



Proposals in Regard to the Emendment of Author Citations

Author(s): G. F. Laundon

Source: *Taxon*, Vol. 23, No. 5/6 (Nov., 1974), p. 869

Published by: [International Association for Plant Taxonomy \(IAPT\)](#)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1218456>

Accessed: 13/04/2014 09:29

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



International Association for Plant Taxonomy (IAPT) is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Taxon*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

PROPOSALS IN REGARD TO THE EMENDMENT OF AUTHOR CITATIONS

G. F. Laundon*

The first proposal, for an addition to Art. 59, para. 4, to be inserted between the first and second sentences, is made to allow explicitly the common practice in the situation concerned, but a practice which could be considered not permissible under a literal interpretation of the Code:

Proposal 119. Such a name now considered the validly published name of a new taxon, is also to be considered the basionym of subsequent combinations in genera characterized by the perfect state but previously treated as typified by an imperfect state.

Example: *Pucciniastrum polypodii* (Pers.) Dietel (Hedwigia 38 beiblatt: 260. 1899), syn. '*Uredo polypodii* (Pers.) DC.' (= *Uredo linearis* B. *polypodii* Pers.), published with a description of the perfect state, is considered not validly published as a new combination (since the type of the basionym does not bear the perfect state) but validly published as a new name of a new species which is cited as *Pucciniastrum polypodii* Dietel. The subsequent combination '*Hyalopsora polypodii* (Pers.) P. Magn.' (Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 19: 582. 1901) placed in a genus characterised by the perfect state is considered to be based on *Pucciniastrum polypodii* Dietel and accordingly is cited as *Hyalopsora polypodii* (Dietel) P. Magn., even though Magnus did not in any way refer to *P. polypodii* Dietel.

I have noticed a somewhat similar case which I feel should be treated differently to the way it has previously been treated (e.g. Savile, Mycologia 53: 45, 47. 1961) and this would require an addition to Art. 63.

Proposal 120. A name or epithet is not to be rejected under this article if it was merely ascribed to the wrong author, but is the same name or epithet as given by the author to whom it should have been ascribed and if there is no indication the type of the name of the latter author was excluded.

Example: '*Uredo scillarum* Grev.' ex Berk. (in Smith, Engl. Flora 5(2): 376. 1836) included in synonymy the previously published *Puccinia scillarum* Baxt. (Stirp. Crypt. Oxon.: 40. 1825); the name must be cited *Uredo scillarum* (Baxt.) Berk. Similarly '*Uromyces scillarum* (Grev. ex Berk) Wint.' based on '*Uredo scillarum* Grev.' ex Berk and given without a direct reference to *P. scillarum* Baxt. must nevertheless be cited *Uromyces scillarum* (Baxt.) Wint.

Finally, because they have been missed by previous authors I would like to draw attention to another group of similar cases, which need to be emended in accordance with Art. 72. Note.

Puccinia aegopodii (Str.) Rohl., a rust which is known to produce only the perfect state (microcyclic) was based by Rohling on *Uredo aegopodii* Str. However, this name is a later homonym of *Uredo aegopodii* Schum., which though now considered a synonym of *P. aegopodii* (Str.) Rohl., was not mentioned by either Strauss or Rohling. As *U. aegopodii* Str. is illegitimate, so also would be *P. aegopodii* (Str.) Rohl. A new combination from *Uredo aegopodii* Schum. into *Puccinia* would also be illegitimate, being a later homonym of *P. aegopodii* (Str.) Rohl. However under Art. 72. Note., Rohlings use of this name can be treated as new and legitimate, and it should be cited *P. aegopodii* Rohl.

I have noticed two other well known species which are in the same position as *P. aegopodii*, these are *Uromyces ficariae* (Alb. & Schw.) Tul. and *U. valerianae* (DC.) Fuckel. These names should be cited *U. ficariae* Tul. and *U. valerianae* Fuckel.

PROPOSALS BY WERNER GREUTER (KIFISIA)

Proposal 121. Change the second sentence of Art. 7, to read:

'A nomenclatural type (*typus*) is that element to which the name of a taxon is permanently attached, whether as a correct name or as a synonym.'

* Plant Health and Diagnostic Station, Levin, New Zealand.