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# SYSTEMATIC CONSIDERATION OF RECENT TOOTHED WHALES BASED ON THE MORPHOLOGY OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONE 

TOSHIO KASUYA<br>Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo


#### Abstract

ABSTRAC'T The tympano-periotic bones of recent Odontoceti have attained wide variety of morphological peculiarities. The individual and sexual variations, and the bilateral asymmetry of this part are considered to be small. Though it is possible to identify most of Odontoceti species based on the morphology of tympano-periotic bones, it is usually difficult to do the Delphininae species. Considering the several series of specialization observed in various characteristics of tympano-periotic bone, its process of evolution and the phylogenetic relationships of Odontoceti species are discussed.
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## INTRODUCTION

Though the taxonomical or morphological study of tympano-periotic bone have been made on some fossil species (Kellogg, 1931, 1955, 1957, 1965) or on recent species (Yamada, 1953) and the morphological variety is reported, the systematics of the toothed whales have been based mainly on the skeletal and external characteristics and less importance was put on the morphology of tympano-periotic bone. However, as the tympano-periotic bones of toothed whales are considered to have established a special adaptation for the underwater acoustics and have attained various morphological peculiarities, the morphology of this part seems to be useful in the taxonomy of Odontoceti.

The present study intends to re-examine the systematics of toothed whales based on the morphology of the tympano-periotic bones, and to throw some light upon the classification of toothed whales. The knowledge on the morphology and individual variation of tympano-periotic bone, obtained in this study, will offer some key to identify the species or genus by means of tympano-periotic bone.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

The materials used in this study are shown in Table 2, which comprise 313 individuals in 30 genera. They are mostly composed of the specimens collected by me or prof. M. Nishiwaki of the Ocean Research Institute, and are kept in the Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo. Other than these specimens, some were kindly offered by various persons and institutes shown in Table 1.


Fig. 1. Tympano-periotic bone of Platanista gangetica, showing the morphological terms used in this report. AC, Aperture of aquaeductus cochleae. AF, Internal aperture of aquacductus Fallopii. AO, Accessory ossicle. APP, Anterior process of periotic. AS, Anterior spine. C, Conical process. CP, Cochlear portion. CFN, Canal for facial nerve. CSM, Canal for stapedial muscle. CT, Crista transversa. DEL, Aperture of ductus endolymphaticus. EF, Elliptical foramen (see Fig. 2). FIAM, Fundus of internal auditory meatus. FR, Foramen rotunda. FS, Foramen singulare. IP, Inner pedicle. IPN, Interprominential notch. IPP, Inner posterior prominence. IV, Involucrum. LF, Lateral furrow. LTA, Lower tympanic aperture. MF, Median furrow. OP, Outer pedicle. OPP, Outer posterior prominence. PPP, Posterior process of periotic. PPT, Posterior process of tympanic bulla. SP, Sigmoid process. SUP, Superior process. TO, Triangular opening. TSF, Tractus spiralis foraminosus. UTA, Upper tympanic aperture. VK, Ventral keel.

# TABLE 1. LIST OF INSTITUTES AND PERSONS, WITH ABBREVIATIONS, WHO OFFERED THEIR SPEGIMENS FOR THE PRESENT STUDY 

AMNH American Museum of Natural History, New York C. USA, R. G. Van Gelder<br>AWM Ayukawa Whale Museum, Ayukawa Japan, N. Kimura<br>CLH Carl L. Hubbs, Scripps Inst. Oceanography, Univ. of Calif., San Diego USA<br>CNHM Chicago Natural History Museum, Chicago USA, J. C. Moore<br>DWR Dale W. Rice, Fish and Wildlife Service, Seattle USA<br>EML Enoshima Marineland, Enoshima Japan, M. Nakajima<br>HCY Hung-cha Yang, Taiwan Fisheries Res. Inst., Formasa.<br>NSM National Science Museum, Tokyo Japan, Y. Imaizumi<br>LACM Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History, Los Angeles USA, D. K. Caldwell<br>MVZ Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, Univ. of Calif., Berkeley USA, S. B. Benson<br>NUF Faculty of Fisheries, Nagasaki Univ., Nagasaki Japan, K. Mizue<br>NUMS Faculty of Medical Science, Nagasaki Univ., Nagasaki Japan, K. Mizue<br>SDNHM Natural History Museum, San Diego USA, R. D. Mason<br>TK Ocean Research Inst. Univ. of Tokyo, Tokyo Japan, M. Nishiwaki and T. Kasuya<br>TWM Taịi Whale Museum, Taiji Japan, T. Higashi<br>USNM United States National Museum, Washington D. G. USA, C. O. Handley<br>WAW Marineland of the Pacific, Los Angeles USA, W. A. Walker<br>ZSI Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta India, D. K. Ghosal

TABLE 2. LIST OF MATERIALS USED IN THIS STUDY

| No. | Species | Number of individuals |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Platanista gangetica | 5 from the Brahmaputra (4TK and 1ZSI specimens) |
|  |  | 2 from India (USNM 172409, 23459) |
| 2 | Inia geoffrensis | 5 from the Amazon (LACM19588, 19589, 19590, USNM239667, 45982) |
| 3 | Lipotes vexillifer | 1 from Tunting Lake (AMNH57333) |
| 4 | Pontoporia blainvillei | 1 (USNM 49494) |
| 5 | Neophocaena phocaenoides | 16 from Japan (14NUMS and 2TK specimens) |
|  |  | 1 from China (USNM 240862) |
|  |  | 2 no locality (AMNH57332, USNM239990) |
| 6 | Phocoena ph. vomerina | 3 from the North Pacific (MVZ21509, 97900, USNM274588) |
| 7 | Phocoena ph. phocoena | 3 from the North Atlantic (AMNH21514, TK84, USNM36591) |
| 8 | Phocoena sinus | 1 from California Bay (SDNHM20688) |
| 9 | Phocoenoides dalli | 6 fiom Japan (6 TK specimens) |
| 10 | Phocoenoides truei | 6 from Japan (6 TK specimens) |
| 11 | Delphinus bairdi | 5 from California (DWR988, TK150, SDNHM 20140, 21204, 21209) |
|  |  | 1 from Formosa (TK255) |
| 12 | Delphinus delphis | 2 from the Atlantic (USNM21525, 1 TK specimen) |
| 13 | Lagenorhynchus obliquidens | 11 from north east Japan (TK sepcimens) |
|  |  | 3 from California (DWR3768, LACM F355, USNM21218) |
| 14 | Lagenorhynchus acutus | 3 from the west Atlantic (USNM14229, 14265, 20960) |
| 15 | Lagenorhynchus albirostris | 3 from the west Atlantic (AMNH143520, CNHM 30522, TK87) |
| 16 | Lagenorhynchus obscurus | 1 from New Zealand (AMNH34935) |
| 17 | Lagenorhynchus australis | 1 from Chiloe I., Chile (CHNHM22248) |
| 18 | Steno bredanensis | 7 from Japan (TK specimens) |
| 19 | Lissodelphis borealis | 3 from Japan (TK205, 257, 258) |
|  |  | 3 from the north east Pacific (DWR1965-2, 1965-3, USNM270981) |
| 20 | Stenella caeruleoalba | 15 from Japan (TK specimens) |
| 21 | Stenella styx | 1 from the Atlantic (TK specimen) |
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TABLE 2. (continued)
22 (?) Stenella roseiventris 4 from Japan (NUF specimens)
(Hawaiian spinner dolphin) 2 from Hawaii (DWR1194, TK50)
23 (?) Stenella longirostris 2 from the east Pacific (SNHM21199, WAW52)
(Eastern Pacific spinner dolphin)
24 Stenella attenuata 7 from Japan (TK specimens)
24a Stenella graffmani
24b Stenella plagiodon
25 Tursiops truncatus
25a Tursiops truncatus
26 Tursiops gilli
27 Cephalorhynchus spp.
28 Sotalia spp.

29 Sousa teuszii
30 Pseudorca crassidens
31 Orcinus orca

32 Peponocephala electra
33 Feresa attenuata
34 Globicephala melaena
5 Globicephala macrorhyncha
36 Grampus griseus
37 Orcaella brevirostris
38 Delphinapterus leucas
39 Monodon monoceros
40 Berardius bairdi

41 Berardius arnouxi
42 Hyperoodon ampullatus
43 Mesoplodon stejnegeri
44 Mesoplodon densirostris
45 Mesoplodon ginkgodens
46 Mesoplodon europaeus
47 Mesoplodon carlhubbsi
48 Mesoplodon mirus
49 Ziphius cavirostris
50 Kogia breviceps

52 Physeter catodon

51 Kogia simus 5 specimens (LACM RLB240. TK47, 49, TK specimen, USNM 22015)

3 from the east Pacific (DWR1965-1, SENHM 20637, WAW44)
3 from the north Atlantic (AMNH38206, 63779, USNM292070)
43 from Japan (19 EML specimens, 24 TK specimens)
3 from the west Atlantic (MVZ23705, 23708, USNM16504)
2 from California (SDNHM20143, 20144)
1 from Forkland (USNM252568), 1 from Chile (USNM 21167)
1 from the Amazon (AMNH94169, S. fluviatilis)
1 (CNHM34907, S. guianensis)
1 from Senegal (TK260)
2 from the north Atlantic (USNM11320, 218360)
2 from the north Pacific (TK specimens)
5 from the north Pacific (DWR832, 986, 2TK specimens, TWM specimen), 2 no locality (LACM781, USNM219326)
6 from Japan (TK specimens)
7 from Japan (TK specimens)
4 from the north Atlantic (AMNH180143, USNM14044, 20457, 1TK specimen)
26 from Japan (TK specimens)
11 from Japan (8EML specimens, 3 TK specimens)
1 from the Atlantic (USNM22446)
1 from Bornco (USNM199743), 1 no locality (ZSI274)
4 (AMNH180017, SDNHM20046, USNM7536, 215015)
4 (AMNH63987, 73318, SDNHM7096, USNM267960)
5 from the north Pacific (LACM1964, MVZ125602, TK299, 300, USNM49727)
1 from New Zealand (USNM21511)
1 from Norway (USNM14449)
7 specimens (AMNH185311, 143829, MVZ130250, TK97, 365, USNM143132, 286826)
4 specimens (AMNH139931, 69579, TK256, HCY specimen)
2 specimens (NSM specimen, HCY specimen)
3 specimens AMNH121894, 90051, USNM306302)
2 specimens (AWM specimen, USNM274591)
1 specimen (AMNH174293)
6 from the north Pacific (MVZ129645, SDNHM 19558, USNM
20993, 3TK specimens), 1 no locality (USNM2 1975)
5 specimens (CHL specimen, LACM RLB145, TK244, SDNHM 20139, SDNHM-)

8 specimens (3 NUMS specimens, TK301, 302, 303, 304, USNM 49488)

The materials are usually grouped into the species, but in some cases into smaller units considering the locality. In the genera as Cephalorhynchus and Sotalia, where only few samples are obtained and the taxonomy is not fully established, the samples are dealt combining into the genus.

The measurement and general observation were made on 21 points shown in Fig. 2 and Table 3. The measurements are usually made on a straight line connecting the two points to nearest $1 / 10 \mathrm{~mm}$, with a caliper of $1 / 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ accuracy, and if available on both sides. In the calculation of the mean value and other statistical analysis of the measurements, measurements of one side were randomly selected. But in the analyses of Growth and bilateral symmetry and of the largest and smallest range of the measurement, all the available measurements were included.


Fig. 2. Measurements used in this report, for the explanation see Table 3. The contour of the tympano-periotic bone is based on that of Stenella. For EF see Fig. 1.

The anatomical terms are conformed mainly to Kellogg (1936) and partly to Yamada (1953), but some terms are newly introduced in this report. All of these terms are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.
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TABLE 3. POINTS OF MEASUREMENTS AND OF OTHER GENERAL OBSERVATIONS
Tympanic bulla

1. Standard length of tympanic bulla, distance from anterior tip to posterior end of outer posterior prominence
2. Distance from anterior tip to posterior end of inner posterior prominence
3. Distance from postero-ventral tip of outer posterior prominence to tip of sigmoid process
4. Distance from postero-ventral tip of outer posterior prominence to tip of conical process
5. Width of tympanic bulla at the level of the sigmoid process
6. Height of tympanic bulla, from tip of sigmoid process to ventral keel
7. Width across inner and outer posterior prominences
8. Greatest depth of interprominential notch
9. Width of upper border of sigmoid process
10. Width of the posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture
11. Presence of elliptical foramen. If present, its greatest diameter
12. The thicker side between outer and inner posterior prominences Periotic
13. Standard length of periotic, from tip of anterior process to posterior end of posterior process, measured on a straight line parallel with cerebral border
14. Thickness of superior process at the level of upper tympanic aperture
15. Width of periotic across cochlear portion and superior process, at the level of upper tympanic aperture
16. Least distance between the margins of fundus of internal auditory meatus and of aperture of ductus endolymphaticus
17. Least distance between the margins of fundus of internal auditory meatus and of aperture of aquaeductus cochleae
18. Length of the articular facet of the posterior process of periotic for the posterior process of tympanic bulla
19. Antero-posterior diameter of cochlear portion
20. Presence of sutural connection between the posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic
21. Presence of the sutural connection between posterior process of tympanic bulla and squamosal
22. Length of periotic shown by the percentage of length of tympanic bulla

## GROWTH AND BILATERAL SYMMETRY

## Bilateral symmetry

The bilateral comparizon of tympano-periotic bones was made on 6 species of Delphinoidea, Neophocaena phocaenoides, Stenella caeruleoalba, Lagenorhynchus obliquidens, Tursiops truncatus (from the coast of Japan), and Grampus griseus, on the standard length (measurement nos. 1 and 13) and on the other measurements shown by the percentage of the standard length.

On each particular points, mean of the remainder of the right value minus the left were calculated, then the significance of its deviation from 0 was examined with $t$ table. This result shows that most of the observed bilateral asymmetry is not significant. But as shown in Table 4, the bilateral deviation in some measurements is so large that can be expected with the probability less than $2 \%$ and is suggested to be significant. But if the deviation between the mean values of both sides are examined, neglecting the individuals, there can be expected no significant bilateral
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asymmetry. This is because the bilateral asymmetry in each individuals is smaller comparing with the individual variation. As the result it is concluded that, in spite of the possibility of bilateral asymmetry on some part of the tympano-periotic bone, it will not lead to the erroneous result to neglect it and to select randomly the right or left side in the calculation.

TABLE 4. SOME MEASUREMENTS OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES WHERE BILATERAL ASYMMETRY IS EXPECTED. FOR MEASUREMENT NO. SEE TABLE 3.

| Species | No. of the <br> measurement | Sample <br> size | Range of <br> measurement | Mean of the <br> right minus left | Probability |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N. phocaenoides | 3 | 11 | $74.8-83.2$ | -0.773 | $0.02-0.01$ |
| N. phocaenoides | 8 | 14 | $10.4-17.8$ | -0.564 | $0.01>$ |
| S. caeruleoalba | 10 | 7 | $2.2-5.8$ | 0.421 | $0.02-0.01$ |
| T. truncatus | 13 | 37 | $31.7-37.8$ | -0.908 | $0.02-0.01$ |
| Gl. macrorhyncha | 3 | 23 | $57.1-73.6$ | -2.165 | $0.02-0.01$ |
| Gr. griseus | 17 | 4 | $46.8-58.6$ | 1.150 | $0.02-0.01$ |

## Growth of tympano-periotic bone

To obtain a general feature of the growth of tympano-periotic bone of toothed whales, the growth of tympano-periotic bone accompanied with the growth of the animal and its relative growth were studied on 4 species of Delphinoidea, or Globicephala macrorhyncha, Tursiops truncatus, and Neophocaena phocaenoides. In Figs. 3-57, if available, the measurements of the both sides are plotted.

Generally speaking the standard length of tympanic bulla slightly increases in parallel with the growth of the animal, but that of periotic shows almost no increase. The proportional dimensions of tympano-periotic bone show rather wide individual variation. As observed in these figures, the sexual difference is not expected.

## Globicephala macrorhyncha

Tympano-periotic bones from 26 individuals are used here, among which the sex and body length are known on 23 individuals.

The tympanic bulla of this species has conspicuous anterior spine, ventral keel, and posterior process. These characters seem to be formed soon after birth. As shown in PI. XXVII the anterior margin of the tympanic bulla of adult individual has a plate-like anterior spine. The corresponding part of two newborn calves of 138 cm ( ${ }^{\top}$ ) and 141 cm ( $\sigma^{\top}$ ) in body length is smooth and has no anterior spine. But the spine is formed on a juvenile female of 203 cm in body length. In the two newborn calves, the ventral keel is not developed, but retains only a granulated structure. But this granulated area is changed, in the 203 cm female, into a usual ventral keel observed in the adult. The shape of the posterior process of the newborn calves is short, and round and resembles that of adult Phocoenidae. But it develops longer, in the 203 cm female, and attains the form of a long spongy process directing postero-laterally.

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
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Fig. 3. Globicephala macrorhyncha. Relation between body length and length of tympanic bulla (measurement no. 1). Triangle indicates male, circle female. In both sexes closed mark indicates the left side, and open the right.


Fig. 5. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 2 Anterior tip of tympanic bulla to inner posterior prominence. Cross mark indicates the sex unknown, solid line average percentage, and dotted line the length re-calculated from the average percentage. For other marks see Fig. 3.


Fig. 7. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 4 Tip of conical process to outer posterior prominence. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 4. G. macrorhyncha. Relation between body length and length of periotic (measurement no. 13). For marks see Fig. 3.


Fig. 6. G. macrorkyncha. Measurement no. 3 Tip of sigmoid process to outer posterior prominence. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 8. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 5 Width of tympanic bulla. For marks see Fig. 5.
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Fig. 9. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 6 Height of tympanic bulla. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 11. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 8 Depth of interprominential notch. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 13. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 10 Width of posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 10. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 7 Width across posterior prominences. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 12. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 9 Width of upper border of sigmoid process. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 14. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 13 Length of periotic shown in percentage of measurement no. 1. For maeks see Fig. 5.

On the periotic, the needle-shaped processes on the dorsal surface of superior process and around the fundus of internal auditory meatus are one of the characteristic features of this species. This structur is considered to be formed in the latter
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Fig. 15. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 14 Thickness of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 17. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 16 Fundus of internal auditory meatus to ductus endolymphaticus. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 19. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 18 Length of facet on posterior process of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 16. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 15 Width of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 18. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 17 Fundus of internal auditory meatus to aquaeductus cochleae. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 20. G. macrorhyncha. Measurement no. 19 Diameter of cochlear portion. For marks see Fig. 5.
part of the growth, as this is observed, in the females, on the animal more than 350 cm in body length.

The relations between body length and length of tympano-periotic bone are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, and those between the lengths of tympano-periotic bone
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Fig. 21. Tursiopstruncatus. Relation between body length and length of tympanic bulla (measurement no. 1). For marks see Fig. 3.


Fig. 23. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 2 Anterior tip of tympanic bulla to inner posterior prominence. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 25. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 4 Tip of conical process to outer posterior prominence. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 22. T. truncatus. Relation between body length and length of periotic (measurement no. 13). For marks see Fig. 3.


Fig. 24. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 3 Tip of sigmoid process to outer posterior prominence. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 26. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 5 Width of tympanic bulla. For marks see Fig. 5.
and their proportional dimensions are shown in Figs. 5-20 and Table 5. Though the length of periotic bone does not increase after the birth, that of tympanic bulla increases about 6 mm in the adult than the new born animal. As the result, the ratio of the periotic bone to the tympanic bulla decreases with the growth of the animal.
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Fig. 27. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 6 Height of tympanic bulla. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 29. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 8 Depth of interprominential notch. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 31. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 10 Width of posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture. For maeks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 28. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 7 Width across posterior prominences. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 30. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 9 Width of upper border of sigmoid process. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 32. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 11 Length of elliptical foramen. For marks see Fig. 5.

## Tursiops truncatus

The tympano-periotic bones of 43 individuals caught in the Pacific coast of Japan are used here. Among them the body length and sex are known on 38 in-
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Fig. 33. T.truncatus. Measurement no. 13 Length of periotic shown in percentage of measurement no. 1. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 35. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 15 Width of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 37. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 17 Fundus of internal auditory meatus to aquaeductus cochleae. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 34. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 14 Thickness of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 36. T.truncatus. Measurement no. 16 Fundus of internal auditory meatus to ductus endolymphaticus. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 38. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 18 Length of facet on posterior process of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.
dividuals. Though the morphological feature of tympano-periotic bone of this species is the typical of Delphininae, it resembles that of Globicephala in the presence of needle-shaped processes on the superior process of periotic of the adult, and in the
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developed ventral keel of tympanic bulla.
The relation between body length and length of tympano-periotic bone are shown in Figs. 21 and 22. There is observed almost no increase of the length of tympanic bulla accompanied with the growth of the animal. This is different from the case of Globicephala, and will be related with the weak development of anterior spine in Tursiops.

The average length of periotic shows the increase of only about 2 mm in the body length range between 250 cm and 320 cm . But this growth is considered to be negligible in the latter discussion. The ratio of periotic length to the length of tympanic bulla slightly decreases with the increase of the latter (Fig. 33).

As shown in Figs. 23-39 and Table 5, the proportional dimensions of tympanoperiotic bone are stable in most of measurements. But in the measurements nos.


Fig. 39. T. truncatus. Measurement no. 19 Diameter of cochlear portion. For marks see Fig. 5.

TABLE 5. CHANGE OF THE PROPORTION OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES, WITH THE INGREASE OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC OR BODY LENGTH. A INDIGATES INGREASING TENDENCY, B STEADY, C DEGREASING.

| Measurements | G. macrorchyncha | T. truncatus | N. phocaenoides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | B | A |
| 2 | C | B | A |
| 3 | C | C | A or B |
| 4 | C | B or C | $A$ or $B$ |
| 5 | C | B | A or B |
| 6 | C | C | B or A |
| 7 | C | B | $A$ or $B$ |
| 8 | B | B | $A$ or $B$ |
| 9 | C or B | B | B |
| 10 | B | B | C or B |
| 11 | B | B | B |
| 13 | B | B | B |
| 14 | B | B | C |
| 15 | C | C | C or B |
| 16 | B | B | B |
| 17 | B | B | B |
| 18 | C | B | A |
| 19 | C | B or C | B |
| 22 | C | C | C |



Fig. 40. Neophocaena phocaenoides. Relation between body length and length of tympanic bulla (measurement no. 1). For marks see Fig. 3.


Fig. 42. N. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 2 Anterior tip of tympanic to inner posterior prominence. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 44. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 4 Tip of conical process to outer posterior prominence. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 41. N. phocaenoides. Relation between body length and length of periotic (measurement no. 13). For marks see Fig. 3.


Fig. 43. N. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 3 Tip of sigmoid process to outer posterior prominence. For marks Fig. 5.


Fig. 45. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 5 Width of tympanic bulla. For marks see Fig. 5.
$3,4,6,15$, and 19 , the ratio decreases with the increase of the length of tympanic bulla or that of periotic. This will indicate that the growth of inner posterior prominence and cochlear portion is not parallel with the increase of standard length.
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Fig. 46. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 6 Height of tympanic bulla. For marks see Fig. 5.


Length of tympanic bulla in mm
Fig. 48. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 8 Depth of interprominential notch. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 50. N. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 10 Width of posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 47. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 7 Width across posterior prominences. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 49. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 9 Width of upper border of sigmoid process. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 51. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 13 Length of periotic shown in percentage of measurement no. 1. For marks see Fig. 5.

## Neophocaena phocaenoides

The tympano-periotic bone of this species shows the typical features of that of Phocoenidae. In this chapter samples from 19 individuals, including 16 from the
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Fig. 52. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 14 Thickness of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 54. N. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 16 Fundus of internal auditory meatus to ductus endolymphaticus. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 56. N. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 18 Length of facet on posterior process of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 53. N. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 15 Width of periotic. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 55. $\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides. Measurement no. 17 Fundus of internal auditory meatus to aquaeductus cochleae. For marks see Fig. 5.


Fig. 57. N.phocaenoides. Measurement no. 19 Diameter of cochlear portion. For marks see Fig. 5.
adjacent waters of Japan, are used.
As shown in Fig. 40, there is observed slight increase in the length of tympanic bulla accompanied with the growth of the animal. The increase is about 2 mm when the body length increases from 80 cm to 150 cm . The length of periotic bone
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seems not to increase after birth (Fig. 41). As the result the ratio of the periotic length to the tympanic length decreases with the increase of the latter (Fig. 51).

The relative growth of tympano-periotic bone is shown in Figs. 42-57 and Table 5. The proportional dimensions of the tympanic bulla are increasing or stable in relation to the length of tympanic bulla. The posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture (measurement no. 10), which is large in this species, decreases the relative width in the larger tympanic bulla (Fig. 50). The thickness of periotic bone (measurement no. 14) is nearly constant (Fig. 52). The length of the facet on posterior process of periotic is proportionally large in the larger periotic (Fig. 56). This seems to be related with the fact that, in this species, the posterior process of periotic is directed toward posterior direction, and the length of periotic is directly influenced by this process.


Fig. 58. Contour of ventral view of tympanic bulla and of lateral view of periotic. 1, Ziphius cavirostris. 2, Berardius bairdi. 3, Hyperoodon ampullatus. 4, Mesoplodon ginkgodens.

## MORPHOLOGY OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONE

It is intended, in this chapter, to show the diagnostic characteristics of the tympanoperiotic bones of each species and taxa. The taxa used here is based on the classification considered from the morphology of tympano-periotic bone (Table 15).

The discussions on these morphological characteristics from the evolutional point of view, or on the interrelationships of each taxa is made in the latter chapter.

## Physeteroidea

The most conspicuous feature of the tympano-periotic bones of this superfamily is observed on the posterior process of tympanic bulla. It has large laminated or spongy element elongated and expanded distally, which is wedged between exoccipital and squamosal. Posterior process of periotic is usually sutured, not so firmly, to the base of posterior process of tympanic bulla. Main part of accessory ossicle is moved toward the tympanic cavity from the position between lateral wall of tympanic bulla and anterior process of periotic.

Sigmoid process is square or globular and large in size, cochlear portion inclines anteriorly.

## Ziphiidae

In the members of this family, the anterior part of the ventral wall of tympanic bulla is cylindrical and is lacking in anterior spine. Involucrum is shorter than the lateral wall. Both posterior prominences are thick and short. Deep lateral furrow is prominent on the lateral wall of tympanic bulla. Sigmoid process is square and rather thin, and its lateral border is twisted posteriorly. Elliptical foramen is present.


Fig. 59. Contour of the lateral view of anterior process of periotic (top), and of the dorsal view of posterior process of periotic. 1, Berardius bairdi. 2, Ziphius cavirostris. 3, Mesoplodon ginkgodens.

On the periotic, anterior process, superior process, and posterior process are arranged, when seen from the dorsal, nearly on a straight line and the three parts are clearly distinguished. Anterior process is shaped of triangular pyramid and has a wide contact with the dorsal border of the lateral wall of tympanic bulla. The posterior process is short, and flat at the distal end. Its facet for the posterior process of tympanic bulla is almost smooth. Fundus of internal auditory meatus is usually slender. Tractus spiralis foraminosus is separated by a developed septum from the area containing foramen singulare and aperture of aquaeductus Fallopii. There are two cases in the opening of foramen singulare, in one case it opens near
aquaeductus Fallopii (Ziphius, Berardius, Hyperoodon), and in the other case it openes near tractus spiralis foraminosus (Mesoplodon stejnegeri). The dorsal area of superior process between fundus of internal auditory meatus and aperture of ductus endolymphaticus is highly protruded. Accessory ossicle is, different from that of Physeter, small and does not entirely cover the anterior part of dorsal opening of tympanic cavity.

1. Ziphius cavirostris (Pl. II)

As shown in Table 6, the size of tympano-periotic bone of this species is intermediate of Mesoplodon and Berardius.

The tympanic bulla is more flat and its ventral wall is narrower than those of Berardius. The rugose area on the ventral surface of tympanic bulla starts from interprominential notch and reaches the anterior margin of the bulla. The posterior prominences, especially the inner, are small and interprominential notch is rudimental. There is formed a wedge-shaped protuberence at the postero-lateral tip of outer posterior prominence (Fig. 58). The posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture is narrower in this species than in Berardius bairdi and Mesoplodon spp. (Table 6).

TABLE 6. MEASUREMENTS OF TYMPANIG BULLA OF ZIPHIOID SPECIES.


TABLE 7. MEASUREMENTS OF PERIOTIC OF ZIPHIOID SPECIES (mm)

| Species |  |  | Ziphius cavirostris | Berardius bairdi | Mesoplodon stejnegeri | Mesoplodon ginkgodens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample size |  |  | 7 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 13. Standard length |  |  | 54.0-62.4 | 66.9-75.8 | 44.3-50.9 | 41.0-42.3 |
| 14. | Thickness, | range | 22.3-27.0 | 29.0-38.1 | 16.4-18.3 | 20.4-20.6 |
|  |  | mean | 24.0 | 32.9 | 17.8 | 20.5 |
| 15. | Width, | range | 29.5-34.1 | 34.7-37.2 | 26.9-29.1 | 22.3-23.1 |
|  |  | mean | 31.7 | 36.4 | 27.7 | 22.7 |

Though the periotic resembles that of Berardius bairdi, it is distinguished by the short and round anterior process and shorter posterior process (Fig. 59). There is sometimes observed a transverse groove on the lateral surface of the base of anterior process. But this occurs on some periotic bones of other Ziphioid species.
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2. Berardius bairdi (Pl. III)

The tympano-periotic bones of this species is the largest in this family (Table 6). The anterior part of the ventral wall of tympanic bulla is narrow and triangular (Fig. 58). Both inner and outer posterior prominences swell well as in the case of Mesoplodon.

Periotic is characteristic, other than the size, in the long and slender anterior process, wider upper tympanic aperture, and slender and long posterior process.

On Berardius arnouxi (Pl. IV), only one sample from New Zealand was observed. It was indistinguishable from $B$. bairdi.
3. Hyperoodon ampullatus (Pl. IV)

The length of tympano-periotic bone is nearly same with that of Ziphius cavirostris. The tympanic bulla is cylindrical and the contour of anterior portion of the ventral wall is not triangular, which condition is different from the case of Berardius bairdi. Anterior margin of the ventral wall of tympanic bulla concaves slightly. Though outer posterior prominence is thick, interprominential notch is shallow as in the case of Ziphius cavirostris (Fig. 58).

On the periotic, anterior process is shorter than Berardius but not so round as Ziphius and Mesoplodon. The opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is round and the margin of the openning provides a circular keel. The upper tympanic aperture is wide but shallow (Fig. 58).
4. Mesoplodon

The tympanic bulla of this genus is characterized by the thick posterior prominences and deep interprominential notch as those of Berardius, the flatness of the bulla, wide posterior branch of the lower tympanic aperture which is different from that of Ziphius, and square-shaped ventral view of tympanic bulla. Posterior process of tympanic bulla is shorter and thicker than that of Berardius.

The surface of the periotic is smooth and the contour is roundish in general. Anterior process is rather hemispherical than triangular pyramidal. Posterior process is slender and not so strongly fan-shaped as Ziphius. Upper tympanic aperture is smaller than those of other Ziphioid species. The size of tympano-periotic bone is small.

Mesoplodon stejnegeri (Pl. IV). The ranges of the length of tympanic bulla and periotic of seven individuals are between 46.9 mm and 49.0 mm , and 44.3 mm and 50.9 mm respectively. These values are smaller than those of Berardius bairdi or Ziphius cavirostris.

On the tympanic bulla the both posterior prominences and interprominential notch develop well. The anterior extension of the latter opens on the lateral wall of tympanic bulla encircling the anterior base of globular outer posterior prominence. Ventral keel, originating at the anterior base of inner posterior prominence and passing the inner side of the center of the ventral wall of tympanic bulla, reaches to the anterior end of involucrum. The rugose area on the ventral wall occupies wide area between ventral keel and the lateral border of ventral wall (Fig. 60).

On the periotic, anterior process is slightly flat hemispherical with a small conical protuberence on the anterior tip. Posterior process of periotic is slender
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than that of $M$. densirostris, $M$. carlhabbsi, and $M$. europaeus. Though there is a deep furrow on the lateral surface of superior process, connecting the upper tympanic aperture and base of cochlear portion, this is observed also on other Mesoplodon species. The protuberence on the superior process is not developed. The canal for stapedial muscle is shallow.


Fig. 60. Contour of the ventral and lateral aspect of tympanic bulla of Mesoplodon. Black line and dotted area indicate ventral keel and rugose area surrounding it. 1, Mesoplodon europaeus. 2, M. densirostris. 3, M. mirus. 4, M. ginkgodens. 5, M. stejnegeri. 6, M. carlhubbsi.

Mesoplodon densirostris (Pl. V). 4 tympanic bullae and 1 periotic were studied. Their size is slightly larger than those of other Mesoplodon species.

The ventral keel situates on the center, and the smooth area in the involucrum side is wide, which feature is different from that of $M$. stejnegeri. As the inner posterior prominence is thin, the interprominential notch situates at the inner side. Interprominential notch is shallow.

The dorsal surface of periotic is smooth, and the lateral contour is arc shaped. There is no furrow on the bases of anterior and posterior processes nor upper area of upper tympanic aperture. The canal for stapedial muscle forms a deep crescent furrow. Anterior process is of trigonal pyramid, and has a small conical protuberence on the anterior tip. Cochlear portion is flat, and pentagonal.

Mesoplodon europaeus (Pl. V). Tympanic bullae of 3 individuals and periotic of an individual were studied. Their sizes are smaller than those of other species of this genus.

The tympanic bulla resembles that of $M$. stejnegeri in the situation of ventral keel, and in the globular and protruding outer posterior prominence, but differs from it in the thin inner posterior prominence which is similar to that of $M$. densirostris.

The most conspicuous feature of the periotic is the presence of a cylindrical protuberence on the dorsal surface of superior process (Pl. V). Though the similar structure is also observed on M. ginkgodens, M. europaeus can be distinguished from it by the shallower canal for stapedial muscle and the shape of cochlear portion in which the anterior and posterior margin is not in parallel. There is a vertical furrow on the superior process near upper tympanic aperture.

Mesoplodon ginkgodens (Pl. VI). Tympano-periotic bones from two individuals were studied. Its size is nearly same with that of M. europaeus. The flatness of tympanic bulla is weaker than any species of Mesoplodon mentioned before. Outer posterior prominence is very low and its apex is flat and oval in the contour. Inner posterior prominence is higher but thinner than the outer. Prominent ventral keel situates at the center of ventral wall of tympanic bulla and reaches the anterior tip of the bulla. The area inside of ventral keel is occupied by a wide smooth area continuing to involucrum as in the case of $M$. densirostris. The rugose area is restricted in the narrow part between ventral keel and lateral wall of tympanic bulla (Fig. 60). The anterior part of interprominential notch is not smoothly connected to the lateral wall.

Periotic resembles to that of M. europaeus. The base for stapedial muscle seems to be wide and shallow as in the case of Ziphius cavirostris, but in M. europaeus it forms a narrow furrow encircling the cochlear portion different from M. ginkgodens. Cochlear portion is approximately square.

Mesoplodon mirus (Pl. III). Only one tympanic bulla was observed. The ventral keel situates at the inner side of the center of ventral wall of the bulla as in the case of $M$. stejnegeri and $M$. europaeus, but is characteristic in the strong elevation at the anterior part of the keel. The smooth area inside of the ventral keel is wide (Fig. 60). Outer posterior prominence is thick and high. Inner posterior prominence is small.

Mesoplodon carlhabbsi (Pl. VI). The size of tympano-periotic bone is nearly similar to that of $M$. stejnegeri. On the tympanic bulla, the posterior prominences especially the outer develops well. Interprominential notch is wide and smoothly continues to the slope of lateral wall of tympanic bulla. Ventral keel continues from the base of inner posterior prominence to the point near the anterior border of the bulla. It situates at the inner side of the center of ventral wall, and its highest
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point at the middle of tympanic bulla. The smooth area on the inner side of ventral keel is narrow (Fig. 60).

When seen from the cerebral side of periotic, the front border of anterior process, lateral border of superior process, and posterior border of posterior process cross in a right angle (Pl. VI Fig. 15). Anterior process is large and conical with the apex on the cerebral side. Though there is a slight protuberance on the superior process near the fundus of internal auditory meatus, it is not so conspicuous as that of $M$. europaeus or $M$. ginkgodens. The cochlear portion, which contour is round, has a wide fossa at the mesial side of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus. The furrow of the base for stapedial muscle is wide and deep. The furrow on the lateral surface of superior process is shallow.

## Physeteridae

This family includes only one species Physeter catodon. The tympano-periotic bone of this species was precisely described by Yamada (1953). Several important diagnostic features of the ear bones of Physeter catodon (Pl. VII) are described below in comparison with other Physeteroidea species.


Fig. 61. Schematic figure of the lower tympanic aperture of Physeteroidea. C, Conical process. SP, Sigmoid process. PPT, Posterior process of tympanic bulla. 1, Ziphiidae. 2, Kogiidae. 3, Physeteridae.

The tympano-periotic bone of Physeter catodon is massive and the largest in Physeteroidea. On the tympanic bulla, in spite of the strong development of involucrum and inner posterior prominence, outer posterior prominence and interprominential notch are greatly reduced. As the result of this modification, outer and inner posterior prominences and lateral border of sigmoid process situate nearly on one plane (Pl. VII Fig. 5). Though the sigmoid process is thin and square as in the case of Ziphiidae, the width is larger than the length. Its lateral margin is not twisted posteriorly. Conical process and posterior branch of lower tympanic apertore are almost disappeared (Fig. 61). Accessory ossicle is large and roofs the anterior part of tympanic cavity. Posterior process of tympanic bulla is long and composed of thin laminated plates. In one example, a tympanic bulla of 59.3 mm in standard length had the posterior process of 175 mm in length (PI. VII Fig. 11). The facet for the connection between posterior process of tympanic bulla and that of periotic has vague keels and grooves, and not so smooth as Ziphiidae and Kogiidae. The tympanic bulla of Physeter differs in these features from those of Ziphiidae and Kogiidae, but it resembles to that of Kogiidae in the $U$ shaped anterior openning
of tympanic bulla, swallen involucrum and its " 3 " shaped dorsal margin, absence of lateral furrow, and in the closed elliptical foramen.

The superior process of periotic is massive, and continuous in structure with anterior and posterior processes. Anterior process is shaped of a curved slender rod. Posterior process is cylindrical and tapered distally, which is connected to superior process at a right angle and bent to downward. The posterior surface of posterior process of periotic is rugose suggesting a sutural connection with squamosal. Aquaeductus Fallopii, foramen singulare, ductus endolymphaticus, and tractus spiralis foraminosus opens in one openning of fundus of interal auditory meatus (Pl. VII Fig. 9).

## Kogizdae

This family is composed of two species in one genus Kogia. The diagnostic features of the ear bones are as follows.

The size of tympanic bulla is one of the smallest among the toothed whales. The smaller size is only found in Pontoporia blainvillei. The ventral wall of tympanic bulla is flat and crosses with the lateral wall at a right angle, and there is observed no lateral compression of tympanic bulla nor deformation of posterior prominences.


Fig. 62. Schematic figure showing the difference of antero-lateral border of tympanic bulla, and of upper tympanic aperture between Kogia breviceps (1), and K. simus (2). Anterior direction is at the center.

Inner and outer posterior prominences developes well, and the latter protrudes posteriorly and the former postero-mesial direction at nearly a right angle with the latter. Interprominential notch opens only posteriorly, and does not extend on the ventral wall of tympanic bulla. As sigmoid process is not twisted, the anterior surface is exactly directed toward antero-posterior axis of tympanic bulla. The tip of sigmoid process is spheric. The distal portion of posterior process of tympanic bulla is funnel shaped. The facets for the connection between the two posterior processes are smooth.

The anterior process of periotic is flat and rectangular with the concaved margins, which is different from other Physeteroidea species. On the thick superior process, thin plate-like posterior process extends posteriory. Aquaeductus Fallopii and ductus endolymphaticus opens outside of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus inside of which foramen singulare opens.

Kogia simus and K. breviceps (Pl. VIII)
Though the ear bones of the two species is quite similar, they can be distinguished by several characteristics. On the tympanic bulla, the anterior margin of the lateral wall is concaved in $K$. simus but is convex in $K$. breviceps. In this respect the ear bone reported by Yamada (1953, Fig. 13) is classified into K. simus. On the tympanic bulla of 3 K . simus, conical process is hidden inside of the sigmoid process and cannot be observed from outside. But on one example of $K$. breviceps it is observed from outer side. This will probably be a specific difference. The upper tympanic aperture is large in $K$. breviceps and small in $K$. simus (Fig. 62).

## Platanistoidea

This superfamily includes the three families, Platanistidae, Iniidae, and Pontoporiidae. There are found some common features in the morphology of their ear bones as mentioned below. On the tympanic bulla, the swollen base of outer posterior prominence, the existance of median and lateral furrow, no lateral compression, and thick and square sigmoid process are common in Platanistoidea. On the periotic, the opening of fundus of internal ausitory meatus is characteristic in the roundness. The posterior processes of both tympanic bulla and periotic are very small in size and the latter is bent downward.

TABLE 8. MEASUREMENTS OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES OF PLATANISTOIDEA, SHOWN IN MM OR PERGENTAGE OF THE STANDARD LENGTH.

| Species | P. gangetica | I. geoffrensis | L. vexillifer | P. blainvillei |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample size | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 1. Length of tympanic bulla (mm) | $47.1-63-5$ | $38 \cdot 2-45.6$ | 47.1 | 24.3 |  |
| 5. Width of tympanic bulla (\%) | $44.3-54.4$ | $60.3-70.3$ | 51.7 | 61.3 |  |
| 6. Height of tympanic bulla (\%) | $61.3-74.8$ | $74.0-81.4$ | 58.0 | 83.0 |  |
| 13. Length of periotic (mm) | $33.4-42.3$ | $25.7-30.4$ | 52.0 | 20.0 |  |
| 14. Thichness of periotic (\%) | $23.9-35.9$ | $41.6-58.3$ | 26.9 | 47.5 |  |
| 15. Width of periotic (\%) | $55.3-66.5$ | $79.6-90.0$ | 46.2 | 81.0 |  |
| 19. Diameter of cochlear portion (\%) | $38.3-44.8$ | $54.3-68.5$ | 37.5 | 68.0 |  |
| -. FIAM, Width/Length | $0.79-1.33$ | $0.40-0.60$ | 0.88 | 0.37 |  |

## Platanistidae

This family includes only one species Platanista gangetica. The most conspicuous feature of the ear bone of this species is in the relation to the skull (see page 48).

The anterior part of tympanic bulla is cylindrical and smoothly changes into anterior spine, which length increases with the growth of animal. There is formed
small irregular needle-shaped processes in the median furrow, and gives the base of ligament connecting the tympanic bulla and basioccipital. The lateral wall is highly convex. The width of tympanic bulla is smaller than that of Inia geoffrensis (Table 8). This is related with the shape of involucrum. Sigmoid process, which situates at a right angle to the long axis of the bulla, is large in both thickness and width. Lateral furrow is weaker than that of Inia. The elliptical foramen opens, but the shape is irregular. The facet for the posterior process of periotic has weak longitudinal grooves and ridges.

Though the periotic is larger than that of Inia geoffrensis, cochlear portion is smaller than it in both thickness and diameter. The apex of cochlear portion touches the involucrum. Round opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is surrounded by a circular keel. The apertures of aquaeductus Fallopii and foramen sigulare open at a close distance in the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus. Anterior process is slender and curves along cochlear portion. At the dolsal base of anterior process there is usually a small pillar shaped process directing toward cochlear portion (Pl. IX Figs. 8-10). As there is usually attached one or two ossicles on the anterior process, the shape of this part varies between individuals (Pl. IX Figs. 12-13). Accessory ossicle touches the anterior base of cochlear portion. Superior process is thin and is clearly separated by the grooves from the anterior and posterior processes. The antero-dorsal corner of the posterior process of periotic forms a nodular protuberence. A small rod shaped downward protuberence is on the posterior wall of upper tympanic aperture (Pl. IV Fig. 7), this structure strengthens the mechanical connection between posterior process of periotic and squamosal.

## Inïdae

This family includes two genera Inia and Lipotes. One of the two features of tympano-periotic bones distinguishing this family from other Platanistoidea is that the posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic are not sutured to the skull. And the other is the relatively strong sutural connection between both posterior processes. The former structure is also observed in Pontoporia blainvillei, and the latter in Platanista gangetica but the suture is stronger in Inia and Lipotes. Lateral margin of sigmoid process is strongly convexed posteriorly. Elliptical foramen opens.

1. Inia geoffrensis (Pl. X)

The ear bones of this species is smaller than those of Platanista and Lipotes. As the involucrum expands to the inside, the width of tympanic bulla is large. Lateral furrow is prominent. Median furrow is nallow and shallow, and situates at slightly outer side of the center of tympanic bulla. The size of two posterior prominences are nearly same, which is different from the case of Lipotes. The short posterior process of tympanic bulla extends posteriorly. Its length is from $20.8 \%$ to $24.8 \%$ of the length of bulla.

On the periotic, the anterior and posterior processes are smaller in comparison with the size of cochlear portion, as in the case of Pontoporia blainvillei.
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Anterior process is square and short, but small process sometimes attaches on the tip. The thickness (measurement no. 14) and breadth (no. 15) of periotic is larger than the corresponding values of Lipotes and Platanista (Table 8). The opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is usually round.
2. Lipotes vexillifer (PI. X)

The length of tympanic bulla of this species is intermediate of Inia and Platanista, but that of periotic is larger than any of the two genera.

As involucrum of tympanic bulla does not expand to the inner side, the width of tympanic bulla is smaller than that of Inia but resembles to that of Platanista (Table 8). The anterior part of tympanic bulla is conical and posseses no anterior spine. Median furrow reaches to a shallow hollow near the anterior end of the bulla. Outer posterior prominence is large and spherical, but the innerposterior prominence is thin. Ventral keel is low and narrow, and curves to the outer side at the middle of the length. The diameter of cochlear portion is 19.2 mm , which is nearly same with that of Inia and larger than Platanista. But its ratio to periotic length is far smaller than both of them. The opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is nearly round ( $14.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 13.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) as in the case of Platanista. Anterior process of periotic can be clearly distinguished from superior process, and its slender tip extends along the supero-lateral border of tympanic bulla. This feature is similar to Platanista rather than Inia. Posterior process of periotic is also distinguished from superior process as in the case of anterior process.

## Pontoporiidae

This family includes only one species Pontoporia blainvillei (Pl. IX). Most conspicuous feature of this family is the almost smooth articular facets on both posterior processes, but more perfect smoothness is found in Phocoenidae. Following descriptions on the ear bones are for this species.

The tympano-periotic bone of this species is the smallest among the observed Odontoceti. The ventral view of tympanic bulla is convexed laterally. Anterior spine is absent. Median furrow developes well and is in parallel with the concaved involucrum. Both posterior prominences are slightly large and nearly in same size. It is a characteristic feature of this species that the lateral wall between base of outer posterior prominence and the lateral furrow greatly swells. Lateral furrow is inconspicuous. Sigmoid process is square in the anterior view and its thickness is large. Elliptical foramen is closed. The posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture (measurement no. 10) is larger than any other species of Platanistoidea. The posterior process of tympanic bulla and the tip of posterior process of periotic is directed to the posterior.

The anterior process of periotic is short and pointed. The posterior and anterior processes are continuous with superior process, which is similar to Inia and different from Platanista and Lipotes. Cochlear portion is proportionally large as in the case of Inia. This seems to have a relation with the shorter anterior and posterior processes. The openings of aquaeductus cochleae and ductus endolymphaticus are widely separated from the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus.

## Delphinoidea

In this superfamily, the posterior process of tympanic bulla is relatively large, and not sutured with the skull. Sigmoid process is small and thin, and L-shaped in the lateral view. Lateral furrow is almost completely disappeared. Outer posterior prominence is round or flat, and projects postero-ventrally. Interprominential notch is deep. Elliptical foramen is closed in some species.

On the periotic, the anterior, posterior, and superior processes are continuous. Accessory ossicle lies between anterior process and lateral wall of tympanic bulla, separating the two parts. Anterior process is thick and square. Anterior margin of cochlear portion does not touch the anterior process.

This superfamily includes Delphinapteridae, Phocoenidae, Delphinidae, and Monodontidae.

## Delphinabteridae

This family includes Delphinapterus leucas and Orcaella brevirostris. The common features of tympano-periotic bones are as follows.

The outer and inner posterior prominences are developed well, and the width across these prominences is large. The posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture opens wide as in the case of Pontoporiidae and Phocoenidae. Elliptical foramen is


Fig. 63. Schematic figure of tympanic bulla and periotic of Delphinapterus leucas (1) and Orcaella brevirostris (2).

TABLE 9. WIDTH OF TYMPANIC BULLA SHOWN IN PERGENTAGE OF THE LENGTH, IN ORCAELLA, DELPHINAPTERUS, AND MONODON.

| $\quad$ Species | O. brevirostris | D. leucas | M. monoceros |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\quad$ Sample size | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Range of the width | $52.0-57.5$ | $58.0-59.0$ | $37.5-41.4$ |
| Mean | 54.8 | 58.9 | 39.6 |

closed. Bilateral compression of tympanic bulla is not occurred (Table 9, Fig. 63). The posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic are large and extend straightly to the posterior direction. The suture between both posterior processes is rigid by the existence of deep ridges and grooves.
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## 1. Delphinapterus leucas (P1. XI)

The anterior margin of tympanic bulla is lacking of anterior spine, and round in the contour. Because of the low ventral keel and highly projected outer posterior prominence, the ventral contour of tympanic bulla is saddle shaped when seen from the lateral side. Involucrum is strongly projecting to inner side. The tip of posterior process of tympanic bulla is highly thickened.

The posterior process of periotic is thick and wide at the base, but thin at the tip to form a wedge shape. On the dorsal surface of the posterior process there are irregular grooves (Fig. 63). Periotic of this species is sutured by this structure to the squamosal (Pl. I Fig. 8, and Kleinenberg et al 1964). Superior process is thick and have a flat elevation higher than the opening of the fundus of internal auditory meatus. The opening of the fundus of internal auditory meatus is surrounded by a circular crest. The opening of aquaeductus Fallopii is separated from foramen singulare and tractus spiralis foraminosus by a developed crista transversa.
2. Orcaella brevirostris (Pl. XI)

The tympano-periotic bone of this species is nearly similar in size to that of Delphinapterus leucas.

When seen from the lateral side the ventral contour of tympanic bulla is flat, which is greatly different from $D$. leucas. The low ventral keel reaches slightly beyond the midpoint of tympanic bulla. Shallow median furrow is formed along the ventral keel. The outer posterior prominence, which is flat in D. leucas, is cylindrical in this species. The projection of involucrum to the inner side is observed on ZSI 274 in a same form as D. leucas, but weaker on USMN 199743. The posterior process of tympanic bulla is larger than that of $D$. leucas in both thickness and length, and possesses a large part of spongy structure.

The posterior process of periotic is also large and have a spongy structure. The USNM specimen had larger posterior process than the ZSI specimen. Probably this will be related with the age of the animal. Opening of ductus endolymphaticus is surrounded by a large shallow funnel shaped area. Superior process is slender than that of $D$. leucas.

## Phocoenidae

The diagnostic features of the tympano-periotic bones of this family are in the direction of the posterior processes and in the smooth facets for the connection between both posterior processes. The former is similar to Delphinapteridae, but the latter differs from it. The posterior process of tympanic bulla is large, but that of the periotic is smaller and shaped of a rod. The both posterior processes have no spongy structure (Fig. 64).

Other characteristics common in the tympanic bulla of this family are observed in the developed posterior prominences, deep interprominential notch, wide posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture, existence of a vague median furrow reaching nearly anterior part of the bulla, absence of anterior spine, elevated anterior end of the ventral wall, closed elliptical foramen, and in the absence of lateral compression of tympanic bulla. But most of these characteristics are common to Delphi-
napteridae.
The characteristic features of the periotic of this family are the linear arrangement of anterior, superior, and posterior processes, the triangular shape of cochlear portion, and low crista transversa. The lengths of tympano-periotic bones are small and approximately in the range between 27 mm and 34 mm .

1. Neophocaena phocaenoides (Pl. XII)

The outer posterior prominence is cylindrical and far thicker than the inner posterior prominence. The posterior process of tympanic bulla is thick and spindleshaped in lateral view. Ventral keel is high as in the case of Phocoenoides. Posterior process of periotic is shaped of a slender rod with the pointed tip (Fig. 64).


Fig. 64. Schematic figure of tympano-periotic bones of Phocoenidae, ventral (top) and lateral view (middle) of tympanic bulla, and lateral and dorsal views of posterior processes (bollom). PPP, Posterior process of periotic. PPT, Posterior process of tympanic bulla. 1, Neophocaena phocaenoides. 2, Phocoenoides spp. 3, Phocoena phocoena.

TABLE 10. RANGE OF THE MEASUREMENTS OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES OF PHOCOENIDAE, SHOWN BY THE PERCENTAGE OF THE STANDARD LENGTH.

> Species
> Sample size

Tympanic bulla
2. Tip to inner post. prom.
6. Height

Periotic
14. Thickness
19. Diameter of cochlear portion
$\mathcal{N}$. phocaenoides
19
98.7-108.0
81.5-94.3
26.6-33.6
45.1- 51.4
P. phocoena

6
89.3-97.9
75.2-87.3
32.6-39.0
38.9-44.6

Phocoenoides spp. 12

This species differs from other two genera of Phocoenidae in the following measurements, or the distance from anterior tip of tympanic bulla to posterior end of inner posterior prominence (measurement no. 2), height of the bulla (no. 6), thickness of periotic (no. 14), and diameter of cochlear portion (no. 19) (Tables 10 and 16).
2. Phocoena phocoena (Pl. XII)

The outer posterior prominence of the tympanic bulla is flat as in the case of

Phocoenoides. Ventral keel is low and most inconspicuous among the three genera of Phocoenidae. The central area of the ventral wall of tympanic bulla is flat and is not concaved as that of Neophocaena and Phocoenoides. The posterior process of tympanic bulla is similar to that of Phocoenoides. The tip of posterior process of periotic is robust as in the case of Phocoenoides, but it differs in the existance of a weak constriction at the base.

The observation of each 3 specimens of Ph. phocoena phocoena and Ph. phocoena vomerina could not find the difference between the two subspecies. One tympanoperiotic bone of Ph. sinus did not show any difference from Ph. phocoena.
3. Phocoenoides (Pl. XIII)

The shape of outer posterior prominence and of posterior process of tympanic bulla differs from that of Neophocaena but resembles to Phocoena. The presence of the high ventral keel and the deep concavity at the middle area of ventral wall of the bulla differs from Phocoena. Though the periotic of Phocoenoides resembles to that of Phocoena, it may be distinguished by the shape of the posterior process (Fig. 64).

There is found no morphological difference between the tympano-periotic bone of Ph. dalli and that of Ph. truei.

## Delphinidae

One of the characteristic features of this family is found in the posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic. The posterior processes project laterally or postero-laterally, and their connection forms the suture with the longitudinal grooves and ridges on the facets. But these features are observed also in Monodontidae. Other characteristics of this family are the larger or equal thickness of outer posterior prominence in comparison with that of the inner, the narrow posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture, the low crista transversa, and aquaeductus Fallopii openning in the same base with tractus spiralis foraminosus.

The presence of elliptical foramen, median furrow, and of anterior spine, height of ventral keel, and strength of lateral compression of tympanic bulla show wide variation between taxa.

This family is divided, in this study, into 4 subfamilies or Sotaliinae, Delphininae, Orcininae, and Globicephalinae.

## Sotaliinae

The characteristics of the tympanic bulla of this subfamily are the presence of median furrow (Sotalia and Sousa) or similar longitudinal furrow (Cephalorhynchus), swallen outer posterior prominence, weak ventral keel, usually closed elliptical foramen, no lateral compression of the bulla, and absence of anterior spine.

On the periotic, there is no common characteristics except the slenderness of the superior process.

1. Sotalia spp. (Pl. XV)

Only two specimens from a $S$. guianensis and a $S$. fluviatilis are measured. The size of ear bone is nearly same with that of Stenella or Delphinus.
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The anterior margin of ventral wall of tympanic bulla is nearly symmetrical and oval-shaped. Shallow median furrow, starting from the wide interprominential notch, reaches near the anterior end of tympanic bulla. The rugose area on the ventral surface of tympanic bulla is restricted to the inner side of median furrow. Ventral keel is not so developed as Tursiops and Stenella. The inner posterior prominence is short and its tip does not project posteriorly beyond its posterior base. This condition is not observed in Sousa and Cephalorhynchus. As the outer posterior prominence, especially its anterior base swells well, the highest point is at the point corresponding to the base of sigmoid process when seen from the lateral side. The periotic is characteristic in the two longitudinal keels on the superior process (Fig.


Fig. 65. Schematic figure of tympano-periotic bones of Sotaliinae. Dotted area indicates ventral keel, and the dotted line Median furrow or similar structure. 1, Cephalorhynchus. 2, Sousa. 3, Sotalia.

TABLE 11. RANGE OF THE MEASUREMENT OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES OF SOTALIINAE, SHOWN BY THE PERCENTAGE OF THE STANDARD LENGTH.

> Species Sample size

Tympanic bulla
2. Tip to inner prost. prom.
5. Width
7. Width across post. prominences
10. Width of post. branch of LTA Periotic
17. Aquaeductus cochleae to FIAM

## Sotalia spp. 2

Sousa $t$
1
Cephalorhynchus spp. 2

| $91.3-91.4$ | 97.4 | $89.2-90.9$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $56.2-57.3$ | 57.3 | $57.2-58.2$ |
| $48.6-49.4$ | 54.1 | $44.6-46.4$ |
| 5.4 | 7.3 | 4.0 |
|  |  |  |
| $5.7-6.8$ | 5.4 | 17.9 |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.
65). According to the observation on several Sotalia specimen in Museo de Zoologia de la Univ. de Sao Paulo, the opening of elliptical foramen is not rare.

There was observed no significant difference between $S$. guianensis and $S$. fluviatilis.
2. Sousa teuszii (Pl. XIV)

Only one specimen from Senegal was studied. The size of ear bone is slightly larger than that of Sotalia. The form of the anterior tip of tympanic bulla is, different from Sotalia and Cephalorhynchus, asymetric and outer margin projects laterally. There is a clear median furrow along the outer base of low ventral keel. Its width is largest at the middle of tympanic bulla. The lateral border of median furrow is bounded by a weak keel. The tip of inner posterior prominence projects posteriorly beyond the base. The width across posterior prominences (measurement no. 7) is slightly larger than the other species of this subfamily (Table 11). Posterior process of tympanic bulla is square.

The superior process of periotic is slender and divided into lateral and dorsal planes by a longitudinal keel (Fig. 65). The opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is slender and separated from the triangular hollow surrounding the opening of ductus endolymphaticus by a keel on its lateral margin.
3. Cephalorhynchus spp. (Pl. XIV)

Only two individuals were studied. The size of ear bone is nearly same with that of Stenella.

Anterior margin of the ventral wall of tympanic bulla is oval. The ventral wall is elevated at the anterior part. The inner posterior prominence is thin and the tip projects beyond the posterior base. Interprominential notch opens wide. The median furrow like structure extend to the anterior margin of the bulla, but it opens to the lateral wall of the bulla at its middle. The inner margin of involucrum is straight. Posterior process is square.

The superior process of periotic has no prominent keel observed in Sousa and Sotalia. The distance between aquaeductus cochleae and the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is markedly large (Table 11). Opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is surrounded by irregular small processes.

## Delphininae

Wide variation is observed in the morphology of tympanic bulla among the members of this subfamily. The lengths of tympanic bulla and of periotic are found between 28.0 mm and 41.5 mm , and 25.4 mm and 37.8 mm respectively. On the tympanic bulla of this subfamily the bilateral compression is not occurred, elliptical foramen is open, median furrow is absent, and inner posterior prominence projects posteriorly beyond the base. The shape of ventral keel of tympanic bulla can be used as the diagnostic characteristics of the genera. It is highest in Tursiops and varies in the order of Tursiops, Stenella, Lissodelphis, Delphinus, Lagenorhynchus, and Steno. A low hemispheric prominence is present on the ventral wall of tympanic bulla near the anterior end of involucrum (Fig. 66).

1. Tursiops truncatus in the Japanese waters (PI. XVI)

The taxonomical position of Tursiops in the coastal waters of Japan is not fixed yet. In this paper the name T. truncatus is tentatively applied for it after Ogawa (1938) and Rice and Scheffer (1968).

The ear bones of this species are the largest in the Delphininae. Ventral keel develops high and reaches to the anterior margin of the bulla. Interprominential notch is deep, and opens on the lateral wall of tympanic bulla in front of outer posterior prominence. The both posterior prominences are nearly equal in the thickness. In the ventral aspect of tympanic bulla the anterior margin is oval and symmetrical (Fig. 66).


Fig. 66. Schematic figure of tympano-periotic bones of Delphininae. Dotted area indicates ventral keel, and dotted line the hemispheric protuberance. 1, Steno. 2, Lagenorhynchus. 3, Delphinus. 4, Lissodelphis. 5, Tursiops.

On the periotic, it is characteristic that the posterior margin of the posterior process is smoothly bent to the lateral direction. In other species of this subfamily this part usually forms a clear angle. Fundus of internal auditory meatus opens at the same level with superior process, where small needle-shaped processes are usually formed in the adult individual.
T. gill from the eastern Pacific and T. truncates from the north Atlantic could not be distinguished from Tursiops in the Japanese waters.

## 2. Stenella caeruleoalba (Pl. XVII)

Though ventral keel is high and straight as in the case of Tursiops, the tympanoperiotic bone of this species are far smaller. The inner posterior prominence is thinner than the outer, and the ventral view of the anterior margin of tympanic bulla is not oval but possesses a short semicylindrical anterior spine. The antero-lateral part of ventral wall of tympanic bulla slopes laterally, and shows a slight flattening of the bulla.
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On the periotic of Stenella, the posterior process, anterior process, superior process, and the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus show wide individual variation, and the identification of the species in this genus is difficult. But the presence of an angle on the border between the dorsal and the posterior margins of posterior process, of a flat area on the superior process which are present also in some Globicephalinae may be used as one of the characteristics of Stenella.

One tympano-periotic bone of presumably young Stenella styx from the north Atlantic was indistinguishable from that of young Stenella caeruleoalba.
(?) Stenella roseiventris (Pl. XIX). This means the Hawaiian spinner dolphin. The ventral keel of tympanic bulla is slightly lower than that of $S$. caeruleoalba, and scatters a irregular tubercles on it. Ventral keel is convexed to outer side. Its


Fig. 67. Schematic figure showing the variation of ventral keel in Stenella. Dotted area indicates ventral keel. 1 is observed in $S$. caeruleoalba, 2 in $S$. attenuato and S. caeruleoalba, 3 in S. graffmani. 4 in S. longirostris, 5 in S. roseiventris. In the last three species, sample is scarce to find the range of individual variation.
inside slopes to the involucrum (Fig. 67). Though this convexity is also observed on Delphinus and S. longirostris, the length and width of ventral keel differ between Delphinus and Stenella (Fig. 66). The swelling at the anterior end of ventral keel is of long oval in the contour. The ventral view of the anterior margin of tympanic bulla is of slender triangle with the anterior spine at slightly outer part (Fig. 67). This species and $S$. longirostris show a common feature in the presence of a low keel on the outer border of ventral surface of tympanic bulla.
(?) Stenella longirostris (Pl. XIX). This means the eastern Pacific spinner dolphin, only two specimens are used here. Though the general feature of ventral keel resembles that of $S$. roseiventris, its height is smaller and nearly same with that of Lissodelphis. The hemispheric swelling at the anterior end of ventral keel was round in the contour and higher than the ventral keel.

