments of winnings from a wager, less the amount of the wager, exceeds or is expected to exceed $5,000. For example, if a person wins $1,000 per year for the remainder of the person's life, each payment of $1,000 would be subject to the withholding requirements if, based on the person's life expectancy, it is determined that the total of all the payments is expected to exceed $5,000.

The temporary regulations provide that a State must deduct and withhold the appropriate tax at the time the payment is actually or constructively made. Generally, this means that the required deduction and withholding will be made at the time the winnings are first made available to the winner, even though the winner does not present the winning ticket for payment until a later date. However, the requirement that the State remit the tax and report the winnings is deferred until the winner's identity is known. Hence, if a winner does not collect the winnings there is no obligation to remit the tax or report the winnings.

A person who is to receive a payment of winnings subject to withholding must furnish a statement to the person making the payment. The statement is made

under the penalties of perjury and must contain information about the person who is to receive the payment and about any person who is entitled to any portion of it. In addition, every State making a payment of winnings subject to withholding must file a return on a Form W-2G for each winner. This return must contain the name, address, and social security number of the winner.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal author of this regulation was John P. MacMaster of the Legislation and Regulations Division of the Office of Chief Counsel, Internal Revenue Service. However, personnel from other offices of the Internal Revenue Service and Treasury Department participated in developing the regulation, both on matters of substance and style.

ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE REGULATIONS

Accordingly, a new part 34, Temporary Employment Tax Regulations Under the Tax Reform Act of 1976, is added to Title 26 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and the following temporary regulations are adopted:

AUTHORITY. Sec. 7805, Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805).

§ 34.3402-1 Extension of withholding of income tax at source to certain winnings from State-conducted lotteries.

(a) *General rule.* On or after January 3, 1977, every person, including a State or a political subdivision thereof, the District of Columbia, or any instrumentality of the foregoing, making any payment of winnings from a State-conducted lottery which are subject to withholding shall deduct and withhold from such payment a tax in an amount equal to 20 percent of such payment.

(b) *Exception.* The tax described in paragraph (a) of this section shall not apply in the case of a payment of winnings from a State-conducted lottery made to a nonresident alien individual or a foreign corporation when such payment is subject to tax under section 1441 (a) (relating to withholding on nonresident aliens) or tax under section 1442 (a) (relating to withholding on foreign corporations).

(c) *Special rules.* For purposes of paragraph (a) of this section—

(1) The term "winnings from a State-conducted lottery which are subject to withholding" means proceeds of more than $5,000 from a wager placed in a lottery conducted by an agency of a State acting under authority of State law, but only if such wager is placed with the State agency conducting such lottery, or with its authorized employees or agents. Such term shall include—

(i) Any installment payment of $5,000 or less, if the aggregate proceeds from such wager to be paid on or after January 3, 1977, exceed or will exceed $5,000;

(ii) Any periodic payment of $5,000 or less, when payments are to be made for the life of a person (or for the lives of more than one person), if it is actuarially determined that the aggregate proceeds from such wager to be paid on or after January 3, 1977, are expected to exceed $5,000; and

(iii) The total proceeds, if proceeds exceed $5,000, and not merely proceeds in excess of $5,000.

(2) The term "proceeds from a wager" means the amount determined by aggregating the amounts received by the person (or persons) making a wager and reducing such amount by the amount of the wager. For purposes of the preceding sentence, in the case of installment or periodic payments the first of such payments shall be reduced by the amount of the wager.

(3) Proceeds which are not money shall be taken into account at their fair market value.

(4) A payment of winnings is made when it is actually paid or constructively paid. Winnings are constructively paid when they are credited to or set apart for the winner without any substantial limitation or restriction as to the time or manner of payment or condition upon which payment is to be made.

(5) When a person other than the State agency conducting the lottery, or its authorized employees or agents, makes a payment of winnings, such other person shall deduct and withhold as required under paragraph (a) of this section. However, in no case shall more than 20 percent of the proceeds from a wager be deducted and withheld.

(6) Except as provided in subparagraph (7) of this paragraph, for purposes of sections 3403 and 3404 and the regulations thereunder and for purposes of so much of subtitle F (except section 7205) and the regulations thereunder as relate to chapter 24, payments to any person of winnings from a State-conducted lottery which are subject to withholding shall be treated as if they were wages paid by an employer to an employee.

(7) Solely for purposes of application of the deposit rules under section 6302(c) and the regulations thereunder and the return requirement of section 6011 and the regulations thereunder, the withholding from winnings shall be deemed to have been made no earlier than at the time the winner's identity is known by the State. Thus, winnings from a State-conducted lottery shall be subject to withholding at the earlier of the time actually or constructively paid. However, the time for depositing the withheld taxes and filing a return with respect thereto shall be determined by reference to the date on which the State knows the winner's identity. If the State's obligation to pay lottery winnings to a particular winner is terminated other than by payment, all liabilities and requirements resulting from the requirement that the State deduct and withhold with respect to such winnings will also terminate.

(d) *Examples.* The provisions of this section may be illustrated by the following examples:

Example (1). A purchases a lottery ticket for $1 in the State W lottery from an authorized agent of State W. On February 1, 1977, the drawing is held and A wins $5,001. Since the proceeds of the wager ($5001−$1) are not greater than $5,000, State W is not required to withhold or deduct any amount from A's winnings.

Example (2). Assume the same facts as in example (1) except that A wins $5,002. State W must deduct and withhold tax at a rate of 20% from $5,001 ($5002 less the $1 wager), or $1,000.20.

Example (3). B purchases a lottery ticket for $1 in the State X lottery from an authorized agent of State X. On June 1, 1977, the lottery drawing is held and B wins the grand prize, $50,000, payable $1,000 a month. State X must deduct and withhold tax at the rate of 20% from each of such payments. Therefore, $199.80 must be withheld from the first monthly payment to B (($1000−$1) ×20%=$199.80) and $200 ($100×20%) must be withheld from each monthly payment thereafter.

Example (4). Assume the same facts as in example (3), except that B wins an automobile rather than the grand prize. The fair market value of the automobile on the date on which it is made available to B is $10,001. State X must deduct and withhold a tax of $2,000 (($10,001−$1)×20%). This may be accomplished, for example, by B's paying $2,000 to State X. Alternatively, if State X, as part of the prize, pays all taxes required to be deducted and withheld, State X must deduct and withhold tax not only on the fair market value of the automobile less the wager, but also on the taxes it pays that are required to be deducted and withheld. This results in a pyramiding of taxes requiring the use of an algebraic formula. Under this formula, State X must withhold a tax of 25 percent of the fair market value of the automobile less the wager ($2,500) and, in addition, State X should indicate on Form W-2G the amount of such winnings, $12,501 ($10,001+25% ($10,001−$1)).

Example (5). C purchases a lottery ticket for $1 in the State Y lottery from an authorized agent of State Y. On January 1, 1976, a drawing is held and C wins $100 a month for the rest of C's life. It is actuarially determined that, on January 3, 1977, C's life expectancy is 5 years. Based on that determination, the aggregate payments to C made on or after January 3, 1977, pursuant to his wager will exceed $5,000. Therefore, State Y must deduct and withhold $20 from each monthly payment made on or after January 3, 1977. (None of such payments is reduced by the amount of the wager because the amount of the wager was offset by the first payment of winnings which was made before January 3, 1977.)

Example (6). Assume the same facts as in example (5) except that in order to fund its own obligation to make the payments State Y purchases in its own name as owner an annuity of $100 a month for C's life from E Corporation. Although State Y remains liable for the withholding of tax, E Corporation as paying agent for State Y should deduct and withhold from each monthly payment in the manner as described in example (5).

Example (7). D purchases a lottery ticket for $1 in the State W lottery from an authorized agent of State W. D purchases the ticket on behalf of himself and on behalf of E and F, who have contributed equal

amounts toward the purchase of the ticket and who have agreed to share equally in any prizes won. On February 1, 1977, the drawing is held and the ticket which D purchases wins $6,001. Since the proceeds of the wager ($6,001−$1) are greater than $5,000 State W is required to withhold and deduct 20 percent of such proceeds.

Example (8). On February 1, 1977, a drawing is held in the State X lottery in which a winning ticket is selected. The person holding the winning ticket is entitled to proceeds of $100,000 payable either as a lump sum upon demand or $10,000 a year for ten years. Under State law, the winning ticket must be presented to an authorized agent of State X before February 1, 1978. Until the ticket is presented, State X does not know the identity of the winner. On December 1, 1977, F, the winner, presents the winning ticket to an authorized agent of the State X lottery. As a result the winnings are constructively paid to F on February 1, 1977. Since F has the option of receiving the entire proceeds upon demand, State X is required to deduct and withhold $20,000 ($100,000×20%) from the proceeds of F's winnings on February 1, 1977, but for purposes of determining the time at which the deposit and inclusion on Form 941 of these taxes is to be made, the withholding shall be deemed to have been made on December 1, 1977.

(e) *Statement by recipient.* Every person who is to receive a payment of winnings from a State-conducted lottery which are subject to withholding shall furnish the person making such payment a statement, made under the penalties of perjury, containing the name, address, and taxpayer identification number of the person receiving the payment and of each person entitled to any portion of such payment.

(f) *Return by payor.* Every person making any payment of winnings from a State-conducted lottery which are subject to withholding shall file a return on Form W-2G with the Internal Revenue Service Center serving the district in which is located the principal place of business of the person making the return on or before February 28 of the calendar year following the calendar year in which the payment of winnings is made. In the case of a payment to more than one winner, a separate Form W-2G shall be filed with respect to each such winner. Each Form W-2G shall contain the following:

(1) Name, address, and employer identification number of the person making the payment;

(2) Name, address, and social security number of the winner;

(3) Date and amount of the payment and amount withheld; and

(4) Type of wagering transaction.

Because of the need for immediate guidance with respect to the provisions contained in this Treasury decision, it is found impracticable to issue it with notice and public procedure thereon under subsection (b) of section 553 of Title 5 of the United States Code or subject to the effective date limitation of subsection (d) of that section.

(Sec. 7805, Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805).)

WILLIAM E. WILLIAMS,
Acting Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: March 14, 1977.

LAWRENCE N. WOODWORTH,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.77-11803 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Title 45—Public Welfare

CHAPTER X—COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

PART 1060—GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF COMMUNITY ACTION PROGRAMS

Subpart—CSA Income Poverty Guidelines (Revised) CSA Instruction 6004-1j

AGENCY: Community Services Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Community Services Administration is revising its income poverty guidelines. The Economic Opportunity Act requires yearly revisions of the poverty guidelines for use by every agency administering programs under the Act in which the poverty line is used to judge eligibility for participating in programs. These annual revisions assure that the income guidelines reflect the changes in the cost of living.

DATES: This rule is effective May 25, 1977. Comments must be received on or before May 25, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Please address all comments to: Ms. Maryann J. Fair, Community Services Administration, Office of Operations, Policy Development and Review Division, 1200 19th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506, 202-254-5670.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Maryann J. Fair, 202-254-5670

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In order that the true level of poverty which is used to determine program eligibility does not change as a result of substantial increases in the cost of living as measured by the Consumer Price Index, CSA by authority of section 625 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, as amended, revises, from time to time, its poverty income guidelines in order to keep them abreast of changes in the cost of living for the poor. This amendment to § 1060.2 revises the guidelines previously published in § 1060.2-1-§ 1060.2-2 (CSA Instruction 6004-1i) to reflect the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index from 1975 to 1976 as set forth in the Economic Report of the President, January 1977. The text defining "Income" and "A Farm Residence" remains unchanged.

(Sec. 602, 78 Stat. 530 (42 U.S.C. 2942))

ROBERT C. CHASE,
Acting Director.

In 45 CFR Chapter X, § 1060.2-1 through § 1060.2-2 are revised to read as follows:

§ 1060.2-1 Applicability.

This subpart applies to all grants financially assisted under Titles II, III-B and VII of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, as amended, if such assistance is administered by the Community Services Administration.

§ 1060.2-2 Policy.

(a) The attached income guidelines are to be used for all those CSA-funded programs, whether administered by a grantee or delegate agency, which use CSA poverty income guidelines as admission standards. These guidelines do not supersede alternative standards of eligibility approved by CSA.

(b) The guidelines are also to be used in certain other instances where required by CSA as a definition of poverty, e.g., for purposes of data collection and for defining eligibility for allowances and reimbursements to board members. Agencies may wish to use these guidelines for other administrative and statistical purposes as appropriate.

(c) The attached guidelines are based upon Table 16, Money Income and Poverty Status of Families and Persons in the United States: 1975 and 1974 Revisions, Bureau of the Census, *Current Population Reports*, P-60, No. 103, September 1976, page 33 and the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index from 1975 to 1976 as set forth in Table B-47, page 241 of the Economic Report of the President, January 1977, and in the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics *Monthly Labor Review* for February 1977.

(d) The following definitions, from *Current Population Reports*, P-60, No. 91, Bureau of the Census, December 1973 have been adopted by CSA for use with the attached poverty guidelines.

(1) *Income.* Refers to total cash receipts before taxes from all sources. These include money wages and salaries before any deductions, but not including food or rent in lieu of wages. They include receipts from self-employment or from own farm or business after deductions for business or farm expenses. They include regular payments from public assistance, social security, unemployment and workmen's compensation, strike benefits from union funds, veterans benefits, training stipends, alimony, child support and military family allotments or other regular support from an absent family member or someone not

living in the household; government employee pensions, private pensions and regular insurance or annuity payments; and income from dividends, interest, rents, royalties, or income from estates and trusts. For eligibility purposes, income does not refer to the following money receipts: any assets drawn down as withdrawals from a bank, sale of property, house or car, tax refunds, gifts, one-time insurance payments or compensation for injury; also to be disregarded is non-cash income; such as the bonus value of food and fuel produced and consumed on farms and the imputed value of rent from owner-occupied farm or non-farm housing.

(2) *A Farm Residence.* Is defined as any dwelling on a place of 10 acres or more with $50 or more annual sales of farm products raised there; or any place less than 10 acres having product sales or $250 or more.

CSA Poverty guidelines for all States except Alaska and Hawaii

Family size	Nonfarm family	Farm family
1	$2,970	$2,550
2	3,930	3,360
3	4,890	4,170
4	5,850	4,980
5	6,810	5,790
6	7,770	6,600

NOTE.—For family units with more than 6 members, add $960 for each additional member in a nonfarm family and $810 for each additional member in a farm family.

CSA Poverty guidelines for Alaska

Family size	Nonfarm family	Farm family
1	$3,720	$3,200
2	4,920	4,210
3	6,120	5,220
4	7,320	6,230
5	8,520	7,240
6	9,720	8,250

NOTE.—For family units with more than 6 members, add $1,200 for each additional member in a nonfarm family and $1,010 for each additional member in a farm family.

Family size	Nonfarm family	Farm family
1	$3,430	$2,940
2	4,530	3,870
3	5,630	4,800
4	6,730	5,730
5	7,830	6,660
6	8,930	7,590

NOTE.—For family units with more than 6 members, add $1,100 for each additional member in a nonfarm family and $930 for each additional member in a farm family.

[FR Doc.77-11747 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Title 47—Telecommunications

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 21035; RM-2786]

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

FM Broadcast Stations in Lancaster, Wisconsin; Changes Made in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Action taken assigning a first Class A FM Channel to Lancaster, Wisconsin. Petitioner, Joy Broadcasters, stated that the Lancaster area was rapidly growing and a first local FM programming service was needed. Assignment of this Class A FM channel would provide the community with its first local nighttime service.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 31, 1977.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications, Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mildred B. Nesterak, Legal Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Broadcast Bureau, 202-632-7792.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Adopted: April 15, 1977.

Released: April 20, 1977.

1. The Commission has under consideration its Notice of Proposed Rule Making, adopted December 22, 1976, 42 FR 1279, inviting comments on a proposal to assign Channel 249A to Lancaster, Wisconsin, as its first FM assignment. The proceeding was instituted on the basis of a petition filed by Joy Broadcasters ("petitioner").

2. Lancaster (pop. 3,756)[1], seat of Grant County (pop. 48,398) is located approximately 113 kilometers (70 miles) west of Madison, Wisconsin. It has no local aural broadcast service now in operation.

3. Petitioner stated that the Lancaster area is rapidly growing and also submitted information with respect to the facilities and organizations in the area. It noted that an industrial area is located north of the Lancaster city limits where trailer homes are manufactured. In supporting comments, petitioner asserts that there is a need for a first local FM programming service, and states that it will apply for a construction permit promptly if the channel is assigned.

4. In response to the Notice, Bert R. Peterson ("Peterson"), permittee (BP-20030) of AM Station WGLR, Lancaster, Wisconsin, filed an opposition, contending that his station, which is now under construction, will provide a first local broadcast service and will serve the significant needs and interests of the Lancaster area and surrounding communities. He disputes petitioner's statement that there has been a rapid growth in the population of Lancaster, and questions the ability of the community to support another radio station. Peterson states that he knows of no land that is available for lease or purchase in the area where petitioner proposes to locate his station. He also disputes petitioner's claim of being a corporation since he could find no information on the corporate nature of Joy Broadcasters. However, Peterson concludes that, if the Commission were to assign Channel 249A to Lancaster, he will apply for a construction permit to operate a station.

5. In reply comments petitioner asserts that, although a construction permit has been granted for a broadcast station in Lancaster, it is not yet providing service, and therefore there is no local broadcast service available to Lancaster. It contends that Peterson's questions regarding tower site do not validly raise an issue in the present context, as FM antennas can be mounted on various kinds of towers and need not depend on the availability of a site suitable for an AM station. Petitioner concludes that Peterson presented no significant objections or adverse comments, and it urges that the proposed channel should be assigned to Lancaster.

6. After careful consideration of the supporting comments by petitioner and the opposing comments filed by Bert R. Peterson, we conclude that it would be in the public interest to assign Channel 249A to Lancaster, Wisconsin. Even though an application has been granted for a construction permit for an AM station in Lancaster, it is a daytime-only station. The proposed FM station would provide the important benefit of a first local nighttime service to the community. Peterson's assertions fall short of raising a valid issue as to the availability of a site or of the legal ability of Joy Broadcasters to seek to use the channel.[2] As to the question of whether Lancaster can support another radio station, such allegations as to economic impact are normally deferred for resolution at the application stage rather than in a rule making context. See *Carroll Broadcasting Co.* v. *F.C.C.*, 258 F. 2d 440 (D.C. Cir. 1958).

7. Authority for the action taken herein is contained in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 0.281 of the Commission's Rules.

§ 73.202 [Amended]

8. In view of the foregoing, *it is ordered*, That effective May 31, 1977, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules, the FM Table of Assignments, is amended to read as follows:

City	Channel No.
Lancaster, Wis	249A

9. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 4, 5, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1068, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 303.)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.77-11829 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[1] Both population figures are taken from the 1970 Census.

[2] Questions on these points, if again raised, can best be considered in connection with an application for use of the channel.

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[Service Order No. 1265]

PARTS 1080–1089—FREIGHT FORWARDERS; GENERAL

C. S. Greene and Co., Inc., Authorized To Operate to Other Ports in United States and Dominion of Canada

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Emergency Rerouting Order (Service Order No. 1265).

SUMMARY: The C. S. Greene and Company, Inc., a freight forwarder of general commodities authorized to reroute export shipments through any port in the Dominion of Canada or through any available port on the U.S. east and gulf coasts. This rerouting is necessary because of a work stoppage by Longshoremen at the ports named in its permit, viz., Boston, Mass., New York, N.Y., and Philadelphia, Pa.

DATES: Effective date: 12:01 a.m., April 15, 1977. Expiration date: 11:59 p.m., April 30, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. C. Robinson, Chief, Utilization and Distribution Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423. Telephone 202–275–7840. TLX 89–2742.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The order is reprinted in full below.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 14th day of April, 1977.

It appearing, that C. S. Greene and Company, Inc., is a freight forwarder authorized under Permit No. FF–84, to operate as a freight forwarder of commodities generally, for export, from points in specified Midwestern States, to the ports of Boston, Mass., New York, N.Y., Philadelphia, Pa., and other ports on the east and gulf coasts of the United States effectively closed by a strike of the International Longshoremen's Association; that this labor disturbance has rendered it impossible for said freight forwarder to handle the traffic offered it so as promptly to serve the public; that this emergency condition affecting said freight forwarder is a matter beyond its control calling for immediate and interim relief; that the authorization granted herein is required to best promote service in the interest of the public and the commerce of the people; that notice and subject procedure herein are impractical and contrary to the public interest; and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon less than 30 days' notice; wherefore:

It is ordered, That pursuant to sections 1(16) and 420 of the Interstate Commerce Act, 49 U.S.C. 1(16) and 1020, the C. S. Greene and Company, Inc., be and it is hereby, authorized to operate to any port in the Dominion of Canada, insofar as such movement is in the United States, and to any port on the east and gulf coasts of the United States not effectively closed by the strike of the International Longshoremen's Association, on commodities which it otherwise is authorized to handle as a freight forwarder under Permit No. FF–84;

It is further ordered, That said freight forwarder be, and it is hereby, directed promptly to print and file with the Commission tariffs showing its rates and charges for the service authorized herein and otherwise to comply with the provisions of the Interstate Commerce Act;

It is further ordered, That this order be, and it is hereby, made effective at 12:01 a.m., April 15, 1977, and shall expire at 11:59 p.m., April 30, 1977, unless otherwise modified, changed or suspended, and

It is further ordered, That copies of this order shall be served upon said freight forwarder; and that notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board members Joel E. Burns, Lewis R. Teeple and John R. Michael.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–11819 Filed 4–22–77;8:45 am]

[Service Order No. 1265–A]

PARTS 1080–1089—FREIGHT FORWARDERS; GENERAL

C. S. Greene and Company, Inc., Authorized To Operate to Other Ports in United States and Dominion of Canada

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Vacating of Emergency Rerouting Order (Service Order No. 1265–A).

SUMMARY: This order violates Service Order No. 1265 which authorized C. S. Greene and Company, Inc., a freight forwarder of general commodities to reroute export shipments because of a work stoppage by Longshoremen.

DATE: Effective Date: April 19, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

C. C. Robinson, Chief, Utilization and Distribution Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423. Telephone 202–275–7840. TLX 89–2742.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The order is reprinted in full below.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 19th day of April, 1977.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1265–A and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That:

In Parts 1080–1089 reference to C. S. Greene and Company, Inc., authorized to operate to other ports in United States and Dominion of Canada be, and it is hereby, vacated and set aside.

It is further ordered, That this order shall become effective at 12:01 a.m., April 20, 1977; that copies of this order and direction shall be served upon said freight forwarder; and that notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board members Joel E. Burns, Robert S. Turkington and John R. Michael. Member John R. Michael not participating.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77–11822 Filed 4–22–77;8:45 am]

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

CHAPTER I—UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 10—GENERAL PROVISIONS

List of Addresses of Law Enforcement District Offices; Correction

AGENCY: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Corrections.

SUMMARY: On February 15, 1977, an amendment making changes in addresses of law enforcement district offices was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (FR Doc. 77–4557). That document is hereby corrected.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 25, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Margaret C. Cash, Regulations Coordinator, Division of Law Enforcement, Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, 202–343–9237.

The following changes should be made on page 9181 of FR Doc. 77–4557 in § 10.22, in the column headed "Address of district office":

1. The telephone number for the Atlanta, Ga., office is changed to read "404–881–4761."

2. The telephone number for the Nashville, Tenn., office is changed to read "615–251–5532."

3. The address for the Glen Burnie, Md., office is corrected to read "95 Aquahart Rd."

4. The address and telephone number for the Boston, Mass., office is changed to read "P.O. Box 277, Newtonville, Mass. 02160 (617–965–5100, ext. 254)."

This correction was prepared by Margaret C. Cash, Regulations Coordinator, Division of Law Enforcement.

Dated: April 14, 1977.

GEORGE W. MILIAS,
Acting Director,
Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.77–11817 Filed 4–22–77;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 953]

IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN THE SOUTHEASTERN STATES

Proposed Handling Regulation

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposed regulation would require fresh market shipments of potatoes grown in designated counties of Virginia and North Carolina to be inspected and meet minimum quality and size requirements. The regulation should promote orderly marketing of such potatoes by keeping less desirable qualities and sizes from being shipped to consumers.

DATES: Comments due May 13, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to: Hearing Clerk, Room 1077 South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. Two copies of all written comments shall be submitted, and they will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles R. Brader, Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, Telephone 202-447-3545.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Marketing Agreement No. 104 and Order No. 953, both as amended, regulate the handling of potatoes grown in designated counties of Virginia and North Carolina. It is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The Southeastern Potato Committee, established under the order, is responsible for its local administration.

This notice is based upon recommendation made by the committee at its public meeting in Norfolk, Virginia, on April 7, 1977.

The grade and size requirements recommended herein are the same as those which have been issued during past seasons. They are necessary to prevent potatoes of poor quality or undesirable sizes from being distributed to fresh market outlets. The specific proposals, hereinafter set forth, would benefit consumers and producers by standardizing and improving the quality of the potatoes shipped from the production area.

Exceptions are proposed to certain of these requirements to recognize special situations in which such requirements would be inappropriate or unreasonable.

Shipments would be allowed to certain special purpose outlets without regard to the grade, size, and inspection requirements, provided that safeguards were met to prevent such potatoes from reaching unauthorized outlets. Shipments for use as livestock feed would be so exempted because requirements for this outlet differ greatly from those for fresh market. Since no purpose would be served by regulating potatoes used for charity purposes, such shipments also would be exempt. Also, potatoes for most processing uses are exempt under the legislative authority for this part.

The proposal is as follows:

§ 953.317 Handling regulation.

During the period June 5 through July 31, 1977, no person shall ship any lot of potatoes produced in the production area unless such potatoes meet the requirements of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section or unless such potatoes are handled in accordance with paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section.

(a) *Minimum grade and size requirements.* All varieties U.S. No. 2, or better grade, 1½ inches minimum diameter.

(b) *Inspection.* Except as provided in paragraphs (c) and (e), no handler shall ship any potatoes unless an appropriate inspection certificate has been issued covering them by the Federal-State Inspection Service and the certificate is valid at the time of shipment.

(c) *Special purpose shipments.* The grade, size, and inspection requirements set forth in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall not apply to potatoes shipped for canning, freezing, "other processing" as hereinafter defined, livestock feed or charity, except that the handler thereof shall comply with the safeguard requirements of paragraph (d) of this section. Shipments of potatoes for canning, freezing, and "other processing" shall be exempt from inspection requirements specified in § 953.50 and from assessment requirements specified in § 953.34.

(d) *Safeguards.* Each handler making shipments of potatoes for canning, freezing, "other processing," livestock feed, or charity in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section shall:

(1) Notify the committee of his intent to ship potatoes pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section by applying on forms furnished by the committee for a Certificate of Privilege applicable to such special purpose shipments;

(2) Obtain an approved Certificate of Privilege;

(3) Prepare on forms furnished by the committee a special purpose shipment report for each such individual shipment; and

(4) Forward copies of such special purpose shipment report to the committee office and to the receiver with instructions to the receiver that he sign and return a copy to the committee's office. Failure of the handler or receiver to report such shipments by promptly signing and returning the applicable special purpose shipment report to the committee office shall be cause for suspension of such handler's Certificate of Privilege applicable to such special purpose shipments.

(e) *Minimum quantity exemption.* Each handler may ship up to, but not to exceed, 5 hundredweight of potatoes any day without regard to the inspection and assessment requirements of this part, but this exception shall not apply to any portion of a shipment that exceeds 5 hundredweight of potatoes.

(f) *Definitions.* The term "U.S. No. 2" shall have the same meaning as when used in the U.S. Standards for Grades of Potatoes (§§ 51.1540-51.1566 of this title), including the tolerances set forth therein. The term "other processing" has the same meaning as the term appearing in the act as amended February 15, 1972 (Pub. L. 92-233), and includes, but is not restricted to, potatoes for dehydration, chips, shoestrings, starch, and flour. It inclues only that preparation of potatoes for market which involves the application of heat or cold to such an extent that the natural form or stability of the commodity undergoes a substantial change. The act of peeling, cooling, slicing, dicing, or applying material to prevent oxidation does not constitute "other processing." All other terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in Marketing Agreement No. 104 and this part, both as amended.

(g) *Applicability to imports.* Pursuant to section 8e of the act and § 980.1 "Import regulations" (7 CFR 980.1), Irish potatoes of the round white type imported during the effective period of this section shall meet the grade, size, quality, and maturity requirements specified in paragraph (a) of this section.

Dated: April 20, 1977.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.77-11762 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

[12 CFR Part 329]

[26609]

INTEREST ON DEPOSITS

Restricting Payment of Negotiated Rates of Interest on Pooled Time Deposits of $100,000 or More

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

ACTION: Withdrawal of proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The FDIC has determined not to adopt at this time an amendment to its interest rate regulations which was proposed in March 1976. The amendment would have prohibited insured nonmember banks from paying interest on pooled time deposits of $100,000 or more at a rate in excess of existing rate ceilings established for time deposits of less than $100,000. The proposal was designed to restrict the practice of pooling funds into deposits of $100,000 or more in order to obtain negotiated rates of intreest—a practice which was viewed as a violation of interest rate limitations established pursuant to statutory directive—and to minimize the potential for disruptive shifts of funds among depositary institutions as a result of pooling activities. Opposition to the proposal generally focused on the view that it would be unfair to small depsitors. Because of the considerable public opposition to the proposal, if adopted, might prove ineffective to achieve its intended purpose, and the difficulty in enforcing the proposal, the FDIC has decided not to adopt the proposal at this time. This action is intended to indicate to the public that the FDIC no longer considers the proposal a viable measure.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 25, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alan J. Kaplan, Attorney, Bank Regulation Section, Legal Division, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20429, 202-389-4433.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 8, 1976 (41 FR 9896), the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation proposed to amend § 329.3(a) of Part 329 of Title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations (12 CFR 329.3(a)) to prohibit insured nonmember banks, including mutual savings banks, from undertaking to pay negotiated rates of interest on time deposits of $100,000 or more which consist of or represent funds acquired or solicited substantially for the purpose of pooling such fnnds to obtain a rate of interest in excess of the applicable maximum rates specifically prescribed for deposits of less than $100,000. The period for receipt of public comments, originally set to expire on April 16, 1976 (41 FR 9896), was subsequently extended to May 10, 1976 (41 FR 14395) and thereafter further extended to July 9, 1976 (41 FR 20895).

Pub. L. 93-123 (87 Stat. 449) directs FDIC's Board of Directors to establish the maximum interest rates which may be paid by insured nonmember banks on time deposits of less than $100,000. The Board's proposal to restrict the payment of negotiated rates of interest on pooled time deposits of $100,000 or more was based in part upon the Board's concern, shared by other Federal financial supervisory authorities, that the pooling of funds to purchase large denomination certificates of deposit at negotiated rates of interest is contrary to the statute which directs the establishment of interest rate limitations and violates the regulations which implement those limitations. The proposal was also based in part upon the belief that pooling activity has at least the potential to result in higher costs to financial institutions for deposit funds and to lead to disruptive shifts of funds from thrift institutions and small banks, thereby thwarting one of the intended purposes of interest rate limitations.

The FDIC received eighty-six comments from the public. Sixty-nine of those comments opposed adoption of the proposal, fourteen favored its adoption, and three expressed no position. Those opposed to adoption of the proposal generally expressed the view that the proposal was unfair to small depositors and that it would result in a shifting of funds out of bank certificates of deposit and into other forms of nondeposit investments. Several respondents indicated that the proposed amendment would not prevent the practice of pooling because certificates of deposit are available on the secondary market. Respondents supporting the proposal generally recognized that pooling to achieve negotiated rates of interest results in a circumvention of existing interest rate ceilings established pursuant to statutory directive. Several banks, particularly smaller banks and those in rural communities, expressed the view that pooling could lead to disruptive shifts of funds, especially from institutions outside large money centers.

After careful consideration of the comments received and other available information, and after consultation with the other Federal financial supervisory authorities, the Board of Directors has determined not to adopt the proposed amendment at this time. In making this determination, the Board notes the recent decision of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System not to adopt a similar proposal which had been under consideration by the Board of Governors. In addition, the FDIC took action in February 1977 (42 FR 10312) to limit to $40,000 in any one insured bank the Federal deposit insurance coverage afforded to deposits of any trust or other business arrangement which has registered or is required to register with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an investment company under section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. It is believed that this action will serve to minimize the potential for disruptive shifts of funds among depositary institutions as a result of pooling.

By order of the Board of Directors, dated April 19, 1977.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,
ALAN R. MILLER,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11808 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 77-NE-8]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation of 700-Foot Transition Area

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice (NPRM) proposes to amend the description of the Palmer, Massachusetts, 700-foot Transition Area. The change will provide more controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new standard instrument approach procedure (NDB-A) to the Palmer Metropolitan Airport, Palmer, Massachusetts.

DATES: Comments on or before May 25, 1977. (Proposed effective date: August 11, 1977.)

ADDRESSES: Comments in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Regional Counsel, ANE-7, Attn: Rules Docket Clerk, Docket No. 77-NE-8, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard G. Carlson, Operations Procedures and Airspace Branch, ANE-536, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803, Telephone 617-273-7285.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rule making will be filed in the public regulatory docket.

Persons desiring copies of the NPRM should contact: Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the Regional Counsel, ANE-7, Federal Aviation Administration, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803.

This amendment would convert additional airspace west of Palmer from uncontrolled airspace to controlled airspace. The FAA has determined that this amendment to the Palmer, Massachusetts, 700-foot transition area is necessary to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new standard instrument approach procedure (NDB-A) to the Palmer Metropolitan Airport, Palmer, Massachusetts.

Accordingly, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 71.181), effective August 11, 1977, as follows:

1. By deleting Line 5 of the description of the Palmer, Massachusetts, 700-foot transition area and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

PALMER, MASSACHUSETTS, 700-FOOT TRANSITION AREA

Line 5. And within 4.5 miles each side of the 202° bearing from the Palmer, Mass. RBN 42°13'26" N.; 72°18'47" W., extending from the 5-mile radius area to 10.5 miles south of the RBN.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), sec. 6(c); Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

NOTE.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on April 12, 1977.

WILLIAM E. CROSBY,
Acting Director,
New England Region.

[FR Doc.77-11752 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 52]

[FRL 717-1]

APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF AIR QUALITY IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

Proposed Revision to the New York State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposal announces receipt of a request from New York State to revise its State Implementation Plan (SIP). The State is seeking approval from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of a "special limitation" granted by the State pursuant to Part 225.2 of Title 6 of its Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations (6 NYCRR 225.2). The proposed revision will continue a relaxation of the sulfur-in-fuel-oil limitation for the Long Island Lighting Company's (LILCO) Northport Generating Facility (Units 1, 2 and 3) and LILCO's Port Jefferson Generating Facility (Units 3 and 4), both of which are located in Suffolk County, New York. The "special limitation" for both facilities will permit the use until May 31, 1980 of fuel oil having a maximum sulfur content of 2.8 percent, by weight. Previously, these facilities were limited by State regulation to the use of fuel oil with a maximum sulfur content of 1.0 percent, by weight.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 25, 1977.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Gerald M. Hansler, P.E., Regional Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007.

Copies of the proposal are available for public inspection during normal business hours at:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, Room 908, Region II Office, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Air Resources, 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Region I, Building No. 40, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William S. Baker, Chief, Air Programs Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007, 212-264-2517.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 17, 1977, New York State requested that EPA consider a proposed revision to its SIP. This revision request was submitted in accordance with all applicable EPA requirements pursuant to 40 CFR Part 51 including a public hearing which was held at Stony Brook, Long Island on January 17, 1977.

Accompanying its March 17, 1977 request, the State submitted an evaluation report prepared by the hearing officer at the public hearing and a copy of a March 15, 1977 order signed by the Commissioner of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. This order was issued to the Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO) pursuant to 6 NYCRR 225.2 (c) and becomes effective upon EPA approval. It essentially grants an extension to a "special limitation" currently in effect for LILCO. The State's previous action was proposed for approval by EPA on May 25, 1976 (41 FR 21360) and approved on July 20, 1976 (41 FR 29817).

"Special limitations" allow the use of fuel oil with a sulfur content higher than that normally required under State regulations. The currently approved "special limitation" which expires on May 31, 1977, allows the use of 2.8 percent sulfur-in-fuel-oil at units 3 and 4 of the LILCO Port Jefferson Generating Facility and 2.5 percent sulfur-in-fuel-oil at units 1, 2 and 3 of the LILCO Northport Generating Facility. The "special limitation" being proposed by this notice increases the current sulfur-in-fuel-oil limitation for the applicable units at the Northport Generating Facility from 2.5 to 2.8 percent, but keeps the current limitation for the applicable units at the Port Jefferson Generating Facility at 2.8 percent. The proposed "special limitation" expires May 31, 1980.

The order for the proposed "special limitation" contains several conditions including:

1. The operation by LILCO of an approved ambient air monitoring network.
2. The employment of a fuel switching system to protect State ambient air quality standards.
3. The reporting of fuel switching incidents.

A control strategy demonstration submitted by the State on March 16, 1976 pursuant to the currently approved "special limitation" for LILCO will be used by EPA to evaluate the proposed "special limitation." The "special limitation" can be approved by EPA only if the control strategy demonstrates attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards at all times without the use of fuel switching. In addition, EPA acknowledges receipt on November 16, 1976 of LILCO's submission to the State in support of this current action. EPA requests any additional information from interested parties regarding the impact of the LILCO Generating Facilities on Long Island and Connecticut. The impact on Connecticut was not considered in the State's control strategy demonstration.

This notice is issued as required by section 110 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, to advise the public that comments may be submitted as to whether the proposed revision to the New York State Implementation Plan should be approved or disapproved. The Administrator's decision regarding approval or disapproval of this proposed plan revision will be based on whether it meets the requirements of section 110(a)(2)(A)-(H) of the Clean Air Act and EPA regulations in 40 CFR Part 51.

Dated: April 11, 1977.

GERALD M. HANSLER,
Regional Administrator,
Environmental Protection Agency.

[FR Doc.77-11757 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 61]

TARIFFS

International Telex Service With the Domestic Telex and TWX Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commmission.

ACTION: Extension of time.

SUMMARY: This order extends the filing deadlines in Docket 21005 so that the

Commission may consider pending interlocutory requests prior to the submission of comments and responsive pleadings.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 9, 1977; Responses must be received on or before June 9, 1977; and Replies must be received on or before June 20, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Send Comments to: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Francis L. Young, Tariff and Services Division, Common Carrier Bureau, 202-632-5550.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Adopted: April 15, 1977.

Released: April 19, 1977.

By the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.

In the matter of interface of the International Telex Service with the Domestic Telex and TWX Services (42 FR 13139).

1. Before the Commission for consideration is the April 15, 1977 TRT Telecommunications Corporation (TRT) motion to extend the time for filing comments in Docket No. 21005 pending resolution by the Commission of TRT's motion to establish discovery procedures and defer the submission of comments in Docket 21005, filed February 17, 1977.

2. It appearing, That comments are currently due to be filed April 25, 1977, and responses and replies to be filed April 25, 1977 and June 8, 1977;

3. It further appearing, That comments should not be required to be filed prior to Commission action on TRT's interlocutory request and the next scheduled Agenda meeting is subsequent to the current filing date;

4. *It is ordered*, Pursuant to delegated authority, 47 CFR 0.303(c), That the dates for filing comments and responsive pleadings are extended to the following dates:

(a) Comments, May 9, 1977;

(b) Responses, June 9, 1977;

(c) Replies, June 20, 1977.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
DANIEL R. OHLBAUM,
Acting Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc.77-11830 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[49 CFR Part 1057]

[Ex Parte No. MC-43; Sub No. 6]

MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY

Lease and Interchange of Vehicles

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This is a proposed revision of regulations involving lease of vehicles and requirements for inspection at augmentation point. The proposed rule provides that when motor carriers are commonly controlled and jointly maintain and administer a uniform safety program, no inspection will be required at the point of augmentation if (1) both carriers remain under common control; (2) the equipment be inspected on the day it is to be leased and found to meet the requirements of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations of the Department of Transportation. A study conducted by the Bureau of Operations found the procedure proposed by this regulation would be more efficient than granting a waiver of the present regulations to petitioning carriers. The effect of the proposal is to grant a waiver of inspections presently required by regulation, enabling carriers to provide a more expeditious service to the shipping public.

COMMENT DATE: Comments by June 24, 1977.

ADDRESS: Send comments to: Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John L. Chaney, Chief, Interpretations, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, 202-275-7842.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposal for the revision of 49 CFR 1057.4(c) was initiated after the Section of Motor, Water, Forwarder Operations, in the Commission's Bureau of Operations, conducted a study as to the effectiveness and efficiency of the present regulations in 49 CFR 1057.4(c). The regulation, as it presently reads, requires the carrier leasing the equipment before taking possession of the equipment, to inspect the equipment to insure that the equipment complies with the Motor Carrier Safety Regulations of the Federal Highway Administration of the Department of Transportation. It has been the practice of the Motor Carrier Leasing Board to grant a petition for waiver of the regulation when the petitioning carriers are under common control and jointly maintain and administer a uniform safety program under which the vehicles are properly inspected before they depart from the terminal, and the past safety records of the controlling carrier are satisfactory. In granting such waivers, the Board has recognized that requiring additional inspections when commonly controlled carriers are involved may be time consuming, repetitious and costly. On the other hand, carriers operating under a waiver of the inspection are able to eliminate extra operating costs and provide for more expeditious service to the shipping public.

The proposed revision of the regulations would have the same effect as granting a waiver of the regulations.

Accordingly, it is proposed that 49 CFR 1057.4(c) be revised to read as follows:

§ 1057.4 Augmenting equipment.

* * * * *

(c) *Safety inspection of equipment by the authorized carrier.* It shall be the duty of the authorized carrier, before taking possession of the equipment, to inspect the same or to have the same inspected by a person who is competent and qualified to make such inspection and has been duly authorized by such carrier to make such inspection as a representative of the carrier in order to insure that the said equipment complies with the Motor Carrier Safety Regulations of the Federal Highway Administration of the Department of Transportation. However, where carriers leasing equipment are commonly controlled and jointly maintain and administer a uniform safety program, no such inspection at the point of lease is required; *provided*, That both carriers remain under common control and the equipment be inspected on the day it is to be leased and found to meet the requirements of the Motor Carrier Safety Regulations of the Department of Transportation. If an inspection is required, the person making the inspection shall certify the results thereof on a report in the form hereinafter set forth, which report shall be retained and preserved by the authorized carrier, and if his inspection discloses that the equipment does not comply with the requirements of the said safety regulations, possession thereof shall not be taken. When such an inspection has been made, the authorized carrier or an officer or partner thereof, or a safety director or other supervisory employee responsible for safety compliance, shall certify on the inspection report that the person who made the inspection, whether an employee or person other than an employee, is competent and qualified to make such inspection and has been duly authorized to do so by such carrier as its representative. When equipment other than a power unit is leased, a form of report applicable to such equipment may be used.

* * * * *

(5 U.S.C. 553 and 559 (49 U.S.C. 304))

NOTE.—This decision is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.

By the Commission.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11820 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

REGULATORY REPORTS REVIEW

Receipt and Approval of a Proposed Report

A request for clearance of a proposed report intended for use in collecting information from the public was received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on April 14, 1977. See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) and (d). The purpose of publishing this notice is to inform the public of such receipt and the action taken by GAO.

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

The Federal Power Commission (FPC) requested emergency clearance of the revised FPC Form 69, Alternate Fuel Demand Due To Natural Gas Curtailments. This form will be used to collect data from interstate pipeline companies which will enable FPC to assess the incremental demand placed on alternative fuel due to natural gas curtailments.

The basic data in the revised form remains the same. However, all large end-users will now be detailed on Schedule 1 whether curtailed or not, and alternate fuel usages will be reported in equivalent MCF rather than percent of use. Also, a new column has been added to Table 1 for recording actual gas receipts for the year. FPC has reduced the reporting cycle to once annually.

Emergency clearance of this form was requested as a direct result of the recent extremely cold winter experienced by this county. Natural gas is in a critically short supply, largely because of those extreme weather conditions. FPC urgently needs the information collected by this form to prepare the public for what is by most forecasts expected to be another harsh winter in 1977–78. Therefore, if emergency clearance processing was denied and the normal 45-day process was implemented, the data would not be available in time for FPC analysis, and the result could be damaging to the American public as well as the American economy.

Respondents of the Form 69 will total 115. The average burden per response is estimated to be 130 hours, and the total burden will be 14,950 hours for the annual form.

GAO granted clearance of the Form 69 on April 19, 1977, under number B-180228 (R0206). This clearance will expire on March 31, 1978.

NORMAN F. HEYL,
Regulatory Reports Review Officer.

[FR Doc.77-11800 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

REGULATORY REPORTS REVIEW

Receipt and Approval of a Proposed Report

A request for clearance of a proposed report intended for use in collecting information from the public was accepted by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on March 28, 1977. See 44 U.S.C. 3512 (c) and (d). The purpose of publishing this notice is to inform the public of such receipt and the action taken by GAO.

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

The Federal Energy Administration (FEA) requested emergency clearance of the revised Form FEA-G101-A-2, Alternative Fuel Demand Due to Natural Gas Curtailments. This form will be used to collect data which will enable FEA to assess the incremental demand placed on alternative fuels due to natural gas curtailments.

This form collects information from companies and municipalities. The authority for collecting these data is provided in section 13 of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-275) as amended, the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-319) as amended, and the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-159) as amended.

This form is a revision of the FEA-G101-P-1 of the same title and the basic data elements remain the same. However, a new supplement is attached to the form to collect large end-users alternate fuel usage. Also, all large end-users will now be detailed on Schedule 1's, whether curtailed or not, and the FEA has reduced the reporting cycle to once annually.

FEA will also require those companies reporting on the FPC-69 (approximately 63) to file the FEA-G101-A-2 supplement and addendum.

Emergency clearance of this form was requested as a direct result of the recent extremely cold winter experienced by this country. Natural gas is in a critically short supply, largely because of those extreme weather conditions. FEA urgently needs the information collected by this form to prepare the U.S. public for what is by most forecasts expected to be another harsh winter in 1977–78. Therefore, if emergency clearance processing was denied and the normal 45-day process was implemented, the data would not be available in time for FEA analysis, and the result could be damaging to the American public as well as the American economy.

Respondents on the FEA-G101-A-2 will total 1,763 (approximately 63 companies will file the supplement and addendum only), the average burden per response is estimated to be 291 hours and the overall burden will total 513,150 hours.

GAO granted preliminary clearance on April 7, 1977, and final clearance on April 15, 1977, under number B-181254 (RO193). This clearance will expire on March 31, 1978.

NORMAN F. HEYL,
Regulatory Reports Review Officer.

[FR Doc.77-11801 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

REGIONAL PUBLIC ADVISORY PANEL ON ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES

Meeting

APRIL 13, 1977.

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Regional Public Advisory Panel on Architectural and Engineering Services, Region 3, May 10 and 11, 1977, from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Room 5651, GSA Regional Office Building, Seventh and D Streets, SW., Washington, DC. The meeting will be devoted to the initial step of the procedures for screening and evaluating the qualifications of architect-engineers under consideration for selection to furnish professional services for the following proposed projects: (a) Renovations to the Heating, Ventilating and Airconditioning systems, Health, Education, and Welfare Department, South Building, Washington, D.C. (b) Renovation of Computer Facility, Health, Education, and Welfare Department, North Building, Washington, D.C. The meeting will be open to the public.

JOHN F. GALUARDI,
Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.77-11879 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health

NATIONAL PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS REVIEW COUNCIL

Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act

(Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following Council meeting:

NAME: National Professional Standards Review Council.

DATE AND TIME: May 16, 1977 (10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.), May 17, 1977 (9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.).

PLACE: Auditorium (first floor), DHEW North Building, 330 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C.

PURPOSE OF MEETING: The Council was established to advise the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare on the administration of professional Standards Review (Title XI, Part B, Social Security Act). Professional Standards Review is the procedure to assure that the services for which payment may be made under the Social Security Act are medically necessary and conform to appropriate professional standards for the provision of quality health care. The Council's agenda will include discussion of a variety of issues relevant to the implementation of the PSRO program.

Meeting of the Council is open to the public. Public attendance is limited to space available.

Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Council before, during, or after the meeting. To the extent that time permits, the Council Chairman will allow public presentation of oral statements at the meeting.

All communications regarding this Council should be addressed to William D. Coughlan, Staff Director, National Professional Standards Review Council, Office of Quality Standards, Room 16A-09, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857, 301-443-4990.

Dated: April 14, 1977.

WILLIAM B. MUNIER,
Executive Secretary, National Professional Standards Review Council.

[FR Doc.77-11745 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation

[Contract No. HEW-100-77-0017]

MANAGEMENT RESOURCE CONSULTANTS FOR THE FIDCR APPROPRIATENESS REPORT

Contract Award

Pursuant to Section 606 of the Community Services Act of 1974, (Pub. L. 93-644) 42 USC 2946, this agency announces the award of Contract No. HEW-100-77-0017 to the Center for Systems and Program Development Inc., 1522 K Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005. The purpose of this contract is to obtain administrative and management support to assist the HEW Committee assigned to evaluate the appropriateness of the Federal Interagency Day Care Requirements (FIDCR). The contractor will assist HEW in assuring that a draft appropriateness report and twenty state-of-the-art day care papers are adequately reviewed by experts, practitioners and other people outside HEW. In addition, the contractor will assist in the management of resources and consultants involved in the preparation of the Appropriateness Report. The estimated contract is $116,863. The intended expiration date is August 1, 1977.

Dated: April 19, 1977.

HENRY AARON,
Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc.77-11790 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Modification No. 17 to Contract No. HEW-OS-74-25]

PANEL STUDY OF INCOME DYNAMICS

Contract Award

Pursuant to Section 606 of the Community Services Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-644) 42 USC 2946, this agency announces the award of Modification No. 17 to Contract No. HEW-OS-74-25 to the Regents of the University of Michigan, 260 Research Administration Building, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48105, to continue the "Panel Study of Income Dynamics" for the tenth year. The purpose is to continue the annual interviews with a sample of about 5000 heads of households. The study analyzes the changing welfare of these families and individuals through the years, with particular emphasis on jobs, income and family structure in the lower income population. The analyses help in estimating the causes of and escape from poverty as well as the relationship of government programs to changing welfare. The estimated cost of this modification is $628,000 and the intended completion date is December 31, 1977.

Date: April 18, 1977.

HENRY AARON,
Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc.77-11789 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Center for Disease Control

IMMUNIZATION PRACTICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. (Pub. L. 92-463), the Center for Disease Control announces the following Committee meeting:

Name: Immunization Practices Advisory Committee.

Dates: May 18-19, 1977.

Place: Room 207, Building 1, Center for Disease Control, 1600 Clifton Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: H. Bruce Dull, M.D., Executive Secretary of Committee, Building 1, Room 2118, Center for Disease Control, 1600 Clifton Road, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30333. Phone: AC/404 633-3311, Extension 3701. FTS 236-3701.

Purpose: The Committee is charged with advising on the appropriate uses of immunizing agents in public health practice.

Agenda: The Committee wil consider the current status of influenza vaccine in the prevention and control of epidemic influenza in the United States and develop recommendation for 1977-78. It will also continue its regular review of recommendations on the use of other biologics in public health practices including pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine and immune serum globulins.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

The meeting is open to the public for observation and participation. A roster of members and other relevant information regarding the meeting may be obtained from the contact person listed above.

Dated: April 18, 1977.

WILLIAM C. WATSON, JR.,
Acting Director, Center for Disease Control.

[FR Doc.77-11865 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR, REGION VI

Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority

Section AD6.20E of Part A of the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of the Secretary, Office of the Regional Director, Region VI, headquartered in Dallas, Texas, has been amended to combine into a single Regional Equal Employment Opportunity Office the responsibilities for all Region VI Equal Employment Opportunity programs. The amended Section supersedes the Section published as 1E86.20E (40 FR 4666, 1/31/75) and reads as follows:

E. Office of Equal Employment Opportnity: Carries out equal employment opportunity activities within the Regional Office, particularly as they relate to special focus minority groups and women, as mandated by Executive Order 11478 and as amended by Pub. L. 92-261 (42 USC 2000 e16), Departmental and Civil Service Commission Regulations which require the establishment and maintenance of a positive program of non-discrimination in employment based on race, color, religion, sex and national origin. The major functions of the Office (including provisions which focus on the Federal Women's Program and the Spanish-Speaking Program) are:

1. Provide direction and guidance on the EEO system to Regional managers and employees at Regional Office headquarters through development and issuance of directives, instructions, and guidelines.

2. Coordinate and formulate the Regional Affirmative Action Plan; monitor and evaluate efficiency and effectiveness of the Plan.

3. Process discrimination complaints based on age (Pub. L. 93-259) and race, color, religion, sex and national origin (Pub. L. 92-261). Manage the Regional

EEO complaint system and prepare proposed dispositions on all formal complaints: ensure adequacy of counselors as well as investigators through training and assignment.

4. Maintain surveillance over minority employment data and provide for the analysis and issuance of manpower information relevant to minority and female employment profiles through the OS automatic data systems, identifying problems and recommending alternatives.

5. Develop recommendations of policies and guidance on activities related to the status of minorities and women employed by the Regional Office.

6. Develop and issue guidelines providing technical advice in assuring understanding and positive attitudes toward equal employment opportunities for minorities and women to Regional managers and employees.

7. Coordinate, represent and provide liaison functions for Regional organizations at the Departmental level and with other Federal and private agencies and organizations having to do with minority employment interest groups and other ethnic groups and women to develop awareness of the needs and attitudes of these special focus groups as affected by HEW programs, and to provide leadership in the creation of quality programs.

8. Advise Regional managers in implementing special focus group employment programs, providing guidance in recruitment, training, upward mobility and career counseling.

9. When designated, represents the Regional Director at meetings with organizations concerned with EEO and provides advice, assistance (direct or by referral to appropriate authorities) to minority and female employees, applicants and special interest groups.

Dated: April 14, 1977.

JOHN D. YOUNG,
Assistant Secretary for Management and Budget.

[FR Doc.77-11788 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey

COAL MINING PLAN—WYOMING

Availability of Proposed Decision for Mine Plan Submitted for Approval

In accordance with the requirements of 30 CFR Part 211.5(c)(2), notice is hereby given that Arch Mineral Corporation, P.O. Box 459, Hanna, Wyoming 82327, has submitted a modified mine plan to mine, by surface mining operations, an additional 660 acres. The proposed plan modifies a previously approved mine plan on coal lease W-16466 and proposes mining through 1986. The Seminoe No. 1 Mine is located in Hanna Basin, Carbon County, Wyoming, in T. 22 N., R. 82 W., T. 22 N., R. 83 W., and T. 22 N., R. 84 W. The modified plan also proposes to bring the Seminoe No. 1 mine into full compliance with the Coal Mining Operating Regulations, 30 CFR Part 211, and the Department of the Interior and State of Wyoming Cooperative Agreement. The Seminoe No. 1 mine plan was initially received for review by the Mining Supervisor on May 12, 1976.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Mining Supervisor proposes to approve the modified mining plan. Any person having an interest, which is or may be adversely affected, may request a public meeting in writing. Requests for a public meeting should include the name and address of the requestor and should be submitted to the Area Mining Supervisor, Conservation Division, U.S. Geological Survey, Box 25046, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225. All requests should be made within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. No decision on the modified plan will be made prior to 20 days from the date of this notice.

W. A. RADLINSKI,
Acting Director.

[FR Doc.77-11744 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[337-TA-23]

CERTAIN COLOR TELEVISION RECEIVING SETS

Notice of Commission Action

Notice is hereby given that—

(1) On December 20, 1976, the Commission issued a "Notice of Suspension of Investigation", which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on December 23, 1976 (41 FR 55947). Pursuant to the terms of that notice, this investigation was suspended, effective at the close of business on December 20, 1976, only during the pendency of investigation No. TA-201-19 (Television Receivers). On March 22, 1977, the Commission transmitted to the President the report of its determination in investigation No. TA-201-19. Accordingly, investigation No. 337-TA-23 resumed at the opening of business on March 23, 1977. Complainants' motion for modification of order tolling periods of time during suspension, motion No. 23-68, which pursuant to the Commission's order of suspension was not considered during the period of suspension, is moot as the result of the resumption of the investigation.

(2) On March 23, 1977, the Commission considered whether to further suspend this investigation, a matter raised by certain respondents' motion for clarification of Commission's order suspending investigation, which was docketed by the Office of the Secretary as motion No. 23-71. In considering motion No. 23-71, the Commission granted in part and denied in part certain respondents' motion No. 23-73.

(3) As the result of its consideration of motion No. 23-71 and complainants' response to said motion, the Commission voted not to suspend this investigation further.

(4) Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(b)(1) and the "Notice Concerning Commission Action" designating this investigation as a more complicated investigation (41 FR 50357, Nov. 15, 1976), the Commission must conclude this investigation not later than January 3, 1978.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 20, 1977.

KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11836 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[337-TA-24]

CERTAIN EXERCISING DEVICES

Determination and Order

On the basis of the record in investigation No. 337-TA-24, Certain Exercising Devices, the United States International Trade Commission, under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 551 et seq.)—

1. Determines that there are violations of section 337 in the unlicensed importation into the United States of certain exercising devices by reason of their having been made in accordance with claims 1, 2, 6-10, and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 3,743,280 and in their unlicensed sale by the owner, importer, consignee, or agent of either, the tendency of which is to substantially injure an industry, efficiently and economically operated, in the United States;

2. Finds as a result of the determination of violation, and after considering the effect of an exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, that unlicensed articles i.e., certain exercising devices, made in accordance with claims 1, 2, 6-10, and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 3,743,280, should be excluded from entry into the United States for the term of this patent; and

3. Determines that the bond provided for in section 337(g)(3) is to be as prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury in the amount of 350 percent of the value of the articles concerned, f.o.b. foreign port.[1]

1. Articles made in accordance with claims 1, 2, 6-10 and 12 of U.S. Patent No. 3,743,280 shall, upon the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER and until the expiration of such patent, be excluded from entry into the United States except (1) as provided in paragraph 2 below of this order, or (2) as such importation is under sublicense of a U.S. licensee of said patent.

2. Notwithstanding the foregoing, from the day after the day this order is received by the President pursuant to section 337(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, until such time as the President notifies the Commission that he ap-

[1] Commissioner Ablondi dissents from this determination as it relates to the level of the bond provided for in section 337(g)(3). *Accordingly, it is ordered—*

proves this action, or the President disapproves this action, but, in any event, not later than sixty (60) days after such day of receipt, the articles concerned shall be entitled to entry under bond in the amount of three hundred and fifty per centum (350%) of the value, f.o.b. foreign port, of the articles concerned.

3. This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon each party of record in this investigation and upon the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, the U.S. Department of Justice, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Secretary of the Treasury.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 20, 1977.

KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11837 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[AA1921-166]

CERTAIN PARTS FOR SELF-PROPELLED BITUMINOUS PAVING EQUIPMENT FROM CANADA

Investigation and Hearing

Having received advice from the Department of the Treasury on April 7, 1977, that parts for self-propelled bituminous paving equipment from Canada are being, or are likely to be, sold at less than fair value, the United State International Trade Commission on April 19, 1977, instituted investigation No. AA-1921-165 under section 201(a) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(a)), to determine whether an industry in the United States is being or is likely to be injured, or is prevented from being established, by reason of the importation of such merchandise into the United States.

Hearing. A public hearing in conjunction with the investigation will be held in Chicago, Illinois, on Wednesday, May 4, 1977. The location and time of the hearing will be announced at a later time. All parties shall there and then have the right to appear by counsel or in person, to present evidence, and to be heard. Requests to appear at the public hearing, or to intervene under the provisions of section 201(d) of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160(d)), shall be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, in writing, not later than noon, Friday, April 29, 1977.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 20, 1977.

KENNETH R. MASON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11838 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 77-27]

NASA RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COUNCIL PANEL ON SPACE VEHICLES

Meeting

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council, Panel on Space Vehicles will meet May 17-19, 1977 at the Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, 23665. The meeting will be held in Building 1219, Room 225. The meeting will be open to the public on a first-come, first-served basis up to the seating capacity of the conference room (40 persons). All visitors to the Langley Research Center must first report to the receptionist in the lobby of Building 1219 for registration and badging.

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council, Panel on Space Vehicles serves in an advisory capacity only. The Panel provides the agency with a focal point for assessment and recommendation in the program area concerned with the cross-discipline application of advanced technologies to space vehicle systems. The current Chairman is Mr. R. James Gunkel. The Panel has fourteen members. The following list outlines the approved schedule and agenda for the May 17-19, 1977 meeting of the Panel on Space Vehicles. For further information, please contact Mr. William C. Hayes, Jr., Executive Secretary, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC, 20546 (area code 202, 755-2243).

MAY 17, 1977

Time	*Topic*
8:30 a.m.	Report of the Chairman (Purpose: To summarize action taken at the February 1977 meeting of the Research and Technology Advisory Council.)
9:15 a.m.	Report of the Executive Secretary (Purpose: To inform the Panel of recent or proposed changes in NASA policy or organization which might affect Space Vehicles Panel functions.)
9:30 a.m.	Report on the Technology Program of the Office of Space Flight (Purpose: To brief the Panel members on future mission opportunities/options and the supporting research and technology program of the Office of Space Flight.)
12:15 a.m.	Report on the Technology Program of the Office of Space Sciences (Purpose: To brief the Panel members on future mission opportunities/options and the supporting research and technology program of the Office of Space Sciences.)
2:30 p.m.	Report on the Technology Program of the Office of Applications. (Purpose: To brief the Panel members on future mission opportunities/options and the supporting research and technology program of the Office of Applications.)

MAY 18, 1977

8:30 a.m.	Report on the Space Technology Program of the Office of Aeronautics and Space Technology. (Purpose: To inform the Panel members of the proposed new initiatives for, and augmentations of, the research and technology base program.)
1 p.m.	Report on the Space Technology Shuttle/Spacelab Payloads Program. (Purpose: To inform the Panel members of the status and future direction of the Flight Experiments and Orbiter Experiments Programs.)

MAY 19, 1977

8:30 a.m.	Report on the Long Duration Exposure Facility. (Purpose: To inform the Panel members of the status and future direction of the Long Duration Exposure Facility Project and its associated experiment program.)
1 p.m.	Selection of Topics to be Reported to the Research and Technology Advisory Council. (Purpose: To discuss and formulate topics to be forwarded to the Research and Technology Advisory Council.)

Time	Topic
4 p.m.	Selection of Agenda Topics. (Purpose: To identify topics for potential inclusion in the agenda of the Subsequent Panel meeting.)
4:30 p.m.	Adjournment.

DUWALD L. CROW,
Associate Deputy Administrator.

APRIL 19, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-11758 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-278]

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC CO., ET AL.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 34 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-56 issued to Philadelphia Electric Company, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company, and Atlantic City Electric Company, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Unit No. 3. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment consists of changes in the Technical Specifications to incorporate exposure-dependent minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) operating limits.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 151.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated January 26, 1977, as supplemented by letter dated March 18, 1977, (2) Amendment No. 34 to License No. DPR-56, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Martin Memorial Library, 159 E. Market Street, York, Pennsylvania 17401.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 14th day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11736 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Doc. Nos. STN 50-556; STN 50-557]

PUBLIC SERVICE CO. OF OKLAHOMA, ET AL.

