

CLASSIFICATION OF ALMOST SPHERICAL PAIRS OF COMPACT SIMPLE LIE GROUPS

IHOR V. MYKYTYUK

*Department of Applied Mathematics, State University "L'viv Politechnica"
S. Bandery 12, 79013 L'viv, Ukraine*

ANATOLY M. STEPIN

*Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University
117234 Moscow, Russia
E-mail: stepin@nw.math.msu.su*

Abstract. All homogeneous spaces G/K (G is a simple connected compact Lie group, K a connected closed subgroup) are enumerated for which arbitrary Hamiltonian flows on $T^*(G/K)$ with G -invariant Hamiltonians are integrable in the class of Noether integrals and G -invariant functions.

1. Introduction. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and K its closed connected subgroup. Denote by X a symplectic manifold on which G acts in a Hamiltonian fashion. Let $P : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G , be the moment mapping. The functions of type $h \circ P$, for $h : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, are called *collective*. Such $h \circ P$ are integrals for any flow on X with G -invariant Hamiltonian (Noether's theorem). A completely integrable system consisting of ($\dim X/2$ independent real-analytic commuting with respect to the Poisson bracket) functions of this type is called a *collective completely integrable system* [GS1]. All symmetric spaces G/K admit a collective completely integrable system on the phase space $T^*(G/K)$ ([Ti, Mi, My1, GS2] and [IW]). Moreover, the following conditions are equivalent [GS1, GS2, My2, PM]:

1) on the phase space $T^*(G/K)$ there exists a collective completely integrable system (and, consequently, every Hamiltonian system with a G -invariant Hamiltonian H is integrable);

2) the algebra of G -invariant functions on $T^*(G/K)$ is commutative;

3) the subgroup K of G is spherical; i.e., the quasiregular representation of G on

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 22E46; Secondary 17B.

Research supported by INTAS Grant 00-418i96.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

the Hilbert space $L^2(G/K)$ (or on the space $\mathbf{C}[G/K]$ of regular functions on the affine algebraic variety G/K) has simple spectrum;

4) P separates (at least generically) the G -orbits, i.e. $P^{-1}(P(x)) \subset G \cdot x$.

The classification of spherical subgroups of *simple* compact (connected) Lie groups was obtained in [Kr] by M. Kramer (1979), of *semisimple* compact connected Lie groups in our paper [My2](see also [PM]) and by a different method in [Br] by M. Brion. In the case of a real noncompact Lie group G the generalization of these results was obtained by M. Chumak [Ch].

The spherical pairs of Lie groups were studied in many papers and have many beautiful features. But, since the Poisson structure on X is nondegenerate, then every G -invariant Hamiltonian H locally has the form $h \circ P$; i.e., for integrability we don't use the function H .

Let N_{max} be the maximal number of independent real-analytic commuting (with respect to the Poisson bracket) functions on $X = T^*(G/K)$ of type $h \circ P$. If $N_{max} = (\dim X/2) - 1$ we will call the corresponding system of functions an *almost collective completely integrable system* and the subgroup K an *almost spherical subgroup* of G . In this case every Hamiltonian system with a G -invariant Hamiltonian H , in particular, the geodesic flow, is also integrable: for the integrability we can use H or another G -invariant function.

In this paper we enumerate all such homogeneous spaces G/K with a simple compact Lie group G . Crucial ingredients in our classification are the dimensional criterion

$$\dim K \geq \frac{1}{2}(\dim G - \text{rank } G) - 1,$$

Theorem 5, and inequality (9). Roughly speaking this criterion says that K has to be a "large" subgroup of G , Theorem 5 allows us to find only "maximal large" almost spherical subgroups K and to use the already known classification of spherical pairs of semisimple compact Lie groups. We show by inspection that simple groups G do not have many maximal large subgroups and there is only one pair (G, K) , where the almost spherical subgroup K is maximal in G . With the exception of this one case, an almost spherical subgroup K of a simple group G is not maximal. Moreover, if $K \subset S \subset G$, where a connected subgroup S is a spherical maximal subgroup of G , then for the isotropy subgroup S^{x_2} of an arbitrary point $x_2 \in G/S$ in some neighborhood of the point $\{S\} \in G/S$ the following inequality holds:

$$\dim(S/K) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim S^{x_2} + \text{rank } S^{x_2}) + 1.$$

A connected closed subgroup K of a compact simple group G is said to be of height at most n in G if there exist a chain of distinct connected (closed) subgroups $K \subset S^{(n)} \subset \dots \subset S' \subset G$ and every chain of distinct connected (closed) subgroups between K and G is of length at most n . It is proved that if K is almost spherical, then K is of height at most n in G , where $n \leq 2$. There are eighteen types of such pairs (G, K) , one of which is of height 0, four are of height 2, while the remaining are of height 1. In the latter case $K \subset S \subset G$, where G/S is a symmetric space.

2. Almost spherical pairs and the integrability of invariant flows

2.1. Moment map. Let G be a compact real Lie group, K its closed subgroup and $M = G/K$. The natural action of G on the quotient space M extends to an action of G on T^*M . This G -action on T^*M is symplectic since it preserves the canonical 1-form λ (the form “ pdq ”), and thus also the symplectic 2-form $d\lambda$. For each vector ξ belonging to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G the 1-parameter subgroup $\exp t\xi$ induces the Hamiltonian vector field $\hat{\xi}$ on T^*M with the Hamiltonian function $f_\xi = \lambda(\hat{\xi}) : df_\xi = -\hat{\xi} \lrcorner d\lambda$. The mapping $\xi \mapsto f_\xi$ of \mathfrak{g} into the algebra $C^\infty(T^*M)$ (with the Poisson bracket) is an equivariant algebra homomorphism: $f(g^{-1}m) = f_{\text{Ad}_g(\xi)}(m)$ and hence the action of G on T^*M is Poisson [GS3]. This action defines the moment map $P : T^*M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ from T^*M to the dual space of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} by $P(x)(\xi) = f_\xi(x)$. For arbitrary smooth functions h_1 and h_2 on \mathfrak{g}^* we have $\{h_1 \circ P, h_2 \circ P\} = \{h_1, h_2\} \circ P$, where the Poisson bracket on \mathfrak{g}^* is given by the formula $\{h_1, h_2\}(\beta) = \beta([\text{dh}_1(\beta), \text{dh}_2(\beta)])$, $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$.