The flat area on the dorsal surface of superior process seems to be larger than that of $S$. roseiventris, but it may be in the range of individual variation.

Stenella attenuata (Pl. XVII). This is the spotted dolphins in the Pacific coast
of Japan. I hope to reserve the conclusion on the validity of several species of spotted dolphins in the genus Stenella. The tympanic bulla and periotic bone of this species resemble those of $S$. caeruleoalba, and the discrimination of tympano-periotic bone of the two species is difficult. The ventral keel is conspicuously high. The round prominence at the anterior end of ventral keel is flat and inseparable from ventral keel.

Stenella graffmani (Pl. XVIII) This species indicates the spotted dolphin distributing in the eastern Pacific. The tympanic bulla of this species closely resembles that of $S$. attenuata. But the ventral keel seems to be slightly lower than that of $S$. attenuata or of $S$. caeruleoalba (Fig. 67). The thickness of periotic (measurement no. 14) is slightly smaller in this species than $S$. attenuata and $S$. caeruleoalba, but further confirmation will be necessary.

Stenella plagiodon This includes the spotted dolphin in the Atlantic. The tympano-periotic bone resembles that of $S$. caeruleoalba and of other species of spotted dolphins in the Pacific.

## 3. Lissodelphis borealis (Pl. XX)

The size of tympano-periotic bone is similar to that of Stenella. On the surface of ventral keel of tympanic bulla distributes a longitudinal fine reticular grooves. Though the ventral keel is long and wide, its height is smaller than that of Stenella spp. (Fig. 66). The hemispheric prominence at the anterior end of ventral keel continues to low ventral keel. Inner posterior prominence is thinner than that of Stenella, Delphinus, and Tursiops, and its tip is pointed.

The periotic is characteristic in the flatness of the area surrounded by the posterior margin of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus, aperture of ductus endolymphaticus, and aperture of aquaeductus cochleae. The base for the stapedial muscle is concaved, and the aquaeductus cochleae opens on the same plane with the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus. The last feature is observed also on Steno, but in other delphininae species it opens near the posterior wall of cochlear portion (Pl. XX Figs. 4 and 10, and Text Fig. 66). Anterior process is square and slender.

## 4. Delphinus bairdi (Pl. XX)

Banks and Brownell (1969) distinguished the Common dolphin in the eastern Pacific into two species of $D$. bairdi and $D$. delphis, and showed the difference of the habitat. According to my unpublished data, each one specimen from Formosa and Kyushu in southern Japan have the feature of skull coinciding to D. bairdi. Probably this D. bairdi distributes widely in the warmer warters in the North Pacific. Present specimens includes this Formosa specimen. The Kyushu specimen was used for reference.

The size of tympano-periotic bone is same with that of Stenella. The ventral keel of tympanic bulla is so low as that of Lissodelphis and inconspicuous especially in the anterior part, where only slender low keel continues to the base of the round hemispheric protuberence at the antero-mesial corner of tympanic bulla (Fig. 66). The inner area of this ventral keel, where fine tubercles are scattered, is wide and slopes to the involucrum. In the ventral view, the anterior border is more acute
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at the mesial side than the lateral side. The interprominential notch is wider than Stenella and Lissodelphis, and the bottom is U shaped. A vague longitudinal keel is present along the middle line of ventral wall of tympanic bulla.

The aquaeductus cochleae opens on the posterior wall of cochlear portion as in the case of Stenella.

Delphinus delphis (Pl. XXI). The thickness of sigmoid process seems slightly larger in this species than in the D. bairdi. Similar difference is also observed in the width of the head of sigmoid process (Table 12). Other features are same with $D$. bairdi.

TABLE 12. RANGE OF THE MEASUREMENT NO. 9, WIDTH OF UPPER BORDER OF SIGMOID PROCESS, IN DELPHINUS.

| $\quad$ Species | D. bairdi | D. delphis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\quad$ Sample size | 6 | 2 |
| Range in mm | $4.1-5.0$ | $4.8-5.7$ |
| Range in \% of standard length | $13.2-15.2$ | $14.0-17.0$ |
| Mean in $\%$ | 14.4 | 16.0 |

TABLE 13. RANGE OF THE MEASUREMENT NO. 7, WIDTH OF TYMPANIG BULLA ACROSS POSTERIOR PROMINENCES, IN LAGENORHYNCHUS

| Specie | Sample size | Range in mm | Range in \% of <br> standard length | Mean in \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. obliquidens | 14 | $14.2-17.7$ | $42.0-49.4$ | 45.5 |
| L. acutus | 3 | $16.0-16.5$ | $48.0-49.6$ | 49.0 |
| L. albirostris | 3 | $20.1-20.5$ | $54.2-56.0$ | 54.9 |
| L. obscurus | 1 | 16.5 | 49.2 | 49.2 |
| L. australis | 1 | 15.5 | 47.4 | 47.4 |

## 5. Lagenorhynchus obliquidens (Pl. XXI)

The length of tympano-periotic bone is intermediate of Tursiops and Delphinus. The height and width of the ventral keel of tympanic bulla exceed those of Delphinus, but it fades at or slightly beyond the middle of tympanic bulla. Two vague longitudinal keels are on the ventral surface of the bulla as in the case of Steno bredanensis (Figs. 66 and 68). In the ventral view of tympanic bulla the anterior margin is round and has inconspicuous anterior spine which situates slightly outer side. The dorsal contour of the periotic is of arc, this feature is common to all species of Lagenorhynchus (Pl. XXI Figs. 15 and 16).

Lagenorhynchus acutus (Pl. XXII). A smooth area in the mesial side of ventral keel is wide in this species (Pl. XXII Fig. 1). In the ventral view, the anterior margin of the bulla is triangular and has an anterior spine.

Lagenorhynchus albirostris (Pl. XXIII). Tympano-periotic bone of this species is slightly larger than that of $L^{.}$. obliquidens.

As the both posterior prominences of tympanic bulla are thick and their tips diverge, the width across the posterior prominences (measurement no. 7) is large (Table 13). Sigmoid process is peculiar in the posteriorly convexed lateral margin
(Fig. 68). The ventral view of anterior part of tympanic bulla is strongly asymmetric.

In the lateral view of periotic, the contour of anterior process, superior process, and posterior process shapes of a arc. Though this feature is common in all Lagenorhynchi species, it is most conspicuous in this species.

Lagenorhynchus obsculus and L. australis (Pl. XXII). Observation is based on only each one specimen. Though the anterior margin of the ventral view of tympanic bulla is symmetric in both species, the former differs in the presence of a short anterior spine from the latter, in which it is round lacking in the anterior spine. The sigmoid process is thicker in L. australis than in L. obsculus (Fig. 68).


Fig. 68. Schematic figure of the ventral view of tympanic bulla and of lateral view of sigmoid process of Lagenorhynchus. 1, L. australis. 2, L. obliquidens. 3, L. albirostris. 4, L. obscurus. 5, L. acutus. For the dotted area and doted line see Fig. 66.

In $L$. obsculus, the interprominential notch is closed at the anterior base of posterior prominences. Though the similar structure is also often observed in $L$. obliquidens, it is more conspicuous in L. obsculus.
6. Steno bredanensis (Pl. XXIII)

The size of tympano-periotic bone is nearly same with that of Tursiops. The ventral keel is developed both in the length and height, but it never reaches to the anterior tip (Fig. 66). The anterior half of ventral wall is cylindrical and presents a deep groove at the antero-lateral border of ventral keel. The ventral view of anterior margin of tympanic bulla is round lacking in the anterior spine. There
are observed two low longitudinal keels on the ventral wall of tympanic bulla, as in the case of Lagenorhynchus.

The dorsal and posterior surfaces of posterior process of periotic cross at a right angle. On the cochlear portion, the area posterior of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is flat and wide. The aquaeductus cochleae opens in this plane as in the case of Lissodelphis borealis (Fig. 66).

## Orcininae

The tympanic bulla of this group is characteristic in the large size, atrophied ventral keel, no bilaternal compression, and cylindrical anterior portion. Inner posterior prominence is long. Anterior spine is absent.

1. Pseudorca crassidens (Pl. XXIV)

The lengths of the tympanic bulla and periotic are in the range between 47.7 mm and 50.5 mm , and 42.8 mm and 49.0 mm respectively. The tympanic bulla of this size with no trace of bilateral compression is found only in this species.


Fig. 69. Contour of the ventral view of tympanic bulla of Orcinus orca (1) and that of Pseudorca crassidens (2).

The ventral keel is slightly observed in the posterior half of the bulla. On the inner part of anterior margin of the bulla, there are sometimes observed short needleshaped processes. The direction of posterior prominences is parallel or slightly converging distally (Fig. 69). Interprominential notch is wide and U-shaped. Though the elliptical foramen was present on all the 4 specimens, some were nearly closing.

The anterior process of periotic is straight and narrow. On the dorsal surface of superior process there is a long longitudinal keel. The area lateral of this keel forms a wide flat area.
2. Orcinus orca (Pls. XXIV and XXV)

The tympano-periotic bone of this species is the largest among the recent Odontoceti. On the tympanic bulla, ventral keel is entirely disappeared and the ventral surface is rugose with many scattered tubercles. The inner posterior prominence is smaller than the outer, and the two prominences diverge posteriorly at the angle of about $45^{\circ}$. The bottom of interprominential notch is narrow and V shaped. Elliptical foramen is completely closed.

On the periotic bone, the superior and anterior processes are massive. In comparizon with other parts of periotic, cochlear portion is small and its diameter (measurement no. 19) is only from $31.8 \%$ to $38.6 \%$ of the standard length of periotic. Superior process protrudes higher than the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus, and on its dorsal surface short needle-shaped processes are present.

## Globicephalinae

The tympanic bulla of this subfamily is strongly flattened laterally. As the result, the lateral and ventral walls cross at a shallow angle, and the distinction of the two parts is not clear. The ventral keel develops well. The inner posterior prominence is short, and its posterior tip does not project posteriorly beyond the base. Anterior process develops in various degree. The frequency of the individuals where elliptical foramen opens varies among the genera. The posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture is narrow.


Fig. 70. Schematic figure of tympano-periotic bones of Globicephalinae and Monodon. For the dotted area see Fig. 66. 1, Peponocephala electra. 2, Feresa attenuata. 3, Globicephala macrorhyncha. 4, Grampus griseus. 5, Monodon monoceros.

Usually there is a plateau-like flat area on the dorsal surface of superior process of periotic. In some cases needle-shaped processes are formed on this plateau.

The lengths of tympanic bulla and periotic were observed in the range from 34.0 mm to 52.1 mm and from 30.8 mm to 45.2 mm respectively.
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## 1. Peponocephala electra (Pl. XXV)

The lateral compression of tympanic bulla is developed less strongly than other Globicephalinae species (Table 17, Fig. 75). Elliptical foramen of tympanic bulla was present on all 6 individuals studied. The outer slope of ventral keel does not smoothly continue to the ventral surface of tympanic bulla, which condition is different from Feresa. The mesial contour of involucrum is straight.

The plateau on the dorsal surface of superior process is wide (Fig. 70), but in lesser degree than Grampus. No needle-shaped process is formed on the superior process.
2. Feresa attenuata (PI. XXVI)

The tympano-periotic bone is larger in this species than Peponocephala. As the mesial border of involucrum concaves and the outer posterior prominence is directed to postero-mesial direction, the general contour of the ventral view of tympanic bulla convexes externally (Pl. XXVI Fig. 4). The involucrum is short. Anterior spine is short and situates at the center of the bulla. The anterior part of the lateral wall is wide (Fig. 70, top). Similar feature is seen on the tympanic bulla of Grampus. The lateral slope of ventral keel smoothly merges into the ventral surface of the bulla. Elliptical foramen was open on the 2 among 7 individuals studied.

The characteristic features of periotic are in the relatively smaller cochlear portion, narrow but thick anterior process, and in the straight contour of the dorsal surface of anterior process and superior process (Fig. 70). The dorsal plane of superior process, on which no needle-shaped process is formed, is not clearly separated from the lateral surface.

## 3. Globicephala macrorhyncha (Pl. XXVII)

On the tympanic bulla of this species, the anterior spine situates slightly at the inner side, and its length increases with the growth of animal. Inner posterior prominence is shorter than the former two species. The lateral view of outer posterior prominence is more stumpy in Globicephala and Grampus than the former two globicephalids. The height of ventral keel is lower at the middle of the length. The outer slope of the ventral keel is not continuous to the ventral surface of tympanic bulla. As the anterior part of the lateral wall of tympanic bulla is narrow, its lateral view is triangular. Elliptical foramen was present, among 26 animals studied, on only one adult male of 431 cm in body length. The presence of this foramen is considered to be individual variation.

When seen from the lateral side, the dorsal contour of anterior, superior, and posterior process of periotic is round. Anterior process is thin. Superior process is not wide. Needle-shaped processes are sometimes formed on the dorsal surface of superior process.

The ear bone of Globicephala melaena (Pl. XXVII) was not distinguished from that of G. macrorhyncha.

## 4. Grampus griseus (P1. XXVI)

The tympanic bulla is characteristic, as $G$. macrorhyncha, in the strong flatness, short inner posterior prominence, and stumpy outer posterior prominence. But it differs from $G$. macrorhyncha in anteriorly expanded anterior border of lateral wall of
tympanic bulla, and the shape of ventral keel. In Grampus the ventral keel is not low at the middle but shows the same height from the posterior end to the anterior. But its height and width show wide individual variation. The outer slope of ventral keel is not continuous to the ventral surface of the bulla. Anterior spine is on the anterior end of involucrum, but is lacking in rare case. Elliptical foramen was found on one among 12 individual.

Periotic is massive. A conspicuous semicircular plateau project on the dorsal surface of superior process. But on some individual, crowded needle-shaped processes conceal this plateau. Anterior process of periotic is short (Fig. 70).

## Monodontidae

This family includes only one species Monodon monoceros. The following description is for it (Pl. XVIII).

The tympano-periotic bone is larger than those of Globicephalinae. The tympanic bulla is strongly flattened. Its width is only from $37.5 \%$ to $41.4 \%$ of the tympanic length (Table 17, Fig. 75). Ventral keel is high and sigmoidal. Involucrum strongly increases the thickness near the anterior end. Inner posterior prominence is thin and very short. Outer posterior prominence is long. Elliptical foramen was closed on all observed specimens. Anterior spine is short. The width of the posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture is wider than those of Globicephalinae (Table 14).

TABLE 14. RANGE AND MEAN OF THE MEASUREMENTS OF TYMPANOPERIOTIG BONES IN SOME, DELPHINOIDEA SPECIES, SHOWN BY THE PERGENTAGE OF THE STANDARD IENGTH.

| Species | Noasurement |  |  | No. 18 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| No. 10 | Sample size |  |  |  |
| Monodon monoceros | $5.2-9.7,7.5$ | $51.3-68.4,63.3$ | 4 |  |
| Globicephala macrorhyncha | $2.5-5.7,3.8$ | $37.0-74.8,48.5$ | 26 |  |
| Tursiops truncatus | $2.2-9.2,4.5$ | $35.1-52.8,43.0$ | 43 |  |
| Phocaenoides spp. | $7.7-12.6,9.7$ | $37.9-44.4,40.7$ | 12 |  |
| Delphinapterus leucas | $10.1-11.8,10.8$ | $44.4-53.0,48.9$ | 3 |  |

Measurement no. 10: Width of posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture.
Measurement no. 18: Length of the facet on posterior process of periotic.

On the periotic, the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is surrounded by the thick needle-shaped processes. Crista transversa is inconspicuous. The contour of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus shows wide individual variation, but it is usually round.

The long posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic are firmly sutured and project laterally. Their lengths are nearly same.

## BIOMETRICAL BETWEEN-SPECIES SIMILARITY OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES

The between-species similarity indices of tympano-periotic bones were calculated from the 18 measurements and 4 morphological characteristics shown in Table 3. As this is not to study the taxonomy of individuals but the systematic relationships of species, species are used for the unit of discussion. As shown in Figs. 71 and 72, the 29 species were compared. The numbers of individuals in one species are between 4 and 43 with the average of 9.1 individuals (Table 2).


Fig. 71. Matrix of similarity coefficient calculated from morphological measurement of tympano-periotic bones of recent toothed whales. On the scale, the upper limits are not included in the range. The numbers coincides with those shown in Table 2. For other explanation see text.

In the calculation of the similarity indices, the standard lengths (measurement nos. 1 and 13) were compared in the value shown in mm but other measurements were calculated into the percentage of the standard length and then compared, because it is not desirable to compare repeatedly the size of the tympano-periotic bone by using the actual measurements. The $95 \%$ confidence limit of the mean value were calculated in each measurement and species. Then the ranges were compared between the selected two species. The number of overlapped measurements shown by the percentage of compared characteristics was used as the similarity index between the two species. Same comparizon was made between each combination of the species. For the non-numerical characteristics, nos. 11, 12, 20, and 21, the calcula-
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Fig. 72. Phenogram calculated from the matrix in Fig. 71. For explanation see text.
tion of the confidence limits was not made but compared in the similar manner mentioned above. This resut is shown by the matrix in Fig. 71. The phenogram shown in Fig. 72 was obtained from this matrix combining repeatedly the species of higher similarity with the average linkage method (Sokal and Sneath 1963).

The result obtained here is influenced by the number of samples in each unit, especially when the number of sample is small. And even when this result correctly indicates the morphological resemblance of tympano-periotic bone, it is incorrect to consider that it shows perfectly the phylogenetic relationships of the species, because the compared organ is very limitted.

In Fig. 71, the species from Lagenorhynchus to Globicephala show a higher similarity, which are species included into Delphinoidea in this study. In the Delphinoidea, Delphinus, Lagenorhynchus, Stenella, Lissodelphis, Steno, and Tursiops show the higher similarity than the other genera of Delphinoidea. The former group coin-
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cides with Delphininae, but the latter includes not only Globicephalinae and Orcininae but also Monodon and Delphinapterus. This will mean that Globicephalinae, Orcininae, Monodontidae, and Delphinapteridae can not be separated by the similarity index.

As shown in the matrix the tympano-periotic bone of Phocoenidae shows large morphological distance from that of other members of Delphinoidea. And the phenogram in Fig. 72 shows the higher similarity between the genera of Phocoenidae and Kogia. But as indicated in the latter chapter their tympano-periotic bones have a fundamental structural difference in the connection to the skull, and those of Phocoenidae seems to be the very specialized of Delphinoidea type tympanoperiotic.

The low similarity between Platanista and Inia suggests their low phylogenetic affinity. The species of Physeteridae and Ziphiidae show a low similarity to other taxa.

## EVOLUTION OF THE TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONE

When the morphology of tympano-periotic bone is compared between the taxa of toothed whales, there are found various kind of morphological pecuriorities which are common to several taxa, show successive change from one taxon to another, or can be lead from that of another taxon. These characteristics are considered to have been attained as the result of evolution of toothed whales. And their analysis will reveal the process of specialization of tympano-periotic bone which have occurred in the history of Odontoceti. This chapter is to consider the process of the evolution of some important morphological features of tympano-periotic bone of toothed whales.

## Interrelationships between tympanic bulla, periotic, and skull

In the land mammals the pars tympanic and pars petrosa, which correspond to the tympanic bulla and periotic of Cetacea, are connected to squamosal and exoccipital by processus mastoideus, and form a part of cranial wall. But in the toothed whales the tympano-periotic bone does not form a part of cranial wall. I consider that the pars mastoidea or mastoid process is fused to tympanic bulla and corresponds to the posterior process of tympanic bulla.

Fraser and Purves (1960, p. 77) classified the interrelationships between mastoid process and skull into the following 3 types, or the type where all the element of mastoid process is fused to tympanic bulla (Kogia), the type where all the element of mastoid process is fused to squamosal (Platanista, Delphinoidea) and the intermediate type where part of mastoid process is fused to tympanic bulla and the remaining to squamosal (Physeter, Ziphiidae).

But on my observation on Physeter catodon and other Physeteroid species, another interpretation is possible. On the skull of smaller fetus of Physeter catodon (Pl. I Fig. 1), mastoid process (posterior process of tympanic bulla) is imperfect, and the " mastoid element" of Fraser and Purves (1960) on the posterior region of squamosal


Fig. 73. Tympano-periotic bones of toothed whales, arranged according to the degree of specialization of the connection between tympanic bulla, periotic, and skull. Waved edge indicates suture or tight connection of bones, and dotted area the laminated structure. A, Physeter. B, Ziphiidac. C, Kogia. D, Phocoenidae. E, Delphinoidea except D and F. F, Delphinapterus. G, Pontoporia. H, Iniidae. I, Platanista. J and K, Hypothetical primitive toothed whale. BO, Basioccipital. P, Periotic. PAR, Parietal. SQ, Squamosal. TB, Tympanic bulla.
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is less developed and its structures is continuous to squamosal. The size and laminated structure of this part becomes larger on 5.0 m newborn calf (Pl. I Fig. 2), and finally in the adult attains the similar laminated structure as that of posterior process of tympanic bulla (Pl. I Fig. 3). The vague laminated structure is observed on the posterior region of squamosal even on Kogia and Ziphioid species which posterior process scarcely shows the laminated structure (Pl. I Figs. 4 and 5). Accordingly I consider that the posterior region of squamosal suturing to posterior process of tympanic bulla have developed the similar laminated structure, in the toothed whales, accompanied with the development of laminated structure on the posterior process of tympanic bulla. This hypothesis can explain more simply the evolution of the interconnection of tympanic bulla and skull.

Though there are observed, in the recent toothed whales, various patterns of interrelationship between tympano-periotic bone and the skull. They are continuous in some point of view, and can be classified into the following 7 patterns.

## Platanista-type

This type is observed only in Platanista gangetica. It resembles Physeter-type but differs in the posterior process of tympanic bulla.

The small posterior process of tympanic bulla is loosely sutured to squamosal and exoccipital with about $2 / 3$ area of the distal lateral portion of the process. The remaining inner proximal $1 / 3$ area forms the loose suter with posterior process of periotic (Pl. I Fig. 7). There is developed a fine laminated structure, as in the case of Physeter, on the postero-ventral portion of squamosal to which the posterior process of tympanic bulla attaches. This laminated portion of squamosal is separated by a slit extending from the postero-dorsal margin, and has a connection with the main part only at the antero-ventral part (Pl. I Fig. 6). The posterior process of tympanic bulla is slightly seen on the surface of skull in the jevenile, but is hidden in the adult. The dorsal and posterior surface of the posterior process of periotic have a contact with the squamosal. One of the peculiar feature of this species is the small conical process projecting from the postero-dorsal wall of upper tympanic aperture. This process, posterior process of tympanic bulla, and squamosal interlock each other. As the result it is impossible to separate the three, without destroying one of them. This structure may have developed as the result of the specialization of Platanista.

The fossil Platanistid, Zarhachis flagellator (Kellogg 1924) belongs to this type. It is supposed from its shape that the posterior process of periotic of some of Eurhinodelphinid species (Shizodelphis sulcatus, Van Beneden and Gervaise 1868-1879) had the connection with squamosal but not in another species (Eurhinodelphis longirostris, Abell 1902). Anyway they differs from Platanista in the larger size of posterior process of periotic.

## Physeter-type

This type is found only in Physeter, and differs from the former type in the large and highly laminated posterior process of tympanic bulla and weaker connec-
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tion of periotic and squamosal.
The long and strongly laminated posterior process of tympanic bulla is wedged between squamosal and exoccipital. The postero-ventral portion of squamosal is also strongly laminated, and to this part the posterior process of tympanic bulla sutures. Though the suture is loose, it is impossible to separate the two part without destroying some of the suture.

The posterior surface of posterior process of periotic is rugose suggesting a contact with squamosal. On the miocene Physeteroid species, the periotic bone of Orycterocetus crocodilinus (Kellogg 1965) is supposed from the long posterior process and the rugosity to have had a stronger connection with squamosal, but Aurophyseter morricei in the same age (Kellogg 1931) had the smaller posterior process of periotic, which suggest the probable variety of posterior process of periotic among Physeteroid species.

There are observed longitudinal shallow grooves and ridges of the similar intensity as that of Platanista on the facets of posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic, which does not form such a strong suture found on Delphinidae.

## Ziphius-type

This type is observed on all the Ziphioid species. The interrelationships between squamosal, exoccipital, and posterior process of tympanic bulla is same with the Physeter-type. But this differs from it in the less developed laminated structure of squamosal and of the posterior process of tympanic bulla, in the separation of periotic and squamosal, and in the almost smooth facets on both posterior processes.

There is not observed such a highly laminated structure of squamosal and of posterior process of tympanic bulla as found in Physeter, but the laminated structure is restricted on their suturing surfaces. The posterior process of tympanic bulla is slender. This type is considered to be the intermediate of Physeter-type and Kogiatype.

## Kogia-type

This is found on Kogia. The posterior process of tympanic bulla is short and the distal end is widely expanded in a funnel shape. The laminated structure of posterior process of tympanic bulla and of squamosal is restricted to the narrow area on the surface of the skull (Pl. I Fig. 5). The facets on the both posterior processes are perfectly smooth and forms no suture. Posterior process of periotic has no contact with squamosal.

## Delphinapterus-type

This type is represented by only one species Delphinapterus leucas. The posterior process of periotic is firmly sutured with squamosal (Pl. I Fig. 8, Kleinenberg et al. 1964). On the removed periotic bones (AMNH 180017 and USNM 275075), the dorsal surface of the posterior process of periotic has the irregular deep grooves which are considered to help the connection between periotic and squamosal. But this structure is not observed on the juvenile specimen (USNM 7356). The
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connection between the posterior process of tympanic bulla and squamosal was not confirmed. Other characteristics are same with the Delphinus-type.

Probably this type will retain the more primitiveness than the next Delphinustype.

## Delphinus-type

This type is represented by all the species of Iniidae and Delphinoidea except those of Phocoenidae. The tympano-periotic bone looses the direct sutural connection with the surrounding elements of the skull, but is fixed with ligament in the cavity formed by basioccipital, exoccipital, and squamosal.

The posterior process of tympanic bulla is usually longer than that of periotic. At the tip of the former there is a small spongy structure, which will probably be the remaining of the laminated or spongy structure found in the proceeding types. The postero-ventral part of squamosal also retaines the small laminated structure. The both posterior processes are, as mentioned by Yamada (1953), firmly sutured by the help of ridges and grooves on the facets.

## Phocoena-type

This type is seen in Pontoporia and all the species of Phocoenidae. This is characterized by the absence of the spongy osseous tissue at the tip of posterior process of tympanic bulla, and by the smooth or almost smoth facets of the posterior processes of tympano-periotic bone. Other features are same with the former Delphinus-type.

## Relationships between the types

Fig. 73 shows the various types of the relation between tympanoperiotic bone and skull arranged in accordance with the degree of the specialization. This indicates that there are three main series of evolution in the tympano-periotic bone of recent toothed whales. The tendency of the degeneration of sutural connection between tympanic bulla, periotic, and squamosal is observed in every three series. It is also passible to say that the connection between skull and periotic through the tympanic bulla is getting weaker. This specialization may have some relation with the adaptation of acoustic systems into the water.

In the first series, or that of Physeteroidea, the posterior process of tympanic bulla is not atrophied but attained the increase of the size, and in some species high specialization of the structure of posterior process of tympanic bulla and of squamosal is observed. This does not necessarily mean the rigid connection between skull and tympano-periotic bone, but it is becoming weaker in the Physeteroidea series as in the case of other series. Though the connection between the periotic and squamosal seems to be rather strong in Physeter catodon, the connection between squamosal and posterior process of tympanic bulla is not strong as the result of the development of the laminated structures on the corresponding portion. And the connection between the both posterior process is also not strong. These are considered to have produced in some degree the weaker connection between skull and periotic through the posterior process of tympanic bulla. In Kogia, on the other hand, the structure
of posterior process of tympanic bulla and squamosal is not so specialized, but the connection between the two parts, and that between both posterior processes have become very weak. These features suggest that the two genera of Physeteroidea, or Kogia and Physeter have attained slightly different modes of specialization. Ziphiidae shows the intermediate character of Physeter and Kogia in this point of view.

The second series, or the types found in Delphinoidea are considered to have derived from an ancestral type common with that of Physeteroidea, where the connection between skull and tympano-periotic bone was present. The both posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic are atrophied in size. And there are observed various stages of specialization from the most primitive Delphinapterus to the most specialized Phocoenidae. Probably the tympano-periotic bone of the most of the species in the recent Delphinoidea may have attained the present condition, in which it is usually separated from the skull, through the stage found in Delphinapterus leucas.

The 3rd series is formed by the species of Platanistoidea. Though the ralation between skull, tympanic bulla, and periotic of Inia, Lipotes, and Pontoporia belongs to the Delphinus-type or to Phocoena-type, they should be separated from the 2nd series because of the stronger atrophy of the size of the posterior processes which is found also in Platanista, and of other morphological features common to Platanistoidea. Among the 4 species of Platanistoidea, the relationships between tympanic bulla, periotic, and skull is most specialized in Pontoporia and least in Platanista. As Platanista has too highly specialized structure of squamosal, the ear bone of two other families can not be directly led from Platanista. The structure of ear bones of the two families may have derived from a original type which is probably close to the ear bone of primitive Platanista. There is expected hypothetical type which connects the 2 nd and 3rd series, where the decrease of the length of posterior process is started but the connection between the two processes and squamosal is retained together with the small part of laminated structure.

Platanista and Physeter, and in lesser degree all Physeteroidea species, retain the primitiveness in having the connection between skull and tympano-periotic bone. But their skulls show high specialization in the developed maxillary or premaxillary crests. If these crests have the relation with the acoustics (Norris 1968), it may be presumed that these species have developed a mechanism of hearing slightly different from other species.

As mentioned in the former chapter, the specialized posterior processes of periotic and tympanic bulla observed in Phocoenidae and Pontoporiidae resemble that of juvenile individuals of less specialized Delphinidae. This suggests that the specialization occured on the posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic of Platanistoidea and Delphinoidea is one of the neoteny.

## Sigmoid process and lateral furrow

The sigmoid process is a prominent plate projecting dorsally on the lateral wall of tympanic bulla and forming the anterior wall of lower tympanic aperture. This process is observed in all the species of Cetacea, and shows the pecuriorities in each
taxa. Lateral furrow is a groove found on the lateral wall of tympanic bulla in front of sigmoid process. Here is discussed the morphological variations and the interrelationships.

In Physeteroidea the sigmoid process is square and the length of the dorsal margin is not less than that of lateral margin. The lateral furrow varies among the three families. In Ziphiidae, as the lateral margin of sigmoid process is twisted posteriorly, its front surface is directed antero-laterally. The lateral margin is not longer than the dorsal and the thickness is thin. The lateral furrow is deep and conspicuous. On the other hand, in Physeter, the lateral furrow is entirely disappeared. And the dorsal margin of sigmoid process is so long as 1.7 times of the lateral, and the front surface is exactly directed to the anterior axis of tympanic bulla. The thickness of sigmoid process of Physeter is thin as in the case of Ziphiidae. The sigmoid process of Kogia is globular, but it is not twisted. As seen in Pl. VII Fig. 5 and Pl. VIII Figs. 2 and 17, the contour and direction of sigmoid process of Kogia resembles those of Physeter.Lateral furrow is absent in Kogia.


Fig. 74. Diagram showing the specialization of the sigmoid process (SP) and lateral furrow (LF) in recent toothed whales.

Though Platanistoidea resembles Physeteroidea in the wide sigmoid process, its lateral margin is parallel to the lateral wall of tympanic bulla similar with that in Delphinoidea. Lateral furrow is present in all species of Platanistoidea. In Platanista the front surface of sigmoid process is placed to coincide with the anteroposterior direction of tympanic bulla, and the lateral margin and the dorsal margin cross at a right angle. The sigmoid process of Pontoporia is similar with that of Platanista, but the thickness is slightly larger. In both genera the lateral furrow is shallow. In two genera of Iniidae, Lipotes and Inia, the lateral margin of sigmoid process is round and twisted to the posterior. This feature can be lead from that of Platanista. The lateral furrow is deep.

The sigmoid process of the species in Delphinoidea coincides with that of Platanista in important features, but differs from it in the smaller thickness and width.

The lateral view is L-shaped. The lateral furrow is usually absent, but in some cases it remains vestigeally. These features are able to be lead from those of Platanista by small modification.

In the fossile species, the sigmoid processes of Zygorhyza kochii and Dorudon osiris of Archaeoceti which may not be the direct ancestor of Odontoceti, are thin and square with long dorsal margin (Kellogg 1936) as that of Physeter catodon. The lateral furrow was vaguely present on the both Archaeoceti species. But, of course, it is not impossible to lead the sigmoid process of Mysticeti from that of Archaeoceti. The tympanic bulla of upper miocene Odontoceti, Phocageneus venustus (Kellogg 1957) and Zarhachis flagellator (Kellogg 1924) resemble that of Platanista in the wide and thick sigmoid process and in the presence of shallow lateral furrow. An Eurphinodelphinid species Schizodelphis sulcatus has the sigmoid process similar to that of Platanista, but it seems not to have retained the lateral furrow. The moderm delphinid species Kentriodon pernix (Kellogg 1927) has the lateral furrow.

From the comparison of the sigmoid process of Odontoceti species described in the above, the following consideration is possible. The sigmoid process of the three species of platanistoidea can easily be led from that of Platanista gangetica, and even that of Delphinoidea from that of $P$. gangetica in another process.

The various shape of sigmoid process observed in Physeteroidea seems to have originated from a common type which is square, flat, not twisted, and prepared a lateral furrow in front of it. The sigmoid process of Platanista gangetica also able to be led from this imaginative original type through the decrease of the width. This original type may probably derived in Oligocene or earlier ages from some species of Agorophiidae or Squalodontidae, on which sigmoid process I have no information.

Fig. 74 shows one of the most probable procedure which may have happened in the process of evolution of sigmoid process and lateral furrow of tympanic bulla.

## Elliptical foramen

The elliptical foramen (Yamada 1953) and vertical cleft of Archaeoceti and Mysticeti (Kellogg 1936) are homologous. In the Mysticeti, by the absence of inner pedicle of tympanic bulla the vertical cleft forms a concavity connected to lower tympanic aperture. But in Archaeoceti and Odontoceti, as posterior process of tympanic bulla is connected by outer and inner pedicles to conical process and ininvolucrum respectively, vertical cleft is separated from lower tympanic aperture, and the elliptical foramen is formed.

In some taxa of recent Odontoceti, the elliptical foramen is closed or going to be closed. This tendency seems to have happened independently in various small taxa. Its featurs are as follows.

## Physeteroidea

Elliptical foramen is perfectly disappeared in Physeteridae and Kogiidae. The elliptical foramen exists in all species of Ziphiidae, but the opening is irregularly shaped and sometimes narrowed by a thin plate of bone. This indicates that the elliptical foramen of Ziphiidae is on an earlier stage of the closure.
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## Platanistoidea

In the two species of Iniidae the elliptical foramen opens round except one individual of Inia. On this individual of Inia geoffrensis (LACM 19588) elliptical foramen opened only on the right side. In Platanista gangetica elliptical foramen was present on all individuals observed. But its opening is constricted by thin bone tissue and it opens only through several small holes penetrating it. Probably the elliptical foramen of this species is also on the process of the closure. Elliptical foramen is perfectly disappeared on Pontoporia blainvillei.

## Delphinoidea

In all the species constituting Delphinapteridae and Phocoenidae elliptical foramen is closed. In Delphinoidea its condition varies among the subfamilies. The elliptical foramen is oval and its margin is thickened in all the species of Delphininae. But the percentage of the opened elliptical foramen varies in the species of Globicephalinae. In Peponocephala electra it was present on all the 6 animals studied, in Feresa attenuata on 2 individuals among 7 studied, in Globicephala macrorhyncha on 1 among 26, in Grampus griseus on 3 among 12. This observation suggests that Globicephalinae is a group of species where the closure of elliptical foramen is progressing in various degree.

In Orcininae Pseudorca crassidens has the elliptical foramen opened, but it is closed in Orcinus orca. This indicates that tympanic bulla of Orcinus is more specialized than that of Pseudorca. Sousa teuszii, Sotalia spp., and Cephalorhynchus spp., which are included tentatively into Sotaliinae, usually have the elliptical foramen entirely closed.

The elliptical foramen of Monodon monoceros, included into Monodontidae, was open on 1 individual among 4 animals studied.

## Flatness, Ventral keel, and median furrow of tympanic bulla

The flatness of tympanic bulla mentioned below is the characteristics concerning the angle at which the lateral wall and ventral wall cross. This feature is prodused, in Delphinoidea, by the bilateral compression of tympanic bulla. On primitive tympanic bulla the two walls crosses at nearly a right angle, but on some specialized or flat one they cross at larger angle. The developement of ventral keel and the disappearence of median furrow are observed often in accompanied with the progress of flattening.

The tympanic bullae of the two species of Archacoceti mensioned in the chapter of "sigmoid process and lateral furrow", and of three fossile Odontoceti Phocageneus venustus, Zarhachis flagellator, and Schizodelphis sulcatus have the ventral wall crossing with the lateral wall at about $90^{\circ}$ or slightly smaller angle, and the median furrow extending anteriorly from the interprominential notch. And ventral keel is not developed on these species. But in Kentriodon pernix, though the bilateral compression is not developed, the median furrow is almost disappeared and ventral keel is developed.

These observations suggest that the primitive tympanic bulla shows no flattening
and no development of ventral keel but possesses the median furrow, and that the tympanic bulla of recent Odontoceti attained, starting from the primitive type, various combination of the specialization in median furrow, ventral keel, and the bilateral compression. Discussions on the process of these specialization are made below.

## Physeteroidea

Median furrow is entirely lost in Physeteridae and Ziphiidae, but in two species of Kogiidae is retained the slight vestige of the furrow. On the tympanic bulla of Kogia the ventral keel is not developed and the lateral and ventral walls cross at a right angle, which is one of the characteristics showing the primitiveness of the tympanic bulla.

The dorsal part of the lateral wall of tympanic bulla is, in Ziphiidae, strongly rolled into the inner direction, and the inner posterior prominence moved to the reverse. This modification is quite different from the usual bilateral compression observed in other famillies of Odontoceti, but produces one of the flat feature of the bulla. This flatness is strongest in Ziphius in which the lateral wall and ventral wall form nearly continuous arc, and gradually decreases in the order of Mesoplodon, Berardius, and Hyperoodon. The ventral keel is inconspicuous in all Ziphioid species, and especially in Ziphius.

The tympanic bulla of Physeter catodon is cylindrical and shows a pecurior transformation of the both posterior prominences (see page 23). This shape can be led from the tympanic bulla of primitive Kogia as a result of the reduction of outer posterior prominence and the movement of inner posterior prominence toward the direction reverse of that occurred in Ziphiidae.

## Platanistoidea

The tympanic bullae of the species of this superfamilly show no flattening. Median furrow is observed in all species. The tympanic bullae of Platanista gangetica, Inia geoffrensis and Lipotes vexillifer have common features in the weak ventral keel, swollen base of outer posterior prominence, and the conical anterior tip.

The general shape of the tympanic bulla of $P$. gangetica shows close resemblance to that of the fossile species Phocageneus venustus, Shizodelphis sulcatus, and Zarhachis flagellator, except for the many needle-shaped processes in the ventral furrow of $P$. gangetica.

The tympanic bulla of Pontoporia blainvillei slightly differs from that of other Platanistoidea species in the slight development of ventral keel and the shape of anterior tip.

## Delphinoidea

In this superfamily, the flattening or bilateral compression of tympanic bulla is well observed in the species included into Monodontidae and Globicephalinae. The median furrow is found clearly only on some species included into Sotaliinae,
but ventral keel on various species of Delphinoidea. Further explanation is made below on each families or subfamilies.

In Delphinapterus leucas and Orcaella brevirostris, which constitute Delphinapteridae, the tympanic bulla is not flattened and ventral keel is low.

In Phocoenidae, rudimental median furrow is present in all species, and flattening is not observed. The ventral keel is not conspicuous in Phocoena, but develops well in Neophocaena and highest in Phocoenoides.

The tympanic bulla of Monodon monoceros shows the strong flatness and has developed ventral keel. In these respect it looks like to situate at the extremity of Globicephalinae series (Fig. 75).


Fig. 75. Relation between mean length of tympanic bulla and mean ratio of the width to the length. Cep, Cephalorhynchus spp. Del, Delphinus bairdi. Dle, Delphinapterus leucas. Fer, Feresa attenuata. Gmc, Globicephala macrorhyncha. Gml, Globicephala melaena. Grm, Grampus griseus. Ini, Inia geoffrensis. Lao, Lagenorhynchus obliquidens. Lip, Lipotes vexillifer. Lis, Lissodelphis borealis. Nph, Neophocaena phocaenoides. Mon, Monodon monoceros. Oro, Orcinus orca. Orb, Orcaella brevirostris. Pep, Peponocephala electra. Phd, Phocoenoides spp. Phn, Phocoena phocoena. Plt, Platanista gangetica. Pon, Pontoporia blainvillei. Psc, Pseudorca crassidens. Sot, Sotalia spp. Sou, Sousa teuszii. Sta, Stenella attenuata. Stb, Steno bredanensis. Stc, Stenella caeruleoalba. Str, Stenella roseiventris. Tur, Tursiops truncatus (W. Pacific). Solid lines connect the members in a family or subfamily.

In Delphinidae, the morphology of tympanic bulla shows several characteristic features in each subfamily or lesser taxa. In the three genera tentatively included into Sotaliinae, the tympanic bulla is not flattened and ventral keel is slightly developed. Shallow median furrow is observed in Sotalia and Sousa, but inconspicous in Cephalorhynchus. This is one of the primitive features of the tympanic bulla of these species.
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In Delphininae there is not observed the flattening of tympanic bulla nor median furrow. The genera of this subfamily is divided into two groups by the character of ventral keel. The first group includes Tursiops, Stenella, and Lissodelphis. Their ventral keel is long and reaches the anterior part of ventral wall of tympanic bulla. Its height is largest in Tursiops and lowest in Lissodelphis. The second group includes Delphinus, Lagenorhynchus, and Steno. In these genera the ventral keel does not reach the anterior part, but disappears near the middle of tympanic bulla. As the result the anterior part of tympanic bulla is cylindrical. Among the latter group the height of ventral keel is smallest in Delphinus and largest in Steno, but its length is in the reverse. This characteristics is considered to be continuous between the two genera situating at the extremities or Lissodelphis and Delphinus.

In Orcininae, the tympanic bulla shows no trace of flattening as in the case of Sotaliinae and Delphininae. The median furrow is absent. Though the low atrophied ventral keel is observed in Pseudorca in the posterior region of the tympanic bulla, it is almost entirely disappeared in Orcinus. These features resembles the tympanic bulla of Delphinus and Lagenorhynchus, and can be led from them.

In Globicephalinae the ventral keel develops well and ventral furrow is absent. The flattness is strong in all genera of this subfamily, but its degree varies between the genera (Fig. 75).

## The posterior process of periotic

As mentioned in the former chapter, the posterior process of periotic is considered to have had the connection with squamosal in the primitive form. In these primitive Odontoceti the posterior process of periotic is bent to ventral direction at


Fig. 76. Posterior view of tympano-periotic bone, showing the direction of the posterior processes. Lateral side is at the left. 1, Peponocephala electra. 2, Monodon monoceros. 3, Platanista gangetica. 4, Orcaella brevirostris.
a right angle with the antero-posterior axis of superior process. The facet for posterior process of tympanic bulla is situated on its tip. In the recent Odontoceti, this structure is observed on Physeter catodon and Platanista gangetica. I consider that various types of posterior process of periotic of other Odontoceti may have derived from the primitive type now found only in Physeter and Platanista.

In other two families of Physeteroidea the direction of posterior process of periotic is same with that of Physeter in principle. But the length is shorter, and the distal tip is widened in Ziphiidae, or changed into thin plate in Kogiidae.

Though the posterior process in Iniidae and Pontoporiidae is continuous to superior process and shorter than that of Platanista, the direction is similar to Platanista. The atrophy of the posterior process is stronger in Pontoporiidae. Platanista and Lipotes show the same feature where posterior process and superior process of periotic are clearly distinguished externally.

The posterior process of periotic of Delphinoidea is thicker and longer than that of Platanistoidea. Its direction is classified into two types (Fig. 76). In one type the posterior process is extended to the posterior in parallel with the axis of superior process. All species of Delphinapteridae and Phocoenidae are included in this type. This type may have derived from the primitive type mentioned in the above. In another type the posterior process is bent laterally or postero-laterally. Delphinidae and Monodontidae are included in this type. Though it is not impossible to lead this type from the former, it may be more reasonable to lead directly from the primitive type seen in Platanista or Physeter.

In the miocene Delphinids, Kentriodon pernix belongs to the latter type, but its posterior process of tympanic bulla is directed posteriorly retaining the primitive character. Delphinodon dividum (True 1912) belongs to the former type. The posterior process of periotic of Lophocetus spp. (Kellogg 1955, Pl. 5-6) is extending to the ventral and connection with squamosal is expected.

In Platanistoidea and Delphinoidea the direction of the posterior process of tympanic bulla coincides with that of the periotic. But in Physeteroidea they do not necessarily coincide because the posterior process of tympanic bulla is usually bent at the distal part.

## Anterior process of periotic

In all the species which have the primitive posterior process of periotic mentioned in the preceding chapter, or several species of Squalodontidae, Physeteridae, and Platanistidae, the anterior process of periotic is shaped of a rod tapering at the tip. And this type of anterior process is found only on the species which have primitive posterior process of periotic or on its allied species. From these facts, it is suggested that the rod-shaped anterior process of periotic retains the primitive form, and that the various forms found in the recent Odontoceti may have derived from it. In this chapter the probable process of specialization of the anterior process of periotic is discussed.

The anterior process of Berardius bairdi is most slender among the species of Ziphiidae and retains the most primitive feature, the trigonal pyramidal or hemispheric anterior process of other species of this family is considered to have originated from this slender type seen in Berardius. The anterior process of periotic of Kogia may have been formed through another process by loosing the length and thickness.

In Platanista gangetica, though the slenderness of the anterior process of periotic shows the primitiveness, there are observed some specialization in usually observed
small protuberence on the dorsal surface of the base of the anterior process (PI. IX Fig. 9). The anterior process of periotic of Lipotes vexillifer resembles that of Platanista in the curved and pointed shape, but its proportional length is shorter. In Inia geoffrensis the length is shorter and the anterior margin is square, showing a slight resemblance to that of Delphinoidea. In Pontoporia blainvillei the process is strongly shortened.

The anterior process of Delphinoidea is shaped of thick plate and anterior margin is square. Its length is short. In the fossile species, the anterior process of periotic of the miocene Kentriodon pernix (Kellogg 1927) and Delphinodon dividum (True 1912) had attained the feature similar to that of recent Delphinoidea.
Though that of Lophocetus spp. (Kellogg 1955) is also same, its posterior process of periotic slightly differes from that of recent Delphinoidea.

## CONCLUSION

The classification of recent toothed whales used in this report is shown in Table 15. This classification is based mainly on the morphology of the tympano-periotic bone, paying the attention not to largely modify the classification generally have been accepted. But the classification of Delphinoidea is slightly modified, affected by the wide variation of the morphology of the tympano-periotic bones.

This chapter considers the phylogenetic interrelationships of the taxa of recent toothed whales based on the morphology of tympano-periotic bones.

## Interrelationships among Archaeoceti, Mysticeti, and Odontoceti

According to the description of Kellogg (1936) and his photographs, the tym-pano-periotic bones of Zygorhiza kochii and Dorudon osiris show the following character.

The ventral wall of tympanic bulla is flat and wide, with which the lateral wall crosses at a right angle. The inner border of ventral wall is straight. The anterior border of tympanic bulla or opening of Eustachian tube is partly closing and resembles that of Mysticeti than that of Odontoceti. Sigmoid process is square as in the case of Physeter. Posterior process of tympanic bulla is fixed to tympanic bulla with the inner and outer pedicles, and here opens an elliptical foramen (vertical cleft) on the posterior wall of tympanic bulla. These features are similar with that of Odontoceti. But the outer pedicle is reported to be smaller than the inner (Kellogg 1936). Though the both posterior prominences are prominent, the outer posterior prominence is larger than the inner. Interprominential notch is shallow, and median furrow is inconspicuous.

The periotic is sutured to squamosal and exoccipital at the anterior, superior and posterior processes forming a part of cranial cavity as in the case of Mysticeti. The long posterior process of periotic is wedged between squamosal and exoccipital, and its tip reaches to the external surface of the skull. The ventral surface of the posterior process of periotic prepares longitudinal shallow grooves and keels to which the posterior process of tympanic bulla sutures. Though the posterior process of
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tympanic bulla is thinner than that of periotic, the lengths are nearly same. Judging from the large width of the posterior process of tympanic bulla and the structure of the corresponding part of squamosal (Kellogg 1936, Pls. 15, 20, 24, 25, and 28), I consider that its anterior part may have sutured with squamosal as in the case of recent Physeteroidea and Platanista (Fig. 77).

In all the recent Mysticeti the involucrum of tympanic bulla is highly developed. There are found two types in the shape of posterior prominences. In all the species other than Balaenidae, as the outer posterior prominence is strongly atrophied or disappeared, the tympanic bulla is nearly hemispheric. But in Balaenidae outer and inner posterior prominences clearly exist, and the ventral side of tympanic bulla is shaped of a flat square (Balaena) or of a triangle (Eubalaena). Though in recent Mysticeti the interprominential notch is absent, the Isocetus sp. from tertiary (Kellogg 1944) retains both the posterior prominences and interprominential notch. I consider that this condition is more primitive than that observed in recent Mysticeti. The sigmoid process is flat and shaped of a semicircular (Balaenidae) or of a triangle (other than Balaenidae). The tympanic bulla is connected to posterior process of tympanic bulla only by the inner pedicle, which is a feature entirely different from the condition in Archacoceti and Odontoceti (Kellogg 1936).


Fig. 77. Skull of Archaeoceti showing the connection between ear bone and skull, drawn based on Kellogg 1936, Pl. 15, Fig. 1, and Pl. 25, Fig. 2. Dotted line indicates the presumed area to which the posterior process of tympanic bulla was sutured. 1, Zygorhyza kochii, ventral aspect. 2, Dorudon stromeri, posterior aspect. BO, Basioccipital. EO, Exoccipital. OC, Occipital condyle. P, Periotic. PPP, Posterior process of periotic. PPT, Posterior process of tympanic. SQ, Squamosal. T, Tympanic bulla.

As in the case of Archaeoceti, the periotic bone of Mysticeti forms a part of the brain cavity, and the anterior and posterior processes are elongated in rod shape and the latter is wedged between squamosal and exoccipital. Its distal tip nearly reaches to the outer surface of the skull. Though Yamada (1953) showed another opinion, it is clear on a fetus B. borealis (Pl. I Fig. 9) that the posterior process of Mysticeti is

TABLE 15. GLASSIFICATION OF RECENT TOOTHED WHALES BASED ON THE MORPHOLOGY OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES.

| Suborder | Odontoceti |
| :---: | :---: |
| Superfamily | Physeteroidea |
| Family | Physeteridae |
| Subfamily | Physeterinae |
| Genus | Physeter |
| Family | Ziphiidae |
| Subfamily | Ziphinae |
| Genus | Mesoplodon, Berardius, Tasmacetus, Ziphius, Hyperoodon |
| Family | Kogiidae |
| Subfamily | Kogiinae |
| Genus | Kogia |
| Superfamily | Platanistoidea |
| Family | Platanistidae |
| Subfamily | Platanistinae |
| Genus | Platanista |
| Family | Iniidae |
| Subfamily | Iniinae |
| Genus | Inia, Lipotes |
| Family | Pontopsriidae |
| Subfamily | Pontoporinae |
| Genus | Pontoporia |
| Superfamily | Delphinoidea |
| Family | Delphinapteridae |
| Subfamily | Delphinapterinae |
| Genus | Delphinapterus |
| Subfamily | Orcaellinae |
| Genus | Orcaella |
| Family | Phocoenidae |
| Subfamily | Phocoeninae |
| Genus | Phocoena, Neophacoena, Phocoenoides |
| Family | Delphinidae |
| Subfamily | Sotalinae |
| Genus | Sotalia, Sousa, Cephalorhynchus |
| Subfamily | Orcininae |
| Genus | Orcinus, Pseudorca |
| Subfamily | Delphininae |
| Genus | Steno, Lagenorhynchus, Delphinus, Lissodelphis, Stenella, Tursiops |
| Subfamily | Globicephalinae |
| Genus | Peponocephala, Feresa, Globicephala, Grampus |
| Family | Monodontidae |
| Subfamily | Monodontinae |
| Genus | Monodon |

composed of the elements of posterior process of tympanic bulla and of posterior process of periotic. But the relative ratio of the two elements in adult individual is not clear.

When the above features are compared with that of Platanista gangetica and Physeter catodon which are considered to retain the primitive condition in the interSci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.
relationships between skull and tympano-periotic bone, Archaeoceti has some common features both with Mysticeti and Odontoceti, but shows no perfect coincidence. In order to lead the structure seen in Mysticeti from that of Archaeoceti, only the disappearence of the outer pedicle of tympanic bulla which is smaller than the inner, and the fusion of the posterior process of tympanic bulla and that of periotic are the fundamental modification needed to Archaeoceti (Fig. 78). But to lead the ear bone of some primitive Odontoceti, at first the periotic bone must be freed from the wall of cranial cavity and at secand the posterior process of periotic must greatly degenerate to loose the strong connection with squamosal and exoccipital and to leave the sutural connection between the posterior process of tympanic bulla, squamosal, and exoccipital.


Fig. 78. Schematic diagrams showing the connection between tympano-periotic bone and skull. Waved edge indicates the tight connection or suture of bones, and dotted line the fused bone. A, Hypothetical primitive Odontoceti. B, Mysticeti. C, Archaeoceti, in which the connection between squamosal and posterior process of tympanic bulla is not shown. For other marks see Fig. 73.

As the reduction of the outer pedicle of tympanic bulla had already started in Archaeoceti, I consider that it is easier to lead the ear bone of Mysticeti from that of Archaeoceti than to do that of Odontoceti, which will suggest that Archaeoceti has closer relationship with Mysticeti than with Odontoceti. This coincides with the conclusions obtained by Miller (1923) and Slijper (1946). But this does not necessarily mean that Mysticeti had originated from Archaeoceti.

## Squalodontidae

According to Kellogg (1928) Squalodontidae is known in oligocene and miocene, and its primitive relative is in lower eocene. The description of the two periotic bones of Squalodontidae is based on the drawings and photographs reported by Kellogg (1923, 1931). No information on the tympanic bulla was obtained.

On the periotic bone of Squalodon calvertensis, the anterior process and posterior process are long and separated from the superior process by the grooves at the bases. And when seen from the lateral side the dorsal borders of the anterior and posterior processes cross at a right angle. The former feature resembles that of Platanista and Lipotes, and the latter that of Physeter and many Ziphiids. As the posterior process of periotic is slender at the tip and has the rugose area on the posterior surface, it is supposed that it had the similar connection with squamosal seen in Physeter catodon. The slenderness of the anterior process resembles both $P$. catodon and $P$. gangetica. But the flat and low cochlear portion and slit-like opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is peculiar.

On the other hand, the periotic bone of Squalodon errabundus has the swallen anterior process resembling that of recent Ziphiidae. The large round cochlear portion and the round opening of the fundus of internal auditory meatus are different from those of $S$. calvertensis but resemble that of Platanistidae and Physeteridae. Anterior process is continuous to superior process. On the reffered specimen the posterior process was lost.

Above discussion shows that the periotic bone of Squalodontidae have many characteristics common with that of Physeteroidea or Platanistoidea, though it is suggested that there exist some differentiation among the periotics of Squalodontidae. As the conclusion it is suggested from the morphology of periotic that the recent Odontoceti at least Physeteridae and Platanistidae had derived, as Squalodon, from a primitive Squalodon-like species.

## Physeteroidea

One of the most conspicuous features of the tympano-periotic bone of Physeteroidea is the developed structure connecting it to skull. The similar but less developed structure is found even in some species of Platanistidae, Delphinapteridae and Eurhinodelphinidae. This suggest that, though there is found wide variation in the morphology of the tympano-periotic bone of recent Odontoceti, all of them have derived from one primitive type.

Though there are observed wide difference in the morphology of tympanoperiotic bones of the three families constituting the Physeteroidea, there is observed some continuity in several features which permits the following discussion on the interrelationships of these families.

When the tympano-periotic bones of the three families are compared, the morphology of the anterior and posterior processes of periotic, and the connection between both posterior processes are most specialized in Kogia and least in Physeter. But the laminated structure of posterior process of tympanic bulla is most developed in Physeter and less in Kogia and Ziphiidae. The arrangement of posterior prominences and the shape of sigmoid process show the most primitive condition in Kogia, and the greatly modified in Physeter. But in Ziphiidae they have changed the relative position in a way different from that occurred in Physeter. On this point of view, the tympanic bullae of Physeteridae and of Ziphiidae seems to have been specialized in different direction probably starting from the common original type.

Accordingly it is considered that the three families had differentiated in the early stage of history of Physeteroidea and the specializations of the anterior and posterior processes of periotic and posterior process of tympanic bulla mentioned above might have been attained as the result of evolution happened independently. The features of sigmoid process, lateral furrow, and elliptical foramen of Kogiidae resembles more to those of Physeteridae than those of Ziphiidae. Though it is one of the pecuriority of the periotic of recent Physeter that the aquaeductus Fallopii and ductus endolymphaticus open inside of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus, in the miocene Physeterid species Aurophyseter morricei (Kellogg 1931) and Orycterocetus crocodilinus (Kellogg 1965) they open independently as in the case of recent Kogia. These resemblances of tympano-periotic bone between Kogiidae and Physeteridae will indicate the slightly closer phylogenetic relationships between Kogiidae and Physeteridae. But it is of course sure that the phylogenetic distance between Physeteridae and Kogiidae is not small as indicated by the difference of general featurs of tympano-periotic bone, the process of telescoping of the skull (Miller 1923), and by the condition of the fusion of the cervical vertebrae (Nishiwaki 1963, 1964).

Among several genera of Ziiphiidae, Berardius and Mesoplodon have well developed posterior prominences of tympanic bulla and interprominential notch. But on the tympanic bulla of Ziphius, the outer posterior prominence has a wedgeshaped keel on its postero-lateral part, and both posterior prominences are thin. Its interprominential notch is almost disappeared. In Tasmacetus, though the outer posterior prominence retains the thick cylindrical form, there is observed the similar keel found in Ziphius (Oliver 1937, Pl. III). Hyperoodon also resembles to Ziphius in the atrophied inner posterior prominence and interprominential notch.

Based on these characteristics, the genera of Ziphiidae are divided into two groups. One has less specialized tympanic bulla and includes Berardius and Mesoplodon, the other has the more specialized and includes Ziphius, Tasmacetus and Hyperoodon. The former is composed of the species which retain developed tooth even on the part of mandible other than anterior tip (with an exception of $M$. mirus), and the latter only at the tip of mandible. Though the phylogenetic relarelationships among the species of Mesoplodon can not be presumed from the morphology of tympano-periotic bone, it should be noted that M. ginkgodens and M. europaeus show a common feature in the pecuriority of the superior process of periotic.

## Platanistoidea

The tympano-periotic bones of this taxon show the common primitive features of unflattened tympanic bulla, existance of median and lateral furrows, large posterior prominences, and the shape of anterior, superior and posterior processes of periotic, together with the specialized feature of the degenerated size of posterior process.

As mentioned before the tympano-periotic bone of Platanista gangetica, which retains the most primitive features among the recent species of this family, shows some resemblance to that of Physeter. Furthermore the arrangement of anterior and posterior processes of periotic and the constrictions at their bases suggest the probable
relationships to Squalodontidae.
The miocene Eurhynodelphinid species Schizodelphis sulcatus (Van Beneden and Gervais 1868-1879) has the tympanic bulla highly resembling that of P. gangetica except the existance of needle-shaped processes in the median furrow. Its periotic bone also resembles that of $P$. gangetica in the shape of cochlear portion especially the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus, and in the relationships with the skull. But it greatly differs from P. gangetica in the larger size of the posterior process of periotic. When the skull of $S$. sulcatus is compared with that of Platanista, the former has larger brain case and weaker intertemporal constiction, and the proximal part of maxillae is expanded horizontally and covers the temporal fossa. As these features are similar to the modern Delphinoidea and different from Platanistoidea, it is unreasonable to lead Platanistoidea directly from the Eurhyodelphinids. Probably the two taxa had originated from a same origin, and Platanistoidea attained the tendency to form the maxillary crest through the vertical extension of the lateral margin of the proximal part of maxillae.

The tympano-periotic bone of a miocene Platanistoid species, Zarhachis flagelator (Kellogg 1924), is almost perfectly coincides with that of Platanista gangetica except the needle-shaped processes in the median furrow of Platanista, but the maxillary crest of Z. flagelator is far smaller than that of P. gangetica. This suggests that Platanista did not gain large specialization of the tympano-periotic bone in the process of evolution, but did the strong modification on the maxillae and on the pterygoid (Miller 1923; Fraser and Purves 1960).

The tympano-periotic bone of Iniidae is more specialized than that of Platanistidae in the degeneration of the connection with skull and in the shape of the sigmoid process, median furrow, and of the three processes of periotic. Between the two genera of Iniidae, Lipotes is considered to be more primitive in the form of the tympano-periotic bone.

The strength of the development of the maxillary crest decreases in the order of Platanista, Zarhachis, Inia, and Lipotes. This order is rather reverse of the degree of the specialization of the tympano-periotic bones. This will indicate that the three genera had been separeted at the very early stage of the evolution.

There have been reported two different consideration on the taxonomical position of Pontoporia blainvillei. The one considers Pontoporia close to Delphinoidea (Gray 1866; Kellogg 1928; Miller 1923), and the other to Platanistoidea (Flower 1867; Winge 1918; Fraser and Purves 1960: Nishiwaki 1963). Though the interrelationships between skull and tympano-periotic bone and the square-shaped anterior border of the tympanic bulla are the very specialized features not observed in Platanistidae or in Iniidae, all the primitive features concerning the sigmoid process, shape of outer posterior prominence, median furrow, unflattened tympanic bulla, and round opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus are common to Platanistidae and Iniidae. and the specialized characteristics such as wide lower tympanic aperture can be led from the primitive condition found in Platanistidae and Iniidae. As the conclusion, when based on the morphology of tympano-periotic bone, Pontoporia blainvillei is considered to situate at the extremity of the specializa-
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tion occurred in Platanistoidea. Furthermore the shape of anterior and posterior processse of periotic resembles that of Inia, and the development of the vertical maxillary crest and the horizontal spreading of the proximal part of maxilla are intermediate of Inia and Lipotes. A tympanic bulla reportedly belonging to iniid species Kampholophos serrulus (Rensberger 1969) is much similar, except the size, to that of Pontoporia than Inia. They might suggest that Pontoporia is a relative of the Iniidae. But Pontoporia should be separated from Iniidae considering the high specialization of the tympano-periotic bone.

## Delphinoidea

The features of the tympano-periotic bones of this superfamily are described in the preceeding chapters. As the relatives of this modern dolphins, Delphinodon dividum (True 1912) and Kentriodon pernix (Kellogg 1927) are known from middle miocene. Their tympano-periotic bones are, same as the features of the skull, resembles to the recent Delphinoidea and differs from those of Eurhynodelphinidae. But their tympanic bulla still retains the primitive features in the presence of median furrow, lateral furrow (no data on Delphinodon) and swallen inner posterior prominence.