Prehearing Conference

In the Matter of Public Service Co. of Oklahoma, Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc., and Western Farmers Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Black Fox, Units 1 and 2).

Notice is hereby given that the prehearing conference required by § 2.752 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 10 CFR Part 2, will be held at 10 a.m. on Friday, May 6, 1977, in Courtroom No. 3, U.S. District Courthouse, 333 West 4th Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103.

This prehearing conference will be held by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (the Board) established to conduct this licensing proceeding, which involves an application for construction permits for two nuclear reactors designated as Black Fox Station, Units 1 and 2, which are proposed to be built in Oklahoma. This prehearing conference will deal with the following matters:

1. Oral argument on any outstanding motion;
2. Further simplification, clarification and specification of issues, if necessary;
3. The necessity or desirability of amending any pleadings;
4. Obtaining stipulations on admissions of fact and on the contents and authenticity of documents to avoid unnecessary proof;
5. Identification of witnesses and any limitations on the number of expert witnesses;
6. Discussion of the agreed-upon schedule, if necessary; and
7. Any other matters that may aid in the orderly disposition of the proceeding.

The parties are directed to confer in advance of this prehearing conference in such manner as they deem appropriate, to discuss any stipulations that might be reached with regard to presentation of evidence and the conduct of the evidentiary hearing to be scheduled by further order of the Board. The Board will require a report on such meeting at the prehearing conference.

Members of the public are invited to attend this prehearing conference as well as the evidentiary hearing to be scheduled by the Board. However, the Board will not receive limited appearances from members of the public at this prehearing conference but will entertain such limited appearances at the beginning of the evidentiary hearing.

Issued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 15th day of April, 1977.

By order of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

DANIEL M. HEAD,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.77-11651 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Byproduct Material License No. 29-13613-02]

RADIATION TECHNOLOGY, INC.

Hearing

Radiation Technology, Inc., Lake Denmark Road, Rockaway, New Jersey 07866, is the holder of Byproduct Material License No. 29-13613-02, which authorizes the use of radioactive materials in an irradiation facility with conditions specified therein. The license was issued originally on November 11, 1970 and will expire on November 30, 1980.

On January 5, 1977, the Director of Inspection and Enforcement, pursuant to Section 234 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 USC 2282) and 10 CFR 2.205 of the Commission's regulations, served on the Licensee a Notice of Violation together with a Notice of Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties. The Notice of Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties, incorporating by reference the Notice of Violation, alleged that the Licensee was responsible for nine separate items of noncompliance which were violations of the Commission's regulations and license conditions and set forth the civil penalty to be assessed for each violation. These alleged violations were based on the results of an inspection of licensed activities on October 27 and November 1, 1976.

An answer dated January 31, 1977 to the Notice of Proposed Imposition of Civil Penalties was received from the Licensee. The Director of Inspection and Enforcement, after due consideration of the response by Licensee, served on the Licensee an Order Imposing Civil Penalties by letter dated March 14, 1977. By letter dated April 1, 1977 the Licensee requested a hearing.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the regulations in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 2, notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held before Hon. Samuel W. Jensch, Administrative Law Judge, at a time and place to be set by the Administrative Law Judge.

The issues before the Administrative Law Judge to be considered and decided shall be:

(a) Whether the Licensee committed violations of the Commission's regulations and conditions of the license designated as Items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 in the Notice of Violation issued to Licensee; and

(b) Wheher the Order Imposing Civil Penalties as it relates to Items 1 though 9 in the Notice should be sustained.

A prehearing conference will be held by the Administrative Law Judge, at a date and place to be set by him, to consider pertinent matters in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice. The date and place of hearing will be set at or after the prehearing conference and noticed in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.705, an answer to this Notice may be filed by the Licensee not later than twenty (20) days from the date of publication of this Notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Required papers may be filed by mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

20555, Attention: Chief, Docketing and Service Branch, or by delivery to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20555.

Pending further order of the Administrative Law Judge, parties are required to file, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.708, an original and twenty (20) conformed copies of each such paper with the Commission. Pursuant to 10 CFR 2.785, the Commission authorizes an Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board to exercise the authority and perform the review functions which would otherwise be exercised and performed by the Commission. The Appeal Board will be designated pursuant to 10 CFR 2.787, and notice as to membership will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 15th day of April, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

SAMUEL J. CHILK,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.77-11655 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-208]

TRUSTEES OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

Issuance of Facility Operating License and Negative Declaration

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Decision of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board, dated May 18, 1972, subsequently affirmed by the U.S. Court of Appeals Second Circuit (482 F. 2d 234, July 5, 1973) and certiorari denied by the U.S. Supreme Court (417 U.S. 951, June 10, 1974), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Facility Operating License No. R-128 to The Trustees of Columbia University in the City of New York (the licensee). This license authorizes operation of a TRIGA Mark II nuclear reactor (the facility) at steady state reactor core power levels not in excess of 250 kilowatts thermal and in the pulse mode with reactivity insertions up to a maximum of 1.5% delta k/k, for training and research purposes in accordance with the provisions of the license and the Technical Specifications. The facility is located at the licensee's campus in the Morningside Heights area of New York City, New York.

The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license. The application, as amended, for the license complies with the standards and requirements of the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations.

The Commission has prepared an environmental impact appraisal for this Facility Operating License and has concluded that an environmental impact statement for this particular action is not warranted because there will be no significant environmental impact attributable to the action.

The license is effective as of its date of issuance and shall expire on December 30, 2003.

A copy of (1) the application by the licensee dated May 6, 1963, as amended; (2) the Decision dated May 18, 1972; (3) Facility Operating License No. R-128, complete with Technical Specifications (Appendix A); (4) the Commission's concurrently issued Supplemental Safety Evaluation, to which is appended the Commission's related Safety Evaluation dated March 18, 1968 and Supplement dated November 19, 1969; and (5) the Commission's Environmental Impact Appraisal, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and the Public Health Library, New York City Department of Health, 125 Worth Street, New York, New York. A copy of items (3), (4), and (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 14th day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GERALD B. ZWETZIG,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11737 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

ABNORMAL OCCURRENCE REPORT

Radiography Incident

An NRC policy statement pertaining to implementation of Section 208 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-438, 42 U.S.C. 5848), as amended, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 24, 1977 (42 FR 10950). Included in the policy statement are criteria for the Commission to apply in determining whether incidents or events occurring at or associated with licensed activities or facilities are reportable as "abnormal occurrences" (i.e., unscheduled incidents or events which the Commission determines to be significant from the standpoint of public health or safety). In order to provide wide dissemination of information to the public, a FEDERAL REGISTER notice is issued on each abnormal occurrence, with copies distributed to the NRC Public Document Room and all local public document rooms. At a minimum, each such notice contains the date and place of the occurrence, and describes its nature and probable consequences.

Additional information will be mace available, if appropriate, by means of summary reports placed in the NRC Public Document Room and in all local public document rooms, and/or the quarterly abnormal occurrence reports to Congress. The quarterly reports are available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161 at a nominal cost.

The abnormal occurrence described below is the first such event since promulgation of the policy in the February 24 FEDERAL REGISTER notice.

INADVERTENT RADIATION EXPOSURE TO TWO PAINTERS

Date and Place.—On March 18, 1977, the Pittsburgh Testing Laboratory notified the NRC that two painters had apparently been exposed to radiation while working in an area where industrial radiography was being performed.

Nature and Probable Consequences.—On March 17, 1977, while painting a bridge under construction in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, two workers entered the main span of a bridge where two radiographic exposures were being made using iridium-192. The two had entered via an unobserved manway.

Upon completion of the radiographic exposures, two radiographers entered the span to return the radioactive sources to their shielded containers. They found the painters working in a high radiation area.

One of the painters had been in a radiation field of 0.1 to 0.15 rem per hour for 45 minutes and received a whole body dose of 0.1 rem. The second painter had worked close to a 73 curie iridium-192 source and had passed under a 46 curie source during his work. Reenactment and evaluation by NRC indicated that the second painter received a dose of 4.5 rems to small portions of each shoulder, 0.9 rem to the whole body, and 0.6 rem to the eyes.

The consequences of this incident are limited to the individuals involved and are expected to be minimal. The painters were examined by a physician, but did not show any symptoms of illness.

Cause or Causes.—The exposure of the two individuals can be attributed to the apparent failure of the radiographers to maintain direct surveillance over access to the high radiation areas, and to post conspicuous warnings at all access points.

ACTION TAKEN TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

Licensee.—This incident was reviewed by the corporate radiation safety coordinator and his staff at a meeting with all radiographic personnel. The importance of maintaining direct surveillance over all access points to a high radiation area, and of posting conspicuous warnings, was stressed.

NRC.—An investigation, including reenactment of the exposure situation, was completed on March 19, 1977. Appropriate enforcement action for the activities apparently not in compliance with NRC regulations is under consideration.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 13th day of April, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

LEE V. GOSSICK,
Executive Director of Operations.

[FR Doc.77-11656 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

REGULATORY GUIDE

Issuance and Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a new guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the NRC staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations and, in some cases, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents and to provide guidance to applicants concerning certain of the information needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Regulatory Guide 1.129, "Maintenance, Testing, and Replacement of Large Lead Storage Batteries for Nuclear Power Plants," describes a method acceptable to the NRC staff for performing the maintenance, testing, and replacement of large lead storage batteries for all types of nuclear power plants. This guide endorses IEEE Standard 450-1975, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Maintenance, Testing and Replacement of Large Lead Storage Batteries for Generating Stations and Substations."

Comments and suggestions in connection with (1) items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or (2) improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Public comments on Regulatory Guide 1.129 will, however, be particularly useful in evaluating the need for an early revision if received by June 24, 1977.

Comments should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Requests for single copies of issued guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future guides in specific divisions should be made in writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Document Control. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a).)

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 18th day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
Director, Office of Standards Development.

[FR Doc.77-11653 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

TOPICAL REPORT

Issuance and Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a topical report, NUREG-0143, "The Correlation of Peak Ground Acceleration Amplitude with Seismic Intensity and Other Physical Parameters."

The Commission's regulations (in 10 CFR Part 100, Appendix A, "Seismic and Geologic Siting Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants") require that applicants relate historic earthquake data (intensity) to potential motion (acceleration). The scientific base for the correlation of peak ground acceleration with intensity has been expanded by increasing the number of strong motion accelerograms included in that base to nearly 1500. In addition, this report identifies the significant variables affecting the correlation between intensity and acceleration and assesses the importance of these variables with regard to seismic risk in the Eastern United States.

This work was done by Computer Sciences Corporation under contract to the NRC.

The report is available for inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Copies may be purchased at current rates from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161. (Paper copy: $5, Microfiche: $3.)

(5 U.S.C. 552(a).)

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 14th day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
Director, Office of Standards Development.

[FR Doc.77-11660 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Doc. No. 50-313]

ARKANSAS POWER & LIGHT CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 23 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-51, issued to Arkansas Power & Light Company (the licensee), which revised the Technical Specifications for operation of Arkansas Nuclear One—Unit No. 1 (the facility) located in Pope County, Arkansas. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The operation of shock suppressors is required to protect the reactor coolant system and all other safety related systems and components and was assumed in the Staff Safety Evaluation Report. Operating history of this and other plants has indicated that shock suppressors were not always operable. Accordingly, this amendment requires the operability and surveillance of safety related shock suppressors.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of the amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated October 1, 1975, as supplemented by letters dated February 11, 1976, August 30, 1976, September 13, 1976, and February 15, 1977, (2) Amendment No. 23 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-51, and (3) the Commission's Safety Evaluation issued August 10, 1976. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Arkansas Polytechnic College, Russellville, Arkansas 72801. A single copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 6th day of April, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DON K. DAVIS,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11659 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Doc. No. 50-293]

BOSTON EDISON CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 22 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-35, issued to Boston Edison Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of Unit No. 1 of the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (the facility) located near Plymouth, Massachusetts. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment modified the method utilized to assure the operability of the relief valves used in the Automatic Depressurization System of the facility.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated March 11, 1977, (2) Amendment No. 22 to License No. DPR-35, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Plymouth Public Library on North Street in Plymouth, Massachusetts 02360. A single copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 8th day of April, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DON K. DAVIS,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11657 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Dockets Nos. 50-295 and 50-304]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Negative Declaration

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments Nos. 28 and 25 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-39 and DPR-48 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee) which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Zion Station Units Nos. 1 and 2, located in Zion. The amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

These amendments delete the references to the methodologies to be employed in conducting the biological and entrainment monitoring programs, and delete the requirement for a taxonomic corroboration program at the Zion Station.

The application for these amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments was not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has prepared an environmental impact appraisal for the revised Technical Specifications and has concluded that an environmental impact statement for this particular action is not warranted because there will be no environmental impact attributable to the action. A negative declaration to this effect is appropriate.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated September 23, 1976, (2) Amendments Nos. 28 and 25 to Licenses Nos. DPR-39 and DPR-48, and (3) the Commission's related Environmental Impact Appraisal. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20555 and at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60685. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

A. SCHWENCER,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11732 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-3]

CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF NEW YORK, INC.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 16 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-5, issued to Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 1 (the facility) located in Westchester County, New York. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment revises the Technical Specifications to reflect the defueled, nonoperating status of the reactor. The licensee has no plans at present for further operation of the facility.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated July 16, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 16 to License No. DPR-5, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Hendrick Hudson Free Library, 31 Albany Post Road, Montrose, New York.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 14th day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GERALD B. ZWETZIG,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11731 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Doc. Nos. 50-329; 50-330]

CONSUMERS POWER CO. (MIDLAND PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2; HEARING

It is ordered That the evidentiary hearing scheduled to resume at 9:30 a.m. on May 9, 1977, will reconvene in the Clouds Room on the 23rd Floor of the Allerton Hotel, 701 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 15th day of April, 1977.

The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

FREDERIC J. COUFAL,
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 77-11654 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-321]

GEORGIA POWER CO., ET AL.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 41 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-57 issued to Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Electric Membership Corporation, Municipal Electric Association of Georgia and City of Dalton, Georgia, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Unit No. 1, located in Appling County, Georgia. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications relating to required periodic surveillance on the recirculation pump discharge valves and an administrative change to delete reference to recirculation pump discharge valve bypass line hydraulic shock suppressors.

The applications for the amendment comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendment dated September 3, 1976, (supplemented by letter dated January 6, 1976) and January 26, 1977, (2) Amendment No. 41 to License No. DPR-57 and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Appling County Public Library, Parker Street, Baxley, Georgia 31513. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 19th day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11733 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-331]

IOWA ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER CO., ET AL.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 32 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-49 issued to Iowa Electric Light and Power Company, Central Iowa Power Cooperative, and Corn Belt Power Cooperative, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center, located in Linn County, Iowa. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment consists of changes to the Technical Specifications which will (1) add surveillance requirements for the reactor pressure vessel stabilizers, (2) correct portions of the administrative controls section, and (3) correct typographical errors.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and he Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission s rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5 (d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated December 15, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 32 to License No. DPR-49, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Cedar Rapids Public Library, 426 Third Avenue, SE., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 18th day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE LEAR,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11734 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. STN 50-482]

KANSAS GAS AND ELECTRIC CO. AND KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT CO.; WOLF CREEK GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1

Issuance of Amendment to Limited Work Authorization

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 50.10(e) of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, the Commission has previously authorized the Kansas Gas and Electric Company and the Kansas City Power and Light Company (the Applicants) to conduct certain site activities in connection with the Wolf Creek Generating Station, Unit No. 1, prior to a decision regarding the issuance of a construction permit. Notice of the Limited Work Authorization was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 3, 1977 (42 FR 6651).

Since that time, the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined that additional activities requested to be undertaken by the Applicants pursuant to their letters of March 19, 1976 and March 25, 1977 may be authorized under the Limited Work Authorization. The additional activities that are authorized are within the scope of those authorized by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(1) and 50.10(e)(3) and include the following:

Foundation work for the turbine building, reactor building, control building, auxiliary building, fuel building, radwaste tunnel, radwaste building, diesel generator building, essential service water structure, and circulating and make up water intake structures; excavation and installation of make up pipe and electrical conduit; and lakework.

Any activities undertaken pursuant to this authorization are entirely at the risk of the Kansas Gas and Electric Company and the Kansas City Power and Light Company and the grant of the authorization has no bearing on the issuance of a construction permit with respect to the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and rules, regulations, or orders promulgated pursuant thereto.

A copy of (1) the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) Partial Initial Decision dated January 18, 1977; (2) the applicants' Preliminary Safety Analysis Report and amendments thereto; (3) the applicants' Environmental Report, and amendments thereto; (4) the staff's Final Environmental Statement dated October 1975; and (5) the Commissions' letters of authorization, dated January 24, 1977, and April 18, 1977, and (6) the applicants' letters of March 19, 1976 and March 25, 1977 are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and the Coffey County Courthouse, Burlington, Kansas.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 18 day of April 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

WM. H. REGAN, Jr.,
Chief, Environmental Project Branch 2, Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis.

[FR Doc.77-11735 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-263]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Provisional Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 26 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-22, issued to Northern States Power Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant (the facility) located in Wright County, Minnesota. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment modified the existing Monticello Technical Specifications to add a note defining the acceptable method of testing safety-relief valves in order that a positive indication of valve operation is obtained during required surveillance testing.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated March 8, 1977, (2) Amendment No. 26 to License No. DPR-22, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at The Environmental Conservation Library, Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401. A single copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 1st day of April, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DON K. DAVIS,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-11658 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. P-564-A]

PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC CO. (STANISLAUS NUCLEAR PROJECT, UNIT 1)

Antitrust Hearing

Before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

On May 5, 1976, the U.S. Attorney General gave his advise to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) regarding the antitrust aspects of the application by Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the Applicant) to construct the Stanislaus Nuclear Project, Unit 1. The Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 17, 1976, a "Notice of Receipt of Attorney General's Advice and Time for Filing of Petitions to Intervene on Antitrust Matters" (41 FR 20225). This notice provided that petitions to intervene and requests for hearing be filed by June 16, 1976.

On June 15, 1976, this Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (the Board) was constituted for the purpose of ruling on petitions to intervene in this proceeding. The Board consists of Mrs. Elizabeth S. Bowers and Mr. Edward Luton as members, and Mr. Daniel M. Head as chairman.

Because of various motions indicating that settlement negotiations were being conducted between the Applicant and certain potential Intervenors, the time to file petitions to intervene was extended by the Board until October 15, 1976. On that date, petitions to intervene and requests for hearing were received from the Northern California Power Agency (NCPA), the State of California Department of Water Resources (DWR), and the Cities of Anaheim and Riverside, California (Cities). Appropriate responses thereto were filed by the Applicant and the Commission Regulatory Staff (the Staff).

By Memorandum and Order dated April 15, 1977, the Board granted the petitions to intervene by NCPA, DWR and the Cities, and ordered that a hearing be held on the antitrust aspects of the Stanislaus application. This Notice of Antitrust Hearing is to implement that Memorandum and Order.

Accordingly, notice is hereby given that a hearing will be held, pursuant to Section 105 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2011, et seq., to determine whether the activities under the proposed Stanislaus license will create or maintain a situation inconsistent with the antitrust laws.

This hearing will be held by an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board appointed to conduct the proceeding. The members of that Licensing Board are the same as the members of this Petitions Board, with Mrs. Bowers and Mr. Luton serving as members, and Mr. Head designated as chairman. The Licensing Board will set the date and place of the hearing ordered by this Notice.

Pleadings, documents and other papers required to be filed may be submitted by mail or telegram to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attention: Supervisor, Docketing and Service Section, 1717 H Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555. Pending further order of the Licensing Board, the parties are required to file, pursuant to the provisions of § 2.708 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, an original and twenty (20) conformed copies of each such pleading, document or paper with the Commission.

The record of this antitrust proceeding to date is available for public inspection in the Public Document Room of the Commission at 1717 H Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555. Further documents relating to this proceeding will also be placed in the Public Document Room and will be available for inspection by the public.

Any person who wishes to make an oral or written statement setting forth his position on the antitrust aspects of this proceeding but who has not filed a petition for leave to intervene, may request permission to make a limited appearance pursuant to the provisions of § 2.715(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, 10 CFR Part 2. Limited appearances will be received at the time of the evidentiary hearing, and will be controlled by such limits and conditions as may be fixed by the Board. Persons desiring to make limited appearances are requested to inform the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

It is so ordered.

Issued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 15th day of April, 1977.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

DANIEL M. HEAD,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.77-11652 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

SHIPPERS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meetings

The April 26, 1977, meeting of the Shippers Advisory Committee, announced in the April 4, 1977, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 17935), is canceled. At its meeting of April 19, 1977, the committee recommended amendment of the current regulations which it considers appropriate in the current supply situation, and requested that the meeting scheduled for April 26 be canceled.

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of meetings of the Shippers Advisory Committee established under Marketing Order No. 905 (7 CFR Part 905). This order regulates the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida and is effective pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The committee will meet in the A. B. Michael Auditorium of the Florida Citrus Mutual Building, 302 South Massachusetts Avenue, Lakeland, Florida, at 10:30 a.m., on May 17 and 24, 1977.

The meetings will be open to the public and a brief period will be set aside for public comments and questions. The agenda of the committee includes analysis of current information concerning market supply and demand factors, and consideration of recommendations for regulation of shipments of the named fruits.

The names of committee members, agenda, summary of the meetings and other information pertaining to the meetings may be obtained from Frank D. Trovillion, Manager, Growers Administrative Committee, P.O. Box R, Lakeland, Florida 33802; telephone 813-682-3103.

Dated: April 21, 1977.

WILLIAM T. MANLEY,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.77-11998 Filed 4-22-77;9:10 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 30616]

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., ET AL.

"Super-Saver" Discount Fares; Reassignment of Proceeding

This proceeding has been reassigned from Administrative Law Judge Burton S. Kolko to Administrative Law Judge Marvin H. Morse. Future communications should be addressed to Judge Morse.

Dated at Washington, D.C., April 19, 1977.

HENRY M. SWITKAY,
Acting Chief
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-11824 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

CHARTER TRIPS BETWEEN THE UNITED KINGDOM AND THE UNITED STATES

Order Granting Waivers

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 19th day of April 1977.

By an exchange of diplomatic notes concluded on April 7, 1977, the United States and the United Kingdom renewed an Understanding governing, inter alia, the charterworthiness of passenger charter trips operated by the carriers of both countries between their respective territories. The Understanding is extended from April 1, 1977, to March 31, 1978. In principal effect, the Understanding provides that:

1. Except as otherwise provided in the Understanding, the air transport authorities of each country will accept as charterworthy air charter traffic which originates in the territory of the other and which is organized and operated pursuant to the rules of the other air transport authority, or according to waivers of such rules granted for exceptional reasons;

2. The Parties will accept modifications or additions to charterworthiness rules of the other Party, subject to objection and a right, following consultations, not to accept charters pursuant to such changes after a 30-day period;

3. The Parties may object to a charter rate within 30 days after receipt of notice of the rate, with a right, following consultation, to take appropriate action to prevent the use of such charter rate;

4. There be limitations imposed on the administrative burden of filing requirements and on enforcement procedures;

5. The air transport authorities of the country where a passenger charter is originated have the primary responsibility for enforcement of charterworthiness rules;

6. Passenger charterworthiness rules will be applied and enforced in a nondiscriminatory manner;

7. Rights are granted to operate charters where points in the territories of both Parties are served on any flight leg, provided a stop of at least two nights is made in the homeland for charters originating in the territory of the other Party; and

8. Withholding of approval for such flights is permitted only after consultations, and only with regard to flights commencing more than 120 days after submission of a notice of objections with a request for consultations.

Amendments to the Understanding provide that:

1. The United Kingdom reserves the right to decline to accept substitution on ABC flights from the general public in excess of seven percent of the contracted seats of each advance booking charter group and to require that no such substitution take place within seven days before the planned departure of the out bound flight.

2. During the period April 1, 1977 to March 31, 1978, the number of seats flown by any individual air carrier on U.S. originating ABC trips with durations of between 7 and 13 days shall be no more than one-half the number of seats flown by such carrier on trips with durations of 14 days or more.

3. Information on the substitution of U.S. origination ABC passengers is to be submitted to U.K. authorities.

4. The operation of the substitution provisions for U.S.-originating ABC's will be reviewed in consultations to be held not later than December 1977.

The renewed Understanding represents the recognition by both the United Kingdom and the United States that if passenger charter operations between their territories are to be facilitated there must be an accommodation as to the differing rules governing charter operations in effect under the laws and regulations of the two countries. Both the U.K. charter rules and the U.S. charter rules are designed, inter alia, to insure that the necessary distinction between group charter travel and individually ticketed service is maintained and that charters do not in reality become simply a guise for the performance of individually ticketed service. Although the U.K. rules differ in various respects from the requirements of U.S. rules, the Board is satisfied that the U.K. charter regulations are sufficient to maintain that distinction between charter and individually ticketed services contemplated under the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act).

Under current Board charter regulations, U.S. carriers are required to confom to the U.S. charter rules for U.K.-originating as well as U.S.-originating charters, in the absence of the grant of a waiver or other exception in the regulations. The same is true with respect to U.K. scheduled carriers. U.K. charter carriers have authority in their permits which provides for the application of U.K. rules for U.K.-originating inclusive tour charters, but not for other types of charters. Each of the Board's charter regulations provides, nevertheless, for waiver of the requirements contained therein upon a finding that such waiver is in the public interest and that there are special or unusual circumstances warranting the grant of such a waiver.[1] The grant of appropriate waivers will, in accordance with section 1102 of the Act, extend the implementation of the obligations assumed by the United States in the April 1, 1976, Understanding as renewed on April 7, 1977.[2]

In view of the foregoing, and in consideration of the renewed Understanding effectuated by the exchange of diplomatic notes concluded April 7, 1977, the Board's responsibilities under section 1102 of the Act, and the effect of the Understanding in providing assurance that the U.S.-originating public will have the opportunity to travel to the United Kingdom under charter rules found by the Board to be in the public interest, the Board finds that the provisions of the renewed Understanding represents a special circumstance which warrants an extension of waivers of the Board's various charter regulations to the extent necessary to permit U.S. certificated carriers and U.K. foreign air carriers to operate charters originating in the United Kingdom pusuant to the U.K. charter rules, and that the grant of such waivers would be in the public interest. Similarly, the Board finds that it is in the public interest to exempt U.S. indirect air carriers, pursuant to section 103(3) of the Act, from the provisions of Title IV of the Act insofar as is necessary to permit any such air carrier to organize U.K.-originating charters operated under U.K. rules pursuant to the provisions of the renewed Understanding.[3]

In light of the renewed Understanding providing for acceptance as charterworthy those U.K.-originating charters operated pursuant to U.K. charter regulations, no useful purpose would be served by requiring waiver applications with respect to individual charter flights or series of flights. Accordingly, the Board finds that it is in the public interest to extend the blanket waiver from the charter regulations for all U.S. certificated carriers, and for U.K. carriers holding foreign air carrier pemits issued by the Board, extending for the duration of the renewed Understanding (or the Understanding as it may further be extended). The exemptions for in-

---

[1] See §§ 207.16, 208.3a, 213.13, 214.3, 372.3, 372a.3, 373.30, 378.30, and 378a.3 of the Board's Economic and Special Regulations.

[2] The Board previously granted identical waivers pursuant to Order 76-7-93, July 23, 1976, but such waivers expired by the terms of that Order upon the expiration of the previously effective Charter Memorandum of Understanding on December 31, 1976.

[3] The Board has declined to exercise jurisdiction over foreign indirect air carriers organizing foreign-originating charters. Accordingly, no additional authority is needed to permit U.K. indirect air carriers to organize U.K.-originating charters according to U.K. rules.

direct air carriers will extend for the same duration.[4]

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, That:

1. To the extent respectively applicable, waivers of the provisions of §§ 207.-11, 208.6, 212.8, and 214.7 of the Board's Economic Regulations as granted in Order 76-7-93 (except with respect to the provsiions of such sections governing charters to direct air carriers and direct foreign air carriers for commercial traffic), and of such other provisions of the Board's charter regulations as would otherwise be inconsistent with the waivers granted herein, be and they hereby are renewed for all U.S. air carriers authorized to provide charter service (including off-route charter service) between the United Kingdom and the United States,[5] and all foreign air carriers of U.K. nationality holding foreign air carrier permits authorizing charter service (including off-route charter service) between the United Kingdom and the United States, insofar as is necessary to permit such air carriers and foreign air carriers to operate charters originating in the United Kingdom and destined for the United States in accordance with rules governing the charterworthiness of such charters as applied by the U.K. aviation authorities: *Provided, however*, That such waivers shall apply only to the extent contemplated by the renewed Understanding incorporated in the exchange of diplomatic notes between the United States and the United Kingdom, concluded April 7, 1977 (or such Understanding as it may further be amended, modified, or extended); *And provided further*, That the waivers granted herein shall not relieve such carriers from the requirements contained in Parts 207, 208, 212, and 214 of the Board's Economic Regulations, other than those relating to the charterworthiness of charters performed pursuant to those regulations;

2. All U.S. indirect air carriers of passengers be and they hereby are relieved, pursuant to section 101(3) of the Act, from the provisions of Title IV of the Act, insofar as is necessary to permit any such indirect air carrier to organize U.K.-originating passenger charters pursuant to the rules governing the charterworthiness of such charters as applied by the U.K. aviation authorities in accordance with the provisions of the renewed Understanding incorporated in the exchange of diplomatic notes between the United States and the United Kingdom concluded April 7, 1977;

3. This order may be modified, amended, or revoked by the Board without notice or hearing;

4. The waivers, exemptions, and authorization granted herein shall terminate upon the expiration of the Understanding on Passenger Charter Air Services incorporated in an exchange of diplomatic notes between the United States and the United Kingdom concluded April 7, 1977, or such Understanding as it may be amended, modified, or extended; and

5. This order shall be served upon all U.S. air carriers holding a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by the Board, all U.K. holders of, and applicants for, a foreign air carrier permit, the Departments of State and Transportation, and the Ambassador of the United Kingdom.

This order shall be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11828 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[4] As noted, similar waivers were granted by the Board in Order 76-7-93, with respect to the United Kingdom and also had previously been granted with respect to Canadian-originating charters (order 74-5-37, dated May 8, 1974) and Swiss-originating charters (order 76-1-2, dated January 2, 1976), pursuant to a charter Agreement and Understanding with those countries.

[5] Pursuant to sec. 401(e)(6) of the Act, and in the absence of any Board regulations precluding such operations, U.S. carriers holding certificates of public convenience and necessity issued by the Board pursuant to sec. 401(d)(1) of the Act are authorized to provide off-route charter service between the United Kingdom and the United States in accordance with Board regulations.

[Docket 29968]

LOUISVILLE SERVICE CASE

Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on June 7, 1977 at 10 a.m. (local time) in Room 208 at the Commonwealth Convention Center, 221 River City Mall, Louisville, Kentucky 40202. At the conclusion of the hearing in Louisville, the hearing will be recessed until June 15, 1977 at 10 a.m. (local time) in Room 1003, Hearing Room A, Universal Building North, 1875 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The civic parties will be heard in alphabetical order in Louisville. The remainder of the parties will be heard in Washington, D.C.

For details of the issues involved in this proceeding, interested persons are referred to the Prehearing Conference Report, served January 17, 1977, and other documents which are in the docket of this proceeding on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., April 19, 1977.

WILLIAM H. DAPPER,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-11825 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket 30605]

SKYCRAFT AIR TRANSPORT, INC.

Statement of Tentative Findings and Conclusions and Order To Show Cause

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 20th day of April, 1977.

Application of Skycraft Air Transport Inc. for a foreign air carrier permit pursuant to section 402 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958.

By application filed March 11, 1977,[1] Skycraft Air Transport Inc. (Skycraft) requests a foreign air carrier permit to engage in charter foreign air transportation with respect to persons and their accompanying baggage, and planeload charter foreign air transportation with respect to property, between any point or points in Canada and any point or points in the United States, utilizing "small aircraft"[2] pursuant to the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement executed on May 8, 1974, by the Governments of the United States and Canada.

FITNESS OF APPLICANT FOR A FOREIGN AIR CARRIER PERMIT

Skycraft was incorporated under the Business Corporations Act of the Province of Ontario on March 9, 1972.[3] The Air Transport Committee of the Canadian Transport Commission has issued Skycraft license No. A.T.C. 559/76 (CF), dated November 12, 1976, a class 9-4 license which authorizes the holder to operate international charter commercial air services from a base at Oshawa, Ontario. The licensee is restricted in its operations to the use of Groups A, B, C, and D aircraft.[4] The Canadian Department of Transport, Civil Aviation Branch, has issued Skycraft Operating Certificate No. 3760 which certifies that the carrier is adequately equipped and able to conduct a safe operation.

Skycraft was issued its Operating Certificate by the Canadian Department of Transport on January 25, 1977 and has therefore not had sufficient revenue experience to produce a profit and loss statement. The company's balance sheet as of January 31, 1977 shows assets of $915,500, all of which is accounted for by aircraft at cost, except for $22,000. The company has issued 200,000 second

[1] A copy of the application has been transmitted to the President of the United States in accordance with the requirements of section 801 of the Act.

[2] "Small aircraft" are defined by the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement as aircraft which are not "large aircraft." "Large aircraft" are defined as aircraft having both (a) a maximum passenger capacity of more than 30 seats or a maximum payload capacity of more than 7,500 pounds, and (b) a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels greater than 35,000 pounds.

[3] Skycraft was originally incorporated under the name Cedam Limited. Cedam Limited did not engage in air transportation activities. On October 6, 1976 the company's Articles of Incorporation were amended to change the company's name to Skycraft and to extend the objects of the Corporation to include the establishment of air transportation services.

[4] Under Canadian Air Transport Committee regulations, aircraft are grouped according to the maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels as follows: Group A—not greater than 4,300 pounds, Group B—over 4,300 pounds, but not greater than 7,000 pounds, Group C—over 7,000 pounds, but not greater than 18,000 pounds, and Group D—over 18,000 pounds, but not greater than 35,000 pounds.

preference shares and 3 common shares for a total of $200,003 of share capital. A large portion of the company's debt, $694,787 of a total $794,787 is held by a company shareholder.

In its application, the carrier lists the following aircraft available for charters to the United States:

Type of aircraft	Number owned or leased	Passenger seating capacity	Maximum authorized takeoff weight
			Pounds
Douglas DC-3/C47	2	28	26,900
Beechcraft G18S	2	9	9,700
DeHavilland DHC-6	1	19	11,579
DeHavilland DHC-2	2	6	5,100
Cessna 310N	1	4	5,200
Cessna 172M	2	3	2,300
Cessna 150	4	1	1,600

The applicant has had no safety or tariff violations or any accidents involving deaths or injuries.

"PUBLIC INTEREST" IN AWARD OF THE AUTHORITY SOUGHT

The applicant relies upon the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement signed by the Governments of Canada and the United States on May 8, 1974, as the basis for the grant of the requested authority. By diplomatic note No. 108, dated March 8, 1977, the Government of Canada designated the applicant under the Agreement to perform charter services with small aircraft.[5] The aircraft the applicant plans to use in its operations between the United States and Canada are within the scope of the designation.

OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL OF THE APPLICANT

The officers of the corporation are Mr. Charles Robson, President and Director; Ms. Ursula M. Robson, First Vice President, Secretary, and Director; Mr. Reginald Parsons, Second Vice President and Director; and Ms. Nancy Meagher, Treasurer. All of the officers are Canadian citizens. The company's issued stock of 200,000 second preference shares and three common shares is held by Cluaran Associates Ltd., a company owned by Canadian citizens and incorporated under the laws of the Province of Ontario. The debt of the applicant is held by the Bank of Montreal, Oshawa, Ontario, ($100,000) and by Cluaran Associates Ltd. ($694,787).

The applicant states that no officer, director, or stockholder of Skycraft holds any stock or interest in any U.S. carrier, any Canadian or other foreign air carrier, any person engaged in a phase of aeronautics, any common carrier, or in any person whose principal business is the holding of stock in, or control of, any such entities.

In view of the foregoing and all the facts of record, the Board tentatively finds and concludes:

1. That Skycraft Air Transport Inc. is substantially owned and effectively controlled by nationals of Canada;

2. That it is in the public interest to issue a foreign air carrier permit for small aircraft operations to Skycraft Air Transport Inc. authorizing it to engage in charter foreign air transportation with small aircraft with respect to persons and their accompanied baggage and planeload charters of property between any point or points in Canada and any point or points in the United States;

3. That the public interest requires that the exercise of the privileges granted by said permit shall be subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations contained in the specimen form of permit attached to this order, and to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board;

4. That Skycraft Air Transport Inc. is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the above-described foreign air transportation and to conform to the provisions of the Act and the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Board thereunder;

5. That except to the extent granted herein, the application of Skycraft Air Transport Inc. in Docket 30605 should be denied; and

6. That an evidentiary hearing is not required in the public interest.

Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That:

1. All interested persons be and they hereby are directed to show cause why the Board should not make final the tentative findings and conclusions stated herein, and why a foreign air carrier permit in the form of the specimen permit attached to this order should not, subject to the approval of the President pursuant to section 801 of the Act, be issued to Skycraft Air Transport Inc.;

2. Any interested person having objection to the issuance, without hearing of an order making final the tentative findings and conclusions stated herein shall file a statement of objections supported by evidence within 21 days after the adoption of this order. If an evidentiary hearing is requested, the objection should state in detail why such hearing is considered necessary and what relevant and material facts would be expected to be established through such hearing which cannot be established in written pleadings;

3. If timely and properly supported objections are filed, further consideration will be accorded the matters and issues raised by the objections before further action is taken by the Board;[6]

4. In the event no objections are filed, all further procedure steps will be deemed to have been waived, and the Board may proceed to enter an order in accordance with the tentative findings and conclusions set forth herein; and

5. Copies of this order shall be served upon Skycraft Air Transport Inc. and the Ambassador of Canada in Washington, D.C.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and will be transmitted to the President.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Secretary.

SPECIMEN PERMIT

PERMIT TO FOREIGN AIR CARRIER FOR SMALL AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS

Skycraft Air Transport Inc. is hereby authorized, subject to the provisions hereinafter set forth, the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 and the orders, rules, and regulations issued thereunder, to engage in charter foreign air transportation as follows:

Charter flights with respect to persons and their accompanied baggage, and planeload charter flights with respect to property, between any point or points in Canada and any point or points in the United States.

The holder shall be authorized to perform those types of charters originating in Canada as are now, or may hereafter be, prescribed for carriage by small aircraft in Annex B (III) (B) of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974, including any amendments, supplements, reservations, or supersessions to that Agreement: *Provided*, That any such charters may be performed only to the extent authorized by the Air Carrier Regulations of the Canadian Transport Commission applicable to operations by small aircraft, and the authority of the holder to perform such charters shall be subject to those Regulations.[1] The authority of the holder to perform United States-originating charters shall, in accordance with Annex B(III)(A) of such Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement, be limited to commercial air transportation of passengers and their accompanied baggage, and property, on a time, mileage or trip basis, where the entire planeload capacity of one or more aircraft has been engaged by a person for his own use or by a person for the transportation of a group of persons and/or their property, as agent or representative of such groups, or such small aircraft operations as may be authorized pursuant to any amendment, supplement, reservation or supersession to that Agreement.

This permit shall be subject to the following terms, conditions, and limitations:

(1) In the performance of the charter operations authorized by this permit, the holder shall not use "large aircraft" as defined in Annex A(I)(A) of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974, including amendments, supplements, reservations, or supersessions to that Agreement.

(2) The holder shall not engage in foreign air transportation between the United States and any point or points, other than a point or points in Canada, or transport any property or persons whose journey, includes a prior, subsequent, or intervening movement by air (except for the movement of passengers independently of any group) to or from a

[5] See Docket 26473.

[6] Since provision is made for the filing of objections to this order, petitions for reconsideration will not be entertained.

[1] Annex B(III)(B) presently authorizes Canadian-originating small aircraft charters of the types prescribed in section (II)(B); but only to the extent applicable to small aircraft pursuant to Canadian Transport Commission Regulations. The applicable types of charters presently authorized are: Single Entity Passenger, Single Entity Property, Pro Rata Common Purpose, and Inclusive Tour. (In some instances split passenger charters are authorized.)

point not in the United States or Canada: *Provided*, That the Board may, upon application by the holder, or by regulation, authorize the performance of charters where such movements are involved.

(3) The holder shall not perform United States-originating charter flights which at the end of any calendar quarter would result in the aggregate number of all United States-originating charter flights performed by the holder on or after May 8, 1974 exceeding by more than one-third the aggregate number of all Canadian-originating charter flights performed by the holder on or after May 8, 1974: *Provided*, That the Board may authorize the performance of charters not meeting the requirements set forth. For the purpose of making such computation the following shall apply:

(a) A charter shall be considered to originate in the United States (or Canada) if the passengers or property are first taken on board in that country, and shall be considered as one flight whether the charter be one-way, round-trip, circle tour, or open jaw, even if a separate contract is entered into for a return portion of the charter trip from Canada (or the United States).

(b) The computation shall be made separately for (i) "small aircraft" flights of persons; and (ii) "small aircraft" flights of property.

(c) In the case of a lease of aircraft with crew for the performance of a charter flight on behalf and under the authority of another carrier, the flight shall be included in the computation if the holder is the lessee, and shall not be included if the holder is the lessor.

(d) There shall be excluded from the computation:

(i) Flights utilizing aircraft having a maximum authorized takeoff weight on wheels (as determined by Canadian Transport Commission Regulations) not greater than 18,000 pounds; and

(ii) Flights originating at a United States terminal point of a route authorized pursuant to the Air Transport Services Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed January 17, 1966, as amended, or any agreement which may supersede it, or any supplementary agreement thereto which establishes obligations or privilages thereunder (if, pursuant to any such agreement, the holder also holds a foreign air carrier permit authorizing individually ticketed or individually waybilled service over such route, and provides some scheduled service on any route pursuant to any such agreement), when such flights serve either (a) a Canadian terminal point on such route, or (b) any Canadian intermediate point authorized for service on such route by such foreign air carrier permit.

(4) The holder may grant stopover privileges at any point or points in the United States only to passengers and their accompanied baggage moving on a Canadian-originating flight operating under a contract for round-trip charter transportation to be provided solely by the holder and as to which the same aircraft stays with the passengers throughout the journey: *Provided*, That the Board may authorize the performance of charters not meeting the requirements set forth.

(5) The Board, by order or regulation and without hearing, may require advance approval of individual charter trips conducted by the holder pursuant to the authority granted by this permit, if it finds such action to be required in the public interest.

(6) The holder shall conform to the airworthiness and airman competency requirements prescribed by the Government of Canada for Canadian international air service.

(7) This permit shall be subject to all applicable provisions of any treaty, convention, or agreement affecting international air transportation now in effect, or that may become effective during the period this permit remains in effect, to which the United States and Canada shall be parties.

(8) This permit shall be subject to the condition that the holder shall keep on deposit with the Board a signed counterpart of CAB Agreement 18900, an agreement relating to liability limitations of the Warsaw Convention and the Hague Protocol approved by Board Order E-23680, May 13, 1966, and a signed counterpart of any amendment or amendments to such agreement which may be approved by the Board and to which the holder becomes a party.

(9) The holder (1) shall not provide foreign air transportation under this permit unless there is in effect third-party liability insurance in the amount of $1,000,000 or more to meet potential liability claims which may arise in connection with its operations under this permit, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the third-party liability insurance provided, and (2) shall not provide foreign air transportation with respect to persons unless there is in effect liability insurance sufficient to cover the obligations assumed in CAB Agreement 18900, and unless there is on file with the Docket Section of the Board a statement showing the name and address of the insurance carrier and the amounts and liability limits of the passenger liability insurance provided. Upon request, the Board may authorize the holder to supply the name and address of an insurance syndicate in lieu of the names and addresses of the member insurers.

(10) By accepting this permit, the holder waives any right it may possess to assert any defense of sovereign immunity from suit in any action or proceeding instituted against the holder in any court or other tribunal in the United States (or its territories or possessions) based upon any claim arising out of operations by the holder under this permit.

The exercise of the privileges granted by this permit shall be subject to such other reasonable terms, conditions, and limitations required by the public interest as may from time to time be prescribed by the Board.

This permit shall become effective on ---- ----. Unless otherwise terminated at an earlier date pursuant to the terms of any applicable treaty, convention, or agreement, this permit shall terminate (1) upon the effective date of any treaty, convention, or agreement, or amendment thereto, which shall have the effect of eliminating the charter foreign air transportation hereby authorized from the transportation which may be operated by carriers designated by the Government of Canada (or in the event of the elimination of part of the charter foreign air transportation hereby authorized, the authority granted herein shall be terminated to the extent of such elimination), or (2) upon the effective date of any permit granted by the Board to any other carrier designated by the Government of Canada in lieu of the holder hereof, or (3) upon the termination or expiration of the Nonscheduled Air Service Agreement between the United States and Canada, signed May 8, 1974: *Provided, however*, That clause (3) of this paragraph shall not apply if, prior to the occurrence of the event specified in clause (3), the operation of the foreign air transportation herein authorized becomes the subject of any treaty, convention, or agreement to which the United States and Canada are or shall become parties.

In witness whereof, the Civil Aeronautics Board has caused this permit to be executed by the Secretary of the Board, and the seal of the Board to be affixed hereto, on the ---------.

Secretary.

[SEAL]

Issuance of this permit to the holder approved by the President of the United States on -------- in ---------.

[FR Doc.77-11826 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

SPIRIT OF 76 OVERLAND EXPRESS, INC.

Long-Haul Motor Carrier Application for Air Freight Forwarder Authority

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to § 296.84 of the Board's Economic Regulations (14 CFR 296.84), that an application for air freight forwarder operating authority has been filed by:

Spirit of 76 Overland Express, Inc., 6069 Maywood Ave., Huntington Park, Calif. 90255.

The above-named applicant is a long-haul motor carrier as defined by § 296.1 of the Board's Regulations.

Objections to this application may be filed with the Civil Aeronautics Board, Supplementary Services Division, pursuant to § 296.85 of the Board's Regulations, on or before May 25, 1977.

Dated at Washington, D.C., April 18, 1977.

JOHN V. COLEMAN,
Chief, Supplementary Services Division, Bureau of Operating Rights.

[FR Doc.77-11823 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

VOTING AGE POPULATION FOR 1976

Estimates

In accordance with the requirements of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 (Pub. L. 92-225) as amended (Pub. L. 93-443), notice is hereby given that the estimates of the voting age population (18 years of age and over) for July 1, 1976 for each State, congressional district, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the territories of Guam and the Virgin Islands are as shown in the following table. These estimates have been certified to the Federal Election Commission.

JUANITA M. KREPS,
Secretary.

Estimates of the Population of Voting Age for States, Congressional Districts, and Selected Outlying Areas: July 1, 1976

[In thousands]

State and congressional district	Population 18 and over
United States	149,469
Alabama	2,501
1	347
2	360
3	363
4	391
5	342
6	352
7	346
Alaska	240
Arizona	1,530
1	359
2	386
3	402
4	383
Arkansas	1,458
1	343
2	372
3	406
4	337
California	15,227
1	389
2	395
3	332
4	344
5	368
6	338
7	332
8	344
9	358
10	339
11	361
12	343
13	349
14	372
15	353
16	405
17	356
18	342
19	356
20	367
21	314
22	341
23	383
24	398
25	303
26	333
27	358
28	336
29	291
30	302
31	287
32	336
33	330
34	329
35	336
36	324
37	372
38	323
39	368
40	491
41	370
42	344
43	486
Colorado	1,786
1	313
2	379
3	342
4	378
5	374
Connecticut	2,215
1	365
2	385
3	371
4	350
5	375
6	369
Delaware	403
District of Columbia	510
Florida	6,131
1	345
2	366
3	314
4	429
5	497
6	445
7	378
8	417
9	342
10	507
11	517
12	414
13	381
14	396
15	383
Georgia	3,363
1	311
2	319
3	309
4	342
5	297
6	378
7	364
8	322
9	384
10	337
Hawaii	603
1	289
2	314
Idaho	553
1	287
2	266
Illinois	7,787
1	271
2	299
3	331
4	345
5	292
6	330
7	258
8	279
9	381
10	324
11	316
12	357
13	335
14	344
15	327
16	313
17	347
18	332
19	328
20	336
21	342
22	342
23	306
24	355
Indiana	3,632
1	299
2	354
3	325
4	330
5	336
6	328
7	347
8	331
9	340
10	330
11	310
Iowa	1,997
1	337
2	321
3	329
4	341
5	344
6	324
Kansas	1,638
1	325
2	338
3	327
4	314
5	334
Kentucky	2,360
1	356
2	334
3	307
4	318
5	351
6	353
7	341
Louisiana	2,531
1	317
2	323
3	319
4	306
5	316
6	334
7	317
8	299
Maine	739
1	376
2	362
Maryland	2,884
1	382
2	359
3	343
4	382
5	332
6	405
7	305
8	376

State and congressional district	Population 18 and over
Massachusetts	4,135
1	352
2	337
3	334
4	338
5	332
6	329
7	330
8	374
9	333
10	350
11	346
12	381
Michigan	6,192
1	296
2	340
3	332
4	336
5	324
6	346
7	307
8	323
9	329
10	359
11	355
12	332
13	264
14	327
15	319
16	314
17	324
18	324
19	339
Minnesota	2,723
1	350
2	356
3	315
4	317
5	327
6	354
7	352
8	352
Mississippi	1,540
1	306
2	287
3	312
4	306
5	329
Missouri	3,368
1	259
2	321
3	307
4	360
5	304
6	355
7	389
8	369
9	353
10	351
Montana	512
1	265
2	247
Nebraska	1,080
1	367
2	359
3	354
Nevada	419
New Hampshire	569
1	286
2	283
New Jersey	5,175
1	349
2	399
3	355
4	338
5	331
6	369
7	331
8	338
9	347
10	298
11	357
12	330
13	361
14	335
15	336
New Mexico	760
1	391
2	369
New York	12,881
1	377
2	311
3	305
4	303
5	329
6	340
7	342
8	372
9	357
10	347
11	332
12	243
13	358
14	283
15	328
16	330
17	359
18	396
19	298
20	363
21	200
22	377
23	333
24	325
25	351
26	347
27	348
28	334
29	351
30	334
31	327
32	337
33	318
34	320
35	324
36	321
37	291
38	341
39	327
North Carolina	3,790
1	332
2	328
3	338
4	369
5	347
6	333
7	348
8	338
9	337
10	355
11	364
North Dakota	439
Ohio	7,397
1	310
2	311
3	305
4	323
5	330
6	339
7	320
8	339
9	313
10	351
11	333
12	336
13	326
14	309
15	339
16	327
17	327
18	337
19	328
20	296
21	256
22	317
23	327
Oklahoma	1,949
1	312
2	333
3	341
4	335
5	298
6	332
Oregon	1,648
1	433
2	420
3	369
4	427
Pennsylvania	8,476
1	326
2	320
3	308
4	317
5	358
6	359
7	321
8	349
9	344
10	365
11	361
12	344
13	328
14	312
15	360
16	353
17	352
18	323
19	354
20	312
21	335
22	348
23	351
24	339
25	338
Rhode Island	661
1	320
2	341
South Carolina	1,917
1	322
2	350
3	324
4	323
5	310
6	287

State and congressional district	Population 18 and over
South Dakota	469
1	238
2	231
Tennessee	2,941
1	379
2	386
3	361
4	392
5	350
6	380
7	369
8	325
Texas	8,472
1	359
2	396
3	385
4	373
5	324
6	385
7	447
8	323
9	335
10	411
11	406
12	300
13	336
14	316
15	350
16	347
17	340
18	304
19	327
20	303
21	396
22	356
23	337
24	315
Utah	773
1	389
2	384
Vermont	328
Virginia	3,516
1	343
2	341
3	346
4	331
5	349
6	347
7	389
8	345
9	358
10	356
Washington	2,533
1	354
2	352
3	397
4	370
5	377
6	358
7	325
West Virginia	1,277
1	315
2	338
3	311
4	314
Wisconsin	3,176
1	344
2	362
3	372
4	338
5	328
6	352
7	363
8	354
9	365
Wyoming	263
Outlying Areas	
Puerto Rico	1,794
Guam	52
Virgin Islands	60

[FR Doc.77-11760 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Domestic and International Business Administration

FOREIGN AVAILABILITY SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE COMPUTER SYSTEMS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Open Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. I (Supp. V, 1975), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Foreign Availability Subcommittee of the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee will be held on Tuesday, May 10, 1977, at 9:30 a.m. in Room 1851, Main Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee was initially established on January 3, 1973. On December 20, 1974 and January 13, 1977, the Assistant Secretary for Administration approved the recharter and extension of the Committee, pursuant to section 5(c)(1) of the Export Administration Act of 1969, as amended, 50 U.S.C. App. sec. 2404(c)(1) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act. The Foreign Availability Subcommittee of the Computer Systems Technical Advisory Committee was established on July 8, 1975, with the approval of the Director, Office of Export Administration, pursuant to the charter of the Committee.

The Committee advises the Office of Export Administration, Bureau of East-West Trade, with respect to questions involving technical matters, worldwide availability and actual utilization of production and technology, and licensing procedures which may affect the level of export controls applicable to computer systems, including technical data related thereto, and including those whose export is subject to multilateral (COCOM) controls. The Foreign Availability Subcommittee was formed to ascertain if certain kinds of equipment are available in non-COCOM and Communist bloc countries, and if such equipment is available, then to ascertain if it is technically the same or similar to that available elsewhere.

The Subcommittee meeting agenda has three parts:

GENERAL SESSION

(1) Opening remarks by the Subcommittee Chairman.

(2) Prepresentation of papers or comments by the public.

(3) Discussion of future role of the Subcommittee.

The meeting will be open for public observation and a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent time permits members of the public may present oral statements to the subcommittee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting.

Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be available upon written request addressed to the Freedom of Information Officer, Room 3012, Domestic and International Business Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

For further information, contact Mr. Charles C. Swanson, Director, Operations Division, Office of Export Administration, Domestic and International Business Administration, Room 1617M, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: A/C 202-377-4196.

Dated: April 20, 1977.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director, Office of Export Administration Bureau of East-West Trade, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc.77-11798 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

NUMERICALLY CONTROLLED MACHINE TOOL TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Partially Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. I (Supp. V, 1975), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Numerically Controlled Machine Tool Technical Advisory Committee will be held on Wednesday, May 18, 1977, at 8:30 a.m. in Room 5230, Main Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The Numerically Controlled Machine Tool Technical Advisory Committee was initially established on January 3, 1973. On December 20, 1974 and January 13, 1977, the Assistant Secretary for Administration approved the recharter and extension of the Committee, pursuant to section 5(c)(1) of the Export Administration Act of 1969, as amended, 50 U.S.C. App. sec. 2404(c)(1) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

The Committee advises the Office of Export Administration, Bureau of East-West Trade, with respect to questions involving technical matters, worldwide availability and actual utilization of production and technology, and licensing

procedures which may affect the level of export controls applicable to numerically controlled machine tools, including technical data related thereto, and including those whose export is subject to multilateral (COCOM) controls.

The Committee meeting agenda has six parts:

GENERAL SESSION

(1) Opening remarks by the Chairman.

(2) Presentation of papers or comments by the public.

(3) Discussion of work program of the Committee.

(4) Reports of work groups on: (a) Dimensional inspection machines; (b) Accuracy definition; (c) Control unit performance criteria; and (d) Accuracy values.

(5) Use of minimum block processing time as a control unit parameter.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

(6) Discussion of matters properly classified under Executive Order 11652, dealing with the U.S. and COCOM control program and strategic criteria related thereto.

The General Session of the meeting is open to the public, at which a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent time permits members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting.

With respect to agenda item (6), the Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on January 27, 1977, pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended by section 5(c) of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94-409, that the matters to be discussed in the Executive Session should be exempt from the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act relating to open meetings and public participation therein, because the Executive Session will be concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1). Such matters are specifically authorized under criteria established by an Executive Order to be kept secret in the interests of the national defense or foreign policy. All materials to be reviewed and discussed by the Committee during the Executive Session of the meeting have been properly classified under the Executive Order. All Committee members have appropriate security clearances.

Copies of the minutes of the open portion of the meeting will be available upon written request addressed to the Freedom of Information Officer, Domestic and International Business Administration, Room 3012, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

For further information, contact Mr. Charles C. Swanson, Director, Operations Division, Office of Export Administration, Domestic and International Business Administration, Room 1617M, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: A/C 202-377-4196.

The complete Notice of Determination to close portions of the series of meetings of the Numerically Controlled Machine Tool Technical Advisory Committee and of any subcommittees thereof, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 1, 1977 (42 FR 5991).

Dated: April 19, 1977.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director, Office of Export Administration, Bureau of East-West Trade, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc.77-11799 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Economic Development Administration

TRIFINE TROUSERS COMPANY, INC.

Petition for a Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

A petition by Trifine Trousers Company, Inc., 34 West 33d Street, New York, New York 10001, a producer of men's and boys' slacks, was accepted for filing on April 18, 1977, pursuant to section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-618) and § 315.23 of the Adjustment Assistance Regulations for Firms and Communities (13 CFR Part 315). Consequently, the United States Department of Commerce has initiated an investigation to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm contributed importantly to total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of the petitioning firm.

Any party having a substantial interest in the proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A request for a hearing must be received by the Chief, Trade Act Certification Division, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, no later than the close of business of the tenth calendar day following the publication of this notice.

JACK W. OSBURN, Jr.,
Chief, Trade Act Certification Division, Office of Planning and Program Support.

[FR Doc.77-11802 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

MILWAUKEE COUNTY ZOO

Receipt of Application for Public Display Permit

Notice is hereby given that the following Applicant has applied in due form for a Permit to take marine mammals for public display as authorized by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407), and the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR Part 216).

The Milwaukee County Zoo, 10001 West Bluemound Road, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226, to take six (6) California sea lions, (*Zalophus californianus*) for public display.

The requested animals will be captured by a professional collector on or near Santa Cruz or San Miguel Islands off Santa Barbara, California, with a hoop net on land or with a modified gill net in the water.

The animals will be acclimated at the collector's facility then shipped to the Milwaukee facility by commercial aircraft and truck. At the facility the animals will be displayed in an L-shaped pool 165 feet long by 16 feet wide with a varying depth of 3 to 6 feet. In addition there are two haul-out islands, 10 feet by 17 feet and 5 feet by 5 feet, and two indoor holding pools, each 6½ feet by 8½ feet by 1 to 2 feet deep.

The sea lions are desired to provide recreational and educational benefits to the estimated 1,470,000 visitors that visit the facility annually. The facility is a non-profit organization.

The Director has been working for 43 years in zoological park work and has been the Director of the Milwaukee facility for over 30 years. The Director's assistant has over 18 years working experience at the facility.

The arrangements and facilities for transporting and maintaining the marine mammals requested in the above described application have been inspected by a licensed veterinarian, who has certified that such arrangements and facilities are adequate to provide for the well-being of the marine mammals involved.

Documents submitted in connection with the above application are available for review in the following offices:

Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3300 Whitehaven Street NW., Washington, D.C.;

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Region, 300 South Ferry Street, Terminal Island, California 90731; and

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Region, Federal Building, 14 Elm Street, Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930.

Concurrent with the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the Secretary of Commerce is forwarding copies of this application to the Marine Mammal Commission and the Committee of Scientific Advisors.

Written data or views, or requests for a public hearing on this application should be submitted to the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20235, on or before May 25, 1977. Those individuals requesting a hearing should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this particular application would be appropriate. The holding of such hearing is at the discretion of the Director.

All statements and opinions contained in this notice in support of this application are summaries of those of the Applicant and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Dated: April 14, 1977.

ROBERT J. AYERS,
Acting Assistant Director for Fisheries Management, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.77-11796 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

SPARKY'S SCHOOL OF SEALS

Receipt of Application for Public Display Permit

Notice is hereby given that the following Applicant has applied in due form for a Permit to take marine mammals for public display as authorized by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407), and the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR Part 216).

Sparky's School of Seals, Route 4, Box 562, Carthage, Missouri 64836 to take two (2) California sea lions, (*Zalophus californianus*) for public display.

The requested animals will be captured by a professional collector on or near Santa Cruz or San Miguel Islands off Santa Barbara, California, with a hoop net on land or with a modified gill net in the water.

The animals will be acclimated at the collector's facility then shipped to the Carthage facility by commercial aircraft and truck.

The Applicant maintains and displays his animals at Como Park Zoo, St. Paul, Minnesota. At the St. Paul facility the animals will be displayed in a pool 22 feet by 18 feet by 7 feet deep. In addition, there is a haul-out island 60 feet in diameter and two holding pools. The Applicant provides winter holding facilities, if needed, at Carthage, which consist of two pools 10 feet by 12 feet by 4 feet deep with two holding tanks each 8 feet by 4 feet.

Sparky's School of Seals is a profit-making venture that records some 800,000 visitors a year. The show is visited by school children in the St. Paul area.

Mr. Brand has worked with sea lions for 20 years. Veterinary services are provided at the St. Paul facility by the University of Minnesota, School of Veterinary Medicine. Veterinary care is available at the winter quarters in Carthage, Missouri.

The arrangements and facilities for transporting and maintaining the marine mammals requested in the above described application have been inspected by a licensed veterinarian, who has certified that such arrangements and facilities are adequate to provide for the well-being of the marine mammals involved.

Documents submitted in connection with the above application are available for review in the following offices:

Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3300 Whitehaven Street NW., Washington, D.C.;

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Region, 300 South Ferry Street, Terminal Island, California 90731; and

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Region, Federal Building, 14 Elm Street, Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930.

Concurrent with the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the Secretary of Commerce is forwarding copies of this application to the Marine Mammal Commission and the Committee of Scientific Advisors.

Written data or views, or requests for a public hearing on this application should be submitted to the Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20235, on or before May 25, 1977. Those individuals requesting a hearing should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this particular application would be appropriate. The holding of such hearing is at the discretion of the Director.

All statements and opinions contained in this notice in support of this application are summaries of those of the Applicant and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Dated: April 14, 1977.

ROBERT J. AYERS,
Acting Assistant Director for Fisheries Management, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc.77-11797 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

WINTER NAVIGATION BOARD ON GREAT LAKES-ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY

Open Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Winter Navigation Board to be held on 12 May 1977 at the St. Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation Administration Building in Massena, New York. The meeting will be in session from 9 a.m. until approximately 3:30 p.m.

The Winter Navigation Board is a multi-agency organization which includes representatives of Federal agencies and non-Federal public and private interests. It was established to direct the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway navigation season extension investigations being conducted pursuant to Pub. Laws 91-611, 93-251, and 94-587.

The primary purpose of the meeting is to discuss several items concerning the immediate future of the winter navigation program. These items include Interim Feasibility Report No. 2, future coordination with Canada, and the FY 77, 78 and 79 programs. Interim Feasibility Report No. 2 is to address requirements for a permanent, Federally funded year-round navigation program on the entire Great Lakes-Seaway system. Other items to be discussed include the status of FY 77 program activities and the Environmental Planning Task Force.

The meeting will be open to the public, subject to the following limitations:

(a) As the seating capacity of the meeting room is limited, it is desired that advance notice of intent to attend be provided. This will assure adequate and appropriate arrangements for all attendants.

(b) Written statements, to be made part of the minutes, may be submitted prior to, or up to 10 days following the meeting, but oral participation by the public is limited because of the time schedule. Inquiries may be addressed to Mr. David Westheuser, U.S. Army Engineer District, Detroit, Corps of Engineers, P.O. Box 1027, Detroit, Michigan 48321, telephone 313-226-6770.