There exists a faithful representation of \mathfrak{g} such that its associated bilinear form Φ is negative definite on \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{k} be the Lie algebra of the subgroup K and π the natural projection of G onto M . Using Φ we can identify the space \mathfrak{g}^* with \mathfrak{g} , the corresponding isomorphism denote by $\psi : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Also identify $T_{\pi(e)}^*M$ and $\mathfrak{m} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \Phi(x, \mathfrak{k}) = 0\}$. It is evident that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$. Under these identifications $P(x) = \Phi(x, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^x = \{y \in \mathfrak{g} : [x, y] = 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{k}^x = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}^x$, where $x \in \mathfrak{g}$.

2.2. Integrability. Let us show that the fulfillment of the condition

$$\dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x) + \frac{1}{2} \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^x) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}) - \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1 \quad (1)$$

at any point x from some neighborhood in \mathfrak{m} is sufficient for the integrability of any Hamiltonian flow on T^*M with G -invariant Hamiltonian function.

Let us consider the Zariski open subset $R(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathfrak{m}$ of points in general position:

$$R(\mathfrak{m}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{m} : \dim \mathfrak{g}^x \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}^y, \dim \mathfrak{k}^x \leq \dim \mathfrak{k}^y, \forall y \in \mathfrak{m}\}. \quad (2)$$

On $\mathfrak{g}^* \stackrel{\psi}{=} \mathfrak{g}$ there exists $s = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x) + \frac{1}{2} \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^x)$, $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$, polynomial functions h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s such that the functions $h_1 \circ P, h_2 \circ P, \dots, h_s \circ P$ are pairwise in involution on T^*M and are independent at the point $x \in \mathfrak{m} = T_{\pi(e)}^*M$ (the number s is the maximal number of independent functions in involution of the form $h \circ P$ on T^*M) (see [My2]). Let $W(x)$ be the tangent space to the orbit $G \cdot x \subset T^*M$ (of maximal dimension). Then $W(x)$ is generated by vectors $\hat{\xi}(x)$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Applying the slice-theorem to the action of the compact group G on T^*M (or to the $\text{Ad } K$ -action on $\mathfrak{m} = T_{\pi(e)}^*M$) one deduces that the orthogonal complement $W(x)^\perp \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{X \in T_x T^*M : d\lambda(X, W(x)) = 0\}$ to $W(x)$ with respect to the symplectic structure $d\lambda$ is generated by Hamiltonian vector fields (at x) of G -invariant functions on T^*M . Let us show that codimension of $W^\perp(x) \cap W(x)$ in $W^\perp(x)$ is equal to 2ε . Indeed, multiplying both sides of (1) by 2, we obtain after simple rearrangements

$$\dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x) + \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}^x) = 2 \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}) - 2\varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

It follows from [My1] (see also [GS2], [PM]) that $\hat{\xi}(x) = 0$ iff $\xi \in \mathfrak{k}^x$ and consequently

$\dim W(x) = \dim G \cdot x = \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}^x)$. Because of the relations

$$\begin{aligned} d\lambda(\hat{\xi}(x), \hat{\eta}(x))(x) &= \{f_\eta, f_\xi\}(x) = f_{[\eta, \xi]}(x) = P(x)([\eta, \xi]) \\ &= \Phi(x, [\eta, \xi]) = \Phi([x, \eta], \xi) \quad \text{for any } \eta, \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \end{aligned}$$

we have that $W(x) \cap W^\perp(x) = \{\hat{\eta}(x), \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^x\}$ and $\dim W(x) \cap W^\perp(x) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x)$. Taking into account that the 2-form $d\lambda$ is nondegenerate we obtain

$$\dim W(x) + \dim W^\perp(x) = \dim T^*M = 2 \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}).$$

Thus $\dim W(x)^\perp - \dim(W(x) \cap W^\perp(x)) = 2\varepsilon$ and therefore if $\varepsilon = 1$ there is a G -invariant function F on T^*M which is independent of functions $\{h_i \circ P\}$, $i = \overline{1, s}$ (the Hamiltonian vector fields of $h_i \circ P$ are tangent to orbits of G in T^*M). The set $\{F, h_1 \circ P, \dots, h_s \circ P\}$ is the maximal involutive set of independent functions: $s + 1 = \frac{1}{2} \dim T^*M$. If the G -invariant function H is independent of $\{h_i \circ P\}$, $i = \overline{1, s}$ then the set $\{H, h_1 \circ P, \dots, h_s \circ P\}$ is a maximal involutive set; if H is dependent then we have the commutative set of integrals $\{F, h_1 \circ P, \dots, h_s \circ P\}$. If $\varepsilon = 0$, then $s = \frac{1}{2} \dim T^*M$; i.e., on the manifold T^*M there exists a collective completely integrable system and also any G -invariant flow is integrable. We proved

PROPOSITION 1. *If condition (1) holds for all x from open subset of \mathfrak{m} then any Hamiltonian system on T^*M with a G -invariant Hamiltonian function H is integrable.*