Though, other miocene species Lophocetus spp. (Kellogg 1955) have the tympanic bulla and the anterior process of periotic resembling those of the former Delphinodon and Kentriodon, its posterior process of periotic shows the primitiveness suggesting the connection between posterior process of periotic and squamosal as observed in recent Delphinapterus.

Though the tendency of the separation of the tympano-periotic bone and skull is also observed in Platanistoidea it cannot be considered to be the direct ancestor of the Delphinoidea, because the strong reduction of the posterior process of periotic is not observed in Delphinoidea. On the other hand the posterior process of periotic bone of Shizodelphis sulcatus (Eurhynodelphinidae) is large enough to lead that of recent Delphinoidea. And its shape of the maxillae shows the similar specialization to that of recent Delphinoidea. Accordingly it is reasonable to think that the recent Delphinoidea had originated from a close ancestor of Eurhynodelphinidae. As there had been established in the middle miocene both Eurhynodelphinid and Delphinid species (Kellogg 1928), it seems to be in lower miocene or earlier date when the primitive Delphinoids started.

In this study, the recent Delphinoidea is divided into Delphinapteridae, Phocoenidae, Monodontidae, and Delphinidae. These four families are classified into two groups by the shape and the direction of the posterior process of periotic. One includes Delphinapteridae and Phocoenidae, and the other Delphinidae and Monodontidae.

The first two families seem to have derived from a common origin, in which posterior extension of posterior process of periotic and possibly the tendency of widening of rostrum will be attained. I consider that this group constitutes one of the three groups in Delphinoidea which have attained the wide rostrum. Other two groups with wide rostrum are Orcininae and Globicephalinae. Though
there is observed a strong resemblance between the two species constituting Delphinapteridae in the morphology of tympano-periotic bones, the sutural connection between squamosal and periotic observed in Delphinapterus leucas will be a good reason to separate them into two subfamilies or Delphinapterinae and Orcaellinae. Probably, after the differentiation from the stock common with that of Phocoenidae, Delphinapterus and Orcaella might have differentiated in the arctic and tropical waters respectively.

In spite of the resemblance of the external appearance of Delphinapteridae and Globicephalinae, it is reasonable to consider that they are not in close relatives when considered from the morphology of tympano-periotic bones. Because, other than the difference of the direction of the posterior processes, all the characteristic features of the tympanic bulla of Globicephalinae, or bilateral compression of tympanic bulla, conspicuous ventral keel, anterior spine, short inner posterior prominence, and narrow posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture lack in both Orcaella and Delphinapterus. Though Fraser and Purves (1960) found a resemblance of the air sinus system between Orcaella and Orcinus-Globicephala group, the morphology of tympano-periotic bones of the three genera is quite different. Miller (1923) indicated the resemblance of the pterygoid among Orcaella, Monodon, and all species of Delphinidae. But this will indicate only that the pterygoid of Delphinapterus is specialized, and not the close phylogenetic relationships of Orcaella and Monodon to Delphinapteridae.

TABLE 16. COMPARISON OF THE MORPHOLOGY OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES IN PHOCOENIDAE

| Genus | Phocoena | Neophocaena | Phocoenoides |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High ventral keel | + | ++ | +++ |
| Wide LTA | + | ++ | ++ |
| Outer post. prominence | Flat, Thick | Cylindrical | Flat, Thin |
| Post. proc. of tympanic bulla | Square, Thick | Conical | Square, Thin |
| Post. proc. of periotic | Thick | Slender | Thick |
| LTA: Lower tympanic aperture |  |  |  |

The tympano-periotic bone of Phocoenidae is characteristic in the direction and structure of posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic. The structure of posterior processes is more specialized than that of Delphinapteridae. Similar tendency of higher specialization of Phocoenidae is observed also in the degeneration of tooth and fusion of cervical vertebrae. Many other features of tympanic bulla are common to those of Delphinapteridae. Among the three genera of Phocoenidae the tympano-periotic bone of Phocoena retains the most primitive features in all the characteristics compared, especially in the condition of the ventral keel, but the mode of specialization of outer posterior prominence, posterior process of tympanic bulla, and of posterior process of periotic differ between Neophocaena and Phocoenoides. And the tympanic bulla of the one genus cannot be led from that of the other. This will suggest that these two genera have independently derived from a stock which is close to Phocoena.

The family Delphinidae contains most numerous and wide variety of species. This group will have originated from a same stock with that of Delphinapteridae and Phocoenidae, and attained the character in which the posterior process of periotic extends to the lateral direction. There is a decreasing tendency in the width of the posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture. This family is divided into 4 subfamilies of Sotaliinae, Delphininae, Orcininae, and Globicephalinae based on the morphology of tympanic bulla.

Sotaliinae includes Sotalia, Sousa, and Cephalorhynchus. The closure of their elliptical foramen indicates one of the specialization, but the existance of median furrow or similar structure, weak ventral keel, and no bilateral compression of tympanic bulla indicate the primitiveness. Their posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture is wider than those of other 3 subfamilies. This feature is also considered to be a primitive character, showing no extraordinary widened status found in Pontoporiidae, Phocoenidae, and Delphinapteridae, nor the narrowed condition of other subfamilies of Delphinidae. As this subfamily is established based on many primitive features and modification of elliptical foramen which is very variable, there remains a question on the phylogenetic uniformity of this group. Among the three genera of this subfamily, Sotalia and Sousa show the higher resemblance in the morphology of tympano-periotic bone. Anyway the tympano-periotic bone of these genera shows higher resemblance to that of Delphininae.

The tympanic bulla of Delphininae is weakly specialized, and retains the most primitive condition in Delphinidae. This subfamily includes Steno, Lagenorhynchus, Delphinus, Lissodelphis, Stenella, and Tursiops. They are classified into two groups by the feature of the ventral keel of tympanic bulla, the one includes the first three genera and the other the last three. On the two genera at the boundary, Delphinus and Lissodelphis, the height of ventral keel is the smallest, which will probably retain the unspecialized original feature of the tympanic bulla of Delphininae. In Fig. 78, these two groups are shown in Delphinus group and Tursiops group. The genus Stenella includes many species which varidity is not established yet. When considered from the morphology of tympanic bulla, the North Pacific species $S$. longirostris and $S$. roseiventris, and S. attenuata and $S$. graffmani seems to be in close relations respectively. And $S$. caeruleoalba is in closer affinity with the latter pair of species than the former.

Oricininae is constituted by Orcinus orca and Pseudorca crassidens. As their tympanic bullae show the resemblance to those of Delphinus and Lagenorhynchus, this subfamily seems to have derived from the stock which has such an unspecialized tympanic bulla. The tympano-periotic bone of Orcinus orca shows the higher specialization in the perfect disappearance of ventral keel, closure of elliptical foramen, and the massiveness of anterior and superior process of periotic.

The two species included here in Orcininae have been often included in the Globicephalinae here adopted. Though they resembles in the width of rostrum, Orcininae greatly differs from Globicephalinae in the features of tympanic bulla and periotic. In other characteristics of skull, Orcininae seems to have developed the augmentation of tooth together with the decrease of tooth number. On the
other hand, in Globicephalinae, the number of tooth seems to be decreasing without accompanied by the much increase of size, and the function of the tooth in taking the food is decreasing. Accordingly, I consider that the resemblance of the width of the rostrum between Orcininae and Globicephalinae is not significant.

Globicephalinae includes Peponocephala, Feresa, Globicephala, and Grampus. They are distinguished from other genera of Delphinidae by the morphology of tympanic bulla, especially by the bilateral compression, presence of anterior spine and ventral keel, and the closing tendency of elliptical foramen. In the least specialized species Peponocephala electra, the flatness of tympanic bulla is not strong and all individuals retain the elliptical foramen open. This suggests that Globicephalinae derived from a primitive Delphinidae which possessed such a tympanic bulla now found in Delphininae, and diverged to wide variety of species.

TABLE 17. COMPARISON OF THE MORPHOLOGY OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONES AND OTHER TAXONOMICAL CHARACTERS.

| Species | Mean <br> tympanic <br> width $(\%)$ | Opened <br> elliptical <br> foramen $(\%)$ | *Rostrum <br> length <br> /width | *No. of <br> upper <br> tooth | *No. of <br> united <br> cervicals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peponocephala electra | 55.3 | 100 | 1.83 | $21-25$ | 3 |
| Feresa attenuata | 53.5 | 28.6 | 1.58 | $8-11$ | $3-4$ |
| Globicephala macrorhyncha | 49.8 | 3.8 | 1.16 | $6-9$ | $5-6$ |
| Grampus griseus | 50.1 | 8.3 | 1.04 | 0 | 6 |
| Monodon monoceros | 39.6 | 25.0 | 1.31 | $0-1$ | 0 |
| Pseudorca crassidens | 60.6 | 100 | 1.49 | $8-11$ | 6 |
| Orcinus orca | 56.1 | 0 | 1.36 | $10-13$ | 4 |
| Delphinapterus leucas | 58.9 | 0 | 1.50 | $8-10$ | 0 |
| Orcaella brevirostris | 54.9 | 0 | 1.10 | $15-17$ | 2 |
| Neophocaena phocaenoides | 64.4 | 0 | 1.03 | $15-19$ | 5 |
| Phocoena phocoena | 62.3 | 0 | 1.39 | $23-27$ | 6 |
| Phocoenoides spp. | 62.3 | 0 | 1.47 | $23-27$ | 7 |

* Refered from Nishiwaki (1963, '64), Nakajima and Nishiwaki (1965), Nishiwaki et al (1965), and Kasuya (unpublished).

As shown in Table 17, there is observed a correlation between the strength of specialization of tympanic bulla and that of other taxonomical characteristics of Globicephalinae. But this correlation can not be extended to other taxa of Delphinoidea. This will indicate that Globicephalinae should be separated from other taxa which have wide rostrum. Among the species constituting Globicephalinae, Peponocephala electra was indicated to have a strong resemblance to Delphinidae in the morphology of skull (True 1889; Nakajima and Nishiwaki 1965). And True (1889) included this species into Lagenorhynchus. Though its tympanic bulla retains the most primitive condition in the Globicephalinae species, it surely shows the taxonomical characteristics of Globicephalinae and quite different from the tym-
panic bulla of Lagenorhynchus. Accordingly Peponocephala should be included into Globicephalinae.

The specialized features of tympanic bulla of Monodon monoceros are seen in the strong bilateral compression, short inner posterior prominence, high ventral keel,


Fig. 79. Dendrogram of the recent Odontoceti considered from the morphology of tympano-periotic bone. For other explanation see text.
and in sigmoidally curved inner margin of the involucrum. These characteristics are not found in Delphinapterus leucas, but show higher resemblance to Globicephalinae, especially with Grampus. However, different from the general feature of Globicephalinae, tympanic bulla of Monodon has no anterior spine and the compression of the bulla is stronger than any Globicephalinae species. The periotic of Monodon shows specialization in the presence of thick and wide anterior process and postero-laterally directed long posterior process of periotic. The former resembles
more to Globicephala and Grampus than Delphinapterus, and the latter especially its direction is quite different from Delphinapterus but is common to all Delphinidae. On the other hand tympano-periotic bone of Monodon retains some primitive features of slightly wide posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture, round opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus, and of elliptical foramen opened in higher frequency than in some Globicephalinae. But they are not of great importance in taxonomical point of view.

As the conclusion, though tympano-periotic bone of Monodon monoceros has some primitive features not observed in Globicephalinae, most of features are considered to be common to Globicephalinae or are the specialized form of it. This will suggest that $M$. monoceros and Globicephalinae derived from a common stock which had started the specialization for Globicephala group, or the various modification of tym-pano-periotic bone, and the decrease in number of tooth, and increase in the width of rostrum. The presence of a conspicuous tusk on the male Monodon is one of the extremity of the decrease of the tooth. But in this study Monodon is classified into Monodontidae considering the slightly primitive features in the tympano-periotic bone and in the cervical vertebrae, and the specialized tooth.

In the past, Monodon was included in one group together with Delphinapterus (Winge 1918; Nishiwaki 1963), based mainly on the condition of the cervicals. But the condition where all the 7 cervicals are separated is commonly observed in the miocene dolphins, and it will not be necessarily correct to conclude the phylogenetic relationships based on this kind of primitive characteristics.

Fig. 79 shows the phylogenetic relationships of the recent toothed whales presumed in the above discussions. Some fossile species refered in this study are also shown in it. The geological age is based on Kellogg (1923) and adaptable mainly to the fossile species.

## SUMMARY

The tympano-periotic bone of toothed whales has attained the peculiar morphological features and between species differences suggesting the probable efficiency as the taxonomical characteristics. This study intends, at first, to clarify the morphology of tympano-periotic bones of recent toothed whales, and then to discuss the phylogenetic relationships of the genera of recent Odontoceti based on the tympano-periotic bones representing 313 individuals in 30 genera.

In the preliminary morphological study of the tympano-periotic bones, the following results were obtained.

1. The bilateral difference of tympano-periotic bones between the both sides of one individual was studied on 6 species of Delphinoidea. Though most of measurements showed no bilateral asymmetry, the asymmetry was expected in few points. But it is small enough compared with the range of individual variation to be neglected in the present study.
2. The growth of tympano-periotic bone accompanied with the growth of the animal was studied on 3 species of Delphinoidea. The length of tympanic bulla
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shows slight increase after the birth, but the length of periotic does almost no increase. The proportional dimensions of tympanic bulla or of periotic vary in relation to their lengths and in species.
3. It is usually possible to identify the genus with hte morphology of tympanic bulla or of periotic, but sometimes difficult to identify the species. The morphological characteristics of tympano-periotic bone for the identification of the species or genus are described.
4. A similarity coefficient of the tympano-periotic bone was calculated based on the 22 morphological characteristics. By this coefficient, Delphinidae, Phocoenidae, Kogiidae, Physeteridae, Ziphiidae, Platanistidae, and Iniidae are separated, but Monodontidae and Delphinapteridae are not separated from Delphinidae.

The following results were obtained on the probable processes of the specialization of several morphological characteristics, through the comparizon of the tym-pano-periotic bones of various taxa of recent toothed whales and fossil species.

1. In the primitive condition, the posterior process of tympanic bulla, posterior process of periotic, and squamosal are considered to have been sutured each other. But in the specialized species, these connections are disappearing in various degree.
2. The most primitive condition concerning the above characteristics is found, in the recent Odontoceti, on Physeter catodon and Platanista gangetica. But these species show the higher specialization on the structure of the postero-ventral region of squamosal where the posterior process of tympanic bulla sutures.
3. The degeneration of sutural connection between skull and tympanoperiotic bone is considered to have advanced independently in Physeteroidea, Platanistoidea, and Delphinoidea. The suture between squamosal and posterior process of tympanic bulla is retained in all species of Physeteroidea. In Platanistoidea and Delphinoidea the connection between squamosal and posterior process of tympanic bulla is disappearing in various degree. Pontoporiidae and Phocoenidae situate at the extremity where the suture is fully lost.
4. The primitive form of sigmoid process is considered to be of a thin square, which is retained better in Physeter catodon. The form of sigmoid process found widely in Delphinoidea seems to have derived from this primitive type through the condition of Platanista. The lateral furrow seems to have disappeared in several taxa.
5. The closure of elliptical foramen is considered to have occurred parallelly in various taxa, and to be still progressing in some taxa. The elliptical foramen is perfectly retained in Delphininae.
6. In primitive tympanic bulla, the ventral wall and the lateral wall cross at a right angle. In Physeteridae, Ziphiidae, Monodontidae, and in Globicephalinae their relation is modified. The median furrow is retained well in Platanistoidea, but only slightly in Kogiidae, Delphinapteridae, Phocoenidae, and Sotaliinae.
7. There are observed two cases in the mode of specialization of ventral keel which afford a base for the ligament connecting the tympanic bulla and basioccipital crest, in one case it increases in height and in the other decreases.
8. In the primitive condition, the posterior process of periotic is directed to postero-ventral direction. This condition is observed in some species of Physetero-
idea and Platanistoidea. In one of the specialized type it is directed to the posterior direction, which is observed in Delphinapteridae and Phocoenidae. And in the other it is directed to postero-lateral or to lateral direction, which is observed in Monodontidae and Delphinidae.
9. The anterior process of periotic seems to have changed from the primitive rod-shape which is observed in Physeter, Platanista, and Lipotes, to the triangular pyramid shape of Ziphiidae, to spool shape of Kogia, or to square shape of Delphinoidea, and Inia.

The following conclusions on the phylogenetic relationships of the taxa of recent Odontoceti were obtained from the consideration of the process of the evolution of tympano-periotic bone.

1. The fundamental structure of tympano-periotic bones of Archaeoceti coincides neither with that of Mysticeti nor that of Odontoceti, but it shows higher resemblance with the former.
2. The recent Odontoceti is considered to have derived from a primitive Squalodon group, and classified into two groups. One is Physeteroidae, and the other includes Platanistoidea and Delphinoidea.
3. The group of Physeteroidea retains the suture between squamosal and posterior process of tympanic bulla, and shows a conspicuous specialization of these parts. In its early stage of evolution, Kogiidae, Ziphiidae, and Physeteridae seems to have been separated.
4. The recent Ziphiidae is classified by tympanic bulla into two groups, one indludes Berardius and Mesoplodon, and the other Ziphius, Hyperoodon, and Tasmacetus.
5. The 2 nd group of recent Odontoceti shows the tendency of the separation of tympano-periotic bone from the skull, accompanied with the degeneration of posterior processes of tympanic bulla and of periotic. This group is devided into Platanistoidea and Delphinoidea, which are presumed to have derived from a common origin.
6. In Platanistoidea, the regression of the size of posterior processes is stronger but retains many primitive features of tympano-periotic bones than those of Delphinoidea. The differentiation of Platanistidae, Iniidae, and Pontoporiidae seems to have occurred in the early period of evolution of Platanistoidea.
7. Delphinoidea is composed of the two groups. One includes Delphinapteridea and Phocoenidae, and the other Delphinidae and Monodontidae. These two groups in Delphinoidea had originated from a common stock.
8. Delphinidae is composed of Sotaliinae, Delphininae, Oricininae, and Globicephalinae.
9. It is presumed from the morphology of tympanic bulla that Orcininae may have derived from a stock which is close to that of Delphinus or Lagenorhynchus.
10. Globicephalinae and Monodontidae show some resemblance in the mo phology of tympano-periotic bone.
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## APPENDIX I

Key to genus or species by means of the morphology of tympanic bulla or periotic.

## Tympanic bulla

$\mathbf{a}^{1}$ Sigmoid process is globular. Tympanic bulla is not compressed laterally. Anterior spine and elliptical foramen are absent. Posterior process is large and funnel shaped. Interprominential notch is wide and opens posteriorly. Involucrum is thick. Length of tympanic bulla 24 to 39 mm .

## Kogia

$b^{1}$ Anterior margin of the lateral wall is convexed.

K. breviceps

$b^{2}$ Anterior margin of the lateral wall is concaved.
K. simus
$\mathrm{a}^{2}$ Sigmoid process is of a large square and thin. Its dorsal margin is longer than the lateral.
$c^{1}$ Lateral furrow is absent. Outer and inner posterior prominences are small, and they situate on a plane including the lateral margin of sigmoid proces. Involucrum is thick. Elliptica lforamen is absent. Posterior process is long and finely laminated. Length of tympanic bulla 55 to 63 mm .

## Physeter catodon

$\mathrm{c}^{2}$ Lateral furrow is conspicuous. Lateral margin of sigmoid process is twisted posteriorly.

Ziphiidae
$d^{1}$ Posterior prominences are large. Interprominential notch is wide.
$\mathrm{e}^{1}$ In the ventral view the anterior part of tympanic bulla is triangular and narrow. Length of tympanic bulla 63 to 71 mm . Berardius
$\mathrm{e}^{2}$ In the ventral view the anterior part of the outer and inner border is nearly parallel.
f1 A small crest is present on the postero-lateral tip of outer posterior prominence.

## Tasmacetus

$f^{2}$ Outer posterior prominence is globular or cylindrical. Length of tympanic bulla 39 to 54 mm .

## Mesoplodon

$\mathrm{g}^{2}$ Ventral keel situates on the line extended from inner posterior prominence.
M. carlhubbsi, M. stejnegeri,
M. europaeus, M. mirus
$g^{2}$ Ventral keel situates outer side of the line extended from inner posterior prominence.
M. densirostris,
M. ginkgodens
$d^{2}$ Interprominential notch is shallow and narrow.
$h^{1}$ Outer posterior prominence is thin and has a small keel on the posterolateral tip. Tympanic bulla is flat. Length of tympanic bulla 51 to 60 mm .

Ziphius cavirostris
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$h^{2}$ Outer posterior prominence is thick and has no keel on the postero-lateral tip. Tympanic bulla is cylindrical. Length of tympanic bulla 54 mm ( 1 example). Hyperoodon
$a^{3}$ Dorsal margin of sigmoid process is equal or shorter than the lateral margin.
$\mathrm{i}^{1}$ Lateral and median furrows are present. Sigmoid process is thick.
$\mathrm{j}^{1}$ Anterior part of the ventral wall is conical. Elliptical foramen usually opens.
$\mathrm{k}^{1}$ Median furrow is wide and deep, and has fine needle-like processes in it. Anterior spine is long. Lateral margin of sigmoid process is straight. Length of tympanic bulla 47 to 64 mm .

## Platanista gangetica

$\mathrm{k}^{2}$ No needle-like process in the median furrow. Lateral margin of sigmoid process convexes posteriorly.
$1^{1}$ Tympanic bulla is wide ( 63 to $71 \%$ of the length) with the inner projection of involucrum. Median furrow is narrow. Length of tympanic bulla 38 to 46 mm .

Inia geoffrensis
$1^{2}$ Involucrum does not project interiorly. Width of tympanic bulla is $51 \%$ of the length ( 1 example). Length of tympanic bulla 47 mm ( 1 example).

Lipotes vexillifer
$j^{2}$ Inner border of ventral wall is concaved, and the anterior part is square. Posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture is wide ( $17.7 \%$ of the length, 1 example). Elliptical foramen closed. Length of tympanic bulla 24 mm ( 1 example).

Pontoporia blainvillei
$\mathrm{i}^{2}$ Lateral furrow is absent. Median furrow is absent or vaguely present. Sigmoid process is thin and long.
$\mathrm{m}^{1}$ Posterior process of tympanic bulla extends posteriorly. Posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture is wide. Tympanic bulla is not compressed bitaterally. Anterior spine is absent.
$\mathrm{n}^{1}$ Facet for posterior process of periotic has ridges and keels. Involucrum convexes.
o $^{1}$ Anterior tip of tympanic bulla and outer posterior prominence project ventrally, and when seen from the lateral side the ventral contour is saddleshaped. Length of tympanic bulla 40 to 45 mm .

Delphinapterus leucas
$\mathrm{o}^{2}$ When seen from the lateral side, the ventral contour is nearly straight. Shallow median furrow is present. Length of tympanic bulla 36 to 40 mm .

Orcaella brevirostris
$\mathrm{n}^{2}$ Facet for posterior process of periotic is smooth. Weak median furrow is present.
$\mathrm{p}^{1}$ Outer posterior prominence is cylindrical. Posterior process is thick and spindle-shaped. Length of tympanic bulla 27 to 32 mm .

Neophocaena phocaenoides
$p^{2}$ Outer posterior prominence is flat. Posterior process is flat and square.
$q^{1}$ Ventral keel is high. Length of tympanic bulla 30 to 37 mm .
Phocoenoides
$q^{2}$ Ventral keel is low. Length of tympanic bulla 28 to 34 mm .
Phocoena
$\mathrm{m}^{2}$ Posterior process of tympanic bulla extends to postero-lateral or lateral direction. Anterior spine is usually present. Posterior branch of lower tympanic aperture is not wide.
$r^{1}$ Tympanic bulla is strongly compressed laterally. Inner posterior prominence is short, and not extended posteriorly beyond the base. Ventral keel is prominent. Median furrow is absent.
$s^{1}$ Width of tympanic bulla is 37 to $42 \%$ of the length. Ventral keel is high and sigmoidal. Anterior spine is absent and elliptical foramen is usually absent. Length of tympanic bulla 50 to 51 mm .

## Monodon monoceros

$s^{2}$ Width of tympanic bulla is more than $42 \%$ of length. Anterior spine is present.
$\mathbf{t}^{1}$ In the ventral view anterior margin is triangular, and the anterior spine situates at the center. Anterior part of lateral wall is narrow. Inner margin of ventral wall is straight. Surface of ventral keel is smooth. Length of tympanic bulla 34 to 38 mm .

Peponocephala electra
$\mathrm{t}^{2}$ Inconspicuous anterior spine situates nearly at the center. Anterior part of lateral wall is wide. Involucrum is short. Inner margin of the bulla concaves. Length of tympanic bulla 39 to 42 mm .

## Feresa attenuata

$\mathbf{t}^{3}$ A plate-like or semitubal anterior spine situates in front of ventral keel. Anterior parts of ventral wall and of lateral wall are narrow and pointed. Inner margin of ventral wall is slightly convexed. Ventral keel is lower at the center. Length of tympanic bulla 36 to 52 mm .

Globicephala
$t^{4}$ Anterior spine situates in front of involucrum. Anterior part of the lateral wall is wide. Ventral keel is conspicuous. Inner margin of the ventral wall slightly concaves. Length of tympanic bulla 38 to 47 mm .

Grampus griseus
$r^{2}$ Tympanic bulla is not compressed laterally.
$u^{1}$ Ventral keel continues from inner posterior prominence to anterior end of involucrum. Inner posterior prominence projects posteriorly beyond the base. Median furrow is absent. Length of tympanic bulla is less than 45 mm .
$\mathrm{v}^{1}$ Contour of anterior margin of ventral wall is oval. Ventral keel is high. The thickness of inner posterior prominence is nearly same with that of outer posterior prominence. Length of tympanic bulla 34 to 42 mm .

## Tursiops

$\mathrm{v}^{2}$ Contour of anterior margin of ventral wall is rectangular, and has small tubal anterior spine at the center. Ventral keel is high. Inner posterior prominence is thinner than outer posterior prominence. Length of tympanic bulla 28 to 35 mm .

Stenella

$\mathrm{v}^{3}$ Contour of anterior margin of ventral wall is narrow rectangular. Ventral keel is wide and flat. Length of tympanic bulla 32 to 35 mm .

Lissodelphis borealis
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$\mathbf{u}^{2}$ Ventral keel does not reach the anterior end of tympanic bulla. Inner posterior prominence projects posteriorly beyond the base. Median furrow absent.
$\mathbf{w}^{\mathbf{1}}$ Ventral keel is inconspicous and fades at slightly anterior of the middle of the bulla. Ventral wall is nearly smooth except a hemispheric prominence at the antero-mesial corner. Interprominential notch is wide and U shaped. Length of tympanic bulla 30 to 35 mm .
$\qquad$
$\mathrm{w}^{2}$ Ventral keel is high only at the posterior part, and ends nearly at the middle of the bulla. Two vague longitudinal keels are present on the median line and in front of outer posterior prominence. Length of tympanic bulla 32 to 39 mm .

## Lagenorhynchus

$w^{3}$ Ventral keel is high and reaches at the point about $1 / 3$ from the anterior. There is a deep groove on the ventral surface along the anterior end of ventral keel. Anterior part of the bulla is cylindrical. Vague longitudinal keels are observed same with Lagenorhynchus. Length of tympanic bulla 36 to 42 mm .

## Steno bredanensis

$\mathrm{u}^{3}$ Ventral keel is almost absent. Median furrow absent. Tympanic bulla is cylindrical. Length of tympanic bulla is more than 45 mm .
$\mathrm{x}^{1}$ Both posterior prominences are arranged in parallel. Inner margin of the bulla is straight. Length of tympanic bulla 49 to 51 mm .

Pseudorca crassidens
$x^{2}$ Posterior prominences opens posteriorly at the angle of about $45^{\circ}$. Elliptical foramen is absent. Length of tympanic bulla 70 to 85 mm .

Orcinus orca
$\mathbf{u}^{4}$ Median furrow or similar structure is present. Ventral keel low. Elliptical foramen is usually absent. Small in size.
$\mathrm{y}^{1}$ Inner posterior prominence projects posterioly beyornd the base. Vague keels is present at the outer border of median furrow. The inner angle on the anterior border of ventral wall is steep. Length of tympanic bulla 34 mm ( 1 example).

Sousa teuszii
$y^{2}$ Inner posterior prominence projects posteriorly beyond the base. Anterior base of outer posteriorly prominence swells. Anterior margin of ventral wall is oval. Length of tympanic bulla 27 to 29 mm .

Cepholorhynchus
$\mathrm{y}^{3}$ Inner posterior prominence does not project posteriorly beyond the base. Anterior margin of ventral wall is oval. Length of tympanic bulla 31 to 32 mm .

Sotalia

## Periotic

$a^{1}$ Anterior process is shaped of a curved rod. Posterior process is bent postero-bentrally at a right angles with superior process. Facet for posterior process of tympanic bulla is small, and has weak keels and grooves.
$b^{1}$ Large accessory ossicle touches the cochlear portion and covers the dorsal part of
tympanic cavity. Superior process is massive and continuous in structure to the base of posterior process. Aquaeductus Fallopii, foramen singulare, and ductus endolymphaticus open in the fundus of internal auditory meatus. Length of periotic 57 to 69 mm .

## Physeter catodon

$b^{2}$ Accessory ossicle is small. Superior process is slender. Slender posterior process is separated from superior process by a groove. Ductus endolymphaticus opens outside of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus.
$c^{1}$ Cochlear portion is flat. Anterior process is separated from superior process by a groove. A protuberance projects postero-ventrally from the antero-ventral base of posterior process. Length of periotic 33 to 43 mm .

Platanista gangetica
$c^{2}$ Cochlear portion is globular. Anterior process is continuous to superior process. No protuberence at the antero-ventral base of posterior process. Length of periotic 52 mm ( 1 example).

## Lipotes vexillifer

$\mathrm{a}^{2}$ Anterior process is hemispheric or triangular pyramidal. Anterior and Posterior processes are distinct from superior process. Posterior process is short. Facet for posterior process of tympanic bulla is almost smooth.
$\mathrm{d}^{1}$ Tip of posterior process is fan shaped.
$\mathrm{e}^{1}$ Anterior process is elongted triangular pyramidal. Length of periotic 66 to 76 mm .

Berardius
$\mathrm{e}^{2}$ Anterior process is short, and the triangular pyramidal part is roundish. Length of periotic 54 to 63 mm .

## Ziphius cavirostris

$\mathrm{d}^{2}$ Posterior process is slender, and not wide.
$f^{1}$ Anterior process forms a long triangular pyramid with the clear ridges. Length of periotic 61 mm ( 1 example).

## Hyperoodon

$f^{2}$ Anterior process is hemispheric, and has a small protuberance at the anterior tip. The surface of periotic is smooth and roundish. Small in size, 41 to 52 mm . Mesoplodon
$\mathrm{g}^{1}$ Dome shaped protuberance is formed on the dorsal surface of superior process. Length of periotic 41 to 45 mm .

## M. europaeus

M. ginkgodens
$g^{2}$ No dome shaped protuberance on the superior process. Length of periotic 44 to 52 mm .
M. densitostris
M. carlhabbsi
M. stejnegeri
$\mathrm{a}^{3}$ Anterior process is flat and spool shaped. A plate-like protuberance is on the tip of posterior process. Aquadeuctus Fallopii opens out side of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus.

Kogia
$h^{1}$ Dorsal contour of upper tympanic aperture forms small semicircle. Length of
periotic 24 to 31 mm .
K. breviceps
$h^{2}$ Dorsal contour of upper tympanic aperture is nearly straight. Length of periotic 22 to 30 mm .
K. simus
$a^{4}$ Anterior process is flat, and its anterior margin is rectangular.
$\mathrm{i}^{1}$ Posterior process is short. Cochlear portion is spheric, and its diameter is more than $54 \%$ of the tympanic length.
$\mathrm{j}^{1}$ Periotic is short. Anterior process very short. Length of periotic 20 mm (1 example).

## Pontoporia blainvillei

$\mathrm{j}^{2}$ Periotic is large. Length of periotic 25 to 31 mm .

Inia geoffrensis

$\mathrm{i}^{1}$ Posterior process extends posteriorly and not short. Cochlear portion is less than $53 \%$ of periotic length.
$k^{1}$ Facet for posterior process of tympanic bulla is smooth. Posterior process is rod shaped. Length of periotic 26 to 32 mm .
$1^{1}$ Posterior process is slender and conical, and the tip is pointed.
Neophacaena phocaenoides
$1^{2}$ Tip of posterior process is blunt. Faint constriction is on the base of posterior process.

## Phocoenoides

$1^{3}$ Tip of posterior process is blunt. No constriction on the base of posterior process. Phocoena
$k^{2}$ Facet for posterior process of tympanic bulla has ridges and grooves. Posterior process is stout.
$\mathrm{m}^{1}$ Posterior process is wedge-shaped. Irregular grooves are present on the dorsal surface of posterior process. Length of periotic 37 to 41 mm .

Delphinapterus leucas
$\mathrm{m}^{2}$ Posterior process is of triangular rod with spongy structure on the tip. Length of periotic 34 to 41 mm .

## Orcaella brevirostris

$\mathrm{i}^{3}$ Posterior process extends laterally or postero-laterally and is not short.
$\mathrm{n}^{\mathbf{1}}$ Periotic is large. Anterior, posterior, and superior processes are very massive, but cochlear portion is relatively small (diameter is 31 to $39 \%$ of periotic length). Periotic length 73 to 88 mm .

Orcinus orca
$\mathrm{n}^{2}$ Periotic is small, less than 50 mm in length. Monodon and most of the genera of Delphinidae are included, and their identification is usually difficult.
o $^{1}$ Needle-shaped processes are formed on the dorsal surface of superior process.
They are conspicuous in older individuals, and weaker in the following order.
$\mathrm{p}^{1}$ Opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is round. Crista transversa is high (characteristics found only in this genus among the following 4 genera). No flat area is on the dorsal surface of superior process. Length of periotic 37 to 50 mm .

## Monodon monoceros

$p^{2}$ Wide and elevated flat area is conspicuous on the dorsal surface of superior process. Posterior process is thick and short, and has the spongy structure
on the tip (found only in this genus among the 4 genera). Length of periotic 34 to 46 mm .

## Grampus griseus

$\mathrm{p}^{3}$ Flat area on the superior process is low, and distinguished unclearly from the rest of the part. Tip of posterior process is thin. The contour of anterior, superior, and posterior processes is smoothly round. Length of periotic 33 to 44 mm .

## Globicephala

$p^{4}$ Flat area on the dorsal surface of superior process is oblique and not elevated from the rest of the area. Longitudinal keel is present on the inner side of dorsal surface of superior process. Opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is long. Length of periotic 31 to 38 mm .

## Tursiops

$o^{2}$ No needle-shaped process is formed on the dorsal surface of superior process. Crista transversa is low.
$q^{1}$ Longitudinal keel is conspicuous on the dorsal surface of superior process, and its outer area forms a flat slope. Anterior process is nearly straight. Length of periotic 42 to 49 mm .

## Pseudorca crassidens

$q^{2}$ Anterior process is thick. In the lateral view, the dorsal contour of anterior and superior processes is straight. Dorsal surface of superior process is not wide. Length of periotic 35 to 38 mm .

Feresa attenuata
$\mathrm{q}^{3}$ Dorsal surface of superior process is wide and flat. Length of periotic 30 to 35 mm .

## Peponocephala electra

$q^{4}$ The area posterior of the opening of fundus of internal auditory meatus is wide and flat. Length of periotic 33 to 36 mm .

Steno bredanensis
$q^{5}$ Aquaeductus cochleae and ductus endolymphaticus are surrounded by a flat area, and open in a same plane. Length of periotic 30 to 33 mm .

Lissodelphis borealis
$q^{6}$ In the lateral view, the dorsal contour of anterior, superior, and posterior processes is round. Length of periotic 28 to 33 mm .

Lagenorhynchus
$q^{7}$ Superior process is slender, and surrounded by two planes of dorsal and and lateral sides. Length of periotic 33 mm ( 1 example).

Sousa teuszii
$q^{8}$ Superior process is slender, and surrounded by three planes. Length of periotic 27 mm ( 1 example).

## Sotalia

$q^{9}$ Opening of aquaeductus cochleae projects posteriorly. One longitudinal keel is present on the dorsal surface of superior process. Length of periotic 26 mm (1 example).

## Cephalorhynchus

$q^{10}$ Small periotics ( 24 to 32 mm in length) other than the above genera probably belong to Delphinus or Stenella. As the individual variation is large, the identification is most difficult in these genera.
APPENDIX II. TABLE OF MEASUREMENTS AND SOME GHARACTERISTICS OF TYMPANO-PERIOTIC BONE.



| A | Platanista gangetica |  |  |  | $\stackrel{2}{\text { Inia geoffrensis }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | C | D | E | B | C | D | E |
| 1 | 7 | 47.1, 63.5 | 100 | 52.4 | 5 | 38.2, 45.6 | 100 | 41.1 |
| 2 | 7 | 43.7, 58.3 | 90.0, 91.8 | 91.3 | 5 | 32.0, 41.1 | 83.8, 91.5 | 88.0 |
| 3 | 7 | 34.3, 37.2 | 58.6, 73.2 | 68.2 | 5 | 25.3, 28.1 | 59.4, 68.5 | 64.5 |
| 4 | 7 | 27.3, 30.1 | 47.4, 59.6 | 54.6 | 5 | 16.6, 18.9 | 39.1, 44.8 | 42.7 |
| 5 | 7 | 24.7, 29.2 | 44.3, 54.4 | 50.9 | 5 | 25.5, 28.0 | $60.3,70.3$ | 65.3 |
| 6 | 7 | 33.3, 38.9 | $61.3,74.8$ | 69.2 | 5 | 29.8, 34.8 | $74.0,81.4$ | 77.4 |
| 7 | 7 | * |  |  | 5 | * |  |  |
| 8 | 7 | 2.4, 3.6 | 4.5, 5.7 | 5.3 | 5 | 2.8, 3.4 | $6.3, \quad 8.5$ | 7.8 |
| 9 | 7 | 11.0, 13.1 | 20.3, 25.9 | 23.4 | 5 | $9.7,10.2$ | 22.6, 27.5 | 25.1 |
| 10 | 7 | 2.7, 4.3 | 4.7, 9.1 | 6.4 | 5 | $3.5,4.2$ | 7.9, 11.5 | 9.5 |
| 11 | 6 | 0.9, 4.5 | $1.9,8.2$ | 4.9 | 5 | $1.9,5.0$ | $4.3,11.0$ | 8.5 |
| 12 | 7 | Inner |  |  | 5 | Outer $\doteqdot$ Inner |  |  |
| 13 | 7 | 33.4, 42.3 | 100 | 37.6 | 5 | 25.7, 30.4 | 100 | 26.9 |
| 14 | 7 | 9.4, 15.2 | 23.9, 35.9 | 30.3 | 5 | 10.7, 15.6 | 41.6, 58.3 | 48.7 |
| 15 | 7 | 21.9, 24.8 | 55.3, 66.5 | 61.7 | 5 | 21.4, 25.2 | 79.6, 90.0 | 87.0 |
| 16 | 7 | $3.6,5.3$ | $9.9,13.7$ | 11.8 | 5 | $3.8,4.8$ | 14.7, 18.3 | 15.2 |
| 17 | 7 | $2.2,3.8$ | $5.6,9.8$ | 8.1 | 5 | 2.6, 3.6 | 9.7, 13.6 | 11.7 |
| 18 | 6 | 10.0, 13.0 | $26.3,35.0$ | 30.8 | 5 | 8.2, 11.1 | 31.9, 36.6 | 34.3 |
| 19 | 7 | 14.5, 16.2 | 38.3, 44.8 | 41.7 | 5 | $14.2,18.2$ | 54.3, 68.5 | 63.7 |
| 20 | 7 | + |  |  | 5 | + |  |  |
| 21 | 7 | + |  |  | 5 | - |  |  |
| 22 | 7 |  | 64.7, 77.0 | 71.4 | 5 |  | $60.8,67.6$ | 65.7 |
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| $\stackrel{24}{\text { Stenella attenuata }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | C | D | E |
| 7 | 30.1, 33.8 | 100 | 31.3 |
| 7 | 28.2, 32.8 | 89.7, 105.0 | 95.4 |
| 7 | 21.0, 22.5 | 62.4, 73.5 | . 6 |
| 7 | 15.3, 17.3 | 45.2, 54.5 | 51. |
| 7 | 17.1, 18.1 | 51.0, 59.0 | 5.6 |
| 7 | 22.0, 23.9 | 68.3, 77.0 | 71.4 |
| 7 | 14.3, 15.6 | 42.9, 49.4 | . 8 |
| 7 | $4.2,5.6$ | 12.8, 17.6 | 4.9 |
| 7 | 4.0, 5.7 | 14.0, 18.7 | 15.7 |
| 7 | $0.5,1.2$ | $1.5,4.0$ | . 5 |
| 7 | 0.7, 4.7 | 2.3, 14.1 | 7.2 |
| 7 | Outer |  |  |
| 7 | 26.2, 29.6 | 100 | 28.2 |
| 7 | 9.8, 12.4 | 36.3, 42.5 | 38.3 |
| 7 | 18.0, 20.0 | 61.9, 69.5 | 66.6 |
| 7 | $0.9,1.8$ | 3.1, 4.9 | 4.7 |
| 7 | 2.2, 3.4 | 7.5, 12.5 | 9.7 |
| 7 | 11.0, 14.5 | 35.3, 49.6 | 46.1 |
| 7 | 13.0, 14.0 | 46.9, 50.5 | 48.5 |
| 7 | + |  |  |
| 7 | - |  |  |
| 7 |  | 80.5, 95.7 | 88.0 |
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## EXPLANATION OF PLATES

## PLATE I

Posterior process of tympanic bulla and that of periotic, and their connection with the skull. BO, Basioccipital. EO, Exoccipiral. P, Periotic. PPP, Posterior process of periotic. PPT, Posterior process of tympanic bulla. SQ, Laminated portion of squamosal. T, Tympanic bulla.
Fig. 1. Physeter catodon, TK85, ferus, skull length 75 cm , ventro-lateral view, right side.
Fig. 2. Physeter catodon, TK165, 5.0 m female, skull length 115 cm , same view.
Fig. 3. Physeter catodon, TK261, adult female, same view.
Fig. 4. Mesoplodon densirostris, TK256, 356 cm female, postero-lateral view, left side.
Fig. 5. Kogia breviceps, TK244, 197 cm female, postero-ventral view, right side.
Fig. 6. Platanista gangetica, TK363, 122 cm (juvenile) male, same view.
Fig. 7. Platanisata gangetica, TK $357,200 \mathrm{~cm}$ male, ventral view of skull and periotic. Dotted area indicates the facet for posterior process of tympanic bulla.
Fig. 8. Delphinapterus leucas, SDNHM20046, ventral view, right side.
Fig. 9. Balaenoptera borealis, fetus, lateral view of right tympano-periotic bone.

## PLATE II

Ziphius cavirostris, TK296, tympano-periotic bone, left side.

## PLATE III

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-10. Berardius bairdi, TK299, right side.
Figs. 11-13. Mesoplodon mirus, AMNH174293, right side.
Two black spots on Fig. 8 are artific al and insignificant.
PLATE IV
Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-9. Mesoplodon stejnegeri, TK97, right side.
Figs. 10-16. Hyperoodon ampullatus, USNM14449, left side.
Figs. 17-20. Berardius arnouxi, USNM21511, left side.

## PLATE V

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-10. Mesoplodon densirostris, TK256, 356 cm female, right side.
Figs. II-16. Mesoplodon europaeus, USNM306302, right side.

## PLATE VI

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-10. Mesoplodon ginkgodens, HCY specimen, left side.
Figs. 11-18. Mesoplodon carlhubbsi, USNM274591, right side.
PLATE VII
Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-10. Physeter catodon, NUMS specimen, left side.
Fig. 11. Physeter catodon, TK304, left side.

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-9. Kogia simus, TK47, 245 cm , right side.
Figs. 10-18. Kogia breviceps, TK244, 197 cm female, left side.
Figs. 10-18. Kogia breviceps, TK244, 197 cm female, left side. In Figs. 5 and 14, part of posterior process of tympanic bulla is removed.

PLATE IX
Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-11. Platanista gangetica, TK357, 200 cm male, left side.
Figs. 12-13. Platanista gangetica, TK351, 171.5 cm male, right side, showing the ossicles attaching to anterior process of periotic.
Figs. 14-23. Pontoporia blainvillei, USNM49494 right side.

## PLATE X

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-8. Inia geoffrensis, LACM19589, left side.
Figs. 9-15. Inia geoffrensis, LACM19590, left side.
Figs. 16-20. Lipotes vexillifer, AMNH57333, left side.

## PLATE XI

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-10. Orcaella brevirostris, USNM199743, left side.
Figs. 11-13. Orcaella brevirostris, ZSI 274, right side.
Figs. 14-20. Delphinapterus leucas, AMNH180017, right side.
PLATE XII
Tympano-periotic bones, shadow lines on the tympanic bullae indicate contour lines.
Figs. 1-9. Neophocaena phocaenoides, TK95, right side.
Figs. 10-18. Phocoena phocoena phocoena, TK84, left side.

## PLATE XIII

Tympano-periotic bones, shadow lines on the tympanic bullae indicate contour lines.
Figs. 1-9. Phocoenoides truei, TK113, right side.
Figs. 10-18. Phocoenoides dalli, TK.129, 186 cm female, left side.
PLATE XIV
Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-13. Sousa teuszii, TK260, 191 cm female, left side.
Figs. 14-20. Cephalorhynchus sp. USNM252568, left side.
PLATE XV
Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-8. Sotalia guianensis, CNHM 34907, left side.
Figs. 9-12. Sotalia fluviatilis, AMNH94169, left side.
Figs. 13-15. Tursiops gilli, SDNHM20144, right side.

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-9. Tursiops truncatus, 292 cm male, right side, from the coast of Japan.
Figs. $10-14$. Tursiops truncatus, 335 cm male, right side, same locality.

## PLATE XVII

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-8. Stenella caeruleoalba, adult, right side.
Fig. 9. Stenella caeruleoalba, 92 cm male, fetus, left side.
Fig. 10. Stenella caeruleoalba, adult, right side.
Figs. 11-18. Stenella attenuata, TK103, right side, from the coast of Japan.
Fig. 19. Stenella attenuata, TK60, right side, same locality.
Fig. 20. Stenella attenuata, TK61, left side, same locality.

## PLATE XVIII

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-9. Stenella graffmani, WAW $44,179 \mathrm{~cm}$ female, left side, $12^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 105^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$.
Figs. 10-12. Stenella styx, left side, from the north Atlantic.
PLATE XIX
Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-8. (?) Stenella roseiventris (Hawaiian spinner dolphin), TK $50,180 \mathrm{~cm}$ male, left side.
Figs. 9-10. (?) Stenella roseiventris (Hawaiian spinner dolphin), TK295, 195 cm male, left side, from Hawaii.
Figs. 11-19. (?) Stenella longirostris, WAW52, 168 cm male, left side, $21^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 106^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$.

## PLATE XX

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-9. Lissodelphis borealis, TK257, 245 cm male, left side.
Figs. 10-11. Lissodelphis borealis, TK258, 224 cm female, right side.
Figs. 12-19. Delphinus bairdi, TK255, 204 cm female, right side, from Formosa.

## PLATE XXI

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-8. Delphinus delphis, TK specimen, left side, from the north Atlantic.
Figs. 9-16. Lagenorhynchus obliquidens, TK229, left side.
Figs. 17-19. Lagenorhynchus obliquidens, TK230, left side.
PLATE XXII
Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-8. Lagenorhynchus acutus, USNM14265, right side.
F!̣g. 9-11. Lagenorhynchs obsculus, AMNH34935, left side.
Figs. 12-17. Lagenorhynchus australis, CNHM22248, left side.
PLATE XXIII
Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-9. Lagenorhynchus albirostris, TK87, left side.
Figs. 10-18. Steno bredanensis, TK73, left side.

## PLATE XXIV.

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-13. Pseudorca crassidens, TK specimen, left side.
Figs. 14-17. Orcinus orca, TK specimen, left side, (continue to Pl. XXV).

## PLATE XXV

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1- 2. Orcinus orca, TK specimen (continued from Pl. XXIV).
Figs. 3-15. Peponocephala electra, TK32, left side.

## PLATE XXVI

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-10. Feresa attenuata, TK27, 214 cm male, left side.
Figs. 11-19. Grampus griseus, TK101, left side.
Figs. 20-22. Grampus griseus, TK specimen, left side.

## PLATE XXVII

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-11. Globicephala melaena, TK specimen, left side, from the north Atlantic.
Figs. 12-20. Globicephala macrorhyncha, TK287, 358 cm female, left side.
Figs. 21-22. Globicephala macrorhyncha, TK292, 203 cm female, right side.
Figs. 23-24. Globicephala macrorhyncha, TK291, 141 cm male, left side.

## PLATE XXVIII

Tympano-periotic bones.
Figs. 1-10. Monodon monoceros, SDNHM7096, right side.
Figs. 11-13. Monodon monoceros, AMNH73318, left side.
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# A REVIEW OF PELAGIC WHALING OPERATIONS IN THE ANTARCTIC BASED ON THE EFFORT AND CATCH DATA IN $10^{\circ}$ SQUARES OF LATITUDE AND LONGITUDE 

HIDEO OMURA<br>Whales Research Institute, Tokyo


#### Abstract

Effort and catch data in $10^{\circ}$ squares of latitude and longitude of the pelagic whaling operations in the Antarctic in the past 35 seasons from 193132 to 1971-72 were summed up and briefly analyzed. Bigger concentrations of the baleen whales were in the Weddell (II), Bouvet (III) and Kerguelen (IV) areas. In the Pacific areas the catch was far smaller than in these areas. More than two million tons of baleen whales in weight were produced in each of four squares during these 35 seasons, three in area III and one in area IV. Further analyses were made dividing whole period into four, a prewar period and three periods in the postwar.


## INTRODUCTION

Hjort, Lie and Ruud (1932) analyzed the material obtained from the Norwegian whaling expeditions during the seasons 1929-30 and 1930-31, dividing the sea into a number of small areas measuring 10 degrees of latitude by 10 degrees of longitude, which was termed "squares". The region round the South Polar continent then separated into three distinct series of such squares, viz.:

Series A, the zone between $50^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$

| $"$ | B, | $"$ | $"$ | $60^{\circ}$ | $70^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $" \mathrm{C}$, | $"$ | $"$ | $70^{\circ}$ |  | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ |

Within these Series the squares were numbered consecutively in an eastward direction from the square between $50^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ (No.1). Further they defined the following more extensive areas:
I. The area of land stations in the Falkland's Dependencies.
II. The Weddell Sea from $0^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$ West excepting the zones within which the boats from the land stations are working.
III. The Bouvet-area from $0^{\circ}$ to $70^{\circ}$ East.
IV. The Kerguelen-area from $70^{\circ}$ to $130^{\circ}$ East.
V. The Ross Sea area from $130^{\circ}$ East to $170^{\circ}$ West.

To date these divisions of whaling ground are in practice in analyzing the
catch and effort material, with slight change and addition of area and zone due to the opening of the sanctuary in the South Pacific sector in 1955 and shifting of expeditions to north towards the beginning of $1960^{\prime}$ s, viz.:

The original area I was abolished and re-designated to the sea area from $120^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$, and the area from $170^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ was defined area VI in 1955. The series D , the zone between $40^{\circ}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ was added in 1962.

Further Hjort, Lie and Ruud (1933) had introduced a concept of "catch per boat per day" and in this connection they used the expression "catcher's day's work" (CDW) in measuring effort.

The catch figures of blue, fin, humpback, and sei whales by pelagic operations and the amount of effort expended, expressed as catcher's day's work, in each $10^{\circ}$ square in each month are available for 35 seasons since 1931-32 season. These material are being kept at the Whales Research Institute. Some of them were supplied by The Late Professor Johan T. Ruud personally (before the war seasons), some were distributed at meetings of the International Whaling Commission, some were supplied from the Bureau of the International Whaling Statistics. In addition Mr. E. Vangstein of the BIWS had kindly sent me material for lacking 10 seasons upon my request.

These materials were firstly tabulated in the form shown in Appendices Tables and then briefly analyzed, dividing into following four periods.

1. 1931-32 to 1938-39 seasons. Prewar seasons.
2. 1945-46 to 1954-55 seasons. From the first postwar season to the opening of the sanctuary.
3. 1955-56 to 1961-62 seasons. From the opening of the sanctuary to the period of expansion into $D$ series.
4. 1962-63 to 1971-72 seasons. Recent ten seasons.

Finally all these data were summed up in order to get some idea on the concentration of each species of baleen whales as a whole and hence some suggestions on their stock units.


Fig. 1. Total catcher's day's works expended in each square during 35 seasons from 1931-32 to 1971-72.

## TOTALS OF 35 SEASONS

Catcher's day's work. Total catcher's day's works expended during 35 seasons from 1931-32 to 1971-72 in each $10^{\circ}$ square are shown in Fig. 1. The contour lines drawn in the figure denote $1,000,5,000,10,000$ and $20,000 \mathrm{CDWs}$ and the squares in which CDW exceed 20,000 are shown with hatched lines. As shown in this figure the most frequently operated squares are $\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~A} 6$ (area II), B9, B10, A8 (area III) and B15 (area IV) in which total efforts exceeded $20,000 \mathrm{CDWs}$. In areas V, VI and I lower figures are seen in general, especially in the latter two areas, excepting the square B26 in which CDW exceeds 10,000 . In these two areas figures in the series D and A are negligible in squares eastwards from the square 28.

Fig. 1 reflects well the geographical abundance of baleen whales in the Antarctic, which is shown in Fig. 2.


Fig. 2. Number of blue, humpback, fin and sei whales taken in each square during 35 seasons from 1931-32 to 1971-72.
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Catch of baleen whales. The numbers of the blue, humpback, fin and sei whales taken in each square during 35 seasons from 1931-32 to 1971-72 are shown in Fig. 2. Contour lines and hatched lines were also drawn in order to show their concentrations.

In the series $\mathbf{B}$ the bigger concentrations of the blue whale catch are seen in squares B9 and B10 in area III and B15 and B16 in area IV. In each of these squares the catch exceeds 10,000 whales and with neighboring squares they are forming two big concentrations, one each in the areas III and IV. But in the A series from the square 5 eastwards through square 10 the catches of the blue whale are all exceed 5,000 and there seems no boundary between the areas II and III stocks.

For the humpback whale three concentrations of the catch are observed in the figure, suggesting each one stock in areas III, IV and V. These are A9 and B9 in the area III, A15 and from B15 through B18 in the area IV, and B21 and B22 in the area V. In the area II the catch of humpbacks is rather few in each of the squares, but the fact should be reminded in this connection that this species was hunted intensively at South Georgia and South Shetland in the years prior to the introduction of pelagic operation (International Whaling Statistics).

The fin whale is distributed more abundantly than any of other species in each square, but the bigger concentrations in the series B are B2 (area II) and B10 (area III), and in the series A are A6 (area II) and A8 (area III). In squares from B1 to B12 and A5 to A9 the catches are comparatively high and it is suggested that there is no definite distinction between the areas II and III stocks. In area IV B15 is also has a concentration of the catch, as in the case of blue and humpback whales, but in the fin whale it extends north to the square A15. In the other areas the biggest concentration is seen in B26 in area VI. In the series D the catch is less than 1,000 in squares excepting D1 (area II) and D9-D13 (area III) in which it exceed 1,000 . Fujino (1964) reports the presence of low latitudinal fin whale subpopulation in area III and this will support his finding (See also Fig. 15).


Fig. 3. Total weight of baleen whales taken in each square during 35 seasons from 1931-32 to 1971-72. Unit 1,000 metric tons.

The sei whale seems to have five concentrations as shown by contour lines of 1,000 whales, viz.:

1. Area formed by the squares D1-D9, A1-A6 and B1-B2, with center D1, D2 and A2.
2. Area formed by the squares D11-D18, B14 and B15, with center D12.
3. Area formed by the squares D21-D23, A21 and B21-B23.
4. Area formed by the squares A26 and B26-B28.
5. Area formed by the squares B34 and B35.

Whether or not each of these concentrations of the catch represents separate stock unit of the sei whales is naturally dependent on future study.


Fig. 4. Average number of catcher's day's work in each square during 8 seasons from 1931-32 to 1938-39. The white area denotes fore-half and the hatched area latter-half of the season. This also applies for Figs. 5-16.
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Biomass of the catches. Crisp (1962) and Mackintosh (1970) have converted the number of each species taken into weight in a series of seasons. I also made similar calculations in order to know how the biomass of the catches of baleen whales are distributed geographically in the Antarctic. The average body weights of the blue, humpback, fin and sei whales used in this calculation are $92,37,55$ and 24 metric tons respectively. These figures are based on the calculation by Crisp (1962), but $10 \%$ was added as weight of blood. For the pygmy blue whale the same weight of 55 tons of the fin whale was used and all catches of the blue whale in the series D and


Fig. 5. Average number of blue whale catch and CPUE in each square during 8 seasons from 1931-32 to 1938-39.
those taken since 1959-60 season in the areas II, III and IV in the series A are all deemed as pygmy blue whales.
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In Fig. 3 the results of the calculation are shown in order to get some idea, even very rough, concerning the productivity of the Antarctic ocean. As shown in this figure the squares in which more than two million tons of baleen whales were produced in the past 35 seasons are B9, B10, B15 and A8. In general it will be concluded that the productivity is far greater in the areas III, IV and II than in the other areas.

## PERIOD 1 (8 SEASONS FROM 1931-32 TO 1938-39)

In the analysis of each period, average figures per season were calculated for the catcher's day's work and catches of various species of baleen whales in each square. Gross CPUE (catch per unit of effort), not corrected for the tonnages of catcher boats, was also calculated. Further the CDW and catch were divided into fore and latter halves of the season. The actual date so dividing is not definite one, because the opening date was changed frequently even under the control of the present convention (International Whaling Commission. Reports). But arbitrarily lst of February was chosen as such date and compilation was made before and after that date.


Fig. 6. Average number of fin whale catch and CPUE in each square during 8 seasons from 1931-32 to 1938-39.
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In Fig. 4 the average number of CDW in each square during 8 seasons from 1931-32 to 1938-39 are shown, separately by the series A and B. The white area in the graph denotes CDW expended in the fore-half and the hatched area those in the latter-half of the season. As seen in this figure most of the expeditions have worked mainly in the areas II, III and IV, especially in the B series. In the series A almost all CDW were expended in the fore-half of the season, before February. In the series B areas are well defined, having their peak towards the middle. In general CDW is greater in the fore-half than in the latter-half of the season, but this is due to the earlier opening of the season than in the latter periods.


Fig. 7. Average number of humpback whale catch in each square during 8 seasons from 1931-32 to 1938-39, 10 seasons from 1945-46 to 1954-55, and 7 seasons from 1955-56 to 1961-62.

In Fig. 5 are shown the average number of blue whale catch and gross CPUE in each square during 8 seasons from 1931-32 to 1938-39. It might be useful to compare this figure with Fig. 6, a corresponding figure for the fin whale. In both species the shape of the histograms are similar in general. In the A series there are no distinction between the areas II and III, but the boundary is quite distinct in the series $\mathbf{B}$, both having peaks towards their center. In comparing these two figures it is suggested that the blue whales are taken first, hence mostly in the fore-half of the season and then the expeditions turned to the fin whales, hence more abundantly in the latter-half of the season. In the case of the blue whale CPUE is greater in the series A than in the series B, whereas in the case of the fin whale this is quite reverse. The fin whale is more abundant than blue whale in the Area II, contrary to area IV.

The catch of the humpback whale in each square is shown in Fig. 7. In this figure are also shown of the figures in the later periods for convenience. In the prewar seasons the catch of the humpbacks was mostly conducted in the areas III and IV, but in the postwar seasons it shifted eastwards and the catch in the area III is negligible. The humpback whale has been protected completely since 1963 in the southern hemisphere.


Fig. 8. Average number of catcher's day's work in each square during 10 seasons from 1945-46 to 1954-55.

## PERIOD 2 ( 10 SEASONS FROM 1945-46 TO 1954-55)

Average CDW in each square during this period is shown in Fig. 8. As seen in this figure, in the series $\mathbf{B}$ too no distinct boundary is observed between areas II and III, possibly due to the fact that expeditions turned to prefer more fin than blue
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whale. In the series $B$ no distinct feature is seen compared with the prewar season. The most frequently visited square is B 2 , but they also shifted eastwards until B26, the next square to the sanctuary. Also in the series C some expeditions operated, but these are in squares 23-26, the Ross Sea.

The average catch of the blue whale and its CPUE in each square in this period are shown in Fig. 9, which shows marked drop in the catch as well as in CPUE, though the operation has expanded geographically. In this figure are also shown the catch figures in the 3rd period (from 1955-56 to 1961-62). The catches are distributed widely in the series B, but they are so small to calculate CPUE. As shown in this figure all whales were taken in the latter-half of the season. This is because of a different opening date from fin and sei whales was imposed for blue whale by the International Whaling Commission. The decisions made by IWC were 16 January in 1953, 21 January in 1954, 1 February in 1955 and 14 February in 1960 (IWC. Report). In the catch in the series A are included the pygmy blue whale, which was taken since $1959-60$ season in numbers in the seas north of $55^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ (Ichihara, 1966). The taking of the blue whale was prohibited in 1963, excepting a small area for pygmy blue whale, and completely in 1965 by the International Whaling Commission (IWC. Report).


Fig. 9. Average number of blue whale catch and CPUE in each square during 10 seasons from 1945-46 to 1954-55 (lower figures) and average number of catch during 7 seasons from 1955-56 to 1961-62 (upper figures).

In Fig. 10 are shown the average catch and CPUE for the fin whale in each square during this period. The biggest catch was attained in the square B2 where more than 1,300 whales were harvested annually in average during these 10 seasons. A good numbers were also taken in B26, the next square to the sanctuary. The explanation of this may be in that that expeditions took whales waiting, which moved from the sanctuary westwards. CPUE is higher in general than in the previous period, showing the preference of expedition for the fin than the blue, contrary to the prewar seasons.


Fig. 10. Average number of fin whale catch and CPUE in each square during 10 seasons from 1945-46 to 1954-55.
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PERIOD 3 (7 SEASONS FROM 1955-56 TO 1961-62)
The average number of CDW in each square during 7 seasons from 1955-56 to 1961-62 is shown in Fig. 11. As seen from this figure in the series B expeditions shifted eastwards and the efforts were distributed rather evenly in the whole of the areas. But in the series A efforts were mostly expended in areas II, III and IV, and in the other areas they are negligible. This is of course due to the oceanographic condition of the sea that the Antarctic convergence is lying more southerly in the


Fig. 11. Average number of catcher's day's work in each square during 7 seasons from 1955-56 to 1961-62.

Pacific sector than in the other areas. Fig. 11 also shows a tendency of expeditions working more frequently in the series A, compared with previous two periods, especially in the squares around the boundary of areas II and III. In fact in the seasons 1960-61 and 1961-62 some expeditions had worked also in the series D and the Bureau of the International Whaling Statistics clarifies these figures, but in this
paper they are included in series A.
The catch of the fin whale and its CPUE in each square are shown in Fig. 12. In the series B the catch is distributed in all squares and in this period the biggest catch is no longer attained in the square B2. The catch of fin whale is shifted to the north in general and the square where the biggest catch was attained in average


Fig. 12. Average number of fin whale catch and CPUE in each square during 7 seasons from 1955-56 to 1961-62.
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during these 7 seasons is A8 (area III), exceeding 1,900 whales. Next to it is the square A6 (area II) where the average catch is about 1,600 . In the squares A5, A7 and A15 more than 1,000 whales were taken, whereas in the series B the catch is below 700 whales in all of the squares. The average gross CPUE is still high and no


Fig. 13. Average number of sei whale catch in each square during 7 seasons from 1955-56 to 1961-62.