Dated: April 18, 1977.

By authority of the Secretary of the Army.

ROME D. SMYTH,
Lieutenant Colonel, U.S. Army, Director, Administrative Management, TAGCEN.

[FR Doc.77-11746 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 1040]

DEREGULATION OF RULES ON EMISSIONS AUTHORIZED IN AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE

Petitions for Reconsideration of Actions

APRIL 18, 1977.

Docket or RM No.	Rule No.	Subject	Date received
20777	Pt. 97	Deregulation of pt 97 of the Commission's rules regarding emissions authorized in the Amateur Radio Service.	
		Filed by Robert M. Booth, attorney for the American Radio Relay League, Inc.)	Apr. 11, 1977
		Filed by R. Russell Eagan and David E. Hilliard, attorneys for the R. L. Drake Co.	Apr. 14, 1977

NOTE.—Oppositions to petitions for reconsideration must be filed on or before May 10, 1977. Replies to an opposition must be filed on or before May 20, 1977.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11694 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[RM-2789]

FM BROADCAST STATIONS, LA CROSSE, WIS.

Change in Table of Assignments

Adopted: April 15, 1977.
Released: April 20, 1977.

By the Chief, Broadcast Bureau:

In the matter of amendment of § 73.-202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations. (LaCrosse, Wisconsin), memorandum opinion and order (proceeding terminated).

1. The Commission has before it a petition [1] submitted by Mr. Dwight Carver requesting the assignment of Channel 269A to LaCrosse, Wisconsin, as that community's fourth FM assignment.

2. Petitioner attempted to incorporate by reference all pertinent information contained in a previous petition filed by another party who earlier had sought the assignment of Channel 269A to LaCrosse.[2] On November 17, 1976, the Commission sent a letter to Mr. Carver advising him that it would not be possible to incorporate by reference the previously submitted petition since the material it contained extended over a prior two year period and it could not be certain that the situation it described remained unchanged. The Commission's letter illustrated this point by advising Mr. Carver that there was an application pending (BPH-10030) to change the transmitter site of Station KRCH-FM (formerly KWEB-FM), Rochester, Minnesota, which, if granted, would foreclose the use of Channel 269A at LaCrosse. Then, on December 27, 1976, the Commission granted Mr. Carver's December 13, 1976, request for an additional thirty days in which to file the supplemental data requested by the Commission. Although apprised of the pendency of the Rochester application, Mr. Carver did not lodge a timely objection to the application, and a construction permit was granted to Station KRCH-FM allowing it to change its transmitter site. On January 17, 1977, the Commission received Mr. Carver's supplementary information as well as a petition objecting to the now already approved KRCH-FM transmitter site change. On February 25, 1977, the Commission sent Mr. Carver a letter advising him that his objection to the KRCH-FM site change could not be accepted since it was not timely filed.

3. Since the approved KRCH-FM transmitter site change moves the station eastward approximately 8 kilometers (5 miles), Commission spacing requirements would now indicate that the proposed LaCrosse station would have to be located at a site at least 14.5 kilometers (9 miles) east of the community. As a result, a Class A station would not be able to provide the requisite city-grade signal to LaCrosse. As indicated previously, Mr. Carver had been given notice of the proposed KRCH-FM conflict and failed to make a timely objection. Since a Class A station on Channel 269A would now not be able to provide the required coverage and still meet spacing requirements, such an assignment does not appear warranted and Mr. Carver's petition will have to be denied.[3]

4. Accordingly, *it is ordered*, That the subject petition is denied.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.77-11831 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[1] Public Notice of the filing of this petition was given November 19, 1976, Report No. 1017.

[2] A Notice of Proposed Rule Making (40 FR 18462) in Docket No. 20435, RM-2398, was released on April 18, 1975, based on a petition led on June 12, 1974, by Family Radio, Inc. Although comments received indicated that a potential air hazard problem existed at the proposed tower site, the issue became moot when Family Radio obtained the license of LaCrosse FM Station WWLA-FM. Since Family already had a station and since no other party expressed an interest in the Channel 269A proposal, we issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order, 41 Fed. Reg. 29394, denying the petition.

[3] See Batavia, New York, 16 R.R. 2d 1654 (1969).

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

EAST COAST NATURAL GAS DISTRIBUTION

Meetings

Notice is hereby given that the FEA will resume a series of public meetings at which representatives of the East Coast natural gas distribution industry will speak on certain natural gas subjects. These meetings were originally announced by notice dated January 11, 1977 and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 17, 1977 at page 3207, but they were cancelled as stated in a notice dated February 18, 1977 and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 18, 1977 at page 10032. The meetings will consist of presentations of factual, technically oriented information followed by an informal discussion period. Discussions will be restricted to the subject matter of the presentations and will not involve FEA policies concerning the gas distribution industry.

All meetings will be open to the public. They are scheduled to be held in Room 3000-B, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., and will begin at 10 a.m. If a change in the location or time of the meeting is required for any reason an announcement to that effect will be made at the time and place of the meeting as stated in this notice. The dates of the meetings, the names of the speakers and the subjects to be discussed are as follows:

APRIL 26

EAST COAST GAS DISTRIBUTORS' RATEMAKING POLICY—PART I

Mr. Edward Smallwood—Washington Gas Light Company.

Mr. Edward Sondey—The Brooklyn Union Gas Company.

MAY 3

EAST COAST GAS DISTRIBUTORS' RATEMAKING POLICY—PART II

Mr. Fred Wilcox—UGI Corporation.

MAY 10

EAST COAST GAS DISTRIBUTORS' STATE LEGAL AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

Mr. Paul Harrington—Washington Gas Light Company.
Mr. Richard Stewart—Southern Connecticut Gas Company.

MAY 17

IMPACT OF FEDERAL ACTIONS ON LOCAL GAS DISTRIBUTION ISSUES

Mr. Paul Hathaway—Consolidated Edison Company, of New York, Inc.
Mr. J. W. Dunlop—The Brooklyn Union Gas Company.

An FEA official will chair each meeting and may impose such procedures or rules which, in the official's judgment, will insure an orderly meeting. Any questions concerning the meetings should be directed to Mr. Larry Dewey, Office of Oil and Gas, Room 3450, Federal Building, 12th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20461, 202-566-9047.

Issued in Washington, D.C., April 19, 1977.

ERIC J. FYGI,
Acting General Counsel,
Federal Energy Administration.

[FR Doc.77-11742 Filed 4-20-77;10:39 am]

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

[H.C. 225]

PEOPLES FINANCIAL CORP.

Receipt of Application for Permission to Acquire Control of Peoples Savings and Loan Association

APRIL 20, 1977.

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation has received an application from Peoples Financial Corporation, St. Louis, Missouri, for approval of acquisition of an insured institution, under the provisions of section 408(e) of the National Housing Act, as amended 12 U.S.C. 1730a (e)), and § 584.4 of the regulations for savings and loan holding companies, said acquisition to be effected by the transfer of 216,721 shares or 79.6 percent of the stock of Peoples Savings and Loan Association held by James E. Scaletty, Paul LaForge, and E. W. Miller to Peoples Financial Corporation, all of the stock of which is owned by such individuals, in return for the assumption by it of debt not to exceed the amount of $725,000 incurred in connection with the acquisition by such individuals of their stock in Peoples Savings and Loan Association. Comments on the proposed acquisition should be submitted to the Director, Office of Examinations and Supervision, Federal Home Loan Bank

Board, Washington, D.C. 20552, on or before May 25, 1977.

RONALD A. SNIDER,
Assistant Secretary,
Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[FR Doc.77-11818 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

FLOTA MERCANTE GRANCOLOMBIANA, S.A. AND ANDINO CHEMICAL SHIPPING INC.

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before May 16, 1977. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:

Renato C. Giallorenzi, Esquire, Giallorenzi and Stiles, 67 Broad Street, New York, New York 10004.

Agreement No. 10293, between Flota Mercante Grancolombiana, S.A. (Flota) and Andino Chemical Shipping Inc. (ACS), would provide for the establishment of a space chartering arrangement for the transportation of bulk liquid cargo in the trade between U.S. Gulf ports and Atlantic Coast ports of Colombia, whereby ACS will provide Flota with the necessary space on vessels owned or operated by ACS pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: April 20, 1977.

JOSEPH C. POLKING,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11840 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

IBERIAN/U.S. NORTH ATLANTIC WESTBOUND FREIGHT CONFERENCE

Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before May 16, 1977. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth wih particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Notice of agreement filed by:

Stanley O. Sher, Esquire, Billig, Sher & Jones, P.C., 2033 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Agreement No. 9615-24 modifies the above-named conference agreement by altering the service obligations of the Spanish Olive Section specified in Article 13.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: April 20, 1977.

JOSEPH C. POLKING,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11839 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. E77-95]

EMERGENCY NATURAL GAS ACT OF 1977

Emergency Order

On April 13, 1977, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) filed, pursuant to Section 6 of the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977 (Act), Pub. L. 95-2 (91 Stat. 4 (1977)), an application for authorization to make certain emergency purchases of natural gas from Belco Petroleum Corporation (Belco), Wolfson Oil Company (Wolfson), W. A. Moncrief, et al. (Moncrief), Burk Royalty Company, et al. (Burk), Kaiser-Francis Oil Company (Kaiser-Francis), Cadasco, Inc. (Cadasco), Robert G. Hall (Hall), and Kettle Oil Producing Company (Kettle), Columbia also requests permission to have this gas transported.

Columbia states that it does not qualify to purchase gas under Order No. 6. However, Columbia requests approval of these purchases on the basis of Columbia's "firm oral agreements" to make these purchases. Columbia also states that some of the sellers have expended fund in reliance on Columbia's offers to purchase. Colorado Interstate Gas Company, Docket No. E77-31 (February 28, 1977), recognizes that the seller's expenditure of funds in reliance on the purchaser's eligibility may justify authorization of the proposed sale notwithstanding Order No. 6. Cf. El Paso Natural Gas Company (April 14, 1977). Thus, the sales by Cadasco and Kettle should be authorized.

On March 4, 1977, Cadasco agreed to sell up to 5,000 Mcfd from production in Schleicher County, Texas, through July 31, 1977. The price is $2.25 per MMBtu. Cadasco will transport and deliver the gas to Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) in Schleicher County. Northern will transport and deliver the volumes to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) near Mullinville, Kansas. Panhandle will deliver the volumes to Columbia near Maumee, Ohio. Columbia has agreed to pay the following transportation charges: Northern—13.74 cents per Mcf plus 4.5 percent of the volumes delivered; Panhandle—23.25 cents per Mcf plus 10 percent of the volumes delivered. Columbia will also pay Cadasco a gathering and transportation charge of 39 cents per Mcf plus a compression charge of 8.4 cents per Mcf.

On February 16, 1977, Kettle agreed to sell up to 2,000 Mcfd from the No. 1 King well, Payne County, Oklahoma. The price is $2.25 per MMBtu, inclusive of all state and local taxes and other adjustments.

Kettle will transport and deliver the gas in Payne County to Cities Service Gas Company Cities Service). Cities Service will deliver the gas to Panhandle in Reno County, Kansas. Panhandle will deliver the gas to Columbia near Maumee, Ohio. Columbia has agreed to pay the following transportation charges: Cities Service—13.77 cents per Mcf plus 4 percent of the volumes for shrinkage; Panhandle—21.92 cents per Mcf plus 7 percent of the volumes for shrinkage. Columbia will pay Kettle 0.25254 cents per Mcf for transportation.

Columbia shall submit weekly reports as required by Order No. 4.

Pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act, I authorize Columbia to purchase gas from Cadasco and Kettle at a price not to exceed $2.25 per MMBtu in accordance with Order No. 2. Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Act, I authorize Northern, Panhandle, and Cities Service to transport gas for Columbia as described above. Since the parties have agreed upon the transportation charges, I find no basis

for prescribing other charges. Columbia shall, however, submit a statement explaining the basis for the transportation charges by Cadasco and Kettle.

This order is issued pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the President in Executive Order No. 11969 (February 2, 1977), and shall be served upon Columbia, Belco, Wolfson, Moncrief, Burk, Kasier-Francis, Cadasco, Kettle, Northern, Panhandle, and Cities Service. This order shall also be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

This order is subject to the continuing authority of the Administrator under Pub. L. 95-2 and the rules and regulations which may be issued thereunder.

RICHARD L. DUNHAM,
Administrator.

APRIL 19, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-11777 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E77-96]

EMERGENCY NATURAL GAS ACT OF 1977

Emergency Order

On April 18, 1977, Honesdale Gas Company (Honesdale) filed, pursuant to Section 6 of the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977 (Act), Pub. L. 95-2 (91 Stat. 4 (1977)), an application for an order authorizing Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) to transport natural gas which Honesdale has purchased under Section 6(a) of the Act.

Honesdale has purchased 30,000 Mcf of natural gas from Roy M. Teel Co. (Teel) to be produced from the Monroe Field area, Monroe, Louisiana. Honesdale has purchased this gas at a price of $2 per Mcf. To the extent that the price does not exceed $2.25 per MMBtu, inclusive of all state and local taxes and other adjustments it is fair and equitable in accordance with Order No. 2.

Teel has installed facilities to deliver the gas to Tennessee's pipeline near Monroe, Louisiana.[1] Tennessee will deliver the gas to Honesdale at an existing delivery point in Pennsylvania.

Honesdale shall submit weekly reports as required by Order No. 4.

Pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act, I hereby authorize Teel to sell to Honesdale up to 30,000 Mcf of natural gas at a price not to exceed $2.25 per MMBtu, inclusive of all state and local taxes and other adjustments. Pursuant to Section 6(c)(1) of the Act, I hereby authorize and order Tennessee to transport gas for Honesdale. Honesdale shall submit all relevant information regarding the charges to be paid to Teel and Tennessee for the transportation of this gas.

This order is issued pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the President in Executive Order No. 11969 (February 2, 1977), and shall be served upon Honesdale, Tennessee, and Teel. This order shall also be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

[1] Honesdale will reimburse Teel for the cost of installing and operating these facilities.

This order and authorization granted herein are subject to the continuing authority of the Administrator under Pub. L. 95-2 and the rules and regulations which may be issued thereunder.

RICHARD L. DUNHAM,
Administrator.

APRIL 19, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-11778 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E77-97]

EMERGENCY NATURAL GAS ACT OF 1977

Emergency Order

On April 19, 1977, Larco Gas Corporation (Larco) filed, pursuant to Section 6 of the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977 (Act), Pub. L. 95-2 (91 Stat. 4 (1977)), an application for authorization to commence an emergency sale under Section 6 to Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern). For the reasons set forth below, I grant Larco's petition.

Larco states that Transwestern submitted a signed contract to Larco and that the contract, at Larco's request, was redrafted to include a representation that Transwestern was eligible to make the proposed purchase under Order No. 6. Larco, in reliance on the contract, spent approximately $12,000 to acquire right-of-way and materials and committed itself to spend an additional $20,000 for materials to make the sale to Transwestern. Larco states that it is not likely that this right-of-way and material could be used to market this gas to any purchaser other than Transwestern. Subsequent to these expenditures, Transwestern informed Larco that it was not eligible to make the purchase under Order No. 6.

A number of proceedings under the Act have recognized that detrimental reliance on Order No. 2 is sufficient to permit authorization of a proposed sale even though the purchaser is not otherwise qualified to make the purchase under Order No. 6. El Paso Natural Gas Company, Docket No. E77-53 (April 14, 1977); North Central Oil Corporation, Docket No. E77-88 (April 12, 1977); Inexco Oil Company, Docket No. E77-79 (March 28, 1977); Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Docket No. E77-48 (March 4, 1977), United Gas Pipe Line Company, Docket No. E77-33 (March 2, 1977), and Colorado Interstate Gas Company, Docket No. E77-31 (February 28, 1977). Larco has demonstrated such reliance and its sale to Transwestern should be authorized.

Larco proposed to sell approximately 1,000 Mcfd to Transwestern from five wells in Pecos County, Texas,[1] at a price of $2.25 per Mcf through July 31, 1977. To the extent that the proposed price does not exceed $2.25 per MMBtu, inclusive of all state and local taxes and other adjustments, it is fair and equitable in accordance with Order No. 2.

Pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act, I authorize Transwestern to purchase approximately 1,000 Mcfd of natural gas from Larco at a price not to exceed $2.25 per MMBtu inclusive of all state and local taxes and other adjustments.

Transwestern shall submit weekly reports as required by Order No. 4.

This order is issued pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the President in Executive Order No. 11969 (February 2, 1977), and shall be served upon Larco and Transwestern. This order shall also be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

This order and authorization granted herein are subject to the continuing authority of the Administrator under Pub. L. 95-2 and the rules and regulations which may be issued thereunder.

RICHARD L. DUNHAM,
Administrator.

APRIL 19, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-11779 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[1] The identity and location of the wells are given in Exhibit A of the contract submitted with the application.

[Docket No. E77-98]

EMERGENCY NATURAL GAS ACT OF 1977

Emergency Order

On April 19, 1977, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), as agent for certain of its customers,[1] filed, pursuant to Section of the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977 (Act), Pub. L. 95-2 (91 Stat. 4 (1977)), an application for authorization to transport natural gas which it is purchasing for certain of its customers and to construct the facilities necessary to receive the gas into its pipeline system.

Texas Gas, as agent, executed a contract on March 18, 1977, with Bodcaw Company (Bodcaw) for the purchase of approximately 8,000 Mcfd from the Sailes and Danville Fields, Bienville Parish, Louisiana. The total price to be paid by Texas Gas, as agent, is $2.25 per MMBtu. Thus, the proposed price is fair and equitable in accordance with Order No. 2.

Texas Gas will construct a meter station, side valve and related facilities adjacent to Texas Gas' pipeline in Claiborne Parish, Louisiana, at an estimated cost of $7,600. These costs will be paid on a pro-rata basis by Texas Gas' customers which receive these volumes. In addition, Texas Gas' proposed transportation rates are based upon the cost data suporting the settlement rates in Texas Gas' most recent Federal Power Commission rate case in Docket No. RP76-17 and the retention of a percent of the transported volumes for compressor fuel and company use and loss. I find no basis for prescribing other charges since the parties have agreed upon the trans-

[1] These customers are local distribution companies and interstate pipelines as defined in §§ 2 (1), (5) of the Act (91 Stat. 4).

portation charges and payment of the construction costs.

Based upon the foregoing, Texas Gas is authorized to purchase gas, as agent, from Bodcaw, to construct facilities to receive such gas and to transport such gas for certain of its customers. This authorization is conditioned on: (i) Texas Gas' submission of the names of the customers for which it is acting as agent, (ii) those customers agreeing to submit reports as required by Order No. 4, and (iii) such customers certifying that they are entitled to purchase gas under the provisions of Order No. 6.

This order is issued pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the President in Executive Order No. 11969 (February 2, 1977), and shall be served upon Texas Gas and Bodcaw. This order shall also be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

This order and authorization granted herein are subject to the continuing authority of the Administrator under Pub. L. 95-2 and the rules and regulations which may be issued thereunder.

RICHARD L. DUNHAM,
Administrator.

APRIL 19, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-11780 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E77-79]

EMERGENCY NATURAL GAS ACT OF 1977

Supplemental Emergency Order; Correction

Please change the word "authoriaation" to "authorization" in the first line of the fifth paragraph of the supplemental order issued on April 15, 1977, in Docket No. E77-79, Inexco Oil Company.

RICHARD L. DUNHAM,
Administrator.

APRIL 19, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-11775 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E77-94]

EMERGENCY NATURAL GAS ACT OF 1977

Supplemental Emergency Order

By order issued April 14, 1977, pursuant to Section 6 of the Emergency Natural Gas Act of 1977 (Act), Pub. L. 95-2 (91 Stat. 4 (1977)), Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. (Columbia-Ohio), was authorized to make a number of emergency purchases under Section 6(a). Included in the authorized purchases was a purchase from Pride Refinery, Inc. (Pride).[1]

On April 18, 1977, Lone Star Gas Company (Lone Star) filed a protest to Columbia-Ohio's petition insofar as such petition concerns the proposed purchase from Pride. Lone Star states that: (i) Pride does not have title to the gas it proposes to sell unless and until such gas is actually received by Pride, (ii) Pride will not actually receive the gas if it releases the gas to Lone Star and uses an alternate fuel in lieu thereof, (iii) the contract between Lone Star and Pride requires Lone Star to supply only Pride's own natural gas requirements, and (iv) the provisions of the contract between Lone Star and Pride are not abrogated by reason of Section 9(b) of the Act (91 Stat. 4, 9).

Lone Star's protest raises the question of whether Pride has the legal authority to sell gas to Columbia-Ohio. It is, therefore, appropriate to stay the April 14, 1977 order in this proceeding insofar as that order authorized Columbia-Ohio to purchase gas from Pride.

Within twenty (20) days of this order, Columbia-Ohio, Lone Star and Pride shall submit a joint statement to the Administrator. Such statement shall answer the following question:

Does Pride have legal authority to make the proposed sale to Columbia-Ohio?

Columbia-Ohio, Lone Star, and Pride may also submit individual statements regarding their respective positions.

To the extent not inconsistent with the provisions of this order, the provisions of the April 14, 1977, order remain in full force and effect.

This order is issued pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the President in Executive Order No. 11969 (February 2, 1977), and shall be served upon Columbia-Ohio, James P. Dunigan, Inc., Energy Industrial Development, Inc., Marie Edwards Gillespie, et al., Kilgore Brothers, Mid-Plains Pipeline Company, Onix Corporation, Pride, Rocanville Corporation, Amoco Gas Company, Lone Star, Lone Star Gathering Company, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Trunkline Gas Company, Tennessee Gas Pipe Line Company, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company, and Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation. This order shall also be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

This order is subject to the continuing authority of the Administrator under Pub. L. 95-2 and the rules and regulations which may be issued thereunder.

RICHARD L. DUNHAM,
Administrator.

APRIL 19, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-11776 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RM77-13]

NATIONAL RATES FOR JURISDICTIONAL SALES OF NATURAL GAS FROM WELLS COMMENCED ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 1977, FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1977, TO DECEMBER 31, 1978

Order Denying Motion to Delete Arkansas-Missouri Power Co. as a Party

APRIL 19, 1977.

On March 11, 1977, Arkansas-Missouri Power Company (Ark-Mo) filed a motion pursuant to Section 1.12 of the Commission's Regulations requesting that it be deleted as a party respondent to the national rate-setting procedure for the 1977-1978 biennium. Ark-Mo contends that it is subject to Commission jurisdiction because its transmission line crosses an interstate border, but that its avowed interest in the interstate rate charged by its interstate pipeline suppliers is not sufficient to warrant active participation in the proceeding.

In its March 1, 1977, order the Commission made all interstate companies respondents to the proceeding because of the widespread effects of the Commission's ultimate action. As Ark-Mo has acknowledged, the rate set by the Commission will have a direct impact on the Ark-Mo system inasmuch as, it purchases gas from interstate pipelines. However, the fact that Ark-Mo is a party respondent does not mandate active participation by the company. Ordering Paragraph (D) of the March 1, 1977, order requires only those respondents that are interested file by April 1, 1977, a notice of participation. No party is constrained to participate. We do, however, seek to ensure that all interstate companies which will concededly be affected by any rate order in this proceeding receive service of all filed documents upon which the final Commission action will be based. In this way all respondents, whether active participants or not, will be able to follow the progress of proposed rates and other relevant matters. For this reason, the Ark-Mo motion will be denied.

The Commission finds:

The motion of Ark-Mo to be deleted as a party respondent should be denied.

The Commission orders:

The motion of Ark-Mo to be deleted as a party respondent to the proceeding in Docket No. RM77-13 is denied.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11856 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RM77-13]

NATIONAL RATES FOR JURISDICTIONAL SALES OF NATURAL GAS FROM WELLS COMMENCED ON OR AFTER JANUARY 1, 1977, FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1977, TO DECEMBER 31, 1978

Order Granting Motion to Delete Cascade Natural Gas Corp. as a Party

APRIL 19, 1977.

On March 24, 1977, Cascade Natural Gas Corporation (Cascade) filed a letter requesting that it be deleted as a party respondent to the national rate-setting procedure for the 1977-1978 biennium. Cascade contends that it is no longer subject to Commission jurisdiction because it has abandoned its only interstate activity pursuant to the Commission's order of March 21, 1976 in Mountain Fuel Resources, Inc., Docket No. CP76-111 and Cascade Natural Gas Corporation, Docket No. CP76-131.

Inasmuch as Cascade is no longer a jurisdictional natural gas company, we will grant its request to be deleted as a party respondent in this proceeding.

The Commission finds:

The request of Cascade to be deleted as a party respondent should be granted.

[1] Incorrectly listed as Pride Refining, Inc., in the April 14, 1977, order.

The Commission orders:

The request of Cascade to be deleted as a party respondent to the proceeding in Docket No. RM77-13 is granted.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11855 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RI77-57]

ASHLAND OIL, INC.

Notice of Petition for Special Relief

APRIL 15, 1977.

Take notice that on April 11, 1977, Ashland Oil, Inc. (Petitioner), P.O. Box 1503, Houston, Texas 77001, in Docket No. RI77-57 filed a petition for special relief pursuant to Sections 1.7(b), 2.76 and 2.56a(g) of the Commission's Regulations. Petitioner requests relief from the nation-wide rates prescribed in Opinion No. 770 for the proposed sales of natural gas to Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company from Blocks 290 and 291, Ship Shoal Area. Petitioner requests a rate of $1.6139 per Mcf which includes a 4.0¢ per Mcf charge for transporting the gas to the point of delivery at its platform located in Block 207, Ship Shoal Area.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before May 5, 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11768 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER76-45]

CONSUMERS POWER CO.

Notice of Tariff Change

APRIL 14, 1977.

Take notice that Consumers Power Company (Consumers) on March 31, 1977, tendered for filing proposed changes in its Federal Power Commission Electric Service Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Federal Power Commission Nos. 1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14, 17, 29, 32, and 40. Consumers states that the proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional sales and service by $4,058,278 based on the 12-month period ending December 31, 1975.

The proposed changes in Consumers Power Company's tariffs were filed in accordance with an order issued by the Federal Power Commission on March 7, 1977, in the above docket approving a settlement agreement entered into by the parties to this proceeding.

Consumers states that copies of the filing were served upon Consumers Power Company's jurisdictional customers and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 27, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11772 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. CP73-258—260, etc.]

EL PASO EASTERN CO., ET AL.

Order Consolidating Proceedings, Terminating Consolidated Proceedings, Granting Requests to Withdraw Applications, etc.

APRIL 14, 1977.

In the matter of El Paso Eastern Co. (Docket Nos. CP73-258 and CP73-259); El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Docket No. CP73-260); Southern Energy Co. (Docket Nos. CP73-271, and CP73-272); Southern Natural Gas Co. (Docket No. CP73-273); Consolidated System LNG Co. (Docket Nos. CP73-283, and CP73-284); El Paso LNG Terminal Co. (Docket No. CP77-269); El Paso Eastern Co. (Docket No. CP77-270); United Gas Pipe Line Co. (Docket No. CP77-271); United LNG Co. (Docket No. CP77-272); El Paso Eastern Co. (Docket Nos. CP77-330, and CP77-331); El Paso Natural Gas Co. (Docket No. CP77-332).

By order issued September 25, 1973, in El Paso Eastern Company, et al., Docket No. CP73-258, et al. (1973) the Commission, inter alia, consolidated the proceedings in twelve dockets,[1] granted various interventions, and set the consolidated proceedings for hearing. By order issued December 28, 1973, the Commission severed the issue of site location for the proposed construction of the compressor station in Loudoun County, Virginia in Docket No. CP73-283 from issues in the consolidated proceedings in El Paso Eastern Company, et al., Docket No. CP73-258, et al., and consolidated it with Consolidated System LNG Company, Docket Nos. CP71-290, CP73-283 (1973) for disposition. In this order we dispose of numerous procedural and substantive questions.[2]

With respect to the twelve dockets presently consolidated, the following aspects of these proposals are relevant to our actions herein. Docket No. CP73-258 is an application by El Paso Eastern Company (El Paso Eastern) for Commission authorization to import approximately 154 trillion Btu annually of LNG which El Paso Eastern has contracted to purchase from El Paso Algeria Company (El Paso Algeria). El Paso Algeria would purchase the gas in Algeria from Societe Nationale Sonatrach (Sonatrach). Docket No. CP73-259 is an application by El Paso Eastern to deliver the gas it imports to Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco Pipe Line) for El Paso Eastern's account. Transco Pipe Line, in turn, would deliver an equivalent quantity of gas for El Paso Eastern to El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso Natural) at a point in Refugio County, Texas. In Docket No. CP73-260, El Paso Natural requests authorization to connect its system with Transco Pipe Line's system at the delivery point in Refugio County, Texas. Favorable Commission action on the application would authorize the construction of a 24-inch diameter, 418.5 mile long pipeline system between Refugio County, Texas and El Paso's system at Waha, Texas. The cost of this pipeline and associated facilities was originally estimated at $87,999,014.[3]

---

[1] Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, CP73-260, CP73-267, CP73-268, CP73-269, CP73-270, CP73-271, CP73-272, CP73-273, CP73-283, CP73-284.

[2] Both the September 25 and December 28, 1973, orders are unreported.

[3] In Docket No. CP73-267, Transco Energy Company (Transco Energy) sought authorization to import 154 trillion Btu annually of LNG which it would also purchase from El Paso Algeria. In Docket No. CP73-268, Transco Terminal Company (Transco Terminal) sought authorization to construct and operate LNG facilities in connection with Transco Energy's imports and El Paso Eastern's imports in Gloucester County, New Jersey, at an estimated cost of $206,734,000. In Docket No. CP73-269, Transco Energy requests authorization to sell its imported gas to Transco Pipe Line; and, in Docket No. CP73-270, Transco Pipe Line requests authorization to construct and operate facilities in connection with the gas imported by Transco Energy and by El Paso Eastern. On March 4, 1977, Transco Pipe Line, Transco Energy, and Transco Terminal filed a joint motion to withdraw the applications in these dockets. A notice of withdrawal of the applications in these four dockets was issued by direction of the Commission on March 29, 1977. The withdrawal was effective April 4, 1977.

Southern Energy Company (Southern Energy) requests authorization to import 41 trillion Btu annually of LNG which would be purchased from El Paso Algeria (Docket No. CP73-271) and to construct and operate facilities at Elba Island, Chatham County, Georgia, in connection with this importation (Docket No. CP73-272). Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern Natural) requests authorization to construct and operate facilities in connection with the gas imported by Southern Energy (Docket No. CP73-273). On October 26, 1976, Southern Natural and Southern Energy filed a joint

Twenty six days of hearings were subsequently held in these consolidated proceedings. The record in these consolidated proceedings has not been closed; and, thus, no decision on the merits has been rendered by the Presiding Administrative La 7 Judge.

On October 15, 1976, El Paso Eastern requested Commission authorization to import 410.625 trillion Btu annually of LNG to the Texas Gulf Coast over a period of 20 years.[4] The filing was termed an "amendment" of its application in Docket No. CP73-258. This filing noted that the gas proposed for import would be sold 65% to El Paso Natural and 35% to United LNG Company (United LNG). United LNG had not previously been an applicant in any of the consolidated proceedings.

On March 1, 1977, a number of related applications were filed with the Commission. A document which was alleged to be a supplement to the October 15, 1976, amendment in Docket No. CP73-258 stated that a site in the Mategorda Bay area on the Texas Gulf Coast near Port O'Connor in Calhoun County, Texas (hereinafter sometimes referred to as the Port O'Connor Terminal) had been selected to receive the 410.625 trillion Btu of LNG sought to be imported annually.

A filing by El Paso Eastern, which purported to be an amendment to Docket No. CP73-259, requested Commission authorization of the sale of 65% of the imported gas to El Paso Natural. Salient differences between this filing and the original application in Docket No. CP73-259 include the absence of any displacement through the Transco Pipe Line system (i.e., the sale contemplated in the amendment would be directly between El Paso Eastern and El Paso Natural) and the larger volume of gas to be sold to El Paso Natural (i.e., approximately 267 trillion Btu annually in the March 1, 1977, filing versus 154 trillion Btu annually in the original application).

On March 1, 1977, El Paso Natural also filed a document which allegedly constituted an amendment to Docket No. CP73-260. Specifically, El Paso Natural requests Commission authorization, inter alia, to construct and operate 463 miles of pipeline, of which the first 31 miles would be 36 inch diameter pipeline and would connect the Port O'Connor Terminal to United Gas Pipe Line Company's (United Pipe Line) system near, Victoria, Texas. The remaining 432 miles of pipeline would be 30 inch diameter pipeline and would complete the connection of the Port O'Connor Terminal to El Paso's system at Waha, Texas. Important differences between the filing of March 1, 1977, and the original application in Docket No. CP73-260 include the different pipelines and routes proposed and the different volumes of imported gas to be carried in these pipelines.

Applications in four other dockets were also filed on March 1, 1977. In Docket No. CP77-269, El Paso LNG Terminal Company (El Paso Terminal) requests Commission authorization to construct and operate LNG receiving, storage and gasification facilities at the Port O'Connor Terminal site. El Paso Eastern requests Commission approval of the sale for resale of 35% of the imported gas to United LNG in Docket No. CP77-270; and United LNG requests Commission approval of the sale for resale of this gas to United Pipe Line in Docket No. CP77-272. Finally, United Pipe Line requests Commission authorization to construct and operate facilities on its Refugio-Sterlington line near Victoria, Texas, in Docket No. CP77-271.

I

With respect to all of these dockets, we conclude that two separate and distinct proceedings are involved. All documents received in Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, and CP73-260, which relate to the applications filed April 4, 1973, and all documents in Docket Nos. CP73-267, CP73-268, CP73-269, CP73-270, CP73-271, CP73-272, CP73-273, CP73-283, and CP73-284 are related to the importation of LNG at sites in Gloucester County, New Jersey; Chatham County, Georgia; and Cove Point, Maryland. This is one proceeding. On the other hand, all documents received on and after October 15, 1976, which relate to the October 15, 1976, filing in Docket No. CP73-258, all documents received on and after March 1, 1977, which relate to the March 1, 1977, filing in Docket Nos. CP73-259 and CP73-260, and all document filed in Docket Nos. CP77-269, CP77-270, CP77-271, and CP77-272 related to the importation of LNG at the Port O'Connor Terminal site in the Matagorda Bay Area on the Texas Gulf Coast. This is the other separate and distinct proceeding.

The proceeding related to the Gloucester County, New Jersey; Chatham County, Georgia; and Cove Point, Maryland, importation scheme is apparently moribund. Transco Energy, Transco Terminal, Transco Pipe Line, Southern Energy, and Southern Natural have filed requests or motions to withdraw their respective application.[5] The request to withdraw the applications by Transco Energy, Transco Terminal, and Transco Pipe Line has been granted.[6] We see no reason why the request by Southern Energy and Southern Natural should not also be granted.

The applications by Consolidated System LNG Company in Docket Nos. CP73-283 and CP73-284 in connection with the importation of 61 trillion Btu of LNG annually at Cove Point, Maryland, have not been the subject of a motion to withdraw the applications. In the absence of such a request and given the possibility that Consolidated System LNG Company may wish to pursue their applications as filed, we decline, at this time, to dismiss the applications. We will, however, terminate the consolidated proceedings involving Docket Nos. CP73-283 and CP73-284.

We also conclude that the filings and proceedings in Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, and CP733-260 which relate to the applications filed April 4, 1973, should be severed from those filings which relate to the October 15, 1976, and March 1, 1977, applications in these three dockets. As we have heretofore noted, the October 15, 1976, and March 1, 1977, filings in these three dockets cannot be considered as "amendments" to the earlier April 4, 1973, filings in these three dockets.[7] These two sets of filings are distinct and separate. Accordingly, we will assign new docket numbers to all filings and documents in Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, and CP73-260, which relate to the applications filed on October 15, 1976, and March 1, 1977, and will consolidate these new dockets with Docket Nos. CP77-269, CP77-270, CP77-271, and CP77-272 for purposes of hearing and decision.[8] Since El Paso Eastern and El Paso Natural are no longer pursuing the applications in the form originally filed in Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, and CP73-260, we will dismiss the applications in Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, and CP73-260 and terminate the proceedings involving those applications.

II

The applications which we have consolidated require an examination, under Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (Act), 15 U.S.C. § 717b, to determine whether the importation would be in the public interest. The construction and opera-

---

motion to withdraw the applications in these dockets.

Docket No. CP73-283 is an application by Consolidated System LNG Company for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of a compressor station near Leesburg, Virginia, and the sale for resale of natural gas to Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation. Docket No. CP73-284 involves an application by Consolidated System LNG Company to import 61 trillion Btu of LNG annually which would be purchased from El Paso Algeria.

[4] The 410.625 trillion figure is approximately equal to the sum total of the import authorizations sought by El Paso Eastern, Transco Energy, Southern Energy, and Consolidated System LNG in Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-267, CP73-271, and CP73-284. The period for importation in those dockets, however, was 25 years; and the points of importation as heretofore noted, were Gloucester County, New Jersey; Chatham County, Georgia; and Cove Point, Maryland.

[5] Commission authorization is required to withdraw these applications because a hearing has been held. 18 C.F.R. § 1.11(d) (1976).

[6] N. 3, supra.

[7] Upon its own motion, the Commission may for good cause decline to permit any amendment. (18 CFR § 1.11(a) (1976).

[8] This treatment obviates the need for the filing of petitions to intervene by those who have filed such petitions in response to the notices in Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, and CP73-260 related to the October 15, 1976, and March 1, 1977, filings.

tion of facilities and the sale for resale in interstate commerce of LNG as proposed in the applications require a determination that these activities are required by the public convenience and necessity under Section 7 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. § 717f. We believe that the significant questions presented by these applications require a formal public hearing at which time all issues bearing upon the public interest can be developed. Among the issues which we deem relevant for consideration are reliability of service of the foreign supply, the dependence of certain distributors on foreign LNG to meet residential and commercial markets, environmental impact of any proposed action, the proper method of pricing of the LNG supply, shipping costs, overall economic feasibility of the project, end-use allocation of the LNG supply, availability of alternative fuels for the markets to be served by the project, engineering feasibility of the project, and overall project safety.

On March 16, 1977, El Paso Natural filed a motion for expedited procedures. In its motion El Paso Natural notes that the supply contract between El Paso Atlantic and Sonatrach gives either party the right to terminate the contract after April 30, 1977, if all requisite Algerian and United States approvals of the project have not been received by tht date. El Paso Atlantic believes, however, that Sonatrach will not exercise its option to terminate the contract if it sees that the Commission is expediting its decision-making procedures so as to render a final decision on the merits on or before December 31, 1977.

We agree that limited phasing of the hearing in these procedeings is appropriate and will provide for the convening of a hearing on the direct case of the applicants on nonenvironmental issues to commence not later than June 1, 1977. This hearing date will allow all parties and Staff sufficient time to prepare for cross-examination in connection with the voluminous filings in these consolidated proceedings. A prehearing conference shall also be held on April 25, 1977, at which time the Presiding Administrative Law Judge shall discuss scheduling and other matters which may be appropriate for consideration at that time.

A hearing on environmental issues shall be held after Staff has finished its Environmental Impact Statement, and the record shall remain open for purposes of receiving such environmental testimony and further trial of the issues involved therein. No decision shall be issued prior to the completion of such testimony and subsequent closing of the record.

We do not wish to imply, however, that the Presiding Administrative Law Judge may not provide for the hearing of some testimony related to environmental issues before the Staff's Environmental Impact Statement is finalized. The hearing of environmental testimony in two phases, as proposed in El Paso Natural's motion, may or may not be appropriate. We also leave to the Presiding Administrative Law Judge the task of taking such action as may be appropriate to expedite the proceedings.

It is the Commission's intention that this processing should be expedited in every reasonable way. We intend to maintain a schedule which will permit a final decision to be issued by the end of 1977, with approximately the following mileposts:

July 1—Circulation of Staff's draft Environmental Impact Statement.

September 1—Circulation of final Environmental Impact Statement.

November 1—Initial Decision.

November 20—Briefs on Exceptions.

November 30—Briefs Opposing Exceptions.

III

In computing the filing fee which was submitted with these applications, El Paso Natural subtracted the estimated cost of construction of the facilities proposed in Docket No. CP73-260, as originally filed ($86,648,100), from the estimated cost of construction of the facilities proposed in the filing of March 1, 1977, in Docket No. CP73-260 ($251,673,000). El Paso Natural apparently believes that this treatment is appropriate on the ground that the March 1, 1977, filing was an "amendment to a pending application" within the meaning of Section 159.2 of the Commission's Rules. 18 CFR § 159.2(c) (1975). As heretofore noted, the filing of March 1, 1977, in Docket No. CP73-260 is, in no meaningful sense, an "amendment" of the application originally filed in Docket No. CP73-260. The pipelines are different, and the points of interconnection are different.

Needless to say, the Commission's ultimate decision on the March 1, 1977, filing in Docket No. CP73-260 will involve consideration of different factors than decision on the original application in Docket No. CP73-260. Furthermore Staff's analysis of the March 1, 1977, filing will not be aided by any analysis of the original application. In sum, the work required by the Commission in connection with the filing of March 1, 1977, will not be lessened by the work in connection with the earlier filing in Docket No. CP73-260. We conclude that the treatment of filing fees suggested by El Paso Natural is inconsistent with the purpose of Part 159 of our rules. Order No. 317, Establishing Fees for Application Filed with the Commission, 35 FPC 30 (1966). Since we have also concluded that the March 1, 1977, filing is not an "amendment" to the application in Docket No. CP73-260, we require El Paso Natural to pay, within thirty days of the date of issuance of this order, by check or money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States the sum of $56,321.26. See, 18 CFR § 159.3(a) (1976).

IV

In order to allow all parties to the proceedings, which we consolidated by order of September 25, 1973, an opportunity to intervene in the new proceedings which we consolidate for hearing and disposition in this order, we will require the Secretary to publish this order and the following notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Take notice, therefore, that the document filed on October 15, 1976, by El Paso Eastern, as supplemented on March 1, 1977, has been designated as Docket No. CP77-330 and that the document filed on March 1, 1977, by El Paso Eastern in Docket No. CP73-259 and the document filed on March 1, 1977 by El Paso Natural in Docket No. CP73-260 have been designated as Docket No. CP77-331 and Docket No. CP77-332, respectively. Notices of these documents were issued on November 1, 1976 (41 FR 49529) and on March 9, 1977 (41 FR 14776) and a description of the proposals in these documents is contained in these notices.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications in Docket Nos. CP77-330, CP77-331, CP77-332 should on or before May 13, 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

The Commission further finds: (1) It is appropriate and in the public interest that:

(a) The joint motion by Southern Energy and Southern Natural to withdraw the applications in Docket Nos. CP73-271, CP73-272, and CP73-273 be granted;

(b) New docket numbers be given to all filings received on and after October 15, 1976, which are related to the October 15, 1976, filing in Docket No. CP73-258, as supplemented on March 1, 1977, and to all filings received on and after March 1, 1977, which are related to the March 1, 1977, filings by El Paso Eastern in Docket No. CP73-259 and by El Paso Natural in Docket No. CP73-260;

(c) The applications filed by El Paso Eastern in Docket Nos. CP73-258 and CP73-259, and by El Paso Natural in Docket No. CP73-260 be dismissed.

(d) The consolidated proceedings set for hearing and decision by Commission Order of September 25, 1973, in *El Paso Eastern Company, et al.*, Docket Nos.

* On March 1, 1977, El Paso Natural, El Paso Atlantic, El Paso Terminal, and El Paso Eastern filed a joint motion requesting that Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, and CP73-260 be served from the other dockets with which these dockets were consolidated. For the reasons set forth herein, this request is granted only in part. An earlier motion by El Paso, filed on October 15, 1976, to sever Docket Nos. CP-73-258, CP73-259, and CP73-260 was denied by operation of law on November 15, 1976. 18 C.F.R. § 1.12(e) (1976).

CP73-258, et al., as modified by Commission Order of December 28, 1973, be terminated;

(e) The proceedings in Docket Nos. CP77-269, CP77-270, CP77-271, and CP 77-272, and in the docket numbers given to the filings in Docket Nos. CP73-258, CP73-259, and CP73-260 noted in (b) above be consolidated for hearing and disposition; and

(f) A public hearing be held respecting matters involved and issues presented in these consolidated proceedings.

(2) El Paso Natural should be required to pay additional filing fees in connection with its filing of March 1, 1977, in Docket No. CP73-260 in the amount of $56,-321.26.

The Commission orders: (A) The joint motion by Southern Energy and Southern Natural to withdraw the applications in Docket Nos. CP73-271, CP73-272, and CP73-273 is hereby granted.

(B) All filings, received on and after October 15, 1976, which are related to the October 15, 1976, filing by El Paso Eastern in Docket No. CP73-258 shall be assigned Docket No. CP77-330.

(C) All filings, received on and after March 1, 1977, which are related to the March 1, 1977, filing by El Paso Eastern in Docket No. CP73-259 shall be assigned Docket No. CP77-331.

(D) All filings, received on and after March 1, 1977, which are related to the March 1, 1977, filing by El Paso Natural in Docket No. CP73-260 shall be assigned Docket No. CP77-332.

(E) The applications filed by El Paso in Docket Nos. CP73-258 and CP73-259 and by El Paso Natural in Docket No. CP73-260 are hereby dismissed.

(F) The consolidated proceedings set for hearing and decision by Commission Order of September 25, 1973, in *El Paso Eastern Company, et al.*, Docket No. CP73-258, et al., as modified by Commission Order of December 28, 1973, are hereby terminated.

(G) Docket Nos. CP77-269, CP77-270, CP77-271, CP77-272, CP77-330, CP77-331, and CP77-332 are consolidated for purposes of hearing and disposition.

(H) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by the Natural Gas Act, and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a public hearing shall be held in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 N. Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C., respecting the matters involved and the issues presented in the proceedings consolidated by Ordering Paragraph (G).

(I) A Presiding Administrative Law Judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose pursuant to Section 3.5(d) of the Commission's General Rules, 18 CFR § 3.5(d) (1976), shall preside at the hearing in this proceeding, with authority to establish and change all procedural dates and to rule on all motions with the exception of petitions to intervene, motions to consolidate and sever, and motions to dismiss, as provided for in the Commission's Rules of Pracitce and Procedure.

(J) On or before May 13, 1977, applicants shall complete their filing of their direct case. As part of the direct case, El Paso Eastern shall file testimony and exhibits on the ships to be provided by Sonatrach, to include any contracts for these ships.

(K) A prehearing conference shall be convened on May 5, 1977, at 10 a.m. Hearings on the direct case of the applicants on non-environmental issues in these consolidated proceedings shall be convened on June 14, 1977, at 10 a.m. The Presiding Administrative Law Judge shall fix dates for the filing of answering testimony on non-environmental issues after completion of cross-examination of applicants' direct testimony.

(L) The Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure shall apply in this proceeding except to the extent modified or supplemented herein.

(M) Within thirty (30) days of the date of issuance of this order, El Paso Natural shall remit by check or money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States the sum of $56,321.26.

(N) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order and notice to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11766 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP77-244]

EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Application; Correction

APRIL 5, 1977.

Page 16471, line 23, Change "1,500" to "5,000".

Published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on 3-28-77, 42 FR (16471).

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11770 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP76-50]

MICHIGAN WISCONSIN PIPE LINE CO.

Order Accepting Settlement

APRIL 15, 1977.

This order addresses a proposed Stipulation and Agreement and involves formulation of a suitable curtailment plan for Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company (Michigan Wisconsin).

BACKGROUND

Michigan Wisconsin initiated this proceeding on December 29, 1975, when it filed seven tariff sheets[1] setting forth a proposed permanent curtailment plan for use on its system. By our order of January 30, 1976, we suspended the effectiveness of the sheets for one day and permitted interventions. The sheets were placed into effect on February 10, 1976. Pursuant to notice issued March 30, 1976, a settlement conference was held on April 13, 1976. Then on August 4, 1976, in response to a motion filed July 2, 1976, by Michigan Wisconsin, we ordered that a hearing be held on August 27, 1976, for the purpose of receiving into evidence a proposed Stipulation and Agreement and accompanying testimony and exhibits.

By the Presiding Administrative Law Judge's certification of August 19, 1976, we were advised that such a hearing had been convened on schedule. Tendered for Commission review were the proposed Stipulation and Agreement (Exhibit No. 1), supporting documents and testimony (Exhibit Nos. 2-11), and the one volume transcript of proceedings. Following notice issued August 30, 1976, comments in full support of the Stipulation and Agreement were filed by Michigan Wisconsin, Michigan Power Company, Michigan Gas Utilities Company, North Central Public Service Company, Michigan Consolidated Gas Company, the Wisconsin Distributor Group[2] (WDG), and Staff.[3] Illinois Power Company (Illinois Power) submitted comments in which it objected solely to the manner in which storage injections would be classified under the curtailment plan contemplated in the Stipulation and Agreement. A further round of (reply) comments on this issue pitted Michigan Wisconsin and WDG, on the one hand, against Illinois Power, on the other.[4]

THE STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

The Stipulation and Agreement essentially perpetuates the curtailment plan that went into effect on February 10, 1976. The seven tariff sheets containing that plan comprise Exhibit No. 2, and include Paragraphs 9.2 through 9.8 of the General Terms and Conditions of Michigan Wisconsin's FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1. The key provisions of the plan are found in Paragraph 9.4. That paragraph initially sets forth the following priorities of service, to be curtailed in reverse order:

1. Residential, and small commercial requirements having a Maximum Day Requirement of less than 50 Mcf, com-

---

[1] Second Revised Sheet No. 26 and Original Sheet Nos. 26A, 26B, 26C, 26D, 26E, and 26F to Michigan Wisconsin's FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1.

[2] Consisting of Wisconsin Natural Gas Company, Wisconsin Power & Light Company, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, Wisconsin Fuel & Light Company, and Wisconsin Gas Company.

[3] Commission Staff specifically reserved its right (under Section 5 of the Natural Gas Act) to submit appropriate filings in the event of changed circumstances which may affect the justness and reasonableness of Michigan Wisconsin's curtailment plan.

[4] Illinois Power's reply comments followed the reply comments of Michigan Wisconsin and WDG and were submitted in conjunction with, and as an alternative to, a motion to strike those replies as being impermissible under the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. The motion is denied.

pany use, except for power generation, and lost and unaccounted for gas.

2. Commercial and industrial requirements having a Maximum Day Requirement of less than 300 Mcf and all industrial requirements for feedstock and process needs.

3. All requirements not specified in (1), (2), (4), (5), or (6).

4. Requirements for boiler fuel use having a Maximum Day Requirement of 300 Mcf to 1,500 Mcf.

5. Requirements for boiler fuel use having a Maximum Day Requirement of 1,500 Mcf to 3,000 Mcf.

6. Requirements for boiler fuel use having a Maximum Day Requirement of 3,000 Mcf or more.

Paragraph 9.4 further provides that net customer storage injections are not included in a particular priority, but are instead distributed among the priorities on the basis of each customer's end use profile during the base period (the 1974–1975 contract year). Finally, Paragraph 9.4 sets forth certain definitions to be used in connection with these priorities of service.

The remaining paragraphs of the curtailment plan provide for temporary interruption of service (9.2), curtailment of service for supply deficiency (9.3), individual relief from curtailment (9.5), demand charge adjustment resulting from curtailment (9.6), unauthorized overrun penalties (9.7), and end use reporting requirements (9.8).

Article I of the Stipulation and Agreement modifies slightly the definitions of "Process Gas," "Boiler Fuel," and "Maximum Day Requirements" as contained in Paragraph 9.4, and provides that, upon Commission approval of the Stipulation and Agreement, Michigan Wisconsin will file First Revised Sheet No. 26C reflecting these changes. At the August 17, 1976, hearing, counsel for Michigan Wisconsin advised (Tr. 12) that the following paragraph should be added to Article I of the Stipulation and Agreement:

It is also agreed to exempt from curtailment the small general service customers purchasing gas under Rate Schedule SGS–1 for one year, until September 1, 1977, in order to permit the installation of alternate fuel capability by certain industrial customers.

Article II of the Stipulation and Agreement relates to a portion of Paragraph 9.8 whereunder customers are permitted to adjust their recorded actual monthly purchases during the 1974–1975 base year to reflect "the annual requirements of the net increase in customers attached on or before August 31, 1977." This caveat stems from the fact that Michigan Wisconsin currently has pending in Docket No. CP75–195 an application which, if approved, would allegedly enable it to increase peak day deliverability without increasing annual gas supply, as a consequence of which it would be adding new customers and thereby changing the end use apportionment on its system. In Article II the parties agree upon adjustment procedures to be utilized in the event the Commission denies Michigan Wisconsin's application in Docket No. CP75–195.

Article III provides for the plan to become permanent upon the tender and acceptance of a Final Environmental Impact Statement, in compliance with Section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act, pending which it will remain an interim plan. Article III further decrees that the Stipulation and Agreement can become effective only upon its acceptance in toto by the Commission.

POSITIONS OF THE PARTIES

As hereinabove mentioned, the only issue as to which the parties manifest disagreement is the proper treatment of storage gas. Illinois Power begins with the presumption that it is the Commission's general policy to classify all storage requirements in Priority 2 (under a 467–B plan) unless a showing is made that such a result would be inconsistent with end use. Illinois Power finds support for its position in Opinion No. 754–A, Panhandle Eastern Pipeline Company, Docket No. RP71–119 (issued February 27, 1976) and would distinguish the court's holding in Arkansas Power & Light Co. v. FPC, 517 F.2d 1223 (D.C. Cir. 1975). Illinois Power proceeds to equate priority 2 under Michigan Wisconsin's proposed plan with priority 2 under a 467–B plan and asserts that Michigan Wisconsin has failed to make the requisite showing to support its proposed method of classifying storage injections. Illinois Power criticizes Michigan Wisconsin's proposed method of storage classification as improperly premised on its distributors' annual sales profiles and not on the end use made of gas during the winter when volumes are being withdrawn from storage. Further, Illinois Power suggests that a precise correlation between withdrawals and end use could not be achieved in any event since many distributors do not meter on a daily basis. Illinois Power attempts to discount alternative reliance on a winter sales profile as potentially unrepresentative due to the fact that storage may be used during only a portion of the winter. In the wake of Michigan Wisconsin's asserted failure to establish a nexus between storage injections and end use, Illinois Power emphasizes the Commission's well-established policy of encouraging development of storage facilities, states that it has developed its own storage in reliance upon this policy, and argues that it would be unduly disadvantaged by "the deliberate downgrading of storage injections" contemplated in the Michigan Wisconsin plan.

Michigan Wisconsin and WDG initially dispute Illinois Power's contention that the Commission generally requires priority 2 classification for all storage volumes. Citing both court[5] and Commission[6] authority, these parties submit that each proposed method must be judged on its own merits against applicable end use standards. Michigan Wisconsin would distinguish the Commission's retention of priority 2 classification for all storage gas in Opinion Nos. 754–A and 778 by pointing out that in each of those cases the Commission's decision was predicated on a finding that deficiency of supply was expected to be of such a magnitude as to require year round curtailment into priority 2. WDG views the Commission's action in those cases as stemming from a failure of proof by parties who would have seen such classification discontinued.

In urging rejection of Illinois Power's proposal to classify all storage gas within priority 2 under the Michigan Wisconsin plan, Michigan Wisconsin and WDG observe the lack of record evidence showing the impact of such a measure on Michigan Wisconsin's customers. Due Process requires that parties be given an opportunity to present relevant evidence and to cross-examine. Illinois Power has foregone these opportunities in this proceeding. WDG makes much of the fact that Illinois Power voluntarily passed up the opportunity to cross-examine Michigan Wisconsin's sponsoring witnesses and chose to present no rebuttal evidence on this issue. Michigan Wisconsin agrees with Illinois Power that data could not be obtained which would permit accurate correlation of storage withdrawals with ultimate end use, but views this as insufficient reason to dispense altogether with an attempt to tie storage classification to end use, as, in Michigan Wisconsin's opinion, would be the case if Illinois Power's proposal were adopted. Michigan Wisconsin adds that such a measure would unduly discriminate against its customers who have elected to forego development of their own storage facilities in reliance upon the company use facilities of Michigan Wisconsin and, conversely, would unduly favor customers such as Illinois Power and Michigan Consolidated who have their own storage capability.

In urging acceptance of the method contained in the plan, Michigan Wisconsin and WDG emphasize the end use orientation of storage classification thereunder. WDG finds this method consistent with the methods approved by the Commission in Opinion No. 697–A, El Paso Natural Gas Company, 52 FPC 1876 (1974) and Texas Gas Transmission Company, Docket No. RP72–64 (order issued September 3, 1976). Michigan Wisconsin posits that, since consumers in all priorities are allegedly served with gas withdrawn from storage, it is only fair that storage gas be classified throughout the six priorities. In further defense of this aspect of the Michigan Wisconsin plan, Michigan Wisconsin and

[5] *Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. FPC*, 506 F.2d 33 (D.C. Cir. 1974); *Arkansas Power & Light Co. v. FPC*, 517 F.2d 1223, 1234–36 (D.C. Cir. 1975).

[6] Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company, Docket No. RP71–122 (order issued June 2, 1976); Opinion No. 778, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company, Docket No. RP72–99 (issued October 8, 1976).

WDG stress the lengthy negotiations which produced the Stipulation and Agreement, the fact that all customers save Illinois Power support the plan in toto, the relatively small percentage of Illinois Power's total supply which is purchased from Michigan Wisconsin, and, finally, the fact that, according to current projections, Illinois Power will be curtailed only slightly and only in priorities 5 and 6 during the upcoming year under Michigan Wisconsin's method. WDG advises that its members would likely withdraw their support of the Stipulation and Agreement in the event the plan's treatment of storage gas is disturbed.

DISCUSSION

We focus first on the storage issue. Michigan Wisconsin and WDG are correct in their view that we have found no single method of storage classification to be appropriate for pipelines in general. Our inclusion of pipeline customer storage injections in 467-B priority 2 was intended to be binding in a given case[7] and, indeed, could be accorded no binding force and effect given the context in which it appeared.[8] Since curtailment priorities are assigned on the basis of end use, inquiry must be made in each case as to the ultimate end use of storage gas once withdrawn for use, and storage injections are to be classified so as to properly reflect the nature of such use.[9] The proponent of any particular method of storage classification assumes the burden of demonstrating how its adoption for a particular system will serve this purpose. In the Panhandle Eastern and Transcontinental proceedings mentioned supra, this burden was not met by those challenging the status quo, nor could it have been given the severe degree of curtailment on those systems warranting priority 1 or 2 classification for all storage injections.[10]

Exhibit No. 9 contains Michigan Wisconsin's index of customer requirements for the 1974–1975 base period. As shown therein, only Michigan Consolidated and Illinois Power received gas for injection into storage during that time. For Michigan Consolidated, monthly net injections begin and peak in May, then taper downward through October, and are non-existent from November through April. (Exh. No. 9, p. 42). Illinois Power's monthly net injections begin in April, increase and stabilize in May through August, fall off rapidly in September and October, and cease altogether from November through the end of March. (Ex. No. 9, p. 74). Net monthly storage withdrawals, if any, would logically occur during the winter months for both distributors. It is therefore to these months which we should initially look for evidence of the end use to which customer storage gas is put.

Storage withdrawals are to be viewed as an independent source of winter supply. On days when net storage withdrawals occur, the net volumes withdrawn are presumed devoted to end uses in the same proportions as gas which is delivered to the distributor's particular market area from all other sources of supply. Exhibit No. 9 shows Michigan Wisconsins' deliveries to Michigan Consolidated and Illinois Power on a month by month basis. Unfortunately, the data for Illinois Power cannot be considered reliable due to apparent reporting errors.[11]

The data for Michigan Consolidated, on the other hand, clearly demonstrates that Michigan Consolidated received gas from Michigan Wisconsin throughout the winter for priority 3 through 6 end uses. Thus, assuming winter sales by Michigan Consolidated in these lower priorities, it follows that Illinois Power's proposed classification of all net storage injections within priority 2 would be unrepresentative of actual end use. Illinois Power's proposal is accordingly rejected.

It does not automatically follow, however, that the method of storage classification contemplated in the settlement should be adopted. In fact, further reference to Exhibit No. 9 reveals that, using the reasoning described above, Michigan Consolidated's annual end use profile is somewhat different from its winter end use profile. Yet, notwithstanding the fact that Michigan Consolidated could have insisted on matching storage injection priority to winter end use (the effect of which would have been to upgrade storage injection priority since the overall end use of gas is higher in the winter than in the summer), it chose not to do so. Thus, no party to this proceeding, including Staff, appears so wedded to the end use concept of storage classification that it would move to undermine the settlement should that concept not be strictly applied. In any event, the record supports the popular belief of the parties that data are not available which would facilitate precise match-up of winter withdrawals and summer injections.

Under these circumstances, we find that the method of storage classification embodied in the settlement represents an acceptable accommodation. It is consistent in spirit with the end use concept and has not been shown to be inferior to any other workable method of storage classification available to Michigan Wisconsin. In addition, it enjoys the overwhelming support of the parties to this proceeding, a fact which, while not in and of itself dispositive, should not be overlooked where the complexities of curtailment are involved.[12]

The announced objective of the settlement plan as here proposed is to protect service to residential and small commercial customers and to maintain gas service for high priority industrial use (Exhibit No. 10, page 3). This objective is consistent with the basic end use policies which we embraced in Order Nos. 467 et seq. and which we have continued to mold in later curtailment proceedings. Upon consideration, we find that the settlement plan has been properly designed to achieve its objective in a just and reasonable and not unduly discriminatory manner. We shall therefore accept the plan and give it effect as an interim plan pending an environmental review.

The Commission finds: The Stipulation and Agreement which was certified to us on August 19, 1976, in this proceeding constitute a just and reasonable settlement of all issues addressed in these proceedings.

The Commission orders: The Stipulation and Agreement is hereby accepted and permitted to take effect in accordance with its terms.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11773 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

---

[7] In Order No. 467-B we stated:

Orders in Docket No. R-469 are not finally determinative of the rights and duties of a given pipeline, its customers or ultimate consumers; [they] expressly envision [ ] further proceedings. (49 FPC at 585).

[8] *Pacific Gas & Electric Co.* v. *FPC*, supra note 5.

[9] *Arkansas Power & Light Co.* v. *FPC*, supra note 5.

[10] See Opinion No. 754-A at Slip op. p. 9; Opinion No. 778, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, Docket No. RP72-99 (issued October 8, 1976) at Slip op. p. 51.

[11] Exhibit No. 3 indicates that Illinois Power served requirements in all 6 priorities during the base year with Michigan Wisconsin gas. Exhibit No. 9, page 42, however, reflects no deliveries of flowing gas by Michigan Wisconsin at any time during the base year for priorities 3 through 6; that portion of Illinois Power's requirements allocated to priorities 3 through 6 is reported totally within "storage injections" for the months September–October 1974 and April–August 1975. Yet, according to Paragraph 9.4, storage injections are to be classified as a function of annual end use requirements. Hence, were Illinois Power accurate in reporting no flowing gas requirements in priorities 3 through 6 for the base period, then none of its storage injections should be allocated to these priorities either, but should instead be divided solely between priorities 1 and 2. Illinois Power's opposition to the method of storage classification prescribed in Paragraph 9.4 clearly suggests, however, that the error lies in Illinois Power's reporting of priority 3 through 6 flowing gas requirements and not in its misalloaction of storage injections, assuming no requirements in these lower priorities.

