





On the Next Generation Stock Assessment Improvement Plan (SAIP)

Cisco Werner NOAA Fisheries Director of Scientific Programs and Chief Science Advisor

Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission New Orleans March 21, 2019



Outline

- SAIP background
- Next Generation
- Science Innovations data collection, models
- The four T's
- Stock Assessment Prioritization status
- Questions and comments





What is the SAIP?

Original SAIP

- Production models for core stocks
- Baseline monitoring for all stocks

10 recommendations including

- Budget/staff increases
- More training, partnerships, research
- Increase awareness & credibility



Results of the 2001 SAIP





Office of Science and Technology Implementing a Next Generation Stock Assessment Enterprise

Policymakers' Summary NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-184 June 2018

https://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/content/tech-memo/SAIP2018

The Next Generation SAIP...

- provides national-scale strategic guidance and direction for the regional stock assessment programs
 - is coordinated within the broader suite
 of strategic guidance driving NMFS
 programs that provide scientific advice
 to fishery managers



SAIP Overview





Assessment Program **Overview**



NOAA Fisheries Organization

Regions	Regional Offices (RO)	Fishery Science Centers (FSC) Marber of Fishery Management andre Advisory Organizatione supported by Science Center	Labs / Field Stations / Facilities			
Alaska	Alaska RO	Alaska FSC Seattle, WA	AK - Anchorage - Juneau WA - Seatle - Barand Island - Kočiak - Dutch Hartor - Pribilof Islands OR - Newport			
West Ccast	West Coast R0 Seattle, WA	Northwest FSC Seattle, WA	WA - Manchester - Seattle OR - Hammond • Pasco - Mulkiteo - Newport			
		O Southwest FSC La Jolla, CA	CA Arcota • Paofic Grove Antarctica • Grante Carryon • Piedres • King George Isl. • La Jolla • Santa Cruz • Livingston			
Pacific Islands	Pacific Islands RO Honolulu, HI	Pacific Islands FSC Honolulu, HI	HI + Honolalu U.S. Territories			
Greater Atlantic	Greater Atlantic RFO* Gloucester, MA * Repional Fishenes Office	Northeast FSC Woods Hole, MA	ME • Orono RI • Narragansett NJ • Highlands MA • Woods Hole CT • Millord			
Southeast	Southeast RO St. Petersburg, FL	Southeast FSC Miami, FL	NC • Beaufort MS • Pascagoula TX • Galveston • Sternis • Mami LA • Latayette			

Fishery Management & Advisory Organizations

0

0

Alaska Dept. cf Fish & Game

Commission for the Conservation of

Antarctic Living Marine Resources

Commission for the Conservation

of Southern Bluetin Tuna

Commission

Inter American Tropical Tuna

Infl Pacific Hailbut Commission

Organization

 Advisory (not management) organization. Supported by Managed Ecosystem Managed Stocks **NOAA** Fisheries Science Center(s) 68 Gult of Alaska & Bering Sea - Sub-Arctic Numerous Alaska coast stocks Antarctic Tcothfishes, loefish, & Krill Southern Hemisphere Oceans Southern bluefin tuna Eastern Pacific Ocean - Sub Arctic Tunas, Billfish, Sharks 0 to Tropical Pacific Coast - Temperate to Sub-Arctic Pacific haibut