Fig. 14. Average number of catcher's day's work in each square during 10 seasons from 1962-63 to 1971-72.
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decline compared with the previous period is observed. But during this period the indices of abundance of fin whale or CPUE corrected for gross tonnages of catcher boats had dropped sharply from 3.29 in the 1955-56 season to 1.34 in the 1961-62 season (Gulland, 1972). This means that the catch was supported by increased efficiency of the catchers.

In Fig. 13 are shown the catches of the sei whale in each square during this period. The catch of this species of whales was negligible in the previous two periods. In this period too the sei whale was not the main object of expeditions. It was taken mainly in the latter-half of the season.

## PERIOD 4 (10 SEASONS FROM 1962-63 TO 1971-72)

During this period heavy reductions of the global quota for baleen whales were made by the International Whaling Commission, from 15,000 BWU (Blue Whale Unit) for the season 1962-63 to 2,300 BWU for the season 1971-72 (IWC. Report). Total number of whale catchers has also dropped sharply from 201 in the season 1962-63 to 88 in the season 1971-72 (International Whaling Statistics).


Fig. 15. Average number of fin whale catch and CPUE in each square during 10 seasons from 1962-63 to 1971-72.

In Fig. 14 is shown the average number of catcher's day's work in each square during 10 seasons from 1962-63 to 1971-72. As seen in this figure expeditions have most frequently operated in the series D and the peak is seen in the square D12 (area III), where CDW exceeds 600, but in other series none exceeds this figure. In the series B higher figures are seen in area $V$ than in other areas, contrally to the previous period.

The catch of fin whale and CPUE are shown in Fig. 15. In the series B both figures were dropped sharply compared with the previous period, though in almost all squares operation was conducted. In the series A CPUE in each square are higher in general than in other series, but they are lower than in the previous period. In the series D the square D10 shows highest value, both in the actual number of catch and CPUE. This may support the presence of the low latitudinal stock in this area, as stated already.

The corresponding figures for the sei whale are shown in Fig. 16. The sei whale was taken most abundantly in the squares D1, D2 and A2 in the area II, and in D12 in the area III. In the squares D1 and D2 they were mostly taken in the two consecutive seasons 1964-65 and 1965-66, and in the square A2 in a single season 1964-65.


Fig. 16. Average number of sei whale catch and CPUE in each square during 10 seasons from 1962-63 to 1971-72.

Another one interesting feature in this figure is that in the squares D16, D17 and D18 the sei whales were only taken in the fore-half of the season. It is suggested
from this fact that the main food of sei whale in this region is Calanus tonsus, and since copepodite V of this species leave the surface water in late summer for wintering in deep water, the whaling ground formed by this copepode would last for but relatively short period than that formed by another kind of food organisms (Kawamura, 1970).
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* Since 1953-54 season different CDW are given for the blue whale due to later opening date than other species, but in these tables only total CDW are given.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1931/32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 90 | 28 | 49 | - | - | - | - | 56 | 16 | 8 | 56 | 112 | - |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 104 | 100 | 133 | 173 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 9 | 328 | 80 |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 52 | 72 | - | - | 221 | 182 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 92 | $-$ | - | - | 12 | 26 | 74 | 12 | 6 | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | 125 | 105 | - | - |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 35 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | - | 269 | 406 | 128 | 182 | 406 | 208 | 74 | 12 | 62 | 16 | 8 | 64 | 440 | 80 | - |
| 1932/33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 108 | 57 | 72 | 141 | 104 | 48 | 56 | 80 | 48 | 63 | - | 63 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 147 | 147 | 240 | 383 | 326 | 501 | 77 | 299 | 393 | 60 | 49 | 175 | - |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 56 | 77 | 84 | 336 | 426 | 273 | 293 | 186 | 24 | $\cdots$ |  | - | -- |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 72 | 25 | 97 | 48 | 150 | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | - | - | 383 | 306 | 493 | 908 | 1,006 | 822 | 426 | 583 | 465 | 123 | 49 | 238 | - | - |
| 1933/34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 20 | 57 | - | 15 | 50 | 87 | 36 | 29 | 139 | 84 | 7 | 181 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 5 | 78 | 100 | 312 | 90 | 399 | 287 | 287 | 162 | 450 | 211 | 648 | 267 | 14 |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 60 | 317 | 91 | 10 | 110 | 220 | 130 | 118 | - | 32 | 95 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 261 | 90 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 5 | 15 | 35 | 5 | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 5 | 20 | 60 | 461 | 507 | 418 | 150 | 606 | 543 | 446 | 419 | 534 | 250 | 924 | 267 | 14 |
| 1934/35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  | - | 16 | 178 | 40 | - |
| Nov. | - | - | $\overline{-}$ |  |  | - | - | 7 | 77 | 42 |  |  | - | - | - | 255 | 79 |
| Dec. | - | - | 96 | 183 | 216 | 251 | 115 | 120 | 314 | 268 | 248 | 10 | - | 36 | 210 | 35 | 47 |
| Jan. | - | - | 138 | 174 | 47 | 174 | - | - | 170 | 86 | 36 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{\square}$ | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 18 | - | 3 | 15 | 3 | - |
| Apr. | - | - | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |
| May. | - | - | 50 | - | a | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | $\square$ | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 309 | 357 | 263 | 431 | 115 | 127 | 561 | 396 | 290 | 28 | 7 | 55 | 403 | 333 | 26 |
| 1935/36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 28 | 745 | 377 | 135 | 933 | 755 | 213 | - | - | - | 60 | 238 | 126 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 109 | 708 | 321 | 341 | 226 | 56 | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 44 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | 23 | 206 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 18 | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - |  |
| T | - | - | 23 |  | , 461 | 698 | 476 | 1,159 | 811 | 213 | - | - | - | 60 | 264 | 170 |  |
| 1936/37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | -- | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 18 | 187 | 140 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 81 | 40 |
| Dec. | - | - | 34 | 341 | 582 | 442 | 348 | 196 | 718 | 440 | - | - | - | - | 15 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 14 | 135 | 137 | 239 | 453 | 265 | 539 | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | -- | - | - | 12 | 6 | 19 | 232 | 86 | 77 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 14 | - | - | - | 19 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 14 | 48 | 520 | 912 | 859 | 1,066 | 547 | 1,334 | 487 | - | - | - | - | 15 | 81 | 40 |
| 1937/38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 120 | 94 | - | - | 8 | 436 | 78 | 40 |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 60 | 261 | 277 | 503 | 378 | 399 | 468 | - | - | - | 84 | 72 | 111 | 96 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 63 | 154 | 665 | 639 | 222 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | $\cdots$ | - | - | 24 | 8 | 20 | 151 | 38 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\square$ |
| T | - | - | - | 84 | 332 | 451 | 1,319 | 1,075 | 669 | 588 | 94 | - | - | 92 | 508 | 189 | 236 |
| 1938/39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 58 | 66 |
| Dec. | - | 21 | 721 | 216 | 281 | 224 | 654 | 336 | 146 | 288 | 8 | - | - | - | 8 | 40 | 32 |
| Jan. | 8 | 7 | 128 | 40 | 72 | 185 | 110 | 648 | 168 | 49 | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 14 | - |  |  | 109 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | -- | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 8 | 42 | 849 | 256 | 353 | 534 | 779 | 984 | 314 | 337 | 8 | - | - | - | 32 | 98 | 98 |

Table 1. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARCTIC WHALING


Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.

OMURA
Table 1. Continued.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { Square } \end{aligned}$ | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1945/46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 32 | 6 | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 38 | 127 | 377 | 144 | 109 | 130 | 232 | 32 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 48 | - | 98 | 481 | 38 | 8 | 177 | 297 | 16 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | 34 | 180 | 12 | - | - | - | 63 | - | - | -- | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | - | 25 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 111 | 161 | 655 | 669 | 153 | 138 | 409 | 408 | 16 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1946/47 4 l 405 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 190 | 20 | 93 | 133 | 120 | - | 247 | 641 | 189 | - | - | 9 | 292 | 72 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 30 | 81 | - | 88 | 81 | 201 | 337 | 9 | - | - | - | 93 | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | 117 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | - | 190 | 50 | 174 | 133 | 271 | 198 | 448 | 978 | 198 | - | - | 9 | 385 | 72 |  |
| 1947/48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 176 | 340 | 108 | 170 | 520 | 515 | - | - | - | 9 | 24 | 12 | 9 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 408 | 366 | 41 | 402 | 34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 50 | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 269 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | 903 | 706 | 165 | 580 | 554 | 515 | - | - | - | 9 | 24 | 12 | 9 |
| 1948/49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 12 | 194 | - | 52 | 625 | 323 | 355 | 270 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 39 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 247 | 39 | 200 | 386 | 729 | 48 | 72 | 24 | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 42 | 94 | - | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 56 | -- | - | - | - | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 56 | 259 | 233 | 200 | 480 | 1,481 | 371 | 460 | 294 | 31 | - | - | - | 13 | 39 | - |
| 1949/50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 14 | 126 | 13 | 283 | 109 | 65 | 154 | 381 | 60 | - | - | - | - | 45 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | 252 | 78 | 271 | 99 | - | 507 | 864 | 285 | 60 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | 89 | 153 | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 77 | - |  |
| T | - | 266 | 204 | 284 | 466 | 139 | 661 | 1,171 | 694 | 120 | - | - | - | - | 122 | - |  |
| 1950/51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 75 | 237 | 124 | 90 | 60 | 154 | 36 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 24 | 235 | 365 | 150 | 140 | 40 | 379 | 48 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | 15 | - | 45 | T | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 39 | 310 | 647 | 274 | 230 | 100 | 533 | 84 | 24 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1951/52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 336 | 466 | 83 | 15 | - | 348 | 980 | - | - | - | - | 48 | 114 | 60 | - | 15 |
| Feb. | - | - | 28 | - | - | 30 | 15 | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| T | - | 336 | 494 | 83 | 15 | 30 | 363 | 1, 027 | - | - | - | - | 48 | 114 | 60 | - | 15 |
| 1952/53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 34 | 184 | 423 | 709 | 583 | 313 | 16 | - | - | 40 | 39 | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 144 | 36 | - | 105 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 105 | - | - | - | $\sim$ | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 34 | 184 | 567 | 745 | 583 | 418 | 16 | - | - | 40 | 39 | - | - |  |
| 1953/54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 211 26 | 401 | 281 | 182 | 11 33 | 221 | 1, 023 | 59 10 | 104 | 260 65 | $\overline{52}$ | - | 61 | 175 | - |  |
| Mar. | - |  | - | - | - | , | - | - | 169 | 39 | 26 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | 237 | 401 | 281 | 182 | 44 | 221 | 1,023 | 238 | 143 | 351 | 52 | - | 61 | 175 | - |  |
| 1954/55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 13 | 13 | 36 | 227 | 375 | 182 | 822 | 317 | 502 | 248 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - |  | 1 |  | 219 | 45 | 155 | 143 | 46 | 90 | 76 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 179 | 382 | 110 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 13 | 13 | 36 | 625 | 802 | 447 | 965 | 363 | 592 | 324 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1955/56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 130 | - | - | 13 | 103 | 415 | 264 | 8 | - | - | 20 | - | 26 | 26 | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 48 | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | 130 | - | 20 | 13 | 103 | 415 | 264 | 40 | - | - | 20 | - | 26 | 74 | - | - |
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Table 1. Continued. REview of antarctic whaling

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - - - | - - - | - - - - | - - - - | $\begin{array}{r}28 \\ - \\ \hline 28\end{array}$ |
|  | - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r}48 \\ 120 \\ \hline-168\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}- \\ 216 \\ 72 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 48 | $\frac{-}{72}$ | - 48 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 168 | 288 | 48 | 72 | 48 | 24 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | - | - 28 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 24 | 24 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - 36 | -96 | - | 18 | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 216 | 148 | 32 | 18 | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | - | 16 | 136 | - | 18 | 36 | - | - | 6 | 3 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | - | 16 | 136 | 174 | 154 | 52 | - | - | 60 | 36 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | 18 | 54 | 126 | -- | - | - | 48 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | - | 16 | 136 | 192 | 226 | 214 | - | - | 360 | 204 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 90 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - 69 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 85 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 256 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 500 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | -- | - | 38 | 57 | 171 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 76 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{30}$ |
| -- | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 19 | - | - | 19 | - | - | 38 | 76 | 292 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 68 | - | - | 124 | 231 | 84 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | 63 | 31 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
|  | - | - | - | 21 | 369 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 68 | - | - | 124 | 315 | 484 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 42 | - | - | 275 | 32 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 42 |
|  | - | - | 250 | 50 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| - | - | - | 462 | 275 | - | - | -- |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | -- | - | - | 0 |
| 42 | - | - | 987 | 357 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 64 |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
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| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1965/66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 75 | 75 | 35 | 30 | 90 | 16 | 32 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 90 | 60 | 90 | 30 | - | - | - | - | 54 | 18 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 11 | 41 | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | 50 | 40 | 20 | 105 | 347 | 90 | 45 | 36 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | 20 | 135 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | 90 | 110 | 205 | 125 | 171 | 553 | 225 | 61 | 122 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 40 | - | - | - | - | 45 | 120 | 135 | - | - | - | - | - | 57 | 133 | 57 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | 60 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | 48 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 76 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 370 | 174 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | - | - | - | 40 | 156 | - | - |
| T | 40 | - | - | - | - | 184 | 180 | 165 | - | 30 | - | - | - | 515 | 463 | 57 | - |
| 1967/68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 65 | 26 | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 91 | 54 | 57 | 91 | 548 | 95 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 117 | 78 | - | - | 13 | 13 | - | 12 | 96 | 72 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 364 | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 55 | 232 | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | 481 | 130 | 65 | 26 | 104 | 67 | 57 | 103 | 699 | 399 | - | - |
| 1968/69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 48 | 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 17 | 17 | 51 | 17 | 58 | - | - | - | - | - | 299 | 521 | 372 | 58 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 24 | 12 | 24 | 24 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 17 | 17 | 51 | 65 | 94 | - | - | 12 | 24 | 12 | 323 | 545 | 372 | 58 |
| 1969/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 87 | 272 | 36 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 36 | 217 | - | 13 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 156 | $-$ | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 136 | 645 | 36 | 13 |
| 1970/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 48 | 24 | 24 | 84 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 160 | 278 | 17 | - |
| Feb. | 120 | 132 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53 | 94 | 17 | 34 |
| Mar. | 120 | 204 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | - | - | - | 年 | - | - |
| T | 240 | 336 | 48 | 24 | 24 | 84 | - | - | - | 24 | - | - | - | 213 | 372 | 34 | 34 |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 91 | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 65 | $\llcorner$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51 | 34 | - | 34 | 51 | - | - |
| Feb. | 13 | 78 | 52 | 26 | - | 65 | 119 | 90 | 140 | 101 | -- | - | - | 41 | 77 | 48 | - |
| Mar. | - | 26 | 52 | - | 26 | 78 | - | - | 51 | 85 | 59 | - | 23 | 23 | 181 | - | - |
| T | 169 | 143 | 104 | 26 | 26 | 143 | 119 | 90 | 191 | 186 | 110 | 34 | 23 | 98 | 309 | 48 | - |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

Table 1. Continued.

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | V1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{array}{r} 185 \\ \hline 76 \\ 38 \\ - \\ \hline 299 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{38} \\ & 38 \\ & 58 \\ & \overline{96} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{36} \\ & 80 \\ & - \\ & \hline 116 \end{aligned}$ | 54 <br> 20 <br> - | $\begin{gathered} - \\ \hline 40 \\ \frac{60}{100} \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{-}{59}$ | - <br> - <br> - <br> 20 <br> 20 | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> 20 | - <br> - <br> - <br> 20 <br> 20 | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & = \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | - $=$ $=$ | - | - $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ | - - $=$ - - | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & = \\ & = \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{45} \\ & - \\ & \overline{45} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | - <br> - <br> - <br> - | - <br> -19 <br> -19 | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{-} \\ & \overline{19} \\ & \overline{19} \end{aligned}$ | - $=$ - $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | - - - - | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - $=$ - - | - - - | - <br> - <br> - | - 9 9 -18 | - - $=$ | - - - $=$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 315 \\ 329 \\ 294 \\ 344 \end{gathered}$ | - 110 139 209 458 | - $=$ $=$ | 7 72 36 108 | - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 - - - | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - | - - - - - | 9 - - 136 17 153 | 18 <br> - <br> 34 <br> 374 <br> 408 | - - - - - | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - | 344 <br> - <br> - <br> 11 <br> 11 | 458 <br> - <br> 11 <br> 22 <br>  <br> 3 | - - 77 66 -143 | $\begin{array}{r}108 \\ - \\ - \\ \hline 11 \\ \hline 11\end{array}$ | - $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{-}{13}$ | $\frac{-13}{13}$ | - <br> -13 <br> 13 | $\begin{array}{r} \overline{-} \\ 94 \\ 24 \\ 118 \end{array}$ | - <br>  | - $=$ - | - <br> $=$ <br> - | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - <br> 34 <br> 34 | - $=$ $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 <br> - <br> -17 <br> 17 | 13 <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - | - - - - | 1 - $=$ $=$ | - $=$ $=$ $=$ | - $=$ $=$ $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & = \\ & = \\ & = \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \overline{-} \\ & 17 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | - - 17 17 | - $=$ - - | - $=$ $=$ $=$ | - $=$ $=$ $=$ | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - $=$ $=$ - | - - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - $=$ $=$ | - $=$ $=$ | - $=$ $=$ | - <br> - <br> 13 <br> 13 | - - - - | $\begin{array}{r}26 \\ -13 \\ \hline-39\end{array}$ |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1931/32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 53 | 16 | 17 | - |  | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | 62 | 116 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 65 | 116 | 151 | 244 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 418 | 72 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | 89 | 81 | - | - | 342 | 31 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 141 | - | - | - | 1 |  | 30 | 12 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | 87 | 106 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | 8 | 33 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | - | 317 | 338 | 132 | 168 | 587 | 34 | 44 | 12 | 24 | 11 | - | - | 62 | 534 | 72 | - |
| 1932/33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 84 | 38 | 61 | 127 |  | 99 | 72 | 62 | 119 | 46 | 57 | - | 62 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 150 | 99 | 270 | 474 | 39 | 988 | 721 | 100 | 471 | 777 | 67 | 43 | 213 | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | -- | 29 | 132 | 84 | 529 | 528 | 28 | 476 | 563 | 281 | 66 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 95 | 1 | 108 | 28 | 21 | 14 | - |  | 18 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | - | - | 358 | 270 | 523 | 1,158 | 1,239 | 391. | 1,269 | 725 | 889 | 889 | 124 | 43 | 275 | - | - |
| 1933/34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 24 | 59 | - | 8 |  | 15 | 109 | 13 | 16 | 190 | 123 | 6 | 269 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | , | 117 | 101 | 541 | 12 | 26 | 582 | 438 | 362 | 208 | 711 | 274 | 1,149 | 426 | 23 |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 56 | 449 | 97 |  | 3 | 171 | 387 | 150 | 209 | - | 63 | 113 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 360 | 137 | - |  | - | 14 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | 10 | 16 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 10 | 43 | 592 | 687 | 646 | 14 | 44 | 876 | 838 | 528 | 607 | 834 | 343 | 1,531 | 426 | 23 |
| 1934/35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 194 | 67 | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 104 | 35 | 4 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 475 | 124 |
| Dec. | - | - | 119 | 199 | 131 | 291 | 88 | 22 | 2 | 643 | 638 | 527 | 19 | - | 22 | 236 | 61 | 69 |
| Jan. | - | - | 74 | 130 | 28 | 308 | - |  | - | 361 | 119 | 42 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - |  | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 5 | - | - |
| T | - | - | 193 | 329 | 159 | 611 | 90 | 22 | 241 | . 108 | 792 | 574 | 23 | 5 | 26 | 435 | 603 | 193 |
| 1935/36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | -- | - | - | 2 | 2 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - |  | 1,248 | 476 | 161 | 1,280 |  | 980 | 357 | - | - | - | 43 | 304 | 197 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 165 | 757 | 250 | 241 | 118 | 18 | 46 | - | - | - | - | - | 34 | 49 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | 27 | 136 | 4 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 8 | - | -- | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 27 | 3572 | 2, 011 | 728 |  | 1,398 |  | 1,026 | 357 | - | - | - | 43 | 338 | 246 | - |
| 1936/37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 6 | 102 | 42 | 7 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | 21 |
| Dec. | - | - | 13 | 229 | 482 | 492 | 457 | 208 |  | 995 | 611 | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 5 | 85 | 75 | 279 | 538 | 263 |  | 289 | 61 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 13 | 89 |  | 36 | 27 | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 18 | 326 | 660 | 826 | 1,091 |  |  | , 311 | 672 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 50 | 21 |
| 1937/38 : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 182 | 163 | - | - | 1 | 376 | 32 | 18 |
| Dec. | - | - | 3 | 36 | 193 | 221 | 593 | 500 | 00 | 530 | 477 | - | - | - | 123 | 13 | 57 | 197 |
| Jan. | - | - | -- | - | 16 | 83 | 436 | 28 | 87 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 8 |  | 4 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 3 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 4 | 39 | 209 | 304 | 1,037 | 79 | 4 | 625 | 659 | 163 | - | - | 124 | 389 | 89 | 215 |
| 1938/39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | 575 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34 | 145 |
| Dec. | - | 11 | 575 | 179 | 129 | 95 | 460 | 35 |  | 179 | 238 | 11 | - | - | - | 1 | 39 | 35 |
| Jan. | 4 | 6 | 67 | 17 | 47 | 110 | 29 | 301 | 01 | 63 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 7 | , | 9 | 76 | 4 | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73 | - |
| T | 4 | 24 | 642 | 199 | 176 | 209 | 489 | 658 | 58 | 242 | 260 | 11 | - | - | - | 25 | 73 | 180 |

Table 2. Continued. Review of antarctic whaling

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1945/46 } \\ \text { Nov. } \\ \text { Dec. } \\ \text { Jan. } \\ \text { Feb. } \\ \text { T } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | 10 | 4 | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | 8 | 62 | 18 | 94 | 110 | 357 | 30 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | 12 | 38 | 5 | , | 165 | 362 | 16 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | 27 | - | - | - | - | 42 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | 8 | 101 | 66 | 103 | 110 | 522 | 441 | 16 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1946/47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | 128 | 21 | 130 | 120 | 48 | - | 199 | 557 | 178 | - | - | 2 | 429 | 72 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 15 | 30 | - | 55 | 72 | 65 | 210 | 3 | - | - | - | 53 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 6 | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - |
| T | - | - | 128 | 36 | 160 | 120 | 129 | 78 | 264 | 767 | 181 | - | - | 2 | 483 | 72 | - |
| 1947/48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 153 | 371 | 81 | 123 | 356 | 272 | - | - | - | 6 | 13 | 4 | 2 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 102 | 76 | 5 | 22 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 5 | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | 260 | 447 | 87 | 145 | 358 | 272 | - | - | - | 7 | 13 | 4 | 2 |
| 1948/49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 11 | 182 | - | 49 | 452 | 145 | 183 | 187 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 28 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 202 | 14 | 186 | 134 | 272 | 10 | 26 | 8 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 1 |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 1 | 213 | 196 | 186 | 183 | 726 | 155 | 210 | 195 | 3 | - | - | - | 4 | 28 | - |
| 1949/50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 14 | 8 | 65 | 27 | 52 | 84 | 97 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 3 | 133 | 80 |  | 252 | 377 | 27 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 46 | 73 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 17 | 141 | 145 | 28 | 350 | 534 | 124 | 27 | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| 1950/51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 46 | 95 | 54 | 32 | 51 | 66 | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 7 | 92 | 51 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 48 | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 7 | 138 | 146 | 70 | 37 | 58 | 114 | 4 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1951/52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 23 | - | - | - | 8 | 196 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 33 | 3 | - | 9 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 23 | - | - | 3 | 8 | 196 | - | - | - | -- | 3 | 33 | 3 | - | 9 |
| 1952/53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 3 |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 4 | 102 | 214 | 124 | 25 | 12 | 11 | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. <br> T | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | 4 | 105 | 217 | 125 | 26 | 12 | 11 | - |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1953/54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Jan. }}^{\text {J }}$ | - | 4 | 16 | - | - | - | 23 | 62 | 3 | - | 1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 4 | 16 | - | - | - | 23 | 62 | 4 | - | 1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1954/55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 16 | 27 | 1 | 9 | 7 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 19 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 1 | $-$ | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10 | 24 | 46 | 2 | 9 | 8 |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1955/56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 1 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1956/57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 29 | 50 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | - | - | 29 | 52 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1957/58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| T 1058/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - |
| 1958/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 10 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | -- | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | 1 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 1959/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  |  | - |  |  | - ${ }^{-}$ | - |  | $\underline{25}$ |  | ${ }^{9}$ | - | - | 6 304 | 23 15 | 17 | ${ }_{-}^{6}$ |
| Mar. | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | $\stackrel{6}{-}$ | 304 | 15 | - | - |
| Apr. | - | 1 | - | - | $-$ | 9 | - | - | $\overline{25}$ | 4 15 | 9 | - | $-6$ | 310 | 38 | $\overline{17}$ | 6 |
| 1960/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 228 | 528 | 17 | 30 | 84 | 3 | - | -- |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 167 | - | 13 | 59 | 5 | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | 4 | - | 228 | 695 | 17 | 43 | 143 | 8 | - | - |
| 1961/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 12 | 6 | 91 | 120 | - | - | 9 | 39 | 3 | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | - | 6 | 58 | 2 | 13 | 13 | 2 | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 11 | 6 | 37 | 10 | 27 | - | - | - |
| T | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 108 | 184 | 39 | 23 | 49 | 41 | 3 | - |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 | 31 | 4 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - |  | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | 86 | 4 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ 1963 / 64 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |  | 1 | 3 | - | - | 3 | 117 | 4 | - | 4 | - | 3 | - | - |
| 1963/64 Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1964/65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Apr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 | - | - |

Table 2. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARGTIC WHALING


Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

Table 3. Series A. Catch of humpback whale

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1931/32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | -- | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 36 | 1 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | 6 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 8 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 10 | 41 | 1 | - |
| 1932/33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 4 | - | 3 | 5 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 23 | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - |  | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | - | - |
| T | - | - | -- |  | 1 | 7 | 9 | - | 9 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 23 | - | - |
| 1933/34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 14 | 321 | 15 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 25 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 28 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 32 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | 3 | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 3 | 14 | 37 | 35 | 11 | - | 2 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 3 | 16 | 381 | 15 | - |
| 1934/35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | -- | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 11 | 7 | 5 | - | 2 | 12 | 2 | - | - | - | 25 | 300 | 7 | 4 |
| Jan. | - | - | 3 | 6 | 7 | 16 | - | 2 | 57 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 3 | 17 | 14 | 22 | - | 2 | 69 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 25 | 300 | 10 | 4 |
| 1935/36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 124 | 46 | 5 | 58 | 208 | 163 | - | - | - | 1 | 233 | 70 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 75 | 33 | 12 | 51 | 98 | - | - | - | - | - | 29 | 40 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 3 | 199 | 79 | 17 | 109 | 306 | 163 | - | - | - | 1 | 262 | 110 | - |
| 1936/37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 3 | $\square$ | - | - | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 14 | 42 | 38 | 1 | 37 | 938 | 158 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 32 | 33 | 13 | 21 | 188 | 786 | 65 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 26 | 59 | 102 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 46 | 79 | 53 | 49 | 284 | , 826 | 223 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 1937/38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 3 | - | - | - | 166 | 19 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 1 | 18 | 40 | 21 | 17 | 68 | 189 | - | - | - | 2 | 35 | 21 | 40 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | 28 | 48 | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | 4 | 1 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 4 | 28 | 41 | 53 | 73 | 165 | 203 | 3 | - | - | 2 | 201 | 40 | 40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 15 | 35 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {T }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 15 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| T | - |  |  | - | 1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 1948 / 49 \\ \text { Nov. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nec. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 5 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.


Table 3. Continued.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1949/50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 1 | 18 | 92 | 40 | 5 | 29 | 127 | 32 | - | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 21 | - | - | 15 | 10 | 20 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 1 | 39 | 92 | 40 | 20 | 39 | 147 | 42 | - | - | - | - | 59 | - | - |
| 1951/52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 44 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | 44 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1952/53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1953/54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1954/55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - |  |  | $-$ | -- | 110 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T |  | - | - |  |  | 2 | 3 | 110 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1955/56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1956/57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1957/58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1958/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | 5 | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - |
| 1959/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 28 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 13 | 28 |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43 | 29 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 13 | 28 |
| 1960/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 102 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 102 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - |
| 1961/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 13 | 7 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |  |
| Apr. | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ 1962 / 63 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - |  | 3 | 14 | 7 | 3 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 1962 / 63 \\ \text { Jan. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1963/64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |

Cable 3. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARGTIC WHALING

ci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,

1o. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1931/32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 60 | 2 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 6 | 24 | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 37 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | 65 | 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | 108 | 145 | 2 | 7 |  | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1932/33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 26 | 1 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 110 | 4 | 27 | 8 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 44 | 20 | 10 | 15 | - | 1 | 15 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 32 | - | 56 |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | - | 212 | 25 | 94 | 25 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1933/34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 3 | 9 | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 15 | 1 | 1 | - | 17 | 29 | 11 | - | - | 4 | 9 | 56 | - |
| Dec. | - | -- | - | - | 18 | 34 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 2 | 17 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 236 | 92 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | 48 | 25 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 64 | 28 | 284 | 127 | 2 | - | 29 | 29 | 12 | 7 | - | 6 | 32 | 56 | - |
| 1934/35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 6 | 25 | 4 | - | 16 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 7 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | 16 | 133 | 30 | 23 | 2 | 3 | 40 | 2 | 11 | - | - | 3 | 81 | 3 | 6 |
| Jan. | - | - | 125 | 61 | 12 | 83 | - | - | 128 | 29 | 15 | - | 15 | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 32 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| T | - | - | 141 | 194 | 48 | 134 | 6 | 3 | 184 | 48 | 41 | 40 | 22 | 4 | 81 | 5 | 6 |
| 1935/36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 8 | 352 | 152 | 3 | 119 | 298 | 82 | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 14 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 118 | 777 | 419 | 495 | 194 | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | 6 | 125 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | -- | -- | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - |
| T | - | - | 6 | 260 | 1, 144 | 571 | 498 | 313 | 330 | 82 | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 14 | - |
| 1936/37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | 1 | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | 18 | 78 | 148 | 76 | 93 | 129 | 336 | 45 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 22 | 201 | 105 | 194 | 438 | 222 | 450 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 11 | 3 | 17 | 415 | 101 | 113 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 24 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 24 | 40 | 292 | 256 | 297 | 948 | 452 | 899 | 66 | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 1937/38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 15 | - | - | - | 12 | 8 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 7 | 108 | 115 | 274 | 173 | 244 | 283 | - | - | - | 21 | 8 | 20 | 125 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 104 | 319 | 827 | 966 | 232 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 34 | 10 | 47 | 198 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 41 | 222 | 481 | 1,299 | 1,169 | 481 | 295 | 15 | - | - | 21 | 20 | 28 | 125 |
| 1938/39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| Dec. | - | 8 | 501 | 64 | 113 | 74 | 260 | 156 | 160 | 107 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 16 | 14 |
| Jan. | 5 | 6 | 157 | 22 | 45 | 315 | 103 | 494 | 125 | 17 | - | - | - | - | 27 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 11 | - | - | - | 150 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - |  | - | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 5 | 25 | 658 | 86 | 158 | 555 | 375 | 650 | 285 | 124 | 2 | - | - | - | 27 | 16 | 24 |



Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | IH |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 78 |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1945/46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |  | 24 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 25 | 74 | 325 | 80 |  | $7 \quad 19$ |  | 7 | - | - | - | -- |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 12 | - | 81 | 511 | 8 | 65 |  | 10 | 16 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | 8 | 137 | 18 | - | - - |  | 79 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | - |  | - |  | - | - | - - |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 41 | 82 | 543 | 612 | 16 | 784 |  | 20 | 16 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1946/47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 177 | 19 | 29 | 8 | 48 - |  | 13 | 576 | 101 | - | - | - | 115 | 8 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 55 | 86 | - | $11 \quad 100$ |  | 44 | 470 | 28 | - | - | - | 162 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 93148 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - |
| T | - | - | 177 | 74 | 115 | 8 | 152248 |  | 571 | 1, 046 | 129 | - | - | - | 277 | 8 | - |
| 1947/48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 194 | 218 | $42 \quad 59$ |  | 32 | 310 | - | - | - | 6 | 16 | 1 | 7 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 792 | 675 | 74989 |  | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 38 | - | - - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 341 | - | - - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | -- | - | - |  | 1,365 | 893 | 1161,048 |  | 61 | 310 |  |  |  | 6 | 16 | 1 | 7 |
| 1948/49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 3 | 81 | - | 9 | 43160 |  | 27 | 151 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 188 | 14 | 147 | 691 | 67622 |  | 08 | 45 | 97 | - | - | - | - | - | -- |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 15 - |  | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 93 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 93 | 191 | 95 | 147 | 702 | 1,122 82 | 467 | 67 | 196 | 97 | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | - |
| 1949/50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 34 | 249 | 14 | 558 | 11 | 2328 | 484 | 84 | 49 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | 576 | 88 | 362 | 78 | - | 388786 | 410 | 10 | 78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | -- | - | - | 2 | 72189 |  | 46 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 123 | - | - |
| T | - | 610 | 337 | 376 | 736 | 13 | 462 1,303 |  | 40 | 127 | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | 128 | - | - |
| 1950/51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 22 | 228 | 14 | 70 | 32186 |  | 84 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 37 | 267 | 435 | 130 | 161 | 1515 |  | 12 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 37 | 289 | 663 | 144 | 231 | 33701 |  | 96 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1951/52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 623 | 788 | 109 | 43 | - | 9342.154 |  | - | - | - | - | 109 | 214 | 143 | - | 18 |
| Feb. | - | - | 48 | - | - | 9 | $16 \quad 63$ |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | 623 | 836 | 109 | 43 | 9 | 950 2, 217 |  | - | - | - | - | 109 | 214 | 143 | - | 18 |
| 1952/53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - 1 |  | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 18 | 335 | 463 | 1,401 1, 178 |  | 82 | 47 | - | - | 73 | 84 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 242 | - - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1, - - |  | 63 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 18 | 339 | 705 | 1,401 1,179 |  | 46 | 47 | - | - | 73 | 84 | - | - | - |
| 1953/54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 4 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | 507 | 525 | 529 | 221 | - | 3502,241 |  | 10 | 192 | 605 | 120 | - | 43 | 357 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 17 | - | - | - | 36 | - - |  | - | - | 56 | - | - | - | - | - | -- |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - - | 259 | 59 | 69 | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 524 | 525 | 531 | 221 | 37 | 3502,245 |  | 69 | 261 | 693 | 120 | - | 43 | 357 | - | - |
| 1954/55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - |  | $5-$ |  |  |  | 570 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 24 | 26 | 40 | 454 | 937 | 3221,858 |  |  | 1,064 | 570 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 530 | 158 | $395 \quad 299$ |  | 40 | 73 | 125 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 263 | 393 | 67 - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 24 | 26 | 40 | 1.247 | 1,488 | 7892.157 |  | 201 | 1,137 | 695 | - | - |  |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
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| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 78 |  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1955/56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 1 - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | 367 | - | - | 56 | 159 |  | 52325 |  | 2 | - | - | 45 | - | 16 | 31 | - | -- |
| Feb. |  |  |  | - |  | - |  | - - |  | 48 | - | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | 83 | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  | 29 | - | - |  | - - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |
| T | - | 367 | - | 29 | 56 | 159 |  | $53 \quad 325$ |  | 50 | - | - | 45 | - | 16 | 114 | - | - |
| 1956/57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - 1 |  | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 24 | 202 | 721 | 97 | 205 | 1,167 |  | $31 \quad 6$ |  | 364 | 171 | 44 | 31 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | -- | - | 211 | 1,504 | 1,937 |  | 86 |  | - | - | - | 4 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | -- |  | 12 | 100 |  | 4290 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | 24 | 202 | 721 | 308 |  | 3,204 |  | 759155 |  | 366 | 171 | 44 | 35 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1957/58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - |  | , | 1 | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |
| Jan. | - | 418 | 544 | 404 | 471 | 675 | 1, 022 | 22978 |  | 54 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Feb. | - |  |  |  | 35 | 733 |  | 72710 |  | 70 | - | - | - |  | 83 | 303 | 250 | 53 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - |  | 483 |  | 81,394 |  | 124 | - | - | - | - | 312 | 623 | 108 | - |
| T | - | 418 | 544 | 404 | 506 | 1,891 | 1, 822 | 22 3, 082 |  | 252 | 1 | - | - | - | 395 | 926 | 358 | 53 |
| 1958/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 31 | 139 | 671 | 318 | 1,683 | 831,341 |  | 35 | - | - | - | 65 | 620 | 878 | 585 | 247 |
| Feb. | - | - | 22 | 27 | 55 | 767 |  | 41203 |  | 111 | - | - | - | - | 87 | 72 | 39 |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 4 | 74 | 475 |  | - |  | 284 | -- | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 5 |
| T | - | - | 53 | 170 | 800 | 1,560 | 2, 024 | 241,547 |  | 430 |  | - | - | 65 | 707 | 950 | 642 | 252 |
| 1959/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - - |  | 1 | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |
| Dec. | 64 | 82 | 98 | 114 | 6 | - |  | - 277 |  | 438 | - | 185 | - | - | - | 271 | 110 | - |
| Jan. | 124 | 382 | 179 | 244 | 448 | 110 |  | 401.437 |  | 49 | 117 | 1,028 | - | - | 465 | 1,300 | 1,049 | 825 |
| Feb. | - | 97 | 32 | 1 | 852 | 182 |  | 3424 |  | 51 | 109 | 784 | 7 | - | 453 | 419 | 388 | 159 |
| Mar. | 5 | - | - | 2 | 336 | 122 |  | 34183 |  | 47 | 44 | 56 | - | 1 | 126 | 363 | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - |  | 54 |  | 305247 |  | - | 31 | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |
| T | 193 | 561 | 309 | 361 | 1,642 | 468 |  | 13 2,391 |  | 586 |  | 2,053 | 7 | 1 | 1, 044 | 2,353 | 1,547 | 984 |
| 1960/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - |  | 73 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Dec. | 19 | 156 | - | - | 59 | 42 |  | 38292 |  | 251 | - | 378 | 228 | - | 13 | 46 | 34 | 136 |
| Jan. | 49 | 285 | 44 | 3 | 403 | 225 |  | 262,385 |  | , 426 | 432 | 445 | 269 | 65 | 143 | 445 | 55 | 111 |
| Feb. | 58 | 18 | 67 | 9 | 503 | 595 |  | $27 \quad 239$ |  | 276 | 498 | 587 | 6 | - | 210 | 357 | - |  |
| Mar. | 5 | 10 | 19 | - |  | 388 |  | 31199 |  | 362 | 24 | 174 | 9 | 15 | 105 | 354 | - |  |
| Apr. | 2 | 469 | - | - |  | 58 |  | 2949 |  | 117 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| 1961/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. Jan. | 119 49 | 219 168 | 72 38 | 186 759 | 217 429 | 349 640 |  | $\begin{array}{lr} .05 & 254 \\ 355 & 1,430 \end{array}$ |  | 537 648 | 188 302 | 67 334 | 276 72 | 248 106 | 14 104 | 566 | - | - |
| Jan. | $\begin{array}{r}19 \\ 264 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 168 57 | 38 | 759 1 | 429 323 | 640 351 |  |  |  | 648 975 | 176 | $\begin{array}{r}334 \\ 81 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - | 106 47 4 | 104 | 905 844 | 131 | - |
| Mar. | 138 | 31 | - | - | 211 | 1,277 |  | 19529 |  | 268 | 99 | 382 | 201 | 220 | 81 | 35 | - | - |
| Apr. | 11 | - | - | - |  | 80 |  | - |  | 16 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | - | - | - |
| T | 581 | 475 | 110 | 946 | 1,180 | 2,697 | 1,421 | 21 3,134 |  | 2, 444 | 766 | 865 | 549 | 622 |  | 2.350 | 131 | - |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 16 | 89 | 231 | 187 | 176 | 155 |  | 53 | 6 | 325 | 285 | 437 | 246 | 32 | 104 | 110 | - | - |
| Jan. | 53 | 65 | 341 | 77 | 596 | 555 | 139 | 39171 |  | 97 | 311 | 341 | 30 | 50 | 31 | 378 | 42 | 55 |
| Feb. | - | 12 | 46 | 86 | 282 | 828 |  | 94427 |  | 164 | 744 | -- | , | 31 | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | 79 | 22 | - | 41 | 5 | 111 |  | $29 \quad 158$ |  | 462 | 420 | 126 | - | - | 13 | 52 | - | - |
| Apr. | 2 | 1 | - | 7 |  |  |  | 47 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $T$ | 150 | 189 | 618 |  | 1,059 | 1,649 |  | 15809 |  | , 048 | 1,760 | 904 | 279 | 113 | 148 | 540 | 42 | 55 |
| 1963/64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec | - | 30 | 158 | 400 | 142 | 175 |  | 300255 |  | 441 | 56 | - | 65 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 4 |
| Jan. | 26 | 77 | 257 | 715 | 935 | 648 |  | 01139 |  | - | - | 10 | 1 | 56 | 11 | 26 |  | - |
| Feb. | 271 | 205 | 15 | 324 | 819 | 447 |  | 19569 |  | 273 | 36 | 8 | 24 | 81 | 73 | 48 | - |  |
| Mar. | 202 | 15 | 25 | 37 | 356 | 150 |  | 22176 |  | 261 | 279 | 27 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | 115 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | - | - |
| T | 499 | 327 | 455 | 1,476 | 2, 252 | 1,477 |  | 531,14 |  | 975 | 371 | 45 | 90 | 148 | 103 | 116 | 20 | 4 |

Table 4. Continued. REviEW OF ANTARGTIC WHALING

|  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  | v1 |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - - - - | - - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - - $=$ - | - <br> - <br> - <br> 7 | - - - - | - - $=$ | - - - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - $=$ $=$ - | - $=$ - - | - $=$ $=$ - | - $=$ - - | - $=$ $=$ - | 51 - 51 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 99 \\ \frac{15}{114} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 209 \\ 92 \\ 301 \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{-}{7} \stackrel{-}{44}$ | $\overline{-}$ | - <br> 48 <br> 48 | - <br> 40 <br> 40 | - <br> $=$ | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | , | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{74}$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | 271 21 | 62 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | $\stackrel{123}{-}$ | - | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | $152$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 292 | 231 | 74 |  | 152. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 7 | $\overline{85}$ | ${ }_{239}$ | 33 106 | 48 61 | - |  | $\overline{59}$ | $\overline{88}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\xrightarrow{7}$ | $\stackrel{85}{-}$ | 239 5 |  | - 172 | $-$ |  |  | 88 72 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 265 | 90 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{60}$ |  | $\overline{7}$ |  | 244 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| -- | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | 39 | 40 | 102 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | $\stackrel{21}{-}$ |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{39}$ | ${ }_{4}$ |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 36 | - | - | 79 | 102 | 52 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | 24 |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{24}{-}$ |
| - | - | - | - | $\underline{19}$ | ${ }_{-}^{64}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 36 | - | - | 79 | 145 | 123 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 24 |
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Table 4. Continued.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1964/65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 205 | 25 | 38 | 227 | 82 | 240 | 70 | 442 | 15 | - | 71 | 90 | 52 | 49 | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 220 | 638 | 12 | 53 | 25 | 60 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | 6 |
| Feb. | 140 | 441 | - | 18 | 143 | 136 | - | - | - | - | 26 | - | - | 22 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 39 | 131 | 4 | 6 | 57 | 278 | 3 | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 18 | - | - |
| Apr. |  | - | 1 | - | - | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 604 | . 235 | 55 | 304 | 307 | 764 | 73 | 442 | 22 | - | 97 | 90 | 52 | 77 | 18 | 46 | 6 |
| 1965/66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 15 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 23 | 51 | 40 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 51 | 4 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 60 | 26 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | 18 | - | - | 93 | 192 | 8 | 8 | 17 | -- | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | 51 | 22 | 28 | 20 | 96 | 222 | 42 | 59 | 117 | 26 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 47 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 23 | 65 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 44 | 10 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 20 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | - |
| T | 47 | - | - | - | - | 39 | 43 | 75 | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | 68 | 10 | - |
| 1967/68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 40 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 38 | 1 | 10 | 21 | 218 | 52 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 68 | 60 | - | - | 4 | 10 | - | - | 54 | 25 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 70 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 197 | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | 138 | 66 | 40 | 9 | 42 | 11 | 10 | 21 | 296 | 274 | - | - |
| 1968/69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | 1 | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | 241 | 520 | 448 | 35 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | 3 | 3 | 23 | - | -- |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | 3 | 29 | - | - | 10 | - | 3 | 244 | 543 | 448 | 35 |
| 1969/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 90 | 205 | 13 | - |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 53 | 268 | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | 45 | 251 | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 188 | 724 | 13 | - |
| 1970/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 32 | - | 5 | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 84 | 229 | 1 | - |
| Feb. | 49 | 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 32 | 5 | - |
| Mar. | 37 | 96 | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | 26 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - |
| T | 86 | 150 | 32 | - | 5 | 27 | - | - | - | 26 | - | - | - | 93 | 261 | 6 | - |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 76 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34 | 5 | - | 26 | 67 | - | - |
| Feb. | 8 | 11 | 6 | 3 | - | 49 | 66 | 35 | 94 | 86 | - | - | - | 36 | 63 | 16 | - |
| Mar. | - | 1 | 21 | - | 5 | 29 | - | - | 32 | 41 | 39 | - | 1 | 81 | 221 | - | - |
| T | 97 | 28 | 27 | 3 | 5 | 78 | 66 | 35 | 126 | 127 | 73 | 5 | 1 | 143 | 351 | 16 | - |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

Table 4. Continued.

|  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 2 | - | - | 184 | 19 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| - | - | - | 34 | 47 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| - | - | - | 105 | 68 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | - | - | 323 | 134 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 44 |
|  |  | - | 67 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | - | - | 12 | 4 | 2 | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  | 14 | ${ }^{20}$ | $\stackrel{2}{2}$ | 3 | 8 |  | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | - | - | $-$ | $\stackrel{3}{-}$ | ${ }_{-}^{4}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 6 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | - | 83 | 32 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | 7 | 11 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 7 | 11 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 18 |  | 3 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | - | 10 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  | - | 29 | 488888 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | ${ }_{-}^{5}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 29 | 56 | - | . | - | - | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 64 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.

Table 5. Series A. Catch of sei whale

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1934/35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | - | - | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| May. | - | - | 93 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | 131 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1935/36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1936/37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1945/46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950/51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | 16 | - | 3 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 16 | - | 3 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1951/52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1952/53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ 1953 / 54 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 12 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 24 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | -- | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1954/55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 47 | 15 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 30 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | - | 3 | 77 | 16 | 10 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1955/56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 3 | - | 17 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1956/57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 7 | 60 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | 3 | 7 | 61 | 5 | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1957/58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 4 | 12 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | - | $\overline{12}$ | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 4 | 12 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1958/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 11 | 18 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 9 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 11 | 2 | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 9 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 11 | 3 | - |
| T | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | 9 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 11 | 23 | - |
| 1959/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 8 | 51 | 13 | 6 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 18 | 27 | 13 |
| Feb. | - | 31 | 1 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 2 | - | 17 | 62 | 43 | 93 |
| Mar. | 2 | - | - | 3 | 12 | - | - | 1 | 5 | 3 | - | - | - | 28 | 28 | - | - |
| Apr. | 16 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 6 | 5 | - | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 27 | 82 | 14 | 9 | 16 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 17 | 2 | - | 49 | 108 | 70 | 106 |

Table 5. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARCTIC WHALING


Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1960/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 2 | 28 | 2 | 8 | 1 | - | - | 17 | 11 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 13 | - | 12 |
| Feb. | 15 | 146 | 34 | 12 | 5 | 41 | 21 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 17 | 54 | 7 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 5 | 36 | - | 11 | 35 | 24 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 15 | 1. | 17 | 3 | 9 | - | - |
| Apr. | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 27 | 181 | 72 | 20 | 17 | 76 | 46 | 60 | 28 | 16 | 28 | 9 | 35 | 58 | 29 | - | 12 |
| 1961/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 45 | 70 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | 3 | 21 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 39 | 18 | 87 | 142 | 114 | - | - |
| Feb. | 144 | 8 | - | - | 40 | 64 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 1 | - | 3 | 26 | 184 | 22 | - |
| Mar. | 229 | 19 | - | - | 11 | 75 | 13 | 12 | 64 | 8 | 14 | 12 | 46 | 52 | 74 | - | - |
| Apr. | 73 | - | - | - | - | 8 | 2 | - | 15 | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 491 | 100 | 41 | 10 | 59 | 153 | 33 | 17 | 96 | 14 | 55 | 32 | 136 | 220 | 372 | 22 | - |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 31 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Jan. | 15 | 11 | 95 | 18 | 46 | 8 | 5 | - | 4 | 7 | 1 | - | 1 | 46 | 14 | 5 | 1 |
| Feb. | - | 83 | 15 | 22 | 21 | 56 | 11 | 7 | 26 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 19 | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 178 | 36 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 19 | 73 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 54 | - | - |
| Apr. | 20 | - | - | 23 | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 244 | 131 | 128 | 71 | 68 | 75 | 25 | 18 | 49 | 123 | 5 | 2 | 20 | 49 | 69 | 5 | 1 |
| 1963/64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 5 | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 252 | 24 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Jan. | 1 | 142 | 273 | 614 | 182 | 143 | - | 7 | - | - | 42 | 15 | 4 | - | 18 | - | - |
| Feb. | 46 | 359 | 154 | 314 | 255 | 103 | 25 | 90 | 8 | $\square$ | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 52 | 67 | 50 | 29 | 487 | 328 | 114 | 36 | 29 | 7 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | 6 | 34 | 10 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 99 | 573 | 510 | 957 | 930 | 608 | 149 | 138 | 37 | 7 | 56 | 268 | 28 | 3 | 18 | - | 1 |
| 1964/65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 30 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 7 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. |  | 1,774 | 123 | 64 | 8 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 4 |
| Feb. |  | 2,743 | 244 | 355 | 153 | 487 | - | - | - | - | 34 | - | - | 53 | - | - |  |
| Mar. | 229 | 1,180 | 249 | 149 | 21 | 411 | 59 | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 32 | 15 | - |  |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | 34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| T | 464 | 5,699 | 626 | 582 | 189 | 951 | 59 | - | 10 | - | 39 | 1 | - | 85 | 15 | 10 | 4 |
| 1965/66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 32 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 14 | 17 | 63 | 7 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | -- | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | 5 | 75 | 1 | 123 | 320 | 69 | 42 | 2 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | 35 | 51 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | 14 | 22 | 170 | 32 | 158 | 385 | 72 | 42 | 4 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 12 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 194 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 69 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,027 | 512 | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 111 | 259 | - |  |
| T | 8 | - | - | - | - | 91 | 12 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,332 | 771 | - | -- |
| 1967/68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | 26 | 25 | 640 | 28 | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 31 | 121 | 27 | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 173 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 33 | 147 | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - |  | 195 | 18 | - | - | 6 | - | 26 | 56 | 794 | 202 | - | - |
| 1968/69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 171 | 77 | 8 | $\stackrel{4}{-}$ |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 12 | 22 | - | 3 | 3 | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 12 | 22 | - | 174 | 80 | 8 | 4 |

Table 5. Continued. REview of antarctic whaling

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - | - | - | - | -- | - | -- | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | 35 | 39 | 42 | 5 | - | - | 44 | 20 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 2 | 22 | 124 | - | - | - | 29 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 360 | $\stackrel{42}{2}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | $\overline{35}$ | $\overline{41}$ | $\overline{64}$ | 129 | - | - | 404 | $\overline{91}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | 42 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | 80 813 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | $-$ | - | - | $\stackrel{36}{-}$ | 80 <br> 36 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 4 29 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 12 | - | - | 33 | 170 | 19 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | -- | - | - | - |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 27 | ${ }^{33}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | -- | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | - | - | 33 | 197 | 244 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | -- |  |
| 24 | - | - | 150 | 12 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | - |  | 278 | 32 174 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | - |  | $\stackrel{251}{-}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | - | - | 685 | 218 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 35 |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | - | 35 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 48 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{12}$ | 18 13 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{35}{-}$ |
|  |  | - | 32 | $-$ | $-$ | $\underline{-}$ | 47 | 51 | 7 | 37 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }_{56}$ | $\overline{18}$ |  |  | - | - | $\overline{37}$ | -11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\overline{35}$ |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | $-$ | 4 | - | - | - | 359 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | -- | - | 21 | 15 | - | - | 698 | 374 | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $-1$ |  | - | $\overline{21}$ | $\overline{19}$ | - |  | 8 706 | 319 1,052 | - | $\overline{41}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 155 | 37 | - |  |  | 13 | 53 | 25 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | 105 | - | - |  | - | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  | 159 | 142 |  |  |  | 53 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 5. Continued.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1969/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 19 | 22 | - |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 48 | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 14 | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 81 | 22 | - |
| 1970/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 4 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 3 | 2 | 45 |
| Mar. | 1 | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 1 | 56 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 39 | 7 | 2 | 45 |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | 24 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 7 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | - | 15 | - | 14 | - | - |
| T | - | 7 | 42 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 20 | - | - |

Table 5. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARCTIC WHALING

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 52 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{-}{16}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 6 | - | - |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
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Table 6. Series B. Catcher's day's work

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1931/32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | 56 | 8 | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | 496 | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 32 | $\bigcirc$ | 7 | 6 | 6 | 41 | 61 |  | 20 | 132 | - | - | 488 | 16 | - | - |
| Feb. | 36 | - | 137 | 66 | 12 | 12 | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 376 | 88 | - | -- |
| Mar. | 64 | - | - | 258 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 64 | 24 | 24 | 48 | 32 | 184 | - | - |
| T | 100 | - | 169 | 324 | 19 | 18 | 6 | 41 | 61 |  | 84 | 156 | 24 | 48 | 1,448 | 296 | - | - |
| 1932/33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 23 | 16 | - | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |  | 125 | 104 | 76 | 157 | 94 | 474 | 400 | 77 |
| Jan. | 12 | 48 | 78 | 181 | 38 | 26 | 66 | 102 | 70 |  | 224 | 639 | 246 | - |  | 486 | 791 | 25 |
| Feb. | 54 | 367 | 181 | 88 | 8 | 8 | 6 | - | 66 |  | 117 | 731 | 290 | 8 | 16 | 493 | 462 | - |
| Mar. | 134 | 280 | 50 | 24 | 88 | - | - | 108 | 30 |  | 303 | 492 | 42 | 61 | 27 | 140 | - |  |
| Apr. | - | 18 | - | 24 | 88 | - | - | - | 43 |  | 81 | - | - |  |  |  | - - |  |
| T | 200 | 713 | 309 | 317 | 222 | 34 | 72 | 210 | 223 |  |  | 1,966 | 677 | 242 |  |  | 1.737 | 186 |
| 1933/34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | - 5 | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 35 | - | - | 17 | 14 |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | - |  | 5 | - | 20 | 229 | 420 | 446 | 266 | 471 | 328 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 127 | 6 | 12 | - | 38 | 195 |  | 306 | 208 | 339 | 173 | 190 | 507 | 699 | 87 |
| Feb. | 20 | 15 | 50 | 57 | 5 | - | 5 | 26 | 156 |  | 357 | 445 | 31 | 55 | 38 | 286 | 479 | - |
| Mar. |  | - | 110 | , | - | - | - | 124 |  | 8 | 203 | 208 | 5 | - |  | - | 147 | - |
| T | 20 | 15 | 160 | 184 | 11 | 30 | 5 | 188 | 36 |  | 866 | 881 | 604 | 683 | 67 | 1,064 | 1,813 | 429 |
| 1934/35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 74 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | 12 | 56 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 48 | 115 | 173 | 122 | 137 | 386 | 766 | 390 |
| Jan. | - | 35 | 170 | 201 | 10 | 12 | - | - | 291 |  | 301 | 688 | 195 | 162 | 28 | 802 | 204 | 121 |
| Feb. | - | 270 | 230 | 200 | - | 54 | 54 | 67 | 672 |  | , 406 | 350 | 23 | - | 21 | 557 | 66 | - |
| Mar. | 229 | 221 | 239 | 194 | 36 | 24 | 80 | 666 | 1,026 |  | 387 | 404 | 283 | 72 | 28 | 174 | 34 | - |
| Apr. |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 69 |  | 27 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| May. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- |
| T | 229 | 526 | 651 | 651 | 46 | 90 | 134 | 740 | 5,028 |  | , 169 | 1,557 | 674 | 356 | 726 |  | 1,144 | 511 |
| 1935/36 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | -- |  | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 59 |  | 641 | 291 | - | - |  | 220 | 7 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 2 | 167 | 14 | 18 | 58 | 130 | 545 |  | 662 | 728 | 166 | - |  | 289 | 380 | 40 |
| Feb. | - | 34 | 120 | 530 | 63 | 9 | 70 | 262 | 1,229 |  | 946 | 196 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 370 | 345 | - |
| Mar. | - | 116 | - | 181 | - | - | 166 | 74 | 412 |  | 319 | 114 | - | - |  | 137 | - | - |
| T | - | 150 | 1.20 | 878 | 77 | 27 | 294 | 480 | 2,245 |  | , 568 | 1,329 | 171 | 10 |  | 1.016 | 732 | 40 |
| 1936/37 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 75 | 121 | 14 | - | - | - | 97 |  | 124 | 14 | - | - |  | 620 | 246 | 122 |
| Jan. | 43 | 423 | 418 | 314 | 54 | 195 | 168 | 146 | 352 |  | 173 | 24 | 25 | 19 | 129 | 560 | 493 | 325 |
| Feb. | 448 | 486 | 456 | 210 | 6 | 286 | 447 | 248 | 617 |  | 36 |  | - | 19 |  | 147 | 1,196 | 85 |
| Mar. | 279 | 107 | 137 |  | - | 93 | 42 | 154 | 178 |  | 6 | - | - | 14 | 97 | 286 | 199 | 16 |
| T | 770 | 1.016 | 1,086 | 645 | 74 | 574 | 657 | 548 | 1,244 |  | 339 | 38 | 25 | 33 | 23 | 1.736 | 2,142 | 572 |
| 1937/38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. Dec. | - | 133 | 579 | 285 | - | - | - | - | 178 |  | 468 | 161 | - | - | 23 | 68 | 89 | 564 |
| Jan. | - | 626 | 225 | 747 | 118 | 61 | 208 | 22 | 488 |  | 716 | 314 | - | 14 | 90 | 272 | 218 | 790 |
| Feb. |  | 1,032 | 378 | 331 | 456 | 120 | 278 | 151 | 1,091 |  | 452 | 200 | 91 | 77 |  | 70 | 221 | 647 |
| Mar. | 146 | 529 | 212 | 505 | - | - | 100 | 341 | 397 |  | 331 | 54 | - | - | - | 63 | 176 | 212 |
| T | 202 | 2,320 | 1,394 | 1,868 | 583 | 181 | 586 | 514 | 1,994 | 41. | . 967 | 729 | 91 | 91 | 323 | 511 | 723 | 2, 213 |
| 1938/39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | 7 | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | 32 | 96 | 538 |
| Dec. | - | 7 | 51 | 85 | 32 | - | - | - | 104 |  | 518 | 48 | - | - |  | 80 | 403 | 972 |
| Jan. | 194 | 728 | 747 | - | 91 | 262 | 186 | 56 | 352 |  | 702 | 72 | - | 64 | - | 622 | 378 | 513 |
| Feb. | 301 | 1, 077 | 225 | - | 525 | 415 | 168 | 364 | 224 |  | 675 | 309 | 62 | 227 | 316 | 335 | 611 | 345 |
| Mar. | 48 | 103 | 167 | 61 | 82 | 64 | 81 | 78 | 211 |  | 20 | 162 | 48 | 8 | 151 | 104 | 222 | 261 |
| T | 543 | 1,915 | 1,190 | 146 | 730 | 741 | 435 | 498 | 891 |  | 915 | 591 | 110 | 299 | 467 | 1,173 | 1,710 | 2,629 |