[12] *Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.* v. *FPC*, et al., 511 F. 2d 373 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

[Docket No. CP76-208, etc.]

MID-CONTINENT GAS STORAGE CO., ET AL.

Order Providing Formal Hearing, Consolidating Proceedings, and Granting Interventions

APRIL 14, 1977.

On December 10, 1975, Mid-Continent Gas Storage Company (Mid-Continent), filed an application as supplemented on July 2, 1976, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act authorizing the acquisition, construction, and operation

of certain pipeline and storage facilities. On February 12, 1977, Iowa Illinois Gas and Electric Company (Iowa-Illinois) filed a petition to intervene and application for a partial exemption under section 1(c) of the Natural Gas Act. On February 6, 1977, Northern Illinois Gas Company (NI-Gas) filed a petition to intervene and an application for continuing exemption under section 1(c) of the Natural Gas Act.

It is proposed that Mid-Continent, a wholly-owned subsidiary of NI-Gas, would develop and operate the Media Field as an aquifer natural gas storage reservior. The field and related equipment would be acquired from NI-Gas at original cost and NI-Gas would provide equity financing and management services. It is contemplated that Mid-Continent would construct 43.5 miles of 30 inch pipeline to connect the Media field with Amarillo pipeline of Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) in Rock Island County, Illinois. Mid-Continent would receive injection gas at and deliver withdrawal gas for its customers' accounts at said interconnection. Mid-Continent's customers would be Northern Natural Gas Company, Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company, and Kaskaskia Gas Company (together, The Customers).

The application states that Natural presently delivers gas into the Mississippi River District portion of NI-Gas' intrastate system which includes a distribution main extending from Rock Island County to a point 7.5 miles west of the Media Field. In order to postpone investment by Mid-Continent in the 30-inch pipeline, NI-Gas states, that it has agreed that during the initial testing period (estimated by Mid-Continent at approximately 30 months) NI-Gas would transport gas from Rock Island County to an interconnecting point between NI-Gas' distribution main and a 10-inch pipeline to the Media Field to be constructed by Mid-Continent.

Further, NI-Gas states, it has agreed that during the initial testing period it would facilitate the delivery of test withdrawal gas to Mid-Continent's customers. It is said that most of the withdrawal gas during this period would be delivered by Mid-Continent into NI-Gas' Mississippi River District distribution system and used to serve the daily requirements of that system. In order to make equivalent volumes of gas available to Mid-Continent's customers, Natural would, on each day withdrawals would be made, reduce its deliveries to NI-Gas in Rock Island County and increase deliveries to Mid-Continent's customers by an amount equivalent to the withdrawal quantities used by NI-Gas. It is stated that no more than five days during the initial testing period would test withdrawal quantities of gas exceed NI-Gas' daily gas requirements for the Mississippi River District. On those days, NI-Gas states, a quantity of gas (estimated at no more than 15,000 Mcf on any one day) would be transported back through NI-Gas' distribution main to Rock Island County for delivery to Natural. Natural would, in turn, deliver these volumes to Mid-Continent's customers by displacement, the application states.

NI-Gas alleges that all of the gas to be transported by it would be received within the State of Illinois and that virtually all of the gas would be consumed within the State of Illinois. Further, NI-Gas states, the transportation and rescheduling arrangements are for a limited term and would permit Mid-Continent to undertake its initial tests without first incurring the substantial investments associated with the construction of the 30-inch pipeline. The application states that NI-Gas' participation in the transportation and rescheduling arrangements are contingent upon the Commission's declaration that NI-Gas's existing exemption under the Section 1(c) of the Natural Gas Act would not be affected by its participation in these arrangements and NI-Gas requests that the Commission so find.

Similarly, Iowa-Illinois, requests a declaration of partial exemption under section 1(c) of the Natural Gas Act that, with respect to the contemplated arrangements for the transportation, rescheduling and sale of natural gas, such contemplations are non-jurisdictional as to it and its status under the Natural Gas Act will not be affected thereby.

Iowa-Illinois states that its participation will not require additional facilities of Iowa-Illinois, the volumes of gas to be injected, stored, and withdrawn, all within the state of Illinois, for its distributive use will be transported solely by others for its account, and by Natural utilizing existing points of delivery between Natural and Iowa-Illinois. Iowa-Illinois states that in the anticipated course of events, volumes made available for injection, storage and withdrawal, will not be sold, by it, for resale, but an exception could occur. To occur, if at all, during the development period, and only in the event of its default or as a result of the dissolution of its participation in the storage project, Iowa-Illinois says that its storage gas could be sold.

Iowa-Illinois alleges that it will participate to the extent of 5% under the Development Agreement. During its term, and subsequently under a Service Agreement pursuant to Midco's FPC Gas Tariff, Iowa-Illinois further alleges that through rescheduling arrangements, it will cause to be delivered storage injection volumes to the Natural-Ni-Gas Rock Island delivery point (during the development stage) or (subsequently) to the Natural-Mid-Continent delivery point. Iowa-Illinois anticipates consummation of a rescheduling agreement with Natural which will provide for the passage of title from Natural to it of rescheduled injection volumes which will be delivered by Natural at the NI-Gas delivery point (ultimately to Mid-Continent delivery point) for the account of Iowa-Illinois, as a top gas, or to Mid-Continent as to base and operational gas. The base gas would allegedly be sold by Iowa-Illinois, to Mid-Continent but will not be sold for resale. Title to top gas would remain with Iowa-Illinois, the transportation of which will be by others—Natural, Ni-Gas (and ultimately by Mid-Continent), and by displacement, withdrawal volumes will be delivered by Natural to Iowa-Illinois at existing delivery points.

The application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity of Mid-Continent in Docket No. CP76-208, as well as the petition of Iowa-Illinois to intervene therein and its application for a partial exemption, was noticed on January 30, 1976 (41 FR 4608). The application of NI-Gas for a similar exemption, in Docket No. G-10632, was noticed on April 27, 1976 (41 FR 17683). Timely petitions for leave to intervene were filed by Iowa-Illinois, the Brick People, et al., NI-Gas, Southern Union Gas Company, Northern Natural Gas Company, (Northern) Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Kaskaskia Gas Company, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota and Wisconsin), Minnesota Gas Company, Wisconsin Gas Company, Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company, Metropolitan Utilities District of Omaha, and Iowa Electric Light and Power Company; a late notice of intervention was filed by the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin and untimely petitions to intervene were filed by the Kansas City Star Company, Lake Superior District Power Company, Northern Central Public Service Company, Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Wisconsin Power and Light Company, and Iowa Power and Light Company. A deficient petition for leave to intervene was filed by Iowa Public Service Company. Mid-Continent filed an answer in opposition to petitions to intervene of the Brick People and the Kansas City Star Company, Flambeau Paper Division. An answer to the same was filed by the Flambeau Paper Company.

We find that these proceedings should be set for hearing. The Brick People, et al., question the need for this storage field, asking whether new customers will be served as a result; whether existing customers will be curtailed to furnish gas for storage purposes, therefore making gas available to distributors to serve new customers; and question what end use these new customers would have for this gas. They ask which of Northern's customers, having what end uses, would in fact be forced to bear additional gas service interruptions caused by the new storage gas injection requirements; which of these customers have alternate fuel capabilities (other than propane); whether new customers that might be added to as a result of the Media Storage Project now in use, or if not yet built, could utilize alternate fuels; whether existing rate payers of Northern should be saddled with the enormous cost of this project; whether the storage field service would

be used to augment winter service to customers with alternate fuel capabilities; and would this new storage service be in the public interest.

The Flambeau Paper Company survivor in interest to the Kansas City Star Company, Flambeau Paper Division, and the Lake Superior District Power Company (Lake Superior) point out that the gas used in Lake Superior's electric generating plant has an end use efficiency of approximately 57% when the steam generated is also used to operate the Flambeau Paper Company's plant. Consequently, these two companies object strenuously to Midco's proposed installation of compressors whose gas-fueled internal combustion engines have an operating efficiency of approximately 30%. They also state that without steam from Lake Superior's plant the operation of Flambeau Paper plant is not economically feasible.

While the Commission has consistently required pipelines to husband existing supplies of gas through the use of storage and has favored the development of new storage projects to assist pipelines in meeting existing peak day requirements, the question of whether pipelines should be permitted to increase storage to meet the demands of new high-priority customers has not been resolved. If gas supplies continue to decline, then all increased storage capability will ultimately be neded to meet the demands of existing customers. However, if growth occurs in the interim, the new customers will be assigned to the appropriate curtailment priorities with existing customers curtailed proportionately. This could lead to a situation where other existing high-priority users, such as industrial feedstock consumers, are curtailed significantly in advance of the date that they would otherwise be curtailed because a pipeline has used its expanded storage capability to permit its distributors to add new residential and small commercial customers.

Because growth is a factor in these proceedings, we believe the hearing established below should address the question of whether applicant should be permitted to increase its storage capability to satisfy additional demands represented by growth and, if the question is answered negatively, what conditions should be attached to any permanent certificates issued in these proceedings to prohibit such use. Thus, the hearing prescribed in these consolidated proceedings is to develop a record inter alia regarding the following issues:

I. How is the public convenience and necessity advanced by the construction and operation of these proposed facilities and proposed declaration of exemptions?

II. What customers and which priority markets will be served if the proposed facilities are constructed and which customers and which priority markets will be likely to be curtailed to furnish gas for this proposed service?

III. What effect would the construction and operation of the proposed facilities have on existing customers, and what is the current gas balance of each of the customers from 1973 to 1979?

IV. Who should pay the cost of the proposed facilities and service?

V. Describe the impact of diverting additional gas supplies to storage during the summer period on existing customers, as well as the impact of declarations of exemptions.

VI. Will any existing customers of the customers receive less gas on an annual and peak day basis as a result of the proposed facilities?

VII. If new customers are proposed to be served, identify these customers and their peaks day and annual requirements according to the priorities prescribed in 18 CFR § 2.78(a)(1), to include, but not limited to alternate fuel capability, priority level, and location.

VIII. Does the continued addition of new customers advance the public interest?

IX. State in detail the activities contemplated herein by NI-Gas and Iowa-Illinois.

X. What conditions, if any, should be attached to the permanent certificates, if any, issued in these proceedings?

XI. What is the projected standard operating efficiency of the gas-fueled compressor engines, and how much improvement thereas can be achieved?

The responses to these issues should give consideration to the Commission's determination in *Northern Natural Gas Company*, Opinion No. 773, —— FPC —— (August 13, 1976), wherein we stated (id. at 2-3):

> In general, we agree with the conclusion of the Administrative Law Judge, that a pipeline presently curtailing existing customers should not be authorized to attach new customers regardless of the priority of use to which the new customers would put any natural gas which they receive. In the absence of some compelling public interest consideration existing customers should not be cut off in order that new customers may receive service who had never previously received natural gas deliveries. In addition, we agree with the Judge that the de minimis nature of the proposed new service cannot be controlling since one de minimis approval after another can accumulate to the point where there is a substantial effect on the other customers of the pipeline. We further agree with the Judge that the availability of alternate fuels is not controlling where the customers' facilities for using gas or other fuels have not yet even been installed and no determination on either an absolute or economic basis can be made.

The Commission finds: (1) It is necessary and appropriate in carrying out the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that a public hearing be held on the matters involved and the issues presented in these proceedings, as hereinbefore described.

(2) The public convenience and necessity warrants the consolidation of Docket Nos. CP76-208, CP76-276, and G-10632 in pertinent part.

(3) Participation in these proceedings by aforementioned intervenors may be in the public interest. Permitting the filing of the late petitions to intervene will not delay the proceedings and may be in the public interest. The petition of Iowa Public Service Company to intervene or denied without orejudicate.

The Commission orders: (A) The proceedings in Docket Nos. CP76-208, CP76-276, and pertinent parts of G-10632 set for hearing and disposition.

(B) Pursuant to the Natural Gas Act, particularly Sections 4, 5, and 15 thereof, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR Part 1), and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Chapter I, Subchapter E), and a prehearing conference shall be held on May 17, 1977, commencing at 10 a.m. in a hearing room of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, to discuss procedural issues and the clarification of issues.

(C) An Administrative Law Judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose (see Delegation of Authority, 18 CFR 3.5(d)), shall preside at the prehearing conference in this proceeding with authority to establish and change all procedural dates, and to rule on all motions (with the sole exceptions of petitions to intervene, motions to consolidate or sever, and motions to dismiss), as provided for in the Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(D) The direct case of Mid-Continent including testimony on the issues raised by this order, shall be filed and served on all parties, the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, and the Commission Staff on or before April 11, 1977. All supporting intervenors shall file testimony and exhibits comprising their cases in chief on or before April 19, 1977. Similarly, opposing intervenors and Staff may file their testimony on or before May 3, 1977, and should said filing give rise to the need for Mid-Continent or supporting intervenors to file in rebuttal, the same shall be done on or before May 10, 1977.

(E) The aforementioned are permitted to intervene in the instant proceeding subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however*, That participation of such intervenors shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in the petitions to intervene; and *Provided, further*, That the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they might be aggrieved because of any order of the Commission entered in the proceeding.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11769 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ES77-24]

PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT CO.

Notice of Application

APRIL 14, 1977.

Take notice that on April 8, 1977, Pacific Power & Light Company (Appli-

cant), a Maine corporation, qualified to transact business in the states of Oregon, Wyoming, Washington, California, Montana, and Idaho, with its principal business office at Portland, Oregon, filed an application with the Federal Power Commission, pursuant to section 204 of the Federal Power Act, seeking an order authorizing it to issue not to exceed $40,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of its Serial Preferred Stock of the par value of $100 per share or No Par Serial Preferred Stock (New Preferred Stock) and exempting the issuance and sale thereof from the competitive bidding requirements of § 34.1a of the Commission's regulations.

The New Preferred Stock will consist of a new series of either Applicant's presently authorized No Par Serial Preferred Stock or Applicant's presently authorized Serial Preferred Stock of the par value of $100 per share. The New Preferred Stock will be entitled to cumulative dividends at such rates, will be redeemable at such redemption prices, will be entitled to such preferences on voluntary or involuntary liquidation and, in the case of the No Par Serial Preferred Stock, will have such voting rights as shall be approved and fixed by Applicant's Board of Directors after Applicant has received from underwriters, through either competitive bids or negotiation, their proposed terms for the purchase of the New Preferred Stock.

Proceeds from the issuance and sale of the New Preferred Stock will be used to repay short-term notes prior to or as they mature and to finance, in part, Applicant's 1977–1978 construction program presently estimated at $602,141,000.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before April 29, 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11771 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. E-8514, E-9133, and ER76-302]

SOUTHERN SERVICES, INC.

Order Approving Settlement

APRIL 15, 1977.

On February 1, 1977, Southern Company Services, Inc., together with Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, and Mississippi Power Company (collectively "Southern Company Affiliates") filed a proposed Settlement Agreement intended to resolve all issues in these proceedings. The Commission finds that the Settlement Agreement is in the public interest and accepts and approves it as hereinafter ordered.

The subject dockets were initiated by filings of annual revisions to the Southern Company Power Pool Intercompany Interchange Contract (Intercompany Contract) for calendar years 1974, 1975, and 1976, respectively. By orders issued July 5, 1974, December 31, 1974, and December 31, 1975, respectively, the Commission, inter alia suspended the proposed rate changes and entered upon hearings concerning their lawfulness.

The proposed Settlement Agreement, entered into by and between Southern Company Affiliates and the Cities of Acworth, et al. and the City of Dalton, primarily provides for the filing of a new Intercompany Contract together with new procedures to be used thereunder and a set of rate schedules to be used in calendar year 1977. Such filing was in fact made on December 1, 1976, in Docket No. ER77-86. By order issued December 27, 1976, in that docket, the Commission entered upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of the new Intercompany Contract. Any objections as to the new Intercompany Contract may be raised in that proceeding inasmuch as no party has waived the right to do so.

Public notice of the filing of the proposed settlement was issued on February 15, 1977, with comments due on or before February 28, 1977. On March 14, 1977, Staff filed comments in support of the proposed settlement.

Based on our review of the record in these proceedings, including the Settlement Agreement itself, the Commission finds that the proposed settlement represents a reasonable resolution of the issues in these proceedings in the public interest. Accordingly, the settlement shall be approved.

The Commission finds: The proposed Settlement Agreement should be approved and made effective as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders: (A) The Settlement Agreement is hereby accepted, incorporated herein by reference and approved.

(B) This order is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been made or which may hereafter be made by the Commission, and is without prejudice to any claims or contentions which may be made by the Commission, its Staff, or any party or person affected by this order in any proceedings now pending or hereafter instituted by or against the Southern Company Affiliates or any other person or party.

(C) Docket Nos. E-8514, E-9133, and ER76-302 are hereby terminated.

(D) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11767 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. RP76-15 and RP76-98]

ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Proposed Tariff Sheet Filing

APRIL 15, 1977.

Take notice that on April 6, 1977, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company ("Algonquin Gas") filed the following proposed tariff sheets to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1:

Second Revised Sheet No. 20-A. Third Revised Sheet No. 20-B.

The tariff sheets are identical to the tariff sheets attached to a settlement reached by Algonquin Gas and its regular SNG-1 customers in Docket Nos. RP76-15 and RP76-98 and are being filed for Commission acceptance on condition that the Commission fails to approve such settlement prior to the expiration of thirty days from the date of such tariff filing.

By its tariff filing, Algonquin Gas proposes to extend the Purchased Feedstock Adjustment Clause ("PFAC") applicable to its Rate Schedule SNG-1 consistent with the settlement in Docket Nos. RP76-15 and RP76-98. The PFAC would provide, through a Deferred Gas Cost Account with related amortization, surcharges for reimbursement to Algonquin Gas for undercharges or reimbursement to the Company's customers for overcharges resulting from the difference between (i) the actual feedstock costs for manufacturing gas delivered under such Rate Schedule SNG-1, and (ii) the base feedstock costs included in the charges to such customers for service under such rate schedule.

Algonquin Gas further states that copies of the filing have been served upon all of its customers and interested state regulatory commissions.

Any persons desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 29, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11784 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-242]

DETROIT EDISON CO.

Tariff Change

APRIL 15, 1977.

Take notice that The Detroit Edison Company (Detroit) on April 4, 1977 tendered for filing a Certificate of Concurrence with a letter agreement, dated January 15, 1977, with Consumers Power

Company, The Detroit Edison Company, and Indiana & Michigan Electric Company which amends the Emergency Service Schedule A, a part of the Operating Agreement between the above parties, dated March 1, 1966. Detroit indicates that the letter agreement provides effective April 15, 1977 for an increase in the minimum charge for Emergency energy from 17.5 mils per kilowatthour to 30 mills per kilowatthour.

Detroit also indicates that the increased rate is necessary to more adequately compensate parties supplying Emergency energy for their operating costs and that the effect upon Emergency energy transactions conducted during the past twelve months would be very minimal.

The Detroit Edison Company respectfully requests that pursuant to § 35.11 of the Commission's rules and regulations, the Commission waive the notice requirements contained in § 35.3 of the regulations and permit Detroit Edison's concurrence, and the enclosed Amendment No. 10 to the Operating Agreement, to become effective on April 15, 1977.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said Agreement should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 25, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this Agreement are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11781 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. E-9181]

NANTAHALA POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Further Extension of Time

APRIL 15, 1977.

On April 8, 1977, Nantahala Power and Light Company filed a motion to further extend the time for filing responses to the motion filed by the Town of Highlands, North Carolina, on March 9, 1977. By Commission notice issued March 24, 1977, an extension of time was granted to April 14, 1977. The motion states that counsel for all parties have been contacted and have no objections to the extension.

Upon condsideration, notice is hereby given that the date for filing responses is extended to and including May 6, 1977.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11785 Filed 4-22-77; 8:45 am]

[Project No. 382]

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

Location and Procedures for Public Session

APRIL 15, 1977.

By letter issued November 26, 1976, the Federal Power Commission advised Congressman William M. Ketchum that in light of his request and those of other residents of Kern County asking for a public hearing on the operation of Borel Project No. 382, the Commission had determined that three short-term procedures should be implemented before it examined the application for a new license for Borel Project No. 382 filed by Southern California Edison Company. The first short-term procedure involved the Commission's Secretary requesting from the U.S. Corps of Engineers information respecting the operation of Lake Isabella and any agreements they have with the downstream irrigation districts. The second short-term procedure involved an informal conference conducted by the Commission's Staff to be held in Washington, D.C. with the following parties invited to participate: (a) U.S. Corps of Engineers; (b) Southern California Edison Co.; (c) all interested Federal and State agencies; and (d) all intervenors to the relicensing proceeding. Both short-term procedures 1 and 2 have now been implemented. The third short-term procedure provided that a public session would be held in the vicinity of the Borel Project No. 382 for the purpose of giving the public an opportunity to make its views known to the Commission regarding the operation of the Borel Project.

The Borel Project No. 382, owned and operated by Southern California Edison Company, was licensed by the Federal Power Commission in 1925. The Borel Project, located on the Kern River in Kern County, California, is, in part, within the Corps of Engineers' Isabella Reservoir. During dry years, when the Corps' Isabella reservoir is below 110,000 acre-feet, as is the current situation, Southern California Edison Company can reactivate that part of its Borel Canal within the Corps' reservoir in order to maintain adequate water flows to the Borel powerhouse. Generally, the aforementioned requests for a public hearing concerned primarily the effects the reactivation of the Borel Canal may have on public safety, recreation, and fishery resources.

In accordance with the Commission direction of November 26, 1976, Commission staff counsel will convene two public sessions in the City Council Chambers, 1501 Truxton Avenue, Bakersfield, California, beginning at 10:00 a.m., on May 13, 1977, and at 7:00 p.m., on May 13, 1977, and continuing thereafter until concluded so that members of the public, including interested Federal, State and local agencies, may be afforded an opportunity to state their views orally and in writing regarding the operation of the Borel project and any effects it may have on public safety, recreation and fishery resources. All oral and written statements presented will be transcribed by a court reporter into the written record of the public session.

To avoid confusion and to insure that all persons have the opportunity to state their positions, the following procedures will be observed at the public session:

All persons desiring to be heard or wishing to submit written statements should, prior to the convening of the sessions listed above, fill out cards with their names, addresses, and the organization they represent, if any. The cards then should be given to the Commission staff counsel. Blank cards will be available at the entrance to the City Council Chambers.

When a person's name is called, the person should come forward and state his name, address, and organization, if any. If he has a written statement, he should give the reporter a copy. If an oral statement is to be given, the person should proceed to make the statement. In cases where a person submits a written statement and also wishes to make an oral statement, the oral remarks should only summarize briefly the highlights of the written statement, since all written statements will be copied into the record as though read. The statements made at the public session do not constitute evidence, and the persons giving statements will not be subject to cross-examination.

If a person desires to make a statement for the record but is unable to be present at the time their name is called, they may leave a copy of their statement with the reporter, and such statement will be copied into the record as though read or presented orally. If for any reason a person desiring to be heard is unable to attend the public session in person, he may submit a written statement by May 13, 1977, to the Secretary, Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, and such statement will be made a part of the record of the public session.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11782 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Project No. 2334]

WESTERN MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC CO.

Application for Change in Land Rights

APRIL 15, 1977.

Public notice is hereby given that an application was filed on March 1, 1977 under the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r), by Western Massachusetts Electric Company (WMECO) (Correspondence to: Mr. Leon E. Maglathlin, Jr., Vice President, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, 174 Brush Hill Avenue, West Springfield, Massachusetts 01089) for Commission approval of the

conveyance of a parcel of the project lands to the Town of Buckland (Town).

The Gardners Falls Project is located on the Deerfield River in the towns of Buckland and Shelburne in Franklin County, Massachusetts. The 0.74 acre parcel that would be conveyed is located in the northwestern corner of the project area, on the western portion of the project recreation area which is approximately 1200 feet upstream of Gardners Falls Dam, on the west bank of the Deerfield River in the Town of Buckland.

The parcel that would be conveyed encroaches on the Gardners Falls Road which provides the only access to the Town of Buckland's sewage treatment plant. WMECO states that the encroachment is the result of an inadvertent misdescription of the project boundary in the license granted to WMECO by the Commission on July 15, 1964 and that it was never WMECO's intention that the project encroach on the road. WMECO has accordingly applied for Commission approval of its intended conveyance of the encroaching parcel to the Town.

Applicant has requested the shortened procedure provided for under § 1.32(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.32(b) (1976).

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before June 3, 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission, 825 N. Capitol St. NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1976). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 308 and 309 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 825g and 825h, and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, specifically § 1.32(b), a hearing on this application may be held before the Commission without further notice if no issue of substance is raised by any request to be heard, protest, or petition filed subsequent to this notice within the time required herein. If an issue of substance is so raised, further notice of hearing will be given.

Under the shortened procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will not be necessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing before the Commission.

The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11783 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP.

Revised Tariff Sheets

[Doc. No. RP72-157 (R&D 77-2a)]

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that on April 7, 1977, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation (Consolidated) tendered for filing Substitute Twenty-Second Revised Sheet Nos. 8 and 9 to its FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1. Consolidated states that these sheets are filed pursuant to Section 13 (R&D Cost Adjustment Clause) of the General Terms and Conditions of its tariff and that the Substitute Tariff Sheets are proposed to become effective May 1, 1977, in lieu of Twenty-Second Revised Nos. 8 and 9 which were filed on March 31, 1977.

According to Consolidated, the Substitute Sheets reflect a decrease of $0.6 million from the revenue level of the rates shown in Twenty-Second Revised Sheet Nos. 8 and 9. Consolidated states that the effect of this change reduces the filed R&D Cost Adjustment surcharge from 0.11 cent per Mcf to 0.01 cent per Mcf.

Consolidated requests waiver of any Commission Rule or Regulation in order that the Substitute Sheets may become effective on May 1, 1977.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 29, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 77-11852 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Doc. No. E-9588]

FLORIDA POWER CORP.

Application for Sale of Electric Transmission Facilities

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that Florida Power Corporation on April 8, 1977, applied for an Order under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act authorizing the sale by Florida Power Corporation of 2.1 miles of a 115 kv transmission tie line in Bay County, Florida, to Gulf Power Company for a consideration of $146,000.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 27, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 77-11851 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Project No. 1971]

IDAHO POWER CO.

Application for Amendment of License

APRIL 19, 1977.

Public notice is hereby given that an application for amendment of license was filed on March 8, 1977, under the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 791a-825r) by Idaho Power Company (IPC) (Correspondence to: Mr. Lee S. Sherline, Leighton and Sherline, Suite 406, 1701 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006; and Mr. William R. Fleming, General Counsel, Idaho Power Company, P.O. Box 70, Boise, Idaho 83721) for the Hells Canyon Project, FPC Project No. 1971, located on the Snake River in the Counties of Payette, Adams, and Washington, Idaho, and the Counties of Wallowa, Baker, and Malheur, Oregon. The Snake River is a navigable waterway of the United States.

According to the application, IPC proposes to tap the Brownlee-Boise Bench 230 kV transmission line of Project No. 1971 at a point approximately two miles southeast of the Paddock Valley Reservoir in Washington County, Idaho, and from that point construct a 230 kV double circuit transmission line approximately 24 miles long, extending in a southwesterly direction through the County of Payette and passing approximately one mile south of the Town of Payette, Idaho. The proposed transmission line, which would cross the Payette and Snake Rivers as well as lands under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Land Management, would connect to the existing IPC nonproject Ontario substantion, located approximately one mile north of the Town of Ontario in the County in Malheur, Oregon.

IPC states that the proposed transmission line is necessary to provide needed electrical service to the rapidly growing western Idaho and eastern Oregon regions.

Applicant requests the use of the shortened procedures pursuant to § 1.32 (b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C.

20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before June 6, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by Sections 308 and 309 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 825g, 825h) and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, specifically § 1.32(b) (18 CFR 1.32(b) (1976)), a hearing before the Commission may be held on this application without further notice if no issue of substance is raised by any request to be heard, protest or petition filed subsequent to this notice within the time required herein.

Under the shortened procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will not be necessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing before the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11854 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-291]

INDIANA & MICHIGAN ELECTRIC CO.

Changes in Rates and Charges

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEP) on April 8, 1977, tendered for filing on behalf of its affiliate, Indiana & Michigan Electric Company (Indiana Company), Modification No. 10 dated March 15, 1977, to the Interconnection Agreement dated December 30, 1960 (1960 Agreement), between Indiana Company and Indianapolis Power & Light Company (Indianapolis Company), designated Indiana Company Rate Schedule FPC No. 21.

AEP indicates that Modification No. 10 provides, effective May 15, 1977, for participation by the parties in Economy Energy transactions involving systems which are not parties to the 1960 Agreement.

AEP also indicates that under the proposed Modification, transactions with systems not a party to the 1960 Agreement would be priced, as was previously contemplated under the 1960 Agreement, on the basis of costs incurred, plus a sharing by all of the participants of the savings realized by the ultimate receiving system. Transmission losses are one of the costs incurred. Each system participating in an Economy Energy transaction other than as the supplying or receiving system would receive 15 percent of the savings; the supplying and ultimate receiving system would divide the remainder of the savings. AEP states that the proposed 15 percent of savings allocated to each intermediate system was arrived at through negotiation and is intended to recognize participation in the transaction. AEP further states that since Economy Energy Tranactions will depend upon the availability of Economy Energy, the need of another system for such energy and possible transmission restrictions, it is impossible to estimate the transactions and revenues resulting from the proposed service.

Copies of the filing were served upon Indianapolis Power & Light Company, the Public Service Commission of Indiana and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 27, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11854 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Project No. 733]

COLORADO-UTE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC.

Issuance of Annual License(s)

APRIL 19, 1977.

On February 27, 1969, The Western Colorado Power Company, Licensee for Ouray Project No. 733, located on the Uncompahgre River in Ouray County, Colorado, filed an application for a new license pursuant to the Federal Power Act and Commission Regulations thereunder.

The license for Project No. 733 was issued effective April 13, 1960, for a period ending April 12, 1970. Since expiration of the original license, the project has been maintained and operated under annual licenses, the most recent of which will expire on April 12, 1976. In order to authorize the continued operation and maintenance of the project pending Commission action on Licensee's application, it is appropriate and in the public interest to issue an annual license to the Colorado-Ute Electric Association, Inc.

Take notice that an annual license is issued to the Colorado-Ute Electric Association, Inc. for the period April 13, 1977, to April 12, 1978, or until Federal takeover, or until the issuance of a new license for the project, whichever comes first, for the continued operation and maintenance of the Ouray Project No. 733 subject to the terms and conditions of the original license. Take further notice that if Federal takeover or issuance of a new license does not take place on or before April 12, 1978, a new annual license will be issued each year thereafter, effective April 13 of each year, until such time as Federal takeover takes place or a new license is issued, without further notice being given by the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11848 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-294]

INTERSTATE POWER CO.

Filing of a Rate Schedule Amendment

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that Interstate Power Company on April 11, 1977, tendered for filing a proposed amendment to its FPC Electric Service Rate Schedule, Number 110. The rate schedule involved is an electric service agreement between Interstate and Independence, Iowa. Interstate indicates that the proposed amendment expands the scope of service available to the city by providing firm power service to the city.

Interstate states that the City of Independence requested firm power service from Interstate to supplement the existing capacity of the city's municipal utility system. Interstate also states that it is able to provide the requested firm power service from its system and consequently, an amendment to the electric service agreement with the city was executed to reflect the applicable firm power service provisions.

Interstate requests an effective date of March 25, 1977, for the amendment and a waiver of the 30 days filing limitation of § 35.3(a) as the City desires that firm power service commence with the beginning meter reading date that Interstate will use in computing the April billing for Independence.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 4, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11845 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

KANSAS-NEBRASKA NATURAL GAS CO., INC.

Filing of Proposed Stipulation and Agreement

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that on April 8, 1977, Kansas-Nebraska Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Kansas-Nebraska) submitted a proposed Stipulation and Agreement for approval by the Commission. Kansas-Nebraska states that the proposed Agreement is the result of discussions among Kansas-Nebraska, its jurisdictional customers and the Commission Staff. Kansas-Nebraska further states that the Agreement, if approved, would settle all issues in the captioned proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said settlement agreement should file comments with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before April 27, 1977. Comments will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken. Copies of this agreement are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11847 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-292]

KANSAS POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Tender of Service Schedule

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that on April 8, 1977, The Kansas Power and Light Company (KPL) tendered for filing Service Schedule H, Interim Participation Power Service, to the Interconnection Contract between KPL and Central Kansas Power Company, Inc. (Central Kansas), designated KPL Rate Schedule FPC No. 123. The requested effective date is June 1, 1977.

KPL states that under the service schedule it will furnish to Central Kansas a maximum of 112 mW of capacity from June 1, 1977 through May 31, 1978.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 27, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11841 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-299]

MONTAUP ELECTRIC CO.

Tariff Filing

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that Montaup Electric Company, on April 12, 1977, tendered for filing service agreements providing for Montaup's transmission of certain power purchase of the Middleborough (Massachusetts) Municipal Gas and Electric Department pursuant to Montaup's generally applicable transmission tariff. This service commenced on March 1, 1977 and will terminate on October 31, 1977.

Montaup requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to allow an effective date of March 1, 1977 for this service.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Middleborough Municipal Gas and Electric Department and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 4, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11844 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-300]

MONTAUP ELECTRIC CO.

Tariff Filing

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that Montaup Electric Company, on April 12, 1977, tendered for filing (1) a contract between Montaup and the Town of Hingham (Massachusetts) Municipal Lighting Plant for the sale of 2.8526 percent (3,600 kW) of the capability of Montaup's Somerset No. 6 unit to Hingham and (2) a service agreement providing for the transmission of the Hingham purchase across Montaup's system pursuant to Montaup's generally applicable transmission tariff. Service under the rate schedules commenced on March 1, 1977 and will terminate on April 30, 1977.

Montaup requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements to allow an effective date of March 1, 1977 for this service.

Copies of the filing were setrved upon the Hingham Municipal Lighting Plant and the Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 4, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11843 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-928]

OHIO VALLEY ELECTRIC CORP.

Tariff Change

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that Ohio Valley Electric Corporation (Ohio Valley), on April 12, 1977, tendered for filing a proposed Modification No. 4 dated as of April 30, 1976 to the First Supplementary Transmission Agreement dated July 10, 1953 among Ohio Valley Electric Corporation and its Sponsoring Companies. Each of the Sponsoring Companies filed a Certificate of Concurrence in Modification No. 4. The Certificate of Concurrence filed by the Toledo Edison Company also concurs in the First Supplementary Transmission Agreement and Modifications Nos. 1, 2 and 3 thereto.

Ohio Valley indicates that proposed Modification No. 4 effects changes in the First Supplementary Transmission Agreement referred to above with respect to the furnishing by Louisville Gas and Electric Company, one of the Sponsoring Companies, of certain transmission facilities in lieu of certain transmission facilities in lieu of certain transmission facilities previously furnished. An effective date of June 1, 1977 has been designated for the proposed Modification. Waiver of certain notice requirements has also been requested.

Copies of the filing are being mailed to each jurisdictional customer under the First Supplementary Transmission Agreement.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 4, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make pro-

testants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11846 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP77-6]

SEA ROBIN PIPELINE CO.

Revised Tariff Sheets and Surcharge Adjustment

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that on March 31, 1977, Sea Robin Pipeline Company (Sea Robin) tendered for filing tariff sheets which reflect the elimination from the proposed rates in the captioned docket of the costs associated with facilities which are not certificated and in service on March 31, 1977. The tendered tariff sheets are:

ORIGINAL VOLUME No. 1

Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 4

ORIGINAL VOLUME No. 2

Fifth Revised Sheet No. 6
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 21
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 39
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 64
Sixth Revised Sheet No. 96
Fifth Revised Sheet No. ...

These tariff sheets are filed pursuant to the Commission order of November 30, 1976, in the instant docket.

Sea Robin states that the rates shown on Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 4 reflect also Sea Robin's current gas cost and surcharge adjustment determined in accordance with the provisions of the PGA clause to its FPC Gas Tariff.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 29, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11850 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-64]

SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Notice of Petition

APRIL 19, 1977.

Take notice that on March 25, 1977, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202, filed in Docket No. RP73-64 a petition for approval for tracking the costs associated with new pipeline supplies in its Purchased Gas Adjustment Account. Southern will be receiving new pipeline supplies from Sea Robin Pipeline Company (Sea Robin) which are associated with Sea Robin's purchase of gas from Block 22, Vermilion Area, offshore Louisiana.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before April 29, 1977. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11849 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP77-324]

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Application

APRIL 18, 1977.

Take notice that on March 31, 1977, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Applicant), P.O. Box 2521, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CP77-324 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of natural gas on a best efforts basis for Piedmont Exploration Company, Inc. (Piedmont Exploration) a wholly owned subsidiary of Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. (Piedmont), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to transport up to 30 dekatherms (dths) of natural gas per day for Piedmont Exploration pursuant to the proposed Rate Schedule TS-2. Applicant states that under the terms of the proposed Rate Schedule TS-2, it would transport on an interruptible, best efforts basis, a maximum daily transportation quantity to be agreed upon by service agreement dated March 23, 1977, between Applicant and Piedmont Exploration. Applicant further states that Piedmont, a direct customer of Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), has acquired from it producing subsidiary gas supplies of approximately 30 dths per day in the South Gist Field, located in Newton County, Texas which would be delivered to Applicant at its existing interconnection located in New County, Texas, and Applicant would redeliver said volume of gas to Transco at either point of interconnection in East Feliciana Parish or Beauregard Parish, Louisiana for delivery to Piedmont.

Applicant states that the transportation rate which it proposes to charge for transportation service under the Rate Schedule TS-2 is an amount equal to its rate for deliveries in the particular zone at which the proposed delivery would be made, based on the 100 percent DCQ load factor level rate, less Texas Eastern's purchased gas costs and fuel cost and is identical to its Rate Schedule TS rate. Applicant further states that the delivery volumes would be reduced 3 percent to offset volumes used by Applicant in the performance of the proposed transportation service, and pursuant to the Rate Schedule, Piedmont Exploration would reimburse Applicant for any cost of construction that may be required to receive the gas supplies.

Applicant asserts that it is currently curtailing deliveries to its customers at approximately 380,000 dths per day. Consequently, there exists available capacity on its system to render the proposed transportation service, it is said. It is stated that the proposed transportation service to be rendered for Piedmont Exploration would result in an immediate reduction of curtailments on Piedmont's system, and would avoid costly and time-consuming duplication of expensive pipelines facilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 4, 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be

unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11842 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[H.2, 1977 No. 15]

ACTIONS OF THE BOARD

Applications and Reports Received During the Week Ending April 9, 1977

ACTIONS OF THE BOARD

Statement by Governor Philip E. Coldwell, before the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs on the expenditures and budgets of the Federal Reserve Banks and Board of Governors.

Amendment to Regulation Q, to create a category of deposits under which member banks could pay maximum interest rates for consumer-type time deposits to savers in individual Retirement Accounts and Keogh Plan retirement accounts.

Mr. Charles J. Siegman, Division of International Finance, promoted from Associate International Division Officer to Senior International Division Officer, effective March 27, 1977.

Mr. James R. Wetzel, Division of Research and Statistics, promoted from Associate Research Division Officer to Senior Research Division Officer, effective March 27, 1977.

Mr. Robert A. Eisenbeis, Division of Research and Statistics, appointed Associate Research Division Officer, effective March 27, 1977.

Mr. Joseph S. Sims, Board Members' Offices, appointed Special Assistant to the Board in the Public Affairs section of the Office of Board Members, effective April 18, 1977.

Issuance of capital notes by Arkansas Bank and Trust Company, Hot Springs, Arkansas.

Issuance of subordinated capital notes by First Bank & Trust Company of South Bend, South Bend, Indiana.

Mingo Bankshares, Inc., Puxico, Missouri, extension of time to May 14, 1977, within which to acquire shares of Puxico State Bank, Puxico, Missouri.[1]

SYB Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, extension of time to May 6, 1977, within which to consummate the acquisition of more than 80 per cent of the voting shares of Stock Yards Bank, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.[1]

Merchants & Farmers Bank, Columbus, Mississippi, to make an additional investment in bank premises.[1]

Ewing Bank and Trust Company, West Trenton, New Jersey, extension of time to October 17, 1977, within which to establish a branch office at 790 River Road, West Trenton, New Jersey.[1]

Minster State Bank, Minster, Ohio, extension of time to September 1, 1977, within which to establish its branch on State Route 66, village of New Bremen, German Township, Ohio.[1]

Deregistration pursuant to Regulation G for Union Teachers Credit Union, Chicago, Illinois.[1]

Citibank Overseas Investment Corporation, Wilmington, Delaware, extension of time within which to complete its investment in First National City (Costa Rica) S.A., San Jose, Cost Rica.[1]

Banco Internacional S. A., Montevideo, Uruguay, proposed merger by The First National Bank of Boston, Boston, Massachusetts; report to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation on competitive factors.[1]

Mutual Savings & Loan Association of Richmond County, Port Richmond, New York, proposed merger with Northfield Savings Bank, Staten Island, New York; report to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation on competitive factors.[1]

Northampton National Bank of Easton, Easton, Pennsylvania, proposed acquisition by the First National Bank of Allentown, Allentown, Pennsylvania; report to the Comptroller of the Currency on competitive factors.[1]

To Establish a Domestic Branch Pursuant to Section 9 of the Federal Reserve Act.

APPROVED

Citizens Bank & Trust Company, Campbellsville, Kentucky. Branch to be established at 649 West Main Street, Campbellsville, Taylor County.[2]

Bay City Bank & Trust Company, Bay City, Michigan. Branch to be established at 1513-1517 Columbus Avenue, Bay City.[2]

American Security Bank, Mount Pleasant, Michigan. Branch to be established at 1900 North Winn Road, Beal City, Nottawa Township, Isabella County.[2]

Tompkins County Trust Company, Ithaca, New York. Branch to be established at 114-120 East Seneca Street, Ithaca, Tompkins County.[2]

To Establish an Overseas Branch of a a Member Bank Pursuant to Section 25 of the Federal Reserve Act.

APPROVED

Northern Trust Company: re: Branch, Hong Kong.

International Investments and Other Actions Pursuant to Sections 25 and 25(a) of the Federal Reserve Act and Sections 4(c)(9) and 4(c)(13) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended.

APPROVED

First National Bank of Boston: re: Comptitive Factors report re: Banco Internacional, S.A., Montevideo, Uruguay.

Morgan Guaranty International Finance Corporation: re: investment, indirectly acquire 100 percent of the shares of Companie General de Mandatos, S.A. Madrid, Spain.

NCNB International Banking Corporation: re: Investment, to acquire all of the capital stock in NCNB (Export Finance) Limited, London.

Bank of America N.T. and S.A.: re: investment, to acquire 6.34 percent of the shares of the Foreign Trade Bank of Iran.

Hawaii Bancorporation, Inc.: re: investment, to acquire indirectly 42 percent of the shares of a de novo banking corporation "Pacific Commercial Bank" Apia, Western Samoa.

To Form a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

APPROVED

NBC Corp., Jackson, Tennessee, for approval to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of the successor by merger to The National Bank of Commerce of Jackson, Jackson, Tennessee and to acquire 83.1 percent of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Gibson County, Humboldt, Tennessee.

Allen Bancshares, Inc., Allen, Oklahoma, for approval to acquire 94.375 percent of the voting shares of Farmers State Bank, Allen, Oklahoma, Allen, Oklahoma.[2]

Montbello Bankcorp, Inc., Denver, Colorado, for approval to acquire 80 percent or more of the voting shares of Montbello State Bank, Denver, Colorado.

DENIED

Sibley Bancorporation, Sibley, Iowa, for approval to acquire 93 percent or more of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Sibley, Sibley, Iowa.

To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

APPROVED

CleveTrust Corporation, Cleveland, Ohio, for approval to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of Columbus Trust Company, Columbus, Ohio, a proposed new bank.

Ellis Banking Corporation, Bradenton, Florida, for approval to acquire 50.1 percent or more of the voting shares of Citizens Bank of Bunnell, Bunnell, Florida.

The Royal Trust Company, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, for approval to acquire 80 percent or more of the voting shares of Baymeadows Bank, Jacksonville, Florida.

Central Wisconsin Bankshares, Inc., Wausau, Wisconsin, for approval to acquire 77 percent or more of the voting shares of Eagle River State Bank, Eagle River, Wisconsin.[2]

To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

RETURNED

Citibanc Group, Inc., Alexander City, Alabama, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (acting as insurance agent or broker in offices at which the holding company or its subsidiaries are otherwise engaged in business (or in an office adjacent thereto) with respect to the following types of insurance: any insurance for the holding company and its subsidiaries; any insurance that is directly related to an extension of credit by a bank or a bank related firm of the kind described in this regulation or is directly related to the provision of other financial services by a bank or such a bank related firm or is otherwise sold as a matter of convenience to the purchaser so long as the premium income from sales within this subdivision does not constitute a significant portion of the aggregate insurance premium income of the holding company from insurance sold pursuant to this subdivision) in Alexander City, Andalusia, Anniston, Goodwater, Lineville, Roanoke, and Tuskegee, all located in Alabama, through a subsidiary, Consumers' Insurance Services (4/6/77).[2]

DELAYED

Union Trust Bancorp, Baltimore, Maryland, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (acting as agent in the sale of insurance protecting collateral held against its extensions of credit) at 4908-A West Mercury Boulevard, Hampton, Virginia, through a subsidiary, Landmark Finance Corporation of Virginia (4/4/77).[2]

[1] Application processed on behalf of the Board of Governors under delegated authority.

[2] Application processed by the Reserve Bank on behalf of the Board of Governors under delegated authority.

REACTIVATED

Metro Bancshares, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (leasing personal property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in leasing such property provided all leases are to serve as the functional equivalent of an extension of credit to the lessee of the property; the leased property is to be acquired specifically for an earlier leasing transaction; all leases are on a nonoperating basis and at the inception of the initial lease the effect of the transaction will yield a return that will compensate the lessor for not less than the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property over the terms of the lease; the maximum lease term during which the lessor must recover the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property shall be 40 years; at the expiration of the lease all interest in the property shall be either liquidated or re-leased on a nonoperating basis as soon as practicable but in no even later than two years from the expiration of the lease; however, in no case shall the lessor retain any interest in the property beyond 50 years after its acquisition of the property) at Metro North State Bank, 221 N.E. Barry Road, Kansas City, Missouri (4/5/77).[3]

PERMITTED

Industrial National Corporation, Providence, Rhode Island, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (consumer finance and insurance agency for any insurance directly related to an extension of credit or provision of other financial services) at 5972 University Boulevard, Suite No. 1, Jacksonville, Florida, through a subsidiary, Southern Discount Company, a subsidiary of Industrial National Corporation (4/7/77).[3]

Industrial National Corporation, Providence, Rhode Island, notification of intent to relocate de novo activities (consumer finance and insurance agency for any insurance directly related to an extension of credit or provision of other financial services) from 1591 Ware Avenue, East Point, Georgia to Washington Plaza Shopping Center, Washington Road, East Point, Georgia, through a subsidiary, Southern Discount Company, a subsidiary of Industrial National Corporation (4/7/77).[3]

Industrial National Corporation, Providence, Rhode Island, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (consumer finance and insurance agency for any insurance directly related to an extension of credit or provision of other financial services) at 42-A Court Square, Mocksville, North Carolina, through a subsidiary, Southern Discount Company, a subsidiary of Industrial National Corporation (4/7/77).[3]

CORRECTION

On H. 2 No. 13 permission for Union Trust Bancorp to engage in nonbanking activities should not have included acting as agent in the sale of insurance protecting collateral held against the extension of credit at 135 Caldwell Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina, through a subsidiary, Landmark Finance Corporation of South Carolina (3/24/77).[3]

[3] 4(c)(8) and 4(c)(12) notifications processed by Reserve Bank on behalf of the Board of Governors under delegated authority.

Citizens and Southern Holding Company, Atlanta, Georgia, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit for any person; servicing loans and other extensions of credit for any person; and operation of a licensed small loan company and of an installment sales finance company) at 4701 Jonesboro Road, Forest Park Georgia, through a subsidiary, Citizens and Southern Finance Company (4/8/77).[3]

Marshall & Ilsley Corporation, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, notification of intent to relocate de novo activities (equipment leasing to business and manufacturing customers on a noncancellable full payout basis, to purchase conditional sales contracts from equipment suppliers and manufactuers, and to make chattel security loans on commercial and industrial equipment) from the Southfield, Michigan office to 3040 Charlevoix Drive, S.E., Grand Rapids, Michigan, through its subsidiary, First National Leasing Corp. (4/8/77).[3]

First Bank System, Inc., Minneapolis, Minneapolis, Minnesota, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (mortgage banking activities in three additional markets) in the Canadian Providences of Alberta, Manitoba and Saskatchewan, through its subsidiary, FBS Financial, Inc. (4/9/77).[3]

Metro Bancshares, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (leasing personal property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in leasing such property provided all leases are to serve as the functional equivalent of an extension of credit to the lessee of the property; the leased property is to be acquired specifically for the leasing transaction under consideration or will have been acquired for an earlier leasing transaction; all leases are on a nonoperating basis and at the inception of the initial lease the effect of the transaction will yield a return that will compensate the lessor for not less than the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property over the terms of the lease; the maximum lease term during which the lessor must recover the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property shall be 40 years; at the expiration of the lease all interest in the property shall be either liquidated or released on a nonoperating basis as soon as practicable but in no event later than two years from the expiration of the lease; however, in no case shall the lessor retain any interest in the property beyond 50 years after its acquisition of the property) at Metro North State Bank, 221 N.E. Barry Road, Kansas City, Missouri (4/6/77).[3]

BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account loans and other extensions of credit such as would be made or acquired by a finance company and servicing loans and other extensions of credit; such activities will include, but not be limited to, making consumer installment loans, purchasing installment sales finance contracts, making loans and other extensions of credit to small businesses, and making loans secured by real and personal property; acting as agent or broker for the sale of credit related life and credit related accident and disability insurance in connection with extensions of credit made or acquired by FinanceAmerica Corporation) at 6075 South State Street, Murray, Utah, through its indirect subsidiary, FinanceAmerica Corporation (a Utah Corporation), a subsidiary of FinanceAmerica Corporation (4/8/77).[3]

First Security Corporation, Salt Lake City, Utah, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit such as would be made by a mortgage company particularly commercial and residential real estate loans) at 1325 South 800 East Street, Orem, Utah, through its subsidiary, Utah Mortagage Loan Corporation (4/8/77).[3]

First Security Corporation, Salt Lake City, Utah, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit such as would be made by a mortgage company particularly commercial and residential real estate loans) at 1445 South Poplar Street, Casper, Wyoming, through its subsidiary, Utah Mortgage Loan Corporation (4/8/77).[3]

To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 4(c)(12) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

PERMITTED

Berkshire Hathaway Inc., New Bedford, Massachusetts, notification of intent to indirectly acquire Buffalo Evening News, Inc., Buffalo, New York, a newspaper publishing business, through its subsidiary, Blue Chip Stamps (4/3/77).[3]

Certifications Issued Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1976.

Republic of Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas, prior certification that its proposed divestiture by its subsidiary, The Howard Corporation, of the Town & Country Shopping Center, Midland, Texas, through the sale of such shopping center to Hotelmattschappij Duin & Daal B.V., a corporation of Holland, is necessary or appropriate to effectuate the policies of the Bank Holding Company Act.[4]

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

To Establish a Domestic Branch Pursuant to Section 9 of the Federal Reserve Act.

Tompkins County Trust Company, Ithaca, New York. Branch to be established at 114-120 East Seneca Street, Ithaca, Tompkins County.

The Ohio Citizens Trust Company, Toledo, Ohio. Branch to be established at 2200 Jefferson Avenue, Toledo, Lucas County.

Valley Bank of Nevada, Las Vegas, Nevada. Branch to be established on the Southwest Corner of the intersection of Glendale Avenue and Rock Boulevard, Reno.

To Form a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

Hamburg Financial, Inc., Hamburg, Iowa, for approval to acquire 82.5 percent of the voting shares of Iowa State Bank, Hamburg, Iowa.

Klossner Bancorporation, Incorporated, Klossner, Minnesota, for approval to acquire 80.1 percent or more of the voting shares of Klossner State Bank, Klossner, Minnesota.

[4] Processed on behalf of the Board of Governors under delegated authority.

Krey Co., Ltd., Pratt, Kansas, for approval to acquire 51 percent of the voting shares of The Peoples Bank, Pratt, Kansas.

NBM Corporation, McAlester, Oklahoma, for approval to acquire 100 percent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the voting shares of The National Bank of McAlester, McAlester, Oklahoma.

To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

Florida Bankshares, Inc., Hollywood, Florida, for approval to acquire an additional 20,000 shares of the voting shares of First National Bank of Sebring, Sebring, Florida.

The Royal Trust Company, Montreal, Quebec, Canada and Royal Trust Bank Corp., Miami, Florida, for approval to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of Royal Trust Bank of South Dade, N.A., Dade County, Florida, a proposed new bank.

To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

Chemical New York Corporation, New York, New York, notification of intent to relocate de novo activities (making of direct loans and purchasing sales finance contracts representing extensions of credit such as would be made or acquired by a finance company; and acting as agent for the sale of credit life insurance, credit accident and health insurance, and credit related property and casualty insurance issued in connection with extensions of credit) from 1515 Mockingbird Lane, Charlotte, North Carolina to 5 Woodlawn Green, Charlotte, North Carolina (4/4/77).[5]

Fidelcor, Inc., Rosemont, Pennsylvania, notification of intent to relocate de novo activities (making and acquiring, consumer and mortgage loans to individuals including second mortgages on properties in North Carolina where the loans are owned by direct or indirect subsidiaries of Fidelcor; engaging in a general consumer finance business; purchasing installment contracts arising from the sale of personal property and services; and, with respect to all of the above, selling credit life and credit accident and health insurance, and mortgage life and disability insurance, and accidential death insurance and casualty insurance on the collateral; and through Master Life Insurance Company, an indirect subsidiary of Fidelcor, reinsuring consumer type credit life insurance sold; the location indicated is relevant to the reinsurance only as establishing a location of credit transaction to which the reinsurance relates) from 664 Washington Street, Eden, North Carolina to 620 Van Buren Road, Eden, North Carolina, through its subsidiaries, Fidelcor Financial Centers, Inc. and Trefoil Mortgage Company (3/31/77).[3]

First Maryland Bancorp, Baltimore, Maryland, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit; servicing such loans and other extensions of credit for its own account or for the account of others and to operate as a sales finance company) at 20 Mall Shopping Center, Guilderland, New York, through its subsidiary, Albany Discount Corporation (4/6/77).[5]

Union Trust Bancorp, Baltimore, Maryland, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making installment loans to individuals for personal, family or household purposes; purchasing sales finance contracts executed in connection with the sale of personal, family or household goods or services; acting as agent in the sale of credit life and credit accident and health insurance directly related to its extensions of credit; and acting as agent in the sale of insurance protecting collateral held against the extensions of credit) at 4908-A West Mercury Boulevard, Hampton, Virginia, through a subsidiary, Landmark Finance Corporation of Virginia (4/4/77).[5]

Trust Company of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (the business of providing data processing services to banks and bank holding companies including, but not limited to, processing for checking and savings accounts, savings certificates, installment loans, commercial loans, overdraft banking, automated proof transit, general ledger, and check reconciliement) at 2140 N.E. 2nd Street, Gainesville, Florida, through a subsidiary, Trusco Data Systems of Florida, Inc. (4/7/77).[5]

Trust Company of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (the business of acting as agent for the sale of decreasing term credit life and credit accident and health insurance) at The Exchange, Cobb County; 8 Lavista Perimeter Park, Tucker; 1895 Phoenix Boulevard, College Park; and 25 Park Place, N.E., Atlanta, all located in Georgia and 5444 Bay Center Drive, Tampa, Florida, through a subsidiary, Adair Mortgage Company (4/5/77).[5]

Sun Banks of Florida, Inc., Orlando, Florida, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit and servicing loans and other extensions of credit for any person) at 120 South Ridgewood Avenue, Daytona Beach, Florida, through a subsidiary, Sunbank Mortgage Company (4/4/77).[5]

National Detroit Corporation, Detroit, Michigan, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making, acquiring, and servicing for its own account and for the account of others, mortgage loans and other extensions of credit in connection with the purchase, development and/or improvement of real property) at 200 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan, through its subsidiary, NBD Mortgage Company (4/8/77).[5]

Krey Co. Ltd., Pratt, Kansas, for approval to acquire substantially all of the assets of two consumer finance offices of Central States, Inc., Pratt, Kansas with offices in Guymon, Oklahoma and Liberal, Kansas.

Peoples Credit Co., Kansas City, Missouri, for permission to retain the assets of Midwest Data Processing (a division of Peoples Credit Co.), Kansas City, Missouri (engaged in providing bookkeeping and data processing services for the holding company and its subsidiary banks as well as for nonaffiliated banks and other commercial businesses and non-profit organizations)

BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit such as would be made by a mortgage company; leasing real property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in the leasing of real property; servicing loans and other extensions of credit for itself and others) at 555 California Street, San Francisco, California, through its subsidiary, BA Mortgage Company, Inc. (4/4/77).[5]

BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California, notification of intent to relocate de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit such as would be made by a mortgage company, leasing real property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in the leasing real property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in the leasing of real property; servicing loans and other extensions of credit for itself and others) from 2600 Douglas Road, Suite 1010, Coral Gables, Florida to 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Tampa, Florida, through its subsidiary, BA Mortgage Company, Inc. (4/4/77).[5]

BankAmerica Corporation, San Francisco, California, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit such as would be made by a mortgage company; leasing real property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in the leasing of real property; servicing loans and other extensions of credit for itself and others) at 5909 West Loop Road, Suite 625, Bellaire, Texas, through its subsidiary, BA Mortgage Company, Inc. (4/4/77).[5]

Rainier Bancorporation, Seattle, Washington, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (the making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit and servicing loans and other extensions of credit for other persons) at East 11516 Sprague Avenue, Spokane, Washington, through its subsidiary, Rainier Mortgage Company (4/4/77).[5]

U.S. Bancorp, Portland, Oregon, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (leasing of personal property and equipment and acting as agent, broker, or adviser in the leasing of such property) at 733 North 7th Street, Boise, Idaho, through its subsidiary, Bancorp Leasing Inc (4/1/77).[5]

To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 4(c)(12) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

Arkansas Best Corporation, Fort Smith, Arkansas, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Arkansas-Best Freight System, Inc. an interstate motor carrier, notification of intent of its purchase of a portion of the operating rights of Great Lakes Express, Inc., Saginaw, Michigan, also an interstate motor carrier (4/7/77).[5]

For Certification Pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Tax Act of 1967.

None.

REPORTS RECEIVED

None.

PETITIONS FOR RULEMAKING

None.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 20, 1977.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.77-11835 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FLORIDA BANKSHARES, INC.

Acquisition of Bank

Florida Bankshares, Inc., Hollywood, Florida, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 12.5 percent of the voting shares of First National Bank of Sebring, Sebring, Florida. The factors

that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than May 17, 1977.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, April 19, 1977.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.77-11759 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

CIGARETTE TESTING

Tar and Niocotine Content Results

The latest results of cigarette testing were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Monday, December 13, 1976, 41 FR 54221. Results of retesting of reformulated Parliament brand of cigarettes were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Friday, April 15, 1977, 42 FR 19925.

As a result of a request from Lorillard, the manufacturer of Kent Golden Lights Cigarettes, the Federal Trade Commission authorized its Tobacco Research Laboratory to conduct two retests of Kent Golden Lights: first, by inserting the cigarettes to the standard depth of 11 mm. into the cigarette holders of a cigarette smoking machine, and second, by inserting the cigarettes to a depth of 5 mm. At this time, the FTC rejects the results of the 5 mm. test as inappropriate in measuring tar and nicotine levels. The results by the standard 11 mm. method used by the Tobacco Research Laboratory were as follows:

[In milligrams per cigarette]

	TPM dry	Nicotine
Kent Golden Lights, king size, filter, soft pack	8	0.6

In testing cigarettes the FTC's Tobacco Research Laboratory routinely inserts them $\frac{7}{8}$" (11 mm.) into the cigarette holders on its cigarette smoking machine. Recent developments in ventilated filtration systems have raised the question of whether and to what extent of the depth of insertion should vary from the 11 mm. standard. An 11 mm. insertion would affect a ventilated filtration system that utilized that portion of the cigarette within 11 mm. of the tip, and therefore the "tar" and nicotine figures. Of special concern when considering any such modification of the standard 11 mm. insertion is whether the insertion depth would and should be decreased beyond the point where consumers cover the cigarette with their lips, fingers, or both when they puff. The Commission believes that additional information is necessary before deciding whether further action should be taken in connection with this matter.

By direction of the Commission dated April 11, 1977.

JOHN F. DUGAN,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11738 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

CERTAIN APPAREL PRODUCTS IN CHIEF VALUE LEATHER AND CHIEF WEIGHT TEXTILE MATERIALS

Extension of Exemption From Bilateral Textile Agreements

APRIL 22, 1977.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

ACTION: Extending until June 1, 1977 the exemption from bilateral textile agreements of certain apparel products which are in chief weight textile materials and chief value nontextile materials.

SUMMARY: A notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 21, 1977 (42 FR 15359) announced establishment of TSUS 791.74 to cover "certain wearing apparel of leather which is: In part of textile materials, the aggregate weight of which exceeds the weight of any individual nontextile material contained therein," and delayed its inclusion under the textile import restraint program until May 1, 1977. The purpose of the present notice is to announce an extension of that exemption through May 31, 1977.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 1, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Leonard A. Mobley, Director, Trade Analysis Division, Office of Textiles, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230 (202/377-4212).

ROBERT E. SHEPHERD,
Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Resources and Trade Assistance, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc.77-12019 Filed 4-22-77;10:58 am]

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development

HOUSING GUARANTY PROGRAM FOR THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Information for Investors

The Agency for International Development (A.I.D.) has advised the Korea National Housing Corporation ("KNHC") that upon execution by an eligible U.S. investor acceptable to A.I.D. of an agreement to loan the KNHC an amount not to exceed $10,000,000 and subject to the satisfaction of certain further terms and conditions by the KNHC, A.I.D. will guaranty repayment to the investor of the principal and interest on such loan. The guaranty will be backed by the full faith and credit of the United States of America and will be issued pursuant to authority, contained in section 221 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (the Act). Proceeds of the loan will be used to finance housing for lower income families.

Eligible investors interested in extending a guaranteed loan to the KNHC should communicate promptly with:

Duncan Cameron, Esquire, Cameron, Hornbostel and Adelman, 1707 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

Investors eligible to receive a guaranty are those specified in section 238(c) of the Act. They are: (1) U.S. citizens; (2) domestic corporations, partnerships or associations substantially beneficially owned the U.S. citizens; (3) foreign corporations whose share capital is at least 95% owned by U.S. citizens; and (4) foreign partnerships or associations wholly owned by U.S. citizens.

To be eligible for a guaranty, the loan must be repayable in full no later than the thirtieth anniversary of the first disbursement of the principal amount thereof and the interest rate may be no higher than the maximum rate to be established by A.I.D.

The KNHC projects a schedule of disbursements covering approximately thirty months from the date of the loan agreement and prospective Investors should consider this in proposing a guaranteed loan to the KNHC. In addition, the Investor must provide for the servicing of his loan, i.e., recordation and disposition of loan payments received from the KNHC.