2.3. Properties of spherical pairs of compact Lie algebras. Since $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{k} + \dim \mathfrak{m}$ equation (1) (the definition of $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$) is equivalent to

$$\dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x) + \dim(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}^x) = \dim \mathfrak{m} - 2\varepsilon. \quad (4)$$

By [My2, Prop. 1.1] (see also [Mi, GS2]) for any $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$ the commutator $[\mathfrak{g}^x, \mathfrak{g}^x]$ is contained in the algebra $\mathfrak{k}^x = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}^x$. Therefore for a semisimple element $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$ we have $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x) = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}^x$, and so (4) can be rewritten as

$$(\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}^x) + \dim(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}^x) = \dim \mathfrak{m} - 2\varepsilon. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, it is evident that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x) \leq \text{rank } \mathfrak{g} \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}^x$ and consequently (1) implies

$$\dim \mathfrak{k} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}) - \varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

For any $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ put

$$\mathfrak{m}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{z \in \mathfrak{m} : [x, z] \in \mathfrak{m}\} = \{z \in \mathfrak{m} : \Phi(z, \text{ad } x(\mathfrak{k})) = 0\}. \quad (7)$$

LEMMA 2. *For a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ of compact Lie algebras and any point $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\{\text{codim } \text{ad } x(\mathfrak{k}) \text{ in } \mathfrak{m}\} = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x) + 2\varepsilon$; i.e., $\dim \mathfrak{m}(x) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^x/\mathfrak{k}^x) + 2\varepsilon$;
- (2) $\{\text{codim}(\mathfrak{g}^x)_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ in } \mathfrak{m}(x)\} = 2\varepsilon$, where $(\cdot)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the projection onto \mathfrak{m} along \mathfrak{k} induced by the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$;
- (3) $\{\text{codim}[\text{ad } x(\mathfrak{m}(x)) \cap \text{ad } x(\mathfrak{k})] \text{ in } \text{ad } x(\mathfrak{m}(x))\} = 2\varepsilon$.

PROOF. It is sufficient to see that 1) $(\mathfrak{g}^x)_{\mathfrak{m}} \subset \mathfrak{m}(x)$; 2) $\text{ad } x(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{m}$; 3) $\mathfrak{m}(x) \oplus \text{ad } x(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{m}$ and if $x' \in \mathfrak{m}$ then $\text{ad } x(x') \in \text{ad } x(\mathfrak{k}) \Leftrightarrow x' \in (\mathfrak{g}^x)_{\mathfrak{m}}$. ■

DEFINITION 3. We say that a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ of compact Lie algebras is an *almost spherical pair* (resp. *spherical pair*) and a subalgebra $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is an *almost spherical subalgebra* (resp. *spherical subalgebra*) if for any $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$ the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2 or the equivalent equalities (1), (3)–(5) are satisfied, where $\varepsilon = 1$ (resp., where $\varepsilon = 0$).

REMARK 4. For a spherical pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ of compact Lie algebras the conditions (2), (3) of Lemma 2, $\varepsilon = 0$ are equivalent to the conditions (2') $\mathfrak{m}(x) = (\mathfrak{g}^x)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and (3') $(\text{ad } x)(\mathfrak{m}(x)) \subset \text{ad } x(\mathfrak{k})$, $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$ [My2]. Thus all *symmetric pairs* $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$, i.e. those for which \mathfrak{k} is the algebra of fixed points of an involutive automorphism of the algebra \mathfrak{g} , are spherical (see [Mi], [My2]). Indeed, $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ and consequently $\mathfrak{m}(x) = \mathfrak{g}^x \cap \mathfrak{m}$. All spherical subalgebras \mathfrak{k} of compact Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} are classified in [Kr] (for simple \mathfrak{g}) and in [My2], [Br] (the semisimple case).

THEOREM 5. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a compact Lie algebra with subalgebras $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{s}$. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ be an almost spherical pair. Then the pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ and $(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{k})$ are either almost spherical or spherical. Moreover, if $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ is almost spherical, then the pair $(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{k})$ is spherical.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{m}_1 (respectively \mathfrak{m}_2) be the orthogonal complement to the subalgebra \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{s} (respectively to the subalgebra \mathfrak{s} in \mathfrak{g}) with respect to the form Φ ; i.e., $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2$. Fix an element $x_1 + x_2 \in R(\mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2)$ such that $x_1 \in R(\mathfrak{m}_1)$ and $x_2 \in R(\mathfrak{m}_2)$. Let V_1 (respectively V_2) be the orthogonal complement to the subspace $(\mathfrak{s}^{x_1})_{\mathfrak{m}_1}$ in $\mathfrak{m}_1(x_1)$ (respectively to the subspace $(\mathfrak{g}^{x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}_2}$ in $\mathfrak{m}_2(x_2)$). From the relation $(\mathfrak{s}^{x_1})_{\mathfrak{m}_1} = \{z \in \mathfrak{m}_1 : \text{ad } x_1(z) \in \text{ad } x_1(\mathfrak{k})\}$ it may be concluded that

$$\text{ad } x_1(V_1) \cap \text{ad } x_1(\mathfrak{k}) = 0, \quad \dim \text{ad } x_1(V_1) = \dim V_1. \tag{8}$$

If $u_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_1(x_1)$ then by definition $[x_1, u_1] \in \mathfrak{m}_1$, hence $[x_1 + x_2, u_1] \in \mathfrak{m}$ and, consequently, $\mathfrak{m}_1(x_1) \subset \mathfrak{m}(x_1 + x_2)$. Using the similar arguments we obtain that $\mathfrak{m}_2(x_2) \subset \mathfrak{m}(x_1 + x_2)$.