.able
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| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1945/46 <br> Dec. <br> Jan. <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> Apr. <br> T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | - | 22 | 8 | 8 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 110 | 327 | - | - | 22 | 11 | 11 | 16 | 284 | 115 | 67 | 16 | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 809 |  | 20 | - | - | - | - | 673 | 284 | 40 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 60 | 1,109 | - | - | - | - | - | -- | 405 | 498 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 396 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 116 | 70 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 170 | 2.641 | - | 20 | 22 | 22 | 22 |  | 1,500 | 975 | 115 | 16 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1946/47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 11 | 20 | - | - | - | - | 58 | 17 | - | - | - |  | 81 | 288 | - |
| Jan. | - | 116 | 221 | 136 | 144 | 32 | 8 | 27 | 343 | 466 | 24 | - | - | - | 433 | 363 | 126 |
| Feb. | 81 | 381 | 69 | - | 440 | 151 | 432 | 9 | 91 | 225 | 272 | - | - | 77 | 110 | 145 | 393 |
| Mar. | 62 | 424 | 105 | 192 | 304 | 857 | 55 | 168 | 256 | 152 | - | - | 27 | 268 | 372 | 121 | 111 |
| Apr. | - | 123 | - | 56 | 96 | 122 | 155 | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | 104 | 96 | - | - |
| T | 143 | 1, 044 | 406 | 404 | 984 | 1,162 | 650 | 204 | 748 | 860 | 296 | - | 90 | 449 | 1, 092 | 917 | 630 |
| 1947/48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 46 | 139 | 32 | - | - | 49 | 424 | 181 | 272 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 32 | 77 | - | 357 | 174 | 197 | 419 | 117 | 135 | 144 | 346 | 522 | 109 | 198 |
| Feb. | - | - | 310 | 503 | 615 | 627 | 219 | 56 | 212 | 189 | 55 | 88 | 44 | 130 | 790 | 27 | - |
| Mar. | - | 296 | 87 | 287 | 1,238 | 158 | 280 | 56 | 315 | 216 | - | - | - | 669 | 314 | - | 50 |
| Apr. | -- | - |  |  | - | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 22 | -- | - | - |
| T | - | 296 | 397 | 822 | 1,930 | 795 | 856 | 286 | 770 | 963 | 204 | 223 | 188 | 1,216 | 2, 050 | 317 | 520 |
| 1948/49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 80 | 154 | 20 | - | 39 | 13 | 122 | 148 |
| Jan. | - | - | 65 | 52 | 256 | 452 |  | - | 1.35 | 491 | 578 | 181 | - | 7 | 130 | 247 | 224 |
| Feb. | - | 186 | 323 | 243 | 540 | 395 | 459 | 75 | 774 | 471 | - | - |  | - | - | 106 | 201 |
| Mar. | - | 813 | 392 | - | 295 | 568 | 259 | 268 | 310 | 424 | - | 44 | - | 44 | 88 | 97 | 345 |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 5 | - | - | - | 73 | 245 | - |  | - | - | - |
| T | - | 999 | 780 | 295 | 1,091 | 1,427 | 725 | 343 | , 233 | , 466 | 732 | 245 | -- | 90 | 231 | 572 | 918 |
| 1949/50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 354 |  | - | - | - | - | 15 |  |  | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 235 | - | 55 |
| Jan. | 64 | 373 | 46 | 401 | 148 | 248 | 48 | 20 | 40 | 40 | - | - | - | 61 | 390 | 391 | 281 |
| Feb. | 423 | 339 | 218 | - | 529 | 430 | 489 | 265 | 86 | 181 | 133 | 41 | 119 | 400 | 149 | 13 | 39 |
| Mar. | 144 | 277 | 179 | - | 140 | 163 | 492 | 60 | 60 | 627 | 15 |  | - | - | 88 | - | 70 |
| T | 631 | 989 | 443 | 755 | 817 | 841 | 1,029 | 345 | 186 | 863 | 148 | 41 | 119 | 461 | 862 | 404 | 445 |
| 1950/51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 150 | - | 24 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 45 | 90 | 15 | - |  | - | - | 215 |
| Jan. | 206 | 615 | 260 | 140 | 15 | - | - | 164 | 218 | 60 | 30 | 195 | 90 | 56 | 434 | 176 | 321 |
| Feb. | 300 | 980 | 436 | 51 | 60 | 47 | 202 | 161 | 411 | 162 | 207 | 202 | 154 | 132 | 294 | 257 | 225 |
| Mar. | - | 163 | 308 | 15 | 24 | 36 | 164 | 106 | - | 276 | 62 | 51 | 145 | 39 | 123 | 36 | 91 |
| T | 506 | 1,908 | -, 004 | 230 | 112 | 83 | 366 | 431 | 629 | 543 | 389 | 463 | 389 | 227 | 851 | 469 | 852 |
| 1951/52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 42 | 566 | 70 | 54 | - | - | - | - | 27 | 273 | 30 | 45 | 243 | 611 | 443 | 222 | 114 |
| Feb. | 168 | 232 | 264 | 207 | 517 | 533 | 274 | 423 | 819 | 483 | 134 | 78 | 270 | 273 | 264 | 186 | 138 |
| Mar. | - |  | - |  | 275 |  | 70 | 105 | 305 | - | - | - | 135 | 24 | 36 | - | - |
| T | 210 | 798 | 334 | 261 | 792 | 533 | 344 | 528 | 1,151 | 756 | 164 | 123 | 648 | 908 | 743 | 408 | 252 |
| 1952/53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 17 | 17 | 239 | 141 | 327 | 390 | 546 | 90 | 36 | 48 | 489 | 352 | 174 | 184 | 122 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 264 | 377 | 637 | 808 | 291 | 630 | 770 | 27 | 31 | 211 | 154 | 198 | 169 | - |
| Mar. | - | 162 | 475 | 146 | 363 | 419 | 36 | 171 | 347 | 149 | 75 | 74 | 37 | 39 | 39 | 52 | 36 |
| T | - | 162 | 492 | 427 | 979 | 1. 197 | 1,171 | 852 | 1,523 | 1,009 | 138 | 153 | 737 | 545 | 411 | 405 | 158 |
| 1953/54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 32 | 475 | 215 | 191 | 33 | - | 13 | 50 | 91 | 13 | 26 | - | 86 | 326 | 321 | 81 | - |
| Feb. | 363 | 217 | 193 | 273 | 46 | 222 | 448 | 243 | 390 | 575 | 244 | 567 | 314 | 45 | 69 | 87 | 63 |
| Mar. | 11.5 | 497 | - | 36 | 120 | 337 | 164 | 383 | 271 | 270 | 270 | 88 | 14 | - | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| T | 510 | 1,189 | 408 | 500 | 199 | 559 | 625 | 676 | 752 | 858 | 540 | 655 | 414 | 371 | 408 | 186 | 81 |
| 1954/55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 242 | 165 | 48 | - | 246 | 196 | 95 | 67 | 329 | 258 | 92 | - | - | - | 30 | 20 |
| Feb. | - | 102 | 104 | 121 | 468 | 415 | 345 | 417 | 339 | 297 | 551 | 815 | 293 | 14 | 50 | 20 | 120 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 11 | 140 | 296 | 46 | 254 | 532 | 308 | 276 | 263 | 182 | 95 | 545 | 182 | 30 |
| T | - | 344 | 269 | 180 | 608 | 957 | 587 | 766 | 938 | 934 | 1,085 | 1,170 | 475 | 109 | 595 | 232 | 170 |
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| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1955/56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 49 | 826 | 190 | 30 | 150 | 92 | - | - | - | 134 | 330 | 458 | 419 | 157 | 242 | 108 | 140 |
| Feb. | 323 | 572 | 228 | 283 | 216 | 349 | 163 | 313 | 345 | 494 | 239 | 314 | 132 | 219 | 540 | 149 | 54 |
| Mar. | 86 | 71 | 15 | 20 | - | 86 | 110 | 13 | 135 | - | - | - |  | - | 164 | - | - |
| T | 458 | , 469 | 433 | 333 | 366 | 527 | 273 | 326 | 480 | 628 | 569 | 772 | 551 | 376 | 946 | 257 | 294 |
| 1956/57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 317 | 351 | 343 | 73 | 57 | 132 | - | - | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 134 | 36 | 148 | 107 | 238 | 349 | 305 | 132 | - | - | 24 | 156 | 48 | 36 | 84 | - | - |
| Mar. | 142 | 48 | 54 | 52 | 188 | 602 | 447 | 248 | 86 | 38 | 48 | - | 24 | 24 | 72 | - | - |
| T | 593 | 435 | 545 | 232 | 483 | , 083 | 752 | 380 | 86 | 38 | 90 | 156 | 72 | 60 | 156 | - | - |
| 1957/58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 24 | 44 | 48 | - | 52 | 50 | 115 | 177 | 264 | 201 | 14 | 36 | 153 | 9 | 18 | 9 | - |
| Feb. | 143 | - | - | - | 255 | 391 | 390 | 426 | 304 | 194 | 99 | 228 | 135 | 228 | 102 | 144 | 60 |
| Mar. | - | 117 | 91 | - | 54 | 190 | 156 | 168 | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | 107 | 96 | 96 |
| T | 167 | 161 | 139 | - | 361 | 631 | 661 | 771 | 610 | 395 | 113 | 264 | 288 | 237 | 227 | 249 | 156 |
| 1958/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 72 | 12 | - | - | - | 88 | - | - | - | - | 204 | 322 | 417 | 198 | 69 |
| Feb. | 168 | 96 | 36 | 60 | 110 | 113 | 193 | 272 | 276 | 190 | 348 | 116 | 244 | 204 | 270 | 111 | 195 |
| Mar. | 60 | 156 | 84 | 72 | - | - | 84 | 233 | 173 | 319 | 190 | 127 | 202 | - | 9 | 45 | 54 |
| T | 228 | 252 | 192 | 144 | 110 | 113 | 277 | 593 | 449 | 509 | 538 | 243 | 650 | 526 | 696 | 354 | 318 |
| 1959/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 219 | 30 | 11 | - | - | - | 9 | 22 | 127 | 27 | - | - | - | - | 48 | - | 12 |
| Feb. | - | 99 | 110 | - | 16 | 152 | 60 | 71 | 203 | 124 | 335 | 357 | 206 | 289 | 24 | 12 | 12 |
| Mar. | 265 | 63 | 27 | 27 | 103 | 254 | 370 | 380 | 313 | 286 | 152 | 150 | 192 | 36 | 12 | - | - |
| Apr. | 158 | - | - | - | 26 | 29 | 103 | 26 | 101 | 67 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 642 | 192 | 148 | 27 | 145 | 435 | 542 | 499 | 744 | 504 | 487 | 507 | 398 | 325 | 84 | 12 | 24 |
| 1960/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 69 | 11 | - | - | - | 14 | 124 | 91 | - | $\cdots$ | 12 | - | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 88 | - | - | - | 33 | 275 | 539 | 204 | 257 | 325 | 78 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | 479 | 143 | - | 11 | 32 | 413 | 254 | 282 | 344 | 161 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | 143 | - | - | - | 53 | 33 | 11 | 42 | 33 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | 790 | 154 | - | 11 | 118 | 735 | 928 | 619 | 634 | 486 | 90 | - | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 1961/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | -- | - | 80 | 32 |
| Jan. | 18 | 9 | - | - | 22 | 137 | 44 | 148 | 49 | 60 | 30 | 30 | - | - | 13 | 13 | 48 |
| Feb. | 163 | 72 | 11 | 33 | 55 | 446 | 256 | 132 | 78 | 69 | 60 | 45 | 30 | 30 | 15 | - | - |
| Mar. | 132 | 33 | 11 | - | 33 | 84 | 107 | 48 | 46 | 166 | 367 | 265 | 274 | 15 | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 77 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - |  |  | 57 | - | 17 | - | - | - | - |
| T | 390 | 114 | 22 | 33 | 110 | 667 | 407 | 328 | 173 | 295 | 457 | 340 | 317 | 45 | 28 | 93 | 80 |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 63 | 127 | 62 | 18 | 18 | 27 | - | - | - | 19 | 38 | 22 | - | - | 64 | 79 | 94 |
| Jan. | 63 | 127 | 62 | 18 | 18 | 27 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | 19 | 38 | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | 64 | 79 | 94 |
| Feb. | 194 | 186 | 98 | 66 | 11 | 33 | 22 | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | 34 | 51 | 51 | 85 |
| Mar. | 472 | 137 | 18 | - | - | - | 54 | 126 | 88 | 40 | 88 | - | - | - | 55 | 412 | 89 |
| Apr. | 81 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - |
| T | 810 | 459 | 178 | 84 | 29 | 60 | 76 | 126 | 88 | 59 | 126 | 22 | - | 34 | 170 | 542 | 68 |
| 1963/64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | 112 | 97 | 64 |
| Jan. | 40 | 11 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51 | 34 | - |
| Feb. | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 22 | - | - | $\checkmark$ | 57 | 98 | 90 | 60 | 22 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 22 | 110 | 176 | 11 | - | - | 19 | 57 | 38 | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - |
| T | 64 | 11 | 11 | - | 22 | 110 | 176 | 22 | 22 | - | 19 | 57 | 112 | 98 | 253 | 191 | 86 |
| 1964/65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | - | 16 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 15 |
| Jan. |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 17 | 51 | 17 | - |
| Feb. |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 34 | 34 | 17 | - | - | - |
| Mar. |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 34 | 50 | 49 | 81 | 47 | 15 |
| T |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 34 | 50 | 49 | 81 | 47 | 15 |

「able

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| 126 | 29 | 198 | 93 | 141 | 36 | 18 | 54 | 192 | 600 | 15 | 30 | 95 | 70 | - | - | 42 | 308 | - |
| 134 | 90 | 75 | 75 | 45 | - | - | - | 189 | 204 | 15 | 45 | 330 | 270 | 144 | 105 | 392 | 84 | 56 |
| - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 60 | - | - | 51 | 179 | 14 |  |
| 260 | 119 | 273 | 168 | 186 | 36 | 18 | 54 | 381 | 804 | 30 | 75 | 485 | 340 | 144 | 156 | 613 | 406 | 56 |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | 117 | 285 | 78 | 117 | 195 | 228 | 188 | 340 | 520 | 459 | 79 |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 180 | 863 | 294 | 240 | 65 | 106 | 327 | 271 | 286 | 67 |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 102 | 309 | 315 | 36 | - | - | - | 20 | 176 | 34 |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | 117 | 567 | 1,250 | 726 | 471 | 293 | 294 | 667 | 811 | 921 | 80 |
| 108 | 96 | 36 | 60 | - | - | 60 | 24 | 94 | 207 | 164 | 226 | 154 | 105 | 46 | 101 | 229 | 127 | 24 |
| 18 | 12 | 24 | 36 | 24 | 84 | 36 | 12 | 24 | 96 | 314 | 248 | 264 | 36 | 48 | 210 | 246 | 146 | 39 |
| 24 | 18 | 63 | 195 | 60 | - | - | - | - | 33 | 77 | 168 | 84 | 24 | 24 | 60 | 72 | 84 | - |
| 150 | 126 | 123 | 291 | 84 | 84 | 96 | 36 | 118 | 336 | 555 | 642 | 502 | 165 | 118 | 371 | 547 | 357 | 63 |
| 60 | 60 | 48 | 120 | 48 | 192 | 192 | 60 | 168 | 168 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 522 | 330 | 96 | 168 | 240 | 252 | 24 | 48 | 60 | 84 | 36 | $\overline{-}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | - |  | -- | 12 | 96 | 108 | 12 | 48 | 60 | 156 | 36 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 591 | 390 | 144 | 288 | 300 | 540 | 324 | 120 | 276 | 312 | 192 | 36 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 54 | 18 | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 39 | 42 | 62 | 393 | 640 | 90 | 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 |
| - | - | - | - | 339 | 491 | 294 | 57 | 132 | 168 | 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | 12 | 112 | 412 | 226 | 34 | 18 | 45 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 32 | - | 36 | 18 | 187 | 33 |
| $\overline{-}$ | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | $\square$ | - | - |
| 39 | 42 | 62 | 459 | 1,109 | 1,025 | 556 | 91 | 150 | 213 | 64 | 16 | 16 | 32 | - | 36 | 18 | 187 | 73 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 148 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | 24 | 44 | 160 | 162 | 300 | 410 | 160 | 36 | 84 | 192 | 60 | 48 | - | - | - | - | 143 | 98 |
| - | - | - | 16 | 118 | 244 | 248 | 224 | 208 | 290 | 480 | 274 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 166 | 200 | 330 | - | 230 | 36 | 18 | 54 | 36 | 18 | 83 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | -- | - | 16 | - | - | - | 18 | - | - |
| 12 | 24 | 44 | 176 | 280 | 562 | 806 | 412 | 422 | 586 | 1, 014 | 334 | 294 | 36 | 18 | 54 | 54 | 161 | 81 |
| 64 | 48 | 16 | 48 | 144 | 192 | 272 | 48 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 128 | 80 | 48 | 48 | 80 | 16 | 163 | 81 | 129 | 338 | 50 | 170 | 68 | 124 | 160 | 54 | 54 | 36 | 90 |
| - | - | 16 | 48 | 208 | 240 | 32 | 80 | 48 | 112 | 48 | 64 | - | - | - | 53 | 245 | 330 | 56 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 342 | 178 | 148 | 70 | 48 | 104 | 358 | 330 | 331 | 52 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27 | 98 | 36 |
| 192 | 128 | 80 | 144 | 432 | 448 | 467 | 209 | 211 | 792 | 276 | 382 | 138 | 172 | 264 | 465 | 656 | 795 | 34 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 38 | 76 | 57 | 95 |
| 174 | 117 | 133 | 57 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 217 | 302 | 63 |
| 19 | 19 | 53 | 79 | 91 | 348 | 53 | 72 | 102 | 155 | 155 | 38 | 19 | 19 | 38 | 46 | 172 | 108 | 99 |
| 38 | 19 | 19 | 95 | 209 | 19 | - | - | - |  |  | 75 | 53 | 68 | 19 | 91 | 53 | 102 | 35 |
| - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 231 | 155 | 205 | 231 | 300 | 367 | 53 | 72 | 102 | 155 | 155 | 113 | 72 | 87 | 76 | 193 | 518 | 569 | 92 |
| 88 | 37 | 40 | 111 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 17 | 37 | 47 | 30 | 68 | 252 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 22 | 425 | 210 | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 16 | 16 | 40 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 128 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - 127 | $\overline{85}$ | $\overline{98}$ | ${ }_{163}$ | 90 | 139 944 | 210 | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 16 | 16 | 40 |
| 15 | 15 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | 15 | 30 | 81 | 34 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 262 | 188 | 136 | 17 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | 17 | 68 | 140 | 202 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 32 | 113 | 432 | 471 | 170 | 17 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1965/66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 15 | 60 | 75 | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | - | - | 38 | 57 | 19 | $3 i$ |
| Feb. | - | - | -- | - | - | 90 | 120 | 60 | 75 | - | - | - | - | - | 55 | 19 | 3 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 45 | 60 | 105 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | - | - | - | 15 | 60 | 210 | 180 | 165 | 90 | - | - | - | - | 38 | 112 | 38 | 7 |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 76 | 57 | 76 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 60 | 30 | 30 | 165 | 60 | - | - | - | 38 | 57 | 38 | 7 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 105 | 105 | 135 | 75 | 12 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75 | 60 | 165 | 30 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 45 | - | - |
| T | -- | - | - | - | - | 60 | 30 | 105 | 225 | 225 | 135 | 135 | 150 | 147 | 190 | 95 | 5\% |
| 1967/68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 26 | 26 | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 26 | 52 | 39 | 104 | 108 | 54 | 5 |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 26 | 26 | 39 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 26 | 5 | 65 | 26 | 52 | - | 104 | 108 | 54 | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 26 | 52 | 65 | 26 | 52 | 39 | 104 | 108 | 54 | 54 |
| 1968/69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 17 | - | 17 | 17 | - | - | -- | - | 12 | 12 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 12 | 36 | 29 | 17 |
| Feb. |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 48 | $\stackrel{36}{-}$ | - | -- | - | - |
| Mar. | $\overline{17}$ | - | $\overline{17}$ | 17 | - | - | - | - |  |  | $\overline{-}$ | - 72 | $\bigcirc$ | 12 | 36 | 29 | 17 |
| T | 17 | - | 17 | 17 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 12 | 24 | 72 | 60 | 12 | 36 | 29 | 17 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1969 / 70 \\ \text { Jan. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 75 | 109 | 53 | 17 |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 52 | 52 | 13 | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | -- | - | - | $\overline{-}$ |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 127 | 161 | 66 | 17 |
| 1970/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 51 | 34 |  |
| Feb. | 12 | 24 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | $\bar{\square}$ | $\bar{\square}$ | - |
| T | 12 | 24 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 51 | 34 | - |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\sim}$ | $\bar{\sim}$ | - | - | 34 | 51 | - | 34 | 17 | 102 | 102 | - |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 13 | 26 | 26 | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 39 | 13 | 26 | - | - | $\overline{34}$ | 17 | - | 34 | $\overline{17}$ | 102 |  | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 52 |  | 26 | - | - | 34 | 68 | - | 34 | 17 | 102 | 102 | - | - |
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Table 6. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARCTIC WHALING

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - | - | - | 18 | 40 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 38 | 90 | 36 | 116 | 178 | 178 | 100 | 100 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 57 | 95 | 76 | 38 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 100 | 140 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 58 | 39 | 58 | 38 | 230 | 119 | 40 | 100 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | 95 | 185 | 130 | 252 | 357 | 296 | 198 | 430 | 259 | 40 | 100 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 38 | 38 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 57 | 19 | 57 | 76 | 114 | 96 | 116 | 160 | 140 | 20 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 100 | 160 | 120 | 180 | 240 | 60 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 120 | 280 | 80 | 100 | 280 | 180 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 97 | 115 | 77 | 77 | 236 | 494 | 336 | 336 | 620 | 560 | 80 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 54 | 36 | 36 | 180 | 18 | 36 | 72 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 54 | 198 | 216 | 171 | 27 | 90 | 252 | 72 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 36 | 198 | 126 | 90 | 36 | 54 | 54 | 360 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 54 | 36 | 126 | 576 | 360 | 297 | 135 | 144 | 306 | 432 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 34 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | - | 51 | 34 | 34 | 17 | 34 | 17 | 17 |
| - | - | - | 17 | 34 | 17 | 68 | 136 | 34 | 62 | 331 | 34 | 85 | 51 | 17 | 17 | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | 51 | 119 | 102 | 85 | 17 |  | 34 | 17 | 51 | 17 | 17 | 17 | - | - | - |
| 17 | 34 | 17 | 17 | 85 | 136 | 170 | 221 | 51 | 73 | 376 | 51 | 187 | 102 | 68 | 51 | 34 | 17 | 17 |
| 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | 103 | 162 | 68 | 102 | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | $-$ | - | 12 | 137 | 215 | 17 | 51 | 153 | 51 | 34 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 17 | 17 | 132 | 299 | 283 | 119 | 68 | 153 | 51 | 34 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 17 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 51 | 34 | 51 | 17 | 17 | 51 | 51 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51 | 17 | 51 | 17 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 17 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 51 | 34 | 51 | 68 | 34 | 102 | 68 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 | 39 | 26 | 26 | 13 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 13 | - | 26 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 | 39 | 39 | 26 | 39 |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1931/32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 92 | 21 | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | 1,089 | - |  | - |
| Jan. |  |  | 21 | - |  | - | - | 25 | 64 | - | 184 | - | - | 903 | 17 | - | - |
| Feb. | 66 |  | 31 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 387 | 163 |  | - |
| Mar. | 67 | - | - | 116 | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | - | - |  | 159 |  | - |
| T | 133 | - | 52 | 136 | - | - | - | 25 | 64 | 24 | 184 | - | - | 2,471 | 360 | - | - |
| 1932/33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | 33 | - | 179 | 166 | 120 |
| Dec. | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 83 | 133 | 122 | 248 | 142 | 806 | 741 | 141 |
| Jan. | 3 | 26 | 31 | 152 | 22 | 43 | 50 | 101 | 36 | 282 | 767 | 327 | - |  | 955 | 1,373 | 17 |
| Feb. | 8 | 71 | 78 | 20 | - | 3 | 2 | - | 33 | 45 | 373 | 97 | 1 | 196 | 551 | 602 | - |
| Mar. | 2 | 28 | 9 | 1 | 58 | - | - | 59 | 13 | 285 | 484 | 15 | 1 | 221 | 113 | - | - |
| Apr. | - | 1 | - | 2 | 21 | - | - | - | 23 | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 13 | 126 | 118 | 175 | 101 | 46 | 52 | 160 | 105 | 745 | 1.757 | 607 | 283 | 559 |  | 2,882 | 278 |
| 1933/34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 57 |  |  | - | 24 |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 73 | 57 |  | 309 | 35 | 24 |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | 6 | - | 80 | 273 | 552 | 703 | 309 | 964 | 401 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 96 | - | 6 | - | 19 | 164 | 38 | 180 | 192 | 71 | 135 | 608 | 1,138 | 68 |
| Feb. | -- | - | 18 | 24 | - | - | - | 2 | 71 | 212 | 461 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 259 | 575 | - |
| Mar. | - | - | 118 | - | - | - | - | 24 | 1 | 80 | 192 | 1 | - |  | - | 137 | - |
| T | - | - | 136 | 120 | - | 13 | - | 45 | 242 | 675 | 839 | 480 | 681 | 851 | 1,180 | 2,849 | 493 |
| 1934/35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | 178 | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 159 | 523 | 163 | 533 |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 49 | - | - | - | - | - | 70 | 178 | 237 | 225 | 159 | 523 | 957 | 533 |
| Jan. | - | 15 | 40 | 114 | I | 7 | - | - | 523 | 631 | 718 | 79 | 113 | 261 | 692 | 71 | 27 |
| Feb. | - | 118 | 135 | 29 | - | 51 | 31 | 20 | 419 | 1,136 | 234 | - | - | 17 | 362 | 34 | - |
| Mar. | 113 | 64 | 85 | 46 | 21 | 3 | 6 | 76 | 299 | 130 | 228 | 106 | 31 | 113 | 113 | 11 | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | 9 | 47 |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| T | 113 | 197 | 260 | 238 | 22 | 61 | 37 |  |  |  | 1,358 | 422 | 369 | 550 | 1,690 | 1,236 | 560 |
| 1935/36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 35 | 956 | 333 | - | -- |  | 252 | 11 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 96 | 6 | 5 | 22 | 44 | 637 | 1,021 | 795 | 110 | - |  | 420 | 435 | 20 |
| Feb. | - | 43 | 50 | 235 | 10 | - | 19 | 117 | 702 | 748 | 223 | -- | - |  | 502 | 475 | - |
| Mar. | - | 171 | - | 52 | - | - | 60 | 10 | 209 | 239 | 75 | - | -- | - | 162 | - | $\bar{\square}$ |
| T | - | 214 | 50 | 383 | 16 | 5 | 101 | 175 | 1,583 | 2,964 | 1,426 | 110 | - |  | 1,336 | 921 | 20 |
| 1936/37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 169 | 4 | 9 |
| Dec. | - | - | 99 | 75 | 8 | - | - | - | 79 | 129 | 3 | - | - | $\square$ | 842 | 306 | 184 |
| Jan. | 86 | 341 | 378 | 189 | 18 | 159 | 130 | 87 | 321 | 90 | - | -- | - | 179 | 742 | 580 | 415 |
| Feb. | 318 | 152 | 174 | 49 | , | 156 | 173 | 54 | 284 | 30 | - | - | - | - |  | 1, 012 | 86 |
| Mar. | 3 | 5 | 9 | - | - | 13 | 5 | 27 | 38 | - | - | - | 1 | 45 | 207 | 145 | - |
| T | 407 | 498 | 660 | 313 | 28 | 328 | 308 | 168 | 722 | 249 | 3 | - | 1 | 225 | 2,031 | 2,047 | 694 |
| 1937/38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | $\overline{98}$ | 1 539 | 266 | 3 | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | 23 | 611 | 208 | - | - | 325 | 35 | 78 | 826 |
| Dec. Jan. | - | 98 97 | 539 39 | 266 428 | 3 67 | 43 | 69 | 8 | 23 296 | 611 596 | 208 | - | 11 | 325 88 | 28 | 72 161 | 826 580 |
| Jan. | 24 | 97 79 | 39 21 | 428 90 | 67 35 | 43 3 | 69 21 | $\begin{array}{r}8 \\ 40 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 296 | 596 212 | 298 | 34 | 11 67 | 88 | 406 36 | 161 | 580 337 |
| Mar. | 16 | 97 | 15 | 48 | - | - | , | - | 91 | 197 | 33 | - | 6 | - | 12 | 132 | 51 |
| T | 40 | 371 | 615 | 832 | 105 | 46 | 94 | 49 | 665 | 1,616 | 666 | 34 | 78 | 413 | 517 |  | 1,794 |
| 1938/39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 6 | 81 | 435 |
| Dec. | - | 12 | 64 | 61 | 4 | - | - | - | 135 | 648 | 49 | - | - |  | 91 | 384 | 550 |
| Jan. | 51 | 564 | 556 | - | 27 | 141 | 125 | 24 | 235 | 565 | 59 | - | 3 | - | 662 | 230 | 168 |
| Feb. | 11 | 275 | 74 | - | 135 | 95 | 25 | 187 | 98 | 356 | 75 | 3 | 10 | 87 | 198 | 405 | 187 |
| Mar. | -- | 41 | 29 | 32 | 21 | 3 | 1 | 27 | 134 | 13 | 23 | - | - |  | 61 | 34 | 60 |
| T | 62 | 892 | 723 | 93 | 187 | 239 | 151 | 238 | 602 | 1,582 | 206 | 3 | 13 | 90 | 1,018 | 1,134 | 1,400 |

Table 7. Continued. Review of antarctic whaling


Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1945/46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 3 | 14 | -- | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 300 | 86 | 26 | 10 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | 237 | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 695 | 195 | 6 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | 1 | 50 | - | - | - | -- | - | - | 223 | 206 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 18 | 58 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | 4 | 314 | - | 2 | - | 1 | - |  | 1,236 | 545 | 32 | 10 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1946/47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | 75 | 23 | - | - | - | - | 138 | 324 | - |
| Jan. | - | 40 | 143 | 84 | 47 | 5 | - | 8 | 145 | 351 | 32 | - | - | - | 350 | 212 | 3 |
| Feb. | 5 | 46 | 55 |  | 98 | 48 | 211 | - | 83 | 141 | 146 | - | - | 110 | 104 | 79 | 261 |
| Mar. | 2 | 44 | 30 | 122 | 137 | 409 | 7 | 32 | 120 | 126 | - | - | 11 | 290 | 264 | 64 | 85 |
| Apr. | - | 10 | - | 14 | 26 | 31 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 57 | 106 | - | - |
| T | 7 | 140 | 228 | 237 | 308 | 493 | 239 | 40 | 423 | 641 | 178 | - | 28 | 457 | 962 | 679 | 349 |
| 1947/48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 76 | 29 | - | - | 25 | 200 | 34 | 101 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 6 | 17 | - | 133 | 23 | 48 | 181 | 63 | 17 | 58 | 186 | 428 | 43 | 63 |
| Feb. | - | - | 93 | 170 | 62 | 47 | 11 | - | 51 | 54 | 29 | 2 | 8 | 114 | 289 | 4 | - |
| Mar. | - | 131 | 32 | 54 | 195 | 22 | 67 | 27 | 78 | 49 | - | $-$ | - | 85 | 74 | - | 63 |
| T | -- | 131 | 125 | 230 | 274 | 69 | 211 | 50 | 201 | 360 | 121 | 19 | 66 | 410 | 991 | 81 | 227 |
| 1948/49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 55 | 122 | 8 | - | 5 | 2 | 51 | 113 |
| Jan. | - | - | 10 | 24 | 274 | 188 | - | - | 134 | 223 | 63 | 63 | - | - | 44 | 127 | 146 |
| Feb. | - | 104 | 66 | 51 | 92 | 69 | 93 | 14 | 342 | 277 | - | - | - | - | - | 44 | 82 |
| Mar. | - | 189 | 100 | $\square$ | 15 | 61 | 21 | 42 | 97 | 137 | - | 1 | - | 8 | 32 | 58 | 148 |
| T | - | 293 | 176 | 75 | 381 | 318 | 114 | 56 | 573 | 692 | 185 | 72 | - | 13 | 78 | 280 | 489 |
| 1949/50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 83 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 84 | - | 18 |
| Jan. | 1 | 107 | 2 | 21 | 79 | 183 | 31 | 1 | 17 | 17 | - | - | - | 32 | 212 | 192 | 82 |
| Feb. | 3 | 45 | 2 | - | 105 | 65 | 95 | 59 | 24 | 39 | 31 | 4 | 8 | 116 | 14 | 1-2 | 9 |
| Mar. | 1 | 11 | 1 | - | 5 | 19 | 29 | 2 | 17 | 96 | 6 | - | - | - | 5 | - | 13 |
| T | 5 | 163 | 5 | 104 | 189 | 267 | 155 | 62 | 58 | 153 | 37 | 4 | 8 | 148 | 315 | 192 | 122 |
| 1950/51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 67 | - | 17 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 64 | 86 | 21 | - | - | - | - | 81 |
| Jan. | 90 | 173 | 89 | 22 | - | - | - | 41 | 162 | 12 | - | 116 | 59 | 59 | 404 | 36 | 53 |
| Feb. | 95 | 145 | 113 | 37 | 51 | 3 | 91 | 72 | 258 | 60 | 20 | 3 | 14 | 25 | 101 | 56 | 13 |
| Mar. | - | 175 | 14 | - | 13 | 11 | 61 | 34 | - | 71 | 5 | - | 1 | - | 31 | 12 | 30 |
| T | 185 | 560 | 216 | 76 | 65 | 14 | 152 | 147 | 420 | 207 | 111 | 140 | 74 | 84 | 536 | 104 | 177 |
| 1951/52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 7 | 1 |
| Jan. | 7 | 83 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 203 | 3 | 14 | - | 201 | 266 | 197 | 44 |
| Feb. | 4 | 29 | 20 | 19 | 72 | 125 | 33 | 184 | 712 | 210 | 81 | 8 | 12 | 75 | 37 | 39 | 36 |
| Mar. | 11 | - |  | - | 59 | - | 4 | 22 | 130 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 11 | 112 | 23 | 19 | 131 | 125 | 37 | 206 | 851 | 417 | 84 | 22 | 12 | 276 | 303 | 236 | 81 |
| 1952/53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 2 | 8 | 74 | 42 | 171 | 141 | 186 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 64 | 69 | 114 | 205 | 132 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 5 | 45 | 68 | 161 | 46 | 114 | 244 | - | 4 | 26 | 28 | 102 | 104 | - |
| Mar. | - | 15 | 61 | 2 | 22 | 57 | 2 | 3 | 32 | 51 | 1 | 11 | 1 | - | 14 | 3 | 4 |
| T | - | 15 | 63 | 15 | 141 | 167 | 334 | 190 | 332 | 300 | 2 | 30 | 91 | 97 | 230 | 312 | 136 |
| 1953/54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 1 | 54 | 31 | 39 | 3 | - | 13 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 7 | 123 | 123 | 1 | - |
| Feb. | 8 | 4 | 9 | 21 | 11 | 98 | 192 | 180 | 153 | 239 | 65 | 53 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 29 | 32 |
| Mar. | 4 | 15 | - | 1 | 41 | 103 | 28 | 141 | 148 | 121 | 63 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| T | 13 | 73 | 40 | 61 | 55 | 201 | 233 | 323 | 302 | 360 | 128 | 54 | 22 | 130 | 131 | 31 | 35 |
| 1954/55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 34 | 94 | 6 | 17 | 68 | 47 | 33 | 5 | 53 | 4 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 12 | 3 | 14 | 117 | 91 | 63 | 88 | 83 | 13 | 13 | 22 | 8 | 3 | 27 | 12 | 3 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 6 | 14 | 1 | 32 | 70 | 31 | 11 | 14 | 2 | 18 | 167 | 72 |  |
| T | - | 46 | 97 | 20 | 123 | 173 | 111 | 153 | 158 | 97 | 28 | 39 | 10 | 21 | 194 | 84 | 3 |

Table 7. Continued. Review of antarctic whaling
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Table 7. Continued.

| Area <br> Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1955/56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 14 | 33 | 100 | 68 | 64 | 139 | 32 | 73 | 87 | 69 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 57 | 141 | 17 | 57 |
| Mar. | 3 | 11 | - | - | - | 5 | 13 | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | 10 | - | 5 | 26 | 17 | 5 |
| T | 17 | 45 | 100 | 68 | 64 | 144 | 45 | 74 | 89 | 69 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 57 | 167 | 17 | 57 |
| 1956/57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 1 | - | 23 | 2 | 22 | 129 | 33 | 89 | - | - | 8 | 40 | 10 | - | 2 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 1 | - | 2 | 20 | 49 | 12 | 42 | 24 | 15 | - | - | 1 | - | 78 | - | - |
| T | 1 | 1 | 23 | 4 | 42 | 178 | 45 | 131 | 24 | 15 | 8 | 40 | 11 | - | 80 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 106 | 89 | 149 | 99 | 169 | 37 | 23 | 60 | 59 | 94 | 25 | 57 | 1 |
| Mar. | - | 24 | 5 | - | 2 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 24 | 5 | - | 108 | 99 | 153 | 100 | 171 | 37 | 23 | 60 | 59 | 94 | 25 | 57 | 1 |
| 1958/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | 10 | - | 17 | 14 | 34 | 42 | 129 | 30 | 32 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 21 | 11 | 16 |
| Mar. | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 22 | - | 18 | 25 | 2 | 3 | 7 | - | - | 5 | 2 |
| T | - | - | 11 | 2 | 17 | 14 | 56 | 42 | 147 | 55 | 34 | 11 | 20 | 4 | 21 | 16 | 18 |
| 1959/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 3 | 21 | - | - | 10 | - | 1 | 52 | 3 | 15 | 17 | 7 | 27 | 5 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 1 | - | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 19 | 6 | 6 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 1 | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | 2 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 1 | 4 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 19 | 15 | 67 | 23 | 21 | 23 | 8 | 27 | 5 | - | - |
| 1960/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 2 | 72 | 94 | 6 | 6 | 36 | 3 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. |  | 11 | - | - | 1 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 5 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | 1 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 65 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | 87 | 19 | 3 | - | - | 9 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 14 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 24 | 13 | 17 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Apr. |  | 1 | - | $\overline{9}$ | 1 | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | - | - |
| T | 66 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | 101 | 23 | 3 | - | 5 | 33 | 20 | 17 | 4 | - | - | - |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| Mar. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 7 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| Apr. | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | 1 | - | 6 | - | - | 8 | 7 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 10 | 5 | 8 | 11 |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

Table 7. Continued.

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
|  |  | - |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 13 | 11 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 45 | 145 | - | 3 | 50 | 86 | 86 | 15 | 36 | 6 | 1 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | - | - | 9 | 15 | - | - |
| 11 | 13 | 11 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 45 | 145 | - | 3 | 71 | 86 | 86 | 24 | 51 | 6 | 1 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 74 | 199 | 127 | 136 | 13 | 50 | 117 | 18 | 3 | 2 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 323 \\ 231 \end{gathered}$ | 38 165 |  | - | 50 | - |  | 3 | 2 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| 2 | - | 14 | 6 | - | 28 | - | 2 | - | 30 | 50 | 80 | 82 | 15 | 14 | 25 | 16 | - | - |
| - | 1 | - | 18 | 3 | - | - |  | - | - | 35 | ${ }^{23}$ | 15 | ${ }^{21}$ | 12 | 14 | 6 | 1 | - |
| 2 |  | 14 | 24 | 3 | 28 | - | 2 | - | 30 | 85 | 103 | 97 | 36 | 26 | 39 | 23 | 1 | - |
| 114 | 63 | 15 | 32 | 23 | 6 | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114 | 63 | $\overline{15}$ | $\overline{3}$ | $\overline{23}$ | -6 | 33 33 | - | - | 20 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 1 |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | 1 | - | 87 | 114 | 19 | 1 | 2 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 123 | 19 | 19 | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | 5 | 3 | 3 | - |
| - | - | 1 | 1 | 87 | 237 | 38 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - |  | , | 3 | - |
|  |  | - | - | - | 17 |  |  | 48 |  |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | 4 | 31 | 35 | 38 | 41 | -- | - | 2 | 2 | - | - |
|  |  | - |  | - | 17 | ${ }_{25}$ | ${ }_{43}^{-}$ | 52 | 34 | 40 | 38 | 42 | - | - | -2 | ${ }_{3}$ | - | - |
| - | - | - | 15 | 33 | 21 | - |  | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 18 | 55 | 41 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 7 | 6 | - | 2 | 10 | 37 | 25 | 1 |  |
| - | - | - | $\overline{15}$ | $\overline{3}$ | $\overline{21}$ | - | - | 2 | $\overline{14}$ | 7 | -6 | - | - | 10 | $\overline{49}$ | $\overline{43}$ | 61 | 6 47 |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | 16 | 6 | 39 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| 1 | - | - | 12 | 8 | 10 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | - |
| 1 | - | - | $\overline{12}$ | 9 | 26 | - | $\overline{39}$ | 2 | 8 | 10 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
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Table 8. Series B. Catch of humpback whale

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1931/32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | -- |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53 | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 37 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 97 | 10 | - | - |
| 1932/33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 2 | - | 3 | 14 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 3 | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 2 | - | - | 3 | 2 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 25 | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 3 | 11 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 17 | 3 | 2 | - | 14 | 41 | - |
| 1933/34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 25 39 | 40 23 | 13 | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 2 4 | 9 | 3 | 39 | 23 6 | 13 1 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | 1 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | $\underline{6}$ | 1 | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - 17 | 64 | $\overline{69}$ | 13 28 | - |
| T | - | - | 1 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 7 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 17 | 64 | 69 | 28 | - |
| 1934/35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{12}$ | 25 | 4 3 | 2 2 | 5 <br> 3 | 18 | 166 | 244 1 | 18 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - 1 | - | - | 81 | 204 | 10 | $\underline{-}$ | $\stackrel{3}{-}$ | $\begin{array}{r}18 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 234 | 10 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | $\underline{1}$ | - | 81 97 | 204 15 | 10 4 | 4 | - | 2 | 236 5 | 10 | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | - |  | 244 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 58 | 661 | 255 | 18 |
| 1935/36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | 2 | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 40 | 4 | - | - | - | 264 | ${ }_{75}$ | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 108 | 281 | 66 | 27 | 9 | - | - | 199 | 75 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | 10 | 691 | 23 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 9 | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 19 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 86 | - |
| T | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 118 | 985 | 148 | 31 | 9 | - | - | 479 | 86 |  |
| 1936/37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 185 | 2 37 |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 11 | 185 | 37 | 23 |
| Jan. | - | 3 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 3 | 9 | - | 15 | 61 | - | - | - | 11 | 353 | 170 | 40 |
| Feb. | 1 | - | 2 | 12 | - | 10 | 33 | 101 | 96 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 48 | 539 | 2 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | 46 | 20 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 12 | ${ }_{7}^{8}$ | 66 |
| T | 1 | 3 | 7 | 32 | 9 | 14 | 46 | 147 | 133 | 70 | - | - | - | 15 | 599 | 756 | 66 |
| 1937/38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | 1 |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 2 | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 10 | 5 | - | - | 22 | 13 | 21 | 33 |
| Jan. | - | - | 5 | 116 | 2 | - | 3 | 4 | 45 | 25 | 10 | - | - | 32 | 84 | 10 | 22 |
| Feb. | - | 2 | 1 | 47 | 35 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 125 | 8 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 5 | 32 | 71 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | 20 | - | 77 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 21 |
| T | - |  |  | 187 | 37 | 2 |  | 23 | 247 | 44 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 54 | 134 | 85 | 147 |
| 1938/39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 7 | 65 |
| Dec. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 82 | 267 |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 43 | 111 |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 26 | 11 |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 158 |  |
| 1946/47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1948/49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | - | 3 | - | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | - | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 8. Continued. REVIEW of antarctic whaling

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| 10 - 10 | 104 4 1 109 | $\begin{array}{r}29 \\ 1 \\ \hline 30\end{array}$ | 427 328 755 | 91 <br> 27 <br> 118 | - <br> - <br> - | - - | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & - \\ & - \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | $-$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | 66 66 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 262 \\ & 262 \end{aligned}$ |
| 169 | 232 | - | 1 | - | $\overline{70}$ | $\overline{30}$ | - | 37 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169 | 232 | - | 1 | - | 70 | 30 | - | 37 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 159 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 210 | 133 | $\frac{13}{13}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - |  |  | 133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - |  |  | $14$ | $\stackrel{136}{-}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - |  | - |  |  | 14 | 136 |  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 177 \\ & 177 \end{aligned}$ | - | -- | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - |  |
| 439 | - | - | 40 | 154 | - | - | - | ${ }^{273}$ | 56 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - |
| 441 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | 273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 7 7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 566 \\ & 566 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | 13 13 | - |
|  |  | $\overline{19}$ | 4 | - | - |  | - |  | $\overline{21}$ | - 27 | $\overline{29}$ | $\overline{76}$ | $\overline{31}$ | - | ${ }_{37}$ | 18 | $-$ | - |
|  |  | 19 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  | 21 | 27 | 29 |  | 31 | - | 37 | 18 | 4 |  |
| 234 234 | 113 113 | - | - | 81 81 | $\begin{aligned} & 421 \\ & 421 \end{aligned}$ | - | $-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | 3 | 331 | 440 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - |  | - | $-$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | 179 |
|  |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & 31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & 122 \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{=}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 119 \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | $-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74 \\ & 74 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 80 | 2 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 46 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $-$ | $-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 125 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\bar{Z}$ | - | - |
|  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 57 \\ & 57 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131 \\ & 131 \end{aligned}$ | - |  |  | - | 1 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 49 49 | - |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
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| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 89 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1931/32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | - - | - | - | - | 28 | - | - | - |
| Jan. |  | 7 - | 25 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 3 | 17 | - | - | 61 | 1 | - | - |
| Feb. |  | 7 | 60 | 136 | 3 | - | - |  | - - | - - | - | - | - | 226 | 12 | - | - |
| Mar. |  | 7 | - | 175 | - | - | - |  | - - | 1 | 6 | - | - | - | 95 | - | - |
| T | 14 | 4 | 85 | 311 | 3 | - | - |  | - | 31 | 23 | - | - | 315 | 108 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | 4 | 11 | - | 11 | 4 | 11 | 7 | - |
| Jan. | 24 | 43 | 52 | 155 | 32 | 17 | 28 |  | 12 | 18 | 145 | 197 |  | - | 74 | 81 | $t$ |
| Feb. | 113 | 391 | 104 | 18 | 3 | , | 3 |  | - 22 | 2 | 226 | 398 | 2 | 11 | 339 | 267 | - |
| Mar. | 137 | 7 156 | 4 | 3 | 71 | - | - |  | $95 \quad 36$ | - 85 | 257 | 10 | 1 | 68 | 101 |  | - |
| Apr. | - | 7 | - | - | 11 | - | - |  | 4 | 142 | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| T | 274 | 4697 | 160 | 176 | 117 | 18 | 31 |  | 0799 | 9149 | 639 | 605 | 14 | 83 | 525 | 355 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | _ | - - | - | - | _- | - | - |  | - - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - |
| Dec. | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - - | - - | 1 | 38 | 88 | 59 | 50 | 88 | 108 |
| Jan. | - | - - | - | 323 | - | - | - |  | $23 \quad 238$ | - 116 | 77 | 199 | 173 | 144 | 221 | 448 | 5 |
| Feb. | 25 | 5 | 91 | 77 | -- | - | - |  | - 18 | 605 | 48 | - | 7 | 8 | 231 | 465 | 5 |
| Mar. | - | - - | 79 | - | - | - | - |  | 81 | 3169 | 44 | - | - | - | - | 195 | - |
| T | 25 | 55 | 170 | 400 | - | - | - |  | 0442 | 890 | 170 | 237 | 268 | 211 | 504 | , 203 | $15 \%$ |
| 1934/35 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | 1 | 76 | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | 62 | 69 | 46 | 2 | 66 | 248 | 5 |
| Jan. | - | 1 | 42 | 197 | 2 | 15 | - |  | - 199 | 998 | 923 | 238 | 143 | 62 | 144 | 18 | ! |
| Feb. | - | 51 | 159 | 369 | - | 100 | 26 |  | 7281 | 11,625 | 408 | - | - | 13 | 311 | 45 | - |
| Mar. | 208 | 839 | 173 | 233 | 30 | 47 | 109 |  | 7585 | $6 \quad 173$ | 396 | 519 | 22 | 106 | 106 | 13 | - |
| Apr. | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 23 | 22 21 | --- | - | - |  |  |  | - |
| T | 208 | 891 | 375 | 875 | 32 | 162 | 135 |  | 49 1,898 | 1,918 | 1.789 | 826 | 211 | 183 | 627 | 324 | $6{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 1935/36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - - | - - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | 284 | 58 | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | - |
| Jan. | - | - - | - | 336 |  |  | 40 |  | $63 \quad 329$ | 285 | 288 | 259 | - | - | 14 | 215 | 4 |
| Feb. | - | 5 | 41 | 706 | 54 |  | 90 |  | 3383 | 755 | 138 | - | - | - | 123 | 94 | $\cdots$ |
| Mar. | - | 19 | - | 234 | - |  | 116 |  | 19192 | 166 | 60 | - | - | - | 9 | - | - |
| T | - | 24 |  | 1,276 | 57 |  | 246 |  | 15 1,355 | 1,290 | 544 | 259 | - | - | 150 | 310 | $4 i$ |
| 1936/37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - - | 1 69 | 13 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Dec. | $\overline{-}$ | - | 9 | 15 | 1 | - | - |  | 1 | 169 | 13 | - | - | - | 33 | 49 | $5 t$ |
| Jan. |  | $8 \quad 592$ | 376 | 242 | 29 | 170 | 102 |  | $21 \quad 14$ | 187 | 13 | 7 | - | 106 | 193 | 159 | 481 |
| Feb. |  | 61,059 | 816 | 291 | 5 | 365 | 570 |  | 8475 | 895 | - | - | - | - | 39 | 731 | 7 |
| Mar. | 391 | 121 | 140 | - | - | 27 | 21 |  | $11 \quad 24$ | 21 | - | 7 | 3 | 136 | 172 | 58 | - |
| T | 835 | 1,772 | 1,341 | 548 | 35 | 562 | 693 |  | 161.14 | 2252 | 26 | 7 | 3 | 242 | 438 | 997 | 606 |
| 1937/38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. |  |  | 1 | 172 |  | - | - |  | - - | 88 | 13 |  | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Dec. |  |  | 415 | 172 |  | - | - |  | - | $8 \quad 88$ | 13 | - | - | 45 | 4 | 4 |  |
| Jan. |  | - 1.232 | 298 | 893 | 153 | 37 | 301 |  | $2 \quad 44$ | $6 \quad 666$ | 369 | - | 28 | 75 | 181 | 99 |  |
| Feb. |  | 2, 438 | 685 | 533 | 879 | 115 | 280 |  | 731,45 | 4546 | 191 | 107 | 50 |  | 94 | 125 | 527 |
| Mar. | 234 | 4778 | 262 | 610 | - | - | 265 |  | 366 | - 348 | 49 | - | - | - | 23 | 231 |  |
| T | 310 | 4,660 | 1,661 | 2,208 | 1,032 | 152 | 846 |  | 11 2, 168 | 1,648 | 622 | 107 | 78 | 120 | 303 |  | 1,464 |
| 1938/39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $3{ }^{2}$ |
| Dec. | - | 1 | 13 | 8 | - | - | - |  | - 3 | 176 | 34 | - | - | - | 27 | 107 | 597 |
| Jan. | 277 | 7413 | 603 | - | 119 | 334 | 87 |  | $15 \quad 140$ | - 380 | 168 | - | 152 | - | 268 | 125 | $46 \%$ |
| Feb. | 381 | 1805 | 169 | 5 | 656 | 392 | 149 | 271 | $71 \quad 159$ | 9683 | 615 | 134 | 363 | 527 | 147 | 217 | 181 |
| Mar. | 100 | -68 | 100 | 52 | 170 | 40 | 82 |  | 59235 | 5 7 | 226 | 102 | 6 | 210 | 29 |  | $14{ }^{\circ}$ |
| T |  | 81.287 | 885 | 60 | 945 | 766 | 318 |  | 4556 | 51.246 | 1,043 | 236 | 521 | 737 | 471 |  | 1,415 |
| 1945/46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 290 | - 598 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 49 | 7108 | 81 | 40 | - |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  | -1,143 | - | 8 | - | - | - |  | - 549 | 9497 | 75 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. | 50 | - 1,100 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - 295 | 517 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. |  | - 418 | - | - | - | - | - |  | 37 | 34 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| T | 340 | 3, 259 | - | 8 | - | - | - |  | 71.01 | 1,156 | 156 | 40 | - |  |  |  |  |
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REVIEW OF ANTARCTIC WHALING

|  |  | v |  |  |  |  |  | v |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
|  | - | 3 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 9 | 73 | 68 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 | - | - | - | 157 | 58 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{4}$ | - | - | - | ${ }_{-}^{33}$ | $\stackrel{40}{-}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 163 | - | 3 | 25 | 284 | 166 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | 48 | 102 | 3 | 87 | 47 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | - | 60 | 43 | 68 | 278 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{28}$ | - | 3 | ${ }_{193}$ | 341 83 | 211 23 | 49 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 183 | 48 | 165 | 239 | 579 | 559 | 49 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 48 | 89 | 36 | 69 | 30 | 13 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | 95 | - | 255 | 130 | 193 | 282 | 118 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 641 | 181 | 144 | 173 | 10 | 147 | 126 | 303 | 59 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229 | 141 | 120 | 95 |  |  | 41 | 111 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 999 | 417 | 264 | 476 | 229 | 376 | 518 | 562 | 72 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 44 | 71 | 35 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 256 | 340 | 14 | 5 | 27 | - | 497 | 129 | 588 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{32}$ | 290 | 238 | - | - | 36 | 17 | 216 | 375 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 266 577 | -774 | - | $\overline{40}$ | $\overline{45}$ | 48 84 | 514 | 14 359 | - ${ }_{\text {1, }}^{42}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 587 | 157 | 72 | 31 | 81 | 53 | 56 | 19 | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 462 | 473 | 224 | 44 | 37 | 109 | 224 | 396 | 80 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | 288 |
| 129 | 37 | 2 | - | - | - | 82 | 418 | 786 | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | 174 |
| $\overline{1} \overline{178}$ | - 67 | $\stackrel{-}{298}$ | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | 362 | ${ }_{833}$ | 315 1.205 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{-}{462}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 6 | - | - | 15 | 57 | 220 | 743 | 664 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | - | - | - | 98 | 79 | 16 | 48 | 708 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 135 | 6 | - | - | 113 | $\stackrel{-}{136}$ | 243 | 26 817 | 1,381 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 69 | 9 | 2 | 26 | 108 | 187 | 228 | 489 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | 68 | 70 | 104 | 78 | 138 | 844 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | - | ${ }^{3}$ | 248 | - | 30 | 83 | 43 | 395 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | 69 | 12 | 318 | 96 | 242 | 348 | 409 | 1,728 | - | $\div$ | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | - | - | - | - | 14 | 130 | 261 | 681 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 286 | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{63}{-}$ | 1, 112 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 98 |
| 326 | - | - | - | - | 14 | 130 | 324 | 2,600 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 98 |
| 304 | 213 | 32 | - | 130 | 313 | 249 | 420 | 638 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 207 | 180 | 137 | 138 | 155 |  | 163 | 142 | 49 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 511 |  | - | - |  | 65 378 | 25 |  | 315 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 511 | 393 | 169 | 138 | 285 | 378 | 437 | 562 | 1,002 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 236 | 74 | 529 | 242 | 292 | 22 | 7 | 13 | 245 | 850 | 8 | 60 | 223 | 187 | - | - | 148 | 660 |  |
| 161 | 279 | 177 | 200 | 12 | - | - | - | 2 | 52 | 1 | 116 | 831 | 699 | 247 | 224 | 965 | 192 | 125 |
| 397 | 353 | 706 | 442 | 304 | 22 | 7 | 13 | 247 | 902 | 9 | 176 | 1,206 | 886 | 247 | 344 | 1,652 | 854 | 125 |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1956/57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | 690 | 590 | 630 | 47 | 49 | 321 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 223 | 17 | 153 | 157 | 390 | 400 | 643 | 288 | - | - | 30 | 215 | 99 | 27 | 224 | - | - |
| Mar. | 197 | 10 | 26 | 14 | 87 | 516 | 371 | 347 | 138 | 49 | 90 | - | 2 | - | 40 | - | - |
| T | 1,110 | 617 | 809 | 218 | 526 | 1,237 | 1,014 | 635 | 138 | 49 | 130 | 215 | 101 | 27 | 264 | - | - |
| 1957/58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 30 | 24 | 78 | - | 41 | 65 | 145 | 315 | 486 | 316 | 2 | 116 | 431 | 16 | 36 | 29 | - |
| Feb. | 191 | - | - | - | 235 | 405 | 373 | 366 | 322 | 158 | 84 | 282 | 145 | 456 | 69 | 157 | 72 |
| Mar. | - | 170 | 144 | - | 13 | 52 | 109 | 336 | 53 | - | - | - | - | - | 246 | 216 | 59 |
| T | 221 | 194 | 222 | - | 289 | 522 | 627 | 1,017 | 861 | 475 | 86 | 398 | 576 | 472 | 351 | 402 | 131 |
| 1958/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 91 | 37 | - | - | - | 221 | - | - | - | - | 296 | 686 | 793 | 414 | 217 |
| Feb. | 501 | 347 | 123 | 58 | 80 | 87 | 176 | 313 | 333 | 386 | 764 | 98 | 406 | 174 | 96 | 175 | 151 |
| Mar. | 155 | 177 | 35 | 22 | - | - | 124 | 371 | 293 | 647 | 345 | 239 | 300 | - | 2 | 138 | 61 |
| T | 656 | 524 | 249 | 117 | 80 | 87 | 300 | 908 | 626 | 1,033 | 1, 109 | 341 | 1,002 | 860 | 891 | 727 | 429 |
| 1959/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nov. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - |
| Jan. | 342 | 43 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 58 | 93 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 110 | - | 2 |
| Feb. | - | 134 | 62 | - | 3 | 172 | 32 | 73 | 136 | 58 | 455 | 669 | 159 | 335 | 4 | - | 1 |
| Mar. | 194 | - | 6 | 2 | 4 | 159 | 355 | 411 | 285 | 198 | 68 | 135 | 142 | 11 | 4 | - | - |
| Apr. | 239 | - | - | - | 1 | 24 | 24 | 7 | 46 | 46 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 775 | 177 | 69 | 2 | 8 | 355 | 414 | 549 | 560 | 304 | 523 | 804 | 301 | 346 | 118 | - | 3 |
| 1960/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 80 | 12 | - | - | $\overline{-}$ | 2 | 82 | 74 | - | - | 12 | - | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 55 | - | - | - | 18 | 216 | 709 | 212 | 474 | 437 | 101 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 443 | 182 | - | 5 | , | 375 | 332 | 190 | 438 | 140 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 93 | - | - | - | 1 | 49 | 6 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 678 | 194 | - | 5 | 22 | 642 | 1, 129 | 476 | 913 | 577 | 113 | - | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| 1961/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | 74 | 16 |
| Jan. | 5 | - | - | - | - | 106 | 29 | 115 | 33 | 19 | 9 | 84 | - | - | - | - | 31 |
| Feb. | 46 | 94 | - | - | 18 | 289 | 296 | 132 | 35 | 53 | 28 | 56 | 33 | 8 | 1 | - | - |
| Mar. | 46 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 22 | 71 | 3 | 12 | 190 | 275 | 290 | 269 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | $-$ | - | - | - |
| T | 101 | 96 | - | - | 19 | 417 | 396 | 250 | 80 | 262 | 312 | 430 | 316 | 11 | 1 | 74 | 47 |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 75 | 164 | 116 | 2 | - | 7 | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - | 42 | 49 | - | - | - | 64 | 41 | 113 |
| Feb. | 253 | 80 | 78 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 57 | 20 | 24 |
| Mar. | 211 | 77 | 33 | - | - | - | 23 | 30 | 74 | 19 | 10 | - | - | - | 23 | 227 | 23 |
| Apr. | 95 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | -1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 634 | 321 | 227 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 44 | 30 | 74 | 61 | 59 | 40 | - | 12 | 144 | 288 | 60 |
| 1963/64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | 10 | 38 | 25 |
| Jan. | 10 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | 37 | 13 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 15 | 53 | 39 | 16 | 5 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | 21 | 67 | 169 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 26 | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{-}$ |
| T | 10 | 1 | -- | - | 21 | 67 | 169 | 1 | 5 | - | 3 | 26 | 39 | 53 | 86 | 67 | 30 |
| 1964/65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | 5 | 12 | - | - | 6 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 28 | 11 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 28 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 8 | 33 | 30 | 28 | 11 | 6 |

Table 9. Continued. REVIEW OF antarctic whaling

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | 226 | 424 | 109 | 177 | 278 | 318 | 207 |  | 1,108 | 999 | 746 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3491 | 1,982 | 438 | 312 | 51 | 52 | 237 | 407 | 421 | 288 |
| - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 21 | 194 | 514 | 28 |  |  |  | 23 | 118 | 36 |
| - |  |  | - | - | - | - | 23 | 226 | 7942 | 2,285 1 | 1,129 | 618 | 369 | 259 |  | 1,538 1 | . 538 |  |
| - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 265 | 218 | 126 | 122 |  | - | 179 | 65 | 220 | 598 | 329 | 604 | 249 | 204 | 62 | 147 | 538 | 111 | 9 |
| 8 |  | 15 | 4 | 36 | 190 | 28 | 15 | 8 | 165 | 585 | 349 | 289 | 5 | 47 | 185 | 203 | 102 | 18 |
| - | - | 67 | 162 | 103 |  |  | - | - | 13 | 100 | 209 | 48 | 20 | 22 | 39 | 25 | 106 |  |
| 273 | 218 | 208 | 288 | 139 | 190 | 207 | 80 | 228 | 7761 | 1,014 1 | 1. 162 | 586 | 229 | 131 | 371 | 766 | 319 | 27 |
| - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 200 | 177 | 74 | 196 | 147 | 545 | 448 | 19 | 216 | 330 | $-$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 583 | 440 | 139 | 193 | 353 | 213 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 153 | 88 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | - | 5 | 71 | 31 | - | 7 | 112 | 138 | 45 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 787 | 617 | 213 | 389 | 505 | 829 | 484 | 27 | 233 | 595 | 226 | 45 | $-$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 1 | - | 79 | 28 | $\overline{66}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 50 | 55 | 60 | 512 | 945 | 134 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 80 |
| $-$ | - | - | - | 446 | 555 | 559 | 8 | 123 | 121 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | 41 | 112 | 147 | 163 | 31 | 1 | 8 |  | 8 | 17 | 14 | - | 16 | 7 | 267 | 26 |
| 50 | $\overline{56}$ | $\overline{60}$ | 632 | 1,531 | 902 | $\overline{723}$ | $\overline{39}$ | - 124 | 129 | $\overline{25}$ | ${ }_{8}$ | $\overline{17}$ | 14 | - | $\overline{16}$ | - | 267 | 106 |
| 1 |  | - | - | - | 15 | 151 | - | 13 | 13 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- |  |
| 3 | 13 | 28 | 215 | 115 | 395 | 482 | 200 | 16 | 126 | 314 | 76 | 40 | - |  | - | - | 185 | 117 |
| - | - | - | 12 | 100 | 237 | 221 | 197 | 157 | 276 | 485 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 104 | 165 | 246 | 214 | 171 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 1 | - | ${ }^{53}$ |
| - | $\overline{13}$ | 28 | 227 | 215 | 647 | 854 | 413 | 290 |  |  | 290 | 211 | 1 | - | 9 | 1 | ${ }_{185}$ | 170 |
| 60 | 17 | 6 | 23 | 60 | 146 | 131 | 29 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 85 | 39 | 18 | 35 | 156 | 12 | 99 | 49 | 62 | 202 | 39 | 154 | 56 | 141 | 160 | 95 | 123 | 25 | 88 |
|  |  | 35 | 41 | 129 | 79 | $\stackrel{25}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{25}{\square}$ | 46 | 44 | 49 | 55 | - | - | - | 12 | ${ }^{336}$ | ${ }^{270}$ | 98 |
| - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 6 | 184 | 102 | 81 | 21 | 11 | $\stackrel{66}{ }$ | 109 | 224 | 389 | 145 62 |
| 145 | 56 | 59 | 99 | 345 | 237 | 255 | 103 | 122 | 430 | 190 | 290 | 77 | 152 | 226 | 216 | 683 | 691 | 393 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 17 | 42 | 18 | 54 |
| 119 | 86 | 54 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - | $-$ | 5 | 147 | 291 | 60 |
| 15 | 5 | 29 | 53 | 53 | 250 | 28 | 33 | 72 | 89 | 70 | 23 | 6 | 33 | 69 | 37 | 144 | 27 | 50 |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 30 | 91 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 60 | 21 | 10 | 3 | 38 | 22 | 20 | 59 |
| 139 | 96 | 89 | 86 | 144 | 253 | 28 | 33 | 72 | 89 | 70 | $\overline{83}$ | 27 | 43 | 78 | 97 | 355 | 356 | 223 |
| 19 | 3 | 23 | 49 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 18 | 16 | - | 33 | 134 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 8 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 177 | 69 | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 4 | - | 6 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 18 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | 24 | 47 | 54 | 41 | 338 | 69 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 4 | - | 6 |
| 12 | 16 |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | 1 | - | 17 | 24 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | $\bigcirc$ | - | 31 | 29 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{13}^{1}$ | ${ }_{25}$ | - | $\stackrel{-}{110}$ | $\overline{89}$ | $\overline{40}$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1965/66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 11 | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 33 | 19 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 | 4 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 27 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | 11 | 54 | 37 | 46 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 7 | 16 | 14 |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | 37 | 30 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 7 | 11 | 50 | 42 | - | - | - | 8 | 19 | 7 | 4 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 43 | 45 | 61 | 17 | 24 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 19 | 36 | 23 | 16 | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | $\overline{78}$ | - | - | $-$ | - | 27 | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 7 | 32 | 69 | 78 | 66 | 61 | 61 | 28 | 70 | 44 | 34 |
| 1967/68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | - |  |  |  | - | - |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 13 | 10 | 6 | 31 | 24 | 14 | 8 |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 9 | 11 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\frac{\text { Mar. }}{\mathrm{T}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | $\overline{17}$ | $\overline{16}$ | $\overline{23}$ | $\overline{13}$ | $\overline{10}$ | 6 | 31 | 24 | 14 | 8 |
| 1968/69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 2 | - | 5 | 18 | 7 | 1 |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 19 | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 5 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 2 | - | 5 |  | 19 | 5 | 18 | 7 | 1 |
| 1969/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 20 | - | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | 38 | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| 1970/71 Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 12 | - | - |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | 5 | 16 | - | 4 | 16 | 19 | 30 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | 5 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 8 | - | - | 5 | 25 | - | 4 | 16 | 19 | 30 | - | - |