Information as to eligibility of investors and other aspects of the A.I.D. housing guaranty program can be obtained from:

Director, Office of Housing, Agency for International Development, Room 625, SA-12, Washington, D.C. 20523.

This notice is not an offer by A.I.D. or by the KNHC. The KNHC and not A.I.D. will select an investor and negotiate the terms of the proposed loan.

Dated: April 14, 1977.

DONALD A. GARDNER,
Deputy Director, Office of Housing, Agency for International Development.

[FR Doc.77-11743 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

GREAT FALLS, MONTANA, RADAR APPROACH CONTROL FACILITY

Reduction of Hours

Notice is hereby given that on or about May 5, 1977, the Great Falls, Montana, Radar Approach Control Facility will be closed each day from 11 p.m. to 7 a.m.

local time. This information will be reflected in forthcoming issues of the Airman Information Manual.

Issued in Aurora, Colorado, on April 15, 1977.

M. M. MARTIN,
Director, Rocky Mountain Region.

[FR Doc.77-11753 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Doc. No. EX77-4; Notice 1]

INVACAR LTD.

Petition for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard

Invacar, Ltd., of Benfleet, Essex, England, has petitioned for a temporary exemption from 49 CFR 571.123 Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 123, Motorcycle Controls and Displays, on the basis that its vehicle presents on overall level of safety equivalent to a conforming one.

Petitioner is a manufacturer of a three-wheeled enclosed single passenger motor vehicle, which is a "motorcycle" for purposes of compliance with the Federal motor vehicle safety standards. Invacar's Model 70 has been developed to provide mobility for the disabled. To accommodate the widest range possible of paraplegic problems, Model 70 is available with 56 variations of its control system. These include 14 variations of basic controls with a steering wheel, 18 variations with bicycle-type handle bar steering, and 12 different control arrangements each, with right or left hand tiller bar steering. Thus the vehicle will not comply with the controls required of conventional motorcycles by Standard No. 123 but it will provide an equivalent level of safety in that the controls that are available are sufficient for safe operation on the open road. Since the vehicle has an enclosed cabin it provides an overall level of occupant safety superior to that of conventional two-wheeled motorcycles with exposed riders. By September 1977 it is contemplated that Model 70 will have obtained United Kingdom type-approval for four-wheeled motor vehicles, including installation of a roll bar for driver protention and structural compliance based upon a 30 mph frontal impact. In response to a request from NHTSA for statistics concerning accident involvement of invalid-operated vehicles, petitioner submitted data, available for examination in the docket, that showed a safety record superior to two-wheeled motorcycles albeit inferior to four-wheeled vehicles. By providing transportation for invalids an exemption would appear to be in the public interest. Since the company intends to provide driver training, "possibly in conjunction with static driving trainers, in order to reduce the risk to drivers" an exemption would be consistent with the objectives of the Traffic Safety Act. Invacar will not export more than 2500 vehicles to the United States in any 12-month period that the exemption is in effect. The vehicle is represented as complying with all other standards that apply to motorcycles. Without the variations in control location that an exemption would provide, the company could not sell the Model 70 in the United States.

This notice of receipt of a petition for a temporary exemption is published in accordance with the NHTSA regulations on this subject (49 CFR 555.7), and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the petition for exemption of Invacar, Ltd. Comments should refer to the Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5108, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. It is requested but not required that five copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated below will be considered. The application and supporting materials, and all comments received, are available for examination in the docket both before and after the closing date. Comments received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent practicable. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Comment closing date: May 25, 1977.

(Sec. 3, Pub. L. 92-548, 86 Stat. 1159 (15 U.S.C. 1410), delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 49 CFR 501.8).

Issued on April 18, 1977.

ROBERT L. CARTER,
Associate Administrator,
Motor Vehicle Programs.

[FR Doc.77-11688 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

FIREARMS

Granting of Relief

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 925(c) the following named persons have been granted relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to acquisition, transfer, receipt, shipment, or possession of firearms incured by reason of their convictions of crimes punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the convictions of each applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicants will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief will not be contrary to the public interest.

Alfonso, Benny, 138 Reynolds Road, West Islip, New York, convicted on September 26, 1955, in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York.

Alford, Ward H., 601 Poplar Avenue, Waynesboro, Virginia, convicted on September 11, 1935, in the Circuit Court, County of Rockbridge, Virginia.

Andrews, John C., 59 Georgiana Street, New London, Connecticut, convicted on August 25, 1970, in the Superior Court of New London County, Connecticut.

Bedgood, Charles I., 3451 Rich Road, North Muskegon, Michigan, convicted on March 24, 1955, in a Special Court-Martial, United States Marine Corps, 29 Palms, California; on April 30, 1956, in a Special Court-Martial, United States Marine Corps, Camp Pendleton, California; on December 23, 1957, in the Circuit Court for the County of Ottawa, Michigan; on November 17, 1958, and on February 14, 1964, in the Circuit Court for the County of Muskegon, Michigan.

Bensen, Louis B., 33540 Washington Drive, Yucaipa, California, convicted on October 22, 1937, in the Common Pleas Court, Monmouth County, Freehold, New Jersey; and on November 26, 1974, in the San Bernadino Municipal Court, Central Division, Department B, San Bernadino, California.

Bouchayer, Raymond A., 548 Alder Street, Chico, California, convicted on June 21, 1971, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

Brown, Keith L., Rural Route 3, Box 668, Connersville, Indiana, convicted on September 13, 1958, in the Decatur County, Indiana Circuit Court.

Budinoff, Daniel W., 154 Hobart Avenue, Port Chester, New York, convicted on June 21, 1971, in the County Court, County of Winchester, New York.

Burnham, William C., 7842 St. Fabian Lane, Baltimore, Maryland, convicted on March 24, 1973, in a Special Court Martial, Headquarters Force Troops, Fleet Marine Force, Atlantic, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina.

Collette, Herman D., III, 2925 Southwest 28th Place, Gainesville, Florida, convicted on February 11, 1971, in the Circuit Court, Alachua County, Florida.

Colley, David E., 440 Burks Place, Forest Park, Georgia, convicted on or about February 14, 1967, in the Superior Court, Fulton County, Georgia.

DePasquale, Guy J., 208 Lantzy Road, Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania, convicted on September 18, 1968, in the Court of Quarter Sessions of Cumberland County, Pennsylvania.

Feenstra, Norlon, Jr., 15017 161st Avenue, Grand Haven, Michigan, convicted on January 21, 1970, in the Ottawa County Circuit Court, Grand Haven, Michigan.

Ferguson, James T., 1549 Lucille Drive, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, convicted on December 3, 1935, in the United States District Court, Western District, Pennsylvania.

Freeman, Rex O., Jr., 1007 Hackney, Houston, Texas, convicted on April 8, 1974, in the 174th District Court, Harris County, Texas.

Gibbons, James P., 2509 19th Street East, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, convicted on September 7, 1971, in the Tuscaloosa Circuit Court, Sixth Judicial District, Alabama.

Hughes, James C., P.O. Box 711, Retsil, Washington, convicted on April 23, 1973, in the Superior Court, Kitsap County, Washington.

Jacobs, Brown V., 169 High Street, Greenville, Mississippi, convicted on October 15, 1971, in the United States District Court, Northern District of Mississippi (Greenville).

Kelleher, Andrew J., 416 Baker Avenue, Clearwater, Florida, convicted on April 21, 1972, in the United States District Court, Middle District of Florida.

Kelly, Daniel L., 4462 Casa Bonita Place, Salt Lake City, Utah, convicted on March 2, 1959, in the District Court for Salt Lake County, Utah; and on April 30, 1962, in the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District, Sanpete County, Utah.

Kipple, Richard A., 6810 Mt. Tacoma Drive, SW, Tacoma, Washington, convicted on September 3, 1964, in the Superior Court, Pierce County, Washington.

Lape, Floyd H., Bay Crest Estates, P.O. Box 5508, Charleston, Oregon, convicted on September 29, 1956, in the District Court of Montgomery County, Kansas.

Michalec, Donald D., 314 East Baker, St. Paul, Minnesota, convicted on October 18, 1962, in the Ramsey County District Court, St. Paul, Minnesota.

Padgett, Laulie, Route 1, Box 58, Dupont, Georgia, convicted on March 15, 1954, and on October 16, 1961, in the United States District Court, Middle District, Georgia.

Rankin, Ollian M., Route 2, Box 88, Piedmont, Alabama, convicted on September 7, 1973, in the United States District Court, Northern District of Alabama.

Ray, Jessie M., 2214 Granada Drive, Austin, Texas, convicted on May 19, 1971, in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Reeves, James C., 3957 Berryman, Mar Vista, California, convicted on January 20, 1972, in the District Court of Tarrant County, Texas.

Schiavone, James J., 195 Prince Street, New York, New York, convicted on December 28, 1970, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Semmont, Samuel B., Jr., 2219 Christian Street, Baltimore, Maryland, convicted on December 9, 1966, in the Criminal Court of Baltimore, Baltimore, Maryland.

Shoop, Barry L., 605 G. Willow Street, Highspire, Pennsylvania, convicted on February 28, 1975, in the Cumberland County Court of Common Pleas, Carlisle Pennsylvania.

Smith, Verle W., 424 Charles, Reedsburg, Wisconsin, convicted on April 19, 1948, in the Sauk County Court, Wisconsin.

Sturtsman, Thomas C., 100 Worchester Avenue, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, convicted on March 4, 1974, in the Court of Common Pleas, Blair County, Pennsylvania.

Warren, Max W., 109 West Normal Avenue, Troy, Alabama, convicted on or about June 22, 1973, in the Montgomery County Circuit Court, Montgomery, Alabama.

Waits, Joseph B., 435 Loring Drive, Sumter, South Carolina, convicted on November 5, 1962, in the Court of General Sessions, Sumter County, Sumter, South Carolina.

Wood, August, 7673 North 44th Street, Augusta, Michigan, convicted on July 16, 1952, in the Circuit Court, Benzie County, Beulah, Michigan.

Yates, John A., 400 Blue Reef, Bali Hai Estates, Hiawatha, Iowa, convicted on October 9, 1964, in the District Court, Linn County, Cedar Rapids, Iowa.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 11th day of April 1977.

REX D. DAVIS,
Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

[FR Doc.77-11774 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Office of the Commissioner of Customs

[T.D. 77-115]

JEANIE CREATIONS, INC.

Recordation of Trade Name

APRIL 19, 1977.

On March 1, 1977, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 11937) a notice of application for the recordation under section 42 of the Act of July 5, 1946, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1124) of the trade name JEANIE CREATIONS, INC., used by Jeanie Creations, Inc. The notice advised that prior to final action on the application filed pursuant to § 133.12, Customs Regulations (19 CRF 133.12), consideration would be given to relevant data, views, or arguments submitted in opposition to the recordation and received not later than 30 days from the date of publication of the notice. No responses were received in opposition to the application.

The name "JEANIE CREATIONS, INC." is hereby recorded as the trade name of Jeanie Creations, Inc., a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, located at 19 West 36th Street, New York, New York 14903, when applied to ladies sportswear, manufactured in Guam, Manila, Hong Kong, India, Taiwan, and Korea.

DONALD W. LEWIS,
Acting Assistant Commissioner, Regulations and Rulings.

[FR Doc.77-11805 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[T.D. 77-114]

JEANIE JEANS, INC.

Recordation of Trade Name

APRIL 19, 1977.

On March 1, 1977, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 11937) a notice of application for the recordation under section 42 of the Act of July 5, 1946, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1124) of the trade name JEANIE JEANS, INC., used by Jeanie Jeans, Inc. The notice advised that prior to final action on the application filed pursuant to § 133.12, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 133.12), consideration would be given to relevant data, views, or arguments submitted in opposition to the recordation and received not later than 30 days from the date of publication of the notice. No responses were received in opposition to the application.

The name "JEANIE JEANS, INC." is hereby recorded as the trade name of Jeanie Jeans, Inc., a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, located at 19 West 36th Street, New York, New York 14903, when applied to ladies sportswear, manufactured in Guam, Manila, Hong Kong, India, Taiwan, and Korea.

DONALD W. LEWIS,
Acting Assistant Commissioner, Regulations and Rulings.

[FR Doc.77-11804 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[T.D. 77-116]

LAURA ACCESSORIES, INC.

Recordation of Trade Name

APRIL 19, 1977.

On March 1, 1977, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 11937) a notice of application for the recordation under section 42 of the Act of July 5, 1946, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1124) of the trade name LAURA ACCESSORIES, INC., used by Laura Accessories, Inc. The notice advised that prior to final action on the application filed pursuant to § 133.12, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 133.12), consideration would be given to relevant data, views, or arguments submitted in opposition to the recordation and received not later than 30 days from the date of publication of the notice. No responses were received in opposition to the application.

The name "LAURA ACCESSORIES, INC." is hereby recorded as the trade name of Laura Accessories, Inc., a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, located at 19 West 36th Street, New York, New York 14903, when applied to ladies sportswear, manufactured in Guam, Manila, Hong Kong, India, Taiwan, and Korea.

DONALD W. LEWIS,
Acting Assistant Commissioner, Regulations and Rulings.

[FR Doc.77-11806 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Internal Revenue Service

[Delegation Order No. 163]

OFFICE OF INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS AND PHILADELPHIA SERVICE CENTER

Delegation of Authority

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Delegation of authority.

SUMMARY: Tax Administration of the Northern Mariana Islands Social Security Act will be accomplished by the Office of International Operations and Philadelphia Service Center. The text of the delegation order appears below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 19, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William A. Lind (PR:L), 1111 Constitution Ave., NW., room 3238, Washington, D.C. 20224. Telephone 202-566-4658. (This is not a toll-free telephone number.)

R. C. BLANKENSHIP,
Acting Director, Legislative Analysis Division.

1. The authority granted to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue by Treasury Department Order No. 150-86 to perform functions on behalf of the Northern Mariana Islands with respect to the administration, collection and enforcement, and assessment of the taxes (including interest and penalties) imposed by the Northern Mariana Islands Social Security Act, is hereby delegated to the Director of International Operations. This authority is also delegated to the Director, Philadelphia Service Center to the extent the Director, under the Internal Revenue Code and Regulations promulgated thereunder, is authorized to perform similar functions with respect to the taxes imposed by chapters 2 and 21 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 as amended.

2. The authority to perform any act delegated by paragraph 1 may be redelegated to any officer or employee who

is delegated authority to perform a similar act under the Internal Revenue Code and Regulations promulgated thereunder.

WILLIAM E. WILLIAMS,
Acting Commissioner.

[FR Doc.77-11739 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

[Supplement to Dept. Circular, Public Debt Series—No. 9-77]

TREASURY NOTES OF SERIES P-1979

Interest Rate

APRIL 20, 1977.

The Secretary of the Treasury announced on April 19, 1977, that the interest rate on the notes described in Department Circular—Public Debt Series—No. 9-77, dated April 13, 1977, will be 5⅞ percent per annum. Accordingly, the notes are hereby redesignated 5⅞ percent Treasury Notes of Series P-1979. Interest on the notes will be payable at the rate of 5⅞ percent per annum.

DAVID MOSSO,
Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11816 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 374]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

APRIL 20, 1977.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation, or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 9859 (Sub-No. 4), Kane Transfer Co., now being assigned June 6, 1977 (1 week), at Baltimore, Md., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 129808 (Sub-No. 23), Grand Island Contract Carrier, Inc., now assigned May 9, 1977, at Dallas, Tex., is canceled and application dismissed.

MC 128720 (Sub-No. 5), Merchants Freight Line, Inc., now being continued to June 21, 1977 (3 days), in room A-440, Federal Court House, 801 Broadway, Nashville, Tenn.

MC 125952 (Sub-No. 26), Interstate Distributor Co., now being assigned July 7, 1977 (1 day), at Seattle, Wash., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 142554, Custom Carriers, Inc., now being assigned July 8, 1977 (1 day), at Seattle, Wash., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 946 Sub-No. 6, Ferdinand Arrigoni, Inc., MC 115521 Sub-No. 4, McDermott Bus Corp., MC 118848 Sub-No. 22, Domenico Bus Service, Inc., MC 142530 Sub-No. 1, Pioneer Bus Corp., and MC 140797 Sub-No. 1, Blue & Grey Transit, Inc., now assigned May 4, 1977, at Newark, N.J., are canceled and reassigned May 4, 1977 (3 days), at New York, N.Y., and will be held in room A, 6th Floor, U.S. Customs, 6 World Trade Center.

MC 142698, B. A. Strickland, now being assigned July 11, 1977 (2 days), at Seattle, Wash., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 129631 (Sub-No. 53), Pack Transport, Inc., now being assigned July 13, 1977 (3 days), at Seattle, Wash., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 134068 (Sub-No. 31), Kodiak Refrigerated Lines, Inc., now being assigned July 18, 1977 (1 week), at Seattle, Wash., in a hearing room to be later designated.

No. 36526, Colorado Intrastate Freight Rates and Charges—1977, now being assigned July 6, 1977 (3 days), at Denver, Colo., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 42011(Sub-No. 29), D. Q. Wise & Co., Inc., now being assigned July 11, 1977 (2 days), at Denver, Colo., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 124947 (Sub-No. 50), Machinery Transports, Inc., now being assigned July 13, 1977 (3 days), at Denver, Colo., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 138732 Sub-No. 6, Osterkamp Trucking, Inc., now being assigned July 18, 1977 (2 days), at Phoenix, Ariz., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 116457 Sub-No. 19, General Transportation, Inc., now being assigned July 20, 1977 (3 days), at Phoenix, Ariz., in a hearing room to be later designated.

No. 36515, Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. v The Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad Co., et. al. and No. 36530, Bituminous Coal, Cameo, Colo., to Cochise, Ariz., now being assigned for continued Pre-hearing Conference on June 7, 1977, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11812 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 156]

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

The following publications include motor carrier, water carrier, broker, and freight forwarder transfer applications filed under sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application.

Protests against approval of the application, which may include a request for oral hearing, must be filed with the Commission on or before May 25, 1977. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest must be served upon applicants' representative(s), or applicants (if no such representative is named), and the protestant must certify that such service has been made.

Unless otherwise specified, the signed original and six copies of the protest shall be filed with the Commission. All protests must specify with particularity the factual basis, and the section of the Act, or the applicable rule governing the proposed transfer which protestant believes would preclude approval of the application. If the protest contains a request for oral hearing, the request shall be supported by an explanation as to why the evidence sought to be presented cannot reasonably be submitted through the use of affidavits.

The operating rights set forth below are in synopses form, but are deemed sufficient to place interested persons on notice of the proposed transfer.

No. MC-FC-76938, filed March 30, 1977. Transferee: TRENCO, INC., 2109 Marydale Avenue, Williamsport, Pennsylvania 17701. Transferor: Commercial Cartage, Inc., Capitol and Washington Streets, P.O. Box 2786, Charleston, West Virginia, 25301. Transferee's representative: Michael J. Casale, Esq., 329 Market Street, Williamsport, Pennsylvania, 17701. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor as set forth in Permit Nos. MC 135234 (Sub-No. 8) and MC 135234 (Sub-No. 9) issued March 19, 1974, and September 27, 1974, respectively, as follows: *Electric cable and copper coils*, between Decatur, Ill., Marion, Ind., and Chester, S.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas. Restriction: The operations are limited to a transportation service to be performed, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Essex International, Incorporated, of Fort Wayne, Ind.; (1) *Electric cable, and aluminum rod*, in coils, from the facilities of Alcan Aluminum Corporation at or near Williamsport, Pa., and Tucker, Ga., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and Wisconsin and the District of Columbia; and (2) *Aluminum rod*, in coils, from the destination points named in (1) above to the facilities of Alcan Aluminum Corporation at or near Williamsport, Pa., and Tucker, Ga. Transferee is presently authorized to operate as a common carrier under Certificate No. MC 133085 and subs thereafter. Dual authority will be involved. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-FC-77002, filed March 1, 1977. Transferee: LOUIS F. SCHERER, an individual, doing as, SCHERER MOVING AND STORAGE, 1510 15th Ave. Monroe, Wis. 53566. Transferor: Frank J. Kubly Transfer, Inc., 1202 18th Street, Monroe, Wis. 53566. Applicant's representative: Rolfe E. Hanson, Attorney at Law, 121 West Doty Street, Madison, Wis. 53703. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of a portion of the operating rights of transferor, as set forth in Certificate No. MC 27754, issued

September 26, 1974, as follows: *Household* goods as defined by the Commission, and *emigrant movables*, between points in Green and LaFayette Counties, Wis., that part of Rock County, Wis., on and west of U.S. Highway 51 but not including Janesville and Beloit, Wis.), those in Oregon, Rutland, Montrose, Primrose, and Perry Townships, in Dane County, Wis., and those in that part of Iowa County, Wis., on and south of U.S. Highway 18, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of Illinois on and north of a line beginning at the Indiana-Illinois State line and extending along U.S. Highway 24 to Peoria, Ill., thence along U.S. Highway 150 to junction U.S. Highway 34, thence along U.S. Highway 34 to the Mississippi River, and points in that part of Iowa on and east of U.S. Highway 63. Between those points in Wisconsin specified next above, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission.

No. MC-FC-77029, filed March 15, 1977. Transferee: H. O. Bouchard, Inc., MRC Box 141A, Bangor, Maine, 04401. Transferor: Ralph E. Curtis & Son, Inc., 123 Mt. Hope Avenue, Bangor, Maine, 04401. Applicant's representative: Frederick T. McGonagle, 36 Main Street, Gorham, Maine, 04038. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor as set forth in Certificate Nos. MC 116632 (Sub-No. 3); MC 116632 (Sub-No. 5); MC 116632 (Sub-No. 8); MC 116632 (Sub-No. 11); No. MC 116632 (Sub-No. 13); No. MC 116632 (Sub-No. 15); and No. MC 116632 (Sub-No. 16); issued July 17, 1961; July 11, 1963; December 31, 1964; August 15, 1969; March 24, 1970; August 23, 1973; and September 26, 1975 as follows: *Lumber*, between points in Washington and Hancock Counties, Maine on the one hand, and, on the other, port of entry on the United States-Canada Boundary line at or near Calais, Maine; from points in Washington and Hancock Counties, Maine, to points in New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania; *Lumber*, from Princeton, Whitneyville, and Waite, Maine, to points in Vermont; From Houlton, Smyrna Mills, Sherman Station, and Staceyville, Maine, to points in New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania; *Wooden fencing*, from Van Buren and La Grange, Maine, to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia; *Lumber*, from Masardis, Maine, to points in New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania; *Wooden Fencing*, from Fort Kent and Hampden, Maine, to points in New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and the District of Columbia; From Van Buren and La Grange, Maine, to points in Maryland and Delaware; *Lumber*, from ports of entry on the United States-Canada Boundary line located at or near Fort Fairfield and Van Buren, Maine, to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania; from the port of entry on the United States-Canada Boundary line located at or near Houlton, Maine, to points in Maine; From the port of entry on the United States-Canada Boundary line located at or near Calais, Maine, to points in Maine (except points in Washington and Hancock Counties); *Lumber and wooden pallets*, from points in Aroostock (except Houlton, Smyrna Mills, and Marsardis) and Penobscot (except Sherman Station and Staceyville), Counties, Maine, to points in New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Delaware, and the District of Columbia; and *Lumber*, from the ports of entry on the United States-Canada Boundary line located at or near Van Buren, Fort Fairfield, Houlton, Fort Kent, Madawaska, and Calais, Maine, to points in Maryland, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, and the District of Columbia; From Beddington and Ellsworth, Maine, to points in Vermont; From Masardis, Maine, to points in Delaware, Maryland, and the District of Columbia; and *Wooden Fencing*, from Van Buren, Maine, to points in Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, and Ohio. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-FC-77033, filed March 16, 1977. Transferee: SKIP'S TRUCKING, INC., 112 Adeline Street, Oakland, California 94607. Transferor: Baldwin Trucking, Inc., 192 98th Avenue, Oakland, California 94603. Applicant's representative: Michael C. Leiden, 1182 Market Street, Suite 207, San Francisco, California 94102. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor as set forth in Certificate No. MC 135779, issued November 23, 1971, as follows: Household goods as defined by the Commission between points in Berkeley, Albany, Oakland, Piedmont, and Emeryville, Calif. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-FC-77037, filed March 15, 1977. Transferee: MARINE TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 9628, 7737 Hampton Blvd., Norfolk, Va. 23505. Transferor: Marine Stevedoring Corp., P.O. Box 9628, 7737 Hampton Blvd., Norfolk, Va. 23505. Applicant's representative: Richard Brown, Attorney at Law, Suite 400 Overlook Blvd., 6121 Lincolnia Rd., Alexandria, Va. 22312. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor, as set forth in Certificate No. MC-136181 (Sub-No. 1), issued October 17, 1974, as follows: (1) *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk) moving in containers or trailers, and (2) *empty containers*, with restrictions. Between Norfolk, Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the Norfolk, Va. Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-FC-77038, filed March 15, 1977. Transferee: MI-GLENN PRODUCE CORP., doing business as, Mi-Glenn Corp., P.O. Drawer J., South Fallsburg, N.Y. 12710. Transferor: Glenn-Dor Products Corp., 36 Fraser Ave., South Fallsburg, N.Y. 12710. Applicants' representative: Roy D. Pinsky, Attorney at Law, 345 South Warren St., Syracuse, N.Y. 13202. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor, as set forth in Certificates No. MC 56967 Sub-1, and 56967 Sub-4, issued June 21, 1965, and March 11, 1977, respectfully as follows: *Live poultry*, from Watkins Glen, N.Y., to New York, N.Y., serving intermediate and off-route points in that part of New York bounded by a line beginning at the New York-Pennsylvania State line south of Waverly, N.Y., and extending, along New York Highway 34 to Venice Center, N.Y., thence in a westerly direction to Benton Center, N.Y., thence along New York Highway 14-A to Pen Yan, N.Y., thence along New York Highway 54-A via Branchport, N.Y., to Hammondsport, N.Y., thence in a southwesterly direction to Greenwood, N.Y., thence south to the New York-Pennsylvania State line, thence east along the New York-Pennsylvania State line to the point of beginning, south of Waverly, including the points specified, for pick-up only. From Watkins Glen over New York Highway 14 to Montour Falls, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 224 to Van Etten, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 34 to Spencer, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 223 to Candor, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 96 to Owego, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 17 to the New York-State Jersey State line, near Hillburn, N.Y., thence over New Jersey Highway 17 to junction New Jersey Highway 4, thence over New Jersey Highway 4 to Fort Lee, N.J., thence across the George Washington Bridge to New York, and return over the same route with no transportation for compensation except as otherwise authorized. From Watkins Glen to the New York-New Jersey State line, as specified above, thence over New Jersey Highway 17 to junction New Jersey Highway 3, thence over New Jersey Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 1, thence over U.S. Highway 1 to Jersey City, N.J., thence through the Holland Tunnel to New York, and return over the same route with no transportation for compensation except as otherwise authorized.

Empty egg and poultry crates. From New York, N.Y., to Watkins Glen, N.Y., serving the intermediate and off-route

points in the New York territory described above, for delivery only: From New York, N.Y., over the above-specified routes to Watkins Glen, and return over the same routes with no transportation for compensation except as otherwise authorized. *Groceries*, From New York, N.Y., to Elmira, N.Y., serving no intermediate points: From New York over above-specified routes to Owego, N.Y., thence over New York Highway 17 to Elmira, and return over the same routes with no transportation for compensation except as otherwise authorized. *Honey*, during the season extending from the 1st day of October to the 31st day of December, inclusive. From Watkins Glen, N.Y., to New York, N.Y., serving the intermediate point of Odessa, N.Y., and the off-route point of Dundee, N.Y., for pick-up only: From Watkins Glen over the above-specified routes to New York, N.Y., and return over the same routes with no transportation for compensation except as otherwise authorized. *Grapes*, during the season extending from the 15th day of September to the 31st day of October, inclusive, from Hector, N.Y., to New York, N.Y., serving intermediate and off-route points within ten miles of Hector for pick-up only: From Hector over New York Highway 414 to Watkins Glen, N.Y., thence to New York, N.Y., as specified above, and return over the same routes with no transportation for compensation except as otherwise authorized. Irregular routes: *Butter, cheese*, and *eggs*, Between New York, N.Y., and points in New York and New Jersey within 25 miles of New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New York, *Dressed poultry*, From New York, N.Y., and points in New York and New Jersey within 25 miles of New York, N.Y., to points in New York, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. Also (1) *Dairy products*, (2) *agricultural commodities*, the transportation of which is otherwise exempt from economic regulation under section 203(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, in mixed loads with dairy products, from Friendship, N.Y., to New York, N.Y., and those points in New Jersey in and north of Hunterton, Somerset, and Middlesex Counties, N.J.; and (3) *Paper articles*, as described in Appendix XI to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 290, 291. From New York, N.Y., and those points in New Jersey in and north of Hunterdon, Somerset, and Middlesex Counties, N.J., to Friendship, N.Y. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-FC-77039, filed March 15, 1977. Transferee: LYLE JOSEPH KLEINSCHMIT, doing business as LYLE KLEINSCMIT, 4838 Gleen St., Route No. 5, Rapid City, S. Dak. 57701. Transferor: Widner, Inc., P.O. Box 689, 1935 Hill St., Sturgis, S. Dak. 57785. Applicants' representative: J. Maurice Andren, 1734 Sheridan Lake Rd., Rapid City, S. Dak. 57701. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor, as set forth in Certificates No. MC 134336 Sub-4, and 134336 Sub-5, issued August 25, 1972, and September 29, 1976, respectively, as follows: *Lumber* and *lumber products*, from Spearfish, S. Dak., to those points in that part of Nebraska west of U.S. Highway 81, and from the facilities of J. U. Dickson Sawmill at or near Sturgis, S. Dak., to points in Nebraska, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-FC-77072, filed April 7, 1977. Transferee: NORTH IOWA EXPRESS, INC., 1921 NE. 58th Avenue, Des Moines, Iowa 50313. Transferor: Rex S. Bennett, doing business as Charles City Transfer, 1515 Maine Street, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. Leahy, Jr., 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transfer or as set forth in Certificate of Registration No. MC 120993 (Sub-No. 1) issued April 2, 1964, as follows: General commodities between specified towns and cities in Iowa over a specified regular route. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11811 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 52]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

APRIL 19, 1977.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the provisions of 49 CFR 1131.3. These rules provided that an original and six (6) copies of protests to an application may be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication no later than the 15th calendar day after the date the notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of the protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protestant must certify that such service has been made. The protest must identify the operating authority upon which it is predicated, specifying the "MC" docket and "Sub" number and quoting the particular portion of authority upon which it relies. Also, the protestant shall specify the service it can and will provide and the amount and type of equipment it will make available for use in connection with the service contemplated by the TA application. The weight accorded a protest shall be governed by the completeness and pertinence of the protestant's information.

Except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the ICC Feld Office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 107496 (Sub-No. 1074TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: RUAN TRANSPORT CORPORATION, 3200 Ruan Center, 666 Grand Ave., Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Applicant's representative: E. Check (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lime*, in bulk, from the Ash Grove Plant, at or near Sequoita, Mo., to Bayway, N.J., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Exxon Chemical Company, P.O. Box 3272, Houston, Tex. 77001. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 518 Federal Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 108313 (Sub-No. 13TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: CALEDONIA LINES, INC., Sunny Sol Blvd., P.O. Box 8, Caledonia, N.Y. 14423. Applicant's representative: S. Michael Richards, 44 North Ave., P.O. Box 225, Webster, N.Y. 14580. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Compressed gases and liquid chemicals*, in bulk (except liquified petroleum gases); and (2) *Chemicals, cleaners, detergents and waxes*, in containers and empty containers for those commodities, between Mobile and Evans City, Ala., Denver, Colo., Edgemoor, Del., St. Petersburg, Ft. Lauderdale, Jacksonville, and Tampa, Fla., Augusta and Brunswick, Ga., Danville, Ill., Beechgrove and Hammond, Ind., Calvert City and Louisville, Ky., Lake Charles, Plaquemine, Gramercy, Geismar, Baton Rouge, Taft, and Reserve, La., Orrington, Maine, Curtis Bay, Md., Wyandotte, Montague, Midland, and Ludington, Mich., Festus, Mo., Merrimack, N.H., Newark, Bayonne, and Linden, N.J., Niagara Falls, Syracuse, Buffalo, Warwick, Caledonia, Utica, Friendship, and Vestal, N.Y., Charlotte and Acme, N.C., Ashtabula, South Point, Berberton, and Columbiana, Ohio, Erie, Pa., Charleston, Tenn., Houston, Tex., Milford, Hopewell, and Norfolk, Va., Natrium, Moundsville, and Charleston, W. Va., Hudson, Milwaukee, and Port Edwards, Wis. (except as presently authorized), under a continuing contract with Jones Chemicals, Inc., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Philip R. Bleecker, Traffic Manager, Jones Chemicals, Inc., 100 Sunny Sol Blvd., Caledonia, N.Y. 14423. Send protests to: Morris H. Gross, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, U.S. Courthouse and Federal Bldg., 100 S. Clinton St., Syracuse, N.Y. 13202.

No. MC 108449 (Sub-No. 399TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: INDIANHEAD TRUCK LINE, INC., 1947 W. County Road C, St. Paul, Minn. 55113. Applicant's representative: W. A. Myllenbeck (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid fertilizer solutions*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from LaCrosse, Wis., to points in Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Iowa, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Hawkeye Chemical Co., P.O. Box 899, Clinton, Iowa. Send protests to: Marion L. Cheney, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 48958 (Sub-No. 131TA), filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: ILLINOIS CALIFORNIA EXPRESS, INC., 510 E. 51st Ave., P.O. Box 16404, Denver, Colo. 80216. Applicant's representative: Lee E. Lucero (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Stone and stone products*, in bags or in bulk, from points in Costilla County, Colo., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, and Texas, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Colorado Aggregate Company, Inc., P.O. Box 24, Blanca, Colo. 81123. Send protests to: Roger L. Cuchanan, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 721 19th St., Room 492, U.S. Customs House, Denver, Colo. 80202.

No. MC 54444 (Sub-No. 7TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: MAIN EXPRESS & STORAGE CO., INC., 5938 S. 13th St., Milwaukee, Wis. 53221. Applicant's representative: G. Phillip Beitz (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise* as is dealt in by retail radio and electronic equipment stores, between Milwaukee, Wis., on the one hand, and, points in Wisconsin on the other, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to retail stores of Radio Shack Division of Tandy Corporation, in Wisconsin, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Radio Shack Div. Tandy Corporation, 2617 W. 7th St., Fort Worth, Tex. 76107. Send protests to: Gail Daugherty, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, U.S. Federal Bldg. and Courthouse, 517 E. Wisconsin Ave., Room 619, Milwaukee, Wis. 53202.

No. MC 96938 (Sub-No. 4TA), filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: ARKANSAS TRANSIT HOMES, INC., 8400 Mabelvale Pike, Little Rock, Ark. 72209. Applicant's representative: Harold G. Hernly, Jr., 118 N. St. Asaph St., Alexandria, Va. 22314. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles (except recreational vehicles); *buildings*, in sections (except prefabricated buildings), in initial movements, in truckaway service, from Faulkner, Perry, Lonoke, White, and Pulaski Counties, Ark., to points in Mississippi, Tennessee, Louisiana, Texas, and Oklahoma, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 5 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. Send protests to: William H. Land, Jr., District Supervisor, 3108 Federal Office Bldg., 700 W. Capitol, Little Rock, Ark 72201.

No. MC 111045 (Sub-No. 139TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: REDWING CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 426, 7809 Palm River Road, Tampa, Fla. 33601. Applicant's representative: L. W. Fincher (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Muscle Shoals, Ala., to points in Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Tennessee Valley Authority, 633 Chestnut St., 620 Commerce Union Bank, Chattanooga, Tenn. 37401. Send protests to: Joseph B. Teichert, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Monterey Bldg., Suite 101, 8410 NW., 53rd Terrace, Miami, Fla. 33166.

No. MC 115311 (Sub-No. 215TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: J & M TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 488, Milledgeville, Ga. 31061. Applicant's representative: K. Edward Wolcott, 1600 First Federal Bldg., Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt and salt products*, from St. Clair, Mich., Akron, Ohio, and Iberia Parish, La., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Morton Salt Company, a Division of Morton-Norwich Products, Inc., 110 N. Wacker Drive, Chicago, Ill. 60606. Send protests to: Sara K. Davis, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 1252 W. Peachtree St. NW., Room 546, Atlanta, Ga. 30309.

No. MC 115322 (Sub-No. 129TA), filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: REDWING REFRIGERATED, INC., P.O. Box 10177, Taft, Fla. 32809. Applicant's representative: J. V. McCoy, P.O. Box 426, Tampa, Fla. 33601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Abel's Bagels, Inc., at Buffalo, N.Y., to points in Delaware, Maryland, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Abel's Bagels, Inc., a Division of Lenders Bagel Bakery, Inc., 75 Empire Drive, West Seneca, N.Y. 14224. Send protests to: G. H. Fauss, Jr., District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Box 35008, 400 W. Bay St., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202.

No. MC 115496 (Sub-No. 46TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: LUMBER TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 111, Cochran, Ga. 31014. Applicant's representative: Roy E. Hamrick (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber*, from the facilities of East Highlands Company, Forest Products Division, at Albertville, Ala., to points in Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia, and those points in Louisiana east of the Mississippi River, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: East Highlands Company, P.O. Box 746, Albertville, Ala. 35950. Send protests to: Sara K. Davis, Transportation Assistant, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1252 W. Peachtree St. NW., Room 546, Atlanta, Ga. 30309.

No. MC 116077 (Sub-No. 381TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: ROBERTSON TANK LINES, INC., 2000 W. Loop South, Suite 1800, Houston, Tex. 77027. Applicant's representative: J. C. Browder (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Corn syrup*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Corpus Christi, Tex., to points in Louisiana, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: CPS International, Inc., International Plaza, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 07632. Send protests to: John Mensing, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 8610 Federal Bldg., 515 Rusk, Houston, Tex. 77002.

No. MC 116314 (Sub-No. 31TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: MAX BINSWANGER TRUCKING, 13846 Firestone Blvd., Santa Fe Springs, Calif. 90670. Applicant's representative: Carl H. Fritze, 1545 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90017. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cement*, from Crestmore, Calif., to points in Salt Lake County, Utah, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Riverside Cement Company, P.O. Box 832, Riverside, Calif. 92502. Send protests to: Walter W. Strakosch, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 1321 Federal Bldg., 300 N. Los Angeles St., Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.

No. MC 117762 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: MIKE FALCONE, JR. & SONS, INC., 9504 Ocala St., Silver Spring, Md. 20901. Applicant's representative: Edward N. Button, 1329 Pennsylvania Ave., P.O. Box 1417, Hagerstown, Md. 21740. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Carpet, carpet padding, floor tile and materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the installation thereof, between the warehouse facilities of Shields Associates, Inc., Beltsville, Md., and points in Virginia, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia and points in its commercial zone, under a continuing contract with Shields Associates, Inc., Beltsville, Md. Send protests to: W. C. Hersman, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th and Constitution Ave. NW., Room 1413, Washington, D.C. 20423.

No. MC 118159 (Sub-No. 207TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: NATIONAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 51366, Dawson Station, Tulsa, Okla. 74151. Applicant's representative: Warren Taylor (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Novelty ice cream products and water ices* (except in bulk), in mechanically refrigerated trailers, from Ocala, Fla., to points in and east of North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas (except points in Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Kentucky, Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont), for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Gold Bond Ice Cream, Inc., 800 Packerland Drive, Green Bay, Wis. 54303. Send protests to: Joe Green, District Supervisor, Room 240, Old Post Office Bldg., 215 Northwest Third St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.

No. MC 123233 (Sub-No. 70TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: PROVOST CARTAGE INC., 7887 Grenache St., Ville d'Anjou, Quebec, Canada H1J 1C4. Applicant's representative: J. P. Vermette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcoholic beverages*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the Port of Entry on the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada located at or near Alexandria Bay, N.Y., to Lawrenceburg, Ind., restricted to the transportation of traffic having an immediate prior movement in foreign commerce in through, single-line local service originating in the Province of Quebec, Canada, for 90 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc., 800 Third Ave., New York, N.Y. 10022. Send protests to: David A. Demers, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 548, 87 State St., Montpelier, Vt. 05602.

No. MC 123872 (Sub-No. 68 TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: W & L MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 2607, State Road 1148, Hickory, N.C. 28601. Applicant's representative: Allen E. Bowman, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Floor coverings and materials and supplies* used in the installation, manufacture, packaging, and sale of floor coverings when moved in mixed shipments with floor coverings (except commodities in bulk), from Lyerly, Ga., Greenville and Landrum, S.C., to points in Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, and Nebraska, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Bigelow-Sanford, Inc., Box 3089, Greenville, S.C. 29602. Send protests to: Terrell Price, District Supervisor, 800 Briar Creek Road, Room CC516, Charlotte, N.C. 28205.

No. MC 129455 (Sub-No. 16TA), filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: CARRETTA TRUCKING INC., 301 Mayhill St., Saddle Brook, N.J. 07662. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Williams, 1815 Front St., Scotch Plains, N.J. 07076. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Kitchen cabinets*, set up, crated, and uncrated, from Marion, Va., to points in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont, restricted: (1) to store-door delivery service, and (2) to a continuing contract with L. Grossman's, a division of Evans Products Company of Braintree, Mass., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: L. Grossman's, a division of Evans Products Company, 200 Union St., Braintree, Mass. 02184. Send protests to: Joel Morrows, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 9 Clinton St., Newark, N.J. 07102.

No. MC 134286 (Sub-No. 21TA), filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: ILLINI EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1584, Sioux City, Iowa 51102. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Kimball, Suite 350, Capitol Life Center, 1600 Sherman St., Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority sought to operate at a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen, canned, and packaged foodstuffs*, from the plantsites and/or warehouse facilities of La Choy Food Products, a Division of Beatrice Foods Co., at or near Archbold, Ohio, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia. Restriction: The operations authorized herein are restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the named origin and destined to the above-described states, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Curt Spengler, Traffic Manager, La Choy Food Products, A Division of Beatrice Foods Co., 901 Stryker St., Archbold, Ohio 43502. Send protests to: Carroll Russell, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Suite 620, 110 North 14th St., Omaha, Nebr. 68102.

No. MC 134783 (Sub-No 28TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: DIRECT SERVICE, INC., 940 East 66th St., Lubbock Tex. 79408. Applicant's representative: Charles M. Williams, 350 Capitol Life Center, 1600 Sherman St., Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fresh meats*, from Lubbock, Tex., to points in Chicago, Ill., and its commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, restricted to shipments originating at the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by John Morrell & Co., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: John Morrell & Co., 208 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, Ill. 60604. Sent protests to: Haskell E. Ballard, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Box H-4395 Herring Plaza, Amarillo, Tex. 79101.

No. MC 139495 (Sub-No. 224TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, Kans. 67901. Applicant's representative: Herber Alan Dubin, 1819 H St. NW., Suite 1030, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pretzels*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration: (1) from Reading, Pa., to points in Michigan, Iowa, and Missouri; and (2) from Blufton, Ind., to points in Iowa, Nebraska, Colorado, Kansas, and Missouri, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Bachman Foods, Inc., 2501 Kutztown Road, Reading, Pa. 19603. Send protests to: M. E. Taylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 101 Litwin Bldg., 110 North Market, Wichita, Kans. 67202.

No. MC 139495 (Sub-No. 225TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, Kans. 67901. Applicant's representative: Herbert Alan Dubin, 1819 H St. NW., Suite 1030, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cooking oil and cocoanut oil bars*, from Opelousas, La., to points in Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Lou Ana Foods, Inc., P.O. Box 591, Opelousas, La. 70570. Send protests to: M. E. Taylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Suite 101 Litwin Bldg., 110 North Market, Wichita, Kans. 67202.

No. MC 141402 (Sub-No. 8TA), filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: LINCOLN

FREIGHT LINES, INC., State Highway Route 32, P.O. Box 332, Lapel, Ind. 46051. Applicant's representative: Norman R. Garvin, 815 Merchants Bank Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind. 46051. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Empty glass jars, bottles, container, caps, covers, and skids*, for the account of Brockway Glass Company, Inc., from Lapel, Ind., to Fremont, Ohio; Louisville, Ky.; Champaign, Chicago, and Plainfield, Ill., under a continuing contract with Brockway Glass Company, Inc., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Brockway Glass Company, Inc., Lapel, Ind. 46051. Send protests to: J. H. Gray, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 343 West Wayne St., Suite 113, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802.

No. MC 142356 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: J. S. BRAYANT TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., Route 3, Box 214C, Lynchburg, Va. 24504. Applicant's representative: Michael L. Rogsby, 200 W. Grace St., Suite 415, Lynchburg, Va. 24504. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Scrap iron and shredded scrap steel*, between Washington, D.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, Lynchburg, Radford and Roanoke, Va., under a continuing contract with Lynchburg Foundry Company, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Lynchburg Foundry Company, Box 411, Lynchburg, Va. 24505. Send protests to: Danny R. Beeler, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 210, Roanoke, Va. 24011.

No. MC 142941 (Sub-No. 2TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: SCARBOROUGH TRUCK LINES, 1313 N. 25th Ave., Phoenix, Ariz. 85009. Applicant's representative: Phil B. Hammond, 111 W. Monroe, 10th Floor, Phoenix, Ariz. 85003. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy and confectionery items, dessert preparations, NOI, and coin operating vending machines* (except in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Leaf Confectionery, Inc., at Chicago, Ill., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Leaf Confectionery, Inc., 1155 N. Cicero Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60651. Send protests to: Andrew V. Baylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 3427 Federal Bldg. 230 N. First Ave., Phoenix, Ariz. 85025.

No. MC 143133TA, filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: SHEPPARD ENTERPRISES, INC., 101 Philadelphia St., Hanover, Pa. 17331. Applicant's representative: Daniel M. Frey, 31 York St., Hanover, Pa. 17331. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Power steering units*, from Hanover, Pa., to Chicago, Ill.; Detroit, Mich.; Pontiac, Mich.; Fort Wayne, Ind.; Troy Grove, Ill.; and Bridgeman, Mich.; and (2) *Resin coated sand*, from Troy Grove, Ill., and Bridgeman, Mich., to Reading, Pa., under a continuing contract with International Foundry Supply, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: International Foundry Supply, Inc., P.O. Box 1053, Reading, Pa. 19603. Send protests to: Robert P. Amerine, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 278 Federal Bldg., 228 Walnut St., P.O. Box 869, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108.

No. MC 143135TA, filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: AIR-VAN LTD., 2741 W. Harvard Blvd., Roseburg, Oreg. 97470. Applicant's representative: Ernest E. Cantril (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except Classes A and B explosives), between Mahlon Sweet Airport, near Eugene, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Douglas County, Oreg.; restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 9 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. Send protests to: A. E. Odoms, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 114 Pioneer Courthouse, Portland, Oreg. 97204.

No. MC 143136TA, filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: NEWMAN'S GARAGE & TOWING SERVICE, INC., 1514 Heidt St., Columbia, S.C. 29204. Applicant's representative: Melton Kligman, 1408 Bull St., Columbia, S.C. 29201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Disabled and wrecked vehicles* in tow-away service, between Columbia, S.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Florida, Georgia, Alabama, Tenenssee, North Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland and the District of Columbia, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Shealy's Inc., Mack Truck, 2123 Main St.; Southeastern Equipment, Inc., 1105 Pulaski St., Columbia, S.C. 29201. Carolina Nationalease, 501 Huger St.; Ryder Truck Rental, Inc., P.O. Box 725, Columbia, S.C. 29202. Southeastern Freight Lines, P.O. Box 5887, Columbia, S.C. 29205. Send protests to E. E. Strotheid, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 302, 1400 Pickens St., Columbia, S.C. 29201.

By the Commission.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11814 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 53]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

APRIL 20, 1977.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the provisions of 49 CFR 1131.3. These rules provide that an orginal and six (6) copies of protests to an application may be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication no later than the 15th calendar day after the date the notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of the protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protestant must certify that such service has been made. The protest must identify the operating authority upon which it is predicated, specifying the "MC" docket and "Sub" number and quoting the particular portion of authority upon which it relies. Also, the protestant shall specify the service it can and will provide and the amount and type of equipment it will make available for use in connection with the service contemplated by the TA application. The weight accorded a protest shall be governed by the completeness and pertinence of the protestant's information.

Except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the ICC Field Office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 1239 (Sub-No. 8TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: PONY TRUCKING, INC., 501 State Route 7, Steubenville, Ohio 43952. Applicant's representative: Maxwell A. Howell, 1100 Investment Bldg., 1511 K St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Steel bars, billets, sheet, strip, agricultural implements* (steel discs), between Midland Pa., on the one hand, and Portland, Muncie, Indianapolis, Greenwood, South Bend, Bluffton, Ft. Wayne, Connersville, Kokomo, New Castle, Anderson and Speedway, Ind.; Chicago, Rockford, Roselle, Joliet and Moline, Ill.; Detroit, Flint, Mt. Clemans, Lansing, Troy, Holland, Jackson and Battle Creek, Mich., on the other. Restriction: The operations herein authorized are limited to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract with Crucible, Inc., a division of Colt Industries, Inc., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority Supporting shipper: Crucible, Inc., P.O. Box 226, Midland, Pa. 15059. Send protests to: J. A. Niggemyer, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 416 Old Post Office Bldg., Wheeling, W. Va. 26003.

No. MC 5227 (Sub-No. 24TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: ECKLEY TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 201, Mead, Nebr. 68041. Applicant's representative: Gailyn L. Larsen, Box 81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Grain handling equipment and related parts and accessories*, from the facilities of Sweet Manufacturing Company, at or near West Point, Nebr., to points in Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Idaho, Washington, Oregon, California and Nevada; and (2) *Equipment, materials and supplies*, used in the manufacture of the commodities named in (1) above, from the facilities of Sweet Manufacturing Company, at or near Springfield, Ohio, to the facilities of Sweet Manufacturing Company, at or near West Point, Nebr., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: A. C. Johnson, General Manager, Regional Distribution Center, Sweet Manufacturing Company, Box 33, West Point, Nebr. 68778. Send protests to: Max H. Johnston, District Supervisor, 285 Federal Bldg. and Courthouse, 100 Centennial Mall North, Lincoln, Nebr. 68508.

No. MC 35807 (Sub-No. 70TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: WELLS FARGO ARMORED SERVICE CORPORATION, P.O. Box 4313, Atlanta, Ga. 30302. Applicant's representative: D. E. Wells (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Checks, business papers, records, payroll checks, reports and audit, and accounting media*, between Hamden, Conn., and points in Ulster, Orange and Dutchess Counties, N.Y., under a continuing contract with Mid-Hudson Savings Bank; Rondout Savings Bank; Ellenville Savings Bank; Savings & Loan Association of Newburgh; and Northeast Data Com., Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Mid-Hudson Savings Bank, 88 Main St., Fishkill, N.Y. 12524. Rondout Savings Bank, 300 Broadway, Kingston, N.Y. 12401. Ellenville Savings Bank, 80 N. Main St., Ellenville, N.Y. 12428. Savings & Loan Association of Newburgh, 800 Broadway, Newburgh, N.Y. and Northeast Data Com., Inc., 264–270 Amith Road, Woodbridge, Conn. 06525. Send protests to: Sara K. Davis, Transportation Assistant, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1252 W. Peachtree St., N.W., Room 546, Atlanta, Ga. 30309.

No. MC 64651 (Sub-No. 9 TA), filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: STAR TRANSPORT CO. INC., P.O. Box 2006, 1791 Westchester Drive, High Point, N.C. 27260. Applicant's representative: C. G. Pusey (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New furniture*, from Cumberland and Salem Counties, N.J., to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts and Rhode Island. Applicant intends to tack its existing authority with MC 64651, at points in Cumberland and Salem Counties, N.J., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: There are approximately 20 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. Send protests to: Archie W. Andrews, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 26896, Raleigh, N.C. 27611.

No. MC 78400 (Sub-No. 50TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: BEAUFORT TRANSFER COMPANY, Box 151, Gerald, Mo. 63037. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks II, 3101 Ambassador Bldg., St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Charcoal, charcoal briquetts, wood chips* (not charred), *charcoal lighter fluid and fireplace logs* (wax impregnated compressed sawdust), restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Kingsford Company, division of Clorox Company, at or near Belle and Bland, Mo., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Minnesota, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Dakota, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Tennessee, Wisconsin and Wyoming; and (2) *Materials and supplies* used in manufacture and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above, from points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Minnesota, Michigan, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Dakota, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Tennessee, Wisconsin and Wyoming, to the facilities of Kingsford Company, division of Clorox Company, at or near Belle and Bland, Mo., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Kingsford Company, Division of Clorox Company, Box 1033, Louisiville, Ky. 40201. Send protests to: J. P. Werthmann, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 1465, 210 N. 12th St., St. Louis, Mo. 63101.

No. MC 108119 (Sub-No. 60TA), filed April 7, 1977. Applicant: E. L. MURPHY TRUCKING COMPANY, 3303 Sibley Memorial Highway, P.O. Box 3010, St. Paul, Minn. 55165. Applicant's representative: Andrew R. Clark, 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Nuclear reactor components*, which because of size or weight require special handling or special equipment, from Fullerton, Calif., to Memphis, Tenn., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Aerojet Manufacturing Co., 601 S. Placentia Ave., Fullerton, Calif. 92631. Send protests to: Marion L. Cheney, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 108393 (Sub-No. 117TA), filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: SIGNAL DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 201 E. Ogden Ave., Hinsdale, Ill. 60521. Applicant's representative: Thomas B. Hill (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Parts of electrical and gas appliances and equipment, materials and supplies* used in the manufacture, distribution and repair of electrical and gas appliances, from Newark, Ohio, to Evansville.

No. MC 111401 (Sub-No. 484TA), filed April 7, 1977. Applicant: GROENDYKE TRANSPORT, INC., 2510 Rock Island Blvd., P.O. Box 632, Enid, Okla. 73701. Applicant's representative: Victor R. Comstock (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Hydrochloric* (muriatic acid, in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Deer Park, Tex., to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Oklahoma, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Arkla Chemical Corporation, P.O. Box 751, Little Rock, Ark. 72203. Send protests to: Joe Green, District Supervisor, Room 240 Old Post Office Bldg., 215 NW. Third St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.

No. MC 111956 (Sub-No. 39TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: SUWAK TRUCKING COMPANY, 1105–15 Fayette St., Washington, Pa. 15301. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 N. Front St., Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Canned and preserved foodstuffs*, from the plantsite and shipping facilities of Heinz U.S.A., Division of H. J. Heinz Company, at Fremont, Ohio, to points in Pennsylvania (on and east of U.S. Highway 220); New York (on and south of Interstate Highway 84); New Jersey (on and north of New Jersey Highway 33); and the District, restricted to transportation originating at the above origin and destined to the above destination, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Heinz U.S.A., Division of H. J. Heinz Company, P.O. Box 57, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15230. Send protests to: J. A. Niggemyer, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 416 Old Post Office Bldg., Wheeling, W. Va. 26003.

No. MC 113651 (Sub-No. 219 TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: INDIANA REFRIGERATOR LINES, INC., 2404 N. Broadway, Muncie, Ind. 47303. Applicant's representative: H. Barney Firestone, 327 S. LaSalle, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, in containers (except in, in tank vehicles), *and*

advertising matter, display racks, and premiums when moving at the same and in the same vehicle with foodstuffs, from the facilities of American Home Foods, Division of American Home Products Corporation, at LaPorte, Ind., to points in Louisiana, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: American Home Foods, Division of American Home Products Corporation, 686 Third Ave., New York, N.Y. 10017. Send protests to: J. H. Gray, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 343 W. Wayne St., Suite 113, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 622TA), filed April 7, 1977. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 188, Elm Springs, Ark. 72728. Applicant's representative: L. M. McLean (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise* as is dealt in by retail discount stores (except commodities in bulk), from the storage facilities of Howard Bros. Discount Stores, at Monroe, La., to Plainview, Tex., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Howard Bros. Discount Stores, Inc., 3030 Aurora, Monroe, La. 71201. Send protests to: William H. Land, Jr., District Supervisor, 3108 Federal Office Bldg., 700 W. Capitol, Little Rock, Ark. 72201.

No. MC 117815 (Sub-No. 262TA), filed April 7, 1977. Applicant: PULLEY FREIGHT LINES, INC., 405 S.E. 20th St., Des Moines, Iowa 50317. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 900 Hubbell Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Charcoal briquets, woodchips, charcoal lighter fluid* (naphtha distilled), *vermiculite other* than crude, *fireplace logs, sawdust wax impregnated, plant bedding and potting soil*, from Belle, Mo., to points in Iowa and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: The Kingsford Company, P.O. Box 1033, Louisville, Ky. 40201. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 518 Federal Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 117940 (Sub-No. 218TA), filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: NATIONWIDE CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 104, Maple Plain, Minn. 55359. Applicant's representative: Allan L. Timmerman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in or used in the operation of retail department stores (except foodstuffs, commodities in bulk and household goods as defined by the Commission), from the New York, N.Y. Commercial Zone, the Boston, Mass. Commercial Zone, and the Philadelphia, Pa. Commercial Zone, to the facilities of Nordstrom in Tukwila, Wash., and Nordstrom stores, in Seattle, Tacoma, Yakima, Spokane, Bremerton, Bellingham and Bellevue, Wash., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Nordstrom, Inc., 2101 Andover Park East, Tukwila, Wash. Send protests to: Marion L. Cheney, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., and U.S. Courthouse, 110 S. 4th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401.

No. MC 118831 (Sub-No. 150TA), filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: CENTRAL TRANSPORT, INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 7007, High Point, N.C. 27264. Applicant's representative: Gary L. Honbarrier (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sodium bromide*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from El Dorado, Ark., to the Du Pont Cape Fear Plant, near Wilmington, N.C., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company, 1007 Market St., Wilmington, Del. 19898. Send protests to: Archie W. Andrews, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 26896, Raleigh, N.C. 27611.

No. MC 121517 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed April 7, 1977. Applicant: ELLSWORTH MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., P.O. Box J, Stroud, Okla. 74079. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 3535 N.W. 58th St., 280 National Foundation Life Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Quicklime hydrated lime and ground limestone*, from Marble City and Sallisaw, Okla., to points in Arkansas, Kansas, Bowie and Cass Counties, Tex., and Jackson, Caddo and Webster Parishes, La., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: St. Clair Lime Co., P.O. Box 894, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73101. Send protests to: Joe Green, District Supervisor, Room 240 Old Post Office Bldg., 215 N.W. Third St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.

No. MC 123407 (Sub-No. 363TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: SAWYER TRANSPORT, INC., South Haven Square, U.S. Highway 6, Valparaiso, Ind. 46383. Applicant's representative: H. E. Miller, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Perlite*, in bags, from the plantsite of Persolite Products, at or near Florence, Colo., to points in Oklahoma, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Perlite of Oklahoma, 3304 Alexander Lane, Bethany, Okla. 73008. Send protests to: J. H. Gray, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 343 W. Wayne St., Suite 113, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802.

No. MC 124887 (Sub-No. 29TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: SHELTON TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., Route 1, Box 230, Altha, Fla. 32421. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., Pennsylvania Ave., and 13th St., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber*, from the facilities of East Highlands Co., Forest Products Division, at Albertville, Ala., to points in Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia and those points in Louisiana east of the Mississippi River, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: East Highlands Co., P.O. Box 746, Albertville, Ala. 35950. Send protests to: G. H. Fauss, Jr., District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Box 35008, 400 W. Bay St., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202.

No. MC 126555 (Sub-No. 44TA), filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: UNIVERSAL TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 3000, Rapid City, S. Dak. 57701. Applicant's representative: Barry C. Burnette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Bentonite*, from Casper and Worland, Wyo., to Milikin, Colo., restricted against oil field commodities as described in Mercer Extension, Oil Field Commodities 74 MCC page 459, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Colorado Alfalfa Products Company, Rt. 1, Milikin, Colo. 80543. Send protests to: J. L. Hammond, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 369, Federal Bldg., Pierre, S. Dak. 57501.

No. MC 127049 (Sub-No. 14TA), filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: KRUEPKE TRUCKING, INC., 4881 Highway 45, Jackson, Wis. 53037. Applicant's representative: Richard C. Alexander, 710 N. Plankinton Ave., Milwaukee, Wis. 53202. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Fans, heaters, heat recyclers, vacuum cleaners, household compactors, door chimes, range hoods and splash plates, roof chappings, and parts and accessories and exhibition booths* for the foregoing commodities; (a) from Hartford, Wis., to Dallas, Tex.; Atlanta, Ga., and Nashville, Tenn.; and (b) between Hartford, Wis., and Old Forge, Pa.; and (2) Parts used in the manufacture of the commodities in (1) above, from Jacksonville and Jonesboro, Ark.; Gainesville, Ga.; and Columbus, Ohio, to Hartford, Wis., under a continuing contract with Broan Manufacturing Company, Inc., of Hartford, Wis., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Broan Manufacturing

Company, Inc., 926 W. State St., Hartford, Wis. 53027. Send protests to: Gail Daugherty, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, U.S. Federal Bldg., and Courthouse, 517 E. Wisconsin Ave., Room 619, Milwaukee, Wis. 53202.

No. MC 129455 (Sub-No. 17TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: CARRETTA TRUCKING, INC., 301 Mayhill St., Saddle Brook, N.J. 07662. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Williams, 1815 Front St., Scotch Plains, N.J. 07076. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Toilet preparations, shaving cream, shampoo, soap and store displays*, knocked down, from Morristown, N.J., to Phoenix, Ariz.; Salt Lake City, Utah; Denver, Colo., and points in Alameda, Contra Costa, Los Angeles, Orange, Santa Clara, San Francisco, and San Mateo Counties, Calif., under a continuing contract with The Mennen Company, of Morristown, N.J., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: The Mennen Company, Morristown, N.J. 07960. Send protests to: Joel Morrows, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 9 Clinton St., Newark, N.J. 07102.

No. MC 129962 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed March 30, 1977. Applicant: GERARD HARBEC TRANSPORT, INC., 200 Rue Comeau Nord, Farnham, Quebec, Canada J2N 2R6. Applicant's representative: Frank J. Weiner, 15 Court Square, Boston, Mass. 02108. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Metal rollers*, between the ports of entry on the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada, at or near Highgate Springs, Richford, North Troy, Derby Line, Norton and Canaan, Vt.; Pittsburg, N.H.; Coburn Gore and Jackman, Maine, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Maine, New Hampshire and Vermont, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the plantsite facilities of Gutta Percha Industries of Farnham Ltd., Farnham, Quebec, Canada, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Gutta Percha Industries of Farnham Ltd., 949 Main St., East, Farnham, Quebec, Canada J2N 2R6. Send protests to: David A. Demers, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, P.O. Box 548, 87 State St., Montpelier, Vt. 05602.

No. MC 134922 (Sub-No. 228TA), filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: B. J. MCADAMS, INC., Route 6, Box 15, North Little Rock, Ark. 72118. Applicant's representative: Bob McAdams (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic materials or products, powders, granules and pellets*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration (except in bulk), from Kobuta, Pa., to points in California, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Arco Polymer, Inc., 1500 Market St., Philadelphia, Pa. 19103. Send protests to: William H. Land, Jr., District Supervisor, 3108 Federal Office Bldg., 700 W. Capitol, Little Rock, Ark. 72201.