56115-	& Tuna-Like Species in the Northern Pacific Ccean	una	a (2)		ic ocean	ionas, bellon, onerks		
PFC	Northern Pacific FC	0		Northern Pacifi to Sub-Tropical	lic Oosan - Sub-Arctic I	Numerous groundfish, Pelagics, Invertebrates		
PFMC	Northern Pacific FMC	0		Gulf of Alaska	& Bering Sea - Sub-Arctic	Groundfish, Salmon, Crab, Scallops		
FMC	Pacific FMC	0 0	🚳 🚳 California Current		Salmon, Groundflish, pelagics, HIVS			
SC*	Pacific Salmon Commission	00	2	Pacific Coast, Bays, Rivers, & Estuaries		Pacific salmon stocks		
SMFC*	Pacific States Marine FC	00		Pacific Coast, Bays, Rivers, & Estuaries		Numerous Pacific coast stocks		
WS	Pacific Whiting Treaty	0	2	California Current - Temperate		Pacific whiting (Pacific hake)		
PRFMO	Southern Pacific Regional FIV	0 🔘	0	Southern Pacific Ocean		Jack madierel, Chub madkerel, Squids		
ICPFC	Western & Central Padific FC		0	Western & Central Pacific Ocean		Tunas, Billfish, Sharks		
/PFMC	Western Pacific FMC		0	Insular Pacific Hawai - Tropical		Bottomfish, Reef fishes, HMS, Invertebrates		
SMFC	Atlantic States Marine FC	0	0	U.S. East Coast, Bays, & Estuarios		Coastal groundfish, Pelagios, Invertebrates, Anadromous fishes		
FMC	Caribbean FMC			Caribbean Sea - Tropical		Reef fishes, Invertebrates, Migratory pelagics		
OMFMC	Gulf of Mexico FMC		0	Gulf of Mexico - Tropical/Subtropical		Reef fishes, Invertebrates, Migratory pelagics		
SMFC	Gulf States Marine FC		0	Coastal Gulf of Mexico - Tropical/Subtropical		Gulf menhaden, Elue crab, Many commercial/rec. stocks		
CAT	Infl Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tuna	s		Atlantic Ocean - Sub-Arctic to Tropical		Tunas, Billish, Sharks		
IAFMC	Md-Atlantic FMC	•	•		. Continental Shell light)	Groundfish, Clams & quaho Pelagic fishes & squids	gs,	
AFO	Northwest Atlantic FO	MB.		Northwest Atlantic Ocean		Groundfish, Squid, Shrimp		
ASCO	North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Org.	•		Northeast U.S. Continental Shelt (Georges Bank) - Temperate Climate		Georges Bank groundfish stocks shared by U.S. & Canada		
EFMC	New England FMC	•		Northeast U.S. (New England)	Continental Shelt	New England groundfish, Sea scallops, Red crab, Atlantic herring, Atlantic salmon		
AFMC	South Atlantic FMC			Southeast U.S. Continental Shell		Reef fishes, Invertebrates, Migratory pelagics		
MGC	Transboundary Mgmt. Guidance Committee	insboundary Mgmt. I Northeast U.S. 0 Jidance Committee (Georges Bank)		Continental Shelf k) - Temperate Climate	Georges Bank groundfish stocks shared by U.S. & Cananda			
Cience (Aleska Northwe Southwe	Centers With Northeast si Solutheast si Pacific Islands	Geography AK - Naska (CA - Cal RL - Florida (HI - Han MA - Massachesetts (NG - North Garolina) OR - Oregon (PR - P TX - Texas (U.S U ISVI - U.S. Viron Mil	ifornia CT - Con rai LA - Louisiar ME - Maine MS VJ - New Jorsey aerto R.co RT - R niled States note i WA - Work	nedicut B - Mesissippi NY - New York hode Island	Shorthand / Acr Dest, Depatries Commiss FC Fisheries Commiss FVC Fisheries Manger FVO Fisheries Manger FO Fisheries Organisati	ONYMIS HVS Highly 1 Int'i Menuk Int'i Menuk Isi, biants Mont Manay Org. Grance Rec. Recreat	Agritory Specie onal mont etcn onal (fishenies)	



Assessment Process Overview





Next Generation





U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | NOAA Fisheries | Page 8

Next Generation: Innovative science recommendations

Data collection & processing

- National Working Group & data gap analysis
- Survey calibration absolute abundance, shifting distributions
- Electronic & advanced tech electronic monitoring & reporting, acoustics, optics, eDNA, unmanned, ...
- Expand industry partnerships
- Improve data management, access, standardization, automation





Brief digression: Next generation surveys

- Last Data Acquisition Plan (DAP) was in 1998
- Need to update survey strategies to consider:
 - 1. Status of NOAA fleet
 - 2. New technologies

AAFISHERIES

- 3. More holistic/ecosystem considerations in assessments
- 4. Partners (industry, rec, etc.)
- 5. Economic valuation of surveys
- Form a National Survey Task Team (2019) followed by Regional Survey Task Teams (2020) to develop the "next DAP"



Next Generation: Innovative science recommendations

Assessment modeling

- Advanced techniques state-space, geo-stats, sample weighting, auto-correlation
- Enhanced models spatial, spp. interactions, environmental drivers
- More complete uncertainty ensemble modeling, decision analysis tools
- Professional development architecture, testing, documentation, community development
- Assessment priorities guide investments





Next Generation: Timely, efficient, & effective

- Establish timely and efficient assessment processes by separating researchtrack from operational assessments
- Streamlining the operational process
- Maintain effective stock assessments with standardized approaches
- Balancing the "4Ts" of assessment Throughput, Timeliness, Thoroughness, and Transparency





Next Generation

The 4Ts of Assessment Demands

Throughput

Expectation Conduct a high number of assessments each year to support development of annual catch limits.