Table 9. Continued.
REVIEW OF ANTARCTIC WHALING

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 12 | 11 | 7 | 36 | 45 | 10 | 24 | 33 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 19 | 32 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | 15 | 14 | 21 | 17 | 4 | 62 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 16 | 22 | 12 | 44 | 73 | 33 | 45 | 73 | 49 | 4 | 62 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 23 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 40 | 12 | 63 | 62 | 8 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 14 | 48 | 42 | 86 | 123 | 27 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 3 | 48 | 6 | 10 | 46 | 56 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 37 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 78 | 94 | 64 | 195 | 241 | 35 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 9 | - | 3 | 72 | 6 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 14 | 46 | 24 | 5 | - | 22 | 53 | 33 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 21 | 13 | 16 | - | 4 | 19 | 56 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 9 | - | 17 | 139 | 43 | 23 | 1 | 26 | 72 | 89 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | - | 23 | 32 | 30 | 5 | 28 | 3 | - |
| - | - | - | - | 5 | - | 12 | 29 | 3 | 15 | 95 | 9 | 75 | 14 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | 10 | 28 | 42 | 5 | - | -- | 8 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 6 | 5 | - | - | -- |
| 2 | 2 | 7 | - | 15 | 28 | 54 | 34 | 3 | 16 | 110 | 13 | 109 | 47 | 40 | 12 | 28 | 3 | - |
| - | 10 | 1 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 24 | 55 | 17 | 37 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 1 | 25 | 54 | - | 25 | 30 | 1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 10 | 1 | 32 | 80 | 71 | 37 | 25 | 30 | 1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 3 | - | - | 27 | 26 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 20 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 3 | 6 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 3 | - | - | 27 | 26 | 10 | 11 | 33 | 6 | 26 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 53 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 13 | 5 |
| 一 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 58 |
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Table 10. Continued.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1956/57 <br> Jan. <br> Feb. <br> Mar. <br> T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | 9 | 11 | 7 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | 2 | 9 | 25 | 69 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | - | - |
|  | 8 | 12 | 36 | - | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | 15 | 23 | 56 | 32 | 70 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | - | - |
| 1957/58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 99 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 |
| Mar. | - | 48 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 48 |
| T | 99 | 48 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 50 |
| 1958/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 2 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 5 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 2 | 25 |
| Mar. | 5 | 33 | 2 | 6 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 12 | 4 | - | 10 | 5 | 17 |
| T | 7 | 33 | 2 | 6 | - | - | 1 | 3 | 2 | 19 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 22 | 7 | 42 |
| 1959/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 35 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 21 | 173 | - | - | 11 |
| Mar. | 152 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 55 | 64 | 7 | 2 | - | - |
| Apr. | 67 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 254 | 18 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 65 | 85 | 180 | 2 | - | 11 |
| 1960/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 126 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 369 | 107 | - | 4 | - | 49 | 8 | 4 | 25 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- |
| Apr. | 94 | - | - | - | - |  | - | 56 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | 592 | 107 | - | 4 | - | 50 | 9 | 60 | 27 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1961/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| Feb. | 16 | - | 2 | - | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 168 | 76 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 17 | 7 | 9 | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 111 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 295 | 77 | 4 | - | - | 19 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 17 | 7 | 18 | 1 | 3 | - | - |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | 11 | 8 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | - | 3 | - |
| Feb. | 101 | 84 | 27 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 23 | 29 | 42 |
| Mar. | 613 | 59 | 1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 12 | 110 | 17 |
| Apr. | 47 | 7 | c | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| T | 761 | 161 | 36 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 | 35 | 142 | 59 |
| 1963/64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 8 |  |
| Jan. | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 15 | - | 25 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Apr. | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 24 | 8 | - |
|  | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 24 | 8 | 25 |
| 1964/65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | 2 | - |  |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Apr. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 2 | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
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Table 10. Continued. REVIEW of antarctic whaling

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 15 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 52 | 31 | - | 2 | 1 | - | 10 | 63 | 46 | 38 |
| - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 29 | 12 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 70 | 3 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 84 | 44 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 82 | 122 | 56 |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 5 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 8 | 1 |
| 5 | - | 8 | 12 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 22 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 4 | - | - | 47 | 200 | 119 | 11 |
| 59 | 59 | 76 | 272 | 22 | - | - | - | - | 66 | 37 | 4 | - | - | 4 | 15 | 83 | 46 | - |
| 66 | 59 | 84 | 284 | 24 | 1 | - | - | 23 | 85 | 49 | 15 | 4 | - | 4 | 62 | 289 | 173 | 12 |
| 2 | 5 | - | 4 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 98 | 45 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 64 | 14 | 46 | 84 | 152 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 33 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 12 | 50 | 40 | - | 23 | 49 | 160 | 19 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | 69 | 14 | 50 | 112 | 208 | 48 | 7 | 137 | 127 | 164 | 19 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 14 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | 225 | 99 | 20 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| - | $\square$ | - | - | 271 | 356 | 104 | 58 | 105 | 83 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | 20 | 38 | 72 | 85 | 31 | 15 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 122 | 31 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | 259 | 415 | 448 | 190 | 89 | 120 | 91 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 122 | 37 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 48 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 56 | 80 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 42 | 25 |
| - | - | - | 7 | 18 | 36 | 38 | 80 | 90 | 233 | 679 | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 14 | 70 | 79 | 162 | 48 | 5 | - | 5 | 5 | - | 8 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| 2 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 25 | 84 | 50 | 105 | 107 | 359 | 840 | 164 | 48 | 5 | - | 5 | 17 | 42 | 33 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | 14 | 36 |
| - | - | 12 | 29 | 96 | 187 | 9 | 18 | 22 | 47 | 14 | 31 | - | - | - | 9 | 67 | 175 | 13 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 110 | 31 | 23 | 52 | - | 45 | 166 | 387 | 379 | 57 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 12 | 37 |
| 6 | 9 | 14 | 35 | 122 | 191 | 21 | 26 | 32 | 161 | 46 | 54 | 52 | 9 | 45 | 175 | 455 | 580 | 143 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 4 | 13 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 33 | 6 |
| 2 | 41 | 22 | 34 | 55 | 122 | 23 | 13 | 52 | 152 | 80 | 9 | - | 3 | 2 | 6 | 201 | 69 | 103 |
| 6 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 143 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 41 | 10 | - | - | 27 | 24 | 52 | 87 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | 56 | 29 | 45 | 198 | 122 | 23 | 13 | 52 | 152 | 80 | 50 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 33 | 232 | 154 | 198 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | 1 | - | - | 255 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | 6 | 12 | 11 | 一 | 370 | 123 | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 12 | - | 15 |
| - | -- | - | - | - | 80 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | 101 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | 6 | 13 | 11 | - | 806 | 123 | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 12 | - | 15 |
| - | - | - | - | 26 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 220 | 191 | 74 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 5 | 40 | 100 | 141 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 5 | 40 | 320 | 358 | 74 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

Table 10. Continued.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | IV |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1.1 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1965/66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | 15 | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 | 21 | 35 | 28 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 31 | 8 | 49 |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 25 | 44 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | 15 | 45 | 25 | 44 | 5 | - | - | - | - | 24 | 52 | 43 | 77 |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | $\overline{7}$ | - | - | - | 3 | 23 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 8 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 13 | - | 3 | 37 | - | - |
| Apr. |  |  | - |  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | 11 | 3 | - |
| T |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 4 | 6 | 20 | 4 | 16 | 56 | 3 | 23 |
| 1967/68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{1}$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | -- | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 2 | 1 | - |
| 1968/69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 2 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 25 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | 1 | - |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 1969/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 8 | - | - |
| 1970/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 15 | - | - |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 1 | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 15 | -- | - |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. Feb |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 4 | -- | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 4 | - | - |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
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Table 10. Continued. Review of antarctic whaling

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | v/ |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - | - | - | - | - | 25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 9 | 22 | 15 | 27 | 87 | 79 | 35 | 31 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 52 | 79 | 35 | 14 | 7 | 36 | 34 | 71 | 98 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | - | 10 | 27 | ${ }^{67}$ | 35 | 202 | 60 | 9 | 22 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 61 | 101 | 50 | 51 | 146 | 182 | 104 | 304 | 158 | 9 | 22 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 20 | 14 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 1 | - | 22 | 50 | 133 | 131 | 53 | 70 | 14 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | 40 | 209 | 17 | 35 | 166 | 118 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{58}$ | $\overline{10}$ | - | $\overline{22}$ | $\overline{90}$ | 342 | $\stackrel{-}{148}$ | 91 | ${ }_{2}-$ | $\stackrel{-}{146}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 35 | 2 | - | 70 |  | 3 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 68 | 129 | 194 | 11 | $\cdots$ | - | 204 | 64 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - |  | 310 | 98 | 10 | 1 | 51 | 33 | 520 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 35 | 2 | 68 | 509 | 294 | 24 | 3 | 51 | 237 | 584 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 40 | - | 1 | - | 21 | 13 | 24 | - |  |
| - | - | - | 3 | - | 26 | 9 | 151 | 7 | 16 | 163 | - | 5 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - |  |
|  | - | - | - | 21 | ${ }_{8}^{63}$ | 15 | 49 | 7 |  | 22 | - | 8 | ${ }_{2}^{2}$ | 1 | 1 | - | - | 2 |
|  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | - | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 47 | 86 | 24 | 12 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | $-$ | $\overline{47}$ | 140 226 | 58 82 | 12 | 7 | 87 87 | 55 55 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{2}{-}$ | - | $\stackrel{-}{11}$ | $\overline{26}$ | $\stackrel{-}{29}$ | $\overline{20}^{2}$ | $\overline{41}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{17}$ | $\overline{26}$ | $\overline{22}$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - | - | 32 | 25 | 32 | 14 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 2 | - | 11 | 26 | 29 | 20 | 41 | 49 | 51 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | 9 9 | - | 7 7 | - |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

Table 11. Series C. Catcher's day's work


Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

Table 11. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARGTIC WHALING

| Area Square | II | V |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| 1961/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | 22 |  |  |  | - | - |  |  | - | 18 | - |  |
| Mar. | 22 |  |  |  | - | 36 | 36 | 36 | - | 18 | - | 18 |
|  | - 4 |  |  |  | - | 36 | 36 | 36 | - | 18 | - | 18 |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  | - | 19 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T |  | - | 19 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. |  | - | 19 | 38 | - | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |
| Feb. Mar |  | - | 20 | - | 20 | - | 60 | - | - |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{T}^{\text {Mar. }}$ |  | - | $\overline{-}$ | $\overline{-}$ | - | 20 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| T |  | - | 39 | 38 | 20 | 20 | 60 | - | - |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.

Table 12. Series C. Catch of blue whale


Table 13. Series C. Catch of humpback whale


Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.

Table 14. Series C. Catch of fin whale


Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II | V |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 1966/67 } \\ \text { Jan. } \\ \text { Feb. } \\ \text { Mar. } \\ \text { T } \end{gathered}$ |  | - - - | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | $\frac{17}{-}$ <br> 17 | $\frac{\text { 21 }}{\text { - }}$ | - 5 5 | $\frac{-}{33}$ | - - - | - - - |  |  |  |

Table 15. Serres C. Catch of sei whale

| Area quare | II | V |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 |
| 352/53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  | - |  | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| T |  |  | - |  | 1 | - |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 357/58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  | - | 1 |  | 14 | 87 | 5 | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mar. |  | - | - | - | 267 | 400 | 19 | - | - |  |  |  |
| T |  | - | 1 | 1 | 281 | 487 | 24 | - | -- |  |  |  |
| 358/59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  | , | 6 |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  | 2 | 60 66 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | - |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| 359/60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  | - | - | - | 15 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mar. |  | - | - | 17 | 4 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| T |  | - | - | 17 | 19 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| 360/61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  | 6 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  | 6 | 5 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| T |  |  |  |  | 12 | 5 | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| 361/62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  | - | - | 15 | 9 | - | 8 | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  | - | - | 15 | 9 | - | 8 | - | - |

ici. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
Vo. 25, 1973.

| Area <br> Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 33 | 55 | 224 | 327 | 185 | 60 | 45 | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 71 | - | 30 | 101 | 538 | 203 | 51 | 107 | 191 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 22 | 79 | - | - | 55 | 501 | 12 | 51 | 102 | 68 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 57 | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | 8 | 312 | 526 | 123 | 189 | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 68 | 95 | - | - | - | - | 11 | 30 | - | - | - | 237 | 116 | 92 | - | - | - |
| T | 125 | 95 | - | - | 22 | 161 | 22 | 101 | 523 | 1.789 | 665 | 713 | 396 | 396 | - | - | - |
| 1963/64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 72 | 178 | 11 | - | 7 | 12 | 156 | 72 | 36 | - | 190 | 95 | 95 | 57 | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 143 | 178 | 11 | -- | 7 | 108 | 60 | - | - | - | 423 | 250 | 114 | 57 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 22 | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | 36 | 289 | 187 | - | -- | - | - |
| Mar. | 96 | 22 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 11 | 114 | 231 | 159 | 250 | 19 | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | $\overline{7}$ | 22 | 55 | 11 | - | 57 | 57 | - | - | - |
| T | 333 | 200 | 11 | - | 7 | 120 | 234 | 72 | 69 | 66 | 774 | 865 | 612 | 364 | 19 | - | - |
| 1964/65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 302 835 | 32 404 | 110 | 193 44 | 71 8 | 126 | 90 | 22 9 | 18 | - | - | - | 10 | 41 | 63 51 | 189 445 | 201 |
| Feb. | 507 | 248 | 30 | - | - | 27 | - | - | - | - | 51 | 51 | 17 | 102 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 104 | 244 | 168 | 74 | 92 | 11 | 81 | 80 | 39 | - | - | - | - | 68 | 34 | 34 | 51 |
| Apr. | - | - | 41 | 27 | 56 | 42 | 44 | 44 | 58 | 9 | 18 | 18 | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 1,748 | 928 | 515 | 338 | 227 | 206 | 215 | 155 | 115 | 9 | 69 | 69 | 27 | 211 | 148 | 668 | 252 |
| 1965/66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 576 | 343 | 234 | 42 | 25 | 40 | 71 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 454 | 975 | 498 | 12 | - | - | 45 | 45 | 117 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Feb. | -- | 22 | 153 | 605 | 661 | 221 | 306 | 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 30 | 20 | - | 20 | 20 | 136 | 230 | 269 | 134 | 132 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 1. | 770 | 05 | - | - | - | - | - | 70 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 1,030 | 1,370 | 905 | 659 | 706 | 281 | 558 | 329 | 456 | 134 | 132 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 90 | 235 | 40 | 10 | - | - | 100 | 284 | 230 | 142 | 56 | 68 | - | 133 | 57 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 77 | 120 | 103 | 193 | 164 | 270 | 98 | 40 | 290 | 264 | 72 | 204 | 24 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 183 | 183 | 263 | 258 | 212 | 20 | 30 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 149 | 289 | 128 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | $\overline{-}$ | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 90 | 235 | 117 | 130 | 103 | 193 | 264 | 737 | 521 | 594 | 893 | 672 | 92 | 367 | 81 | - | - |
| 1967/68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | $\underline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | 167 | 203 | 66 | 74 | 36 | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | 65 | - | - | - | - | 107 | 348 | 23 | 55 | 99 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 25 | 30 | 85 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | 91 | 13 | 35 | 45 | 179 | 583 | 957 | 122 | 184 | 507 | - | - |
| 1968/69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | 159 | 269 | 68 | - | - | - | 34 | 306 | 219 | 321 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 136 | - | - | - | - | 64 | 140 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 102 | 564 | 210 | 36 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | 264 | 96 | 60 | 117 | 30 | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - | - | 159 | 269 | 204 | - | - | 366 | 694 | 640 | 512 | 351 | - | - |
| 1969/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 90 | 183 | 98 | 24 | 25 | 81 | 85 | 187 | - | - | 12 | 84 | 24 | 187 | 153 | - | 12 |
| Jan. | 70 | 60 | 30 | 20 | 20 | 101 | 177 | 156 | 213 | 84 | 305 | 84 | 189 | 17 | 12 | 144 | 72 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | 183 | 235 | 556 | 40 | 50 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 78 | 65 | 128 | 358 | 77 | 81 | - | - | - |
| T | 160 | 243 | 128 | 44 | 45 | 182 | 262 | 343 | 337 | 332 | 680 | 1,082 | 330 | 335 | 165 | 144 | 84 |
| 1970/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | 12 | - | - | - | 24 | 68 | 119 | 68 | 85 | - | - | - | - | 119 | 177 | 353 |
| Jan. | 360 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 136 | 323 | 116 | 333 | 222 | 290 | 156 |
| Feb. | 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 48 | 305 | 389 | 340 | 298 | 36 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 36 | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 154 | 221 | 153 | 51 | 51 | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 480 | 36 | - | - | - | 24 | 68 | 119 | 116 | 561 | 746 | 816 | 465 | 420 | 341 | 467 | 509 |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst., No. 25, 1973.

Table 16. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARCTIC WHALING

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | -- | - | 20 | 71 | 30 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 50 | 110 | 120 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 119 | 311 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 156 | 115 | - | 32 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| - | 10 | 145 | 244 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 207 | 125 | 145 | 276 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 10 |
| 73 | 131 | 93 | 72 | 36 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 18 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 190 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | $-$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | 131 | 93 | 262 | 36 | 18 | 18 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 20 20 | 120 120 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | - | -- | 110 | 22 | 33 | 44 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $-$ | 27 | 90 | 153 | 121 | 11 | 66 | 44 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 82 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | -- | 11 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 18 | 27 | 90 | 153 | 231 | 55 | 181 | 99 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 22 | 198 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 11 | 154 | 22 | 33 | 11 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 33 | 33 | - | 11 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 231 | - | - | $\overline{11}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 33 | 363 | 286 | 66 | 11 | 11 |  | - | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 228 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - |  | 36 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 264 | - | - | 84 | 36 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 204 | 102 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | - | - | - | - | 17 221 | 102 204 | $\overline{51}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 104 | - | - | - | - | 17 | 221 | 51 | 51 | - | 34 | - | - | - | - | - | 122 |
| Jan. | 117 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 102 | 153 | 221 | 140 | 109 | 83 | 168 | 353 | 63 |
| Feb. |  | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 11 | 65 | 215 | 404 | 441 | 201 | 65 | - | 12 | - |
| Mar. | 78 | 78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51 | 148 | 149 | 180 | 137 | 70 | 58 | 17 | 17 |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 299 | 78 | - | - | 3 | 17 | 221 | 62 | 269 | 516 | 808 | 761 | 447 | 218 | 226 | 382 | 202 |

Table 17. Series D. Catches of blue whale and humpback whale (in parentheses)

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |  | 1 | - | (25) | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 41 | 113 | 43 | 142 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 182 | 43 | 2 | - | - | - |
| T | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | 41 | 145 | 44 | 324 | $\begin{array}{r}43 \\ (25) \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2 | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} 1963 / 64 \\ \text { Feb. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | (25) | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 17 | 19 | - | - | - |
| Apr. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 27 | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | 27 | - | 24 | 31 | 19 | - | - | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 1964/65 } \\ \text { Feb. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 7 | 4 | - | 3 | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
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|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| 130 | 227 | - | - | 208 | 39 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 65 | 26 | 143 | 26 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | $\overline{-}$ | 68 | $\overline{68}$ |  | - | 7 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 17 | $\underline{-6}$ | 68 | 34 | 119 | 17 119 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169 | 309 | 94 | 211 | 268 | 158 | 136 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1962/63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 42 | 340 | 172 | 57 | 14 | 21 | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | 68 | - | 17 | 86 | 861 | 137 | 27 | 57 | 52 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 61 | 140 | - | - | 98 | 698 | 7 | 15 | 127 | 17 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 11 | - | - | - | - | 15 | - | 10 | 224 | 308 | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 8 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | 7 | 9 | 11 | - | - | - |
| T | 19 | 2 | - | - | 61 | 223 | - | 46 | 450 | 2.207 | 319 | 112 | 207 | 101 | - | - | - |
| 1963/64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 62 | - | - | - | - | 11 | 205 | 60 | 45 | - | 81 | 9 | 11 | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 129 | 255 | 1 | - | - | 136 | 16 | - | - | - | 151 | 82 | 23 | 6 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | 34 | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - | 9 | 95 | 155 | - | 3 | - | - |
| Mar. | 83 | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 22 | 42 | 59 | 18 | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | 3 | 8 | - | - | - |
| T | 308 | 278 | 1 | - | - | 147 | 221 | 60 | 49 | 12 | 263 | 228 | 251 | 32 | 3 | -- |  |
| 1964/65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 432 | 17 | 105 | 160 | 23 | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 2 | $\overline{-}$ | 35 | 42 |
| Jan. | 184 | 76 | 25 | 24 | - | 147 | 67 | 7 | - | - | - | 10 | 1 | 6 | $\underline{17}$ | 180 | 42 |
| Feb. | 15 | 4 | 1 | - | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 10 | 1 | 6 | - | - | 15 |
| Mar. | 12 | 5 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 111 | 93 | 29 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 12 | - | 15 |
| Apr. | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 4 | 3 | 24 | 3 | 1 | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | $\bar{\square}$ | 215 |  |
| T | 643 | 102 | 133 | 184 | 25 | 172 | 182 | 107 | 57 | 3 | 13 | 10 | 1 | 13 | 29 | 215 | 57 |
| 1965/66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 7 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | 46 | 6 | 5 | - | - | - | 43 | 20 | 60 | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | 1 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 8 | 104 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 2 | - | - | 4 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 137 | 152 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 73 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| T | 53 | 10 | 9 | 15 | 31 | 16 | 200 | 35 | 270 | 152 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1966/67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | 38 | - | - | - | 7 | 5 | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 9 | 8 | 104 | 3 | - | 22 | 2 |  | 21 | - | - | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 171 | 156 | 400 | 9 | 1 |  | 2 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 26 | 92 | 23 | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| T | 4 | 5 | 1 | - | 3 | 9 | 8 | 276 | 192 | 530 | 54 | 3 |  | 30 | 5 | - | - |
| 1967/68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 127 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 63 | 25 | 22 | 17 | 8 | - | - |
| Feb | - | - | - | - | - | 31 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 83 | - | 33 | 28 | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 7 | 5 | 97 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | - | - |  |  |  | 35 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 25 | 205 | 226 | 22 | 50 | 36 | - | - |
| 1968/69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 1 | 14 | 88 | 11 | - | - |
| Jan. |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- | 2 | - | - | - | - | 18 | 41 | - | - | - |
| Feb. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 20 | 194 | 167 | 31 | - | - | - |
| Mar. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 6 | 7 | 35 | 130 | 21 | - | - |
| Apr. |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | - | - | 26 | 202 | 234 | 290 | 32 | - | - |
| 1969/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 2 | 15 | - | - | - | 6 | - | 12 | 5 | - | - |
| Jan. | 2 | - | - | 3 | 2 | 13 | 8 | 67 | 28 | 64 | 207 | 17 | 48 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 66 | 268 | 231 | 246 | 42 | 16 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 49 | 53 | 29 | 50 | 30 | 23 | - | - | - |
| T | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 10 | 82 | 143 | 385 | 467 | 319 | 120 | 54 | 5 | 2 | 1 |

Table 18. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARGTIC WHALING

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -- | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 13 | ${ }^{70}$ | $-5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | -- | - | - | - | ${ }_{-}^{38}$ | -18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 55 | 188 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |
| 54 | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 22 |
| - | 4 | 13 | 31 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\overline{60}$ | $\overline{30}$ | $\overline{13}$ | $\overline{31}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | 3 | - | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | $-$ | - | - | - | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | $\underline{17}$ | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 3 | - | 17 | 1 | 1 | 2 | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r}42 \\ 134 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | $\stackrel{2}{-}$ |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 179 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | $\overline{6}$ | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table 18. Continued

| Area Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | V |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1970/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 9 | 4 | 22 | 28 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| Jan. | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 171 | 85 | 150 | 68 | 15 | - |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51 | 216 | 308 | 175 | 356 | 28 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 135 | 82 | 19 | 5 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Apr. | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | -- | - | - | - | - |
| T | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 1 | 9 | 4 | 73 | 379 | 408 | 365 | 446 | 180 | 73 | 16 | 7 |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 19 | 8 | 6 | - | 12 | - | 二 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Jan. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 52 | 75 | 37 | 25 | 18 | 19 | 14 | 17 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 18 | 153 | 161 | 164 | 47 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 2 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46 | 33 | 71 | 61 | 46 | 52 | 38 | 2 | 1 |
| Apr. | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\square$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| T | 4 | 7 | - | - | - | 2 | 19 | 10 | 85 | 238 | 319 | 262 | 118 | 71 | 57 | 16 | 20 |

Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

Table 18. Continued.
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Table 19. Series D. Catch of sei whale
OMURA
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|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 5 | $\overline{99}$ | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 73 | 63 | 14 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 39 | 89 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 125 | 57 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| - | 15 | 132 | 379 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | -- | - |  |
| 31 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | -- | - | - |
| 156 | 72 | 132 | 380 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| 77 | 68 | 23 | 6 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | -- | - | 104 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - | - | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | 68 | 23 | 110 | - | 3 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 24 24 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | - | -- | - | 288 | 30 | 98 | 52 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | 5 | 212 | 389 | 203 | - | 249 | 162 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 56 | 151 | 1 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | -- | 5 | - 212 | - | - 491 | $\overline{86}$ | -- | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | - | 5 |  | 389 | 491 | 86 | 498 | 215 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 74 | 838 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 42 | 318 | 28 | 113 | 5 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 26 | - | 1 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 62 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | 116 | 1,168 | 95 | 139 | 5 |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 748 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | 7 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 858 | - | - | 7 | - |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 569 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -- | - | - | - | - |  | - 66 | $\overline{23}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - |  | - | 44 4 | 66 21 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 569 | - | - |  |  |  | 87 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Area <br> Square | II |  |  |  |  |  | III |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1971/72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dec. | 77 | - | - | - | - | 24 | 219 | 36 | 57 | - | 15 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | 297 |
| Jan. | 27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 50 | 46 | 10 | 59 | 166 | 78 | 206 | 727 | 94 |
| Feb. | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 32 | 203 | 210 | 31 | - | - | - |
| Mar. | 44 | 47 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 12 | 13 | 11 | 5 | - | 6 | 8 |
| Apr. |  | $\overline{47}$ | - | - | - | 24 | 219 | 36 | 108 | 53 | 69 | 275 | 387 | 114 | 206 | 733 | 399 |
| T | 148 | 47 | - | - | 3 | 24 | 219 | 36 | 108 | 53 | 69 | 275 | 387 | 114 | 206 | 733 | 399 |

Table 19. Continued. REVIEW OF ANTARCTIC WHALING

|  |  | V |  |  |  |  |  | VI |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 0 |
| 301 | 716 | - | - | 528 | 78 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 87 | 24 | 476 | 16 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 2 | 23 | 109 | 22 | 74 | 6 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 321 | 805 | 47 | 585 | 566 | 152 | 34 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



# RESULTS OF WHALE SIGHTING BY GHIYODA MARU NO. 5 IN THE PAGIFIC SECTOR OF THE ANTARCTIC AND TASMAN SEA IN THE 1966/67 SEASON 

KEIJI NASU<br>Far Seas Fisheries Research Laboratory, Shimizu


#### Abstract

This report is the results on a survey of the whale stock and whaling grounds in the Southern Ocean by Chiyoda Maru No. 5 in co-operation by three Japanese Whaling Companies. The survey consisted of three main items, whale observation by eye, meteorological and oceanographical observation, and whale marking. During the survey, 5 blue whales, 22 fin whales, 4 humpback whales, 1,137 sei whales, and 481 sperm whales making a total of 1,469 larger whales were sighted.

According to discussion on the distribution of sei whale, the distribution density was highest in the Tasman Sea, 101 encounters and 864 count recorded, of which an encounter and a number of whale sighted per 100 sea miles were 0.47 and 28.61, respectively.

The distribution density of sperm whale was highest to the east of New Zealand, and the north of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ in latitude. That is, an encounter and a number of whale per 100 sea miles were 0.99 and 8.61 , respectively.


## INTRODUCTION

A survey of the larger whale stock and whaling grounds in the south of Australia, the Tasman Sea, and the Antarctic and Subantarctic Pacific area was carried out by Chiyoda Maru No. 5 in co-operation by three firms, Nippon Suisan, Taiyo Gyogyo, Kyokuyo Co. Ltd.

The survey ship left Osaka on 19th November 1966 and the survey commenced after leaving Fremantle on 7th December. After completion of the first half of the survey the ship called in Wellington for supplementary supplies and to pick up Dr. Gaskin. The survey was carried out from 7th December to 21st March with a duration of 103 days.

The survey carried out under the following headings:

1. Whale observation and count and other by eye.
2. Meteorological observations.
3. Oceanographic observation by bathythermograph
4. Whale marking.

The survey carried out mostly north of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ lat. in Antarctic Whaling Area IV $\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right.$ to $\left.130^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right), \mathrm{V}\left(130^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\right.$ to $\left.170^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$, and $\mathrm{VI}\left(170^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right.$ to $\left.120^{\circ} \mathrm{W}\right)$. The survey east of $170^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude however, was carried out mainly in areas between $52^{\circ}-62^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$

## latitudes.

Survey course.
Fig. 1 shows the number of each species of larger whale sighted. The survey area, based on meteorological and oceanographic conditions was divided into areas A (west of $150^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$, south of Australia), B (Tasman Sea), C (north of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ east of New Zealand). and D (south of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ east of New Zealand) to facilitate processing of the data.


Fig. 1-a. Sightings of the larger whales by Chiyoda Maru No. 5 in 1966/67. Number without the underline shows the sei whale. Single underline shows the fin whales. Double underline shows the humpback whales.


Fig. 1-b. Sightings of the larger whales by Chiyoda Maru No. 5 in 1966/67. Number without the underline shows the sperm whales. Underline shows the blue whales.

As in Fig. 1, on leaving Fremantle, at the initiation of the survey on 7th December from $34^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 113^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ the survey commenced southeast to the southernmost point of $52^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S} 128^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, then changed to a northeasterly course. On 20th December the survey was carried out in the vicinity of Tasmania I.. After sailing souththe ship again sailed from approximately $158^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ the ship followed the $41^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude line.

From 30-31st December the ship made an emergency call in to Tasmania Bay on the South Island of New Zealand for emergency repairs. After completion of repairs the ship sailed west along the $42^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude and the area surveyed to approximately $160^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. The survey then carried out a large zigzag course towards the south to Auckland Island. From Auckland Island the ship sailed north almost parallel to the South Island of New Zealand.

After confirmation of the Antarctic Convergence the ship sailed west along $50^{\circ}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude, making the point $60^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ the southernmost point of this survey. From $170^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ longitude by sailing along $47^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude the ship approached the South Island of New Zealand. The ship sailed north along the east coast of this island and at 18:00 of 21st March brought the survey to a close.

## SURVEY METHOD AND REGORDS

Observation by eye started before sunrise when it became sufficiently light to sight the whales and continued until darkness made it impossible. The observation post was built on the upper bridge and each watch team consisted always of 4-5 persons. Each of the watchmen were experienced and good observers.

The watch was cancelled as a rule when the Beaufort scale of wind was above 10 and visibility was less than 0.5 miles. Moreover in areas where the distributin potential was considered low from the data obtained on the survey during the day, navigation continued for the night in an endeavour to increase the survey area. For the period of the survey the effective survey time was approximately 1,356 hours (south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ lat. approximately 1,315 hours).

Similarly the effective survey distance was $13,055 \mathrm{mls}$ (south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ lat. $12,646 \mathrm{mls}$ ). The distance from the upper bridge to the water line of the Chiyoda Maru No. 5 was approximately 9 meters and the estimated distance to the horizon from the formula

$$
R=2.07 \sqrt{ } \bar{H}
$$

was calculated to be 6.2 miles. Where R (sea mile) is the effective distance, and H (meter) is the height from the water line to the observer.

Using the six miles effective visible distance of Mackintosh and Brown (1956) the average visible field during the survey was 6.6 sea miles and the total area covered was calculated to approximately 162,000 square sea miles.

In observation telescopes were used and the officer on duty and the quatermaster on the bridge in addition to navigation duties kept lookout. So in reality 6-7 persons were engaged in sighting whales.
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In determining the species of the whale the ship was brought as close to the whale as to enable the confirmation of the species.

In this survey, in area such as the Tasman Sea (Area B) where very high distribution density of whales was found, to avoid overestimation of numbers in group of $20-30$ whales and above, the count was made so as to count down the number in the group. Moreover because of the limited survey time allotted for this area it cannot be said that a sufficient survey was carried out. It is therefore to take these points into account in the analysis of the whale count in this area.

The estimation of the distance to sighted whale was carried out in almost every instance. The estimation of distances was done by experienced observers and the results of tests made in estimating distance to icebergs were of sufficient accuracy to be used as data.

Observations in this survey were made not only on larger species of whales but also on smaller species of whales, fishes, sea birds and discoloured water.

## DISGUSSION ON THE WHALE SIGHTING

Table 1 shows the number of different species of larger whales spotted in various areas, the survey distance in sea miles, the wind force (Beaufort scale) and average visibility. The survey distance excludes the distance covered by runs made during nights and when bad weather made sighting impossible.

The number of whales sighted according to species were blue whale 5, fin whale 22, humpback whale 4, sei whale 1,137 (includes 4 animals sighted north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ ) and sperm whale 481 making a total of 1,649 whales sighted. As stated previously the estimated count of sei whales especially in Area B was count down. Moreover because of lack of data on the quantitative distribution of blue whale, fin whale and humpback whale were not taken into consideration and reports made on sei and sperm whales.

As the survey distance covered $100-150 \mathrm{mls} /$ day in establishing a criterion for expressing distribution density the number of whales sighted and the numbers of encounters made per 100 miles was made.

## Sei Whale

The number of encounters made was highest in Area B, 101 encounters and 864 whales count recorded. These figures were respectively $49.8 \%$ and $75.9 \%$ of the totals. In this area encounters were made at the rate of 3.29 encounters $/ 100 \mathrm{mls}$. which exceeds the rate of encounter in Area D where 59 encounters were made at the rate of 2.26 encounters $/ 100 \mathrm{mls}$. The distribution density per 100 mls . in Area D averaged 5.08 and was only $18 \%$ of that of Area B ( 28.61 whales).

As Table 1 obviates the distribution density and number of sei whales in Area B as compared to those of other areas are extremely large. In this survey the number of encounters and the distribution density showed minimum values in Area 12 encounters 0.47 encounters 100 mls . ( 22 whales, 0.87 whales $/ 100 \mathrm{mls}$.). The fact that the area was surveyed at the start of the whaling season (7th Dec.-22nd Dec.)

TABLE 1-a. NUMBER OF LARGER WHALES SIGHTED AND ENCOUNTER BY SPECIES AND AREA

| Term |  | Area | Hour on watch (h, m) | Dist. on watch | Wind force | Vis. | Whales species |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B |  |  |  |  | F | S | H | Sp. |  |
|  | XII '66 <br> XII '66 |  | A | 23511 | 2,540 | 5.3 | 6.7 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 23, \\ & 13, \end{aligned}$ |  | B | 30911 | 3,068 | 4.7 | 7.2 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & (6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 864 \\ (101) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & (5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 913 \\ (115) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14, \\ & 21, \end{aligned}$ | III '67* | C | 51915 | 4,834 | 5.1 | 6.4 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118 \\ & (51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 416 \\ & (48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 539 \\ (102) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 13, \\ 9, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { II '67 } \\ \text { III } 67 \end{array}$ | D | 29200 | 2,613 | 5.9 | 6.1 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133 \\ & (59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143 \\ & (61) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total |  | 1,355 37 | 13,055 | 5.1 | 6.6 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & (7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,137 \\ (223) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 481 \\ & (60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,649 \\ (295) \end{gathered}$ |

* excepted the following term: 13 , II ${ }^{6} 67$ to 9 , III ' 67 .

TABLE 1-b. NUMBER OF SEI AND SPERM WHALES SIGHTED, AND FREQUENCY OF ENCOUNTER PER 100 SEA MILES

| Term | Area | Number of whales $/ 100^{\prime}$ |  | Frequency of encounter/ $100^{\prime}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sei | Sperm | Sei | Sperm |
| 2, XII ' ${ }^{\text {2, XII '66 }}$ | A | 0.87 | 1.26 | 0.47 | 0.20 |
| $\begin{array}{lr} 23, & \text { XII } \\ 13, & \text { I } 66 \\ & 67 \end{array}$ | B | 28.61 | 0.75 | 3.29 | 0.16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14, \quad \text { I '67* } \\ & 21, \end{aligned} \text { III }{ }^{\prime} 67$ | C | 2.44 | 8.61 | 1.06 | 0.99 |
| $\begin{array}{rr} 13, & \text { II }{ }^{\prime} 67 \\ 9, & \text { III } \end{array}$ | D | 5.08 | 0.38 | 2.26 | 0.08 |
| Total |  | 8.71 | 3.68 | 1.71 | 0.46 |

and the fact that the survey was carried out simply should be taken into consideration.

Meteorological conditions (wind, visibility etc.) can be taken up as being important factors in sighting of whales. As can be seen from Table 1, this survey in Area B was carried out under favourable meteorological conditions. However in relation to number and distribution density even by taking into account the favourable meteorological condition the figures of Area B, compared to those of other are as can be thought of as reflection conditions close to actual ones.

In the latter half of Area C (10th Mar.-21st Mar.) and Area D it is necessary to take into consideration the unfavourable sighting brought about by bad weather. With the results obtained in the survey of the latter half of Area C and Area D, it is therefore necessary to take into consideration the lowering of sighting capacity caused by unfavourable meteorological conditions.
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Table 2-a) classifies the number of larger whales according to the different area of sighting and the number of whales according to the distance from the ship at sighting. Table $2-b$ ) classifies the sei whale in the same way. Table 3 classifies the number of sei whale according to the distance from the ship when first sighted.

Mackintosh and Brown (1956) estimated the number of whales sighted within one mile of the ship as $80-90 \%$ of the acutal number. However this value should vary according to the observation structure, that is, the number of persons on watch and their sighting capacity etc. For the instance with the Chiyoda Maru No. 5 the heighest frequency of sighting to be at the $2-3$ mile distance. As a rule $80-90 \%$ of the actual figures were considered sighted at this range. So the 384 whales sighted with the 3-4 miles range corresponds to $80 \%$ of the 480 whales and $90 \%$ of 427 whales. From this by homonizing the distribution density within a six miles radius

## TABLE 2-a. ESTIMATES OF THE DISTANCES AT WHICH SIGHTINGS WERE MADE OF THE LARGER WHALE SPEGIES

| Area | Distance (sea miles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1>$ | 1 | 1-2 | 2 | 2-3 | 3 | 3-4 | 4 | 4-5 | 5 | 5-6 | 6 | 6-7 | 7 |  |
| A | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| B | 3 | 4 | 6 | 24 | 6 | 29 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 103 |
| C | 5 | 14 | 4 | 27 | 10 | 24 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| D | 3 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 7. | 9 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| Tatal | 14 | 26 | 12 | 77 | 24 | 65 | 5 | 29 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 274 |

TABLE 2-b. ESTIMATES OF THE DISTANCES AT WHICH SIGHTINGS
WERE MADE OF THE SEI WHALE

| Area | $\overbrace{\text { Distance (sea miles) }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overparen{1>}$ | 1 | 1-2 | 2 | 2-3 | 3 | 3-4 | 4 | 4-5 | 5 | 5-6 | 6 | 6-7 | 7 |  |
| A | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| B | 3 | 4 | 4 | 20 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 88 |
| C | 3 | 7 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| D | 3 | 6 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Total | 11 | 18 | 7 | 60 | 20 | 50 | 1 | 23 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 207 |

TABLE 3. NUMBER OF SEI WHALES SIGHTED BY ESTIMATES DISTANCE FROM THE BOAT TO WHALE

| Area | Distance (sea miles) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $1>$ | 1 | $1-2$ | 2 | $2-3$ | 3 | $3-4$ | 4 | $4-5$ | 5 | $5-6$ | 6 |  | Total |
| A | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 22 |  |
| B | 4 | 6 | 9 | 89 | 17 | 282 | 0 | 272 | 0 | 151 | 16 | 15 | 861 |  |
| C | 3 | 9 | 1 | 53 | 11 | 26 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 118 |  |
| D | 3 | 8 | 2 | 32 | 25 | 18 | 3 | 33 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 133 |  |
| Total | 12 | 24 | 12 | 180 | 56 | 328 | 3 | 313 | 3 | 172 | 16 | 15 | $1,134^{*}$ |  |

* excluding the whales which were not estimated the distance.
with ship as center the total number of whales within the survey range can be estimated (enlarged on later).

Furthermore Area D and the latter half of Area C the survey was carried out under extremely disadvantageous meteorological conditions. As a result, in using the data obtained in this survey to estimated the whale population in area it is necessary to take into consideration these conditions.

A comparison of the data by Chiyoda Maru No. 5 with data (nos. of sei whales sighted/100 mls.) taken by survey ships of Japanese whaling expeditions during the same year is shown in the Table 4.

As can be seen from this data the distribution density is highest in the Tasman Sea where in the past no large scale whaling was carried out.

TABLE 4. NUMBER OF SEI WHALES SIGHTED PER 100 SEA MILES TAKEN BY SURVEY SHIPS OF JAPANESE WHALING EXPEDITIONS AND CHIYODA MARU NO. 5 IN 1966/67

|  | Antarctic Whaling Area |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | II W | II E | III | IV | V | VI |  |
| Survey ship of Whaling Exp. | 4.32 | 0.45 | 2.46 | 5.71 | 4.41 | - | - | 5.57 |
| Chiyoda Maru No. 5 | - | - | - | - | 1.40 | 12.21 | 3.54 | 8.68 |

Following, as already stated by Mackintosh and Brown (1956)

$$
\mathrm{N}=\frac{\mathrm{n} \mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{aP}}
$$

$\begin{array}{rlrl}\text { where } \mathrm{N} & =\text { estimated number of whales } & & \mathrm{n}=\text { nos. of whales sighted } \\ \mathrm{a} & =\text { area survey } & \mathrm{P}=\text { sighting ratio }\end{array}$
Accordingly, for the whole survey course because the average visual field was 6.3 miles the effective visual field was considered to be 6.0 mls . From the number of whales within the survey area south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude was estimated.

According to the data of the Chiyoda Maru No. 5 the sighting ratio ( P ) was calculated to be $39.5 \%$ (presupposing that $80 \%$ sighted within $2-3 \mathrm{mls}$. range) and $44.4 \%$ (presupposing $90 \%$ sighted within $2-3$ miles range).

After that, Doi (1971) improved the sighting theory on whale and calculated the real rate of sighting P by species, the values of which were 0.112-0.221.

## Sperm whale

The number of whales sighted and the number of encounters made were respectively 418 whales, 60 encounters and in comparison to sei whale their numbers are few.

In Area D south of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude the numbers are fewest, the number of encounters made per 100 miles was 0.08 encounters and the number of whales per 100 miles was 0.38 whales. In Area C the maximum value of 48 encounters ( 0.99
encounters $/ \mathrm{mls}$.) was obtained. These values were respectively $86.5 \%, 80.0 \%$ of the totals.

The fact that 10 cases of whales swimming alone and 4 cases groups of more than 40 whales were sighted, was characteristic of this area. Special mention is made of the sighting of a group of about 50 whales which contained a few baby of estimated length of 4 meters on 17 March at position $44^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 170^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$.

## MARKING SURVEY

Marking survey was carried out in Areas C and D. The results were as follows.

| Species | H | HP | PH | R | M | Total | Rate of hit | Effective marked whale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sei | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 19 | 26.3\% | 4 |
| Sperm | 25 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 22 | 51 | 49.0 | 24 |
| Total | 30 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 32 | 70 | 42.9 | 28 |

## SUMMARY

1) The results on a survey of the whale stock and whaling ground by Chiyoda Maru No. 5 in co-operation by three firms, Nihon Suisan, Taiyo Gyogyo, and Kyokuyo Co. Ltd. were described.
2) The survey area was covered from the south of Australia to the Subantarctic and Antarctic Pacific area, and was calculated to approximately 162,000 square sea miles.
3) The number of larger whale sighted were 5 blue whales, 22 fin whales, 4 humpback whales, 1,137 sei whales (include 4 animals sighted to the north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ latitude) and 481 sperm whales making a total of 1,649 whales sighted.
4) A discussion on the distribution density which showed the number of whale sighted per 100 miles was made for sei and sperm whale.

The distribution density of sei and sperm whale according to area as follows:

5) The numbers of effective marked whale were 4 sei whales and 24 sperm whales, respectively.
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APPENDIX NUMBERS OF LARGER WHALES AND SIGHTINGS

| Date | Noon Position |  | Hours on watch (h, m) |  | Dist. on watch (sea miles) | Wind force | Visibility <br> (sea miles) | Species of whales |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Blue | Fin |  |  |  | Sei | Hump. | Sperm | Total |
| AREA A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7, Dec. '67 | $34^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $113^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |  |  | 08 | 35 | 91 | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 10(2) | 10(2) |
| 8 | $36^{\circ} 44^{\prime}$ S | $114^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 23 | 155 | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $39^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $116^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 16 | 25 | 163 | 5 | 8 |  |  | 4(2) |  |  | 4(2) |
| 10 | $41^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $117.34^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 16 | 13 | 157 | 5 | 8 |  |  | 3(1) |  |  | 3(1) |
| 11 | $43^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ S | $119^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 17 | 25 | 175 | 5 | 8 |  |  | 5(3) |  |  | 5(3) |
| 12 | $46^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $122^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 40 | 163 | 6 | 8 |  |  | 4(2) |  |  | 4(2) |
| 13 | $48^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$ S | $124^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 16 | 00 | 165 | 7 | 7 |  |  | 2(2) |  |  | 2(2) |
| 14 | $52^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $127^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 40 | 173 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | $51^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $130^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 16 | 30 | 182 | 4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $49^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $133^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 35 | 175 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $46^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $136{ }^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 14 | 50 | 148 | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 10(1) | 10(1) |
| 18 | $42^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $139{ }^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 05 | 168 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 10(1) | 10(1) |
| 19 | $41^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $142^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 14 | 50 | 157 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | $42^{\circ} 29^{\prime}$ S | $143^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 14 | 50 | 139 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | $44^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $147^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 15 | 175 | 8 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | $47^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $148^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 30 | 154 | 5 | 8 |  |  | 4(2) |  | 2(1) | 6(3) |
| Total |  |  | 235 | 11 | 2540 |  |  |  |  | 22(12) |  | 32(5) | 54(17) |
| AREA B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | $47^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $150^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 55 | 161 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | $45^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $152^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 30 | 160 | 0 | 8 |  |  | 29(7) |  |  | 29(7) |
| 25 | $44^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $151^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 20 | 76 | 7 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | $43^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $154^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 14 | 45 | 160 | 7 | 8 |  | 2(1) |  |  |  | 2(1) |
| 27 | $41^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $158^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 15 | 05 | 178 | 7 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 28 | $40^{\circ} 56^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $163^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 14 | 50 | 151 | 7 | 8 |  |  | 85( 5) |  |  | 85(5) |
| 29 | $40^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $168^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | 14 | 55 | 158 | 3 | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 30 | Tasma | Bay | 05 | 30 | 58 | - | - |  |  | 6(3) |  |  | 6(3) |
| 31 | Tasma | Bay | 03 | 20 | 37 | - | - |  |  | 21 2) |  |  | 910 |



Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.



| Noon | Position |
| :---: | :---: |
| $42^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $163^{\circ}$ |
| $43^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $160^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $45^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $157^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $47^{\circ} 23^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $158^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 47034 's | 15854 ${ }^{\text {W }}$ |
| $47^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $163^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $46^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 16 |
| $44^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 17 |
| $42^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $175^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $41^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $179^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |
| $41^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $174{ }^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |
| $43^{\circ} 53{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $176{ }^{\circ} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |
| $47^{\circ} 19{ }^{\text {S }}$ | $179^{\circ} 2^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |
| $49^{\circ} 23{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $176^{\circ} 3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $51^{\circ} 23{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 17056' |
| $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $0^{\prime}$ W |
| $53^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $158^{\circ} 30^{\prime}$ |
| $53^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $39^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $54{ }^{\circ} 56{ }^{\prime}$ S | 150 |
| 5345'S | $144^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $53^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $140^{\circ}$ |
| $54^{\circ} 53$ ' S | $140^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| 55054' S | $141^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $57^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $142^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| $58^{\circ} 43$ ' S | $145^{\circ} 2$ |
| $9^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | 147 |



|  |  | $\underset{\sim}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ㅇ } \\ & \stackrel{0}{6} \\ & \stackrel{9}{9} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { N}}{\text { N}}$ | ↔ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ล |  | ล | $\approx$ | ↔ล | ล๐ |
|  | 응 |  | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | 응 | ¢ へّ | ¢ |




# FOOD AND FEEDING OF SEI WHALE CAUGHT IN THE WATERS SOUTH OF $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ IN THE NORTH PACIFIC 

AKITO KAWAMURA<br>Whales Research Institute, Tokyo


#### Abstract

Results on the food and feeding conditions of sei whales caught by Japanese whaling fleets in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ of the North Pacific during the 1972 season were preliminary reported. In the region south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ the whaling ground was mainly found only in the limited sea area: in the environs of the southern tip of the Emperor Seamount Chain, although the fleets were in search of whaling ground between $165^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $165^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. The feeding conditions of sei whales in those regions in terms of feeding percentages did not differ so much when compared with those have been found in the northern regions (1967-1971), i.e., $34.4 \%$ in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ while $55.1 \%$ in $40^{\circ}-50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ zone, and $50.2 \%$ between $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and Aleutian Islands. Most of sei whales in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ fed exclusively on a copepod, Calanus pacificus along with several species of euphausiids and fishes. In view of the frequency of occurrence, Calanus pacificus population being consisted of copepodites IV and V, young fishes of Japanese mackerel, Scomber japonicus and Japanese sardine, Sardinops melanosticta were the most important foodstuff. By examining prey organisms of these food fishes, it was found that most of them fed solely on C. pacificus or on a mixture of $C$. pacificus with C. plumchrus or with Eucalanus bungii bungii. The whaling ground found in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ can be interpreted hydrobiologically as having been formed primarily by the rich mass occurrence of $C$. pacificus by the aid of intrusion of migrating populations of young fishes which were presumably in search of foods. In this connection it was strongly suggested that two heterotypical communities of food organisms are distinguished (see Figs. 4 and 6), and the whaling ground is divided by such ecological discontinuity which runs along the Emperor Seamount Chain. This ecological discontinuity would possibly be correlated hydrologically with the East Kamchatka Current Extension on which Uda (1971) has pointed out its importance in relation to the formation of fishing ground in the region.


## INTRODUCTION

Japanese pelagic whaling in the North Pacific Ocean have been restricted its operation area with the lines of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ or $45^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitudes as its southern most limit by the measures of Japanese Government in addition to the regulation by the IWC's arrangements on the whole. It was, however, decided to lift the ban to some extent in 1972 and by this decision the sea region down to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ was newly opened chiefly
in the zone of central Pacific between $159^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $150^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ (see Ohsumi, 1973).
Since the beginning of Japanese whaling operation in the North Pacific in 1952 the main whaling grounds have been restricted almost in the northern North Pacific and Bering Sea (Nasu, 1966), and consequently our knowledge on the food of baleen whales was also biassed (Nemoto, 1957, 1959). Under these circumstances little is known on the food and feeding conditions of baleen whales in the southern sea regions above mentioned. The whaling ground south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ may be one of the least studied regions in the North Pacific possibly due to its geographical position, the south of the Subarctic front. By knowing the opening of new whaling region, some biological investigations including a collection of foodstuff of whales were established. During the whaling season of 1972 Japanese fleets entered into those newly opened region including $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ chiefly in July and caught 884 sei, 5 bryde's and 8 fin whales from which I got some amount of food samples. The results of examination and analysis on the food and feeding conditions of sei whales are reported.

## MATERIALS

Among many biological examinations on each whale carcases, kind of food organisms, an approximate amount and freshness of foodstuff in the first stomach were examined by eyes on the ship's deck. A total of 34 food samples was also collected from the whales caught in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and they were preserved in formalin. Methods of observation and description on food organisms and feeding conditions in the field were not different from those having been undertaken in the Antarctic (see Kawamura, 1970). Since many food fishes occurred were consisted of the well known species in the North Pacific region, they were remarked by the common name in addition to the records by ordinary classification of "Fish" by the observers. Euphausiids are usually expressed as "Eu" by the three different sizes among which Sergestes similis, a macruran shrimp, is also included by confusion. They are, however, reasonably distinguished by the size record of "Large" since there are no such euphausiids as equivalent to this category in the North Pacific region (Omori et al, 1972). Although the number of collected samples was slightly few against the number of whales, it was possible to estimate the kind of food organisms of each animals by refering both to the results of identification on collected samples and catch records.

## WHALING GROUND SOUTH OF $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ IN THE NORTH PACIFIC OCEAN

Many whaling grounds which have been developed and exploited in the world are located chiefly, if not entirely, in the higher latitudes of the seas most of which show a distinct fertility in standing crops of zooplankters and other organisms during warm season. These whaling grounds are undoubtedly some peculiar regions formed through productive food chains such they usually called as feeding ground. The northern North Pacific and Bering Sea where many whalers have been in chase of whales do not differ from the others in its basal formation. From this point of view pelagic whaling operations by the Japanese fleets since 1952 in the northern North

Pacific between $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ must be quite natural as well as the case of many other fisheries in that region. Much accumulations of whales are usually expected in these seas during warm season.

Baleen whales, however, are distinct migrator that move between warm and cold seas by seasons with an approximately a year cycle, and such whale movements as a unit of whole population do not always proceeded continuously with any completion by season since they are considered to show somewhat variable stream like movements as its situation has been suggestively demonstrated by Mackintosh and Brown (1956), and Mackintosh (1965). It may possible that when the whales migrating in the head of a population will have already entered into the feeding ground of higher latitudes while the others are still far outside from it. Although many of the rest may enter soon or later into those food rich ground, this discrepancy in time and space makes it difficult to know or predict exact movements of whales at their feeding ground. The whaling operation in 1972 can be regarded to have undertaken under these circumstances in general.

On the other hand, it is well known fact that the Subarctic boundary which lies roughly along $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude divides the northern North Pacific into two regions of distinctly different waters both in physical and biological characters (e.g. Zenkevitch, 1963). Comparing latitudinal standing stocks of zooplankton in that regions, Odate (1966) and Vinogradov (1968) demonstrated its difference in biological characters between boreal and northern temperate waters which prevail in both regions: the faunistic abundance in the south of the subarctic boundary is hardly comparable to that of boreal regions. In this connection the sea region lies in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}-41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the North Pacific probably deviate from those general idea as a feeding ground of higher latitudes. However, the newly opened whaling ground where actually operated and caught the whales were found only in the west side of $180^{\circ}$ longitude, and can not be considered analogous with any other areas south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ since a distinct mixed waters of Kuroshio and Okhotsk Sea origin which are supposedly more fertile prevail in the region west of $180^{\circ}$ (Dodimead et al, 1963). In such a whaling ground, whether or not any amount or kind of food organisms which must be principally gregarious organisms along with considerable large population size will be found, are undoubtedly much interesting in connection with both the formation of feeding ground of whales and general zoogeography.

## CATCH DISTRIBUTION OF WHALES

A rough sketch of whaling region operated by three Japanese fleets in the 1972 season is demonstrated in Fig. 1. The shaded areas in the figure indicate principal whaling ground. As it is shown in the figure Japanese fleets slightly entered into Bering Sea but caught a little. The main whaling ground was found in two areas, i.e., the whaling ground in the east longitudes and that in the west longitudes. Zonal formation of main whaling ground like this pattern seems characteristic in recent operations, and considerable heavy catch in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in 1972 might be rather the result beyond expectation. In spite of being opened the whaling
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region southerly down to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ the whaling ground actually operated in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ was diminutive in July where the southern most position was $34^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the zone between $170^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $180^{\circ}$. No notable catch was recorded in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ region of west longitudes.


Fig. 1. The North Pacific whaling ground operated by three Japanese fleets in the 1972 season.

TABLE 1. CATCH DISTRIBUTION OF SEI, BRYDE'S AND FIN WHALES CAUGHT IN THE WATERS SOUTH OF $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ LATITUDE IN THE NORTH PACIFIC, 1972.
NUMBER OF ANIMALS: SEI/BRYDE'S/FIN

| Longitude | Latitude (N) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| $165^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-170^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ |  |  | 8/-1- | 41/2/- | 36/-1- | 85/-1- | 14/-1- |
| $171^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-179^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | $31 /-/ 1$ | 174/2/2 | 74/1/- | 120/-/1 | 110/-1- | 108/-12 | $31 /-/ 1$ |
| $172^{\circ} \mathrm{W}-177^{\circ} \mathrm{W} *$ |  |  |  |  |  | 21/-/1 | 20/-1- |
| $168^{\circ} \mathrm{W}-169^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11/-/- |
| Total | 31/-/1 | 174/2/2 | 82/1/- | 161/2/1 | 146/-1- | 214/-13 | 76/-/1 |

* No catch in $176^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ zone.

In the region of south of $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ sei, bryde's and fin whales were caught, and the number of animals caught by $10^{\circ}$ longitude are given in Table 1. It is clear in the table that the newly opened region benefited almost exclusively for sei whaling and the catch of both fin and bryde's whales were sporadically. It is also shown that latitudinal spread of sei whale ground is found between $35^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $39^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, which is presumably correspond to the general features of bottom topography, i.e., the presence of the Emperor Seamount Chain.

## FEEDING BY SEI WHALE IN THE SOUTH OF $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$

In the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ of east longitudes relatively warm waters high above
$14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the surface prevailed during July of 1972 at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ with remarkable temperature gradient of about $1.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1^{\circ} \mathrm{lat}$. toward the south to show $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or more at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ (Fig. 2). The meandering isotherms run SW to NE direction on the whole, and the intrusion of tongue like warm or cold waters from south or north between $165^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $178^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ was distinct. The overall surface sea conditions can be seen as those of subtropical characters.


Fig. 2. Distribution of surface sea temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in July, 1972.

TABLE 2. STOMACH CONDITION OF SEI WHALE CAUGHT IN THE WATERS SOUTH OF $41^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ IN THE NORTH PACIFIG, 1972.

| Longitude | Stomach with <br> food | Empty | No. of animal <br> examined | feeding <br> percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $165^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-170^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 68 | 116 | 184 | 38.0 |
| $171^{\circ} \mathrm{E}-179^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ | 195 | 453 | 648 | 30.1 |
| $172^{\circ} \mathrm{W}-177^{\circ} \mathrm{W}^{*}$ | 18 | 23 | 41 | 43.8 |
| $168^{\circ} \mathrm{W}-169^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | 11 | - | 11 | 100.0 |
| Total | 292 | 592 | 884 | 33.05 (Av.) ${ }^{* *}$ |

* No catch in $176^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ zone.
** $168^{\circ}-169^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ zone is excluded.

Feeding percentages, the ratio of food containing animals to the total animals examined are demonstrated in Table 2. Although some figures may be unreliable due to poor number of material source, stomach conditions of sei whale in terms of the feeding percentages in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ as far as the zone between $165^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $179^{\circ} \mathbf{E}$ concerned, could be considered to be about $30-40 \%$ with $33.05 \%$ on an average. Comparing these figures with those of averages obtained from the
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northern whaling ground north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ where $50 \%$ or more are expected (Table 3), the whaling ground south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ showed slightly poor feeding conditions on the whole but could be regarded as still rich to some extent in availability of foodstuff. Relatively poor feeding conditions in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ are also shown by the fulness of stomachs in terms of the amount of food as expressed in percentage figures (Table 4). It is curious, however, that considerable high percentages of whales were found to be carrying well repleted stomachs in contrast to very slight numbers in northern whaling grounds.

TABLE 3. LATITUDINAL CHANGE IN FEEDING PERCENTAGES OF SEI WHALE.

| Latitudes | Feeding percentage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $50^{\circ}-60^{\circ} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I}^{*}$ | 21.83 |  |
| $\mathrm{II} I^{* *}$ | 50.19 | Av. $1967 / 71$ |
| $40^{\circ}-50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | 55.01 |  |
| $20^{\circ}-40^{\circ} \mathrm{N} * * *$ | 34.40 | Av. 1972 |
| $*$ Bering Sea. |  |  |
| $* *$ northern North Pacific between $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and Aleutian Islands. |  |  |
| $* * *$ Actual southern most position: $34^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. |  |  |

TABLE 4. STOMACH CONDITIONS IN PERCENTAGE FIGURES BY NUMBER OF ANIMALS

|  | Amount of food |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Latitudinal area | Few | Moderate | Rich | Full |
| North of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}^{*}$ | 60.2 | 26.8 | 9.6 | 3.4 |
| South of $40^{\circ} \mathbf{N}^{*}$ | 43.9 | 26.3 | 12.7 | 17.1 |
| North of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}^{* *}$ | 63.2 | 25.9 | 6.2 | 4.7 |
| * 1972 |  |  |  |  |
| ** Average : $1967-1972$ |  |  |  |  |

Characters of the southern whaling ground as feeding place were examined geographically or quantitatively in view of the availability of foodstuff, and revealed that the southern whaling ground by no means so poor in feeding conditions as having been supposed in general. Table 5 shows one of another characters, the qualitative peculiarity of the region. It is noticed in the table that great deal of foodstuff in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ are solely comprised of fishes while they are almost less important in the northern whaling ground. On the other hand, copepods comprised only $7.2 \%$ among all foodstuff while they are $80 \%$ or more in the north. These qualitative characters indicate that the formation of whaling ground in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ possibly relates to the accumulation of young fishes under feeding migrations as well as whales.

## KIND OF FOOD ORGANISMS

Table 6 demonstrates the kind of food organisms found in 1972 materials which in-
clude the food samples collected in the waters north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ up to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ but a little. Relatively large number of food species was found especially in euphausiids and fishes. To describe biological characters of the population of food organisms something more in detail would be as follows: both Calanus cristatus and Calanus plumchrus were entirely consisted of copepodite stage V which is only one copepodite stage showing gregarious occurrence during warm season in the North Pacific as having been reported in many previous works (e.g. Fac. Fish. Hokkaido Univ., 1961).

TABLE 5. A GENERAL FEATURES OF FEEDING CONDITIONS IN THE NORTH PACIFIC SEI WHALE THROUGH PAST SIX SEASONS*

| Whaling <br> Season | Feeding** <br> percentage | Euphausiid | Copepoda | Fish | Squid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967 | $73.1^{* * *}$ | 22.0 | 76.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
| 1968 | 60.9 | 9.0 | 89.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 |
| 1969 | 60.8 | 6.3 | 81.6 | 9.1 | 3.0 |
| 1970 | $64.1^{* * * *}$ | 13.8 | 81.6 | 4.2 | 0.3 |
| 1971 | 54.5 | 17.7 | 75.5 | 4.9 | 1.9 |
| 1972 | 54.4 | 2.7 | 7.2 | 24.6 | - |

* Number during 1967-1971 represents the whole area of the North Pacific north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, and that 1972 represents only the area south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.
** No. of stomach with food/No. of stomach examined.
*** $0.4 \%$ of amphipoda is excluded.
**** $0.1 \%$ of unlisted organism is excluded.

TABLE 6. FOOD ORGANISMS OF SEI WHALE GAUGHT IN THE NORTH PACIFIC WHALING GROUND, 1972.

## COPEPODA

Calanus cristatus Kröyer
Calanus plumchrus Marukawa
Calanus pacificus Brodsky
EUPHAUSIID
Euphausia recurva Hansen
Euphausia pacifica Hansen
Euphausia diomedeae Ortmann
Euphausia tenera Hansen
Thysanoessa inermis (Kröyer) Hansen
Thysanoessa spinifera Holmes
Nematoscelis difficilis Hansen
Nematoscelis gracilis Hansen*

* Identification is doubtful due to the damage of specimens.