No. MC 136916 (Sub-No. 17TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: LENAPE TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 227, Lafayette, N.J. 07848. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, 5 World Trade Center, Suite 6193, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Hard ferrites*, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from Edgewater, N.J., to Saugerties, N.Y., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Ferroxcube Corp., 5083 Kings Highway, Saugerties, N.Y. 12477. Send protests to: Joel Morrows, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 9 Clinton St., Newark, N.J. 07102.

No. MC 138762 (Sub-No. 5TA), filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: MUNICIPAL TANK LINES LIMITED, P.O. Box 3500, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2P 2P9. Applicant's representative: D. S. Vincent (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquified petroleum gases*, in bulk, from the Ports of Entry on the United States-Canada International Boundary line in Michigan and New York, to points in Michigan, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Indiana, on traffic originating at Sarnia, Ontario, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shippers: R. L. Jones, Manager, Supply & Transportation, Stillings Petroleum (Canada) Ltd., 670 Canda Place, 407 2nd St., S.W., Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2P 2Y3. Robert H. Crossett, Traffic Mgr., Phila. Division, S.K.F. Industries, Inc., Front St. and Erie Ave., Philadelphia, Pa. 19132. James D. Christen, Traffic Analyst Amoco Oil Company, 220 E. Randolph Drive, Chicago, Ill. 60680. Send protests to: Paul J. Labane, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 2602 First Ave., North, Billings, Mont. 59101.

No. MC 138991 (Sub-No. 20TA), filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: K. J. TRANSPORTATION, INC., 100 Jefferson Road, Rochester, N.Y. 14623. Applicant's representative: John M. Nader, Route 3, Box 4, Bowling Green, Ky. 42101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages*, (except in bulk), from Pabst, Ga., to Dayton, Ohio, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Albert W. Vontz, III, Vice-President, Sales Manager, Service Distributing Co., d.b.a. Heidelburg Distributing Co., 1224 Shaeffer St., Dayton, Ohio 45404. Send protests to: Morris H. Gross, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, U.S. Courthouse and Federal Bldg., 100 S. Clinton St., Room 1259, Syracuse, N.Y. 13202.

No. MC 139091 (Sub-No. 20TA), filed April 7, 1977. Applicant: LOGAN MOTOR LINES, INC., 2829 Mays St., P.O. Box 30038, Amarillo, Tex. 79120. Applicant's representative: Clayton J. Logan (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy and confectionery items* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Leaf Confectionery, at or near Chicago, Ill., to Baltimore, Md.; Boston, Mass.; Buffalo, New York City and its Commercial Zone, Syracuse, N.Y.; Cleveland and Columbus, Ohio; Philadelphia and Pittsburgh, Pa., under a continuing contract with Leaf Confectionery, Inc., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Leaf Confectionery, Inc., 1155 N. Cicero Ave., Chicago, Ill. 60651. Send protests to: Haskell E. Ballard, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Box H-4395 Herring Plaza, Amarillo, Tex. 79101.

No. MC 139163 (Sub-No. 10TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: ELECTRONIC RIGGERS OF FLORIDA, INC., 1265 La Quinta Drive, Orlando, Fla. 32809. Applicant's representative: M. Craig Massey, 202 E. Walnut St., P.O. Box J, Lakeland, Fla. 33802. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Copying machines and parts, materials and supplies* used in the manufacture, installation or sale of such commodities, between Chicago, Ill., and its commercial zone, on the one hand, and, points in Colorado, Utah, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, Indiana, Ohio and Kentucky, under a continuing contract with Xerox Corporation, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Xerox Corporation, 3000 Des Plaines, Des Plaines, Ill. 60018. Send protests to: G. H. Fauss, Jr., District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Box 35008, 400 W. Bay St., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202.

No. MC 140563 (Sub-No. 9TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: W. T. MYLES TRANSPORTATION CO., P.O. Box 321, 4481 Moreland Ave., Conley, Ga. 30027. Applicant's representative: Archie B. Culbreth, Suite 246, 1252 W. Peachtree St. NW., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Newsprint paper and groundwood paper*, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Bowater Southern Paper Corporation, at Calhoun, Tenn., to point in Alabama, Florida (on and north of Florida Highway 50), Georgia, Kentucky, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska and Ohio, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Bowater Southern Paper Corporation Calhoun, Tenn. 37309. Send protests to: Sara K. Davis, Transportation Assistant, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 1252 W. Peachtree St. NW., Room 546, Atlanta, Ga. 30309.

No. MC 141085 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: EAST COAST TRUCKING, INC., 90 Rentell Road, Hamden, Conn. 06514. Applicant's representative: John E. Fay, 630 Oakwood Ave., Suite 127, West Hartford, Conn. 06110. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Processed log products, millwork, materials, accessories, parts and supplies* for assembly, processing, and manufacture of log homes, between Great Barrington, Mass., and Lawrenceville, Va., on the one hand, to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Missouri, New Mexico, Ohio, Colorado, Kansas, Maryland, Oklahoma, Tennessee, Texas, South Carolina, West Virginia and Wisconsin on the other, under a continuing contract with New England Log Homes, Inc., d/b/a NELHI, 2301 State St., Hamden, Conn. 06518. Send protests to: J. D. Perry, Jr., Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 High St., Room 324, Hartford, Conn. 06101.

No. MC 142048 (Sub-No. 5TA), filed April 5, 1977. Applicant: PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION LINES, INC., 443 Delaware Ave., Buffalo, N.Y. 14202. Applicant's representative: William J. Hirsch, Suite 1125, 43 Court St., Buffalo, N.Y. 14202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from the facilities of Empire Freezers of Syracuse, Inc., at Syracuse, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hamsphire, New Jersey and the New York, N.Y. Commercial Zone, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Empire Freezers of Syracuse, Inc., Syracuse Industrial Center, Farrell Road, P.O. Box 770, Syracuse, N.Y. 13201. Send protests to: George M. Parker, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 910 Federal Bldg., 111 W. Huron St., Buffalo, N.Y. 14202.

No. MC 143134TA, filed April 6, 1977. Applicant: SAMSON TRANSPORTATION, INCORPORATED, 6521 W. 65th St., Cleveland, Ohio 44102. Applicant's representative: George S. Maxwell, 1104 One Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio 44113. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Soaps, solvents, detergents and similar chemical compounds*, from Barberton, Ohio, to points in Alabama, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, under a continuing contract with Malco Products, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Malco Products, Inc., 361 Fairview Lane, Barberton, Ohio 44203. Send protests to: James Johnson, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 731 Federal Office Bldg., 1240 E. 9th St., Cleveland, Ohio 44199.

No. MC 143141 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed April 1, 1977. Applicant: TOM CHAFFEE, Box 657, 524 N.W. Highway 24, Topeka, Kans. 66601. Applicant's representative: Clyde N. Christey, 514 Capitol Federal Bldg., 700 Kansas Ave., Topeka, Kans. 66603. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic pipe, plastic pipe and accessories*, from the plantsite and/or warehouse facilities of Vinylplex, Inc., at or near Pittsburg, Kans., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee and Texas, under a continuing contract with Vinylplex, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Vinylplex, Inc., 1800 Atkinson Ave., Pittsburg, Kans. 66762. Send protests to: Thomas P. O'Hara, District Supervisor, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 234 Federal Bldg., Topeka, Kans. 66603.

No. MC 143148TA, filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: JOE F. MAZZOTTI, 7360 Race St., Denver, Colo. 80229. Applicant's representative: Stockton and Lewis, 1650 Grant St., Grant St. Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, from Kansas City, Mo., and Los Angeles, Calif., and the commercial zones of each, to the plantsite of Engbar Pipe and Steel Co., at Denver, Colo., under a continuing contract with Engbar Pipe & Steel Co., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Engbar Pipe & Steel Co., 4755 Washington St., Denver, Colo. 80216. Send protests to: Roger L. Buchanan, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 721 19th St., 492 U.S. Customs House, Denver, Colo. 80202.

No. MC 143149TA, filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: GARY PESSMAN, doing business as, GARY PESSMAN TRUCKING, R.R. No. 1, Fulton, Ill. 61252. Applicant's representative: Winston A. Hollard, P.O. Box 14006, 5900 W. Colfax Ave., Suite 20, Denver, Colo. 80214. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (A) *Steel articles, viz: Angles*, NOI: *bars or rods*, NOI, *bars, sheet or tin plate; channels*, NOI: *columns*, NOI, other than sheet; *pipe or tubing*, NOI: *plate or sheet, NOI*, galvanized, lacquered, leaded, painted, primed, tarred or plain, corrugated or not corrugated; plate or sheet, NOI, expanded or perforated; plate, structural, NOI: sheets, reinforced with expanded steel; strip steel, NOI: from the plantsites of steel producing mills, in Fairfield, Ala.; Alquippa, Bethlehem, Clairton, Homestead, Johnstown and Pittsburgh, Pa.; Cleveland and Youngstown, Ohio; Lackawanna, N.Y.; Burns Harbor, Gary, Hammond and Portage, Ind.; Chicago, Granite City, Hennepin and Sterling, Ill.; Kansas City and St. Louis, Mo.; Kansas City, Kans.; Norfolk, Nebr.; Houston, Tex.; Geneva; Utah; Torrance, Calif., to Denver, Colo., and its Commercial Zone; (B) *Iron and steel material*, from the points of origin shown in (A) above, manufactured, into *racks, pallets, storage or warehouse iron or steel KD*, in packages or loose, from Denver, Colo. and its commercial zone, to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Conecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and Wyoming, also return movement of *used rack, pallets, storage or warehouse, iron or steel, KD*, when replaced with new rack or pallets, storage or warehouse, iron or steel, KD, at applicable schedule rate and charge, under a continuing contract with Steel Storage Systems, Inc., and Steel Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Steel Storage Systems, Inc., Thomas D. Fahey, President, 6301 Dexter St.; and Steel Inc., John L. McCallin, President, 6300 Clermont St., Commerce City, Colo. 80022. Send protests to: Patricia A. Roscoe, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Everett McKinley Dirksen Bldg., 219 S. Dearborn St., Room 1386, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 143150TA, filed April 4, 1977. Applicant: JAMES E. ROBERTS, doing business as, ROBERTS TRUCKING, 7086 Washington Blvd., Elkridge, Md. 21227. Applicants' representative: Edward N. Button, 1329 Pennsylvania Ave., Hagerstown, Md. 21740. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Concrete pipe; forms and molds* used in the manufacture thereof: (1) from Jessup, Md., and Manassas, Va., and their respective commercial zones, to points in Maryland, Delaware, Virginia, West Virginia, Pennsylvania, and the District of Columbia and its commercial zone; and (2) between Jessup, Md., and Manassas, Va., and their respective commercial zones, under a continuing contract with Concrete Pipe and Products Co., Inc., Jessup, Md., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: William H. Street, III, Vice President and General Manager, Concrete Pipe and Products Co., Inc., P.O. Box 71, Jessup, Md. 20794. Send protests to: William L. Hughes, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 814-B Federal Bldg., Baltimore, Md. 21201.

By the Commission.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11810 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[AB 142]

NEW ORLEANS AND COAST RAILROAD CO.

Abandonment of Railroad Services

APRIL 15, 1977.

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that its Section of Energy and Environment has concluded that the proposed abandonment by the New Orleans and Lower Coast Railroad of a portion of its line known as "South End" between Port Sulphur and Empire, a distance of 21.2 miles, in Plaquemine Parish, La., if approved by the Commission, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. § 4321, et seq., and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section 4332(2) (C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that abandonment is being proposed primarily to avoid relocation of a portion of the right-of-way which is required for a levee enlargement and setback project by the Army Corps of Engineers in the Port Sulphur area. The major commodity previously handled on this line was elemental sulphur. However, no shipments of this commodity have occurred in the last six months because it is now being handled by water carriers. It is anticipated that traffic diverted as a result of abandonment will move either by water carrier or motor carrier. Even if all the diverted traffic was to move by motor carrier, there would only be an addition of 5 trucks each working day to Louisiana State Highway 23. A diversion of this magnitude will not cause any significant increases in fuel consumption, noise, air pollution, or congestion.

This conclusion is contained in a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey, which is available on request to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-275-7011.

Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, on or before May 27, 1977.

It should be emphasized that the environmental threshold assessment survey represents an evaluation of the environmental issues in the proceeding and does not purport to resolve the issue of whether the present or future public convenience and necessity permit discontinuance of the line proposed for abandonment. Consequently, comments on the environmental study should be limited to discussion of the presence or absence of environmental impacts and reasonable alternatives.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11809 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[Nos. 36363; 36364]

RAILROAD ASSET VALUATION AND ACCOUNTING FOR RAILROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY

Petition for Rulemaking

Order. At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 12th day of April 1977.

Upon consideration of petitions filed April 30, 1976, by the United States Department of Transportation (DOT), for the institution of rulemaking proceedings to consider: (1) whether railroad assets should be valued at replacement cost rather than at original cost, and (2) whether existing railroad right-of-way should be subject to depreciation or betterment accounting; and

It appearing, that DOT argues that the subjects of the proposed rulemaking proceedings must be resolved concurrently with the development of a new uniform cost and revenue accounting system for railroads pursuant to section 307 of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 ("4-R Act"; Pub. L. 94-210, 90 Stat. 55 (49 U.S.C. 20(3)), and further argues that formal proceedings should be instituted to afford the public an opportunity to comment;

It further appearing, that the proposed issues are not matters that can be dealt with expeditiously in the initial design of the new system of accounts required by section 307; and that the petitions were specifically excluded from consideration in No. 36367, Revision to the Uniform System of Accounts for Railroads (notice of proposed rulemaking served August 2, 1976);

It further appearing, that the proposed issues were among the subjects considered in the report the Coordinator, in Ex Parte No. 271," Net Investment—Railroad Rate Base and Rate of Return," 345 I.C.C. 1492 (1976); and that, to the extent that the issues considered in Ex Parte No. 271 pertain to section 205 of the 4-R Act, they are subject to further comment in the rulemaking proceeding instituted in Ex Parte No. 338, Standards and Procedures for the Establishment of Adequate Railroad Revenue Levels (notice of proposed rulemaking served March 10, 1977);

It further appearing, that comments received in Ex Parte No. 338 may permit resolution of the issues raised in one or both of these proposals, or allow the nature and scope of any needed separate inquiry into these and related matters to be better defined; and accordingly, that the granting of the petitions for rulemaking at the present time would be premature, unnecessary and undesirable; therefore:

It is ordered, That the petitions for institution of rulemaking proceedings be, and they are hereby, denied.

By the Commission. (Commissioner Stafford did not participate.)

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-11813 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

sunshine act meetings

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (Pub. L. 94-409), 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

CONTENTS

1

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Power Commission.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 42 FR 20216, April 18, 1977.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: April 20, 1977 2:00 p.m.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: Addition of item G-30, Docket No. RP71-107, (Phase II) PGA75-1, et al., Northern Natural Gas Company upon the affirmative vote of Chairman Dunham, Commissioners Holloman and Watt. Addition of item G-31, Docket No. RP71-29, et al., (Phase 110, United Gas Pipe Line Company upon the affirmative vote of Chairman Dunham, Commissioners Smith, Holloman and Watt.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[S-220-77 Filed 4-20-77;12:04 pm]

2

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.

DATE AND TIME: April 25, 1977; 5:00 p.m.

PLACE: Room 800, 1121 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: (1) Review of Los Angeles School Desegregation Report; (2) Issuance and enforcement of Commission subpenas for hearing in Memphis, Tennessee, on May 9-10, 1977.

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

Barbara Brooks, Public Affairs Unit, 202-254-6697.

[S-221-77 Filed 4-20-77;12:04 pm]

3

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Power Commission.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 42 FR 20216, April 18, 1977.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: April 20, 1977, 2:00 p.m.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: The following items have been added to upon the affirmative vote of Chairman Dunham, Commissioners Smith and Holloman. G-32, Docket No. CP77-332, Trunkline Gas Company; G-33, Docket No. CP77-313, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Docket No. CP77-325, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation; Docket No. CP77-337, Algonquin Gas Transmission Company; the following item has been added upon the affirmative vote of Chairman Dunham, Commissioners Smith and Watt. G-34, Docket Nos. RF71-29, et al., (Phase III) United Gas Pipe Line Company.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[S-222-77 Filed 4-20-77;1:50 pm]

4

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Civil Aeronautics Board.

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m.-April 27, 1977.

PLACE: Room 1027, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT: Docket 30314, Part 370—Employee Responsibilities and Conduct.

STATUS: Open.

PERSON TO CONTACT:
Phyllis T. Kaylor, The Secretary, 202-673-5068.

[S-223-77 Filed 4-20-77;3:57 pm]

5

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Reserve System.

On Wednesday, April 27, 1977, at 2:30 p.m. a meeting of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System will be held at the Board's offices at 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., to consider the following items of official Board business:

1. Possible candidates for a position at a Federal Reserve Bank. This matter was originally considered at a meeting on April 29, 1977.

2. Any agenda items carried forward from a previously announced closed meeting.

This meeting will be closed to public observation because the items fall under exemptions contained in the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b (c)). Information with regard to this meeting may be obtained from Mr. Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board, at (202) 452-3204.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Dated: April 20, 1977.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[S-224-77 Filed 4-20-77;4:57 pm]

6

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Vol. 42, No. 75, page 20364, April 19, 1977.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9:30 a.m., April 21, 1977.

STATUS: Closed.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Mr. Robert Marshall, 202-376-3012.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: The following item has been changed from the open portion to the closed portion of the meeting: Application for Permission to Organize a New Federal Association Arnando Ernesto Fernandez, et al., Miami, Dade County, Florida.

No. 18, April 20, 1977.

RONALD A. SNIDER,
Assistant Secretary.

[S-225-77 Filed 4-20-77;5:11 pm]

7

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: U.S. Railroad Retirement Board.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Vol. 42, No. 73, Page 19954, Friday, April 15, 1977.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 10:00 a.m., April 22, 1977.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: Additional items to be considered at the portion of the meeting open to the public: (11) Use of Board Form RL-12 in obtaining medical examinations in disability cases. (12) Approval of expenditure

of $4,796.00 for installation of a security card access control system for the Board's Bureau of Data Processing and Accounts.

[S-226-77 Filed 4-21-77;9:29 am]

8

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Reserve System.

The previously announced meeting of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System on April 20, 1977, included an additional item:

Possible candidates for a position at a Federal Reserve Bank.

The business of the Board required that the item be added, and no earlier announcement of the change was possible.

This item was closed to public observation because the item falls under exemption(s) in the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552(c)).

The previously announced closed items were:

Amendments to the Federal Reserve System's retirement, thrift and long-term disability income plan. This matter was originally scheduled for a meeting on March 23, 1977.

The meeting was held at 12:00 noon in the Board's offices at 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. Information may be obtained from Mr. Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board, at (202) 452-3204.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Dated: April 20, 1977.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
Deputy Secretary of the Board.

[S-227-77 Filed 4-21-77;10:25 am]

9

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Election Commission.

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, April 28, 1977, 10:00 a.m.

PLACE: 1325 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20463.

STATUS: Portions of this meeting will be open to the public and portions will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

PORTION OF MEETING OPEN TO THE PUBLIC:

I. Future meetings.
II. Correction and approval of minutes, April 14, 1977.
III. Advisory Opinion 1977-13.
IV. Procedures for electing Commission Chairman.
V. Presentation of findings of in-depth survey on impact of the F.E.C.A. on 1976 elections by Richard Wirthlin and Peter Hart.

PORTION OF MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC:

VI. Executive Session: A. Compliance; B. Personnel.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:

David Fiske, Press Officer, Telephone 202-523-4065.

MARJORIE W. EMMANS,
Secretary to the Commission.

[S-228-77 Filed 4-21-77;2:46 pm]

10

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: The Renegotiation Board.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 42 RF 18341.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 10 a.m., April 26, 1977.

CHANGES IN MEETING: The time and date of the meeting are changed to 10 a.m., May 3, 1977. In all other respects, the original announcement in 42 FR 13167-8 is unchanged.

Dated: April 21, 1977.

GOODWIN CHASE,
Chairman.

[S-229-77 Filed 4-21-77;3:44 pm]

11

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Communications Commission.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Thursday, April 28, 1977.

PLACE: Room 856, 1919 M Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open Commission Meeting.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Agenda, Item No., and Subject

Safety and Special Radio Services—1—Petition for partial reconsideration of actions taken in the Second Report and Order in Docket No. 19478, deleting the requirement for frequency coordination for offset assignments in the 450-470 MHz Business band and restricting "fixed" use to the mobile station band.

Common Carrier—1—Formal Complaint of ITT World Communications Inc. against Puerto Rico Telephone Co., File No. TS-1-77.

Common Carrier—2—Notice of Proposed Rule Making concerning amendment of the accounting rules for telephone companies (Part 31) to include the ratemaking treatment for certain plant and expense items as prescribed in Docket No. 19129.

Cable Television—1—Petition for Special Relief (CSR-1082) filed on behalf of American Television and Communications Corporation, operator of 13 cable television systems in central Florida; and Partial Opposition filed on behalf of Sun World Broadcasters, Inc., (WSWB-TV).

Cable Television—2—Remand from U.S. Court of Appeals (D.C. Circuit) with respect to Vanhu, Inc., Seattle, Washington, and United Community Antenna Systems, Inc., d/b as Master Cable TV Systems, Seattle, Washington; and petition by KIRO, Inc. for ruling consistent with court mandate and motion for oral argument.

Renewal—1—Indianapolis Black Media Coalition's petition to deny renewal applications of five Indianapolis area radio stations.

Television—1—Application by Coral Television Corporation, WCIX-TV, Channel 6, Miami, Florida, for a construction permit for a 100 percent satellite television station on Channel 33, Miami, Florida; objection of Sunbeam Television Corporation, WCKT-TV, Channel 7, Miami, Florida to acceptance of the application for filing.

Broadcast—1—Temporary suspension of certain portions of Sections 73.313, 73.333, 73.684 and 73.699 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations concerning terrain roughness calculations.

Complaint and Compliance—1—Investigation into the operation of Radio Stations WZYQ, Frederick, Maryland, and WZYO-FM, Braddock Heights, Maryland.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Samuel M. Sharkey, FCC Public Information Officer, telephone number 202-632-7260.

Issued: April 21, 1977.

[S-232-77 Filed 4-21-77; 4:07 pm]

12

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Communications Commission.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Vol. 42, page 20744, Thursday, April 21, 1977.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 2 p.m. Thursday, April 28, 1977.

STATUS: Closed Meeting.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: This meeting has now been rescheduled for 10:30 a.m., Wednesday, April 27, 1977, in Room 856, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, D.C.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Samuel M. Sharkey, FCC Public Information Officer, telephone number 202-632-7260.

Issued: April 20, 1977.

[S-233-77 Filed 4-21-77;4:07 pm]

13

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Federal Communications Commission.

TIME AND DATE: 2 p.m., Wednesday, April 27, 1977.

PLACE: Room 856, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Special Open Commission Meeting.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED:

Agenda, Item No. and Subject

Special—1—Progress Report on the Implementation of the Spectrum Management Policy and Coordinating Committee recommendations; and Notice of Inquiry concerning practices and procedures for spectrum management in the land mobile services governed by Parts 89, 91, and 93 of the Commission's Rules.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Samuel M. Sharkey, FCC Public Information Officer, telephone number 202-632-7260.

Issued: April 20, 1977.

[S-234-77 Filed 4-21-77;4:07 pm]

14

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Tennessee Valley Authority.

TIME AND DATE: 10:30 a.m., Thursday, April 28, 1977.

PLACE: Conference Room B-32, West Tower, 400 Commerce Avenue, Knoxville, Tennessee.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

A—Personnel Actions—None.

B—Consulting and Personal Service Contracts—None.

C—Purchase Awards.

1. Req. No. 140700—Turbine rotors for Johnsonville, Kingston, Paradise, and Widows Creek Steam Plants.

2. Req. No. 821533—Condenser circulating water pumps for proposed Hartsville and Phipps Bend Nuclear Plants.

3. Req. No. 821298—Sump pumps for proposed Hartsville and Phipps Bend Nuclear Plants.

4. Req. No. 539658 (Reissue)—Electric tower cranes for construction pool equipment.

5. Req. No. 821399—Structural steel for fuel building for proposed Hartsville and Phipps Bend Nuclear Plants.

6. Req. No. 821047—Balance of plant piping systems for proposed Hartsville and Phipps Bend Nuclear Plants.

7. Req. No. 821606—Radiation monitoring system for Bellefonte Nuclear Plant, units 1 and 2.

8. Req. No. 820104 (Reissue)—6900-volt auxiliary power switch-gear for proposed Hartsville and Phipps Bend Nuclear Plants.

D—Project Authorizations.

1. No. 3221—Construct the Lewisburg, Tennessee, 161-kv substation and transmission line connections.

2. No. 3158.1—Amendment to ionizer project (in collaboration with Electric Power Research Institute and Air Pollution Systems).

E—Fertilizer Items—None.

F—Power Items.

1. Deed conveying to City of New Albany, Mississippi, a 43-acre portion of TVA's Blue Mountain Substation site—Tippah County, Mississippi.

2. New power contract with North East Mississippi Electric Membership Corporation.

3. Interconnection agreement with Louisville Gas and Electric Company—replacement of 1942 agreement.

4. Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of the Army and Tennessee Valley Authority for transmission line modifications for the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway project.

5. Agreement with Alabama Power Company for utility relocations—Upper Bear Creek Dam and Reservoir.

G—Real Property Transactions.

1. Contract with Roane County, Tennessee, for highway adjustments in the Kingston Steam Plant area.

2. Grant of coal mining easement to La-Follette Mining Company affecting tract of land in Campbell County, Tennessee.

3. Grant of coal mining easement to Peabody Coal Company affecting land in Henderson, Union, and Webster Counties, Kentucky—tract XCBCR-1M.

4. Filing of condemnation suits.

5. Grant of permanent easement for a sewerline affecting 0.3 acre of Guntersville Reservoir land in Jackson County, Alabama—tract XIGR-122S.

H—Unclassified.

1. Supplement to letter agreement with the Knoxville TVA Employees Credit Union for van pool demonstration project.

2. Designation of personnel security officer.

3. Approval of plans of Kingsport Press for construction of outfall at Bolston River mile 129. OR for an industrial wastewater treatment plant.

Dated: April 21, 1977.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

John Van Mol, Director of Information, or a member of his staff can respond to requests for information about this meeting. Call 615-632-3257, Knoxville, Tennessee. Information is also available at TVA's Washington Office, 202-343-4537.

[S-235-77 Filed 4-21-77; 4:17 pm]

15

AGENCY HOLDING MEETING: Federal Power Commission.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: (Sent to FR on 4/20/77).

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 2 p.m., April 27, 1977.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING:

The following items have been added to the agenda upon the affirmative vote of Chairman Dunham, Commissioners Holloman, and Smith.

G-7.—Docket Nos. CP74-138, CP74-139, Trunkline LNG Company Docket No. CP74-140, Trunkline Gas Company.

G-8.—Docket No. RP76-140, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America Docket No. RP77-4, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc.

G-9.—Docket No. CI74-319, James M. Forgotson, Operator for Gulf Coast Venture

G-10.—Docket No. CI66-176, Skelly Oil Company

G-11.A—Docket Nos. G-17350, G-17351, CP69-346 and CP69-347, Pacific Gas Transmission Company Docket No. CP75-340, Northwest Pipeline Corporation

B—Docket No. CP77-186, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation

G-12.—Docket Nos. CP65-393, Florida Gas Transmission Company. Docket Nos. CI65-584, CI77-70, and CI77-81, Amoco Production Company, a Subsidiary of Standard Oil Company of Indiana. Docket No. CI73-70 and CI77-31, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company. Docket No. CP73-157, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America. Docket No. CP77-31, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc. Docket No. CP77-37, Sea Robin Pipeline Company.

M-1.—Docekt No. RM76-17, Research Develpoment and Demonstration; Accounting; Advance Approval of Rate Treatment.

M-2.—Emergency Supplies of Natural Gas to Pipelines and Distributors.

M-3.—Memorandum relative to Curtailment Impact Next Winter.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[S-236-77 Filed 4-21-77;4:37 pm]

16

AGENCY HOLDING MEETING: Federal Power Commission.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: (Sent to FR on 4/18/77).

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 2 p.m. April 26, 1977.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING:

The following items have been added to the agenda upon the affirmative vote of Chairman Dunham, Commissioners Holloman, and Smith.

P-9.—Docket No. ER76-848, Montana Power Company.

M-1.—Docket No. RM77- , Residential Electric Bill Data for United States Bureau of Labor Statistics FPC Form No. 3-P.

M-2.—Docket No. RM76-17, Research Development and Demonstration; Accounting; Advance Approval of Rate Treatment.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[S-237-77 Filed 4-21-77;4:41 pm]

17

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: April 14, 1977, 42 FR 19571.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: Monday, April 18, 1977.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: Affirmation of the Commission Action on Appointment of New ACRS Member.

Meeting time is changed to 1:25 p.m., Friday, April 22, 1977.

WALTER MAGEE,
Chief, Operations Branch.

[S-239-77 Filed 4-22-77;10:12 am]

federal register

MONDAY, APRIL 25, 1977

PART II

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

■

TANKERMAN REQUIREMENTS; QUALIFICATIONS OF PERSONS IN CHARGE OF OIL TRANSFER OPERATIONS

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[33 CFR Part 155]

[CGD 74-44a]

QUALIFICATIONS OF THE PERSON IN CHARGE OF OIL TRANSFER OPERATIONS

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Proposed Rules.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing to revise the regulations governing the qualifications of the person in charge of oil transfer operations. This change is proposed in order to bring the regulations in line with the proposed regulations governing the qualifications of personnel involved in the handling, transfer, and transportation of dangerous cargoes in bulk aboard ships and barges.

DATES: (1) Comments must be received on or before: July 7, 1977. (2) Public Hearing: The Coast Guard will hold a public hearing on June 21 and 22, 1977, beginning at 9 a.m. in room 2230, Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to and are available for examination at the Marine Safety Council (G-CMC/81), room 8117, Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. Copies of studies referenced in this document are available for examination at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Captain George K. Greiner, Marine Safety Council (G-CMC/81), room 8117, Department of Transportation, Nassif Buliding, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. (202-426-1477).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments. Written comments should include the docket number (CGD 74-44a), the name and address of the person submitting the comments, and the specific section of the proposal to which each comment is addressed. The proposal may be changed in light of comments received before final action on this proposal. Interested persons are invited to attend the hearing and present oral or written statements on this proposal. It is requested that anyone desiring to make comments notify Captain Greiner at least 10 days before the scheduled date of the public hearing and specify the approximate length of time needed for the presentation. It is urged that a written summary or copy of the oral presentation be included with the request.

DRAFTING INFORMATION: The principal persons involved in drafting this proposal are Captain Nelson Emory, Project Manager, and Lieutenant Edward J. Gill, Jr., Project Attorney.

DISCUSSION OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIOS

The qualifications of the person in charge of an oil transfer operation are being revised to reflect the proposed requirements for a tankerman published in this issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 21190).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Part 155 of Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

By revising § 155.710 to read as follows:

§ 155.710 Qualifications of person in charge.

(a) The person in charge of oil transfer operations on a tankship, as defined in § 30.10-67 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulation, must:

(1) Be designated as person in charge by the master;

(2) Hold a license authorizing service as a deck officer aboard the tankship;

(3) Hold the appropriate endorsement under Subpart 10.11 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations for the grade of product being transferred; and

(4) Have served during the preceding 12 months aboard the tankship or another tankship built to the same basic plans and having the same cargo containment, control, and monitoring systems.

(b) The service required in paragraph (a)(4) of this section includes:

(1) Assisting the person in charge of the cargo transfer operation during at least two transfers of cargo; or

(2) Equivalent experience acceptable to the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection.

(c) The person in charge of tank cleaning operations conducted at a tank cleaning facility must be a tankerman certificated for the grade of cargo last carried.

(d) The person in charge of oil transfer operations on a tank barge must be:

(1) A properly certified tankerman for the cargo being transferred; or

(2) A licensed officer with a tankerman endorsement for the cargo being transferred.

(e) The person in charge of oil transfer operations on a cargo and miscellaneous or passenger vessel must:

(1) Be designated as person in charge by the master;

(2) Hold a license authorizing service as an officer aboard the vessel; and

(3) Hold any tankerman endorsement including the "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement.

(f) The person in charge of bunker fuel oil transfer operations on cargo and miscellaneous, tank, and passenger vessels must have a valid license authorizing service as master, mate, or engineer on the vessel.

(g) The operator of an uninspected vessel of 100 gross tons or more shall instruct the person in charge of oil transfer operations in:

(1) The duties of the person in charge; and

(2) The federal water pollution laws and regulations that apply to the vessel.

(h) The person in charge of oil transfer operations on a foreign flag tankship, tank barge, cargo and miscellaneous vessel, or passenger vessel must have a license or certificate authorizing service as a master, mate, pilot, engineer, or operator on the vessel.

(86 Stat. 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); sec. 6(b)(1), 80 Stat. 937 (49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1); 49 CFR 1.46(n)(4)).)

NOTE: The Coast Guard has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended, and OMB Circular A-107.

Dated: April 19, 1977.

O. W. SILER,
Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,
Commandant.

[FR Doc.77-11872 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

[46 CFR Parts 10, 12, 30, 31, 35, 70, 90, 98, 105, 151, and 157]

[CGD 74-44]

TANKERMAN REQUIREMENTS

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Proposed Rules.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is proposing to issue regulations governing the qualifications of personnel involved in the handling, transfer, and transportation of dangerous cargoes in bulk aboard ships and barges. Human error and lack of awareness of the hazards involved on the part of personnel engaged in these operations has resulted in several, recent, marine casualties. Better qualified personnel in charge of these operations should lead to a reduction in similar casualties.

DATES: (1) Comments must be received on or before: July 7, 1977. (2) Public Hearing: The Coast Guard will hold a public hearing on June 21 and 22, 1977, beginning at 9 a.m. in room 2230, Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to Commandant (G-CMC/81), U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, D.C. 20590. Comments will be available for examination at the Marine Safety Council (G-CMC/81), room 8117, Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. Copies of studies referenced in this document are available for examination at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Captain George K. Greiner, Marine Safety Council (G-CMC/81), room 8117, Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. (202-426-1477).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments. Writ-

ten comments should include the docket number (CGD 74-44), the name and address of the person submitting the comments, and the specific section of the proposal to which each comment is addressed. The proposal may be changed in light of comments received before final action on this proposal. Interested persons are invited to attend the hearing and present oral or written statements on this proposal. It is requested that anyone desiring to make comments notify Captain Greiner at least 10 days before the scheduled date of the public hearing and specify the approximate length of time needed for the presentation. It is urged that a written summary or copy of the oral presentation be included with the request.

DISCUSSION OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS

A new dimension has been added to the Coast Guard's traditional responsibility of protecting lives and property at sea. The protection of the marine environment now constitutes an integral part of its overall statutory mission. This is the culmination of worldwide concern about the continuing pollution of territorial waters as well as the open seas.

The potential for water pollution, insofar as marine transportation is concerned, stems primarily from the release of dangerous or noxious cargoes from either ships or barges. Loading and discharging accidents, along with vessel groundings, collisions, and fires have all contributed to creating a situation of national concern.

The passage of the Ports and Waterways Safety Act of 1972 in the United States and the drafting of the International Marine Pollution Convention of 1973, provided the impetus and authority for the proposed regulations. Other recent regulatory proposals have been directed at vessel design, construction, repair and operational factors whose modification could result in reduction of pollution. This document addresses personnel requirements. Specifically, changes are being proposed to 46 CFR Parts 10, 12, 30, 31, 35, 70, 90, 98, 105, 151, and 157.

The proposal redefines and establishes more satisfactory qualifying criteria for certifying individuals engaged in the carriage and transfer of the various categories of dangerous cargoes in bulk.

A study sponsored by the Maritime Administration entitled, "A Model Economic and Safety Analysis of the Transportation of Hazardous Substances in Bulk," which was completed in July 1974, concluded that the marine mode was the safest method of transporting such products. If this safety position is to be maintained and improved, and if the Coast Guard's pollution prevention efforts are to be successful, more attention must be devoted to the capabilities of those who will operate the increasingly complex equipment being designed for increasingly hazardous substances. The importance of personnel is emphasized by data collected on those marine casualties, which indicates that human error is the contributing factor in 85% of all casualties. For example, in 1974 and 1975 over 2,200 polluting incidents, resulting in the accidental discharge of 1,813,171 gallons of oil and other hazardous substances, were attributable to human error. Examples of human error include: allowing tank overflow, improper valve handling, and improper hose connections. The lack of awareness of the hazards involved on the part of personnel engaged in transfer further compounds the problem. Better qualified personnel in charge of such operations should lead to a reduction of similar incidents.

Recognition of the need for personnel qualification improvement is also documented in the report released August 16, 1974 by the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) on the fatal explosion and fire on the *M/V Venus*. In this report, it was stated that the third mate, who was in charge of tank cleaning operations at the time of the explosion, had never worked on board a tankship prior to joining the vessel shortly before the casualty. He had received no training in tankship operations nor was any required. Basically, he qualified for the assignment solely on the strength of his deck officer's license. The Board recommended that the Coast Guard eliminate this defect in the regulations by requiring tankerman endorsements on such licenses before granting eligibility for this type of service. A "tankerman" is an individual who has been trained in, and is capable of performing efficiently, the necessary operations on tank vessels which relate to the handling of cargo. It is recognized that the person in charge of cargo transfer operations on tankships must be an experienced individual who is knowledgeable in vessel stability, cargo loading, weather, tide conditions, port operations and vessel mooring, in addition to having formal shoreside and practical training in the handling of cargo. Since these qualifications are primarily requirements for a deck license, it is proposed that a licensed deck officer be required to perform this function.

Additionally, it was recommended by the NTSB that continuing eligibility (upon renewal of certificates or licenses) be contingent upon recency of service and training exercises or other demonstrations of current knowledge.

The Coast Guard's on-going reviews of existing regulations have disclosed the validity of the NTSB's findings. The entire existing "tankerman" regulatory scheme, although workable in the past, is not responsive to present day operations. Moreover, because of the increase in the kinds and physical properties of cargoes, and since tankerman certification is now required only for combustible or flammable products in the several grades, it is proper now to propose to extend such certification to all dangerous liquids and compressed gases. For example, an obvious deficiency exists in the case of cargoes of those products regulated by Title 46, Subchapter O, Part 151, of the Code of Federal Regulations, which an individual may now be authorized to handle simply upon the attestation of an employer that he is so qualified. No exemption, type of training or amount of experience is stipulated. Resolution of this situation is achieved by the new categories and standards in this proposal.

The basic endorsement will be "tankerman—flammable." A restricted form of the basic endorsement, "tankerman—flammable (restricted)", is provided for those persons who are not engaged in transfer operations, such as a tankerman on a towing vessel which is towing a tank barge; or a tankerman on a freight vessel or a Seabee/Lash vessel which is transporting bulk liquid cargo in deep tanks or portable tanks.

Separate endorsements as "tankerman—Liquefied Gas" and "tankerman—bulk dangerous liquids" will be required for those persons engaged in the transfer of bulk dangerous chemicals and liquefied gases. Certain cargoes within these two categories will require a "special" endorsement naming the specific cargo the tankerman is authorized to transfer, when this cargo is of an extremely hazardous nature or requires special handling.

A "limited" endorsement is also authorized for a tankerman involved in the transfer of a specific cargo in a dedicated service, where the cargo is both non-flammable and non-toxic, and would ordinarily require a bulk dangerous liquid endorsement.

No tankerman endorsement will be required for the transfer of inert gases such as nitrogen or non-flammable, non-toxic refrigerant gases, such as dichlorodifluoromethane.

The Inter-Governmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO), through its Subcommittee on the Standards of Training and Watchkeeping, has developed detailed recommendations covering the proposed regulations. Recommednations concerning the training and qualification of personnel aboard dangerous cargo carriers have been circulated to all member governments. These suggestions speak to the different levels of compulsory training necessary for the officers and crew having primary responsibility for the cargo, as well as to the general training of all others aboard in the hazards involved, and in firefighting and other appropriate emergency procedures. These proposed regulations seek to put the IMCO recommendations into effect.

Although the ideas expressed above seem fairly straightforward and simple, some difficulty is envisioned in the actual mechanics of implementation. It is hoped that commentors will provide helpful suggestions in this subject area. A "phase-in" approach may be desirable in the case of those regulations concerning, for example, the carriage and transfer of certain flammable and combustible liquids and bulk dangerous liquids which are already being transported by the marine mode within the United States. However, in the case of shipment of hazardous substances such as liquefied natural gas, which is a new cargo for United States flag vessels, the proposed regulations will become effective

without a "phasing in" period. This is because of the identified hazards presented by the transport of such cargoes and the immediate need for personnel who are trained in and capable of handling these cargoes.

Where approval of mandatory training courses might present a problem, the Coast Guard is prepared to demonstrate flexibility during the development phases of satisfactory courses of instruction. As examples, where formal training in "chemical cargo" handling might not be available to all, a group within the marine industry could develop an acceptable curriculum paralleling an already approved course. For firefighting, operators could prepare their personnel in the basics with an approved classroom course, and then augment this with an approved field facility course.

DRAFTING INFORMATION: The principal persons involved in drafting this proposal are Captain Nelson Emory, Project Manager, and Lieutenant Edward J. Gill, Jr., Project Attorney.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend Chapter I of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 10—LICENSING OF OFFICERS AND MOTORBOAT OPERATORS AND REGISTRATION OF STAFF OFFICERS

1. By adding a new Subpart 10.11 to read as follows:

Subpart 10.11—Cargo Transfer

AUTHORITY: 86 Stat. 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); sec. 6(b)(1), 80 Stat. 937 (49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1); 49 CFR 1.46(n)(4).

§ 10.11-1 Purpose.

This subpart prescribes the requirements for issuing a license endorsement as tankerman, and describes the various tankerman endorsements that authorize a licensed officer to serve as a tankerman or as the person in charge of the transfer and transport of liquid or liquefied gas cargo carried in bulk on a vessel.

§ 10.11-3 Definitions.

As used in this Subpart:

"Bulk Cargo"—means liquid or liquefied gas cargo of more than 250 barrels in a vessel's tanks which is pumped on and off the vessel, including liquid or liquefied gas cargo in a portable tank having a capacity greater than 110 U.S. gallons.

"Bulk Flammable Cargo"—means certain bulk liquids listed in § 12.20-5(a) of this Subchapter whose primary hazard is flammability or combustibility.

"Bulk Dangerous Cargo" means certain bulk dangerous liquids or liquefied gases listed in § 12.20-5(a) (2) and (3) of this Subchapter which have hazards other than, or in addition to, the conventional flammability and combustibility of petroleum products.

"Bulk Dangerous Cargo—Special" means certain bulk dangerous cargoes that are designated as special and require an endorsement on a license specifically naming the cargo or cargoes he is qualified to transfer. These special cargoes are the following:

LIQUEFIED GAS

Methane (LNG)
Ethane
Ethylene
Chlorine
Ethylene Oxide
Methyl Acetylene-Propadiene Mixture
Sulfur Dioxide
Propylene Oxide

BULK DANGEROUS LIQUIDS

Allyl Chloride
Carbon Disulphide
Chlorosulfonic Acid
Epichlorohydrin
Motor Fuel Antiknock Compounds
Oleum
Phosphorus
Proplylene Oxide

"Bulk Dangerous Cargo—Limited"—means certain bulk dangerous liquid cargoes which are non-flammable and non-toxic, and are transported in a dedicated service. These limited cargoes are the following:

Caustic Potash Solution
Caustic Soda Solution
Hydrochloric Acid
Nitric Acid (70% or less)
Phosphoric Acid
Sodium Hypochlorite Solution (15% or less)
Sulfur (liquid)
Sulfuric Acid

"Liquefied Gas (LG)"—means bulk liquid cargo which has a vapor pressure of at least 1.76 kp/cm² (25 psi) at 37.8° C (103° F).

"Person in Charge" means a person who:

(a) Holds a license authorizing service as a deck officer aboard a vessel; and

(b) Is designated as person in charge by the master. A tankerman required on vessels under § 31.15-1(b) of this Chapter may serve as the person in charge of the vessel.

"Tankerman"—means a person holding a license endorsement issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tankships and tank barges of:

(a) Flammable or combustible liquid cargo in bulk; or

(b) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

"Tankerman — flam. (restricted)" — means a person holding a license endorsement issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency and authorizes him to serve aboard cargo, passenger, and towing vessels that are required to carry a tankerman.

"Tankerman (barge)"—means a person holding a license endorsement issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tank barges of:

(a) Flammable or combustible liquid cargo in bulk; or

(b) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

§ 10.11-5 Privileges and limitations.

(a) The holder of a license endorsement as "tankerman" is qualified to handle and transfer on tankships and tank barges bulk liquid or liquefied gas cargoes endorsed on his license as follows:

Endorsement:	*Cargoes authorized to handle and Transfer*
(1) Tankerman—flammable	Those cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(1).
(2) Tankerman—bulk dangerous liquids.	Those cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(2) and 12.20-5(a)(1).
(3) Tankerman—liquefied gas	Those cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(3).
(4) Tankerman—liquefied gas (plus specifically named cargo).	Specifically named "Special" Bulk Dangerous Cargo listed in 10.11-3; and those liquefied gas cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(3).
(5) Tankerman—bulk dangerous liquids (plus specifically named cargo).	Specifically named "Special" Bulk Dangerous Cargo listed in 10.11-3 and those bulk dangerous liquid cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(2), and those flammable and combustible Liquid Cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(1).
(6) Tankerman (limited to specifically named cargo).	Specifically named Bulk Dangerous Liquid Cargo listed in 10.11-3.
(7) No tankerman endorsement required.	Nitrogen, carbon dioxide, dichlorodifluoromethane, dichlormonofluoromethane, dichlorotetrafluoroethane, monochlorodifluoromethane, monochlorotetrafluoroethane, monochlorotrifluoromethane.

TABLE 10.11-5.—*Training/Service Requirements for Endorsements*

Tankerman endorsement [1]	Documented service	Firefighting course	Coast Guard examination	Training course required: Liquified gas	Training course required: Bulk dangerous liquid	Training course required: Special
Flammable	×	×	× [2]			
Flammable (restricted)		×	× [2]			
Liquified gas	×	×		×		
Bulk dangerous liquid	×	×			×	
Liquified gas (plus specifically named cargo)	×	×				×
Bulk dangerous liquid (plus specifically named cargo)	×	×				×
Bulk dangerous liquid (limited to specially named cargo)	×	×			×	

[1] Each tankerman endorsement will be further restricted by the addition of the word (barge) if an individual's qualifications were obtained for (in) barges.
[2] An approved training course may be substituted for the Coast Guard examination.

(b) A licensed officer authorized to serve as a tankerman under previous regulations remains authorized to serve in that same capacity for a period of five years from the effective date of these regulations or until the reissuance of his license, whichever occurs first, except that a person serving on a tankship or tank barge transporting liquefied natural gas cargo must have a "tankerman-LG-methane" endorsement issued under this Subpart.

(c) At any time during the five year period provided for in paragraph (b) of this section, a licensed officer may make application for and receive an endorsement authorizing service as tankerman under this section if he meets the eligibility requirements.

§ 10.11-6 Expiration date.

The expiration date to a tankerman endorsement is the same as the expiration date of the license on which it is placed.

§ 10.11-7 Eligibility requirements; experience.

To be eligible for an original endorsement as tankerman, a licensed officer must meet the requirements in § 12.20-11 of this Subchapter within three years.

§ 10.11-8 Eligibility requirements: training/examination.

To be eligible for an original endorsement as tankerman, a licensed officer must comply with the requirements of § 12.20-13 of this Subchapter.

§ 10.11-9 Renewal of endorsement as tankerman.

(a) A "tankerman" endorsement is not renewed unless an applicant for renewal passes all of the qualifications necessary for the endorsement.

(b) Each applicant for renewal must comply with § 10.02-9(a) through (d).

(c) An applicant for renewal of a tankerman endorsement must meet the requirements in § 12.20-15 (f) through (i) of this Subchapter.

(d) An applicant who does not qualify for renewal of his tankerman endorsement at the time of renewal of his license may, if he requests in writing on his application, renew his license without renewing the endorsement.

§ 10.11-11 Verification of experience.

(a) Service and experience must be verified by—

(1) Certificates of discharge; and

(2) A letter attesting to the applicant's overall service and qualifications, including—

(i) The grades of products transferred by the vessel on which the applicant served; and

(ii) A statement as to the grades of products for which the applicant is considered to be the person in charge.

(b) The letter required in paragraph (a)(2) of this section must be signed by the deck officer directly responsible for training and supervising the applicant.

(c) If the certificate of discharge required in paragraph (a)(1) of this section is not issued, a letter from the master or other representative of management is required in addition to the letter from the deck officer.

2. By adding a new § 10.30-10 to read as follows:

§ 10.30-10 Firefighting qualifying courses.

(a) A student who takes an approved course of training and successfully completes the course, including the written or practical examination required under § 10.30-3(c), is entitled to a firefighting certificate—

(1) In a form prescribed by the school that is acceptable to the Coast Guard; and

(2) Signed by the head of the school and the local Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection or a designated representative of either or both.

(b) The following firefighting certificates are issued under this section:

(1) "Firefighting (ship)"—no limitation as to vessels.

(2) "Firefighting (barge)"—limited to barges.

(c) A student is issued a "Firefighting (ship)" certificate if he completes the following curriculum:

(1) A total of at least 24 classroom hours covering:

(i) The fire hazards of all dangerous cargoes.

(ii) Fire prevention.

(iii) Fire chemistry.

(iv) Fire extinguishing agents and equipment.

(v) Firefighting procedures.

(vi) Emergency equipment.

(vii) Fire detecting systems.

(viii) First aid.

(2) A field exercise program of at least eight hours individual "hands on" training in firefighting procedures including class A, B, C, and D fires and extinguishing agents on vessels and covering:

(i) The following concerning fire mains:

(A) Nozzle and hose handling.

(B) Types of fire mains.

(C) Inspection of fire mains.

(D) Team work.

(E) Low and high velocity fog.

(F) Use of the fire main on the following fires:

(*1*) Machinery space bilge fire.

(2) In-process tank fire.

(3) Cabin fire.

(*4*) Drip pan fire.

(5) Manifold flange fire.

(*6*) Tank hatch (ullage cover) fire.

(ii) Pickup and use of foam on an in-process tank fire and an oil spill fire.

(iii) The following concerning portable extinguishers:

(A) Limitations.

(B) Inspection.

(C) Recharging.

(D) Use of extinguishers on the following fires:

(*1*) Galley fire.

(2) Cabin fire.

(3) Electrical fire.

(*4*) Flammable liquid fire.

(5) Drip pan fire.

(iv) The limitations and use of fresh air, self-contained (air-pac) and oxygen breathing apparatus in a smoke house and during rescue operations.

(d) A student is issued a "firefighting (barge)" certificate if he completes the following curriculum:

(1) A total of at least ten classroom hours covering:

(i) The fire hazards of all dangerous cargoes.

(ii) Fire prevention.

(iii) Fire chemistry.

(iv) Fire extinguishing agents and equipment.

(v) Firefighting procedures.

(vi) Emergency equipment.

(vii) First aid.

(2) A field exercise program of at least four hours individual "hands on training" in firefighting procedures including class A, B, and C fires and extinguishing agents on tank barges covering:

(i) The following concerning portable and semi-portable extinguishers:

(A) Limitations.

(B) Inspection.

(C) Recharging.

(D) Use of extinguishers on the following fires:

(*1*) Flammable liquid fire.

(2) Electrical fire.

(3) Cabin fire.

(*4*) Galley fire.

(5) Drip pan fire.

(ii) The following concerning nozzle and hose handling:

(A) Types.
(B) Inspection.
(C) Team work.
(D) Low and high velocity fog.
(E) Use of a nozzle and hose on the following fires:
(1) In-process tank fire.
(2) Manifold flange fire.
(3) Drip pan fire.
(4) Tank hatch (ullage cover) fire.
(iii) The pickup and use of foam on oil spill fires.
(e) A school which provides classroom instruction only is granted approval to issue letters of completion for the classroom portion of the required firefighting training.
(f) An individual who completes a classroom course only must—
(1) Present the letter of completion of the classroom course to an approved field exercise training facility; and
(2) Successfully complete the necessary field training at the field training facility within—
(i) Six months of completing the classroom instruction; or
(ii) Within the time specified by the field training facility.
(g) A school which provides field training only is granted approval to issue firefighting certificates when the student has successfully completed the required field training.
(h) Each instructor of an approved firefighter training course must possess qualifications acceptable to the Coast Guard.
(i) The following training schools have approved firefighting courses:

CLASSROOM INSTRUCTIONS ONLY

* * * * *

FIELD EXERCISE TRAINING ONLY

* * * * *

CLASSROOM INSTRUCTION AND FIELD EXERCISE TRAINING

* * * * *

3. By adding a new § 10.30–15 to read as follows:

§ 10.30–15 Qualifying courses for specific tankerman endorsements.

(a) A student who takes an approved course of training and successfully completes the course, including the written or practical examination required under § 10.30–3(c) of this Part, is entitled to an appropriate certificate for a specific tankerman endorsement—
(1) In a form prescribed by the school that is acceptable to the Coast Guard; and
(2) Signed by the head of the school and the local Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection or a designated representative of either or both.
(b) The following certificates for specific tankerman endorsements are issued under this section:
(1) "Tankerman—Flam."—qualifying course for flammable and combustible liquid cargoes.
(2) "Tankerman — LG" — qualifying course for liquefied gas cargoes.
(3) "Tankerman—Blk. Dang. Liquids"—qualifying course for bulk dangerous liquid cargoes having hazards other than or in addition to combustibility or flammability.
(4) "Tankerman — LG (Special)" — qualifying course for a specially named liquefied gas cargo which is designated as special and listed in §§ 10.13–3 and 12.20–3 of this Subchapter.
(5) "Tankerman—Blk. Dang. Liquids (Special)"—qualifying course for a specifically named bulk dangerous liquid cargo which is designated as special and listed in §§ 10.11–3 and 12.20–3 of this Subchapter.
(6) "Tankerman (Renewal)"—qualifying course for renewal of a "Tankerman — Flam.", "Tankerman — LG", "Tankerman — Blk. Dang. Liquids," "Tankerman—LG (Special)", or "Tankerman—Blk. Dang. Liquids (Special)" endorsement.
(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d), a student is issued a certificate listed in paragraph (b) if he completes at least 35 hours of instruction in the following subjects:
(1) Characteristics of the grade of cargo involved.
(2) General arrangement of cargo tanks.
(3) Suction and discharge pipelines and valves, cargo pumps, and cargo hose.
(4) Operation of cargo pumps.
(5) Operations connected with the loading and discharging of the cargo.
(6) Rules and regulations pertaining to the specific tankerman endorsement.
(d) A student is issued a certificate for completion of a "tankerman flam," course if he completes at least 21 hours of instruction in the subjects listed in paragraph (c).
(e) A school with an approved course for a specific tankerman endorsement may establish a refresher training course which will be accepted for renewal of the endorsement. The refresher course must include at least 6 hours of instruction in the subjects listed in paragraph (c).
(f) Each instructor of an approved tankerman course must—
(1) Hold a license or merchant mariner's document endorsed for the specific tankerman endorsement the course is intended for; or
(2) Possess other appropriate qualifications acceptable to the Coast Guard.
(g) The following training schools have approved courses for specific tankerman endorsements:

* * * * *

PART 12—CERTIFICATION OF SEAMEN

4. By revising Subpart 12.20 to read as follows:

Subpart 12.20—Tankerman

AUTHORITY: 86 Stat. 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); sec. 6(b)(1), 80 Stat. 937 (49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1); 49 CFR 1.46(n)(4)).

§ 12.20–1 Purpose.

This subpart prescribes the requirements for issuing a certificate as tankerman as required by 46 U.S.C. 391(a) and describes the endorsements that authorize the tankerman to transfer and transport various liquid or liquefied gas cargoes carried in bulk on a vessel.

§ 12.20–3 Definitions.

As used in this subpart:

"Bulk Cargo" means liquid or liquefied gas cargo of more than 250 barrels in a vessel's tanks which is pumped on and off the vessel, including liquid or liquefied gas cargo transported in a portable tank having a capacity greater than 110 U.S. gallons.

"Bulk Flammable Cargo" means certain bulk liquids listed in § 12.20–5(a) of this Subchapter whose primary hazard is flammabiilty or combustibility.

"Bulk Dangerous Cargo" means certain bulk liquids or liquefied gases listed in § 12.20–5(a) (2) and (3) of this Subchapter which have hazards other than, or in addition to the conventional flammability and combustibility of petroleum products.

"Bulk Dangerous Cargo—Special" means certain bulk dangerous cargoes that are designated as special and require an endorsement on a tankerman's document specifically naming the cargo or cargoes he is qualified to transfer. These special cargoes are the following:

LIQUEFIED GAS

Methane (LNG)
Ethane
Ethylene
Chlorine
Ethylene Oxide
Methyl Acetylene-Propadiene Mixture
Sulfur Dioxide
Propylene Oxide

BULK DANGEROUS LIQUIDS

Allyl Chloride
Carbon Disulphide
Chlorosulfonic Acid
Epichlorohydrin
Motor Fuel Antiknock Compounds
Oleum
Phosphorus
Propylene Oxide

"Bulk Dangerous Cargo—Limited"—means certain bulk dangerous liquid cargoes which are non-flammable and non-toxic, and are transported in a dedicated service. These limited cargoes are the following:

Caustic Potash Solution
Caustic Soda Solution
Hydrochloric Acid
Nitric Acid (70 pct or less)
Phosphoric Acid
Sodium Hypochlorite Solution (15 pct or less)
Sulfur (liquid)
Sulfuric Acid.

"Liquified Gas (LG)"—means a bulk cargo which has a vapor pressure of at least 1.76 kp/cm² (25 psi) at 37.8° C (103° F).

"Person in Charge" means a person who—

(a) Is certified as a tankerman;

(b) Has been designated as responsible for the transfer of bulk cargo to or from a tank barge; and

(c) Has the authority to sign the declaration of inspection required by § 35.35-30 of this Chapter, and 33 CFR 156.150.

"Tankerman"—means a person holding a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tankships and tank barges of—

(a) Flammable or combustible liquid in bulk; or

(b) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

"Tankerman—flam. (restricted)"—means a person holding a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency and authorizes him to serve aboard cargo, passenger, and towing vessels that are required to carry a tankerman.

"Tankerman (barge)"—means a person holding certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tank barges of—

(a) Flammable or combustible liquid cargo in bulk; or

(b) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

§ 12.20-5 Privileges and limitations.

(a) The holder of a certificate as "tankerman" or "tankerman (barge)" is qualified to be in charge of the transfer on tank barges of bulk liquid or liquefied gas cargoes endorsed on his document as follows:

Cargoes authorized to handle and Transfer

Endorsement:

(1) Tankerman—Flam:
- Acetone
- Amyl actate (iso-, n-)
- Amyl alcohol (n-)
- Amyl tallate
- Asphalt
- Asphalt blending stocks:
  - Roofers flux
  - Straight run residue
- Butyl acetate (iso-, n-, sec-)
- Butyl alcohol (iso-, n-, sec-, tert-)
- Butyl benzyl phthalate
- 1,3-butylene glycol
- Cumene
- Cycloaliphatic resins
- Cyclohexane
- Cyclohexanol
- Cymene (para-)
- Decyl alcohol (iso-, n-)
- Decyl benzene (-n)
- Decyl benzene (n-)
- Decaldehyde (iso-, n-)
- Decene
- Diacetone alcohol
- Dibutyl phthalate (ortho-)
- Diethylbenzene
- Diethylene glycol
- Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether (methyl carbitol)
- Diethylene glycol monobutyl ether acetate

Endorsement—Continued
- Diethylene glycol monoethyl ether
- Diethylene glycol monomethyl ether
- Digiycidal ether of bisphenyl-A
- Diheptyl phthalate
- Diisobutylene
- Diisobutyl carbinol
- Diisobutyl ketone
- Diisodecyl phthalate
- Dinonyl phthalate
- Dioctyl phthalate
- Diphenyl-diphenyl oxide
- Dipropylene glycol
- Distillates:
  - Straight run
  - Flashed feed stocks
- Diundecyl phthalate
- Diodecanol
- Dodecylbenzene (commercial)
- Epoxylated linear alcohols, C11-C15
- Ethoxylated alcohols, C12-C15
- Ethoxy trigylcol (crude)
- Ethyl acetate
- Ethyl alcohol
- Ethyl benzene
- Ethyl butanol
- Ethylene glycol
- Ethylene glycol monobutyl ether
- Ethylene glycol monobutyl ether acetate
- Ethylene glycol monoethyl ether
- Ethylene glycol monoethyl ether acetate
- Ethylhexaldehyde
- 2-Ethyl hexanol
- Ethyl hexyl tallate
- Farfuryl alcohol
- Gas oil: cracked
- Gasoline blending stocks:
  - Alkylates
  - Reformates
- Gasolines:
  - Casinghead (natural)
  - Automotive, (containing not over 4.23 grams lead per gallon)
  - Aviation (containing not over 4.86 grams lead per gallon)
  - Polymer
  - Straight run
- Glycerine
- Glycol diacetate
- Glyoxal (40%)
- Heptane
- Heptanol
- Hexane (iso-, n-)
- Hexanol
- Hexene
- Hexylene glycol
- Isphorone
- Jet fuels:
  - JP-1 (kerosene)
  - JP-3
  - JP-4
  - JP-5 (kerosene, heavy)
- Kerosene
- Latex, liquid synthetic
- Methyl acetate
- Methyl alcohol
- Methyl amyl acetate
- Methyl amyl alcohol
- Methyl ethyl ketone
- Methyl formal (dimethyl formal)
- Methyl isobutyl ketone
- Methyl isobutyl carbinol
- Mineral spirits
- Naphtha:
  - Solvent
  - Stoddard solvent
  - Varnish makers' and painters' (75 pct.)
- Nonane
- Nonene
- Nonyl alcohol
- Nonyl phenol
- Nonyl phenol (ethoxylated)
- Octene
- Octyl alcohol (iso-, n-)

Endorsement—Continued
- Octyl aldehyde (iso-)
- Octyl epoxytallate
- Oils:
  - Absorption
  - Aromatic
  - Clarified
  - Coal oil
  - Coal tar
  - Croton
  - Crude oil
  - Diesel oil
  - Fuel oils:
    - No. 1 (Kerosene)
    - No. 1-D
    - No. 2
    - No. 2-D
    - No. 4
    - No. 5
    - No. 6
  - Heartcut distillate
  - Lubricating
- Mineral seal
- Mineral
- Motor
- Neatsfoot
- Linseed
- Penetrating
- Range
- Residual
- Resin
- Resinous petroleum
- Road
- Rosin
- Sperm
- Spindle
- Spray
- Tall
- Tanner's
- Transformer
- Turbine
- Edible oils, including:
  - Castor
  - Coconut
  - Cotton seed
  - Fish
  - Lard
  - Olive
  - Palm
  - Peanut
  - Safflower
  - Soya bean
  - Tucum
  - Vegetable
- Pentadecanol
- Pentane (iso-, n-)
- 1-Petene
- Petrolatum
- Petroleum naphtha
- Phosphorized bicyclic terpine
- Phthalate plasticizers
- Polybutene
- Polyethylene glycols
- Polymerized esters
- Polypropylene
- Polypropylene glycol methyl ether
- Polypropylene glycols
- Propyl acetate (iso-, n-)
- Propyl alcohol (iso-, n-)
- Propylene butylene polymer
- Propyl ether (iso-)
- Propylene glycol
- Propylene tetramer
- Sorbitol
- Soybean oil (epoxidized)
- Sulfolane
- Tallow
- Tetradecanol
- Tetradecene
- Tetradecyl benzene
- Tetraethylene glycol
- Tetrahydronaphthalene
- Toluene
- Tridecanol
- Tridecene
- Tridecyl benzene
- Triethyl benzene
- Triethylene glycol

Endorsement—Continued

Triethylene glycol diethyl butyrate
Tripropylene glycol
Turpentine
Undecanol
Undecene
Undecylbenzene
Waxes:
Carnauba
Paraffin
Xylene (meta-, para-, ortho-)
Zinc Dialkyldithiophosphate

(2) Tankerman—Blk. dang. liquids:
Acetic acid
Acetic anhydride
Acetone cyanohydrin
Acetonitrile
Acrylic acid
Acrylonitrile
Adiponitrile
Allyl alcohol
Allyl chloride
Aminoethylethanolamine
Ammonium hydroxide (28 pct or less NH3)
Aniline
Benzene
Benzyl chloride
(Iso-, n-) butyl acrylate
(Iso-, n-, sec-, tert-) butylamine
(N-) butyl ether
Butyl methacrylate
(Iso-, n-, crude) butyraldehyde
Camphor oil
Carbolic oil
Carbon tetrachloride
Caustic potash solution
Caustic soda solution
(Mono-) chlorobenzene
Chloroform
(Crude) chlorohydrins
Chloroprene
Coal tar naphtha
Creosote
Cresols
Crotonaldehyde
Cyclohexanone
Cyclohexylamine
(Iso-, n-) decyl acrylate
Dibutylamine
Dichlorobenzene
1,1-Dichloroethane
2,2'-Dichloroethyl ether
Dichloromethane
1,1- or 1,2-dichloropropane
1,3-dichloropropene
Diethanolamine
Diethylamine
Diethylenetriamine
Diethylethanolamine
Diisopropanolamine
Diisopropylamine
Dimethylethanolamine
Dimethylformamide
1,4-dioxane
Ethanolamine
Ethyl acrylate
Ethylene chlorohydrin
Ethylene cyanohydrine
Ethylenediamine
Ethylene dibromide
Ethylene dichloride
Ethyl ether
2-ethylhexyl acrylate
Ethyl methacrylate
2-ethyl-3-propyl acrolein
Formaldehyde solution (37 to 50 pct)
Formic acid
Furfural
Hydrochloric acid
2-hydroxyethyl acrylate
Isoprene
Mesityl oxide
Methyl acrylate
2-methyl-5-ethyl pyridine
Methyl methacrylate

Endorsement—Continued

(Alpha-) methyl styrene
Morpholine
Naphthalene (liquid)
Nitric acid (70 pct or less)
(Mono-) nitrobenzene
1- or 2-nitropropane
(Ortho-, para-) nitrotoluene
Paraldehyde
Pentachloroethane
Phenol
Phosphoric acid
Phthalic anhydride (liquid)
(Iso-, n-) propanolamine
Propionic acid
Propionia anhydride
(Iso-, n-) propylamine
Pyridine
Sodium hydrosulfide solution (45 pct or less)
Sodium hypochlorite solution (15 pct or less)
Styrene
Sulfur (liquid)
Sulfuric acid
1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane
Tetraethylenepentamine
Tetrahydrofuran
Toluene diisocyanate
Tricresyl phosphate (containing 1 pct or more of the ortho isomer)
Triethanolamine
Triethylamine
Triethylenetetramine
Urea, ammonium nitrate solution (containing more than 2 pct NH3)
(Iso-, n-) valeraldehyde
Vinyl acetate
Vinylidene chloride
Vinyl ethyl ether
Vinyl toluene; and
Table 151.05 of 46 CFR Part 151, except:
Acetaldehyde
Ammonia
Butadiene
Carbon disulphide
Chlorine
Chlorosulfonic acid
Dimethylamine
Epichlorohydrin
Ethyl chloride

Endorsement—Continued

Methyl bromide
Motor fuel antiknock compounds
Oleum
Phosphorus
Propylene oxide
Sulfur dioxide; and those flammable or combustible liquid cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(1).