Reality

There are many more stocks under NOAA's purview than can be assessed in a year with current capacity.

Solution

Objective prioritization to determine the stocks most needing assessment; conduct more routine update assessments.

Timeliness

Expectation Utilize current information and rapidly develop advice for management decisions.

Reality

Regional approaches to processing and assembling data, modeling, and reviewing assessments vary substantially.

Solution Standardized and right-sized data delivery, modeling options, and peer review.

Thoroughness

Expectation Assessments should be comprehensive investigations with fully-independent peer reviews.

Reality

Current data availability and assessment capacity do not facilitate comprehensive assessments for all stocks.

Solution

Objective prioritization to determine the stocks in need of comprehensive investigations.

Transparency

Expectation

Results should be fully documented, clearly communicated, and accessible for public understanding.

Reality

Assessments are complicated, produce numerous results, and a variety of communication formats are used.

Solution

Standardized and tiered reporting templates that summarize results at various levels of detail



U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | NOAA Fisheries | Page 13

Next Generation: Timely, efficient, & effective

Determine needs

- Classify current assessments based on data inputs
- Use revised prioritization system to set target levels for input data
- Compare target/current levels to guide strategies & investments



Separate Research and Operational assessment tracks

- Operational assessments use previously reviewed methods
 - More streamlined preparation, conduct, documentation and review — focused Terms of Reference
- Research assessments conducted as needed to improve assessments; respond to recommendations
 - More thorough documentation & review, not tied to management cycle
 - Once accepted, improvements transitioned to operations



Recommended changes (SA, GM & CA)*

- 1. Implement Research and Operational Assessments
 - increase quality and increase throughput by 10-20%
- 2. Conduct Interim (monitoring) Analyses that provide updated ABC advice based on regularly-updated indices of abundance and/or mortality
 - increase throughput 50-100% (depending on how often they are implemented)
- 3. Schedule assessments well in advance
 - increase throughput by 10-20%, and decrease the time to conduct each assessment by 10-20%
- 4. Consistently employ the Interdisciplinary Plan Team (IPT) style of decision
 - assessments to decrease the duration of assessments 10-20% and reduce postponements in the assessment schedule
- 5. Research assessments for data-limited species are most efficient when methods are reviewed and vetted through previous processes, and then many (e.g., 15) species are addressed simultaneously at one workshop.



Hypothetical schedule with stock prioritization Research (RT), Operational (O), and Interim (I) Assessments

	Prioritization						
Stock	Score	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
Red Snapper	6.6	RT + I	0	I	0	I	0
Greater Amberjack	6.4	I	RT + I	0	I	I	0
Gray Triggerfish	6.1	0	I	I	RT + I	0	I
Gray Snapper	3.7	0	I	1	I	0	I
Scamp	2.8	I		0	I	I	I
Red Grouper	2.5	0			0	I	I
Gag Grouper	25				RT + I	0	I
King Mackerel	2.4		0	I	I	RT + I	0
Cobia	2.3		I	0	I	I	RT + I
Vermilion Snapper	2.2	I	0	I	I	RT + I	0
Spanish Mackerel	2.1	I	I	0	I	I	I
Yellowedge Grouper	1.5	RT + I	0	I	I	I	0
GULF DLM		DLM	I	I	Ι	I	I
Caribbean		I	I	I	DLM	I	I

NOAA FISHERIES * Presented at the SAFMC in Feb 2018. U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | NOAA Fisheries | Page 16

Update on Implementation of Stock Assessment Prioritization

• Response to (2011) budget inquiries

- Goal: Support sustainable fisheries
- Implemented on a regional basis
- Ranks provide objective advice



NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-152 Edited by Richard D. Methot, Jr August 2015







NOAA

DEPARTMENT OF CON

NOAA FISHERIES

NATIONAL

Which Stocks Need Assessments?