The pair $(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{k})$ is either spherical or almost spherical iff $\dim V_1 \leq 2$. Otherwise the space V_1 is at least four-dimensional. From the relations $V_1 \subset \mathfrak{m}_1(x_1) \subset \mathfrak{m}(x_1 + x_2)$ for the space V_1 of the dimension ≥ 4 and condition (2) of Lemma 2: $\dim \mathfrak{m}(x_1 + x_2) - \dim (\mathfrak{g}^{x_1+x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}} = 2$, it follows that for some $v_1 \in V_1, v_1 \neq 0 : v_1 \in (\mathfrak{g}^{x_1+x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Thus $[x_1 + x_2, v_1] \in \text{ad}(x_1 + x_2)(\mathfrak{k})$. Therefore for some $z \in \mathfrak{k} : [x_1, v_1] + [x_2, v_1] = [x_1, z] + [x_2, z]$ and consequently $[x_1, v_1] = [x_1, z] \in \mathfrak{m}_1$. This contradicts the first relation in (8) (by the second relation $[x_1, v_1] \neq 0$) so that $\dim V_1 \leq 2$.

To obtain the contradiction, suppose that the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ is neither almost spherical nor spherical. In this case the space $V_2 = V_2(x_2) \subset \mathfrak{m}(x_2)$ is at least four-dimensional. But the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is almost spherical and $V_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}_2(x_2) \subset \mathfrak{m}(x_1 + x_2)$ so that the intersection $V_2 \cap (\mathfrak{g}^{x_1+x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is at least two-dimensional. Since for $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$ dimension $\dim (\mathfrak{g}^x)_{\mathfrak{m}} = \dim (\mathfrak{g}^x / \mathfrak{k}^x)$ is constant, we can define the Zariski open subset $Q_1 = Q_1(x_2)$ of \mathfrak{m}_1 of all elements x'_1 such that (1) $x'_1 \in R(\mathfrak{m}_1)$ and $x'_1 + x_2 \in R(\mathfrak{m}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2)$; (2) the space $V_2 \cap (\mathfrak{g}^{x'_1+x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} V_2(x'_1)$ has the minimal possible dimension l (from what has already been showed $l \geq 2$). Since the set Q_1 is not empty and $0 \in \overline{Q_1}$, the space $V_2 \cap (\mathfrak{g}^{x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is at least l -dimensional (the Grassmann manifold of all l planes in V_2 is compact, $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}_1] \subset \mathfrak{m}_1$). This contradicts the definition of V_2 because $(\mathfrak{g}^{x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}} \cap \mathfrak{m}_2 \subset (\mathfrak{g}^{x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}_2}$ so that $\dim V_2 \leq 2$.

It remains to prove that if $\dim V_2 = 2$ then $V_1 = 0$. Otherwise, assume that $\dim V_1 = 2$. Since $V_1 \oplus V_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}_1(x_1) \oplus \mathfrak{m}_2(x_2) \subset \mathfrak{m}(x_1 + x_2)$, by condition (2) of Lemma 2, the space $V' = (V_1 \oplus V_2) \cap (\mathfrak{g}^{x_1+x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ has dimension ≥ 2 . Therefore, for any non-zero $v_1 + v_2 \in V' \subset V_1 \oplus V_2$ there exists an element $z \in \mathfrak{k}$ such that $[x_1 + x_2, v_1 + v_2] = [x_1 + x_2, z]$. But $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}_i] \subset \mathfrak{m}_i, i = 1, 2$ so that $[x_1, v_1] = [x_1, z]$ which contradicts (8) if $v_1 \neq 0$. Thus $V' = V_2$, ($\dim V' \geq 2$) and $V_2 \subset (\mathfrak{g}^{x_1+x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}}$; i.e., for any non-zero $v_2 \in V_2$ we have $[x_1 + x_2, v_2] \in \text{ad}(x_1 + x_2)(\mathfrak{k})$ and consequently $[x_1 + x_2, v_2] \in \text{ad } x_2(\mathfrak{k})$. The latter holds for any $x'_1 \in \mathfrak{m}$ from some neighborhood of x_1 , hence $[x_2, v_2] \in \text{ad } x_2(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \text{ad } x_2(\mathfrak{s})$. Therefore $v_2 \in (\mathfrak{g}^{x_2})_{\mathfrak{m}_2}$, which is impossible, a contradiction. ■

In the notation of the proof of the theorem if a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is almost spherical and $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ is spherical or almost spherical then

$$(\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}^{x_1+x_2}) + \dim(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}^{x_1+x_2}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}) - 2$$

and

$$(\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{s}^{x_2}) + \dim(\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{s}^{x_2}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{s}) - 2\varepsilon_2, \text{ where } \varepsilon_2 = 0, 1.$$

Hence $2 \dim(\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{k}) = (\dim \mathfrak{s}^{x_2} + \text{rank } \mathfrak{s}^{x_2}) - (\dim \mathfrak{k}^{x_1+x_2} + \text{rank } \mathfrak{k}^{x_1+x_2}) + (2 - 2\varepsilon_2)$ and

$$\dim(\mathfrak{s}/\mathfrak{k}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{s}^{x_2} + \text{rank } \mathfrak{s}^{x_2}) + 1 - \varepsilon_2. \tag{9}$$

REMARK 6. Let \mathfrak{g} be a semisimple compact Lie algebra and let $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ be its subalgebra with one-dimensional center \mathfrak{z} . If the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{z})$ is spherical then the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ is either spherical or almost spherical. To prove this fact it is sufficient to see that $\mathfrak{m} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{z})^\perp \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is the subspace of $\mathfrak{a}^\perp = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ and $R(\mathfrak{m}) \in R(\mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{z})$ [My2, Prop.2.2].