(3) Tankerman—LG:
Acetaldehyde
Ammonia
Butadiene
Butane
Butylene
Dimethylamine
Ethylamine
Ethyl chloride
Methyl bromide
Methyl chloride
Propane
Propylene
Vinyl chloride

(4) Tankerman—LG (plus specifically named cargo):
Specifically named "special" bulk dangerous cargo listed in 12.20-3; and those liquefied gas cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(3)

(5) Tankerman—bulk. dangerous liquids (plus specifically named cargo):
Specifically named "special" bulk dangerous cargo listed in 12.20-3; and those bulk dangerous liquid cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(2), and those flammable or combustible liquid cargoes listed in 12.20-5(a)(1)

(6) Tankerman (limited to specifically named cargo):
Specifically named bulk dangerous liquid cargo listed in 12.20-3

(7) No tankerman endorsement required:
Nitrogen
Carbon dioxide
Dichlorodifluoromethane
Dichlormonofluoromethane
Dichlorotetrafluoroethane
Monochlorodifluoremethane
Monochlorotetrafluoroethane
Monochlorotrifluoromethane

QUALIFICATION TABLE 12.20-5(b).—*Training/Service Requirements for Endorsement*

Tankerman endorsement [1]	Documented service	Fire-fighting course	Coast Guard examination	Training course required: Liquified gas	Bulk dangerous liquid	Special
Flammable	×	×	×[2]			
Flammable (restricted)		×	×[2]			
Liquified gas	×	×		×		
Bulk dangerous liquid	×	×			×	
Liquefied gas (plus specifically named cargo)	×	×				×
Bulk dangerous liquid (plus specifically named cargo)	×	×				×
Bulk dangerous liquid (limited to specifically named cargo)	×	×			×	

[1] Each tankerman endorsement will be further restricted by the addition of the word (barge) if an individual's qualifications were obtained for (in) barges.
[2] An approved training course may be substituted for the Coast Guard examination.

(b) A person authorized to serve as tankerman or to transfer products under previous regulations shall remain authorized to serve in that same capacity for a period of five years from the effective date of these regulations, or until the reissuance of his merchant mariner's document, whichever occurs first, except that a person serving on a tankship or tank barge transporting liquefied natural gas cargo must have a "tankerman-LG-methane" endorsement issued under this Subpart.

(c) A person authorized to serve as a tankerman under previous regulations may, at any time during the five year period provided for in paragraph (b), make application for and be issued a certificate as tankerman endorsed under this subpart if he:

(1) Presents a certificate of completion of an approved firefighting training

course from a school which is listed in § 10.30-10 of this Subchapter, that is dated within 24 months before the month of application for endorsement; [1] and

(2) Meets the requirements for renewal of certificates contained in § 12.20-15(e) of this Subpart for recent service.

§ 12.20-7 Application and issue.

(a) Applicants who meet the requirements in this subchapter are issued a certificate endorsed for the products they are qualified to transfer.

(b) The certificate is valid for five years.

§ 12.20-9 Eligibility requirements: general.

To be eligible for an original certificate as tankerman issued under this Subpart, a person must:

(a) Be at least 18 years of age;

(b) Be able to speak, read, and understand the English language; and

(c) Pass the medical examination and an engineer officer in § 10.05(e) of this Subchapter, except that an applicant for a "tankerman (barge)" endorsement must have uncorrected vision of at least 20/200 in each eye correctable to at least 20/30 in one eye and 20/50 in the other eye.

§ 12.20-11 Eligibility requirements: experience.

(a) In determining the service requirements of this section, credit for one transfer operation is given for one complete work shift during the transfer operation, or a partial work shift if the shift includes either the hooking up and commencement of transfer or the shutting down of transfer operations and disconnecting. Credit is not given for more than one transfer during a single operation even if work is performed in excess of one normal shift.

(b) Each applicant for a "tankerman——flammable liquids" endorsement must have service as an assistant to a certificated tankerman including:

(1) At least 10 transfers of flammable or combustible liquid cargoes during the 12 months prior to application for certification; or

(2) At least 5 transfers of combustible or flammable products if the applicant has a valid tankerman's certificate for liquefied gas.

(c) An applicant for a "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement is not required to meet the experience requirement in paragraph (b).

(d) Each applicant for a "tankerman—LG" endorsement must have service as an assistant to a LG tankerman including:

(1) At least 10 transfers of LG cargoes during the 12 months prior to application for certification; or

(2) At least five transfers of LG cargoes if the applicant has a valid tankerman's certificate for any other grade of product.

(e) Each applicant for a "tankerman—bulk dangerous liquids" endorsement must have service as an assistant to a bulk dangerous liquids tankerman including:

(1) At least 10 transfers of bulk dangerous liquid cargoes during the 12 months prior to the application for certification; or

(2) At least five transfers of bulk dangerous liquid cargoes if the applicant has a valid certificate as tankerman for any other grade of product.

(f) Each applicant for a "tankerman (special)" endorsement must have service as an assistant to a person with a "tankerman (special)" endorsement including:

(1) At least 10 transfers of the specifically named special cargo during the 12 months prior to the application for certification; or

(2) At least five transfers of the specifically named special cargo if the applicant has a valid certificate as tankerman.

(g) If a new chemical product is developed and added to the list in § 12.20-3(c) of this Subpart, the service requirements of paragraph (e)(1) need not be met during the first 12 months after publication of the product in the list if the applicant:

(1) Meets the training requirements of § 12.2013(f) of this Subpart; and

(2) Submits documentary evidence that he is trained in, and competent to safely handle the new product.

(h) Required service must be documented and substantiated to the satisfaction of the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection.

§ 12.20-13 Eligibility requirements: training/examination.

(a) To be eligible for an original endorsement as "tankerman" each applicant must present a certificate of completion from an approved firefighting training course, which is listed in § 10.30-10 (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this Subchapter, for tankerman or tankerman (barge) that is dated within 24 months before the month af application for endorsement.[2]

(b) Each applicant for a "tankerman flammable" or "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement must:

(1) Pass a written examination administered by the Coast Guard covering:

(i) Cargo tanks and tank venting; (ii) Cargo pipelines and valves; (iii) Cargo pumps and hose/loading arms; (iv) Loading and discharging procedures; and (v) Pollution prevention and control; or

(2) Satisfactorily complete an approved training course for flammable cargoes, listed in § 10.30-15 of this Subchapter, within 24 months before the month of application for endorsement.

(c) An applicant for a "tankerman—flam. (barge)" endorsement may be examined orally and is not required to take the written examination required in paragraph (a).

(d) Each applicant for a "tankerman—LG" endorsement must satisfactorily complete an approved training course for LG, listed in § 10.30-15 of this Subchapter, within 24 months before the month of application for endorsement.

(e) Each applicant for a "tankerman—bulk dangerous liquids" endorsement must satisfactorily complete an approved training course for bulk dangerous liquids, listed in § 10.30-15 of this Subchapter, within 24 months before the month of application for endorsement.

(f) Each applicant for a "tankerman (special)" endorsement must satisfactorily complete an approved training course for the specifically named special cargo, listed in § 10.30-15 of this Subchapter, within 24 months before the month of application for endorsement.

§ 12.20-15 Requirements for renewing a tankerman's endorsement.

(a) An endorsement is not renewed unless an applicant for renewal possesses all of the qualifications necessary for the endorsement.

(b) An applicant must make written application for renewal of an endorsement on Coast Guard Form CG-719B, Seaman's Certificate Application.

(c) An applicant must appear, in person, before an Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection.

(d) A tankerman's endorsement will be renewed within 12 months after the date of expiration of the endorsement, except if the endorsement expired beyond the 12 month period during which time the applicant was serving in the Armed Forces or the Merchant Marine and there was no reasonable opportunity for renewal. The period of service in the Armed Forces or the Merchant Marine following the date of expiration is added to the 12 month period.

(e) No endorsement will be renewed more than 90 days before its expiration date, unless there are extraordinary circumstances that justify an early renewal. The reasons for the early renewal must appear, in detail, in the records of the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection renewing the document.

(f) An applicant for renewal of a "tankerman—flam." endorsement must:

(1) Have served under the authority of the endorsement within the three years immediately preceding the date of the application for renewal; and

(2) Complete an approved firefighting course within 24 months before the month of application for renewal.

(g) An applicant for renewal of a "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement must complete an approved firefighting course within 24 months

[1] During a one year period from the effective date of these regulations, completion of a recognized marine firefighting training course since January 1, 1974, will be accepted as meeting the requirement of this paragraph.

[2] During a one year period from the effective date of these regulations, completion of a recognized marine firefighting training course since January 1, 1974, will be accepted as meeting the requirement of this paragraph.

before the month of application for renewal.

(h) An applicant for renewal of a "tankerman" endorsement other than "tankerman flam." must:

(1) Meet the requirements for renewal of the "tankerman flam." endorsement; and

(2) Complete an approved training course or refresher course listed in § 10.-30-15 of this Subchapter for the specific endorsement to be renewed, within 24 months before the month of application for renewal.

(i) An applicant for renewal of a "tankerman" endorsement other than "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" who has not served under the authority of the endorsement within the three years immediately preceding the date of application for renewal must meet the requirements for an original endorsement as "tankerman".

§ 12.20-17 Verification of experience.

(a) Service acquired on tank barges or shore facilities must be documented by a representative of management and the qualified person directly training and supervising the applicant.

(b) Service acquired on a vessel must be documented by—

(1) Certificates of discharge; and

(2) A letter from the qualified person directly training and supervising the applicant.

(c) If a certificate of discharge required in paragraph (b) is not issued, a letter from the master or other representative of management is required in addition to the letter from the person supervising the applicant.

(d) The letters of service required in paragraphs (b) and (c) must verify the applicant's overall service and qualifications, including the grades of products transferred.

§ 12.20-19 Increase in scope.

An applicant who has previously qualified for a specific tankerman endorsement may obtain additional endorsements by completing the service or training requirements under § 12.20-11 (c) of this Subpart for the endorsement desired.

PART 30—GENERAL PROVISIONS

5. By revising § 30.10-71 to read as follows:

§ 30.10-71 Tankerman—TB/ALL.

(a) The term "tankerman" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tankships and tank barges of:

(1) Flammable or combustible liquid cargo in bulk; or

(2) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

(b) The term "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency and authorizes him to serve aboard cargo, passenger, and towing vessels that are required to carry a tankerman.

PART 31—INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION

6. By amending § 31.15-1 as follows:

§ 31.15-1 Licensed officers and crew—TB/ALL.

* * * * *

(c) The person in charge of a cargo transfer operation for a tankship shall:

(1) Be designated as person in charge by the master;

(2) Hold a license authorizing service as a deck officer aboard the tankship;

(3) Hold the appropriate endorsement under Subpart 10.11 of this Chapter for the grade of product being transferred, except that if a tankerman is required on the Certificate of Inspection under paragraph (b) of this Section, the tankerman may serve as the person in charge; and

(4) Have served during the preceding 12 months aboard the tankship or another tankship built to the same basic plans and having the same cargo containment, control, and monitoring systems.

(d) The service required in paragraph (c) must include:

(1) Assisting the person in charge of the cargo transfer operation during at least two transfers of cargo; or

(2) Equivalent experience acceptable to the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection.

(e) The person in charge of a cargo transfer operation is responsible for the safe loading, transport, and discharge of the cargo.

(f) On a vessel with a Certificate of Inspection that requires only one licensed officer other than the master, the master may be the person in charge of the cargo transfer operation if his license is endorsed as tankerman for the grade of cargo being transferred.

7. By revising § 31.15-5 as follows:

§ 31.15-5 Tank barges—B/ALL.

(a) A tank barge under this subchapter is not required to be manned unless the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection determines that manning is necessary for the protection of life and property, and the safe operation of the vessel.

(a-1) A towing vessel towing a barge which is not required to be manned must have on board, while towing, at least one certificated tankerman of any endorsement, including the "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement.

(a-2) If cargo transfer (other than in an emergency) or tank cleaning is carried out, it must be supervised by certificated tankerman endorse for the cargo involved.

* * * * *

§ 31.15-6 [Deleted]

8. By deleting § 31.15-6.

PART 35—OPERATIONS

9. By amending § 35.35-1 to read as follows:

§ 35.35-1 Men on duty—TB/ALL.

(a) The owner, master, or person in charge shall ensure that a sufficient number of crew, with license endorsements or certificates as tankerman for the grade of cargo being transferred, are on duty to perform cargo transfer operations.

(b) The owner, master, or person in charge of a tank barge shall ensure that a certificated tankerman is on duty to perform cargo transfer operations. The licensed or certificated tankerman is the person in charge of the tank barge.

(b-1) The owner, master, or person in charge shall ensure that the tankerman required in paragraph (b) is certificated for the cargo being transferred. A licensed officer, as described in Subpart 10.11 of this Chapter, who is authorized to serve as a tankerman, may serve as a tankerman on a tank barge.

* * * * *

(d) The person in charge of a cargo transfer operation for a tankship shall:

(1) Be designated as person in charge by the master;

(2) Hold a license authorizing service as a deck officer aboard the tankship;

(3) Hold the appropriate endorsement under Subpart 10.11 of this Chapter for the grade of product being transferred, except that if a tankerman is required on the Certificate of Inspection under § 31.15-1(b) of this Part, the tankerman may serve as the person in charge; and

(4) Have served during the preceding 12 months aboard the tankship or another tankship built to the same basic plans and having the same cargo containment, control, and monitoring systems.

(e) The service required in paragraph (d) must include:

(1) Assisting the person in charge of the cargo transfer operation during at least two transfers of cargo; or

(2) Equivalent experience acceptable to the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection.

PART 70—GENERAL PROVISIONS

10. By amending § 70.05-30 to read as follows:

§ 70.05-30 Combustible liquid cargo in bulk.

* * * * *

(b) Each vessel to which this section applies must have on board a person holding a license authorizing service aboard the vessel, with a tankerman's endorsement, including the "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement.

(c) The person designated as the person in charge of the transfer from a passenger vessel's integral tanks must:

(1) Be qualified as a tankerman under paragraph (b); and

(2) Have authority to sign the declaration of inspection required by § 35.35-30 of this Chapter and 33 CFR Part 156.150.

(d) The person designated as the person in charge of the transfer from a passenger vessel's portable tanks must:

(1) Be qualified as a tankerman under § 98.30-19 of this Chapter; and

(2) Have authority to sign the declaration of inspection required in § 35.35-30 of this Chapter and 33 CFR 156.150.

11. By adding a new § 70.10-49 to read as follows:

§ 70.10-49 Tankerman.

(a) The term "tankerman" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tankships, as defined in § 30.10-67 of this Chapter, and tank barges of:

(1) Flammable or combustible liquid cargo in bulk; or

(2) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

(b) The term "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency and authorizes him to serve aboard cargo, passenger, and towing vessels that are required to carry a tankerman.

PART 90—GENERAL PROVISIONS

12. By amending § 90.05-35 to read as follows:

§ 90.05-35 Flammable and combustible liquid cargo in bulk.

* * * * *

(b) Each vessel to which this section applies must have onboard a person holding a license authorizing service aboard the vessel, with a tankerman's endorsement, including the "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement.

(c) The person designated as the person in charge of the transfer from a cargo or miscellaneous vessel's integral tanks must:

(1) Be qualified as a tankerman under paragraph (b); and

(2) Have authority to sign the declaration of inspection required by § 35.35-30 of this Chapter and 33 CFR 156.150.

(d) The person designated as the person in charge of the transfer from a cargo or miscellaneous vessel's portable tanks must:

(1) Be qualified as a tankerman under § 98.30-19 of this Chapter; and

(2) Have authority to sign the declaration of inspection required in § 35.35-30 of this Chapter and 33 CFR 156.150.

13. By adding a new § 90.10-40 to read as follows:

§ 90.10-40 Tankerman.

(a) The term "tankerman" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tankships, as defined in § 30.10-67 of this Chapter, and tank barges of:

(1) Flammable or combustible liquid cargo in bulk; or

(2) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

(b) The term "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency and authorizes him to serve aboard cargo, passenger, and towing vessels that are required to carry a tankerman.

PART 98—SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION, ARRANGEMENT, AND OTHER PROVISIONS FOR CERTAIN DANGEROUS CARGOES IN BULK

14. By revising § 98.30-17 to read as follows:

§ 98.30-17 Qualifications of person in charge.

No person may serve, and the operator of a vessel may not use the services of a person, as a person in charge of the transfer of a product to or from a portable tank unless:

(a) On tank barges, the person holds a valid tankerman's certificate for the grade of cargo carried;

(b) On self-propelled tank, cargo and miscellaneous, or passenger vessels, the person holds:

(1) A valid license authorizing service on the vessel; and

(2) A suitable endorsement for the grade of cargo being transferred; and

(c) On vessels not covered by paragraphs (a) or (b), the person meets the requirements for tank barges in paragraph (a).

PART 105—COMMERCIAL FISHING VESSELS DISPENSING PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

15. By revising § 105.50-5 to read as follows:

§ 105.50-5 Tankerman.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), each commercial fishing vessel dispensing petroleum products must have on board a person holding a:

(1) License with a "tankerman—flam." endorsement; or

(2) Certificate issued by the Coast Guard attesting to his competency as a tankerman.

(b) Each commercial fishing vessel dispensing grade "D" or lower petroleum products must have on board a person holding:

(1) A license with a "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement; or

(2) A "tankerman flam. (restricted)" certificate.

(c) The requirements for a license endorsement as tankerman are in Subpart 10.11 of this Chapter.

(d) The requirements for a certificate attesting to a person's competency as a tankerman are in Subpart 12.20 of this Chapter

Subpart 105.60 [Deleted]

16. By deleting Subpart 105.60.

PART 151—UNMANNED BRIDGES CARRYING CERTAIN BULK DANGEROUS CARGOES

17. By revising § 151.30-53 to read as follows:

§ 151.30-53 Tankerman.

(a) The term "tankerman" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tankships, as defined in § 30.10-67 of this Chapter, and tank barges of:

(1) Flammable or combustible liquid cargo in bulk; or

(2) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

(b) The term "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency and authorizes him to serve aboard cargo, passenger, and towing vessels that are required to carry a tankerman.

18. By revising § 151.45-3 to read as follows:

§ 151.45-3 Manning.

(a) A barge is not required to be manned unless the Officer in charge, Marine Inspection determines that manning is necessary for the protection of life and property, and the safe operation of the vessel. Each vessel that requires manning for safe operation may be subject to additional requirements as determined by the Commandant.

(b) A towing vessel towing a barge which is not required to be manned must have on board, while towing, at least one certificated tankerman of any endorsement, including the "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" endorsement.

(c) If cargo transfer (other than in an emergency) or tank cleaning is carried out, it must be supervised by a certificated tankerman endorsed for the cargo involved.

19. By revising § 151.45-4 to read as follows:

§ 151.45-4 Cargo handling.

(a) The owner, master, or person in charge shall ensure that a sufficient number of persons are on duty to perform cargo transfer operations.

(a-1) The owner, master, or person in charge of a tank barge shall ensure that a person holding a license endorsement or certificate as a tankerman is on duty to perform transfer operations. The licensed or certificated tankerman is the person in charge of the tank barge.

(a-2) The owner, master, or person in charge shall ensure that the tankerman required in paragraph (a-1) is certificated for the cargo being transferred.

PART 157—MANNING REQUIREMENTS

20. By revising § 157.10–80 to read as follows:

§ 157.10–80 Tankerman.

(a) The term "tankerman" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency in the handling and transfer on tankships, as defined in § 30.10–67 of this Chapter, and tank barges of:

(1) Flammable or combustible liquid cargo in bulk; or

(2) Dangerous liquid or liquefied gas cargo in bulk.

(b) Each tankerman endorsement is restricted by the word "barge" if the individual's qualifications were obtained for barges.

(c) The term "tankerman—flam. (restricted)" means any person holding a license endorsement or a certificate issued by the Coast Guard that attests to his competency and authorizes him to serve aboard cargo, passenger, and towing vessels that are required to carry a tankerman.

(86 Stat. 427, as amended (46 U.S.C. 391a); sec. 6(b)(1), 80 Stat. 937 (49 U.S.C. 1655(b)(1); 49 CFR 1.46(n)(4)).)

NOTE: The Coast Guard has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, as amended, and OMB Circular A–107.

Dated: April 19, 1977.

O. W. SILER,
Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard
Commandant.

[FR Doc.77–11871 Filed 4–22–77;8:45 am]

federal register

MONDAY, APRIL 25, 1977

PART III

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

■

TRANSPORT CATEGORY AIRPLANE POST CRASH FUEL SYSTEMS

Fire and Explosion Hazard Reduction; Public Hearing

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Parts 25, 33, and 121]

[Docket No. 12274; Notice No. 74-16B]

TRANSPORT CATEGORY AIRPLANE POST CRASH FUEL SYSTEMS

Fire and Explosion Hazard Reduction; Public Hearing

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Proposed rule, public hearing and agenda, reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a public hearing and invites interested persons to state their views or to provide information on the practicability and availability of aircraft systems and techniques for reducing the hazards of fuel system fires and explosions associated with survivable turbine powered transport category airplane accidents.

DATES: Public hearing from June 13 to June 17, 1977. Comment period is reopened to August 1, 1977.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Presiding Officer, William J. Sullivan, Chief, Safety Regulations Division (AFS-900), Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, telephone 202-755-8714.

ADDRESSES: Requests to be heard should indicate the subject matter of the presentation and time required, and be sent to:

Public Hearing Notice No. 74-16B, Flight Standards Service (AFS-900), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, Phone: 202-755-8714.

The public hearing will be held at the:

Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, Auditorium, 3rd floor, Phone: 202-755-8714.

Written comments concerning matters presented at the hearing should indicate Docket No. 12274, and be submitted in duplicate to:

Federal Aviation Administration Office of the Chief Counsel, Rules Docket No. 12274, AGC-24, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

The Federal Aviation Administration, on March 27, 1974, issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice No. 74-16; 39 FR 12260; April 4, 1974) which proposed to require an explosion prevention system for each fuel tank and fuel vapor and vent space for the type certification of turbine engine powered transport category airplanes. In addition, the notice proposed to require existing turbojet engine powered airplanes and newly manufactured turbine engine powered airplanes used in operations conducted under Part 121 and in those operations conducted under Parts 123 and 135 that are subject to Subpart J of Part 121, to be equipped with such explosion prevention means by specified dates. The purpose of the notice was directed toward preventing fires and explosions of intact fuel systems. On May 20, 1974, Notice No. 74-16A was issued in order to allow commenters additional time to submit comments.

In response to Notice Nos. 74-16, and 74-16A, numerous comments were received from industry associations, foreign airworthiness authorities, operators and traveling public. The majority of comments received opposed the proposed requirements or significant portions of those requirements. The more significant comments received included the following:

Commenters argued that the proposed requirements would have little or no effect in reducing the hazards of impact-survivable airplane accidents when the fuel system integrity is breached.

Commenters claimed that the problems the proposed requirements were trying to solve could be more efficiently and effectively accomplished by other means.

Based on these comments and on the FAA's review of recent service experience, the FAA believes the following:

That further consideration should be given to the practicability and availability of aircraft systems and techniques for reducing the hazards of fuel system fires and explosions associated with impact-survivable turbine powered transport category airplane accidents before further action is taken with respect to the proposed amendments in Notice No. 75-16.

That Part 33 of the Federal Aviation Regulations should be added to the scope of Notice No. 74-16 to consider engine systems that would be effective toward reducing the hazards of fuel system fires and explosions.

That a public hearing would provide a forum to obtain information needed to determine whether a requirement should be developed to reduce the hazards of fuel system fires and explosions associated with both in-flight and impact-survivable turbine powered transport category airplane accidents.

In light of the need for a public hearing, the comment period for Notice 74-16 is reopened to August 1, 1977, to permit the receipt of new or revised comments based upon matters presented at the hearing. Written comments should indicate Docket No. 12274, and be submitted in duplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Rules Docket No. 12274, AGC-24, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

Before taking further action based on Notice 74-16, the FAA will consider all statements presented at the hearing and all written statements and comments submitted to the regulatory docket. The substance of the proposals contained in the notice may be changed in the light of those statements and comments presented.

INVITATION TO ATTEND

Interested persons are invited to attend the hearings and to participate by making oral or written statements. Written statements should be submitted in duplicate and will be made a part of the regulatory docket. Persons wishing to make oral statements at the hearings must notify the FAA on or before June 6, 1977, and indicate the amount of time requested for their initial statements. Presentations will be scheduled on a first-come-first-served basis, as time may permit within the hearing schedule. Requests to be heard should indicate the subject matter of the presentation, time required, and be sent to: Public Hearing Notice No. 74-16B, Flight Standards Service (AFS-900), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C 20591; or Phone: (202) 755-8714.

SCOPE OF PUBLIC HEARING

This hearing is intended to provide opportunity for interested persons to provide information, views, and arguments, supported by pertinent data, reports, or other information, on the following issues:

What are the aircraft systems and techniques that would be effective in preventing or reducing the fire and explosion hazard caused by spillage of fuel from damaged fuel tanks?

What are the aircraft systems and techniques that would be effective in preventing or reducing the fire and explosion hazard caused from any effects of heating of the fuel in undamaged fuel tanks?

What are the merits of each system or technique as related to the other systems and techniques?

What drawbacks do the systems and techniques incur?

When can effective systems be developed?

How quickly can effective systems be introduced into service?

What related R&D efforts are in progress on these systems and techniques?

What are the probable impacts (if any) and benefits of these systems and techniques on aircraft weight, energy consumption, inflation, the environment, and the cost to the traveling public?

PUBLIC HEARING AGENDA

The following is the agenda for the hearing:

JUNE 13

10-10:30—Opening Session.

10:30-12 noon—Government Presentations: Review of Transport Category Airplane Accident Experience; Current Federal Aviation Regulations to Reduce Post Crash Fire and Explosion Hazards.

1:30-4 p.m. — Government Presentations: Fuel Volatility; Crash-resistant Tanks; Fuel Tank Inerting.

JUNE 14

10-12 noon — Government Presentations: Fuel Tank Foam; Engine Ignition Suppression; Anti-misting Fuel.
1:30-4 p.m.—Public Presentations and Discussions.

JUNE 15

10-12 noon—Public Presentations and Discussions.
1:30-4 p.m.—Public Presentations and Discussions.

JUNE 16

10-12 noon—Public Presentations and Discussions.
1:30-4 p.m.—Public Presentations and Discussions.

JUNE 17

10-12 noon—Public Presentations and Discussions.
1:30-4 p.m.—Public Presentations and Discussions.

HEARING PROCEDURES

Persons who plan to attend the hearing should be aware of the following procedures, which have been established to facilitate the workings of the hearing:

(a) The hearing will be informal in nature and will be conducted by the designated representative of the Administrator under 14 CFR 11.33. Since the hearing will not be evidentiary or judicial in nature, there will be no cross-examination or other adjudicatory procedure applied to the presentations. However, interested persons will be allowed to make rebuttal statements and to put forth questions.

(b) The hearing will begin at 10 a.m. on the morning of June 13, 1977, at the Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, Auditorium, 3rd Floor. There will be no admission fee or other charge to attend and participate. All hearing sessions will be open, to all persons, on a space available basis. The Presiding Officer may accelerate the hearing to enable early adjournment if the progress of the hearing is more expeditious than planned.

(c) All hearing sessions will be recorded by a court reporter. Anyone interested in purchasing the transcript should contact the court reporter directly. A copy of the court reporter's transcript will be docketed. In addition, the sessions will be tape recorded, and the recordings will also be docketed.

(d) The FAA will not consider material presented at the hearing by participants on any issue that is not within the scope of the Hearing. Position papers or other hand-out material may be accepted at the discretion of the Presiding Officer.

(e) Statements made by FAA participants at the hearing should not be taken as expressing a final FAA position.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, and 604, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423, and 1424); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1977.

R. P. SKULLY,
Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.77-11730 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

federal register

MONDAY, APRIL 25, 1977

PART IV

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner

■

FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND SUBSTANTIAL REHABILITATION

Proposed Rulemaking

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner

[24 CFR Part 888]

[Docket No. R-77-311]

FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND SUBSTANTIAL REHABILITATION

AGENCY: Department of Housing and Urban Development.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The proposed rule would amend the Section 8 Fair Market Rents applicable to new construction and substantial rehabilitation for all market areas, in compliance with the requirements of section 8(c)(1) of the Housing Act of 1937, as amended, and § 888.103 of 24 CFR that Section 8 Fair Market Rents be established by the Secretary and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at least annually. The last annual revision of the Fair Market Rents applicable to new construction and substantial rehabilitation was accomplished by their publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER in final form on April 6, 1976. The proposed Fair Market Rents are intended to reflect the changes which have occurred in the general levels of market rents for recently completed or newly constructed dwelling units within each market area since their last annual or special (interim) revision.

DATES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments, data, suggestions, or objections by May 20, 1977.

ADDRESSES: All materials which persons wish to submit should be sent to the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the Secretary, Room 10141, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410. A copy of each comment will be available for public inspection at this address during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Henry F. P. Cassagne, Chief Appraiser, Office of Technical Support, by calling 202-472-4810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: These Fair Market Rents are based primarily on the levels of rentals paid for recently constructed dwelling units of modest design within each market area as determined by HUD Field Office staff. They are estimates of the rentals that prospective tenants who have incomes above 80 percent of the median income would be willing and able to pay for newly constructed living units of modest design.

They include some rents for which no changes are proposed, including those for three market areas in the State of Alaska which were just recently established (Barter Island, North Costal Area; Costal Area, North of Aleutians; and Inland Area, North of Aleutians).

After consideration of the comments received in response to this publication, revised Fair Market Rents will be published.

A Finding of Inapplicability respecting the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 has been made in accordance with HUD procedures. A copy of this Finding of Inapplicability will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Room 10141, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C.

It is therefore proposed that Schedule A of Part 888 be amended as set forth below.

NOTES: It is hereby certified that the economic and inflationary impacts of this regulation have been carefully evaluated in accordance with Executive Order 11821.

(Sec. 7(d) Department of HUD Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d).)

Issued on April 18, 1977.

LAWRENCE B. SIMONS,
Assistant Secretary for Housing,
Federal Housing Commissioner.

SCHEDULE A—FAIR MARKET RENTS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION AND SUBSTANTIAL REHABILITATION (INCLUDING HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT AGENCIES PROGRAM.)

These Fair Market Rents have been trended ahead two years to allow time for processing and construction of proposed new construction and substantial rehabilitation rental projects.

NOTE.—The Fair Market Rents for: (1) dwelling units designed for the elderly or handicapped are those for the appropriate size units, not to exceed 2-Bedroom, multiplied by 1.05 rounded to the next higher whole dollar; (2) congregate housing dwelling units are the same as for non-congregate units; and (3) single room occupancy dwelling units are those for 0-Bedroom units of the same type.

AREA OFFICE Hartford, Conn. REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
HARTFORD	DETACHED	-	-	426	476	512
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	348	404	453	488
	WALKUP	295	344	400	449	484
	ELEVATOR	318	369	426	-	-
DANBURY	DETACHED	-	-	432	483	519
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	355	411	459	494
	WALKUP	302	350	407	455	491
	ELEVATOR	324	375	432	-	-
NEW HAVEN	DETACHED	-	-	426	476	512
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	348	404	453	488
	WALKUP	295	344	400	449	484
	ELEVATOR	318	369	426	-	-
BRIDGEPORT	DETACHED	-	-	432	483	519
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	355	411	459	494
	WALKUP	302	350	407	455	491
	ELEVATOR	324	375	432	-	-
NEW LONDON	DETACHED	-	-	419	469	506
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	341	398	445	481
	WALKUP	288	336	393	442	477
	ELEVATOR	311	360	419	-	-
NEW MILFORD	DETACHED	-	-	411	455	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	327	389	433	468
	WALKUP	280	323	385	428	462
	ELEVATOR	303	346	411	-	-

AREA OFFICE Hartford, Conn. REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
WINDHAM	DETACHED	-	-	396	447	481
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW		320	376	424	459
	WALKUP	267	315	371	420	455
	ELEVATOR	288	339	396	-	-
STAMFORD	DETACHED	-	-	441	491	527
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	363	417	468	503
	WALKUP	309	358	414	462	497
	ELEVATOR	333	382	441	-	-
RIDGEFIELD	DETACHED	-	-	441	491	527
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	363	417	468	503
	WALKUP	309	358	414	462	497
	ELEVATOR	333	382	441	-	-
NORWICH	DETACHED	-	-	419	469	506
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	341	398	445	481
	WALKUP	288	336	393	442	477
	ELEVATOR	311	360	419	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Bangor, Me. REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
AUGUSTA	DETACHED	-	340	374	455	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	273	326	364	440	525
	WALKUP	233	294	337	403	478
	ELEVATOR	326	387	487	-	-
BANGOR	DETACHED	-	340	374	455	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	273	326	364	440	525
	WALKUP	233	294	337	403	478
	ELEVATOR	326	387	487	-	-
BRUNSWICK	DETACHED	-	340	374	455	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	273	326	364	440	525
	WALKUP	233	294	337	403	478
	ELEVATOR	326	387	487	-	-
CALAIS	DETACHED	-	338	371	455	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	250	326	357	439	525
	WALKUP	223	294	337	403	469
	ELEVATOR	303	352	453	-	-
LEWISTON	DETACHED	-	340	374	455	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	273	326	364	440	525
	WALKUP	233	294	337	403	478
	ELEVATOR	326	387	487	-	-
PORTLAND	DETACHED	-	340	374	455	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	273	326	364	440	525
	WALKUP	233	294	337	403	478
	ELEVATOR	326	387	487	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Bangor, Me. REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
WATERVILLE	DETACHED	-	340	374	455	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	273	326	364	440	525
	WALKUP	233	294	337	403	478
	ELEVATOR	326	387	487	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Boston, Mass. REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
BOSTON	DETACHED	-	-	-	-	-
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	382	447	567	627
	WALKUP	295	372	404	434	500
	ELEVATOR	316	396	467	-	-
CAPE COD	DETACHED	-	-	463	496	583
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	-	367	447	498
	WALKUP	256	323	334	372	410
	ELEVATOR	287	364	411	-	-
PITTSFIELD	DETACHED	-	-	-	-	-
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	332	415	447	482
	WALKUP	265	308	343	387	446
	ELEVATOR	268	323	398	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	DETACHED	-	-	-	-	-
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	313	382	439	498
	WALKUP	199	299	334	387	456
	ELEVATOR	258	323	398	-	-
WORCESTER	DETACHED	-	-	-	-	-
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	340	415	520	578
	WALKUP	297	323	374	474	530
	ELEVATOR	299	356	437	-	-
FALL RIVER	DETACHED	-	-	-	-	-
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	337	424	467	498
	WALKUP	290	308	374	406	432
	ELEVATOR	-	339	420	-	-

AREA OFFICE Boston REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
LOWELL	DETACHED	-	-	598	682	722
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	374	453	520	570
	WALKUP	305	347	396	476	515
	ELEVATOR	309	387	459	-	-
SALEM	DETACHED	-	-	-	-	-
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	357	429	487	586
	WALKUP	271	339	374	451	530
	ELEVATOR	316	396	472	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Manchester, N. H. REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
CONCORD	DETACHED	-	319	378	445	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	248	311	361	414	468
	WALKUP	221	284	329	390	446
	ELEVATOR	273	339	427	-	-
DOVER	DETACHED	-	319	378	445	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	248	311	361	414	468
	WALKUP	221	284	329	390	446
	ELEVATOR	273	339	427	-	-
KEENE	DETACHED	-	319	378	445	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	248	311	361	414	468
	WALKUP	221	284	329	390	446
	ELEVATOR	273	339	427	-	-
MANCHESTER	DETACHED	-	319	378	445	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	248	311	361	414	468
	WALKUP	221	284	329	390	446
	ELEVATOR	273	339	427	-	-
NASHUA	DETACHED	-	319	378	445	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	248	311	361	414	468
	WALKUP	221	284	329	390	446
	ELEVATOR	273	339	427	-	-
PORTSMOUTH	DETACHED	-	319	378	445	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	248	311	361	414	468
	WALKUP	221	284	329	390	446
	ELEVATOR	273	339	427	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Providence, R. I. REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
PROVIDENCE	DETACHED	-	-	443	487	520
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	350	419	476	515
	WALKUP	256	339	410	465	509
	ELEVATOR	256	361	476	-	-
NEWPORT	DETACHED	-	-	423	461	500
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	301	355	423	454
	WALKUP	216	285	339	385	415
	ELEVATOR	255	323	369	-	-
WESTERLY	DETACHED	-	-	443	499	528
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	339	426	476	515
	WALKUP	263	322	416	465	509
	ELEVATOR	268	339	426	-	-
PAWTUCKET	DETACHED	-	-	443	487	520
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	316	376	445	479
	WALKUP	249	300	368	436	471
	ELEVATOR	256	342	436	-	-
WOONSOCKET	DETACHED	-	-	414	480	512
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	298	347	414	472
	WALKUP	241	281	347	381	414
	ELEVATOR	263	350	471	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Burlington, Vt. REGION I - Boston

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
BENNINGTON	DETACHED	-	314	371	435	526
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	265	303	359	427	512
	WALKUP	250	280	338	404	467
	ELEVATOR	334	353	427	-	-
BRATTLEBORO-	DETACHED	-	314	371	435	526
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	265	303	359	427	512
	WALKUP	250	280	338	404	467
	ELEVATOR	334	353	427	-	-
BURLINGTON	DETACHED	-	314	371	435	526
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	265	303	359	427	512
	WALKUP	250	280	338	404	467
	ELEVATOR	334	353	427	-	-
MONTPELIER	DETACHED	-	314	371	435	526
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	265	303	359	427	512
	WALKUP	250	280	338	404	467
	ELEVATOR	334	353	427	-	-
RUTLAND	DETACHED	-	314	371	435	526
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	265	303	359	427	512
	WALKUP	250	280	338	404	467
	ELEVATOR	334	353	427	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Camden, New Jersey REGION II - New York

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
CAMDEN	DETACHED	-	-	430	503	561
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	344	392	467	517
	WALKUP	258	282	361	436	484
	ELEVATOR	295	340	414	-	-
ATLANTIC CITY	DETACHED	-	-	414	496	554
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	345	393	460	510
	WALKUP	277	301	354	429	477
	ELEVATOR	305	399	498	-	-
BURLINGTON	DETACHED	-	-	430	497	555
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	344	391	461	511
	WALKUP	268	292	355	430	478
	ELEVATOR	295	340	414	-	-
GLOUCESTER	DETACHED	-	-	430	503	561
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	344	392	467	517
	WALKUP	258	282	361	436	484
	ELEVATOR	295	340	414	-	-
TRENTON	DETACHED	-	-	452	535	593
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	355	418	498	549
	WALKUP	291	315	393	468	516
	ELEVATOR	316	384	506	-	-
VINELAND	DETACHED	-	-	397	485	537
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	327	375	442	493
	WALKUP	254	278	337	412	460
	ELEVATOR	285	330	400	-	-

AREA OFFICE Newark, New Jersey REGION II - New York

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
NEWARK	DETACHED	-	-	526	612	656
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	370	456	535	573
	WALKUP	318	349	433	499	536
	ELEVATOR	395	441	551	630	678
ASBURY PARK	DETACHED	-	-	513	598	642
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	318	354	443	521	560
	WALKUP	302	333	420	486	522
	ELEVATOR	379	426	538	-	-
NORTH BERGEN	DETACHED	-	-	568	653	697
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	385	498	576	615
	WALKUP	334	364	475	541	577
	ELEVATOR	411	456	593	672	719
FREEHOLD	DETACHED	-	-	533	621	667
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	335	355	464	542	581
	WALKUP	304	334	441	507	543
	ELEVATOR	381	427	559	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Albany, N. Y. REGION II - New York

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
ALBANY	DETACHED	-	-	401	483	528
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	294	352	429	479
	WALKUP	235	277	332	395	446
	ELEVATOR	299	333	416	-	-
GLEN FALLS	DETACHED	-	-	401	483	528
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	294	352	429	479
	WALKUP	235	277	332	395	446
	ELEVATOR	299	333	416	-	-
MASSENA	DETACHED	-	-	401	483	528
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	294	352	429	479
	WALKUP	235	277	332	395	446
	ELEVATOR	299	333	416	-	-
PLATTSBURGH	DETACHED	-	-	379	445	494
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	262	334	395	448
	WALKUP	195	247	315	365	418
	ELEVATOR	292	321	393	-	-
SYRACUSE	DETACHED	-	-	401	483	528
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	294	352	429	479
	WALKUP	235	277	332	395	446
	ELEVATOR	299	333	416	-	-
POUGHKEEPSIE	DETACHED	-	-	436	508	546
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	305	370	440	490
	WALKUP	241	283	341	407	452
	ELEVATOR	314	361	441	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Albany, N. Y. REGION II - New York

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
WATERTOWN	DETACHED	-	-	401	483	528
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	294	352	429	479
	WALKUP	235	277	332	395	446
	ELEVATOR	299	333	416	-	-
SCHENECTADY	DETACHED	-	-	401	483	528
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	294	352	429	479
	WALKUP	235	277	332	395	446
	ELEVATOR	299	333	416	-	-
BINGHAMTON	DETACHED	-	-	393	469	522
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	265	346	421	462
	WALKUP	215	263	318	382	434
	ELEVATOR	296	322	407	-	-
ITHACA	DETACHED	-	-	393	469	522
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	265	346	421	462
	WALKUP	215	263	318	382	434
	ELEVATOR	296	322	407	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Buffalo, N.Y. REGION II - New York

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
BUFFALO	DETACHED	-	-	386	456	497
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	348	380	436	481
	WALKUP	259	306	341	391	433
	ELEVATOR	357	399	497	-	-
ELMIRA	DETACHED	-	-	407	465	543
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	322	370	405	460	503
	WALKUP	264	323	371	440	475
	ELEVATOR	347	408	481	-	-
JAMESTOWN	DETACHED	-	-	391	448	515
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	348	386	441	506
	WALKUP	252	293	362	396	431
	ELEVATOR	362	410	509	-	-
ROCHESTER	DETACHED	-	-	405	477	531
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	327	341	377	449	504
	WALKUP	253	286	343	387	444
	ELEVATOR	370	409	493	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE NEW YORK, N. Y. REGION II NEW YORK

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
NEW YORK CITY	DETACHED	---	---	588	680	772
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	429	515	595	675
	WALKUP	354	408	491	567	644
	ELEVATOR	491	593	684	776	873
SUFFOLK	DETACHED	---	---	474	566	664
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	321	359	421	505	592
	WALKUP	298	327	395	453	513
	ELEVATOR	366	402	485	---	---
WESTCHESTER	DETACHED	---	---	567	656	750
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	430	517	597	685
	WALKUP	352	409	493	570	652
	ELEVATOR	415	475	561	699	---
ORANGE	DETACHED	---	---	400	507	576
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	290	357	449	514
	WALKUP	224	271	334	420	480
	ELEVATOR	298	367	474	573	---
ROCKLAND	DETACHED	---	---	522	621	715
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	363	465	553	640
	WALKUP	289	342	436	518	596
	ELEVATOR	347	415	524	---	---
NASSAU	DETACHED	---	---	517	622	724
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	372	460	554	647
	WALKUP	322	348	430	518	603
	ELEVATOR	380	427	529	637	---

AREA OFFICE NEW YORK, N. Y. REGION II NEW YORK

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
PUTNAM	DETACHED	---	---	464	540	633
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	282	341	415	479	564
	WALKUP	264	319	387	451	528
	ELEVATOR	343	403	493	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE San Juan, P. R. REGION II - New York

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SAN JUAN	DETACHED	-	-	374	414	515
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	295	330	381	474
	WALKUP	219	262	300	346	410
	ELEVATOR	332	400	436	474	544
PONCE	DETACHED	-	-	311	373	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	250	282	345	427
	WALKUP	175	224	248	303	360
	ELEVATOR	300	336	378	447	502
MAYAGUEZ	DETACHED	-	-	292	357	441
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	246	273	330	401
	WALKUP	170	220	251	297	347
	ELEVATOR	267	289	384	431	483
ARECIBO	DETACHED	-	-	292	357	441
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	246	273	330	401
	WALKUP	170	220	251	297	347
	ELEVATOR	267	289	384	431	483
ST. THOMAS	DETACHED	-	-	535	687	747
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	397	520	590	610
	WALKUP	228	294	380	417	434
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-
ST. CROIX	DETACHED	-	-	459	506	529
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	348	448	493	513
	WALKUP	223	256	331	377	397
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Wilmington, Del. REGION III - Philadelphia

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
Wilmington	DETACHED	-	-	351	388	462
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	233	290	370	417
	WALKUP	221	229	286	354	-
	ELEVATOR	243	293	389		
Dover	DETACHED	-	-	323	358	406
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	222	268	340	368
	WALKUP	197	222	268	340	-
	ELEVATOR	225	272	352	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Washington, D. C. REGION III - Philadelphia

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
WASHINGTON, D.C.	DETACHED	---	---	---	549	614
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	417	464	548
	WALKUP	251	326	395	464	537
	ELEVATOR	291	373	498	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE BALTIMORE, MD. REGION III PHILADELPHIA

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
BALTIMORE	DETACHED	---	---	499	586	669
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	309	340	428	541
	WALKUP	261	294	334	412	536
	ELEVATOR	277	328	413	---	---
ABERDEEN	DETACHED	---	---	409	478	575
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	267	310	376	492
	WALKUP	227	256	300	368	478
	ELEVATOR	241	282	357	---	---
HAGERSTOWN	DETACHED	---	---	412	475	552
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	296	312	374	464
	WALKUP	251	282	307	360	459
	ELEVATOR	266	310	365	---	---
SALISBURY	DETACHED	---	---	409	470	533
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	272	310	378	464
	WALKUP	230	259	305	363	459
	ELEVATOR	244	285	363	---	---
ANNAPOLIS	DETACHED	---	---	499	586	669
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	309	340	428	541
	WALKUP	261	294	334	412	536
	ELEVATOR	277	328	413	---	---
CAMBRIDGE	DETACHED	---	---	409	470	533
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	272	310	378	464
	WALKUP	230	259	305	363	459
	ELEVATOR	244	285	363	---	---

AREA OFFICE BALTIMORE, MD REGION III PHILADELPHIA

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
CUMBERLAND	DETACHED	---	---	412	475	552
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	296	312	374	464
	WALKUP	251	282	307	360	459
	ELEVATOR	266	310	365	---	---
FREDERICK	DETACHED	---	---	445	507	593
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	294	337	399	498
	WALKUP	249	280	330	384	493
	ELEVATOR	264	308	393	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Philadelphia REGION III - Philadelphia

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
PHILADELPHIA	DETACHED	-	-	420	450	483
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	240	290	356	424	464
	WALKUP	223	268	337	413	452
	ELEVATOR	322	376	427	-	-
ALLENTOWN	DETACHED	-	-	404	452	536
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	240	290	352	425	464
	WALKUP	223	277	335	424	452
	ELEVATOR	287	328	389	-	-
BELLEFONTE	DETACHED	-	-	422	479	524
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	224	284	367	403	506
	WALKUP	216	264	338	403	426
	ELEVATOR	227	299	382	-	-
HARRISBURG	DETACHED	-	-	402	459	489
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	290	336	425	464
	WALKUP	222	280	317	413	452
	ELEVATOR	289	335	383	-	-
LANCASTER	DETACHED	-	-	371	447	489
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	283	332	413	477
	WALKUP	207	268	322	393	447
	ELEVATOR	246	318	370	-	-
YORK	DETACHED	-	-	349	437	481
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	259	316	384	436
	WALKUP	202	246	288	360	413
	ELEVATOR	240	300	360	-	-

AREA OFFICE Philadelphia REGION III Philadelphia

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
POTTSTOWN	DETACHED	-	-	384	448	477
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	271	342	401	427
	WALKUP	218	262	300	365	413
	ELEVATOR	260	319	382	-	-
READING	DETACHED	-	-	384	448	477
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	271	342	401	427
	WALKUP	218	262	300	365	413
	ELEVATOR	260	319	382	-	-
SCRANTON	DETACHED	-	-	395	460	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	240	289	347	415	491
	WALKUP	240	276	333	404	463
	ELEVATOR	300	348	396	-	-
BETHLEHEM	DETACHED	-	-	404	452	536
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	240	290	352	425	464
	WALKUP	223	277	335	424	452
	ELEVATOR	287	328	389	-	-
WELLSBORO	DETACHED	-	-	296	328	356
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	204	235	278	309	333
	WALKUP	204	235	265	307	333
	ELEVATOR	245	295	339	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Pittsburgh REGION III - Phildelphia

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
NEW CASTLE	DETACHED	-	-	370	436	506
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	312	353	414	480
	WALKUP	219	270	318	370	430
	ELEVATOR	255	317	381	-	-
PITTSBURGH	DETACHED	-	-	399	455	525
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	344	378	434	500
	WALKUP	246	306	360	408	472
	ELEVATOR	270	336	404	-	-
ERIE	DETACHED	-	-	375	406	484
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	324	356	384	460
	WALKUP	228	281	332	380	442
	ELEVATOR	267	329	396	-	-
SHARON	DETACHED	-	-	370	436	506
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	312	353	414	480
	WALKUP	219	270	318	370	430
	ELEVATOR	255	317	381	-	-
ALTOONA	DETACHED	-	-	375	428	479
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	322	354	408	461
	WALKUP	231	278	335	392	451
	ELEVATOR	254	312	375	-	-
JOHNSTOWN	DETACHED	-	-	375	428	479
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	322	354	408	461
	WALKUP	231	278	335	392	451
	ELEVATOR	254	312	375	-	-

AREA OFFICE RICHMOND, VIRGINIA REGION III PHILADELPHIA

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
RICHMOND	DETACHED	---	---	329	381	451
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	274	311	355	396
	WALKUP	210	245	303	355	396
	ELEVATOR	218	272	317	---	---
NORFOLK	DETACHED	---	---	327	387	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	262	294	360	397
	WALKUP	217	238	278	350	391
	ELEVATOR	239	262	295	---	---
VIRGINIA BEACH	DETACHED	---	---	327	387	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	262	294	360	397
	WALKUP	217	238	278	350	391
	ELEVATOR	239	262	295	---	---
PORTSMOUTH	DETACHED	---	---	327	387	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	262	294	360	397
	WALKUP	217	238	278	350	391
	ELEVATOR	239	262	295	---	---
SUFFOLK	DETACHED	---	---	327	387	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	262	294	360	397
	WALKUP	217	238	278	350	391
	ELEVATOR	239	262	295	---	---
CHESAPEAKE	DETACHED	---	---	327	387	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	262	294	360	397
	WALKUP	217	238	278	350	391
	ELEVATOR	239	262	295	---	---

AREA OFFICE RICHMOND, VIRGINIA REGION III PHILADELPHIA

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
ROANOKE	DETACHED	---	---	309	363	408
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	239	294	350	390
	WALKUP	188	222	273	345	388
	ELEVATOR	198	253	309	---	---
LYNCHBURG	DETACHED	---	---	309	363	408
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	239	294	350	390
	WALKUP	188	222	273	345	388
	ELEVATOR	198	253	309	---	---
DANVILLE	DETACHED	---	---	309	363	408
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	239	294	350	390
	WALKUP	188	222	273	345	388
	ELEVATOR	198	253	309	---	---
NEWPORT NEWS	DETACHED	---	---	316	383	420
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	252	300	364	400
	WALKUP	201	229	273	347	382
	ELEVATOR	222	240	287	---	---
FREDRICKS-BURG	DETACHED	---	---	340	393	448
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	246	304	360	407
	WALKUP	195	232	293	360	407
	ELEVATOR	205	246	309	---	---
HARRISONBURG	DETACHED	---	---	293	319	399
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	233	274	316	359
	WALKUP	176	212	250	306	359
	ELEVATOR	199	231	283	---	---

AREA OFFICE RICHMOND, VIRGINIA REGION III PHILADELPHIA

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
WARRENTON	DETACHED	---	---	293	319	399
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	233	274	316	359
	WALKUP	176	212	250	306	359
	ELEVATOR	199	231	283	---	---
BRISTOL	DETACHED	---	---	253	319	347
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	194	244	294	338
	WALKUP	180	194	238	291	335
	ELEVATOR	195	251	339	---	---
HAMPTON	DETACHED	---	---	316	383	420
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	252	300	364	400
	WALKUP	201	229	273	347	382
	ELEVATOR	222	240	287	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Charleston, W. Va. REGION III - Philadelphia

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
CHARLESTON	DETACHED	---	---	376	413	461
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	314	355	396	444
	WALKUP	204	260	301	355	400
	ELEVATOR	301	349	392	---	---
BECKLEY	DETACHED	--	--	339	372	415
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	250	319	349	392
	WALKUP	220	250	284	316	348
	ELEVATOR	267	316	354	--	--
BLUEFIELD	DETACHED	--	--	339	372	415
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	250	319	349	392
	WALKUP	220	250	284	316	348
	ELEVATOR	267	316	354	--	--
HUNTINGTON	DETACHED	--	--	342	411	447
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	250	325	389	428
	WALKUP	176	233	307	370	406
	ELEVATOR	267	316	383	--	--
PARKERSBURG	DETACHED	--	--	302	349	383
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	244	285	329	362
	WALKUP	205	234	277	311	344
	ELEVATOR	260	311	378	--	--
WHEELING	DETACHED	--	--	304	376	426
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	245	288	361	411
	WALKUP	206	238	280	350	382
	ELEVATOR	263	314	381	--	--

INSURING OFFICE Charleston, W. Va. REGION III - Philadelphia

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
MARTINSBURG	DETACHED	---	---	350	389	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	292	330	372	416
	WALKUP	224	272	318	350	395
	ELEVATOR	298	327	364	--	---
FAIRMONT	DETACHED	---	--	350	389	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	292	330	372	416
	WALKUP	224	272	318	350	395
	ELEVATOR	298	327	364	--	--
POINT PLEASANT	DETACHED	---	--	301	347	379
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	241	284	327	360
	WALKUP	204	233	275	309	342
	ELEVATOR	258	309	345	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Birmingham, Ala. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
BIRMINGHAM	DETACHED	-	-	313	382	415
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	237	274	352	384
	WALKUP	187	221	265	339	369
	ELEVATOR	233	269	387	-	-
DOTHAN	DETACHED	-	-	301	342	372
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	218	268	327	360
	WALKUP	186	218	268	327	360
	ELEVATOR	246	273	335	-	-
FLORENCE	DETACHED	-	-	296	374	410
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	233	296	374	410
	WALKUP	190	212	258	323	355
	ELEVATOR	238	265	323	-	-
HUNTSVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	296	368	405
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	237	296	368	405
	WALKUP	187	225	269	309	339
	ELEVATOR	233	281	336	-	-
MOBILE	DETACHED	-	-	310	372	395
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	224	286	342	377
	WALKUP	187	216	255	342	377
	ELEVATOR	233	270	319	-	-
MONTGOMERY	DETACHED	-	-	296	387	424
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	221	281	387	424
	WALKUP	187	212	256	307	337
	ELEVATOR	233	265	320	-	-

AREA OFFICE Birmingham, Ala. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
TUSCALOOSA	DETACHED	-	-	305	372	407
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	208	256	332	364
	WALKUP	187	208	256	332	364
	ELEVATOR	233	266	320	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Jacksonville, Fla. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
JACKSONVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	273	337	373
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	241	273	328	345
	WALKUP	191	220	273	328	345
	ELEVATOR	234	285	361	-	-
FORT WALTON BEACH	DETACHED	-	-	260	350	379
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	221	243	316	337
	WALKUP	185	203	243	316	337
	ELEVATOR	235	273	325	-	-
GAINESVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	301	388	417
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	256	285	354	369
	WALKUP	218	236	285	354	369
	ELEVATOR	253	295	360	-	-
PANAMA CITY	DETACHED	-	-	260	337	343
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	219	243	311	325
	WALKUP	185	203	243	311	325
	ELEVATOR	235	273	325	-	-
PENSACOLA	DETACHED	-	-	260	350	379
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	221	243	316	337
	WALKUP	185	203	243	316	337
	ELEVATOR	235	273	325	-	-
TALLAHASSEE	DETACHED	-	-	337	378	384
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	247	270	337	351
	WALKUP	205	223	268	337	351
	ELEVATOR	250	290	350	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Coral Gables, Fla. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
MIAMI	DETACHED	-	-	326	371	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	244	310	378	407
	WALKUP	247	252	327	421	435
	ELEVATOR	264	272	336	-	-
FORT LAUDERDALE	DETACHED	-	-	348	408	437
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	251	319	402	412
	WALKUP	212	237	307	402	425
	ELEVATOR	217	238	323	-	-
FORT MYERS	DETACHED	-	-	293	345	388
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	228	260	310	335
	WALKUP	210	235	285	340	363
	ELEVATOR	222	246	288	-	-
KEY WEST	DETACHED	-	-	326	382	459
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	255	328	388	415
	WALKUP	226	288	365	386	409
	ELEVATOR	243	320	394	-	-
WEST PALM BEACH	DETACHED	-	-	356	392	490
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	231	278	353	383
	WALKUP	222	237	302	375	398
	ELEVATOR	237	259	361	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Tampa, Fla. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
TAMPA	DETACHED	-	-	327	361	382
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	226	261	327	350
	WALKUP	194	220	250	311	350
	ELEVATOR	220	248	283	-	-
COCOA	DETACHED	-	-	302	364	384
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	200	248	306	335
	WALKUP	183	200	233	263	281
	ELEVATOR	209	227	265	-	-
DAYTONA BEACH	DETACHED	-	-	316	379	400
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	229	248	308	335
	WALKUP	183	205	239	270	288
	ELEVATOR	209	234	273	-	-
FORT PIERCE	DETACHED	-	-	316	379	400
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	229	248	308	335
	WALKUP	183	205	239	270	288
	ELEVATOR	209	234	273	-	-
LAKELAND	DETACHED	-	-	301	362	377
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	264	297	340	365
	WALKUP	224	239	266	330	347
	ELEVATOR	250	267	300	-	-
ORLANDO	DETACHED	-	-	307	364	378
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	232	285	331	360
	WALKUP	182	212	255	307	324
	ELEVATOR	207	240	288	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Tampa, Fla. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SARASOTA	DETACHED	-	-	312	376	391
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	251	283	345	375
	WALKUP	205	231	259	343	362
	ELEVATOR	234	261	287	-	-
ST. PETERSBURG	DETACHED	-	-	315	380	400
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	226	273	333	354
	WALKUP	185	224	266	327	343
	ELEVATOR	210	251	327	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Atlanta, Ga. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
ATLANTA	DETACHED	-	-	296	346	384
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	224	252	297	327
	WALKUP	193	213	244	291	319
	ELEVATOR	241	258	319	-	-
ALBANY	DETACHED	-	-	285	352	379
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	209	258	323	345
	WALKUP	180	204	252	317	339
	ELEVATOR	248	276	343	-	-
AUGUSTA	DETACHED	-	-	277	350	387
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	209	241	317	339
	WALKUP	190	204	239	306	328
	ELEVATOR	247	268	314	-	-
BRUNSWICK	DETACHED	-	-	294	349	377
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	209	252	306	328
	WALKUP	192	204	246	300	323
	ELEVATOR	268	286	349	-	-
COLUMBUS	DETACHED	-	-	304	358	392
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	221	269	321	356
	WALKUP	197	215	263	316	344
	ELEVATOR	265	287	353	-	-
MACON	DETACHED	-	-	307	327	367
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	215	261	293	338
	WALKUP	186	209	258	277	310
	ELEVATOR	257	284	353	-	-