Regional Prioritization Implementation

Organize stocks

Identify assessment targets

Develop factor scores

Assign factor weights

Rank weighted scores



U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | NOAA Fisheries | Page 19

Prioritization Scoring Factors

Category	Factor	Raw Scores
	Commercial Fishery Importance	0-5
	Recreational Fishery Importance	0-5
Fishery	Importance to Subsistence	0-5
Importance	Non-Catch Value	0-5
	Constituent Demand	0-5
	Rebuilding Status	0-1
Ota ala Otatua	Relative Stock Abundance	1-5
Stock Status	Relative Fishing Mortality	1-5
Ecosystem	Key Role in Ecosystem	1-5
	Unexpected Changes in Stock Indicators	0-5
Assessment Information	Relevant New Type of Information Available	0-5
	Years Assessment Overdue Relative to Target Frequency	0-10



Assessment schedule

Completed Assessments in FY2019

Research & Operational

- Blue marlin Atlantic (International HMS)
- Bigeye tuna Atlantic (International HMS)

Operational Assessment

- Blacktip shark Gulf of Mexico
- Brown shrimp Gulf of Mexico
- Pink shrimp Gulf of Mexico
- Royal red shrimp Gulf of Mexico
- White shrimp Gulf of Mexico
- Brown rock shrimp Southern Atlantic Coast
- Brown shrimp Southern Atlantic Coast
- Pink shrimp Southern Atlantic Coast
- White shrimp Southern Atlantic Coast

Note: assessments have not yet been separated by tracks (e.g., Research vs. Operational) as recommended in the SAIP.

Research and Operational Assessments planned for FY2019:

Caribbean spiny lobster - Puerto Rico Caribbean spiny lobster - St. Croix Caribbean spiny lobster - St. Thomas / St. John White marlin - Atlantic (International HMS) Skipjack tuna - Western Atlantic (International HMS) or Yellowfin tuna - Atlantic (International HMS)

Research & Operational Assessments delayed to FY2020 by Government Shutdown: Red porgy - Southern Atlantic Coast Greater Amberjack - Southern Atlantic Coast Gray Triggerfish - Gulf of Mexico Red grouper - Gulf of Mexico

Research & Operational Assessments planned for the near future: Cobia - Southern Atlantic Coast Cobia - Gulf of Mexico



Prioritization Implementation Status

FMC	FMP(s)	Comments			
CFMC	ALL	Currently under initial development by HQ and SEFSC staff; investigating some alternative approaches to accommodate scoring for data-poor stocks; seeking Council engagement for further development and review			
GMFMC	ALL	Draft scores developed by staff at SEFSC and under ongoing review by Council			
HMS	Tunas/Billfish	For discussion with regional experts – proceed with gap analysis, but does scheduling component make sense for RFMO-managed stocks?			
	Sharks	Draft scores developed, needs further review by HMS staff and others			
NEFMC/ MAFMC	ALL	NEFSC staff worked with NRCC to develop a comprehensive assessment scheduling process for Northeast stocks – just completed			
	Crabs	Prioritization process used to review assessment frequency with Crab Plan Team			
NPEMC	Groundfish	Prioritization process used to review and provisionally revise assessment frequency for groundfish stocks; new schedule will be revisited again after several cycles to review frequencies and check progress			
	Arctic	Process not applicable at this time for Arctic resources			
	Salmon	Process not applicable – salmon assessments led by State of Alaska			
	Scallop	Process not applicable – single species contained in FMU			



Prioritization Implementation Status

FMC	FMP(s)	Comments
PEMC	Groundfish	Initially implemented in 2016 by NWFSC/SWFSC staff with heavy engagement and review by SSC and Council plan teams; results have been used to develop recommendations for scheduling assessments for the 2017 & 2019 assessment cycles
	CPS	Limited utility – only four stocks contained in the FMU – perhaps use to review assessment frequency?
	Salmon	Process not applicable at this time
PFMC/ WPFMC	HMS	For discussion with regional experts – proceed with gap analysis, but does scheduling component make sense for RFMO-managed stocks?
SAFMC	ALL	Developed by SEFSC staff and reviewed by SAFMC; stock assessment schedule developed under SEDAR developed taking into account the recommendations from prioritization
WPFMC	ALL	Planned for 2019 after new FMU lists are finalized/approved by Council



Some benefits so far...