3. Almost spherical subalgebras of simple Lie algebras

3.1. Preliminary remarks. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semisimple Lie algebra with a compact real form \mathfrak{g}_0 and let Φ be the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{k} be a reductive subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ is the real form of \mathfrak{k} . Write \mathfrak{m} for the orthogonal complement to \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} with respect to Φ . It is evident that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 \oplus i\mathfrak{m}_0$, where $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$. Using (2) define the Zariski open subset $R'(\mathfrak{m})$ (over \mathbf{C}) of \mathfrak{m} . Let $R(\mathfrak{m})$ denote a set of all $x \in R'(\mathfrak{m})$ which are semisimple elements of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then $R(\mathfrak{m})$ is a Zariski open subset of \mathfrak{m} (see [My2]). It is clear that $R(\mathfrak{m}_0) \subset R(\mathfrak{m})$. We say that a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is *almost spherical* (resp. *spherical*) if the pair $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)$ of compact Lie algebras is almost spherical (resp. spherical); i.e., if for any $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$ the equivalent conditions like (1), (3)–(5) hold. To verify these conditions we use the results of [El], where for all simple complex Lie algebras \mathfrak{k} all their representations $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and types of corresponding isotropic subalgebras \mathfrak{k}^x (of elements in general position) if $\mathfrak{k}^x \neq 0$ are enumerated.

Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Write $R(\Lambda)$ for the irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g} with highest weight Λ , and $R'(\Lambda)$ for its contragredient representation. Let η denote the one-dimensional trivial representation. If $\{\alpha_i\}$ is a basis for the root system of \mathfrak{g} relative \mathfrak{h} , and $\{\varphi_i\}$ are the corresponding fundamental weights, then $\Lambda = \sum \Lambda_i \varphi_i$, where $\Lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. We shall index the roots of a basis for the root system of a simple Lie algebra in the order given in [Bo2], Tables I-IX of Chapter VI.

Let ρ be a faithful linear representation of a simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in a space of the smallest possible dimension. We associate the embedding j_0 of a subalgebra \mathfrak{k}_0 in \mathfrak{g}_0 and the embedding j of the natural complex extension $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_0^{\mathbf{C}}$ in \mathfrak{g} with the linear (complex) representation $\bar{\rho}$ obtained by restricting $\rho \circ j$ to its semisimple part. We denote such a subalgebra $\mathfrak{k}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0 (\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g})$ by a pair $(\cdot, \bar{\rho})$, where for the first entry we put the type of an algebra \mathfrak{k}_0 or \mathfrak{k} .

3.2. Almost spherical maximal subalgebras of classical Lie algebras. Let V be a linear space of dimension n over \mathbf{C} . For the rest of this subsection \mathfrak{g} will denote a classical complex Lie algebra, i.e. one of $sl(n)$, $so(n)$, or $sp(n)$ (for n even), with \mathfrak{k} a reductive subalgebra. Since \mathfrak{k} is reductive, V is a semisimple \mathfrak{k} -module. If V is a simple \mathfrak{k} -module we shall say that \mathfrak{k} is *irreducible*.

PROPOSITION 7. *Suppose that \mathfrak{k} is an almost spherical subalgebra of a simple classical Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} is maximal in \mathfrak{g} . Then $\mathfrak{g} \simeq B_2$ and \mathfrak{k} is a unique (up to inner automorphisms) principal sl_2 -subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} ; i.e., $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) \simeq (sp(4), (A_1, R(3\varphi))) \simeq (so(5), (A_1, 4\varphi))$. Moreover, any almost spherical subalgebra \mathfrak{k}_1 of $so(5)$ ($sp(4)$) such that $\mathfrak{k}_1 \subset \mathfrak{k}$ coincides with \mathfrak{k} .*

PROOF. Since the subalgebra \mathfrak{k} is reductive, the \mathfrak{k} -module V is semisimple. Suppose that V is a nonsimple \mathfrak{k} -module; i.e., $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ is a direct sum of two nonzero semisimple \mathfrak{k} -modules V_1 and V_2 . But the subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{g}(V_1, V_2) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : x(V_1) \subset V_1, x(V_2) \subset V_2\}$ (which contains \mathfrak{k}) of the classical Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is maximal because the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ is symmetric [He]. Therefore $\mathfrak{k} \neq \mathfrak{s}$ and V is a simple \mathfrak{k} -module.