AREA OFFICE Atlanta, Ga. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
ROME	DETACHED	-	-	282	338	371
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	193	239	295	321
	WALKUP	169	187	235	289	316
	ELEVATOR	241	265	332		
SAVANNAH	DETACHED	-	-	318	379	410
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	239	277	337	364
	WALKUP	203	226	272	332	358
	ELEVATOR	278	306	373	-	-
VALDOSTA	DETACHED	-	-	267	326	369
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW		198	248	317	345
	WALKUP	170	193	233	289	323
	ELEVATOR	237	265	322	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Louisville, Ky. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
LOUISVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	333	399	440
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	263	303	374	422
	WALKUP	206	244	296	362	408
	ELEVATOR	278	322	395	-	-
LOUISVILLE	MOBILE HOME	-	-	221	257	-
ASHLAND	DETACHED	-	-	329	387	429
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	263	309	367	410
	WALKUP	210	245	290	347	385
	ELEVATOR	284	326	391	-	-
BOWLING GREEN	DETACHED	-	-	300	363	411
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	238	289	342	386
	WALKUP	197	222	261	326	391
	ELEVATOR	253	293	354	-	-
CORBIN	DETACHED	-	-	321	395	436
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	261	305	370	413
	WALKUP	209	243	288	350	389
	ELEVATOR	282	323	388	-	-
COVINGTON	DETACHED	-	-	333	405	447
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	269	307	382	425
	WALKUP	219	254	287	359	397
	ELEVATOR	290	331	374	-	-

AREA OFFICE Louisville, Ky. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
HOPKINSVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	316	379	422
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	238	289	361	403
	WALKUP	198	225	267	330	397
	ELEVATOR	260	301	367	-	-
HOPKINSVILLE	MOBILE HOME	-	-	249	272	-
LEXINGTON	DETACHED	-	-	323	391	430
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	256	301	376	416
	WALKUP	209	244	295	364	402
	ELEVATOR	275	315	386	-	-
MIDDLESBORO	DETACHED	-	-	320	383	424
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	250	295	355	412
	WALKUP	198	232	279	341	391
	ELEVATOR	236	270	316	-	-
MURRAY	DETACHED	-	-	324	388	431
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	255	297	361	405
	WALKUP	198	232	273	335	394
	ELEVATOR	271	312	375	-	-
NEWPORT	DETACHED	-	-	330	404	446
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	275	307	382	426
	WALKUP	219	254	286	359	397
	ELEVATOR	290	331	385	-	-

AREA OFFICE Louisville, Ky. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
OWENSBORO	DETACHED	-	-	334	396	439
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	247	308	372	416
	WALKUP	199	228	290	352	390
	ELEVATOR	267	307	390	-	-
PADUCAH	DETACHED	-	-	315	380	421
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	245	290	356	411
	WALKUP	203	233	277	343	391
	ELEVATOR	267	305	369	-	-
FRANKFORT	DETACHED	-	-	317	381	420
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	244	297	360	403
	WALKUP	197	227	281	343	396
	ELEVATOR	254	293	364	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Jackson, Miss. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
JACKSON	DETACHED	-	-	307	350	418
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	218	279	338	381
	WALKUP	177	219	283	319	361
	ELEVATOR	247	255	316	-	-
BILOXI	DETACHED	-	-	297	337	358
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	220	271	326	340
	WALKUP	184	202	249	314	329
	ELEVATOR	233	262	312	-	-
CORINTH	DETACHED	-	-	238	294	340
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	189	221	278	310
	WALKUP	168	184	210	268	294
	ELEVATOR	233	265	315	-	-
GREENVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	273	323	357
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	193	221	278	310
	WALKUP	171	187	210	268	294
	ELEVATOR	237	270	315	-	-
GREENWOOD	DETACHED	-	-	273	323	337
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	198	238	304	317
	WALKUP	171	187	232	290	304
	ELEVATOR	230	268	310	-	-
GULFPORT	DETACHED	-	-	281	330	351
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	210	256	320	334
	WALKUP	183	204	249	306	320
	ELEVATOR	240	271	312	-	-

AREA OFFICE Jackson, Miss. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
HATTIESBURG	DETACHED	-	-	241	286	332
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	187	218	273	304
	WALKUP	171	182	214	260	286
	ELEVATOR	220	255	303	-	-
SOUTHAVEN	DETACHED	-	-	267	283	319
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	187	234	269	334
	WALKUP	166	182	228	279	319
	ELEVATOR	215	250	330	-	-
TUPELO	DETACHED	-	-	273	323	343
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	189	238	304	317
	WALKUP	168	184	232	290	304
	ELEVATOR	226	263	315	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Greensboro, N. C. REGION IV - ATLANTA

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
GREENSBORO	DETACHED	-	-	285	335	375
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	190	220	260	310	340
	WALKUP	190	220	260	310	340
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-
ASHEVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	285	335	375
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	185	215	250	300	335
	WALKUP	185	215	250	300	335
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-
CHARLOTTE	DETACHED	-	-	285	335	375
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	190	220	260	310	340
	WALKUP	190	220	260	310	340
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-
DURHAM	DETACHED	-	-	285	335	375
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	185	210	250	305	335
	WALKUP	185	210	250	305	335
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-
GREENVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	275	325	360
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	175	195	230	280	305
	WALKUP	175	195	230	280	305
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-
RALEIGH	DETACHED	-	-	285	335	375
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	190	220	260	310	340
	WALKUP	185	215	255	305	335
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-

AREA OFFICE Greensboro, N. C. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
WILMINGTON	DETACHED	-	-	285	325	365
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	175	195	235	280	310
	WALKUP	175	195	235	280	310
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-
WINSTON-SALEM	DETACHED	-	-	285	335	375
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	190	220	260	310	340
	WALKUP	190	220	260	310	340
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-
FAYETTEVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	280	325	365
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	185	210	245	295	330
	WALKUP	180	205	240	290	325
	ELEVATOR	231	252	315	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Columbia, S. C. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
GREENVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	324	362	399
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	205	220	263	325	364
	WALKUP	205	220	263	325	364
	ELEVATOR	252	274	336	-	-
GREENWOOD	DETACHED	-	-	324	343	399
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	196	213	252	319	358
	WALKUP	196	213	252	319	353
	ELEVATOR	242	269	330	-	-
MYRTLE BEACH	DETACHED	-	-	330	390	417
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	213	226	274	325	364
	WALKUP	213	226	274	325	364
	ELEVATOR	253	274	336	-	-
NORTH AUGUSTA	DETACHED	-	-	306	343	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	196	213	252	319	358
	WALKUP	196	213	252	319	353
	ELEVATOR	242	263	330	-	-
ORANGEBURG	DETACHED	-	-	306	343	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	196	213	252	319	358
	WALKUP	196	213	252	319	353
	ELEVATOR	241	263	314	-	-
ROCKHILL	DETACHED	-	-	306	343	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	196	213	252	319	358
	WALKUP	196	213	252	319	358
	ELEVATOR	242	263	330	-	-

AREA OFFICE Columbia, S. C. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
COLUMBIA	DETACHED	-	-	343	383	407
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	213	226	274	325	364
	WALKUP	213	226	274	325	364
	ELEVATOR	253	273	330	-	-
AIKEN	DETACHED	-	-	306	343	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	196	213	252	319	358
	WALKUP	196	213	252	319	353
	ELEVATOR	242	269	330	-	-
ANDERSON	DETACHED	-	-	324	343	399
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	196	213	252	319	358
	WALKUP	196	213	252	319	353
	ELEVATOR	242	269	330	-	-
BEAUFORT	DETACHED	-	-	344	382	410
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	202	218	263	330	370
	WALKUP	202	218	263	330	370
	ELEVATOR	249	274	336	-	-
CHARLESTON	DETACHED	-	-	344	382	410
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	213	226	263	330	370
	WALKUP	213	226	263	330	370
	ELEVATOR	253	273	330	-	-
FLORENCE	DETACHED	-	-	306	343	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	196	213	253	319	358
	WALKUP	196	213	253	319	353
	ELEVATOR	252	269	330	-	-

AREA OFFICE Columbia, S. C. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SPARTANBURG	DETACHED	-	-	324	364	399
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	206	220	263	325	364
	WALKUP	206	220	263	325	364
	ELEVATOR	252	274	336	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Knoxville, Tenn. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
KNOXVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	270	330	370
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	210	260	320	360
	WALKUP	195	205	250	310	345
	ELEVATOR	235	265	325	-	-
CHATTANOOGA	DETACHED	-	-	270	330	370
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	225	260	320	355
	WALKUP	205	220	255	310	345
	ELEVATOR	235	265	325	-	-
JOHNSON CITY	DETACHED	-	-	255	320	360
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	210	240	310	345
	WALKUP	185	200	230	290	330
	ELEVATOR	230	260	320	-	-
KINGSPORT	DETACHED	-	-	255	320	360
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	210	240	310	345
	WALKUP	185	200	230	290	330
	ELEVATOR	230	260	320	-	-
OAKRIDGE	DETACHED	-	-	275	335	375
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	215	265	325	365
	WALKUP	200	210	255	315	350
	ELEVATOR	240	270	330	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Memphis, Tenn. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
MEMPHIS	DETACHED	-	-	312	380	440
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	258	285	335	387
	WALKUP	191	222	259	291	331
	ELEVATOR	253	290	346	-	-
JACKSON	DETACHED	-	-	260	299	340
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	220	247	286	330
	WALKUP	178	210	235	266	298
	ELEVATOR	256	292	336	-	-
UNION CITY	DETACHED	-	-	260	299	340
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	214	257	292	331
	WALKUP	176	193	226	255	282
	ELEVATOR	257	297	352	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Nashville, Tenn. REGION IV - Atlanta

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
NASHVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	283	334	364
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	211	254	313	351
	WALKUP	185	205	243	308	340
	ELEVATOR	197	265	341	-	-
CLARKSVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	283	334	364
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	211	248	313	341
	WALKUP	185	205	243	308	335
	ELEVATOR	197	265	341		
COLUMBIA	DETACHED	-	-	276	328	361
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	211	248	309	341
	WALKUP	177	200	243	297	324
	ELEVATOR	197	265	341	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE CHICAGO, ILL. REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
CHICAGO	DETACHED	---	---	550	580	675
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	425	466	507	569
	WALKUP	308	339	408	494	515
	ELEVATOR	365	419	520	557	---
MOLINE	DETACHED	---	---	502	530	591
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	406	430	485	512
	WALKUP	297	326	392	469	---
	ELEVATOR	343	378	482	---	---
ROCKFORD	DETACHED	---	---	423	451	511
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	363	384	403	430
	WALKUP	253	281	334	387	---
	ELEVATOR	298	333	400	---	---
ROCK ISLAND	DETACHED	---	---	502	530	591
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	406	430	485	512
	WALKUP	297	326	392	469	---
	ELEVATOR	343	378	482	---	---
STERLING	DETACHED	---	---	406	433	492
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	357	378	396	423
	WALKUP	251	279	330	381	---
	ELEVATOR	293	327	393	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Springfield, Ill. REGION V - Chicago

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
Springfield	DETACHED	-	-	399	447	605
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	318	374	423	479
	WALKUP	273	311	371	420	467
	ELEVATOR	331	372	444	-	-
Belleville	DETACHED	-	-	347	399	458
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	269	325	375	432
	WALKUP	222	262	322	372	419
	ELEVATOR	278	315	389	-	-
Carbondale	DETACHED	-	-	364	415	472
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	287	342	386	446
	WALKUP	242	279	339	388	434
	ELEVATOR	300	339	411	-	-
CHAMPAIGN	DETACHED	-	-	337	387	442
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	262	316	364	417
	WALKUP	218	255	313	361	405
	ELEVATOR	286	311	382	-	-
Danville	DETACHED	-	-	384	435	494
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	309	363	411	467
	WALKUP	262	300	360	408	456
	ELEVATOR	321	360	432	-	-
EAST ST. LOUIS	DETACHED	-	-	337	389	448
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	258	314	365	421
	WALKUP	211	251	312	362	409
	ELEVATOR	278	319	395	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Springfield, Ill. REGION V - Chicago

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
LaSalle	DETACHED	-	-	374	427	487
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	297	353	403	460
	WALKUP	242	272	338	387	432
	ELEVATOR	305	345	419	-	-
Peoria	DETACHED	-	-	413	466	525
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	336	392	441	498
	WALKUP	288	328	388	439	486
	ELEVATOR	350	389	463	-	-
Quincy	DETACHED	-	-	330	376	431
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	264	306	352	406
	WALKUP	208	245	302	349	395
	ELEVATOR	264	301	374	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE INDIANAPOLIS, IND. REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
INDIANAPOLIS	DETACHED	---	---	370	449	472
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	253	308	389	410
	WALKUP	223	248	295	383	---
	ELEVATOR	279	317	381	---	---
BLOOMINGTON	DETACHED	---	---	347	404	428
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	228	285	345	367
	WALKUP	197	222	280	339	---
	ELEVATOR	252	290	367	---	---
EVANSVILLE	DETACHED	---	---	349	404	426
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	241	295	361	383
	WALKUP	211	236	289	356	---
	ELEVATOR	252	290	360	---	---
FORT WAYNE	DETACHED	---	---	361	418	444
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	263	303	364	385
	WALKUP	233	257	296	356	---
	ELEVATOR	286	323	380	---	---
GARY	DETACHED	---	---	407	469	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	286	354	412	435
	WALKUP	254	278	345	406	---
	ELEVATOR	308	347	433	---	---
HAMMOND	DETACHED	---	---	407	469	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	286	354	412	435
	WALKUP	254	278	345	406	---
	ELEVATOR	304	342	428	---	---

AREA OFFICE INDIANAPOLIS, IND. REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
LAFAYETTE	DETACHED	---	---	369	425	447
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	255	309	373	388
	WALKUP	224	249	304	362	---
	ELEVATOR	270	308	389	---	---
SOUTH BEND	DETACHED	---	---	410	468	489
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	276	352	410	433
	WALKUP	246	271	345	405	---
	ELEVATOR	301	334	434	---	---
TERRE HAUTE	DETACHED	---	---	368	426	448
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	254	311	369	392
	WALKUP	217	247	304	365	---
	ELEVATOR	268	308	391	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Detroit, Michigan REGION V - Chicago

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
DETROIT	DETACHED	-	-	431	450	481
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	368	399	423	459
	WALKUP	243	277	347	382	409
	ELEVATOR	275	343	447	-	-
ANN ARBOR	DETACHED	-	-	431	450	481
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	368	399	423	459
	WALKUP	243	277	347	382	409
	ELEVATOR	275	343	447	-	-
FLINT	DETACHED	-	-	379	421	450
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	284	347	387	424
	WALKUP	226	262	313	355	393
	ELEVATOR	261	326	425	-	-
SAGINAW	DETACHED	-	-	379	421	450
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	284	347	387	424
	WALKUP	226	262	313	355	393
	ELEVATOR	261	326	425	-	-
YPSILANTI	DETACHED	-	-	431	450	481
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	368	399	423	459
	WALKUP	243	277	347	382	409
	ELEVATOR	275	343	447	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE GRAND RAPIDS, MICH. REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
GRAND RAPIDS	DETACHED	---	---	348	392	413
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	277	315	359	381
	WALKUP	190	223	272	310	---
	ELEVATOR	244	297	340	---	---
BATTLE CREEK	DETACHED	---	---	347	396	418
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	280	314	358	374
	WALKUP	204	231	270	319	---
	ELEVATOR	263	294	344	---	---
BENTON HARBOR	DETACHED	---	---	350	399	421
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	284	317	361	399
	WALKUP	208	235	273	339	---
	ELEVATOR	263	301	345	---	---
KALAMAZOO	DETACHED	---	---	355	399	421
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	289	317	360	377
	WALKUP	213	240	279	312	---
	ELEVATOR	268	298	357	---	---
LANSING	DETACHED	---	---	375	430	451
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	272	310	381	413
	WALKUP	201	223	272	341	---
	ELEVATOR	261	294	343	---	---
MUSKEGON	DETACHED	---	---	319	372	398
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	246	283	333	371
	WALKUP	197	228	268	318	---
	ELEVATOR	245	280	321	---	---

INSURING OFFICE GRAND RAPIDS, MICH REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
TRAVERSE CITY	DETACHED	---	---	392	443	464
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	289	353	404	422
	WALKUP	211	242	298	350	---
	ELEVATOR	279	312	372	---	---
MARQUETTE	DETACHED	---	---	359	408	430
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	288	321	364	381
	WALKUP	222	229	275	315	---
	ELEVATOR	263	294	339	---	---
JACKSON	DETACHED	---	---	377	438	459
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	295	334	377	405
	WALKUP	224	257	317	355	---
	ELEVATOR	278	310	389	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Minneapolis, St. Paul REGION V - Chicago

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
MINNEAPOLIS ST. PAUL	DETACHED	-	-	430	484	518
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	-	364	413	453
	WALKUP	232	285	332	408	431
	ELEVATOR	268	360	413	-	-
DULUTH	DETACHED	-	-	433	486	503
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	-	366	416	460
	WALKUP	223	265	327	410	432
	ELEVATOR	266	305	385	-	-
MANKATO	DETACHED	-	-	423	476	491
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	-	344	393	433
	WALKUP	239	257	303	327	350
	ELEVATOR	312	340	404	-	-
ROCHESTER	DETACHED	-	-	438	491	507
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	-	352	421	463
	WALKUP	232	271	332	394	417
	ELEVATOR	314	361	425	-	-
ST. CLOUD	DETACHED	-	-	426	477	493
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	-	364	413	453
	WALKUP	232	241	286	389	413
	ELEVATOR	306	323	387	-	-
WORTHINGTON	DETACHED	-	-	405	456	470
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	-	341	389	429
	WALKUP	237	247	290	330	353
	ELEVATOR	316	336	398	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Cincinnati, Ohio REGION V - Chicago

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
CINCINNATI	DETACHED	-	-	476	521	545
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	333	390	440	468
	WALKUP	229	265	322	376	404
	ELEVATOR	341	379	460	-	-
DAYTON	DETACHED	-	-	467	515	548
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	314	371	435	463
	WALKUP	277	309	355	417	445
	ELEVATOR	385	423	493	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Cleveland, Ohio REGION V - Chicago

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
CLEVELAND	DETACHED	-	-	457	498	543
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	322	375	413	456
	WALKUP	268	297	345	388	423
	ELEVATOR	327	359	423	-	-
AKRON	DETACHED	-	-	419	454	494
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	311	358	394	438
	WALKUP	258	287	330	371	406
	ELEVATOR	313	343	401	-	-
FINDLAY	DETACHED	-	-	371	405	443
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	267	322	356	397
	WALKUP	217	244	295	335	368
	ELEVATOR	271	300	366	-	-
LORAIN	DETACHED	-	-	403	439	479
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	288	344	379	421
	WALKUP	237	265	317	358	392
	ELEVATOR	295	325	391	-	-
MANSFIELD	DETACHED	-	-	365	400	438
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	264	314	348	390
	WALKUP	215	242	289	330	363
	ELEVATOR	270	301	362	-	-
TOLEDO	DETACHED	-	-	432	462	503
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	307	362	398	441
	WALKUP	254	283	334	376	410
	ELEVATOR	312	343	409	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Cleveland, Ohio REGION V-Chicago

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
YOUNGSTOWN	DETACHED	-	-	397	432	470
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	291	336	371	413
	WALKUP	241	268	310	351	384
	ELEVATOR	297	327	383	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE COLUMBUS, OHIO REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
COLUMBUS	DETACHED	---	---	405	460	485
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	350	400	430
	WALKUP	240	270	320	370	390
	ELEVATOR	330	380	430	---	---
ATHENS	DETACHED	---	---	380	435	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	330	385	415
	WALKUP	245	275	300	350	375
	ELEVATOR	310	360	415	---	---
LIMA	DETACHED	---	---	380	435	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	330	385	415
	WALKUP	245	275	300	350	375
	ELEVATOR	310	360	415	---	---
MARIETTA	DETACHED	---	---	380	435	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	330	385	415
	WALKUP	245	275	300	350	375
	ELEVATOR	310	360	415	---	---
NEWARK	DETACHED	---	---	380	435	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	330	385	415
	WALKUP	245	275	300	350	375
	ELEVATOR	310	360	415	---	---
SPRINGFIELD	DETACHED	---	---	380	435	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	330	385	415
	WALKUP	245	275	300	350	375
	ELEVATOR	310	360	415	---	---

AREA OFFICE COLUMBUS, OHIO REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
TROY	DETACHED	---	---	380	435	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	330	385	415
	WALKUP	245	275	300	350	375
	ELEVATOR	310	360	415	---	---
ZANESVILLE	DETACHED	---	---	380	435	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	330	385	415
	WALKUP	245	275	300	350	375
	ELEVATOR	310	360	415	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE MILWAUKEE, WIS. REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
MADISON	DETACHED	---	---	412	477	507
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	357	414	446
	WALKUP	240	262	319	370	---
	ELEVATOR	277	305	378	---	---
REEDSVILLE	DETACHED	---	---	375	427	456
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	285	327	388	417
	WALKUP	221	244	299	350	---
	ELEVATOR	249	278	352	---	---
SUPERIOR	DETACHED	---	---	395	466	492
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	283	338	399	431
	WALKUP	226	256	308	364	---
	ELEVATOR	265	290	366	---	---
MILWAUKEE	DETACHED	---	---	419	486	518
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	320	368	432	464
	WALKUP	266	291	322	364	---
	ELEVATOR	310	335	395	---	---
EAU CLAIRE	DETACHED	---	---	374	437	464
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	267	320	377	440
	WALKUP	232	249	295	344	---
	ELEVATOR	255	279	342	---	---
GREENBAY	DETACHED	---	---	353	410	434
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	270	313	371	399
	WALKUP	214	233	286	333	---
	ELEVATOR	239	267	336	---	---

AREA OFFICE MILWAUKEE, WIS REGION V CHICAGO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
WAUSAU	DETACHED	---	---	373	441	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	277	325	382	409
	WALKUP	215	238	292	342	---
	ELEVATOR	250	291	349	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Little Rock, Arkansas REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
FAYETTSVILLE	DETACHED	---	---	255	303	366
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	166	202	248	296	356
	WALKUP	154	182	226	270	310
	ELEVATOR	239	277	349	---	---
LITTLE ROCK	DETACHED	---	---	281	319	383
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	178	217	267	303	364
	WALKUP	171	204	237	293	327
	ELEVATOR	243	295	366	--	---
TEXARKANA	DETACHED	--	--	275	319	394
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	168	205	252	300	362
	WALKUP	164	187	237	292	327
	ELEVATOR	237	275	347	--	--
TEXARKANA	MOBILE HOME	---	147	214	274	305
FORT SMITH	DETACHED	---	---	246	292	352
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	160	193	239	283	342
	WALKUP	150	173	223	263	306
	ELEVATOR	229	266	337	--	--
JONESBORO	DETACHED	--	--	266	315	380
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	170	207	257	304	366
	WALKUP	152	186	239	289	327
	ELEVATOR	243	282	356	---	---

AREA OFFICE New Orleans, La. REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
NEW ORLEANS	DETACHED	---	---	286	338	410
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	164	217	272	324	387
	WALKUP	156	196	247	291	336
	ELEVATOR	277	346	439	--	--
LAKE CHARLES	DETACHED	--	--	304	362	438
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	183	233	291	345	413
	WALKUP	165	209	263	309	359
	ELEVATOR	318	370	469	--	--
LAFAYETTE	DETACHED	--	--	293	342	422
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	170	226	279	332	401
	WALKUP	160	200	254	299	347
	ELEVATOR	307	356	452	--	--
BATON ROUGE	DETACHED	--	--	258	306	370
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	152	198	248	294	352
	WALKUP	141	184	224	265	305
	ELEVATOR	266	311	392	--	--
BURAS	DETACHED	--	--	289	346	422
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	170	225	279	330	398
	WALKUP	159	200	249	297	349
	ELEVATOR	276	349	447	--	--
HOUMA	DETACHED	--	--	278	330	400
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	163	215	268	316	379
	WALKUP	152	193	243	285	333
	ELEVATOR	275	341	432	---	--

INSURING OFFICE Shreveport, La. REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SHREVEPORT	DETACHED	---	---	300	353	426
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	197	225	281	332	401
	WALKUP	167	196	251	296	341
	ELEVATOR	279	303	390	--	--
ALEXANDRIA	DETACHED	--	--	254	312	374
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	175	201	240	295	353
	WALKUP	150	186	228	278	322
	ELEVATOR	248	289	352	--	--
MONROE	DETACHED	--	--	257	330	395
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	163	198	243	312	373
	WALKUP	152	188	239	294	340
	ELEVATOR	251	290	370	--	--
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Albuquerque REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
ALBUQUERQUE	DETACHED	---	---	289	354	399
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	210	240	267	319	378
	WALKUP	172	213	241	285	331
	ELEVATOR	216	253	320	--	--
ALAMOGORDO	DETACHED	--	--	291	345	416
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	218	248	276	331	396
	WALKUP	178	222	251	297	343
	ELEVATOR	203	237	299	--	--
ARTESIA	DETACHED	--	--	291	345	418
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	218	248	279	334	397
	WALKUP	183	223	251	301	345
	ELEVATOR	205	241	304	--	--
CARLSBAD	DETACHED	--	--	297	353	426
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	224	254	283	338	403
	WALKUP	181	228	258	307	352
	ELEVATOR	205	241	305	--	--
CLOVIS	DETACHED	--	--	291	345	418
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	218	248	279	334	397
	WALKUP	183	223	251	301	345
	ELEVATOR	205	238	299	--	--
PORT SUMNER	DETACHED	--	--	304	363	435
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	231	261	290	345	414
	WALKUP	187	232	262	308	359
	ELEVATOR	213	247	313	--	--

INSURING OFFICE Albuquerque, New Mexico REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
GALLUP	DETACHED	---	---	313	372	448
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	238	268	299	356	426
	WALKUP	192	239	269	320	348
	ELEVATOR	220	254	321	--	--
HOBBS	DETACHED	--	--	291	345	418
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	218	248	279	334	397
	WALKUP	183	223	251	301	345
	ELEVATOR	205	238	299	--	--
LA CRUCES	DETACHED	--	--	291	345	418
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	218	248	279	334	397
	WALKUP	183	223	251	301	345
	ELEVATOR	205	238	299	--	--
LAS VEGAS	DETACHED	--	--	305	361	436
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	232	262	291	348	416
	WALKUP	187	232	263	310	359
	ELEVATOR	213	247	313	--	--
LOS ALAMOS	DETACHED	--	--	312	369	447
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	238	268	299	356	427
	WALKUP	192	239	269	319	369
	ELEVATOR	218	253	321	--	--
RATON	DETACHED	--	--	305	361	436
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	232	262	291	348	416
	WALKUP	187	232	263	310	359
	ELEVATOR	213	247	313	---	---

INSURING OFFICE Albuquerque, New Mexico REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SOCORRO	DETACHED	---	---	291	345	416
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	218	248	276	331	396
	WALKUP	178	222	251	297	343
	ELEVATOR	203	237	297	--	--
RUIDOSO	DETACHED	--	--	313	372	448
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	238	268	299	356	426
	WALKUP	191	239	269	320	371
	ELEVATOR	218	253	321	--	--
DULCE	DETACHED	--	--	318	378	456
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	242	272	305	364	434
	WALKUP	195	243	274	325	376
	ELEVATOR	247	288	363	--	--
ISLETA	DETACHED	--	--	283	337	406
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	214	244	272	323	386
	WALKUP	173	216	245	290	336
	ELEVATOR	222	258	325	--	--
JEMEZ	DETACHED	--	--	291	346	417
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	220	250	279	334	398
	WALKUP	178	223	251	298	345
	ELEVATOR	226	263	334	--	--
LAGUNA	DETACHED	--	--	297	353	426
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	224	254	275	339	404
	WALKUP	183	226	256	304	352
	ELEVATOR	231	269	341	---	---

INSURING OFFICE Albuquerque, New Mexico REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SANTA FE	DETACHED	---	---	305	361	436
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	232	262	291	348	416
	WALKUP	177	232	263	310	359
	ELEVATOR	202	247	313	--	--
SLIVER CITY	DETACHED	--	--	313	372	448
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	238	268	299	356	426
	WALKUP	191	239	269	320	371
	ELEVATOR	220	254	321	--	--
TRUTH OR CONSEQUENCES	DETACHED	--	--	291	345	416
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	218	248	276	331	396
	WALKUP	178	222	251	297	343
	ELEVATOR	203	237	299	--	--
FARMINGTON	DETACHED	--	--	313	372	448
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	238	268	299	356	426
	WALKUP	191	237	269	320	371
	ELEVATOR	220	254	321	--	--
TERRA AMARILLO	DETACHED	--	--	313	372	448
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	238	268	299	356	426
	WALKUP	191	239	269	320	371
	ELEVATOR	218	253	321	--	--
TAOS	DETACHED	--	--	315	373	451
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	240	270	303	359	431
	WALKUP	194	241	272	322	373
	ELEVATOR	221	256	323	--	---

INSURING OFFICE Albuquerque, New Mexico REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
MESCALERO	DETACHED	---	---	305	363	436
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	232	262	291	348	416
	WALKUP	187	233	263	312	360
	ELEVATOR	238	276	350	--	--
PENASCO	DETACHED	--	--	310	369	444
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	236	266	297	354	423
	WALKUP	190	237	267	316	367
	ELEVATOR	241	322	356	--	--
POJOAQUE	DETACHED	--	--	304	363	436
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	232	262	291	348	416
	WALKUP	187	233	263	312	360
	ELEVATOR	238	276	350	--	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Oklahoma City, Oklahoma REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
OKLAHOMA CITY	DETACHED	---	---	234	259	312
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	166	190	223	254	297
	WALKUP	158	168	201	248	290
	ELEVATOR	271	290	317	--	--
ADA	DETACHED	--	--	239	260	325
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	151	201	230	255	309
	WALKUP	151	176	207	250	303
	ELEVATOR	249	270	311	--	--
ARDMORE	DETACHED	--	--	249	286	329
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	168	213	236	273	313
	WALKUP	165	187	235	265	301
	ELEVATOR	272	292	369	--	--
ENID	DETACHED	--	--	256	321	354
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	174	193	243	305	336
	WALKUP	165	181	234	292	313
	ELEVATOR	284	305	402	--	--
GUYMON	DETACHED	--	--	253	321	373
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	167	191	242	305	356
	WALKUP	150	181	234	292	313
	ELEVATOR	253	287	370	--	--
LAWTON	DETACHED	--	--	253	311	372
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	180	199	241	298	354
	WALKUP	153	187	231	288	323
	ELEVATOR	253	284	351	--	--

AREA OFFICE Oklahoma City REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SHAWNEE	DETACHED	---	---	233	288	325
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	151	196	221	274	309
	WALKUP	143	173	220	256	292
	ELEVATOR	240	264	337	--	--
STILLWATER	DETACHED	--	--	234	266	304
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	153	175	213	260	288
	WALKUP	146	167	197	255	283
	ELEVATOR	243	257	305	--	--
WOODWARD	DETACHED	--	--	238	288	326
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	145	173	234	260	319
	WALKUP	132	159	228	276	311
	ELEVATOR	222	250	363	--	--
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Tulsa, Oklahoma REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
TULSA	DETACHED	---	---	346	399	450
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	242	268	322	371	415
	WALKUP	204	226	277	320	346
	ELEVATOR	326	340	422	--	--
BARTLESVILLE	DETACHED	--	--	294	351	399
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	199	228	271	330	369
	WALKUP	163	185	224	268	295
	ELEVATOR	257	274	339	--	--
MC ALESTER	DETACHED	--	--	258	313	360
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	194	217	238	291	333
	WALKUP	150	171	192	233	259
	ELEVATOR	253	268	303	--	--
MUSKOGEE	DETACHED	--	--	288	345	393
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	206	232	276	329	373
	WALKUP	158	179	217	259	285
	ELEVATOR	268	285	341	---	--
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Dallas, Texas REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
DALLAS	DETACHED	---	---	313	373	449
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	181	215	268	319	384
	WALKUP	175	206	260	308	357
	ELEVATOR	284	330	419	--	--
SHERMAN	DETACHED	--	--	284	339	409
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	160	192	239	285	342
	WALKUP	147	177	232	275	320
	ELEVATOR	259	302	381	--	--
TYLER	DETACHED	--	--	286	342	411
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	166	199	248	293	354
	WALKUP	147	185	231	272	316
	ELEVATOR	277	324	410	--	--
WACO	DETACHED	--	--	286	340	411
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	165	199	246	294	354
	WALKUP	147	157	232	275	319
	ELEVATOR	280	317	400	--	--
MARSHALL	DETACHED	--	--	255	314	376
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	167	204	243	300	360
	WALKUP	149	185	226	276	321
	ELEVATOR	238	275	338	--	--
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Fort Worth, Texas REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
FORT WORTH	DETACHED	---	---	280	347	449
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	169	199	265	329	424
	WALKUP	147	173	235	290	361
	ELEVATOR	275	303	413	--	--
ABILENE	DETACHED	--	--	314	394	510
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	173	216	294	370	480
	WALKUP	139	173	239	299	372
	ELEVATOR	--	330	457	--	--
SAN ANGELO	DETACHED	--	--	290	345	436
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	168	208	276	328	417
	WALKUP	141	174	237	279	336
	ELEVATOR	276	339	432	--	--
WICHITA FALLS	DETACHED	--	--	262	323	388
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	163	205	247	305	367
	WALKUP	151	190	232	286	331
	ELEVATOR	271	315	386	--	--
JUNCTION	DETACHED	--	--	271	356	402
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	173	208	257	339	382
	WALKUP	163	197	247	323	355
	ELEVATOR	280	321	410	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Houston, Texas REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
HOUSTON	DETACHED	---	---	380	461	545
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	220	267	334	402	477
	WALKUP	207	239	325	393	445
	ELEVATOR	370	390	479	--	--
BEAUMONT	DETACHED	--	--	354	458	507
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	202	250	312	406	447
	WALKUP	183	236	299	387	410
	ELEVATOR	344	413	520	--	--
BRYAN	DETACHED	--	--	395	462	542
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	251	277	379	442	540
	WALKUP	196	223	311	364	427
	ELEVATOR	372	390	507	--	--
EL CAMPO	DETACHED	--	--	354	461	508
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	181	235	306	396	408
	WALKUP	172	208	286	372	392
	ELEVATOR	317	356	466	--	--
LUFKIN	DETACHED	--	--	328	410	470
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	184	223	292	364	416
	WALKUP	157	196	261	325	358
	ELEVATOR	300	348	449	--	--
TEXAS CITY	DETACHED	--	--	335	400	480
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	178	225	310	370	425
	WALKUP	170	212	299	355	410
	ELEVATOR	312	362	510	--	--

INSURING OFFICE Lubbock, Texas REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
LUBBOCK	DETACHED	---	---	291	385	458
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	183	224	274	363	431
	WALKUP	171	215	264	352	413
	ELEVATOR	225	269	318	--	--
AMARILLO	DETACHED	--	--	300	395	451
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	189	228	281	370	421
	WALKUP	176	217	270	358	402
	ELEVATOR	230	274	323	--	--
EL PASO	DETACHED	--	--	274	366	419
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	189	209	264	354	404
	WALKUP	176	198	253	341	385
	ELEVATOR	215	259	308	--	--
MIDLAND	DETACHED	--	--	304	390	440
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	199	234	289	381	417
	WALKUP	189	226	281	362	403
	ELEVATOR	235	279	328	--	--
ODESSA	DETACHED	--	--	309	396	442
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	199	237	292	375	415
	WALKUP	187	226	281	363	398
	ELEVATOR	235	279	328	--	--
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE San Antonio, Texas REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SAN ANTONIO	DETACHED	---	---	271	356	402
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	173	208	257	339	382
	WALKUP	163	197	247	323	355
	ELEVATOR	280	321	410	--	--
AUSTIN	DETACHED	--	--	281	346	388
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	183	214	267	328	371
	WALKUP	173	200	253	311	339
	ELEVATOR	293	313	403	--	--
CORPUS CHRISTI	DETACHED	--	--	281	346	388
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	183	214	267	328	371
	WALKUP	171	200	253	311	339
	ELEVATOR	294	313	404	--	--
EAGLE PASS	DETACHED	--	--	284	377	423
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	194	220	270	358	365
	WALKUP	176	195	245	322	350
	ELEVATOR	280	321	410	--	--
HARLINGEN	DETACHED	--	--	305	408	461
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	162	212	278	371	420
	WALKUP	140	192	239	318	349
	ELEVATOR	253	296	401	--	--
LAREDO	DETACHED	--	--	284	378	422
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	189	220	270	360	400
	WALKUP	176	195	245	322	350
	ELEVATOR	280	323	410	--	--

AREA OFFICE San Antonio, Texas REGION VI - Dallas

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
VICTORIA	DETACHED	---	---	278	374	422
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	180	210	264	355	402
	WALKUP	161	186	239	321	351
	ELEVATOR	288	310	398	--	--
DEL RIO	DETACHED	--	--	284	378	422
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	198	220	270	360	400
	WALKUP	176	195	245	322	350
	ELEVATOR	280	323	410	--	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Des Moines, Iowa REGION VII - Kansas City

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
DES MOINES	DETACHED	-	-	409	455	520
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	282	366	422	478
	WALKUP	217	243	311	360	394
	ELEVATOR	291	335	398	-	-
BETTENDORF	DETACHED	-	-	398	446	513
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	286	378	417	474
	WALKUP	234	250	324	368	397
	ELEVATOR	293	336	410	-	-
DAVENPORT	DETACHED	-	-	398	446	513
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	286	378	417	474
	WALKUP	234	250	324	368	397
	ELEVATOR	293	336	410	-	-
CEDAR RAPIDS	DETACHED	-	-	399	446	513
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	273	367	404	474
	WALKUP	222	238	314	356	398
	ELEVATOR	288	332	403	-	-
COUNCIL BLUFFS	DETACHED	-	-	362	419	489
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	267	339	383	442
	WALKUP	207	225	287	336	370
	ELEVATOR	270	311	368	-	-
DUBUQUE	DETACHED	-	-	409	456	522
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	293	352	396	461
	WALKUP	225	243	288	336	399
	ELEVATOR	294	331	403	-	-

INSURING OFFICE Des Moines, Iowa REGION VII - Kansas City

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
MASON CITY	DETACHED	-	-	381	423	487
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	275	350	376	437
	WALKUP	223	241	294	343	377
	ELEVATOR	287	331	397	-	-
SIOUX CITY	DETACHED	-	-	412	479	550
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	308	371	416	483
	WALKUP	234	287	341	380	463
	ELEVATOR	290	333	406	-	-
WATERLOO	DETACHED	-	-	382	423	463
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	275	329	371	430
	WALKUP	239	257	297	346	380
	ELEVATOR	291	334	407	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Kansas City, Kansas REGION VII - Kansas City

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
KANSAS CITY (Mo. & Kans.)	DETACHED	-	-	339	387	414
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	246	304	387	414
	WALKUP	197	238	266	347	389
	ELEVATOR	215	298	397	-	-
JOPLIN	DETACHED	-	-	281	325	351
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	232	275	321	343
	WALKUP	162	186	229	270	292
	ELEVATOR	205	283	377	-	-
ST. JOSEPH	DETACHED	-	-	276	357	391
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	230	270	357	391
	WALKUP	191	224	267	305	335
	ELEVATOR	215	298	397		
SEDALIA	DETACHED	-	-	262*	298	324
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	209	251	298	324
	WALKUP	173	196	241	284	309
	ELEVATOR	204	282	375	-	-
SPRINGFIELD	DETACHED	-	-	273	324	345
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	238	278	324	345
	WALKUP	160	187	218	260	287
	ELEVATOR	205	283	377	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Topeka, Kansas REGION VII - Kansas City

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
TOPEKA	DETACHED	-	-	348	403	437
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	288	340	394	429
	WALKUP	190	242	296	354	406
	ELEVATOR	213	291	368	-	-
GARDEN CITY	DETACHED	-	-	336	388	413
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	266	327	380	404
	WALKUP	204	236	288	346	388
	ELEVATOR	213	291	368	-	-
PITTSBURG	DETACHED	-	-	328	383	416
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	268	311	369	393
	WALKUP	199	233	281	346	388
	ELEVATOR	206	286	362	-	-
SALINA	DETACHED	-	-	329	382	423
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	269	323	371	412
	WALKUP	201	233	283	344	380
	ELEVATOR	213	291	368	-	-
WICHITA	DETACHED	-	-	340	398	427
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	274	331	388	422
	WALKUP	187	238	290	355	403
	ELEVATOR	213	291	368	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE St. Louis, Mo. REGION VII - Kansas City

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
ST. LOUIS	DETACHED	-	-	359	433	481
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	268	332	408	475
	WALKUP	193	244	307	380	422
	ELEVATOR	240	289	407	-	-
CAPE GIRARDEAU	DETACHED	-	-	330	397	443
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	208	278	361	402
	WALKUP	157	196	259	331	367
	ELEVATOR	225	274	375	-	-
COLUMBIA	DETACHED	-	-	355	425	468
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	229	301	376	419
	WALKUP	185	219	290	364	407
	ELEVATOR	236	288	405	-	-
KIRKSVILLE	DETACHED	-	-	352	422	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	218	282	367	407
	WALKUP	167	205	265	345	381
	ELEVATOR	230	284	402	-	-
ROLLA	DETACHED	-	-	328	394	440
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	203	263	345	396
	WALKUP	151	188	251	322	355
	ELEVATOR	215	257	370	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Omaha, Nebraska REGION VII- Kansas City

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
OMAHA	DETACHED	-	-	381	444	481
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	274	334	390	450
	WALKUP	184	217	278	336	367
	ELEVATOR	278	320	381	-	-
GRAND ISLAND	DETACHED	-	-	380	431	465
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	289	354	414	479
	WALKUP	177	197	238	283	307
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-
LINCOLN	DETACHED	-	-	405	457	489
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	278	337	395	458
	WALKUP	203	233	276	334	364
	ELEVATOR	285	327	389	-	-
NORFOLK	DETACHED	-	-	389	443	475
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	266	326	380	440
	WALKUP	205	218	271	334	356
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-
NORTH PLATTE	DETACHED	-	-	377	428	466
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	283	346	383	466
	WALKUP	164	236	271	325	351
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-
SCOTTS BLUFF	DETACHED	-	-	378	430	461
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	283	337	397	457
	WALKUP	202	222	253	307	337
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-

INSURING OFFICE DENVER, COLO. REGION VIII-DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
DENVER	DETACHED	---	---	344	402	445
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	331	373	402
	WALKUP	---	251	294	361	395
	ELEVATOR	202	251	300	---	---
COLORADO SPRINGS	DETACHED	---	---	---	---	---
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	249	283	334
	WALKUP	---	204	235	266	300
	ELEVATOR	176	204	242	--	---
DURANGO	DETACHED	---	---	---	---	---
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	229	273	340	393
	WALKUP	193	210	258	318	354
	ELEVATOR	203	222	273	---	---
GRAND JUNCTION	DETACHED	---	---	---	---	---
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	325	366	421
	WALKUP	---	254	308	343	378
	ELEVATOR	227	265	327	---	---
GREELEY	DETACHED	---	---	---	---	---
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	231	269	331	383
	WALKUP	195	212	254	309	345
	ELEVATOR	214	220	261	---	---
PUEBLO	DETACHED	---	---	---	---	---
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	260	293	344
	WALKUP	---	211	248	274	307
	ELEVATOR	191	223	266	---	---

INSURING OFFICE HELENA, MONT. REGION VIII-DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
HELENA	DETACHED	---	---	328	377	413
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	251	319	370	405
	WALKUP	194	243	311	363	398
	ELEVATOR	208	257	328	---	---
BILLINGS	DETACHED	---	---	347	380	414
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	250	339	374	407
	WALKUP	202	243	330	367	400
	ELEVATOR	216	256	343	---	---
BOZEMAN	DETACHED	---	---	321	380	413
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	249	315	372	405
	WALKUP	204	242	308	365	398
	ELEVATOR	217	249	315	---	---
BUTTE	DETACHED	---	---	319	385	418
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	257	312	378	410
	WALKUP	218	251	305	371	402
	ELEVATOR	231	271	327	---	---
GREAT FALLS	DETACHED	---	---	310	392	425
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	227	304	385	418
	WALKUP	182	220	286	378	411
	ELEVATOR	213	233	299	---	---
HAVRE	DETACHED	---	---	288	321	353
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	210	282	314	347
	WALKUP	165	204	275	307	340
	ELEVATOR	190	242	294	---	---

INSURING OFFICE HELENA, MONT. REGION VIII DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
KALISPELL	DETACHED	---	---	326	392	424
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	242	319	384	417
	WALKUP	200	235	311	375	409
	ELEVATOR	221	249	326	---	---
MILES CITY	DETACHED	---	---	288	321	353
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	210	282	314	347
	WALKUP	165	204	275	307	340
	ELEVATOR	190	242	294	---	---
MISSOULA	DETACHED	---	---	341	407	438
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	255	333	396	429
	WALKUP	187	242	327	385	418
	ELEVATOR	201	262	340	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE FARGO, N.D. REGION VIII DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
FARGO	DETACHED	---	---	340	376	420
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	242	275	326	368
	WALKUP	189	226	261	317	357
	ELEVATOR	226	268	315	---	---
BISMARK	DETACHED	---	---	329	378	405
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	250	293	333	378
	WALKUP	207	242	284	320	358
	ELEVATOR	214	264	314	---	---
DICKINSON	DETACHED	---	---	296	356	387
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	236	273	334	352
	WALKUP	179	215	254	284	338
	ELEVATOR	212	246	285	---	---
GRAND FORKS	DETACHED	---	---	347	396	420
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	238	291	338	394
	WALKUP	183	227	275	322	370
	ELEVATOR	226	268	315	---	---
MINOT	DETACHED	---	---	308	369	398
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	218	280	332	373
	WALKUP	169	207	267	312	353
	ELEVATOR	201	242	286	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE SIOUX FALLS, S.D. REGION VIII DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SIOUX FALLS	DETACHED	---	---	333	354	384
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	280	310	339	369
	WALKUP	236	266	295	325	354
	ELEVATOR	244	274	303	333	---
ABERDEEN	DETACHED	---	---	325	354	384
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	280	310	339	369
	WALKUP	---	266	295	325	354
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
PIERRE	DETACHED	---	---	333	362	398
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	284	318	347	384
	WALKUP	236	269	301	334	365
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
RAPID CITY	DETACHED	---	---	333	362	398
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	288	318	347	384
	WALKUP	239	271	304	337	369
	ELEVATOR	246	279	311	344	---
MISSION	DETACHED	---	---	333	362	398
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	284	318	347	384
	WALKUP	236	269	301	334	365
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
FORT THOMPSON	DETACHED	---	---	333	362	398
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	284	318	347	384
	WALKUP	236	269	301	334	365
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---

INSURING OFFICE SIOUX FALLS, S.D. REGION VIII DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
MCLAUGHLIN	DETACHED	---	---	333	362	398
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	284	318	347	384
	WALKUP	236	269	301	334	365
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH REGION VIII DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SALT LAKE CITY	DETACHED	---	---	338	373	424
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	269	286	295	330
	WALKUP	169	236	253	262	293
	ELEVATOR	229	296	338	---	---
PROVO	DETACHED	---	---	296	338	390
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	236	262	296	338
	WALKUP	187	229	253	288	331
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
VERNAL	DETACHED	---	---	353	415	466
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	288	344	407	458
	WALKUP	178	233	280	332	374
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
CEDAR CITY	DETACHED	---	---	282	312	336
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	217	253	283	300
	WALKUP	162	211	247	277	294
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
LOGAN	DETACHED	---	---	276	306	324
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	192	216	246	282
	WALKUP	150	186	210	240	276
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
MOAB	DETACHED	---	---	282	312	336
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	217	253	283	300
	WALKUP	162	211	247	277	294
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---

INSURING OFFICE CASPER, WYO. REGION VIII DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
CASPER	DETACHED	---	---	412	470	527
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	283	373	470	514
	WALKUP	244	283	373	470	514
	ELEVATOR	320	373	438	---	---
CHEYENNE	DETACHED	---	---	399	470	514
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	283	347	457	502
	WALKUP	238	283	347	457	502
	ELEVATOR	296	360	412	---	---
CODY	DETACHED	---	---	373	437	489
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	296	354	429	470
	WALKUP	238	289	354	418	470
	ELEVATOR	302	354	412	---	---
GILLETE	DETACHED	---	---	399	483	540
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	283	373	476	528
	WALKUP	244	283	373	470	509
	ELEVATOR	309	367	429	---	---
JACKSON	DETACHED	---	---	431	514	566
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	322	399	483	533
	WALKUP	270	309	386	476	502
	ELEVATOR	334	380	444	---	---
LARAMIE	DETACHED	---	---	373	444	508
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	296	360	444	489
	WALKUP	238	289	354	444	481
	ELEVATOR	289	354	405	---	---

INSURING OFFICE CASPER, WYO. REGION VIII DENVER

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
POWELL	DETACHED	---	---	373	437	489
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	296	354	429	470
	WALKUP	238	289	354	418	470
	ELEVATOR	302	354	412	---	---
RIVERTON	DETACHED	---	---	373	438	489
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	296	354	425	470
	WALKUP	238	289	354	418	470
	ELEVATOR	296	354	412	---	---
ROCK SPRINGS	DETACHED	---	---	418	476	540
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	289	386	476	527
	WALKUP	251	289	373	476	514
	ELEVATOR	328	380	431	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE PHOENIX, ARIZ. REGION IX SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
PHOENIX	DETACHED	---	---	320	375	412
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	214	261	309	347
	WALKUP	181	198	241	306	341
	ELEVATOR	295	356	407	---	---
CASA GRANDE	DETACHED	---	---	289	304	341
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	188	238	280	318
	WALKUP	137	170	213	251	287
	ELEVATOR	291	352	400	---	---
FLAGSTAFF	DETACHED	---	---	337	404	441
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	219	266	314	352
	WALKUP	167	201	258	307	345
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
TUCSON	DETACHED	---	---	296	353	390
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	202	254	298	336
	WALKUP	170	199	249	281	322
	ELEVATOR	301	364	414	---	---
YUMA	DETACHED	---	---	303	352	390
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	219	269	312	350
	WALKUP	163	195	244	288	325
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
KEAMS CANYON	DETACHED	---	---	284	311	349
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	182	235	272	305
	WALKUP	149	182	211	243	303
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---

AREA OFFICE LOS ANGELES, CALIF. REGION IX SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
LOS ANGELES	DETACHED	---	---	451	542	586
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	409	435	523	565
	WALKUP	261	285	378	429	467
	ELEVATOR	356	444	544	---	---
BAKERSFIELD	DETACHED	---	---	353	442	486
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	331	414	454
	WALKUP	201	226	300	376	414
	ELEVATOR	286	317	416	---	---
LANCASTER	DETACHED	---	---	387	474	518
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	282	362	443	483
	WALKUP	232	256	330	403	440
	ELEVATOR	333	363	463	---	---
OXNARD	DETACHED	---	---	409	515	553
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	359	392	497	533
	WALKUP	245	271	331	418	446
	ELEVATOR	351	384	469	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	DETACHED	---	---	486	523	554
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	437	469	506	535
	WALKUP	242	268	343	456	487
	ELEVATOR	345	379	478	---	---
SANTA MARIA	DETACHED	---	---	314	347	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	250	298	325	362
	WALKUP	222	244	297	322	350
	ELEVATOR	323	353	430	---	---

AREA OFFICE LOS ANGELES, CALIF. REGION IX SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
VENTURA	DETACHED	---	---	409	515	553
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	359	392	497	533
	WALKUP	245	271	331	418	446
	ELEVATOR	351	384	469	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE SACRAMENTO, CALIF. REGION IX SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SACRAMENTO	DETACHED	---	---	330	391	427
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	277	316	376	413
	WALKUP	219	255	281	361	398
	ELEVATOR	287	332	374	---	---
CHICO	DETACHED	---	---	316	376	413
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	265	301	361	398
	WALKUP	205	244	286	347	383
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
DAVIS	DETACHED	---	---	330	391	427
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	280	316	376	413
	WALKUP	210	265	297	361	398
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
PLACERVILLE	DETACHED	---	---	316	376	413
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	265	301	361	398
	WALKUP	205	251	286	347	383
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
REDDING	DETACHED	---	---	316	376	413
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	265	301	361	398
	WALKUP	205	251	286	347	383
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
STOCKTON	DETACHED	---	---	330	391	427
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	271	316	376	413
	WALKUP	205	255	286	352	398
	ELEVATOR	282	326	369	---	---

INSURING OFFICE SACRAMENTO, CALIF. REGION IX SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
YREKA	DETACHED	---	---	316	376	413
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	265	301	361	398
	WALKUP	205	251	286	347	383
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR-					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE SAN DIEGO, CALIF. REGION IX SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SAN DIEGO	DETACHED	---	---	355	396	474
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	261	312	382	423
	WALKUP	232	261	293	363	392
	ELEVATOR	267	329	379	---	---
EL CAJON	DETACHED	---	---	355	396	474
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	261	312	382	423
	WALKUP	232	261	293	363	392
	ELEVATOR	267	329	379	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE San Francisco, California REGION IX - San Francisco

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SAN FRANCISCO	DETACHED	--	---	548	630	-700
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	366	429	532	617
	WALKUP	297	310	394	473	530
	ELEVATOR	332	437	527	--	--
FRESNO	DETACHED	--	--	329	351	388
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	261	309	340	375
	WALKUP	215	250	279	338	367
	ELEVATOR	277	311	391	--	--
MODESTO	DETACHED	--	--	331	361	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	249	311	324	356
	WALKUP	228	240	287	309	349
	ELEVATOR	263	304	391	--	--
SAN JOSE	DETACHED	--	--	449	471	494
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	327	375	427	460
	WALKUP	255	275	355	392	422
	ELEVATOR	292	315	396	--	--
OAKLAND - MARIN	DETACHED	--	--	482	521	563
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	--	377	437	480	508
	WALKUP	245	263	340	408	427
	ELEVATOR	300	335	411	--	--
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE SANTA ANA, CALIF. REGION IX SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SANTA ANA	DETACHED	---	---	367	442	525
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	290	346	410	483
	WALKUP	220	269	326	385	435
	ELEVATOR	328	385	465	---	---
SAN BERNARDINO	DETACHED	---	---	305	368	433
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	230	290	350	408
	WALKUP	190	228	286	341	384
	ELEVATOR	340	375	471	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Honolulu, Hawaii REGION IX - San Francisco

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
HONOLULU	DETACHED	---	---	458	527	555
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	409	498	531
	WALKUP	279	319	391	464	499
	ELEVATOR	302	344	408	--	--
GUAM	DETACHED	---	---	372	487	530
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	--	--	--
	WALKUP	228	291	359	433	--
	ELEVATOR	---	---	--	--	--
HILO	DETACHED	---	---	350	423	466
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	290	333	406	449
	WALKUP	238	255	300	377	413
	ELEVATOR	---	---	--	--	--
KAUAI	DETACHED	---	---	405	474	515
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	363	388	457	498
	WALKUP	237	270	296	369	403
	ELEVATOR	---	---	--	--	--
KONA	DETACHED	---	---	384	457	500
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	324	367	440	483
	WALKUP	257	292	345	422	458
	ELEVATOR	---	---	--	--	--
MAUI	DETACHED	---	---	410	482	524
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	350	393	465	507
	WALKUP	254	291	357	433	468
	ELEVATOR	---	---	--	--	--

AREA OFFICE Honolulu, Hawaii REGION IX - San Francisco

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
TRUST TERRITORY	DETACHED	---	---	490	517	583
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	---	---	---	---
	WALKUP	---	---	---	---	---
	ELEVATOR	---	---	---	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE RENO, NEVADA REGION IX SAN FRANCISCO

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
RENO	DETACHED	---	---	362	438	510
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	261	342	431	---
	WALKUP	214	255	318	394	---
	ELEVATOR	292	338	420	---	---
LAS VEGAS	DETACHED	---	---	378	447	520
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	---	237	368	415	---
	WALKUP	193	224	268	348	---
	ELEVATOR	273	307	357	---	---
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Anchorage, Alaska REGION X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
ANCHORAGE	DETACHED	-	-	567	615	670
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	487	542	590	647
	WALKUP	352	399	455	519	575
	ELEVATOR	447	526	590	-	-
FAIRBANKS	DETACHED	-	-	599	654	718
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	512	575	647	702
	WALKUP	447	487	551	623	687
	ELEVATOR	553	632	706	-	-
JUNEAU	DETACHED	-	-	574	629	683
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	490	543	598	652
	WALKUP	396	443	497	559	614
	ELEVATOR	474	568	629	-	-
KETCHIKAN	DETACHED	-	-	443	534	552
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	366	420	474	528
	WALKUP	295	342	396	451	505
	ELEVATOR	364	444	507	-	-
BARTER ISLAND, NORTH COASTAL AREA	DETACHED	-	640	703	773	851
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	621	683	751	826
	WALKUP	548	603	663	729	802
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-
COASTAL AREA, NORTH OF ALEUTIANS	DETACHED	-	640	703	773	851
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	621	683	751	826
	WALKUP	548	603	663	729	802
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-

AREA OFFICE Anchorage, Alaska REGION X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
INLAND AREA, NORTH OF ALEUTIANS	DETACHED	-	640	703	773	851
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	621	683	751	826
	WALKUP	548	603	663	729	802
	ELEVATOR	-	-	-	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Boise, Idaho REGION X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
BOISE	DETACHED	-	-	383	429	480
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	282	338	384	440
	WALKUP	215	263	314	380	428
	ELEVATOR	282	347	403	-	-
IDAHO FALLS	DETACHED	-	-	322	368	415
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	249	294	364	411
	WALKUP	192	240	271	326	370
	ELEVATOR	255	295	357	-	-
McCALL	DETACHED	-	-	306	345	384
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	234	273	319	358
	WALKUP	176	215	254	306	345
	ELEVATOR	222	273	326	-	-
POCATELLO	DETACHED	-	-	396	448	497
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	309	358	400	447
	WALKUP	233	283	332	397	448
	ELEVATOR	290	355	421	-	-
TWIN FALLS	DETACHED	-	-	325	368	411
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	255	297	347	389
	WALKUP	193	231	279	326	378
	ELEVATOR	240	295	352	-	-
LEWISTON	DETACHED	-	-	327	370	407
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	257	300	331	379
	WALKUP	191	227	265	324	360
	ELEVATOR	251	300	340	-	-

AREA OFFICE Boise, Idaho REGION X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
COEUR D'ALENE	DETACHED	-	-	304	355	390
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	230	271	338	374
	WALKUP	175	210	254	304	340
	ELEVATOR	222	273	332	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Portland, Oregon REGION X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
ONTARIO	DETACHED	-	-	290	329	368
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	231	270	316	357
	WALKUP	178	217	257	309	349
	ELEVATOR	226	277	331	-	-
PORTLAND	DETACHED	-	-	267	338	373
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	196	227	260	323	359
	WALKUP	181	214	247	309	346
	ELEVATOR	247	300	354	-	-
BEND	DETACHED	-	-	260	300	340
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	221	254	288	320
	WALKUP	174	207	241	275	308
	ELEVATOR	242	294	340	-	-
COOS BAY	DETACHED	-	-	267	300	334
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	221	254	288	320
	WALKUP	174	207	241	274	308
	ELEVATOR	242	294	340	-	-
EUGENE	DETACHED	-	-	279	322	358
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	220	255	286	319
	WALKUP	165	212	243	277	309
	ELEVATOR	229	295	338		
MEDFORD	DETACHED	-	-	274	331	364
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	227	260	317	350
	WALKUP	181	214	247	292	325
	ELEVATOR	248	301	347	-	-

AREA OFFICE Portland, Oregon REGION Region X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
WEST SALEM	DETACHED	-	-	262	314	349
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	211	247	299	334
	WALKUP	168	202	237	283	321
	ELEVATOR	239	293	339	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

AREA OFFICE Seattle, Wash. REGION X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SEATTLE	DETACHED	-	-	302	371	405
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	247	299	340	382
	WALKUP	198	235	285	327	370
	ELEVATOR	254	323	356	-	-
PORT ANGELES	DETACHED	-	-	291	344	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	221	267	323	362
	WALKUP	180	216	266	315	346
	ELEVATOR	213	253	303	-	-
LONGVIEW	DETACHED	-	-	265	316	358
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	216	245	295	348
	WALKUP	175	203	231	281	323
	ELEVATOR	216	253	281	-	-
ABERDEEN	DETACHED	-	-	272	320	362
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	216	258	304	348
	WALKUP	191	219	248	297	340
	ELEVATOR	211	236	281	-	-
BELLINGHAM	DETACHED	-	-	267	358	398
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	220	244	293	330
	WALKUP	181	203	243	288	331
	ELEVATOR	225	241	282	-	-
OLYMPIA	DETACHED	-	-	260	308	347
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	209	247	287	326
	WALKUP	189	201	248	285	329
	ELEVATOR	221	250	286	-	-

AREA OFFICE Seattle, Wash. REGION X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
YAKIMA	DETACHED	-	-	264	303	343
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	215	246	299	340
	WALKUP	170	205	243	330	370
	ELEVATOR	211	245	278	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

INSURING OFFICE Spokane, Washington REGION X - Seattle

MARKET AREA	STRUCTURE TYPE	NUMBER OF BEDROOMS				
		0	1	2	3	4 or more
SPOKANE	DETACHED	-	-	262	322	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	220	251	309	368
	WALKUP	174	212	242	299	335
	ELEVATOR	232	300	338	-	-
CHENEY	DETACHED	-	-	262	322	381
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	220	251	309	368
	WALKUP	174	212	242	299	335
	ELEVATOR	232	300	338	-	-
KENNEWICK	DETACHED	-	-	332	393	432
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	276	319	378	415
	WALKUP	201	239	289	341	378
	ELEVATOR	281	334	414	-	-
PULLMAN	DETACHED	-	-	326	379	418
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW	-	254	304	356	395
	WALKUP	195	239	289	341	375
	ELEVATOR	261	334	406	-	-
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					
	DETACHED					
	SEMI-DETACHED/ROW					
	WALKUP					
	ELEVATOR					

[FR Doc.77-11578 Filed 4-22-77;8:45 am]

30-year Reference Volumes

Consolidated Indexes and Tables

Presidential Proclamations and Executive Orders

Consolidated subject indexes and tabular finding aids to Presidential proclamations, Executive orders, and certain other Presidential documents promulgated during a 30-year period (1936–1965) are now available in two separately bound volumes, published under Title 3 of the Code of Federal Regulations, priced as follows:

Title 3, 1936–1965 Consolidated Indexes **$3.50**

Title 3, 1936–1965 Consolidated Tables **$5.25**

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402