- Operational assessment implementation increasing
- Efforts to "improve SEDAR" largely based on SAIP concepts
- Prioritization has affected several regional assessment schedules already
- Several efforts underway to explore technologies and ensemble modeling approaches
- National modeling team in Office of ST formalizing approach to developing & onboarding operational tools
- Streamlined reporting (e.g., see gray snapper ecoinfographic)



nurseries and protection before transitioning into adults Trends in seagrass cover from 1980 - 2014



Sudden decreases in access to red snapper (such as the reductions in season length from 2007 to 2008 and 2013 to 2014) may cause short-term increases in targeting of other snapper species, such as gray snapper

Prioritization Activities in FY19

FY19 Milestone: "Expand the stock assessment prioritization process to more regions and initiate the data target setting and gap analysis process defined in the next generation SAIP"

- 1. Continue work on prioritization for CFMC stocks
- 2. Work with AFSC to initiate gap analysis for NPFMC groundfish, using already available information from completed prioritization
- 3. Initiate prioritization with PIFSC once WPFMC's revised FMU lists are finalized



Next Steps

- 1. Continue to evolve the prioritization process/guidance as needed based on lessons learned
- 2. Consider whether/how process should be applied for remaining stocks
- 3. Test the gap analysis calculations developed in the SAIP
- 4. Coordinate with new survey working group on stock assessment data gaps and priorities





Questions?



Background Material



U.S. Department of Commerce | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | NOAA Fisheries | Page 28

Definitions 1: Research Assessments

... allow for innovation and new ideas to be built into the assessment models. Such assessments would occur as needed to provide a first assessment of a stock or to improve existing Operational Assessments, or to establish a data source or procedure that can be implemented in many assessments.

Research Assessments are vetted through fully independent review (e.g., CIE), and if the innovations are found to be acceptable, the new methodology would be used subsequently in Operational Assessments.



Definitions 2: Operational Assessments

- ... provide management advice. They are designed to be timely and efficient, and address the deficiencies of the current SEDAR process. The Operational Assessment schedules put key stocks into a regular assessment cycle⁽¹⁾. These key stocks include those that have already been through a Benchmark or Research Assessment, and for which the Councils desire regular and timely ABC advice.
- Operational Assessments are similar in scope to the current SEDAR Update Assessments, taking a previous Benchmark or Research Assessment and updating all relevant data, but making no or minimal change to methodology.

⁽¹⁾ The frequency of that advice will depend on the number of stock assessment analysts and the number of key stocks, and could also reflect expected annual rates of changes in abundance (e.g., a short-lived species like black sea bass could be assessed more frequently than a long-lived species like tilefish).



Definitions 3: Interim Analyses

- ... adjust ABCs between Operational Assessments.
- This innovation is expected to as much as double throughput by allowing annual or biennial updates of ABC advice using the most recent data available instead of relying on assumptions about fishing practices and recruitment for several years into the future (as with current projection approaches).
- More frequent Interim Analyses would also permit a wider interval between Research and Operational Assessments. Interim Analyses are not full assessments in the sense of revising model structure or re-estimating all model parameters, but instead provide updated ABCs based on current trends in critical data sources, such as landings, fishery independent indices of abundance, or age/length data.
- Interim Analyses offer the biggest "bang-for-the-buck" in terms of providing timely management advice with the largest savings in cost.



Illustrative example of **Research** Track, **Operational** Assessments and **Interim** Analyses. In this example using three assessment analysts, management advice (ABCs) is updated every year for one species (Red Snapper), every other year for seven other stocks, and Research Assessments are completed for two new stocks. Note that once a Research Assessment is completed for the unassessed species, then they enter into the Operational-Interim cycle.

		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
	Red Snapper	Operate	Interim	Interim	Interim	Operate	Interim
	Black Sea Bass		Operate		Interim		Operate
	Red Porgy	Interim		Operate		Interim	
Analyst 1	Gag Grouper		Interim		Operate		Interim
Analyst 2	Vermilion Snapper	Operate		Interim		Operate	
Analyst Z	Snowy Grouper		Operate		Interim		Operate
Analyst 3	Tilefish	Interim		Operate		Interim	
Red Grouper			Interim		Operate		Interim
Scamp		Research	Operate		Interim		Operate
			Research	Operate		Interim	
	Greater Amberjack			Interim		Operate	