A) Suppose that \mathfrak{k} is a simple irreducible subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} (by E.Cartan theorem any irreducible subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} is semisimple). If the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is almost spherical then inequality (6) is satisfied: $\dim \mathfrak{k} \geq M(\mathfrak{g}) - 1$, where $M(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{g})$. Therefore $\dim \mathfrak{g} \geq \frac{1}{4}n(n-2) - 1$, since $\frac{1}{4}n(n-2) \leq M(so(n)) \leq M(sp(n)) \leq M(sl(n))$. When \mathfrak{k} has type A_r ($r \geq 1$), B_r ($r \geq 2$), C_r ($r \geq 3$), D_r ($r \geq 4$), E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , F_4 , or G_2 , it is not hard to verify that for the latter inequality to be satisfied it is necessary for n not to exceed $2r+3$, $4r$, $4r$, $4r-2$, 19 , 25 , 33 , 17 , or 9 , respectively. The irreducible representations ρ of simple algebras \mathfrak{a} whose dimensions satisfy these restrictions can be found in [On, Lemma 3.2] with the exception of one case when $\mathfrak{a} \simeq A_r$ and $n = 2r + 3$. For an algebra \mathfrak{a} of type A_r the representations are the following: $(r \geq 2, \varphi_1(\varphi_r), r+1, 0)$, $(4, \varphi_2(\varphi_3), 10, 0)$, $(3, \varphi_2, 6, 1)$, $(2, 2\varphi_1(2\varphi_2), 6, 0)$, $(1, \varphi_1, 2, -1)$, $(1, 2\varphi_1, 3, 1)$, $(1, 3\varphi_1, 4, -1)$, where in the quadruple $(r, \Lambda, n(\Lambda), \varepsilon(\Lambda))$ we mean that r is the rank of \mathfrak{k} , Λ and $n(\Lambda)$ are the highest weight and dimension of ρ respectively; the symbol $\varepsilon(\Lambda)$ is $1, -1$, or 0 according as ρ is orthogonal, symplectic, or neither orthogonal nor symplectic, respectively. For a simple algebra \mathfrak{a} of type B_r we have the following representations: $(r, \varphi_1, 2r+1, 1)$, $(4, \varphi_4, 16, 1)$, $(3, \varphi_3, 8, 1)$, $(2, \varphi_2, 4, -1)$; type C_r : $(r, \varphi_1, 2r, -1)$; type D_r : $(r, \varphi_1, 2r, 1)$, $(5, \varphi_4(\varphi_5), 16, 0)$, $(4, \varphi_3(\varphi_4), 8, 1)$; type G_2 : $(2, \varphi_1, 7, 1)$; algebras of the remaining types have no such representations. Clearly $\rho(\mathfrak{a}) \subset so(n)$ ($\rho(\mathfrak{a}) \subset sp(n)$) if the representation ρ is orthogonal (symplectic). It can be verified that inequality (6) is satisfied only for the following pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ from among those found above: (a) $(sl(n), so(n))$, $n \geq 3$, $n \neq 4$; (b) $(sl(n), sp(n))$, $n \geq 4$; (c) $(so(8), (B_3, R(\varphi_3)))$ (spinor representation); (d) $(so(7), (G_2, R(\varphi_1)))$. All these

pairs (a)–(d) are spherical [Kr, My2]. Now assume that $n = 2r + 3$ for $\mathfrak{k} \simeq A_r$. Since in this case $\dim \mathfrak{k} < M(\mathfrak{sl}(2r + 3)) - 1$ for all $r \geq 1$ the representation ρ of \mathfrak{k} have to be either orthogonal or symplectic. If $r = 1$ then for the pair $(\mathfrak{so}(5), (A_1, R(4\varphi)))$ condition (6) is an equality. If $r \geq 2$ using the explicit formula for dimension of the representation $R(\Lambda)$ and properties of the root system A_r (all roots have the same length) we obtain that a representation of \mathfrak{k} which admits an invariant bilinear form and has minimal dimension is the representation $(r \geq 2, \varphi_1 + \varphi_r, r(r + 2), 1)$, $(3, \varphi_2, 6, 1)$ or $(r = 2k + 1 \geq 5, \varphi_{k+1}, N_k \geq (k + 2)(k + 3), (-1)^{k+1})$, where $N_k = \frac{(2k+2)!}{(k+1)!(k+1)!}$. Thus there are no such representations of Lie algebra A_r of the dimension $2r + 3 (r \geq 2)$.

B) Suppose that \mathfrak{k} is an irreducible subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} is not simple (is semisimple). Then $\mathfrak{k} \in \{\mathfrak{a}\}$, where $\{\mathfrak{a}\}$ is a set of all maximal semisimple (not simple) subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} . The maximal subalgebra \mathfrak{a} is isomorphic to the tensor product $\mathfrak{sl}(s) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(t)$ ($st = n, 2 \leq s \leq t$) if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$; $\mathfrak{sp}(s) \otimes \mathfrak{sp}(t)$ ($st = n, 2 \leq s \leq t$) or $\mathfrak{so}(s) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(t)$ ($st = n, 3 \leq s \leq t; s, t \neq 4$) if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$; $\mathfrak{sp}(s) \otimes \mathfrak{so}(t)$ ($st = n, s \geq 2, t \geq 3, t \neq 4$ or $s = 2, t = 4$) if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ ([Dy1, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4]). Inequality (6) holds only for two pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$: $(\mathfrak{sl}(4), \mathfrak{sl}(2) \otimes \mathfrak{sl}(2))$ and $(\mathfrak{so}(8), \mathfrak{sp}(2) \otimes \mathfrak{sp}(4))$. These two pairs are spherical [Kr, My2].

Now it remains to prove that the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (\mathfrak{so}(5), (A_1, R(4\varphi)))$ is almost spherical. To compute the representation $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}}$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{m} consider the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $\{X_+, H, X_-\}$ in $\mathfrak{k} \simeq A_1$ [Bo3, Chapt.VIII,§1]. Then the eigenvalues of $H \in \mathfrak{so}(5) \subset \mathfrak{sl}(5)$ are the numbers $4, 2, 0, -2, -4$. Using the standard root system of \mathfrak{g} with respect to the Cartan subalgebra (of diagonal matrices) $\mathfrak{h} \ni H$ of \mathfrak{g} we obtain that $\alpha_i(H) = 2$ for every simple root $\alpha_i, i = 1, 2$ so that \mathfrak{k} is principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} [Bo3, Chapt.VIII,§1,11] and $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}} = R(6\varphi)$. Thus $\mathfrak{k}^x = 0$ for any $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$ [E1] and consequently the pair $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}$ is almost spherical. Since in this case (6) is equality \mathfrak{k} does not contain proper almost spherical subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . To prove that $(\mathfrak{so}(5), (A_1, R(4\varphi))) \simeq (\mathfrak{sp}(4), (A_1, R(3\varphi)))$ it is sufficient to make the following observation: $(A_1, R(3\varphi)) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(4)$ is principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$ (the eigenvalues of $H \in \mathfrak{sp}(4) \subset \mathfrak{sl}(4)$ are $3, 1, -1, -3$) and all principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebras are conjugate. ■

3.3. Almost spherical maximal subalgebras of exceptional Lie algebras. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple complex Lie algebra. A subalgebra $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is *regular* if $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{a}] \subset \mathfrak{a}$ for some Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . We say that \mathfrak{a} is an *S*-subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} if it is not contained in any proper regular subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} [Dy2].