Calanus pacificus was separated from Calanus finmarchicus or Calanus helgolandicus, and established as warm water prefering species being prominent in Far Eastern Seas by Brodsky (1948). Among the occurrence of C. finmarchicus and C. helgolandicus in the surrounding waters of Japan, some of which might be included $C$. pacificus since the species prefers well much warmer waters than the two others. It
seems the first record that $C$. pacificus is reported as a principal food sources of baleen whales in the North Pacific region. G. pacificus which was found to be the primalily important foodstuff of sei whales in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, was also represented by copepodite stage V but copepodite stage VI of both sexes also occurred. However, the number of adult males and females in the population was hardly comparable to that of copepodite V and an approximate ratio of each stage was: CV: CVI (female): CVI (male) $=60: 3: 1$. There was a net plankton sample collected in the whaling ground and it revealed that copepodites III and IV of $C$. pacificus also present in the region with slightly larger numbers in the latter.

In euphausiids, Euphausia pacifica was found most frequently and most of them were consisted of both adult and adolescent individuals some of which carried sperm sacs on the belly. E. recurva and E. diomedeae were also found being consisted of - adult forms of both sexes with almost same number though slightly less female in the latter species. Some females carried sperm sac. Nematoscelis spp. and others were consisted of both sexes with some mixture of adolescent forms. None of these species were found carrying about sperm sac.

The only one macruran, Sergestes similis was in the body length of $26.3-47.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ though most of them were found to be larger than 40 mm . Their body lengths, however, did not differ much by each collected samples, and this fact suggests that mass occurrence in patchness would be consisted of the individuals of nearly same developmental stages possibly due to a sort of segregation by year class.

Many individuals of Japanese anchovy, Engraulis japonicus were $9.0-10.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ in fork length and supposed to be a spring population of current year almost attained at the maturity from their sizes (Kondo, 1971). Japanese mackerel, Scomber japonica was the most dominant food fish, and all of them was sexually immature from their body length of $8.4-11.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Usami, 1968). Body length of Japanese sardine, Sardinops melanosticta and Pacific saury, Corolabis saira, was $8.3-11.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $10.5-$ 17.7 cm respectively. They were also sexually immature from their body length (Kondo, 1964; Hotta, 1964), and Pacific saury was possibly a offspring of the spring in current year (Hotta, 1964). A Gonostomatiid fish, pearlsides (Maurolicus muelleri) showed $4.7-4.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ in their fork length.

Although there were many kind of food organisms as mentioned above, a bulk of these food organisms can be considered being consisted of zooplankton and fish species which prefer rather warmer waters when compared with those having been found previously in the northern waters (see Nemoto, 1957, 1959), that is, Calanus pacificus, Nematoscelis gracilis, $\mathcal{N}$. difficilis, E. diomedeae, E. tenera and some fishes such as Japanese mackerel.

Food organisms in detail with corresponding number of whales are given in Table 7. It is clear in the table that a typical cold water copepods, C. cristatus and C. plumchrus were exclusively fed by the whales in the northern waters north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, while C. pacificus, the warm water copepod, was the representative in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Table 7 also indicates that the fin whales feed more preferably on euphausiids than copepods as Nemoto (1959) early reported their food preference. To see the overall results it is no doubt that the essential diet of sei whales in the waters south of

[^10]$40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ is formed almost solely by C. pacificus and small fishes. It is notable that both C. cristatus and C. plumchrus correspond to C. pacificus in the north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, and euphausiids and macruran, Sergestes similis (Kawamura, 1970, 1971; Omori et al., 1972) also taken over the role of small fishes. As it will be mentioned later the majority of those food fishes prey upon C. pacificus. Euphausiids were also fed but very few. This indicates that they are less important as whales food in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Among the food fishes both Japanese mackerel (Scomber japonicus) and Japanese sardine (Sardinops melanosticta) were the most important.

TABLE 7. NUMBER OF ANIMALS BY THE FOOD ORGANISMS IN SEI AND FIN WHALES IN THE NORTH PACIFIC, 1972.

| Kind of organisms | South of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | North of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sei | fin | sei |
| C. cristatus |  | 2 | 18 |
| C. plumchrus |  | 1 | 6 |
| C. plumchrus-C. cristatus |  |  | 1 |
| C. pacificus | 7* |  |  |
| C. pacificus-E. recurva | 1 |  |  |
| E. pacifica |  | 3 | 3 |
| E. recurva | 1 |  |  |
| E. diomedeae | 1 |  |  |
| E. tenera | 1 |  |  |
| Th. inermis |  | 2 |  |
| Th. spinifera |  | 1 |  |
| Th. inermis-Th. spinifera |  | 3 |  |
| N. gracilis (?) | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathcal{N}$. difficilis | 1 |  |  |
| S. similis |  | 1 | 2 |
| S. similis-C. cristatus |  |  | 1 |
| S. similis-E. pacifica |  | 1 |  |
| S. similis-C. plumchrus-C. cristatus |  |  | 1 |
| S. japonicus | 10 |  |  |
| S. japonicus-C. pacificus | 1 |  |  |
| S. japonicus-S. melanosticta | 1 |  |  |
| S. japonicus-E. japonicus | 1 |  |  |
| S. melanosticta | 3 |  |  |
| E. japonicus | 1 |  |  |
| C. saira | 1 |  | 1 |
| M. muelleri | 2 |  |  |
| M. muelleri-S. saira-Squid | 1 |  |  |
| P. richardsonii larva (?) | 1 |  |  |
| Squid |  |  | 2 |

In summerizing the results it is confirmed that $C$. pacificus, $S$. japonicus and $S$. melanosticta, all the warm water food organisms, essentially made the whales accumulate for feeding in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. A clear latitudinal succession in the species composition of food organisms or in its community also make us
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confirm that the subarctic front had undoubtedly positioned in the vicinity of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the east longitudes during July of 1972.

## DISTRIBUTION OF FOOD ORGANISMS

Food organisms occurred can be divided into three major groups: copepods, euphausiids and fishes. Figs. 3 and 4 demonstrate a rough sketch of their distribution being arranged by examining the food samples and the record of eye observations made on the ship's deck. As the latter covers all of whales caught while the food


Fig. 3. Distribution of food copepods and macruran shrimp, Sergestes similis.


Fig. 4. Distribution of food fishes and squids. Broken line indicates the zoogeographical discontinuity.
samples were collected sporadically, the spread of distibution range shown in the figures does not follow exactly to the positions or the range by the food samples. Fig. 3 shows the distribution of copepods and a macruran, Sergestes similis. It is clear in the figure that $C$. pacificus occurs only in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ while $C$.
plumchrus and $C$. cristatus predominate extensively in the northern waters. The actual southern most position of the occurrence of $C$. pacificus was found in $34^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and that of northern most was in $41^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 170^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. Taking some allowance into consideration on their distribution range, C. pacificus may occur up to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ or thereabouts with a considerable large size stocks so as to be fed by the whales. Sergestes similis, on the other hand, occurred only in the waters of west longitudes with its distribution center in $44^{\circ}-46^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. The occurrence of $S$. similis in this way is very characteristic as having been reported and pointed out its importance as whales food in these region (Omori et al., 1972). A majority of euphausiids in the northern waters were represented by Euphausia pacifica, Thysanoessa spinifera and Th. inermis though they might be less important in the region where mass occurrence of $S$. similis is expected.

There are noticeably different features of distribution between Japanese mackerel and Japanese sardine (Fig. 4). In the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ Japanese mackerel occurred exclusively in $165^{\circ}-173^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ while Japanese sardine was found in $174^{\circ}-178^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. Composition of whales food fish was relatively complicated in the region east of $174^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ where young Pacific saury of $10.5-17.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ and pearlsides of $4.7-4.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ occurred along with Japanese sardine. Very small larvae presumably of boar fish, Pseudopentaceros richardsonii, also occurred though sporadic. All these small but swarm forming fishes did not occur in the waters north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ except a very few instances of Pacific saury. In general, population of fishes as food of sei whale in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ can be considered to be consisted of two groups of food-


Fig. 5. Distribution of food euphausiids and their possible zonation of occurrence as whales food. The mixture zone in the figure does not mean the interspecific mixing but the species occur in complete monotypic population under a condition of rather sporadic occurrence each other.
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stuff of fishes: Japanese mackerel alone or it accompanying Japanese anchovy population in the west side region, and Japanese sardine population with several numbers of cold water or cosmopolitic fishes. These food fish populations divide the region into two parts that different fish communities predominate roughly with their occurrence border crossing northwest to southeast direction between $170^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $180^{\circ}$ as shown by a broken line in Fig. 4.. Recognition of whaling ground by this way can also be interpreted by the pattern of occurrence in euphausiids (Fig. 5).

## PREY OF FISHES FED BY WHALES

As it was mentioned in the preceding section a considerable part of foodstuff of sei whales in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ was consisted of small sized but school forming fishes, and such sea conditions as feeding ground seem somewhat characteristic when compared with those formed by the planktonic organisms since the fishes above mentioned can be regarded as competitors to feed on the whales food. In connection with this circumstances the whaling ground in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ would be regarded as that formed secondarily although there seems still in need of further examination on foodstuff in the fishes fed by the whales.

As it is shown in Table 8 great deal of fishes found in the stomach of whales had fed solely on copepods of one or two species. Among copepods fed by the fishes of whales food, C. pacificus was most predominant being followed by C. plumchrus and C. cristatus. It was also noted that one of the typical cold water copepod species, Eucalanus bungii bungii which was completely absent from the foodstuff of sei whale occurred along with C. pacificus. Some individuals of Japanese mackerel

TABLE 8. STOMACH CONTENTS OF FISHES FED BY SEI WHALE.

| Food organisms of fishes | Kind of food fishes* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sj | Cs | Ej | Sm | Mm |
| C. cristatus-C. plumchrus | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| C. plumchrus | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| C. pacificus | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| C. pacificus-C. plumchrus | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| C. pacificus-E. bungii bungii | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| C. pacifious-C. plumchrus-amphipoda (Gammariid) | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Others | 2** |  |  |  | 4*** |
| Unknown**** | 4 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| Empty | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |

* Sj : Scomber japonicus, Cs: Cololabis saira, Ej: Engraulis japonicus, Sm : Sardinops melanosticta, Mm : Maurolicus muelleri.
** Euchaeta sp., Phronima sp., Sapphirina (?), Eucalanus sp., Oikopleura sp., Salpa (?).
*** Pseudocalanus elongatus, Eucalanus bungii bungii, Candacia colombiae, Oncaea sp., Euphausiids furcilia, Amphipoda, Phronima sp.
**** decomposed.
and pearlsides ( $M$. muelleri) fed on a mixture of many kind of zooplankters. These fishes seem undoubtedly to have preyed upon the zooplankters being present in-
discriminately without any selection. It is interesting that warm water zooplankton species such as Phronima and Sapphirina, were found as a food of young Japanese mackerel which somewhat prefers warm water while $M$. muelleri, a cosmopolitic species fed on cold water zooplankters. By examining on the foodstuff of both whales and their food fishes, it is confirmed that they are closely linked up solely through $C$. pacificus. In these prey-predator relationships the whaling ground in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ can be recognized as being formed at its beginning by the distributional characters peculiar in C. pacificus, which would possibly related to some hydrodynamical processes of past seasons in the northern waters. In approaching to the causation of aggregations of whales through the formation of their feeding ground, manifold composition of foodstuff in pearlsides seems less important than Japanese mackerel which feeds exclusively on monotypic swarms of copepods.


Fig. 6. Schematic zonation of whaling ground in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ as based on the distribution of prey organisms of sei whales and their food fishes. ' WARM' in the figure is the zone characterized by C. pacificus or its mixture with various warm water copepods, and 'COLD' is those by C. plumchrus or its mixture with C. cristalus. Both 'WARM' and ' COLD' zones meet and mix with each other at the 'TRANSITION' zone. Arrow shows a possible penetration of subsurface cold waters from the EKCE.

By plotting the occurrence of prey organisms of fishes fed by whales, two ecologically heterogeneous regions could be distinguished (Fig. 6). One of them is characterized by $C$. pacificus or a mixture of various warm water zooplankters, and the other is by $C$. plumchrus or a mixture of $C$. plumchrus and $C$. cristatus populations. It is also noticed that there are transision zone with some width where the prey organisms of fishes intermingled with those in both regions each other. These distinguished regions agree well with the result obtained in food fish populations, but
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the location of transision zone seems likely to shift rather southward than the location of ecological discontinuity which is shown in Fig. 4.

## DISGUSSION

The region which lies in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ of the North Pacific does not seem to be kept under such a conditions of good feeding ground as make the whales, if not entirely, be accumalated for a while when considered from the point of views of an abundance in food organisms. This is the problem of gross availability of food organisms as it is generally indicated by feeding condition of whales. Feeding percentages, the ratio of food containing animals to the total examined in sei whales in the waters north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ through past five years were $62.7 \%$ on an average while those in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ revealed it remains at about $30-40 \%$. Under a rather barren sea conditions, however, the region lies between $160^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $180^{\circ}$ zone in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ seems to be distinct being covered with some peculiarities under the influence of prevailing current.

Mentioning on the upwelling of suarctic intermediate waters in relation to the character of subarctic front in the zone of east longitudes of the North Pacific, Uda (1971) proposed the presence of a southeasterly branch from the East Kamchatka Current, which is called as the East Kamchatka Current Extension (EKCE), and it presumably influences upon the sea conditions in the environs of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 160^{\circ}-170^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ region. The EKCE which originates from the region about $50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ extends south to southeast direction, shifting its direction more easterly when it meets with the Oyashio front at about $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. This cold southeasterly current must presumably be causative for the meandering in surface temperature distribution along with the influence of a complex bottom topography by the Emperor Seamount Chain, and further, it possibly relates to the extension of mixed waters of the Kuroshio and Okhotsk Sea as Dodimead et al, (1963) figured out. Recent work presented by Kishi and Uda (1973) makes me confirm those hydological peculiarities of the whaling ground under consideration. Analyzing on the depth distribution of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ waters based on the enourmous amount of data during 1935-1969, they (Kishi and Uda, 1973) found a steady southerly intrusion of the cold EKCE waters into the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ region between $150^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $160^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$.

The whaling ground in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ would be divided into two regions of relatively warmer and colder characters by the intrusion of colder waters from the north, and they are formed in the both southwest and northeast side of the region (see Figs. 5 and 6). In this connection the proposed ecological discontinuity which was interpreted from the results of examinations both on whales food and the prey organisms of the fishes of whales food agrees well with the hydrological characters on the whole, and supports Uda's proposal on the EKCE on biological basis although the location of the discontinuity may shift to some extent by seasons and years. In the populations of Japanese sardine and pearlsides the latter fed exclusively on boreal or cold arctic zooplankton species such as Pseudocalanus elongatus not with standing the fact that both fish species occurred in almost the same region. On the other hand,
it was more in the southerly waters that monotypic aggregations of $C$. pacificus were found in the stomach of Japanese mackerel. Both C. cristatus and C. plumchrus as a foodstuff of sei whales never occurred in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ while they were found in the further south to some extent as a prey of food fishes of whales. These circumstances seem to be discrepant but it would be explained as follows though still have not proved yet: Both C. cristatus and C. plumchrus are the important foodstuff of baleen whales through widely in the northern North Pacific (Nemoto, 1963), and they could occur by forming a dense swarms so as to be fed by the whales in the north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. In the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, on the other hand, it is far out side from their usual habitat, and as any of both species could not be present as swarms in the surface so were they in the northern waters. G. cristatus and C. plumchrus found in the stomach of Japanese mackerel might not be preyed upon their swarming populations but possibly upon rather sporadic and dispersed populations having been carried by the intermediate waters from the north. The general features in the zoogeographical successions of fish foods suggest that some mixing of water would take place in or near by those discontinuous borders mentioned previously, and it might presumably be due to the influence of the EKCE, since cold waters widely found over this region can be recognized as the subsurface Oyashio origin waters which penetrated into the region at the depth of about $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$. (Kishi and Uda, 1973).

According to Betesheva (1954) fin whales feed on anchovy in the waters of Kurile region during August and the anchovy population often accompanies Thysanoessa raschii, a cold neritic species (Boden et al, 1955). Japanese anchovy found in the North Pacific in 1972 occurred at the southern most part of its distribution in Japanese sardine-pearlsides populations. This fact suggests also the succession of water masses more colder toward north to northeast side in contrast to warming toward south to southwest, that is, more stronger influences of warm water in the south. In this respect Omori (1965) reported an interesting result, that is, C. pacificus distributes fairly wide in the zone of $40^{\circ}-50^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ of the North Pacific during June to August but it is only in the west of $170^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ that $C$. pacificus often occurs being accompanied by warm water copepods such as Calanus tenuicornis. This fact would explain the biological character of newly opened whaling ground and its peculiarity as mixing region in general.

Consulting with the general faunistic features around the region in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ of the North Pacific (Zenkevitch, 1963), the whaling ground operated in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ resembles well to the features usually found off Sanriku, the southeast coast of northern Japan, and it is something likely to their extension with slight addition of more boreal characters. Although a considerable number of $C$. pacificus occurs far in the Gulf of Alaska (Omori, 1965), they are usually accompanied with C. plumchrus. These difference in their specific combinations as a communities of food organisms, though it resembles at a glance, should be noted since it might give the sea quite heterogeneous characters. In concluding on the whaling ground along with its formation in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ in the North Pacific, it is considered that the key factor is found solely in the distributional ecology of C. pacificus. However, the feeding ground of baleen whales as Kawamura (1973a, b) has firmly
pointed out should not be considered solely on the basis of each food species alone but of their community which embodied through the food chains. C. pacificus is usually found widely over the northern North Pacific but no other staple feeding ground of baleen whales as exploited in the zone of east longitudes would possibly be found in the zone of west longitudes.

## SUMMARY

1. In accordance with lifting the ban of whaling activities by the measures of Japanese Government in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ of the North Pacific in 1972 a total of 34 food samples of sei whales was collected in the newly opened region, and their food and feeding conditions were examined.
2. The main whaling ground in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ was located only in the zones between $165^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ and $180^{\circ}$ with $34^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ as its southernmost position, where the Emperor Seamount Chain ends. The surface sea temperature of $14^{\circ}-21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prevailed during July in the region with a remakable meandering pattern which jaggs northwest to southeast direction.
3. The sea conditions were complex under a possible influence of the East Kamchatka Current Extension, and the formation of whaling ground seems rather the peculiar case in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.
4. The ratio of food containing animals to the total examined in percentage figures showed $33.05 \%$ on an average while it was $50.0 \%$ or more in the waters north of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ through past five seasons.
5. In connection with the feeding percentages the fertility of the region south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ as feeding ground of baleen whales is considered to be not so barren as having been supposed previously.
6. The foodstuff of sei whales in the waters south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ was almost solely comprised of fishes ( $24.6 \%$ ) and copepods ( $7.2 \%$ ), while they showed less than $5 \%$ and $80 \%$ or more respectively in the northern waters.
7. More than 20 species of food organisms were identified. A large number of them was comprised of warm or cold temperate species especially in euphausiids, but only Calanus pacificus, Japanese mackerel (Scomber japonicus), Japanese sardine (Sardinops melanosticta) and Japanese anchovy (Engraulis japonica) were the main constituents of the food of sei whales.
8. The Calanus pacificus population was represented by the copepodites $V$ and VI of both sexes, and that of the fishes was by the young individuals of sexually immature.
9. Distribution of food organisms revealed that the main whaling ground in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ is likely to be divided by a zoogeographical discontinuity into two heterogeneous regions under different faunistic characters.
10. Examination on prey organisms of the fishes fed by sei whale prooved that they also feed chiefly on C. pacificus or its mixtures with C. cristatus or C. plumchrus.
11. Above results seem to support again the presence of the ecological dis-
continuity, and at the sametime, the intrusion of cold intermediate waters into the region.
12. The whaling ground in the south of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ was presumably formed solely by a bulk of mass occurrence of $C$. pcificus at its beginning, and the concentration of young fishes under feeding migration also made the sea region so fertile as to be the staple feeding ground of baleen whales.
13. It was known in this study that the feeding ground of baleen whales has been usually recognized as being formed chiefly by the aggregations of primary consumers of planktonic crustaceans, but it would also be formed or at least supplemented considerably by the organisms of more higher trophic levels, and that the condition of available foods for baleen whales in the region should not be considered by each food species alone but more comprehensively by the community of organisms.
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# FIND OF MARLIN SPEAR FROM THE ANTARCTIC MINKE WHALES 

## SEIJI OHSUMI

Far Seas Fisheries Research Laboratory, Shimizu

A spear-like snout of a marlin was found in the middle of upper jaw of an Antarctic minke whale, Balaenoptera bonaerensis Burmeister, when it was flensed on deck of a factory ship on 20 January, 1972. This whale (Serial No. J-1, 965) was caught from the waters of $64^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 87^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ by a catcher boat which was accompanied with Jinyo-maru Whaling Expedition. It was male, 8.0 m in body length and sexually mature.


Fig. 1. A spear of marlin found from an Antarctic minke whale (Ser. No. J-1, 965).
A: Ventral view of the spear $(\times 1 / 6)$.
B: Dorsal view of rostrum of the Antarctic minke which was attacked by the marlin. Inserted spear is seen on the right side of the rostrum.
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The snout was 49.5 cm long, broken at the root as is shown in Fig. 1A. It was inserted into right anterior upper jaw (Fig. 1B) through to left palate of the whale. The upper jaw was holed with the snout, and the hole had not yet healed completely. Furthermore, some pieces of connective tissue of the fish were still remained on inner part of the snout. The snout was attached with three individuals of a Conchoderma (species unidentified) on a part. These facts leads us to estimate that this whale was attacked by a marlin not more than several months before.

It is difficult to identify the fish species only from a snout externally. However, Dr. Shoji Ueyanagi of the Far Seas Fisheries Research Laboratory kindly examined this snout, and concluded that this fish must be refered to Genus Makaira, namely, either blue marlin, M. mazara (Jordan \& Snyder), or black marlin, M. indica (Cuvier). On the bases of the estimation of snout length and the relation between body length and snout length of the marlin by Ueyanagi (1957), the body length of the marlin is estimated to be about 220 cm in total length.

There are several reports on finding of snout of swordfishes from some baleen whales (Ruud, 1952; Jonsgard, 1959, 1962; Nemoto, 1959; Brown, 1960; Machida, 1970), but it will be the first record that the marlin attacked the Antarctic minke whale.

According to Nakamura, Iwai and Matsubara (1968), blue and black marlins are distributed widely in the tropical and sub-tropical waters of the Indian and Pacific Oceans. Then, the present record shows that the Antarctic minke whales live even in the tropical or sub-tropical waters, and they migrate between these waters and pack-ice edge of the Antarctic.

Mr. Tadao Ishii, a national whaling inspector, observed also a round hole which was estimated to be caused with the attack of a marlin on the left upper jaw of another Antarctic minke whale (Serial No. J-2,923) on board of the same factory ship on 16 February, 1972. This whale was caught from the waters of $64^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, $91^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathbf{E}$. It was 8.3 m long male and sexually mature. To my regret, the spear had already thrown away by that time.

Many thanks are due to Dr. Shoji Ueyanagi, who kindly identified the snout of the marlin and gave me much information on billfishes. I am also indebted to Mr. Tadao Ishii for his kindness to report me a record of his observation.
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# AN ANATOMICAL STUDY ON THE LOWER EXTENSION OF THE DORSAL VAGAL NUGLEUS TO THE UPPER CERVICAL GORD IN THE SPERM WHALE 

YASUSHI SEKI<br>Department of Anatomy, Nihon University School of Medicine, Tokyo


#### Abstract

ABSTRAGT The lower portion of the dorsal vagal nucleus was examined anatomically in two specimens of sperm whale. At the level below the obex, the nucleus seems to be divided into two cell groups, medial and lateral. The medial cell groups of both sides are fused with each other on the midline, which is characteristic in the sperm whale. The lateral cell group makes islands-like cell column along the long axis of the central nervous system. In some sections, these two cell groups are observed to be in complete continuity. Caudalwards, they can be traced up to the first cervical level, decreasing number of cells and presenting beaded appearance. Thus, I could reconfirm that the lower extension of the dorsal vagal nucleus of the sperm whale belongs to the type 3 in my previous classification.


## INTRODUCTION

Although the lowest portion of the dorsal vagal nucleus is difficult to give a definite description as to where to end and how, it usually is located approximately at the level of the pyramidal decussation. In certain other mammals the nucleus is reported to be further extended to the lower direction. But not much attention has been paid on this latter fact.

Ogawa and Chen (1947) found that the lowest part of this nucleus was directly continued to the lateral horn nucleus of the upper cervical cord in the goat and deer, and similar finding was obtained in the sea lion by Mannen and Seki (1958). Seki (1966) had classified the form of the lowest portion of the dorsal vagal nucleus in four types after comparative anatomical examination of such structures in many species of mammals including primates, carnivores, ungulates, rodents and cetaceas.

In this report, it was able to reconfirm that the lower extension of the nucleus belongs to the third type of the preceding classification, by a detailed observation in two cases of the sperm whale.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials were parts of those which had been collected by Dr. T. Kojima*,
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when he was on a whaling expedition in the Antarctic Ocean in 1949-50. Many thanks are due to the profound kindness of Dr. Kojima to offer me the precious materials to the present work.

The first specimen was the portion, 28 mm in length, covering from the level 3.7 mm above the obex to the upper end of the spinal cord including the first cervical cord. The second was the part, 44 mm in length, extending from the level 10 mm above the obex to that part which included the first cervical cord. These two had been preserved in formalin. Both materials, after having been cut off to meet the purpose, they were refixed in Müller's solution in $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two weeks and mounted in celloidin through the usual manner. Serial sections of $35 \mu$ in thickness, along the transverse plane for the first case and horizontal plane for the second, were made. Each fifth sections (the first and sixth and so forth with the last order of each figure being 1 and 6) were stained by the Klüver-Barrera method and each 10th sections (10th, 20th, 30th, etc.) were also treated by the Weigert-Pal or Kultschitzky's method for myelin staining.

## RESULTS

The dorsal vagal nucleus and its lower extension are seen as palely stained areas in the myelin stained sections and they are easily discriminated as a prominent cell group distinctly bordered from the surrounding (Pl. I, Fig. 1). The examination of the cell staining preparates reveals that substantially large cells, ellipsoidal or spindle-shaped, constitute the principal cellular component of the nucleus (Pl. I, Fig. 2). In transverse sections, majority of cells possesses long axis in the direction oriented from the dorsomedial to ventrolateral, with approximately $50-100 \mu$ in the long diameter and $30-60 \mu$ in the short one. They are large in size next to cells of the hypoglossal nucleus in the lower medulla or the anterior horn of the upper spinal cord of the sperm whale.

On the lateral or the dorsolateral to the nucleus is another aggregation of small cells, part of which is found in a small number, though, within the above mentioned large cell group.

Fig. 1. Approximate distribution of cells in transverse sections of the lower medulla and the lst cervical cord in case 1 , Klüver-Barrera, $\times 12$.
Each of drawings was made by accumulation of neighbouring 4 sections (stained every 5th sections) on one plane. Cells of dorsal vagal nucleus and its lower extension were indicated by black dots.
a: Lower medulla above the obex (Section numbers; 281, 286, 291 and 296 of block 2.). Note the hypoglossal nucleus lying far ventral apart from the bottom of the 4th ventricle.
b: Lowest medulla (Section numbers; 421, 426, 431 and 436 of block 1.). Dorsal vagal nucleus is extended laterally and makes lateral cell group of lower extension.
c: First cervical cord (Section numbers; 101, 106, 111 and 116 of block 1.). Medial cell groups of lower extension of both sides are completely fused on the midline.


Fig. 1.

Other than these two different cell groups, there exist small collections of cells, apparently similar in nature to those in the dorsal vagal nucleus, located in the reticular formation of the lower medulla and the lateral funiculus of the upper cervical cord, distributed longitudinally with islands-like interruptions. But owing to the limitation of the material obtained, no relationship of these cell groups with the dorsal vagal nucleus or its lower extension could be clarified in this study.


Fig. 2. Approximate distriburion of cells projected from transverse sections (stained every 5th sections) on the horizontal plane in case 1 , Klüver-Barrera, $\times 4.5$. Arrows in left show levels of figures 1 and plate.

In the level higher than the obex, the dorsal vagal nucleus is situated close to the fourth venticle and inside the ala cinerea as in the cases of most other mammals. Conspicuously marked is the distance between this nucleus and the hypoglossal nucleus because of the different location of the latter, which is observed to be in ventral apart from the fourth ventricle in this level in the sperm whale (Fig. 1, a).


Fig. 3. Approximate distribution of cells superimposed from horizontal sections on the horizontal plane (dorsal view of the lower medulla and the 1st cervical cord) in case 2, Klüver-Barrera, $\times 4 . \quad *$ : Artificial cleft.
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In the part lower than the obex, the dorsal vagal nuclei on both sides are recognized to approach gradually toward the midline, and a small number of cells are observed to be existent sporadically between those two nuclei (Figs. 2 and 3). At this level also, the ventrolateral ends of these nuclei, extend in places near the bundle of accessory nerve root running longitudinally at the dorsomedial edge of the lateral funiculus, and tend to for a separate group at some distance of the main nucleus.

At the lowest medulla, these main nuclei completely fuse with each other, and form a transversely long cell group occupying a large area approximately in the middle of the central gray substance (Figs. 1b., 2 and 3). Beside this collection of cells, there are found here and there discontinuous cell groups, islands-like in appearance, in proximity of the accessory nerve roots running longitudinally at the dorsomedial margin of the lateral funiculus (Fig. 1, b). In other words, the dorsal vagal nucleus at this level can be distinguished in two parts; one is the main nucleus formed by fusion of the left and right nuclei into a medial cell group, and the other is the lateral one islands-like in appearance, and at the dorsomedial edge of the lateral funiculus. In certain other sections, these two groups of cells are observable to be in complete continuity.

The medial cell group, as it goes lower, is decreased in number of cells in sections from the lowest medulla to the upper cervical cord, until it presents a bead-like appearance. This can be traced as far as the first cervical level, together with the islands-like lateral cell group (Fig. 1, c). As has just been mentioned above, the materials available did not have the part lower than the second cervical cord, so that the further extension could not be identified.

## DISGUSSION

As to the origin nuclei of glossopharyngeal, vagus and accessory nerves, two nuclei, ventral and dorsal, are distinguished. The former is situated at about the center of the reticular formation of the medulla oblongata, termed usually nucleus ambiguus, and innervates the striated muscle. The latter is located at the dorsolateral or lateral to the hypoglossal nucleus, and has been thought to be the origin of the preganglionic fiber of the autonomic nerve which innervates smooth muscles and glands.

The dorsal vagal nucleus (or the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve) is clearly distiguished from its surrounding as a mass of middle sized nerve cells. Some authors call this dorsal vagal nucleus including termination nucleus (termed nucleus alae cinereae, on occasions) which is found immediately dorsolateral or lateral to that nucleus. It would be relevant to divide it into two and discuss separately instead of treating them as one entity: one as the termination nucleus consisting principally of small cells, another as the origin nucleus which is a group of the middle sized cells.

Olszewsky-Baxter (1954) and Mitchell and Warwick (1955) classified these nuclear cells into three types, but their classifications are different from each other, and neither of these seem to be applied to the materials in this study.

In the previous report (1966), I presented an idea to classify into four types the lowest structures of the dorsal vagal nucleus, after comparative anatomical investigations. In man, cat and rabbit, the lowest part of the nucleus decreases gradually cells in number as it gows downward and disappears at as low the level of the pyramidal decussation (type 4). The pacific right whale and the common dolphin also belong to this type. In the goat, the lower portion of this nucleus gradually shifts its position to the lateral side, across the pyramidal decussation or the internal arcuate fibers, and reaches the dorsomedial edge of the lateral funiculus of the upper cervical cord. This lower extention of the nucleus shows islands-like interruptions along the long axis of the spinal cord and disappears in the first cervical cord in common cases (type 1). Similar findings can be obtained in the calf, sheep, horse, camel, sea lion, dog and bear. In the pig, unlike those of type 1, two lower extensions are observed, one on the medial side and the other on the lateral side. At the lower medulla, the dorsal vagal nucleus is located at the dorsolateral to the central canal, and even in the portion lower than this level, extending cell groups can be traced intermittently in the same position until to the second cervical level, and in addition, as in case of type 1, laterally situated cell islands are still recognizable discontinuously along the dorsolateral edge of the lateral funiculus down to the second cervical cord (type 2). The medial cell group and lateral one are in direct continuity with each other in some sections, but in others there are found occasionally a bundle of thin fibers running between the two groups connecting them. In the case of the sperm whale, as in the pig, two lower extensions, medial and lateral, are identified, but unlike the finding in the pig, the medial cell groups on both sides are fused in the level from the lower part than the obex to the upper cervical cord, which is quite characteristic in the sperm whale (type 3 ).

Vermeulen (1915, 1916 and 1918) described that in llama, giraffe and porpoise, the dorsal vagal nucleus, at its lower end, constitutes the Nucl. commissuralis motorius vagi, by the fusion of two nuclei at the dorsal part to the central canal.

The lateral cell groups of the lower extension in three types, 1,2 and 3 , are well resemble the intermedio-lateral column (lateral horn nucleus) to develop into the thoracic cord, in respects of their position, size and massiveness of cells, and palely stained gray substance. These features were also noted in Takahashi's report (1913) on the comparative anatomy of the lateral horn nucleus. However, I have had no definitive evidence sofar that the dorsal vagal nucleus and the lateral horn nucleus of the thoracic cord are actually connected even in a stepping-stone-pattern. Similarly no reliable finding that the medial cell group is also connected with the intermedio-medial column at the former's lower part.

Of the lower extensions of the dorsal vagal nucleus, lateral cell group is positionally in a close relation with the accessory nerve root which runs longitudinally along the dorsomedial edge of the lateral funiculus, and it is imagined that these extensions send axons to this root. But the medial cell group did not give any special finding on a possible fiber connection, except there were observed bundles of thin fibers which connects the lateral cell group and the medial one in some sections.

As for the function of the lower extensions of the dorsal vagal nucleus, no
explanation or suggestion could be offered derived from these anatomical findings gained in this study. The utmost that can be deduced is the functions and the innervating areas to be inferred from those of the dorsal vagal nucleus, and no further.

## CONCLUSION

A detailed anatomical examination was made on the dorsal vagal nucleus and its lower extension in 2 cases of the sperm whale. At the lower part of the nucleus, the lower extension consists of the medial cell group and the lateral one at the portion from the lower medulla to the first cervical cord. Characteristic is the fusion of the medial cell groups in both sides on the midline below the obex. In both cell groups gradually decreased cells in number as they go down and continue sporadically in islands-like appearance. The existence of the lower extension was not identified below the 2nd cervical cord.

Following the discussion on the structure of the lowest part of the dorsal vagal nucleus in other mammals, I could reconfirm that the lower extension of the sperm whale belongs to the type 3 in my previous classification.
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## EXPLANATION OF PLATE I

Fig. 1. Lower medulla below the obex (Section number 130 of block 2, Case 1), Kultschitzky, $\times 6$.
Fig. 2. First cervical cord (Section number 261 of block 1, Case 1), Klüver-Barrera, $\times 40$. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ : Midline.

Abbreviations in Text-figure 1 and Plate.

| CA | Anterior horn | NA | Nucleus ambiguus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CP | Posterior horn | NDV | Dorsal vagal nucleus |
| FLM | Medial longitudinal fascicle | NFP | Nuclei of posterior funiculi |
| FS | Solitary fascicle | NNXII | Hypoglossal nucleus |
| LCG | Lateral cell group of the | NTDV | Nucleus of descending tract <br> lower extension |
| MCG | Medial cell group of the <br> lower extension | RNXI | of the trigeminal nerve |
|  |  | VQ | Fourth ventricle |




Fig. 1


Fig. 2
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# RECORDS OF THE FRASER'S SARAWAK DOLPHIN (LAGENODELPHIS HOSEI) IN THE WESTERN NORTH PACIFIC 

TERUO TOBAYAMA<br>Kamogawa Seaworld;'Kamogawa<br>MASAHARU NISHIWAKI<br>Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo<br>AND<br>HUNG CHIA YANG<br>Taiwan Fisheries Research Institute, Kaohsiung


#### Abstract

Recently, the dolphins of Lagenodelphis hosei Fraser, 1956 have been collected and also sighted sporadically in the certain places of the world. From Kamogawa (JAPAN) and Kaohsiung (TAIWAN) both of which located in the Western North Pacific, each one specimen has been collected and identified as this species as a result of observations on the external character and osteological study.

Considered the places and dates of collection of this species in the Western North Pacific, the dolphin of Lagenodelphis hosei might be in the nature of warm water preference. In summer, when the Kuroshio current is dominant, the distribution of the species might be widened to north and may sometimes reach the east coast of Japan to the degree of $35^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$.


## INTRODUGTION

The Sarawak dolphin (Lagenodelphis hosei), was named by F. C. Fraser of the British Museum (Natural History) in 1956. His investigation was on the skeleton found in Lutong River, Borneo, by C. Hose in 1895. However, nothing had been known of the external characters of the whole body of this form until recently. Then this species of dolphins and also sighting records have been collected sporadically in Australia, in South Africa and in the Eastern North Pacific and some other places. Among many dolphin specimens collected from the Western North Pacific since 1969, a few were identified as this species.

## KAMOGAWA SPECIMEN

## Environmental condition at stranding

This specimen was first found by a local people in passing on the sand beach of Hamaogi, Kamogawa City, on May 25, 1972. The report of the incident was brought to the Kamogawa Seaworld but when one of the attendants reached to the
scene of stranding an hour later, the animal had already expired. The lower jaw must have bamped with something was broken about 10 cm from its top. Fresh hemorage from the wound told him that the animal had died shortly before. The specimen was thought to be a white sided dolphin (Lagenorhynchus obliquidens) at first, though a little difference was recognized in the shape of the dorsal fin and in the body colour. But later, as a result of cooparative study, it was identified as Lagenodelphis hosei.

It seems that the animal was carried to the coast of Japan on the Kuroshio current. The northern limit of the current is at around the Boso Peninsula, which located at nearly central part of the Islands of Japan, and Kamogawa City is on the outer coast of the Peninsula. The surface temperature of Boso waters about the


Fig. 1. Distribution of surface water temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in $21-27$, May 1972.
time of stranding was distinctly higher than usual as shown in Fig. l. From May $14-20$, surface temperature was $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in shallow waters and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in deep waters. From May 21-27, (the time of stranding), influenced by approach of the Kuroshio current, it was up to be $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in both shallow and deep waters.

## External appearance

The external measurements are as indicated in Table 1. The body shape of this species is a close resemblance to that of the Lagenorhynchus obliquidens, though relative differences are in the short snout, the equilateral triangular shaped dorsal

TABLE I. EXTERNAL MEASUREMENT OF KAMOGAWA SPECIMEN

| Sex | Male |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of teeth | $36 \mid 36$ |
| $-34 \mid 36$ |  |


| Measurement |  | mm | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Length, total |  | 2350 | 100.0 |
| 2. Length, tip of upper jaw to apex of melon |  | 30 | 1.3 |
| 3. Length, tip of upper jaw to center of eye |  | 280 | 11.9 |
| 4. Length of gape |  | 240 | 10.2 |
| 5. Length, tip of upper jaw to external auditory meatus |  | 335 | 14.2 |
| 6. Length, tip of upper jaw to blowhole |  | 310 | 13.2 |
| 7. Length, tip of upper jaw to anterior insertion of flipper |  | 410 | 17.4 |
| 8. Length, tip of upper jaw to tip of dorsal fin |  | 1033 | 43.9 |
| 9. Length, tip of upper jaw to midpoint of umbilicus |  | 1080 | 45.9 |
| 10. Length, tip of upper jaw to midpoint of genital aperture |  | 1565 | 66.5 |
| 11. Length, tip of upper jaw to center of anus |  | 1695 | 72.1 |
| 12. Projection of upper jaw beyond the lower |  | 10 | 0.4 |
| 13. Girth, at anterior insertion of flipper |  | 963 | 41.0 |
| 14. Girth, at axilla |  | 1069 | 45.4 |
| 15. Girth, at anterior insertion of dorsal fin (maximum) |  | 1163 | 49.5 |
| 16. Girth, at anus |  | 742 | 31.5 |
| 17. Maximum height of body, including dorsal fin |  | 565 | 24.0 |
| 18. Length of eye |  | 27 | 1.1 |
| 19. Width of blowhole |  | 25 | 1.1 |
| 20. Length of flipper, anterior insertion to tip | L. | 260 | 11.1 |
|  | R. | 255 | 10.9 |
| 21. Length of flipper, axilla to tip | L. | 175 | 7.4 |
|  | R. | 180 | 7.7 |
| 22. Width of flipper, maximum | L. | 84 | 3.6 |
|  | R. | 82 | 3.5 |
| 23. Dorsal fin, height |  | 175 | 7.4 |
| 24. Dorsal fin, length of base |  | 300 | 12.8 |
| 25. Width of tail flukes, tip to tip |  | 530 | 22.6 |
| 26. Anterior insertion of tail fluke to notch | L. | 152 | 6.5 |
|  | R. | 155 | 6.6 |
| 27. Anterior insertion of tail fluke to tip | L. | 325 | 13.8 |
|  | R. | 322 | 13.7 |
| 28. Distance, tip of tail fluke to notch | L. | 270 | 11.5 |
|  | R. | 270 | 11.5 |

fin, the smaller flippers located at a little anteriorer parts and the smaller tail flukes.
The whole dorsal surface is slate black while the ventral surface is white. Between these distinct divisions, complicated patterns decorate the sides. A black band extends from the upper base of the snout to meet with another black band which begins at the central part of lower jaw, at the angle of gape. The joint widened black band run through the eye to branch off at a little anteriorer part than the base of flipper. One of the branches ends at the base of flipper, while the other extends further to draw a gentle curve with a little narrower band to the anus. Then the band widened once again and extends to the swelling at a posteriorer
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##  

Fig. 2. Teeth of Kamogawa specimen. Top left: Upper right row, Bottom left:
part than the anus. Along with these black band pattern, a gray band from the head to the tail run nearly parallel to it just above, which become wider to the posterior. In addition to these complicated pattern, another, more distinct black band run around the posterior half of the base of flipper, from which a black line extends toward the ventral center. The line is so delicate that it seems as if it were drawn with the tip of a small brush and is fading at the midway between the base and the ventral center and this line does not extend anteriorly than the line between the front of the flipper base. Besides these main bands, there are some detail illustrations. A short black band around the eye extends to the base of rostrum and is joinning into the main black band, so that it is less distinct. Two delicate lines run from the angle of gape to the tip of snout, of which the line along the upper jaw is fading into the dorsal black and that along the lower jaw hemmed the edge which makes clear contrast with the basic white. Ventral view is generally white with two black bands which run along each side, are coming close each other at the anus and are joinning into one to reach to the tail. The flippers and the tail flukes are all black.

## Measurements of the skeletons

As it is seen in Table 2 that in comparison of the skull of Kamogawa specimen with the former three, there is no apparent differences except that the parietal width of this specimen is slightly broader and that the maximum width of premaxillae of which is narrower. The snout of Kamogawa specimen is relatively broader than those of former three and the length breadth ratio of it is 1.80 and 1.83 . The vertebral formulas are also indecated in Table 2, in which Kamogawa specimen has 15 thoracic vertebrae, while South African specimen has 16 . Number of lumber vertebrae is same in all former three, but only in Kamogawa specimen, number is 20. Number of caudal bones vary among the specimens for an example, Kamogawa specimen indicate 39 ; this number is bigger than any other by 2 to 5 . Number of chevrons is 21 in Sarawak specimen and 31 in Kamogawa specimen. (Fig. 3) Difference by 10 is considerable. The first, the second and the 29 to the 31 chevrons

lower right row; Top right: Upper left row, Bottom right: Lower left row.
are being separated into two pieces. (X-ray photos of caudal vertebrae and chevron bones had been taken before dissection.) The phalaengial formula of Kamogawa specimen is $\mathrm{I}: 2, \mathrm{II}: 9, \mathrm{III}: 6, \mathrm{IV}: 3$ and $\mathrm{V}: 2$. The number is same in both flippers, but in the Sarawak flippers, different number is in I, III and V. X-ray photo of the flippers is in Plate V.

The measurements of vertebrae is shown in Table 3. Among cervical vertebrae, the first and the second bones are inherently fused together and these joint two and the third and the fourth are fixed in order under the vertebral corps, and the neural arch of the fifth and the sixth are fixed. These fusion of the bones are supposed to be a phenomenon of ageing. In the picture of the vertebral column, shown in the Plate IV, the projects of neural spine are short in the 12 th to the 15 th caudal vertebrae. However, the shape was modified during the process of treatment, not a transformation of the bones. The shape of rib cage is shown in Plate V and the measurements of ribs are in Table 4. The photographs of the hyoid bones are in Fig. 4, and the measurements of them are in Table 5. The sternum is divided into three pieces and the dimentions of it is in Table 6. The left pelvic bone was broken at the time of collection, straight length of the right one is 106 mm and its breadth at the middle is 11 mm , the shape of it is in Fig. 5. The scapulae is shown in Fig. 6 and dimensions of it is in Table 7. The measurements of humerus, radius, ulna, phalaengial and chevron bones are not indicated here on account of limited space.

## Other results

1. Weight of the internal organs is shown in Table 8. Compare the internal organs of this specimen with those in other species, apparent differences are in the lower lobe of lung which is more squarely built in the others and in the testes of it that, size of testis is quite different in each other.
2. Examination on the contents of stomach revealed only beaks of squid and otoliths of fish as seen in Table 9. Considered that almost all squids and fish are deep water trait, this species of dolphins might eat food in the night and the species which they feed on are equal with those which the dolphins of Stenella caeruleoalba
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TABLE 2. SKELETAL MEASUREMENTS AND MERISTICS OF FIVE SPECIMENS OF LAGENODELPHIS HOSEI FRASER 1956, INCLUDING THE HOLOTYPE.

| Measurement or count | Sarawak specimen* (BMNH 1895.5.9.1 physically mature) | South African** female PBB 71/3 <br> (SAM 36323) <br> physically mature | South African** male PBB 71/4 (SAM 36323) physically mature | Western Pacific*** male KSW 72/5 (TKO 3--) physically mature | Western Pacific*** sex unknown HCY 69/10 (TKO 310) calf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condylobasal length | 413 mm ( $100 \%$ ) | 429 mm (100\%) | 440 mm (100\%) | 423 mm ( $100 \%$ ) | 415 mm ( $100 \%$ ) |
| Length of rostrum | 226 (54.7) | 240 (55.9) | 241 (54.8) | 235 (55.5) | 232 (56.1) |
| Width of rostrum at base | 121 (28.3) <br> (53.5\% of rost. $\ln$.) | $\begin{gathered} 119(27.7) \\ (40.6 \% \text { of rost. In.) } \end{gathered}$ | 130 (29.5) <br> (53.9\% of rost. ln.) | 128 (30.2) <br> (54.5\% of rost. ln.) | $\begin{gathered} 113(27.0) \\ (48.7 \% \text { of rost. } \mathrm{ln} .) \end{gathered}$ |
| Width of rostrum at midlength | 71 (17.2) | 71 (16.6) | 80 (18.2) | 77 (18.2) | 62 (14.9) |
| Width of rostrum 60 mm anterior to antorbital notches | 85 (20.5) | 86 (20.0) | 101 (23.0) | 91 (21.5) | 78 (18.8) |
| Least supraorbital width | 202 (48.8) | 202 (47.1) | 218 (49.5) | 209 (49.4) | 195 (47.0) |
| Preorbital width | 207 (50.1) | 207 (48.3) | 223 (50.7) | 213 (50.3) | 197 (47.5) |
| Postorbital width | 230 (55.7) | 229 (53.4) | 247 (56.1) | 234 (55.3) | 210 (50.0) |
| Zygomatic width | 225 (54.5) | 225 (52.4) | 240 (54.5) | 229 (54.1) | broken |
| Parietal width | 170 (41.2) | 161 (37.5) | 177 (40.2) | 190 (44.9) | 161 (38.8) |
| Maximum width of premaxillae | 82 (19.9) | 78 (18.2) | 83 (18.9) | 70 (16.5) | 74 (17.8) |
| Length of upper right toothrow | 194 (46.9) |  |  | 201 (47.5) | 207 (49.9) |
| Length of upper left toothrow | 194 (46.9) | 193 (45.0) | 213 (48.4) | 199 (47.0) | 203 (48.9) |
| Length of lower right toothrow | 194 (46.9) |  |  | 195 (46.0) | 207 (49.9) |
| Length of lower left toothrow | 193 (46.7) | 198 (46.2) | 207 (47.0) | 192 (45.3) | 208 (50.1) |
| Length of right ramus | 349 (84.5) |  |  | 356 (84.1) | 355 (85.5) |
| Length of left ramus | 350 (84.7) | 367 (85.5) | 375 (85.2) | broken | 357 (86.0) |
| Coronoid height of left ramus | 70 (16.9) | 65 (15.2) | 71 (16.1) | 70 (16.5) | 66 (15.9) |
| Length of symphysis | 36 ( 8.7) | 37 ( 8.6) | 31 ( 7.0) | 32 ( 7.5) | 65 (15.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 41 ( 9.9) |
| Number of teeth | 43 | 40 42 <br> 39 39 | $42 \mid 42$ | 40 42 <br> 37  | 41\|39 |
| Number or teeth | ca. 40 年 42 | 39 \| 39 | 41 \| 40 | $37+140$ | 41 \| 42 |
| Total number of vertebrae | $80+2$ | 78 | $78+1$ | 81 |  |
| Vertebral formula | C7 T15 L21 C37 $\pm 2$ | C7 T16 L21 C34 | C7 T16 $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{C} 55 \pm 1$ | C7 T15 L20 C39 |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Percentage of condylobasal length in parentheses. } & * \text { British Museum (Natural History, London, holotype). } \\ \text { ** South African Museum, Capetown. } & * * * \text { Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo. }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
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TABLE 3. DIMENSIONS OF VERTEBRAE (mm)

|  | Vertebra No. | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |  | Vertebra No. | A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1 |  | 37 | 81 | 94 | 165 | 22 | 37 | Ca | 1 | 23 | 40 | 41 | 133 | 172 | 20 | 9 |
|  | 2 | 171) |  |  |  | 81 |  |  |  | 2 | 23 |  |  | 132 | 165 |  |  |
|  | 3 | $17{ }^{1}$ |  |  | 65 | 52 |  |  |  | 3 | 23 |  |  | 129 | 159 |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 70 | 44 |  |  |  | 4 | 23 |  |  | 125 | 157 |  |  |
|  | 5 | ) |  |  | 68 | 49 |  |  |  | 5 | 23 |  |  | 122 | 1492) |  |  |
|  | 6 | ) |  |  | 67 | 53 |  |  |  | 6 | 23 |  |  | 119 | 151 |  |  |
|  | 7 | 4 | 30 | 37 | 63 | 51 | 21 | 32 |  | 7 | 23 |  |  | 117 | 149 |  |  |
| D | 1 | 10 | 32 | 40 | 81 | 112 | 23 | 37 |  | 8 | 23 |  |  | 115 | 150 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 14 |  |  | 95 | 125 |  |  |  | 9 | 23 |  |  | 113 | 146 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 19 |  |  | 96 | 129 |  |  |  | 10 | 23 |  |  | 109 | 139 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 24 |  |  | 98 | 127 |  |  |  | 11 | 23 | 42 | 45 | 106 | 137 | 10 | 6 |
|  | 5 | 27 |  |  | 106 | 131 |  |  |  | 12 | 23 |  |  | $97^{2}$ | 135 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 32 |  |  | 107 | 138 |  |  |  | 13 | 24 |  |  | $88{ }^{2)}$ | 126 |  |  |
|  | 7 | 33 | 31 | 32 | 116 | 148 | 28 | 32 |  | 14 | 24 |  |  | $84^{2)}$ | 117 |  |  |
|  | 8 | 33 |  |  | 118 | 152 |  |  |  | 15 | 24 |  |  | $80^{2)}$ | 108 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 34 |  |  | 125 | 164 |  |  |  | 16 | 25 |  |  | 90 | 96 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 35 |  |  | 133 | 174 |  |  |  | 17 | 25 |  |  | 86 | 80 |  |  |
|  | 11 | 35 |  |  | 133 | 188 |  |  |  | 18 | 26 |  |  | 84 | 60 |  |  |
|  | 12 | 36 |  |  | 139 | 194 |  |  |  | 19 | 27 |  |  | 78 | 49 |  |  |
|  | 13 | 36 |  |  | 145 | 210 |  |  |  | 20 | 28 | 42 | 41 | 76 | 45 | 4 | 4 |
|  | 14 | 34 |  |  | 149 | 244 |  |  |  | 21 | 29 |  |  | 72 | 39 |  |  |
|  | 15 | 34 |  |  | 151 | 256 |  |  |  | 22 | 29 |  |  | 66 | 35 |  |  |
| L | 1 | 32 | 35 | 37 | 148 | 247 | 25 | 18 |  | 23 | 28 |  |  | 62 | 33 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 30 |  |  | 153 | 241 |  |  |  | 24 | 27 |  |  | 53 | 32 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 30 |  |  | 156 | 240 |  |  |  | 25 | 25 | 39 |  | 44 | 33 | 1 | 1 |
|  | 4 | 29 |  |  | 161 | 233 |  |  |  | 26 | 25 |  |  | 35 | 32 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 29 |  |  | 164 | 233 |  |  |  | 27 | 15 |  |  | 28 | 33 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 27 |  |  | 164 | 230 |  |  |  | 28 | 12 |  |  | 22 | 32 |  |  |
|  | 7 | 27 |  |  | 163 | 227 |  |  |  | 29 | 10 |  |  | 18 | 32 |  |  |
|  | 8 | 26 |  |  | 165 | 223 |  |  |  | 30 | 10 |  |  | 17 | 32 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 25 |  |  | 165 | 218 |  |  |  | 31 | 10 |  |  | 16 | 29 |  |  |
|  | 10 | 25 |  |  | 166 | 216 |  |  |  | 32 | 9 |  |  | 14 | 27 |  |  |
|  | 11 | 25 | 37 | 39 | 162 | 215 | 27 | 13 |  | 33 | 8 |  |  | 13 | 25 |  |  |
|  | 12 | 24 |  |  | 161 | 206 |  |  |  | 34 | 8 |  |  | 11 | 23 |  |  |
|  | 13 | 24 |  |  | 157 | 205 |  |  |  | 35 | 7 |  |  | 9 | 20 |  |  |
|  | 14 | 24 |  |  | 153 | 204 |  |  |  | 36 | 7 |  |  | 8 | 17 |  |  |
|  | 15 | 23 |  |  | 152 | 195 |  |  |  | 37 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 14 |  |  |
|  | 16 | 23 |  |  | 150 | 193 |  |  |  | 38 | 4 |  |  | 5 | 9 |  |  |
|  | 17 | 23 |  |  | 145 | 188 |  |  |  | 39 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |
|  | 18 | 23 |  |  | 143 | $185^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19 | 24 |  |  | 138 | 188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 | 23 |  |  | 136 | 181 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A : Length of body at ventro-laterally below the transverse process. B: Height of body at front end. C: Breadth of body at front end. D : Total height from anterior bottom. E: Bilateral breadth of transverse processes. F: Greatest height of neural canal. G: Greatest breadth of neural canal.
${ }^{1)}$ Each vertebra is united.
${ }^{2)}$ Broken.
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TABLE 4. DIMENSIONS OF RIBS OF KAMOGAWA SPECIMEN (mm)

| No. of ribs |  | A |  | B |  | C |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | L. | R. | L. | R. | L. | R. |
| Vertebral ribs | 1 | 180 | 185 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 19 |
|  | 2 | 281 | 288 | 15 | 16 | 25 | 26 |
|  | 3 | 360 | 360 | 10 | 10 | 32 | 32 |
|  | 4 | 400 | 402 | 9 | 8 | 37 | 37 |
|  | 5 | 414 | 418 | 8 | 8 | 39 | 38 |
|  | 6 | 380 | 380 | 8 | 8 | - | - |
|  | 7 | 371 | 376 | 8 | 12* | - | - |
|  | 8 | 369 | 375 | 8 | 7 | - | - |
|  | 9 | 371 | 375 | 8 | 8 | - | - |
|  | 10 | 365 | 365 | 8 | 7 | - | - |
|  | 11 | 345 | 345 | 7 | 7 | - | - |
|  | 12 | 315 | 315 | 7 | 7 | - | - |
|  | 13 | 307 | 312 | 7 | 7 | - | - |
|  | 14 | 284 | 284 | 4 | 5 | - | - |
|  | 15 | 196 | 192 | 4 | 4 | - | - |
| Sternal ribs | 1 | 81 | 82 | 14 | 14 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 89 | 89 | 13 | 13 |  |  |
|  | 3 | 110 | 111 | 9 | 9 |  |  |
|  | 4 | 122 | 121 | 8 | 7 |  |  |
|  | 5 | 130 | 128 | 6 | 6 |  |  |
|  | 6 | 138 | 137 | 6 | 6 |  |  |
|  | 7 | 144 | 145 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
|  | 8 | 154 | 146 | 6 | 6 |  |  |
|  | 9 | 133 | ** | 6 | ** |  |  |
|  | 10 | 92 | 93 | 4 | 4 |  |  |

A : Length along visceral border. B: Breadth at middle. C: Distance between two heads.

* Deformed
** Lost


Fig. 3. Chevron bones of Kamogawa specimen.


Fig. 4 Hyoid bones of Kamogawa specimen (left) and Kaohsiung specimen (right)
TABLE 5. DIMENSIONS OF HYOID BONES OF KAMOGAWA SPECIMEN (mm)


TABLE 6. DIMENSIONS OF STERNUMS OF KAMOGAWA SPECIMENS (mm)


| A | 216 | J | 27 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B | 94 | K | 69 |
| C | 113 | L | 66 |
| D | 52 | M | 54 |
| E | 40 | N | 27 |
| F | 39 | O | 44 |
| G | 38 | P | 9 |
| H | 39 | Q L. | 29 |
| I | 26 |  | R. |
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Fig. 5. Pelvic bones of Kamogawa specimen. upper: right (left of the picture is anterior)


Fig. 6. Scapulac of Kamogawa specimen.

## TABLE 7. DIMENSIONS OF SCAPULAE OF KAMOGAWA SPECIMEN (mm)

| Measured <br> part | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | 193 | 119 | 144 | 84 | 43 | 45 | 19 | 28 | 28 | 18 |
| Right | 188 | 121 | 146 | 96 | 58 | 49 | 19 | 27 | 28 | 20 |
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## TABLE 8. WEIGHT OF ORGANS IN PROPORTION TO THE BODY WEIGHT

| 235 cm M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Body weight |  | 129 kg | 100\% | Kidney | L. | 390 | 0.30 |
| Esophagus |  | 150 g | 0.11\% |  | R. | 380 | 0.29 |
| Heart |  | 1010 | 0.78 | Adrenals | L. | 7 | 0.0053 |
| Lung | L. | 1720 | 1.33 |  | R. | 8 | 0.0058 |
|  | R . | 1540 | 1.19 | Testis | L. | 990 | 0.76 |
| Trachea |  | 150 | 0.11 |  | R. | 260 | 0.20 |
| Stomach |  | 1200 | 0.93 | Thyroid |  | 17 | 0.01 |
| Spleen |  | 69 | 0.05 | Tongue |  | 500 | 0.38 |
| Liver |  | 3160 | 2.44 | Intestine |  | 2660 | 2.06 |
| Interseptum |  | 1050 | 0.81 | Total weight |  | 16112 g | 12.49 |
| Pancreas |  | 140 | 0.10 | Intestine Length |  | 13.3 m |  |

TABLE 9. NUMBERS AND KINDS OF FISH OTOLITHS, SQUID BEAKS FOUND IN STOMACH AND INTESTINE OF LAGENODELPHIS HOSEI COLLECTED AT KAMOGAWA, JAPAN, MAY 1972.

| Kind of fishes and squids eaten | Stomach | Intestine | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentinidae |  |  |  |
| Argentina semifascista |  | 1 | 1 |
| Coryphaenoididae | 17 |  | 17 |
| Gonostomatidae |  |  |  |
| Ichtyococus elongatus | 310 | 37 | 347 |
| Gonostomatid |  | 3 | 3 |
| Moridae |  |  |  |
| Physiculus sp.? | 8 | 3 | 11 |
| Myctophidae |  |  |  |
| Diaphus elucens? | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| Lampanyctus jordani |  | 2 | 2 |
| Sternoptychidae |  |  |  |
| Polyipnus asteroides | 71 | 4 | 75 |
| Unidentified | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Total | 418 | 61 | 479 |
| Gonatidae | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Loliginidae lower beak |  | 1 | 1 |
| Ommastrephidae upper beak | 1 |  | 1 |
| Onychoteuthidae upper beak | 2 |  | 2 |
| lower beak | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total | 6 | 1 | 7 |

* 6 small stone found in stomach.
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are on, so it can be said that they eat food without diving into the depth.
3. Parasitical examination revealed three Nematoda and one Tetrabothius sp . from the first stomach and 35 Bolbosoma sp. from the intestine, and number of cysts of Cestoda were found in the blubber around the anus about $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$.

## KAOHSIUNG SPECIMEN

This specimen was collected by H. C. Yang at the fishmarket in Kaohsiung City on Oct. 31, 1969.

Precede to this incident, Yang had come across with a male dolphin at the fishmarket on Oct. 24, 1969, length of which was 164 cm and its face was a close resemblance to the present specimen, but he could not be able to collect it. The dental formula of the dolphin was $\frac{39}{40} \quad 40$ (out of gum).

Unfortunately, Kaohsiung specimen is only a head portion, the body portions had already been sold as lumps of meat when the skull was found. Because of that, we could not collect body length nor identify sex of the animal and can not tell how it had been grown.

Nishiwaki saw the photographs of this specimen while he was passing Kaohsiung on his way home from the expedition on the fresh water river dolphins in East Pakistan (now Bangladesh). The photographs drew his attention and Nishiwaki and Yang, by joint effort, excavated the buried skull, cleaned it by boiling and sent it to the Ocean Research Institute in Tokyo. When Yang came up to Tokyo in Oct. 1970, as a research student of the institute, they again got together and studied on the present specimen. As a result, they identified it as a Lagenodelphis hosei. The specimen consists of a skull and a hyoid bones. The measurement on these are shown in Table 2 and Plate VI. The dental formula was counted as $\frac{39}{38} \quad 37$ at collection but later it was concluded as $\frac{41}{41} \quad 39$ at measurement.

Compared the measured values of the present specimen with those of the Sarawak specimen (holotype) or other specimens of this species in the South African Museum (by the kindest help of Dr. Perrin), this skull is slenderer in shape and the beak of which is longer than that of the others.

The shape of the hyoid bones are well fit to those of the holotype. It can be said that the present skull is of a young female.
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## EXPLANATION OF PLATES

PLATE I
External features of Lagenodelphis hosei, Kamogawa specimen.
Top to bottom:
Lateral, ventral and dorsal view.
PLATE II
Skull of Lagenodelphis hosei, Kamogawa specimen.
Top to bottom: Dorsal, ventral and lateral view.
PLATE III
Skull and mandible of Lagenodelphis hosei, Kamogawa specimen.
Top to bottom:
Posterior view of skull.
Dorsal and lateral view of mandible.
PLATE IV
Vertebrae of Lagenodelphis hosei, Kamogawa specimen.
Top to bottom:
Cervical and dorsal, lumbar, caudal (1-19) and caudal (20-39) vertebrae.
PLATE V
Ribs and X-ray photographs of flippers of Lagenodelphis hosei, Kamogawa specimen.
Top to bottom:
Rib cage, right and left flipper.