Let \mathfrak{g} be an exceptional complex Lie algebra, \mathfrak{s} its maximal reductive subalgebra. Let us find such subalgebras \mathfrak{s} for which condition (6) holds: $\dim \mathfrak{s} \geq M(\mathfrak{g}) - 1 = (\dim \mathfrak{g} - \text{rank } \mathfrak{g})/2 - 1$.

A maximal reductive subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is either regular or an *S*-subalgebra. The list of the types of all maximal *S*-subalgebras \mathfrak{s} of the exceptional algebras \mathfrak{g} is as follows: $G_2 - \{A_1\}$; $F_4 - \{A_1, A_1 \oplus G_2\}$; $E_6 - \{A_1, G_2, A_2 \oplus G_2, F_4, C_4\}$; $E_7 - \{A_1, A_1 \oplus A_1, A_2, G_2 \oplus C_3, A_1 \oplus F_4, A_1 \oplus G_2\}$; $E_8 - \{A_1, A_1 \oplus A_2, B_2, G_2 \oplus F_4\}$ [Dy2]. It is not hard to verify that necessary condition (6) for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ is satisfied only for the pairs of types (E_6, F_4) and (E_6, C_4) which are symmetric [GG] so we can proceed to the case when \mathfrak{s} is regular.

The list of the types of all maximal regular subalgebras \mathfrak{s} of the exceptional algebras \mathfrak{g} such that $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ is not symmetric is as follows: $G_2 - \{A_2\}$; $F_4 - \{A_2 \oplus A_2\}$; $E_6 - \{A_2 \oplus A_2 \oplus A_2\}$; $E_7 - \{A_2 \oplus A_5\}$; $E_8 - \{A_8, A_4 \oplus A_4, A_2 \oplus E_6\}$ [Dy2]. Condition (6) holds only for the pair of type $\{G_2, A_2\}$ which is spherical. Thus we proved

PROPOSITION 8. *There is no almost spherical subalgebra \mathfrak{k} of a simple exceptional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} such that \mathfrak{k} is maximal in \mathfrak{g} .*

3.4. *Almost spherical subalgebras of simple Lie algebras.* Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra. A reductive subalgebra \mathfrak{k} of \mathfrak{g} is said to be of height at most n in \mathfrak{g} if there exists a chain of distinct reductive subalgebras $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{s}^{(n)} \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{s}' \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and every chain of distinct reductive subalgebras between \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{g} is of length at most n . From Propositions 7 and 8 it follows that 1) there is only one almost spherical pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ for which \mathfrak{k} is of height at most 0 in \mathfrak{g} ; 2) if an almost spherical subalgebra \mathfrak{k} is of height at most $h \geq 1$ in \mathfrak{g} then $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, where by Theorem 5 the subalgebra \mathfrak{s} is maximal spherical in \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k} is either almost spherical or spherical (maximal if $h = 1$) subalgebra of \mathfrak{s} . But we already know all spherical subalgebras \mathfrak{s} of simple Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} . If \mathfrak{s} is maximal then the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ is symmetric or is as in the following list: $(so(8), (B_3, R(\varphi_3)))$, $(so(8), sp(2) \otimes sp(4))$, $(so(7), (G_2, R(\varphi_1)))$, (G_2, A_2) [Kr, My2]. Now applying the dimensional criterion (9) with $\varepsilon_2 = 0$ to such pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{s})$ (types of the isotropic subalgebras \mathfrak{s}^{s_2} are enumerated in [Ar] for symmetric pairs and for remaining spherical pairs in [My2]) we find the set of such pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ which contains all almost spherical pairs. It remains to establish that (5)

Table 1

N	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{k}	j
1	$A_r, r \geq 2$	$A_{r-2} \oplus 2\mathbf{C}$	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} 2\eta$
2*	$A_r, r \geq 4$	$A_{r-2} \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} 2\eta$
3	$A_{2r-1}, r \geq 1$	$A_{r-1} \oplus A_{r-1}$	$R(\varphi_1) \otimes \eta \dot{+} \eta \otimes R(\varphi_1)$
4	$B_r, r \geq 2$	A_{r-1}	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} R'(\varphi_1) \dot{+} \eta$
5	$B_r, r \geq 2$	B_{r-1}	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} 2\eta$
6	$C_r, r \geq 3$	A_{r-1}	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} R'(\varphi_1)$
7	$C_r, r \geq 3$	C_{r-1}	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} 2\eta$
8	$C_r, r \geq 3$	$C_{r-2} \oplus A_1 \oplus A_1$	$R(\varphi_1) \otimes \eta \otimes \eta \dot{+} \eta \otimes R(\varphi_1) \otimes \eta \dot{+} \eta \otimes \eta \otimes R(\varphi_1)$
9	$D_{2r}, r \geq 2$	A_{2r-1}	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} R'(\varphi_1)$
10	$D_r, r \geq 4$	D_{r-1}	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} 2\eta$
11	A_5	$C_2 \oplus C_1 \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$R(\varphi_1) \otimes \eta \dot{+} \eta \otimes R(\varphi_1)$
12	B_5	$B_3 \oplus A_1$	$R(\varphi_3) \otimes \eta \dot{+} \eta \otimes R(2\varphi_1)$
13	B_4	$G_2 \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$R(\varphi_1) \dot{+} 2\eta$
14	B_2	A_1	$R(4\varphi_1)$
15	D_5	B_3	$R(\varphi_3) \dot{+} 2\eta$
16	F_4	D_4	$D_4 \subset B_4 \subset F_4$
17	E_6	$B_4 \oplus \mathbf{C}$	$B_4 \oplus \mathbf{C} \subset D_5 \oplus \mathbf{C} \subset E_6$
18	E_7	E_6	$E_6 \subset E_6 \oplus \mathbf{C} \subset E_7$

holds (or does not hold) at points $x \in R(\mathfrak{m})$ for all these pairs. For this it suffices to find the type of the centralizer \mathfrak{k}^x , which is completely determined by the representation $\pi : x \mapsto \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{m}} x$ of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{m} . An easy computation shows that often $\mathfrak{k}^x \subset \mathfrak{k}_1$, where \mathfrak{k}_1 is some simple ideal of \mathfrak{k} , and, consequently, the algebra \mathfrak{k}^x is determined by the restriction $\pi_{\mathfrak{k}_1}$ and its type is given in the tables in [El]. Thus using Theorem 5, Propositions 7,8 and dimensional criterion (9) we obtain

THEOREM 9. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra, \mathfrak{k} its reductive subalgebra. All almost spherical pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ are shown in Table 1, where the representations determining the embedding $j : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ are also given¹. The almost spherical subalgebra \mathfrak{k} of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ 14 is of height 0 (in \mathfrak{g}), four subalgebras \mathfrak{k} of pairs 2,4,7,15 are of height 2, while the remaining are of height 1.*

References

- [Ar] Sh. ARAKI, *On root systems and infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces*, J. Math. Osaka City Univ. 13 (1962), 90–126.
- [Bo1] N. BOURBAKI, *Lie groups and algebras, I–III*, Mir, Moscow, 1970 (in Russian).
- [Bo2] N. BOURBAKI, *Lie groups and algebras, IV–VI*, Mir, Moscow, 1972 (in Russian).
- [Bo3] N. BOURBAKI, *Lie groups and algebras, VII, VIII*, Mir, Moscow, 1978 (in Russian).
- [Br] M. BRION, *Classification des espaces homogenes spheriques*, Compositio Math. 63 (1987), 189–208.
- [Ch] M. L. CHUMAK, *Integrable G -invariant Hamiltonian systems and uniform spaces with simple spectrum*, Func. Anal. and its Applic. 20 (1986), 91–92.
- [Dy1] E. B. DYNKIN, *Maximal subgroups of classical groups*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshchestva 1 (1952), 39–151 (in Russian); Am. Math. Soc. Transl. 2 (1957), 245–378.
- [Dy2] E. B. DYNKIN, *Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras*, Matem. Sbornik 30 (1952), 349–462 (in Russian); Am. Math. Soc. Transl. 2 (1957), 111–244.
- [El] A. G. ELASHVILI, *Canonical form and stationary subalgebras of points in general position of simple linear Lie groups*, Func. Anal. and its Applic. 6 (1972), 51–62 (in Russian).
- [GG] M. GOTO and F. GROSSHANS, *Semisimple Lie algebras*, Vol. 38, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math., New York and Basel, 1978.
- [GS1] V. GUILLEMIN and S. STERNBERG, *Multiplicity-free spaces*, J. Differential Geometry 19 (1984), 31–56.
- [GS2] V. GUILLEMIN and S. STERNBERG, *On collective complete integrability according to the method of Thimm*, Ergod. Theory and Dynam. Syst. 3 (1983), 219–230.
- [GS3] V. GUILLEMIN and S. STERNBERG, *Geometric asymptotics*, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1977.
- [He] S. HELGASON, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, 1962.

¹In the case 2* the centralizer of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{z}_1 \simeq A_1 \oplus \mathbf{C}$ and the 1-dimensional center of \mathfrak{k} is a diagonal subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{z}_1$, where \mathfrak{h}_1 is the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{a}_1 .

- [IW] K. II and S. WATANABE, *Complete integrability of the geodesic flows on symmetric spaces*, in: Geometry of Geodesics and Related Topics, Tokyo, K. Shiohama (ed.), North-Holland, 1984, 105–124.
- [Kr] M. KRAMER, *Sphärische Untergruppen in kompakten zusammenhängenden Liegruppen*, Compositio Math. 38 (1979), 129–153.
- [Mi] A. S. MISHCHENKO, *Integration of geodesic flows on symmetric spaces*, Mat. Zametki 32 (1982), 257–262 (in Russian).
- [My1] I. V. MYKYTIUK, *Homogeneous spaces with integrable G -invariant Hamiltonian flows*, Math. USSR Izvestiya 23 (1984), 511–523.
- [My2] I. V. MYKYTIUK, *On the integrability of invariant Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous configuration spaces*, Math. USSR Sbornik 57 (1987), 527–546.
- [On] A. L. ONISHCHIK, *Inclusion relations between transitive compact transformation groups*, Trudy Mosc. Matem. Obshchestva 11 (1962), 199–242 (in Russian).
- [PM] A. K. PRYKARPATSKY and I. V. MYKYTIUK, *Algebraic integrability of nonlinear dynamical systems on manifolds. Classical and quantum aspects*, Vol. 443, Math. and its Appl., Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1998.
- [Ti] A. TIMM, *Integrable geodesic flows on homogeneous spaces*, Ergod. Theory and Dynam. Syst. 1 (1981), 495–517.