## PLATE VI

Head and skull of Lagenodelphis hosei, Kaohsiung specimen.
Top to bottom:
Left side; dosal, lateral and ventral view of head.
Right side; dorsal, lateral and ventral view of skull.

[^14]





THE INSTITUTE OF CETACEAN RESEARCH




Sci. Rep. Whales Res. Inst.,
No. 25, 1973.

# FOOD OF STENELLA CAERULEOALBA 

NOBUYUKI MIYAZAKI<br>TAKAYA KUSAKA<br>AND<br>MASAHARU NISHIWAKI<br>Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo


#### Abstract

Food contents of 27 stomachs of Stenella caeruleoalba taken from two schools were examined. In total, 5410 fishes were identified by otolith and 1,448 fishes by facial bones. Four species from 3 genera and 3 families were identified by otolith and 31 species from 14 genera and 11 families by facial bones. Two species from 2 genera and 2 families were observed in 35 squids contained and 4 species from 4 genera and 3 families in 1,971 shrimps. Myctophid fishes and Bentheogennema borealis were dominant in number in the food components. All species identified are pelagic or semipelagic. The estimated body length of fishes, the mantle length of squids, and the total length of shrimps are in the range of $60-300 \mathrm{~mm}, 95-190 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $38-130 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively. The number of specimens of food components with luminous organs amounted to $74 \%$ of the total number of all specimens identified.


## INTRODUCTION

Schools of blue white dolphin, Stenella caeruleoalba are found around the coast of Oshima Island mostly from southeast to north (Tobayama, 1969) and several thousands individuals of this animal are caught commercially in Sagami Bay throughout autumn and early winter (Tobayama, 1969; Kasuya, 1972). Accordingly, this animal is considered to migrate into Sagami Bay in autumn and early winter.

The study of the food and the food habits of this population may be important for recognizing the behavior and a cycle of ecosystem to which this animal belongs.

In the present paper, species, numbers and sizes of the food specimens in the stomach contents of 27 blue white dolphins from two schools were studied.

## MATERIAL AND METHOD

In this study, contents of the first stomach were examined. The dolphins were chosen randomly from 13 and 14 individuals of schools A and B respectively. Both schools were found in Sagami Bay (Fig. 1) and driven into Kawana harbor by fishermen. Biological examination suggests that school A is considered to be a breeding school consisted of sexually maturged animals and their calves, and school $B$ to be a nonbreeding school consisted of sexually immatured animals. Other

TABLE 1. BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION OF SCHOOLS A AND B, STENELLA CAERULEOALBA.

| Items |  |  | School A | School B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time of found |  |  | 09:00 2 Dec. 1970 | 06:3010 Dec. 1970 |
| Position of found |  |  | $34^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \quad 139^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $34^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \quad 139^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ |
| Time of expire |  |  | 13:00 2 Dec. 1970 | 09:00 10 Dec. 1970 |
| School size |  |  | 256 | 88 |
| No. of individuals examined |  |  | 255 | 77 |
| No. of stomachs collected |  |  | 13 | 14 |
| Range of body length |  |  | $108-250 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $182-245 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| School composition | M | fermale | 54 | 0 |
|  |  | male | 56 | 16 |
|  | IM | female | 59 | 16 |
|  |  | male | 86 | 45 |

information is shown in Table 1.
The samples were taken to the laboratory after they had been freezed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the laboratory, specimens were separated into three groups, fishes, squids and shrimps, then their species were identified.

As it has been formerly done (Kusaka, 1969, 1970; Kusaka and Thuc, 1972), fish species were used to be identified mostly by facial bones mainly by the urohyals, and partly by the otoliths. The number of specimens was counted by urohyals and otoliths. All otoliths refered in this report were sagittae. The body length of fish specimens was estimated from the size of the urohyal. Squid species were identified only by the half digested body and not by the beaks. The number of specimens, however, was counted by the beaks. Shrimp species were identified by the half digested individuals by the help of Dr. Y. Aizawa, and the number of specimens was also counted.

## RESULT

## 1. The weight of stomach content

The weight of stomach contents of 26 dolphins is shown in Table 2. The average weight of those from school A is almost similar to those from school B .
2. Fish

In 27 stomachs of the dolphins 1,448 fishes found, were identified by facial bones and 31 species from 14 genera and 11 families were detected (Table 3). Among these fishes, 1,234 fishes of 13 species were found in both schools, 210 fishes of 15 species, were found only in school B and 4 fishes of 3 species, were found only in school A (Table 3).

Myctophid fishes are dominant in number amounting to $63.9 \%$ of the total number.

Myctophidae spp., Polyipnus spinosus, Gonostomatidae sp. and Chauliodontidae spp. have luminous organs.


Fig. 1. Surface isothermal line around Sagami Bay in Dec. 1970 (Japanese Maritime Safety Agency, 1970), with the position of finding of the schools A and B. Broken line indicates 200 m contour line of the depth. K and F indicate Kawana and Futo.

The ranges of estimated body length of fish species are shown in Fig. 3.
By the otoliths 5,410 fishes were identified and represented 4 species belong to 3 genera and 3 families. These four species are Diaphus elucens, Polyipnus spinosus, Diaphus coeruleus and Argentina semifasciata.

The average number of fishes in the stomachs counted by the number of otoliths is higher than that counted by the number of urohyals (Table 2, Fig. 2). This difference in number may indicate the fact that otoliths remain in the first stomach longer than urohyals. Possibly, the process of digestion is more proceeded in the stomachs from school A than in those from school B, because the ratio of the number of urohyals to that of otoliths is lower in the stomachs from school A.
3. Squid

Thirty five squids found in 19 stomachs were identified by the half digested specimens and represented 2 species from 2 genera and 2 families (Table 4).

The number of individuals and the mantle length frequency of these two

TABLE 2. THE CONTENT OF FIRST STOMACHS OF STENELLA GAERULEOALBA.

| School | Serial Number | Weight of Stomach content (g) |  |  |  | Squid |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shrimp } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \text { H.D.I. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. Otoliths/2 | No. Urohyals | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { H.D.I. } \end{aligned}$ | No. Beaks | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { H.D.I. } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| A | 2 | 1500 | 116 | 57 | 10 | 47 | 2 | 154 |
|  | 3 | - | 124 | 39 |  | 31 | 3 | 120 |
|  | 4 | 1150 | 178 | 35 | 1 | 37 | 3 | 85 |
|  | 5 | 660 | 105 | 23 |  | 31 |  | 173 |
|  | 21 | 1380 | 133 | 35 |  | 42 | 3 | 58 |
|  | 29 | 885 | 209 | 12 |  | 42 | 1 | 189 |
|  | 30 | 1245 | 265 | 11 |  | 29 | 4 | 21 |
|  | 31 | 440 | 135 | 13 |  | 35 |  | 6 |
|  | 32 | 410 | 283 | 12 |  | 8 |  | 13 |
|  | 33 | 1310 | 135 | 23 | 8 | 47 | 2 | 86 |
|  | 34 | 500 | 309 | 9 |  | 46 |  | 93 |
|  | 35 | 965 | 129 | 19 |  | 38 | 3 | 106 |
|  | 36 | 1285 | 49 | 27 |  | 13 | 1 | 140 |
|  | Total | 11730 | 2170 | 315 | 19 | 456 | 22 | 1244 |
|  | Ave. | 978 | 167 | 24 |  | 35 |  | 96 |
|  | S.D. | 392 | 77 | 14 |  | 13 |  | 60 |
| B | 1 | 2095 | 235 | 189 |  | 139 |  | 67 |
|  | 15 | 1010 | 149 | 90 |  | 89 | 1 | 34 |
|  | 16 | 285 | 175 | 55 |  | 75 |  | 25 |
|  | 17 | 1000 | 308 | 81 |  | 128 | 2 | 20 |
|  | 18 | 1465 | 304 | 30 | 3 | 27 | 1 | 208 |
|  | 19 | 1595 | 117 | 25 | 14 | 27 | 1 | 167 |
|  | 20 | 1650 | 302 | 140 |  | 94 | 1 | 48 |
|  | 22 | 910 | 172 | 97 | 1 | 108 | 2 | 9 |
|  | 23 | 1135 | 189 | 54 | 1 | 98 |  | 14 |
|  | 24 | 935 | 172 | 51 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 62 |
|  | 25 | 1095 | 199 | 98 |  | 156 | 1 | 17 |
|  | 26 | 1110 | 371 | 100 |  | 121 |  | 4 |
|  | 27 | 1140 | 192 | 75 |  | 70 | 1 | 36 |
|  | 28 | 790 | 355 | 48 |  | 174 | 2 | 16 |
|  | Total | 16215 | 3240 | 1133 | 30 | 1349 | 13 | 727 |
|  | Ave. | 1158 | 231 | 81 |  | 96 |  | 52 |
|  | S.D. | 434 | 81 | 44 |  | 50 |  | 61 |

H.D.I. : indicates half digested individuals.
species are shown in Fig. 4.
Todarodes pacificus is found in both schools. Symplectoteuthis luminosa is found only in school B.

The number of beaks is higher in school B than in school A (Table 2, Fig. 2). 4. Shrimp

In 27 stomachs 1,971 shrimps found were identified by the half digested shrimps. Four species from 4 genera and 3 families were observed (Table 5). All individuals except one belong to 3 species. Only individual of 1 species is from school B.

Bentheogennema borealis is dominant in number which amounts to $85.6 \%$ of the


Fig. 2. Number of individuals eaten by Stenella caeruleoalba in schools A and B. Vertical line, range; box, range of a standard deviation; horizontal line in box, average.
total number of shrimps.
The ranges of the total length of B. borealis and Pasiphaea sp. are $38-68 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $110-130 \mathrm{~mm}$, respectively.

The number of individuals found in school $A$ is almost similar to that in school $B$ (Table 2, Fig. 2).

## DISCUSSION

The number of fish specimens is $59 \%$ of the total number of all specimens. It is considered that Stenella caeruleoalba feeds mainly on fish. Among fish species, Myctophids is the most dominant in number. The results are similar to those
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TABLE 3. SPEGIES AND NUMBERS OF FISHES IN
School A

Serial number $\rightarrow$|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Species of fishes $\downarrow$

Myctophidae
Myctophum orientale

| Diaphus elucens | 26 | 31 | 20 | 9 | 19 | 4 | 1 |  | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 137 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Diaphus coeruleus
Lampanyctus jordani
Diaphus sp. A
1
1
Diaphus sp. B
Nemichthyidae

| Nemichthys scolopaceus | 9 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Emmelichthyidae
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}\text { Erythrocles schlegeli } & 12 & 1 & 3 & 7 & 6 & 3 & 6 & 12 & 8 & 8 & 4 & 9 & 15 & 94\end{array}$
Erythrocles sp.
Chauliodontidae
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Chauliodus sloani } & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 12\end{array}$
Chauliodus sp.
Paralepididae

| Lestidium sp. A | 1 | I |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lestidium sp. B | 1 |  | 1 | I | 1 |  |  | 1 | 5 |

Lestidium sp. B
1
Lestidium sp. D
Lestidium sp. E
$1 \quad 1$
Lestidium sp. F
Lestidium sp. G
Lestidium sp. H
Sternoptychidae
Polyipnus spinosus
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Argyropelecus hemigymnus } & \mathbf{1} & 1 & 2\end{array}$
Argentinidae



Acinaceidae
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Acinacea sp. A } & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3\end{array}$
Acinacea sp. B
Acinacea sp. C 1
Acinacea sp. D
Acinacea sp. E
Lutjanidae
Lutjanus sp.
Priacanthidae
Priacanthus sp.
Gonostomatidae
Gonostoma sp .
$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}\text { Total species number } & 8 & 6 & 9 & 4 & 8 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 6 & 6 & \\ \text { Total individual number } & 57 & 39 & 35 & 23 & 35 & 12 & 11 & 13 & 12 & 23 & 9 & 19 & 27 & 315\end{array}$

THE STOMACHS OF STENELLA CAERULEOALBA.

| School B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | Total |  |
| 6 | 7 | 5 | 4 |  |  | 9 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 67 | 67 |
| 84 | 59 | 27 | 40 | 14 | 6 | 67 | 60 | 30 | 31 | 51 | 73 | 35 | 32 | 609 | 746 |
| 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 23 | 27 |
| 36 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 2 | 30 |  | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 80 | 80 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 4 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 18 | 4 | 7 | 23 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 15 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 5 | 21 | 6 | 150 | 190 |
| 94 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 6 | 12 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 117 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 9 | 8 | 5 |  | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 77 | 89 |
| 4 | 1 |  | 4 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 21 | 21 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 7 | 10 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 6 | 11 |
|  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | 19 |
| 2 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 15 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 9 | 12 |
|  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6 | 6 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| 17 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 5 |  |  |
| 189 | 90 | 55 | 81 | 30 | 25 | 140 | 97 | 54 | 51 | 98 | 100 | 75 | 48 | 1133 | 1448 |
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TABLE 4. SPECIES AND NUMBERS OF SQUIDS IN

|  | School A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serial number $\rightarrow$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 21 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | Total |
| Species of squids $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Todarodes pacificus | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 1 | 33 |
| Symplectoteuthis luminosa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unidentified species | 47 | 31 | 37 | 31 | 42 | 42 | 29 | 35 | 8 | 47 | 46 | 48 | 13 | 456 |
| Total | 49 | 34 | 40 | 31 | 45 | 43 | 33 | 35 | 8 | 49 | 46 | 51 | 14 | 478 |

TABLE 5. SPEGIES AND NUMBERS OF SHRIMPS IN
School A
Serial number $\rightarrow$


Species of shrimps $\downarrow$

| Bentheogennema borealis | 147 | 116 | 70 | 157 | 50 | 168 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 65 | 74 | 97 | 136 | 1111 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pasiphaea sp. | 6 |  | 13 | 15 | 8 | 19 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 21 | 14 | 7 | 4 | 116 |
| Acanthephyra sp. | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 |  | 17 |

Acanthephyra sp.
Aristeinae sp.

| Total | 154 | 120 | 85 | 173 | 58 | 189 | 21 | 6 | 13 | 86 | 93 | 106 | 140 | 1244 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Fig. 3. Range and average (open circle) of the estimated body length of fishes eaten by Stenella caeruleoalba in schools A and B. Number indicates the number of individuals.
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THE STOMACHS OF STENELLA CAERULEOALBA.

| School B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | Total |
|  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 9 |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 139 | 89 | 75 | 128 | 27 | 27 | 94 | 108 | 98 | 43 | 156 | 121 | 70 | 174 | 1349 |
| 139 | 90 | 75 | 130 | 28 | 28 | 95 | 110 | 98 | 44 | 157 | 121 | 71 | 176 | 1362 |

THE STOMAGHS OF STENELLA CAERULEOALBA.

| $c$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | 25 | 17 | 9 | 194 | 164 | 39 |  | 5 | 58 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 576 |
| 4 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 17 | 3 | 34 | 15 | 145 |
|  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 67 | 34 | 25 | 20 | 208 | 167 | 48 | 9 | 14 | 62 | 17 | 4 | 36 | 16 | 727 |



Fig. 4. Mantle length frequency of squids in the stomachs of Stenella caeruleoalba. Top: Symplectoteuthis luminosa.
Bottom: Todarodes pacificus
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formerly studied on Stenella longirostris and Stenella graffmani (Fitch and Brownell, 1968). Gonostomatidae spp. is commonly eaten by the above 3 Stenella species. Bathylagidae sp., Bregmacerotidae sp., Centrolophidae sp., Paralepididae sp. and Exocoetidae sp. have formerly been found in S. longirostris or S. graffmani (Fitch and Brownell, 1968). These species of fish inhabit in the adjacent waters of Japan, but they are not found from $S$. caeruleoalba in this study. The fish species which are found in $S$. caeruleoalba but neither in $S$. longirostris nor in $S$. graffmani, are 24 species belong to 9 genera and 9 families. Especially, Chaulidus sloani, Nemichthys scolopaceus and Erythrocles schlegeli are found abundantly from S. caeruleoalba.

The range of estimated body length of fishes from $S$. caeruleoalba is $60-300 \mathrm{~mm}$. Nemichthys scolopaceus which is very slender (B. L. $350-1,000 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), is an exception. The result is similar to that formerly studied on S. longirostris and graffmani.

Fish species of Diaphus elucens, Nemichthys scolopaceus and Erythrocles schlegeli, and shrimp species of Bentheogennema borealis and Pasiphaea sp. are found abundantly in most of the stomachs of the dolphins studied this time.

Fish species of Myctophidae spp., Polyipnus spinosus, Gonostomatidae sp. and Chauliodontidae spp. and squid species of Symplectoteuthis luminosa have luminous organs. The number of specimens of these species amounts to $74 \%$ of the total number of specimens identified in the present study.
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# POSSIBLE VESTIGIAL TEATS OF KILLER WHALE 

MASAHARU NISHIWAKI<br>Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo

In each species of mammal, the mammary gland has developed through many steps of evolution. As seen in the platypus, the most primitive stage of the mammary gland has no distinct teats. Mammals that have multiple birth, e.g. the pig, have multiple teats others show some trace of origin from multiple teats but have changed substantially to a pair or pairs while the remaining teats have degenerated.

In evolutionary sequence two row of teats, in pairs, occur along the whole ventral surface between the forelimbs and the anus. In the elephant and the dugong, the first teats, located between the forelimbs have remained, in primates it is the second pair, and in some ungulates, the rear ones have remained. The vestiges of once functional teats are seen in many mammals. It is often seen in man that a tiny spot is found at an arm base as a vestige of the first teats and a spot sometimes present on the abdomen is likley to be one of the third teats. Sometimes these are found even in males.

To date, the teats of cetaceans have been considered as derived from the most rear pair. In my own experience I have observed thousands of whales over a long period and have examined the ventral surface in nearly every case. However I had seen no such vestige until recently.

When keeping the killer whale became popular among oceanariums of the world, I saw for the first time a tiny spot or two on some killer whales' bellies. Then in 1971, when I paid a visit to the Vancouver Public Aquarium I met " Hyak", a well trained killer whale, and found a pair of clear spots on his beautiful white belly. Then I had a chance to observe another case on the belly of one of the specimens in the Kamogawa Seaworld. Though, there has been no anatomical proof until now, those spots might be vestigial teats. The observed individuals are all thriving in each oceanarium. So, I would like to publish the evidences as a fact, in order to evoke interest of scientists and aquarium attendants in the hope that any one who has a chance to dissect a body of a killer whale, will not miss an anatomical examination on the spot and publish a report on a problematic vestige.

I should like to express here, my sincerest gratitude to Dr. M. A. Newman of the Vancouver Public Aquarium for his cooperation since I first saw Hyak until this publication and to Miss Susan Hoffer, research assistant to Dr. Newman, for much trouble in taking photographs of Hyak from difficult angles. I am also deeply appreciative of the kind help by the staff members of the Kamogawa Seaworld.

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE

The belly of the killer whale with vestigial teats.
Fig. 1. "Jumbo" of the Kamogawa Seaworld (upper left).
Fig. 2. "Hyak" of the Vancouver Public Aquarium (upper right and Fig. 3 (lower).



# GOUNTING AND MEASURING BALEEN AND VENTRAL GROOVES OF WHALES 

GORDON R. WILLIAMSON*<br>Shimonoseki University of Fisheries, Shimonoseki


#### Abstract

Counts and measurements of baleen and ventral grooves are important in taxonomic descriptions of whale species and in feeding studies. Standard methods are needed. This paper proposes a standard system.

Definitions are given for: baleen plate, hair, bristle, tip and base of a plate, filter area of the whole baleen, ventral groove.

Measurement methods are given for: counting baleen, measuring length, width and thickness of a plate, density of spacing and average gap between plates, diameter of bristles, filter area of the whole baleen, counting ventral grooves.


## INTRODUCTION

Counts and measurements of the baleen and ventral grooves of mysticete whales have frequently formed part of the systematic description of species. However, the criteria and methods used to obtain these data have seldom been defined.

It is desirable that the methods be standardised. The standard methods adopted must be simple, easy to repeat with accuracy and meaningful in terms of the function of the structures concerned. This paper suggests such standard methods and is based on the opinions of the experts listed in the acknowledgements section.

Definitions and measurements covered in this paper are:

## Baleen

definitions: Baleen
Baleen series
Side of baleen
Main baleen plate
Minor baleen plate
Hair
Bristle
Tip of baleen plate
Base of baleen plate
measurements: Counts of baleen
Length of baleen series
Length and width of a baleen plate

* Present address: Heather Cottage, Kessock, Inverness, Scotland, Britain.

Length and width of a baleen lamina
Thickness of a plate
Average density of spacing of plates
Average gap between plates
Diameter of bristles
Length of bristles
Density of spacing of bristles
Baleen Filter Area
definition:
measurement: Filter area of the baleen

## Ventral Grooves

measurements: Number of ventral grooves
Length of ventral grooves
Mandible to umbilicus length
Degree of separation of end of ventral grooves from umbilicus.


Fig. 1. Section of upper jaw of a sei whale showing position of the baleen.

SUGGESTED DEFINITIONS AND METHODS OF MEASUREMENT

## Baleen

Definitions
Baleen is the general name given to the keratinous filter attached to the upper jaw of mysticete whales (Figs. 1-9). On each side of the upper jaw is one baleen series or side of baleen. Each baleen series is composed of a series of baleen laminae. Each baleen lamina consists of a large main baleen plate on the outer side; several minor baleen plates and at the inner or lingual edge, some hairs. At the extreme front
and rear ends of a baleen series the baleen laminae are composed only of hairs. The shape of the main baleen plates of various species of whale are shown in Fig. 3.

Plates are components of the baleen series which at gum level have a width three or more times their thickness. Hairs are components of the baleen series arising directly from the gum whose width at gum level is less than three times their thickness (Figs. 4, 6 and 7).

The filter fibres that form a fringe on the side of each plate are called inner bristles, not hairs.

Tip of baleen plate (Figs. 3, 8) is the most distal part of the solid plate that be detected and beyond which the plate ceases to exist but is divided into many bristles. The base of the baleen plate is where it emerges from the gum.

Counts of baleen are made on the outside of the baleen series at gum level. Ideally, the number of structures on both sides of the jaw are counted and the average calculated. Three categories of structures could possibly be counted:

- the hairs at the front of the series.
- the baleen plates in the middle of the series (defined as in Baleen).
- the hairs at the back of the series.

These all correspond with baleen laminae. However, the hairs at the back of the series are too small to count accurately and in Right and Gray whales the anterior hairs are also too small to count accurately. Thus the baleen structures best counted are as follows

Rorqual and Humpback whales: the hairs at the front of the series plus the baleen plates

Right and Gray whales: the baleen plates only.
In Rorqual and Humpback whales the count is started at the first hair at the front of the baleen series. To discover the centre position at the front of the snout an imaginary line is drawn from the tip of the snout backwards between the two pits of Jacobsens organ until it reaches the baleen hairs (Fig. 6, top left). On a flensing deck this line can be marked on the gum with a knife.

Length of baleen series (Fig. 2) is the distance between the bases of the most anterior and the most posterior elements of the series measured in a straight line parallel to the axis of the body.

Lengths and widths of baleen plates and laminae are shown in Fig. 8. The two points between which measurement is made are given in each case following:

- Length of main baleen plate, straight ( AB ) is the distance from the tip of the plate to the insertion of the plate into the gum at the outer edge, measured in a straight line.
- Length of main baleen plate, curved ( AC ) is the distance from the tip of the plate to the the insertion in the gum of the base of the same fibres which make up the tip of the plate, measured along the growth axis of the fibres.
- Length inner edge of baleen lamina, straight (AD) is the distance from the base of the innermost hair of the lamina to the tip of the main plate of the lamina, measured in a straight line.
- Length inner edge of baleen lamina, curved (AD curved) is same as above, but measured along the curve connecting the bases of the bristles of the baleen plates and hairs.
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- Width of baleen plate (BE) is the distance between the base of the outer edge and the base of the inner edge of the plate, measured along the surface of the plate.
- Width of baleen lamina (BD) is the distance between the base of the outer edge of the main plate and the base of the innermost hair of the same lamina measured along the curve of the lamina base.

Thickness of a plate is the thickness measured in the mid area of the plate (point X in Fig. 8) i.e. approximately half way between the base and tip of the plate and half way between the outer and inner edge of the plate.

Density of spacing of plates is the distance between the centres of the edges of adjacent plates, measured at the outer edges of the plates midway along their lengths.

Gap between plates is the width of the gap separating a plate from its neighbour, though which water passes when the whale is feeding, measured at the outer edges of the plates midway along their lengths.

These two characters are useful in studies of the mechanics of filtering, thus are chiefly important when they relate to the central section of the baleen series which does most of the filtering. To achieve this aim, the average spacing and average gap between plates should be determined by taking measurements of the 100 longest baleen plates in the centre of the baleen series. The measurements should be made on the outer edge of the baleen series at a level approximately half way along the length of the plates (Fig. 2 top). The measurements should be made on baleen in situ in the whale's mouth, because the spacing becomes altered as soon as the baleen is cut free from the gum.

$$
\text { average spacing }=\begin{aligned}
& \text { length of that section of the baleen series } \\
& \text { made up by the } 100 \text { longest plates }
\end{aligned}
$$

100
average gap $=$ average spacing—average thickness of the 100 longest plates
Diameter of a bristle is the diameter of a bristle at its base, measured with a micrometer screw or microscope with eye-piece scale. To measure the average diameter of bristles of a plate the following procedure is recommended:

1. Cut all the bristles from three sites exactly 1 cm wide on the plate edge, one at the top, one at the middle and one at the bottom of the plate (Fig. 5).
2. Measure the diameter of the base of each bristle.
3. Calculate the average diameter of the bristles.

Length of a bristle is the distance from base to tip of a bristle. The value is only reliable if measured on a fresh whale, since bristles commonly break short in preserved plates. The average length of bristles on a plate can be determined by the same method as the average diameter of bristles.

Density of spacing of bristles is the average number of bristles arising per 1 cm of baleen plate edge, and is determined by counting the number of bristles cut off three 1 cm sites as described above.

In whales whose baleen plates are worn down at the tip, as often occurs, the measurements of bristles at the 1 cm site on the plate tip should be discounted.

## Filter Area

The filter area of the baleen series is the area of the inner surface of the combined left and right baleen series in the mouth of the whale. Since the inner surface of the baleen is somewhat curved (Fig. 1) it is difficult to measure the filter surface accurately. For convenience, it is suggested that a ' standard filter area', in which it is supposed that the inner surface is flat, be used for preliminary work. The 'true filter area' is the true total filter area of the baleen, taking into account all the various curves of the surface of the baleen.

Measurement of standard filter area can be done in several ways. To collect data on many whales at a busy shore station or factory ship, a photographic method is recommended (Fig. 9). The sequence of operations is as follows:

- species, length and sex (and/or platform number) of the whale are written on a black slate in chalk.
- whale details slate and a 1 meter or 50 cm rule are placed against the baleen series of the whale.
- a photograph of the baleen series is taken in which the camera is positioned as near as possible at right angles to the inner surface of the baleen, opposite to the centre of the baleen series. This can be achieved either by the photographer standing on a box or the whale's head being partially turned on edge using a winch.
- the film negative is projected onto graph paper and the outline of the baleen series and rule are traced. The outer border of the baleen series is drawn over the tips of the baleen plates, as defined earlier.
- the standard filter area is calculated by counting the number of graph paper squares covered by the traced outline of the baleen.

The standard filter area is a function of the length of the baleen series and of the length of the longest plate (straight length, as previously defined) of the series:
standard filter area $=$ series length $\times$ length of longest plate $\times \mathrm{k}$
From a good series of data the average value of $k$ for each species of whale can be calculated. When k is known, the standard filter area of any specimen can be calculated from a knowledge of the length in meters of the baleen series and the straight outer edge length of the longest plate only-provided that in different sized individuals and in different stocks the overall shape of the filter area remains similar.

A few preliminary measurements I made suggest that in Balaenoptera species the value of k is 2.2-2.7, in Eubalaena about 1.5.

The ultimate aim of filter studies will be to determine such fundamentals as - body weight supported per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of filter surface.

- weight of food collected per minute per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of filter surface.
and then to discuss the relative efficiency of the mouth anatomy and method of feeding in different whale species and the problems a whale experiences when trying to capture the different species of food organisms (krill, copepods, anchovy, mackerel etc.). Investigations on how the expandable grooved throat of rorquals is used to increase filtering efficiency will be of particular interest.


## Ventral Grooves

Number of ventral grooves is the number of grooves on the ventral surface of the whale counted at the level of the point where the grooves extend highest up the side of the body i.e. where the number of grooves is maximum. This point is located between the eye and the flipper of the whale (Figs. 10 and 12 top). Since a whale on a flensing deck lies on its side, it is usually impossible to count all the grooves. The best method to obtain the value is to look at the tip of the lower jaw and there locate the mid-ventral groove, follow it back to the level of the count, count the grooves round to the highest groove and double the result. The median groove can also be identified by locating the umbilicus and following forward the middle groove.

Length of ventral grooves (VGL) is the distance from the tip of the lower jaw to the posterior end of the longest ventral groove or grooves, measured in a straight line parallel to the axis of the whale's body, but excluding the mid-ventral groove that in some species runs between the umbilicus and genital aperture. (It is useless to measure the length of the ventral grooves along the curve of the throat because the throat of a dead whale is always unnaturally dilated).

Mandible to umbilicus length (MUL) is the distance from the tip of the lower jaw to the centre of the umbilicus measured in a straight line parallel to the axis of the whale's body.

Degree of separation of end of ventral grooves from umbilicus

$$
\text { degree of separation }=\left(\frac{\text { MUL-VGL }}{\text { total body length }}\right) \times 100
$$

In species where the ventral grooves terminate posterior to the umbilicus, the value will be negative.

## DISCUSSION

It is hoped that the standard methods for defining, counting and measuring ventral grooves and baleen plates of whalebone whales given in this paper may be adopted by future workers.

Much work remains to be done to document the range of values of these characters in different species, populations, ages and sizes of whales and to determine coversion factors that will enable such new data to be compared with published figures.
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Fig. 2. Top: Side view of baleen of a minke whale. Line marks position of the 100 longest plates measured when determining average density of spacing of plates and average gap between plates.
Bottom: Underside view of baleen of a minke whale showing how to measure the length of the baleen series.


Fig. 3. Baleen plates of various species of whales. The tip of each plate is marked with a dot.
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Fig. 4. Top: Diagram explaining difference between hairs and plates. Plates are defined as baleen elements which at gum level have a width three or more times their thickness.
Bottom: Front part of baleen of a sei whale showing the arc of hairs at the front of the mouth which joins the two sides of the baleen.


Fig. 5. Diagram of a baleen plate showing positions of the three sites from which bristles are cut off to measure their thickness and length.
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BACE
Fig. 6. Balect of a whale cut off at gun level.
Top left: Front of mouth.
Note the dense bunch of hairs at the apex of the jaw. Presumably these help prevent fish and krill escaping forwards when the feeding whale closes its mouth. Top right: Detait of front left part of series
Botton: Left rear part of scries.


Fig. 7. Diagram of baleen series of a generalised rorqual showing how to count hairs and plates.
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Fig. 8. Diagram of a baleen lamina of a generalised rorqual showing where to take various measurements.
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Fig. 9. Method of measuring filter area of a sei whale's baleen series.
Top: Photo of baleen is taken with camera positioned opposite to the centre and at $90^{\circ}$ to the inner surface of the baleen series.
Bottom: Projected onto graph paper, the outline of the baleen is traced along the tips of the plates and the area calculated by counting the number of graph paper squares covered.


Fig. 10. Diagram of body of a sei whale showing where to count and measure the ventral grooves.
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Fig. 11. Live sei whale seen from underwater showing ventral grooves.


Fig. 12. Top: Head of blue whale showing where to count the ventral grooves. The ventral grooves of rorquals extend highest up the side of the body in blue whales. In minke whales the uppermost groove is level with the angle of the jaw. Note the groove-free area below the rear part of the jaw bone.
Bottom left: Diagram showing how to count ventral grooves in cases where the grooves terminate or fork near the line of count.
Bottom right: Arrangement of ventral grooves of a male blue whale in the region of the umbilicus.
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# FATTY ACID GOMPONENT OF BLUBBER OIL OF AMAZON RIVER DOLPHIN 

HIDEO TSUYUKI<br>AND<br>SHINGO ITOH<br>Department of Food Engineering, College of Agriculture $\mathcal{E}$ Veterinary Medicine, Nihon University, Tokyo.


#### Abstract

The oils contained in 12 blubbers of Amazon dolphin, Inia geoffrensis, were studied for total lipid contents, chemical properties and fatty acid components. The oil contents of these blubbers had the range from $31.6 \%$ to $63.9 \%$. The fatty acid components of sample oils were analyzed by gas liquid chromatography. The analysis showed the presence of fatty acids with chain lengths from 5 to 24 carbon atoms and with zero to six double bonds. Eight kinds of fatty acids (iso- $\mathrm{C}_{12}, \mathrm{C}_{12}$, iso- $\mathrm{C}_{14}, \mathrm{C}_{14}, \mathrm{C}_{14: 1}, \mathrm{C}_{16}, \mathrm{C}_{16: 1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{18: 1}$ ) accounted for the range from $77.69 \%$ to $84.03 \%$ of the total fatty acid contents; 41 other acids were contained in low quantities. The fatty acid compositions of blubber oils in melon, lower jaw and root area of tail fin deviated distinctly from them of other blubber oils.


## INTRODUCTION

There are a few literatures on the oil of the dolphin in fresh water habitats. They are the literatures on the oil of Ganges river dolphin, Platanista indi and gangetica by Pathak et al (1956), Pilleri (1971), and Tsuyuki and Itoh (1971, 1972).

As to the study on the oil of Amazon dolphin, Inia geoffrensis, the lipid compositions of the dorsal blubber and the lower jaw fats have been reported in the literature by Ackman et al (1972).

This investigation was conducted to identify the fatty acid components in the various blubber oils of Amazon dolphin, and to examine if the fatty acid compositions or distribution patterns characterized different blubbers.

It is pleasure that we express here our thanks to Prof. Dr. M. Nishiwaki and Dr. T. Kasuya of Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo who were kind enough to present us the Amazon dolphin blubbers.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The material in this study was a 203 cm long, 80 kg weight, adult female Amazon dolphin, Inia geoffrensis. She was captured in 1969 at Leticia City (an upper stream of the Amazon river) in Brazil. She had been raised in the aquarium of "Kamogawa Seaworld" (Kamogawa City, Chiba Pref.). The sample blubbers were

TABLE 1. PROPERTIES OF OILS CONTAINED IN VARIOUS BLUBBERS OF AMAZON DOLPHIN.

| Parts of blubber | Oil content (\%) | Acid value | Iodine value | Sapon. value | Unsapon matters (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hind part of blow hole | 56.7 | 1.53 | 93.2 | 161.4 | 0.81 |
| Root area of dorsal fin |  |  |  |  |  |
| inner | 59.3 | 1.36 | 96.2 | 180.2 | 0.85 |
| outer | 41.2 | 1.81 | 98.5 | 170.6 | 0.82 |
| Abdominal side of ventral fin. | 44.2 | 1.27 | 87.8 | 184.7 | 0.95 |
| Abdominal side of anus |  |  |  |  |  |
| inner | 52.0 | 1.54 | 99.4 | 180.6 | 1.04 |
| outer | 40.6 | 1.28 | 106.3 | 172.8 | 0.97 |
| Upper side of ventral fin | 40.2 | 1.33 | 95.9 | 173.0 | 0.56 |
| Below side of dorsal fin |  |  |  |  |  |
| inner | 51.9 | 1.29 | 98.9 | 178.1 | 0.50 |
| outer | 43.6 | 1.52 | 100.1 | 176.5 | 0.44 |
| Root area of tail fin | 31.6 | 1.35 | 49.1 | 181.9 | 11.20 |
| Melon | 40.8 | 1.92 | 47.2 | 188.2 | 7.62 |
| Lower jaw | 63.9 | 1.04 | 28.6 | 165.6 | 28.66 |

obtained from 12 parts of her body within two days after she died in spring 1972.
The materials as inner blubber were obtained from the hind part of blow hole, the root area of dorsal fin, the abdominal side of ventral fin and anus, the upper side of ventral fin and the below side of dorsal fin. These inner blubbers were about 2030 mm thick and attached to meat. The materials as outer blubbers were recovered from the root area of dorsal fin, the abdominal side of ventral fin, the below side of ventral fin and the root area of tail fin, and had skin attached. The blubbers from the melon and the lower jaw had no skin.

The sample oils were obtained from these blubbers by extracting in a blender with chloroform/methanol ( $2 / 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), drying over sodium sulfate and taking off all solvents under nitrogen atmosphere. The properties of the sample oils were shown in Table 1. The fatty acid methyl esters from the sample oils were respectively prepared by the method of Metcalfe et al (1966), using $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$-methanol reagent. To remove unsaponifiable matters, crude methyl esters were then subjected to preparative thin layer chromatography on 0.75 mm thick layers of Wakogel B-5 (Wako Junyaku Kogyo) developed with petroleum ether-ethyl ether-glacial acetic acid (90: 10: $1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ).

Gas liquid chromatography (GLC) analysis of the purified methyl esters was quantitatively carried out on a Shimadzu Gas Chromatograph Model 4PTF equipped with a FID and $267 \mathrm{~cm} \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D. glass column packed with $15 \%$ DEGS 60/80 mesh on Shimalite. Further, additional GLC analysis was carried out by $168 \mathrm{~cm} \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D. glass column packed with $3 \%$ EGSS-X $60 / 80$ mesh on Chromosorb W. The flow rates of nitrogen as carrier gas were 30 ml per minute for DEGS column and 45 ml per minute for EGSS-X column. The EGSS-X column was employed isothermaly at $195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by injecting on column and the DEGS column was programmed in the range of $70-190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a temperature rise of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
per minute by injecting on column. Carbon chain length and degree of unsaturation of methyl esters were identified by plotting log of retention volumes of each peaks and by comparing with standard mixtures (Applied Science Laboratories), according to Hofstetter and Holman (1965). Also, identification of them was verified by GLC analysis of the methyl ester samples using hydrogenation at regular intervals. The method of hydrogenation of the methyl esters was carried by shaking in a small flask with a pinch of platinum black as a catalyst for $3-4$ hours under $2.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of hydrogen. Quantitation of the methyl esters was determined by application of formula of the products of the peak height and the base at one-half height, and corrected by the method of Ackman and Sipos (1964). The ratio of results was converted from weight per cent to molecule per cent fatty acid (Table 2).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chemical properties of blubber oils of Amazon dolphin are a close resemblance except those of melon, lower jaw and root area of tail fin oils shown in Table 1. Iodine values of oils contained in melon, lower jaw and root area of tail fin have considerably a low level, while saponification values and unsaponifiable contents of them have notably a higher level than those of the blubber oils. In a comparison of the chemical properties of inner and outer blubber oils in root area of dorsal fin, abdominal side of anus and below side of dorsal fin, acid values and iodine values of each outer blubber oil are slightly higher than those of inner blubbers. But saponification values and unsaponifiable contents of each outer blubber oil are conversely lower levels than those of inner blubber oils.

The fatty acid components of various blubber oils of Amazon dolphin are shown in Table 2. It is revealed the presence of fatty acids of 5-24 carbon atoms with zero to six double bonds. With the exception of oils in melon, lower jaw and root area of tail fin blubbers, the fatty acid components and distributions in other blubber oils are nearly to be the same pattern. But the fatty acid proportion of inner and outer blubbers in root area of dorsal fin, abdominal side of anus and below side of dorsal fin has a few difference in the fatty acids of saturated $\mathrm{C}_{16}$, unsaturated $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ monoenoic and $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ monoenoic acids. The proportions of saturated $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ and unsaturated $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ monoenoic acids of inner blubber oils are slightly high levels, although the proportion of unsaturated $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ monoenoic acid of outer blubber oils is reversely higher than that of inner blubber oils. Also, in the ratio of total saturated and unsaturated acids, total saturated acid of inner blubber oils is a higher level than that of outer blubber oils.

In the case of the oil in root area blubber of tail fin, the fatty acid components and distribution patterns are probably resemblance to other blubber oils. But the proportion of fatty acid is seemed to be considerably different to other blubber oils. The main fatty acids of the blubber oils are iso $\mathrm{C}_{12}, \mathrm{C}_{12}$, iso $\mathrm{C}_{14}, \mathrm{C}_{14}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ as saturated acid, and $\mathrm{C}_{16}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ monoenoic as unsaturated acid, but there are small quantities of unsaturated acids such as $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ monoenoic and dienoic acids, and unsaturated acids more than 20 carbon atoms.
TABLE 2. FATTY ACID COMPONENTS OF OILS CONTAINED IN VARIOUS BLUBBERS OF AMAZON DOLPHIN (\%).
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On the other hand, the fatty acid components and distribution patterns of melon and lower jaw oils are entirely different with the oils in other blubbers, and also the levels of individual and groups of fatty acids are unique to these samples. They perhaps can be characterized by high levels of saturated, isomer and short carbon length acids with less than 14 carbon atoms, while the level of fatty acids with more than 18 carbon atoms has very low or no proportions. The proportion of total saturated acid containing iso $\mathrm{C}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{10}, \mathrm{C}_{11}, \mathrm{C}_{12}, \mathrm{C}_{13}, \mathrm{C}_{14}, \mathrm{C}_{15}, \mathrm{C}_{16}, \mathrm{C}_{17}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ carbon atoms is evidently abundant. The ratio of total saturated and unsaturated acids is $78.24 \%$ of melon oil or $80.63 \%$ of lower jaw oil vs respectively $21.76 \%$ or $19.38 \%$. The eight main acids which represented the levels of $96.78 \%$ (melon oil) or $84.14 \%$ (lower jaw oil) of each total acid are followed in order of ascending proportion; $\mathrm{C}_{14}$ :0, $\mathrm{C}_{12: 0}, \mathrm{C}_{14: 1}$, iso $\mathrm{C}_{12: 0}, \mathrm{C}_{16: 0}, \mathrm{C}_{16: 1}$, iso $\mathrm{C}_{14: 0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{12: 1}$ as melon oil, and $\mathrm{C}_{14: 0}, \mathrm{C}_{12: 0}$, $\mathrm{C}_{16: 0}, \mathrm{C}_{14: 1}, \mathrm{C}_{16: 1}$, iso $\mathrm{C}_{14: 0}$, iso $\mathrm{C}_{12: 0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{10: 0}$ as lower jaw oil. The long chain acids with more than 18 carbon atoms which represented the levels of $32.33 \%$ (blubber oil of upper side of ventral fin)-38.50\% (inner blubber oil of root area of dorsal fin) of each total acid in other blubber oils with the exception of root area of tail fin oil, are only minor components or are not present at all in melon and lower jaw oils.

The fatty acid components and distribution pattern of each blubber oil of Amazon dolphin in this investigation bears a close resemblance to those of blubber oil according to Ackman et al (1972), but the levels of iso $\mathrm{C}_{12: 0}$ and iso $\mathrm{C}_{14: 0}$ have a few difference.

In comparison with Ganges river dolphin oil (Tsuyuki and Itoh, 1971, 1972) and Amazon dolphin oil, they have a few difference. As concerned with the chemical properties, iodine value of Ganges river dolphin oil is generally seemed to be higher than that of Amazon dolphin oil. With a few exception, the fatty acid components and distribution patterns of Amazon dolphin oil and Ganges river dolphin oil are nearly similar in both species. The proportions of $\mathrm{C}_{16: 1}$ acid (21.96-23.21\%) and $\mathrm{C}_{18: 1}$ acid (28.21-28.27\%) in Ganges river dolphin oil are larger than those in Amazon dolphin oil, however the proportions of $\mathrm{C}_{16: 0}$ acid (13.95-17.30\%) in Ganges river dolphin oil is somewhat smaller. The most notable deviations are the high levels of $\mathrm{C}_{16: 1}$ acid ( $38.97 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{18: 1}$ acid ( $21.31 \%$ ), and the low levels of $\mathrm{C}_{12: 0}$ acid and $\mathrm{C}_{14: 0}$ acid ( $7.08 \%$ ) in melon oil of Ganges river dolphin. Although, they are respectively $\mathrm{C}_{18: 1}$ acid ( $7.86 \%$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{18: 1}$ acid ( $0.09 \%$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{12: 0}$ acid ( $19.94 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{14: 0}$ acid ( $24.63 \%$ ) in the levels of melon oil of Amazon dolphin. Also, anteiso acids such as $\mathrm{C}_{11: 0}, \mathrm{C}_{13: 0}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{15: 0}$ were detected a few presence in blubber oil of Amazon dolphin, but they were no presence in blubber oil of Ganges river dolphin.

## SUMMARY

1. The properties of oils contained in 12 part blubbers of Amazon dolphin, Inia geoffrensis, were studied.
2. The fatty acid components of Amazon dolphin oils were analyzed by GLC on DEGS and EGSS-X columns.
3. The fatty acid components were shown the presence of 49 fatty acids with chain lengths from 5 to 24 carbon atoms and with zero to six double bonds.
4. The fatty acid components and distribution patterns were relatively a resemblance in various blubber oils of Amazon dolphin with exceptions of oils contained in blubbers of melon, lower jaw and root area of tail fin.
5. The main fatty acids in various blubber oils of Amazon dolphin with exceptions of oils contained in blubbers of melon, lower jaw and root area of tail fin were $\mathrm{C}_{14: 0}$ acid ( $7.95-11.32 \%$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{16: 0}$ acid ( $18.34-25.25 \%$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{16: 1}$ acid ( $18.98-$ $24.67 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{18: 1}$ acid ( $19.74-23.29 \%$ ).
6. The fatty acid components of oils contained in blubbers of melon, lower jaw and root area of tail fin were seemed to be contained the high proportions of saturated acids with short carbon chain lengths.
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# COMPARISON IN COLOUR PATTERN OF TWO SPECIES OF HARBOUR SEAL IN ADJAGENT WATERS <br> OF HOKKAIDO 

YASUHIKO NAITO*<br>Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo


#### Abstract

The pelage of Phoca vitulina largha shows a clear colour contrast between the lighter ventral and the darker dorsal. On the other hand, the pelage of Phoca kurilensis shows little such contrast. There is much variation in the pelage of both species. Sometimes Phoca kurilensis has pelage closely resembling that of Phoca vitulina largha, and identification by colour pattern is difficult. Hard scars are often found on the pelage of Phoca kurilensis, which are presumably caused by fights between males during the breeding season.


## INTRODUCTION

The pelage colour and pattern of the harbour seal have been reported in the literature ever since biologists have been interested in this animal. It is generally said to be quite difficult to describe them precisely because of their endless variations. However, in spite of the above difficulties, the importance of pelage studies of this seal has increased all the more, in the light of recent studies on its taxonomy and zoogeography in the North Pacific.

In the North Pacific, there are three types of harbour seal; Phoca vitulina largha, Phoca vitulina richardi, and Phoca kurilensis (i.e., Phoca insularis). These three seals differ in their distribution, breeding grounds and habitat. P.v. richardi breeds on sand bars or rocky shores and occurs along the Pacific coast of North America (Scheffer and Slipp, 1944; Fisher, 1952; Scheffer, 1958; King, 1964; Stutz, 1967; Bigg, 1969). P.v.largha is an ice breeding type and occurs mainly in the Bering Sea and the Sea of Okhotsk (Inukai, 1942 a, b; Wilke, 1954; Scheffer, 1958; King, 1964; Burns, 1970; Tikhomirov, 1971; Fay, 1972; Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972 a). P. kurilensis breeds on rocky shores and occurs along the Kurile Islands and Hokkaido (Inukai, 1942 a, b; Belkin, 1964; Belkin et al., 1969; Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972 a). The distribution of this species is incompletely known.

It is generally recognized that the differences in the pup coat are important characters that distinguish each species or subspecies. The white-coat pup of P.v. largha, according to McLaren (1966) is one of the most important characters by which this seal can be regarded as an independent species ( $P$. largha) from other $P$. vitulina groups which do not bear such a pup coat. The colour and pattern of the

* Present address: Kominato Marine Biological Laboratory, Tokyo University of Fisheries. 1 Uchiura, Amatsu-Kominato, Chiba 299-54.
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adult pelage also seem to be important. Variations among local populations of the pelage colour and pattern are reported in P.v. richardi (Stutz, 1967). However, studies on these problems in the above mentioned three types of harbour seals still do not provide sufficient data for comparative taxonomic evaluation. Furthermore these studies seem to be important to establish the relationship between pelage character and habitat of each type from the point of view of adaptation and speciation.

The present paper provides some new data on the pelages of $P . v$. largha and $P$.


Fig. 1. Sampling areas for P. v. largha and P. kurilensis in the southern Sea of Okhotsk and the Pacific coast of Nemuro Peninsula.
kurilensis and attempts to clarify the above mentioned problems.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field studies on the harbour seal ( $P$. v. largha and $P$. kurilensis) were made in the southern Sea of Okhotsk and Hokkaido from 1969 to 1971. Pelage studies were included during the same period and mostly in the same area (Fig. 1). The pelage of P. v. largha for this study was recorded from the southern Sea of Okhotsk (area I) and from Nemuro Strait (area II). The pelage of $P$. kurilensis was recorded from area III of Pacific coast of Nemuro Peninsula. Ninety-four skins of P. v. largha and 37 of $P$. kurilensis were examined to establish the range of variation in pelage colour and pattern of each seal by studying photographs taken at the factories of fur companies.

## PUP COAT OF P. KURILENSIS

It is widely reported in the literature that $P . v . l a r g h a$ is a pagophilic seal and bears its pup without exception with a white coat. Belkin (1964) and Belkin et al. (1969) reported however that the pup of this seal is born with the adult type coat. $P . v$. richardi is also generally recognized to bear the adult type coat pup, the white coat being lost in utero before birth. However, there are some reports on the pups of $P . v$. richardi which still retain their white coat after birth (Scheffer and Slipp, 1944; Fisher, 1952; Burns, 1970; Stutz, 1966). The same phenomenon is suggested for P. kurilensis (Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972 a). The present paper gives more details of exceptional white-coat pups of $P$. kurilensis.

TABLE 1. SOME MEASUREMENTS OF WHITE-COAT PUPS OF PHOCA KURILENSIS AND THE EXCEPTIONAL PUP WITH THE LARGHA-TYPE COAT OF PHOCA KURILENSIS.

|  | field <br> number | date of <br> catch | locality | sex | body <br> length | body <br> weight |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| white coat pup | NM 16 | $1969-5.20$ | Moyururi Is. | male | 89 cm | 16 kg | with 10 cm <br> umbilical cord |
|  | '70-154 | $1970-5.25$ | Daikoku Is. | female | 93 cm | 14.5 kg | with 9.5 cm <br> umbilical cord |
| pup with the <br> largha type coat | '70-173 | $1970-5.18$ | Pacific coast <br> of Nemuro P. | female | 98 cm | 23 kg | with 5.5 cm <br> umbilical cord |
| * mean body length of $P$. kurilensis: $98.2 \pm 3.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972a) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As shown in Table 1, two exceptional white-coat pups were collected from area III. One was mostly covered with a white coat except for head, flippers and tail. The colour of the hair is creamy white itself, however, as shown in Plate I, the adult type coat under it imparts a greyish hue. The other exceptional pup possessed a partial white coat ( 15 cm wide) on its dorsal parts, the hair being so thin that its existence was easily missed if the body was wet. These exceptional pups occurred among 20 pups which possessed a fresh umbilical cord ( $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

Burns (1970) reported the retention of the white coat in early-born pups of $P . v$.
richardi in the Alaska Peninsula, where this seal has a longer pupping season (lateMay to mid-July) than P. kurilensis in Hokkaido (mid to end of May; Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972 a. According to a fisherman, the earliest record in Hokkaido is 28th of April). The birth of these exceptional pups occurred during the normal birth season of this seal and their body size is quite normal. The frequency of appearance of these white-coat pups is still unknown because of inadequate data, however, it is said that the specimen of Plate I collected 20th of May 1969 was the first example in the long experience of the fur company and the fisherman. Belkin et al. (1969) observed more than 100 newborn pups of this seal in the southern Kuril Islands some of which possessed fresh umbilical cords; however, they found none of the above type of exceptional pup. Therefore, it seems that the white-coat pup is considerably rare in the southern Kuril Islands and Hokkaido.

## THE PELAGE COLOUR AND PATTERN

Inukai (1942 a) and Belkin (1964) recognized the pelage colour and pattern as important characters distinguishing P. kurilensis from P.v.largha. However, in spite of their work, the range of variation of the pelage colour and pattern of each species is still unknown. In P.v. richardi, Stutz (1967) studied the range of variation of pelage colour and pattern and named three basic categories: " black", "common" and " muddy", and suggested that these three categories typify three largely isolated local populations. In the present study, the range of variation of the pelage colour and pattern of $P$. v. largha and $P$. kurilensis were examined in 94 and 37 photographs respectively.

TABLE 2. FREQUENGY OF APPEARANGE OF EACH COLOUR TYPE


Ninety-four pelages of P.v. largha were classified into five categories by the colour, from lighter to darker (Plate II), and the frequency of appearance was examined. These colour categories were chiefly determined by the number and size of dark spots on the ventral side. As shown in Table 2, the distribution in these categories seemed not to show any bias to the lighter side or blacker side. The colour of the pelage of this seal is, as shown in Plate II, composed of two parts, a darker part on the dorsal side and a lighter part on the ventral side. The mid-line of the dorsal side is darkest, showing black, blueish-black or dark grey, and dorsal dark colour sharply fades out in the border parts between dorsal and ventral sides, which show creamy white or silvery white. This contrast is clearer in the lighter colour type. Dark spots appear all over the pelage, showing wide variation in size
and form. Indistinct light marks are seen on the dark dorsal side, sometimes showing obscure small ring marks. The above-mentioned colour is not constant through the year. Moulting of the hair occurs in April and May, and the colour differs markedly in the moulting season. Light parts turn to creamy or sometimes light brown, dark parts turn to dark brown, and spot marks become obscure.

Thirty-seven pelages of $P$. kurilensis were also classified into five categories from lighter to darker. As shown in Table 2, the distribution in these categories as for P. v. largha seemed not to show any bias to lighter or darker. Plate II indicates that the pelage of $P$. kurilensis shows little or no colour contrast between dorsal and ventral side, as seen in P. v. largha. The colour of the dorsal side is black or dark brown, with dark grey or light brown on the ventral side. The pattern differs between dorsal and ventral sides. There are several clear light ring marks on the dorsal side, sometimes on both dorsal and ventral sides, and on the ventral side many deformed and complicated light ring marks or mottles are seen. These pelages in my observation seemed to have a good camouflage effect when the seals haul out on the rocky shores (Plate III).

As mentioned above, most of $P$. v. largha and $P$. kurilensis are divided by pelage colour and pattern as indicated by Inukai (1942 a) and Belkin et al. (1969). However, Plate II indicates that the darker pelage of $P$. v. largha (colour type 5) resembles the lighter pelage of $P$. kurilensis of colour type 1 , and it is suggested that it is difficult to distinguish them completely by pelage colour and pattern. Furthermore, we collected a strange pup of $P$. kurilensis, of which the pelage colour and pattern do not belong to any colour degree of $P$. kurilensis but seem to belong to those of $P$. v. largha (Table 1). As shown in Plate IV, fig. 1, characteristic ring marks of $P$. kurilensis are recognized on the dorsal side while the ventral side is silvery white with little small spots, showing a clear colour contrast with dorsal side. It seems quite difficult to identify this pup from pelage colour and pattern. However, this pup was identified as $P$. kurilensis for the following reasons: 1) This pup possessed the incomplete hyoid bones, as the normal $P$. kurilensis does (Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972 b). 2) The birth time and place belonged to those of $P$. kurilensis (Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972 a). This largha type seal occurred among 153 collection of $P$. kurilensis. The other example of largha type $P$. kurilensis like the above pup was observed in our field observation at Moyururi Island in the beginning of April 1970. In this observation, only one such white pelage seal was found out of 92 P. kurilensis in the colony. Judging from the season and location, this seal was supposed to be $P$. kurilensis. From the above facts it is suggested that the largha type seal of $P$. kurilensis is quite rare in this area.

## SGARS OF PELAGE

Inukai (1942 b) reported scars on the neck of large males of P. kurilensis. I found remarkable scars on the pelages of male $P$. kurilensis, while no scars were found on the pelages of both sexes of $P$. v. largha. These scars were mainly found around the neck, the hind flippers and the tail. The larger and harder scars were found around the neck (Plate IV. fig. 2). The scars were sometimes so heavy and severe that the
pelage around the neck showed an uneven surface, with many old ones. The cause of these hard scars is still unknown. However, the facts that the fresh bleeding scars were found only in the breeding season (May to June) and only on adult males (Table 3) suggests that the scars are caused by fighting between males in the breeding season. This is supported by the following observations. I observed hard struggles of this seal in the breeding season during the field observation performed at Moyururi Island in early June of 1969. However, in early April (two months before breeding season) none of struggles were observed among 92 in the population at the same island.

TABLE 3. LIST OF MALES OVER 140 cm IN BODY LENGTH OF PHOCA KURILENSIS ON WHICH SCARS WERE FOUND.

| density <br> of scars | field <br> number | date of <br> catch | body <br> length | estimated <br> age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| heavy | $1970-208$ | $1970-6.6$ | 191.4 cm | 19 |
| medium | $1970-221$ | $1970-6.16$ | 192.5 | - |
|  | $1970-223$ | $1970-6.16$ | 183 | 10 |
|  | $1971-444$ | $1971-6.7$ | 183 | 10 |
| light | $1971-455$ | $1971-6.28$ | 184 | 8 |
| none | $1971-456$ | $1971-6.28$ | 180 | 9 |
|  | $1970-224$ | $1970-6.16$ | 170.5 | - |
|  | $1970-219$ | $1970-6.16$ | 165.5 | 4 |
|  | $1970-215$ | $1970-6.10$ | 160.0 | 4 |
|  | $1971-457$ | $1971-6.28$ | 161 | - |
|  | $1971-447$ | $1971-6.27$ | 152 | 3 |
|  | $1970-209$ | $1970-5.6$ | 146.5 | 5 |
|  | $1971-424$ | $1971-5.22$ | 144 | 3 |

## DISCUSSION

It is revealed that shore pupping $P$. kurilensis bear a white-coat pup quite exceptionally. In my study, the occurrence of white-coat pups of $P$. kurilensis seemed to be rare, while the occasional occurrence of a white coat in newborn pups of $P$. $v$. richardi is reported from Alaska to as far south as California (Scheffer and Slipp, 1944; Fisher, 1952; Stutz, 1966; Burns, 1970). It would be interesting to know which seal shows a higher frequency of white-coat pups between $P$. kurilensis and $P$. v. richardi, in consideration of the phylogenetic aspects of $P$. v. largha, P.v. richardi and $P$. kurilensis in the North Pacific.

With regard to pelage colour and pattern, it was found that $P$. v. largha shows a lighter phase ventrally, contrasting with a dark dorsal side; $P$. kurilensis has a darker phase both ventrally and dorsally, showing less or no contrast between both sides. The difference in pelage colour and pattern between P.v.largha and P. kurilensis as mentioned above seems to relate to differences in their habitats or hauling grounds. $P$. v. largha stays on ice floes in winter and spring, occupying the edge of the pack ice (Wilke, 1954; Burns, 1970; Fay, 1972). After ice-melt, seals are highly migratory (Fay, 1972). In Hokkaido, the hauling grounds of this seal show wide topogra-
phical variation. They haul out on the rocky reefs at Point Notoro and grass bars or sand bars of the inland sea the mouth of which opens to the Sea of Okhotsk or Nemuro Strait, where such environments are often found. They are rarely seen however on the Pacific coast of Nemuro Peninsula (Fig. 1), where rocky shores prevail. The lighter pelage of $P$. $v$. largha seems to adapt to the above mentioned lighter circumstances, and furthermore the lighter phase of the ventral side, in contrast with darker dorsal side, may have a camouflage effect by obliterative counter shading as seen in pelagic fish (Lagler et al., 1962) when they migrate offshore. On the other hand, P. kurilensis occurs on the rocky coast of Nemuro Peninsula (Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972 a), rarely penetrating the inland sea of the Nemuro Peninsula where $P$. v. largha is dominant. These seals are non-migratory and are found along the coast throughout the year, hauling out on the rocky shores of small islands (Belkin, 1964; Belkin et al., 1969). The darker colour and white ring marks of their pelages are supposed to be well adapted to their habitat or hauling grounds as mentioned already. We are still uncertain, but the above facts may suggest that P.v.largha and $P$. kurilensis select their own habitats or hauling grounds in accordance with their pelage colour and pattern. This interpretation may be supported by their following behaviour. According to some fisherman or hunters in Hokkaido, when P. $v$. largha and $P$. kurilensis haul out at the same place and are hunted, $P$. v. largha swims away offshore, while $P$. kurilensis goes along the coast where rocky reefs are well developed.

Stutz (1967) classified the pelage colour and pattern of $P . v$. richardi into three basic categories, "common ", " black" and " muddy". The pelage of " common" and " black" of P.v. richardi seem to correspond to that of P. v. largha and $P$. kurilensis of the present study respectively, and it is known that $P$. v. richardi haul out on both sand bars of river estuaries or inland sea and rocky coast (Scheffer and Slipp, 1944; Fisher, 1952; Fisher, Bigg, and Newby, personal communication). However, it is still unknown whether $P$. v. richardi shows any tendency of habitat segregation by the pelage colour. Newby, in private communication, informed me of the pelage colours of seals in the different areas of the state of Washington, with a comment on inadequate data. The San Juan seals that haul out on rocks are darker than those found on the sandy beaches of the coast and inland sea.

From these findings, it appears important in future studies to consider the systematic relation between $P$.v. largha, P. v. richardi and P. kurilensis. Stutz (1967) reported that his "common" pelage (resembling that of P.v. largha) appears in $15-47 \%$ in the pelages of $P . v$. richardi collected from some places in Alaska and British Columbia. It was quite rare in $P$. kurilensis in our study. However, unfortunately there are quite few data from the Kuril and Aluetian Islands as far east as the Alaska Peninsula, for considering this problem genetically and zoogeographically.

The scars on the adult male pelages of $P$. kurilensis are of interest from the point of view of social behaviour. P. v. largha mates in the ice floe region, displaying "family groups" (consisting of male, female and pup) which are rarely closer together than 0.2 Km (Burns et al., 1972). P. kurilensis on the other hand breeds on narrow rocky shores in large herds (70-90 individuals including young were ob-
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served at Moyururi Island in 1969 and 1971), and fighting was observed among them. Ohsumi (1971) suggested that scars on the male sperm whale may indicate social maturity of the males in the harem. In the present study, as I am still uncertain as to the minimum ages and body size at sexual maturity in this seal, I cannot discuss the relation between these scars and sexual maturity. Therefore, I do not know what these scars mean. However, I can indicate that they are closely related to their breeding habitat and their social behaviour when I consider that the sexual dimorphism of this seal in body size is larger than that of $P$. v. largha (Naito and Nishiwaki, 1972a). Further studies on these problems are required in $P$. kurilensis and also in P. v. richardi.
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## EXPLANATION OF PLATES

## PLATE I

The exceptional white-coat pup of Phoca kurilensis (middle). Upper, white-coat pup of Phoca vitulina largha; lower, normal pup of Phoca kurilensis.

## PLATE II

Classified five colour types from light to dark of Phoca vitulina largha (left side) and Phoca kurilensis (right side).

## PLATE III

Phoca kurilensis have a camouflage effect by their pelage colour and pattern when they haul out on the rocky shores.

## PLATE IV

Fig. 1. The exceptional largha type coat pup of Phoca kurilensis (in a stuffed specimen).
Fig. 2. The scars on the pelage of a large male of Phoca kurilensis.
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